Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.scanner

2006

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 2006-01-01 through 2006-12-31

Source text: rec.radio.scanner.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 6,633

Message records with no readable body available in the source text: 6

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. Re: 2006 pro problems/questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-01 00:05:35 UTC
From: Jesse <org@org.orgy>
Message-ID: <Xns973DC23E2471Fewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 10631

"Neuroses 60623" <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:11re2idio4t111c@corp.supernews.com:

> Experts,
>
> I have a used RS Pro 2006. I have figured out how to scan with it and
> all that (thank you!) but...
>
> I can pick up signals from very close by, including my own cordless
> phone. However, I scanned all list night and didn't get ANYTHING in the
> 800-900mhz. Tried again this morning and still nothing.
>
> Is there something I am missing? (Its modded btw). Shouldn't I just be
> able to program the limits and get stuff?
>
> Is this set bad?
>
> Thank you!
>
> -N6
>

Could it be because there is not much activity in the 800-900 mhz range in
most areas anymore ?
Hmmmmm ... I wonder .... ???

2. Pro 95 question about rebanding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-01 14:59:20 UTC
From: Larry Copenhaver <lcopenha@naxs.com>
Message-ID: <16648$43b7ee83$d81841da$23008@NAXS.COM>
Source record: 10632

I just received a Radio Shack Pro 95 as a Christmas gift. I have really enjoyed listening over the past few days. Because I have been away from scanning for several years, I have been reading about scanning on the Internet over the past few days and have come across the issue of rebanding. My local Radio Shack employees do not seem to know anything about the rebanding issue so I am hoping to find information here. I have found that Radio Shack does not list this radio as being upgradable. My question is this: After rebanding in the near future, will this scanner be obsolete and useless? I live in rural Southwest Virginia.

Thanks for any info.
Larry

3. ARC246

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-01 15:34:41 UTC
From: George <ganerson123@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <8t-dnUGpU6cTayreRVn-gQ@midco.net>
Source record: 10633

I don't suppose that anyone out there would be interested in sharing
their key for ARC246.
JUST CHECKING

4. Re: 2006 pro problems/questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-01 16:13:31 UTC
From: Neuroses 60623 <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11rfvvlb31tgb40@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10634

I am supposed to pick up cell, right? I can see people right in front of my house talking on cell phones when I scan, but I can't seem to get anything!

Is this normal?

-N6

"Jesse" <org@org.orgy> wrote in message news:Xns973DC23E2471Fewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130...
> "Neuroses 60623" <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:11re2idio4t111c@corp.supernews.com:
>
>> Experts,
>>
>> I have a used RS Pro 2006. I have figured out how to scan with it and
>> all that (thank you!) but...
>>
>> I can pick up signals from very close by, including my own cordless
>> phone. However, I scanned all list night and didn't get ANYTHING in the
>> 800-900mhz. Tried again this morning and still nothing.
>>
>> Is there something I am missing? (Its modded btw). Shouldn't I just be
>> able to program the limits and get stuff?
>>
>> Is this set bad?
>>
>> Thank you!
>>
>> -N6
>>
> Could it be because there is not much activity in the 800-900 mhz range in
> most areas anymore ?
> Hmmmmm ... I wonder .... ???
>

5. Re: Pro 95 question about rebanding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-01 16:46:56 UTC
From: Doc <sheltronics.spam.not@ifrance.com>
Message-ID: <ZIednehjwKrqmiXeRVnyvQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 10635

The most accurate answer involves a question. Are your local Public service frequencies in the 800MHZ band?
If so the answer is maybe. Originally it was thought only the frequencies being moved to consolidate Nextels channels would be affected, but it turns out that even those frequencies in the unaffected portions of the 800MHZ band may be reassigned to new frequencies. This is because channel spacing for the new consolidated band may be adjusted for all users. If so that would affect everyone in the new public service bands.
The definitive answer has not been put out by the powers that be. The first channel moves will start around June of 2006.

"Larry Copenhaver" <lcopenha@naxs.com> wrote in message news:16648$43b7ee83$d81841da$23008@NAXS.COM...
>I just received a Radio Shack Pro 95 as a Christmas gift. I have really
> enjoyed listening over the past few days. Because I have been away from
> scanning for several years, I have been reading about scanning on the
> Internet over the past few days and have come across the issue of
> rebanding.
> My local Radio Shack employees do not seem to know anything about the
> rebanding issue so I am hoping to find information here. I have found
> that
> Radio Shack does not list this radio as being upgradable. My question is
> this: After rebanding in the near future, will this scanner be obsolete
> and
> useless? I live in rural Southwest Virginia.
>
> Thanks for any info.
> Larry
>
>

6. Re: 2006 pro problems/questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-01 18:07:28 UTC
From: Neuroses 60623 <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11rg6lg4fsqq300@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10636

Is there any scanners that will pick up ALL cell calls?

I am pretty much new to the scanning thing.

I was under the impression that scanners (modded) would pick up all cell and cordless calls. For a few more months anyway.

-N6

"Evan Platt" <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote in message news:0l0gr113hp22d6hs3k1pjg3ec5813ga66p@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 1 Jan 2006 10:13:31 -0600, "Neuroses 60623"
> <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>I am supposed to pick up cell, right? I can see people right in front of
>>my
>>house talking on cell phones when I scan, but I can't seem to get
>>anything!
>>
>>Is this normal?
>
> Unless the person standing in front of your house is using a 800 mhz
> analog phone OR a digital phone but is in an area where they can't get
> digital / GSM coverage so their phone has switched to analog...
>
> --
> To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

7. Re: Pro 95 question about rebanding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-01 18:26:41 UTC
From: Larry Copenhaver <lcopenha@naxs.com>
Message-ID: <5987c$43b81f25$d81842e7$28765@NAXS.COM>
Source record: 10637

The amount of time that I used the Pro 95 so far has been very limited. It appears that using the search function, I have found frequencies in the 800 MHZ band only a couple of times. These have sounded like police transmissions, but I do not know for sure- at the time, I didn't know how to copy the frequencies into a bank so I have not been able to listen on any sort of regular basis. I am still trying to figure out the trunking feature of this radio. Almost everything that I have picked up has been in lower bands.

"Doc" <sheltronics.spam.not@ifrance.com> wrote in message
news:ZIednehjwKrqmiXeRVnyvQ@giganews.com...
> The most accurate answer involves a question. Are your local Public
service
> frequencies in the 800MHZ band?
> If so the answer is maybe. Originally it was thought only the frequencies
> being moved to consolidate Nextels channels would be affected, but it
turns
> out that even those frequencies in the unaffected portions of the 800MHZ
> band may be reassigned to new frequencies. This is because channel spacing
> for the new consolidated band may be adjusted for all users. If so that
> would affect everyone in the new public service bands.
> The definitive answer has not been put out by the powers that be. The
first
> channel moves will start around June of 2006.
>
>
>
> "Larry Copenhaver" <lcopenha@naxs.com> wrote in message
> news:16648$43b7ee83$d81841da$23008@NAXS.COM...
> >I just received a Radio Shack Pro 95 as a Christmas gift. I have really
> > enjoyed listening over the past few days. Because I have been away from
> > scanning for several years, I have been reading about scanning on the
> > Internet over the past few days and have come across the issue of
> > rebanding.
> > My local Radio Shack employees do not seem to know anything about the
> > rebanding issue so I am hoping to find information here. I have found
> > that
> > Radio Shack does not list this radio as being upgradable. My question
is
> > this: After rebanding in the near future, will this scanner be obsolete
> > and
> > useless? I live in rural Southwest Virginia.
> >
> > Thanks for any info.

> > Larry
> >
> >
>
>

8. Re: Pro 95 question about rebanding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-01 18:51:05 UTC
From: Ken <ktm@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <twVtf.2480$OU3.2294@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 10638

"Doc" <sheltronics.spam.not@ifrance.com> wrote in message
news:ZIednehjwKrqmiXeRVnyvQ@giganews.com...
> The most accurate answer involves a question. Are your local Public
service
> frequencies in the 800MHZ band?
> "Larry Copenhaver" <lcopenha@naxs.com> wrote in message
> news:16648$43b7ee83$d81841da$23008@NAXS.COM...
> > Radio Shack does not list this radio as being upgradable. My question
is
> > this: After rebanding in the near future, will this scanner be obsolete
and
> > useless? I live in rural Southwest Virginia.

The Pro 95 will not be upgradable & IF your public service bands are Trunked
800 Mhz, you'll be S.O.L. But I wouldn't call it absolute & useless,
there's still
plenty of bandwidth to enjoy. You just won't be able to listen to trunked
800Mhz public service ferqs. It's still a keeper.

9. Re: 2006 pro problems/questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-01 19:46:04 UTC
From: Jesse <org@org.orgy>
Message-ID: <Xns973E963F54031ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 10639

"Neuroses 60623" <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:11rfvvlb31tgb40@corp.supernews.com:

> I am supposed to pick up cell, right? I can see people right in front
> of my house talking on cell phones when I scan, but I can't seem to get
> anything!
>
> Is this normal?
>
> -N6
>
At this point I'm going to assume that you are just a top posting troll - And
no, thats "not normal".

10. Re: 2006 pro problems/questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-01 21:43:45 UTC
From: Rob Cullen <robc@burgers.fsnet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <l2Ytf.23014$q4.3258@newsfe2-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 10640

You can't pick up any modern cell phones unlewss you are the government.
Which you're not. Hope you didn't spend a fortune on your 2006.

"Neuroses 60623" <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:11rg6lg4fsqq300@corp.supernews.com...
> Is there any scanners that will pick up ALL cell calls?
>
> I am pretty much new to the scanning thing.
>
> I was under the impression that scanners (modded) would pick up all cell
> and cordless calls. For a few more months anyway.
>
> -N6
>
> "Evan Platt" <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote in message
> news:0l0gr113hp22d6hs3k1pjg3ec5813ga66p@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 1 Jan 2006 10:13:31 -0600, "Neuroses 60623"
>> <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>>I am supposed to pick up cell, right? I can see people right in front of
>>>my
>>>house talking on cell phones when I scan, but I can't seem to get
>>>anything!
>>>
>>>Is this normal?
>>
>> Unless the person standing in front of your house is using a 800 mhz
>> analog phone OR a digital phone but is in an area where they can't get
>> digital / GSM coverage so their phone has switched to analog...
>>
>> --
>> To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.
>
>

11. help me get started please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-01 21:58:57 UTC
From: vineyardsaker@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1136152737.029514.8980@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10641

Hi,

This year, Santa brought be a Radio-Shack PRO-97 scanner. While this scanner is getting great reviews on the net, its user's manual assumes a far more knowledgeble user than myself. Is there a website, or article or book I could turn to to learn 1) scanning/radio basics and 2) a more gradual step-by-step explanation on how I can use my new scanner?

Thanks,

VS

12. Re: 2006 pro problems/questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-01 22:48:40 UTC
From: Neuroses 60623 <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11rgn2d3j8do04f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10642

Not a troll. Just a newbie trying to take all this in, AT ONCE!

-N6

"Jesse" <org@org.orgy> wrote in message
news:Xns973E963F54031ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130...
> "Neuroses 60623" <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:11rfvvlb31tgb40@corp.supernews.com:
>
>> I am supposed to pick up cell, right? I can see people right in front
>> of my house talking on cell phones when I scan, but I can't seem to get
>> anything!
>>
>> Is this normal?
>>
>> -N6
>>
> At this point I'm going to assume that you are just a top posting troll -
> And
> no, thats "not normal".
>

13. Re: 2006 pro problems/questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-01 22:50:02 UTC
From: Neuroses 60623 <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11rgn518hvn8a6e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10643

Somewhat of a fortune was certainly spent. All I got so far was a couple of cordless calls. Sucks!

I went through all of this stuff for nothing! Damned govt.! Always ruin all the fun!

-N6

"Rob Cullen" <robc@burgers.fsnet.co.uk> wrote in message
news:l2Ytf.23014$q4.3258@newsfe2-gui.ntli.net...
> You can't pick up any modern cell phones unlewss you are the government.
> Which you're not. Hope you didn't spend a fortune on your 2006.
>
>
>
> "Neuroses 60623" <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:11rg6lg4fsqq300@corp.supernews.com...
>> Is there any scanners that will pick up ALL cell calls?
>>
>> I am pretty much new to the scanning thing.
>>
>> I was under the impression that scanners (modded) would pick up all cell
>> and cordless calls. For a few more months anyway.
>>
>> -N6
>>
>> "Evan Platt" <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote in message
>> news:0l0gr113hp22d6hs3k1pjg3ec5813ga66p@4ax.com...
>>> On Sun, 1 Jan 2006 10:13:31 -0600, "Neuroses 60623"
>>> <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>I am supposed to pick up cell, right? I can see people right in front
>>>>of my
>>>>house talking on cell phones when I scan, but I can't seem to get
>>>>anything!
>>>>
>>>>Is this normal?
>>>
>>> Unless the person standing in front of your house is using a 800 mhz
>>> analog phone OR a digital phone but is in an area where they can't get
>>> digital / GSM coverage so their phone has switched to analog...
>>>
>>> --
>>> To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.
>>

>>
>
>

14. Re: 2006 pro problems/questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-01 23:43:52 UTC
From: SOx <SOx@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <q7qgr1delpr12j6nnel551me81ar9brmou@4ax.com>
Source record: 10644

Sheesh, if you want to listen to cell phone calls just go to your
local WalMart and stand in the lobby.

On Sun, 01 Jan 2006 21:43:45 GMT, "Rob Cullen"
<robc@burgers.fsnet.co.uk> wrote:

>You can't pick up any modern cell phones unlewss you are the government.
>Which you're not. Hope you didn't spend a fortune on your 2006.
>
>
>
>"Neuroses 60623" <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:11rg6lg4fsqq300@corp.supernews.com...
>> Is there any scanners that will pick up ALL cell calls?
>>
>> I am pretty much new to the scanning thing.
>>
>> I was under the impression that scanners (modded) would pick up all cell
>> and cordless calls. For a few more months anyway.
>>
>> -N6
>>
>> "Evan Platt" <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote in message
>> news:0l0gr113hp22d6hs3k1pjg3ec5813ga66p@4ax.com...
>>> On Sun, 1 Jan 2006 10:13:31 -0600, "Neuroses 60623"
>>> <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>I am supposed to pick up cell, right? I can see people right in front of
>>>>my
>>>>house talking on cell phones when I scan, but I can't seem to get
>>>>anything!
>>>>
>>>>Is this normal?
>>>
>>> Unless the person standing in front of your house is using a 800 mhz
>>> analog phone OR a digital phone but is in an area where they can't get
>>> digital / GSM coverage so their phone has switched to analog...
>>>
>>> --
>>> To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.
>>
>>
>

15. Re: help me get started please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-02 00:26:24 UTC
From: Burt Smith <burtsmith@direcway.com>
Message-ID: <43b8732f$0$62569$ec3e2dad@news.usenetmonster.com>
Source record: 10645

vineyardsaker@gmail.com wrote:
> Hi,
>
> This year, Santa brought be a Radio-Shack PRO-97 scanner. While this
> scanner is getting great reviews on the net, its user's manual assumes
> a far more knowledgeble user than myself. Is there a website, or
> article or book I could turn to to learn 1) scanning/radio basics and
> 2) a more gradual step-by-step explanation on how I can use my new
> scanner?
>
> Thanks,
>
> VS
>

I think the best way to learn about the PRO-97 is to get good programming software. As you have probably found out, programming by hand is a pain.

The best I have found is Win97. Good user interface and you learn a lot about your scanner from using the program.

Check this link.

http://www.starrsoft.com/software/win97/default.asp

Burt
KF6YIQ

16. Re: 2006 pro problems/questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-02 00:34:14 UTC
From: E <nospam@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ey_tf.15$u44.118901@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 10646

That's like saying "I bought this expensive plasma TV, and all I watch is stupid beer commercials".

There's so much more that 2006 can do! Search around the internet and learn about scanning a bit. You'll find there's a whole world waiting to be explored with that scanner.

Happy New Year.

"Neuroses 60623" <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:11rgn518hvn8a6e@corp.supernews.com...
> Somewhat of a fortune was certainly spent. All I got so far was a couple of
> cordless calls. Sucks!
>
> I went through all of this stuff for nothing! Damned govt.! Always ruin all
> the fun!
>
> -N6
>
> "Rob Cullen" <robc@burgers.fsnet.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:l2Ytf.23014$q4.3258@newsfe2-gui.ntli.net...
> > You can't pick up any modern cell phones unlewss you are the government.
> > Which you're not. Hope you didn't spend a fortune on your 2006.
> >
> >
> >
> > "Neuroses 60623" <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:11rg6lg4fsqq300@corp.supernews.com...
> >> Is there any scanners that will pick up ALL cell calls?
> >>
> >> I am pretty much new to the scanning thing.
> >>
> >> I was under the impression that scanners (modded) would pick up all cell
> >> and cordless calls. For a few more months anyway.
> >>
> >> -N6
> >>
> >> "Evan Platt" <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote in message
> >> news:0l0gr113hp22d6hs3k1pjg3ec5813ga66p@4ax.com...
> >>> On Sun, 1 Jan 2006 10:13:31 -0600, "Neuroses 60623"
> >>> <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>>

> >>>>I am supposed to pick up cell, right? I can see people right in front
> >>>>of my
> >>>>house talking on cell phones when I scan, but I can't seem to get
> >>>>anything!
> >>>>
> >>>>Is this normal?
> >>>
> >>> Unless the person standing in front of your house is using a 800 mhz
> >>> analog phone OR a digital phone but is in an area where they can't get
> >>> digital / GSM coverage so their phone has switched to analog...
> >>>
> >>> --
> >>> To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.
> >>
> >>
> > >
> > >
>
>

17. Re: help me get started please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-02 00:49:03 UTC
From: Doc <sheltronics.spam.not@ifrance.com>
Message-ID: <D9ydnWOjUITu5SXeRVnyug@giganews.com>
Source record: 10647

"Burt Smith" <burtsmith@direcway.com> wrote in message
news:43b8732f$0$62569$ec3e2dad@news.usenetmonster.com...
> vineyardsaker@gmail.com wrote:
>> Hi,
>>
>> This year, Santa brought be a Radio-Shack PRO-97 scanner. While this
>> scanner is getting great reviews on the net, its user's manual assumes
>> a far more knowledgeble user than myself. Is there a website, or
>> article or book I could turn to to learn 1) scanning/radio basics and
>> 2) a more gradual step-by-step explanation on how I can use my new
>> scanner?
>>
>> Thanks,
>>
>> VS
>>
>
> I think the best way to learn about the PRO-97 is to get good programming
> software. As you have probably found out, programming by hand is a pain.
>
> The best I have found is Win97. Good user interface and you learn a lot
> about your scanner from using the program.
>
> Check this link.
>
> http://www.starrsoft.com/software/win97/default.asp
>
> Burt
> KF6YIQ

I'll second that, even seasoned scanner users don't want to go back to
manually programming their radios after using a version of starrsoft
programming software for their radio. It not only makes programming
painless, it also helps you understand just how the scanner uses the
different programming aspects.

18. Re: Pro 95 question about rebanding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-02 00:50:29 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <pN_tf.2258$HI6.704@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10648

"Larry Copenhaver" <lcopenha@naxs.com> wrote in message
news:5987c$43b81f25$d81842e7$28765@NAXS.COM...
> The amount of time that I used the Pro 95 so far has been very limited.
> It
> appears that using the search function, I have found frequencies in the
> 800
> MHZ band only a couple of times. These have sounded like police
> transmissions, but I do not know for sure- at the time, I didn't know how
> to
> copy the frequencies into a bank so I have not been able to listen on any
> sort of regular basis. I am still trying to figure out the trunking
> feature
> of this radio. Almost everything that I have picked up has been in lower
> bands.
>

Go to the Radio Reference site and they will explain to you how it all
works. They may have the info on the police and fire in your area.
--
"Everything in excess! To enjoy the flavor of life, take big bites.
Moderation is for monks."
[Lazarus Long]

19. Re: ARC246

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-02 00:56:38 UTC
From: aussie bongo <b.SPAM@TRAP.net>
Message-ID: <aT_tf.52851$Cj5.2329@newsfe6-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 10649

http://www.butel.nl/

"George" <ganerson123@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:8t-dnUGpU6cTayreRVn-gQ@midco.net...
>I don't suppose that anyone out there would be interested in sharing
> their key for ARC246.
> JUST CHECKING
>

20. Re: help me get started please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-02 01:19:33 UTC
From: Tom Hughes <t.hughes@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Fc%tf.1317$wj.694@newssvr31.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 10650

Ditto on the previous replies.
Get Win 97
Way so easy

<vineyardsaker@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1136152737.029514.8980@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Hi,
>
> This year, Santa brought be a Radio-Shack PRO-97 scanner. While this
> scanner is getting great reviews on the net, its user's manual assumes
> a far more knowledgeble user than myself. Is there a website, or
> article or book I could turn to to learn 1) scanning/radio basics and
> 2) a more gradual step-by-step explanation on how I can use my new
> scanner?
>
> Thanks,
>
> VS
>

21. Re: Pro 95 question about rebanding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-02 02:24:34 UTC
From: Ken <ktm@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <C90uf.2506$OU3.1736@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 10651

Radio Shack in your area will program the scanner for free for the first year of ownership.

> > copy the frequencies into a bank so I have not been able to listen on any
> > sort of regular basis. I am still trying to figure out the trunking
> > feature
> > of this radio. Almost everything that I have picked up has been in lower
> > bands.
>
> Go to the Radio Reference site and they will explain to you how it all
> works. They may have the info on the police and fire in your area.

22. NOMINATIONS OPEN FOR AARA/LEO I. MEYERSON, W0GFQ, AWARD FOR EXCELLENCE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-02 03:30:41 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1136172641.676674.296600@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10652
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

NOMINATIONS FOR AARA/LEO I. MEYERSON, W0GFQ, AWARD FOR EXCELLENCE
IN AMATEUR RADIO OPEN

Nominations are now being accepted for the AARA, 2006, Leo I. Meyerson, W0GFQ, Award for Excellence in Amateur Radio. Send your nominations and supporting materials to the
Awards Committee, AARA, the American Amateur Radio Association, Box 440, Belgrade Lakes, Maine 04918, USA.

Leo Meyerson is a true radio pioneer. His World Radio Laboratories developed one of the
first single side band rigs, and their Galaxy radios were the first to use transistors hybrid with tubes. Leo flew around the world on a SAC aircraft with Arthur Collins with his 500 Watt Globe King AM transmitter to test AM compared with Art's KWS-1 1000 Watt SSB transmitter. SSB proved itself, and the race was on. Leo developed new methods for
manufacturing crystals during World War II which were adopted by all the crystal
manufacturers and earning him the Army "E" Award. Leo gave away part of his company
which then became Hy-Gain Electronics.

Leo is in the QCWA Hall of Fame and a Dayton Ham of the Year. Leo Installed Maine's QCWA Pine Tree Chapter, which he and Glenn Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, started together years ago. AARA and IARN are proud to offer this grand Award which honors Leo and his commitment to excellence in amateur radio.

AARA Membership K1MAN is legal! www.K1MAN.com
Support K1MAN, AARA and IARN - A modern alternative to the tired ARRL

23. Re: NOMINATIONS OPEN FOR AARA/LEO I. MEYERSON, W0GFQ, AWARD FOR EXCELLENCE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-02 03:47:52 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1136173672.194937.187790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10653
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
> NOMINATIONS FOR AARA/LEO I. MEYERSON, W0GFQ, AWARD FOR EXCELLENCE
> IN AMATEUR RADIO OPEN
>
>
> Nominations are now being accepted for the AARA, 2006, Leo I. Meyerson,
> W0GFQ, Award for Excellence in Amateur Radio. Send your nominations and
> supporting materials to the
> Awards Committee, AARA, the American Amateur Radio Association, Box
> 440, Belgrade Lakes, Maine 04918, USA.

Maybe it should go to Jim/N2EY for recognizing on Dec 10 that the FCC
does not license amateur radio.

24. Re: help me get started please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-02 11:37:07 UTC
From: daviddd <3ddaniels@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1136201827.291691.10210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10654

Don Starr's Win97 for the Pro-97, the best software
hand's down!
daviddd

25. linux software for bcd396t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-02 19:03:42 UTC
From: anonymous user <nobody@frii.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.01.02.19.03.42.415866@frii.net>
Source record: 10655

If anyone is interested, I've just completed my tcl/tk software for this scanner. Seems to work well and includes the ability to import data from RadioReference.com.

URL is http://www.fullhilt.com/~toms/bcd396t/

Cheers,

-t

26. Re: help me get started please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-02 19:47:36 UTC
From: mucker <richm@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <srfuf.68673$a15.58135@newsfe5-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 10656

Hi. I'm new to scanning myself and have found the best of learning is to tinker with the options, read the manual and get hold of a database with up-to-date frequencies.

Scanning for frequencies can be very hit and miss, so entering known frequencies into your scanner's channel memories will make huge difference. (It easy to scan a channel of frequencies rather than a band of frequencies!). By the way, how you organise your frequencies in the channel memories is down to personal taste, but I have mine organised by type of service and location.

Here in the UK this frequency database http://www.mckernan.it/proma/ is very useful.

Also, an antenna upgrade is often very worthwhile. In the 3 weeks since I've had my scanner I've purchased a centre loaded telescopic whip and a discone. The whip makes a noticable difference and the discone mounted in my loft brings in transmissions very load and very clear.

In general, the web is a very good source. There should be a yahoo group you can join for your area/state/country, where folks share frequencies and hints on using your particular model.

Hope this helps.

Rich

27. Rebanding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-02 21:31:41 UTC
From: bigmikeymike@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18271-43B99BBD-575@storefull-3315.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 10657

Hello everyone.I own a Bearcat BC898T.How will this rebanding affect this scanner and just what is this rebanding? Will my scanner be useless after this rebandig? TIA

Mike

28. Re: Rebanding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-02 23:07:50 UTC
From: Brian <Bworthley_nospam_@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <jniuf.30$5F2.1027@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 10658

Mikey Mike wrote:
> Hello everyone.I own a Bearcat BC898T.How will this rebanding affect
> this scanner and just what is this rebanding? Will my scanner be useless
> after this rebandig? TIA
>
> Mike
>
According to the uniden web site your scanner can be upgraded by
flashing so your ok. For more info go here:
http://tinyurl.com/a7k2s

29. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-02 23:32:15 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <11rjdtbgd49arb7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10659

What does this have to do with scanners?

--
"N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:eHEtf.46500$ih5.42954@dukeread11...
> netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > K1MAN is a GOD of the tw-way. He will win and be declared the leader of
> > all free radio in the world. No power on earth can stop K1MAN.
> >
> "one man can make a difference: with a well placed fact".
> I would have used the word "bullet" for "fact", but that was not
appropriate
> in this venue.
> --
> DE N7ZZT
> Eric Oyen
> Phoenix, Arizona
> e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
> the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
> has its limits.

30. For Sale: 4 - GE VHF 16 channel HH PCS Radios w/ batteries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-02 23:56:48 UTC
From: "M.L. Katz" <mcguyver@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <45juf.72452$6e.18601@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 10660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner

For Sale: 4 - GE VHF 16 channel HH PCS Radios w/ batteries

Asking $200 for the set (includes CONUS shipping)

Photo links of radios:

http://home.cfl.rr.com/bologram/GE_RADIO_front.jpg
http://home.cfl.rr.com/bologram/GE_RADIO_rear.jpg
http://home.cfl.rr.com/bologram/GE_radios_all.jpg

I have for sale 4 GE - MA/COM Portable VHF (hand Held) Radios with batteries. The units are 150-173MHz, some wear, but all power up. They have BNC antenna jacks (no antennas though) I do not have the chargers yet. The units are SCAN models. Full specs from rear of radios:

GE Ericsson
FCC ID: AXA9MZ-PCSH3
DOC/MDC:PCSH
Serial #'s upon request

Either cash or consider trade for a BC246T scanner . E-mail me for more information or photos which 'll be happy to take.

Mitch

31. Re-Banding hits home

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-03 01:22:45 UTC
From: Drifter <zespectre@askme.com>
Message-ID: <u8kjr1h6sjcuahriqc4ocs41r7nbkth1mv@4ax.com>
Source record: 10661

Well darn, two nearby cities just finished re-banding and another went digital this morning... well happy darn new-year!
Drifter
"I've been here, I've been there..."

32. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-03 01:23:45 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <dmkuf.46621$ih5.28756@dukeread11>
Source record: 10662

J. Mc Laughlin wrote:

> What does this have to do with scanners?
>
apparently not a lot. I was responding to a post where someone had a
"follow-up to:" header set.....

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

33. Re: Rebanding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-03 01:53:38 UTC
From: bigmikeymike@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22024-43B9D922-606@storefull-3311.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 10665

Thanks Brian.The website says that if the services are on an EDACS system then I'll have to reprogram.Most of my county and city services are EDACS.Does that mean all I would have to do is find the new frequencies and reprogram them into the scanner?

Mike

34. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-03 01:56:31 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <11rjmbs7egode75@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10663

Dear Eric: Ah so! Fortunately, I only used one header.

Still wonder what the up (or sometimes, down) arrow is to the right of
the screen on a BCD396T. Manual has nothing about it.

Regards, Mac N8TT

--
J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.
Home: JCM@Power-Net.Net
"N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:dmkuf.46621$ih5.28756@dukeread11...
> J. Mc Laughlin wrote:
>
> > What does this have to do with scanners?
> >
> apparently not a lot. I was responding to a post where someone had a
> "follow-up to:" header set.....
>
> --
> DE N7ZZT
> Eric Oyen
> Phoenix, Arizona
> e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
> the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
> has its limits.

35. Re: help me get started please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-03 02:01:30 UTC
From: vineyardsaker@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1136253690.037195.305040@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10664

Hi,

Win97 does get great reviews. The only problem is that I do not
Windows - only GNU/Linux.

:-((

36. Re: Rebanding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-03 03:05:55 UTC
From: Brian <Bworthley_nospam_@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <xSluf.48$sW2.1504@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 10666

Mikey Mike wrote:
> Thanks Brian.The website says that if the services are on an EDACS
> system then I'll have to reprogram.Most of my county and city services
> are EDACS.Does that mean all I would have to do is find the new
> frequencies and reprogram them into the scanner?
>
> Mike
>
Yes you will still have to flash it with the download they will provide
and then reprogram with the new freqs.

37. Re: help me get started please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-03 03:30:15 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <otSdnavkTPdUciTeRVn-sQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 10667

I'm pretty certain that Win97 will run under some of the Windows emulators
on Linux.

Bill Crocker

<vineyardsaker@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1136253690.037195.305040@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Hi,
>
> Win97 does get great reviews. The only problem is that I do not
> Windows - only GNU/Linux.
>
> :-((
>

38. Re: Help with RS Pro-97 and trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-03 12:18:37 UTC
From: OregonMike <OregonMike@g.ma.i.l.DieSpammers.NOw.com>
Message-ID: <npqkr11k2r2841pqadfbebkfh0aemr0834@4ax.com>
Source record: 10668

On Tue, 27 Dec 2005 17:33:33 -0500, "Bob Bailey" <ebiz@usa.net> wrote:

>
>I got a Pro-94 for Christmas and have never heard anything but static from
>the trunked channels.
>
>I used the cable and ScanCat-Lite-Plus to upload the information (whether
>you call it difficult or tedious, manual programming is not my cup of tea)
>and the non-trunked channels work just fine.
>
>The trunked channels seem to scan but I don't understand the display and the
>manual doesn't explain it.
>
>Any advice will be appreciated.
>
>TIA...Bob
>

I'm sure some people can help you out with specific data channel
frequencies if you mention which area you're trying to receive those
trunked systems from.

OM

39. Re: Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-03 12:22:23 UTC
From: OregonMike <OregonMike@g.ma.i.l.DieSpammers.NOw.com>
Message-ID: <v2rkr19vtopc848lntk545hepeunm0merc@4ax.com>
Source record: 10669

On Sat, 24 Dec 2005 16:32:18 -0800, "Earl J" <mrekj@comcast.net>
wrote:

>Thanks but I have the Snohomish Freq. What do you have for Seattle
>talkgroups? I got mine from trunktracker several years ago and have added a
>few I scanned for but wonder if there are others out there I don't have.
>Please respond to my personal email. I tried yours but didn't get through.
>Earl
>"Foreverman" <Foreverman.20jvin@news.radiobanter.com> wrote in message
>news:Foreverman.20jvin@news.radiobanter.com...
>>
>>
>

You may wish to look on this site:

http://www.joescanner.com/system.php?sysID=3D1C

You'll see a pretty big list of talkgroups for the Seattle area.

OM

40. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-03 13:26:03 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <nXuuf.46648$ih5.20796@dukeread11>
Source record: 10670

J. Mc Laughlin wrote:

> Dear Eric: Ah so! Fortunately, I only used one header.
>
> Still wonder what the up (or sometimes, down) arrow is to the right of
> the screen on a BCD396T. Manual has nothing about it.
>
> Regards, Mac N8TT
>

Neither do I (never having messed with one of those, I have not the slightest clue).

I use a BC200XLT here (its been my old friend through many a disaster and the occasional boring afternoon). I just wish there was a digital mod for it (unlikely as its 14 years old).

meanwhile.... back at headquarters....

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence has its limits.

41. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-03 13:30:06 UTC
From: DanOfWA <danofwa@nventure.com>
Message-ID: <58qdnXCNB6P94SfeRVn-tw@nventure.com>
Source record: 10671

N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
> J. Mc Laughlin wrote:
>
>
>>Dear Eric: Ah so! Fortunately, I only used one header.
>>
>> Still wonder what the up (or sometimes, down) arrow is to the right of
>>the screen on a BCD396T. Manual has nothing about it.
>>
>> Regards, Mac N8TT
>>
>
> Neither do I (never having messed with one of those, I have not the
> slightest clue).
>
> I use a BC200XLT here (its been my old friend through many a disaster and
> the occasional boring afternoon). I just wish there was a digital mod for
> it (unlikely as its 14 years old).
>
> meanwhile.... back at headquarters....
>
the arrow most likely indicates scan direction....scan up thru channels
or down thru channels...at least on every one of the scanners I have
owned it was like that

--

NOTE: This email does not and is not to be considered as evidence of,
creation of nor proof of an existing business relationship between
myself and any other party and shall supercede any existent policy
concerning formation or continuation of a business relationship the
other party to this email has when communicating with me. The sole
determination of existing, creation or proof of business relationship
shall be determined a positive statement of creating said relationship
in the content of the email originating FROM my computer and NOT that of
the other parties computer, system or network.

==========
NOTICE TO BULK/COMMERICAL E-MAILERS:
==========

Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and
all unsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address is subject to a
download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US.

Anyone who sends unsolicited commercial e-mail to this account will be charged a $500 processing fee. Viewing this mail will be considered official notification and acceptance of these conditions.

Failure to abide by this will result in legal action.

RCW 19.190.020
Unpermitted or misleading electronic mail -- Prohibition.
(1) No person may initiate the transmission, conspire with another to initiate the transmission, or assist the transmission, of a commercial electronic mail message from a computer located in Washington or to an electronic mail address that the sender knows, or has reason to know, is held by a Washington resident that:
(a) Uses a third party's internet domain name without permission of the third party, or otherwise misrepresents or obscures any information in identifying the point of origin or the transmission path of a commercial electronic mail message; or
(b) Contains false or misleading information in the subject line.

RCW 19.190.040
Violations -- Damages.
(1) Damages to the recipient of a commercial electronic mail message sent in violation of this chapter are five hundred dollars, or actual damages, whichever is greater.

42. Re: ebooks (now in other group)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-03 17:25:39 UTC
From: Jay <Jay@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <25304$43bab393$3ec2e59a$23067@news.chello.nl>
Source record: 10672
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

See my answer in alt.binaries.radio-scanner

JaNoSh

43. Re: 2006 pro problems/questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-03 19:28:22 UTC
From: Neuroses 60623 <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11rll5ilaj6lrc8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10673

I have searched and modded. And can't find anything specific. Is there a url
or 2 you can point me to?

-N6

"E" <nospam@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:ey_tf.15$u44.118901@news.sisna.com...
> That's like saying "I bought this expensive plasma TV, and all I watch is
> stupid beer commercials".
>
> There's so much more that 2006 can do! Search around the internet and
> learn
> about scanning a bit. You'll find there's a whole world waiting to be
> explored with that scanner.
>
> Happy New Year.
>
>
> "Neuroses 60623" <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:11rgn518hvn8a6e@corp.supernews.com...
>> Somewhat of a fortune was certainly spent. All I got so far was a couple
> of
>> cordless calls. Sucks!
>>
>> I went through all of this stuff for nothing! Damned govt.! Always ruin
> all
>> the fun!
>>
>> -N6
>>
>> "Rob Cullen" <robc@burgers.fsnet.co.uk> wrote in message
>> news:l2Ytf.23014$q4.3258@newsfe2-gui.ntli.net...
>> > You can't pick up any modern cell phones unlewss you are the
>> > government.
>> > Which you're not. Hope you didn't spend a fortune on your 2006.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > "Neuroses 60623" <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> > news:11rg6lg4fsqq300@corp.supernews.com...
>> >> Is there any scanners that will pick up ALL cell calls?
>> >>
>> >> I am pretty much new to the scanning thing.
>> >>
>> >> I was under the impression that scanners (modded) would pick up all

> cell
>> >> and cordless calls. For a few more months anyway.
>> >>
>> >> -N6
>> >>
>> >> "Evan Platt" <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:0l0gr113hp22d6hs3k1pjg3ec5813ga66p@4ax.com...
>> >>> On Sun, 1 Jan 2006 10:13:31 -0600, "Neuroses 60623"
>> >>> <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>>I am supposed to pick up cell, right? I can see people right in
>> >>>>front
>> >>>>of my
>> >>>>house talking on cell phones when I scan, but I can't seem to get
>> >>>>anything!
>> >>>>
>> >>>>Is this normal?
>> >>>
>> >>> Unless the person standing in front of your house is using a 800 mhz
>> >>> analog phone OR a digital phone but is in an area where they can't
>> >>> get
>> >>> digital / GSM coverage so their phone has switched to analog...
>> >>>
>> >>> --
>> >>> To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>

44. Re: help me get started please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-03 20:15:18 UTC
From: vineyardsaker@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1136319318.465049.268790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10674

I tried with Wine but since Win97 is not really "installed" but only unzipped Wine is missing dlls. I will try some other emulators though, the DVD version of Knoppix if I remember correctly has more emulators. My impression is that Win97 is a rather simple piece of code (to which, alas, we are not given access...) and that an emulator should make the hack. if not, however, are there any other decent applications out there?

45. IARN/AARA/K1MAN OPERATIONS FOR 2006 AND BEYOND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-03 21:16:59 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1136323019.657754.74980@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

IARN/AARA/K1MAN OPERATIONS FOR 2006 AND BEYOND

INTERNATIONAL AMATEUR RADIO NETWORK (IARN)
EMERGENCY NCS INFORMATION I-VANS STILL NEEDED
IN SEVERAL AREAS - ACT TODAY!

IARN, the International Amateur Radio Network, is now a;so
activating in Mode 3 for Hurricane Beta. Traffic is being accepted
at IARNtraffic@aol.com. Please advise IARN with regard to your
amateur radio emergency traffic operations at 207 495 2215.
IARN is sponsored by AARA, the American Amateur Radio
Association. JoinToday.

OFFICIAL IARN OPERATING FREQUENCIES:

During a world wide communications emergency, I A R N may use SSB
on 14.275 MHz. as a primary control and logistics frequency; 14.127
MHz. LSB (Sel call KMAN) for AMTOR traffic; and 14.272 MHz. as a
secondary SSB frequency, all three for emergency and some health and
welfare traffic. Most health and welfare traffic should normally be
routed by E-Mail to:

IARNtraffic@aol.com

Please use the IARN message format as detailed below.

IARN EMERGENCY ACTIVATION MODES:

IARN emergency activations are classified in five different modes:

Mode 1 Full activation, continuous on air traffic/bulletins,
continuous NCS duty/bulletins.

Mode 2 Semi activation, intermittent on air traffic,
continuous NCS duty.

Mode 3 Full alert, continuous monitoring. Fully
activated for receiving health and welfare traffic at
IARNtraffic@aol.com. (Check 14.265 and 14.300 if
14.275 and 14.272 are not activated.)

Mode 4 Semi alert, general monitoring only.

Mode 5 No activation.

IARN INFORMATION BULLETINS:

I A R N operates all information bulletins on 14.275 MHz., 3.975 MHz., and 3.890 MHz., all plus or minus QRM, 16 or less, or 24 hours per day where necessary, for the most efficient dissemination of information of direct interest to the Radio Amateur. Check www.K1MAN.com for the latest published transmitting schedules (if any). On air information bulletins might not be scheduled at all during emergency activation periods.

OFFICIAL IARN MESSAGE FORMAT:

Please use the IARN message format suggested below. You will then receive an E-Mail response with an alpha-numeric traffic designator (or official IARN traffic "number.") Use this IARN traffic designator (added to line 2 of the IARN message format) to speed up references this message or request at all times and to help to drastically increase IARN message handling efficiency. Try to use IARN and ARRL traffic message codes (listed below) where possible.

Date time group: (added by IARN)
(1)Message designator: (added by IARN, see below):
(2)Priority: (see below)
(3)Originator's call (if any):
(4)Originator's return collect telephone number:
(5)Originator's E-Mail address:
(6)Message ARL code: (If blank, IARN will always assume ARL 19)
(7)Their name (who you are inquiring about or directing message to):
(8)Address line 1:
(9)Address line 2:
(10)Their telephone:
(11)Their E-mail:
(12)Signature (who is making the inquiry or author of the message):
(13)Signature's return collect telephone number:
(14)Signature's E-mail:
(15)Message send code: (added by IARN, see below)
(16)Message activity code: (added by IARN, see below)
(17)Message status: (added by IARN, see below):
(18) Message text (if any, use line 6, insread, if possible)
(19) Reply message: (use IARN and/or ARL codes where possible)

MESSAGE PRIORITY CODES (line 2):

1 = Routine priority; 2 = More Than Routine Priority; 3 = Expedite Priority; 4 = Very High Priority; 5 = Highest (Emergency) Priority.

MESSAGE ACTIVITY CODE: (line 16)

NA, date time group = no answer
B, date time group = Busy LMM, date time group = left message on machine.
LWM= left word to call back on machine
WNA, date time group = would not accept collect call
DMP, date time group = delivered message personally
DMM, date time group = delivered message on machine

TM, date time group = took a message
CMA, date time group = confirmed that message is still active
MK, date time group = message killed (everyone OK)
IO, date time group = inquiry from originator
IS, date time group = inquiry from signature and they confirmed that
the message is still active.
IN1 = one inquiry made about this message, IN2, means two inquiries,
etc.

MESSAGE STATUS CODES (line 17):

+ = case is open
# = everyone OK, case closed
C0 (CODE 0) NOTHING DONE YET
C1 CONTACT SUCCESSFUL
C2 ALREADY HEARD FROM PARTY, EVERYONE OK; CANCEL MESSAGE
C3 TELEPHONE BUSY SIGNAL; WILL TRY AGAIN
C4 TELEPHONE NO ANSWER; WILL TRY AGAIN
C5 TELEPHONE ATTEMPTS ABANDONED
C6 CONTACT ATTEMPTED BY POSTING
C7 CONTACT ATTEMPTED BY PUBLISHING IN THE NEWSPAPER
C8 CONTACT ATTEMPTED BY ANNOUNCEMENT OVER LOCAL RADIO
C9 PERSONAL CONTACT ATTEMPTED
C10 CONTACT ATTEMPTS ABANDONED
C11 NEEDS SERVICE
C12 SERVICED BACK
C13 SERVICED MESSAGE REFILED
C14 Message taken to affected area by a jump team operator

Example: Z3, HW3,C140828,II3 = Message Z3, Health and welfare with
Expedite priority, message has been taken to the affected area by a
jump team operator, and three inquiries made about this message.

I-VAN.....International Amateur Radio Network
low Cost Amateur Radio Emergency Communications Van

The International Amateur Radio Network Peace Corps Foundation is a
501(c)(3) non profit tax exempt public service organization organized
by the American Amateur Radio Association, AARA. For help in
financing your new I-VAN, contact us at 207 495 2215 today or write to
IARN, Box 440, Belgrade Lakes, Maine 04918.

You and a friend, or you and your local radio club or church, or just
you, can purchase a small and low cost second hand van. Build a
double decker rack to sleep two and a small radio table for an HF SSB
transceiver (minimum) and AMTOR (preferred) setup. A small
porta-potty (available at Wal-Mart in the sporting goods section) and
a small portable 110 volt AC/12 volt DC generator plus one or more
storage batteries and your I-VAN is almost ready. Add a DC cooler
for food, water, etc., sleeping bags, and some cooking items, etc. and
you are now fully ready for emergency deployment. Remember spare gas
and oil for the generator. You are a ham! Use your imagination and

ingenuity.

Head toward a hurricane/disaster zone. At some point you will meet cars heading out as they evacuate. Many will have cel phones and many of those will be non functional or overloaded because of the very real communications emergency that you are there to assist with. Now you park in some public place and solicit OUTGOING TRAFFIC from anybody who is in need.

The messages are best sent out on 20 meters during a communications emergency, or back to IARN headquarters or to your home base by AMTOR (preferred), SSB voice, or even CW for handling by ordinary hard line telephones.

During non emergency times, set up in a public place and offer to send Valentine, Christmas, or whatever messages the same way. This is great public exposure and good PR for amateur radio.

If you affiliate with IARN through a free International Amateur Radio Club Charter, you can use IARN's tax exempt status to get local merchants to donate, tax deductible, to finance the purchase of your low cost I-VAN (with their logos on the side). This is a very simple project; keep it that way!

Call IARN today for more information about IARN's ongoing I-VAN program at 207 495 2215.

73 and GL de K1MAN

K1MAN is legal. IARN is legal. AARA is legal and your modern alternative
to the outdated ARRL. Join AARA now and be a part of the glory of ham radio. Apply at www.k1man.com for a true ham radio future.

46. Re: help me get started please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-03 21:54:13 UTC
From: vineyardsaker@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1136325253.860224.126930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10676

well, I checked again and I looks like I got my install problems mixed
up with another recently "wined" applications. Here is the error
message I got when I wined Win97:

Font metrics: 99.1% done
X Error of failed request: BadValue (integer parameter out of range
for operation)
Major opcode of failed request: 45 (X_OpenFont)
Value in failed request: 0x1400e9d
Serial number of failed request: 11383
Current serial number in output stream: 11384
Wine failed with return code 1

Any ideas as to how to go about fixing that one?

47. Re: IARN/AARA/K1MAN OPERATIONS FOR 2006 AND BEYOND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-03 22:33:52 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <kZCuf.552$WY5.528@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10677
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1136323019.657754.74980@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> IARN/AARA/K1MAN OPERATIONS FOR 2006 AND BEYOND
>
> INTERNATIONAL AMATEUR RADIO NETWORK (IARN)
> EMERGENCY NCS INFORMATION I-VANS STILL NEEDED
> IN SEVERAL AREAS - ACT TODAY!
>
> IARN, the International Amateur Radio Network, is now a;so
> activating in Mode 3 for Hurricane Beta.

Perhaps you meant tropical storm Zeta?

--

... Hank

http://home.earthlink.net/~horedson
http://home.earthlink.net/~w0rli

48. Re: IARN/AARA/K1MAN OPERATIONS FOR 2006 AND BEYOND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-03 22:38:07 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <xvidncRjuOlRYSfeRVn-ug@comcast.com>
Source record: 10678
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

>>activating in Mode 3 for Hurricane Beta.
>
>
> Perhaps you meant tropical storm Zeta?

The whole thing is a farce. He meant Beta.

49. Re: IARN/AARA/K1MAN OPERATIONS FOR 2006 AND BEYOND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-03 22:48:44 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11rlvqa9ksf88dd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10679
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

>Apply at www.k1man.com for a true ham radio future.

It sounds like a want ad for a job. Does it come with medical or retirement?

50. FS MONR31 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-04 00:13:00 UTC
From: "wd4aks@hotmail.com" <wd4aks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1136333580.557005.259460@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10680

```
For Sale Antenna Specialists MONR31 base station scanner antenna. New
in box, never opened. 25-1000 MHz. $30
```

51. Re: Rebanding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-04 03:14:17 UTC
From: bigmikeymike@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25242-43BB3D89-735@storefull-3317.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 10682

Thanks eveyone! You've been a big help. Didn't want to lose any more than I already have as far as monitoring.When our PD went to the EDACS it went with that Pro-voice(?) thing and as you know I can't hear a thing from them.All PD'S county wide have that! Was hoping they would forgo it since the hurricane what with all the different agencies from across the nation here helping out;but no,guess they equipped them with portable units.

52. Re: IARN/AARA/K1MAN OPERATIONS FOR 2006 AND BEYOND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-04 03:19:28 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <llfmr1lhnp10p92bqfhq2e5n0qg4g53i51@4ax.com>
Source record: 10681
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 03 Jan 2006 15:45:42 -0600, Michael Gardner <mgg@prairienet.org.xyz>
wrote:

>In article <1136323019.657754.74980@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
> netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>> IARN/AARA/K1MAN OPERATIONS FOR 2006 AND BEYOND
>>
>> INTERNATIONAL AMATEUR RADIO NETWORK (IARN)
>> EMERGENCY NCS INFORMATION I-VANS STILL NEEDED
>> IN SEVERAL AREAS - ACT TODAY!
>>
>... pretense snipped ....
>>
>> 73 and GL de K1MAN
>>
>> K1MAN is legal. IARN is legal. AARA is legal and your modern
>> alternative
>> to the outdated ARRL. Join AARA now and be a part of the glory of ham
>> radio. Apply at www.k1man.com for a true ham radio future.
>
>
>Still the second cheesiest web site on this planet or any other.

What is first?

Vinnie S.

53. Re: 2006 pro problems/questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-04 12:27:15 UTC
From: Domestos <thatswhen@westnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <43bbbf99@quokka.wn.com.au>
Source record: 10683

For more on the 2006 (still a great scanner) have a look at
http://www.monitoringpost.com/ the 2006 home page.
John (former owner)
"Neuroses 60623" <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:11rll5ilaj6lrc8@corp.supernews.com...
>I have searched and modded. And can't find anything specific. Is there a
>url or 2 you can point me to?
>
> -N6
>
> "E" <nospam@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ey_tf.15$u44.118901@news.sisna.com...
>> That's like saying "I bought this expensive plasma TV, and all I watch is
>> stupid beer commercials".
>>
>> There's so much more that 2006 can do! Search around the internet and
>> learn
>> about scanning a bit. You'll find there's a whole world waiting to be
>> explored with that scanner.
>>
>> Happy New Year.
>>
>>
>> "Neuroses 60623" <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:11rgn518hvn8a6e@corp.supernews.com...
>>> Somewhat of a fortune was certainly spent. All I got so far was a couple
>> of
>>> cordless calls. Sucks!
>>>
>>> I went through all of this stuff for nothing! Damned govt.! Always ruin
>> all
>>> the fun!
>>>
>>> -N6
>>>
>>> "Rob Cullen" <robc@burgers.fsnet.co.uk> wrote in message
>>> news:l2Ytf.23014$q4.3258@newsfe2-gui.ntli.net...
>>> > You can't pick up any modern cell phones unlewss you are the
>>> > government.
>>> > Which you're not. Hope you didn't spend a fortune on your 2006.
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > "Neuroses 60623" <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com> wrote in message

>>> > news:11rg6lg4fsqq300@corp.supernews.com...
>>> >> Is there any scanners that will pick up ALL cell calls?
>>> >>
>>> >> I am pretty much new to the scanning thing.
>>> >>
>>> >> I was under the impression that scanners (modded) would pick up all
>> cell
>>> >> and cordless calls. For a few more months anyway.
>>> >>
>>> >> -N6
>>> >>
>>> >> "Evan Platt" <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote in message
>>> >> news:0l0gr113hp22d6hs3k1pjg3ec5813ga66p@4ax.com...
>>> >>> On Sun, 1 Jan 2006 10:13:31 -0600, "Neuroses 60623"
>>> >>> <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>I am supposed to pick up cell, right? I can see people right in
>>> >>>>front
>>> >>>>of my
>>> >>>>house talking on cell phones when I scan, but I can't seem to get
>>> >>>>anything!
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>Is this normal?
>>> >>>
>>> >>> Unless the person standing in front of your house is using a 800 mhz
>>> >>> analog phone OR a digital phone but is in an area where they can't
>>> >>> get
>>> >>> digital / GSM coverage so their phone has switched to analog...
>>> >>>
>>> >>> --
>>> >>> To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >
>>> >
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

54. Re: RS Pro 2006 help, please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-04 12:28:23 UTC
From: Domestos <thatswhen@westnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <43bbc023@quokka.wn.com.au>
Source record: 10684

...a great place to start is at http://www.monitoringpost.com/......

"Neuroses 60623" <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:11r89vjp8mr9sa9@corp.supernews.com...
> Help!
>
> I have recently got my hands on a RS Pro 2006 scanner. I can program
> frequencies in 1-at-a-time, but it takes forever.
>
> I have tried to search for "active frequencies" using the directions in
> the
> manual, but it won't seem to search the Limit assigned bands.
>
> My question is, do I have to program EACH frequencie in, or can I somehow
> do
> a "band search" like my old Sportcat?
>
> Please help?
>
> -N6
>
>
>
>

55. BCD396T was:Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-04 12:57:46 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <11rnhfm1as6g55a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10685

Dear Eric: Thanks.
Here in Michigan, most systems are digital, so one needs something like
a BCD396T. It may happen in your area too. Regards, Mac

--
J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.
Home: JCM@Power-Net.Net

56. BCD396T was:Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-04 13:03:18 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <11rnhq238ehfg11@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10686

Dear DanOfWA:
I too thought that the vertical arrow to the right of a BCD396T might be
an indicator of scan direction. However, scan direction has no meaning in a
digital trunked system, which is the only "game" in much of Michigan.
Thanks for the observation. Regards, Mac

--
J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.
Home: JCM@Power-Net.Net
"DanOfWA" <danofwa@nventure.com> wrote in
<snip>
> >
> the arrow most likely indicates scan direction....scan up thru channels
> or down thru channels...at least on every one of the scanners I have
> owned it was like that
>
>
>
<snip>

57. Auction: Alinco DJ-X2000 (euro version)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-04 13:06:10 UTC
From: Giglio <giglios@msn.com>
Message-ID: <43bbc83d$0$1073$4fafbaef@reader3.news.tin.it>
Source record: 10687

```
For Auction on eBay: Alinco DJ-X2000 (not locked version) still in warranty.
Check the auction on eBay at
http://cgi.ebay.it/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5850371746

INTERNATIONAL SHIPPING AVAILABLE!!!
```

58. Re: Help with RS Pro-97 and trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-04 13:12:56 UTC
From: daviddd <3ddaniels@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1136380376.466398.94880@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10688

It's amazing how so many (countless) buyers of Radio Shack scanners complain about "bad" experiences at Radio Shack ie...."they only want to sell cell phones in order to get that all important % of the sale"....."Radio Shack employees do not know anything about their scanners"...."why don't Radio Shack employees program my scanner or why don't Radio shack employees show me how to program my scanner" and on, and on, and on.....

Yet these people still walk out of the store with that Brand New Scanner they purchased KNOWING that they will have to program their scanners when they get their new scanner home.

When you but a car, does the car sales people teach you how to drive that car you purchased?

When you go to the library, does the librarian ask you if you can read?

The answers to these questions is Almost Always no, yet that does not stop people from completing these tasks. There is so much "How To" information on the WWW. that a search will not help you get started with the info needed to program your scanner. Not to mention the 10's of scanner groups (pro-97 yahoo group, pro-96 yahoo group, rec.radio.scaner group) where you can first search the archives, and then ask questions if need be. Then there are the scanner programs (Win96, Win97, scan cat etc..).

I think that new 1st time scanner owners get their scanner home, remove it from it's box, and expect to "Fire" the scanner up and to immediately hear the areas they want to scan. I know that few to almost none of the first time owners read the manual, with their scanner next to them, page by page to try to learn about their new scanner, and when they hit a subject they can't quite understand, they keep on reading (if that) instead of trying to understand with resources at hand, before going forward in the manual. And then there are the pages on the WWW with step by step reworking of the manual, easy for the first time owner to program their scanner.....but then they may not totally understand what/why they are doing these certain steps.

Instead of bitching and moaning about Radio Shack, use that energy and the resources givin' to you towards making your purchase of your Radio Shack scanner a Great, Worthwhile experience!! There must be a REASON WHY you purchased that Radio Shack scanner, even after reading all this "RAT SHACK " Crap.

Take the time to learn about your Great new Scanner and enjoy it instead of placing blame.

Happy & Healthy New Year to You & Yours !!!;

daviddd

just my $0.02 cents

59. Re: IARN/AARA/K1MAN OPERATIONS FOR 2006 AND BEYOND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-04 13:36:46 UTC
From: Matt <pissoff@spammers.suck.com>
Message-ID: <dpgj1cggh1@otis.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 10689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1136323019.657754.74980@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> IARN/AARA/K1MAN OPERATIONS FOR 2006 AND BEYOND
>
> INTERNATIONAL AMATEUR RADIO NETWORK (IARN)
> EMERGENCY NCS INFORMATION I-VANS STILL NEEDED
> IN SEVERAL AREAS - ACT TODAY!
>
> IARN, the International Amateur Radio Network, is now a;so
> activating in Mode 3 for Hurricane Beta. Traffic is being accepted
> at. Please advise IARN with regard to your
> amateur radio emergency traffic operations at 207 495 2215.
> IARN is sponsored by AARA, the American Amateur Radio
> Association. JoinToday.

Hasn't this idiot lost his licence?

60. WTB: Realistic PRO-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-04 14:02:30 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <WzQuf.45799$7h7.25565@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 10690

Willing to spend between $75 and $100 for a good working unit with a bright backlight. Original box and manual would be nice, but not necessary...

Frank

61. Re: IARN/AARA/K1MAN OPERATIONS FOR 2006 AND BEYOND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-04 14:35:37 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1136385337.331668.129490@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10691
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

>Hasn't this idiot lost his licence?

Nope, under the the law until a final ORDER is issue he can operate his station under his license.

Todd N9OGL

62. Florida Mil Aircraft Comms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-04 14:41:36 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1136385696.724612.139670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10692

Flights of F-15Es working Avon Park Bombing Range.
==

It is 9:15am EST (1415z).
I have been listening to "Lancer 61," a flight of two Seymour
Johnson F-15Es approaching Avon Park Bombing Range. They have just
informed Avon Ops on 292.2 that they will tank with "Sting," before
working the range.
Handoff sequence so far has been
346.25 Jax Ctr
273.55 Jax Ctr
269.30 Mia Ctr
349.00 Mia Ctr
238.90 Tanker "Sting 51"

LANCERs are talking air-air on 300.225.
LANCER 71 and 81 are also inbound. LANCER 61 is in comms with LANCER
81 on 283.7, the MacDill TDY Acft Ops freq.

LANCERs are also in comms with the Avon Park Range on 285.725.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

63. Re: Help with RS Pro-97 and trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-04 19:34:28 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8rVuf.5826$nu6.4481@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10693

"daviddd" <3ddaniels@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1136380376.466398.94880@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> It's amazing how so many (countless) buyers of Radio Shack scanners
> complain about "bad" experiences at Radio Shack ie...."they only want
> to sell cell phones in order to get that all important % of the
> sale"....."Radio Shack employees do not know anything about their
> scanners"...."why don't Radio Shack employees program my scanner or why
> don't Radio shack employees show me how to program my scanner" and on,
> and on, and on.....
> Yet these people still walk out of the store with that Brand New
> Scanner they purchased KNOWING that they will have to program their
> scanners when they get their new scanner home.
> When you but a car, does the car sales people teach you how to drive
> that car you purchased?
> When you go to the library, does the librarian ask you if you can read?
> The answers to these questions is Almost Always no, yet that does not
> stop people from completing these tasks. There is so much "How To"
> information on the WWW. that a search will not help you get started
> with the info needed to program your scanner. Not to mention the 10's
> of scanner groups (pro-97 yahoo group, pro-96 yahoo group,
> rec.radio.scaner group) where you can first search the archives, and
> then ask questions if need be. Then there are the scanner programs
> (Win96, Win97, scan cat etc..).
> I think that new 1st time scanner owners get their scanner home, remove
> it from it's box, and expect to "Fire" the scanner up and to
> immediately hear the areas they want to scan. I know that few to
> almost none of the first time owners read the manual, with their
> scanner next to them, page by page to try to learn about their new
> scanner, and when they hit a subject they can't quite understand, they
> keep on reading (if that) instead of trying to understand with
> resources at hand, before going forward in the manual. And then there
> are the pages on the WWW with step by step reworking of the manual,
> easy for the first time owner to program their scanner.....but then
> they may not totally understand what/why they are doing these certain
> steps.
> Instead of bitching and moaning about Radio Shack, use that energy and
> the resources givin' to you towards making your purchase of your Radio
> Shack scanner a Great, Worthwhile experience!! There must be a REASON
> WHY you purchased that Radio Shack scanner, even after reading all this
> "RAT SHACK " Crap.
> Take the time to learn about your Great new Scanner and enjoy it
> instead of placing blame.
> Happy & Healthy New Year to You & Yours !!!;
> daviddd

> just my $0.02 cents
>

I AM NOT a RS basher, BUT, I gave up on them for my scanner. Years ago when I got into this I was given an old well used Pro79. Worked great. Then when I heard the City was going to move to a Trunked 800 system I bought a Pro95. When the city started up the new system I with some help from the net learned how to set up the 95. I still used the 79 for about a year as they were simulating on both till they had all their radios replaced. Then about a year ago I decided to buy a 97 when I saw it. It was nice as it was smaller. Got it home, since I had set up many 95's for others now I figured it would be easy. Read the manual, things had changed a little but not much. Could not get it to work. It would not trunk. I re did it a couple times went through the manual a few times and made sure I followed the steps, same thing, it would not trunk. I finally went back to the store. No one there knew anything. They gave me my money back. I ordered a 246. Now that was a whole new game. Does not work anything like the RS. By reading the manual and a little help on line, within an hour I had it set up and working fine. I still go to RS to buy stuff as it's close to my house, but the "customer service" there is always a joke. I do like their 800 antenna's. I found my 246 worked a little better with one, so checked the stock on line, went to the store to buy another one. When I walked in they had re arranged the store since the last time I was there. A sales person asked me what I was after I told them, they looked at me with that deer in the headlights look. Asked me if it was a CB antenna. Finally she went to her computer, looked it up, said "yes it shows we have 3 or them in the store". Of course all 3 people working there had no idea where they were. After a lot of stupid questions, one of them gets out his cell phone to call someone else I would guess some manager to ask where these were. I was on my way to work, so I gave up and told tem forget it. I went to work, went on line and ordered one. The way the store by me is run I am always surprised it's still in business.
--
"Everything in excess! To enjoy the flavor of life, take big bites.
Moderation is for monks."
[Lazarus Long]

64. Re: Help with RS Pro-97 and trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-04 20:23:34 UTC
From: Wayne Howell <whowell@gensearch.com>
Message-ID: <4qidnYidDJZdsyHenZ2dnUVZ_tydnZ2d@cablespeedwa.com>
Source record: 10694

The way the store by me is run I am always surprised it's still in
> business.

Your RS sounds much like the one closest to me. I went in about a couple of years ago to buy a scanner and they informed me that "Radio Shack no longer sells scanners"!

I said to them: "You mean this store isn't selling scanners?" and they answered "NO! We'd love to sell them but RS has stopped selling them nationwide." I asked to see their catalog....which they willingly did. I quickly pointed out all the scanners and their response was "That's an old catalog". I checked it and pointed out that it was one month old. They explained "RS is really poor about keeping their catalogs up to date---that's probably a couple of years old, with a new cover"!

I went home and copied some pages off the RS website and took them back to them but even that didn't faze them. They offered the same explanation--slow upkeep on the website.

Finally I went to the next nearest RS--51 miles away! There, I bought a Pro-95. I took the new scanner to this local store.....only to be told that the other store must have had some misplaced on the back shelves because "Radio Shack stopped selling scanners about 2001"!

Since then, they've become more and more a toy store....radio control toys, games, etc. About a month ago I went in to get some small parts only to be told that they no longer stock "those items". They offered to "order" them for me but I had to have a minimum $ 30 order!!

I've given up on them.

65. Re: IARN/AARA/K1MAN OPERATIONS FOR 2006 AND BEYOND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-04 20:29:06 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1136406546.237817.273560@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10695
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

N9OGL wrote:
> >Hasn't this idiot lost his licence?
>
>
> Nope, under the the law until a final ORDER is issue he can operate his
> station under his license.

isn't it until the final order is sisued and apeals waived or
exhausted?
>
> Todd N9OGL

66. FS: Uniden/Bearcat BC296D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-04 21:13:48 UTC
From: RadioDude <elocktric@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1136409228.332210.326450@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10696

```
Excellent condition. Includes box, charger, computer cable etc.
Asking $325
```

67. Re: IARN/AARA/K1MAN OPERATIONS FOR 2006 AND BEYOND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-05 00:35:37 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <bdqor1ho05hrfs3pvtr8fr4eg55u6th492@4ax.com>
Source record: 10697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 04 Jan 2006 08:22:16 -0600, Michael Gardner <mgg@prairienet.org.xyz>
wrote:

>> >> K1MAN is legal. IARN is legal. AARA is legal and your modern
>> >> alternative
>> >> to the outdated ARRL. Join AARA now and be a part of the glory of ham
>> >> radio. Apply at www.k1man.com for a true ham radio future.
>> >
>> >
>> >Still the second cheesiest web site on this planet or any other.
>>
>>
>> What is first?
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>well it changes on a daily basis....
>
>today it's:
>
>http://www.instantprofitstoday.com/index_r6.php?

LOL !

>
>but k1man - cheesy today, cheesy tomorrow, cheesy forever.

He is an egomaniac. I pay no attention to him.

Vinnie S.

68. Re: Rebanding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-05 02:17:29 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <db0pr1plnsnen5a6d48o8hia2vm7bh51pl@4ax.com>
Source record: 10698

On Mon, 02 Jan 2006 19:05:55 -0800, Brian
<Bworthley_nospam_@qwest.net> wrote:

>Mikey Mike wrote:
>> Thanks Brian.The website says that if the services are on an EDACS
>> system then I'll have to reprogram.Most of my county and city services
>> are EDACS.Does that mean all I would have to do is find the new
>> frequencies and reprogram them into the scanner?

>Yes you will still have to flash it with the download they will provide
>and then reprogram with the new freqs.

Since rebanding only affects scanners receiving Mototola Type 2
systems on ~850 MHz, he can flash or not if all he's listening to is
EDACS.

69. TrunkPCR Update 0.7.183 Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-05 02:24:56 UTC
From: CrazyC <crazy__c_@hot_mail.com>
Message-ID: <Br%uf.60284$4l5.7521@dukeread05>
Source record: 10699

Hi all,

You can now download TrunkPCR Version 0.7.183 from the website:
http://members.cox.net/fiftyone.50/TrunkPcr/TrunkPcr.html

This update fixes a couple bugs:

Dec 09,2005 - -----: Changed Text Editing in MOTO Custom FreqPlan.
Dec 09,2005 - Added: Preliminary Settings for the PCR-1500.
Dec 09,2005 - Added: Show Known Freqs in Display Panel when in Freq mode.
Dec 12,2005 - Added: Frequency Error reporting from PCR.
Dec 13,2005 - -----: Refresh CC Activity when updating Custom/Extended
Freqplan.
Dec 13,2005 - -----: Text Validation in Custom/Extended Freqplans.
Dec 16,2005 - Fixed: Show Freq Centering with propper Mode/Filters.
Dec 23,2005 - Fixed: Reloading stored info when New Bank Inserted.
Dec 23,2005 - Fixed: Reloading stored info when New Channel Inserted.
Dec 23,2005 - Fixed: Adjusting stored info when Bank Deleted.
Dec 23,2005 - Fixed: Adjusting stored info when Channel Deleted.
Dec 23,2005 - Fixed: Setting Volume on StartUp.
Dec 23,2005 - Added: AutoSet Frequency Ranges.
Dec 23,2005 - -----: Editing of Duplex Frequency.
Dec 26,2005 - -----: BandScope Adjustments.
Dec 26,2005 - Fixed: Adjusting stored info when Freq Text Changed.
Dec 28,2005 - Fixed: BandScope Limit Pic Display.
Dec 29,2005 - Added: Export Found Freqs in Spectrum Sweep.
Dec 29,2005 - Fixed: Sweep Freq Knob Disabled when Sweeping.
Dec 30,2005 - Fixed: Sweep Freq Low/High Text Disabled when Sweeping.
Jan 02,2006 - Fixed: BandScope Disabled when Sweeping.
Version 0.7.183 Released 01/04/2006

Regards,
Jay

70. digital PCM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-05 04:15:57 UTC
From: ineedyourluvin1@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1136434557.017283.219070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10700

Let's say I have a scanner hooked up to my PC through a soundcard.
The scanner is tuned into a signal which is broadcasting a PCM.
If I save the audio to a .wav file is there some way I can decode the
audio ?
Will I need to use a hex editor to modify this file ? How can I turn
the
'fuzz' noise into something useable ?

Thanx

71. Re: digital PCM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-05 05:37:31 UTC
From: dooood <me@whereami.net>
Message-ID: <vg2vf.6574$tJ1.293@trndny01>
Source record: 10551

U'r really not gonna find that kinda information on
newsgroups. U should not be tinkering with such things !!!
Electronics tinkering can land you in prison and u'r not
gonna like it one bit ! Watch TV or play sports like
everyone else does and be sure to obey the law
and your governments general rules .

-Ross

<ineedyourluvin1@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1136434557.017283.219070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Let's say I have a scanner hooked up to my PC through a soundcard.
> The scanner is tuned into a signal which is broadcasting a PCM.
> If I save the audio to a .wav file is there some way I can decode the
> audio ?
> Will I need to use a hex editor to modify this file ? How can I turn
> the
> 'fuzz' noise into something useable ?
>
> Thanx
>

72. Re: digital PCM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-05 05:41:17 UTC
From: ineedyourluvin1@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1136439676.949352.273320@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10552

>U'r really not gonna find that kinda information on
>newsgroups. U should not be tinkering with such things !!!
>Electronics tinkering can land you in prison and u'r not
>gonna like it one bit ! Watch TV or play sports like
>everyone else does and be sure to obey the law
>and your governments general rules .

stick it up your ass fu*k face

73. RS PRO-2054 scanner trunked question....newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-05 15:47:45 UTC
From: "Pete C." <pcorrao@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Sfavf.25602$SH2.21080@fe12.lga>
Source record: 10553

Hi,

Just got a Radio Shack PRO-2054 scanner and have a few questions: (first scanner)

I live in Suffolk County New York and my police use that new 800 mhz trunked system.

I programmed the scanner to recieve the freqs posted but here is the problem..

There are about 22 freqs that Suffolk uses for trunking. I receieve all of them fine, but I only get bits of conversations or I have to follow 3 or so conversations. I'm really only interested in the 3rd precinct in Suffolk County. Is there a special way to program the scanner to receive only certain precincts? Not all of of Suffolk County? Obviously I'm missing the last programming step - the order of the trunk or something...Any help would be appreciated..Thanks...Pete

This is the id info and the freq's

Suffolk County System
Suffolk County, NY

System Name: Suffolk County
Location: Suffolk County, NY
County: Suffolk
System Type: Motorola Type II SmartZone
System Voice: Analog and APCO-25 Common Air Interface
Sysid: 3D0C
CT: 83.72
Last Updated: 12-12-2005 20:44 Changed Site-001
Hits: 3278

Red* are Primary Control Channels, Blue* are alternate control channels

Site Description Freqs
001 Primary 866.06250 866.08750 866.16250 866.23750 866.36250* 866.41250*
866.68750* 867.28750* 867.40000
867.42500 867.67500 867.73750 867.85000 867.92500 868.12500 868.22500
868.25000 868.37500
868.52500 868.67500 868.80000 868.82500

74. Re: Rebanding<Al Klein>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-05 18:59:56 UTC
From: bigmikeymike@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25242-43BD6CAC-960@storefull-3317.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 10554

Thanks Al.All of our emergency services use a countywide EDACS system.Great,all I'll have to do is find the new freqs.

75. ScannerDesk.com Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-05 20:10:17 UTC
From: ScannerDesk <bate.david@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1136491817.899447.309320@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10555

I have updated the following frequency lists for the Durham Region
Canada area:

- Durham East - Clarington
- Durham Central - Oshawa and Whitby
- Durham West - Ajax and Pickering
- Durham North - Brock and Scugog Townships
- Northumberland East and West - Cobourg and Port Hope
- Kawarth Lakes - Lindsay
- Windsor

I have also update the Amateur Radio, Rail and the main Radio page as
well.

76. Re: digital PCM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-05 22:00:06 UTC
From: Krackula
Message-ID: <s94rr119eniqaarer8i68fst6hjii15hkv@4ax.com>
Source record: 10556

it's WAY more complicated that you might think ......

before you even get to that " decoding " issue you
have to understand what you mean when you think PCM and of using any
of the " codecs " in windows and " digital " signal decoding (in
your computer) in general.

first the signal output of your scanner , from a discriminator tap
is a " analog " level signal. the audio output is " useless
so forget about using that . you have to change the
analog level " digital ' signal from your discriminator tap into a
" logic level " digital signal with a type of circuit like a
schmidt trigger or one of those (same thing) 2 or 4 level
(ie: flex type) " slicers " commonly found on the web.

2nd'ly .... then you have to access one of the " digital "
signal ports on your sound card ..... one of those commonly used by
a digital input to your computer sound card ..... like a cd drive
input / output ... or some other digital port found on many
types of sound cards (most modern cards have two or three
types of inputs) . the " analog " audio inputs aren't useful ,
especially at higher baud rates !

software can also use a serial (most commonly done) or a
parallel port instead of the digital port on a sound card .

anyway .. after you have the signal converted to digital and at
a digital level .... and then input into a desired port of your
computer (serial , parallel or sound card) ... then you must have
the software routines written to access the port , temp store the
signal , process the signal ...... it's pcm maybe, but it will
also need a codec or vocorder demodulation (restore the vocal
information from the compression scheme used by the sender) of the
digital pcm signal if it's voice , to retrieve the voice information
or .....if it's a digital " data " signal (flex, trunking control
data etc) ... you will need a routine to access the
storage registers and analyze the serial stream and decide /
decode the information present (if you can) and send out the
decoded info to the crt , storage or etc in a useful format !

not a simple and easy thing to do. you MUST have a LOT of knowledge
of the nature of the PCM signal (especially the codec chips the
sender used for digital voice compression , baud rate etc) and the
right knowledge or software in your computer to boot !

k.....

On 4 Jan 2006 20:15:57 -0800, ineedyourluvin1@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Let's say I have a scanner hooked up to my PC through a soundcard.
>The scanner is tuned into a signal which is broadcasting a PCM.
>If I save the audio to a .wav file is there some way I can decode the
>audio ?
>Will I need to use a hex editor to modify this file ? How can I turn
>the
>'fuzz' noise into something useable ?
>
> Thanx

77. Uniden Press Release, BCT15 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-05 22:21:54 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <d6GdnfNUh-eaASDeRVn-sA@comcast.com>
Source record: 10557

Uniden Press Release, BCT15 Scanner

http://www.uniden.com/press/docs/BCT15_Release_FNL.pdf

78. ATTN: JaNoSh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-05 23:35:21 UTC
From: Doc <sheltronics.spam.not@ifrance.com>
Message-ID: <h7OdndGxXuDZMCDeRVnytw@giganews.com>
Source record: 10558

ATTN: JaNoSh Radio Shack_PRO-96 Wideband Scanner-Reciever_Manual.pdf
alt.binaries.ebook.technical

79. Re: BCD396T was:Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-06 01:25:12 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <mmhrr1ds9les91fn3hjdkvn5ljk9issjdt@4ax.com>
Source record: 10559

On Wed, 4 Jan 2006 08:03:18 -0500, "J. Mc Laughlin"
<jcm@power-net.net> wrote:

> I too thought that the vertical arrow to the right of a BCD396T might be
>an indicator of scan direction. However, scan direction has no meaning in a
>digital trunked system, which is the only "game" in much of Michigan.

It has if you have more than 1 system programmed. Then the arrow
shows the direction the scanner is going through the systems.

80. Re: RS PRO-2054 scanner trunked question....newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-06 01:33:38 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <j1irr158dr6ovt94h7ka1j47m8eq352nct@4ax.com>
Source record: 10560

On Thu, 5 Jan 2006 09:47:45 -0600, "Pete C." <pcorrao@optonline.net>
wrote:

>Is there a special way to program the scanner to receive only
>certain precincts? Not all of of Suffolk County? Obviously I'm missing the
>last programming step - the order of the trunk or something...Any help would
>be appreciated..Thanks...Pete

Put in only talkgroup 52976, or lock out all other talkgroups. Then
run the bank in closed mode.

<http://www.webdingers.com/scanner.html> is the whole patrol bureau
(precincts, all 4 countywide groups, command band, etc.)

81. Re: ATTN: JaNoSh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-06 01:34:57 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <79irr1p34fu9tdrjkbmmtv5dpmbs0ftlm2@4ax.com>
Source record: 10561

On Thu, 5 Jan 2006 18:35:21 -0500, "Doc"
<sheltronics.spam.not@ifrance.com> wrote:

>ATTN: JaNoSh Radio Shack_PRO-96 Wideband Scanner-Reciever_Manual.pdf
>alt.binaries.ebook.technical

Try
<http://www.radioshack.com/graphics/uc/rsk/Support/ProductManuals/2000526_PM_EN.pdf>

82. Re: digital PCM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-06 01:41:44 UTC
From: ineedyourluvin1@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1136511704.155112.269050@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10565

Nice to hear from you krackula ! OK. I have a
data slicer interface I made a long time ago which
uses an OP amp. It's got a serial DB9 hookup with
the CTS pin. With it hooked up to my Alinco DJ-X10K
Wide Band Reciever, which has continuous receive
100Khz-2Ghz all mode, I'm basically getting 64Kbps without
many errors using the discriminator located in the
radios computer control banana jack's 3'rd pin which
is the very tip of a 3 conductor banana plug.
This plug is right next to the audio jack except it's
only slightly less in diameter and with 3 conductors
as opposed to 2 conductors for the audio jack .
With that all hooked up it works great !
Last night I tried hooking the audio jack directly to the
digital coaxial RCA jack on my Yamaha reciever.
I tuned in some freqs I thought would have
some PCM signals on it and did'nt hear a thing !
So since my stereo supports a raw PCM of varying
bitrates and samplerates, I thought it would work.
What if I hook up the CTS output of my slicer to the soundcard digital
or my stereo digital and then make
a call on my cordless ? Will this work using the
slicer and the alinco ?

Thanx

PS: I LOVE your posts Kracula ! :-)

83. Re: BCD396T was:Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-06 03:48:58 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <11rrq2jpl5t3318@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10562

Dear Mr. Klein:
That sounds logical. I have only used one system. I have managed -
some of the time - to get the radio to used different control channels. The
Michigan system does interesting things that includes some sort of
coordination between control channels in adjacent (land - political) areas.
Thanks. Hard to understand why the manual does not discuss completely
what one sees on the display.
Mac

--
J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.
Home: JCM@Power-Net.Net
"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:mmhrr1ds9les91fn3hjdkvn5ljk9issjdt@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 4 Jan 2006 08:03:18 -0500, "J. Mc Laughlin"
> <jcm@power-net.net> wrote:
>
> > I too thought that the vertical arrow to the right of a BCD396T might
be
> >an indicator of scan direction. However, scan direction has no meaning
in a
> >digital trunked system, which is the only "game" in much of Michigan.
>
> It has if you have more than 1 system programmed. Then the arrow
> shows the direction the scanner is going through the systems.

84. Re: RS PRO-2054 scanner trunked question....newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-06 05:00:26 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <0Y6dnfufS4XtZCDeRVn-rg@comcast.com>
Source record: 10563

Pete:

The Radio Shack PRO-2054 is not a TrunkTracker scanner. It is a low-end, stripped down, analog scanner, that does cover the 800MHz band, but without trunking. I don't even know what Radio Shack just introduced this scanner, as it is seriously lacking in the feature department!

Take it back while you still can. For the same money, you can buy the PRO-2052, that does trunking. Or for a little more, the PRO-2051, or PRO-2055 (on sale).

Bill Crocker

"Pete C." <pcorrao@optonline.net> wrote in message news:Sfavf.25602$SH2.21080@fe12.lga...
> Hi,
>
> Just got a Radio Shack PRO-2054 scanner and have a few questions: (first
> scanner)
>
> I live in Suffolk County New York and my police use that new 800 mhz
> trunked
> system.
[clipped]

85. Florida Mil Acft Comms Log - 5 Jan 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-06 06:19:26 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1136528366.017428.314780@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10566

Patrick AFB Area Log - Thurs, 5 Jan 2005

NASA 916: T-38N #66-8382, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston TX
Lands NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Facility.
[126.95, 128.55]

Mauler 15: S-3B Jacksonville NAS VS-32
Ops in Whiskey Area, to Whitehouse.
[267.5]

Stargate: E-8C JSTARS Mission Crew
Comms with Northrop Grumman Ground Station "Star 01."
[225.725]

Ascot 4660: C-130J, Royal Air Force, UK
Departs Patrick AFB to Curacao.
[118.4, 133.75, 132.65]
Pictures: P1050427, 428, 429.

Lancer 61, 71, 81: F-15E, Seymour Johnson AFB 4FW
Works at Avon Park Bombing Range w Ground FAC "Nighthawk," drops
GBU-12s, refuels with Sting 51, RTBs to MacDill, later RTBs to Seymour
Johnson AFB.
[307.25, 269.3, 349.0, 292.2, 285.725, 264.625, 238.9 (with Sting 51),
283.7 (with MacDill Ops).

PAT 534
Departs Patrick AFB.
[118.4, 133.75, 132.65, 124.8]]

JSTARS 03: E-8C, Robins AFB 116ACW
Departs Melbourne IAP. Later pattern work at Patrick AFB, then RTB
KMLB.
[118.2, 132.65, 124.8, 119.825, 133.75, 123.2 Grumman Ops]
Photos: P1050430 - 0434.

FANGs: F-15, Jacksonville IAP FL-ANG 125FW
ACM.
[393.8]

King 70: HC-130P #65-0970, Patrick AFB 920RQW 39RQS
Departs Patrick AFB. Air-air comms with King 77.
[133.75, 132.65, 311.0, 321.0]

King 77: C-130H #65-0977, Patrick AFB 920RQW 39RQS
Departs Patrick AFB to NAS Key West; comms with Base Ops, Rescue Ops;

also air-air comms with King 70.
[133.75, 132.65, 311.0, 321.0]
NOTE: Although Patrick's Base Ops/PTD still uses 372.2, slowly the aircraft are migrating to 311.0.

Dragnet Victor: E-3 AWACS Mission Crew, Tinker AFB 552ACW 966ACS
Comms with Oaky Sam.
[364.2]

Jolly 11: HH-60G Pave Hawk Helo, Patrick AFB 920RQW 301RQS
Local operations.
[269.375, 255.5]

CG 25433: Vessel, CG Sta Port Canaveral
Comms with CG Sta Port Canaveral, ops normal, position reports.
[157.15]

Army 26634: MH-60L, USA SOC "Black Daggers"
Comms with Patrick Dispatch.
[372.2]

Indy 61: KC-135R, Grisson ARB IN-ANG 434ARW
AAR comms with JSTARS 33; lands at Patrick AFB.
[327.6, 133.75]

JSTARS 33: E-8C #94-0285, Robins AFB 116ACW
AAR with Indy 61.
[327.6]

PAT 176: US Army Priority Air Transport
Area transition.
[127.475]

CG 2001: C-130J, Elizabeth City CGAS
Approaching PBI.
[128.3]

JU 466: C-9B, Norfolk VR-56 "Globemasters"
Lands, fuels at Patrick AFB; departs to Norfolk.
[133.75]

Berry 540: SW-4 Merlin, Contractor
Lands, departs Patrick AFB.
[133.75, 132.65, 124.8]

CAP Flight 822
Area transition.
[133.75]

Bandsaw Kilo: E-3 AWACS Mission Crew
Comms w Oaky Sam.
[364.2]

Sting 51: KC-135R, McConnell AFB KS-ANG 184ARW
AAR Comms with LANCER F-15Es, comms with MacDill TDY Ops.
[283.7, 238.9]

Gitmo 02: UC-12B, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.
Lands Jacksonville NAS.
[310.2]

Hawk 83: F/A-18D, Beaufort VMFA-533.
Lands Patrick AFB.
[281.425, 269.375, 335.8]

Local MARS Net: NCS: AFF2T
[143.45]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KX68)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

86. Re: 2006 pro problems/questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-06 07:13:30 UTC
From: I A AM <fulfillment@charter.net>
Message-ID: <b3rrr1pbqugmtncejqkq5ndesns3ka1d11@4ax.com>
Source record: 10564

Well,

Sad to say you are about 5 years to late to pick up any cordless, or
cellular stuff. The grand days of the 70's, 80's and 90's are gone
forever.

Now you will have to believe what your neighbors and others tell you
to your face instead of hearing the real truth when they are talking
privately on their cordless, or cellular phones.

We had to many corporate and government big shots get into trouble by
being overheard on their cellular phones, and then they were
whitemailed, or turned in to the police.

Once that happens law began to change quickly to protect the guilty.

Just one of those things that make you go hummmm.

Who deserves to be impeached and imprisoned the most?
A president receiving face from an adult willing female?

Or a president who lies to over four billion people
worldwide and then orders the attack and destruction
of hundreds of thousands of innocents, 1,600 American
GI'S killed and over 25,000 permanently disfigured?

Intelligent, non racially biased minds such as mine know the easy
answer to this question. Do you?

And so it goes

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/the_big_picture/

http://finance.groups.yahoo.com/group/New_Orleans_Biz_Opportunity/

www.telebay.com/7777

On Sun, 1 Jan 2006 16:48:40 -0600, "Neuroses 60623"
<Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Not a troll. Just a newbie trying to take all this in, AT ONCE!
>
>-N6
>
>"Jesse" <org@org.orgy> wrote in message
>news:Xns973E963F54031ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130...
>> "Neuroses 60623" <Neuroses_60623@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:11rfvvlb31tgb40@corp.supernews.com:
>>

>>> I am supposed to pick up cell, right? I can see people right in front
>>> of my house talking on cell phones when I scan, but I can't seem to get
>>> anything!
>>>
>>> Is this normal?
>>>
>>> -N6
>>>
>> At this point I'm going to assume that you are just a top posting troll -
>> And
>> no, thats "not normal".
>>
>

87. Uniden Bearcat 142XL Low Audio Output

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-06 13:23:15 UTC
From: buck3 <buck3@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <060120060822591028%buck3@mindspring.com>
Source record: 10567

I have checked the web for info as to what may be causing the low audio output and it appears that it may be a capacitor in the audio circuit. Does anyone have a schematic or could possibly point me to the location of the audio circuitry related capacitors on this board?

Thanks in advance

88. Re: Uniden Bearcat 142XL Low Audio Output

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-06 13:46:42 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <94tsr1lvd5p8tl0q1n9klj1cnrltvoo3oh@4ax.com>
Source record: 10568

On Fri, 06 Jan 2006 13:23:15 GMT, buck3 <buck3@mindspring.com> wrote:

>I have checked the web for info as to what may be causing the low audio
>output and it appears that it may be a capacitor in the audio circuit.
>Does anyone have a schematic or could possibly point me to the location
>of the audio circuitry related capacitors on this board?

Start tracing from the area where the volume and squelch controls are
connected.

89. K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED060106A - AN EDICT TO ALL WHO OPPOSE K1MAN/IARN/AARA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-06 21:51:31 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1136584291.072569.221930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10571
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED060106A - AN EDICT TO ALL WHO OPPOSE
K1MAN/IARN/AARA

>From your one true leader at www.K1MAN.com

AARA, IARN, and K1MAN 2006 AGENDA

1. Get ND8V off the air.

2. Get a court decision on scheduled K1MAN and W1AW amateur
information bulletins.

3. Get Riley Hollingsworth out of the FCC and over to ARRL (as a W1AW
paid control operator) where he really belongs.)

4. Get ARRL criminally prosecuted for deleting the Goldwater Law from
their FCC Rule Book in 1990.

5. Ignore K3VR (poor little Brian).

Well it's 2006 and we have a busy slate this year here at AARA and IARN
Headquarters. Let's talk about this agenda in more detail:

1. Get ND8V off the air.

We hoped that ND8V would reform in 2005 but, as Dan says, you can't
fix stupid! Mike thinks that the Goldewater Law (making intentional
radio interference a felony - 2 years in federal prison and/or $10,000
file PER OCCURRENCE) has been repealed. How do you spell moron? Like
this: M O R O N! Hey Mike, Jack Gerritson was just convicted
under the Goldwater Law and faces 15 years in jail! There are enough
Felony Complaint Affidavits on file with the Justice Department (NOT
THE FCC!!!!!) against ND8V to put him in Leavenworth for the balance
of his life (Mike is age 50).

Now we are going to promote as many FCAs against ND8V as posible. Our
goal is 500 by December 31, 2006. To get a blank FCA form, send an
SASE to K1MAN, Box 440, Belgrade Lakes, Maine 04918. When you hear
ND8V intentionally interfering with another station as he does daily,
fill out the official one page FCA form, have it notarized, and send
it to: Justice Department, Washington, D.C. Fat Mikey is going
down, just like Garretson! Isn't it great to live in America?

2. Get a court decision on scheduled K1MAN and W1AW amateur
information bulletins.

As WB2OTK and K4NBC have observed, "If W1AW can schedule amateur information bulletins, K1MAN can as well." As Thomas Jefferson would have agreed, "This is self evident." But apparently not the "brain dead" FCC. Actually, not the whole FCC, just Riley Hollingsworth, who was sick on the day ther taught law at law school.

3. Get Riley Hollingsworth out of the FCC and over to ARRL (as a W1AW paid control operator) where he really belongs.

Actually, Hollingsworth will also be doing some serious jail time since he has encouraged numerous radio amateurs to "talk over" the K1MAN Bulletin Service, a clear criminal violation of the Goldwater Law.

4. Get ARRL criminally prosecuted for deleting the Goldwater Law from their FCC Rule Book in 1990.

This is a no brainer. The effect of deleting the Goldwater Law from the ARRL FCC Rule book has been to encourage hams to "talk over" the K1MAN bulletins; that's why such is a felony.

90. Re: digital PCM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-07 03:34:55 UTC
From: Krackula
Message-ID: <u39ur1lcvncci88ukkcapaqev1efjvrn4t@4ax.com>
Source record: 10569

oh goodness !!! hah ha hah a

I was afraid this would happen when I " lazily "
answered your first post . PCM can be quite a
complex subject with a whole bunch of possibilities
and variations .... kinda like the term " PCM "
it's self can actually be a " generic " or " generalized "
term that can refer many different digital schemes
and applications. there is basic " broadcast " PCM
encoding types that CD and broadcasters might use ....
and there are the plies of " propitiatory "PCM
encoding systems as well. I would take a thick book
to fully explain this subject. h h ah hha ha

that .. and to be honest , depending upon the
type of " encoding " the PCM signal you are interested in
used ..... decoding that signal requires significant
knowledge of the signal to decode.

first example ... an analog signal
(the voice ?) is " amplitude compressed "
as a type of processing and then digitized
in an industry standardized PCM format !
then the PCM digital signal is decoded
(d/a converter , reassembled analog parts " exactly "
as it was disassembled into bits with a a /d converter)
.. and THEN ..... the signal will be a voice again but probably
garbled or funky sounding and must be " decompressed "
in the same way and it was originally compressed to
make it sound right again.

2nd example, and most often used process these days. the first example
is a space hog and inefficient and wastes bandwidth and doesn't allow
for (for example) adding (interleaving between the bits)
additional audio channels to improve the bandwidth conservation.
this example compresses the digital stream to make it use less (
transmitted) bandwidth and eats less memory space (smaller files
with the space edited out of them) ! in this case a codec chip
or software routine does the work. the codec digitizes the analog
signal into a PCM stream (but not necessarily the same as the
PCM stream mentioned above) ..... and then the codec chip /
routine further processes the " digital " stream to make it more
compressed and use less bandwidth and / or file space etc .
a " codec " that processes human voice is called a speech
codec, voice codec or vocorder ! there are zillions of

" standard " audio codecs / vocorders in the industry ......
my win98 has 11 software decompression codecs showing alone !
(control panel, multimedia, devices , audio compression
codecs)

to " decode " a PCM voice signal , you must know enough about the
signal to use the " exact " method that was originally used to make
the signal digital. if it's just a straight compressed audio / pcm
signal (for example) .... you might use the standard MS
PCM converter codec to retrieve the voice portion of the signal and
use the proper decompression (voice expander, or equalizer etc
in any of the advanced audio lab programs , for example)
on the resulting signal to make it sound right . (not like a monster
or gibberish etc)

on the other hand (and most likely) the signal uses a codec
chip / software routine to digitize then compress the
digital voice ... then YOU MUST use exactly the same codec
chip / software program to decompress the digital stream and
reconstruct the digitized voice back to analog ! THAT and it gets
MUCH worse .... in the communications world .... nearly
ALL different companies use secret proprietary , chip embedded ,codecs
that MUST have the same company made proprietary chip to decode
the digital stream. with either of these , you must be spot on to get
anything out at all. it is VERY unlikely you can decode PCM
communications signals , like you would get with a scanner, unless
they are standardized apco25 (which are all public encoding /
compatible) or unless you own a chip to decode them with ! to get
some motorola digital business radios decoded (for example)
.. some people buy a HT of the same digital type (and older model
with as little vlsi / smt as possible) and feed the digital signal
from their scanner into the chip of that ht to " hear " the
digitalized audio signal on the speaker of the HT !

uggghhhhh .. all this and honestly I haven't done a very good job
explaining it and have left out tons of additional issues and
PCM applications to boot ! ah ah ah ahaha

to decode a signal , you must know " exactly " what it is
and understand how it was made. you must use the same process
, that encoded it , to decode it.

that , or you must have a scanner and computer combination that runs
software (as the government uses , like some of the watkins johnson
" black box " set-ups) that will analyze the digital stream and
tell you what it is and how it was made. then the program will
decompress the digital stream (automatically trying dozens of
codecs until one starts working) and then decode the stream back to
audio for you. (about $60,000 for this setup , governments only)

when you tune around with a scanner and hit several digital voice
signals .... most likely NONE of them can be decoded

using the same codec / equipment setup. especially in the radio communications world. each and every one of them will probably be different.

haha hah a . hope this helps a little more ..... it's not surprising that you couldn't get any output from the setup you tried as you can see , it's a complicated digital world out there. still doesn't hurt to try new things and discover interesting things for yourself too. try playing with an older (el cheapo) digital cordless phone. some of the older , cheaper , models used plain old compression / pcm encoding / decoding ! both the handset and the base unit have a common digital codec chip ! this chip maybe just a plain pcm encoding codec or if newer ... a encoding / compression codec chip ! get a small collection of older (and now inexpensive) digital HTs of different companies from ebay. try accessing the codec chips and using the output from your scanner (tuned to one of the signals of interest) and poke and probe around and see what you can do, see how many different HTs (for example) from the same company / maker can be made to decode each other .... try signals off the air , with your scanner , into your collection of codec chips to see if any of them happen to decode some of the received signals. if you are really serious ... lots of possibilities await ..... and at the least you will have " phun " and gain a lot of experience / knowledge in the process !

k..............

On 5 Jan 2006 17:41:44 -0800, ineedyourluvin1@yahoo.com wrote:

>
> Nice to hear from you krackula ! OK. I have a
>data slicer interface I made a long time ago which
>uses an OP amp. It's got a serial DB9 hookup with
>the CTS pin. With it hooked up to my Alinco DJ-X10K
>Wide Band Reciever, which has continuous receive
>100Khz-2Ghz all mode, I'm basically getting 64Kbps without
>many errors using the discriminator located in the
>radios computer control banana jack's 3'rd pin which
>is the very tip of a 3 conductor banana plug.
>This plug is right next to the audio jack except it's
>only slightly less in diameter and with 3 conductors
>as opposed to 2 conductors for the audio jack .
>With that all hooked up it works great !
>Last night I tried hooking the audio jack directly to the
>digital coaxial RCA jack on my Yamaha reciever.
>I tuned in some freqs I thought would have
>some PCM signals on it and did'nt hear a thing !
>So since my stereo supports a raw PCM of varying
>bitrates and samplerates, I thought it would work.
>What if I hook up the CTS output of my slicer to the soundcard digital
>or my stereo digital and then make

>a call on my cordless ? Will this work using the
>slicer and the alinco ?
>
>Thanx
>
>PS: I LOVE your posts Kracula ! :-)

91. Re: digital PCM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-07 06:16:03 UTC
From: ineedyourluvin1@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1136614563.496582.302000@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10570

I own a prototyping breadboard and it sounds to me like
I can mount a 'chip' on this breadboard , hook the output
of the discriminator tap of my wideband receiver, give it
some power , and hook a small audio amp and speaker to the
output of the 'chip' to decode / demodulate the signal .
If this is the case I can think of some really cool sh*t !!!
One thing I would do is mount more than one chip
and use a switch to select the 'codec' to listen to .
But... I would rather use the computer for this
and I'm running Fedora Core 4 and the computer has 1.5GB 400Mhz DDR RAM
and 200GB of harddrive space. Not to mention a SBLive 5.1 card with
spdif inputs ! So I can run basically any audio editor just fine and
the
com ports are really easy to access. One could use the /dev/tty entries
to view in real time (cat /dev/tty0, 1, 2....) what activity is on any
given com port !
I also know quite a lot of C++. I'm sure a program can be written
to continuously cycle through codecs as the program also monitors the
selected tty device . Also patterns can be detected and codes
can be broken . I'm really starting to see that computers are excellent
signal analysers and are also great for picking things apart such as
codecs ! As far as continuous codec cycling goes, I would maybe
want to do it like this if there are any programmers watching our
thread :

Pseudo Code :

```
do {
bool codec = false ;
create temp buffer ;
get data from com port ;
store data in buffer ;
for(int i = 0 ; i < 1; i++)
codec = compareCodecs(buffer) //compareCodecs returns
true/false
//it
also contains as many codecs
//as
possible !!!
// when
codec is equal to true then exit the do while
loop and use the selected codec !

} while codec = false
```

So that sums up my ideas for now...

thanx

92. more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-07 08:35:54 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1136622954.538766.13560@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10580
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
> K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED060106A - AN EDICT TO ALL WHO OPPOSE
> K1MAN/IARN/AARA
why post this shit

93. Re: K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED060106A - AN EDICT TO ALL WHO OPPOSE K1MAN/IARN/AARA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-07 10:20:28 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <tYednQbsh7h2CCLeRVn-ig@comcast.com>
Source record: 10572
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

You forgot to pontificate on item 5:

> 5. Ignore K3VR (poor little Brian).

94. shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-07 10:22:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1136629372.802271.118660@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10573
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

enough said

95. Some scanners missing at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-07 13:49:08 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <3sWdnXmguPNJWyLeRVn-hQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 10574

You may see some changes coming up with Radio Shack's scanner line-up!

No longer listed on their web site:

PRO-95 (expected)
PRO-2051 (expected)
PRO-2052 (expected)
PRO-2096 (not expected)

Bill Crocker

96. Re: digital PCM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-07 18:08:03 UTC
From: Krackula
Message-ID: <9esvr15hfits9c2fsbaibl9bkios6omvp3@4ax.com>
Source record: 10575

On 6 Jan 2006 22:16:03 -0800, ineedyourluvin1@yahoo.com wrote:

>
> I own a prototyping breadboard and it sounds to me like
>I can mount a 'chip' on this breadboard , hook the output
>of the discriminator tap of my wideband receiver, give it
>some power , and hook a small audio amp and speaker to the
>output of the 'chip' to decode / demodulate the signal .
>If this is the case I can think of some really cool sh*t !!!
>One thing I would do is mount more than one chip
>and use a switch to select the 'codec' to listen to .
>But... I would rather use the computer for this
>and I'm running Fedora Core 4 and the computer has 1.5GB 400Mhz DDR RAM
>and 200GB of harddrive space. Not to mention a SBLive 5.1 card with
>spdif inputs ! So I can run basically any audio editor just fine and

I think you have a great attitude towards dealing with trying to get
digital signals decoded . most codec chips have so many leads
(40 lead square pak vlsi as an example) that it gets complicated
(read that lots of work) to mount them separately. thats why most
people use them already mounted in a working circuit , like a
HT or older under dash model. the breadboard thought is still
necessary , tho, as you'll need it for external patching . cross
patching of all the equipment and setting up of the interfacing
circuitry.

>the
>com ports are really easy to access. One could use the /dev/tty entries
>to view in real time (cat /dev/tty0, 1, 2....) what activity is on any
>given com port !
>I also know quite a lot of C++. I'm sure a program can be written
>to continuously cycle through codecs as the program also monitors the
>selected tty device . Also patterns can be detected and codes
>can be broken . I'm really starting to see that computers are excellent
>signal analysers and are also great for picking things apart such as
>codecs ! As far as continuous codec cycling goes, I would maybe
>want to do it like this if there are any programmers watching our
>thread :
>
>

actually .... this part isn't as bleak as it sounds. all of the
so called " public codecs " ..... especially the ones that have
MS software routines ... and even the private codes (proprietary)
use an identifier on their digital stream . right behind their

" sync " stream marker , to identify what they are , for convenience of use. this ID code element helps their chips accept or reject the code stream (it's why you get " nothing " if it's not the proper codec decoding the stream .. it doesn't even try if it's not the proper ID sequence) . there are digital audio analyzers available on ebay (test equipment) , from time to time , that are capable of capturing and displaying all codec and pcm information on signals.

(hint :
http://cgi.ebay.com/Wandel-Goltermann-PCM-4-ANALYZER-BN-984-03-Messplatz_W0QQitemZ7576970409QQcategoryZ97139QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
http://cgi.ebay.com/TTC-Acterna-T-BERD-224-PCM-Analyzer-w-Full-Keyboard_W0QQitemZ7578284459QQcategoryZ97143QQssPageNameZWDVWQQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
and many others .....)

so Identifying and analyzing digital data streams for so called " public codes " isn't too far out of reach .... just a little work and you can get there. you can definitely " can do it ! "

the " rub " comes in on " communications " type digital audio streams in equipment (for example) that uses proprietary codec embedded chip encoding and decoding ! with " these " you'd only have to spend some time to actually take apart representative
" streams ' and learn their stream code sequence and then use your software writing approach to write a codec routine to decode the selected stream. you can look at the stream for the standardized (and almost certainly get the script from C++ and programming resources on the web) ID codes and use that knowledge to identify the similar information in private codecs. additional good news here is that there aren't " all that " many private codecs ..... probably only a handful currently (of the most popular and most often used ones) and once you have dealt with those .... you'll have it mostly sorted out.

this is where the government has others beat out , as they get a copy of the codecs as the companies make them ..... (explained as needed for nnational security applications) and they don't have to do so much work to sort them all out. they supply this information to places like watkins johnson to help them upgrade their black box surveillance software programs more easily. h ahhahaaha

all of this explains why the scanner makers haven't made digital capable scanners that do more then apco25 , as they easily could make scanners that get almost everything !

in the communications world ... little or nothing uses the public (broadcast ?) codecs. maybe some early cordless phones etc. pretty much all 2 way radio makers use their own private " proprietary ' codecs. because they are proprietary , scanner makers can't use them without paying or permission from the companies

making them. none of these companies wan't people listening to their radios and none of them want to give the competition any advantage on their products. good working codecs are worth a LOT of money (and are ALWAYS patented). apco25 is the exception because it uses a common publicly released standard codec for all common users to have (so all the equipment will be compatible)

you " certainly " can make yourself a setup to decode and listen to (non encrypted .....) digital voice communications signals. you could use it for your own applications but NOT be able to release it publically or sell it (because all the private codecs are patented). you could become the first modern " digital scanning hero " by releasing the information / codecs through some Russian hacker site , for example. you could ..... BUT ... think about this ..... for sure you aren't the first to want to bust modern commo codecs. MANY others have tread the same path before you. the Russians , especially , have " been there ... done that " and even " THEY " haven't released the equipment and codec info to the public.

if you mess with big communications companies' patented private codecs you potentially screw with their profit margins and company income bottom lines. the US Government isn't going to let you mess with big US businesses' incomes and live to tell about it, so to speak ! (remember what happened to Kevin Mitnick when his hacking threatened cellphone business ?? h ahah ah ah aha)
take care what you do (and whom you tell about it) and don't shoot yourself in the foot ! 23 hours a day in your Federal SHU cell isn't going to be much phun !!!

k.....

97. Re: Some scanners missing at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-07 18:54:56 UTC
From: Honest John <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <tuKdnflGzcoek13eRVn-tQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 10576

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message

> You may see some changes coming up with Radio Shack's scanner line-up!
>
> No longer listed on their web site:
>
> PRO-95 (expected)
> PRO-2051 (expected)
> PRO-2052 (expected)
> PRO-2096 (not expected)
>
> Bill Crocker

Why the Pro-2052 ? It's an excellent scanner.

H.J.

98. PCM Test Boxes for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-08 04:13:54 UTC
From: **THE-RFI-EMI-GUY** <rhyolite@nettally.com>
Message-ID: <6k0wf.2641$2i3.587@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 10577

I have two PCM test sets for sale, made by Sony for bench troubleshooting handsets. Nicely built. They accept 12VDC and have RS232 type connectors as well as BNC audio in and out connectors. I don't have any documention, but the chipset is fairly common. I will sell for $65 each plus shipping.

--
Joe Leikhim K4SAT
"The RFI-EMI-GUY"ï¿½

"Treason doth never prosper: what's the reason?
For if it prosper, none dare call it treason."

"Follow The Money" ;-P

99. Re: Some scanners missing at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-08 04:21:35 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <8o41s15el817v74dopv3l4r8fceuqnihdo@4ax.com>
Source record: 10578

On Sat, 7 Jan 2006 12:54:56 -0600, "Honest John"
<honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote:

>"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message

>> PRO-2052 (expected)

>Why the Pro-2052 ? It's an excellent scanner.

The price ended in $x.97, so its demise was expected. RS changes the
price like that when an item is doing to be discontinued.

100. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-08 04:37:37 UTC
From: no one <noone@noon.us>
Message-ID: <IG0wf.46482$BZ5.34530@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 10579

Byung Myung Sying wrote:

> Skuby wrote:
>
>
>>The best scanner that I have ever owned and I have owned 5 in the past.
>
>
> I add:
>
> I agree that the PRO-96 is a fabulous scanner. You need to purchase
> Don Starr's product "Win96" to really make it a powerful scanning
> device. Win96 allows you to open up military aircraft frequencies as
> well as to directly program the PRO-96 directly from the
> RadioReference database.
>
> You should note that here in the Detroit, MI area, we are having
> problems decoding the Detroit Police Department's new digital system.
> This problem is not specific to the PRO-96, the Uniden 396 also
> exhibits the same problem. Both companies are examining the problem
> and don't yet have an answer for us as to whether the problem will be
> fixable.

My pro 2096 is one month old and even with Win96 programing The audio is
REAL CHOPPY. I hear every other word said Here in GENESEE COUNTY . Mi
(Flint, Mi area)
Ps.
The city of Flint Decided Not to use the Digital system.. I am beginning
to think they WERE WISE after looking at all the foums on the Motorola
apco-25 system.

101. Re: ScannerDesk.com Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-08 11:42:11 UTC
From: Tom Pamin <scnrfrq@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <VaydndbrNq0OZ13enZ2dnUVZ_sSdnZ2d@adelphia.com>
Source record: 10581

Do you know of any live web scanners for Coburg and areas north to
Harwood and Roseneath?

ScannerDesk wrote:

> I have updated the following frequency lists for the Durham Region
> Canada area:
>
> - Durham East - Clarington
> - Durham Central - Oshawa and Whitby
> - Durham West - Ajax and Pickering
> - Durham North - Brock and Scugog Townships
> - Northumberland East and West - Cobourg and Port Hope
> - Kawarth Lakes - Lindsay
> - Windsor
>
> I have also update the Amateur Radio, Rail and the main Radio page as
> well.
>

102. Dick Smith Radio Direction Finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-08 12:56:31 UTC
From: Gary Smith <mygrps@aapt.net.au>
Message-ID: <dpr260aht1@news-01.bur.connect.com.au>
Source record: 10582
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Hi, i have aquired an old Dick Smith Radio Direction Finder unit but there is no ASU (Antenna Switching Unit) or antennas with it. I would like to know if someone might have information on how to build the antennas and ASU and any more information on the kit itself.

Thanks

Gary

103. Re: Dick Smith Radio Direction Finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-08 13:28:25 UTC
From: Simon Templar <usenet@vk3xem.net>
Message-ID: <43c11379$1_2@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com>
Source record: 10583
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Gary Smith wrote:
> Hi, i have aquired an old Dick Smith Radio Direction Finder unit but there
> is no ASU (Antenna Switching Unit) or antennas with it. I would like to know
> if someone might have information on how to build the antennas and ASU and
> any more information on the kit itself.
>
> Thanks
>
> Gary

Could you have found any more newsgroups to cross-post to?

--
73 de Simon, VK3XEM.

104. Re: Some scanners missing at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-08 13:29:55 UTC
From: Honest John <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <IuCdnbljIM9HjlzenZ2dnUVZ_tOdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 10584

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:8o41s15el817v74dopv3l4r8fceuqnihdo@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 7 Jan 2006 12:54:56 -0600, "Honest John"
> <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote:
>
> >"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
>
> >> PRO-2052 (expected)
>
> >Why the Pro-2052 ? It's an excellent scanner.
>
> The price ended in $x.97, so its demise was expected. RS changes the
> price like that when an item is doing to be discontinued.

I didn't necessarily mean "how" one could detect if a R.S. product will be discontinued but "why" R.S. would discontinue such an excellent scanner as the Pro-2052. I'm missing some obscure fact as to why the R.S. execs. would chose to axe this particular scanner when they came out with such inferior scanners that desperately need to be axed.

I have another question, the two times I tried to order the computer program that would allow me to control the scanner from my computer met with comments like: They discontinued that, or We can't get it in this store. Anyone know the "real" story of the advertised computer program that some, including myself, couldn't get ?

H.J. (still programming my scanner with one finger instead of ten)

105. Re: Dick Smith Radio Direction Finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-08 14:14:43 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <Aq-dnVewhKvCg1zeRVn-jw@crocker.com>
Source record: 10585
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

they never came with antennas that i know of, you had to build them
yourself. the plans for the asu including circuit board and parts list are
in the manual, they are simple things that you should be able to find at any
radio parts store... assuming you can find one of those today.

"Gary Smith" <mygrps@aapt.net.au> wrote in message
news:dpr260aht1@news-01.bur.connect.com.au...
> Hi, i have aquired an old Dick Smith Radio Direction Finder unit but there
> is no ASU (Antenna Switching Unit) or antennas with it. I would like to
> know if someone might have information on how to build the antennas and
> ASU and any more information on the kit itself.
>
> Thanks
>
> Gary
>

106. Re: Dick Smith Radio Direction Finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-08 17:34:21 UTC
From: "David L. Wilson" <dwilson314@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <aYadnRs0HK-C0FzeRVn-uQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 10586
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Dave" <noone@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:Aq-dnVewhKvCg1zeRVn-jw@crocker.com...
> they never came with antennas that i know of, you had to build them
> yourself. the plans for the asu including circuit board and parts list
> are in the manual, they are simple things that you should be able to find
> at any radio parts store... assuming you can find one of those today.

They did come with the needed switching diodes which were a weak point in
the design and there was later a suggested replacement.

107. Re: Dick Smith Radio Direction Finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-08 18:36:20 UTC
From: Glenn McAllister <glennmca@orcon.net.nz>
Message-ID: <43C15BA4.3F39@orcon.net.nz>
Source record: 10587
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Gary Smith wrote:
>
> Hi, i have aquired an old Dick Smith Radio Direction Finder unit but there
> is no ASU (Antenna Switching Unit) or antennas with it. I would like to know
> if someone might have information on how to build the antennas and ASU and
> any more information on the kit itself.
>
> Thanks
>
> Gary

I've got one - defective - I think I popped the switching diodes by accidentally transmitting thru it. I know I've got the dox 'somewhere' - just gotta dig 'em out.

From memory, the four pin cable from the main unit sends a rotating DC bias to each of the switching diodes in the box. There's a diode at the antenna base too, by design. I haven't opened the box yet, but the diode at the antenna base is ITT BA 282 Cathode (bar) to coax shield.

If/when I find the dox, I'll get back to you. Let me know how you progress.

Glenn ZL2TLD

108. Re: ScannerDesk.com Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-08 19:53:54 UTC
From: ScannerDesk <bate.david@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1136750033.901916.17650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10600

None that I am aware of. It is now pretty quiet there as OPP have
taken over the policing of Hamilton Township from Cobourg Police. The
only activity I am monitoring now are Cobourg and Port Police and the
MOT snow plows. Friday and Saturday nights are the most active.
Pretty quiet and routine all other times.

109. Re: ScannerDesk.com Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-08 20:25:14 UTC
From: ScannerDesk <bate.david@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1136751914.236867.211040@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10451

Hi Tom,

None that I am aware of. It is now pretty quiet there as OPP have
taken over the policing of Hamilton Township from Cobourg Police. The
only activity I am monitoring now are Cobourg and Port Police and the
MOT snow plows. Friday and Saturday nights are the most active.
Pretty quiet and routine all other times.

Tom Pamin wrote:
> Do you know of any live web scanners for Coburg and areas north to
> Harwood and Roseneath?
>
> ScannerDesk wrote:
>
> > I have updated the following frequency lists for the Durham Region
> > Canada area:
> >
> > - Durham East - Clarington
> > - Durham Central - Oshawa and Whitby
> > - Durham West - Ajax and Pickering
> > - Durham North - Brock and Scugog Townships
> > - Northumberland East and West - Cobourg and Port Hope
> > - Kawarth Lakes - Lindsay
> > - Windsor
> >
> > I have also update the Amateur Radio, Rail and the main Radio page as
> > well.
> >

110. Re: Dick Smith Radio Direction Finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-08 20:47:53 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5JOdnSO7esrq51zeRVn-vA@comcast.com>
Source record: 10588
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Glenn McAllister wrote:
> Gary Smith wrote:
>
>>Hi, i have aquired an old Dick Smith Radio Direction Finder unit but there
>>is no ASU (Antenna Switching Unit) or antennas with it. I would like to know
>>if someone might have information on how to build the antennas and ASU and
>>any more information on the kit itself.
>>
>>Thanks
>>
>>Gary
>
>
> I've got one - defective - I think I popped the switching diodes by
> accidentally transmitting thru it. I know I've got the dox 'somewhere'
> - just gotta dig 'em out.
>
> From memory, the four pin cable from the main unit sends a rotating DC
> bias to each of the switching diodes in the box. There's a diode at the
> antenna base too, by design. I haven't opened the box yet, but the
> diode at the antenna base is ITT BA 282 Cathode (bar) to coax
> shield.
>
> If/when I find the dox, I'll get back to you. Let me know how you
> progress.
>
> Glenn ZL2TLD

Maybe they are PIN diodes that turn on (conduct) when forward biased?

http://www.discovercircuits.com/D/direction.htm
Find more:
http://www.google.com/search?hl=en&lr=&q=radio+direction+finder+schematic&tab=ww

111. Re: Dick Smith Radio Direction Finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-08 21:41:28 UTC
From: Glenn McAllister <"(callsign)"@orcon.net.nz>
Message-ID: <43C18707.12BE@orcon.net.nz>
Source record: 10589
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Scott in Baltimore wrote:
>
> Glenn McAllister wrote:
> > Gary Smith wrote:
> >
> >>Hi, i have aquired an old Dick Smith Radio Direction Finder unit but there
> >>is no ASU (Antenna Switching Unit) or antennas with it. I would like to know
> >>if someone might have information on how to build the antennas and ASU and
> >>any more information on the kit itself.
> >>
> >>Thanks
> >>
> >>Gary
> >
> >
> > I've got one - defective - I think I popped the switching diodes by
> > accidentally transmitting thru it. I know I've got the dox 'somewhere'
> > - just gotta dig 'em out.
> >
> > From memory, the four pin cable from the main unit sends a rotating DC
> > bias to each of the switching diodes in the box. There's a diode at the
> > antenna base too, by design. I haven't opened the box yet, but the
> > diode at the antenna base is ITT BA 282 Cathode (bar) to coax
> > shield.
> >
> > If/when I find the dox, I'll get back to you. Let me know how you
> > progress.
> >
> > Glenn ZL2TLD
>
> Maybe they are PIN diodes that turn on (conduct) when forward biased?
>
> http://www.discovercircuits.com/D/direction.htm
> Find more:
> http://www.google.com/search?hl=en&lr=&q=radio+direction+finder+schematic&tab=ww

They sure seem to be :
http://members.aol.com/homingin/DSEfix.html
The ones in the box switch one of the antennas at a time to the RDF, and
the ones at the base of the antennas groung the out-of-use antennas.

Glenn ZL2TLD

112. Help a newbie.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-08 22:59:13 UTC
From: Egon <rindeee@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1136761153.586816.163010@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10599

Hello all,

I am interested in getting a simple analog rig for home. I have used a friends ICOM IC-R71A quite a bit and really enjoy just dinking around, searching frequencies for something interesting. I'd love to pick up a used R71A, but there don't seem to be to many around. I am also concerned about longevity, parts, etc. Is there a new unit that would be good for a starter kit (read not too expensive) that is as simple to operate as the R71A? Should I just not be concerned and pick up a used R71A? Also, can someone recommend a good (easy to understand) beginners book that deals with antennae (long-wire, directional, etc.) and tech. behind pulling in traffic?

Thank you very much for any information that you can provide, and I appreciate your patience.

V/r
Egon

113. Help a newbie.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-08 23:00:03 UTC
From: Egon <rindeee@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1136761203.484499.8650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10452

Hello all,

I am interested in getting a simple analog rig for home. I have used a friends ICOM IC-R71A quite a bit and really enjoy just dinking around, searching frequencies for something interesting. I'd love to pick up a used R71A, but there don't seem to be to many around. I am also concerned about longevity, parts, etc. Is there a new unit that would be good for a starter kit (read not too expensive) that is as simple to operate as the R71A? Should I just not be concerned and pick up a used R71A? Also, can someone recommend a good (easy to understand) beginners book that deals with antennae (long-wire, directional, etc.) and tech. behind pulling in traffic?

Thank you very much for any information that you can provide, and I appreciate your patience.

V/r
Egon

114. Re: Dick Smith Radio Direction Finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-08 23:03:41 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <a863s15jsisveq0ghaag6ec4fcj7csg3ei@4ax.com>
Source record: 10590
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 8 Jan 2006 23:56:31 +1100, "Gary Smith" <mygrps@aapt.net.au>
wrote:

There are probably at least a dozen other RDF designs nased on the
same idea floating around on the web. A look at any of them, and a
schematic of what you have (draw one if necessary), will tell you how
to make what you need.

The antennas are usually 1/2 wave dipoles at whatever frequency the
unit will be used. Copperweld or wire hangers make decent antennas,
although I prefer stainless control wire of the type used on model
planes.

115. Re: Some scanners missing at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-08 23:12:30 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <bm63s191m7urkp82eu740deqpma67hs245@4ax.com>
Source record: 10591

On Sun, 8 Jan 2006 07:29:55 -0600, "Honest John"
<honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote:

>I didn't necessarily mean "how" one could detect if a R.S. product will be
>discontinued but "why" R.S. would discontinue such an excellent scanner as
>the Pro-2052.

RS's rationale isn't how good a scanner is, it's how profitable it is.

> I'm missing some obscure fact as to why the R.S. execs. would
>chose to axe this particular scanner when they came out with such inferior
>scanners that desperately need to be axed.

The "inferior" ones bring in profit - the axed ones don't. It's not
obscure at all.

>I have another question, the two times I tried to order the computer program
>that would allow me to control the scanner from my computer met with
>comments like: They discontinued that, or We can't get it in this store.
>Anyone know the "real" story of the advertised computer program that some,
>including myself, couldn't get ?

Which program are you talking about? The only one RS ever sold (that
I know of) is discontinued, and it wasn't all that good to begin with.
Get Don Starr's program for whichever scanner you have, and you won't
be sorry. (I have nothing to do with either RS or Don, but I write
software and I recognize good stuff when I see [and use] it.)
<http://www.starrsoft.com>

116. Re: Dick Smith Radio Direction Finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-08 23:32:54 UTC
From: Gary Smith <mygrps@aapt.net.au>
Message-ID: <dps7h9be1@news-01.bur.connect.com.au>
Source record: 10592
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Well, i thought if you need information why not get as much as you can in one go?
Seems logical to me. And it's not like i'm posting some dribble, bs, flame.
I am getting some good replies so far.

Gary

117. Re: Dick Smith Radio Direction Finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-08 23:34:40 UTC
From: Gary Smith <mygrps@aapt.net.au>
Message-ID: <dps7ihcj1@news-01.bur.connect.com.au>
Source record: 10593
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Dave, if i had a manual with info on how to build one i'd be down the electronics shop getting the bits and building one as we speak :>

Gary

"Dave" <noone@nowhere.com> wrote in message news:Aq-dnVewhKvCg1zeRVn-jw@crocker.com...
> they never came with antennas that i know of, you had to build them
> yourself. the plans for the asu including circuit board and parts list
> are in the manual, they are simple things that you should be able to find
> at any radio parts store... assuming you can find one of those today.

118. Re: Dick Smith Radio Direction Finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-08 23:44:30 UTC
From: Gary Smith <mygrps@aapt.net.au>
Message-ID: <dps850$15l$1@news-01.bur.connect.com.au>
Source record: 10594
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks Al, but i'm not too good at working out stuff like that, if it's all laid out in kit form i'm ok. and i thought that seeing these things are reasonably critical (antenna units) that if i tried another design i may be waisting my time and parts on something that isn't suited. Thanks for your input.

Gary

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message news:a863s15jsisveq0ghaag6ec4fcj7csg3ei@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 8 Jan 2006 23:56:31 +1100, "Gary Smith" <mygrps@aapt.net.au>
> wrote:
>
> There are probably at least a dozen other RDF designs nased on the
> same idea floating around on the web. A look at any of them, and a
> schematic of what you have (draw one if necessary), will tell you how
> to make what you need.
>
> The antennas are usually 1/2 wave dipoles at whatever frequency the
> unit will be used. Copperweld or wire hangers make decent antennas,
> although I prefer stainless control wire of the type used on model
> planes.

119. Re: Dick Smith Radio Direction Finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-08 23:45:22 UTC
From: Gary Smith <mygrps@aapt.net.au>
Message-ID: <dps86k$171$1@news-01.bur.connect.com.au>
Source record: 10595
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Glenn that would be great if you could possibly scan the docs and email them, really appreciate that, Thanks.

Gary

> I've got one - defective - I think I popped the switching diodes by
> accidentally transmitting thru it. I know I've got the dox 'somewhere'
> - just gotta dig 'em out.
>
> From memory, the four pin cable from the main unit sends a rotating DC
> bias to each of the switching diodes in the box. There's a diode at the
> antenna base too, by design. I haven't opened the box yet, but the
> diode at the antenna base is ITT BA 282 Cathode (bar) to coax
> shield.
>
> If/when I find the dox, I'll get back to you. Let me know how you
> progress.
>
> Glenn ZL2TLD

120. Re: Some scanners missing at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-09 02:25:37 UTC
From: Honest John <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <_pmdndqpluowVFzeRVn-ug@centurytel.net>
Source record: 10596

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message

> Get Don Starr's program for whichever scanner you have, and you won't
> be sorry. (I have nothing to do with either RS or Don, but I write
> software and I recognize good stuff when I see [and use] it.)
> <http://www.starrsoft.com>

Thanks, very much !!

H.J.

121. Re: Some scanners missing at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-09 03:23:53 UTC
From: Honest John <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <ReidncVm37DGSlzeRVn-rg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 10597

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message

> Which program are you talking about?

Found it:

http://www.pro2052.com/

122. Re: Dick Smith Radio Direction Finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-09 04:57:05 UTC
From: Clark <CP@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Hs-dnUnzAKbbcFzenZ2dnUVZ_t2dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10598
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

There were several modes to the unit , as it was the filter in it was very bad.

"Gary Smith" <mygrps@aapt.net.au> wrote in message news:dps850$15l$1@news-01.bur.connect.com.au...
> Thanks Al, but i'm not too good at working out stuff like that, if it's
> all laid out in kit form i'm ok. and i thought that seeing these things
> are reasonably critical (antenna units) that if i tried another design i
> may be waisting my time and parts on something that isn't suited. Thanks
> for your input.
>
> Gary
>
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
> news:a863s15jsisveq0ghaag6ec4fcj7csg3ei@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 8 Jan 2006 23:56:31 +1100, "Gary Smith" <mygrps@aapt.net.au>
>> wrote:
>>
>> There are probably at least a dozen other RDF designs nased on the
>> same idea floating around on the web. A look at any of them, and a
>> schematic of what you have (draw one if necessary), will tell you how
>> to make what you need.
>>
>> The antennas are usually 1/2 wave dipoles at whatever frequency the
>> unit will be used. Copperweld or wire hangers make decent antennas,
>> although I prefer stainless control wire of the type used on model
>> planes.
>
>

123. APRSPoint new version available !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-09 09:28:54 UTC
From: "hxc98@yahoo.com" <hxc98@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1136798934.524763.148150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10453

APRSPoint 2.2 is released. New features include,

1. Added TNC data view and TNC data log,
2. Enabled auto connect for AGW packet engine,
3. Fixed a bug handling weather station data,
4. Ability to load a default map view at startup,
5. Restore TNC settings when program exits.

and many more. The holiday sale is also extended for 2 more days.
Visist http://www.aprspoint.com for details. Thanks.

124. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-09 13:47:35 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <11s4q8tr4oc6r4e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10454

Dear "no one"

You sure have an unusual name.

Please try the following with your 2096: Use the control channel for
the Owosso system. It is 868.9375 MHz. In other words, do not use the
Genesee control channel on 868.8875 MHz. The Owosso system uses only one
transmitter thus avoiding the multiple-transmitter-multipath of the Genesee
system. The down side is that the Owosso system only has the Genesee
dispatch circuits and systems west, north, and south of Genesee.

It will be interesting to learn what quality of signals you receive by
using the Owosso system.

Regards, Mac
--
J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.
Home: JCM@Power-Net.Net
"no one" <noone@noon.us> wrote in message
news:lG0wf.46482$BZ5.34530@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
>
> Byung Myung Sying wrote:
>
> > Skuby wrote:
> >
> >
> >>The best scanner that I have ever owned and I have owned 5 in the past.
> >
> >
> > I add:
> >
> > I agree that the PRO-96 is a fabulous scanner. You need to purchase
> > Don Starr's product "Win96" to really make it a powerful scanning
> > device. Win96 allows you to open up military aircraft frequencies as
> > well as to directly program the PRO-96 directly from the
> > RadioReference database.
> >
> > You should note that here in the Detroit, MI area, we are having
> > problems decoding the Detroit Police Department's new digital system.
> > This problem is not specific to the PRO-96, the Uniden 396 also
> > exhibits the same problem. Both companies are examining the problem
> > and don't yet have an answer for us as to whether the problem will be
> > fixable.
>

>
>
> My pro 2096 is one month old and even with Win96 programing The audio is
> REAL CHOPPY. I hear every other word said Here in GENESEE COUNTY . Mi
> (Flint, Mi area)
> Ps.
> The city of Flint Decided Not to use the Digital system.. I am beginning
> to think they WERE WISE after looking at all the foums on the Motorola
> apco-25 system.

125. Re: Help a newbie.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-09 14:20:10 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <uiuwf.7078$M%4.6669@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10455

The R-75 is the replacement for the R-71. It is quite a nice rig. I have one and enjoy it very much...

"Egon" <rindeee@gmail.com> wrote in message news:1136761086.800980.282460@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Hello all,
>
> I am interested in getting a simple analog rig for home. I have used a
> friends ICOM IC-R71A quite a bit and really enjoy just dinking around,
> searching frequencies for something interesting. I'd love to pick up a
> used R71A, but there don't seem to be to many around. I am also
> concerned about longevity, parts, etc. Is there a new unit that would
> be good for a starter kit (read not too expensive) that is as simple to
> operate as the R71A? Should I just not be concerned and pick up a used
> R71A? Also, can someone recommend a good (easy to understand)
> beginners book that deals with antennae (long-wire, directional, etc.)
> and tech. behind pulling in traffic?
>
> Thank you very much for any information that you can provide, and I
> appreciate your patience.
>
> V/r
> Egon
>

126. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96 (Choppy Audio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-09 17:24:19 UTC
From: no one <noone@noon.us>
Message-ID: <7%wwf.48354$dO2.20855@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 10456

J. Mc Laughlin wrote:
> Dear "no one"
>
> You sure have an unusual name.
>
> Please try the following with your 2096: Use the control channel for
> the Owosso system. It is 868.9375 MHz. In other words, do not use the
> Genesee control channel on 868.8875 MHz. The Owosso system uses only one
> transmitter thus avoiding the multiple-transmitter-multipath of the Genesee
> system. The down side is that the Owosso system only has the Genesee
> dispatch circuits and systems west, north, and south of Genesee.
>
> It will be interesting to learn what quality of signals you receive by
> using the Owosso system.

Thanks!

I just got off the phone with Radio Shack and There answer is that There
is something Wrong with The System.

I say That this is UNTRUE.
If there was a problem with the System, All the Police Cars and
Emergency vehicles who are trying to Save lives would be hampered .
also If it Was true , I am Sure That my LOCAL agencies Would DROP the
system and go Back to WhaTEVER works.

It sounds to me that is is a DESIGN problem with the PRO 2096, and
Radioshack needs to Put out an UPDATE to the Firmware or a Complete RECALL !

A call to my LOCAL radio shack came up with more info. The manager
says That all the pro2096 she has sold, Every person is complaing of
this problem, They are issuing lots of REFUNDS !

By the Way, Tech at fort worth Says the RADIO/SCANNER (PRO 2096) is
Still an ACTIVE product ! Even tho there is no mention of it on Radio
Shacks Website ! As we all Know, The Webmaster At Radio Shack.com Needs
to View His or HER work and Make sure it is Correct !

>
> Regards, Mac
> --
> J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.
> Home: JCM@Power-Net.Net
> "no one" <noone@noon.us> wrote in message
> news:lG0wf.46482$BZ5.34530@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>

>>
>>Byung Myung Sying wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Skuby wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>The best scanner that I have ever owned and I have owned 5 in the past.
>>>
>>>
>>>I add:
>>>
>>>I agree that the PRO-96 is a fabulous scanner. You need to purchase
>>>Don Starr's product "Win96" to really make it a powerful scanning
>>>device. Win96 allows you to open up military aircraft frequencies as
>>>well as to directly program the PRO-96 directly from the
>>>RadioReference database.
>>>
>>>You should note that here in the Detroit, MI area, we are having
>>>problems decoding the Detroit Police Department's new digital system.
>>>This problem is not specific to the PRO-96, the Uniden 396 also
>>>exhibits the same problem. Both companies are examining the problem
>>>and don't yet have an answer for us as to whether the problem will be
>>>fixable.
>>
>>
>>
>>My pro 2096 is one month old and even with Win96 programing The audio is
>>REAL CHOPPY. I hear every other word said Here in GENESEE COUNTY . Mi
>> (Flint, Mi area)
>> Ps.
>>The city of Flint Decided Not to use the Digital system.. I am beginning
>>to think they WERE WISE after looking at all the foums on the Motorola
>> apco-25 system.
>
>
>

127. Re: Ham Radio, How I got caught

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-09 20:18:08 UTC
From: "Kook Kanuck in VE7land\"" <wigawoo14313@hamfatasses.com>
Message-ID: <b47a5$43c2d309$d1cc45e6$24055@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 10457
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Wow, 29 years bootlegging on ham and no FCC check? I think that's a
new record!! There's been a couple of guys on a Militia/Patriot
net every night on 3.8-0 mHz LSB for over 3 years now with phony callsigns
who still ain't got caught or even raised a red flag with either FCC or
OHS.
but if you went along for that long and got off with a slap on da
wrist, then woo-woo on you. (bet you were a good customer at the ham store
also eh? Did the buffoons sel you a big amplifier too with no license
check? prolly did..)

"Howard Johnson Jr" <hamradioluvr@hamshak.org> wrote in message
news:VEvwf.4658$fb4.823@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> I've been a ham radio operator for over 29 years and never once took a
test
> for a license. Always used a phony call and nobody ever suspected me
until
> internet came along. Last week I received letter in the mail from the
FCC.
> I'm being fined $5000 for transmitting without a license over a period of
3
> years. Don't think you can't get caught, I sure did. When they (FCC) came
to
> my house, my mother let them in and took them to my bedroom. I was at
> Starbucks having coffee when it happened. They took all my radio
equipment
> and also found my stash of pot but did not take it or notify the local
> Police thank god. My mother told them the pot was for my arthritis and
they
> believed her. That's what I had told my mother when I started smoking it
in
> my room. She thought I was burning leaves until I told her it was
medicine.
>
> Anyway, the bottom line is this, the government doesn't go by the rules,
> they will do anything they want and if the agent doesn't like you, you
are
> in deep trouble to say the least.
>
> Howard M Johnson
>
>

128. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96 (Choppy Audio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-09 20:19:42 UTC
From: no one <noone@noon.us>
Message-ID: <yzzwf.4723$fb4.1830@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 10458

no one wrote:
>
>
> J. Mc Laughlin wrote:
>
>> Dear "no one"
>>
>> You sure have an unusual name.
>>
>> Please try the following with your 2096: Use the control channel for
>> the Owosso system. It is 868.9375 MHz. In other words, do not use the
>> Genesee control channel on 868.8875 MHz. The Owosso system uses only one
>> transmitter thus avoiding the multiple-transmitter-multipath of the
>> Genesee

Tried this and I Got NO RECEPTION what so ever with the pro 2096 (Signals)

>> system. The down side is that the Owosso system only has the Genesee
>> dispatch circuits and systems west, north, and south of Genesee.
>>
>> It will be interesting to learn what quality of signals you
>> receive by
>> using the Owosso system.
>
>
>
> Thanks!
>
> I just got off the phone with Radio Shack and There answer is that There
> is something Wrong with The System.
>
> I say That this is UNTRUE.
> If there was a problem with the System, All the Police Cars and
> Emergency vehicles who are trying to Save lives would be hampered .
> also If it Was true , I am Sure That my LOCAL agencies Would DROP the
> system and go Back to WhaTEVER works.
>
> It sounds to me that is is a DESIGN problem with the PRO 2096, and
> Radioshack needs to Put out an UPDATE to the Firmware or a Complete
> RECALL !
>
>
> A call to my LOCAL radio shack came up with more info. The manager
> says That all the pro2096 she has sold, Every person is complaing of
> this problem, They are issuing lots of REFUNDS !

>
> By the Way, Tech at fort worth Says the RADIO/SCANNER (PRO 2096) is
> Still an ACTIVE product ! Even tho there is no mention of it on Radio
> Shacks Website ! As we all Know, The Webmaster At Radio Shack.com Needs
> to View His or HER work and Make sure it is Correct !
>
>
>>
>> Regards, Mac
>> --
>> J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.
>> Home: JCM@Power-Net.Net
>> "no one" <noone@noon.us> wrote in message
>> news:lG0wf.46482$BZ5.34530@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>>
>>>
>>> Byung Myung Sying wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>> Skuby wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> The best scanner that I have ever owned and I have owned 5 in the
>>>>> past.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>> I add:
>>>>
>>>> I agree that the PRO-96 is a fabulous scanner. You need to purchase
>>>> Don Starr's product "Win96" to really make it a powerful scanning
>>>> device. Win96 allows you to open up military aircraft frequencies as
>>>> well as to directly program the PRO-96 directly from the
>>>> RadioReference database.
>>>>
>>>> You should note that here in the Detroit, MI area, we are having
>>>> problems decoding the Detroit Police Department's new digital system.
>>>> This problem is not specific to the PRO-96, the Uniden 396 also
>>>> exhibits the same problem. Both companies are examining the problem
>>>> and don't yet have an answer for us as to whether the problem will be
>>>> fixable.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> My pro 2096 is one month old and even with Win96 programing The audio is
>>> REAL CHOPPY. I hear every other word said Here in GENESEE COUNTY . Mi
>>> (Flint, Mi area)
>>> Ps.

>>> The city of Flint Decided Not to use the Digital system.. I am beginning
>>> to think they WERE WISE after looking at all the foums on the Motorola
>>> apco-25 system.
>>
>>
>>
>>

129. Re: Some scanners missing at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-09 21:16:56 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <i_2dnecuB9dWT1_enZ2dnUVZ_sednZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10459

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message > Get Don Starr's program for whichever scanner you have, and you won't
> be sorry. (I have nothing to do with either RS or Don, but I write
> software and I recognize good stuff when I see [and use] it.)
> <http://www.starrsoft.com>

You are correct that Don Starr writes excellent software, ie; Win95, Win96, Win97, etc. Unfortunately he doesn't write software for the PRO-2052. All of Don's software is for Radio Shack (GRE manufactured) radios. The Radio Shack PRO-2052 is manufactured for them by Uniden.

Some of the software that supports the PRO-2052 is:

ScanPro2052
ScanControl
ScanCat Lite Plus

Bill Crocker

130. Re: Some scanners missing at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-09 23:07:31 UTC
From: DanOfWA <danofwa@nventure.com>
Message-ID: <43C2ECB3.7010700@nventure.com>
Source record: 10460

There is a free program for the Pro-2052
I use it alot..and it may not have some of the extra stuff that the
other program mentioned has but being free and it does work quite well
I have been using it for a while and it works great

it says it is for win9x but I imagine it would work on XP as well

you can find it at

http://www.qsl.net/kd5lmy/software1.htm

Al Klein wrote:

> On Sun, 8 Jan 2006 07:29:55 -0600, "Honest John"
> <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote:
>
>
>>I didn't necessarily mean "how" one could detect if a R.S. product will be
>>discontinued but "why" R.S. would discontinue such an excellent scanner as
>>the Pro-2052.
>
>
> RS's rationale isn't how good a scanner is, it's how profitable it is.
>
>
>> I'm missing some obscure fact as to why the R.S. execs. would
>>chose to axe this particular scanner when they came out with such inferior
>>scanners that desperately need to be axed.

>
>
> The "inferior" ones bring in profit - the axed ones don't. It's not
> obscure at all.
>
>
>>I have another question, the two times I tried to order the computer program
>>that would allow me to control the scanner from my computer met with
>>comments like: They discontinued that, or We can't get it in this store.
>>Anyone know the "real" story of the advertised computer program that some,
>>including myself, couldn't get ?
>
>
> Which program are you talking about? The only one RS ever sold (that
> I know of) is discontinued, and it wasn't all that good to begin with.
> Get Don Starr's program for whichever scanner you have, and you won't
> be sorry. (I have nothing to do with either RS or Don, but I write

> software and I recognize good stuff when I see [and use] it.)
> <http://www.starrsoft.com>

--

NOTE: This email does not and is not to be considered as evidence of, creation of nor proof of an existing business relationship between myself and any other party and shall supercede any existent policy concerning formation or continuation of a business relationship the other party to this email has when communicating with me. The sole determination of existing, creation or proof of business relationship shall be determined a positive statement of creating said relationship in the content of the email originating FROM my computer and NOT that of the other parties computer, system or network.

==========
NOTICE TO BULK/COMMERICAL E-MAILERS:
==========

Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and all unsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US.

Anyone who sends unsolicited commercial e-mail to this account will be charged a $500 processing fee. Viewing this mail will be considered official notification and acceptance of these conditions.

Failure to abide by this will result in legal action.

RCW 19.190.020
Unpermitted or misleading electronic mail -- Prohibition.
(1) No person may initiate the transmission, conspire with another to initiate the transmission, or assist the transmission, of a commercial electronic mail message from a computer located in Washington or to an electronic mail address that the sender knows, or has reason to know, is held by a Washington resident that:
(a) Uses a third party's internet domain name without permission of the third party, or otherwise misrepresents or obscures any information in identifying the point of origin or the transmission path of a commercial electronic mail message; or
(b) Contains false or misleading information in the subject line.

RCW 19.190.040
Violations -- Damages.
(1) Damages to the recipient of a commercial electronic mail message sent in violation of this chapter are five hundred dollars, or actual damages, whichever is greater.

131. Re: Some scanners missing at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-09 23:15:55 UTC
From: Honest John <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <Cp-dne8qpoxIc1_eRVn-rg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 10461

"DanOfWA" <danofwa@nventure.com> wrote in message

> There is a free program for the Pro-2052

Thanks !!

H.J.

132. Re: Some scanners missing at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-09 23:16:33 UTC
From: Honest John <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <5fGdnTKrGMtmc1_enZ2dnUVZ_tKdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 10462

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message

> Some of the software that supports the PRO-2052 is:
>
> ScanPro2052
> ScanControl
> ScanCat Lite Plus
>
> Bill Crocker

Thanks !

H.J.

133. Re: Some scanners missing at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-09 23:19:45 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <aoWdnUR_H8IJcl_eRVn-vw@comcast.com>
Source record: 10463

Technically it's not free. It's advertised as shareware, meaning that it's free to try, but if you like it, and continue to use it, your supposed to buy it. He's only asking for $14.95 which is very reasonable.

What's interesting is this software looks exactly like ScanPro2052!

Bill Crocker

"DanOfWA" <danofwa@nventure.com> wrote in message news:43C2ECB3.7010700@nventure.com...
> There is a free program for the Pro-2052
> I use it alot..and it may not have some of the extra stuff that the other
> program mentioned has but being free and it does work quite well
> I have been using it for a while and it works great
>
> it says it is for win9x but I imagine it would work on XP as well
>
> you can find it at
>
> http://www.qsl.net/kd5lmy/software1.htm
>
> Al Klein wrote:
>
>> On Sun, 8 Jan 2006 07:29:55 -0600, "Honest John"
>> <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>I didn't necessarily mean "how" one could detect if a R.S. product will
>>>be
>>>discontinued but "why" R.S. would discontinue such an excellent scanner
>>>as
>>>the Pro-2052.
>>
>>
>> RS's rationale isn't how good a scanner is, it's how profitable it is.
>>
>>
>>> I'm missing some obscure fact as to why the R.S. execs. would
>>>chose to axe this particular scanner when they came out with such
>>>inferior
>>>scanners that desperately need to be axed.
>
>>
>>
>> The "inferior" ones bring in profit - the axed ones don't. It's not

>> obscure at all.
>>
>>
>>>I have another question, the two times I tried to order the computer
>>>program
>>>that would allow me to control the scanner from my computer met with
>>>comments like: They discontinued that, or We can't get it in this store.
>>>Anyone know the "real" story of the advertised computer program that
>>>some,
>>>including myself, couldn't get ?
>>
>>
>> Which program are you talking about? The only one RS ever sold (that
>> I know of) is discontinued, and it wasn't all that good to begin with.
>> Get Don Starr's program for whichever scanner you have, and you won't
>> be sorry. (I have nothing to do with either RS or Don, but I write
>> software and I recognize good stuff when I see [and use] it.)
>> <http://www.starrsoft.com>
>
>
> --
>
> NOTE: This email does not and is not to be considered as evidence of,
> creation of nor proof of an existing business relationship between myself
> and any other party and shall supercede any existent policy concerning
> formation or continuation of a business relationship the other party to
> this email has when communicating with me. The sole determination of
> existing, creation or proof of business relationship shall be determined a
> positive statement of creating said relationship in the content of the
> email originating FROM my computer and NOT that of the other parties
> computer, system or network.
>
>
>
> ==
> NOTICE TO BULK/COMMERICAL E-MAILERS:
> ==
>
> Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and
> all unsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address is subject to a
> download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US.
>
> Anyone who sends unsolicited commercial e-mail to this account will be
> charged a $500 processing fee. Viewing this mail will be considered
> official notification and acceptance of these conditions.
>
> Failure to abide by this will result in legal action.
>
> RCW 19.190.020
> Unpermitted or misleading electronic mail -- Prohibition.

> (1) No person may initiate the transmission, conspire with another to
> initiate the transmission, or assist the transmission, of a commercial
> electronic mail message from a computer located in Washington or to an
> electronic mail address that the sender knows, or has reason to know, is
> held by a Washington resident that:
> (a) Uses a third party's internet domain name without permission of
> the third party, or otherwise misrepresents or obscures any information in
> identifying the point of origin or the transmission path of a commercial
> electronic mail message; or
> (b) Contains false or misleading information in the subject line.
>
> RCW 19.190.040
> Violations -- Damages.
> (1) Damages to the recipient of a commercial electronic mail message
> sent in violation of this chapter are five hundred dollars, or actual
> damages, whichever is greater.

134. Re: Ham Radio, How I got caught

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-09 23:36:21 UTC
From: Darkwing <theducksmailATyahoo.com>
Message-ID: <GuKdneYz5s4gb1_eRVn-jw@giganews.com>
Source record: 10464
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Kook Kanuck in VE7land"" <wigawoo14313@hamfatasses.com> wrote in message
news:b47a5$43c2d309$d1cc45e6$24055@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> Wow, 29 years bootlegging on ham and no FCC check? I think that's a
> new record!! There's been a couple of guys on a Militia/Patriot
> net every night on 3.8-0 mHz LSB for over 3 years now with phony callsigns
> who still ain't got caught or even raised a red flag with either FCC or
> OHS.
> but if you went along for that long and got off with a slap on da
> wrist, then woo-woo on you. (bet you were a good customer at the ham
> store
> also eh? Did the buffoons sel you a big amplifier too with no license
> check? prolly did..)

Ham is out, CB is in. Breaker 1-9, what's your 20? We got us a smoky bear
heading eastbound on the I-80, come back driver.

DW

135. Re: digital PCM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-09 23:37:28 UTC
From: ineedyourluvin1@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1136849848.584515.52610@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10465

It was truly unfortunate Kevin Mitnick was
imprisoned for soooooo long without a trial . I know all the
fake stories and bullsh*t that surrounded the
whole issue . The truth is the government hates people
like us and it shows ! It shows just about everywhere there
is government sponsorship or any government institution in
this country(USA) . Like schools because they don't teach kids
about much except to comply with government standards
which are lower every year . Look at the test scores
(reading, writing, arithmetic) LOOOOOK ! Lower and lower
they go ! Now it is very obvious that our government
we elected is purposely dumbing us down . It's already working
if you look carefully ! Hint : Go to your nearest CompUSA or something
and ask questions . They DON'T KNOW JACK ! LOL
It's making me sick so I must post this now ....

Have a nice day !

136. Re: Some scanners missing at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-09 23:38:25 UTC
From: Honest John <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <KIGdnV-7Ap-DaV_eRVn-qA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 10466

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message

> Technically it's not free. It's advertised as shareware, meaning that
it's
> free to try, but if you like it, and continue to use it, your supposed to
> buy it. He's only asking for $14.95 which is very reasonable.

http://www.pro2052.com/ is the same price and a lot more " bells and
whistles" !!

H.J. (back into scanning)

137. Re: FS MONR31 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-09 23:51:56 UTC
From: static <amoperu@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1136850716.189351.103240@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10467

Please contact me if possible, I would like to buy the antenna,
thank you
Phillip
amoperu@bellsouth.net

wd4aks@hotmail.com wrote:
> For Sale Antenna Specialists MONR31 base station scanner antenna. New
> in box, never opened. 25-1000 MHz. $30

138. Re: digital PCM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-10 00:33:10 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <NgDwf.52137$ih5.44162@dukeread11>
Source record: 10468

ineedyourluvin1@yahoo.com wrote:

>
> It was truly unfortunate Kevin Mitnick was
> imprisoned for soooooo long without a trial . I know all the
> fake stories and bullsh*t that surrounded the
> whole issue . The truth is the government hates people
> like us and it shows ! It shows just about everywhere there
> is government sponsorship or any government institution in
> this country(USA) . Like schools because they don't teach kids
> about much except to comply with government standards
> which are lower every year . Look at the test scores
> (reading, writing, arithmetic) LOOOOOK ! Lower and lower
> they go ! Now it is very obvious that our government
> we elected is purposely dumbing us down . It's already working
> if you look carefully ! Hint : Go to your nearest CompUSA or something
> and ask questions . They DON'T KNOW JACK ! LOL
> It's making me sick so I must post this now ....
>
> Have a nice day !

well,
there is some truth and some conjecture here.

the truth is: those in power are afraid of anyone with real skills.
another truth (and I know this one better than most) Kevin Mitnick brought a lot of this on himself (and he even admitted that when he did an interview one of the many news programs that he has frequented over the last few years). He learned his lesson though and now makes a surprising amount of money as a computer security consultant....

Here is another truth: the skills base that created the internet in the first place is either now illegal in the US or has moved out of country because opportunities are more plentiful elsewhere. Personally, I think its time those in power got a clue and started thinking about what is best for US, the people. but then, that is just my opinion.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence has its limits.

139. Mr. Scanner CD-ROM question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-10 01:07:15 UTC
From: Ron Purdue <RONALDPURDUE@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7NDwf.1771$xz.1522@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 10469

Here is a question about Mr. Scanner CD-ROM, What version of MS Windows will it run under? My computer runs under Microsoft Windoes 98SE. I checked Grove Enterprise's web site tonight and it doesn't mention the mininum system requirements. Help!

140. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-10 01:22:08 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <nu26s1h79ks5p2s0seb5qnqbv2mt9vm958@4ax.com>
Source record: 10470

On Mon, 9 Jan 2006 08:47:35 -0500, "J. Mc Laughlin"
<jcm@power-net.net> wrote:

>The Owosso system uses only one
>transmitter thus avoiding the multiple-transmitter-multipath of the Genesee
>system.

If you're talking about the MPSCS, it's a Motorola system, so there's
only 1 control channel on the air at a time from any site. Multipath
isn't caused by multiple transmitters, it's caused by signal
reflections.

141. Re: Some scanners missing at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-10 01:28:17 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <tb36s1590gffreb7d4kn85bc4vuak3bm76@4ax.com>
Source record: 10471

On Mon, 9 Jan 2006 16:16:56 -0500, "Bill Crocker"
<wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:

>You are correct that Don Starr writes excellent software, ie; Win95, Win96,
>Win97, etc. Unfortunately he doesn't write software for the PRO-2052. All
>of Don's software is for Radio Shack (GRE manufactured) radios. The Radio
>Shack PRO-2052 is manufactured for them by Uniden.

You're right, Bill. I don't keep up with RS's model numbers. But if
it's Uniden the command set should be pretty easy to figure out.

142. Re: digital PCM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-10 04:04:01 UTC
From: ineedyourluvin1@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1136865841.251257.223240@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10472

Good post n7zzt !
I'm a ham operator myself (technician class) and I really love talking
on simplex to my buddys on 147.555 ;-)
I'm running a Kenwood G707A dual band mobile and a ADI AT-600
dual band HT with dual simultanius receive . For listening purposes I
use
the Alinco DJX10K which is a wideband reciever (100Khz to 1999.9999Mhz
ALL MODE).
In any case this is really starting to become a good thread with me,
you, and Krackula :-) !
I was thinking a bit about decoding data(in general) from the airwaves.
As I was playing arround with a Hex Editor, I loaded an MP3 into it .
I cut a portion of data inbetween and pasted that into another instance
of
the Hex Editor and saved it as test.mp3 . Much to my amazement when I
opened test.mp3 it actually played a part of the song ! This was VERY
enlightening because it reminded me of the network dumping program
called Ethereal and the way Ethereal could dump its output as Hex .
Looks like one could do as follows :

```
char myData[] = { 0x12, 0x78, 0xa7, 0x90, etc etc etc etc
etc.............. } ;
```

It looks as though i could store a whole MP3 into myData !!!
Well just a thought .....

73's for now !

143. Re: Ham Radio, How I got caught

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-10 04:46:58 UTC
From: Panzer240 <panzer240@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns97477F54A5B0fw190a8@198.80.55.250>
Source record: 10473
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Darkwing" <theducksmail"AT"yahoo.com> wrote in news:GuKdneYz5s4gb1_eRVn-jw@giganews.com:

>
> Ham is out, CB is in. Breaker 1-9, what's your 20? We got us a smoky bear
> heading eastbound on the I-80, come back driver.
>
> ---------------------------------------
> DW
>
>
>
>

Yawn that's soooo last century.

--
Panzer

144. Re: Some scanners missing at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-10 05:29:49 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Qfqdneu7YobN217eRVn-gQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 10474

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:tb36s1590gffreb7d4kn85bc4vuak3bm76@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 9 Jan 2006 16:16:56 -0500, "Bill Crocker"
> <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>You are correct that Don Starr writes excellent software, ie; Win95,
>>Win96,
>>Win97, etc. Unfortunately he doesn't write software for the PRO-2052.
>>All
>>of Don's software is for Radio Shack (GRE manufactured) radios. The Radio
>>Shack PRO-2052 is manufactured for them by Uniden.
>
> You're right, Bill. I don't keep up with RS's model numbers. But if
> it's Uniden the command set should be pretty easy to figure out.

I hear ya Al! I couldn't keep up either if it wasn't for being out of work,
and bored to death! LOL! They change models like Michigan's weather
changes!

Bill Crocker

145. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96 (Choppy Audio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-10 12:02:19 UTC
From: someone <fdgd@gdsfgfd.net>
Message-ID: <0487s1dmoiavi2ubefj8g0sfoles4eerll@4ax.com>
Source record: 10475

Collapse the antenna fully or replace with a better quality 800 MHz
antenna.
Worked for me, "choppy audio" is no more !

On Mon, 09 Jan 2006 17:24:19 GMT, no one <noone@noon.us> wrote:

>
>
>J. Mc Laughlin wrote:
>> Dear "no one"
>>
>> You sure have an unusual name.
>>
>> Please try the following with your 2096: Use the control channel for
>> the Owosso system. It is 868.9375 MHz. In other words, do not use the
>> Genesee control channel on 868.8875 MHz. The Owosso system uses only one
>> transmitter thus avoiding the multiple-transmitter-multipath of the Genesee
>> system. The down side is that the Owosso system only has the Genesee
>> dispatch circuits and systems west, north, and south of Genesee.
>>
>> It will be interesting to learn what quality of signals you receive by
>> using the Owosso system.
>
>
>Thanks!
>
>I just got off the phone with Radio Shack and There answer is that There
>is something Wrong with The System.
>
>I say That this is UNTRUE.
> If there was a problem with the System, All the Police Cars and
>Emergency vehicles who are trying to Save lives would be hampered .
> also If it Was true , I am Sure That my LOCAL agencies Would DROP the
>system and go Back to WhaTEVER works.
>
>It sounds to me that is is a DESIGN problem with the PRO 2096, and
>Radioshack needs to Put out an UPDATE to the Firmware or a Complete RECALL !
>
>
> A call to my LOCAL radio shack came up with more info. The manager
>says That all the pro2096 she has sold, Every person is complaing of
>this problem, They are issuing lots of REFUNDS !
>
> By the Way, Tech at fort worth Says the RADIO/SCANNER (PRO 2096) is
>Still an ACTIVE product ! Even tho there is no mention of it on Radio

>Shacks Website ! As we all Know, The Webmaster At Radio Shack.com Needs
>to View His or HER work and Make sure it is Correct !
>
>
>>
>> Regards, Mac
>> --
>> J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.
>> Home: JCM@Power-Net.Net
>> "no one" <noone@noon.us> wrote in message
>> news:lG0wf.46482$BZ5.34530@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>>
>>>
>>>Byung Myung Sying wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Skuby wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>The best scanner that I have ever owned and I have owned 5 in the past.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I add:
>>>>
>>>>I agree that the PRO-96 is a fabulous scanner. You need to purchase
>>>>Don Starr's product "Win96" to really make it a powerful scanning
>>>>device. Win96 allows you to open up military aircraft frequencies as
>>>>well as to directly program the PRO-96 directly from the
>>>>RadioReference database.
>>>>
>>>>You should note that here in the Detroit, MI area, we are having
>>>>problems decoding the Detroit Police Department's new digital system.
>>>>This problem is not specific to the PRO-96, the Uniden 396 also
>>>>exhibits the same problem. Both companies are examining the problem
>>>>and don't yet have an answer for us as to whether the problem will be
>>>>fixable.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>My pro 2096 is one month old and even with Win96 programing The audio is
>>>REAL CHOPPY. I hear every other word said Here in GENESEE COUNTY . Mi
>>> (Flint, Mi area)
>>> Ps.
>>>The city of Flint Decided Not to use the Digital system.. I am beginning
>>>to think they WERE WISE after looking at all the foums on the Motorola
>>> apco-25 system.
>>
>>
>>

146. Re: ebooks (now in other group) [1/2]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-10 14:33:47 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <neSwf.27$Ya5.19@fe04.lga>
Source record: 10476
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Well, the index came across... There are way too many that I would be interested in. I would guess probably about 25% to 30% of what you originally posted... Unfortunately, if my provider didn't get your postings the first time around, they probably won't show up the second time around either. Very disappointing... I can only guess that you got incorrectly filtered out by one of their SPAM filters ...

I will try to see if I can narrow it down to maybe just a few and see if they come across this time. I did file a complaint that they weren't making it through...

"Jay" <Jay@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:3f293$43bab300$3ec2e59a$23067@news.chello.nl...
> Hello,
>
> All postings (5 Gb) are made just before 31 Dec so
> i am finished.
> I have also posted an index so one can ask for reposts.
> All are / where posted in alt.binaries.e-book.flood
> Let me know what you are searching for.
> Will try to attache the index to this message, hope it works.
>
> JaNoSh
>
>
>

147. Re: Ham Radio, How I got caught

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-10 17:56:30 UTC
From: Krackula
Message-ID: <ems7s1ltfarr3ang31piqm49ibcopcu92b@4ax.com>
Source record: 10477
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 10 Jan 2006 04:46:58 GMT, Panzer240 <panzer240@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>"Darkwing" <theducksmail"AT"yahoo.com> wrote in news:GuKdneYz5s4gb1_eRVn-
>jw@giganews.com:
>
>
>>
>> Ham is out, CB is in. Breaker 1-9, what's your 20? We got us a smoky bear
>> heading eastbound on the I-80, come back driver.
>>
>> ------------------------------------
>> DW
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>Yawn that's soooo last century.

yea ..... 70's ish for sure ..... PLUS ..... all the zillions
of gang bangers have discovered CB and NOW if you mention
where you are or radio for help from some roadside breakdown .....
the bangers are the first to show up to offer their " special "
type of aid !

on the up side .. if you need some crack, a shady lady or just
want to hear different gangs threaten to shoot each other ......
then CB is the place to be ! cb = call'in bangers ....

my how times have change !!!! h hhah aha ha a a

148. Re: Mr. Scanner CD-ROM question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-10 18:42:59 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <RPGdnWsqc5KqnVneRVn-jw@comcast.com>
Source record: 10478

You don't need it. Go to http://www.radioreference.com then click on
Database near the top!

Bill Crocker

"Ron Purdue" <RONALDPURDUE@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:7NDwf.1771$xz.1522@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> Here is a question about Mr. Scanner CD-ROM, What version of MS Windows
> will
> it run under? My computer runs under Microsoft Windoes 98SE. I checked
> Grove
> Enterprise's web site tonight and it doesn't mention the mininum system
> requirements. Help!
>
>

149. Pro 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-10 18:48:07 UTC
From: dave <dave1@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1136918887.792483.309430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10479

I have just purchased a Radio Shack PRO 97, and my only goal is to
program the local Fire Dept.
They are now an 800 trunking system. I have all of the 800
frequencies that I need, and I have a list of the 5 digit "Codes"
that I need to monitor them in the 'closed' mode, but I'm not able to
make this happen.
I'm pulling my hair out. I called the 900 number for RS and they said
"oh yeah,,, no problem, the instructions are on page 74.

Why is this so difficult? Are all scanners this hard to program?

Dave

150. Re: Pro 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-10 18:56:27 UTC
From: dave <dave1@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1136919387.752124.18540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10480

As I re read my post, let me be a little more clear.

I have my scanner programmed, and I can listen to all of the City PD
and County Sheriff, along with snow plows and city maintance crews.

All that I want to listen to is Fire calls. (I'm a ff. and I like
knowing what is going on when it is busy).
The book tells me that I can plug the code in and only listen to what I
want to hear but I'll be darned if I can get it to do it.

151. Re: Pro 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-10 20:44:04 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <o0Vwf.3695$WY5.1419@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10481

"dave" <dave1@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1136919387.752124.18540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> As I re read my post, let me be a little more clear.
>
> I have my scanner programmed, and I can listen to all of the City PD
> and County Sheriff, along with snow plows and city maintance crews.
>
> All that I want to listen to is Fire calls. (I'm a ff. and I like
> knowing what is going on when it is busy).
> The book tells me that I can plug the code in and only listen to what I
> want to hear but I'll be darned if I can get it to do it.
>

When you are listening to these, does the "talk group" #'s come up with each call? Do you have the control Channel / channels programmed? Are you able to follow the conversations without the scanner stopping on the control channel? If it follows the conversations, is not stopping on the control and you are seeing the Talk groups, all this is assuming you are listening to a Motorola system, then yes all you need to do is change the bank to closed mode. The 97 I had would not work, but from the instructions it looks almost the same as the 95. Look in the manual under Open and closed" mode. You "may" be almost there. You also may only have loaded the frequencies they use, but may not have the scanner set up to trunk, so it's just stopping on the frequency it finds traffic on. It does take a little to get used to, when our system went to the 800 it took me a while to figure out the way to get the 95 to trunk. If someone else here that knows the 97 better than me does not make this any easier, try the Radio Reference site. They have some great info to help you understand how Trunking works and how to get to where you hear only what you want.
--
"Everything in excess! To enjoy the flavor of life, take big bites.
Moderation is for monks."
[Lazarus Long]

152. Re: Pro 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-10 21:24:06 UTC
From: dave <dave1@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1136928246.144931.77560@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10482

Your question: When you are listening to these, does the "talk group"
#'s come up with each call?
Answer: I'm pretty sure that they do. On the bottom line where it
says "ID" then it has 4 numbers. Nowhere do the fleet ID's show
up. Even when I typed in the ID's they converted to another 4 digit
number.

Your question: Are you able to follow the conversations without the
scanner stopping on the control channel?
Answer: It is difficult to follow the conversation since the
transmissions don't stay on the same channel. If they weren't
interrupted with other conversations, I'm sure that I could follow
them. The control channel only comes on when I hit "Manual".
Otherwise, it is quiet.

I have the bank on closed, but I'm picking up conversations from the
cops, and I didn't insert their ID's. This is where I'm confused.

153. Re: Ham Radio, How I got caught

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-10 21:31:39 UTC
From: xmradio <xmradio@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uMqdnYaBwpAzulneRVn-iQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 10483
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Panzer240" <panzer240@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Xns97477F54A5B0fw190a8@198.80.55.250...
> "Darkwing" <theducksmail"AT"yahoo.com> wrote in news:GuKdneYz5s4gb1_eRVn-
> jw@giganews.com:
>
>
>>
>> Ham is out, CB is in. Breaker 1-9, what's your 20? We got us a smoky bear
>> heading eastbound on the I-80, come back driver.
>>
>> ---------------------------------------
>> DW
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
> Yawn that's soooo last century.
>
> --
> Panzer
>

Its like when I visit my pals down the street, I can still listen to my
satelite stuff on my pocket radio...

xman

154. Re: Pro 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-10 23:31:52 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ItXwf.3752$WY5.2668@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10484

"dave" <dave1@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1136928246.144931.77560@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Your question: When you are listening to these, does the "talk group"
> #'s come up with each call?
> Answer: I'm pretty sure that they do. On the bottom line where it
> says "ID" then it has 4 numbers. Nowhere do the fleet ID's show
> up. Even when I typed in the ID's they converted to another 4 digit
> number.
>
> Your question: Are you able to follow the conversations without the
> scanner stopping on the control channel?
> Answer: It is difficult to follow the conversation since the
> transmissions don't stay on the same channel. If they weren't
> interrupted with other conversations, I'm sure that I could follow
> them. The control channel only comes on when I hit "Manual".
> Otherwise, it is quiet.
>
> I have the bank on closed, but I'm picking up conversations from the
> cops, and I didn't insert their ID's. This is where I'm confused.
>
Sounds like you are on a different system than we use here. I know how to
use the Motorola Type II because that's what we use. There are no Fleet ID's
so it sounds like you are on a different system. Hopefully someone here who
knows will be of more help. In the mean time it would help if you post what
city you are trying to listen to. There may be others here who also live
there. Also did you check the Radio Reference page, and see if it has the
info for your city/ county? If it does it may be able to explain it to you.
It (RR) may at least tell you which type of trunked system you are trying to
listen to. There are a few different types of trunked systems and the
scanner has to be set up for them differently. It can be frustrating. I know
when they were switching here I had a hell of a time trying to just do it
with the manual on my old 95. Some help from the net finally gave me what I
needed, but unfortunately I only know how to use the one system. Hopefully
someone here can show you what needs done. I'm sure it's a simple fix. Try
posting to alt.radio.scanner also. I'm sure someone will know how to set it
up once they know what kind of system you are trying to scan.
--
"Everything in excess! To enjoy the flavor of life, take big bites.
Moderation is for monks."
[Lazarus Long]

155. PRO-43 upgrade to PRO-97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-11 01:50:01 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <dvZwf.49613$BZ5.27208@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 10485

I have a pro-43 to listen to military air and I'm wondering if the PRO-97 would be an upgrade? The PRO-43 works great, but maybe it's getting too old. What do you guys think?

Frank

156. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96 (Choppy Audio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-11 02:31:43 UTC
From: no one <noone@noon.us>
Message-ID: <j6_wf.49630$BZ5.11042@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 10486

someone wrote:

> Collapse the antenna fully or replace with a better quality 800 MHz
> antenna.
> Worked for me, "choppy audio" is no more !

I just tried it and it DIDNOT cure the problem

>
>
>
>
> On Mon, 09 Jan 2006 17:24:19 GMT, no one <noone@noon.us> wrote:
>
>
>>
>>J. Mc Laughlin wrote:
>>
>>>Dear "no one"
>>>
>>> You sure have an unusual name.
>>>
>>> Please try the following with your 2096: Use the control channel for
>>>the Owosso system. It is 868.9375 MHz. In other words, do not use the
>>>Genesee control channel on 868.8875 MHz. The Owosso system uses only one
>>>transmitter thus avoiding the multiple-transmitter-multipath of the Genesee
>>>system. The down side is that the Owosso system only has the Genesee
>>>dispatch circuits and systems west, north, and south of Genesee.
>>>
>>> It will be interesting to learn what quality of signals you receive by
>>>using the Owosso system.
>>
>>
>>Thanks!
>>
>>I just got off the phone with Radio Shack and There answer is that There
>>is something Wrong with The System.
>>
>>I say That this is UNTRUE.
>> If there was a problem with the System, All the Police Cars and
>>Emergency vehicles who are trying to Save lives would be hampered .
>> also If it Was true , I am Sure That my LOCAL agencies Would DROP the
>>system and go Back to WhaTEVER works.
>>
>>It sounds to me that is is a DESIGN problem with the PRO 2096, and

>>Radioshack needs to Put out an UPDATE to the Firmware or a Complete RECALL !
>>
>>
>> A call to my LOCAL radio shack came up with more info. The manager
>>says That all the pro2096 she has sold, Every person is complaing of
>>this problem, They are issuing lots of REFUNDS !
>>
>> By the Way, Tech at fort worth Says the RADIO/SCANNER (PRO 2096) is
>>Still an ACTIVE product ! Even tho there is no mention of it on Radio
>>Shacks Website ! As we all Know, The Webmaster At Radio Shack.com Needs
>>to View His or HER work and Make sure it is Correct !
>>
>>
>>
>>> Regards, Mac
>>>--
>>>J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.
>>>Home: JCM@Power-Net.Net
>>>"no one" <noone@noon.us> wrote in message
>>>news:lG0wf.46482$BZ5.34530@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>>Byung Myung Sying wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Skuby wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>The best scanner that I have ever owned and I have owned 5 in the past.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>I add:
>>>>>
>>>>>I agree that the PRO-96 is a fabulous scanner. You need to purchase
>>>>>Don Starr's product "Win96" to really make it a powerful scanning
>>>>>device. Win96 allows you to open up military aircraft frequencies as
>>>>>well as to directly program the PRO-96 directly from the
>>>>>RadioReference database.
>>>>>
>>>>>You should note that here in the Detroit, MI area, we are having
>>>>>problems decoding the Detroit Police Department's new digital system.
>>>>>This problem is not specific to the PRO-96, the Uniden 396 also
>>>>>exhibits the same problem. Both companies are examining the problem
>>>>>and don't yet have an answer for us as to whether the problem will be
>>>>>fixable.
>>>>
>>>>

>>>>
>>>>My pro 2096 is one month old and even with Win96 programing The audio is
>>>>REAL CHOPPY. I hear every other word said Here in GENESEE COUNTY . Mi
>>>> (Flint, Mi area)
>>>> Ps.
>>>>The city of Flint Decided Not to use the Digital system.. I am beginning
>>>>to think they WERE WISE after looking at all the foums on the Motorola
>>>> apco-25 system.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>

157. Re: PRO-43 upgrade to PRO-97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-11 03:09:40 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <rmt8s15imlo2hmjq77js1otgtc1ga126d7@4ax.com>
Source record: 10487

On Wed, 11 Jan 2006 01:50:01 GMT, "Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>I have a pro-43 to listen to military air and I'm wondering if the PRO-97
>would be an upgrade? The PRO-43 works great, but maybe it's getting too old.
>What do you guys think?

For MilAir? Nothing's changed since the 43 came out.

158. Re: PRO-43 upgrade to PRO-97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-11 04:46:51 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <jZKdnZZNDvgiEFnenZ2dnUVZ_tednZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10488

Hi Frank!

"If it works don't fix it!", as the saying goes. However, the PRO-97 has several added luxuries such as alpha tags, better audio, a super back light, computer programmable, Signal Stalker II, bigger display, bigger keypad & buttons, etc. Oh yea, it's on sale too ($50.00 off!).

You could easily pay for half of it, or more, by selling the PRO-43 which is still sought after. Something to think about.

Bill Crocker

"Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:dvZwf.49613$BZ5.27208@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>I have a pro-43 to listen to military air and I'm wondering if the PRO-97
>would be an upgrade? The PRO-43 works great, but maybe it's getting too
>old. What do you guys think?
>
>
> Frank
>

159. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-11 04:53:50 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <11s93o3cg95nd0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10489

Dear Mr.Klein:

It is not the control channel that is the problem. It may be sent from only one transmitter (and thus suffer only the old-fashion multipath type of distortion). In other words, it appears that the control channel is decoded successfully. This could be due to the existence of a robust type of coding that combats old-fashion multipath type of distortion.

However, when mobile stations are sent by the control channel off to one of the allocated frequencies, problems seem to occur with the digitally encoded voice signals. Since systems that use a total of one transmitting site do not appear to suffer and systems that use multiple transmitting sites do suffer, one hypothecates reasons.

A form of multipath distortion exists that is due to the use of multiple, well spaced transmitters that are transmitting at the same time. That is the type of distortion I called "multiple-transmitter-multipath."

One might guess that if one is close to one of the transmitters (of a multiple transmitter system) then one would not have a problems because the near transmitter's emissions would dominate. I have not tested this hypothesis.

However, when one is receiving multiple transmissions of approximately equal amplitude but transmissions that have encountered different delays, then one expects to see what looks like multipath. An enhanced receiver can remove the problem at a cost that includes slight delay. A "low cost" receiver without enhanced processing may have a hard time decoding signals that have been contaminated by multipath of any kind.

When listening to a one transmitter site (Owosso), my BCD396T receives almost all voice signals well most of the time. When listening to a system (APCO25) that uses multiple transmitting sites (Genesee), my BCD396T produces distorted audio much of the time. At my location, the signal from the control channel of the multiple transmitter system is somewhat stronger than the signal from the one transmitter system.

Even back in the RTTY days with each "bit" being 20 to 22 mS long, multipath was capable of distorting signals. The much higher data rates of the digital system in question makes the decoding-in-the-presence-of-one-type-or-another-multipath difficult.

In short: multipath can be caused by means other than signal reflections and the present "low cost" receivers seem not to contain multipath resistance processing.

Regards, Mac

--
J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.
Home: JCM@Power-Net.Net

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:nu26s1h79ks5p2s0seb5qnqbv2mt9vm958@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 9 Jan 2006 08:47:35 -0500, "J. Mc Laughlin"
> <jcm@power-net.net> wrote:
>
> >The Owosso system uses only one
> >transmitter thus avoiding the multiple-transmitter-multipath of the
Genesee
> >system.
>
> If you're talking about the MPSCS, it's a Motorola system, so there's
> only 1 control channel on the air at a time from any site. Multipath
> isn't caused by multiple transmitters, it's caused by signal
> reflections.

160. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96 (Choppy Audio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-11 04:59:12 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <11s9424t6r0j5f3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10490

Dear "no one"

Thank you for describing your experience.

It may well be that you are too far away from the Owosso system for your receiver to receive a useful signal. I am on the western edge of Genesee County and I have no difficulty receiving both systems.

Regards, Mac

--
J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.
Home: JCM@Power-Net.Net
"no one" <noone@noon.us> wrote in message
news:yzzwf.4723$fb4.1830@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
>
> no one wrote:

>
>
> Tried this and I Got NO RECEPTION what so ever with the pro 2096 (
Signals)
>
>

161. Re: Some scanners missing at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-11 12:33:32 UTC
From: DanOfWA <danofwa@nventure.com>
Message-ID: <wOmdnV_yU_e9ZIneRVn-gA@nventure.com>
Source record: 10491

I misspoke.....
I should have said it is not cripple ware
(and yes I did pay for mine -- eventually)

Bill Crocker wrote:
> Technically it's not free. It's advertised as shareware, meaning that it's
> free to try, but if you like it, and continue to use it, your supposed to
> buy it. He's only asking for $14.95 which is very reasonable.
>
> What's interesting is this software looks exactly like ScanPro2052!
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "DanOfWA" <danofwa@nventure.com> wrote in message
> news:43C2ECB3.7010700@nventure.com...
>
>>There is a free program for the Pro-2052
>>I use it alot..and it may not have some of the extra stuff that the other
>>program mentioned has but being free and it does work quite well
>>I have been using it for a while and it works great
>>
>>it says it is for win9x but I imagine it would work on XP as well
>>
>>you can find it at
>>
>>http://www.qsl.net/kd5lmy/software1.htm
>>
>>Al Klein wrote:
>>
>>
>>>On Sun, 8 Jan 2006 07:29:55 -0600, "Honest John"
>>><honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>I didn't necessarily mean "how" one could detect if a R.S. product will
>>>>be
>>>>discontinued but "why" R.S. would discontinue such an excellent scanner
>>>>as
>>>>the Pro-2052.
>>>
>>>
>>>RS's rationale isn't how good a scanner is, it's how profitable it is.

>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>I'm missing some obscure fact as to why the R.S. execs. would
>>>>chose to axe this particular scanner when they came out with such
>>>>inferior
>>>>scanners that desperately need to be axed.
>>
>>>
>>>The "inferior" ones bring in profit - the axed ones don't. It's not
>>>obscure at all.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>I have another question, the two times I tried to order the computer
>>>>program
>>>>that would allow me to control the scanner from my computer met with
>>>>comments like: They discontinued that, or We can't get it in this store.
>>>>Anyone know the "real" story of the advertised computer program that
>>>>some,
>>>>including myself, couldn't get ?
>>>
>>>
>>>Which program are you talking about? The only one RS ever sold (that
>>>I know of) is discontinued, and it wasn't all that good to begin with.
>>>Get Don Starr's program for whichever scanner you have, and you won't
>>>be sorry. (I have nothing to do with either RS or Don, but I write
>>>software and I recognize good stuff when I see [and use] it.)
>>><http://www.starrsoft.com>
>>
>>
>>--
>>
>>NOTE: This email does not and is not to be considered as evidence of,
>>creation of nor proof of an existing business relationship between myself
>>and any other party and shall supercede any existent policy concerning
>>formation or continuation of a business relationship the other party to
>>this email has when communicating with me. The sole determination of
>>existing, creation or proof of business relationship shall be determined a
>>positive statement of creating said relationship in the content of the
>>email originating FROM my computer and NOT that of the other parties
>>computer, system or network.
>>
>>
>>
>>==
>> NOTICE TO BULK/COMMERICAL E-MAILERS:
>>==
>>
>>Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and

>>all unsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address is subject to a
>>download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US.
>>
>>Anyone who sends unsolicited commercial e-mail to this account will be
>>charged a $500 processing fee. Viewing this mail will be considered
>>official notification and acceptance of these conditions.
>>
>> Failure to abide by this will result in legal action.
>>
>>RCW 19.190.020
>>Unpermitted or misleading electronic mail -- Prohibition.
>> (1) No person may initiate the transmission, conspire with another to
>>initiate the transmission, or assist the transmission, of a commercial
>>electronic mail message from a computer located in Washington or to an
>>electronic mail address that the sender knows, or has reason to know, is
>>held by a Washington resident that:
>> (a) Uses a third party's internet domain name without permission of
>>the third party, or otherwise misrepresents or obscures any information in
>>identifying the point of origin or the transmission path of a commercial
>>electronic mail message; or
>> (b) Contains false or misleading information in the subject line.
>>
>>RCW 19.190.040
>>Violations -- Damages.
>> (1) Damages to the recipient of a commercial electronic mail message
>>sent in violation of this chapter are five hundred dollars, or actual
>>damages, whichever is greater.
>
>
>

--

NOTE: This email does not and is not to be considered as evidence of,
creation of nor proof of an existing business relationship between
myself and any other party and shall supercede any existent policy
concerning formation or continuation of a business relationship the
other party to this email has when communicating with me. The sole
determination of existing, creation or proof of business relationship
shall be determined a positive statement of creating said relationship
in the content of the email originating FROM my computer and NOT that of
the other parties computer, system or network.

==========
NOTICE TO BULK/COMMERICAL E-MAILERS:
==========

Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and
all unsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address is subject to a
download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US.

Anyone who sends unsolicited commercial e-mail to this account will be charged a $500 processing fee. Viewing this mail will be considered official notification and acceptance of these conditions.

Failure to abide by this will result in legal action.

RCW 19.190.020
Unpermitted or misleading electronic mail -- Prohibition.
(1) No person may initiate the transmission, conspire with another to initiate the transmission, or assist the transmission, of a commercial electronic mail message from a computer located in Washington or to an electronic mail address that the sender knows, or has reason to know, is held by a Washington resident that:
(a) Uses a third party's internet domain name without permission of the third party, or otherwise misrepresents or obscures any information in identifying the point of origin or the transmission path of a commercial electronic mail message; or
(b) Contains false or misleading information in the subject line.

RCW 19.190.040
Violations -- Damages.
(1) Damages to the recipient of a commercial electronic mail message sent in violation of this chapter are five hundred dollars, or actual damages, whichever is greater.

162. K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-11 17:01:24 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1136997897.666502.325350@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10492
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Before the Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers
State of Maine

In the matter of:

Brian L. Crow, K3VR, Complainant # E05 003

vs.

Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, Respondent

Registered Professional Engineer, By Examination, in Illinois

and

Registered Professional Engineer, by Reciprocity, in Maine

Amateur Radio Call Sign K1MAN

MOTION TO DISMISS

or

REQUEST TO TABLE

or

REQUEST FOR HEARING

and

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS UNDER FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT

Respondent, Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, hereby comes through this pleading and makes this sworn affidavit of his own personal knowledge.

INTRODUCTION

Complainant, Brian L. Crow, has filed a written "Complaint" with the Maine Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers against the Respondent, and following board procedures, its Complaince Officer will present the findings of his "investigation," if any, to the Board at its regular public meeting on January 19, 2006 at 9 A.M. Mr. Crow represented to Respondent that he (Crow) has also filed an identical "Complaint" with the Illinois Division of Professional Regulation.

Mr. Crow has also petitioned all State of Maine Representatives and Senators to withdraw their official recognition of Respondent dated 13 March 1991 as well as petitioning Vermont Academy to withdraw Respondent being honored as a Distinguished Alumnus of the Academy on 1 October 1993. Further, Mr. Crow published an "editorial" in a local Maine Newspaper calling for withdrawing the Maine Legislature's recognition of the Respondent and falsely representing that he (Crow) was writing as a resident of Manchester, Maine. "Manchester Newsletter" is the name of an anonymous and scurrilous newsletter about the Respondent and is referred to below with regard to retired CBS newsman, Walter Cronkite, KB2GSB.

The Maine Legislature voted as follows on 13 March 1991:

"Be it known to all that We, the Members of the Senate and House of Representatives join in recognizing Glenn Baxter, of Belgrade Lakes who, as a coordinator and ham radio operator for the International Amateur Radio Network and International Amateur Radio Network Peace Corps, has served humankind through his noble efforts to facilitate international communication for the disaster stricken areas of the world;

And be it ordered that this official expression of sentiment be sent forthwith on behalf of the Legislature and the people of the State of Maine."

Mr. Crow has also filed "Complaints" with the Maine Attorney General, the SEC, the FBI, the Federal Trade Commission, the FCC, etc., etc., ad infinitum.

FACTS

Complainant seeks to revoke the Professional Engineering licenses of Respondent which could naturally lead to either non renewal or revocation of Respondent's commercial and amateur radio FCC licenses where rather vague issues of "character" might play a deciding role in any such FCC action. Complainant offers to the Maine Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers evidence of an "FCC Notice of Apparent Liability" against Complainant, a Petition to Deny Renewal of Respondent's FCC amateur radio license (by Keith Lamonica, an attorney admitted to practice before the FCC), and a letter urging the FCC to not renew Respondent's FCC license by former CBS anchorman Walter Cronkite (a backer of ARRL, the American Radio Relay League), whose amateur radio call sign is KB2GSD.

Respondent is Executive Director of AARA, the American Amateur Radio Association, which directly competes with Complainant, Cronkite, and Lamonica backed ARRL, the American Radio Relay League, which is also an amateur radio "trade association." ARRL has a short wave "broadcast(1)" station with call sign W1AW which attempts to compete with Respondent's "broadcast(1)" station with call sign K1MAN. There is considerable professional jealousy between W1AW and K1MAN. Both

"broadcast(1)" stations are barred from commercial interests such as direct on air advertising, transmitting music, etc.

Respondent is also Manager of IARN, the International Amateur Radio Network, which directly competes with ARRL's amateur radio emergency communications organization known as ARES, the Amateur Radio Emergency Service.

Both AARA and IARN are divisions or the IARN Amateur Radio Peace Corps Foundation, Inc., a 501(c)(3) tax exempt Maine corporation.

The Complainant(s), de facto, being Crow, Cronkite, the FCC, and Lamonica would seem to prefer that AARA and K1MAN be eliminated from competing with ARRL and W1AW.

MOTIVATION OF COMPLAINANTS

Brian L. Crow

Mr. Crow represented to Respondent that he is a former NSA operative and has grants from the U.S. Department of Justice as well as the state of Pennsylvania, presumably to look into possible terrorist connections between Respondent and other factions world wide. IARN does, indeed, have operations world wide under the name and style of the Maine foundation and corporation IARN Amateur Radio Peace Corps Foundation, Inc. Respondent denies all of Mr. Crows allegations with regard to anything improper by Respondent or Respondent's many national and international operations, all humanitarian in nature. I am sure thet the NSA is capable of keeping "tabs" on IARN, AARA, K1MAN, and your Respondent.

Mr. Crow has essentially been "stalking" Respondent since 1992, presumably driven by his own jealousy and amusement and also to defraud the Justice Department and the state of Pennsylvania out of grant money coming from public taxes in the wake and huge frenzy following 911. Mr. Crow can be heard daily on amateur radio acting in a juvinile fashion (not illegal) but with no constructive sense of purpose (also not illegal). Mr. Crow sends harassing E-Mail to Respondent daily and appears to create anonymous anti Respondent web sites on a regular and continuing basis. All of Crow's allegations are false and/or greatly misleading, and Respondent intends to subpoena him before this Board.

Walter Cronkite, KB2GSB

Mr. Cronkite did a K1MAN station ID for Respondent years ago when his autobiography was about to come out, not then known to Respondent. Mr. Cronkite's ulterior motive was to promote his book by getting free publicity over K1MAN's international short wave programs. The backers of ARRL and W1AW were not too happy about Mr. Cronkite's thus implied support of IARN and amateur "broadcast"(1) station K1MAN. One anonymous radio amateur and ARRL supporter (presumably) even began publishing an anti Respondent newsletter (Manchester Newsletter) with

the main and untrue theme being that Respondent was a convicted child molester and/or an "alleged child molester." Respondent met with the FBI regarding this vicious newsletter, published for many years and now defunct after Respondent brought suit against three suspected perpetrators, one being Chuck O'Neil, K1KW, who is part of the Lamonica petition. This newsletter (group) contacted Mr. Cronkite at CBS and threatened to also implicate him (Cronkite) in child molesting allegations. Cronkite then asked Respondent to cease using the ID, and Respondent agreed only if Mr. Cronkite would appear on Respondent's world wide short wave radio program and explain why he made this request. Cronkite declined and threatened to "go public" against Respondent which he subsequently did, his letter supplied to Crow, published all over the internet, and, in turn, supplied to the Maine Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers.

Respondent, not wanting to "cave in" to this criminal blackmail, tantamount to terrorism, continues to use the Cronkite ID, since pulling the ID would appear to be a (false) admission of guilt on several fronts. All of Cronkite's allegations are false, and Respondent intends to subpoena Mr. Cronkite before this Board.

Keith Lamonica, Esq., W7DXX

Mr. Lamonica is presumably being paid well by ARRL, Mr. Crow, or Mr. Cronkite, or all three. Perhaps Lamonica is just jealous and is working against Respondent "for free." All of Lamonica's allegations are false and/or misleading, and Respondent intends to subpoena him before this Board.

Mr. Lamonica has distorted facts and provided wholly false testimony in his petition, part of the instant "Complaint."

Regarding Rockwell Collins, Respondent legally acquired and used the Collins trade for many years after it was legally abandoned by Rockwell International after they bought out the Collins Radio Company and dropped using the Collins trade mark for the statutory two year abandonment period. Lamonica's version of this issue is false and misleading. The entire Lamonica petition is false and misleading. Respondent intends to subpoena Lamonica to appear before this Board.

FUNDAMENTAL ISSUES REGARDING THE FCC AND RESPONDENT

Respondent began transmitting world wide short wave programs in 1987. An FCC letter ruling in 1989 equated K1MAN programs with W1AW programs, both perfectly legal, of course..

In 1991 the International Amateur Radio Network was sending donated humanitarian supplies from Germany to Iran (in response to a major earthquake killing over 100,000 people and making over 100,000 people homeless) with the stipulation that IARN volunteers (from the Soviet Union and England) would supervise the distribution. The U.S. State Department objected to IARN's stipulations since they prefer to just

send the relief supplies, no strings attached. IARN would never again get donated supplies from its valuable private sources if the supplies ever fell into non humanitarian hands (such as the military). The State Department has unlimited sources for their politically motivated relief.

Shortly thereafter, K1MAN received three Notices of Apparent Liability (totaling $3,600) from the FCC for doing exactly what was sanctioned by the FCC the previous year and is done daily by ARRL and W1AW. Respondent tried to take these conflicting FCC actions to court for resolution many times, without success, including a lawsuit in Federal District Court. See the attached Federal District Court ORDER.

Now the FCC has issued another "Notice of Apparent Liability," (the operative word here being "apparent!") this time for $21,000, as well as putting Respondent's amateur radio renewal application in "pending" status. Law permits K1MAN to continue operations until final disposition and all court appeals of the renewal application, of course.

The main issue is that scheduled W1AW and K1MAN short wave programs might cause incidental (not criminal) interference to ongoing communications either on the published frequency intentionally (to cause a conflict - a criminal act on the part of ARRL supporters) or unintentionally. The FCC has ruled that such K1MAN and W1AW programs are not less important than such existing communications. Conflicts must be resovled between the parties on a common sense basis per FCC rules. K1MAN has ALWAYS attempted to resolve any conflicts in good faith, and the other parties (Bermuda Net, Georgia SSB Net, ND8V and company, Polish Net, Salvation Army, Boy Scouts, etc.) basically say "Heck no; we will get the FCC to revoke your license instead."

The Commission (Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH) has actually encouraged radio amateurs to cause intrerference with K1MAN programs, such encouragement being a felony under Sections 333 and 501 of the 1934 Communications Act:

Section 333: "No person shall willfully or maliciously interfere with or cause interference to any radio communications or any station licensed or authorized by or under this Act operated by the United States Government."

Section 501: "Any person who willfully and knowingly does or causes or suffers to be done any act, matter or thing, in this Act prohibited or declared to be unlawful, or WHO WILLINGLY OR KNOWINGLY OMITS OR FAILS TO DO ANY ACT MATTER OR THING IN THIS ACT REQUIRED TO BE DONE, OR WILLINGLY OR KNOWINGLY CAUSES OR SUFFERS SUCH OMISSION OR FAILURE, shall, upon conviction thereof, be punished for such offense, for which no penalty (other than a forfeiture) is provided by this Act, by a fine of not more than $10,000 or by imprisonment for a term not exceeding two years, or both."

In fact, Respondent first learned of this law in ARRL's 1989 FCC Rule Book. K1MAN began to publicize this criminal statute over the short wave programs, and ARRL then deleted the law from its 1990 and all subsequent FCC Rule Books, this act itself being a felony by ARRL.

FCC and Justice Department officials who have discouraged Respondent from reporting intentional criminal interference to K1MAN transmissions to the Judtice Department are also, themselves, in criminal violation from this federal statute.

Thus, the FCC Notice of Apparent Liability against Respondent is alleging a felony, which carries a two year jail sentence and/or $10,000 fine per occurrence. Similar actions against ARRL would be substantial, since W1AW has been doing the same thing as K1MAN for almost 100 years.

THE FCC

The FCC is probably "in bed" with ARRL (welcome to America!), which they can control, where they cannot "control" respondent since Respondent has no paid advertising or "controllable" commercial interests. The allegations in their recent $21,000 Notice of Apparent Liability are all false and/or misleading, with the same bogus allegations also being made in 1990 and never allowed by the FCC to go to court for resolution.

Respondent's attempts to bring the FCC false allegations before a court have not succeeded. Respondent even brought suit against the FCC in Federal District Court which was dismissed on sovereign immunity grounds. See attached ORDER.

All of the FCC's allegations are false or misleading, and Respondent intends to subpoena various officials of the Commission before this Board.

Respondent's amateur radio license renewal is currently "pending" in "legal limbo" while Respondent continues to legally operate station K1MAN until court action is taken but not expected by Respondent. The FCC is apparently watching this Board's actions with regard to the instant Crow complaint.

JURISDICTION

This Board is essentially being asked to litigate an FCC matter and it has no jurisdiction to do so. If the Board revoked Respondent's Professional Engineering License, the FCC could, in turn, revoke Respondent's amateur radio license, (on character qualification grounds) and vice versa, presumably. This whole charade is just a cute ARRL and FCC trick; again, welcome to America! Fortunately, we lave laws and administrative procedures here, unlike so many other less fortunate countries.

Wherefore,

Respondent moves this Board to Dismiss the Complaint.

or

In the alternative, Respondent moves the Board to table the Complaint until final disposition of Respondent's matters before the FCC.

or

In the alternative, Respondent requests a full hearing before this Board.

and

Respondent requests production of all documents and evidence in this matter that this Board may now have that has not already been supplied to the Respondent.

and

Respondent requests to inspect all documents, notes, minutes, etc. under the Freedom of Information Act regarding all previous matters, complaints, etc. made by Respondent to this Board in the past and specifically regarding fire hazzards and code violations at Ray Bouchard's two radio stations where Respondent was once employed. This employer is mentioned in the Lamonica petition to deny Respondent's FCC amateur radio license, said petition being a part of Mr. Crow's "Complaint."

Respectfully submitted,

(signed)
Glenn A. Baxter, P.E.
Registered Professional Engineer
Amateur Call Sign K1MAN

cc: Brian L. Crow, K3VR
Walter Cronkite, KB2GSD
Keith Lamonica, W7DXX
Federal Communications Commission
Dave Sumner, K1ZZ, CEO, ARRL
Leo Meyerson, W0GFQ
James Mooney, Headmaster, Vermont Academy
Ray Bouchard
World wide distribution

(1) Broadcast is used in the generic sense here. Strict FCC legal definition of "broadcast" is "radio transmissions intended for reception by the general public," which is illegal under FCC rules and functionally impossible over amateur short wave radio since the public doesn't listen to amateur short wave radio because the subject matter of such transmissions must also be "Of direct interest to radio amateurs" under FCC rules.

Sworn before me,

_____ _____
Notary Public Date

163. Re: ebooks (now in other group) [1/2]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-11 17:14:16 UTC
From: Jay <Jay@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <8b1d$43c53ce8$3ec2e59a$5805@news.chello.nl>
Source record: 10493
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

PowerHouse Communications wrote:

> Well, the index came across... There are way too many that I would be
> interested in. I would guess probably about 25% to 30% of what you
> originally posted... Unfortunately, if my provider didn't get your
> postings the first time around, they probably won't show up the
> second time around either. Very disappointing... I can only guess
> that you got incorrectly filtered out by one of their SPAM filters ...
>
> I will try to see if I can narrow it down to maybe just a few and see
> if they come across this time. I did file a complaint that they
Hello,

Please let me know if your email adress (in your message posted here)
is valide, i will contact you by mail and see what can be done.
I think we work something out (FTP, Airmail or whatever).

(i will try sending a mail to the adress let me know it it arrives)

JaNoSh

>
> "Jay" <Jay@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:3f293$43bab300$3ec2e59a$23067@news.chello.nl...
> > Hello,
> >
> > All postings (5 Gb) are made just before 31 Dec so
> > i am finished.
> > I have also posted an index so one can ask for reposts.
> > All are / where posted in alt.binaries.e-book.flood
> > Let me know what you are searching for.
> > Will try to attache the index to this message, hope it works.
> >
> > JaNoSh
> >
> >
> >

164. Allocation frequencys for Florida/Trunk ID

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-11 18:52:43 UTC
From: craig <cpage122@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1137005563.381169.131970@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10494

Hi there I am trying to find allocation frequency for the state of
Florida that include motels/hotels,cab services,ect.

Also I am looking for Trunking IDs for the City of Tallahassee,Fl /
Leon County as well as the state of Florida .

If anyone out there can help me with this I want to say thank you
inadvance
Craig P.

Thank You

165. PRO-2096 is back on RS Web Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-11 22:21:35 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <yt6dnYsq8cZpGVjeRVn-qg@comcast.com>
Source record: 10495

The PRO-2096 digital scanner re-appeared on Radio Shack's web site
today...just as mysteriously as it disappeared a few days ago. Go figure?

Bill Crocker

166. Re: PRO-2096 is back on RS Web Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-11 23:14:15 UTC
From: no one <noone@noon.us>
Message-ID: <bjgxf.551$_S7.288@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 10496

Bill Crocker wrote:
> The PRO-2096 digital scanner re-appeared on Radio Shack's web site
> today...just as mysteriously as it disappeared a few days ago. Go figure?
>
> Bill Crocker

When I was talking to The RADIO SHACK Tech About the pro 2096 Not
working correctly .(last Friday) I mentioned that the pro 2096 was off
the WEBSITE and SHe said all her records State it is AN ACTIVE product.

I will be taking my pro 2096 Back tomorrow Morning 01/12/06 since I
cannot Get it to Recieve a Signal That ISNOT all Broken up ..
More in likely one of the REASONS RADIO shack has them Back in Stock again.

I talked to a local Policeman today and I listen to his Radio and There
WAS NO CHOPPIENESS .. Signal was Clear No Breaking up!!
So it Has to be a DEFECT in the FIRMWARE that Radio Shack HAS NOT
updated for The DETROIT/Genesee County , Mi AREA

$499.00 is Too much money to Sit and Wait For Radio Shack to offer an
updated Firmware At Radio Shacks Convience.

>
>

167. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-11 23:23:52 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11sb4s8ahk2ge26@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
news:8892087017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
>I know that I would be pissed if someone treid to cancel my profesional
> licenses to. I back K1MAN all the way because K1MAN is a great man.

Your a nut job. Probably have his picture next to your bed.

168. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-12 00:32:18 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <6s8bs1tf7ggi8a0qpa60ns3r53m0fi1u9p@4ax.com>
Source record: 10498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On 11 Jan 2006 17:13:23 GMT, Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:

>I know that I would be pissed if someone treid to cancel my profesional
>licenses to. I back K1MAN all the way because K1MAN is a great man.

Why is he a great man?

Vinnie S.

169. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-12 01:37:31 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <909-87887017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 10499
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 11 Jan 2006 19:32:18 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 11 Jan 2006 17:13:23 GMT, Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
>
> >I know that I would be pissed if someone treid to cancel my profesional
> >licenses to. I back K1MAN all the way because K1MAN is a great man.
>
> Why is he a great man?
>

He has recieved lots of awards including one from the state of Main
itself. Walter Cronkite promoted K1MAN to before the bad pple got to
him. It is a good thing the FCC cant put him off the air until they
go to court which they are scared to do. And there are pple who are
going to sindicate K1MAN's 20 meter show. N9OGL and Sirius radio are
both going to put it on the air.

K1MAN is a great man. Are you on Sirius radio? Has your state
priased you in a legistlature resolution? Has Walter Cronkite ever
announced on your station? I bet not because you are just average.

K1MAN is a great man and I back him all the way.

170. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-12 01:58:22 UTC
From: Amos Keag <A.K01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <PNydnYIETcQqKljeRVn-hw@comcast.com>
Source record: 10500
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Who is your psychological counselor?

He is at best a megalomaniac.

Lloyd wrote:

> On Wed, 11 Jan 2006 19:32:18 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>
>>On 11 Jan 2006 17:13:23 GMT, Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>I know that I would be pissed if someone treid to cancel my profesional
>>>licenses to. I back K1MAN all the way because K1MAN is a great man.
>>
>>Why is he a great man?
>>
>
>
> He has recieved lots of awards including one from the state of Main
> itself. Walter Cronkite promoted K1MAN to before the bad pple got to
> him. It is a good thing the FCC cant put him off the air until they
> go to court which they are scared to do. And there are pple who are
> going to sindicate K1MAN's 20 meter show. N9OGL and Sirius radio are
> both going to put it on the air.
>
> K1MAN is a great man. Are you on Sirius radio? Has your state
> priased you in a legistlature resolution? Has Walter Cronkite ever
> announced on your station? I bet not because you are just average.
>
> K1MAN is a great man and I back him all the way.

171. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-12 02:46:35 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <098698587017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 10501
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 11 Jan 2006 20:58:22 -0500, Amos Keag <A.K01@comcast.net> wrote:
> Who is your psychological counselor?
>
> He is at best a megalomaniac.
>
> Lloyd wrote:
>
> > On Wed, 11 Jan 2006 19:32:18 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> > (stupid top posting ruined this)

why do you top post? you know it is a sign of net ignorince and yet you
do it. I am not going to respond to top posts.

K1MAN would never top post because K1MAN is a great man.

172. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-12 02:51:08 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <3hgbs1tmfuj3qejfq5hmtfh5hron26n3uk@4ax.com>
Source record: 10502

On Tue, 10 Jan 2006 23:53:50 -0500, "J. Mc Laughlin"
<jcm@power-net.net> wrote:

>However, when mobile stations are sent by the control channel off to one
>of the allocated frequencies, problems seem to occur with the digitally
>encoded voice signals. Since systems that use a total of one transmitting
>site do not appear to suffer and systems that use multiple transmitting
>sites do suffer, one hypothecates reasons.

>A form of multipath distortion exists that is due to the use of
>multiple, well spaced transmitters that are transmitting at the same time.
>That is the type of distortion I called "multiple-transmitter-multipath."

Multiple site Motorola systems don't transmit on the same frequency
from different sites. In theory what you say is true (although it's
not "multipath", it's plain old simple interference - multipath refers
to a signal from a single transmitter arriving over multiple paths,
and nothing else), but if you look at the actual in-the-field sites,
you'll see that your theory isn't put into practice.

>One might guess that if one is close to one of the transmitters (of a
>multiple transmitter system) then one would not have a problems because the
>near transmitter's emissions would dominate. I have not tested this
>hypothesis.

It's not an hypothesis, it's called "capture effect", and it's a
property of FM receivers.

>When listening to a one transmitter site (Owosso), my BCD396T receives
>almost all voice signals well most of the time. When listening to a system
>(APCO25) that uses multiple transmitting sites (Genesee), my BCD396T
>produces distorted audio much of the time.

Genesee is *a* site - in a larger system that encompasses many sites..
The fact that it lists more than 1 control frequency doesn't mean that
it has multiple control channel transmitters on the air at the same
time.

>At my location, the signal from
>the control channel of the multiple transmitter system is somewhat stronger
>than the signal from the one transmitter system.

Since there's only 1 control transmitter on any one frequency at any
one time for any one site, you're analyzing the situation incorrectly.
You may be getting interference of some sort, but it's not due to
multiple control channel transmitters from the same system at the same
location.

>In short: multipath can be caused by means other than signal
>reflections

Except that what you're describing isn't called multipath. Multipath
is the term used to refer to signals from a single transmitter
arriving over multiple paths - hence "multi-path".

173. Re: Allocation frequencys for Florida/Trunk ID

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-12 02:55:42 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <c9hbs196eiuiq85nft5ad7dclab3utieed@4ax.com>
Source record: 10503

On 11 Jan 2006 10:52:43 -0800, "craig" <cpage122@comcast.net> wrote:

>Also I am looking for Trunking IDs for the City of Tallahassee,Fl /
>Leon County

<http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&ctid=352>

> as well as the state of Florida .

<http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&ctid=352>

174. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-12 03:01:07 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <jdhbs1hhksi4ip6mie6cdrckepjpt5j196@4ax.com>
Source record: 10504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 11 Jan 2006 20:58:22 -0500, Amos Keag <A.K01@comcast.net>
wrote:

>Lloyd wrote:

>> K1MAN is a great man and I back him all the way.

>Who is your psychological counselor?

Socks (whether puppets or not) don't need counselors. Frequent
washing and airing out, but not counseling.

175. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-12 03:27:05 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <JsGdnfMM74gYUVjeRVn-jw@comcast.com>
Source record: 10505
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

> Why isn't he a great man?

http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-259301A1.html

You MUST separate work and amateur radio.

176. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-12 04:06:33 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1137038793.195637.119440@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10506
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

> Why isn't he a great man?

>http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-259301A1.html
>You MUST separate work and amateur radio.

Yeah but your forget there's a lot of problems with that NAL.

Todd N9OGL

177. Re: Help with RS Pro-97 and trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-12 04:10:43 UTC
From: dave <dave1@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1137039043.622252.175850@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10507

I just used the above software for my Radio Shack Pro 97 and once I figured it out, it worked like a charm. I will be purchasing this program for future use. Man, it made my life easier. I was ready to take the frigging scanner back tied to a rock.

I don't want to listen to everything that comes over the 800 trunking. I only want to listen to a certain thing, and now it can happen.

B I T C H E N !

178. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-12 08:55:45 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <XPoxf.54377$ih5.3371@dukeread11>
Source record: 10508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Scott in Baltimore wrote:

>> Why isn't he a great man?
>
> http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-259301A1.html
>
> You MUST separate work and amateur radio.

Id' say, judging by that field notice that Mr. Baxter was definitely in the wrong (especially where the 75 minute infomercial about 'baxter and associates' was concerned).

I really hate to say this, but K1MAN appears (on the face of the facts) to have violated a number of the rules and is now subject to those rules.

let see how this plays out, shall we?

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence has its limits.

179. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-12 13:32:55 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <49mcs19lj2265epo5vntv4a6b5oes50dbm@4ax.com>
Source record: 10509
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On 12 Jan 2006 01:37:31 GMT, Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:

>On Wed, 11 Jan 2006 19:32:18 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 11 Jan 2006 17:13:23 GMT, Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
>>
>> >I know that I would be pissed if someone treid to cancel my profesional
>> >licenses to. I back K1MAN all the way because K1MAN is a great man.
>>
>> Why is he a great man?
>>
>
>He has recieved lots of awards including one from the state of Main
>itself.

Like what?

>Walter Cronkite promoted K1MAN to before the bad pple got to
>him.

DO you know this for a fact? Or are you making shit up?

>It is a good thing the FCC cant put him off the air until they
>go to court which they are scared to do.

I have never experience the FCC to be afraid of anybody.

>And there are pple who are
>going to sindicate K1MAN's 20 meter show.

I must have missed the part where broadcasting was aginst the rules, in amateur
radio.

> N9OGL and Sirius radio are
>both going to put it on the air.

Do you have a link? No one outside of Ham, knows who this guy is.

>K1MAN is a great man. Are you on Sirius radio?

What's that got to do with it?

>Has your state
>priased you in a legistlature resolution?

That don't make you a great man, fool. What was the resolution.

>Has Walter Cronkite ever
>announced on your station? I bet not because you are just average.

I don't own a broadcast station. It's against the rules. And Cronkite hasn't petitioned against me.

>K1MAN is a great man and I back him all the way.

Well, at least we can see the type of person that follows him.

Vinnie S.

180. Re: ebooks (now in other group)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-12 13:55:35 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <Xctxf.129$1M3.69@fe06.lga>
Source record: 10510
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Jay" <Jay@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:8b1d$43c53ce8$3ec2e59a$5805@news.chello.nl...
> PowerHouse Communications wrote:
>
> > Well, the index came across... There are way too many that I would be
> > interested in. I would guess probably about 25% to 30% of what you
> > originally posted... Unfortunately, if my provider didn't get your
> > postings the first time around, they probably won't show up the
> > second time around either. Very disappointing... I can only guess
> > that you got incorrectly filtered out by one of their SPAM filters ...
> >
> > I will try to see if I can narrow it down to maybe just a few and see
> > if they come across this time. I did file a complaint that they
> Hello,
>
> Please let me know if your email adress (in your message posted here)
> is valide, i will contact you by mail and see what can be done.
> I think we work something out (FTP, Airmail or whatever).
>
> (i will try sending a mail to the adress let me know it it arrives)

Email used here is invalid... Try shipping me anything you have for the Cobra 148 to Power__House (AT) hotmail.com. Yes, TWO underscores... That is my old junk account. From there, I'll pass along my current address to you for other files. In the mean time, I'll try to give that index a go-over and get back to you with a list...

Thanks!

181. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-12 13:55:59 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <duncs1p14ru5lvlvh40hamig4r8a1daq5u@4ax.com>
Source record: 10511
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On 12 Jan 2006 02:46:35 GMT, Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:

>On Wed, 11 Jan 2006 20:58:22 -0500, Amos Keag <A.K01@comcast.net> wrote:
>> Who is your psychological counselor?
>>
>> He is at best a megalomaniac.
>>
>> Lloyd wrote:
>>
>> > On Wed, 11 Jan 2006 19:32:18 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> > (stupid top posting ruined this)
>
>why do you top post? you know it is a sign of net ignorince and yet you
>do it. I am not going to respond to top posts.
>
>K1MAN would never top post because K1MAN is a great man.

Just curious. Have you ever even met the man personally?

Vinnie S.

182. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-12 15:07:41 UTC
From: NOGL <nogl@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <Xns97496750A35EAnoglnospamcom@66.150.105.47>
Source record: 10512
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote in
news:49mcs19lj2265epo5vntv4a6b5oes50dbm@4ax.com:

> On 12 Jan 2006 01:37:31 GMT, Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
>
>>On Wed, 11 Jan 2006 19:32:18 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>>wrote:
>>> On 11 Jan 2006 17:13:23 GMT, Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
>>>
>>> >I know that I would be pissed if someone treid to cancel my
>>> >profesional licenses to. I back K1MAN all the way because K1MAN is
>>> >a great man.
>>>
>>> Why is he a great man?
>>>
>>
>>He has recieved lots of awards including one from the state of Main
>>itself.
>
> Like what?
>
>>Walter Cronkite promoted K1MAN to before the bad pple got to
>>him.
>
> DO you know this for a fact? Or are you making shit up?
>
>>It is a good thing the FCC cant put him off the air until they
>>go to court which they are scared to do.
>
> I have never experience the FCC to be afraid of anybody.
>
>>And there are pple who are
>>going to sindicate K1MAN's 20 meter show.
>
> I must have missed the part where broadcasting was aginst the rules,
> in amateur radio.
>
>> N9OGL and Sirius radio are
>>both going to put it on the air.
>
> Do you have a link? No one outside of Ham, knows who this guy is.
>

>
>>K1MAN is a great man. Are you on Sirius radio?
>
> What's that got to do with it?
>
>>Has your state
>>priased you in a legistlature resolution?
>
> That don't make you a great man, fool. What was the resolution.
>
>>Has Walter Cronkite ever
>>announced on your station? I bet not because you are just average.
>
> I don't own a broadcast station. It's against the rules. And Cronkite
> hasn't petitioned against me.
>
>
>>K1MAN is a great man and I back him all the way.
>
> Well, at least we can see the type of person that follows him.
>
>
> Vinnie S.
>
>
We all know what kind of person davies is.....

http://tinypic.com/k36bys.jpg

183. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-12 16:01:30 UTC
From: Honest John <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <lpednZBmYczJ4FvenZ2dnUVZ_v-dnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 10513

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message

"hence multi-path"

Is that the same thing as what ham radio operators of 2 meter repeaters call "intermod" ?

H.J.

184. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-12 16:20:49 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <ie0ds15k33jcniteppbi8bh2i1hf4dsfu6@4ax.com>
Source record: 10514
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On 12 Jan 2006 15:07:41 GMT, NOGL <nogl@nospam.com> wrote:

>> Well, at least we can see the type of person that follows him.
>>
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>>
>We all know what kind of person davies is.........
>
>http://tinypic.com/k36bys.jpg

Oh, TMI !!!!!!!!!!

Vinnie S.

185. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-12 16:33:58 UTC
From: Doc <sheltronics.spam.not@ifrance.com>
Message-ID: <1KWdneH3HONpGVveRVnyiA@giganews.com>
Source record: 10515

In a simplistic way, Intermod (intermodulation distortion) is the result of two or more separate signals being combined. The intermixing of these signals creates distortion of the signal you are receiving.
In a round about way, multi-path is similar because the combined multiple images are out of phase and they will mix in the same way as do two unrelated signals, and create distortion.

"Honest John" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in message news:lpednZBmYczJ4FvenZ2dnUVZ_v-dnZ2d@centurytel.net...
>
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
>
> "hence multi-path"
>
> Is that the same thing as what ham radio operators of 2 meter repeaters
> call
> "intermod" ?
>
> H.J.
>
>

186. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-12 17:06:29 UTC
From: Honest John <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <xaCdndhodPESEVveRVn-sA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 10516

"Doc" <sheltronics.spam.not@ifrance.com> wrote in message

> In a simplistic way, Intermod (intermodulation distortion) is the result
of
> two or more separate signals being combined. The intermixing of these
> signals creates distortion of the signal you are receiving.
> In a round about way, multi-path is similar because the combined multiple
> images are out of phase and they will mix in the same way as do two
> unrelated signals, and create distortion.

THANKS,

H.J.

187. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-12 17:50:02 UTC
From: John Miller <jsm@n4vu.com>
Message-ID: <dq64kb$t4p$1@n4vu2.n4vu.com>
Source record: 10517

Honest John wrote regarding multipath:
> Is that the same thing as what ham radio operators of 2 meter repeaters call
> "intermod" ?

No. Multipath is from the same signal arriving via two different paths
(typically resulting from a reflection), one of which is delayed enough
to cause phase cancellation.

Intermod is from separete signals of different frequencies mixing in
some nonlinear componenent either in or near the receiving apparatus,
producing signals that are the sum and difference of the two frequencies.

--
John Miller

188. Radio pScanning Meeting Announcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-12 18:01:39 UTC
From: scannerman6@juno.com
Message-ID: <1137088898.970886.33580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10518

Let them know on the neohioscanner yahoogroup if
you will be attending.

--- <.com> wrote:

> I have continued to reserve the large meeting room
> at the CCPL, at
> 2121 Snow Rd. in Parma for our group on the 3rd
> Sunday of Odd Numbered
> months,from 1pm to 4pm.
>
> Although we have just been having informal
> get-togethers lately,
> rather than a formal presentation, I think it is
> still fun to get
> together.
>
> We have been doing show-n-tell of new radios,
> discussing radio
> communications happenings in Cuyahoga County,
> cloning scanners,
> answering questions, and helping new members get
> their scanners set up.
>
> Please confirm on this group if you will be
> attending this Sunday so
> we know how many people to expect. We generally get
> together for an
> hour or two, and most of us then go out to lunch
> together.
>
> Hope to see you there,
> Jack
> ======================

189. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-12 18:48:30 UTC
From: Amos Keag <A.K01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <5NadnT1srsvjOVveRVn-hw@comcast.com>
Source record: 10519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

I top post because modern readers allow top post. Your 'net ettiquette'
is/was totally arbitrary to begin with. Top posting allows the reader to
get to the issue without having to scroll through 100+ lines of text
that has already been beaten to death.

And finally, if you are responding to top posting you have already
violated your own precept by your response.

Now, there is a large consensus that a certain individual in the State
of Maine is in difficulty with the FCC.

Lloyd wrote:
> On Wed, 11 Jan 2006 20:58:22 -0500, Amos Keag <A.K01@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>Who is your psychological counselor?
>>
>>He is at best a megalomaniac.
>>
>>Lloyd wrote:
>>
>>
>>>On Wed, 11 Jan 2006 19:32:18 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>>>(stupid top posting ruined this)
>
>
> why do you top post? you know it is a sign of net ignorince and yet you
> do it. I am not going to respond to top posts.
>
> K1MAN would never top post because K1MAN is a great man.

190. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-12 19:18:58 UTC
From: "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net>
Message-ID: <7cf7f$43c6ab9f$48312371$19634@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 10520
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Is "the state of Main" a new state? Where is it?

What is a "pple?"

Can you spell "can't?"

What is "sindicate?" Is that an act against God as in sinning?

My state has never priased me for anything. However, it would help to
know what "priased" means.

Our state does not have a "legistlature" so maybe that is why I have
never been "priased."

So if this is the quality of individual that thinks a nut-job like K1MAN
is a great man, then I know I am on the right side.

This is a perfect example of why we need to do away with the public
school system of "education."

Lloyd wrote:
>
> He has recieved lots of awards including one from the state of Main
> itself. Walter Cronkite promoted K1MAN to before the bad pple got to
> him. It is a good thing the FCC cant put him off the air until they
> go to court which they are scared to do. And there are pple who are
> going to sindicate K1MAN's 20 meter show. N9OGL and Sirius radio are
> both going to put it on the air.
>
> K1MAN is a great man. Are you on Sirius radio? Has your state
> priased you in a legistlature resolution? Has Walter Cronkite ever
> announced on your station? I bet not because you are just average.
>
> K1MAN is a great man and I back him all the way.

191. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-12 19:47:13 UTC
From: Doc <sheltronics.spam.not@ifrance.com>
Message-ID: <oLydnedTIsWjL1veRVnyrg@giganews.com>
Source record: 10521

I think I did say "in a simplistic way". Yes I do understand what intermod is, however, I thought a discussion of 3rd order intercept series was not in order to answer his question. I was using the KISS approach.

"John Miller" <jsm@n4vu.com> wrote in message news:dq64kb$t4p$1@n4vu2.n4vu.com...
> Honest John wrote regarding multipath:
>> Is that the same thing as what ham radio operators of 2 meter repeaters
>> call
>> "intermod" ?
>
> No. Multipath is from the same signal arriving via two different paths
> (typically resulting from a reflection), one of which is delayed enough
> to cause phase cancellation.
>
> Intermod is from separete signals of different frequencies mixing in
> some nonlinear componenent either in or near the receiving apparatus,
> producing signals that are the sum and difference of the two frequencies.
>
> --
> John Miller

192. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-12 20:09:28 UTC
From: Me <Me@shadow.orgs>
Message-ID: <Me-D57291.11105012012006@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 10522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

In article <909-87887017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>,
Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:

> state of Main

Just where is the "state of Main"????? Inquiring minds wuld like to
know.......

193. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-12 20:20:49 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <batman.keag.eats.bugs@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 10523
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 12 Jan 2006 13:48:30 -0500, Amos Keag <A.K01@comcast.net> wrote:
> I top post because modern readers allow top post. Your 'net ettiquette'
> is/was totally arbitrary to begin with. Top posting allows the reader to

No. You probably top post because that's the default setting of your
news reader, and you're too damned lazy (or ignorant) to change it.

> get to the issue without having to scroll through 100+ lines of text
> that has already been beaten to death.

Your "logic" is utter backpedaling b.s. - long ago refuted by serious
Usenet readers. If a third and fourth party decides to add to a top
posted thread, it rapidly deterioriates into an unfollowable mess.
This is especially true when you top post to an already bottom posted
thread as you just did. You clearly are a rank newbie who needs
instruction.

Don't even presume to lecture someone else, oh Clueless One.

> And finally, if you are responding to top posting you have already
> violated your own precept by your response.

Oh, wow! What logic skillz you possess, Batman. I'll bet you impress
the hell out of the half-drunk rednecks at your local tavern. Better
run right over there instead of hanging out here where you are SO not
with it.

194. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-12 20:40:02 UTC
From: John Miller <jsm@n4vu.com>
Message-ID: <dq6ekk$t4p$4@n4vu2.n4vu.com>
Source record: 10524

Doc wrote:
> I think I did say "in a simplistic way". Yes I do understand what intermod
> is, however, I thought a discussion of 3rd order intercept series was not in
> order to answer his question. I was using the KISS approach.

Check your threading, Doc, and you'll see that it was not your post I
was responding to -- in fact I don't think it had even hit my server
yet. So you weren't being contradicted.

--
John Miller
insert pithy quote here

195. Re: ebooks (now in other group)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-12 20:43:26 UTC
From: Jay <Jay@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <48e36$43c6bf6e$3ec2e59a$27702@news.chello.nl>
Source record: 10525
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

Message send with 2 from 4 manuals you requested.
Contact me at my hotmail.

JaNoSh

196. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-12 20:43:55 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <260968787017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 10526
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
On Thu, 12 Jan 2006 08:55:59 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>
> Just curious. Have you ever even met the man personally?
>
> Vinnie S.
```

He is my stepdad.

197. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-12 20:52:34 UTC
From: Doc <sheltronics.spam.not@ifrance.com>
Message-ID: <Y5WdnR55VfUSXFveRVnyjg@giganews.com>
Source record: 10527

Sorry for jumping to conclusions...
My thread shows your post as a reply to mine. That's probably because I had
just purged old posts.

"John Miller" <jsm@n4vu.com> wrote in message
news:dq6ekk$t4p$4@n4vu2.n4vu.com...
> Doc wrote:
>> I think I did say "in a simplistic way". Yes I do understand what
>> intermod
>> is, however, I thought a discussion of 3rd order intercept series was not
>> in
>> order to answer his question. I was using the KISS approach.
>
> Check your threading, Doc, and you'll see that it was not your post I
> was responding to -- in fact I don't think it had even hit my server
> yet. So you weren't being contradicted.
>
> --
> John Miller
> insert pithy quote here

198. where is the airfreshener

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-12 23:01:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1137105596.423563.166980@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10528
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Lloyd wrote:
> On Thu, 12 Jan 2006 13:48:30 -0500, Amos Keag <A.K01@comcast.net> wrote:
> > I top post because modern readers allow top post. Your 'net ettiquette'
> > is/was totally arbitrary to begin with. Top posting allows the reader to
>
> No. You probably top post because that's the default setting of your
> news reader, and you're too damned lazy (or ignorant) to change it.
lot of shit I gues you are trying for steve title as Shit master of RRAP

199. Detroit city police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-12 23:47:11 UTC
From: Vickki&Roger <vickkib1@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1fmdnb3k79Tid1veRVn-jQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 10529

I received a Pro 96 from Santa this year.It replaced my Pro 39. I would like to listen to the Detroit city police dept.but I was told that the scanner can't decode the signals. Has anyone figured out how to program the scanner to do this? I have the win96 program and it is excellent.Any help will be greatly appreciated. Detroit is where the real stuff happens and the local police are getting boring.
Thanks

200. dickhead surfer wannabe Matt Bosnack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-13 00:22:49 UTC
From: Home Boy <homeboy@campfed.joint.for.life>
Message-ID: <p8rds1luthfk8tqna56iqrnmjvp7d6orkt@4ax.com>
Source record: 10530
Cross-posted to: alt.tv.survivor,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://www.excitementmachine.org/contest/gregstadnyk-dickhead.jpg

201. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-13 00:59:48 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <aruds1t6ovg2b8j86f44fe48gohrco2kup@4ax.com>
Source record: 10531
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On 12 Jan 2006 20:43:55 GMT, Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:

>On Thu, 12 Jan 2006 08:55:59 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> Just curious. Have you ever even met the man personally?
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>He is my stepdad.

Oh, OK. And you are being objectional, right?

Vinnie S.

202. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-13 01:19:56 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <cqvds1p81pke9mqvh67n0chqc8msm8670d@4ax.com>
Source record: 10532
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On 12 Jan 2006 01:37:31 GMT, Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:

>It is a good thing the FCC cant put him off the air until they
>go to court which they are scared to do.

This statemment is comical. Howard Stern, who if filthy rich, and his radio network, which was obscenely huge, could not win against the FCC.

K1MAN is a peon, compared to Stern. You are stupid, delusional, or both.

Vinnie S.

203. Re: Radio Shack Pro-96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-13 02:13:23 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <113es1tmn9m6bm7qbk5lrg43otkqn5ocno@4ax.com>
Source record: 10533

On Thu, 12 Jan 2006 17:50:02 GMT, John Miller <jsm@n4vu.com> wrote:

>Intermod is from separete signals of different frequencies mixing in
>some nonlinear componenent either in or near the receiving apparatus

Or the transmitter apparatus. (We have a great one here, resulting from a hospital paging transmitter intermodding with just about everything on a hill that has enough RF floating around to run a few homes. The paging transmitter - that's supposed to cover only the interior of the building - is dirty enough and strong enough to cause intermod in tower joints, fences, who knows what, 5 miles away.)

204. Re: Detroit city police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-13 02:18:32 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <nKWdnZ6acLplkFreRVn-oQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 10534

There are some issues with reception of the new MPSCS (Michigan Public Safety Communication System), of which the city of Detroit is now a part of. While it is a Motorola APCO-25 digital system, Detroit, and Genesee County are on what is called a simulcast system, which has been a little more difficult to decode. It doesn't matter which digital scanner you have, they're all having problems with Detroit.

Detroit is using 868.7250 as it's primary control channel. The secondary control channels are 868.5375, and 868.9375. Plug them in your scanner, and scan in open mode, see what you get. Depending on where you live, and what you're using for an antenna, you might be successful! Let us know.

You can find more info here, http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=100 including all the other cities, and counties on MPSCS, as well as the Talk Groups!

There is also a forum for Michigan here, http://www.radioreference.com/forums/showthread.php?t=25992

Bill Crocker

"Vickki&Roger" <vickkib1@comcast.net> wrote in message news:1fmdnb3k79Tid1veRVn-jQ@comcast.com...
>I received a Pro 96 from Santa this year.It replaced my Pro 39. I would
>like to listen to the Detroit city police dept.but I was told that the
>scanner can't decode the signals. Has anyone figured out how to program the
>scanner to do this? I have the win96 program and it is excellent.Any help
>will be greatly appreciated. Detroit is where the real stuff happens and
>the local police are getting boring.
> Thanks
>

205. Re: Detroit city police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-13 02:22:00 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <sd3es15i03vdr07a59v6qrbg8v1vvqem93@4ax.com>
Source record: 10535

On Thu, 12 Jan 2006 18:47:11 -0500, "Vickki&Roger"
<vickkib1@comcast.net> wrote:

>I received a Pro 96 from Santa this year.It replaced my Pro 39. I would like
>to listen to the Detroit city police dept.but I was told that the scanner
>can't decode the signals. Has anyone figured out how to program the scanner
>to do this? I have the win96 program and it is excellent.Any help will be
>greatly appreciated. Detroit is where the real stuff happens and the local
>police are getting boring.

This - <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=100>
- is the system. The talkgroups you want are down in the Wayne County
area under "Wayne County (82) - Detroit City Police Talkgroups". You
probably want to program the 701 tower - the control frequencies are
867.075, 868.5375, 868.725 and 868.9375. It's a plain old APCO25
system so you should have no problem.

206. Re: Detroit city police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-13 06:07:59 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <huGdnbTHlKYj3lrenZ2dnUVZ_v2dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10536

Al, are you, or anyone you know, able to receive Detroit's talk groups on MPSCS? Or are you assuming it should be no problem? If so, I'd be interested in hearing some details of your setup. While I agree with you that MPSCS is a standard APCO-25 system, Detroit is not, it is a simulcast sub system joined to MPSCS. Also, #701 is not "a tower", it is a cluster of ten towers within this sub system, referred to as #701. Most everyone I know using either Radio Shack (GRE Mfg), or Uniden digital scanners, is having great difficulty receiving Detroit since they joined MPSCS.

Please advise!

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

p.s. 867.0750...I missed that one in my original post, but it doesn't really matter, as it is a secondary control channel.

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:sd3es15i03vdr07a59v6qrbg8v1vvqem93@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 12 Jan 2006 18:47:11 -0500, "Vickki&Roger"
> <vickkib1@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>I received a Pro 96 from Santa this year.It replaced my Pro 39. I would
>>like
>>to listen to the Detroit city police dept.but I was told that the scanner
>>can't decode the signals. Has anyone figured out how to program the
>>scanner
>>to do this? I have the win96 program and it is excellent.Any help will be
>>greatly appreciated. Detroit is where the real stuff happens and the local
>>police are getting boring.
>
> This - <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=100>
> - is the system. The talkgroups you want are down in the Wayne County
> area under "Wayne County (82) - Detroit City Police Talkgroups". You
> probably want to program the 701 tower - the control frequencies are
> 867.075, 868.5375, 868.725 and 868.9375. It's a plain old APCO25
> system so you should have no problem.

207. Re: PRO-43 upgrade to PRO-97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-13 06:38:37 UTC
From: topramen@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1137134317.832381.305380@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10537

I LOVE the 97. but if you're sticking with milair, and not constantly
adding new frequencies, stay with the 43. the 97 is designed for those
who are always adding, changing and searching for new frequencies.

mark

Bill Crocker wrote:
> Hi Frank!
>
> "If it works don't fix it!", as the saying goes. However, the PRO-97 has
> several added luxuries such as alpha tags, better audio, a super back light,
> computer programmable, Signal Stalker II, bigger display, bigger keypad &
> buttons, etc. Oh yea, it's on sale too ($50.00 off!).
>
> You could easily pay for half of it, or more, by selling the PRO-43 which is
> still sought after. Something to think about.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:dvZwf.49613$BZ5.27208@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> >I have a pro-43 to listen to military air and I'm wondering if the PRO-97
> >would be an upgrade? The PRO-43 works great, but maybe it's getting too
> >old. What do you guys think?
> >
> >
> > Frank
> >

208. Re: Dick Smith Radio Direction Finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-13 11:49:26 UTC
From: Kev <kevcat@optunet.com.au>
Message-ID: <43c793c4$0$2255$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 10538
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Gary Smith wrote:
> Glenn that would be great if you could possibly scan the docs and email
> them, really appreciate that, Thanks.
>
> Gary
>
>
>
>>I've got one - defective - I think I popped the switching diodes by
>>accidentally transmitting thru it. I know I've got the dox 'somewhere'
>>- just gotta dig 'em out.
>>
>>From memory, the four pin cable from the main unit sends a rotating DC
>>bias to each of the switching diodes in the box. There's a diode at the
>>antenna base too, by design. I haven't opened the box yet, but the
>>diode at the antenna base is ITT BA 282 Cathode (bar) to coax
>>shield.
>>
>>If/when I find the dox, I'll get back to you. Let me know how you
>>progress.
>>
>>Glenn ZL2TLD
>
>
>
I am also looking for the same info as I have the same unit that is
almost complete but no manual or anything else about completing it

Kev

209. Re: Dick Smith Radio Direction Finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-13 15:05:47 UTC
From: news <yes@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <43c7c19f$0$25697$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 10539
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

http://members.aol.com/homingin/DSEfix.html
improving the dick smith radio direction finder

210. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-13 19:21:36 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11sfvdrkglagc03@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10540
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
news:909-87887017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> On Wed, 11 Jan 2006 19:32:18 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 11 Jan 2006 17:13:23 GMT, Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
>>
>> >I know that I would be pissed if someone treid to cancel my profesional
>> >licenses to. I back K1MAN all the way because K1MAN is a great man.
>>
>> Why is he a great man?
>>
>
> He has recieved lots of awards including one from the state of Main
> itself. Walter Cronkite promoted K1MAN to before the bad pple got to
> him. It is a good thing the FCC cant put him off the air until they
> go to court which they are scared to do. And there are pple who are
> going to sindicate K1MAN's 20 meter show. N9OGL and Sirius radio are
> both going to put it on the air.
>
> K1MAN is a great man. Are you on Sirius radio? Has your state
> priased you in a legistlature resolution? Has Walter Cronkite ever
> announced on your station? I bet not because you are just average.
>
> K1MAN is a great man and I back him all the way.

Hitler was a great man too. Germany was starving after ww1 and he made it
prosper. Of course at the hands of millions of people.
JFK was a great man. Of course he almost started ww3 and was hooked on meth.

211. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-13 19:30:41 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11sfvusn1ha04e0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10541
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"NOGL" <nogl@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:Xns97496750A35EAnoglnospamcom@66.150.105.47...
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote in
> news:49mcs19lj2265epo5vntv4a6b5oes50dbm@4ax.com:
>
>> On 12 Jan 2006 01:37:31 GMT, Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
>>
>>>On Wed, 11 Jan 2006 19:32:18 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>>>wrote:
>>>> On 11 Jan 2006 17:13:23 GMT, Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> >I know that I would be pissed if someone treid to cancel my
>>>> >profesional licenses to. I back K1MAN all the way because K1MAN is
>>>> >a great man.
>>>>
>>>> Why is he a great man?
>>>>
>>>
>>>He has recieved lots of awards including one from the state of Main
>>>itself.
>>
>> Like what?
>>
>>>Walter Cronkite promoted K1MAN to before the bad pple got to
>>>him.
>>
>> DO you know this for a fact? Or are you making shit up?
>>
>>>It is a good thing the FCC cant put him off the air until they
>>>go to court which they are scared to do.
>>
>> I have never experience the FCC to be afraid of anybody.
>>
>>>And there are pple who are
>>>going to sindicate K1MAN's 20 meter show.
>>
>> I must have missed the part where broadcasting was aginst the rules,
>> in amateur radio.
>>
>>> N9OGL and Sirius radio are
>>>both going to put it on the air.
>>

>> Do you have a link? No one outside of Ham, knows who this guy is.
>>
>>
>>>K1MAN is a great man. Are you on Sirius radio?
>>
>> What's that got to do with it?
>>
>>>Has your state
>>>priased you in a legistlature resolution?
>>
>> That don't make you a great man, fool. What was the resolution.
>>
>>>Has Walter Cronkite ever
>>>announced on your station? I bet not because you are just average.
>>
>> I don't own a broadcast station. It's against the rules. And Cronkite
>> hasn't petitioned against me.
>>
>>
>>>K1MAN is a great man and I back him all the way.
>>
>> Well, at least we can see the type of person that follows him.
>>
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>>
> We all know what kind of person davies is.........
>
> http://tinypic.com/k36bys.jpg
>

That looks like N8WWM

212. Re: Dick Smith Radio Direction Finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-13 20:26:29 UTC
From: Glenn McAllister <"(callsign)"@orcon.net.nz>
Message-ID: <43C80CF5.2B5C@orcon.net.nz>
Source record: 10542
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Kev wrote:
>
> Gary Smith wrote:
> > Glenn that would be great if you could possibly scan the docs and email
> > them, really appreciate that, Thanks.
> >
> > Gary
> >
> >
> >
> >>I've got one - defective - I think I popped the switching diodes by
> >>accidentally transmitting thru it. I know I've got the dox 'somewhere'
> >>- just gotta dig 'em out.
> >>
> >>From memory, the four pin cable from the main unit sends a rotating DC
> >>bias to each of the switching diodes in the box. There's a diode at the
> >>antenna base too, by design. I haven't opened the box yet, but the
> >>diode at the antenna base is ITT BA 282 Cathode (bar) to coax
> >>shield.
> >>
> >>If/when I find the dox, I'll get back to you. Let me know how you
> >>progress.
> >>
> >>Glenn ZL2TLD
> >
> >
> >
> I am also looking for the same info as I have the same unit that is
> almost complete but no manual or anything else about completing it
>
> Kev

All those who would like a copy of the dox when I find them, email me
and I'll keep a list.

Glenn ZL2TLD

213. Re: Ham Radio, How I got caught

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-13 21:08:44 UTC
From: "Joe S." <anon@mous.com>
Message-ID: <F8WdnetFR-xZi1XeRVn-hQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 10543
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"Kook Kanuck in VE7land"" <wigawoo14313@hamfatasses.com> wrote in message
news:b47a5$43c2d309$d1cc45e6$24055@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> Wow, 29 years bootlegging on ham and no FCC check? I think that's a
> new record!! There's been a couple of guys on a Militia/Patriot
> net every night on 3.8-0 mHz LSB for over 3 years now with phony callsigns
> who still ain't got caught or even raised a red flag with either FCC or
> OHS.
> but if you went along for that long and got off with a slap on da
> wrist, then woo-woo on you. (bet you were a good customer at the ham
> store
> also eh? Did the buffoons sel you a big amplifier too with no license
> check? prolly did..)
>

Stop feeding the trolls.

>
> "Howard Johnson Jr" <hamradioluvr@hamshak.org> wrote in message
> news:VEvwf.4658$fb4.823@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>> I've been a ham radio operator for over 29 years and never once took a
> test
>> for a license. Always used a phony call and nobody ever suspected me
> until
>> internet came along. Last week I received letter in the mail from the
> FCC.
>> I'm being fined $5000 for transmitting without a license over a period of
> 3
>> years. Don't think you can't get caught, I sure did. When they (FCC) came
> to
>> my house, my mother let them in and took them to my bedroom. I was at
>> Starbucks having coffee when it happened. They took all my radio
> equipment
>> and also found my stash of pot but did not take it or notify the local
>> Police thank god. My mother told them the pot was for my arthritis and
> they
>> believed her. That's what I had told my mother when I started smoking it
> in
>> my room. She thought I was burning leaves until I told her it was
> medicine.
>>
>> Anyway, the bottom line is this, the government doesn't go by the rules,
>> they will do anything they want and if the agent doesn't like you, you
```

> are
>> in deep trouble to say the least.
>>
>> Howard M Johnson
>>
>>
>

214. Re: Ham Radio, How I got caught

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-13 23:46:33 UTC
From: pancake <pancake@griddle.com>
Message-ID: <f5egs1dg88jf8g6n7115pm6fq9i9sapbf7@4ax.com>
Source record: 10544
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 9 Jan 2006 15:18:08 -0500, "Kook Kanuck in VE7land\""
<wigawoo14313@hamfatasses.com> wrote:

Most hams act like fuckin' boy scouts. If I could make kids fall off
their bikes by keying up, I might wanna get my ticket.

215. Bearcat Uniden BC170 16ch. Queston

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-13 23:46:56 UTC
From: MrGator <MrGator.21lu7n@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <MrGator.21lu7n@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 10402

hi i just got a bearcat uniden bc170 scanner and iam unable find manual for one. does anyone know how reset this scanner and i had programed 16 ch for it to scann i don't know if when you click on limit key should it scann all the freq? this one not. it only scann for the 16 ch that i programed in to it. thanks.

--
MrGator

216. Re: Dick Smith Radio Direction Finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-13 23:55:15 UTC
From: news <yes@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <43c83db7$0$17190$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 10545
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

yes thanks glenn. I would like a copy of the circuit.

email james_about@hotmail.com with the info please.

Any thing you need also. I keep a fairly extensive collection of radio software and circuits.

And gidday to Kev ....tanker wanker. private joke.

217. Re: Ham Radio, How I got caught

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-14 00:17:20 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20060113191720.277$tA@newsreader.com>
Source record: 10546
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

pancake <pancake@griddle.com> wrote:
> On Mon, 9 Jan 2006 15:18:08 -0500, "Kook Kanuck in VE7land\""
> <wigawoo14313@hamfatasses.com> wrote:
>
> Most hams act like fuckin' boy scouts. If I could make kids fall off
> their bikes by keying up, I might wanna get my ticket.
>
D'OH! Too much info! :)

218. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-14 00:36:33 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20060113193633.008$oN@newsreader.com>
Source record: 10547
Cross-posted to:
alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote:
> "NOGL" <nogl@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns97496750A35EAnoglnospamcom@66.150.105.47...
> > Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote in
> > news:49mcs19lj2265epo5vntv4a6b5oes50dbm@4ax.com:
> >
> >> On 12 Jan 2006 01:37:31 GMT, Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
> >>
> >>>On Wed, 11 Jan 2006 19:32:18 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
> >>>wrote:
> >>>> On 11 Jan 2006 17:13:23 GMT, Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>> >I know that I would be pissed if someone treid to cancel my
> >>>> >profesional licenses to. I back K1MAN all the way because K1MAN is
> >>>> >a great man.
> >>>>
> >>>> Why is he a great man?
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>He has recieved lots of awards including one from the state of Main
> >>>itself.
> >>
> >> Like what?
> >>
> >>>Walter Cronkite promoted K1MAN to before the bad pple got to
> >>>him.
> >>
> >> DO you know this for a fact? Or are you making shit up?
> >>
> >>>It is a good thing the FCC cant put him off the air until they
> >>>go to court which they are scared to do.
> >>
> >> I have never experience the FCC to be afraid of anybody.
> >>
> >>>And there are pple who are
> >>>going to sindicate K1MAN's 20 meter show.
> >>
> >> I must have missed the part where broadcasting was aginst the rules,
> >> in amateur radio.
> >>
> >>> N9OGL and Sirius radio are

> >>>both going to put it on the air.
> >>
> >> Do you have a link? No one outside of Ham, knows who this guy is.
> >>
> >>
> >>>K1MAN is a great man. Are you on Sirius radio?
> >>
> >> What's that got to do with it?
> >>
> >>>Has your state
> >>>priased you in a legistlature resolution?
> >>
> >> That don't make you a great man, fool. What was the resolution.
> >>
> >>>Has Walter Cronkite ever
> >>>announced on your station? I bet not because you are just average.
> >>
> >> I don't own a broadcast station. It's against the rules. And Cronkite
> >> hasn't petitioned against me.
> >>
> >>
> >>>K1MAN is a great man and I back him all the way.
> >>
> >> Well, at least we can see the type of person that follows him.
> >>
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >>
> > We all know what kind of person davies is.........
> >
> > http://tinypic.com/k36bys.jpg
> >
>
> That looks like N8WWM
>
There is a distinct resemblance to the lap dogie. Reckon they're related or
is that what people end up looking like after they press a walkie-talkie to
their dome for too long in a section eight slum?

219. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-14 00:37:25 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20060113193725.345$Y2@newsreader.com>
Source record: 10548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote:
>> > K1MAN is a great man and I back him all the way.
>
> Hitler was a great man too. Germany was starving after ww1 and he made it
> prosper. Of course at the hands of millions of people.
> JFK was a great man. Of course he almost started ww3 and was hooked on
> meth.
>
Was K1MAN ever a CBer?

220. FA: 785 with Digital card and 780

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-14 00:55:00 UTC
From: James and Candice Thomas <jandcthomas@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <DYXxf.3672$OU3.1382@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 10549

Uniden 785 with digital card and Uniden 780 for auction. Both are in excellent shape. Original box, manual power cord, antenna.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5854443303&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5854443589&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1

221. bc780xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-14 02:07:09 UTC
From: don <wxfreqrs@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <11sgn6ffji07356@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10550

lost bc780xlt in Katrina
looking for replacement
suggestions

--
Don
SEMPER VIGILIS
REAL TIME WEATHER OBS http://myweb.cableone.net/wxfreqrs/
JCARA RADIO HOMEPAGE http://www.jcmsara.org
MARITIME MINUTEMEN http://gulfmaritime.minutemen.amist.us/

222. Re: Detroit city police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-14 03:03:03 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <ddqgs1p8hh1cq2dte9juns2kcqe85346j9@4ax.com>
Source record: 10401

On Fri, 13 Jan 2006 01:07:59 -0500, "Bill Crocker"
<wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:

>Al, are you, or anyone you know, able to receive Detroit's talk groups on
>MPSCS?

No, Bill, the OP asked for info on Detroit, so I looked and posted. I
guess that just proves, once again, that nothing beats actual
experience.

223. Re: Detroit city police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-14 03:26:32 UTC
From: Roger <vickkib1@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <la6dnfDcgJvu8lXenZ2dnUVZ_tudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10403

I just put in the frequencies and i did hear a transmission from what sounded like a fire dept. I have an old Radio shack ground plane with a 16 foot radius and three vertical elements.I can hear Police depts. aprox.20 miles away. I'll just keep plugging away and hope for the best. Thank you guys for the info. You were a big help.
Thanks again!
Roger
"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message news:nKWdnZ6acLplkFreRVn-oQ@comcast.com...
> There are some issues with reception of the new MPSCS (Michigan Public
> Safety Communication System), of which the city of Detroit is now a part
> of. While it is a Motorola APCO-25 digital system, Detroit, and Genesee
> County are on what is called a simulcast system, which has been a little
> more difficult to decode. It doesn't matter which digital scanner you
> have, they're all having problems with Detroit.
>
> Detroit is using 868.7250 as it's primary control channel. The secondary
> control channels are 868.5375, and 868.9375. Plug them in your scanner,
> and scan in open mode, see what you get. Depending on where you live, and
> what you're using for an antenna, you might be successful! Let us know.
>
> You can find more info here,
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=100 including
> all the other cities, and counties on MPSCS, as well as the Talk Groups!
>
> There is also a forum for Michigan here,
> http://www.radioreference.com/forums/showthread.php?t=25992
>
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "Vickki&Roger" <vickkib1@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:1fmdnb3k79Tid1veRVn-jQ@comcast.com...
>>I received a Pro 96 from Santa this year.It replaced my Pro 39. I would
>>like to listen to the Detroit city police dept.but I was told that the
>>scanner can't decode the signals. Has anyone figured out how to program
>>the scanner to do this? I have the win96 program and it is excellent.Any
>>help will be greatly appreciated. Detroit is where the real stuff happens
>>and the local police are getting boring.
>> Thanks
>>
>
>

224. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-14 04:07:24 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11sgu7uicmnb156@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10404
Cross-posted to:
alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20060113193633.008$oN@newsreader.com...
> "DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote:
>> "NOGL" <nogl@nospam.com> wrote in message
>> news:Xns97496750A35EAnoglnospamcom@66.150.105.47...
>> > Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote in
>> > news:49mcs19lj2265epo5vntv4a6b5oes50dbm@4ax.com:
>> >
>> >> On 12 Jan 2006 01:37:31 GMT, Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>On Wed, 11 Jan 2006 19:32:18 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>> >>>wrote:
>> >>>> On 11 Jan 2006 17:13:23 GMT, Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
>> >>>>
>> >>>> >I know that I would be pissed if someone treid to cancel my
>> >>>> >profesional licenses to. I back K1MAN all the way because K1MAN is
>> >>>> >a great man.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Why is he a great man?
>> >>>>
>> >>>
>> >>>He has recieved lots of awards including one from the state of Main
>> >>>itself.
>> >>
>> >> Like what?
>> >>
>> >>>Walter Cronkite promoted K1MAN to before the bad pple got to
>> >>>him.
>> >>
>> >> DO you know this for a fact? Or are you making shit up?
>> >>
>> >>>It is a good thing the FCC cant put him off the air until they
>> >>>go to court which they are scared to do.
>> >>
>> >> I have never experience the FCC to be afraid of anybody.
>> >>
>> >>>And there are pple who are
>> >>>going to sindicate K1MAN's 20 meter show.
>> >>
>> >> I must have missed the part where broadcasting was aginst the rules,
>> >> in amateur radio.

>> >>
>> >>> N9OGL and Sirius radio are
>> >>>both going to put it on the air.
>> >>
>> >> Do you have a link? No one outside of Ham, knows who this guy is.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>>K1MAN is a great man. Are you on Sirius radio?
>> >>
>> >> What's that got to do with it?
>> >>
>> >>>Has your state
>> >>>priased you in a legistlature resolution?
>> >>
>> >> That don't make you a great man, fool. What was the resolution.
>> >>
>> >>>Has Walter Cronkite ever
>> >>>announced on your station? I bet not because you are just average.
>> >>
>> >> I don't own a broadcast station. It's against the rules. And Cronkite
>> >> hasn't petitioned against me.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>>K1MAN is a great man and I back him all the way.
>> >>
>> >> Well, at least we can see the type of person that follows him.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Vinnie S.
>> >>
>> >>
>> > We all know what kind of person davies is.........
>> >
>> > http://tinypic.com/k36bys.jpg
>> >
>>
>> That looks like N8WWM
>>
> There is a distinct resemblance to the lap dogie. Reckon they're related
> or
> is that what people end up looking like after they press a walkie-talkie
> to
> their dome for too long in a section eight slum?

Some people look different without their glasses on.

225. Is there somthing wrong here?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-14 04:34:32 UTC
From: Clark <CP@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <tISdnRd-ncXG4lXeRVn-vQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 10405

http://cgi.ebay.com/ICOM-Race-Police-Scanners-with-TV-for-NASCAR_W0QQitemZ5853423154QQcategoryZ14956QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem

226. Re: Is there somthing wrong here?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-14 06:28:16 UTC
From: Keith <spam@spam.invalid>
Message-ID: <dqa5lo014vp@news1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 10406

On Fri, 13 Jan 2006 22:34:32 -0600, "Clark" <CP@comcast.net> wrote:

>http://cgi.ebay.com/ICOM-Race-Police-Scanners-with-TV-for-NASCAR_W0QQitemZ5853423154QQcategoryZ14956QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
>

104 IC R3 scanners, generator , 24' concession trailer
^^^^ ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

227. Re: Is there somthing wrong here?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-14 07:07:07 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <vq1yf.1676$cj3.500@news-wrt-01.rdc-nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 10407

At least there's no reserve!

228. Re: Dick Smith Radio Direction Finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-14 12:12:23 UTC
From: Kev <kevcat@optunet.com.au>
Message-ID: <43c8eaa8$0$13318$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 10408
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

news wrote:

> yes thanks glenn. I would like a copy of the circuit.
>
> email james_about@hotmail.com with the info please.
>
> Any thing you need also. I keep a fairly extensive collection of radio
> software and circuits.
>
> And gidday to Kev ....tanker wanker. private joke.
>
>

:)

Kev

229. Have 2X4 - Will Travel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-14 13:05:59 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1137243959.311651.192560@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10409

Jacksonville Daily News, Fri, 13 Jan 2006 9:37 PM PST
Residents help nab robbery suspect

http://www.jdnews.com/SiteProcessor.cfm?Template=/GlobalTemplates/Details.cfm&StoryID=38032&Section=News

SWANSBORO - Authorities aided by two residents (1 with a scanner) tracked and took into custody a man suspected of robbing a Swansboro convenience store Friday morning, Swansboro police said.

North Carolina

230. Cable for Pro 95 drivers needed?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-14 15:16:43 UTC
From: Larry Copenhaver <lcopenha@naxs.com>
Message-ID: <d31f7$43c91613$d81841eb$18060@NAXS.COM>
Source record: 10410

I am going to buy the Radio Shack cable #20-289 for the Pro 95 Scanner today. Can anyone tell me if I will need drivers for Windows 98se for the cable or will the computer simply recognize it? If I need the drivers, can anyone tell me if Radio Shack has the software or where I might be able to download it? My local Radio Shack is privately owned and does not stock the cable so I am traveling about 50 miles to get it. The sales people at that RS are not really computer literate so I do not know if they will know the answer to this question. Thanks for any help in advance.

231. Re: Cable for Pro 95 drivers needed?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-14 15:35:36 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <e9OdnRhheIDVh1TeRVn-oQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 10411

Radio Shack's Cat #20-289 cable does not require any drivers. It is a serial cable, and requires that your computer have a DB9 Male RS232 serial port. If your computer is older, most likely you will have at least one, of not two of these ports. However, if you have a newer computer it may not have any. Newer computers dropped legacy serial ports in favor of USB ports. If all you have is USB ports, then you will also need a USB-to-Serial adapter. Those adapters usually come with their own drivers.

Considering your computer is running Win98SE, it's very likely that it's old enough to have at least one RS232 DB9 serial port.

To see what a DB9 male RS232 serial port looks like, click here:

http://www.safenet.com/images/273001.JPG

It will have 9 pins.

You'll also want to download Don Starr's Win95 software for your scanner:

http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/Win95/default.asp

Bill Crocker

"Larry Copenhaver" <lcopenha@naxs.com> wrote in message
news:d31f7$43c91613$d81841eb$18060@NAXS.COM...
>I am going to buy the Radio Shack cable #20-289 for the Pro 95 Scanner
> today. Can anyone tell me if I will need drivers for Windows 98se for the
> cable or will the computer simply recognize it? If I need the drivers,
> can
> anyone tell me if Radio Shack has the software or where I might be able to
> download it? My local Radio Shack is privately owned and does not stock
> the
> cable so I am traveling about 50 miles to get it. The sales people at
> that
> RS are not really computer literate so I do not know if they will know the
> answer to this question. Thanks for any help in advance.
>
>

232. newbie: plug for options socket AR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-14 17:51:36 UTC
From: tg <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
Message-ID: <43c93a34$0$23288$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 10412

can anyone tell me the proper name of the type of plug that goes into the options socket on an AOR AR8200 scanner? I don't mean the
cables - I've read about them but I've been unable to track down what type of plug goes into this socket. (even better - anyone
know a web site and part number for the plugs?)
Thanks for any help.

233. Re: Is there somthing wrong here?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-14 22:53:14 UTC
From: Honest John <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <i4Kdncd2TeBRHVTeRVn-iw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 10413

"Clark" <CP@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:tISdnRd-ncXG4lXeRVn-vQ@comcast.com...
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ICOM-Race-Police-Scanners-with-TV-for-NASCAR_W0QQitemZ5853423154QQcategoryZ14956QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
>
>

There's got to be a 30 ft. motorized mobile home and referigerator
stocked-up with beer, throwed-in at that price !

H.J.

234. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-14 22:58:48 UTC
From: Amos Keag <A.K01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <FMadnWtn25q6H1TeRVn-iQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 10414
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Well I guess I'm not a serious USENET user. I'm only a Professional
Engineer [retired], chief project engineer [retired], Program Chief
Engineer USAF WS-118 RS/RV [retired] and hold both BSEE and MA degrees.

That said, I prefer TOP POSTING for simplicity sake, for quick reading
of responses to posts I've previously read, and not wasting time
scrolling through 100+ lines of your antiquated arbitrary responses.

AK

Lloyd wrote:

> On Thu, 12 Jan 2006 13:48:30 -0500, Amos Keag <A.K01@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>I top post because modern readers allow top post. Your 'net ettiquette'
>>is/was totally arbitrary to begin with. Top posting allows the reader to
>
>
> No. You probably top post because that's the default setting of your
> news reader, and you're too damned lazy (or ignorant) to change it.
>
>
>>get to the issue without having to scroll through 100+ lines of text
>>that has already been beaten to death.
>
>
> Your "logic" is utter backpedaling b.s. - long ago refuted by serious
> Usenet readers. If a third and fourth party decides to add to a top
> posted thread, it rapidly deterioriates into an unfollowable mess.
> This is especially true when you top post to an already bottom posted
> thread as you just did. You clearly are a rank newbie who needs
> instruction.
>
> Don't even presume to lecture someone else, oh Clueless One.
>
>
>>And finally, if you are responding to top posting you have already
>>violated your own precept by your response.
>
>
> Oh, wow! What logic skillz you possess, Batman. I'll bet you impress
> the hell out of the half-drunk rednecks at your local tavern. Better
> run right over there instead of hanging out here where you are SO not

> with it.

235. Re: Dick Smith Radio Direction Finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-14 23:49:10 UTC
From: Glenn McAllister <"(my_callsign)"@orcon.net.nz>
Message-ID: <43C98DF6.2958@orcon.net.nz>
Source record: 10415
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Glenn McAllister wrote:
> (snip)
>
> If/when I find the dox, I'll get back to you. Let me know how you
> progress.
>
> Glenn ZL2TLD

Dox found, photographed (no scanner) and emailed to James, Al and Gary.

If anyone else would like it, email me with a VALID email address and
I'll send. (that's pointed at you, al! :-))
It's about 2.5MB zipped. Anyone got some space to host it?

I'll scan it properly when I find someone locally with a flatbed
scanner.

My email address is my callsign at orcon dot net dot enn zed

de Glenn ZL2TLD

236. Re: newbie: plug for options socket AR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-14 23:50:52 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <k9GdnbA6waP9E1TenZ2dnUVZ_sidnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10416

Click on this link, then scroll down toward the bottom of the page.
http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/widerxvr/0083.html

Bill Crocker

"tg" <tg@nospamevereverever.net> wrote in message
news:43c93a34$0$23288$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk...
> can anyone tell me the proper name of the type of plug that goes into the
> options socket on an AOR AR8200 scanner? I don't mean the
> cables - I've read about them but I've been unable to track down what type
> of plug goes into this socket. (even better - anyone
> know a web site and part number for the plugs?)
> Thanks for any help.
>
>
>
>

237. Re: Bearcat Uniden BC170 16ch. Queston

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-15 00:25:59 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <9i5js1dbqtb67cfngtvocd2c6k2n9ohck1@4ax.com>
Source record: 10417

On Fri, 13 Jan 2006 23:46:56 +0000, MrGator
<MrGator.21lu7n@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:

>i don't know if when you click on limit key should it
>scann all the freq? this one not. it only scann for the 16 ch that i
>programed in to it.

The limit key is used to program a search (search between 2
frequencies, lower limit and upper limit), not for scanning.

238. Re: Dick Smith Radio Direction Finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-15 01:08:48 UTC
From: Ken Taylor <ken@home.nz>
Message-ID: <Wfhyf.14925$vH5.795605@news.xtra.co.nz>
Source record: 10418
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Glenn McAllister" <"(my_callsign)"@orcon.net.nz> wrote in message
news:43C98DF6.2958@orcon.net.nz...
> Glenn McAllister wrote:
> > (snip)
> >
> > If/when I find the dox, I'll get back to you. Let me know how you
> > progress.
> >
> > Glenn ZL2TLD
>
> Dox found, photographed (no scanner) and emailed to James, Al and Gary.
>
> If anyone else would like it, email me with a VALID email address and
> I'll send. (that's pointed at you, al! :-))
> It's about 2.5MB zipped. Anyone got some space to host it?
>
> I'll scan it properly when I find someone locally with a flatbed
> scanner.
>
> My email address is my callsign at orcon dot net dot enn zed
>
>
> de Glenn ZL2TLD

Whereabouts are you Glenn? I'm in Auckland - have scanner.

Ken

239. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-15 01:45:51 UTC
From: Bill Turner <noway@nohow.com>
Message-ID: <xn0eh8a0p1wlfg000@cnews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 10419
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Amos Keag wrote:

> Well I guess I'm not a serious USENET user. I'm only a Professional
> Engineer [retired], chief project engineer [retired], Program Chief
> Engineer USAF WS-118 RS/RV [retired] and hold both BSEE and MA
> degrees.
>
> That said, I prefer TOP POSTING for simplicity sake, for quick
> reading of responses to posts I've previously read, and not wasting
> time scrolling through 100+ lines of your antiquated arbitrary
> responses.
>
> AK
~~~~~~

The really important thing is to trim. Do that right and the top/bottom
issue becomes moot.

Mr Bill
~~~~~~

240. Re: Dick Smith Radio Direction Finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-15 04:09:48 UTC
From: Glenn McAllister <"(callsign)"@orcon.net.nz>
Message-ID: <43C9CB0C.48AA@orcon.net.nz>
Source record: 10420
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Ken Taylor wrote:
> (snip)
> Whereabouts are you Glenn? I'm in Auckland - have scanner.
>
> Ken
Masterton. Email me with your postal address
(And a valid email address :-))
and I'll post it to you if I can't find a scanner locally.

de Glenn ZL2TLD
(callsign) at orcon dot net dot enn zed

241. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-15 06:33:34 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <dqcqbu$35d$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 10421
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Amos Keag wrote:
> Well I guess I'm not a serious USENET user. (snip)
> That said, I prefer TOP POSTING for simplicity sake, for quick (snip)

"Serious UseNet users" do some editing (I eliminated about 10 lines of
your post); then they don't need to top-post.

Jeff KH6O, WC2XSR/12
--
Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

242. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-15 11:54:25 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dqdd0vthr1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 10422
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Amos Keag" <A.K01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:FMadnWtn25q6H1TeRVn-iQ@comcast.com...
> Well I guess I'm not a serious USENET user. I'm only a Professional
> Engineer [retired], chief project engineer [retired], Program Chief
> Engineer USAF WS-118 RS/RV [retired] and hold both BSEE and MA degrees.
>
> That said, I prefer TOP POSTING for simplicity sake, for quick reading
> of responses to posts I've previously read, and not wasting time
> scrolling through 100+ lines of your antiquated arbitrary responses.
>
> AK

You could always cut the un-necessary info and bottom post. Only leave the relevant info to be answered intact. Why more people don't do this I have no idea.

Regards,
Graham
--
-.-. -... / .-. .- -.. .. ---

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73/51 - Graham, 26-Golf Charlie-19 (www.open-channel.co.uk)

243. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-15 14:39:09 UTC
From: "Brian D." <b.donovan2@verison.net>
Message-ID: <h8tyf.5988$6L1.1429@trnddc02>
Source record: 10423
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Amos Keag" <A.K01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:FMadnWtn25q6H1TeRVn-iQ@comcast.com...
> Well I guess I'm not a serious USENET user. I'm only a Professional
> Engineer [retired], chief project engineer [retired], Program Chief
> Engineer USAF WS-118 RS/RV [retired] and hold both BSEE and MA degrees.

Whatever.

> That said, I prefer TOP POSTING for simplicity sake, for quick reading of
> responses to posts I've previously read, and not wasting time scrolling
> through 100+ lines of your antiquated arbitrary responses.
>
> AK

Whatever.

244. Re: newbie: plug for options socket AR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-15 19:44:47 UTC
From: tg <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
Message-ID: <43caa7bb$0$23290$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 10424

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:k9GdnbA6waP9E1TenZ2dnUVZ_sidnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Click on this link, then scroll down toward the bottom of the page.
> http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/widerxvr/0083.html
>
> Bill Crocker
>
ah yes I see the OS8200 plug and raw lead thingy.
Thanks for your feedback Bill.

245. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-15 20:00:47 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <PRxyf.2972$ee6.2188@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10425
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Quit middle-posting.

"Brian D." wrote in message
news:h8tyf.5988$6L1.1429@trnddc02...
>
> "Amos Keag" wrote in message
> news:FMadnWtn25q6H1TeRVn-iQ@comcast.com...
>> Well I guess I'm not a serious USENET user. I'm only a Professional Engineer
>> [retired], chief project engineer [retired], Program Chief Engineer USAF
>> WS-118 RS/RV [retired] and hold both BSEE and MA degrees.
>
> Whatever.
>
>> That said, I prefer TOP POSTING for simplicity sake, for quick reading of
>> responses to posts I've previously read, and not wasting time scrolling
>> through 100+ lines of your antiquated arbitrary responses.

246. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-15 21:46:07 UTC
From: Amos Keag <A.K01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <-7CdnUSMuPIBX1fenZ2dnUVZ_tmdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10426
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Hmmm ... TOP POSTED comment ... you broke the rules!! Shame on us!!

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> Quit middle-posting.
>
> "Brian D." wrote in message
> news:h8tyf.5988$6L1.1429@trnddc02...
>
>>"Amos Keag" wrote in message
>>news:FMadnWtn25q6H1TeRVn-iQ@comcast.com...
>>
>>>Well I guess I'm not a serious USENET user. I'm only a Professional Engineer
>>>[retired], chief project engineer [retired], Program Chief Engineer USAF
>>>WS-118 RS/RV [retired] and hold both BSEE and MA degrees.
>>
>>Whatever.
>>
>>
>>>That said, I prefer TOP POSTING for simplicity sake, for quick reading of
>>>responses to posts I've previously read, and not wasting time scrolling
>>>through 100+ lines of your antiquated arbitrary responses.
>
>
>

247. ID Tracker Updated - V3.9.0

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-15 22:52:30 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <akAyf.137042$k76.49374@bignews6.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 10427
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

An updated version of ID Tracker has been released.

http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm

- Added support for multiple sound cards.
- Added command line option to set the settings (INI) file.
- Added additional debug features.
- Resolved issues with tracking EDACS systems with BC245 and PRO-2052 scanners.

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
http://home.bellsouth.net/p/PWP-k5dmh

ID Tracker
http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
For replies, use my callsign @bellsouth.net.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

248. Re: Is there somthing wrong here?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-15 23:29:59 UTC
From: basfm6 <basfm6@postcards.net>
Message-ID: <11slmnu8vmddqf6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10428

There's at least $40k invested in it. A used R3 scanner sells for around $300. Trailer is easily worth $10,000. Very easy to understand why this business venture failed. Most folks wouldn't understand how to use the scanners and I'm sure there isn't time to explain all the details to every rental customer.

"Clark" <CP@comcast.net> wrote in message news:tISdnRd-ncXG4lXeRVn-vQ@comcast.com...

> http://cgi.ebay.com/ICOM-Race-Police-Scanners-with-TV-for-NASCAR_W0QQitemZ5853423154QQcategoryZ14956QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem

249. Re: Is there somthing wrong here?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-16 02:10:27 UTC
From: **THE-RFI-EMI-GUY** <rhyolite@nettally.com>
Message-ID: <ngDyf.1854$_c.1006@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 10429

Plus getting a concession to set up at some race tracks could be a
problem unless you know the right people. I am surprised the seller is
not offerring it as a going concern if it were profitable.

basfm6 wrote:

>There's at least $40k invested in it. A used R3 scanner sells for around
>$300. Trailer is easily worth $10,000. Very easy to understand why this
>business venture failed. Most folks wouldn't understand how to use the
>scanners and I'm sure there isn't time to explain all the details to every
>rental customer.
>
>"Clark" <CP@comcast.net> wrote in message
>news:tISdnRd-ncXG4lXeRVn-vQ@comcast.com...
>
>
>
>>http://cgi.ebay.com/ICOM-Race-Police-Scanners-with-TV-for-NASCAR_W0QQitemZ5853423154QQca
tegoryZ14956QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
>>
>>
>
>
>
>

--
Joe Leikhim K4SAT
"The RFI-EMI-GUY"ï¿½

"Treason doth never prosper: what's the reason?
For if it prosper, none dare call it treason."

"Follow The Money" ;-P

250. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-16 14:11:23 UTC
From: "Brian D." <b.donovan2@verison.net>
Message-ID: <fQNyf.19669$he.14645@trnddc03>
Source record: 10430
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
A: Because it disturbs the logical flow of the message.
Q: Why is top posting frowned upon?
```

"Amos Keag" wrote in message
news:-7CdnUSMuPIBX1fenZ2dnUVZ_tmdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Hmmm ... TOP POSTED comment ... you broke the rules!! Shame on us!!
>
> K&#65533;HB wrote:
>
>> Quit middle-posting.
>>
>> "Brian D." wrote in message
>> news:h8tyf.5988$6L1.1429@trnddc02...
>>
>>>"Amos Keag" wrote in message
>>>news:FMadnWtn25q6H1TeRVn-iQ@comcast.com...
>>>
>>>>Well I guess I'm not a serious USENET user. I'm only a Professional
>>>>Engineer [retired], chief project engineer [retired], Program Chief
>>>>Engineer USAF WS-118 RS/RV [retired] and hold both BSEE and MA degrees.
>>>
>>>Whatever.
>>>
>>>
>>>>That said, I prefer TOP POSTING for simplicity sake, for quick reading
>>>>of responses to posts I've previously read, and not wasting time
>>>>scrolling through 100+ lines of your antiquated arbitrary responses.
>>
>>
>>
>

251. Re: Is there somthing wrong here?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-16 20:01:44 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <7suns112rt57mohirf6pnoafj4u0tsqnjn@4ax.com>
Source record: 10431

On Mon, 16 Jan 2006 02:10:27 GMT, **THE-RFI-EMI-GUY**
<rhyolite@nettally.com> wrote:

>Plus getting a concession to set up at some race tracks could be a
>problem unless you know the right people. I am surprised the seller is
>not offerring it as a going concern if it were profitable.

Read your last 4 words. If it were profitable he probably wouldn't be
offering it at all.

252. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-16 21:33:46 UTC
From: Amos Keag <A.K01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <a5SdnRl4pJuqjFHeRVn-sw@comcast.com>
Source record: 10432
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
But, I've read the message 50 previous times and I can quote it by
heart. Let's get to the latest comment!!

Brian D. wrote:

> A: Because it disturbs the logical flow of the message.
> Q: Why is top posting frowned upon?
>
> "Amos Keag" wrote in message
> news:-7CdnUSMuPIBX1fenZ2dnUVZ_tmdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
>>Hmmm ... TOP POSTED comment ... you broke the rules!! Shame on us!!
>>
>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Quit middle-posting.
>>>
>>>"Brian D." wrote in message
>>>news:h8tyf.5988$6L1.1429@trnddc02...
>>>
>>>
>>>>"Amos Keag" wrote in message
>>>>news:FMadnWtn25q6H1TeRVn-iQ@comcast.com...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Well I guess I'm not a serious USENET user. I'm only a Professional
>>>>>Engineer [retired], chief project engineer [retired], Program Chief
>>>>>Engineer USAF WS-118 RS/RV [retired] and hold both BSEE and MA degrees.
>>>>
>>>>Whatever.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>That said, I prefer TOP POSTING for simplicity sake, for quick reading
>>>>>of responses to posts I've previously read, and not wasting time
>>>>>scrolling through 100+ lines of your antiquated arbitrary responses.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>
>
```

253. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-16 22:26:31 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11so7coospc5fed@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10433
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

"Amos Keag" wrote in message
news:a5SdnRl4pJuqjFHeRVn-sw@comcast.com...
> But, I've read the message 50 previous times and I can quote it by heart.
> Let's get to the latest comment!!
>
> Brian D. wrote:
>
>> A: Because it disturbs the logical flow of the message.
>> Q: Why is top posting frowned upon?
>>
>> "Amos Keag" wrote in message
>> news:-7CdnUSMuPIBX1fenZ2dnUVZ_tmdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>>
>>>Hmmm ... TOP POSTED comment ... you broke the rules!! Shame on us!!
>>>
>>>K&#65533;HB wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Quit middle-posting.
>>>>
>>>>"Brian D." wrote in message
>>>>news:h8tyf.5988$6L1.1429@trnddc02...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"Amos Keag" wrote in message
>>>>>news:FMadnWtn25q6H1TeRVn-iQ@comcast.com...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Well I guess I'm not a serious USENET user. I'm only a Professional
>>>>>>Engineer [retired], chief project engineer [retired], Program Chief
>>>>>>Engineer USAF WS-118 RS/RV [retired] and hold both BSEE and MA
>>>>>>degrees.
>>>>>
>>>>>Whatever.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>That said, I prefer TOP POSTING for simplicity sake, for quick reading
>>>>>>of responses to posts I've previously read, and not wasting time
>>>>>>scrolling through 100+ lines of your antiquated arbitrary responses.

>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>
>>
>
Apparently you haven't learned anything in those 50 times as you are still top posting.

254. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-16 22:33:09 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dqh6qhbgo1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 10434
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Amos Keag" <A.K01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:a5SdnRl4pJuqjFHeRVn-sw@comcast.com...
> But, I've read the message 50 previous times and I can quote it by
> heart. Let's get to the latest comment!!

Then cut the irrelevant stuff out of the posting like I just did. It's easy
peasy :o)

Regards,
Graham
--
-.-. -... / .-. .- -.. .. ---

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73/51 - Graham, 26-Golf Charlie-19 (www.open-channel.co.uk)

255. K1MAN/IARN/AARA TO W5JBP: "IF YOU CAN'T TAKE THE HEAT, GET OUT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-16 23:24:11 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1137453851.589743.60010@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10435
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

ARRL PRESIDENT QUITS - "IF YOU CAN'T TAKE THE HEAT, GET OUT
OF THE KITCHEN" SAYS K1MAN

Quoting President Harry Truman, Glenn Baxter, Executive Director of
AARA,
the American Amateur Radio Associaation, bids Jim Haynie "Good Luck in
The Contest." According to this week's ARRL Letter:

"The election of a new ARRL president will highlight the annual meeting
of
the ARRL Board of Directors. When the Board convenes Friday and
Saturday,
January 20 and 21, in Windsor, Connecticut, Jim Haynie, W5JBP, will
wield
the gavel for the last time as the League's president. Haynie, who
lives in
Dallas, Texas, has made it known over the past few months that he will
not
be a candidate for a fourth two-year term. He says that while it's been
a
great honor to serve as the ARRL's president for the past six years, he
feels it's time for him to step aside.

"It's a time-consuming job. It tends to wear on you after a while,"
Haynie
conceded this week. "I've racked up hundreds of thousands of miles
traveling--not only here in the United States but all over the world
representing the ARRL and Amateur Radio."

Haynie says he's thoroughly enjoyed his tenure in the League's top
office--a
strictly volunteer position--but he believes someone with fresh ideas
and a
different vision from his now should take over the reins. The ARRL
Board
customarily elects a president from among its own ranks or elevates one
of
the League's vice presidents, although it's not required to. When he
was
elected, Haynie was the ARRL West Gulf Division Director.

Among the successes of his six years as ARRL president, Haynie cites
the

ARRL Education and Technology Program--often better known as "The Big Project." Haynie kicked off the initiative to put Amateur Radio stations and a curriculum into schools across the US shortly after he took office in 2000. The program now boasts upward of 180 participating schools.

He says he's also proud that he's been able to contribute to enhancing the visibility of Amateur Radio at the FCC, in "official Washington" and on Capitol Hill. "I enjoyed that work," said Haynie, who testified before the House Subcommittee on Telecommunications and the Internet on behalf of the Spectrum Protection Act in 2003. Last year, he submitted written testimony to the House Government Reform Committee on the successful efforts of Amateur Radio operators who provided communications during the Hurricane Katrina response.

"It's paid off in the long run," Haynie said of his regular visits to Washington to advance Amateur Radio's agenda. "Amateur Radio needs to learn how to sell itself, not just from the League's standpoint," he said. "The president can only do so much." He encouraged all radio amateurs, whether or not they're ARRL members, to promote Amateur Radio and its value to the community.

Once he steps down, Haynie says he's looking forward to "maybe chasing some DX or maybe running a few contests." But he says he'll also make himself available to the Board to handle any necessary duty.

Among other items on its agenda, the ARRL Board is expected to discuss the process of developing effective band plans to support rule changes the League recently requested in a Petition for Rule Making RM-11306. The petition asks the FCC to regulate the amateur bands by necessary bandwidth rather than by mode. The Commission will accept public comments on the petition until February 6.

The Board also plans to review and discuss Article 11 of its Articles of Association, which defines eligibility to hold League office. It also will hear reports from officers and consider recommendations from various committees."

256. K1MAN/IARN/AARA SAYS FCC TO OFFER K1MAN CALL FOR SALE AT STARTING BIDS 0F $1,000,000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-16 23:27:13 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1137454033.276450.268240@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10436
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

FCC TO OFFER K1MAN CALL FOR SALE AT STARTING BIDS 0F $1,000,000? JAIL TIME FOR FCC "SPECIAL COUNSEL" RILEY HOLLINGSWORTH?

See AARA NEWS

>From ARRL web site:

FCC zips through vanity application backlog (Jan 12, 2006) -- Once the FCC resumed processing Amateur Radio vanity call sign applications earlier this month, its Wireless Telecommunications Bureau (WTB) blazed through the backlog. A total of 990 vanity applications piled up in the queue after the FCC suspended vanity processing September 23. An initial batch of 121 grants Friday, January 6, was followed by 195 more the next day. Apparently confident by then that the system was working okay, the FCC let loose the remaining 674 vanity grants Tuesday, January 10. During the vanity hiatus, applications appeared with a "Y--application has problems" flag in the Universal Licensing System (ULS), prompting some concern on the part of those who checked their applications' status. The WTB reportedly applied the "Y" status to prevent the system from running the entire backlog at once. The WTB halted vanity processing last fall after realizing that filing deadline extensions for licensees in certain states affected by hurricanes Katrina and Rita applied to Amateur Radio's two-year "grace period" and could impact the vanity system. FCC spokesman Tracy Simmons told ARRL today that the WTB now is processing current vanity call sign receipts. It typically takes approximately three weeks from the time a vanity application is submitted until a call sign is issued.--some information from Fred Maia, W5YI

257. stil more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-16 23:50:32 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1137455432.862197.317400@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10437
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
just some mores hit from steve the controller of RRAP

258. more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-16 23:53:51 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1137455631.022253.38510@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10438
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

netmanag...@yahoo.com wrote:
more shit from the asshole of rrap steve

259. Re: more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-17 00:23:19 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1137457398.995689.293350@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10439
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

not steve, more like glenn baxter :)

260. Re: ID Tracker Updated - V3.9.0

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-17 00:57:59 UTC
From: DanOfWA <danofwa@nventure.com>
Message-ID: <O4ydnQvKoOOK3FHeRVn-pA@nventure.com>
Source record: 10440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

David M. Hitchner wrote:
> An updated version of ID Tracker has been released.
>
> http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm
>
> - Added support for multiple sound cards.
> - Added command line option to set the settings (INI) file.
> - Added additional debug features.
> - Resolved issues with tracking EDACS systems with BC245 and PRO-2052
> scanners.
>
web site blocked.......says bandwidth limit exceded

--

NOTE: This email does not and is not to be considered as evidence of,
creation of nor proof of an existing business relationship between
myself and any other party and shall supercede any existent policy
concerning formation or continuation of a business relationship the
other party to this email has when communicating with me. The sole
determination of existing, creation or proof of business relationship
shall be determined a positive statement of creating said relationship
in the content of the email originating FROM my computer and NOT that of
the other parties computer, system or network.

==========
NOTICE TO BULK/COMMERICAL E-MAILERS:
==========

Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and
all unsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address is subject to a
download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US.

Anyone who sends unsolicited commercial e-mail to this account will be
charged a $500 processing fee. Viewing this mail will be considered
official notification and acceptance of these conditions.

Failure to abide by this will result in legal action.

RCW 19.190.020
Unpermitted or misleading electronic mail -- Prohibition.
(1) No person may initiate the transmission, conspire with another to
initiate the transmission, or assist the transmission, of a commercial
electronic mail message from a computer located in Washington or to an
electronic mail address that the sender knows, or has reason to know, is

held by a Washington resident that:
(a) Uses a third party's internet domain name without permission of the third party, or otherwise misrepresents or obscures any information in identifying the point of origin or the transmission path of a commercial electronic mail message; or
(b) Contains false or misleading information in the subject line.

RCW 19.190.040
Violations -- Damages.
(1) Damages to the recipient of a commercial electronic mail message sent in violation of this chapter are five hundred dollars, or actual damages, whichever is greater.

261. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA TO W5JBP: "IF YOU CAN'T TAKE THE HEAT, GET OUT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-17 01:01:34 UTC
From: "Rev. Cleetus P. Hoggjowles Mrs." <kcarter433@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1137459693.971871.103330@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

K1MAN is a living breathing turd.
He should stick to showing up at hamfests
with his amusing blue Lands End folding
chair. The one's that's 2 times too small for his Fat Butt.
Pfhbbltttt!!!!

262. Re: more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-17 01:24:20 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1137461060.854990.252160@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10442
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

N9OGL wrote:
> not steve, more like glenn baxter :)

steve claims that he control all posting on RRAP

263. Re: Dick Smith Radio Direction Finder - Documentation available for download

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-17 02:17:27 UTC
From: Glenn McAllister <"(callsign)"@orcon.net.nz>
Message-ID: <43CC53B7.7143@orcon.net.nz>
Source record: 10443
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Glenn McAllister wrote:
>
> Dox found, photographed (no scanner)
>
> (snip)
>
> My email address is my callsign at orcon dot net dot enn zed

Zipped file of photos of documentation for this device available for
download
http://www.webdingers.com/DseRdf.zip

Will update when scanned and PDF'd

de Glenn ZL2TLD

264. Re: Is there somthing wrong here?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-17 02:44:15 UTC
From: **THE-RFI-EMI-GUY** <rhyolite@nettally.com>
Message-ID: <3SYyf.2727$_c.2265@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 10444

Exactly my point, I didn't want to get sued by him for saying it
straight up.

Al Klein wrote:

>On Mon, 16 Jan 2006 02:10:27 GMT, **THE-RFI-EMI-GUY**
><rhyolite@nettally.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>>Plus getting a concession to set up at some race tracks could be a
>>problem unless you know the right people. I am surprised the seller is
>>not offerring it as a going concern if it were profitable.
>>
>>
>
>Read your last 4 words. If it were profitable he probably wouldn't be
>offering it at all.
>
>

--
Joe Leikhim K4SAT
"The RFI-EMI-GUY"ï¿½

"Treason doth never prosper: what's the reason?
For if it prosper, none dare call it treason."

"Follow The Money" ;-P

265. Re: Is there somthing wrong here?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-17 05:34:36 UTC
From: Jim Dale <jd1dale@charter.net>
Message-ID: <QI%yf.284$Gq6.38@fe03.lga>
Source record: 10445

basfm6 wrote:
Exactly. I think that this is the worse scanner for race scanning.
I have a R3 and it not an easy scanner to use.
Even if you have all the frequencies programed in all it takes is
pushing the wrong button and all scanning stops.
This might be a good idea with a easier to use scanner.
JD
> Most folks wouldn't understand how to use the
> scanners and I'm sure there isn't time to explain all the details to every
> rental customer.

266. Re: ID Tracker Updated - V3.9.0

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-17 12:43:29 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <VO-dnSAhl5Lpe1HenZ2dnUVZ_vydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10446
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Probably overloaded from your senseless disclaimer!

Bill Crocker

"DanOfWA" <danofwa@nventure.com> wrote in message
news:O4ydnQvKoOOK3FHeRVn-pA@nventure.com...
> David M. Hitchner wrote:
>> An updated version of ID Tracker has been released.
>>
>> http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm
>>
>> - Added support for multiple sound cards.
>> - Added command line option to set the settings (INI) file.
>> - Added additional debug features.
>> - Resolved issues with tracking EDACS systems with BC245 and PRO-2052
>> scanners.
>>
> web site blocked.......says bandwidth limit exceded
>
> --
>
> NOTE: This email does not and is not to be considered as evidence of,
> creation of nor proof of an existing business relationship between myself
> and any other party and shall supercede any existent policy concerning
> formation or continuation of a business relationship the other party to
> this email has when communicating with me. The sole determination of
> existing, creation or proof of business relationship shall be determined a
> positive statement of creating said relationship in the content of the
> email originating FROM my computer and NOT that of the other parties
> computer, system or network.
>
>
>
> ==
> NOTICE TO BULK/COMMERICAL E-MAILERS:
> ==
>
> Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and
> all unsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address is subject to a
> download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US.
>
> Anyone who sends unsolicited commercial e-mail to this account will be
> charged a $500 processing fee. Viewing this mail will be considered
> official notification and acceptance of these conditions.

>
> Failure to abide by this will result in legal action.
>
> RCW 19.190.020
> Unpermitted or misleading electronic mail -- Prohibition.
> (1) No person may initiate the transmission, conspire with another to
> initiate the transmission, or assist the transmission, of a commercial
> electronic mail message from a computer located in Washington or to an
> electronic mail address that the sender knows, or has reason to know, is
> held by a Washington resident that:
> (a) Uses a third party's internet domain name without permission of
> the third party, or otherwise misrepresents or obscures any information in
> identifying the point of origin or the transmission path of a commercial
> electronic mail message; or
> (b) Contains false or misleading information in the subject line.
>
> RCW 19.190.040
> Violations -- Damages.
> (1) Damages to the recipient of a commercial electronic mail message
> sent in violation of this chapter are five hundred dollars, or actual
> damages, whichever is greater.

267. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-17 13:15:19 UTC
From: Amos Keag <A.K01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <U9GdnR_fQ915cFHenZ2dnUVZ_t2dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
And I will continue to top post

DrDeath wrote:

> "Amos Keag" wrote in message
> news:a5SdnRl4pJuqjFHeRVn-sw@comcast.com...
>
>>But, I've read the message 50 previous times and I can quote it by heart.
>>Let's get to the latest comment!!
>>
>>Brian D. wrote:
>>
>>
>>>A: Because it disturbs the logical flow of the message.
>>>Q: Why is top posting frowned upon?
>>>
>>>"Amos Keag" wrote in message
>>>news:-7CdnUSMuPIBX1fenZ2dnUVZ_tmdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>>Hmmm ... TOP POSTED comment ... you broke the rules!! Shame on us!!
>>>>
>>>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Quit middle-posting.
>>>>>
>>>>>"Brian D." wrote in message
>>>>>news:h8tyf.5988$6L1.1429@trnddc02...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>"Amos Keag" wrote in message
>>>>>>news:FMadnWtn25q6H1TeRVn-iQ@comcast.com...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Well I guess I'm not a serious USENET user. I'm only a Professional
>>>>>>>Engineer [retired], chief project engineer [retired], Program Chief
>>>>>>>Engineer USAF WS-118 RS/RV [retired] and hold both BSEE and MA
>>>>>>>degrees.
```

>>>>>>
>>>>>>Whatever.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>That said, I prefer TOP POSTING for simplicity sake, for quick reading
>>>>>>>of responses to posts I've previously read, and not wasting time
>>>>>>>scrolling through 100+ lines of your antiquated arbitrary responses.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>
> Apparently you haven't learned anything in those 50 times as you are still
> top posting.
>
>

268. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-17 14:38:17 UTC
From: "Brian D." <b.donovan2@verison.net>
Message-ID: <tj7zf.14926$sq.599@trnddc01>
Source record: 10448
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Amos Keag" <A.K01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:U9GdnR_fQ915cFHenZ2dnUVZ_t2dnZ2d@comcast.com...
> And I will continue to top post
>
plonk

269. Re: more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-17 15:11:32 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1137510692.934589.283750@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10449
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Toad Dogshit
N9OGL wrote:
> not steve, more like glenn baxter :)

STFU, faggot.

270. Re: more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-17 16:10:46 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1137514246.023886.270130@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10450
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Toad Dogshit
> N9OGL wrote:
> > not steve, more like glenn baxter :)
>
> STFU, faggot.
why should anybody shut up at your say so

you are just a criminal (by the terms of the new law

271. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-17 18:47:15 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11sqetir6t9vmd3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Amos Keag" <A.K01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:U9GdnR_fQ915cFHenZ2dnUVZ_t2dnZ2d@comcast.com...
> And I will continue to top post
>
Your right and the whole world is wrong. The top poster has been killfiled.
You had nothing worthwhile to say anyway.

272. Re: more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-17 19:33:19 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1137526399.741923.61020@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
rAPED an Old Little Boyfriend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Toad Dogshit
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > not steve, more like glenn baxter :)
> >
> > STFU, faggot.
> why should anybody shut up at your say so

STFU, perverted child rapist marky.

> you are just a criminal (by the terms of the new law

You are a fucking imbecile, under any terms, Marky.
```

273. Re: more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-17 19:58:59 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1137527939.050343.273090@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> rAPED an Old Little Boyfriend wrote:

more forgery
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > Toad Dogshit
> > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > not steve, more like glenn baxter :)
> > >
> > > STFU, faggot.
> > why should anybody shut up at your say so
>
> STFU, perverted child rapist marky.
no

I wil not shut up and therest of statement was a lie
>
> > you are just a criminal (by the terms of the new law
>
> You are a fucking imbecile, under any terms, Marky.

prehaps but better that than criminal

indeed by the new standard steve (k4yz) has advanced I am not even a
liar anymore

274. Propagation Prediction Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-17 23:58:19 UTC
From: Gary Smith <mygrps@aapt.net.au>
Message-ID: <dql4at$4h5$1@news-01.bur.connect.com.au>
Source record: 10364
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi, thought some people might find these pages of interest as i did.

http://home.cogeco.ca/~dxinfo/tropo_aus.html

Gary

275. 2.4 GHz Capable Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-18 00:28:19 UTC
From: randomjester <randomjester.21t8vs@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <randomjester.21t8vs@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 10355

First off, I want to state that monitoring phone (cordless/cell) bands/frequencies in Canada is legal. That being stated, is there a scanner, preferably handheld, that is capable of monitoring 2.4 GHz bands/frequencies? If so, can I get a recommendation on a specific make and model?

Thanks

--
randomjester

276. Re: stil more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-18 02:42:16 UTC
From: Billy Smith <nnone@none2.com>
Message-ID: <cWhzf.1348$rH5.915@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10354
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

He doesn't control anything

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1137455432.862197.317400@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
> just some mores hit from steve the controller of RRAP
>

277. Re: 2.4 GHz Capable Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-18 03:14:10 UTC
From: konstan_@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <sgcrs1h2vc64rqhed04cd9ff86jebdcadf@4ax.com>
Source record: 10356

On Wed, 18 Jan 2006 00:28:19 +0000, randomjester
<randomjester.21t8vs@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:

>
>First off, I want to state that monitoring phone (cordless/cell)
>bands/frequencies in Canada is legal. That being stated, is there a
>scanner, preferably handheld, that is capable of monitoring 2.4 GHz
>bands/frequencies? If so, can I get a recommendation on a specific make
>and model?

never heard of a scaanner that does that freq range I guess I could
put a scanner and transverter that would cover that rnge esp ass a
scanner aat hf can scaner more thanmost of say my 2m radios

>
>Thanks

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

278. Re: 2.4 GHz Capable Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-18 03:57:34 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <11srf5dnl2qcme4@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 10357

randomjester wrote:
> First off, I want to state that monitoring phone (cordless/cell)
> bands/frequencies in Canada is legal. That being stated, is there a
> scanner, preferably handheld, that is capable of monitoring 2.4 GHz
> bands/frequencies? If so, can I get a recommendation on a specific make
> and model?

Icom IC-R20 will scan that range.
--
Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

279. Streaming internet Scanner Audio - How?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-18 04:45:09 UTC
From: nospam000@spamhole.com
Message-ID: <1137559509.568916.164430@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,microsoft.public.windowsmedia.player,alt.radio.scanner

I would like to set up at my house a scanner receiver so that my
elderly dad can listen via internet (just like all the other streaming
scanner audio sites) to the broadcasts.

Could someone please advise what equipment I need to do this and how?
I have the scanner receiver, the Windows XP home computer, and
broadband connection.

Thanks alot!

280. Re: 2.4 GHz Capable Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-18 04:57:22 UTC
From: Krackula
Message-ID: <vahrs115vb257g9kjf8cjd34hb5bjaa2tc@4ax.com>
Source record: 10359

On Wed, 18 Jan 2006 00:28:19 +0000, randomjester
<randomjester.21t8vs@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:

>
>First off, I want to state that monitoring phone (cordless/cell)
>bands/frequencies in Canada is legal. That being stated, is there a
>scanner, preferably handheld, that is capable of monitoring 2.4 GHz
>bands/frequencies? If so, can I get a recommendation on a specific make
>and model?
>
>Thanks

even if you find a scanner to listen to 2.4 gigs , pretty much all the
cordless phones are digital , up there. the apco25 digital scanners
can't decode the digital cordless phones. nearly all of the
potential 2.4 gig activity your scanner could find would be digital
and / or wideband (wifi !) and either not decodeable or hearable
/ decodable in the case of wifi , for example. you might find
an occasional nanny cam if you have " video " abilities in the scanner
(also a wide bandwidth signal)
but they are rare to run across as they run pretty low power and have
limited range.

you could save yourself money and get something more suitable for 2.4
gig work by making your laptop into a spectrum analyzer type
of scanner and using the " card "
http://cgi.ebay.com/2-4-Ghz-Spectrum-Analyzer-WIFI-Wireless-WISP-Kit_W0QQitemZ5855613496QQ
categoryZ44996QQssPageNameZWDVWQQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem

and doing the 2.4 gig type of things like wardriving and mapping
/ popping local bubbles !

http://www.bitshift.org/wardriving.shtml

there is some ham activity , up there , that is narrowband ..... not
much else ! (for the consumer with a nb scanner)

the modern technology that has moved into the 2.4 (and now 5 gigs)
range has kinda left scanners behind. for communications that takes
place up in the 2.4 gig range and above (with 5 gigs becoming all the
rage nowadays) , a scanner isn't really the proper instrument
anymore, as the times and technology have changed. scanners have
their place as narrowband instruments but not much narrowband stuff
happening for the scanner hobbyist in the 2 gigs and up range !

281. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-18 07:25:08 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <ndCdnWui8IXIcFDenZ2dnUVZ_tidnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10360
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Amos Keag wrote:

Personally, I prefer > And I will continue to top post < to side post.

>> DrDeath < and sometimes even middle post > wrote:

282. Re: Streaming internet Scanner Audio - How?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-18 09:50:33 UTC
From: Legs <Legs@notvalid.co.nz>
Message-ID: <Vaozf.15940$vH5.842190@news.xtra.co.nz>
Source record: 10361
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,microsoft.public.windowsmedia.player,alt.radio.scanner

nospam000@spamhole.com wrote:
> I would like to set up at my house a scanner receiver so that my
> elderly dad can listen via internet (just like all the other streaming
> scanner audio sites) to the broadcasts.
>
> Could someone please advise what equipment I need to do this and how?
> I have the scanner receiver, the Windows XP home computer, and
> broadband connection.
>
> Thanks alot!
>

Fairly simple - in addition to your computer and scanner, an audio
connecting lead from scanner to audio (line) input on your computer.

A program to do the streaming can be found at :-

http://www.microsoft.com/windows/windowsmedia/9series/encoder/default.aspx

A little bit of head scratching to get it set up, may help to read
instructions here.

If you use a router to connect to the interweb, you may have to open a
port to allow the streaming audio, IP stream through. You get to set
which port to use in the encoder. You may also have to let your firewall
know what's going on here too.

Once set up, the audio stream can be listened to with Windows Media
Player. Go to -> Sync > File > Open URL. The URL will be :-

http://your_IP_address:Your_port_number.

note, there is a full colon - : - between your IP address, and the port
you are using for streaming.

If you have more than one computer set up as a local LAN, you can check
that it is working locally before committing to the net. If you do this,
you will no doubt notice maybe a one or so second delay in the audio
coming out of the 'decoding' computer.

have fun.

283. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-18 09:53:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1137578017.314069.47260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > rAPED an Old Little Boyfriend wrote:
> more forgery
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > Toad Dogshit
> > > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > > not steve, more like glenn baxter :)
> > > > >
> > > > STFU, faggot.
> > > why should anybody shut up at your say so
> >
> > STFU, perverted child rapist marky.
>
> no

No, you won't "STFU", or No, you're not a perverted child
rapist...?!?!

> I wil not shut up and therest of statement was a lie

Are you sure?

You've been investigated for other moral's charges...This isn't a
stretch.

> > > you are just a criminal (by the terms of the new law
> >
> > You are a ####ing imbecile, under any terms, Marky.
>
> prehaps but better that than criminal

No...You ARE the criminal, Markie.

> indeed by the new standard steve (k4yz) has advanced I am not even a
> liar anymore

Oh no, you'll ALWAYS be a liar, Markie...YOU ahve admitted that,
and I won't deprive you of it!

Steve, K4YZ

284. voiceinvert?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-18 10:09:01 UTC
From: alfazulu@walla.com
Message-ID: <1137578941.441912.20400@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10363
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am using the program called 'voiceinvert' but it only decodes in real-time. Does anyone know of a similar program that has playback?

Thank you kindly!

285. Re: Propagation Prediction Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-18 16:41:05 UTC
From: Honest John <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <rYWdnWaEoeQh8lPeRVn-uQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 10365
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Gary Smith" <mygrps@aapt.net.au> wrote in message
news:dql4at$4h5$1@news-01.bur.connect.com.au...
> Hi, thought some people might find these pages of interest as i did.
>
> http://home.cogeco.ca/~dxinfo/tropo_aus.html
>
> Gary

Thanks, Gary, this is way cool !

H.J.

286. Re: more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-18 16:53:44 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1137603224.570004.184070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10366
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

raped_an_old_underaged_boyfriend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > rAPED an Old Little Boyfriend wrote:
>
> more forgery

More Marky stupidity!

> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > Toad Dogshit
> > > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > > not steve, more like glenn baxter :)
> > > >
> > > > STFU, faggot.
> > > why should anybody shut up at your say so
> >
> > STFU, perverted child rapist marky.
> no

STFU, FAGGOT!

> I wil not shut up and therest of statement was a lie

No, you've admitted to being a child rapist, Marky.

> >
> > > you are just a criminal (by the terms of the new law
> >
> > You are a fucking imbecile, under any terms, Marky.
>
> prehaps but better that than criminal

You are both, stupid.

>
> indeed by the new standard steve (k4yz) has advanced I am not even a
> liar anymore

No, you'll always be a liar.

287. newbie: confised about scanner terms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-18 17:30:16 UTC
From: tg <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
Message-ID: <43ce7b3f$0$29576$da0feed9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 10367

Hi, I'm trying to get to grips with the AR8200 MkII I bought....
what do the following terms mean?
WFM, NFM, SFM, WAM, AM, NAM, USB, LSB, CW
I tried googling but couldn't find any simple definitions.

288. Re: newbie: confised about scanner terms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-18 17:46:49 UTC
From: Honest John <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <eZudnRUHoZi64lPenZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 10368

"tg" <tg@nospamevereverever.net> wrote in message
news:43ce7b3f$0$29576$da0feed9@news.zen.co.uk...
> Hi, I'm trying to get to grips with the AR8200 MkII I bought....
> what do the following terms mean?
> WFM, NFM, SFM, WAM, AM, NAM, USB, LSB, CW
> I tried googling but couldn't find any simple definitions.
>
W means wide band, like WFM=wide band FM. WAM=wide band AM

N means narrow band, like NFM= narrow band FM, and NAM= narrow band AM

USB= Upper side band, and LSB= Lower side band, and CW= Continuous Wave used
in moris code (like Hams do)

assuming you already know the difference in Am (amplitude modulation) and FM
(frequency modulation)

H.J.

289. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Demand Justice From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-18 20:08:14 UTC
From: sapper <billvet6@excite.com>
Message-ID: <1137614894.687332.21540@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Please continue to top post.Going through the same stuff over and over
is a pain.The anal retentive net police can eat my shorts.

290. Re: Streaming internet Scanner Audio - How?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-18 21:04:29 UTC
From: tg <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
Message-ID: <43ceb7c7$0$29572$da0feed9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 10370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,microsoft.public.windowsmedia.player,alt.radio.scanner

<nospam000@spamhole.com> wrote in message
news:1137559509.568916.164430@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>I would like to set up at my house a scanner receiver so that my
> elderly dad can listen via internet (just like all the other streaming
> scanner audio sites) to the broadcasts.
>
> Could someone please advise what equipment I need to do this and how?
> I have the scanner receiver, the Windows XP home computer, and
> broadband connection.

good luck with streaming but if it turns out to be too difficult another option might be to record the scanning you do with
scanrec:
http://www.davee.com/scanrec/
and then convert your recordings to mp3 and upload them to a site where your Dad can download them and play them at his liesure.
One of the good things about scanrec is it can cut out all the silence/low noise and only record proper signals, thus your Dad
wouldn't have to listen to any long periods of silence.

291. Re: more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-18 22:25:56 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20060118172556.112$UE@newsreader.com>
Source record: 10371
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> raped_an_old_underaged_boyfriend wrote:
> > indeed by the new standard steve (k4yz) has advanced I am not even a
> > liar anymore
>
> No, you'll always be a liar.
>
Funny how that shit-stain can string a sentence together when he wants to.

Do us all a favor in the future, snip rrcb if you're quoting his vomit.

Thank ewe. :)

292. Re: newbie: confised about scanner terms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-18 22:30:00 UTC
From: G8JNF <g8jnf@duncanberry.com>
Message-ID: <dqmfh7$rt0$1@nwrdmz02.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 10372

What's moris code?

"Honest John" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:eZudnRUHoZi64lPenZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@centurytel.net...
>
> "tg" <tg@nospamevereverever.net> wrote in message
> news:43ce7b3f$0$29576$da0feed9@news.zen.co.uk...
>> Hi, I'm trying to get to grips with the AR8200 MkII I bought....
>> what do the following terms mean?
>> WFM, NFM, SFM, WAM, AM, NAM, USB, LSB, CW
>> I tried googling but couldn't find any simple definitions.
>>
> W means wide band, like WFM=wide band FM. WAM=wide band AM
>
> N means narrow band, like NFM= narrow band FM, and NAM= narrow band AM
>
> USB= Upper side band, and LSB= Lower side band, and CW= Continuous Wave
> used
> in moris code (like Hams do)
>
> assuming you already know the difference in Am (amplitude modulation) and
> FM
> (frequency modulation)
>
> H.J.
>
>

293. Re: newbie: confised about scanner terms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-18 23:06:25 UTC
From: Honest John <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <WsGdnbVsBvWCV1PeRVn-tg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 10373

"G8JNF" <g8jnf@duncanberry.com> wrote in message

> What's moris code?

OK I misspelled it. It's Morse code.

picky...picky...picky...

H.J. (.... --- -. . ... - .--- --- .... -.)

294. Re: newbie: confised about scanner terms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-18 23:22:04 UTC
From: G8JNF <g8jnf@duncanberry.com>
Message-ID: <dqmiir$81e$1@nwrdmz02.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 10374

Don't you just hate it when people do that?

Regards
Duncan

"Honest John" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:WsGdnbVsBvWCV1PeRVn-tg@centurytel.net...
>
> "G8JNF" <g8jnf@duncanberry.com> wrote in message
>
>> What's moris code?
>
>
> OK I misspelled it. It's Morse code.
>
> picky...picky...picky...
>
> H.J. (.... --- -. . ... - .--- --- .... -.)
>
>
>

295. re: Uniden BearCat BC 142XL Operating Instructions Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-18 23:25:48 UTC
From: thespaceexplorer@aol.com
Message-ID: <1137626748.330623.88500@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10375

Its so easy to use you really don't need a manual for the Uniden BC 142XL scanner.

Turn it on. Push the "MANUAL" button to stop it from scanning.

Push number 1, then push "MANUAL" again. This should leave you on channel one.

Using the keypad enter the frequency you want to monitor, then push "E." Press "REVIEW" at anytime to see what frequency is programmed there. If the review button flashes 000.0000 on the LED readout display, you might be entering an invalid frequency. Refer to the list of acceptable frequencies in the Frequency Range Bands below.

Push "MANUAL" to step to channel number two. Enter the frequency. Push "E." Push "MANUAL" to step to channel three. Repeat this process until all the (10) channels are full, or you've run out of frequencies to enter.

Push "SCAN" to start automatically scanning the frequencies. The radio will stop when it hears someone talking, and resume scanning two seconds after the conversation has stopped.

Frequency Range Bands:

20 to 29.7 MHz (10 Meter "Ham" Band)
29.7 to 50 MHz (VHF Low Band)
50 to 54 MHz (6 Meter Amateur Band)
136 to 144 MHz (Military Land Mobile)
144 to 148 MHz (2 Meter "Ham" Band)
406 to 420 MHz (Federal Government Land Mobile)
420 to 450 MHz (70cm "Ham" Band)
450 to 470 MHz (UHF Standard Band)
470 to 512 MHz (UHF "T" Band)

296. Re: newbie: confised about scanner terms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-19 00:17:11 UTC
From: Honest John <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <j4WdnXEUaLlXR1PenZ2dnUVZ_sWdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 10376

"G8JNF" <g8jnf@duncanberry.com> wrote in message

> Don't you just hate it when people do that?

yup ! That's what I get for depending on the spell checker too much !

H.J. (I did the crime and paid the price)

297. FS: Icom R-100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-19 03:44:17 UTC
From: muddy <mikebothe@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <lWDzf.3784$Hd4.220@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10377

The ICOM R100 covers 100 kHz to 1856 MHz in AM, FM and FM-Wide. It has an an LCD display and tuning via knob, keypad or 100 memories. The rear panel has 3 antenna inputs.

This receiver is NOT cellular blocked. $300 + shipping.

--
Mike
DL1000 (WarthogII) UKRMMA#22
Skype: mikebothe

298. Re: Dick Smith Radio Direction Finder - Docs now in PDF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-19 04:42:24 UTC
From: Glenn McAllister <"(callsign)"@orcon.net.nz>
Message-ID: <43CF18B0.70E8@orcon.net.nz>
Source record: 10378
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Scanned and kindly converted to PDF by Ken, ZL1WKT.

Hosted by Al at
http://www.webdingers.com/K6345RadioDirectionFinder.pdf
and also by me at
http://www.freewebs.com/cqdx/dserdfk6345.htm

Glenn McAllister wrote:
>
> My email address is my callsign at orcon dot net dot enn zed
>
> de Glenn ZL2TLD

299. Need imformation..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-19 04:47:22 UTC
From: MKbull@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8643-43CF19DA-44@storefull-3231.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 10379

Hello. i recently pickup a used realistic pro 2005, and need to find
some good information on it's over all scanning abilitly.. also
would like to know if it has a good track record..
Thanks..
Bull..

300. Re: Need imformation..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-19 13:28:10 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <W9OdnWeft6hzDlLenZ2dnUVZ_sSdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10380

The PRO-2005 is an excellent scanner, although it's not a Trunk-Tracker, and it can't decode digital. There is still considerable information available on-line from Radio Shack.

Click here:
http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/28538.htm

Some additional info, plus modifications can be found here:
http://www.mods.dk/view.php?ModelId=604

Bill Crocker

"Mike" <MKbull@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:8643-43CF19DA-44@storefull-3231.bay.webtv.net...
> Hello. i recently pickup a used realistic pro 2005, and need to find
> some good information on it's over all scanning abilitly.. also
> would like to know if it has a good track record..
> Thanks..
> Bull..
>

301. Re: Ham Radio, How I got caught

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-19 13:29:40 UTC
From: CriticalMass <no@spam.com>
Message-ID: <AM-dnaIbMsvZCVLenZ2dnUVZ_sOdnZ2d@gvtc.com>
Source record: 10381
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

pancake wrote:

> Most hams act like fuckin' boy scouts. If I could make kids fall off
> their bikes by keying up, I might wanna get my ticket.

And, you think acting like a "boy scout" is bad? I'd a whole lot rather be approached by a "boy scout" stranger than anyone who thinks they're weird.

Get your head on straight.

302. Re: Streaming internet Scanner Audio - How?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-19 13:49:17 UTC
From: daviddd <3ddaniels@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1137678557.501001.151370@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,microsoft.public.windowsmedia.player,alt.radio.scanner

Go to the WIKI @ RadioReference at this link
" radioreference.com/wiki/index.php/Live_Scanners "
don't forget to add the " http://www. "
Also join the LiveScannerAudioGroup at,
" http://groups.yahoo.com/group/LiveScannerAudio/ "
Once your membership is approved, you will find a treasure of info for
Live Scanner Audio "how to's"
and also over 900 links to Live Scanner Audio Feeds
to keep your grandfather happy.
daviddd

303. Re: Streaming internet Scanner Audio - How?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-19 13:49:19 UTC
From: daviddd <3ddaniels@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1137678559.637003.130380@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10383
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,microsoft.public.windowsmedia.player,alt.radio.scanner

Go to the WIKI @ RadioReference at this link
" radioreference.com/wiki/index.php/Live_Scanners "
don't forget to add the " http://www. "
Also join the LiveScannerAudioGroup at,
" http://groups.yahoo.com/group/LiveScannerAudio/ "
Once your membership is approved, you will find a treasure of info for
Live Scanner Audio "how to's"
and also over 900 links to Live Scanner Audio Feeds
to keep your grandfather happy.
daviddd

304. Re: Streaming internet Scanner Audio - How?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-19 14:03:04 UTC
From: daviddd <3ddaniels@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1137678554.161337.151090@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10384
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,microsoft.public.windowsmedia.player,alt.radio.scanner

Go to the WIKI @ RadioReference at this link
" radioreference.com/wiki/index.php/Live_Scanners "
don't forget to add the " http://www. "
Also join the LiveScannerAudioGroup at,
" http://groups.yahoo.com/group/LiveScannerAudio/ "
Once your membership is approved, you will find a treasure of info for
Live Scanner Audio "how to's"
and also over 900 links to Live Scanner Audio Feeds
to keep your grandfather happy.
daviddd

305. Re: Dick Smith Radio Direction Finder - Docs now in PDF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-19 20:43:42 UTC
From: Kev <kevcat@optunet.com.au>
Message-ID: <43cff9fe$0$10954$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 10385
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Glenn McAllister wrote:

> Scanned and kindly converted to PDF by Ken, ZL1WKT.
>
> Hosted by Al at
> http://www.webdingers.com/K6345RadioDirectionFinder.pdf
> and also by me at
> http://www.freewebs.com/cqdx/dserdfk6345.htm
>
> Glenn McAllister wrote:
>
>>My email address is my callsign at orcon dot net dot enn zed
>>
>>de Glenn ZL2TLD

Fantastic
Thanks for doing that

Now at least I can see what's what in this thing

Cheers

Kev

306. Re: Streaming internet Scanner Audio - How?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-19 22:12:58 UTC
From: tg <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
Message-ID: <43d00f37$0$28433$fa0fcedb@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 10386
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,microsoft.public.windowsmedia.player,alt.radio.scanner

"Legs" <Legs@notvalid.co.nz> wrote in message
news:Vaozf.15940$vH5.842190@news.xtra.co.nz...
> nospam000@spamhole.com wrote:
>> I would like to set up at my house a scanner receiver so that my
>> elderly dad can listen via internet (just like all the other streaming
>> scanner audio sites) to the broadcasts.
>>
>> Could someone please advise what equipment I need to do this and how?
>> I have the scanner receiver, the Windows XP home computer, and
>> broadband connection.
>>
>> Thanks alot!
>>
>
> Fairly simple - in addition to your computer and scanner, an audio connecting lead from
scanner to audio (line) input on your
> computer.
>
> A program to do the streaming can be found at :-
>
> http://www.microsoft.com/windows/windowsmedia/9series/encoder/default.aspx
>
> A little bit of head scratching to get it set up, may help to read instructions here.
>
> If you use a router to connect to the interweb, you may have to open a port to allow the
streaming audio, IP stream through. You
> get to set which port to use in the encoder. You may also have to let your firewall know
what's going on here too.
>
> Once set up, the audio stream can be listened to with Windows Media Player. Go to -> Sync
> File > Open URL. The URL will be :-
>
> http://your_IP_address:Your_port_number.
>
> note, there is a full colon - : - between your IP address, and the port you are using for
streaming.
>
> If you have more than one computer set up as a local LAN, you can check that it is
working locally before committing to the net.
> If you do this, you will no doubt notice maybe a one or so second delay in the audio
coming out of the 'decoding' computer.
>
> have fun.

this is really good info (thanks), but I've run into a problem and you may know the answer. I've got several computers here at home on a network and I've managed to get a stream going from one computer (using WME9) and I'm
picking it up in WMP9 on another, problem is for a computer outside my LAN (ie a computer on the other side of my router) the IP
addresses I'm using won't work because I'm behind a router. The IP address on the PC streaming out (eg xx.xx.xx.xx) is behind
another IP address (yy.yy.yy.yy) which is my router on the internet.
How do I configure the address for someone outside to get my stream?

307. Re: Streaming internet Scanner Audio - How?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-19 23:28:42 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <lv70t1hhpvj7p5uarf1smk007lottr6ofj@4ax.com>
Source record: 10387
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,microsoft.public.windowsmedia.player,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 19 Jan 2006 22:12:58 -0000, "tg" <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
wrote:

>this is really good info (thanks), but I've run into a problem and you may know the
answer.
>I've got several computers here at home on a network and I've managed to get a stream
going from one computer (using WME9) and I'm
>picking it up in WMP9 on another, problem is for a computer outside my LAN (ie a computer
on the other side of my router) the IP
>addresses I'm using won't work because I'm behind a router. The IP address on the PC
streaming out (eg xx.xx.xx.xx) is behind
>another IP address (yy.yy.yy.yy) which is my router on the internet.
>How do I configure the address for someone outside to get my stream?

http://portforward.com/english/routers/port_forwarding/routerindex.htm

If you can't figure it out from there, post the question (including
the make and model of router(s) and modem, operating system, version
and service pack if any, and the port number you have to forward) at
http://forum.portforward.com/YaBB.cgi?board=Software

308. Re: Streaming internet Scanner Audio - How?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-20 01:55:20 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <cng0t15lpjagk0ss68uk5v3k6mitcv20nq@4ax.com>
Source record: 10388

On Thu, 19 Jan 2006 09:56:04 -0500,
xxnonexspamxnonexx@tampascanner.info wrote:

>Goto this site and you will see how to setup various software for feeding a
>scanner live on the internet. This is the best site of infomation on the subject
>I've seen bar and second to none.

If you want to pay for software you can get free, it's a great site.

309. Scannerist testifies in jailer murder trial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-20 13:19:18 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1137763158.351960.138350@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10389

http://tennessean.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20060120/NEWS03/601200391/1017/NEWS

A scannerist heard of a police incident at a store. Both he and his wife went to the scene, and they saw police officers in Lebanon Tennessee beating a man. The scannerist testified in the murder trial of a Wilson County Jailer after the victim died in the prison.

Peter s

keywords - openness - interception and divulgence

310. Re: more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-20 20:26:08 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1137788768.875670.4950@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10390
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Steveo wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > raped_an_old_underaged_boyfriend wrote:
> > > indeed by the new standard steve (k4yz) has advanced I am not even a
> > > liar anymore
> >
> > No, you'll always be a liar.
> >
> Funny how that shit-stain can string a sentence together when he wants to.
>
> Do us all a favor in the future, snip rrcb if you're quoting his vomit.

Do us all a favor, learn how to use a fucking killfile, dumbass.

> Thank ewe. :)

Keep your sheep fucking fantasies to yourself, K?
Lloyd Davies

311. Re: stil more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-20 20:35:51 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1137789351.513547.76520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10391
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Billy Smith wrote:
> He doesn't control anything

Sort of how you can't control your bowels and you shit and piss
yourself on a daily basis, huh, "Billy?"

> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1137455432.862197.317400@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
> > just some mores hit from steve the controller of RRAP
> >

312. Re: stil more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-20 20:44:49 UTC
From: SB <nospam@wfhtewohswatas.com>
Message-ID: <5ZbAf.3702$vU2.2006@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10392
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Hillbilly Wussman wrote:
I can't control my bowels and I shit and piss
myself on a daily basis. In fact, I also gobble goo from the convicts and
other degenerates of my sort. That why I am the king of song in the
Greyhound Men's Room Band.

>
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1137455432.862197.317400@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > just some mores hit from steve the controller of RRAP
>> >
>

313. more shit from steve hidden by his fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-20 21:45:35 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1137793535.825555.79620@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10393
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > rAPED an Old Little Boyfriend wrote:
> > more forgery
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > Toad Dogshit
> > > > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > > > not steve, more like glenn baxter :)
> > > > >
> > > > > STFU, faggot.
> > > > why should anybody shut up at your say so
> > >
> > > STFU, perverted child rapist marky.
> >
> > no
>
> No, you won't "STFU", or No, you're not a perverted child
> rapist...?!?!

was I was only sayin no I will not shut up but I am not a preverted
child rapist either despite you effort to paint me as one
>
> > I wil not shut up and therest of statement was a lie
>
> Are you sure?

yes
>
> You've been investigated for other moral's charges...This isn't a
> stretch.
so have you been investagated steve
>
> > > > you are just a criminal (by the terms of the new law
> > >
> > > You are a fucking imbecile, under any terms, Marky.
> >
> > prehaps but better that than criminal
>
> No...You ARE the criminal, Markie.

what crime?
```

>
> > indeed by the new standard steve (k4yz) has advanced I am not even a
> > liar anymore
>
> Oh no, you'll ALWAYS be a liar, Markie...YOU ahve admitted that,
> and I won't deprive you of it!

I ahve done no such thing speling cop

but Iwas simply taking you at YOUR word but then you do lie constanly
>
> Steve, K4YZ

314. Re: stil more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-20 21:53:26 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1137794006.478971.319130@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10394
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

SB wrote:
nore shit

315. Uniden BC296D questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-21 01:25:39 UTC
From: Ron Purdue <RONALDPURDUE@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <n4gAf.3829$NS6.2304@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 10395

I just acquired a Uniden BC296D scanner from Grove Enterprises. The question ponders me about if the batteries go dead, would it erase all the frequencies programmed into the scanner? Does it have a volatile memory? The instruction manual does not say anything about it. Thanks.

316. Re: Uniden BC296D questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-21 01:35:33 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <FdgAf.52833$zt1.37409@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 10396

it will hold its memory for a few days .

mike

"Ron Purdue" <RONALDPURDUE@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:n4gAf.3829$NS6.2304@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
>I just acquired a Uniden BC296D scanner from Grove Enterprises. The
>question
> ponders me about if the batteries go dead, would it erase all the
> frequencies programmed into the scanner? Does it have a volatile memory?
> The
> instruction manual does not say anything about it. Thanks.
>
>

317. Re: Uniden BC296D questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-21 02:00:03 UTC
From: "St. John Smythe" <sinjen@n4vu.com>
Message-ID: <dqs47d$c83$16@n4vu2.n4vu.com>
Source record: 10397

mikeFNB wrote:
> it will hold its memory for a few days .

I thought it would hold memory indefinitely. Doesn't it use flash?
--
St. John
... I'm IMAGINING a sensuous GIRAFFE, CAVORTING in the BACK ROOM of a
KOSHER DELI!!

318. Re: Uniden BC296D questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-21 02:40:00 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <5n73t1507hi9q911a2649u91tll7q83frg@4ax.com>
Source record: 10398

On Sat, 21 Jan 2006 02:00:03 GMT, "St. John Smythe" <sinjen@n4vu.com>
wrote:

>mikeFNB wrote:
>> it will hold its memory for a few days .

>I thought it would hold memory indefinitely. Doesn't it use flash?

For the firmware, not for the channel, etc., programming.

319. Re: stil more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-21 04:18:11 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <dqscg4$ee3$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 10399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1137789351.513547.76520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

Billy Smith wrote:
> He doesn't control anything

Sort of how you can't control your bowels and you shit and piss
yourself on a daily basis, huh, "Billy?"

.....
.....

While you, Roger, wet your diapers daily on the Nim Boards while stalking
Lloyd.

320. Re: Uniden BC296D questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-21 04:42:19 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <JcudnSKBX5M2JkzeRVn-jg@comcast.com>
Source record: 10400

The Uniden BC296D has nonvolatile memory, meaning that your frequencies, talk groups, and other programmed information is stored indefinitely, even if the battery is totally dead, or removed from the scanner.

Bill Crocker

"Ron Purdue" <RONALDPURDUE@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:n4gAf.3829$NS6.2304@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
>I just acquired a Uniden BC296D scanner from Grove Enterprises. The >question
> ponders me about if the batteries go dead, would it erase all the
> frequencies programmed into the scanner? Does it have a volatile memory?
> The
> instruction manual does not say anything about it. Thanks.
>
>

321. Re: stil more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-21 05:44:43 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1137822283.865723.8010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
Arf! Arf! wrote:
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1137789351.513547.76520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Billy Smith wrote:
> > He doesn't control anything
>
>
> Sort of how you can't control your bowels and you shit and piss
> yourself on a daily basis, huh, "Billy?"
hi steve why don't you just come from behind the curtain
```

322. Re: stil more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-21 05:54:38 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <dqsi4v$76g$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 10252
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1137822283.865723.8010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

Arf! Arf! wrote:
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1137789351.513547.76520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Billy Smith wrote:
> > He doesn't control anything
>
>
> Sort of how you can't control your bowels and you shit and piss
> yourself on a daily basis, huh, "Billy?"
hi steve why don't you just come from behind the curtain

Learn to read headers, dipwad.
Wiseman posted that, not Steve.

Oh, wait. Nobody enclosed any pictures for you to color, did they?
Simple is as simple does.
Arf!

323. Re: stil more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-21 05:58:44 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1137823124.349183.182240@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
Arf! Arf! wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1137822283.865723.8010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Arf! Arf! wrote:
> > <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1137789351.513547.76520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Billy Smith wrote:
> > > He doesn't control anything
> >
> >
> > Sort of how you can't control your bowels and you shit and piss
> > yourself on a daily basis, huh, "Billy?"
> hi steve why don't you just come from behind the curtain
>
> Learn to read headers, dipwad.
> Wiseman posted that, not Steve.

I call all the annies steve except for the the one calling himself
"youknow who" him I call tom riddle or lord Voldomort for obvois
reasons

so hi steve maybe robeson maybe not but you are all steve to me
```

324. Re: stil more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-21 06:15:38 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <dqsjcbcku0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 10254
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1137823124.349183.182240@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

Arf! Arf! wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1137822283.865723.8010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Arf! Arf! wrote:
> > <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1137789351.513547.76520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Billy Smith wrote:
> > > He doesn't control anything
> >
> >
> > Sort of how you can't control your bowels and you shit and piss
> > yourself on a daily basis, huh, "Billy?"
> hi steve why don't you just come from behind the curtain
>
> Learn to read headers, dipwad.
> Wiseman posted that, not Steve.

I call all the annies steve except for the the one calling himself
"youknow who" him I call tom riddle or lord Voldomort for obvois
reasons

so hi steve maybe robeson maybe not but you are all steve to me

iwishiknewwhat the hell maybe you meento say but you all dumb to me

325. Re: stil more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-21 06:42:35 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1137825755.363059.238570@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10255
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
Arf! Arf! wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1137823124.349183.182240@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Arf! Arf! wrote:
> > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1137822283.865723.8010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Arf! Arf! wrote:
> > > <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1137789351.513547.76520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > Billy Smith wrote:
> > > > He doesn't control anything
> > >
> > >
> > > Sort of how you can't control your bowels and you shit and piss
> > > yourself on a daily basis, huh, "Billy?"
> > hi steve why don't you just come from behind the curtain
> >
> > Learn to read headers, dipwad.
> > Wiseman posted that, not Steve.
>
> I call all the annies steve except for the the one calling himself
> "youknow who" him I call tom riddle or lord Voldomort for obvois
> reasons
>
>
> so hi steve maybe robeson maybe not but you are all steve to me
>
>
> i wish i knew what the hell maybe you meento say but you all dumb to me

too bad but you can understand if you choose steve you just choose not

your right of course asolutely your right
```

326. Re: stil more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-21 07:09:22 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <dqsmh5qga0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 10256
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1137825755.363059.238570@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

Arf! Arf! wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1137823124.349183.182240@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Arf! Arf! wrote:
> > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1137822283.865723.8010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Arf! Arf! wrote:
> > > <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1137789351.513547.76520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > Billy Smith wrote:
> > > > He doesn't control anything
> > >
> > >
> > > Sort of how you can't control your bowels and you shit and piss
> > > yourself on a daily basis, huh, "Billy?"
> > hi steve why don't you just come from behind the curtain
> >
> > Learn to read headers, dipwad.
> > Wiseman posted that, not Steve.
>
> I call all the annies steve except for the the one calling himself
> "youknow who" him I call tom riddle or lord Voldomort for obvois
> reasons
>
>
> so hi steve maybe robeson maybe not but you are all steve to me
>
>
> i wish i knew what the hell maybe you meento say but you all dumb to me

too bad but you can understand if you choose steve you just choose not

your right of course asolutely your right
.....
myriete yuorrite o=nogody rite noway how yuspell stuff
but i spcet yur rigte so saey it eveun thow yuo dumma bocks of rox

ye i choose steve cuouze you dumma shti woh lize like a hore on sardday nite for tow dollars

327. Re: Streaming internet Scanner Audio - How?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-21 14:38:09 UTC
From: tg <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
Message-ID: <43d24948$0$5010$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 10257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,microsoft.public.windowsmedia.player,alt.radio.scanner

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:lv70t1hhpvj7p5uarf1smk007lottr6ofj@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 19 Jan 2006 22:12:58 -0000, "tg" <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
> wrote:
>
>>this is really good info (thanks), but I've run into a problem and you may know the answer.
>>I've got several computers here at home on a network and I've managed to get a stream going from one computer (using WME9) and
>>I'm
>>picking it up in WMP9 on another, problem is for a computer outside my LAN (ie a computer on the other side of my router) the IP
>>addresses I'm using won't work because I'm behind a router. The IP address on the PC streaming out (eg xx.xx.xx.xx) is behind
>>another IP address (yy.yy.yy.yy) which is my router on the internet.
>>How do I configure the address for someone outside to get my stream?
>
> http://portforward.com/english/routers/port_forwarding/routerindex.htm
>
> If you can't figure it out from there, post the question (including
> the make and model of router(s) and modem, operating system, version
> and service pack if any, and the port number you have to forward) at
> http://forum.portforward.com/YaBB.cgi?board=Software

yes thanks very much, I figured it out and now I've got the program streaming out beyond the router.

328. Re: stil more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-21 16:55:10 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1137862510.670730.88970@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
Arf! Arf! wrote:
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1137789351.513547.76520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Billy Smith wrote:
> > He doesn't control anything
>
>
> Sort of how you can't control your bowels and you shit and piss
> yourself on a daily basis, huh, "Billy?"
>
> ..........................................................................
> .................
>
> While you, Roger, wet your diapers daily on the Nim Boards while stalking
> Lloyd.

While you, ArfArf, wet your syphlitic mouth dreaming of sucking Roger
Wiseman's dick.
```

329. Re: stil more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-21 16:56:50 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1137862610.852723.9660@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10259
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
Arf! Arf! wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1137822283.865723.8010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Arf! Arf! wrote:
> > <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1137789351.513547.76520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Billy Smith wrote:
> > > He doesn't control anything
> >
> >
> > Sort of how you can't control your bowels and you shit and piss
> > yourself on a daily basis, huh, "Billy?"
> hi steve why don't you just come from behind the curtain
>
> Learn to read headers, dipwad.
> Wiseman posted that, not Steve.
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Poor Lloydie, he sees Wiseman everywhere!

> Oh, wait. Nobody enclosed any pictures for you to color, did they?
> Simple is as simple does.
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

That's been your motto throughout your very uneventful life, huh,
shitface?
```

330. Re: more shit from steve hidden by his fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-21 17:11:20 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1137863480.922937.120740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10260
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
raped_an old_underaged boyfriend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > rAPED an Old Little Boyfriend wrote:
> > > more forgery
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > Toad Dogshit
> > > > > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > > > > not steve, more like glenn baxter :)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > STFU, faggot.
> > > > > why should anybody shut up at your say so
> > > >
> > > > STFU, perverted child rapist marky.
> > >
> > > no
> >
> > No, you won't "STFU", or No, you're not a perverted child
> > rapist...?!?!
>
> was I was only sayin no I will not shut up but I am not a preverted
> child rapist either despite you effort to paint me as one

You are a perverted child rapist by your own admission, Markie.

> > > I wil not shut up and therest of statement was a lie
> >
> > Are you sure?
>
> yes

Have another drink, alcoholic.

> >
> > You've been investigated for other moral's charges...This isn't a
> > stretch.
> so have you been investagated steve
> >
> > > > > you are just a criminal (by the terms of the new law
> > > >
> > > > You are a fucking imbecile, under any terms, Marky.
> > >
> > > prehaps but better that than criminal
```

> >
> > No...You ARE the criminal, Markie.
>
> what crime?

Your child raping for one.

> >
> > > indeed by the new standard steve (k4yz) has advanced I am not even a
> > > liar anymore
> >
> > Oh no, you'll ALWAYS be a liar, Markie...YOU ahve admitted that,
> > and I won't deprive you of it!
>
> I ahve done no such thing speling cop
>
> but Iwas simply taking you at YOUR word but then you do lie constanly

PKB, liar.

331. Re: stil more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-21 17:12:37 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1137863557.644789.294410@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10261
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

SB wrote:
> I can't control my bowels and I shit and piss
> myself on a daily basis. In fact, I also gobble goo from the convicts and
> other degenerates of my sort. That why I am the king of song in the
> Greyhound Men's Room Band.

You sure are, Davies!

332. CTCSS/DCS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-21 18:02:01 UTC
From: bigmikeymike@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8393-43D27719-67@storefull-3313.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 10262

Can anyone explain in plain English what this is and how to program it into my scanner.Have a BC898T Bearcat.The owners manual is no help at all.No pc to program it like that. TIA

Mike

333. new scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-21 20:13:29 UTC
From: don <wxfreqrs@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <11t55f9rfemk728@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10263

ok here is the story
lost scanner in Katrina
bought one at salvage store..its good just not what I need

I need a scanner to scan 30 megs and up consistent with legalities (CELL
BLOCK)
I want to scan everything trunks, digital, analog

cost under 600.00

just for record old scanner was a bct780xlt and I was happy with that except
I could not scan digital

recommendations and I will search the recommendations
would like both pro's and con's on recommendations please

--
Don
KB5FHX
SEMPER VIGILIS
REAL TIME WEATHER OBS http://myweb.cableone.net/wxfreqrs/
JCARA RADIO HOMEPAGE http://www.jcmsara.org
MARITIME MINUTEMEN http://gulfmaritime.minutemen.amist.us/

334. Re: new scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-21 21:48:59 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <FemdnR5P2MvRMU_enZ2dnUVZ_v2dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10264

I second the recommendation of the BC796D!

Bill Crocker

"Never anonymous Bud" <newskat@katxyzkave.net> wrote in message
news:ih85t1lht5lthpsbcga5ltj8r5jf7fqj1b@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 21 Jan 2006 14:13:29 -0600, "don" <wxfreqrs@cableone.net> wrote:
>
>>I need a scanner to scan 30 megs and up consistent with legalities (CELL
>>BLOCK) I want to scan everything trunks, digital, analog
>>
>>cost under 600.00
>
> You can still buy a BC796 new, for just over $500, and used for about
> $400.
>
>
>
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> This sig censored by the Office of Home and Land Insecurity...
>
> Remove XYZ to email me

335. Re: 2.4 GHz Capable Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-21 22:31:26 UTC
From: randomjester <randomjester.220njy@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <randomjester.220njy@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 10267

Scanners that I have found.

Can any pick up 2.4 GHz/900 MHz dss cordless phones?

AR-8200MKIII (Unblocked)
http://www.radioworld.ca/swscan/aor.php#8200mkiii

Icom R-20 (Unblocked)
http://www.radioworld.ca/swscan/icom.php#r20

Icom R3 (Unblocked)
http://www.radioworld.ca/swscan/icom.php#r3

BCD 396T
http://tinyurl.com/cpk8a

Uniden UBC-3300XLT
http://www.javiation.co.uk/ubc-3300xlt.html

Alinco Scanners & Receivers
http://www.radioworld.ca/swscan/swsc_alinco.php

Any recommendation?

Thanks

--
randomjester

336. Re: CTCSS/DCS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-22 02:00:01 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery28146@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <BGBAf.3520$rH5.2036@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10265

"Mikey Mike" <bigmikeymike@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:8393-43D27719-67@storefull-3313.bay.webtv.net...
> Can anyone explain in plain English what this is and how to program it
> into my scanner.Have a BC898T Bearcat.The owners manual is no help at
> all.No pc to program it like that. TIA
>

The ctcss is a subaudio tone that is transmitted. There are standard tones that range from about 80 to 250 hz. One way they are used is like in the county I live in. There are several fire departments on each side of town. The main dispatcher will just send out the tone to the department they want to go to a fire. The other departments will not hear the call.

DCS works similar. It is a digital code that is sent to turn on a receiver audio to a specific receiver or group of receivers.

You do not need or want to enable this on most scanners. That way you will get all the calls on the frequency.

337. Re: CTCSS/DCS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-22 02:53:58 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <jqs5t15ufbvbkt78gg9ugt0s2uc3teg2e3@4ax.com>
Source record: 10266

On Sat, 21 Jan 2006 12:02:01 -0600, bigmikeymike@webtv.net (Mikey Mike) wrote:

>Can anyone explain in plain English what this is and how to program it >into my scanner.Have a BC898T Bearcat.The owners manual is no help at >all.No pc to program it like that. TIA

Read the first 2 entries here to see what they are. Someone who has an 898 (or a manual) will have to confirm my suspiscion that the 898 doesn't do CTCSS or DCS. (As you'll see from reading the Radio Reference wiki, that won't prevent you from hearing anything.)

338. Re: CTCSS/DCS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-22 03:15:41 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <QsudnTFaN8BDZU_enZ2dnUVZ_tKdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10268

From the Uniden web site:
http://www.uniden.com/products/productdetail.cfm?product=BC898T

DCS/CTCSS Rapid Decode - Instantly Detects and Displays the Subaudible Tone So You Can Quickly Determine the System Settings.

The way scanner listeners make use of this feature it to narrow down who you want to monitor on a given frequency.

Lets say for example you live in town A, and your fire department uses 151.500MHz, with a CTCSS tone of 123.0, and very close to you in town B, the local tow truck service also used 151.500MHz, with a CTCSS tone of 88.5. If you did not enable the CTCSS feature, you would be hearing communications from both your fire department, and the tow truck service in the neighboring town, and they would often interfere with each other.

Say you only want to listen to your fire department, and ignore the tow truck service in the neighboring town. By enabling a CTCSS tone setting of 123.0, you would lock-out all transmissions from the towing service, and only hear your local fire department.

DCS works in a similar manner, but is a newer digital method.

The bottom line is using CTCSS, or DCS, allows you to hear less, not more, which is sometimes desirable.

Bill Crocker

"Mikey Mike" <bigmikeymike@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:8393-43D27719-67@storefull-3313.bay.webtv.net...
Can anyone explain in plain English what this is and how to program it
into my scanner.Have a BC898T Bearcat.The owners manual is no help at
all.No pc to program it like that. TIA

Mike

339. Re: 2.4 GHz Capable Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-22 13:55:07 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <19MAf.12$Og.2@fe12.lga>
Source record: 10269

You will not be able to hear DSS cordless phones. The whole point of using DSS (digital spread spectrum) is to make it much harder to decode; it is impossible to decode with just an analog scanner. Many standard analog 900 MHz phones are still in use, and you don't need anything special to monitor them. Pretty much any reasonably up-to-date scanner will work for that.

If you are buying a high-end receiver to try to get 2.4 GHz phones, you're wasting your money. On the other hand, once you discover you can't, please post your FS ad here so we can get an almost brand-new receiver for a discount.

- Doug

"randomjester" <randomjester.220njy@news.radiobanter.com> wrote in message news:randomjester.220njy@news.radiobanter.com...
>
> Scanners that I have found.
>
> Can any pick up 2.4 GHz/900 MHz dss cordless phones?
>
> AR-8200MKIII (Unblocked)
> http://www.radioworld.ca/swscan/aor.php#8200mkiii
>
> Icom R-20 (Unblocked)
> http://www.radioworld.ca/swscan/icom.php#r20
>
> Icom R3 (Unblocked)
> http://www.radioworld.ca/swscan/icom.php#r3
>
> BCD 396T
> http://tinyurl.com/cpk8a
>
> Uniden UBC-3300XLT
> http://www.javiation.co.uk/ubc-3300xlt.html
>
> Alinco Scanners & Receivers
> http://www.radioworld.ca/swscan/swsc_alinco.php
>
> Any recommendation?
>
> Thanks
>
>
> --
> randomjester

340. Re: 2.4 GHz Capable Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-22 14:32:49 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <11t75shd09afh26@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 10270

DougSlug wrote:
> You will not be able to hear DSS cordless phones. The whole point of using
> DSS (digital spread spectrum) is to make it much harder to decode; it is
> impossible to decode with just an analog scanner. Many standard analog 900
> MHz phones are still in use, and you don't need anything special to monitor
> them. Pretty much any reasonably up-to-date scanner will work for that.
>
> If you are buying a high-end receiver to try to get 2.4 GHz phones, you're
> wasting your money.

For the most part you're right, but there are some analog 2.4GHz
cordless telephones out there at the bottom of the pricing tiers, just
as there were with 900MHz phones.
--
Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

341. WTB: Realistic PRO-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-22 15:48:54 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <GPNAf.21145$PL5.20990@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 10271

Looking for good working PRO-2004 with bright backlight. Willing to pay $75 to $100. Box and manual not needed, but would be nice. Let me know what you have!

Frank

342. K1MAN Reacts To False Charges From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-22 17:56:27 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1137952587.759760.111490@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10272
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Before the Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers
State of Maine

In the matter of:

Brian L. Crow, Complainant

vs.

Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., Respondent

Registered Professional Engineer, By Examination, in Illinois

and

Registered Professional Engineer, by Reciprocity, in Maine

and

Amateur Radio Call Sign K1MAN

and

Executive Director of the Fire Hazard Reduction Institute
(Box 440, Belgrade Lakes, Maine 04918 tel. 1-800-262-9543)

and

Certified Fire Safety Advisor

SECOND MOTION TO DISMISS,

or

SECOND REQUEST FOR HEARING

Respondent, Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., hereby comes through this pleading
and makes this sworn affidavit of his own personal knowledge.

INTRODUCTION

The original Brian Crow "Complaint" has essentially been dismissed by
the Board with the focus now being on general discharge issues with a
former employer, Ray Bouchard, and a Complaint made by Respondent to
the Board against the Professional Engineer whose P.E. stamp was on

Bouchard's new building plans which are in clear fire code violation according to a representation made to Respondent by the Augusta Code Enforcement Officer. This latter Complaint was dismissed by the Board on the curious grounds that said engineer "was not involved," an issue now being formally investigated by the Fire Hazard Reduction Institute, Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., Executive Director.

1. Respondent is currently d/b/a as Executive Director of the Fire Hazard Reduction Institute and is a Certified Fire Safety Advisor with hundreds of inspections and fire safety installations already made throughout Maine and the primary source of professional income since FHRI's inception shortly after Respondent was "fired" from Ray Bouchard's Light of Life Ministries and Christian radio stations in Augusta, Maine.

2. Respondent was hired by Mr. Bouchard in 2003 to be his "Chief Engineer" in the radio sense of the term "engineer" on the strength of Respondent's First Class Commercial Radiotelephone(1) and Amateur Extra Class FCC licenses, 16 years of experience in designing and operating a multi transmitter short wave station heard world wide (with an ID announcer being former CBS Newsman Walter Cronkite, who is also a licensed amateur radio operator), many years of professional experience in electronics repair and engineering modifications to Collins high frequency equipment, the design for in house manufacturing of electro-mechanical filters (equivalent to Collins mechanical filters) which were the very "heart" of 750 low frequency receivers custom made for our U.S. submarine fleet, and design and installation of many radio tower and antenna installations.

Respondent has a B.S. Degree in Industrial Engineering (January 1968) from the University of Rhode Island and is a Registered Professional Engineer by examination in that specialty in Illinois, and by reciprocity in Maine.

Respondent has completed significant course work in electrical engineering and has published several articles in the area of physics, chemistry, and the theory of relativity, as well as teaching high school physics, chemistry, and advanced math.

3. Unknown to the Respondent, Mr. Bouchard did not have adequate finances to do most of the projects worked on, and the Station Manager advised Respondent of this fact in detail after the time of hiring. Apparently, Mr. Bouchard really hired Respondent to train a high school junior for six months in electronics and engineering so that this person could assume the job of permanent Chief Engineer at minimum wage or less, since common practice at the station was to work full time and be paid for far less time than actually worked.

For example, Mr. Bouchard constructed the whole station himself, and there were no wiring diagrams or schematics of any of the maze of wire interconnects present in his broadcast station. Respondent strongly recommended making such diagrams and schematics, and Mr.

Bouchard insisted that Respondent do this work on Respondent's "own time," which Respondent declined under these circumstances. Lack of these diagrams and schematics would naturally create a "night mare" for Respondent when there was an equipment failure, as actually happened and has become a subject of the current Complaint against the Respondent.

4. Respondent never received a written job description until a few days before being "fired." The paper signed by Respondent at the time of hiring gives an incorrect impression that there ever was a written job description. The actual job description was verbal and changed on a continuing basis and included:

o Training and supervising the high school junior

o Organizing the relocation of the FM transmitter site

o Design and construction of the new transmitter site

o Starting and running an electronics school

o Negotiating with Salvation Army and private owners regarding proposed translator sites

o Repairs to station equipment

o Negotiation for a cooperative venture in training recent jail released persons.

o Erecting the first translator tower

o Negotiating the price of custom designed antennas

o Negotiating the price for purchase of station equipment

o Salvaging donated towers and equipment.

o Trouble shooting a recently installed repeater

o Selling unwanted equipment on E-Bay

o Consulting with professional consultants

o Making transmitter compliance measurements

When the owner of the FM transmitter location asked "Where is Glenn?", Mr. Bouchard said "He was let go because he wasn't following his job description." Respondent demanded in writing a retraction, and never got one from Mr. Bouchard.

5. Respondent had a computer in his engineering office (found out later to be illegally occupied per the REAL Code Enforcement Officer - Respondent was introduced to a phony "Code Enforcement Officer" minutes before being "fired(2)" -) with all work done for 2003 on a single disk. This disk was loaded into the central office computer as a back up and so some printing could be done on the

central office printer. After being "fired," Mr. Bouchard found this computer file and jumped to the false conclusion that Respondent did non job related work on company time. Respondent never did any such thing, this being a very serious allegation and being a part of the current "Complaint".

6. Respondent wore many hats and relied on different credentials at different times. For example, during the tower erection, Respondent relied on previous tower erection experience with the guy anchoring scheme being standard amateur radio practice and right out of the ARRL antenna handbook(3). The design of the tower system was not a Professional Engineering design, although a progress report was written in a document signed by the Respondent who happens to be a Professional Engineer as opposed to "as a Professional Engineer."

7. Note there is nothing in the above list of job descriptions regarding contact with the FCC or obtaining a required construction permit from the FCC. When Respondent realized that there were serious FCC violations being made by Mr. Bouchard, I brought this to the attention of the station Manager who advised me to protect my personal commercial FCC license which I did by reporting the violations to the FCC immediately, both to mitigate the damages for my employer and to eliminate the danger, as Chief Engineer, and the legal FCC interpretation of this, to my FCC commercial license.

8. At no time did I ever disclose confidential information to anyone in violation of Professional Engineering ethics as apparently alleged by Mr. Bouchard.

9. Although apparently dismissed by the Board, Respondent hereby addresses the "Nazi" comment. Respondent is a world wide short wave celebrity with a daily radio program often containing robust editorials. Respondent often publishes editorials on the internet. One amateur radio data base at www.QRZ.com decided, for "hobby politics," reasons to de-list Respondent's radio call sign K1MAN. About 1000 persons posted comments, many to the effect that Respondent does not deserve to have a listing on www.QRZ.com which uses public FCC data as its basis. Making an editorial point, Respondent compared a list of commenter's call signs with being tantamount to membership in the "American Amateur Nazi Party." There is no such animal, of course. "Nazi" was later changed to "Nerd." One on the list was apparently a P.E. in California, something unknown to the Respondent and not really relevant to this current "Complaint."

8. As a Professional Engineer, I notified the owner and three other Managers of serious fire hazards at the station shortly after the AM transmitter caught fire under the stairs of the only exit to the "fire death trap" old building. That old building, curiously, met the code, but the new building did not meet code according to the REAL Code Enforcement Officer who, somewhat embarrassed, dismissed it as being only 16% or so out of compliance. I notified this Code Officer in writing of my objections, and he "ducked" his mail several

times until I served him with written notice at his home address. This is when I fully realized the common and life threatening "slack" involved in these fire safety matters, an issue at the very heart of professional engineering licensing, namely protection of public safety.

It is my legal position that immediate protection of the lives of the public required addressing these concerns to more than just the owners and Station Manager. The concerns were immediate, time sensitive, and this was no time for "secrets" or confidentiality, in my Professional Engineering opinion. Protection of life was my paramount and immediate concern. I genuinely felt the threat of criminal prosecution for criminal negligence should another fire break out and someone should die in Bouchard's fire traps due to inaction.

I realized that a serious entity needed to be created for public fire safety, and this is when I started the Fire Hazard Reduction Institute with my opening salvo being a formal complaint against the Professional Engineer whose P.E. stamp I saw on the new Bouchard building plans when I first visited the Code Enforcement Officer's office. He, by the way, is also a licensed ham radio operator. His alleged representation to the Complaint Officer of this P.E. Board that he advised me that the new Bouchard building met code is simply not true! He agreed with me that the building was out of code by about 16% but that he had issued a "waiver." This, plus Mr. Bouchard introducing me to a phony Code Officer just before I was "fired" (a crime I reported to the Augusta Police) was the real driving force behind the newly created Fire Hazard Reduction Institute and also started me on a new and exciting professional career as a Certified Fire Safety Advisor.

The Fire Hazard Reduction Institute has uncovered other evidence and testimony about more fire safety code violation issues in Maine in addition to this Board choosing to dismiss out of hand Respondent's formal Complaint. Even new Board member William A. Lotz, P.E. stated during the 19 January 2006 Board meeting that he has personally observed numerous situations that are in FLAGRANT fire code violation here in Maine.

I feel that if I didn't do everything in my power to bring these life threatening violations to light, I would not be living up to my ethical responsibility as a Licensed Professional Engineer, if not also being criminally negligent, should anyone ever be killed in one of Mr. Bouchard's or someone else's fire traps. I don't feel that (or it is not my legal position that) Professional Engineering client confidentiality applies here since I did file a formal Complaint (available to the PUBLIC) with this Board AFTER being "fired" by Mr. Bouchard with my genuine concerns being consistent with being denied my statutory right to cross examine and subpoena witnesses in my "test case" unemployment claim hearing where Mrs. Bouchard even perjured herself; this also being consistent with my Complaint to the

Maine Overseers of the Bar regarding an illegal and totally false ex-parte letter (de facto to the Hearing Officer - a clear violation of Maine bar rules) being filed by Bouchard's attorney, Robert Stolt, also being dismissed out of hand (by the Maine Overseers of the Bar).

To get this (affront to justice) officially in before of the Overseers' supervisory authority, the Maine Supreme Judicial Court, Respondent brought a civil action in Superior Court against Mr. Stolt which was dismissed (surprise, surprise!) and then appealed to the Maine Supreme Judicial Court, also dismissed on the de facto bogus grounds that Mr. Stolt's ex-parte letter was privileged (it was, of course, that wasn't the point; it clearly voilated bar rules, and the Overseers didn't do their job to discipline Maine licensed Attorney Robert Stolt; point made to the honorable Maine Supreme Court justices, QED I think!)

Indeed, since this Board voted in a public meeting attended by the Complainant's apparent representative, Ralph Myra, K1IV, (and thus now probably reported on world wide amateur radio short wave as well as over the world wide internet) to fine me $1,500 (via a Board proposed consent agreement) for making an alleged false formal Complaint about the Maine Professional Engineer who wrongly approved the out of code Bouchard building plans, I am hereby publishing this reply document on the internet as well (to protect my professional reputation) at also world wide www.K1MAN.com. (and also in the public interest of exposing these very dangerous and - life threatening to the public - and commonly found conditions now in Maine - and most other states - and illustrated - for Maine - above).

Wherefore, Respondent respectfully declines to sign the proposed consent agreement and pay the proposed $1,500 fine,

and

Respondent moves the Board to Dismiss this Complaint

or

Respondent requests a full hearing,

and

Respondent requests this Board to reopen the Respondent's Complaint about the Bouchard unsafe and dangerous to the public fire traps (in my Professional Engineering opinion).

Respectfully submitted,

(signed)
Glenn A. Baxter, P.E.
Certified Fire Safety Advisor

(1) Now known as a General Radiotelephone License

(2) Respondent had just written an engineering report outlining very serious fire hazards in both the old and new buildings at the station.

(3) The guy anchoring scheme is known to amateur radio operators as a "dead man." The expanding bolt installation was on the advice of the supplier.

Sworn before me,

(signed)

_____ _____
Notary Public Date

343. K1MAN/IARN/AARA DEMAND MAINE BOARD OF LICENSURE REPEAL DECISION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-22 18:00:26 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1137952826.281202.66490@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10273
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

K1MAN BULLETIN - B060121B -

GLENN A. BAXTER, P.E., K1MAN, BEFORE THE MAINE
BOARD OF LICENSURE FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS

Dateline, 19 January 2006, Augusta, Maine.

The Maine Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers met today to discuss a "Complaint" against Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, brought by Brian Crow, K3VR. Crow's apparent "representative," Ralph Myra, K1IV, attended this important board meeting and took copious notes.

Mr. Baxter also attended as well as a number of other engineers, observers, board members, and their attorney from the Maine Office of the Attorney General. Mr. Baxter could only observe and was not allowed to speak at this stage of the standard Maine Rules of Administrative Procedure.

The Complaint Officer, Stephen W. Cole, P.E., presented the 18 inch thick file in this case to the Board and there was robust discussion and debate among board members for about 90 minutes. The Assistant Attorney General stated that she had spent many months and countless hours on this "Complaint" by Mr. Crow, and the Complaint Officer indicated that he had done the same. One board member, William A. Lotz, P.E., (all board members are licensed Professional Engineers) stated that this case reminded him of the Ken Starr investigation of President Clinton where the net result was to find some presidential semen on Monica Lewinsky's dress.

"The board was presented with reams of incorrect and false evidence," according to Mr. Baxter, "most notably, false and misleading testimony by the Code Enforcement Officer in Augusta, Maine who has been under investigation by the Fire Hazard Reduction Institute, a bona fide safety organization where I serve as its Executive Director. Based on this false and misleading evidence, the Board wrongly concluded that our 2003 Complaint to the Board about the Professional Engineer who approved the out of code building plans for Ray Bouchard's new building at Light of Life Ministries and Christian radio stations was improperly made, and the Board voted to offer to settle the matter by asking us to sign a consent agreement admitting "improper action" and to pay a $1,500 fine. The alleged improper action was filing the Complaint after being told by the Code Enforcement Officer that the Bouchard fire trap met state fire codes. Exactly the reverse was the case. The building did not, in fact, meet state fire codes; it is

a fire trap in our Professional Engineering opinion," Mr. Baxter went on to say in an interview. "The Code Enforcement Officer admitted to me that the building DID NOT meet the code and that he had issued a 'waiver.' Our formal Complaint against the Professional Engineer who approved the out of code building plans is entirely appropriate. Assistant Attorney General Judith Peters now agrees with me on this very important point," Mr. Baxter went on to say.

After Ralph Myra left the meeting, the Assistant Attorney General and the Board's Executive Director, Warren Foster, P.E., granted a Baxter Motion for Discovery and he (Baxter) will be allowed to inspect and copy the entire files in both cases including hundreds of E-Mails.

Mr. Baxter has filed (by FAX) the attached reply affidavit with the Board and the Maine Assistant Attorney General, Judith Peters. "Ms. Peters called me right back," Mr. Baxter said, "and it looks like we will be able to get the entire and bogus Crow "Complaint" dismissed. She wants to meet with Stephen W. Cole, P.E. (the Complaint Officer), and me in about two weeks to discuss these new facts which have just been brought to light and for possible final adjudication at the next Board meeting in six months.

If we do go to a full hearing before the Board, Ms. Peters confirmed that I will be allowed to subpoena whatever witnesses that I want to. After such a hearing, where Ms. Peters, an attorney, would be the Hearing Officer, the case (by Maine law) would go to trial in District Court." Again, Mr. Baxter would be allowed to subpoena whatever witnesses he wanted to.

"I am so pleased that the Administrative legal system and court system here in Maine are finally showing some robust signs of integrity; it is so great to be an American; it is so great to live in Maine. Let them eat Crow," Mr. Baxter said, apparently referring to the Complainant, Brian L. Crow, K3VR.

344. Re: K1MAN Reacts To False Charges From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-22 18:50:58 UTC
From: spam.for.the@buerotiger.de
Message-ID: <43i2giF1nl1ifU3@individual.net>
Source record: 10274
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

In rec.radio.amateur.dx netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:

[Crap]

Just as an info: This constant crossposting crap by now has reached a level high enough on the BI to warrant subsequent cancellations of these articles.

If you continue this crap, I'll have a cencel bot setup.

Nobody in the DX-community is interested in being spammed with Offtopic messages.

Peter Lemken
DF5JT
Berlin

--
Paul Lincke ist dem Zille sein Milhaud.

(Harry Rowohlt)

345. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA DEMAND MAINE BOARD OF LICENSURE REPEAL DECISION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-22 18:51:46 UTC
From: spam.for.the@buerotiger.de
Message-ID: <43i2i2F1nl1ifU4@individual.net>
Source record: 10275
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

In rec.radio.amateur.dx netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:

[Crap]

Just as an info: This constant crossposting crap by now has reached a level
high enough on the BI to warrant subsequent cancellations of these articles.

If you continue this crap, I'll have a cencel bot setup.

Nobody in the DX-community is interested in being spammed with Offtopic
messages like these.

Peter Lemken
DF5JT
Berlin

--
Paul Lincke ist dem Zille sein Milhaud.

(Harry Rowohlt)

346. Re: more shit from steve hidden by his fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-22 20:01:29 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1137958888.197816.172070@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10276
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> raped_an old_underaged boyfriend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > rAPED an Old Little Boyfriend wrote:
> > > > more forgery
> > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > Toad Dogshit
> > > > > > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > > > > > not steve, more like glenn baxter :)
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > STFU, faggot.
> > > > > > why should anybody shut up at your say so
> > > > > >
> > > > > STFU, perverted child rapist marky.
> > > > >
> > > > no
> > > >
> > > No, you won't "STFU", or No, you're not a perverted child
> > > rapist...?!?!
> >
> > was I was only sayin no I will not shut up but I am not a preverted
> > child rapist either despite you effort to paint me as one
>
> You are a perverted child rapist by your own admission, Markie.

I am not nor have I admitted to being one
>
>
> > > I wil not shut up and therest of statement was a lie
> > >
> > Are you sure?
> >
> > yes
>
> Have another drink, alcoholic.

I'll have a drink anytime I chose that I can choose means basicaly that
I am not acoloic
>
>
> > >
> > You've been investigated for other moral's charges...This isn't a
```

> > > stretch.
> > so have you been investagated steve
> > >
> > > > > > you are just a criminal (by the terms of the new law
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You are a fucking imbecile, under any terms, Marky.
> > > > >
> > > > > prehaps but better that than criminal
> > >
> > > No...You ARE the criminal, Markie.
> >
> > what crime?
>
> Your child raping for one.

one has to prefomm a given a criminal act before one becomes guilty of
steve
>
> > >
> > > > indeed by the new standard steve (k4yz) has advanced I am not even a
> > > > liar anymore
> > >
> > > Oh no, you'll ALWAYS be a liar, Markie...YOU ahve admitted that,
> > > and I won't deprive you of it!
> >
> > I ahve done no such thing speling cop
> >
> > but Iwas simply taking you at YOUR word but then you do lie constanly
>
> PKB, liar.
KB?

347. Re: more shit from steve hidden by his fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-22 22:24:05 UTC
From: Amos Keag <A.K01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ppSdnc7JxN6Zm0neRVn-gQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 10277
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

an old friend wrote:

SNIPPED
>
> I'll have a drink anytime I chose that I can choose means basicaly that
> I am not acoloic
>
>>

SNIPPED

AA does not agree with your reasoning on 'choose'.

If you need as little as one beer a year you ARE an 'acoloic' [alcoholic].

348. Re: more shit from steve hidden by his fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-22 23:27:31 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1137972451.672998.26410@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10278
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Amos Keag wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
> SNIPPED
> >
> > I'll have a drink anytime I chose that I can choose means basicaly that
> > I am not acoloic
> >
> >>
>
> SNIPPED
>
> AA does not agree with your reasoning on 'choose'.

yes it does

as long as it is a mtter of choice it is not acholism check your facts
steve
>
> If you need as little as one beer a year you ARE an 'acoloic' [alcoholic].

so I don't ever need a beer or other acochol but i can choose to drink
when I wish or choose not to

349. Re: CTCSS/DCS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-23 00:54:00 UTC
From: Gary Smith <mygrps@aapt.net.au>
Message-ID: <dr19f9$k8e$1@news-01.bur.connect.com.au>
Source record: 10279

It's also good if you have interference on a frequency. you can block that out but still let the radio traffic through if you have their tone programmed in.

Gary
"Mikey Mike" <bigmikeymike@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:8393-43D27719-67@storefull-3313.bay.webtv.net...
Can anyone explain in plain English what this is and how to program it
into my scanner.Have a BC898T Bearcat.The owners manual is no help at
all.No pc to program it like that. TIA

Mike

---

350. Re: K1MAN Reacts To False Charges From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-23 04:01:31 UTC
From: Fat Dirty American Hams <usamabinladen@jihadnet.com>
Message-ID: <e4e79$43d46316$d1cc7934$13482@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 10280
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Peter Lemken" <spam.for.the@buerotiger.de> wrote in message
news:43i2giF1nl1ifU3@individual.net...

> Just as an info: This constant crossposting crap by now has reached a
level
> high enough on the BI to warrant subsequent cancellations of these
articles.

...get yourself a set of pink panties while your at it fruitcake.
This is America where hams are fat, boorish, CB'ers with
bad farting problems post this crap because we LIKE to read it.

> If you continue this crap, I'll have a cencel bot setup.

Tell your bot to cancel this you loonie kraut.

> Nobody in the DX-community is interested in being spammed with Offtopic
> messages.

Then JUST DON'T READ THEM you dumbass. Just because the
Deli sells pickles does not mean you have to eat them also.

>
> Peter Lemken
> DF5JT
> Berlin

I'm Going to Have Stale Whale for Breakfast Tomorrow
as soon as the freight plane from the UK gets here with it!
Yeah! A pail of ale with that snail-mailed stale whale
would go down quite swale......

351. Re: newbie: confised about scanner terms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-23 05:08:04 UTC
From: Bob the Printer <bdolson@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <DaGdnf9tkPIo-UneRVn-vg@comcast.com>
Source record: 10281

"Honest John" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:eZudnRUHoZi64lPenZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@centurytel.net...

> USB= Upper side band, and LSB= Lower side band, and CW= Continuous Wave
> used
> in moris code (like Hams do)

Don't you mean MORSE code?? Or perhaps you were referring to MORRIS the
CAT??

Yeah, good ol' Samuel Moris.... Where would we be without him?? :-)

352. Florida Military Comms - Sun, 22 Jan 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-23 08:45:54 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1138005954.881454.20330@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10282

Patrick AFB Area Log - Sun, 22 Jan 2006

JEDI 71: C-17A, McGuire AFB 305AMW
8:45am: Departs Patrick to McGuire, with low pass over Shuttle Landing Facility Rnwy.
[118.4, 133.75, 132.65, 133.8]

RHODY 44: C-130, RI-ANG 143AW, Quonset Point A/p
9:35am: Departs Patrick AFB to Quonset Point home, also with low pass over Shuttle Landing Facility Rnwy.
[118.4, 133.75, 132.65, 133.8, 124.8, 134.0]

LIFTER 23: C-17A #70045, Charleston AFB 437AW
9:40am: Pattern work at Patrick AFB.
12:15pm: Departs to Charleston AFB.
[118.4, 133.75, 132.65]
Photos: P1220464, 465, 468.

HERK 71: C-130
9:45am: Departs Patrick AFB to Maxwell AFB.
[118.4, 133.75, 132.65, 124.8]

SWEET 82: C-130H, Mitchell Field, Milwaukee WI 440AW AFRC
9:49am: Inbound MacDill AFB for Aeromed training.
[311.0]

CG VESSEL 25433: Rigid Hull Inflatable Boat
9:56am: Comms with USCG Sta Cape Canaveral.
[157.15]

CG 1717: HC-130H, Clearwater CGAS
10:11am: Several touch-and-goes at Patrick AFB.
[132.65, 133.75]

CUTLASS 44: T-37B, Columbus AFB 14FTW 41FTS
10:25am: Departs to Tallahassee.
[289.4, 269.375, 281.425, 307.0 . . . 284.7, 352.0]

PALM 25: C-17A #0053, Charleston AFB 437AW
10:59am: Extended pattern work at Patrick AFB; later departs to Maxwell AFB.
[133.75]
Photos P1220466, 467.

PUJOE 55: T-6A, Moody AFB 479FTG
11:05am: Ground comms at Patrick AFB.

2:25pm: Departs Patrick.
[335.8, 289.4, 269.375, 281.425]

AG 616: SH-60F ASW Helo, Jacksonville NAS HS-5 "Nightdippers"
11:28am: En route West Palm Beach.
[132.65, 132.25]

COD 01: C-2A, Norfolk VRC-40
11:33am: Area transition.
[132.45]

CELL (?) 18
12:32pm: Inbound MacDill for pattern work.
[311.0]

BENGAL 41: F/A-18, Beaufort MCAS VMFA(AW)-224
12:45pm: Requests wx at KNIP and KNBC; en route into 7 Xray Area, will
then RTB Patrick AFB.
[344.6, 267.5, 293.225]

NICKEL 31: F/A-18E/F, Beaufort MCAS VMFA-122
1:08pm: Arriving Patrick AFB, denied low approach at Shuttle Landing
Facility.
1:25pm: Lands Patrick.
3:20pm: Departs Patrick.
[370.9, 307.0, 281.425, 269.375; air-air on 354.325]
Photo P1220469.

VIPER 01, 02
1:16pm: Air-air comms re targeting.
[333.3]

NICKEL 33: F/A-18E/F, Beaufort MCAS VMFA-122
1:35pm: Lands Patrick.
3:35pm: Departs Patrick.
[293.225, 370.9, 273.55, 307.0, 281.425, 269.375; air-air on 354.325]

POISONs? (T-38s, Columbus AFB 14FTW)
1:40pm; Air-air comms.
[140.975]

PAT 673: US Army Priority Air Transport
1:41pm: Area transition; calls St Pete Radio.
[127.2]

BENGAL 41: F/A-18, Flight of 2, Beaufort MCAS VMFA(AW)-224
1:45pm: Lands Patrick AFB.
4:05pm: Departs Patrick.
[289.4, 269.375, 281.425. . 273.55]

NAVY 6E 166: T-34C, Whiting Field
1:48pm: Area transition.
[133.75, 132.65, 126.95]

CG VESSEL 212540
1:55pm: Comms with USCG Sta Cape Canaveral.
[157.15]

BLADE 21: F/A-18, Flight of 4,Beaufort MCAS VMFA-115 "Silver Eagles"
2:00pm: Lands Patrick AFB.
5:15pm: Departs Patrick AFB.
[289.4, 269.375, 281.425, 307.0; air-air on 339.5]

CG AUX ACFT 735RP: Cessna CE-182Q, Spruce Creek FL
2:45pm: Security overflight of Port Canaveral; comms with USCG Sector Jacksonville; 28-23N 80-33W.
[157.15]

QUID 99: KC-135R.
3:17pm: Inbound MacDill AFB; rqsts Customs.
[311.0, 321.0, 138.95 - all for MacDill Lightning Ops]

REEF/REACH 85
7:40pm: Inbound with 15 pax to offload ERO.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KTTS)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

353. Re: K1MAN Reacts To False Charges From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-23 09:37:19 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <st1Bf.1848$2o5.1028@dukeread11>
Source record: 10283
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

the real question one should be asking: do we really care?

otherwise, another good question to ask is: are they really false charges?

Film at 11!
--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

354. TrunkPCR version 0.7.184 Update Available for downloading

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-23 12:21:56 UTC
From: CrazyC <crazy__c_@hot_mail.com>
Message-ID: <LT3Bf.127596$oG.71105@dukeread02>
Source record: 10284

Hey All,

TrunkPCR Version 0.7.184 is now available at the homepage:
http://members.cox.net/fiftyone.50/TrunkPcr/TrunkPcr.html

This update fixes 2 problems...
Jan 08,2006 - -----: Protection from Editing .Bnk file Frequencies.
Jan 23,2006 - Fixed: Repeat Scanning of more than 1 Bank in
Conventional Mode. Don't know when this happened...
Version 0.7.184 Released 01/23/2006

TrunkPCR controls the Icom PCR-1000 and PCR-100 Receivers...

Regards,
Jay

355. Icom IC-R5 or Yaesu VR-120D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-23 13:39:56 UTC
From: Pete Boyd <peteb@soi.city.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <dr2mbc$et7$1@canard.ulcc.ac.uk>
Source record: 10285

I'm thinking of getting a new handheld scanner, mainly for listening to shortwave stations, the amateur radio bands, CB and airband. The above two receivers seem appropriate (and about the same prince in the UK - £140). I don't really understand the specs as to which is most sensitive. Anything else I should be looking for?

Any other suggestions for other makes appreciated.

Thanks
Pete

356. Re: Icom IC-R5 or Yaesu VR-120D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-23 14:10:03 UTC
From: "St. John Smythe" <sinjen@n4vu.com>
Message-ID: <dr2nubrpm3@n4vu2.n4vu.com>
Source record: 10286

Pete Boyd wrote:
> I'm thinking of getting a new handheld scanner, mainly for listening to
> shortwave stations, the amateur radio bands, CB and airband. The above two
> receivers seem appropriate (and about the same prince in the UK - ï¿½140).
> I don't really understand the specs as to which is most sensitive. Anything
> else I should be looking for?
>
> Any other suggestions for other makes appreciated.

For shortwave, amateur HF and CB, handheld scanners are sub-optimal
performers. There are some nicely capable portable units, although not
in the walkie-talkie form factor, such as the Sangean 909, which are
much better performers in those bands.

--
St. John
One of the lessons of history is that nothing is often a good thing to
do and always a clever thing to say.
-Will Durant

357. Re: Icom IC-R5 or Yaesu VR-120D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-23 14:45:37 UTC
From: Pete Boyd <peteb@soi.city.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <dr2q6jfuf1@canard.ulcc.ac.uk>
Source record: 10287

"St. John Smythe" <sinjen@n4vu.com> wrote in message
news:dr2nubrpm3@n4vu2.n4vu.com...
> Pete Boyd wrote:
>> I'm thinking of getting a new handheld scanner, mainly for listening to
>> shortwave stations, the amateur radio bands, CB and airband. The above
>> two
>> receivers seem appropriate (and about the same prince in the UK - £140).
>> I don't really understand the specs as to which is most sensitive.
>> Anything
>> else I should be looking for?
>>
>> Any other suggestions for other makes appreciated.
>
> For shortwave, amateur HF and CB, handheld scanners are sub-optimal
> performers. There are some nicely capable portable units, although not
> in the walkie-talkie form factor, such as the Sangean 909, which are
> *much* better performers in those bands.
>

THanks for your msg.
How are they when connected to a different antenna eg when I'm at home?

Pete

358. Re: Icom IC-R5 or Yaesu VR-120D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-23 15:00:02 UTC
From: "St. John Smythe" <sinjen@n4vu.com>
Message-ID: <dr2ql6rpm7@n4vu2.n4vu.com>
Source record: 10288

Pete Boyd wrote:

> How are they when connected to a different antenna eg when I'm at home?

Which "they?"

--
St. John

359. Re: Icom IC-R5 or Yaesu VR-120D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-23 15:47:29 UTC
From: Honest John <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <F9qdnSogu4LZYUnenZ2dnUVZ_tSdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 10289

"St. John Smythe" <sinjen@n4vu.com> wrote in message

> For shortwave, amateur HF and CB, handheld scanners are sub-optimal
> performers. There are some nicely capable portable units, although not
> in the walkie-talkie form factor, such as the Sangean 909, which are
> *much* better performers in those bands.

I certainly agree !

H.J. (proud owner of a DX-398)

360. Re: Icom IC-R5 or Yaesu VR-120D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-23 16:00:03 UTC
From: Pete Boyd <peteb@soi.city.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <dr2ui4$ha1$1@canard.ulcc.ac.uk>
Source record: 10290

"St. John Smythe" <sinjen@n4vu.com> wrote in message
news:dr2ql6rpm7@n4vu2.n4vu.com...
> Pete Boyd wrote:
>
>> How are they when connected to a different antenna eg when I'm at home?
>
> Which "they?"
>

The Icom or Yaesu.

361. 2 scanner stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-23 16:08:05 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1138032485.456470.56400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10291

The Town Talk, Mon, 23 Jan 2006 0:04 AM PST
Cenla residents scan airwaves
http://www.thetowntalk.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20060123/NEWS01/601230304/1002
BAGDAD -- When Richard Pinckley saw the red pickup truck with no license plate zoom by, he knew he had some chasing to do. Having heard a Grant Parish sheriff's dispatch on the police scanner in his car, Pinckley knew police were searching for a suspect in a truck matching that description. (Louisiana)

Quad-City Times, Sun, 22 Jan 2006 11:54 PM PST
Computers on the go
http://www.qctimes.net/articles/2006/01/23/news/local/doc43d489448eba5829738280.txt
Quad-City police have been using computers in their squad cars for years, but until recently, Rock Island police had never used the technology. Now they will have one of the most advanced mobile computer systems in the Quad-Cities.

362. Re: Icom IC-R5 or Yaesu VR-120D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-23 16:30:02 UTC
From: "St. John Smythe" <sinjen@n4vu.com>
Message-ID: <dr302krpm8@n4vu2.n4vu.com>
Source record: 10292

Pete Boyd wrote:
> "St. John Smythe" <sinjen@n4vu.com> wrote in message

>>Which "they?"
>
> The Icom or Yaesu.

Starting with the understanding that radio receivers represent compromises between sensitivity, and selectivity and front end overloading, the problem with the little receivers is that by the time you get enough antenna on them to provide good signals, you may also have overloaded them with either in-band or out-of-band signals.

As someone who appreciates compact things, it pains me to say this, but scanners are best at scanning, and shortwave receivers are best at shortwave. I use a BC246T for portable scanning, and a Sangean ATS-909 for portable shortwave. I would have much preferred to have a single unit that did it all, but as far as I know, such a thing (good enough filters, BFO at the right place in the passband, trunking) doesn't yet exist.

--
St. John
Flugg's Law:
When you need to knock on wood is when you realize the
world is composed of vinyl, naugahyde and aluminum.

363. Re: Icom IC-R5 or Yaesu VR-120D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-24 00:46:12 UTC
From: Buzzygirl <buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net>
Message-ID: <nYGdnTFKRrxR5UjeRVn-qg@comcast.com>
Source record: 10293

"Pete Boyd" <peteb@soi.city.ac.uk> wrote in message
news:dr2mbc$et7$1@canard.ulcc.ac.uk...
> I'm thinking of getting a new handheld scanner, mainly for listening to
> shortwave stations, the amateur radio bands, CB and airband. The above two
> receivers seem appropriate (and about the same prince in the UK - £140).
> I don't really understand the specs as to which is most sensitive.
Anything
> else I should be looking for?

I don't think you'll be able to find a truly decent performer for all of the bands you want to hear in the size you want. These small receivers try to do everything at once, but unfortunately, they don't do it particularly well. Best to stick with a portable SW receiver for shortwave listening, and get a separate scanner for the higher bands. On a positive note, there are small portable SW receivers that work very well at a very reasonable price, and the same goes for scanners.

Jackie

364. New Shoutcast Digital scanner OTTAWA CANADA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-24 01:06:56 UTC
From: Shawn <ve3xiu@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1138064816.830029.44020@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10294

Hi.
I recently put a digital scanner online for those interested in
listening to Ottawa area Digital and trunked Systems..
Currently the scanner is set to recieve OPP (Ontario Provincial
Police) Digital Trunked system.. Its also set to recieve the city of
Ottawa Edacs system on 800 MHZ for Fire and some EMS as EMS are slowly
switching there system from standard VHF to trunked 800MHZ.

Any comments or questions can be directed to
ve3xiu@gmail.com..

BTW... The scanner is only online between 8pm EST and 6:00 am AS I
use it during the day in my truck.. Its also on all weekend long..

Shawn
ve3xiu

365. About Ottawa Shoutcast Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-24 01:08:36 UTC
From: Shawn <ve3xiu@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1138064916.805589.77400@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10295

U can find this scanner by going to the shoutcast homepage and
searching for ottawa

Shawn

366. where is theARS content

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-24 02:21:50 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1138069310.081482.240500@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10296
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
> K1MAN BULLETIN - B060121B -
>
> GLENN A. BAXTER, P.E., K1MAN, BEFORE THE MAINE
> BOARD OF LICENSURE FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS
>
> Dateline, 19 January 2006, Augusta, Maine.
>
> The Maine Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers met today to
> discuss a "Complaint" against Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, brought
> by Brian Crow, K3VR. Crow's apparent "representative," Ralph Myra,
> K1IV, attended this important board meeting and took copious notes.
and this cponcerns the ARS how?

367. Re: WTB: Realistic PRO-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-24 06:13:02 UTC
From: Burt Smith <burtsmith@direcway.com>
Message-ID: <1138083182.407503.99600@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10297

My old 2004 is in good shape, mods, disc tap, good display.
In CA. Interested?
Burt KF6YIQ

368. Re: more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-24 06:20:43 UTC
From: SB <nospam@wfhtewohswatas.com>
Message-ID: <%GjBf.4581$rH5.870@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10298
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

What are you doing on rec.radio.cb Bubba Boy, Hit the road Wussman

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1137788768.875670.4950@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Steveo wrote:
>> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > raped_an_old_underaged_boyfriend wrote:
>> > > indeed by the new standard steve (k4yz) has advanced I am not even a
>> > > liar anymore
>> >
>> > No, you'll always be a liar.
>> >
>> Funny how that shit-stain can string a sentence together when he wants
>> to.
>>
>> Do us all a favor in the future, snip rrcb if you're quoting his vomit.
>
> Do us all a favor, learn how to use a fucking killfile, dumbass.
>
>> Thank ewe. :)
>
> Keep your sheep fucking fantasies to yourself, K?
> Lloyd Davies
>

369. Re: WTB: Realistic PRO-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-24 07:01:40 UTC
From: Burt Smith <burtsmith@direcway.com>
Message-ID: <1138083083.880161.77160@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10299

My old 2004 is in good shape, mods, disc tap, good display.
In CA. Interested?
Burt KF6YIQ

370. Re: WTB: Realistic PRO-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-24 19:15:50 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <43D67CE6.BF6E1478@milestones.com>
Source record: 10300

Frank wrote:

> Looking for good working PRO-2004 with bright backlight. Willing to pay $75
> to $100. Box and manual not needed, but would be nice. Let me know what you
> have!

There's a 2004 up on eBay currently with a Buy it Now of $110.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

371. Re: more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-24 19:38:49 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1138131529.436609.94550@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10301
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Shiteating BOY wrote:
> What are you doing on rec.radio.cb Bubba Boy, Hit the road Wussman

Wrong guess, as usual, asshole. Can't you read the headers, shiteater?

> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1137788768.875670.4950@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Steveo wrote:
> >> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > raped_an_old_underaged_boyfriend wrote:
> >> > > indeed by the new standard steve (k4yz) has advanced I am not even a
> >> > > liar anymore
> >> >
> >> > No, you'll always be a liar.
> >> >
> >> Funny how that shit-stain can string a sentence together when he wants
> >> to.
> >>
> >> Do us all a favor in the future, snip rrcb if you're quoting his vomit.
> >
> > Do us all a favor, learn how to use a fucking killfile, dumbass.
> >
> >> Thank ewe. :)
> >
> > Keep your sheep fucking fantasies to yourself, K?
> > Lloyd Davies
> >

372. Re: WTB: Realistic PRO-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-24 20:42:28 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <43D69134.DD1C76ED@milestones.com>
Source record: 10302

dxAce wrote:

> Frank wrote:
>
> > Looking for good working PRO-2004 with bright backlight. Willing to pay $75
> > to $100. Box and manual not needed, but would be nice. Let me know what you
> > have!
>
> There's a 2004 up on eBay currently with a Buy it Now of $110.

Gone now!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

373. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-24 23:28:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1138145284.340699.39720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10303
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

an old friend wrote:
> Amos Keag wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> >
> > SNIPPED
> > >
> > > I'll have a drink anytime I chose that I can choose means basicaly that
> > > I am not acoloic
> > >
> > >>
> >
> > SNIPPED
> >
> > AA does not agree with your reasoning on 'choose'.
>
> yes it does
>
> as long as it is a mtter of choice it is not acholism check your facts
> steve

More Markie Mularkie.

> > If you need as little as one beer a year you ARE an 'acoloic' [alcoholic].
>
> so I don't ever need a beer or other acochol but i can choose to drink
> when I wish or choose not to

Very few alcoholics admit they "need" a drink, Markie...Just like
you, they say they "want" to drink. They also usually follow that up
with "I can quit anytime".

Steve, K4YZ

374. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-25 00:52:07 UTC
From: Amos Keag <A.K01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <3MmdnUvzf8ooVkveRVn-hQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 10304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

K4YZ wrote:

>
>
>
> Very few alcoholics admit they "need" a drink, Markie...Just like
> you, they say they "want" to drink. They also usually follow that up
> with "I can quit anytime".
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

So, 'Old Friend' do yourself a favor and just quit!!!

Denial is the biggest cause of alcoholism.

375. aasshole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-25 07:23:51 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1138173831.774766.265800@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10305
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Amos Keag wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Very few alcoholics admit they "need" a drink, Markie...Just like
> > > you, they say they "want" to drink. They also usually follow that up
> > > with "I can quit anytime".
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
>
> So, 'Old Friend' do yourself a favor and just quit!!!

I'd have to start, to quit steve
>
> Denial is the biggest cause of alcoholism.

indeed but your point

376. more shit from stev about acholoism

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-25 07:25:39 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1138173939.923642.114020@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10306
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Amos Keag wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > >
> > > SNIPPED
> > > >
> > > > I'll have a drink anytime I chose that I can choose means basicaly that
> > > > I am not acoloic
> > > >
> > > >>
> > >
> > > SNIPPED
> > >
> > > AA does not agree with your reasoning on 'choose'.
> >
> > yes it does
> >
> > as long as it is a mtter of choice it is not acholism check your facts
> > steve
>
> More Markie Mularkie.

nope
>
> > > If you need as little as one beer a year you ARE an 'acoloic' [alcoholic].
> >
> > so I don't ever need a beer or other acochol but i can choose to drink
> > when I wish or choose not to
>
> Very few alcoholics admit they "need" a drink, Markie.
depend but sound as if you are speacking from personal experence
>..Just like
> you, they say they "want" to drink.
which is once or twice a year mostly at new years

> They also usually follow that up
> with "I can quit anytime".

but you have to start to stop steve
>
> Steve, K4YZ

377. Troy NY - Tow Truck Driver Helps With Rescue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-25 13:25:36 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1138195536.376759.69950@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10307

MAN dies, woman rescued from icy Hudson
Albany Times Union - Albany,NY,USA
... William Beddow apparently suffered a medical emergency while idling outside the Hannaford supermarket in ... was in the shop when he heard the call on the scanner. ...
<http://timesunion.com/AspStories/story.asp?storyID=443309&category=RENSSELAER&BCCode=HOME&newsdate=1/25/2006>

378. FA - Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-25 15:07:43 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <ZwMBf.35$KE5.3@fe08.lga>
Source record: 10308

PRO-2006 w/full Opto 456 & Pobe software:
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5857185664&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1

PRO-2006:
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5857187353&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1

Uniden BC-895XLT:
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5857188554&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1

379. Re: Uniden BR330T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-25 23:40:31 UTC
From: Fred <nowhere@nothing.com>
Message-ID: <poGdnQ8nGMjykUXeRVn-gw@giganews.com>
Source record: 10309
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Almost. They should have added SSB for SWL! Without SSB, it's truly not full coverage.

380. Re: Uniden BC296D questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-26 01:03:34 UTC
From: Ron Purdue <ronaldpurdue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1138237413_16643@sp6iad.superfeed.net>
Source record: 10310

"Ron Purdue" <RONALDPURDUE@prodigy.net> wrote:
>
Thanks Bill for the info. I can feel more calm about the BC296D scanner. Btw, my email address has changed to ronaldpurdue@yahoo.com.

Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services

** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
----
http://www.usenet.com

381. AOR AR8200 MK3 software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-26 05:00:56 UTC
From: Adam Butler <adambutler100@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <N4-dncavU6lVykXeRVn-hg@giganews.com>
Source record: 10311

What is the best software for the AOR 8200 MK3?

I'm familiar with Gommerts AR8200 app but have not tried it yet as I don't have a Win98 machine handy.

Any others that are good mainly for backing up the radios contents as well as loading new?

I'm not looking for a control app.

So far, the ones I have seen including the one from AOR do not allow any entries above 2.0 GHz.
I do not understand why the makers can't make an adjustment that will allow the entries above 2.0 GHz?
Even Gommert of Butel says he has no plans to support the 3.0 GHZ coverage of the MK3 version.

So, I'm looking for any other suggestions.

Thanks Everyone!

382. Re: AOR AR8200 MK3 software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-26 09:45:23 UTC
From: R1rob <r1robTAKE_THISOUT@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <43d89a31@news.comindico.com.au>
Source record: 10312

So you use this scanner above 2gig?

"Adam Butler" <adambutler100@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:N4-dncavU6IVykXeRVn-hg@giganews.com...
> What is the best software for the AOR 8200 MK3?
>
> I'm familiar with Gommerts AR8200 app but have not tried it yet as I don't
> have a Win98 machine handy.
>
> Any others that are good mainly for backing up the radios contents as well
> as loading new?
>
> I'm not looking for a control app.
>
> So far, the ones I have seen including the one from AOR do not allow any
> entries above 2.0 GHz.
> I do not understand why the makers can't make an adjustment that will
> allow the entries above 2.0 GHz?
> Even Gommert of Butel says he has no plans to support the 3.0 GHZ coverage
> of the MK3 version.
>
> So, I'm looking for any other suggestions.
>
> Thanks Everyone!
>

383. Re: AOR AR8200 MK3 software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-26 10:39:09 UTC
From: Adam Butler <adambutler100@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <tbWdnXuXXL9TO0XeRVn-iQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 10313

Yes, at work we have a lightning detection system that uses freqs in the
2.7 range.
The system has a remote sensor and transmits data back to the receiver for
analysis.
By default, the system hops frequencies which is normal but during a storm
event that contains local lightning, I have seen this system stop on a fixed
channel and transmit it's data plus wait for a reply from the receiver
before it hops again.
This is between 2.69 and 2.70 GHz.
When the receiver is locked, I can actually get a rs232 output and read
supposed lightning strike values.
I'm not good at interpreting the readings yet but to answer your question,
yes I do monitor frequencies above 2.0 GHz.

"R1rob" <r1robTAKE_THISOUT@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:43d89a31@news.comindico.com.au...
> So you use this scanner above 2gig?
>
> "Adam Butler" <adambutler100@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:N4-dncavU6IVykXeRVn-hg@giganews.com...
>> What is the best software for the AOR 8200 MK3?
>>
>> I'm familiar with Gommerts AR8200 app but have not tried it yet as I
>> don't have a Win98 machine handy.
>>
>> Any others that are good mainly for backing up the radios contents as
>> well as loading new?
>>
>> I'm not looking for a control app.
>>
>> So far, the ones I have seen including the one from AOR do not allow any
>> entries above 2.0 GHz.
>> I do not understand why the makers can't make an adjustment that will
>> allow the entries above 2.0 GHz?
>> Even Gommert of Butel says he has no plans to support the 3.0 GHZ
>> coverage of the MK3 version.
>>
>> So, I'm looking for any other suggestions.
>>
>> Thanks Everyone!
>>
>
>

384. Re: AOR AR8200 MK3 software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-26 10:58:50 UTC
From: Adam Butler <adambutler100@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <LKadnSklZI_3NkXenZ2dnUVZ_tmdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 10314

Forgot to add that my current receiver is a modified C band satellite LNB
with the output centered on 650 MHz.
This is fed into an Icom R7000.
Works well as long as you do the math.
I bought the AR8200 MK3 in order to eliminate the math!

"R1rob" <r1robTAKE_THISOUT@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:43d89a31@news.comindico.com.au...
> So you use this scanner above 2gig?
>
> "Adam Butler" <adambutler100@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:N4-dncavU6IVykXeRVn-hg@giganews.com...
>> What is the best software for the AOR 8200 MK3?
>>
>> I'm familiar with Gommerts AR8200 app but have not tried it yet as I
>> don't have a Win98 machine handy.
>>
>> Any others that are good mainly for backing up the radios contents as
>> well as loading new?
>>
>> I'm not looking for a control app.
>>
>> So far, the ones I have seen including the one from AOR do not allow any
>> entries above 2.0 GHz.
>> I do not understand why the makers can't make an adjustment that will
>> allow the entries above 2.0 GHz?
>> Even Gommert of Butel says he has no plans to support the 3.0 GHZ
>> coverage of the MK3 version.
>>
>> So, I'm looking for any other suggestions.
>>
>> Thanks Everyone!
>>
>
>

385. FS Motorola GMRS Repeater

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-27 02:48:16 UTC
From: Randy <>
Message-ID: <ue2jt19ekdaqm77rlcbk2r9pk81alto38m@4ax.com>
Source record: 10315

40 watt UHF Motorola R1225 repeater with power supply.

Programmable from 438-470 MHz with built-in CWID (Morse Code) and
programmable repeater hang timer and time out timer.

Programmable frequency and PL and or DPL codes.

16 pin accessory connector allows the repeater module to be connected
to other equipment.

I can program this repeater to your frequency and PL/DPL code.

$ 999.00

Remove x's before responding.

xxxrand@xxfarmtelxxx.net

386. XLT 800 Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-27 03:16:30 UTC
From: Clark <CP@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <x5GdnaKKqOYTDUTenZ2dnUVZ_smdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10316

Any Ideas on where to find a operation manual for the Bearcat xlt800 scanner?

387. Re: AOR AR8200 MK3 software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-27 10:56:13 UTC
From: R1rob <r1robTAKE_THISOUT@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <43d9fc4d@news.comindico.com.au>
Source record: 10317

Good to hear.
Interesting stuff. Got a URL.

Rob

"Adam Butler" <adambutler100@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:LKadnSklZI_3NkXenZ2dnUVZ_tmdnZ2d@giganews.com...
> Forgot to add that my current receiver is a modified C band satellite LNB
> with the output centered on 650 MHz.
> This is fed into an Icom R7000.
> Works well as long as you do the math.
> I bought the AR8200 MK3 in order to eliminate the math!
>
> "R1rob" <r1robTAKE_THISOUT@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:43d89a31@news.comindico.com.au...
>> So you use this scanner above 2gig?
>>
>> "Adam Butler" <adambutler100@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:N4-dncavU6IVykXeRVn-hg@giganews.com...
>>> What is the best software for the AOR 8200 MK3?
>>>
>>> I'm familiar with Gommerts AR8200 app but have not tried it yet as I
>>> don't have a Win98 machine handy.
>>>
>>> Any others that are good mainly for backing up the radios contents as
>>> well as loading new?
>>>
>>> I'm not looking for a control app.
>>>
>>> So far, the ones I have seen including the one from AOR do not allow any
>>> entries above 2.0 GHz.
>>> I do not understand why the makers can't make an adjustment that will
>>> allow the entries above 2.0 GHz?
>>> Even Gommert of Butel says he has no plans to support the 3.0 GHZ
>>> coverage of the MK3 version.
>>>
>>> So, I'm looking for any other suggestions.
>>>
>>> Thanks Everyone!
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

388. Re: FS Motorola GMRS Repeater

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-27 16:16:46 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <vvOdneqxAdTz2kfeRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 10318

Does it include a controller, and duplexer?

Thanks,
Bill

<Randy> wrote in message news:ue2jt19ekdaqm77rlcbk2r9pk81alto38m@4ax.com...
> 40 watt UHF Motorola R1225 repeater with power supply.
>
> Programmable from 438-470 MHz with built-in CWID (Morse Code) and
> programmable repeater hang timer and time out timer.
>
> Programmable frequency and PL and or DPL codes.
>
> 16 pin accessory connector allows the repeater module to be connected
> to other equipment.
>
> I can program this repeater to your frequency and PL/DPL code.
>
> $ 999.00
>
> Remove x's before responding.
>
> xxxrand@xxfarmtelxxx.net

389. Re: mobile radio console, home made.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-27 19:47:21 UTC
From: Honest John <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <5-2dnR2Y06QB4UfenZ2dnUVZ_tydnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 10320
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"10-4" <pottsladd13@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:lXuCf.65$pO2.30@trndny09...
> I made this console about 2 yrs ago. I had the following radio's
> installed: HR2510, BC780XLT, FT8900R. I'm asking for $45.00 plus $25.00
> shipping.

For $70 do the radios come with it ?

H.J.

390. FS: mobile radio console, home made.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-27 19:58:09 UTC
From: 10-4 <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <lXuCf.65$pO2.30@trndny09>
Source record: 10319
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I made this console about 2 yrs ago. I had the following radio's
installed: HR2510, BC780XLT, FT8900R. I'm asking for $45.00 plus $25.00
shipping.
For a photo please look at:
http://mysite.verizon.net/commctr1/

Any questions please email direct:
pottsladd13@yahoo.com

391. Re: mobile radio console, home made.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-27 20:14:53 UTC
From: 10-4 <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1bvCf.14$UY3.6@trndny05>
Source record: 10321
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

ummmmmmmmmm NO

nice try

392. Re: FS: mobile radio console, home made.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-27 20:16:28 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <11tkvst1siidn37@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 10322
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

10-4 wrote:
> I made this console about 2 yrs ago. I had the following radio's
> installed: HR2510, BC780XLT, FT8900R. I'm asking for $45.00 plus $25.00
> shipping.
> For a photo please look at:
> http://mysite.verizon.net/commctr1/

This appears to be something that anyone with a saw and a few scraps
could make in half an hour. The "friction" mount and the rough fit and
finish contribute to this impression. What makes it worth $70?
--
Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

393. Standard C5900 Tri Band Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-27 22:55:43 UTC
From: N1RSP <n1rsp@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <PxxCf.290$UY3.188@trndny05>
Source record: 10323
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have for sale an execelent tri band radio that I no longer need.I have original box,remote cable for the head and I may have original manual for it.I do know I have a copy of the manual also.This radio has been in my wifes van and just used mainly as a scanner.So I took it out and replaced it with a scanner.So now it is up for sale.I am looking to get $375.00 for it,plus a little help in shipping .And yes it is worth evrey cent.So please no tire kickers.Either you want it or you don't.Thanks for looking.I can be reached at 978-281-1339

394. Re: FS: mobile radio console, home made.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-27 23:01:28 UTC
From: 10-4 <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cDxCf.98$OY4.58@trndny02>
Source record: 10324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Then keep your comments to yourself and go make one!!!

395. Re: Standard C5900 Tri Band Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-27 23:04:59 UTC
From: N1RSP <n1rsp@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <vGxCf.191$J81.189@trndny01>
Source record: 10325
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Radio covers the 2 meter,6 meter and 70cm bands.
"N1RSP" <n1rsp@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:PxxCf.290$UY3.188@trndny05...
>I have for sale an execelent tri band radio that I no longer need.I have
>original box,remote cable for the head and I may have original manual for
>it.I do know I have a copy of the manual also.This radio has been in my
>wifes van and just used mainly as a scanner.So I took it out and replaced
>it with a scanner.So now it is up for sale.I am looking to get $375.00 for
>it,plus a little help in shipping .And yes it is worth evrey cent.So please
>no tire kickers.Either you want it or you don't.Thanks for looking.I can be
>reached at 978-281-1339
>

396. Re: FS: mobile radio console, home made.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-27 23:15:54 UTC
From: Woody <woody.shadetree@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <KQxCf.3828$M94.921@trnddc01>
Source record: 10326
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

amen.... takes a couple pieces of scrap wood and about 20 minutes time.....
or buy the wood for about $10
rb

"10-4" <pottsladd13@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:cDxCf.98$OY4.58@trndny02...
> Then keep your comments to yourself and go make one!!!
>

397. Re: FS: mobile radio console, home made.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-28 00:26:02 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <11tlegphu3kr940@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 10327
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

10-4 wrote:
> Then keep your comments to yourself and go make one!!!

So there is not, in fact, something I can't see or that you haven't said
(integrated cord storage? antenna-sharing setup? etc.) that makes it
worth $70?
--
Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

398. Re: FS: mobile radio console, home made.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-28 00:47:54 UTC
From: HipOp <asdas@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <KMadna0-baWgIkfeRVn-oA@comcast.com>
Source record: 10328
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I would have to put it in a box for the garbage man so he could haul it off,
it looks like shit.
Are you a want to be Ham? or a dumm ass CBer.

"Bo Williams" <williams@hiwaay.net> wrote in message
news:11tlegphu3kr940@news.supernews.com...
> 10-4 wrote:
>> Then keep your comments to yourself and go make one!!!
>
> So there is not, in fact, something I can't see or that you haven't said
> (integrated cord storage? antenna-sharing setup? etc.) that makes it
> worth $70?
> --
> Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
> http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

399. FT: Uniden BCD396T For SW Reciever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-28 01:55:11 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3aACf.49407$tK4.24999@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 10329
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I bought this Uniden 396T new 3 months ago from CEI for $520.. It looks and works perfect. I am looking to trade for a real nice shortwave receiver in similar cond. Maybe an Icom R75, Drake R8 or ?

Bill K9KZ

400. Re: XLT 800 Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-28 02:14:20 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <0sACf.63894$zt1.59666@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 10330

mods.dk
or rigpixs?

mike

"Clark" <CP@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:x5GdnaKKqOYTDUTenZ2dnUVZ_smdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Any Ideas on where to find a operation manual for the Bearcat xlt800
> scanner?
>
>
>

401. 7.Q ***Hot stuff - check this out !!! 7.Q

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-28 17:37:32 UTC
From: tinman4 <tinman4@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <vy1Df.60486$C%3.19241@bignews2.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 10340

http://www.kaneva.com/checkout/stream.aspx?assetId=2017&free=0

402. New Coast Guard UHF Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-28 18:14:18 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <_vOCf.19857$Yu.18678@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 10331

Anybody know the NEW U.S. Coast Guard UHF frequencies? I know they have just changed recently....

Frank

403. Radio Shack Pro-2026 Mod HELP??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-28 20:08:15 UTC
From: tunereye@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1138478895.865402.155310@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10332

I picked up a Radio Shack scanner model 2026... on the back it
says...model # 20-148B

Can I modify this version to recieve some cel calls? I read that some
can't be modified. Thanks for any info.

404. Re: New Coast Guard UHF Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-28 22:12:23 UTC
From: don <wxfreqrs@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <11tnr27ftk6d769@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10334

you talking coast guard or MARINE

--
Don
SEMPER VIGILIS
REAL TIME WEATHER OBS http://myweb.cableone.net/wxfreqrs/
JCARA RADIO HOMEPAGE http://www.jcmsara.org
MARITIME MINUTEMEN http://gulfmaritime.minutemen.amist.us/

"Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:_vOCf.19857$Yu.18678@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
> Anybody know the NEW U.S. Coast Guard UHF frequencies? I know they have
> just changed recently....
>
> Frank
>
>
>

405. Re: New Coast Guard UHF Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-29 01:02:23 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <zuUCf.22873$F_3.5279@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 10335

Coast Guard......and I did find them already!

Frank

"don" <wxfreqrs@cableone.net> wrote in message
news:11tnr27ftk6d769@corp.supernews.com...
> you talking coast guard or MARINE
>
>
> --
> Don
> SEMPER VIGILIS
> REAL TIME WEATHER OBS http://myweb.cableone.net/wxfreqrs/
> JCARA RADIO HOMEPAGE http://www.jcmsara.org
> MARITIME MINUTEMEN http://gulfmaritime.minutemen.amist.us/
>
> "Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:_vOCf.19857$Yu.18678@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
>> Anybody know the NEW U.S. Coast Guard UHF frequencies? I know they have
>> just changed recently....
>>
>> Frank
>>
>>
>>
>
>

406. Re: Radio Shack Pro-2026 Mod HELP??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-29 01:51:47 UTC
From: HipOp <asdas@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <FZ-dnTtsOr0rgkHenZ2dnUVZ_sSdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10336

Why in the shit do you want to receive cell calls, first of all they change cell sites, and most are digital, and last they are boring.

<tunereye@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1138478895.865402.155310@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>I picked up a Radio Shack scanner model 2026... on the back it
> says...model # 20-148B
>
> Can I modify this version to recieve some cel calls? I read that some
> can't be modified. Thanks for any info.
>

407. FS: Scanner books

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-29 04:48:56 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <YOXCf.19859$Jd.14821@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 10337

I have the following for sale in excellent condition:

Scanners and Secret Frequencies - Henry L. Eisenson
Radio Monitoring - T.J. "Skip" Arey
Military Monitoring - Steve A. Douglass
Shortwave Receivers Past and Present - Osterman

Price is only $30.00 plus shipping for all four

408. WTB: Heavy Leather case for PRO-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-29 05:27:15 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <TmYCf.20035$Jd.10533@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 10338

Anybody know where I can find one?

Frank

409. Need a case or clip for the 250D Bearcat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-29 07:41:00 UTC
From: Shawn <ve3xiu@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1138520460.586490.170520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10339

Anyone have one?

Shawn

410. Need Ontario scanner frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-29 12:21:42 UTC
From: catfelinemouser <catfelinemouser@yahoo.ca>
Message-ID: <qr2Df.39$Sk1.2351@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 10341
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.binaries.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.sewing.mach-embroider,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Need Ontario scanner frequencies for Swastika, Kirkland Lake and area.

411. Re: XLT 800 Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-29 13:31:26 UTC
From: catfelinemouser <catfelinemouser@yahoo.ca>
Message-ID: <Ss3Df.68$Sk1.5235@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 10342

Sorry, I couldn't find Bearcat 800 XLT, however I found Uniden BC80XLT and
the Uniden BC9000XLT at uniden.com, if this helps.

http://www.uniden.com/pdf/BC80XLTom.pdf

http://www.uniden.com/pdf/BC9000XLTom.pdf

catfelinemouser

"Clark" <CP@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:x5GdnaKKqOYTDUTenZ2dnUVZ_smdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Any Ideas on where to find a operation manual for the Bearcat xlt800
> scanner?
>
>
>

412. Ottawa scanner up and down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-29 17:41:22 UTC
From: Shawn <ve3xiu@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1138556482.234342.93080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10343

Just wanted to send a note to everyone who listens on my shoutcast
server..
Yesterday I bought a new pc and Ihave been trying to get everything
working properly.. The system is now running wireless and thats why
sometimes everyone gets dumped... I think I found the problem...
Again.. Sorry bout the problems, and enjoy listening..

Sincerely,
Shawn
ve3xiu

413. A Space Suit with a 145.990Mhz FM transceiver!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-29 20:15:04 UTC
From: CQ <geenmail@geenmail.net>
Message-ID: <43dbd0ca$0$12846$ba620dc5@text.nova.planet.nl>
Source record: 10333

Hello All,

At Friday 3 februari 2006 will be SUITSAT launched -
from out of the ISS Space Station into space.
In the Space Suit is a transceiver which send voice
greetings in various languages.
Also SSTV pictures (mode: robot 36) will be send
down to earth.
For those who received the SUISAT signals can
request a special certificate!

Probably you can receive Suitsat with a simple GP vertical.
Better is an yagi (or trie both to switch).
I hope amateurs will report here how strong they receive
the suitsat signals (and what antenna you have used).

More info you can see on amsat or:
http://pd0rkc.ontwikkel.nl

Please publish this message on websites (unique event!).

73's Cor PD0RKC

414. Re: Need a case or clip for the 250D Bearcat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-29 20:23:02 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Rc6dnUe6HJq1uUDeRVn-tQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 10344

Uniden has them: BCK250D @ $5.00
http://www.uniden.com/store/accdetail.cfm?item=BCK250D

Bill Crocker

"Shawn" <ve3xiu@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1138520460.586490.170520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Anyone have one?
>
>
> Shawn
>

415. FS: Kenwood RZ-1 Wideband Mobile Receiver/Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-29 20:29:42 UTC
From: Robin <ve3pxp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1138566582.896842.155030@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10345
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

```
Covers 0.5 - 905 MHz continuosly
AM, FM-N, FM-W modes in 5, 12.5, 20, 25 KHz steps
100 Channel Memory with various scanning modes
FM Stereo and NTSC Video output
2 Antenna inputs for Car ANT and/or Mobile ANT
A few scratches but front face is very clean
Receives flawlessly as it was new
For info: http://www.rigpix.com/kenwood/rz1.htm
Comes with Photocopied Instruction Manual only
No Boxes or Mounting bracket
Asking $250 US plus Shipping
```

416. Re: Radio Shack Pro-2026 Mod HELP??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-30 01:45:11 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <5rrqt11l58tbc2oqpui5rebmp14vlc3ffs@4ax.com>
Source record: 10346

On 28 Jan 2006 12:08:15 -0800, tunereye@yahoo.com wrote:

>I picked up a Radio Shack scanner model 2026... on the back it
>says...model # 20-148B

>Can I modify this version to recieve some cel calls? I read that some
>can't be modified. Thanks for any info.

Whether you can modify the radio to pick up the frequencies or not,
you can't modify it to pick up the signals of anything other than
analog cellular, which is almost non-existent, except in the
boondocks.

417. why do you presist in lying about in toxication steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-30 05:02:34 UTC
From: kb9rqz@Mark.Morgan.com
Message-ID: <697rt1tgjg0p33rrtghhvbk8v8fjv15ig5@4ax.com>
Source record: 10347

you can not know I am ever drunk

You nor anyone else hasa ccess to tto any point from which someone
could deteremine I was ever drunk

even trespassing and looking through the trash which isn't pickup by
the county and since all beverage containter have desposits (you
might be ale to check that fact by looking at soda can even in TN)

so any can would reutrened but even if someone did invade my yard and
go into the house and look through the breverage containers they would
not who drank em or when

you are lying when you said you can eer KNOW wether or not I am drunk

----
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

418. why do you presist in lying about in toxication steve? why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-30 05:11:23 UTC
From: kb9rqz@Mark.Morgan.com
Message-ID: <kv7rt1paufe35qm8j4kdigh4os21spr2qh@4ax.com>
Source record: 10348

you can not know I am ever drunk

You nor anyone else hasa ccess to tto any point from which someone
could deteremine I was ever drunk

even trespassing and looking through the trash which isn't pickup by
the county and since all beverage containter have desposits (you
might be ale to check that fact by looking at soda can even in TN)

so any can would reutrened but even if someone did invade my yard and
go into the house and look through the breverage containers they would
not who drank em or when

you are lying when you said you can eer KNOW wether or not I am drunk

----
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

419. Re: Radio Shack Pro-2026 Mod HELP??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-30 18:45:46 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <j9tDf.228$wG6.212@fe03.lga>
Source record: 10349

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:5rrqt11l58tbc2oqpui5rebmp14vlc3ffs@4ax.com...
> analog cellular, which is almost non-existent, except in the
> boondocks.

Not everyone lives in a thriving metropolis... Some of us live in larger cities where that are still plenty of analog calls. Even my own phone drops over to analog once in a while. It depends on where you are, but seems to happen most when using it indoors...

420. Re: Radio Shack Pro-2026 Mod HELP??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-30 18:51:01 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <detDf.229$wG6.79@fe03.lga>
Source record: 10350

<tunereye@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1138478895.865402.155310@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> I picked up a Radio Shack scanner model 2026... on the back it
> says...model # 20-148B
>
> Can I modify this version to recieve some cel calls? I read that some
> can't be modified. Thanks for any info.
>

PRO-2026 200-0148
200-0148A
200-0148B Mobile Uniden Dual 100(5/20)+1 200-0148-YES
200-0148A-YES
200-0148B-No

Sorry...

421. Re: K1MAN Reacts To False Charges From Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-30 21:44:40 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <lNvDf.6168$2o5.4559@dukeread11>
Source record: 10201
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

Peter Lemken wrote:

> In rec.radio.amateur.dx netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> [Crap]
>
>
> Just as an info: This constant crossposting crap by now has reached a
> level high enough on the BI to warrant subsequent cancellations of these
> articles.
>
> If you continue this crap, I'll have a cencel bot setup.
>
> Nobody in the DX-community is interested in being spammed with Offtopic
> messages.
>
> Peter Lemken
> DF5JT
> Berlin
>
Since I am kill filing this thread (and many others) anyway.

just a word of advice, your ISP is now aware of your intent. should you
carry it out, I am fairly certain that they will take action against you
for failing to abide by the Terms of Service agreement you have, as well as
the Acceptable Use Policy you agreed to.

do it and you'd better find yourself another ISP.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

422. Re: Radio Shack Pro-2026 Mod HELP??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-30 22:20:03 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <447hokFq4noU1@individual.net>
Source record: 10202

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
news:j9tDf.228$wG6.212@fe03.lga...
>
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
> news:5rrqt11l58tbc2oqpui5rebmp14vlc3ffs@4ax.com...
> > analog cellular, which is almost non-existent, except in the
> > boondocks.
>
> Not everyone lives in a thriving metropolis... Some of us live in larger
> cities where that are still plenty of analog calls. Even my own phone
drops
> over to analog once in a while. It depends on where you are, but seems to
> happen most when using it indoors...
>
I DO live in a big thriving metropolis and there are plenty of 900mhz phones
around yet. Same with analog cells.

423. frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-31 00:44:20 UTC
From: catfelinemouser <catfelinemouser@yahoo.ca>
Message-ID: <DpyDf.3043$Sk1.101050@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 10203
Cross-posted to:
alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.binaries.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Does anyone in the Swastika, Kirkland Lake and area know of frequencies that
I can set into my BC796D?

424. Re: frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-31 02:07:40 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <7ihtt1ho3bn6mj4d4dia58144i2o5rv078@4ax.com>
Source record: 10204
Cross-posted to:
alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.binaries.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 30 Jan 2006 19:44:20 -0500, "catfelinemouser"
<catfelinemouser@yahoo.ca> wrote:

>Does anyone in the Swastika, Kirkland Lake and area know of frequencies that
>I can set into my BC796D?

If you post the province and county maybe someone can help you.

425. Kelowna transit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-31 02:16:16 UTC
From: Bob Hyam <rhyam@telus.net>
Message-ID: <QLzDf.126937$m05.109661@clgrps12>
Source record: 10205

Does anyone have the freq's that are being used by Kelowna BC Public
transit system. The only one I have is 171.150 Mhz. Thanks, Bob
--

Never argue with an idiot.
They drag you to their level and then beat you with experience.

426. Re: Get Rich

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-31 14:15:41 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <hiKDf.3127$Nv2.2970@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10206

Ok...

"Daun Johnson" <jmentola@ildmsnka.com> wrote in message
news:4QDDf.20800$wk5.10466@news02.roc.ny...
> Take advantage of a great deal
>
>
>
>

427. Re: frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-31 17:05:30 UTC
From: catfelinemouser <catfelinemouser@yahoo.ca>
Message-ID: <vNMDf.4597$Sk1.141131@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 10207
Cross-posted to:
alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.binaries.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

```
Swastika, Kirkland Lake and area in Ontario, Canada
"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:7ihtt1ho3bn6mj4d4dia58144i2o5rv078@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 30 Jan 2006 19:44:20 -0500, "catfelinemouser"
> <catfelinemouser@yahoo.ca> wrote:
>
>>Does anyone in the Swastika, Kirkland Lake and area know of frequencies
>>that
>>I can set into my BC796D?
>
> If you post the province and county maybe someone can help you.
```

428. Does anyone in the Swastika, Kirkland Lake and area of Ontario, Canada know of frequencies that I can set into my BC796D?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-31 17:17:01 UTC
From: catfelinemouser <catfelinemouser@yahoo.ca>
Message-ID: <eYMDf.4898$Sk1.141798@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 10208

Does anyone in the Swastika, Kirkland Lake and area of Ontario, Canada know of frequencies that I can set into my BC796D?

catfelinemouser

429. Re: frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-01-31 21:04:01 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <t4kvt1djss3o8jr42l8ml4bf42af0i4r72@4ax.com>
Source record: 10209
Cross-posted to:
alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.binaries.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 31 Jan 2006 12:05:30 -0500, "catfelinemouser"
<catfelinemouser@yahoo.ca> wrote:

>Swastika, Kirkland Lake and area in Ontario, Canada
>"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
>news:7ihtt1ho3bn6mj4d4dia58144i2o5rv078@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 30 Jan 2006 19:44:20 -0500, "catfelinemouser"
>> <catfelinemouser@yahoo.ca> wrote:
>>
>>>Does anyone in the Swastika, Kirkland Lake and area know of frequencies
>>>that
>>>I can set into my BC796D?
>>
>> If you post the province and county maybe someone can help you.

I don't know Ontario that well, but maybe something here
<http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&stid=109> is close
enough to you.

430. Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-01 12:46:56 UTC
From: CLFE <Junkmail@clfurent.com>
Message-ID: <43e0adb7$0$25066$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 10210

I've been a bit out of the loop in this subject..... Which models of scanners are the hottest selling (most desired) today - whether easy or hard to find in catalog, store or online? What is their going price (ball park range)? Thanks!

431. Re: Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-01 13:58:40 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <a-mdnUcZHuIOI33eRVn-ug@comcast.com>
Source record: 10211

"CLFE" <Junkmail@clfurent.com> wrote in message
news:43e0adb7$0$25066$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net...
> I've been a bit out of the loop in this subject..... Which models of
> scanners are the hottest selling (most desired) today - whether easy or
> hard to find in catalog, store or online? What is their going price (ball
> park range)? Thanks!
>
>

UNIDEN:

BCD386T
BC296D
BC796D

RADIO SHACK:

PRO-96
PRO-2096

All digital capable, all in the $500.00 price range.

Bill Crocker

432. Programming a Win96 File to the V-Folder of my Pro-96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-01 16:58:12 UTC
From: daviddd <3ddaniels@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1138813092.063630.237780@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10212

I want to program a .p96 file into the V-Folder of my Pro-96. I know
that I want the file to go to the "scratch-pad" of the V-Folder which
is denoted as "#". To get there I know the
V-Folder recognizes the scratch-pad as a "."
How do I get Win96 to get my .p96 file to the Scratch-Pad of my
V-Folder.
THANX!!
daviddd

433. Re: Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-01 18:21:43 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <X_6Ef.9340$1n4.1510@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10213

"CLFE" <Junkmail@clfurent.com> wrote in message
news:43e0adb7$0$25066$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net...
> I've been a bit out of the loop in this subject..... Which models of
> scanners are the hottest selling (most desired) today - whether easy or
> hard to find in catalog, store or online? What is their going price (ball
> park range)? Thanks!
>
>

That depends on what you want to listen to??? For Police/ Fire that is not
Digital the BC246 is a great scanner. Runs $200.00 on line. If you want to
listen to Digital both Radio Shack and Uniden offer both hand held and table
top models for it assuming the agencies you want to scan can be scanned.
They are going to run about twice or more as much.
--
"Everything in excess! To enjoy the flavor of life, take big bites.
Moderation is for monks."
[Lazarus Long]

434. Hearing Plane Comms Question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-01 22:27:53 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <18mdnfg7nNx4qHzenZ2dnUVZ_tKdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10214

Hello:

Live about 20 miles due west of Logan Airport (Boston)

I can hear the planes calling Logan just fine, but never, never any comms
from the
Tower, or the facility that hands off the planes to the Tower.
(forget what it's called; BTW: what is the proper name of ?)

Isn't the transmitted cone of the signal originating from the Tower, or the
other facility, wide enough to encompass
the 20 miles ? How come I can hear the planes so well ?

Thanks,
Bob

435. Re: Hearing Plane Comms Question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-01 22:40:02 UTC
From: "St. John Smythe" <sinjen@n4vu.com>
Message-ID: <drrd5b$8hp$2@n4vu2.n4vu.com>
Source record: 10215

Robert11 wrote:
> I can hear the planes calling Logan just fine, but never, never any comms
> from the
> Tower, or the facility that hands off the planes to the Tower.
> (forget what it's called; BTW: what is the proper name of ?)
>
> Isn't the transmitted cone of the signal originating from the Tower, or the
> other facility, wide enough to encompass
> the 20 miles ? How come I can hear the planes so well ?

It all has to do with line-of-sight between antennas. Someone will be
along shortly to elaborate.

--
St. John
If life is a stage, I want some better lighting.

436. Re: FT: Uniden BCD396T For SW Reciever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-01 22:59:13 UTC
From: Wishka70
Message-ID: <Goqdndh_mcfcoHzenZ2dnUVZ_s2dnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 10216

I have been looking for a BCD396T, how do you like it so far? Do
you think listening will be better if you get a R75 system or will
you just get more freq. but loose the trunking features? I might
have a R75 for a trade but trying to understand what I might be
givening up over the 396T radio.
--

Posted with NewsLeecher v3.5 Beta 1
* Binary Usenet Leeching Made Easy
* http://www.newsleecher.com/?usenet
---

437. Re: Hearing Plane Comms Question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-01 23:15:06 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <_hbEf.4544$Nv2.3872@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10217

Latching on to THIS reply, I would say that IF the tower was 2000 ft. up in the sky, you would hear them...

"St. John Smythe" <sinjen@n4vu.com> wrote in message news:drrd5b$8hp$2@n4vu2.n4vu.com...
> Robert11 wrote:
> > I can hear the planes calling Logan just fine, but never, never any comms
> > from the
> > Tower, or the facility that hands off the planes to the Tower.
> > (forget what it's called; BTW: what is the proper name of ?)
> >
> > Isn't the transmitted cone of the signal originating from the Tower, or the
> > other facility, wide enough to encompass
> > the 20 miles ? How come I can hear the planes so well ?
>
> It all has to do with line-of-sight between antennas. Someone will be
> along shortly to elaborate.
>
> --
> St. John
> If life is a stage, I want some better lighting.

438. Re: frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-01 23:33:22 UTC
From: catfelinemouser <catfelinemouser@yahoo.ca>
Message-ID: <dzbEf.6978$Sk1.209726@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 10218
Cross-posted to:
alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.binaries.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Thank-you!
catfelinemouser
"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:t4kvt1djss3o8jr42l8ml4bf42af0i4r72@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 31 Jan 2006 12:05:30 -0500, "catfelinemouser"
> <catfelinemouser@yahoo.ca> wrote:
>
>>Swastika, Kirkland Lake and area in Ontario, Canada
>>"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
>>news:7ihtt1ho3bn6mj4d4dia58144i2o5rv078@4ax.com...
>>> On Mon, 30 Jan 2006 19:44:20 -0500, "catfelinemouser"
>>> <catfelinemouser@yahoo.ca> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Does anyone in the Swastika, Kirkland Lake and area know of frequencies
>>>>that
>>>>I can set into my BC796D?
>>>
>>> If you post the province and county maybe someone can help you.
>
> I don't know Ontario that well, but maybe something here
> <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&stid=109> is close
> enough to you.

439. uniden sc 150b

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-02 01:55:10 UTC
From: realb65 <realb65.22l0wk@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <realb65.22l0wk@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 10220

Hi,

I would to say if it is possible to modify my scanner uniden sc 150b ?

Thanks

Real B

--
realb65

440. Re: Hearing Plane Comms Question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-02 02:11:26 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <ofq2u11boovao04ardvkuh2rt0ji98bch9@4ax.com>
Source record: 10219

On Wed, 1 Feb 2006 17:27:53 -0500, "Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com>
wrote:

>Live about 20 miles due west of Logan Airport (Boston)

>I can hear the planes calling Logan just fine, but never, never any comms
>from the
>Tower, or the facility that hands off the planes to the Tower.

>Isn't the transmitted cone of the signal originating from the Tower, or the
>other facility, wide enough to encompass
>the 20 miles ?

It is, but it's aimed upward (which is where you find airplanes).
Since you're on the ground, you're under the pattern, so you don't
hear anything.

> How come I can hear the planes so well ?

Line of sight, and their antennas are aimed downward.

441. Re: Radio Shack Pro-2026 Mod HELP??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-02 17:43:44 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <jwrEf.12$Ax.11@fe04.lga>
Source record: 10221

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
news:detDf.229$wG6.79@fe03.lga...
>
> <tunereye@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1138478895.865402.155310@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > I picked up a Radio Shack scanner model 2026... on the back it
> > says...model # 20-148B
> >
> > Can I modify this version to recieve some cel calls? I read that some
> > can't be modified. Thanks for any info.
> >
>
> PRO-2026 200-0148
>
> 200-0148A-YES
> 200-0148B-No
>
>
> Sorry...
>
>

That didn't exactly come out the way it was sent... Modified the above for
clarity...

442. Re: 800 mghz antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-02 19:01:52 UTC
From: "rich g." <richglen1@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <AGsEf.90903$M94.78567@trnddc01>
Source record: 10222
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

My 1st time posting to a newsgroup but I would like to throw my 2 cents in here. I do not, nor am I acquainted with anyone that works for Radio Shack. I am a novice as well, with the same testing equipment (my ears and what my scanner can receive). I received a PRO97 as a gift in November and I'm now hooked, I enjoy scanning more than I thought I ever would, even with the learning curve required for the trunking AND all of the mis-information available to newbie's. That said, I agree with alexx1400 100%. I have used 2 other non stock antennas, one being the Radio Shack antenna discussed here on my PRO97 and on a PRO92 that belongs to a friend. The 800MHz RS antenna improved the reception a great deal on both scanners in the same frequency range that alexx states, and in the case of these 2 scanners, the "150 range" reception also improved, so much so my friend also purchased the identical antenna, however, neither radio has any reception in the lowest range which neither one of us cares about. Maybe the area I live in (Hudson Valley, NY) has something to do with the improvement with the antenna, I don't have any technical spec's one way or the other, but I must say that neither radio has any signal without the antenna, nada. At this point both of us are satisfied with the gain in signal strength and clarity that the RS-800MHz antenna provided over the stock unit and feel the $ was well spent. Could it be the area that you use your PRO95 in has anything to do with having such a great signal?

"NeonHomer" <neonhomerWHEELS@gmail.com> wrote in message news:9cf8c1t68vejivop8p5b43scsjrukt09sd@4ax.com...
>I have one of those 800MHz antennas on my Pro95 as well.. I get the
> same signal w/ the antenna, or w/o it... or w/o any antenna
> whatsoever!!
>
> I found the pro95 works better when you leave the first channel
> (channel 0) blank on a bank that is trunked... for some reason it
> works a whole lot better.. for me anyway... This is with an EDACS
> system, not a Motorola... I haven't tried it w/ moto yet.
>
>
>
> On Mon, 24 Jan 2005 21:04:16 GMT, "Alex Clayton" <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
>>First let me start with, I know nothing about radio, and antenna's other
>>than what I experience. When I mentioned a while back I had found I could
>>shorten my antenna on a Pro-95 and still get the 800 trunked police calls
>>the same I got a bunch of e-mails from people who are MUCH more educated
>>than me, telling me all the reasons it would not work and do not try it. I

>>already had done it, with an extra antenna and it works just as well as
>>the
>>antennas that came with the Pro-79 and Pro-95.
>> Now it did hinder the county police calls here that come out on the
>> 150's
>>band, but I seldom listen to them and did not care. Also this involves
>>Radio
>>shack. I know a lot of you have an ax to grind with them, again I don't
>>care
>>so don't bother e-mailing me to tell me they are the worst place on the
>>planet to buy anything from. So all that out of the way <G>:
>> Since the city here started switching over to 800 trunked I learned to
>>program the Pro-95 I had bought a couple years ago. I found the reception
>>on
>>the 800 band works better than the old 400 band, which the police are
>>still
>>using while they up date all their radios, in areas that were hard to
>>receive before. Such as inside buildings where I work for example. It used
>>to be hard to pick up calls inside the buildings if the scanner was worn
>>on
>>my belt, with the 800 it seems to make no difference, inside, outside, on
>>my
>>belt or on my shoulder which was nice. When the weather was bad reception
>>did get a lot of static on the 800, but you could still hear them. Anyway
>>I
>>noticed RS offered an antenna that was supposed to work better on the 800
>>trunked. I finally decided to give it a try, figured if it did nothing I
>>would just take it back. Well after trying it, it does work better, than
>>the
>>original antennas, at least to my un educated ears. They "claim" it works
>>twice as well, now to my again un trained ears that's a stretch, but it
>>does
>>work better. The new one does also work just as well on the 400 and 150's
>>band, even though they warned me at the store that it would not. I did not
>>care, but since the police here are transmitting on both right now it's
>>easy
>>to check. So anyway for anyone who is an novice like me, if you are
>>listening to the 800 and having trouble it may be worth trying one of
>>these.
>>You can order them off the RS site, I just went to the local store and
>>bought one.
>

443. PRO-99 Deluxe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-02 20:20:33 UTC
From: Me <jones@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3cq4u111lu5kgbpv95fg9gpe4d77aa00b7@4ax.com>
Source record: 10223

i recently bought a PRO-99 Deluxe for my wife and i to use trackside
for nascar races. We first used at Lowes last fall and we were
dissapointed with the volume level (even with the right headphones)
I am hoping it was just where we sat at the race (under an overhang
that probably echoed the sound) Another thing that was confusing was
after porgraming in all the drivers and their alternate freq's we were
unable to get the scanned to automatically scan to another freq
without changing the chanel manually. I have read the manual from
front to back with no answers to this problem. Any suggestions???

444. Re: 800 mghz antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-02 20:32:28 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <w%tEf.4408$5E3.988@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10224
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"rich g." <richglen1@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:AGsEf.90903$M94.78567@trnddc01...
> My 1st time posting to a newsgroup but I would like to throw my 2 cents in
> here. I do not, nor am I acquainted with anyone that works for Radio
> Shack. I am a novice as well, with the same testing equipment (my ears and
> what my scanner can receive). I received a PRO97 as a gift in November
> and I'm now hooked, I enjoy scanning more than I thought I ever would,
> even with the learning curve required for the trunking AND all of the
> mis-information available to newbie's. That said, I agree with alexx1400
> 100%. I have used 2 other non stock antennas, one being the Radio Shack
> antenna discussed here on my PRO97 and on a PRO92 that belongs to a
> friend. The 800MHz RS antenna improved the reception a great deal on both
> scanners in the same frequency range that alexx states, and in the case of
> these 2 scanners, the "150 range" reception also improved, so much so my
> friend also purchased the identical antenna, however, neither radio has
> any reception in the lowest range which neither one of us cares about.
> Maybe the area I live in (Hudson Valley, NY) has something to do with the
> improvement with the antenna, I don't have any technical spec's one way or
> the other, but I must say that neither radio has any signal without the
> antenna, nada. At this point both of us are satisfied with the gain in
> signal strength and clarity that the RS-800MHz antenna provided over the
> stock unit and feel the $ was well spent. Could it be the area that you
> use your PRO95 in has anything to do with having such a great signal?
>

Glad it worked for someone else. I too am not even close to being any kind
of expert at this. A friend of mine bought a 246 on my recommendation and I
set it up for him. When I was doing it I had my 246 and my Pro-95 going. I
tried the no antenna and on the Mot. 800 all 3 would receive some, with no
antenna, but it was very spotty. One the 150's where the County Police are,
there was Nada on all 3. The guy bought a RS antenna on my recommendation so
we could try 2 of the same scanners side by side. The 246 with the RS
antenna was noticeably better on the 800 with the RS antenna. On the County
channels I could tell no difference even though RS had also warned him when
he bought his 800 antenna that it would NOT work with them. I "guess" the
sales people at RS must be told to say this as they must have gotten some
returned for this reason? Don't know as the people I have talked to there
seemed to know a hell of a lot less than me about scanners which is pretty
damn bad for someone selling them. The great thing about RS is if you buy
one in the store, and you can't tell it works any better they will take it
back, so you have nothing to loose trying it.
--
"Everything in excess! To enjoy the flavor of life, take big bites.

Moderation is for monks."
[Lazarus Long]

445. orbiting space suit on 145.99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-02 23:36:09 UTC
From: Paul Hirose <jvcmz89uwf@earINVALIDthlink.net>
Message-ID: <JHwEf.9758$1n4.438@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10225

The Space Station crew has to dispose of an old space suit, so they
are going to use it for an experiment. It's been equipped with a radio
transmitter to broadcast greetings, data, and a slow scan TV image on
145.99 MHz. The batteries should last for a few days. Plan is to
jettison the suit Feb 3.

http://www.spaceref.com/news/viewpr.html?pid=18900

According to one of the links, the transmitter output will be half a
watt.

--
Paul Hirose <jvcmz89uwf@earINVALIDthlink.net>
To reply by email remove INVALID

446. Uniden BC796D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-03 00:28:39 UTC
From: no one <noone@noon.us>
Message-ID: <XsxEf.49738$PL5.20618@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 10226

Anyone in the Flint , Mi Area (Genesee County) Own a BC796D Scanner

I would like to listen to the audio, Before I BUY ONE
http://www.usascan.com

I already Made the mistake of buying a RADIO SHACK PRO 2096 and it's
audio Broke up (Choppy) It Even Broke up After I got it Back From
RADIO SHACK Repair. I Returned it for Refund .

I donnot Want to Go thru the REFUNDING POLICIES again!

Bill N8JOS

447. Re: uniden sc 150b

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-03 01:04:04 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <mva5u1pmij6qcpenhotlce03cdsv6mnip5@4ax.com>
Source record: 10227

On Thu, 2 Feb 2006 01:55:10 +0000, realb65
<realb65.22l0wk@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:

>I would to say if it is possible to modify my scanner uniden sc 150b ?

Modify it to do what?

448. BC700A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-03 01:16:53 UTC
From: Rob <robnang34@woh.rr.com>
Message-ID: <9ayEf.93102$PY6.41169@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 10228

Does anybody know where I can download a schematic or service manual for this radio at? Thanks, Rob

449. Police Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-03 02:24:00 UTC
From: discoverybay@comcast.net
Message-ID: <nlf5u11r95sn3bvrfl2lha44941htbc654@4ax.com>
Source record: 10229

Does anyone know anything about receiving data from a Police Mobile Data Terminal? Can this be done? Are we all going to prison if we do so? Any good links regarding this?

Thanks,

450. Police Mobile Data Terminal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-03 02:27:59 UTC
From: discoverybay@comcast.net
Message-ID: <isf5u11jfr73bbjb379lae2vld4r0qf4ek@4ax.com>
Source record: 10230

```
See above.
I mean Police Mobile Data Terminal
```

451. Police Mobile Date Terminal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-03 02:29:55 UTC
From: discoverybay@comcast.net
Message-ID: <30g5u1pp8j4a0frsrjcet3ai85ntc72am9@4ax.com>
Source record: 10231

Does anyone know anything about receiving data from a Police Mobile Data Terminal? Can this be done? Are we all going to prison if we do so? Any good links regarding this?

Thanks,

452. Re: Uniden BC796D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-03 03:22:43 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <PuOdnZ6o1-kZUX_eRVn-oQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 10232

You won't be happy. All currently available, digital capable scanners,have the same issue with Detroit, and Genesee County, and their simulcast setup on MPSCS.

Bill Crocker

"no one" <noone@noon.us> wrote in message news:XsxEf.49738$PL5.20618@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> Anyone in the Flint , Mi Area (Genesee County) Own a BC796D Scanner
>
> I would like to listen to the audio, Before I BUY ONE
> http://www.usascan.com
>
> I already Made the mistake of buying a RADIO SHACK PRO 2096 and it's
> audio Broke up (Choppy) It Even Broke up After I got it Back From RADIO
> SHACK Repair. I Returned it for Refund .
>
> I donnot Want to Go thru the REFUNDING POLICIES again!
>
>
> Bill N8JOS

453. Re: Uniden BC796D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-03 03:54:27 UTC
From: no one <noone@noon.us>
Message-ID: <TtAEf.49764$PL5.41951@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 10233

Bill Crocker wrote:
> You won't be happy. All currently available, digital capable scanners,have
> the same issue with Detroit, and Genesee County, and their simulcast setup
> on MPSCS.
>
> Bill Crocker

Thanks For the Encouragement !!!

I guess I wait till Genesee / Detroit Correct their Emission Defect !

>
>
> "no one" <noone@noon.us> wrote in message
> news:XsxEf.49738$PL5.20618@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
>
>>Anyone in the Flint , Mi Area (Genesee County) Own a BC796D Scanner
>>
>>I would like to listen to the audio, Before I BUY ONE
>>http://www.usascan.com
>>
>>I already Made the mistake of buying a RADIO SHACK PRO 2096 and it's
>>audio Broke up (Choppy) It Even Broke up After I got it Back From RADIO
>>SHACK Repair. I Returned it for Refund .
>>
>>I donnot Want to Go thru the REFUNDING POLICIES again!
>>
>>
>>Bill N8JOS
>
>
>

454. A25 Genesee Co. MI was Re: Uniden BC796D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-03 03:59:46 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <11u5l5vflp19k31@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10234

Dear Bill N8JOS:

An alternative to using the Genesee County control channel (with attendant distortion) is to use the Owosso control channel (if you are on the west side), a Saginaw control channel (if you are up north), or the Holly control channel (if you are in the south part of the county). Each of the near-by systems has much reduced distortion and "carries" most of the Genesee County traffic.

If one is in Burton, I do not know what will work.

I am using a BCD396T with a RS 800 MHz (whip) antenna.

Regards, Mac

--
"no one" <noone@noon.us> wrote in message
news:TtAEf.49764$PL5.41951@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
>
>
> Bill Crocker wrote:
> > You won't be happy. All currently available, digital capable
scanners,have
> > the same issue with Detroit, and Genesee County, and their simulcast
setup
> > on MPSCS.
> >
> > Bill Crocker
>
> Thanks For the Encouragement !!!
>
> I guess I wait till Genesee / Detroit Correct their Emission Defect !
>
> >
> >
> > "no one" <noone@noon.us> wrote in message
> > news:XsxEf.49738$PL5.20618@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> >>Anyone in the Flint , Mi Area (Genesee County) Own a BC796D Scanner
> >>
> >>I would like to listen to the audio, Before I BUY ONE
> >>http://www.usascan.com
> >>
> >>I already Made the mistake of buying a RADIO SHACK PRO 2096 and it's
> >>audio Broke up (Choppy) It Even Broke up After I got it Back From
RADIO

> >>SHACK Repair. I Returned it for Refund .
> >>
> >>I donnot Want to Go thru the REFUNDING POLICIES again!
> >>
> >>
> >>Bill N8JOS
> >
> >
> >

455. Florida Mil Acft Monitoring Log - Thurs 2 Feb 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-03 04:46:25 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1138941985.444284.241580@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10235

PATRICK AFB AREA LOG - THURS, 2 FEB 2006

LIFTER 35: C-17A, Charleston 437AW
10:52am: Entering R-2901A, looking for tanker.
11:03am: Comms with BOLT 02 during AAR.
11:43am: Touch-and-goes at Patrick.
[292.2, 327.6, 133.75, 132.65, 119.825]

JOSA 871: C-21A #40094.
10:56am: Comms with MacDill's Lightning Ops: Dep KMIA at 1530z, ETA KADW 1745z; 5 pax.
[138.95, 311.0]

BOLT 02: KC-135R, MacDill AFB 6AMW
11:05am: AAR with LIFTERs 35 and 36.
1:01pm: Inbd MacDill with boom problems.
[327.6, 311.0]

JOLLY 11: HH-60G, Patrick AFB 920RQW 301RQS
11:28am: Departs Patrick; lands at Melbourne IAP's Northrop Grumman JSTARS Integration Facility.
[133.75, 118.2]

EAGLE 36: P-3C Jacksonville VP-16 "War Eagles" Sqdn
11:29am: Pattern work at Patrick; RTB NAS Jax.
[133.75, 132.65]

FOX 32: C-21A, USMC
1:20pm: Lands Patrick AFB.
[133.75]

OTIS 01: KC-130J, Cherry Point MCAS VMGR-252
1:25pm: Pattern work at Patrick AFB; RTB NAS Key West.
[133.75, 132.65]
Photos: P2020526-0528.

BERRY 540: SW-4, Berry Aviation (Govt Contractor)
2:15pm: Lands Patrick AFB.
3:05pm: Departs to NAS Jax.
[133.75, 132.65]

DOS 564: UH-1 #72-21564 (N8239E), US State Dept, Patrick AFB
2:30pm: Local training.
3:54pm: Lands, birdbath.
6:35pm: Local training.
[133.75]

SHARK 21 - 24: F-16C, Homestead ARB 482FW 93FS AFRC
3:13pm: Work at Avon Park Bombing Range; RTBd as two 2-ship flights.
[292.2, 139.8]

MAKO 11: F-16C, Homestead ARB 482FW 93FS AFRC
3:15pm: Work at Avon Park Bombing Range.
[292.2, 138.125, 138.025]

JSTARS 03: E-8C, Melbourne IAP Northrop Grumman Integration Fac.
3:29pm: Pattern work at Patrick AFB; RTB KMLB.
[133.75, 118.2, 123.2]
Photos: 2020529 - 0531.

MADFOX 05: P-3C, Jacksonville VP-5 "Mad Foxes" Sqdn
5:15pm: Pattern work at Patrick AFB.
[133.75]

JOLLY 21, 22: HH-60G (22 = #90-26235), Patrick AFB 920RQW 301RQS.
6:34pm: Departs Patrick, local training, AAR with TALON 69.
[133.75, 132.65, 269.375, 321.0, 255.5]

TALON 69: MC-130H, Hurlburt Field.
7:45pm: Departs Patrick, local training, AAR with JOLLY 21, 22.
10:15pm: Lands Patrick.
[269.375, 281.425, 321.0, 255.5]

OMAHA 41: Pilatus PC-12/45, Bur of Immigration, Customs & Enforcement.
10:15pm (approx): Comms with Hammer. Also IDs as "Omaha 41PB to be
legal." E/r West Palm Beach.
[282.425]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KTTS)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

456. Re: Uniden BR330T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-03 11:40:24 UTC
From: keith <mke1965@harbornet.com>
Message-ID: <l5g6u1tom9mccttn034cmjj7spvqf2fpso@4ax.com>
Source record: 10236
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I agree,

I have been using two scanners since 1990 something. One full
coverage one trunking. only thing missing as mentioned is ssb.

but it's nice to take one radio and get "almost" everything available
from 0.5 to 1300.

Keith

On Sun, 15 Jan 2006 11:19:56 -0500, lsmyer <lsmyer@yahoo.com> wrote:

>My new Uniden BR330T continues to exceed my expectations in every
>possible way.
>
>It's the only compact handheld scanner in the world that offers full
>coverage without gaps from 0.1 MHz to 1.3GHz (less cellular), 2500
>channels of memory, Close Call RF Capture, TrunkTracker III, and it's
>100% FCC-approved legal here in the good old USA.
>
>It's truly the best of all worlds for me. It's very sensitive, it's very
>selective, it's easy to use, it's fully computer-controllable and
>programmable, it scans fast like a Uniden, and it's just as happy to
>stop and let me listen to my favorite stations (AM broadcast, FM, TV,
>shortwave, weather, etc.), it's all there, and it's all good.
>
>Since the radio comes with a programming cable right in the box, it's
>very easy to program and reprogram whenever the mood is right, plus it's
>really cool to run it through the free Scannerbase AVC396 virtual
>control software.
>
>If the BR330T had APCO-25 digital capability like that of it's big
>brother BCD396T, then it would be even better, but I chose the BR330T
>because it has full coverage, and the BCD396T does not.
>
>Uniden finally got it right.

457. flightpath/schedule of satsuit?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-03 12:32:08 UTC
From: tg <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
Message-ID: <43e34d4f$0$23297$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 10237

does anyone know info about the predicted flightpath and orbit schedule of satsuit? I'd like to listen for it but I understand
there's only 5-10 minute window depending on your location. Thanks for any help.

458. Re: flightpath/schedule of satsuit?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-03 14:19:58 UTC
From: John Black <jblack328@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <iEJEf.2401$915.1644@southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 10238

http://science.nasa.gov/RealTime/JPass/25/JPass.asp

"tg" <tg@nospamevereverever.net> wrote in message
news:43e34d4f$0$23297$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk...
> does anyone know info about the predicted flightpath and orbit schedule of
satsuit? I'd like to listen for it but I understand
> there's only 5-10 minute window depending on your location. Thanks for any
help.
>
>

459. Re: Hearing Plane Comms Question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-03 15:20:24 UTC
From: Mike <km6ab@REMOVETHIS.pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <YwKEf.21864$Jd.578@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 10239

Airport ground comm antennas are not specifically aimed up and aircraft
antennas are not pointed down, they concentrate the signal toward the
horizon. The tower can easily communicate with a high altitude aircraft
to 250mi or more with just a few watts. Your local airport may have
their antenna fairly low and you may have obstructions between you and
the airport and raising your antenna may make the difference. I can pick
up all my local airport ground comms at about 20mi fine with a Discone
at 35ft and there are serious hills between the airport and me.

Al Klein wrote:
> On Wed, 1 Feb 2006 17:27:53 -0500, "Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com>
> wrote:
>
>
>>Live about 20 miles due west of Logan Airport (Boston)
>
>
>>I can hear the planes calling Logan just fine, but never, never any comms
>
>>from the
>
>>Tower, or the facility that hands off the planes to the Tower.
>
>
>>Isn't the transmitted cone of the signal originating from the Tower, or the
>>other facility, wide enough to encompass
>>the 20 miles ?
>
>
> It is, but it's aimed upward (which is where you find airplanes).
> Since you're on the ground, you're under the pattern, so you don't
> hear anything.
>
>
>> How come I can hear the planes so well ?
>
>
> Line of sight, and their antennas are aimed downward.

460. Re: orbiting space suit on 145.99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-03 17:43:24 UTC
From: nn8b <nn8b.oh@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1138988604.753558.81580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10240

The crew is scheduled to EVA at about 4:30 this afternoon and deploy
the "suit-sat" at that time.

If you want to track the ISS try this link:
http://science.nasa.gov/realtime/jtrack/3d/JTrack3D.html

If the ISS / suit sat is in range there will be doppler effect to the
transmitted signal on 145.990 mHz. To compensate set the receive
frequency a little higher on the incoming pass to say 145.995. Then
when the sig gets noisy try to decrease freq to 145.990 and then when
it gets weak again decrease the freq again to about 145.985 as it is on
its outgoing pass. This will alow you to hear the suit-sat longer.

Looks like it will only be on north america in the early morning
though.

Have fun, and good luck listening.

Don

461. ALL THE NEWS THAT IS FIT TO PRINT- 'Ron Haraseth' APCO FAILS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-03 17:48:06 UTC
From: nyt_reporter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1138988886.519023.78670@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10241

ALL THE NEWS THAT IS FIT TO PRINT- 'Ron Haraseth' APCO FAILS
==========

From: (removed as per senders request)
Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2006 8:43 AM
To: 'Ron Haraseth'
Cc: 'presid...@apco911.org'; 'pres-el...@apco911.org';
'first...@apco911.org'; 'second...@apco911.org';
'past-p...@apco911.org'; 'fi...@apco911.org'
Subject: Those of us in Public Safety caught in Wave # 1 in Rebanding
Importance: High

1-26-2006

Ron,

I tried to call you this morning & had a conversation with Michelle
Fink. She is a very good person as she listened to what I had to say.
I appreciated that.

Ron, IMHO, I do not feel, as an APCO member, that APCO has really
represented us in a way to bring group power to each individual
Sprint-Nextel / TA negotiations for Public Safety in the Wave #1
Rebanding - from my point of view!

I have talked with East Coast Engineering Consultants who are enduring
- as we have - prolonged negotiations with Sprint-Nextel & the TA. I
have heard of Sprint-Nextel challenges to an Engineering Consultants
projections, that a task will take 350 hours, & Sprint-Nextel coming
back with a statement, that it should only take 20 hours!

Months ago I pointed out to the TA their time event timeline chart did
not include the "Mandatory Negotiation 30 day window for Wave #1 ending

2/8/2006!"

Months ago (this is in a recorded TA Webinar) I asked the TA, " What
do
we do if delay becomes a negotiating tool?". There was silence for a
time, & the TA replied, "Get on the Web, or Fax us & we will become
involved".

At this time - even though our single point of contact has done
everything to attain a Pre-Planning Funding signed contract - we are in

"Mandatory Mediated Negotiations" with a 2/8/2006 deadline. I have
been
told we are very close to Sprint-Nextel negotiations of a contract? If

we do not arrive at a signed document, you know how serious a situation

my State will face.

Ron, Washington State didn't have the upfront funding to immediately hire our Consulting Engineer (IBI Group, Portland, OR.), or Robert Gurss our Communications Lawyer specialist. So, we had to disclosed all

details up front to arrive at hoped for funding to even begin to analyze
the now suggested frequency's to vacate in the General 800 MHz band, that the Mandatory Mediation TA is saying "Can Oregon use these to go on
& are you off them as yet?" I suggested to our single point of contact to in writing , to express our "Good Faith" efforts & that Washington State was willing to abandon TA questioned frequency's if Sprint-Nextel

would give us mid-band frequency's in exchange. I do not know what frequency's have been, or are being suggested we go to from Sprint-Nextel. I do not know how Mutual Aid Washington frequency's are being addressed. I don't even know the proposed terms of a contract with
Sprint-Nextel & the Mandatory Mediation TA as yet!

I feel Sprint -Nextel is nationally going beyond good faith in such a way that could well make it impossible to "Make all Parties Whole". I feel it is important, that Sprint-Nextel is included in being "Made Whole". Sprint-Nextel must understand a partnership with Public Safety that MUST succeed!

Why do you say this you might ask? Well, if our National Public Safety is required to certify that Rebanding is done at minimal cost, & then to
have a party consider this as a point to negate, or negotiate down, it can very well be perceived as seeing our National Public Safety as being
"Liars"!

I have heard, that no one in Wave #1 Oregon has a "Pre-Planning" funding contract signed as yet! This is VERY SERIOUS as the window ends

2-8-2006 !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

In addition to all this the "Other hand" of the FCC has activated the Automated Construction notice deadline of 1/1/2006! Where is APCO when we are engaged in the Rebanding struggle? We don't need to have this during Rebanding! It is another resource demand on Public Safety, where

we are already over loaded with Rebanding! APCO should work to buy us more time!

Please take my remarks anywhere it does good for the order of APCO & those of us in Public Safety.

Thanks for allowing a release of my personal in the trenches point of view on actual circumstances here.

Very Sincerely,

(Name removed by senders request)

462. Re: flightpath/schedule of satsuit?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-03 19:02:58 UTC
From: tg <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
Message-ID: <43e3a8dd$0$29570$da0feed9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 10242

"John Black" <jblack328@nc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:iEJEf.2401$915.1644@southeast.rr.com...
> http://science.nasa.gov/RealTime/JPass/25/JPass.asp
>
thanks but this only seems to serve the US, and I'm in the UK. Also I couldn't see how it shows the satsuit flightpath.

463. Re: bug hunting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-03 22:35:02 UTC
From: tg <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
Message-ID: <43e3da97$0$29568$da0feed9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 10243

"chesucat" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message news:ds0gmqoiu5@chessie.cirr.com...
> James Atkinson (www.tscm.com) writes:
>
> Here is a copy of the R-20 .icf file that I wrote, and which
> caused a some folks to get extremely upset as few weeks back.
<snip>

from a newbie: does something similar exist to do the same thing on an AOR AR8200 MkII scanner?

464. Re: orbiting space suit on 145.99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-03 22:40:02 UTC
From: tg <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
Message-ID: <43e3dbc2$0$23290$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 10244

"nn8b" <nn8b.oh@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1138988604.753558.81580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> The crew is scheduled to EVA at about 4:30 this afternoon and deploy
> the "suit-sat" at that time.
>
> If you want to track the ISS try this link:
> http://science.nasa.gov/realtime/jtrack/3d/JTrack3D.html

thanks for this link but I couldn't see how it shows where the ISS is. I saw a picture of the earth with little dots around it but
I couldn't ascertain any info from it all.

465. Newbie Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-03 22:54:37 UTC
From: Joseph Benson <jbenson00@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <NaREf.744595$x96.605676@attbi_s72>
Source record: 10245

I see frequencies on my scannner like 155.4505 Whats with the (5) on the end? It's either a 5 or a 0. Most of the frequencies do not have that number. On the scanner of mine(Old pro-2046) it is a little number in size compared to the others.

466. Re: flightpath/schedule of satsuit?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-03 23:00:15 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <13o7u111pof0pgucg0nav97pmn67s3ag1n@4ax.com>
Source record: 10246

On Fri, 3 Feb 2006 19:02:58 -0000, "tg" <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
wrote:

>"John Black" <jblack328@nc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:iEJEf.2401$915.1644@southeast.rr.com...
>> http://science.nasa.gov/RealTime/JPass/25/JPass.asp

>thanks but this only seems to serve the US, and I'm in the UK. Also I couldn't see how it
shows the satsuit flightpath.

If you have access to info about the ISS, it's basically the same
orbit.

467. Re: bug hunting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-04 00:51:40 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <2ku7u11sqmiei8de9lgbl96qtveppsdn1r@4ax.com>
Source record: 10247

On Fri, 3 Feb 2006 22:35:02 -0000, "tg" <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
wrote:

>from a newbie: does something similar exist to do the same thing on an AOR AR8200 MkII scanner?

You don't need anything special to hunt for bugs - just scan the
appropriate frequency ranges.

468. Re: orbiting space suit on 145.99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-04 00:53:57 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <8mu7u1hgvj6crr45scbu0hnqsotocasbhp@4ax.com>
Source record: 10248

On Fri, 3 Feb 2006 22:40:02 -0000, "tg" <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
wrote:

>"nn8b" <nn8b.oh@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1138988604.753558.81580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> The crew is scheduled to EVA at about 4:30 this afternoon and deploy
>> the "suit-sat" at that time.

>> If you want to track the ISS try this link:
>> http://science.nasa.gov/realtime/jtrack/3d/JTrack3D.html

>thanks for this link but I couldn't see how it shows where the ISS is. I saw a picture of
the earth with little dots around it but
>I couldn't ascertain any info from it all.

The red line is the orbit of the ISS - the ISS itself is where it says
"STATION". At the time I'm writing this - about 7:53 PM EST Friday
evening - it's over the southern tip of South America.

469. Re: Newbie Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-04 00:55:48 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <0qu7u1llt3ktttam4bjnn37lhclqgdlkg8@4ax.com>
Source record: 10249

On Fri, 03 Feb 2006 22:54:37 GMT, "Joseph Benson"
<jbenson00@gmail.com> wrote:

>I see frequencies on my scannner like 155.4505 Whats with the (5) on the
>end? It's either a 5 or a 0. Most of the frequencies do not have that
>number. On the scanner of mine(Old pro-2046) it is a little number in size
>compared to the others.

If that's just an example, channels are now being split, so there are
channels at some 500 Hz increments. If it's an actual frequency, I
could be wrong, but I don't think it's a legitimate channel.

470. Re: bug hunting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-04 01:34:37 UTC
From: bradvk2qq <bradvk2qq@w6ir.com>
Message-ID: <1139016877.211861.222610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10250

You can copyright a frequency list?

471. Re: Streaming internet Scanner Audio - How?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-04 02:22:50 UTC
From: Colic <no_spam@no.spam.com>
Message-ID: <_dUEf.745055$x96.130611@attbi_s72>
Source record: 10151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,microsoft.public.windowsmedia.player,alt.radio.scanner

Anyway to do this if you are not running Windows XP but rather say Windows ME?

C!

"Legs" <Legs@notvalid.co.nz> wrote in message news:Vaozf.15940$vH5.842190@news.xtra.co.nz...
> nospam000@spamhole.com wrote:
>> I would like to set up at my house a scanner receiver so that my
>> elderly dad can listen via internet (just like all the other streaming
>> scanner audio sites) to the broadcasts.
>>
>> Could someone please advise what equipment I need to do this and how?
>> I have the scanner receiver, the Windows XP home computer, and
>> broadband connection.
>>
>> Thanks alot!
>>
>
> Fairly simple - in addition to your computer and scanner, an audio
> connecting lead from scanner to audio (line) input on your computer.
>
> A program to do the streaming can be found at :-
>
> http://www.microsoft.com/windows/windowsmedia/9series/encoder/default.aspx
>
> A little bit of head scratching to get it set up, may help to read
> instructions here.
>
> If you use a router to connect to the interweb, you may have to open a
> port to allow the streaming audio, IP stream through. You get to set which
> port to use in the encoder. You may also have to let your firewall know
> what's going on here too.
>
> Once set up, the audio stream can be listened to with Windows Media
> Player. Go to -> Sync > File > Open URL. The URL will be :-
>
> http://your_IP_address:Your_port_number.
>
> note, there is a full colon - : - between your IP address, and the port
> you are using for streaming.
>
> If you have more than one computer set up as a local LAN, you can check
> that it is working locally before committing to the net. If you do this,

> you will no doubt notice maybe a one or so second delay in the audio
> coming out of the 'decoding' computer.
>
> have fun.
>
>

472. Re: Police Mobile Date Terminal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-04 03:37:12 UTC
From: **THE-RFI-EMI-GUY** <rhyolite@nettally.com>
Message-ID: <IjVEf.12559$Fw6.7466@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 10152

Google for "Bill Cheek MDT" and see what you find. He almost went to
jail, unfortunately his health beat the feds to the punch. They would
have strung him up. Sad thing is, he really did nothing wrong.

Evan Platt wrote:

>On Thu, 02 Feb 2006 18:29:55 -0800, discoverybay@comcast.net wrote:
>
>
>
>>Does anyone know anything about receiving data from a Police Mobile
>>Data Terminal?
>>
>>
>
>Yes.
>
>
>
>>Can this be done?
>>
>>
>
>Possibly.
>
>
>
>>Are we all going to prison if we do
>>so?
>>
>>
>
>Yes.
>
>
>
>>Any good links regarding this?
>>
>>
>
>It depends on what police agency. Most agencies now use CDPD cellular
>data units, so it's extremely hard, if not impossible (unless you're
>Bill Gates).
>
>

--
Joe Leikhim K4SAT
"The RFI-EMI-GUY"ï¿½

"Treason doth never prosper: what's the reason?
For if it prosper, none dare call it treason."

"Follow The Money" ;-P

473. Anybody still using a PRO-43?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-04 04:36:13 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1bWEf.22096$Jd.12954@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 10153

Some say it's the best Milair receiver ever made. Is it true?

474. Re: Anybody still using a PRO-43?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-04 07:29:41 UTC
From: T-bone <mis@take.net>
Message-ID: <Xns9760196392622ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 10154

"Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net> wrote in news:1bWEf.22096$Jd.12954
@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net:

> Some say it's the best Milair receiver ever made. Is it true?
>
>
Great little scanner for its day.
I really don't see what grounds it would have to claim Milair greatness over
the, say, AOR 1000 line or my current handheld, the Alinco DJ-X2000.

475. Re: orbiting space suit on 145.99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-04 13:18:04 UTC
From: tg <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
Message-ID: <43e4a9a7$0$29563$da0feed9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 10155

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:8mu7u1hgvj6crr45scbu0hnqsotocasbhp@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 3 Feb 2006 22:40:02 -0000, "tg" <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
> wrote:
>
>>"nn8b" <nn8b.oh@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1138988604.753558.81580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> The crew is scheduled to EVA at about 4:30 this afternoon and deploy
>>> the "suit-sat" at that time.
>
>>> If you want to track the ISS try this link:
>>> http://science.nasa.gov/realtime/jtrack/3d/JTrack3D.html
>
>>thanks for this link but I couldn't see how it shows where the ISS is. I saw a picture of
the earth with little dots around it
>>but
>>I couldn't ascertain any info from it all.
>
> The red line is the orbit of the ISS - the ISS itself is where it says
> "STATION". At the time I'm writing this - about 7:53 PM EST Friday
> evening - it's over the southern tip of South America.

ah yes thankyou I'm getting it now.
when I first looked the station was in grey and no-where near the red line which I found
confusing. Later I realise it was out of
sight - on the other side of the globe and it's now showing in white passing over NSW in
Oz.. Its orbit doesn't show it going near
the UK though (sob).

476. Re: orbiting space suit on 145.99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-04 13:31:18 UTC
From: Buzzygirl <buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net>
Message-ID: <5J6dnQrco6Y8MXneRVn-tw@comcast.com>
Source record: 10156

Unfortunately, SuitSat was a bust. It stopped transmitting after only two orbits, ostensibly because the batteries got too cold.

Jackie

477. Re: orbiting space suit on 145.99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-04 13:36:43 UTC
From: tg <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
Message-ID: <43e4adf8$0$23293$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 10157

"Buzzygirl" <buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net> wrote in message
news:5J6dnQrco6Y8MXneRVn-tw@comcast.com...
> Unfortunately, SuitSat was a bust. It stopped transmitting after only two
> orbits, ostensibly because the batteries got too cold.
>
> Jackie

thanks for the info. So I can read more, have you got a cite for that?

478. Re: orbiting space suit on 145.99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-04 13:53:19 UTC
From: Buzzygirl <buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net>
Message-ID: <WpudncA8MIJXLHnenZ2dnUVZ_sOdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10158

"tg" <tg@nospamevereverever.net> wrote in message
news:43e4adf8$0$23293$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk...

> thanks for the info. So I can read more, have you got a cite for that?

http://abcnews.go.com/Technology/wireStory?id=1579240
http://www.space.com/missionlaunches/060204_exp12_eva2.html

... and a bunch of "not-heard" reports before the announcement:
http://suitsat.org/

Apparently, its signal was picked up briefly and faintly in Japan before it
stopped altogether.

Jackie

479. Re: Does anyone in the Swastika, Kirkland Lake and area of Ontario, Canada know of frequencies that I can set into my BC796D?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-04 15:44:23 UTC
From: HotShot <MyShotIsHot@HotShotShootsSpam.Com>
Message-ID: <LZ3Ff.25385$lw6.915463@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 10159

try radioreference.com

catfelinemouser wrote:
> Does anyone in the Swastika, Kirkland Lake and area of Ontario, Canada know
> of frequencies that I can set into my BC796D?
>
> catfelinemouser
>
>
>

480. SUITSAT received on Africa (audio file).

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-04 16:56:25 UTC
From: CQ <geenmail@geenmail.net>
Message-ID: <43e4dcba$0$2018$ba620dc5@text.nova.planet.nl>
Source record: 10160

Hello All,

Keith (ZS6TW) received at 4 februari (12:45UTC) SUITSAT on 50 degrees
elevation.
Keith could hear Russian language from SUITSAT.
The equipment ZS6TW used:
Transceiver: Yaesu FT-847
Antennas: 2 X F9FT 17 elements yagis (16dBd gain).
Keith reported that it is importand to use FM narrow to
receive the weak (narrow) signals out the noise (doppler correction is
requered!!!).
He received SUITSAT at the same place as ISS, so the
Suitsat was (at 4 februari 12:45UTC) not far behind the ISS.

The audio file is for public use so spread arround the world!

73's Keith ZS6TW
The audio file you can download from PD0RKC website:
http://pd0rkc.ontwikkel.nl

481. Re: Streaming internet Scanner Audio - How?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-04 17:41:21 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <top9u1h9jpva6v3drv9a4gbe4nbb7ipsj1@4ax.com>
Source record: 10161
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,microsoft.public.windowsmedia.player,alt.radio.scanner

On Sat, 04 Feb 2006 02:22:50 GMT, "Colic" <no_spam@no.spam.com> wrote:

>Anyway to do this if you are not running Windows XP but rather say Windows
>ME?

Winamp, Icecast, Oddcast. They'll all run on 98 and variants (like
ME) if you have enough RAM.

482. Re: bug hunting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-04 17:49:37 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <ptp9u1tmtdisnqtjgc4mq1p3b62106bt17@4ax.com>
Source record: 10162

On Sat, 4 Feb 2006 04:47:10 +0000 (UTC), chesucat <me@privacy.net>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>AK>"tg" wrote:
>>from a newbie: does something similar exist to do the same thing
>>on an AOR AR8200 MkII scanner?
>AK>You don't need anything special to hunt for bugs - just scan the
>AK>appropriate frequency ranges.

>Al, what frequencies would you find most bugs?

That's like asking on what channels you find most TV stations on. I've heard bugs on AM broadcast, I've heard bugs on 2.4 GHz, and everywhere between. There are a lot of commercial bugs at the top of the VHF-hi band, in the 160-174 MHz range, but more and more they're going to 2.4 GHz, 5.8 GHz and internet (direct wired, so there's nothing to scan). A frequency counter with a wide-band pre-amp in front of it is the best bug hunting tool, or without the pre-amp if you're sweeping a fixed area. (You can use the Close Call of a scanner for sweeps too.)

>I have a BC246T, so
>I don't have very many frequencies to play with. I kinda have the
>buyer's remorse about this scanner. I would have probably been
>happier with an ICOM R3 or R20 scanner, but the reviews said that
>ICOM scanners offer poor receptions and slow scanning speed.

They're not scanners, they're wide-band receivers. The Uniden 330 gives you the best of both worlds if you don't need digital, although I prefer my 396.

483. Calgary, Alberta Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-04 21:31:10 UTC
From: wally <ukcanremove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <y29Ff.431267$2k.147066@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 10163

Can anyone help me out?

I have often parked close to the airport and seen people using scanners and
it has peaked my curiosity.

I know nothing about the subject of scanning but would like to learn.

My questions are: Where can I buy a scanner?
How much will it cost?
What can I listen to?
Do I need lessons or training?
I heard that the police use Digital, can I
buy a digital scanner in canada?
Is scanning a worthwile hobby?

Any comments appreciated

Thanks
Steve

484. PRO-28

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-04 21:49:17 UTC
From: Roger <vickkib1@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <19WdnVeqXpPCvHjeRVn-tw@comcast.com>
Source record: 10164

Can a PRO-28 be modified to receive aircraft frequencies?
thanks
roger

485. Alinco DJ-X3 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-04 23:36:00 UTC
From: Seventhson <powerslave@pieceofmind.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <ds3dp2$5cq$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 10165
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

I'm looking for a better antenna for my dj-x3 to be able to receive signals better. It would preferably have to cover the whole range of the X3 (0.1-1300MHz) as there are frequencies all across it's range that I listen to. I live in a flat so roof or wall mounted is not an option but I would also like to be able to use it in the car and it not be too expensive.
Thanks

486. Re: Alinco DJ-X3 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-05 00:09:45 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <v8gau1d22h5js0uep0m0976grs97jstlqi@4ax.com>
Source record: 10166
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 4 Feb 2006 23:36:00 -0000, "Seventhson"
<powerslave@pieceofmind.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:

>I'm looking for a better antenna for my dj-x3 to be able to receive signals
>better. It would preferably have to cover the whole range of the X3
>(0.1-1300MHz)

Aside from advertising hype, there's no such thing. Or, rather,
anything that conducts electricity will act as an antenna, but it will
only act as a good antenna over a relatively small range of
frequencies. (Even a multi-band antenna only works well over a small
percentage of the range you posted.)

>I would also like to be able to use it in the car

Remember that, to have any efficiency at all, an antenna has to
reasonably approach 1/4 wavelength of the frequency it's receiving.
(Even 0.1 wavelength will give you some reception.) At 0.1 MHz,
you're talking about a wavelength of 9,835 feet, so the shortest
antenna that has any hope of working is going to be almost 100 feet
long - hardly something you can use on a car.

487. Re: More Spam from tinman4

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-05 00:33:58 UTC
From: Ken Layton <KLayton888@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1139099638.730960.321940@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10167

That tinman4 spammed his virus-laden message to hundreds of newsgroups.

488. Re: Anybody still using a PRO-43?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-05 03:08:30 UTC
From: "M." <notvalid@email.add>
Message-ID: <Q_dFf.187$e4.83022@sooner.brightok.net>
Source record: 10168

How about, because it works (receives) better?

"T-bone" <mis@take.net> wrote in message
news:Xns9760196392622ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130...
> "Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net> wrote in news:1bWEf.22096$Jd.12954
> @newssvr25.news.prodigy.net:
>
>> Some say it's the best Milair receiver ever made. Is it true?
>>
>>
> Great little scanner for its day.
> I really don't see what grounds it would have to claim Milair greatness
> over
> the, say, AOR 1000 line or my current handheld, the Alinco DJ-X2000.

489. Re: PRO-28

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-05 04:26:58 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <6kvau1lng95ka13mjus7mrokfmva71o27j@4ax.com>
Source record: 10169

On Sat, 4 Feb 2006 16:49:17 -0500, "Roger" <vickkib1@comcast.net>
wrote:

>Can a PRO-28 be modified to receive aircraft frequencies?

Simple answer - no.

490. scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-05 05:48:59 UTC
From: Junkman Skip <Junkman@skip.com>
Message-ID: <43e591bc$0$25063$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 10170

WWW.CLFURENT-GIFTS.ISPEEDWAY.COM

491. Re: PRO-28

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-05 13:25:28 UTC
From: Roger <vickkib1@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Sq6dnVb-rcJVYXjeRVn-rQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 10171

OK thanks for the info.
"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:6kvau1lng95ka13mjus7mrokfmva71o27j@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 4 Feb 2006 16:49:17 -0500, "Roger" <vickkib1@comcast.net>
> wrote:
>
>>Can a PRO-28 be modified to receive aircraft frequencies?
>
> Simple answer - no.

492. "Huntress" Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-05 14:03:47 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <LtidnSoYfOhfmHveRVn-vw@comcast.com>
Source record: 10172

Hello:

Have an aero utility type question:

Re all these "Huntress" frequencies that one sees:

Exactly what are they ?

Are they all Norad related, or also for general USAF usage, or... ?

Which are the most popular/used in the northeastern U.S. ?

Thanks,
Bob

493. suitsat's scheduled positions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-05 14:10:32 UTC
From: tg <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
Message-ID: <43e607d6$0$4995$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 10173

I've been searching without success to determine if/when suitsat will be passing over the UK. I can see where suitsat is now (at http://science.nasa.gov/realtime/jtrack/3d/JTrack3D.html) but haven't been able to find any predicted flightpaths. Watching the
flight at http://science.nasa.gov/ I was expecting suitsat to pass over or near the UK in the night while I was sleeping, so I
left my scanner on and recorded the audio. My recording log shows a brief noise at 3:42am GMT, lasting about half a second, and it
picked it up on 145.985.
Was this suitsat?

494. Best portable antenna for airbands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-05 14:14:57 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <BLnFf.27193$F_3.26639@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 10174

I know there are some good ones out there (Diamond RH77CA, Maldor AL-500H).
I want some real users opinions on which hand-held scanner antenna works
best for airbands (civil & military)?

Frank

495. Re: orbiting space suit on 145.99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-05 15:33:50 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <yVoFf.40026$0N1.21653@newsfe5-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 10175

and its now back up with several reports

mike

"Buzzygirl" <buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net> wrote in message
news:WpudncA8MIJXLHnenZ2dnUVZ_sOdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
> "tg" <tg@nospamevereverever.net> wrote in message
> news:43e4adf8$0$23293$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk...
>
>> thanks for the info. So I can read more, have you got a cite for that?
>
> http://abcnews.go.com/Technology/wireStory?id=1579240
> http://www.space.com/missionlaunches/060204_exp12_eva2.html
>
> ... and a bunch of "not-heard" reports before the announcement:
> http://suitsat.org/
>
> Apparently, its signal was picked up briefly and faintly in Japan before
> it
> stopped altogether.
>
> Jackie
>
>

496. Re: orbiting space suit on 145.99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-05 16:05:46 UTC
From: Buzzygirl <buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net>
Message-ID: <lpGdnVDWueLPv3veRVn-sg@comcast.com>
Source record: 10176

>and its now back up with several reports

I imagine it will be very hard to hear without a high-gain antenna.

Jackie

497. Re: suitsat's scheduled positions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-05 17:37:19 UTC
From: MarkN <MarkN.22rywm@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <MarkN.22rywm@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 10179

Here are a couple of pages describing software to track the object
http://www.amsat.org/amsat-new/articles/BauerSuitsat/index.php
http://science.nasa.gov/headlines/y2006/26jan_suitsat.htm
http://science.nasa.gov/realtime/

hope this helps. I understand from a USAF MARS net this am there may be
an issue with the temp inside the suit....

MarkN

tg Wrote:
> I've been searching without success to determine if/when suitsat will be
> passing over the UK. I can see where suitsat is now

--
MarkN

498. Re: PRO-99 Deluxe - pro-95_audio[1].jpg (1/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-05 18:49:03 UTC
From: jay <tberlo19@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <43e649aa$0$1939$6d36acad@titian.nntpserver.com>
Source record: 10177

I bought a splitter booster at Radio Shack that helped some. I was disappointed in the volume also. My Pro 43 had a lot higher volume, but it used more batteries too. I had the problem with the scanning too, but it was in the way I had it set up. I'll have to check back to see how I got it to work. Not very user friendly.
"LoneGunman" <gunmanFUNKUSPAM@bmeworld.com> wrote in message news:c0l5u1p0af1do3knf78mdt8pj24q8lj8lp@4ax.com...

499. Re: PRO-99 Deluxe - pro-95_audio[1].jpg (1/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-05 18:51:17 UTC
From: jay <tberlo19@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <43e64a47$0$27790$6d36acad@titian.nntpserver.com>
Source record: 10178

Here it is. My notes say "To scan thru car numbers automatically, press "FUNC" then "CAR" then "SCAN"". Hope that helps.
"LoneGunman" <gunmanFUNKUSPAM@bmeworld.com> wrote in message news:c0l5u1p0af1do3knf78mdt8pj24q8lj8lp@4ax.com...

500. Re: Best portable antenna for airbands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-06 01:55:42 UTC
From: Bob <warthog9@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <y0yFf.52129$PL5.199@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 10180

Some of the large, multi-section, multi-band ham antennas like the RH77CA and AL-500H are much better than the stock duckie, but they were really designed for a few specific ham radio frequencies. The fact they work better than stock is more a testament of how bad the stock antenna is, not that the others are that magical on odd off frequency bands like VHF and UHF mil air. There is an antenna specifically designed for the 90 to 512mhz band that does work consistently well, especially in the VHF and UHF air bands, which lie in the middle of its working range. If you are lucky you can find one surplus for a cheap price like I did. The antenna is a 14ï¿½ long rubber duck made by Thales (Racal) for the PRC-148 MBITR multi-band hand held. It costs around $245 new (P/N 3100662-501) and you have to change the BNC connector on your scanner to TNC or use an adaptor. I have used one of these antennas on 2m, 220 and 440mhz amateur freqs and it works noticeably better than the supplied antennas with various hand helds I have compared it to. It also pulls in VHF and UHF air way better than the stock duckie on my PRO-43, which is what the original post is about. You did ask what is the best, didnï¿½t you??
Bob

Frank wrote:
> I know there are some good ones out there (Diamond RH77CA, Maldor AL-500H).
> I want some real users opinions on which hand-held scanner antenna works
> best for airbands (civil & military)?
>
>
> Frank
>
>

501. Re: Anybody still using a PRO-43?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-06 02:19:33 UTC
From: T-bone <mis@take.net>
Message-ID: <Xns9761D8EFF1D4Cewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 10181

"M." <notvalid@email.add> wrote in news:Q_dFf.187$e4.83022
@sooner.brightok.net:

> How about, because it works (receives) better?

Uh... Ok.
Is this just a gut feeling of yours, or have you actually field tested the
units in question and came to the determination that the 43 "works better" ?

http://www.caliburn.nl/topposting.html

>
> "T-bone" <mis@take.net> wrote in message
> news:Xns9760196392622ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130...
>> "Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net> wrote in news:1bWEf.22096$Jd.12954
>> @newssvr25.news.prodigy.net:
>>
>>> Some say it's the best Milair receiver ever made. Is it true?
>>>
>>>
>> Great little scanner for its day.
>> I really don't see what grounds it would have to claim Milair greatness
>> over
>> the, say, AOR 1000 line or my current handheld, the Alinco DJ-X2000.
>
>
>

502. Re: "Huntress" Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-06 03:36:44 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <kvgdu1t0t9vkv6vtr7tf9qq9tttkbri1uv@4ax.com>
Source record: 10182

On Sun, 5 Feb 2006 09:03:47 -0500, "Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com>
wrote:

>Have an aero utility type question:

>Re all these "Huntress" frequencies that one sees:

http://www.radioreference.com
go to the forums, then to the aviation forum. I've seen Huntress
discussed there (although I have no intertest in mil comms or aviation
comms, so I don't remember what it was that was being discussed about
it.)

503. Re: Best portable antenna for airbands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-06 03:39:08 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <95hdu1tdp29o36o2e0eb4bhfngablfc1k0@4ax.com>
Source record: 10183

On Mon, 06 Feb 2006 01:55:42 GMT, Bob <warthog9@pacbell.net> wrote:

>Some of the large, multi-section, multi-band ham antennas like the
>RH77CA and AL-500H are much better than the stock duckie, but they were
>really designed for a few specific ham radio frequencies.

Another possibility is a telescopic antenna - just adjust it for 1/4
wavelength on whatever frequency you need.

504. Re: Best portable antenna for airbands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-06 11:00:01 UTC
From: tg <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
Message-ID: <43e72ecb$0$23292$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 10184

"Bob" <warthog9@pacbell.net> wrote in message news:y0yFf.52129$PL5.199@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
>
<snip>... The
> antenna is a 14" long rubber duck made by Thales (Racal) for the PRC-148 MBITR multi-band hand held. It costs around $245 new

oooph!

505. Re: "Huntress" Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-06 16:10:57 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <j8seu1pmtbo7u3oe4idp5mdti978nkc9e0@4ax.com>
Source record: 10185

On Sun, 5 Feb 2006 09:03:47 -0500, "Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com> wrote:

>Hello:
>
>Have an aero utility type question:
>
>Re all these "Huntress" frequencies that one sees:
>
>Exactly what are they ?
>
>Are they all Norad related, or also for general USAF usage, or... ?
>
>Which are the most popular/used in the northeastern U.S. ?
>
>Thanks,
>Bob

Bob,

HUNTRESS is the callsign for NORAD's Northeast air defense SOCC (Sector Operations Control Center). There are tons of frequencies listed for this and the other NORAD SOCCs, many of which are undoubtedly obsolete, or just plain wrong. Regardless, active frequencies will vary with time of day, interference, and participating stations.

Personally, I monitor 364.200 (AICC) and guard (243.000) regularly, and don't worry too much about all the frequency listings - I've had better luck plugging in squadron/wing common freqs for individual units than trying to scan dozens of frequencies from internet listings that are often a few decades old.

A good place to ask this question might be the MILCOM reflector on qth.net .

Note that the SOCCs were formerly known as ROCCs so if you're looking at a list that shows these as ROCC it's probably been awhile since the last update. ;-)

John Kasupski, Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
zIRC #monitor Admin
http://www.wzrd.com/~kc2hmz/
http://www.qsl.net/kc2fng/

506. Re: Calgary, Alberta Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-06 21:13:39 UTC
From: burgessfred33@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1139260419.405085.33350@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10186

Hi Wally: I live in Calgary, and been into scanning for about 17 years.
It is a very worthwhile hobby. You can get scanners at "The Source by
Circiut City" (formally Radio Shack). They have one for 200 bucks, and
another for 500 dollars, plus GST of course. You can listen to just
about anything, including air, trains, taxis, fire, EMS, RCMP , in fact
almost any mobile radio systems. You do not need lessons or training,
however if you have questions, this is a good newsgruop to use. As for
a digital scanner, I'm not sure if any are available in Canada. You
may want to visit my free website @ www.geocities.com/burgessfred33. I
have an extensive list of frequencies. Another good website is
www.radioreference.com.
Fred Burgess
wally wrote:
> Can anyone help me out?
>
> I have often parked close to the airport and seen people using scanners and
> it has peaked my curiosity.
>
> I know nothing about the subject of scanning but would like to learn.
>
> My questions are: Where can I buy a scanner?
> How much will it cost?
> What can I listen to?
> Do I need lessons or training?
> I heard that the police use Digital, can I
> buy a digital scanner in canada?
> Is scanning a worthwile hobby?
>
> Any comments appreciated
>
> Thanks
> Steve

507. ISS IS REPEATING SUITSAT SIGNALS on 437.800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-06 22:36:59 UTC
From: stargatesg1 <me@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <fcQFf.7946$Gg1.4116@trnddc03>
Source record: 10187
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.slowscan,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

ISS IS REPEATING SUITSAT SIGNALS on 437.800

Just for info to the group.

Whole story with received audio files......

http://www.aj3u.com/blog/

73's RoD
KD0XX
PG-6-29404

508. Re: ISS IS REPEATING SUITSAT SIGNALS on 437.800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-07 00:26:10 UTC
From: tg <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
Message-ID: <43e7e91a$0$29565$da0feed9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 10188
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.slowscan,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

"stargatesg1" <me@verizon.net> wrote in message news:fcQFf.7946$Gg1.4116@trnddc03...
> ISS IS REPEATING SUITSAT SIGNALS on 437.800
>
> Just for info to the group.
>
> Whole story with received audio files......
>
> http://www.aj3u.com/blog/

having bad luck here in the UK. Suitsat (for the second time) will be passing over the UK in the middle of the night, while I'm
sleeping. Drat.

509. Re: ISS IS REPEATING SUITSAT SIGNALS on 437.800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-07 01:14:12 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <EvSFf.165$Up.89@fe10.lga>
Source record: 10189
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.slowscan,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

Can't you just get up during the night to listen? It is a rather rare opportunity, after all. Get everything set up ahead of time so you don't go waking the wife and kiddies with your crashing around in the dark!

"tg" <tg@nospamevereverever.net> wrote in message
news:43e7e91a$0$29565$da0feed9@news.zen.co.uk...
>
> "stargatesg1" <me@verizon.net> wrote in message
> news:fcQFf.7946$Gg1.4116@trnddc03...
>> ISS IS REPEATING SUITSAT SIGNALS on 437.800
>>
>> Just for info to the group.
>>
>> Whole story with received audio files......
>>
>> http://www.aj3u.com/blog/
>
> having bad luck here in the UK. Suitsat (for the second time) will be
> passing over the UK in the middle of the night, while I'm sleeping. Drat.

510. Re: ISS IS REPEATING SUITSAT SIGNALS on 437.800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-07 01:59:23 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <%9TFf.28731$mf2.7958@newsfe6-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 10190
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.slowscan,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

do what i am going to do
leave the scanner squelched upon loud volume or
these 2.4GHz retransmitters for tv are quite good also.
or if your not near a scanner connected to an outside aerial....
just use a small tv in the bedroom and retransmit just the scanner audio via
the rca phono socket.

mike
scanner
"DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:EvSFf.165$Up.89@fe10.lga...
> Can't you just get up during the night to listen? It is a rather rare
> opportunity, after all. Get everything set up ahead of time so you don't
> go waking the wife and kiddies with your crashing around in the dark!
>
>
> "tg" <tg@nospamevereverever.net> wrote in message
> news:43e7e91a$0$29565$da0feed9@news.zen.co.uk...
>>
>> "stargatesg1" <me@verizon.net> wrote in message
>> news:fcQFf.7946$Gg1.4116@trnddc03...
>>> ISS IS REPEATING SUITSAT SIGNALS on 437.800
>>>
>>> Just for info to the group.
>>>
>>> Whole story with received audio files......
>>>
>>> http://www.aj3u.com/blog/
>>
>> having bad luck here in the UK. Suitsat (for the second time) will be
>> passing over the UK in the middle of the night, while I'm sleeping. Drat.
>
>

511. Re: ISS IS REPEATING SUITSAT SIGNALS on 437.800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-07 02:28:12 UTC
From: bradvk2qq <bradvk2qq@w6ir.com>
Message-ID: <1139279292.108399.300770@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10191
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.slowscan,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

Download a program called Scanner Recorder and let it run overnight.

Brad VK2QQ

512. Re: Alinco DJ-X3 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-07 08:26:27 UTC
From: Mike GW8IJT <me@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <44r3urF3hvlrU1@individual.net>
Source record: 10192
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

"Seventhson" <powerslave@pieceofmind.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:ds3dp2$5cq$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk...
> I'm looking for a better antenna for my dj-x3 to be able to receive
signals
> better. It would preferably have to cover the whole range of the X3
> (0.1-1300MHz) as there are frequencies all across it's range that I
listen
> to. I live in a flat so roof or wall mounted is not an option but I
would
> also like to be able to use it in the car and it not be too expensive.
> Thanks
>
>
Get a decent scanner, the DJ-X3 is rubbish.

513. Re: ISS IS REPEATING SUITSAT SIGNALS on 437.800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-07 09:06:06 UTC
From: tg <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
Message-ID: <43e862f4$0$5011$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 10193
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.slowscan,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

"bradvk2qq" <bradvk2qq@w6ir.com> wrote in message
news:1139279292.108399.300770@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Download a program called Scanner Recorder and let it run overnight.
>
> Brad VK2QQ

already did that. see my post here dated 5/2/06.

514. Re: "Huntress" Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-07 10:53:33 UTC
From: Casper Milquetoast <CasperMilquetoast@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4KWdnZ0z0u6q4XXenZ2dnUVZ_sCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10194

"John Kasupski" <kc2hmz@wzrd.com> wrote in message
news:j8seu1pmtbo7u3oe4idp5mdti978nkc9e0@4ax.com...

>
> Note that the SOCCs were formerly known as ROCCs so if you're looking at a
> list
> that shows these as ROCC it's probably been awhile since the last update.
> ;-)

And SOCCs became SAOCs (Sector Air Operations Center) almost TEN YEARS
AGO, John, so...

> John Kasupski, Tonawanda, New York
> Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)

515. Re: ISS IS REPEATING SUITSAT SIGNALS on 437.800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-07 22:18:25 UTC
From: James <jnipperxxx@nospamfdn.com>
Message-ID: <boadnQdzD702gXTeRVn-jQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 10195
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.slowscan,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

If you receive the "repeated" signal, that is no big deal. People have been listening to the ISS for years on two meters.

Only a relatively few people have picked up the signals coming from the Space Suit.

73 de Jim K4PYT

516. Re: ISS IS REPEATING SUITSAT SIGNALS on 437.800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-08 00:24:32 UTC
From: Barky Bark <barkybark@bonksbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <4TaGf.8825$tb3.196@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 10196
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.slowscan,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

dude it's broadcasting less than 1/2 watt from what 100 miles up? Ain't no way a normal person gonna hear that.

517. Re: ISS IS REPEATING SUITSAT SIGNALS on 437.800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-08 00:45:55 UTC
From: Barky Bark <barkybark@bonksbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <7bbGf.8831$tb3.2372@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 10197
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.slowscan,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

cuz man that's just crazy! You need some sort of crazy yagi shit going on
for that. All's I got's my Yaesu handheld.

518. Re: ISS IS REPEATING SUITSAT SIGNALS on 437.800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-08 00:54:37 UTC
From: tg <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
Message-ID: <43e94151$0$5000$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 10198
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.slowscan,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

"Barky Bark" <barkybark@bonksbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:7bbGf.8831$tb3.2372@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net...
> cuz man that's just crazy! You need some sort of crazy yagi shit going on
> for that. All's I got's my Yaesu handheld.

yes but connected to what kind of aerial?

519. Re: ISS IS REPEATING SUITSAT SIGNALS on 437.800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-08 00:58:50 UTC
From: Barky Bark <barkybark@bonksbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <enbGf.8837$tb3.5249@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 10199
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.slowscan,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

the 8 inch one that came with the thing.

520. Re: ISS IS REPEATING SUITSAT SIGNALS on 437.800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-08 02:14:51 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <8mkiu1983dvksognr9n8fvusln56ltnmsr@4ax.com>
Source record: 10200
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.slowscan,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 8 Feb 2006 00:54:37 -0000, "tg" <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
wrote:

>"Barky Bark" <barkybark@bonksbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:7bbGf.8831$tb3.2372@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net...
>> cuz man that's just crazy! You need some sort of crazy yagi shit going on
>> for that. All's I got's my Yaesu handheld.

>yes but connected to what kind of aerial?

Probably the little rubber ducky it came with.

But, yes, with 11 elements and good front end sensitivity (not a
scanner), the suit signal is still barely out of the noise. Then,
again, EME signals are usually much weaker than the suit signal, and I
believe Sam Harris, W1FZJ, was doing EME around 40 years ago - with
tubes. It's not like it takes $10 million worth of equipment to do
this - 2 broom handles, some 1X2 lumber, 2 U-bolts, some coax and some
copperweld wire (or wire hangers) shouldn't cost more than US$10 - but
a 5 or 6 element quad has a lot of gain and it's easy to swing around
by hand.

521. Florida Mil Acft Radio Receptions 7 Feb 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-08 05:49:22 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1139377762.349247.210970@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10101

Patrick AFB Area Log, Tues 7 Feb 2006

CG VESSEL 25442
9:28am: Comms with CG Port Canaveral.
[157.15]

LIMA LIMA 09: P-3C (Nose #013), Jacksonville NAS VP-30
9:40am: Pattern work at Patrick AFB.
[269.375, 132.65]
Photos: P2070536-538.

CG RESCUE 6560: HH-65, Miami CGAS
9:48am: 4 POB, e/r offshore training; 28-05 North, 80-26 West.
9:54am: Flies real-world SAR pattern; later refuels at Patrick AFB.
2:45pm: Departs Patrick.
[157.15, 133.8, 118.625, 118.2]
Photos: P2070551, 552.

OMAHA 16Q: PA-42 #42-5501037, CBP, Jacksonville.
9:53am: Comms with Hammer: "Off Jackpot."
[282.425]

JOLLY 21: HH-60G Pave Hawk helo, Patrick AFB 920RQW 301RQS
10:00am: Local ops, PJ drops.
[133.75, 251.9]

LIMA TANGO 621: P-3C Jacksonville VP-62 "Broad Arrows" Sqdn.
10:21am: Area transition: "DALOO to BLUFI-1."

UNID CBP ACFT
10:31am: Comms with Hammer: "16Q is a Cheyenne. There should be another bird following him, maybe 86Q, a Miami bird who came up there to pick up one of our mechanics."
[282.425]

CG VESSEL 47301: CG Sta-Port Canaveral
10:34am: Comms with CG Sta-Port Canaveral.
[157.15]

CG SECTOR JACKSONVILLE
10:36am: Announcement re boat taking on water.
[156.8]

NASA 906: T-38N #65-10326, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston TX
10:41am.

NATO 04: E-3A AWACS #LX-N90451, NATO Early Warning Force, Geilenkirchen Germany.
11:55am: Pattern work at Patrick AFB.
[133.75]
Photos: P2070542-545, 548, 549.

KING 70: HC-130P #65-0970, Patrick AFB 920RQW 39RQS
12:15pm: Pattern work, PJ drop.
1:10pm: AAR with JOLLY 21 flight.
[133.75, 321.0, 251.9]

MADFOX 06: P-3C, Jacksonville VP-5 "Mad Foxes" Sqdn.
1:04pm: Pattern work.
1:43pm: RTB KNIP.
[133.75, 132.65]

RULER 21: C-17A, Thomas Field, Jackson MS, MS-ANG 172AMW
1:06pm: Pattern work.
2:25pm: Departs area.
[133.75]
Photo: P2070550.

JOLLY 11: HH-60G Pave Hawk helo, Patrick AFB 920RQW 301RQS
1:09pm: Departs
[133.75]

SEARCH 01: UH-1 Security/Survery Helo, NASA KSC
1:11pm: Inbound Patrick AFB.
[133.75]

GITMO 03: Prob UC-12B, Guantanamo Bay NS, Cuba
1:13pm; Check-in with Miami Ctr.

UNID, poss BANDSAW
2:25pm: ACM
[301.5]

CG RESCUE 6016: HH-60J, Clearwater CGAS
5:35pm: Participates in SAR near Restricted Area R-2932; has 5-by-5 search box.
7:19pm: To Patrick AFB.
[157.15, 133.8, 118.625, 133.75]

FANGs: F-15A, FL-ANG 125FW, Jacksonville IAP
5:45pm: ACM, BRAAs, controlled by AWACS BANDSAW.
[385.3]

BANDSAW: E-3B AWACS, Tinker AFB 552ACW 964ACS
5:45pm: Controls FANG flight ACM.
[385.3, 376.9]

LIMA LIMA 88: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-30 "Pro's Nest" Sqdn
6:18pm: Pattern work at Patrick AFB; relays comms for CG 6016 to Daytona Approach.
[133.75, 132.65]

DACTYL 92: C-32B, Eglin AFB 486FLTS
7:26pm: Attempts to work over Cape Canaveral AFS Skid Strip; unable due to wx; RTBs Eglin.
[132.65]

KING 70: HC-130P #65-0970, Patrick AFB 920RQW 39RQS
7:30pm: Pattern work; wx prevents AAR with JOLLYs.
[133.75, 132.65]

CG CUTTER SHRIKE (WPB-87342), Port Canaveral
8:17pm: Comms with CG Sta Port Canaveral.

FANGs: F-15A, FL-ANG 125FW, Jacksonville IAP
9:33pm: ACM, comms with SNAKEs.
[234.8]

SNAKEs: F-15A, FL-ANG 125FW, Jacksonville IAP
9:44pm: ACM. Passes tails: SNAKE 01: 75-0076; SNAKE 02: 75-0049.
[234.8, 343.0, 267.5]

NASA-KSC TALK GROUPS
Lots of late night discussions on the NASA-KSC Talk Groups about the Global Flyer fueling, its crew, and how cold it is at the Cape tonight.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KTTS)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

522. Re: ISS IS REPEATING SUITSAT SIGNALS on 437.800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-08 06:18:43 UTC
From: nospam@w6yx.stanford.edu
Message-ID: <dsc2g3eaj1@news.Stanford.EDU>
Source record: 10102
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.slowscan,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

In article <4TaGf.8825$tb3.196@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net> "Barky Bark"
<barkybark@bonksbcglobal.net> writes:
>dude it's broadcasting less than 1/2 watt from what 100 miles up? Ain't no
>way a normal person gonna hear that.

If it is putting out 1/2 watt (+27 dBm) uniformly in all directions (0 dBi transmit
antenna)
and is 400 miles away, and your little rubber duck is about a 0 dBi antenna (probably
close),
your receiver will receive it at -105 dBm. That should be quite easy to receive.

Most likely the antenna on the suit is *not* putting the signal out in all directions.
You may also want to hear it for more than the minute or so it is going directly over you.

Oh, a "normal person" can easily build better antennas and hook them up.

Alan

523. Re: ISS IS REPEATING SUITSAT SIGNALS on 437.800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-08 12:32:12 UTC
From: tg <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
Message-ID: <43e9e4d2$0$23288$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 10103
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.slowscan,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

"Barky Bark" <barkybark@bonksbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:enbGf.8837$tb3.5249@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net...
> the 8 inch one that came with the thing.

oh well you'll pick up sweet FA on that. Ya gotta have the metal in the sky barky, loadsa metal going up and up....
then you'll hear things. I've got a hand held as well but it doubles as a powerful little base station when it sits on my desktop
connected to my external aerial and PC.

524. Re: Alinco DJ-X3 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-08 15:28:19 UTC
From: AJ <SPAM-AJ@SPAM-CHARNHAM.SPAM-CO.SPAM-UK>
Message-ID: <TKednYoUyZmJk3feRVny2w@giganews.com>
Source record: 10104
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Mike GW8IJT wrote:
> "Seventhson" <powerslave@pieceofmind.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:ds3dp2$5cq$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk...
>> I'm looking for a better antenna for my dj-x3 to be able to receive
> signals
>> better. It would preferably have to cover the whole range of the X3
>> (0.1-1300MHz) as there are frequencies all across it's range that I
> listen
>> to. I live in a flat so roof or wall mounted is not an option but I
> would
>> also like to be able to use it in the car and it not be too expensive.
>> Thanks
>>
>>
> Get a decent scanner, the DJ-X3 is rubbish.
>

What would you suggest as a good scanner that has wide coverage.

525. Re: Uniden BC796D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-08 19:49:04 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Ovadnb84aYmp1nfenZ2dnUVZ_tKdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10105

Something weird is going on. On Radio Reference, in the Michigan forum, they're suddenly able to receive Detroit's Site #701 on Radio Shack scanners, but none of the Uniden models...so they say. I'm still looking for facts, as it's just a few claiming this right now. Go back and look over the post. Tell them what you've been though with yours.

Bill Crocker

"no one" <noone@noon.us> wrote in message
news:XsxEf.49738$PL5.20618@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> Anyone in the Flint , Mi Area (Genesee County) Own a BC796D Scanner
>
> I would like to listen to the audio, Before I BUY ONE
> http://www.usascan.com
>
> I already Made the mistake of buying a RADIO SHACK PRO 2096 and it's
> audio Broke up (Choppy) It Even Broke up After I got it Back From RADIO
> SHACK Repair. I Returned it for Refund .
>
> I donnot Want to Go thru the REFUNDING POLICIES again!
>
>
> Bill N8JOS

526. Re: Uniden BC796D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-08 20:16:10 UTC
From: no one <noone@noon.us>
Message-ID: <eksGf.28404$F_3.2227@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 10106

Bill Crocker wrote:
> Something weird is going on. On Radio Reference, in the Michigan forum,
> they're suddenly able to receive Detroit's Site #701 on Radio Shack
> scanners, but none of the Uniden models...so they say. I'm still looking
> for facts, as it's just a few claiming this right now. Go back and look
> over the post. Tell them what you've been though with yours.

Next time I will listen to one BEFORE I BUY !

I am Not in Detroit, I am in The Flint, Mi Area (Genesee County)
But I will go look at the posts

>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "no one" <noone@noon.us> wrote in message
> news:XsxEf.49738$PL5.20618@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
>
>>Anyone in the Flint , Mi Area (Genesee County) Own a BC796D Scanner
>>
>>I would like to listen to the audio, Before I BUY ONE
>>http://www.usascan.com
>>
>>I already Made the mistake of buying a RADIO SHACK PRO 2096 and it's
>>audio Broke up (Choppy) It Even Broke up After I got it Back From RADIO
>>SHACK Repair. I Returned it for Refund .
>>
>>I donnot Want to Go thru the REFUNDING POLICIES again!
>>
>>
>>Bill N8JOS
>
>
>

527. Re: ISS IS REPEATING SUITSAT SIGNALS on 437.800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-08 22:15:14 UTC
From: class-a-licensee-pkearn-zBBpk@didnt-run-away-from-a-morse-test.es.eircom.com.net
Message-ID: <43ea6d29.4236705@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 10107
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.slowscan,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

"Barky Bark" <barkybark@bonksbcglobal.net> wrote:

> dude it's broadcasting less than 1/2 watt from what 100 miles up? Ain't no
> way a normal person gonna hear that.

yes..but amateurs are not normal.

who in their right mind would ''play with radios'' for a third of their
life and more than likely pose for a photo or two in front of a room full
of equipment better suited to filling in the foundations of a garage ?

:-)

528. Re: Alinco DJ-X3 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-09 03:17:17 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <h1dlu19n90bv3u65cs28o5f3neu6p0lm92@4ax.com>
Source record: 10108
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 08 Feb 2006 15:28:19 +0000, AJ
<SPAM-AJ@SPAM-CHARNHAM.SPAM-CO.SPAM-UK> wrote:

>What would you suggest as a good scanner that has wide coverage.

Uniden 330.

529. Re: ISS IS REPEATING SUITSAT SIGNALS on 437.800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-09 03:20:33 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <p3dlu1lds9ub2jbb0c9nfivl794jrm1c7i@4ax.com>
Source record: 10109
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.slowscan,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 8 Feb 2006 06:18:43 +0000 (UTC), nospam@w6yx.stanford.edu
(Alan) wrote:

>and your little rubber duck is about a 0 dBi antenna (probably close)

Less than -3dbd? I doubt that. It's probably closer to -6dbi. And
that's only if it's being held normal to the suit.

> Most likely the antenna on the suit is *not* putting the signal out in all directions.

From the tumble I've seen, it's definitely directional to some degree.

530. Re: ISS IS REPEATING SUITSAT SIGNALS on 437.800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-09 03:22:27 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <e9dlu1l6en9cjcufg7pv1lqltv3pq19ees@4ax.com>
Source record: 10110
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.slowscan,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 08 Feb 2006 22:15:14 GMT,
class-a-licensee-pkearn-zBBpk@didnt-run-away-from-a-morse-test.es.eircom.com.net
(zBBPK) wrote:

>yes..but amateurs are not normal.

>who in their right mind would ''play with radios'' for a third of their
>life

Radio=1/3
Work=1/3
Sleep=1/3

Eliminate the need for work and you can play radio for 2/3 of your
life. 3/3 on Field Day weekend.

531. Re: ISS IS REPEATING SUITSAT SIGNALS on 437.800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-09 04:13:17 UTC
From: Noon-Air <Noon-Air@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <HOednQ7pG5DAXHfenZ2dnUVZ_tOdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10111
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.slowscan,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:e9dlu1l6en9cjcufg7pv1lqltv3pq19ees@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 08 Feb 2006 22:15:14 GMT,
> class-a-licensee-pkearn-zBBpk@didnt-run-away-from-a-morse-test.es.eircom.com.net
> (zBBPK) wrote:
>
>>yes..but amateurs are not normal.
>
>>who in their right mind would ''play with radios'' for a third of their
>>life
>
> Radio=1/3
> Work=1/3
> Sleep=1/3
>
> Eliminate the need for work and you can play radio for 2/3 of your
> life. 3/3 on Field Day weekend.

So where do beer, sex, and football fit in??

532. Re: ISS IS REPEATING SUITSAT SIGNALS on 437.800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-09 04:15:18 UTC
From: stargatesg1 <me@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <qlzGf.18480$0i1.10975@trnddc04>
Source record: 10112
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.slowscan,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

During work....When else?? :-P
"Noon-Air" <Noon-Air@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:HOednQ7pG5DAXHfenZ2dnUVZ_tOdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:e9dlu1l6en9cjcufg7pv1lqltv3pq19ees@4ax.com...
> > On Wed, 08 Feb 2006 22:15:14 GMT,
> >
class-a-licensee-pkearn-zBBpk@didnt-run-away-from-a-morse-test.es.eircom.com
.net
> > (zBBPK) wrote:
> >
> >>yes..but amateurs are not normal.
> >
> >>who in their right mind would ''play with radios'' for a third of their
> >>life
> >
> > Radio=1/3
> > Work=1/3
> > Sleep=1/3
> >
> > Eliminate the need for work and you can play radio for 2/3 of your
> > life. 3/3 on Field Day weekend.
>
> So where do beer, sex, and football fit in??
>
>

533. Re: PRO-99 Deluxe - pro-95_audio[1].jpg (1/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-09 15:08:18 UTC
From: Me <jones@juno.com>
Message-ID: <lmmmu1t6e4mhp2i52189v4fi1l2p6vftu2@4ax.com>
Source record: 10113

tried that and it did not work

On Sun, 5 Feb 2006 12:51:17 -0600, "jay" <tberlo19@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Here it is. My notes say "To scan thru car numbers automatically, press
>"FUNC" then "CAR" then "SCAN"". Hope that helps.
>"LoneGunman" <gunmanFUNKUSPAM@bmeworld.com> wrote in message
>news:c0l5u1p0af1do3knf78mdt8pj24q8lj8lp@4ax.com...
>

534. Re: ISS IS REPEATING SUITSAT SIGNALS on 437.800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-09 16:10:02 UTC
From: "St. John Smythe" <sinjen@n4vu.com>
Message-ID: <dsfp1sgmt2@n4vu2.n4vu.com>
Source record: 10114
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,rec.radio.scanner

Homer.Simpson wrote:
> Any additional chances if I scan at 145.990 WFM (not NFM)

Perhaps, if the received frequency varies because of doppler effects.
For weak signals like that, you may need to have your squelch open to
hear if there's anything there at all (if the signal isn't strong enough
to break squelch).

> I've been recording overflights and so far haven't heard anything
> with my Pro-2067 and a 30' high discone on my chimney.

You'll have better results if you're able to turn the discone on its
side (when vertical, it has a horizontal donut-shaped pattern of max
sensitivity).

--
St. John
Someone will try to honk your nose today.

535. Re: ISS IS REPEATING SUITSAT SIGNALS on 437.800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-09 17:07:15 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <8itmu11p47reh3lnuv02s1udp3358r2r7b@4ax.com>
Source record: 10115
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.slowscan,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 8 Feb 2006 22:13:17 -0600, "Noon-Air" <Noon-Air@comcast.net>
wrote:

>"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>news:e9dlu1l6en9cjcufg7pv1lqltv3pq19ees@4ax.com...

>> Radio=1/3
>> Work=1/3
>> Sleep=1/3

>> Eliminate the need for work and you can play radio for 2/3 of your
>> life. 3/3 on Field Day weekend.

>So where do beer, sex, and football fit in??

Beer is one of the basic food groups, so it fits where you eat -
during Radio, I guess.

Sex ... er, Work? Sleep? Or, considering that Radio is
entertainment, ... [this is a family usenet].

Football doesn't fit into my life, so you'll have to do that one
yourself.

536. Re: ISS IS REPEATING SUITSAT SIGNALS on 437.800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-09 17:15:12 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <lmtmu1d6njahj12ho5ruag8v0p95unus2o@4ax.com>
Source record: 10116
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,rec.radio.scanner

On 09 Feb 2006 12:31:14 GMT, "Homer.Simpson"
<Homer.Simpson@SpringfieldBB.com.INVALID> wrote:

>Any additional chances if I scan at 145.990 WFM (not NFM)

Depends on your definitions. 75 KHz vs. 5 KHz, or 5 KHz vs 2.5 KHz?

If the former, you'll lose too much effective sensitivity with WFM. If the latter, the signals are 5 KHz, so that's where you should be.

The only option to changing frequency to allow for Doppler is to have a receiver with really good, wide AFC - which you aren't going to get for 3 digits of money.

>I've been recording overflights and so far haven't heard anything
>with my Pro-2067 and a 30' high discone on my chimney.

>(75' of RG6)

That's about -2dbd gain with a fairly insensitive receiver, while you need about 10dbd gain with a sensitive receiver, so you're about 18db below where you need to be to receive a noisy, in-and-out signal. 18 db - that's nearly 100 times more gain that you need, or looking at it the other way, the signal's probably below the noise long before it even gets to your cable.

I tried hearing the signal with a turnstile (which is plenty good enough for weather satellite work) and a fairly good receiver. Nothing. That's about 8-10 db better than a discone and a RS scanner. The ISS is a LOT easier to receive - much more signal - so try for that first.

537. Re: Meaning of NW Indiana Police 'signal 80' ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-09 18:15:08 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1139508908.441948.307680@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10117
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Cory wrote:
> Does anyone know the meaning of the 'signal 80' code for the police in
> northwest Indiana?
you sure it is sugnal as opposed to a referene to I-80 which passes the
area?

538. Sheraton Hotel Security frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-09 20:08:52 UTC
From: vineyard_saker@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1139515732.804942.270390@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10118

Hi everybody,

I would need some pointers with the following issue:

I am taking my kids to a State Chess Tournament in Miami in which 1000+ kids will be staying at a local Sheraton hotel. Considering how unsafe Miami is, I would feel much better if I could listen to the chatter of the Sheraton's security service during this 2 day tournament. I have read that some hotels use frequences in the 400MHz range while others use frequencies in the 150MHz range. Since this is a major hotel I will have a hard time figuring out who is who just by scanning these two bands (not to mention that security is the one service *least* likely to transmit much until something is already happening). I even though about calling the hotel, but considering that they will house over 1000 kids they are most unlikely to agree to give me their frequencies.

Since I am using a Radio Shack PRO-97 I might also get a picture of what is going on by using its Signal Stalker II, but again I fear that I might get pretty much everybody except security.

Any ideas as to how I should takle this issue? Is there a way of finding out at least in what frequency range I should be looking?

Many thanks,

539. Re: Sheraton Hotel Security frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-10 00:43:14 UTC
From: "Homer.Simpson" <Homer.Simpson@SpringfieldBB.com.INVALID>
Message-ID: <Xns9765C89CB22DEnope@204.153.244.170>
Source record: 10119

said

> Hi everybody,
>
> I would need some pointers with the following issue:
>
> I am taking my kids to a State Chess Tournament in Miami in which
>
<snip>
>
> Any ideas as to how I should takle this issue? Is there a way of
> finding out at least in what frequency range I should be looking?

SHERATON BAL HARBOUR ?

http://tinyurl.com/7k3x9

Search here:
http://tinyurl.com/bh3og

Post back your results. Nosy scanners need to know.

540. Re: Sheraton Hotel Security frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-10 01:35:24 UTC
From: vineyard_saker@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1139535324.256792.170360@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10120

Nope - its not the Bal Harbour, its the Sheraton Miami Mart Hotel (711 NW 72nd Avenue Miami, Florida 33126 United States) right next to the Intenational Airport.

Sorry - I should have been more specific.

I did not find it in the database you suggested, but maybe I did something wrong. Also - what if they use frequencies which do not requiere FCC licenses (say FRS or MURS)?

Any ideas?

Thanks,

VS

PS: when I find out I will come back and post. We do need to know ;-)

541. Re: Sheraton Hotel Security frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-10 02:27:43 UTC
From: "Homer.Simpson" <Homer.Simpson@SpringfieldBB.com.INVALID>
Message-ID: <Xns9765DA535908nope@204.153.244.170>
Source record: 10121

said

> Nope - its not the Bal Harbour, its the Sheraton Miami Mart Hotel
> (711 NW 72nd Avenue Miami, Florida 33126 United States) right
> next to the Intenational Airport.
>
> I did not find it in the database you suggested, but maybe I did
> something wrong.

I searched a bit more too. Nothing. ;-(

I even tried with Lat/Long here:
[25.46.35 Lat 80.18.45 (Deg.Min.Sec)]
http://tinyurl.com/dwgag

> Also - what if they use frequencies which do
> not requiere FCC licenses (say FRS or MURS)?

I suspect they would not be on any list then? You'd just have to
search within the freq ranges.

> Any ideas?

I wonder if all the local Sheridan's share the same frequency (and
the same license). Maybe the Bal Harbour is the licensor for all
locals. Maybe they share the same (subcontracted) security service?
Maybe you could gather all the FCC listed freq's for Sheraton's.
(I'm just guessing here, maybe someone more knowledgeable can offer
some more informed advice)

> PS: when I find out I will come back and post. We do need to
> know ;-)

Good luck. Let us know if you hear anything fun.

(Last Christmas someone called the police because "someone was
messing with their Christmas reindeer". Soon after the policeman
arrived on scene he reported "the reindeer are no longer
fornicating")

I love scanning. ;-)

542. Re: Meaning of NW Indiana Police 'signal 80' ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-10 15:17:42 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <11upbknb5e5c4@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 10122
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

SkyKing wrote:
> On Thu, 09 Feb 2006 12:01:52 -0600, Cory <cory5@eathlink.net> wrote:
>
>
>>Does anyone know the meaning of the 'signal 80' code for the police in
>>northwest Indiana?
>
>
> It means there are no active warrants for the subject in NCIC or local
> courts. In other words, the individual is not wanted on any known
> outstanding warrants.

For that, the dispatcher says "negative 10-29" or simply "negative 29"
for all agencies around here (northern Alabama). If there is a warrant,
it'll be something like "29 in hand for..." or "Multiple 29s as follows..."
--
Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

543. Re: AOR AR8200 MK3 software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-11 01:03:59 UTC
From: Adam Butler <adambutler100@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <MqydnVMTDsGdpXDenZ2dnUVZ_sydnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 10123

The lightning detection system itself is made by a company called Thor
Guard.
The theory of that part I'm familiar with.
The system as supplied by Thor used a 920 MHz data link which linked the
"detector" too a remote alarm or display unit.
This remote unit displays in a crude way, different values which determine
if lightning is possible.
That part still works and only the radio link between the remote sensor and
the display has been changed.
In our case, we already used many frequencies in the 928 MHz band which
interfered with the Thor Guard's remote operation.
So a local Avionics engineer designed the system on 2.7 GHz.
I think it actually required a license from the FCC as it no longer operated
in the free 928 MHz. band.
I may be able to get some fcc numbers from one of the receivers but I don't
think it was ever commercially available. may have even been an experimental
license.

The person who set it all up passed away a few months ago and I'm trying to
understand how it works!

"R1rob" <r1robTAKE_THISOUT@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:43d9fc4d@news.comindico.com.au...
> Good to hear.
> Interesting stuff. Got a URL.
>
> Rob
>
> "Adam Butler" <adambutler100@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:LKadnSklZI_3NkXenZ2dnUVZ_tmdnZ2d@giganews.com...
>> Forgot to add that my current receiver is a modified C band satellite LNB
>> with the output centered on 650 MHz.
>> This is fed into an Icom R7000.
>> Works well as long as you do the math.
>> I bought the AR8200 MK3 in order to eliminate the math!
>>
>> "R1rob" <r1robTAKE_THISOUT@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:43d89a31@news.comindico.com.au...
>>> So you use this scanner above 2gig?
>>>
>>> "Adam Butler" <adambutler100@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:N4-dncavU6IVykXeRVn-hg@giganews.com...
>>>> What is the best software for the AOR 8200 MK3?
>>>>
>>>> I'm familiar with Gommerts AR8200 app but have not tried it yet as I

>>>> don't have a Win98 machine handy.
>>>>
>>>> Any others that are good mainly for backing up the radios contents as
>>>> well as loading new?
>>>>
>>>> I'm not looking for a control app.
>>>>
>>>> So far, the ones I have seen including the one from AOR do not allow
>>>> any entries above 2.0 GHz.
>>>> I do not understand why the makers can't make an adjustment that will
>>>> allow the entries above 2.0 GHz?
>>>> Even Gommert of Butel says he has no plans to support the 3.0 GHZ
>>>> coverage of the MK3 version.
>>>>
>>>> So, I'm looking for any other suggestions.
>>>>
>>>> Thanks Everyone!
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

544. Re: Best portable antenna for airbands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-11 15:14:21 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <PZCdnRT_C5bTYnDenZ2dnUVZ_tadnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10124

"Bob" <warthog9@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:y0yFf.52129$PL5.199@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> Some of the large, multi-section, multi-band ham antennas like the RH77CA
> and AL-500H are much better than the stock duckie, but they >were really
> designed for a few specific ham radio frequencies.
[clipped]
> You did ask >what is the best, didn't you??
> Bob

Where did you find that the Maldol AL-500H was designed for ham radio? All references I find on the web clearly state it is designed for 116-174MHz and 230-360 MHz aircraft bands. There's also no mention that they're even suitable for use on 2m/70cm amateur ham radio bands.

Bill Crocker

545. suggestions needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-11 16:03:26 UTC
From: William Sallander <wsallander@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <iVnHf.2481$CZ4.1581@trnddc05>
Source record: 10125

I received a Radio Shack Pro-97 for Christmas and it appears to be a pretty good radio.
I also got a guide book with cd-rom from radio shack and find it to be less then adequate when trying to find the correct information and frequencies in my area.

Does anyone out there know of a good source to look up frequencies in the Chester county area of Pennsylvania, either on the internet or some publication?

The help, as always is greatly appreciated.

546. Re: suggestions needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-11 16:27:37 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <2oCdnYKWCofnjXPenZ2dnUVZ_t-dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10126

Without a doubt, the database at Radio Reference is your best source! Also, if you're using Don Starr's Win97 programming software with your PRO-97, and you make a small donation to "RR", they will enable you to import frequencies directly into Win97, for uploading into your radio! You can't beat that! RR is also an excellent source of good, up-to-date information, and assistance from other's in the hobby...without the riffraff! (well ok, maybe sometimes there's a little riffraff).

http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR

Bill Crocker

"William Sallander" <wsallander@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:iVnHf.2481$CZ4.1581@trnddc05...
>I received a Radio Shack Pro-97 for Christmas and it appears to be a pretty
> good radio.
> I also got a guide book with cd-rom from radio shack and find it to be
> less
> then adequate when trying to find the correct information and frequencies
> in
> my area.
>
>
> Does anyone out there know of a good source to look up frequencies in the
> Chester county area of Pennsylvania, either on the internet or some
> publication?
>
> The help, as always is greatly appreciated.
>
>

547. Items FS/FT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-11 16:52:26 UTC
From: dalite01@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <sBoHf.12408$pM6.10088@bignews4.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 10127
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Radio Shack 19-1210 Business Band Mobile Transceiver.

VHF - 2 Channels - 5 Watts - With CTCSS.
Will include a Service Manual on CD-ROM that also has extended programming instructions.
Dip Switch programmable for VHF High Band use.
Radio and Microphone included
I have 2 units:
One in box with Owner's Manual and homebrew power supply - No Mounting Bracket.
One with Mounting Bracket and power cord - No Owner's Manual
Both include Microphone and power cable
$25.00 each

****

FS/FT: Motorola Trunk Units

Mitrek
Mod: T83JJA3900DK
Ser: 433HKJ1366
Xmtr: CC343
RCVR: 8C0216
HUD1072CPR
PA: HLD4042A8PRW
HLN4181APF plug-in board with TRN4224A (156.7)
Unit has lock "converted to screwdriver slot"

Syntor XX
TX FCCID: CC3372
RX FCCID: RC0291
Mod: T99VB+007W
ID: T73VBJ7204BK
Ser: 483HQY0887
Locked

Micor
XMtr: CC4165
RCVR: RC0003
Mod: T44RTA30038A
Ser: 203CF00527
11 Channel Elements in 460MHz range.
Bottom plate is removed (but available)
lock intact - Bottom may have been pried off

Also have control heads that look like they went with these, but not familiar enough to tell. Also 3 Motorola Microphones. I don't have any need for these, but got them in a trade.

****

AOR 1000XLT Wide Range Monitor Receiver

Handheld Unit
500 MHZ through 1300 MHZ (continuous coverage)
AM / FM / FMW (WideBand)
1000 Channels - 10 banks
$100.00 OBO

****

Kenwood Sirius Satellite Radio

Kenwood Tuner, Display unit with RF Modulator and Terk antenna.
Removed from car when traded in. Antenna will need a re-mount kit.
Have Original packaging and documents. Bought New around 2003, and traded in car later for one that had Sirius already installed.
$50.00 OBO

****

Standard Horizon 6 Series Marine HT

No Battery
Comes with Antenna and Destop Charger
Has Crysytals for Channels 6 and 16
Fairly Clean
$15.00

****

Motorola Radius p50+ HT

Has DTMF Pad, Standard Charger - HTN9046B with Wall Wart
Currently on 151.685 MHz Simplex - No PL
Belt Clip mount is broken on rear.
Radio squeals when first turned on, but seems to operate normally after that.
Battery holds some charge, but will need replacing.
$40.00

****

Optoelectronics Scout 40

- Needs repair -
Rapid charge not working properly, but charges on slow charge OK. Battery still holding good charge. Sensitivity is way down as a result of exposure to a stronger RF Field than the front end was designed for. Unit still comes on, display and switches all work, just very low sensitivity. (IF I Recall Correctly, a 1v signal above 450 MHz would register). Overall, in

good condition. - These sold for $350.00 to $400.00 new -

$50.00

****

Icom IC-R2 Receiver in very good Condition.

This is the Export Model and is the same as the R2-07-GOVT model

$100.00

****

Skutch T-51A / 3 - Line Swinger (Telecomm)

This is an intersting device that is used to route 3 lines to one device
(phone, answering machine, modem, etc).
It will automatically pick up the first line that rings and send a busy
signal to the remaining two.
Useful in a small business during lunch hours or similar situations. Very
unique. In As-New condition.

$10.00

****

Curtis Electro Devices Model ESNR-5900

Analyzer, Antenna, Charger and Manual for a similar model.
Used in Cellular sales to ID phone information prior to programming a used
phone.
Will read ESN ONLY after initiating sequence on phone in near field. Will
not snarf pairs for illegal use.
This is a basic, low-power cell-site. It emulates Cell-Site operation
within the AMPS service to initiate
communications with a cellphone within a very short distance in order to
extract info necessary to reprogram/reactivate that phone.

It will not read any phone information unless the phone is close by, and
only after that phone has dialed an non-compatible number sequence, that
will not result in an completed call.

This is not an illegal monitoring device; it was marketed as a sales-support
tool for cellular dealers - Cira 2nd Quarter 1995.

This is in a case that is 9"H x 6"W x 2"D and weighing 2 pounds.
Original retail to cellular sales outlets was $1295.00

Make offer or trade via email if interested.

****

All items are U-Ship from 31523 - Brunswick, GA.

I charge actual shipping cost, and will ship via USPS Priority on lighter items of FedEx Ground/Home Delivery on heavier ones.

I will accept trade offers on Ham gear, Receiving gear, other electric items.

Contact me off the NG @ kd4nue@gmail.com or dalite01@gmail.com or address in the header (if shown)

David
KD4NUE

548. Re: Sheraton Hotel Security frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-11 18:11:35 UTC
From: vineyard_saker@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1139681495.909544.203090@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10128

Hi,

Thanks for all the info. Did I mention I was a total newbie? Because here is my follow up question:

I found this info on the net about this hotel:

461.15000 466.15000 WPSH615 RM 346 DPL Sheraton Miami Mart Hotel - Housekeeping FM

What does that mean? Which frequency are they using, 461.15000 or 466.15000 or both? Also, what is the "RM" type? Lastly, what is "tone" of 346 DPL?!

My PRO-97 has the following modes: AM, FM, CT/CTCSS, DC/DCS, ED/EDACS, MO/MOTOROLA, and LT/LTR but no "RM" or "DPL" modes. I am very confused and hence *my* mode is "SOS" :-(

Besides, the mode used by the hotel is "FM" so what is all this RM and DPL all about?!?!

I love scanning too, but I will love it more once these things become clearer in my head.

Thanks for any pointers,

TN

549. Re: Sheraton Hotel Security frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-11 20:39:25 UTC
From: "Homer.Simpson" <Homer.Simpson@SpringfieldBB.com.INVALID>
Message-ID: <Xns97679F731DB75nope@204.153.244.170>
Source record: 10129

said
> 461.15000 466.15000 WPSH615 RM 346 DPL
> Sheraton Miami Mart Hotel - Housekeeping FM
> ---
>
> What does that mean? Which frequency are they using, 461.15000
> or 466.15000 or both? Also, what is the "RM" type? Lastly, what
> is "tone" of 346 DPL?!

Probably both frequencies.

I'm unsure about the RM.

DPL is Digital Private Line:
It's a way for multiple user-groups to use the same frequency but
not hear each others conversation. It's an inaudible signal
transmitted "on" the main transmission. It informs the radio which
group the transmission is targeted for. Example: Police and Fire
can use the same frequency but mask-out each others conversions.

http://www.strongsignals.net/access/content/new_user.html#SEC09

> My PRO-97 has the following modes: AM, FM, CT/CTCSS, DC/DCS,
> ED/EDACS, MO/MOTOROLA, and LT/LTR but no "RM" or "DPL" modes. I
> am very confused and hence *my* mode is "SOS" :-(
>
> Besides, the mode used by the hotel is "FM" so what is all this
> RM and DPL all about?!?!

I'd program both 461.15000 and 466.15000 into your radio.
Set both to FM, and ignore the DPL stuff.

> I love scanning too, but I will love it more once these things
> become clearer in my head.

It takes a bit of time, that's for sure. ;-D

Here's some links:
http://www.strongsignals.net/index.cgi
http://www.radioreference.com/
http://www.monitoringtimes.com/

Also do you have some programming software? I don't have a Pro-97
but I use this to support a friends scanner.
http://www.starrsoft.com/software/win97/default.asp

> Thanks for any pointers,

Keep asking. There is a wealth of info in this group.

Regards,

550. Re: Sheraton Hotel Security frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-11 22:11:57 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <ltnsu1l3hkj37fmst61cmga9if99dc47rd@4ax.com>
Source record: 10130

On 11 Feb 2006 10:11:35 -0800, vineyard_saker@yahoo.com wrote:

>Thanks for all the info. Did I mention I was a total newbie? Because
>here is my follow up question:
>
>I found this info on the net about this hotel:
>
>---
>461.15000 466.15000 WPSH615 RM 346 DPL Sheraton Miami Mart
>Hotel - Housekeeping FM
>---
>
>What does that mean? Which frequency are they using, 461.15000 or
>466.15000 or both?

The portables transmit on 466.15 and listen on 461.15. The repeater (probably up on one of the enclosures on the roof) listens on 466.15 and retransmits (in real time) on 461.15. It's called a repeater. If you listen on 461.15, you should hear everything.

> Also, what is the "RM" type?

Repeater.

> Lastly, what is "tone" of 346 DPL?!

If you have a scanner capable of decoding digital PL, and you set it to 346, you won't hear anything else that may be using that frequency. Otherwise ignore it.

>My PRO-97 has the following modes: AM, FM, CT/CTCSS, DC/DCS, ED/EDACS,
>MO/MOTOROLA, and LT/LTR but no "RM" or "DPL" modes. I am very confused
>and hence *my* mode is "SOS" :-(

So you *can* set it for a DPL (or DCS - Digital Coded Squelch; DPL is Digital Private Line, which is a misnomer, since "Private Line" is Motorola's name for CTCSS) of 346. It probably won't make any difference whether you do or not - unless there's someone else nearby using the same frequency, in which case you'll hear only the hotel. The mode you want is plain old FM.

551. Re: Sheraton Hotel Security frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-12 00:29:17 UTC
From: vineyard_saker@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1139704157.535410.200110@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10131

Dear Homer and Al, Thanks for all the super useful and clear explanations! I really appreciate these pointers for the (now happy) newbie that I am. When I come back from this tournament I will come back to post about my experience there. Cheers, VS

552. Items FS/FT (Updated)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-12 15:59:23 UTC
From: dalite01@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <FVIHf.10543$hL5.7600@bignews6.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 10132
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Cleaning Out - Many Items for Sale or Trade. All need a new home....
****

Motorola Trunk Units

Mitrek
Mod: T83JJA3900DK
Ser: 433HKJ1366
Xmtr: CC343
RCVR: 8C0216
HUD1072CPR
PA: HLD4042A8PRW
HLN4181APF plug-in board with TRN4224A (156.7)
Unit has lock "converted to screwdriver slot"
Have Motorola Microphone and Control Head that appear to go with this model

$15.00 plus shipping

Micor
XMtr: CC4165
RCVR: RC0003
Mod: T44RTA30038A
Ser: 203CF00527
11 Channel Elements in 460MHz range.
Bottom plate is removed (but available)
lock intact - Bottom may have been pried off
Have Motorola Microphone and Control Head that appear to go with this model

$15.00 plus shipping

****

AOR 1000XLT Wide Range Monitor Receiver

Handheld Unit
500 MHZ through 1300 MHZ (continuous coverage)
AM / FM / FMW (WideBand)
1000 Channels - 10 banks

$100.00 OBO Plus shipping

****
Yaesu FT-50RD Handheld

Has enhanced keypad and fairly good battery. No charger, paperwork, box or
other accessories.

This HT works, but is a real stress generator. The top rotary encoder (channel selector, menu advance, memory, advance, etc.) rotates normally, but will toggle between forward and backwards selections. You can eventually find the channel, selection, frequency that you want, but it may go backwards, forwards, not advance... Anything can happen. Other than that, it is a great talker. The battery takes and holds a charge and looks to be in good shape.

I am keeping the antenna and charger for another rig. It will come with radio and battery.

$100.00 OBO Plus shipping

****

Yaesu VX-5R

HT, Box, Packing, Charger, Manual, Standard antenna(s) and tip(s)

This HT has problems; the antenna is loose at the connector and it has no measurable output. Additionally, it was opened up to be sure that the correct screws were used on the belt clip (a common source of failed outputs), and the conector that holds the ribbon cable between halves is not retaining the cable properly. It showed signs of have been opened for inspection before. It was purchased used with low output, and was being used with a Yaesu Car DC Adapter (the correct one for this model) when it overheated and lost all measurable output. It will do great as an extremely near field test device; I use it for talking into a 6m repeater I have been setting up. I can get about 300' range from the repeater antenna, under the best conditions. It may be worth sending back to Yaesu for repair, or for parts to combine with another broken unit. It has the baro, altitude and temp sensors installed in it.

$75.00 Plus shipping

****

Kenwood Sirius Satellite Radio

Kenwood Tuner (KTC-SR901), Display unit with RF Modulator (KCA-RF701M) and Terk antenna (TRK-SR20S) - With all original packaging, documents, etc. - Removed from car when traded in. - Antenna will need a re-mount kit. - Bought New around 2003, and traded in car later for one that had Sirius already installed.

$45.00 OBO Plus shipping

****

Standard Horizon 6 Series Marine HT

No Battery
Comes with Antenna and Destop Charger
Has Crysytals for Channels 6 and 16
Fairly Clean

$10.00 plus shipping

****

Motorola Radius p50+ HT

Has DTMF Pad, Standard Charger - HTN9046B with Wall Wart
Currently on 151.685 MHz Simplex - No PL - Belt Clip mount is broken on rear.
Radio squeals when first turned on, but seems to operate normally after that.
Battery holds some charge, but will need replacing.

$35.00 Plus Shipping

****

Optoelectronics Scout 40

- Needs repair -
Rapid charge not working properly, but charges on slow charge OK. Battery still holding good charge. Sensitivity is way down as a result of exposure to a stronger RF Field than the front end was designed for. Unit still comes on, display and switches all work, just very low sensitivity. (IF I Recall Correctly, a 1v signal above 450 MHz would register). Overall, in good condition. - These sold for $350.00 to $400.00 new -

$50.00 Plus shipping

****

Icom IC-R2 Receiver in very good Condition.

This is the Export Model and is the same as the R2-07-GOVT model

$100.00 plus shipping

****

Skutch T-51A / 3 - Line Swinger (Telecomm)

This is an intersting device that is used to route 3 lines to one device (phone, answering machine, modem, etc). It will automatically pick up the first line that rings and send a busy signal to the remaining two. Useful in a small business during lunch hours or similar situations. Very unique. In As-New condition.

$10.00 plus shipping

****

Curtis Electro Devices Model ESNR-5900

Analyzer, Antenna, Charger and Manual for a similar model. Used in Cellular sales to ID phone information prior to programming a used phone. Will read ESN ONLY after initiating sequence on phone in near field. Will not snarf pairs for illegal use. This is a basic, low-power cell-site. It emulates

Cell-Site operation within the AMPS service to initiate communications with a cellphone within a very short distance in order to extract info necessary to reprogram/reactivate that phone.

It will not read any phone information unless the phone is close by, and only after that phone has dialed an non-compatible number sequence, that will not result in an completed call.

This is not an illegal monitoring device; it was marketed as a sales-support tool for cellular dealers - Cira 2nd Quarter 1995.

This unit was assembled from end-run parts inventory when the line was discontinued, so the manual isn't the correct on for this model (but explains overall use) and the charger may not be the correct MAh rating for the batteries installed. It uses 10 AA NiCad batteries and willl need replacements. It will be shipped without batteries to save weight (the ones in it were starting to show signs of seepage).

This is in a case that is 9"H x 6"W x 2"D and weighing 2 pounds.
Original retail to cellular sales outlets was $1295.00

Make offer or trade via email if interested.

****
RSA Security Smart Card Reader - Part Number: 903396 Revision 1.0

This is a Bulk Packaged unit. No software, instructions or additional items included. Unit has self adhesive pads for semi-permanent installation, as well as fold down front leg and rear rubber "anti-skid" pad for placement on any flat surface. Cables include a Serial (DB-9 female) connector that goes to the unit and also has a second cable coming from the DB-9 that goes to both Male and Female PS-2 type Keyboard connectors (6 pin mini-din) for feed-through connection to keyboard cable.

I believe the setup is to allow data through the Serial connection and to pick up power for the card reader circuit through the Keyboard connection.

The bottom label shows power demands of 5 VDC at 100 mA.

Inside the unit, the top of the board is marked:
SCR111 104080
Rev 1.0 JAN 00
SCM Microsystems

Above the "Card Cage " is silkscreened: S-CARD

The actual "card cage" has the markings JAE and T269

Removing the top of the card cage shows 8 contacts in 2 rows of 4, as well as a single contact separate from the
8 (possibly a CE pin??)

The bottom of the board inside has
PIC 1626C622A-20/SO (U3)
14 pin SM DIP 2C16 / HC74 (U2)

16 pin SM DIP ADM2052EARN / 0221 / K21517 (U1)
14.28 (X1) Appears to be 14.28 MHz timebase crystal

There is a 8 pin header marked J3 that is attached to both top and bottom boards (4 pins on each) as well as solder points (W1 - labeled 1 through 5) for additional connections. Thease appear to be plated through holes connecting both the top and bottom of the PCB. These lines appear to go from PIC to card cage and power source.

I don't know enough about this to determine if it is can be easily set up as a programmer also.

I have a bunch of these, bulk packaged in anti-static bubble wrap. New, never used.

$6.00 each plus shipping

****

AIM Products Model 15-5010 Push Button Coaxial Switch.

Use for selecting 2 sources for one Television, VCR, etc. - "F" Connectors for inputs and outputs on rear
A and B Switches on front - Can be mounted with supplied screws or supplied double-sided tape. - Units for bid are in original sealed plastic bags. New Old Stock, never used.

Great for selecting 2 antennas to one receiver or feeding 2 receivers from one antenna. Plus, up can always use them to switch between cable and a VCR.

$2.50 each plus shiping (Have 6 available)

****

All items are U-Ship from 31523 - Brunswick, GA.

I charge actual shipping cost, and will ship via USPS Priority on lighter items or FedEx Ground/Home Delivery on heavier ones. I will combine shipping on multiple items. I want to get rid of this stuff far more than I want to make a buck or 2 on shipping.

I will accept trade offers on Ham gear, Receiving gear, other electronic items.

I am looking for an Icom IC-T90A HT, Midland Syntech I Low-Band LMR Rigs (70-052, 050, 056 C models), Midland Syntech II accessories, Midland LMR Microphones......

Contact me off the NG @ kd4nue@gmail.com or dalite01@gmail.com or address in the header (if shown)

David
KD4NUE

553. Ottawa scanner been down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-12 18:42:49 UTC
From: Shawn <ve3xiu@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1139769769.622224.203140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10133

Hello all,
Any of you guys who enjoyed listening to the ottawa area scaner I had
online, It's back..
I have been having network and PC problems... Its all back up, Again..
Weekends pretty much all weekend, weekdays, 7:30 pm till the next AM

ip is 69.198.168.168:8000

Shawn

554. BR-330T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-13 01:30:23 UTC
From: init_null <initnull@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1139794223.679628.178810@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10134

Anyone aware of any mods to restore cellular coverage ?

555. WTB: Yupiteru MVT-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-13 01:51:05 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <dCRHf.12260$2O6.7672@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 10135

Please state price, condition, and any accessories included...

556. Re: BR-330T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-13 02:06:51 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <%QRHf.6277$gB4.373@newsfe4-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 10136

see mods.dk

mike

"init_null" <initnull@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1139794223.679628.178810@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Anyone aware of any mods to restore cellular coverage ?
>

557. Re: BR-330T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-13 02:20:13 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <x1SHf.14657$rH5.13101@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10137

But only if you're prepared to become a paying "member"...

"mikeFNB" <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn> wrote in message
news:%QRHf.6277$gB4.373@newsfe4-gui.ntli.net...
> see mods.dk
>
>
> mike
>
> "init_null" <initnull@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1139794223.679628.178810@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Anyone aware of any mods to restore cellular coverage ?
> >
>
>

558. does a scanner like that exist (and if yes - where)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-13 04:32:31 UTC
From: tnozh@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1139805151.447123.236000@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10138

Hi everybody,

I have possibly a very naive question.

I enjoy my SONY ICF-SW7600G shortwave "world" radio which covers from 150kHz-29.999kHz in AM and 76MHz-108MHz in FM. My Radio Shack PRO-97 scanner covers some but, alas, *not* all of the frequencies from 25MHz-1300MHz.

Can I get a handheld scanner which would cover *all* of 150kHz-1300MHz in AM and FM or, better, even a wider spectrum (again - with nothing missing)? That would, in effect combine my ICF-SW7600G with an (improved) PRO-97 into one unit.

I realize that this would include TV stations, and God knows what else. But I don't like the idea of not having access to all the spectrum and I would prefer only 1 receiver (even if that means plenty of antennas depending on what I want to tune in).

Trunking, 1000+ channels and 10+ banks would also be a plus.

A built-in spectum analyzer would be really, really, really cool too!

Any reccomendations?

Thanks,

TN

559. Mode Question Re 39.560 and 123.0 MHz ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-13 13:19:24 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <df6dnSak96bGGm3enZ2dnUVZ_tudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10139

Hello:

new at his.

Found the following freq's that apparently my town utilizes.

Are the modes fm for Both, or... ?

39.560 MHz
123.000

The 123.0 one is particularly perplexing for me, as I thought that puts it in the middle of the AM band,
which I always thought would be just for aero. Would a Town service use AM rather than fm ? Why, if so ?

Thanks,
B.

560. Re: Mode Question Re 39.560 and 123.0 MHz ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-13 13:36:54 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <QtCdnXZopbDqFm3eRVn-sw@comcast.com>
Source record: 10140

Normally 39.560MHz would be FM mode, and 123.000MHz would be AM mode. What are you hearing on 123.000MHz?

Bill Crocker

"Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com> wrote in message news:df6dnSak96bGGm3enZ2dnUVZ_tudnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Hello:
>
> new at his.
>
> Found the following freq's that apparently my town utilizes.
>
> Are the modes fm for Both, or... ?
>
> 39.560 MHz
> 123.000
>
> The 123.0 one is particularly perplexing for me, as I thought that puts it
> in the middle of the AM band,
> which I always thought would be just for aero. Would a Town service use
> AM rather than fm ? Why, if so ?
>
> Thanks,
> B.
>

561. Re: BR-330T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-13 13:39:04 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <LsSdnS9yUqxnFm3eRVn-qA@comcast.com>
Source record: 10141

No, it can't be done.

Bill Crocker

"init_null" <initnull@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1139794223.679628.178810@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Anyone aware of any mods to restore cellular coverage ?
>

562. Re: BR-330T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-13 13:39:55 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8IydncHNZpSxEW3enZ2dnUVZ_tydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10142

mods.dk does not have any modification info for the BR-330T.

Bill Crocker

"mikeFNB" <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn> wrote in message
news:%QRHf.6277$gB4.373@newsfe4-gui.ntli.net...
> see mods.dk
>
>
> mike
>
> "init_null" <initnull@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1139794223.679628.178810@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> Anyone aware of any mods to restore cellular coverage ?
>>
>
>

563. Re: does a scanner like that exist (and if yes - where)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-13 13:47:25 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <ve31v15jqqo1ble25a2d2a6vuq0ld3oqnm@4ax.com>
Source record: 10143

On 12 Feb 2006 20:32:31 -0800, tnozh@yahoo.com wrote:

>Any reccomendations?

Uniden 330?

564. Re: Mode Question Re 39.560 and 123.0 MHz ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-13 13:48:40 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <0g31v1154iuu58dtgj0beakut2tviud8di@4ax.com>
Source record: 10144

On Mon, 13 Feb 2006 08:19:24 -0500, "Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com>
wrote:

>Are the modes fm for Both, or... ?
>
> 39.560 MHz

FM

>123.000

AM

>The 123.0 one is particularly perplexing for me, as I thought that puts it
>in the middle of the AM band,
>which I always thought would be just for aero. Would a Town service use AM
>rather than fm ? Why, if so ?

If they're using it for anything but aeronautical use (or
aeronautical-related - airport maintenance, fire, etc.), they're doing
so illegally.

565. Re: BR-330T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-13 14:20:23 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <HA0If.18070$vU2.6852@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10145

It's probably a safe bet that NO scanner made in the last 10 years can be modified...

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message news:LsSdnS9yUqxnFm3eRVn-qA@comcast.com...
> No, it can't be done.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "init_null" <initnull@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1139794223.679628.178810@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Anyone aware of any mods to restore cellular coverage ?
> >
>
>

566. From OP: Re: Mode Question Re 39.560 and 123.0 MHz ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-13 15:45:32 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <DomdnWKJPeUBNG3eRVn-jg@comcast.com>
Source record: 10146

Hi Bill:

Frankly mainly noise, but occasionally some garbled conv. irrespective of
whether I have fm or AM set.

Guess I'll just forget about it.
Was only curious.

Thanks for help, and all the past help.

Bob

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:QtCdnXZopbDqFm3eRVn-sw@comcast.com...
> Normally 39.560MHz would be FM mode, and 123.000MHz would be AM mode.
> What are you hearing on 123.000MHz?
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>
> "Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com> wrote in message
> news:df6dnSak96bGGm3enZ2dnUVZ_tudnZ2d@comcast.com...
>> Hello:
>>
>> new at his.
>>
>> Found the following freq's that apparently my town utilizes.
>>
>> Are the modes fm for Both, or... ?
>>
>> 39.560 MHz
>> 123.000
>>
>> The 123.0 one is particularly perplexing for me, as I thought that puts
>> it in the middle of the AM band,
>> which I always thought would be just for aero. Would a Town service use
>> AM rather than fm ? Why, if so ?
>>
>> Thanks,
>> B.
>>
>
>

567. Re: does a scanner like that exist (and if yes - where)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-13 15:53:36 UTC
From: T <t@t.net>
Message-ID: <JcmdnWfJVZPkNm3enZ2dnUVZ_sCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10147

AOR receiviers
Icom

<tnozh@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1139805151.447123.236000@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Hi everybody,
>
> I have possibly a very naive question.
>
> I enjoy my SONY ICF-SW7600G shortwave "world" radio which covers from
> 150kHz-29.999kHz in AM and 76MHz-108MHz in FM. My Radio Shack PRO-97
> scanner covers some but, alas, *not* all of the frequencies from
> 25MHz-1300MHz.
>
> Can I get a handheld scanner which would cover *all* of 150kHz-1300MHz
> in AM and FM or, better, even a wider spectrum (again - with nothing
> missing)? That would, in effect combine my ICF-SW7600G with an
> (improved) PRO-97 into one unit.
>
> I realize that this would include TV stations, and God knows what else.
> But I don't like the idea of not having access to all the spectrum and
> I would prefer only 1 receiver (even if that means plenty of antennas
> depending on what I want to tune in).
>
> Trunking, 1000+ channels and 10+ banks would also be a plus.
>
> A built-in spectum analyzer would be really, really, really cool too!
>
> Any reccomendations?
>
> Thanks,
>
> TN
>

568. Re: From OP: Re: Mode Question Re 39.560 and 123.0 MHz ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-13 17:44:41 UTC
From: Hans Muecke <luv4tn@arcor.de>
Message-ID: <43f0cb56$0$13594$9b4e6d93@newsread2.arcor-online.net>
Source record: 10148

Montag, 13. Februar 2006 09:45 (US Central Time)
Robert11 wrote in rec.radio.scanner:

Hi Robert,

> Frankly mainly noise, but occasionally some garbled conv. irrespective of
> whether I have fm or AM set.

123.0 suggests that it is somewhat airport/aviation related and should be
AM. If You can`t get a clear signal, it also could be something else, like
a tv or radio station ... over here at EDS I sometimes hear a radio
transmission that gets over the tower freq (depending on the weather and
where I am located).

Talk to You later ... Hans from Germany
--
2006/02/13 16:50
EDDS 131650Z 14003KT 9999 FEW022 SCT250 M00/M05 Q1021 NOSIG

569. Re: Mode Question Re 39.560 and 123.0 MHz ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-13 21:27:19 UTC
From: BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1e5ac3c11b55d572989d6a@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 10149

In article <df6dnSak96bGGm3enZ2dnUVZ_tudnZ2d@comcast.com>,
rgsros@notme.com says...
> Hello:
>
> new at his.
>
> Found the following freq's that apparently my town utilizes.
>
> Are the modes fm for Both, or... ?
>
> 39.560 MHz
> 123.000
>
> The 123.0 one is particularly perplexing for me, as I thought that puts it
> in the middle of the AM band,
> which I always thought would be just for aero. Would a Town service use AM
> rather than fm ? Why, if so ?
>
> Thanks,
> B.
>
>
>
The mode for 39.560 is narrow FM, or on most scanners, just FM.

The mode for 123 is almost certainly AM, in the Aircraft band. A lot of comms on the Air band are very hard to understand. Between the weird jargon, and the people eating the mike when they talk, and the limited bandwidth, sometimes it's a guess as to what they are saying.

You should be able to find a freq list for your area, and it will tall you what it is exactly. Check at Radio Shack, for a freq list, or just do search for whaevertown scanner freqs or whatevercounty scanner freqs, and you should find a lot.

Also, lots of good info at..

http://www.strongsignals.net/index.cgi

BDK

570. Re: does a scanner like that exist (and if yes - where)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-13 22:36:27 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <pe22v113rs18qopaqv9rl5dj1p19j5usu6@4ax.com>
Source record: 10150

On Mon, 13 Feb 2006 09:53:36 -0600, "T" <t@t.net> wrote:

>AOR receiviers
>Icom

The OP asked for scanners.

571. Re: does a scanner like that exist (and if yes - where)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-13 23:01:26 UTC
From: T <t@t.net>
Message-ID: <FrOdnWcYtJwgkmzenZ2dnUVZ_sidnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10051

Do you know what AOR is? or Icom? Have you ever heard of the AOR AR3000? or the Icom scanners?

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message news:pe22v113rs18qopaqv9rl5dj1p19j5usu6@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 13 Feb 2006 09:53:36 -0600, "T" <t@t.net> wrote:
>
>>AOR receiviers
>>Icom
>
> The OP asked for scanners.

572. jav-232 cable: where to get?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-13 23:36:54 UTC
From: tg <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
Message-ID: <43f11815$0$5010$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 10052

is there anywhere on this earth I can get a jav-232 cable?
www.javiation.com still says:
'Please Note that the JAV-232 is currently unavailable.'
surely there might be somewhere else I could get one?

573. Re: Mode Question Re 39.560 and 123.0 MHz ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-14 00:18:04 UTC
From: dan yemiola <winstonsalemve@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dsr7k3$5isa$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 10053

> Found the following freq's that apparently my town utilizes.
>
> Are the modes fm for Both, or... ?
>
> 39.560 MHz
FM probably your local police mobiles so they can talk to your local
Sheriff.

> 123.000
AM Does your local town own the airport? This sounds like service
vehicles or fire trucks that have to go onto the active runway and taxiway
areas of the airport.
If you have to go out onto an active runway< YOU MUST GET CLEARANCE FROM THE
CONTROL TOWER BEFORE YOU ENTER OR CROSS AN ACTIVE RUNWAY> > particularly
per
> The 123.0 one isp erplexing for me, as I thought that puts it in the
> middle of the AM band,
> which I always thought would be just for aero.

Would a Town service use AM
> rather than fm ? Why, if so ?

All 118- 136 MHz AERO frequencies are AM.

Dan Yemiola
AI8O

574. Re: Mode Question Re 39.560 and 123.0 MHz ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-14 02:28:15 UTC
From: "Richard Carlson, N9JIG" <usenet@usenet.net>
Message-ID: <usenet-38B4F2.20281413022006@comcast.dca.giganews.com>
Source record: 10054

In article <df6dnSak96bGGm3enZ2dnUVZ_tudnZ2d@comcast.com>,
"Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com> wrote:

> Hello:
>
> new at his.
>
> Found the following freq's that apparently my town utilizes.
>
> Are the modes fm for Both, or... ?
>
> 39.560 MHz
> 123.000
>
> The 123.0 one is particularly perplexing for me, as I thought that puts it
> in the middle of the AM band,
> which I always thought would be just for aero. Would a Town service use AM
> rather than fm ? Why, if so ?
>
> Thanks,
> B.

Are you sure the 123.0 is a frequency? If it is then it is probably
related to an airport in the area.

There is another possibility however" 123.0 is a valid PL tone, if the
listing you found the 39.56 freq from relates the two that might be the
case.

Often freqs with PL tones are listed as "39.560 (123.0)" or some similar
format.

PL is a form of sub-audible signaling used to reduce interference and
control access to radio systems. Several models of scanners utilize PL
features for the same purposes. It may also be referred to by other
trade names as well as CTCSS.

575. Re: does a scanner like that exist (and if yes - where)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-14 02:42:37 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <uqg2v19i7u0mnpbeq6s98067ijbvkepev2@4ax.com>
Source record: 10055

On Mon, 13 Feb 2006 17:01:26 -0600, "T" <t@t.net> wrote:

>Do you know what AOR is? or Icom? Have you ever heard of the AOR AR3000? or
>the Icom scanners?

There's a difference between a wide-band radio that can "scan" a few
channels and a scanner. Without considerable help, neither the AOR nor
the Icom can "scan" a trunked system - one of the things the OP asked
for.

576. Re: jav-232 cable: where to get?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-14 02:43:37 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <jtg2v11ote05smn8g0uekh1i7sjul0th8s@4ax.com>
Source record: 10056

On Mon, 13 Feb 2006 23:36:54 -0000, "tg" <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
wrote:

>is there anywhere on this earth I can get a jav-232 cable?
>www.javiation.com still says:
>'Please Note that the JAV-232 is currently unavailable.'
>surely there might be somewhere else I could get one?

What are the connectors? Maybe some other cable will do the same job.
(My Yaesu cable works on my Radio Shack scanner.)

577. Re: BR-330T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-14 04:19:33 UTC
From: init_null <initnull@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1139890773.065917.174170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10057

Thank you!

578. Re: does a scanner like that exist (and if yes - where)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-14 04:23:26 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <dpm2v1l4a5giflprm3u11oqiqbvhdueq38@4ax.com>
Source record: 10058

On Tue, 14 Feb 2006 03:06:03 +0000 (UTC), chesucat <me@privacy.net>
wrote:

>ICOM R20 is a scanner! A radio receiver is a radio receiver,
>no matter how fancy you make it or add on the "features". A
>radio receiver can only receive and cannot transmit regardless
>of any perceived "modifications"!

That it's a receiver doesn't make it a scanner.

579. Re: jav-232 cable: where to get?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-14 16:10:30 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation, Bradford, UK" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <ThJFV+B2Dg8DFw2a@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 10059

In article <43f11815$0$5010$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk>, tg
<tg@nospamevereverever.net> writes

Hi,

>is there anywhere on this earth I can get a jav-232 cable?
>www.javiation.com still says:
>'Please Note that the JAV-232 is currently unavailable.'
>surely there might be somewhere else I could get one?

The JAV-232 as listed on our web site was only ever available through
us.

As it stands at the moment I do still have some blank PCB's ready to
populate however some of the original components used are no longer
available. Alternatives are listed by the likes of Farnells but I have
yet to check/confirm that they are of the same dimensions and/or will
work in the same design.

What radio was it primarily to be used with ?

--
Cheers,
JC
Javiation
http://www.javiation.co.uk

580. Re: does a scanner like that exist (and if yes - where)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-14 17:34:53 UTC
From: T <t@t.net>
Message-ID: <BM6dnTy7XcgviW_enZ2dnUVZ_sydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10060

You state "That it's a receiver doesn't make it a scanner". So, my Radio Shack Pro-2035 Receiver isn't a scanner? It says on the front of it, "receiver" and it scans!!!

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message news:dpm2v1l4a5giflprm3u11oqiqbvhdueq38@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 14 Feb 2006 03:06:03 +0000 (UTC), chesucat <me@privacy.net>
> wrote:
>
>>ICOM R20 is a scanner! A radio receiver is a radio receiver,
>>no matter how fancy you make it or add on the "features". A
>>radio receiver can only receive and cannot transmit regardless
>>of any perceived "modifications"!
>
> That it's a receiver doesn't make it a scanner.

581. Re: does a scanner like that exist (and if yes - where)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-14 17:50:02 UTC
From: "St. John Smythe" <sinjen@n4vu.com>
Message-ID: <dst4re$dd3$14@n4vu2.n4vu.com>
Source record: 10061

T wrote:
> You state "That it's a receiver doesn't make it a scanner". So, my Radio
> Shack Pro-2035 Receiver isn't a scanner? It says on the front of it,
> "receiver" and it scans!!!

o All scanners are receivers.
o Not all receivers are scanners.

--
St. John
Twenty Percent of Zero is Better than Nothing.
-Walt Kelly

582. Radio Shack PRO-97 No reception of audio signal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-15 00:32:40 UTC
From: "stanhanna@hotmail.com" <stanhanna@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1139963560.712041.97940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10062

I just received a PRO-97 and have been trying to get it to work. I understand trunking programming is something to be learned but I have not had any luck picking up the weather channels. Sometimes the unit will scan the weather channels but I don't receive any sound, just background noise. I have adjusted the squelch to just below threshold with no luck. Occasionally when I first turn the unit on I can pick up on of the stations but if I scan I can never get it back again. I am comparing this unit with an old PRO-26 that is receiving loud and clear. I have loaded the preprogrammed frequencies and tried to scan, no luck. While the Pro-26 is receiving signals on the same bands. I have tried initializing the scanner. Is there something that I am missing or do I have a bad unit ?

Stan Hanna

583. Re: Radio Shack PRO-97 No reception of audio signal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-15 00:59:17 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <11v4v6vqub0li77@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 10063

stanhanna@hotmail.com wrote:

> Is there something that I am
> missing or do I have a bad unit ?

Sounds like the latter to me. I've never been anywhere in the U.S. that
I couldn't get at least one, and usually two or three, weather channels
loud and clear. Exchange it.
--
Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

584. Re: does a scanner like that exist (and if yes - where)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-15 01:24:11 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <11v50ln5btpphd1@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 10064

T wrote:
> You state "That it's a receiver doesn't make it a scanner". So, my Radio
> Shack Pro-2035 Receiver isn't a scanner? It says on the front of it,
> "receiver" and it scans!!!

Mr. Klein is perhaps being a wee bit pedantic, but the (good) point he's making is that generally, a device advertised and sold as a scanner does a lot better job scanning (more speed, mainly) than a device sold as a communications receiver. I love my PRO-95 and my IC-R2 and use each daily, but they're not at all interchangeable. Yes, the IC-R2 can scan, but it's really pokey compared to the PRO-95. Moreover, I'm not aware of any communications receiver that can properly monitor a trunked system--another feature of many radios sold as scanners.

I'm a bit intrigued by that wideband trunking Uniden scanner (330?), but not intrigued enough to give up my current setup just yet. I've decided I'm pleased until after whatever rebanding effects settle into my area, after which I'll seek new hardware. Even though there are several units for sale right now that can be flash-upgraded, I'd rather just buy something that works out of the box, particularly when I'm not at all dissatisfied with what I have now.

Finally, to the OP's original point: you're not going to find good performance in one unit for everything you want to do. Too many compromises to be made, mainly in the name of form factor. I am pleased with the above for scanning and my Grundig YB400PE for shortwave. While the YB400PE is a fairly well-regarded piece, there are others for sale that are pretty much universally praised, like the Sony ICF-7600GR. The YB400PE pleases me, but I would consider myself a "serious casual" SW listener and not a hardcore DXer or anything.

But, I digress. Bottom line is you need multiple radios for a solid good experience for everything you want to listen to.
--
Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

585. Uniden BC898T vs. BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-15 02:27:05 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ZjwIf.13290$2O6.7433@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 10065

Anybody compared the performance of these two? Especially interested in how they compare in the VHF and UHF airbands. I know the 780XLT has more features, but I'm really interested in sensitivity, dynamic range, selectivity, and intermod rejection.

Frank

586. Re: does a scanner like that exist (and if yes - where)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-15 03:19:20 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <va75v15tes3bgqbd5vn1muaagrdjidkmmq@4ax.com>
Source record: 10066

On Tue, 14 Feb 2006 11:34:53 -0600, "T" <t@t.net> wrote:

>You state "That it's a receiver doesn't make it a scanner". So, my Radio
>Shack Pro-2035 Receiver isn't a scanner? It says on the front of it,
>"receiver" and it scans!!!

That it's a receiver doesn't make it not as scanner eitgher.

What's your native language? Maybe I can translate my original
statement into it so that you'll understand what I said. Your
question shows that either you don't, or you're trying to act smart.

587. Re: does a scanner like that exist (and if yes - where)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-15 03:22:05 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <sg75v1pfcauc6vkm1t8v237um79c166h0v@4ax.com>
Source record: 10067

On Tue, 14 Feb 2006 20:49:32 +0000 (UTC), chesucat <me@privacy.net>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>AK>That it's a receiver doesn't make it a scanner.
>
>I guess all radio receivers can be a scanner with the right
>modifications, including my TV with an antennae.

Just keep your finger on one of the "channel" buttons (up or down) on
the remote, and lift it as soon as you see a picture. Your TV set
just scanned the channels. Yup. :)

588. Re: Uniden BC796D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-15 04:41:58 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <nIOdnepFaPqHLG_eRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 10068

Uniden is aware of the problems associated with the Detroit #701 system, and claims a fix is in the works. Not sure how long it will take.

Bill Crocker

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message news:Ovadnb84aYmp1nfenZ2dnUVZ_tKdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Something weird is going on. On Radio Reference, in the Michigan forum,
> they're suddenly able to receive Detroit's Site #701 on Radio Shack
> scanners, but none of the Uniden models...so they say. I'm still looking
> for facts, as it's just a few claiming this right now. Go back and look
> over the post. Tell them what you've been though with yours.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "no one" <noone@noon.us> wrote in message
> news:XsxEf.49738$PL5.20618@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
>> Anyone in the Flint , Mi Area (Genesee County) Own a BC796D Scanner
>>
>> I would like to listen to the audio, Before I BUY ONE
>> http://www.usascan.com
>>
>> I already Made the mistake of buying a RADIO SHACK PRO 2096 and it's
>> audio Broke up (Choppy) It Even Broke up After I got it Back From
>> RADIO SHACK Repair. I Returned it for Refund .
>>
>> I donnot Want to Go thru the REFUNDING POLICIES again!
>>
>>
>> Bill N8JOS
>
>

589. Re: Uniden BC796D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-15 05:17:48 UTC
From: no one <noone@noon.us>
Message-ID: <0QyIf.56841$PL5.12831@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 10069

Here is the response I got From the HEAD person of The GENESEE COUNTY
911 Headquarters .. He even includes his e-mail address

Mr. Turner from Michigan Frequency Coordination referred you to me as he
seems to be unable to satisfy you with his detailed and accurate response.
Yes, we do have a new 800 MHz system in Genesee County and we are
being listened to successfully by hundreds of citizens and all news
groups. We have nothing to "hide" as you insinuate but you need better
equipment which can be purchased at Radio Shack and several other
outlets. If you are really an active Amateur Radio Operator you should
have friends able to tell you this. I have also included a website that
might help you.

http://www.geocities.com/tomcat4680/

Have a good day,
Lloyd Fayling
Director
Genesee County 911

lrf@geneseecounty911.org

I take it that NO one but I was having problems with the Genesee county
system ! and The Radio Shack pro2096..
By the way, I donnot have a 2096 anymore, I turned it in for a REFUND..
it took Lloyd 3 weeks to respond.. I got my Radio Shack Refund from
Texas 2 WEEKS ago!

Bill Crocker wrote:

> Uniden is aware of the problems associated with the Detroit #701 system, and
> claims a fix is in the works. Not sure how long it will take.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>
> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:Ovadnb84aYmp1nfenZ2dnUVZ_tKdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
>>Something weird is going on. On Radio Reference, in the Michigan forum,
>>they're suddenly able to receive Detroit's Site #701 on Radio Shack
>>scanners, but none of the Uniden models...so they say. I'm still looking
>>for facts, as it's just a few claiming this right now. Go back and look
>>over the post. Tell them what you've been though with yours.
>>

>>Bill Crocker
>>
>>
>>"no one" <noone@noon.us> wrote in message
>>news:XsxEf.49738$PL5.20618@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
>>
>>>Anyone in the Flint , Mi Area (Genesee County) Own a BC796D Scanner
>>>
>>>I would like to listen to the audio, Before I BUY ONE
>>>http://www.usascan.com
>>>
>>>I already Made the mistake of buying a RADIO SHACK PRO 2096 and it's
>>>audio Broke up (Choppy) It Even Broke up After I got it Back From
>>>RADIO SHACK Repair. I Returned it for Refund .
>>>
>>>I donnot Want to Go thru the REFUNDING POLICIES again!
>>>
>>>
>>>Bill N8JOS
>>
>>
>
>

590. Re: jav-232 cable: where to get?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-15 12:46:26 UTC
From: tg <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
Message-ID: <43f322b0$0$5005$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 10071

"Jonathan Clough, Javiation, Bradford, UK" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk> wrote in message news:ThJFV+B2Dg8DFw2a@Javiation.co.uk...
> In article <43f11815$0$5010$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk>, tg
> <tg@nospamevereverever.net> writes
>
> Hi,
<snip>
> What radio was it primarily to be used with ?

thanks for your response.
My scanner is an AR8200 MkII. I got myself a standard CC8200 (for the meantime) and modified it, changing the serial connector end
into a breakout box with an audio jack but unfortunately there's no squelch/mute on the audio, and I would like a cable that can
give me this.

591. Why Noise When No Broadcast Happening, etc. ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-15 12:46:56 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <-4ednVSnoIYgv27enZ2dnUVZ_sadnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10070

Hello:

Was wondering about this:

When I tune to most any frequency with my scanner, the noise level is
really, really high when there is no
broadcast going on at that moment on that frequency.

When one does come on, it's as clear as a bell. This is true for the fm as
well as
the AM channels.

Of course, the Squelch control pretty much takes care of the problem, but
was wondering if
this is "typical" ?

What is the source of the noise, possibly:

the radio itself ?
atmospherics ?

Or,... ?

Thanks,
Bob

592. Re: does a scanner like that exist (and if yes - where)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-15 13:32:40 UTC
From: T-bone <mis@take.net>
Message-ID: <Xns976B56ED49039ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 10072

tnozh@yahoo.com wrote in news:1139805151.447123.236000
@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> Hi everybody,
>
> I have possibly a very naive question.
>
> I enjoy my SONY ICF-SW7600G shortwave "world" radio which covers from
> 150kHz-29.999kHz in AM and 76MHz-108MHz in FM. My Radio Shack PRO-97
> scanner covers some but, alas, *not* all of the frequencies from
> 25MHz-1300MHz.
>
> Can I get a handheld scanner which would cover *all* of 150kHz-1300MHz
> in AM and FM or, better, even a wider spectrum (again - with nothing
> missing)? That would, in effect combine my ICF-SW7600G with an
> (improved) PRO-97 into one unit.
>
> I realize that this would include TV stations, and God knows what else.
> But I don't like the idea of not having access to all the spectrum and
> I would prefer only 1 receiver (even if that means plenty of antennas
> depending on what I want to tune in).
>
> Trunking, 1000+ channels and 10+ banks would also be a plus.
>
> A built-in spectum analyzer would be really, really, really cool too!
>
> Any reccomendations?
>
> Thanks,
>
> TN
>

Alinco DJ-X200 has more features than you can shake a stick at, including a
spectrum analyzer, bug sniffer, frequency counter and auto freq logging.
At this point in time, I think you'd be hard pressed to find a full featured
handheld like this that also has trunking abilities ... But that is likely
not too far in the furutre.

593. Re: Why Noise When No Broadcast Happening, etc. ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-15 15:04:48 UTC
From: BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1e5d0d18bf921c0c989d6e@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 10073

In article <-4ednVSnoIYgv27enZ2dnUVZ_sadnZ2d@comcast.com>,
rgsros@notme.com says...
> Hello:
>
> Was wondering about this:
>
> When I tune to most any frequency with my scanner, the noise level is
> really, really high when there is no
> broadcast going on at that moment on that frequency.
>
> When one does come on, it's as clear as a bell. This is true for the fm as
> well as
> the AM channels.
>
> Of course, the Squelch control pretty much takes care of the problem, but
> was wondering if
> this is "typical" ?
>
> What is the source of the noise, possibly:
>
> the radio itself ?
> atmospherics ?
>
> Or,... ?
>
> Thanks,
> Bob
>
>
>

Well, yeah, that's what it is, partly from the radio (not a whole lot of
it in most cases, except the really cheap/bad designs) and
atmosphere/ionosphere conditions. Part of the noise from the radio
itself is due to it being so sensitive and widebanded.

Not only is it typical, it's a 100% certainty. They all do it, from a 50
buck cheapie to a $2000 dream machine.

That's why they all have a squelch knob on them.

BDK

594. Items FS/FT (Updated)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-15 19:55:54 UTC
From: dalite01@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <1FLIf.177$ak2.120@bignews4.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 10074
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Update: 02/15/2006

Cleaning Out - Many Items for Sale or Trade. All need a new home....
****

Motorola Trunk Units

Mitrek
Mod: T83JJA3900DK
Ser: 433HKJ1366
Xmtr: CC343
RCVR: 8C0216
HUD1072CPR
PA: HLD4042A8PRW
HLN4181APF plug-in board with TRN4224A (156.7)
Unit has lock "converted to screwdriver slot"
Have Motorola Microphone and Control Head that appear to go with this model

$15.00 plus shipping

Micor
XMtr: CC4165
RCVR: RC0003
Mod: T44RTA30038A
Ser: 203CF00527
11 Channel Elements in 460MHz range.
Bottom plate is removed (but available)
lock intact - Bottom may have been pried off
Have Motorola Microphone and Control Head that appear to go with this model

$15.00 plus shipping

****

AOR 1000XLT Wide Range Monitor Receiver - Sale Pending

Handheld Unit
500 MHZ through 1300 MHZ (continuous coverage)
AM / FM / FMW (WideBand)
1000 Channels - 10 banks

$100.00 OBO Plus shipping

****
Yaesu FT-50RD Handheld

Has enhanced keypad and fairly good battery. No charger, paperwork, box or other accessories.

This HT works, but is a real stress generator. The top rotary encoder (channel selector, menu advance, memory, advance, etc.) rotates normally, but will toggle between forward and backwards selections. You can eventually find the channel, selection, frequency that you want, but it may go backwards, forwards, not advance... Anything can happen. Other than that, it is a great talker. The battery takes and holds a charge and looks to be in good shape.

I am keeping the antenna and charger for another rig. It will come with radio and battery.

$100.00 OBO Plus shipping

****

Yaesu VX-5R

HT, Box, Packing, Charger, Manual, Standard antenna(s) and tip(s)

This HT has problems; the antenna is loose at the connector and it has no measurable output. Additionally, it was opened up to be sure that the correct screws were used on the belt clip (a common source of failed outputs), and the conector that holds the ribbon cable between halves is not retaining the cable properly. It showed signs of have been opened for inspection before. It was purchased used with low output, and was being used with a Yaesu Car DC Adapter (the correct one for this model) when it overheated and lost all measurable output. It will do great as an extremely near field test device; I use it for talking into a 6m repeater I have been setting up. I can get about 300' range from the repeater antenna, under the best conditions. It may be worth sending back to Yaesu for repair, or for parts to combine with another broken unit. It has the baro, altitude and temp sensors installed in it.

$75.00 Plus shipping

****

Kenwood Sirius Satellite Radio

Kenwood Tuner (KTC-SR901), Display unit with RF Modulator (KCA-RF701M) and Terk antenna (TRK-SR20S) - With all original packaging, documents, etc. - Removed from car when traded in. - Antenna will need a re-mount kit. - Bought New around 2003, and traded in car later for one that had Sirius already installed.

$45.00 OBO Plus shipping

****

Standard Horizon 6 Series Marine HT

No Battery
Comes with Antenna and Destop Charger

Has Crysytals for Channels 6 and 16
Fairly Clean

$10.00 plus shipping

****

Motorola Radius p50+ HT

Has DTMF Pad, Standard Charger - HTN9046B with Wall Wart
Currently on 151.685 MHz Simplex - No PL - Belt Clip mount is broken on rear.
Radio squeals when first turned on, but seems to operate normally after that.
Battery holds some charge, but will need replacing.

$35.00 Plus Shipping

****

Optoelectronics Scout 40 Sale Pending

- Needs repair -
Rapid charge not working properly, but charges on slow charge OK. Battery still holding good charge. Sensitivity is way down as a result of exposure to a stronger RF Field than the front end was designed for. Unit still comes on, display and switches all work, just very low sensitivity. (IF I Recall Correctly, a 1v signal above 450 MHz would register). Overall, in good condition. - These sold for $350.00 to $400.00 new -

$50.00 Plus shipping

****

Skutch T-51A / 3 - Line Swinger (Telecomm)

This is an intersting device that is used to route 3 lines to one device (phone, answering machine, modem, etc). It will automatically pick up the first line that rings and send a busy signal to the remaining two. Useful in a small business during lunch hours or similar situations. Very unique. In As-New condition.

$10.00 plus shipping

****

Curtis Electro Devices Model ESNR-5900

Analyzer, Antenna, Charger and Manual for a similar model. Used in Cellular sales to ID phone information prior to programming a used phone. Will read ESN ONLY after initiating sequence on phone in near field. Will not snarf pairs for illegal use. This is a basic, low-power cell-site. It emulates Cell-Site operation within the AMPS service to initiate communications with a cellphone within a very short distance in order to extract info necessary to reprogram/reactivate that phone.

It will not read any phone information unless the phone is close by, and only after that phone has dialed an non-compatible number sequence, that will not result in an completed call.

This is not an illegal monitoring device; it was marketed as a sales-support tool for cellular dealers - Cira 2nd Quarter 1995.

This unit was assembled from end-run parts inventory when the line was discontinued, so the manual isn't the correct on for this model (but explains overall use) and the charger may not be the correct MAh rating for the batteries installed. It uses 10 AA NiCad batteries and willl need replacements. It will be shipped without batteries to save weight (the ones in it were starting to show signs of seepage).

This is in a case that is 9"H x 6"W x 2"D and weighing 2 pounds.
Original retail to cellular sales outlets was $1295.00

Make offer or trade via email if interested.

****
RSA Security Smart Card Reader - Part Number: 903396 Revision 1.0

This is a Bulk Packaged unit. No software, instructions or additional items included. Unit has self adhesive pads for semi-permanent installation, as well as fold down front leg and rear rubber "anti-skid" pad for placement on any flat surface. Cables include a Serial (DB-9 female) connector that goes to the unit and also has a second cable coming from the DB-9 that goes to both Male and Female PS-2 type Keyboard connectors (6 pin mini-din) for feed-through connection to keyboard cable.

I believe the setup is to allow data through the Serial connection and to pick up power for the card reader circuit through the Keyboard connection.

The bottom label shows power demands of 5 VDC at 100 mA.

Inside the unit, the top of the board is marked:
SCR111 104080
Rev 1.0 JAN 00
SCM Microsystems

Above the "Card Cage " is silkscreened: S-CARD

The actual "card cage" has the markings JAE and T269

Removing the top of the card cage shows 8 contacts in 2 rows of 4, as well as a single contact separate from the
8 (possibly a CE pin??)

The bottom of the board inside has
PIC 1626C622A-20/SO (U3)
14 pin SM DIP 2C16 / HC74 (U2)
16 pin SM DIP ADM2052EARN / 0221 / K21517 (U1)
14.28 (X1) Appears to be 14.28 MHz timebase crystal

There is a 8 pin header marked J3 that is attached to both top and bottom boards (4 pins on each) as well as solder points (W1 - labeled 1 through 5)

for additional connections. Thease appear to be plated through holes connecting both the top and bottom of the PCB. These lines appear to go from PIC to card cage and power source.

I don't know enough about this to determine if it is can be easily set up as a programmer also.

I have a bunch of these, bulk packaged in anti-static bubble wrap. New, never used.

$6.00 each plus shipping

****

AIM Products Model 15-5010 Push Button Coaxial Switch.

Use for selecting 2 sources for one Television, VCR, etc. - "F" Connectors for inputs and outputs on rear
A and B Switches on front - Can be mounted with supplied screws or supplied double-sided tape. - Units for bid are in original sealed plastic bags. New Old Stock, never used.

Great for selecting 2 antennas to one receiver or feeding 2 receivers from one antenna. Plus, up can always use them to switch between cable and a VCR.

$2.50 each plus shiping (Have 6 available)

****

All items are U-Ship from 31523 - Brunswick, GA.

I charge actual shipping cost, and will ship via USPS Priority on lighter items or FedEx Ground/Home Delivery on heavier ones. I will combine shipping on multiple items. I want to get rid of this stuff far more than I want to make a buck or 2 on shipping.

I will accept trade offers on Ham gear, Receiving gear, other electronic items.

I am looking for an Icom IC-T90A HT, Midland Syntech I Low-Band LMR Rigs (70-052, 050, 056 C models), Midland Syntech II accessories, Midland LMR Microphones......

Contact me off the NG @ kd4nue@gmail.com or dalite01@gmail.com or address in the header (if shown)

David
KD4NUE

595. Re: jav-232 cable: where to get?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-15 23:41:52 UTC
From: tg <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
Message-ID: <43f3bc5a$0$23284$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 10075

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:jtg2v11ote05smn8g0uekh1i7sjul0th8s@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 13 Feb 2006 23:36:54 -0000, "tg" <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
> wrote:
>
>>is there anywhere on this earth I can get a jav-232 cable?
>>www.javiation.com still says:
>>'Please Note that the JAV-232 is currently unavailable.'
>>surely there might be somewhere else I could get one?
>
> What are the connectors?

PC serial port plug to breakout box, picture here:
http://www.javiation.co.uk/javint.html
more details here
http://www.javiation.co.uk/manuals/jav-232.doc

> Maybe some other cable will do the same job.

I haven't seen a cable yet that will do the same as the jav-232. If there is another cable I'd be grateful to know about it.

596. Re: Why Noise When No Broadcast Happening, etc. ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-16 00:22:48 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery28146@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <sBPIf.19193$vU2.14222@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10076

"Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com> wrote in message
news:-4ednVSnoIYgv27enZ2dnUVZ_sadnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Hello:
>
> Was wondering about this:
>
> When I tune to most any frequency with my scanner, the noise level is
> really, really high when there is no
> broadcast going on at that moment on that frequency.
>
> When one does come on, it's as clear as a bell. This is true for the fm
as
> well as
> the AM channels.
>
> Of course, the Squelch control pretty much takes care of the problem, but
> was wondering if
> this is "typical" ?
>
> What is the source of the noise, possibly:
>
> the radio itself ?
> atmospherics ?
>
> Or,... ?
>

The radio while operating FM is acting as a very high gain amplifier. It is amplifing around one million or more times. Random electron movement in conductors are generating enough noise to be heard . This is normal. It is the property of FM to start quiting the noise as a RF signal is picked up. AM has much of the same noise but most receivers have an automatic gain control that cuts the gain of the amplifier somewhat. Lightning, some motors with brushes, and many other devices make much of the noise you hear on an AM receiver.

597. Re: Why Noise When No Broadcast Happening, etc. ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-16 01:29:33 UTC
From: Buzzygirl <buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net>
Message-ID: <CPCdncOgqrj3SG7eRVn-pg@comcast.com>
Source record: 10077

"Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com> wrote in message
news:-4ednVSnoIYgv27enZ2dnUVZ_sadnZ2d@comcast.com...

> Of course, the Squelch control pretty much takes care of the problem, but
> was wondering if
> this is "typical" ?

Yep.

In fact, if it *weren't* the case, I'd wonder what was wrong with my
scanner!

Jackie

598. Re: jav-232 cable: where to get?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-16 02:00:32 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <g2n7v19u9tfvio7bsmn74fte3ohr5oteut@4ax.com>
Source record: 10078

On Wed, 15 Feb 2006 23:41:52 -0000, "tg" <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
wrote:

>PC serial port plug to breakout box, picture here:
>http://www.javiation.co.uk/javint.html
>more details here
>http://www.javiation.co.uk/manuals/jav-232.doc

There are *many* RS232/TTL cables available. Look on Don Starr's
cable page
<http://www.starrsoft.com/software/Win96/Cables/cables.html> to start.

599. Re: Uniden BC796D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-16 03:42:00 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <11v7t08q430dv6a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 10079

Dear Bill N8JOS (aka "No One"):
The APCO 25 digital system (which is what is being used in Michigan)
will not sound like (have the frequency fidelity nor amplitude smoothness)
of an FM system. (at least not when listened to on the available lower cost
radios.) The digital system is, well, digital and is quantized at a
none-too-fast rate.

In short, the "new" system does not sound like the systems of old.
Fortunately, humans are able, if they are willing, to understand less than
faithful reproductions of the human voice. My students once produced a two
bit quantization of human speech. It was not clear, but it was
understandable. In the early days of the use of SSB, some people had
difficulty in understanding the signals. I have long observed that some
people are much better at accommodating to less than perfect reproductions
than other people.

I speculate that you may be one of the few for whom signals must be
clear in order to be satisfactory. In time, lower cost receivers will
become better. Stay tuned.

73 Mac N8TT

--
J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.

"no one" <noone@noon.us> wrote in message
news:0QyIf.56841$PL5.12831@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> Here is the response I got From the HEAD person of The GENESEE COUNTY
> 911 Headquarters .. He even includes his e-mail address
>
> Mr. Turner from Michigan Frequency Coordination referred you to me as he
> seems to be unable to satisfy you with his detailed and accurate response.
> Yes, we do have a new 800 MHz system in Genesee County and we are
> being listened to successfully by hundreds of citizens and all news
> groups. We have nothing to "hide" as you insinuate but you need better
> equipment which can be purchased at Radio Shack and several other
> outlets. If you are really an active Amateur Radio Operator you should
> have friends able to tell you this. I have also included a website that
> might help you.
>
> http://www.geocities.com/tomcat4680/
>
>
> Have a good day,
> Lloyd Fayling
> Director

> Genesee County 911
>
> lrf@geneseecounty911.org
>
>
> I take it that NO one but I was having problems with the Genesee county
> system ! and The Radio Shack pro2096..
> By the way, I donnot have a 2096 anymore, I turned it in for a REFUND..
> it took Lloyd 3 weeks to respond.. I got my Radio Shack Refund from
> Texas 2 WEEKS ago!
>
>
>
>
> Bill Crocker wrote:
>
> > Uniden is aware of the problems associated with the Detroit #701 system,
and
> > claims a fix is in the works. Not sure how long it will take.
> >
> > Bill Crocker
> >
> >
> >
> > "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
> > news:Ovadnb84aYmp1nfenZ2dnUVZ_tKdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> >
> >>Something weird is going on. On Radio Reference, in the Michigan forum,
> >>they're suddenly able to receive Detroit's Site #701 on Radio Shack
> >>scanners, but none of the Uniden models...so they say. I'm still
looking
> >>for facts, as it's just a few claiming this right now. Go back and look
> >>over the post. Tell them what you've been though with yours.
> >>
> >>Bill Crocker
> >>
> >>
> >>"no one" <noone@noon.us> wrote in message
> >>news:XsxEf.49738$PL5.20618@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> >>
> >>>Anyone in the Flint , Mi Area (Genesee County) Own a BC796D Scanner
> >>>
> >>>I would like to listen to the audio, Before I BUY ONE
> >>>http://www.usascan.com
> >>>
> >>>I already Made the mistake of buying a RADIO SHACK PRO 2096 and it's
> >>>audio Broke up (Choppy) It Even Broke up After I got it Back From
> >>>RADIO SHACK Repair. I Returned it for Refund .
> >>>
> >>>I donnot Want to Go thru the REFUNDING POLICIES again!

> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Bill N8JOS
> >>
> >>
> >
> >

600. Re: Uniden BC796D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-16 04:01:44 UTC
From: no one <noone@noon.us>
Message-ID: <IOSIf.30505$F_3.7420@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 10080

J. Mc Laughlin wrote:
> Dear Bill N8JOS (aka "No One"):
> The APCO 25 digital system (which is what is being used in Michigan)
> will not sound like (have the frequency fidelity nor amplitude smoothness)
> of an FM system. (at least not when listened to on the available lower cost
> radios.) The digital system is, well, digital and is quantized at a
> none-too-fast rate.
>
> In short, the "new" system does not sound like the systems of old.
> Fortunately, humans are able, if they are willing, to understand less than
> faithful reproductions of the human voice. My students once produced a two
> bit quantization of human speech. It was not clear, but it was
> understandable. In the early days of the use of SSB, some people had
> difficulty in understanding the signals. I have long observed that some
> people are much better at accommodating to less than perfect reproductions
> than other people.
>
> I speculate that you may be one of the few for whom signals must be
> clear in order to be satisfactory. In time, lower cost receivers will
> become better. Stay tuned.
>
> 73 Mac N8TT

I am Not Talking about The AUDIO SOUND..(fidelity)

I am refering to the FACT that EVERY other Word is Heard on the System.

It doesnot make sense to have a system where if a SENTENCE spoken is 20
words long and all the system rebroadcast is 12 of those words .

The TRANSMISSIONS donnot Make any Sense .
Example.

I am Chasing a Blue Pontiac on I-75

The words Heard on the Pro2096 would be

am a pontiac 75

I never hear the words I / Chasing / a/ Blue / on/ 1-

It Was chopping off WORDS

I tried win96

I had the Scanner for over a Month.

Radio Shack Repair in Buffalo,Ny Had the 2096 for a week on that time .
Thats where the TECH said He was when he Called me and SAID, THAT THE
PRO2096 ISNOT compatible with SIMULCAST APCO 25 System in Genesee county

Needless to say.. I DONNOT have That Scanner anymore . Radio Shack sent
me My $450.00 Back
.

>
> --
> J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.
>
> "no one" <noone@noon.us> wrote in message
> news:0QyIf.56841$PL5.12831@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
>
>>Here is the response I got From the HEAD person of The GENESEE COUNTY
>>911 Headquarters .. He even includes his e-mail address
>>
>>Mr. Turner from Michigan Frequency Coordination referred you to me as he
>>seems to be unable to satisfy you with his detailed and accurate response.
>> Yes, we do have a new 800 MHz system in Genesee County and we are
>>being listened to successfully by hundreds of citizens and all news
>>groups. We have nothing to "hide" as you insinuate but you need better
>>equipment which can be purchased at Radio Shack and several other
>>outlets. If you are really an active Amateur Radio Operator you should
>>have friends able to tell you this. I have also included a website that
>>might help you.
>>
>>http://www.geocities.com/tomcat4680/
>>
>>
>>Have a good day,
>>Lloyd Fayling
>>Director
>>Genesee County 911
>>
>>lrf@geneseecounty911.org
>>
>>
>>I take it that NO one but I was having problems with the Genesee county
>>system ! and The Radio Shack pro2096..
>>By the way, I donnot have a 2096 anymore, I turned it in for a REFUND..
>>it took Lloyd 3 weeks to respond.. I got my Radio Shack Refund from
>>Texas 2 WEEKS ago!
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Bill Crocker wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Uniden is aware of the problems associated with the Detroit #701 system,

>
> and
>
>>>claims a fix is in the works. Not sure how long it will take.
>>>
>>>Bill Crocker
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>>news:Ovadnb84aYmp1nfenZ2dnUVZ_tKdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>>Something weird is going on. On Radio Reference, in the Michigan forum,
>>>>they're suddenly able to receive Detroit's Site #701 on Radio Shack
>>>>scanners, but none of the Uniden models...so they say. I'm still
>
> looking
>
>>>>for facts, as it's just a few claiming this right now. Go back and look
>>>>over the post. Tell them what you've been though with yours.
>>>>
>>>>Bill Crocker
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>"no one" <noone@noon.us> wrote in message
>>>>news:XsxEf.49738$PL5.20618@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Anyone in the Flint , Mi Area (Genesee County) Own a BC796D Scanner
>>>>>
>>>>>I would like to listen to the audio, Before I BUY ONE
>>>>>http://www.usascan.com
>>>>>
>>>>>I already Made the mistake of buying a RADIO SHACK PRO 2096 and it's
>>>>>audio Broke up (Choppy) It Even Broke up After I got it Back From
>>>>>RADIO SHACK Repair. I Returned it for Refund .
>>>>>
>>>>>I donnot Want to Go thru the REFUNDING POLICIES again!
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Bill N8JOS
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>
>

601. Re: Uniden BC796D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-16 04:34:36 UTC
From: no one <noone@noon.us>
Message-ID: <whTIf.30508$F_3.24566@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 10081

no one wrote:

>
>
> J. Mc Laughlin wrote:
>
>> Dear Bill N8JOS (aka "No One"):
>> The APCO 25 digital system (which is what is being used in Michigan)
>> will not sound like (have the frequency fidelity nor amplitude
>> smoothness)
>> of an FM system. (at least not when listened to on the available
>> lower cost
>> radios.) The digital system is, well, digital and is quantized at a
>> none-too-fast rate.
>>
>> In short, the "new" system does not sound like the systems of old.
>> Fortunately, humans are able, if they are willing, to understand less
>> than
>> faithful reproductions of the human voice. My students once produced
>> a two
>> bit quantization of human speech. It was not clear, but it was
>> understandable. In the early days of the use of SSB, some people had
>> difficulty in understanding the signals. I have long observed that some
>> people are much better at accommodating to less than perfect
>> reproductions
>> than other people.
>>
>> I speculate that you may be one of the few for whom signals must be
>> clear in order to be satisfactory. In time, lower cost receivers will
>> become better. Stay tuned.
>>
>> 73 Mac N8TT
>
>
>
> I am Not Talking about The AUDIO SOUND..(fidelity)
>
> I am refering to the FACT that EVERY other Word is Heard on the System.
>
> It doesnot make sense to have a system where if a SENTENCE spoken is 20
> words long and all the system rebroadcast is 12 of those words .
>
> The TRANSMISSIONS donnot Make any Sense .
> Example.

>
> I am Chasing a Blue Pontiac on I-75
>
> The words Heard on the Pro2096 would be
>
> am a pontiac 75
>
> I never hear the words I / Chasing / a/ Blue / on/ 1-
>
> It Was chopping off WORDS
>
> I tried win96
>
> I had the Scanner for over a Month.
>
> Radio Shack Repair in Buffalo,Ny Had the 2096 for a week on that time .
> Thats where the TECH said He was when he Called me and SAID, THAT THE
> PRO2096 ISNOT compatible with SIMULCAST APCO 25 System in Genesee county
>
> Needless to say.. I DONNOT have That Scanner anymore . Radio Shack sent

UPDATE

Someone Else is stating that it Doesnot work Very well with Detroit
SIMULCAST

Customer REVIEWS From Radioshack pro2096 URL

u will see this persons post, As well as the one I made awhile back.
He worded it Better !

http://www.radioshack.com/product/index.jsp?productId=2036235&cp=2032052&f=Taxonomy%2FRSK%
2F2032052&categoryId=2032052&kwCatId=2032052&kw=2096&parentPage=search

http://www.radioshack.com/product/index.jsp?parentPage=search&cp=2032052&f=Taxonomy%2FRSK%
2F2032052&productId=2036235&categoryId=2032052&kw=2096&kwCatId=2032052&tab=custRatings

> me My $450.00 Back
> .
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>>
>> --
>> J. Mc Laughlin; Michigan U.S.A.

>>
>> "no one" <noone@noon.us> wrote in message
>> news:0QyIf.56841$PL5.12831@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
>>
>>> Here is the response I got From the HEAD person of The GENESEE COUNTY
>>> 911 Headquarters .. He even includes his e-mail address
>>>
>>> Mr. Turner from Michigan Frequency Coordination referred you to me as he
>>> seems to be unable to satisfy you with his detailed and accurate
>>> response.
>>> Yes, we do have a new 800 MHz system in Genesee County and we are
>>> being listened to successfully by hundreds of citizens and all news
>>> groups. We have nothing to "hide" as you insinuate but you need better
>>> equipment which can be purchased at Radio Shack and several other
>>> outlets. If you are really an active Amateur Radio Operator you should
>>> have friends able to tell you this. I have also included a website that
>>> might help you.
>>>
>>> http://www.geocities.com/tomcat4680/
>>>
>>>
>>> Have a good day,
>>> Lloyd Fayling
>>> Director
>>> Genesee County 911
>>>
>>> lrf@geneseecounty911.org
>>>
>>>
>>> I take it that NO one but I was having problems with the Genesee county
>>> system ! and The Radio Shack pro2096..
>>> By the way, I donnot have a 2096 anymore, I turned it in for a REFUND..
>>> it took Lloyd 3 weeks to respond.. I got my Radio Shack Refund from
>>> Texas 2 WEEKS ago!
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Bill Crocker wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>> Uniden is aware of the problems associated with the Detroit #701
>>>> system,
>>
>>
>> and
>>
>>>> claims a fix is in the works. Not sure how long it will take.
>>>>
>>>> Bill Crocker

>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:Ovadnb84aYmp1nfenZ2dnUVZ_tKdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Something weird is going on. On Radio Reference, in the Michigan
>>>>> forum,
>>>>> they're suddenly able to receive Detroit's Site #701 on Radio Shack
>>>>> scanners, but none of the Uniden models...so they say. I'm still
>>
>> looking
>>
>>>>> for facts, as it's just a few claiming this right now. Go back and
>>>>> look
>>>>> over the post. Tell them what you've been though with yours.
>>>>>
>>>>> Bill Crocker
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "no one" <noone@noon.us> wrote in message
>>>>> news:XsxEf.49738$PL5.20618@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> Anyone in the Flint , Mi Area (Genesee County) Own a BC796D Scanner
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I would like to listen to the audio, Before I BUY ONE
>>>>>> http://www.usascan.com
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I already Made the mistake of buying a RADIO SHACK PRO 2096 and it's
>>>>>> audio Broke up (Choppy) It Even Broke up After I got it Back From
>>>>>> RADIO SHACK Repair. I Returned it for Refund .
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I donnot Want to Go thru the REFUNDING POLICIES again!
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Bill N8JOS
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>
>>

602. AOR Airband filter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-16 06:43:36 UTC
From: Adam Butler <adambutler100@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <IvadnevdyMiEgmneRVn-pQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 10082

Does the AOR airband filter really help?
I know it is a passband type filter but wondering if anyone has actually
tested it and the results.

Thanks!

603. Re: jav-232 cable: where to get?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-16 13:20:30 UTC
From: tg <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
Message-ID: <43f48146$0$29567$da0feed9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 10083

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:g2n7v19u9tfvio7bsmn74fte3ohr5oteut@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 15 Feb 2006 23:41:52 -0000, "tg" <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
> wrote:
>
>>PC serial port plug to breakout box, picture here:
>>http://www.javiation.co.uk/javint.html
>>more details here
>>http://www.javiation.co.uk/manuals/jav-232.doc
>
> There are *many* RS232/TTL cables available. Look on Don Starr's
> cable page
> <http://www.starrsoft.com/software/Win96/Cables/cables.html> to start.

thanks but this page seems to be only for Radio Shack scanners and mine is an AOR scanner with the special Options socket.

604. Re: does a scanner like that exist (and if yes - where)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-16 14:22:54 UTC
From: Radio TexMex <ke5ckrIGNORECAPS@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <aeGdnZLDguQOF2nenZ2dnUVZ_s2dnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 10084

Al Klein wrote:

> On Tue, 14 Feb 2006 03:06:03 +0000 (UTC), chesucat <me@privacy.net>
> wrote:
>
>>ICOM R20 is a scanner! A radio receiver is a radio receiver,
>>no matter how fancy you make it or add on the "features". A
>>radio receiver can only receive and cannot transmit regardless
>>of any perceived "modifications"!
>
> That it's a receiver doesn't make it a scanner.

If it scans, doesn't that make it a scanner?

- Matt

605. Re: does a scanner like that exist (and if yes - where)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-16 14:30:49 UTC
From: Radio TexMex <ke5ckrIGNORECAPS@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <zJSdnfedAtbtEWneRVn-qw@giganews.com>
Source record: 10085

Al Klein wrote:

> On Mon, 13 Feb 2006 17:01:26 -0600, "T" <t@t.net> wrote:
>
>>Do you know what AOR is? or Icom? Have you ever heard of the AOR AR3000?
>>or the Icom scanners?
>
> There's a difference between a wide-band radio that can "scan" a few
> channels and a scanner. Without considerable help, neither the AOR nor
> the Icom can "scan" a trunked system - one of the things the OP asked
> for.

I do believe one of those companies just came out with a wide band receiver that actually *does* scan trunked systems - without considerable help. Does that still not meet your criteria for a scanner? Is the name "Icom" or "AOR" that you don't like?

- Matt

606. Re: Best portable antenna for airbands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-16 15:58:27 UTC
From: Bob <warthog9@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <Di1Jf.48510$dW3.34612@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 10086

Your right, I had the AH-510 and other hamster antennas on my mind which
do work nice on commercial bands but some people mistakenly recommend
for air band.
The AL-500 is a great air and mil-air antenna.
Bob

Bill Crocker wrote:
> "Bob" <warthog9@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:y0yFf.52129$PL5.199@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
>
>>Some of the large, multi-section, multi-band ham antennas like the RH77CA
>>and AL-500H are much better than the stock duckie, but they >were really
>>designed for a few specific ham radio frequencies.
>
> [clipped]
>
>>You did ask >what is the best, didnï¿½t you??
>>Bob
>
>
>
> Where did you find that the Maldol AL-500H was designed for ham radio? All
> references I find on the web clearly state it is designed for 116-174MHz and
> 230-360 MHz aircraft bands. There's also no mention that they're even
> suitable for use on 2m/70cm amateur ham radio bands.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>

607. SLURP Serial Line Universal Reading Program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-16 16:09:53 UTC
From: nadaclue <0nadaclue@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.02.16.16.09.52.496296@earthlink.net>
Source record: 10087

I have been trying to get SLURP run on my Windows XP box to capture the data being sent to the serial port on another Windows XP box. The program starts with no problem, but I have been unable to get it to accept a change in baud rate from the default 4800 to 9600. A file with the default name "dumpcom" is created on start-up. This is what I have been trying "A:\> slurp /1/b9600/d8/p0/s2/w0200/last0". What am I doing wrong?

Thanks for any information.

608. Re: AOR Airband filter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-16 16:43:06 UTC
From: Dale Parfitt <par@parelectronics.com>
Message-ID: <uY1Jf.78154$_D1.67335@trnddc03>
Source record: 10088

"Adam Butler" <adambutler100@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:lvadnevdyMiEgmneRVn-pQ@giganews.com...
> Does the AOR airband filter really help?
> I know it is a passband type filter but wondering if anyone has actually
> tested it and the results.
>
> Thanks!
>
>What interference are you experiencing- from what source(s)?

Dale W4OP

609. ar-2500 schematic wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-17 00:22:04 UTC
From: Rick <zrick001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1140135724.528928.8470@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10089

Hi guys, I am looking for a schematic for an AOR ar-2500 scanner if any one can help it would be appreciated.
Cheers
Rick

610. Re: jav-232 cable: where to get?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-17 00:52:27 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <6g7av1hkd54u02i5klkvgfs9sfj0r0fhfa@4ax.com>
Source record: 10090

On Thu, 16 Feb 2006 13:20:30 -0000, "tg" <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
wrote:

>"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:g2n7v19u9tfvio7bsmn74fte3ohr5oteut@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 15 Feb 2006 23:41:52 -0000, "tg" <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
>> wrote:

>>>PC serial port plug to breakout box, picture here:
>>>http://www.javiation.co.uk/javint.html
>>>more details here
>>>http://www.javiation.co.uk/manuals/jav-232.doc

>> There are *many* RS232/TTL cables available. Look on Don Starr's
>> cable page
>> <http://www.starrsoft.com/software/Win96/Cables/cables.html> to start.

>thanks but this page seems to be only for Radio Shack scanners and mine is an AOR scanner
with the special Options socket.

RS-232 is RS-232, TTL is TTL. Wiring a plug adapter is the easy part.

611. Re: does a scanner like that exist (and if yes - where)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-17 00:53:32 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <3j7av1d5uuantcgeqqa8i76njnltio8gq0@4ax.com>
Source record: 10091

On Thu, 16 Feb 2006 08:22:54 -0600, Radio TexMex
<ke5ckrIGNORECAPS@gmail.com> wrote:

>If it scans, doesn't that make it a scanner?

Does putting a load in the back seat of your VW make it a truck?

612. Re: does a scanner like that exist (and if yes - where)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-17 00:54:14 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <9l7av1d1abgirt7kejno3dt0i740qliqe3@4ax.com>
Source record: 10092

On Thu, 16 Feb 2006 08:30:49 -0600, Radio TexMex
<ke5ckrIGNORECAPS@gmail.com> wrote:

>I do believe one of those companies just came out with a wide band receiver
>that actually *does* scan trunked systems - without considerable help.

Link?

613. Re: AOR Airband filter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-17 00:55:27 UTC
From: tg <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
Message-ID: <43f51f04$0$23287$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 10093

"Adam Butler" <adambutler100@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:lvadnevdyMiEgmneRVn-pQ@giganews.com...
> Does the AOR airband filter really help?

help with what exactly? what problem would you be trying to solve by it's use?

614. Re: SLURP Serial Line Universal Reading Program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-17 00:57:53 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <hn7av1tdk7ibc5ecqcfkdf5v8k7vklviko@4ax.com>
Source record: 10094

On Thu, 16 Feb 2006 16:09:53 GMT, nadaclue <0nadaclue@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>I have been trying to get SLURP run on my Windows XP box to capture the
>data being sent to the serial port on another Windows XP box. The program
>starts with no problem, but I have been unable to get it to accept a
>change in baud rate from the default 4800 to 9600. A file with the default
>name "dumpcom" is created on start-up. This is what I have been trying
>"A:\> slurp /1/b9600/d8/p0/s2/w0200/last0". What am I doing wrong?

Serial port to IP? Try Serproxy.

615. Re: jav-232 cable: where to get?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-17 01:04:27 UTC
From: tg <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
Message-ID: <43f52177$0$29573$da0feed9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 10095

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:6g7av1hkd54u02i5klkvgfs9sfj0r0fhfa@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 16 Feb 2006 13:20:30 -0000, "tg" <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
> wrote:
>
>>"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:g2n7v19u9tfvio7bsmn74fte3ohr5oteut@4ax.com...
>>> On Wed, 15 Feb 2006 23:41:52 -0000, "tg" <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
>>> wrote:
>
>>>>PC serial port plug to breakout box, picture here:
>>>>http://www.javiation.co.uk/javint.html
>>>>more details here
>>>>http://www.javiation.co.uk/manuals/jav-232.doc
>
>>> There are *many* RS232/TTL cables available. Look on Don Starr's
>>> cable page
>>> <http://www.starrsoft.com/software/Win96/Cables/cables.html> to start.
>
>>thanks but this page seems to be only for Radio Shack scanners and mine is an AOR scanner
with the special Options socket.
>
> RS-232 is RS-232, TTL is TTL. Wiring a plug adapter is the easy part.

with an AOR control lead (CC8200) there is a small circuit board tucked inside the serial
port plug housing, it's not a case of
just wiring a plug adaptor although I wish it was. I expect the jav-232 cable (if it ever
exists again) would contain even more
circuitry. Soldering sections togther is easy. Getting hold of the sections - that's the
hard part.

616. BR 330T Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-17 02:42:57 UTC
From: ke4pow <nospam@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <RKaJf.32050$g47.26435@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 10096

I just acquired this scanner and love it, tho I am considering purchasing the 396 due to the fact that I travel nationwide and run into too many digital situations in larger cities, but my question will pertain to both scanners.

What would be the quickest way to download a system to the radio when I arrive in a particular city? Is there a frequency CD or program out there that is compatible with the scanner that will let me quickly download the one system I need and assign it a quick key within say 5 minutes after I get to a certain locale? Any suggestions greatly appreciated. I am a 48-state trucker and need a quick programmer. As it is, I sit and search for systems and punch them in manually - pain in the arse. By the time I get them configured, it's time to leave:)

Thanks!

J

617. Re: jav-232 cable: where to get?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-17 05:07:48 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <cbmav110h81m3kq7t85vlaa7ffmpbukpta@4ax.com>
Source record: 10097

On Fri, 17 Feb 2006 01:04:27 -0000, "tg" <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
wrote:

>"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:6g7av1hkd54u02i5klkvgfs9sfj0r0fhfa@4ax.com...

>> RS-232 is RS-232, TTL is TTL. Wiring a plug adapter is the easy part.

>with an AOR control lead (CC8200) there is a small circuit board tucked inside the serial port plug housing

Same with all other RS-232 to TTL converters.

>just wiring a plug adaptor although I wish it was. I expect the jav-232 cable (if it ever exists again) would contain even more
>circuitry. Soldering sections togther is easy. Getting hold of the sections - that's the hard part.

The cables on Don's site make it easy - they do the level conversion.
All you have to do is the connector conversion. I haven't seen a
schematic of the jav-232, but I'd be veru surprised if it was anything
more than a bidirectional level converter.

618. Re: BR 330T Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-17 05:12:49 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <uhmav11a5oaqj1j9u9p5491e35d1vinrse@4ax.com>
Source record: 10098

On Fri, 17 Feb 2006 02:42:57 GMT, "ke4pow" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com>
wrote:

>What would be the quickest way to download a system to the radio when I
>arrive in a particular city? Is there a frequency CD or program out there
>that is compatible with the scanner that will let me quickly download the
>one system I need and assign it a quick key within say 5 minutes after I get
>to a certain locale? Any suggestions greatly appreciated. I am a 48-state
>trucker and need a quick programmer. As it is, I sit and search for systems
>and punch them in manually - pain in the arse. By the time I get them
>configured, it's time to leave:)

Go to <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR> and get all
the systems you think you'll need. You can use the free Uniden UASD
software that you can download at their site to save the systems to
both the scanner and a laptop, from which you can upload any system to
the scanner in a few minutes.

Even if you program the system by hand, you can save it to disk, so
the next time you're there you just upload it to the scanner.

BTW, CDs and books are outdated almost before you get them. The RR
site is kept up to date by the users. (It would be nice if you
contributed something to it if you find it useful. I have nothing to
do with the site other than being a user, but I think it's a site
worth supporting.)

619. Re: BR 330T Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-17 06:22:47 UTC
From: ke4pow <nospam@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <XYdJf.32071$g47.14126@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 10099

OK, from what I have researched - I will have to pay 30 buckaroos to unlock the full version of the Uniden software in order to use it to dl from the RR database. No problem with donating to the RadioReference website, it is well worth it, in my opinion - so, what would you suggest...unlock the Uniden sw or purchase the 3arc330? I'm leaning more toward the arc330, but I'm not crazy about the interface and graphics. Any comments on using the RR website with either program to dl and program freqs?

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:uhmav11a5oaqj1j9u9p5491e35d1vinrse@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 17 Feb 2006 02:42:57 GMT, "ke4pow" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com>
> wrote:
>
> >What would be the quickest way to download a system to the radio when I
> >arrive in a particular city? Is there a frequency CD or program out there
> >that is compatible with the scanner that will let me quickly download the
> >one system I need and assign it a quick key within say 5 minutes after I
get
> >to a certain locale? Any suggestions greatly appreciated. I am a 48-state
> >trucker and need a quick programmer. As it is, I sit and search for
systems
> >and punch them in manually - pain in the arse. By the time I get them
> >configured, it's time to leave:)
>
> Go to <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR> and get all
> the systems you think you'll need. You can use the free Uniden UASD
> software that you can download at their site to save the systems to
> both the scanner and a laptop, from which you can upload any system to
> the scanner in a few minutes.
>
> Even if you program the system by hand, you can save it to disk, so
> the next time you're there you just upload it to the scanner.
>
> BTW, CDs and books are outdated almost before you get them. The RR
> site is kept up to date by the users. (It would be nice if you
> contributed something to it if you find it useful. I have nothing to
> do with the site other than being a user, but I think it's a site
> worth supporting.)

620. Re: BR 330T Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-17 08:50:08 UTC
From: Pippi <pippi@ingar.se>
Message-ID: <43f58e51$0$2018$ba620dc5@text.nova.planet.nl>
Source record: 10100

Only Arc330 will directly import radioreference data,

"ke4pow" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> schreef in bericht
news:XYdJf.32071$g47.14126@tornado.tampabay.rr.com...
> OK, from what I have researched - I will have to pay 30 buckaroos to
> unlock
> the full version of the Uniden software in order to use it to dl from the
> RR
> database. No problem with donating to the RadioReference website, it is
> well
> worth it, in my opinion - so, what would you suggest...unlock the Uniden
> sw
> or purchase the 3arc330? I'm leaning more toward the arc330, but I'm not
> crazy about the interface and graphics. Any comments on using the RR
> website
> with either program to dl and program freqs?

621. Re: jav-232 cable: where to get?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-17 11:29:34 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation, Bradford, UK" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <Uay0rUBeOb9DFwsS@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 10001

In article <cbmav110h81m3kq7t85vlaa7ffmpbukpta@4ax.com>, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> writes

>The cables on Don's site make it easy - they do the level conversion. >All you have to do is the connector conversion. I haven't seen a >schematic of the jav-232, but I'd be veru surprised if it was anything >more than a bidirectional level converter.

The JAV-232 "at its core" is, as you suggest a biredectional level convertor using a MAX IC.

Rather than have a "model specific" cable/connector (for example the AOR 8200PC) we put a standard DIN plug on the top that can be used to make up any number of cables to connect to any model requiring TTL. The JAV-232 manual gives details of the connections needed for what radio and only basic soldering skills are needed to make up cables as and when needed.

You could have a number of models that require a TTL interface and make use of just the one interface.

When it comes to the AOR models originally designed for the AR8000 hence the FFC connector and cable, we also included in the JAV-323 a squelch tape recorder relay, audio output and with the AR8200 a discriminator output. With the one connection to the AR8200 for example you have RS232/TTL data (with squelch detect too), tape recorder relay and audio output together with discriminator feed.

--
Cheers,
JC
Javiation - Scanners & receivers from all the leading manufacturers
Telephone 01274 639503 International: +44 1274 639503
http://www.javiation.co.uk

622. ICOM IC R8500 Control Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-17 17:40:13 UTC
From: fundgrueb@globi.inet-csa.com
Message-ID: <43f60a81$1_1@news.bluewin.ch>
Source record: 10002

The control software RS R8500 is not sold any more. I have asked in different Ham.Shops.

Can somebody help me to get this software?

This software runs under Windows XP?

TNX 4 RPLY. Hermann J. HE9PIA

623. Sales down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-17 20:56:22 UTC
From: somebody <cheney@noon.us>
Message-ID: <WLqJf.30829$Jd.24245@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 10003

Radio Shack Closing 700 stores

http://www.usatoday.com/money/companies/earnings/2006-02-17-radioshack_x.htm

624. Re: Radio Shack has moved the manual support area.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-18 00:22:30 UTC
From: news <cdockray@pegasusbb.com>
Message-ID: <997d$43f66953$481a96a6$26854@PGTV.COM>
Source record: 10004

try this:

http://support.radioshack.com/productinfo/

"Diamond Dave" <sjester911@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:8rmcv1lfnhh4rndnpis2al3obi6mln1190@4ax.com...
> I went looking for a manual on the Rat Shack site and it appears that they
have
> moved or removed the support area where they used to keep owner's manuals
> and parts lists for older radios. Anybody know of a URL that might be
another
> way of accessing the manuals?

625. Miracle Ducker IL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-18 00:28:41 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <0TtJf.315$uZ1.9@fe12.lga>
Source record: 10005
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm seeking information and opinions about the Miracle Ducker IL. I was considering using it with my Icom IC-R20 receiver for portable operation. It appears to be a tunable loading coil used in conjunction with a rubber duck or whip. The manufacturer's information is not very detailed, but the reviews for this product seem decent. I'd be interested in hearing from others who own or have considered buying one.

Thanks,
Doug

626. Re: BR 330T Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-18 00:47:59 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <1hrcv1d6dscr14rb71sqaed75q46gcfdrg@4ax.com>
Source record: 10006

On Fri, 17 Feb 2006 06:22:47 GMT, "ke4pow" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com>
wrote:

>OK, from what I have researched - I will have to pay 30 buckaroos to unlock
>the full version of the Uniden software in order to use it to dl from the RR
>database. No problem with donating to the RadioReference website, it is well
>worth it, in my opinion - so, what would you suggest...unlock the Uniden sw
>or purchase the 3arc330?

I use the Uniden software, only because I don't like Gommert's
attitude. I'm a shareware/freeware author and he disparages free
software. YMMV.

> I'm leaning more toward the arc330, but I'm not
>crazy about the interface and graphics. Any comments on using the RR website
>with either program to dl and program freqs?

The Uniden programs don't directly download from the site, but KB8SOE
has a site that can generate a file from a copy/paste of the site.
I've used it a few times. <www.kb8soe.com/396/uasd.php>

627. Re: BR 330T Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-18 00:48:42 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <hmrcv1l3vuv65ojllfd8v2342geof1pgpd@4ax.com>
Source record: 10007

On Fri, 17 Feb 2006 09:50:08 +0100, "Pippi" <pippi@ingar.se> wrote:

>Only Arc330 will directly import radioreference data,

Of the two choices. There are other programs that will, some for
scanners other than Uniden.

628. Re: Items FS/FT (Updated 02707/06)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-18 01:32:06 UTC
From: dalite01@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <IKuJf.18675$bW.5429@bignews8.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 10008
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Update: 02/17/2006

Cleaning Out - Many Items for Sale or Trade. All need a new home....
****

Motorola Trunk Units

Mitrek
Mod: T83JJA3900DK
Ser: 433HKJ1366
Xmtr: CC343
RCVR: 8C0216
HUD1072CPR
PA: HLD4042A8PRW
HLN4181APF plug-in board with TRN4224A (156.7)
Unit has lock "converted to screwdriver slot"
Have Motorola Microphone and Control Head that appear to go with this model

$15.00 plus shipping

Micor
XMtr: CC4165
RCVR: RC0003
Mod: T44RTA30038A
Ser: 203CF00527
11 Channel Elements in 460MHz range.
Bottom plate is removed (but available)
lock intact - Bottom may have been pried off
Have Motorola Microphone and Control Head that appear to go with this model

$15.00 plus shipping

****

Yaesu FT-50RD Handheld

Has enhanced keypad and fairly good battery. No charger, paperwork, box or other accessories.

This HT works, but is a real stress generator. The top rotary encoder (channel selector, menu advance, memory, advance, etc.) rotates normally, but will toggle between forward and backwards selections. You can eventually find the channel, selection, frequency that you want, but it may go backwards, forwards, not advance... Anything can happen. Other than that, it is a great talker. The battery takes and holds a charge and looks to be in good shape.

I am keeping the antenna and charger for another rig. It will come with radio and battery.

$100.00 OBO Plus shipping

****

Yaesu VX-5R

HT, Box, Packing, Charger, Manual, Standard antenna(s) and tip(s)

This HT has problems; the antenna is loose at the connector and it has no measurable output. Additionally, it was opened up to be sure that the correct screws were used on the belt clip (a common source of failed outputs), and the conector that holds the ribbon cable between halves is not
retaining the cable properly. It showed signs of have been opened for inspection before. It was purchased used with low output, and was being used with a Yaesu Car DC Adapter (the correct one for this model) when it overheated and lost all measurable output. It will do great as an extremely near field test device; I use it for talking into a 6m repeater I have been setting up. I can get about 300' range from the repeater antenna,
under the best conditions. It may be worth sending back to Yaesu for repair, or for parts to combine with another broken unit. It has the baro, altitude and temp sensors installed in it.

$75.00 Plus shipping

****

Kenwood Sirius Satellite Radio

Kenwood Tuner (KTC-SR901), Display unit with RF Modulator (KCA-RF701M) and Terk antenna (TRK-SR20S) - With all original packaging, documents, etc. - Removed from car when traded in. - Antenna will need a re-mount kit. - Bought New around 2003, and traded in car later for one that had Sirius already installed.

$45.00 OBO Plus shipping

****

Standard Horizon 6 Series Marine HT

No Battery
Comes with Antenna and Destop Charger
Has Crysytals for Channels 6 and 16
Fairly Clean

$10.00 plus shipping

****

Motorola Radius p50+ HT

Has DTMF Pad, Standard Charger - HTN9046B with Wall Wart
Currently on 151.685 MHz Simplex - No PL - Belt Clip mount is broken on rear.
Radio squeals when first turned on, but seems to operate normally after that.
Battery holds some charge, but will need replacing.

$35.00 Plus Shipping

****

Skutch T-51A / 3 - Line Swinger (Telecomm)

This is an intersting device that is used to route 3 lines to one device (phone, answering machine, modem, etc). It will automatically pick up the first line that rings and send a busy signal to the remaining two. Useful in a small business during lunch hours or similar situations. Very unique. In As-New condition.

$10.00 plus shipping

****

Curtis Electro Devices Model ESNR-5900

Analyzer, Antenna, Charger and Manual for a similar model. Used in Cellular
sales to ID phone information prior to programming a used phone. Will read
ESN ONLY after initiating sequence on phone in near field. Will not snarf pairs for illegal use. This is a basic, low-power cell-site. It emulates Cell-Site operation within the AMPS service to initiate communications with
a cellphone within a very short distance in order to extract info necessary
to reprogram/reactivate that phone.

It will not read any phone information unless the phone is close by, and only after that phone has dialed an non-compatible number sequence, that will not result in an completed call.

This is not an illegal monitoring device; it was marketed as a sales-support
tool for cellular dealers - Cira 2nd Quarter 1995.

This unit was assembled from end-run parts inventory when the line was discontinued, so the manual isn't the correct on for this model (but explains overall use) and the charger may not be the correct MAh rating for the batteries installed. It uses 10 AA NiCad batteries and willl need replacements. It will be shipped without batteries to save weight (the ones
in it were starting to show signs of seepage).

This is in a case that is 9"H x 6"W x 2"D and weighing 2 pounds.
Original retail to cellular sales outlets was $1295.00

Make offer or trade via email if interested.

****

RSA Security Smart Card Reader - Part Number: 903396 Revision 1.0

This is a Bulk Packaged unit. No software, instructions or additional items
included. Unit has self adhesive pads for semi-permanent installation, as well as fold down front leg and rear rubber "anti-skid" pad for placement on
any flat surface. Cables include a Serial (DB-9 female) connector that goes
to the unit and also has a second cable coming from the DB-9 that goes to both Male and Female PS-2 type Keyboard connectors (6 pin mini-din) for feed-through connection to keyboard cable.

I believe the setup is to allow data through the Serial connection and to pick up power for the card reader circuit through the Keyboard connection.

The bottom label shows power demands of 5 VDC at 100 mA.

Inside the unit, the top of the board is marked:
SCR111 104080
Rev 1.0 JAN 00
SCM Microsystems

Above the "Card Cage " is silkscreened: S-CARD

The actual "card cage" has the markings JAE and T269

Removing the top of the card cage shows 8 contacts in 2 rows of 4, as well as a single contact separate from the 8 (possibly a CE pin??)

The bottom of the board inside has
PIC 1626C622A-20/SO (U3)
14 pin SM DIP 2C16 / HC74 (U2)
16 pin SM DIP ADM2052EARN / 0221 / K21517 (U1)
14.28 (X1) Appears to be 14.28 MHz timebase crystal

There is a 8 pin header marked J3 that is attached to both top and bottom boards (4 pins on each) as well as solder points (W1 - labeled 1 through 5) for additional connections. Thease appear to be plated through holes connecting both the top and bottom of the PCB. These lines appear to go from PIC to card cage and power source.

I don't know enough about this to determine if it is can be easily set up as
a programmer also.

I have a bunch of these, bulk packaged in anti-static bubble wrap. New, never used.

$6.00 each plus shipping

****

AIM Products Model 15-5010 Push Button Coaxial Switch.

Use for selecting 2 sources for one Television, VCR, etc. - "F"
Connectors for inputs and outputs on rear
A and B Switches on front - Can be mounted with supplied screws or
supplied double-sided tape. - Units for bid are in original sealed
plastic
bags. New Old Stock, never used.

Great for selecting 2 antennas to one receiver or feeding 2 receivers from one antenna. Plus, up can always use them to switch between cable and a VCR.

$2.50 each plus shiping (Have 6 available)

****

All items are U-Ship from 31523 - Brunswick, GA.

I charge actual shipping cost, and will ship via USPS Priority on lighter items or FedEx Ground/Home Delivery on heavier ones. I will combine shipping on multiple items. I want to get rid of this stuff far more than I
want to make a buck or 2 on shipping.

I will accept trade offers on Ham gear, Receiving gear, other electronic items.

I am looking for an Icom IC-T90A HT, Midland Syntech I Low-Band LMR Rigs (70-052, 050, 056 C models), Midland Syntech II accessories, Midland LMR Microphones......

Contact me off the NG @ kd4nue@gmail.com or dalite01@gmail.com or address in the header (if shown)

David
KD4NUE

629. Re: Radio Shack has moved the manual support area.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-18 02:23:03 UTC
From: news <cdockray@pegasusbb.com>
Message-ID: <4eb7$43f6858e$481a96a6$2602@PGTV.COM>
Source record: 10009

your welcome
"Diamond Dave" <sjester911@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:6grcv19nmiti4v2up372abmfin0ltmb48k@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 17 Feb 2006 18:22:30 -0600, "news" <cdockray@pegasusbb.com> wrote:
>
> >try this:
> >
> >http://support.radioshack.com/productinfo/
>
> Thank you, very much.

630. Re: ICOM IC R8500 Control Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-18 11:29:23 UTC
From: "Hermann J. HE9PIA" <fundgrueb@globi.inet-csa.com>
Message-ID: <43f70513$1_1@news.bluewin.ch>
Source record: 10010

I could find the software RS R8500. It works on Windows XP without any
problems !

Thank you for replying my request.

73 de Hermann J. HE9PIA http://www.chrina.ch/he9pia

<fundgrueb@globi.inet-csa.com> schrieb im Newsbeitrag
news:43f60a81$1_1@news.bluewin.ch...
> The control software RS R8500 is not sold any more. I have asked in
> different Ham.Shops.
>
> Can somebody help me to get this software?
>
> This software runs under Windows XP?
>
> TNX 4 RPLY. Hermann J. HE9PIA
>
>

631. RF receiver with remote control through TCP/IP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-18 12:30:48 UTC
From: Eric Voisard <evoisard@MAPS_ON.ieee.org>
Message-ID: <dt741o$19p$1@news.hispeed.ch>
Source record: 10011

Hi everybody,

Maby this isn't the good place to ask, but for my work and a project we're working on, I'm looking for RF receivers (say up to 60MHz) which can be remotely controlled through local network and TCP/IP. The goal being an operator to be able to tune the receivers from his workstation which can be located somewhere else in the building.

If anybody knows of such products, I'd be happy to get some pointers, links, informations, etc...

Thanks in advance.

Eric

632. Re: ICOM IC R8500 Control Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-18 20:48:30 UTC
From: Panzer240 <panzer240@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns976EAAFEE943Ffw190a8@198.80.55.250>
Source record: 10013

"Hermann J. HE9PIA" <fundgrueb@globi.inet-csa.com> wrote in
news:43f70513$1_1@news.bluewin.ch:

> I could find the software RS R8500. It works on Windows XP without any
> problems !
>
> Thank you for replying my request.
>
> 73 de Hermann J. HE9PIA http://www.chrina.ch/he9pia
>
>
>
>
><fundgrueb@globi.inet-csa.com> schrieb im Newsbeitrag
> news:43f60a81$1_1@news.bluewin.ch...
>> The control software RS R8500 is not sold any more. I have asked in
>> different Ham.Shops.
>>
>> Can somebody help me to get this software?
>>
>> This software runs under Windows XP?
>>
>> TNX 4 RPLY. Hermann J. HE9PIA
>>
>>
>
>
>

You might also want to look at Ham Radio Deluxe which supports the R8500.

http://hrd.ham-radio.ch

--
Panzer

633. Re: RF receiver with remote control through TCP/IP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-18 20:52:44 UTC
From: Panzer240 <panzer240@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns976EABB61895Afw190a8@198.80.55.250>
Source record: 10014

Eric Voisard <evoisard@MAPS_ON.ieee.org> wrote in
news:dt741o$19p$1@news.hispeed.ch:

> Hi everybody,
>
> Maby this isn't the good place to ask, but for my work and a project
> we're working on, I'm looking for RF receivers (say up to 60MHz) which
> can be remotely controlled through local network and TCP/IP. The goal
> being an operator to be able to tune the receivers from his workstation
> which can be located somewhere else in the building.
>
> If anybody knows of such products, I'd be happy to get some pointers,
> links, informations, etc...
>
> Thanks in advance.
>
> Eric
>

Take your pick :) there are anumber of the mout there e.g. Ham Radio
Deluxe has a remote server function that would allow this type of
operation. ALl you need is a computer with WinXP on it a supported radio
of your choice and a little config work.

http://hrd.ham-radio.ch

--
Panzer

634. Re: ICOM IC R8500 Control Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-18 21:53:38 UTC
From: "Hermann J. HE9PIA" <fundgrueb@globi.inet-csa.com>
Message-ID: <43f79747$1_1@news.bluewin.ch>
Source record: 10015

Thank you for the note. I already use HRD. It is a straight and
strong programme !

I use RS-R8500 for storing the frequencies into the memories of the R8500.
So I can also use my preferential frequencies on the R8500 when the PC does
not run. (I am probably too lazy to type the frequencies again and again)
;-) I am used to it with my old NRD 525.

73 de Hermann J. HE9PIA

"Panzer240" <panzer240@hotmail.com> schrieb im Newsbeitrag
news:Xns976EAAFEE943Ffw190a8@198.80.55.250...
>
> You might also want to look at Ham Radio Deluxe which supports the R8500.
>
> http://hrd.ham-radio.ch
>
>
>
> --
> Panzer
>

635. Re: RF receiver with remote control through TCP/IP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-18 22:02:50 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <a46fv1t8o0v26c35fm2tv5fi98cgfmfe25@4ax.com>
Source record: 10016

On Sat, 18 Feb 2006 13:30:48 +0100, Eric Voisard
<evoisard@MAPS_ON.ieee.org> wrote:

>Maby this isn't the good place to ask, but for my work and a project we're
>working on, I'm looking for RF receivers (say up to 60MHz) which can be
>remotely controlled through local network and TCP/IP. The goal being an
>operator to be able to tune the receivers from his workstation which can be
>located somewhere else in the building.

>If anybody knows of such products, I'd be happy to get some pointers, links,
>informations, etc...

Depends on what you need in a receiver. SSB? Ppm stability? Variable
bandwidth? Or would a scanner that covers the frequency and receives
AM and FM be enough?

But any receiver that can be controlled with software can be
controlled over your LAN - just install UltraVNC
<http://ultravnc.sourceforge.net/> on the computer the receiver is
connected to, and the UltraVNC client on the computer you want to use
for control and you can control it with the software you normally use
for controlling that receiver locally. (You'll have to use something
else - I use Winamp with the Oddcast plugin and Icecast - to remote
the audio.)

636. Re: BR 330T Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-18 22:03:32 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <lc6fv1h6dfjge3nh80ve87rvkgfus1ci24@4ax.com>
Source record: 10017

On Sat, 18 Feb 2006 10:27:58 -0500, lsmyer <lsmyer@yahoo.com> wrote:

>I tried to explain this to Gommert, but his smugness and attitude

That's a pretty good description. :)

637. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA SAYS FCC TO OFFER K1MAN CALL FOR SALE AT STARTING BIDS 0F $1,000,000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-18 23:43:51 UTC
From: "s. hanrahan" <user@home.com>
Message-ID: <57cfv1ta765gi9mes73qhfn18b4ga6mbtr@4ax.com>
Source record: 10018
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On 16 Jan 2006 15:27:13 -0800, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:

>FCC TO OFFER K1MAN CALL FOR SALE AT STARTING BIDS 0F $1,000,000? JAIL
>TIME FOR FCC "SPECIAL COUNSEL" RILEY HOLLINGSWORTH?
>
>See AARA NEWS
>
>>From ARRL web site:
>
> FCC zips through vanity application backlog (Jan 12, 2006) -- Once the
>FCC resumed processing Amateur Radio vanity call sign applications
>earlier this month, its Wireless Telecommunications Bureau (WTB) blazed
>through the backlog. A total of 990 vanity applications piled up in the
>queue after the FCC suspended vanity processing September 23. An
>initial batch of 121 grants Friday, January 6, was followed by 195 more
>the next day. Apparently confident by then that the system was working
>okay, the FCC let loose the remaining 674 vanity grants Tuesday,
>January 10. During the vanity hiatus, applications appeared with a
>"Y--application has problems" flag in the Universal Licensing System
>(ULS), prompting some concern on the part of those who checked their
>applications' status. The WTB reportedly applied the "Y" status to
>prevent the system from running the entire backlog at once. The WTB
>halted vanity processing last fall after realizing that filing deadline
>extensions for licensees in certain states affected by hurricanes
>Katrina and Rita applied to Amateur Radio's two-year "grace period" and
>could impact the vanity system. FCC spokesman Tracy Simmons told ARRL
>today that the WTB now is processing current vanity call sign receipts.
>It typically takes approximately three weeks from the time a vanity
>application is submitted until a call sign is issued.--some information
>from Fred Maia, W5YI

The ball is in the FCC's court, and they'll sit on their hands for the
next 8 months. Wouldn't surprise me if they shitcanned his
application.

Stacey, AA7YA

638. Re: RF receiver with remote control through TCP/IP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-19 02:48:38 UTC
From: Eric Voisard <evoisard@MAPS_ON.ieee.org>
Message-ID: <dt8ma6$36j$1@news.hispeed.ch>
Source record: 10019

Al Klein wrote:
> On Sat, 18 Feb 2006 13:30:48 +0100, Eric Voisard
> <evoisard@MAPS_ON.ieee.org> wrote:
>
>
>>Maby this isn't the good place to ask, but for my work and a project we're
>>working on, I'm looking for RF receivers (say up to 60MHz) which can be
>>remotely controlled through local network and TCP/IP. The goal being an
>>operator to be able to tune the receivers from his workstation which can be
>>located somewhere else in the building.
>
>
>>If anybody knows of such products, I'd be happy to get some pointers, links,
>>informations, etc...
>
>
> Depends on what you need in a receiver. SSB? Ppm stability? Variable
> bandwidth? Or would a scanner that covers the frequency and receives
> AM and FM be enough?
>
> But any receiver that can be controlled with software can be
> controlled over your LAN - just install UltraVNC
> <http://ultravnc.sourceforge.net/> on the computer the receiver is
> connected to, and the UltraVNC client on the computer you want to use
> for control and you can control it with the software you normally use
> for controlling that receiver locally. (You'll have to use something
> else - I use Winamp with the Oddcast plugin and Icecast - to remote
> the audio.)

Hi Al,

Actually, scanners that covers AM and FM would be enough. No need of too sophisticated devices for our experimentations.

When I saw that most receivers (if not all) allow interconnect through a serial port only, I though about the vnc solution. However, as we plan a cluster of receivers, I'd have prefered to find receivers with an on board network port...

We don't need audio since it'll follow another path in our system. It's just for controlling the receivers.

In the mean time, I stumbled across the WinRadio receiver PC boards, what could be the solution. We could put several boards into a clean rack mounted computer, and WinRadio to provide the remote server software. Moreover, I've found some sourceforge projects which provides drivers and libraries (among

other), this would allow the host computer to run on unix, thus much customization...

Many thanks for your input, Eric

639. Re: RF receiver with remote control through TCP/IP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-19 02:49:16 UTC
From: Eric Voisard <evoisard@MAPS_ON.ieee.org>
Message-ID: <dt8mbc$36j$2@news.hispeed.ch>
Source record: 10020

Panzer240 wrote:
> Eric Voisard <evoisard@MAPS_ON.ieee.org> wrote in
> news:dt741o$19p$1@news.hispeed.ch:
>
>
>>Hi everybody,
>>
>>Maby this isn't the good place to ask, but for my work and a project
>>we're working on, I'm looking for RF receivers (say up to 60MHz) which
>>can be remotely controlled through local network and TCP/IP. The goal
>>being an operator to be able to tune the receivers from his workstation
>>which can be located somewhere else in the building.
>>
>>If anybody knows of such products, I'd be happy to get some pointers,
>>links, informations, etc...
>>
>>Thanks in advance.
>>
>>Eric
>>
>
>
> Take your pick :) there are anumber of the mout there e.g. Ham Radio
> Deluxe has a remote server function that would allow this type of
> operation. ALl you need is a computer with WinXP on it a supported radio
> of your choice and a little config work.
>
> http://hrd.ham-radio.ch
>
>

Thanks Panzer,
Wow, this HRD stuff really looks neat!

I think this would be a nice solution if we turn our choice to "external" receivers. Though, I'm now thinking about the WinRadio PC boards solution (see my follow-up to Al). A 19" computer full of WinRadio boards could be well integrated to our system...

Now I need to check if the mini-jack on the rear of WinRadio boards really is for audio output since we need independant analog output for each receiver (e.g. not from the computer's sound board)

best regards, Eric

640. Re: BR 330T Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-19 04:04:51 UTC
From: BuTel Software <spam@box.com>
Message-ID: <43f771f3$0$11065$e4fe514c@news.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 10012

The facts:

- we did offer you a discount
- after your first email I visited both scancat/scancontrol websites to check their current offering for the Uniden BR330T and make a competitive offer, but both companies do not list the popular BR330T in their list of supported equipment!
- I asked you to send me the websites showing they do support the BR330T but I am still waiting for that information, I even downloaded their latest demo's and doc's, none of them mention BR330T

641. Re: BR 330T Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-19 04:56:31 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <4jufv1p8joj95mofp01n6tcmj8ksfvphnr@4ax.com>
Source record: 10021

On Sat, 18 Feb 2006 20:04:51 -0800, "BuTel Software" <spam@box.com>
wrote:

>- I asked you to send me the websites showing they do support the BR330T but
>I am still waiting for that information, I even downloaded their latest
>demo's and doc's, none of them mention BR330T

Have you even looked at the BR330T protocol?

642. IC-R1500 HF receive impressions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-19 13:04:18 UTC
From: Maurizio Bonfanti <maurizio.bonfanti@libero.it>
Message-ID: <m1_Jf.2817$H6.39361@twister2.libero.it>
Source record: 10023

Any impression about IC-R1500 HF receive

Maurizio

643. New group for UK shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-19 13:13:04 UTC
From: Rikk <rikks.mail@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <A9_Jf.66847$0N1.27042@newsfe5-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 10024

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Shortwave-UK/

644. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA SAYS FCC TO OFFER K1MAN CALL FOR SALE AT STARTING BIDS 0F $1,000,000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-19 14:14:48 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <Webmaster@WH2T>
Message-ID: <5e2ef$43f87d52$4e0b7a6$23808@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 10025
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"s. hanrahan" <user@home.com> wrote in message
news:57cfv1ta765gi9mes73qhfn18b4ga6mbtr@4ax.com...
> On 16 Jan 2006 15:27:13 -0800, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
>
SNIPPED
>
>
> The ball is in the FCC's court, and they'll sit on their hands for the
> next 8 months. Wouldn't surprise me if they shitcanned his
> application.
>
> Stacey, AA7YA

One thing is sure, the FCC is slow to act.
Ace - WH2T

645. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA SAYS FCC TO OFFER K1MAN CALL FOR SALE AT STARTING BIDS 0F $1,000,000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-19 15:08:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1140361695.358192.188990@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Dr.Ace wrote:
> "s. hanrahan" <user@home.com> wrote in message
> news:57cfv1ta765gi9mes73qhfn18b4ga6mbtr@4ax.com...
> > On 16 Jan 2006 15:27:13 -0800, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> SNIPPED
> >
> >
> > The ball is in the FCC's court, and they'll sit on their hands for the
> > next 8 months. Wouldn't surprise me if they shitcanned his
> > application.
> >
> > Stacey, AA7YA
>
> One thing is sure, the FCC is slow to act.
> Ace - WH2T

on that i can certainly agree with you

646. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA SAYS FCC TO OFFER K1MAN CALL FOR SALE AT STARTING BIDS 0F $1,000,000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-19 16:03:51 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1140365031.889009.20240@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10027
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Just like you are slow, Markie the retard.

647. WTB: Radio Shack Pro31 portable scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-19 18:16:55 UTC
From: "Thanks....." <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <rC2Kf.8314$yt2.5802@trndny04>
Source record: 10028

I'm looking for a Radio Shack Pro-31 10 channel portable programmable
scanner from the 80's.
It must be in working order. I'm looking to add it to my collection of older
programmable units.

Thanks,

email direct
pottsladd13@yahoo.com

648. Re: BR 330T Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-19 21:20:46 UTC
From: BuTel Software <spam@box.com>
Message-ID: <43f86494$0$11063$e4fe514c@news.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 10022

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:4jufv1p8joj95mofp01n6tcmj8ksfvphnr@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 18 Feb 2006 20:04:51 -0800, "BuTel Software" <spam@box.com>
> wrote:
>
> >- I asked you to send me the websites showing they do support the BR330T
but
> >I am still waiting for that information, I even downloaded their latest
> >demo's and doc's, none of them mention BR330T
>
> Have you even looked at the BR330T protocol?

what protocol? We are talking about scancat/scancontrol docs, Mr X claims
they both support the BR330T, I am just trying to find a simple website to
acknowledge that...

649. Poem by The Peace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-19 22:57:32 UTC
From: gagoweb <carteleriaygigantografia@yahoo.com.ar>
Message-ID: <1140389852.023203.36760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10029

Poem by The Peace in to:
http://www.literaturadeficcion.com.ar/por%20la%20paz.htm
Thanks.!

650. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA SAYS FCC TO OFFER K1MAN CALL FOR SALE AT STARTING BIDS 0F $1,000,000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-20 00:01:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1140393697.816943.161410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10030
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Just like you are slow, Markie the retard.

I think at tranlight compared to you

651. Re: BR 330T Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-20 04:14:33 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <pegiv11t31lb1k5n1su9amfm81sgpnlefd@4ax.com>
Source record: 10031

On Sun, 19 Feb 2006 13:20:46 -0800, "BuTel Software" <spam@box.com>
wrote:

>"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>news:4jufv1p8joj95mofp01n6tcmj8ksfvphnr@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 18 Feb 2006 20:04:51 -0800, "BuTel Software" <spam@box.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >- I asked you to send me the websites showing they do support the BR330T
>but
>> >I am still waiting for that information, I even downloaded their latest
>> >demo's and doc's, none of them mention BR330T
>>
>> Have you even looked at the BR330T protocol?
>
>what protocol? We are talking about scancat/scancontrol docs, Mr X claims
>they both support the BR330T, I am just trying to find a simple website to
>acknowledge that...

So you haven't, and yet you make pronouncements about it.

You've reaffirmed my faith in a particular portion of the species,
Gommert. It's quite a telent, you know - I can only speak out of my
mouth.

652. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA SAYS FCC TO OFFER K1MAN CALL FOR SALE AT STARTING BIDS 0F $1,000,000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-20 04:30:02 UTC
From: Billy Smith <none@aweisioateopahstdylsad.com>
Message-ID: <eBbKf.1354$5M6.715@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10032
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Rogie can't think how could you expect any more out of a retard with an IQ
of 60.

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1140393697.816943.161410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> Just like you are slow, Markie the retard.
>
> I think at tranlight compared to you
>

653. THE THOUGHTS OF YOUR RADIO GOD K1MAN: K5LBJ (ARRL BIG PROJECT STATION) GOES "BIG TIME" WITH K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-20 09:04:25 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1140426265.103575.195110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10033
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

K1MAN BULLETIN - B060215B -

K5LBJ (ARRL BIG PROJECT STATION) GOES "BIG TIME" WITH K1MAN

Dateline Belgrade Lakes, Maine, USA.

K1MAN came on 14.275 MHz. after KE9RY signed with OE8ANK at 8:30 EST on Wednesday, 15 February 2006.

OE8ANK and K5LBJ were calling CQ (they apparently could not hear each other), and K1MAN answered and made contact with both stations at the same time in hopes that a three way QSO could be established. Ray, OE8ANK, said that he was in Southern Austria, and Harry, a K5LBJ High School student, said that he was in Austin, Texas. K5LBJ (in "K1MAN shock?") suddenly "froze up cold" and totally dropped out of the QSO, not responding to several calls. K1MAN then finished up with OE8ANK and called K5LBJ back again and again. N6GJR, Carl, then called in from the Dallas area for a short QSO. K1MAN signed with him and then started the IARN daily talk show since the frequency was now totally clear. Five minutes into the K1MAN talk show, Harry, K5LBJ, called K1MAN on the telephone, not to join the talk show, but to respectfully "complain" about K1MAN "taking over" "his" frequency(1). But, being delighted to have kids(2) on "my" 14.275 MHz. frequency (hi), and in the true spirit of good amateur practice, I immediately stopped the talk show in progress and turned the frequency back over to K5LBJ who then called CQ, CQ, CQ, CQ, CQ, repeatedly.

K1MAN then checked in again and tried to get a signal report and info on K5LBJ and the "ARRL School Club Roundup" which they were working. But, the kids had no interest in such "rag chewing;" they just wanted contacts, Harry said. No problem. Harry did say that they would be on for an hour. No problem. At 9:08 EST, fifteen or so minutes later, Harry said K5LBJ was going QRT. Not an hour by any stretch, but these are just kids, right? No digital clocks in the ARRL premier Big Project ham shack???? ARRL petty hobby politics???? Perhaps the kids actually believe the prevailing ARRL "party line" that K1MAN is not a duly licensed amateur radio station????

I gather K5LBJ went QRT so the kids could attend classes and study such American fundamentals of citizenship such as the United States Constitution which guarantees equal justice for all, except for K1MAN, and due process of law for everyone, except for K1MAN, hi! Perhaps some "bible study: In the beginning there was ARRL, and the Lord saw

that the League was good in the Garden of Newington, etc.!"

I am truly flattered that the premier ARRL Big Project student station(3) would come to 14.275 MHz. right after the new K1MAN talk show was announced to the world. Actually, 14.275 MHz. is a very popular special event frequency, isn't it? They say that imitation is the sincerest form of flattery. If you can't bring Mohammed to the mountain, bring the mountain to Mohammed, hi! K5LBJ thus went big time with K1MAN on 14.275 MHz., in the major leagues of amateur radio.

73 and GL de K1MAN

(1) First the Boy Scouts and now the kids, right? I can see another $21,000 NAL from "Rot Riley" now, can't you? Hi!

(2) Honestly, when was the last time you worked someone under age 30 on 20 meters? In fifty years of amateur radio, I could count it on one hand with the exception of when I was 20 and talking to myself, hi!

(3) Check out K5LBJ on www.QRZ.com.

654. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA SAYS FCC TO OFFER K1MAN CALL FOR SALE AT STARTING BIDS 0F $1,000,000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-20 13:59:41 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1140443981.468129.198740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10034
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Billy "Hot for Rogie's cock" Smith wrote:
> Rogie can't think how could you expect any more out of a retard with an IQ
> of 60.

Projecting again, pizza boy?

BILLY SMITH STEPS ON HIS OWN DICK THUSLY:

Billy "Dumbass who can't put together a sentence" Smith shows off those
English skills, quite ironically in
<VfQHf.14581$rH5.8121@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"That's interesting there idiot. I bet you really did flunk high school
math and probably elementary too. Oh thats right, wasn't that when was
in
Floriduh. Spelled Florida but the DUH is for your Dumb Ass."

"wasn't that when was in Floriduh" - Billy makes good fuck up!

Billy "shoots" himself in his palsied club foot once again in
Message-ID: <Q0bJf.19641$vU2.7735@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Roger, shots himself in the foot"

> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1140393697.816943.161410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> Just like you are slow, Markie the retard.
> >
> > I think at tranlight compared to you

No, Markie, you think at molasses speed!

655. some help needed with Miami Police frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-20 16:48:11 UTC
From: vineyard_saker@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1140454091.252006.207850@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10035

Hi everybody,

I am still working on preparing my trip to Miami next month, and I came acros this page http://www.bellscb.com/cb_radio_hobby/scanners/miami_fleet_codes.htm with info about the frequencies used by the police in Miami. I am a little confused here. The website lists two frequencies groups:

TYPE I:

854.9625 855.2125 855.4625 855.7125
856.2125
856.4625 856.7125 856.9625 857.4625
857.7125
857.8625 857.9625 858.2125 858.7125
858.9625
859.2125 859.4625 859.9625 860.2125
860.4625
860.7125

and

TYPE II:

856.7875 856.9125 857.7875 857.9125
858.7875
858.9125 859.7875 859.9125 860.7875
860.9125

Since they are in the 800MHz range, I suppose that these are trunkated frequencies, but what are TYPE I and TYPE II? My PRO-97 comes with the following modes: CTCSS/CT, DCS/DC, Motorola/MO (pre-rebanding), EDACS, LTR.

I am under the impression that TYPE I and TYPE II are probably Motorola, but then how can I find out with these are pre or post -rebanding (I think that my PRO-97 will not be able to scan rebanded Motorola frequencies, alas).

Could I listen to TYPE I AND TYPE II at the same time? If yes, in which order should I enter these frequencies in my bank? Do I also need to enter the fleet IDs or does this receiver do that automatically? (the IDs are also given on the web page above).

Many thanks in advance for your help,

VS

PS: for those who helped me with the Miami Sheraton frequencies question:

I just came back from a chess championship in Orlando which took place at the Traveloge in Kissimmee (South of Orlando). I used my PRO-97 Signal Stalker to scan for the hotel's frequencies. In less than 5 min I had them all. The hotel's main frequency was 150.90500, but it also used the following four 462.32500, 462.57500, 464.03750 and 469.03750. In two days of scanning I did not identify any specific service using any one rather than the other. Their main frequency, 150.90500, was used for pretty much everything from calling in a repair to ordering more towels. I did not identify any security frequency, but then neither did I see any dedicated security personnel.

As promised, when I come back from Miami, I will post a short report about the Sheraton there.

656. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA SAYS FCC TO OFFER K1MAN CALL FOR SALE AT STARTING BIDS 0F $1,000,000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-20 17:15:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1140455739.635362.297050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10036
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Billy "Hot for Rogie's cock" Smith wrote:

> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1140393697.816943.161410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >> Just like you are slow, Markie the retard.
> > >
> > > I think at tranlight compared to you
> >
> No, Markie, you think at molasses speed!
preahps which is translight compared to you

657. grow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-20 17:18:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1140455899.394709.299430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10037
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
> K1MAN BULLETIN - B060215B -
>
> K5LBJ (ARRL BIG PROJECT STATION) GOES "BIG TIME" WITH K1MAN
>
> Dateline Belgrade Lakes, Maine, USA.
>
> K1MAN came on 14.275 MHz. after KE9RY signed with OE8ANK at 8:30 EST
> on Wednesday, 15 February 2006.
>
grow up
```

658. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA SAYS FCC TO OFFER K1MAN CALL FOR SALE AT STARTING BIDS 0F $1,000,000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-20 19:21:47 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1140463306.985811.82790@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10038
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
an_old_retard wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Billy "Hot for Rogie's cock" Smith wrote:
>
> > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1140393697.816943.161410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > > >
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > >> Just like you are slow, Markie the retard.
> > > >
> > > > I think at tranlight compared to you
> >
> > No, Markie, you think at molasses speed!
> preahps which is translight compared to you
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Wrong as usual, retard.
```

659. Re: some help needed with Miami Police frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-20 20:00:28 UTC
From: vineyard_saker@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1140465628.414147.110410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10039

Hi again,

Now I am even more confused. I look up the autoritative radio
reference and I found out that according to
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=208 Miami
Police does not use TYPE I or TYPE II Motorola, but *EDACS*?!?!

Which website should I trust?!

Radioreference says that:

"Dade County actually has 3 separate systems in use for countywide
communications -->

1. A 6 site 20 channel simulcast EDACS system for all public safety
operations.

2. A 6 site 20 channel simulcast EDACS system for all county services.

3. A single site 5 channel EDACS system for the Miccusukee Indian
Police, remote operations and aircraft operations.
This site is located at the Miccusukee Indian Reservation about 25
miles west in the Everglades.

4. A single site 5 channel EDACS system mounted on a remote trailer for
special operations and special events.

All Dade County public safety operations except the fire department
uses these systems.
A couple of Police Talkgroups are in AEGIS Digital mode or Encrypted.

System Frequencies
Site Description
001 LG and Fire 01 866.11250 02 866.36250 03 866.61250 04 866.86250
05 867.13750 06 867.38750 07 867.66250
08 867.91250 09 868.26250 10 868.51250 11 866.13750 12
866.38750 13 866.63750 14 866.88750
15 867.28750 16 867.53750 17 868.11250 18 868.36250 19
868.61250 20 868.86250
002 Public Safety 01 866.03750 02 866.28750 03 866.76250 04
867.06250 05 867.41250 06 867.78750 07 868.13750
08 868.38750 09 868.63750 10 868.88750 11 866.06250 12
866.31250 13 866.56250 14 866.81250
15 867.11250 16 867.36250 17 867.56250 18 867.81250 19
868.16250 20 868.41250
003 Remote Everglades 01 866.73750 02 867.23750 03 867.68750 04

868.23750 05 868.73750
005 Remote Trailer 01 866.13750 02 866.38750 03 866.63750 04
866.06250 05 866.31250

Does this mean that I should enter only the "public safety" frequencies
to hear the police? Since I am not from the USA am not sure if police
and "public safety" are the same thing or not. If not, which
frequencies do the cops (Miami Police & Sheriff) use?

Again - many thanks for any pointers!

VS

660. Re: THE THOUGHTS OF YOUR RADIO PEON K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-20 20:49:19 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <LY-dnRVxvN3RtmfenZ2dnUVZ_t6dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10040
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Nobody really gives a shit about this asshole.

661. Re: THE THOUGHTS OF POLITICAL ORGANIZATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-20 21:22:42 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <S6udnVowybqCrmfenZ2dneKdnZydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 10041
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

The ARRL is now a political organization.
K1MAN is trying to become the new political organization.

If anyone wants to help further the cause of ARS, they should
join a local club or just make a donation to a local club or
the Foundation for Amateur Radio.

Your money is better spent close to home, rather then lining someone's pockets!

662. Re: THE THOUGHTS OF YOUR RADIO GOD K1MAN: K5LBJ (ARRL BIG PROJECT STATION) GOES "BIG TIME" WITH K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-20 22:08:39 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1140473319.066783.130550@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10042
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Ok lets cut the bully shit, First off K1MAN is LEGAL! until the FCC makes a FINAL ORDER on his his fined he can legally be on the air. The Fucking Asshole Radio Relay League and their little members are pushing this shit that he's operating illegally, which is not true. As for K5LBJ, they need to learn that they don't own any frequencies, and if the frequency is not being used then anyone can use it. This bull shit idea of well were sitting on the frequency, and although were not on it, were still using it, and that's what the vast majority of amateur operators are doing and this bullshit practice needs to stop.

Todd N9OGL
http://12.156.123.39/master/index.htm

663. radio shack CEO Quits today !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-20 22:46:18 UTC
From: somebody <cheney@noon.us>
Message-ID: <_ErKf.50091$dW3.43927@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 10043

Ceo of Radio Shack Quit today.. Stock holder Must have been monitoring
this Newsgroup

http://www.usatoday.com/money/industries/retail/2006-02-20-radioshack-ceo_x.htm

664. Re: radio shack CEO Quits today !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-20 23:00:01 UTC
From: Noah Little <me2@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <dtdhhu$8i3$2@n4vu2.n4vu.com>
Source record: 10044

somebody wrote:
> Ceo of Radio Shack Quit today.. Stock holder Must have been monitoring
> this Newsgroup

Yes, that must have been the reason.

665. Re: radio shack CEO Quits today !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-20 23:13:58 UTC
From: Honest John <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <vsydnWkcN5Bp02feRVn-sQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 10045

"Noah Little" <me2@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:dtdhhu$8i3$2@n4vu2.n4vu.com...
> somebody wrote:
> > Ceo of Radio Shack Quit today.. Stock holder Must have been monitoring
> > this Newsgroup
>
> Yes, that must have been the reason.

I'm positive of it !!

HJ

666. Re: THE THOUGHTS OF YOUR RADIO GOD K1MAN: K5LBJ (ARRL BIG PROJECT STATION) GOES "BIG TIME" WITH K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-21 00:31:16 UTC
From: LRod <duckecho@gmail-dot-.com>
Message-ID: <hnnkv19jeqg9ell7enmfubh42iuoggdkhm@4ax.com>
Source record: 10046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On 20 Feb 2006 14:08:39 -0800, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Ok lets cut the bully shit, First off K1MAN is LEGAL!

Oh, wow! Did you pass the Illiniois bar exam and didn't tell anyone
about it? Good for you...

if you passed it, that is...

and if you didn't, why are you spouting "legal" opinions?

--
LRod

Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite

Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999

http://www.woodbutcher.net

Proud participant of rec.woodworking since February, 1997

email addy de-spam-ified due to 1,000 spams per month.
If you can't figure out how to use it, I probably wouldn't
care to correspond with you anyway.

667. Re: THE THOUGHTS OF YOUR RADIO GOD K1MAN: K5LBJ (ARRL BIG PROJECT STATION) GOES "BIG TIME" WITH K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-21 01:20:21 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1140484821.672365.214460@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On 20 Feb 2006 14:08:39 -0800, "N9OGL" <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>Ok lets cut the bully shit, First off K1MAN is LEGAL!

>Oh, wow! Did you pass the Illiniois bar exam and didn't tell anyone
>about it? Good for you...
>if you passed it, that is...

>and if you didn't, why are you spouting "legal" opinions?

Because it's a fact, anyone who reads the FCC rules and regulations
will tell you that. I messed around enough with the FCC enough to know
both in Amateur radio and in regards to pirate radio. Untill a FINAL
ORDER is issued he can legal use his station. K3VR will tell you that
and so will Keith Lamonica W7DXX who is a lawyer.

Todd N9OGL

LRod

668. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA SAYS FCC TO OFFER K1MAN CALL FOR SALE AT STARTING BIDS 0F $1,000,000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-21 01:25:51 UTC
From: Billy Smith <none@aweisioateopahstdylsad.com>
Message-ID: <z_tKf.1400$S25.580@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Hows that lifelong burger flipper job Woger

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1140463306.985811.82790@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> an_old_retard wrote:
>> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > Billy "Hot for Rogie's cock" Smith wrote:
>>
>> > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > > news:1140393697.816943.161410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> > > >
>> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > >> Just like you are slow, Markie the retard.
>> > > >
>> > > > I think at tranlight compared to you
>> >
>> > No, Markie, you think at molasses speed!
>> preahps which is translight compared to you
> ^^
>
> Wrong as usual, retard.
>

669. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA SAYS FCC TO OFFER K1MAN CALL FOR SALE AT STARTING BIDS 0F $1,000,000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-21 01:29:06 UTC
From: Billy Smith <none@aweisioateopahstdylsad.com>
Message-ID: <C1uKf.1403$S25.860@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 10049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Bubba Wideass Woger comes in after a long day slurping down spoo. Walks to the fridge and opens it, finds a beer and guzzles it down to get the spoo after taste out his mouth. Woger says "Damn, that bottle head tastes like shit." "Oh, I forgot says Woger, I stuck that bottle up my ass last night"

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1140463306.985811.82790@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> an_old_retard wrote:
>> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > Billy "Hot for Rogie's cock" Smith wrote:
>>
>> > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > > news:1140393697.816943.161410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> > > >
>> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > >> Just like you are slow, Markie the retard.
>> > > >
>> > > > I think at tranlight compared to you
>> >
>> > No, Markie, you think at molasses speed!
>> preahps which is translight compared to you
> ^^
>
> Wrong as usual, retard.
>

670. Re: some help needed with Miami Police frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-21 02:49:09 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <umvkv1l7v681hcnbggqa5d58gvtb3bn81g@4ax.com>
Source record: 10050

On 20 Feb 2006 12:00:28 -0800, vineyard_saker@yahoo.com wrote:

>Now I am even more confused. I look up the autoritative radio
>reference and I found out that according to
>http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=208 Miami
>Police does not use TYPE I or TYPE II Motorola, but *EDACS*?!?!

This is the Dade County system. The City of Miami uses
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=206. Since
the city is located within the county, it might seem confusing.

Miami city police are on the Motorola system. Dade County police are
on the EDACS system. I doubt that makes it any more clear to you.

Program both systems - you'll hear some action on one and some action
on the other.

671. Re: some help needed with Miami Police frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-21 02:59:58 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <ftvkv1h94etb4jd12pl1e2nnjql7k0dpof@4ax.com>
Source record: 9951

On 20 Feb 2006 08:48:11 -0800, vineyard_saker@yahoo.com wrote:

>Hi everybody,
>
>I am still working on preparing my trip to Miami next month, and I came
>acros this page
>http://www.bellscb.com/cb_radio_hobby/scanners/miami_fleet_codes.htm
>with info about the frequencies used by the police in Miami. I am a
>little confused here. The website lists two frequencies groups:
>
>TYPE I:

This has been upgraded to a Motorola Type II system - it's the Miami
City system. The control frequencies (the only ones you have to
program) are:

854.9625, 855.2125, 855.4625 and 855.7125.
<http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=206>

>TYPE II:

This is the Miami Beach system. The control frequencies are:

859.78750, 859.91250, 860.78750 and 860.91250.
<http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=207>

>Since they are in the 800MHz range, I suppose that these are trunkated
>frequencies, but what are TYPE I and TYPE II? My PRO-97 comes with the
>following modes: CTCSS/CT, DCS/DC, Motorola/MO (pre-rebanding), EDACS,
>LTR.

>I am under the impression that TYPE I and TYPE II are probably
>Motorola, but then how can I find out with these are pre or post
>-rebanding

Everything at this time (with the exception of a few systems that have
moved - these haven't) is pre-rebanding.

>Could I listen to TYPE I AND TYPE II at the same time?

No problem, since these are both Type II, but yes.

>If yes, in which order should I enter these frequencies in my bank?

If you had a I/II mix you'd have to enter them in separate banks.

>Do I also need to enter the fleet IDs or does this receiver do that
>automatically? (the IDs are also given on the web page above).

You have to enter map information for Type I systems and for all
trunked systems you either enter the Talkgroup IDs you want to listen
to or you listen to them all.

>I had them all. The hotel's main frequency was 150.90500, but it also
>used the following four 462.32500, 462.57500, 464.03750 and 469.03750.
>In two days of scanning I did not identify any specific service using
>any one rather than the other.

Some of the 460 frequencies might have been trunked, and they don't
separate by frequency, they separate by talkgroup.

672. Re: THE THOUGHTS OF POLITICAL ORGANIZATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-21 03:00:51 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <mi0lv1tq6u6jplirbp0n3f26actuq7mri7@4ax.com>
Source record: 9952
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 20 Feb 2006 16:22:42 -0500, Scott in Baltimore
<sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com> wrote:

>The ARRL is now a political organization.
>K1MAN is trying to become the new political organization.

<plonk>

673. Re: THE THOUGHTS OF POLITICAL ORGANIZATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-21 03:11:52 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <0JydnddzJ7poGWfeRVn-uA@comcast.com>
Source record: 9953
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

>>The ARRL is now a political organization.
>>K1MAN is trying to become the new political organization.
>
>
> <plonk>

WAAAAAAAAAA!

This ID10T can't handle a thought different from himself,
so he turns the other cheek.

Must be a paid-up lifetime member of one of those gripes.

674. Re: THE THOUGHTS OF POLITICAL ORGANIZATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-21 03:12:25 UTC
From: somebody <cheney@noon.us>
Message-ID: <tyvKf.35745$F_3.9683@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 9954
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:

> On Mon, 20 Feb 2006 16:22:42 -0500, Scott in Baltimore
> <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>>The ARRL is now a political organization.
>>K1MAN is trying to become the new political organization.
>
>
> <plonk>

I am not in Defense of K1MAN.

I have been licensed since 1967 and W1AW Has always Came right on FREQ with Code practice/ Bulletins. With High power .. Never any considerstion for Who was already using the FREQ. No one at W1Aw was checking the FREQ Before Transmitting .

Why Doesn't The FCC take away the club license for W1AW ?

I sat Treat Every licensee The SAME !

Has W1Aw Cleaned their operating Practices ? (I have not turned on My HF gear since 1997) So they may have Cleared up There OWN Transmissions

675. Re: THE THOUGHTS OF POLITICAL ORGANIZATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-21 03:32:28 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <1e2lv113di6gp528ah9g79vn1br0jmt01q@4ax.com>
Source record: 9955
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 21 Feb 2006 03:12:25 GMT, somebody <cheney@noon.us> wrote:

>I am not in Defense of K1MAN.

<plonk>

676. Re: THE THOUGHTS OF POLITICAL ORGANIZATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-21 04:11:35 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6eedneDn6stpD2feRVn-qg@comcast.com>
Source record: 9956
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

>>I am not in Defense of K1MAN.

Al Klein must be bedmates with K1MAN.

677. Re: THE THOUGHTS OF YOUR RADIO GOD K1MAN: K5LBJ (ARRL BIG PROJECT STATION) GOES "BIG TIME" WITH K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-21 04:15:44 UTC
From: LRod <duckecho@gmail-dot-.com>
Message-ID: <0n4lv1hnfhjhrhjblk82praiknu38tlca5@4ax.com>
Source record: 9957
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On 20 Feb 2006 17:20:21 -0800, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:

>On 20 Feb 2006 14:08:39 -0800, "N9OGL" <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>Ok lets cut the bully shit, First off K1MAN is LEGAL!
>
>>Oh, wow! Did you pass the Illiniois bar exam and didn't tell anyone
>>about it? Good for you...
>>if you passed it, that is...
>
>>and if you didn't, why are you spouting "legal" opinions?
>
>Because it's a fact, anyone who reads the FCC rules and regulations
>will tell you that.

May be, but if they're not a lawyer, their opinion is worthless, like
yours, because you are NOT a lawyer.

>I messed around enough with the FCC enough to know
>both in Amateur radio and in regards to pirate radio.

May be, but a couple of passing experiences with an agency,
irrespective of field, is only good for telling stories. It doesn't
lend credence to your "legal" opinions, because you are NOT a lawyer.

>Untill a FINAL ORDER is issued he can legal use his station.

May be, but even if that is settled law, and it remains undemonstrated
in this thread that it is, your pronouncement doesn't make it so,
because you are NOT a lawyer.

>K3VR will tell you that and so will Keith Lamonica W7DXX who is a lawyer.

May be, but you can't, because you are NOT a lawyer.

And how nice of you to put words in their mouths. They should see a
lawyer, but it won't be you. Why? Because...

--
LRod

Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite

Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999

http://www.woodbutcher.net

Proud participant of rec.woodworking since February, 1997

email addy de-spam-ified due to 1,000 spams per month.
If you can't figure out how to use it, I probably wouldn't
care to correspond with you anyway.

678. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA SAYS FCC TO OFFER K1MAN CALL FOR SALE AT STARTING BIDS 0F $1,000,000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-21 04:25:18 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1140495918.313231.266610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9958
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_retard wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > Billy "Hot for Rogie's cock" Smith wrote:
> >
> > > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1140393697.816943.161410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > >> Just like you are slow, Markie the retard.
> > > > >
> > > > > I think at tranlight compared to you
> > >
> > > No, Markie, you think at molasses speed!
> > preahps which is translight compared to you
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>
> Wrong as usual, retard.

nope I think you don't therefore I must think better than you do QED
```

679. Re: THE THOUGHTS OF YOUR RADIO GOD K1MAN: K5LBJ (ARRL BIG PROJECT STATION) GOES "BIG TIME" WITH K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-21 04:35:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1140496533.282873.84080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9959
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

LRod wrote:
> On 20 Feb 2006 17:20:21 -0800, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >On 20 Feb 2006 14:08:39 -0800, "N9OGL" <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>Ok lets cut the bully shit, First off K1MAN is LEGAL!
> >
> >>Oh, wow! Did you pass the Illiniois bar exam and didn't tell anyone
> >>about it? Good for you...
> >>if you passed it, that is...
> >
> >>and if you didn't, why are you spouting "legal" opinions?
> >
> >Because it's a fact, anyone who reads the FCC rules and regulations
> >will tell you that.
>
> May be, but if they're not a lawyer, their opinion is worthless, like
> yours, because you are NOT a lawyer.

your stament is not factual
>
> >I messed around enough with the FCC enough to know
> >both in Amateur radio and in regards to pirate radio.
>
> May be, but a couple of passing experiences with an agency,
> irrespective of field, is only good for telling stories. It doesn't
> lend credence to your "legal" opinions, because you are NOT a lawyer.

i know lawyers don't like it, but the rest of us have opinions too
>
> >Untill a FINAL ORDER is issued he can legal use his station.
>
> May be, but even if that is settled law, and it remains undemonstrated
> in this thread that it is, your pronouncement doesn't make it so,
> because you are NOT a lawyer.

Iit applied to last flap someone in FL
>
> >K3VR will tell you that and so will Keith Lamonica W7DXX who is a lawyer.
>
> May be, but you can't, because you are NOT a lawyer.

bullshit he can repeat the words of a lawyer

>
> And how nice of you to put words in their mouths. They should see a
> lawyer, but it won't be you. Why? Because...
>
> --
> LRod
>
> Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite
>
> Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999
>
> http://www.woodbutcher.net
>
> Proud participant of rec.woodworking since February, 1997
>
> email addy de-spam-ified due to 1,000 spams per month.
> If you can't figure out how to use it, I probably wouldn't
> care to correspond with you anyway.

680. Re: THE THOUGHTS OF YOUR RADIO GOD K1MAN: K5LBJ (ARRL BIG PROJECT STATION) GOES "BIG TIME" WITH K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-21 04:59:48 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1140497988.817690.212050@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9960
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Dumbass, it don't take a lawyer to read the rules. I've been active both with the FCC and the House and Senate Subcommittee on Telecommunications in regards to FCC rules and regulations and FCC abuse of their on rules and regulation. The fact is the FCC MUST issue a FINAL ORDER before FCC can actually be shut down. The FCC tried to shut down some pirate radio stations down a few years ago, but the court throw out the FCC injunction because the FCC didn't issue a Final Order. Under the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) a government agency must have Administrative review of any rule or violation, in which those accused of violating the rule can raise challenges to the violation, including Constitutional ones. After the Administrative process is done the accused can appeal to the US Court of Appeal and the US Supreme Court. K1MAN was give a NAL, a NAL is not a final order, the Fucking FCC will tell you that, until a final order is issued and all his LEGAL avenues he can continue to operate his station. The FCC can go to the courts and have the courts stop him while the administrative procedure and legal process is going on, but 1. they haven't done it and 2. they have to show why it's in the public interest and what harm would be caused by him remaining an operator. I would suggest you read PART 0, Part 1 and Part 2 of the FCC rules and regulations, it don't take a fucking lawyer to read plain language rules.

Todd N9OGL
Free Speech Advocate

681. Re: THE THOUGHTS OF YOUR RADIO GOD K1MAN: K5LBJ (ARRL BIG PROJECT STATION) GOES "BIG TIME" WITH K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-21 05:03:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1140498222.521877.195220@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9961
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

N9OGL wrote:
> Dumbass, it don't take a lawyer to read the rules. I've been active
> both with the FCC and the House and Senate Subcommittee on
> Telecommunications in regards to FCC rules and regulations and FCC
> abuse of their on rules and regulation. The fact is the FCC MUST issue
> a FINAL ORDER before FCC can actually be shut down. The FCC tried to
> shut down some pirate radio stations down a few years ago, but the
> court throw out the FCC injunction because the FCC didn't issue a Final
> Order. Under the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) a government agency
> must have Administrative review of any rule or violation, in which
> those accused of violating the rule can raise challenges to the
> violation, including Constitutional ones. After the Administrative
> process is done the accused can appeal to the US Court of Appeal and
> the US Supreme Court. K1MAN was give a NAL, a NAL is not a final order,
> the Fucking FCC will tell you that, until a final order is issued and
> all his LEGAL avenues he can continue to operate his station. The FCC
> can go to the courts and have the courts stop him while the
> administrative procedure and legal process is going on, but 1. they
> haven't done it and 2. they have to show why it's in the public
> interest and what harm would be caused by him remaining an operator. I
> would suggest you read PART 0, Part 1 and Part 2 of the FCC rules and
> regulations, it don't take a fucking lawyer to read plain language
> rules.
>
> Todd N9OGL
> Free Speech Advocate

the only thing I would add to the is pehaps you should have given the
full name of of NAL Notice of Apreareant Liabilty

noting the very nature It aprrears to be liability

682. Whatever happened to J.P Schell, Elkhart, IN?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-21 05:10:41 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <lhxKf.23152$_S7.6681@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 9962

The son of a bitch (may he rot in hell!) ripped me off on my 780XLT about 8 or 9 months ago. We were supposed to even swap my 780XLT for his AR3000A. He gave me the run-around on delivery and I never got the AOR. I then found out that he ripped off a bunch of other people too. Yeah, there was a detective from Elkhart PD looking into it, but I chalked it up as a bad experience. His phone number was disconnected. I'm over the situation now, but I wonder whatever happened to him? Anybody know?

Frank

683. Re: THE THOUGHTS OF POLITICAL ORGANIZATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-21 05:12:53 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1140498773.627697.311280@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9963
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

>I am not in Defense of K1MAN.
>I have been licensed since 1967 and W1AW Has always Came right on FREQ
>with Code practice/ Bulletins. With High power .. Never any
>considerstion for Who was already using the FREQ. No one at W1Aw was
>checking the FREQ Before Transmitting .
>
>Why Doesn't The FCC take away the club license for W1AW ?
>
>I sat Treat Every licensee The SAME !

>Has W1Aw Cleaned their operating Practices ? (I have not turned on My
>HF gear since 1997) So they may have Cleared up There OWN Transmissions

Your not the only one, there has been a number of people who have
posted on the internet of W1AW interfering with their QSO's. My view
point has always been that the rules should apply to all but right now
s they stand, they don't.
Todd N9OGL

684. Re: THE THOUGHTS OF POLITICAL ORGANIZATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-21 05:30:40 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@gwi.net>
Message-ID: <sYSdnRZ8lNzoOGfenZ2dnUVZ_tidnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 9964

Do you think you crossposted this to enough newsgroups, moron ? The K1MAN issue is worn out, and nobody cares any more. I could care less if he takes over for the ARRL. My rigs have been gathering dust for years. What has the ARRL done for you lately ?
The entire ham bands are becoming another CB band, while the FCC sells off huge chunks of it, to fund their operation. The funding cuts they got a few years back ensured they would have a motivation to do just that. Appliance operators and CBers. That's what you got now. They change the rules and license classes about once a week, just to make sure nobody gets too "fond" of a particular spot, then they dont have to listen to too much screaming when they sell that segment off to some commercial interest... Just keep 'em moving along, mahony....
Want to fight to save amateur radio ? Then don't forget to pray to Saint Jude.... Because it's a lost cause, dude...
"Scott in Baltimore" <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:S6udnVowybqCrmfenZ2dneKdnZydnZ2d@comcast.com...
> The ARRL is now a political organization.
> K1MAN is trying to become the new political organization.
>
> If anyone wants to help further the cause of ARS, they should
> join a local club or just make a donation to a local club or
> the Foundation for Amateur Radio.
>
> Your money is better spent close to home, rather then lining someone's
> pockets!

685. Re: THE THOUGHTS OF YOUR RADIO GOD K1MAN: K5LBJ (ARRL BIG PROJECT STATION) GOES "BIG TIME" WITH K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-21 05:52:13 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <hUxKf.2396$Vl6.817@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 9965
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

N9OGL wrote:
> Dumbass, it don't take a lawyer to read the rules. I've been active
> both with the FCC and the House and Senate Subcommittee on
> Telecommunications in regards to FCC rules and regulations and FCC
> abuse of their on rules and regulation.

Sure you have, Todd, and the ACLU consults you on a regular basis.

> The fact is the FCC MUST issue
> a FINAL ORDER before FCC can actually be shut down.

So the FCC is forced to issue a final order and can then shut itself
down. Well, I'll be darned.

Dave K8MN

686. Re: AOR Airband filter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-21 06:09:03 UTC
From: Adam Butler <adambutler100@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <oqCdnYeCGoniM2feRVn-pQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 9966

Sorry for the slow reply,

My main issues are overload from paging transmitters.
I'm also located very near the counties EOC which often uses the
transmitters for normal dispatch.

I also live in a valley which needs a good outdoor antenna for reception but
at the same time, I'm in an apartment so I'm limited in what I can put
outdoors.

A good receiver such as my old RS Pro 2004 and 2006 work well due to the
triple conversion I guess.
But some of my more expensive receivers like the AOR8200 seem to pickup a
lot of noise from the paging transmitters.
I'd love to be able to search from 108 all the way up to 136 without any
signals other than air traffic but I know that is impossible with a
multiband receiver with a wide open front end.

So my question is, does the AOR airband filter work in reducing this type of
interference from local paging transmitters in the vhf band?

All I need to know!

Thanks

"tg" <tg@nospamevereverever.net> wrote in message
news:43f51f04$0$23287$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk...
>
> "Adam Butler" <adambutler100@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:lvadnevdyMiEgmneRVn-pQ@giganews.com...
>> Does the AOR airband filter really help?
>
> help with what exactly? what problem would you be trying to solve by it's
> use?
>

687. Re: THE THOUGHTS OF YOUR RADIO GOD K1MAN: K5LBJ (ARRL BIG PROJECT STATION) GOES "BIG TIME" WITH K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-21 06:31:14 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1140503474.520881.143510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9967
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

> The fact is the FCC MUST issue
> a FINAL ORDER before FCC can actually be shut down.

>So the FCC is forced to issue a final order and can then shut itself
>down. Well, I'll be darned.

No the FCC must issue a FINAL ORDER before they can shut K1MAN down,
this bullshit idea that an NAL is a FINAL ORDER is that, Bullshit. Ham
Operators have it in their head that once you get a NAL it over, but
that not true, there is LONG process and sometimes can take up to three
to five years before it's all done.

Todd N9OGL

688. Re: radio shack CEO Quits today !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-21 07:47:39 UTC
From: Mary <xxxxyyosugrad97330@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <vAzKf.46897$id5.41283@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 9968

"Honest John" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:vsydnWkcN5Bp02feRVn-sQ@centurytel.net...
>
> "Noah Little" <me2@privacy.net> wrote in message
> news:dtdhhu$8i3$2@n4vu2.n4vu.com...
>> somebody wrote:
>> > Ceo of Radio Shack Quit today.. Stock holder Must have been monitoring
>> > this Newsgroup
>>
>> Yes, that must have been the reason.
>
> I'm positive of it !!
>
> HJ
>
I'm 100% certain and then some.

689. Re: AOR Airband filter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-21 07:57:24 UTC
From: Adam Butler <adambutler100@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <sbednZ_UPcZ4WmfeRVn-iA@giganews.com>
Source record: 9969

See reply to tg!

"Dale Parfitt" <par@parelectronics.com> wrote in message
news:uY1Jf.78154$_D1.67335@trnddc03...
>
> "Adam Butler" <adambutler100@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:lvadnevdyMiEgmneRVn-pQ@giganews.com...
>> Does the AOR airband filter really help?
>> I know it is a passband type filter but wondering if anyone has actually
>> tested it and the results.
>>
>> Thanks!
>>
>>What interference are you experiencing- from what source(s)?
>
> Dale W4OP
>

690. Re: radio shack CEO Quits today !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-21 07:58:52 UTC
From: Adam Butler <adambutler100@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <VoSdnRUJU6KgVWfenZ2dnUVZ_vidnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 9970

So does this mean that Radio Shack will get back to what it used to be
several years ago?

"Noah Little" <me2@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:dtdhhu$8i3$2@n4vu2.n4vu.com...
> somebody wrote:
>> Ceo of Radio Shack Quit today.. Stock holder Must have been monitoring
>> this Newsgroup
>
> Yes, that must have been the reason.

691. just got my ass kicked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-21 08:25:17 UTC
From: Emilio Goldstein <egoldtein@sofa.net>
Message-ID: <fc2dnW_QPKXxU2feRVn-uA@comcast.com>
Source record: 9971

I just got my ass kicked by a patrolman for playing my scanner radio real loud near a crime scene. I played it through my car speakers as a hostage situation was unfolding. Apparently the guy killed himself while they were trying to talk him out. I think he heard the cops making fun of him on their raidos. Do I have a case? Am I entitled to any money in a settlement?

692. Re: just got my ass kicked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-21 10:51:28 UTC
From: nitespark <nitespark@cox.net>
Message-ID: <GgCKf.405112$0l5.94156@dukeread06>
Source record: 9972

Emilio Goldstein wrote:
> I just got my ass kicked by a patrolman for playing my scanner radio real
> loud near a crime scene. I played it through my car speakers as a hostage
> situation was unfolding. Apparently the guy killed himself while they were
> trying to talk him out. I think he heard the cops making fun of him on
> their raidos. Do I have a case? Am I entitled to any money in a settlement?
>
>
Welcome back Emilio.....we missed you. You must be a bit out of form.
I have seen MUCH better from you.

--
I have never met a liberal street cop.

693. Re: some help needed with Miami Police frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-21 13:09:38 UTC
From: vineyard_saker@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1140527377.997423.303470@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9973

Hi Al,

Thanks for all the explanations!

Let's see if I got this right, ok?

First, for Miami-Beach and Miami City I program all the following into one bank:

854.9625, 855.2125, 855.4625, 855.7125, 859.78750, 859.91250, 860.78750 and 860.91250.

and I tag each of them as "MO" for Motorola Trunking.

I ignore all the alternate control channels listed as "blue" on the webpages you listed, right? (but then why would that be?! would I not need them also?)

Since I want to listen to everything that is going on, I do not enter and talkgroups IDs.

Should I want to listen to Miami-Dade *COUNTY* police I program EDACS into another, separate bank. But which ones?! Are police "LG & Fire" or "Public Safety"?

Also, say something big happens, how do Miami-City, Miami-Beach and Miami-Dade County cops talk to each other?!

Any further pointers would really be appreciated!

Thanks,

VS

PS: I do not think that the hotel frequencies were trunked, but since I was running only the Singnal Stalker mode I suppose I might not have noticed. My impression was that there was no distinction made between any talkgroups, pretty much everything was happening everywhere.

694. Re: just got my ass kicked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-21 18:07:28 UTC
From: JJ <jcecklund@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <AFIKf.32936$Jd.24523@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 9974

What a dumb fuck! You should have had you ass kicked for being around this situation, It is stupid ass dumb fucks like you that ruin it for all of us.

NO you don't have a case!

"Emilio Goldstein" <egoldtein@sofa.net> wrote in message news:fc2dnW_QPKXxU2feRVn-uA@comcast.com...
>I just got my ass kicked by a patrolman for playing my scanner radio real
>loud near a crime scene. I played it through my car speakers as a hostage
>situation was unfolding. Apparently the guy killed himself while they
>were trying to talk him out. I think he heard the cops making fun of him
>on their raidos. Do I have a case? Am I entitled to any money in a
>settlement?
>

695. Re: just got my ass kicked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-21 20:02:13 UTC
From: nitespark <nitespark@cox.net>
Message-ID: <_kKKf.405289$0l5.298525@dukeread06>
Source record: 9975

Never anonymous Bud wrote:
> On Tue, 21 Feb 2006 18:07:28 GMT, "JJ" <jcecklund@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>
>
>>What a dumb fuck! You should have had you ass kicked for being around this
>>situation, It is stupid ass dumb fucks like you that ruin it for all of us.
>
>
> You're NEW here, I see.
>
> Emilio is a troll. Been around for years.
>
> Nothing he says is true.
>

Aw c'mon....let Emilio reel in a couple before you let anybody know what
happened. The responses to Emilio are as good as Emilio himself.

Although, this one was a bit out of form. "Classic" Emilio usually has
a long, rambling dissertation that is well worth the read.

696. Re: some help needed with Miami Police frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-21 20:21:36 UTC
From: wbertram <wbertram@aol.com>
Message-ID: <4fKdnYOfTqnN62beRVn-qw@rcn.net>
Source record: 9976

I would suggest you put the Miami Beach and City of Miami control channel freqs in two separate banks. If they are in the same bank, the scanner will monitor one or the other system, but not both. If they are in separate banks the scanner will monitor one for a while, then the other for a while, and if entered and turned on, Dade County for a while.

I suspect these systems are so busy you will be overwhelmed with calls, and will find it difficult to sort them all out. You may wish to 'close' the banks and monitor only a few of the key talkgroups.

vineyard_saker@yahoo.com wrote:
> Hi Al,
>
> Thanks for all the explanations!
>
> Let's see if I got this right, ok?
>
> First, for Miami-Beach and Miami City I program all the following into
> one bank:
>
> 854.9625, 855.2125, 855.4625, 855.7125, 859.78750, 859.91250, 860.78750
> and 860.91250.
>
> and I tag each of them as "MO" for Motorola Trunking.
>
> I ignore all the alternate control channels listed as "blue" on the
> webpages you listed, right? (but then why would that be?! would I not
> need them also?)
>
> Since I want to listen to everything that is going on, I do not enter
> and talkgroups IDs.
>
> Should I want to listen to Miami-Dade *COUNTY* police I program EDACS
> into another, separate bank. But which ones?! Are police "LG & Fire"
> or "Public Safety"?
>
> Also, say something big happens, how do Miami-City, Miami-Beach and
> Miami-Dade County cops talk to each other?!
>
> Any further pointers would really be appreciated!
>
> Thanks,
>
> VS
>

> PS: I do not think that the hotel frequencies were trunked, but since I
> was running only the Singnal Stalker mode I suppose I might not have
> noticed. My impression was that there was no distinction made between
> any talkgroups, pretty much everything was happening everywhere.
>

697. Re: just got my ass kicked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-22 01:29:37 UTC
From: Emilio_Fan <nospam@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <88PKf.57$8w.132226@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 9978

Hey Emilio!

Glad to see your unique brand of shit-stirring is back again.

You're much more creative than the guy who spews all the K1MAN crap.

Were you wearing your pig mask and security guard uniform whilst at the
crime scene?

"Emilio Goldstein" <egoldtein@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:fc2dnW_QPKXxU2feRVn-uA@comcast.com...
> I just got my ass kicked by a patrolman for playing my scanner radio real
> loud near a crime scene. I played it through my car speakers as a hostage
> situation was unfolding. Apparently the guy killed himself while they
were
> trying to talk him out. I think he heard the cops making fun of him on
> their raidos. Do I have a case? Am I entitled to any money in a
settlement?
>
>

698. Re: AOR Airband filter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-22 01:50:39 UTC
From: tg <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
Message-ID: <43fbc42c$0$4998$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 9977

"Adam Butler" <adambutler100@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:oqCdnYeCGoniM2feRVn-pQ@giganews.com...
> Sorry for the slow reply,
>
> My main issues are overload from paging transmitters.
> I'm also located very near the counties EOC which often uses the transmitters for normal dispatch.
>
> I also live in a valley which needs a good outdoor antenna for reception but at the same time, I'm in an apartment so I'm limited
> in what I can put outdoors.
>
> A good receiver such as my old RS Pro 2004 and 2006 work well due to the triple conversion I guess.
> But some of my more expensive receivers like the AOR8200 seem to pickup a lot of noise from the paging transmitters.
> I'd love to be able to search from 108 all the way up to 136 without any signals other than air traffic but I know that is
> impossible with a multiband receiver with a wide open front end.
>
> So my question is, does the AOR airband filter work in reducing this type of interference from local paging transmitters in the
> vhf band?
>
> All I need to know!

you might be on the wrong track, maybe your problem isn't overload.
I recently had troubles with paging transmitters with my AR8200 and managed to sort it out. I also thought I was getting overload
but it turned out not to be the case. More info from you is needed. What frequency are you getting the problem on? What makes you
think you're getting overload on that frequency? (be precise) What software are you using to decode? Are you controlling the
scanner with PC software? if so, which prog? And most importantly, are you using the scanner's earphone socket as your audio
source?

699. Re: just got my ass kicked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-22 04:32:05 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <o9qnv1p6hbfg431vrplij1g1jplvqi19ol@4ax.com>
Source record: 9979

On Tue, 21 Feb 2006 05:51:28 -0500, nitespark <nitespark@cox.net>
wrote:

>I have never met a liberal street cop.

You may have without knowing it.

700. Re: some help needed with Miami Police frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-22 04:35:37 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <7dqnv1p77p8dnnaih68rmuiocad48kuopp@4ax.com>
Source record: 9980

On Tue, 21 Feb 2006 15:21:36 -0500, wbertram <wbertram@aol.com> wrote:

>I would suggest you put the Miami Beach and City of Miami control
>channel freqs in two separate banks. If they are in the same bank, the
>scanner will monitor one or the other system, but not both.

That depends. With GRE scanners and Motorola systems, you can program
more than 1 system in a bank, as long as there's at least one
not-locked-out conventional channel between control channel groups.

701. Re: some help needed with Miami Police frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-22 04:38:35 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <11vnqmbnhlbnsf0@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 9981

Al Klein wrote:

> That depends. With GRE scanners and Motorola systems, you can program
> more than 1 system in a bank, as long as there's at least one
> not-locked-out conventional channel between control channel groups.

Really? So I could stack two Motorola trunked systems in one bank on a
PRO-95 as long as I stuck a "divider" conventional channel between them?
That's intriguing. Too tired to play with it right now, but I may
have to give that a go tomorrow night.
--
Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
http://hiwaay.net/~williams/

702. Re: some help needed with Miami Police frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-22 04:46:32 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <tgqnv15e81h2kjp93c14cltpnndvv9odsf@4ax.com>
Source record: 9982

On 21 Feb 2006 05:09:38 -0800, vineyard_saker@yahoo.com wrote:

>First, for Miami-Beach and Miami City I program all the following into
>one bank:

>854.9625, 855.2125, 855.4625, 855.7125, 859.78750, 859.91250, 860.78750
>and 860.91250.

>and I tag each of them as "MO" for Motorola Trunking.

Set the mode for the bank as MO and the mode for each channel as MO.

Program 854.9625, 855.2125, 855.4625 and 855.7125 for the City, then
any conventional frequency (it doesn't have to be in use, but it can't
be locked out - 146.55 will work, if no hams are using it) then
859.7875, 859.9125, 860.7875 and 860.9125 for Miami Beach - assuming
you have a Radio Shack scanner.

>I ignore all the alternate control channels listed as "blue" on the
>webpages you listed, right?

Good idea, since there are none for either of those systems. (Black
is not blue.)

>Since I want to listen to everything that is going on, I do not enter
>and talkgroups IDs.

>Should I want to listen to Miami-Dade *COUNTY* police I program EDACS
>into another, separate bank. But which ones?! Are police "LG & Fire"
>or "Public Safety"?

In the Dade County system, police talkgroups are listed in the Police
group - TGs 512-737.

>Also, say something big happens, how do Miami-City, Miami-Beach and
>Miami-Dade County cops talk to each other?!

Dade County, with their EDACS radios, can't talk to the others on
their Motorola radios. Miami and Miami Beach, being on different
systems, can't use those radios to talk to each other either. They
either have some interop system (a second radio) or the dispatchers
use the phones a lot. (They probably have direct lines to the other
agencies.)

703. BR330T Hidden commands

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-22 06:03:34 UTC
From: init_null <initnull@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1140588214.227543.128420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9983

My finger hurts but here's all the command i found :

NOTE : Beware, some commands will erase your systems, make very loud noises or insert test systems, please backup first.

Hold all numbers then press power

1- UPDATE MODE (Screen jam)
SCAN, 9, 6
SCAN, 9
LO, 9, 6
L/O, 6

2- RS232C TEST (Some data dumped over serial link)
Hold, 2, .
Hold, 1, 0
Hold, 0, .

3- DUMP TEST (ask for memory address)
Hold, 1, 9
Hold, 3, 7
Hold, 7, 9
LO, 9, 1
LO, 7, 3
LO, 3, 1

4- Frequency counter mode (very usefull)
Hold, 2, E
Hold, 3, 0
Hold, 0, E

5- All memory clear (Erase scanner)
Hold, 8, 9
Hold, 3, 8
Hold, 2, 9
LO, 9, 2
LO, 8, 4
LO, 8, 3
LO, 3, 2

6- Key touch test (White screen)
Hold, 5, E
Hold, 6, 0
SCAN, 0, 3
SCAN, 2, E

7- Key touch test (Black screen)
1, 0, 9
1, e, 8
2, ., 9
2, e, 7
3, ., 8
3, 0, 7

8- Scan Rate test
Hold, 4, 9
Hold, 6, 7
SCAN, 9, 1
SCAN, 7, 3
LO, 6, 1
LO, 4, 3

9- Scan test
Hold, 5, 9
Hold, 6, 8
SCAN, 9, 2
SCAN, 8, 3
LO, 6, 2
LO, 5, 3

10- ON-AIR Test
1, ., 0
1, ., 2
1, 0, 2
2, ., 0

11- NWR-SAME test
1, 0, e
1, 0, 3
1, e, 2
2, ., e
2, ., 3
3, ., 0

12- TRUNK Test (1:MOT, 2:EDACS(W), 3:EDACS(N) 4:LTR)
1, 9, 8
1, 9, 2
1, 8, 3
2, 9, 7
2, 7, 3
3, 8, 7

13- General Test (1:SRC 5k, 2:SRC 6.25k, 3:SRC 7.5k, 4:SRC 8.33k,
5:SRC 10k,
6:SRC 12.5k, 7:WFM, 8:AM, 9:SEARCH RATE, 0:DATA SKIP)
1, 9, 5
1, 8, 6
2, 9, 4
2, 7, 6

3, 8, 4
3, 7, 5

14- Battery Saver Test
1, 8, 5
1, 8, 4
1, 7, 5
2, 8, 4
2, 7, 5
2, 7, 4

15- Display firmware version + Serial number ?
2, 9, 6
2, 9, 5
2, 8, 6
2, 9, 5
3, 8, 6
3, 8, 5

704. Re: BR 330T Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-22 08:46:49 UTC
From: keith <mke1965@harbornet.com>
Message-ID: <569ov199ovnh2oq9nvo7qq7oc2h5761259@4ax.com>
Source record: 9984

Get the ARC Professional software. it's the best all around tool for
this radio.

you will have no regrets.

Keith Evans
On Fri, 17 Feb 2006 02:42:57 GMT, "ke4pow" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com>
wrote:

>I just acquired this scanner and love it, tho I am considering purchasing
>the 396 due to the fact that I travel nationwide and run into too many
>digital situations in larger cities, but my question will pertain to both
>scanners.
>
>What would be the quickest way to download a system to the radio when I
>arrive in a particular city? Is there a frequency CD or program out there
>that is compatible with the scanner that will let me quickly download the
>one system I need and assign it a quick key within say 5 minutes after I get
>to a certain locale? Any suggestions greatly appreciated. I am a 48-state
>trucker and need a quick programmer. As it is, I sit and search for systems
>and punch them in manually - pain in the arse. By the time I get them
>configured, it's time to leave:)
>
>Thanks!
>
>J
>

705. Re: just got my ass kicked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-22 10:49:33 UTC
From: nitespark <nitespark@cox.net>
Message-ID: <RkXKf.405679$0l5.331484@dukeread06>
Source record: 9985

Al Klein wrote:
> On Tue, 21 Feb 2006 05:51:28 -0500, nitespark <nitespark@cox.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>I have never met a liberal street cop.
>
>
> You may have without knowing it.

Met quite a few cops in my day. Can't say I have ever heard any of them
spew any liberal crap.

--
I have never met a liberal street cop.

706. Re: just got my ass kicked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-22 13:12:37 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <daSdnRswWKXU_mHenZ2dnUVZ_vydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9986

I wonder how many people killed themselves when they saw that Emilio had returned to rec.radio.scanner? LMAO!!!

Bill Crocker

"Emilio Goldstein" <egoldtein@sofa.net> wrote in message news:fc2dnW_QPKXxU2feRVn-uA@comcast.com...
>I just got my ass kicked by a patrolman for playing my scanner radio real
>loud near a crime scene. I played it through my car speakers as a hostage
>situation was unfolding. Apparently the guy killed himself while they
>were trying to talk him out. I think he heard the cops making fun of him
>on their raidos. Do I have a case? Am I entitled to any money in a
>settlement?
>

707. Re: just got my ass kicked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-22 13:43:28 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <qgqov1p1l6s7lr5aojblogcutcndq215qo@4ax.com>
Source record: 9987

On Wed, 22 Feb 2006 05:49:33 -0500, nitespark <nitespark@cox.net>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> On Tue, 21 Feb 2006 05:51:28 -0500, nitespark <nitespark@cox.net>
>> wrote:

>>>I have never met a liberal street cop.

>> You may have without knowing it.

>Met quite a few cops in my day. Can't say I have ever heard any of them
>spew any liberal crap.

There's quite a difference between someone being a liberal and someone
"spew[ing] any liberal crap". What you're doing is called "bait and
switch", and it's a fallacious method of argument.

708. Re: some help needed with Miami Police frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-22 13:44:13 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <qkqov11m2tg67k9t5k0341oc41nui76sm0@4ax.com>
Source record: 9988

On Tue, 21 Feb 2006 22:38:35 -0600, Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:

>> That depends. With GRE scanners and Motorola systems, you can program
>> more than 1 system in a bank, as long as there's at least one
>> not-locked-out conventional channel between control channel groups.

>Really? So I could stack two Motorola trunked systems in one bank on a
>PRO-95 as long as I stuck a "divider" conventional channel between them?

People do it all the time, and it works.

709. Can a FRS radio "fry" a scanner? Motorol vs RadioShack FRS channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-22 17:12:10 UTC
From: tnozh@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1140628330.402318.8930@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9989

Hi everybody,

My family often uses Motorola Talkabout and Radio Shack FRS radios.
Once my daughter pressed on the PTT button while standing within 6-7
feet of me. After this, the channel I had been using on my reciever
was broken (although all the other channels worked just fine).

I have now aquired a Radio Shack PRO-97 scanner which I use for, among
other things, monitoring my kids playing outside. Is there a risk that
a close-distance transmission from my kids could "fry" my scanner or,
at least, one of its frequencies/channels?

Do you keep you scanners off when FRS are around?

Also,

On the following website
http://support.radioshack.com/support_tutorials/communications/cfq-rdio07A.htm
Radio Shack says that Radio Shack and Motorola have different CTCSS
frequencies. However, on my two FRS sets it appears that they are
using exactly the same ones. For example, when I set all my FRS to
channel 8, CTCSS 28, my PRO-97 receives them both very well on CT:
162.2 which, according to this web page should work for the Radio Shack
but not the Motorola FRS. Conversly, when I set the CTCSS on the
Motorola on 26 as this website suggests and my scanner's CT at 162.2 it
ignores the transmission.

Any idea what might be going on? Did Motorola FRS change their CTCSS
frequencies? Is the Radio Shack info just wrong?

Cheers,

TN

710. Re: Can a FRS radio "fry" a scanner? Motorol vs RadioShack FRS channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-22 18:17:38 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1140632260.815133@jetspin.drizzle.com>
Source record: 9990

<tnozh@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1140628330.402318.8930@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Hi everybody,
>
> My family often uses Motorola Talkabout and Radio Shack FRS radios.
> Once my daughter pressed on the PTT button while standing within 6-7
> feet of me. After this, the channel I had been using on my reciever
> was broken (although all the other channels worked just fine).
>
> I have now aquired a Radio Shack PRO-97 scanner which I use for, among
> other things, monitoring my kids playing outside. Is there a risk that
> a close-distance transmission from my kids could "fry" my scanner or,
> at least, one of its frequencies/channels?
>
> Do you keep you scanners off when FRS are around?
>
> Also,
>
> On the following website
> http://support.radioshack.com/support_tutorials/communications/cfq-rdio07A.htm
> Radio Shack says that Radio Shack and Motorola have different CTCSS
> frequencies. However, on my two FRS sets it appears that they are
> using exactly the same ones. For example, when I set all my FRS to
> channel 8, CTCSS 28, my PRO-97 receives them both very well on CT:
> 162.2 which, according to this web page should work for the Radio Shack
> but not the Motorola FRS. Conversly, when I set the CTCSS on the
> Motorola on 26 as this website suggests and my scanner's CT at 162.2 it
> ignores the transmission.
>
> Any idea what might be going on? Did Motorola FRS change their CTCSS
> frequencies? Is the Radio Shack info just wrong?
>
> Cheers,
>
> TN
>

I use GMRS 4 watt radio's at work and have a RS Pro-95 and Uniden 246. If
the scanner is within a foot or two of the radio when I key up it knocks the
signal out on the scanner. I have been doing this for years started with a
Pro79. The scanners work fine.
--
"Everything in excess! To enjoy the flavor of life, take big bites.
Moderation is for monks."

[Lazarus Long]

711. Re: some help needed with Miami Police frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-22 21:12:31 UTC
From: vineyard_saker@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1140642751.457711.88920@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9991

>>I ignore all the alternate control channels listed as "blue" on the
>>webpages you listed, right?

>Good idea, since there are none for either of those systems. (Black
>is not blue.)

I might be obtuse (in fact - I know I am), but I don't get it. What
are black, red and blue frequencies listed by radioreference.com
(http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=206 ,
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=207)

Which ones do I need to enter into my scanner to listen to all the
police frequencies?

Thanks,

712. Re: Can a FRS radio "fry" a scanner? Motorol vs RadioShack FRS channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-23 00:09:45 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <I_6dnQjXs-fTYGHenZ2dnUVZ_tidnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9992

FRS radios are very low power, and will not damage your scanner.

As for your second question about CTCSS tones, and Motorola vs. the other guys...The Motorola FRS tones can be different from other manufactures, depending on the model.

Bill Crocker

<tnozh@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1140628330.402318.8930@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Hi everybody,
>
> My family often uses Motorola Talkabout and Radio Shack FRS radios.
> Once my daughter pressed on the PTT button while standing within 6-7
> feet of me. After this, the channel I had been using on my reciever
> was broken (although all the other channels worked just fine).
>
> I have now aquired a Radio Shack PRO-97 scanner which I use for, among
> other things, monitoring my kids playing outside. Is there a risk that
> a close-distance transmission from my kids could "fry" my scanner or,
> at least, one of its frequencies/channels?
>
> Do you keep you scanners off when FRS are around?
>
> Also,
>
> On the following website
> http://support.radioshack.com/support_tutorials/communications/cfq-rdio07A.htm
> Radio Shack says that Radio Shack and Motorola have different CTCSS
> frequencies. However, on my two FRS sets it appears that they are
> using exactly the same ones. For example, when I set all my FRS to
> channel 8, CTCSS 28, my PRO-97 receives them both very well on CT:
> 162.2 which, according to this web page should work for the Radio Shack
> but not the Motorola FRS. Conversly, when I set the CTCSS on the
> Motorola on 26 as this website suggests and my scanner's CT at 162.2 it
> ignores the transmission.
>
> Any idea what might be going on? Did Motorola FRS change their CTCSS
> frequencies? Is the Radio Shack info just wrong?
>
> Cheers,
>
> TN
>

713. Re: some help needed with Miami Police frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-23 01:07:55 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <vh2qv19mpjevvmrfois45adnr1hibf5num@4ax.com>
Source record: 9993

On 22 Feb 2006 13:12:31 -0800, vineyard_saker@yahoo.com wrote:

>>>I ignore all the alternate control channels listed as "blue" on the
>>>webpages you listed, right?
>
>>Good idea, since there are none for either of those systems. (Black
>>is not blue.)
>
>I might be obtuse (in fact - I know I am), but I don't get it. What
>are black, red and blue frequencies listed by radioreference.com
>(http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=206 ,
>http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=207)

Look at <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=722>
and you'll see the difference between a blue frequency (866.36250, for
example) and the black ones on the links you posted.

>Which ones do I need to enter into my scanner to listen to all the
>police frequencies?

Red and blue, not black. (The red and blue ones have asterisks after
them, the black ones don't. The asterisk means control channel.)

714. Re: Can a FRS radio "fry" a scanner? Motorol vs RadioShack FRS channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-23 01:14:30 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <hs2qv1hs10nehi3s7p45928kgf0ldfiqb6@4ax.com>
Source record: 9994

On 22 Feb 2006 09:12:10 -0800, tnozh@yahoo.com wrote:

>My family often uses Motorola Talkabout and Radio Shack FRS radios.
>Once my daughter pressed on the PTT button while standing within 6-7
>feet of me. After this, the channel I had been using on my reciever
>was broken (although all the other channels worked just fine).

>I have now aquired a Radio Shack PRO-97 scanner which I use for, among
>other things, monitoring my kids playing outside. Is there a risk that
>a close-distance transmission from my kids could "fry" my scanner or,
>at least, one of its frequencies/channels?

I regularly run 30 watts with my scanner turned on (with the internal
antenna inside the car and the transmitting antenna outside the car).
You'll find that many people and even public service departments and
media organizations do the same. Would I put my scanner near my
transmitting antenna? No. But I checked out the Close Call function
of my BCD396T with a 5 watt portable about 2 feet away.

BTW, the only way to "break" a single channel in a scanner would be if
it were crystal controlled and the crystal broke. You might knock out
a band, or a group of bands, but not just one channel. If the scanner
was programmable maybe you should have tried reprogramming it.

715. Re: THE THOUGHTS OF YOUR RADIO GOD K1MAN: K5LBJ (ARRL BIG PROJECT STATION) GOES "BIG TIME" WITH K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-23 01:27:25 UTC
From: johnvonlaws@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1140658045.039675.266620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9995
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

who really gives a toss about k1man....? he's a fuckwit like you

716. Re: just got my ass kicked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-23 03:47:19 UTC
From: Jesse <org@org.orgy>
Message-ID: <Xns9772E7D3C433Fewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 9996

"Emilio Goldstein" <egoldtein@sofa.net> wrote in
news:fc2dnW_QPKXxU2feRVn-uA@comcast.com:

> I just got my ass kicked by a patrolman for playing my scanner radio
> real loud near a crime scene. I played it through my car speakers as a
> hostage situation was unfolding. Apparently the guy killed himself
> while they were trying to talk him out. I think he heard the cops
> making fun of him on their raidos. Do I have a case? Am I entitled to
> any money in a settlement?
>
If they called you a dirty, lying nigger, yes.
If not, sorry, no.

717. Re: some help needed with Miami Police frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-23 04:15:17 UTC
From: vineyard_saker@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1140668117.106999.297960@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9997

ok. let me re-phrase my question.

If one want to listen to Motorola trunked frequencies, what should he/she understand about the difference between primary control channels, alternate control channels and the rest of the channels (i.e., "black" channels on radioreference.com)? Why should he/she enter some of them in his/her banks but others not? What do these channels do for trunking? What is their function/use?

(please keep in mind that I am *very* obtuse and even the obvious (to others) needs to be explained (to me)).

718. Re: THE THOUGHTS OF YOUR RADIO GOD K1MAN: K5LBJ (ARRL BIG PROJECT STATION) GOES "BIG TIME" WITH K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-23 09:59:21 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1140688761.202152.187100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9998
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

47 CFR Pt. 1.62

"(a)(1) Where there is pending before the Commission at the time of expiration of license any proper and timely application for renewal of license with respect to any activity of a continuing nature (such as amateur radio), in accordance with the provisions of section 9(b) of the Administrative Procedures Act, such license shall remain in effect until such time as the Commission shall make a final determination with respect to the renewal application."

719. Re: THE THOUGHTS OF YOUR RADIO GOD K1MAN: K5LBJ (ARRL BIG PROJECT STATION) GOES "BIG TIME" WITH K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-23 10:05:21 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1140689120.990281.196850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9999
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

ops and then there's this one....

47 CFR Pt. 1.62 (2)

A licensee operating by virtue of this paragraph shall, after
the date of expiration in the license, post, in addition to the
original license, any acknowledgment received from the Commission
that the renewal application has been accepted for filing.

720. Re: Can a FRS radio "fry" a scanner? Motorol vs RadioShack FRS channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-23 15:57:39 UTC
From: tnozh@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1140710258.939429.318620@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10000

Hi everybody,

Thanks for the explanations.

However, I still wonder, what makes a FRS receiver fundamentally different from a scanner in the inability of the former to handle close transmissions (my daughter did "fry" this one channel on my Motorola FRS).

Any ideas as to why one is so fragile and the other is not?

721. Re: Can a FRS radio "fry" a scanner? Motorol vs RadioShack FRS channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-23 17:55:32 UTC
From: larya <larya@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <1140717332.921295.142080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9901

Greetings
In my opinion, the only way you could 'fry' your receiver is if you
litterally touched the bar antenna of the transmitter to the bar
antenna of the receiver...
In that case, you probably would just need to replace the 'front end'
amplifier..
Hams often 'test' receivers or transmitters by pressing the mike button
and listenning to themselves of the nearby receiver.. on the same
channel on simplex...
Just 'don't' touch the metal antennas together...
Larry ve3fxq

tnozh@yahoo.com wrote:
> Hi everybody,
>
> My family often uses Motorola Talkabout and Radio Shack FRS radios.
> Once my daughter pressed on the PTT button while standing within 6-7
> feet of me. After this, the channel I had been using on my reciever
> was broken (although all the other channels worked just fine).
>
> I have now aquired a Radio Shack PRO-97 scanner which I use for, among
> other things, monitoring my kids playing outside. Is there a risk that
> a close-distance transmission from my kids could "fry" my scanner or,
> at least, one of its frequencies/channels?
>
> Do you keep you scanners off when FRS are around?
>
> Also,
>
> On the following website
> http://support.radioshack.com/support_tutorials/communications/cfq-rdio07A.htm
> Radio Shack says that Radio Shack and Motorola have different CTCSS
> frequencies. However, on my two FRS sets it appears that they are
> using exactly the same ones. For example, when I set all my FRS to
> channel 8, CTCSS 28, my PRO-97 receives them both very well on CT:
> 162.2 which, according to this web page should work for the Radio Shack
> but not the Motorola FRS. Conversly, when I set the CTCSS on the
> Motorola on 26 as this website suggests and my scanner's CT at 162.2 it
> ignores the transmission.
>
> Any idea what might be going on? Did Motorola FRS change their CTCSS
> frequencies? Is the Radio Shack info just wrong?
>
> Cheers,

>
> TN

722. Re: some help needed with Miami Police frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-24 01:32:39 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <2tnsv1htrj3nrqfecplfrtkj0nn7qd4tjb@4ax.com>
Source record: 9902

On 22 Feb 2006 20:15:17 -0800, vineyard_saker@yahoo.com wrote:

>ok. let me re-phrase my question.

>If one want to listen to Motorola trunked frequencies, what should
>he/she understand about the difference between primary control
>channels, alternate control channels and the rest of the channels
>(i.e., "black" channels on radioreference.com)? Why should he/she
>enter some of them in his/her banks but others not? What do these
>channels do for trunking? What is their function/use?

Ok. The system may use any of the primary or secondary control
frequencies at any time. Some switch on a regular basis - hourly,
daily - others use all but one primary as voice frequencies, and
switch one of them to control duty when the normal control transmitter
has a problem.

Now that you understand control frequencies:

You can program all the frequencies - red, blue and black - into your
scanner. Different scanners do things differently, but if you're not
in a "control channel only" mode, if a voice channel gets added, you
have to add it or you miss calls. In control channel only mode, you
only have to program the red and blue frequencies. (Programming the
black ones just wastes scanner memory.) The scanner looks for the
voice channel number on the control frequency, then looks up the
frequency in an internal table and goes to it. If a voice channel is
added the scanner still goes to it, without your having to do
anything.

It's also a lot easier to program 4 control channels than loads of
voice channels.

723. Re: Can a FRS radio "fry" a scanner? Motorol vs RadioShack FRS channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-24 01:36:04 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <6josv1higkpc472jnske81ptgphnsm47c4@4ax.com>
Source record: 9903

On 23 Feb 2006 07:57:39 -0800, tnozh@yahoo.com wrote:

> (my daughter did "fry" this one channel on my Motorola
>FRS).

Either it's crystal controlled and the crystal is bad, or the channel switch is bad. If you fry the front end it won't receive. If it receives some channels, the front end isn't fried. It's a coincidence, but I doubt that a nearby signal would fry either a crystal or a switch. It's possible, but so unlikely that it would be something technicians would talk about for a while.

724. Re: Can a FRS radio "fry" a scanner? Motorol vs RadioShack FRS channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-24 16:41:48 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <FdCdnRdWV7nPqmLenZ2dnUVZ_sOdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9904

<tnozh@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1140628330.402318.8930@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Hi everybody,
>
> My family often uses Motorola Talkabout and Radio Shack FRS radios.
> Once my daughter pressed on the PTT button while standing within 6-7
> feet of me. After this, the channel I had been using on my reciever
> was broken (although all the other channels worked just fine).
[clipped]
> Cheers,
>
> TN
>

If it's a programmable scanner, have you tried reprogramming it? Simpler
yet, maybe you just hit the L/O (lock-out) button?

Bill Crocker

725. Re: BR 330T Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-24 16:54:33 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <aY6dnV3fHLrSp2LenZ2dnUVZ_sidnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9905

I don't think Gommert has an attitude problem. He writes excellent software for a multitude of scanners, and exploits all their features! The software looks great, and works as advertised. It's well supported too! You can email Gommert directly, and he responds, and provides answers, fixes, and updates to all his software products.

I've purchased ARC780, ARC250PRO, and recently ARC396PRO. In another week, or so, I'll probably purchase ARC330...still waiting for the radio. I've been totally satisfied with Butel's software, and Gommert's support! Gommert also participates in the Usenet newsgroups, and on Radio Reference as well! I think he is definitely an asset to the hobby!

He works hard, and wants to get paid. What's wrong with that? Why should he give it away?

Bill Crocker

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:1hrcv1d6dscr14rb71sqaed75q46gcfdrg@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 17 Feb 2006 06:22:47 GMT, "ke4pow" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com>
> wrote:
>
>>OK, from what I have researched - I will have to pay 30 buckaroos to
>>unlock
>>the full version of the Uniden software in order to use it to dl from the
>>RR
>>database. No problem with donating to the RadioReference website, it is
>>well
>>worth it, in my opinion - so, what would you suggest...unlock the Uniden
>>sw
>>or purchase the 3arc330?
>
> I use the Uniden software, only because I don't like Gommert's
> attitude. I'm a shareware/freeware author and he disparages free
> software. YMMV.
>
>> I'm leaning more toward the arc330, but I'm not
>>crazy about the interface and graphics. Any comments on using the RR
>>website
>>with either program to dl and program freqs?
>
> The Uniden programs don't directly download from the site, but KB8SOE
> has a site that can generate a file from a copy/paste of the site.
> I've used it a few times. <www.kb8soe.com/396/uasd.php>

726. Re: radio shack CEO Quits today !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-24 22:41:17 UTC
From: ditinsta <ditinsta@moviecam.net>
Message-ID: <11vv2scg4vchg21@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 9906

This guy needs to go. He tells officials he "thought" he received a degree.
Pretty lame excuse.

He's also getting a $1 million cash payout for lying on his resume in
getting a job...

"somebody" <cheney@noon.us> wrote in message
news:_ErKf.50091$dW3.43927@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> Ceo of Radio Shack Quit today.. Stock holder Must have been monitoring
> this Newsgroup

727. Re: BR 330T Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-25 00:55:47 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <hmavv115kohmtud5ib4r2813a48kk80377@4ax.com>
Source record: 9907

On Fri, 24 Feb 2006 11:54:33 -0500, "Bill Crocker"
<wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:

>I don't think Gommert has an attitude problem.

>He works hard, and wants to get paid. What's wrong with that? Why should
>he give it away?

Saying that software that's free can't be good is an attitude problem
in my book. Maybe if I didn't write freeware I would feel
differently, but he got a little too personal.

728. FS/FT: Radio Shack PRO-97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-25 03:20:12 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <M1QLf.35098$Jd.28505@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 9908

Like new condition. Only a few months old. With original box, manual and all accessories. Will add some cash to swap for an Icom R10.

Price is $165.00 plus shipping

729. Re: just got my ass kicked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-25 04:06:42 UTC
From: Mike Burch <Libertybell7@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <mJQLf.578845$084.59725@attbi_s22>
Source record: 9909

nitespark wrote:
>
>
> Emilio Goldstein wrote:
>
>> I just got my ass kicked by a patrolman for playing my scanner radio
>> real loud near a crime scene. I played it through my car speakers as
>> a hostage situation was unfolding. Apparently the guy killed himself
>> while they were trying to talk him out. I think he heard the cops
>> making fun of him on their raidos. Do I have a case? Am I entitled to
>> any money in a settlement?
>>
> Welcome back Emilio.....we missed you. You must be a bit out of form. I
> have seen MUCH better from you.
>
Welcome back also.... he's right we have seen much more
entertaining stuff from you. Maybe you could throw some of
your old material out there. :-). You could really get
some guys going.

730. Re: BR 330T Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-25 22:53:14 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <kKCdnUcaAo19Qp3ZRVn-pw@comcast.com>
Source record: 9910

Ok, I see where you're coming from.

Bill Crocker

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:hmavv115kohmtud5ib4r2813a48kk80377@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 24 Feb 2006 11:54:33 -0500, "Bill Crocker"
> <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>I don't think Gommert has an attitude problem.
>
>>He works hard, and wants to get paid. What's wrong with that? Why should
>>he give it away?
>
> Saying that software that's free can't be good is an attitude problem
> in my book. Maybe if I didn't write freeware I would feel
> differently, but he got a little too personal.

731. FA log periodic outdoor scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-26 01:13:20 UTC
From: jeff <spiffy1238@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <OPudnZXtwtQCnZzZRVn-sg@comcast.com>
Source record: 9911

Please see:
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5869541424

Excellent condition
Thanks

732. 1st Responder Wireless Acquires TAC 9 Paging

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-26 02:46:37 UTC
From: "nyscan@gmail.com" <nyscan@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1140921997.657163.10630@t39g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9912

1st Responder Wireless News has completed the Acquisition of four companies to add to its growing emergency alert notification system: TAC-9 Paging, East Coast Paging and Wireless Systems, Virginia Fire Net and Toronto Fire Net. Additionally, the company acquired theTAC-9 national affiliate's server that provides communications for several other systems across the country.

"TAC-9 and East Coast have been two of the leaders in this industry for well over 10 years and not only are we fortunate to have acquired their companies, but the wealth of knowledge that comes with them," said 1st Responder Wireless News General Manager Scott Donnenberg. "We are not only looking forward to integrating the tremendous base of dispatchers into our system that comes from these acquisitions, but I'm very fortunate to have learned so much from Paul Trahon and Steve Hooke along the way.

TAC-9 Paging is not only one of the largest paging companies in the country, but its server and its technology have been used for many years as the central processing point for several other groups across the country. It has also established the largest multiple alarm reporting affiliate network in the country.

"I'm very excited about moving forward with 1st Responder Wireless News and our customers should be as well," said Steve Hooke, President of TAC-9. "With the experience and relationships TAC-9 has developed, combined with the cutting-edge technology 1st Responder has in place, it's a marriage made in heaven. I've also had the opportunity to see the exciting plans 1st Responder has for the future and our customers should look to many new and exciting features and services in the coming months."

As one of the pioneers in the wireless news delivery industry, Paul Trahon of East Coast Paging has been instrumental in developing much of the early-stage paging technology and logic that is still in use today, as well as establishing many of the dispatching SOPs widely accepted in the industry." East Coast Paging has been the leader in fire and news paging since we started in 1990," said Paul Trahon, President of East Coast Paging. "During the past 16 years, we have strived to provide our customers with the latest in technology and customer service second to none."

Trahon added that he "thought long and hard to make the decision to move over and let someone else take the reins of the business. That in itself was difficult enough, but a miniscule of a task to find the right person or company that had the same moral values and ideals as East Coast.

Finally, 1st Responder Wireless News came along. I've seen the future technological plans for 1st Responder and without a doubt they will take the paging and news industry beyond the next level of what any fire buff would ever want in a news system." Since its first acquisition of Metro Fire Paging in New Jersey, 1st Responder Wireless News has also previously acquired the Maryland Fire Network and the National Incident Notification Network. With the completion of these latest acquisitions, including expansion in Canada with purchasing Toronto Fire Net, the company has become one of the largest emergency notification services in the world.

"When 1st Responder took its first step into the arena of emergency breaking news notification about a year ago, we did so with the mission of building the most comprehensive, professional alert system in the nation,"said Joseph P. Belsito, President & CEO of Belsito Communications, Inc., the parent company of 1st Responder Wireless News. "While we continue to raise the bar with our cutting edge technology, we have not lost sight of the fact that our system is a home to the best dispatchers in the country who welcome being a part of a professional system that appreciates their hard work and lets them enjoy being a scanner enthusiast by providing them the best tools in industry. "Belsito added that 1st Responder Wireless News continues to negotiate acquisition and licensing opportunities with other emergency notification services across the country and expects more activity in 2006. "We are committed to providing our customers with the most comprehensive coverage in the nation, and our dispatchers a professional, fun and exciting atmosphere," Belsito said. "Our system has come a very long way in a relatively short period of time and I can confidently say the best is yet to come."

If you would like more information about becoming a customer or dispatcher for 1st Responder Wireless News you can visit www.1stResponderWirelessNews.com or you can call the office at 888-595-NEWS.

733. New Yahoo group for Chicago radio hobbyists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-26 02:50:03 UTC
From: "troubledstorm9x@sbcglobal.net" <troubledstorm9x@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1140922203.430174.262150@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9913

CERE is a new Yahoo group for Chicago radio hobbyists who primarily
monitor CPD and CFD radio systems. The files section is still being
built, but there are already some very accuate and useful things.
CPD and CFD sworn members are also welcome

734. Re: FS/FT: Radio Shack PRO-97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-26 03:19:08 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <M69Mf.40583$H71.26134@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 9914

I will throw in 3 books with the scanner....

Scanners and Secret Frequencies - Henry L. Eisenson
Radio Monitoring -The How To Guide - T.J. Skip Arey
Military Monitoring- Steve Douglass

"Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:M1QLf.35098$Jd.28505@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
> Like new condition. Only a few months old. With original box, manual and
> all accessories. Will add some cash to swap for an Icom R10.
>
>
> Price is $165.00 plus shipping
>
>
>
>

735. The BC796D Scanner VERY VERY PRICEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-26 05:54:07 UTC
From: as400 <vin42and99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1140933247.721834.91510@t39g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9915

Does someone know a very cheap price like around 200 to 350$ range BC796D BASE SCANNER?? I went to HRO, and let me tell you....I can pay for a trip to Europe for that kinda money on what they were asking for...like around 515$.....Thats very pricey IMHO....

Can somone suggest anything further??? I do want the 796D and nothing else...

And BTW - How can I program the Talk Groups?? My local Police departarment is running on an Motorolla Type 2 radio transmitter site...And plus they are using a Trunked Analog/Digital mix for right now I guess until they switch fully to Digital...I gues there running on an ICIS Trunked radio call frequencies....So I grabbed the frequency directory book and looked at their frequecies and alot of them have TG:#### (whatever that means so I would like start learning on how to program these before buying a scanner like this...for cheap of course...

The sales guy was very nice...He said if I did had purchased this scanner, he can download all the APCO based frequencies for me...

He also said it is a real nighmare now to listen to these frequencies now..

736. Re: The BC796D Scanner VERY VERY PRICEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-26 06:18:40 UTC
From: DESYNC DOMiNATiON <abboud@beepme.com>
Message-ID: <=kcBRE3lzmqFVFEwuO4=JKHpY9wi@4ax.com>
Source record: 9916

On 25 Feb 2006 21:54:07 -0800, "as400" <vin42and99@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Does someone know a very cheap price like around 200 to 350$ range
>BC796D BASE SCANNER?? I went to HRO, and let me tell you....I can pay
>for a trip to Europe for that kinda money on what they were asking
>for...like around 515$.....Thats very pricey IMHO....
>
>Can somone suggest anything further??? I do want the 796D and nothing
>else...
>
>And BTW - How can I program the Talk Groups?? My local Police
>departarment is running on an Motorolla Type 2 radio transmitter
>site...And plus they are using a Trunked Analog/Digital mix for right
>now I guess until they switch fully to Digital...I gues there running
>on an ICIS Trunked radio call frequencies....So I grabbed the frequency
>directory book and looked at their frequecies and alot of them have
>TG:##### (whatever that means so I would like start learning on how to
>program these before buying a scanner like this...for cheap of
>course...
>
>The sales guy was very nice...He said if I did had purchased this
>scanner, he can download all the APCO based frequencies for me...
>
>He also said it is a real nighmare now to listen to these frequencies
>now..

I hate to tell you that $515 is not a bad price since we only pay $496
dollars for the scanner.. I just checked our wholesale pricing on it.

737. FS: Radio Shack PRO-97 Reduced!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-26 15:37:47 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <fXjMf.14735$rL5.4459@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 9917

Like new condition. Only a few months old. With original box, manual and all accessories.

Price is $150.00 plus shipping

E-mail: FJbals@sbcglobal.net

738. Re: The BC796D Scanner VERY VERY PRICEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-26 22:08:54 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <hi9402lai29u1lk3r0a38dsjc574vo9tqu@4ax.com>
Source record: 9918

On 25 Feb 2006 21:54:07 -0800, "as400" <vin42and99@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Does someone know a very cheap price like around 200 to 350$ range
>BC796D BASE SCANNER?? I went to HRO, and let me tell you....I can pay
>for a trip to Europe for that kinda money on what they were asking
>for...like around 515$.....Thats very pricey IMHO....

1. You'll be missing a lot if you settle for the 796 instead of
getting the 396 or waiting for the 996.

2. The price is about normal - $500-$550 for a digital trunking
scanner.

3. <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR> for
frequencies, talkgroups, etc. Download the Uniden UASD for the
BCD396-T and you'll be able to program the frequencies, talkgroups,
etc. Then, if you buy a Uniden that comes with UASD software, you'll
be able to program the scanner with the software and the system files
you've saved.

739. Looking for either Ron Kelly or Ron Hensley of Cushman Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-27 00:36:02 UTC
From: stargatesg1 <me@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <dtthhidjb1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 9919
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.equipment

Hi all,

I'm trying to contact either Ron Kelly or Ron Hensley, both of whom worked at Cushman Electronics some years back. If anyone has contact information regarding these gentlemen I would appreciate it.

Thanks,

RoD

740. Re: FS: Radio Shack PRO-97 Reduced!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-27 03:37:20 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <440273da.22586687@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 9920

They are on sale at Rat Shack for $149 brand new. Better reduce that
price again

On Sun, 26 Feb 2006 15:37:47 GMT, "Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>Like new condition. Only a few months old. With original box, manual and all
>accessories.
>
>Price is $150.00 plus shipping
>
>E-mail: FJbals@sbcglobal.net
>
>

741. Re: FS: Radio Shack PRO-97 Reduced!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-27 05:30:10 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <C7wMf.35571$Jd.3834@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 9921

I have no problem reducing price again, but where do you see them on sale
for $149.00?

Frank

"Bruce Markowitz" <scosgt@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:440273da.22586687@news-server.optonline.net...
> They are on sale at Rat Shack for $149 brand new. Better reduce that
> price again
>
>
> On Sun, 26 Feb 2006 15:37:47 GMT, "Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>>Like new condition. Only a few months old. With original box, manual and
>>all
>>accessories.
>>
>>Price is $150.00 plus shipping
>>
>>E-mail: FJbals@sbcglobal.net
>>
>>
>

742. TrunkPCR Update 0.7.185 Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-27 07:12:36 UTC
From: CrazyC <crazy__c_@hot_mail.com>
Message-ID: <9ExMf.109769$4l5.14010@dukeread05>
Source record: 9922

Hi all,

You can now download TrunkPCR Version 0.7.185 from the website:
http://members.cox.net/fiftyone.50/TrunkPcr/TrunkPcr.html

This update fixes a couple bugs:

Jan 26,2006 - Fixed: Edacs Hunt CC On Data Loss.
Jan 27,2006 - -----: Limit Pic Off Now when Trunking.
Jan 29,2006 - Fixed: Current Bank updated if changed in Channel
Organizing.
Jan 29,2006 - Added: COM Port Status Indicators (Ctrl-Q).
Feb 03,2006 - Added: Trunk Settings Window Position Now Saved.
Feb 03,2006 - Fixed: Group/Radio Counts Updated in CC Activity when
Deleted.
Feb 04,2006 - Added: More Short-Cut keys to Menus.
Feb 08,2006 - Fixed: Adding New Group ID as HEX in Trunk ID Editor.
Feb 08,2006 - Fixed: Adding New Radio ID as HEX in Trunk ID Editor.
Feb 08,2006 - -----: Increased Width of Trunk ID Editor.
Feb 11,2006 - Added: Display Misc Messages in CC Activity - taken from
Dump.
Feb 12,2006 - Fixed: Private Calls in MOTO doesn't save Radio ID as a
Group ID.
Feb 12,2006 - Fixed: One Moto SysID Message was being treated as a Call
Message.
Feb 13,2006 - Added: Moto CW Id Freq/Chan Now Noted in CC Ativity.
Feb 13,2006 - Fixed: "Delete Off Air" in CC Activity - 1 Entry now
Removed.
Feb 17,2006 - Added: Group Desc now Editable from Patch List in CC
Activity.
Feb 17,2006 - Added: More Descriptions to ***Unknown Radio Messages***.
Feb 20,2006 - Added: Moto Diagnostic Messages in CC Activity.
Feb 26,2006 - -----: Changed I.F Filter to 15khz when 3600 Baud Selected
in Trunk Settings.
Feb 26,2006 - -----: Group/Radio ID's Erased in Trunk Settings when
confirmed.
Feb 26,2006 - Fixed: Editing ID's greater than 32767 from CC Affil or
Control List.

Version 0.7.185 Released 02/26/2006

Regards,
Jay

743. Re: FS: Radio Shack PRO-97 Reduced!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-27 11:55:35 UTC
From: Gini <gini@verizon.com>
Message-ID: <XMBMf.2925$AZ1.811@trndny07>
Source record: 9923

"Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:C7wMf.35571$Jd.3834@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
>I have no problem reducing price again, but where do you see them on sale
>for $149.00?
==
I got mine on eBay--Brand new in package $134.
==

744. Re: Radio Shack PRO-97 Reduced!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-27 13:35:56 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <0fDMf.35614$Jd.8908@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 9924

Lowest price is $125 plus shipping. It's in like new condition.

Frank

"Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:fXjMf.14735$rL5.4459@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
> Like new condition. Only a few months old. With original box, manual and
> all accessories.
>
> Price is $150.00 plus shipping
>
> E-mail: FJbals@sbcglobal.net
>

745. Icom, winradio PC scanners or uniden digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-27 15:58:38 UTC
From: tucker@conninc.com
Message-ID: <1141055918.721248.296660@t39g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9925

Im looking to step up from the old pro2006,2048,39,61 & others to a higher level, first i would like to know if there is any software that will let the icom 100,1000,1500 or winradio pc scanners receive digital audio? Or will one of the latest Uniden digitals be a better choice, I dont know of any digital audio signals around yet but im thinking of something that will continue to usefull in the future, also to expand my scanning to some new areas beyond the range of the typical pro or uniden analog entry level scanners.

746. bearcat bc780xlt WANTED!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-27 18:41:59 UTC
From: azmann <jeff_24rulz@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <c513f59be4a0fef4f886c16bde887323@localhost.talkaboutelectronicequipment.com>
Source record: 9926

Hi i am wanting a bearcat bc780xlt in both good working and physical condition, please e-mail me at jeff_24rulz@frontiernet.net with what you might have for me and a asking price.

Thanks,Dan

747. Re: Icom, winradio PC scanners or uniden digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-28 00:57:29 UTC
From: Domestos <thatswhen@westnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <4403a060@quokka.wn.com.au>
Source record: 9927

Have a look here http://members.cox.net/fiftyone.50/TrunkPcr/TrunkPcr.html
<tucker@conninc.com> wrote in message
news:1141055918.721248.296660@t39g2000cwt.googlegroups.com...
> Im looking to step up from the old pro2006,2048,39,61 & others to a
> higher level, first i would like to know if there is any software that
> will let the icom 100,1000,1500 or winradio pc scanners receive digital
> audio? Or will one of the latest Uniden digitals be a better choice, I
> dont know of any digital audio signals around yet but im thinking of
> something that will continue to usefull in the future, also to expand
> my scanning to some new areas beyond the range of the typical pro or
> uniden analog entry level scanners.
>

748. Re: Looking for either Ron Kelly or Ron Hensley of Cushman Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-28 04:09:12 UTC
From: "G. Whiz" <dfadsf@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <du0id8$o0k$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 9928
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.equipment

There was a Sherman Helmsley at Cushman Electronics in the Television department. I think he moved up.

749. Icom R10 opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-28 04:26:03 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <vhQMf.25679$_S7.21973@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 9929

I'm considering buying a used one. I've currently been using a PRO-43 and BC780XLT. How does the R10 compare on VHF/UHF when it comes to sensitivity, selectivity, dynamic range, and intermod rejection? What can I expect for HF SSB utililities?

Frank

750. Re: Icom, winradio PC scanners or uniden digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-28 04:31:17 UTC
From: "tucker@conninc.com" <tucker@conninc.com>
Message-ID: <1141101077.724901.63740@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9930

Thanks, I guess that answers alot of my questions, now its back to ebay
and the next
hamfest. The only question now is r100,r1000 or the r1500?

Domestos wrote:
> Have a look here http://members.cox.net/fiftyone.50/TrunkPcr/TrunkPcr.html
> <tucker@conninc.com> wrote in message
> news:1141055918.721248.296660@t39g2000cwt.googlegroups.com...
> > Im looking to step up from the old pro2006,2048,39,61 & others to a
> > higher level, first i would like to know if there is any software that
> > will let the icom 100,1000,1500 or winradio pc scanners receive digital
> > audio? Or will one of the latest Uniden digitals be a better choice, I
> > dont know of any digital audio signals around yet but im thinking of
> > something that will continue to usefull in the future, also to expand
> > my scanning to some new areas beyond the range of the typical pro or
> > uniden analog entry level scanners.
> >

751. Re: Icom R10 opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-28 15:11:05 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Bd6dnSLI8u-M9ZnZRVn-gw@comcast.com>
Source record: 9931

The Icom IC-R10 is "ok", nothing exceptional. It's an older design. If you're going for that type of radio, I'd spend a little more and get the Icom IC-R20. The scan speed on the R10 is very slow!

Be advised these types of radios are much more difficult, and confusing to operate than the scanner radios you've been used to. They have a lot of dual, and sometimes triple function buttons, and it's a whole new learning process that leaves everyday operation depending on your good(?) memory!

Bill Crocker

"Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:vhQMf.25679$_S7.21973@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> I'm considering buying a used one. I've currently been using a PRO-43 and
> BC780XLT. How does the R10 compare on VHF/UHF when it comes to
> sensitivity, selectivity, dynamic range, and intermod rejection? What can
> I expect for HF SSB utililities?
>
> Frank
>

752. Re: Icom, winradio PC scanners or uniden digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-28 15:13:55 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <hqudnVjT0poh9ZnZRVn-rA@comcast.com>
Source record: 9932

If you want to be able to receive APCO-25 Digital signals, none of these radios will work.

Bill Crocker

<tucker@conninc.com> wrote in message news:1141055918.721248.296660@t39g2000cwt.googlegroups.com...
> Im looking to step up from the old pro2006,2048,39,61 & others to a
> higher level, first i would like to know if there is any software that
> will let the icom 100,1000,1500 or winradio pc scanners receive digital
> audio? Or will one of the latest Uniden digitals be a better choice, I
> dont know of any digital audio signals around yet but im thinking of
> something that will continue to usefull in the future, also to expand
> my scanning to some new areas beyond the range of the typical pro or
> uniden analog entry level scanners.
>

753. Wpg transit scanner freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-28 19:29:07 UTC
From: invalid@invalid.com
Message-ID: <4404a446.6606781@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 9933

```
Tx Frequency (MHz) Rx Frequency (MHz)
153.770000 155.370000
154.980000 157.650000
155.370000 153.770000
155.370000 153.770000
157.650000 154.980000
412.562500 417.562500
412.637500 417.637500
412.662500 417.662500
413.312500 418.312500
413.312500 418.312500
413.812500 418.812500
417.562500 412.562500
417.637500 412.637500
417.662500 412.662500
418.312500 413.312500
418.812500 413.812500

Winnipeg, wpg, bus, transit, Winnipeg transit, radio frequencies,
scanner frequencies, radio scanner frequencies.
*** Free account sponsored by SecureIX.com ***
*** Encrypt your Internet usage with a free VPN account from http://www.SecureIX.com ***
```

754. fs/ft unblocked unbc3300xlt same as bc-250d

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-28 21:20:57 UTC
From: maalox <maalox.23yk9n@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <maalox.23yk9n@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 9934

radio comes with all accessaries and a bc-250d us cable. and case.
looking to trade for another hh scanner like he 330t or other hh
scanner with ctcss. this radfio is imported from europe. i will sell
this scanner for $300.shipped usa ca extra. or trade plus cash

--
maalox

755. Question regarding MFJ-1714 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-28 21:44:39 UTC
From: junjunius@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1141163079.201800.133290@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9935
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello folks,

I hope that someone might be able to answer a question for me: does the MFJ-1714 have any coil in it which would serve to attenuate frequencies below the 2m amateur band for which it's apparently designed?

http://www.mfjenterprises.com/products.php?prodid=MFJ-1714

Or would this antenna serve well for frequencies below 440 MHz on a handheld scanner?

This antenna at scannerworld.com *looks* to be the same as the MFJ-1714, and it's marketed more broadly as a "3db GAIN VERTICAL TELESCOPIC BNC ANTENNA FOR SCANNERS". Any idea if this is the same antenna as the 1714? It does cost some ten bucks more than the MFJ ($26.99, as compared with $16.95 for the MFJ).

Thanks in advance for any assistance on this matter.

Junius

756. Re: Question regarding MFJ-1714 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-28 21:49:58 UTC
From: junjunius@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1141163398.160276.270460@p10g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9936
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Oh, seems I forgot, here's the link for that scannerworld.com antenna
that looks to be a MFJ-1714 clone:

http://www.scannerworld.com/template.asp?viewproduct=ANT44

Junius

757. Pro 97 volume problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-02-28 23:56:58 UTC
From: Allen Crawford <acrawford5@hot.rr.com>
Message-ID: <er5Nf.57129$7y1.7188@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 9937

I recently purchased a pro97 scanner in near new condition over the internet through ebay. The item was
listed as having very low audio such as one has to place the speaker next to his ear to hear the broadcast so I took a chance and bought it for 45 dollars. I got it in yesterday and it is totally new! packaging, all accessories, books and not a scratch on it. Well. I unpack it and install freshly charged (new) batteries and
I go to playing with it..first..a weather channel..sure enough, very low volume even when cranked to the fullest
it is still at the same volume level. I went ahead and took out the four back cover screws and examined the area
where the volume pot is soldered and took some readings. center tap is about 2.1kohm and 200 k ohm on the non grounded side. (this is without power applied)
in the area of the volume/squelch pot, are 3 tiny pots
and 3 test points...(this is all from memory as the scanner
is closed up now). I was hoping for a misplaced speaker magnet or something simpler and the circuits are ungodly
miniature and even the audio amp chip is about the size of an op amp on most regular size circuits. The doggone thing is so new looking, that it may be swapped with a
newer one from radio shack (by swapping backplates) but I don't dare attempt the dishonest. Anyway, any suggestions to resolve my dillemma...could it be possible that I have a defective volume pot? No amount of regulation seems to affect the audio at all. The channel
beep come through loud and clear but it seems that either
the preamp from the radio is set too low or the pot is defective/soldered wrong. Of course, the possibility of
a bad IC has crossed my mind as well. Any suggestions?
No warranty so all I have is either a repairman or my good wits and some knowledge to help correct the problem. Btw, the sqelch seems to work OK.

Your input would be greally appreciated,

Allen

758. Re: New Illegal Descrambler SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-01 00:29:13 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <58q902997cp56e3u82osatiomc2kogo698@4ax.com>
Source record: 9938
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.baseball,alt.sports.baseball.cinci-reds,alt.sports.baseball.cleve-indians,alt.sports.football.pro.buffalo-bills,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 28 Feb 2006 12:15:14 -0800, "Free PPV Movies!!!" <yabqzrju@xabpxqis.com> wrote:

>Amazing New Technology has finally arrivedï¿½..the NEW XP2500A+ HDTV Digital Satellite TV Descrambler Receiver will ï¿½amaze-youï¿½. Our NEW XP2500A+ HDTV Digital Satellite Receiver, offers a wide variety of channels, an incredible value and superior customer service are just part of the story.

<snip>

If anyone else is sick of seeing this SPAM every day in every sports-related newsgroup (and some that are totally unrelated to sports), start forwarding them to abuse@100ProofNews.com with an e-mail complaint.

Whoever is posting this crap is violating their Terms Of Service (I checked the 100ProofNews.com website). Furthermore, they are advocating an illegal activity/product - the mere possession of one is illegal in 32 states, and actual use of one violates Federal law (specifically, the Federal Communications Act of 1934, 47 U.S.C. ï¿½ 605).

John Kasupski
Tonawanda, NY

759. Re: Pro 97 volume problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-01 00:30:55 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <3X5Nf.30$nB6.14@news-wrt-01.rdc-nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 9939

Might be a bad pot. If you have a meter, with power off measure resistance from center terminal of the pot to ground. Turn the volume knob through its range. If there is no resistance change, order a new pot. This is what I have for ordering the volume pot: 12429163 POT VOLUME 50K OHM $15.89. Be sure to verify the correct part number if you do order one so you don't get the wrong part.

"Allen Crawford" <acrawford5@hot.rr.com> wrote in message news:er5Nf.57129$7y1.7188@tornado.texas.rr.com...
> I recently purchased a pro97 scanner in near new condition over the internet
> through ebay. The item was
> listed as having very low audio such as one has to place the speaker next to
> his ear to hear the broadcast so I took a chance and bought it for 45
> dollars. I got it in yesterday and it is totally new! packaging, all
> accessories, books and not a scratch on it. Well. I unpack it and install
> freshly charged (new) batteries and
> I go to playing with it..first..a weather channel..sure enough, very low
> volume even when cranked to the fullest
> it is still at the same volume level. I went ahead and took out the four
> back cover screws and examined the area
> where the volume pot is soldered and took some readings. center tap is
> about 2.1kohm and 200 k ohm on the non grounded side. (this is without power
> applied)
> in the area of the volume/squelch pot, are 3 tiny pots
> and 3 test points...(this is all from memory as the scanner
> is closed up now). I was hoping for a misplaced speaker magnet or something
> simpler and the circuits are ungodly
> miniature and even the audio amp chip is about the size of an op amp on most
> regular size circuits. The doggone thing is so new looking, that it may be
> swapped with a
> newer one from radio shack (by swapping backplates) but I don't dare attempt
> the dishonest. Anyway, any suggestions to resolve my dillemma...could it be
> possible that I have a defective volume pot? No amount of regulation seems
> to affect the audio at all. The channel
> beep come through loud and clear but it seems that either
> the preamp from the radio is set too low or the pot is defective/soldered

> wrong. Of course, the possibility of
> a bad IC has crossed my mind as well. Any suggestions?
> No warranty so all I have is either a repairman or my good wits and some
> knowledge to help correct the problem. Btw, the sqelch seems to work OK.
>
> Your input would be greally appreciated,
>
> Allen
>
>

760. Re: Pro 97 volume problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-01 00:36:54 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <G06Nf.60656$m13.11394@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 9940

what does it do to a set of phones or ext speaker?
my thought lie with a naff speaker.
even though the beep is ok.
have you a sig gen? or maybe stick a radio from the eaphone socket to two bear wire and put them across the scanners speaker after disconnecting the scanners own feed, does it work ok then?
you need to find out if it is the scanner at fault or the speaker as the dirst step.
if an external audio source works, then time to scope the i/p & o/pof the audio chip.
should be easy to do.

mike

"Allen Crawford" <acrawford5@hot.rr.com> wrote in message news:er5Nf.57129$7y1.7188@tornado.texas.rr.com...
>I recently purchased a pro97 scanner in near new condition over the >internet
> through ebay. The item was
> listed as having very low audio such as one has to place the speaker next
> to
> his ear to hear the broadcast so I took a chance and bought it for 45
> dollars. I got it in yesterday and it is totally new! packaging, all
> accessories, books and not a scratch on it. Well. I unpack it and install
> freshly charged (new) batteries and
> I go to playing with it..first..a weather channel..sure enough, very low
> volume even when cranked to the fullest
> it is still at the same volume level. I went ahead and took out the four
> back cover screws and examined the area
> where the volume pot is soldered and took some readings. center tap is
> about 2.1kohm and 200 k ohm on the non grounded side. (this is without
> power
> applied)
> in the area of the volume/squelch pot, are 3 tiny pots
> and 3 test points...(this is all from memory as the scanner
> is closed up now). I was hoping for a misplaced speaker magnet or
> something
> simpler and the circuits are ungodly
> miniature and even the audio amp chip is about the size of an op amp on
> most
> regular size circuits. The doggone thing is so new looking, that it may
> be
> swapped with a
> newer one from radio shack (by swapping backplates) but I don't dare
> attempt

> the dishonest. Anyway, any suggestions to resolve my dillemma...could it
> be
> possible that I have a defective volume pot? No amount of regulation seems
> to affect the audio at all. The channel
> beep come through loud and clear but it seems that either
> the preamp from the radio is set too low or the pot is defective/soldered
> wrong. Of course, the possibility of
> a bad IC has crossed my mind as well. Any suggestions?
> No warranty so all I have is either a repairman or my good wits and some
> knowledge to help correct the problem. Btw, the sqelch seems to work OK.
>
> Your input would be greally appreciated,
>
> Allen
>
>

761. Re: Icom, winradio PC scanners or uniden digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-01 00:39:45 UTC
From: "tucker@conninc.com" <tucker@conninc.com>
Message-ID: <1141173585.179385.186460@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9941

Your right Bill, however no ones using it in my area yet, I was just looking for something
other than the typical everyday scanner. I guess im running out of stations to scan with the
old tired pro2006.

762. Re: Pro 97 volume problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-01 00:40:20 UTC
From: Allen Crawford <acrawford5@hot.rr.com>
Message-ID: <U36Nf.57134$7y1.7096@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 9942

Thanks frank,

is this for the vol/squelch combi or can they be seperated?

regards,

Allen
"Frank346" <frank346@groznyk.com> wrote in message
news:3X5Nf.30$nB6.14@news-wrt-01.rdc-nyc.rr.com...
> Might be a bad pot. If you have a meter, with power off measure resistance
> from center terminal of the pot to ground. Turn the volume knob through
its
> range. If there is no resistance change, order a new pot. This is what I
> have for ordering the volume pot: 12429163 POT VOLUME 50K OHM $15.89. Be
> sure to verify the correct part number if you do order one so you don't
get
> the wrong part.
>
> "Allen Crawford" <acrawford5@hot.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:er5Nf.57129$7y1.7188@tornado.texas.rr.com...
> > I recently purchased a pro97 scanner in near new condition over the
> internet
> > through ebay. The item was
> > listed as having very low audio such as one has to place the speaker
next
> to
> > his ear to hear the broadcast so I took a chance and bought it for 45
> > dollars. I got it in yesterday and it is totally new! packaging, all
> > accessories, books and not a scratch on it. Well. I unpack it and
install
> > freshly charged (new) batteries and
> > I go to playing with it..first..a weather channel..sure enough, very low
> > volume even when cranked to the fullest
> > it is still at the same volume level. I went ahead and took out the
four
> > back cover screws and examined the area
> > where the volume pot is soldered and took some readings. center tap is
> > about 2.1kohm and 200 k ohm on the non grounded side. (this is without
> power
> > applied)
> > in the area of the volume/squelch pot, are 3 tiny pots
> > and 3 test points...(this is all from memory as the scanner
> > is closed up now). I was hoping for a misplaced speaker magnet or
> something
> > simpler and the circuits are ungodly

> > miniature and even the audio amp chip is about the size of an op amp on
> most
> > regular size circuits. The doggone thing is so new looking, that it may
> be
> > swapped with a
> > newer one from radio shack (by swapping backplates) but I don't dare
> attempt
> > the dishonest. Anyway, any suggestions to resolve my dillemma...could
it
> be
> > possible that I have a defective volume pot? No amount of regulation
seems
> > to affect the audio at all. The channel
> > beep come through loud and clear but it seems that either
> > the preamp from the radio is set too low or the pot is
defective/soldered
> > wrong. Of course, the possibility of
> > a bad IC has crossed my mind as well. Any suggestions?
> > No warranty so all I have is either a repairman or my good wits and some
> > knowledge to help correct the problem. Btw, the sqelch seems to work
OK.
> >
> > Your input would be greally appreciated,
> >
> > Allen
> >
> >
>
>

763. Re: Pro 97 volume problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-01 00:45:44 UTC
From: Allen Crawford <acrawford5@hot.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Y86Nf.57137$7y1.20153@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 9943

"mikeFNB" <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn> wrote in message
news:G06Nf.60656$m13.11394@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net...
> what does it do to a set of phones or ext speaker?
> my thought lie with a naff speaker.
> even though the beep is ok.
> have you a sig gen? or maybe stick a radio from the eaphone socket to two
> bear wire and put them across the scanners speaker after disconnecting the
> scanners own feed, does it work ok then?
> you need to find out if it is the scanner at fault or the speaker as the
> dirst step.
> if an external audio source works, then time to scope the i/p & o/pof the
> audio chip.
> should be easy to do.
>
> mike
>
Thanks Mike,

I tried an amplified source via the earphone. The
Amplifier was a Klipsch 2.1 (200 watts) the audio was barely intelligible.
the background hiss was overbearing
and a beep tone at the same amplified volume level was
well beyond tolerability. I will try your other suggestions
as I do have a tone generator that I could get out of mothballs.

regards,

Allen

764. Re: Pro 97 volume problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-01 01:14:30 UTC
From: Ken Taylor <ken123@xxxxtra.co.nz>
Message-ID: <Xz6Nf.350$JZ1.26040@news.xtra.co.nz>
Source record: 9944

Allen Crawford wrote:
> I recently purchased a pro97 scanner in near new condition over the internet
> through ebay. The item was
> listed as having very low audio such as one has to place the speaker next to
> his ear to hear the broadcast so I took a chance and bought it for 45
> dollars. I got it in yesterday and it is totally new! packaging, all
> accessories, books and not a scratch on it. Well. I unpack it and install
> freshly charged (new) batteries and
> I go to playing with it..first..a weather channel..sure enough, very low
> volume even when cranked to the fullest
> it is still at the same volume level. I went ahead and took out the four
> back cover screws and examined the area
> where the volume pot is soldered and took some readings. center tap is
> about 2.1kohm and 200 k ohm on the non grounded side. (this is without power
> applied)
> in the area of the volume/squelch pot, are 3 tiny pots
> and 3 test points...(this is all from memory as the scanner
> is closed up now). I was hoping for a misplaced speaker magnet or something
> simpler and the circuits are ungodly
> miniature and even the audio amp chip is about the size of an op amp on most
> regular size circuits. The doggone thing is so new looking, that it may be
> swapped with a
> newer one from radio shack (by swapping backplates) but I don't dare attempt
> the dishonest. Anyway, any suggestions to resolve my dillemma...could it be
> possible that I have a defective volume pot? No amount of regulation seems
> to affect the audio at all. The channel
> beep come through loud and clear but it seems that either
> the preamp from the radio is set too low or the pot is defective/soldered
> wrong. Of course, the possibility of
> a bad IC has crossed my mind as well. Any suggestions?
> No warranty so all I have is either a repairman or my good wits and some
> knowledge to help correct the problem. Btw, the sqelch seems to work OK.
>
> Your input would be greally appreciated,
>
> Allen
>
>
Is the beep affected by the volume pot? I have the Pro-96 and the volume
control is awfully hair-trigger - goes from nothing to too damn loud in
the first few degrees of movement and then there's not much left for the
rest of the adjustment. It may be a 'design feature', though yours
suggests an actual fault of some sort. Can you get to the volume pot and
listen with an earpiece on the various pins to ground?

Cheers.

Ken

765. Re: New Illegal Descrambler SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-01 01:21:53 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@gwi.net>
Message-ID: <o6idnVx-peKUapnZnZ2dnUVZ_sGdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 9945

And now YOU have also repeated YOUR reference to this spam to all those groups, also, so if they had not heard about it, they have now ! As a matter of fact, this is one of the groups that was (formerly) not bothered by this particular "spam"....

"John Kasupski" <kc2hmz@wzrd.com> wrote in message
news:58q902997cp56e3u82osatiomc2kogo698@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 28 Feb 2006 12:15:14 -0800, "Free PPV Movies!!!"
> <yabqzrju@xabpxqis.com>
> wrote:
>
>>Amazing New Technology has finally arrived...the NEW XP2500A+ HDTV Digital
>>Satellite TV Descrambler Receiver will "amaze-you". Our NEW XP2500A+ HDTV
>>Digital Satellite Receiver, offers a wide variety of channels, an
>>incredible value and superior customer service are just part of the story.
>
> <snip>
>
>
> If anyone else is sick of seeing this SPAM every day in every
> sports-related
> newsgroup (and some that are totally unrelated to sports), start
> forwarding them
> to abuse@100ProofNews.com with an e-mail complaint.
>
> Whoever is posting this crap is violating their Terms Of Service (I
> checked the
> 100ProofNews.com website). Furthermore, they are advocating an illegal
> activity/product - the mere possession of one is illegal in 32 states, and
> actual use of one violates Federal law (specifically, the Federal
> Communications
> Act of 1934, 47 U.S.C. 605).
>
> John Kasupski
> Tonawanda, NY
>

766. Re: Pro 97 volume problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-01 01:37:52 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <QV6Nf.15$X.12@news-wrt-01.rdc-nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 9946

I believe it is for the combination. That might account for the high price. The beep probably bypasses the volume control in the circuit. Since it is loud and clear, the audio amp chip is ok and the speaker is too. Note that the volume pot is 50K from one end terminal to the other. If you get a higher reading than that from the center terminal to either end, that is a clue that the pot is probably bad.

"Allen Crawford" <acrawford5@hot.rr.com> wrote in message
news:U36Nf.57134$7y1.7096@tornado.texas.rr.com...
> Thanks frank,
>
> is this for the vol/squelch combi or can they be seperated?
>
> regards,
>
> Allen
> "Frank346" <frank346@groznyk.com> wrote in message
> news:3X5Nf.30$nB6.14@news-wrt-01.rdc-nyc.rr.com...
> > Might be a bad pot. If you have a meter, with power off measure
resistance
> > from center terminal of the pot to ground. Turn the volume knob through
> its
> > range. If there is no resistance change, order a new pot. This is what I
> > have for ordering the volume pot: 12429163 POT VOLUME 50K OHM $15.89. Be
> > sure to verify the correct part number if you do order one so you don't
> get
> > the wrong part.
> >
> > "Allen Crawford" <acrawford5@hot.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:er5Nf.57129$7y1.7188@tornado.texas.rr.com...
> > > I recently purchased a pro97 scanner in near new condition over the
> > internet
> > > through ebay. The item was
> > > listed as having very low audio such as one has to place the speaker
> next
> > to
> > > his ear to hear the broadcast so I took a chance and bought it for 45
> > > dollars. I got it in yesterday and it is totally new! packaging, all
> > > accessories, books and not a scratch on it. Well. I unpack it and
> install
> > > freshly charged (new) batteries and
> > > I go to playing with it..first..a weather channel..sure enough, very
low
> > > volume even when cranked to the fullest

> > > it is still at the same volume level. I went ahead and took out the
> four
> > > back cover screws and examined the area
> > > where the volume pot is soldered and took some readings. center tap
is
> > > about 2.1kohm and 200 k ohm on the non grounded side. (this is without
> > power
> > > applied)
> > > in the area of the volume/squelch pot, are 3 tiny pots
> > > and 3 test points...(this is all from memory as the scanner
> > > is closed up now). I was hoping for a misplaced speaker magnet or
> > something
> > > simpler and the circuits are ungodly
> > > miniature and even the audio amp chip is about the size of an op amp
on
> > most
> > > regular size circuits. The doggone thing is so new looking, that it
may
> > be
> > > swapped with a
> > > newer one from radio shack (by swapping backplates) but I don't dare
> > attempt
> > > the dishonest. Anyway, any suggestions to resolve my dillemma...could
> it
> > be
> > > possible that I have a defective volume pot? No amount of regulation
> seems
> > > to affect the audio at all. The channel
> > > beep come through loud and clear but it seems that either
> > > the preamp from the radio is set too low or the pot is
> defective/soldered
> > > wrong. Of course, the possibility of
> > > a bad IC has crossed my mind as well. Any suggestions?
> > > No warranty so all I have is either a repairman or my good wits and
some
> > > knowledge to help correct the problem. Btw, the sqelch seems to work
> OK.
> > >
> > > Your input would be greally appreciated,
> > >
> > > Allen
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

767. Re: Question regarding MFJ-1714 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-01 01:38:48 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <emu902p2ak8m2hnn1img4fo5d97bc8rc70@4ax.com>
Source record: 9948
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On 28 Feb 2006 13:44:39 -0800, junjunius@yahoo.com wrote:

>I hope that someone might be able to answer a question for me: does
>the MFJ-1714 have any coil in it which would serve to attenuate
>frequencies below the 2m amateur band for which it's apparently
>designed?

The 2 meter band is 144-148, not 440. The coil is a loading coil - it manes the antenna electrically longer, it doesn't cut off any frequencies.

>Or would this antenna serve well for frequencies below 440 MHz on a
>handheld scanner?

It's a bit long (cumbersome), but it should work.

768. FA: Regency MonitorRadio Scanner VINTAGE Nice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-01 01:38:57 UTC
From: Dave <dave@whassup.net>
Message-ID: <dmu902d89dnvtm7ag1umf6t8of4appshkj@4ax.com>
Source record: 9947

I have for auction a nice vintage Regency Scanner if any of you
collectors are interested:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5871475451&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1

Thanks for looking!

769. Re: Pro 97 volume problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-01 02:03:49 UTC
From: Honest John <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <t-adnQ96n4AlnZjZnZ2dneKdnZydnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 9949

Component failure may have cause the IF freq. to shift off of the correct freq.

HJ

770. Re: Pro 97 volume problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-01 02:09:23 UTC
From: Allen Crawford <acrawford5@hot.rr.com>
Message-ID: <nn7Nf.57161$7y1.43166@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 9950

"Ken Taylor" <ken123@xxxxtra.co.nz> wrote in message
news:Xz6Nf.350$JZ1.26040@news.xtra.co.nz...
> Allen Crawford wrote:
> > I recently purchased a pro97 scanner in near new condition over the
internet
> > through ebay. The item was
> > listed as having very low audio such as one has to place the speaker
next to
> > his ear to hear the broadcast so I took a chance and bought it for 45
> > dollars. I got it in yesterday and it is totally new! packaging, all
> > accessories, books and not a scratch on it. Well. I unpack it and
install
> > freshly charged (new) batteries and
> > I go to playing with it..first..a weather channel..sure enough, very low
> > volume even when cranked to the fullest
> > it is still at the same volume level. I went ahead and took out the
four
> > back cover screws and examined the area
> > where the volume pot is soldered and took some readings. center tap is
> > about 2.1kohm and 200 k ohm on the non grounded side. (this is without
power
> > applied)
> > in the area of the volume/squelch pot, are 3 tiny pots
> > and 3 test points...(this is all from memory as the scanner
> > is closed up now). I was hoping for a misplaced speaker magnet or
something
> > simpler and the circuits are ungodly
> > miniature and even the audio amp chip is about the size of an op amp on
most
> > regular size circuits. The doggone thing is so new looking, that it may
be
> > swapped with a
> > newer one from radio shack (by swapping backplates) but I don't dare
attempt
> > the dishonest. Anyway, any suggestions to resolve my dillemma...could
it be
> > possible that I have a defective volume pot? No amount of regulation
seems
> > to affect the audio at all. The channel
> > beep come through loud and clear but it seems that either
> > the preamp from the radio is set too low or the pot is
defective/soldered
> > wrong. Of course, the possibility of
> > a bad IC has crossed my mind as well. Any suggestions?

> > No warranty so all I have is either a repairman or my good wits and some
> > knowledge to help correct the problem. Btw, the sqelch seems to work
OK.
> >
> > Your input would be greally appreciated,
> >
> > Allen
> >
> >
> Is the beep affected by the volume pot? I have the Pro-96 and the volume
> control is awfully hair-trigger - goes from nothing to too damn loud in
> the first few degrees of movement and then there's not much left for the
> rest of the adjustment. It may be a 'design feature', though yours
> suggests an actual fault of some sort. Can you get to the volume pot and
> listen with an earpiece on the various pins to ground?
>
> Cheers.
>
> Ken

Ken,

the "beeptone" is virtually unaffected by the volume
control and remains constant from the near off position to
max volume. I only wish I could get to the contacts
while the unit is powered up but it is sandwiched with
a lower speaker/display/keypad board and they will
not operate when separated. so all my experimentation must be done with the
component side only:-(\

regards,

Allen

771. Re: Pro 97 volume problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-01 02:16:17 UTC
From: Allen Crawford <acrawford5@hot.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Rt7Nf.57164$7y1.4767@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 9851

"Frank346" <frank346@groznyk.com> wrote in message
news:QV6Nf.15$X.12@news-wrt-01.rdc-nyc.rr.com...
> I believe it is for the combination. That might account for the high
price.
> The beep probably bypasses the volume control in the circuit. Since it is
> loud and clear, the audio amp chip is ok and the speaker is too. Note that
> the volume pot is 50K from one end terminal to the other. If you get a
> higher reading than that from the center terminal to either end, that is a
> clue that the pot is probably bad.
>
Frank,

I am inclined to go with that too. I am going to have to get the
spouse to help with the pot turning while I hold the fluke leads. I will
give back the readings with respect
to ground later and see what you think. there is a stack
of 3 soldered leads which leads me to believe that 3 are
on/off, 3 are volume, and 3 are squelch...darn squelch
definately wants to parallel the movement of the volume
too....damn poor design for such a nice spec'd receiver.

regards,

Allen

772. RadioReference.com largest radio frequency database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-01 02:28:13 UTC
From: news <cdockray@pegasusbb.com>
Message-ID: <a3ba4$44050751$481a96a6$2657@PGTV.COM>
Source record: 9853

RadioReference.com
http://www.radioreference.com/

RadioReference.com is the world's largest radio communications reference website, with complete conventional frequency assignments, trunked radio system information, frequencies, and talkgroups, FCC License assignments and maps, 10-Code Lists, agency maps, files, downloads, links, and detailed agency information for most public safety, military, and local government activities

All of this can be found in the Radio Reference Database at:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR

773. Re: Pro 97 volume problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-01 02:28:26 UTC
From: Allen Crawford <acrawford5@hot.rr.com>
Message-ID: <eF7Nf.57171$7y1.2583@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 9852

"Honest John" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:t-adnQ96n4AlnZjZnZ2dneKdnZydnZ2d@centurytel.net...
> Component failure may have cause the IF freq. to shift off of the correct
> freq.
>
> HJ
>
>
Thanks Honest John,

Well, the scanner is still displaying, responding to calls, and giving low
audible output. But if your theory is correct, I'm screwed:-(...I won't
give up hope...I am going to try the pot and hope like heck that is all it
is.
I would fare better off buying a new one if I had the problem you described.
Thanks for the input and let's hope for non-failure of component/s:-)

regards,

Allen

774. Re: New Illegal Descrambler SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-01 03:35:29 UTC
From: George Grapman <fgerge@paccbell.net>
Message-ID: <5E8Nf.17718$2O6.11300@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 9854
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.baseball,alt.sports.baseball.cinci-reds,alt.sports.baseball.cleve-indians,alt.sports.football.pro.buffalo-bills,rec.radio.scanner

John Kasupski wrote:
> On Tue, 28 Feb 2006 12:15:14 -0800, "Free PPV Movies!!!" <yabqzrju@xabpxqis.com>
> wrote:
>
>> Amazing New Technology has finally arrivedï¿½..the NEW XP2500A+ HDTV Digital Satellite TV Descrambler Receiver will ï¿½amaze-youï¿½. Our NEW XP2500A+ HDTV Digital Satellite Receiver, offers a wide variety of channels, an incredible value and superior customer service are just part of the story.
>
> <snip>
>
>
> If anyone else is sick of seeing this SPAM every day in every sports-related
> newsgroup (and some that are totally unrelated to sports), start forwarding them
> to abuse@100ProofNews.com with an e-mail complaint.
>
> Whoever is posting this crap is violating their Terms Of Service (I checked the
> 100ProofNews.com website). Furthermore, they are advocating an illegal
> activity/product - the mere possession of one is illegal in 32 states, and
> actual use of one violates Federal law (specifically, the Federal Communications
> Act of 1934, 47 U.S.C. ï¿½ 605).
>
> John Kasupski
> Tonawanda, NY
>
In addition , you will turn it one one day and find that it does no
longer works.
Outfits like this have been shut down in he past and after their
records are seized the authorities go after the customers.

--
To reply via e-mail please delete 1 c from paccbell

775. Re: Pro 97 volume problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-01 13:39:24 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <fs8b025l4s1gntsimsohmdkii141607lr5@4ax.com>
Source record: 9855

On Wed, 01 Mar 2006 02:28:26 GMT, "Allen Crawford"
<acrawford5@hot.rr.com> wrote:

>I would fare better off buying a new one

Try hanging a 47k resistor between the high end and the center pin of
the pot and keep the pot about at the center. It's not a fix, but if
it works a little, you know the pot is shot (open on the high end).
You wouldn't be happy spending $15 for a pot to discover that it's not
the pot that's the problem.

776. Re: RadioReference.com largest radio frequency database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-02 01:09:34 UTC
From: tg <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
Message-ID: <440645d9$0$29575$da0feed9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 9856

"news" <cdockray@pegasusbb.com> wrote in message
news:a3ba4$44050751$481a96a6$2657@PGTV.COM...
> RadioReference.com
> http://www.radioreference.com/
>
> RadioReference.com is the world's largest ....
<snip>
> All of this can be found in the Radio Reference Database at:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR

I got:
'NOTE: This report is available only to Paid Contributors to the web site. '
hmmm....

777. Re: RadioReference.com largest radio frequency database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-02 01:11:46 UTC
From: Gerry A <dfdadsdf@aasdf.com>
Message-ID: <AeydnZakCb_P25vZRVn-jw@comcast.com>
Source record: 9857

They told you that for free.

778. Re: RadioReference.com largest radio frequency database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-02 01:51:05 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <kqjc02h1abt517b9n7aph3341d4uvgmnlk@4ax.com>
Source record: 9858

On Thu, 2 Mar 2006 01:09:34 -0000, "tg" <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
wrote:

>I got:
>'NOTE: This report is available only to Paid Contributors to the web site. '
>hmmm....

I think that only holds true for PDF reports and downloads, but a $5
contribution will make you a paid member.

779. Newbie On Coast Guard Freq. Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-02 22:31:38 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <r9ednTyxJIzZ75rZnZ2dnUVZ_t6dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9859

Hello:

New at this:

Regarding the Coast Guard S&R frequency of 237.9 that I recently read
about:

Is this the primary S&R freq used most everywhere ?
Is it used for air to ground and also ground to air usage by the C.G. ?

Is it an AM mode

Are all freq's between 225.000 and 399.950 (always) AM ?

Thanks,
B.

780. Re: RadioReference.com largest radio frequency database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-02 22:57:31 UTC
From: tg <tg@nospamevereverever.net>
Message-ID: <4407787c$0$29573$da0feed9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 9860

"news" <cdockray@pegasusbb.com> wrote in message
news:a3ba4$44050751$481a96a6$2657@PGTV.COM...
> RadioReference.com
> http://www.radioreference.com/
>
> RadioReference.com is the world's largest radio communications reference
> website, with complete conventional frequency assignments, trunked radio
> system information, frequencies, and talkgroups, FCC License assignments and
> maps, 10-Code Lists, agency maps, files, downloads, links, and detailed
> agency information for most public safety, military, and local government
> activities
>
> All of this can be found in the Radio Reference Database at:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR

Doubtless this won't be the last time you plug this site on the NG's, but I think it would be a good action in future to mention
that this site will at some point indicate it requires payment from you. Requiring payment is not a bad thing, but people generally
don't like surprises. I didn't.

781. Re: Pro 97 volume problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-03 02:02:54 UTC
From: "Mr. Man with the Master Plan" <fist@your.face.net>
Message-ID: <ttNNf.8860$Wh5.8251@fe10.lga>
Source record: 9861

Just wondering, if you tried to do a re-set of the radio? I doubt that
would do anything, but it might not hurt.

-SMTP

"Allen Crawford" <acrawford5@hot.rr.com> wrote in message
news:er5Nf.57129$7y1.7188@tornado.texas.rr.com...
>I recently purchased a pro97 scanner in near new condition over the
>internet
> through ebay. The item was
> listed as having very low audio such as one has to place the speaker next
> to
> his ear to hear the broadcast so I took a chance and bought it for 45
> dollars. I got it in yesterday and it is totally new! packaging, all
> accessories, books and not a scratch on it. Well. I unpack it and install
> freshly charged (new) batteries and
> I go to playing with it..first..a weather channel..sure enough, very low
> volume even when cranked to the fullest
> it is still at the same volume level. I went ahead and took out the four
> back cover screws and examined the area
> where the volume pot is soldered and took some readings. center tap is
> about 2.1kohm and 200 k ohm on the non grounded side. (this is without
> power
> applied)
> in the area of the volume/squelch pot, are 3 tiny pots
> and 3 test points...(this is all from memory as the scanner
> is closed up now). I was hoping for a misplaced speaker magnet or
> something
> simpler and the circuits are ungodly
> miniature and even the audio amp chip is about the size of an op amp on
> most
> regular size circuits. The doggone thing is so new looking, that it may
> be
> swapped with a
> newer one from radio shack (by swapping backplates) but I don't dare
> attempt
> the dishonest. Anyway, any suggestions to resolve my dillemma...could it
> be
> possible that I have a defective volume pot? No amount of regulation seems
> to affect the audio at all. The channel
> beep come through loud and clear but it seems that either
> the preamp from the radio is set too low or the pot is defective/soldered
> wrong. Of course, the possibility of
> a bad IC has crossed my mind as well. Any suggestions?
> No warranty so all I have is either a repairman or my good wits and some

> knowledge to help correct the problem. Btw, the sqelch seems to work OK.
>
> Your input would be greally appreciated,
>
> Allen
>
>

782. Re: RadioReference.com largest radio frequency database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-03 03:02:07 UTC
From: "M." <notvalid@email.add>
Message-ID: <VkONf.216$e4.95434@sooner.brightok.net>
Source record: 9862

It doesn't require payment to access all the basic features of the site. It only requires payment for a few value-added features, such as the pdf-formatted trunked data. Don't make a mountain out of a molehill. Most users end up making a small contribution to Lindsay, not for the added-value features, but to help defray the costs of running such as excellent site.

"tg" <tg@nospamevereverever.net> wrote in message
news:4407787c$0$29573$da0feed9@news.zen.co.uk...
>
> "news" <cdockray@pegasusbb.com> wrote in message
> news:a3ba4$44050751$481a96a6$2657@PGTV.COM...
>> RadioReference.com
>> http://www.radioreference.com/
>>
>> RadioReference.com is the world's largest radio communications reference
>> website, with complete conventional frequency assignments, trunked radio
>> system information, frequencies, and talkgroups, FCC License assignments
>> and
>> maps, 10-Code Lists, agency maps, files, downloads, links, and detailed
>> agency information for most public safety, military, and local government
>> activities
>>
>> All of this can be found in the Radio Reference Database at:
>> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR
>
> Doubtless this won't be the last time you plug this site on the NG's, but
> I think it would be a good action in future to mention
> that this site will at some point indicate it requires payment from you.
> Requiring payment is not a bad thing, but people generally don't like
> surprises. I didn't.
>
>
>
>

783. Space Cam - comments invited

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-03 16:31:52 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1141403512.175060.9530@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9863

reposted from the LiveScannerAudio list

Hi All,

Slightly Off topic:

I am building a satellite project that will send JPG images from the International Space Station. The project is called SpaceCam and it will send 480 images from orbit each day.
I am interested to know, if there is much interest in the Scanner listening community to listen to and decode these images.

ISS Setup:
The transmitter from the Space station should be strong enough for people with a scanner and a basic outside antenna to hear and decode some of the images. The system on ISS will be transmitting at 5 or 10 watts in standard 5k deviation FM, into a 0 dBd antenna system. ISS will be in range 8-10 minutes per orbit, for up to 6-7 orbits (passes) per day. The frequency will most likely be 145.800 MHz FM (this may change)

What you will need:
A receiver that can support 145.800 MHz range.
Outside antenna (0 dBd or better, or a small beam 4 element works good)
Also a PC running one of the many Share ware SSTV decoders.

What you will get:
The plan is to send 1 JPG from ISS every 3 minutes. With a good antenna you should be able to receive up to 3 images per orbit / pass. The images will be small JPG's aprox 50k. The selection will be what ever the Space Station crew puts in the down link folder. We may have the option in the future for LIVE stills.

Questions:
Is a project like this of interest to the majority of the scanner listeners?

If we moved the frequency from (2-meter band) 145.xxx MHz to (10-meter band) 29.xxx MHz, would most people still be able to monitor the frequency.

Which band would you prefer?

SSTV Space Cam project
http://www.marexmg.org/

Thanks WF1F

LiveScannerAudio Favourite Links:

http://scannerfeeds.us
http://www.livepolicescan.com/index.htm
http://www.scannerbuff.net/teamspeak/teamspeak.html
http://www.incidentbroadcast.com/
http://icecastscanners.notlong.com/
http://shoutcastscanners.notlong.com/
http://www.radioreference.com/wiki/index.php/Live_Scanners
http://www.police-scanner.info/index.htm
http://www.publicsafetyfeeds.com/

784. Re: Pro 97 volume problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-04 00:09:44 UTC
From: Allen Crawford <acrawford5@hot.rr.com>
Message-ID: <cV4Of.4176$n%2.2269@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 9864

"Mr. Man with the Master Plan" <fist@your.face.net> wrote in message
news:ttNNf.8860$Wh5.8251@fe10.lga...
> Just wondering, if you tried to do a re-set of the radio? I doubt that
> would do anything, but it might not hurt.
>
> -SMTP
>
Well shoot fire, I am receptive to anything right about now...I figure that
the worst it could do is delate all the pre-sets...but what the hay...I'll
give it a whirl!

Gonna have to consult the manual to find it's where-abouts!

Cheers!,

Allen
>
> "Allen Crawford" <acrawford5@hot.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:er5Nf.57129$7y1.7188@tornado.texas.rr.com...
> >I recently purchased a pro97 scanner in near new condition over the
> >internet
> > through ebay. The item was
> > listed as having very low audio such as one has to place the speaker
next
> > to
> > his ear to hear the broadcast so I took a chance and bought it for 45
> > dollars. I got it in yesterday and it is totally new! packaging, all
> > accessories, books and not a scratch on it. Well. I unpack it and
install
> > freshly charged (new) batteries and
> > I go to playing with it..first..a weather channel..sure enough, very low
> > volume even when cranked to the fullest
> > it is still at the same volume level. I went ahead and took out the
four
> > back cover screws and examined the area
> > where the volume pot is soldered and took some readings. center tap is
> > about 2.1kohm and 200 k ohm on the non grounded side. (this is without
> > power
> > applied)
> > in the area of the volume/squelch pot, are 3 tiny pots
> > and 3 test points...(this is all from memory as the scanner
> > is closed up now). I was hoping for a misplaced speaker magnet or
> > something
> > simpler and the circuits are ungodly
> > miniature and even the audio amp chip is about the size of an op amp on

> > most
> > regular size circuits. The doggone thing is so new looking, that it may
> > be
> > swapped with a
> > newer one from radio shack (by swapping backplates) but I don't dare
> > attempt
> > the dishonest. Anyway, any suggestions to resolve my dillemma...could
it
> > be
> > possible that I have a defective volume pot? No amount of regulation
seems
> > to affect the audio at all. The channel
> > beep come through loud and clear but it seems that either
> > the preamp from the radio is set too low or the pot is
defective/soldered
> > wrong. Of course, the possibility of
> > a bad IC has crossed my mind as well. Any suggestions?
> > No warranty so all I have is either a repairman or my good wits and some
> > knowledge to help correct the problem. Btw, the sqelch seems to work
OK.
> >
> > Your input would be greally appreciated,
> >
> > Allen
> >
> >
>
>

785. Re: Pro 97 volume problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-04 00:42:05 UTC
From: Allen Crawford <acrawford5@hot.rr.com>
Message-ID: <xn5Of.4309$n%2.1050@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 9865

```
"Allen Crawford" <acrawford5@hot.rr.com> wrote in message
news:cV4Of.4176$n%2.2269@tornado.texas.rr.com...
>
> "Mr. Man with the Master Plan" <fist@your.face.net> wrote in message
> news:ttNNf.8860$Wh5.8251@fe10.lga...
> > Just wondering, if you tried to do a re-set of the radio? I doubt that
> > would do anything, but it might not hurt.
> >
> > -SMTP
> >
> Well shoot fire, I am receptive to anything right about now...I figure
that
> the worst it could do is delate all the pre-sets...but what the hay...I'll
> give it a whirl!
>
> Gonna have to consult the manual to find it's where-abouts!
>
> Cheers!,
>
> Allen
> >
> > "Allen Crawford" <acrawford5@hot.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:er5Nf.57129$7y1.7188@tornado.texas.rr.com...
> > >I recently purchased a pro97 scanner in near new condition over the
> > >internet
> > > through ebay. The item was
> > > listed as having very low audio such as one has to place the speaker
> next
> > > to
> > > his ear to hear the broadcast so I took a chance and bought it for 45
> > > dollars. I got it in yesterday and it is totally new! packaging, all
> > > accessories, books and not a scratch on it. Well. I unpack it and
> install
> > > freshly charged (new) batteries and
> > > I go to playing with it..first..a weather channel..sure enough, very
low
> > > volume even when cranked to the fullest
> > > it is still at the same volume level. I went ahead and took out the
> four
> > > back cover screws and examined the area
> > > where the volume pot is soldered and took some readings. center tap
is
> > > about 2.1kohm and 200 k ohm on the non grounded side. (this is without
> > > power
```

> > > applied)
> > > in the area of the volume/squelch pot, are 3 tiny pots
> > > and 3 test points...(this is all from memory as the scanner
> > > is closed up now). I was hoping for a misplaced speaker magnet or
> > > something
> > > simpler and the circuits are ungodly
> > > miniature and even the audio amp chip is about the size of an op amp
on
> > > most
> > > regular size circuits. The doggone thing is so new looking, that it
may
> > > be
> > > swapped with a
> > > newer one from radio shack (by swapping backplates) but I don't dare
> > > attempt
> > > the dishonest. Anyway, any suggestions to resolve my dillemma...could
> it
> > > be
> > > possible that I have a defective volume pot? No amount of regulation
> seems
> > > to affect the audio at all. The channel
> > > beep come through loud and clear but it seems that either
> > > the preamp from the radio is set too low or the pot is
> defective/soldered
> > > wrong. Of course, the possibility of
> > > a bad IC has crossed my mind as well. Any suggestions?
> > > No warranty so all I have is either a repairman or my good wits and
some
> > > knowledge to help correct the problem. Btw, the sqelch seems to work
> OK.
> > >
> > > Your input would be greally appreciated,
> > >
> > > Allen
> > >
> > >

OK..there is not hard reset button on this one, like older models. You
initialize by going through a sequence of turning off/then on the scanner.
While the splash screen
reads multi system trunking...etc. (you usually have 5 secs) then you press<
0 1 enter >and wait about 5 seconds as it tells you
that it is initializing. You lose all your presets but mine was set by RS
anyway. No help though...still just as
quiet as before...He! he! sorta reminds me of the day
when I got my first crystal set:-)

Thanks all for the suggestions,

regards to all,

Allen
> >
> >
>
>

786. CSX Comms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-04 01:01:02 UTC
From: Sean Ingram <seaningram@tds.net>
Message-ID: <4408e1a1_1@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 9866
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
Richmond, Virginia
CSX
160.590 and 161.520 MHz FM

Comms heard
```

787. Real Scanner Listeners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-04 01:51:33 UTC
From: Sean Ingram <seaningram@tds.net>
Message-ID: <4408edf0$1_3@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 9867
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am driving down the road and I hear a call to either Police/Fire/Rescue about an accident and IF It is on the same road -- I have every RIGHT to know so that I can slow to a safer approach until I see it and just let the other assholes yapping on the cellphones roll on by.

If I am on the Vol. Fire Dept. or Rescue then I may continue as a first responder in a safe manner and not cause another accident.

But I am not on either the Fire or Rescue/EMT teams, so therefore I will maintain my watchful eye for the accident ahead.

I have, in rare situations, rendered assistance with traffic awareness until Virginia State police/County units and fire/rescue arrived and advised them that I was not a witness, yet I stopped to check if someone had called in.

They welcomed my assistance and thanked me and said,"Alright, Sean. Thank you very much and be careful going home / to work. We'll take it from here, now. Good job."

That's what involvment should be. Yet, some localities will look at you as though you are impersonating law enforcement.

I have lived in Amelia County, Virginia for 35 Years.

I know a fraction of Local Law enforcement units, Fire and Rescue/EMTs, I also know some in the Virginia State Police.
They know me --- IN a Good Way.

I would like to ask a question to those who might know what I am talking about.
(This has been my concern for quite some time and wanted to know if it is just me or some folks feel uneasy about me being around them.)

When I was real young, I used to climb up into the cab of the Fire Trucks or Ambulance, or in the police car.
I am grown up now, and when I go near these vehicles I notice some members look at me in a "Who the hell is he andwhy is he coming over here?" look.
As an EMT or Firefighter or Police officer, I would greet someone, regardless of age, in a cordial manner and invite them to look inside or sit inside as long as they didn't take off or scare someone with the siren/horn (with a chuckle.)

I feel a repulsive attitude coming from those who are on the Fire or Rescue/EMT teams when I even come near them.

What have I ever done or said to these people that are acting this way and yet turn around and are laughing and joking with those in their "clique".

I would speak to them in a cordial manner and all they would do is look at me and shrug and I would hear them whisper to the others that "his daddy runs the schools that's why I don't talk to him."

I am also a Ham Radio operator and in Amelia County, Virginia -- your'e not considered good company around EMTs and Firefighters and Local Law enforcement.
You're looked down upon because you have radios that can receive their frequencies.
It Is Illegal for anyone to transmit on those frequencies, unless they are with the County Sheriff/ Fire or Rescue.

We don't mount Red lights on our vehicles where ever we go.

We have Mobile Command Stations, antennas, radios, and equipment that can take the place of cell phone and/ landline phones when power is disrupted.
We use HF, VHF and UHF radios and can do autopatches and conduct emergency nets and training operations over amateur radio frequencies.
We can talk to other stations around the world.

And yet, we are considered bad company around others when helping out in a disaster situation.
Some places in the U.S. Welcome Hams!
I guess it depends on who really knows you anymore when you're a Ham than it's who you know or don't know.
(I tried to make it sound good or make sense of it)

I will now come down from my tower now.

Tnx.

Sean
KD4ADV

788. Re: Real Scanner Listeners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-04 04:39:01 UTC
From: CLFE <Junkmail@CLFURENT.COM>
Message-ID: <440919c5$0$25084$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 9868
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Sean Ingram" <seaningram@tds.net> wrote in message
news:4408edf0$1_3@newspeer2.tds.net...
>I am driving down the road and I hear a call to either Police/Fire/Rescue
>about an accident and IF It is on the same road -- I have every RIGHT to
>know so that I can slow to a safer approach until I see it and just let the
>other assholes yapping on the cellphones roll on by.
>
> If I am on the Vol. Fire Dept. or Rescue then I may continue as a first
> responder in a safe manner and not cause another accident.
>
> But I am not on either the Fire or Rescue/EMT teams, so therefore I will
> maintain my watchful eye for the accident ahead.
>
> I have, in rare situations, rendered assistance with traffic awareness
> until Virginia State police/County units and fire/rescue arrived and
> advised them that I was not a witness, yet I stopped to check if someone
> had called in.
>
> They welcomed my assistance and thanked me and said,"Alright, Sean. Thank
> you very much and be careful going home / to work. We'll take it from
> here, now. Good job."
>
> That's what involvment should be. Yet, some localities will look at you as
> though you are impersonating law enforcement.
>
> I have lived in Amelia County, Virginia for 35 Years.
>
> I know a fraction of Local Law enforcement units, Fire and Rescue/EMTs, I
> also know some in the Virginia State Police.
> They know me --- IN a Good Way.
>
> I would like to ask a question to those who might know what I am talking
> about.
> (This has been my concern for quite some time and wanted to know if it is
> just me or some folks feel uneasy about me being around them.)
>
> When I was real young, I used to climb up into the cab of the Fire Trucks
> or Ambulance, or in the police car.
> I am grown up now, and when I go near these vehicles I notice some members
> look at me in a "Who the hell is he andwhy is he coming over here?" look.
> As an EMT or Firefighter or Police officer, I would greet someone,
> regardless of age, in a cordial manner and invite them to look inside or
> sit inside as long as they didn't take off or scare someone with the

> siren/horn (with a chuckle.)
>
> I feel a repulsive attitude coming from those who are on the Fire or
> Rescue/EMT teams when I even come near them.
>
> What have I ever done or said to these people that are acting this way and
> yet turn around and are laughing and joking with those in their "clique".
> I would speak to them in a cordial manner and all they would do is look at
> me and shrug and I would hear them whisper to the others that "his daddy
> runs the schools that's why I don't talk to him."
>
> I am also a Ham Radio operator and in Amelia County, Virginia -- your'e
> not considered good company around EMTs and Firefighters and Local Law
> enforcement.
> You're looked down upon because you have radios that can receive their
> frequencies.
> It Is Illegal for anyone to transmit on those frequencies, unless they are
> with the County Sheriff/ Fire or Rescue.
>
> We don't mount Red lights on our vehicles where ever we go.
>
> We have Mobile Command Stations, antennas, radios, and equipment that can
> take the place of cell phone and/ landline phones when power is disrupted.
> We use HF, VHF and UHF radios and can do autopatches and conduct emergency
> nets and training operations over amateur radio frequencies.
> We can talk to other stations around the world.
>
> And yet, we are considered bad company around others when helping out in a
> disaster situation.
> Some places in the U.S. Welcome Hams!
> I guess it depends on who really knows you anymore when you're a Ham than
> it's who you know or don't know.
> (I tried to make it sound good or make sense of it)
>
> I will now come down from my tower now.
>
> Tnx.
>
> Sean
> KD4ADV
>

I fall into each of the aforementioned catagories. Scanner listener, (Former EMT and Paramedic) - now Vol. Firefighter, Amateur Radio Operator AND EMA Coordinator.

I am just going to "suggest" that given today's attitude of "be aware" - many are doing just that. Around an EMS or Fire Rescue Unit that may carry drugs, perhaps there is fear of drugs or the paraphenalia being stolen. After all, at least around here - what is in those boxes - "better" be accounted for.

Otherwise EMTs, Firefighters "should" try to give some guided tours of the equipment if time permits. It instills a sense of respect and knowledge in the public - knowing that those groups are equipped to deal with most any emergency and the firefighters/medics are their friends.

As to Hams - many Public Service folks who are not hams could care less about hams - or CB for that matter. To them, you're in the way. Trying to play a role you're not suited for. We fought an uphill battle here to get some of our guys to accept ham. One fateful night when the fire radio was swamped during a major flood and rumors running rampant about a dam burst - I was able to allow several to listen to a couple hams "standing" near "that" dam - and say with certainty - the dam was NOT being compromised. They were able to then disregard the still unconfirmed rumors on the fire radio and believe all was going to be ok. They were proven right when the dam did not burst. "That" sold them. NOW - when there is an emergency - they think I'm naked if I don't show up at our Command center with any radio equipment. They're so used to watching me set up my emergency comms gear - 2 meters - 440 and H.F. I'd do CB too, but many of those I knew - no longer are on CB and the rest don't get on until the wee hours of the morning to chat. That is of no help to me. Anytime we have a warning issued for flood, tornado, etc..... most who may not hear the radio - see me and another person heading to the command post - they say - Gloom and Doom are going to the station - something is up - they know we don't go there for nothing. At the very least - if not carrying my Ham Comms equipment, I have at least a portable scanner, my Fire pager, Fire Radio Portable and my laptop with my disaster plan stored on two separate disks - with me.

By standers or people going down the highway CAN stop at an accident to make sure things are taking place - BUT - you must be very careful of any hazards. You could come on some chemical, downed power lines, etc. The best thing to do is yell to the parties if you see any - asking if they're ok or whatever - relay that to 911 from a safe distance. Some brave souls "will" go to the people injured or trapped and have been heroes. Others have become victims.

IF you have a decent rapport with the local Police - Fire - EMS - you may be on your way as to Ham ops - teach someone of them who may lend an ear - what Ham can do. Get them involved. You can tell them too - that many of their brothers and sisters around the country are Ham Ops. One thing is - in a disaster - the "Cell" phones DO tend to be swamped. It happpened during 911 and the great Power Outage of the North East. IF they go down - Technicians do NOT get there immediately to repair the damage and even at that - may NOT be able to repair it very soon. Some comms sites are in remote locations - very tough to get to in a good day. Hams can set up Comms instantly - portable repeaters, etc. There just aren't enough "Technicians" to service a "multiple" comms strike - should one occur. In our area, there are like 3 companies who service like 4 counties. THAT is a lot of coverage. A major hit like Katrina - can and will cause havoc.
So, Hams CAN come in handy - IF they are utilized. BUT the Hams MUST understand - though they may do the comms, they aren't to do anything they're not supposed to OR release info not cleared for "them" to release.

This isn't difficult to set up but it can't be done in a day and certainly without willing participants.
I welcome Hams in my coverage - we operate a "net" and I assign whoever is not in imminent danger - as "Net Control" - provided they can do so without panicing. I have people in the areas most important to me (but not in harms way) - give me updates on what is going on - that I need to know. I ask "Specific" questions. They already know to keep any unwanted traffic OFF the air. Hams and Public Service CAN AND DO work well together if given a chance - and some training is held. They need to be told what to report, what NOT to report on the air - usually if need be - and Public Service Comms are down - a Ham will accompany one of those Service folks. There is also what is called INCIDENT COMMAND. This is established at most any emergency. The first person in - in charge - takes control and sets it up. They use this system to run the entire emergency from start to finish. It encompasses many facets of the emergency. There is "supposed" to be at least ONE person from any group involved Police, Fire, EMS, EMA, Public Works, Ham, whoever is involved - there at the Command Post to report to the Incident Commander and to take his orders and pass them along down the chain. I know there has been an article or two in the magazines that "most" of these services get at their stations - as to Ham Radio involvement and "suggested" using it.

This is the quick and dirty explaination in trying to answer your questions. I try not to go into too much detail so folks not in the system will get confused. There are references online as to Incident Command and so on - you could get some more detail and idea as to function.

clf

789. Scannerist helps at fire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-04 16:13:57 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1141488836.922136.28840@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9869

<http://www.herald-review.com/articles/2006/03/04/news/local_news/1013509.txt>

Decatur IL - scannerist assists disabled neighbors as fire breaks out

790. Re: Real Scanner Listeners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-04 21:58:23 UTC
From: mah <herker@netins.net>
Message-ID: <440A0D70.5B54@netins.net>
Source record: 9870
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Sean,

I'll echo what the other person has said. And add my own spin.

1. At an incident, bystander help has been inconvenient and appreciated depending on the day. Had someone come up to the car and yank a door open on an unstable car prior to the jaws being ready for safe extrication. On another day, had a bystander with medical training who calmed a victim until we could get on scene.

I'll be honest, for general safety purposes, I'd rather have people who are trained stopping than just anyone. They know the risks and should be better able to make an informed risk - benefit decision.

2. When working a scene, my vehicle is off limits unless you are a responder. I'll prepare equipment and be set up for care necessary as soon as the patient hits the back. Afterwards, until I clean up it may be unsafe. The notes on the marker board and EKG strips on the counter are medical information and controlled. Depending on the call, the interior may need to be cleaned and mopped because of body fluids.

Otherwise, I'm open for visitors. I enjoy having people stop at the station or during a PR event. I work for a government funded service therefore it's "their"" ambulance not "mine". Their tax money bought and equipped it. I just get to use it every day I'm on shift. Kids of any age get a chance to see the rig and what it can do. If they have a Walter Mitty moment sitting in the seat, that's OK by me. I get a chance to do a little public education on our phone first, Knox box, or other programs. It's time to help people not be afraid of all the "stuff" that gets carried in the house or to their car when help is needed. And there's that priceless moment - I've had family members come up on these events and say "Were you working the day my mom/dad/child went in the ambulance? Thank you for being there."

3. Scanning the current spectrum is getting to be a pain in the butt. Used to have a broadband scanner or two and keep track of everything in a 30 mile radius. We had low band VHF dispatch, high band VHF operations, and UHF for one police department. Today, it's VHF high band and 800 trunked. But, can't listen to it all. The VHF stuff is still ok. Trunked is another matter. One area uses Motorola, everyone else is EDACS. Thought my RS2052 was doing good then they added a couple frequencies to the tower which changed the channel pecking order and I've been unable to get it to resynch.

Why is scanning important? Our department can't afford 30+ EDACS portables (+ monthly access fees) for all members. With a decent tracking scanner, people can keep track and know when things are hopping before their pagers go off for an off shift call back. As well we can monitor adjacent districts that we don't want to purchase rights into their system for our trunked radios.

just my 0.02

MAH

791. Download Unlimited Music, Games, Movies and much more!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-05 00:49:46 UTC
From: sunset <sunset45@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1141519786.533808.42470@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9871

Hey There! Get unlimited Music Downloads! Get instant access to
millions of music MP3s,
CDs, movies, TV shows that you can instantly download to your computer.
Download and share
anything you want anytime you want. For mor information, please visit:
http://www.coolmusic.150m.com thanks.

792. 800 Mhz Iteration

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-05 00:56:42 UTC
From: dinks230 <dinks230@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <cc759ee765d910aedbba707b7d9053de@localhost.talkaboutelectronicequipment.com>
Source record: 9872

I've heard there are some scanners that can be modified to receive 800 Mhz.
Is there a "fix" for a PRO 71?

Thanks, Don

793. Re: 800 Mhz Iteration

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-05 01:59:30 UTC
From: TwistyCreek <anon@comments.header>
Message-ID: <NR472W6E38780.8746527778@twistycreek.com>
Source record: 9873

dinks230 said

> I've heard there are some scanners that can be modified to
> receive 800 Mhz.
> Is there a "fix" for a PRO 71?
>
> Thanks, Don

nope

http://www.mods.dk/

794. FA: Uniden BC246T TrunkTracker III Scanner & Programming Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-05 02:18:37 UTC
From: pm <pmik@tds.net>
Message-ID: <440a4593$1_1@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 9874

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5875723795&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

795. 800Mhz band trunking scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-05 05:22:30 UTC
From: mnl <mlewin@nycap.rr.com>
Message-ID: <p3tk021s3h4aqrj5rhlqhjkjvrqa08ihlg@4ax.com>
Source record: 9875

I'm interested in upgrading my old Regency handheld scanner to a trunking scanner that is capable of receiving signals in the 800Mhz range. While checking various groups and sites, it seems as if my two choices are Uniden BC246T and BR330T. There are no agencies in my area that transmit digital and it is unlikely any will in the near future. My interests are limited to police/fire/EMS. I have no interest in NASCAR. What are the differences between these two scanners? Is there anything about the BR330 to make it worth the extra $50 over the BC246? Are thgere any other scanners I should be looking at?

796. Spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-05 06:07:37 UTC
From: Ken Layton <KLayton888@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1141538857.397514.175140@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9876

Spam

797. Re: Space Cam - comments invited

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-05 12:24:08 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@gwi.net>
Message-ID: <mNydnfOUF7f9RZfZnZ2dnUVZ_t2dnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 9877

maybe you should take another scan of part 97..... You know , that boring section on how "broadcasting is not allowed wtihout approval"......

"zerg90" <zerg90@gmail.com> wrote in message news:1141403512.175060.9530@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> reposted from the LiveScannerAudio list
>
> ---
> Hi All,
>
> Slightly Off topic:
>
> I am building a satellite project that will send JPG images from the
> International Space Station. The project is called SpaceCam and it
> will send 480 images from orbit each day.
> I am interested to know, if there is much interest in the Scanner
> listening community to listen to and decode these images.
>
> ISS Setup:
> The transmitter from the Space station should be strong enough for
> people with a scanner and a basic outside antenna to hear and decode
> some of the images. The system on ISS will be transmitting at 5 or
> 10 watts in standard 5k deviation FM, into a 0 dBd antenna system.
> ISS will be in range 8-10 minutes per orbit, for up to 6-7 orbits
> (passes) per day. The frequency will most likely be 145.800 MHz FM
> (this may change)
>
> What you will need:
> A receiver that can support 145.800 MHz range.
> Outside antenna (0 dBd or better, or a small beam 4 element works
> good)
> Also a PC running one of the many Share ware SSTV decoders.
>
> What you will get:
> The plan is to send 1 JPG from ISS every 3 minutes. With a good
> antenna you should be able to receive up to 3 images per orbit /
> pass. The images will be small JPG's aprox 50k. The selection will
> be what ever the Space Station crew puts in the down link folder. We
> may have the option in the future for LIVE stills.
>
> Questions:
> Is a project like this of interest to the majority of the scanner
> listeners?
>

> If we moved the frequency from (2-meter band) 145.xxx MHz to (10-
> meter band) 29.xxx MHz, would most people still be able to monitor
> the frequency.
>
> Which band would you prefer?
>
> SSTV Space Cam project
> http://www.marexmg.org/
>
> Thanks WF1F
>
>
>
>
>
>
> LiveScannerAudio Favourite Links:
>
> http://scannerfeeds.us
> http://www.livepolicescan.com/index.htm
> http://www.scannerbuff.net/teamspeak/teamspeak.html
> http://www.incidentbroadcast.com/
> http://icecastscanners.notlong.com/
> http://shoutcastscanners.notlong.com/
> http://www.radioreference.com/wiki/index.php/Live_Scanners
> http://www.police-scanner.info/index.htm
> http://www.publicsafetyfeeds.com/
>

798. Re: Space Cam - comments invited

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-05 16:30:22 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1141576222.410069.192080@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9878

Zombie Wolf wrote:
> maybe you should take another scan of part 97..... You know , that boring
> section on how "broadcasting is not allowed wtihout approval"......
mayebe you should read what isdefined as broadcasting

it must be targetd to the general public

are scanner folks the general public?
>
> "zerg90" <zerg90@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1141403512.175060.9530@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > reposted from the LiveScannerAudio list

799. Re: Real Scanner Listeners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-05 18:56:26 UTC
From: Sean Ingram <seaningram@tds.net>
Message-ID: <440b2f9d$1_2@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 9879
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

True, my position would be to monitor traffic to a lane open away from the scene. I have these triangles that I set up behind us so that others can see them. When actual units arrive and set up theirs I will remove mine and pack them up and let them take care of it.

And, I don't dare put anyone in my vehicle in reference to what you said.

No victim is to be moved ---- Unless there is a danger of a fire from the accident. This is before help arrives. If it the vehicles are not on fire --- All Occupants are to remain in their vehicles! Because if one is not supposed to remove them and if they do --- an injury could occur and things could lead to be paralyzation and a lawsuit against the person who moved the accident victim whene there was no danger of the vehicle catching fire.

Sean

"mah" <herker@netins.net> wrote in message news:440A0D70.5B54@netins.net...
> Sean,
>
> I'll echo what the other person has said. And add my own spin.
>
> 1. At an incident, bystander help has been inconvenient and
> appreciated depending on the day. Had someone come up to the car and
> yank a door open on an unstable car prior to the jaws being ready for
> safe extrication. On another day, had a bystander with medical training
> who calmed a victim until we could get on scene.
>
> I'll be honest, for general safety purposes, I'd rather have people who
> are trained stopping than just anyone. They know the risks and should
> be better able to make an informed risk - benefit decision.
>
>
> 2. When working a scene, my vehicle is off limits unless you are a
> responder. I'll prepare equipment and be set up for care necessary as
> soon as the patient hits the back. Afterwards, until I clean up it may
> be unsafe. The notes on the marker board and EKG strips on the counter
> are medical information and controlled. Depending on the call, the
> interior may need to be cleaned and mopped because of body fluids.
>
> Otherwise, I'm open for visitors. I enjoy having people stop at the
> station or during a PR event. I work for a government funded service
> therefore it's "their"" ambulance not "mine". Their tax money bought
> and equipped it. I just get to use it every day I'm on shift. Kids of

> any age get a chance to see the rig and what it can do. If they have a
> Walter Mitty moment sitting in the seat, that's OK by me. I get a
> chance to do a little public education on our phone first, Knox box, or
> other programs. It's time to help people not be afraid of all the
> "stuff" that gets carried in the house or to their car when help is
> needed. And there's that priceless moment - I've had family members
> come up on these events and say "Were you working the day my
> mom/dad/child went in the ambulance? Thank you for being there."
>
>
> 3. Scanning the current spectrum is getting to be a pain in the butt.
> Used to have a broadband scanner or two and keep track of everything in
> a 30 mile radius. We had low band VHF dispatch, high band VHF
> operations, and UHF for one police department. Today, it's VHF high
> band and 800 trunked. But, can't listen to it all. The VHF stuff is
> still ok. Trunked is another matter. One area uses Motorola, everyone
> else is EDACS. Thought my RS2052 was doing good then they added a
> couple frequencies to the tower which changed the channel pecking order
> and I've been unable to get it to resynch.
>
> Why is scanning important? Our department can't afford 30+ EDACS
> portables (+ monthly access fees) for all members. With a decent
> tracking scanner, people can keep track and know when things are hopping
> before their pagers go off for an off shift call back. As well we can
> monitor adjacent districts that we don't want to purchase rights into
> their system for our trunked radios.
>
> just my 0.02
>
> MAH

800. Re: Newbie On Coast Guard Freq. Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-05 19:11:06 UTC
From: Dan Yemiola <ai8o@LexComInc.Net>
Message-ID: <dufd4j$6j52$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 9880

"Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com> wrote in message
news:r9ednTyxJIzZ75rZnZ2dnUVZ_t6dnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Hello:
>
> New at this:
>
> Regarding the Coast Guard S&R frequency of 237.9 that I recently read
> about:
>
> Is this the primary S&R freq used most everywhere ?
> Is it used for air to ground and also ground to air usage by the C.G. ?
>
> Is it an AM mode
>
> Are all freq's between 225.000 and 399.950 (always) AM ?

NO.

AM tends to predominate,but
this area is dominated by the US military, and there are all sorts of
strange RF using things in here.
Satellites, Air-ground coordination links, air-air comms, "squad radios"
(a sort of army FRS) are near the upper end of this band, some ground based
anti-personnel radars..

And just too make it more confusing, the DOD has been recently changing its
band plans (which were never too strict to begin with) for this band.

>
> Thanks,
> B.
>

801. Re: FS: Motorola Programming Laptop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-06 01:09:28 UTC
From: timfire2 <timfire2@nospam.verizon.net>
Message-ID: <a7e47f5c6ee10ea1dd2a3941c5213c32@localhost.talkaboutelectronicequipment.com>
Source record: 9881

I'm interested in the Motorola programming laptop if it has all the
software that was advertised. Please contact via e-mail with your reply.
Thank you.
Tim

802. PRO-2006....Am I losing my mind?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-06 02:05:24 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ENMOf.39826$F_3.20375@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 9882

Will somebody PLEASE tell me what's wrong with this picture so I know I'm not going crazy?

http://cgi.ebay.com/RADIO-SHACK-REALISTIC-PRO-2006-400-CHANNEL_W0QQitemZ5876140056QQcategoryZ40979QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem

803. Re: New Illegal Descrambler SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-06 02:40:06 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <o08n021s96o2i93ei25043lqphekgbrvuh@4ax.com>
Source record: 9884
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.baseball,alt.sports.baseball.cinci-reds,alt.sports.baseball.cleve-indians,alt.sports.football.pro.buffalo-bills,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 28 Feb 2006 19:29:13 -0500, John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com> wrote:

>On Tue, 28 Feb 2006 12:15:14 -0800, "Free PPV Movies!!!" <yabqzrju@xabpxqis.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Amazing New Technology has finally arrivedï¿½..the NEW XP2500A+ HDTV Digital Satellite TV Descrambler Receiver will ï¿½amaze-youï¿½. Our NEW XP2500A+ HDTV Digital Satellite Receiver, offers a wide variety of channels, an incredible value and superior customer service are just part of the story.
>
><snip>
>
>
>If anyone else is sick of seeing this SPAM every day in every sports-related
>newsgroup (and some that are totally unrelated to sports), start forwarding them
>to abuse@100ProofNews.com with an e-mail complaint.
>
>Whoever is posting this crap is violating their Terms Of Service (I checked the
>100ProofNews.com website). Furthermore, they are advocating an illegal
>activity/product - the mere possession of one is illegal in 32 states, and
>actual use of one violates Federal law (specifically, the Federal Communications
>Act of 1934, 47 U.S.C. ï¿½ 605).
>
>John Kasupski
>Tonawanda, NY

Maybe it worked. I haven't seen this particular spam for a couple of days now. However, the last one I forwarded to abuse@100ProofNews.com did produce the following result:

----- Transcript of session follows -----
... while talking to opticon.100proofnews.com.:
<<< 432 space critically low, refusing all transactions for now
<abuse@100proofnews.com>... Deferred: 432 space critically low, refusing all transactions for now
Warning: message still undelivered after 2 hours
Will keep trying until message is 4 days old

That's how you get their attention. To everyone who forwarded these spam messages back to the source: Well Done!

John Kasupski
Tonawanda, NY

804. Re: PRO-2006....Am I losing my mind?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-06 02:52:03 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <18udnYu78tFJPpbZnZ2dnUVZ_vydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9883

That's the European version, for people who drive on the other side of the
road! LOL!

Bill Crocker

"Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:ENMOf.39826$F_3.20375@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
> Will somebody PLEASE tell me what's wrong with this picture so I know I'm
> not going crazy?
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/RADIO-SHACK-REALISTIC-PRO-2006-400-CHANNEL_W0QQitemZ5876140056QQcate
goryZ40979QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
>

805. Re: PRO-2006....Am I losing my mind?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-06 03:18:28 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <8SNOf.66137$PL5.52817@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 9885

LOL!

"Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:ENMOf.39826$F_3.20375@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
> Will somebody PLEASE tell me what's wrong with this picture so I know I'm
> not going crazy?
>
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/RADIO-SHACK-REALISTIC-PRO-2006-400-CHANNEL_W0QQitemZ5876
140056QQcategoryZ40979QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
>
>

806. Re: New Illegal Descrambler SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-06 04:59:32 UTC
From: George Grapman <sfgeorge@paccbell.net>
Message-ID: <UkPOf.18982$rL5.12812@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 9886
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.baseball,alt.sports.baseball.cinci-reds,alt.sports.baseball.cleve-indians,alt.sports.football.pro.buffalo-bills,rec.radio.scanner

John Kasupski wrote:
> On Tue, 28 Feb 2006 19:29:13 -0500, John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com> wrote:
>
>> On Tue, 28 Feb 2006 12:15:14 -0800, "Free PPV Movies!!!" <yabqzrju@xabpxqis.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> Amazing New Technology has finally arrivedï¿½..the NEW XP2500A+ HDTV Digital Satellite TV Descrambler Receiver will ï¿½amaze-youï¿½. Our NEW XP2500A+ HDTV Digital Satellite Receiver, offers a wide variety of channels, an incredible value and superior customer service are just part of the story.
>> <snip>
>>
>>
>> If anyone else is sick of seeing this SPAM every day in every sports-related
>> newsgroup (and some that are totally unrelated to sports), start forwarding them
>> to abuse@100ProofNews.com with an e-mail complaint.
>>
>> Whoever is posting this crap is violating their Terms Of Service (I checked the
>> 100ProofNews.com website). Furthermore, they are advocating an illegal
>> activity/product - the mere possession of one is illegal in 32 states, and
>> actual use of one violates Federal law (specifically, the Federal Communications
>> Act of 1934, 47 U.S.C. ï¿½ 605).
>>
>> John Kasupski
>> Tonawanda, NY
>
> Maybe it worked. I haven't seen this particular spam for a couple of days now.
> However, the last one I forwarded to abuse@100ProofNews.com did produce the
> following result:
>
> ----- Transcript of session follows -----
> ... while talking to opticon.100proofnews.com.:
> <<< 432 space critically low, refusing all transactions for now
> <abuse@100proofnews.com>... Deferred: 432 space critically low, refusing all
> transactions for now
> Warning: message still undelivered after 2 hours
> Will keep trying until message is 4 days old
>
> That's how you get their attention. To everyone who forwarded these spam
> messages back to the source: Well Done!
>
> John Kasupski

> Tonawanda, NY
>
Better yet, forward it to DirectTV and Dish. It should interest their
legal departments.

--
To reply via e-mail please delete 1 c from paccbell

807. PRO-96's NEW $409.95

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-06 05:12:58 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <upSdnerN1K1GWZbZRVn-gA@comcast.com>
Source record: 9887

Grove Enterprises has brand new Radio Shack (GRE) PRO-96 Scanners on clearance for $409.95! I think this is the best deal out there right now for a brand new one!

http://www.grove-ent.com/radioshack.html

--
Bill Crocker

808. Re: PRO-2006....Am I losing my mind?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-06 05:39:16 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <8WPOf.22279$2O6.7155@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 9888

Not SIMPLY reversed. Look at the 2 blue bars over the display. They should
be over the keypad!

"Never anonymous Bud" <newskat@katxyzkave.net> wrote in message
news:8aan0216cur1buhqmq184e3pngch81mp20@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 06 Mar 2006 02:05:24 GMT, "Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>
> >Will somebody PLEASE tell me what's wrong with this picture so I know I'm
> >not going crazy?
>
> Simply reversed, obviously not using a digital camera.
>
>
>
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> This sig censored by the Office of Home and Land Insecurity...
>
> Remove XYZ to email me

809. Re: PRO-2006....Am I losing my mind?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-06 20:19:14 UTC
From: Roger <vickkib1@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <XpOdnRoKcevdBJHZ4p2dnA@comcast.com>
Source record: 9889

You need a mirror! hahahaha

"Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:ENMOf.39826$F_3.20375@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
> Will somebody PLEASE tell me what's wrong with this picture so I know I'm
> not going crazy?
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/RADIO-SHACK-REALISTIC-PRO-2006-400-CHANNEL_W0QQitemZ5876140056QQcategoryZ40979QQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
>

810. CTCSS/DCS on a BC785D ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-06 22:33:47 UTC
From: John <jgrif72@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cN2Pf.217$8G1.16@fe10.lga>
Source record: 9890

I just acquired a 785D for our firehouse and was wondering how to program in the pl/dpl in the radio. I am using the ARC250PRO software and picked the appropriate pl/dpl and the tone. I loaded the data into the radio and everything appears to be there, but we still here stations other than the one we entered the tone for. Anyone know of anything I am overlooking??
Thanks John

811. Re: CTCSS/DCS on a BC785D ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-06 22:50:49 UTC
From: Jim <none@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <d13Pf.3741$Rv1.109@trndny07>
Source record: 9891

Hey John,

Hit Menu then 3 (system option) the 8 (SQ Mode) then 2 (tone squelch).
Good Luck.

"John" <jgrif72@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:cN2Pf.217$8G1.16@fe10.lga...
>I just acquired a 785D for our firehouse and was wondering how to program
>in
> the pl/dpl in the radio. I am using the ARC250PRO software and picked the
> appropriate pl/dpl and the tone. I loaded the data into the radio and
> everything appears to be there, but we still here stations other than the
> one we entered the tone for. Anyone know of anything I am overlooking??
> Thanks John
>
>

812. Re: PRO-2006....Am I losing my mind?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-07 03:56:08 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <sv7Pf.20510$rL5.15817@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 9892

Yep. My bad...

"Barry OGrady" <atheist.xxx@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:0jpp02thltcievl9beofpqi00b8e1eio2g@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 06 Mar 2006 05:39:16 GMT, "Jim Hackett"
<h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> wrote:
>
> >Not SIMPLY reversed. Look at the 2 blue bars over the display. They
should
> >be over the keypad!
>
> They are!
>
> >
> >
> >
> >"Never anonymous Bud" <newskat@katxyzkave.net> wrote in message
> >news:8aan0216cur1buhqmq184e3pngch81mp20@4ax.com...
> >> On Mon, 06 Mar 2006 02:05:24 GMT, "Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Will somebody PLEASE tell me what's wrong with this picture so I know
I'm
> >> >not going crazy?
> >>
> >> Simply reversed, obviously not using a digital camera.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
> >>
> >> This sig censored by the Office of Home and Land Insecurity...
> >>
> >> Remove XYZ to email me
> >
>
> Barry
> =====
> Home page
> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og

813. F/A Icom R-72 on ebay United Kingdom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-07 13:51:58 UTC
From: Roger <rogers.letters@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <2egPf.30988$Ru5.25274@newsfe6-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 9893

http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5876978320&ssPageName=ADME:L:LCA:UK:31

814. Strangest Scannerist Story Yet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-07 13:59:54 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1141739994.715904.263640@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9894

<http://pittsburghlive.com/x/tribune-review/s_430627.html>

77 year old woman robs West Mifflin PA bank

815. Re: Strangest Scannerist Story Yet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-07 15:30:28 UTC
From: CLFE <Junkmail@CLFURENT.COM>
Message-ID: <440da6f0$0$25067$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 9895

"zerg90" <zerg90@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1141739994.715904.263640@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com...
> <http://pittsburghlive.com/x/tribune-review/s_430627.html>
>
> 77 year old woman robs West Mifflin PA bank
>

Tis true, was on local news last night - on at least 1 station! What IS this
world coming to?

clf

816. News photog saved lives with his scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-07 16:23:16 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1141748596.788436.297500@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9896

<http://news.cincypost.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20060307/NEWS01/603070356/1010/RSS01
>

817. Re: Strangest Scannerist Story Yet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-07 22:02:37 UTC
From: Ken Taylor <ken123@xxxxtra.co.nz>
Message-ID: <WpnPf.4634$JZ1.108771@news.xtra.co.nz>
Source record: 9897

CLFE wrote:
> "zerg90" <zerg90@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1141739994.715904.263640@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com...
>
>><http://pittsburghlive.com/x/tribune-review/s_430627.html>
>>
>>77 year old woman robs West Mifflin PA bank
>>
>
>
> Tis true, was on local news last night - on at least 1 station! What IS this
> world coming to?
>
> clf
>
>
It's easy to come to the conclusion that there's a mental problem there
rather than a societal problem.

Ken

818. Uniden SC-230 scanner question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-08 13:47:03 UTC
From: "Joe S." <anon@mous.com>
Message-ID: <is2dnfioCbbefZPZ4p2dnA@comcast.com>
Source record: 9898

I lost my two older scanners in Hurricane Katrina and just purchased a Uniden SC-230.

My old scanners had a MANUAL function -- if I wanted to listen to a certain frequency, I would do something like:
-- hit MAN button
-- enter the freq
-- hit MAN button
-- scanner would go to that freq and sit on it until told to do something else.

I have read and re-read the SC-230 manual and there does not seem to be a way to enter frequencies manually and listen to that one freq.

Can anyone help?

Thanks.

819. Uniden SC-230 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-08 14:08:38 UTC
From: "Joe S." <anon@mous.com>
Message-ID: <gcGdnZRpRsPPeJPZRVn-pw@comcast.com>
Source record: 9899

I lost my two older scanners in Hurricane Katrina and just purchased a Uniden SC-230.

My old scanners had a MANUAL function -- if I wanted to listen to a certain frequency, I would do something like:
-- hit MAN button
-- enter the freq
-- hit MAN button
-- scanner would go to that freq and sit on it until told to do something else.

I have read and re-read the SC-230 manual and there does not seem to be a way to enter frequencies manually and listen to that one freq.

Can anyone help?

820. Looking for RS Pro-89

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-08 14:14:56 UTC
From: "Joe S." <anon@mous.com>
Message-ID: <tNudnbv_rlVRe5PZnZ2dneKdnZydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9900

Anyone have a decent Pro-89 you would part with?

Would like to have it complete with manual, charger, and the programming cable and software. Can pay by PayPal

There are a couple for sale on eBay but both are incomplete -- missing items such as charger, manual, etc.

Send e-mail to: deleted (underscore) 2 at hotmail dot com -- remove the spaces, insert the _ and the @, etc., etc.

Thanks.

821. Re: Looking for RS Pro-89

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-08 19:43:00 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1141846986.116370@yasure.drizzle.com>
Source record: 9801

"Joe S." <anon@mous.com> wrote in message
news:tNudnbv_rIVRe5PZnZ2dneKdnZydnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Anyone have a decent Pro-89 you would part with?
>
> Would like to have it complete with manual, charger, and the programming
> cable and software. Can pay by PayPal
>
> There are a couple for sale on eBay but both are incomplete -- missing
> items such as charger, manual, etc.
>
> Send e-mail to: deleted (underscore) 2 at hotmail dot com -- remove the
> spaces, insert the _ and the @, etc., etc.
>
> Thanks.
>

If you really want one, I would buy it on Ebay. The manual can be downloaded
for free in PDF. The charger should be no problem to find or buy a universal
that will work. As long as you can pay with PayPal using a Credit Card, you
can't be ripped off. If someone tries you get your money back. If you send
money to someone on the net, and get burned, you are just out.
--
"Everything in excess! To enjoy the flavor of life, take big bites.
Moderation is for monks."
[Lazarus Long]

822. Re: Looking for RS Pro-89

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-09 00:28:37 UTC
From: "Joe S." <anon@mous.com>
Message-ID: <woWdnRw4_sE965LZRVn-og@comcast.com>
Source record: 9802

"Alex Clayton" <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1141846986.116370@yasure.drizzle.com...
> "Joe S." <anon@mous.com> wrote in message
> news:tNudnbv_rIVRe5PZnZ2dneKdnZydnZ2d@comcast.com...
>> Anyone have a decent Pro-89 you would part with?
>>
>> Would like to have it complete with manual, charger, and the programming
>> cable and software. Can pay by PayPal
>>
>> There are a couple for sale on eBay but both are incomplete -- missing
>> items such as charger, manual, etc.
>>
>> Send e-mail to: deleted (underscore) 2 at hotmail dot com -- remove the
>> spaces, insert the _ and the @, etc., etc.
>>
>> Thanks.
>>
>
> If you really want one, I would buy it on Ebay. The manual can be
> downloaded for free in PDF. The charger should be no problem to find or
> buy a universal that will work. As long as you can pay with PayPal using a
> Credit Card, you can't be ripped off. If someone tries you get your money
> back. If you send money to someone on the net, and get burned, you are
> just out.
> --
> "Everything in excess! To enjoy the flavor of life, take big bites.
> Moderation is for monks."
> [Lazarus Long]
>

Thanks. The two eBay auctions have a few days to run so I thought I'd see
if anyone has one with all the accessories. If nothing pops up here shortly
I'll put in a snipe bid and see what happens.

Two days after the hurricane my wife and I managed to get into our apartment
and rescue a few pieces of furniture and most of our dishes -- everything
else was a goner -- I did pull out a couple of plastic tubs that held
computer, radio, and camera junk -- the programming software and cable for
my Pro-89 that was drowned may be in that mess -- which we have not yet
opened and sorted out.

823. Basic Scanner with CTCSS Decode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-09 04:05:53 UTC
From: Bill Powell <whp@att.net>
Message-ID: <1r9v02t20iukoe88m679bu36qbtk5dmi1k@4ax.com>
Source record: 9803
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The time has come to replace my very old Regency/AOR scanner with
something a little more modern.

Right now I'm just attempting to compile a list of current and
recently discontinued scanners that meet my feature criteria:

Need
- CTCSS per channel decode. One tone per channel is OK
Unit must NOT stop on a busy channel just because it's busy
- VHF Low, High and UHF
- Mobile / base form factor

Would Like
- Alphanumeric display
- Programming AND control via PC

DON'T Need/want
- Handheld
- Trunking

It will be used in a high level RF environment (LOS to 8
commercial/public safety/ham towers) so I suspect that I'll have to
add some external filtering but a receiver with some front-end
selectivity to start with (electronically tuned filter) would be
great.

Suggestions?
Bill

824. PRO-26...best scanner ever made?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-09 05:05:12 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <clOPf.49646$Jd.42288@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 9804

According to this guy it is....

http://cgi.ebay.com/RADIO-SHACK-PRO-26-REALISTIC-200-CHANNEL-PROG-SCANNER_W0QQitemZ5876768841QQcategoryZ40979QQssPageNameZWDVWQQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem

825. Re: PRO-26...best scanner ever made?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-09 05:22:22 UTC
From: "Joe S." <anon@mous.com>
Message-ID: <de6dnVfIHOLnJpLZRVn-jg@comcast.com>
Source record: 9805

"Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:cIOPf.49646$Jd.42288@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
> According to this guy it is....
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/RADIO-SHACK-PRO-26-REALISTIC-200-CHANNEL-PROG-SCANNER_W0QQitemZ58767
68841QQcategoryZ40979QQssPageNameZWDVWQQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
>

Well, I'm certainly convinced.

826. Re: PRO-26...best scanner ever made?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-09 05:45:04 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <AhPPf.45801$H71.32965@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 9806

Yeah, me too...

Hmmm let's see...

Used PRO-26 for $185 or a Brand New PRO-97 for $150.......tough choice, huh?
(NOT)

"Joe S." <anon@mous.com> wrote in message
news:de6dnVfIHOLnJpLZRVn-jg@comcast.com...
>
> "Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:cIOPf.49646$Jd.42288@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
>> According to this guy it is....
>>
>> http://cgi.ebay.com/RADIO-SHACK-PRO-26-REALISTIC-200-CHANNEL-PROG-SCANNER_W0QQitemZ5876
768841QQcategoryZ40979QQssPageNameZWDVWQQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
>>
>
> Well, I'm certainly convinced.
>
>
>

827. FA: Optoelectronics 456 / Pro-2006 / Laptop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-09 17:36:13 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <npp012dkt70903h8s845fsu80bpj4m8pq5@4ax.com>
Source record: 9807

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5878041237

828. Re: PRO-26...best scanner ever made?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-10 01:37:51 UTC
From: W2RAC <w2racNOSPAM@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <b0m1125bro8m613l6lpiq8hlaqipcuoa4l@4ax.com>
Source record: 9808

I have one, Yes they are nice. Widebanded, but no trunk, and as for
the mod, well you either have to replace the CPU (80 pin SMD) or the
whole board. No longer avaiable (of course) from Europe for more than
4 years.

I think his cheese slipped off the craker.

On Thu, 09 Mar 2006 05:05:12 GMT, "Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>According to this guy it is....
>
>http://cgi.ebay.com/RADIO-SHACK-PRO-26-REALISTIC-200-CHANNEL-PROG-SCANNER_W0QQitemZ587676
8841QQcategoryZ40979QQssPageNameZWDVWQQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem
>

829. PRO-79 Battery Holder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-10 02:02:07 UTC
From: boba3@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <4410ddfe.13383914@news.east.earthlink.net>
Source record: 9809
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am in need of the yellow battery holder (rechargeable) for my RS PRO-79 scanner (actually the current PRO-82 uses the same holder). Radio Shack says it is backordered for the forseeable future, so I was wondering if someone could point me to an alternate source. The RS part # is 12228805.

Thanks...

Bob

830. Re: Download Unlimited Music, Games, Movies and much more!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-10 19:12:26 UTC
From: T-bone <mis@take.net>
Message-ID: <Xns978290889929Eewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 9810

"sunset" <sunset45@hotmail.com> wrote in news:1141519786.533808.42470
@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com:

> Hey There! Get unlimited Music Downloads! Get instant access to
> millions of music MP3s,
> CDs, movies, TV shows that you can instantly download to your computer.
> Download and share
> anything you want anytime you want. For mor information, please visit:
> http://www.coolmusic.150m.com thanks.
>

Ya talked me into it !
By God, I'll take two !
Where do I sign up ??
Shall I just post by credit card info here ??

831. Re: Newbie On Coast Guard Freq. Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-10 19:16:13 UTC
From: T-bone <mis@take.net>
Message-ID: <Xns9782912CCEAF9ewrfdgrstnetaakeanfk@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 9811

"Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com> wrote in
news:r9ednTyxJIzZ75rZnZ2dnUVZ_t6dnZ2d@comcast.com:

>
> Are all freq's between 225.000 and 399.950 (always) AM ?
>
> Thanks,
> B.
>
For practical purposes, yes.
Anything you are likely to receive in this band without specialized
antennas/pre amps will be in AM.
If you happen across some odd ball freq not in AM, it will still be received,
but garbled.

832. Kenora Ontario

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-10 21:13:37 UTC
From: burgessfred33@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1142025217.624391.245130@p10g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9812

Does any one have the fire dept. frequencies for Kenora? I will check radioreference.
Fred Burgess

833. BC 860XLT Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-10 22:10:32 UTC
From: TJ <somebody@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <sPmQf.67979$Fw6.62261@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 9813
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Just found this radio I used to have in Norfolk a few years back, went to Uniden site and ordered up a replacement cord and antenna for a few bucks and its still workin it seems. It has piqued my 9yo son's interest. Will this ole dude still pick up fire and police calls ???? Thanks for any assistance. T.J. Please reply to group....ty

834. Re: BC 860XLT Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-10 23:54:41 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <SZSdnYYRAaVbjI_Z4p2dnA@comcast.com>
Source record: 9814
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

It depends greatly on your area. It doesn't track trunked systems, or digital. But if everything you're monitoring is analog, conventional, it should work just fine!

Bill Crocker

"TJ" <somebody@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:sPmQf.67979$Fw6.62261@tornado.tampabay.rr.com...
> Just found this radio I used to have in Norfolk a few years back, went to
> Uniden site and ordered up a replacement cord and antenna for a few bucks
> and its still workin it seems. It has piqued my 9yo son's interest. Will
> this ole dude still pick up fire and police calls ???? Thanks for any
> assistance. T.J. Please reply to group....ty
>
>

835. Re: Kenora Ontario

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-11 01:06:09 UTC
From: Buzzygirl <buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Tc2dnZ2WDqPiv4_ZnZ2dnUVZ_t2dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9815

<burgessfred33@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1142025217.624391.245130@p10g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> Does any one have the fire dept. frequencies for Kenora? I will check
> radioreference.

http://mywebpage.netscape.com/kenoraradio/

There's lot of info on other Kenora frequencies and some for Manitoba.

Kenora is a very nice town... I spent some time up there about 10 years ago.
The fishing was fantastic and the views over Lake of the Woods were
breathtaking.

Jackie in MN (about 425 miles south of Kenora)

836. Re: Basic Scanner with CTCSS Decode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-11 04:05:26 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <dpi412ts0astv0gufb00f4j9hp6mfrmop3@4ax.com>
Source record: 9816
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 09 Mar 2006 04:05:53 GMT, Bill Powell <whp@att.net> wrote:

>Need
> - CTCSS per channel decode. One tone per channel is OK
> Unit must NOT stop on a busy channel just because it's busy
> - VHF Low, High and UHF
> - Mobile / base form factor
>
>Would Like
> - Alphanumeric display
> - Programming AND control via PC

That limits you to Uniden.

>DON'T Need/want
> - Handheld
> - Trunking

You're going to get it, though.

>It will be used in a high level RF environment (LOS to 8
>commercial/public safety/ham towers) so I suspect that I'll have to
>add some external filtering

Motorola G-strips for VHF-lo and VHF-hi. Maybe something like a
U44BBT for UHF. You'd have to add a lot of circuitry to make them
scanners, though.

Scanners have barn doors for front ends. If you want to use a scanner
get used to the fact that you're going to receive lots of intermod in
that environment. You either get a good receiver or you get a scanner
- no one makes a scanner with a G-strip front end, and no one ever
will.

>but a receiver with some front-end selectivity to start with
>(electronically tuned filter) would be great.

The kind of filter you'd need would cost more than a scanner.

Sometimes, you *can't* get there from here.

837. Re: PRO-2006....Am I losing my mind?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-11 04:15:39 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <nmj412l0c0v5vsraqqf39vu95onnpn9si2@4ax.com>
Source record: 9817

On Mon, 6 Mar 2006 15:19:14 -0500, "Roger" <vickkib1@comcast.net>
wrote:

>You need a mirror! hahahaha

Why? LU approved it. :)

838. =,E-\ Great spot to find deals =,E-\

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-11 06:36:04 UTC
From: Kelly <vohvamwu@tngtalut.com>
Message-ID: <5luQf.1382$br4.856@newsfe14.phx>
Source record: 9818

I discovered a great marketplace for specials,
deals and entertainment at
http://www.cashdeals4u2save.com !

`E$b:

839. Pro-2006 400 channel scanner backup battery problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-11 17:10:16 UTC
From: as400 <vin42and99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1142097016.592622.10610@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9819

PLease help -

I have a base Pro-2006 400 channel scanner plugged in to the wall all the time and I just bought a 9-v type battery for the backup power...The battery fits into those prongs or whatever there called and I closed the protective cover after I tucked in the battery inside...Now as I unplug the unit from the wall, it does not turn on....How come? What do I need to check if the batter placement is correctly inserted or not?

Its suppose to turn on right?? Even with the outlet plug unplugged from the wall....

Beats me...

And these are fresh batteries too...I just got them.

840. Re: Pro-2006 400 channel scanner backup battery problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-11 17:21:24 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <KrCdncSMLcyPmo7ZRVn-tQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 9820

"as400" <vin42and99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1142097016.592622.10610@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> PLease help -
>
> I have a base Pro-2006 400 channel scanner plugged in to the wall all
> the time and I just bought a 9-v type battery for the backup
> power...The battery fits into those prongs or whatever there called and
> I closed the protective cover after I tucked in the battery
> inside...Now as I unplug the unit from the wall, it does not turn
> on....How come? What do I need to check if the batter placement is
> correctly inserted or not?
>
> Its suppose to turn on right?? Even with the outlet plug unplugged from
> the wall....
>
> Beats me...
>
> And these are fresh batteries too...I just got them.
>
The 9 volt battery is just for "backing-up" the scanner's programmed
frequencies, ONLY! Ane not to actually operate the scanner on that 9 volt
battery!

H.J.

841. Re: Pro-2006 400 channel scanner backup battery problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-11 17:28:10 UTC
From: "St. John Smythe" <sinjen@n4vu.com>
Message-ID: <KMDQf.2856$sL2.10@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 9821

as400 wrote:
> Its suppose to turn on right?? Even with the outlet plug unplugged from
> the wall....

No. The 9v battery is just to keep the memory from being lost when the
power goes off, not to power the unit.

--
St. John
I went to the race track once and bet on a horse that was so good that
it took seven others to beat him.

842. Re: Kenora Ontario

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-11 21:13:24 UTC
From: burgessfred33@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1142111604.548941.256480@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9822

Great, thanks for your info and help.
Fred Burgess
Buzzygirl wrote:
> <burgessfred33@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1142025217.624391.245130@p10g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> > Does any one have the fire dept. frequencies for Kenora? I will check
> > radioreference.
>
> http://mywebpage.netscape.com/kenoraradio/
>
> There's lot of info on other Kenora frequencies and some for Manitoba.
>
> Kenora is a very nice town... I spent some time up there about 10 years ago.
> The fishing was fantastic and the views over Lake of the Woods were
> breathtaking.
>
> Jackie in MN (about 425 miles south of Kenora)

843. Jackson Ohio PD - very scanner friendly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-11 23:55:50 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1142121350.606298.160950@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9823

http://www.jacksonohio.us/policedeptDispatch.htm

Jackson Ohio PD appreciates scanner folks - lists a bunch of freqs -
and has photos of their dispatchers (maybe so you can put a face to the
voice) - Peter Sz

844. Icom is also playing rebate games

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-12 02:23:39 UTC
From: sdj89djk@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1142130219.166961.153940@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9824

Icom used to offer rebate for communications receivers but the rebate was automatically taken off the total price in the retail store. Now, Icom is joining in the industrial rebate game to ask the buyers to physically mail in the materials to get the rebate. Too bad, just like many other consumers, I am no longer playing this kind of games. As soon as I see something on ad that the final price is published after the mail-in rebate, I skip it. Also, Icom's rebate for IC-R20 is only $20, not something like $100 worth waiting for 3 months and several reminder calls to the rebate processing center, cutting the UPC bar (which makes the retail box very ugly and also it severely reduces the resale value if you want to sell it off eBay later), and submitting the name and address that very likely end up in FCC or FBI's database.

845. Re: Icom is also playing rebate games

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-12 07:31:37 UTC
From: CIA_SPY <nospam@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <T7QQf.50$kE5.108651@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 9825

Put your foil hat back on.

> and submitting the
> name and address that very likely end up in FCC or FBI's database.
>

846. Riley Hollingsworth K4ZDH and Gordon West WB6NOA Win Dayton Awards

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-12 09:55:29 UTC
From: policy-ham <hamop_62@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1142157329.305380.238740@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9826
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Dayton Hamvention® Announces 2006 Award Winners

DAYTON, OH, Mar 10, 2006--Dayton Hamvention has announced the winners of its 2006 Amateur of the Year, Special Achievement and Technical Excellence awards. Being honored for their contributions to the Amateur Radio Service are Gordon West, WB6NOA--Amateur of the Year; Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH--Special Achievement Award, and Dick Illman, AH6EZ--Technical Excellence Award.

Hamvention Amateur of the Year: Gordon "Gordo" West, WB6NOA

Gordon West, WB6NOA

West, of Costa Mesa, California, was named Amateur of the Year for his efforts in recruiting and training many new amateurs, in addition to his nearly lifelong involvement in ham radio. Starting in the late 1950s "Gordo," as he is known, worked for some of the big names in the field helping to develop several innovative pieces of equipment.

In the 1980s West and his wife Suzy, N6GLF, began teaching ham radio classes at college and marine venues and authored Amateur Radio training books sold by RadioShack and equipment dealers around the country.

A Radio Club of America fellow and a recipient of the ARRL Instructor of the Year Award, West remains active from 75 meters through 10 GHz, spending at least a couple hours on the air every day, helping new hams make friends on the many nets he runs.

In addition, West volunteers with the American Red Cross communications team in Orange County, California, and regularly offers free kids classes and classes for cities to support their Community Emergency Response Teams (CERT).

"It's my give-back to a hobby that gives me the satisfaction of offering free classes for kids and emergency responders, and I thank all the ham operators who support our training program, and the ARRL for their continued support with the emergency communication Web-based classes," West said.

Special Achievement Award: Riley Hollingsworth

Riley Hollingsworth

Hollingsworth, who's Special Counsel in the FCC Enforcement Bureau at the FCC's Gettysburg, Pennsylvania, office, will receive Hamvention's Special Achievement Award for his efforts in resurrecting the FCC's

Amateur Radio enforcement effort and helping to eliminate an increasing number of enforcement problems plaguing the ham bands. Hollingsworth's efforts, begun in 1998, reversed more than a decade of FCC apathy in amateur enforcement. Radio amateurs across the US credit Hollingsworth with reducing malicious interference and other problem behavior on the air.

Hollingsworth's other FCC responsibilities include interference resolution in the Land Mobile and Public Safety Services. In past years, he served as co-chair of the FCC's PCS Broadband and Narrowband Licensing Task Force, for which he received a Reinventing Government Award in 1994. He also managed the FCC's 800 MHz Task Force in which new 800 MHz spectrum was assigned in 13 cities, and he organized a Commission enforcement initiative to recover underutilized land mobile radio channels in major cities for reassignment.

A graduate of the University of South Carolina, he received his law degree from Wake Forest University. Hollingsworth was first licensed in 1960 in his home state of South Carolina and is a member of the Quarter Century Wireless Association and F.I.S.T.S. CW club.

Technical Excellence Award: Richard "Dick" Illman, AH6EZ

Dick Illman, AH6EZ

Illman, who lives in St Charles, Illinois, was picked to receive the 2006 Technical Excellence Award for his work as principal staff engineer at Motorola in developing a broadband over power line (BPL) system for his company that essentially eliminates HF interference. The nominating committee cited Illman's technical knowledge and his willingness to help tackle and overcome BPL's thorny interference issues, influencing Motorola to deliver his patent-pending solution, Powerline LV.

Motorola approached the ARRL in 2004 seeking input on a BPL design that could avoid many or most of the interference problems that have plagued some other BPL systems. The company and the ARRL subsequently cooperated in deploying a test stand Powerline LV system between ARRL Headquarters and W1AW. Preliminary results from the ARRL tests have shown the Motorola Powerline LV system seems to be Amateur Radio-friendly.

Motorola introduced its Powerline LV wireless-to-low voltage BPL solution at the United Telecom Council's "Telecom 2005." The ARRL has cited the Motorola solution in filings to the FCC as an example of a BPL system designed to avoid interference to or from Amateur Radio.

Illman, who hold a bachelor's degree in electrical engineering, has enjoyed a 31-year career with Motorola Systems Engineering. His idea to include a set of hardware notch filters in Motorola's Powerline LV equipment to protect Amateur Radio beyond the traditional technique of turning off specific carriers is an industry first.

His passion for ham radio began in high school as a Novice licensee in 1969 and has continued over 37 years on all bands and all modes. It has included everything from working satellites while aboard a moving passenger train to demonstrating ISS contacts to Boy Scouts. An avid DXer he works more than 200 countries each year, in part because of a friendly competition among his fellow Fox River Radio League members in Aurora, Illinois. Illman has been the club's president for the past two years and has been recognized with the club's Ham of the Year award three years in a row.

Difficult Choices

Hamvention Awards Chairman Pat Johnson, KC8ZZO, said the committee faced a tough task selecting the winners from among a number of worthy nominees. "We were impressed with the quality of the nominations," he said. "We believe the winners all represent excellence in service to the ham radio community."

Hamvention Chairman Jim Nies, WX8F, praised the winners. "On behalf of the Dayton Amateur Radio Association and Hamvention 2006, it is my distinct pleasure to congratulate this year's award winners," he said. "Please join me in recognizing each of these gentlemen for their outstanding contributions to Amateur Radio and their many years of devotion to the Amateur Radio Service."

Held this year from May 19 until May 21, Dayton Hamvention, the world's largest Amateur Radio gathering, annually attracts more than 25,000 people to the greater Dayton area. The event includes exhibits, a flea market, forums and educational sessions. More information is on the Hamvention Web site.

847. Mount Rainier National Park - Washington State - ?freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-12 14:01:07 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1142172067.441483.79040@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9827

The 2005 Fire Management Plan for Mount Rainier Natl Park in Washington State reports that they have repeaters at - Gobblers Knob, Crystal Mtn, Mt Fremont, Tolmie Peak, Shriner Peak, and Packwood. There are also base stations at - Comm Center, Longmire, Crystal Mtn, and Packwood. The Comm Center is at Park HQ at Tahoma Woods. The Comm Center is staffed 14 to 16 hours per day in the summer. After hours, 911 is used to reach the rangers and park staff. (I dont know exactly what that means - maybe 911 calls go to the local Sheriff after hours). The Comm Center can reach all base stations in the Park, and most mobile units. Any Ranger Station that is near a paved road has a telephone.

Anyone have any confirmed freqs?

Apparently - in the summer time - they staff 1 or 2 wildland fire engines, perhaps 1 structural fire engine, perhaps 1 ambulance, and maybe 6 or 7 ranger stations.

Peter Sz

Posted to - fedcom@mailman.qth.net & sonofrcma@yahoogroups.com & scan-l@listserv.uark.edu & firerad2@yahoogroups.com

I usually reply to all Emails. If you do not receive a reply from me, please try again.

848. Re: RF receiver with remote control through TCP/IP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-12 15:54:53 UTC
From: dogdog@noemail.com
Message-ID: <pan.2006.03.12.15.50.54.682853@noemail.com>
Source record: 9828

On Sat, 18 Feb 2006 13:30:48 +0100, Eric Voisard wrote:

> Hi everybody,
>
> Maby this isn't the good place to ask, but for my work and a project we're
> working on, I'm looking for RF receivers (say up to 60MHz) which can be
> remotely controlled through local network and TCP/IP. The goal being an
> operator to be able to tune the receivers from his workstation which can be
> located somewhere else in the building.
>
> If anybody knows of such products, I'd be happy to get some pointers, links,
> informations, etc...
>
> Thanks in advance.
>
> Eric

Eric,

I saw all the posts and all had great suggestions. If your shop
is linux based check out gnuradio, just do a google search. I'd
like to know how you are going to handle audio output to the individuals
tuning the rx's. Its something I'm interested in too. Also, are you
considering the ability for one person to tune multiple rx's and
then feed multiple streams of audio back? If so and you've got
an idea for a solution I'd really appreciate hearing that.

Let me know.
TIA
dogdog

849. Motorola launches first license-free digital 2-way radios.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-12 19:15:06 UTC
From: bla <nomail@nomail.nl>
Message-ID: <b9e20$44147330$3ea34ff5$13000@news.chello.nl>
Source record: 9829

.

Do You Speak Digital?

Motorola launches Europe's first license-free digital Two-Way Radio
==========

HANNOVER,GERMANY
10th March 2006

In one of the most significant technology advances in two-way radios in years, Motorola today unveiled Europe's first digital licensed free two-way radios, the Motorola DTR2430T and the Motorola DTR2450T. The new additions to Motorola's portfolio take the entry level business two-way radio communications to a new level offering cellular-like features, combined with the ability to make both one-to-one and one-to-many calls, without the commitment of monthly fees or contracts.

The new digital radios are designed for use on-site and the new features help to expand the capabilities of both individuals and teams enabling them to improve efficiency and productivity through improved communication with functionality such as SMS and Call Forwarding. Other features, such as the Transmit ID, mean radios receiving calls will display the ID of the transmitting unit so people know instantly who needs to get hold of them.

The two radios are similar in features, but the DTR2450T is ideal for managers and decision makers as it offers the ability to monitor, manage and programme their teams' radios.

The innovative digital functions include:

*Enhanced Coverage - the new digital radios give superior in-building coverage, in comparison with analogue radios.

*Short Messaging Service - text messaging, with ten preset messages that users can change to suit their individual business needs.

*Scroll List - displaying frequently used group and individual IDs for easiest access.

*Long Battery Life - offering up to 20 hours of operation.
Digital Audio Quality - offering enhanced audio quality right up to the edge of coverage.

*Unique Radio ID - that gives cellular like functionality such as Caller ID, Call Forwarding, remote switch on / off, contact list.

*Digital One-to-one Calling - allowing someone to call and talk privately with a user's specific radio.

*Digital One-to-many Calling - enabling public group calls, meaning all other DTR SeriesT radios on the same group ID and channel hear communications, similar to analogue radio operation, and private group calls, meaning the DTR SeriesT radios can be programmed to communicate with specific sets or group members.

Designed for use in the many business environments where communication is the key to helping provide the best customer service, improving productivity or maintaining the safety of workers, the DTR SeriesT offers a powerful set of innovative and useful features.

Industries such as, education, retail, manufacturing, property management, hospitality and construction could all benefit from the digital performance of the Motorola DTR2430T and DTR2450T.

The radios also feature enhanced security, with the addition of Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum (FHSS). The radios are also robust and meet US Military 810 C, D, E and F specifications and Motorola standards for durability and reliability. Users can rest-assured that the radios have been rigorously tested and will combat blowing rain, salt fog, blowing dust, constant vibration, shock and high and low temperature storage.

For pics and more join a new Yahoo-group that i have started today it's called:

"Digital 2-way radios"

The group discusses the new digital and license-free 2-way radios for use on PMR,LPD,FRS,GMRS,PAT,MURS,ISM frequency-bands:

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/DIGITAL2WAYRADIO

---

contranl

850. Re: Motorola launches first license-free digital 2-way radios.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-12 19:25:20 UTC
From: Da Evil Doctor Klahn! <pilatus@herejezus.nl>
Message-ID: <3ct812hsh98ga0f4idsph2sgn00m06dfgp@brengsek.invalid>
Source record: 9830

On Sun, 12 Mar 2006 20:15:06 +0100, "bla" <nomail@nomail.nl> wrote:

>Do You Speak Digital?

I do. Multi-posting is evil. nOOb!

--
Hello. This is Doctor Klahn, I'm not home right now. Leave
a message, when you hear the beep. You have our gratitude

851. Re: RF receiver with remote control through TCP/IP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-12 22:55:28 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <vj9912hmg67a97p72efikb4gqef5gd8ksa@4ax.com>
Source record: 9831

On Sun, 12 Mar 2006 15:54:53 GMT, dogdog@noemail.com wrote:

>I'd like to know how you are going to handle audio output to the individuals
>tuning the rx's.

Winamp/Oddcast/Icecast will do that nicely and free. One stream per
receiver (or two - one on each stereo channel).

852. Re: Pro-2006 400 channel scanner backup battery problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-13 04:48:06 UTC
From: Jesse <org@org.orgy>
Message-ID: <Xns9784F2232CFECewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 9832

"as400" <vin42and99@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1142097016.592622.10610
@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com:

> PLease help -
>
> I have a base Pro-2006 400 channel scanner plugged in to the wall all
> the time and I just bought a 9-v type battery for the backup
> power...The battery fits into those prongs or whatever there called and
> I closed the protective cover after I tucked in the battery
> inside...Now as I unplug the unit from the wall, it does not turn
> on....How come? What do I need to check if the batter placement is
> correctly inserted or not?
>
> Its suppose to turn on right?? Even with the outlet plug unplugged from
> the wall....
>
> Beats me...
>
> And these are fresh batteries too...I just got them.
>

I will give you credit as a troll, not believing that you can possibly be as
dense to beleive that a 9 volt would run a box like that.

853. NY Queens 4 alarm Fire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-13 17:26:37 UTC
From: "livewiremaxx@gmail.com" <livewiremaxx@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1142270797.005052.212280@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9833

Current broadcasting 4 alarm Structure Fire in NY / Queens
http://www.incidentbroadcast.com

Fire:QUEENS..89-28 SUPTHIN BLVD:New York City, NY:154.400:4RD
ALARM..FIRE IN 6 STORES.1 TOWER LADDER IN OPERATION.1 STANG.8 HAND-
LINES..WAITNG FOR THE ADD.TOWER LADDERS TO SET-UP:IBN259

854. Extending the range of VHF handhelds by using external ariels - help please! (Ikom)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-13 18:30:27 UTC
From: daviesalex@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1142274627.174826.315050@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9834

Hi Everyone,

I am a non-advanced user of licensed commercial VHF handhelds for a large national charity.

We have a need to communicate between two sites. For various reasons that I can't easilly explain in text I need to be able to put an ariel on the roof and connect it to the handset in the building (there is a large grass bank outside both buildings, but the roof <--> roof is line of sight and works well.

I spoke to someone over the weekend who told me that it was easy to do - all I need apparantly is an ariel (identical to the ones that people have on their cars), cut to the appropriate length for my frequencies and then some standard TV cable to connect the ariel to my handheld.

Naturally, I have some questions
1) Where do I get adapters to plug one end of my TV cable into my IKOM F-15 ariel socket?
2) Where do I buy the ariel? How do I calcualte the length that I should cut it down to?
3) What sort of end do I need on the other end of my TV cable to plug that into the ariel, or is this a solder job?

If anyone can help me with any of these or if you have any advice or suggestions they would be greatly appreciated!

Many thanks,

Alex Davies

PS - this is a double post of http://groups.google.com/group/alt.radio.scanner/browse_thread/thread/3793b25bbc21c85b. |t only told me after posting that this newsgroup was old and that I should post here - sorry!

855. Re: Extending the range of VHF handhelds by using external ariels - help please! (Ikom)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-13 19:25:59 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <ovgb121cf6e2ub7j1ufgdtad64q338nt7n@4ax.com>
Source record: 9835

On 13 Mar 2006 10:30:27 -0800, daviesalex@gmail.com wrote:

>Hi Everyone,
>
>I am a non-advanced user of licensed commercial VHF handhelds for a
>large national charity.
>
>We have a need to communicate between two sites. For various reasons
>that I can't easilly explain in text I need to be able to put an ariel
>on the roof and connect it to the handset in the building (there is a
>large grass bank outside both buildings, but the roof <--> roof is line
>of sight and works well.
>
>I spoke to someone over the weekend who told me that it was easy to do
>- all I need apparantly is an ariel (identical to the ones that people
>have on their cars), cut to the appropriate length for my frequencies
>and then some standard TV cable to connect the ariel to my handheld.
>
>Naturally, I have some questions
>1) Where do I get adapters to plug one end of my TV cable into my IKOM
>F-15 ariel socket?
>2) Where do I buy the ariel? How do I calcualte the length that I
>should cut it down to?
>3) What sort of end do I need on the other end of my TV cable to plug
>that into the ariel, or is this a solder job?
>
>If anyone can help me with any of these or if you have any advice or
>suggestions they would be greatly appreciated!
>
>Many thanks,
>
>Alex Davies

Alex, the Icom F-15 appears to use the standard BNC connector to mount the factory-supplied "rubber duck" antenna to the radio.

The appropriate BNC connectors to use for connecting coaxial cable to one of these radios for the purpose of connecting it to an external antenna are widely available. They can be purchased at any RadioShack store.

You do NOT want to use TV cable to connect the radio to the antenna, as TV cable has a 75-ohm impedance and these radios work at 50 ohm impedance. What you want to use instead is a good quality 50-ohm coaxial cable such as RG-213, LMR400, or Belden 9913. These can usually be purchased directly from manufacturers and

radio dealers.

As far as the antennas themselves are concerned, some technical knowledge is needed to select, assemble, and tune a communications antenna for best performance. Test equipment such as an antenna analyzer or, at the very least, an SWR bridge is needed to properly do the job. This can be handled by any local commercial firm that deals with communications equipment (the dealer where you purchased the Icom F-15 radios might be a good starting point). Alternatively, since you are a charitable orhanization, you might wish to seek assistance from a local Amateur Radio (ham radio) club or emergency communications group such as the ARES/RACES in your area. Ham operators frequently donate their time and knowledge to assist charitable organizations with their events, and they have the necessary expertise and equipment and experience to easily accomplish what you want to do.

In short, what you want to do *is* easy - for someone who knows what they're doing. However, for someone with no technical experience in setting up antennas, it isn't as easy as it might initially seem. Do some looking around in your area and get some hands-on help from some local hams, that would be your best bet. They're smart enough to know how to avoid the pitfalls that go along with a project of this nature.

Hope this helps,
John Kasupski, Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
zIRC #monitor Admin
http://www.wzrd.com/~kc2hmz/
http://www.qsl.net/kc2fng/

856. Re: Miracle Ducker IL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-14 10:03:11 UTC
From: Iain Morrison <janet.morrison@xtra.co.nz>
Message-ID: <JxwRf.6133$JZ1.187190@news.xtra.co.nz>
Source record: 9836
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yes, I have one that I use with my portable FT817. it qworks great and I
would recommend buying one anytime

No problem for the IC20 just turn for maximum noise.

Iain

"DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:0TtJf.315$uZ1.9@fe12.lga...
> I'm seeking information and opinions about the Miracle Ducker IL. I was
> considering using it with my Icom IC-R20 receiver for portable operation.
> It appears to be a tunable loading coil used in conjunction with a rubber
> duck or whip. The manufacturer's information is not very detailed, but
> the reviews for this product seem decent. I'd be interested in hearing
> from others who own or have considered buying one.
>
> Thanks,
> Doug
>

857. Re: Extending the range of VHF handhelds by using external ariels - help please! (Ikom)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-14 12:15:35 UTC
From: daviesalex@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1142338535.810074.224170@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9837

Dear John,

Thank-you very much for your detailed reply.

Would the following kit be OK?
http://www.maplin.co.uk/Module.aspx?TabID=1&DOY=search&ModuleNo=20661&criteria=
(or
http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/RG-58-50-Ohm-Coax-cable-100m-Drum_W0QQitemZ5858629647QQcategoryZ4870
4QQtcZphotoQQcmdZViewItem)
http://www.maplin.co.uk/module.aspx?ModuleNo=1567&doy=14m3&QV=P
http://www.maplin.co.uk/module.aspx?ModuleNo=32886&doy=14m3&QV=P
http://www.maplin.co.uk/Search.aspx?criteria=YW04E&DOY=14m3

I notice that the ariel has a "PL259 plug fitted" ("Right-Angled UHF
Plug"). This looks fairly similar to what is actually on my radio - can
I just ask if you are sure the F-15 has a BCN connector [I can't find
the technical specs that tell me what it is, and I don't know the
difference!]

BTW it was a local radio enthusiast that I asked (member of RAYNET) but
I failed to get his contact details (it was a 2 minute conversation, in
between us both having to run to an emergency!).

Many thanks for all your help,

Alex

John Kasupski wrote:
> On 13 Mar 2006 10:30:27 -0800, daviesalex@gmail.com wrote:
>
> >Hi Everyone,
> >
> >I am a non-advanced user of licensed commercial VHF handhelds for a
> >large national charity.
> >
> >We have a need to communicate between two sites. For various reasons
> >that I can't easilly explain in text I need to be able to put an ariel
> >on the roof and connect it to the handset in the building (there is a
> >large grass bank outside both buildings, but the roof <--> roof is line
> >of sight and works well.
> >
> >I spoke to someone over the weekend who told me that it was easy to do
> >- all I need apparantly is an ariel (identical to the ones that people
> >have on their cars), cut to the appropriate length for my frequencies
> >and then some standard TV cable to connect the ariel to my handheld.

> >
> >Naturally, I have some questions
> >1) Where do I get adapters to plug one end of my TV cable into my IKOM
> >F-15 ariel socket?
> >2) Where do I buy the ariel? How do I calcualte the length that I
> >should cut it down to?
> >3) What sort of end do I need on the other end of my TV cable to plug
> >that into the ariel, or is this a solder job?
> >
> >If anyone can help me with any of these or if you have any advice or
> >suggestions they would be greatly appreciated!
> >
> >Many thanks,
> >
> >Alex Davies
>
> Alex, the Icom F-15 appears to use the standard BNC connector to mount the
> factory-supplied "rubber duck" antenna to the radio.
>
> The appropriate BNC connectors to use for connecting coaxial cable to one of
> these radios for the purpose of connecting it to an external antenna are widely
> available. They can be purchased at any RadioShack store.
>
> You do NOT want to use TV cable to connect the radio to the antenna, as TV cable
> has a 75-ohm impedance and these radios work at 50 ohm impedance. What you want
> to use instead is a good quality 50-ohm coaxial cable such as RG-213, LMR400, or
> Belden 9913. These can usually be purchased directly from manufacturers and
> radio dealers.
>
> As far as the antennas themselves are concerned, some technical knowledge is
> needed to select, assemble, and tune a communications antenna for best
> performance. Test equipment such as an antenna analyzer or, at the very least,
> an SWR bridge is needed to properly do the job. This can be handled by any local
> commercial firm that deals with communications equipment (the dealer where you
> purchased the Icom F-15 radios might be a good starting point). Alternatively,
> since you are a charitable orhanization, you might wish to seek assistance from
> a local Amateur Radio (ham radio) club or emergency communications group such as
> the ARES/RACES in your area. Ham operators frequently donate their time and
> knowledge to assist charitable organizations with their events, and they have
> the necessary expertise and equipment and experience to easily accomplish what
> you want to do.
>
> In short, what you want to do *is* easy - for someone who knows what they're
> doing. However, for someone with no technical experience in setting up antennas,
> it isn't as easy as it might initially seem. Do some looking around in your area
> and get some hands-on help from some local hams, that would be your best bet.
> They're smart enough to know how to avoid the pitfalls that go along with a
> project of this nature.
>
> Hope this helps,

> John Kasupski, Tonawanda, New York
> Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
> zIRC #monitor Admin
> http://www.wzrd.com/~kc2hmz/
> http://www.qsl.net/kc2fng/

858. Re: Basic Scanner with CTCSS Decode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-14 13:55:07 UTC
From: "tucker@conninc.com" <tucker@conninc.com>
Message-ID: <1142344507.278437.280360@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9838
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I just picked up the new Uniden BC898T this weekend it will do
everything you want except for
Alphanumeric display. It uses standard 9pin serial cable to connect to
the PC, it also has the
new 6.5KHZ freq step that commercial radios will be using. I have a
Cushman service monitor
to check HT & mobile rigs but needed something to decode DCS & CTCSS
tones & the 898
really does a good job, it will display which type of tone and tone
frequency on even the weakest signals, it also does all 3 types of
trunking and alot of other features and theres free
softwae out there for it.

859. Re: Extending the range of VHF handhelds by using external ariels - help please! (Ikom)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-14 18:10:43 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <uq0e12pt4uv57p9se1ja409te9mlpokee9@4ax.com>
Source record: 9839

On 14 Mar 2006 04:15:35 -0800, daviesalex@gmail.com wrote:

>Dear John,
>
>Thank-you very much for your detailed reply.
>
>Would the following kit be OK?
>http://www.maplin.co.uk/Module.aspx?TabID=1&DOY=search&ModuleNo=20661&criteria=
>(or
>http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/RG-58-50-Ohm-Coax-cable-100m-Drum_W0QQitemZ5858629647QQcategoryZ487
04QQtcZphotoQQcmdZViewItem)
>http://www.maplin.co.uk/module.aspx?ModuleNo=1567&doy=14m3&QV=P
>http://www.maplin.co.uk/module.aspx?ModuleNo=32886&doy=14m3&QV=P
>http://www.maplin.co.uk/Search.aspx?criteria=YW04E&DOY=14m3

That Maplin site apparently (and hopefully, for your sake!) works better in the UK than it does here in the USA. I was only able to get one of the four pages you linked to loaded from here - the first one, showing the RG-213 coaxial cable. This would probably be okay for a *very* short run, a few feet at best. I'd recommend thicker and less lossy cable for anything longer than that.

It's difficult to be very specific from a few thousand miles away, but in general: The longer the coaxial cable is, the more loss of signal will occur between the radio and the antenna (aerial). This is why I recommended getting in touch with some local hams - they can show up at your site and see the actaul situation you're dealing with, and then tell you exactly what you need to avoid having excessive feedline loss. I can't do that from here. Different grades of cable have different amounts of loss. That one lonk looks like it's to RG-58U, so I'll use that as an example - RG-58U would be fine on HF. On VHF, it's almost certainly going to be very lossy unless your antenna is ten feet from the radio.

>I notice that the ariel has a "PL259 plug fitted" ("Right-Angled UHF
>Plug"). This looks fairly similar to what is actually on my radio - can
>I just ask if you are sure the F-15 has a BCN connector [I can't find
>the technical specs that tell me what it is, and I don't know the
>difference!]

This one I can answer. The PL259 (and the female SO239 that mates with it) thread together, you insert the PL259 into the SO239 and then turn the outer sleeve on the PL259 several turns to tighten it on. With BNC connectors, you push it in and give it about half a turn, and it's on. I looked at a picture of the F-15 and it appeared to me to be a BNC, but in any event, if you have to screw the thing on there it's probably a PL259, if a half-turn locks it in place it's probably a BNC.

>BTW it was a local radio enthusiast that I asked (member of RAYNET) but
>I failed to get his contact details (it was a 2 minute conversation, in
>between us both having to run to an emergency!).

OK, RAYNET is comparable to ARES here in the US. You might wish to visit the following web page, find a RAYNET team in your area, and contact them for some hands (and eyes)-on assistance. Any ham looking at your situation first hand can give you a much better estimation of what's best for your particular situation than I could possibly give you from here. :-)

http://www.raynet-uk.net/main/contact.asp

Or http://www.raynet-uk.net/main/zones.asp

John Kasupski, Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
zIRC #monitor Admin
http://www.wzrd.com/~kc2hmz/
http://www.qsl.net/kc2fng/

860. Re: Extending the range of VHF handhelds by using external ariels - help please! (Ikom)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-14 18:59:30 UTC
From: daviesalex@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1142362770.437429.311730@p10g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9840

Dear John,

Thank-you so much for your detailed reply.

The current areial is indeed a screw fit rather than twist which is good news because it reduces the number of connectors!

Does the "military" grade version of the cable, http://www.maplin.co.uk/module.aspx?ModuleNo=19368&doy=14m3&QV=P#faq work any better? I can't see if the only difference is that the outer sheath is tougher or if this actually means that the cable is less lossy. I reckon that its going to be a maximum of 20ft from handset to the aerial.

I'll drop the RAYNET guys an email. Once again, many thanks for your help. I'll certainly post here if I end up doing this with any advice for anyone else that might find this post!

Many thanks,

Alex

861. Re: Extending the range of VHF handhelds by using external ariels - help please! (Ikom)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-14 19:00:30 UTC
From: daviesalex@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1142362830.004101.138800@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9841

Just noticed that Tom Hanratty (top of the list on the raynet zones.asp file) was one of the guys I had a chat with over the w/end! How easy it was to find his email address - thanks for sending me to that link!

Alex

862. Re: RF receiver with remote control through TCP/IP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-14 21:50:38 UTC
From: dogdog@noemail.com
Message-ID: <pan.2006.03.14.21.46.39.820982@noemail.com>
Source record: 9842

On Sun, 12 Mar 2006 17:55:28 +0000, Al Klein wrote:

> On Sun, 12 Mar 2006 15:54:53 GMT, dogdog@noemail.com wrote:
>
>>I'd like to know how you are going to handle audio output to the individuals
>>tuning the rx's.
>
> Winamp/Oddcast/Icecast will do that nicely and free. One stream per
> receiver (or two - one on each stereo channel).

thanks .. i was figuring you were going to go down that route
but i wasnt sure. Care to share more of the setup details?

tia
dogdog

863. Re: RF receiver with remote control through TCP/IP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-15 01:41:53 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <n3se12h0c85ii4amdjc0n0a00npq0fk63e@4ax.com>
Source record: 9843

On Tue, 14 Mar 2006 21:50:38 GMT, dogdog@noemail.com wrote:

>On Sun, 12 Mar 2006 17:55:28 +0000, Al Klein wrote:

>> Winamp/Oddcast/Icecast will do that nicely and free. One stream per
>> receiver (or two - one on each stereo channel).

>thanks .. i was figuring you were going to go down that route
>but i wasnt sure. Care to share more of the setup details?

<http://www.webdingers.com/scanner.html>

Download them and play with them. They're really all fairly simple to
set up. Ask here (or on the relevant forums for each program) if you
have any problems.

864. AR2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-15 02:47:20 UTC
From: HMT <hmtrade@singnet.com.sg>
Message-ID: <dv7rkr$e55$1@reader01.singnet.com.sg>
Source record: 9844

Hello All,
Any suggestion for modification to better use for AR2002
Thanks

865. Regency Scanner Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-15 03:40:28 UTC
From: JSF <jsf@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <JaWdnd1bEesyEYrZnZ2dnUVZ_tOdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9845

Ant Ideas on where to find a Regency scanner K100 manual. I have been the Yahoo groups but no luck.

866. pro99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-15 14:04:33 UTC
From: Me <jones@juno.com>
Message-ID: <c87g12hv4ga87kfmlplqh2s5ocgoaj8hl0@4ax.com>
Source record: 9846

I have a problem with a radio shack PRO99 scanner that I use for
Nascar races. The only problem is after the channels are programmed
it will not scan the channels. It stays on whatever channel you have
up. I have tried everything i can think of to let it scan but no luck
Anyone have any suggestions?

867. Re: pro99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-15 18:56:48 UTC
From: Ken Taylor <ken123@xxxxtra.co.nz>
Message-ID: <QrZRf.6398$JZ1.207692@news.xtra.co.nz>
Source record: 9847

Me wrote:
> I have a problem with a radio shack PRO99 scanner that I use for
> Nascar races. The only problem is after the channels are programmed
> it will not scan the channels. It stays on whatever channel you have
> up. I have tried everything i can think of to let it scan but no luck
> Anyone have any suggestions?

Not familiar with that particular scanner but I've seen some that can
either scan for busy or free channels - is yours in the wrong mode?

Cheers.

Ken

868. Re: AR2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-15 20:52:08 UTC
From: Fastau B <queryd4girl@plum4bbob32domain.com>
Message-ID: <qbqdnbB6saHq44XZRVn-uQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 9848

Fortunately, there are lots of medications to better use the AR2002.

I'd try haldol!

That's what I use right now. In fact I am trying it.

869. Re: pro99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-15 23:43:52 UTC
From: me <mmcnamee8@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <gu9h12lud378dfo85vj8k2c4ifb3cc71q1@4ax.com>
Source record: 9849

I have tried it in the manual or automatic mode and it will not leave
the channel it starts on with out manually changing channel

On Thu, 16 Mar 2006 07:56:48 +1300, Ken Taylor <ken123@xxxxtra.co.nz>
wrote:

>Me wrote:
>> I have a problem with a radio shack PRO99 scanner that I use for
>> Nascar races. The only problem is after the channels are programmed
>> it will not scan the channels. It stays on whatever channel you have
>> up. I have tried everything i can think of to let it scan but no luck
>> Anyone have any suggestions?
>
>Not familiar with that particular scanner but I've seen some that can
>either scan for busy or free channels - is yours in the wrong mode?
>
>Cheers.
>
>Ken

870. Scanner Tells A Community's Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-16 00:20:54 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1142468454.189061.145520@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9850

Listening to the scanner can tell the community's stories
Robertson County Times - TN,USA
... Hendersonville Fire Chief Jamie Steele could also be heard giving
direction
and encouraging firefighters. Listening to the scanner is part of a
reporter's
job ...
<http://www.rctimes.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20060315/MTCN0505/303150093/1320/MTCN03
05>

871. Re: pro99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-16 00:28:29 UTC
From: Ken Taylor <ken123@xxxxtra.co.nz>
Message-ID: <Ni2Sf.6475$JZ1.211836@news.xtra.co.nz>
Source record: 9751

me wrote:
> On Thu, 16 Mar 2006 07:56:48 +1300, Ken Taylor <ken123@xxxxtra.co.nz>
> wrote:
>
>>Me wrote:
>>
>>>I have a problem with a radio shack PRO99 scanner that I use for
>>>Nascar races. The only problem is after the channels are programmed
>>>it will not scan the channels. It stays on whatever channel you have
>>>up. I have tried everything i can think of to let it scan but no luck
>>>Anyone have any suggestions?
>>
>>Not familiar with that particular scanner but I've seen some that can
>>either scan for busy or free channels - is yours in the wrong mode?
>>
>>Cheers.
>>
>>Ken
>
>
> I have tried it in the manual or automatic mode and it will not leave
> the channel it starts on with out manually changing channel
>
>
Don't be offended, I'm trying to eliminate possibilities, but have you
followed the steps in the user manual (p.34)? Are you sure the bank
you've used for programming channels isn't locked out?

Cheers.

872. Re: pro99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-16 11:54:39 UTC
From: Me <jones@juno.com>
Message-ID: <2eki12dujpsnd5mumddjpjjqqm5c12q1ah@4ax.com>
Source record: 9752

yes checked that and it still will not scan - nothing locked out
all programmed right
we used it at charlotte where we bought it after the clerk programmed
it when we bought it and it did not work right then

On Thu, 16 Mar 2006 13:28:29 +1300, Ken Taylor <ken123@xxxxtra.co.nz>
wrote:

>me wrote:
>> On Thu, 16 Mar 2006 07:56:48 +1300, Ken Taylor <ken123@xxxxtra.co.nz>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>Me wrote:
>>>
>>>>I have a problem with a radio shack PRO99 scanner that I use for
>>>>Nascar races. The only problem is after the channels are programmed
>>>>it will not scan the channels. It stays on whatever channel you have
>>>>up. I have tried everything i can think of to let it scan but no luck
>>>>Anyone have any suggestions?
>>>
>>>Not familiar with that particular scanner but I've seen some that can
>>>either scan for busy or free channels - is yours in the wrong mode?
>>>
>>>Cheers.
>>>
>>>Ken
>>
>>
> > I have tried it in the manual or automatic mode and it will not leave
> > the channel it starts on with out manually changing channel
> >
> >
>Don't be offended, I'm trying to eliminate possibilities, but have you
>followed the steps in the user manual (p.34)? Are you sure the bank
>you've used for programming channels isn't locked out?
>
>Cheers.

873. Pro-89 scanner-PC cable help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-16 14:16:06 UTC
From: "Joe S." <anon@mous.com>
Message-ID: <gKednWciUdWP7oTZnZ2dneKdnZydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9753

I purchased a Pro-89 off eBay and downloaded the software to program the scanner with my PC. However, I do not have the scanner-PC cable -- as I recall, the cable has a DB-9 serial connector on one end and a two-connector 3.5mm plug on the other.

Does anyone have one that you would sell, or, can anyone point me to a schematic so I can build my own?

I'm trying to find a schematic through Google without much luck. Thanks.

874. NEED BC65XLT OPERATORS MANUAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-16 14:58:01 UTC
From: RJ <tbolt@twcny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Z1fSf.18197$jf2.6134@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 9754

Hello Group:
Can anyone Help me get an original OR a Copy of a manual for the BC 65XLT
hand scanner.
It is for a disabled person and I told her I could get it But, I can not
find it anywhere on the internet. Please Help.
Thanks; Reply To tbolt@twcny.rr.com

875. Re: Pro-89 scanner-PC cable help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-16 19:49:46 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <cagj121hg0144gflk6b6tk9j0j7ovi7khd@4ax.com>
Source record: 9755

On Thu, 16 Mar 2006 09:16:06 -0500, "Joe S." <anon@mous.com> wrote:

>Does anyone have one that you would sell, or, can anyone point me to a
>schematic so I can build my own?

<http://www.starrsoft.com/software/Win96/Cables/cables.html##buildityourselfcables>

876. Re: Pro-89 scanner-PC cable help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-16 20:54:48 UTC
From: "Joe S." <anon@mous.com>
Message-ID: <kNydnVXTK9kZTYTZnZ2dnUVZ_tqdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9756

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:cagj121hg0144gflk6b6tk9j0j7ovi7khd@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 16 Mar 2006 09:16:06 -0500, "Joe S." <anon@mous.com> wrote:
>
>>Does anyone have one that you would sell, or, can anyone point me to a
>>schematic so I can build my own?
>
> <http://www.starrsoft.com/software/Win96/Cables/cables.html##buildityourselfcables>

Thanks -- looks like that's just what I need.

877. Re: Pro-89 scanner-PC cable help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-17 01:04:12 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <gWnSf.20814$nB6.14108@news-wrt-01.rdc-nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 9757

"Joe S." <anon@mous.com> wrote in message
news:kNydnVXTK9kZTYTZnZ2dnUVZ_tqdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:cagj121hg0144gflk6b6tk9j0j7ovi7khd@4ax.com...
> > On Thu, 16 Mar 2006 09:16:06 -0500, "Joe S." <anon@mous.com> wrote:
> >
> >>Does anyone have one that you would sell, or, can anyone point me to a
> >>schematic so I can build my own?
> >
> >
<http://www.starrsoft.com/software/Win96/Cables/cables.html##buildityourself
cables>
>
> Thanks -- looks like that's just what I need.
>
Not quite. Try here instead:
http://www.glyff.net/software/prolink/datacable-gre1.php

878. Re: Pro-89 scanner-PC cable help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-17 01:53:37 UTC
From: "Joe S." <anon@mous.com>
Message-ID: <-YKdnbEuYIwQi4fZnZ2dnUVZ_vudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9758

```
"Frank346" <frank346@groznyk.com> wrote in message
news:gWnSf.20814$nB6.14108@news-wrt-01.rdc-nyc.rr.com...
>
> "Joe S." <anon@mous.com> wrote in message
> news:kNydnVXTK9kZTYTZnZ2dnUVZ_tqdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>>
>> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>> news:cagj121hg0144gflk6b6tk9j0j7ovi7khd@4ax.com...
>> > On Thu, 16 Mar 2006 09:16:06 -0500, "Joe S." <anon@mous.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >>Does anyone have one that you would sell, or, can anyone point me to a
>> >>schematic so I can build my own?
>> >
>> >
> <http://www.starrsoft.com/software/Win96/Cables/cables.html##buildityourself
> cables>
>>
>> Thanks -- looks like that's just what I need.
>>
> Not quite. Try here instead:
> http://www.glyff.net/software/prolink/datacable-gre1.php
>
>

Thanks. I had one of scanner-PC cables, lost it in Hurricane Katrina, and
as I recall it had the 3.5mm stereo plug, not the mono plug that the other
one has.
```

879. FA: 3 different vintage scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-17 01:56:48 UTC
From: "Jean-Guy Dolbec Jr." <thunderp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <oGoSf.7194$2n3.105495@wagner.videotron.net>
Source record: 9759

Hi everybody.

I just finished posting 3 vintage scanners that belonged to my dad.

He recently passed away and I have no used for them since I don't share the interest in this activity. The only thing I know is that it's quite addictive!

here are the links to 3 models. All starting at 0.01$ with fixed (decent) shipping rates of 15$ and 20$ each.

I don't really care if I make any money off of these, I only want them to find a good home with somebody that will take care of them like my father did.

Regards,

Jean-Guy.

DX-440
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5881830151

PRO-92 (portable)
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5881831767

PRO-2004
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5881832553

880. Re: NEED BC65XLT OPERATORS MANUAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-17 02:44:08 UTC
From: Fuzzy <pawpaw7@NOSPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <epydnV4pzdXjv4fZRVn-uA@trueband.net>
Source record: 9760

RJ wrote:
> Hello Group:
> Can anyone Help me get an original OR a Copy of a manual for the BC 65XLT
> hand scanner.
> It is for a disabled person and I told her I could get it But, I can not
> find it anywhere on the internet. Please Help.
> Thanks; Reply To tbolt@twcny.rr.com
>
>
http://www.uniden.com/store/accdetail.cfm?item=OMBC65XLT

881. What scanner to monitor PD, etc in Bloomington, MN & Minneapolis?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-17 06:31:42 UTC
From: walleyedude@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1142577101.985215.222710@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9761

Thanks guys!

I know my older bearcat won't work on cops now but what ones could I
get, not sure if I'll get portable or a home based scanner.

Thanks

882. Re: Pro-89 scanner-PC cable help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-17 13:47:20 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <gefl125fkvv9ev4ii61l7o99dr8epdinog@4ax.com>
Source record: 9762

On Fri, 17 Mar 2006 01:04:12 GMT, "Frank346" <frank346@groznyk.com>
wrote:

>
>"Joe S." <anon@mous.com> wrote in message
>news:kNydnVXTK9kZTYTZnZ2dnUVZ_tqdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>>
>> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>> news:cagj121hg0144gflk6b6tk9j0j7ovi7khd@4ax.com...
>> > On Thu, 16 Mar 2006 09:16:06 -0500, "Joe S." <anon@mous.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >>Does anyone have one that you would sell, or, can anyone point me to a
>> >>schematic so I can build my own?
>> >
>> >
><http://www.starrsoft.com/software/Win96/Cables/cables.html##buildityourself
>cables>
>>
>> Thanks -- looks like that's just what I need.
>>
>Not quite. Try here instead:
>http://www.glyff.net/software/prolink/datacable-gre1.php

The scanner is 5 volts, RS232 is 12 volts - something's missing.

883. Re: Pro-89 scanner-PC cable help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-17 13:48:12 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <tffl129aitsnb9dodogbl4djpj7lq9n1tg@4ax.com>
Source record: 9763

On Thu, 16 Mar 2006 20:53:37 -0500, "Joe S." <anon@mous.com> wrote:

>Thanks. I had one of scanner-PC cables, lost it in Hurricane Katrina, and
>as I recall it had the 3.5mm stereo plug, not the mono plug that the other
>one has.

Do you recall using a mono->stereo adapter with it?

884. Listening to Encrypted Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-17 15:19:20 UTC
From: as400 <vin42and99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1142608760.876313.277550@j52g2000cwj.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9764

I have a PRO-2006 base scanner and I posted a while back ago that my scanner was making this really sqelch-like noise and making this chainsaw like noise...Now I know what the problem is..

I listen to my local police dept. and their frequencies are ANALOG-TRUNKED...But, when they switch to a frequency that is ENCRYPTED, it makes that horrible noise even with the sqelch knob turned all the way down...

In other words, so whenever they switch or transmit on a encrypted frequency, It makes that noise..

So, is there like a decrypter software that I can download into my scanner to prevent this from happening? If so...how??

Thanks

885. Re: Pro-89 scanner-PC cable help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-17 15:35:12 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <QGASf.20860$nB6.9444@news-wrt-01.rdc-nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 9765

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:gefl125fkvv9ev4ii61l7o99dr8epdinog@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 17 Mar 2006 01:04:12 GMT, "Frank346" <frank346@groznyk.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Joe S." <anon@mous.com> wrote in message
> >news:kNydnVXTK9kZTYTZnZ2dnUVZ_tqdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> >>
> >> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> >> news:cagj121hg0144gflk6b6tk9j0j7ovi7khd@4ax.com...
> >> > On Thu, 16 Mar 2006 09:16:06 -0500, "Joe S." <anon@mous.com> wrote:
> >> >
> >> >>Does anyone have one that you would sell, or, can anyone point me to
a
> >> >>schematic so I can build my own?
> >> >
> >> >
>
><http://www.starrsoft.com/software/Win96/Cables/cables.html##builditysoursel
f
> >cables>
> >>
> >> Thanks -- looks like that's just what I need.
> >>
> >Not quite. Try here instead:
> >http://www.glyff.net/software/prolink/datacable-gre1.php
>
> The scanner is 5 volts, RS232 is 12 volts - something's missing.

No, nothing is missing. Communication is one way, PC to radio. The radio has a level shifter circuit built in. Other radios with the same setup are the PRO-64, 76, 79, 82, 2016, 2017, and 2041. There are no Starrsoft programs for any of these radios and the cable mentioned on their website is not appropriate for these radios.

886. Re: Listening to Encrypted Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-17 16:06:20 UTC
From: "Steve St. Denis" <slstdenis@porchlight.ca>
Message-ID: <dvemmprgi1@utornnr1pp.grouptelecom.net>
Source record: 9766

No, There is no software or scanner that will help you with encrypted
frequencies, also it would be cost prohibiting and illegal to listen to
encrypted signals, also you would need the encryption key to un-encrypt the
communications.
"as400" <vin42and99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1142608760.876313.277550@j52g2000cwj.googlegroups.com...
>I have a PRO-2006 base scanner and I posted a while back ago that my
> scanner was making this really sqelch-like noise and making this
> chainsaw like noise...Now I know what the problem is..
>
> I listen to my local police dept. and their frequencies are
> ANALOG-TRUNKED...But, when they switch to a frequency that is
> ENCRYPTED, it makes that horrible noise even with the sqelch knob
> turned all the way down...
>
> In other words, so whenever they switch or transmit on a encrypted
> frequency, It makes that noise..
>
> So, is there like a decrypter software that I can download into my
> scanner to prevent this from happening? If so...how??
>
> Thanks
>

887. FS: BC780xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-17 18:20:03 UTC
From: RadAct <n7rcm@pobox.com>
Message-ID: <n5DSf.4208$x94.3600@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 9767

This unit is in new like condition. Comes with original box and all
accessories
like when it was new.

I'll list here for 3 days then it goes to eBay

Price: $260 shipped and PayPal fees included

888. YOUR LEADER K1MAN/AARA/IARN CONDEMS K3VR AS HYPOCRITE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-17 18:26:55 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1142620015.134631.52720@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9768
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED060316A -

K3VR IS A HYPOCRITE

Old Brian holds himself out to be an A-1 amateur radio operator. But his reaction to a legitimate complaint* regarding criminal amateur radio interference by, for example, KZ8O (former ND8V), is not outrage against KZ8O but rather outrage against the station interfered with, K1MAN. Interesting! Brian has just totally destroyed his claimed amateur radio credibility.

Brian is a staunch supporter of ARRL. KZ8O is a staunch supporter of ARRL. ARRL is silent on this issue. The FCC, so far, is silent on this issue. Do you see a pattern here? Interesting!

It looks like Brian is not much of a ham after all. He is more interested in "nailing" K1MAN for something, anything, rather than FCC rules or United States law. Or, Brian is just plain stupid!

Brian is not THAT stupid. He is simply acting like a spoiled kid in kindergarten. His juvenile emotions simply over ride his adult intelligence; often seen with kids in school. Get a grip, Brian! You usually make a total fool of yourself. ARRL and the FCC are not very far behind!

73 and GL de K1MAN

889. YOUR LEADER K1MAN FILES FORMAL FELONY COMPLAINT AGAINST KZ8O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-17 18:30:28 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1142620228.573484.301350@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9769
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

* To the United States Justice Department:

FORMAL FELONY COMPLAINT

In the matter of

Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN
Registered Professional Engineer
Licensee of Amateur Radio Station K1MAN

against

Michael E. Guernsey, Sr.
Licensee of Amateur Radio Station KZ8O

Complainant, Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, hereby makes this affidavit from his own personal knowledge and says that:

Complainant began his amateur information bulletin service at 1400 UTC on the clear frequency, 14.275 MHz., on 13 March 2006. On or before 1544 UTC, amateur radio operator Michael E. Guernsey, Sr., KZ8O, caused intentional interference to amateur radio station K1MAN in criminal violation of federal law, namely Sections 333 and 501 of the 1934 Communications Act:

Section 333:

No person shall willfully or maliciously interfere with or cause interference to any radiocommunications or any station licensed or authorized by or under this act or operated by the United States government.

Section 501:

Any person who willfully and knowingly does or causes or suffers to be done any act, matter or thing, in this Act prohibited or declared to be unlawful, or who willfully or knowingly omits or fails to do any act, matter or thing in this Act required to be done, or willfully or knowingly causes or suffers such omission or failure, shall, upon conviction thereof, be punished for such offense, for which no penalty (other than a forfeiture) is provided by this Act, by a fine of not more than $10,000 or by imprisonment for a term not exceeding two years or both.

At 1546 UTC K1MAN changed transmitting frequency to 14.272 MHz. to get
away from this criminal radio interference.

(signed)
Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN
Registered Professional Engineer

Sworn before me,

_____ _____
Notary Public Date

cc: rholling@FCC.gov
bcross@fcc.gov
KZ8O
N8JOX
W7CPA

890. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN/AARA/IARN CONDEMS K3VR AS HYPOCRITE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-17 19:30:02 UTC
From: Noah Little <me2@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <dvf2ef$3a4$56@n4vu2.n4vu.com>
Source record: 9770
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
> K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED060316A -

> o Brian is a staunch supporter of ARRL.
> o KZ8O is a staunch supporter of ARRL.
> o ARRL is silent on this issue.
> o The FCC, so far, is silent on this issue.
> Do you see a pattern here?

Not yet...but wait...

o K1MAN is vocal as hell on just about everything.

Ah, now it begins to come into focus.

> Interesting!

Indeed!
--

891. Re: Pro-89 scanner-PC cable help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-17 20:11:55 UTC
From: "Joe S." <anon@mous.com>
Message-ID: <1OSdna0vstBriobZRVn-rQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 9771

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:tffl129aitsnb9dodogbl4djpj7lq9n1tg@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 16 Mar 2006 20:53:37 -0500, "Joe S." <anon@mous.com> wrote:
>
>
>>Thanks. I had one of scanner-PC cables, lost it in Hurricane Katrina, and
>>as I recall it had the 3.5mm stereo plug, not the mono plug that the other
>>one has.
>
> Do you recall using a mono->stereo adapter with it?

Nope, just plugged it straight into the scanner.

892. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN FILES FORMAL FELONY COMPLAINT AGAINST KZ8O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-17 21:03:32 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <vfSdnZ3iU4C4uYbZRVn-sg@comcast.com>
Source record: 9772
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
> * To the United States Justice Department:
>
> FORMAL FELONY COMPLAINT

This bullsh!t is about as interesting as a repeater kerchunker.

Why don't you STFU?

Do you crosspost to the CB group because you wish you could be as cool as one?

893. Re: YOUR BLEEDER K1MAN FILES FORMAL FELONY COMPLAINT AGAINST KZ8O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-17 21:16:11 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <laCdnRBvPPeBuobZnZ2dnUVZ_sGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9773
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

> Complainant began his amateur information bulletin service at 1400 UTC
> on the clear frequency, 14.275 MHz., on 13 March 2006. On or
> before 1544 UTC, amateur radio operator Michael E. Guernsey, Sr.,
> KZ8O, caused intentional interference to amateur radio station K1MAN
> in criminal violation of federal law, namely Sections 333 and 501 of
> the 1934 Communications Act:

And was this "information bulletin" one of the following?:

o ARNewsline
o the Rain Report
o the HandiHam newsletter
o the ARRL letter
o This Week in Amateur Radio

If it wasn't, it was probably another one of Glenn's self-aggrandizing broadcasts.
As you know, one-way broadcasts are verboten on amateur radio. (Sec. 97.113(3)(5b))

894. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN FILES FORMAL FELONY COMPLAINT AGAINST KZ8O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-17 21:36:34 UTC
From: wy0ohg143 <wy0ohg143@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <LZFSf.79937$_X1.64159@fe05.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 9774
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

There is only one person in the entire world that gives a shit about this,
and that's K1MAN.

895. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN/AARA/IARN CONDEMS K3VR AS HYPOCRITE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-17 21:43:15 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <T3GSf.5406$sL2.1642@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 9775
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

So when is the super ham, K1MAN going to pick up the registered letter informing him of his responsibility to answer a demand for a retraction and apology?

I'll answer that. Never. MAN is a world class hypocrite.

Dan/W4NTI

<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1142620015.134631.52720@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED060316A -
>
> K3VR IS A HYPOCRITE
>
> Old Brian holds himself out to be an A-1 amateur radio operator. But
> his reaction to a legitimate complaint* regarding criminal amateur
> radio interference by, for example, KZ8O (former ND8V), is not outrage
> against KZ8O but rather outrage against the station interfered with,
> K1MAN. Interesting! Brian has just totally destroyed his claimed
> amateur radio credibility.
>
> Brian is a staunch supporter of ARRL. KZ8O is a staunch supporter of
> ARRL. ARRL is silent on this issue. The FCC, so far, is silent on
> this issue. Do you see a pattern here? Interesting!
>
> It looks like Brian is not much of a ham after all. He is more
> interested in "nailing" K1MAN for something, anything, rather than FCC
> rules or United States law. Or, Brian is just plain stupid!
>
> Brian is not THAT stupid. He is simply acting like a spoiled kid in
> kindergarten. His juvenile emotions simply over ride his adult
> intelligence; often seen with kids in school. Get a grip, Brian!
> You usually make a total fool of yourself. ARRL and the FCC are not
> very far behind!
>
> 73 and GL de K1MAN
>

896. Re: YOUR BLEEDER K1MAN FILES FORMAL FELONY COMPLAINT AGAINST KZ8O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-17 22:15:41 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1142633741.901749.71370@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9776
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

> Complainant began his amateur information bulletin service at 1400 UTC
> on the clear frequency, 14.275 MHz., on 13 March 2006. On or
> before 1544 UTC, amateur radio operator Michael E. Guernsey, Sr.,
> KZ8O, caused intentional interference to amateur radio station K1MAN
> in criminal violation of federal law, namely Sections 333 and 501 of
> the 1934 Communications Act:

>And was this "information bulletin" one of the following?:
>o ARNewsline
>o the Rain Report
>o the HandiHam newsletter
>o the ARRL letter
>o This Week in Amateur Radio

>If it wasn't, it was probably another one of Glenn's self-aggrandizing broadcasts.
>As you know, one-way broadcasts are verboten on amateur radio. (Sec. 97.113(3)(5b))

No where in the FCC rules does it state that an Information bulletin
has to be a newscast. All those you mentioned are newscast. The rules
only state it has to be "solely of subject matter of interest to the
amateur radio service" (see below)

97.3 Definitions
(a) The definitions of terms used in Part 97 are:

(25) Information bulletin. A message directed only to amateur operators
consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the amateur
service.

§97.111 Authorized transmissions.

(b) In addition to one-way transmissions specifically authorized
elsewhere in this Part, an amateur station may transmit the following
types of one-way communications:

(6) Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins;

It's funny that people only read 97.113 and not the rest of the rules
including the definition

(10) Broadcasting. Transmissions intended for reception by the general
public, either direct or relayed.

If the transmission is directed to the general public it's a broadcast,
if it's directed to the amateur service and consist solely of subject

matter of a direct interest to the amateur radio service then it's legal.

Todd N9OGL

897. Re: Listening to Encrypted Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-17 23:59:14 UTC
From: Quaoar <quaoar@marcabfleet.com>
Message-ID: <9--dnU6YcrTO0IbZRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 9777

Steve St. Denis wrote:
> No, There is no software or scanner that will help you with encrypted
> frequencies, also it would be cost prohibiting and illegal to listen to
> encrypted signals, also you would need the encryption key to un-encrypt the
> communications.
> "as400" <vin42and99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1142608760.876313.277550@j52g2000cwj.googlegroups.com...
>> I have a PRO-2006 base scanner and I posted a while back ago that my
>> scanner was making this really sqelch-like noise and making this
>> chainsaw like noise...Now I know what the problem is..
>>
>> I listen to my local police dept. and their frequencies are
>> ANALOG-TRUNKED...But, when they switch to a frequency that is
>> ENCRYPTED, it makes that horrible noise even with the sqelch knob
>> turned all the way down...
>>
>> In other words, so whenever they switch or transmit on a encrypted
>> frequency, It makes that noise..
>>
>> So, is there like a decrypter software that I can download into my
>> scanner to prevent this from happening? If so...how??
>>
>> Thanks
>>
>
>

Besides, the encryption is done on the fly for each transmission by the
transmitter system so there is little if any chance of de-encryption by
normal human means.

Q

898. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN/AARA/IARN CONDEMS K3VR AS HYPOCRITE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-18 00:24:48 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1142641483.153225.192840@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9778
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> So when is the super ham, K1MAN going to pick up the registered letter
> informing him of his responsibility to answer a demand for a retraction and
> apology?
>
> I'll answer that. Never. MAN is a world class hypocrite.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
assuming there is such a letter why should he go to the troule of
picking it up?

it is simple question Dan

899. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN/AARA/IARN CONDEMS K3VR AS HYPOCRITE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-18 01:09:37 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <l5JSf.6099$k75.966@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 9779
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Simple question from a simple mind. Answer....It was addressed to him.

Dan/W4NTI

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1142641483.153225.192840@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> So when is the super ham, K1MAN going to pick up the registered letter
>> informing him of his responsibility to answer a demand for a retraction
>> and
>> apology?
>>
>> I'll answer that. Never. MAN is a world class hypocrite.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
> assuming there is such a letter why should he go to the troule of
> picking it up?
>
> it is simple question Dan
>

900. Re: YOUR BLEEDER K1MAN FILES FORMAL FELONY COMPLAINT AGAINST KZ8O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-18 01:10:25 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <56JSf.6102$k75.36@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 9780
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1142633741.901749.71370@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Complainant began his amateur information bulletin service at 1400 UTC
> on the clear frequency, 14.275 MHz., on 13 March 2006. On or
> before 1544 UTC, amateur radio operator Michael E. Guernsey, Sr.,
> KZ8O, caused intentional interference to amateur radio station K1MAN
> in criminal violation of federal law, namely Sections 333 and 501 of
> the 1934 Communications Act:

>And was this "information bulletin" one of the following?:
>o ARNewsline
>o the Rain Report
>o the HandiHam newsletter
>o the ARRL letter
>o This Week in Amateur Radio

>If it wasn't, it was probably another one of Glenn's self-aggrandizing
>broadcasts.
>As you know, one-way broadcasts are verboten on amateur radio. (Sec.
>97.113(3)(5b))

No where in the FCC rules does it state that an Information bulletin
has to be a newscast. All those you mentioned are newscast. The rules
only state it has to be "solely of subject matter of interest to the
amateur radio service" (see below)

97.3 Definitions
(a) The definitions of terms used in Part 97 are:

(25) Information bulletin. A message directed only to amateur operators
consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the amateur
service.

97.111 Authorized transmissions.

(b) In addition to one-way transmissions specifically authorized
elsewhere in this Part, an amateur station may transmit the following
types of one-way communications:

(6) Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins;

It's funny that people only read 97.113 and not the rest of the rules
including the definition

(10) Broadcasting. Transmissions intended for reception by the general public, either direct or relayed.

If the transmission is directed to the general public it's a broadcast, if it's directed to the amateur service and consist solely of subject matter of a direct interest to the amateur radio service then it's legal.

Todd N9OGL

N9OGL...Stupid is as Stupid Does.

Dan/W4NTI

901. Re: YOUR BLEEDER K1MAN FILES FORMAL FELONY COMPLAINT AGAINST KZ8O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-18 02:30:38 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1142649038.112348.205440@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9781
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

First off Dan, welcome back!! Anyway, I would really suggest you read
the rules

Todd N9OGL

902. Re: YOUR BLEEDER K1MAN FILES FORMAL FELONY COMPLAINT AGAINST KZ8O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-18 02:52:53 UTC
From: "Joe S." <anon@mous.com>
Message-ID: <CdKdndS9HLVs6IbZRVn-ug@comcast.com>
Source record: 9782
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:56JSf.6102$k75.36@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1142633741.901749.71370@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> Complainant began his amateur information bulletin service at 1400 UTC
>> on the clear frequency, 14.275 MHz., on 13 March 2006. On or
>> before 1544 UTC, amateur radio operator Michael E. Guernsey, Sr.,
>> KZ8O, caused intentional interference to amateur radio station K1MAN
>> in criminal violation of federal law, namely Sections 333 and 501 of
>> the 1934 Communications Act:
>
>>And was this "information bulletin" one of the following?:
>>o ARNewsline
>>o the Rain Report
>>o the HandiHam newsletter
>>o the ARRL letter
>>o This Week in Amateur Radio
>
>>If it wasn't, it was probably another one of Glenn's self-aggrandizing
>>broadcasts.
>>As you know, one-way broadcasts are verboten on amateur radio. (Sec.
>>97.113(3)(5b))
>
>
> No where in the FCC rules does it state that an Information bulletin
> has to be a newscast. All those you mentioned are newscast. The rules
> only state it has to be "solely of subject matter of interest to the
> amateur radio service" (see below)

"solely of subject matter interest to the amateur radio service."
Broadcasts of, by, about, from, and to K1MAN are of no interest to anyone
but K1MAN.

903. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN FILES FORMAL FELONY COMPLAINT AGAINST KZ8O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-18 02:54:45 UTC
From: wy0ohg143 <wy0ohg143@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <4EKSf.43061$kJ1.11573@fe77.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 9783
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

These so called "FORMAL FELONY COMPLAINTs" are totally bogus!
K1MAN has no authority to so.
Read what the FCC had to say about this back in 2001:
December 11, 2001

Mr. Glenn A. Baxter
RR 1 Box 776
Belgrade Lakes, ME 04918

RE: Warning Notice--Amateur Radio license K1MAN
Dear Mr. Baxter:

The Commission has information that you have sent numerous so-called "Standard Felony Complaint Affidavits" to various Amateur Radio licensees that you perceive to either cause interference to your Amateur Radio station K1MAN or do not relinquish to you the frequency on which they are operating. Inasmuch as you have successfully completed an examination for an Amateur Radio license, you should be aware that all Amateur frequencies are shared. You have no greater rights whatsoever to an Amateur Radio frequency than any other Amateur Radio operator.

We also note that on January 5, 1994, the United States Attorney for your jurisdiction, Jay P. McCloskey, warned you about the mailing of such "Standard Felony Complaint Affidavits" and stated:

DO NOT send any more Standard Felony Complaint Affidavits to our office. The interference you allege, even if intentional, is not a federal criminal offense over which our office has prosecutorial jurisdiction.
The letter from United States Attorney McCloskey also referenced letters that you had sent other Amateur operators alerting them of supposed criminal charges instituted by McCloskey's office. In his letter to you, McCloskey stated:

It is against the law to write and mail this type of threatening communication, especially when it has no basis in fact. If you continue sending this type of letter, you may be subject to federal criminal charges for misrepresentation and for using the mails to make threats. You may also jeopardize your Amateur license.

You are advised that any attempts to threaten or intimidate Amateur Radio licensees operating on the Amateur bands will reflect adversely upon your qualifications to remain a Commission licensee, and will be the subject of a license revocation or renewal hearing.

<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1142620228.573484.301350@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com...
>* To the United States Justice Department:
>
> FORMAL FELONY COMPLAINT
>
> In the matter of
>
> Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN
> Registered Professional Engineer
> Licensee of Amateur Radio Station K1MAN
>
> against
>
> Michael E. Guernsey, Sr.
> Licensee of Amateur Radio Station KZ8O
>
> ------------------------------------
>
>
> Complainant, Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, hereby makes this affidavit
> from his own personal knowledge and says that:
>
>
> Complainant began his amateur information bulletin service at 1400 UTC
> on the clear frequency, 14.275 MHz., on 13 March 2006. On or
> before 1544 UTC, amateur radio operator Michael E. Guernsey, Sr.,
> KZ8O, caused intentional interference to amateur radio station K1MAN
> in criminal violation of federal law, namely Sections 333 and 501 of
> the 1934 Communications Act:
>
> Section 333:
>
> No person shall willfully or maliciously interfere with or cause
> interference to any radiocommunications or any station licensed
> or authorized by or under this act or operated by the United
> States government.
>
> Section 501:
>
> Any person who willfully and knowingly does or causes or suffers to
> be done any act, matter or thing, in this Act prohibited or declared
> to be unlawful, or who willfully or knowingly omits or fails to do
> any act, matter or thing in this Act required to be done, or willfully
> or knowingly causes or suffers such omission or failure, shall,
> upon conviction thereof, be punished for such offense, for which no
> penalty (other than a forfeiture) is provided by this Act, by a fine
> of not more than $10,000 or by imprisonment for a term not exceeding
> two years or both.
>
> At 1546 UTC K1MAN changed transmitting frequency to 14.272 MHz. to get

> away from this criminal radio interference.
>
>
>
>
>
> (signed)
> Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN
> Registered Professional Engineer
>
>
>
> Sworn before me,
>
>
>
>
>
> _____ _____
> Notary Public Date
>
>
> cc: rholling@FCC.gov
> bcross@fcc.gov
> KZ8O
> N8JOX
> W7CPA
>

904. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN FILES FORMAL FELONY COMPLAINT AGAINST KZ8O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-18 03:11:10 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <roGdnRTtoNnT54bZRVn-jg@comcast.com>
Source record: 9784
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

> You are advised that any attempts to threaten or intimidate Amateur Radio
> licensees operating on the Amateur bands will reflect adversely upon your
> qualifications to remain a Commission licensee, and will be the subject of a
> license revocation or renewal hearing.

Keep 'em coming, Glenn!!

http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-259301A1.html

905. TrunkPCR version 0.7.187 Update Available for downloading

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-18 03:17:23 UTC
From: CrazyC <crazy__c_@hot_mail.com>
Message-ID: <4ZKSf.127621$4I5.122466@dukeread05>
Source record: 9785

This update fixes a couple bugs and adds 2 NEW Options:

Feb 27,2006 - Fixed: Setting Reverse Polarity while Trunking.
Mar 01,2006 - -----: Increased Max Radios Again...
Mar 05,2006 - Fixed: Private Calls in MOTO Display and Resume.
Mar 06,2006 - Added: 2nd Receiver Option.
Mar 06,2006 - Added: Priority Calls (only using 2nd Receiver Option).
Mar 07,2006 - -----: Modified "Hunt on Dataloss" Again...
Mar 08,2006 - -----: PCR-1500 Firmware Code Now Recogonized.
Mar 08,2006 - -----: Trunking Resumes Now when TrunkBank Right-Clicked
(Move to TrunkBank).
Mar 08,2006 - -----: Trunking Resumes Now when TrunkBank Left-Clicked
(TrunkBank De-Activated).
Mar 09,2006 - -----: Trunking Resumes Now if a Group is set as
Locked-Out.
Mar 15,2006 - Fixed: COM Ports higher than 9 Now usable on XP.
Mar 16,2006 - Fixed: Trunking Banks now Disabled for Conventional Scan if
previously set.

Version 0.7.187 Released 03/17/2006

1) Second (Dual) Receiver Option.
For this option to work, you need to have a second receiver with a
discriminator tap.
With the cable you had plugged in the Packet Connector on the PCR,
plug it now into the "Discriminator Out" connector on this radio.
(You may have to change the Reverse Polarity in Trunk Settings for it to
decode)

2) Group Priority Levels.
This option will only work if the Second Receiver Option is used...
You can now program a priority level for each individual Group ID.
The Highest Priority is 1 and the Lowest is 99, Default set to 50.
Emergency Calls are designated Priorty 1, Private Calls is set to 2...

3) Minimum Support for the PC/R-1500 at this time...

906. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN/AARA/IARN CONDEMS K3VR AS HYPOCRITE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-18 03:40:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1142653214.243760.110720@p10g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9786
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> Simple question from a simple mind.
but as shown you refuse to answer it
> Answer....It was addressed to him.

again why should go to any troule to pick up such mail?

you and I have any legal right to demand another person goes to any
trouble to collect and read mail we send them

I don't excercise any trouble to collect mail from addresses unknown to
me, nor would I accept registered ail various persons known to me, such
as yourself for example or Robeson for another

so the question stand why should K1MAN assit those that wish to attack
him?

what you are suggesting is insanity
>
> Dan/W4NTI

907. Todd take a look please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-18 03:46:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1142653606.524085.11540@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9787
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

wy0ohg143 wrote:

> You are advised that any attempts to threaten or intimidate Amateur Radio
> licensees operating on the Amateur bands will reflect adversely upon your
> qualifications to remain a Commission licensee, and will be the subject of a
> license revocation or renewal hearing.

your know this paragraph and the leter it comes does not state that
threatening of or intimidation Ham has to occour over Ham radio

with stev et all threatening YOU over your bullitens over the net
prehaps there is a basis for complainig to the FCC to try and Steve
license revoked

it is a thought and you might look into it
>
>
>

908. Re: YOUR BLEEDER K1MAN FILES FORMAL FELONY COMPLAINT AGAINST KZ8O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-18 04:22:40 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1142655760.594582.61800@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9788
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

>"solely of subject matter interest to the amateur radio service."
>Broadcasts of, by, about, from, and to K1MAN are of no interest to anyone
>but K1MAN.

even if one person like listening to his show and continues to listen
to his show, then it would fall within that catagory because it is
something to interest to a lease one member of the amateur radio
service.

Todd N9OGL

909. Re: YOUR BLEEDER K1MAN FILES FORMAL FELONY COMPLAINT AGAINST KZ8O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-18 04:39:41 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <PfydncsF89uTEobZRVn-ig@comcast.com>
Source record: 9789
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

N9OGL wrote:
>> "solely of subject matter interest to the amateur radio service."
>> Broadcasts of, by, about, from, and to K1MAN are of no interest to anyone
>> but K1MAN.
>
> even if one person like listening to his show and continues to listen
> to his show, then it would fall within that catagory because it is
> something to interest to a lease one member of the amateur radio
> service.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

Lease, you rent it so you can give it back later?

910. APRSPoint new version sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-18 06:17:05 UTC
From: "hxc98@yahoo.com" <hxc98@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1142662625.438445.167850@p10g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9790

A new version of APRSPoint is released, new features include,

1. Implemented APRS server filter setting,
2. Enabled auto connect for TCP connection,
3. Customization of track line width and style,

and numerous other fixes and enhancements. Please visit
http://www.aprspoint.com for details on this new release and sale.
Thanks.

911. Re: Pro 97 volume problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-18 07:44:12 UTC
From: Dan <noemail@forspambots.com>
Message-ID: <8dcn12hque6in57u4asohmk5k6ao3offro@4ax.com>
Source record: 9791

On Tue, 28 Feb 2006 23:56:58 GMT, "Allen Crawford"
<acrawford5@hot.rr.com> wrote:

>I recently purchased a pro97 scanner in near new condition over the internet
>through ebay. The item was
>listed as having very low audio such as one has to place the speaker next to
>his ear to hear the broadcast so I took a chance and bought it for 45
>dollars. I got it in yesterday and it is totally new! packaging, all
>accessories, books and not a scratch on it. Well. I unpack it and install
>freshly charged (new) batteries and
>I go to playing with it..first..a weather channel..sure enough, very low
>volume even when cranked to the fullest
>it is still at the same volume level. I went ahead and took out the four
>back cover screws and examined the area
>where the volume pot is soldered and took some readings. center tap is
>about 2.1kohm and 200 k ohm on the non grounded side. (this is without power
>applied)
>in the area of the volume/squelch pot, are 3 tiny pots
>and 3 test points...(this is all from memory as the scanner
>is closed up now). I was hoping for a misplaced speaker magnet or something
>simpler and the circuits are ungodly
>miniature and even the audio amp chip is about the size of an op amp on most
>regular size circuits. The doggone thing is so new looking, that it may be
>swapped with a
>newer one from radio shack (by swapping backplates) but I don't dare attempt
>the dishonest. Anyway, any suggestions to resolve my dillemma...could it be
>possible that I have a defective volume pot? No amount of regulation seems
>to affect the audio at all. The channel
>beep come through loud and clear but it seems that either
>the preamp from the radio is set too low or the pot is defective/soldered
>wrong. Of course, the possibility of
>a bad IC has crossed my mind as well. Any suggestions?
>No warranty so all I have is either a repairman or my good wits and some
>knowledge to help correct the problem. Btw, the sqelch seems to work OK.
>
> Your input would be greally appreciated,
>
> Allen
>

Allen, I had a PRO-96 that had the *exact* same problem... I'm not
sure how similar it is to the PRO-97, so the following may or may not
be of any use... but might be worth a try, or may give you some
ideas...

Have a look at the picture at:
http://www.ninemoons.com/~daf/images/PRO-96.jpg

After trying all the obvious stuff, I found that very gently pushing down on the edge of the circuit board (with a non-metallic object :-) in the area outlined in red that the volome would increase tremendously. It was quite touchy, and seemed to be fairly localized to that general area. Volume would always return to it's previously "barely audible" state when pressure was released. I suspected a micro-crack in a solder joint or possibly one of the "plated-through" holes. A "cold" solder joint was another possibility...

I removed the board and inspected both sides very carefully in that area with the highest power magnifier I had on hand (an eyepiece from a microscope...:-) Visual inspection didn't reveal anything, so I put the board back in and tried it again with the exact same results. This went on about 4 times until I finally got disgusted and drug out my trusty soldering iron!

Having done a lot of bench work, I have a soldering iron with a tip about the size of a ball-point pin. I wouldn't recommend doing this with anything bigger, as it is very easy to overheat these SMT components.

Using some high power reading glasses, I very briefly heated each of the solder joints "to melting" in the general area outlined in red, using no extra solder. (Very easy to use too much on these tiny pads!)

I did add very minute amounts of solder to some of the plated-through holes until I could tell from both sides they were "soldered-through"

After putting things back together - VOILA! Normal sound levels!

The final test was when I could get no changes in the (now normal) volume by pushing down in area that had been so touchy before.

Hope this helps...

-Dan

Disclaimer! I'm NOT responsible if you muck things up worse!!

912. RS Pro-83 White noise problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-18 11:56:04 UTC
From: scott@mit.edu
Message-ID: <441bf554$0$563$b45e6eb0@senator-bedfellow.mit.edu>
Source record: 9792

I have had a Pro-83 since last Summer (2005) and really like it. It usually lives in the car when I am driving, and is subject to New England's seasons.

Over the summer, it behaved fine.

This winter, though, even though the season has been rather mild, the radio has started to act like the squelch is open full time, with constant white noise. The scanner function properly continues to search for active frequencies as does the signal stalker. If the squelch was open, the scanner and signal stalker functions would obviously be sitting on a frequency and not move.

I've tried playing with both the squelch and volume knobs, but no change from squelch, though the volume does help "squelch" some of the headache I can start to develop.

This white noise problem occurs regardless of whether I use rechargable batteries or the DC adapter, and regardless of use of the radio in the house or in the car.

The white noise has been known to subside after some time. But I ask readers if they have also experienced this? If so, what could be causing it? It is NOT automobile interference because the scanner does come into the house at times and present the same problem. It would be difficult to believe it is squelch since the rest of the functions behave as if the squelch _is_ working properly.

What else could I be missing? Has the radio become defective? It was purchased new, out of the box.

Thanks for any ideas/leads/hints.

Scott

913. decoders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-18 14:23:34 UTC
From: dogdog@noemail.com
Message-ID: <pan.2006.03.18.14.19.29.184745@noemail.com>
Source record: 9793

I'm looking for some fundamentals on writing software decoders
for signals. I've found alot of things for windows (scancat,mmtty
etc) however not alot for linux. So since I use linux I'd like
to get a discussion going on writing some command line decoders
that will take the input from my soundcard and output the characters
for something like ACARS, TTY etc.

Any help would be great
TIA
der dogdog

914. Newly Recorded Incidents

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-18 16:23:09 UTC
From: "livewiremaxx@gmail.com" <livewiremaxx@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1142698989.207739.109690@p10g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9794

The IBN Podcast / Media download update has been updated today.

The IBN Podcast is available to Ipod users / mp3 player users - so that
you can download real incidents from the front lines and listen to them
in your own time.

The IBN Podcast allows you to download all of our files to your MP3
player and play them at your liesure. By going the podcast route, this
will make our downloads work integrated with itunes and ipodder.

The subscription URL for our podcast form is
http://www.incidentbroadcast.com/broadcast.xml

The Following updates were made today:

Snohomish County, WA Pursuit 3/06/06
Pursuit by the Snohomish County Sheriff.The total length is 2:46. From
the time the car wrecked out till they hadthe person in handcuffs was
less than 1 minute. Taser was used onthe suspect.Everett, WASnohomish
County Sheriff

Gilbert,AZ House Fire
This is an audio clip of a house fire in Gilbert,AZ. Fire was in the
attic. Firefighters had to back out the home due to the fire went
through the roof. The firefighters then went into defensive mode

---
Mesa,AZ 1st Alarm Medical MVA
This audio clip is of an MVA in Mesa,AZ. It was a 1st Alarm Medical
MVA. Required a helo to transport 2 Immedaite victims to the hospital.
One other victim went to the hsopital by ground.

Allentown,Pa House Fire March.16.06
House Fire at 1503 E. Highland St. Fire occured in the early morning of
3-16-06. When AFD arrived fire was through the roof. Fire was knocked
down and overhaul was then in progress

915. Re: decoders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-18 18:24:14 UTC
From: Hans Muecke <luv4tn@arcor.de>
Message-ID: <441c504e$0$7760$9b4e6d93@newsread4.arcor-online.net>
Source record: 9795

Samstag, 18. Mï¿œrz 2006 08:23 (US Central Time)
dogdog@noemail.com wrote in rec.radio.scanner:

> I'm looking for some fundamentals on writing software decoders
> for signals. I've found alot of things for windows (scancat,mmtty
> etc) however not alot for linux. So since I use linux I'd like
> to get a discussion going on writing some command line decoders
> that will take the input from my soundcard and output the characters
> for something like ACARS, TTY etc.

You might wanna drop the acars thing ... we already have it at
www.acarsd.org.

Talk to you later ... Hans from Germany
--
2006/03/18 17:20
EDDS 181720Z 09008KT CAVOK 04/M02 Q1015 NOSIG

916. Re: RS Pro-83 White noise problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-18 18:39:19 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <ipko121k6flaarpet66amrqsf1n45penu0@4ax.com>
Source record: 9796

On 18 Mar 2006 11:56:04 GMT, scott@mit.edu (Scott Ehrlich) wrote:

>What else could I be missing? Has the radio become defective? It
>was purchased new, out of the box.

The squelch output (DC that gets higher as the detected noise gets higher) feeds 2 points - the computer, so it knows whether to scan or stop and an audio gate that cuts off the audio when there's no signal. It sounds as if either the audio gate is allowing signal through regardless of the state of the squelch output, or the squelch output isn't getting to the audio gate. How you can check that out without a schematic (RS schematics of recent products are rare) is the tough question.

917. Re: decoders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-18 18:43:45 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <tvko12l7ppdvm4hml348jgbvd6egbetdql@4ax.com>
Source record: 9797

On Sat, 18 Mar 2006 14:23:34 GMT, dogdog@noemail.com wrote:

>to get a discussion going on writing some command line decoders
>that will take the input from my soundcard and output the characters
>for something like ACARS, TTY etc.

Grab an old TTY decoder program and you'll see how it's done ...
pretty trivial, really. The rough part (for me, at least, since I've
never tried it) is getting the sound card to tell you which frequency
it's hearing. But once you have the digital train decoding ASCII is
no job at all (0100 0001 is upper case A - no "decoding" needed), and
decoding Baudot isn't much more complicated (keep the current shift
state in a boolean and look up the character in a table).

918. Re: RS Pro-83 White noise problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-18 19:51:02 UTC
From: scott@mit.edu
Message-ID: <441c64a6$0$565$b45e6eb0@senator-bedfellow.mit.edu>
Source record: 9798

In article <ipko121k6flaarpet66amrqsf1n45penu0@4ax.com>,
Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote:
>On 18 Mar 2006 11:56:04 GMT, scott@mit.edu (Scott Ehrlich) wrote:
>
>>What else could I be missing? Has the radio become defective? It
>>was purchased new, out of the box.
>
>The squelch output (DC that gets higher as the detected noise gets
>higher) feeds 2 points - the computer, so it knows whether to scan or
>stop and an audio gate that cuts off the audio when there's no signal.
>It sounds as if either the audio gate is allowing signal through
>regardless of the state of the squelch output, or the squelch output
>isn't getting to the audio gate. How you can check that out without a
>schematic (RS schematics of recent products are rare) is the tough
>question.

Is there any other reasonable way to get around it?

Thanks.

Scott

919. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN/AARA/IARN CONDEMS K3VR AS HYPOCRITE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-18 22:39:59 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <3%%Sf.14432$S25.3036@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 9799
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1142653214.243760.110720@p10g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> Simple question from a simple mind.
> but as shown you refuse to answer it
>> Answer....It was addressed to him.
>
> again why should go to any troule to pick up such mail?
>
> you and I have any legal right to demand another person goes to any
> trouble to collect and read mail we send them
>
> I don't excercise any trouble to collect mail from addresses unknown to
> me, nor would I accept registered ail various persons known to me, such
> as yourself for example or Robeson for another
>
> so the question stand why should K1MAN assit those that wish to attack
> him?
>
> what you are suggesting is insanity
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

Same boring bullshit from you, get a life.

Dan/W4NTI

920. Re: YOUR BLEEDER K1MAN FILES FORMAL FELONY COMPLAINT AGAINST KZ8O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-18 22:41:37 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <B00Tf.14434$S25.5362@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 9800
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

I'm on the DX group. I'm back from no where. You have all decided to
invade us.

Dan/W4NTI

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1142649038.112348.205440@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> First off Dan, welcome back!! Anyway, I would really suggest you read
> the rules
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

921. Re: YOUR BLEEDER K1MAN FILES FORMAL FELONY COMPLAINT AGAINST KZ8O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-18 23:18:49 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1142723929.536844.309940@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

If one person continues to listen to his program, then it's an interest to someone in the amateur radio service. There is no real specification on how many people are require to listen to an information bulletin to deem it "an interest to the amateur radio service" and the courts would tell youhe samething. If even one person listens to it, it's an interest to someone in the service.

Todd N9OGL

922. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN/AARA/IARN CONDEMS K3VR AS HYPOCRITE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-19 01:04:48 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1142730288.877355.170960@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9702
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1142653214.243760.110720@p10g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> Simple question from a simple mind.
> > but as shown you refuse to answer it
> >> Answer....It was addressed to him.
> >
> > again why should go to any troule to pick up such mail?
> >
> > you and I have any legal right to demand another person goes to any
> > trouble to collect and read mail we send them
> >
> > I don't excercise any trouble to collect mail from addresses unknown to
> > me, nor would I accept registered ail various persons known to me, such
> > as yourself for example or Robeson for another
> >
> > so the question stand why should K1MAN assit those that wish to attack
> > him?
> >
> > what you are suggesting is insanity
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> Same boring bullshit from you, get a life.

meaning you refuse to answer and/or have no answer
>
> Dan/W4NTI

923. Scanner software for Pro-2054

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-19 03:05:01 UTC
From: Doug Davis <dougd@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <hqWdnRsPPbHaV4HZRVn-uw@buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 9703

Does anyone know of software that will work with the 2054 scanner from Radio Shack.

Z.

924. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN/AARA/IARN CONDEMS K3VR AS HYPOCRITE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-19 03:08:21 UTC
From: Doug Davis <dougd@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <ZcKdnXK2gNePVoHZnZ2dnUVZ_tidnZ2d@buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 9704
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Brian is a well-known stupid ass. I live about 3 blocks from him.

Z.

<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1142620015.134631.52720@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED060316A -
>
> K3VR IS A HYPOCRITE
>
> Old Brian holds himself out to be an A-1 amateur radio operator. But
> his reaction to a legitimate complaint* regarding criminal amateur
> radio interference by, for example, KZ8O (former ND8V), is not outrage
> against KZ8O but rather outrage against the station interfered with,
> K1MAN. Interesting! Brian has just totally destroyed his claimed
> amateur radio credibility.
>
> Brian is a staunch supporter of ARRL. KZ8O is a staunch supporter of
> ARRL. ARRL is silent on this issue. The FCC, so far, is silent on
> this issue. Do you see a pattern here? Interesting!
>
> It looks like Brian is not much of a ham after all. He is more
> interested in "nailing" K1MAN for something, anything, rather than FCC
> rules or United States law. Or, Brian is just plain stupid!
>
> Brian is not THAT stupid. He is simply acting like a spoiled kid in
> kindergarten. His juvenile emotions simply over ride his adult
> intelligence; often seen with kids in school. Get a grip, Brian!
> You usually make a total fool of yourself. ARRL and the FCC are not
> very far behind!
>
> 73 and GL de K1MAN
>

925. Re: Scanner software for Pro-2054

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-19 04:26:49 UTC
From: James Robinson <wascana@212.com>
Message-ID: <Xns978AEE8431519wascanamailcircuitco@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 9705

"Doug Davis" <dougd@buckeye-express.com> wrote:

> Does anyone know of software that will work with the 2054 scanner from
> Radio Shack.

Scancat lite:

http://www.scancat.com/scancat-lite-plus.html

926. Re: RS Pro-83 White noise problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-19 04:30:22 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <tcnp12lmuq3s4688bu0hmeksou8vl91u93@4ax.com>
Source record: 9706

On 18 Mar 2006 19:51:02 GMT, scott@mit.edu (Scott Ehrlich) wrote:

>Is there any other reasonable way to get around it?

You mean without the schematic? Experience and luck.

Start at the squelch control. Measure the top (clockwise end) with signal and with no signal. You should have either an increase in noise or an increase in DC with no signal. Follow the signal and see if it gets to the audio stages as a DC voltage. See if that voltage, when there's no signal, cuts off an audio stage. Somewhere along the line, you won't see what you expect. Open resistor? Shorted capacitor?

927. Re: YOUR BLEEDER K1MAN FILES FORMAL FELONY COMPLAINT AGAINST KZ8O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-19 05:19:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1142745540.426881.176710@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9707
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> I'm on the DX group. I'm back from no where. You have all decided to
> invade us.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
funny though I read the DX group and post there too . this is the first
thread I have seen you in at least a month perhaps 2

928. Re: Scanner software for Pro-2054

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-19 08:02:50 UTC
From: Doug Davis <dougd@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <IMCdnU-HGoOHjYDZnZ2dnUVZ_sCdnZ2d@buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 9708

"James Robinson" <wascana@212.com> wrote in message
news:Xns978AEE8431519wascanamailcircuitco@216.196.97.142...
> "Doug Davis" <dougd@buckeye-express.com> wrote:
>
>> Does anyone know of software that will work with the 2054 scanner from
>> Radio Shack.
>
> Scancat lite:
>
> http://www.scancat.com/scancat-lite-plus.html

929. Re: Scanner software for Pro-2054

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-19 08:03:37 UTC
From: Doug Davis <dougd@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <nPSdnaZxt9jfjYDZnZ2dnUVZ_vydnZ2d@buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 9709

I meant to say, Thank you :)

Z.

"James Robinson" <wascana@212.com> wrote in message
news:Xns978AEE8431519wascanamailcircuitco@216.196.97.142...
> "Doug Davis" <dougd@buckeye-express.com> wrote:
>
>> Does anyone know of software that will work with the 2054 scanner from
>> Radio Shack.
>
> Scancat lite:
>
> http://www.scancat.com/scancat-lite-plus.html

930. Re: decoders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-19 13:50:01 UTC
From: dogdog@noemail.com
Message-ID: <pan.2006.03.19.13.46.13.498774@noemail.com>
Source record: 9710

On Sat, 18 Mar 2006 12:24:14 +0000, Hans Muecke wrote:

> Samstag, 18. Mï¿½rz 2006 08:23 (US Central Time)
> dogdog@noemail.com wrote in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>> I'm looking for some fundamentals on writing software decoders
>> for signals. I've found alot of things for windows (scancat,mmtty
>> etc) however not alot for linux. So since I use linux I'd like
>> to get a discussion going on writing some command line decoders
>> that will take the input from my soundcard and output the characters
>> for something like ACARS, TTY etc.
>
> You might wanna drop the acars thing ... we already have it at
> www.acarsd.org.
>
> Talk to you later ... Hans from Germany

Will do on that Hans. I found the ACARS stuff and its nice. A little
more than I wanted but it has alot of features.
thanks
dogdog

931. Re: decoders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-19 13:50:54 UTC
From: dogdog@noemail.com
Message-ID: <pan.2006.03.19.13.47.06.819664@noemail.com>
Source record: 9711

On Sat, 18 Mar 2006 13:43:45 +0000, Al Klein wrote:

> On Sat, 18 Mar 2006 14:23:34 GMT, dogdog@noemail.com wrote:
>
>>to get a discussion going on writing some command line decoders
>>that will take the input from my soundcard and output the characters
>>for something like ACARS, TTY etc.
>
> Grab an old TTY decoder program and you'll see how it's done ...
> pretty trivial, really. The rough part (for me, at least, since I've
> never tried it) is getting the sound card to tell you which frequency
> it's hearing. But once you have the digital train decoding ASCII is
> no job at all (0100 0001 is upper case A - no "decoding" needed), and
> decoding Baudot isn't much more complicated (keep the current shift
> state in a boolean and look up the character in a table).

Have any web locations for older decoders with source? I've been
looking but cant find the source, realizing its mostly in
visual basic I think. But moving it over to something else shouldnt
be that hard.

TIA
derdogdog

932. air band only scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-19 14:07:44 UTC
From: dan yemiola <winstonsalemve@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dvjojo$fdej$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 9712

does anybody know if there is scanner that is optimized for AM or is an
Airband only?
108-136 MHz

933. F/S PRO 2006 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-19 14:41:39 UTC
From: abby8226 <itdoesnmatter@spam.com>
Message-ID: <e4eTf.118073$QW2.30337@dukeread08>
Source record: 9713
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

New in the box item. Never been opened up. Brand new! Once received as a
Christmas present. Forgot I had it and sat there 19 years.
Price is $900 . I will pay shipping as the shipping is expensive.

934. Custom squelch level for priority channel?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-19 15:27:00 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <2b87$441d7845$18d69d2b$16401@KNOLOGY.NET>
Source record: 9714

Are there any handheld scanners that do this? I'd like to be able to set a priority channel for our baby monitor, but I want much tighter squelch on it than when I'm doing general scanning (such that priority channel breaks squelch only when there's voice present, for example).

Thanks,
--
Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
http://hiwaay.net/~williams/
http://NewDietDewIsYucky.com/

935. Re: F/S PRO 2006 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-19 16:15:25 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <36ddc$441d839d$18d69d2b$22189@KNOLOGY.NET>
Source record: 9715
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

abby8226 wrote:
> New in the box item. Never been opened up. Brand new! Once received as a
> Christmas present. Forgot I had it and sat there 19 years.
> Price is $900 . I will pay shipping as the shipping is expensive.

I have a 2002 F-150 SuperCrew in great shape. Price is $125,000, and
I'll deliver it FREE anywhere in the continental United States. :)

Seriously, what do you actually want for the radio?
--
Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
http://hiwaay.net/~williams/
http://NewDietDewIsYucky.com/

936. Re: F/S PRO 2006 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-19 17:45:22 UTC
From: BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1e8762bb243f0dd3989e1d@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 9716
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <e4eTf.118073$QW2.30337@dukeread08>, itdoesnmatter@spam.com
says...
> New in the box item. Never been opened up. Brand new! Once received as a
> Christmas present. Forgot I had it and sat there 19 years.
> Price is $900 . I will pay shipping as the shipping is expensive.
>
>
>
>
>
>

LOL, you need to look on ebay and come back down to earth orbit.

You're really out there with that $900 bucks.

BDK

937. Re: Scanner software for Pro-2054

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-19 17:49:22 UTC
From: BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1e8763aacd4f9cbe989e1e@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 9717

In article <nPSdnaZxt9jfjYDZnZ2dnUVZ_vydnZ2d@buckeye-express.com>,
dougd@buckeye-express.com says...
> I meant to say, Thank you :)
>
> Z.
>
>
> "James Robinson" <wascana@212.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns978AEE8431519wascanamailcircuitco@216.196.97.142...
> > "Doug Davis" <dougd@buckeye-express.com> wrote:
> >
> >> Does anyone know of software that will work with the 2054 scanner from
> >> Radio Shack.
> >
> > Scancat lite:
> >
> > http://www.scancat.com/scancat-lite-plus.html
>
>
>

If there's a demo for Scancat, make sure you try it out first. IMO, it's
nearly worthless.

BDK

938. Re: air band only scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-19 18:35:49 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8f-dnaw5AIkbOYDZnZ2dnUVZ_uudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9718

Check what Icom has to offer.

Bill Crocker

"dan yemiola" <winstonsalemve@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:dvjojo$fdej$1@news3.infoave.net...
> does anybody know if there is scanner that is optimized for AM or is an
> Airband only?
> 108-136 MHz
>

939. PRO-2055 On-Sale, $50.00 Off...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-19 18:40:46 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <YomdnesVwbEzOIDZRVn-qw@comcast.com>
Source record: 9719

Radio Shack has the PRO-2055 (Cat #20-428) Scanner on sale. Regular
229.99 -$50.00 = $179.99/ea.

http://tinyurl.com/ojxwd

Bill Crocker

940. Re: F/S PRO 2006 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-19 19:41:32 UTC
From: "Homer.Simpson" <Homer.Simpson@SpringfieldBB.com.INVALID>
Message-ID: <Xns978B957574239nope@204.153.244.170>
Source record: 9720
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

abby8226 said

> New in the box item. Never been opened up. Brand new! Once
> received as a Christmas present. Forgot I had it and sat there 19
> years. Price is $900 . I will pay shipping as the shipping is
> expensive.

Will you take a trade for my Commodore 64?

941. Re: pro99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-19 20:35:47 UTC
From: Ken Taylor <ken123@xxxxtra.co.nz>
Message-ID: <EgjTf.7174$JZ1.253613@news.xtra.co.nz>
Source record: 9721

Me wrote:
> yes checked that and it still will not scan - nothing locked out
> all programmed right
> we used it at charlotte where we bought it after the clerk programmed
> it when we bought it and it did not work right then
>
>
And you bought it anyway?? If it's under warranty take it back.

Cheers.

Ken

942. Re: decoders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-20 00:02:42 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <3upr12l5lgfdnuudid4vafeksql95n2ddh@4ax.com>
Source record: 9722

On Sun, 19 Mar 2006 13:50:54 GMT, dogdog@noemail.com wrote:

>Have any web locations for older decoders with source? I've been
>looking but cant find the source, realizing its mostly in
>visual basic I think. But moving it over to something else shouldnt
>be that hard.

Most of what I was thinking of was written in 8080 assembly. :) I doubt that I could find my old 8" floppies or, even if I could, if I could find anything that could read them.

But here's the program in English - translate it to whatever language you need:

For ASCII, input the bits, starting with the start bit. Keep the next 8 bits. Assemble them into a byte. You're done - that's the character.

Oh, there's a stop bit - either use it as a verification bit - if it's missing, skip the character because something's wrong - or throw it away.

You can find a bit-banger routine here: <http://www.ganssle.com/articles/auart.htm> - look at Cin. BITTIM and HALFBT are only needed if you don't have a timer with fine granularity - a few microseconds would be good.

For Baudot (or Gray or Murray code), it's 5 bits (and the first bit is 1-1/2 bit times or 2 bit times long - depending on whose Teletype machine is transmitting - Teletype corporation made them both ways, depending on the customer - so you might want to give the user the choice of 1-1/2 or 2 stop bits). Grab the next *5* bits, assemble them into a right-justified byte (the left 3 bits are 0) (stop bit here too - same as for ASCII) - the bit banger will work here too - look to see if you're in Figs or Ltrs and look it up in the appropriate table. If it's all 5 bits (31), it's Ltrs, so set your shift indicator to Ltrs. If it's Figs (27) set your shift indicator to Figs. (Ltrs and Figs are transmitted characters.) Give the user the choice of "Unshift on space". If it's chosen, and the character is a space (4), convert to 32 (ASCII for space) and set the shift indicator for Ltrs. If not, just convert to 32.

You can find the entire Baudot chart at <http://home.austin.rr.com/kinghome/signpage/baudot.html>.

Oh - if you're in Ltrs shift and receive Ltrs, that's normal. Old TTY operators would "diddle" the Ltrs key, to let you know that they were

thinking of what to say. Those of us who used real Teletype machines back in prehistoric times still do that. And, when using paper tape, punching Ltrs erased any error, so if someone is transmitting an old tape, you could get a string of Ltrs..

Now, as far as what happens between the Line In jack and the program, you're on your own - I've never done sound card programming.

943. Re: Custom squelch level for priority channel?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-20 00:06:24 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <2asr129dfbamgoqnb6hprnq45ln0v7grbs@4ax.com>
Source record: 9723

On Sun, 19 Mar 2006 09:27:00 -0600, Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
wrote:

>Are there any handheld scanners that do this? I'd like to be able to
>set a priority channel for our baby monitor, but I want much tighter
>squelch on it than when I'm doing general scanning (such that priority
>channel breaks squelch only when there's voice present, for example).

Squelch has nothing to do with voice - it's based on carrier level. No
matter how tight you set the squelch, you'll have one of two
conditions - either the scanner stops at the frequency every scan or
it never stops at it, depending on the signal strength needed to open
that squelch setting and the strength of the monitor signal.

You want a baby monitor transmitter with VOX - it only transmits if
there's voice (or other noise). That's probably something you'd have
to do yourself - modify an existing monitor transmitter.

944. FA Icom R3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-20 00:34:09 UTC
From: Jim <none@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <5MmTf.1993$yo1.180@trndny09>
Source record: 9724

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=9701017152&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1

945. Re: Custom squelch level for priority channel?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-20 00:42:50 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <d5947$441dfa8a$18d69d2b$29616@KNOLOGY.NET>
Source record: 9725

Al Klein wrote:
> On Sun, 19 Mar 2006 09:27:00 -0600, Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>Are there any handheld scanners that do this? I'd like to be able to
>>set a priority channel for our baby monitor, but I want much tighter
>>squelch on it than when I'm doing general scanning (such that priority
>>channel breaks squelch only when there's voice present, for example).
>
>
> Squelch has nothing to do with voice - it's based on carrier level. No
> matter how tight you set the squelch, you'll have one of two
> conditions - either the scanner stops at the frequency every scan or
> it never stops at it, depending on the signal strength needed to open
> that squelch setting and the strength of the monitor signal.
>
> You want a baby monitor transmitter with VOX - it only transmits if
> there's voice (or other noise). That's probably something you'd have
> to do yourself - modify an existing monitor transmitter.

Doh! That makes sense, now that I think about my experiences scanning
(stopping on what are obviously keyed-up mikes and what-not). Thanks
for taking the time to reply and explain.
--
Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
http://hiwaay.net/~williams/
http://NewDietDewIsYucky.com/

946. Re: F/S PRO 2006 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-20 00:50:37 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1142815770.804927@www.vif.com>
Source record: 9726
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

WHAT FUCK YOU BEEN SMOKING DIPSHIT!

give you 9 cents for that peace of radio shack crap

abby8226 wrote:
> New in the box item. Never been opened up. Brand new! Once received as a
> Christmas present. Forgot I had it and sat there 19 years.
> Price is $900 . I will pay shipping as the shipping is expensive.
>
>
>
>
>

--

947. Re: F/S PRO 2006 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-20 01:18:10 UTC
From: BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1e87ccda94c6ee92989e22@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 9727
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <1142815770.804927@www.vif.com>, root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com
says...
> WHAT FUCK YOU BEEN SMOKING DIPSHIT!
>
> give you 9 cents for that peace of radio shack crap
>
> abby8226 wrote:
> > New in the box item. Never been opened up. Brand new! Once received as a
> > Christmas present. Forgot I had it and sat there 19 years.
> > Price is $900 . I will pay shipping as the shipping is expensive.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

LOL, what is your deal with Radio Shack scanners?

The 2006 was king back in the cell days and is still a decent non-
trunking scanner, so what's the deal?

BDK

948. Re: F/S PRO 2006 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-20 02:49:18 UTC
From: "Homer.Simpson" <Homer.Simpson@SpringfieldBB.com.INVALID>
Message-ID: <Xns978BDDFC2B6C5nope@204.153.244.170>
Source record: 9728
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

news.vif.com said

> WHAT FUCK YOU BEEN SMOKING DIPSHIT!
>
> give you 9 cents for that peace of radio shack crap

LOL, It a good scanner for what it does. It's worth $125 to $200 on fleabay. If you get any for 9 cents send 'em my way. I'll pay shipping.

> abby8226 wrote:
>> New in the box item. Never been opened up. Brand new! Once
>> received as a Christmas present. Forgot I had it and sat there
>> 19 years. Price is $900 . I will pay shipping as the shipping is
>> expensive.

949. Re: Pro 97 volume problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-20 03:32:24 UTC
From: Allen Crawford <acrawford5@hot.rr.com>
Message-ID: <cnpTf.4009$uX5.3180@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 9729

"Dan" <noemail@forspambots.com> wrote in message
news:8dcn12hque6in57u4asohmk5k6ao3offro@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 28 Feb 2006 23:56:58 GMT, "Allen Crawford"
> <acrawford5@hot.rr.com> wrote:
>
> >I recently purchased a pro97 scanner in near new condition over the
internet
> >through ebay. The item was
> >listed as having very low audio such as one has to place the speaker next
to
> >his ear to hear the broadcast so I took a chance and bought it for 45
> >dollars. I got it in yesterday and it is totally new! packaging, all
> >accessories, books and not a scratch on it. Well. I unpack it and
install
> >freshly charged (new) batteries and
> >I go to playing with it..first..a weather channel..sure enough, very low
> >volume even when cranked to the fullest
> >it is still at the same volume level. I went ahead and took out the four
> >back cover screws and examined the area
> >where the volume pot is soldered and took some readings. center tap is
> >about 2.1kohm and 200 k ohm on the non grounded side. (this is without
power
> >applied)
> >in the area of the volume/squelch pot, are 3 tiny pots
> >and 3 test points...(this is all from memory as the scanner
> >is closed up now). I was hoping for a misplaced speaker magnet or
something
> >simpler and the circuits are ungodly
> >miniature and even the audio amp chip is about the size of an op amp on
most
> >regular size circuits. The doggone thing is so new looking, that it may
be
> >swapped with a
> >newer one from radio shack (by swapping backplates) but I don't dare
attempt
> >the dishonest. Anyway, any suggestions to resolve my dillemma...could it
be
> >possible that I have a defective volume pot? No amount of regulation
seems
> >to affect the audio at all. The channel
> >beep come through loud and clear but it seems that either
> >the preamp from the radio is set too low or the pot is defective/soldered
> >wrong. Of course, the possibility of
> >a bad IC has crossed my mind as well. Any suggestions?

> >No warranty so all I have is either a repairman or my good wits and some
> >knowledge to help correct the problem. Btw, the sqelch seems to work OK.
> >
> > Your input would be greally appreciated,
> >
> > Allen
> >
>
>
> Allen, I had a PRO-96 that had the *exact* same problem... I'm not
> sure how similar it is to the PRO-97, so the following may or may not
> be of any use... but might be worth a try, or may give you some
> ideas...
>
> Have a look at the picture at:
> http://www.ninemoons.com/~daf/images/PRO-96.jpg
>
> After trying all the obvious stuff, I found that very gently pushing
> down on the edge of the circuit board (with a non-metallic object :-)
> in the area outlined in red that the volome would increase
> tremendously. It was quite touchy, and seemed to be fairly localized
> to that general area. Volume would always return to it's previously
> "barely audible" state when pressure was released. I suspected a
> micro-crack in a solder joint or possibly one of the "plated-through"
> holes. A "cold" solder joint was another possibility...
>
> I removed the board and inspected both sides very carefully in that
> area with the highest power magnifier I had on hand (an eyepiece from
> a microscope...:-) Visual inspection didn't reveal anything, so I put
> the board back in and tried it again with the exact same results.
> This went on about 4 times until I finally got disgusted and drug out
> my trusty soldering iron!
>
> Having done a lot of bench work, I have a soldering iron with a tip
> about the size of a ball-point pin. I wouldn't recommend doing this
> with anything bigger, as it is very easy to overheat these SMT
> components.
>
> Using some high power reading glasses, I very briefly heated each of
> the solder joints "to melting" in the general area outlined in red,
> using no extra solder. (Very easy to use too much on these tiny pads!)
>
> I did add very minute amounts of solder to some of the plated-through
> holes until I could tell from both sides they were "soldered-through"
>
> After putting things back together - VOILA! Normal sound levels!
>
> The final test was when I could get no changes in the (now normal)
> volume by pushing down in area that had been so touchy before.
>

> Hope this helps...
>
> -Dan
> ------
>
> Disclaimer! I'm NOT responsible if you muck things up worse!!

Thanks Dan,

I have since got a pro2051 with missing speaker and ribbon cables and
which I since managed to revive. My wife has since kidnapped the 2051 and
this gives me some incentive to return to the PRO 97 project. Thanks for the
tidbit of NFO and I will report back if things get
better. FYI...I have already changed out 2 caps with the
same capacitance but with a slightly higher volt rating. I
was about to vye for a replacement pot. (squelch/vol)
Your solution does sound compelling...I'll investigate.

Thanks again and regards,

Allen

950. Re: F/S PRO 2006 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-20 03:57:56 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <8LpTf.3108$J43.1011@edtnps90>
Source record: 9730
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Homer.Simpson wrote:

> abby8226 said
>
>> New in the box item. Never been opened up. Brand new! Once
>> received as a Christmas present. Forgot I had it and sat there 19
>> years. Price is $900 . I will pay shipping as the shipping is
>> expensive.
>
> Will you take a trade for my Commodore 64?

How about if I pay you $3900 for the 64 and then you can buy the
scanner. I really want the 64, so it's a win-win situation.

mike

951. Florida Mil Acft Comms - Sunday 19 Mar 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-20 08:13:57 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1142842437.749294.293040@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9731

Patrick AFB Area Log - Sunday 19 Mar 2006

6E 291: T-34C, Whiting Field VT-6
11:43am: Lands Patrick.
2:10pm: Departs Patrick.
[133.75, 281.425, 307.0]

2E 497: T-34C, Whiting Field
12:45pm: Departs Orlando Executive A/p to Gulfport, with delay for low approach at the Shuttle Landing Facility.
[255.4, 133.8]

DOG 01: F/A-18E, Oceana VFA-143
1:56pm: En route to NAS Key West.
[307.1, 281.5, 306.9]

CUTLASS 42: T-37B, Columbus 14FTW 41FTS
3:02pm: Area transition.
[317.6]

USCG AUX 735RP (N735RP), CE-182Q Cessna.
4:03pm: Security overflight of Port Canaveral.
[157.15]

BOBCAT 54: T-45A, Meridian NAS
4:13pm: Area transition.
[317.6]

DOG 11: F/A-18E, Oceana VFA-143
4:35pm: En route to NAS Oceana.
[285.5, 346.25, 313.7 (Sealord)]

ARMY 053: UC-35B #00-01053, Andrews AFB
7:10pm: Lands; RONs?
[133.75]

REACH 805S: C-17, Charleston AFB 437AW
7:30pm: Lands Patrick AFB.
7:45pm: Departs to Homestead ARB.
8:57pm: Inbound MacDill AFB for ERO of 16 pax.
[133.75, 132.65, 383.0, 311.0]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KTTS)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)

Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

952. Florida Mil Acft Comms - Sunday 19 Mar 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-20 08:14:39 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1142842479.050579.81510@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9732

Patrick AFB Area Log - Sunday 19 Mar 2006

6E 291: T-34C, Whiting Field VT-6
11:43am: Lands Patrick.
2:10pm: Departs Patrick.
[133.75, 281.425, 307.0]

2E 497: T-34C, Whiting Field
12:45pm: Departs Orlando Executive A/p to Gulfport, with delay for low approach at the Shuttle Landing Facility.
[255.4, 133.8]

DOG 01: F/A-18E, Oceana VFA-143
1:56pm: En route to NAS Key West.
[307.1, 281.5, 306.9]

CUTLASS 42: T-37B, Columbus 14FTW 41FTS
3:02pm: Area transition.
[317.6]

USCG AUX 735RP (N735RP), CE-182Q Cessna.
4:03pm: Security overflight of Port Canaveral.
[157.15]

BOBCAT 54: T-45A, Meridian NAS
4:13pm: Area transition.
[317.6]

DOG 11: F/A-18E, Oceana VFA-143
4:35pm: En route to NAS Oceana.
[285.5, 346.25, 313.7 (Sealord)]

ARMY 053: UC-35B #00-01053, Andrews AFB
7:10pm: Lands; RONs?
[133.75]

REACH 805S: C-17, Charleston AFB 437AW
7:30pm: Lands Patrick AFB.
7:45pm: Departs to Homestead ARB.
8:57pm: Inbound MacDill AFB for ERO of 16 pax.
[133.75, 132.65, 383.0, 311.0]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KTTS)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)

Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

953. Formula 1 at Indy Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-20 16:05:43 UTC
From: Cody Dawg <bfogelstrom@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <spATf.78$366.47@fe06.lga>
Source record: 9733

OK, I admit to being a newbie at this, but... does anyone know if there are any team radios in the clear for the F1 even at Indy this year and what the frequencies are? TIA

954. Re: What scanner to monitor PD, etc in Bloomington, MN & Minneapolis?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-20 18:38:17 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <488b4qFimt1aU1@individual.net>
Source record: 9734

<walleyedude@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1142577101.985215.222710@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> Thanks guys!
>
> I know my older bearcat won't work on cops now but what ones could I
> get, not sure if I'll get portable or a home based scanner.
>
> Thanks
>
Depends if they went digital like they did in the north metro. Otherwise any
truncktracker should work.

955. Re: pro99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-20 20:15:48 UTC
From: Him <me@juno.com>
Message-ID: <8a3u12t7od3usvvths0in8upfvhg6va7dl@4ax.com>
Source record: 9735

great little radio - i now have it to scan but it does not show the
car number (oh well so much for impulse buy)

On Mon, 20 Mar 2006 08:35:47 +1200, Ken Taylor <ken123@xxxxtra.co.nz>
wrote:

>Me wrote:
>> yes checked that and it still will not scan - nothing locked out
>> all programmed right
>> we used it at charlotte where we bought it after the clerk programmed
>> it when we bought it and it did not work right then
>>
>>
>And you bought it anyway?? If it's under warranty take it back.
>
>Cheers.
>
>Ken

956. Re: F/S PRO 2006 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-21 02:08:32 UTC
From: "Homer.Simpson" <Homer.Simpson@SpringfieldBB.com.INVALID>
Message-ID: <Xns978CD712D97ADnope@204.153.244.170>
Source record: 9736
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

m II said

> Homer.Simpson wrote:
>
>> abby8226 said
>>
>>> New in the box item. Never been opened up. Brand new! Once
>>> received as a Christmas present. Forgot I had it and sat there 19
>>> years. Price is $900 . I will pay shipping as the shipping is
>>> expensive.
>>
>> Will you take a trade for my Commodore 64?
>
>
> How about if I pay you $3900 for the 64 and then you can buy the
> scanner. I really want the 64, so it's a win-win situation.

Oh, by the way. I also have a tape drive for the Commodore. I'll
let it go for $6400. This is well under it's true value but I
consider you a friend. Hey, what are friends for? ;-)

957. Re: F/S PRO 2006 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-21 02:27:55 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <149d$441f64ac$18d69d2b$11772@KNOLOGY.NET>
Source record: 9737
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Homer.Simpson wrote:
> m II said
>
>
>>Homer.Simpson wrote:
>>
>>
>>>abby8226 said
>>>
>>>
>>>>New in the box item. Never been opened up. Brand new! Once
>>>>received as a Christmas present. Forgot I had it and sat there 19
>>>>years. Price is $900 . I will pay shipping as the shipping is
>>>>expensive.
>>>
>>>Will you take a trade for my Commodore 64?
>>
>>
>>How about if I pay you $3900 for the 64 and then you can buy the
>>scanner. I really want the 64, so it's a win-win situation.
>
>
> Oh, by the way. I also have a tape drive for the Commodore. I'll
> let it go for $6400. This is well under it's true value but I
> consider you a friend. Hey, what are friends for? ;-)

I couldn't go a penny under $9000 for the tape drive and the 64, but
I'll need you to buy my truck at the same time. Super discount price
for a friend, though, at $109,995. Also have a 2000 sq. ft.
single-story 4-bedroom brick home for sale, 5 years old. It's listed at
$924,000, but you get the friend price of $849,000. PayPal, money
order, cashier's checks accepted; personal checks accepted but must
clear first.

You're welcome. Just doing a friend a favor.
--
Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
http://hiwaay.net/~williams/
http://NewDietDewIsYucky.com/

958. Re: F/S PRO 2006 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-21 05:39:41 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <xkMTf.40575$_S7.9669@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 9738
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I take it this house ISN'T in San Jose. Not for that bargain price!

"Bo Williams" <williams@hiwaay.net> wrote in message
news:149d$441f64ac$18d69d2b$11772@KNOLOGY.NET...
> Homer.Simpson wrote:
> > m II said
> >
> >
> >>Homer.Simpson wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>abby8226 said
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>New in the box item. Never been opened up. Brand new! Once
> >>>received as a Christmas present. Forgot I had it and sat there 19
> >>>years. Price is $900 . I will pay shipping as the shipping is
> >>>expensive.
> >>>
> >>>Will you take a trade for my Commodore 64?
> >>
> >>
> >>How about if I pay you $3900 for the 64 and then you can buy the
> >>scanner. I really want the 64, so it's a win-win situation.
> >
> >
> > Oh, by the way. I also have a tape drive for the Commodore. I'll
> > let it go for $6400. This is well under it's true value but I
> > consider you a friend. Hey, what are friends for? ;-)
>
> I couldn't go a penny under $9000 for the tape drive and the 64, but
> I'll need you to buy my truck at the same time. Super discount price
> for a friend, though, at $109,995. Also have a 2000 sq. ft.
> single-story 4-bedroom brick home for sale, 5 years old. It's listed at
> $924,000, but you get the friend price of $849,000. PayPal, money
> order, cashier's checks accepted; personal checks accepted but must
> clear first.
>
> You're welcome. Just doing a friend a favor.
> --
> Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net
> http://hiwaay.net/~williams/
> http://NewDietDewIsYucky.com/

959. Re: RS Pro-83 White noise problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-21 06:31:18 UTC
From: DanOfWA <danofwa@nventure.com>
Message-ID: <441F9DB6.70209@nventure.com>
Source record: 9739

Scott Ehrlich wrote:

> I have had a Pro-83 since last Summer (2005) and really like it. It
> usually lives in the car when I am driving, and is subject to New
> England's seasons.
>
> Over the summer, it behaved fine.
>
> This winter, though, even though the season has been rather mild, the
> radio has started to act like the squelch is open full time, with
> constant white noise. The scanner function properly continues to
> search for active frequencies as does the signal stalker. If the
> squelch was open, the scanner and signal stalker functions would
> obviously be sitting on a frequency and not move.
>
> I've tried playing with both the squelch and volume knobs, but no
> change from squelch, though the volume does help "squelch" some of the
> headache I can start to develop.
>
> This white noise problem occurs regardless of whether I use
> rechargable batteries or the DC adapter, and regardless of use of the
> radio in the house or in the car.
>
> The white noise has been known to subside after some time. But I ask
> readers if they have also experienced this? If so, what could be
> causing it? It is NOT automobile interference because the scanner
> does come into the house at times and present the same problem. It
> would be difficult to believe it is squelch since the rest of the
> functions behave as if the squelch _is_ working properly.
>
> What else could I be missing? Has the radio become defective? It
> was purchased new, out of the box.
>
> Thanks for any ideas/leads/hints.
>
> Scott
Scott Ehrlich wrote:
> I have had a Pro-83 since last Summer (2005) and really like it. It
> usually lives in the car when I am driving, and is subject to New
> England's seasons.
>
> Over the summer, it behaved fine.
>
> This winter, though, even though the season has been rather mild, the

> radio has started to act like the squelch is open full time, with
> constant white noise. The scanner function properly continues to
> search for active frequencies as does the signal stalker. If the
> squelch was open, the scanner and signal stalker functions would
> obviously be sitting on a frequency and not move.
>
> I've tried playing with both the squelch and volume knobs, but no
> change from squelch, though the volume does help "squelch" some of the
> headache I can start to develop.
>
> This white noise problem occurs regardless of whether I use
> rechargable batteries or the DC adapter, and regardless of use of the
> radio in the house or in the car.
>
> The white noise has been known to subside after some time. But I ask
> readers if they have also experienced this? If so, what could be
> causing it? It is NOT automobile interference because the scanner
> does come into the house at times and present the same problem. It
> would be difficult to believe it is squelch since the rest of the
> functions behave as if the squelch _is_ working properly.
>
> What else could I be missing? Has the radio become defective? It
> was purchased new, out of the box.
>
> Thanks for any ideas/leads/hints.
>
> Scott
here is a wierd thing to try....it just came to me
(mostly because it happened to me on a portable radio)
I live in the damp state of WA and I use to leave a radio on the seat
24/7... I started getting a hissing noise that I couldn't get rid of
and being a tad electronicly minded I took it appart to see if I could
find the problem.

Finally did (after 2 hrs) a bit of moisture had condensed inside the
radio and had caused a bit of short to one of the traces leading to the
speaker..after the moisture had departed (evaportated) it had left
minute trace of some mineral that allowed current to flow causing the hiss

After I dug out my isopropal alcohol and cleaned it up a bit (solder
traces and joints and board alll nice and clean again) the noise stopped

I don't know if that would be your problem but in a "mild" New England
weather I would expect instead of lots of very cold.. a lot of moisture
instead. With the posiblity of dust inside the unit (impossible to
avoid even in 'sealed' units it could happen

don't know if it is likely.. but ever since I had a similar problem
I always check for the simple solutions first before breaking out test
equipment

Again.....just a thot

--

NOTE: This email does not and is not to be considered as evidence of, creation of nor proof of an existing business relationship between myself and any other party and shall supercede any existent policy concerning formation or continuation of a business relationship the other party to this email has when communicating with me. The sole determination of existing, creation or proof of business relationship shall be determined a positive statement of creating said relationship in the content of the email originating FROM my computer and NOT that of the other parties computer, system or network.

==========
NOTICE TO BULK/COMMERICAL E-MAILERS:
==========

Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, p.227, any and all unsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500 US.

Anyone who sends unsolicited commercial e-mail to this account will be charged a $500 processing fee. Viewing this mail will be considered official notification and acceptance of these conditions.

Failure to abide by this will result in legal action.

RCW 19.190.020
Unpermitted or misleading electronic mail -- Prohibition.
(1) No person may initiate the transmission, conspire with another to initiate the transmission, or assist the transmission, of a commercial electronic mail message from a computer located in Washington or to an electronic mail address that the sender knows, or has reason to know, is held by a Washington resident that:
(a) Uses a third party's internet domain name without permission of the third party, or otherwise misrepresents or obscures any information in identifying the point of origin or the transmission path of a commercial electronic mail message; or
(b) Contains false or misleading information in the subject line.

RCW 19.190.040
Violations -- Damages.
(1) Damages to the recipient of a commercial electronic mail message sent in violation of this chapter are five hundred dollars, or actual damages, whichever is greater.

960. FRS/GMRS robot transmission?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-21 16:55:42 UTC
From: Teenagedeathboy <jediknightspeeder@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1142960142.025329.222670@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9740

Last night at about 8 pm pst I was scanning using the "signal Stalker"
feature on my pro 97 handheld scanner and picked up what sounded like
an automated voice on several FRS channels. I wasent aware of any
automated services on FRS/GMRS. DOes anyone know what this would be? It

kept repeating, "Alpha Sierra Papa" and then the frequency.

Reno/Sparks area, Nevada.

961. Re: Formula 1 at Indy Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-22 00:41:15 UTC
From: Don Del Grande <del_grande_news@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4571221jctb5ibsilad1g9i70ui1uvtp9q@4ax.com>
Source record: 9741

Cody Dawg wrote:

>OK, I admit to being a newbie at this, but... does anyone know if there are
>any team radios in the clear for the F1 even at Indy this year and what the
>frequencies are?

Considering how cutthroat F1 racing is, I would say that no team is
about to take the risk of having a clear frequency available for other
teams to listen.
(Supposedly, teams have been scrambling communications since 1998,
after an incident in Spain in 1997 involving Williams and Ferrari.)

-- Don

962. Mil Aircraft Comms - Tues 21 Mar 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-22 06:47:07 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1143010026.958914.241820@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9742

Patrick AFB Area Log - Tues 21 Mar 2006

LIFTER 35: C-17A, Charleston 437AW
9:15am: Touch-and-goes at Patrick AFB.
[133.75]

LIMA LIMA 07: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-30 "Pro's Nest"
9:00am: Pattern work at Patrick AFB; RTB NAS Jax.
[133.75, 132.65, 124.8, 134.0; 343.5 Jax]

DOS 271: UN-1, US State Dept, Patrick AFB
10:00am: Touch-and-goes at Patrick AFB.
[133.75]

MADFOX 06: P-3C
11:10am: Pattern work at Patrick AFB.
[269.375]

CG AUX VESSEL 048
11:27am: Comms with CG Sta Port Canaveral: Northbound on Banana River.
[156.8, 157.175]

UNID CBP ACFT
12:15pm: "Near Orlando."
[282.425]

N200U: C-90A #LJ1389, Ohio University.
12:19pm: Comms with ground station "MRC" while orbiting over KSC in
racetrack pattern, announcing waypoints, like "Echo Waypoint";
mentioned "Launch and arm." Also comms with another ground position on
123.175 re equipment (non-aircraft) problems.
[126.65, 123.175]

JSTARS
1:35pm: Comms heard, pointing out objects on a rnwy.
[276.075, 281.475, 276.075]

QUID 99: KC-135R, Mildenhall AB, UK
2:08pm: Inbound MacDill AFB with 8 Space-A, 7 crew.
[311.0]

NAVY BK 212: EP-3E?, Whidbey
2:58pm: Area transition.

MAKO 11: F-16 Flight, Homestead ARB 482FW 93FS
3:29pm: To Pinecastle Range R-2907 (Lake George Targets); attempted
ctct on Pinecastle obsolete freq 380.8.

[285.5, 267.5 (Sealord), 225.35, 349.0, 380.8, 138.125 (Air-air)]

BRONCO 01: OV-10D, US State Dept, Patrick AFB
3:37pm: Inbound Patrick AFB.
[133.75]

SPAR 44: VIP Transport.
3:56pm: Inbound MacDill AFB.
[311.0]

SHARK 21: F-16 Flight, Homestead ARB 482FW 93FS
4:16pm: Area transition.
[346.25, 273.55, 281.5, 349.0]

JOLLY 51: HH-60G PAve Hawk Helo.
4:55pm: Lands at Patrick AFB.
[133.75]

LIMA LIMA 50: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-30 "Pro's Nest" Sqdn
4:52pm; Pattern work at Patrick AFB.
[133.75]

UNID HELOs
5:03pm: Helos pilots reminiscing about their work here during the Florida wildfires of 1998, and how busy they expect to be here for this fire season. "We're gonna be here a long time now."
[123.025]

KING 64: C-130
5:12pm: Ground comms with Rescue Ops.
5:30pm: Departs Patrick for Avon Park Bombing Range.
9:10pm: Arrives back at Patrick.
[133.75, 132.65, 321.0, 292.2]

CHECK 61: F/A-18, Beaufort VMFA-312 "Checkerboards"
5:18pm: Southbound; mention Homestead.

UNID
5:35pm: Comms.
[314.05]

OAKGROVE
7:00pm: 0000z Time Hack
[364.2]

POISON 91: T-38C, Columbus AFB 14FTW
7:21pm: Area transition.
[360.7, 317.525]

JOSA 019: C-21A #84-0077, Andrews AFB 375AW
7:50pm: Inbound MacDill with DV-4, DV-5.
[372.2]

MAKO 11: F-16C, Homestead ARB 482FW 93FS
8:12pm: Single acft with SHARK flight in trail; inbound Avon Park Bombing Range.
[307.1]

SHARK 21: F-16C Flight, Homestead ARB 482FW 93FS
8:50pm: Working Avon Park Bombing Range; buddy-lasing targets.
[292.2. 141.9]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KTTS)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

963. Re: FRS/GMRS robot transmission?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-22 12:00:34 UTC
From: John <jlwsecure-usenet5@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns978E475AEA232jlwsecure@207.115.17.102>
Source record: 9743

"Teenagedeathboy" <jediknightspeeder@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1142960142.025329.222670@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:

> Last night at about 8 pm pst I was scanning using the "signal Stalker"
> feature on my pro 97 handheld scanner and picked up what sounded like
> an automated voice on several FRS channels. I wasent aware of any
> automated services on FRS/GMRS. DOes anyone know what this would be? It
>
> kept repeating, "Alpha Sierra Papa" and then the frequency.
>

Probably heard on channels 15-22 right?

GMRS is a license-required service that permits repeater operation.
Automated systems will sometimes give the call sign of the system. In this
case it sounds more like some sort of test beacon. "Beacon" transmissions
on GMRS are forbidden by regulations, as this violates the "not for
exclusive use" provisions of the regulations.

More GMRS info here:
http://www.geocities.com/gmrspage/

964. Re: Formula 1 at Indy Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-22 23:37:08 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <EclUf.16495$814.13280@newsfe5-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 9744

no surprisingly they are not.

there is a group i think on yahoo with the listing

i'll look see if i remember

mike

"Don Del Grande" <del_grande_news@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:4571221jctb5ibsilad1g9i70ui1uvtp9q@4ax.com...
> Cody Dawg wrote:
>
>>OK, I admit to being a newbie at this, but... does anyone know if there
>>are
>>any team radios in the clear for the F1 even at Indy this year and what
>>the
>>frequencies are?
>
> Considering how cutthroat F1 racing is, I would say that no team is
> about to take the risk of having a clear frequency available for other
> teams to listen.
> (Supposedly, teams have been scrambling communications since 1998,
> after an incident in Spain in 1997 involving Williams and Ferrari.)
>
> -- Don

965. Re: FRS/GMRS robot transmission?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-23 00:16:00 UTC
From: Teenagedeathboy <jediknightspeeder@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1143072960.053040.15500@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9745

Actually it was channels 1,2 and 11, thats what really confuses me. I looked it up and there are no rules agianst repeaters on FRS, so I guess its possible someone has set up one in my area.

966. Re: FRS/GMRS robot transmission?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-23 00:16:05 UTC
From: Teenagedeathboy <jediknightspeeder@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1143072965.025032.314740@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9746

Actually it was channels 1,2 and 11, thats what really confuses me. I looked it up and there are no rules agianst repeaters on FRS, so I guess its possible someone has set up one in my area.

967. Pro-96 no longer for sale at Radio Shack?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-23 08:47:16 UTC
From: Dan <noemail@forspambots.com>
Message-ID: <4on422dvga9fbf5gkbguajhi973q7s09bq@4ax.com>
Source record: 9747

I just noticed the Pro-96 is no longer available on the radioshack.com website, even though the 2096 is still listed. (I don't visit the website often, so have no idea how long it has been gone.)

Anybody know why it is no longer listed? I thought it was advertised as their "flagship" scanner. (At least the price certainly reflected that!)

Does it have some problems as I've seen a few others in the NG suggest? Hard to believe that it would be "obsoleted" yet...

-Dan

968. Pro-2042/O535 Samsung 690 + MORE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-23 17:59:44 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <6do522dep3p34vh2bte9q1q4sja78an7id@4ax.com>
Source record: 9748

PC scanning combo , both in EXCELLENT condition.

Pro-2042 with the Opto 535 installed.

Samsung Sens 690 Laptop. Win XP , Probe , Scancat Gold "SE" , etc.

I am asking $700/BO or possibly trades and cash.

also have a UBC 780xlt in EXCELLENT condition (Both US and European BANDPLANS) $350 / BO Might consider trades , depends.

Alpha Numeric a must and pc control on any trades considered.

Thanks for looking , Tom (aka ScanDaBands on Radio Reference and Stong Signals)

969. Re: Pro-96 no longer for sale at Radio Shack?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-23 20:35:41 UTC
From: Ken Taylor <ken123@xxxxtra.co.nz>
Message-ID: <zEDUf.8199$JZ1.298046@news.xtra.co.nz>
Source record: 9749

Dan wrote:
> I just noticed the Pro-96 is no longer available on the radioshack.com
> website, even though the 2096 is still listed. (I don't visit the
> website often, so have no idea how long it has been gone.)
>
> Anybody know why it is no longer listed? I thought it was advertised
> as their "flagship" scanner. (At least the price certainly reflected
> that!)
>
> Does it have some problems as I've seen a few others in the NG
> suggest? Hard to believe that it would be "obsoleted" yet...
>
> -Dan

What problems are you talking about? Mine has given no worries whatsoever.

Cheers.

Ken

970. Re: F/S PRO 2006 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-23 21:29:29 UTC
From: Jesse <org@org.orgy>
Message-ID: <Xns978FA7C55F52Fewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 9750
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"abby8226" <itdoesnmatter@spam.com> wrote in news:e4eTf.118073$QW2.30337
@dukeread08:

> New in the box item. Never been opened up. Brand new! Once received as a
> Christmas present. Forgot I had it and sat there 19 years.
> Price is $900 . I will pay shipping as the shipping is expensive.
>
>
>
Lol I'll take 2 ! Make that 3 for an even 3 grand, how about that ?
Nevermind about shipping, I shall ride my pony anywhere in the continental
United States to personally pick them up.

971. Scannerist busts cop shooters in Tyler Texas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-24 02:02:38 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1143165758.693365.6970@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9651

http://www.kltv.com/Global/story.asp?S=4673269

972. Re: Scannerist busts cop shooters in Tyler Texas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-24 02:34:32 UTC
From: JSF <jsf@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <PqednXMfJqRYx77ZnZ2dnUVZ_tWdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9652

It should be a point to shoot the runaways before they can kill bystanders,
it seems like the crooks make fun of car chases. One good shot could end
those SOBs.

"zerg90" <zerg90@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1143165758.693365.6970@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...
> http://www.kltv.com/Global/story.asp?S=4673269
>

973. Re: Scannerist busts cop shooters in Tyler Texas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-24 02:38:40 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1143167920.903351.208370@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9653

http://www.kltv.com/

There is a little more info here in the text story under Headlines
(upper right side).

If anyone checks out the videos - please let me know if they mention
the scannerist.

Peter Sz

974. Re: Scannerist busts cop shooters in Tyler Texas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-24 03:06:24 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1143169584.325375.114690@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9654

There does not seem to be any more info about the hobbyist online at this time. I was told the videos just briefly mention him. Hopefully the Trooper will fully recover. Peter Sz

975. Please Rape Me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-24 06:39:25 UTC
From: prtygrl.inheat@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1143182365.168751.326710@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9655

Hi, my name is Kitty. Well, close enough. I live in Edwardsville, KS, and I deliver for Domino's Pizza in Mission, KS. My goal in life is to be raped. I tried to accomplish this awhile back, when I worked at another fast food restaurant in Olathe, but then I got scared and quit posting. But now I've realized that although I may actually be 26 years old, my childish mindset and baby doll looks are what I'm really all about. I was born to be someone's innocent victim. To be their adorable little submissive, broken to their will, and willing to do anything to survive the encounter. I crave power and domination. I always fall in love with my bosses, just because of thier power over me. I have an absolute obsession for police. They are so sexy and all-powerful, like mini-gods over my life. When a cop lets me go for even a small infraction, I usually have to pull over again, because I'm cumming in my panties. Anyway, if any of this interests you, email me or post here. I just want to meet as many would be attackers as possible. Roleplaying is good, but if you don't want to post here at all I understand, and hope it's because you're planning to find and rape me in person, and don't want to leave an evidence trail behind. Look forward to hearing from or feeling you... :)
Luv,
Kitty
kansas city bonner springs rubbed her clit

976. Re: Please Rape Me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-24 06:42:01 UTC
From: johnvonlaws@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1143182521.278336.193500@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9656

can i drop a turd on your chest ?

977. Re: Pro-96 no longer for sale at Radio Shack?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-24 12:06:05 UTC
From: Dan <noemail@forspambots.com>
Message-ID: <fen7221lija9ujrn8h21volkh99v4lrgrj@4ax.com>
Source record: 9657

On Fri, 24 Mar 2006 08:35:41 +1200, Ken Taylor <ken123@xxxxtra.co.nz>
wrote:

>Dan wrote:
>> I just noticed the Pro-96 is no longer available on the radioshack.com
>> website, even though the 2096 is still listed. (I don't visit the
>> website often, so have no idea how long it has been gone.)
>>
>> Anybody know why it is no longer listed? I thought it was advertised
>> as their "flagship" scanner. (At least the price certainly reflected
>> that!)
>>
>> Does it have some problems as I've seen a few others in the NG
>> suggest? Hard to believe that it would be "obsoleted" yet...
>>
>> -Dan
>
>What problems are you talking about? Mine has given no worries whatsoever.
>
>Cheers.
>
>Ken

I've seen a few posts regarding choppy or garbled audio reception with
the PRO-96. A Google web search of "Pro-96 garbled audio" brings up a
few hits as well. Explanations I have seen:

1. Problems with scanner
2. Encrypted audio (but not being detected as such)
3. Poor signal
4. Faulty/Buggy transmission network (doesn't seem likely as I would
think the PD department would be all over this in a heartbeat...)

I was just wondering if they *actually* did find some issue with the
PRO-96 since it seems to have vanished from the website...

-Dan

978. Re: Please Rape Me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-24 15:01:41 UTC
From: prtygrl.inheat@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1143212501.773020.232190@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9658

Ummm...sorry, no. That's just not part of my fantasy. Go to a scat
board maybe?
Luv,
Kitty

979. Re: Please Rape Me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-24 15:01:46 UTC
From: prtygrl.inheat@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1143212506.534126.117580@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9659

Ummm...sorry, no. That's just not part of my fantasy. Go to a scat
board maybe?
Luv,
Kitty

980. SPAM: Please Rape Me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-24 15:44:43 UTC
From: Ken Layton <KLayton888@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1143215083.040131.258490@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9660

This does not belong on this group. Please go to hell.

981. Re: FRS/GMRS robot transmission?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-24 18:54:04 UTC
From: John <jlwsecure-usenet5@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns97908D7EBEA39jlwsecure@207.115.17.102>
Source record: 9661

"Teenagedeathboy" <jediknightspeeder@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1143072965.025032.314740@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com:

> Actually it was channels 1,2 and 11, thats what really confuses me. I
> looked it up and there are no rules agianst repeaters on FRS, so I
> guess its possible someone has set up one in my area.
>
>

Actually, there are rules against repeaters on FRS. That and the fact
there are no input frequencies allotted to the frequencies you mention.
The repeater pairs are assigned in the frequencies farther down,.... 15-22
on the "bubblepacks".

982. Re: Pro-96 no longer for sale at Radio Shack?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-24 18:55:46 UTC
From: John <jlwsecure-usenet5@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns97908DC803656jlwsecure@207.115.17.102>
Source record: 9662

Dan <noemail@forspambots.com> wrote in
news:fen7221lija9ujrn8h21volkh99v4lrgrj@4ax.com:

> I was just wondering if they *actually* did find some issue with the
> PRO-96 since it seems to have vanished from the website...
>
Perhpas, with the issues you mentioned, and the rebanding of public safety
together, they have decided to work on an entirely new model, rather than
release an upgraded model or firmware updates.

983. Re: SPAM: Please Rape Me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-24 21:15:00 UTC
From: Jesse <org@org.orgy>
Message-ID: <Xns9790A551BB0F9ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 9663

"Ken Layton" <KLayton888@aol.com> wrote in news:1143215083.040131.258490
@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> This does not belong on this group. Please go to hell.
>
Spam ? Really ? Bogus rape fantasies don't belong on a scanner forum ? You
don't say !

984. Re: Scannerist busts cop shooters in Tyler Texas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-24 21:34:16 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1143236056.238177.117060@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9664

http://www.zwire.com/site/news.cfm?newsid=16366638&BRD=2290&PAG=461&dept_id=569392&rfi=6

The story hit AP - and includes mention of the tipster.

I saw a report today that the officer is up and around at the hospital.
The bad guys had 4 guns, and were wearing body armor.

Peter Sz

985. FA: E F Johnson Radio Prom programmer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-24 22:32:21 UTC
From: simon <simonjhanlon@btopenworld.com>
Message-ID: <4424738e$1@news.bea.com>
Source record: 9665

http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=9703532430

Thanks

986. Re: SPAM: Please Rape Me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-25 01:41:24 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <5s7922h0541om4m9vmsk11921uhieu3iqc@4ax.com>
Source record: 9666

On Fri, 24 Mar 2006 21:15:00 GMT, Jesse <org@org.orgy> wrote:

>Spam ? Really ? Bogus rape fantasies don't belong on a scanner forum ? You
>don't say !

Depends on whether it's a "bogus rape fantasy" or just some poor sub
who can't find a good Dom any other way.

987. Re: Pro-96 no longer for sale at Radio Shack?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-25 01:46:10 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <i08922dd37g4j3autg70ee1v9hjfdh9iio@4ax.com>
Source record: 9667

On Fri, 24 Mar 2006 12:06:05 GMT, Dan <noemail@forspambots.com> wrote:

>I've seen a few posts regarding choppy or garbled audio reception with
>the PRO-96. A Google web search of "Pro-96 garbled audio" brings up a
>few hits as well. Explanations I have seen:
>
>1. Problems with scanner
>2. Encrypted audio (but not being detected as such)
>3. Poor signal
>4. Faulty/Buggy transmission network (doesn't seem likely as I would
>think the PD department would be all over this in a heartbeat...)
>
>I was just wondering if they *actually* did find some issue with the
>PRO-96 since it seems to have vanished from the website...

Digital audio will almost never be as clear as analog audio. I say
"almost" because a good technician, given the proper equipment and
enough time, can make a current Motorola digital transmitter sound
hi-fi. But if you drop a few bits of signal, ant there was a change
of audio during those bits, you'll hear garble. Garble is digital's
"noise burst" or "picket fence". Make sure you never drop a bit, and
use 100 KHz bandwidth, and all digital will sound better than analog.

988. Re: Please Rape Me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-25 02:22:25 UTC
From: pollard <pollard@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1229abdlvd69cbe@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 9668

You're driving 20+ miles to work minimum wage jobs? Definitely a loser.

You live around KC and don't know about Westport? Your chances would be very good there.

<prtygrl.inheat@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1143182365.168751.326710@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> Hi, my name is Kitty. Well, close enough. I live in Edwardsville, KS,
> and I deliver for Domino's Pizza in Mission, KS. My goal in life is to
> be raped. I tried to accomplish this awhile back, when I worked at
> another fast food restaurant in Olathe, but then I got scared and quit
> posting.

989. Re: Please Rape Me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-25 04:00:54 UTC
From: prtygrl.inheat@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1143259254.735005.317800@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9669

To Al: You're closer to the truth than anyone so far...all the guys I know just want to love me and spoil me because I'm pretty and sweet. None of them want to rape or hurt me. Which sucks for me, and my fantasy. I mean I'm sure I could talk one of them into doing it, if they knew how much it meant to me, but then it would be consensual. The second I give a guy permission to do anything, I've just spoiled my fantasy. The only thing worse would be a safe word. I know that noone will probably ever understand any of this.
Luv,
Kitty

990. Re: Please Rape Me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-25 04:03:05 UTC
From: prtygrl.inheat@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1143259385.227044.326420@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9670

To Pollard: Sorry I almost forgot about you, what with the
non-impression you made with your misassumption about my wages. Last
time I checked, 14 dollars an hour wasn't minimum wage. I don't need
Westport. I'm doing just fine on my own, thank you.
Luv,
Kitty

991. Re: SPAM: Please Rape Me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-25 05:20:14 UTC
From: Jesse <org@org.orgy>
Message-ID: <Xns97913727A792ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 9671

Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in
news:5s7922h0541om4m9vmsk11921uhieu3iqc@4ax.com:

> On Fri, 24 Mar 2006 21:15:00 GMT, Jesse <org@org.orgy> wrote:
>
>>Spam ? Really ? Bogus rape fantasies don't belong on a scanner forum ? You
>>don't say !
>
> Depends on whether it's a "bogus rape fantasy" or just some poor sub
> who can't find a good Dom any other way.
>

You'd have to be one optomistic son of a gun to believe theres anything good
waiting on the other end of that post.

992. Re: Please Rape Me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-25 05:35:15 UTC
From: Jesse <org@org.orgy>
Message-ID: <Xns97915FDD3FDAewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 9672

prtygrl.inheat@yahoo.com wrote in news:1143259254.735005.317800
@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> I know that noone
> will probably ever understand any of this.
> Luv,
> Kitty
>

You obviously have worn this nym out in what must be record time, after spamming 2 dozen plus groups on the same day with your "rape fantasy".
My suggestion - Get yourself a less obviously goofy nym, then prowl the sex groups with your particular perversions, warm up to the subject don't just say "RAPE ME PLEASE" how stupid sheesh.
If you are an attractive female and live in KC, how fuckin hard could it be to get raped if ya really wanted to ?

993. Re: Please Rape Me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-25 08:31:24 UTC
From: prtygrl.inheat@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1143275484.421826.184670@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9673

Obviously hard enough...

994. Re: Scannerist busts cop shooters in Tyler Texas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-25 15:15:29 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1143299729.539191.288420@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9674

Tyler Morning Telegraph, Fri, 24 Mar 2006 9:29 PM PST
SECOND SUSPECT CHARGED

<http://www.tylerpaper.com/site/news.cfm?newsid=16372507&BRD=1994&PAG=461&dept_id=226369&rfi=6>

The second suspect in the Wednesday night shooting of a Texas
Department of Public Safety trooper was arraigned in court Friday after
being released from the hospital.

The story about the tipster is here. Peter Sz

==========

Tyler Morning Telegraph, Fri, 24 Mar 2006 9:29 PM PST
SECOND SUSPECT CHARGED
http://www.tylerpaper.com/site/news.cfm?newsid=16372507&BRD=1994&PAG=461&dept_id=226369&rfi=6
The second suspect in the Wednesday night shooting of a Texas
Department of Public Safety trooper was arraigned in court Friday after
being released from the hospital.

995. Re: Scannerist busts cop shooters in Tyler Texas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-25 18:07:26 UTC
From: JSF <jsf@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <jdSdnQM6sqN9G7jZnZ2dnUVZ_vidnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9675

There should be a hinging going on.

"zerg90" <zerg90@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1143299729.539191.288420@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Tyler Morning Telegraph, Fri, 24 Mar 2006 9:29 PM PST
> SECOND SUSPECT CHARGED
>
> <http://www.tylerpaper.com/site/news.cfm?newsid=16372507&BRD=1994&PAG=461&dept_id=226369
&rfi=6>
>
>
> The second suspect in the Wednesday night shooting of a Texas
> Department of Public Safety trooper was arraigned in court Friday after
> being released from the hospital.
>
>
> The story about the tipster is here. Peter Sz
>
>
> ==========
>
> Tyler Morning Telegraph, Fri, 24 Mar 2006 9:29 PM PST
> SECOND SUSPECT CHARGED
> http://www.tylerpaper.com/site/news.cfm?newsid=16372507&BRD=1994&PAG=461&dept_id=226369&
rfi=6
> The second suspect in the Wednesday night shooting of a Texas
> Department of Public Safety trooper was arraigned in court Friday after
> being released from the hospital.
>

996. Re: Pro-96 no longer for sale at Radio Shack?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-25 18:09:50 UTC
From: JSF <jsf@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <veKdnaSzDN3tGrjZRVn-uw@comcast.com>
Source record: 9676

What happened to forward error correction to help in bit loss.

.

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:i08922dd37g4j3autg70ee1v9hjfdh9iio@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 24 Mar 2006 12:06:05 GMT, Dan <noemail@forspambots.com> wrote:
>
>>I've seen a few posts regarding choppy or garbled audio reception with
>>the PRO-96. A Google web search of "Pro-96 garbled audio" brings up a
>>few hits as well. Explanations I have seen:
>>
>>1. Problems with scanner
>>2. Encrypted audio (but not being detected as such)
>>3. Poor signal
>>4. Faulty/Buggy transmission network (doesn't seem likely as I would
>>think the PD department would be all over this in a heartbeat...)
>>
>>I was just wondering if they *actually* did find some issue with the
>>PRO-96 since it seems to have vanished from the website...
>
> Digital audio will almost never be as clear as analog audio. I say
> "almost" because a good technician, given the proper equipment and
> enough time, can make a current Motorola digital transmitter sound
> hi-fi. But if you drop a few bits of signal, ant there was a change
> of audio during those bits, you'll hear garble. Garble is digital's
> "noise burst" or "picket fence". Make sure you never drop a bit, and
> use 100 KHz bandwidth, and all digital will sound better than analog.

997. Re: SPAM: Please Rape Me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-26 03:55:58 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <b04c221leqdb19ots6ddlaivprrknden41@4ax.com>
Source record: 9677

On Sat, 25 Mar 2006 05:20:14 GMT, Jesse <org@org.orgy> wrote:

>Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in
>news:5s7922h0541om4m9vmsk11921uhieu3iqc@4ax.com:
>
>> On Fri, 24 Mar 2006 21:15:00 GMT, Jesse <org@org.orgy> wrote:
>>
>>>Spam ? Really ? Bogus rape fantasies don't belong on a scanner forum ? You
>>>don't say !
>>
>> Depends on whether it's a "bogus rape fantasy" or just some poor sub
>> who can't find a good Dom any other way.
>>
>
>You'd have to be one optomistic son of a gun to believe theres anything good
>waiting on the other end of that post.

She's a bit over the top, but you'd have to be totally unaware of the
lifestyle to not understand her viewpoint. Her only problem is
safety, not viewpoint.

Oh, there are MANY women who feel as she does, so I don't think it's
totally (or even mostly) made up.

As far as "good"? If she's good sub material, there's something
pretty great for some Dom. Now that may not be your taste, but don't
assume that everyone is you.

998. IP Locator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-26 03:57:04 UTC
From: honestjohn@centurytel.net
Message-ID: <WdOdnVv9BcdqjbvZRVn-vw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 9678

http://spamid.servebeer.com:8081/spamid/iplocator.jsp;jsessionid=DODGOLPFJFDC

999. Re: Pro-96 no longer for sale at Radio Shack?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-26 03:59:23 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <t64c22hqsgu0jsrcf4g9smpmgtnpt0fknb@4ax.com>
Source record: 9679

On Sat, 25 Mar 2006 12:09:50 -0600, "JSF" <jsf@yahoo.net> wrote:

>What happened to forward error correction to help in bit loss.

Ever hear a new Motorola digital radio? I'd guess there's some ECC or FEC in there.

Of course they don't cost $400, and they don't scan 30-2000 MHz, or 1000 channels, but they sound better than analog if the system is set up properly.

Then, again, why doesn't your cell phone have FEC? Same reason? Only 1 channel effectively, and some of them are pretty expensive when they first come out.

1000. Re: Scannerist busts cop shooters in Tyler Texas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-26 14:51:22 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1143384682.280647.134890@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9680

Tyler Morning Telegraph, Sat, 25 Mar 2006 10:10 PM PST
WOUNDED TROOPER LEAVES HOSPITAL
http://www.tylerpaper.com/site/news.cfm?newsid=16377038&BRD=1994&PAG=461&dept_id=226369&rfi=6
Surrounded by his fellow officers, a Texas Department of Public Safety
trooper thanked his family, other law enforcement, his training and,
most importantly, God during a press conference Saturday morning at
East Texas Medical Center.

1001. YOUR LEADER K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO MAINE PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-26 18:27:21 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1143397641.368012.135900@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9681
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

MAINE PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING BOARD

> To: The Maine P.E. Board
>
> Subject: Today's meeting
>
> From: Glenn A. Baxter, P.E.
>
> Date: 23 March 2006
>
>
>
> The Board dismissed the entire Complaint against me and then voted to
> draft for consideration at the June meeting a non punitive "letter of
> guidance."
>
> Mr. Cole, the Complaint Officer, stated during the meeting that he can
> use "P.E." on his Christmas cards as well as professional letters if
> he so chooses, and yet I am to be "guided" about not using "P.E." on
> my non sealed progress reports to a client or employer.
>
> Section 14 of the MAINE PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS CODE OF ETHICS 1(2)
> states that:
>
> "Registrants shall approve AND SEAL only those documents that
> conform to accepted engineering standards and safeguard life,
> health, property and welfare of the public."
>
> My position is that a Professional Engineer can use "P.E." at any
> time, as Mr. Cole suggests, and use the "P.E." seal only as specified
> above to thus invoke the higher and legal professional engineering
> standard.
>
> Finally, to issue any such proposed "letter of guidance" to just me
> would be discriminatory and to issue such a letter to all Maine
> Professional Engineers would violate the First Amendment. The
> only option for the board is to change Section 14 which already
> covers all of these issues more than adequately.
>
>
> Respectfully submitted,
>

>
>
>
>
> (signed)
> Glenn A. Baxter, P.E.
>

1002. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO MAINE PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-26 19:11:46 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <99887017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 9682
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On 26 Mar 2006 10:27:21 -0800, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
> MAINE PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING BOARD
[great post snipped for to be brief]

K1MAN is a great engineer and a great man. He is a credit to
the State of Main unlike that Main ham with the dirty pictures
at http://myrockport.com/ and http://myrockport.com/pen.jpg.

Somebody should get after him and his dirty pics instead of
going after K1MAN.

1003. get a lif

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-26 19:45:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1143402351.095727.179380@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9683
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Lloyd wrote:
> On 26 Mar 2006 10:27:21 -0800, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
> > MAINE PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING BOARD
> [great post snipped for to be brief]

> Somebody should get after him and his dirty pics instead of
> going after K1MAN.

get a life

1004. FA: Variety of Vintage Scanners, CBs & Ham Gear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-26 20:00:48 UTC
From: BW <winstead@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <1143403248.508759.151930@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9684
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

More items to be added as time permits:

http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQsassZslick-channelsQQhtZ-1

1005. Re: Pro-96 no longer for sale at Radio Shack?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-26 21:17:22 UTC
From: Ken Taylor <ken123@xxxxtra.co.nz>
Message-ID: <DxDVf.8758$JZ1.321900@news.xtra.co.nz>
Source record: 9685

Al Klein wrote:
> On Sat, 25 Mar 2006 12:09:50 -0600, "JSF" <jsf@yahoo.net> wrote:
>
>
>>What happened to forward error correction to help in bit loss.
>
>
> Ever hear a new Motorola digital radio? I'd guess there's some ECC or
> FEC in there.
>
> Of course they don't cost $400, and they don't scan 30-2000 MHz, or
> 1000 channels, but they sound better than analog if the system is set
> up properly.
>
> Then, again, why doesn't your cell phone have FEC? Same reason? Only
> 1 channel effectively, and some of them are pretty expensive when they
> first come out.

FEC would be fine but there's always a tradeoff between bandwidth, power
and price.

Cheers.

Ken

1006. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO MAINE PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-26 22:17:58 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d_udnQOdW7SIjrrZRVn-jw@comcast.com>
Source record: 9686
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Wasn't Baxter told to STFU?

1007. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO MAINE PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-26 22:48:59 UTC
From: "Dr.Death" <drdeath@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <122e6iu57gkjh5d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 9687
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
news:99887017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> On 26 Mar 2006 10:27:21 -0800, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
>> MAINE PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING BOARD
> [great post snipped for to be brief]
>
> K1MAN is a great engineer and a great man. He is a credit to
> the State of Main unlike that Main ham with the dirty pictures
> at http://myrockport.com/ and http://myrockport.com/pen.jpg.
>
> Somebody should get after him and his dirty pics instead of
> going after K1MAN.

Is the "State of Main" a new state? I think I missed that in the news.

1008. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO MAINE PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-26 23:50:38 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <AuqdneyoYYNQtbrZRVn-ig@comcast.com>
Source record: 9688
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

> Is the "State of Main" a new state? I think I missed that in the news.

He was thinking about his main vein.

1009. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO MAINE PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-26 23:57:37 UTC
From: "Dr.Death" <drdeath@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <122eajoecf63141@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 9689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Scott in Baltimore" <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:AuqdneyoYYNQtbrZRVn-ig@comcast.com...
>> Is the "State of Main" a new state? I think I missed that in the news.
>
> He was thinking about his main vein.

ROFLMAO

1010. SATCOM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-27 01:22:00 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Y6HVf.48547$2O6.31649@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 9690

I heard a Spanish conversation between two people on 263.825 NFM earlier today at 5:00 PM EST. This was from my location in NE Ohio. I believe this is a SATCOM frequency. Any ideas what this was? I was listening on my PRO-43 with just a 220 Mhz Ham Band rubber duck antenna indoors with NO pre-amp.

Frank

1011. Re: SATCOM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-27 02:16:01 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <2mie22pm37bm2rusejsq9h754fbk3n5njm@4ax.com>
Source record: 9691

On Mon, 27 Mar 2006 01:22:00 GMT, "Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>I heard a Spanish conversation between two people on 263.825 NFM earlier
>today at 5:00 PM EST. This was from my location in NE Ohio. I believe this
>is a SATCOM frequency. Any ideas what this was?

A spur? A harmonic. Intermod?

1012. Re: SATCOM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-27 02:39:19 UTC
From: Bob <warthog9@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <rfIVf.59830$H71.8630@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 9692

Not that uncommon to hear Spanish and especially Brazilian pirates on US UHF SATCOM birds. Many of the Spanish stations sound like local chit chat and they may not be intending to go through a satellite. The Brazilians are definitely Pirates and use the satellites like a big CB repeater. A guy in Brentwood, CA with a Portuguese surname name was recently busted for unlicensed operation and the frequency range listed by the FCC was in the UHF Satcom range. I would like to find out if this guy was connected with the Brazilian Pirates that have been plaguing the system for years. I have picked up McMurdo Station conversing with a supply aircraft via UHF SATCOM with my PRO-43 and stock rubber duck, so there is no reason to believe you did not pick up a UHF satellite. The new UHF follow on satellites have a pretty hot downlink.
Bob

Frank wrote:
> I heard a Spanish conversation between two people on 263.825 NFM earlier
> today at 5:00 PM EST. This was from my location in NE Ohio. I believe this
> is a SATCOM frequency. Any ideas what this was? I was listening on my PRO-43
> with just a 220 Mhz Ham Band rubber duck antenna indoors with NO pre-amp.
>
> Frank
>
>

1013. Re: SATCOM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-27 03:32:27 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <f1JVf.42819$_S7.11683@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 9693

Bob,

I've also heard them with Eastern European (Russian I believe)
language as well. Usually, I hear them around 8:00 or 9:00AM EST, but this
was in the afternoon. Why are they transmitting on UHF SATCOM frequencies?

Frank

"Bob" <warthog9@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:rfIVf.59830$H71.8630@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> Not that uncommon to hear Spanish and especially Brazilian pirates on US
> UHF SATCOM birds. Many of the Spanish stations sound like local chit chat
> and they may not be intending to go through a satellite. The Brazilians
> are definitely Pirates and use the satellites like a big CB repeater. A
> guy in Brentwood, CA with a Portuguese surname name was recently busted
> for unlicensed operation and the frequency range listed by the FCC was in
> the UHF Satcom range. I would like to find out if this guy was connected
> with the Brazilian Pirates that have been plaguing the system for years. I
> have picked up McMurdo Station conversing with a supply aircraft via UHF
> SATCOM with my PRO-43 and stock rubber duck, so there is no reason to
> believe you did not pick up a UHF satellite. The new UHF follow on
> satellites have a pretty hot downlink.
> Bob
>
>
> Frank wrote:
>> I heard a Spanish conversation between two people on 263.825 NFM earlier
>> today at 5:00 PM EST. This was from my location in NE Ohio. I believe
>> this is a SATCOM frequency. Any ideas what this was? I was listening on
>> my PRO-43 with just a 220 Mhz Ham Band rubber duck antenna indoors with
>> NO pre-amp.
>>
>> Frank

1014. WTB:Laptop for Radio Software (2006/Opto456 Trade)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-27 05:11:24 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <btse225rjjt66udfmmol8s2njd9067n413@4ax.com>
Source record: 9694

Looking for a laptop, 3-500mhz in excellent condition. Must have 9 pin
com port , USB , CD , Floppy , etc.I have a Pro-2006 with the FULL
Opto 456 in used condition working 100%.I will trade even for the
right laptop. IBM 390 is a good example of what I'm looking for.

1015. Re: Pro-96 no longer for sale at Radio Shack?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-27 11:54:46 UTC
From: Dan <noemail@forspambots.com>
Message-ID: <dbkf22pjghpjoivql3a0qe4f2onpu57ksj@4ax.com>
Source record: 9695

On Fri, 24 Mar 2006 18:30:06 -0500, lsmyer <lsmyer@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Posted today at the Radio Shack Scanner forum at RadioReference.com:
>
>The Pro-96 Scanner is still an active part of RadioShack's product line.
>However, our stock is low at this time due to high demand. We hope to
>restock this scanner soon and apologize for any inconvenience this may
>cause you.
>
>Here's the link:
>http://radioreference.com/forums/showthread.php?t=33975

Interesting reply from R/S... and while I don't deny that it certainly
is "plausible", it seems a bit fishy... and I know all too well how
the world of PR works.

Why actually "pull" the item from the website? Why not simply show it
as "Out of Stock"? (As they currently do for the Pro-2096)

-Dan

1016. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO MAINE PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-27 12:42:28 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1143463348.906651.120170@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9696
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Dr.Death wrote:
> "Scott in Baltimore" <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:AuqdneyoYYNQtbrZRVn-ig@comcast.com...
> >> Is the "State of Main" a new state? I think I missed that in the news.
> > >
> > > He was thinking about his main vein.
> >
> > ROFLMAO

Marky was thinking about the vein in one of his many hemorrhoids.
That's his main vein.

1017. Re: SATCOM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-27 15:39:31 UTC
From: Bob <warthog9@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <TGTVf.48654$2O6.48336@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 9697

The Brazilians have mentioned the satellite in their conversation and
often complain about their equipment to one of the persons in the group.
Sounds like he supplies them with equipment or knowledge. Brazil has a
severe telephone and communications problem and they grab and use any
radio and frequency they can. Illegal operation is rampant in the big
cities. Using a US satellite is just another opportunity to communicate
over their country or even to friends in the US. Other foreign
conversations I have heard seem to be unaware of the satellite and are
probably using the satellite uplink frequency as simplex in their
country. You can easily (and unknowingly) hit the UHF satellites with a
moderate power mobile or base radio and whip antenna, especially if the
satellite is low to the horizon.
Bob

Frank wrote:
> Bob,
>
> I've also heard them with Eastern European (Russian I believe)
> language as well. Usually, I hear them around 8:00 or 9:00AM EST, but this
> was in the afternoon. Why are they transmitting on UHF SATCOM frequencies?
>
> Frank
>
>
> "Bob" <warthog9@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:rfIVf.59830$H71.8630@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
>>Not that uncommon to hear Spanish and especially Brazilian pirates on US
>>UHF SATCOM birds. Many of the Spanish stations sound like local chit chat
>>and they may not be intending to go through a satellite. The Brazilians
>>are definitely Pirates and use the satellites like a big CB repeater. A
>>guy in Brentwood, CA with a Portuguese surname name was recently busted
>>for unlicensed operation and the frequency range listed by the FCC was in
>>the UHF Satcom range. I would like to find out if this guy was connected
>>with the Brazilian Pirates that have been plaguing the system for years. I
>>have picked up McMurdo Station conversing with a supply aircraft via UHF
>>SATCOM with my PRO-43 and stock rubber duck, so there is no reason to
>>believe you did not pick up a UHF satellite. The new UHF follow on
>>satellites have a pretty hot downlink.
>>Bob
>>
>>
>>Frank wrote:
>>

>>>I heard a Spanish conversation between two people on 263.825 NFM earlier
>>>today at 5:00 PM EST. This was from my location in NE Ohio. I believe
>>>this is a SATCOM frequency. Any ideas what this was? I was listening on
>>>my PRO-43 with just a 220 Mhz Ham Band rubber duck antenna indoors with
>>>NO pre-amp.
>>>
>>>Frank
>
>
>

1018. Re: WTB:Laptop for Radio Software (2006/Opto456 Trade)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-27 16:13:07 UTC
From: Bruce Markowitz <scosgt@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <naUVf.636101$qk4.595572@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 9698

I have many low end IBM ThinkPads available. What do you value your scanner
at?
"Scan Da Bands" <vzeip5vc@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:btse225rjjt66udfmmol8s2njd9067n413@4ax.com...
> Looking for a laptop, 3-500mhz in excellent condition. Must have 9 pin
> com port , USB , CD , Floppy , etc.I have a Pro-2006 with the FULL
> Opto 456 in used condition working 100%.I will trade even for the
> right laptop. IBM 390 is a good example of what I'm looking for.

1019. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-27 17:52:16 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1143481936.239082.18000@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Dr.Death wrote:

> Marky was thinking about the vein in one of his many hemorrhoids.
> That's his main vein.
get help

1020. New 100w FM Transmitter - &#65533;295 Boxed and ready to go!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-27 18:05:16 UTC
From: Andy <post@broadcast-store.co.uk>
Message-ID: <__2dnaILitTstLXZRVnyiA@pipex.net>
Source record: 9700

*ALL MAJOR CREDIT/DEBIT CARDS TAKEN
*LINK SYSTEMS, RDS, STEREO ENCODERS
*SOME ITEMS NEXT DAY DELIVERY
*TECH SUPPORT NUMBER

www.broadcast-store.co.uk

1021. Re: Pro-96 no longer for sale at Radio Shack?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-27 18:35:56 UTC
From: honestjohn@centurytel.net
Message-ID: <ZYGdnWa8MPzwrbXZRVn-vQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 9601

Dan <noemail@forspambots.com> wrote in message
news:dbkf22pjghpjoivql3a0qe4f2onpu57ksj@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 24 Mar 2006 18:30:06 -0500, lsmyer <lsmyer@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >Posted today at the Radio Shack Scanner forum at RadioReference.com:
> >
> >The Pro-96 Scanner is still an active part of RadioShack's product line.
> >However, our stock is low at this time due to high demand. We hope to
> >restock this scanner soon and apologize for any inconvenience this may
> >cause you.
> >
> >Here's the link:
> >http://radioreference.com/forums/showthread.php?t=33975
>
> Interesting reply from R/S... and while I don't deny that it certainly
> is "plausible", it seems a bit fishy... and I know all too well how
> the world of PR works.
>
> Why actually "pull" the item from the website? Why not simply show it
> as "Out of Stock"? (As they currently do for the Pro-2096)
>
> -Dan
>
That makes too much sense for upper management!

1022. Re: SPAM: Please Rape Me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-27 19:48:19 UTC
From: Jesse <org@org.orgy>
Message-ID: <Xns9793969C65F90ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 9602

Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in
news:b04c221leqdb19ots6ddlaivprrknden41@4ax.com:

> On Sat, 25 Mar 2006 05:20:14 GMT, Jesse <org@org.orgy> wrote:
>
>>Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in
>>news:5s7922h0541om4m9vmsk11921uhieu3iqc@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Fri, 24 Mar 2006 21:15:00 GMT, Jesse <org@org.orgy> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Spam ? Really ? Bogus rape fantasies don't belong on a scanner forum ?
>>>>You don't say !
>>>
>>> Depends on whether it's a "bogus rape fantasy" or just some poor sub
>>> who can't find a good Dom any other way.
>>>
>>
>>You'd have to be one optomistic son of a gun to believe theres anything
>>good waiting on the other end of that post.
>
> She's a bit over the top, but you'd have to be totally unaware of the
> lifestyle to not understand her viewpoint. Her only problem is
> safety, not viewpoint.
>
> Oh, there are MANY women who feel as she does, so I don't think it's
> totally (or even mostly) made up.
>
> As far as "good"? If she's good sub material, there's something
> pretty great for some Dom. Now that may not be your taste, but don't
> assume that everyone is you.
>

Well, I really don't need a fetish lecture. I'm aware of them, and believe
me I know very well how kinky some females can be.
Suffice it to say not many, if any, legit females would spam 2 dozen groups
on the same day trying to legititmatly fullfill their fantasy.
Surely her Dominos pizza delivery earnings would allow her a one month
account on adult freind finder, and would be much more productive than
random usenet spammings.
Your stretching it just assuming the she is really a she, for starters.
Remotely possible, but highly unlikely.

1023. Re: Pro-96 no longer for sale at Radio Shack?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-27 22:31:02 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <zIZVf.14408$6a1.5371@fed1read04>
Source record: 9603
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Aw come on - give the poor clerks a break - if they make minimum wage they are doing good.

Don't expect a $50/hour engineering response from a $5.15/hour clerk

Some of the stores here have college kids trying to get thru school. Some are interested in the merchandise and learn quickly -- others do not. Be polite and educate the clerks in a nice way if you are indeed knowledgeable yourself.

Radio Shack is a good place to buy things if you have done your homework and know what you want.

Some stores here have VERY knowledgeable clerks -- just do a little asking around and go there.

--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

"lsmyer" <lsmyer@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3iZVf.221$Zf5.48@fe03.lga...
>I went to a Radio Shack today. The Pro-96 was nowhere to be seen. They do
>have a couple of scanners that are on sale, and I was actually tempted to
>buy one of the Pro-83s for $69.97 which is apparently being closed out. I
>bought one of them a year ago back when they were $99, but I wasn't really
>impressed.
>
> It was alarming how stupid and unknowledgeable the two employees which
> were in the store today. I picked up a scanner, and she said "Looking for
> a CB?" Then, after finishing with the scanners, I walked over to where the
> portable radios used to be. I asked if they had the Eton E10. She said
> Eton is the same as Grundig. But she didn't say if they had the radio. So
> I asked again, and she asked why was I looking for that radio. So I told
> her that I want a radio with shortwave. She said that they don't have the
> E10 any longer, but they have a couple of other radios with shortwave. How
> many shortwaves do you need? She then pointed at the Grundig crank radio
> and said this one has one shortwave. I think we sold the one that had two.
>
> Needless to say, I left without buying any shortwaves.

1024. Re: Pro-96 no longer for sale at Radio Shack?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-27 22:39:45 UTC
From: Ken Taylor <ken123@xxxxtra.co.nz>
Message-ID: <RQZVf.9036$JZ1.333185@news.xtra.co.nz>
Source record: 9604
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Caveat Lector wrote:
> Aw come on - give the poor clerks a break - if they make minimum wage they
> are doing good.
>
> Don't expect a $50/hour engineering response from a $5.15/hour clerk
>
> Some of the stores here have college kids trying to get thru school. Some
> are interested in the merchandise and learn quickly -- others do not. Be
> polite and educate the clerks in a nice way if you are indeed knowledgeable
> yourself.
>
> Radio Shack is a good place to buy things if you have done your homework and
> know what you want.
>
> Some stores here have VERY knowledgeable clerks -- just do a little asking
> around and go there.
>
I bought my Pro-96 in a downtown Chicago RadioShack store - very helpful
clerks. They didn't have the beast in the store when I was to be in town
so one of the guys picked one up from another store on his way home and
had it for me in his store next day. Great service!

Cheers.

Ken

1025. Re: Pro-96 no longer for sale at Radio Shack?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-28 01:16:14 UTC
From: honestjohn@centurytel.net
Message-ID: <99ydnenU9vahE7XZnZ2dnUVZ_t6dnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 9605
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

lsmyer <lsmyer@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:3iZVf.221$Zf5.48@fe03.lga...
> I went to a Radio Shack today. The Pro-96 was nowhere to be seen. They
> do have a couple of scanners that are on sale, and I was actually
> tempted to buy one of the Pro-83s for $69.97 which is apparently being
> closed out. I bought one of them a year ago back when they were $99, but
> I wasn't really impressed.
>
> It was alarming how stupid and unknowledgeable the two employees which
> were in the store today. I picked up a scanner, and she said "Looking
> for a CB?" Then, after finishing with the scanners, I walked over to
> where the portable radios used to be. I asked if they had the Eton E10.
> She said Eton is the same as Grundig. But she didn't say if they had the
> radio. So I asked again, and she asked why was I looking for that radio.
> So I told her that I want a radio with shortwave. She said that they
> don't have the E10 any longer, but they have a couple of other radios
> with shortwave. How many shortwaves do you need? She then pointed at the
> Grundig crank radio and said this one has one shortwave. I think we sold
> the one that had two.
>
> Needless to say, I left without buying any shortwaves.

For some reason, every time I venture into Radio Shack, I start thinking of
Jay Leno and "Jay-Walk All Stars"; I wonder why?!?

1026. Re: Pro-96 no longer for sale at Radio Shack?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-28 02:19:12 UTC
From: running dogg <run@ning.dog>
Message-ID: <wI2dnSMcno64ALXZnZ2dnUVZ_s-dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9606
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

<honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote:

>
> lsmyer <lsmyer@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:3iZVf.221$Zf5.48@fe03.lga...
> > I went to a Radio Shack today. The Pro-96 was nowhere to be seen. They
> > do have a couple of scanners that are on sale, and I was actually
> > tempted to buy one of the Pro-83s for $69.97 which is apparently being
> > closed out. I bought one of them a year ago back when they were $99, but
> > I wasn't really impressed.
> >
> > It was alarming how stupid and unknowledgeable the two employees which
> > were in the store today. I picked up a scanner, and she said "Looking
> > for a CB?" Then, after finishing with the scanners, I walked over to
> > where the portable radios used to be. I asked if they had the Eton E10.
> > She said Eton is the same as Grundig. But she didn't say if they had the
> > radio. So I asked again, and she asked why was I looking for that radio.
> > So I told her that I want a radio with shortwave. She said that they
> > don't have the E10 any longer, but they have a couple of other radios
> > with shortwave. How many shortwaves do you need? She then pointed at the
> > Grundig crank radio and said this one has one shortwave. I think we sold
> > the one that had two.
> >
> > Needless to say, I left without buying any shortwaves.
>
> For some reason, every time I venture into Radio Shack, I start thinking of
> Jay Leno and "Jay-Walk All Stars"; I wonder why?!?

Unfortunately, that's about the average intelligence level of the
average American. Today's minimum wage employees can't count change. If
you're lucky, you'll get one that's reasonably conversant in English.
(But their Spanish is muy bueno, amigo!) If you pay $2/hr more, you'll
get somebody who has a worthless college degree and who can write a
research paper just fine if you allow them to look one up on the
internet. Is it any wonder why employers are looking to China and India?
The average Chinese is better educated than the average American!

1027. Re: Pro-96 no longer for sale at Radio Shack?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-28 02:44:02 UTC
From: honestjohn@centurytel.net
Message-ID: <cu-dnZjoh4pNP7XZnZ2dnUVZ_vadnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 9607
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

running dogg <run@ning.dog> wrote in message
news:wI2dnSMcno64ALXZnZ2dnUVZ_s-dnZ2d@comcast.com...
> <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote:
>
> >
> > lsmyer <lsmyer@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3iZVf.221$Zf5.48@fe03.lga...
> > > I went to a Radio Shack today. The Pro-96 was nowhere to be seen. They
> > > do have a couple of scanners that are on sale, and I was actually
> > > tempted to buy one of the Pro-83s for $69.97 which is apparently being
> > > closed out. I bought one of them a year ago back when they were $99,
but
> > > I wasn't really impressed.
> > >
> > > It was alarming how stupid and unknowledgeable the two employees which
> > > were in the store today. I picked up a scanner, and she said "Looking
> > > for a CB?" Then, after finishing with the scanners, I walked over to
> > > where the portable radios used to be. I asked if they had the Eton
E10.
> > > She said Eton is the same as Grundig. But she didn't say if they had
the
> > > radio. So I asked again, and she asked why was I looking for that
radio.
> > > So I told her that I want a radio with shortwave. She said that they
> > > don't have the E10 any longer, but they have a couple of other radios
> > > with shortwave. How many shortwaves do you need? She then pointed at
the
> > > Grundig crank radio and said this one has one shortwave. I think we
sold
> > > the one that had two.
> > >
> > > Needless to say, I left without buying any shortwaves.
> >
> > For some reason, every time I venture into Radio Shack, I start thinking
of
> > Jay Leno and "Jay-Walk All Stars"; I wonder why?!?
>
> Unfortunately, that's about the average intelligence level of the
> average American. Today's minimum wage employees can't count change. If
> you're lucky, you'll get one that's reasonably conversant in English.
> (But their Spanish is muy bueno, amigo!) If you pay $2/hr more, you'll
> get somebody who has a worthless college degree and who can write a
> research paper just fine if you allow them to look one up on the

> internet. Is it any wonder why employers are looking to China and India?
> The average Chinese is better educated than the average American!
>
EXACT-A-MUNDO!!

1028. FS: Icom R-7000 Police/Fire Radio Scanner Package - $800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-28 02:50:17 UTC
From: Doug Davis <dougd@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <0q6dnUg7r5rHObXZRVn-rA@buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 9608
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Icom R-7000 Police/Fire Radio Scanner Package - $800

---

(1) r-7000 in good shape- cost about $1400.00 new, FULL COVERAGE 25 MHz thru 2000 MHz. I sent it back to icom and had it re- aliened to factory specs. I have the receipt for this. manual , original box, service manual ($30.00) new.

(2) rc-12 for ic r-7000, icom remote controller , board is installed in radio, in original box , manual, just like new. cost ($129.00) new.

(3) TV-r7000 icom TV/fm receive adapter, new, never installed, in original box, manual cost ($249.00) "this item is very hard to find."

(4) ct-17 icom communication interface- v (ci-v) level converter. original box, manual, good shape , works great, cost (129.00) new this device can control 4 icom radios.

I have this radio up and running now.

I would like to sell this all in one package.

$800.00 Firm.

a.. this is in or around Port Clinton, Ohio

1029. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO MAINE PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-28 05:36:25 UTC
From: BelchingBevtheRatQueen <kleebewater709@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1143524185.773770.253440@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9609
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Suck My Flailing, Turgid, Fleshpipe....Bitch!

1030. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-28 07:21:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1143530467.255498.212730@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9610
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

BelchingBevtheRatQueen wrote:
> Suck My Flailing, Turgid, Fleshpipe....Bitch!

1031. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-28 07:21:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1143530481.177553.127830@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9611
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

BelchingBevtheRatQueen wrote:
> Suck My Flailing, Turgid, Fleshpipe....Bitch!
get help

1032. Re: WTB:Laptop for Radio Software (2006/Opto456 Trade)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-28 07:33:24 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <riph22t994tisqp17gmrkprgnp2s2abb5a@4ax.com>
Source record: 9612

oh around $225 - $250 , go for more on ebay but I'm not looking for a
killing just a laptop to run some radio software with, mainly Probe
7.0

On Mon, 27 Mar 2006 16:13:07 GMT, "Bruce Markowitz"
<scosgt@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>I have many low end IBM ThinkPads available. What do you value your scanner
>at?
>"Scan Da Bands" <vzeip5vc@verizon.net> wrote in message
>news:btse225rjjt66udfmmol8s2njd9067n413@4ax.com...
>> Looking for a laptop, 3-500mhz in excellent condition. Must have 9 pin
>> com port , USB , CD , Floppy , etc.I have a Pro-2006 with the FULL
>> Opto 456 in used condition working 100%.I will trade even for the
>> right laptop. IBM 390 is a good example of what I'm looking for.
>

1033. Military Acft Radio Receptions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-28 07:55:11 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1143532511.739060.184590@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9613

Patrick AFB Area Log - Mon, 27 Mar 2006

In addition to Military flights we heard comms most of the day from the Central Florida News Helos flying near the wildfires around the area.
Also the BLADEs landed on Rnwy 02 after coming right overhead my house. The sound was overwhelming. . .boiling, swirling air rushing and screaming. . . I was beside myself and could hardly control the camera.

AIR TRANSPORT 530: DC-8, USAF Contractor
8:45am: Arrives Patrick AFB.
12:40pm: Departs to Antigua (downrange Eastern Test Range site).
[133.75, 132.65, 132.25]

BOLT 11: KC-135R, MacDill AFB 6AMW
9:05am: "Off the ground at 1400z."
1:28pm: Inbound MacDill AFB w 47K fuel.
[311.0 Lightning Ops]

CUTLASS (?) 01 [Unsure of c/s; did not sound like T-37]
9:05am: En route to Eglin AFB.
[293.225, 349.0, 319.0, 285.5, 346.25, 360.7]

FOXY 92: T-38A, Flight of 2, Sheppard AFB 80FTW
10:01am: En route to Tyndall AFB.
[285.5, 269.25, 360.7]

NASA 903: T-38N #63-8200, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston.
10:10am: Lands at Shuttle Landing Facility
[124.8, 132.65, 128.55]

LIMA LIMA 39: P-3C Jacksonville NAS VP-30 "Pro's Nest" Sqdn
10:10am: Touch-and-goes at Patrick AFB; RTB NAS Jax.
[133.75]

JOSA 628: C-21A #84-0125
10:35am: Inbd MacDill with DV R-4 +4 aboard; ETA 25 min.
[311.0]

LOBO 47: C-9B, Cherry Point MCAS
11:00am: Inbound NAS Key West; requests 6000 pounds fuel.
[338.0]

BRONCO 01: OV-10D, US State Dept, Intl Narc Mission, Patrick AFB
11:04am: Local training.
[133.75]

WARHOG/WARTHOG 01: A-10A.
11:19am: Area transition.
[293.225, 273.55]

NASA 961: T-38N #70-1555, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston.
11:25am: Inbound Shuttle Landing Facility
[132.65, 128.55]

DOS 236: UH-1H #71-20236, US State Dept, Intl Narc Msn, Patrick AFB
11:45am: Local training.
[133.75]

FIREBIRD: CE-182
12:31pm: IDs to Avon Park Range Ctrl as "a single 182." Worked with JSTARS' "StrikeStar" and other participants in Avon Park Bombing Range exercise; probably simulated UAV. Comms with ground element CONDOR. I believe he RTBd to Tyndall, but not certain.
[292.2, 285.725]

VIPER 01: Poss simulated acft
12:34pm: Comms with Avon Park Range Ctrl.
[292.2, 285.725]

SWINE 11: A-10A, Barksdale 917W 47FS
12:37pm: Work at Avon Park Bombing Range. Talk about use of LITENING pod on South Tactical Range, also UAV. Comms with FAC "Advance 20." RTBs to MacDill.
[290.3, 349.0, 292.2, 285.725; 138.875 & 139.8 air-air]

DOG/GOLF? 315
1:03pm: Area transition.
[346.25, 284.7]

ROCKET 73: Poss F-15E, Seymour Johnson.
1:43pm: Inbd MacDill.
[311.0]

CHIEF 11: F-15E, Seymour Johnson AFB
1:55pm: To Crystal Track for AAR with Steel 82; then works at Avon Park Bombing Range.
[349.0, 292.2, 238.9]

STEEL 82: KC-135, Pittsburgh PA-ANG 171ARW
2:14pm: AAR with CHIEF 11, 13 flights.
[238.9]

CHIEF 13: F-15E, Seymour Johnson AFB
2:25pm: To Crystal Track for AAR with Steel 82; then works at Avon Park Bombing Range.
[349.0, 292.2, 238.9]

HQ 463: SH-60B, Mayport HSL-46 "Grandmasters"
2:27pm: Area transition.
[281.425, 239.275]

DOS 271: UH-1H, US State Dept, Intl Narc Mission, Patrick AFB
2:45pm: Local training.
[133.75]

STRIKESTAR: E-8C JSTARS, Robins AFB 116ACW 16ACCS
2:57pm: Working at Avon Park Bombing Range; comms with P-3C "Orion"
(AKA "LA-051"), FAC "Advance 69," "Buzzard," ground unit "Condor."
3:27pm: Comms with CONDOR: "Confirm you are a convoy of 5 vehicles."
[292.2, 376.125 (clear & green), 328.025 (Blue 6), 129.725 (w Condor),
14.615 GHz video xmit]

ORION (AKA "LA-051"): P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-5 "Mad Foxes"
2:28pm: Working at Avon Park Bombing Range with STRIKESTAR, Advance 69,
Buzzard, etc.
[292.2, 328.025, 349.0, 269.3]

NASA 962: T-38N #70-1556, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston.
3:20pm: Inbound Shuttle Landing Facility
[132.65, 128.55]

MAKO 11: F-16C, Homestead ARB 482FW 93FS "Makos"
3:34pm; To Pinecastle.
[273.55, 293.225, 239.25, 307.1, 139.8]

NASA 924: T-38N #67-14825, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston.
3:44pm: Inbound Shuttle Landing Facility
[133.475, 132.65, 128.55]

JOSA 987: C-21A #84-0115, Andrews?
3:45pm: Inbound Macdill with DV A-4 + 1 duty pas, + 1 Space-A; will RTB
Andrews.
5:26pm: Dep 2217z.
[311.0]

N697US: NA-265-65 Sabreliner, United Space Alliance (NASA Contractor)
3:55pm: Space Coast RAP.

ROPER 93: C-130H, Ft Worth TX-ANG 136AW 181AS
5:04pm: Inbd MacDill.
[311.0]

PAT ###: C-12R #95-00092
5:05pm: Inbd KHST/KMCF, with DVs A-7 and A-5 + 4 aboard.
[372.2]

BLADE 21: F/A-18, Flight of 2, Beaufort MCAS VMFA-115 "Silver Eagles"
5:45pm: Lands Patrick AFB.
[307.25, 338.2, 339.8, 239.275, 269.375]
Photos: P3270660-663: Tails VE-203 (lead), VE-210 (wingman).

NASA 905?
5:40pm: Inbound Shuttle Landing Facility Rnwy 15.
NASA 905 is one of NASA's B-747 Shuttle Carrier Acft, used to transport
the orbiter back to KSC when it lands at Edwards AFB. I heard the pilot
use the "905" callsign several times, but never "905 heavy." Maybe

Tuesday I will confirm it is the B-747 and not just a mis-identified
T-38.
[132.65, 128.55]

KING 64: HC-130P #64-14864, Patrick AFB 920RQW 39RQS
5:58pm: Departs Patrick.
10:15pm: Inbound Patrick.
[255.4, 321.0, 133.75]

TERRA 5; JSTARS Ground Sta, Northrop Grumman JSTARS Facility.
6:15pm: Extensive radio testing.
[231.75]

NAVY 3E 291: T-34C, Whiting Field TW-5
7:06pm: Flying from Ft Pierce to Tallahassee.
[255.4]

JOLLY 22: HH-60G, Patrick AFB 920RQW 301RQS
7:07pm: Local training.
[269.375]

KING 16: HC-130, Moody AFB 41RQW 71RQS
10:00pm: P-J Drops at Avon Park Bombing Range; PJs unable to get
extraction chute off rnwy; RTB Moody.
[292.2, 127.2]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KTTS)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

1034. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO MAINE PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-28 10:26:19 UTC
From: Young Nick <hothere@pit.com>
Message-ID: <44290fc0$1_1@mk-nntp-2.news.uk.tiscali.com>
Source record: 9614
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

What a pratt.

Young Nick

1035. fuck off Markie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-28 13:28:19 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1143552499.140761.159020@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9615
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

sucked off an_old_underaged boyfriend wrote:
> BelchingBevtheRatQueen wrote:
> > Suck My Flailing, Turgid, Fleshpipe....Bitch!
> get help

Get to sucking off your diseased ridden daddy, MARKY.

1036. FS: MAHA MH-C777PLUS-II Battery Charger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-28 15:14:32 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <E_idnR8x2clGz7TZnZ2dneKdnZydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9616

FOR SALE:

Powerex MAHA MH-C777PLUS-II Battery Charger & Analyzer.
"Like New", with original box, etc.

$50.00 including shipping via Priority Mail, within the U.S.
[PayPal Accepted!]

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

1037. [SOLD] Re: MAHA MH-C777PLUS-II Battery Charger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-28 16:13:20 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <6q2dndtMgs05_bTZRVn-gA@comcast.com>
Source record: 9617

Item has been sold.

Bill

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:E_idnR8x2clGz7TZnZ2dneKdnZydnZ2d@comcast.com...
> FOR SALE:
>
> Powerex MAHA MH-C777PLUS-II Battery Charger & Analyzer.
> "Like New", with original box, etc.
>
> $50.00 including shipping via Priority Mail, within the U.S.
> [PayPal Accepted!]
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker
>
>

1038. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-28 16:30:52 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1143563452.853123.234660@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9618
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> sucked off an_old_underaged boyfriend wrote:
> > BelchingBevtheRatQueen wrote:
> > > Suck My Flailing, Turgid, Fleshpipe....Bitch!
> > get help
>
> Get to sucking off your diseased ridden daddy, MARKY.
get help

1039. Re: Pro-96 no longer for sale at Radio Shack?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-28 17:05:13 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1143565513.865398.77340@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9619
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Cite your source on this statement. Or did you just make it up?

running dogg wrote:

> The average Chinese is better educated than the average American!

1040. Re: Pro-96 no longer for sale at Radio Shack?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-28 17:30:50 UTC
From: N9NEO <yzordderrex@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1143567050.418353.291460@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9620
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I've come to expect most of the clerks at the shack don't know what they're talking about. And yes, you pay peanuts - you get monkeys. I just tell em I don't need any help when they ask.

I went in to the local shack couple years back and asked if they had ICs.
"Whaddayamean ICs" said the kid.
"Ya know, integrated Circuits"
"Nope, we don't have any of those."
So I reached over and plucked up the dual D flip-flop I was looking for and said, "You don't have any of these?"

Not long after that I went in to the shack for something else. I got what I needed and headed over to the cash register corral. I'm like sixth guy in line and there's one register open. I'm already getting kinda flustered cause I really don't like standing in line. I catch one of the clerk turds on the floor PLAYING WITH A RC CAR. I yelled up the line to the lone kid manning the only open register " Hey Buddy, think you can get your pal over here to put away the toy and open another register?" Got a few smiles and nods from the others in line.

I left the store and went over to Walmart and picked up a few things. Was about an hour later that I happened to walk by the Rad Shack and I saw the store manager playing with a RC car out on the sidewalk

Pay peanuts - get monkeys.

1041. Re: Pro-96 no longer for sale at Radio Shack?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-28 18:13:15 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <48tclsFlm5csU1@individual.net>
Source record: 9621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

<miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
news:1143565513.865398.77340@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Cite your source on this statement. Or did you just make it up?
>
> running dogg wrote:
>
> > The average Chinese is better educated than the average American!

Running pup often talks out of his buttocks.

1042. Re: Pro-96 no longer for sale at Radio Shack?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-28 20:21:53 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1143577313.744110.214570@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9622
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Buying ICs these days means mail order. Digikey, Mouser, Active. etc.
Even a Fry's only sells NTE, not real parts.

N9NEO wrote:
> I've come to expect most of the clerks at the shack don't know what
> they're talking about. And yes, you pay peanuts - you get monkeys. I
> just tell em I don't need any help when they ask.
>
> I went in to the local shack couple years back and asked if they had
> ICs.
> "Whaddayamean ICs" said the kid.
> "Ya know, integrated Circuits"
> "Nope, we don't have any of those."
> So I reached over and plucked up the dual D flip-flop I was looking for
> and said, "You don't have any of these?"
>
> Not long after that I went in to the shack for something else. I got
> what I needed and headed over to the cash register corral. I'm like
> sixth guy in line and there's one register open. I'm already getting
> kinda flustered cause I really don't like standing in line. I catch
> one of the clerk turds on the floor PLAYING WITH A RC CAR. I yelled up
> the line to the lone kid manning the only open register " Hey Buddy,
> think you can get your pal over here to put away the toy and open
> another register?" Got a few smiles and nods from the others in line.
>
> I left the store and went over to Walmart and picked up a few things.
> Was about an hour later that I happened to walk by the Rad Shack and I
> saw the store manager playing with a RC car out on the sidewalk
>
> Pay peanuts - get monkeys.

1043. Re: WTB:Laptop for Radio Software (2006/Opto456 Trade)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-28 22:51:47 UTC
From: Bruce Markowitz <scosgt@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <76jWf.643809$qk4.569421@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 9623

Reply to me direct. Your email bounces.
"Scan Da Bands" <vzeip5vc@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:riph22t994tisqp17gmrkprgnp2s2abb5a@4ax.com...
> oh around $225 - $250 , go for more on ebay but I'm not looking for a
> killing just a laptop to run some radio software with, mainly Probe
> 7.0
>
> On Mon, 27 Mar 2006 16:13:07 GMT, "Bruce Markowitz"
> <scosgt@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >I have many low end IBM ThinkPads available. What do you value your
scanner
> >at?
> >"Scan Da Bands" <vzeip5vc@verizon.net> wrote in message
> >news:btse225rjjt66udfmmol8s2njd9067n413@4ax.com...
> >> Looking for a laptop, 3-500mhz in excellent condition. Must have 9 pin
> >> com port , USB , CD , Floppy , etc.I have a Pro-2006 with the FULL
> >> Opto 456 in used condition working 100%.I will trade even for the
> >> right laptop. IBM 390 is a good example of what I'm looking for.
> >

1044. Re: Icom R-7000 Police/Fire Radio Scanner Package - $800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-29 05:06:43 UTC
From: Doug Davis <dougd@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <tNqdnZHbPKZLiLfZ4p2dnA@buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 9624
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

SOLD!

>
> ---
>
> (1) r-7000 in good shape- cost about $1400.00 new, FULL COVERAGE 25 MHz
> thru 2000 MHz. I sent it back to icom and had it re- aliened to factory
> specs. I have the receipt for this. manual , original box, service manual
> ($30.00) new.
>
> (2) rc-12 for ic r-7000, icom remote controller , board is installed in
> radio, in original box , manual, just like new. cost ($129.00) new.
>
> (3) TV-r7000 icom TV/fm receive adapter, new, never installed, in original
> box, manual cost ($249.00) "this item is very hard to find."
>
> (4) ct-17 icom communication interface- v (ci-v) level converter. original
> box, manual, good shape , works great, cost (129.00) new this device can
> control 4 icom radios.
>
> I have this radio up and running now.
>
> I would like to sell this all in one package.
>
> $800.00 Firm.
>
>
> a.. this is in or around Port Clinton, Ohio
>
>
>

1045. WTB: Watson W-901 Airgainer antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-29 05:16:46 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <2LoWf.60355$H71.3600@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 9625

Let me know if you are willing to sell. Scanners Unlimited has them new for $44.95, but I don't want to spend that much. I already have a Diamond RH77CA...

Frank

1046. Mil Acft Radio Receptions Tues 28 Mar 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-29 06:25:53 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1143613553.850465.230020@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9626

Patrick AFB Area Log - Tues 28 Mar 2006

BLADE 21: F/A-18: Tails VE-203, VE-210, Beaufort MCAS VMFA-115
9:15am: Departs to Beaufort.
[289.4, 269.375, 281.425, 307.0, 273.55; 339.5 air-air]

WIZARD: E-8C JSTARS (Mission Crew callsign).
9:30am: Secure bursts on 372.3 and 351.025. Clear comms with Northrop Grumman JSTARS Ops, with JSTARS Ground Station "Terra 5," and with JSTARS Ground Mobile Station "Star 01." Also SATCOM. TOD Mickeys passed often. Have Quick comms used. Comms continous through the day and into the evening.
[123.2, 372.3, 351.025, 301.55]

NASA 904; T-38N #63-8204, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston
9:56am: Announces inbound to SLF while over MacDill AFB.
10:09am: Lands at Shuttle Landing Facility.
[284.0, 124.8, 128.55]

PITMAN 15: F-16CJ, Shaw AFB 20FW
10:45am: Inbound to CAP (Combat Air Patrol) over Orlando; rqsts switch to ATC VHF freq. Comms with OakGrove.
[273.55, 134.0, 265.4, 385.6].

JSTARS 04: E-8C JSTARS (Flight crew for Wizard)
11:47am: Comms with MacDill AFB's Lightning Ops re status of tanker "Bolt 21." Also radio checks with Patrick AFB ground control.
[123.2, 311.0, 335.8, 243.0, 308.85]

LT 621: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-62 "Broad Arrows"
12:00noon: Touch-and-goes at Patrick AFB.
[133.75]

VIKING 42: C-130H, AFRC Minn-St Paul 934AW "Flying Vikings"
12:30pm: Departs Patrick AFB.
[133.75, 118.2]
Stayed low for quite a while. Wondering if Tim Tarchick was getting his last look-see at the area. Tarchick was cmdr of the 920th and is leaving to take over the 934AW.

BOLT 21: KC-135R, MacDill AFB 6AMW
12:49pm: Comms with JSTARS 04 re AAR.
[311.0, 125.075]

CHIEF 11: F-15E, Seymour Johnson AFB 4FW 335FS "Chiefs"
1:03pm: AAR with tanker "Steel." Drops GBU-31s at Avon Park Bombing

Range.
[292.2, 285.725]

SWINE 11: A-10, Barksdale 917W 47FTS
1:50pm: Works Avon Park Bombing Range.
[292.2, 264.625; 138.875 air-air]

SHARK 21: F-16C flight of 2, Homestead ARB 482FW 93FS "Makos"
3:05pm: Works Avon Park Bombing Range: 120 rounds 20mm each, 4 Mk-82s apiece.
[292.2, 285.725]

PAT 432: US Army Priority Air Transport
3:27pm: Area transition.
[132.15]

JSTARS 88: E-8C, Robins AFB.
5:20pm: Arrives at Avon Park Bombing Range; informs Avon: "We will be on station to work with Condor." "Will be establishing orbit."
6:58pm: Comms with SWINEs.
[292.2, 355.25]

ALLEYCAT: E-8C JSTARS Mission Crew
5:45pm: Comms with FAC "Condor," SWINEs.
8:01pm: Comms with Gambler (355.25).
9:05pm: Informs FAC "Advance" that "the front end JSTARS 88 has control and they are RTB Warner Robins AFB."
[292.2, 264.625, 355.25, 129.725]

RHET 99: KC-135R, Robins AFB
5:50pm: Arrives at Avon Park Bombing Range. AAR with SWINEs.
[292.2, 349.0, 127.2, 238.9]

SHOOTER 11: F-16CJ flight of 4, Shaw 20FW 55FS
6:08pm: Arrives in area, to Avon Park Bombing Range. Works in Placid MOA. AAR with RHET 99. Comms with FAC Advance. Comms re UAV Firebird.
7:38pm: RTB Shaw.
[257.7, 292.2, 264.625; 346.65; 141.9 air-air]

SWINE 31: A-10A flight of 4, Barksdale 917W 47FTS
6:15pm: Arrives Avon Park Bombing Range w 6 Mk-82s apiece, 20mm ammo; 15 min playtime. Later AAR with RHET 99. Comms with Shotgun: "Can you get the UAV off to the west and we will stay to the east?" "ASOS is on 300.0; ASOS Victor is 129.725."
[292.2, 264.625, 129.725, 300.0; 138.425 & 138.875 air-air]

BOLT 40: KC-135R, MacDill AFB 6AMW
6:59pm: Informs GFAC Condor "on station."
7:15pm: AAR with GAMBLERs.
[300.0, 238.9]

GAMBLER 01: F-16CJ flight of four, Shaw AFB 20FW
7:04pm: Arrives in area. Rendezvous with BOLT 40 for AAR.

8:01pm: Comms with Alleycat (355.25).
8:35pm; RTB Shaw AFB.
[269.3, 349.0, 292.2, 238.9, 129.725, 355.25; 140.375, 141.75 air-air]

KING 76: HC-130P #65-0976, Patrick AFB 920RQW 39RQS
7:00pm: Departs Patrick for Cape Canaveral AFS for P-J drops there.
Comms with retrieval mobile Gondola Charlie.
7:28pm: Delays landing at Skid Strip when one of P-Js lands in trees.
9:29pm: In Patrick area.
[133.75, 118.625, 251.9]

TBOLTs: F/A-18C, Beaufort VMFA-251
7:35pm: ATC Comms.
[282.2]

CHIEF 11:
7:45pm: Inbound Avon Park Bombing Range; will rendezvous with BOLT 40.
9:20pm: RTB to Seymour Johnson as two flights: Chief 11 & Chief 13.
[257.7, 292.2, 386.75, 269.3, 273.55]

AKULA 31: F-16C, Homestead ARB 482FW 93FS "Makos"
8:23pm: Checks in with Condor (129.725), then Advance (264.625) at Avon
Park Bombing Range.
9:10pm: Leaving South Tac Range to North Tac Range.
9:24pm; RTB to Homestead; comms with Mr Mako.
[129.725, 281.5, 349.0, 264.625, 285.725; 143.8 Mr Mako, 141.7 air-air]

JOLLY 21, 22: HH-60G, Patrick AFB 920RQW 301RQS
8:34pm: Departs Patrick.
9:36pm: Lands.
[133.75, 255.5]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KTTS)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

1047. Re: Pro-96 no longer for sale at Radio Shack?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-29 07:04:17 UTC
From: Dave <drake3d@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <-6udnQLF2aOwrLfZnZ2dnUVZ_vydnZ2d@adelphia.com>
Source record: 9627

Well I have 4 in stock at my store. And RS.com will pull am item now if it is expected to be out of stock for more than a few days.

Oh I do work for RadioShack and I am a ham. My staff and I do know what we are talking about, but with the change of focus moving away from do it yourselfers, RS is changing also. I grew up in the Boston area and had a ton of parts shops around they all closed over a decade ago because most people do not do it themselves anymore. So RS has been and is changing with the times. They have been doing it since there inception in Boston many many years ago. If you want speciality parts go online to mouser, fry's and the others that have filled the vacuum. As for scanners well unfortuanately even the digital ones are never 100% on because of many reasons.

73
KB1HUP
Dave

"Dan" <noemail@forspambots.com> wrote in message
news:dbkf22pjghpjoivql3a0qe4f2onpu57ksj@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 24 Mar 2006 18:30:06 -0500, lsmyer <lsmyer@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>Posted today at the Radio Shack Scanner forum at RadioReference.com:
>>
>>The Pro-96 Scanner is still an active part of RadioShack's product line.
>>However, our stock is low at this time due to high demand. We hope to
>>restock this scanner soon and apologize for any inconvenience this may
>>cause you.
>>
>>Here's the link:
>>http://radioreference.com/forums/showthread.php?t=33975
>
> Interesting reply from R/S... and while I don't deny that it certainly
> is "plausible", it seems a bit fishy... and I know all too well how
> the world of PR works.
>
> Why actually "pull" the item from the website? Why not simply show it
> as "Out of Stock"? (As they currently do for the Pro-2096)
>
> -Dan
>

1048. good long distance radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-29 13:39:07 UTC
From: Fastau B <queryd4girl@plum4bbob32domain.com>
Message-ID: <a9ydnfyjS7pqELfZnZ2dnUVZ_tqdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9628

I'm sick of these Frs radios. I want a high power radio that I can take
hiking.
Give me a clue please.
Maybe one that uses repeaters but I am open to anything.

1049. Re: New 100w FM Transmitter - ?295 Boxed and ready to go!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-29 13:40:08 UTC
From: Fastau B <queryd4girl@plum4bbob32domain.com>
Message-ID: <iOqdnXqn_MepE7fZRVn-vQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 9629

why have a picture of a black box on the home page of a web site?
What about all the typos?
Will you care about making my order right more than you care about fixing
your website?

1050. Re: decoders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-29 13:50:11 UTC
From: Fastau B <queryd4girl@plum4bbob32domain.com>
Message-ID: <P5Gdna0-edoSDbfZnZ2dnUVZ_uidnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9630

what the hell for?
Get a job.

1051. Re: Listening to Encrypted Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-29 13:52:30 UTC
From: Fastau B <queryd4girl@plum4bbob32domain.com>
Message-ID: <YcidndxH9vKEDLfZnZ2dneKdnZydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9631

Steal a radio

1052. Retard Marky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-29 14:19:33 UTC
From: marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1143641973.174966.129700@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9632
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
an old perverted retard wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > sucked off an_old_underaged boyfriend wrote:
> > > BelchingBevtheRatQueen wrote:
> > > > Suck My Flailing, Turgid, Fleshpipe....Bitch!
> > > get help
> >
> > Get to sucking off your diseased ridden daddy, MARKY.
> get

Get to dying of your AIDS, Marky.
```

1053. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-29 16:38:48 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1143650328.050025.48540@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old perverted retard wrote:

> > > Get to sucking off your diseased ridden daddy, MARKY.
> > get
>
> Get to dying of your AIDS, Marky.
get help

help a word you seem to be afraid to even read

1054. Re: good long distance radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-29 21:15:00 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1143666904.631569@yasure.drizzle.com>
Source record: 9634

> On Wed, 29 Mar 2006 06:39:07 -0700, "Fastau B"
> <queryd4girl@plum4bbob32domain.com> wrote:
>
>>I'm sick of these Frs radios. I want a high power radio that I can take
>>hiking.
>>Give me a clue please.
>>Maybe one that uses repeaters but I am open to anything.
>

What kind of distance are you trying to get? Have you tried the GMRS radios?
They can be had in a model that puts out 5 watts instead of 1/2 watt that
you get out of the FRS. They claim 14 miles. They of course do not go that
far unless you are on the water, but they will give you a hell of a lot more
range than the FRS. The ones made by Midland run about $60.00 a pair for the
ones that use AA batteries.
--
"Everything in excess! To enjoy the flavor of life, take big bites.
Moderation is for monks."
[Lazarus Long]

1055. Re: good long distance radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-29 23:20:24 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1143674429.666621@yasure.drizzle.com>
Source record: 9635

"Evan Platt" <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote in message
news:mqvl22h8o0vuk77noo0h63bagbb5u0u3fg@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 29 Mar 2006 13:15:00 -0800, "Alex Clayton"
> <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>What kind of distance are you trying to get? Have you tried the GMRS
>>radios?
>>They can be had in a model that puts out 5 watts instead of 1/2 watt that
>>you get out of the FRS. They claim 14 miles. They of course do not go that
>>far unless you are on the water, but they will give you a hell of a lot
>>more
>>range than the FRS. The ones made by Midland run about $60.00 a pair for
>>the
>>ones that use AA batteries.
>
> Umm yeah, that's more or less what I said, and you replied to my post
> cutting out where I said that.
>
> You won't get 5 miles with GMRS. And if you're hiking, and on the
> other side of a mountain as someone else, not much but a repeater will
> help.

Ok, I was responding to queryd4girl@plum4bbob32domain.com
I had not seen the original post. I did not realize you were posting and
answering yourself under different screen names. <shrug>

--
"Everything in excess! To enjoy the flavor of life, take big bites.
Moderation is for monks."
[Lazarus Long]

1056. Re: good long distance radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-29 23:53:32 UTC
From: Buzzygirl <buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net>
Message-ID: <K86dnZIpdbl2gLbZRVn-qw@comcast.com>
Source record: 9636

An amateur radio handi-talkie with a decent antenna will get out a lot farther than 5 miles, especially using a repeater. The most powerful handies put out 7 watts of power. In order to get an amateur radio license, you'll need to buy a study guide and take a written exam-- it's not difficult, but it will take some time to study for it. It doesn't cost much for the license, but there will be a materials fee to the volunteer examiner who administers the FCC exam, about $20. And a good handi-talkie will cost more than $150.

Jackie

1057. Re: fuck off Markie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 00:30:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6FFWf.6278$HW2.5138@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 9637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

> Get to sucking off your diseased ridden daddy, MARKY.

What turned you into a sociopathic, defective lump of carbon-based life,
Roger?

Dave K8MN

1058. Re: good long distance radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 01:39:52 UTC
From: Evin_Splat <nospam@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <JFGWf.55$tF2.68676@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 9641

Hey,

Get yourself one of those there GMRS radios. You'll need a license, and a repeater close by. blah blah blah

"Fastau B" <queryd4girl@plum4bbob32domain.com> wrote in message news:a9ydnfyjS7pqELfZnZ2dnUVZ_tqdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> I'm sick of these Frs radios. I want a high power radio that I can take
> hiking.
> Give me a clue please.
> Maybe one that uses repeaters but I am open to anything.
>
>

1059. Re: Pro-96 no longer for sale at Radio Shack?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 02:00:30 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <9rem225b2v5mjqd80vdfdadpdig658a0ua@4ax.com>
Source record: 9638
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 28 Mar 2006 09:30:50 -0800, "N9NEO" <yzordderrex@verizon.net>
wrote:

>I left the store and went over to Walmart and picked up a few things.
>Was about an hour later that I happened to walk by the Rad Shack and I
>saw the store manager playing with a RC car out on the sidewalk

>Pay peanuts - get monkeys.

When the CEO is a fake college grad, what can you expect but fake
employees, for the most part.

If you find a RS with a good knowledgeable employee, treasure that
store and don't go to the ones with the burger-kiddies ("Would you
like any cellphones with that?").

1060. Re: SPAM: Please Rape Me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 02:01:58 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <50fm22lqmiunrunm1igfkuo2iivuc25ma8@4ax.com>
Source record: 9639

On Mon, 27 Mar 2006 19:48:19 GMT, Jesse <org@org.orgy> wrote:

>Your stretching it just assuming the she is really a she, for starters.
>Remotely possible, but highly unlikely.

But you'll never know, will you?

1061. please the tiel title your chose to progate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 02:40:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1143686400.791130.275610@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9640
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Dave Heil wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > Get to sucking off your diseased ridden daddy, MARKY.
>
> What turned you into a sociopathic, defective lump of carbon-based life,
> Roger?

this sort of behavoir is thederect result of people like stave and to a
lessor extent yourself deciding that RRAP was a furom aout human
sexaulity
>
> Dave K8MN

1062. Re: New 100w FM Transmitter - ?295 Boxed and ready to go!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 04:39:34 UTC
From: JSF <jsf@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <5a6dnX0hWM-R_LbZnZ2dnUVZ_uWdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9642

What the hell does this have to do with Scanners anyway.

"Fastau B" <queryd4girl@plum4bbob32domain.com> wrote in message
news:iOqdnXqn_MepE7fZRVn-vQ@comcast.com...
> why have a picture of a black box on the home page of a web site?
> What about all the typos?
> Will you care about making my order right more than you care about fixing
> your website?
>
>
>

1063. Re: SPAM: Please Rape Me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 04:45:17 UTC
From: Jesse <org@org.orgy>
Message-ID: <Xns9795F1A704BC2ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 9643

Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in
news:50fm22lqmiunrunm1igfkuo2iivuc25ma8@4ax.com:

> On Mon, 27 Mar 2006 19:48:19 GMT, Jesse <org@org.orgy> wrote:
>
>>Your stretching it just assuming the she is really a she, for starters.
>>Remotely possible, but highly unlikely.
>
> But you'll never know, will you?
>

Nor care.
1 thing, I honestly have no shortage of genuine horny nymphs.
Second, KC is a long ways away from me, and theres plenty available in my
local area.
If you feel the need to pursue the matter in some fashion, by all means, go
for it.

1064. Re: good long distance radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 06:00:04 UTC
From: Fastau B <queryd4girl@plum4bbob32domain.com>
Message-ID: <isCdnaNg_Z5C7rbZnZ2dnUVZ_tidnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9644

What about GMRS??? Anyone ever heard of those?

1065. THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 09:01:04 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1143709263.962882.187800@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9645
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

K1MAN BULLETIN - B060329A -

FCC ISSUES A "FORFEITURE ORDER" AGAINST K1MAN

The "Order" is attached below. What Now?

1. The FCC has declined to provide a requested hearing, and the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution requires a hearing and due process of law before they can collect a dime much less the "ORDERED" "fine" of $21,000. Even a traffic ticket gets a hearing, right?

2. Therefore, the next step for the FCC, by statute, is to now sue K1MAN for the claimed $21,000 in Federal District Court in Bangor, Maine where K1MAN would demand a trial by jury (trial de novo), subpoena witnesses (Hollingsworth, Boston Office Engineers, hams, etc.), file motions, etc. The FCC can't even bring such a suit, of course, because the minimum claim in Federal District Court is $50,000.

3. By statute, unresolved forfeiture matters such as above cannot be used in any other FCC proceeding such as K1MAN's pending renewal application.

4. By statute, K1MAN can and will continue to operate until the renewal application is finally decided including all appeals to the D.C. Court of Appeals and the U.S. Supreme Court.

Bottom line is that the FCC is blowing phony legal smoke leading to Hollingsworth's 2006 Dayton "Special Achievement Award" which is not too bad for a guy who was sick on the day they taught law at law school. Wake Forest Law School should refund all of his hard earned money! Good luck in the contest!

73 and GL de K1MAN

Glenn A. Baxter, P.E.

Before the

Federal Communications Commission

Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of

Glenn A. Baxter

Belgrade Lakes, ME

Licensee of Amateur Radio Station K1MAN

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

File No. EB-04-BS-111

NAL/Acct. No. 200532260001

FRN 0013164975

FORFEITURE ORDER

Adopted: March 27, 2006 Released: March 29, 2006

By the Regional Director, Northeast Region, Enforcement Bureau:

I. INTRODUCTION

In this Forfeiture Order ("Order"), we issue a monetary forfeiture in the amount of twenty-one thousand dollars ($21,000) to Glenn A. Baxter ("Baxter"), licensee of Amateur Radio Station K1MAN, for willful and repeated violation of Sections 97.101(d) and 97.113(a)(3) of the Commission's Rules ("Rules"), for willful violation of Section 97.105(a) and 97.113(b) of the Rules, and for willful and repeated failure to file required information pursuant to an Enforcement Bureau ("Bureau") directive. The noted violations of the Rules involve interference with the ongoing communications of other Amateur radio stations, failure to exercise station control, transmission of communications in which Baxter had a pecuniary interest, and transmission of communications that constituted impermissible broadcasting.

II. BACKGROUND

In response to numerous complaints of deliberate interference caused by transmissions from Baxter's Amateur Radio Station K1MAN to ongoing radio communications of other amateur stations, the Bureau issued Warning Notices to Baxter on September 15, 2004 and October 29, 2004. Agents in the Commission's Boston Field Office ("Boston Office") subsequently conducted an investigation, including monitoring and inspecting Baxter's station and, as a result of the investigation, the Boston Office issued a Notice of Apparent Liability to Baxter on June 7, 2005, in the amount of twenty-one thousand dollars ($21,000).

In the NAL, the Boston Office found that Baxter apparently willfully and repeatedly violated Section 97.101(d) of the Rules, which states that "[n]o amateur operator shall willfully or maliciously interfere with or cause interference to any radio communication or signal." FCC agents observed Baxter's Amateur Radio Station K1MAN commence transmissions on top of existing communications on 3.890 MHz on November 27, 2004, December 8, 2004, and March 31, 2005.

The Boston Office further observed that Baxter apparently willfully and repeatedly violated Section 97.113(a)(3) of the Rules by transmitting, on November 25, 2004, and March 30, 2005, information regarding his website, which offers various products for sale, including a monthly newsletter published by Baxter and offered for sale for forty-five dollars per year. In addition, on December 1, 2004, Baxter transmitted a seventy-minute interview with a person who was considering whether to retain Baxter Associates, an employment-search firm owned by Baxter. During the transmission, Baxter discussed fees for his franchises, investments, and franchising opportunities. Section 97.113(a)(3) of the Rules prohibits an Amateur station from transmitting any communications in which the station licensee or control operator has a pecuniary interest.

The Boston Office also concluded that Baxter apparently willfully and repeatedly violated Section 308(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, which provides that the Commission "during the term of any. . .licenses, may require from...a licensee further written statements of fact to enable it to determine whether such original application should be granted or denied or such license revoked . . . ." Baxter was directed in Warning Notices dated September 15 and October 29, 2004, to provide information regarding how station K1MAN was controlled and the identity of the control operator. The Boston Office found that Mr. Baxter's statements that "[n]o corrective actions are necessary" and "[n]o changes are needed with regard to station control" failed to comply with the Bureau's demand for station information.

Baxter also was found to have apparently willfully violated Section 97.113(b) of the Rules, which prohibits, with limited exceptions not applicable in this case, an Amateur station from engaging in any form of broadcasting or transmission of one-way communications. The Boston Office concluded that the pre-recorded seventy-minute interview with a person interested in retaining Baxter Associates, during which there was no station identification, constituted a "broadcast" and an impermissible one-way transmission.

The Boston Office further concluded that Baxter apparently willfully failed to exercise control over his station, as required under Section 97.105(a) of the Rules. On December 19, 2004, station K1MAN repeated the same pre-recorded phrase, and segments thereof, for 45 minutes on 3.975 MHz after which the transmissions ended abruptly in mid-sentence without the station identification required by the Rules.

Baxter submitted a reply on June 16, 2005 denying any liability for the forfeiture amount. Citing the Fifth and Sixth amendments to the United

States Constitution, Baxter requests "all documentation regarding the alleged apparent liability and. . .a trial like hearing before the full Commission." Baxter notes that, although he previously has received three Notices of Apparent Liability, he has not been able to appeal those to the full Commission and therefore repeatedly has been denied access to "the Washington D.C. Court of Appeals in the pursuit of due process of law as guaranteed by the United States Constitution." Baxter does not submit any substantive responses to the apparent violations set forth in the NAL.

On July 18, 2005, REC Networks ("REC"), through its principal Rich Eyre, filed a Petition for Leave to File Amicus Pleading and an associated Motion for Partial Reconsideration or Declaratory Ruling. REC is concerned that the findings in the NAL regarding Baxter's apparent liability for violating Section 97.113(a)(3) of the Rules by transmitting references to his website could subject many amateur radio licensees to similar enforcement action merely for uttering a website address on their stations.

III. DISCUSSION

The forfeiture amount proposed in this case was assessed in accordance with Section 503(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended ("Act"), Section 1.80 of the Rules, and the Commission's Forfeiture Policy Statement and Amendment of Section 1.80 of the Rules to Incorporate the Forfeiture Guidelines. In assessing forfeitures, Section 503(b)(2)(D) of the Act requires that we take into account the nature, circumstances, extent and gravity of the violation and, with respect to the violator, the degree of culpability, any history of prior offenses, ability to pay, and such other matters as justice may require.

We decline to grant Baxter's request for a hearing. Section 503(b)(3)(A) of the Act states that "[a]t the discretion of the Commission, a forfeiture penalty may be determined against a person .. after notice and an opportunity for a hearing before the Commission or an administrative law judge...." Section 1.80(g) of the Rules states that procedures for hearings "will ordinarily be followed only when a hearing is being held for some reason other than the assessment of a forfeiture...." Accordingly, the "reference to a notice of opportunity for hearing mentioned in Section 1.80(g) of the Commission's Rules is a discretionary provision available to the Commission that is not normally utilized when only monetary forfeiture matters are involved." The Rule also states, however, that "these [hearing] procedures may be followed whenever the Commission, in its discretion, determines that they will better serve the ends of justice."

Baxter has not shown that there is any reason for us to follow anything but our ordinary procedures for monetary forfeitures or that a hearing "will better serve the ends of justice." Baxter received notice regarding the legal and factual bases for the apparent violations and proposed forfeiture and has been afforded an opportunity to respond

"why no such forfeiture penalty should be imposed." Although Baxter categorically claims no liability for the forfeiture, he has chosen not to present any specific exculpatory arguments or evidence in response to the violations set forth in the NAL. A licensee's decision to forego the opportunity to present exculpatory arguments and evidence in response to a NAL does not create a right to a hearing and, accordingly, we conclude that an evidentiary hearing is not required in this case.

We have examined Baxter's response to the NAL pursuant to the statutory factors above and in conjunction with the Policy Statement. As a result of our review, and based on the record before us, we conclude that Baxter willfully and repeatedly interfered with ongoing communications of other stations in violation of Section 97.101(d) of the Rules. We further conclude that Baxter willfully and repeatedly transmitted communications in which he had a pecuniary interest in violation of Section 97.113(a)(3) of the Rules. We find that Baxter willfully and repeatedly failed to file requested information pursuant to a Bureau directive. We further find that Baxter willfully engaged in broadcasting in violation of 97.113(b) of the Rules and willfully failed to exercise control of his station in violation of Section 97.105(a) of the Rules. Considering the entire record and the factors listed above, we find that neither reduction nor cancellation of the proposed $21,000 forfeiture is warranted.

Finally, we deny REC's Petition for Leave to File Amicus Pleading because we find no basis for consideration of the associated petition, either as a petition for reconsideration or as a petition for declaratory ruling. Although REC recognizes that it does not have standing to file pleadings in this proceeding, REC requests that we accept its Petition because it believes the findings in the NAL regarding references to websites on amateur radio stations may impact other amateur licensees. As a petition for reconsideration, REC's pleading is premature. A Notice of Apparent Liability is not a "final Commission action," which is a predicate for filing a petition for reconsideration under Section 1.106 of the Rules. Therefore, as a petition for reconsideration, REC's pleading will be dismissed with prejudice. In the alternative, REC requests that we treat the pleading as a petition for declaratory ruling. Because the petition seeks an interpretation of the Commission's Amateur Radio Service rules as they relate to website references on amateur radio stations, it is more appropriately addressed by the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, which is the Bureau responsible for implementing rules and policies with regard to the Amateur Radio Service. Accordingly, the petition for declaratory ruling will be dismissed without prejudice and may be re-filed with the Office of the Secretary in accordance with the procedures set forth in Part 1 of the Rules.

We take this opportunity to note, however, that the violation for which Baxter is being assessed a forfeiture is not the mere mention of a website. Baxter violated Section 97.113(a)(3) of the Rules because he

has a direct pecuniary interest in the products offered for sale on the website about which he provided information on his amateur radio station.

IV. ORDERING CLAUSES

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 503(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and Sections 0.111, 0.311 and 1.80(f)4) of the Rules, Glenn A. Baxter IS LIABLE FOR A MONETARY FORFEITURE in the amount of twenty-one thousand dollars ($21,000) for willfully and repeatedly failing to furnish information requested by the Bureau, willful and repeated violation of Sections 97.101(d)and 97.113(a)(3) of the Rules, and willful violation of Sections 97.105(a) and 97.113(b) of the Rules.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that REC Network's Petition for Leave to File Amicus Pleading IS DENIED and REC Network's Petition for Partial Reconsideration or Declaratory Ruling is in part DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE and in part DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE as set forth herein.

Payment of the forfeiture shall be made in the manner provided for in Section 1.80 of the Rules within thirty (30) days of the release of this Order. If the forfeiture is not paid within the period specified, that case may be referred to the Department of Justice for collection pursuant to Section 504(a) of the Act. Payment of the forfeiture must be made by check or similar instrument, payable to the order of the Federal Communications Commission. The payment must include the NAL/Acct. No. and FRN No. referenced above. Payment by check or money order may be mailed to Federal Communications Commission, P.O. Box 358340, Pittsburgh, PA 15251-8340. Payment by overnight mail may be sent to Mellon Bank /LB 358340, 500 Ross Street, Room 1540670, Pittsburgh, PA 15251. Payment by wire transfer may be made to ABA Number 043000261, receiving bank Mellon Bank, and account number 911-6106. Requests for full payment under an installment plan should be sent to: Associate Managing Director, Financial Operations, 445 12th Street, S.W., Room 1A625, Washington, D.C. 20554.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this Forfeiture Order shall be sent by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, and regular mail, to Glenn A. Baxter at his address of record and to REC Networks at its address of record.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Russell Monie, Jr.

Regional Director, Northeast Region

Enforcement Bureau

1066. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 10:22:41 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <JdWdnQ2eK8btLLbZnZ2dnUVZ_sidnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9646
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Gee, is Glenn still on the air? He expired on 10-17-2005.
It's all a mute point. He is set aside and still pending:
http://tinyurl.com/ktyr7

BRAND NEW ORDER!!!
http://www.fcc.gov/eb/Orders/2006/DA-06-663A1.html

Here are the comments on qrz.com:
http://www.qrz.com/ib-bin/ikonboard.cgi?act=ST&f=3&t=118292

And the old notice:
http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-259301A1.html

It's time for Glenn to find a new hobby.

1067. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 11:24:22 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1143717862.442753.174210@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9647
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

LEGAL, he can still operate until the appeal process is all done.

Todd N9OGL

1068. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 12:12:59 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1143720779.094604.45630@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9648
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Ok, here's a question, Was K1MAN's transmissions directed to the general public, or were they directed to the Amateur Radio Service? You see for it to be consider a "broadcast" the transmission must be directed to the general public. If it's directed to the general public then it's Illegal, BUT, if it directed to the amateur radio community and consists of material of interest to the amateur radio service, then it's legal. One of the problems I have with amateur operators over this thing is that amateur believe that the content that is directed to the amateur radio service must be the same type of format as the ARRL bulletin. (a newscast) yet there is nothing in the rules or regulation that states that, nor is there a FCC order that states that either. It up to the station on how they want to disseminate the information. Section 326 of the Communication Act prohibits the FCC from "controlling the content of ANY radio station" So I would really like to know where it states it has to be a certain format. The next thing is Amateur's have to realize that one, 47CFR 97.3 (a)(25) and 47 CFR 97.111(b)(6) is here to pretty much to stay the last time some one tried to change them and was denied the FCC said they would not hear on the matter any more, Secondly, those two rules is not just for the ARRL, anyone may operate a information bulletin, just like anyone can run a beacon. As the FCC stated in their April of 2004 letter to Baxter and is also on their website "there is no time limit on information bulletins." So there is some real questions regard Baxter and the FCC.
1. was it directed to the general public or to the amateurs?
2. was this enforcement "content neutral"? (the FCC under the law is to make content neutral dissensions in regards to making rules and enforcement action.)
3. Should the FCC clarify the rules a little better?

Todd N9OGL

1069. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 13:09:21 UTC
From: J Michaels <jm@jmkalstvtech.net>
Message-ID: <e0gl52ldm0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 9649
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

riley Fecalworth is a farce, a laughing stock, who works
for an agency (FCC) where the culture of the lie is the basis
of all business conducted by that agency.

the FCC & Hollingsworth are cowards, who will never
present themselves in a forum where they would be
required to tell the truth and deal only with factual
evidence.

FCC & ARRL = partners in the Culture of Corruption

1070. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 13:26:05 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1143725165.865156.19880@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9650
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

N9OGL wrote:
> LEGAL, he can still operate until the appeal process is all done.

Fuck off, faggot, you just want to was Baxturd's dick with your mouth.
And speaking of illegal, your kiddie cartoon porn site will soon be
toast.

1071. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 13:32:09 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1143725529.514050.5080@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9451
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

YOU KEEP SAYING THAT...BUT'S IT'S DAY 4

1072. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 13:39:09 UTC
From: bob_deep@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1143725949.465757.279290@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9452
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

The "broadcasting" charge is but one of the issues and I think the NAL makes it fairly clear why Baxter's activities are not allowed while ARRL transmissions are. However, it doesn't matter that much because they are further charging him with not answering their technical questions about station control and who was the control operator during the observed violations and other things. Any one of these charges is enough for them to collect on the NAL and deny his renewal application. This argument is a straw man which the FCC has now clearly dismissed.

Do some rules need to be clarified? Perhaps. The FCC did recommend that REC re-file and use the proper procedure to get a clarification of the rules in this regard. I think I understand what the rules mean, and how the ARRL (and others) can do what they do and why Baxter's activites, as described in the NAL, is in violaton of the rules. If you don't see the differance, please ask the FCC to clarify, but it seems clear to me.

As to Baxter's claim that they cannot deny his pending application... I wouldn't bet on it. As I see it, these charges are now "confirmed" and from the FCC's perspective no longer pending. The wheels of the FCC may turn slowly, but they *do* turn. This would lead me to believe that the denial of his pending renewal application which is under review will be forthcomming. As I see it, the only question is how long will it take for them to collect the $21k.

Once they deny his pending application, and they will, things will get very interesting indeed. K1MAN will have no authority to operate and you can bet the FCC will be ready to deal with him if he does. Again, it may take awhile, but don't be fooled into thinking they won't act. Should he insist, I'm sure they will eventually pry the microphone out of his hands as they slip on the handcuffs. It could take a few years, but don't think it won't happen.

To Mr Baxter I say the following: Don't do it. You will only loose. Spare yourself, your family, the FCC and us. Just sell all your transmitting equipment so you are not tempted to transmit, pay the fine and get on with your life by finding another hobby. It is very unlikley that you will ever be granted another license so move on. You don't have to take my advice, but you really should consult a competent laywer, you are in trouble if you continue to push this adgenda.

1073. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 13:43:37 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1143726217.893313.91950@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9453
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

N9OGL wrote:
> YOU KEEP SAYING THAT...BUT'S IT'S DAY 4

No, dumbass, it's Thursday.

1074. Retard Mark Morgan has AIDS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 14:10:47 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1143727847.093308.88050@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9454
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

assraped an old underaged boyfreind wrote:
> marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old perverted retard wrote:
>
> > > > Get to sucking off your diseased ridden daddy, MARKY.
> > > get
> >
> > Get to dying of your AIDS, Marky.
> get

Get to dying of your AIDS, faggot.

> help a word you seem to be afraid to even read

English, a language you are afraid to use.

1075. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 14:23:26 UTC
From: J Michaels <jm@jmkalstvtech.net>
Message-ID: <e0gpfn$8vi$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 9455
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

<bob_deep@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1143725949.465757.279290@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> The "broadcasting" charge///////crap flushed///////

Not a single of your assertions has any basis in factual
law. Maybe they do at a Dallas, TX beer bar but not
in a court of law, or hadn't you noticed your IP is
dangling in the open?

1076. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 14:26:08 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <87FD017833.2458EE789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 9456
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On 30 Mar 2006 05:39:09 -0800, bob_deep@yahoo.com <bob_deep@yahoo.com> wrote:
> The "broadcasting" charge is but one of the issues and I think the NAL
> makes it fairly clear why Baxter's activities are not allowed while
> ARRL transmissions are. However, it doesn't matter that much because

K1MAN is a great man, and he will win in the end.

A great man always wins in the end. Always.

1077. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 14:40:22 UTC
From: BelchingBevtheRatQueen <kleebewater709@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1143729622.402534.54770@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

....it's already Friday in Australia ;)

What's the scientific term for the condition wherein you find this
sort of thing funny? I dunno, but I have it. I hung my head in shame
while I clearly laughed at the teacher, cop and priest who caught me
with it. I looked contrite BUT THEY KNEW BETTER!

QUOTE of the day:
"Son, never listen to a priest or a policeman. The only thing they
have the key to is the shithouse." ~ William S. Burroughs

FUCK OWENS | 1929-2006
Singer Found Dead In His Own Vomit
By Randy Lewis, Leaky Times Parody Staff Writer
March 26, 2006

Fuck Owens, the Bakersfield rebel who brought a distinctly California
flavor to country music in the 1950s and '60s and built a Central
Valley-based multimedia empire belying his "Hee Haw"-bred porno
persona, died Saturday. He was 76.

Owens died at his home in Bakersfield, said Jim Shaw, the family's pimp

and longtime member of his Fuckaroos band. The cause of death was
choking on his own vomit from a barbiturate overdose, and the country
music
giant who charted 21 No. 1 country singles from 1963 to 1988, among
them "Act
Naturally," "I've Got a Harlot by the Titty" and "Sweet Poontang From
Hell," had been in declining health for years after undergoing surgery
for anal cancer in 1993.

Just hours before he died, Owens was on stage with a huge erection
Friday night with the Fuckaroos singing at his $5-million Bakersfield
nightclub and restaurant, Fuck Owens' Crystal Meth Palace, something
he'd done
routinely since opening it almost 10 years ago.

"He had come to the club early and had a chicken-fried steak dinner and

bragged that it's his favorite meal," Shaw said. After dinner, Owens told band members he didn't feel up to performing and decided to drive home.
On his way to his car, fans on their way in told him that they had come

from Jakarta, Indonesia, and that they were really looking forward to hearing him fart. Owens turned around and pooted. There were numerous chunks of chicken-fried steak in his drug-induced vomit, stated wetback mexican Bakersfield coroner Herbert 'Jesus' Valasquez.

"He mentioned that onstage: 'If somebody's come all that way, I'm gonna

do the show and give it my best cum shot. I might groan and squeak and fart, but I'll see what I can do,' " Shaw said. "He died in his sleep -
they figure it was about 4:30 [a.m.] - probably of choking on vomit. So
he had his favorite meal, played a show, got laid, and died in his sleep. We thought, that's not too bad."

In the 1950s, when the music coming out of country capital Nashville was laden with swelling groins, swirling toilet water, and choirs of flatulent banshees, Owens put out stripped-down records that were part

Phuck Berry and part Shitboy Williams. His recordings jumped with stinging electric guitar work by his close friend and musical partner Don Rich, further punched up by an energetic electric vagina. Owens also
greatly enjoyed those underground videos of Chuck Berry micturating on his many lady friends, as well as Berry's trend-setting guitar stylings.

"I don't think there have ever been two people in this business closer than Don and I," Owens said backstage after a rare L.A. performance at the House of Blues in 1998. "It's like we could read each other's minds."

The relationship was central to Owens' success, and when Rich was killed in 1974 in a an autoerotic strangulation accident, the loss was devastating. After Rich died, Owens recorded sporadically, but famously

came out of his self-imposed retirement and landed his final No. 1 hit in 1988 with "Suck My Flailing, Turgid Fleshpipe, Bitch." That duet paired
him with Blight Yo Cum, one of
many younger-generation country stars who sang his praises, in part hoping to undo some of the damage inflicted on his musical credibility by his years playing a passive fem in gay porno loops exhibited for decades in some of the more seedy joints around Times Square.

"What a musical motherfucker," said Chris Hillman, a veteran of California rock, country and folk music as a member of the Tyrds, the Farting Burrito Brothers and the Clitoral Climax Blues Band. "His music

had so much norteño and Tex-Mex poop in it. It had such a California influence that Nashville never had....

"That put the state of California on the map. Not better, just different. It had more pussy," Hillman said Saturday. "There was a regional
difference, and the different music that was out here - 90% of which was Hispanic, especially in Central California - filtered right into their
stuff."

Robert Hilburn, former pop music critic at The Times, spouted his usual

inconsequential, pompous nonsense.
"Owens and his indispensable musical partner Don Rich embraced the energy and exuberance of the porno sound," Hilburn said. "Even after all these
years, it's hard to listen to one of his sex moans without joining in."

Nashville also had few country musicians with the business savvy to own

their master recordings, as Owens did, wresting them from Capitol Records in the 1970s after a legal battle. The victory gave him, rather than
the record company, control over how his recordings would be released in
the future. In the 1990s, when Garth Brooks was infamously involved in a
sex scandal involving a number of tranvestites, he
sought Owens' counsel. The elder musician told him to go "fuck himself sideways".

Owens plowed his royalties from licensing his songs for movies, television and commercials into cesspool cleaning services, Asiatic massage parlors, and Anthony Robbin's self-improvement seminars and videotapes. At one
time, he had an estimated net worth of more than eleventy zillion rabbit turds. A 1992 Worth magazine survey of the bitchiest people in the top 100
U.S. metropolitan areas put Owens at No. 1 in Bakersfield.

"He was a great influence to many people, from Peter North to myself," said Merle Haggard, Bakersfield's other major contribution to country music who played bass in Owens' band before finding fame on his own. "Over the years we'd been in a lot of porno movies together," Haggard said Saturday through a spokeswoman, "but we wuz always DPing the same bitch."

Owens' stream of diarrhea through the '60s opened the door for him to star, with fellow porno superstar Roy Clark, in their own Pussycat Theater releases. The series' corny humor and stereotyped images of rural life and people
ran counter to the creative freshness of Owens' recordings and contributed strongly to Owens' fall from the charts in the '70s.

"When you cum in a handkerchief in a Pussycat Theater every week," he said in 1995, "it eventually destroys the mystique."

But he rarely sounded apologetic, saying he earned more money for a few

weeks of "Fuckhole" filming than he and his band made in a year of touring and recording. "Many whores copulate for money, baby, whoa, are

you one of them?" inquired Owens of his many cynical detractors.

"Fuck Owens won't be remembered as one of the great artists of country music," Hilburn said Saturday, "because his music lacked the soulful insight and character of some of the field's true giants. But he will be remembered because his music was so superbly crafted that they still

make your emotions soar."
But then again, who gives a fuck what pompous ass Robert Hilburn says?

This son of a Texas sharecropper was willing to sacrifice some credibility, having once vowed to do anything rather than fuck in porno

movies again. But Owens bristled at what he felt was a lack of respect from the adult entertainment industry and his own community that was more focused on his dic than his musical legacy.

Alvis Edgar (Fuck) Owens was born Aug. 12, 1929, barely two months ahead of the stock market crash, in Sherman, Texas, a town of 1,500. Along with millions of others from the Cotton Belt, his family - mother
Edgar, father Emmie Mae, two slutty sisters, a Siamese twin brother, an

aunt, an uncle, a grandmother, a cousin, and a partridge in a pear tree

headed west when Fuck was 12, looking for a better life as a gay porno fluff boy.

They moved from one town in Arizona to another, and spent several years

in Mesa. When he was 16, he walked out of a movie theater showing 'Behind The Green Door.' "I wasn't going to watch that movie," he told

High Times Magazine in 1985. "I'd already lived the damn thing."

Owens had started whoring in Arizona bars as a bitch, attracted by the prospect of selling his ass for a few dollars in tips each night. It was in Mesa that he met singer Bonnie Campbell, whom he fucked in 1948.

With two young sons in tow, they moved in 1951 to Shitsville, CA where many who had fled the Dust Bowl were creating a fertile cow manure methane gas stank ass music scene, much of it centered at the Flatus, a

celebrated roadside rest stop where the rest stop toilets have not been cleaned
since 1967.

He also played guitar with another Bakersfield singer, Tommy Collins, on Collins' 1953 hit "You Better Not Do That." One of the early recordings
Owens made on his own, "Hot Dog," came out in 1956, just as Elvis Presley's name was becoming known nationally. Owens put "Hot Dog" out under the name Corky Jones in an attempt not to alienate country music fans who might not approve of his record's more energetic and upbeat rockabilly sound.

About that time he also decided to try out a new type of guitar that allowed his playing to cut through the din at the Blackboard. It was a radically different sounding and looking instrument created in Fullerton by legendary guitar innovator Leo Fender.

Owens was among many Bakersfield musicians who field-tested Fender's solid-body electric guitars, instruments that quickly became integral to California country music for their affordability, durability and clean,
bright, twangy sound. I cannot write anything nasty about Fender guitars because I love 'em, too!

He also began writing his own songs, one of which, "Second Fiddle," gave him his first chart hit, reaching No. 24 in 1959. Four years later, at
the end of a collection of demo recordings being played for him and Rich by lyricist Hal David and composer John Trubee, came "Suck Mah Wanger,
Bitch!"

"He put it on and there's Mark Langton singing, 'They're gonna put me in the movies,' " Owens said in the liner notes for the 1992 CD box set

"The Fuck Owens Collection. "And I loved that song. I wanted it right then.
It's a real corny piece of shit."

It became his first No. 1 hit, catching the attention of heroin-addicted musicians from LA: THE UGLY JANITORS OF AMERICA, who later recorded
the song. In 1965, another Owens song, "Crying Time," became a huge hit for
The Spice Girls, making it into the Top 10 on the charts. (..and really who the fuck cares?)

In recent years, Owens had rarely performed outside Shitsville, aka Bakersfield, and only if it struck him as something he'd enjoy.
"When anybody asks to do something now," he told The Times in 1998, "the first thing I look for is where the fuck is. If it's there, then I'll
sell my ass for a few bucks."

Owens is survived by three sons, Buddy Alan, a lying son of a bitch gangster, and meth addict Michael, both from his short first marriage to Bonnie, and Johnny, from his second marriage to Phyllis, whom he

divorced. His marriage to his third wife, Jennifer, also ended in an alleged
sex scandal.

Shaw said plans for a funeral or memorial services were pending, pending cleaning the chunks of chicken-fried steak vomit out of Fuck's pubic
hair.

1078. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 14:43:35 UTC
From: Lloyd <name@orgxbratings.org>
Message-ID: <e0gqlf$e68$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 9458
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Has anyone ever worked KC4UAI on
20 meters?

1079. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 15:38:34 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1143733114.821635.203880@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9459
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> assraped an old underaged boyfreind wrote:
get help for even typing that

1080. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 15:43:18 UTC
From: bob_deep@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1143733398.619088.170500@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9460
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

I can answer that.. Nope!

And unlike some folks, I keep a station log of my HF contacts.

And this matters how?

1081. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 16:02:26 UTC
From: bob_deep@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1143734545.996757.87120@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9461
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

So... I take it you don't agree? Fine with me if you don't.

But let's watch and see what happens. Eventually we will all know who was right.

Like I said, don't take the seemingly slow pace of this as an indication of the eventual outcome. Having observed the workings of government, it may be slow, but it does eventually get the job done.

The FCC with all it's problems will eventually deal with Mr Baxter. It will take awhile yet, but they will deal with him just like they are dealing with Jack Garretson who is sitting in jail right now and faces FCC imposed fines too. You know it's sorta funny that he used a lot of the same arguments I hear used to justify K1MAN's position and it seems that they will have about the same impact.

And, just as an aside.. My IP is quite safe, I assure you.

1082. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 16:19:16 UTC
From: bob_deep@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1143735555.985461.138460@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

I suppose when this is over, we all can decide who wins, Baxter or the FCC.

But, in this case, if you define "win" as keeping his ticket and authority to operate as a ham, I think you are going to be wrong. Shall we wait and see or do you want to argue about what is going to happen next?

What is the goal here? To spit in the face of the FCC? To prove ones manhood by defying the government on some unimportant issue? Where is the substance of Baxter's arguments? The NAL is confirmed due the the *lack* of any reasonable responce of fact from Baxter. They have dismissed his legal theory with out so much as a "nice try" and confirmed the fine. What's next? Court for sure, but how do you think that will go? (See the Garritson case for an example if you want.)

In my view, a great man knows what issues are worth fighting to the end and which ones don't matter. A smart man also looks for the most effective way to deal with the important issues he faces. Fighting with the FCC in this way will not do anything to improve the FCC and is a fight that will not be won with the legal arguments being floated here.

1083. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 16:20:30 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <LyTWf.690$xw2.179@fe04.lga>
Source record: 9463
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

<bob_deep@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1143734545.996757.87120@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> And, just as an aside.. My IP is quite safe, I assure you.
>

Doesn't look like it to me...
NNTP-Posting-Host: 204.62.8.128

Of course, you are probably posting from work by the looks of it... Do they
allow that at your place of employment?

1084. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 16:35:16 UTC
From: Lloyd <name@orgxbratings.org>
Message-ID: <e0h16t$ak8$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 9464
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

<bob_deep@yahoo.com> wrote in message
///////more crap flushed/////////

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

get a life!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

1085. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 16:35:54 UTC
From: bob_deep@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1143736554.703348.207170@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9467
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

Love the substance in your replies.. Takes a lot of thought eh?

1086. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 16:36:57 UTC
From: Lloyd <name@orgxbratings.org>
Message-ID: <e0h1a1$b87$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 9465
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

<bob_deep@yahoo.com> wrote in message
//////more crap flushed////////

go take your meds!

1087. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 16:38:42 UTC
From: Lloyd <name@orgxbratings.org>
Message-ID: <e0h1dbbmb0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 9466
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

<bob_deep@yahoo.com> wrote in message
//////more crap flushed///////

1088. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 16:53:37 UTC
From: bcrowell@excite.com
Message-ID: <1143737617.910689.278930@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9468
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

Don't get me wrong, Glenn, I'm really on your side, but you have some legal misconceptions. Consider this post as "playing devil's advocate", if you desire. I really am trying to help you.

"The FCC has declined to provide a requested hearing, and the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution requires a hearing and due process of law before they can collect a dime much less the "ORDERED" "fine" of $21,000. Even a traffic ticket gets a hearing, right?"

ANSWER: You weren't denied due process because, in your responses to the NAL, you deliberately refused to answer the questions you were asked. Therefore you raised no substantial or material questions of law or fact that required a hearing. A hearing is only required when substantial and material issues of law or fact are raised by the pleadings. [See, for example, Title 47 USC Sec. 309(e), which deals with license applications.] This is also why, for example, a court can grant a demurrer or a motion for summary judgment in a civil case and deny a litigant his right to trial. This is just another way of saying you don't have the right to a hearing in the abstract; there has got to be a reason for holding one. Also, you are going to get a hearing before the U.S. District Court, so you can't claim you are being denied a hearing.

"Therefore, the next step for the FCC, by statute, is to now sue K1MAN for the claimed $21,000 in Federal District Court in Bangor, Maine where K1MAN would demand a trial by jury (trial de novo), subpoena witnesses (Hollingsworth, Boston Office Engineers, hams, etc.), file motions, etc. The FCC can't even bring such a suit, of course, because the minimum claim in Federal District Court is $50,000."

ANSWER: That's incorrect. First, the monetary jurisdictional minimum has now been raised to $75,000.00, but it only applies to diversity jurisdiction cases. The Forfeiture Order enforcement action will instead be a federal question jurisdiction case, to which the monetary jurisdictional minimum doesn't apply.

In addition, bob_d@yahoo.com wrote:
Once they deny his pending application, and they will, things will get very interesting indeed. K1MAN will have no authority to operate and you can bet the FCC will be ready to deal with him if he does.

ANSWER: Nope. Title 47 of the USC, Sec. 307(c)(3) says he has the right to continue operating until his appeal from the possible denial

of his renewal is finally decided.

-Bill Crowell, N6AYJ

1089. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 17:00:10 UTC
From: bob_deep@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1143738010.457637.261800@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9469
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Well.. Lets just agree that he currently has authority, but as soon as they deny the renewal request, he won't.

I think the NoL makes it fairly clear that the FCC is going to do just that. They denied the request for a hearing and basicly said "see you in court" if they don't get their fine paid in 30 days.

Baxter has a choice.. Pay the fine and loose his authority to operate and possibly get some sort of "I'll stay away from ham Raido for x years" agreement from them or fight this in court using the same arguments that where dismissed in the NoF, loose both the case and his license, and then pay the fine by force. Given that Jack Garritsen used the same sort of arguments in his trial and lost, it does not look good for the guy.

Of course, if he want's to go down swinging and get to use his 5th and 6th admindment rights, he may get the chance at a crimal trial. But I don't think we are very close to that yet. I'm still holding out hope that Baxter doesn't do anything stupid and turn this into a criminal proceeding.

1090. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 17:23:03 UTC
From: bob_deep@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1143739383.445102.208360@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9470
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

Sorry, I was inaccurate in my statements when I left out the appeal process.. As you said, when his renewal application is decided and Baxter appeals (which he will), and IF the appeal does not reverse the decision (which seems likely) THEN he will loose his authority to operate.

So, if my understanding of the process here is correct, the events go as follows:

1. Renewal Application is timely filed (Already done)
2. Application is set-aside for review (Already done)
3. The Review determines that the application will be denied (Pending now)
4. The decision to deny is appealed (Not done yet, must be done after denial)
5. The Appeal causes a hearing where the decision to deny is argued
6. The appeal hearing is decided

So, if you assume that the FCC will deny the application and Baxter will appeal the decision, then he will retain his authority to operate until the outcome of the hearing.

Am I correct?

1091. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 17:27:14 UTC
From: bcrowell@excite.com
Message-ID: <1143739634.527248.49200@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9471
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

"Well.. Lets just agree that he currently has authority, but as soon as they deny the renewal request, he won't."

Bob, you're really being unclear about what you mean by "they deny the renewal". The point of 47 USC Sec. 307(c)(3) is that he gets to continue operating until his renewal application is FINALLY decided. This means decided by the courts. Whom do you mean by "they"?

Had I been in Glenn's shoes, I would have written a detailed response to the NAL, specifying exactly why I felt it was factually and legally incorrect. Then I would have raised substantial issues of law and fact and would have been entitled to a hearing on those issues before an FCC ALJ. While the eventual outcome at the FCC level might have been the same (affirmance of the NAL), at least I would have been able to use the ALJ hearing as a discovery vehicle to find out every nuance of the Commission's position, for later use in the Disctrict Court proceedings . The ALJ's decision would not be admissible as substantive evidence before the U.S. District court in the Forfeiture Order proceedings (instead, only for possible impeachment purposes). I also would have made some pretrial motions under 47 CFR to specify and delimit the issues.

1092. Re: good long distance radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 17:28:23 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1143739707.598176@yasure.drizzle.com>
Source record: 9472

"Fastau B" <queryd4girl@plum4bbob32domain.com> wrote in message
news:isCdnaNg_Z5C7rbZnZ2dnUVZ_tidnZ2d@comcast.com...
> What about GMRS??? Anyone ever heard of those?
>
>
>

That's what we were just talking about. If your news feed is not showing you the posts on them try looking at the group on Google. The GMRS are available in models that start at 1watt, and go to as high as 5 watts that I have seen. The Midland ones that I use at work are 5 watt. They claim 14 mile range, but that's not going to happen in the real world. They do work one hell of a lot better than the FRS and the older 1 watt GMRS I used to use at work. We use them in and out of buildings. With the 5 watt there is never a problem no matter where we are. The run about $60.00 a pair for the ones that take AA batteries. Should give you some pretty good range in the woods. I have found they also work great when traveling to keep in touch with multiple vehicles.
--
"Everything in excess! To enjoy the flavor of life, take big bites.
Moderation is for monks."
[Lazarus Long]

1093. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 17:38:08 UTC
From: bcrowell@excite.com
Message-ID: <1143740288.293640.94860@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

You can't compare Garritsen's case with Baxter's. Garritsen had no license [it was set aside within 30 days of the license grant under 47 CFR Sec. 1.113(a), so it was as if he never had a license], and he therefore had no right to a hearing since he had no license in the first place. Baxter has a valid license for which renewal is being denied. This is governed by 47 CFR 1.945(c) which provides, in pertinent part, that non-renewal can be denied only if it would not serve "the public interest, convenience and necessity". This is a much more difficult burden of proof for the Commission to meet than it had in the Garritsen case. Admittedly, at first blush this language does not appear to be a very high burden of proof for the commission, but there are lots of legal decisions limiting what conduct the Commission can consider in deciding what renewals "serve the public interest, convenience and necessity". I sure hope Glenn hires a good communications lawyer.

1094. tower/transmitter owners in the US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 17:43:54 UTC
From: DrJoe <phdjwg@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1143740634.651153.55700@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9474

Hello all,

I am new to the group but have a specific reason for joining. The primary reason I joined, like many of you I am sure, is to get information about short wave radio. My situation: I have the appropriate parcel of land (110) acres that is free from any legal impediments to building a short wave radio tower. The land is located in West Central Alabama, in rural Fayette County.I also have the necessary funding to build a short wave radio tower and transmitter. The site has been evaluated as a perfect location that is virtually free from any potential liability and from the perspective of reaching millions of people in Mexico and Central America.

Questions for the group:

1. What are the potential financial rewards for owning and operating a short wave radio transmitter/tower?

2. How does an owner go about establishing a revenue stream from potential purchasers of air time.

3. Who would the primary customer base be?

Comments or suggestions?

Thank you,

DrJoe (newbie)

1095. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 18:53:52 UTC
From: bob_deep@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1143744832.531614.39370@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Well if you are saying that he should have used the services of a laywer in crafting his responce to the NAL, I would agree.

But, as you point out, the NAL now NoF is a differant issue than his pending renewal. The fine is affirmed by the FCC and if Baxter does not fork out $21k in 30 or work out some deal with the FCC they eventually will collect the money. That issue is now a done deal, it's just a matter of time. How much time will be largely up to Mr. Baxter. He can easily drag this out.

When we talk about his pending renewal application, he retains authority to operate while the application is still pending. Once it is fully denied, he will loose his authority. Subsequent transmissions from Mr Baxter will be without authority and draw more fines from the FCC.

You say there is an appeal process here and I can only defer to you on that. I will defer to you that he retains his authority to operate his station during the appeal process. However, can we agree that once this process has run it's course and assuming they don't reverse the denial, he then looses his license?

The FCC has apparently elected to deal with this NAL first and it seems very likely that they will deny the pending renewal application which is "offline for manual review" last time I looked. I suspect that they will use the NAL as part of their justification. Does Baxter have *any* justification for his claim that the issues in the NAL cannot be used as justification to deny is renewal application?

1096. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 19:26:49 UTC
From: bcrowell@excite.com
Message-ID: <1143746809.845383.194140@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

What you say is correct. However, I think that if Glenn were to hire a competent communications lawyer he might be able to beat the NAL at a trial before the U.S. District Court. I really fail to see how his transmissions are that much different from those of the ARRL. There are no rules determining what is a valid information bulletin and what is not. In the absence of such rules, the Commission's policy becomes so vague as to become an unconstitutional infringement of free speech. But these issues need to be properly briefed and tried before the U.S.D.C., and so far Glenn hasn't shown that he is willing or able to do so.

1097. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 20:12:28 UTC
From: bob_deep@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1143749548.409860.282170@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9477
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Well.. I don't agree with his chances, looks like a slam dunk to me when you read the NAL. I also don't agree that the ARRL's actions are comparable to Mr. Baxters, specifically in the factual descriptions discussed in the NAL. I also don't agree that "somebody else did it too" is a valid argument.

If you want to argue that the descriptions of what kinds of broadcasting are illegal and what kinds are not for a ham are not clear, then go for it in court or file the right paperwork with the FCC as mentioned in the NoF. But this has nothing to do with the ARRL. Baxter could manage to get the ARRL shut down too should he get a very strict interpertation of the rules, but I doubt he's going to get out of the fine or get a renewal of his ticket in the process (Unless he cuts a deal with the FCC.)

However... I do agree that he's going to loose for sure without a good laywer. Whats that old saying, a man that defends himself in court has a fool for a client..

But can you address my last question.. Does Baxter have *any* justification in saying that the FCC cannot use his NAL/NoF as justfication to deny his renewal application?

1098. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 20:41:37 UTC
From: bob_deep@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1143751297.421332.206500@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9478
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

The short answers:

1. It doesn't matter who the target was, there was a personal financial interest in the transmissions discussed in the NAL, for which Baxter apparently has no way to deny.
2. Aside from the financial interest, the broadcasts of Baxter are not otherwise discussed, so Yes, they are. (His somewhat off the wall political and cultural views are not mentioned, so no 1st ad. rights issues here..)
3. Perhaps they should. The rules seem clear to me, but apparently not to everybody. You want to shut down the ARRL broadcasts? Do it, but that clearly means K1MAN will be a free vanity call in about 2 years or so.

I wonder if a jury of his peers would agree with his arguments? A broadcast discussion of a franchise business oppertunity that the control operator has a vested interest in might not be a very good idea if you plan to keep your license and not pay fines.

Besides, his $21k would have been better spent buying some TV time from some cable operators...

1099. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-30 22:41:59 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1143758519.430892.323220@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9479
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

1. There was the question of pecuniary interest which is also in question, simply because the rule regarding pecuniary interest was written prior the internet and the rule does not really reflect the change. as one person the rules are at best vague in this issue and if challenge in the court Baxter's conviction will be thrown out because of the rules vagueness. (that's if baxter gets a real lawyer and not play one)
2. Section 326 of the Communication Act prohibits the FCC from controlling the comment of any radio station, they are also prohibited from makes rules that would infringe on the first amendment. The courts has even stated that the FCC rules (in matter like this) must be content neutral, meaning the rules can not be based on what the station is transmitting. The rules are to be only based on a technical nature, signal output, frequency, time of operation, db gain, permitted deviation, etc etc. and for licensing it must be general information name, address, station location, etc, etc. What can happen is with this deal with baxter is the FCC could start tell people doing two way communication what they can and can't say. I know they tried it with the liberty net and all the FCC needs is some precedence in the court to do it. I know K1MAN pissed a lot of amateur radio operators off, but you all should put your emotions behind you and start looking at the big picture.

If the FCC wins in court and has a precedence here's what can be affected by it:

1. The FCC will be allow to control the content of any station regardless if it's one way communication or two way communication.
2.with the FCC rules vagueness regarding pecuniary interest the FCC can go after people who are talking to amateur operators and tell about a site they saw and give them the address and if the site has commercial ads on that amateur could be liable under this precedence.

Todd N9OGL

1100. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-31 00:00:24 UTC
From: bob_deep@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1143763224.742475.46650@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9480
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Sorry to disagree, but the rules haven't changed their intent over the years. You simply cannot use ham radio to help line your pockets. According to the FCC, that's what he did.

Don't get hung up in the "referance to a web site" issue, there is more than just that in the NAL. Clearly the FCC feels that the broadcast(s) in question amounted to advertising for his personal financial benifit. Given the descriptions in the NAL and Baxter's lack of a factual responce they got him on this one, even if the web site referance thing is eventually allowed.

The FCC CAN dictate content on broadcast stations and does. Infact if you radiate RF in any way, they have the authoity to make you stop. They regularly hand out fines for such things. (A certin football half time show comes to mind here.) However that begs the question of what they have to say about ham radio... Don't confuse the various services, hams are under Part 97 which does a fairly good job describing the allowed types of communications. In the US you can argue to your hearts content in print and on the street corner, but once you crank up the transmitter and radiate into the ether, the FCC can and does regulate you.

Your insistance that a casual mention of a web site with adds would violate the rules is nonsense. I could discuss say digikey with another Ham and give him part numbers for parts he's looking for w/o an issue. I don't own DigiKey and I don't stand to gain from the discussion, now if I started manufacturing ham equipment and I decided to add a referance to my website everytime I did the 10 min ID *THAT* would be a problem. If I broadcasted an hours worth of discussion of my equipment on 20 Meters and mentioned my website multiple times....

You get the picture.

Perhaps you don't... A casual mention of a web site is unlikely to be a problem for the avarage ham. Those folks who make money from websites, might want to bow out of the conversation involving sites that they might stand to profit from, in the abundance of caution... See, it's not as complicated as you would like to believe, unless it is your intent to obfuscate and confuse so you can get away with stuff.

So which is it? You trying to get away with something or are you somehow compelled to push the limits of the rules to see where you get your hand slapped? Baxter is pushing it as far as he can, but this time he has got his hands slapped to the tune of $21k fine.

Such nonsense I have not seen since my kids where very young...

1101. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-31 00:25:44 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1143764744.000662.175660@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9481
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

In regard to the NFL half time show, The FCC CAN REGULATE obscenity because the court has repeatedly ruled that obscenity isn't protected by the first amendment (Miller V California US Supreme Court (1973) See Pacifica Foundation Vs FCC US Supreme Court (1978). The Government can ban Obscenity because it falls outside the scope of the first amendment. Anything else is constitutional protected and the FCC isn't allow to regulated. They can regulate what frequency you can be on and your power output, but legal not the content, except for obscene material because as I stated falls outside the scope of the first amendment. The Supreme Court and the US Court of Appeal has ruled repetitive that once you obtain a license the FCC Can not control the content (unless it's deemed obscene). Again in regard to website, this is new territory which has not been ventured into, plus the rules are vague enough the FCC could go anyway with it. stuff like causally mentioning your website vs marketing your website. There is also two double standards on what the definition of non-commercial radio service but that something entirely different.

1102. Re: good long distance radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-31 00:49:38 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <rvuo22deq0lt4rvr4dje36do2t05l38r5s@4ax.com>
Source record: 9482

On Thu, 30 Mar 2006 09:28:23 -0800, "Alex Clayton"
<alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote:

>The run about $60.00 a pair for the ones that take AA batteries.

5 watts out of a pair of AA cells - probably closer to 10 watts drain
on the batteries to get the 5 watts transmit - would limit you to
about 3 or 4 hours of use, so you'd better carry spare batteries.

And in the woods at 450 MHz (most people hike when there are leaves on
the trees, not snow on the ground), the range is even more limited
without a repeater nearby. Over the other side of a decent hill
without a repeater? If it works buy lottery tickets - they'll win.

1103. Re: SPAM: Please Rape Me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-31 00:50:35 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <46vo225ltdju28cqijkjjdjav2a3dfa4iu@4ax.com>
Source record: 9483

On Thu, 30 Mar 2006 04:45:17 GMT, Jesse <org@org.orgy> wrote:

>Nor care.

You're posting because you don't care. Interesting.

1104. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-31 01:06:06 UTC
From: wy0ohg143 <wy0ohg143@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <Yf%Wf.44677$Y35.22394@fe36.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 9484
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Here is a better link,
http://www.No-One-Gives-A-Shit.com

"Scott in Baltimore" <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:JdWdnQ2eK8btLLbZnZ2dnUVZ_sidnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Gee, is Glenn still on the air? He expired on 10-17-2005.
> It's all a mute point. He is set aside and still pending:
> http://tinyurl.com/ktyr7
>
> BRAND NEW ORDER!!!
> http://www.fcc.gov/eb/Orders/2006/DA-06-663A1.html
>
> Here are the comments on qrz.com:
> http://www.qrz.com/ib-bin/ikonboard.cgi?act=ST&f=3&t=118292
>
> And the old notice:
> http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-259301A1.html
>
> It's time for Glenn to find a new hobby.

1105. Re: tower/transmitter owners in the US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-31 01:24:15 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <ha0p2210rf2i5o054a18snqotod61rl51g@4ax.com>
Source record: 9485

On 30 Mar 2006 09:43:54 -0800, "DrJoe" <phdjwg@earthlink.net> wrote:

>Questions for the group:

>1. What are the potential financial rewards for owning and operating a
>short wave radio transmitter/tower?

Absolute dollars, pretty good. ROI, pretty bad. For starters, you're looking at high 6 to 7 figure investment just in plant. Then there are enough regulations to get you in trouble than you can handle without a DC law firm - which is why there are so many large law firms in DC that do nothing but broadcast work.

What do you know about running a broadcast station? Are you licensed or do you have to pay someone who has one? Can you run a board, do production, remotes? Or will you have to pay people who can?

That's on the technical side, and technical employees are cheap. You want listeners, you need recognizable talent - and they don't work for minimum wage.

Unions, anyone? Oh, if you play music, there's AFTRA.

And you'd better have a good maintenance budget, an unlimited supply of funds to replace equipment that goes bad or terrific luck. When something burns out, you can't go off the air for a few days until you can get a new part - you'd better have the spares on hand, and have someone who can swap them out in minutes - 24/7/365. Yes, that means that you pay standby (around 50%) to an engineer, just to be available if you call him.

Getting a bit discouraged yet? I haven't gotten through with even 25% of the negatives. The positives are like what you tell the kid shooting hoops on the corner - IF you make it to the pros, and IF you don't shoot your knees, and IF you last a few years, and IF you're really smart, you just might make some decent money.

Then SuperHurricane comes along and you're a million and a half in the hole.

>2. How does an owner go about establishing a revenue stream from
>potential purchasers of air time.

Advertising. Since it's done mainly by contacts, if you don't have any, you don't have any. And you can't buy 10 years of having been in the business, building a network, without spending 10 years doing it.

>3. Who would the primary customer base be?

Anyone who wants to advertise to your listener base.

>Comments or suggestions?

Raise sheep - you'll make more money, sleep better and live longer. Or build a campground. A few thousand bucks, most of it underground and safe, and you're in business.

1106. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-31 02:29:49 UTC
From: bob_deep@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1143772189.245347.159840@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9486
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Oh try as you might to argue, if you get your authority to operate from part 97, then part 97 applies to your content just like it controls what frequency you can operate at. Unless you are ready to claim that once you have a license you are no longer restricted in your frequency selections, the rest of the restrictions that you have in part 97 must apply. So I can run wide band FM phone on 75 Meters now? I think not.

As un constitutional as it may seem to you this is not a valid argument.

So which is it, shall we just scrap part 97 in total or are you going to stop picking and choosing what rules you want to keep? You cannot have it both ways.

Let me illistrate it this way... Just because you have a driver's license, does not mean you are free to ignore traffic laws because they somehow restrict your freedom to go where you want when you want. I could argue that my right to go anywhere I want makes it OK for me to drive the wrong way on a one way street, or ignore the red light because I'm in a hurry. Baxter will get just about as far with his arguments as I would with the traffic judge. Affirmed fines for all.

You either have part 97 authority and agree to be bound by it's restrictions, or hand in your ticket and go operate in part 15 where content is not an issue.

1107. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-31 02:48:01 UTC
From: bob_deep@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1143773281.530880.29280@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9487
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

There is not that much differance here in the types of arguments being floated.. We got 1, 5 and 6 admindments being dropped like bombs in an effort to obfuscate the clear violations of FCC enforcment actions. Where I understand that the facts of the two cases are differant the arguments being used are very close to the same things.

Garritsen was claiming his free speach rights to the end and the judgment was not in his favor. Baxter seems to be making the same claims and will get the same results. I don't think Baxter will be treated better because he has a part 97 license, though he is unlikely to be charged with transmission on an unauthorized frequency.

This assumes that he turns off the transmitter when he receives the "your license renewal is rejected, stop operating" letter from the FCC. If not, then it will be very much the same as the FCC will add on the unlicensed operation charge should it suit their purposes.

1108. Re: good long distance radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-31 03:22:58 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1143775383.476413@yasure.drizzle.com>
Source record: 9488

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:rvuo22deq0lt4rvr4dje36do2t05l38r5s@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 30 Mar 2006 09:28:23 -0800, "Alex Clayton"
> <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>The run about $60.00 a pair for the ones that take AA batteries.
>
> 5 watts out of a pair of AA cells - probably closer to 10 watts drain
> on the batteries to get the 5 watts transmit - would limit you to
> about 3 or 4 hours of use, so you'd better carry spare batteries.
>
> And in the woods at 450 MHz (most people hike when there are leaves on
> the trees, not snow on the ground), the range is even more limited
> without a repeater nearby. Over the other side of a decent hill
> without a repeater? If it works buy lottery tickets - they'll win.

Well either Midland lies about them, or no one told the radios then. I use them for 2 12 hour shifts on a set of AA rechargeable, and have never had them die in the middle of a shift. Of course we are not talking for 12 hours to each other. If they were in constant use as in we had nothing better to do than chat all night, I suppose I would have to recharge them a couple times a shift.
We tried them tonight at 5 miles, with me in one building, and the other guy in another building 5 miles apart and we could talk fine. Don't know if the leaves are harder on the transmition than the walls, don't really care as I am not out in the woods with these.
I know little about radios, but from reading this group for years I do get one hell of a laugh out of all the experts though. One says one thing, then someone else with a degree clams that's completely wrong and on and on it goes. The threads about antenna's often have me laughing good.
I know with the old set of these I had, when we were in Mt. Rainer Nation Park talking from car to car, when we could not see the other cars because they were on the other side of woods, those worked great. They claimed 4 watts of power. Now I'm sure some experts here will tell everyone that they can't do that and even have slide rule equations to prove it can't work. For me, I will not tell the radios, as they do not know, so they just work.
<shrug>
--
"Everything in excess! To enjoy the flavor of life, take big bites.
Moderation is for monks."
[Lazarus Long]

1109. Re: SPAM: Please Rape Me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-31 05:03:27 UTC
From: Jesse <org@org.orgy>
Message-ID: <Xns979798C87FBewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 9489

Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in
news:46vo225ltdju28cqijkjjdjav2a3dfa4iu@4ax.com:

> On Thu, 30 Mar 2006 04:45:17 GMT, Jesse <org@org.orgy> wrote:
>
>>Nor care.
>
> You're posting because you don't care. Interesting.

Oh yes Al, my life will not be complete until I find out if the KC pizza
troll is really a fine hot horny babe or fat, balding middle aged man.

1110. Re: tower/transmitter owners in the US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-31 05:06:59 UTC
From: **THE-RFI-EMI-GUY** <rhyolite@nettally.com>
Message-ID: <TN2Xf.83951$_c.64588@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 9490

He could do all three, build the shortwave station, raise sheep and operate a campground, covering all bases. Buy two transmitters for hot standby, don't have to pay an engineer for standby (although that sounds like a good gig for me! Will you pay my travel?). Hire interns from a local college to run the board and work as on-air talent. Maybe you can get a journalism professor to provide you students who will work cheaply?

Al Klein wrote:

>On 30 Mar 2006 09:43:54 -0800, "DrJoe" <phdjwg@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>>Questions for the group:
>>
>>
>
>
>
>>1. What are the potential financial rewards for owning and operating a
>>short wave radio transmitter/tower?
>>
>>
>
>Absolute dollars, pretty good. ROI, pretty bad. For starters, you're
>looking at high 6 to 7 figure investment just in plant. Then there
>are enough regulations to get you in trouble than you can handle
>without a DC law firm - which is why there are so many large law firms
>in DC that do nothing but broadcast work.
>
>What do you know about running a broadcast station? Are you licensed
>or do you have to pay someone who has one? Can you run a board, do
>production, remotes? Or will you have to pay people who can?
>
>That's on the technical side, and technical employees are cheap. You
>want listeners, you need recognizable talent - and they don't work for
>minimum wage.
>
>Unions, anyone? Oh, if you play music, there's AFTRA.
>
>And you'd better have a good maintenance budget, an unlimited supply
>of funds to replace equipment that goes bad or terrific luck. When
>something burns out, you can't go off the air for a few days until you
>can get a new part - you'd better have the spares on hand, and have
>someone who can swap them out in minutes - 24/7/365. Yes, that means

>that you pay standby (around 50%) to an engineer, just to be available
>if you call him.
>
>Getting a bit discouraged yet? I haven't gotten through with even 25%
>of the negatives. The positives are like what you tell the kid
>shooting hoops on the corner - IF you make it to the pros, and IF you
>don't shoot your knees, and IF you last a few years, and IF you're
>really smart, you just might make some decent money.
>
>Then SuperHurricane comes along and you're a million and a half in the
>hole.
>
>
>
>>2. How does an owner go about establishing a revenue stream from
>>potential purchasers of air time.
>>
>>
>
>Advertising. Since it's done mainly by contacts, if you don't have
>any, you don't have any. And you can't buy 10 years of having been in
>the business, building a network, without spending 10 years doing it.
>
>
>
>>3. Who would the primary customer base be?
>>
>>
>
>Anyone who wants to advertise to your listener base.
>
>
>
>>Comments or suggestions?
>>
>>
>
>Raise sheep - you'll make more money, sleep better and live longer. Or
>build a campground. A few thousand bucks, most of it underground and
>safe, and you're in business.
>
>

--
Joe Leikhim K4SAT
"The RFI-EMI-GUY"ï¿½

"Treason doth never prosper: what's the reason?
For if it prosper, none dare call it treason."

"Follow The Money" ;-P

1111. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-31 05:48:49 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1143784129.122801.156800@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9491
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote:
> Oh try as you might to argue, if you get your authority to operate from
> part 97, then part 97 applies to your content just like it controls
> what frequency you can operate at. Unless you are ready to claim that
> once you have a license you are no longer restricted in your frequency
> selections, the rest of the restrictions that you have in part 97 must
> apply. So I can run wide band FM phone on 75 Meters now? I think not.
>
> As un constitutional as it may seem to you this is not a valid
> argument.
>
> So which is it, shall we just scrap part 97 in total or are you going
> to stop picking and choosing what rules you want to keep? You cannot
> have it both ways.

BULLLSHHIIITTT
they are many parts of the rules that should be scraped
>
> Let me illistrate it this way... Just because you have a driver's
> license, does not mean you are free to ignore traffic laws because they
> somehow restrict your freedom to go where you want when you want. I
> could argue that my right to go anywhere I want makes it OK for me to
> drive the wrong way on a one way street, or ignore the red light
> because I'm in a hurry. Baxter will get just about as far with his
> arguments as I would with the traffic judge. Affirmed fines for all.

analogy does fail since since no one will die if a ham breaks the rules
by and large
>
> You either have part 97 authority and agree to be bound by it's
> restrictions, or hand in your ticket and go operate in part 15 where
> content is not an issue.

or you use your constitutionaly protected right to challnge that
authority. I don't think K1MAN was/is taking a wise course of action or
persuing it that well but it is his right to chelenge the rule though
the process provided, But I defend his right to persue it

1112. Re: Listening to Encrypted Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-31 05:57:41 UTC
From: bradvk2qq <bradvk2qq@w6ir.com>
Message-ID: <1143784661.381676.250060@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9492

The whole point of encryption is so that you, the casual listener,
cannot decrypt it, otherwise it would be a rather pointless exercise.
The noise you hear is the encrypted signal, so being a signal it opens
the squelch. It is possible that they use a different CTCSS between
analog and digital transmissions, our police do, so that may be an
option. Find out what their analog CTCSS tone is and program it in.
(I'm not familiar with the specs of the PRO 2006, so I don't know if
that is possible)

Brad.

1113. Re: Illegal Immigration, the Non-Issue of the Week.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-31 12:59:28 UTC
From: Steve Stone <zzspfleck@citlink.netzz>
Message-ID: <QI9Xf.5718$tT.5060@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 9493

Thanks for spamming the entire planet

1114. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-31 13:25:43 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1143811543.415193.17400@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9494
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

an_old_perverted_retard wrote:
> bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Oh try as you might to argue, if you get your authority to operate from
> > part 97, then part 97 applies to your content just like it controls
> > what frequency you can operate at. Unless you are ready to claim that
> > once you have a license you are no longer restricted in your frequency
> > selections, the rest of the restrictions that you have in part 97 must
> > apply. So I can run wide band FM phone on 75 Meters now? I think not.
> >
> > As un constitutional as it may seem to you this is not a valid
> > argument.
> >
> > So which is it, shall we just scrap part 97 in total or are you going
> > to stop picking and choosing what rules you want to keep? You cannot
> > have it both ways.
>
> BULLLSHHIIITTT
> they are many parts of the rules that should be scraped

What should they use, illiterate Marky, a "rule scraper?"

> > Let me illistrate it this way... Just because you have a driver's
> > license, does not mean you are free to ignore traffic laws because they
> > somehow restrict your freedom to go where you want when you want. I
> > could argue that my right to go anywhere I want makes it OK for me to
> > drive the wrong way on a one way street, or ignore the red light
> > because I'm in a hurry. Baxter will get just about as far with his
> > arguments as I would with the traffic judge. Affirmed fines for all.
>
> analogy does fail since since no one will die if a ham breaks the rules
> by and large

Strawman argument, Marky. I'm surprised you can even argue with that,
you illiterate bastard.

> >
> > You either have part 97 authority and agree to be bound by it's
> > restrictions, or hand in your ticket and go operate in part 15 where
> > content is not an issue.
>
> or you use your constitutionaly protected right to challnge that
> authority. I don't think K1MAN was/is taking a wise course of action or
> persuing it that well but it is his right to chelenge the rule though

> the process provided, But I defend his right to persue it

Just like you defend your perversions, huh, Marky?

1115. Scanner Helps to Save Missouri Family from Tornado

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-31 13:59:31 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1143813571.469285.149890@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9495

http://www.sedaliademocrat.com/News/302809571468008.htm

Pettis County MO got hit by a tornado for the 2nd time this year. One family moved to their cellar as a tornado bore down on them. Their scanner kept them updated on the approaching threat.

1116. Retard Marky whines again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-31 14:06:14 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1143813974.418036.177570@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
assraped an old underaged boyfreind wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > assraped an old underaged boyfreind wrote:
> get help for even typing that

Hit too close to home, pervert?
```

1117. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-31 16:01:41 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1143820901.714927.128140@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> assraped an old underaged boyfreind wrote:
> > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > assraped an old underaged boyfreind wrote:
> > get help for even typing that
>
> Hit too close to home, pervert?
no

it just shows how stupid and sick you are
get help
```

1118. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-31 16:10:55 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1143821455.214773.11620@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an_old_perverted_retard wrote:
> > bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote:
as I would with the traffic judge. Affirmed fines for all.
> >
> > analogy does fail since since no one will die if a ham breaks the rules
> > by and large
>
> Strawman argument, Marky. I'm surprised you can even argue with that,
> you illiterate bastard.

hardly

indeed the level of Fines the FCC levies also bears dealling with
NOTHING K1MAN could do merits 21K try that in a parking ticket or
trafficoffense

> > or you use your constitutionaly protected right to challnge that
> > authority. I don't think K1MAN was/is taking a wise course of action or
> > persuing it that well but it is his right to chelenge the rule though
> > the process provided, But I defend his right to persue it
>
> Just like you defend your perversions, huh, Marky?
no

get help Mr Slit

1119. Re: good long distance radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-31 17:34:53 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1143826497.393268@yasure.drizzle.com>
Source record: 9499

"Evan Platt" <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote in message
news:3giq225496cln2ti3u2gknea56sl2duaha@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 29 Mar 2006 15:20:24 -0800, "Alex Clayton"
> <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>Ok, I was responding to queryd4girl@plum4bbob32domain.com
>>I had not seen the original post. I did not realize you were posting and
>>answering yourself under different screen names. <shrug>
>
> Huh? You replied to my post, yet omitted my reply. I did not post and
> 'answer myself'.

Public school grad, right Evan?
My taxes working for me. <shrug>
--
Stupidity should be painful

1120. Scannerist Gets Too Close To Action

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-31 18:32:44 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1143829964.473350.283580@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9500

http://www.tmnews.com/articles/2006/03/31/sections/news/news45.txt

Beford IN - scannerist arrested by police during bank robbery investigation

1121. Re: good long distance radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-31 18:40:23 UTC
From: honestjohn@centurytel.net
Message-ID: <Bbmdnf_XP-7x6rDZnZ2dnUVZ_t-dnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 9501

```
Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1143826497.393268@yasure.drizzle.com...
> "Evan Platt" <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote in message
> news:3giq225496cln2ti3u2gknea56sl2duaha@4ax.com...
> > On Wed, 29 Mar 2006 15:20:24 -0800, "Alex Clayton"
> > <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> >>Ok, I was responding to queryd4girl@plum4bbob32domain.com
> >>I had not seen the original post. I did not realize you were posting and
> >>answering yourself under different screen names. <shrug>
> >
> > Huh? You replied to my post, yet omitted my reply. I did not post and
> > 'answer myself'.
>
> Public school grad, right Evan?
> My taxes working for me. <shrug>
> --
> Stupidity should be painful
>
___ |\_/| ___
/ \_ |` ' | _/ \
/ \/ \/ \
/ SPANK \
\/\/\/\ ______ /\/\/\/
X X

Ouch!
```

1122. Re: tower/transmitter owners in the US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-31 18:56:03 UTC
From: Me <Me@shadow.orgs>
Message-ID: <Me-055D86.09562131032006@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 9502

In article <ha0p2210rf2i5o054a18snqotod61rl51g@4ax.com>,
Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote:

> Are you licensed
> or do you have to pay someone who has one?

Al, son, there hasn't been a requirment, in the USofA, for an Operators
License, in the Broacast Industry, for MANY years. (More than a Decade)

Me One who has a 1st Phone and a 1st Graph
tacked up as wallpaper......

1123. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-31 19:27:48 UTC
From: bob_deep@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1143833268.043016.94720@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9503
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

" they are many parts of the rules that should be scraped "

Let's say I agree with you, Part 97 needs some work... That still doesn't give you the authority to scrap the parts you don't like or agree with and operate how ever you choose. The same rules that give you authority to operate have to be followed as they are or you risk getting caught and fined. You don't get the rules changed by breaking them, you get in trouble.

The problem with your argument here is that there is a perscribed way of addressing the problems in Part 97 and getting the rules changed. File the request with the FCC, let your voice be heard. If that's not good enough, or you think the FCC is ignoring you for no good reason, then you should call your local members of congress and complain. Make it an election issue, lobby etc until the issue gets resolved. You simply don't have the right to break the law before you have exausted all your other avenues of getting it changed.

Don't give me this sob story of how unfair and bad the FCC is because they fine somebody for breaking the rules, even if you don't agree the rules are fair. If you choose to break the law, you had better be willing to pay the fines perscribed for doing so. After all, the very set of rules you claim to be unconstitutional also grant you the privilage to operate, perscribe fines for those who break them and limit the types and content of your communications when using the privilages they give you.

So.. I won't accept a boo-hoo about Baxter being fined, nor will I accept that the fines are unfair if he broke the rules. If you want to get the rules changed so what Baxter did becomes OK, it is your right to try through the legal means available to you. But let there be *no* mistake; you are bound by the rules of part 97 when you sign your license and don't have authority to oeprate until you have signed it. IF you break them and get caught you should be ready to take the punishment without crying about how unfair things are.

1124. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-31 19:33:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1143833627.181784.144830@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

bob_d...@yahoo.com wrote:
> " they are many parts of the rules that should be scraped "
>
> Let's say I agree with you, Part 97 needs some work... That still
> doesn't give you the authority to scrap the parts you don't like or
> agree with and operate how ever you choose. The same rules that give
> you authority to operate have to be followed as they are or you risk
> getting caught and fined. You don't get the rules changed by breaking
> them, you get in trouble.

that is the risk taken by K1Man in choosing his course of actio
>
> The problem with your argument here is that there is a perscribed way
> of addressing the problems in Part 97 and getting the rules changed.
> File the request with the FCC, let your voice be heard. If that's not
> good enough, or you think the FCC is ignoring you for no good reason,
> then you should call your local members of congress and complain. Make
> it an election issue, lobby etc until the issue gets resolved. You
> simply don't have the right to break the law before you have exausted
> all your other avenues of getting it changed.

you always have the right the right to break the law, what the
consequences are are another matter but you always have that right and
to state in your trail the law is ilgeal or uncontistitutional you may
prevail you might not

1125. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-31 20:45:50 UTC
From: "Dr.Death" <drdeath@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <122r57s7dln3o44@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 9505
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1143820901.714927.128140@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
>> assraped an old underaged boyfreind wrote:
>> > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > assraped an old underaged boyfreind wrote:
>> > get help for even typing that
>>
>> Hit too close to home, pervert?
> no
>
> it just shows how stupid and sick you are
> get help
>
Hey dumbass! Why are you crossposting this shit when nobody else is? Are you
THAT proud of being humiliated in public? You should follow your own advise
and "get help".

1126. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-31 21:01:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1143838869.042417.108560@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9506
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Dr.Death wrote:
> "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1143820901.714927.128140@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> assraped an old underaged boyfreind wrote:
> >> > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > > assraped an old underaged boyfreind wrote:
> >> > get help for even typing that
> >>
> >> Hit too close to home, pervert?
> > no
> >
> > it just shows how stupid and sick you are
> > get help
> >
> Hey dumbass! Why are you crossposting this shit when nobody else is?
get help yourself yin your case it is reading help you need

1127. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-31 21:14:26 UTC
From: "Dr.Death" <drdeath@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <122r6tfg1bl6q19@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 9507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"an_old_beer_enima" <konstans@hotmail.com> misspelled in message
news:1143838869.042417.108560@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dr.Death wrote:
>> "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1143820901.714927.128140@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> assraped an old underaged boyfreind wrote:
>> >> > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> > > assraped an old underaged boyfreind wrote:
>> >> > get help for even typing that
>> >>
>> >> Hit too close to home, pervert?
>> > no
>> >
>> > it just shows how stupid and sick you are
>> > get help
>> >
>> Hey dumbass! Why are you crossposting this shit when nobody else is?
> get help yourself yin your case it is reading help you need
>
Only when I read your misspelled broken English. My offer still stands, I'll
send you a free dictionary if you promise to use it. Or you can choose to
continue being referred to as a retard.

1128. American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-31 22:00:14 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1143842414.563646.232800@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

AMERICAN FREEBAND ASSOCIATION DECLARES K1MAN A FREE TW-WAY RADIO GOD

Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the one true leader of all free radio operators in the world. If matters not if you are a CB, FRS, MURS, GMRS or even a hammie. Glenn Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is dedicated to the understanding that all free tw-way operators are created equal and that they are endowed with certain inalienable rights. Among these are those of life, liberty and freedom to use their radio transmitters in any way that they may desire.

Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is by any definition known to man a true "god of the tw-way" and must be worshiped for whom he is and what he stands for.

Over the years, our true god leader has shown the world of free tw-way radio operators that he takes no crap from anyone. Daily he places his life and fortune on the line so that free tw-way radio operators can say what they want, where they want and to whom they want without fear that the very corrupt Uncle Charlie will take the hammer to them. Even in the face of Uncle Charlie's might Glenn Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, does not yield one single inch. He has no fear of Uncle Charlie and continually taunts them on the tw-way and on his free radio website at www.k1man.com.

When Uncle Charlie the the big number of $21,000 at him and told him to pay up, Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, stood his ground. He spit right in the old mans eye. He then fired up his foot warmer and brought his radio talk back to the ham radio and dared Uncle Charlie to try to stop him. He is there on the 14.275 on the upper side while Uncle Charlie has run away in total fear of the might of the great Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN. Only a true "tw-way radio god" could accomplish this and Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN.

Brothers and sisters of the tw-way the time to honor this radio god is now. Fall on your knees before his image. Worship his being today. Pledge you soul to him. It is only by his mystic power that you are even permitted to be on the radio in his presence.

All hail the mystical god of the tw-way Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN. He is the light that shall guide us all from the dark.

A. Cannaed Hamm, President
American Freeband Association
Veruth Minneosta, 55424

1129. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-03-31 22:28:03 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1143844083.737897.243140@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9509
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Correction Glenn it's Crazy Uncle Charlie

Todd N9OGL

1130. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-01 00:15:14 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <fd2dnfldafmNW7DZnZ2dnUVZ_t-dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9510
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

> Correction Glenn it's Crazy Uncle Charlie

The Friendly Candy Company!

Want some candy, little boy?

1131. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-01 00:21:50 UTC
From: "Dr.Death" <drdeath@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <122rhsre1407e18@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 9511
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Scott in Baltimore" <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:fd2dnfldafmNW7DZnZ2dnUVZ_t-dnZ2d@comcast.com...
>> Correction Glenn it's Crazy Uncle Charlie
>
> The Friendly Candy Company!
>
> Want some candy, little boy?

American Freeband Association?????? Since when were we organized and how
come I didn't get invited to any meetings?

1132. Scanning USA Magazine via mail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-01 00:59:37 UTC
From: Ron Purdue <ronaldpurdue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1143853177_111@sp6iad.superfeed.net>
Source record: 9512

I have subscribed to Scanning USA via the Internet in mid-February and as of today, I haven't received the first copy in the mail. Does anyone out there in Scannerland ever received their copy of Scanning USA via mail? I wonder if I've been ripped-off or is it legitimate? Please help! Thanks.

Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services

** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
----
http://www.usenet.com

1133. Re: good long distance radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-01 01:03:15 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <p7kr229tvia3fvtsptr7atp95eva1g09ot@4ax.com>
Source record: 9513

On Thu, 30 Mar 2006 19:22:58 -0800, "Alex Clayton"
<alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Now I'm sure some experts here will tell everyone that they
>can't do that

I'm just telling what I've seen with my 5 watt, 450 MHz radios under
various conditions. My degrees work for many miles and never need
recharging, but they don't help communications a bit.

Sorry about your pain, though.

1134. Re: tower/transmitter owners in the US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-01 01:04:42 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <mckr22djjprqmhjin1e5te8pnuas0gsb28@4ax.com>
Source record: 9514

On Fri, 31 Mar 2006 18:56:03 GMT, Me <Me@shadow.orgs> wrote:

>In article <ha0p2210rf2i5o054a18snqotod61rl51g@4ax.com>,
> Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote:

>> Are you licensed
>> or do you have to pay someone who has one?

>Al, son, there hasn't been a requirment, in the USofA, for an Operators
>License, in the Broacast Industry, for MANY years. (More than a Decade)

>Me One who has a 1st Phone and a 1st Graph
> tacked up as wallpaper......

Whoops. Tripped over my beard. :)

1135. Re: Listening to Encrypted Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-01 01:05:34 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <0ekr22lnunmvlloi0nuijjvd3htrsthnkd@4ax.com>
Source record: 9515

On 30 Mar 2006 21:57:41 -0800, "bradvk2qq" <bradvk2qq@w6ir.com> wrote:

>It is possible that they use a different CTCSS between
>analog and digital transmissions, our police do

CTCSS is analog, not digital.

1136. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-01 01:05:45 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1143853545.664523.47950@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Dr.Death wrote:
> "Scott in Baltimore" <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:fd2dnfldafmNW7DZnZ2dnUVZ_t-dnZ2d@comcast.com...
> >> Correction Glenn it's Crazy Uncle Charlie
> > >
> > > The Friendly Candy Company!
> > >
> > > Want some candy, little boy?
> >
> > American Freeband Association?????? Since when were we organized and how
> > come I didn't get invited to any meetings?

you need to keep up on your corespsondse

just like when Rush found himself left out that "Vast Right Wing
something against Clinton

1137. Re: Listening to Encrypted Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-01 03:27:19 UTC
From: bradvk2qq <bradvk2qq@w6ir.com>
Message-ID: <1143862039.465964.74920@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9517

OK, so the suggestion still stands. The digital transmissions won't have a ctcss tone whereas the analog will, and if he can program the radio to require a tone, it will not pass the digital signals through to the audio.

1138. Re: good long distance radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-01 04:22:35 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1143865359.125549@yasure.drizzle.com>
Source record: 9518

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:p7kr229tvia3fvtsptr7atp95eva1g09ot@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 30 Mar 2006 19:22:58 -0800, "Alex Clayton"
> <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>Now I'm sure some experts here will tell everyone that they
>>can't do that
>
> I'm just telling what I've seen with my 5 watt, 450 MHz radios under
> various conditions. My degrees work for many miles and never need
> recharging, but they don't help communications a bit.
>
> Sorry about your pain, though.

Don't know, as I said my knowledge of radios and scanners is pretty limited.
All I "know" is what I experience. Reading this group often has be more
confused than when I started. The antenna threads are some of the best. I
had at one time though about getting some kind of a roof antenna for the
house, but after reading through many threads here on them never bothered.
You get a whole lot of tech talk, with some saying what will work, while
others say the same thing will not work and why. <shrug>
--
"Everything in excess! To enjoy the flavor of life, take big bites.
Moderation is for monks."
[Lazarus Long]

1139. FA: A Few More Old Scanners - End Sunday/Monday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-01 04:40:26 UTC
From: BW <winstead@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <1143866426.902204.190660@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQsassZslick-channelsQQhtZ-1

1140. Re: Scanning USA Magazine via mail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-01 15:40:25 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <WpudnSqNeII3A7PZRVn-rg@comcast.com>
Source record: 9520

Hi Ron!

In my opinion, even if you received it, you would be getting ripped off! Scanning USA is an inferior magazine when compared to Monitoring Times, and Popular Communications.

Added to that, they printed an editorial not too long ago that basically implied if their customers bitch about their subscriptions being late, they'd just as soon not do business with them. They truly have an inflated opinion of themselves, and their publication.

If you used your charge card to pay, call them up, and retract your payment.

Bill Crocker

"Ron Purdue" <ronaldpurdue@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1143853177_111@sp6iad.superfeed.net...
>I have subscribed to Scanning USA via the Internet in mid-February and as
>of today, I haven't received the first copy in the mail. Does anyone out
>there in Scannerland ever received their copy of Scanning USA via mail? I
>wonder if I've been ripped-off or is it legitimate? Please help! Thanks.
>
> Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services
> --
> ** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
> --
> http://www.usenet.com

1141. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-01 19:09:07 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1143918547.611975.39760@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9521
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Dr.Death wrote:
> "an_old_beer_enima" <konstans@hotmail.com> misspelled in message
> news:1143838869.042417.108560@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dr.Death wrote:
> >> "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1143820901.714927.128140@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:

> >> Hey dumbass! Why are you crossposting this shit when nobody else is?
> > get help yourself yin your case it is reading help you need
> >
> Only when I read your misspelled broken English.

more lies "doctor" you can't een read the headers of the threads you
are bitching about

1142. Re: Pro-96 no longer for sale at Radio Shack?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-01 19:13:23 UTC
From: AC Smith <chevyorangeradio@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <20060401111323168O7-chevyorangeradio@gmailcom>
Source record: 9522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 2006-03-29 18:00:30 -0800, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> said:

> On 28 Mar 2006 09:30:50 -0800, "N9NEO" <yzordderrex@verizon.net>
> wrote:
>
>> I left the store and went over to Walmart and picked up a few things.
>> Was about an hour later that I happened to walk by the Rad Shack and I
>> saw the store manager playing with a RC car out on the sidewalk
>
>> Pay peanuts - get monkeys.
>
> When the CEO is a fake college grad, what can you expect but fake
> employees, for the most part.
>
> If you find a RS with a good knowledgeable employee, treasure that
> store and don't go to the ones with the burger-kiddies ("Would you
> like any cellphones with that?").

The last RadioShack I went to, (this is a good story, really!), the
"kid" working there took a new scanner that a customer puchased and
hooked it up to the store's PC. Loaded all the local freqs including
TRUNKING freqs in a minute or two.

Blew my socks off - I'd have guessed a 17 year old would have sold the
scanner and said, "have a nice day".

Made me think of even buying a new scanner...

--

acs

Grundig Satellit 800M, Degen 1102,ï¿½FR200,ï¿½
100' Random Wire, Degen "31" Amplified Loop,
Pulling signals out of thin air in the Pacific Northwest Since 1992
<http://www.chevyorange.com>

1143. Re: Pro-96 no longer for sale at Radio Shack?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-01 19:56:59 UTC
From: honestjohn@centurytel.net
Message-ID: <oOSdnbZ6r4duR7PZnZ2dnUVZ_vidnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 9523
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

AC Smith <chevyorangeradio@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:20060401111323168O7-chevyorangeradio@gmailcom...
> On 2006-03-29 18:00:30 -0800, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> said:
>
> > On 28 Mar 2006 09:30:50 -0800, "N9NEO" <yzordderrex@verizon.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> >> I left the store and went over to Walmart and picked up a few things.
> >> Was about an hour later that I happened to walk by the Rad Shack and I
> >> saw the store manager playing with a RC car out on the sidewalk
> >
> >> Pay peanuts - get monkeys.
> >
> > When the CEO is a fake college grad, what can you expect but fake
> > employees, for the most part.
> >
> > If you find a RS with a good knowledgeable employee, treasure that
> > store and don't go to the ones with the burger-kiddies ("Would you
> > like any cellphones with that?").
>
> The last RadioShack I went to, (this is a good story, really!), the
> "kid" working there took a new scanner that a customer puchased and
> hooked it up to the store's PC. Loaded all the local freqs including
> TRUNKING freqs in a minute or two.
>
> Blew my socks off - I'd have guessed a 17 year old would have sold the
> scanner and said, "have a nice day".
>
> Made me think of even buying a new scanner...
>
That is strange! How out-of-the-ordinary!

HJ

1144. Re: good long distance radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-02 01:56:37 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <7nbu22tidsj0g27jgf4dpfoel2ookinqk1@4ax.com>
Source record: 9524

On Fri, 31 Mar 2006 20:22:35 -0800, "Alex Clayton"
<alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote:

>"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>news:p7kr229tvia3fvtsptr7atp95eva1g09ot@4ax.com...
>> On Thu, 30 Mar 2006 19:22:58 -0800, "Alex Clayton"
>> <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote:

>>>Now I'm sure some experts here will tell everyone that they
>>>can't do that

>> I'm just telling what I've seen with my 5 watt, 450 MHz radios under
>> various conditions. My degrees work for many miles and never need
>> recharging, but they don't help communications a bit.

>> Sorry about your pain, though.

>Don't know, as I said my knowledge of radios and scanners is pretty limited.

But you don't mind sharing your opinion with others. Others who know
less than you do, and may actually accept your opinion as being worth
something - then waste their money.

You're correct though - no one should accept what they read on the
internet as being worth more than the pixels it's made of - you proved
that.

1145. Re: good long distance radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-02 05:55:29 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1143957329.537643@yasure.drizzle.com>
Source record: 9525

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:7nbu22tidsj0g27jgf4dpfoel2ookinqk1@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 31 Mar 2006 20:22:35 -0800, "Alex Clayton"
> <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>>news:p7kr229tvia3fvtsptr7atp95eva1g09ot@4ax.com...
>>> On Thu, 30 Mar 2006 19:22:58 -0800, "Alex Clayton"
>>> <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>>>Now I'm sure some experts here will tell everyone that they
>>>>can't do that
>
>>> I'm just telling what I've seen with my 5 watt, 450 MHz radios under
>>> various conditions. My degrees work for many miles and never need
>>> recharging, but they don't help communications a bit.
>
>>> Sorry about your pain, though.
>
>>Don't know, as I said my knowledge of radios and scanners is pretty
>>limited.
>
> But you don't mind sharing your opinion with others. Others who know
> less than you do, and may actually accept your opinion as being worth
> something - then waste their money.
>
> You're correct though - no one should accept what they read on the
> internet as being worth more than the pixels it's made of - you proved
> that.

ROTFLMAO!!
Touched a nerve there huh? Well I'm sure your Mom is still impressed with
you Al. You just keep telling the world how smart you are, after all you
believe it. It's a shame if anyone spends money on what I use only to find
out it works, while you being much smarter can tell them why what they have
should not work.
--
Stupidity should be painful

1146. Re: Pro-96 no longer for sale at Radio Shack?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-02 09:37:34 UTC
From: James Douglas <james.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <Su2dnbnc7p_0BLLZnZ2dnUVZ_sKdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9526
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

lsmyer wrote:
> I went to a Radio Shack today. The Pro-96 was nowhere to be seen. They
> do have a couple of scanners that are on sale, and I was actually
> tempted to buy one of the Pro-83s for $69.97 which is apparently being
> closed out. I bought one of them a year ago back when they were $99, but
> I wasn't really impressed.
>
> It was alarming how stupid and unknowledgeable the two employees which
> were in the store today. I picked up a scanner, and she said "Looking
> for a CB?" Then, after finishing with the scanners, I walked over to
> where the portable radios used to be. I asked if they had the Eton E10.
> She said Eton is the same as Grundig. But she didn't say if they had the
> radio. So I asked again, and she asked why was I looking for that radio.
> So I told her that I want a radio with shortwave. She said that they
> don't have the E10 any longer, but they have a couple of other radios
> with shortwave. How many shortwaves do you need? She then pointed at the
> Grundig crank radio and said this one has one shortwave. I think we sold
> the one that had two.
>
> Needless to say, I left without buying any shortwaves.
The one in my area has a hot babe and I never treat her bad, cute face,
and a great set of tubes

1147. swldatabase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-02 19:45:33 UTC
From: Mags <magsimoe@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1144007132.946182.199050@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9527

Hello everyone!

I have just put my swl frequency database online. Please have a look at
http://www.swldatabase.com

Please send any feedback through the email that is listed on the page.
Thank you very much.

1148. Re: good long distance radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-03 00:21:35 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <mhq03257vr846m31494dogj47i18m1aip0@4ax.com>
Source record: 9528

On Sat, 1 Apr 2006 21:55:29 -0800, "Alex Clayton"
<alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote:

>ROTFLMAO!!
> Touched a nerve there

Did I? Good - I meant to. Look up "pompous" in a dictionary. There
should be a picture of a quadruped near it. Looks just like you.

1149. FA: ICOM R-7000 RECEIVER / SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-03 05:34:39 UTC
From: Doug Davis <dougd@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <9vSdnWih851ALq3ZRVn-hg@buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 9529
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner

ICOM R-7000 RECEIVER / SCANNER

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=9707459994

1150. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-03 13:00:48 UTC
From: marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144069248.890245.83860@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9530
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

N9OGL wrote:
> Correction Glenn it's Crazy Uncle Charlie

They're planning a fine for you and your jamming broadcasts, Toad.

1151. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-03 13:09:50 UTC
From: marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144069790.904909.326230@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9531
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

assfucked an old freind wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an_old_perverted_retard wrote:
> > > bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote:
> as I would with the traffic judge. Affirmed fines for all.

BWHAHAHAHA Marky admits to many arrests!

> > >
> > > analogy does fail since since no one will die if a ham breaks the rules
> > > by and large
> >
> > Strawman argument, Marky. I'm surprised you can even argue with that,
> > you illiterate bastard.

You don't think at all do you, Marky?

> hardly

Indeed, your gibberish is quite humourous in the fact you must enjoy
being ridiculed on a daily basis.

> indeed the level of Fines the FCC levies also bears dealling with
> NOTHING K1MAN could do merits 21K try that in a parking ticket or
> trafficoffense

Again, you aren't hampered by too much info, you illiterate dropout.

> > > or you use your constitutionaly protected right to challnge that
> > > authority. I don't think K1MAN was/is taking a wise course of action or
> > > persuing it that well but it is his right to chelenge the rule though
> > > the process provided, But I defend his right to persue it
> >
> > Just like you defend your perversions, huh, Marky?
> no

Yes. You are a perverter of kids.

> get
Get a new line, retard.

1152. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-03 13:33:42 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144071222.710855.105890@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9532
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

SURE IN YOUR LITTLE LALA LAND
BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

MORON

1153. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-03 13:37:29 UTC
From: lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144071449.898017.246150@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9533
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

N9OGL wrote:
> SURE IN YOUR LITTLE LALA LAND

My, Toad is all upset. But then faggots like him always whine in all caps when the truth is told.

> BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Having another psychotic episode, basement dweller? Is that why you are locked in your parents' basement?

> MORON

Have another fag fit, Toad.

1154. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-03 14:11:02 UTC
From: an old freind <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144073462.164387.19460@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9534
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> assfucked an old freind wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an_old_perverted_retard wrote:
> > > > bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote:
> > as I would with the traffic judge. Affirmed fines for all.
>
> BWHAHAHAHA Marky admits to many arrests!
get help
```

1155. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-03 14:30:18 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144074618.283720.25400@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9535
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > Correction Glenn it's Crazy Uncle Charlie
>
> They're planning a fine for you and your jamming broadcasts, Toad.
get help

1156. Re: good long distance radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-03 20:08:17 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <FoKdnaNiJLtOHazZRVn-uw@comcast.com>
Source record: 9536

If you go with GMRS radios, and plan to use them with a repeater, make sure the radios support that function. It requires you to transmit on one frequency, and receive on another. Many repeaters also require CTCSS, or possibly DCS capability as well.

Most of the "bubble pack" radios do not work with repeaters. Look for deals on used Motorola, Kenwood, etc.

Bill Crocker

"Fastau B" <queryd4girl@plum4bbob32domain.com> wrote in message news:a9ydnfyjS7pqELfZnZ2dnUVZ_tqdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> I'm sick of these Frs radios. I want a high power radio that I can take
> hiking.
> Give me a clue please.
> Maybe one that uses repeaters but I am open to anything.
>

1157. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-03 20:27:20 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144096040.337494.16140@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9537
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

You were organized on May 9, 1978 when Clyde L. Brunahan (Lefty) and Richard B. Cooper, Ll.D. (Lawman) created Valley Good Numbers after breaking away from HF International. You had no say in the matter as Mr. Brunahan and Attorney Cooper decreed that all HF'ers were now members in good standing in the new organization. And you are late in paying your dues.

1158. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-03 22:48:06 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144104486.333945.246580@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9538
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
> You were organized on May 9, 1978 when Clyde L. Brunahan (Lefty) and
> Richard B. Cooper, Ll.D. (Lawman) created Valley Good Numbers after
> breaking away from HF International. You had no say in the matter as
> Mr. Brunahan and Attorney Cooper decreed that all HF'ers were now
> members in good standing in the new organization. And you are late in
> paying your dues.

get help man

1159. Re: Scanning USA Magazine via mail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-04 01:53:07 UTC
From: Ron Purdue <ronaldpurdue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144111987_3741@sp6iad.superfeed.net>
Source record: 9539

"Ron Purdue" <ronaldpurdue@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services
>--
> ** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
>--

> http://www.usenet.com

Dear Bill Crocker:

I saw that article recently about complaints of Scanning USA being receiving late, Your article is compared to the now defunct Scanner Association of North America, I believe they are a 'fraud' up to this very day. Remember that organization? I think that the editor of Scanning USA should be investigated by the state Attorney General's office, the Better Business Bureau and the Postal Inspector.

Ron Purdue

Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services

** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
----
http://www.usenet.com

1160. HT1000 to trade!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-04 04:35:55 UTC
From: Adam <ashatzkamer@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1144125355.268039.25040@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9540

Hello All
I have a Motorola HT1000 VHF high band 16 channel two way handheld radio in good working order. comes with battery, charger, speaker/mic, and leather belt swivel case. I would like to TRADE it for a digital TRUNKING SCANNER. I also have a FDNY style leather radio strap for the case that is negotiable. If you are interested please contact me at prosped@aol.com

THANKS!

1161. Re: Retard Marky whines again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-04 07:43:11 UTC
From: Billy Smith <asgjpwehtiwatewhetw@astopwiehtopewhtoiewth.com>
Message-ID: <jspYf.412$Es3.116@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 9541
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:

> assraped an old underaged boyfreind wrote:
>
>>markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>>assraped an old underaged boyfreind wrote:
>>
>>get help for even typing that
>
>
> Hit too close to home, pervert?
>
What do you expect out of Scheissman the pervert? But what do you expect
out of a moron who can't even spell? BWHAHAHAHHAHAHAHA. Poor Scheissman

1162. Re: fuck off Markie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-04 07:43:44 UTC
From: Billy Smith <asgjpwehtiwatewhetw@astopwiehtopewhtoiewth.com>
Message-ID: <QspYf.413$Es3.240@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 9542
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

> sucked off an_old_underaged boyfriend wrote:
>
>>BelchingBevtheRatQueen wrote:
>>
>>>Suck My Flailing, Turgid, Fleshpipe....Bitch!
>>
>>get help
>
>
> Get to sucking off your diseased ridden daddy, MARKY.
>
Woger still humps Marie's old dead bones after pulling her out of the
freezer.

1163. FS/FT Averatec 5400 AMD 1.6 2800+ Laptop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-04 09:36:24 UTC
From: NI4L <ni4l@charter.net>
Message-ID: <g9rYf.74$V27.62@fe04.lga>
Source record: 9544
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Averatec 5400 AMD 1.6 2800+ 512 DVD Burner Win XP Laptop
It has a AMD 2800+ processor 1.6Ghz, 40gb hard drive
512mb of ram
15 inch LCD with no dead pixels
a DVD-RW / CD-RW burner
Lithium ion battery
a second monitor port
4 USB 2.0 ports
a PC Card slot
a 56K modem built in
10/100mbps wired ethernet nic built in
the Windows XP home restore disks
a LiteOn 115 ac power supply
It needs to be taken apart and have some heat grease put between the
processor and heatsink. When it gets hot it shuts off, checked AMD Processor
forums common problem they didn't put any grease on the processor. This
would make someone who is into laptops a good one. 300.00 shipped or trade
for equal value. Don't be shy. This could be your lucky day.
PayPal ok No CC

1164. FS/FT Averatec 5400 AMD 1.6 2800+ Laptop....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-04 09:39:34 UTC
From: NI4L <ni4l@charter.net>
Message-ID: <h9rYf.75$V27.36@fe04.lga>
Source record: 9543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Averatec 5400 AMD 1.6 2800+ 512 DVD Burner Win XP Laptop
It has a AMD 2800+ processor 1.6Ghz, 40gb hard drive
512mb of ram
15 inch LCD with no dead pixels
a DVD-RW / CD-RW burner
Lithium ion battery
a second monitor port
4 USB 2.0 ports
a PC Card slot
a 56K modem built in
10/100mbps wired ethernet nic built in
the Windows XP home restore disks
a LiteOn 115 ac power supply
It needs to be taken apart and have some heat grease put between the
processor and heatsink. When it gets hot it shuts off, checked AMD Processor
forums common problem they didn't put any grease on the processor. This
would make someone who is into laptops a good one. 300.00 shipped or trade
for equal value. Don't be shy. This could be your lucky day.
PayPal ok No CC

1165. Re: Scanning USA Magazine via mail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-04 11:53:41 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Rs2dnVZb5d7aw6_ZnZ2dnUVZ_tKdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9545

No I don't. I picked up a few copies here, and there, from the news stands,
only to be disappointed, and sometimes pissed off.

Bill Crocker

"chesucat" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:e0sgfifuq2@chessie.cirr.com...
> Bill Crocker wrote:
> BC>Hi Ron!
> BC>In my opinion, even if you received it, you would be getting ripped
> off!
> BC>Scanning USA is an inferior magazine when compared to Monitoring Times,
> and
> BC>Popular Communications.
> BC>Added to that, they printed an editorial not too long ago that
> basically
> BC>implied if their customers bitch about their subscriptions being late,
> BC>they'd just as soon not do business with them. They truly have an
> inflated
> BC>opinion of themselves, and their publication.
> BC>If you used your charge card to pay, call them up, and retract your
> payment.
> BC>Bill Crocker
>
> Bill,
>
> You actually subscribe and read that ol' conservative rag?!?;-) I bought
> an
> issue at the computer book store and read it. It was fill with a lot
> 'typos'
> and conservative political editorials. Not much technical meat to it! I
> think it is own by Fox News or Clear Channel publishing company, 'eh?
>
> <chesucat twitches>
>
> --
> chesucat_at_sdf_dit_lonestar_dot_org_dah!
> SDF Public Access UNIX System, Est. 1987 <http://sdf.lonestar.org/>
>
> To program anything that is programmable is an obsession!

1166. Re: FS/FT Averatec 5400 AMD 1.6 2800+ Laptop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-04 12:28:56 UTC
From: TimBob <tmize57@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1144153736.701235.247400@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9546
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

I'll take it, I will pay with PayPal as soon as I know your paypal
name.
Thanks
Tim Mize

1167. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-04 15:53:09 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144165989.076684.68870@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9547
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
Billy Smith wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > assraped an old underaged boyfreind wrote:
> >
> >>markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>assraped an old underaged boyfreind wrote:
> >>
> >>get help for even typing that
> >
> >
> > Hit too close to home, pervert?
> >
> What do you expect out of Scheissman the pervert?
I expect (in vain) something that more snese than that
```

1168. Re: FS/FT Averatec 5400 AMD 1.6 2800+ Laptop....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-04 20:22:54 UTC
From: Steven Skindell <openop@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <n62dneI4j7r9SK_ZRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 9548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

I have a Icom scanner I would trade you.
Let me know ... openop@comcast.net

" NI4L" <ni4l@charter.net> wrote in message news:h9rYf.75$V27.36@fe04.lga...
> Averatec 5400 AMD 1.6 2800+ 512 DVD Burner Win XP Laptop
> It has a AMD 2800+ processor 1.6Ghz, 40gb hard drive
> 512mb of ram
> 15 inch LCD with no dead pixels
> a DVD-RW / CD-RW burner
> Lithium ion battery
> a second monitor port
> 4 USB 2.0 ports
> a PC Card slot
> a 56K modem built in
> 10/100mbps wired ethernet nic built in
> the Windows XP home restore disks
> a LiteOn 115 ac power supply
> It needs to be taken apart and have some heat grease put between the
> processor and heatsink. When it gets hot it shuts off, checked AMD
> Processor
> forums common problem they didn't put any grease on the processor. This
> would make someone who is into laptops a good one. 300.00 shipped or trade
> for equal value. Don't be shy. This could be your lucky day.
> PayPal ok No CC
>
>
>

1169. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-04 21:29:02 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <Webmaster@WH2T>
Message-ID: <3c112$4432e50e$4e1051d$8202@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 9549
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1144096040.337494.16140@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> You were organized on May 9, 1978 when Clyde L. Brunahan (Lefty) and
> Richard B. Cooper, Ll.D. (Lawman) created Valley Good Numbers after
> breaking away from HF International. You had no say in the matter as
> Mr. Brunahan and Attorney Cooper decreed that all HF'ers were now
> members in good standing in the new organization. And you are late in
> paying your dues.
>

STFU CB'er

1170. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-04 22:01:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1144188106.537703.96330@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

Dr.Ace wrote:
> <netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1144096040.337494.16140@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > You were organized on May 9, 1978 when Clyde L. Brunahan (Lefty) and
> > Richard B. Cooper, Ll.D. (Lawman) created Valley Good Numbers after
> > breaking away from HF International. You had no say in the matter as
> > Mr. Brunahan and Attorney Cooper decreed that all HF'ers were now
> > members in good standing in the new organization. And you are late in
> > paying your dues.
> >
>
> STFU CB'er
why?

1171. l.e. freq. N.E. Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-04 22:46:37 UTC
From: user@domain.invalid
Message-ID: <gHCYf.64$XS4.34@fe02.lga>
Source record: 9551

Where can I find the 800mhz. freq.'s. for the L.E./ public Safety in
Iosco Co. Mi and surrounding area?
Thanks / Bruce / k8ibe

1172. Re: l.e. freq. N.E. Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 00:57:04 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <OI2dnaCyZc9_iK7ZRVn-sw@comcast.com>
Source record: 9552

http://www.radioreference.com

Click on "Database" near the top.

Bill Crocker

<user@domain.invalid> wrote in message news:gHCYf.64$XS4.34@fe02.lga...
> Where can I find the 800mhz. freq.'s. for the L.E./ public Safety in Iosco
> Co. Mi and surrounding area?
> Thanks / Bruce / k8ibe

1173. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 00:58:17 UTC
From: honestjohn@centurytel.net
Message-ID: <n8ednc-4Bd1ziK7ZnZ2dnUVZ_tOdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 9553
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

```
an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1144188106.537703.96330@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dr.Ace wrote:
> > <netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1144096040.337494.16140@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > > You were organized on May 9, 1978 when Clyde L. Brunahan (Lefty) and
> > > Richard B. Cooper, Ll.D. (Lawman) created Valley Good Numbers after
> > > breaking away from HF International. You had no say in the matter as
> > > Mr. Brunahan and Attorney Cooper decreed that all HF'ers were now
> > > members in good standing in the new organization. And you are late in
> > > paying your dues.
> > >
> >
> > STFU CB'er
> why?
>
Cotton pickers should be seen and not heard!
```

1174. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 01:04:18 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1144199058.777510.310480@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9554
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

honestjohn@centurytel.net wrote:
> an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1144188106.537703.96330@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dr.Ace wrote:
> > > <netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1144096040.337494.16140@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > > > You were organized on May 9, 1978 when Clyde L. Brunahan (Lefty) and
> > > > Richard B. Cooper, Ll.D. (Lawman) created Valley Good Numbers after
> > > > breaking away from HF International. You had no say in the matter as
> > > > Mr. Brunahan and Attorney Cooper decreed that all HF'ers were now
> > > > members in good standing in the new organization. And you are late in
> > > > paying your dues.
> > > >
> > >
> > > STFU CB'er
> > why?
> >
> Cotton pickers should be seen and not heard!

why? why should cbers reamin silent?

are you afraid of them?

1175. Question about morse code broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 02:14:19 UTC
From: Dave <catx@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <%JFYf.8465$4L1.6714@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 9555

Hi,

I'm a born again scanner user. I dig out my stuff every few years, dust it off, and then realize how much I forgot or notice that my rigs are becoming outdated (I still have some scanners that use crystals).

Sometimes while scanning, a transmission is broadcast as a series of Morse code tones. There is no human traffic with these.

I believe they are identifiers for a particular base station or ?

Is there a device that I could buy that could decipher these codes into text. Years ago a buddy made one (circa 1989). I thought it was pretty cool being able to read these broadcasted reports.

Thanks for any and all help,
Dave

1176. Re: l.e. freq. N.E. Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 02:22:33 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <0fa6329r0bvcbb5a6et65i10l2ji68acif@4ax.com>
Source record: 9556

On Tue, 04 Apr 2006 18:46:37 -0400, user@domain.invalid wrote:

>Where can I find the 800mhz. freq.'s. for the L.E./ public Safety in
>Iosco Co. Mi and surrounding area?

<http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&ctid=1261>

1177. Re: Question about morse code broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 02:32:50 UTC
From: kb9rqz@markmorgan.com
Message-ID: <ksa632dp075d3ojvf874ijd4fp7kq0j6b9@4ax.com>
Source record: 9558

On Wed, 05 Apr 2006 02:14:19 GMT, "Dave" <catx@pacbell.net> wrote:

>Hi,
>
>I'm a born again scanner user. I dig out my stuff every few years, dust it
>off, and then realize how much I forgot or notice that my rigs are becoming
>outdated (I still have some scanners that use crystals).
>
>Sometimes while scanning, a transmission is broadcast as a series of Morse
>code tones. There is no human traffic with these.
>
>I believe they are identifiers for a particular base station or ?
>
>Is there a device that I could buy that could decipher these codes into
>text. Years ago a buddy made one (circa 1989). I thought it was pretty cool
>being able to read these broadcasted reports.
>
>Thanks for any and all help,
>Dave

where are you hearing them at VHF and UHF FM in ham bands they well be
an id for the repater which will be sent out depneding on the
programing of the controller

MFJenterprises.com I belive is the link has a severla level of unit
that will tranlate code esp well sent machine code like you are likely
hearing but the messages likely read basicaly "kb9rqz" or what ever
the call leter of the repeater owner call letters
>

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

needs to sop lying about people

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1178. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 02:35:04 UTC
From: honestjohn@centurytel.net
Message-ID: <e7udnVFq1P8Dsa7ZnZ2dnUVZ_v6dnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 9557
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1144199058.777510.310480@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> honestjohn@centurytel.net wrote:
> > an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1144188106.537703.96330@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > Dr.Ace wrote:
> > > > <netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1144096040.337494.16140@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > You were organized on May 9, 1978 when Clyde L. Brunahan (Lefty)
and
> > > > > Richard B. Cooper, Ll.D. (Lawman) created Valley Good Numbers
after
> > > > > breaking away from HF International. You had no say in the matter
as
> > > > > Mr. Brunahan and Attorney Cooper decreed that all HF'ers were now
> > > > > members in good standing in the new organization. And you are late
in
> > > > > paying your dues.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > STFU CB'er
> > > why?
> > >
> > Cotton pickers should be seen and not heard!
>
> why? why should cbers reamin silent?
>
> are you afraid of them?
>
I don't enjoy hearing dogs bark, and CBers on the same level! There you
forced me to tell you the brutal truth, happy now?

1179. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 02:42:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1144204922.486731.35000@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9559
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

honestjohn@centurytel.net wrote:
> an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1144199058.777510.310480@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >

> >
> > why? why should cbers reamin silent?
> >
> > are you afraid of them?
> >
> I don't enjoy hearing dogs bark, and CBers on the same level!
so you are afraid of them it them
> There you
> forced me to tell you the brutal truth, happy now?
noot esp I don't like hypocrites

1180. Re: Question about morse code broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 02:45:24 UTC
From: honestjohn@centurytel.net
Message-ID: <YcGdnTNqreuXsq7ZnZ2dnUVZ_v2dnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 9560

<kb9rqz@markmorgan.com> wrote in message
news:ksa632dp075d3ojvf874ijd4fp7kq0j6b9@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 05 Apr 2006 02:14:19 GMT, "Dave" <catx@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> >Hi,
> >
> >I'm a born again scanner user. I dig out my stuff every few years, dust
it
> >off, and then realize how much I forgot or notice that my rigs are
becoming
> >outdated (I still have some scanners that use crystals).
> >
> >Sometimes while scanning, a transmission is broadcast as a series of
Morse
> >code tones. There is no human traffic with these.
> >
> >I believe they are identifiers for a particular base station or ?
> >
> >Is there a device that I could buy that could decipher these codes into
> >text. Years ago a buddy made one (circa 1989). I thought it was pretty
cool
> >being able to read these broadcasted reports.
> >
> >Thanks for any and all help,
> >Dave
>
> where are you hearing them at VHF and UHF FM in ham bands they well be
> an id for the repater which will be sent out depneding on the
> programing of the controller
>
> MFJenterprises.com I belive is the link has a severla level of unit
> that will tranlate code esp well sent machine code like you are likely
> hearing but the messages likely read basicaly "kb9rqz" or what ever
> the call leter of the repeater owner call letters
> >
>
>
> Mark Morgan
>
> k4yz@aol.com

Some Police Depts. still use them to broadcast their call letters at various
scheduled times, as was required by the FCC. In the old days the dispatcher
had to recite the call letters at least once an hour. vocally.

HJ

1181. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 02:50:35 UTC
From: honestjohn@centurytel.net
Message-ID: <_f-dncs0xrvcra7ZnZ2dnUVZ_v2dnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 9561
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

```
an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1144204922.486731.35000@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> honestjohn@centurytel.net wrote:
> > an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1144199058.777510.310480@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > >
>
> > >
> > > why? why should cbers reamin silent?
> > >
> > > are you afraid of them?
> > >
> > I don't enjoy hearing dogs bark, and CBers on the same level!
> so you are afraid of them it them
> > There you
> > forced me to tell you the brutal truth, happy now?
> noot esp I don't like hypocrites
>
Non sequitur.
```

1182. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 02:56:28 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1144205788.400450.96840@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9562
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

```
<honestj...@centurytel.net> wrote:
> an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1144204922.486731.35000@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > > There you
> > > forced me to tell you the brutal truth, happy now?
> > noot esp I don't like hypocrites
> >
> Non sequitur.
coward
```

1183. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 03:01:40 UTC
From: honestjohn@centurytel.net
Message-ID: <Y96dnTzJjfhHr67ZnZ2dnUVZ_umdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 9563
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

```
an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1144205788.400450.96840@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> <honestj...@centurytel.net> wrote:
> > an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1144204922.486731.35000@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > > There you
> > > > forced me to tell you the brutal truth, happy now?
> > > noot esp I don't like hypocrites
> > >
> > Non sequitur.
> coward
>
Non sequitur.
```

1184. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 03:08:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1144206523.475670.29550@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9564
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

honestjohn@centurytel.net wrote:
> an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1144205788.400450.96840@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >

> > > Non sequitur.
> > coward
> >
> Non sequitur.

poor little frighten boy

grow up

1185. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 03:20:03 UTC
From: honestjohn@centurytel.net
Message-ID: <oM-dnXaIhs-0qq7ZRVn-rw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 9565
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

```
an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1144206523.475670.29550@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com...
>
> honestjohn@centurytel.net wrote:
> > an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1144205788.400450.96840@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > >
>
> > > > Non sequitur.
> > > coward
> > >
> > Non sequitur.
>
> poor little frighten boy
>
> grow up
>
How's the weather in Maryland, old geezer?
```

1186. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 04:00:59 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1144209659.922989.253570@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9566
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

honestjohn@centurytel.net wrote:
> an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1144206523.475670.29550@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com...
> >

> > poor little frighten boy
> >
> > grow up
> >
> How's the weather in Maryland, old geezer?

why would I know? I am in Michigan, at 42 most folks don't call me a
geezer. the weather hereis slowly melting the snow and ice but seeing
large section of yard finaly

1187. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 04:09:39 UTC
From: honestjohn@centurytel.net
Message-ID: <CNmdnR7_TvtU367ZnZ2dnUVZ_vWdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 9567
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1144209659.922989.253570@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com...
>
> honestjohn@centurytel.net wrote:
> > an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1144206523.475670.29550@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com...
> > >
>
> > > poor little frighten boy
> > >
> > > grow up
> > >
> > How's the weather in Maryland, old geezer?
>
> why would I know? I am in Michigan, at 42 most folks don't call me a
> geezer. the weather hereis slowly melting the snow and ice but seeing
> large section of yard finaly
>
that's nice, Spring is here.

p.s. finally has two "L"s.

1188. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 04:13:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1144210428.577357.214850@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9568
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

<honestj...@centurytel.net> wrote:
> an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1144209659.922989.253570@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com...
> >

> > why would I know? I am in Michigan, at 42 most folks don't call me a
> > geezer. the weather hereis slowly melting the snow and ice but seeing
> > large section of yard finaly
> >
> that's nice, Spring is here.
>
> p.s. finally has two "L"s.

so?

now you going to answer why I should know what theweather is in Maryland

1189. Re: Question about morse code broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 04:21:22 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144210882.887361.244100@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9569

honestjohn@centurytel.net wrote:
> <kb9rqz@markmorgan.com> wrote in message
> news:ksa632dp075d3ojvf874ijd4fp7kq0j6b9@4ax.com...
> > On Wed, 05 Apr 2006 02:14:19 GMT, "Dave" <catx@pacbell.net> wrote:

> > > where are you hearing them at VHF and UHF FM in ham bands they well be
> > > an id for the repater which will be sent out depneding on the
> > > programing of the controller
> > >
> > > MFJenterprises.com I belive is the link has a severla level of unit
> > > that will tranlate code esp well sent machine code like you are likely
> > > hearing but the messages likely read basicaly "kb9rqz" or what ever
> > > the call leter of the repeater owner call letters
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Mark Morgan
> > >
> > > k4yz@aol.com
>
> Some Police Depts. still use them to broadcast their call letters at various
> scheduled times, as was required by the FCC. In the old days the dispatcher
> had to recite the call letters at least once an hour. vocally.
I had herad that but not that any were still doing that but again it
would mostly a set of calsigns I don't think anything serious usesMorse
an more
>
> HJ

1190. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 04:22:24 UTC
From: honestjohn@centurytel.net
Message-ID: <L6ydnRWTJPZa2K7ZnZ2dnUVZ_tSdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 9570
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

```
an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1144210428.577357.214850@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
>
> <honestj...@centurytel.net> wrote:
> > an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1144209659.922989.253570@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com...
> > >
>
> > > why would I know? I am in Michigan, at 42 most folks don't call me a
> > > geezer. the weather hereis slowly melting the snow and ice but seeing
> > > large section of yard finaly
> > >
> > that's nice, Spring is here.
> >
> > p.s. finally has two "L"s.
>
> so?
>
> now you going to answer why I should know what theweather is in Maryland
>
That's where the IP locator said your post came from.

http://spamid.servebeer.com:8081/spamid/iplocator.jsp;jsessionid=DODGOLPFJFD
C
```

1191. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 04:24:39 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144211079.707648.106470@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9571
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

<honestj...@centurytel.net> wrote:
> an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1144210428.577357.214850@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > <honestj...@centurytel.net> wrote:

> > now you going to answer why I should know what theweather is in Maryland
> >
> That's where the IP locator said your post came from.
that may be where Hughes downlinks it although I thought is was
somewhere in TX

given that I use satelite interent where the downlink is has nothing to
due with where I am

1192. Re: Question about morse code broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 11:52:46 UTC
From: Stargatesg1 <nospam@here.net>
Message-ID: <icOYf.16661$Up2.16631@trnddc07>
Source record: 9572

I know I will probably get flamed for this but, just learn the Morse code.
It might be an antiquated and outdated mode of communications but it's still
interesting and fun to be able to tell your friends what is being sent.
Just my .02 worth

--
RoD
KD0XX
PG-6-29404

"Dave" <catx@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:%JFYf.8465$4L1.6714@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> Hi,
>
> I'm a born again scanner user. I dig out my stuff every few years, dust it
> off, and then realize how much I forgot or notice that my rigs are
becoming
> outdated (I still have some scanners that use crystals).
>
> Sometimes while scanning, a transmission is broadcast as a series of Morse
> code tones. There is no human traffic with these.
>
> I believe they are identifiers for a particular base station or ?
>
> Is there a device that I could buy that could decipher these codes into
> text. Years ago a buddy made one (circa 1989). I thought it was pretty
cool
> being able to read these broadcasted reports.
>
> Thanks for any and all help,
> Dave
>
>

1193. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 13:06:41 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144242401.441917.270820@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9573
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
an old child molester wrote:
> marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > Correction Glenn it's Crazy Uncle Charlie
> >
> > They're planning a fine for you and your jamming broadcasts, Toad.
> get

Quit spamming, retard.
```

1194. Retard Marky whines and cries!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 13:37:03 UTC
From: marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144244223.458727.112400@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9574
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
an old child raper wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > assraped an old underaged boyfreind wrote:
> > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > assraped an old underaged boyfreind wrote:
> > > get help for even typing that
> >
> > Hit too close to home, pervert?
> no

Yes. You are a self-admitted perverter of kids.
```

1195. Re: Retard Marky whines again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 13:38:34 UTC
From: marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144244314.461249.218560@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9575
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Billy "kiddie porn maker" Smith wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > assraped an old underaged boyfreind wrote:
> >
> >>markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>assraped an old underaged boyfreind wrote:
> >>
> >>get help for even typing that
> >
> >
> > Hit too close to home, pervert?
> >
> What do you expect

We've come to expect more of your ass slurping because you're so
desperate for friends, fatassed pizza boy.

1196. Re: fuck off Markie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 13:40:28 UTC
From: marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144244428.135412.226570@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9576
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Billy "licks his dead grandmother's asshole" Smith wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > sucked off an_old_underaged boyfriend wrote:
> >
> >>BelchingBevtheRatQueen wrote:
> >>
> >>>Suck My Flailing, Turgid, Fleshpipe....Bitch!
> >>
> >>get help
> >
> >
> > Get to sucking off your diseased ridden daddy, MARKY.
> >
> Woger still humps

Billy still humps nobody, and even his dead grandmother rejects him.
Billy consoles himself by licking her rotting, maggot filled gooey
asshole, though.

1197. Retard Marky fucks up again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 13:41:35 UTC
From: marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144244495.835010.283220@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9577
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

an old child raper wrote:
> I expect (in vain) something that more snese than that
^^^

Oh, the irony.

1198. Re: Question about morse code broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 13:52:04 UTC
From: honestjohn@centurytel.net
Message-ID: <O42dnVQA25LbVq7Z4p2dnA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 9578

Stargatesg1 <nospam@here.net> wrote in message
news:icOYf.16661$Up2.16631@trnddc07...
> I know I will probably get flamed for this but, just learn the Morse code.
> It might be an antiquated and outdated mode of communications but it's
still
> interesting and fun to be able to tell your friends what is being sent.
> Just my .02 worth
>
> --
> RoD
> KD0XX
> PG-6-29404
>
I totally agree with you!
A fellow "Ham"
Call censored on Usenet.

1199. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 13:53:17 UTC
From: honestjohn@centurytel.net
Message-ID: <Q6OdncVtpuQRVq7ZnZ2dnUVZ_vidnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 9579
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1144211079.707648.106470@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...
>
> <honestj...@centurytel.net> wrote:
> > an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1144210428.577357.214850@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > <honestj...@centurytel.net> wrote:
>
> > > now you going to answer why I should know what theweather is in
Maryland
> > >
> > That's where the IP locator said your post came from.
> that may be where Hughes downlinks it although I thought is was
> somewhere in TX
>
> given that I use satelite interent where the downlink is has nothing to
> due with where I am
>
Hey, ya learn something new every day.

1200. Retard Mark C. Morgan is a child molesting pervert!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 14:33:05 UTC
From: not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144247585.408273.147510@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9580
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
an old freind wrote:
> marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > assfucked an old freind wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an_old_perverted_retard wrote:
> > > > > bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > as I would with the traffic judge. Affirmed fines for all.
> >
> > BWHAHAHAHA Marky admits to many arrests!
> get

Quit spamming, you child molesting pervert.
```

1201. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 14:36:32 UTC
From: not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144247792.251108.61710@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9581
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

Larson E. Rapp wrote:
> "PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote:
>
> > Doesn't look like it to me...
> > NNTP-Posting-Host: 204.62.8.128
> >
> > Of course, you are probably posting from work by the looks of it...
>
> OrgName: InterVoice, Inc.
> OrgID: INTERV
> Address: InterVoice, Inc. 17811 Waterview
> Address: Parkway
> City: Dallas
> StateProv: TX
> PostalCode: 75252
> Country: US
>
> NetRange: 204.62.0.0 - 204.62.9.255
> CIDR: 204.62.0.0/21, 204.62.8.0/23
> NetName: INTERVOICE
> NetHandle: NET-204-62-0-0-1
> Parent: NET-204-0-0-0-0
> NetType: Direct Assignment
> NameServer: NS1.INTERVOICE-BRITE.COM
> NameServer: NS1-AUTH.SPRINTLINK.NET
> NameServer: NS2-AUTH.SPRINTLINK.NET
> Comment:
> RegDate: 1994-06-20
> Updated: 2001-03-12
>
> RTechHandle: GP40-ARIN
> RTechName: Preston, Greg
> RTechPhone: +1-214-454-8871
> RTechEmail: gpreston@intervoice.com
>
> # ARIN WHOIS database, last updated 2006-04-02 19:10
> # Enter ? for additional hints on searching ARIN's WHOIS database.

Here's "an old friend's" aka Marky C. Morgan:

AC5-Webproxy26.direcpc.com (66.82.9.65)

66.82.0.0 - 66.82.255.255
Hughes Network Systems
11717 Exploration Lane
DirecWAY Network Management Center
attn: Network Security Manager
Germantown, MD
US

Network Security Manager
abuse@direcpc.com
+1-301-601-7205

1202. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 15:16:46 UTC
From: an old freind <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144250206.049693.19970@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9582
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old child molester wrote:

> Quit spamming, retard.
get help

1203. gte help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 15:25:04 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144250704.690208.61110@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9583
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com wrote:
> Larson E. Rapp wrote:
> > "PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote:
> >
> Here's "an old friend's" aka Marky C. Morgan:
>
> AC5-Webproxy26.direcpc.com (66.82.9.65)
so what

now run along and get help or runi in a traffic

1204. gte help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 15:29:46 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144250986.452146.140870@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9584
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old child raper wrote:
> > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > assraped an old underaged boyfreind wrote:
> > > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > assraped an old underaged boyfreind wrote:
> > > > get help for even typing that
> > >
> > > Hit too close to home, pervert?
> > no
>
> Yes. You are a self-admitted perverter of kids.
get help for your delusion
```

1205. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 16:04:44 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144253084.833932.246060@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9585
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old freind wrote:
> > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > assfucked an old freind wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an_old_perverted_retard wrote:
> > > > > > bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > as I would with the traffic judge. Affirmed fines for all.
> > >
> > > BWHAHAHAHA Marky admits to many arrests!
> > get
>
> Quit spamming,
what spammig? you are obviously delsuional, get help
```

1206. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 16:57:57 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1144256277.935767.150440@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9586
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old child raper wrote:
get help please

1207. Re: Question about morse code broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 18:40:13 UTC
From: somebody <cheney@noon.us>
Message-ID: <haUYf.66847$dW3.9947@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 9587

in the early 90's there was a program you installed on ur computer,
Then Connected the radio reciever Speaker to the MIC input on your
computers Sound card and it put the TEXT of the code on your computer
screen,
No need to build a Decorder .
I forget what the name of the program was !

Dave wrote:
> Hi,
>
> I'm a born again scanner user. I dig out my stuff every few years, dust it
> off, and then realize how much I forgot or notice that my rigs are becoming
> outdated (I still have some scanners that use crystals).
>
> Sometimes while scanning, a transmission is broadcast as a series of Morse
> code tones. There is no human traffic with these.
>
> I believe they are identifiers for a particular base station or ?
>
> Is there a device that I could buy that could decipher these codes into
> text. Years ago a buddy made one (circa 1989). I thought it was pretty cool
> being able to read these broadcasted reports.
>
> Thanks for any and all help,
> Dave
>
>

--
It Doesnot Take Brains To
Get A High Paying Job!
All You Need Is A College Degree

1208. Re: Question about morse code broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 18:48:57 UTC
From: Casey <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <tiUYf.8610$4L1.4891@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 9588

http://www.dxsoft.com/micwget.htm

"somebody" <cheney@noon.us> wrote in message
news:haUYf.66847$dW3.9947@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> in the early 90's there was a program you installed on ur computer, Then
> Connected the radio reciever Speaker to the MIC input on your computers
> Sound card and it put the TEXT of the code on your computer screen,
> No need to build a Decorder .
> I forget what the name of the program was !
>
>
> Dave wrote:
>> Hi,
>>
>> I'm a born again scanner user. I dig out my stuff every few years, dust
>> it off, and then realize how much I forgot or notice that my rigs are
>> becoming outdated (I still have some scanners that use crystals).
>>
>> Sometimes while scanning, a transmission is broadcast as a series of
>> Morse code tones. There is no human traffic with these.
>>
>> I believe they are identifiers for a particular base station or ?
>>
>> Is there a device that I could buy that could decipher these codes into
>> text. Years ago a buddy made one (circa 1989). I thought it was pretty
>> cool being able to read these broadcasted reports.
>>
>> Thanks for any and all help,
>> Dave
>
> --
> It Doesnot Take Brains To
> Get A High Paying Job!
> All You Need Is A College Degree

1209. Re: Question about morse code broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 19:48:36 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <kn7832pbrr5rvo9reoil3o393plt977pcs@4ax.com>
Source record: 9589

On 4 Apr 2006 21:21:22 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>I don't think anything serious usesMorse an more

There's still plenty of Morse traffic on the air.

1210. my dad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 19:55:37 UTC
From: kb9rqz_@markmorgan.com
Message-ID: <q48832dbheqcphhvpbav2g588krqjveaqt@4ax.com>
Source record: 9590

is an asswhole an se am i

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1211. im in

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 19:57:53 UTC
From: kb9rqz_@markmorgan.com
Message-ID: <m88832ls41l42n2o9p195odh6faa0ofr6b@4ax.com>
Source record: 9591

cassell micgan and i wil suk any dick

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1212. TrunkPCR Version 0.7.188 is Now Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 20:04:25 UTC
From: CrazyC <crazy__c_@hot_mail.com>
Message-ID: <cpVYf.8825$t22.2469@dukeread08>
Source record: 9592

TrunkPCR Version 0.7.188 is Now Available for downloading from the homepage:
http://members.cox.net/fiftyone.50/TrunkPcr/TrunkPcr.html

TrunkPCR works with the Icom PCR-100, PCR-1000 and PC/R-1500 line of radios
on Windows based PC's...

This update has a few chages:
Mar 22,2006 - -----: Group/Radio ID Counts in Trunk Info verified before
updating.
Mar 29,2006 - -----: Loss of Data using 2nd Receiver now shows message in
Status Bar.
April 04,2006 - -----: Squelch Indicator Updates when Changed.

Version 0.7.188 Released 04/04/2006

Regards,
Jay

1213. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 20:16:10 UTC
From: Ray Norshine <raynorshine@invalid.kom>
Message-ID: <eAVYf.44$1H4.13@fe06.lga>
Source record: 9593
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"an old freind" <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1144250206.049693.19970@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com:

>
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> an old child molester wrote:
>
>> Quit spamming, retard.
> get help

Lets review your nyms, shall we..

an old freind kb9rqz@amsat.org
an old freind kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com
an old friend kb9rqz@amsat.org
an old friend kb9rqz@yahoo.com
an_old_friend konstans@hotmail.com
an old freind" upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com

(please take note.. [I] before [E] accept after [C])

You really crave LOTS of attention, don't you?

Perhaps it's -YOU- that needs to -"get help"???

::PLONK #6:: and counting...

1214. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 20:28:30 UTC
From: an old freid <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1144268910.821021.172660@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9594
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Ray Norshine wrote:
> "an old freind" <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1144250206.049693.19970@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >> an old child molester wrote:
> > >
> > >> Quit spamming, retard.
> > > get help
> >
> >
> > Lets review your nyms, shall we..

why? they are all pretty much the same
> You really crave LOTS of attention, don't you?

not realy But I prefer seeing something like gte help than say Mark
Morgan rapes boys and some other vile message
>
> Perhaps it's -YOU- that needs to -"get help"???
for?

I could use some help but the kind that would realy work (a gun) would
have legal drawbacks that outweight the advantages

1215. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 20:44:16 UTC
From: whome <nomorespam@anymore.net>
Message-ID: <A_VYf.42254$qe7.25478@trnddc04>
Source record: 9595
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Don't criticize grammar unless you can do better.....

It's "except" NOT 'accept"

"> (please take note.. [I] before [E] accept after [C])

1216. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 21:46:37 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144273597.843847.204020@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9596
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

an old child molester wrote:
> Ray Norshine wrote:
> > "an old freind" <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > news:1144250206.049693.19970@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com:
> >
> > > >
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >> an old child molester wrote:
> > > >
> > >> Quit spamming, retard.
> > > get help
> >
> >
> > Lets review your nyms, shall we..
>
> why? they are all pretty much the same

So's your stupid answers, Markie. They are all the same, too.

> > You really crave LOTS of attention, don't you?
>
> not realy But I prefer seeing something like gte help than say Mark
> Morgan rapes boys and some other vile message

Cry me a fucking river, spammer.

> >
> > Perhaps it's -YOU- that needs to -"get help"???
> for?
>
> I could use some help but the kind that would realy work (a gun) would

You are too much of a pussy to have a gun, MARKIE.

> have legal drawbacks that outweight the advantages

Markie uses direcpc.com as an ISP:

dpc691914029.direcpc.com (69.19.14.29)

69.19.0.0 - 69.19.127.255
Hughes Network Systems
11717 Exploration Lane
DirecWAY Network Management Center
attn: Network Security Manager

Germantown, MD
US

Network Security Manager
abuse@direcpc.com
+1-301-601-7205

They are unaware of the extent of his spamming. For now, that is.
<snicker>

1217. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 22:13:21 UTC
From: an old freid <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1144275200.971164.325160@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9597
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old child molester wrote:
> > Ray Norshine wrote:
> > > "an old freind" <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > > news:1144250206.049693.19970@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > >> an old child molester wrote:
> > > >
> > > >> Quit spamming, retard.
> > > > > get help
> > >
> > >
> > > Lets review your nyms, shall we..
> >
> > why? they are all pretty much the same
>
> So's your stupid answers, Markie. They are all the same, too.

when all you you is psot the smae old tired lies the answers remain the
same

> > > Perhaps it's -YOU- that needs to -"get help"???
> > for?
> >
> > I could use some help but the kind that would realy work (a gun) would
>
> You are too much of a pussy to have a gun, MARKIE.

nope wrong again

I have a 12 gauge shotgun /308 rifle a 9mm pistol an number of
balckpowder arm not to metion severl swords axes and other obselete but
effective weapons
>
> > have legal drawbacks that outweight the advantages
>
> Markie uses direcpc.com as an ISP:
yes I do

> They are unaware of the extent of his spamming. For now, that is.
> <snicker>

then you were lying when you claimed that you have been reporting me to them

get help Mr Slit you need it the only real troule is with all your seperate personalies the bill will mount up fast

1218. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 22:18:27 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144275507.328129.204210@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9598
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
an old child molester wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old child molester wrote:
> > > Ray Norshine wrote:
> > > > "an old freind" <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > > > news:1144250206.049693.19970@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com:
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > >> an old child molester wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >> Quit spamming, retard.
> > > > > get help
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Lets review your nyms, shall we..
> > >
> > > why? they are all pretty much the same
> >
> > So's your stupid answers, Markie. They are all the same, too.
>
> when all you you is psot the smae old tired lies the answers remain the
> same

Try posting in English, you drunken bastard.

> > > > Perhaps it's -YOU- that needs to -"get help"???
> > > for?
> > >
> > > I could use some help but the kind that would realy work (a gun) would
> >
> > You are too much of a pussy to have a gun, MARKIE.
>
> nope wrong again

Yep. Right again.

> I have a

All you have is your fat slobbering mouth, fat boy.

> >
> > > have legal drawbacks that outweight the advantages
> >
```

> > Markie uses direcpc.com as an ISP:
> yes I do

Not for long. hehehehe!

> > They are unaware of the extent of his spamming. For now, that is.
> > <snicker>
>
> then you were lying when you claimed that you have been reporting me to
> them

They've been getting copies of your posts, Markie. You can count on it.

> get help Mr Slit you need it the only real troule is with all your
> seperate personalies the bill will mount up fast

Get a fucking clue, dumbass. Not everybody is "Mr. Slit," but most
people love to slap your stupid dumbass around. You make it so easy.

1219. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 22:22:30 UTC
From: an old freid <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1144275749.968328.220790@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9599
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old child molester wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old child molester wrote:
> > > > Ray Norshine wrote:
> > > > > "an old freind" <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > > > > news:1144250206.049693.19970@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com:
> > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >> an old child molester wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >> Quit spamming, retard.
> > > > > > > > > > > get help
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Lets review your nyms, shall we..
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > why? they are all pretty much the same
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > So's your stupid answers, Markie. They are all the same, too.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > when all you you is psot the smae old tired lies the answers remain the
> > > > > > same
> > > > >
> > > > > Try posting in English, you drunken bastard.
> > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Perhaps it's -YOU- that needs to -"get help"???
> > > > > > > > > for?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I could use some help but the kind that would realy work (a gun) would
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You are too much of a pussy to have a gun, MARKIE.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > nope wrong again
> > > > >
> > > > > Yep. Right again.
> > > > wrong

> > > > They are unaware of the extent of his spamming. For now, that is.
> > > > <snicker>
> > >

> > then you were lying when you claimed that you have been reporting me to
> > them
>
>
> They've been getting copies of your posts, Markie. You can count on it.
which is it
> > get help Mr Slit you need it the only real troule is with all your
> > seperate personalies the bill will mount up fast
>
> Get a fucking clue, dumbass. Not everybody is "Mr. Slit,"
never said everybody was

get help

1220. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 22:27:42 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144276062.458158.60870@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9600
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
an old freid wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old child molester wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old child molester wrote:
> > > > > Ray Norshine wrote:
> > > > > > "an old freind" <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > > > > > news:1144250206.049693.19970@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > >> an old child molester wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >> Quit spamming, retard.
> > > > > > > get help
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Lets review your nyms, shall we..
> > > > > >
> > > > > > why? they are all pretty much the same
> > > > >
> > > > > So's your stupid answers, Markie. They are all the same, too.
> > > >
> > > > when all you you is psot the smae old tired lies the answers remain the
> > > > same
> > >
> > > Try posting in English, you drunken bastard.
> > >
> > > > > > > Perhaps it's -YOU- that needs to -"get help"???
> > > > > > for?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I could use some help but the kind that would realy work (a gun) would
> > > > >
> > > > > You are too much of a pussy to have a gun, MARKIE.
> > > >
> > > > nope wrong again
> > >
> > > Yep. Right again.
> > wrong

Yep, right again. You proved it.
```

> > > > They are unaware of the extent of his spamming. For now, that is.
> > > > <snicker>
> > >
> > > then you were lying when you claimed that you have been reporting me to
> > > them
> >
> >
> > They've been getting copies of your posts, Markie. You can count on it.
> which is it

Which is what, you stupid bastard? Think I'll send the Houghton County
SO copies, too, they'd be interested that they have a pervert living in
thier midst.

> > > get help Mr Slit you need it the only real troule is with all your
> > > seperate personalies the bill will mount up fast
> >
> > Get a fucking clue, dumbass. Not everybody is "Mr. Slit,"
> never said everybody was

Then you are caught in a lie.

1221. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 22:32:41 UTC
From: an old freind <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144276361.299959.263330@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9401
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old freid wrote:
> > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old child molester wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an old child molester wrote:
> > > > > > Ray Norshine wrote:
> > > > > > > "an old freind" <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com> wrote in

> > > Yep. Right again.
> > wrong
>
>
> Yep, right again. You proved it.

wrong I have several gun
>
> > > > > They are unaware of the extent of his spamming. For now, that is.
> > > > > <snicker>
> > > >
> > > > then you were lying when you claimed that you have been reporting me to
> > > > them
> > >
> > >
> > > They've been getting copies of your posts, Markie. You can count on it.
> > which is it
>Think I'll send the Houghton County
> SO copies, too, they'd be interested that they have a pervert living in
> thier midst.

but you claim you have already OH sorry that was Robeson

> > > Get a fucking clue, dumbass. Not everybody is "Mr. Slit,"
> > never said everybody was
>
> Then you are caught in a lie.
where

you are the one lying I have neevr said everyone is Mr Slit I do say
say you are Mr Slit
now get help

1222. Raped boy Markie likes his anal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-05 23:19:39 UTC
From: marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144279179.140329.30020@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9402
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

assraped by an old freind wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old freid wrote:
> > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old child molester wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an old child molester wrote:
> > > > > > > Ray Norshine wrote:
> > > > > > > > "an old freind" <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com> wrote in
>
> > > > Yep. Right again.
> > > wrong
> >
> >
> > Yep, right again. You proved it.
>
> wrong I have several gun

No, you have several dildoes!

> >
> > > > > > They are unaware of the extent of his spamming. For now, that is.
> > > > > > <snicker>
> > > > >
> > > > > then you were lying when you claimed that you have been reporting me to
> > > > > them
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > They've been getting copies of your posts, Markie. You can count on it.
> > > which is it
> >Think I'll send the Houghton County
> > SO copies, too, they'd be interested that they have a pervert living in
> > thier midst.
>
> but you claim you have already OH sorry that was Robeson

But you claim I am Robeson.

> > > > Get a fucking clue, dumbass. Not everybody is "Mr. Slit,"
> > > never said everybody was
> >
> > Then you are caught in a lie.
> where

See above, stupid.

>
> you are the <Markie lies>

You are the one saying everybody is Mr. Slit, dumbass. No wonder all
you can get is the training wheel ham license.

1223. Retard marky: gte is part of Verizon, dumbass!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-06 00:02:24 UTC
From: not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144281744.935655.127950@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9403
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
assaraped by an old freind and loved it wrote:
> marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old child raper wrote:
> > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > assraped an old underaged boyfreind wrote:
> > > > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > assraped an old underaged boyfreind wrote:
> > > > > get help for even typing that
> > > >
> > > Hit too close to home, pervert?
> > no
> >
> > Yes. You are a self-admitted perverter of kids.
> get help for your delusion

Get help for your denials, self-admitted pervert.
```

1224. Hey marky, get a new line!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-06 00:03:12 UTC
From: not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144281792.663305.131260@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9404
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

sodomized by an_old_friend wrote:
> marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old child raper wrote:
> get help please

quit spamming, fool!

1225. Retard Marky looks for a clue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-06 00:14:10 UTC
From: not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144282450.428555.53600@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9405
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

an old freind wrote:
> not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Larson E. Rapp wrote:
> > > "PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote:
> > >
> > Here's "an old friend's" aka Marky C. Morgan:
> >
> > AC5-Webproxy26.direcpc.com (66.82.9.65)
> so what

So direcpc's abuse dept will be flooded with complaints about you,
stupid.

1226. Learn to spell, Marky!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-06 00:15:24 UTC
From: not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144282523.975633.46490@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

assraped by an old friend wrote:
> not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old freind wrote:
> > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > assfucked an old freind wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an_old_perverted_retard wrote:
> > > > > > > bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > as I would with the traffic judge. Affirmed fines for all.
> > > > >
> > > > BWHAHAHAHA Marky admits to many arrests!
> > > get
> >
> > Quit spamming,
> what spammig?

Anytime you post, stupid.

>you are obviously delsuional, get help

You are obviously illiterate.

1227. Re: Question about morse code broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-06 00:21:27 UTC
From: Buzzygirl <buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net>
Message-ID: <27ydnY_tQ7Iiw6nZRVn-ig@comcast.com>
Source record: 9407

"Dave" <catx@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:%JFYf.8465$4L1.6714@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...

> Sometimes while scanning, a transmission is broadcast as a series of Morse
> code tones. There is no human traffic with these.

My local area PD broadcasts their callsign every so often in very fast Morse
code. That's the only Morse code I ever hear on my scanner.

Jackie

1228. Re: Question about morse code broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-06 00:32:39 UTC
From: Buzzygirl <buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Ts-dnbTvtucC_KnZRVn-hg@comcast.com>
Source record: 9408

"Stargatesg1" <nospam@here.net> wrote in message
news:icOYf.16661$Up2.16631@trnddc07...
> I know I will probably get flamed for this but, just learn the Morse code.
> It might be an antiquated and outdated mode of communications but it's
still
> interesting and fun to be able to tell your friends what is being sent.
> Just my .02 worth

I found it kind of fun to learn Morse when I was studying for my General amateur radio license. Once I got on the air, I used it occasionally, but I must admit I found it hard to carry on a conversation for very long in Morse. I was more into chasing DX while I was active, doing "search and pounce" during many contests. I found that many, if not most contesters using Morse code now use computerized sending software, which is something I never had the chance to try out.

Once I moved and had to go "off the air" due to antenna restrictions, I still listened to the CW areas of amateur radio bands in order to keep up my comprehension. One thing I like is trying to pick up beacons on 10 meters. I have nabbed a number of QSL cards by submitting beacon reports to their owners, including one as far away from me as Hawaii (I live in the Midwest).

So go ahead and learn Morse if you think you would have fun with it. Sure, it's something of an anachronism these days, but as long as people are still using it, why not learn a new skill so you can at least know what they are dit-dah'ing about? :-)

Have fun,

Jackie

1229. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-06 01:14:17 UTC
From: an old freind <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144286057.144020.258010@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old freind wrote:
> > not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > Larson E. Rapp wrote:
> > > > "PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote:
> > > >
> > > Here's "an old friend's" aka Marky C. Morgan:
> > >
> > > AC5-Webproxy26.direcpc.com (66.82.9.65)
> > so what
>
> So direcpc's abuse dept will be flooded with complaints about you,
> stupid.

and again so what? anyone that looks at the material will see I am
being subjected to massive attack and defending msyelf by telling you
to gte help

so run along and get help

1230. Re: Question about morse code broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-06 01:22:18 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery28146@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <e3_Yf.3506$i41.2930@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 9410

"Dave" <catx@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:%JFYf.8465$4L1.6714@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> Hi,
>
> I'm a born again scanner user. I dig out my stuff every few years, dust it
> off, and then realize how much I forgot or notice that my rigs are
becoming
> outdated (I still have some scanners that use crystals).
>
> Sometimes while scanning, a transmission is broadcast as a series of Morse
> code tones. There is no human traffic with these.
>
> I believe they are identifiers for a particular base station or ?
>
> Is there a device that I could buy that could decipher these codes into
> text. Years ago a buddy made one (circa 1989). I thought it was pretty
cool
> being able to read these broadcasted reports.
>

Go here and pick out one of the free programs. Some will do other codes
becides CW and may be beter for your system. I don't have any special one
to recommend for CW.

1231. Re: Question about morse code broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-06 01:23:23 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery28146@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <f4_Yf.3507$i41.798@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 9411

"Ralph Mowery" <rmowery28146@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:e3_Yf.3506$i41.2930@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dave" <catx@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:%JFYf.8465$4L1.6714@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> > Hi,
> >
> > I'm a born again scanner user. I dig out my stuff every few years, dust
it
> > off, and then realize how much I forgot or notice that my rigs are
> becoming
> > outdated (I still have some scanners that use crystals).
> >
> > Sometimes while scanning, a transmission is broadcast as a series of
Morse
> > code tones. There is no human traffic with these.
> >
> > I believe they are identifiers for a particular base station or ?
> >
> > Is there a device that I could buy that could decipher these codes into
> > text. Years ago a buddy made one (circa 1989). I thought it was pretty
> cool
> > being able to read these broadcasted reports.
> >
>
> Go here and pick out one of the free programs. Some will do other codes
> becides CW and may be beter for your system. I don't have any special one
> to recommend for CW.
>

Forgot the link.

http://www.muenster.de/~welp/sb.htm

1232. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-06 01:24:07 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144286647.702189.6510@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old freid wrote:
> > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an old child molester wrote:
> > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > an old child molester wrote:
> > > > > > > > Ray Norshine wrote:
> > > > > > > > > "an old freind" <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > >
> > > > > > Yep. Right again.
> > > > > wrong
> > >
> > >
> > > Yep, right again. You proved it.
> >
> > wrong I have several gun
>
> No, you have several dildoes!

well i have several of those as well not that it is any of your affair

> > but you claim you have already OH sorry that was Robeson
>
>
> But you claim I am Robeson.

no I said I'll call you steve since you remind me of robeson and don't
give your own name

> >
> > you are the <Markie lies>
>
> You are the one saying everybody is Mr. Slit, dumbass.

I only call Mr slit and his avatars Mr Slit that includes you

1233. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-06 01:32:33 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144287153.064459.56800@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9413
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com wrote:
> sodomized by an_old_friend wrote:
> > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old child raper wrote:
> > get help please
>
> quit spamming, fool!

no spam heer get help
```

1234. jet for you Mr Turd ...Marky....happy now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-06 01:41:43 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144287703.423232.104240@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9414
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com wrote:
> assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old freind wrote:
> > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > assfucked an old freind wrote:

you are obviously delsuional, get help
```

1235. Re: Question about morse code broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-06 01:48:15 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144288095.206783.277550@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9415

Buzzygirl wrote:
> "Stargatesg1" <nospam@here.net> wrote in message
> news:icOYf.16661$Up2.16631@trnddc07...
> > I know I will probably get flamed for this but, just learn the Morse code.
> > It might be an antiquated and outdated mode of communications but it's
> still
> > interesting and fun to be able to tell your friends what is being sent.
> > Just my .02 worth
>
> I found it kind of fun to learn Morse when I was studying for my General
> amateur radio license. Once I got on the air, I used it occasionally, but I
> must admit I found it hard to carry on a conversation for very long in
> Morse. I was more into chasing DX while I was active, doing "search and
> pounce" during many contests. I found that many, if not most contesters
> using Morse code now use computerized sending software, which is something I
> never had the chance to try out.
>
> Once I moved and had to go "off the air" due to antenna restrictions, I
> still listened to the CW areas of amateur radio bands in order to keep up my
> comprehension. One thing I like is trying to pick up beacons on 10 meters. I
> have nabbed a number of QSL cards by submitting beacon reports to their
> owners, including one as far away from me as Hawaii (I live in the Midwest).
>
> So go ahead and learn Morse if you think you would have fun with it. Sure,
> it's something of an anachronism these days, but as long as people are still
> using it, why not learn a new skill so you can at least know what they are
> dit-dah'ing about? :-)

I aslute every word even though I devotely look forward to day when it
is no longer tested for in ham radio
>
> Have fun,
>
> Jackie

1236. gte help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-06 01:49:08 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144288148.331468.119960@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9416

kb9rqz_@markmorgan.com di notwrote:
why the crude forgery?

1237. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-06 01:49:48 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144288188.314012.270820@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9417

kb9rqz_@markmorgan.com wrote:
get help

1238. FA: Regency 8 Channel Aircraft Monitoradio & Turner SSB +2 Transistorized CB Microphone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-06 02:15:19 UTC
From: 84rooms@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1144289719.159694.258980@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9418

I have the following items on ebay. Thanks for looking. If the links
do not work than just search for seller 84-rooms! or try this link.
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQsassZ84Q2droomsQ21
I am clearing out my garage so lots of unique items. Thanks,

Items ending April 7th

Vintage Turner SSB +2 Transistorized CB Microphone $20.50
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=9121181684

Items ending April 9th

Regency 8 Channel Aircraft Monitoradio Scanner NR $9.99
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=9707288923

1239. Re: l.e. freq. N.E. Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-06 03:48:06 UTC
From: user@domain.invalid
Message-ID: <Rb0Zf.936$3U7.23@fe02.lga>
Source record: 9419

Al Klein wrote:
> On Tue, 04 Apr 2006 18:46:37 -0400, user@domain.invalid wrote:
>
>
>>Where can I find the 800mhz. freq.'s. for the L.E./ public Safety in
>>Iosco Co. Mi and surrounding area?
>
>
> <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&ctid=1261>
Thanks to all for the info.

1240. Pro-2006 with full Optoscan 456 Owner with Newbe Qustions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-06 04:35:47 UTC
From: will buck <willfull_buck@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144298147.047730.199690@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9420

Just recently purchased a Pro-2006 with the full Optoscan 456
installed. I've owned many scanners in the past, AR8000, Yupi MVT-7100,
but this is my first PC controlled radio.

Qustion #1: Whats the best overall software? I'm looking for
Import/Export functions, time stamped remote recording direct to hard
drive. Looking at Probe V7, but will it work with Windows XP? Can I
download a trial version of Probe? Is there any other, more modern
software out there?

Question#2: What kind of digital signals can I receive? This Pro-2006
has a discriminator output. Will I need a converter? I would love to
decode some satellite transmissions.

Question #3: What's the best overall antenna? So far I'm leaning toward
a Diamond Discone.

I would appreciate any helpful info.

73's John in San Antonio TX..

1241. Re: Pro-2006 with full Optoscan 456 Owner with Newbe Qustions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-06 12:42:59 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <ds2a3252c82vvp2odbm6tu56e32m5dgmpd@4ax.com>
Source record: 9421

On 5 Apr 2006 21:35:47 -0700, "will buck" <willfull_buck@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Question#2: What kind of digital signals can I receive? This Pro-2006
>has a discriminator output. Will I need a converter? I would love to
>decode some satellite transmissions.

Many satellite transmissions aren't digital, they're plain old analog
voice. Most of the rest are encrypted, so you can't do anything with
them. The remaining ones can be almost any mode. Amateur radio stuff
is easily decoded, as is WeFax.

>Question #3: What's the best overall antenna?

For what frequency and use? Satellite? 800 MHz? VHF-lo?

> So far I'm leaning toward a Diamond Discone.

The only "best" about a discone is that it matches the cable for a
pretty wide frequency range - up to 4:1 if designed properly (although
they usually don't make it for more than a 3:1 range). 30 MHz to 3
GHz? That's advertising hype, not reality.

No one can tell you what antenna will do what *you* need done best
until you tell us what you need done. Frequency and elevation
(terrestrial or satellite), mainly. Also what kind of range are you
looking for? Something realistic, or an 850 MHz base station 120
miles away? (Which can be done if you have enough money, but there
are many new cars that cost less.)

1242. Retard Marky backpedals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-06 13:27:11 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144330031.580009.175400@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9422
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

assraped by an old freind by he loved it wrote:
> not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old freind wrote:
> > > not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > Larson E. Rapp wrote:
> > > > > "PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > Here's "an old friend's" aka Marky C. Morgan:
> > > >
> > > AC5-Webproxy26.direcpc.com (66.82.9.65)
> > > so what
> >
> > So direcpc's abuse dept will be flooded with complaints about you,
> > stupid.
>
> and again so what?

You'll see so what, dumbass.

> anyone that looks at the material will see I am
> being subjected to massive attack and defending msyelf by telling you

No, Marky, anybody can see you are an illiterate fool. Anybody can see
all you are doing is spamming.
And you call what you are doing "defending yourself" ??????
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

That is the best laugh I've had all day!

> to gte help

Typical Marky screwup, you can't even spam correctly.

1243. Retard Marky calls himself jetsam!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-06 13:28:27 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144330107.476867.228650@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9423
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
sodomized by an old friend but he loved it wrote:
> not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com wrote:
> > assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > > not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old freind wrote:
> > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > assfucked an old freind wrote:
>
>
> you are obviously delsuional, get help

You are obviously an English-impaired dumbass.
```

1244. Retard Marky spells here as "heer"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-06 13:31:01 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144330261.502239.24260@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9424
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
assraped by an old freind wrote:
> not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com wrote:
> > sodomized by an_old_friend wrote:
> > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old child raper wrote:
> > > get help please
> >
> > quit spamming, fool!
>
> no spam heer get help

No intelligence on your part, either.
```

1245. Retard Marky admits to having several dildoes - what a pervert!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-06 13:54:42 UTC
From: marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144331682.503421.266820@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9425
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
assraped by an old freind wrote:
> marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old freid wrote:
> > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an old child molester wrote:
> > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > an old child molester wrote:
> > > > > > > > > Ray Norshine wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > "an old freind" <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > > >
> > > > > > > > > Yep. Right again.
> > > > > > > > wrong
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > Yep, right again. You proved it.
> > >
> > > wrong I have several gun
> >
> > No, you have several dildoes!
>
> well i have several of those as well not that it is any of your affair

BWHAHAHAHAHAHA Marky admits his shemale wife uses dildoes on him!

>
> > > but you claim you have already OH sorry that was Robeson
> >
> >
> > But you claim I am Robeson.
>
> no I said I'll call you steve since you remind me of robeson and don't
> give your own name

Wrong, Markie. You said I was Robeson several times. It's all in
Google's archives of your posts.

> > >
> > > you are the <Markie lies>
> >
> > You are the one saying everybody is Mr. Slit, dumbass.
>
> I only call Mr slit and his avatars Mr Slit that includes you
```

But you are always wrong, because you are a dumbass.

1246. Pro-2004 problem . . .

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-06 15:19:44 UTC
From: wboas@nyx.net
Message-ID: <Pine.SUN.3.96.1060406091538.9506A-100000@nyx>
Source record: 9426

I understand some of the Pro-2004s have a problem with
`cold solder joints' which causes the 9 volt backup
battery to quickly dissipate - (3-weeks life).

Does anyone know more about this? If so, which parts of
the circuit boards are the problem. Any diagrams, photos,
etc...would be helpful.

I like the scanner's coverage, but I am going to trash it
unless I can fix it.

Bill, KC0IZI
wboas@nyx.net

1247. Backlight Problem, BCT-7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-06 17:16:16 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <61cZf.127$4G7.28@fe06.lga>
Source record: 9427
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Was wondering if anybody has ever experienced, and fixed, a problem with the backlighting on the Uniden BCT-7. I have 3 different units that experience the same problem. Random backlighting. It works most of the time, but not all of the time. It seems to be temperature sensitive. When the radio is in a warm environment (in the house, in the car after the interior warms up,etc.) the backlight stops working. Sometimes it flashes erratically, almost like a loose or bad connection. I'm guessing possibly a bad solder joint, or stressed solder joint that has cracked. I've yet to find the problem area in any of the three units I have however...

Anybody ever fix this problem, or even have it happen to them at least? Since all three of mine have the same problem, I'm guessing it's fairly common, and someone should know what the most common culprit is...

Any help is appreciated!
THANKS!

1248. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-06 17:26:29 UTC
From: an old freind <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144344389.416457.220820@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9428
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an old freid wrote:
> > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > an old child molester wrote:

> > I only call Mr slit and his avatars Mr Slit that includes you
>
> But you are always wrong, because you are a dumbass.

get help
```

1249. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-06 17:47:33 UTC
From: "kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144345653.938872.264260@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9429
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > sodomized by an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:

> No intelligence on your part, either.

get help

1250. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-06 17:47:58 UTC
From: "kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144345678.458791.289830@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9430
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> sodomized by an old friend but he loved it wrote:
> > not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > > > not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > assfucked an old freind wrote:
> >
> >
> > you are obviously delsuional, get help
>
> You are obviously an English-impaired dumbass.
get help
```

1251. radio shack pro 94

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 00:12:48 UTC
From: taz <tazhere1@msn.com>
Message-ID: <1144368768.630654.240700@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9431

my pro 94 just display wired on the screen how can I take this off? I'm not able to use the scanner with these on my screen

1252. Re: Pro-2004 problem . . .

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 00:47:48 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <UEiZf.18494$cY3.11246@news-wrt-01.rdc-nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 9432

That's usually caused by a defective voltage regulator, IC9. Join the group at http://groups.yahoo.com/group/PRO-2004/ and browse the messages and files. If you can't repair it, try putting it up for auction on Ebay as a 'for parts only' listing.

<wboas@nyx.net> wrote in message
news:Pine.SUN.3.96.1060406091538.9506A-100000@nyx...
>
> I understand some of the Pro-2004s have a problem with
> `cold solder joints' which causes the 9 volt backup
> battery to quickly dissipate - (3-weeks life).
>
> Does anyone know more about this? If so, which parts of
> the circuit boards are the problem. Any diagrams, photos,
> etc...would be helpful.
>
> I like the scanner's coverage, but I am going to trash it
> unless I can fix it.
>
> Bill, KC0IZI
> wboas@nyx.net
>

1253. Re: radio shack pro 94

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 00:49:29 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <tGiZf.18495$cY3.16155@news-wrt-01.rdc-nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 9433

There should be a PC/IF jack on the side of the radio. If something is plugged in to it, remove the plug. If not, the jack is stuck open and you may be able to clear it by plugging and unplugging a 1/8" phone plug several times.

"taz" <tazhere1@msn.com> wrote in message news:1144368768.630654.240700@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com...
> my pro 94 just display wired on the screen how can I take this off? I'm
> not able to use the scanner with these on my screen
>

1254. WTT RS Pro 95 for RS DX 440

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 01:38:42 UTC
From: Tom <montgomeryt@cinci.rr.com>
Message-ID: <CojZf.74605$9I5.71180@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 9434
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I would like to trade a RS Pro 95 scanner with extendable antenna, manual, and AC Power supply for a Radio Shack DX 440 shortwave receiver in good condition.

Thanks,

Tom Montgomery
montgomeryt@cinci(dot)rr(dot)com

1255. Re: Question about morse code broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 02:21:16 UTC
From: kb9rqz@markmorgan.com
Message-ID: <24jb329udcjk3ouitj2pdg1lelg65jtah8@4ax.com>
Source record: 9435

On Wed, 05 Apr 2006 15:48:36 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On 4 Apr 2006 21:21:22 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>wrote:
>
>>I don't think anything serious usesMorse an more
>
>There's still plenty of Morse traffic on the air.

I said serious most of it a repterids esp from the PoV of this NG

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

needs to sop lying about people

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1256. Re: Question about morse code broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 02:29:02 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <0hjb3252bge4bkiq4sltq0npc5cud8kbk4@4ax.com>
Source record: 9436

On Thu, 06 Apr 2006 22:21:16 -0400, kb9rqz@markmorgan.com wrote:

>On Wed, 05 Apr 2006 15:48:36 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:
>
>>On 4 Apr 2006 21:21:22 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>I don't think anything serious usesMorse an more
>>
>>There's still plenty of Morse traffic on the air.
>
>I said serious

Okay, I'll make it plainer:

There's still plenty of serious Morse traffic on the air. Of course
if you can't copy 20 and up you won't know what it is, so you'll think
it's not serious.

1257. Re: Question about morse code broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 02:42:34 UTC
From: kb9rqz@markmorgan.com
Message-ID: <1akb329o639cuif6nftuf1rhchhhlrv410@4ax.com>
Source record: 9437

On Thu, 06 Apr 2006 22:29:02 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Thu, 06 Apr 2006 22:21:16 -0400, kb9rqz@markmorgan.com wrote:
>
>>On Wed, 05 Apr 2006 15:48:36 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>On 4 Apr 2006 21:21:22 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>>I don't think anything serious usesMorse an more
>>>
>>>There's still plenty of Morse traffic on the air.
>>
>>I said serious
>
>Okay, I'll make it plainer:

>
>There's still plenty of serious Morse traffic on the air. Of course
>if you can't copy 20 and up you won't know what it is, so you'll think
>it's not serious.

and just what does it carry chit chat or NTS stuff that could be sent
better by other means There is no SERIOUS morse traffic certainly not
in the wetern world and I doubt any other part

there recreational traffic not serious traffic

Mark Morgan

k4yz@aol.com

needs to sop lying about people

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1258. Wiseman likes his anal opening probed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 07:18:08 UTC
From: Billy Smith <asgjpwehtiwatewhetw@astopwiehtopewhtoiewth.com>
Message-ID: <QmoZf.1323$sq5.1185@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 9438
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:

> assraped by an old freind wrote:
>
>>markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>>an old freid wrote:
>>>
>>>>markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>an old child molester wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>an old child molester wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Ray Norshine wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>"an old freind" <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>
>>>>>Yep. Right again.
>>>>
>>>>wrong
>>>
>>>
>>>Yep, right again. You proved it.
>>
>>wrong I have several gun
>
>
> No, you have several dildoes!
>
>
>>>>>>>They are unaware of the extent of his spamming. For now, that is.
>>>>>>><snicker>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>then you were lying when you claimed that you have been reporting me to
>>>>>>them
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>They've been getting copies of your posts, Markie. You can count on it.
>>>>
>>>>which is it

>>>
>>>Think I'll send the Houghton County
>>>SO copies, too, they'd be interested that they have a pervert living in
>>>thier midst.
>>
>>but you claim you have already OH sorry that was Robeson
>
>
>
> But you claim I am Robeson.
>
>
>>>>>Get a fucking clue, dumbass. Not everybody is "Mr. Slit,"
>>>>
>>>>never said everybody was
>>>
>>>Then you are caught in a lie.
>>
>>where
>
>
> See above, stupid.
>
>
>
>>you are the <Markie lies>
>
>
> You are the one saying everybody is Mr. Slit, dumbass. No wonder all
> you can get is the training wheel ham license.
>

Hey Scheissman, didn't Riley send you a letter or two about your jamming
of 20 meters when you had to take that retest for your license.

1259. Re: Question about morse code broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 12:30:02 UTC
From: "St. John Smythe" <sinjen@n4vu.com>
Message-ID: <e15lst$5ln$2@n4vu2.n4vu.com>
Source record: 9439

Al Klein wrote:
> There's still plenty of serious Morse traffic on the air. Of course
> if you can't copy 20 and up you won't know what it is, so you'll think
> it's not serious.

My friend Noah, an ex-military intercept operator who can copy 35wpm+,
says the amount of non-hobby Morse on HF these days is vanishingly
small. Perhaps you could share with the group the type of serious Morse
you're referring to.

--
St. John
Distinctive, adj.:
A different color or shape than our competitors.

1260. Billy Smith wants his anal opening probed by Wiseman!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 13:14:31 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144415671.681127.280170@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Billy "eternal no-code technician" Smith wrote:
> marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> >
> >>markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>an old freid wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>an old child molester wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>an old child molester wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>Ray Norshine wrote:
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>"an old freind" <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>
> >>>>>Yep. Right again.
> >>>>
> >>>>wrong
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Yep, right again. You proved it.
> >>
> >>wrong I have several gun
> >
> >
> > No, you have several dildoes!
> >
> >
> >>>>>>>They are unaware of the extent of his spamming. For now, that is.
> >>>>>>>><snicker>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>then you were lying when you claimed that you have been reporting me to
> >>>>>>them
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>They've been getting copies of your posts, Markie. You can count on it.
> >>>>

> >>>>which is it
> >>>
> >>>Think I'll send the Houghton County
> >>>SO copies, too, they'd be interested that they have a pervert living in
> >>>thier midst.
> >>
> >>but you claim you have already OH sorry that was Robeson
> >
> >
> >
> > But you claim I am Robeson.
> >
> >
> >>>>>Get a fucking clue, dumbass. Not everybody is "Mr. Slit,"
> >>>>
> >>>>never said everybody was
> >>>
> >>>Then you are caught in a lie.
> >>
> >>where
> >
> >
> > See above, stupid.
> >
> >
> >
> >>you are the <Markie lies>
> >
> >
> > You are the one saying everybody is Mr. Slit, dumbass. No wonder all
> > you can get is the training wheel ham license.
> >
>
> Hey Scheissman, didn't Riley send you a letter or two about your jamming
> of 20 meters when you had to take that retest for your license.

No, He didn't send Roger a letter to retest for jamming, dumbass. Now,
go jack off to your Voltron toys, little unwanted by women pizza BOY.

1261. Retard Marky proves he's a retard!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 13:15:35 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144415735.926082.225880@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an old freid wrote:
> > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > an old child molester wrote:
>
>
> > > I only call Mr slit and his avatars Mr Slit that includes you
> >
> > But you are always wrong, because you are a dumbass.
>
> get

You proved the point that you are a dumbass.
```

1262. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 13:54:57 UTC
From: bob_deep@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144418097.874743.24170@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9442
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

Oh clever... Posting WhoIS output in an attempt to silence folks who may not agree with you. What's next, ad hominem attacks, straw man arguments, and intimidation attempts?

Could it be that there is no more substance to your side of the debate so you are reduced to trying to throw rocks via TCP/IP? Going to break out the hacking scripts like good little script kiddies?

I suppose this should be expected, after all that's the "MO" of Mr. Baxter. Ratchet up threats in hopes of driving off the voice of reason. Sorry, I'm not impressed.

Got any substance to go with all this fluff?

1263. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 13:57:49 UTC
From: marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144418269.105336.144420@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9443
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Quit spamming, retard.

1264. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 14:24:06 UTC
From: Radio 53 <may53@mayfhreeguy.org>
Message-ID: <e15sg9nos0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 9444
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

Hey Tex......
we are not impressed with your psycho-babble
there either Bob "shallow" brain. And do tell us
how that correspondence law degree of yours is
coming along? ROTFLMAO! more half wits
arrive on usenet each day..........LOL LOL LOL

1265. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 15:17:23 UTC
From: bob_shallow <bob_shallow@fruitsnutsbobby.net>
Message-ID: <e15vk9$5rj$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 9445
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

bob_deep@yahoo.com whined and cried in a message:
//////snipped////////

little bobby_ shallow sits in his 17' trailer in a dusty suburban
dallas mobile home park studying his correpondence law
courses. little bobby_shallow just got his No Code Tech
license and now thinks he is a legal authority on usenet.......
LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL

1266. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 15:41:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1144424500.946093.201130@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9446
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > an old freid wrote:
> > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > an old child molester wrote:

> > get help
>
> You proved the point that you are a dumbass.

hradly that you think telling raving lunatic to get help is reproof of
retardation is yet another proof that you (wait for it)

need to
GET HELP

1267. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 15:47:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1144424827.836349.283960@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9448
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> Quit spamming, retard.

no spaming just advice you need to heed for your own sake
\
get help

1268. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 15:49:45 UTC
From: bob_shallow <bob_shallow@fruitsnutsbobby.net>
Message-ID: <e161gpebi0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 9447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

<bob_deep@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1144418097.874743.24170@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Oh clever... Posting WhoIS output ////////flushed//////

little bobby_shallow wanted to join ARES but they
tole him his cb walkie-talkie was
not big enough to join ares.....ROTFLMAO
LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL

1269. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 17:20:54 UTC
From: bob_deep@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144430454.637078.65920@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9449
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

Yep, no substance, so I guess that ends the debate eh?

1270. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 17:21:54 UTC
From: bob_deep@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144430514.922612.10280@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9450
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

Same for you.. No substance, guess this is over eh?

1271. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 17:25:43 UTC
From: Bob Shallow <bob_shallow@fruitsnutsbobby.net>
Message-ID: <e1674o$7pn$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 9351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

bob_deep = KC4UAI

1272. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 17:29:30 UTC
From: bob_deep@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144430970.643287.248690@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

Oh how do you do it? Google? Yahoo?

Once again, I'm not impressed...

1273. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 17:33:26 UTC
From: Bob Shallow <bob_shallow@fruitsnutsbobby.net>
Message-ID: <e167j6$9o9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 9353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

<bob_deep@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1144430454.637078.65920@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com...
> Yep, no substance, so I guess that ends the debate eh?
>

lessee now, you come in here attacking people, calling
them names and now *you* are saying "no substance" !!!????

ROTFLMAO!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

1274. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 17:44:08 UTC
From: bob_deep@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144431848.068125.163300@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9354
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

Oh.. One more thing...Because you haven't fully exercised all your internet search options.. I'm not a "no code tech." Never have been one actually. But you would have known that if you where smart enough.

And I suppose you are an Extra Class of 40 years working as a communications lawyer who is a retired FCC employee? No? Ahh don't cry about it, I wouldn't really care if you where either.

And in case you don't notice the sarcasm in my post, I'm only slightly amused, but I sure can tell a joke from serious worth while poster.

I'm not laughing out loud, but there IS a smile on my face.

1275. Retarded Marky still spams but too stupid to admit it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 18:07:39 UTC
From: lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144433259.615436.263830@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9355
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:
> marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Quit spamming, retard.
>
> no spaming just advice you need to heed for your own sake

You are spamming, you stupid son of a bitch.
```

1276. Mark Morgan proves he's a dumbass!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 18:16:43 UTC
From: lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144433802.985669.301640@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9356
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
assraped by an_old_friend and he deserved it wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > an old freid wrote:
> > > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > an old child molester wrote:
>
> > > get help
> >
> > You proved the point that you are a dumbass.
>
> hradly that you think telling raving lunatic to get help is reproof of
> retardation is yet another proof that you (wait for it)

HAHAHAHAHAHA Markie proves he's a retarded dumbass, yet again!
```

1277. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 18:57:57 UTC
From: Bob Shallow <bob_shallow@fruitsnutsbobby.net>
Message-ID: <e16chuvup0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 9357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

<bob_deep@yahoo.com> known usenet whiner and crybaby
wrote in message //////SNIP//////////

PLONK!

FLUSHED

gone!

1278. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 19:20:56 UTC
From: an old freid <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1144437656.302930.145940@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> assraped by an_old_friend and he deserved it wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > an old freid wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > an old child molester wrote:

> HAHAHAHAHAHA Markie proves he's a retarded dumbass, yet again!
get help
```

1279. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 19:30:03 UTC
From: an old freid <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1144438203.667234.293990@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9359
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:
> > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > Quit spamming, retard.
> >
> > no spaming just advice you need to heed for your own sake
>
> You are spamming, you stupid son of a bitch.
get help
```

1280. Re: Retarded Marky still spams but too stupid to admit it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 21:21:15 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20060407173214.778$GY@newsreader.com>
Source record: 9360
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:
> > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > Quit spamming, retard.
> >
> > no spaming just advice you need to heed for your own sake
>
> You are spamming, you stupid son of a bitch.
>
Just killfile the cock sucker.
```

1281. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 21:37:10 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144445830.751658.137410@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9361
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
Steveo wrote:
> lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:
> > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > Quit spamming, retard.
> > >
> > > no spaming just advice you need to heed for your own sake
> >
> > You are spamming, you stupid son of a bitch.
> >
> Just killfile the cock sucker.

he can't since he is trying to insure that google stayfilled with
ehader of him calling me names he has to watch the NGS and try and get
shouting out his filth
```

1282. Re: Retarded Marky steals from his own father!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 21:50:40 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20060407180139.873$lh@newsreader.com>
Source record: 9366
Cross-posted to:
alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > Quit spamming, retard.
> > > > > >
> > > > > no spaming just advice you need to heed for your own sake
> > > > >
> > > > You are spamming, you stupid son of a bitch.
> > > >
> > > Just killfile the cock sucker.
> >
> He needs an attitude adjustment.
>
Perhaps but we will leave that for his neighbors to take care of in rrcb,
eh?
```

1283. Mark C. Morgan, child raper!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 21:55:49 UTC
From: marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144446949.756177.315760@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
assfucked by an old freid, but Marky liked it wrote:
> lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:
> > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > Quit spamming, retard.
> > >
> > > no spaming just advice you need to heed for your own sake
> >
> > You are spamming, you stupid son of a bitch.
> get

Get to killing yourself, stupid.
```

1284. Retarded Marky steals from his own father!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 21:58:26 UTC
From: marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144447106.534479.67610@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

assraped by an old friend wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > Quit spamming, retard.
> > > > >
> > > > no spaming just advice you need to heed for your own sake
> > >
> > > You are spamming, you stupid son of a bitch.
> > >
> > Just killfile the cock sucker.

He needs an attitude adjustment.

> he can't since he is trying to insure that google stayfilled with

Just like you insure Google stays filled with your stupid spam, Marky.

> ehader of him calling me names he has to watch the NGS and try and get
> shouting out his filth

Translation: 'Whaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaahhhhh!" Who would figure you
to be such a whiny cunt, Morgan! It's a given!

1285. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 22:00:52 UTC
From: bob_deep@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144447252.943565.81270@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9364
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

Well sir, If that's what you really think about me and my few posts on this subject, I guess I'll just have to go away hurt and confused....

Oh.. This is so unfair! I give up! Uncle!

But the fact that K1MAN now owes the FCC $21K for breaking the rules of part 97 won't go away anytime soon. Altough it does seem that his license will.

Have a nice day, life, whatever. I'll be clear on your final, that's for sure..

1286. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 22:01:16 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144447276.165347.257850@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
marky_morgan_internet_ret...@yahoo.com wrote:
> assfucked by an old freid, but Marky liked it wrote:
> > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > Quit spamming, retard.
> > > >
> > > > no spaming just advice you need to heed for your own sake
> > >
> > > You are spamming, you stupid son of a bitch.
> > get
>
> Get to killing yourself, stupid.
get help and spread such filth
```

1287. gte help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 22:09:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144447778.162021.79660@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9367
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:

> > He needs an attitude adjustment.
> >
> Perhaps but we will leave that for his neighbors to take care of in rrcb,
> eh?

well it will take someone reak down his door he wisely (and the only
wise thing I know of him doing) refuses to answer for strngers

1288. Retard Marky Morgan spreads his filthy diseases wherever he goes!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 22:13:48 UTC
From: marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144448028.532575.101550@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9368
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
assraped by an old friend wrote:
> marky_morgan_internet_ret...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > assfucked by an old freid, but Marky liked it wrote:
> > > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > Quit spamming, retard.
> > > > >
> > > > > no spaming just advice you need to heed for your own sake
> > > >
> > > > You are spamming, you stupid son of a bitch.
> > > get
> > >
> > Get to killing yourself, stupid.
> get help and spread such filth

Get to killing yourself, you walking abortion.
```

1289. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 22:17:48 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144448268.235302.83540@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
marky_morgan_internet_ret...@yahoo.com wrote:
> assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > marky_morgan_internet_ret...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > assfucked by an old freid, but Marky liked it wrote:
> > > > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > Quit spamming, retard.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > no spaming just advice you need to heed for your own sake
> > > > > >
> > > > > You are spamming, you stupid son of a bitch.
> > > > get
> > > >
> > > Get to killing yourself, stupid.
> > get help and spread such filth
>
> Get to killing yourself, you walking abortion.
no

get help ans stop sreading filth
```

1290. Re: gte help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 22:20:30 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144448430.567079.301880@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

assraped by an old friend wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > > He needs an attitude adjustment.
> > >
> > Perhaps but we will leave that for his neighbors to take care of in rrcb,
> > eh?

Yep.

> well it will take someone reak down his door he wisely (and the only
> wise thing I know of him doing) refuses to answer for strngers

No, dumbass, you fail to see we were talking about YOU. You will be
getting the attitude adjustment.

1291. Re: gte help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 22:24:08 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144448648.023367.318720@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9371
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > He needs an attitude adjustment.
> > > > > >
> > > > Perhaps but we will leave that for his neighbors to take care of in rrcb,
> > > > eh?
>
> Yep.
>
> > well it will take someone reak down his door he wisely (and the only
> > wise thing I know of him doing) refuses to answer for strngers
>
> No, dumbass, you fail to see we were talking about YOU. You will be
> getting the attitude adjustment.

not likely I am doing nothing to them but leaving them with something
more pleasent than your filth to read

BTW you would need to change nick as often if you pruned your threads a
bit Wismen
```

1292. Dumbass Marky guesses wrong again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 22:27:20 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144448840.714303.219740@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9372
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
an old freind wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > He needs an attitude adjustment.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Perhaps but we will leave that for his neighbors to take care of in rrcb,
> > > > > eh?
> > >
> > Yep.
> >
> > > well it will take someone reak down his door he wisely (and the only
> > > wise thing I know of him doing) refuses to answer for strngers
> >
> > No, dumbass, you fail to see we were talking about YOU. You will be
> > getting the attitude adjustment.
>
> not likely I am doing nothing to them but leaving them with something
> more pleasent than your filth to read

Want to bet, Marky, It's being seen to that you get all your internet
acess terminated.

> BTW you would need to change nick as often if you pruned your threads a

You wouldn't have to change yours if you would stop spamming, AIDS boy
Marky.

> bit Wismen

Wrong guess, dumbass!
```

1293. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 22:30:10 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144449010.615106.119340@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old freind wrote:
> > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > > > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > not likely I am doing nothing to them but leaving them with something
> > more pleasent than your filth to read
>
> Want to bet, Marky, It's being seen to that you get all your internet
> acess terminated.
bet with whom?

how you still net access when you drop offline then I'll be concerned
not before
>
> > BTW you would need to change nick as often if you pruned your threads a
>
> You wouldn't have to change yours if you would stop spamming, AIDS boy
> Marky.
another lie the reason I need more than one account to keep responding
to your filth
>
> > bit Wismen
>
> Wrong guess, dumbass!
not at all
get help
```

1294. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 22:35:34 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144449334.303797.45930@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9374
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old freind wrote:
> > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > > > > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > > not likely I am doing nothing to them but leaving them with something
> > > more pleasent than your filth to read
> >
> > Want to bet, Marky, It's being seen to that you get all your internet
> > acess terminated.
> bet with whom?

BWHAHAHAHA Poor Marky, You said I was Steve.

>
>
> how you still net access when you drop offline then I'll be concerned
> not before

Try posting in English, dumbass.

> >
> > > BTW you would need to change nick as often if you pruned your threads a
> >
> > You wouldn't have to change yours if you would stop spamming, AIDS boy
> > Marky.
> another lie the reason I need more than one account to keep responding
> to your filth

Another lie, you need another account to keep spamming because you keep
getting exceeding posting limit messages.

> >
> > > bit Wismen
> >
> > Wrong guess, dumbass!
> not at all
```

Wrong guess, stupid, learn, dumbass, not everybody is Wiseman.

1295. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 22:38:49 UTC
From: "kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144449529.516403.180510@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9375
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old freind wrote:
> > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:

> > >
> > > > BTW you would need to change nick as often if you pruned your threads a
> > >
> > > You wouldn't have to change yours if you would stop spamming, AIDS boy
> > > Marky.
> > another lie the reason I need more than one account to keep responding
> > to your filth
>
> Another lie, you need another account to keep spamming because you keep
> getting exceeding posting limit messages.

no spam at all
>
> > >
> > > > bit Wismen
> > >
> > > Wrong guess, dumbass!
> > not at all
>
> Wrong guess, stupid, learn, dumbass, not everybody is Wiseman.

never said everyone was just all yu are
```

1296. Re: YOUR BLEEDER K1MAN FILES FORMAL FELONY COMPLAINT AGAINST KZ8O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 22:41:10 UTC
From: bob_deep@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144449670.134395.191580@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9376
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Yet, broadcasting is part of the NAL under discussion here.

Part 97 makes it very clear what broadcasting is if you will read it
for what it says and not try to parse it to confuse and obfuscate the
meaning.

True, not *all* broadcasting (one way transmissions) are disallowed,
some are specificially allowed. However, looking at the NAL and now
the affirmation of said NAL, it's clear that somebody has a lot of good
evidende that what was transmitted constituted broadcasting.

If you wish to "broadcast" outside of what is allowed in part 97, you
need to operate under some other part of the FCC's regulations if you
insist on going the RF route.

Perhaps a CB or Part 15 would suit you!

1297. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-07 23:07:01 UTC
From: bob_deep@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144451221.564047.195490@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9377
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

Lloyd,

As much as you flush, you must have some kind of medical problem. I
sure hope it's not serious.. Side effect of your medication perhaps?

(Just smile, I am!)

1298. A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-08 01:43:41 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <iYOdnY3z1bnRiKrZnZ2dnUVZ_vudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9378
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

> If you wish to "broadcast" outside of what is allowed in part 97, you
> need to operate under some other part of the FCC's regulations if you
> insist on going the RF route.
>
> Perhaps a CB or Part 15 would suit you!

Serious question:

Just how much power is the maximum permitted level of
250 uV/m at 3 meters for non-licensed devices?

(FM broadcast band?) See this NAL for reference:
http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-264733A1.html

1299. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-08 03:01:53 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <mr9e32h1e5chhh8vt0drv4m8og8biokstp@4ax.com>
Source record: 9379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 07 Apr 2006 21:43:41 -0400, Scott in Baltimore
<sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> If you wish to "broadcast" outside of what is allowed in part 97, you
>> need to operate under some other part of the FCC's regulations if you
>> insist on going the RF route.
>>
>> Perhaps a CB or Part 15 would suit you!
>
>Serious question:
>
>Just how much power is the maximum permitted level of
>250 uV/m at 3 meters for non-licensed devices?
>
>(FM broadcast band?) See this NAL for reference:
>http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-264733A1.html

Around 100mw

1300. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-08 03:14:56 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8e6dnfSgu8cyt6rZRVn-pA@comcast.com>
Source record: 9380
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

>> Just how much power is the maximum permitted level of
>> 250 uV/m at 3 meters for non-licensed devices?
>>
>> (FM broadcast band?) See this NAL for reference:
>> http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-264733A1.html
>
> Around 100mw

Barely enough to make it from one side of the house to the other.

1301. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-08 03:22:30 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <3vae3297i41qp423maf2k6ov3vdrcb2tqf@4ax.com>
Source record: 9381
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 07 Apr 2006 23:14:56 -0400, Scott in Baltimore
<sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com> wrote:

>>> Just how much power is the maximum permitted level of
>>> 250 uV/m at 3 meters for non-licensed devices?
>>>
>>> (FM broadcast band?) See this NAL for reference:
>>> http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-264733A1.html
>>
>> Around 100mw
>
>Barely enough to make it from one side of the house to the other.

With skip I've talked about 500 miles on it before, but normally it's
good for about a half mile.

1302. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-08 03:36:50 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <6sbe321l2p41nrck553g51uof48n7upspk@4ax.com>
Source record: 9382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 07 Apr 2006 21:43:41 -0400, Scott in Baltimore
<sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> If you wish to "broadcast" outside of what is allowed in part 97, you
>> need to operate under some other part of the FCC's regulations if you
>> insist on going the RF route.
>>
>> Perhaps a CB or Part 15 would suit you!
>
>Serious question:
>
>Just how much power is the maximum permitted level of
>250 uV/m at 3 meters for non-licensed devices?
>
>(FM broadcast band?) See this NAL for reference:
>http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-264733A1.html

Correction ..... 0.01875 microwatts for 88-108 mhz fm

1303. BCD 996T Vs BCT15 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-08 14:02:02 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <AMOdne3qkcLMX6rZRVn-og@comcast.com>
Source record: 9383

Hi,

New at this.

Thought I'd get a BCD 996T when they come out, which should be shortly, I guess.

But, have just seen a mention and pix of a BCT 15 also coming out shortly. From what was described, seem about the same.

But I realize that I'm too new at this to really understand the major differences between, but the BCT15 was shown at a much lower cost. Would hate to buy one, and be sorry sorry shortly afterwards that I didn't go with the other.

Might anyone please summarize the primary differences between them for me ?

Which one has all the bells and whistles, and what would I Not be getting relative to one over the other model ?

Much thanks,
B.

1304. Re: BCD 996T Vs BCT15 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-08 14:51:03 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <6vSdne8H861DUKrZRVn-sg@comcast.com>
Source record: 9384

The BCD996T decodes APCO-25 Digital, the BCT15 does not. That is the main difference.

Bill Crocker

"Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com> wrote in message
news:AMOdne3qkcLMX6rZRVn-og@comcast.com...
> Hi,
>
> New at this.
>
> Thought I'd get a BCD 996T when they come out, which should be shortly, I
> guess.
>
> But, have just seen a mention and pix of a BCT 15 also coming out shortly.
> From what was described, seem about the same.
>
> But I realize that I'm too new at this to really understand the major
> differences between, but the BCT15 was shown at a much lower cost. Would
> hate to buy one, and be sorry sorry shortly afterwards that I didn't go
> with the other.
>
> Might anyone please summarize the primary differences between them for me
> ?
>
> Which one has all the bells and whistles, and what would I Not be getting
> relative to one over the other model ?
>
> Much thanks,
> B.
>

1305. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-08 15:29:43 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <0hkf3219dad7sb3fj065amabbg1qc62fjs@4ax.com>
Source record: 9385
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 07 Apr 2006 21:43:41 -0400, Scott in Baltimore
<sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com> wrote:

>+<> If you wish to "broadcast" outside of what is allowed in part 97, you
>+<> need to operate under some other part of the FCC's regulations if you
>+<> insist on going the RF route.
>+<>
>+<> Perhaps a CB or Part 15 would suit you!
>+<
>+<Serious question:
>+<
>+<Just how much power is the maximum permitted level of
>+<250 uV/m at 3 meters for non-licensed devices?
>+<
>+<(FM broadcast band?) See this NAL for reference:
>+<http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-264733A1.html
*****

You are comparing essentially apples and oranges. 250 UV/m is a power
density measurement that is different from actual power supplied to
the antenna. What the FCC is specifying is a field power density at a
specific distance from the antenna. This is often specified in
commercial services like that of FM broadcst. There is no actual power
out specification like in CB or Land Mobile, but a power density at a
distance. So depending on the efficiency and gain of the antenna
system, will determine how much actual power is needed by the
transmitter to yield 250uV/m at 3 meters.

There are formulae to calculate the power density from know variables
such as transmitter power, cable losses, antenna gain, antenna hiegth,
frequency of operation and distance from the antenna. Once you know
the variables it is simple plug and chug to get the answers.

james

1306. Re: BCD 996T Vs BCT15 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-08 16:04:04 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <vlnf32dhr47kpp5paqdv0peed73cu1mhoq@4ax.com>
Source record: 9386

On Sat, 8 Apr 2006 10:02:02 -0400, "Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com>
wrote:

>Thought I'd get a BCD 996T when they come out, which should be shortly, I
>guess.

>But, have just seen a mention and pix of a BCT 15 also coming out shortly.
>From what was described, seem about the same.

BCD (for digital) 996T does ... well, digital. BCT 15 doesn't do
digital.

1307. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-08 16:53:07 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cpCdnQne-qvvd6rZnZ2dnUVZ_tCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9387
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

> You are comparing essentially apples and oranges. 250 UV/m is a power
> density measurement that is different from actual power supplied to
> the antenna. What the FCC is specifying is a field power density at a
> specific distance from the antenna. This is often specified in
> commercial services like that of FM broadcst. There is no actual power
> out specification like in CB or Land Mobile, but a power density at a
> distance. So depending on the efficiency and gain of the antenna
> system, will determine how much actual power is needed by the
> transmitter to yield 250uV/m at 3 meters.

Are we talking 1 or .1 watts to a 1/4 wave GP or 1/2 dipole.

1308. Re: Retarded Marky still spams but too stupid to admit it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-08 19:51:02 UTC
From: "Dr.Death" <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <123g5177drt5a48@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 9388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20060407173214.778$GY@newsreader.com...
> lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
>> assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:
>> > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > Quit spamming, retard.
>> >
>> > no spaming just advice you need to heed for your own sake
>>
>> You are spamming, you stupid son of a bitch.
>>
> Just killfile the cock sucker.

Easier said than done. I have him killed 9 times so far.

1309. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-08 20:08:30 UTC
From: "Dr.Death" <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <123g61r3mido90c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 9389
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Ray Norshine" <raynorshine@invalid.kom> wrote in message
news:eAVYf.44$1H4.13@fe06.lga...
> "an old freind" <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1144250206.049693.19970@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>> an old child molester wrote:
>>
>>> Quit spamming, retard.
>> get help
>
>
> Lets review your nyms, shall we..
>
> an old freind kb9rqz@amsat.org
> an old freind kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com
> an old friend kb9rqz@amsat.org
> an old friend kb9rqz@yahoo.com
> an_old_friend konstans@hotmail.com
> an old freind" upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com
>
> (please take note.. [I] before [E] accept after [C])
>
>
> You really crave LOTS of attention, don't you?
>
> Perhaps it's -YOU- that needs to -"get help"???
>
>
> ::PLONK #6:: and counting...
>
I'm at 10 and counting.

1310. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-08 20:44:06 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <138g321ti9nggdm61fvs7hbgkvl370vpmm@4ax.com>
Source record: 9390
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 08 Apr 2006 12:53:07 -0400, Scott in Baltimore
<sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com> wrote:

>+<> You are comparing essentially apples and oranges. 250 UV/m is a power
>+<> density measurement that is different from actual power supplied to
>+<> the antenna. What the FCC is specifying is a field power density at a
>+<> specific distance from the antenna. This is often specified in
>+<> commercial services like that of FM broadcst. There is no actual power
>+<> out specification like in CB or Land Mobile, but a power density at a
>+<> distance. So depending on the efficiency and gain of the antenna
>+<> system, will determine how much actual power is needed by the
>+<> transmitter to yield 250uV/m at 3 meters.
>+<
>+<Are we talking 1 or .1 watts to a 1/4 wave GP or 1/2 dipole.
****

The formulae and theory works whether you are using 1 milliwatt or 1
megawatt.

james

1311. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-08 20:54:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1144529687.793575.64260@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9391
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
Dr.Death wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20060407173214.778$GY@newsreader.com...
> > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > > Quit spamming, retard.
> >> >
> >> > no spaming just advice you need to heed for your own sake
> >>
> >> You are spamming, you stupid son of a bitch.
> >>
> > Just killfile the cock sucker.
>
> Easier said than done. I have him killed 9 times so far.
hadly dr
```

1312. Re: American Freeband Associatioin Declares Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN, is the King of Free Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-08 20:57:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1144529827.067275.13770@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9392
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Dr.Death wrote:
> "Ray Norshine" <raynorshine@invalid.kom> wrote in message
> news:eAVYf.44$1H4.13@fe06.lga...
> > "an old freind" <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > news:1144250206.049693.19970@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com:
> >
> >>
> >> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>> an old child molester wrote:
> >>
> >>> Quit spamming, retard.
> >> get help
> >
> >

> >
> I'm at 10 and counting.

say the mand afraid to reveal his name

how is going Danny

1313. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-08 20:59:34 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen <nielsen@oz.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.04.08.20.59.26.371982@oz.net>
Source record: 9393
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 08 Apr 2006 13:53:07 -0400, Scott in Baltimore wrote:

>> You are comparing essentially apples and oranges. 250 UV/m is a power
>> density measurement that is different from actual power supplied to
>> the antenna. What the FCC is specifying is a field power density at a
>> specific distance from the antenna. This is often specified in
>> commercial services like that of FM broadcst. There is no actual power
>> out specification like in CB or Land Mobile, but a power density at a
>> distance. So depending on the efficiency and gain of the antenna
>> system, will determine how much actual power is needed by the
>> transmitter to yield 250uV/m at 3 meters.
>
> Are we talking 1 or .1 watts to a 1/4 wave GP or 1/2 dipole.

Much less than that--do the math:

250 uV/m is a power density of 1.66 x 10^-10 w/m. (P= E^2/R and R for
free space is 376.7 ohms).

A sphere with a radius of 3 meters has a surface area of 113.1 meters.
Multiplying this by the power density gives .019 microwatts, which if
applied to an isotropic antenna (if such an animal existed) would give the
specified level. Since a dipole has a gain of 2.1 db (1.64) compared to
an isotropic reference, an input power of .011 microwatts to a dipole
would result in the same field strength.

Bob, N7XY

1314. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-08 21:05:14 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen <nielsen@oz.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.04.08.21.05.11.208875@oz.net>
Source record: 9394
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 08 Apr 2006 14:59:34 -0700, Bob Nielsen wrote:

> On Sat, 08 Apr 2006 13:53:07 -0400, Scott in Baltimore wrote:
>
>>> You are comparing essentially apples and oranges. 250 UV/m is a power
>>> density measurement that is different from actual power supplied to
>>> the antenna. What the FCC is specifying is a field power density at a
>>> specific distance from the antenna. This is often specified in
>>> commercial services like that of FM broadcst. There is no actual power
>>> out specification like in CB or Land Mobile, but a power density at a
>>> distance. So depending on the efficiency and gain of the antenna
>>> system, will determine how much actual power is needed by the
>>> transmitter to yield 250uV/m at 3 meters.
>>
>> Are we talking 1 or .1 watts to a 1/4 wave GP or 1/2 dipole.
>

I made a few typos which don't change the final result--

> Much less than that--do the math:
>
> 250 uV/m is a power density of 1.66 x 10^-10 w/m. (P= E^2/R and R for
> free space is 376.7 ohms).

This should have been 1.66 X 10^01 w/m^2.

>
> A sphere with a radius of 3 meters has a surface area of 113.1 meters.

Which should have been 113.1 square meters.

> Multiplying this by the power density gives .019 microwatts, which if
> applied to an isotropic antenna (if such an animal existed) would give the
> specified level. Since a dipole has a gain of 2.1 db (1.64) compared to
> an isotropic reference, an input power of .011 microwatts to a dipole
> would result in the same field strength.
>
> Bob, N7XY

1315. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-08 21:10:36 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen <nielsen@oz.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.04.08.21.10.35.57794@oz.net>
Source record: 9395
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 08 Apr 2006 15:05:14 -0700, Bob Nielsen wrote:

> On Sat, 08 Apr 2006 14:59:34 -0700, Bob Nielsen wrote:
>
>> On Sat, 08 Apr 2006 13:53:07 -0400, Scott in Baltimore wrote:
>>
>>>> You are comparing essentially apples and oranges. 250 UV/m is a power
>>>> density measurement that is different from actual power supplied to
>>>> the antenna. What the FCC is specifying is a field power density at a
>>>> specific distance from the antenna. This is often specified in
>>>> commercial services like that of FM broadcst. There is no actual power
>>>> out specification like in CB or Land Mobile, but a power density at a
>>>> distance. So depending on the efficiency and gain of the antenna
>>>> system, will determine how much actual power is needed by the
>>>> transmitter to yield 250uV/m at 3 meters.
>>>
>>> Are we talking 1 or .1 watts to a 1/4 wave GP or 1/2 dipole.
>>
>
> I made a few typos which don't change the final result--
>
>> Much less than that--do the math:
>>
>> 250 uV/m is a power density of 1.66 x 10^-10 w/m. (P= E^2/R and R for
>> free space is 376.7 ohms).
>

I guess I need to double check before sending:

> This should have been 1.66 X 10^01 w/m^2.

Actually, 1.66 X 10^-10 w/m^2

>
>>
>> A sphere with a radius of 3 meters has a surface area of 113.1 meters.
>
> Which should have been 113.1 square meters.
>
>> Multiplying this by the power density gives .019 microwatts, which if
>> applied to an isotropic antenna (if such an animal existed) would give the
>> specified level. Since a dipole has a gain of 2.1 db (1.64) compared to
>> an isotropic reference, an input power of .011 microwatts to a dipole
>> would result in the same field strength.
>>

>> Bob, N7XY

1316. Re: Backlight Problem, BCT-7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-09 07:15:02 UTC
From: Big Red Ham <redballons6@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144566902.731820.156170@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9396
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy

PowerHouse Communications wrote:
> Was wondering if anybody has ever experienced, and fixed, a problem with the
> backlighting on the Uniden BCT-7. I have 3 different units that experience
> the same problem. Random backlighting. It works most of the time, but not
> all of the time. It seems to be temperature sensitive. When the radio is
> in a warm environment (in the house, in the car after the interior warms
> up,etc.) the backlight stops working. Sometimes it flashes erratically,
> almost like a loose or bad connection. I'm guessing possibly a bad solder
> joint, or stressed solder joint that has cracked. I've yet to find the
> problem area in any of the three units I have however...
>
> Anybody ever fix this problem, or even have it happen to them at least?
> Since all three of mine have the same problem, I'm guessing it's fairly
> common, and someone should know what the most common culprit is...
>
> Any help is appreciated!
> THANKS!

markie-tard can help. meat him at your local petro toilet stall,you
freak.

1317. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-09 07:18:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1144567107.133779.170570@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9397
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Big Red Ham wrote:
> PowerHouse Communications wrote:
> > Was wondering if anybody has ever experienced, and fixed, a problem with the
> > backlighting on the Uniden BCT-7. I have 3 different units that experience

> > THANKS!
>
> markie-tard can help. meat him at your local petro toilet stall,you
> freak.

get help for your obesseive behavoir and be a man and sing your name

1318. scanning encrypted digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-09 12:29:35 UTC
From: "Fred V." <fredvp@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <P67_f.3149$mu2.3001@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 9398

Hi

Does anyone know if you can scan the new encryped digital transmissions?

Fred

1319. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-09 14:36:01 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <roedncmYu8hShqTZnZ2dnUVZ_sCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

>>>>> transmitter to yield 250uV/m at 3 meters.

>>>> Are we talking 1 or .1 watts to a 1/4 wave GP or 1/2 dipole.

>>> Multiplying this by the power density gives .019 microwatts, which if
>>> applied to an isotropic antenna (if such an animal existed) would give the
>>> specified level. Since a dipole has a gain of 2.1 db (1.64) compared to
>>> an isotropic reference, an input power of .011 microwatts to a dipole
>>> would result in the same field strength.

So basically, I could yell farther then this will transmit.

1320. Re: scanning encrypted digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-09 15:25:55 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <7I9_f.17529$8o.11104@newsfe6-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 9400

only the motorola starnet stuff
where are you?
uk
us?

mike

"Fred V." <fredvp@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:P67_f.3149$mu2.3001@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net...
> Hi
>
> Does anyone know if you can scan the new encryped digital transmissions?
>
> Fred
>
>

1321. Quit spamming, Markie Morgan of 17366 River Rd N., Chassell, MI!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-09 16:40:04 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144600804.307912.18640@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
assraped by an old freid wrote:
> lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > assraped by an_old_friend and he deserved it wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > an old freid wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > an old child molester wrote:
>
> > HAHAHAHAHAHA Markie proves he's a retarded dumbass, yet again!
> get help

Quit spamming, stupid.
```

1322. Retard Marky is seeing Roger Wiseman behind every post! Markie's showing signs of his schizophrenia!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-09 16:47:36 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144601256.850837.309460@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9252
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old freind wrote:
> > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > > > >
> > > > > BTW you would need to change nick as often if you pruned your threads a
> > > > >
> > > > > You wouldn't have to change yours if you would stop spamming, AIDS boy
> > > > > Marky.
> > > > another lie the reason I need more than one account to keep responding
> > > > to your filth
> > >
> > Another lie, you need another account to keep spamming because you keep
> > getting exceeding posting limit messages.
>
> no spam at all

That's all you post, spam, dumbass.

> >
> > > >
> > > > > bit Wismen
> > > >
> > > > Wrong guess, dumbass!
> > > not at all
> >
> > Wrong guess, stupid, learn, dumbass, not everybody is Wiseman.
>
> never said everyone was just all yu are

BWHAHAHAHAHA Markie chooses to show his mental illness in a public
place.!

1323. gete help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-09 17:12:29 UTC
From: an old freind <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144602749.553197.171810@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> assraped by an old freid wrote:
> > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > assraped by an_old_friend and he deserved it wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > an old freid wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > an old child molester wrote:

> > get help
>
> Quit spamming, stupid.

no spam here just the replies you want from me

get help

1324. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-09 17:13:40 UTC
From: an old freind <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144602820.765518.176890@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9254
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com wrote:
> > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:

> > never said everyone was just all yu are
>
> BWHAHAHAHAHA Markie chooses to show his mental illness in a public
> place.!

not realy but you are looking in the reflected glare of your screen
there

get help you need it bad

1325. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-09 17:23:01 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen <nielsen@oz.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.04.09.17.22.58.77452@oz.net>
Source record: 9255
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 09 Apr 2006 11:36:01 -0400, Scott in Baltimore wrote:

>>>>>> transmitter to yield 250uV/m at 3 meters.
>
>>>>> Are we talking 1 or .1 watts to a 1/4 wave GP or 1/2 dipole.
>
>>>> Multiplying this by the power density gives .019 microwatts, which if
>>>> applied to an isotropic antenna (if such an animal existed) would give the
>>>> specified level. Since a dipole has a gain of 2.1 db (1.64) compared to
>>>> an isotropic reference, an input power of .011 microwatts to a dipole
>>>> would result in the same field strength.
>
>
> So basically, I could yell farther then this will transmit.

That is probably true. Other than CB and FRS, etc., unlicensed devices
(typically under Part 15 of the FCC regulations) are only intended for
short-range use, to minimize interference with licensed operation.

1326. Re: scanning encrypted digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-09 17:28:54 UTC
From: "Fred V." <fredvp@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <qvb_f.23765$NS6.7568@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 9256

Hi Mike

Milford Ct. Local PD here uses encrypted digital so all
I hear on the scanner is the computer noise on their frequencies. I see some
expensive Uniden scanners that mention they are "APCO P-25 digital" capable.
I wonder what that is?

Fred

"mikeFNB" <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn> wrote in message
news:7I9_f.17529$8o.11104@newsfe6-win.ntli.net...
> only the motorola starnet stuff
> where are you?
> uk
> us?
>
> mike
>
> "Fred V." <fredvp@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:P67_f.3149$mu2.3001@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net...
>> Hi
>>
>> Does anyone know if you can scan the new encryped digital transmissions?
>>
>> Fred
>>
>>
>
>

1327. Re: scanning encrypted digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-09 17:40:27 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <fGb_f.15384$NN4.4553@newsfe7-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 9257

yep that will do it.

mike

"Fred V." <fredvp@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:qvb_f.23765$NS6.7568@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> Hi Mike
>
> Milford Ct. Local PD here uses encrypted digital so all
> I hear on the scanner is the computer noise on their frequencies. I see
> some expensive Uniden scanners that mention they are "APCO P-25 digital"
> capable. I wonder what that is?
>
> Fred
>
> "mikeFNB" <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn> wrote in message
> news:7I9_f.17529$8o.11104@newsfe6-win.ntli.net...
>> only the motorola starnet stuff
>> where are you?
>> uk
>> us?
>>
>> mike
>>
>> "Fred V." <fredvp@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
>> news:P67_f.3149$mu2.3001@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net...
>>> Hi
>>>
>>> Does anyone know if you can scan the new encryped digital transmissions?
>>>
>>> Fred
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

1328. Re: scanning encrypted digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-09 17:43:02 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <GIb_f.15386$NN4.284@newsfe7-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 9258

opps hit send too soon....

Any Digital scanner which is capable of working on the
APCO 25 hybrid system will do

though you will need some info on the system channel setup as you need to
detect which is the control channel
but it is not hard

mike uk

"mikeFNB" <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn> wrote in message
news:fGb_f.15384$NN4.4553@newsfe7-win.ntli.net...
> yep that will do it.
>
> mike
>
> "Fred V." <fredvp@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:qvb_f.23765$NS6.7568@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
>> Hi Mike
>>
>> Milford Ct. Local PD here uses encrypted digital so all
>> I hear on the scanner is the computer noise on their frequencies. I see
>> some expensive Uniden scanners that mention they are "APCO P-25 digital"
>> capable. I wonder what that is?
>>
>> Fred
>>
>> "mikeFNB" <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn> wrote in message
>> news:7I9_f.17529$8o.11104@newsfe6-win.ntli.net...
>>> only the motorola starnet stuff
>>> where are you?
>>> uk
>>> us?
>>>
>>> mike
>>>
>>> "Fred V." <fredvp@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
>>> news:P67_f.3149$mu2.3001@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net...
>>>> Hi
>>>>
>>>> Does anyone know if you can scan the new encryped digital
>>>> transmissions?
>>>>
>>>> Fred

>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

1329. Re: scanning encrypted digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-09 17:50:18 UTC
From: "Fred V." <fredvp@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <uPb_f.23766$NS6.17676@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 9259

Hi again Mike.

I see what I need now. I new scanner!

Fred

"mikeFNB" <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn> wrote in message
news:GIb_f.15386$NN4.284@newsfe7-win.ntli.net...
> opps hit send too soon....
>
> Any Digital scanner which is capable of working on the
> APCO 25 hybrid system will do
>
> though you will need some info on the system channel setup as you need to
> detect which is the control channel
> but it is not hard
>
> mike uk
>
>
> "mikeFNB" <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn> wrote in message
> news:fGb_f.15384$NN4.4553@newsfe7-win.ntli.net...
>> yep that will do it.
>>
>> mike
>>
>> "Fred V." <fredvp@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
>> news:qvb_f.23765$NS6.7568@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
>>> Hi Mike
>>>
>>> Milford Ct. Local PD here uses encrypted digital so all
>>> I hear on the scanner is the computer noise on their frequencies. I see
>>> some expensive Uniden scanners that mention they are "APCO P-25 digital"
>>> capable. I wonder what that is?
>>>
>>> Fred
>>>
>>> "mikeFNB" <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn> wrote in message
>>> news:7I9_f.17529$8o.11104@newsfe6-win.ntli.net...
>>>> only the motorola starnet stuff
>>>> where are you?
>>>> uk
>>>> us?
>>>>
>>>> mike

>>>>
>>>> "Fred V." <fredvp@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:P67_f.3149$mu2.3001@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net...
>>>>> Hi
>>>>>
>>>>> Does anyone know if you can scan the new encryped digital
>>>>> transmissions?
>>>>>
>>>>> Fred
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

1330. Re: scanning encrypted digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-09 18:47:17 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <s6idnYFz0LAry6TZ4p2dnA@comcast.com>
Source record: 9260

There are no scanners available in the U.S. they will scan, and decode
"encrypted" digital. APCO-25 digital, yes, but not if its encrypted.

Bill Crocker

"Fred V." <fredvp@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:P67_f.3149$mu2.3001@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net...
> Hi
>
> Does anyone know if you can scan the new encryped digital transmissions?
>
> Fred
>
>

1331. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-09 19:48:56 UTC
From: Slow Code <em@il.here>
Message-ID: <lyd_f.1824$BS2.267@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 9261
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:roedncmYu8hShqTZnZ2dnUVZ_sCdnZ2d@comcast.com:

>>>>>> transmitter to yield 250uV/m at 3 meters.
>
>>>>> Are we talking 1 or .1 watts to a 1/4 wave GP or 1/2 dipole.
>
>>>> Multiplying this by the power density gives .019 microwatts, which if
>>>> applied to an isotropic antenna (if such an animal existed) would
>>>> give the specified level. Since a dipole has a gain of 2.1 db (1.64)
>>>> compared to an isotropic reference, an input power of .011 microwatts
>>>> to a dipole would result in the same field strength.
>
>
> So basically, I could yell farther then this will transmit.

That would depend on how bad your breath was. ;-)

It's also about receiving too. Bigger antenna is better.

SC

1332. Re: scanning encrypted digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-09 20:02:33 UTC
From: Scott <scanman@scanland.oirg>
Message-ID: <Xns97A0A3191E93scanmanscanlandorg@208.49.80.253>
Source record: 9262

"Fred V." <fredvp@sbcglobal.net> wrote in news:P67_f.3149$mu2.3001
@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net:

> Hi
>
> Does anyone know if you can scan the new encryped digital transmissions?
>
> Fred
>
>

Just because it's digital modulation doesn't mean it's encrypted.

If people would do a bit of research, they'd see that.

1333. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-09 20:07:36 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144613256.030863.11810@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9263
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:roedncmYu8hShqTZnZ2dnUVZ_sCdnZ2d@comcast.com:

> > So basically, I could yell farther then this will transmit.

> It's also about receiving too. Bigger antenna is better.

not in this case braodcasting concerns braodcasting not receving
>
> SC

1334. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-09 20:20:53 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144614052.946466.49980@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9264
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

an old friend wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > news:roedncmYu8hShqTZnZ2dnUVZ_sCdnZ2d@comcast.com:
>
> > > So basically, I could yell farther then this will transmit.
>
> > It's also about receiving too. Bigger antenna is better.
>
> not in this case braodcasting concerns braodcasting not receving

No wonder you are just a no code tech, Markie. Your ignorance of radio
propagation was just shown in that idiotic statement.

1335. Receding hairlined Marky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-09 21:05:09 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144616709.759763.32010@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9265
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
an old freind wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > > never said everyone was just all yu are
> >
> > BWHAHAHAHAHA Markie chooses to show his mental illness in a public
> > place.!
>
> not realy
Yes, really, and you are looking in the reflected glare of your
receding hairline.
```

1336. Marky spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-09 21:06:10 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144616769.967799.24460@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9266
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
an old freind wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > assraped by an old freid wrote:
> > > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > assraped by an_old_friend and he deserved it wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > an old freid wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > an old child molester wrote:
>
> > > get help
> >
> > Quit spamming, stupid.
>
> no spam here
Yes, spam from you is all we get.
```

1337. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-09 21:24:12 UTC
From: nightwryter@spamsucks.com
Message-ID: <nqui32poi3o5oueebgerlvdlsk3pci77v8@4ax.com>
Source record: 9267

```
test
```

1338. get ehlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-09 22:14:09 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1144620849.595986.248380@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9268
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old freind wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > assraped by an old freid wrote:
> > > > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > assraped by an_old_friend and he deserved it wrote:
> > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > an old freid wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > an old child molester wrote:

> > no spam here
> Yes, spam from you is all we get.

nope but lies amount to 99 percent of your psoting wismen

1339. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-09 22:14:41 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1144620881.553477.24220@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9269
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old freind wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:

> Yes, really,

get help

1340. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-09 22:38:22 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144622302.079125.266580@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9270
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Slow Code wrote:
> > > Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > > news:roedncmYu8hShqTZnZ2dnUVZ_sCdnZ2d@comcast.com:
> > >
> > > > > So basically, I could yell farther then this will transmit.
> > >
> > > > It's also about receiving too. Bigger antenna is better.
> > >
> > > not in this case braodcasting concerns braodcasting not receving
> >
> > No wonder you are just a no code tech, Markie. Your ignorance of radio
> > propagation was just shown in that idiotic statement.

hardly the question is about part 15 fmm broadcasting the ability of
the tranmiting antenna to receive is irelavant to the solotuion that us
fact

now go get help

1341. Re: scanning encrypted digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-10 01:53:43 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <9eej32l81qjo8fhk35ibdqhdv8r7arpugo@4ax.com>
Source record: 9271

On Sun, 09 Apr 2006 17:28:54 GMT, "Fred V." <fredvp@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>Milford Ct. Local PD here uses encrypted digital so all
>I hear on the scanner is the computer noise on their frequencies. I see some
>expensive Uniden scanners that mention they are "APCO P-25 digital" capable.
>I wonder what that is?

A digital scanner will receive digital signals. No scanner will
receive encrypted signals, which it's illegal to even try to receive,
anyway.

Milford's 460 stuff is encrypted, 1 channel APCO, 1 analog, so you
won't receive anything. You should be able to receive detectives on
155.13.

1342. Alinco DJ-X2000 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-10 01:55:33 UTC
From: James Braun <jsbraun@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <SOi_f.5330$kg.3988@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 9272

Alinco DJ-X2000 for sale.
In excellent condition w/ drop in charger, "AA" dry cell battery, and
manual.
Asking $300

1343. Re: Backlight Problem, BCT-7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-10 07:15:41 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <69C_f.72$2_.34@fe05.lga>
Source record: 9292
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Big Red Ham" <redballons6@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1144566902.731820.156170@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> PowerHouse Communications wrote:
> > Was wondering if anybody has ever experienced, and fixed, a problem with
the
> > backlighting on the Uniden BCT-7. I have 3 different units that
experience
> > the same problem. Random backlighting. It works most of the time, but
not
> > all of the time. It seems to be temperature sensitive. When the radio
is
> > in a warm environment (in the house, in the car after the interior warms
> > up,etc.) the backlight stops working. Sometimes it flashes erratically,
> > almost like a loose or bad connection. I'm guessing possibly a bad
solder
> > joint, or stressed solder joint that has cracked. I've yet to find the
> > problem area in any of the three units I have however...
> >
> > Anybody ever fix this problem, or even have it happen to them at least?
> > Since all three of mine have the same problem, I'm guessing it's fairly
> > common, and someone should know what the most common culprit is...
> >
> > Any help is appreciated!
> > THANKS!
>
> markie-tard can help. meat him at your local petro toilet stall,you
> freak.

Grow up shit-flake, nobody wants to read from your toilet bowl...

1344. Re: Receding hairlined Marky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-10 07:41:16 UTC
From: Billy Smith <asgjpwehtiwatewhetw@astopwiehtopewhtoiewth.com>
Message-ID: <w_n_f.2603$Es3.1021@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 9273
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

> an old freind wrote:
>
>>whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>>kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>assfucked by an old freind wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>an old freind wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>assraped by an old friend wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>assraped by an old friend wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>>>>>never said everyone was just all yu are
>>>
>>>BWHAHAHAHAHA Markie chooses to show his mental illness in a public
>>>place.!
>>
>>not realy
>
> Yes, really, and you are looking in the reflected glare of your
> receding hairline.

>
How about that gay butt pirate picture that has been posted on here,
mwhahahahahha.

1345. Re: Receding hairlined Marky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-10 12:45:05 UTC
From: marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144673105.805611.71300@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9274
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
Billy "gay bald butt pirate Davies"Smith wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > an old freind wrote:
> >
> >>whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>an old freind wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>assraped by an old friend wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>assraped by an old friend wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>>never said everyone was just all yu are
> >>>
> >>>BWHAHAHAHAHA Markie chooses to show his mental illness in a public
> >>>place.!
> >>
> >>not realy
> >
> > Yes, really, and you are looking in the reflected glare of your
```

> > receding hairline.
> >
> How about that gay butt pirate picture that has been posted on here,
> mwhahahahahha.

As usual, Lardass, you fucked it up. You can't photoshop worth a shit.
But then you can't do anything worth a shit, you are worthless.

How about this picture, it's the one you love so well, now gobble up,
fatty:
http://h1.ripway.com/lloyddaviessux/lloyd_makes_woger_sounds.jpg

It shows you look like a 75 year old man since you lost your hair
before you were 21!
http://h1.ripway.com/lloyddaviessux/lloyd_jams_finger_up_his_ass.jpg

1346. Marky admits he has a receding hairline!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-10 12:45:57 UTC
From: marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144673157.057507.94020@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9275
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
assraped by an old freind wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old freind wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > Yes, really,
>
> get
```

Nice admission, chrome-dome!

1347. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-10 12:58:24 UTC
From: marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144673904.674277.222930@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9276
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

assraped by an old freind wrote:
> peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > Slow Code wrote:
> > > > Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > > > news:roedncmYu8hShqTZnZ2dnUVZ_sCdnZ2d@comcast.com:
> > >
> > > > > So basically, I could yell farther then this will transmit.
> > >
> > > > It's also about receiving too. Bigger antenna is better.
> > >
> > > not in this case braodcasting concerns braodcasting not receving
> >
> > No wonder you are just a no code tech, Markie. Your ignorance of radio
> > propagation was just shown in that idiotic statement.
>
> hardly the question is about part 15 fmm broadcasting the ability of
> the tranmiting antenna to receive is irelavant to the solotuion that us
> fact

As if a no code tech would know anything about antennas.

1348. Keep guessing wrong, stupid!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-10 13:18:55 UTC
From: not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144675135.001841.182960@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9277
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
assraped by an old freind wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old freind wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > assraped by an old freid wrote:
> > > > > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > assraped by an_old_friend and he deserved it wrote:
> > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > an old freid wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > an old child molester wrote:
>
> > > no spam here
> > Yes, spam from you is all we get.
>
> nope but lies amount to 99 percent of your psoting wismen
```

Lies and stupidity amount to 100% of yours, stupid. Keep guessing.

1349. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-10 15:08:05 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1144681685.798633.84240@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9278
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com wrote:
> assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old freind wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > assraped by an old freid wrote:
> > > > > > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > assraped by an_old_friend and he deserved it wrote:
> > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > an old freid wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > an old child molester wrote:

> Lies and stupidity amount to 100% of yours, stupid. Keep guessing.

no need to guess Wismen
get help

1350. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-10 15:11:29 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1144681889.125011.254160@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9279
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > Slow Code wrote:

> > hardly the question is about part 15 fmm broadcasting the ability of
> > the tranmiting antenna to receive is irelavant to the solotuion that us
> > fact
>
> As if a no code tech would know anything about antennas.

why not I am important that you safe hiding hind my name

get help wismen or daviesor Morgansince you seem tothink yourself those
people as well as being wismen

1351. Re: Marky admits he has a receding hairline!...ok fine yes I do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-10 15:15:02 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1144682102.464212.211330@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9280
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old freind wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:

> > get help
>
> Nice admission, chrome-dome!

I am glad that like the fact Dave car is yellow this fact amuses you

it proves however that (wait for it)

need to

GET HELP

1352. Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-10 16:00:35 UTC
From: Too_Many_Tools <too_many_tools@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144684835.409226.32320@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9281
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

A question for the group...

What is the favored weather radio for bugout and emergency situations?

Thanks

TMT

1353. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-10 17:28:07 UTC
From: DesignGuy <dontbother@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <HAw_f.676495$084.278618@attbi_s22>
Source record: 9282
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"Too_Many_Tools" <too_many_tools@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1144684835.409226.32320@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> A question for the group...
>
> What is the favored weather radio for bugout and emergency situations?
>
> Thanks
>
> TMT

I doubt that there is one "favored" model, but here's my $.02:

I keep a Midland SAME weather radio (model WR-300) at home. For travel, handheld scanners that cover police/EMS freqs as well as NOAA wather channels are useful if you're so inclined. Also several amateur radio handhelds (can only be used for transmitting if you're a licensed radio amateur) cover NOAA weather channels. FRS/GMRS walkie-talkies sometimes include weather channels as well.

BTW, if you're not already a license amateur radio operator (ham), consider getting your license. The Technician class (no Morse code knowledge required) can be obtained by successfully passing a written 35 question exam, and allows local communications via simples or using repeaters for more coverage. A very useful tool for survival situations when the grid goes down, and a fun hobby, too.

1354. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-10 19:30:16 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <nhcl32hh4fmbghutr5qm76hecnb8mavrdq@4ax.com>
Source record: 9283
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On 10 Apr 2006 09:00:35 -0700, "Too_Many_Tools"
<too_many_tools@yahoo.com> wrote:

>A question for the group...
>
>What is the favored weather radio for bugout and emergency situations?
>
>Thanks
>
>TMT
>
http://www.midlandradio.com/comersus/store/catalog/74250C.pdf

http://www.midlandradio.com/comersus/store/comersus_viewItem.asp?idProduct=3104

1355. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-10 20:02:12 UTC
From: north <north@the_shack.com>
Message-ID: <1144699473.439226@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 9284
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"Too_Many_Tools" <too_many_tools@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1144684835.409226.32320@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> A question for the group...
>
> What is the favored weather radio for bugout and emergency situations?
>
> Thanks
>

They don't work where I live. And over in VA (Harrisonburg to be exact),
they only work in spotty locations. IMO, a complete waste of money if you
live anywhere in the mountains.

n.

1356. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-10 20:18:14 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <aafl32t4u8htficm4htftk6kb8ps6i8l2o@4ax.com>
Source record: 9285
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On 10 Apr 2006 09:00:35 -0700, "Too_Many_Tools"
<too_many_tools@yahoo.com> wrote:

>What is the favored weather radio for bugout and emergency situations?

Most scanners made in the past 30 years will receive the NWS
broadcasts, and the newer ones will alert on SAME broadcasts. I use
my BCD396T if necessary.

1357. Re: Inversion Playback?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-10 20:47:38 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <Kvz_f.2914$zf1.1793@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 9286
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

yep i think it's called invert.exe
it's on the net
just search for speech invertion decode

mike

"Barry OGrady" <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:pbnk32h6ldepmjklv0mm2h646rdefneaps@4ax.com...
> On 10 Apr 2006 04:11:31 -0700, alfazulu@walla.com wrote:
>
>>I have been recording voice inversion and was wondering if there are
>>any programs that I use run the .wav files through to change it to
>>clear speech?
>>
>>Any help will be appreciated.
>
> yes
>
> Barry
> =====
> Home page
> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og

1358. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-10 22:18:50 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1144707530.772502.259840@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9287
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Too_Many_Tools wrote:
> A question for the group...
>
> What is the favored weather radio for bugout and emergency situations?
>
> Thanks
>
> TMT

A 2m ht with extended receive. And an amateur radio license.

1359. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-10 22:27:32 UTC
From: clifto <clifto@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ln2rg3-djc.ln1@remote.clifto.com>
Source record: 9288
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Too_Many_Tools wrote:
> What is the favored weather radio for bugout and emergency situations?

I have an Oregon Scientific WR196T (no longer made). Nice radio if
you don't need to use it on batteries; it would use less power if
it had vacuum tubes.

If possible, find one that will let you select not only by warning
area but also by warning type. I shut mine off permanently when I
was awakened twice in the early hours by a WATCH that was never
alerted when it first came out and I was awake. Nowadays you'd also
have to shut out the "amber alerts".

--
All relevant people are pertinent.
All rude people are impertinent.
Therefore, no rude people are relevant.
-- Solomon W. Golomb

1360. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-10 23:23:44 UTC
From: Buzzygirl <buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net>
Message-ID: <RKadnaV0zovvdKfZnZ2dnUVZ_vadnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9289
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"Too_Many_Tools" <too_many_tools@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1144684835.409226.32320@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> A question for the group...
>
> What is the favored weather radio for bugout and emergency situations?

My main weather radios are:

1. Yaesu VX5R handheld transceiver (a basic amateur radio license required
if you're going to use it to talk as well as listen); and
2. Radio Shack Pro-95 scanner. This has an alert function that sounds an
alarm if a weather emergency is spotted in my area.

Jackie

1361. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-10 23:29:25 UTC
From: Slow Code <em@il.here>
Message-ID: <pTB_f.2175$Fy2.1067@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 9290
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1144613256.030863.11810@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:roedncmYu8hShqTZnZ2dnUVZ_sCdnZ2d@comcast.com:
>
>> > So basically, I could yell farther then this will transmit.
>
>> It's also about receiving too. Bigger antenna is better.
>
> not in this case braodcasting concerns braodcasting not receving

You should really try to stay out of the threads where you haven't got a
clue about the subject everyone is talking about. Basically for you, that
would mean any thread related to radio. Then you won't get laughed at as
much. Of course, they're all still going to laugh at your spelling and
grammer, but there is nothing you can do about that.

Happy to Help.

SC

1362. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-10 23:44:13 UTC
From: an old freind <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144712653.120770.110270@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9291
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1144613256.030863.11810@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >

>
> You should really try to stay out of the threads where you haven't got a
> clue about the subject everyone is talking about. Basically for you, that
> would mean any thread related to radio.
try taking your own advice
> Happy to Help.
>
> SC

1363. Re: Inversion Playback?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-10 23:54:54 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <BeC_f.74$2_.8@fe05.lga>
Source record: 9293
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

<alfazulu@walla.com> wrote in message
news:1144667491.072155.39970@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com...
> I have been recording voice inversion and was wondering if there are
> any programs that I use run the .wav files through to change it to
> clear speech?
>
> Any help will be appreciated.
>

There are three programs that I know of, they work with live fed audio
through your sound card, however, I don't which, if any of them, are capable
converting sound files stored locally...

Will post them up to Alt.Binaries.Radio-Scanner Give them a try, I'm sure
at least one of them must be capable...

1364. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 00:06:09 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <vmsl325310krf12f32b3r6gilbampa7u2i@4ax.com>
Source record: 9294
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 10 Apr 2006 23:29:25 GMT, Slow Code <em@il.here> wrote:

>much. Of course, they're all still going to laugh at your spelling and
>grammer, but there is nothing you can do about that.

It's grammar, but I won't laugh.

1365. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 00:10:30 UTC
From: Guy <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <3uC_f.495$9c6.8@dukeread11>
Source record: 9295
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"Too_Many_Tools" <too_many_tools@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1144684835.409226.32320@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>A question for the group...
>
> What is the favored weather radio for bugout and emergency situations?
>
> Thanks
>
> TMT
>

I have an Eton FR300.

xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

All-in-one self-powered radio

eton FR300
AM/FM Radio with NOAA, TV-VHF, Flashlight and Cell-Phone Charger

This all-in-one unit offers functionality and versatility that makes it
ideal for emergencies. The FR300 provides you with radio, light, and cell
phone battery life when you need it most. The Hand-Crank Power Generator
charges the internal rechargeable Ni-MH battery pack. With the NOAA weather
channels and TV-VHF channels, you can find weather forecasts or listen to TV
shows when you're away from the set. Available in: Orange, Red, Black, Blue,
Silver

xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

I kind of like it.

Guy

1366. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 00:35:43 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <jdul32l3fhbc2n5i1ks9bnpboav9tdnuli@4ax.com>
Source record: 9296
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Mon, 10 Apr 2006 18:23:44 -0500, "Buzzygirl"
<buzzygirl@nospam.comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"Too_Many_Tools" <too_many_tools@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1144684835.409226.32320@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> A question for the group...
>>
>> What is the favored weather radio for bugout and emergency situations?
>
>My main weather radios are:
>
>1. Yaesu VX5R handheld transceiver (a basic amateur radio license required
>if you're going to use it to talk as well as listen); and
>2. Radio Shack Pro-95 scanner. This has an alert function that sounds an
>alarm if a weather emergency is spotted in my area.
>
>Jackie
>
You need an ''All Hazards'' radio to really be covered. Old style 1
kHz tone radios are obsolete.

1367. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 00:38:11 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <gjul32d73jqtbvj9350i565tnck8i22lnn@4ax.com>
Source record: 9297
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Mon, 10 Apr 2006 19:10:30 -0500, "Guy" <nospam@nospam.nospam>
wrote:

>eton FR300
http://www.weather.gov/nwr/allhazard.htm

1368. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 00:40:28 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <gnul325r1uouipj5jcrupcdg7m1vq01vd1@4ax.com>
Source record: 9298
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On 10 Apr 2006 09:00:35 -0700, "Too_Many_Tools"
<too_many_tools@yahoo.com> wrote:

>A question for the group...
>
>What is the favored weather radio for bugout and emergency situations?
>
>Thanks
>
>TMT
>
Public Alert Certified!

1369. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 01:12:54 UTC
From: Too_Many_Tools <too_many_tools@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144717974.826217.122070@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9299
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Any idea if the FR200 radio from Grundig receives NOAA weather
channels?

If so, does it do it well?

TMT

1370. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 01:58:54 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1144720734.057492.310440@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9300
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Too_Many_Tools wrote:
> A question for the group...
>
> What is the favored weather radio for bugout and emergency situations?
>
> Thanks
>
> TMT

One of the Grundig or Kaito hand crank AM/FM/Shortwave radios. I've found the local all news station to be far more up to date on forecasted weather and at least equal to radio originated tornado warnings. And the voice over radio is far more pleasant than the annoying mechanical voice of the NWS broadcast.

1371. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 02:15:26 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <k84m321kqs9qpb69s2n8hq3871p75k3qiq@4ax.com>
Source record: 9201
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On 10 Apr 2006 18:58:54 -0700, "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote:

>
>Too_Many_Tools wrote:
>> A question for the group...
>>
>> What is the favored weather radio for bugout and emergency situations?
>>
>> Thanks
>>
>> TMT
>
>One of the Grundig or Kaito hand crank AM/FM/Shortwave radios. I've
>found the local all news station to be far more up to date on
>forecasted weather and at least equal to radio originated tornado
>warnings. And the voice over radio is far more pleasant than the
>annoying mechanical voice of the NWS broadcast.
>
That's why you get a state of the art NWR radio. It makes no sound
unless there's trounble.

1372. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 02:29:09 UTC
From: Noon-Air <Noon-Air@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <4pGdnRoRea_riabZnZ2dnUVZ_tednZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9202
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"Too_Many_Tools" <too_many_tools@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1144684835.409226.32320@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>A question for the group...
>
> What is the favored weather radio for bugout and emergency situations?
>
> Thanks
>
> TMT
>
It depends on where I am at the time.... both of my trucks have HF and dual band (VHF/UHF) HAM radios, as does the house. They all work, and there is usually at least one on almost all the time. If the sky looks nasty and/or there is threatening weather forcasted(I check the Weather Channel at least twice daily), I run the dual banders on scan with the local repeaters programmed in, and turn the HF to either the 40m or 75m severe weather net frequencies.

-n6ojn

1373. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 02:30:48 UTC
From: Steve Stone <zzspfleck@citlink.netzz>
Message-ID: <sxE_f.6678$tT.3125@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 9203
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

most of the weather radio specs say something like they only guarantee the
digital SAME code to be accurately decoded 90 percent of the time.
With that in mind i would use a non SAME weather alert radio as my fall back
survival radio. The one that alarms on anything.

1374. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 03:12:47 UTC
From: Too_Many_Tools <too_many_tools@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144725167.378916.309210@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9204
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"One of the Grundig or Kaito hand crank AM/FM/Shortwave radios. I've found the local all news station to be far more up to date on forecasted weather and at least equal to radio originated tornado warnings. And the voice over radio is far more pleasant than the annoying mechanical voice of the NWS broadcast. "

I have found that the NWS broadcasts are MUCH more detailed with the information I need.

Most news stations have LOTS of talk and LITTLE information in my experience....when I turn my radio on in an emergency situation I want to hear information NOW to conserve power and time.

TMT

1375. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 06:38:45 UTC
From: Romain Salad <querydb4girl@plum4bbob32domain.com>
Message-ID: <29OdnXP7Dbpv06bZnZ2dnUVZ_u-dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9205
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Are they going to check for licences during an emergency?

1376. Need good radio: A 2m ht with extended receive.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 06:44:46 UTC
From: Romain Salad <querydb4girl@plum4bbob32domain.com>
Message-ID: <m6OdnRVrhfLHzabZRVn-gQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 9206
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

What is your advice.

1377. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 06:45:27 UTC
From: Romain Salad <querydb4girl@plum4bbob32domain.com>
Message-ID: <NI2dnUUwsMMZzabZnZ2dnUVZ_sCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9207
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

A radio that can no longer be purchased? How does this information help
anyone?

1378. Yaesu VX-6R good ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 06:49:05 UTC
From: Romain Salad <querydb4girl@plum4bbob32domain.com>
Message-ID: <OqOdnVBKI73AzKbZnZ2dnUVZ_vqdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9208

http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/ht/0666.html

1379. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 09:33:34 UTC
From: James Douglas <james.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <6JadnbtQiPZQ6qbZRVn-jg@comcast.com>
Source record: 9209
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Romain Salad wrote:
> A radio that can no longer be purchased? How does this information help
> anyone?
>
>
>
Maybe finding it a a goodwill store, ebay, trashcan near his house.

1380. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 11:51:11 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144756271.636188.280970@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9210
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > Slow Code wrote:
>
> > > hardly the question is about part 15 fmm broadcasting the ability of
> > > the tranmiting antenna to receive is irelavant to the solotuion that us
> > > fact
> >
> > As if a no code tech would know anything about antennas.
>
> why not I am important <you are nothing and always will be NOTHING>

Because you are what should've been aborted, retard.
```

1381. Re: scanning encrypted digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 12:06:24 UTC
From: Matt <pissoff@spammers.suck.com>
Message-ID: <e1g8k9avm1@otis.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 9212

"mikeFNB" <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn> wrote in message
news:GIb_f.15386$NN4.284@newsfe7-win.ntli.net...
> opps hit send too soon....
>
> Any Digital scanner which is capable of working on the
> APCO 25 hybrid system will do
>
> though you will need some info on the system channel setup as you need to
> detect which is the control channel
> but it is not hard
>
> mike uk

Research to find out if the system is definitely encrypted or not. If the signal is encrypted you will still hear a signal but will not be able to hear the conversation as there isno way for a normal scanner (capable of digital or not) to decrypt comms that have been encrypted. If the system is NOT encrypted and uses APCO 25 then there are several scanners that are capable of listening to the comms.

Matt

1382. Re: Marky admits he has a receding hairline!...ok fine yes I do<--- BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 12:31:46 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144758706.196023.278610@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9211
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

assraped by an old freind wrote:
> marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old freind wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > > > get help
> > >
> > Nice admission, chrome-dome!
>
> I am glad that like the fact Dave car is yellow this fact amuses you

You amuse us, Markie, you are the newsgroup's fool. Nice admission you
are losing your hair, too.

> it proves however that (wait for it)

It proves that we can go to his webpage and see pictures of his yellow
ragged out shitbox.

1383. Markie continues to spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 12:57:55 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144760275.897912.73570@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
an old freind wrote:
> not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com wrote:
> > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old freind wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > assraped by an old freid wrote:
> > > > > > > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > assraped by an_old_friend and he deserved it wrote:
> > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > an old freid wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > an old child molester wrote:
>
> > Lies and stupidity amount to 100% of yours, stupid. Keep guessing.
>
> no need to guess Wismen

You might as well, you keep getting it wrong as to who I am, Morkie.

> get help

Quit spamming.
```

1384. Re: Need good radio: A 2m ht with extended receive.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 13:13:28 UTC
From: Travis Jordan <no.one@no.net>
Message-ID: <WXN_f.138873$fd3.113576@fe06.news.easynews.com>
Source record: 9214
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Romain Salad wrote:
> What is your advice.

What do you mean by 'extended receive'?

What kind of receiver detectors do you need? AM, FM, FM-N, SSB, CW?

How much output power?

1385. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 13:39:03 UTC
From: DesignGuy <dontbother@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <XjO_f.889768$x96.670939@attbi_s72>
Source record: 9215
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"Romain Salad" <querydb4girl@plum4bbob32domain.com> wrote in message
news:29OdnXP7Dbpv06bZnZ2dnUVZ_u-dnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Are they going to check for licences during an emergency?

It's long been the rule that during a life-or-death emergency that any
person can use any frequency, any mode of transmission on any radio.
Transmitting for anything less than a life-or-death emergency is not legal.

1386. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 14:33:14 UTC
From: me@privacy.net
Message-ID: <lgfn32h4rna4941g3fdprbl4fflru78dan@4ax.com>
Source record: 9216
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote:

>One of the Grundig or Kaito hand crank AM/FM/Shortwave radios. I've
>found the local all news station to be far more up to date on
>forecasted weather and at least equal to radio originated tornado
>warnings. And the voice over radio is far more pleasant than the
>annoying mechanical voice of the NWS broadcast.

But Id like a weather radio that will wake me up form a
dead sleep at night

1387. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 14:46:29 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <t4gn325cs85cvplu4tvmutuijj73e7065t@4ax.com>
Source record: 9217
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Tue, 11 Apr 2006 09:33:14 -0500, me@privacy.net wrote:

>"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote:
>
>>One of the Grundig or Kaito hand crank AM/FM/Shortwave radios. I've
>>found the local all news station to be far more up to date on
>>forecasted weather and at least equal to radio originated tornado
>>warnings. And the voice over radio is far more pleasant than the
>>annoying mechanical voice of the NWS broadcast.
>
>But Id like a weather radio that will wake me up form a
>dead sleep at night
If it has ''Public Alert'' logo it'll probably wake you up.

http://www.ce.org/aboutcea/ceainitiatives/viewInitiativesOverview.asp?name=302&title=Public%20Alert%20Special%20Interest%20Group

1388. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 18:19:19 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1144779559.904791.219030@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9218
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old freind wrote:
> > not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > assraped by an old freid wrote:
> > > > > > > > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > assraped by an_old_friend and he deserved it wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > an old freid wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > an old child molester wrote:

> You might as well, you keep getting it wrong as to who I am, Morkie.

everyone knows who you are expect possible you
get help
```

1389. Re: Need good radio: A 2m ht with extended receive.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 18:20:16 UTC
From: Ken <ktm@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ArS_f.6749$tT.3337@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 9219
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"Travis Jordan" <no.one@no.net> wrote in message
news:WXN_f.138873$fd3.113576@fe06.news.easynews.com...
> Romain Salad wrote:
> > What is your advice.
>
> What do you mean by 'extended receive'?

I think most of them do 137-174ish Mhz.
The icom T2H sport is reasonable, works very well, & has ext Rx.

1390. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 18:23:29 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1144779809.144151.233070@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9220
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > > peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > Slow Code wrote:

> > why not I am important <you are nothing and always will be NOTHING>
afraid to face it wismen
```

1391. Wismen takes fist stepp admits he has multiple personalities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 18:35:37 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1144780537.117456.289850@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9221
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > > > > get help
> > >
> > > > Nice admission, chrome-dome!
> >
> > I am glad that like the fact Dave car is yellow this fact amuses you
>
> You amuse us, Markie, you are the newsgroup's fool. Nice admission you
> are losing your hair, too.
"US Wismen go get help no do it now while still can.
```

1392. Re: Need good radio: A 2m ht with extended receive.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 19:00:09 UTC
From: Travis Jordan <no.one@no.net>
Message-ID: <Z0T_f.61239$Rh6.5344@fe12.news.easynews.com>
Source record: 9222
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Ken wrote:
> I think most of them do 137-174ish Mhz.

When I think of extended receive I think of a radio I can use to listen to AM on the aircraft bands at a minimum. For others it might mean the ability to listen to FM broadcasting or SSB on 20meters (a la the Kenwood TH-F6A and others).

1393. Re: Yaesu VX-6R good ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 19:11:38 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <3qvn32hug4v0ju7lcjndimbfmqq13o3rh5@4ax.com>
Source record: 9223

On Tue, 11 Apr 2006 00:49:05 -0600, "Romain Salad"
<querydb4girl@plum4bbob32domain.com> wrote:

I have a couple of VX-5Rs, and I have no complaints. The 6 should be
a good radio.

1394. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 19:14:03 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <duvn32ldj7k0m0pl7u7cqbcau5bmituppr@4ax.com>
Source record: 9224
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Tue, 11 Apr 2006 00:38:45 -0600, "Romain Salad"
<querydb4girl@plum4bbob32domain.com> wrote:

>Are they going to check for licences during an emergency?

1) Quote a little of what you're referring to.

2) During an emergency (safety of human life), licenses don't matter,
as a matter of law.

1395. Re: Need good radio: A 2m ht with extended receive.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 20:51:57 UTC
From: Harbin <harbinjr@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <e7OdnXkHo8d0i6HZnZ2dnUVZ_uWdnZ2d@adelphia.com>
Source record: 9225
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

My IC-T7H will get down to the aircraft bands.

--
SeeYaa:) Harbin Osteen KG6URO

This is YOUR future:
http://halturnershow.com/aztlan_caps.wmv

-
"Travis Jordan" <no.one@no.net> wrote in message
news:Z0T_f.61239$Rh6.5344@fe12.news.easynews.com...
> Ken wrote:
>> I think most of them do 137-174ish Mhz.
>
> When I think of extended receive I think of a radio I can use to listen
> to AM on the aircraft bands at a minimum. For others it might mean the
> ability to listen to FM broadcasting or SSB on 20meters (a la the
> Kenwood TH-F6A and others).
>
>

1396. Re: Need good radio: A 2m ht with extended receive.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 20:54:53 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <7s5o32tljdm5rfndnl6e1urgep6cq672pj@4ax.com>
Source record: 9226
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Tue, 11 Apr 2006 13:13:28 GMT, "Travis Jordan" <no.one@no.net>
wrote:

>Romain Salad wrote:
>> What is your advice.

>What do you mean by 'extended receive'?

That means receive above and below 2 meters.

1397. Re: Need good radio: A 2m ht with extended receive.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 22:23:15 UTC
From: Travis Jordan <no.one@no.net>
Message-ID: <m%V_f.66590$ot5.3173@fe09.news.easynews.com>
Source record: 9227
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Al Klein wrote:
> That means receive above and below 2 meters.

How far? What type of modulation?

1398. Re: Need good radio: A 2m ht with extended receive.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 22:32:47 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1144794767.494889.275410@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9228
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Romain Salad wrote:
> What is your advice.

I assume you saw my reply to the "which WX radio" thread.

Any of the new ht's will have extended receive. Some even have
receivers that go all the way down to the broadcast band.

www.universal-radio.com

1399. Re: Need good radio: A 2m ht with extended receive.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-11 23:57:41 UTC
From: jpolaski@rgs.uci.edu
Message-ID: <1144799861.058371.66910@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9229
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Romain Salad wrote:
> What is your advice.

The best site I found for reviews is (by far) http://www.eham.net/.
Lot's of good quality reviews... A while ago I ended up getting a
Kenwood TH-F6 tri-bander based on the reviews. A little expensive, but
it seems like a good radio, but I really can't compare. It has a load
of features, that's for sure. I thought it would be good to have some
sort of alternate commmunication if there is an earthquake or some
other sort of disaster.

I tried a CB first, but there is *no one* using CB around here. I
thought there would be at least a few truckers or something.

I don't have a license or anything, so I've just used it to listen. I
should get a license and join up with one of the emergency
communications clubs or something, but never seem to get around to it.

Depending on your plans, you might want to get a scanner, instead.

1400. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-12 00:45:39 UTC
From: canopeily@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144802738.981127.286040@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9230
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

http://www2.oregonscientific.com/shop/product.asp?cid=2&scid=6&pid=241

I have this . Got it from Marlboro for killing myself. (survivalism of the finest).

Not a bad set on the cheap.

Thomas

1401. Re: Need good radio: A 2m ht with extended receive.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-12 01:20:19 UTC
From: Gunner <gunner@lightspeed.net>
Message-ID: <8blo325k7qqc2g5hopopp0f9rlmt49q1ho@4ax.com>
Source record: 9231
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On 11 Apr 2006 16:57:41 -0700, jpolaski@rgs.uci.edu wrote:

>Romain Salad wrote:
>> What is your advice.
>
>The best site I found for reviews is (by far) http://www.eham.net/.
>Lot's of good quality reviews... A while ago I ended up getting a
>Kenwood TH-F6 tri-bander based on the reviews. A little expensive, but
>it seems like a good radio, but I really can't compare. It has a load
>of features, that's for sure. I thought it would be good to have some
>sort of alternate commmunication if there is an earthquake or some
>other sort of disaster.
>
>I tried a CB first, but there is *no one* using CB around here. I
>thought there would be at least a few truckers or something.
>
>I don't have a license or anything, so I've just used it to listen. I
>should get a license and join up with one of the emergency
>communications clubs or something, but never seem to get around to it.
>
>Depending on your plans, you might want to get a scanner, instead.

North and south freeways in So Cal use Chan 15, east and west use Chan
17 or 19 for CB

North of Burbank..its 15 to hiway 46..changes to 17

Hiway 14 to Palmdale Lancaster..the commuters use 12

I use mine just about every day in your AO

Gunner

"Pax Americana is a philosophy. Hardly an empire.
Making sure other people play nice and dont kill each other (and us)
off in job lots is hardly empire building, particularly when you give
them self determination under "play nice" rules.

Think of it as having your older brother knock the shit out of you
for torturing the cat." Gunner

1402. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-12 02:07:20 UTC
From: Offbreed <Offbreed_106@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1144807640.435891.284690@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9232
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Too_Many_Tools wrote:
> A question for the group...
>
> What is the favored weather radio for bugout and emergency situations?

I have a couple of cheapies out of Radio Shack, and my marine band hand
held. Forgot the brand at the moment.

1403. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-12 02:31:53 UTC
From: running dogg <run@ning.dog>
Message-ID: <ve2dnfuFGdQB-6HZnZ2dnUVZ_sadnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9233
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

John S. wrote:

>
> Too_Many_Tools wrote:
> > A question for the group...
> >
> > What is the favored weather radio for bugout and emergency situations?
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> > TMT
>
> One of the Grundig or Kaito hand crank AM/FM/Shortwave radios. I've
> found the local all news station to be far more up to date on
> forecasted weather and at least equal to radio originated tornado
> warnings. And the voice over radio is far more pleasant than the
> annoying mechanical voice of the NWS broadcast.
>

Too bad that Tecsun (Grundig) won't put a weather band on one of their crank radios. I'd think that if you live in a place where you need split second weather warnings (like Tornado Alley) that a dedicated weather radio would be better. I have a Midland WR-100 that can supposedly run on batteries, but I'd think that it would eat them quite vigorously. I rarely use it anyway, because California just doesn't have the kind of nasty weather that requires anything more than the nightly TV news weather report. California has earthquakes and floods and fires, but since those aren't directly weather related a weather radio does little to help. I keep a cheap Rat Shack AM/FM portable by my bed for most stuff, and a Degen 1102 ready to evacuate with if I have to. Most of the hurricane evacuees didn't have portable radios at the ready, and that caused a lot of frustration. If you're evacuated and stuck in a HS gym or community center with a lot of other people, having a working portable radio could make you the one eyed man in the land of the blind.

1404. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-12 05:18:58 UTC
From: Gunner <gunner@lightspeed.net>
Message-ID: <bb3p32lu84ad25f533j9or5m47015a3mog@4ax.com>
Source record: 9234
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On 11 Apr 2006 19:07:20 -0700, "Offbreed" <Offbreed_106@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>Too_Many_Tools wrote:
>> A question for the group...
>>
>> What is the favored weather radio for bugout and emergency situations?
>
>I have a couple of cheapies out of Radio Shack, and my marine band hand
>held. Forgot the brand at the moment.

Huimmm..I was browsing through my Secret Stash of Stuff..and found an
old but minty Bendix radio..has AM, IRRC FM, and Marine band, with the
direction finding loop on the top. A commercial piece, elderly of
course, but it works just hunky dory.

Anyone got any interest in it? Trade for?

Gunner

"Pax Americana is a philosophy. Hardly an empire.
Making sure other people play nice and dont kill each other (and us)
off in job lots is hardly empire building, particularly when you give
them self determination under "play nice" rules.

Think of it as having your older brother knock the shit out of you
for torturing the cat." Gunner

1405. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-12 05:20:53 UTC
From: Gunner <gunner@lightspeed.net>
Message-ID: <1g3p32l6hgen5tqbt4rabuhglm570n5f37@4ax.com>
Source record: 9235
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Tue, 11 Apr 2006 19:31:53 -0700, running dogg <run@ning.dog> wrote:

>John S. wrote:
>
>>
>> Too_Many_Tools wrote:
>> > A question for the group...
>> >
>> > What is the favored weather radio for bugout and emergency situations?
>> >
>> > Thanks
>> >
>> > TMT
>>
>> One of the Grundig or Kaito hand crank AM/FM/Shortwave radios. I've
>> found the local all news station to be far more up to date on
>> forecasted weather and at least equal to radio originated tornado
>> warnings. And the voice over radio is far more pleasant than the
>> annoying mechanical voice of the NWS broadcast.
>>
>
>Too bad that Tecsun (Grundig) won't put a weather band on one of their
>crank radios. I'd think that if you live in a place where you need split
>second weather warnings (like Tornado Alley) that a dedicated weather
>radio would be better. I have a Midland WR-100 that can supposedly run
>on batteries, but I'd think that it would eat them quite vigorously. I
>rarely use it anyway, because California just doesn't have the kind of
>nasty weather that requires anything more than the nightly TV news
>weather report. California has earthquakes and floods and fires, but
>since those aren't directly weather related a weather radio does little
>to help. I keep a cheap Rat Shack AM/FM portable by my bed for most
>stuff, and a Degen 1102 ready to evacuate with if I have to. Most of the
>hurricane evacuees didn't have portable radios at the ready, and that
>caused a lot of frustration. If you're evacuated and stuck in a HS gym
>or community center with a lot of other people, having a working
>portable radio could make you the one eyed man in the land of the blind.

Being stuck there will also make you helpless, disarmed and at the
tender mercies of the ...barummm..Authorities...barummm

Not necessarily a good thing.

Gunner

"Pax Americana is a philosophy. Hardly an empire.
Making sure other people play nice and dont kill each other (and us)
off in job lots is hardly empire building, particularly when you give
them self determination under "play nice" rules.

Think of it as having your older brother knock the shit out of you
for torturing the cat." Gunner

1406. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-12 11:52:55 UTC
From: pyotr filipivich <phamp@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <gcqp3297mb6hg8ni93v8j8gt380bndbonu@4ax.com>
Source record: 9236
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Okay, so I'm late and catching up, but Gunner <gunner@lightspeed.net>
wrote on Wed, 12 Apr 2006 05:18:58 GMT in misc.survivalism :
>On 11 Apr 2006 19:07:20 -0700, "Offbreed" <Offbreed_106@hotmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>
>>Too_Many_Tools wrote:
>>> A question for the group...
>>>
>>> What is the favored weather radio for bugout and emergency situations?
>>
>>I have a couple of cheapies out of Radio Shack, and my marine band hand
>>held. Forgot the brand at the moment.
>
>
>Huimmm..I was browsing through my Secret Stash of Stuff..and found an
>old but minty Bendix radio..has AM, IRRC FM, and Marine band, with the
>direction finding loop on the top. A commercial piece, elderly of
>course, but it works just hunky dory.
>
>Anyone got any interest in it? Trade for?

Well, I got a Cougar bland one of those. Still with the instruction
booklet - which is all in Japanese.

Hmmm, now what I need to do is find me a Horizontal Japanese dictionary
& language tutor.

cheers
pyotr

--
pyotr filipivich
"MTV may talk about lighting fires and killing children,
but Janet Reno actually does something about it." --Spy Magazine

1407. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-12 12:17:42 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <7urp32lc1m75edi0tfsr94vk4cq11gdhtf@4ax.com>
Source record: 9237
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Tue, 11 Apr 2006 19:31:53 -0700, running dogg <run@ning.dog> wrote:

>John S. wrote:
>

>
>Too bad that Tecsun (Grundig) won't put a weather band on one of their
>crank radios. I'd think that if you live in a place where you need split
>second weather warnings (like Tornado Alley) that a dedicated weather
>radio would be better. I have a Midland WR-100 that can supposedly run
>on batteries, but I'd think that it would eat them quite vigorously. I
>rarely use it anyway, because California just doesn't have the kind of
>nasty weather that requires anything more than the nightly TV news
>weather report. California has earthquakes and floods and fires, but
>since those aren't directly weather related a weather radio does little
>to help. I keep a cheap Rat Shack AM/FM portable by my bed for most
>stuff, and a Degen 1102 ready to evacuate with if I have to. Most of the
>hurricane evacuees didn't have portable radios at the ready, and that
>caused a lot of frustration. If you're evacuated and stuck in a HS gym
>or community center with a lot of other people, having a working
>portable radio could make you the one eyed man in the land of the blind.
>
Flash Floods, Wildfires, Tsunamis?

1408. Retard Marky still has his head permanently up his faggot ass, he must be using it as a cork

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-12 12:27:06 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144844826.584848.287060@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9238
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

assraped by an old friend wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:
> marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > > get help
> > > > >
> > > > > Nice admission, chrome-dome!
> > >
> > > I am glad that like the fact Dave car is yellow this fact amuses you
> >
> > You amuse us, Markie, you are the newsgroup's fool. Nice admission you
> > are losing your hair, too.
> "US Wismen

Poor Markie, he can't parse correct English. But he can sure think
about Roger Wiseman 24/7.
Are you using your head up your ass as a cork to keep the man-fluid
enclosed, or what?

>go get help no do it now while still can.
^^

Markie, you are in dire need of help more than anybody.

1409. Re: Need good radio: A 2m ht with extended receive.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-12 12:27:58 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <7hsp32l2qgierjvbogavoia9fq17qinf4u@4ax.com>
Source record: 9239
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Tue, 11 Apr 2006 22:23:15 GMT, "Travis Jordan" <no.one@no.net>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> That means receive above and below 2 meters.

>How far? What type of modulation?

Most manufacturers give you the same thing. Why are you asking? Look
at the current specs.

1410. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-12 12:41:00 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144845660.225671.187660@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9240
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
sodomized by an old freind wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > Slow Code wrote:
>
> > > why not I am important <you are nothing and always will be NOTHING>
> afraid to face it wismen

Afraid to admit nobody here is Wiseman, dumbass?
```

1411. Re: Need good radio: A 2m ht with extended receive.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-12 12:41:26 UTC
From: Travis Jordan <no.one@no.net>
Message-ID: <Vz6%f.76371$ot5.20998@fe09.news.easynews.com>
Source record: 9241
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Al Klein wrote:
> Most manufacturers give you the same thing. Why are you asking? Look
> at the current specs.

I'm asking because the OP said they wanted a 2m HT with extended receive, and in order to help him/her I wanted to know what they meant by "extended receive". There is a significant difference between a receiver that covers 144-174 Mhz (NB FM only) and one that covers from the AM broadcast band to 1.2Ghz.

However, since the OP apparently treats Usenet as a write-only medium I guess we won't be able to help them.

1412. Quit Spamming, Morkie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-12 12:54:48 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1144846487.947180.314530@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9242
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

an old friend wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old freind wrote:
> > > not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > assraped by an old freid wrote:
> > > > > > > > > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > assraped by an_old_friend and he deserved it wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > an old freid wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old child molester wrote:
>
> > You might as well, you keep getting it wrong as to who I am, Morkie.
>
> everyone knows who you are expect possible you

Everyone guesses wrong because they assume I'm Roger Wiseman, shitwit.

1413. Re: Yaesu VX-6R good ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-12 13:21:06 UTC
From: JSF <jsf@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <BOSdnTEnPoBVY6HZRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 9243

I have a very good vx-1 and looking to get the vx-7 version, but the vx-6 is also good.

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message news:3qvn32hug4v0ju7lcjndimbfmqq13o3rh5@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 11 Apr 2006 00:49:05 -0600, "Romain Salad"
> <querydb4girl@plum4bbob32domain.com> wrote:
>
> I have a couple of VX-5Rs, and I have no complaints. The 6 should be
> a good radio.

1414. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-12 13:48:41 UTC
From: Vito <vito@crosslink.net>
Message-ID: <443d0549$0$21028@dingus.crosslink.net>
Source record: 9244
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"Romain Salad" <querydb4girl@plum4bbob32domain.com> wrote
> Are they going to check for licences during an emergency?
>
Yes and no. SWMBO and I have worked any number of emergency nets. Normally, participants ID and are called by call sign so, in those cases, yes. OTOH we would certainly respond to anyone in a true emergency where there was a valid threat to life or even property.

1415. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-12 16:43:01 UTC
From: Slow Code <em@il.here>
Message-ID: <p6a%f.1710$An2.1329@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 9245
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"an old freind" <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1144712653.120770.110270@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:1144613256.030863.11810@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
>
>>
>> You should really try to stay out of the threads where you haven't got
>> a clue about the subject everyone is talking about. Basically for you,
>> that would mean any thread related to radio.
> try taking your own advice
>> Happy to Help.
>>
>> SC

I'm sorry, that was mean of me to say. You can do something about your
spelling. Go back and finish up the fourth grade, if you try really hard
and work at it, in eight years you can get that high school diploma you
always wanted.

SC

1416. Uniden BC 796 with all in the box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-12 17:35:51 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <bjeq321fjhjvasipuaie6uflr8f159hu9u@4ax.com>
Source record: 9246

I have a Uniden BC 796 with all in the box , still has plastic over face. Might take a trade on something , must be close to a 246T or similiar and some cash.\

tommyboys_news@verizon.net

1417. Re: Need good radio: A 2m ht with extended receive.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-12 19:04:27 UTC
From: jpolaski@rgs.uci.edu
Message-ID: <1144868667.315096.26690@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9247
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

I wonder if mine's defective, then. Or maybe it's not set up correctly.
I really should read the instructions...

I've tried channels 1--40, and *rarely* hear anything. I heard one
conversation, once, several months ago. Last weekend I was in Exiter,
by Bakersfield, and got nothing, again. 1--40 were all dead as far as I
could tell.

1418. Re: Need good radio: A 2m ht with extended receive.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-12 22:52:23 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1144882343.740346.284650@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9248
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Travis Jordan wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > Most manufacturers give you the same thing. Why are you asking? Look
> > at the current specs.
>
> I'm asking because the OP said they wanted a 2m HT with extended
> receive, and in order to help him/her I wanted to know what they meant
> by "extended receive". There is a significant difference between a
> receiver that covers 144-174 Mhz (NB FM only) and one that covers from
> the AM broadcast band to 1.2Ghz.
>
> However, since the OP apparently treats Usenet as a write-only medium I
> guess we won't be able to help them.

He's replied to Bart Baily on the 11th.

1419. Re: Need good radio: A 2m ht with extended receive.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-13 00:12:39 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <bo5r32darv1g9kv72dhddd5klo8hlmfjcj@4ax.com>
Source record: 9249
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On 12 Apr 2006 12:04:27 -0700, jpolaski@rgs.uci.edu wrote:

>I wonder if mine's defective, then. Or maybe it's not set up correctly.
>I really should read the instructions...

>I've tried channels 1--40, and *rarely* hear anything. I heard one
>conversation, once, several months ago. Last weekend I was in Exiter,
>by Bakersfield, and got nothing, again. 1--40 were all dead as far as I
>could tell.

Since the range on CB, without hanging a lot of metal in the sky, is
only a few miles, what's going on even in the next town may not have
anything to do with where you are.

On the east coast, I can drive hundreds of miles on I-95 (the
equivalent of I-5 here) and hear nothing on CB unless the traffic jams
up. Then they come out of the woodwork.

1420. Triband is better than dual band, right?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-13 03:12:29 UTC
From: Romain Salad <querydb4girl@plum4bbob32domain.com>
Message-ID: <9aKdnckjHJ4DXKDZnZ2dnUVZ_vqdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9250

[No readable article body recovered]

1421. Yaseu VX-2R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-13 03:28:55 UTC
From: Romain Salad <querydb4girl@plum4bbob32domain.com>
Message-ID: <1uqdnWN2Lf_qWKDZnZ2dnUVZ_u-dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 9301

I thought that 7r would be better than 2r -

what's the deal here?

1422. Re: Triband is better than dual band, right?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-13 04:04:51 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <idjr329id6kedsff14i3ovi0i5b948f4s8@4ax.com>
Source record: 9302

On Wed, 12 Apr 2006 21:12:29 -0600, "Romain Salad"
<querydb4girl@plum4bbob32domain.com> wrote:

Depends. If the 2 bands are 2 and 440 and the third band is 220 (and
there's no 220 activity in your area), not really.

1423. WTB Probe 7.0 Scanning Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-13 04:34:12 UTC
From: will buck <willfull_buck@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144902852.760497.67470@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9303

Looking to buy Probe 7.0 for my Os456. Tried to purchased thur Datafile Inc, but with no luck.

Please contact me directly at willfull_buck@yahoo.com

Thanks..

1424. Re: Yaseu VX-2R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-13 06:25:31 UTC
From: Casper Milquetoast <CasperMilquetoast@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <XNWdnfDp77BCc6DZRVn-qg@comcast.com>
Source record: 9304

The deal is you're an idiot who doesn't know that "better" is subjective &
depends on the the objective for the products being compared.

"Romain Salad" <querydb4girl@plum4bbob32domain.com> wrote in message
news:1uqdnWN2Lf_qWKDZnZ2dnUVZ_u-dnZ2d@comcast.com...
>I thought that 7r would be better than 2r -
>
> what's the deal here?
>

1425. Re: Pro-2006 with full Optoscan 456 Owner with Newbe Qustions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-13 06:55:21 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <5ssr32pfvcjhre01kv7uaog8jrb62ikn2f@4ax.com>
Source record: 9305

Probe 7.0 is GREAT.....running it as we speak.....but my opinion
forget XP , get an get around a 150 - 300 mhz laptop , runs fantastic
with Win 98 and ME , I've used both 98 on a Gateway 2000 laptop and ME
with an IBM 390E with flying colors....LOVE PROBE , set it up with
your sound card for the alarms and all , WELL WORTH IT....The speed I
suggested I know they are old but Probe works beautiful around that
neighborhood....I have ran Probe with XP (Both 2006/Full 456 and 2042/
Full 0535) but you have to change things like speed and decoding
seconds and all that or it will miss way more than I think you want to
deal with......if you must try XP , advice .....get DIRECT I/O , your
gonna need it.....oh and Probe is "logging heaven"...big plus in my
book , decodes ACCURATELY also.......good luck.

On 5 Apr 2006 21:35:47 -0700, "will buck" <willfull_buck@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Just recently purchased a Pro-2006 with the full Optoscan 456
>installed. I've owned many scanners in the past, AR8000, Yupi MVT-7100,
>but this is my first PC controlled radio.
>
>Qustion #1: Whats the best overall software? I'm looking for
>Import/Export functions, time stamped remote recording direct to hard
>drive. Looking at Probe V7, but will it work with Windows XP? Can I
>download a trial version of Probe? Is there any other, more modern
>software out there?
>
>Question#2: What kind of digital signals can I receive? This Pro-2006
>has a discriminator output. Will I need a converter? I would love to
>decode some satellite transmissions.
>
>Question #3: What's the best overall antenna? So far I'm leaning toward
>a Diamond Discone.
>
>I would appreciate any helpful info.
>
>73's John in San Antonio TX..

1426. Re: Need good radio: A 2m ht with extended receive.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-13 10:03:28 UTC
From: Gunner <gunner@lightspeed.net>
Message-ID: <8b8s32tf4vd5th22vg16gfqjuru6bjlb4h@4ax.com>
Source record: 9306
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On 12 Apr 2006 12:04:27 -0700, jpolaski@rgs.uci.edu wrote:

>I wonder if mine's defective, then. Or maybe it's not set up correctly.
>I really should read the instructions...
>
>I've tried channels 1--40, and *rarely* hear anything. I heard one
>conversation, once, several months ago. Last weekend I was in Exiter,
>by Bakersfield, and got nothing, again. 1--40 were all dead as far as I
>could tell.

When you come up to the homestead next time..Ill check your rig out.

Gunner

1427. Thomas & Betts UV Resistant Tie Wraps: Any Experiences With For Out Of Door Usage ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-13 13:21:46 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <n_OdnYmeqav-zaPZRVn-jg@comcast.com>
Source record: 9307

Hi,

Was wondering if anyone has any experience using the "Premium" Thomas &
Betts, Black, "UV-Resistant"
nylon tie wraps out of doors ?

e.g., do they become brittle after a fer years due to very cold temps, or UV
?

Or, do they really hold up well, etc. ?

Thanks,
Bob

1428. Re: Pro-2006 with full Optoscan 456 Owner with Newbe Qustions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-13 14:47:49 UTC
From: will buck <willfull_buck@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144939669.556670.57880@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9308

I've heard very good things about PROBE. Tried to buy it from
DataFilesInc, but no response. If u what to sell me your copy, give me
a shout at

willfull_buck@yahoo.com

Thanks for the info..

1429. Re: Pro-2006 with full Optoscan 456 Owner with Newbe Qustions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-13 14:51:06 UTC
From: will buck <willfull_buck@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1144939866.689033.82010@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9309

I've heard very good things about PROBE. Tried to buy it from
DataFilesInc, but no response. If u what to sell me your copy, give me
a shout at

willfull_buck@yahoo.com

Thanks for the info..

1430. Re: Need good radio: A 2m ht with extended receive.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-13 16:38:44 UTC
From: jpolaski@rgs.uci.edu
Message-ID: <1144946324.061547.265330@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9310
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

I'd like that. Now that I think about it more, the weather channels all work fine, it's just that I very rarely pick up any conversations on chanels 1--40. So I'm assuming it receives fine. It would be good to try it out with another CB and see if I can pick them from the same room.

1431. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-13 18:24:50 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1144952690.180353.110140@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9311
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:

> > > You amuse us, Markie, you are the newsgroup's fool. Nice admission you
> > > are losing your hair, too.
> > "US Wismen
>
> Poor Markie, he can't parse correct English. But he can sure think
> about Roger Wiseman 24/7.
wrong again I only thinki about you when I am typing a response and
occasionaly relating your latest insane raving to someone

get help

1432. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-13 18:31:20 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1144953080.434213.6450@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9312
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:

> > everyone knows who you are expect possible you
>
> Everyone guesses wrong because they assume I'm Roger Wiseman, shitwit.

nope becuase you all but come out and admit yourr Wisemen
get help
```

1433. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-13 20:03:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1144958593.614918.97120@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9313
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> "an old freind" <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1144712653.120770.110270@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com:
>
> Go back and finish up the fourth grade, if you try really hard
> and work at it, in eight years you can get that high school diploma you
> always wanted.

you need to learn what bothers a guy before you can taunt him

BTW I do have a HS Diploma and a few from colleges as well

No diploma changes the facts my first drafts are ragged and the fact
the NG is not worth taking the time to polish for
>
> SC

1434. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-13 20:20:01 UTC
From: Noah Little <me2@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <e1mbfi$3ml$7@n4vu2.n4vu.com>
Source record: 9314
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

an_old_friend wrote:
> No diploma changes the facts my first drafts are ragged and the fact
> the NG is not worth taking the time to polish for

You don't need a diploma to know that while the former may be a fact,
the latter is just an opinion.

--
Noah, who thinks that polishing is a sign of respect for your readers.

1435. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-13 21:01:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1144962102.764705.13190@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9315
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Noah Little wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > No diploma changes the facts my first drafts are ragged and the fact
> > the NG is not worth taking the time to polish for
>
> You don't need a diploma to know that while the former may be a fact,
> the latter is just an opinion.

I never said otherwise

the NG is not worth the time for polish , sure it is an opion but look
at the place most of the content for year has 2 or 3 people calling
everyone retarded or alfficted with STD's or child moestors or just
plain rapist

if the NG want me to spend more time polishing it need to merit that
treament in the judgent of those it is asking to do the work

you for example are not even willing to sign you name to your stuff

1436. Re: Pro-2006 with full Optoscan 456 Owner with Newbe Qustions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-14 01:47:53 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <7pvt32tgarfubohrc7c5iqbu1bos9r8uka@4ax.com>
Source record: 9316

Nope don't want to sell mine.....good luck

On 13 Apr 2006 07:47:49 -0700, "will buck" <willfull_buck@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>I've heard very good things about PROBE. Tried to buy it from
>DataFilesInc, but no response. If u what to sell me your copy, give me
>a shout at
>
>willfull_buck@yahoo.com
>
>Thanks for the info..

1437. Re: Thomas & Betts UV Resistant Tie Wraps: Any Experiences With For Out Of Door Usage ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-14 04:53:52 UTC
From: SamSez <samtheman@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <Xns97A591E846BEsamthemanverizonnet@199.45.49.11>
Source record: 9317

"Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com> wrote in
news:n_OdnYmeqav-zaPZRVn-jg@comcast.com:

> Hi,
>
> Was wondering if anyone has any experience using the "Premium" Thomas
> & Betts, Black, "UV-Resistant"
> nylon tie wraps out of doors ?
>
> e.g., do they become brittle after a fer years due to very cold temps,
> or UV ?
>
> Or, do they really hold up well, etc. ?
>
> Thanks,
> Bob
>
>
>

I have had some [the ones with the stainless locking insert -- I'm not sure if they make an all-nylon version] holding a rotor cable to a steel pipe mast for at least 8 years now with no signs of aging [eastern PA]. Brand-x white ones in similar conditions have turned quite brittle in much less time.

1438. Re: WTB Probe 7.0 Scanning Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-14 11:35:12 UTC
From: Elbert <ask@me.com>
Message-ID: <d62v32p4ukkev4f3ti7d7ftpbto2snrbl6@4ax.com>
Source record: 9318

On 12 Apr 2006 21:34:12 -0700, "will buck" <willfull_buck@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Looking to buy Probe 7.0 for my Os456. Tried to purchased thur Datafile
>Inc, but with no luck.
>
>Please contact me directly at willfull_buck@yahoo.com
>
>Thanks..

did you try an email to datafile ?

Elbert
ask@me.com

1439. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-14 12:12:06 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1145016726.067441.66500@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9319
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
assraped by an old freind, but Markie deserved it wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > assraped by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > Slow Code wrote:
>
> > > why not I am important <you are nothing and always will be NOTHING>
> afraid

Afraid to admit you are an illiterate retard without even a GED?
```

1440. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-14 12:16:03 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1145016963.603969.76310@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9320
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

assraped by an_old_friend, but Markie enjoyed every minute of being
raped wrote:
> Noah Little wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > No diploma changes the facts my first drafts are ragged and the fact
> > > the NG is not worth taking the time to polish for
> >
> > You don't need a diploma to know that while the former may be a fact,
> > the latter is just an opinion.
>
> I never said otherwise
><Markie's excuses for his lack of education flushed>

The fact is, Markie, you are an illiterate retard who is trying to lie
by saying they have dyslexia when you don't. You don't have any
diplomas, save your basketweaving certificate from "Camp-We-Can-Do."

1441. Re: Triband is better than dual band, right?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-14 12:32:02 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@gwi.net>
Message-ID: <0pidneSs0e_WC6LZnZ2dnUVZ_t6dnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 9321

any antenna's usefullness will be based upon it's intended use. If you need all three bands , and you are going to use them, then by all means, go for the triband. Just be aware that the more bands the antenna covers, the more sacrifices in performance on any one band have to be made in order for it to function well. This is inherent in basic antenna design.

"Romain Salad" <querydb4girl@plum4bbob32domain.com> wrote in message news:9aKdnckjHJ4DXKDZnZ2dnUVZ_vqdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
>
>

1442. Clueless Markie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-14 12:57:11 UTC
From: marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1145019431.711430.35630@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9322
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

assraped by an old freind wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > > everyone knows who you are expect possible you
> >
> > Everyone guesses wrong because they assume I'm Roger Wiseman, shitwit.
>
> nope becuase you all but come out and admit yourr Wisemen

No, stupid, now, get a clue, kiddie raper.

1443. Marky the illiterate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-14 13:08:32 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1145020112.755945.270540@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

assraped by an old friend wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > > > You amuse us, Markie, you are the newsgroup's fool. Nice admission you
> > > > are losing your hair, too.
> > > "US Wismen
> >
> > Poor Markie, he can't parse correct English. But he can sure think
> > about Roger Wiseman 24/7.
> wrong again

Wrong again, you are consistently wrong, however. You don't give any
thought to anything, much less to your mangled English responses.

1444. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-14 14:33:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1145025217.982285.200910@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> assraped by an old friend wrote:
> You don't give any
> thought to anything, much less to your mangled English responses.

you are almost i certainly waste little thought on you

get help

1445. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-14 14:42:55 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1145025775.056131.138760@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9325
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:

get help

1446. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-14 14:56:18 UTC
From: "kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1145026578.469281.204430@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9326
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

> Afraid to admit you are an illiterate retard without even a GED?

I would not fear to admit itifit were true or if it served my ends I
would lie and admitit

get help wisemen

1447. Re: WTB Probe 7.0 Scanning Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-14 15:26:42 UTC
From: will buck <willfull_buck@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1145028402.728773.75400@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9327

Yes, I tried email and it came back as message not sent. I could just paypal them, but begining to wonder if anyone is home. Have u had any dealings with Datafiles lately?

Thanks for the reply.

1448. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-14 16:11:25 UTC
From: Slow Code <em@il.here>
Message-ID: <NQP%f.2880$Fy2.836@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 9328
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1144958593.614918.97120@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> "an old freind" <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:1144712653.120770.110270@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> Go back and finish up the fourth grade, if you try really hard
>> and work at it, in eight years you can get that high school diploma you
>> always wanted.
>
> you need to learn what bothers a guy before you can taunt him
>
> BTW I do have a HS Diploma and a few from colleges as well
>
> No diploma changes the facts my first drafts are ragged and the fact
> the NG is not worth taking the time to polish for

First drafts? How many drafts does it take to polish up sentence or a
phrase? LOL

If you're going to say something, why not say it in a manner where what
you have to say will be understood by those that read it?

SC

1449. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-14 19:00:25 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1145041225.199330.166950@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9329
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:1144958593.614918.97120@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >
> > > Slow Code wrote:
> > >> "an old freind" <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > >> news:1144712653.120770.110270@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com:
> > >>
> > >> Go back and finish up the fourth grade, if you try really hard
> > >> and work at it, in eight years you can get that high school diploma you
> > >> always wanted.
> > > >
> > > > you need to learn what bothers a guy before you can taunt him
> > > >
> > > > BTW I do have a HS Diploma and a few from colleges as well
> > > >
> > > > No diploma changes the facts my first drafts are ragged and the fact
> > > > the NG is not worth taking the time to polish for
> >
> >
> >
> > First drafts? How many drafts does it take to polish up sentence or a
> > phrase? LOL

at least a second
>
> If you're going to say something, why not say it in a manner where what
> you have to say will be understood by those that read it?

you and the rest understand when youwant to so that mission is
acomlished

any real coments amazing how calling folks rapist is acceptable and
upstanding behavoir and not bothering to proof read the content of RRAP
"merits" flames

get a life get help
>
> SC

1450. Re: WTB Probe 7.0 Scanning Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-14 22:48:03 UTC
From: Elbert <ask@me.com>
Message-ID: <hg9042lic2eukcg9d547svaka08b2seqq8@4ax.com>
Source record: 9330

On 14 Apr 2006 08:26:42 -0700, "will buck" <willfull_buck@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Yes, I tried email and it came back as message not sent. I could just
>paypal them, but begining to wonder if anyone is home. Have u had any
>dealings with Datafiles lately?
>
>Thanks for the reply.

yes...that's why I posted the msg...

I did get a reply to an email I sent to the address listed on his web
site just the other day.

Elbert
ask@me.com

1451. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-14 23:39:56 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20060414195218.700$Ur@newsreader.com>
Source record: 9331
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code <em@il.here> wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:1144958593.614918.97120@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > > Slow Code wrote:
> > >> "an old freind" <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > >> news:1144712653.120770.110270@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com:
> > >>
> > >> Go back and finish up the fourth grade, if you try really hard
> > >> and work at it, in eight years you can get that high school diploma
> > >> you always wanted.
> > >
> > > you need to learn what bothers a guy before you can taunt him
> > >
> > > BTW I do have a HS Diploma and a few from colleges as well
> > >
> > > No diploma changes the facts my first drafts are ragged and the fact
> > > the NG is not worth taking the time to polish for
>
> First drafts?
>
That assfucker is too stoopid to use a spell check, mainly cuz -that- is
his bait. Just ignore the fucktard, and his nym shifts.

He sucks used cock.

1452. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-14 23:43:15 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20060414195537.702$V9@newsreader.com>
Source record: 9332
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> He sucks used cock.
>
Just like Roger Wiseman. hehehe

1453. Re: WTB Probe 7.0 Scanning Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-15 16:32:00 UTC
From: will buck <willfull_buck@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1145118720.295027.166470@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9333

Thats odd, Cause when I go to datafile purchase page, it won't open. I have sent 5 emails to Perry, all were sent back. Any chance you could email him for me?

willfull_buck@yahoo.com

Thanks

1454. YOUR LEADER K1MAN/AARA/IARN WILL NOT PAY $21,000 FCC FINE - DEMANDS JURY TRIAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-15 18:00:55 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1145124055.061145.118150@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9334
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

K1MAN/AARA/IARN WILL NOT PAY $21,000 FCC FINE - DEMANDS JURY TRIAL

1. The FCC has declined to provide a requested hearing, and the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution requires a hearing and due process of law before they can collect a dime much less the "ORDERED" "fine" of $21,000. Even a traffic ticket gets a hearing, right?

2. Therefore, the next step for the FCC, by statute, is to now sue K1MAN for the claimed $21,000 in Federal District Court in Bangor, Maine where K1MAN would demand a trial by jury (trial de novo), subpoena witnesses (Hollingsworth, Boston Office Engineers, hams, etc.), file motions, etc. The FCC can't even bring such a suit, of course, because the minimum claim in Federal District Court is $50,000.

3. By statute, unresolved forfeiture matters such as above cannot be used in any other FCC proceeding such as K1MAN's pending renewal application.

4. By statute, K1MAN can and will continue to operate until the renewal application is finally decided including all appeals to the D.C. Court of Appeals and the U.S. Supreme Court.

Bottom line is that the FCC is blowing phony legal smoke leading to Hollingsworth's 2006 Dayton "Special Achievement Award" which is not too bad for a guy who was sick on the day they taught law at law school. Wake Forest Law School should refund all of his hard earned money! Good luck in the contest!

73 and GL de K1MAN

Glenn A. Baxter, P.E.

1455. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN/AARA/IARN...blah blah NOBODY CARES ASSHOLE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-15 18:16:20 UTC
From: BEGONE <nospam@YAHOO.com>
Message-ID: <YLa0g.80$WH6.152725@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 9335
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

TAKE IT SOMEWHERE ELSE SHITHEAD!! NOBODY CARES!!

<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1145124055.061145.118150@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> K1MAN/AARA/IARN WILL NOT PAY $21,000 FCC FINE - DEMANDS JURY TRIAL
>
> 1. The FCC has declined to provide a requested hearing, and the Fifth
> Amendment to the United States Constitution requires a hearing and due
> process of law before they can collect a dime much less the "ORDERED"
> "fine" of $21,000. Even a traffic ticket gets a hearing, right?
>
> 2. Therefore, the next step for the FCC, by statute, is to now sue
> K1MAN for the claimed $21,000 in Federal District Court in Bangor,
> Maine where K1MAN would demand a trial by jury (trial de novo),
> subpoena witnesses (Hollingsworth, Boston Office Engineers, hams,
> etc.), file motions, etc. The FCC can't even bring such a suit, of
> course, because the minimum claim in Federal District Court is
> $50,000.
>
> 3. By statute, unresolved forfeiture matters such as above cannot be
> used in any other FCC proceeding such as K1MAN's pending renewal
> application.
>
> 4. By statute, K1MAN can and will continue to operate until the
> renewal application is finally decided including all appeals to the
> D.C. Court of Appeals and the U.S. Supreme Court.
>
> Bottom line is that the FCC is blowing phony legal smoke leading to
> Hollingsworth's 2006 Dayton "Special Achievement Award" which is not
> too bad for a guy who was sick on the day they taught law at law
> school. Wake Forest Law School should refund all of his hard earned
> money! Good luck in the contest!
>
> 73 and GL de K1MAN
>
> Glenn A. Baxter, P.E.
>

1456. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN/AARA/IARN WILL NOT PAY $21,000 FCC FINE - DEMANDS JURY TRIAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-15 19:01:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1145127684.475390.15200@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9336
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

as expected K1man will use his ffull legal means although I belive he
is in eror about the 50k limit apling to the FCC the govt is often
exempt fropm the rules they impose on others

1457. Compatitor to the Bearcat BC72xlt?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-15 21:51:58 UTC
From: Acurajustin1978@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1145137918.142322.159470@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9337

I'm looking at small portable police/emergency scanners and the Bearcat
series seem to be at the top of everyone's list.
Is there anything that competes with the Uniden Bearcat BC72xlt?
What about the 92xlt? Is there a big difference between the two?

1458. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN/AARA/IARN WILL NOT PAY $21,000 FCC FINE - DEMANDS JURY TRIAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-15 22:21:25 UTC
From: lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1145139685.823267.204960@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9338
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

As expected, you don't know what the fuck you are talking about.

1459. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-15 22:24:47 UTC
From: Slow Code <em@il.here>
Message-ID: <Poe0g.2741$An2.1552@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 9339
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1145041225.199330.166950@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com:

>
> you and the rest understand when youwant to so that mission is
> acomlished
>
> any real coments amazing how calling folks rapist is acceptable and
> upstanding behavoir and not bothering to proof read the content of RRAP
> "merits" flames

Yes, but not just there. That happens in almost every group. Normally the
target of the criticism will try to improve their typing and spelling, but
not you. You continue to post and type like a friggen retard.

SC

1460. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-15 22:33:27 UTC
From: lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1145140407.605669.189640@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9340
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Steveo Hemorphditeholic wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> He sucks used cock.
> > >
> > Just like I suck Roger Wiseman's. hehehe

Indeed, you suck cocks, Parks.

1461. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-15 23:22:16 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1145143336.921919.139940@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9341
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

an old friend wrote:
> marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> get
Get a clue, dumbass.

1462. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-16 00:51:51 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1145148711.597456.223530@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
get help

1463. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN/AARA/IARN WILL NOT PAY $21,000 FCC FINE - DEMANDS JURY TRIAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-16 01:01:18 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1145149278.253452.270490@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9343
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

as expected K1man will use his ffull legal means although I belive he
is in eror about the 50k limit apling to the FCC the govt is often
exempt fropm the rules they impose on others

1464. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-16 01:02:52 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1145149372.831154.65870@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:1145041225.199330.166950@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >
> > > you and the rest understand when youwant to so that mission is
> > > acomlished
> > >
> > > any real coments amazing how calling folks rapist is acceptable and
> > > upstanding behavoir and not bothering to proof read the content of RRAP
> > > "merits" flames
>
>
>
> Yes, but not just there. That happens in almost every group.

of course it does because folks like you are more interested in other
peoples sex lives than you should be

1465. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN/AARA/IARN WILL NOT PAY $21,000 FCC FINE - DEMANDS JURY TRIAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-16 01:15:18 UTC
From: Eagle Net Watch <Enw@eagnetwtch.net>
Message-ID: <e1s5l2$m8l$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 9345
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

"an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1145149278.253452.270490@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> as expected K1man will use his ffull legal means although I belive he
> is in eror about the 50k limit apling to the FCC the govt is often
> exempt fropm the rules they impose on others
>

The k1man legal team had already taken that under
advisement. However, the commission has made an
irrevocable error. Stay tuned.

1466. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN/AARA/IARN WILL NOT PAY $21,000 FCC FINE - DEMANDS JURY TRIAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-16 01:21:38 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1145150498.193671.70360@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9346
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

Eagle Net Watch wrote:
> "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1145149278.253452.270490@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > as expected K1man will use his ffull legal means although I belive he
> > is in eror about the 50k limit apling to the FCC the govt is often
> > exempt fropm the rules they impose on others
> >
>
>
> The k1man legal team had already taken that under
> advisement. However, the commission has made an
> irrevocable error. Stay tuned.

indeed I shall I happen to think K1MAN was unwise to provoke this fight
having done so I do hope he follows through

1467. Re: WTB Probe 7.0 Scanning Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-16 01:28:08 UTC
From: Elbert <ask@me.com>
Message-ID: <e973425b65ej6uvd6lklktgd3vmp82tent@4ax.com>
Source record: 9347

On 15 Apr 2006 09:32:00 -0700, "will buck" <willfull_buck@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Thats odd, Cause when I go to datafile purchase page, it won't open. I
>have sent 5 emails to Perry, all were sent back. Any chance you could
>email him for me?
>
>willfull_buck@yahoo.com
>
>Thanks

i sent an email with the address you gave above...

I used the email address on his home page previously, so I don't know
why he might not have responded to your inquiry before

Elbert
ask@me.com

1468. Re: WTB Probe 7.0 Scanning Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-16 05:37:15 UTC
From: will buck <willfull_buck@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1145165835.141919.168130@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9348

Elbert wrote:
> On 15 Apr 2006 09:32:00 -0700, "will buck" <willfull_buck@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Thats odd, Cause when I go to datafile purchase page, it won't open. I
> >have sent 5 emails to Perry, all were sent back. Any chance you could
> >email him for me?
> >
> >willfull_buck@yahoo.com
> >
> >Thanks
>
> i sent an email with the address you gave above...
>
> I used the email address on his home page previously, so I don't know
> why he might not have responded to your inquiry before
> -----------
> Elbert
> ask@me.com

What email adress did u sent it to? ie What is datafileinc.com email
adress?

Thanks John..

1469. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN/AARA/IARN WILL NOT PAY $21,000 FCC FINE - DEMANDS JURY TRIAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-16 15:18:32 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1145200712.352402.278930@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9349
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> Eagle Net Watch wrote:
> > "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1145149278.253452.270490@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > as expected K1man will use his ffull legal means although I belive he
> > > is in eror about the 50k limit apling to the FCC the govt is often
> > > exempt fropm the rules they impose on others
> > >
> >
> >
> > The k1man legal team had already taken that under
> > advisement. However, the commission has made an
> > irrevocable error. Stay tuned.
>
> indeed I shall I happen to think

Since when, Markie? You've yet to have a sober, coherent thought in
your entire life.

1470. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-16 15:28:27 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1145201307.028275.59080@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9350
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

assfucked an old freind wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
> > news:1145041225.199330.166950@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com:
> >
> > >
> > > you and the rest understand when youwant to so that mission is
> > > acomlished
> > >
> > > any real coments amazing how calling folks rapist is acceptable and
> > > upstanding behavoir and not bothering to proof read the content of RRAP
> > > "merits" flames
> >
> >
> >
> > Yes, but not just there. That happens in almost every group.
>
> of course it does because folks like you are more interested in other
> peoples sex lives than you should be

Quit whining about your perverse sexual preferences being ridiculed,
faggot Markie.

1471. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-16 15:54:59 UTC
From: marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1145202898.975840.98760@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

sodomized by an old friend, but Markie enjoyed it wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> get
Get some for yourself.

1472. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN/AARA/IARN WILL NOT PAY $21,000 FCC FINE - DEMANDS JURY TRIAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-16 18:02:33 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1145210553.307142.48260@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9152
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> > Eagle Net Watch wrote:
> > > "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1145149278.253452.270490@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > > as expected K1man will use his ffull legal means although I belive he
> > > > is in eror about the 50k limit apling to the FCC the govt is often
> > > > exempt fropm the rules they impose on others
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > > The k1man legal team had already taken that under
> > > advisement. However, the commission has made an
> > > irrevocable error. Stay tuned.
> >
> > indeed I shall I happen to thinkthat K1man (restoringtwismen vandalism
>
> Since when, Markie?

get help

1473. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-16 18:03:41 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1145210621.410423.134380@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9153
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
get help for your obsesstion with sex Wismen

1474. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-16 18:08:42 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1145210922.559046.67660@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9154
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:

> Get some for yourself.

wellHeil has given me a bit in dealing with you

but get help

1475. PCR Remote - Beta is Now Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-16 21:51:20 UTC
From: CrazyC <crazy__c_@hot_mail.com>
Message-ID: <A%y0g.3172$B42.3094@dukeread05>
Source record: 9155

PCR Remote - Beta is Now Available for downloading from the homepage:
http://members.cox.net/5150soft/PcrRemote/PcrRemote.html

The Server and Remote software allows you to control your Icom PCR-100,
PCR-1000 and PCR-1500 over the internet.
Using Audio Brodcast software such as SHOUTcast, Pirate Radio Broadcaster or
Windows Media Encoder will enable you to hear your radio from a remote
location over the internet...

Regards,
Jay

1476. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-16 22:07:50 UTC
From: Buck <not@this.time>
Message-ID: <bqf5429gfbhg1ig1190atrimqo1kp7isq4@4ax.com>
Source record: 9156
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On 10 Apr 2006 09:00:35 -0700, "Too_Many_Tools"
<too_many_tools@yahoo.com> wrote:

>A question for the group...
>
>What is the favored weather radio for bugout and emergency situations?
>
>Thanks
>
>TMT

IC W32A, it contains enough memory to add all the 2 meter repeaters
and common simplex frequencies, and can monitor all the weather radio
stations, and, as a bonus, operates on 70 cm as well.

Don't forget to have a copy of your amateur radio license...
Buck
--
For what it's worth.

1477. Re: Survival Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-17 00:19:26 UTC
From: Conan Ford <conanford@com.hotmail>
Message-ID: <Xns97A7BA68D35C7conanfordhotmailcom1@64.59.135.159>
Source record: 9157
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

I found it interesting that just before Hurricane Katrina (well, two days before), the Radio Shack's, in New Orleans still had lots of stock of Grundig crank radios left. Now, I know there are more essential things, but when you're sitting in a shelter for four weeks, that Weather band/AM/FM/TV-audio/Cell phone charger/LED flashlight radio might come in handy.

1478. Re: Inversion Playback?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-17 00:59:06 UTC
From: Dave <SRPro@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <c0q542938b56t0d2rij1u5ovl2d7dks81j@4ax.com>
Source record: 9158
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On 10 Apr 2006 04:11:31 -0700, alfazulu@walla.com wrote:

>I have been recording voice inversion and was wondering if there are
>any programs that I use run the .wav files through to change it to
>clear speech?
>
>Any help will be appreciated.

If you have sound forge or something with an amplitude mod
filter, you can modulate at 3000hz then filter the result
from 3000 - 20000 and that will give you back the clear
speech.

1479. Re: Inversion Playback?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-17 01:01:12 UTC
From: Dave <SRPro@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <m5q542hjijruvkjg8sg39g3bchp5ovmgh3@4ax.com>
Source record: 9159
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 16 Apr 2006 17:59:06 -0700, Dave <SRPro@nospam.com>
wrote:

>On 10 Apr 2006 04:11:31 -0700, alfazulu@walla.com wrote:
>
>>I have been recording voice inversion and was wondering if there are
>>any programs that I use run the .wav files through to change it to
>>clear speech?
>>
>>Any help will be appreciated.
>
>If you have sound forge or something with an amplitude mod
>filter, you can modulate at 3000hz then filter the result
>from 3000 - 20000 and that will give you back the clear
>speech.

Oops, I meant filter OUT 3000-20000. Keep the content that
is below 3000hz only.

1480. Re: Inversion Playback?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-17 02:26:56 UTC
From: Domestos <thatswhen@westnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <44442c00@quokka.wn.com.au>
Source record: 9177
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Check you email, I have sent a link to you re software.

John
"Dave" <SRPro@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:m5q542hjijruvkjg8sg39g3bchp5ovmgh3@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 16 Apr 2006 17:59:06 -0700, Dave <SRPro@nospam.com>
> wrote:
>
>>On 10 Apr 2006 04:11:31 -0700, alfazulu@walla.com wrote:
>>
>>>I have been recording voice inversion and was wondering if there are
>>>any programs that I use run the .wav files through to change it to
>>>clear speech?
>>>
>>>Any help will be appreciated.
>>
>>If you have sound forge or something with an amplitude mod
>>filter, you can modulate at 3000hz then filter the result
>>from 3000 - 20000 and that will give you back the clear
>>speech.
>
> Oops, I meant filter OUT 3000-20000. Keep the content that
> is below 3000hz only.

1481. Retard Mark Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-17 12:08:14 UTC
From: marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1145275694.794137.171880@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9160
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > Get some for yourself.
>
> wellHeil has given me a bit in dealing with you

HAHAHAHA He hasn't been very successful, either. But you always call on a loser, don't you, retard? Nice admission you had to whine for help, loser.

> but

But you are a retard, aren't you?

1482. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-17 12:16:16 UTC
From: marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1145276176.812645.16530@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9161
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

bunghole aka an old friend wrote:
>> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>Quit whining about your perverse sexual preferences being ridiculed,
>>faggot Markie.
> get help for your obsesstion with sex Wismen

Get help for your perverse ideas about heterosexual sex and Wiseman
obsession, fucktard.

1483. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN/AARA/IARN WILL NOT PAY $21,000 FCC FINE - DEMANDS JURY TRIAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-17 12:20:58 UTC
From: marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1145276458.094230.287240@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

assraped by an old friend, but Markie asked for it wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> > > Eagle Net Watch wrote:
> > > > "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1145149278.253452.270490@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > as expected K1man will use his ffull legal means although I belive he
> > > > > is in eror about the 50k limit apling to the FCC the govt is often
> > > > > exempt fropm the rules they impose on others
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > The k1man legal team had already taken that under
> > > > advisement. However, the commission has made an
> > > > irrevocable error. Stay tuned.
> > >
> > > indeed I shall I happen to think
> > Since when, Markie?
>
> get

I noticed you snipped out those words addressing your chronic
alcoholism, pussy.

1484. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN/AARA/IARN WILL NOT PAY $21,000 FCC FINE - DEMANDS JURY TRIAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-17 15:43:53 UTC
From: bob_deep@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1145288633.523146.29380@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9164
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

He will pay the fine or cut a deal with the FCC. Either way he *will* eventually loose his license.

> 2. Therefore, the next step for the FCC, by statute, is to now sue
> K1MAN for the claimed $21,000 in Federal District Court in Bangor,
> Maine where K1MAN would demand a trial by jury (trial de novo),
> subpoena witnesses (Hollingsworth, Boston Office Engineers, hams,
> etc.), file motions, etc. The FCC can't even bring such a suit, of
> course, because the minimum claim in Federal District Court is
> $50,000.

But they do have the legal right to collect this money or a lot of folks who have gone before would have tried this approach. It won't work. Others have paid fines to the FCC for less blatant violations of the rules. Best get a good lawyer and start collecting the money from the change jar, bank accounts, car ash tray etc. Somebody is going to have to come up with $21k. As noted by others, this 50K limit does not apply to this case, but have fun using this defence in court. I can hear it now, "Objection over-ruled! Sit down Mr Baxter!"

A jury trial would be fun to watch, but there would be no doubt about the outcome if Baxter chooses to continue to represent himself. I'm sure a jury of his peers would understand the part 97 rules and how they where broken.

> 3. By statute, unresolved forfeiture matters such as above cannot be
> used in any other FCC proceeding such as K1MAN's pending renewal
> application.

>From my perspective this does not apply in this case any more. The FO pretty much resolves this issue so the FCC *can* use this enforcement issue to deny the pending renewal application, paid or not. However, that need not be the reason used to deny the renewal. I'm sure they have other things they can use to justify a denial if they wanted to. All they need to do is dust off some different violations for which they have collected evidence and voila, application denied. The don't even need a rules violation, just a determination that he would be unfit. Now that's a tough argument.. :)

> 4. By statute, K1MAN can and will continue to operate until the
> renewal application is finally decided including all appeals to the
> D.C. Court of Appeals and the U.S. Supreme Court.

I would not try to operate after the application is denied. Once K1MAN gets the "you no longer have authority to operate" letter from the FCC, he'd best stop. Of course the FCC is well within their rights to keep the application in pending status as long as they choose. The denial may come out today, or may take years. I think it will be sooner rather than later, but I give it a few months yet before they deny the renewal. I'm sure they plan to be totally sure he's not going to pay the fine before they deny the application so any time after the end of the month.

Unless Baxter wants to just loose $21k to help fund the FCC, I would suggest that he contact them through a competent lawyer and seek some sort of settlement terms. Perhaps a life time ban from Part 97 operations, liquidation of all his part 97 capable equipment, promise to not seek a future license while the FCC forgoes the collection of the fines. But hey, it may be to late for even that. I'd bet the FCC has him dead to rights on this fine, he will loose his renewal bid, pay the fine and then who knows what he will do? I'm guessing here, but I'll bet the FCC would agree to him going willingly into part 15 operations and forget the fine under the right conditions.

Based upon is rhetoric it seems that he will be dragged kicking and screaming from his shack and off to jail, while his equipment is confiscated. One can only hope that this is rhetoric and that at some point he will "come to his senses" and give up.

1485. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN/AARA/IARN WILL NOT PAY $21,000 FCC FINE - DEMANDS JURY TRIAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-17 15:43:55 UTC
From: bob_deep@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1145288634.997523.44180@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9163
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

He will pay the fine or cut a deal with the FCC. Either way he *will* eventually loose his license.

> 2. Therefore, the next step for the FCC, by statute, is to now sue
> K1MAN for the claimed $21,000 in Federal District Court in Bangor,
> Maine where K1MAN would demand a trial by jury (trial de novo),
> subpoena witnesses (Hollingsworth, Boston Office Engineers, hams,
> etc.), file motions, etc. The FCC can't even bring such a suit, of
> course, because the minimum claim in Federal District Court is
> $50,000.

But they do have the legal right to collect this money or a lot of folks who have gone before would have tried this approach. It won't work. Others have paid fines to the FCC for less blatant violations of the rules. Best get a good lawyer and start collecting the money from the change jar, bank accounts, car ash tray etc. Somebody is going to have to come up with $21k. As noted by others, this 50K limit does not apply to this case, but have fun using this defence in court. I can hear it now, "Objection over-ruled! Sit down Mr Baxter!"

A jury trial would be fun to watch, but there would be no doubt about the outcome if Baxter chooses to continue to represent himself. I'm sure a jury of his peers would understand the part 97 rules and how they where broken.

> 3. By statute, unresolved forfeiture matters such as above cannot be
> used in any other FCC proceeding such as K1MAN's pending renewal
> application.

>From my perspective this does not apply in this case any more. The FO pretty much resolves this issue so the FCC *can* use this enforcement issue to deny the pending renewal application, paid or not. However, that need not be the reason used to deny the renewal. I'm sure they have other things they can use to justify a denial if they wanted to. All they need to do is dust off some different violations for which they have collected evidence and voila, application denied. The don't even need a rules violation, just a determination that he would be unfit. Now that's a tough argument.. :)

> 4. By statute, K1MAN can and will continue to operate until the
> renewal application is finally decided including all appeals to the
> D.C. Court of Appeals and the U.S. Supreme Court.

I would not try to operate after the application is denied. Once K1MAN gets the "you no longer have authority to operate" letter from the FCC, he'd best stop. Of course the FCC is well within their rights to keep the application in pending status as long as they choose. The denial may come out today, or may take years. I think it will be sooner rather than later, but I give it a few months yet before they deny the renewal. I'm sure they plan to be totally sure he's not going to pay the fine before they deny the application so any time after the end of the month.

Unless Baxter wants to just loose $21k to help fund the FCC, I would suggest that he contact them through a competent lawyer and seek some sort of settlement terms. Perhaps a life time ban from Part 97 operations, liquidation of all his part 97 capable equipment, promise to not seek a future license while the FCC forgoes the collection of the fines. But hey, it may be to late for even that. I'd bet the FCC has him dead to rights on this fine, he will loose his renewal bid, pay the fine and then who knows what he will do? I'm guessing here, but I'll bet the FCC would agree to him going willingly into part 15 operations and forget the fine under the right conditions.

Based upon is rhetoric it seems that he will be dragged kicking and screaming from his shack and off to jail, while his equipment is confiscated. One can only hope that this is rhetoric and that at some point he will "come to his senses" and give up.

1486. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN/AARA/IARN WILL NOT PAY $21,000 FCC FINE - DEMANDS JURY TRIAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-17 15:44:30 UTC
From: bob_deep@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1145288670.009396.23170@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9165
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

He will pay the fine or cut a deal with the FCC. Either way he *will* eventually loose his license.

> 2. Therefore, the next step for the FCC, by statute, is to now sue
> K1MAN for the claimed $21,000 in Federal District Court in Bangor,
> Maine where K1MAN would demand a trial by jury (trial de novo),
> subpoena witnesses (Hollingsworth, Boston Office Engineers, hams,
> etc.), file motions, etc. The FCC can't even bring such a suit, of
> course, because the minimum claim in Federal District Court is
> $50,000.

But they do have the legal right to collect this money or a lot of folks who have gone before would have tried this approach. It won't work. Others have paid fines to the FCC for less blatant violations of the rules. Best get a good lawyer and start collecting the money from the change jar, bank accounts, car ash tray etc. Somebody is going to have to come up with $21k. As noted by others, this 50K limit does not apply to this case, but have fun using this defence in court. I can hear it now, "Objection over-ruled! Sit down Mr Baxter!"

A jury trial would be fun to watch, but there would be no doubt about the outcome if Baxter chooses to continue to represent himself. I'm sure a jury of his peers would understand the part 97 rules and how they where broken.

> 3. By statute, unresolved forfeiture matters such as above cannot be
> used in any other FCC proceeding such as K1MAN's pending renewal
> application.

>From my perspective this does not apply in this case any more. The FO pretty much resolves this issue so the FCC *can* use this enforcement issue to deny the pending renewal application, paid or not. However, that need not be the reason used to deny the renewal. I'm sure they have other things they can use to justify a denial if they wanted to. All they need to do is dust off some different violations for which they have collected evidence and voila, application denied. The don't even need a rules violation, just a determination that he would be unfit. Now that's a tough argument.. :)

> 4. By statute, K1MAN can and will continue to operate until the
> renewal application is finally decided including all appeals to the
> D.C. Court of Appeals and the U.S. Supreme Court.

I would not try to operate after the application is denied. Once K1MAN gets the "you no longer have authority to operate" letter from the FCC, he'd best stop. Of course the FCC is well within their rights to keep the application in pending status as long as they choose. The denial may come out today, or may take years. I think it will be sooner rather than later, but I give it a few months yet before they deny the renewal. I'm sure they plan to be totally sure he's not going to pay the fine before they deny the application so any time after the end of the month.

Unless Baxter wants to just loose $21k to help fund the FCC, I would suggest that he contact them through a competent lawyer and seek some sort of settlement terms. Perhaps a life time ban from Part 97 operations, liquidation of all his part 97 capable equipment, promise to not seek a future license while the FCC forgoes the collection of the fines. But hey, it may be to late for even that. I'd bet the FCC has him dead to rights on this fine, he will loose his renewal bid, pay the fine and then who knows what he will do? I'm guessing here, but I'll bet the FCC would agree to him going willingly into part 15 operations and forget the fine under the right conditions.

Based upon is rhetoric it seems that he will be dragged kicking and screaming from his shack and off to jail, while his equipment is confiscated. One can only hope that this is rhetoric and that at some point he will "come to his senses" and give up.

1487. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN/AARA/IARN WILL NOT PAY $21,000 FCC FINE - DEMANDS JURY TRIAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-17 16:40:32 UTC
From: Eagle Net Watch <Enw@eagnetwtch.net>
Message-ID: <e20g7g$2qn$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 9166
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

<bob_deep@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1145288633.523146.29380@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> He will pay the fine or cut ///////SNIP///////

TOTAL BULLSHIT!

<PLONK>

1488. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN/AARA/IARN WILL NOT PAY $21,000 FCC FINE - DEMANDS JURY TRIAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-17 16:41:08 UTC
From: Eagle Net Watch <Enw@eagnetwtch.net>
Message-ID: <e20g8j$2r0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 9167
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

<bob_deep@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1145288634.997523.44180@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com...
> He will pay the fine or cut /////SNIP//////

TOTAL BULLSHIT!

<PLONK>

1489. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN/AARA/IARN WILL NOT PAY $21,000 FCC FINE - DEMANDS JURY TRIAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-17 16:41:58 UTC
From: Eagle Net Watch <Enw@eagnetwtch.net>
Message-ID: <e20ga8$32g$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 9168
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

<bob_deep@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1145288670.009396.23170@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> He will pay the fine or cut
//////SNIP//////

TOTAL BULLSHIT!

<PLONK>

1490. bob_deep = KC4UAI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-17 16:54:08 UTC
From: bob_shallow <bshallow@nutzjerkf.net>
Message-ID: <e20h10$5su$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 9169
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

bob_deep = KC4UAI

1491. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-17 17:28:51 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1145294931.176631.147690@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9170
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
get help

1492. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-17 17:29:04 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1145294944.760090.57030@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
get help

1493. Re: bob_deep = KC4UAI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-17 22:20:57 UTC
From: bob_deep@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1145312457.902928.128330@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Been using google again? (Smile)

1494. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN/AARA/IARN WILL NOT PAY $21,000 FCC FINE - DEMANDS JURY TRIAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-17 22:26:36 UTC
From: bob_deep@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1145312796.794169.261950@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

And how would you justify that position?

Care to have a thinking debate or are you going to continue to post flames?

Seems to me that a Forfeiture Order is sort of final from the FCC's perspective. Pay up or face the DOJ in court when they attempt to collect the fine.

An you don't think that the FCC will simply renew his license do you?

1495. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN/AARA/IARN WILL NOT PAY $21,000 FCC FINE - DEMANDS JURY TRIAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-17 22:37:09 UTC
From: Fruit <fruit@fruittyhoo.org>
Message-ID: <e21542$qh4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 9174
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

<bob_deep@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1145312796.794169.261950@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> And how would you justify //////FLUSHED/////

must suck being you, since all you do is whine & cry
about k1man

1496. Re: bob_deep = KC4UAI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-17 22:38:29 UTC
From: Fruit <fruit@fruittyhoo.org>
Message-ID: <e2156iqpr0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 9175
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

<bob_deep@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1145312457.902928.128330@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Been using google again? (Smile)
>

been crying & whining about k1man again?

1497. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN/AARA/IARN WILL NOT PAY $21,000 FCC FINE - DEMANDS JURY TRIAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-17 23:01:36 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1145314896.666262.251300@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9176
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote:
> And how would you justify that position?
>
> Care to have a thinking debate or are you going to continue to post
> flames?
>
> Seems to me that a Forfeiture Order is sort of final from the FCC's
> perspective. Pay up or face the DOJ in court when they attempt to
> collect the fine.
the FCC would like to thinkso
>
> An you don't think that the FCC will simply renew his license do you?
that is what I would advise themto dosince they are not likely to
collect the money without spending 10 times as much

OTOH the FCC may brazen this out

1498. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN/AARA/IARN WILL NOT PAY $21,000 FCC FINE - DEMANDS JURY TRIAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-18 00:15:55 UTC
From: bob_shallow <fruit@fruittyhoo.org>
Message-ID: <e21at9jat0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 9178
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

CB sucks. Boy am I glad I got my ham license.

1499. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN/AARA/IARN WILL NOT PAY $21,000 FCC FINE - DEMANDS JURY TRIAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-18 00:16:37 UTC
From: bob_shallow <fruit@fruittyhoo.org>
Message-ID: <e21aujjic0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 9179
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

CB sucks. Boy am I glad I got my ham license.

1500. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN/AARA/IARN WILL NOT PAY $21,000 FCC FINE - DEMANDS JURY TRIAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-18 01:10:35 UTC
From: East Bound & down <eb@elednudb.net>
Message-ID: <e21e3o$1b4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 9180
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

pot licker base-4488 has always broadcasted flea market
on the upper side of 27660. The hams are the only fruits
whoever get themselves worked up over nothing.

10-4 back door

1501. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN/AARA/IARN WILL NOT PAY $21,000 FCC FINE - DEMANDS JURY TRIAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-18 01:13:29 UTC
From: Pot Licker Base <eb@elednudb.net>
Message-ID: <e21e95$1vv$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 9181
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Hams sure are weird, always think they are better than
us CBers.

Pot Licker Base

1502. Re: Inversion Playback?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-18 03:25:33 UTC
From: Dave <SRPro@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <9pm842tk3vm0lkunid4c6kab7fvi5mj58m@4ax.com>
Source record: 9182
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 17 Apr 2006 10:26:56 +0800, "Domestos"
<thatswhen@westnet.com.au> wrote:

>Check you email, I have sent a link to you re software.

If this is regarding my software then you can send an email
via my web site. If not then you probably meant to email
someone else.

I use nospam.com as a domain to spoof spambots. If you sent
to that address then it'll never reach ME.

1503. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-18 06:23:06 UTC
From: Billy Smith <asgjpwehtiwatewhetw@astopwiehtopewhtoiewth.com>
Message-ID: <eB%0g.6345$sq5.4911@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 9183
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:

> Steveo Hemorphditeholic wrote:
>
>>Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>>He sucks used cock.
>>>
>>Just like I suck Roger Wiseman's. hehehe
>
>
> Indeed, you suck cocks, Parks.
>

Woger knows all about goo gobbling. The guy gets it nightly behind the
Lavender Lads bus

1504. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-18 11:59:55 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1145361595.571470.321340@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9184
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

assrapewd by an old freind, but Markie encouraged it wrote:
> > get

Markie's admission that he thinks heterosexual sex is perverted and his admission of his obsession with Wiseman, noted.

1505. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-18 12:01:36 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1145361696.346969.299870@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9185
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Billy "hot for Roger's cum" Smith wrote:
> lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > Steveo Hemorphditeholic wrote:
> >
> >>Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>>>He sucks used cock.
> >>>
> >>Just like I suck Roger Wiseman's. hehehe
> > >
> > >
> > > Indeed, you suck cocks, Parks.
> > >
>
> Woger knows all about goo gobbling. The guy gets it nightly from me.

Lisping cocksucker Davies aka "Billy Smith" admits he's a 32 year old
virgin because he's a faggot.

1506. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-18 16:19:41 UTC
From: an old freind <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1145377181.527392.199270@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9186
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> assrapewd by an old freind, but Markie encouraged it wrote:
get help

1507. FA: Uniden BC796D Digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-18 17:26:26 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <r88a4298f7vahti9m75n3dkc8jlqvv7gcm@4ax.com>
Source record: 9187

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=9714670733

Thanks for ! LQQKING !

1508. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-19 01:14:47 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20060418212757.470$kO@newsreader.com>
Source record: 9188
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Billy Smith <asgjpwehtiwatewhetw@astopwiehtopewhtoiewth.com> wrote:
> lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > Steveo Hemorphditeholic wrote:
> >
> >>Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>>>He sucks used cock.
> >>>
> >>Just like I suck Roger Wiseman's. hehehe
> >>
> >>
> > Indeed, you suck cocks, Parks.
> >
>
> Woger knows all about goo gobbling. The guy gets it nightly behind the
> Lavender Lads bus
>
I'm ignoring him.

He's gonna fuck around and get a window full of me if he keeps begging for
it.

He's only a bit over two hours away and I was born in the state he lives
in.

I just knock and start snapping pics..... as I extend my hand in
congratulations.

1509. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-19 03:32:51 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20060418234602.514$cq@newsreader.com>
Source record: 9190
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
"Dr.Death" <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20060418212757.470$kO@newsreader.com...
> > Billy Smith <asgjpwehtiwatewhetw@astopwiehtopewhtoiewth.com> wrote:
> >> lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >> > Steveo Hemorphditeholic wrote:
> >> >
> >> >>Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >>>>He sucks used cock.
> >> >>>
> >> >>>Just like I suck Roger Wiseman's. hehehe
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Indeed, you suck cocks, Parks.
> >> >
> >>
> >> Woger knows all about goo gobbling. The guy gets it nightly behind the
> >> Lavender Lads bus
> >>
> > I'm ignoring him.
> >
> > He's gonna fuck around and get a window full of me if he keeps begging
> > for it.
> >
> > He's only a bit over two hours away and I was born in the state he
> > lives in.
> >
> > I just knock and start snapping pics...... as I extend my hand in
> > congratulations.
>
> Watch out? He may have a BB gun.
>
We will see.
```

1510. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-19 03:35:57 UTC
From: "Dr.Death" <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <124bc0u812gvff0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 9189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20060418212757.470$kO@newsreader.com...
> Billy Smith <asgjpwehtiwatewhetw@astopwiehtopewhtoiewth.com> wrote:
>> lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> > Steveo Hemorphditeholic wrote:
>> >
>> >>Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>>He sucks used cock.
>> >>>
>> >>Just like I suck Roger Wiseman's. hehehe
>> >
>> >
>> > Indeed, you suck cocks, Parks.
>> >
>>
>> Woger knows all about goo gobbling. The guy gets it nightly behind the
>> Lavender Lads bus
>>
> I'm ignoring him.
>
> He's gonna fuck around and get a window full of me if he keeps begging for
> it.
>
> He's only a bit over two hours away and I was born in the state he lives
> in.
>
> I just knock and start snapping pics..... as I extend my hand in
> congratulations.

Watch out? He may have a BB gun.

1511. Alpha Tags

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-19 04:04:12 UTC
From: JSF <jsf@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <wKednRzmmowiK9jZRVn-rw@comcast.com>
Source record: 9191

What scanner will Alpha tag a conventional frequency besides Trucking channels?

1512. USS Eisenhower Training Exercise Comms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-19 06:34:07 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1145428447.872027.119770@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9192

USS Eisenhower COMPTUEX Roster and Freq Listing 06-04-18

The following listing contains what I have heard from USS Eisenhower aircraft for the past couple of days. I have incorporated designations and information that Mike Comer provided in his logs to try to get a complete listing-to-date that we can work from. I also heard other USN aircraft during this period, which I have NOT included here, because I cannot be sure that they were related to the USS Eisenhower COMPTUEX. I might add them later.
You will notice the callsign "Singer" listed for the VAQ-140 EA-6Bs, in addition to "Stinger 02.". The VAQ-140 pilots were very clearly saying "Singer" and not "Stinger." Also, the VAQ-140 aircraft have been logged before as using the "Singer" callsign. I will continue to monitor both callsigns carefully, but for now I am sticking with "Singer" when used, and "Stinger" when used.

USS Eisenhower 06-04-17_18

120.950 Sealord
225.350 Pinecastle Impact Range
229.975 Tiger, acft control
233.750 Button 12, Tiger
238.275 Tiger, Stinger
244.275 Ram Tac, Air-air
254.525 India Papa w Tiger, MisReps
256.825 Tiger, acft ctrl
263.525 Tiger?
267.175 Air-air
267.500 Sealord South Primary
273.850 Dragon
277.800 Fleet Common
279.350 Mentioned
284.500 Sealord North Primary
285.025 Unid
289.200 Pinecastle Range
291.075 Strike
292.200 Avon Park Bombing Range: Singer 501
292.550 Air-air
296.425 Tiger re intermittent radar ctct.
296.875 India Zulu: Tiger w Red Talon
307.975 Unid
310.075 Red Crown: Tiger 10, Raid 91, 95
314.175 India Romeo, MisReps
316.275 Unid
322.275 Marshal

323.650 Dog Tac
326.325 AR
343.025 air-air
373.750 Tiger; Cougar air-air
374.375 air-air

BUTTONS
Button 03:
Button 05: 296.875 INDIA ZULU
Button 07: 314.175?
Button 08: 254.525 INDIA PAPA
Button 09:
Button 12: 233.750
Button 15: CCA
Button 17: CCA
Red: 289.2
Yellow:

Callsigns
Bones 15, 47
Cougar
Dart
Dog 27, 31
Dragon
Gamma Ray (FAC @ Pinecastle?)
India Papa
India Romeo
India Zulu
Raid 95
Ram 21, 53, 55, 57
Red Lion 6##
Red Talon 711
Scout 231, 703
Singer 501 (EA-6B VAQ-140)
Stinger 02
Tiger 10, 602 (E-2C)
Topcat 20, 7##, 703
Victory 22

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KTTS)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

1513. Re: Alpha Tags

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-19 12:30:02 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <s9bc42pgaj59a05491q2rt4cioif6gr6v5@4ax.com>
Source record: 9193

On Tue, 18 Apr 2006 23:04:12 -0500, "JSF" <jsf@yahoo.net> wrote:

> What scanner will Alpha tag a conventional frequency besides Trucking
>channels?

Just about all the current ones.

1514. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-19 12:42:47 UTC
From: marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1145450565.904269.97290@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9194
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Steveo wrote:
> I'm ignoring him.

SPANK!

>
> He's gonna fuck around and get a window full of me if he keeps begging for
> it.

All you will do is whine, hermorphaditeholic Parks.

> He's only a bit over two hours away and I was born in the state he lives
> in.

Yeah, ol' Steve Farts was shit out in an outhouse down there in
Williamson, West Vagina!

> I just knock and start snapping pics..... as I extend my hand in
> congratulations.

Then you just get your fucking head blown clean off.

1515. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-19 13:08:15 UTC
From: marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1145450376.933140.80600@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9195
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > assrapewd by an old freind, but Markie encouraged it wrote:
> get

Get a clue, idiot.
```

1516. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-19 13:29:07 UTC
From: marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1145450629.356547.101830@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9196
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
Steveo wrote:
> "Dr.Death" <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote:
> > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:20060418212757.470$kO@newsreader.com...
> > > Billy Smith <asgjpwehtiwatewhetw@astopwiehtopewhtoiewth.com> wrote:
> > >> lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >>
> > >> > Steveo Hemorphditeholic wrote:
> > >> >
> > >> >Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > >> >>
> > >> >>>>He sucks used cock.
> > >> >>>
> > >> >>Just like I suck Roger Wiseman's. hehehe
> > >> >
> > >> >
> > >> > Indeed, you suck cocks, Parks.
> > >> >
> > >>
> > >> Woger knows all about goo gobbling. The guy gets it nightly behind the
> > >> Lavender Lads bus
> > >>
> > > I'm ignoring him.
> > >
> > > He's gonna fuck around and get a window full of me if he keeps begging
> > > for it.
> > >
> > > He's only a bit over two hours away and I was born in the state he
> > > lives in.
> > >
> > > I just knock and start snapping pics..... as I extend my hand in
> > > congratulations.
> >
> > Watch out? He may have a BB gun.
> >
> We will see.

Mheh. Doubtful, you fat pissant.
```

1517. Re: Alpha Tags

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-19 16:00:32 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1145462435.553402@yasure.drizzle.com>
Source record: 9197

"JSF" <jsf@yahoo.net> wrote in message
news:wKednRzmmowiK9jZRVn-rw@comcast.com...
> What scanner will Alpha tag a conventional frequency besides Trucking
> channels?
>
>
>
>
>

This is something that has been around for a while. I doubt you could buy a
new one that did not offer this. Are you looking at used, like on E-bay?
--
"Everything in excess! To enjoy the flavor of life, take big bites.
Moderation is for monks."
[Lazarus Long]

1518. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-19 16:36:45 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1145464605.117055.320860@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9198
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> Steveo wrote:

> > I just knock and start snapping pics..... as I extend my hand in
> > congratulations.
>
> Then you just get your fucking head blown clean off.
get help

1519. New member

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-20 03:11:58 UTC
From: "lino0876@gmail.com" <lino0876@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1145502718.679632.181540@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9199

Hi all my name is Lino morales, and I've been into scanners since 1994.
I currently own a BC 80 XLT. Hope to meet some new scanner inthusiasts
here. Take care and God bless.

1520. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-20 03:31:23 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <fgD1g.4312$Lm5.3137@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 9200
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1145464605.117055.320860@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
>> Steveo wrote:
>
>> > I just knock and start snapping pics..... as I extend my hand in
>> > congratulations.
>>
>> Then you just get your fucking head blown clean off.
> get help

Again, Mark I ask that you please stop changing your screen
nic to by pass newsgroup filters. As you can see it is clearly against
your TOS:

6.1 PROHIBITED CONDUCT.
You agree not to use the Service as follows: (a) for any unlawful, improper
or criminal purpose or activity; (b) to post or transmit information or
communications that, whether explicitly stated, implied, or suggested
through use of symbols, are libelous, defamatory, invasive of another person's
privacy, obscene, indecent, pornographic, sadistic, cruel, or racist in
content, or of a sexually explicit or graphic nature; or which espouses,
promotes or incites bigotry, hatred or racism; or which might be legally
actionable for any reason; (c) hurts minors in any way; (d) forge headers or
otherwise manipulate identifiers in order to disguise the origin of any
content transmitted through the Service; (e) intentionally or
unintentionally violate any applicable local, provincial, state, national or
international law, including, but not limited to, rules, orders and
regulations having the force of law; (f) to attempt to access or access the
accounts of others, to spoof or attempt to spoof the URL or DNS address, or
to attempt to penetrate or penetrate our security measures or other
entities' systems ("hacking") whether or not the intrusion results in
corruption or loss of data; (g) to bombard individuals or newsgroups with
uninvited communications, data or information, or other similar activities,
including but not limited to "spamming", "flaming" or denial or distributed
denial of service attacks; (h) to transmit unsolicited voluminous emails
(for example, spamming) or to intercept, interfere with or redirect email
intended for third parties using the Service; (i) to introduce viruses,
worms, harmful code and/or Trojan horses on the Internet; (j) to post
information on newsgroups which is not in the topic area of the newsgroup;
(k) to interfere with another person's usage or enjoyment of the Internet or
this Service; (l) to post or transmit information or communications that are
defamatory, fraudulent, obscene or deceptive, including but not limited to

scams such as "make-money-fast" schemes or "pyramid/chain" letters; (m) to damage the name or reputation of HughesNet, DIRECTV, HUGHES, or any of their respective parents, affiliates and subsidiaries, or any third parties; (n) to transmit confidential or proprietary information, except solely at your own risk; (o) to violate our or any third party's copyright, trademark, proprietary or other intellectual property rights, including trade secret rights; (p) to generate excessive amounts (as determined in our sole discretion) of Internet traffic, or to disrupt net user groups or email use by others; (q) to engage in activities designed to or having the effect of degrading or denying Service to HughesNet users or others (including activities that compromise a server, router, circuit or software; (r) to use any name or mark of HughesNet, DIRECTV, HUGHES, or their respective parents, affiliates or subsidiaries, as a hypertext link to any Web site or in any advertising publicity or other commercial manner; (s) to use your HughesNet account for the purpose of operating a server of any type; (t) to use the Service or the Internet in a manner intended to threaten, harass, or intimidate others; (u) to cause the screen to "scroll" faster than other subscribers or users are able to type to it, or any action that has a similar disruptive effect, on or through the Service; (v) to use the Service to disrupt the normal flow of online dialogue, (w) to use the Service to violate any operating rule, policy or guideline of any other online services provider or interactive service; (x) to attempt to subvert or to aid third parties to subvert, the security of any computer facility or system connected to the Internet; (y) to impersonate any person or using a false name while using the Service; (z) to install "auto-responders," "cancel-bots" or similar automated or manual routines which generate excessive amounts of net traffic, or disrupt net user groups or email use by others; (aa) to make false or unverified complaints against any HughesNet subscriber, or otherwise abusing any of our complaint response procedures; (bb) to export software or any information in violation of US export laws; or (cc) to use the Service in contravention of the limitations of the pricing plan you have chosen

http://go.gethughesnet.com/HUGHES/Rooms/DisplayPages/LayoutInitial?Container=com.webridge.entity.Entity%5BOID%5BA88DE5C756665B4FA1951234C6C9B659%5D%5D

Click on "Subscriber agreement"

Landshark

--
Some of them are living an illusion
Bounded by the darkness of their minds,
In their eyes it's nation against nation,
With racial pride, sad hearts they hide,
Thinking only of themselves,
They shun the light,
They think they're right
Living in the empty shells.

1521. Re: A real question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-20 13:28:57 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1145539737.645291.46240@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9101
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Landshark wrote:
> "an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1145464605.117055.320860@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> Steveo wrote:
> >
> >> > I just knock and start snapping pics..... as I extend my hand in
> >> > congratulations.
> >>
> >> Then you just get your fucking head blown clean off.
> > get help
> >
> >
> Again, Mark I ask that you please stop changing your screen
> nic to by pass newsgroup filters. As you can see it is clearly against
> your TOS:

I don't
I do so in order to deal with the fact I am the one under attack mostly
by Roger Wisemen, and Steven J Robeson

DiretPC and I have discussed the matter they agree I am not in
violation of ToS by taking defensive action to deal with somebody elses
flame war

you just see some the slosh from the real site which is RRAP mostly
you don't want to read that gruop I suspect but if you can just dl the
recent title you will get an idea of what I am up against

1522. Texas Trooper Talks About Shooting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-20 14:23:51 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1145543031.401049.313570@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9102

Tyler Morning Telegraph, Wed, 19 Apr 2006 9:14 PM PDT
TROOPER TALKS ABOUT BEING SHOT IN THE LINE OF DUTY

http://www.tylerpaper.com/site/news.cfm?newsid=16505856&BRD=1994&PAG=461&dept_id=227937&rfi=6

Almost a month has passed since he was shot several times and he credits God, his family, the community and fellow law enforcement officers with his life.

1523. Re: New member

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-20 21:35:32 UTC
From: OldFazz <OldFazz@Tradin-Post.com>
Message-ID: <1145568932.875276.96130@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9103

Lino --- Also try: alt.radio.scanner --- its a great group as well...
Fazz

1524. Repair of PRO-97 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-21 16:44:56 UTC
From: "stanhanna@hotmail.com" <stanhanna@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1145637896.494295.321290@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9104

I need to find someplace where I can get a Radio Shack PRO-97 repaired. This unit is only about three months old and suffered an accident. While on vacation I shipped it in my luggage. (I was concerned about the airport security reaction to a scanner.) Although well packed in the center of the bag we encountered some severe turbulence. Something hit the volume/squelch control and bent it about 15 degrees off vertical. Although the volume and squelch movement are locked together, the unit works OK. There is no other damage showing except for the bent control. The volume/squelch unit needs to be replaced. I am reaching out for guidance because I don't have much faith in the technical competence of the local stores. Of course I expect to pay for the repairs.

Thank you,

Stan Hanna

1525. Re: Repair of PRO-97 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-21 17:18:45 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <Vt82g.1825$E12.1192@newsfe6-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 9105

where r u 1st?

TBH its not a diff job to try and fix
it's a shot to nothing
i usually get a small diameter box spanner or pot runner or even an old key
with a hole up the middle.
pull off the knob on the bent shaft.
slide it into the tool
& gently bend it straight works most times

else it's a spare part job.

mike

<stanhanna@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1145637896.494295.321290@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>I need to find someplace where I can get a Radio Shack PRO-97 repaired.
> This unit is only about three months old and suffered an accident.
> While on vacation I shipped it in my luggage. (I was concerned about
> the airport security reaction to a scanner.) Although well packed in
> the center of the bag we encountered some severe turbulence. Something
> hit the volume/squelch control and bent it about 15 degrees off
> vertical. Although the volume and squelch movement are locked
> together, the unit works OK. There is no other damage showing except
> for the bent control. The volume/squelch unit needs to be replaced. I
> am reaching out for guidance because I don't have much faith in the
> technical competence of the local stores. Of course I expect to pay
> for the repairs.
>
> Thank you,
>
> Stan Hanna
>

1526. Re: Repair of PRO-97 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-21 22:20:38 UTC
From: "stanhanna@hotmail.com" <stanhanna@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1145658038.025096.260810@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9106

Thanks for the replies. Since these controls are concentric I prefer
to have the control replaced. I will try the local RS again and see
if they will ship it out for me.

Stan Hanna

1527. Re: Repair of PRO-97 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-21 23:49:43 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <AoudnTygXocH8tTZRVn-tg@comcast.com>
Source record: 9107

If they're bent that bad, it probably cracked the main board too!

Bill Crocker

<stanhanna@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1145637896.494295.321290@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>Something hit the volume/squelch control and bent it about 15 degrees off
>vertical. Although the volume and squelch movement are locked
> together, the unit works OK. There is no other damage showing except
> for the bent control. The volume/squelch unit needs to be replaced. I
> am reaching out for guidance because I don't have much faith in the
> technical competence of the local stores. Of course I expect to pay
> for the repairs.
>
> Thank you,
>
> Stan Hanna
>

1528. Re: New member

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-22 20:10:54 UTC
From: burgessfred33@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1145736654.810232.73260@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9108

Hi Lino, welcome to the group. I have been into scanners since around
1989. I live in Calgary Alberta Canada. I really like this group, as
it is very informative.
Fred Burgess
lino0876@gmail.com wrote:
> Hi all my name is Lino morales, and I've been into scanners since 1994.
> I currently own a BC 80 XLT. Hope to meet some new scanner inthusiasts
> here. Take care and God bless.

1529. Re: New member

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-23 02:21:54 UTC
From: "M." <notvalid@email.add>
Message-ID: <axB2g.7$k4.24494@sooner.brightok.net>
Source record: 9109

What an ass!

----- Original Message -----
From: "Barry OGrady" <god_free_jones@yahoo.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Sent: Saturday, April 22, 2006 9:09 PM
Subject: Re: New member

> On 22 Apr 2006 13:10:54 -0700, burgessfred33@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>>Hi Lino, welcome to the group. I have been into scanners since around
>>1989. I live in Calgary Alberta Canada. I really like this group, as
>>it is very informative.
>
> Top posters are not welcome.
>
>>Fred Burgess
>>lino0876@gmail.com wrote:
>>> Hi all my name is Lino morales, and I've been into scanners since 1994.
>>> I currently own a BC 80 XLT. Hope to meet some new scanner inthusiasts
>>> here. Take care and God bless.
>
> What is a God and how does it work?
>
> Barry
> =====
> Home page
> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og

1530. Re: New member

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-23 03:59:40 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <noul425tu1dulfbubmf5a5od309s78u24b@4ax.com>
Source record: 9110

On Sat, 22 Apr 2006 21:21:54 -0500, "M." <notvalid@email.add> wrote:

>----- Original Message -----
>From: "Barry OGrady" <god_free_jones@yahoo.com>
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
>Sent: Saturday, April 22, 2006 9:09 PM
>Subject: Re: New member
>
>
>> On 22 Apr 2006 13:10:54 -0700, burgessfred33@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Hi Lino, welcome to the group. I have been into scanners since around
>>>1989. I live in Calgary Alberta Canada. I really like this group, as
>>>it is very informative.
>>
>> Top posters are not welcome.
>>
>>>Fred Burgess
>>>lino0876@gmail.com wrote:
>>>> Hi all my name is Lino morales, and I've been into scanners since 1994.
>>>> I currently own a BC 80 XLT. Hope to meet some new scanner inthusiasts
>>>> here. Take care and God bless.
>>
>> What is a God and how does it work?
>>
>> Barry
>> =====
>> Home page
>> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og

>What an ass!

Right. Only sheeple who think like you are intelligent. They use
good news clients, know to top post and worship the worst mass
murderer in the history of the universe. All *good* traits, of
course.

1531. YOUR TRUE GOD AND LEADER K1MAN CONDEMS K3VR AND THE BOY SCOUTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-23 23:23:08 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1145834588.274429.97180@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9111
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

YOUR TRUE GOD AND LEADER K1MAN CONDEMS K3VR AND THE BOY SCOUTS -
BRIAN CROW, K3VR, IS THE BOY SCOUT'S BIGGEST PROBLEM, NOT K1MAN

Brian admits (see below post) that he and a group of eight were on 3.890 MHz. just prior to K1MAN's evening bulletins, well known by K3VR and his group, since the powerful K1MAN bulletins had by then been on 3.890 MHz. each evening for the last 18 years or so.

Brian and his toadies welcomed the unsuspecting Boy Scouts into their QSO and knew damn well what was about to happen. They actively promoted what they knew would be radio interference. Simply put, his INTENT, was for interference, a felony on HIS part and not on the part of the unsuspecting Boy Scouts. K1MAN's INTENT was to legally disseminate information bulletins. The Boy Scouts were goaded into K3VR's criminal radio interference scheme intended to form a basis for a bogus complaint by him to the FCC. Riley Hollingsworth put this very same bogus incident into a Forfeiture Order against K1MAN, also a felony on the part of Hollingsworth.

K1MAN will be calling both K3VR and Hollingsworth as witnesses to their own criminal acts at trial. It should be interesting. Stay tuned.

Section 333:

No person shall willfully or maliciously interfere with or cause interference to any radiocommunications or any station licensed or authorized by or under this act or operated by the United States government.

Section 501:

Any person who willfully and knowingly does or causes or suffers to be done any act, matter or thing, in this Act prohibited or declared to be unlawful, or who willfully or knowingly omits or fails to do any act, matter or thing in this Act required to be done, or willfully or knowingly causes or suffers such omission or failure, shall, upon conviction thereof, be punished for such offense, for which no penalty (other than a forfeiture) is provided by this Act, by a fine of not more than $10,000 or by imprisonment for a term not exceeding two years or both.

Poster by K3VR:

As for Baxter's jamming of the Boy Scouts - There are a half million hams who don't belong to ARRL, may not read QST, or CQ, and may not

read forums on QRZ and eHam. They may never have heard of Glenn Baxter. So why would the Scouts know (or care) about Baxter's broadcasts? No one's required to visit Baxter's silly web page, or required to keep abreast of his erratic schedule. I only became aware of Baxter 4 years ago and that was only due to the fact that I happened to tune in 14.275 one day. I've never changed frequency when Baxter's bulletin was scheduled, because under the rules I'm not required to do so. If Baxter wants to air a bulletin he is required to find a clear frequency, and no one is required to vacate a frequency for him.

That's one of Baxter's classically incorrect readings of the rules. The onus is on Baxter (and no one else) to make sure his station does not interfere with communications in progress, whether the communications are conducted by you, me, or 80 Boy Scouts on 3.890 mHz during their Jamboree on the Air. The NAL and Forfeiture Order verify that fact.

Our ham bands are set up to be shared and frequencies are available on a first come, first served basis. Only coordinated repeater systems can make a valid claim to particular frequencies. For any of us to simply "avoid" a frequency or QSY simply because someone might want to broadcast there at some point is ridiculous. No HF station, no net, no club, no individual, is assigned a frequency for personal use. Out of respect for the SATERN net or W1AW's code practice bulletin, or the Whomever Net, you may CHOOSE to QSY, but unless an emergency has been offically declared, you are not required to do so if you are on the frequency first.

1532. How do I unblock all the 800MHZ on a PRO-62

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-24 00:47:14 UTC
From: Lotus <lotusnotes@pc.com>
Message-ID: <meV2g.14521$P2.7596@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 9112

How do I unblock all the 800MHZ on a Radio Shack PRO-62....any info would be great!

1533. Re: YOUR TRUE GOD AND LEADER K1MAN CONDEMS K3VR AND THE BOY SCOUTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-24 02:36:52 UTC
From: johnvonlaws@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1145846212.108099.56390@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9113
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

get a life ...

1534. Re: New member

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-24 11:41:52 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@gwi.net>
Message-ID: <ltidnX8thLQZJNHZnZ2dnUVZ_sCdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 9114

What is it with you people and simple posting at the top ? it is easier to read, since you dont have to scroll through all the drivel that has gone before. Questions:
1. was that really a rust spot on your bmw ?
2. do you think your dog might need psychiatric counseling ?
3. what about a law that allows you to execute people who dont mow their lawn in timely fashion?
4. if I stuck a dime between your butt cheeks, how many months would it take to fall out ?

"Barry OGrady" <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:pcol4299hliaqieb2u4gp6f70hnfkhsn48@4ax.com...
> On 22 Apr 2006 13:10:54 -0700, burgessfred33@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>>Hi Lino, welcome to the group. I have been into scanners since around
>>1989. I live in Calgary Alberta Canada. I really like this group, as
>>it is very informative.
>
> Top posters are not welcome.
>
>>Fred Burgess
>>lino0876@gmail.com wrote:
>>> Hi all my name is Lino morales, and I've been into scanners since 1994.
>>> I currently own a BC 80 XLT. Hope to meet some new scanner inthusiasts
>>> here. Take care and God bless.
>
> What is a God and how does it work?
>
> Barry
> =====
> Home page
> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og

1535. Re: New member

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-24 12:31:49 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <g5hp42pdleo1qeak5a7ptvs6ftad9u1k0d@4ax.com>
Source record: 9115

On Mon, 24 Apr 2006 07:41:52 -0400, "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@gwi.net>
wrote:

And it's totally disconnected from what it's responding to. Don't
have one. Nor one of those either. It was removed. Very few. See?

>What is it with you people and simple posting at the top ? it is easier to
>read, since you dont have to scroll through all the drivel that has gone
>before. Questions:
>1. was that really a rust spot on your bmw ?
>2. do you think your dog might need psychiatric counseling ?
>3. what about a law that allows you to execute people who dont mow their
>lawn in timely fashion?
>4. if I stuck a dime between your butt cheeks, how many months would it take
>to fall out ?

1536. YOUR LEADER K1MAN/IARN/AARA SPEAKS OUT ON RED LIGHT RULE COURT DECISION AGAINST THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-24 17:14:53 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1145898893.375735.44410@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9116
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

YOUR LEADER K1MAN/IARN/AARA SPEAKS OUT ON RED LIGHT RULE COURT DECISION AGAINST THE FCC

FCC IS ORDERED BY THE COURT OF APPEALS TO CHANGE ITS PROCEDURES

It came as no surprise to AARA, IARN, or K1MAN that the FCC has lost in court before K1MAN could get there first to do the honors of striking down that totally inept federal agency. Oh well! Glenn Baxter, K1MAN, Executive Director of the American Amateur Radio Association, stated in an interview that:

"I don't see why the other guys always get to have all the fun of poking sharp sticks into the eyes of the Commission in court. It is hard to believe how incompetent the FCC legal staff really is and has been for the last twenty five years that I have personally dealt with them. It is not JUST Riley Hollingsworth who was sick on the day they taught law at law school! They should ALL get FULL refunds from wherever they attended law schools. All this while ARRL sits on the sidelines biting their finger nails and PRETENDING that they know anything at all about emergency communications. Only in America!"

United States Court of AppealsFOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

Argued October 31, 2005 Decided March 27, 2006No. 05-1224Manifest

Broadcast Company, Appellantv.Federal Communications Commission,

Appellee

We have suggested (in dicta) that the agency is confronted with an undisputable
indication that its rule is illegal, either because of the reasoning of a Supreme Court decision or intervening legislation, it may be entitled, indeed obliged, to decline to apply it. American Tel. & Tel. Co. v. FCC (AT&T), 978 F.2d 727, 733 (D.C. Cir. 2004).(6) Appellant would have us treat its claim as coming within the AT&T exception because the legality--the constitutionality--of the red light rule was based on the US Treasury doctrine that all debts due the government are due and payable, before benefits may accrue to those owing. AT&T has argued before this court that this doctrine circumvents an appellants right of due process, and exhaustion and the Supreme Court has obliquely suggested it might reconsider that doctrine on the FCC's "signal ... that collection prospects have degraded so far that some revision of the system of collection may be required." FCC v. League of

Broadcast Affiliates, 432 U.S. 366, 377 n.11 (2004). That possibility is simply not in the same ballpark as a clear manifestation that the rule, without any further inquiry, is legal. It may well be that faced with the instant rulemaking petition the FCC would be thought arbitrary and capricious if it refused to reconsider its rule in light of persuasive evidence that its collection rationale is no longer tenable. See American Speech Protection Ass'n v. Fielding, 811 F.2d 1 (D.C. Cir. 2004); WHT, Inc. v. FCC, 654 F.2d 803 (D.C. Cir. 2004); Heller v. FCC, 608 F.2d 954 (D.C. Cir. 2004) (per curiam).(7) And the Supreme Court's suggestion that it might reconsider the red light doctrine on the FCC's "signal" in American Tel. & Tel. Co. imposes an implicit obligation on the Commission. Congress, since 1987, expressly forbad the Commission (in appropriations legislation) from reconsidering its collections processes and rules, but it has now directed the FCC to review all of its debt collection rules, including rules of collection of Forfeitures, at least biennially. See Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No 104-104, 202(h), 110 Stat. 56, 111-12 (1996). Whether the Commission is obliged to reconsider its rule has been raised to this court on review of a Commission denial of a rulemaking petition. Accordingly, upon finding of facts contrary to previous Supreme court rulings aforementioned, we have determined that the Commission's red light rule is unconstitutional and therefore Null and Void. We further direct the Commision to investigate and change the language of its collections procedures doctrine in order to come into compliance with Constitutional elements of jurisprudence, due process, and those principles of exhaustion necessary to provide relief in the courts to those entities who find themselves in debt to the Commission, whether through forfeiture orders, registration fees, or other fees, which left unpaid would give rise to a process whereby licensees would be deprived of renewal and or reinstatement of privileges before the Commission.

So ordered.

1537. Re: How do I unblock all the 800MHZ on a PRO-62

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-24 17:32:41 UTC
From: Slow Code <em@il.here>
Message-ID: <ZY73g.1030$Vn.506@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 9117

"Lotus" <lotusnotes@pc.com> wrote in
news:meV2g.14521$P2.7596@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com:

> How do I unblock all the 800MHZ on a Radio Shack PRO-62....any info
> would be great!

Hmmmm, Pro-62 blockage.

Try more dietary fiber.

HTH, 73,

SC

1538. Re: YOUR TRUE GOD AND LEADER K1MAN CONDEMS K3VR AND THE BOY SCOUTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-24 17:48:15 UTC
From: johnvonbleuvens porkbutt song <johnvonbleuvens@bitemeonthebutt.com>
Message-ID: <a514b$444d0f7e$d1cc7942$10336@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 9118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1145834588.274429.97180@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> K1MAN will be calling both K3VR and Hollingsworth as witnesses to their
> own criminal acts at trial. It should be interesting. Stay tuned.

YEAH RIGHT !!!!
Tell me a new story OLD MAN.

Anyone who believes that crapola would
prolly also believes that the CA DCFS wasn't paid off
when they investigated and dropped Britney Spears'
dropping-da-baby-from-the-highchair episode....

PHOOOOOT!!!!!

1539. Re: YOUR TRUE GOD AND LEADER K1MAN CONDEMS K3VR AND THE BOY SCOUTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-24 23:49:15 UTC
From: "Dr.Death" <drdeath555usb@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <124qovt6u7k5n0a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 9119
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

"johnvonbleuvens porkbutt song" <johnvonbleuvens@bitemeonthebutt.com> wrote in message news:a514b$444d0f7e$d1cc7942$10336@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...

Please remove rec.radio.cb from this thread, as it has nothing to do with 11 meters. Thank you.

1540. Re: YOUR TRUE GOD AND LEADER K1MAN CONDEMS K3VR AND THE BOY SCOUTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-25 00:54:35 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1145926475.744497.155360@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9120
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

indeed

1541. WTB: Icom IC-R20

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-25 02:32:03 UTC
From: James Braun <jsbraun@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <YRf3g.6893$kg.2937@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 9121

Looking for a Icom IC-R20.
If you have one available please forward the details (condition,
accessories, and asking price)

Thanks

1542. Re: YOUR TRUE GOD AND LEADER K1MAN CONDEMS K3VR AND THE BOY SCOUTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-25 11:43:34 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1145965414.619044.267190@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9122
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

buggered by an old freind wrote:
> indeed

Indeed, you are so stupid Markie, you thought a Subway sandwich shop
was a real subway stop.

1543. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN/IARN/AARA SPEAKS OUT ON RED LIGHT RULE COURT DECISION AGAINST THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-25 12:23:54 UTC
From: marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1145967834.866066.147210@y43g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9123
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Fuck off, Lardass!

1544. frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-25 14:28:07 UTC
From: "viniciusfo@itelefonica.com.br" <viniciusfo@ig.com.br>
Message-ID: <1145975287.682086.71310@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9124

mine icom listening in the frequencias of 450 up to 1300 mzh, certain!
necessary of that she listens of 0 mhz to 5000 mhz she would have a
radio as well as this?

1545. Re: YOUR TRUE GOD AND LEADER K1MAN CONDEMS K3VR AND THE BOY SCOUTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-25 16:06:15 UTC
From: Billy Smith <asgjpwehtiwatewhetw@astopwiehtopewhtoiewth.com>
Message-ID: <XNr3g.8964$sq5.2499@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 9125
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

> buggered by an old freind wrote:
>
>>indeed
>
>
> Indeed, you are so stupid Markie, you thought a Subway sandwich shop
> was a real subway stop.
>

Hell you thought it was a place where you could get under a desk and
have submarine races

1546. Re: YOUR LEADER K1MAN/IARN/AARA SPEAKS OUT ON RED LIGHT RULE COURT DECISION AGAINST THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-25 17:31:01 UTC
From: bob_deep@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1145986261.654161.37400@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9126
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

FCC IS ORDERED BY THE COURT OF APPEALS TO CHANGE ITS PROCEDURES

Why yes, it apparently has. However, this does not effect the current status of K1MAN's case. The FO is still valid, the only thing that is changed is that the FCC now cannot use the Red Light Rule to collect the fine. Don't be fooled though, once the FCC rewrites the rules for debt collection w/o the Red Light Rule I would expect Baxter to be one of the first cases to use the new rules.

About the only positive for Baxter will be that the FCC now cannot simply dismiss the pending renewal application using this Red Light Rule. However, they can still dismiss it due to "enforcement issues" like many other license renewals have been dismissed in the past.

Do remember that there are two processes running concurrently. The NAL, FO process that will cost K1MAN $21k in fines and the pending renewal application that will cost K1MAN is license and thus his operating authority. Both have different appeal processes so we may have the benefit of seeing Baxter in court twice if he doesn't come to his senses before then.

I suspect that this will only delay both processes slightly... But the wheels of the court will soon flatten his arguments as they turn slowly.

How long will this take? For the renewal application, shortly. For the debt collection, a bit longer.

1547. Re: YOUR TRUE GOD AND LEADER K1MAN CONDEMS K3VR AND THE BOY SCOUTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-26 04:15:54 UTC
From: "kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1146024954.909266.147330@y43g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9127
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Billy Smith wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > buggered by an old freind wrote:
> >
> >>indeed
> >
> >
> > Indeed, you are so stupid Markie, you thought a Subway sandwich shop
> > was a real subway stop.
> >
>
> Hell you thought it was a place where you could get under a desk and
> have submarine races

that is good one

1548. Re: YOUR TRUE GOD AND LEADER K1MAN CONDEMS K3VR AND THE BOY SCOUTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-26 12:54:47 UTC
From: marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1146056087.048486.35750@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9128
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Billy "lameass Llard Davies" Smith wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > buggered by an old freind wrote:
> >
> >>indeed
> >
> >
> > Indeed, you are so stupid Markie, you thought a Subway sandwich shop
> > was a real subway stop.
> >
>
> Hell you thought it was a place where you could get under a desk and
> have submarine races

How lame, Llard Davies. But you are not too bright.

BTW, your mommy thought it was a place that we could have sex in the
restroom.

1549. Re: YOUR TRUE GOD AND LEADER K1MAN CONDEMS K3VR AND THE BOY SCOUTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-26 12:57:06 UTC
From: marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1146056226.267674.194480@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9129
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com wrote:
> Billy Smith wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > > buggered by an old freind wrote:
> > >
> > >>indeed
> > >
> > >
> > > Indeed, you are so stupid Markie, you thought a Subway sandwich shop
> > > was a real subway stop.
> > >
> >
> > Hell you thought it was a place where you could get under a desk and
> > have submarine races
^^^
^^^^^^^

Lame!

> that is good one
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Only to a brain-dead moron like you, Markie.

Markie's dad is so queer he thought his son was a male blow up doll.

1550. Re: YOUR TRUE GOD AND LEADER K1MAN CONDEMS K3VR AND THE BOY SCOUTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-26 13:25:07 UTC
From: an old freid to some a nightmare to steve <kb9rqz__ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1146057907.423058.17050@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9130
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Billy Smith wrote:

> Only to a brain-dead moron like you, Markie.
>
> Markie's dad is so queer he thought his son was a male blow up doll.

get help

1551. Re: YOUR TRUE GOD AND LEADER K1MAN CONDEMS K3VR AND THE BOY SCOUTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-26 13:40:25 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1146058825.424176.288970@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9131
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

an old freid to some a nightmare to steve wrote:
> marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > Billy Smith wrote:
>
> > Only to a brain-dead moron like you, Markie.
> >
> > Markie's dad is so queer he thought his son was a male blow up doll.
>
> get help

Quit spamming.

1552. Re: YOUR TRUE GOD AND LEADER K1MAN CONDEMS K3VR AND THE BOY SCOUTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-26 16:19:28 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1146068368.761726.228660@y43g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9132
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old freid to some a nightmare to steve wrote:
> > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > Billy Smith wrote:
> > >
> > > Only to a brain-dead moron like you, Markie.
> > >
> > > Markie's dad is so queer he thought his son was a male blow up doll.
> > >
> > get help
> >
> Quit spamming.
get help yopu are delsuional wismen

1553. Re: YOUR TRUE GOD AND LEADER K1MAN CONDEMS K3VR AND THE BOY SCOUTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-27 12:02:32 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1146139352.491050.52780@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

bungholed by an old freind wrote:
> peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old freid to some a nightmare to steve wrote:
> > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > Billy Smith wrote:
> > >
> > > > Only to a brain-dead moron like you, Markie.
> > > >
> > > > Markie's dad is so queer he thought his son was a male blow up doll.
> > >
> > > get help
> >
> > Quit spamming.
> get

No, you are spamming, dumbass.

1554. Re: YOUR TRUE GOD AND LEADER K1MAN CONDEMS K3VR AND THE BOY SCOUTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-27 14:46:32 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1146149192.819953.281540@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9134
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> bungholed by an old freind wrote:
> > peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old freid to some a nightmare to steve wrote:
> > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com wrote:

> > > Quit spamming.
> > get
>
> No, you are spamming, dumbass.
get help

1555. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-27 18:38:50 UTC
From: bob_deep@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1146163130.644203.208240@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9135
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

I just wonder how you think Riley Hollingsworth will need to show up in court for this case?

I suppose he may, but why would he need bother with the K1MAN case in the first place?

As for "fact" and "Evidence" usable in court, I would beg to differ with your opinion here. By all appearances and documentation that I've seen, K1MAN is in deep trouble. Unless he has a lot of evidence to offset the claims of the FO the trial will be a slam dunk for the FCC. If Baxter has such evidence, he should have responded to the NAL with this evidence and not tried to hide it or hold it for a trial. It is a serious legal blunder to withhold evidence, unless you are claiming your 5th amendment rights.

If Baxter is claiming 5th amendment rights, yet decides to caught up the withheld information in a court as part of his defence, he's going to regret using this tactic.

This guy needs a good lawyer or the FCC's most junior staff lawyer who just passed the bar is going to run circles around him in court.

Oh, and the ARRL has nothing to do with Baxter's troubles... But you knew that.

1556. Re: THE ONE TRUE LEADER OF HAM RADIO K1MAN ISSUES WARNING TO THE FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-27 18:38:52 UTC
From: bob_deep@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1146163132.582958.39590@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9136
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

I just wonder how you think Riley Hollingsworth will need to show up in court for this case?

I suppose he may, but why would he need bother with the K1MAN case in the first place?

As for "fact" and "Evidence" usable in court, I would beg to differ with your opinion here. By all appearances and documentation that I've seen, K1MAN is in deep trouble. Unless he has a lot of evidence to offset the claims of the FO the trial will be a slam dunk for the FCC. If Baxter has such evidence, he should have responded to the NAL with this evidence and not tried to hide it or hold it for a trial. It is a serious legal blunder to withhold evidence, unless you are claiming your 5th amendment rights.

If Baxter is claiming 5th amendment rights, yet decides to caught up the withheld information in a court as part of his defence, he's going to regret using this tactic.

This guy needs a good lawyer or the FCC's most junior staff lawyer who just passed the bar is going to run circles around him in court.

Oh, and the ARRL has nothing to do with Baxter's troubles... But you knew that.

1557. Retard Marky Morgan admits he has limited thought!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-28 11:33:41 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1146224021.537126.237840@y43g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9137
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

assfucked by an old friend wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > assraped by an old friend wrote:
> > You don't give any
> > thought to anything, much less to your mangled English responses.
>
> you are almost i certainly waste little thought on you

Yes, what little thought you DO have is greatful for you saving your
meager resources like that. Your tiny brain would explode if you would
overload it. But you are still a fucking imbecile.

1558. Re: YOUR TRUE GOD AND LEADER K1MAN CONDEMS K3VR AND THE BOY SCOUTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-28 12:15:48 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1146226548.494791.163060@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9138
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

sodomized by an old freind , but Mark Morgan loved it wrote:
> peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> > bungholed by an old freind wrote:
> > > peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old freid to some a nightmare to steve wrote:
> > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > > > Quit spamming.
> > > get
> >
> > No, you are spamming, dumbass.
> get

Get to slitting your wrists, loser.

1559. Re: YOUR TRUE GOD AND LEADER K1MAN CONDEMS K3VR AND THE BOY SCOUTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-28 14:04:37 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1146233077.453479.279080@y43g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9139
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> sodomized by an old freind , but Mark Morgan loved it wrote:
> > peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > bungholed by an old freind wrote:
> > > > peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > an old freid to some a nightmare to steve wrote:
> > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > Quit spamming.
> > > > > get
> > >
> > > No, you are spamming, dumbass.
> > get
> >
> Get to slitting your wrists, loser.

why?

1560. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-28 14:13:22 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1146233602.601850.50740@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9140
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> assfucked by an old friend wrote:
> > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > assraped by an old friend wrote:
get help
```

1561. 2006 AirShow Freqs from Monitoring Times

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-28 15:39:14 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1146238754.725357.67030@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9141

http://www.monitoringtimes.com/html/mtairshow06.pdf

1562. Eavesdropping Video Receives 5 Stars Review

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-28 15:41:26 UTC
From: ctaylor@pinpoint-productions.com
Message-ID: <1146238886.693610.212460@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9142

The newspapers and journals are full of information about warrantless wiretapping and eavesdropping. What many people do not realize is that the type of eavesdropping occurring for national security purposes is vastly different than the real world of eavesdropping and wiretapping utilized for industrial espionage, law enforcement and by your local private investigator or tech-guy that has crossed the line into illegal eavesdropping.

Now, the realistic world of eavesdropping has been thoroughly exposed in the new two-hour video called "The Red Balloon". Already recognized as the best Issue Awareness Video in 2005 at the international Aurora Awards and given a 5 Stars review in the March issue of Security Management, The Red Balloon presents the government and industry statistics about industrial espionage and eavesdropping, and how big this problem really is. It also reveals the different types of eavesdropping devices used with illegal wiretapping and eavesdropping and the novel techniques utilized by both professional and amateur eavesdroppers.

If you are curious about the eavesdropping industry then you simply must see the powerful informational video presented by one of the world's most recognized experts in the field. To learn more you can go to http://www.tscmvideo.com

1563. TrunkPCR version 0.7.189 Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-29 03:40:05 UTC
From: CrazyC <crazy__c_@hot_mail.com>
Message-ID: <teB4g.16727$fG3.10029@dukeread09>
Source record: 9143

TrunkPCR version 0.7.189 Available for Downloading from homepage:
http://members.cox.net/fiftyone.50/TrunkPcr/TrunkPcr.html

April 06,2006 - -----: DTMF Now shows as Powered On when loaded.
April 06,2006 - -----: DTMF Display Background Widend.
April 18,2006 - Fixed: If a Scan Bank is Deleted, Stored Freqs now Updated
properly.
April 20,2006 - -----: BandScope can now be Clicked ONCE to change
Frequency.
April 20,2006 - -----: Chanegd how O'Scope Loads / Closes.
April 20,2006 - Fixed: Volume Knob now works when O'Scope Closed.
April 21,2006 - -----: Moto Decoder shows less false reports from framing
errors.
April 22,2006 - -----: Pausing Dump List doesn't pause Saving to File.
April 26,2006 - Fixed: Demo now allows you to Listen to Trunk
Conversations.
April 26,2006 - Fixed: Demo now allows you to Save Group/Radio ID's.
April 26,2006 - Fixed: Saving NEW ID's from Trunk ID Editor if "Save New
ID's" set to [Seen].
April 26,2006 - Fixed: Changing Group Priority in Trunk ID Editor.
April 27,2006 - Fixed: ID Counts not duplicated when Saving NEW ID's when
Heard.
April 28,2006 - -----: Changed how PC/R-1500 & PC/R 2500 Initializes.
Version 0.7.189 Released 04/28/2006

Regards,
Jay

1564. Almost time 4 the Great Liberty Net AND A BONUS TONIGHT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-30 01:57:35 UTC
From: Already Got Help <littlebittietittie@apesonline.com>
Message-ID: <cbceb$445419b4$d1cc7992$6910@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 9144
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Almost time !!! 3.976 PRE-NET??

ALSO...A SPECIAL PRE-NET GIFT TONIGHT!!

OLE "SID THE LID" - K5BLB IS HOLDIN FORT ON 3.947
LIVE AS I TYPE THIS TALKIN SHI+ ABOUT
VIC-DA-PRICK AND THE LICK-A-CROTCHIE
NET - SOME PEOPLE NEVER CHANGE EH ????

(complete with QRM'ers and talk of shootin
the nuts off dogs at 50 yards too - just like the GOOD OLE DAYS
before Hollingsworth stepped in and cleaned things up on 75)

1565. FA: Motorola Minitor pagers LB 46mhz $10.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-30 18:15:01 UTC
From: "Thanks....." <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <F875g.819$Fp5.149@trndny09>
Source record: 9145
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=9720254641

1566. Re: FA: Motorola Minitor pagers LB 46mhz $10.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-04-30 18:51:01 UTC
From: "Thanks....." <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <pG75g.823$Fp5.23@trndny09>
Source record: 9146
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

yes they are.............

1567. Re: New member

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-01 04:02:10 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <6Lf5g.1675$S6.304@edtnps82>
Source record: 9147

Zombie Wolf wrote:

> What is it with you people and simple posting at the top ? it is easier to
> read, since you dont have to scroll through all the drivel that has gone
> before.

http://www.caliburn.nl/topposting.html

1568. Realistic Pro-29

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-01 13:05:13 UTC
From: Geoff <someone@somewhere.sometime>
Message-ID: <Q5SdnTMt85_omsvZRVnyiw@bt.com>
Source record: 9148

Does anyone know where I can download a circuit diagram for a Realistic Pro-29?

Its a bit old now and the model has gaps in the frequencies and I am sure there must be someway of modifying it to give continuous coverage. I presume it depends on whether it is a hardware or a software mod.

Any help much appreciated.

Geoff.

1569. Re: How do I unblock all the 800MHZ on a PRO-62

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-02 05:14:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1146546866.723808.310110@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9149

Lotus wrote:
> How do I unblock all the 800MHZ on a Radio Shack PRO-62....any info would be great!
a better question is why do so basicaly to receive analog cell phone
call, which is ilegal and increasingly uselss as there are fewer and
fewer such signals to receive

1570. Re: New member

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-02 12:46:59 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@gwi.net>
Message-ID: <R_KdneJMRvZWycrZRVn-iA@giganews.com>
Source record: 9150

Another fuss - ass is heard from...

"m II" <none@telus.net> wrote in message news:6Lf5g.1675$S6.304@edtnps82...
> Zombie Wolf wrote:
>
>> What is it with you people and simple posting at the top ? it is easier
>> to
>> read, since you dont have to scroll through all the drivel that has gone
>> before.
>
>
>
> http://www.caliburn.nl/topposting.html

1571. USS Eisenhower comms continuing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-02 15:42:20 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1146584540.297674.44890@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9051

USS Ike COMPTUEX Addendum

After my posting of the USS Eisenhower C2X freqs and callsigns last week, the ship headed south and parked at Port Everglades to wait for the start of the Ft Lauderdale Sea-Air-Land Show there. The C2X comms quieted down for a couple of days and then picked up again on Saturday 29 April, when once again I heard USS Ike acft working Pinecastle Range and Avon Park Bombing Range, under the control of E-2C "TIGERs." This time they were also controlled by "FRONTIERs."
Here are the freqs and callsigns heard since Saturday; I will soon combine this information into the USS Eisenhower C2X listing that I previously posted.

USS Eisenhower COMPTUEX Since Saturday 29 April 2006:

CALLSIGNS
Bones 45
Cobra 02 (at Avon Park Bombing Range)
Cobra 03, 04, 05, 11, 12 (F/A-18)
COD 01, 02, 45
Dart 14
Dog 112, 17
Frontier 15, 16, 20
Hammer 11, 31, 32
Invader Jack 107
Mauler 56
Pride 61
Python 47, 51
Raid 91, 95 (KC-135)
Ram 55, 56 (F/A-18s)
Rattler 20, 21, 22, 26
Stinger 500
Tiger 15, 601 (E-2C controlling strike acft)
Texaco 15
Victory 37
Wolf 55

FREQUENCIES
233.750 MisReps
254.525 India Papa (USS Ike USS Eisenhower Strike Warfare Cmdr)
267.500 Sealord
271.400 Jax S-3 Base Ops Common (poss related)
296.875 Tiger with India Zulu (USS Ike Sea Combat Cmdr)
310.075 Red Crown

316.275 RAMs?
326.325 RAIDs, AAR

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KTTS)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

1572. Radio frequenties of farm radio's in South Alberta Canada ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-02 20:56:34 UTC
From: prairie farm <farm@no-mail.com>
Message-ID: <e38grk$pa7$1@emma.aioe.org>
Source record: 9052

Title says it all, got scanner, need numbers.
Any hints/websites? I got a tip for a Betty Bearcat manual, but I'm 35 miles from town so the net would be faster.
Thanks
Richard

1573. FS: Ramsey 800-950MHz scanner down converter (kit SCN-1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-03 01:38:54 UTC
From: Matt Carpenter <mkath@char-ter.net>
Message-ID: <VuV5g.76$R_1.51@fe05.lga>
Source record: 9053
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

A few years ago I purchased a Ramsey SCN-1 scanner converter kit, built it and have enjoyed it.

This assembled unit converts - without interruption - the 800-950MHz frequencies down to your scanner's 400-550MHz UHF band.

Just attach this between your antenna and your scanner and turn it on. Add 400MHz to your tuning dial and that's the frequency you're listening to.

The unit uses a stable crystal oscillator module for drift-free operation.

Assembled unit in a plastic hobby box enclosure. Includes the original manual.

Make offer.

replies to m-kath@-char-ter-.net and remove all hyphens!

-Matt

1574. IC-R5 software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-03 17:24:12 UTC
From: Al <agerhart2@nospam.charter.net>
Message-ID: <dH56g.159$zl1.136@fe07.lga>
Source record: 9054

Can anybody recommend some good programming software? I've got ICr5cloner, but lost the key in a disk failure, and can't seem to contact the company.

Al

1575. Re: Radio frequenties of farm radio's in South Alberta Canada ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-03 20:19:22 UTC
From: burgessfred33@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1146687562.486094.196370@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9055

You may want to access the Industry Canada TAFL database. Use Google
to search for the website, as I do not remember the full URL. There is
a book called "Alberta Frequency List", it is available at Demille
Technical Books. I live in Calgary BTW, so I do not know the
frequencies for farms in southern Alberta.
Fred Burgess.
prairie farm wrote:
> Title says it all, got scanner, need numbers.
> Any hints/websites? I got a tip for a Betty Bearcat manual, but I'm 35 miles
> from town so the net would be faster.
> Thanks
> Richard

1576. Re: Radio frequenties of farm radio's in South Alberta Canada ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-04 00:19:15 UTC
From: prairie farm <farm@no-mail.com>
Message-ID: <e3bh3ihcr1@emma.aioe.org>
Source record: 9056

And right you are, http://www.monitoringtimes.com/html/mtcanscan.html ,
thanks for the trouble, I'm good now, lets snoop the waves. :)

Thanks
Richard
<burgessfred33@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1146687562.486094.196370@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> You may want to access the Industry Canada TAFL database. Use Google
> to search for the website, as I do not remember the full URL. There is
> a book called "Alberta Frequency List", it is available at Demille
> Technical Books. I live in Calgary BTW, so I do not know the
> frequencies for farms in southern Alberta.
> Fred Burgess.
> prairie farm wrote:
>> Title says it all, got scanner, need numbers.
>> Any hints/websites? I got a tip for a Betty Bearcat manual, but I'm 35
>> miles
>> from town so the net would be faster.
>> Thanks
>> Richard
>

1577. Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-04 06:29:40 UTC
From: michael@scanvictoria.com
Message-ID: <1146724180.890105.165620@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9057
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

New site that is currently under construction, works on it have been
on-going & are being continued

For Scanner hobbyist's in Victoria-Australia.

Was originally a PHP-Nuke site but was a large request of users wanting
it to be made a "no sign up" site, so i converted to HTML.

If you would like to contact me, you can do so by emailing:
michael@scanvictoria.com
or adding me to your msn: msn@scanvictoria.com

Any submissions to the site that is received you will be credited for
on the site !!!

Willing to make as many changes to make the site users happy :-)

I would appreciate any feedback that is left!

Thanks
Michael

(sorry for X posting)

1578. Re: Almost time 4 the Great Liberty Net AND A BONUS TONIGHT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-04 15:25:04 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1146756304.537033.120270@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9058
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Already Got Help wrote:
> Almost time !!! 3.976 PRE-NET??
>
> ALSO...A SPECIAL PRE-NET GIFT TONIGHT!!
>
> OLE "SID THE LID" - K5BLB IS HOLDIN FORT ON 3.947
> LIVE AS I TYPE THIS TALKIN SHI+ ABOUT
> VIC-DA-PRICK AND THE LICK-A-CROTCHIE
> NET - SOME PEOPLE NEVER CHANGE EH ????
>
> (complete with QRM'ers and talk of shootin
> the nuts off dogs at 50 yards too - just like the GOOD OLE DAYS
> before Hollingsworth stepped in and cleaned things up on 75)

Fuck off, fatass Davies!

1579. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-04 15:54:11 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1146758051.534369.29250@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9059
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

get help

1580. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-04 16:08:48 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1146758928.276128.240250@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9060
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Already Got Help wrote:
> > Almost time !!! 3.976 PRE-NET??
> >
> > ALSO...A SPECIAL PRE-NET GIFT TONIGHT!!
> >
> > OLE "SID THE LID" - K5BLB IS HOLDIN FORT ON 3.947
> > LIVE AS I TYPE THIS TALKIN SHI+ ABOUT
> > VIC-DA-PRICK AND THE LICK-A-CROTCHIE
> > NET - SOME PEOPLE NEVER CHANGE EH ????
> >
> > (complete with QRM'ers and talk of shootin
> > the nuts off dogs at 50 yards too - just like the GOOD OLE DAYS
> > before Hollingsworth stepped in and cleaned things up on 75)
>
> Fuck off, fatass Davies!

get help

1581. Re: Realistic Pro-29

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-04 17:08:46 UTC
From: MarkyBoy <elprimogeeko@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1146762526.938617.118690@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9061

Geoff wrote:
> Does anyone know where I can download a circuit diagram for a Realistic
> Pro-29?
>
> Its a bit old now and the model has gaps in the frequencies and I am sure
> there must be someway of modifying it to give continuous coverage. I
> presume it depends on whether it is a hardware or a software mod.
>
> Any help much appreciated.
>
> Geoff.

You might try at http://www.mods.dk/ to begin with.

I found a manual and service manual in adobe acrobat format for my Pro
2006, plus many mods like the continuous coverage one you mentioned
there.

Regards
Mark

1582. Re: IC-R5 software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-05 03:00:54 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <X7idnRKgRrp2IsfZRVn-iA@comcast.com>
Source record: 9062

Bob Parnass wrote some excellent software for the Icom IC-R5, for Windows, Linux, and OS X.

http://parnass.com/tk5/

Bill Crocker

"Al" <agerhart2@nospam.charter.net> wrote in message news:dH56g.159$zl1.136@fe07.lga...
> Can anybody recommend some good programming software? I've got ICr5cloner,
> but lost the key in a disk failure, and can't seem to contact the company.
>
> Al
>
>
>

1583. Fuck off, Retard Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-05 12:35:58 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1146832558.342700.238860@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9063
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Already Got Help wrote:
> > > Almost time !!! 3.976 PRE-NET??
> > >
> > > ALSO...A SPECIAL PRE-NET GIFT TONIGHT!!
> > >
> > > OLE "SID THE LID" - K5BLB IS HOLDIN FORT ON 3.947
> > > LIVE AS I TYPE THIS TALKIN SHI+ ABOUT
> > > VIC-DA-PRICK AND THE LICK-A-CROTCHIE
> > > NET - SOME PEOPLE NEVER CHANGE EH ????
> > >
> > > (complete with QRM'ers and talk of shootin
> > > the nuts off dogs at 50 yards too - just like the GOOD OLE DAYS
> > > before Hollingsworth stepped in and cleaned things up on 75)
> >
> > Fuck off, fatass Davies!
>
> get

Fuck off, markie.
```

1584. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-05 15:11:58 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1146841918.093701.128550@y43g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9064
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > Already Got Help wrote:
> > > > Almost time !!! 3.976 PRE-NET??

> > > Fuck off, fatass Davies!
> >
> > get help
>
> Fuck off, markie.

get help you realy do need it

1585. Huge Mil exercise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-06 07:26:50 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1146900410.146213.241180@y43g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9065

Avon Park hugely busy lately

Something is definitely going on at Avon Park. . .some exercise or prep for deployment. It has been one of the busiest three-day periods I have every heard at Avon. Multiple FACs (JTACs) on the ground, and many many A-10s and F-16s; tankers too of course. They have been starting early in the morning and continuing until midnight, with no letup. Comms are wonderful: air-ground FACs, air-air, MisReps, AAR. . . the whole gamut. Seems like multiple ASOS units involved too.

I am pretty sure, but not certain that the A-10s are MI-ANG birds; the callsign and freqs suggest it, but I am still trying to confirm.

Here's your scorecard to follow the action:

ACFT
Akula F-16 (Homestead ARB)
Backy KC-135 (Seymour Johnson AFB)
Battle A-10 (MI-ANG?; RTB MacDill)
Cannon A-10 (MI-ANG?; RTB MacDill)
Chief F-15E (Seymour Johnson AFB)
First F-15 (Langley): RTB Homestead.
Hog A-10 (MI-ANG?; RTB MacDill)
Kanza KC-135 (McConnell AFB)
Mace F-16CJ (SC-ANG, McEntire ANGS)
Mako F-16 (Homestead ARB)
Shark F-16 (Homestead ARB)
Spad F-16s (RTB MacDill)
Spooky AC-130U (Hurlburt)
Sten A-10 (MI-ANG?; RTB MacDill)
Tusker A-10 (MI-ANG?; RTB MacDill)
Viper F-16

JTACs (FACs)
Bulldog
Crowbar
Gamma Ray
Iron Cross
Sabre
Stryker 22, 26
Uniform 01
Whiskey 99

Freqs:
125.125 MACE Air-air.

126.525 Miami Ctr (MacDill RTBs)
127.200 Miami Ctr.
138.050 Air-air.
138.125 MAKO Air-air.
139.800 SHARK Air-air.
140.075 Air-air.
140.200 Air-air.
141.450 Air-air.
141.900 AKULA Air-air.
142.600 Air-air.
143.625 STEN Air-air.
238.900 BACKY AARs Boom
256.300 CHIEF, SPOOKY w Battle 65 (FAC-A)
257.100 CANNONs w Crowbar (MisReps).
264.625 Avon; SPADs w Uniform 01.
273.550 Jax Ctr (CHIEFs RTB to Seymour Johnson).
278.325 IDd by SPAD 35 as "North Primary."
281.200 CANNONs & HOGs w Sabre; TUSKERs w Stryker & Bulldog; MACEs w Bulldog.
285.500 Miami Ctr (Homestead RTBs).
285.725 Avon; JTAC Iron Cross.
292.200 Avon Park Bombing Range; TUSKERs w Stryker.
293.225 Miami Ctr.
300.125 CHIEFs Air-air.
307.000 Orlando Appr (to/fr MacDill)
311.000 MacDill Lightning Ops.
317.400 Palm Beach Appr (Homestead RTBs)
319.000 Miami Ctr.
323.100 Miami Ctr.
346.250 Jax Ctr (CHIEFs RTB to Seymour Johnson).
349.000 Miami Ctr.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KTTS)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)

1586. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-06 17:09:44 UTC
From: Big Wally <wetwtwqatre@wqtoiwqtwqtuotwuwoet.com>
Message-ID: <sL47g.507$u4.358@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 9066
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:

> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>>assfucked by an old freind wrote:
>>
>>>whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>Already Got Help wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Almost time !!! 3.976 PRE-NET??
>
>
>>>>Fuck off, fatass Davies!
>>>
>>>get help
>>
>>Fuck off, markie.
>
>
> get help you realy do need it
>

He really needs to up his meds, he is running a bit short on Prozac.

1587. Re: How do I unblock all the 800MHZ on a PRO-62

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-06 21:44:13 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <vPSdnZUvXechhcDZ4p2dnA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 9067

<simonrobinson@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1146906409.667001.56380@y43g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Everyone seems to have an opinion but the above two clearly can't
> provide an intelligent answer. an_old_friend sounds like you run the
> neighbourhood watch in your area.
>
Ya get what ya pay for!

1588. Re: How do I unblock all the 800MHZ on a PRO-62

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-07 01:17:43 UTC
From: Jim Heckler <heckman01@net.not.net>
Message-ID: <vnhq52lcnspd46sulubc4vhp4odovnpal7@4ax.com>
Source record: 9068

On Mon, 24 Apr 2006 00:47:14 GMT, "Lotus" <lotusnotes@pc.com> wrote:

>How do I unblock all the 800MHZ on a Radio Shack PRO-62....any info would be great!

Here's all you need to know about PRO-62 mods:
http://www.shackout.com/rsscannermods.htm

What you need is a BC-2500XLT that, with a simple mod, gives you
continuous coverage from 25 to 1300 Mhz. Hard to find, but I do happen
to have one in prime condition.

Email: j m j a y e @ m y c h i dot c o m

1589. Re: How do I unblock all the 800MHZ on a PRO-62

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-07 13:08:00 UTC
From: Korbin Dallas <korbindallas@dogeit.com>
Message-ID: <Qim7g.54595$Eh4.39909@trnddc01>
Source record: 9069

On Sat, 06 May 2006 20:17:43 -0500, Jim Heckler wrote:

> On Mon, 24 Apr 2006 00:47:14 GMT, "Lotus" <lotusnotes@pc.com> wrote:
>
>>How do I unblock all the 800MHZ on a Radio Shack PRO-62....any info would be great!
>
> Here's all you need to know about PRO-62 mods:
> http://www.shackout.com/rsscannermods.htm
>
> What you need is a BC-2500XLT that, with a simple mod, gives you
> continuous coverage from 25 to 1300 Mhz. Hard to find, but I do happen
> to have one in prime condition.
>
> Email: j m j a y e @ m y c h i dot c o m

Why do people bother with this?

There is nothing in the blocked area worth listening to anymore.
Cellphones are digital and none of the scanners built can listen to the
conversations.

KD

1590. Re: How do I unblock all the 800MHZ on a PRO-62

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-07 20:31:33 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <17ms52p49mqhv0ouc60ell9kdk36iphvha@4ax.com>
Source record: 9070

On Sun, 07 May 2006 13:08:00 GMT, Korbin Dallas
<korbindallas@dogeit.com> wrote:

>Why do people bother with this?

>There is nothing in the blocked area worth listening to anymore.
>Cellphones are digital and none of the scanners built can listen to the
>conversations.

Lack of knowledge that, after all their work, they won't hear
anything?

Certainty that *they* will hear something, even if no one else does?

(I'm trying not to use the "S" word.)

1591. Private Security freqa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-08 01:28:13 UTC
From: Dave Pitzer <dpitzer@sonic.net>
Message-ID: <445e9eae$0$96979$742ec2ed@news.sonic.net>
Source record: 9071

How can I find the frequencies assigned to security and maintenance vehicles
at Arrowhead Lake Community Association in Pocono Lake, PA?

Thanks

Dave P.

1592. Re: Private Security freqa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-08 03:07:45 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <sedt521rgp5iur1gvqn895vnu1eca1iutf@4ax.com>
Source record: 9072

On Sun, 7 May 2006 21:28:13 -0400, "Dave Pitzer" <dpitzer@sonic.net>
wrote:

>How can I find the frequencies assigned to security and maintenance vehicles
>at Arrowhead Lake Community Association in Pocono Lake, PA?

Look them up in the FCC database or use a scanner with Close Call or
GRE's equivalent.

1593. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-08 07:11:28 UTC
From: BuNtEr <bunter@cbdomain.com>
Message-ID: <445eef0b@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 9073
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

You would have to be an idot to add this person to your msn, trust me it
will only bring you nothing but BAD things same for the site.

<michael@scanvictoria.com> wrote in message
news:1146724180.890105.165620@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> New site that is currently under construction, works on it have been
> on-going & are being continued
>
> For Scanner hobbyist's in Victoria-Australia.
>
> Was originally a PHP-Nuke site but was a large request of users wanting
> it to be made a "no sign up" site, so i converted to HTML.
>
> If you would like to contact me, you can do so by emailing:
> michael@scanvictoria.com
> or adding me to your msn: msn@scanvictoria.com
>
> Any submissions to the site that is received you will be credited for
> on the site !!!
>
> Willing to make as many changes to make the site users happy :-)
>
> I would appreciate any feedback that is left!
>
> Thanks
> Michael
>
> (sorry for X posting)
>

1594. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-08 07:45:50 UTC
From: DaSuthNa <dasuthna@nospam.backpacknuke.com>
Message-ID: <125ttph7796hb9b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 9074
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

BuNtEr wrote:
> You would have to be an idot to add this person to your msn, trust me it
> will only bring you nothing but BAD things same for the site.

Don't throw the baby out with the bath water. Users have more to do
with how a forum site grows and succeeds than the admins. Let the site
be judged on its own merits.

On that point, Michael might want to roll those frequency lists back
into the forum and mark them as sticky so the forum members can maintain
those too.

1595. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-08 08:34:17 UTC
From: ScanAustraliaPix <ScanAustraliaPix@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1147077257.467862.76130@j73g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9075
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

hey bunter
its good to see this guy trying no matter how many court orders he has
against him

he used to link to us and others that have orders
now he just links to others that he has hacked or abused
not the wisest move.

also i wonder how long it is until he gets notice to remove copyrighted
logos from the front page???
i know 2 companies that are aware of this breach and they will be
drafting a letter to the site shortly.

now stand back and wait for big sis (landan85) to come in blazing as
she often does....

talk soon bunter

D

1596. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-08 08:55:33 UTC
From: michael@scanvictoria.com
Message-ID: <1147078533.493511.127890@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9076
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

Excellent Point,
I will do this shortly !

Thanks

1597. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-08 10:37:38 UTC
From: DaSuthNa <dasuthna@nospam.backpacknuke.com>
Message-ID: <125u7rkjngddr73@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 9077
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

michael@scanvictoria.com wrote:
> Excellent Point,
> I will do this shortly !
>
> Thanks
>

You might also want to make sure you're tight on copyright.

For whatever reason there's a lot of distrust out there for you so the best strategy is to first and foremost do the right thing! Negative publicity is a killer.

1598. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-08 13:34:18 UTC
From: michael@scanvictoria.com
Message-ID: <1147095258.104829.72630@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9078
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

Thanks DaSuthNa for your ideas this evening !
What i will also do when i get time (soon) i will transfer them to forums aswell as keeping the html copies and make whatever changes to them when needed or posted in forum.
Also i may add,
I have NOT copied any frequencies from other sites despite what is being writen here by 2 people who dont like competition, even though this isnt one.

Michael

1599. Re: (SOLD) Motorola Minitor pagers LB 46mhz $10.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-08 16:02:49 UTC
From: "Thanks....." <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <JYJ7g.5200$cR5.779@trndny06>
Source record: 9079
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

These are sold.
"Thanks....." <pottsladd13@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:F875g.819$Fp5.149@trndny09...
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=9720254641
>

1600. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-08 17:45:26 UTC
From: NavArea 10 <navarea10@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <e3o04n$19v$1@otis.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 9080
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

"ScanAustraliaPix"

FUCKING CHOP!

> also i wonder how long it is until he gets notice to remove copyrighted
> logos from the front page???
> i know 2 companies that are aware of this breach and they will be
> drafting a letter to the site shortly.
>
> now stand back and wait for big sis (landan85) to come in blazing as
> she often does....
>
> talk soon bunter
>
> D

Fuckme...
Whether he has or has not fucked up in the past is beside the point, the issue here is the website.
I'm not defending him, I've seen the site, it looks good might be a good resource when its all done.

As for the logos on the main page, now this to me seems to be more a point of jealousy then any other,
because ironically I have seen the same (and more) similar logos used on other sites, and the companies
haven't given a shit. Provided the site isnt defaming them most wouldn't care less.
The only exception here would be Telstra, but yeah... But somehow it wouldn't surprise me at all if the
vocal components in these groups have had a bitch session to said logo owners.

As for the links, well they are relevant to the site.And as for the 'sites he hacked' which I have not seen
any direct evidence of myself (an ip address means shit really, it still don't confirm who is at the keyboard!)
even if he did so, maybe he is trying to make good and realised his errant ways.
But I'm sure if he didn't add them, said people would still be having a bitch because he didn't with
claims like "He is to scared to add our site because he hacked us" - Time to move on guys and get over it.
Milks being spilt, clean it up, wipe the floor clean and get on with life.

I actually quite like the towns 'quick pick' frequency guide, think thats a nice touch and it would be
good to see that built apon.

And as for the other site with the IP Address plastered on its main page with the pretty image underneath
said message, obviously your not all that up with things. There is a 99.9% chance it will be dynamic,
so everytime he/she/they reboots the router (or dials up again in the case of dialup) a new IP will be
issued, so what has this acheived? A bunch of wankers who take your word as gospel now packeting
some poor pricks connection with morbid fever?

But at the end of the day all you guys knocking him are probably his best asset ya know!
Ever heard the term "Curiousity killed the cat" most are gonna look at this dribble and say well whats
they guy got they haven't and go and take a look, and stear away from your sites.
So Michael is probably sitting back in his leather recliner pissing himself laughing right now at all this.

NAV

1601. Re: Private Security freqa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-08 22:07:00 UTC
From: Dave Pitzer <dpitzer@sonic.net>
Message-ID: <445fc108$0$96970$742ec2ed@news.sonic.net>
Source record: 9081

"Gene" <moggy2cat@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1147095554.786302.15450@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com...
> my two cents...any one who is into the hobby of scanning knows how to
> find freqs...and anyone with bad intentions , well you fill out the
> rest of my thought...Gene
>

Oops! Sorry Gene. Scanning is a new hobby for me (since March when I got a 5 year old hand-held Uniden at a garage sale.) Somebody told me I could get help with frequencies on this news group. They failed to tell me that I'd also get responses like yours. But at least you're right about one thing -- your answer is worth about 2 cents. I'm a bit surprised you took the time to write it. You might consider finding a more helpful way of passing the time.

D.P.

1602. Re: Private Security freqa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-08 22:26:36 UTC
From: Dave Pitzer <dpitzer@sonic.net>
Message-ID: <445fc59f$0$96916$742ec2ed@news.sonic.net>
Source record: 9082

Al,

I'm new to scanning as a hobby. Don't know what Close Call or GRE mean. FCC database sounds like a good source. Do you have a URL for this database?

Thanks,

DP

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message news:sedt521rgp5iur1gvqn895vnu1eca1iutf@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 7 May 2006 21:28:13 -0400, "Dave Pitzer" <dpitzer@sonic.net>
> wrote:
>
> >How can I find the frequencies assigned to security and maintenance vehicles
> >at Arrowhead Lake Community Association in Pocono Lake, PA?
>
> Look them up in the FCC database or use a scanner with Close Call or
> GRE's equivalent.

1603. Re: Private Security freqa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-08 23:07:37 UTC
From: victhedog@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <3pjv52d942ioqpdpegvj6q81oqh6ho28f1@4ax.com>
Source record: 9083

On Sun, 7 May 2006 21:28:13 -0400, "Dave Pitzer" <dpitzer@sonic.net>
wrote:

>How can I find the frequencies assigned to security and maintenance vehicles
>at Arrowhead Lake Community Association in Pocono Lake, PA?
>
>Thanks
>
>Dave P.
>

ARROWHEAD LAKE COMMUNITY ASSOCIATIO ï¿½ WNZN387 ï¿½ 151.92500 ï¿½ 1
ï¿½ 0 ï¿½ FB ï¿½ 20K0F3E ï¿½ IG ï¿½ POCONO LAKE ï¿½
ARROWHEAD LAKES COMMUNITY ASSOC INC ï¿½ WNAB381 ï¿½ 151.65500 ï¿½ 1
ï¿½ 5 ï¿½ FB ï¿½ 20K0F3E ï¿½ IG ï¿½ POCONO LAKE ï¿½

1604. Re: Private Security freqa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-08 23:59:56 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <cemv52lv5pdpf95v9k1uv2rg0ve20b5kuh@4ax.com>
Source record: 9084

On Mon, 8 May 2006 18:26:36 -0400, "Dave Pitzer" <dpitzer@sonic.net>
wrote:

>I'm new to scanning as a hobby. Don't know what Close Call

A mode you can put some Uniden scanners in. It picks up any close
transmitter and tells you the frequency and any tones (or coded
squelch) being used.

> or GRE mean.

General Research of Electronics, the company that makes most of Radio
Shack's scanners. (Uniden makes the others.)

> FCC database sounds like a good source. Do you have a URL for this database?

Looks like you won't need it - Vic posted the frequencies for you. And
I never use it myself, but you can ask on
http://www.radioreference.com (the best internet resource for
scanning), or just do a search there - it's been posted many times.

1605. RF Connectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-09 00:05:06 UTC
From: nitespark <nitespark@cox.net>
Message-ID: <P0R7g.9396$B42.7393@dukeread05>
Source record: 9085

Charles,

If you want to go in together and get some connectors, I am game.

I have an ample supply of Mini-UHF crimp-on for RG58 (probably 75+)

I have -
25 SMA Crimp Ons both male and female (25 of each).
45 "N" Crimp on males for RG58
5 "N" Crimp on males for RG59/RG6/RG8X (could use some of these)
4 BNC Crimp on male for RG58 (could use some more of these)
25 UHF Crimp on males for RG58

I could probably use 20 or so Mini-UHF females for RG58.

Also, any others you can think of you want to get, I will split them with you. Generally, whatever you need, I will need also.

I agree with you about the TNC's. Very little equipment out there with these on it. Mostly see them on the old style cell phones and a few GE commercial units (circa early 80's). If I run across something with a TNC, I will just put an adapter on it or if I am REALLY ambitious, I will take the TNC off and put a Mini-UHF bulkhead on it.

I think the last quantity I ordered was from Global Connectors (gcplus link below) and had real good luck with them. The PL259.com is the same outfit that sold you your crimpers at Charlotte.

Here are a couple of links where I have bought connectors in the past-

http://gcplus.com/rf.htm

http://www.pl-259.com/catalog.html (these people seem to have lots of adapters but not a lot of crimp on connectors....maybe drop them an email and ask)

http://tessco.com/products/displayProducts.do?groupId=410&subgroupId=33
-- http://tessco.com/products/displayProducts.do?groupId=410&subgroupId=3

http://tessco.com/products/displayProducts.do?groupId=410&subgroupId=30

As you can see, tessco can be a bit pricey on the "N" connectors.

As you can see, Pasternack is insane.

http://www.pasternack.com/Search/SearchResults.asp?Category=CONNECTORS&RecNo=0&ConnectorA=N+MALE&Attachment=CRIMP&ConnectorForCable=1&MountA=-+blank&Search.x=32&Search.y=6&Search=Search

http://www.pasternack.com/Search/SearchResults.asp?Category=CONNECTORS&RecNo=0&ConnectorA=BNC+MALE&Attachment=CRIMP&ConnectorForCable=1&MountA=-+blank&Search.x=22&Search.y=3&Search=Search

73
Andy

1606. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-09 01:12:10 UTC
From: Pearl Of Wisdom <kissmy@ss.com>
Message-ID: <K%R7g.201$S7.133@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 9086
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

"BuNtEr" <bunter@cbdomain.com> wrote in message
news:445eef0b@dnews.tpgi.com.au...
> You would have to be an idot to add this person to your msn, trust me it
> will only bring you nothing but BAD things same for the site.

Couldn't be any worse than the dribble you've let go on this NG for the last
few months.

Seeing as though you spend as much time on the net as you do I suggest you
use it to improve on your writing skills.
On reading your posts it sounds like a malfunctioning 60's era robot from
some 'b' grade movie.

1607. radio pest control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-09 04:49:07 UTC
From: craft.brian@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1147150147.390161.110360@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9087

Here's my cracked idea for the day. I'm hoping someone here can lend a clue.

I'd like to set up an array of audio transmitters that cover my property, which I can use to monitor the activity of certain vermin. They dig, and it makes noise. It just occurred to me that I might use an off-the-shelf scanner to monitor all of the transmitters. Is there any radio band where I could legally broadcast across, say, two dozen frequencies? And are there off-the-shelf scanners that would scan it?

1608. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-09 09:07:00 UTC
From: jonz <someone@somewhere.com>
Message-ID: <44605c14$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 9088
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

i think yiz are ALL malfunctioning.......... get a hair cut and get a real job... quoting someone or other! give us a break geez ...jonz
"Pearl Of Wisdom" <kissmy@ss.com> wrote in message news:K%R7g.201$S7.133@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
>
> "BuNtEr" <bunter@cbdomain.com> wrote in message
> news:445eef0b@dnews.tpgi.com.au...
> > You would have to be an idot to add this person to your msn, trust me it
> > will only bring you nothing but BAD things same for the site.
>
>
> Couldn't be any worse than the dribble you've let go on this NG for the last
> few months.
>
> Seeing as though you spend as much time on the net as you do I suggest you
> use it to improve on your writing skills.
> On reading your posts it sounds like a malfunctioning 60's era robot from
> some 'b' grade movie.
>
>

1609. Re: RF Connectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-09 09:50:39 UTC
From: nitespark <nitespark@cox.net>
Message-ID: <JBZ7g.9412$B42.4395@dukeread05>
Source record: 9089

Sorry about that folks. Mis-directed email.

nitespark wrote:

> Charles,
>
> If you want to go in together and get some connectors, I am game.
>
> I have an ample supply of Mini-UHF crimp-on for RG58 (probably 75+)
>
> I have -
> 25 SMA Crimp Ons both male and female (25 of each).
> 45 "N" Crimp on males for RG58
> 5 "N" Crimp on males for RG59/RG6/RG8X (could use some of these)
> 4 BNC Crimp on male for RG58 (could use some more of these)
> 25 UHF Crimp on males for RG58
>
> I could probably use 20 or so Mini-UHF females for RG58.
>
> Also, any others you can think of you want to get, I will split them
> with you. Generally, whatever you need, I will need also.
>
> I agree with you about the TNC's. Very little equipment out there with
> these on it. Mostly see them on the old style cell phones and a few GE
> commercial units (circa early 80's). If I run across something with a
> TNC, I will just put an adapter on it or if I am REALLY ambitious, I
> will take the TNC off and put a Mini-UHF bulkhead on it.
>
> I think the last quantity I ordered was from Global Connectors (gcplus
> link below) and had real good luck with them. The PL259.com is the same
> outfit that sold you your crimpers at Charlotte.
>
>
> Here are a couple of links where I have bought connectors in the past-
>
> http://gcplus.com/rf.htm
>
>
> http://www.pl-259.com/catalog.html (these people seem to have lots of
> adapters but not a lot of crimp on connectors....maybe drop them an
> email and ask)
>
> http://tessco.com/products/displayProducts.do?groupId=410&subgroupId=33
> -- http://tessco.com/products/displayProducts.do?groupId=410&subgroupId=3
>

>
> http://tessco.com/products/displayProducts.do?groupId=410&subgroupId=30
>
> As you can see, tessco can be a bit pricey on the "N" connectors.
>
>
>
> As you can see, Pasternack is insane.
>
>
>
>
> http://www.pasternack.com/Search/SearchResults.asp?Category=CONNECTORS&RecNo=0&ConnectorA=N+MALE&Attachment=CRIMP&ConnectorForCable=1&MountA=-+blank&Search.x=32&Search.y=6&Search=Search
>
>
>
> http://www.pasternack.com/Search/SearchResults.asp?Category=CONNECTORS&RecNo=0&ConnectorA=BNC+MALE&Attachment=CRIMP&ConnectorForCable=1&MountA=-+blank&Search.x=22&Search.y=3&Search=Search
>
>
>
>
> 73
> Andy

--
I have never met a liberal street cop.

1610. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-09 10:46:19 UTC
From: BuNtEr <bunter@cbdomain.com>
Message-ID: <446072e3$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 9090
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

navarea 10 said

And as for the other site with the IP Address plastered on its main page with the pretty image underneath
said message, obviously your not all that up with things. There is a 99.9% chance it will be dynamic,
so everytime he/she/they reboots the router (or dials up again in the case of dialup) a new IP will be
issued, so what has this acheived? A bunch of wankers who take your word as gospel now packeting
some poor pricks connection with morbid fever?

easy to find out if it is the same person as 99% of isp's have cashe server and they can tell you who used that ip on what day at what time need i say more.

And for Michael Keeping he is no better than a Theif, so if he steals from you you will still think him ok if he does a globle suck job?.

He has set up the very same forum with all the backdoors in it hopeing to catch any of the people he FUCKED over trying to return the favour.

I like how these people like people that fuck over other good people and all they have to do to get the nod is make a web page use info stolen from other websites and its ok well we can see the type of people he atracks cant we.

what i like for you knockers to do for me if you could please its an easy task one that will prove my point.

Spend allot of money setting up a full blown web server with the best you can aford, email server web server irc server file server, then pay good money on a fully unlimated up/down broadband account. then spens lots of man hours stting all this up, then spend a few years of man hours on your site doing all the things you need(must be over 200 pages for the site, lots of content downloads forum and such.

Then have it run for a few years have over 200 members that post 20 to 30 posts a day, then host for FREE at your time/cost 10 web sites,

Then allow ME to come and FUCK it up not once but all the time, and make you spend many man hours repairing the dammage the hours you spent.

Then let me put up a pissy little web site steal the info from other pages and you tell every one im ok for i mad a page ?.

then let me take over your msn abuse your friends on your man let them think i am you say sick shit like i will rape your child and burn your home.

THEN tell everyone im ok but please done say i did it as you didnt see me
did you.

Lots of love
XoXoXoX
"NavArea 10" <navarea10@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:e3o04n$19v$1@otis.netspace.net.au...

"ScanAustraliaPix"

FUCKING CHOP!

> also i wonder how long it is until he gets notice to remove copyrighted
> logos from the front page???
> i know 2 companies that are aware of this breach and they will be
> drafting a letter to the site shortly.
>
> now stand back and wait for big sis (landan85) to come in blazing as
> she often does....
>
> talk soon bunter
>
> D

Fuckme...
Whether he has or has not fucked up in the past is beside the point, the
issue here is the website.
I'm not defending him, I've seen the site, it looks good might be a good
resource when its all done.

As for the logos on the main page, now this to me seems to be more a point
of jealousy then any other,
because ironically I have seen the same (and more) similar logos used on
other sites, and the companies
haven't given a shit. Provided the site isnt defaming them most wouldn't
care less.
The only exception here would be Telstra, but yeah... But somehow it
wouldn't surprise me at all if the
vocal components in these groups have had a bitch session to said logo
owners.

As for the links, well they are relevant to the site.And as for the 'sites
he hacked' which I have not seen
any direct evidence of myself (an ip address means shit really, it still
don't confirm who is at the keyboard!)
even if he did so, maybe he is trying to make good and realised his errant
ways.
But I'm sure if he didn't add them, said people would still be having a
bitch because he didn't with
claims like "He is to scared to add our site because he hacked us" - Time to
move on guys and get over it.
Milks being spilt, clean it up, wipe the floor clean and get on with life.

I actually quite like the towns 'quick pick' frequency guide, think thats a nice touch and it would be
good to see that built apon.

And as for the other site with the IP Address plastered on its main page with the pretty image underneath
said message, obviously your not all that up with things. There is a 99.9% chance it will be dynamic,
so everytime he/she/they reboots the router (or dials up again in the case of dialup) a new IP will be
issued, so what has this acheived? A bunch of wankers who take your word as gospel now packeting
some poor pricks connection with morbid fever?

But at the end of the day all you guys knocking him are probably his best asset ya know!
Ever heard the term "Curiousity killed the cat" most are gonna look at this dribble and say well whats
they guy got they haven't and go and take a look, and stear away from your sites.
So Michael is probably sitting back in his leather recliner pissing himself laughing right now at all this.

NAV

1611. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-09 10:48:15 UTC
From: BuNtEr <bunter@cbdomain.com>
Message-ID: <44607358@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 9091
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

liar

asif you know them in your head.
<michael@scanvictoria.com> wrote in message
news:1147095258.104829.72630@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...
> Thanks DaSuthNa for your ideas this evening !
> What i will also do when i get time (soon) i will transfer them to
> forums aswell as keeping the html copies and make whatever changes to
> them when needed or posted in forum.
> Also i may add,
> I have NOT copied any frequencies from other sites despite what is
> being writen here by 2 people who dont like competition, even though
> this isnt one.
>
> Michael
>

1612. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-09 10:50:11 UTC
From: BuNtEr <bunter@cbdomain.com>
Message-ID: <446073cb$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 9092
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

well what i said is the truth don't like the truth you have a choice try
using the may other choices you have and a small amount of will and brain
power.

PLEASE

"Pearl Of Wisdom" <kissmy@ss.com> wrote in message
news:K%R7g.201$S7.133@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
>
> "BuNtEr" <bunter@cbdomain.com> wrote in message
> news:445eef0b@dnews.tpgi.com.au...
>> You would have to be an idot to add this person to your msn, trust me it
>> will only bring you nothing but BAD things same for the site.
>
>
> Couldn't be any worse than the dribble you've let go on this NG for the
> last few months.
>
> Seeing as though you spend as much time on the net as you do I suggest you
> use it to improve on your writing skills.
> On reading your posts it sounds like a malfunctioning 60's era robot from
> some 'b' grade movie.
>
>

1613. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-09 11:19:20 UTC
From: Pearl Of Wisdom <kissmy@ss.com>
Message-ID: <YU_7g.535$S7.346@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 9093
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

"BuNtEr" <bunter@cbdomain.com> wrote in message
news:44607358@dnews.tpgi.com.au...
> liar
>
> asif you know them in your head.

No shit for brains...maybe another party or two has aissited him just to
piss you off.

Maybe I'll help him too at some point. Just to piss you off of course.

1614. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-09 11:24:10 UTC
From: Pearl Of Wisdom <kissmy@ss.com>
Message-ID: <uZ_7g.545$S7.124@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 9094
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

"BuNtEr" <bunter@cbdomain.com> wrote in message
news:446073cb$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au...
> well what i said is the truth don't like the truth you have a choice try
> using the may other choices you have and a small amount of will and brain
> power.

BuNtEr as Ralph Wiggum cried:

Me fail English? That's unpossible!

Up your meds dosage you fool.

1615. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-09 11:59:57 UTC
From: BuNtEr <bunter@cbdomain.com>
Message-ID: <44608426$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 9095
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

please do assist him its like helping a sex afender go ahead. knock yourself
out

"Pearl Of Wisdom" <kissmy@ss.com> wrote in message
news:YU_7g.535$S7.346@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
>
> "BuNtEr" <bunter@cbdomain.com> wrote in message
> news:44607358@dnews.tpgi.com.au...
>> liar
>>
>> asif you know them in your head.
>
>
> No shit for brains...maybe another party or two has aissited him just to
> piss you off.
>
> Maybe I'll help him too at some point. Just to piss you off of course.
>

1616. Re: radio pest control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-09 12:40:48 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <tb3162tqh0bprl2rcp2eta1dlul06gjs1d@4ax.com>
Source record: 9096

On 8 May 2006 21:49:07 -0700, craft.brian@gmail.com wrote:

>Here's my cracked idea for the day. I'm hoping someone here can lend a
>clue.

>I'd like to set up an array of audio transmitters that cover my
>property, which I can use to monitor the activity of certain vermin.
>They dig, and it makes noise. It just occurred to me that I might use
>an off-the-shelf scanner to monitor all of the transmitters. Is there
>any radio band where I could legally broadcast across, say, two dozen
>frequencies? And are there off-the-shelf scanners that would scan it?

FM Broadcast band (even AM broadcast band), ISM, almost any frequency
if the power is low enough to be considered incident radiation.

The scanner would depend on the frequency, but something like a Uniden
330 covers just about everything.

1617. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-09 13:53:09 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1147182788.978783.279950@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9097
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Big Wally wrote:
>
> He really needs to up his meds, he is running a bit short on Prozac.

You ned to take your wife to the vet to wipe off the dog cum, fatass.

1618. Retard Marky spams instead of treating his AIDS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-09 13:54:05 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1147182844.976539.25180@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
assfucked by an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > Already Got Help wrote:
> > > > > Almost time !!! 3.976 PRE-NET??
>
> > > > Fuck off, fatass Davies!
> > >
> > > get help
> >
> > Fuck off, markie.
>
> get
```

Fuck off Markie.

1619. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-09 14:16:57 UTC
From: Pearl Of Wisdom <kissmy@ss.com.co.nz>
Message-ID: <tv18g.672$S7.316@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 9099
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

"BuNtEr" <bunter@cbdomain.com> wrote in message
news:44608426$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au...
> please do assist him its like helping a sex afender go ahead. knock
> yourself out
>

Funny you said that, we'd all like it if you'd knock yourself out.

Better yet...just knock yourself. You know you'd be better off.

1620. gte help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-09 16:00:27 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1147190427.413967.158170@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9100
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:Retard Marky spams instead of
treating his AIDS!
delsuional dbehavoir
> assfucked by an old friend wrote:

> > get
>
> Fuck off Markie.
get help

1621. Re: gte help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-09 16:33:51 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <63h162hphsarbd0tgt1r086tacnc41num6@4ax.com>
Source record: 9001
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 9 May 2006 09:00:27 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:Retard Marky spams instead of
>treating his AIDS!
>delsuional dbehavoir
>> assfucked by an old friend wrote:
>
>> > get
>>
>> Fuck off Markie.
>get help
>
Verizon help?

1622. Re: gte help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-09 16:50:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1147193415.944396.274930@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9002
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

David wrote:
> On 9 May 2006 09:00:27 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:Retard Marky spams instead of
> >treating his AIDS!
> >delsuional dbehavoir
> >> assfucked by an old friend wrote:
> >
> >> > get
> >>
> >> Fuck off Markie.
> >get help
> >
> Verizon help?
sure any help would be an improvement for Wisemen

1623. Re: YOUR TRUE GOD AND LEADER K1MAN CONDEMS K3VR AND THE BOY SCOUTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-09 17:30:59 UTC
From: Billy Smith <weyhsydesyresyrer@weryewry35yeryreyedhdfshfdh.com>
Message-ID: <nl48g.485$x4.265@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 9003
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:

> sodomized by an old freind , but Mark Morgan loved it wrote:
>
>>peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>>bungholed by an old freind wrote:
>>>
>>>>peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>an old freid to some a nightmare to steve wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>>>>Quit spamming.
>>>>
>>>>get
>>>
>>>No, you are spamming, dumbass.
>>
>>get
>
>
> Get to slitting your wrists, loser.
>

Eat some arsenic boy

1624. Re: radio pest control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-09 18:08:28 UTC
From: craft.brian@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1147198108.204328.24270@y43g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9004

For AM and FM broadcast bands wouldn't I need to find clear stations?
I've cataloged open FM stations before, and there isn't much. If I use
one that isn't clear, wouldn't my signal be swamped? Or, if not,
wouldn't I start to interfere with reception on neighboring properties?

1625. Re: gte help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-09 18:51:35 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <v4p162t1iuf2dt4hrl4d0c4qidsdq741a2@4ax.com>
Source record: 9005
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
On 9 May 2006 09:50:16 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>David wrote:
>> On 9 May 2006 09:00:27 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:Retard Marky spams instead of
>> >treating his AIDS!
>> >delsuional dbehavoir
>> >> assfucked by an old friend wrote:
>> >
>> >> > get
>> >>
>> >> Fuck off Markie.
>> >get help
>> >
>> Verizon help?
>sure any help would be an improvement for Wisemen
>
I was riffing on your transposition there Spooner.
```

1626. Re: radio pest control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-09 19:21:54 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <9sq1629b9kv39u3abe58m5p7o0jndc1irc@4ax.com>
Source record: 9006

On 9 May 2006 11:08:28 -0700, craft.brian@gmail.com wrote:

>For AM and FM broadcast bands wouldn't I need to find clear stations?
>I've cataloged open FM stations before, and there isn't much.

How many channels do you need? There should be one clear channel
between each pair of stations in your area.

1627. Re: gte help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-09 19:49:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1147204184.780191.315090@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

David wrote:
> On 9 May 2006 09:50:16 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >

> >> Verizon help?
> >sure any help would be an improvement for Wisemen
> >
> I was riffing on your transposition there Spooner.

yea I noticed but truel any help would be to wismen beinfit

1628. Re: radio pest control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-09 23:53:48 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <gY98g.13512$mX1.65@newsfe2-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 9008

do it wherever you like as long as its under 100mW power.
49meg would be my fav to use,
you can get these silly bike headsets in the shops now
they would work a treat, up to say 20ft

mike

<craft.brian@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1147150147.390161.110360@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com...
> Here's my cracked idea for the day. I'm hoping someone here can lend a
> clue.
>
> I'd like to set up an array of audio transmitters that cover my
> property, which I can use to monitor the activity of certain vermin.
> They dig, and it makes noise. It just occurred to me that I might use
> an off-the-shelf scanner to monitor all of the transmitters. Is there
> any radio band where I could legally broadcast across, say, two dozen
> frequencies? And are there off-the-shelf scanners that would scan it?
>

1629. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-10 00:22:48 UTC
From: NavArea 10 <navarea10@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <e3rbou$1hta$1@otis.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 9009
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

"BuNtEr" <bunter@cbdomain.com> wrote in message news:446072e3$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au...

> easy to find out if it is the same person as 99% of isp's have cashe server
> and they can tell you who used that ip on what day at what time need i say
> more.

Well yeah... Cache server or not, even stacked 10 high at the tip still does not prove WHO was at the keyboard, only thing it proves is customer xyz from xyz location was connected to that IP address at that time, in no way proves it was Michael, Jack, Jill, Agatha or Aunt Mary
at the keyboard at the time in question.

> And for Michael Keeping he is no better than a Theif, so if he steals from
> you you will still think him ok if he does a globle suck job?.

Shit havent had a suck job in ages lol ...Michael you up for this :p
Well I aint got anything to steal of value, so what if some cunt takes over me gmail address,
fuckme I have enough to give meself invites for the next 50 years.

> He has set up the very same forum with all the backdoors in it hopeing to
> catch any of the people he FUCKED over trying to return the favour.

Looks to be standard PHPBB to me, but I havent joined the forums, I get far to much entertainment just here :)

> I like how these people like people that fuck over other good people and all
> they have to do to get the nod is make a web page use info stolen from other
> websites and its ok well we can see the type of people he atracks cant we.

No your wrong. Have you stopped to think people may be simply visiting his site because they
are interested in the hobby and looking for info/tips etc, and have no inate interest in bending Michael over the server rack and giving him one.

> what i like for you knockers to do for me if you could please its an easy
> task one that will prove my point.
> Spend allot of money setting up a full blown web server with the best you
> can aford, email server web server irc server file server, then pay good
> money on a fully unlimated up/down broadband account. then spens lots of man
> hours stting all this up, then spend a few years of man hours on your site
> doing all the things you need(must be over 200 pages for the site, lots of
> content downloads forum and such.
>
> Then have it run for a few years have over 200 members that post 20 to 30
> posts a day, then host for FREE at your time/cost 10 web sites,

>
> Then allow ME to come and FUCK it up not once but all the time, and make you
> spend many man hours repairing the dammage the hours you spent.

Ok, from this I can see your angry, very angry...
BUT Bunter god man, its done, you have corrected things, its over and theres little point in
dragging it out in here. I am sure there are those who think Michael is a cunt, and those who
probably wanna bend him over that server rack (I am not of either persuasion before you ask lol)...

Im not saying what he may or may not have done is right, I just said the site looks good, it does.

> Then let me put up a pissy little web site steal the info from other pages
> and you tell every one im ok for i mad a page ?.

Ok let me ask you this - Where did every other cunts info come from eh?
Same deal, other peoples sites, fucking acma database, books blah blah, its all generic ffs.
A frequency is just that, not something owned by a particular website becasue THEY FOUND IT FIRST!
A bunch of numbers really thats what we are talking about here.

> then let me take over your msn abuse your friends on your man let them think
> i am you say sick shit like i will rape your child and burn your home.

Yeah well thats just plain nasty aint it...

> THEN tell everyone im ok but please done say i did it as you didnt see me did you.

No we didnt actually :)

> Lots of love
> XoXoXoX

Oh how sweet Bunti always knew there was love and affection between, your a cutie really :p

1630. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-10 02:24:36 UTC
From: johnvonlaws@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1147227876.038611.53120@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9010
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

bunter sucks too many cocks....

1631. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-10 02:54:11 UTC
From: michael@scanvictoria.com
Message-ID: <1147229651.635885.4710@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9011
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

Seems i have been offered to a suck job, i must accept ! Send Me the details :-)

Bunter anything you do on the internet is not always 100% secure. There would also be more than 1 person YOU have started arguements with and i must ask, do you accuse them of hacking your site?? Im ready to nominate you for a "best actresS" logie award for your attempts.
I have had numerous emails from you also, im sick of it and im ready to add you to the blacklist.

Whats this world coming to?
I placed a link on scanvic going to cbdomain only to be told he demands it to be taken down, i would of thought you would want YOUR site advertised, guess i was wrong.

All of the info on the ScanVic site isnt copied from other websites, only the graphical images are as they are a reference to you people in Victoria.

I have had pretty good feedback from people via Email, im quite happy with the response i have had about scanvictoria.
There is still more info to be added to the site, all it takes is time!

Any other ideas you would like to see done to the site? Im always open for suggestions!

How did my spelling go in this Bunter ??? Did i pass my english exam :P

Michael

1632. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-10 03:24:27 UTC
From: Pearl Of Wisdom <kissmy@ss.com.co.nz>
Message-ID: <L1d8g.912$S7.890@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 9012
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

<johnvonlaws@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1147227876.038611.53120@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> bunter sucks too many cocks....
>

Well he has to pay his passage somehow while jumping from state to state.
Fuel is expensive nowadays.

1633. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-10 08:08:31 UTC
From: Damo <Damo@earlwood.now>
Message-ID: <44619f7f$0$19062$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 9013
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

Why would you trust someone as untrustworthy as Micheal ?

Anyone who gets stung this time is a fool.

Damian

(Yes, I have had personal experience with the back stabbing SOB)

1634. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-10 09:44:25 UTC
From: BuNtEr <bunter@cbdomain.com>
Message-ID: <4461b5dc$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 9014
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

no your the only one that has hacked my site you said you did you proved it by doing what you said you would do you said this to a mate of mine and i kept his logs you took over my msn spoke to cj and he busted you and you told cj i should have shut up about you hacking sites and for that you were not going to give my msn back, how i knew it was MICHAEL KEEPING; well Michael spat a few things out to me and 2 of my mates i only told him and him only?.

BTW MICHAEL KEEPING how come for the nealy 2 months i was in vic you didnt show your brother didnt show.?

<michael@scanvictoria.com> wrote in message
news:1147229651.635885.4710@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com...
> Seems i have been offered to a suck job, i must accept ! Send Me the
> details :-)
>
> Bunter anything you do on the internet is not always 100% secure. There
> would also be more than 1 person YOU have started arguements with and i
> must ask, do you accuse them of hacking your site?? Im ready to
> nominate you for a "best actresS" logie award for your attempts.
> I have had numerous emails from you also, im sick of it and im ready to
> add you to the blacklist.
>
> Whats this world coming to?
> I placed a link on scanvic going to cbdomain only to be told he demands
> it to be taken down, i would of thought you would want YOUR site
> advertised, guess i was wrong.
>
> All of the info on the ScanVic site isnt copied from other websites,
> only the graphical images are as they are a reference to you people in
> Victoria.
>
> I have had pretty good feedback from people via Email, im quite happy
> with the response i have had about scanvictoria.
> There is still more info to be added to the site, all it takes is time!
>
> Any other ideas you would like to see done to the site? Im always open
> for suggestions!
>
> How did my spelling go in this Bunter ??? Did i pass my english exam :P
>
> Michael
>

1635. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-10 09:47:14 UTC
From: BuNtEr <bunter@cbdomain.com>
Message-ID: <4461b684$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 9015
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

you trying to look good Michael with spelling your a wanker.

i have every right to demand the link removed as i don't want to be associated with a scumbag like you, others here might be desperate for someone to befriend them but as i said i don't like gutless slimbags like you.

<michael@scanvictoria.com> wrote in message news:1147229651.635885.4710@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com...
> Seems i have been offered to a suck job, i must accept ! Send Me the
> details :-)
>
> Bunter anything you do on the internet is not always 100% secure. There
> would also be more than 1 person YOU have started arguements with and i
> must ask, do you accuse them of hacking your site?? Im ready to
> nominate you for a "best actresS" logie award for your attempts.
> I have had numerous emails from you also, im sick of it and im ready to
> add you to the blacklist.
>
> Whats this world coming to?
> I placed a link on scanvic going to cbdomain only to be told he demands
> it to be taken down, i would of thought you would want YOUR site
> advertised, guess i was wrong.
>
> All of the info on the ScanVic site isnt copied from other websites,
> only the graphical images are as they are a reference to you people in
> Victoria.
>
> I have had pretty good feedback from people via Email, im quite happy
> with the response i have had about scanvictoria.
> There is still more info to be added to the site, all it takes is time!
>
> Any other ideas you would like to see done to the site? Im always open
> for suggestions!
>
> How did my spelling go in this Bunter ??? Did i pass my english exam :P
>
> Michael
>

1636. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-10 09:49:56 UTC
From: BuNtEr <bunter@cbdomain.com>
Message-ID: <4461b726$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 9016
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

SHHH damo they might say your a liar and you have no proof and cry for michael as he gives them the best and only suck job they have ever had not to pay for. lol.

"Damo" <Damo@earlwood.now> wrote in message news:44619f7f$0$19062$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> Why would you trust someone as untrustworthy as Micheal ?
>
> Anyone who gets stung this time is a fool.
>
> Damian
>
> (Yes, I have had personal experience with the back stabbing SOB)
>

1637. REAL UGLY RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-10 09:55:07 UTC
From: heather <msdfy@tpg.com.au>
Message-ID: <4461b87d$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 9017

Come check out the ugliest thing in radio...

listen to Dj Ugly spin the tunes from the 50's to today..... From
Australian To Zimbarbwie...

The Good The Bad The Ugly... Its All HEAR....

YOU KNOW YOU WANT TO
http://www.live365.com/stations/donkey1975?play&site=live365

...Click the link above (or copy it into your browser) to listen!

1638. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-10 10:00:48 UTC
From: Pearl Of Wisdom <kissmy@ss.com.co.nz>
Message-ID: <kRi8g.1155$S7.700@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 9018
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

"BuNtEr" <bunter@cbdomain.com> wrote in message
news:4461b5dc$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au...
> no your the only one that has hacked my site you said you did you proved
> it by doing what you said you would do you said this to a mate of mine and
> i kept his logs you took over my msn spoke to cj and he busted you and you
> told cj i should have shut up about you hacking sites and for that you
> were not going to give my msn back, how i knew it was MICHAEL KEEPING;
> well Michael spat a few things out to me and 2 of my mates i only told him
> and him only?.
>
> BTW MICHAEL KEEPING how come for the nealy 2 months i was in vic you didnt
> show your brother didnt show.?

Shit Madam BuNtEr, your English teacher must be rolling over in his / her
grave.

They way you form your posts is pathetic. Hope your website is better.

1639. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-10 10:03:12 UTC
From: Pearl Of Wisdom <kissmy@ss.com.co.nz>
Message-ID: <ATi8g.1159$S7.1085@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 9019
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

"Damo" <Damo@earlwood.now> wrote in message
news:44619f7f$0$19062$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> Why would you trust someone as untrustworthy as Micheal ?
>
> Anyone who gets stung this time is a fool.
>
> Damian
>
> (Yes, I have had personal experience with the back stabbing SOB)
>

Did anyone actually say they trust him?

1640. Re: YOUR TRUE GOD AND LEADER K1MAN CONDEMS K3VR AND THE BOY SCOUTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-10 13:51:05 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1147269065.591508.43190@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> > sodomized by an old freind , but Mark Morgan loved it wrote:
> > > peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > bungholed by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > an old freid to some a nightmare to steve wrote:
> > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > Quit spamming.
> > > > > get
> > > >
> > > No, you are spamming, dumbass.
> > get
> >
> > Get to slitting your wrists, loser.
>
> why?

You have nothing to live for, AIDS boy.
```

1641. Re: YOUR TRUE GOD AND LEADER K1MAN CONDEMS K3VR AND THE BOY SCOUTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-10 13:52:10 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1147269130.106132.271120@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9021
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Billy "no woman, that's why he posts at almost 3AM" Smith wrote:
> peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > sodomized by an old freind , but Mark Morgan loved it wrote:
> >
> >>peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>bungholed by an old freind wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>an old freid to some a nightmare to steve wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>>>Quit spamming.
> >>>>>
> >>>>get
> >>>>
> >>>No, you are spamming, dumbass.
> >>
> >>get
> >
> >
> > Get to slitting your wrists, loser.
> >
>
> Eat some arsenic boy

Get back to eating some shit, fatass.

1642. Retard Marky screws up as usual!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-10 14:03:52 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1147269832.821372.218030@y43g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:
> David wrote:
> > On 9 May 2006 09:00:27 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >
> > >whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:Retard Marky spams instead of
> > >treating his AIDS!
> > >delsuional dbehavoir
> > >> assfucked by an old friend wrote:
> > >
> > >> > get
> > >>
> > >> Fuck off Markie.
> > >get help
> > >
> > Verizon help?
> sure any help would be an improvement for Wisemen
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Poor Marky, he fails to see he's being ridiculed for his lack of
education.
```

1643. Re: gte help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-10 14:05:54 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1147269954.621722.55070@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9023
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:
> David wrote:
> > On 9 May 2006 09:50:16 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >
>
> > > >> Verizon help?
> > > >sure any help would be an improvement for Wisemen
> > >
> > > I was riffing on your transposition there Spooner.
>
> yea I noticed but truel any help would be to wismen beinfit

No, as usual, you missed the point by a few million parsecs, stupid.
```

1644. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-10 14:49:58 UTC
From: marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1147272598.640797.20900@e56g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9024
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

an old freind wrote:
> get
Get a new line, parrot.

1645. Re: gte help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-10 16:10:20 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1147277420.032806.31060@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9025
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:

> > yea I noticed but truel any help would be to wismen beinfit
>
> No, as usual, you missed the point by a few million parsecs, stupid.
get help

1646. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-10 16:19:12 UTC
From: "kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1147277952.181123.183940@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old freind wrote:
> > get
> Get a new line, parrot.
get help

1647. Re: YOUR TRUE GOD AND LEADER K1MAN CONDEMS K3VR AND THE BOY SCOUTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-10 16:21:54 UTC
From: "kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1147278114.112046.198360@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9027
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> assfucked by an old freind wrote:
> > peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > sodomized by an old freind , but Mark Morgan loved it wrote:
> > > > peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > bungholed by an old freind wrote:
> > > > > > peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > an old freid to some a nightmare to steve wrote:
> > > > > > > > marky_morgan_internet_retard@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > > Quit spamming.
> > > > > > get
> > > > > >
> > > > > No, you are spamming, dumbass.
> > > > get
> > > >
> > > Get to slitting your wrists, loser.
> > >
> > why?
> >
> You have nothing to live for, AIDS boy.

how do you figure?

if I had AIDS you ight have had a poit a decade ago

1648. Re: gte help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-10 17:46:44 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1147283204.361436.56870@q12g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9028
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

'get help' miss-keyed as 'gte help'
{ GTE = General Telephone and Electronics }
http://acronyms.thefreedictionary.com/GTE

Better - - - Got Milk ! :o) ~ RHF
http://www.got-milk.com/
.
.
.
. .
.

an old freind wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > assfucked by an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > > yea I noticed but truel any help would be to wismen beinfit
> >
> > No, as usual, you missed the point by a few million parsecs, stupid.
> get help

1649. Re: List of rat bastards

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-10 19:41:38 UTC
From: Big Red Ham <redballons6@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1147290098.369377.240330@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9029
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

NO9GL wrote:
> I have all your IPs and will file John Doe subpoenas to get you real
> names. You will be sorry you rat bastards ever messed with me.
>

really?? you are one tough hammie! i bet that kind of talk has fred all
excited!

1650. Re: gte help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-10 19:52:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1147290731.257029.26580@q12g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 9030
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

RHF wrote:
> 'get help' miss-keyed as 'gte help'
> { GTE = General Telephone and Electronics }
> http://acronyms.thefreedictionary.com/GTE
>
> Better - - - Got Milk ! :o) ~ RHF
> http://www.got-milk.com/
yea but that the pint gets trhough and typing get elp correctly get
boring

1651. Re: List of rat bastards

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-10 20:39:02 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <%as8g.3262$_m5.390@fed1read09>
Source record: 9031
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Big Red Ham wrote:

>
> NO9GL wrote:
>> I have all your IPs and will file John Doe subpoenas to get you real
>> names. You will be sorry you rat bastards ever messed with me.
>>
>
> really?? you are one tough hammie! i bet that kind of talk has fred all
> excited!

I wish him all kinds of luck..

hell, he can subpoena me till the cows come home! In fact, if he does so,
he's gonna have a rude surprise waiting in the wings....

just my $0.02 worth.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

1652. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-10 20:39:52 UTC
From: Steve <nospam@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <44624f9d$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 9032
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

I've pretty much kept my mouth shut about you Michael but it would appear your now trying to make like a saint since a couple of these pencil necked geek radio ops have come running to your rescue with lips around your cock and a fist up their own arse.
Lets not forget your attempts to harrass and intimidate people on the cbdomain forum using several assumed names. You made unfounded accusations, badgered and harrassed people and then sooked and cried foul when I caught you out and exposed you.
You pretended to be friends with the people you harrassed, you lied and now you claim to be as pure as the driven snow!
You are really starting to give me the shits mate, you know the history, you know the TRUTH and yet your quite happy to post in this NG and make Bunter out to be a villian. If I were you I would be keeping a very low profile, people aren't going to forget the shit you've done and I would guess that sooner rather than later someone is going to make the effort to grab you round the neck and exact some form of revenge.
After the shit you posted about friends of mine I would love to bump into you and we both know your not a physical kind of person so it would be a very lopsided event but fortunately, in my last 3 trips to Victoria I've kept myself busy with the race car and stopped myself from driving all the way to bumfuck Idaho to air my grievance face to face. Please do me the personal favour of just fucking off to a NG where you won't further inflame my dislike for you.
I'm serious Michael, I've had a gutfull of you and I'm struggling to keep a level head and not do something I know you and I will be sorry for.

1653. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-10 20:42:27 UTC
From: Steve <nospam@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <44625038$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 9033
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

"Pearl Of Wisdom" <kissmy@ss.com.co.nz> wrote in message
news:L1d8g.912$S7.890@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
>
> <johnvonlaws@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1147227876.038611.53120@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > bunter sucks too many cocks....
> >
>
> Well he has to pay his passage somehow while jumping from state to state.
> Fuel is expensive nowadays.
>
>

But a purist like you does it for the taste hey pearl ;)

1654. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-10 22:27:40 UTC
From: NavArea 10 <navarea10@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <e3tpg3$2brm$1@otis.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 9034
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

"Steve" <nospam@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:44625038$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au...
>
> "Pearl Of Wisdom" <kissmy@ss.com.co.nz> wrote in message
> news:L1d8g.912$S7.890@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
> >
> > <johnvonlaws@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1147227876.038611.53120@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > bunter sucks too many cocks....
> > >
> >
> > Well he has to pay his passage somehow while jumping from state to state.
> > Fuel is expensive nowadays.
> >
> >
>
> But a purist like you does it for the taste hey pearl ;)

LOL like that one :)

1655. Bearcat 800xlt - what type of connector for the external antenna connector

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-11 00:47:00 UTC
From: John <jj.shine@att.net>
Message-ID: <8Qv8g.3562$iH5.3116@trndny07>
Source record: 9035

The 800xlt has a female connector on the back that is labeled "ext ant" - that is about 3/8 " diameter and about 3/4" deep with a center hole about 1/8" diameter. Looks like it would take some sort of friction fit male connector.

I can't seem to find exactly what kind of connector this is or what will fit this. I have a scanner antenna that has the connector cut off the end of the cable - looks like the cable is RG-58 or close to that - with the braided metal and a center solid wire. I went to Radio Shack with the scanner - everyone scratched their head - one guy said he thinks it's a "motorola" connector ??

Anybody know the name of this type of connector?

John

1656. Question: Discriminator output for Uniden 8500 xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-11 01:56:24 UTC
From: tm <tm@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <CM-dnY0N3v8lBP_ZRVn-iA@wavecable.com>
Source record: 9036

I found two webpages dealing with getting baseband audio from pin 9 of IC mc3361. One instructs you to put a small capacitor in series with the lead coming from pin 9 and the chassis connector, and the other instructs you to put a resistor in series and put a capacitor across the chassis adapter lugs.
Which method is correct, or can you do either of these?

1657. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-11 02:58:18 UTC
From: Pearl Of Wisdom <kissmy@ss.com.co.nz>
Message-ID: <eLx8g.1567$S7.1494@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 9037
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

"Steve" <nospam@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:44625038$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au...
>
> "Pearl Of Wisdom" <kissmy@ss.com.co.nz> wrote in message
> news:L1d8g.912$S7.890@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
>>
>> <johnvonlaws@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1147227876.038611.53120@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > bunter sucks too many cocks....
>> >
>>
>> Well he has to pay his passage somehow while jumping from state to state.
>> Fuel is expensive nowadays.
>>
>>
>
> But a purist like you does it for the taste hey pearl ;)

Nah I have no need to hop trucks and pay my way like that.
No need to wage a flame war against a dumbass kid who fucked up.

Just a need to piss BuNtEr off for months on end and post dribble here in
these groups that ultimately annoys everyone and maybe then
BuNtEr might stop and think just how annoying it has been all these months
now reading insane dribble.

Understand?

1658. Re: Bearcat 800xlt - what type of connector for the external antenna connector

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-11 03:30:43 UTC
From: wbertram <wbertram@aol.com>
Message-ID: <XOKdncq0AM15Mv_ZnZ2dneKdnZydnZ2d@rcn.net>
Source record: 9038

It is most likely that this is a connector type typically used for automobile radio antennas. It is known as a "Motorola" connector. I had an older scanner with a similar connector. Radio Shack used to carry adapters to convert to more common antenna connectors. I don't know if they still do. If not RS, you could do a web search, or try some of the online radio dealers.

www.universalradio.com shows 'Motorola male-to-BNC' and 'Motorola male-to-BNC' adapters, part numbers 1455 and 4650, respectively.

#1455 #4659

John wrote:
> The 800xlt has a female connector on the back that is labeled "ext ant" -
> that is about 3/8 " diameter and about 3/4" deep with a center hole about
> 1/8" diameter. Looks like it would take some sort of friction fit male
> connector.
>
> I can't seem to find exactly what kind of connector this is or what will fit
> this. I have a scanner antenna that has the connector cut off the end of
> the cable - looks like the cable is RG-58 or close to that - with the
> braided metal and a center solid wire. I went to Radio Shack with the
> scanner - everyone scratched their head - one guy said he thinks it's a
> "motorola" connector ??
>
> Anybody know the name of this type of connector?
>
> John
>
>
>
>

1659. Re: Bearcat 800xlt - what type of connector for the external antenna connector

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-11 03:33:27 UTC
From: wbertram <wbertram@aol.com>
Message-ID: <XOKdncW0AM0VLf_ZnZ2dneKdnZydnZ2d@rcn.net>
Source record: 9039

corrected copy:
www.universalradio.com shows 'Motorola male-to-BNC' and 'Motorola
> male-to-UHF' adapters, part numbers 1455 and 4650, respectively.

wbertram wrote:

> It is most likely that this is a connector type typically used for
> automobile radio antennas. It is known as a "Motorola" connector. I
> had an older scanner with a similar connector. Radio Shack used to
> carry adapters to convert to more common antenna connectors. I don't
> know if they still do. If not RS, you could do a web search, or try
> some of the online radio dealers.
>
> www.universalradio.com shows 'Motorola male-to-BNC' and 'Motorola
> male-to-BNC' adapters, part numbers 1455 and 4650, respectively.
>
> #1455 #4659
>
> John wrote:
>
>> The 800xlt has a female connector on the back that is labeled "ext
>> ant" -
>> that is about 3/8 " diameter and about 3/4" deep with a center hole about
>> 1/8" diameter. Looks like it would take some sort of friction fit male
>> connector.
>>
>> I can't seem to find exactly what kind of connector this is or what
>> will fit
>> this. I have a scanner antenna that has the connector cut off the end of
>> the cable - looks like the cable is RG-58 or close to that - with the
>> braided metal and a center solid wire. I went to Radio Shack with the
>> scanner - everyone scratched their head - one guy said he thinks it's a
>> "motorola" connector ??
>>
>> Anybody know the name of this type of connector?
>>
>> John
>>
>>
>>
>>

1660. Re: Question: Discriminator output for Uniden 8500 xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-11 03:41:16 UTC
From: wbertram <wbertram@aol.com>
Message-ID: <H5SdnQ2Fl9vBL__ZnZ2dnUVZ_vWdnZ2d@rcn.net>
Source record: 9040

Either

tm wrote:

> I found two webpages dealing with getting baseband
> audio from pin 9 of IC mc3361. One instructs you to
> put a small capacitor in series with the lead coming
> from pin 9 and the chassis connector, and the other
> instructs you to put a resistor in series and put a
> capacitor across the chassis adapter lugs.
> Which method is correct, or can you do either of
> these?

1661. Re: Question: Discriminator output for Uniden 8500 xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-11 03:49:35 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <bvc562llni0rijso13haab0hs800b0fdvm@4ax.com>
Source record: 9041

On Wed, 10 May 2006 18:56:24 -0700, tm <tm@nospam.com> wrote:

>I found two webpages dealing with getting baseband
>audio from pin 9 of IC mc3361. One instructs you to
>put a small capacitor in series with the lead coming
>from pin 9 and the chassis connector, and the other
>instructs you to put a resistor in series and put a
>capacitor across the chassis adapter lugs.
>Which method is correct, or can you do either of
>these?

If you use the resistor/capacitor method, don't change the values -
the components constitute an audio filter, and you don't want to
filter out those frequencies you're putting in the tap in order to
get.

If you just use a capacitor, almost any small one (but not too small)
will do, since it just isolates the scanner from the external circuit.

1662. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-11 06:19:22 UTC
From: Steve Hurstville <nospam.steve_hurstville@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4462d770$0$16008$5a62ac22@per-qv1-newsreader-01.iinet.net.au>
Source record: 9042
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

"Pearl Of Wisdom" <kissmy@ss.com.co.nz> wrote in message
news:eLx8g.1567$S7.1494@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
> Nah I have no need to hop trucks and pay my way like that.
> No need to wage a flame war against a dumbass kid who fucked up.
>
> Just a need to piss BuNtEr off for months on end and post dribble here in
> these groups that ultimately annoys everyone and maybe then
> BuNtEr might stop and think just how annoying it has been all these months
> now reading insane dribble.
>
> Understand?
>
>

No I don't understand, to me the idea of you coming here and reading
something you know is going to irritate you each time you do it draws a
comparison like eating dog shit, whinging about it, tormenting the dog then
eating some more dog shit then pissing and moaning again.
I can't for the life of me apply any reason or logic to that.

1663. Re: Bearcat 800xlt - what type of connector for the external antenna connector

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-11 10:13:29 UTC
From: John <jj.shine@att.net>
Message-ID: <d7E8g.2803$Zf3.2579@trndny01>
Source record: 9043

Thanks - this is very helpful - I'll check it out

"wbertram" <wbertram@aol.com> wrote in message
news:XOKdncW0AM0VLf_ZnZ2dneKdnZydnZ2d@rcn.net...
> corrected copy:
> www.universalradio.com shows 'Motorola male-to-BNC' and 'Motorola
> > male-to-UHF' adapters, part numbers 1455 and 4650, respectively.
>
> wbertram wrote:
>
>> It is most likely that this is a connector type typically used for
>> automobile radio antennas. It is known as a "Motorola" connector. I had
>> an older scanner with a similar connector. Radio Shack used to carry
>> adapters to convert to more common antenna connectors. I don't know if
>> they still do. If not RS, you could do a web search, or try some of the
>> online radio dealers.
>>
>> www.universalradio.com shows 'Motorola male-to-BNC' and 'Motorola
>> male-to-BNC' adapters, part numbers 1455 and 4650, respectively.
>>
>> #1455 #4659
>>
>> John wrote:
>>
>>> The 800xlt has a female connector on the back that is labeled "ext
>>> nt" -
>>> that is about 3/8 " diameter and about 3/4" deep with a center hole
>>> about
>>> 1/8" diameter. Looks like it would take some sort of friction fit male
>>> connector.
>>>
>>> I can't seem to find exactly what kind of connector this is or what will
>>> fit
>>> this. I have a scanner antenna that has the connector cut off the end
>>> of
>>> the cable - looks like the cable is RG-58 or close to that - with the
>>> braided metal and a center solid wire. I went to Radio Shack with the
>>> scanner - everyone scratched their head - one guy said he thinks it's a
>>> "motorola" connector ??
>>>
>>> Anybody know the name of this type of connector?
>>>
>>> John

>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>

1664. Need Uniden BC246T Brevard County EDACS files

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-11 10:57:12 UTC
From: "M.L. Katz" <mcguyver@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <cME8g.14442$ba.6347@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 9044
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone have UASD files for Brevard County Florida? Any help appreciated. Also, Is the EDACS system Narrow or Wide FM?

Mitch

1665. Re: Need Uniden BC246T Brevard County EDACS files

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-11 12:39:39 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <p3c6629jndg6h2rjd2h5ss5qelbn6d5mgg@4ax.com>
Source record: 9045
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 11 May 2006 10:57:12 GMT, "M.L. Katz" <mcguyver@cfl.rr.com>
wrote:

>Does anyone have UASD files for Brevard County Florida? Any help
>appreciated. Also, Is the EDACS system Narrow or Wide FM?

<http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=200> wide.

1666. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-11 14:13:21 UTC
From: BuNtEr <bunter@cbdomain.com>
Message-ID: <44634663$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 9046
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

And then ?
"Pearl Of Wisdom" <kissmy@ss.com.co.nz> wrote in message
news:ATi8g.1159$S7.1085@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
>
> "Damo" <Damo@earlwood.now> wrote in message
> news:44619f7f$0$19062$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
>> Why would you trust someone as untrustworthy as Micheal ?
>>
>> Anyone who gets stung this time is a fool.
>>
>> Damian
>>
>> (Yes, I have had personal experience with the back stabbing SOB)
>>
>
> Did anyone actually say they trust him?
>
>
>

1667. Re: Bearcat 800xlt - what type of connector for the external antenna connector

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-11 16:18:54 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <4BJ8g.100$uB5.11@fe03.lga>
Source record: 9047

"John" <jj.shine@att.net> wrote in message
news:8Qv8g.3562$iH5.3116@trndny07...
> The 800xlt has a female connector on the back that is labeled "ext ant" -
> that is about 3/8 " diameter and about 3/4" deep with a center hole about
> 1/8" diameter. Looks like it would take some sort of friction fit male
> connector.
>
> I can't seem to find exactly what kind of connector this is or what will
fit
> this. I have a scanner antenna that has the connector cut off the end of
> the cable - looks like the cable is RG-58 or close to that - with the
> braided metal and a center solid wire. I went to Radio Shack with the
> scanner - everyone scratched their head - one guy said he thinks it's a
> "motorola" connector ??
>
> Anybody know the name of this type of connector?
>

Answered in ALT.RADIO.SCANNER

Might be wise to "cross-post" in the future, as opposed to posting
separately in each group..

1668. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-11 18:53:02 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <SOadnTif64GNFf7ZRVn-jQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 9048
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

"Steve Hurstville" <nospam.steve_hurstville@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:4462d770$0$16008$5a62ac22@per-qv1-newsreader-01.iinet.net.au...
>
> "Pearl Of Wisdom" <kissmy@ss.com.co.nz> wrote in message
> news:eLx8g.1567$S7.1494@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
> > Nah I have no need to hop trucks and pay my way like that.
> > No need to wage a flame war against a dumbass kid who fucked up.
> >
> > Just a need to piss BuNtEr off for months on end and post dribble here
in
> > these groups that ultimately annoys everyone and maybe then
> > BuNtEr might stop and think just how annoying it has been all these
months
> > now reading insane dribble.
> >
> > Understand?
> >
> >
>
> No I don't understand, to me the idea of you coming here and reading
> something you know is going to irritate you each time you do it draws a
> comparison like eating dog shit, whinging about it, tormenting the dog
then
> eating some more dog shit then pissing and moaning again.
> I can't for the life of me apply any reason or logic to that.
>

Simple, he's a Ko0K!

1669. Re: Bearcat 800xlt - what type of connector for the external antenna connector

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-11 20:32:01 UTC
From: John <jj.shine@att.net>
Message-ID: <5bN8g.6250$re6.1882@trndny04>
Source record: 9049

thanks - not sure how to "cross-post"

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
news:4BJ8g.100$uB5.11@fe03.lga...
>
> "John" <jj.shine@att.net> wrote in message
> news:8Qv8g.3562$iH5.3116@trndny07...
>> The 800xlt has a female connector on the back that is labeled "ext
>> nt" -
>> that is about 3/8 " diameter and about 3/4" deep with a center hole about
>> 1/8" diameter. Looks like it would take some sort of friction fit male
>> connector.
>>
>> I can't seem to find exactly what kind of connector this is or what will
> fit
>> this. I have a scanner antenna that has the connector cut off the end of
>> the cable - looks like the cable is RG-58 or close to that - with the
>> braided metal and a center solid wire. I went to Radio Shack with the
>> scanner - everyone scratched their head - one guy said he thinks it's a
>> "motorola" connector ??
>>
>> Anybody know the name of this type of connector?
>>
>
> Answered in ALT.RADIO.SCANNER
>
> Might be wise to "cross-post" in the future, as opposed to posting
> separately in each group..
>
>

1670. Re: Need Uniden BC246T Brevard County EDACS files

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-11 22:03:39 UTC
From: victhedog@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <e4d762tho844gh5hf94vggmkidr5rmgs3i@4ax.com>
Source record: 9050
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 11 May 2006 08:39:39 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>

better do it fast
will be charging soon

wrote:

>On Thu, 11 May 2006 10:57:12 GMT, "M.L. Katz" <mcguyver@cfl.rr.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Does anyone have UASD files for Brevard County Florida? Any help
>>appreciated. Also, Is the EDACS system Narrow or Wide FM?
>
><http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=200> wide.

1671. Re: Question: Discriminator output for Uniden 8500 xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-12 03:26:47 UTC
From: tm <tm@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <QfudnbHuJ4XonfnZRVn-pA@wavecable.com>
Source record: 8951

Thanks for the replies, I will probably use the
capacitor method.

1672. Company Voice Freq's For Commercial Aviation ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-12 11:29:28 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <LvydnQKJVZEJ7PnZnZ2dnUVZ_vSdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8952

Hi,

Anyone have a reasonably up to date freq listing for commercial aviation,
company frequencies, voice ?

I can't seem to locate a decent one on the web that isn't nearly totally out
of date.

Or are aviation company frequencies totally digital or acars now a days ?
Voice used at all anymore ?

BTW: is it that they all now use Arinc ?

Is Arinc voice or totally digital now ?

Thanks,
B.

1673. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-12 11:59:24 UTC
From: jonz <someone@somewhere.com>
Message-ID: <446478fd$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 8953
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

"Pearl Of Wisdom" <kissmy@ss.com.co.nz> wrote in message
news:tv18g.672$S7.316@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
>
> "BuNtEr" <bunter@cbdomain.com> wrote in message
> news:44608426$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au...
> > please do assist him its like helping a sex afender go ahead. knock
> > yourself out
> >
>
> Funny you said that, we'd all like it if you'd knock yourself out.
>
> Better yet...just knock yourself. You know you'd be better off.
> yaaaaaawwwwwwnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnn
>

1674. 15-->>28 MAY - BIG TSUNAMI ATLANTIC !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-12 15:04:14 UTC
From: TERRE <TERRE9999@hotmail.fr>
Message-ID: <1147446254.709834.18290@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8954

15-->>28 MAY - BIG TSUNAMI ATLANTIC !!!!!!

http://www.savelivesinmay.com/

TRANSLATION FRENCH -->> ENGLISH
http://translate.google.com/translate_t
http://www.erenouvelle.com/newsrazm.php
http://www.erenouvelle.com/newsraz2.php
http://www.erenouvelle.com/newsraz3.php

LOVE AND PEACE
MCE

1675. REALISTIC DX-302 HAM-SHORTWAVE RECEIVER-MINT-$150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-12 16:07:02 UTC
From: "DesertBob Jr." <winchester1886@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1147450022.425537.42430@j73g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8955

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=9724283116&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1

DX-302 REALISTIC SHORTWAVE RECEIVER..MANUFACTURED IN THE EARLY 1980'S..THE DX-302 SELECTIVITY SWITCH IS A TRUE IF FILTER WITH WIDE AND NARROW..WILL ALSO RUN ON BATTERY POWER..HAS A PRESELECTOR TUNE SWITCH..30 BANDS OF 1MHZ ON THE INNER TUNING DIAL..AND THE OUTER TUNING DIAL TUNES EVERY 1 KHZ...RECEIVES FROM 050 KHZ THROUGH 30 MHZ..HAS ATTEN SWITCH TO CUT DOWN STRONG SIGNALS..AND BFO PITCH FOR LSB/USB/CW...RF GAIN AND AF GAIN/POWER SWITCH..HEADPHONE JACK AND COAX AND SCREW TYPE ANTENNA CONNECTOR..THIS RECEIVER IS IN MINT LIKE NEW CONDITION.NO SCRATCHES OR OTHER PROBLEMS WITH IT. .READOUT IS BRIGHT RED AND ALL LIGHTS ARE IN WORKING ORDER AND DIALS TURN FREELY.

This is a communications receiver that covers 10kc - 30 mc in 1 mc bands. This radio is in MINT condition. Original knobs, crisp lettering, cabinet and front panel are excellent. Rear battery box is complete with battery tubes. Large red LED readout, wide and narrow selectivity, AM/SSB/CW capable and much more make this a fun receiver. Original cabinet paint is PERFECT.

1676. controlling an icom pcr-1500 under linux

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-12 20:42:48 UTC
From: ab <abo@TOGLI_QUESTOaxis-net.it>
Message-ID: <4464f348$0$36931$4fafbaef@reader3.news.tin.it>
Source record: 8956

Has anyone ever succeeded in controlling a pcr-1500 from a linux box? The controlling software per se runs smoothly inside the dos/windows emulator "wine" under linux, but is doesn't "see" the USB port.

Three options in front of me:

- write the USB driver under linux (pain!)

- cheat and use a tty (com) driver and redirect it on the USB port (not sure the controlling software would cooperate...)

- find someone who already found a solution and is willing to share...

I'd love the third option.... cheers from sunny Italy,

--
Alex

1677. dumb cbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-12 21:35:45 UTC
From: Norm <kb9ygd@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <Rc79g.116$mU6.43@trnddc07>
Source record: 8957

well i aint never been a diesel dummie! the bigredballon6 is a diesel dummy...well between me and fred and u-know-who who is the dumbest...he he he wheres chuckie

1678. Re: Company Voice Freq's For Commercial Aviation ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-12 23:37:46 UTC
From: Eric Ferguson <GEFERG.KBHR@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <e%89g.5105$fb2.5103@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 8958

I'm still hearing Oakland Radio on 5547 and 5574 (USB/voice) all the time.

Hear they're suppose to go to satellite someday, but good ol' SW is still in use.

As for a listing of all aviation freqs that's up to date on SW, I'd like one as well. Eric

--
Tune Out .KBHR to reply me

1679. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-13 07:28:09 UTC
From: Damo <Damo@earlwood.now>
Message-ID: <44658a8a$0$25127$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 8959
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

On 2006-05-10 20:03:12 +1000, "Pearl Of Wisdom" <kissmy@ss.com.co.nz> said:

>
> "Damo" <Damo@earlwood.now> wrote in message
> news:44619f7f$0$19062$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
>> Why would you trust someone as untrustworthy as Micheal ?
>>
>> Anyone who gets stung this time is a fool.
>>
>> Damian
>>
>> (Yes, I have had personal experience with the back stabbing SOB)
>>
>
> Did anyone actually say they trust him?

Actually just dealing with him requires a level of trust.
Visiting his "web sites" requires you to trust that he has no malicious
scripts etc .

Do I need to go on, or can you see where I am going with this?

Damian

1680. Re: Bearcat 800xlt - what type of connector for the external antenna connector

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-13 12:36:37 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <Wok9g.1929$n14.385@fe03.lga>
Source record: 8960

According to your headers, it appears you are using Outlook Express as your newsreader. Cross-posting is fairly easy with this. When you have the "post new message" window open, (be it for replying or a new post,) up towards the top you will have a few (4 for basic headers), titled "News Server:", "Newsgroups:" (with a folder icon next to it), "Cc:" (with a book next to it,) and "Subject:". If you are reading a group, or reply to a message, your current Newsgroup is probably pre-filled on the line for you. To cross post, you would add more groups to this line, separated by commas. The easiest way to do this is to click the word "Newsgroups". It will bring up a list of your currently subscribed newsgroups to choose form. Select all that you want your current message to be posted to.

This is a good method for a few reasons, the best being that it won't waist as much storage space on the hosting servers, as all groups will have the "same" message (with headers pointing to each group) as opposed to have "separate" messages (of the same content) in each group (i.e. 1 message cross-posted to 5 groups as opposed to 5 identical messages posted to 5 groups.)

People will say that this is trivial in this day and age with all the mass storage most service providers have, but I say it is still a good idea to do just the same. If everybody posted separate messages, things would "fill-up" that much faster.

Hopefully you can understand that... :)
PH

"John" <jj.shine@att.net> wrote in message
news:5bN8g.6250$re6.1882@trndny04...
> thanks - not sure how to "cross-post"
>
> "PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
> news:4BJ8g.100$uB5.11@fe03.lga...
> >
> > "John" <jj.shine@att.net> wrote in message
> > news:8Qv8g.3562$iH5.3116@trndny07...
> >> The 800xlt has a female connector on the back that is labeled "ext
> >> nt" -
> >> that is about 3/8 " diameter and about 3/4" deep with a center hole
about
> >> 1/8" diameter. Looks like it would take some sort of friction fit male
> >> connector.
> >>
> >> I can't seem to find exactly what kind of connector this is or what

will
> > fit
> >> this. I have a scanner antenna that has the connector cut off the end
of
> >> the cable - looks like the cable is RG-58 or close to that - with the
> >> braided metal and a center solid wire. I went to Radio Shack with the
> >> scanner - everyone scratched their head - one guy said he thinks it's a
> >> "motorola" connector ??
> >>
> >> Anybody know the name of this type of connector?
> >>
> >
> > Answered in ALT.RADIO.SCANNER
> >
> > Might be wise to "cross-post" in the future, as opposed to posting
> > separately in each group..
> >
> >
>
>

1681. Re: Company Voice Freq's For Commercial Aviation ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-13 14:46:06 UTC
From: markinillinois@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4752-4465F12E-46@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 8963

For what it's worth, I allocate one scanner just to monitor all 127 airline operational frequencies in the 128.85-132.0 range. I also include 122.95 since it's used by FBO's for civilian aircraft. I program in all of the frequencies for the convenience of never having to be concerned with a new frequency being added at some location.

All I listen to is aircraft, but even for radio hobbiests that don't, these frequencies have a little bit of everything to listen to over the course of a week. While the majority of the comms are routine, there's numerous phone patches to be heard which involve every subject imaginable.

Mark Holmes
Marion, IL

1682. My skank

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-13 14:48:58 UTC
From: Peter Triantafilos <PeterTriantafilos@RARA.net>
Message-ID: <e44ree$k18$1@news.datemas.de>
Source record: 8961
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

http://i2.tinypic.com/zkr5dz.jpg

she used to be hot

1683. Re: Markie writes again to prove he's right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-13 14:55:47 UTC
From: Peter Triantafilos <PeterTriantafilos@RARA.net>
Message-ID: <e44rr8kmj1@news.datemas.de>
Source record: 8962
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
i bang sheep

.
"Not Lloyd II" <Curtains@Glendale.cu> wrote in message
news:e431h7$7it$0@pita.alt.net...
>i cdnuolt blveiee taht I cluod aulaclty uesdnatnrd waht I was rdanieg. The
> phaonmneal pweor of the hmuan mnid, aoccdrnig to a rscheearch at Cmabrigde
> Uinervtisy, it dseno't mtaetr in waht oerdr the ltteres in a wrod are, the
> olny iproamtnt tihng is taht the frsit and lsat ltteer be in the rghit
> pclae. The rset can be a taotl mses and you can sitll raed it whotuit a
> pboerlm. Tihs is bcuseae the huamn mnid deos not raed ervey lteter by
> istlef, but the wrod as a wlohe. Azanmig huh? yaeh and I awlyas tghuhot
> slpeling was ipmorantt! if you can raed tihs forwrad it.
>
> see i tlod you so and you unerdsandt me jsut fine
>
>
```

1684. Re: Ping Group: You can't out-flame each other, so stop trying.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-13 15:04:13 UTC
From: Peter Triantafilos <PeterTriantafilos@RARA.net>
Message-ID: <e44sb4lhn1@news.datemas.de>
Source record: 8964
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
i luv turds they remind me of my son norm

.
"slow Code" <em@il.here> wrote in message
news:Es69g.1639$y4.374@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote in
> news:1147379079.415291.51480@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>> Moderators "tend" to have agendas?
>>
>> Yes, but can you rightly say that "all" of them do? Of course not.
>>
>> I've seen moderated groups that do quite well staying on topic w/o a
>> lot of moderation interferaance. (I help moderate one actually) The
>> moderation policy is farily simple, we know who's posting before you
>> are allowed to post, then after that as long as you are not off topic
>> you are free to post what ever you want. I have yet to see a post that
>> I've had to reject and we've only had a few folks try to join that we
>> had to reject. (Being obviously posted by known spammers.)
>>
>> The moderation policy really determines what sort of "adgendas" of the
>> moderators can be enforced. If the policy is "stay on topic" and "be
>> respectful" how can that be bad?
>>
>> There is also a place for enforcing some general nice things, like
>> reducing excesive cross posting, not allowing "follow up to" headers to
>> unrelated groups and deleteing SPAM. Beyond that you start getting
>> into questions of opinion which I suppose "could" be seen as an adjena,
>> but it's all a matter of what the attitude of the moderation team is.
>>
>> I'd also be a lot less concerned about a "team" of moderators than I
>> would be of just one guy. I've been on the receiving end of an iron
>> fist moderation policy on FIDO net, and the guy who tossed me off his
>> news group was obviously *not* interested in seeing or allowing any
>> differing opinons.
>>
>> So moderation is not all bad, but some is.
>>
>> I don't think we can throw out the baby with the bath water, just
>> because it can be abused.
>
>
> That's like flushing the toilet and hoping the turd don't go down.
```

>
> sc

1685. Re: Company Voice Freq's For Commercial Aviation ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-13 15:20:57 UTC
From: -Greg from San Diego! <electronicnoz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MOm9g.17886$XV5.9007@fed1read10>
Source record: 8965

Mark Holmes wrote:

> For what it's worth, I allocate one scanner just to monitor all 127
> airline operational frequencies in the 128.85-132.0 range. I also
> include 122.95 since it's used by FBO's for civilian aircraft. I program
> in all of the frequencies for the convenience of never having to be
> concerned with a new frequency being added at some location.
>
> All I listen to is aircraft, but even for radio hobbiests that don't,
> these frequencies have a little bit of everything to listen to over the
> course of a week. While the majority of the comms are routine, there's
> numerous phone patches to be heard which involve every subject
> imaginable.

Mark-

I do the same thing. I have a RS PRO2035 programmed with all 720
civil aero comms, and a second one programmed with the 128.850 -
132.000 Mhz range. I also include the 136.0 - 137.0 Mhz (with 136.800
& 136.850 locked out for ACARS) range to catch new comms up there.
I monitor JetBLUE & Aloha both up in this range.

-Greg :) -Monitoring ZLA & SoCAL from SAN! :)

1686. FT: Motorola SyntorX9000 LB dual control heads A9

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-13 17:17:14 UTC
From: "Thanks....." <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <uwo9g.455$cu4.339@trndny09>
Source record: 8966
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I'm looking to trade the my SyntorX9000 radio for one of the following:
FT817 complete
FT8900 complete
FT90 complete

Or
Bearcat BC796T
Bearcat BC396T
Bearcat BC785 w/P25 card

I prefer local for this unit. PA,NJ,DE

Motorola SyntorX9000 T71KEJ7J04AK LB mobile 30-50mhz dual control heads,
handset, and speaker. The radio is complete.
This is for pickup only!!!!! SE , Pa.

pottsladd13 at yahoo.com

1687. REALISTIC DX-302 HAM-SHORTWAVE RECEIVER-MINT-$150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-14 01:12:13 UTC
From: DeserTBoB <desertb@rglobal.net>
Message-ID: <mj0d62p832q4sl1bqfp2ebnta69gd263f5@4ax.com>
Source record: 8967
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

>On 12 May 2006 at 09:09:07 -700, "Charlie Nudo"
<winchester1886@hotmail.com> spammed:

http://cgi.ebay<snip>

STOP THIS SPAMMER!

Google Groupers:

Go to the original post with this subject, click on "Show Options,"
click on "Show Original," cut and paste the whole message including
headers, and send in a spam complaint to:

groups-abuse"AT"google.com
abuse"AT"epix.net
spam"AT"ebay.com (Spammer's eBay account is "66fourdoor")

This spammer shows up under various trash (MAPI) email accounts:

trippin28track"AT"yahoo.com
winchester1886"AT"hotmail.com
nativebrookie"AT"hotmail.com

Due to Google Groups shutting down some of his other MAPI accounts,
the fool is now also spamming from his own POP3 accounts:

analog"AT"epix.net
bb69"AT"epix.net

His home ISP is Epix. His main POP3 account is
thenudofamily"AT"epix.net. ALL his spam eminates from Epix IP blocks.

Those using real newsreaders will already know what to do with this
spamming pest and eBay fraudster. His spam posts are a violation of
eBay's Terms of Service pertaining to Usenet Policy. Be sure to
include that in your report to eBay.

1688. To Mark: Re: Company Voice Freq's For Commercial Aviation ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-14 11:47:16 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <kb2dnXhY7KVbhfrZnZ2dnUVZ_tCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8968

Hi Mark:

Is there a listing of these 127 freq's on the web ?

I looked, honest, but couldn't come up with them.

Thanks,
Bob
"Mark Holmes" <markinillinois@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:4752-4465F12E-46@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net...
> For what it's worth, I allocate one scanner just to monitor all 127
> airline operational frequencies in the 128.85-132.0 range. I also
> include 122.95 since it's used by FBO's for civilian aircraft. I program
> in all of the frequencies for the convenience of never having to be
> concerned with a new frequency being added at some location.
>
> All I listen to is aircraft, but even for radio hobbiests that don't,
> these frequencies have a little bit of everything to listen to over the
> course of a week. While the majority of the comms are routine, there's
> numerous phone patches to be heard which involve every subject
> imaginable.
>
> Mark Holmes
> Marion, IL
>

1689. Re: To Mark: Re: Company Voice Freq's For Commercial Aviation ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-14 16:28:50 UTC
From: markinillinois@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4753-44675AC2-23@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 8969

Bob:

There may be some sites that have them listed, but I haven't done any searching for them. http://radioreference.com has an aircraft forum, so if you're a member of that, you might want to post the same question.

http://airnav.com lists airport FBO's and many larger FBO's have a seperate ops frequency in addition to 122.95 for inbound civilian aircraft. You'd need to scroll down the page of the airport that you select until you get to the FBO section.

I just monitor all 127 128.85-132.0 freqs because that's the easiest way for me to do it and I know that I'm not going to miss anything by doing it that way. If activity pops up sometime on a new frequency, then that frequency is already in the radio.

Mark Holmes
Marion, IL

1690. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-15 02:00:00 UTC
From: stubbers <stubbers@stubbers101.net>
Message-ID: <1147658400.643251.61410@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8970
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

No Damo as a matter a fact I can't see where you, or in fact anyone else is going in this bullshit...

To everyone - If you don't want to visit the site then don't, but don't whinge and moan about a site, it just makes you all like little shits that always got what they wanted. Grow some balls all of you and shut up... Seriously, there is no one that pisses me off more at the moment than you guys...

If anyone has any ACTUAL proof, post it here or email it to me... In other words shitheads, put up or really... SHUT UP... You are ruining a hobby for people who actually want to help... I for one will be linking to both sites from my website (when I update it tonight or tommorrow), so you choose, are you going to prove M did anything wrong, or are you going to keep moaning like spoilt children...

I eagerly await any proof!

1691. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-15 11:18:41 UTC
From: Damo <Damo@earlwood.now>
Message-ID: <44686391$0$20115$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 8971
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

On 2006-05-15 12:00:00 +1000, "stubbers" <stubbers@stubbers101.net> said:

> No Damo as a matter a fact I can't see where you, or in fact anyone
> else is going in this bullshit...
>
> To everyone - If you don't want to visit the site then don't, but don't
> whinge and moan about a site, it just makes you all like little shits
> that always got what they wanted. Grow some balls all of you and shut
> up... Seriously, there is no one that pisses me off more at the moment
> than you guys...
>
> If anyone has any ACTUAL proof, post it here or email it to me... In
> other words shitheads, put up or really... SHUT UP... You are ruining a
> hobby for people who actually want to help... I for one will be linking
> to both sites from my website (when I update it tonight or tommorrow),
> so you choose, are you going to prove M did anything wrong, or are you
> going to keep moaning like spoilt children...
>
> I eagerly await any proof!

Did you read the post I was refering to?

Obviously not.

If you wish to discuss the point I was making so be it, but dont try
and drag me into a discussion I am not directly involved with.
This isnt about websites.
This is about trusting someone.
Someone who has abused trust on many occasions.
I say you would be foolish to trust him again.

Your choice. I made mine long ago and only gave fair warning to those
who may be unawares.

Thank You

Damian

BTB It has been said here that legal action is pending against Micheal,
I wouldnt want to affect anything by posting in relation to such
action.
Notice I chose my words carefully in my posts to reflect that.

1692. Re: ARRL members, I need your help.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-15 22:06:47 UTC
From: BilboeBaggums <fuzzyPCfans@fandirtfuzz.com>
Message-ID: <aae98$4468fb76$d1cc591d$8451@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 8972
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Nice troll. (...worthy of the late Polly from 14.313)

It would never REALLY happen you know.

If hams were ever forced to re-test when their license
was up for renewal, no doubt WHATSOEVER in my
mind that 75% would drop out from Ham radio.

Even the guys on 10 Meter FM working Repeaters and
28.600 simplex what scanner listeners and SWL's monitors
tune into would dry up overnight.

Apart from that ARRL only represents 20% of all
USA at best. Most people know that they are nothing more
than a publishing house which landed a 501C-3 Tax free
status via claiming to be a technical resource and training
NGO org. What a load of sheer bullshi++ery.

Arrl could go belly up tomorrow and it wouldn't
be worth a cup of coffee with Habib Patel at
the local Dunkin Donuts.

"Slow Code" <sc@email.box> wrote in message
news:dT4ag.895$921.164@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> Please email mail this to your Division Directors and Section managers.
> With a loud voice, maybe we can get the ARRL to work for us instead of
> against us:
>
> No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all elements
> required for their license class.
>
> The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>
> Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
> Make the no-code Tech license one year non-renewable.
> sc

1693. Re: Bearcat 800xlt - what type of connector for the external antenna connector

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-15 22:12:36 UTC
From: Hunchy <sx43@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <o17ag.2209$_B5.907@trnddc01>
Source record: 8973

John:

Did you ever get an answer to this? This is very common adaptor/connector.

The guy who said "Motorola" was right.

If you need one of these adaptors, let me know. I'll ship you one.

73,

John

"John" <jj.shine@att.net> wrote in message
news:8Qv8g.3562$iH5.3116@trndny07...
> The 800xlt has a female connector on the back that is labeled "ext ant" -
> that is about 3/8 " diameter and about 3/4" deep with a center hole about
> 1/8" diameter. Looks like it would take some sort of friction fit male
> connector.
>
> I can't seem to find exactly what kind of connector this is or what will
> fit
> this. I have a scanner antenna that has the connector cut off the end of
> the cable - looks like the cable is RG-58 or close to that - with the
> braided metal and a center solid wire. I went to Radio Shack with the
> scanner - everyone scratched their head - one guy said he thinks it's a
> "motorola" connector ??
>
> Anybody know the name of this type of connector?
>
> John
>
>
>
>

1694. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-15 22:54:42 UTC
From: Steve Hurstville <nospam.steve_hurstville@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <446906b3$0$26962$5a62ac22@per-qv1-newsreader-01.iinet.net.au>
Source record: 8974
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

"stubbers" <stubbers@stubbers101.net> wrote in message
news:1147658400.643251.61410@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
Grow some balls all of you and shut
> up... Seriously, there is no one that pisses me off more at the moment
> than you guys...

And if we tell you to get fucked and mind your own business what do you propose to do about it ? I've always determined that those with balls will speak up as THEMSELVES and take on an issue rather than shut up and do nothing. We won't shut up simply because you say we should.... "Ball's" in your court.

1695. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-16 01:06:58 UTC
From: stubbers <stubbers@stubbers101.net>
Message-ID: <1147741618.144610.133120@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8975
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

Grow some balls or get a life either way...

Try spending the time you spend on here bagging out M and carrying out personal vendettas having fun...

Bye!

1696. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-16 03:02:49 UTC
From: Steve Hurstville <nospam.steve_hurstville@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <446940db$0$26929$5a62ac22@per-qv1-newsreader-01.iinet.net.au>
Source record: 8976
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

"stubbers" <stubbers@stubbers101.net> wrote in message
news:1147741618.144610.133120@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Grow some balls or get a life either way...
>
> Try spending the time you spend on here bagging out M and carrying out
> personal vendettas having fun...
>
> Bye!
>

Make me !! How about you do something to make me change.... I'll bag him out whenever I see fit and there's nothing you or he can do about it. If YOU have the balls to do something I'll be only too glad to let you know where to meet me. Michael knows what he has done wrong and to whom, if you want to be his protector and take on the ramifications of what he's done I'm happy as I'm sure others will be to let you be a punching bag.
I'll repeat anything I say in here face to face with the people I say it to Stubby, can you or Michael say the same ? I'm betting Michael wouldn't, he's terrified of people like me.
As for balls, I'm happy to debate, argue and even slug it out to settle it and when it comes to getting a life it would be pretty damn hard to squeeze any more life into my already busy schedule. I'll come meet you and Michael together and you can lecture me on my behaviour then, hows that sound ?

1697. Trunking in Bloomington, IN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-16 03:47:26 UTC
From: peteallen@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1147751246.087678.180110@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8977

Is there anyone in or around Bloomington, Indiana that could give me some assistance on setting up trunking? Do any organizations around here actually use it?

1698. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-16 05:52:32 UTC
From: michael@scanvictoria.com
Message-ID: <1147758751.912849.170630@j73g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8978
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

yawn

1699. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-16 08:38:05 UTC
From: Rob Adams <robadams@ozemail.com.au>
Message-ID: <rn3j629ho2gcoesm4r9gsnb3on7hsva0nq@4ax.com>
Source record: 8979
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

On Tue, 16 May 2006 13:02:49 +1000, "Steve Hurstville"
<nospam.steve_hurstville@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>"stubbers" <stubbers@stubbers101.net> wrote in message
>news:1147741618.144610.133120@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> Grow some balls or get a life either way...
>>
>> Try spending the time you spend on here bagging out M and carrying out
>> personal vendettas having fun...
>>
>> Bye!
>>
>
>Make me !! How about you do something to make me change.... I'll bag him out
>whenever I see fit and there's nothing you or he can do about it. If YOU

Will somebody PLEASE take a measure and finally prove, once and for
all, who has the biggest dick between these two. I'm sick and tired of
seeing the same claptrap on this newsgroup (aus.radio.cb) as I hear of
happening on CB radio in major centers.

Rob.

1700. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-16 08:54:48 UTC
From: NavArea 10 <navarea10@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <e4c40q$7mm$1@otis.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 8980
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

"Rob Adams" <robadams@ozemail.com.au> wrote in message > Will somebody PLEASE take a measure and finally prove, once and for
> all, who has the biggest dick between these two.

> Rob.

Hubble telescope is down for maintennance sorry...

1701. From OP To Mark Holmes: Re: Company Voice Freq's For Commercial Aviation ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-16 11:30:09 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <05WdnXxFL_NdKvTZnZ2dnUVZ_tCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8981

Hi Mark:

Much thanks for all the links, suggestions, and help.
Really appreciate it very much.

Going to be a very interesting hobby for me, I believe; trying to convince myself to get the
996 when it comes out in a few weeks. I guess what i really mean is to convince the wife !

" I just monitor all 127 128.85-132.0 freqs "

Anyway, what I'm curious about is how you arrive at this number of 127 for the frequencies
in the air band ?

Are these listed anywhere as being in a "defined" list of 127, or is it just that when you add up all the ones you have entered, it works out to 127 ?

Just trying to learn more.

Regards and thanks,
Bob

"Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com> wrote in message news:LvydnQKJVZEJ7PnZnZ2dnUVZ_vSdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Hi,
>
> Anyone have a reasonably up to date freq listing for commercial aviation,
> company frequencies, voice ?
>
> I can't seem to locate a decent one on the web that isn't nearly totally
> out of date.
>
> Or are aviation company frequencies totally digital or acars now a days ?
> Voice used at all anymore ?
>
> BTW: is it that they all now use Arinc ?
>
> Is Arinc voice or totally digital now ?
>
> Thanks,
> B.
>

>

1702. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-16 13:08:03 UTC
From: Mick <lalor@bigpond.net.au>
Message-ID: <T8kag.5030$S7.3400@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 8982
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

"Rob Adams" <robadams@ozemail.com.au> wrote in message
news:rn3j629ho2gcoesm4r9gsnb3on7hsva0nq@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 16 May 2006 13:02:49 +1000, "Steve Hurstville"
> <nospam.steve_hurstville@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >"stubbers" <stubbers@stubbers101.net> wrote in message
> >news:1147741618.144610.133120@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> Grow some balls or get a life either way...
> >>
> >> Try spending the time you spend on here bagging out M and carrying out
> >> personal vendettas having fun...
> >>
> >> Bye!
> >>
> >
> >Make me !! How about you do something to make me change.... I'll bag him out
> >whenever I see fit and there's nothing you or he can do about it. If YOU
>
> Will somebody PLEASE take a measure and finally prove, once and for
> all, who has the biggest dick between these two. I'm sick and tired of
> seeing the same claptrap on this newsgroup (aus.radio.cb) as I hear of
> happening on CB radio in major centers.
>
> Rob.
>
It's got me buggered as to why lots of people on this newsgroup keep complaining
about bunter and the other trolls/W***ers and associated morons.
There are a couple really simple solutions -
1)Just add them to your blocked senders/killfile/shitlist or whatever you like to
call it.
2) just ignore their postings by not replying to them that way we don't have to see
the dribble that they have sprouted.
I added Bunter (or what ever alias it is using today) ages ago and then just slowly
added more to the list as the various idiotic ramblings continued.
I've found it has cut the number of messages that I have to read on here by at least
60%. Now if only we can get some of the gullible people on here to ignore the trolls
we might get back to having a decent newsgroup.
--
Mick (:-))
You don't have to be mad to post on Usenet,
but it certainly helps.

http://www.politicsforum.org/images/flame_warriors/
http://zapatopi.net/afdb/
http://babelfish.altavista.com/

1703. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-16 13:46:25 UTC
From: Eddie <ed124@hotmail.comnospam>
Message-ID: <RIkag.5052$S7.1163@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 8983
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

I think all you fucks should carry handbags! Wear curlers in ya hair, and
put PLENTY of foundation on ya faces!
hahahahaha hehehehe
wooooosh

1704. Nashville TV5 gets new news helo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-16 14:50:13 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1147791013.652040.40770@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8984

http://www.newschannel5.com/content/news/19304.asp

Anyone know their freq? (It might be illegal to listen to them if they operate on a news media channel - I am not sure if it is illegal to listen to them if they use a business channel). Peter S

1705. better read markie-queer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-16 15:54:18 UTC
From: an_old_homo@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1147794858.226431.104420@y43g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8985
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

A challenge to all fags and other self-righteous people: WE DARE YOU TO READ THESE SAD LETTERS THAT HONEST FAGS SENT TO WBC.
Unlike the hypocrites of Exodus International and other phony so-called "ex-gays," here's the testimony of honest fags. Unlike money-grubbing heretics like Jerry Falwell and the folks at Focus on the Family who think if they lie often enough about Jesus Christ and can get enough money from enough simpletons, then they can deceive homosexuals into believing they've repented, here are the confessions of sincere fags. As the publican in Luke 18:13 & 14, so is this fag: "And the publican, standing afar off, would not lift up so much as his eyes unto heaven, but smote upon his breast, saying, God be merciful to me a sinner. I tell you, this man went down to his house justified rather than the other: for every one that exalteth himself shall be abased; and he that humbleth himself shall be exalted." His testimony reads as follows:

Testimony 1
"Hi Rev. Phelps, or whoever reads these:

I trapped myself into faggotry when I was 19 years old. While I have to take responsibility for this myself, I can see how our modern American values made it so easy to turn myself into a fag. I can't be the only one who got trapped this way.

In an example of kindness that can kill, society seems to no longer view the sin of sodomy as a sin. Instead, the view now common is that the temptation to commit buggery is an intrinsic immutable personal characteristic, as much as having brown eyes or red hair. Therefore it can't be wrong, so the theory goes.

When I first felt these temptations, I learned not that I was a sinner but that I had 'awakened' to my own sexual identity. I should 'rejoice in this characteristic that makes me the individual I am.' This clever lie not only gave me permission to commit these sins but also indeed put considerable pressure on me to make these acts the mantle of my existence and adopt a life that revolved around the act of buggery. Because after all, to repent and turn from this sin would be 'denying the reality of my identity' and 'only living a lie.'

With the help of an 'understanding' society, I adopted this view. Occasionally I sought help (in the wrong places) for the nagging notion that this was all a horrible, horrible mistake. The apostate clergy of modern psychology told me that my aversion to my 'sexual identity' was wrong, I should let go of my inhibitions and go enjoy the revolving door sex culture of anonymous gay sex.

I reached a depth of despair at my first (and last) 'gay pride' parade. I saw the most revolting attributes of homosexuality flaunted as if they were the hallmark achievements of mankind. Vileness, promiscuity, perversity, and inverted morality (not to mention logic) were all hailed in an orgy of celebration. It was like a bad science fiction horror movie of Hades come to Earth.

As the years passed, it was increasingly more difficult to suppress the growing sense of revulsion I had to those wretched beings with whom I had cast my lot. I left the city every year on the day of the 'gay pride' orgy. I tried to distance myself from the amoral antilogical licentious human garbage that collectively calls itself the 'gay community.'

Currently I still feel the call of this sin, though I no longer act on it. The final chapter of my odyssey of escape from the clever lies of an immutable fag nature is perhaps not yet written. But I pray to God for redemption. I'm not yet sure of the state of my salvation, but if nothing else, I have turned my back on fag sin and all the evil that comes with it.

I used to think you people were evil and crazy and persecuted the righteous. But I am beginning to understand that I have had it exactly backwards."

Testimony 2
"If it's ok with you, I would like you guys to post my story on your site like you did with that other guy. Here is my story below, feel free to correct any spelling. I am not afraid to have my name in there, so feel free to print it.
My name is F**** G*****. I am now 55 and I have AIDS, my reward for a life of faggotry and promiscuity. In my lifetime I have had nearly every venereal disease you could imagine, but none of those could stop me from my life of sin.

I got involved with sodomy at a very young age. My neighbor was an upstanding person in the community. Everyone loved him and his wife. At church he was almost a celebrity. But what I found out that his wife had not, is that he was a faggot, leading a hidden lifestyle. One weekend, my parents thought it would be a good idea for me to go camping with my neighbor while they were out of town. It was a horrible mistake for all of us.

Alone with this pervert in the woods, there was nobody to stop him from exposing me to sodomy and all manners of perversion. Years later, those events damaged my psychological development in high school. I could never maintain a healthy relationship with females. But things got worse in college.

When I was a senior in college, I met a professor that was a faggot. He began telling me that what I was feeling was "normal", and that those who opposed this sort of behavior were neandethals and backward. Soon we were having a relationship. It was then that I had considered myself

gay.

What I want people to know at this point is that the gay lifestyle is not some kind of mirror image of the heterosexual lifestyle. It involves multiple partners, anonymous sex, and many perversions. It seemed that I needed to experience more and more perverted activities to be satisfied. Among the ones I could mention here are pedophilia and beastiality.

So I continued in my life of faggotry, never truly happy. I claimed I was proud of it; I marched in parades and chanted the slogans. But inside I knew there was something wrong. From the first time I called myself gay, I had always turned to drugs to take away the pain of my sin. If I ran out of money for drugs, I thought nothing wrong about going to the nearest rest stop or public restroom, and offering my services to strangers. Nothing the gay movement could tell me could make me happy the way the drugs did. They said that the lifestyle was becoming more accepted, that we were going to be accepted by the country as normal. But I knew I was not normal, and I suspected many others know this too.

Still I was involved in the lifesyle until March 24, 1999. I had in and out of doctors' offices for years, diagnosed and treated for ghonorrea, scabies, and lice. I thought I had hit the bottom when diagnosed with herpes in 1995. But on that day in 1999, I learned that I had tested positive for HIV. The worse thing is that with the lifestyle I was leading, I could not tell you who gave me that virus.

The reason why I am writing this, and using my real name, is because I want everyone to know the truth about his lifestyle. I no longer care about what happens to me. I will be dead soon, and my family disowned me long ago. I am ashamed of what I did to them and god. When I was lying in the hospital bed about a month ago, I learned that what I had done for years was a sin.

Now I felt better knowing that I was right to doubt myself back then. I have repented to the Lord and know that while my sins are now washed clean, my duty in my final months on Earth is to warn the world, especially young males, about the danger of homosexuality.

There is NOTHING normal about it. The media tries to portray faggots as being entertaining, funny, and just like everyone else. But that could not be further from the truth. I know because I have been there. Not a day goes by that I don't regret my sins of the past. If just one afflicted person reads this and changes there ways before having to suffer the pain that I have, then I will consider my holy mission accomplised.

F**** G*****

Philadelphia, PA"

1706. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-16 17:32:51 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1147800771.611210.310710@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8986
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an_old_homo@yahoo.com wrote:
> A challenge to all fags and other self-righteous people: WE DARE YOU TO
> READ THESE SAD LETTERS THAT HONEST FAGS SENT TO WBC.
> Unlike the hypocrites of Exodus International and other phony so-called
get help

no practionaer of medicner would make those claims and these folks
likely will not be in existance long Tennesse shut down the last gruop
> Philadelphia, PA"

1707. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-16 17:42:50 UTC
From: an_old_homo@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1147801370.445689.109090@y43g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8987
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an old friend wrote:
> an_old_homo@yahoo.com wrote:
> > A challenge to all fags and other self-righteous people: WE DARE YOU TO
> > READ THESE SAD LETTERS THAT HONEST FAGS SENT TO WBC.
> > Unlike the hypocrites of Exodus International and other phony so-called
> get help
>
> no practionaer of medicner would make those claims and these folks
> likely will not be in existance long Tennesse shut down the last gruop
> > Philadelphia, PA"

Fuck you faggot.

http://www.godhatesfags.com/main/index.html

1708. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-16 17:57:13 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1147802233.786422.94790@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8988
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an_old_homo@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:

> > no practionaer of medicner would make those claims and these folks
> > likely will not be in existance long Tennesse shut down the last gruop
> > > Philadelphia, PA"
>
> Fuck you faggot.

you label yourself a homo and solict men for sex as you have done here

go get help you clearly area slef hating homosexaul

1709. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-16 19:19:34 UTC
From: markie-is-a-homo@excite.com
Message-ID: <1147807174.339242.183970@v46g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8989
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an old freind wrote:
> an_old_homo@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
>
> > > no practionaer of medicner would make those claims and these folks
> > > likely will not be in existance long Tennesse shut down the last gruop
> > > > Philadelphia, PA"
> >
> > Fuck you faggot.
>
> you label yourself a homo and solict men for sex as you have done here
>
> go get help you clearly area slef hating homosexaul

why don't you go suck a dick and get the fuck off usenet you no good
son of a bitch.

1710. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-16 20:19:37 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1147810777.115590.243110@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8990
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
markie-is-a-homo@excite.com wrote:
> an old freind wrote:
> > an_old_homo@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> >

> > you label yourself a homo and solict men for sex as you have done here
> >
> > go get help you clearly area slef hating homosexaul
>
> why don't you go suck a dick and get the fuck off usenet you no good
> son of a bitch.
why? get help
```

1711. Discriminator tap for pro2054?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-16 22:59:11 UTC
From: frank <frank@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <NNOdnZTVA6CsxPfZnZ2dnUVZ_tKdnZ2d@wavecable.com>
Source record: 8991

Does anyone know where to wire in a discriminator output for the
Radio Shack 2054 scanner? All of the webpages dealing with this
that I find have listings for older scanners only.

1712. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-16 23:04:21 UTC
From: Steve Hurstville <nospam.steve_hurstville@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <446a5a77$0$26909$5a62ac22@per-qv1-newsreader-01.iinet.net.au>
Source record: 8992
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

"Rob Adams" <robadams@ozemail.com.au> wrote in message
news:rn3j629ho2gcoesm4r9gsnb3on7hsva0nq@4ax.com...

> Will somebody PLEASE take a measure and finally prove, once and for
> all, who has the biggest dick between these two. I'm sick and tired of
> seeing the same claptrap on this newsgroup (aus.radio.cb) as I hear of
> happening on CB radio in major centers.
>
> Rob.
>

Why are you interested in mens dicks ? Are you gay ?

1713. Re: Trunking in Bloomington, IN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-17 01:57:16 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <sl0l621p571od0v96pp3dv08t16d4f72t8@4ax.com>
Source record: 8993

On 15 May 2006 20:47:26 -0700, peteallen@gmail.com wrote:

>Is there anyone in or around Bloomington, Indiana that could give me
>some assistance on setting up trunking? Do any organizations around
>here actually use it?

Some. Have a look here, at the second panel down, "All Trunked Radio
Systems in Monroe County":

<http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&ctid=745>

1714. Re: Nashville TV5 gets new news helo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-17 01:58:17 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <ao0l62p5und09inon32thbdm4dilup8ikb@4ax.com>
Source record: 8994

On 16 May 2006 07:50:13 -0700, "zerg90" <zerg90@gmail.com> wrote:

>http://www.newschannel5.com/content/news/19304.asp

>Anyone know their freq? (It might be illegal to listen to them if they
>operate on a news media channel - I am not sure if it is illegal to
>listen to them if they use a business channel).

As long as 1) they aren't using cell phones and 2) they aren't
encrypted, it's legal to listen to them.

1715. Re: Discriminator tap for pro2054?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-17 02:02:40 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <sr0l62djebh2h0pntehik5rh0fus6hsfu8@4ax.com>
Source record: 8995

On Tue, 16 May 2006 15:59:11 -0700, frank <frank@nospam.com> wrote:

>Does anyone know where to wire in a discriminator output for the
>Radio Shack 2054 scanner? All of the webpages dealing with this
>that I find have listings for older scanners only.

Check the number of the discriminator IC for the 2054, then see what chip is being used in the instructions you can find. As long as the chip is the same, the mod is the same - it's just an isolated tap off one pin of the IC. I prefer using a large capacitor coming off the IC (for DC isolation), then a resistor in series and a capacitor to ground (for HF filtering), but either of the usual methods - series resistor, capacitor to ground, or series capacitor, should work pretty well. Just use the recommended values.

1716. Re: From OP To Mark Holmes: Re: Company Voice Freq's For Commercial...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-17 02:45:47 UTC
From: markinillinois@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23154-446A8E5B-731@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 8996

Bob:

128.85 thru 132.0 in 25 KHz spacing is 127 frequencies.

128.850, 128.875, 128.900, 128.925, 128.950, 128.975, 129.000, etc.

Mark Holmes
Marion, IL

1717. Re: Trunking in Bloomington, IN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-17 05:01:10 UTC
From: peteallen@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1147842070.254594.49000@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8997

Yeah, that's the page I've been using for information. I've programmed in all the local frequencies for the SAFE-T system, but I never actually hear anything. I have the scanner on Search mode, and occasionally it will show a TalkGroup ID and play some loud buzzing noises, but then goes back to being silent for several more minutes. I've never heard any one talk on it.

It seems to identify the control frequency just fine and seems to be doing what it should. It's almost as if no one is using it, but from what I've read, at least the State Police should be on the trunked system now.

1718. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-17 07:57:06 UTC
From: Pumper_50 <no1is@home.com>
Message-ID: <mHAag.5402$S7.4481@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 8998
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

"NavArea 10" <navarea10@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:e4c40q$7mm$1@otis.netspace.net.au...

>Hubble telescope is down for maintennance sorry...

****LMFAO****

1719. Re: Trunking in Bloomington, IN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-17 07:57:19 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <dk5ras@dk5ras.de>
Message-ID: <bqll621m8l31gs4316onj85necn68c18a5@4ax.com>
Source record: 8999

peteallen@gmail.com wrote:

>and
>occasionally it will show a TalkGroup ID and play some loud buzzing
>noises,

Encrypted conversations?

1720. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-17 08:12:35 UTC
From: Mick <lalor@bigpond.net.au>
Message-ID: <TVAag.5410$S7.750@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 9000
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

"Eddie" <ed124@hotmail.comnospam> wrote in message
news:RIkag.5052$S7.1163@news-server.bigpond.net.au...

Oh dear another Bunter clone.
Bye bye!!!!!!!!!!!!!

<PLONK>

1721. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-17 09:43:41 UTC
From: Rob Adams <robadams@ozemail.com.au>
Message-ID: <31sl62t7i98p3pbougbhnlgk49bi935270@4ax.com>
Source record: 8901
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

On Wed, 17 May 2006 09:04:21 +1000, "Steve Hurstville"
<nospam.steve_hurstville@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Why are you interested in mens dicks ? Are you gay ?

It's called a Dick Size War you troll, or did you have an effeminate
upbringing?

Rob.

--
ADVISORY: By sending email to the address in the FROM: header you give
me permission to sell your address to spamlists. To stop yourself from
getting on this list email roba(at)mmx{dit}com(dit)au instead.

1722. PCR Remote - version 0.5.020 Beta is Now Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-17 22:18:19 UTC
From: CrazyC <crazy__c_@hot_mail.com>
Message-ID: <RiNag.33200$9c6.19875@dukeread11>
Source record: 8902

PCR Remote - version 0.5.020 Beta is Now Available for downloading from the homepage:
http://members.cox.net/5150soft/PcrRemote/PcrRemote.html

The Server and Remote software allows you to control your Icom PCR-100, PCR-1000 and PCR-1500 over the internet.
Using Audio Brodcast software such as SHOUTcast, Pirate Radio Broadcaster or Windows Media Encoder will enable you to hear your radio from a remote location over the internet...

Updates:
May 17,2006 - Added: Direct Entry of Frequency using keyboard.
May 17,2006 - Fixed: Entry of Frequency when Double-Clicking Frequency Text.
Version 0.5.020 Released 05/17/2006

Regards,
Jay

1723. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-17 22:28:37 UTC
From: Steve Hurstville <nospam.steve_hurstville@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <446ba398$0$26956$5a62ac22@per-qv1-newsreader-01.iinet.net.au>
Source record: 8903
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

"Rob Adams" <robadams@ozemail.com.au> wrote in message
news:31sl62t7i98p3pbougbhnlgk49bi935270@4ax.com...

> It's called a Dick Size War you troll, or did you have an effeminate
> upbringing?
>
> Rob.

I've never had insecurities so the need or desire to look at and compare the size of mens genitals has never risen. I'm kind of suspecting someone has suppressed homosexual tendancies and it's not Bunter or I.

1724. Re: From OP To Mark Holmes: Re: Company Voice Freq's For Commercial Aviation ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-18 02:05:57 UTC
From: SparkS <USNSPARKS@COMCAST.NET>
Message-ID: <q9-dnYK3mbwYS_bZ4p2dnA@giganews.com>
Source record: 8904

Bob,

I've pretty much given up on finding a "master list" on the internet. Try
'airline frequencies' with Google. Is about
as good as I've been able to find.
http://www.freqofnature.com/index.php?m=Aviation%20Monitoring&p=Airline%20Frequencies
has a huge list but it's for CA. Best thing I can recommend is simply doing
a search tween 128.85-132.00 and 136.5-137.0
all 25KCS spacing. You'll eventually come up with your own list and can even
figure out the airport and airline that's using it.

I hear a lot of voice in SE TN so it seems to be the normal mode.

Regards,

SparkS

1725. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-18 09:59:06 UTC
From: BuNtEr <bunter@cbdomain.com>
Message-ID: <446c453b$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 8905
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

Steve Stubbers is michaels best mate and i think he is in on it all.

"Steve Hurstville" <nospam.steve_hurstville@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:446906b3$0$26962$5a62ac22@per-qv1-newsreader-01.iinet.net.au...
>
> "stubbers" <stubbers@stubbers101.net> wrote in message
> news:1147658400.643251.61410@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Grow some balls all of you and shut
>> up... Seriously, there is no one that pisses me off more at the moment
>> than you guys...
>
> And if we tell you to get fucked and mind your own business what do you
> propose to do about it ? I've always determined that those with balls will
> speak up as THEMSELVES and take on an issue rather than shut up and do
> nothing. We won't shut up simply because you say we should.... "Ball's" in
> your court.
>
>

1726. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-18 10:12:51 UTC
From: BuNtEr <bunter@cbdomain.com>
Message-ID: <446c4875$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 8906
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

Steve its easy to see who sucks the cock with these people, and for balls none of them have the balls to back up anything they say, i have and i will if need be.

As for pumper_50 he has no loyalty to the people he associates with and i am speaking of a web site he is a member to that got fucked over by this scab MK.

And for Stubbers well i think he has been apart of what Michaels being doing the whole time, he feels Michael has the sun shining out of his arse amonks other things coming out of there.

I got an email from Stubbers saying Michael has more than proved he has done nothing he has been accused of,
only a persons mother or a persons lover would be that blind to believe that??.

"Steve Hurstville" <nospam.steve_hurstville@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:446ba398$0$26956$5a62ac22@per-qv1-newsreader-01.iinet.net.au...
>
> "Rob Adams" <robadams@ozemail.com.au> wrote in message
> news:31sl62t7i98p3pbougbhnlgk49bi935270@4ax.com...
>
>> It's called a Dick Size War you troll, or did you have an effeminate
>> upbringing?
>>
>> Rob.
>
> I've never had insecurities so the need or desire to look at and compare
> the
> size of mens genitals has never risen. I'm kind of suspecting someone has
> suppressed homosexual tendancies and it's not Bunter or I.
>
>

1727. Re: PCR Remote - version 0.5.020 Beta is Now Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-18 13:35:29 UTC
From: Panzer240 <panzer240@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns97C76BC463457fw190a8@198.80.55.250>
Source record: 8907

"CrazyC" <crazy__c_@hot_mail.com> wrote in
news:RiNag.33200$9c6.19875@dukeread11:

> PCR Remote - version 0.5.020 Beta is Now Available for downloading from
> the homepage:
> http://members.cox.net/5150soft/PcrRemote/PcrRemote.html
>
> The Server and Remote software allows you to control your Icom PCR-100,
> PCR-1000 and PCR-1500 over the internet.
> Using Audio Brodcast software such as SHOUTcast, Pirate Radio
> Broadcaster or Windows Media Encoder will enable you to hear your radio
> from a remote location over the internet...
>
> Updates:
> May 17,2006 - Added: Direct Entry of Frequency using keyboard.
> May 17,2006 - Fixed: Entry of Frequency when Double-Clicking Frequency
> Text.
> Version 0.5.020 Released 05/17/2006
>
> Regards,
> Jay
>
>
>

Well I have it working with both Shoutcast and Windows Media Encoder.

An observation if I may, no flame intended.....

You can remotely tune the receiver as advertised, however it works best as a channleized setup. i.e. Pre programmed channels like a car radio, that one switches stations by pressing a button. Using this method, I have a friend, currently in Egypt, who can listen to the radio station of his choice by pressing the chan button and slecting the channel he wishes to hear. But for real time tuning, the buffering used by both systems, Shoutcast and WME, make it virtually impossible to tune across the spectrum as one would do locally.

It maybe that you could incoropate an audio link in the server/client that does not use buffering. I realize that complicates the whole thing immensely, and that eliminating the buffering amkes the whole thing more subject to the moment ot moment vagarities of the net.

Still a commendable effoert Jay and I do realize it's early days for the project. I anxiously await furhter improvements. Keep up the good work.

Panzer

--
Panzer

1728. Re: Trunking in Bloomington, IN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-18 23:00:58 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <i4vp62l3sr1udadtolcu83ikpet1s968j4@4ax.com>
Source record: 8908

On 16 May 2006 22:01:10 -0700, peteallen@gmail.com wrote:

>It seems to identify the control frequency just fine and seems to be
>doing what it should. It's almost as if no one is using it, but from
>what I've read, at least the State Police should be on the trunked
>system now.

State police are all digital. Are you using a digital scanner?

1729. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-18 23:39:13 UTC
From: Steve Hurstville <nospam.steve_hurstville@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <446d05a4$0$31530$5a62ac22@per-qv1-newsreader-01.iinet.net.au>
Source record: 8909
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

"BuNtEr" <bunter@cbdomain.com> wrote in message
news:446c453b$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au...
> Steve Stubbers is michaels best mate and i think he is in on it all.

Ok that all makes sense. Next race meeting we have at Calder Park I'll head into their neck of the woods and see if they want to meet up.

1730. Re: PCR Remote - version 0.5.020 Beta is Now Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-19 02:33:41 UTC
From: CrazyC <crazy__c_@hot_mail.com>
Message-ID: <b8abg.10663$B42.2207@dukeread05>
Source record: 8910

"Panzer240" wrote in message
>
> Well I have it working with both Shoutcast and Windows Media Encoder.
>
> An observation if I may, no flame intended.....
>
> You can remotely tune the receiver as advertised, however it works best as
> a channleized setup. i.e. Pre programmed channels like a car radio, that
> one switches stations by pressing a button. Using this method, I have a
> friend, currently in Egypt, who can listen to the radio station of his
> choice by pressing the chan button and slecting the channel he wishes to
> hear. But for real time tuning, the buffering used by both systems,
> Shoutcast and WME, make it virtually impossible to tune across the
> spectrum as one would do locally.
>
> It maybe that you could incoropate an audio link in the server/client that
> does not use buffering. I realize that complicates the whole thing
> immensely, and that eliminating the buffering amkes the whole thing more
> subject to the moment ot moment vagarities of the net.
>
> Still a commendable effoert Jay and I do realize it's early days for the
> project. I anxiously await furhter improvements. Keep up the good work.
>
> Panzer

Yep true... Those audio servers do run way too slow for faster frequency
changes, that's why I plan on adding my own internal audio server that will
enable a user to hear changes faster as they happen.
On my test system, I noticed about a 2-3 minute delay using SHOUTcast.
Way too slow for my needs as well...

Another user said that he uses Teamspeak which he states is alot faster...

Jay

1731. Re: Trunking in Bloomington, IN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-19 02:44:14 UTC
From: Fuzzy <pawpaw7@NOSPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Le6dnZWuYoqcrPDZ4p2dnA@trueband.net>
Source record: 8911

peteallen@gmail.com wrote:
> Yeah, that's the page I've been using for information. I've programmed
> in all the local frequencies for the SAFE-T system, but I never
> actually hear anything. I have the scanner on Search mode, and
> occasionally it will show a TalkGroup ID and play some loud buzzing
> noises, but then goes back to being silent for several more minutes.
> I've never heard any one talk on it.
>
> It seems to identify the control frequency just fine and seems to be
> doing what it should. It's almost as if no one is using it, but from
> what I've read, at least the State Police should be on the trunked
> system now.
>
The Indiana SAFE-T System as well as the Indiana State Police are
digital, if you aren't using a digital scanner then you aren't going to
hear anything on these frequencies.

1732. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-19 03:49:43 UTC
From: Pumper_50 <no1is@home.com>
Message-ID: <rfbbg.6698$S7.5955@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 8912
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

"BuNtEr" <bunter@cbdomain.com> wrote in message
news:446c4875$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au...
> Steve its easy to see who sucks the cock with these people, and for balls
> none of them have the balls to back up anything they say, i have and i
> will if need be.
>
> As for pumper_50 he has no loyalty to the people he associates with and i
> am speaking of a web site he is a member to that got fucked over by this
> scab MK.
>
> And for Stubbers well i think he has been apart of what Michaels being
> doing the whole time, he feels Michael has the sun shining out of his
> arse amonks other things coming out of there.
>
> I got an email from Stubbers saying Michael has more than proved he has
> done nothing he has been accused of,
> only a persons mother or a persons lover would be that blind to believe
> that??.

Still sooking mate?
No loyalty huh? You nothing of it.

If Scan Australia is upset with me for not choosing a side, it's simple. I won't contribute to the site and they won't hear from me again. I have contibuted a hell of alot more than that silly dribble you posted about your battles with Mr.Keeping.

But I think it's you who has your knickers in a twist over my not choosing a side, not Scan Australia. I might be wrong, but I'm pretty sure I won't lose any sleep over it if they are upset with me.

I didn't join Scan Australia to wage Web Site Wars you dumb shit, and should I decide oneday to join Scan Victoria it won't be too play one side off against the other.
It was to share, provide and learn info about the hobby.

All you're doing now is turning the hobby related sites into your own political sounding board and battleground, and in all probability you're doing more damage to the hobby than
what was allegedly done by Mr.Keeping.

You Sir, are a fuckwit.

1733. REQ: Police Call Vol2 CD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-19 05:11:13 UTC
From: zildjyn@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <sqkq62h1da97222rq26ir3bqa1jh4jnar4@4ax.com>
Source record: 8913

[No readable article body recovered]

1734. Re: Huge Mil exercise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-19 07:23:22 UTC
From: Jesse <1@2.com>
Message-ID: <Xns97C8227CF350Aewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 8914

"Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com> wrote in
news:1146900410.146213.241180@y43g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

> Avon Park hugely busy lately
> ----------
>
> Something is definitely going on at Avon Park. . .some exercise or prep
> for deployment. It has been one of the busiest three-day periods I have
> every heard at Avon. Multiple FACs (JTACs) on the ground, and many many
> A-10s and F-16s; tankers too of course. They have been starting early
> in the morning and continuing until midnight, with no letup. Comms are
> wonderful: air-ground FACs, air-air, MisReps, AAR. . . the whole gamut.
> Seems like multiple ASOS units involved too.
>
> I am pretty sure, but not certain that the A-10s are MI-ANG birds; the
> callsign and freqs suggest it, but I am still trying to confirm.
>
> Here's your scorecard to follow the action:
>
> ACFT
> Akula F-16 (Homestead ARB)
> Backy KC-135 (Seymour Johnson AFB)
> Battle A-10 (MI-ANG?; RTB MacDill)
> Cannon A-10 (MI-ANG?; RTB MacDill)
> Chief F-15E (Seymour Johnson AFB)
> First F-15 (Langley): RTB Homestead.
> Hog A-10 (MI-ANG?; RTB MacDill)
> Kanza KC-135 (McConnell AFB)
> Mace F-16CJ (SC-ANG, McEntire ANGS)
> Mako F-16 (Homestead ARB)
> Shark F-16 (Homestead ARB)
> Spad F-16s (RTB MacDill)
> Spooky AC-130U (Hurlburt)
> Sten A-10 (MI-ANG?; RTB MacDill)
> Tusker A-10 (MI-ANG?; RTB MacDill)
> Viper F-16
>
> JTACs (FACs)
> Bulldog
> Crowbar
> Gamma Ray
> Iron Cross
> Sabre
> Stryker 22, 26

> Uniform 01
> Whiskey 99
>
> Freqs:
> 125.125 MACE Air-air.
> 126.525 Miami Ctr (MacDill RTBs)
> 127.200 Miami Ctr.
> 138.050 Air-air.
> 138.125 MAKO Air-air.
> 139.800 SHARK Air-air.
> 140.075 Air-air.
> 140.200 Air-air.
> 141.450 Air-air.
> 141.900 AKULA Air-air.
> 142.600 Air-air.
> 143.625 STEN Air-air.
> 238.900 BACKY AARs Boom
> 256.300 CHIEF, SPOOKY w Battle 65 (FAC-A)
> 257.100 CANNONs w Crowbar (MisReps).
> 264.625 Avon; SPADs w Uniform 01.
> 273.550 Jax Ctr (CHIEFs RTB to Seymour Johnson).
> 278.325 IDd by SPAD 35 as "North Primary."
> 281.200 CANNONs & HOGs w Sabre; TUSKERs w Stryker & Bulldog; MACEs w
> Bulldog.
> 285.500 Miami Ctr (Homestead RTBs).
> 285.725 Avon; JTAC Iron Cross.
> 292.200 Avon Park Bombing Range; TUSKERs w Stryker.
> 293.225 Miami Ctr.
> 300.125 CHIEFs Air-air.
> 307.000 Orlando Appr (to/fr MacDill)
> 311.000 MacDill Lightning Ops.
> 317.400 Palm Beach Appr (Homestead RTBs)
> 319.000 Miami Ctr.
> 323.100 Miami Ctr.
> 346.250 Jax Ctr (CHIEFs RTB to Seymour Johnson).
> 349.000 Miami Ctr.
>
Sounds good.
Hopefully they are preparing to strafe and carpet bomb those damn mexicans
invading the border like cock roaches.
Would like to see the look of one of them smuggling vans after it takes a
couple DU shells.

1735. FS Uniden BC-895xlt trunk tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-19 14:38:50 UTC
From: K5IN <K5IN@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <jrWdnRkm6KjhRfDZnZ2dnUVZ_tCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8915

I have the BC-895xlt trunk tracking scanner with ctcss for sale. Scanner and manual are in excellent physical and operational condition $125.00 shipped.

Brian, k5in email me and I can provide a phone number too.

1736. Re: Bearcat 800xlt - what type of connector for the external antenna connector

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-19 17:28:50 UTC
From: John <jj.shine@att.net>
Message-ID: <mfnbg.7$PX3.4@trndny09>
Source record: 8916

Yes - I think I understand - thanks for explaining that

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
news:Wok9g.1929$n14.385@fe03.lga...
> According to your headers, it appears you are using Outlook Express as
> your
> newsreader. Cross-posting is fairly easy with this. When you have the
> "post new message" window open, (be it for replying or a new post,) up
> towards the top you will have a few (4 for basic headers), titled "News
> Server:", "Newsgroups:" (with a folder icon next to it), "Cc:" (with a
> book
> next to it,) and "Subject:". If you are reading a group, or reply to a
> message, your current Newsgroup is probably pre-filled on the line for
> you.
> To cross post, you would add more groups to this line, separated by
> commas.
> The easiest way to do this is to click the word "Newsgroups". It will
> bring
> up a list of your currently subscribed newsgroups to choose form. Select
> all that you want your current message to be posted to.
>
> This is a good method for a few reasons, the best being that it won't
> waist
> as much storage space on the hosting servers, as all groups will have the
> "same" message (with headers pointing to each group) as opposed to have
> "separate" messages (of the same content) in each group (i.e. 1 message
> cross-posted to 5 groups as opposed to 5 identical messages posted to 5
> groups.)
>
> People will say that this is trivial in this day and age with all the mass
> storage most service providers have, but I say it is still a good idea to
> do
> just the same. If everybody posted separate messages, things would
> "fill-up" that much faster.
>
> Hopefully you can understand that... :)
> PH
>
> "John" <jj.shine@att.net> wrote in message
> news:5bN8g.6250$re6.1882@trndny04...
>> thanks - not sure how to "cross-post"
>>
>> "PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message

>> news:4BJ8g.100$uB5.11@fe03.lga...
>> >
>> > "John" <jj.shine@att.net> wrote in message
>> > news:8Qv8g.3562$iH5.3116@trndny07...
>> >> The 800xlt has a female connector on the back that is labeled "ext
>> >> nt" -
>> >> that is about 3/8 " diameter and about 3/4" deep with a center hole
> about
>> >> 1/8" diameter. Looks like it would take some sort of friction fit
>> >> male
>> >> connector.
>> >>
>> >> I can't seem to find exactly what kind of connector this is or what
> will
>> > fit
>> >> this. I have a scanner antenna that has the connector cut off the end
> of
>> >> the cable - looks like the cable is RG-58 or close to that - with
>> >> the
>> >> braided metal and a center solid wire. I went to Radio Shack with the
>> >> scanner - everyone scratched their head - one guy said he thinks it's
>> >> a
>> >> "motorola" connector ??
>> >>
>> >> Anybody know the name of this type of connector?
>> >>
>> >
>> > Answered in ALT.RADIO.SCANNER
>> >
>> > Might be wise to "cross-post" in the future, as opposed to posting
>> > separately in each group..
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>

1737. Re: Police Call Vol2 CD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-19 18:22:13 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <Db2dnW2Os7SikvPZRVn-hg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 8917

<zildjyn@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:sqkq62h1da97222rq26ir3bqa1jh4jnar4@4ax.com...
>
I agree.

1738. Dayton LIVE HamCam -- OBESITY EPIDEMIC ON PARADE !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-20 03:39:02 UTC
From: Garlic Breath <greasygreekno8@yahoo.nosp.com>
Message-ID: <45e26$446e8f6a$d1cc7b16$32315@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 8918
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Watch all the obese BEER BELLY'ed hams waddle on by
in the parking lot flea market at the Hara Arena.

CLICKINZIE HERE: http://wa5kub.com

The camera was in operation today (Friday) and it's
2000% proof positive what the FDA has said all
along about the rampant obesity epidemic in America.
(makes you wonder how many Big-Macs they sell
this weekend especially in and around Dayton)

There is also an OPEN CHAT ROOM on the site where
you can comment on the goings on. Almost as much fun
as being there live.

Trust me folks, you'll see more middle-aged pathetic looking
white men than anywhere else, possibly except being
the local model train show or the next NASCAR event.

1739. Re: Dayton LIVE HamCam -- OBESITY EPIDEMIC ON PARADE !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-20 03:41:29 UTC
From: "kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1148096489.361221.245260@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8919
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Garlic Breath wrote:
> Watch all the obese BEER BELLY'ed hams waddle on by
> in the parking lot flea market at the Hara Arena.
>
> CLICKINZIE HERE: http://wa5kub.com
>
> The camera was in operation today (Friday) and it's
> 2000% proof positive what the FDA has said all
> along about the rampant obesity epidemic in America.
> (makes you wonder how many Big-Macs they sell
> this weekend especially in and around Dayton)
>
> There is also an OPEN CHAT ROOM on the site where
> you can comment on the goings on. Almost as much fun
> as being there live.
>
> Trust me folks, you'll see more middle-aged pathetic looking
> white men than anywhere else, possibly except being
> the local model train show or the next NASCAR event.

god i wish i could call a liar in this post

1740. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-20 08:01:27 UTC
From: FURAX <furax@waloo.fr>
Message-ID: <Xns97C9661C87C3Afuraxwaloofr@193.252.117.183>
Source record: 8920

test

1741. Coast Guard Freq. Modes ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-20 13:39:57 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <3eCdnc1EKZe1gfLZRVn-rw@comcast.com>
Source record: 8921

Hello:

New at this, and am a bit confused over what are the modes used by the Coast Guard
for the following:

345.0

237.9

326.15

379.05

Are these (all) FM ?

The last two are apparently air to ground, so are they AM ?

If someone could pleasae peg these 4 for me, would be most appreciative.

Thanks,
Bob

1742. Re: Coast Guard Freq. Modes ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-20 18:38:30 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <hsnu62t5sj4nj3hc8aj554o1iou55r1dol@4ax.com>
Source record: 8922

On Sat, 20 May 2006 09:39:57 -0400, "Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com> wrote:

>Hello:
>
>New at this, and am a bit confused over what are the modes used by the Coast
>Guard
>for the following:
>
>345.0
>
>237.9
>
>326.15
>
>379.05
>
>Are these (all) FM ?
>
>The last two are apparently air to ground, so are they AM ?
>
>If someone could pleasae peg these 4 for me, would be most appreciative.
>
>Thanks,
>Bob
>

All four of those are going to use AM, Bob.

John Kasupski, Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
zIRC #monitor Admin
http://www.wzrd.com/~kc2hmz/

1743. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-20 20:08:50 UTC
From: notme@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <estu621orbl2m0pht75rh682s20u3lj7k0@4ax.com>
Source record: 8923

test

1744. Re: Dayton LIVE HamCam -- OBESITY EPIDEMIC ON PARADE !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-20 22:38:10 UTC
From: Digital QRM <fatsopopasto@dannonnet.net>
Message-ID: <3996b$446f9ac5$d1cc7a0e$13819@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 8924
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Man did you ever call that one right on the head !!

I also watched the HamventionCam today and I
ain't seen so many big beer gutz floppin over the
belt at the waistline since the day I went to the
St Paddy's Day party at the local Am Legion Hall.

You gotta think how those guys manage to
walk upright without falling over face-first
from the offset center-of-gravity Beer Belly.

Oh well, here's to the future of Ham Radio:

PFHBBLTTTTTT!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Garlic Breath" <greasygreekno8@yahoo.nosp.com> wrote in message
news:45e26$446e8f6a$d1cc7b16$32315@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> Watch all the obese BEER BELLY'ed hams waddle on by
> in the parking lot flea market at the Hara Arena.
>
> CLICKINZIE HERE: http://wa5kub.com
>
> The camera was in operation today (Friday) and it's
> 2000% proof positive what the FDA has said all
> along about the rampant obesity epidemic in America.
> (makes you wonder how many Big-Macs they sell
> this weekend especially in and around Dayton)
>
> There is also an OPEN CHAT ROOM on the site where
> you can comment on the goings on. Almost as much fun
> as being there live.
>
> Trust me folks, you'll see more middle-aged pathetic looking
> white men than anywhere else, possibly except being
> the local model train show or the next NASCAR event.

1745. Re: scanning encrypted digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-20 23:43:00 UTC
From: Matt <slyfox60@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <lu-dnUFEbcdfNPLZRVn-rA@comcast.com>
Source record: 8925

Agreed. Encrypted and digital are 2 very different things. You'll find that most local government systems that are digital are not encrypted due to the infrastructure cost in making them encryptable. Most of the digital scanners on the market will allow you to hear most of what you want to hear.

"Scott" <scanman@scanland.oirg> wrote in message news:Xns97A0A3191E93scanmanscanlandorg@208.49.80.253...
> "Fred V." <fredvp@sbcglobal.net> wrote in news:P67_f.3149$mu2.3001
> @newssvr24.news.prodigy.net:
>
> > Hi
> >
> > Does anyone know if you can scan the new encryped digital transmissions?
> >
> > Fred
> >
> >
>
> Just because it's digital modulation doesn't mean it's encrypted.
>
> If people would do a bit of research, they'd see that.
>

1746. Re: Dayton LIVE HamCam -- OBESITY EPIDEMIC ON PARADE !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-21 01:01:03 UTC
From: Richard Crowley <rcrowley@xpr7t.net>
Message-ID: <126veuemgd97j2d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 8926
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Digital QRM" wrote ...
> I also watched the HamventionCam today and I
> ain't seen so many big beer gutz floppin over the
> belt at the waistline since the day I went to the
> St Paddy's Day party at the local Am Legion Hall.

OTOH, they are out walking around and we are sitting
here at our computers. :-)

1747. Re: Dayton LIVE HamCam -- OBESITY EPIDEMIC ON PARADE !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-21 03:54:13 UTC
From: Stinky Weaselteets <eggyolksoup12@yahoo.nosp.com>
Message-ID: <51f5f$446fe465$d1cc58e7$16801@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 8927
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Richard Crowley" <rcrowley@xpr7t.net> wrote in message
news:126veuemgd97j2d@corp.supernews.com...
> "Digital QRM" wrote ...
> > I also watched the HamventionCam today and I
> > ain't seen so many big beer gutz floppin over the
> > belt at the waistline since the day I went to the
> > St Paddy's Day party at the local Am Legion Hall.
>
> OTOH, they are out walking around and we are sitting
> here at our computers. :-)

True, but I must have counted close to 40 (fourty)
morbidly obese hams seated in those motorized Scooters
that I saw zipping by the HamventionCam today.
Many of them stopped for the camera, got up mugged
4 the camera, then hopped back on and zipped away.
(funniest was the guy pulling a red wagon behind his Scooter
that had a couple of big astron power supplies in it.
Guess he needed one to re-charge the battery ? (grin)

1748. Scanner Backup Program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-21 05:34:47 UTC
From: Zildjyn <zildjyn@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <kvuv62tprm7e87q1nj76fe32u917643o6q@4ax.com>
Source record: 8928
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio.scanner.warez,alt.binaries.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a BC350C and I realize that I can't backup the data. I also have a PRO-99, what can I useto back up the data. I have ScanControl 5.1.1 full but no luck.

TIA,

Zil

1749. Re: Coast Guard Freq. Modes ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-21 07:54:37 UTC
From: SparkS <SparkS@radio.net>
Message-ID: <cbqdnfErT-0hge3ZRVn-jA@giganews.com>
Source record: 8929

Bob,

Here's a site that has a list of U.S. freq allocations. Though a little old
it will give you a pretty
good idea of the modes and tuning steps between freqs. Also the various freq
bands. As others
told you they are all AM mode. The military aviation (MilAir) band is
225-400 Mhz and is almost
always AM mode.

http://aosc.org/alloc.html

Regards,

SparkS

1750. Re: Dayton LIVE HamCam -- OBESITY EPIDEMIC ON PARADE !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-21 16:41:53 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1148229713.000165.191220@y43g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

well having checked in a few times a frankly saw over weight folks than
i thought which of course nothing good about mt expectations

1751. Re: Dayton LIVE HamCam -- OBESITY EPIDEMIC ON PARADE !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-21 19:03:01 UTC
From: JSF <jsf@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <UqydncufpaDwJO3ZnZ2dnUVZ_v-dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8931
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Who in there right mind would pay over $10,000 for a Icom HF radio, then in return to use on CB.

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1148229713.000165.191220@y43g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> well having checked in a few times a frankly saw over weight folks than
> i thought which of course nothing good about mt expectations
>

1752. Re: Dayton LIVE HamCam -- OBESITY EPIDEMIC ON PARADE !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-21 19:06:26 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <SS2cg.75308$F_3.36308@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 8933
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I give up. Tell me...

"JSF" <jsf@yahoo.net> wrote in message
news:UqydncufpaDwJO3ZnZ2dnUVZ_v-dnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Who in there right mind would pay over $10,000 for a Icom HF radio, then
in
> return to use on CB.
>
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1148229713.000165.191220@y43g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > well having checked in a few times a frankly saw over weight folks than
> > i thought which of course nothing good about mt expectations
> >
>
>

1753. Re: Dayton LIVE HamCam -- OBESITY EPIDEMIC ON PARADE !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-21 19:06:39 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1148238399.781405.157810@y43g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8932
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

JSF wrote:
> Who in there right mind would pay over $10,000 for a Icom HF radio, then in
> return to use on CB.
>
who indeed would pay that if you gave 10g on the condciton I sent on
radios gear I would not buy it

1754. Re: Scanner Backup Program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-21 20:44:42 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <9sj172do8qnstpb1goc0je5bgk3kuogg1k@4ax.com>
Source record: 8934
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio.scanner.warez,alt.binaries.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 21 May 2006 01:34:47 -0400, Zildjyn <zildjyn@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>I have a BC350C and I realize that I can't backup the data. I also
>have a PRO-99, what can I useto back up the data. I have ScanControl
>5.1.1 full but no luck.

For the Pro-99 you can use Win99
<http://www.starrsoft.com/software/win99/default.asp>. For the BC350,
it doesn't look as if the scanner has a data port of any sort, so you
can't do what you want. I may be wrong, but Uniden's site doesn't
even list a data cable for the scanner.

1755. FS: Austin Antenna Ferret - New/Unused

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-21 21:47:27 UTC
From: "Michael Melland, W9WIS" <mmelland@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <qtudnZMmirlKQu3ZnZ2dnUVZ_u2dnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 8935

http://www.austinantenna.net/scanner.htm

Selling a new Ferret wideband antenna (desk top receiver or scanners...).
asking $185 plus shipping. For info. see link above...

--
Michael Melland, W9WIS
Redgranite, WI USA EN54lc
http://home.centurytel.net/w9wis/
'Non Sibi Sed Patriae'

1756. Pro-2038

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-22 00:58:02 UTC
From: Rob <robnang34@woh.rr.com>
Message-ID: <u08cg.30160$YI5.11380@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 8936

Would anybody have a schematic on a Pro-2038 scanner? Thanks, Rob

1757. Re: Scanner Backup Program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-22 08:01:36 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@gwi.net>
Message-ID: <9tednUI8io5A8uzZnZ2dneKdnZydnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 8937
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.radio.scanner.warez,alt.binaries.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Simply back up the directory that the scanner software is installed in,
since there is a very good chance that the program stores it's data in the
same directory, in a file. After all, the program has to retain this data
from one run to the next, you see.

"Zildjyn" <zildjyn@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:kvuv62tprm7e87q1nj76fe32u917643o6q@4ax.com...
>I have a BC350C and I realize that I can't backup the data. I also
> have a PRO-99, what can I useto back up the data. I have ScanControl
> 5.1.1 full but no luck.
>
> TIA,
>
> Zil

1758. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-22 08:13:18 UTC
From: BuNtEr <bunter@cbdomain.com>
Message-ID: <44717265$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 8938
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

you Sir are spinless
"Pumper_50" <no1is@home.com> wrote in message
news:rfbbg.6698$S7.5955@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
>
> "BuNtEr" <bunter@cbdomain.com> wrote in message
> news:446c4875$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au...
>> Steve its easy to see who sucks the cock with these people, and for balls
>> none of them have the balls to back up anything they say, i have and i
>> will if need be.
>>
>> As for pumper_50 he has no loyalty to the people he associates with and
>> i am speaking of a web site he is a member to that got fucked over by
>> this scab MK.
>>
>> And for Stubbers well i think he has been apart of what Michaels being
>> doing the whole time, he feels Michael has the sun shining out of his
>> arse amonks other things coming out of there.
>>
>> I got an email from Stubbers saying Michael has more than proved he has
>> done nothing he has been accused of,
>> only a persons mother or a persons lover would be that blind to believe
>> that??.
>
>
> Still sooking mate?
> No loyalty huh? You nothing of it.
>
> If Scan Australia is upset with me for not choosing a side, it's simple. I
> won't contribute to the site and they won't hear from me again. I have
> contibuted a hell of alot more than that silly dribble you posted
> about your battles with Mr.Keeping.
>
> But I think it's you who has your knickers in a twist over my not choosing
> a side, not Scan Australia. I might be wrong, but I'm pretty sure I won't
> lose any sleep over it if they are upset with me.
>
> I didn't join Scan Australia to wage Web Site Wars you dumb shit, and
> should I decide oneday to join Scan Victoria it won't be too play one side
> off against the other.
> It was to share, provide and learn info about the hobby.
>
> All you're doing now is turning the hobby related sites into your own
> political sounding board and battleground, and in all probability you're

> doing more damage to the hobby than
> what was allegedly done by Mr.Keeping.
>
> You Sir, are a fuckwit.
>
>

1759. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-22 08:33:03 UTC
From: Rob Adams <robadams@ozemail.com.au>
Message-ID: <bpt2725a4p9u7p00s1chjh9o2eqoq5snjr@4ax.com>
Source record: 8939
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

On Mon, 22 May 2006 18:13:18 +1000, "BuNtEr" <bunter@cbdomain.com>
wrote:

>you Sir are spinless

spinless??

Rob.

1760. Re: Scan Victoria (Australian Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-22 09:11:40 UTC
From: Mick <lalor@bigpond.net.au>
Message-ID: <gffcg.8720$S7.1376@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 8940
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner

"Rob Adams" <robadams@ozemail.com.au> wrote in message
news:bpt2725a4p9u7p00s1chjh9o2eqoq5snjr@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 22 May 2006 18:13:18 +1000, "BuNtEr" <bunter@cbdomain.com>
> wrote:
>
> >you Sir are spinless
>
> spinless??
>
> Rob.
>
Talk about trying to put a spin on things. (:-P
--
Mick (:-))
You don't have to be mad to post on Usenet,
but it certainly helps.

http://www.politicsforum.org/images/flame_warriors/
http://zapatopi.net/afdb/
http://babelfish.altavista.com/

1761. Regency HX1500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-23 20:26:31 UTC
From: Dan <rxi@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <XdKcg.4958$ei2.2697@trndny02>
Source record: 8941

Does anyone know how to do a reset on this scanner.All I get when I input a freq. is FR ERROR.I hope it is only a reset and not a hardware problem.And yes the freqs I put in are in range of the scanner.Thanks in advance. Dan

1762. uniden bc-246t software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-23 22:54:33 UTC
From: jwb <j.beltz@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <naGdnVqkxfM3D-7ZRVn-ig@insightbb.com>
Source record: 8942
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

what would the best programming software for this scanner be?
thanks jack

1763. Re: Regency HX1500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-23 22:56:35 UTC
From: "A. Pismo Clam" <opalockamishabob@'86DASPAMnetscape.net>
Message-ID: <jqidnZcrf5-BDu7ZRVn-pg@got.net>
Source record: 8943

Dan wrote:
> Does anyone know how to do a reset on this scanner.All I get when I input a
> freq. is FR ERROR.I hope it is only a reset and not a hardware problem.And
> yes the freqs I put in are in range of the scanner.Thanks in advance. Dan
>
>
>
Hi Dan,

Although I don't have an answer for you, I have saved a link to someone
who has the owners manual for $9.

http://www.w7fg.com/manuals.php?manufacturer=Regency&center=

Secondly, this company might be interested in buying it from you. If you
are not interested in selling it, maybe you could call/email them and
ask [nicely! ;-)] if they might know the answer to your problem.

http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/

1764. Re: uniden bc-246t software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-23 23:41:57 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1148427703.597603@www.vif.com>
Source record: 8944
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

ARC by bultel

www.butel.nl

jwb wrote:
> what would the best programming software for this scanner be?
> thanks jack
>
>
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0621-2, 05/23/2006
> Tested on: 5/23/2006 7:41:22 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0621-2, 05/23/2006
Tested on: 5/23/2006 7:41:57 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

1765. PCR Remote - version 0.5.22 Beta is Now Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-24 03:59:10 UTC
From: CrazyC <crazy__c_@hot_mail.com>
Message-ID: <kSQcg.13402$8q.6880@dukeread08>
Source record: 8945

PCR Remote - version 0.5.22 Beta is Now Available for downloading from the homepage:
http://members.cox.net/5150soft/PcrRemote/PcrRemote.html

The Server and Remote software allows you to control your Icom PCR-100, PCR-1000 and PCR-1500 over the internet.
Using Audio Brodcast software such as SHOUTcast, Pirate Radio Broadcaster or Windows Media Encoder will enable you to hear your radio from a remote location over the internet...

Updates:

May 22,2006 - Added: Reverse DNS to PCR Remote.
Can now enter server name like "mysite.mydns.com".
May 23,2006 - Fixed: Direct Entry of Frequency after using any knobs or menus.
May 23,2006 - Fixed: Maximum Frequency for PCR-1500.
May 23,2006 - Added: Minmimal Support for PCR-2500.
May 23,2006 - Fixed: Entry of Frequency using Keypad could have caused a crash.
Version 0.5.022 Released 05/23/2006

Regards,
Jay

1766. Hillsborough County Florida 800 Mhz system

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-24 04:15:53 UTC
From: Old Ambulance Driver <anon@anonymous.org>
Message-ID: <Z5Rcg.2741$eQ4.1075@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 8946
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm not sure if this information has been posted yet as it occurred fairly recently, but here goes:

For those of you who like to listen to the Fire & Rescue traffic from the Hillsborough County Emergency Dispatch Center on the Hillsborough County, Florida Ericsson GE EDACS 800 Mhz system, a change has taken place in how they dispatch calls.

They no longer "simulcast" on the 800 Mhz system and their older VHF frequencies when the main dispatcher (referred to as the "gatekeeper" or the "gate" and often heard being called this by the field unit's) initially dispatches a call for a unit or station to run.

In the near recent past, the "gatekeeper" was heard both on the 800 Mhz EDACS system and their old VHF County Fire Control frequencies (154.130 Mhz for the Eastside stations and 154.175 Mhz for the Westside stations) at the same time, and quite often (except for the very newest stations) were heard paging tones that were used to open up the VHF radio pagers (Motorola, Plectron, etc).

However due to the expense and difficulty in maintaining the VHF transmitter's used to broadcast the VHF radio pagers tones, it was decided to pull the plug on the decades old VHF radio pagers (Motorola, Plectron, etc) and use telephone based pagers that are tied into the EDC computer and when a call is dispatched, a signal is sent to the telephone paging server to broadcast a page to that unit and also a signal is sent to the station to generate a "Tear and Go" or "Grab and Go" printout of the call information that goes with them to the call.

So in a nutshell, there is no longer any VHF traffic to be heard for Hillsborough County Fire & Rescue, just the Ericsson GE EDACS 800 Mhz system, no more pager tones to alert scanner listeners that a call is going out, instead the "gatekeeper" announces "Emergency Call" or "Non Emergency Call" (as the case might be) and then gives out the call information once.

There is one "old timer" dispatcher there who has a real deep voice who likes to pretend he is AOL with mail, as in "Emergency Call", I think he does it just to pass the time and amuse himself, but it gets your attention, listen out for him sometimes, he never seems to get excited about anything, but just sounds tired all of the time, (somebody told me that he's been there almost 30 years!)

When the unit's respond they respond on their appropriate frequency (TAC 1 for East and South stations and TAC 2 for North and West stations) and when the Rescue Ambulances come available from the hospital (or scene if no pick

up), they come available on the "gatekeeper" main dispatcher (the Engines do this on the TAC frequencies), this seems to have something to do with zoning as the Rescue Ambulances are moved around more often than the Engines to cover empty areas of coverage.

Hope this information is useful to both old timers and newcomers to the field of radio scanning.

"Old Ambulance Guy"

1767. WTB 7855 tube

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-24 13:01:07 UTC
From: guido <acamil@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1148475667.760203.253490@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8947

WTB 7855 tube
I need 1 piece of ML7855. Could be made by EIMAC/CPI,
Machlett/Raytheeon, GE/MPD.
Please let me know price and condition by email. Thank you.

1768. Re: PCR Remote - version 0.5.22 Beta is Now Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-24 15:10:41 UTC
From: SamSez <samtheman@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <Xns97CD718E73DCDsamthemanverizonnet@199.45.49.11>
Source record: 8948

"CrazyC" <crazy__c_@hot_mail.com> wrote in
news:kSQcg.13402$8q.6880@dukeread08:

> PCR Remote - version 0.5.22 Beta is Now Available for downloading from
> the homepage:
> http://members.cox.net/5150soft/PcrRemote/PcrRemote.html
>
> The Server and Remote software allows you to control your Icom
> PCR-100, PCR-1000 and PCR-1500 over the internet.
> Using Audio Brodcast software such as SHOUTcast, Pirate Radio
> Broadcaster
> or Windows Media Encoder will enable you to hear your radio from a
> remote
> location over the internet...
>
> Updates:
>
> May 22,2006 - Added: Reverse DNS to PCR Remote.
> Can now enter server name like "mysite.mydns.com".
> May 23,2006 - Fixed: Direct Entry of Frequency after using any
> knobs or
> menus.
> May 23,2006 - Fixed: Maximum Frequency for PCR-1500.
> May 23,2006 - Added: Minmimal Support for PCR-2500.
> May 23,2006 - Fixed: Entry of Frequency using Keypad could have
> caused a
> crash.
> Version 0.5.022 Released 05/23/2006
>
> Regards,
> Jay
>
>
>
>

Jay - I looked at your previous beta, and I was quite impressed. The problem is, I'm an idiot when it comes to setting up remote audio streaming. Is there any simplified guide you could point out that would get me started -- preferably something that doesn't involve going through a 're-distribution' server, but just direct pc to pc? Thanks!
[please respond via this group]

1769. Re: PCR Remote - version 0.5.22 Beta is Now Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-24 17:40:27 UTC
From: CrazyC <crazy__c_@hot_mail.com>
Message-ID: <fU0dg.27424$4H.20826@dukeread03>
Source record: 8949

"SamSez" wrote in message
>
> Jay - I looked at your previous beta, and I was quite impressed. The
> problem is, I'm an idiot when it comes to setting up remote audio
> streaming. Is there any simplified guide you could point out that would
> get me started -- preferably something that doesn't involve going through
> a 're-distribution' server, but just direct pc to pc? Thanks!
> [please respond via this group]

To set up a SHOUTcast server you need to download and install 3 files.
1) Winamp music (Basic) player Bundle:
http://www.winamp.com/player/free.php
2) Download the SHOUTcast DSP Plug-In for Winamp 5.x.
http://www.shoutcast.com/download/broadcast.phtml
3) Shoutcast server.
http://www.shoutcast.com/download/serve.phtml

The shoutcast server page tells more about installing and configuring.
It's really easy to set-up and configure...
Jay

1770. Re: PCR Remote - version 0.5.22 Beta is Now Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-24 19:20:40 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <4dc972htlj79jgj0abq9034sir77beb296@4ax.com>
Source record: 8950

On Wed, 24 May 2006 15:10:41 GMT, SamSez <samtheman@verizon.net>
wrote:

>Jay - I looked at your previous beta, and I was quite impressed. The
>problem is, I'm an idiot when it comes to setting up remote audio
>streaming. Is there any simplified guide you could point out that would
>get me started -- preferably something that doesn't involve going through
>a 're-distribution' server, but just direct pc to pc? Thanks!
>[please respond via this group]

<http://scancapecod.us/xoops/modules/tinycontent/index.php?id=16>
<http:www.webdingers.com/scanner.html>

1771. MilSatCom heard in the clear: 261.575

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-24 20:28:08 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1148502488.122841.186040@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8801

It is 4:18pm EDT (2018z).
"Halifax" was just up in the clear on Milsatcom 261.575:
"Any station this net, any station this net, this is Halifax, Halifax."
He repeated the call; no joy either time.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/

1772. Re: Regency HX1500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-24 22:27:51 UTC
From: "robert.e.king" <robert.e.king@cox.net>
Message-ID: <n45dg.4092$AB3.65@fed1read02>
Source record: 8802

Dan,

Try this:

1. Press MANUAL

2. Press keys corresponding to desired frequency and
then the ENTER key.

3. Press keys corresponding to desired channel number
for this frequency (use 0 to preceed single digit channel
numbers).

4. Repeat steps 2 and 3 for entering another frequency
in another channel.

5. If a non-allowed frequency is entered the display will show Fr Err.

Bob

"Dan" <rxi@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:XdKcg.4958$ei2.2697@trndny02...
> Does anyone know how to do a reset on this scanner.All I get when I input
a
> freq. is FR ERROR.I hope it is only a reset and not a hardware problem.And
> yes the freqs I put in are in range of the scanner.Thanks in advance. Dan
>
>

1773. Questions On Simplex, Duplex, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-24 22:36:24 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <JvadnY8dHKN4QunZRVn-iA@comcast.com>
Source record: 8803

Can someone please explain the major differences between simplex, half duplex, full duplex, etc. ?

What is there inherent in the theory that prevents two way conversation, like on a phone line, in the simplex mode (such as in maritime VHF/fm ship to shore conversations) ?

Thanks,
Bob

1774. Re: Questions On Simplex, Duplex, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-24 23:26:03 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <fY5dg.4403$XR6.3470@newsfe2-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 8804

the inherent theory is one of simple logic really:

Simplex involves only one freq, either party can use it but it is like a game of ping-ping
only one can transmit [TX] at the same time, the other must listen [RX].

Half-Duplex or more correctly: Split, involves two freqs, but again they cannot be used at the same time
one station can only TX whilst the other must RX. [but talk-through can be enabled by a remote base station].

Full Duplex is like a phone conversation, two freqs, both parties can RX & TX at the same time.

sadly, these terms are often misinterpreted or used to describe radio systems incorrectly.
esp in scanner listings!

mike

"Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com> wrote in message news:JvadnY8dHKN4QunZRVn-iA@comcast.com...
> Can someone please explain the major differences between simplex, half
> duplex, full duplex, etc. ?
>
> What is there inherent in the theory that prevents two way conversation,
> like on a phone line, in the simplex mode (such as in maritime VHF/fm ship
> to shore conversations) ?
>
> Thanks,
> Bob
>

1775. Re: PCR Remote - version 0.5.22 Beta is Now Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-25 00:03:45 UTC
From: SamSez <samtheman@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <Xns97CDCBEFE51A1samthemanverizonnet@199.45.49.11>
Source record: 8805

"CrazyC" <crazy__c_@hot_mail.com> wrote in
news:fU0dg.27424$4H.20826@dukeread03:

>
> "SamSez" wrote in message
>>
>> Jay - I looked at your previous beta, and I was quite impressed. The
>> problem is, I'm an idiot when it comes to setting up remote audio
>> streaming. Is there any simplified guide you could point out that
>> would get me started -- preferably something that doesn't involve
>> going through a 're-distribution' server, but just direct pc to pc?
>> Thanks! [please respond via this group]
>
> To set up a SHOUTcast server you need to download and install 3 files.
> 1) Winamp music (Basic) player Bundle:
> http://www.winamp.com/player/free.php
> 2) Download the SHOUTcast DSP Plug-In for Winamp 5.x.
> http://www.shoutcast.com/download/broadcast.phtml
> 3) Shoutcast server.
> http://www.shoutcast.com/download/serve.phtml
>
> The shoutcast server page tells more about installing and configuring.
> It's really easy to set-up and configure...
> Jay
>
>
>

I looked at shoutcast, but doesn't that require using their service? Or
am I misunderstanding it?

I'd really like to setup just direct pc-pc access [I'm not sure I'd even
ever use it outside my home network -- just pc to pc].

Thanks!

1776. Re: PCR Remote - version 0.5.22 Beta is Now Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-25 00:54:33 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <dvv972hjop6jpkjul874o34ads45gaqe31@4ax.com>
Source record: 8806

On Thu, 25 May 2006 00:03:45 GMT, SamSez <samtheman@verizon.net>
wrote:

>I looked at shoutcast, but doesn't that require using their service? Or
>am I misunderstanding it?

>I'd really like to setup just direct pc-pc access [I'm not sure I'd even
>ever use it outside my home network -- just pc to pc].

Winamp with the Oddcast plugin and Icecast are free, simple to set up
and you don't need anything else.

1777. Re: Questions On Simplex, Duplex, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-25 01:33:01 UTC
From: Reed <reedh@rmi.net>
Message-ID: <hP7dg.7331$y4.4616@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8807

Robert11 wrote:
> Can someone please explain the major differences between simplex, half
> duplex, full duplex, etc. ?
>
> What is there inherent in the theory that prevents two way conversation,
> like on a phone line, in the simplex mode (such as in maritime VHF/fm ship
> to shore conversations) ?
>
> Thanks,
> Bob
>
>
Info here may help explain
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Duplex_%28telecommunications%29

1778. Re: PCR Remote - version 0.5.22 Beta is Now Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-25 02:57:35 UTC
From: SamSez <samtheman@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <Xns97CDE95FF7F73samthemanverizonnet@199.45.49.11>
Source record: 8808

Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in
news:dvv972hjop6jpkjul874o34ads45gaqe31@4ax.com:

> On Thu, 25 May 2006 00:03:45 GMT, SamSez <samtheman@verizon.net>
> wrote:
>
>>I looked at shoutcast, but doesn't that require using their service? Or
>>am I misunderstanding it?
>
>>I'd really like to setup just direct pc-pc access [I'm not sure I'd even
>>ever use it outside my home network -- just pc to pc].
>
> Winamp with the Oddcast plugin and Icecast are free, simple to set up
> and you don't need anything else.

Thanks -- I'll check that out.

1779. Re: Questions On Simplex, Duplex, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-25 05:44:00 UTC
From: SparkS <SparkS@radio.net>
Message-ID: <WL6dnQj86J-92ejZnZ2dnUVZ_vadnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 8809

Bob,

As a retired USN radioman I'd like to take a stab at this. If the few remaining grey matter cells will
cooperate. I didn't read the Wiki article, I'll do that next to see if it's correct. GGGGGGGG

Simlex is a one way only circuit. Like a fleet broadcast. Or a TV or Am/FM radio station. It uses a single freq.
One side transmits and if all goes well the other side is able to copy what's being sent. It's not always necessary
to have two way comms. Cable TV used to be basically a simplex ckt but know there's all sorts of Buck Rogers
stuff out like interactive cable.

Duplex is silmultaneous comms both ways. It requires two separate freqs, one for send the other for receive.
A ship shore RTTY circuit on HF is an example. Each side can be "talking" to the other side using a TTY machine.
(Okay so TTY machines went out with the buggy whip. It's still a valid concept.)

Half Duplex is as the name suggests nothing but back and forth comms on a single freq but NOT at the same time.
Two way radios are a good example. One side talks and other listens and then the other side talks and the first side listens.

Have you ever tried to talk on a phone while the other person is talking? Sure, but it doesn't work because you
both end up talking on top of each other. So yes it's 'possible' for both sides to talk at the same time but this is not
a very good solution if the idea is to communicate. When you get into AM modulated voice when you combine two
voice freqs the two freqs actually add and at the same time subtract from each other. I realize that may not make
sense but I don't want to get too technical. Am sure Wiki can and has done a much better job that I can.

Before everyone jumps all over me I'm talking about very basic ideas and not any of the more modern modes
like cell fones and digital and trunking radio systems and satellite etc etc etc. As someone once said "the times they
are a changin'" but no matter how marvelous things get the basics will always remain the same.

Regards,

SparkS

1780. Re: Windows text editor with color?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-25 17:36:24 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <4dm88pF1apgplU1@individual.net>
Source record: 8810

"Evan Platt" <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote in message
news:et59729f2vi7hqqjroqsh8sfjhghvntunk@4ax.com...
> I'm looking for a Windows bsed text file editor (I believe it was
> advertised as a HTML editor) but also worked obviously with any file.
> It had some built in color setups, and you could add certain rules to
> say for other languages, ie C, Basic, etc. I thought it was freeware.
>
> I googled, but "freeware color html editor" is getting millions of
> results, none of any help.
>
> Thanks. :-D
>
> Evan

Do a search for TextPad.

1781. Re: Questions On Simplex, Duplex, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-25 19:49:28 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <mg1c729u6q17mjtd5v6ltdtv7rpgkglnkk@4ax.com>
Source record: 8811

On Thu, 25 May 2006 05:44:00 GMT, "SparkS" <SparkS@radio.net> wrote:

> As someone once said "the times they
>are a changin' "

Bob Dylan.

1782. Windows text editor with color?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-26 00:51:38 UTC
From: "newbie2@aohell.com" <aaa@bbb.com>
Message-ID: <b1kc72hjd5uchs5ts3c33d2pq5s3usvish@4ax.com>
Source record: 8812

Crimson Editor or Notepad

also see here:
http://www.infopackets.com/channels/en/windows/gazette/2004/20041103_color_coded_html_text_editor_freeware.htm

If you got access to warez, look into Ultra Edit 12 (not freeware)

==========
On Wed, 24 May 2006 10:30:39 -0700, Evan Platt
<evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote:

>I'm looking for a Windows bsed text file editor (I believe it was
>advertised as a HTML editor) but also worked obviously with any file.
>It had some built in color setups, and you could add certain rules to
>say for other languages, ie C, Basic, etc. I thought it was freeware.
>
>I googled, but "freeware color html editor" is getting millions of
>results, none of any help.
>
>Thanks. :-D
>
>Evan

1783. Re: Windows text editor with color?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-26 04:29:41 UTC
From: Bill Salisbury <bsalisbu@starstream.net>
Message-ID: <qIudnQZk-uyoGevZRVn-rQ@starstream.net>
Source record: 8813

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

newbie2@aohell.com wrote:
> Crimson Editor or Notepad
>
> also see here:
> http://www.infopackets.com/channels/en/windows/gazette/2004/20041103_color_coded_html_te
xt_editor_freeware.htm
>
> If you got access to warez, look into Ultra Edit 12 (not freeware)
>
> ========================================
> On Wed, 24 May 2006 10:30:39 -0700, Evan Platt
> <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote:
>
>> I'm looking for a Windows bsed text file editor (I believe it was
>> advertised as a HTML editor) but also worked obviously with any file.
>> It had some built in color setups, and you could add certain rules to
>> say for other languages, ie C, Basic, etc. I thought it was freeware.
>>
>> I googled, but "freeware color html editor" is getting millions of
>> results, none of any help.
>>
>> Thanks. :-D
>>
>> Evan
Also look at ultraedit. It will do what you want and much more.

Bill
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.4.3 (MingW32)
Comment: Using GnuPG with Mozilla - http://enigmail.mozdev.org

iD8DBQFEdoQ1KmK3r6t7IoIRAsctAJ9rmGM8y7xZ5WzIKts7tQvK/henOgCfW8sG
LtqbjPbhe3rQBro/A3UoQbw=
=ppgb
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

1784. Re: Regency HX1500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-26 17:54:48 UTC
From: ab <abo@TOGLI_QUESTOaxis-net.it>
Message-ID: <447740e9$0$14775$4fafbaef@reader4.news.tin.it>
Source record: 8814

Dan wrote:

> Does anyone know how to do a reset on this scanner.All I get when I input
> a freq. is FR ERROR.I hope it is only a reset and not a hardware
> problem.And
> yes the freqs I put in are in range of the scanner.Thanks in advance. Dan
>
I retired this scanner a long time ago. Nonetheless, I remember that such
"FR ERROR" used to happen when the batteries where under 6 volts. The only
way to be able to tune the Regency back into its range was to change the
batteries or switch into the AC power supply.

I am not sure this is your case, it was mine many times over and over.

Cheers from sunny Italy,

--
AB / Swl I2-57354

1785. For Sale : Optoelectronics Xplorer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-26 18:16:28 UTC
From: James Braun <jsbraun@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <0CHdg.2083$oa1.1976@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 8815

Optoelectronics Xplorer Test Receiver.
Which is a handheld , rechargable unit that does it all.
Sweeps range of 30mhz to 2ghz in less than 1 second, and allows you to
listen to automatically demodulated audio on built in speaker.
It will decode and display Deviation, CTCSS, DCS, and DTMF in addition
to frequency display.
Can be interfaced with any compatible NMEA-0183 GPS type receiver.
Has built in computer interface with cable.
It is in excellent condition, and comes with: case, charger, antenna,
and box.
Asking $400 or reasonable offer

1786. Red Lights Blue lights forsale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-27 13:10:36 UTC
From: r392 <r392@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <gdYdg.1976$go4.828@trndny05>
Source record: 8816

I have a number or Dash Laser Lights for sale in red blue and combo red and blue.
$15 each with new fused lighter plug cords no magnets. They are $15 each
see website for more.
Doug
http://mysite.verizon.net/vzeeiuy2/id1.html

1787. ACARS Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-27 18:59:18 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <BM6dnYF8WJEaPOXZRVn-uQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 8817

Hi,

Anyone know if these two acars decoder programs will decode HF acars:

acarsd

Wacars

Also, when and where is HF acars used as compared to the more common, I think, VHF acars ?

Thanks,
Bob

1788. Re: Hillsborough County Florida 800 Mhz system

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-28 00:42:02 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <pdsh7299muskhgkt99ulrjdq8j21v7thrb@4ax.com>
Source record: 8818

On Fri, 26 May 2006 22:51:47 -0400,
xxnonexspamxnonexx@tampascanner.info wrote:

>On Wed, 24 May 2006 04:15:53 GMT, "Old Ambulance Driver" <anon@anonymous.org>
>wrote:

>>generate a "Tear and Go" or "Grab and Go" printout of the call information
>>that goes with them to the call.

>Its more commonly known as "rip & run."

And probably a dozen other things, depending on where you are.

1789. FS AN/GRR-24 Receiver UHF 243 MHz Guard Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-28 02:20:06 UTC
From: **THE-RFI-EMI-GUY** <rhyolite@nettally.com>
Message-ID: <qN7eg.10813$cB3.5992@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 8819
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

For Sale AN/GRR-24 Receiver UHF 243 MHz Guard Receiver

Untested (no ac cord), appears complete, case has wear.

$75 plus shipping

--
Joe Leikhim K4SAT
"The RFI-EMI-GUY"ï¿½

"Treason doth never prosper: what's the reason?
For if it prosper, none dare call it treason."

"Follow The Money" ;-P

1790. Re: FS AN/GRR-24 Receiver UHF 243 MHz Guard Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-28 02:25:49 UTC
From: **THE-RFI-EMI-GUY** <rhyolite@nettally.com>
Message-ID: <NS7eg.10815$cB3.6454@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 8820
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Here is link to a webpage with specs.

http://www.columbiaelectronics.com/an_grr_24___an_grr_24_receivers.htm

THE-RFI-EMI-GUY wrote:

> For Sale AN/GRR-24 Receiver UHF 243 MHz Guard Receiver
>
> Untested (no ac cord), appears complete, case has wear.
>
> $75 plus shipping
>

--
Joe Leikhim K4SAT
"The RFI-EMI-GUY"ï¿½

"Treason doth never prosper: what's the reason?
For if it prosper, none dare call it treason."

"Follow The Money" ;-P

1791. Re: Hillsborough County Florida 800 Mhz system

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-28 13:51:49 UTC
From: JSF <jsf@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <eeudncNU8ohhN-TZRVn-pA@comcast.com>
Source record: 8821

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:pdsh7299muskhgkt99ulrjdq8j21v7thrb@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 26 May 2006 22:51:47 -0400,
> xxnonexspamxnonexx@tampascanner.info wrote:
>
>>On Wed, 24 May 2006 04:15:53 GMT, "Old Ambulance Driver"
>><anon@anonymous.org>
>>wrote:
>
>>>generate a "Tear and Go" or "Grab and Go" printout of the call
>>>information
>>>that goes with them to the call.
>
>>Its more commonly known as "rip & run."
>
> And probably a dozen other things, depending on where you are.

That would be grate for the new Tolet Paper printer made in Japan.

1792. Re: scanning encrypted digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-28 17:11:18 UTC
From: Korbin Dallas <korbindallas@dogeit.com>
Message-ID: <WQkeg.8678$U_2.1952@trnddc05>
Source record: 8822

On Sat, 20 May 2006 17:43:00 -0600, Matt wrote:

> Agreed. Encrypted and digital are 2 very different things. You'll find that
> most local government systems that are digital are not encrypted due to the
> infrastructure cost in making them encryptable. Most of the digital scanners
> on the market will allow you to hear most of what you want to hear.
>
> "Scott" <scanman@scanland.oirg> wrote in message
> news:Xns97A0A3191E93scanmanscanlandorg@208.49.80.253...
>> "Fred V." <fredvp@sbcglobal.net> wrote in news:P67_f.3149$mu2.3001
>> @newssvr24.news.prodigy.net:
>>
>> > Hi
>> >
>> > Does anyone know if you can scan the new encryped digital transmissions?
>> >
>> > Fred
>> >
>> >
>>
>> Just because it's digital modulation doesn't mean it's encrypted.
>>
>> If people would do a bit of research, they'd see that.
>>

If the system in question is an APCO P-25 system then encryption is built
into the system. All they need to do is program all radios with a Key.
As far as I know P-25 cant exchange keys over the air, a flay in my
opinion.

Kd

1793. APRSpoint: memorial day special

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-28 17:42:47 UTC
From: "hxc98@yahoo.com" <hxc98@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1148838167.405371.201950@j73g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8823

APRSPoint is the latest APRS implementation and it is being used in vehicle tracking, search and rescue, emergency communication and many other areas. It allows you to track persons or objects via rf or internet. It is constantly being updated with new features and improvements. We are offerring a special discount for this memorial day weekend. Please visit http://www.aprspoint.com for details and lots of helpful information about APRS. Thanks.

1794. Re: Hillsborough County Florida 800 Mhz system

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-29 00:17:43 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <l8fk72hfaiubke5u27ececggaik09bom09@4ax.com>
Source record: 8824

On Sun, 28 May 2006 08:51:49 -0500, "JSF" <jsf@yahoo.net> wrote:

>That would be grate for the new Tolet Paper printer made in Japan.

Before there was Japan, Inc., there was UPI - we used to "rip & read"
the teletype news -rip it off the printer about 300 ms before air time
and try not to pant as we started reading. (Reminds me of an incident
in which someone lit the bottom end of a rip while the newscaster,
standing, was reading down from the top.)

1795. Re: scanning encrypted digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-29 00:20:58 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <pdfk72p9js4k1vgod4dsk2fkntdhuc1cqc@4ax.com>
Source record: 8825

On Sun, 28 May 2006 17:11:18 GMT, Korbin Dallas
<korbindallas@dogeit.com> wrote:

>If the system in question is an APCO P-25 system then encryption is built
>into the system. All they need to do is program all radios with a Key.
>As far as I know P-25 cant exchange keys over the air, a flay in my
>opinion.

P25 is *NOT* inherently encrypted. It can be, the provision is there
in the spec, but many P25 systems are bought without the (relatively
expensive) encryption modules.

Go to the database at Radio Reference
<http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR> and see how many
P25 systems have talkgroups listed as D, not E.

1796. Come check out the ugliest thing in radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-29 15:59:59 UTC
From: heather <msdfy@tpg.com.au>
Message-ID: <447b1a64$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 8826

Come check out the ugliest thing in radio...

===REAL UGLY RADIO===
listen to Dj Ugly spin the tunes from the 50's to today..... From

The Good The Bad The Ugly... Its All HERE....

http://www.live365.com/stations/donkey1975?play&site=live365

...Click the link above (or copy it into your browser) to listen!

http://www.uglyradio.co.nr/

1797. Re: Come check out the ugliest thing in radio... <- Wrong newsgroup, looser...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-30 02:12:58 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <R_Neg.353$s77.342@fe07.lga>
Source record: 8827

[No readable article body recovered]

1798. Re: scanning encrypted digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-30 04:19:10 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <dk5ras@dk5ras.de>
Message-ID: <pshn72l243a5d7u68kdn6vvt4mu60gobje@4ax.com>
Source record: 8828

Korbin Dallas <korbindallas@dogeit.com> wrote:

>If the system in question is an APCO P-25 system then encryption is built
>into the system. All they need to do is program all radios with a Key.

This is wrong. The radio need an encryption module and/or an expensive
software option to enable encryption.

1799. Use "Tape Out" Or "Ext Speaker" Output For PC's Line-In ? And, acars question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-30 10:44:36 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <y5ednYw_bfaJv-HZRVn-vA@comcast.com>
Source record: 8829

Hello:

Have a 780.

Have never played with this, so would appreciate some help, very much.
Still consider myself a newbie with the 780, and scanning in general.

I wish to decode some aero acars messages with my PC.
Therefore, I have to set my 780 to 131.550 AM and feed the output into
the Line-In on my PC's soundcard.

Not sure what to grab from the radio to do this.

Should I use the Ext Speaker jack, or the Tape Out ? Or,... ?

BTW:

What are the differences in output volt levels, etc. for the two ?

Anyone try scanning a few (e.g., 6) acars freq's., and rely on the Squelch
being fast enough to grab the full message if one does appear on on of these
scanned channels ?

Thanks,
B.

1800. Hand-Held "Equiv." To 996 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-30 11:38:47 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <G4OdnYyYb7Nas-HZ4p2dnA@comcast.com>
Source record: 8830

Hello:

Is there an "equivalent" hand-held unit to the 996 ?

If so, what might be, please, the major differences (other than gps
which I have no use for) ?

When did it come out ?
If not, do you think any is contemplated by Uniden in the near future ?

Thanks,
B.

1801. Re: Use "Tape Out" Or "Ext Speaker" Output For PC's Line-In ? And, acars question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-30 12:27:59 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <tfeo721sbf3e05q5ostcf3tc7hijf0a5qm@4ax.com>
Source record: 8831

On Tue, 30 May 2006 06:44:36 -0400, "Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com>
wrote:

> Should I use the Ext Speaker jack, or the Tape Out ? Or,... ?

>BTW:

>What are the differences in output volt levels, etc. for the two ?

Ext. Speaker is variable with the volume control, tape out is
constant. If you use tape out you can turn the volume all the way
down. They're both line level.

1802. Re: Hand-Held "Equiv." To 996 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-30 12:29:10 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <rieo72ts5qm8uik20bgeu86ol5rj9jl21n@4ax.com>
Source record: 8832

On Tue, 30 May 2006 07:38:47 -0400, "Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com>
wrote:

>Is there an "equivalent" hand-held unit to the 996 ?
>
>If so, what might be, please, the major differences (other than gps
>which I have no use for) ?
>
>When did it come out ?
>If not, do you think any is contemplated by Uniden in the near future ?

The 396 is the closest at the moment. Uniden doesn't make base
equivalents of portables or portable equivalents of base/mobile units,
so I doubt we'll be seeing a "996 portable" for a while.

1803. Re: Use "Tape Out" Or "Ext Speaker" Output For PC's Line-In ? And, acars question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-30 16:29:25 UTC
From: Hans Muecke <luv4tn@arcor.de>
Message-ID: <447c72f3$0$4504$9b4e6d93@newsread2.arcor-online.net>
Source record: 8833

Dienstag, 30. Mai 2006 05:44 (US Central Time)
Robert11 wrote in rec.radio.scanner:

> Not sure what to grab from the radio to do this.
>
> Should I use the Ext Speaker jack, or the Tape Out ? Or,... ?

I would use the tape-out.

> Anyone try scanning a few (e.g., 6) acars freq's., and rely on the Squelch
> being fast enough to grab the full message if one does appear on on of
> these scanned channels ?

I have tried that in the past, but that would require all signals having the
same strength. I recomend using only the primary freq(s) since the scanner
mostly stops at those.

Talk to you later ... Hans
--
2006/05/30 15:20
EDDS 301520Z 26009KT 9999 FEW020 FEW030CB SCT250 10/06 Q1012 NOSIG

1804. Re: Come check out the ugliest thing in radio... <- Wrong newsgroup, loser...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-30 20:41:46 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <Z52fg.42$hu6.1@fe05.lga>
Source record: 8834

"Barry OGrady" <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:h3go72tnipvsf41modael4c7brv48b8a1s@4ax.com...
> Looser than what?
>

Looser than you? Pardon my extra letter, didn't notice it repeated on me;
not the first time, surely not the last.

1805. realistic pro-45 patrolman scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-30 21:02:59 UTC
From: maw <mwhitehead4@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <9q2fg.256$Uy1.218@read1.cgocable.net>
Source record: 8835

found this old Realistic Pro-45 "beast" of a scanner and it amazingly works.
it's an eight channel scanner that uses crystals.

currently a local 2-meter repeater is the only active frequency in my area.

can somebody help get or sell me some crystals and/or the manual for this
radio?

the crystals i'm looking for are:

148.525mhz
150.100mhz
154.935mhz
154.620mhz
156.800mhz
162.400mhz

please reply by email.

thanks!

1806. PCR Remote - version 0.5.23 Beta is Now Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-31 05:25:03 UTC
From: CrazyC <crazy__c_@hot_mail.com>
Message-ID: <ZM9fg.24858$ZW3.20777@dukeread04>
Source record: 8836

PCR Remote - version 0.5.23 Beta is Now Available
for downloading from the homepage:
http://members.cox.net/5150soft/PcrRemote/PcrRemote.html

The Server and Remote software allows you to control your Icom PCR-100,
PCR-1000 and PCR-1500 over the internet.
Using Audio Brodcast software such as SHOUTcast, Pirate Radio Broadcaster
or Windows Media Encoder will enable you to hear your radio from a remote
location over the internet...

Updates:
May 30,2006 - Fixed: External Speaker Selection for PCR-100 when
pluged-in or out.
May 30,2006 - -----: Help @HomePage now directs to proper site.
May 30,2006 - Added: COM Ports 17 to 24.
May 30,2006 - -----: COM Port Options can now be changed when Power is
ON.
Version 0.5.023 Released 05/30/2006

Regards,
Jay

1807. Re: Police Call Vol2 CD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-31 11:09:30 UTC
From: Robert Reinaga <reinaga@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.06.07.08.41.18.894951@sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 8837

Me 3!

On Fri, 19 May 2006 13:22:13 -0500, honestjohn wrote:

>
> <zildjyn@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:sqkq62h1da97222rq26ir3bqa1jh4jnar4@4ax.com...
>>
> I agree.

1808. Re: Come check out the ugliest thing in radio... <- Wrong newsgroup, loser...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-31 11:52:30 UTC
From: heather <msdfy@tpg.com.au>
Message-ID: <447d837f@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 8838

LMFAO I love it when someone TRYS to be a smart ASS and it back fires
ROFLMFAO
"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
news:Z52fg.42$hu6.1@fe05.lga...
>
> "Barry OGrady" <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:h3go72tnipvsf41modael4c7brv48b8a1s@4ax.com...
>> Looser than what?
>>
>
> Looser than you? Pardon my extra letter, didn't notice it repeated on me;
> not the first time, surely not the last.
>
>

1809. Re: Come check out the ugliest thing in radio... <- Wrong newsgroup, loser...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-31 12:35:51 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <nc3r721t8sr8von0tav0dcr2g32skd8335@4ax.com>
Source record: 8839

On Wed, 31 May 2006 21:22:30 +0930, "heather" <msdfy@tpg.com.au>
wrote:

>LMFAO I love it when someone TRYS to be a smart ASS and it back fires
>ROFLMFAO
>"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
>news:Z52fg.42$hu6.1@fe05.lga...
>>
>> "Barry OGrady" <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:h3go72tnipvsf41modael4c7brv48b8a1s@4ax.com...
>>> Looser than what?
>>>
>>
>> Looser than you? Pardon my extra letter, didn't notice it repeated on me;
>> not the first time, surely not the last.
>>
>>
>

Trys?

1810. I use my father.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-31 19:03:11 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <U_R_A_QUEER_TARD@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1149102191.454248.207420@y43g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8840
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

I love spending dear 'ole dad's money. I buy dope and gay sex with it.
i bought my she-male wife a new cock ring. life is good!

1811. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-05-31 19:07:35 UTC
From: kb9rqz--ars@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1149102455.904976.19330@h76g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8841
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

Mark Morgan wrote:
> I love spending dear 'ole dad's money. I buy dope and gay sex with it.
> i bought my she-male wife a new cock ring. life is good!
get help forger

1812. HF receptions, Florida, Wed 31 May 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-01 05:30:10 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1149139810.761699.127750@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8842

13927.1 USAF MARS (AFA1EN): USB 0038z wkg King 77 (Patrick AFB 920RQW 39RQS C-130H) w M&W ph patch to 321/784-xxxx (Cocoa Beach area). (31/May/2006) (ALS)

13927.1 USAF MARS (AFA1WP): USB 1414z wkg JOSA 133 (USAF C-21A VIP transport) w ph patch to DSN 858-3411 Andrews Base. Then ph patches to DSN 779-0475 Scott AFB and DSN 779-0322 Scott AFB TACC. (31/May/2006) (ALS).

13927.1 USAF MARS (AFA3HS): USB 1455z wkg REACH 234 (MI-ANG C-130 #37812, Selfridge ANGB) over Cape Canaveral AFS, w ph patch to DSN 673-2531 Charleston AFB CP re 1620z ETA w 9 crew; rqsts Customs [is inbound from Nassau, Bahamas]. (31/May/2006) (ALS).

13927.1 USAF MARS (AFA6PF): USB 1858z wkg KING 58 (C-130); QSY to 7633.6 kHz USB. (31/May/2006) (ALS).

8983.0 USCG CAMSLANT-Chesapeake: USB 1904z wkg CG 2139 (HU-25C Falcon Jet, CGAS-Miami); passes coordinates. 31/May/2006) (ALS).

13927.1 USAF MARS (AFA1WP): USB 1935z wkg KING 53 (C-130); radio check. (31/May/2006) (ALS).

13927.1 USAF MARS (AFA1WP): USB 1937z & 2008z wkg COORS 01 for M&W ph patch. (31/May/2006) (ALS).

13927.1 USAF MARS (AFA1YV): USB 2110z wkg KING 77 (Patrick AFB 920RQW C-130H #65-0977) over Cape Canaveral AFS, w ph patch to Patrick AFB King Ops re ETA. [He later arrived at 0143z.] (31/May/2006) (ALS).

11175.0 USAF HF-GCS (Andrews AFB): USB 2354z wkg REACH 328 for ph patch to DSN 945-4330 (Kelly Field Hill Country Ops), passes 0245z ETA to destination. (31/May/2006) (ALS).

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/

1813. Fair Price For A 780 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-01 15:01:16 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <9eOdnRyuyeemnOLZRVn-qA@comcast.com>
Source record: 8843

Hi,

A bit too old now to start to learn about using eBay.

Have a 780 in mint condition.

No idea what these things are worth anymore.

Will probably by a new 996, once I can convince the wife.

Any idea what a fair asking price for a 780 would be these days ?

Live outside of Boston.

Thanks,
B.

1814. Re: Is there any interest in a new unopened CE-232?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-01 22:01:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1149199313.227604.149580@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8844

Diamond Dave wrote:
> I've got one of these kits and just never got around to building and installing
> it, never even opened the static proof bag that the parts are in.
> Anybody interested? if there is some interest I'll put it on eBay and let ya'll
> have at it.
well not being all that into model numbers you might try saying a bit
more about it then I could at least answer you

1815. Re: Fair Price For A 780 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-01 22:23:27 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <06qu729aln4v7t5sv0fa9lfdeb935hkbej@4ax.com>
Source record: 8845

On Thu, 1 Jun 2006 11:01:16 -0400, "Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com>
wrote:

>A bit too old now to start to learn about using eBay.
>
>Have a 780 in mint condition.
>
>No idea what these things are worth anymore.
>
>Will probably by a new 996, once I can convince the wife.
>
>Any idea what a fair asking price for a 780 would be these days ?
>
>Live outside of Boston.

A recent price <http://www.eham.net/classifieds/detail/198569>

Good luck.

1816. Re: Fair Price For A 780 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-01 22:45:38 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <m6Kfg.37094$4L1.22847@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 8846

Not even trying to play "price police" but that is pretty good considering I paid $300 for mine new. $25 depreciation is good. Wish my Z only depreciated that little...

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message news:06qu729aln4v7t5sv0fa9lfdeb935hkbej@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 1 Jun 2006 11:01:16 -0400, "Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com>
> wrote:
>
> >A bit too old now to start to learn about using eBay.
> >
> >Have a 780 in mint condition.
> >
> >No idea what these things are worth anymore.
> >
> >Will probably by a new 996, once I can convince the wife.
> >
> >Any idea what a fair asking price for a 780 would be these days ?
> >
> >Live outside of Boston.
>
> A recent price <http://www.eham.net/classifieds/detail/198569>
>
> Good luck.

1817. Re: Is there any interest in a new unopened CE-232?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-02 01:54:47 UTC
From: Rob Mills <robmills@cox.net>
Message-ID: <HTMfg.83016$IZ2.54354@dukeread07>
Source record: 8847

Found this on CE-232 search of internet

www3.sympatico.ca/len.c/

1818. Re: Is there any interest in a new unopened CE-232?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-02 12:04:40 UTC
From: Rob Mills <robmills@cox.net>
Message-ID: <tPVfg.83040$IZ2.17962@dukeread07>
Source record: 8848

"Diamond Dave" <sjester911@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:li7v72tpm0jpg7l01scpl8c5jsa18i1g27@4ax.com...

>>>> Yup, that's the high-zoot software for the CE-232 interface<<<

Think I'm having a senior moment but isn't that Bill Cheek's brain child? I
still have a 2006 that I purchased new but never did anything exotic with
it, had a 2004 but the wife decided to move the table it was sitting on with
out first un-plugging it. :-(

1819. Re: Come check out the ugliest thing in radio... <- Wrong newsgroup, loser...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-02 12:26:35 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <%lWfg.819$Bd4.315@fe06.lga>
Source record: 8849

"heather" <msdfy@tpg.com.au> wrote in message
news:447d837f@dnews.tpgi.com.au...
> LMFAO I love it when someone TRYS to be a smart ASS and it back fires
> ROFLMFAO

Pardon my "smart ass" comments, but the post looked like a typical SPAMers
post. Oh wait, it was...

BTW, I love it when someone TRIES to come back with a witty reply and lands
of their face! Better keep LYAO, as I'm sure you have a lot more A to LO!

1820. Pro-2004 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-02 13:53:58 UTC
From: Jackson <Jackson739@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1149256438.136072.66420@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8850

I have a Realistic Pro-2004 Scanner that has decided to start clearing it's memory. It's happened twice in the span of a week. I have three scanners and have never had a problem...ever. I'm completely confused.

Is this fixable? Common? If anyone has any suggestions I would really appreciate it. Thanks in advance.

Sherry

1821. Re: Pro-2004 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-02 15:59:44 UTC
From: Bruce Markowitz <scosgt@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <QfZfg.207393$eR6.61197@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 8851

Did you replace the backup battery?
"Jackson" <Jackson739@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1149256438.136072.66420@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> I have a Realistic Pro-2004 Scanner that has decided to start clearing
> it's memory. It's happened twice in the span of a week. I have three
> scanners and have never had a problem...ever. I'm completely confused.
>
> Is this fixable? Common? If anyone has any suggestions I would
> really appreciate it. Thanks in advance.
>
> Sherry
>

1822. uniden ubc 780xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-02 16:02:32 UTC
From: mark <ma.shoulders@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <siZfg.1305$uP.82@newsfe2-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 8852

hi all got 64 bit windows xp trying to find software for 780 xlt. any ideas thx mark

1823. Slave Lake Alberta Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-02 20:24:31 UTC
From: fred burgess <burgessfred33@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1149279871.060048.217920@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8853

Does anyone have the police frequencies for Slave Lake? Any help
would be appreciated.
Fred Burgess

1824. Re: uniden ubc 780xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-02 20:40:49 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <4g8182l4qb66o2vnlh6dk0fp1jo1krq04p@4ax.com>
Source record: 8854

On Fri, 02 Jun 2006 16:02:32 GMT, mark <ma.shoulders@ntlworld.com>
wrote:

>hi all got 64 bit windows xp trying to find software for 780 xlt. any
>ideas thx mark

ARC780 from Butel.

1825. Re: uniden ubc 780xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-02 21:24:44 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <w02gg.4546$7e.189@news-wrt-01.rdc-nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 8855

Check with Butel to confirm if 64 bit Windows is supported. I recall reading
that it is not.

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:4g8182l4qb66o2vnlh6dk0fp1jo1krq04p@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 02 Jun 2006 16:02:32 GMT, mark <ma.shoulders@ntlworld.com>
> wrote:
>
> >hi all got 64 bit windows xp trying to find software for 780 xlt. any
> >ideas thx mark
>
> ARC780 from Butel.

1826. Delta IV Launch Photos and Shack Photos

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-02 22:03:31 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@removebeforeflight.com>
Message-ID: <TA2gg.8217$921.3018@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8856

Delta IV Launch Photos now online. On May 24, 2006 I was at my favorite viewing spot for rocket launches and took some photos of the Delta IV caring a GEOS-N satellite into orbit.

As with all shuttle & rocket launches it makes for a great on-scene scanning opportunity. A lot of interesting comms!

You can go directly to my photo gallery here:
http://www.milaircomms.com/gallery.html

Also have new photos of my recently remodeled shack at:
http://www.milaircomms.com/gallery.html

Enjoy,
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

1827. Bay County Florida Highway Patrol?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-02 22:37:48 UTC
From: "grossmanms@aol.com" <grossmanms@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1149287868.005778.233710@j55g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8857

Does anyone have a current list of FHP frequencies and Bay County listings? I sure would appreciate it. One more thing.......what kind of digital system does the FHP use?

1828. Re: uniden ubc 780xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-03 00:20:36 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <obl182d774befmjgso39oomlktgmsajtmg@4ax.com>
Source record: 8858

On Fri, 02 Jun 2006 21:24:44 GMT, "Frank346" <frank346@groznyk.com>
wrote:

>"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>news:4g8182l4qb66o2vnlh6dk0fp1jo1krq04p@4ax.com...
>> On Fri, 02 Jun 2006 16:02:32 GMT, mark <ma.shoulders@ntlworld.com>
>> wrote:

>> >hi all got 64 bit windows xp trying to find software for 780 xlt. any
>> >ideas thx mark

>> ARC780 from Butel.

>Check with Butel to confirm if 64 bit Windows is supported. I recall reading
>that it is not.

Gommert may not support running it on 64 bit Windows (he doesn't
"support" using a USB->serial adapter, but his programs run just fine
on them), but I haven't found a 32 bit program that won't run on it.

1829. Re: Bay County Florida Highway Patrol?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-03 00:23:33 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <fgl182hjf5npcmo51a39d9q4f6h4t7m74i@4ax.com>
Source record: 8859

On 2 Jun 2006 15:37:48 -0700, "grossmanms@aol.com"
<grossmanms@aol.com> wrote:

>Does anyone have a current list of FHP frequencies and Bay County
>listings?

Bay County listings with a link to the state system:
<http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&ctid=318>

>One more thing.......what kind of digital system does the FHP use?

They're switching to MA/Com, and there's no scanner that will track
that.

1830. Re: Is there any interest in a new unopened CE-232?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-03 01:47:56 UTC
From: CrazyC <crazy__c_@hot_mail.com>
Message-ID: <jT5gg.132377$k%3.68860@dukeread12>
Source record: 8860

What are you asking for it?
I'm sure you'd find a buyer on eBay for it...

Jay

> It's what Bill Cheek marketed, yes. Bill didn't directly develop and
design it
> but he did sort it out so that anyone could build it and install it. I
bought it
> shortly after he died and his wife was still running the business.
> It seems no one has an interest in the device so maybe I'll build it and
install
> it in one of the 2006s that are collecting dust around here.

1831. Shortwave Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-03 21:52:09 UTC
From: Mags <magsimoe@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1149371529.767170.87580@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8861

Hello all!

I updated the database and added all new frequencies to it. Almost
10000 of them. They're all verified this year. So go and take a look.
:) http://www.swldatabase.com

Regards

1832. Re: Shortwave Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-04 14:22:44 UTC
From: daviddd <3ddaniels@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1149430964.451147.3550@i39g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8862

What is in your database....frequencies or locations? How does your
site work?
THANKS!!
David D.
>
> I updated the database and added all new frequencies to it. Almost
> 10000 of them. They're all verified this year. So go and take a look.
> :) http://www.swldatabase.com

1833. Re: Is there any interest in a new unopened CE-232?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-04 14:31:58 UTC
From: Rob Mills <robmills@cox.net>
Message-ID: <u9Cgg.83163$IZ2.60865@dukeread07>
Source record: 8863

"CrazyC" <crazy__c_@hot_mail.com> wrote in message
news:jT5gg.132377$k%3.68860@dukeread12...

>>> I'm sure you'd find a buyer on eBay for it...<<<<

That's what I'd do with it, no telling what someone would pay. I would mention Cheek. I sold several of his books and a pile of his news letters on line a year or two ago and got all kinds of responses from my ad. The Cheeker's are still out there. RM~

1834. freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-05 14:06:19 UTC
From: Don B <buroker@charter.net>
Message-ID: <GTWgg.16$_p1.2@fe03.lga>
Source record: 8864

Can someone tell me where I can find the frequencies the Viper East Demonstration Team from Shaw Air Force uses when they are doing a show?

Thanks, Don

1835. Re: ACARS Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-06 02:49:02 UTC
From: nobody <nobody@none.com>
Message-ID: <6Y2dnWO0I8WLcBnZnZ2dnUVZ_sKdnZ2d@wavecable.com>
Source record: 8865

Robert11 wrote:
> Hi,
>
> Anyone know if these two acars decoder programs will decode HF acars:
>
> acarsd
>
> Wacars
I don't know about those two, but according
to this page http://www.qsl.net/g4hbt/cars.htm
PC-HFDL will work, link below:
http://www.chbrain.dircon.co.uk/pchfdl.html

>
> Also, when and where is HF acars used as compared to the more common, I
> think, VHF acars ?
>
> Thanks,
> Bob
>
>

Here are some frequencies and schedules
http://www.blackcatsystems.com/radio/hfACARS.html

1836. voice descrambler cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-06 02:54:47 UTC
From: matdawgi@juno.com
Message-ID: <1149562487.576585.121390@h76g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8866

the ramsey is crystal fixed with tv colorburst crystal at about 3300 hz or 3.3 khz and uses an mx com chip...the ctp ds49 descrambler is fully adjustable and the pilot tone of the speech inversion can be changed from 2.4 to 4.8 khz...keep in mind that you will encounter many inversion points like old 46 mhz cordless phones at 3.333 khz and 3.75khz as well as police at 3.5khz, 3107Hz, 3333Hz, 3500Hz, 3729Hz, 2632Hz, 3023Hz, 2868Hz and 2728Hz (model #NC802) from http://www.norcommcorp.com/2805-scrambler.htm, just do a search for speech inversion applications and youll see all different tones...heres some...VPU-11 Maxon, Speech Inversion Scrambler (default frequency 3676 Hz), you can even listen to samples on transcrypts page --http://www.transcrypt.com/link.sp?page=Audio_Samples ,the ctp lets you adjust the tone to decode a wide variety of inversion points for like marine at 156 mhz that use analog voice inversion...whats more important is that now the frs radios that use speech inversion at 462 mhz have selectable scramble tones so you have to have the ctp unit to listen...motorola makes a frs radio that has 3 tones to choose from and kenwood makes another...you can also listen to usb (upper side band) communications on shortwave and aviation radios that dont have a bfo (beat frequency oscillator) pitch control with the ctp unit thanks to its ability to tune pilot tones around and above 4 khz (4000 hz). matt bias is the guy who builds these and he still makes them...his phone is 304-525-1761 and his cell is (304) 633-7738 and there in huntington, wv...email to scotmockup@aol.com also...ctp descramblers...517 lower terrace huntington, wv 25705...they take checks and money orders too...

1837. Re: voice descrambler cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-06 23:53:51 UTC
From: an_old_friendly_fag@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1149638031.140326.9790@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8867
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.sex.gay,alt.sex.anal,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

matdawgi@juno.com wrote:
> the ramsey is crystal fixed with tv colorburst crystal at about 3300 hz
> or 3.3 khz and uses an mx com chip...the ctp ds49 descrambler is fully
> adjustable and the pilot tone of the speech inversion can be changed
> from 2.4 to 4.8 khz...keep in mind that you will encounter many
> inversion points like old 46 mhz cordless phones at 3.333 khz and
> 3.75khz as well as police at 3.5khz, 3107Hz, 3333Hz, 3500Hz, 3729Hz,
> 2632Hz, 3023Hz, 2868Hz and 2728Hz (model #NC802) from
> http://www.norcommcorp.com/2805-scrambler.htm, just do a search for
> speech inversion applications and youll see all different tones...heres
> some...VPU-11 Maxon, Speech Inversion Scrambler (default frequency 3676
> Hz), you can even listen to samples on transcrypts page
> --http://www.transcrypt.com/link.sp?page=Audio_Samples ,the ctp lets
> you adjust the tone to decode a wide variety of inversion points for
> like marine at 156 mhz that use analog voice inversion...whats more
> important is that now the frs radios that use speech inversion at 462
> mhz have selectable scramble tones so you have to have the ctp unit to
> listen...motorola makes a frs radio that has 3 tones to choose from and
> kenwood makes another...you can also listen to usb (upper side band)
> communications on shortwave and aviation radios that dont have a bfo
> (beat frequency oscillator) pitch control with the ctp unit thanks to
> its ability to tune pilot tones around and above 4 khz (4000 hz). matt
> bias is the guy who builds these and he still makes them...his phone is
> 304-525-1761 and his cell is (304) 633-7738 and there in huntington,
> wv...email to scotmockup@aol.com also...ctp descramblers...517 lower
> terrace huntington, wv 25705...they take checks and money orders too...

1838. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-07 01:59:05 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1149645545.132940.282460@y43g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8868
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.sex.gay,alt.sex.anal,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an_old_friendly_fag@yahoo.com wrote:
> matdawgi@juno.com wrote:
get help

1839. ACARSOnline domain for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-07 06:28:57 UTC
From: mark.avey@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1149661737.148959.240220@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8869

Hi folks,

If anyone is interested, the ACARSOnline domain is now for sale. Please visit http://www.acarsonline.co.uk for more details.

Thanks,

Mark Avey

1840. FS: Realistic Pro 2004 Wide Coverage Scanner - Price Reduction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-07 10:04:08 UTC
From: Dan <dschopp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1149674648.552407.319250@y43g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

This 300 channel, wide coverage scanner is in excellent condition.
Backlight has dimmed but is still visibile. Scanner receives wide FM,
narrow FM, and AM - CB radio and aircraft. It does not receive AM
broadcast band but does receive FM broadcast stations and tv audio. The

mod for full 800 mHz converage has been done. Coverage also includes
the military air band.

Scanner comes in original box with telescoping antenna and partial copy

of the owners manual.

$100 or best offer- Price includes USPS Priority Mail or UPS ground
shipping within
continental US. Will not ship outiside continental US.

1841. Re: FS: Realistic Pro 2004 Wide Coverage Scanner - Price Reduction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-07 12:33:14 UTC
From: Dan <dschopp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1149683594.024482.20930@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8871
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Included with the scanner is a MFJ Clear Tone communications speaker.
Speaker is a nice substitute for the internal speaker.

1842. Communications Electronics / usascan : Thoughts On ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-07 13:18:14 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <-N6dnVzCjMqPTxvZnZ2dnUVZ_uudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8872

Hello:

Regarding: Communications Electronics / usascan.com

Have never dealt with these folks before.

Any thoughts regarding how ethical, reliable, etc. would be most
appreciated.

They seem to be the cheapest for a 996, which I am thinking of purchasing.

Thanks,
Bob

1843. Tacoma WA Police frequencies ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-07 15:53:08 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1149695587.954491@bubbleator.drizzle.com>
Source record: 8873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The Tacoma PD has recently changed their radios. Used to be able to listen to the dispatch and a couple of the Tac channels and records channel. I heard them for days having all their radios re done. Now all that comes through is just the dispatch none of the Tac. They have had several encrypted channels since they went to the 800 system. I have been wondering if they changed everything but dispatch to the encrypted, or if it's something else. Have not been able to find any info. The Radio Reference which was a ton of help when they went to the trunked, is way behind the last few changes, so what they show now is completely wrong . Anyone have any knowledge of what is going on here now?
Thanks.

1844. Re: FS: Realistic Pro 2004 Wide Coverage Scanner - Price Reduction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-07 20:04:21 UTC
From: Dan <dschopp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1149710661.828766.158750@c74g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8874
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

One

Barry OGrady wrote:
> On 7 Jun 2006 05:33:14 -0700, "Dan" <dschopp@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >Included with the scanner is a MFJ Clear Tone communications speaker.
> >Speaker is a nice substitute for the internal speaker.
>
> How many?
>
> Barry
> =====
> Home page
> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og

1845. Re: Tacoma WA Police frequencies ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-07 23:49:51 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <cfpe8251ks3nl6vm5re3l93j2so2o2r2hb@4ax.com>
Source record: 8875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Wed, 7 Jun 2006 08:53:08 -0700, "Alex Clayton"
<alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote:

>The Radio Reference
>which was a ton of help when they went to the trunked, is way behind the
>last few changes, so what they show now is completely wrong.

Of course their info is just what people like you report so when you
tell them what the changes are, they'll be up to date.

1846. Re: Tacoma WA Police frequencies ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-08 00:03:27 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <28udnQ1qt_3Q9BrZnZ2dnUVZ_o-dnZ2d@nventure.com>
Source record: 8876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:cfpe8251ks3nl6vm5re3l93j2so2o2r2hb@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 7 Jun 2006 08:53:08 -0700, "Alex Clayton"
> <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>The Radio Reference
>>which was a ton of help when they went to the trunked, is way behind the
>>last few changes, so what they show now is completely wrong.
>
> Of course their info is just what people like you report so when you
> tell them what the changes are, they'll be up to date.

Well I would be glad to help if I knew. If I knew what was going on I would
not be here asking for info.
--
"Everything in excess! To enjoy the flavor of life, take big bites.
Moderation is for monks."
[Lazarus Long]

1847. Old Regency Receivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-08 00:41:40 UTC
From: Hunchy <sx43@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <8nKhg.18648$3i3.1612@trnddc08>
Source record: 8877

Want to buy Regency single channel VHF Hi-Band monitor receivers. Model ACT-R1.

Please reply to: nospamw6ibl2@juno.comnospam

Remove nospam from both ends of I.D.

TNX

1848. Re: Communications Electronics / usascan : Thoughts On ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-08 04:20:22 UTC
From: vjc <scubie1647cim@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <aANhg.6644$td6.6486@trnddc02>
Source record: 8878

"Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com> wrote in message
news:-N6dnVzCjMqPTxvZnZ2dnUVZ_uudnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Hello:
>
> Regarding: Communications Electronics / usascan.com
>
> Have never dealt with these folks before.
>
> Any thoughts regarding how ethical, reliable, etc. would be most
> appreciated.
>
> They seem to be the cheapest for a 996, which I am thinking of purchasing.
>
> Thanks,
> Bob
>Hi:

Have purchased three scanners from them in the past year. Good service and
good prices. No problems at all.

vince

1849. Re: Old Regency Receivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-08 20:45:15 UTC
From: "A. Pismo Clam" <opalockamishabob@'86DASPAMnetscape.net>
Message-ID: <7OudnRnaBczKERXZnZ2dnUVZ_rSdnZ2d@got.net>
Source record: 8879

Hunchy wrote:
> Want to buy Regency single channel VHF Hi-Band monitor receivers. Model
> ACT-R1.
>
> Please reply to: nospamw6ibl2@juno.comnospam
>
> Remove nospam from both ends of I.D.
>
> TNX
>
>
>
>
Every once in a while they show up on EBAY, if you are so inclined...

1850. Discone which allow transmit on CB bands

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-09 11:05:15 UTC
From: "www.amstereoradio.info" <oooo@ooo.ooo>
Message-ID: <448aa779$1@news.greennet.net>
Source record: 8880

Are they any good, how would they compare with my old Weltz Diamond D1300
which with a swr of over 4 for CB I can't really use !

1851. Re: Discone which allow transmit on CB bands

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-09 12:12:14 UTC
From: Dale Parfitt <par@parelectronics.com>
Message-ID: <yAdig.18545$9c7.17712@trnddc06>
Source record: 8881

"www.amstereoradio.info" <oooo@ooo.ooo> wrote in message
news:448aa779$1@news.greennet.net...
> Are they any good, how would they compare with my old Weltz Diamond D1300
> which with a swr of over 4 for CB I can't really use !
>You are likely referring to the monitor antennas- these operate as a
>discone from perhaps 120MHz and up. Below this they are nothing more than a
>ground plane (thus the vertical whip attached to the disc).
A discone at CB would have a disc approx. 7' in diameter and a cone section
with 9' sides and a 9' diameter at the base of the cone. It would have no
advantage over a simple ground plane as bandwidth is not a concern for CB.

Dale W4OP

1852. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-10 17:17:42 UTC
From: matdawgi@juno.com
Message-ID: <1149959862.700892.107010@f6g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.sex.gay,alt.sex.anal,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an old friend wrote:
> an_old_friendly_fag@yahoo.com wrote:
> > matdawgi@juno.com wrote:
> get help

recently finished last of 3 gov't contracts for dept of defense totaling $40k dollars making speech inversion decoders...does that help you?...i choose my friends very carefully now so i guess your out kiddo! ohhhh...by the way...i make 29,000/yr now at dow chemical in charleston...i bring home more than what i gross'd at SEOEMS...is this were you like ask me to hold reginas spent toilet paper, and follow me to radio shack in a dress and cry for me, too?...LOL...p.s. make sure your employer continues to withhold federal taxes...i may be working on contract no 4 soon!!!

1853. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-10 17:41:12 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1149961272.889404.142950@y43g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8883
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.sex.gay,alt.sex.anal,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

matdawgi@juno.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > an_old_friendly_fag@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > matdawgi@juno.com wrote:
> > get help
>
> recently finished last of 3 gov't contracts for dept of defense
> totaling $40k dollars making speech inversion decoders...does that help
> you?...i choose my friends very carefully now so i guess your out
> kiddo! ohhhh...by the way...i make 29,000/yr now at dow chemical in
> charleston...i bring home more than what i gross'd at SEOEMS...is this
> were you like ask me to hold reginas spent toilet paper, and follow me
> to radio shack in a dress and cry for me, too?...LOL...p.s. make sure
> your employer continues to withhold federal taxes...i may be working on
> contract no 4 soon!!!
you need help mental help to reply like that

1854. Re: male seeking male

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-10 22:13:45 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <StHig.8956$_m5.3264@fed1read09>
Source record: 8884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,az.general,az.politics

and as you can tell, some were forged, and some were placed there in an attempt to cause harm (which backfired on the people involved).

as for this forger, he's so much the coward that he won't post under his real identity and always from an anon mail2news gateway. what is he so afraid of, I ask. is it perhaps that his own closet has more than its fair share of skeletons?

He chooses me because he *THINKS* I am a convenient target (just like Tim Hoffman thought and look what that got him - a $10,000 fine and a record).

I leave it to the rest of you to consider the source (such as it is).

The forger of Eric Oyen wrote:
> Path:
news1.west.cox.net!west.cox.net!filt01.cox.net!peer01.cox.net!cox.net!newsfeed.stueberl.de
!news.space.net!news.m-online.net!news.code-werk.net!open-news-network.org!morpheus.pingos
.org!news.datemas.de!not-for-mail
> From: "Eric Oyen" <EricOyen@invalid.net>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.shortwave
> Subject: Re: male seeking male
> Date: Sat, 10 Jun 2006 17:18:09 -0400
> Organization: Eric the blind drunken dwarf
> Lines: 55
> Message-ID: <e6fcur$6q7$1@news.datemas.de>
> References: <yMmig.34$fN.11@fe03.lga> <e6e8oo$r3u$1@news.datemas.de>
<e6efi1$rm2$2@nntp.aioe.org> <X8Fig.8952$_m5.6082@fed1read09>
> X-Trace:
WSGh6PCIOFOWGFA4kLBNGx15BXy+oOIxMrwYCiHsIm1fRA8E3MrNBhDJZxPO4EA5aAoWputHAU4s+DkfJfueUoWOEI
GxIDgdCta5ZmHRp6s5vGeTyTn5dBlSNs3SOJkjfU6ZxZGn3yhc3V9vTdW6BfaJ3rNkWNFiU3ka7rEV8Pc=
> X-Complaints-To: abuse@datemas.de
> NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 10 Jun 2006 21:18:19 +0000 (UTC)
> X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2900.2869
> X-RFC2646: Format=Flowed; Original
> X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2900.2869
> X-Priority: 3
> X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
> Xref: cox.net rec.radio.shortwave:488000
> X-Received-Date: Sat, 10 Jun 2006 17:18:18 EDT (news1.west.cox.net)
>
> If you have several hours to kill, do a Google newsgroup search on me
> "ERIC OYEN."
>
>

> Browse through the literally hundreds of messages, posted by me over the
> past 10
> years or so.
>
> Very revealing, to say the least.
>
> DE N7ZZT
>>Eric Oyen
>
>
>
> "N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
> news:X8Fig.8952$_m5.6082@fed1read09...
>>
>> The forgers info (yeah, this is funny).
>> Path:
>>
news1.west.cox.net!west.cox.net!filt01.west.cox.net!peer01.west.cox.net!cox.net!newsfeed.v
munix.org!news2.euro.net!newsfeed.freenet.de!news.newsland.it!eleonora.aioe.org!emma.aioe.
org!aioe.org!not-for-mail
>> From: "N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <ericoyen@blindsquirrl.net>
>> Newsgroups: rec.radio.shortwave,az.politics,az.general
>> Subject: male seeking male
>> Date: Sat, 10 Jun 2006 09:00:00 -0400
>> Organization: Eric the drunken dwarf
>> Lines: 55
>> Message-ID: <e6efi1$rm2$2@nntp.aioe.org>
>> References: <yMmig.34$fN.11@fe03.lga> <e6e8oo$r3u$1@news.datemas.de>
>> NNTP-Posting-Host: Gfynd+T4DvGqv00WyAGnNA.user.aioe.org
>> X-Complaints-To: abuse@aioe.org
>> X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2900.2869
>> X-RFC2646: Format=Flowed; Response
>> X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2900.2869
>> X-Priority: 3
>> X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
>> Xref: cox.net rec.radio.shortwave:487950 az.politics:300868
>> az.general:321891
>> X-Received-Date: Sat, 10 Jun 2006 09:00:23 EDT (news1.west.cox.net)

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

1855. Re: Fair Price For A 780 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-11 03:17:00 UTC
From: Dwayne <dubbin@goaway.com>
Message-ID: <7j2n825nvt3brrh276tnum626hgjfvuqbj@4ax.com>
Source record: 8885

On Thu, 1 Jun 2006 11:01:16 -0400, "Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com>
wrote:

>Hi,
>
>A bit too old now to start to learn about using eBay.
>
>Have a 780 in mint condition.
>
>No idea what these things are worth anymore.
>
>Will probably by a new 996, once I can convince the wife.
>
>Any idea what a fair asking price for a 780 would be these days ?
>
>Live outside of Boston.
>
>Thanks,
>B.
>

You would be lucky to get over $200 for it. Remember that they will
not survive rebanding.

1856. rn frequencies for Luzerne County PA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-11 12:52:04 UTC
From: "mikem@evenlink.com" <mikem@evenlink.com>
Message-ID: <1150030324.332514.214120@y43g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8886

Hi,
Anyone have these frequencies?
Thank you,
Mike

1857. SKYWARN Frequencies for Luzerne county PA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-11 12:56:21 UTC
From: "mikem@evenlink.com" <mikem@evenlink.com>
Message-ID: <1150030581.182016.241920@c74g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8887

Hi,
I cut the message off too soon. Anyone have these frequencies?
Thank you.
Mike

1858. BC895XL Loses Memory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-11 18:23:39 UTC
From: SR <gumby.dammit@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <Fp-dnd4fhOIvwhHZnZ2dnUVZ_sidnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 8888

Has anybody had the problem where their BC895XL randomly loses its memory? Mind did a few days ago and I reprogrammed it yesterday then overnight it lost it again. It was powered up the whole time so it's not a dead battery issue.

sr

1859. Re: Communications Electronics / usascan : Thoughts On ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-11 22:02:44 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <kJidnekPIsyUDhHZnZ2dnUVZ_tudnZ2d@nventure.com>
Source record: 8889

<xxnonexspamxnonexx@tampascanner.info> wrote in message
news:vuro82hdpoiahkpn3ack8t5s7gcu49fsue@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 7 Jun 2006 09:18:14 -0400, "Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com> wrote:
>
>
>>Regarding: Communications Electronics / usascan.com
>>
>>Have never dealt with these folks before.
>>
>>Any thoughts regarding how ethical, reliable, etc. would be most
>>appreciated.
>>
>>They seem to be the cheapest for a 996, which I am thinking of purchasing.
>
> Look at the shipping cost, its normally sky high with this company.
> $30-40/per
> radio.
>
> I think you will find better sources
> ----

I have used them a couple times, and it was great. It has been a while,
about a year. When I decided to get a 246 I bought mine from them because
the price they offered included shipping. When I was shopping around they
were within a couple bucks of everyone else I could find, and they had been
recommended. After I got mine, others I work with tried them for the same
scanner, had the same results. Place your order, within less than an hour
got the tracking#, and scanner got here on the west coast in less than a
week.
--
"Everything in excess! To enjoy the flavor of life, take big bites.
Moderation is for monks."
[Lazarus Long]

1860. aor 8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-12 00:27:22 UTC
From: usmarine0311@gmail.com
Message-ID: <c4dp82t2osk6o754edpl564fq2qgs6t3u6@4ax.com>
Source record: 8890

Looking for any .mem files for the ar8200 MKiiiib mainly for florida
or any military etc.

Thanks

1861. Florida

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-12 00:30:00 UTC
From: usmarine0311@gmail.com
Message-ID: <rbdp821u8m9n9obrbvhl94fdmpf8ku2b8j@4ax.com>
Source record: 8891

Looking for HURRICANE HUNTER frequencies and any from MacDill AFB.

Thanks

1862. Re: BC895XL Loses Memory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-12 02:57:42 UTC
From: "Ron B." <rmboz@everestkc.notcom>
Message-ID: <e2b07$448cd826$4088d5d0$29485@EVERESTKC.NET>
Source record: 8892

SR wrote:
> Has anybody had the problem where their BC895XL randomly loses its memory?
> Mind did a few days ago and I reprogrammed it yesterday then overnight it
> lost it again. It was powered up the whole time so it's not a dead battery
> issue.
>
> sr
>
>
Mine did the same thing last night during a thunder storm. Would this be
an internal battery issue for memory or what.
Thanks for any reply on this topic.

Ron

1863. Re: Florida

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-12 15:04:35 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <etadnSdMMbweHxDZnZ2dnUVZ_oCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8893

<usmarine0311@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:rbdp821u8m9n9obrbvhl94fdmpf8ku2b8j@4ax.com...
> Looking for HURRICANE HUNTER frequencies and any from MacDill AFB.
>
> Thanks

Try here...might find what you're looking for:
http://www.radioreference.com/forums/showthread.php?t=36906

Bill Crocker

1864. Re: Florida

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-12 15:06:39 UTC
From: Greg <gfight@yourpantstampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <gfight-6346FF.11063912062006@news-server2.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 8894

In article <rbdp821u8m9n9obrbvhl94fdmpf8ku2b8j@4ax.com>,
usmarine0311@gmail.com wrote:

> Looking for HURRICANE HUNTER frequencies and any from MacDill AFB.
>
> Thanks

I just copied a NOAA aircraft on 123.050 a.m. at 1502Z who was talking to Gulfport (FL - Coast Guard Station), said he was 83 miles SW of St. Petersburg and was "flying to the center".

Greg

1865. Re: Fair Price For A 780 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-12 15:15:45 UTC
From: Greg <gfight@yourpantstampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <gfight-BDF4A8.11154212062006@news-server2.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 8895

In article <7j2n825nvt3brrh276tnum626hgjfvuqbj@4ax.com>,
Dwayne <dubbin@goaway.com> wrote:

> On Thu, 1 Jun 2006 11:01:16 -0400, "Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Hi,
> >
> >A bit too old now to start to learn about using eBay.
> >
> >Have a 780 in mint condition.
> >
> >No idea what these things are worth anymore.
> >
> >Will probably by a new 996, once I can convince the wife.
> >
> >Any idea what a fair asking price for a 780 would be these days ?
> >
> >Live outside of Boston.
> >
> >Thanks,
> >B.
> >
>
> You would be lucky to get over $200 for it. Remember that they will
> not survive rebanding.

I would think you could get $250 or more for a mint 780. It's a great
scanner. It depends on what use the potential buyer might have for it
whether rebanding will hurt.

I bought a used 780 earlier this year for $240 and I use it every day.
Rebanding will affect me though.

Why don't you go ahead and advertise it on rec.radio.swap? You can
start a little high and you can always come down.

Greg

1866. Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-12 23:12:47 UTC
From: Radio Buff <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Pxmjg.5175$lp.2532@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8896
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dear sir:

The FCC has been dumbing down ham radio for several years now. This has hurt the integrity of the service and is bad for America. They are planning to dumb it down again really soon now and their plan will make ham radio like CB and it will be bad for America. We already have one CB service, we don't need two. Please pressure the FCC to endorse the following items for the good of the service and County.
God bless America.

Signed
(Your name & callsign)

No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all elements required for their license class.

The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.

Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

1867. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-12 23:13:02 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <448DF4FE.9090309@fuse.net>
Source record: 8897
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Radio Buff wrote:
> Dear sir:
>
> The FCC has been dumbing down ham radio for several years now. This has
> hurt the integrity of the service and is bad for America. They are
> planning to dumb it down again really soon now and their plan will make
> ham radio like CB and it will be bad for America. We already have one CB
> service, we don't need two. Please pressure the FCC to endorse the
> following items for the good of the service and County.
> God bless America.
>
>
> Signed
> (Your name & callsign)
>
>
>
> No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all elements
> required for their license class.
>
> The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>
> Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
> Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
>
Ignore this idiot

1868. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-12 23:23:01 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <lGmjg.9009$_m5.3032@fed1read09>
Source record: 8898
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Radio Buff wrote:

> Dear sir:
>
> The FCC has been dumbing down ham radio for several years now. This has

this is the only point he is right on.
when I took my test, some math was still required (along with knowing where
you were legally allowed, etc.).

I have had chance to read the latest offerings in the test pool.

I'd like to see them bring back the math problems (antenna theory, ohms law
and the like).

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

1869. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-12 23:23:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1150154601.071006.207570@j55g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8899
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

beter would be to start a letter like the enclosed beloow (in the
quoted usenet text) is the effort of variuous persons with the ARS
deteremined to Kill offthe valuable resuource to this that is Amateur
(or Ham) radio Hams do step up when they to the disater that turned out
to possiblity num one the list of of 101 things that can go wrong

But they don't rely of CW (Morse encoded OnOffKeyed signals to tit

they use whatis a at hand be it Voice Cw Rtty (and other digtial based
modes) this the ARS and it stands now being slowly strangled by the
Luddities in its midst with the willing assitastance of the FCC in
draging their heel in issue the R&O that will implement it most recent
NPRM o5-235I believe on restructuringthe ARS) their was nothing new in
the coments some folks thik Morse Code Testing should stay but they
could only muster the same tired arguement that the Fcc has already
stated are not good enough

Urge the FCC to do it job and modernize the ARs before it is too late
and more lives are lost that could have been saved if only the FCC had
acted in a timely manner
Radio Buff wrote:
> Dear sir:
>
> The FCC has been dumbing down ham radio for several years now. This has
> hurt the integrity of the service and is bad for America. They are
> planning to dumb it down again really soon now and their plan will make
> ham radio like CB and it will be bad for America. We already have one CB
> service, we don't need two. Please pressure the FCC to endorse the
> following items for the good of the service and County.
> God bless America.
>
>
> Signed
> (Your name & callsign)
>
>
>
> No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all elements
> required for their license class.
>
> The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>
> Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

>
> Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

1870. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-12 23:26:36 UTC
From: dplatt@radagast.org
Message-ID: <128ru1ceovhr824@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 8900
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In article <Pxmjg.5175$lp.2532@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
Radio Buff <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

#snip#

Interesting to note that "Slow Code" and "Radio Buff", in addition to having apparently-identical agendas about rolling back FCC standards into the 1950s or before, both access the Internet via Level3 dialups in Denver.

Also interesting to note that the poster going by the handle of "New ham", who asked a rather inane question about a capacitance hat for his antennas (but who has no other posting history at Google), accesses the Internet via a Level3 dialup in Denver.

Possibly it's something in the water up there. Perhaps those three gentlemen ought to form a new ham club in Denver? That's enough members to qualify for a club-station license.

--
Dave Platt <dplatt@radagast.org> AE6EO
Hosting the Jade Warrior home page: http://www.radagast.org/jade-warrior
I do _not_ wish to receive unsolicited commercial email, and I will
boycott any company which has the gall to send me such ads!

1871. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-12 23:37:06 UTC
From: Roger <roger@degweeboynut.net>
Message-ID: <2hj8s.98l.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 8701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Radio Buff" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:Pxmjg.5175$lp.2532@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Dear sir:
///////SNIP///////

Another example of delusional old hams thinking they are
still 'first responders' and on the cutting edge of technology.

ROTFLMAO!

1872. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-12 23:49:34 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <128rvccdc18rmdd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 8702
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Radio Buff" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:Pxmjg.5175$lp.2532@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
(major snippage)
> Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>

Then you fail to recruit new blood into the hobbie. Certainly they should have restrictions, but you need to have a no-code ticket available, otherwise why leave 11 meters. I do not want to learn the code, I have no interest in learning the code, I never plan to use code, but someday I may change my mind, and when that day comes I can test and move up in class. But if you stop people at the front gate, don't expect them to return.

1873. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-12 23:51:40 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <128rvga2doov43b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 8703
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Dave Platt" <dplatt@radagast.org> wrote in message
news:128ru1ceovhr824@corp.supernews.com...
> In article <Pxmjg.5175$lp.2532@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> Radio Buff <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> #snip#
>
> Interesting to note that "Slow Code" and "Radio Buff", in addition to
> having apparently-identical agendas about rolling back FCC standards
> into the 1950s or before, both access the Internet via Level3 dialups
> in Denver.
>
> Also interesting to note that the poster going by the handle of "New
> ham", who asked a rather inane question about a capacitance hat for
> his antennas (but who has no other posting history at Google),
> accesses the Internet via a Level3 dialup in Denver.
>
> Possibly it's something in the water up there. Perhaps those three
> gentlemen ought to form a new ham club in Denver? That's enough
> members to qualify for a club-station license.
>
He's been known to nym shift from time to time.

1874. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-13 00:00:17 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <muvr82tvhnusg3aqlvcn4b9su3tf6u82bf@4ax.com>
Source record: 8704
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 12 Jun 2006 23:12:47 GMT, Radio Buff <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

>Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

And make gas $0.25/gallon, steaks $0.79/lb and Kennedy president. :)

1875. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-13 00:09:03 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <540s82dard9r3jkteedhom6h1c9h5ho6sc@4ax.com>
Source record: 8705
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 12 Jun 2006 18:49:34 -0500, "DrDeath"
<drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:

>"Radio Buff" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>news:Pxmjg.5175$lp.2532@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>(major snippage)
>> Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

>Then you fail to recruit new blood into the hobbie.

We recruited more new blood into the "hobbie" when the Novice required
code (if you can't learn 5wpm, there's no way you could learn enough
theory to pass the old exams - and there's more theory to know these
days) AND one year non-renewable than we do now. There are reasons
there's less new blood, but code isn't one of them.

>Certainly they should have restrictions, but you need to have a no-code ticket available,
>otherwise why leave 11 meters.

Good question. Ask those who did back in the early 60s - when code
was required. Maybe just listening to 11 meters, then listening to 20
meters, is reason enough.

> I do not want to learn the code, I have no
>interest in learning the code, I never plan to use code, but someday I may
>change my mind, and when that day comes I can test and move up in class. But
>if you stop people at the front gate, don't expect them to return.

Since tens of thousands of hams "returned" when code was required,
your basic premise is wrong. *You* wouldn't return if code were
required, but you aren't everyman. (Try the old General as the "entry
level" exam, 13 wpm and pretty stiff theory, with the only upgrade
being the Class A ticket. And yet, many many hams went that route.)

1876. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-13 00:09:36 UTC
From: Ed <Huckleberry_REMOVE_@bigvalley.net>
Message-ID: <Xns97E0AEA5073B5spectrumhogstarbandn@198.186.192.196>
Source record: 8706
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Radio Buff <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in news:Pxmjg.5175$lp.2532
@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:

> Dear sir:
>
> The FCC has been dumbing down ham radio for several years now.

Please, this was funny the first time around,. Now its boring. Go
away.

Ed

1877. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-13 00:12:07 UTC
From: Ed <Huckleberry_REMOVE_@bigvalley.net>
Message-ID: <Xns97E0AF12ABEA7spectrumhogstarbandn@198.186.192.196>
Source record: 8707
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
>
> Also interesting to note that the poster going by the handle of "New
> ham", who asked a rather inane question about a capacitance hat for
> his antennas (but who has no other posting history at Google),
> accesses the Internet via a Level3 dialup in Denver.
>
> Possibly it's something in the water up there.

Dave, you forgot about the altutude? The air is pretty thin in Denver.
More likely oxygen starvation.....

Ed K7AAT
```

1878. Re: BC895XL Loses Memory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-13 00:19:42 UTC
From: SR <gumby.dammit@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <vdSdnXUVbr0wmRPZnZ2dnUVZ_r6dnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 8708

I personally don't think it's a battery problem because my memory got wiped overnight while the radio was turned on and connected to a fully charged 20 A-hr battery. Since the circuits were energized, it shouldn't be relying on a battery or cap.

I've emailed Uniden support but haven't heard from them yet.

I'll keep the group informed of what happens.

-Scott
"Ron B." <rmboz@everestkc.notcom> wrote in message
news:e2b07$448cd826$4088d5d0$29485@EVERESTKC.NET...
> SR wrote:
>> Has anybody had the problem where their BC895XL randomly loses its
>> memory? Mind did a few days ago and I reprogrammed it yesterday then
>> overnight it lost it again. It was powered up the whole time so it's not
>> a dead battery issue.
>>
>> sr
> Mine did the same thing last night during a thunder storm. Would this be
> an internal battery issue for memory or what.
> Thanks for any reply on this topic.
>
>
> Ron

1879. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-13 00:31:10 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <128s1qbgi7hqu4a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 8709
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:muvr82tvhnusg3aqlvcn4b9su3tf6u82bf@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 12 Jun 2006 23:12:47 GMT, Radio Buff <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
>>Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> And make gas $0.25/gallon, steaks $0.79/lb and Kennedy president. :)

I'll go 2 out of 3 on that. Kennedy was and intravenous meth user who almost
started WW3.

1880. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-13 01:02:48 UTC
From: Richard Crowley <richard.7.crowley@intel.com>
Message-ID: <e6l2ro$t8d$1@news01.intel.com>
Source record: 8710
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:muvr82tvhnusg3aqlvcn4b9su3tf6u82bf@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 12 Jun 2006 23:12:47 GMT, Radio Buff <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
>>Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> And make gas $0.25/gallon, steaks $0.79/lb and Kennedy president. :)

Kennedy would be too conservative for even the neocons,
and unrecognizable by the "progressives".
Assuming you meant JFK and not Teddy the Tippler.

1881. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-13 01:56:11 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1150163771.395636.195330@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8711
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

BTW on the subject if you want the RIGHT thing don't involve the
congress

1882. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-13 02:43:36 UTC
From: A Patriot <patriot@geewhiz.oho>
Message-ID: <129s82d3kahktog49ok64c83n193230c2k@4ax.com>
Source record: 8712

On 12 Jun 2006 16:23:21 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>beter would be to start a letter like the enclosed beloow (in the
>quoted usenet text) is the effort of variuous persons with the ARS
>deteremined to Kill offthe valuable resuource to this that is Amateur
>(or Ham) radio Hams do step up when they to the disater that turned out
>to possiblity num one the list of of 101 things that can go wrong
>
>But they don't rely of CW (Morse encoded OnOffKeyed signals to tit
>
>they use whatis a at hand be it Voice Cw Rtty (and other digtial based
>modes) this the ARS and it stands now being slowly strangled by the
>Luddities in its midst with the willing assitastance of the FCC in
>draging their heel in issue the R&O that will implement it most recent
>NPRM o5-235I believe on restructuringthe ARS) their was nothing new in
>the coments some folks thik Morse Code Testing should stay but they
>could only muster the same tired arguement that the Fcc has already
>stated are not good enough
>
>Urge the FCC to do it job and modernize the ARs before it is too late
>and more lives are lost that could have been saved if only the FCC had
>acted in a timely manner

Are you really this illiterate????

1883. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-13 02:51:31 UTC
From: Roger <roger@degweeboynut.net>
Message-ID: <2hulc.lg0.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 8713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:540s82dard9r3jkteedhom6h1c9h5ho6sc@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 12 Jun 2006 18:49:34 -0500, "DrDeath"
> <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>"Radio Buff" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>>news:Pxmjg.5175$lp.2532@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>(major snippage)
>>> Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
>>Then you fail to recruit new blood into the hobbie.
>
> We recruited more new blood into the "hobbie" when the Novice required
> code (if you can't learn 5wpm, there's no way you could learn enough
> theory to pass the old exams - and there's more theory to know these
> days) AND one year non-renewable than we do now. There are reasons
> there's less new blood, but code isn't one of them.
>
>>Certainly they should have restrictions, but you need to have a no-code
>>ticket available,
>>otherwise why leave 11 meters.
>
> Good question. Ask those who did back in the early 60s - when code
> was required. Maybe just listening to 11 meters, then listening to 20
> meters, is reason enough.
>
>> I do not want to learn the code, I have no
>>interest in learning the code, I never plan to use code, but someday I may
>>change my mind, and when that day comes I can test and move up in class.
>>But
>>if you stop people at the front gate, don't expect them to return.
>
> Since tens of thousands of hams "returned" when code was required,
> your basic premise is wrong. *You* wouldn't return if code were
> required, but you aren't everyman. (Try the old General as the "entry
> level" exam, 13 wpm and pretty stiff theory, with the only upgrade
> being the Class A ticket. And yet, many many hams went that route.)

Simmer down pop. Drink some Geritol and caress your old c.w.
key and dream of days of yesteryear when Morse was
"High-Tech."

ROTFLMAO!

1884. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-13 02:57:31 UTC
From: "Ron B." <rmboz@everestkc.notcom>
Message-ID: <40517$448e299c$4088d5d0$27480@EVERESTKC.NET>
Source record: 8714
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Radio Buff wrote:

> Dear sir:
>
> The FCC has been dumbing down ham radio for several years now. This has
> hurt the integrity of the service and is bad for America. They are
> planning to dumb it down again really soon now and their plan will make
> ham radio like CB and it will be bad for America. We already have one CB
> service, we don't need two. Please pressure the FCC to endorse the
> following items for the good of the service and County.
> God bless America.
>
>
> Signed
> (Your name & callsign)
>
>
>
> No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all elements
> required for their license class.
>
> The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>
> Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
> Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
>

Must be some good stuff you are smoking or is it that three day old road kill you are eating. The FBI is looking for stars like you. You are one sick puppy.

1885. Steady UHF interference, CT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-13 04:11:21 UTC
From: rval058@comcast.net
Message-ID: <1150171881.610072.117480@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8715

Anyone have a clue what could be causing a steady around the clock one-second interval ON/OFF CARRIER on UHF? It seems strongest at 463.600 and comes in on NFM WFM and AM modes. It covers a good 2-3 mile radius in a hilltop area, and seems no stronger at my house than 2 miles away. I can't seem to locate the source, it has many harmonics and pops up all over UH. With a decent outside antenna, it interferes with HF as well. Knocks the front end of scanners useless for UHF. Very annoying. I live on a hilltop. Would it be a relay link of some sort?

Area: Chippens Hill - Bristol, CT

1886. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-13 04:11:32 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <448E3AF4.5000807@fuse.net>
Source record: 8716
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

DrDeath wrote:
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:muvr82tvhnusg3aqlvcn4b9su3tf6u82bf@4ax.com...
>
>>On Mon, 12 Jun 2006 23:12:47 GMT, Radio Buff <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>>
>>>Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>>
>>And make gas $0.25/gallon, steaks $0.79/lb and Kennedy president. :)
>
>
> I'll go 2 out of 3 on that. Kennedy was and intravenous meth user who almost
> started WW3.
>
>
That was Reagan

1887. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-13 04:14:42 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <448E3B74.39CA5BF1@earthlink.net>
Source record: 8717
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dave Platt wrote:
>
> In article <Pxmjg.5175$lp.2532@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> Radio Buff <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> #snip#
>
> Interesting to note that "Slow Code" and "Radio Buff", in addition to
> having apparently-identical agendas about rolling back FCC standards
> into the 1950s or before, both access the Internet via Level3 dialups
> in Denver.
>
> Also interesting to note that the poster going by the handle of "New
> ham", who asked a rather inane question about a capacitance hat for
> his antennas (but who has no other posting history at Google),
> accesses the Internet via a Level3 dialup in Denver.
>
> Possibly it's something in the water up there. Perhaps those three
> gentlemen ought to form a new ham club in Denver? That's enough
> members to qualify for a club-station license.
>
> --
> Dave Platt <dplatt@radagast.org> AE6EO
> Hosting the Jade Warrior home page: http://www.radagast.org/jade-warrior
> I do _not_ wish to receive unsolicited commercial email, and I will
> boycott any company which has the gall to send me such ads!

Both of them use the same news reader software as well: Xnews/5.04.25

--
Service to my country? Been there, Done that, and I've got my DD214 to
prove it.
Member of DAV #85.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

1888. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-13 04:37:33 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <128sg8nlf792u47@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 8718
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"jawod" <jawod@fuse.net> wrote in message news:448E3AF4.5000807@fuse.net...
> DrDeath wrote:
>> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>> news:muvr82tvhnusg3aqlvcn4b9su3tf6u82bf@4ax.com...
>>
>>>On Mon, 12 Jun 2006 23:12:47 GMT, Radio Buff <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>>>
>>>>Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>>>
>>>And make gas $0.25/gallon, steaks $0.79/lb and Kennedy president. :)
>>
>>
>> I'll go 2 out of 3 on that. Kennedy was and intravenous meth user who
>> almost started WW3.
> That was Reagan

Reagan may have wanted a WW3, but he didn't use drugs. The documentary I saw
about JFK said he had a Dr. shooting him up with meth up to 5 times a day.
I'm not the conspiracy theory type of person, but I can see why a government
organization would want him dead.

1889. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-13 11:38:22 UTC
From: Povertyhill <nowhere@nono.noway>
Message-ID: <Osxjg.13914$Ui7.6034@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 8723
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Gee do all hams in Colorado think like this or only ones in Denver? Get a
life and play with your CW key with a buzzer!

"Radio Buff" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:Pxmjg.5175$lp.2532@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Dear sir:
>
> The FCC has been dumbing down ham radio for several years now. This has
> hurt the integrity of the service and is bad for America. They are
> planning to dumb it down again really soon now and their plan will make
> ham radio like CB and it will be bad for America. We already have one CB
> service, we don't need two. Please pressure the FCC to endorse the
> following items for the good of the service and County.
> God bless America.
>
>
> Signed
> (Your name & callsign)
>
>
>
> No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all elements
> required for their license class.
>
> The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>
> Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
> Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
>

1890. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-13 12:33:41 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <01ct829e3mdpkb8fk1648d1st99fg86e6j@4ax.com>
Source record: 8719
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 12 Jun 2006 23:37:33 -0500, "DrDeath"
<drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Reagan may have wanted a WW3, but he didn't use drugs.

Reagan didn't need anything external to alter his mind - he had
Alzheimer's. And Nancy, who had a large hand in running the White
House, did so with a fortune teller's advice.

> The documentary I saw
>about JFK said he had a Dr. shooting him up with meth up to 5 times a day.

He had a doctor giving him pain killers - because he wrecked his back.

>I'm not the conspiracy theory type of person, but I can see why a government
>organization would want him dead.

The fact that he "ruined" government (government as it was, at any
rate), might have something to do with that.

1891. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-13 12:34:35 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <e5ct82thh5tlmv6v30k20g1qi3b9vvrs29@4ax.com>
Source record: 8720
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 12 Jun 2006 18:02:48 -0700, "Richard Crowley"
<richard.7.crowley@intel.com> wrote:

>"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>news:muvr82tvhnusg3aqlvcn4b9su3tf6u82bf@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 12 Jun 2006 23:12:47 GMT, Radio Buff <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>>
>>>Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>>
>> And make gas $0.25/gallon, steaks $0.79/lb and Kennedy president. :)
>
>Kennedy would be too conservative for even the neocons,
>and unrecognizable by the "progressives".

Said by someone looking at it from the perspective of having spent a
lot of time studying the times, eh?

1892. Re: Steady UHF interference, CT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-13 12:37:22 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <8act829h42ismo2kh2q4erp8ophuu0di70@4ax.com>
Source record: 8721

On 12 Jun 2006 21:11:21 -0700, rval058@comcast.net wrote:

>Anyone have a clue what could be causing a steady around the clock
>one-second interval ON/OFF CARRIER on UHF? It seems strongest at
>463.600 and comes in on NFM WFM and AM modes. It covers a good 2-3 mile
>radius in a hilltop area, and seems no stronger at my house than 2
>miles away. I can't seem to locate the source, it has many harmonics
>and pops up all over UH. With a decent outside antenna, it interferes
>with HF as well. Knocks the front end of scanners useless for UHF. Very
>annoying. I live on a hilltop. Would it be a relay link of some sort?

Take a portable receiver that's receiving the interference, walk
around until it gets really strong, take off the antenna and walk
around until it gets really strong again. You'll be pretty close to
the source at that point.

1893. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-13 12:57:08 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <0Cyjg.1679$8i1.760@fe07.lga>
Source record: 8722
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Radio Buff" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:Pxmjg.5175$lp.2532@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> We already have one CB
> service, we don't need two.

Actually, if you knew anything about "radio" in general, you would realize
that we DO have more than one CB service; matter of fact, there are 5 CB
Services.

One (GMRS) requires a license, one that in non-voice (R/C,) and 3 that are
open to whomever wants to use them, license-free (CB, FRS, and MURS.)

Get at least a SMALL clue...

1894. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-13 13:02:32 UTC
From: billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1150203751.962543.225280@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8724
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
sodomized by an_old_friend wrote:
> beter would be to start a letter like the enclosed beloow (in the
> quoted usenet text) is the effort of variuous persons with the ARS
> deteremined to Kill offthe valuable resuource to this that is Amateur
> (or Ham) radio Hams do step up when they to the disater that turned out
> to possiblity num one the list of of 101 things that can go wrong

Better still is if you'd get a dictionary, stupid. Let's keep the morse
code just to keep retards like you no code techs, Marqueer.
```

1895. Re: BC895XL Loses Memory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-13 14:16:27 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <GMzjg.626$_k.262@fe02.lga>
Source record: 8725

"SR" <gumby.dammit@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:Fp-dnd4fhOIvwhHZnZ2dnUVZ_sidnZ2d@giganews.com...
> Has anybody had the problem where their BC895XL randomly loses its memory?
> Mind did a few days ago and I reprogrammed it yesterday then overnight it
> lost it again. It was powered up the whole time so it's not a dead
battery
> issue.
>

Nope, but I know someone that has a memory problem on a BCT7. Looses memory randomly, though only when not connected to power. My guess is a bad capacitor in his unit. For yours, I can't see how it could loose memory considering it was powered up the whole time. Only "best guess" scenario I can come up with is an intermittent short (or open) in the memory circuit, possibly temperature related.

1896. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-13 15:39:36 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1150213176.595626.309370@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8726
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

PowerHouse Communications wrote:
> "Radio Buff" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:Pxmjg.5175$lp.2532@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> > We already have one CB
> > service, we don't need two.
>
> Actually, if you knew anything about "radio" in general, you would realize
> that we DO have more than one CB service; matter of fact, there are 5 CB
> Services.
>
> One (GMRS) requires a license, one that in non-voice (R/C,) and 3 that are
> open to whomever wants to use them, license-free (CB, FRS, and MURS.)
>
> Get at least a SMALL clue...
an excellent point i will have to remeber that one ade that way

1897. Re: Email this to your FAT ASS HAM NEIGHBOR ON HIS ELECTRIC SCOOTER AT DAYTON (rotflmao)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-13 23:04:39 UTC
From: "14.313 QRMers VFW Post 69.5" <herbiebabynumberone@pollysuckass.com>
Message-ID: <497bb$448f4472$d1cc5bd4$4845@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 8727
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Radio Buff" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:Pxmjg.5175$lp.2532@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Dear sir:
>
> The FCC has been dumbing down ham radio for several years now.

Bull f-'ing shi+ !! Hams are dumb to start with.

> This has hurt the integrity of the service and is bad for America. They
are
> planning to dumb it down again really soon now and their plan will make
> ham radio like CB and it will be bad for America.

Baaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa...
HA HA HA HA AH HA HA HAHAH AHAHAA!!!!!!!!!!!!

Oh what a maroon this putz is eh folks????

>We already have one CB
> service, we don't need two. Please pressure the FCC to endorse the
> following items for the good of the service and County.

Oh jeebsus-h-christmas on a rocket-propelled sled in the Nevada desert!
You are one dumbass dipshit. A perfect ambassador for ham radio.

You were'nt the FATASS I saw on that scooter at Dayton
pulling that wagon of junk around were you???

I mean.....Jeeze!

I never saw so many FAT ASS HAMS ON SCOOTERS at Dayton this year.
Bet the electric bill to re-charge all those Electric Mobility scooters was
TWICE
the cost what it needed to run the Icom and Yaesu display!

Here's your future of ham radio folks!
OLD FARTS who EAT and SMOKE themselves to death
faster than the barstool warmers at the local VFW and AL Post!

> God bless America.

Did you know that G-d spelled backwards is "dog".
(I know you did, just wanted to give the knife a little twist.....(grin)

> Signed
> (Your name & callsign Harvey C Buttphuck of West Memphis TN)

>
>
>
> No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all elements
> required for their license class.
> The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
> Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
> Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

Baaaaaaa hahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahaha!!!!!
You do that an ARRL will have a hissy-fit. They got
enuf trouble keeping the few fatasses alive and buying
that pathetic 75% advertising magazine of their's...

1898. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-13 23:23:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1150241024.768327.269930@y43g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8728
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Radio Buff wrote:
> Ed <Huckleberry_REMOVE_@bigvalley.net> wrote in
> news:Xns97E0AEA5073B5spectrumhogstarbandn@198.186.192.196:
>
> > Please, this was funny the first time around,. Now its boring. Go
> > away.
>
>
>
> and they'll all have licenses.
indeed how will know the difference in the bands after no code goes
through

likely that hf will start to resemble VHF more with politier operators
and an avoidaence of endless discssuions of ham aliments
>
> Is an intelligent conversation & exchange important or would you rather
> hear:
>
> AAAAAAAAAAAAAAUDIOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO.
I have never heard anything like that on CB I hear worse on a weekly
basis on Ham radio

1899. Re: Email this to your FAT ASS HAM NEIGHBOR ON HIS ELECTRIC SCOOTER AT DAYTON (rotflmao)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-13 23:52:49 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <stju82dudh33hcnf25vdud9fnob2m7pcs7@4ax.com>
Source record: 8729
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 13 Jun 2006 19:04:39 -0400, "14.313 QRMers VFW Post 69.5"
<herbiebabynumberone@pollysuckass.com> wrote:

>Oh what a maroon this putz is eh folks????

You sure are <plonk<

1900. Re: BC895XL Loses Memory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-14 00:29:43 UTC
From: SR <gumby.dammit@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <iI2dnYcoZusQxRLZnZ2dnUVZ_tKdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 8730

That's what has me so confused! As far as temperature related, could be, but sitting on a table in the living room overnight doesn't sound too extreme to me. Although, I've seen stranger things in the past.

Still no reply from Uniden...

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message news:GMzjg.626$_k.262@fe02.lga...
>
> "SR" <gumby.dammit@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:Fp-dnd4fhOIvwhHZnZ2dnUVZ_sidnZ2d@giganews.com...
>> Has anybody had the problem where their BC895XL randomly loses its
>> memory?
>> Mind did a few days ago and I reprogrammed it yesterday then overnight it
>> lost it again. It was powered up the whole time so it's not a dead
> battery
>> issue.
>>
>
> Nope, but I know someone that has a memory problem on a BCT7. Looses
> memory
> randomly, though only when not connected to power. My guess is a bad
> capacitor in his unit. For yours, I can't see how it could loose memory
> considering it was powered up the whole time. Only "best guess" scenario
> I
> can come up with is an intermittent short (or open) in the memory circuit,
> possibly temperature related.
>
>

1901. Re: Coming to a ham band near you, CB'ers, Lids, and retards...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-14 00:40:01 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <128umn5endpfna1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 8731
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Radio Buff" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:XIHjg.12660$921.2643@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Ed <Huckleberry_REMOVE_@bigvalley.net> wrote in
> news:Xns97E0AEA5073B5spectrumhogstarbandn@198.186.192.196:
>
>> Please, this was funny the first time around,. Now its boring. Go
>> away.
>
>
>
> and they'll all have licenses.
>
> Is an intelligent conversation & exchange important or would you rather
> hear:
>
> AAAAAAAAAAAAAAUDIOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO.
>
Yeah, that's all we say on 11 meters. I can't wait till they start handing
out tickets like they did with CB just so I can go on 80 meters and say
AAAAAAAAAAAAUDIOOOOOOOOO
Can I run my echo on 80 meters too?
Get a life. Many a good ham has roots in CB.

1902. Re: Coming to a ham band near you, People

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-14 02:44:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1150253064.412569.196580@h76g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8732
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

DrDeath wrote:
> "Radio Buff" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:XIHjg.12660$921.2643@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > Ed <Huckleberry_REMOVE_@bigvalley.net> wrote in
> > news:Xns97E0AEA5073B5spectrumhogstarbandn@198.186.192.196:
> >
> >> Please, this was funny the first time around,. Now its boring. Go
> >> away.
> >
> >
> >
> > and they'll all have licenses.
> >
> > Is an intelligent conversation & exchange important or would you rather
> > hear:
> >
> > AAAAAAAAAAAAAAUDIOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO.
> >
> Yeah, that's all we say on 11 meters. I can't wait till they start handing
> out tickets like they did with CB just so I can go on 80 meters and say
> AAAAAAAAAAAAUDIOOOOOOOOO
> Can I run my echo on 80 meters too?
> Get a life. Many a good ham has roots in CB.

indeed a post a I can agree with you on Dr death

1903. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-14 04:55:06 UTC
From: BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1ef960b921814734989ff6@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 8733
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In article <Pxmjg.5175$lp.2532@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> Dear sir:
>
> The FCC has been dumbing down ham radio for several years now. This has
> hurt the integrity of the service and is bad for America. They are
> planning to dumb it down again really soon now and their plan will make
> ham radio like CB and it will be bad for America. We already have one CB
> service, we don't need two. Please pressure the FCC to endorse the
> following items for the good of the service and County.
> God bless America.
>
>
> Signed
> (Your name & callsign)
>
>
>
> No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all elements
> required for their license class.
>
> The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>
> Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
> Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
>
>

If they did what you want, ham radio would be dead in just a few years.

The primary problem causers in the past and present aren't new hams,
they are the old timers who passed all the elements a long time ago.
Maybe it's the cause of their behavior?

The code needed to go 40 years ago, it's about time it was removed as a
requirement.

BDK

1904. Get ehlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-14 11:22:14 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon.com>
Message-ID: <2ldhr.8rn.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 8734
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1150253064.412569.196580@h76g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
DrDeath wrote:
> "Radio Buff" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:XIHjg.12660$921.2643@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > Ed <Huckleberry_REMOVE_@bigvalley.net> wrote in
> > news:Xns97E0AEA5073B5spectrumhogstarbandn@198.186.192.196:
> >
> >> Please, this was funny the first time around,. Now its boring. Go
> >> away.
> >
> >
> >
> > and they'll all have licenses.
> >
> > Is an intelligent conversation & exchange important or would you rather
> > hear:
> >
> > AAAAAAAAAAAAAAUDIOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO.
> >
> Yeah, that's all we say on 11 meters. I can't wait till they start handing
> out tickets like they did with CB just so I can go on 80 meters and say
> AAAAAAAAAAAAUDIOOOOOOOOO
> Can I run my echo on 80 meters too?
> Get a life. Many a good ham has roots in CB.

indeed a post a I can agree with you on Dr death

Getting in the last word again, Mark? Get ehlp.

1905. Re: Coming to a ham band near you, People

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-14 12:53:49 UTC
From: billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1150289629.441677.86440@y41g2000cwy.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8735
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Contracted AIDS from an_old_friend wrote:
> DrDeath wrote:
> > "Radio Buff" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> > news:XIHjg.12660$921.2643@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > > Ed <Huckleberry_REMOVE_@bigvalley.net> wrote in
> > > news:Xns97E0AEA5073B5spectrumhogstarbandn@198.186.192.196:
> > >
> > >> Please, this was funny the first time around,. Now its boring. Go
> > >> away.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > and they'll all have licenses.
> > >
> > > Is an intelligent conversation & exchange important or would you rather
> > > hear:
> > >
> > > AAAAAAAAAAAAAAUDIOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO.
> > >
> > Yeah, that's all we say on 11 meters. I can't wait till they start handing
> > out tickets like they did with CB just so I can go on 80 meters and say
> > AAAAAAAAAAAAUDIOOOOOOOOO
> > Can I run my echo on 80 meters too?
> > Get a life. Many a good ham has roots in CB.
>
> indeed

Like you, Marqueer, you were chased off of CB !

1906. Re: Coming to a ham band near you, CB'ers, Lids, and retards...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-14 13:39:03 UTC
From: Gil <common_man@cox.net>
Message-ID: <VjUjg.231913$5Z.56242@dukeread02>
Source record: 8736
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Radio Buff wrote:
> Ed <Huckleberry_REMOVE_@bigvalley.net> wrote in
> news:Xns97E0AEA5073B5spectrumhogstarbandn@198.186.192.196:
>
>> Please, this was funny the first time around,. Now its boring.
>> Go away.
>
>
>
> and they'll all have licenses.
>
> Is an intelligent conversation & exchange important or would you
> rather hear:
>
> AAAAAAAAAAAAAAUDIOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO.

Let's face it, if intelligent conversation was important, none of us would be on Usenet in the first place to read the snivling and whining of trolls like you.

1907. SHARK 80 en route Soto Cano AB, Honduras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-14 14:39:15 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1150295955.891864.67170@f6g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8737
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner

13927.1 kHz USB: SHARK 80 en route Soto Cano AB

13927.1 USAF MARS: USB 1425z w C-130 "Shark 80" ph patch to DSN
313-449-6596 Soto Cano AB (Honduras) ATOC re 1515z ETA; then ph patch
to DSN 312-228-1977 SOUTHCOM Metro, Davis Monthan AFB rqstn wx at MHSC
(Soto Cano). (14/Jun/2006) (ALS)

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/

1908. Re: Coming to a ham band near you, People

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-14 15:38:39 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1150299519.443548.44320@y41g2000cwy.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8738
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com wrote:
> Contracted AIDS from an_old_friend wrote:
> > DrDeath wrote:
> > > "Radio Buff" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message

> > indeed
>
> Like you, Marqueer, you were chased off of CB !

bullshit wisemen

get help

1909. Thanks for the list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-14 15:51:15 UTC
From: usmarine0311@gmail.com
Message-ID: <m2c092dvc4eo02a80aq1n04a366k6cm4u6@4ax.com>
Source record: 8739

[No readable article body recovered]

1910. Thanks for the help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-14 15:52:15 UTC
From: usmarine0311@gmail.com
Message-ID: <v4c092ts11dsigt5r915kr98fatkmcvdl5@4ax.com>
Source record: 8740

[No readable article body recovered]

1911. Re: BC895XL Loses Memory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-14 16:47:44 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <d4Xjg.162$Rj.107@fe02.lga>
Source record: 8741

I guess the temperature factor depends upon how long the unit was on before
it lost it's memory. If the unit had just been turned on and programmed,
the components inside may not have "warmed up" the inside of the case to the
point where the temperature (potentially) effects the circuit, though that
temperature possibly was reached sometime during the night... Again,
complete speculation, as I don't know how long the unit was powered up
before "overnight" happened, or even if that is the real problem at all.

"SR" <gumby.dammit@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:iI2dnYcoZusQxRLZnZ2dnUVZ_tKdnZ2d@giganews.com...
> That's what has me so confused! As far as temperature related, could be,
> but sitting on a table in the living room overnight doesn't sound too
> extreme to me. Although, I've seen stranger things in the past.
>
> Still no reply from Uniden...
>
> "PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
> news:GMzjg.626$_k.262@fe02.lga...
> >
> > "SR" <gumby.dammit@nospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:Fp-dnd4fhOIvwhHZnZ2dnUVZ_sidnZ2d@giganews.com...
> >> Has anybody had the problem where their BC895XL randomly loses its
> >> memory?
> >> Mind did a few days ago and I reprogrammed it yesterday then overnight
it
> >> lost it again. It was powered up the whole time so it's not a dead
> > battery
> >> issue.
> >>
> >
> > Nope, but I know someone that has a memory problem on a BCT7. Looses
> > memory
> > randomly, though only when not connected to power. My guess is a bad
> > capacitor in his unit. For yours, I can't see how it could loose memory
> > considering it was powered up the whole time. Only "best guess"
scenario
> > I
> > can come up with is an intermittent short (or open) in the memory
circuit,
> > possibly temperature related.
> >
> >
>
>

1912. Re: Use "Tape Out" Or "Ext Speaker" Output For PC's Line-In ? And, acars question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-14 19:16:19 UTC
From: martin@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu
Message-ID: <e6pna3$5cv$1@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu>
Source record: 8742

I also have a BC780 and someone commented on the Tape Out jack
being constant volume at line level. Unless I accidentally plugged in
to the Speaker jack, I thought I remember that the Tape Out jack
volume varied with the Volume control right along with the speaker
output. I feed speaker audio in to my computer sound card, but I just
set the volume control and try not to bump it later. The only other
thing I remember is that you have to enable the recorder output on a
channel by channel basis. If there is a way to get a fixed level out
of that jack, I may revisit its use as it would make setting up the
computer to record much easier.
--

Martin McCormick WB5AGZ Stillwater, OK
Information Technology Division Network Operations Group

1913. BC 600 xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-14 19:28:07 UTC
From: Dale Randall <drandall@myrural.com>
Message-ID: <4fb6abF1bltciU1@individual.net>
Source record: 8743

Can't find a manual for a bearcat 600xlt scanner / Searched for about an hour. What can you tell me about this model and can some one send me copy of page that tells how to program it?? Thanks. Dale

1914. AWACS "Goliath" controlling acft off FL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-14 19:38:09 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1150313889.593796.319790@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8744
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.military

AWACS "Goliath" controlling acft

It is Wed, 3:27pm EDT (1927z).
Goliath (AWACS E-3, Tinker 960ACS) is up on 311.5 Bristol,
controlling acft in ACM. Goliath also passed "Victor freq 141.775" to
unknown acft. Goliath is also up on 343.5 with Jax Metro, informing
that they are operating in AW-003.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/

1915. Cleveland, Ohio Police Scanner Feed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-14 19:56:20 UTC
From: martin@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu
Message-ID: <e6ppl4$6f4$1@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu>
Source record: 8745

I looked up scanner feeds for Cleveland, Ohio recently and
found a web site that has one, but I can't seem to find the link to
the streaming audio. I use Linux and, as a computer user who happens
to be blind, the GUI-based browsers such as Netscape and similar don't
work yet. There is an excellent program in Linux called MPlayer whose
latest version is MPlayer-1.0pre8 and it can play most all the common
streaming audio formats such as Windows Media and Realaudio. What one
must do is to find the link using the lynx or similar browser which
usually comes down to a .ram or .asx file. You get that file and use
it as the playlist option in mplayer and everything works fine if you
can get that far. Several people were helpful last year on a couple
of feeds I was looking for so I am asking if anyone knows the feed URL
for the Cleveland site. If it requires flash or shockwave, I am out
of luck, but sometimes, the site simply has more javascript than is
useful and the link can't be teased out of the mess.

Many thanks for any help. I have never been to Cleveland, but
I used to listen when they were on 37.180 MHZ during Sporadic E
openings in the Summer and they would sometimes just boom in to
Oklahoma and Arkansas in the late sixties.
--

Martin McCormick WB5AGZ Stillwater, OK
Information Technology Division Network Operations Group

1916. AOR 2800 mkIIB PC cable???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-14 21:37:59 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <Xk%jg.602$ZM2.273@fe05.lga>
Source record: 8746

Hi guys. I'm in search of a cable for this new scanner of mine and doing a search online I see they are made of gold. Any cheap sources for these?
Thanks

Regards
B.H.

Brian's Radio Universe
http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/500.htm

Brian's Basement
http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/6.htm

1917. Re: Use "Tape Out" Or "Ext Speaker" Output For PC's Line-In ? And, acars question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-14 22:26:25 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <863192tmnq73p1lr2pmr1m6jrsm2nv9v5m@4ax.com>
Source record: 8747

On 14 Jun 2006 14:16:19 -0500, martin@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu (Martin McCormick) wrote:

> I also have a BC780 and someone commented on the Tape Out jack
>being constant volume at line level. Unless I accidentally plugged in
>to the Speaker jack, I thought I remember that the Tape Out jack
>volume varied with the Volume control right along with the speaker
>output.

It shouldn't. It should be line level, which is about the level at the top of the volume control.

1918. Re: Use "Tape Out" Or "Ext Speaker" Output For PC's Line-In ? And, acars question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-14 22:27:21 UTC
From: martin@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu
Message-ID: <e6q2g9$a0u$1@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu>
Source record: 8748

In article <e6pna3$5cv$1@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu>,
> I also have a BC780 and someone commented on the Tape Out jack
>being constant volume at line level.

Well, I am at home and I checked again and my sometimes
failing memory was correct. If you do enable the Tape output, you get
audio, all right, and it is fine, but it is effected by the volume
control just like the speaker. It also appears to have a higher
impedance and really didn't sound as good as it does through the
speaker. You also hear the speaker which may or may not be a problem.
--

Martin McCormick WB5AGZ Stillwater, OK
Information Technology Department Network Operations Group

1919. Re: Cleveland, Ohio Police Scanner Feed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-14 22:28:30 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <la31929mfpt9me3mp7rl7dt1d1cia5ia02@4ax.com>
Source record: 8749

On 14 Jun 2006 14:56:20 -0500, martin@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu (Martin McCormick) wrote:

>I looked up scanner feeds for Cleveland, Ohio recently and
>found a web site that has one, but I can't seem to find the link to
>the streaming audio.

If you post the URL, maybe someone would go there and get the information you need for you.

1920. Re: AOR 2800 mkIIB PC cable???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-14 22:29:03 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <sc31925ol7dtpvq2hnng1iuf1ndptcf7gg@4ax.com>
Source record: 8750

On Wed, 14 Jun 2006 16:37:59 -0500, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote:

>Hi guys. I'm in search of a cable for this new scanner of mine and doing a
>search online I see they are made of gold. Any cheap sources for these?
>Thanks

Cheap sources for gold? If you find any let me know.

1921. Modernization: Appliance Operator 1st Class, Appliance Operator 2nd Class, Appliance Op...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-14 23:25:55 UTC
From: Morse or ReMorse <my.email@no.spam>
Message-ID: <7W0kg.5842$lf4.5581@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8751
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Modernizing ham radio:

No more CW, easier to pass written exams. We Should change the names: Tech, General & Extra too. No sense hanging with the dinosaurs when there's no need to be proficient at anything anymore:

Appliance Operator 1st Class, Appliance Operator 2nd Class

Appliance Operator 3rd Class, No Class

Now Ten twenty-seven to channel one nine to get a preview of the new classes. I'm ten seven. Any one got a ten thirty-six hello.

Sorry for the CB lingo, I'm just getting practiced up so I can understand people when the CW test goes away.

You really want modernization?

Remorse

1922. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-14 23:30:28 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1150327828.744895.4840@r2g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8752
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Morse or ReMorse wrote:
> Modernizing ham radio:
why don't you find some other place for your bile

1923. Re: BC 600 xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-14 23:43:56 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <k8qdnSakP7iiAg3ZnZ2dneKdnZydnZ2d@nventure.com>
Source record: 8753

"Dale Randall" <drandall@myrural.com> wrote in message
news:4fb6abF1bltciU1@individual.net...
> Can't find a manual for a bearcat 600xlt scanner / Searched for about an
> hour. What can you tell me about this model and can some one send me copy
> of page that tells how to program it?? Thanks. Dale

Uniden has manuals for many on thier site in PDF. Are you sure it's a 600
xlt not a 60 xlt?
--
"Everything in excess! To enjoy the flavor of life, take big bites.
Moderation is for monks."
[Lazarus Long]

1924. Re: AOR 2800 mkIIB PC cable???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-15 00:13:46 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <_C1kg.951$YZ3.27@fe07.lga>
Source record: 8754

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:sc31925ol7dtpvq2hnng1iuf1ndptcf7gg@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 14 Jun 2006 16:37:59 -0500, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote:
>
>>Hi guys. I'm in search of a cable for this new scanner of mine and doing a
>>search online I see they are made of gold. Any cheap sources for these?
>>Thanks
>
> Cheap sources for gold? If you find any let me know.

I said the heck with it and bought one here. It's the cheapest I found.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=9741255142&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMEWN%3AIT&rd=1

--

Regards
B.H.

Brian's Basement
http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/6.htm

Brian's Radio Universe
http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/500.htm

1925. Re: Modernization: Appliance Operator 1st Class, Appliance Operator 2nd Class, Appliance Op...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-15 00:26:22 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@gwi.net>
Message-ID: <FpGdneoOz8-3NA3ZnZ2dnUVZ_sednZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 8755
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Sure I want modernization. I dont have to put up with any of it. I havent been on the air for at least ten years. I renewed the license, then put it away.
The ham bands are gonna go away, and soon. They are gonna go the same way that respect for your fellow amateur went, cooperation went, etc. The FCC has a vested interest in shutting the ham bands down, since their funding got cut years ago. BPL looked like a winning plan to shut it all down so they could sell it off, but that flopped, since it also interfered with other emergency services, like police and fire. so, the easiest way to shut it down for good is to just hand it over to the cb crowd. They will make a complete mess out of it, and they wont waste any time doing it, either.

Then the FCC can improve their funding, considerably. Just think, the fines from the violations alone will come to millions. Did you really think that the FCC was looking for "new innovations and inventions" coming out of ham radio ? This is the digital age, guy.
In the meantime, enjoy these tirades from folks about the "fat-amateur". Me, I pump iron every day, and could probably knock most of them on their keester without even breaking a sweat, and im twice their age. The irony of them opening their mouths has not escaped me, these new, modern "sensitive" guys that are doing 99% of the ranting on this subject. It begs the question: Just which pair of their mother's panties are they wearing today ?
Ham Radio started to suck about ten years ago, and it is going to continue the downhill slide. Get tused to it.

And before you start in on me, lemme tell ya fella, i was a cw operator, and i also built most of my own stuff. It just ain't worth it any more. Period.

"Morse or ReMorse" <my.email@no.spam> wrote in message
news:7W0kg.5842$lf4.5581@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Modernizing ham radio:
>
> No more CW, easier to pass written exams. We Should change the
> names: Tech, General & Extra too. No sense hanging with the
> dinosaurs when there's no need to be proficient at anything
> anymore:
>
> Appliance Operator 1st Class, Appliance Operator 2nd Class
>
> Appliance Operator 3rd Class, No Class
>
> Now Ten twenty-seven to channel one nine to get a preview of the new
> classes. I'm ten seven. Any one got a ten thirty-six hello.

>
> Sorry for the CB lingo, I'm just getting practiced up so I can understand
> people when the CW test goes away.
>
> You really want modernization?
>
>
> Remorse

1926. Re: Modernization: Appliance Operator 1st Class, Appliance Operator 2nd Class, Appliance Op...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-15 00:38:53 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <x_1kg.1193$Rj.966@fe02.lga>
Source record: 8756
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Morse or ReMorse" <my.email@no.spam> wrote in message
news:7W0kg.5842$lf4.5581@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Modernizing ham radio:
>
> No more CW, easier to pass written exams. We Should change the
> names: Tech, General & Extra too. No sense hanging with the
> dinosaurs when there's no need to be proficient at anything
> anymore:
>
> Appliance Operator 1st Class, Appliance Operator 2nd Class
>
> Appliance Operator 3rd Class, No Class
>
> Now Ten twenty-seven to channel one nine to get a preview of the new
> classes. I'm ten seven. Any one got a ten thirty-six hello.
>
> Sorry for the CB lingo, I'm just getting practiced up so I can understand
> people when the CW test goes away.
>
> You really want modernization?
>
>
> Remorse

Don't be chickenshit. Be a man and put your name to it! Since you cross
posted it to three million groups.

BH

1927. Re: Use "Tape Out" Or "Ext Speaker" Output For PC's Line-In ? And, acars question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-15 00:49:22 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <qjb192l78ntpt6e2usi4r1gcrv40dkupjn@4ax.com>
Source record: 8757

On 14 Jun 2006 17:27:21 -0500, martin@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu (Martin McCormick) wrote:

>In article <e6pna3$5cv$1@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu>,
>> I also have a BC780 and someone commented on the Tape Out jack
>>being constant volume at line level.
>
> Well, I am at home and I checked again and my sometimes
>failing memory was correct. If you do enable the Tape output, you get
>audio, all right, and it is fine, but it is effected by the volume
>control just like the speaker. It also appears to have a higher
>impedance and really didn't sound as good as it does through the
>speaker. You also hear the speaker which may or may not be a problem.

I guess they've changed the design from the 780 to the current one.

1928. Re: AOR 2800 mkIIB PC cable???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-15 00:52:36 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <2nb192lc9iu13ko5sinu6lgrftks1rff56@4ax.com>
Source record: 8758

On Wed, 14 Jun 2006 19:13:46 -0500, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote:

>I said the heck with it and bought one here. It's the cheapest I found.

I don't know what's on the AOR end - mini-USB? USB to RS-232
converter? But the price looks like "let's see how much I can get
away with". If someone figured it out and sold them for around $39 he
would probably sell a lot of them and still make money.

1929. Re: Modernization: Appliance Operator 1st Class, Appliance Operator 2nd Class, Appliance Op...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-15 00:54:34 UTC
From: James Crow <JCrow8@No.n.org>
Message-ID: <4490a26c$0$3582$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 8759
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

"Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@gwi.net> wrote in message
news:FpGdneoOz8-3NA3ZnZ2dnUVZ_sednZ2d@giganews.com...
> Sure I want modernization. I dont have to put up with any of it. I havent
> been on the air for at least ten years. I renewed the license, then put it
> away.
> The ham bands are gonna go away, and soon. They are gonna go the same way
> that respect for your fellow amateur went, cooperation went, etc. The FCC
> has a vested interest in shutting the ham bands down, since their funding
> got cut years ago. BPL looked like a winning plan to shut it all down so
> they could sell it off, but that flopped, since it also interfered with
> other emergency services, like police and fire. so, the easiest way to
> shut it down for good is to just hand it over to the cb crowd. They will
> make a complete mess out of it, and they wont waste any time doing it,
> either.
>
> Then the FCC can improve their funding, considerably. Just think, the
> fines from the violations alone will come to millions. Did you really
> think that the FCC was looking for "new innovations and inventions" coming
> out of ham radio ? This is the digital age, guy.
> In the meantime, enjoy these tirades from folks about the "fat-amateur".
> Me, I pump iron every day, and could probably knock most of them on their
> keester without even breaking a sweat, and im twice their age. The irony
> of them opening their mouths has not escaped me, these new, modern
> "sensitive" guys that are doing 99% of the ranting on this subject. It
> begs the question: Just which pair of their mother's panties are they
> wearing today ? Ham Radio started to suck about ten years ago, and it is
> going to continue the downhill slide. Get tused to it.
>
> And before you start in on me, lemme tell ya fella, i was a cw operator,
> and i also built most of my own stuff. It just ain't worth it any more.
> Period.
>
>
> "Morse or ReMorse" <my.email@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:7W0kg.5842$lf4.5581@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> Modernizing ham radio:
>>
>> No more CW, easier to pass written exams. We Should change the
>> names: Tech, General & Extra too. No sense hanging with the
>> dinosaurs when there's no need to be proficient at anything
>> anymore:
>>

>> Appliance Operator 1st Class, Appliance Operator 2nd Class
>>
>> Appliance Operator 3rd Class, No Class
>>
>> Now Ten twenty-seven to channel one nine to get a preview of the new
>> classes. I'm ten seven. Any one got a ten thirty-six hello.
>>
>> Sorry for the CB lingo, I'm just getting practiced up so I can understand
>> people when the CW test goes away.
>>
>> You really want modernization?
>>
>>
>> Remorse
>
well said,sir.

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1930. Re: Modernization: Appliance Operator 1st Class, Appliance Operator 2nd Class, Appliance Op...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-15 01:04:28 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1291cgtkguuhv43@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 8760
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@gwi.net> wrote in message
news:FpGdneoOz8-3NA3ZnZ2dnUVZ_sednZ2d@giganews.com...
> Sure I want modernization. I dont have to put up with any of it. I havent
> been on the air for at least ten years. I renewed the license, then put it
> away.
> The ham bands are gonna go away, and soon. They are gonna go the same way
> that respect for your fellow amateur went, cooperation went, etc. The FCC
> has a vested interest in shutting the ham bands down, since their funding
> got cut years ago. BPL looked like a winning plan to shut it all down so
> they could sell it off, but that flopped, since it also interfered with
> other emergency services, like police and fire. so, the easiest way to
> shut it down for good is to just hand it over to the cb crowd. They will
> make a complete mess out of it, and they wont waste any time doing it,
> either.
>
> Then the FCC can improve their funding, considerably. Just think, the
> fines from the violations alone will come to millions. Did you really
> think that the FCC was looking for "new innovations and inventions" coming
> out of ham radio ? This is the digital age, guy.

I doubt that the CBer would take over on all bands. One of the attractions
of CB is the cost of equipment. The FCC will probably sell off the unused
bandwidths to private organizations such as cell companies and repeaters for
the service industry to name a few. They can then be done with all the
headaches with ham/cb enforcement and concentrate on other, more lucrative
areas, such as Janet Jackson's half time nipple exposure.

1931. Re: Modernization: Appliance Operator 1st Class, Appliance Operator 2nd Class, Appliance Op...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-15 01:20:25 UTC
From: hamm_burger_1@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1150334425.108068.130270@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8761
Cross-posted to: ALT.SEX.ANAL,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

THE ONLY BAD PART ABOUT THE CODE BEING DROPPED AND THE TESTS BEING
EASIER IS IT WILL DRAW MORE NIGGERS. THATS THE ONLY GOOD PART ABOUT CW
AND HARD TESTS. NIGGERS FLOCK TO FREE STUFF LIKE FLIES TO SHIT.
James Crow wrote:
> "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@gwi.net> wrote in message
> news:FpGdneoOz8-3NA3ZnZ2dnUVZ_sednZ2d@giganews.com...
> > Sure I want modernization. I dont have to put up with any of it. I havent
> > been on the air for at least ten years. I renewed the license, then put it
> > away.
> > The ham bands are gonna go away, and soon. They are gonna go the same way
> > that respect for your fellow amateur went, cooperation went, etc. The FCC
> > has a vested interest in shutting the ham bands down, since their funding
> > got cut years ago. BPL looked like a winning plan to shut it all down so
> > they could sell it off, but that flopped, since it also interfered with
> > other emergency services, like police and fire. so, the easiest way to
> > shut it down for good is to just hand it over to the cb crowd. They will
> > make a complete mess out of it, and they wont waste any time doing it,
> > either.
> >
> > Then the FCC can improve their funding, considerably. Just think, the
> > fines from the violations alone will come to millions. Did you really
> > think that the FCC was looking for "new innovations and inventions" coming
> > out of ham radio ? This is the digital age, guy.
> > In the meantime, enjoy these tirades from folks about the "fat-amateur".
> > Me, I pump iron every day, and could probably knock most of them on their
> > keester without even breaking a sweat, and im twice their age. The irony
> > of them opening their mouths has not escaped me, these new, modern
> > "sensitive" guys that are doing 99% of the ranting on this subject. It
> > begs the question: Just which pair of their mother's panties are they
> > wearing today ? Ham Radio started to suck about ten years ago, and it is
> > going to continue the downhill slide. Get tused to it.
> >
> > And before you start in on me, lemme tell ya fella, i was a cw operator,
> > and i also built most of my own stuff. It just ain't worth it any more.
> > Period.
> >
> >
> > "Morse or ReMorse" <my.email@no.spam> wrote in message
> > news:7W0kg.5842$lf4.5581@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >> Modernizing ham radio:
> >>
> >> No more CW, easier to pass written exams. We Should change the

> >> names: Tech, General & Extra too. No sense hanging with the
> >> dinosaurs when there's no need to be proficient at anything
> >> anymore:
> >>
> >> Appliance Operator 1st Class, Appliance Operator 2nd Class
> >>
> >> Appliance Operator 3rd Class, No Class
> >>
> >> Now Ten twenty-seven to channel one nine to get a preview of the new
> >> classes. I'm ten seven. Any one got a ten thirty-six hello.
> >>
> >> Sorry for the CB lingo, I'm just getting practiced up so I can understand
> >> people when the CW test goes away.
> >>
> >> You really want modernization?
> >>
> >>
> >> Remorse
> >
> well said,sir.
>
>
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1932. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-15 01:22:20 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1150334540.765159.141660@c74g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8762
Cross-posted to: ALT.SEX.ANAL,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

hamm_burge...@yahoo.com wrote:
> THE ONLY BAD PART ABOUT THE CODE BEING DROPPED AND THE TESTS BEING
> EASIER IS IT WILL DRAW MORE NIGGERS. THATS THE ONLY GOOD PART ABOUT CW
> AND HARD TESTS. NIGGERS FLOCK TO FREE STUFF LIKE FLIES TO SHIT.
thank you for doing your part to finish the job of ending code testing
in the USA

1933. Re: Modernization: Appliance Operator 1st Class, Appliance Operator 2nd Class, Appliance Op...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-15 01:23:30 UTC
From: hamm_burger_1@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1150334610.003660.157380@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8763
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.CB

DR DEATH WOULD ALSO BENEFIT FROM EASIER TESTING. THAT PESKY NO CODE
THEORY IS A MOTHERFUCKER FOR HIM.
DrDeath wrote:
> "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@gwi.net> wrote in message
> news:FpGdneoOz8-3NA3ZnZ2dnUVZ_sednZ2d@giganews.com...
> > Sure I want modernization. I dont have to put up with any of it. I havent
> > been on the air for at least ten years. I renewed the license, then put it
> > away.
> > The ham bands are gonna go away, and soon. They are gonna go the same way
> > that respect for your fellow amateur went, cooperation went, etc. The FCC
> > has a vested interest in shutting the ham bands down, since their funding
> > got cut years ago. BPL looked like a winning plan to shut it all down so
> > they could sell it off, but that flopped, since it also interfered with
> > other emergency services, like police and fire. so, the easiest way to
> > shut it down for good is to just hand it over to the cb crowd. They will
> > make a complete mess out of it, and they wont waste any time doing it,
> > either.
> >
> > Then the FCC can improve their funding, considerably. Just think, the
> > fines from the violations alone will come to millions. Did you really
> > think that the FCC was looking for "new innovations and inventions" coming
> > out of ham radio ? This is the digital age, guy.
>
> I doubt that the CBer would take over on all bands. One of the attractions
> of CB is the cost of equipment. The FCC will probably sell off the unused
> bandwidths to private organizations such as cell companies and repeaters for
> the service industry to name a few. They can then be done with all the
> headaches with ham/cb enforcement and concentrate on other, more lucrative
> areas, such as Janet Jackson's half time nipple exposure.

1934. Pro-43 keypad replacement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-15 01:25:02 UTC
From: "A. Pismo Clam" <opalockamishabob@'86DASPAMnetscape.net>
Message-ID: <LLudnZlKralxKw3ZnZ2dnUVZ_tednZ2d@got.net>
Source record: 8764
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Has anyone accomplished this repair? How difficult is this repair

How do you get the bloody scanner faceplate off to swap the keypad out? :-(

Alain

1935. Rget help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-15 01:31:05 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1150335065.760099.218370@y41g2000cwy.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8765
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.CB

hamm_burger_1@yahoo.com wrote:
> DR DEATH WOULD ALSO BENEFIT FROM EASIER TESTING. THAT PESKY NO CODE
> THEORY IS A MOTHERFUCKER FOR HIM.
rumor has it he has a tech license but rarely uses it

1936. Re: Pro-43 keypad replacement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-15 01:48:45 UTC
From: BDK <kingratay@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1efa868a10da78d398a001@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 8766
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <LLudnZlKralxKw3ZnZ2dnUVZ_tednZ2d@got.net>,
opalockamishabob@'86DASPAMnetscape.net says...
> Has anyone accomplished this repair? How difficult is this repair
>
> How do you get the bloody scanner faceplate off to swap the keypad out? :-(
>
> Alain
>

I have done, well, over a hundred. It's easy, but you have to be
reasonably good with a soldering iron.

There used to be a site with pics, but it's gone.

The front doesn't come off, you go through the BACK.

First, you take the back case off. Unsolder the center of the BNC
connector. It's sticking up through a hole in the top PC board
Once the connector's center wire is free and clear, unscrew all the
little screws around the outside, and the Top PC board pulls off.
The second PC board unplugs after screws are removed. Then the bottom PC
board is removed, and then you have to unsolder the shield off the back
of the front panel/CPU board. I think there are 4 places to unsolder,
then the keyboard can be accessed. The keyboard just drops out, and the
new one drops in, and it gets put back together.

It's hard the first time, gets easier, to the point it's a 10 minute
job, max. I've replaced my own keypad 5 or six times now. One guy is on
his tenth, and that will probably be the last one, as the 43 is beyond
tired at this point.

If you don't know what you are doing, and don't have a small iron and
solder suckers, etc, don't do it, as I had a whole bag of dead 34s 37s,
39s, and 43s that I couldn't save individually, but was able to
make whole working radios from later on. They were all "donations" from
people who ruined their scanner trying to mod it, or replace the keypad.
(It's the same procedure, to a point)

Good luck..

BDK

1937. Re: Modernization: Appliance Operator 1st Class, Appliance Operator 2nd Class, Appliance Op...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-15 02:14:27 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0002@taring.org>
Message-ID: <4490c283$0$6147$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 8767
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Morse or ReMorse wrote:
> Modernizing ham radio:
>
> No more CW, easier to pass written exams. We Should change the
> names: Tech, General & Extra too. No sense hanging with the
> dinosaurs when there's no need to be proficient at anything
> anymore:
>
> Appliance Operator 1st Class, Appliance Operator 2nd Class
>
> Appliance Operator 3rd Class, No Class
>
> Now Ten twenty-seven to channel one nine to get a preview of the new
> classes. I'm ten seven. Any one got a ten thirty-six hello.
>
> Sorry for the CB lingo, I'm just getting practiced up so I can understand
> people when the CW test goes away.
>
> You really want modernization?
>
>
> Remorse

GOAT

Which means GO Away Troll, for those that aren't up on the equivalent of
morse code on newsgroups.

tom
K0TAR

1938. Re: AOR 2800 mkIIB PC cable???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-15 02:23:59 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <3x3kg.653$FU5.257@fe03.lga>
Source record: 8768

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:2nb192lc9iu13ko5sinu6lgrftks1rff56@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 14 Jun 2006 19:13:46 -0500, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote:
>
>>I said the heck with it and bought one here. It's the cheapest I found.
>
> I don't know what's on the AOR end - mini-USB? USB to RS-232
> converter? But the price looks like "let's see how much I can get
> away with". If someone figured it out and sold them for around $39 he
> would probably sell a lot of them and still make money.

Yea there can't be more than $15 worth the materials in the darn things. The ones that the guy on Ebay is selling has a discriminator output and the AORs don't and there cheaper. So I guess that ok. I was looking on line some more and one Co was charging somewhere around $170!!! wow! I hope it comes with Vaseline :)

--

Regards
B.H.

Brian's Basement
http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/6.htm

Brian's Radio Universe
http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/500.htm

1939. Rget help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-15 03:00:06 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1150340406.435975.25870@r2g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8769
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Tom Ring wrote:
> Morse or ReMorse wrote:
> > Modernizing ham radio:

> GOAT
>
> Which means GO Away Troll, for those that aren't up on the equivalent of
> morse code on newsgroups.
>
> tom
> K0TAR

1940. Re: Modernization: Appliance Operator 1st Class, Appliance Operator 2nd Class, Appliance Op...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-15 03:25:08 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <4490D314.3050001@fuse.net>
Source record: 8770
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Zombie Wolf wrote:
>
>
>
Are you tellin'us all the zombie troof?

PS, I love ham radio all over again (missing for 30 years)
I'm building an Elecraft K-2, having a great time. Monitoring the bands
on a Grundig...sounds good to me. Not the same as 30 years ago, but
then again, Frank Zappa is dead, too.

John
AB8WH

1941. Re: Cleveland, Ohio Police Scanner Feed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-15 04:30:55 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <cgo19257j87jdc97ive9c6rhbdtp4sv65p@4ax.com>
Source record: 8771

On Wed, 14 Jun 2006 20:18:00 -0400,
xxnonexspamxnonexx@tampascanner.info wrote:

>Link Follows, for Real Player according to the site. Don't have RP so I can not
>say if its still active.

>http://www.cleveland.com/ra/livepolicescanner.ram

Firefox will handle the link with no user intervention - if you have
the real player installed. If you don't have it installed - or at
least have the equivalent installed - nothing will handle it. There's
no "universal" audio standard yet.

1942. Re: AOR 2800 mkIIB PC cable???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-15 04:34:21 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <jko192lfbb6drcjc0tiusir5fiupibhmtq@4ax.com>
Source record: 8772

On Wed, 14 Jun 2006 21:23:59 -0500, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote:

>Yea there can't be more than $15 worth the materials in the darn things. The
>ones that the guy on Ebay is selling has a discriminator output

A 1/8" plug? Gee, that's got to raise the cost at least $0.25.

I was thinking that there might be some active circuitry in there. If
it's just 3 connectors and some cable I think you way overestimated
the cost - even if you just wanted to make 1 of them. Look at
<http://www.mpja.com/> or any other junk shop.

> I was looking on line some more
>and one Co was charging somewhere around $170!!! wow! I hope it comes with
>Vaseline :)

And someone to stand in for you. Holy royal reaming, Batman.

1943. Re: Modernization: Appliance Operator 1st Class, Appliance Operator 2nd Class, Appliance Op...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-15 04:35:18 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <gro192lrvpda3j9l6sr079204d9t86ebjk@4ax.com>
Source record: 8773
Cross-posted to: ALT.SEX.ANAL,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

On 14 Jun 2006 18:20:25 -0700, hamm_burger_1@yahoo.com wrote:

>THE ONLY BAD PART ABOUT THE CODE BEING DROPPED AND THE TESTS BEING
>EASIER IS IT WILL DRAW MORE NIGGERS. THATS THE ONLY GOOD PART ABOUT CW
>AND HARD TESTS. NIGGERS FLOCK TO FREE STUFF LIKE FLIES TO SHIT.

Is that why you're covered in 'em?

1944. Re: Modernization: Appliance Operator 1st Class, Appliance Operator 2nd Class, Appliance Op...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-15 04:37:35 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <eto192hiemfa79bdka2l05fp7cq982qseq@4ax.com>
Source record: 8774
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 14 Jun 2006 21:14:27 -0500, Tom Ring <news0002@taring.org>
wrote:

>Which means GO Away Troll, for those that aren't up on the equivalent of
>morse code on newsgroups.

I forget his call, he used to live in Neptune, NJ, but he ended his
transmissions with "No lids, no kids, no space cadets". I guess
that's a more colorful version of GOAT .... ..

1945. Re: Coming to a ham band near you, People

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-15 10:41:27 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1150368087.169634.224770@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8775
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Sodomized by an old freind wrote:
> billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Contracted AIDS from an_old_friend wrote:
> > > DrDeath wrote:
> > > > "Radio Buff" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>
> > > indeed
> >
> > Like you, Marqueer, you were chased off of CB !
>
> bullshit

Lete's not talk about what you eat on a regular basis. You were chased
off of CB and all you can get is a training wheel no code tech.

1946. Re: Coming to a ham band near you, CB'ers, Lids, and retards...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-15 12:40:23 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <18l292dm4g46qv2pqso3g3ms8ni7002e1m@4ax.com>
Source record: 8776
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 15 Jun 2006 20:33:10 +1000, Barry OGrady
<god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Ha ha. You are funny. You write meters when you mean metres.

So does the FCC. Do does the ARRL. So do tens of thousands of US
hams. Not everyone is Aus.

1947. Re: Use "Tape Out" Or "Ext Speaker" Output For PC's Line-In ? And, acars question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-15 12:54:17 UTC
From: Samuel Morse <dahdidah@f2s.com>
Message-ID: <e6rl9gjje1@news.freedom2surf.net>
Source record: 8777

Robert11 wrote:
> Hello:
>
> Have a 780.
>
> Have never played with this, so would appreciate some help, very much.
> Still consider myself a newbie with the 780, and scanning in general.
>
> I wish to decode some aero acars messages with my PC.
> Therefore, I have to set my 780 to 131.550 AM and feed the output into
> the Line-In on my PC's soundcard.
>
> Not sure what to grab from the radio to do this.
>
> Should I use the Ext Speaker jack, or the Tape Out ? Or,... ?
>
> BTW:
>
> What are the differences in output volt levels, etc. for the two ?
>
> Anyone try scanning a few (e.g., 6) acars freq's., and rely on the Squelch
> being fast enough to grab the full message if one does appear on on of these
> scanned channels ?
>
> Thanks,
> B.
>
>
Have a look here for the mod to make tape output independent of volume
control

http://mods.dk

You'll need to register to view the mod (including photo's)

HTH

1948. NASA Aircraft over KSC today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-15 15:13:59 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1150384439.168471.321020@c74g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8778
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.military,sci.space.shuttle

It is Thurs, 11:06am EDT (1506z).
"NASA 906," a T-38, has been working over the Shuttle Landing
Facility and has been using freqs 126.65 and 264.8. He has had comms
with "Weather" at KSC, and informed the ATC on 281.425 that he is
"flying a weather chase mission."
The Langley-based "NASA 535" (UH-1H #65-09992) is also in the area,
having landed a short while ago at Patrick AFB.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/

1949. Re: Pro-43 keypad replacement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-15 16:24:04 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1150388644.911417@www.vif.com>
Source record: 8779
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

GET RID OF PEACE OF SHIT!

RADIO SHACK SCANNER SUXS!

A. Pismo Clam wrote:
> Has anyone accomplished this repair? How difficult is this repair
>
> How do you get the bloody scanner faceplate off to swap the keypad out? :-(
>
> Alain
>
>

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0624-2, 06/15/2006
Tested on: 6/15/2006 12:24:05 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

1950. Re: AOR 2800 mkIIB PC cable???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-15 16:53:10 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <Xfgkg.819$uz5.475@fe04.lga>
Source record: 8780

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:jko192lfbb6drcjc0tiusir5fiupibhmtq@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 14 Jun 2006 21:23:59 -0500, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote:
>
>>Yea there can't be more than $15 worth the materials in the darn things.
>>The
>>ones that the guy on Ebay is selling has a discriminator output
>
> A 1/8" plug? Gee, that's got to raise the cost at least $0.25.
>
> I was thinking that there might be some active circuitry in there. If
> it's just 3 connectors and some cable I think you way overestimated
> the cost - even if you just wanted to make 1 of them. Look at
> <http://www.mpja.com/> or any other junk shop.
>
>> I was looking on line some more
>>and one Co was charging somewhere around $170!!! wow! I hope it comes with
>>Vaseline :)
>
> And someone to stand in for you. Holy royal reaming, Batman.

There is a small circuit in the plug. It makes up the level converter.

BH

1951. Re: Coming to a ham band near you, CB'ers, Lids, and retards...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-15 19:21:01 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1293cov4parfs8d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 8781
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Barry OGrady" <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:spd29295mmaf6a633mvpq2jfecc03204rl@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 13 Jun 2006 19:40:01 -0500, "DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
>>"Radio Buff" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>>news:XIHjg.12660$921.2643@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> Ed <Huckleberry_REMOVE_@bigvalley.net> wrote in
>>> news:Xns97E0AEA5073B5spectrumhogstarbandn@198.186.192.196:
>>>
>>>> Please, this was funny the first time around,. Now its boring. Go
>>>> away.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> and they'll all have licenses.
>>>
>>> Is an intelligent conversation & exchange important or would you rather
>>> hear:
>>>
>>> AAAAAAAAAAAAAAUDIOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO.
>>>
>>Yeah, that's all we say on 11 meters. I can't wait till they start handing
>>out tickets like they did with CB just so I can go on 80 meters and say
>>AAAAAAAAAAAAUDIOOOOOOOOO
>>Can I run my echo on 80 meters too?
>>Get a life. Many a good ham has roots in CB.
>
> Ha ha. You are funny. You write meters when you mean metres.
>
> Barry

I'll spell it your way next time I see a dingo in my trash can. Now go catch
a croc and stick your thumb up it's bum.

1952. Re: Coming to a ham band near you, People

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-15 19:24:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1150399445.634331.76740@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8782
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

DrDeath wrote:
> "Barry OGrady" <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote in message
\
> > Barry
>
> I'll spell it your way next time I see a dingo in my trash can. Now go catch
> a croc and stick your thumb up it's bum.
you you complain about the sexaul content of MY posts

1953. Re: Rget help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-15 20:55:55 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <9tWdnRER2OHHVAzZnZ2dnUVZ_q2dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8783
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.CB

an Old friend wrote:

> hamm_burger_1@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>>DR DEATH WOULD ALSO BENEFIT FROM EASIER TESTING. THAT PESKY NO CODE
>>THEORY IS A MOTHERFUCKER FOR HIM.
>
> rumor has it he has a tech license but rarely uses it
>

Does he wonder what 'OFF/ON' means?

1954. Re: Rget help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-15 23:53:25 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1293snn2gr0he5a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 8784
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.CB

"Dave" <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:9tWdnRER2OHHVAzZnZ2dnUVZ_q2dnZ2d@comcast.com...
> an Old friend wrote:
>
>> hamm_burger_1@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>>DR DEATH WOULD ALSO BENEFIT FROM EASIER TESTING. THAT PESKY NO CODE
>>>THEORY IS A MOTHERFUCKER FOR HIM.
>>
>> rumor has it he has a tech license but rarely uses it
>>
>
> Does he wonder what 'OFF/ON' means?
>

I took the online practice test and passed without studying. I do not possess any license, as I have no desire to become a ham. And yes I know what OFF/ON means. I probably know more about theory than most of the trolls on this group. I certainly post on topic more often than most of you. But I can post on topic once a month and accomplish that.

1955. Re: AOR 2800 mkIIB PC cable???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-16 02:44:25 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <om649258retkbgfbc97p8gcog18or5653h@4ax.com>
Source record: 8785

On Thu, 15 Jun 2006 11:53:10 -0500, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote:

>There is a small circuit in the plug. It makes up the level converter.

Aha! If it were for a GRE scanner it would be $19.95. Add 50 cents
for the plug for the discriminator tap.

1956. CSAR Exercise going on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-16 03:43:41 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1150429421.274563.245650@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8786
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.military

It is Thurs 11:33pm EDT (Fri 0333z).
Very interesting comms right now from Avon Park Bombing Range: a CSAR
training mission is going on.
Moody AFB 347RQW 71RQS HC-130 King 22 is in comms with the
"survivor," BLASTER 22 on 251.9.
King 22 is also up with Avon Range Control on 292.2.
I also am hearing JOLLY 21 in the area and believe they might be
involved in this CSAR.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/

1957. Military comms, Thurs 15 June 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-16 04:37:32 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1150432652.760908.185220@y41g2000cwy.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8787
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.military

Patrick AFB Area Log, Thurs 15 Jun 2006

KING 76: HC-130P #65-0976, Patrick AFB 920RQW 39RQS
8:55am: Ground comms, engine run.
[335.8, 321.0]

NASA 906: T-38N #65-10326, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston
10:11am: Flying "weather chase mission" over KSC.
[126.65, 264.8, 281.425]

PELICAN 03: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-45 "Pelicans"
10:15am: Area transition.
[132.65]

FAST 61: F-16C, NY-ANG Syracuse 174FW
10:39am: Working at Avon Park Bombing Range; mentions HoundDog.
[292.2, 285.725; air-air: 138.175, 138.3]

AIR TRANSPORT 540: DC-8 (USAF Contractor)
10:40am: Lands, from Ascension (weekly shuttle to Eastern Test Range
downrange sites).
12:57pm: Departs to McGuire AFB.
[118.4, 133.75, 132.65]

NASA 535: UH-1H #65-09992, NASA-Langley
10:45am: Lands Patrick AFB.
[132.65, 133.75]

BOLT 42: KC-135R, MacDill AFB 6AMW
10:48am: Comms with MacDill's "Lightning Ops."
[311.0]

BAMA 11: F-16, flight of 3, AL-ANG 160FS
11:02am: Arrives at Avon Park Bombing Range.
[293.225, 292.2; air-air 143.7]

JOSA _42: C-21A 84-0089 VIP Transport
11:28am: Inbound MacDill AFB with a DV Code V-4 aboard.

NASA 908: T-38N #65-10328, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston.
11:45am: Departs Shuttle Landing Facility.
[126.95]

PALM 31: C-17A #70045, Charleston AFB 437AW
12:02pm: Pattern work at Patrick AFB; RTB KCHS.
[133.75, 132.65]

Photo: P6150851

KING 84: C-130
12:05pm: Clearance from Patrick AFB to KNEL (Lakehurst NAES)
[118.4]

FAST 71: F-16C, NY-ANG Syracuse 174FW
12:30pm: Arrives Avon Park Bombing Range.
[293.225, 292.2, 285.725; air-air 141.75]

UNK
12:32pm: "Will stay above 45."
[314.05]

SCOUT 06: S-3B, Jacksonville NAS VS-24 "Scouts"
1:15pm: En route to NAS Jax.
[307.0]

BOLT 41: KC-135R, MacDill AFB 6AMW
1:18pm: "At FL190."
[127.2]

UNK
1:20pm: Short comms
[265.3]

ROMAN 81: F/A-18, Oceana VFA-106 "Gladiators"
1:54pm: Southbound, en route NAS Key West.
[269.3, 319.0]

ROMAN 11: F/A-18, Oceana VFA-106 "Gladiators"
3:15pm: Southbound, en route NAS Key West.
[255.4]

UNK
3:47pm: "At angels 15."
[326.325]

REACH A612
4:15pm: Shuttle to/from Charleston AFB-Soto Cano AB, Honduras.
[133.875]

ROMAN 95: F/A-18, Oceana VFA-106 "Gladiators"
3:15pm: Southbound, en route NAS Key West.
[281.5]

N967BW: CASA 212, Aviation Worldwide Svc, Melbourne (DoD Contractor)
5:35pm: Inbound Melbourne IAP.
[118.2]

KING 76: HC-130P #65-0976, Patrick AFB 920RQW 39RQS
6:45pm: Departs Patrick AFB for para-drops at Judy DZ; also comms with CG Sta Port Canaveral re paradrops and pyrotechnics in vic on Patrick AFB (27-57 North, 79-55 West).

8:30pm: Pattern work at Patrick AFB.
[133.75, 251.9, 157.1, 156.8]

NASA 901: T-38N #66-8381, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston TX
8:45pm: Comes to Patrick for touch-and-go, then to Shuttle Landing Facility for landing.
[132.65, 133.75, 128.55]

NASA 962: T-38N #70-1556, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston TX
8:50pm: Lands Shuttle Landing Facility.
[132.65, 128.55]

NASA 912: T-38N #65-10354, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston TX
9:50pm: Departs Shuttle Landing Facility for Gulfport.
[124.8, 132.25, 125.175]

CG Vessel 212540, Port Canaveral
10:17pm: Ops and Position Report to CG Sta Port Canaveral.
[157.15]

KING 22: HC-130, Moody AFB 347RQW 71RQS
11:30pm: Engaged in Combat Search And Rescue (CSAR) training at Avon Park Bombing Range; air-ground comms with "survivor" BLASTER 12 regarding "enemy" activities and threats to his position; JOLLY 21 in the area and possibly involved in this CSAR.
[292.2, 251.9

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/

1958. Re: Pro-43 keypad replacement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-16 05:29:24 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1150435763.391768@www.vif.com>
Source record: 8788
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Homer.Simpson wrote:
> news.vif.com said
>
>
>>GET RID OF PEACE OF SHIT!
>>
>>RADIO SHACK SCANNER SUXS!
>
>
> Ok, how about some recommendations for a trunking EDACS scanner.
>
www.uniden.com

Anyone says uniden suxs! ROYALLY FUCK OFF YOUR WRONG!

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0624-2, 06/15/2006
Tested on: 6/16/2006 1:29:26 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

1959. Re: Coming to a ham band near you, People

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-16 09:43:04 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1150450984.096014.302270@r2g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8789
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

sucked off an_old_friend wrote:
> DrDeath wrote:
> > "Barry OGrady" <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> \
> > > Barry
> >
> > I'll spell it your way next time I see a dingo in my trash can. Now go catch
> > a croc and stick your thumb up it's bum.
> you you complain about the sexaul content of MY posts
^^^^^^^^^^^^
Quit stuttering, you illiterate faggot!

1960. Re: Coming to a ham band near you, People

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-16 10:48:10 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1150454890.760137.63990@y41g2000cwy.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8790
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> sucked off an_old_friend wrote:
> > DrDeath wrote:

> Quit stuttering, you illiterate faggot!
why should quit stetering?

get help loser

1961. AL-ANG F-16s working Florida range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-16 15:18:39 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1150471119.011035.65190@r2g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8791
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.military

Four "BAMA" AL-ANG F-16s working Avon Park

It is Friday 10:59am EDT (1459z).
BAMA 11, a flight of four F-16s from the AL-ANG 187FW at
Montgomery-Dannelly Field, is arriving at Avon Park Bombing Range.
They will be working in the Placid MOA; each aircraft will deploy two
BDU-56s. They were handed off from 349.0 to the Range freq 292.2; they
are now up with the Ranger on 285.725. They are also using 141.65 for
air-air, which is a freq they use at the ranges in Alabama.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/

1962. Re: Pro-43 keypad replacement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-16 18:01:38 UTC
From: Jack Ricci <ricci@mnsi.net>
Message-ID: <e6urm401b93@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 8792
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

>>>RADIO SHACK SCANNER SUXS!

In Canada, the " Radio Shack " stores are now called " The Source "
and are affiliated with Circuit City of the USA. They do not sell scanners
anymore...but when they did, many of the better scanners were made for them
by Uniden, but with the Realistic Pro name stamped on them. Some of them
were not junk...

Jack

"news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com> wrote in message
news:1150435763.391768@www.vif.com...
>
>
> Homer.Simpson wrote:
>> news.vif.com said
>>
>>
>>>GET RID OF PEACE OF SHIT!
>>>
>>>RADIO SHACK SCANNER SUXS!
>>
>>
>> Ok, how about some recommendations for a trunking EDACS scanner.
>>
> www.uniden.com
>
> Anyone says uniden suxs! ROYALLY FUCK OFF YOUR WRONG!
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0624-2, 06/15/2006
> Tested on: 6/16/2006 1:29:26 AM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

1963. Ham Survey: How many Appliance Operator classes do we need after code goes away?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-16 22:28:40 UTC
From: Skip Land <my.mail@skip.land>
Message-ID: <sgGkg.6851$lp.887@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8793
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

We have three classes now, Should we increase or decrease?

I'm thinking we can reduce the number to two license classes:

One class for VHF/UHF so those people can screw up the repeaters, and one with a little harder test that adds HF privileges, for those that want to screw things up world wide.

SL

1964. Re: Ham Survey: How many Appliance Operator classes do we need after code goes away?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-16 22:34:04 UTC
From: Woody <woody.shadetree@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <wlGkg.258$DR1.193@trnddc02>
Source record: 8794
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Good God people... is everyone a bus driver or teacher??? [then again, the mentality is that of students] What is with this troll-o-rama since school ended????
Shouldn't you people be in Myrtle/Virginia beach or something??
sheesh.
rb

"Skip Land" <my.mail@skip.land> wrote in message news:sgGkg.6851$lp.887@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> We have three classes now, Should we increase or decrease?
>
>
> I'm thinking we can reduce the number to two license classes:
>
> One class for VHF/UHF so those people can screw up the repeaters, and one
> with a little harder test that adds HF privileges, for those that want to
> screw things up world wide.
>
> SL

1965. Re: Ham Survey: How many Appliance Operator classes do we need after code goes away?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-16 23:53:44 UTC
From: Mustafa <herrbimmler@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <cwHkg.45105$iF6.22274@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 8795
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Skip Land" <my.mail@skip.land> wrote in message
news:sgGkg.6851$lp.887@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> We have three classes now, Should we increase or decrease?
>
>
> I'm thinking we can reduce the number to two license classes:
>
> One class for VHF/UHF so those people can screw up the repeaters, and one
> with a little harder test that adds HF privileges, for those that want to
> screw things up world wide.
>
> SL

So which group are you in, 'cause I doubt you have the skills or
intelligence to build your own equipment...Mr appliance operator in denial.

1966. Re: Ham Survey: How many Appliance Operator classes do we need after code goes away?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-17 03:36:07 UTC
From: Povertyhill <nowhere@nono.noway>
Message-ID: <HMKkg.12726$LT2.12247@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 8796
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

You can change your name that you are showing but you are still the poor troll that just can't let go! Go play with your key or something! sheeez! Still posting from the same IP group!

1967. Re: BC895XL Loses Memory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-17 04:47:03 UTC
From: SR <gumby.dammit@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <O7mdnVgv9942FA7ZnZ2dnUVZ_qmdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 8797

Well, just got a reply from Uniden. "It sounds like you need to send it in
for service. That will cost you $57.95. Have a nice day!"

I guess there's no reason not to pop the cover and poke around now. There's
nothing to lose. I just wish Uniden's customer service was a little more
helpful than "give us some money and we'll just throw a reworked circuit
board in it and call it good."

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
news:d4Xjg.162$Rj.107@fe02.lga...
>I guess the temperature factor depends upon how long the unit was on before
> it lost it's memory. If the unit had just been turned on and programmed,
> the components inside may not have "warmed up" the inside of the case to
> the
> point where the temperature (potentially) effects the circuit, though that
> temperature possibly was reached sometime during the night... Again,
> complete speculation, as I don't know how long the unit was powered up
> before "overnight" happened, or even if that is the real problem at all.
>
>
> "SR" <gumby.dammit@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:iI2dnYcoZusQxRLZnZ2dnUVZ_tKdnZ2d@giganews.com...
>> That's what has me so confused! As far as temperature related, could be,
>> but sitting on a table in the living room overnight doesn't sound too
>> extreme to me. Although, I've seen stranger things in the past.
>>
>> Still no reply from Uniden...
>>
>> "PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
>> news:GMzjg.626$_k.262@fe02.lga...
>> >
>> > "SR" <gumby.dammit@nospam.com> wrote in message
>> > news:Fp-dnd4fhOIvwhHZnZ2dnUVZ_sidnZ2d@giganews.com...
>> >> Has anybody had the problem where their BC895XL randomly loses its
>> >> memory?
>> >> Mind did a few days ago and I reprogrammed it yesterday then overnight
> it
>> >> lost it again. It was powered up the whole time so it's not a dead
>> > battery
>> >> issue.
>> >>
>> >
>> > Nope, but I know someone that has a memory problem on a BCT7. Looses
>> > memory
>> > randomly, though only when not connected to power. My guess is a bad

>> > capacitor in his unit. For yours, I can't see how it could loose
>> > memory
>> > considering it was powered up the whole time. Only "best guess"
> scenario
>> > I
>> > can come up with is an intermittent short (or open) in the memory
> circuit,
>> > possibly temperature related.
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>

1968. Re: Ham Survey: How many Appliance Operator classes do we need after code goes away?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-17 06:30:17 UTC
From: Bob
Message-ID: <4493941f$0$3563$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 8798
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Povertyhill"
<shut up,stupid>

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1969. Military comms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-17 06:37:30 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1150526250.272639.318150@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8799
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.military

Patrick AFB Area Log - Fri, 16 June 2006

NASA 950: G-IISP (Gulfstream II) #185, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston.
9:25am: Lands at KSC Shuttle Landing Facility; quite a long while since
950 has been here.
[132.65, 128.55]

CD 241: T-45A, Kingsville NAS TW-2.
9:30am: The first of several CD ### flights; sounds like carrier quals
on the USS Ike offshore Florida east coast.
[267.5 Sealord, 351.8]

FAST 21: F-16CD, NY-ANG 174FW Hancock ANGB Syracuse.
9:50am: Flight of four, working Avon Park Bombing Range, dropping
GBU-12s. Then RTB Syracuse (routing through Savannah airspace), after
week-long TDY at MacDill AFB.
[349.0, 292.2, 285.725, 269.3, 285.65 Jax @ Savannah); 138.3 air-air]

ROMAN 21: F/A-18C, Oceana VFA-106 "Gladiators."
10:00am: First of several ROMAN flights heard today en route NAS Key
West.
[348.7, 319.0]

NASA 944: G-1159 #144, Gulfstream II STA, Johnson Space Ctr, Houston.
10:15am: Departing KSC Shuttle Landing Facility, after the astronauts
worked STA training for the past few days, in addition to the countdown
tests.
[127.2]

BAMA 11: F-16C, AL-ANG 187FW Montgomery-Dannelly Field "Snakes."
10:59am: Working Avon Park Bombing Range, dropping two BDU-56s each;
RTB MacDill AFB.
[292.2, 349.0; 141.65 air-air]

BOLT 51: KC-135R, MacDill AFB 6AMW.
11:07am: Transition.

UNK (Probably ROMANs nearing NAS-Key West).
11:06am: Short comms.
[314.125]

COIL 01: F-15, FL-ANG 125FW 159FS, Jacksonville NAS "Jaguars."
11:59am: Southbound.
[273.55, 380.3]

PAT 756: Priority Air Transport, US Army.
12:00noon: Northbound, direct LaGrange.
[128.425]

6E 161: T-34C, Whiting Field TW-5.
6:40pm: Transition.
[255.4]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KTTS)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/ (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/ (My postings)

1970. WAFER 21 on 8971.0 kHz USB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-17 06:59:02 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1150527542.056664.52940@h76g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8800
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.military.naval

```
WAFER 21 on 8971.0 kHz USB
--------------------------

08971.0 GOLDENHAWK (TSCC Brunswick): 0630z USB wkg USN P-3C "Wafer 21."
(17/Jun/2006) (ALS)

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/
```

1971. Re: Ham Survey: How many Appliance Operator classes do we need after code goes away?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-17 10:28:48 UTC
From: hank_sticks@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1150540128.007532.119000@f6g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8651
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Bob wrote:
> "Povertyhill"
> <shut up,stupid>

fuck off povertyblowjob

1972. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-17 16:10:53 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1150560653.498538.209220@h76g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8652
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hank_sticks@yahoo.com wrote:
> Bob wrote:
> > "Povertyhill"
> > <shut up,stupid>
>
> fuck off povertyblowjob
get help

1973. Re: Ham Survey: How many Appliance Operator classes do we need after code goes away?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-17 21:46:13 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@gwi.net>
Message-ID: <e8OdncHhbMC85QnZnZ2dnUVZ_uWdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 8653
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

ooooooh yeah, Barry O'Fuss-ass - those top posters ! they should be drawn
and quartered.

"Barry OGrady" <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:feq692hr24bjlm9gn3mksgo3jsba3t7qe9@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 16 Jun 2006 22:34:04 GMT, "Woody" <woody.shadetree@verizon.net>
> wrote:
>
>>Good God people... is everyone a bus driver or teacher??? [then again, the
>>mentality is that of students] What is with this troll-o-rama since school
>>ended????
>>Shouldn't you people be in Myrtle/Virginia beach or something??
>>sheesh.
>
> They certainly should not be top posting!
>
>>rb
>>
>>
>>"Skip Land" <my.mail@skip.land> wrote in message
>>news:sgGkg.6851$lp.887@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> We have three classes now, Should we increase or decrease?
>>>
>>>
>>> I'm thinking we can reduce the number to two license classes:
>>>
>>> One class for VHF/UHF so those people can screw up the repeaters, and
>>> one
>>> with a little harder test that adds HF privileges, for those that want
>>> to
>>> screw things up world wide.
>>>
>>> SL
>>
>
> Barry
> =====
> Home page
> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og

1974. Re: NASA Aircraft over KSC today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-17 23:56:58 UTC
From: Bruce Markowitz <scosgt@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <eF0lg.35795$mF2.28254@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 8654
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.military,sci.space.shuttle

PLEASE POST THIS SOMEPLACE WHERE THE PEOPLE CARE.
<Allan.Stern@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1150384439.168471.321020@c74g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> It is Thurs, 11:06am EDT (1506z).
> "NASA 906," a T-38, has been working over the Shuttle Landing
> Facility and has been using freqs 126.65 and 264.8. He has had comms
> with "Weather" at KSC, and informed the ATC on 281.425 that he is
> "flying a weather chase mission."
> The Langley-based "NASA 535" (UH-1H #65-09992) is also in the area,
> having landed a short while ago at Patrick AFB.
>
> AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/
>

1975. Re: NASA Aircraft over KSC today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-18 01:46:03 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1150595163.812352.218470@f6g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.military,sci.space.shuttle

Bruce Markowitz wrote:
> PLEASE POST THIS SOMEPLACE WHERE THE PEOPLE CARE.
> <Allan.Stern@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1150384439.168471.321020@c74g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > It is Thurs, 11:06am EDT (1506z).
> > "NASA 906," a T-38, has been working over the Shuttle Landing
> > Facility and has been using freqs 126.65 and 264.8. He has had comms
> > with "Weather" at KSC, and informed the ATC on 281.425 that he is
> > "flying a weather chase mission."
> > The Langley-based "NASA 535" (UH-1H #65-09992) is also in the area,
> > having landed a short while ago at Patrick AFB.
> >
> > AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/
> >

I care. I don't post a reply often, but I like to read about what Al
monitors, especially the Broncos. I don't think I ever saw one of those
fly.

1976. Re: NASA Aircraft over KSC today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-18 02:36:03 UTC
From: Al Gillis <alg@aracnet.com>
Message-ID: <e72e6m02iif@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 8656
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.military,sci.space.shuttle

I enjoy what Al S
<miso@sushi.com> wrote in message
news:1150595163.812352.218470@f6g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Bruce Markowitz wrote:
>> PLEASE POST THIS SOMEPLACE WHERE THE PEOPLE CARE.
>> <Allan.Stern@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1150384439.168471.321020@c74g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> > It is Thurs, 11:06am EDT (1506z).
>> > "NASA 906," a T-38, has been working over the Shuttle Landing
>> > Facility and has been using freqs 126.65 and 264.8. He has had comms
>> > with "Weather" at KSC, and informed the ATC on 281.425 that he is
>> > "flying a weather chase mission."
>> > The Langley-based "NASA 535" (UH-1H #65-09992) is also in the area,
>> > having landed a short while ago at Patrick AFB.
>> >
>> > AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
>> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/
>> >
>
> I care. I don't post a reply often, but I like to read about what Al
> monitors, especially the Broncos. I don't think I ever saw one of those
> fly.
>
I care as well. Even though I'm in Oregon where I'll never hear what Al
hears I like the things he posts. Have you looked at the photos of his
listenpost? He's got some radios!

1977. Re: Pro-43 keypad replacement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-18 03:29:33 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <xM3lg.68292$4L1.3831@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 8657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I love how some people make a stupid statement (my PRO-43 is one of the best scanners ever made) and then are too coward to use a real e-mail address!

Frank

"news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com> wrote in message news:1150388644.911417@www.vif.com...
> GET RID OF PEACE OF SHIT!
>
> RADIO SHACK SCANNER SUXS!
>
> A. Pismo Clam wrote:
>> Has anyone accomplished this repair? How difficult is this repair
>>
>> How do you get the bloody scanner faceplate off to swap the keypad out?
>> :-(
>>
>> Alain
>>
>>
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0624-2, 06/15/2006
> Tested on: 6/15/2006 12:24:05 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

1978. Re: Pro-43 keypad replacement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-18 03:38:49 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <dki992p8sh3m3uh84jour4077uefmeqk8d@4ax.com>
Source record: 8658
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sun, 18 Jun 2006 03:29:33 GMT, "Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>I love how some people make a stupid statement (my PRO-43 is one of the best
>scanners ever made) and then are too coward to use a real e-mail address!

He makes the same statement no matter which scanner is being discussed
each time he pulls his head out of his ass - which seems to be once
every couple of weeks.

1979. Re: Pro-43 keypad replacement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-18 03:49:17 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <134lg.68299$4L1.23244@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 8659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

with a fake e-mail address....the sign of a true coward!

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:dki992p8sh3m3uh84jour4077uefmeqk8d@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 18 Jun 2006 03:29:33 GMT, "Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>>I love how some people make a stupid statement (my PRO-43 is one of the
>>best
>>scanners ever made) and then are too coward to use a real e-mail address!
>
> He makes the same statement no matter which scanner is being discussed
> each time he pulls his head out of his ass - which seems to be once
> every couple of weeks.

1980. Re: Looking for replacement antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-18 03:50:01 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <79j992pcfomqs8h4q6avvi8kd9284g52c0@4ax.com>
Source record: 8660

On Sat, 17 Jun 2006 11:02:33 -0400, Scott <witty2@futtyur2.com> wrote:

>I have found several places online that sell the antenna I am looking
>for. It's the Bearcat bc148xlt. I was just wondering if this is
>something that I would be able to pickup at my local radioshack.

Depends on what type of connector it has. If it's BNC, yes. If it's
Motorola (car radio type), probably. (They used to have them - I
don't know if they still do.)

1981. Re: NASA Aircraft over KSC today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-18 06:40:58 UTC
From: Vee-One <vierps@gci.com>
Message-ID: <1299tbied06jk37@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 8661
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.military,sci.space.shuttle

"Bruce Markowitz" <scosgt@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:eF0lg.35795$mF2.28254@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...

<snip to correct top-posting retard>

> <Allan.Stern@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1150384439.168471.321020@c74g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> It is Thurs, 11:06am EDT (1506z).
>> "NASA 906," a T-38, has been working over the Shuttle Landing
>> Facility and has been using freqs 126.65 and 264.8. He has had comms
>> with "Weather" at KSC, and informed the ATC on 281.425 that he is
>> "flying a weather chase mission."
>> The Langley-based "NASA 535" (UH-1H #65-09992) is also in the area,
>> having landed a short while ago at Patrick AFB.
>>
>> AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
>> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/
>>
>
> PLEASE POST THIS SOMEPLACE WHERE THE PEOPLE CARE.

1. I care. Allan, I used to live in GA and worked JSTARS as ADAF, and I get
a kick out of seeing the Razor callsign.
2. It's posted to 3 groups. Which one do you suppose 'doesn't care'?
3. [sarcasm] Shouting makes you look really intelligent {/sarcasm]
4. FOAD

Vee-One

1982. PRO-96/PRO-2096 On-Sale @ $399.99!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-18 15:10:08 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <jOqdnTpjV7BM8QjZnZ2dnUVZ_v2dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8662

FYI for those that don't already know...

Radio Shack has both the PRO-96, and PRO-2096 Digital Scanners on-sale from today, 06/18/06 until 07/01/06, for $399.99 ($100.00 Off!).

Bill Crocker

1983. N.E. Oklahoma swap?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-18 16:24:10 UTC
From: Rob Mills <robmills@cox.net>
Message-ID: <E6flg.89890$IZ2.74598@dukeread07>
Source record: 8663

I have a brand new (never used) in the box Pro 2067 scanner. I would consider any trades other than another scanner.
Watcha got? Shortwave or related items, Jon boat (don't need a trailer), wood working stuff, I'm all ears and tired of looking at it. RM~

1984. Re: Looking for replacement antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-18 23:13:45 UTC
From: gagnonrchrd@netscape.net
Message-ID: <1150672425.620054.212850@f6g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8664

Scott wrote:
> I have found several places online that sell the antenna I am looking
> for. It's the Bearcat bc148xlt. I was just wondering if this is
> something that I would be able to pickup at my local radioshack.

I tried online for RS and could not find one with the Motorola
connector for my BC145. I found it on eBay for about $10 including
shipping.
Search eBay for:
DESKTOP SCANNER ANT UNIDEN/BEARCAT SCAN-2
There are some for $7.50 plus $1.50 for shipping. The seller is
medlinradio. He shipped promptly.

Cheers

1985. Re: BC895XL Loses Memory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-19 05:36:57 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <9%qlg.72$JU.59@fe06.lga>
Source record: 8665

If that isn't the truth! Everything is about money these days. They could
care less.

"SR" <gumby.dammit@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:O7mdnVgv9942FA7ZnZ2dnUVZ_qmdnZ2d@giganews.com...
> Well, just got a reply from Uniden. "It sounds like you need to send it
in
> for service. That will cost you $57.95. Have a nice day!"
>
> I guess there's no reason not to pop the cover and poke around now.
There's
> nothing to lose. I just wish Uniden's customer service was a little more
> helpful than "give us some money and we'll just throw a reworked circuit
> board in it and call it good."
>
>
> "PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
> news:d4Xjg.162$Rj.107@fe02.lga...
> >I guess the temperature factor depends upon how long the unit was on
before
> > it lost it's memory. If the unit had just been turned on and
programmed,
> > the components inside may not have "warmed up" the inside of the case to
> > the
> > point where the temperature (potentially) effects the circuit, though
that
> > temperature possibly was reached sometime during the night... Again,
> > complete speculation, as I don't know how long the unit was powered up
> > before "overnight" happened, or even if that is the real problem at all.
> >
> >
> > "SR" <gumby.dammit@nospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:iI2dnYcoZusQxRLZnZ2dnUVZ_tKdnZ2d@giganews.com...
> >> That's what has me so confused! As far as temperature related, could
be,
> >> but sitting on a table in the living room overnight doesn't sound too
> >> extreme to me. Although, I've seen stranger things in the past.
> >>
> >> Still no reply from Uniden...
> >>
> >> "PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in
message
> >> news:GMzjg.626$_k.262@fe02.lga...
> >> >

> >> > "SR" <gumby.dammit@nospam.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:Fp-dnd4fhOIvwhHZnZ2dnUVZ_sidnZ2d@giganews.com...
> >> >> Has anybody had the problem where their BC895XL randomly loses its
> >> >> memory?
> >> >> Mind did a few days ago and I reprogrammed it yesterday then
overnight
> > it
> >> >> lost it again. It was powered up the whole time so it's not a dead
> >> > battery
> >> >> issue.
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> > Nope, but I know someone that has a memory problem on a BCT7. Looses
> >> > memory
> >> > randomly, though only when not connected to power. My guess is a bad
> >> > capacitor in his unit. For yours, I can't see how it could loose
> >> > memory
> >> > considering it was powered up the whole time. Only "best guess"
> > scenario
> >> > I
> >> > can come up with is an intermittent short (or open) in the memory
> > circuit,
> >> > possibly temperature related.
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> > >
> > >
>
>

1986. Bearcat 180 user manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-19 18:46:38 UTC
From: widget9545@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1150742798.205519.317130@f6g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8666

I have a Bearcat 180, BC-180, scanner but I don't have the uses manual.
I have tried looking on different website but haven't found anything.
I was wondering if anyone knows where I can find the manual?

1987. King 76 photos KSC airspace violator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-20 16:26:50 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1150820810.358125.200020@y41g2000cwy.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8667

King 76 photos KSC airspace violator

It is Monday, 12:04pm EDT (1604z).
King 76 has just landed at Patrick AFB after participating in an intrusion incident over KSC. He was in comms with Cape Control on 264.8 after Cape Control attempted to contact an aircraft that had invaded its airspace. Cadets aboard King 76 were able to photograph the offending aircraft; and King 76 informed Cape Control that they would email the photo to the Cape today.
The offending aircraft was N5472U (Cessna 210), which is registered to South Carolina. A couple of years ago when I heard him in the area, he was registered to SC Law Enforcement Division. I guess this would not be a good time for a QSL card.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/

1988. Hurlburt's 16SOW (Florida) moving to Cannon AFB NM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-20 17:08:54 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1150823334.706268.68300@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8668

New mission saves Cannon AFB
Source: AP

CANNON AFB, NM -- Cannon AFB, recently targeted for closure, will become a special operations base, Pentagon and elected officials announced this morning. Cannon will become the Air Force's 16th Special Ops Wing within the next two years, US Sen Pete Domenici, R-NM, said.

The federal Base Realignment and Closure Commission last August accepted the Pentagon's recommendation to send Cannon's three F-16 fighter squadrons elsewhere. But the panel stopped short of closing the eastern New Mexico base. Instead, the commission turned Cannon into an enclave, keeping it open in a much-reduced form while the Defense Department looked for another mission for it.

The Pentagon had recommended closing the base as part of an effort to streamline the nation's military complex. But commissioners said Cannon was valuable and could have a future use.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/

1989. JSTARS "Peach 66" on 13927.1 kHz USB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-20 20:37:25 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1150835845.592457.155670@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8669
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

```
Peach 66 on 13927.1 kHz USB
---------------------------

It is Tues, 4:27pm (2027Z).
JSTARS E-8C "Peach 66" is up with Robins AFB "Peachtree Ops" via
phone patch provided by MARS on 13927.1 kHz USB. He has passed a
formatted report to Ops, and included his ETA Robins of 2100z, for
which he is now being given landing wx. Almost home!

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/
```

1990. Re: King 76 photos KSC airspace violator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-20 21:24:36 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <ab3a@cNo0mScPaAsMt.net>
Message-ID: <ILydnWa87ZkE-gXZnZ2dnUVZ_omdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8670

N5472U is a 1984 Cessna 210M, registered to the Department of Natural
Resources of South Carolina.

Go ahead. Ask for that QSL card :-D

Allan.Stern@gmail.com wrote:
> King 76 photos KSC airspace violator
> ----------
>
> It is Monday, 12:04pm EDT (1604z).
> King 76 has just landed at Patrick AFB after participating in an
> intrusion incident over KSC. He was in comms with Cape Control on
> 264.8 after Cape Control attempted to contact an aircraft that had
> invaded its airspace. Cadets aboard King 76 were able to photograph
> the offending aircraft; and King 76 informed Cape Control that they
> would email the photo to the Cape today.
> The offending aircraft was N5472U (Cessna 210), which is registered
> to South Carolina. A couple of years ago when I heard him in the area,
> he was registered to SC Law Enforcement Division. I guess this would
> not be a good time for a QSL card.
>
> AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/
>

1991. Re: JSTARS "Peach 66" on 13927.1 kHz USB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-21 01:05:55 UTC
From: "H. State" <hollowstate5964@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1150851955.222667.72220@c74g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Allan.Stern@gmail.com wrote:
> Peach 66 on 13927.1 kHz USB
> ---------------------------
>
> It is Tues, 4:27pm (2027Z).
> JSTARS E-8C "Peach 66" is up with Robins AFB "Peachtree Ops" via
> phone patch provided by MARS on 13927.1 kHz USB. He has passed a
> formatted report to Ops, and included his ETA Robins of 2100z, for
> which he is now being given landing wx. Almost home!
>
> AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/

You might want to align your receiver or your ears.....that
frequency has always been: 13927.000

Harold

1992. FS: Scanner books

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-21 02:43:26 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <in2mg.101330$H71.6828@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 8672

I have 3 books all in excellent condition:

Scanners and Secret frequencies - Henry L. Eisenson
Radio Monitoring - T.J. "Skip" Arey
Military Monitoring - Steve Douglass

Price for all three is $20.00 plus shipping......

1993. Re: JSTARS "Peach 66" on 13927.1 kHz USB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-21 11:30:25 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44992DD1.440DE730@milestones.com>
Source record: 8673
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"H. State" wrote:

> Allan.Stern@gmail.com wrote:
> > Peach 66 on 13927.1 kHz USB
> > ---------------------------
> >
> > It is Tues, 4:27pm (2027Z).
> > JSTARS E-8C "Peach 66" is up with Robins AFB "Peachtree Ops" via
> > phone patch provided by MARS on 13927.1 kHz USB. He has passed a
> > formatted report to Ops, and included his ETA Robins of 2100z, for
> > which he is now being given landing wx. Almost home!
> >
> > AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/
>
> You might want to align your receiver or your ears.....that
> frequency has always been: 13927.000

It was changed in the last year or so to 13927.1

You might want to pay attention :-)

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1994. Re: Bearcat 180 user manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-21 15:06:03 UTC
From: gagnonrchrd@netscape.net
Message-ID: <1150902363.299893.288700@r2g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8674

widget9545@gmail.com wrote:
> I have a Bearcat 180, BC-180, scanner but I don't have the uses manual.
> I have tried looking on different website but haven't found anything.
> I was wondering if anyone knows where I can find the manual?

This one has the manual.
http://www.bearcat1.com/scannerz.htm
Scroll down to Owner's Manuals for Scanners. Click on it.
Click on the Manuals Button. The BC 180 is in there.

1995. For Anyone With The New Uniden 996 (null adapter question)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-21 18:06:30 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <yfGdnX-oANI1FwTZnZ2dnUVZ_t6dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8675

Hello:

For anyone with the new Uniden 996:

Book isn't too clear on this.

If I use the 9-Pin 232 port on the rear to connect to my PC, do I
need a null adapter ? Again, this is the connector on the back of the case.

The book seems to imply that one is required, but my old 780 did Not.
Would be surprising, I think, if the 780 didn't, but this one does.

Any info. would be much appreciated.

Thanks,
B.

1996. 2006 ALMS Frequncies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-21 18:18:12 UTC
From: tlgtr <tlgtrprod@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1150913892.546060.89200@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8676

Anybody know where I can find a 2006 listing? I have been looking and
I did find a 2005, but not 2006.

1997. Today's Delta 2 launch preparations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-21 19:52:14 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1150919534.888268.220030@y41g2000cwy.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8677
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,sci.space.shuttle

Today's Delta 2 launch preparations

It is Wed 3:41pm EDT (1941z).
A Delta 2 rocket carrying the Military's "Microsatellite Technology Experiments (MITEX) will be launched from Pad 17A at Cape Canaveral AFS today. The launch window is 5:33pm to 9:33pm EDT.
Moments ago I started hearing Range Clearance operations, including "Variety" in comms with "Bat 1" on 141.3 (AM mode). Variety is the ETR Range Safety Officer, and Bat 1 is one of the Airscan T-337 Skymasters that are based at Space Coast RAP and are used for KSC security and range clearance missions. "Bat 2" is also up, and is in comms with "Bat 1" and with "BatCave" on 123.475.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KTTS)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/ (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/ (My postings)

1998. Re: For Anyone With The New Uniden 996 (null adapter question)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-21 20:53:59 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <MeidnaCtZv52LATZnZ2dnUVZ_sGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8678

If you use the front port on the scanner, and the supplied cable, that's all you need. If you want to extend that cable, it's a straight-through serial cable, not a null-modem cable.

However, it is my understanding if you use the port on the rear of the 996, that will require a null-modem cable. This is different from the 780, and 796D.

The 996 has two RS232 serial ports! The one on the back is a DB9 male. The one of the front is a very small "Uniden" style serial port, and a cable is included with the scanner to fit this port, and it gives you a standard DB9 female on the other end.

Bill Crocker

"Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com> wrote in message
news:yfGdnX-oANI1FwTZnZ2dnUVZ_t6dnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Hello:
>
> For anyone with the new Uniden 996:
>
> Book isn't too clear on this.
>
> If I use the 9-Pin 232 port on the rear to connect to my PC, do I
> need a null adapter ? Again, this is the connector on the back of the
> case.
>
> The book seems to imply that one is required, but my old 780 did Not.
> Would be surprising, I think, if the 780 didn't, but this one does.
>
> Any info. would be much appreciated.
>
> Thanks,
> B.
>

1999. Re: For Anyone With The New Uniden 996 (null adapter question)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-21 21:03:21 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <jucj92pbaupfc7ee20bqj62stthoj9o33h@4ax.com>
Source record: 8679

On Wed, 21 Jun 2006 14:06:30 -0400, "Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com>
wrote:

>If I use the 9-Pin 232 port on the rear to connect to my PC, do I
>need a null adapter ? Again, this is the connector on the back of the case.

The connector is for a GPS receiver, not a PC connection. The PC
connection is the little one on the front panel - the one the supplied
cable plugs into.

2000. To Mr. Klein From OP: Re: For Anyone With The New Uniden 996 (null adapter question)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-21 21:18:56 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <o86dnc7OkthZKgTZnZ2dnUVZ_vKdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8680

Hello:

Thank you very much for help.
Sure is a lot to know with this.

Are you "sure" that the rear connector, in addition to the GPS usage, isn't
also structured
for connecting to the PC, if desired rather than the front panel connector ?

My understanding was that you could use either, but if using the rear, a
null modem cable was probably required.

Not at all sure,though, and the book really doesn't describe this very well.
Any other thoughts on ?

Can I ask you one other, pleae:

I downloaded the UASD software and got it installed with the Key, I believe
O.K.

But, there's now a Folder on my Desktop labeled BCSS.
I used all of their default locations, and didn't change any.

Where should this folder actually be located ?
When I put it where you suggest, should I just put the complete folder
there, or only the contents of it ?

Thanks again,
Bob

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:jucj92pbaupfc7ee20bqj62stthoj9o33h@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 21 Jun 2006 14:06:30 -0400, "Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com>
> wrote:
>
>>If I use the 9-Pin 232 port on the rear to connect to my PC, do I
>>need a null adapter ? Again, this is the connector on the back of the
>>case.
>
> The connector is for a GPS receiver, not a PC connection. The PC
> connection is the little one on the front panel - the one the supplied
> cable plugs into.

2001. Re: Today's Delta 2 launch preparations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-22 00:04:16 UTC
From: Bruce Markowitz <scosgt@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <48lmg.48179$mF2.13744@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 8681
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,sci.space.shuttle

This is a scanner group, NOT a military radio buff group.
<Allan.Stern@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1150919534.888268.220030@y41g2000cwy.googlegroups.com...
Today's Delta 2 launch preparations

It is Wed 3:41pm EDT (1941z).
A Delta 2 rocket carrying the Military's "Microsatellite Technology
Experiments (MITEX) will be launched from Pad 17A at Cape Canaveral AFS
today. The launch window is 5:33pm to 9:33pm EDT.
Moments ago I started hearing Range Clearance operations, including
"Variety" in comms with "Bat 1" on 141.3 (AM mode). Variety is the ETR
Range Safety Officer, and Bat 1 is one of the Airscan T-337 Skymasters
that are based at Space Coast RAP and are used for KSC security and
range clearance missions. "Bat 2" is also up, and is in comms with "Bat
1" and with "BatCave" on 123.475.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KTTS)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/ (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/ (My postings)

2002. WTB Old-timer Regency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-22 00:26:26 UTC
From: Hunchy <sx43@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Sslmg.7264$Za5.6896@trnddc04>
Source record: 8682

Still looking for Regency single-channel receiver Model ACT-R1, either UHF or VHF, working with no mods. Hopefully someone has this sitting around in their archives.

Please respond to : sx43ster at gmail dot com

Thanks

JS

2003. Re: Today's Delta 2 launch preparations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-22 02:10:12 UTC
From: snidely <Snidely.too@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1150942212.667482.134440@c74g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8683
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,sci.space.shuttle

Bruce Markowitz wrote:
> This is a scanner group, NOT a military radio buff group.
>

Or maybe it's a space shuttle group, not a satellite buff
group....ain't cross-posting fun?

/dps

2004. Re: To Mr. Klein From OP: Re: For Anyone With The New Uniden 996 (null adapter question)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-22 02:37:00 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <kb0k929ec1p3606ehqm6anfkkickv04647@4ax.com>
Source record: 8684

On Wed, 21 Jun 2006 17:18:56 -0400, "Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com>
wrote:

>Thank you very much for help.
>Sure is a lot to know with this.
>
>Are you "sure" that the rear connector, in addition to the GPS usage, isn't
>also structured
>for connecting to the PC, if desired rather than the front panel connector ?
>
>My understanding was that you could use either, but if using the rear, a
>null modem cable was probably required.

A gender changer, definitely. A null modem, probably. But I see no
mention of using the rear port to connect to the computer.

>Can I ask you one other, pleae:

> I downloaded the UASD software and got it installed with the Key, I believe
>O.K.

>But, there's now a Folder on my Desktop labeled BCSS.
>I used all of their default locations, and didn't change any.

>Where should this folder actually be located ?
>When I put it where you suggest, should I just put the complete folder
>there, or only the contents of it ?

BCSS is where the installation program gets unzipped to. I doubt it's
even needed after you have the program running.

2005. Re: For Anyone With The New Uniden 996 (null adapter question)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-22 11:19:13 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Aoudnaqagoor4QfZnZ2dnUVZ_qGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8685

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:jucj92pbaupfc7ee20bqj62stthoj9o33h@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 21 Jun 2006 14:06:30 -0400, "Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com>
> wrote:
>
>>If I use the 9-Pin 232 port on the rear to connect to my PC, do I
>>need a null adapter ? Again, this is the connector on the back of the
>>case.
>
> The connector is for a GPS receiver, not a PC connection. The PC
> connection is the little one on the front panel - the one the supplied
> cable plugs into.

The connector on the back is not limited to GPS use. It is also used for
cloning one 996 to another.

2006. Re: For Anyone With The New Uniden 996 (null adapter question)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-22 12:32:53 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <4f3l92942kjuhv14sns2qe85bgdo7jro9m@4ax.com>
Source record: 8686

On Thu, 22 Jun 2006 07:19:13 -0400, "Bill Crocker"
<wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>news:jucj92pbaupfc7ee20bqj62stthoj9o33h@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 21 Jun 2006 14:06:30 -0400, "Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>If I use the 9-Pin 232 port on the rear to connect to my PC, do I
>>>need a null adapter ? Again, this is the connector on the back of the
>>>case.
>>
>> The connector is for a GPS receiver, not a PC connection. The PC
>> connection is the little one on the front panel - the one the supplied
>> cable plugs into.
>
>The connector on the back is not limited to GPS use. It is also used for
>cloning one 996 to another.

I stand corrected, Bill.

2007. Re: Today's Delta 2 launch preparations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-22 15:01:51 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <7hymg.462$Aw6.16@fe04.lga>
Source record: 8687
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,sci.space.shuttle

"Bruce Markowitz" <scosgt@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:48lmg.48179$mF2.13744@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> This is a scanner group, NOT a military radio buff group.

So according to Bruce. Military ops are not allowed to be monitored any
longer?

--

Regards
B.H.

Brian's Basement
http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/6.htm

Brian's Radio Universe
http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/500.htm

Romove your HAT to reply directly

brianehillHAT@charter.net

2008. Scanner for F1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-22 17:04:12 UTC
From: Cody Dawg <bfogelstrom@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <g4Amg.190$M_7.86@fe02.lga>
Source record: 8688
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.autos.sport.f1,rec.radio.scanner

What's the best scanner for the F1 race at Indy?

2009. Re: Today's Delta 2 launch preparations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-22 17:24:00 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <HbSdnUQhHuWGTwfZnZ2dnUVZ_vudnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 8689
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,sci.space.shuttle

"Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in message
news:7hymg.462$Aw6.16@fe04.lga...
>
> "Bruce Markowitz" <scosgt@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:48lmg.48179$mF2.13744@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > This is a scanner group, NOT a military radio buff group.
>
> So according to Bruce. Military ops are not allowed to be monitored any
> longer?
>
GET A ROPE!

2010. Re: Scanner for F1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-22 18:14:48 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <sfnl921g9hha4gb17nmge0l6ps3ej4qhfe@4ax.com>
Source record: 8690
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.autos.sport.f1,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 22 Jun 2006 13:04:12 -0400, "Cody Dawg"
<bfogelstrom@earthlink.net> wrote:

>What's the best scanner for the F1 race at Indy?

All the race scanners are about the same for racing. Pick from among
the other features.

2011. Re: Today's Delta 2 launch preparations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-22 18:16:23 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <khnl92ttojlfjit27r7mpkiklk6pclgml6@4ax.com>
Source record: 8691
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,sci.space.shuttle

On Thu, 22 Jun 2006 10:01:51 -0500, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote:

>"Bruce Markowitz" <scosgt@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>news:48lmg.48179$mF2.13744@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> This is a scanner group, NOT a military radio buff group.

>So according to Bruce. Military ops are not allowed to be monitored any
>longer?

I think if you read the post literally,he's saying that listening to
military radio on a scanner has nothing to do with scanners. Huh?

2012. Re: Scanner for F1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-22 18:28:47 UTC
From: Hans Muecke <luv4tn@arcor.de>
Message-ID: <449ae1ed$0$11062$9b4e6d93@newsread4.arcor-online.net>
Source record: 8692
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.autos.sport.f1,rec.radio.scanner

Donnerstag, 22. Juni 2006 12:04 (US Central Time)
Cody Dawg wrote in alt.radio.scanner:

> What's the best scanner for the F1 race at Indy?

Are you shure they will race in Indy _this_ year?

Talk to you later ... Hans from Germany (72 to go)
--
2006/06/22 17:20
EDDS 221720Z 30010KT 9999 FEW038 SCT050 BKN120 19/13 Q1016 NOSIG

2013. Re: NASA Aircraft over KSC today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-23 02:23:28 UTC
From: Allan9 <exatc@neo1.rr.com>
Message-ID: <AgImg.65731$mh.19099@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 8693
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.military,sci.space.shuttle

I enjoy it too
Al

<Allan.Stern@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1150384439.168471.321020@c74g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> It is Thurs, 11:06am EDT (1506z).
> "NASA 906," a T-38, has been working over the Shuttle Landing
> Facility and has been using freqs 126.65 and 264.8. He has had comms
> with "Weather" at KSC, and informed the ATC on 281.425 that he is
> "flying a weather chase mission."
> The Langley-based "NASA 535" (UH-1H #65-09992) is also in the area,
> having landed a short while ago at Patrick AFB.
>
> AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/
>

2014. Re: Cleveland, Ohio Police Scanner Feed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-23 02:30:21 UTC
From: Allan9 <exatc@neo1.rr.com>
Message-ID: <1nImg.77825$YI5.41390@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 8694

DO you have a brother that spells his last name differently?
Al

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:cgo19257j87jdc97ive9c6rhbdtp4sv65p@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 14 Jun 2006 20:18:00 -0400,
> xxnonexspamxnonexx@tampascanner.info wrote:
>
>>Link Follows, for Real Player according to the site. Don't have RP so I
>>can not
>>say if its still active.
>
>>http://www.cleveland.com/ra/livepolicescanner.ram
>
> Firefox will handle the link with no user intervention - if you have
> the real player installed. If you don't have it installed - or at
> least have the equivalent installed - nothing will handle it. There's
> no "universal" audio standard yet.

2015. Re: Today's Delta 2 launch preparations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-23 02:35:44 UTC
From: **THE-RFI-EMI-GUY** <rhyolite@nettally.com>
Message-ID: <4sImg.28530$7G2.5919@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 8695
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,sci.space.shuttle

Please go away

Bruce Markowitz wrote:

>This is a scanner group, NOT a military radio buff group.
><Allan.Stern@gmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1150919534.888268.220030@y41g2000cwy.googlegroups.com...
>Today's Delta 2 launch preparations
>----------
>
>
>

--
Joe Leikhim K4SAT
"The RFI-EMI-GUY"ï¿½

"Treason doth never prosper: what's the reason?
For if it prosper, none dare call it treason."

"Follow The Money" ;-P

2016. Re: Cleveland, Ohio Police Scanner Feed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-23 02:40:54 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <g4lm92hbtanhdhee74438r2s4mkgcfrqaf@4ax.com>
Source record: 8696

On Fri, 23 Jun 2006 02:30:21 GMT, "Allan9" <exatc@neo1.rr.com> wrote:

>DO you have a brother that spells his last name differently?

No.

2017. Re: Scanner for F1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-23 10:55:17 UTC
From: David Melville <spamaway@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <MPG.1f06739b3a9dea09896bf@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 8697
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.autos.sport.f1,rec.radio.scanner

In article <g4Amg.190$M_7.86@fe02.lga>, bfogelstrom@earthlink.net
says...
> What's the best scanner for the F1 race at Indy?
>
>
>
One with batteries.

Dave

2018. Re: Scanner for F1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-23 10:55:27 UTC
From: David Melville <spamaway@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <MPG.1f0673aecd0b75d59896c0@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 8698
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.autos.sport.f1,rec.radio.scanner

In article <g4Amg.190$M_7.86@fe02.lga>, bfogelstrom@earthlink.net
says...
> What's the best scanner for the F1 race at Indy?
>
>
>
... or...

Canon.

Dave

2019. Uniden's Bearcat Radio Club ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-24 15:06:46 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <rJednSarGcybyADZnZ2dnUVZ_oydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8699

Hello:

Saw a reference to the: Uniden's Bearcat Radio Club,
but can find no link to it, or info. on it.

Anyone have a link to it, or don't they exist anymore ?

Thanks,
B.

2020. Re: Uniden's Bearcat Radio Club ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-25 02:11:53 UTC
From: sopranos <seigel_24@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151201513.947073.70400@r2g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8700

www.bearcat1.com

2021. Re: N.E. Oklahoma swap?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-25 18:13:32 UTC
From: so.noted@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1151259212.573855.23650@r2g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8601

Rob Mills wrote:
> I have a brand new (never used) in the box Pro 2067 scanner. I would
> consider any trades other than another scanner.
> Watcha got? Shortwave or related items, Jon boat (don't need a trailer),
> wood working stuff, I'm all ears and tired of looking at it. RM~

After reading a few reviews on this rig, I can see why. Good Luck!

2022. ACARS-Software for PDA (Palm OS or Pocket PC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-25 19:05:00 UTC
From: HeinzM <oe8meq@aon.at>
Message-ID: <1151262299.964468.292270@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8602

Hello, I am interested on a software ACARS for PDA (PalmOS or Pocket
PC),
thank you very much.

Heinz

2023. Re: illinois medical scanner frequency listings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-25 20:00:20 UTC
From: Steve <sharp123@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <oXBng.33173$W97.17561@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 8603

You might try snooping around www.cityfreq.com

"Jim Butler" <x101abn@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1151106327_17015@sp6iad.superfeed.net...
> Hi:
> Can anyone give me a listing or a url where I can find the illinois
> medical scanner frequency listings, especially for the counties of Coles,
> Clark, Edgar, Douglas, etc
>
>
> Thanks,
> Jim
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
> Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
> =----

2024. FS: PRO-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-26 02:00:39 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <bdHng.159728$F_3.73234@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 8604

Excellent condition. Coverage 25-1300 Mhz. Has the cellular modification done. Good backlight. Includes military aircraft. With original box and antenna (sorry....no manual, but it's available on RS website).

Price is $85.00 plus shipping in CONUS only.....

2025. Re: Scanner for F1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-26 10:10:40 UTC
From: Les Hemmings <les.frontalclaire@lobesvirgin.net>
Message-ID: <4g9q4oF1m2k27U1@individual.net>
Source record: 8605
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.autos.sport.f1,rec.radio.scanner

Cody Dawg wrote:
> What's the best scanner for the F1 race at Indy?

Yupiteru MVT7100 Sensitive, quick and bullet proof. SW to microwave, AM, FM, USB, LSB, WFM.... had mine for 9 years plus and never missed a beat.

Will stand you in good stead for everything else too..

Les

--
Remove Frontal Lobes to reply direct.

http://uk.groups.yahoo.com/group/hxflyers/

http://armsofmorpheus.blogspot.com/

http://www.xenu.net/

http://www.venganza.org/index.htm

Les Hemmings a.a #2251 SA

2026. Shuttle Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-26 10:32:12 UTC
From: usmarine0311@gmail.com
Message-ID: <lsdv92lh0k6m0nijpaab57sck0et82abmt@4ax.com>
Source record: 8606

Anyone have a list of shuttle frequencies for the July 1st launch??? I have a list that was used in the past but would like to know any for this launch.

Thanks

2027. Re: NASA Aircraft over KSC today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-26 14:42:23 UTC
From: genedigennaro@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1151332943.730412.325260@y41g2000cwy.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8607
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.military,sci.space.shuttle

Allan9 wrote:
> I enjoy it too
> Al
>
> <Allan.Stern@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1150384439.168471.321020@c74g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > It is Thurs, 11:06am EDT (1506z).
> > "NASA 906," a T-38, has been working over the Shuttle Landing
> > Facility and has been using freqs 126.65 and 264.8. He has had comms
> > with "Weather" at KSC, and informed the ATC on 281.425 that he is
> > "flying a weather chase mission."
> > The Langley-based "NASA 535" (UH-1H #65-09992) is also in the area,
> > having landed a short while ago at Patrick AFB.
> >
> > AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/
> >

I care too! Any word about NASA using the WB-57 again?

Gene DiGennaro
Baltimore, Md.

2028. Re: Cleveland, Ohio Police Scanner Feed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-26 15:00:58 UTC
From: martin@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu
Message-ID: <e7osrajrt1@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu>
Source record: 8608

In article <cp51929iqgt2nqm115tgcps5av3altad4p@4ax.com>,
<xxnonexspamxnonexx@tampascanner.info> wrote:
>http://www.cleveland.com/ra/livepolicescanner.ram

My thanks to you and to the person who asked me to post the
URL I had tried so they could see if they could retrieve the actual
link. Well, it looks like that is the URL and the link works like a
charm. I am sitting here listening to Cleveland PD and FD while I
work.

I remember when I was on the web page, the link appeared but
due to some sort of javascript snafu, I couldn't tease out the actual
URL. Many thanks to both responders.
--

Martin McCormick WB5AGZ Stillwater, OK
Information Technology Department Network Operations Group

2029. NASA aircraft busy over KSC today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-28 16:51:42 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151513502.291173.263480@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8609
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,sci.space.shuttle

NASA aircraft busy today over KSC

Lots of NASA acft have been up today.
NASA 926, the WB-57F high-altitude research aircraft is up
rehearsing his role in Saturday's Space Shuttle launch, where he will
take pictures of the STS after launch. NASA 926 departed Patrick AFB at
10:05am this morning and quickly went into a spiraling climb to reach
his FL480-FL510 block.
NASA 926 has been in comms with the ground on 253.6, IDd as
"Primary," and on 270.0, IDd as "Backup."
The two STAs, NASA 944 and NASA 946, were also up and worked the
airspace over KSC, making steep dives onto Rnwy 15 to simulate the
Orbiter's landing profile.
I also heard NASA 4 depart Patrick AFB at 7:09am this morning; I did
not catch his destination.
NASA 908, NASA 960 and NASA 912 were also heard. NASA 960 arrived and
landed at the Cape Canaveral AFS Skid Strip instead of at the SLF.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/

2030. Re: NASA Aircraft over KSC today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-28 23:19:16 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22632-44A30E74-1374@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 8610

It is interesting.I care.
cuhulin

2031. Re: Email this to your FAT ASS HAM NEIGHBOR ON HIS ELECTRIC SCOOTER...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-28 23:32:37 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22632-44A31195-1377@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 8611

www.cruzincooler.com Perfect for hamfest.
cuhulin

2032. E-4B "Lana 28" departs Patrick for Andrews AFB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-28 23:47:01 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151538421.644167.321530@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8612
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner

E-4B "Lana 28" departs Patrick for Andrews AFB

It is 7:35pm EDT (2335z).
Moments ago, E-4B "Lana 28" departed Patrick AFB for Andrews AFB.
While he was here, I was able to get the tail number; it is 31676
(73-1676).
The big bird (Boeing 747) landed here at 5:15pm, and took off at
7:10pm. Looked beautiful too.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/

2033. WB-57F "NASA 926" off for mission rehearsal today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-29 15:33:20 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151595200.802051.76280@j72g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8613
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,sci.space.shuttle

Moments ago at 11:20am EDT (1520z), WB-57F "NASA 926" departed
Patrick AFB and began his spiral to his FL560-FL590 altitude block. He
will be rehearsing his role for Saturday's Shuttle launch, during which
he will photograph the orbiter to determine if there was any damage
during the liftoff.
You should be able to track NASA 926 today at:
http://flightaware.com/live/flight/NASA926

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/

2034. Re: Cleveland, Ohio Police Scanner Feed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-30 03:13:35 UTC
From: Allan9 <exatc@neo1.rr.com>
Message-ID: <zF0pg.6214$Eh1.1373@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 8614

Knew brothers in the Cleveland area that spelled their lasty names
differently. One was an air traffic controller the other ran a restaurant
Al

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:g4lm92hbtanhdhee74438r2s4mkgcfrqaf@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 23 Jun 2006 02:30:21 GMT, "Allan9" <exatc@neo1.rr.com> wrote:
>
>>DO you have a brother that spells his last name differently?
>
> No.

2035. Re: WB-57F "NASA 926" off for mission rehearsal today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-30 03:26:42 UTC
From: Ian MacLure <ibm@svpal.org>
Message-ID: <Xns97F1CFF9FEE8Eibmsvpalorg@216.196.97.131>
Source record: 8615
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,sci.space.shuttle

"Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com> wrote in
news:1151595200.802051.76280@j72g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> Moments ago at 11:20am EDT (1520z), WB-57F "NASA 926" departed
> Patrick AFB and began his spiral to his FL560-FL590 altitude block. He
> will be rehearsing his role for Saturday's Shuttle launch, during which
> he will photograph the orbiter to determine if there was any damage
> during the liftoff.
> You should be able to track NASA 926 today at:
> http://flightaware.com/live/flight/NASA926

There was a piece on-line about the retirement of the RAF's
last Canberras after 55 years.

2036. Re: Cleveland, Ohio Police Scanner Feed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-30 12:35:14 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <bj6aa2dj2chgaefdmvahol4fgfduonkduh@4ax.com>
Source record: 8616

On Fri, 30 Jun 2006 03:13:35 GMT, "Allan9" <exatc@neo1.rr.com> wrote:

>Knew brothers in the Cleveland area that spelled their lasty names
>differently. One was an air traffic controller the other ran a restaurant

Neither I nor my brother have ever even been in Cleveland.

2037. Re: Cleveland, Ohio Police Scanner Feed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-30 19:03:14 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <Rbednc_pU6HX6zjZnZ2dnUVZ_sOdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 8617

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:bj6aa2dj2chgaefdmvahol4fgfduonkduh@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 30 Jun 2006 03:13:35 GMT, "Allan9" <exatc@neo1.rr.com> wrote:
>
> >Knew brothers in the Cleveland area that spelled their lasty names
> >differently. One was an air traffic controller the other ran a
restaurant
>
> Neither I nor my brother have ever even been in Cleveland.

Don't get around much, huh?

2038. AOR Wideband Antenna for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-30 21:43:26 UTC
From: radiohead <echait1@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151703805.979190.62110@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8618

How's it going. For anyone interested I am selling my cherished AOR
SA7000 wideband (1-2000Mhz) antenna.
Here is the link:
http://cgi.ebay.com/AOR-SA7000-Wideband-Antenna_W0QQitemZ160002410823QQihZ006QQcategoryZ29
6QQssPageNameZWDVWQQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem

2039. A question for nickle Generals and Extras. Would you renew your license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-06-30 23:21:48 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <gmipg.722$ye3.449@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8619
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Phony's can ignore. This question doesn't apply to no-codes.

Nickle Generals and Extras:

If the 13 and 20 wpm CW exams came back and you had to pass the proper code exam for your class to renew, 13 wpm for general, 20 wpm for extra, would you renew your license?

2040. Re: A question for nickle Generals and Extras. Would you renew your license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-01 00:00:47 UTC
From: John Ferrell <johnferrell@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <2mdba2hskucqb08hm69ghmh721k00802bm@4ax.com>
Source record: 8620
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Yes to the Extra part. I have been trying to get to 20 wpm all my life
and I continue now. It has never made anymore sense to me than a
requirement to be able to run a five minute mile. 16 wpm seems to be
the fastest code I can differentiate with my ear/brain connection.

If the code guys need a special chunk of the band to operate high
speed code they can petition for it. My hat is off to those who have
both the code skills and the technical skills to be superior to me on
any subject.

However, I am embarrassed as a long time Ham by those who would deny
the hobby to anyone without Code skills.

I believe the ARRL offers Award Certificates to those who can achieve
the higher speeds.

Thanks for the opportunity to speak out. Another characteristic that
earns no respect from me is the matter of remaining anonymous on the
Internet. I expect that your CB handle is something like "Bad boy" or
"Rain Brain". If you were not a troll by choice you might be able to
make a positive contribution some where.

de John Ferrell W8CCW

On Fri, 30 Jun 2006 23:21:48 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Phony's can ignore. This question doesn't apply to no-codes.
>
>
>Nickle Generals and Extras:
>
>If the 13 and 20 wpm CW exams came back and you had to pass the proper
>code exam for your class to renew, 13 wpm for general, 20 wpm for extra,
>would you renew your license?
John Ferrell W8CCW

2041. STS-121 Pre-launch comms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-01 08:41:05 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151743265.386170.135880@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8621
Cross-posted to: sci.space.shuttle,rec.radio.scanner

USCG Range Control heats up at KSC preparing for STS-121 Launch
---

It is Sat, 4:29am EDT (0829z).
USCG Range Control has been busy for the last hour or so. They have
been in contact with several USCG assets, as well as several CG
Auxiliary vessels. They have also been in contact with CG Sector Jax.
Comms are continuing steadily.
Here is a summary:
Range Control with CG Sta Port Canaveral on 157.075.
Range Control with Bear Cove on 157.075.
Range Control with CG Sector Jacksonville on 157.1.
Range Control with CG Cutter Dolphin on 157.075:
Dolphin passes Ops Normal and position
"on station"; will monitor Ch 81.
Range Control with CG Cutter Shrike on 157.075:
Shrike passes Ops Normal and position;
Range Control asks sea conditions.
CG Aux Vessel 332 on 157.075.
CG Aux Vessel 522 on 157.075.
CG Aux Vessel 639 on 157.175.
CG Aux Vessel 6048 on 157.175; also warns private
vessel on 157.05.
CG Sector Jacksonville on 156.8 making
announcements regarding the boundary of the
Launch Hazard Area at KSC.
CG Station Canaveral on 156.8, 157.075.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/

2042. Re: A question for nickle Generals and Extras. Would you renew your license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-01 14:12:29 UTC
From: Steve <zarco@sonic.net>
Message-ID: <44a682cf$0$96916$742ec2ed@news.sonic.net>
Source record: 8622
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Yup. It'd take a bit of practice to get to 20 wpm again,
but its worth the effort IMO.

Steve

2043. Re: A question for nickle Generals and Extras. Would you renew your license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-01 15:11:07 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <44A6908B.2020508@fuse.net>
Source record: 8623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> Phony's can ignore. This question doesn't apply to no-codes.
>
>
> Nickle Generals and Extras:
>
> If the 13 and 20 wpm CW exams came back and you had to pass the proper
> code exam for your class to renew, 13 wpm for general, 20 wpm for extra,
> would you renew your license?
>
Sure

John
AB8WH

2044. Re: A question for nickle Generals and Extras. Would you renew your license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-01 17:18:14 UTC
From: Noon-Air <Noon-Air@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8_-dnUVW84zEMzvZnZ2dnUVZ_t2dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8624
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:gmipg.722$ye3.449@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Phony's can ignore. This question doesn't apply to no-codes.
>
>
> Nickle Generals and Extras:
>
> If the 13 and 20 wpm CW exams came back and you had to pass the proper
> code exam for your class to renew, 13 wpm for general, 20 wpm for extra,
> would you renew your license?
>

Bring it on

2045. Re: A question for nickle Generals and Extras. Would you renew your license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-01 21:24:45 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <xKBpg.1228$ye3.929@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8625
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

John Ferrell <johnferrell@sprintmail.com> wrote in
news:2mdba2hskucqb08hm69ghmh721k00802bm@4ax.com:

> Yes to the Extra part. I have been trying to get to 20 wpm all my life
> and I continue now. It has never made anymore sense to me than a
> requirement to be able to run a five minute mile. 16 wpm seems to be
> the fastest code I can differentiate with my ear/brain connection.
>
> If the code guys need a special chunk of the band to operate high
> speed code they can petition for it. My hat is off to those who have
> both the code skills and the technical skills to be superior to me on
> any subject.
>
> However, I am embarrassed as a long time Ham by those who would deny
> the hobby to anyone without Code skills.
>
> I believe the ARRL offers Award Certificates to those who can achieve
> the higher speeds.
>
> Thanks for the opportunity to speak out. Another characteristic that
> earns no respect from me is the matter of remaining anonymous on the
> Internet. I expect that your CB handle is something like "Bad boy" or
> "Rain Brain". If you were not a troll by choice you might be able to
> make a positive contribution some where.
>
> de John Ferrell W8CCW
>
> On Fri, 30 Jun 2006 23:21:48 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>Phony's can ignore. This question doesn't apply to no-codes.
>>
>>
>>Nickle Generals and Extras:
>>
>>If the 13 and 20 wpm CW exams came back and you had to pass the proper
>>code exam for your class to renew, 13 wpm for general, 20 wpm for extra,
>>would you renew your license?
> John Ferrell W8CCW

What? I ask a friendly question as to who would re-new their license if
Code speeds were increased to what they should be and I get called a
troll. Well eat shit and die. I got a real Extra class license. You
nickle hams are really unfriendly assholes sometimes.

2046. Re: A question for nickle Generals and Extras. Would you renew your license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-01 21:35:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151789743.380719.276460@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8626
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> John Ferrell <johnferrell@sprintmail.com> wrote in
> news:2mdba2hskucqb08hm69ghmh721k00802bm@4ax.com:
>
> > Yes to the Extra part. I have been trying to get to 20 wpm all my life
> > and I continue now. It has never made anymore sense to me than a
> > requirement to be able to run a five minute mile. 16 wpm seems to be
> > the fastest code I can differentiate with my ear/brain connection.
> >
> > If the code guys need a special chunk of the band to operate high
> > speed code they can petition for it. My hat is off to those who have
> > both the code skills and the technical skills to be superior to me on
> > any subject.
> >
> > However, I am embarrassed as a long time Ham by those who would deny
> > the hobby to anyone without Code skills.
> >
> > I believe the ARRL offers Award Certificates to those who can achieve
> > the higher speeds.
> >
> > Thanks for the opportunity to speak out. Another characteristic that
> > earns no respect from me is the matter of remaining anonymous on the
> > Internet. I expect that your CB handle is something like "Bad boy" or
> > "Rain Brain". If you were not a troll by choice you might be able to
> > make a positive contribution some where.
> >
> > de John Ferrell W8CCW
> >
> > On Fri, 30 Jun 2006 23:21:48 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >
> >>Phony's can ignore. This question doesn't apply to no-codes.
> >>
> >>
> >>Nickle Generals and Extras:
> >>
> >>If the 13 and 20 wpm CW exams came back and you had to pass the proper
> >>code exam for your class to renew, 13 wpm for general, 20 wpm for extra,
> >>would you renew your license?
> > John Ferrell W8CCW
>
>
> What? I ask a friendly question as to who would re-new their license if

> Code speeds were increased to what they should be and I get called a
> troll.
nothing friednly about your question
BTW you get called a troll becuase you are a Troll
> Well eat shit and die.
realy freindly there SC
> I got a real Extra class license.
and there too
> You
> nickle hams are really unfriendly assholes sometimes.
they learned for the likes of though remmeber that

2047. Re: STS-121 Pre-launch comms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-01 23:08:55 UTC
From: Al Gillis <alg@aracnet.com>
Message-ID: <e86va90ehc@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 8627
Cross-posted to: sci.space.shuttle,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks, Al

I was watching the mission get scrubbed for Wx early this aftnoon and was
thinking about what you might be hearing...

<Allan.Stern@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1151743265.386170.135880@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> USCG Range Control heats up at KSC preparing for STS-121 Launch
> ----------

>
> It is Sat, 4:29am EDT (0829z).
> USCG Range Control has been busy for the last hour or so. They have
> been in contact with several USCG assets, as well as several CG
> Auxiliary vessels. They have also been in contact with CG Sector Jax.
> Comms are continuing steadily.
> Here is a summary:
> Range Control with CG Sta Port Canaveral on 157.075.
> Range Control with Bear Cove on 157.075.
> Range Control with CG Sector Jacksonville on 157.1.
> Range Control with CG Cutter Dolphin on 157.075:
> Dolphin passes Ops Normal and position
> "on station"; will monitor Ch 81.
> Range Control with CG Cutter Shrike on 157.075:
> Shrike passes Ops Normal and position;
> Range Control asks sea conditions.
> CG Aux Vessel 332 on 157.075.
> CG Aux Vessel 522 on 157.075.
> CG Aux Vessel 639 on 157.175.
> CG Aux Vessel 6048 on 157.175; also warns private
> vessel on 157.05.
> CG Sector Jacksonville on 156.8 making
> announcements regarding the boundary of the
> Launch Hazard Area at KSC.
> CG Station Canaveral on 156.8, 157.075.
>
> AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/
>

2048. Re: A question for nickle Generals and Extras. Would you renew your license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-01 23:33:49 UTC
From: dplatt@radagast.org
Message-ID: <12ae1itttghi34@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 8628
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In article <xKBpg.1228$ye3.929@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>What? I ask a friendly question as to who would re-new their license if
>Code speeds were increased to what they should be and I get called a
>troll. Well eat shit and die. I got a real Extra class license. You
>nickle hams are really unfriendly assholes sometimes.

Well, Slow Code, I'll give you a straight (and I hope friendly) answer to your question. I'll then give you a straight (and I hope not-unfriendly) explanation as to why I believe people are calling you a troll.

The first answer: well, I think I'd certainly *try* to renew my license. My CW is distinctly rusty - I use it only rarely, and I'm not sure I've ever managed to copy 13 WPM (let alone 20) well enough to pass the one-minute-error-free barrier, or be able to answer the necessary number of questions. So, it'd take a bunch of listening and practice to reach that level.

I'm not sure I have enough time free to do it. I've got a busy life, work full-time plus a bit, and much of my ham-radio playing time goes into other areas of the service (I'm an ARES/RACES AEC for my city, a mutual-aid comms responder for the county, and I've put in a huge boatload of hours over the past couple of years helping redesign and rebuild and debug the local hospital's repeater system). Getting really serious about doing high-speed CW would consume a lot of time, which would necessarily subtract from my ability to (e.g.) spend many hours of circuit analysis and modelling and experimentation to figure out why the fancy commercial repeaters we bought had such lousy-sounding audio (turns out the designer had mis-used the discriminator IC, forcing it to "clip" the signal internally, *and* had messed up the design of the de-emphasis network.)

And, I have no assurance that I'd ever reach that level. As several other people have commented, it's perfectly possible for people to work, hard and honestly, at copying CW for years, and never be able to reach the 13 WPM level. I strongly suspect that to some extent, CW-copying is tied to certain sorts of neurological organization in the ear/brain system - some people may be born with more potential ability to handle high-speed CW than others. Effort or no, some people seem unable to learn to copy CW at all, others can do so but never become very good at it, and *many* people report hitting the "13

WPM wall" and never being able to copy reliably at rates much faster than that.

Now, in your previous epistles on the subject, you and your nym-clone were advocating not only requiring testing for 13 WPM (General) and 20 WPM (Extra), but also making the no-code license a one-year nonrenewable. If that proposal were accepted, there's a strong possibility that I'd end up being kicked off of the air the next time my license came due... either because I was unable to push up to 13 WPM no matter how hard I tried, or because I'd devoted my time to other aspects of ham radio and hadn't taken time to study-up.

So - that's my answer. I might end up being able to renew my license (although probably not at the 20 WPM level), I might fail the CW test, or I might just decide that the "old boy's club" had made it clear that they didn't want anybody other than rabid CW operators on the air, and decide to go do something else productive with my time.

Now - that being said - let's address why you were being called a troll. I think it's because it's quite clear to people reading these threads that you have a serious agenda, sir. You've made several attempts (apparently under several posting IDs - some refer to these as "sock puppets") to drum up support for your CW Uber Alles rules change proposal. You've scoffed at, or simply ignored, the many people who have pointed out that your proposed changes are 180 degrees out of phase with the international trends (i.e. the WARC rules), and with the FCC's publicly-stated feelings on the matter, and that your proposals are essentially equivalent to ones which have already been ruled out by the Powers That Be.

In short, the "what if?" question you asked is entirely hypothetical. There's just no chance at all that the FCC would enact the sort of CW-centric licensing rules you have proposed. Ain't gonna happen. Your asking questions about "well, if it _did_, what would you do" is probably part of why you're being called a troll.

Numerous people have responded to you, expressing their opinions that your rules would decimate the ranks of amateur radio by forcing off of the air a large percentage of today's licensed operators. Your "friendly question" seems to be intended to try to address that question, but you phrased your inquiry in somewhat-loaded terms, and in a way which almost guarantees that you won't receive an accurate and unbiased set of answers which actually represent the feelings and opinions of this newsgroup's readers.

To sum it up, your way of presenting your agenda probably leads people to believe that you aren't serious about debating or discussing the issue... and that's probably another part of why you're being called a troll.

I agree with you that the Amateur Radio Service (and hobby) benefits greatly from being hams to like to study, learn, advance their skills,

and use what they know. That's one of the specific purposes of amateur radio here in the U.S., and I think it's great.

I *disagree* with you that the ability to learn to copy CW at 13 - 20 WPM is, or should be, the "litmus test" which decides whether a person is Worthy of being a ham.

Your fixation on CW is, I think, actively interfering with your ability to support ham radio by promoting *all* aspects of technical and operational learning. I believe that your attitude hurts ham radio more than it helps.

That's my $0.05 worth, adjusted for inflation. Take it for what it's worth for you.

[and, I'm sorry to say, based on your past postings I don't really expect you to address the meat of what I've said. I expect that you'll toss off a one- or two-liner, dismiss what I've written, and keep on as you have been. I'd be pleasantly surprised to be wrong about this!]

--
Dave Platt <dplatt@radagast.org> AE6EO
Hosting the Jade Warrior home page: http://www.radagast.org/jade-warrior
I do _not_ wish to receive unsolicited commercial email, and I will boycott any company which has the gall to send me such ads!

2049. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-02 04:00:29 UTC
From: Merlin3rd <merlin_20832@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1151812829.177703.33370@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

The hobby is almost dead anyway,nothing is going to save it. No one
cares about Ham radio.Most people under 30 have never heard of it and
don't care. All they want is their cell phones, Ipods,etc. The FCC
can't save it by changing anything.
Povertyhill wrote:
> Gee do all hams in Colorado think like this or only ones in Denver? Get a
> life and play with your CW key with a buzzer!
>
> "Radio Buff" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:Pxmjg.5175$lp.2532@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > Dear sir:
> >
> > The FCC has been dumbing down ham radio for several years now. This has
> > hurt the integrity of the service and is bad for America. They are
> > planning to dumb it down again really soon now and their plan will make
> > ham radio like CB and it will be bad for America. We already have one CB
> > service, we don't need two. Please pressure the FCC to endorse the
> > following items for the good of the service and County.
> > God bless America.
> >
> >
> > Signed
> > (Your name & callsign)
> >
> >
> >
> > No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all elements
> > required for their license class.
> >
> > The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
> >
> > Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
> >
> > Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
> >
> >

2050. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-02 04:04:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151813081.512042.20390@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Merlin3rd wrote:
> The hobby is almost dead anyway,nothing is going to save it. No one
> cares about Ham radio.Most people under 30 have never heard of it and
> don't care. All they want is their cell phones, Ipods,etc. The FCC
> can't save it by changing anything.
perhaps not but others think otherwise

OTOH the "proposal" of mr Slow Code aka Hey Stupid would finsh finsh
the ARS in 10 ten years

2051. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-02 09:29:02 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <87017833.8F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 8631
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
On 1 Jul 2006 21:04:41 -0700, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> OTOH the "proposal" of mr Slow Code aka Hey Stupid would finsh finsh
> the ARS in 10 ten years

get help
```

2052. STS-121 pre-launch comms on 5711 kHz USB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-02 14:27:50 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151850470.724964.58120@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8573
Cross-posted to: sci.space.tech,sci.space.shuttle,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.military

```
NASA Vessels up on 5711 kHz USB
-------------------------------

It is Sunday 10:09am EDT (1409z).
The NASA SRB recovery vessels "Liberty Star" and "Freedom Star" are
up on 5711 kHz USB, in comms with Cape Radio. Cape Radio tried to QSY
them to HF freq 9132, but even though I heard both sides well, Cape
Radio could not hear them. It seemed like the vessels could hear Cape
Radio, however.
Unfortunately with the weather here so bad, it is doubtful the
STS-121 launch will take place today, so the Liberty Star and Freedom
Star will have to wait another day to retrieve solid rocket boosters.
They feel like The Butcher does when I toss his frisbee and it gets
caught high in a tree.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/
```

2053. STS-121 pre-launch comms on 5711kHz USB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-02 14:29:59 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151850598.941262.69990@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8632
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,sci.space.shuttle,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

```
NASA Vessels up on 5711 kHz USB
-------------------------------

It is Sunday 10:09am EDT (1409z).
The NASA SRB recovery vessels "Liberty Star" and "Freedom Star" are
up on 5711 kHz USB, in comms with Cape Radio. Cape Radio tried to QSY
them to HF freq 9132, but even though I heard both sides well, Cape
Radio could not hear them. It seemed like the vessels could hear Cape
Radio, however.
Unfortunately with the weather here so bad, it is doubtful the
STS-121 launch will take place today, so the Liberty Star and Freedom
Star will have to wait another day to retrieve solid rocket boosters.
They feel like The Butcher does when I toss his frisbee and it gets
caught high in a tree.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/
```

2054. Re: STS-121 pre-launch comms on 5711kHz USB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-02 16:48:42 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44A7F8EA.4338186D@milestones.com>
Source record: 8633
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,sci.space.shuttle,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"Allan.Stern@gmail.com" wrote:

> NASA Vessels up on 5711 kHz USB

Heard here as well, but propagation this time of day on 5711 is poor.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

>
> ------------------------------
>
> It is Sunday 10:09am EDT (1409z).
> The NASA SRB recovery vessels "Liberty Star" and "Freedom Star" are
> up on 5711 kHz USB, in comms with Cape Radio. Cape Radio tried to QSY
> them to HF freq 9132, but even though I heard both sides well, Cape
> Radio could not hear them. It seemed like the vessels could hear Cape
> Radio, however.
> Unfortunately with the weather here so bad, it is doubtful the
> STS-121 launch will take place today, so the Liberty Star and Freedom
> Star will have to wait another day to retrieve solid rocket boosters.
> They feel like The Butcher does when I toss his frisbee and it gets
> caught high in a tree.
>
> AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/

2055. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-02 17:03:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151859821.330424.267260@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8634
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Lloyd wrote:
> On 1 Jul 2006 21:04:41 -0700, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > OTOH the "proposal" of mr Slow Code aka Hey Stupid would finsh finsh
> > the ARS in 10 ten years
>
> get help
for what

teling the simple that "hey Stpids" plan would kill off the ARS in
single renewal cylce?

2056. Scanner Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-02 19:03:30 UTC
From: GGG@steric.net
Message-ID: <1151867010.342359.214550@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8635

I am in a rural area that is quite hilly, and would appreciate any suggestions on a scanner that would work well there. I am in northeast PA, am about 8 miles from the nearest fire station, and 8 miles the other direction to the nearest police station. I've seen scanners that make it to 512mhz (older, like the PRO 2023, BC145XL), and ones that make it to 956mhz (within 10 years old, like the PRO 2008,2050, BC800XLT).
Are there any benefits by having the "extra coverage"?
Are most reporting stations up this way (NEPA, NY southern tier) trunked?
I want to get the best coverage I can get, but don't want to pay hundreds of $ for this. My budget is probably around 60. I'm just looking for something where I can hear the latest on police action, road closures (I'm in the area that really got hit by the floods of last week)- ones that PennDOT didn't report (there are lots of them).

thanks for your help, I appreciate it.

Dobs

2057. Re: A question for nickle Generals and Extras. Would you renew your license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-02 19:03:54 UTC
From: "w7dahawk@gmail.com" <w7dahawk@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151867034.420762.224550@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8636
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dave, you were wasting your time & effort on the wankers in here.

Dave Platt wrote:
> In article <xKBpg.1228$ye3.929@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >What? I ask a friendly question as to who would re-new their license if
> >Code speeds were increased to what they should be and I get called a
> >troll. Well eat shit and die. I got a real Extra class license. You
> >nickle hams are really unfriendly assholes sometimes.
>
> Well, Slow Code, I'll give you a straight (and I hope friendly) answer
> to your question. I'll then give you a straight (and I hope not-
> unfriendly) explanation as to why I believe people are calling you a
> troll.
>
> The first answer: well, I think I'd certainly *try* to renew my
> license. My CW is distinctly rusty - I use it only rarely, and I'm
> not sure I've ever managed to copy 13 WPM (let alone 20) well enough
> to pass the one-minute-error-free barrier, or be able to answer the
> necessary number of questions. So, it'd take a bunch of listening and
> practice to reach that level.
>
> I'm not sure I have enough time free to do it. I've got a busy life,
> work full-time plus a bit, and much of my ham-radio playing time goes
> into other areas of the service (I'm an ARES/RACES AEC for my city, a
> mutual-aid comms responder for the county, and I've put in a huge
> boatload of hours over the past couple of years helping redesign and
> rebuild and debug the local hospital's repeater system). Getting
> really serious about doing high-speed CW would consume a lot of time,
> which would necessarily subtract from my ability to (e.g.) spend many
> hours of circuit analysis and modelling and experimentation to figure
> out why the fancy commercial repeaters we bought had such
> lousy-sounding audio (turns out the designer had mis-used the
> discriminator IC, forcing it to "clip" the signal internally, *and*
> had messed up the design of the de-emphasis network.)
>
> And, I have no assurance that I'd ever reach that level. As several
> other people have commented, it's perfectly possible for people to
> work, hard and honestly, at copying CW for years, and never be able to
> reach the 13 WPM level. I strongly suspect that to some extent,
> CW-copying is tied to certain sorts of neurological organization in

> the ear/brain system - some people may be born with more potential
> ability to handle high-speed CW than others. Effort or no, some
> people seem unable to learn to copy CW at all, others can do so but
> never become very good at it, and *many* people report hitting the "13
> WPM wall" and never being able to copy reliably at rates much faster
> than that.
>
> Now, in your previous epistles on the subject, you and your nym-clone
> were advocating not only requiring testing for 13 WPM (General) and 20
> WPM (Extra), but also making the no-code license a one-year
> nonrenewable. If that proposal were accepted, there's a strong
> possibility that I'd end up being kicked off of the air the next time
> my license came due... either because I was unable to push up to 13
> WPM no matter how hard I tried, or because I'd devoted my time to
> other aspects of ham radio and hadn't taken time to study-up.
>
> So - that's my answer. I might end up being able to renew my license
> (although probably not at the 20 WPM level), I might fail the CW test,
> or I might just decide that the "old boy's club" had made it clear
> that they didn't want anybody other than rabid CW operators on the
> air, and decide to go do something else productive with my time.
>
> Now - that being said - let's address why you were being called a
> troll. I think it's because it's quite clear to people reading these
> threads that you have a serious agenda, sir. You've made several
> attempts (apparently under several posting IDs - some refer to these
> as "sock puppets") to drum up support for your CW Uber Alles rules
> change proposal. You've scoffed at, or simply ignored, the many
> people who have pointed out that your proposed changes are 180 degrees
> out of phase with the international trends (i.e. the WARC rules), and
> with the FCC's publicly-stated feelings on the matter, and that your
> proposals are essentially equivalent to ones which have already been
> ruled out by the Powers That Be.
>
> In short, the "what if?" question you asked is entirely hypothetical.
> There's just no chance at all that the FCC would enact the sort of
> CW-centric licensing rules you have proposed. Ain't gonna happen.
> Your asking questions about "well, if it _did_, what would you do" is
> probably part of why you're being called a troll.
>
> Numerous people have responded to you, expressing their opinions that
> your rules would decimate the ranks of amateur radio by forcing off of
> the air a large percentage of today's licensed operators. Your
> "friendly question" seems to be intended to try to address that
> question, but you phrased your inquiry in somewhat-loaded terms, and
> in a way which almost guarantees that you won't receive an accurate
> and unbiased set of answers which actually represent the feelings and
> opinions of this newsgroup's readers.
>
> To sum it up, your way of presenting your agenda probably leads people

> to believe that you aren't serious about debating or discussing the
> issue... and that's probably another part of why you're being called a
> troll.
>
> I agree with you that the Amateur Radio Service (and hobby) benefits
> greatly from being hams to like to study, learn, advance their skills,
> and use what they know. That's one of the specific purposes of amateur
> radio here in the U.S., and I think it's great.
>
> I *disagree* with you that the ability to learn to copy CW at 13 - 20
> WPM is, or should be, the "litmus test" which decides whether a person
> is Worthy of being a ham.
>
> Your fixation on CW is, I think, actively interfering with your
> ability to support ham radio by promoting *all* aspects of technical
> and operational learning. I believe that your attitude hurts ham
> radio more than it helps.
>
> That's my $0.05 worth, adjusted for inflation. Take it for what it's
> worth for you.
>
> [and, I'm sorry to say, based on your past postings I don't really
> expect you to address the meat of what I've said. I expect that
> you'll toss off a one- or two-liner, dismiss what I've written, and
> keep on as you have been. I'd be pleasantly surprised to be wrong
> about this!]
>
> --
> Dave Platt <dplatt@radagast.org> AE6EO
> Hosting the Jade Warrior home page: http://www.radagast.org/jade-warrior
> I do _not_ wish to receive unsolicited commercial email, and I will
> boycott any company which has the gall to send me such ads!

2058. Re: A question for nickle Generals and Extras. Would you renew your license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-02 19:48:59 UTC
From: yea right <spam@spam.spam>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.07.02.19.48.57.814590@spam.spam>
Source record: 8637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
On Fri, 30 Jun 2006 23:21:48 +0000, Slow Code wrote:
> If the 13 and 20 wpm CW exams came back and you had to pass the proper
> code exam for your class to renew, 13 wpm for general, 20 wpm for extra,
> would you renew your license?

Why would they have use slow down? I say raise it to 35 and 40wpm
```

2059. Re: FS: PRO-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-02 20:48:19 UTC
From: GGG@steric.net
Message-ID: <1151873299.248262.162760@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8638

Frank wrote:
> Excellent condition. Coverage 25-1300 Mhz. Has the cellular modification
> done. Good backlight. Includes military aircraft. With original box and
> antenna (sorry....no manual, but it's available on RS website).
>
> Price is $85.00 plus shipping in CONUS only.....

Hi Frank, I mght be interested, but how do we do arrange the payment &
shipping??? Would you do $85, including shipping?

Dobs

2060. Re: FS: PRO-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-02 21:18:23 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <zKWpg.59431$Lm5.35028@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 8639

Dobs,

I tried e-mailing you, but your address bounced. I would prefer a money order and I would ship within 24 hours after receiving the funds. I'll ship via USPS Priority Mail. Sorry.....I have to stick with $85.00 plus shipping. This radio works perfectly in all functions. I just have too many scanners!

Frank

<GGG@steric.net> wrote in message
news:1151873299.248262.162760@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Frank wrote:
>> Excellent condition. Coverage 25-1300 Mhz. Has the cellular modification
>> done. Good backlight. Includes military aircraft. With original box and
>> antenna (sorry....no manual, but it's available on RS website).
>>
>> Price is $85.00 plus shipping in CONUS only.....
>
>
> Hi Frank, I mght be interested, but how do we do arrange the payment &
> shipping??? Would you do $85, including shipping?
>
> Dobs
>

2061. Re: FS: PRO-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-02 22:43:01 UTC
From: GGG@steric.net
Message-ID: <1151880181.581754.248890@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8640

Hi Frank, email me at sjamboked at steric dot net.
Tell me a little bit more about the pro 2004, what it's mhz range is,
whether it's trunked or not, what its range is, and whether or not, by
your experience, it works well in the hills,

thanks.. hopefully no bots can read addresses in COBOL form :)

Dobs (aka: Dave)

Frank wrote:
> Dobs,
>
> I tried e-mailing you, but your address bounced. I would prefer a money
> order and I would ship within 24 hours after receiving the funds. I'll ship
> via USPS Priority Mail. Sorry.....I have to stick with $85.00 plus shipping.
> This radio works perfectly in all functions. I just have too many scanners!
>
> Frank
>
>
> <GGG@steric.net> wrote in message
> news:1151873299.248262.162760@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Frank wrote:
> >> Excellent condition. Coverage 25-1300 Mhz. Has the cellular modification
> >> done. Good backlight. Includes military aircraft. With original box and
> >> antenna (sorry....no manual, but it's available on RS website).
> >>
> >> Price is $85.00 plus shipping in CONUS only.....
> > >
> > >
> > > Hi Frank, I mght be interested, but how do we do arrange the payment &
> > > shipping??? Would you do $85, including shipping?
> > >
> > > Dobs
> > >

2062. Re: Scanner Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-02 23:18:57 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <nhkga2di1b3b389nuf7iv6aqaatko24d9n@4ax.com>
Source record: 8641

On 2 Jul 2006 12:03:30 -0700, GGG@steric.net wrote:

>I am in a rural area that is quite hilly, and would appreciate any
>suggestions on a scanner that would work well there. I am in
>northeast PA, am about 8 miles from the nearest fire station, and 8
>miles the other direction to the nearest police station. I've seen
>scanners that make it to 512mhz (older, like the PRO 2023, BC145XL),
>and ones that make it to 956mhz (within 10 years old, like the PRO
>2008,2050, BC800XLT).
>Are there any benefits by having the "extra coverage"?

If you want to receive something on 800 MHz, a 512 MHz scanner won't
do it. Otherwise, unless you need the extra features that newer
scanners offer - trunking, fire tone out, Wx alerting - no.

>Are most reporting stations up this way (NEPA, NY southern tier)
>trunked?

"Reporting stations"? Go here
<http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&stid=42> and check
out the area surrounding you. I have no idea what county you're in or
which ones are near you.

>I want to get the best coverage I can get

The antenna and cable, not the scanner, determine the coverage.

> but don't want to pay hundreds of $ for this. My budget is probably around 60.

That's minimal for an antenna. Figure at least another $50 for an old
used, but working, scanner. And, depending on the exact terrain and
the frequencies you want to monitor, the antenna that will work for
you might set you back a few hundred dollars.

I'm just
>looking for something where I can hear the latest on police action,
>road closures (I'm in the area that really got hit by the floods of
>last week)- ones that PennDOT didn't report (there are lots of them).

According to Radio Reference, "Almost ALL PennDOT District's have
moved all operations to the statewide MA/COM OpenSky 800 Mhz system".
That's an unmonitorable system. There's no scanner made that can
monitor it - for any money. (Unless you want to bribe someone in some
secret government agency.)

You can monitor PEMA
<http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&aid=1262> and the
state police

<http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&aid=744>.

Again, the antenna (and cable - the lowest loss
<http://www.ocarc.ca/coax.htm> you can afford) will determine what you
can hear.

2063. Re: FS: PRO-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-03 00:24:35 UTC
From: bitwisebob <gdeadbitwisebob@aol.com>
Message-ID: <5vnga2hf3vl7kp41q9fj0qh02qbe7ad5gl@4ax.com>
Source record: 8642

On 2 Jul 2006 15:43:01 -0700, GGG@steric.net wrote:

>Tell me a little bit more about the pro 2004,

http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/doc31/31914.htm

http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/doc31/31915.htm

http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/doc31/31916.htm

http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/doc21/21323.htm

http://www.rigpix.com/rs-realistic/realistic_pro2004.htm

http://www.phreak.org/radio/mods/pro2004.txt

http://www.eham.net/reviews/detail/865

http://psicorps1984.tripod.com/2003/2003.html

http://www.strongsignals.net/access/content/scanrbrf.html
PRO-2004: 1986 vintage. Top of the line, wide band scanner
for 1987. After a diode is cut, enjoy continuous coverage
from 25-520 and 760-1300 MHz, AM, NBFM, and WBFM. Has 300
channels in 10 banks of 30, backed up by conventional 9 volt
alkaline battery. Any channel can be designated the
priority channel. Scans and searches fast. Lots of well
designed features, like 10 pairs of search limits, Lockout
Review, default search increment and emission mode. Sound
Squelch allows skipping dead carriers during search or scan.
Metal cabinet, good internal construction and shielding, but
no mobile mounting bracket or DC power cord. Soft touch
membrane keyboard. Good sensitivity and selectivity. Very
good radio. See "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2004
Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio
Enthusiast, February 1987, and Monitoring Times, March 1987

I bought one when they came out. Nice scanner. Possible to overload
the front end with overly strong signals, with a big antenna, notch
filter can help.

Bob Anderson*Bitwisebob
Eugene Oregon

2064. sjamboked@steric.net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-03 03:08:43 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <%S%pg.59490$Lm5.48406@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 8643

Bob,

Here is some information on the PRO-2004. It's not a trunking scanner, but most scanners don't cover the military aircraft band and cellular phones. As far as it working "in the hills", it all depends on what antenna you use.

Frank

PRO-2004: 1986 vintage. Top of the line, wide band scanner. After a diode is cut, enjoy continuous coverage from 25-520 and 760-1300 MHz, AM, NBFM, and WBFM. Has 300 channels in 10 banks of 30, backed up by conventional 9 volt alkaline battery. Any channel can be designated the priority channel. Scans and searches fast. Lots of well designed features, like 10 pairs of search limits, Lockout Review, default search increment and emission mode. Sound Squelch allows skipping dead carriers during search or scan. Metal cabinet, good internal construction and shielding, but no mobile mounting bracket or DC power cord. Soft touch membrane keyboard. Good sensitivity and selectivity. Very good radio. See "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2004 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, February 1987, and Monitoring Times, March 1987.

2065. Re: A question for nickle Generals and Extras. Would you renew your license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-03 03:17:23 UTC
From: Noon-Air <Noon-Air@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ev-dndCkKqfeETXZnZ2dnUVZ_qednZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8644
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
"yea right" <spam@spam.spam> wrote in message
news:pan.2006.07.02.19.48.57.814590@spam.spam...
> On Fri, 30 Jun 2006 23:21:48 +0000, Slow Code wrote:
>> If the 13 and 20 wpm CW exams came back and you had to pass the proper
>> code exam for your class to renew, 13 wpm for general, 20 wpm for extra,
>> would you renew your license?
>
> Why would they have use slow down? I say raise it to 35 and 40wpm
>
They have to dumb it down so there is no child left behind.
```

2066. Re: A question for nickle Generals and Extras. Would you renew your license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-03 04:08:49 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151899729.736025.194270@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8645
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Noon-Air wrote:
> "yea right" <spam@spam.spam> wrote in message
> news:pan.2006.07.02.19.48.57.814590@spam.spam...
> > On Fri, 30 Jun 2006 23:21:48 +0000, Slow Code wrote:
> >> If the 13 and 20 wpm CW exams came back and you had to pass the proper
> >> code exam for your class to renew, 13 wpm for general, 20 wpm for extra,
> >> would you renew your license?
> >
> > Why would they have use slow down? I say raise it to 35 and 40wpm
> >
> They have to dumb it down so there is no child left behind.
no body isin favor of dumbing down the ARS after all no one ismaking
you behave as badly as you do
```

2067. Re: A question for nickle Generals and Extras. Would you renew your license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-03 12:18:50 UTC
From: Noon-Air <Noon-Air@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <i6CdnZl97a63ljTZnZ2dnUVZ_rSdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8646
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
"an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1151899729.736025.194270@b68g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Noon-Air wrote:
>> "yea right" <spam@spam.spam> wrote in message
>> news:pan.2006.07.02.19.48.57.814590@spam.spam...
>> > On Fri, 30 Jun 2006 23:21:48 +0000, Slow Code wrote:
>> >> If the 13 and 20 wpm CW exams came back and you had to pass the proper
>> >> code exam for your class to renew, 13 wpm for general, 20 wpm for
>> >> extra,
>> >> would you renew your license?
>> >
>> > Why would they have use slow down? I say raise it to 35 and 40wpm
>> >
>> They have to dumb it down so there is no child left behind.
> no body isin favor of dumbing down the ARS after all no one ismaking
> you behave as badly as you do
>

Yeah, right.....welcome to my killfile
```

2068. Re: A question for nickle Generals and Extras. Would you renew your license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-03 14:04:26 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <fu8ia2530cnhel6bc25jducj3fgk1knpue@4ax.com>
Source record: 8647
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 2 Jul 2006 22:17:23 -0500, "Noon-Air" <Noon-Air@comcast.net>
wrote:

>They have to dumb it down so there is no child left behind.

Except the guy who came up with that idea - he got left behind on the
short bus.

2069. STS-121 launch trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-03 16:39:53 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151944793.529214.169700@j8g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8574
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,sci.space.shuttle,sci.space.tech,sci.space.station,rec.radio.scanner

```
Heads up - STS-121 problems
---------------------------

It is Monday, 12:24pm EDT (1624z).
The S-121 Management Team is about to begin a briefing that will be
televised on NASA TV. The purpose of the briefing is to explain the
status of the launch because of the insulation cracks that have been
found on the ET this morning and on some brackets.
The briefing is scheduled for "not before 1:00pm" today (1700z).

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/
```

2070. STS-121 Launch trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-03 16:41:34 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151944894.628629.265230@h44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8648
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,sci.space.shuttle,sci.space.station,rec.radio.scanner

```
Heads up - STS-121 problems
---------------------------

It is Monday, 12:24pm EDT (1624z).
The S-121 Management Team is about to begin a briefing that will be
televised on NASA TV. The purpose of the briefing is to explain the
status of the launch because of the insulation cracks that have been
found on the ET this morning and on some brackets.
The briefing is scheduled for "not before 1:00pm" today (1700z).

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/
```

2071. Re: STS-121 Launch trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-03 17:31:05 UTC
From: Brian Thorn <bthorn64@cox.net>
Message-ID: <51lia2d9f6o5l3bmmmbaaqo7cco0ntl76o@4ax.com>
Source record: 8649
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,sci.space.shuttle,sci.space.station,rec.radio.scanner

On 3 Jul 2006 09:41:34 -0700, "Allan.Stern@gmail.com"
<Allan.Stern@gmail.com> wrote:

>Heads up - STS-121 problems
>---------------------------
>
>It is Monday, 12:24pm EDT (1624z).
> The S-121 Management Team is about to begin a briefing that will be
>televised on NASA TV. The purpose of the briefing is to explain the
>status of the launch because of the insulation cracks that have been
>found on the ET this morning and on some brackets.

Sounds like they're proceeding with tomorrow's launch attempt, but
will be trying to get a better look at the cracked foam area and make
sure there's no ice formation there after tanking.

Brian

2072. Re: STS-121 Launch trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-03 17:35:32 UTC
From: Bob Haller <hallerb@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1151948132.670474.280470@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8650
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,sci.space.shuttle,sci.space.station,rec.radio.scanner

> Sounds like they're proceeding with tomorrow's launch attempt, but
> will be trying to get a better look at the cracked foam area and make
> sure there's no ice formation there after tanking.
>
> Brian

Insanity prevails at NASA, if the foam is falling off the vehicle on
the pad what will launch do to it?

2073. homebase scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-03 20:29:03 UTC
From: MrBen <pr0wler5@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1151958543.166547.18990@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8551

hi, i have a pro2042 homebase scanner. i have heard there is some sort of software you can get to enable more channels. does anyone know if this is true? also, do any of the new digital scanners work in England ?

2074. Re: A question for nickle Generals and Extras. Would you renew your license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-03 20:57:42 UTC
From: EchoBlip <EB@ruckmail.com>
Message-ID: <axfqg.59812$Lm5.8798@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 8552
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Yup, I stopped at the Advanced and then the code requirements for Extra
were lifted. So there I stopped. Might do it anyways for the extra few KC
the license grants me.

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:gmipg.722$ye3.449@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Phony's can ignore. This question doesn't apply to no-codes.
>
>
> Nickle Generals and Extras:
>
> If the 13 and 20 wpm CW exams came back and you had to pass the proper
> code exam for your class to renew, 13 wpm for general, 20 wpm for extra,
> would you renew your license?
>
>

2075. Trunking Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-03 22:59:02 UTC
From: George-NY <geonbc@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <fjhqg.35$0Y6.0@fe10.lga>
Source record: 8553

Hi All.
I have a RS PRO-97 scanner and trying to enter a group of trunking
frequencies. When I enter them just the way the book says and hit scan it
goes to one channel and just buzzez. It just seems to be in regular scan
and not trunktracer mode.
The system I am putting into the scanner is an EDACS 800MHZ trunking system.
Any help is appreciated.
George-NY

2076. Re: Trunking Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-04 00:09:54 UTC
From: Bruce Markowitz <scosgt@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <kliqg.89636$mF2.15048@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 8554

You REALLY need to use the WIN97 software. Programming a trunking system by
hand is almost impossible,
Are you trying to do Port Authority or Nassau?
"George-NY" <geonbc@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:fjhqg.35$0Y6.0@fe10.lga...
> Hi All.
> I have a RS PRO-97 scanner and trying to enter a group of trunking
> frequencies. When I enter them just the way the book says and hit scan it
> goes to one channel and just buzzez. It just seems to be in regular scan
> and not trunktracer mode.
> The system I am putting into the scanner is an EDACS 800MHZ trunking
system.
> Any help is appreciated.
> George-NY
>
>

2077. Re: Trunking Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-04 00:29:54 UTC
From: George-NY <geonbc@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <tEiqg.69$mu7.16@fe09.lga>
Source record: 8555

Hi Bruce
Thanks for reply. I am programing Nassau County. I programed my PRO-95 and
it worked great but programing the PRO-97 does no work.
George-NY
"Bruce Markowitz" <scosgt@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:kliqg.89636$mF2.15048@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> You REALLY need to use the WIN97 software. Programming a trunking system
by
> hand is almost impossible,
> Are you trying to do Port Authority or Nassau?
> "George-NY" <geonbc@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:fjhqg.35$0Y6.0@fe10.lga...
> > Hi All.
> > I have a RS PRO-97 scanner and trying to enter a group of trunking
> > frequencies. When I enter them just the way the book says and hit scan
it
> > goes to one channel and just buzzez. It just seems to be in regular
scan
> > and not trunktracer mode.
> > The system I am putting into the scanner is an EDACS 800MHZ trunking
> system.
> > Any help is appreciated.
> > George-NY
> >
> >
>
>

2078. Re: Trunking Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-04 01:57:16 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <4mija2tsfu2k60cthlhb0ke4bj3jn1o3c3@4ax.com>
Source record: 8556

On Mon, 3 Jul 2006 20:29:54 -0400, "George-NY" <geonbc@optonline.net>
wrote:

>Thanks for reply. I am programing Nassau County. I programed my PRO-95 and
>it worked great but programing the PRO-97 does no work.

Are you sure that all the channels AND the bank are set to EDACS? And
that the frequencies are in the correct order (which wouldn't give you
the problem you have, but would give you a problem)?

2079. Re: Bearcat 180 user manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-04 04:00:35 UTC
From: Skywalker356 <Skywalker356.2aez30@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Skywalker356.2aez30@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 8558

widget9545@gmail.com Wrote:
> I have a Bearcat 180, BC-180, scanner but I don't have the uses manual.
> I have tried looking on different website but haven't found anything.
> I was wondering if anyone knows where I can find the manual?

I emailed you a copy to the above address in PDF format

--
Skywalker356

2080. Re: Trunking Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-04 04:30:24 UTC
From: George-NY <geonbc@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3amqg.620$HI7.358@fe12.lga>
Source record: 8557

Thank you Bruce and Al for taking your time to help me. I checked over what
I had entered and all was set to ED except the control. It was set to MOT.
How that happened, I have no idea but it is now working.
Thanks again.
George-NY

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:4mija2tsfu2k60cthlhb0ke4bj3jn1o3c3@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 3 Jul 2006 20:29:54 -0400, "George-NY" <geonbc@optonline.net>
> Are you sure that all the channels AND the bank are set to EDACS? And
> that the frequencies are in the correct order (which wouldn't give you
> the problem you have, but would give you a problem)?

2081. Saturday Shuttle Pre-launch has begun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-04 11:48:24 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152013704.032794.220250@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8559
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

STS-121: Saturday pre-launch activities have begun

It is Sat, 7:34am EDT (1134z).
Range Clearance activities have begun here in the NASA-KSC area, in preparation for the Space Shuttle STS-121 launch planned to take place at 2:38pm EDT (1838z) today.
"USCG Range Control" has been up on 157.075 getting ops and position from various CG assets, including "CG Bear Cove" and "CG Vessel 438."
"CG Station Canaveral" has been up on 157.175, in comms with CG Auxiliary vessels, incl "CG Vessel 2787" and CG Vessel 1747." He is also in comms with "CG Vessel 25442" on 157.15. And he is talking with "CG Cutter Kingfisher" and with "CG Vessel 301" on 157.075.
In addition the Combat Air Patrol is active over KSC. Eglin AFB F-15s "ARROW 45" and "ARROW 46" as well as "Tanker 11" are up with Miami Ctr on 343.7; and they are engaged in air-air comms on 252.0.
At the moment ARROW 46 is getting topped off by Tanker 11.
Lastly "Search 01," one of the NASA UH-1H helos, has just departed Patrick AFB, switching from the tower freq 133.75 to Cape Control freq 133.8, to begin his mission over the Cape.
As for HF comms, there have been test comms on the Solid Rocket Booster ships' freq 5711.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KTTS)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/ (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/ (My postings)

2082. Re: Saturday Shuttle Pre-launch has begun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-04 11:53:02 UTC
From: Rob Arndt <B44Thunder@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152013982.200685.235010@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8560
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Countdown for another catastrophe??? NASA should stand for: Not Another
Shuttle Accident!!!

Rob ;(

2083. Question of price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-04 12:11:51 UTC
From: clfe <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44aa5afb$0$12723$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 8561

Anyone care to venture an approximate current worth on a

Uniden 144 XL(T) - can't recall if it had a T or not. Anyway, it is a 20 channel scanner
Realistic Pro - 2020 - also a 20 channel scanner

Thanks

2084. Re: Saturday Shuttle Pre-launch has begun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-04 12:15:58 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <44AA5BFE.B15C722B@milestones.com>
Source record: 8562
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Allan.Stern@gmail.com" wrote:

> STS-121: Saturday pre-launch activities have begun
> --
>
> It is Sat, 7:34am EDT (1134z).
> Range Clearance activities have begun here in the NASA-KSC area, in
> preparation for the Space Shuttle STS-121 launch planned to take place
> at 2:38pm EDT (1838z) today.
> "USCG Range Control" has been up on 157.075 getting ops and position
> from various CG assets, including "CG Bear Cove" and "CG Vessel 438."
> "CG Station Canaveral" has been up on 157.175, in comms with CG
> Auxiliary vessels, incl "CG Vessel 2787" and CG Vessel 1747." He is
> also in comms with "CG Vessel 25442" on 157.15. And he is talking with
> "CG Cutter Kingfisher" and with "CG Vessel 301" on 157.075.
> In addition the Combat Air Patrol is active over KSC. Eglin AFB
> F-15s "ARROW 45" and "ARROW 46" as well as "Tanker 11" are up with
> Miami Ctr on 343.7; and they are engaged in air-air comms on 252.0.
> At the moment ARROW 46 is getting topped off by Tanker 11.
> Lastly "Search 01," one of the NASA UH-1H helos, has just departed
> Patrick AFB, switching from the tower freq 133.75 to Cape Control freq
> 133.8, to begin his mission over the Cape.
> As for HF comms, there have been test comms on the Solid Rocket
> Booster ships' freq 5711.

Is this a repost Allan? It's Tuesday, July 4.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2085. CORRECTION: My STS-121 post is for TODAY, Tues, not Sat.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-04 12:31:42 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152016302.806556.108330@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8563
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Corrected version:

STS-121: Tuesday pre-launch activities have begun

It is Tues, 7:34am EDT (1134z).
Range Clearance activities have begun here in the NASA-KSC area, in preparation for the Space Shuttle STS-121 launch planned to take place at 2:38pm EDT (1838z) today.
"USCG Range Control" has been up on 157.075 getting ops and position from various CG assets, including "CG Bear Cove" and "CG Vessel 438."
"CG Station Canaveral" has been up on 157.175, in comms with CG Auxiliary vessels, incl "CG Vessel 2787" and CG Vessel 1747." He is also in comms with "CG Vessel 25442" on 157.15. And he is talking with "CG Cutter Kingfisher" and with "CG Vessel 301" on 157.075.
In addition the Combat Air Patrol is active over KSC. Eglin AFB F-15s "ARROW 45" and "ARROW 46" as well as "Tanker 11" are up with Miami Ctr on 343.7; and they are engaged in air-air comms on 252.0.
At the moment ARROW 46 is getting topped off by Tanker 11.
Lastly "Search 01," one of the NASA UH-1H helos, has just departed Patrick AFB, switching from the tower freq 133.75 to Cape Control freq 133.8, to begin his mission over the Cape.
As for HF, there have been test counts and some radio checks on SRB recovery vessel freq 5711 kHz USB.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KTTS)
Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
Worldwide Military HF Communications
Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/ (My Freqs)
http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/ (My postings)

2086. Re: Shuttle Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-04 13:57:01 UTC
From: Scott Daniells <no.thanks>
Message-ID: <14idnWVfJZMy7jfZRVnyjQ@bt.com>
Source record: 8564

Try the following frequencies. They are used from when the crew enter the shuttle until about 2 hours after launch, and again from 3 hours before landing until they finally land.

259.7 (AM) - Shuttle Air-Ground Primary - This is the only frq I've heard the shuttle on out of the Standard shuttle FRQs but it's worth monitoring the others too
296.8 (AM) - Shuttle A-G Backup and also Orbiter - Suit during spacewalk
279.0 (AM) - Shuttle A-G Backup and Suit - Suit and Suit - Orbiter

I've also been recommended to listen to 251.875

I've heard discovery trying to contact ISS on their frequencies while on orbit before... so worth checking these:

143.625 (NFM) - VHF1
130.167 (NFM) - VHF2
121.75 (NFM) - Low Power backup
139.208 (NFM) Backup FRQ for VHF 1 but I've never heard it used

The Kvant System on ISS uses the followinf frequencies and is occasionally used for voice downlink according to some lists:
922.76 (NFM)
768.975 (NFM)

-Scott

<usmarine0311@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:lsdv92lh0k6m0nijpaab57sck0et82abmt@4ax.com...
> Anyone have a list of shuttle frequencies for the July 1st launch??? I
> have a list that was used in the past but would like to know any for
> this launch.
>
> Thanks

2087. Trade: PRO-2004 for G.E. Superadio I or II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-04 14:59:07 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <%mvqg.59973$Lm5.47318@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 8565

Anybody have a Superadio I or II they would trade for an excellent condition PRO-2004? PRO-2004 has bright backlight, cell modification done, and original box and manual. Let me know.

Frank

2088. Re: Question of price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-04 15:28:05 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <uKGdnaN6V9yaFDfZnZ2dnUVZ_radnZ2d@nventure.com>
Source record: 8567

"clfe" <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com> wrote in message
news:44aa5afb$0$12723$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net...
> Anyone care to venture an approximate current worth on a
>
> Uniden 144 XL(T) - can't recall if it had a T or not. Anyway, it is a 20
> channel scanner
> Realistic Pro - 2020 - also a 20 channel scanner
>
> Thanks
>
>

Take a look on Ebay, see what they, or something close seems to be getting.
--
"Everything in excess! To enjoy the flavor of life, take big bites.
Moderation is for monks."
[Lazarus Long]

2089. Re: Saturday Shuttle Pre-launch has begun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-04 15:30:58 UTC
From: helmsman <helmsman@royaloak.net>
Message-ID: <lb2la294ngcg5a42fupmq657efnd670n9d@4ax.com>
Source record: 8566
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 4 Jul 2006 04:48:24 -0700, "Allan.Stern@gmail.com"
<Allan.Stern@gmail.com> wrote:

>STS-121: Saturday pre-launch activities have begun
>--
>
>It is Sat, 7:34am EDT (1134z).
> Range Clearance activities have begun here in the NASA-KSC area, in
>preparation for the Space Shuttle STS-121 launch planned to take place
>at 2:38pm EDT (1838z) today.
> "USCG Range Control" has been up on 157.075 getting ops and position
>from various CG assets, including "CG Bear Cove" and "CG Vessel 438."
> "CG Station Canaveral" has been up on 157.175, in comms with CG
>Auxiliary vessels, incl "CG Vessel 2787" and CG Vessel 1747." He is
>also in comms with "CG Vessel 25442" on 157.15. And he is talking with
>"CG Cutter Kingfisher" and with "CG Vessel 301" on 157.075.
> In addition the Combat Air Patrol is active over KSC. Eglin AFB
>F-15s "ARROW 45" and "ARROW 46" as well as "Tanker 11" are up with
>Miami Ctr on 343.7; and they are engaged in air-air comms on 252.0.
>At the moment ARROW 46 is getting topped off by Tanker 11.
> Lastly "Search 01," one of the NASA UH-1H helos, has just departed
>Patrick AFB, switching from the tower freq 133.75 to Cape Control freq
>133.8, to begin his mission over the Cape.
> As for HF comms, there have been test comms on the Solid Rocket
>Booster ships' freq 5711.
>
>AL STERN Satellite Beach FL (28-11N 80-36W) monitoring
> Patrick AFB (KCOF) NASA-KSC Shuttle Landing Fac (KTTS)
> Avon Park Bombing Range (KAGR) Cape Canaveral AFS (KXMR)
> JSTARS E-8 Acft Integration Facility, Melbourne IAP (KMLB)
> Worldwide Military HF Communications
> Life Member: Missile, Space and Range Pioneers.
> http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/ (My Freqs)
> http://hometown.aol.com/scanaddict/index.html (My Equipment)
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/ (My postings)

The center pic on this page
http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/
has errors and will not show with Netscape.

2090. Re: Saturday Shuttle Pre-launch has begun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-04 16:27:01 UTC
From: Mike <no@where.man>
Message-ID: <no-ECB2B2.12270104072006@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 8568
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <lb2la294ngcg5a42fupmq657efnd670n9d@4ax.com>,
helmsman <helmsman@royaloak.net> wrote:

> On 4 Jul 2006 04:48:24 -0700, "Allan.Stern@gmail.com"
> <Allan.Stern@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> The center pic on this page
> http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/
> has errors and will not show with Netscape.

Sounds like a Netscape problem. Works fine here in Safari.

Mike

2091. Re: A question for nickle Generals and Extras. Would you renew your license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-04 16:29:58 UTC
From: JB <1963@cybertime.net>
Message-ID: <e8e6c8$fr5$1@madmax.keyway.net>
Source record: 8569
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> Nickle Generals and Extras:
>
> If the 13 and 20 wpm CW exams came back and you had to pass the proper
> code exam for your class to renew, 13 wpm for general, 20 wpm for extra,
> would you renew your license?

I always liked the idea of the 13/20 wpm requirements because it did push us to greater heights of achievment.

Some want to be Doctors and some want to be rice pickers. That should not be taken away from us. In one country I recall, it was mandated that ALL would be rice pickers at the barrel of an AK47 by sadistic nut jobs with a grudge against achievers. Of course the result was 2 classes: The bullies and the rice pickers. Perhaps the bullies had little regard for anything but rice and violence.

Mastering the code and advancing in speed requires determination and control of ones emotional state to allow the information to flow. This is very difficult for beligerant people to do, so they complain, bitch and cajole rather than just doing what needed to be done. As for the technical requirements. Not enough. Even beligerant people can learn to field strip a rifle.

2092. Re: A question for nickle Generals and Extras. Would you renew your license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-04 16:49:13 UTC
From: JB <1963@cybertime.net>
Message-ID: <e8e6cb$fr5$2@madmax.keyway.net>
Source record: 8570
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

One of the greatest mistakes people make is to try to analyze and manage things beyond their control. The ear/brain thing people are talking about is irrelevant.

The process is there if you let it happen. Designed by The Creator. Forget about concentration, forget about analysis. How many of you really think about all the connecting tissues that have to be pulled in order to just walk? Just start writing down on paper what you are hearing. Don't think about it at all. Above all find the peace to release the anxiety of missing a word or character and just continue. This is where the self-control comes in. Soon you will find the errors less and less and you will be able to fill in the missing characters and words by memory.

Find the peace of Jesus and all is yours.

<w7dahawk@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1151867034.420762.224550@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com...
> Dave, you were wasting your time & effort on the wankers in here.
>
> Dave Platt wrote:
> > In article <xKBpg.1228$ye3.929@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> > Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >
> > >What? I ask a friendly question as to who would re-new their license
if
> > >Code speeds were increased to what they should be and I get called a
> > >troll. Well eat shit and die. I got a real Extra class license. You
> > >nickle hams are really unfriendly assholes sometimes.
> >
> > Well, Slow Code, I'll give you a straight (and I hope friendly) answer
> > to your question. I'll then give you a straight (and I hope not-
> > unfriendly) explanation as to why I believe people are calling you a
> > troll.
> >
> > The first answer: well, I think I'd certainly *try* to renew my
> > license. My CW is distinctly rusty - I use it only rarely, and I'm
> > not sure I've ever managed to copy 13 WPM (let alone 20) well enough
> > to pass the one-minute-error-free barrier, or be able to answer the
> > necessary number of questions. So, it'd take a bunch of listening and
> > practice to reach that level.
> >
> > I'm not sure I have enough time free to do it. I've got a busy life,
> > work full-time plus a bit, and much of my ham-radio playing time goes

> > into other areas of the service (I'm an ARES/RACES AEC for my city, a
> > mutual-aid comms responder for the county, and I've put in a huge
> > boatload of hours over the past couple of years helping redesign and
> > rebuild and debug the local hospital's repeater system). Getting
> > really serious about doing high-speed CW would consume a lot of time,
> > which would necessarily subtract from my ability to (e.g.) spend many
> > hours of circuit analysis and modelling and experimentation to figure
> > out why the fancy commercial repeaters we bought had such
> > lousy-sounding audio (turns out the designer had mis-used the
> > discriminator IC, forcing it to "clip" the signal internally, *and*
> > had messed up the design of the de-emphasis network.)
> >
> > And, I have no assurance that I'd ever reach that level. As several
> > other people have commented, it's perfectly possible for people to
> > work, hard and honestly, at copying CW for years, and never be able to
> > reach the 13 WPM level. I strongly suspect that to some extent,
> > CW-copying is tied to certain sorts of neurological organization in
> > the ear/brain system - some people may be born with more potential
> > ability to handle high-speed CW than others. Effort or no, some
> > people seem unable to learn to copy CW at all, others can do so but
> > never become very good at it, and *many* people report hitting the "13
> > WPM wall" and never being able to copy reliably at rates much faster
> > than that.
> >
> > Now, in your previous epistles on the subject, you and your nym-clone
> > were advocating not only requiring testing for 13 WPM (General) and 20
> > WPM (Extra), but also making the no-code license a one-year
> > nonrenewable. If that proposal were accepted, there's a strong
> > possibility that I'd end up being kicked off of the air the next time
> > my license came due... either because I was unable to push up to 13
> > WPM no matter how hard I tried, or because I'd devoted my time to
> > other aspects of ham radio and hadn't taken time to study-up.
> >
> > So - that's my answer. I might end up being able to renew my license
> > (although probably not at the 20 WPM level), I might fail the CW test,
> > or I might just decide that the "old boy's club" had made it clear
> > that they didn't want anybody other than rabid CW operators on the
> > air, and decide to go do something else productive with my time.
> >
> > Now - that being said - let's address why you were being called a
> > troll. I think it's because it's quite clear to people reading these
> > threads that you have a serious agenda, sir. You've made several
> > attempts (apparently under several posting IDs - some refer to these
> > as "sock puppets") to drum up support for your CW Uber Alles rules
> > change proposal. You've scoffed at, or simply ignored, the many
> > people who have pointed out that your proposed changes are 180 degrees
> > out of phase with the international trends (i.e. the WARC rules), and
> > with the FCC's publicly-stated feelings on the matter, and that your
> > proposals are essentially equivalent to ones which have already been
> > ruled out by the Powers That Be.

> >
> > In short, the "what if?" question you asked is entirely hypothetical.
> > There's just no chance at all that the FCC would enact the sort of
> > CW-centric licensing rules you have proposed. Ain't gonna happen.
> > Your asking questions about "well, if it _did_, what would you do" is
> > probably part of why you're being called a troll.
> >
> > Numerous people have responded to you, expressing their opinions that
> > your rules would decimate the ranks of amateur radio by forcing off of
> > the air a large percentage of today's licensed operators. Your
> > "friendly question" seems to be intended to try to address that
> > question, but you phrased your inquiry in somewhat-loaded terms, and
> > in a way which almost guarantees that you won't receive an accurate
> > and unbiased set of answers which actually represent the feelings and
> > opinions of this newsgroup's readers.
> >
> > To sum it up, your way of presenting your agenda probably leads people
> > to believe that you aren't serious about debating or discussing the
> > issue... and that's probably another part of why you're being called a
> > troll.
> >
> > I agree with you that the Amateur Radio Service (and hobby) benefits
> > greatly from being hams to like to study, learn, advance their skills,
> > and use what they know. That's one of the specific purposes of amateur
> > radio here in the U.S., and I think it's great.
> >
> > I *disagree* with you that the ability to learn to copy CW at 13 - 20
> > WPM is, or should be, the "litmus test" which decides whether a person
> > is Worthy of being a ham.
> >
> > Your fixation on CW is, I think, actively interfering with your
> > ability to support ham radio by promoting *all* aspects of technical
> > and operational learning. I believe that your attitude hurts ham
> > radio more than it helps.
> >
> > That's my $0.05 worth, adjusted for inflation. Take it for what it's
> > worth for you.
> >
> > [and, I'm sorry to say, based on your past postings I don't really
> > expect you to address the meat of what I've said. I expect that
> > you'll toss off a one- or two-liner, dismiss what I've written, and
> > keep on as you have been. I'd be pleasantly surprised to be wrong
> > about this!]
> >
> > --
> > Dave Platt <dplatt@radagast.org> AE6EO
> > Hosting the Jade Warrior home page: http://www.radagast.org/jade-warrior
> > I do _not_ wish to receive unsolicited commercial email, and I will
> > boycott any company which has the gall to send me such ads!
>

2093. C-130s up on HF freq 9132 supporting Shuttle launch here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-04 17:10:33 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152033033.003961.106760@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8571
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.space.shuttle

```
C-130s using freq 9132 kHz USB for STS-121 support
--------------------------------------------------

It is 12:58pm EDT (1658z).
C-130 "King 1" (YL voice) just came up on 10780 kHz USB with "King 3"
who requested the working freq. King 1 passed the freq 9132 kHz USB.
As I type this King 2 is up with Cape Radio on 9132.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/
```

2094. Re: Saturday Shuttle Pre-launch has begun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-05 03:30:49 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <JnGqg.104285$A8.7454@clgrps12>
Source record: 8572
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

helmsman wrote:

> The center pic on this page
> http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/
> has errors and will not show with Netscape.

Works fine here....

mike

2095. Re: Trunking Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-05 12:40:08 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <bocna2p3enf9goe48rc28v5r86t2jqm1a2@4ax.com>
Source record: 8575

On Tue, 4 Jul 2006 00:30:24 -0400, "George-NY" <geonbc@optonline.net>
wrote:

>Thank you Bruce and Al for taking your time to help me. I checked over what
>I had entered and all was set to ED except the control. It was set to MOT.
>How that happened, I have no idea

One push of the button too many or one too few. :)

2096. Re: A question for nickle Generals and Extras. Would you renew your license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-05 19:00:00 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Q_Tqg.20$vO.1@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8576
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"clfe" <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com> wrote in
news:44a81178$0$12726$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net:

> "L. M. Rappaport" <nospam@invalid.org> wrote in message
> news:umnfa2h7ion9vbqpdp84ts6491978vb92p@4ax.com...
>> On Fri, 30 Jun 2006 23:21:48 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote
>> (with possible editing):
>>
>>>Phony's can ignore. This question doesn't apply to no-codes.
>>>
>>>
>>>Nickle Generals and Extras:
>>>
>>>If the 13 and 20 wpm CW exams came back and you had to pass the proper
>>>code exam for your class to renew, 13 wpm for general, 20 wpm for
>>>extra, would you renew your license?
>>
>> Yes, I would. I admit it would take a bit of practice to get back to
>> 20 wpm, but I would do it.
>> --
>>
>> 73,
>> Larry W1HJF
>> rapp at lmr dot com
>
> Ya know what they say, if ya don't use it - ya lose it. We seem to lose
> track of more than code. Many lose track of some of the theory, formulas
> and so on they've learned to get their license. There are times you
> don't seem to need it, but just when you do - you stumble for it. It is
> best to "try" to stay as active as you can in some aspect of radio. It
> is a shame to study, test, get your license only to let it all be for
> naught. Even by going through the news groups and reading the "tech"
> aspects of repairing and so on, you can at least keep that part of it
> alive.
>
> Just my 2 cents.
>
> Lou - KA3FLU

That is why I have always proposed that licenses shouldn't be
automatically renewable. When a license expires or is just about to
expire the ham must retake and pass all exam elements required for that
license class before it can be renewed again.

99% of the hams on usenet hate my proposal. They think you shouldn't have to be proficient at anything to have a ham radio license. I think the reason all this came about was after ham radio was dumbed down by restructuring alot of CB'ers were able to get licensed and oppose anything that might required you work to be proficient and valuable asset to the service.

SC

2097. Re: A question for nickle Generals and Extras. Would you renew your license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-05 19:00:02 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <S_Tqg.21$vO.16@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8577
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"JB" <1963@cybertime.net> wrote in news:e8e6c8$fr5$1@madmax.keyway.net:

>> Nickle Generals and Extras:
>>
>> If the 13 and 20 wpm CW exams came back and you had to pass the proper
>> code exam for your class to renew, 13 wpm for general, 20 wpm for
>> extra, would you renew your license?
>
> I always liked the idea of the 13/20 wpm requirements because it did
> push us to greater heights of achievment.
>
> Some want to be Doctors and some want to be rice pickers. That should
> not be taken away from us. In one country I recall, it was mandated
> that ALL would be rice pickers at the barrel of an AK47 by sadistic nut
> jobs with a grudge against achievers. Of course the result was 2
> classes: The bullies and the rice pickers. Perhaps the bullies had
> little regard for anything but rice and violence.
>
> Mastering the code and advancing in speed requires determination and
> control of ones emotional state to allow the information to flow. This
> is very difficult for beligerant people to do, so they complain, bitch
> and cajole rather than just doing what needed to be done. As for the
> technical requirements. Not enough. Even beligerant people can learn
> to field strip a rifle.
>
>

Did rice production increase?

sc

2098. Re: A question for nickle Generals and Extras. Would you renew your license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-05 19:00:06 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <W_Tqg.22$vO.10@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8578
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"w7dahawk@gmail.com" <w7dahawk@gmail.com> wrote in
news:1151867034.420762.224550@v61g2000cwv.googlegroups.com:

> Dave, you were wasting your time & effort on the wankers in here.
>
> Dave Platt wrote:
>> In article <xKBpg.1228$ye3.929@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>> Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>> >What? I ask a friendly question as to who would re-new their license
>> >if Code speeds were increased to what they should be and I get called
>> >a troll. Well eat shit and die. I got a real Extra class license.
>> >You nickle hams are really unfriendly assholes sometimes.
>>
>> Well, Slow Code, I'll give you a straight (and I hope friendly) answer
>> to your question. I'll then give you a straight (and I hope not-
>> unfriendly) explanation as to why I believe people are calling you a
>> troll.
>>
>> The first answer: well, I think I'd certainly *try* to renew my
>> license. My CW is distinctly rusty - I use it only rarely, and I'm
>> not sure I've ever managed to copy 13 WPM (let alone 20) well enough
>> to pass the one-minute-error-free barrier, or be able to answer the
>> necessary number of questions. So, it'd take a bunch of listening and
>> practice to reach that level.
>>
>> I'm not sure I have enough time free to do it. I've got a busy life,
>> work full-time plus a bit, and much of my ham-radio playing time goes
>> into other areas of the service (I'm an ARES/RACES AEC for my city, a
>> mutual-aid comms responder for the county, and I've put in a huge
>> boatload of hours over the past couple of years helping redesign and
>> rebuild and debug the local hospital's repeater system). Getting
>> really serious about doing high-speed CW would consume a lot of time,
>> which would necessarily subtract from my ability to (e.g.) spend many
>> hours of circuit analysis and modelling and experimentation to figure
>> out why the fancy commercial repeaters we bought had such
>> lousy-sounding audio (turns out the designer had mis-used the
>> discriminator IC, forcing it to "clip" the signal internally, *and*
>> had messed up the design of the de-emphasis network.)
>>
>> And, I have no assurance that I'd ever reach that level. As several
>> other people have commented, it's perfectly possible for people to

>> work, hard and honestly, at copying CW for years, and never be able to
>> reach the 13 WPM level. I strongly suspect that to some extent,
>> CW-copying is tied to certain sorts of neurological organization in
>> the ear/brain system - some people may be born with more potential
>> ability to handle high-speed CW than others. Effort or no, some
>> people seem unable to learn to copy CW at all, others can do so but
>> never become very good at it, and *many* people report hitting the "13
>> WPM wall" and never being able to copy reliably at rates much faster
>> than that.
>>
>> Now, in your previous epistles on the subject, you and your nym-clone
>> were advocating not only requiring testing for 13 WPM (General) and 20
>> WPM (Extra), but also making the no-code license a one-year
>> nonrenewable. If that proposal were accepted, there's a strong
>> possibility that I'd end up being kicked off of the air the next time
>> my license came due... either because I was unable to push up to 13
>> WPM no matter how hard I tried, or because I'd devoted my time to
>> other aspects of ham radio and hadn't taken time to study-up.
>>
>> So - that's my answer. I might end up being able to renew my license
>> (although probably not at the 20 WPM level), I might fail the CW test,
>> or I might just decide that the "old boy's club" had made it clear
>> that they didn't want anybody other than rabid CW operators on the
>> air, and decide to go do something else productive with my time.
>>
>> Now - that being said - let's address why you were being called a
>> troll. I think it's because it's quite clear to people reading these
>> threads that you have a serious agenda, sir. You've made several
>> attempts (apparently under several posting IDs - some refer to these
>> as "sock puppets") to drum up support for your CW Uber Alles rules
>> change proposal. You've scoffed at, or simply ignored, the many
>> people who have pointed out that your proposed changes are 180 degrees
>> out of phase with the international trends (i.e. the WARC rules), and
>> with the FCC's publicly-stated feelings on the matter, and that your
>> proposals are essentially equivalent to ones which have already been
>> ruled out by the Powers That Be.
>>
>> In short, the "what if?" question you asked is entirely hypothetical.
>> There's just no chance at all that the FCC would enact the sort of
>> CW-centric licensing rules you have proposed. Ain't gonna happen.
>> Your asking questions about "well, if it _did_, what would you do" is
>> probably part of why you're being called a troll.
>>
>> Numerous people have responded to you, expressing their opinions that
>> your rules would decimate the ranks of amateur radio by forcing off of
>> the air a large percentage of today's licensed operators. Your
>> "friendly question" seems to be intended to try to address that
>> question, but you phrased your inquiry in somewhat-loaded terms, and
>> in a way which almost guarantees that you won't receive an accurate
>> and unbiased set of answers which actually represent the feelings and

>> opinions of this newsgroup's readers.
>>
>> To sum it up, your way of presenting your agenda probably leads people
>> to believe that you aren't serious about debating or discussing the
>> issue... and that's probably another part of why you're being called a
>> troll.
>>
>> I agree with you that the Amateur Radio Service (and hobby) benefits
>> greatly from being hams to like to study, learn, advance their skills,
>> and use what they know. That's one of the specific purposes of amateur
>> radio here in the U.S., and I think it's great.
>>
>> I *disagree* with you that the ability to learn to copy CW at 13 - 20
>> WPM is, or should be, the "litmus test" which decides whether a person
>> is Worthy of being a ham.
>>
>> Your fixation on CW is, I think, actively interfering with your
>> ability to support ham radio by promoting *all* aspects of technical
>> and operational learning. I believe that your attitude hurts ham
>> radio more than it helps.
>>
>> That's my $0.05 worth, adjusted for inflation. Take it for what it's
>> worth for you.

I know you're right.

Hams just want to be appliance operators these days and they don't want license exams that will interfere with them getting to those appliances even though it means being less worthy. I don't see anyone modernizing like everyone says is happening. They just get their licenses and grab a microphone. What percentage of hams have a computer connected to a radio? Probably less than 30%. Hams don't want to modernize. Guess we just have live with inferior operators on the bands from here on out. Then again, maybe hams shouldn't be required to be knowledgable or have skills. Requiring skill and knowledge is too old skool. Everything must be outcome based these days, even licensing. It ain't like we have to help out in emergencies or anything. Thanks Dave, I hadn't thought about it like that before.

73'SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS

sc

2099. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-05 19:00:12 UTC
From: Hey Stupid <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <0%Tqg.26$vO.4@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8579
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1151813081.512042.20390@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

>
> Merlin3rd wrote:
>> The hobby is almost dead anyway,nothing is going to save it. No one
>> cares about Ham radio.Most people under 30 have never heard of it and
>> don't care. All they want is their cell phones, Ipods,etc. The FCC
>> can't save it by changing anything.
> perhaps not but others think otherwise
>
> OTOH the "proposal" of mr Slow Code aka Hey Stupid would finsh finsh
> the ARS in 10 ten years

Just exactly what are you trying to babble out now? Having more qualified
hams will kill the service? An amateur radio license isn't worth enough
that you should have to work for it? License candidates are lazy and
won't work to get a license?

Please babble to us how requiring more proficient amateurs will kill ham
radio.

sc

2100. Re: Shuttle Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-05 19:00:20 UTC
From: Radio Buff <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <8%Tqg.32$vO.30@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8580

"Scott Daniells" <no.thanks> wrote in news:14idnWVfJZMy7jfZRVnyjQ@bt.com:

> Try the following frequencies. They are used from when the crew enter
> the shuttle until about 2 hours after launch, and again from 3 hours
> before landing until they finally land.
>
> 259.7 (AM) - Shuttle Air-Ground Primary - This is the only frq I've
> heard the shuttle on out of the Standard shuttle FRQs but it's worth
> monitoring the others too
> 296.8 (AM) - Shuttle A-G Backup and also Orbiter - Suit during spacewalk
> 279.0 (AM) - Shuttle A-G Backup and Suit - Suit and Suit - Orbiter
>
> I've also been recommended to listen to 251.875
>
> I've heard discovery trying to contact ISS on their frequencies while on
> orbit before... so worth checking these:
>
> 143.625 (NFM) - VHF1
> 130.167 (NFM) - VHF2
> 121.75 (NFM) - Low Power backup
> 139.208 (NFM) Backup FRQ for VHF 1 but I've never heard it used
>
> The Kvant System on ISS uses the followinf frequencies and is
> occasionally used for voice downlink according to some lists:
> 922.76 (NFM)
> 768.975 (NFM)
>
> -Scott

You can add 145.800, the downlink frequency for amateur radio
communications from the ISS.

2101. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-05 19:04:06 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152126246.202107.153020@l70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8581
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hey Stupid wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:1151813081.512042.20390@a14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Merlin3rd wrote:
> >> The hobby is almost dead anyway,nothing is going to save it. No one
> >> cares about Ham radio.Most people under 30 have never heard of it and
> >> don't care. All they want is their cell phones, Ipods,etc. The FCC
> >> can't save it by changing anything.
> > perhaps not but others think otherwise
> >
> > OTOH the "proposal" of mr Slow Code aka Hey Stupid would finsh finsh
> > the ARS in 10 ten years
>
>
> Just exactly what are you trying to babble out now? Having more qualified
> hams will kill the service?
no Mr Stpuid (your choosen nick

crating a sate affiars where almost no one still quialiies for any
license would do so
> An amateur radio license isn't worth enough
> that you should have to work for it?
no it isn't
> License candidates are lazy and
> won't work to get a license?
>
> Please babble to us how requiring more proficient amateurs will kill ham
> radio.
why I never said anysuch thing Mr stupid
>
> sc

2102. Re: A question for nickle Generals and Extras. Would you renew your license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-05 19:08:43 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152126522.992195.134410@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8582
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "clfe" <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com> wrote in
> news:44a81178$0$12726$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net:
>

>
> That is why I have always proposed that licenses shouldn't be
> automatically renewable. When a license expires or is just about to
> expire the ham must retake and pass all exam elements required for that
> license class before it can be renewed again.
not that bad an idea it is just the rest of your shit that would kill
off the ars

2103. Re: A question for nickle Generals and Extras. Would you renew your license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-05 20:06:15 UTC
From: dplatt@radagast.org
Message-ID: <12ao6tn3lejic25@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 8583
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In article <Q_Tqg.20$vO.1@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>99% of the hams on usenet hate my proposal.

I can well believe that.

> They think you shouldn't have
>to be proficient at anything to have a ham radio license.

Nonsense. That's an illogical conclusion on your part, and _not_
something which has been stated, or can reasonably be inferred from
the postings that I have seen in these threads.

--
Dave Platt <dplatt@radagast.org> AE6EO
Hosting the Jade Warrior home page: http://www.radagast.org/jade-warrior
I do _not_ wish to receive unsolicited commercial email, and I will
boycott any company which has the gall to send me such ads!

2104. Re: A question for nickle Generals and Extras. Would you renew your license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-05 20:08:54 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <t07oa2tprnfo7pns248objmr43bcmbq3j0@4ax.com>
Source record: 8584
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 05 Jul 2006 19:00:06 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Hams just want to be appliance operators these days and they don't want
>license exams that will interfere with them getting to those appliances
>even though it means being less worthy. I don't see anyone modernizing
>like everyone says is happening. They just get their licenses and grab a
>microphone. What percentage of hams have a computer connected to a
>radio? Probably less than 30%. Hams don't want to modernize. Guess we
>just have live with inferior operators on the bands from here on out.

Get on PSK. Most people on most digital modes still roll their own,
at least a little.

2105. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-05 20:11:20 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <a37oa2l8e6o89tdl9ji3momb04403dvr7o@4ax.com>
Source record: 8585
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 05 Jul 2006 19:00:12 GMT, Hey Stupid <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Please babble to us how requiring more proficient amateurs will kill ham
>radio.

Well ...

It would probably reduce the total number of hams. But those who just
want to buy a radio, plug it in and yell at people can still buy a CB
set from RatShack.

2106. Re: A question for nickle Generals and Extras. Would you renew your license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-05 20:12:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152130350.125670.325430@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8586
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dave Platt wrote:
> In article <Q_Tqg.20$vO.1@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >99% of the hams on usenet hate my proposal.
>
> I can well believe that.
>
> > They think you shouldn't have
> >to be proficient at anything to have a ham radio license.
>
> Nonsense. That's an illogical conclusion on your part, and _not_
> something which has been stated, or can reasonably be inferred from
> the postings that I have seen in these threads.
at least he he is giving the truth more than 2 line brush off
>
> --
> Dave Platt <dplatt@radagast.org> AE6EO
> Hosting the Jade Warrior home page: http://www.radagast.org/jade-warrior
> I do _not_ wish to receive unsolicited commercial email, and I will
> boycott any company which has the gall to send me such ads!

2107. Re: Trunking Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-06 02:44:43 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <-62dna7XkceA5DHZnZ2dnUVZ_tidnZ2d@nventure.com>
Source record: 8587

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:bocna2p3enf9goe48rc28v5r86t2jqm1a2@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 4 Jul 2006 00:30:24 -0400, "George-NY" <geonbc@optonline.net>
> wrote:
>
>>Thank you Bruce and Al for taking your time to help me. I checked over
>>what
>>I had entered and all was set to ED except the control. It was set to
>>MOT.
>>How that happened, I have no idea
>
> One push of the button too many or one too few. :)

Hell yes!! When I first got my 246 and was trying to program it, I had the computer on with the manual, the manual that came with it open, and a couple times I got it so screwed up I had to delete the entire system I was trying to program and start over. It was completely different from the Pro-95 I learned on so it was all new again almost.
--
"Everything in excess! To enjoy the flavor of life, take big bites.
Moderation is for monks."
[Lazarus Long]

2108. Re: StrongSignals.net is now History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-06 18:55:29 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <B0drg.116525$H71.112058@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 8588

Whoa!

"Keith" <keith@invalid.invalid> wrote in message
news:9adqa25t16rg9uo627hcjtf5kkp7kp5dn1@4ax.com...
> I don't blame Rich since his site has so little activity and has been
> replaced by Radio Reference and other web sites.
>
> http://strongsignals.net/

2109. NASA TV & Web coverage of Shuttle STS-121

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-07 14:23:46 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152282226.597528.307950@s16g2000cws.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8589
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,sci.space.shuttle,sci.space.station

Report #J06-075
NASA TV AND WEB COVERAGE OF SHUTTLE DISCOVERY MISSION

NASA is providing round-the-clock television and Internet coverage of Space Shuttle Discovery's ongoing mission, STS-121, to the International Space Station.

NASA Television features live mission events, daily status news conferences and 24 hour commentary. NASA TV is webcast on the Internet at:
http://www.nasa.gov/ntv

NASA web coverage of STS-121 includes the latest mission information, interactive features and news conference images, graphics and videos. The latest NASA TV schedule of mission coverage also is available on the main space shuttle Web site:
http://www.nasa.gov/shuttle

The daily status news conferences with STS-121 mission managers take place at NASA's Johnson Space Center. Reporters can ask questions from participating NASA locations. Please contact your preferred NASA facility to confirm its availability.

For information about other NASA missions and activities, visit:
http://www.nasa.gov/home

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/

2110. Coast Guard LANT ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-07 19:20:28 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <wL6dnb2JuZVkLjPZnZ2dnUVZ_qydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8590

Hi,

No luck getting this off of the web.

For the Coast Guard LANT:

What does LANT stand for ?

Thanks,
B.

2111. Re: A question for nickle Generals and Extras. Would you renew your license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-07 23:49:59 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <HqCrg.5223$cd3.2898@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8591
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

dplatt@radagast.org (Dave Platt) wrote in
news:12ao6tn3lejic25@corp.supernews.com:

>> They think you shouldn't have
>>to be proficient at anything to have a ham radio license.
>
> Nonsense. That's an illogical conclusion on your part, and _not_
> something which has been stated, or can reasonably be inferred from
> the postings that I have seen in these threads.

OK then, Since we all want to be proficient, how about this:

Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all elements required for their license class.

Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.

Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

2112. Why does slow code hate the ARS I asuume it is becuase one of his other names is hey stupid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-08 00:03:34 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152317014.712095.168630@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8592
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> dplatt@radagast.org (Dave Platt) wrote in
> news:12ao6tn3lejic25@corp.supernews.com:
>
> >> They think you shouldn't have
> >>to be proficient at anything to have a ham radio license.
> > > Nonsense. That's an illogical conclusion on your part, and _not_
> > > something which has been stated, or can reasonably be inferred from
> > > the postings that I have seen in these threads.
>
>
>
> OK then, Since we all want to be proficient, how about this:
I am reading
>
>
> Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all
> elements required for their license class.
not the worst idea but unlikely to be put in place
>
> Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
why? again not the worst idea but why bother
>
> Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
and why is it that you hate the ARS?
>
> Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
since this wold kill the ars within a single renwal cycle

your proposal would kill off the ARS n 10 years or less

why do you hate the ARS SC

2113. Re: A question for nickle Generals and Extras. Would you renew your license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-08 00:06:23 UTC
From: dplatt@radagast.org
Message-ID: <12attnvrgeqj099@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 8593
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In article <HqCrg.5223$cd3.2898@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>>> They think you shouldn't have
>>>to be proficient at anything to have a ham radio license.
>>
>> Nonsense. That's an illogical conclusion on your part, and _not_
>> something which has been stated, or can reasonably be inferred from
>> the postings that I have seen in these threads.

>OK then, Since we all want to be proficient, how about this:

<<snip>> exactly the same proposals SC has made before, concerning which
he's stated that "99%" of the people on USENET "hate", and which
contain positions even more radical than those which have already been
rejected by the FCC.

Trolling again, SC? Pity. If you were actually willing to engage in
a productive discussion you might actually do some good, but I don't
see any evidence of that.

PLONK.

--
Dave Platt <dplatt@radagast.org> AE6EO
Hosting the Jade Warrior home page: http://www.radagast.org/jade-warrior
I do _not_ wish to receive unsolicited commercial email, and I will
boycott any company which has the gall to send me such ads!

2114. Re: A question for nickle Generals and Extras. Would you renew your license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-08 00:22:36 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152318156.806474.72730@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8594
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dave Platt wrote:
> In article <HqCrg.5223$cd3.2898@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>

> Trolling again, SC? Pity. If you were actually willing to engage in
> a productive discussion you might actually do some good, but I don't
> see any evidence of that.
in point of fact SC does some good by making the ProCode looks sillier
than tthan most of them are
>
> PLONK.
>
> --
> Dave Platt <dplatt@radagast.org> AE6EO
> Hosting the Jade Warrior home page: http://www.radagast.org/jade-warrior
> I do _not_ wish to receive unsolicited commercial email, and I will
> boycott any company which has the gall to send me such ads!

2115. Re: StrongSignals.net is now History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-08 01:27:54 UTC
From: Merlin3rd <merlin_20832@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152322074.710638.42130@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8595

NOT GONE.
Jim Hackett wrote:
> Whoa!
>
>
>
> "Keith" <keith@invalid.invalid> wrote in message
> news:9adqa25t16rg9uo627hcjtf5kkp7kp5dn1@4ax.com...
> > I don't blame Rich since his site has so little activity and has been
> > replaced by Radio Reference and other web sites.
> >
> > http://strongsignals.net/

2116. Strong Signals is alive and wel...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-08 14:02:57 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <lWOrg.61106$Lm5.20080@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 8596

http://www.strongsignals.net/index.cgi

2117. Re: Strong Signals is alive and wel...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-08 15:49:15 UTC
From: rrx3@msn.com
Message-ID: <e5lva2lursjf3942omh6aamsl59o25a93s@4ax.com>
Source record: 8597

Yes - and all the better for it. this was the main resource I used
before buying my scanner

On Sat, 08 Jul 2006 14:02:57 GMT, "Jim Hackett"
<h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> wrote:

>http://www.strongsignals.net/index.cgi
>
>

2118. Re: Coast Guard LANT ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-09 05:34:25 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <1152423265.066899.186870@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8598

"LANT" means "Atlantic" in the Coast Guard & Naval community.

Robert11 wrote:
> Hi,
>
> No luck getting this off of the web.
>
> For the Coast Guard LANT:
>
> What does LANT stand for ?
>
> Thanks,
> B.

2119. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-09 11:25:56 UTC
From: "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net>
Message-ID: <e1727$44b0e747$d06640f9$2410@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 8599
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

No, please tell us how requiring code tests and more difficult technical
testing creates a "more proficient" ham?

When code testing was 13/20 WPM and the technical tests were much
harder, we still had all the idiots on the bands. Those idiots are not
more proficient. You are simply ignoring reality. Do you live in a cave
or something?

>
> Please babble to us how requiring more proficient amateurs will kill ham
> radio.
>
> sc

2120. FA AR8200 computer cable CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-09 14:31:40 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <pr8sg.537$Ey2.93@fe04.lga>
Source record: 8600

Brand New. I paid $89.99 for this and BIN is set at $70

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&ih=017&item=270006158154

--

Regards
B.H.
Souther, MN USA
Radios- R-5000, NRD525,SP-600,SX-28,Eton E1

Brian's Radio Universe
http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/500.htm

Brian's Basement
http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/6.htm

Remove your HAT to reply directly
brianehillHAT@charter.net

2121. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-09 15:59:49 UTC
From: an old freid to some a nightmare to steve <kb9rqz__ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152460789.290497.263870@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8501
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

J. D. B. wrote:
> No, please tell us how requiring code tests and more difficult technical
> testing creates a "more proficient" ham?
>
> When code testing was 13/20 WPM and the technical tests were much
> harder, we still had all the idiots on the bands. Those idiots are not
> more proficient. You are simply ignoring reality. Do you live in a cave
> or something?
I think he does UBL cave with the quipement reachto various websites
and NG to (like his mentor UBL) try and disupt the world that has left
him behind
>
> >
> > Please babble to us how requiring more proficient amateurs will kill ham
> > radio.
> >
> > sc

2122. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-09 18:13:32 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <3ih2b2ph3b0q5gqbr4176sbiqcnatk1beb@4ax.com>
Source record: 8502
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 09 Jul 2006 07:25:56 -0400, "J. D. B."
<duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote:

>No, please tell us how requiring code tests and more difficult technical
>testing creates a "more proficient" ham?

>When code testing was 13/20 WPM and the technical tests were much
>harder, we still had all the idiots on the bands. Those idiots are not
>more proficient. You are simply ignoring reality. Do you live in a cave
>or something?

The claim was "more proficient", not "generally nicer and better able
to get along with people". There will always be idiots in <insert any
field> but a ham who can't copy code at 1 wpm isn't more proficient
(or as proficient) at communicating under any and all conditions as
one who can.

Someone who can do something is, by definition, more proficient at
doing it than someone who can't. Requiring code tests and real
technical testing (the current tests are a joke) makes sure that most
of the people who get licensed are more proficient at receiving code
and with technical matters.

2123. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-09 18:23:11 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152469391.862682.275730@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8503
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On Sun, 09 Jul 2006 07:25:56 -0400, "J. D. B."
> <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote:
>
> Requiring code tests and real
> technical testing (the current tests are a joke) makes sure that most
> of the people who get licensed are more proficient at receiving code
> and with technical matters.
no it does not

most of the people that get license tody never take a code test

therfore they are not made more profeincent at Morse code even

you "facts" are well... fucked

2124. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-09 19:35:46 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <1jm2b2ler8qf3a0jlcrks39i7dafsp6otm@4ax.com>
Source record: 8504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 9 Jul 2006 11:23:11 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>Al Klein wrote:
>> On Sun, 09 Jul 2006 07:25:56 -0400, "J. D. B."
>> <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote:
>>
>> Requiring code tests and real
>> technical testing (the current tests are a joke) makes sure that most
>> of the people who get licensed are more proficient at receiving code
>> and with technical matters.
>no it does not
>
>most of the people that get license tody never take a code test
>
>therfore they are not made more profeincent at Morse code even
>
>you "facts" are well... fucked

No, it's your ability to understand the difference between "are
licensed" and "get licensed" that is.

2125. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-09 19:50:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152474647.070168.305870@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8505
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Al Klein wrote:
> On 9 Jul 2006 11:23:11 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >Al Klein wrote:
> >> On Sun, 09 Jul 2006 07:25:56 -0400, "J. D. B."
> >> <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote:
> >>
> >> Requiring code tests and real
> >> technical testing (the current tests are a joke) makes sure that most
> >> of the people who get licensed are more proficient at receiving code
> >> and with technical matters.
> >no it does not
> >
> >most of the people that get license tody never take a code test
> >
> >therfore they are not made more profeincent at Morse code even
> >
> >you "facts" are well... fucked
>
> No, it's your ability to understand the difference between "are
> licensed" and "get licensed" that is.
all the tech got lisenced sir
nor does passing the code even ensure that most people that pass it can
operate it
```

2126. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-09 23:15:34 UTC
From: "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net>
Message-ID: <7bbff$44b18e72$d06640f9$18084@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 8506
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al, someone who passes a code test should be more proficient in code
than someone who cannot pass the code test. That simply makes that
person proficient in code, not necessarily a more proficient operator.

You may be able to use the code, but if you cannot use modern digital
methods, use sat communication, able to handle emergency communication,
able to set up digital networks and use them effectively, build modern
solid-state equipment, etc., then you are not a more proficient amateur
operator, you just are more proficient in code and that is not going to
help us much in the 21st Century.

As I said before, PSK31 can be copied when the human ear cannot even
hear the signal, if you cannot hear code, you cannot copy it period. So
code is no longer the be-all-end-all. Modern 21st communication methods
have replaced it.

If we are going to attract new people to the service, we need to get
into the 21st Century and get the old farts away from the old code and
tubes crap.

Al Klein wrote:
>
> Someone who can do something is, by definition, more proficient at
> doing it than someone who can't. Requiring code tests and real
> technical testing (the current tests are a joke) makes sure that most
> of the people who get licensed are more proficient at receiving code
> and with technical matters.

2127. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-09 23:20:34 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152487234.566937.52760@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

J. D. B. wrote:
> Al, someone who passes a code test should be more proficient in code
> than someone who cannot pass the code test. That simply makes that
> person proficient in code, not necessarily a more proficient operator.
should be? I beg you pardon

well I know can't pass a code test and I can use Morse code did a few
eme contacts using a spectrograph to make out the didt and dah and
feedto an pc for translation I have done the same (with code reader as
well) to see if I could do that made a sweep in a cw sweepstakes (with
aid of another hams call)
>
> You may be able to use the code, but if you cannot use modern digital
> methods, use sat communication, able to handle emergency communication,
> able to set up digital networks and use them effectively, build modern
> solid-state equipment, etc., then you are not a more proficient amateur
> operator, you just are more proficient in code and that is not going to
> help us much in the 21st Century.
>
> As I said before, PSK31 can be copied when the human ear cannot even
> hear the signal, if you cannot hear code, you cannot copy it period. So
> code is no longer the be-all-end-all. Modern 21st communication methods
> have replaced it.
>
> If we are going to attract new people to the service, we need to get
> into the 21st Century and get the old farts away from the old code and
> tubes crap.
>
> Al Klein wrote:
> >
> > Someone who can do something is, by definition, more proficient at
> > doing it than someone who can't. Requiring code tests and real
> > technical testing (the current tests are a joke) makes sure that most
> > of the people who get licensed are more proficient at receiving code
> > and with technical matters.

2128. 2 way scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-10 00:19:00 UTC
From: mixer788 <mixer788.2apmfb@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <mixer788.2apmfb@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 8513

Ok I have a question not sure if anyone knows about this but thought i would like to ask anyway. I have a scanner it has a 2 way capabileity. Its a Motorla Radius cp200. I want to be able to add frec to it myself, so I can monitor chanels. Now I can only take it to the guy a factory rep and he can add or delete it. I asked him if i could do it myself and he said no cause if i could i could use other frec and disrupt others. I dont want to change the 2way frec just add some frec so I can hear others. So if anyone knows about this I would like to hear about it. Thanks up front

--
mixer788

2129. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-10 00:20:37 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <s82dnd0A45ziACzZnZ2dnUVZ_t2dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote in message
news:7bbff$44b18e72$d06640f9$18084@FUSE.NET...
> Al, someone who passes a code test should be more proficient in code than
> someone who cannot pass the code test. That simply makes that person
> proficient in code, not necessarily a more proficient operator.
>

If they are proficient in code as well as other modes, then they are a more proficient operator. The more you can do, the more proficient you are.

> You may be able to use the code, but if you cannot use modern digital
> methods, use sat communication, able to handle emergency communication,
> able to set up digital networks and use them effectively, build modern
> solid-state equipment, etc., then you are not a more proficient amateur
> operator, you just are more proficient in code and that is not going to
> help us much in the 21st Century.
>

Setting up digital networks may not be particularly practical in the early days right after a large scale disaster. Satellite passes are short and if you don't have internet access to look up pass times, you may be up the proverbial creek without the proverbial paddle if you rely too much on that form of communications. Even with the pass info, the passes are too short to be useful for handling very much emergency communication.

> As I said before, PSK31 can be copied when the human ear cannot even hear
> the signal, if you cannot hear code, you cannot copy it period. So code
> is no longer the be-all-end-all. Modern 21st communication methods have
> replaced it.
>

Yes it is true that PSK31 can be copied when you cannot hear it. However, it is useless when there are ionospheric disturbances that cause phase shifts (solar flares with the attendent aurora come to mind). This happens on a fairly regular basis. In addition, although the signal you are putting out is low power, PSK31 has a fairly high power consumption requirement since a computer is essential in the system. If you have limited power resources, it may not be a wise choice.

While code is not the end-all and be-all, it still has its place in list of communications methods. Let us go back to the auroral activity. The various digital modes fail first. Voice holds up a little bit longer getting distorted but sometimes still copyable. Code holds up a bit longer, being still copyable when voice becomes too distorted. Under auroral

conditions, both voice and code fare better than digital.

Every single method has a valid place in the list of communications methods. Every mode has its strong points and its weak points. In my opinion, hams should be able to use as many modes as possible and that includes code.

The major drawback to code is that it requires people to develop a skill rather than being a matter of just slapping parts together. Heck, I don't any skill in soldering as I've soldered only about 4 items in my life but I built both myself and my OM PSK31 interfaces in about 1/2 hour each. They were two of those four items.

> If we are going to attract new people to the service, we need to get into
> the 21st Century and get the old farts away from the old code and tubes
> crap.
>

I find that it is the "old farts" who are doing most of the exotic activities. When I work PSK31, I come across many seniors working this mode with the latest radios and computers. When I work VHF/UHF contests and look up the call signs afterwards, it is mostly the experience hams that I run across.

You have let your disdain for the code blind you to its merits. As I stated above, EVERY mode has its strengths and weaknesses and its place in wireless communications.

Dee, N8UZE

2130. Joe Rotman contact me!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-10 00:35:46 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Chhsg.63384$fb2.17756@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 8509

Joe,

I lost your e-mail address from months ago. I would like to borrow your
Watson W901 Air-Gainer antenna again, if possible. Let me know!

Frank

2131. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-10 00:46:16 UTC
From: "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net>
Message-ID: <3d05b$44b1a3c2$d06640f9$31256@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 8510
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dee, the issue is that many old hams know CW, but cannot operate most new digital modes. But if I understand what you are saying, if a 20 WPM Extra Class ham only operates CW and maybe SSB is less proficient than a 5 WPM Technician that operates on 10 different digital modes. See the 10 modes is more than CW and SSB, that ham is more proficient. Then I guess I agree with you.

Your comments on setting up networks, sat communication, and digital modes show that you have little knowledge on either. For instance, it depends on the sat orbit how long it is useful.

If PSK cannot be used due to ionospheric disturbances, well then either can CW. Computers can be operated on battery or generator. You are going to need something to power the radio right? Does not take much more for a laptop and with digital modes, the power can be much less so there is more power for the laptop.

Your comparison of voice, digital modes and CW shows you have little knowledge of what you are talking about. I have personally used digital modes when voice and CW were not able to be copied.

Finally, I happen to like CW a lot. But I don't think it makes anyone a better operator for knowing it, you should not be tested on it anymore than any other mode. Yes, there are a lot of seniors using digital, but there are too many more hanging on to the old crap CW only and tubes.

Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>
> If they are proficient in code as well as other modes, then they are a more
> proficient operator. The more you can do, the more proficient you are.
>
>
> Setting up digital networks may not be particularly practical in the early
> days right after a large scale disaster. Satellite passes are short and if
> you don't have internet access to look up pass times, you may be up the
> proverbial creek without the proverbial paddle if you rely too much on that
> form of communications. Even with the pass info, the passes are too short
> to be useful for handling very much emergency communication.
>

> Yes it is true that PSK31 can be copied when you cannot hear it. However,
> it is useless when there are ionospheric disturbances that cause phase
> shifts (solar flares with the attendent aurora come to mind). This happens

> on a fairly regular basis. In addition, although the signal you are putting
> out is low power, PSK31 has a fairly high power consumption requirement
> since a computer is essential in the system. If you have limited power
> resources, it may not be a wise choice.
>
> While code is not the end-all and be-all, it still has its place in list of
> communications methods. Let us go back to the auroral activity. The
> various digital modes fail first. Voice holds up a little bit longer
> getting distorted but sometimes still copyable. Code holds up a bit longer,
> being still copyable when voice becomes too distorted. Under auroral
> conditions, both voice and code fare better than digital.
>
> Every single method has a valid place in the list of communications methods.
> Every mode has its strong points and its weak points. In my opinion, hams
> should be able to use as many modes as possible and that includes code.
>
> The major drawback to code is that it requires people to develop a skill
> rather than being a matter of just slapping parts together. Heck, I don't
> any skill in soldering as I've soldered only about 4 items in my life but I
> built both myself and my OM PSK31 interfaces in about 1/2 hour each. They
> were two of those four items.
>
>
> I find that it is the "old farts" who are doing most of the exotic
> activities. When I work PSK31, I come across many seniors working this mode
> with the latest radios and computers. When I work VHF/UHF contests and look
> up the call signs afterwards, it is mostly the experience hams that I run
> across.
>
> You have let your disdain for the code blind you to its merits. As I stated
> above, EVERY mode has its strengths and weaknesses and its place in wireless
> communications.
>
> Dee, N8UZE
>
>
>

2132. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-10 00:54:02 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <6l83b25g3ks23ebkq9afcire7sv5gj5k4h@4ax.com>
Source record: 8511
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 09 Jul 2006 19:15:34 -0400, "J. D. B."
<duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote:

>Al, someone who passes a code test should be more proficient in code
>than someone who cannot pass the code test. That simply makes that
>person proficient in code, not necessarily a more proficient operator.

The original claim wasn't that it makes him more proficient in
everything, just that it makes him a more proficient operator. Being
equal in everything else, but more proficient in code, makes one more
proficient.

Is logic a lost art?

>You may be able to use the code, but if you cannot use modern digital
>methods, use sat communication, able to handle emergency communication,
>able to set up digital networks and use them effectively, build modern
>solid-state equipment, etc., then you are not a more proficient amateur
>operator, you just are more proficient in code and that is not going to
>help us much in the 21st Century.

And, if there's effectively no testing, which is the current case, how
do you propose that we get operators who CAN "use modern digital
methods, use sat communication, able to handle emergency communication
...", etc? Wishing makes it so?

>As I said before, PSK31 can be copied when the human ear cannot even
>hear the signal, if you cannot hear code, you cannot copy it period.

CW can be copied below the noise. Whether it can be copied as far
below the noise as PSK31 can is a good question. With the amount of
experience I have with both modes, I think I can safely say that CW
can be copied further into the noise than PSK31 can. (You need SOME
detectable original signal for PSK31 to work - after all, you have to
be able to detect the phase shift. CW can be copied even if it's
nothing more than modulated noise. And, if there are any old sounder
operators left, even key clicks can be copied.)

> So code is no longer the be-all-end-all. Modern 21st communication methods
>have replaced it.

It never was all there is, but let's see you use "modern 21st
communication methods" in an emergency situation when all you have is
a source of RF - nothing to modulate it with. Going to yell at the
oscillator and hope it's microphonic enough to produce some NBFM?

>If we are going to attract new people to the service, we need to get
>into the 21st Century and get the old farts away from the old code and
>tubes crap.

They said that in the 50s too - "we have modern communications like
SSB - who needs CW?" ... yet CW still lives. I doubt it'll be a
requirement in 100 years , but I also doubt that no one will be able
to copy it.

2133. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-10 01:02:01 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <dc93b21jp7qada5h18clu9q2n15lsvi3pu@4ax.com>
Source record: 8512
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 9 Jul 2006 20:20:37 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>While code is not the end-all and be-all, it still has its place in list of
>communications methods. Let us go back to the auroral activity. The
>various digital modes fail first. Voice holds up a little bit longer
>getting distorted but sometimes still copyable. Code holds up a bit longer,
>being still copyable when voice becomes too distorted. Under auroral
>conditions, both voice and code fare better than digital.

Thanks for the memories. I enjoyed "the barrel" in the late '50s. No
one who works voice on aurora will ever forget what it sounds like. Or
forget working half-way across the country with 5 watts on 6 with a TV
antenna.

>The major drawback to code is that it requires people to develop a skill
>rather than being a matter of just slapping parts together.

The problem is that a lot of people think that all the "skill"
operating takes is being able to turn the equipment on. Even using an
appliance rig, it's easy to tell the appliance operators from those
who have developed some skill.

>> If we are going to attract new people to the service, we need to get into
>> the 21st Century and get the old farts away from the old code and tubes
>> crap.

>I find that it is the "old farts" who are doing most of the exotic
>activities. When I work PSK31, I come across many seniors working this mode
>with the latest radios and computers.

I'll second that - from the old fart side. :)

Al - W2PMX

2134. Re: 2 way scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-10 04:18:30 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <g5l3b21pe6vel3d0ls9hbaq6pe0pnfa73r@4ax.com>
Source record: 8514

On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 00:19:00 +0000, mixer788
<mixer788.2apmfb@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:

>Ok I have a question not sure if anyone knows about this but thought i
>would like to ask anyway. I have a scanner it has a 2 way capabileity.
>Its a Motorla Radius cp200.

It's not a scanner, it's a two way radio. If you want a scanner, buy
a scanner. If you want to program the radio yourself it's going to
cost you more than buying a scanner.

>I dont want to change the 2way frec just add some frec so I can
>hear others.

Which is "adding 2 way frequencies".

2135. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-10 04:28:54 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <n9l3b29feh8ilhksjts4ahncd2gkq7blc0@4ax.com>
Source record: 8515
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 09 Jul 2006 20:46:16 -0400, "J. D. B."
<duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote:

>Dee, the issue is that many old hams know CW, but cannot operate most
>new digital modes.

And many of us know CW, originated some digital modes (you think
teen-agers invented everything?) and can certainly operate most, if
not all, of them. We had working RTTY (that's digital) regenerating
repeaters (thanks, Norm) before most current hams were even born.

>If PSK cannot be used due to ionospheric disturbances, well then either
>can CW.

Not true - the human brain is a more efficient detector than a
computer sound card in some cases.

>Computers can be operated on battery or generator. You are
>going to need something to power the radio right? Does not take much
>more for a laptop and with digital modes, the power can be much less so
>there is more power for the laptop.

You can get a CW signal to anywhere in the world with a 9 volt battery
for a few hours. You can't run a pocket PC on that kind of power.

>Your comparison of voice, digital modes and CW shows you have little
>knowledge of what you are talking about. I have personally used digital
>modes when voice and CW were not able to be copied.

And I have personally used CW when digital modes weren't even
detectable, let alone copyable. So have many people who have used CW
for extremely low signal work. Try decoding a PSK signal that's been
bounced off an aurora. (The phase is constantly rolling - there's
nothing for the detector to detect.) Aurora CW sounds weird (okay, it
makes "weird" boring), but it's easy to copy.

>Finally, I happen to like CW a lot. But I don't think it makes anyone a
>better operator for knowing it, you should not be tested on it anymore
>than any other mode.

Okay - the same then. You're tested on your ability to understand
English, and to read the written word - that covers voice and digital.
Let's test for CW. Not "more", just "same".

> Yes, there are a lot of seniors using digital, but
>there are too many more hanging on to the old crap CW only and tubes.

And too many youngsters who think that it it's old it's automatically
crap.

2136. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-10 05:13:34 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152508414.449910.273650@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dee Flint wrote:
> "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote in message
> news:7bbff$44b18e72$d06640f9$18084@FUSE.NET...

> While code is not the end-all and be-all, it still has its place in list of
> communications methods.
which is an admision that it is proper for it to be the be all and end
all of of testing for hf
It just that simple
the merits of the mode do not justify its placee as more important
than the rest of Ham knowledge combined

this must change

it will change

you have may call on it
KB9RQZ/(soon) AE

2137. Re: FA AR8200 computer cable CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-10 07:15:50 UTC
From: Jesse <org@org.orgy>
Message-ID: <Xns97FC21353D6A5ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 8517

"Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in news:pr8sg.537$Ey2.93@fe04.lga:

> Brand New. I paid $89.99 for this and BIN is set at $70
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&ih=017&item=270006158154
>
>

You call that cheap ?
Get real. Add shipping and save a whopping $15 for a used item.
Ohhh break out the champagne.
And a freind "gave you a cable", so now here you are, trying to fleece some poor sap for a bundle of wires sheesh.
Hardly anything you buy anywhere would you get much more than half for.
Sell it for $9.99 delivered and I'll take it, even though I don't even have an AR8200.

2138. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-10 12:17:08 UTC
From: "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net>
Message-ID: <1dded$44b245c0$d06640f9$27025@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 8518
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
>
> The original claim wasn't that it makes him more proficient in
> everything, just that it makes him a more proficient operator. Being
> equal in everything else, but more proficient in code, makes one more
> proficient.
>
> Is logic a lost art?

I still hold to the belief, claim, whatever that knowing CW in itself, does not make an operator more proficient in anything but CW. I would even submit that some operators who only use CW are less proficient operators than a modern ham who uses many more modes and methods, including modern digital modes, to communicate. Follow the logic Al. Someone who is versed in more facets of Amateur Radio than simply CW is a more proficient operator. Yes, I guess you are correct, logic is a lost art.

>
>> You may be able to use the code, but if you cannot use modern digital
>> methods, use sat communication, able to handle emergency communication,
>> able to set up digital networks and use them effectively, build modern
>> solid-state equipment, etc., then you are not a more proficient amateur
>> operator, you just are more proficient in code and that is not going to
>> help us much in the 21st Century.
>
> And, if there's effectively no testing, which is the current case, how
> do you propose that we get operators who CAN "use modern digital
> methods, use sat communication, able to handle emergency communication
> ...", etc? Wishing makes it so?

No, desire does. Every amateur has their niche that they want to pursue. Forcing a CW test on someone does not make them have a desire to use it. In fact, forcing someone to test on an archaic mode of communication may in fact keep them away from the service and therefore they will never develop an interest in learning and using modern communication methods.

>
>> As I said before, PSK31 can be copied when the human ear cannot even
>> hear the signal, if you cannot hear code, you cannot copy it period.
>
> CW can be copied below the noise. Whether it can be copied as far

> below the noise as PSK31 can is a good question. With the amount of
> experience I have with both modes, I think I can safely say that CW
> can be copied further into the noise than PSK31 can. (You need SOME
> detectable original signal for PSK31 to work - after all, you have to
> be able to detect the phase shift. CW can be copied even if it's
> nothing more than modulated noise. And, if there are any old sounder
> operators left, even key clicks can be copied.)

Al, you seem to have trouble reading. I never mentioned copying below
the noise level. What I said was CW cannot be copied if the human ear
cannot hear it. I have copied PSK when the audio of the signal could
not be copied by the human ear. It's just that simple. All the BS
about CW being the only true weak signal mode is well just BS. There
are superior modes to CW and PSK is one of them.

>
>> So code is no longer the be-all-end-all. Modern 21st communication methods
>> have replaced it.
>
> It never was all there is, but let's see you use "modern 21st
> communication methods" in an emergency situation when all you have is
> a source of RF - nothing to modulate it with. Going to yell at the
> oscillator and hope it's microphonic enough to produce some NBFM?

Yup, if all you have is a source of RF and nothing else, I guess you are
screwed. But that is not reality. You would have a real problem if you
did not have a source of RF, gee Al, let's call it a transmitter and use
big people words. I wonder why NASA does not have CW capability on the
Shuttle and Space Station - you know, just in case. Why have our armed
forces stopped using it? What happens in war if all they have is a
transmitter? Get real.

>
>> If we are going to attract new people to the service, we need to get
>> into the 21st Century and get the old farts away from the old code and
>> tubes crap.
>
> They said that in the 50s too - "we have modern communications like
> SSB - who needs CW?" ... yet CW still lives. I doubt it'll be a
> requirement in 100 years , but I also doubt that no one will be able
> to copy it.

Yup CW still lives and it will go on for quite awhile. Forcing people
to learn and use it is not the way to keep it going. It probably won't
be a requirement in 5 to 10 years. I guess that is just evolution. Out
with the old and in with the new.

2139. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-10 12:30:12 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <k1i4b2lflpqah3292lo0mpmh78t5epct7i@4ax.com>
Source record: 8519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 9 Jul 2006 22:13:34 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Dee Flint wrote:
>> "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote in message
>> news:7bbff$44b18e72$d06640f9$18084@FUSE.NET...
>
>> While code is not the end-all and be-all, it still has its place in list of
>> communications methods.
>which is an admision that it is proper for it to be the be all and end
>all of of testing for hf
>It just that simple

Only if you failed Logic 101.

2140. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-10 12:49:45 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <s2i4b2h3sirl7hjosbuqrkndf6rj7lkgag@4ax.com>
Source record: 8520
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 08:17:08 -0400, "J. D. B."
<duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:

>> The original claim wasn't that it makes him more proficient in
>> everything, just that it makes him a more proficient operator. Being
>> equal in everything else, but more proficient in code, makes one more
>> proficient.

>> Is logic a lost art?

>I still hold to the belief, claim, whatever that knowing CW in itself,
>does not make an operator more proficient in anything but CW.

Next you'll tell us that the sun rises in the East. Thank you,
Captain Obvious.

Knowledge of any single thing doesn't make an operator more proficient
in anything but that one thing. Should we have testing in TV
techniques, simply because it's a "modern communications mode"? How
about microwaves? Programming? Hey, there's one I'd go for. Let's
be "modern" and make sure that hams can program the computers they're
using for "communications".

> I would even submit that some operators who only use CW are less proficient
>operators than a modern ham who uses many more modes and methods,
>including modern digital modes, to communicate. Follow the logic Al.
>Someone who is versed in more facets of Amateur Radio than simply CW is
>a more proficient operator.

Someone who is versed in more facets of amateur radio than simply
modern digital modes is also a more proficient operator. Can YOU set
up an internet audio stream without following a set of instructions?

> Yes, I guess you are correct, logic is a lost art.

And you proved it again.

>>Wishing makes it so?

>No, desire does.

A distinction without a difference.

>Every amateur has their niche that they want to
>pursue. Forcing a CW test on someone does not make them have a desire to
>use it.

Neither does forcing them to take a test in "modern digital modes".

>In fact, forcing someone to test on an archaic mode of
>communication may in fact keep them away from the service and therefore
>they will never develop an interest in learning and using modern
>communication methods.

And forcing someone to test on a "modern digital mode" may in fact
keep them away from the service and therefore they will never develop
an interest in learning and using CW.

>Al, you seem to have trouble reading. I never mentioned copying below
>the noise level. What I said was CW cannot be copied if the human ear
>cannot hear it.

And I said it can, so who has the problem?

>I have copied PSK when the audio of the signal could not be copied by the human ear.

And I have copied CW when there wasn't enough signal for a computer to
copy PSK.

>It's just that simple.

It sure is.

>All the BS about CW being the only true weak signal mode is well just BS. There
>are superior modes to CW and PSK is one of them.

No one said that CW is the only weak signal mode, but it can sometimes
be copied when it's weaker than any other mode can be copied. If the
noise frequency is higher than the bit frequency ... well, you know
weak signal analysis, right? Or you wouldn't be discussing it, would
you?

Oh, but CW takes MUCH less power for the same path than any digital
mode, and CW is the ONLY mode you can use when the only thing you have
is a source of RF.

>Yup, if all you have is a source of RF and nothing else, I guess you are
>screwed. But that is not reality.

Until you have a radio with a broken voice or data circuit and the
only thing standing between you and safety is your inability to
communicate because the radio's broken.

>You would have a real problem if you
>did not have a source of RF, gee Al, let's call it a transmitter and use
>big people words. I wonder why NASA does not have CW capability on the
>Shuttle and Space Station

Excuse me? They sure do.

>Why have our armed forces stopped using it? What happens in war if
>all they have is a transmitter? Get real.

You're comparing military intelligence to actual intelligence? They die. Soldiers have been known to do that in combat. The prime mission of the military in wartime is NOT saving the lives of individual soldiers, it's winning wars at the COST of the lives of individual soldiers. Why don't YOU "get real"?

>Yup CW still lives and it will go on for quite awhile. Forcing people
>to learn and use it is not the way to keep it going.

Neither is forcing them to learn electronics. Or the laws they're going to have to know. Let's just post ham licenses in stores and people can pick them up if they like. Just like they used to do with CB licenses. Why keep anyone from having access to a public property? Just let anyone buy a radio (no knowledge of how to even build a kit should be required) and use it. Those of us who want to learn something can petition for a new service that requires actual knowledge of something.

2141. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-10 15:22:41 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152544961.545688.264470@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8521
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 9 Jul 2006 22:13:34 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Dee Flint wrote:
> >> "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote in message
> >> news:7bbff$44b18e72$d06640f9$18084@FUSE.NET...
> > >
> >> While code is not the end-all and be-all, it still has its place in list of
> >> communications methods.
> >which is an admision that it is not proper for it to be the be all and end
> >all of of testing for hf
> >It just that simple
> >
> Only if you failed Logic 101.
you are the one that failed the class

I did not

CW currently stands as more imprtant than the rest of HAMradio combined
for HF access

CW is not anyway near that valuble

therefore the current testing system is ilgoical

BTW to answer your red herring from another thread No one is proposing
adding a test on digital mode

2142. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-10 15:36:15 UTC
From: "K5LDB.Leo@gmail.com" <K5LDB.Leo@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152545775.566443.34660@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I am a new ham and will respond to this based on being new. I got my license last year at age 48 so I'm neither a kid nor a senior. I've been tested and scored at 136 so I'm not a genius but am far from a dummy. I mention these things to give a general picture of who I am and where my position comes from.

Radio Buff wrote:
>
> No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all elements
> required for their license class.

Although I see the reasoning behind this request I disagree. There are older hams who wouldn't be able to pass their license class test but who still enjoy participating in their nets and talking to their circle of ham friends. I don't want to be the one to take that away from a senior ham who is hurting absolutely no one by enjoying their hobby. The fact they might not pass the Extra test means nothing.

Radio Buff isn't going to want to give up enjoying radio when he/she is older and can't pass the exam either.

>
> The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.

I have no problem with this provided the exam is similar. If you want to raise the minimum score required while also increasing the difficulty level of the exam by 20-25% the combination will make it too difficult for many. You will kill amateur radio because there will be far fewer coming into the hobby than going SK.

>
> Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

Code should not be a part of the license test anymore than PSK or any other operating mode. There are so many different ways to enjoy and utilize amateur radio today that were not available decades ago that make code no longer a necessity. Mandating code skills is now similar to mandating slide rule skills for an engineer. It is an excellent tool and anyone capable of using it has a true skill. That said, there is nothing that can't be done by one without slide rule skills. They may be at a disadvantage at certain times and in certain specific locations and scenarios but for the most part the individual with the slide rule skills has no true advantage today as they did a few decades ago. The same holds true with code.

I do believe there should be a segment of the bands each license class is authorized that is reserved for those with code in their license. It just shouldn't be a requirement any longer. It keeps otherwise intelligent and capable people away from the hobby and the goal should be to bring them in not keep them away.

>
> Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

This point is pointless with the sensible approach outlined above.

2143. Re: Why does slow code hate the ARS I asuume it is becuase one of his other names is hey stupid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-10 20:09:21 UTC
From: user@private-mail-domain.invalid
Message-ID: <hooao3-52h.ln1@chris.org>
Source record: 8523
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote:
: your proposal would kill off the ARS n 10 years or less
Why would his proposal kill off the hobby? We've all passed these
tests once already, so why not again? Or, are you saying that
YOU could not pass the various elements again?

--
73 Chris Cox, N0UK, G4JEC

Come and join us here in Bloomington, Minnesota, home of the Mall of
America, July 27 & 28, 2006 for the 40th annual Central States VHF
Society Conference which will be hosted once again by the NLRS.

2144. Re: Why does slow code hate the ARS I asuume it is becuase one of his other names is hey stupid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-10 20:21:11 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152562871.315238.193850@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8524
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

<u...@private-mail-domain.invalid> wrote:
> an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote:
> : your proposal would kill off the ARS n 10 years or less
> Why would his proposal kill off the hobby?
by eleimating half the ham currently licensed in one year
> We've all passed these
> tests once already, so why not again?
no you did not pass these test and many of you could not very likely
> Or, are you saying that
> YOU could not pass the various elements again?
I having never been able to passa code test am one of the folks that SC
wants to throw off the bands with in a year
>
> --
> 73 Chris Cox, N0UK, G4JEC
>
> Come and join us here in Bloomington, Minnesota, home of the Mall of
> America, July 27 & 28, 2006 for the 40th annual Central States VHF
> Society Conference which will be hosted once again by the NLRS.

2145. Re: 2 way scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-10 20:48:21 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <p2zsg.8021$FR.853@newsfe6-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 8525

thats a tranceiver not a scanner.

mike

"mixer788" <mixer788.2apmfb@news.radiobanter.com> wrote in message
news:mixer788.2apmfb@news.radiobanter.com...
>
> Ok I have a question not sure if anyone knows about this but thought i
> would like to ask anyway. I have a scanner it has a 2 way capabileity.
> Its a Motorla Radius cp200. I want to be able to add frec to it myself,
> so I can monitor chanels. Now I can only take it to the guy a factory
> rep and he can add or delete it. I asked him if i could do it myself
> and he said no cause if i could i could use other frec and disrupt
> others. I dont want to change the 2way frec just add some frec so I can
> hear others. So if anyone knows about this I would like to hear about
> it. Thanks up front
>
>
>
>
> --
> mixer788

2146. Re: A question for nickle Generals and Extras. Would you renew your license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-10 21:37:49 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <NMzsg.5940$ye3.5064@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8526
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

dplatt@radagast.org (Dave Platt) wrote in
news:12attnvrgeqj099@corp.supernews.com:

> In article <HqCrg.5223$cd3.2898@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>>> They think you shouldn't have
>>>>to be proficient at anything to have a ham radio license.
>>>
>>> Nonsense. That's an illogical conclusion on your part, and _not_
>>> something which has been stated, or can reasonably be inferred from
>>> the postings that I have seen in these threads.
>
>>OK then, Since we all want to be proficient, how about this:
>
> <<snip>> exactly the same proposals SC has made before, concerning which
> he's stated that "99%" of the people on USENET "hate", and which
> contain positions even more radical than those which have already been
> rejected by the FCC.
>
> Trolling again, SC? Pity. If you were actually willing to engage in
> a productive discussion you might actually do some good, but I don't
> see any evidence of that.
>
> PLONK.

I guess he don't want to be proficient either. Happy appliance operating.

SC

2147. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-10 21:37:53 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <RMzsg.5943$ye3.3343@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8527
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote in
news:e1727$44b0e747$d06640f9$2410@FUSE.NET:

> No, please tell us how requiring code tests and more difficult technical
> testing creates a "more proficient" ham?
>
> When code testing was 13/20 WPM and the technical tests were much
> harder, we still had all the idiots on the bands. Those idiots are not
> more proficient. You are simply ignoring reality. Do you live in a cave
> or something?

You must be one of them lazy hams.

2148. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-10 21:37:56 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <UMzsg.5944$ye3.2984@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8528
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"K5LDB.Leo@gmail.com" <K5LDB.Leo@gmail.com> wrote in
news:1152545775.566443.34660@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

> I am a new ham and will respond to this based on being new. I got my
> license last year at age 48 so I'm neither a kid nor a senior. I've
> been tested and scored at 136 so I'm not a genius but am far from a
> dummy. I mention these things to give a general picture of who I am and
> where my position comes from.
>
> Radio Buff wrote:
>>
>> No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all
>> elements required for their license class.
>
> Although I see the reasoning behind this request I disagree. There are
> older hams who wouldn't be able to pass their license class test but
> who still enjoy participating in their nets and talking to their circle
> of ham friends. I don't want to be the one to take that away from a
> senior ham who is hurting absolutely no one by enjoying their hobby.
> The fact they might not pass the Extra test means nothing.

Yes it does mean something. It means they're appliance operators. They
pass their exams once then forget everything and don't want to advance
themselves anymore or be proficient hams. They're not an asset to the
service, they're just lazy asses with licenses and only want to operate
appliances.

> Radio Buff isn't going to want to give up enjoying radio when he/she is
> older and can't pass the exam either.

If I can't pass the tests, I don't deserve a license, or renewel. I don't
get to operate if I can't qualify. It's that simple. You people think
that if someone can't pass a test, they should get the license anyway.
It's a government handout just like how you get your welfare checks and
food stamps in the mail at your project housing. Your Democrat party
outcome based thinking is what is distroying Amateur radio and America.
Everyone is equal and if you want to excel and improve yourself there is
something wrong with you because your not supposed to want to do that.

>>
>> The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>
> I have no problem with this provided the exam is similar. If you want

> to raise the minimum score required while also increasing the
> difficulty level of the exam by 20-25% the combination will make it too
> difficult for many. You will kill amateur radio because there will be
> far fewer coming into the hobby than going SK.

Quality or quantity? You people that want things easy always say
requiring more qualified licensees will kill the service. You're against
quality because it require you have to work a little. Well, If you ever
need radio help in an emergency to save lives & property, and the ham
operator you talk to on the other end is an incompetant retard, I'll bet
you'd wished for quality then.

>>
>> Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
> Code should not be a part of the license test anymore than PSK or any
> other operating mode. There are so many different ways to enjoy and
> utilize amateur radio today that were not available decades ago that
> make code no longer a necessity. Mandating code skills is now similar
> to mandating slide rule skills for an engineer. It is an excellent tool
> and anyone capable of using it has a true skill. That said, there is
> nothing that can't be done by one without slide rule skills. They may
> be at a disadvantage at certain times and in certain specific locations
> and scenarios but for the most part the individual with the slide rule
> skills has no true advantage today as they did a few decades ago. The
> same holds true with code.

Yah, we can't require quality or skill for a license. I know you're right.
We gotta be like CB'ers.

> I do believe there should be a segment of the bands each license class
> is authorized that is reserved for those with code in their license. It
> just shouldn't be a requirement any longer. It keeps otherwise
> intelligent and capable people away from the hobby and the goal should
> be to bring them in not keep them away.

Code isn't a requirement, you can always talk on CB, FRS, and cell phones
all you want.

>>
>> Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> This point is pointless with the sensible approach outlined above.

No, it's not pointless. It insures licenses will improve and will be
valuable to the service. I know you would hate that because in another
month you would either have to upgrade or loose your ticket.

Doesn't anyone else here want to save ham radio? I'm getting tired of
arguing with the lazy asses. I hope some of you cared and want to help me
save ham radio enough that you emailed this to President Bush, your
Senators and Congressmen to press the FCC does the right thing for us:

No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
pass all elements required for their license class.

The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.

Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

73, Thanks for your support.

SC

2149. Re: Why does slow code hate the ARS I asuume it is becuase one of his other names is hey stupid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-10 21:38:04 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <0Nzsg.5950$ye3.5216@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8529
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1152562871.315238.193850@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> I having never been able to passa code test am one of the folks that SC
> wants to throw off the bands with in a year

Markie,

I'm not throwing you off the bands. You're throwing yourself off. You
have to pass a test for the privileges. If you can't pass the test like
Techs used to have to pass, you get no privileges to operate and have to
go back to CB with everyone else that can't pass a test and acts retarded.
You earn privileges, licenses aren't totally free handouts from the FCC
yet, as much as you people wish they were. No one is throwing you off the
bands. You being a lazy ass that can't spell and don't want to learn gets
you thrown off the bands. The no-code license was a bad idea to begin
with anyway. You couldn't have got licensed under the old system. You
and Sal M. Onella would still be talking yourselves on CB and pissing off
truck drivers.

sc

2150. Re: Why does slow code hate the ARS I asuume it is becuase one of his other names is hey stupid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-10 21:52:20 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152568340.158713.100600@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8530
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:1152562871.315238.193850@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > I having never been able to passa code test am one of the folks that SC
> > wants to throw off the bands with in a year
>
>
> Markie,
>
> I'm not throwing you off the bands.
no you are merely proposing to
>You're throwing yourself off.
nope you are propsing to have the FCC do so
>You
> have to pass a test for the privileges. If you can't pass the test like
> Techs used to have to pass, you get no privileges to operate and have to
> go back to CB with everyone else that can't pass a test and acts retarded.
> You earn privileges, licenses aren't totally free handouts from the FCC
> yet, as much as you people wish they were.
I already earned those prevlidges you want to propose that they be
revoked for no valid reason

fortunately the FCC will NEVER go for it
> No one is throwing you off the
> bands. You being a lazy ass that can't spell and don't want to learn gets
> you thrown off the bands.
now you are just lying
> The no-code license was a bad idea to begin
> with anyway.
perhaps it was (I don't agree it was but I will grant it might be)
but it is 20 years too late for that discussion
>You couldn't have got licensed under the old system.
Acualy I could have gotten one

by simply accepting the offer of those testing to lie and say that I
tooked the recieve I passed one and tranmtiing test I took a month
later and passed (while failig n that day) and claim they taken and
passed the same day

I certainly could gotten a license I was merely too honest
>You

> and Sal M. Onella would still be talking yourselves on CB and pissing off
> truck drivers.
I still use CB when it serves my needs as I also use mMURS and FRS when
they suit

but you clearly hate what the ARS is

and you would enact a sytem that would kill they ARS as it is if you
had the power

that makes you one real sicko
>
> sc

2151. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-10 22:05:51 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152569151.437699.201620@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8531
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "K5LDB.Leo@gmail.com" <K5LDB.Leo@gmail.com> wrote in
> news:1152545775.566443.34660@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> > I am a new ham and will respond to this based on being new. I got my
> > license last year at age 48 so I'm neither a kid nor a senior. I've
> > been tested and scored at 136 so I'm not a genius but am far from a
> > dummy. I mention these things to give a general picture of who I am and
> > where my position comes from.
> >
> > Radio Buff wrote:
> >>
> >> No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all
> >> elements required for their license class.
> >
> > Although I see the reasoning behind this request I disagree. There are
> > older hams who wouldn't be able to pass their license class test but
> > who still enjoy participating in their nets and talking to their circle
> > of ham friends. I don't want to be the one to take that away from a
> > senior ham who is hurting absolutely no one by enjoying their hobby.
> > The fact they might not pass the Extra test means nothing.
>
> Yes it does mean something. It means they're appliance operators. They
> pass their exams once then forget everything and don't want to advance
> themselves anymore or be proficient hams. They're not an asset to the
> service, they're just lazy asses with licenses and only want to operate
> appliances.
everyone that does not meet your standards is lazy right Mr Stpuid
>
> > Radio Buff isn't going to want to give up enjoying radio when he/she is
> > older and can't pass the exam either.
>
> If I can't pass the tests, I don't deserve a license, or renewel. I don't
> get to operate if I can't qualify. It's that simple. You people think
> that if someone can't pass a test, they should get the license anyway.
> It's a government handout just like how you get your welfare checks and
> food stamps in the mail at your project housing. Your Democrat party
> outcome based thinking is what is distroying Amateur radio and America.
> Everyone is equal and if you want to excel and improve yourself there is
> something wrong with you because your not supposed to want to do that.
>
> >>

> >> The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
> >
> > I have no problem with this provided the exam is similar. If you want
> > to raise the minimum score required while also increasing the
> > difficulty level of the exam by 20-25% the combination will make it too
> > difficult for many. You will kill amateur radio because there will be
> > far fewer coming into the hobby than going SK.
>
> Quality or quantity? You people that want things easy always say
> requiring more qualified licensees will kill the service.
no I say throwing at least half the current memebers of the ars off the
band would kill the service
>You're against
> quality because it require you have to work a little.
nope I worked a lot for the novice I was neer able to get

> Well, If you ever
> need radio help in an emergency to save lives & property, and the ham
> operator you talk to on the other end is an incompetant retard, I'll bet
> you'd wished for quality then.
first you might hope to FIND one
>
> >>
> >> Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
> >
> > Code should not be a part of the license test anymore than PSK or any
> > other operating mode. There are so many different ways to enjoy and
> > utilize amateur radio today that were not available decades ago that
> > make code no longer a necessity. Mandating code skills is now similar
> > to mandating slide rule skills for an engineer. It is an excellent tool
> > and anyone capable of using it has a true skill. That said, there is
> > nothing that can't be done by one without slide rule skills. They may
> > be at a disadvantage at certain times and in certain specific locations
> > and scenarios but for the most part the individual with the slide rule
> > skills has no true advantage today as they did a few decades ago. The
> > same holds true with code.
>
> Yah, we can't require quality or skill for a license.
sure we can

this has nothing to do with Morse Code testing however
> I know you're right.
> We gotta be like CB'ers.
nope
>
>
> > I do believe there should be a segment of the bands each license class
> > is authorized that is reserved for those with code in their license. It
> > just shouldn't be a requirement any longer. It keeps otherwise
> > intelligent and capable people away from the hobby and the goal should
> > be to bring them in not keep them away.

>
> Code isn't a requirement, you can always talk on CB, FRS, and cell phones
> all you want.
indeed code WILL not be a requirement verysoon

deal with it
>
> >>
> >> Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
> >
> > This point is pointless with the sensible approach outlined above.
>
> No, it's not pointless.
you got that right the point is that you want to kill the ARS becuase
it has eveoled
> It insures licenses will improve and will be
> valuable to the service.
nope
> I know you would hate that because in another
> month you would either have to upgrade or loose your ticket.
nope

I do hate the ioidea of yet again taking prevdeges away form operators
that Have earned them I think een the ARRL and FCC learned that lesson
year ago
>
> Doesn't anyone else here want to save ham radio?
Sure I want to save it

you don't
> I'm getting tired of
> arguing with the lazy asses.
then do your self a kindness and stop you are not helping the ARS
except for contuiing to prod the No Coders into pushing the FCC to stop
the bleeding your kind hae created
> I hope some of you cared and want to help me
> save ham radio enough that you emailed this to President Bush, your
> Senators and Congressmen to press the FCC does the right thing for us:
Indeed I did email your thought to a freind on the white house staff

I reported on the laughter that rsulted
>
> No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.
not bad but not likely since it will rsult in prevledges being taken
away from those that EARNED them
>
> The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
if you like
>
> Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

suicide for the service and will never be enacted by the FCC they have
ejuected anything that takes prevedlges awayfrom people
>
> Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
and the final

throwing half of the ops currently licensed would insure the loos of
most if not all of our spectrum

is it just that simple
>
>
> 73, Thanks for your support.
>
>
> SC

2152. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-10 22:33:21 UTC
From: "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net>
Message-ID: <1d504$44b2d62c$d06640f9$23161@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 8532
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al, my four year old makes more sense and can carry on a more
intelligent discussion that you. I am going to chat with him.
Ffffffttttt - you're outta here moron.

Al Klein wrote:

>
> Neither is forcing them to learn electronics. Or the laws they're
> going to have to know. Let's just post ham licenses in stores and
> people can pick them up if they like. Just like they used to do with
> CB licenses. Why keep anyone from having access to a public property?
> Just let anyone buy a radio (no knowledge of how to even build a kit
> should be required) and use it. Those of us who want to learn
> something can petition for a new service that requires actual
> knowledge of something.

2153. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-10 22:40:00 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152571200.438600.251400@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8533
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

J. D. B. wrote:
> Al, my four year old makes more sense and can carry on a more
> intelligent discussion that you. I am going to chat with him.
> Ffffffttttt - you're outta here moron.
>
it is the same standard line they have been sold a mantra and have to
keep repating it without even knowing what they are saying any

but remember the english book of comon prayer back in the 8th century
"....protect us oh lord from the warth of the Morsemen"

2154. Re: FA AR8200 computer cable CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-10 23:05:16 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <73Bsg.566$Yo5.169@fe07.lga>
Source record: 8534

"Jesse" <org@org.orgy> wrote in message
news:Xns97FC21353D6A5ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130...
> "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in news:pr8sg.537$Ey2.93@fe04.lga:
>
>> Brand New. I paid $89.99 for this and BIN is set at $70
>>
>> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&ih=017&item=270006158154
>>
>>
>
> You call that cheap ?
> Get real. Add shipping and save a whopping $15 for a used item.
> Ohhh break out the champagne.
> And a freind "gave you a cable", so now here you are, trying to fleece
> some
> poor sap for a bundle of wires sheesh.
> Hardly anything you buy anywhere would you get much more than half for.
> Sell it for $9.99 delivered and I'll take it, even though I don't even
> have
> an AR8200.

Fuck off shit head!

2155. Fisher Price 157 Baby Monitor AGC Defeat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-10 23:09:17 UTC
From: pancake <pancake@griddle.com>
Message-ID: <tdn5b2dqt0cid5v2duffil036qg7n14spt@4ax.com>
Source record: 8535

Did it years ago and never documented it. Anyone ?????
Thanks
Pancake

2156. Rodio Reference Lou Maag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-10 23:42:06 UTC
From: loumaagsucks@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1152574926.522170.72350@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8536

This guy is a real DOUCHE BAG. He has nothing better to do than act like the RR site NATZI. He has alomst 5,000 posts there. Get a fu*king life. Jerk off, anything! Leave the RR members alone.

2157. Re: Why does slow code hate the ARS I asuume it is becuase one of his other names is hey stupid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 01:27:07 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <57v5b29abedaetatounct7fgppifre2p8q@4ax.com>
Source record: 8537
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 10 Jul 2006 14:52:20 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Slow Code wrote:

>>You
>> have to pass a test for the privileges. If you can't pass the test like
>> Techs used to have to pass, you get no privileges to operate and have to
>> go back to CB with everyone else that can't pass a test and acts retarded.
>> You earn privileges, licenses aren't totally free handouts from the FCC
>> yet, as much as you people wish they were.

>I already earned those prevlidges

Earned? By what? Filling out an application? Memorizing enough
answers to just pass the written exam?

>> The no-code license was a bad idea to begin
>> with anyway.

>perhaps it was (I don't agree it was but I will grant it might be)
>but it is 20 years too late for that discussion

It's never too late to correct a mistake.

>>You couldn't have got licensed under the old system.

>Acualy I could have gotten one

It was you who said, "I having never been able to passa code test", so
you couldn't have.

>by simply accepting the offer of those testing to lie and say that I
>tooked the recieve I passed one and tranmtiing test I took a month
>later and passed (while failig n that day) and claim they taken and
>passed the same day

That's not passing, that's cheating. If you're old enough to go to
school you're old enough to know the difference.

>but you clearly hate what the ARS is

If he hated it he wouldn't care what it's become. He cares because he
DOESN'T hate it.

>and you would enact a sytem that would kill they ARS as it is if you
>had the power

There were plenty of hams when 13 wpm was the entry level. A 5 wpm code test and a *real* written test wouldn't kill it, it would just separate those who are willing to do what it takes to be hams from those who just want the license without the work.

2158. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 01:28:25 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <ujv5b29i61mrjg2j8n48bod88dvrgsgdjj@4ax.com>
Source record: 8538
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 18:33:21 -0400, "J. D. B."
<duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote:

>Al, my four year old makes more sense and can carry on a more
>intelligent discussion that you. I am going to chat with him.
>Ffffffttttt - you're outta here moron.

I'm crushed. When you grow up maybe you'll understand adult level
English. Till then keep speaking 4-year-old English.

2159. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 01:30:11 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <4mv5b29gl88k0mkpbc5fql1n9ofhmekf8m@4ax.com>
Source record: 8539
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 10 Jul 2006 08:22:41 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> On 9 Jul 2006 22:13:34 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
>> wrote:

>> >which is an admision that it is not proper for it to be the be all and end
>> >all of of testing for hf
>> >It just that simple

>> Only if you failed Logic 101.

>you are the one that failed the class

>I did not

>CW currently stands as more imprtant than the rest of HAMradio combined
>for HF access

If you fail the written exam you don't get the license.

>CW is not anyway near that valuble

>therefore the current testing system is ilgoical

"I can't pass the test" doesn't have anything to do with logic.

>BTW to answer your red herring from another thread No one is proposing
>adding a test on digital mode

Then dropping CW because it's old and no longer used is illogical. Not
that you're capable of figuring out why, but it is.

2160. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 01:33:59 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <jqv5b29kmplgtb8p7c8ag1r8f2aoitkgnm@4ax.com>
Source record: 8540
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 10 Jul 2006 08:36:15 -0700, "K5LDB.Leo@gmail.com"
<K5LDB.Leo@gmail.com> wrote:

>Radio Buff wrote:

>> Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

>Code should not be a part of the license test anymore than PSK or any
>other operating mode.

I think that's one of the problems here. CW isn't just an operating
mode - it's a language, and the test already includes one language, so
testing for knowledge of a language isn't anything new.

There's NEVER been a test of CW operating mode, technique or ability -
just proficiency with the language of International Morse. Since one
must demonstrate proficiency with another language (English) to obtain
a license, it's a matter of degree, not a matter of kind.

2161. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 01:39:00 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <3206b2t1k7tfae27vj1mi5haubqufcq14e@4ax.com>
Source record: 8541
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 21:37:53 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote in
>news:e1727$44b0e747$d06640f9$2410@FUSE.NET:

>> No, please tell us how requiring code tests and more difficult technical
>> testing creates a "more proficient" ham?

>> When code testing was 13/20 WPM and the technical tests were much
>> harder, we still had all the idiots on the bands. Those idiots are not
>> more proficient. You are simply ignoring reality. Do you live in a cave
>> or something?

>You must be one of them lazy hams.

He's right, though. Anyone who ever heard K2SUC out of Nutley, NJ,
would agree. The repeater wars of the 60s and 70s. The 146.715
repeater on Long Island in the early 70s.

We've had our share of pre-VEC idiots. But it was never as bad as
even some of the things you hear on 75 these days. I rarely plug a
mic in.

2162. Re: FA AR8200 computer cable CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 01:39:46 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <5a06b2t8p1fjc7f5ks71rbnlee3o43p1v9@4ax.com>
Source record: 8542

On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 18:05:16 -0500, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote:

>Fuck off shit head!

This is Usenet. You don't like it, don't read it.

2163. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 01:40:07 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152582007.620885.261930@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8545
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 10 Jul 2006 08:36:15 -0700, "K5LDB.Leo@gmail.com"
> <K5LDB.Leo@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Radio Buff wrote:
>
> >> Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
> >Code should not be a part of the license test anymore than PSK or any
> >other operating mode.
>
> I think that's one of the problems here. CW isn't just an operating
> mode - it's a language, and the test already includes one language, so
> testing for knowledge of a language isn't anything new.
the test do no t include another lange or even english as a lang

but it is load of bullto say Morse is a language
>
> There's NEVER been a test of CW operating mode, technique or ability -
> just proficiency with the language of International Morse.
agreed
> Since one
> must demonstrate proficiency with another language (English) to obtain
> a license, it's a matter of degree, not a matter of kind.
no such requirement exists indeed if you can read then the question and
answers are suposed to read to you and your aswers taken down

no requirement to understand english exists in order to be licensed

2164. Re: Fisher Price 157 Baby Monitor AGC Defeat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 01:40:25 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <fb06b25t2beep3i6i1l51prtst745etvgg@4ax.com>
Source record: 8543

On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 19:09:17 -0400, pancake <pancake@griddle.com>
wrote:

>Did it years ago and never documented it. Anyone ?????

Probably just lift the AGC diode. But why?

2165. Re: Rodio Reference Lou Maag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 01:41:57 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <mc06b2lhi4evlvlgjh4gh273dvaigq5p92@4ax.com>
Source record: 8544

On 10 Jul 2006 16:42:06 -0700, loumaagsucks@hotmail.com wrote:

> This guy is a real DOUCHE BAG. He has nothing better to do than act
>like the RR site NATZI. He has alomst 5,000 posts there. Get a fu*king
>life. Jerk off, anything! Leave the RR members alone.

Aww, poor baby. Lou said something you disagree with? And you're too dishonest to post under a name someone would recognize? And you think SANE people will believe anything you say?

I'll get back to you when I finish laughing at you, little boy.

2166. Re: Why does slow code hate the ARS I asuume it is becuase one of his other names is hey stupid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 01:48:09 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152582489.270076.79630@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8546
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 10 Jul 2006 14:52:20 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Slow Code wrote:
>
> >>You
> >> have to pass a test for the privileges. If you can't pass the test like
> >> Techs used to have to pass, you get no privileges to operate and have to
> >> go back to CB with everyone else that can't pass a test and acts retarded.
> >> You earn privileges, licenses aren't totally free handouts from the FCC
> >> yet, as much as you people wish they were.
>
> >I already earned those prevlidges
>
> Earned? By what? Filling out an application? Memorizing enough
> answers to just pass the written exam?
exactly the same way you did by passing the tests required at the time
>
> >> The no-code license was a bad idea to begin
> >> with anyway.
>
> >perhaps it was (I don't agree it was but I will grant it might be)
> >but it is 20 years too late for that discussion
>
> It's never too late to correct a mistake.
if it were a mistake the only bigger mistake would be to elimate half
of the curent numbers of licensees

but in any case the FCC will never take my prevledges thay have made
that clear accordingly you need to learn to deal with what is instaned
of trying to overturn history
>
> >>You couldn't have got licensed under the old system.
>
> >Acualy I could have gotten one
>
> It was you who said, "I having never been able to passa code test", so
> you couldn't have.
>
you spoke too soon
> >by simply accepting the offer of those testing to lie and say that I

> >tooked the recieve I passed one and tranmtiing test I took a month
> >later and passed (while failig n that day) and claim they taken and
> >passed the same day
>
> That's not passing, that's cheating. If you're old enough to go to
> school you're old enough to know the difference.
so it is cheating it still is obtaining a license

>
> >but you clearly hate what the ARS is
>
> If he hated it he wouldn't care what it's become.
he hates the ARS today his manner makes that plain
>He cares because he
> DOESN'T hate it.
no he thinks or igmagine he can hijack it
>
> >and you would enact a sytem that would kill they ARS as it is if you
> >had the power
>
> There were plenty of hams when 13 wpm was the entry level. A 5 wpm
> code test and a *real* written test wouldn't kill it, it would just
> separate those who are willing to do what it takes to be hams from
> those who just want the license without the work.
maybe nut the current entry rates sugest otherwise and He does not
propose 5wpm and writeen test he prososes 13 wpm and a total lack of an
effective entry class and elimiating half the current licees and likely
a lot more than that

and any "paln" that involves dumping 300,000 hams right of the bat is
pretty going to finish off the ARS

2167. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 02:15:26 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <44B309A6.44A7CD72@earthlink.net>
Source record: 8547
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
>
> "K5LDB.Leo@gmail.com" <K5LDB.Leo@gmail.com> wrote in
> news:1152545775.566443.34660@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> > I am a new ham and will respond to this based on being new. I got my
> > license last year at age 48 so I'm neither a kid nor a senior. I've
> > been tested and scored at 136 so I'm not a genius but am far from a
> > dummy. I mention these things to give a general picture of who I am and
> > where my position comes from.
> >
> > Radio Buff wrote:
> >>
> >> No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all
> >> elements required for their license class.
> >
> > Although I see the reasoning behind this request I disagree. There are
> > older hams who wouldn't be able to pass their license class test but
> > who still enjoy participating in their nets and talking to their circle
> > of ham friends. I don't want to be the one to take that away from a
> > senior ham who is hurting absolutely no one by enjoying their hobby.
> > The fact they might not pass the Extra test means nothing.
>
> Yes it does mean something. It means they're appliance operators. They
> pass their exams once then forget everything and don't want to advance
> themselves anymore or be proficient hams. They're not an asset to the
> service, they're just lazy asses with licenses and only want to operate
> appliances.
>
> > Radio Buff isn't going to want to give up enjoying radio when he/she is
> > older and can't pass the exam either.
>
> If I can't pass the tests, I don't deserve a license, or renewel. I don't
> get to operate if I can't qualify. It's that simple. You people think
> that if someone can't pass a test, they should get the license anyway.
> It's a government handout just like how you get your welfare checks and
> food stamps in the mail at your project housing. Your Democrat party
> outcome based thinking is what is distroying Amateur radio and America.
> Everyone is equal and if you want to excel and improve yourself there is
> something wrong with you because your not supposed to want to do that.
>
> >>

> >> The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
> >
> > I have no problem with this provided the exam is similar. If you want
> > to raise the minimum score required while also increasing the
> > difficulty level of the exam by 20-25% the combination will make it too
> > difficult for many. You will kill amateur radio because there will be
> > far fewer coming into the hobby than going SK.
>
> Quality or quantity? You people that want things easy always say
> requiring more qualified licensees will kill the service. You're against
> quality because it require you have to work a little. Well, If you ever
> need radio help in an emergency to save lives & property, and the ham
> operator you talk to on the other end is an incompetant retard, I'll bet
> you'd wished for quality then.
>
> >>
> >> Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
> >
> > Code should not be a part of the license test anymore than PSK or any
> > other operating mode. There are so many different ways to enjoy and
> > utilize amateur radio today that were not available decades ago that
> > make code no longer a necessity. Mandating code skills is now similar
> > to mandating slide rule skills for an engineer. It is an excellent tool
> > and anyone capable of using it has a true skill. That said, there is
> > nothing that can't be done by one without slide rule skills. They may
> > be at a disadvantage at certain times and in certain specific locations
> > and scenarios but for the most part the individual with the slide rule
> > skills has no true advantage today as they did a few decades ago. The
> > same holds true with code.
>
> Yah, we can't require quality or skill for a license. I know you're right.
> We gotta be like CB'ers.
>
> > I do believe there should be a segment of the bands each license class
> > is authorized that is reserved for those with code in their license. It
> > just shouldn't be a requirement any longer. It keeps otherwise
> > intelligent and capable people away from the hobby and the goal should
> > be to bring them in not keep them away.
>
> Code isn't a requirement, you can always talk on CB, FRS, and cell phones
> all you want.
>
> >>
> >> Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
> >
> > This point is pointless with the sensible approach outlined above.
>
> No, it's not pointless. It insures licenses will improve and will be
> valuable to the service. I know you would hate that because in another
> month you would either have to upgrade or loose your ticket.

>
> Doesn't anyone else here want to save ham radio? I'm getting tired of
> arguing with the lazy asses. I hope some of you cared and want to help me
> save ham radio enough that you emailed this to President Bush, your
> Senators and Congressmen to press the FCC does the right thing for us:
>
> No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.
>
> The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>
> Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
> Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> 73, Thanks for your support.
>
> SC

Dipshit. If code is the only way to go, why are you using text for
your usenet messages? Can you say "Hypocrite"

--
Service to my country? Been there, Done that, and I've got my DD214 to
prove it.
Member of DAV #85.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

2168. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 02:19:33 UTC
From: "K5LDB.Leo@gmail.com" <K5LDB.Leo@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152584373.885138.68150@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:.....
<snip>

I have never seen such a pompous, egotistical, arrogant horse's rear.
You insult and denigrate anyone not holding the erroneous and outdated
beliefs you espouse. You make assumptions about the character and
education level of those who disagree, all of which are negative and
insulting even though you know nothing of the person. You are wrong,
both in your closed minded refusal to even attempt to see the other
side of your mis-struck coin and moreso in your rude and insulting
manner.

2169. Re: Why does slow code hate the ARS I asuume it is becuase one of his other names is hey stupid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 02:23:17 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <q226b2982pra20ga0qtdumo8urbqmo6o1u@4ax.com>
Source record: 8549
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 10 Jul 2006 18:48:09 -0700, "an old friend"
<kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> On 10 Jul 2006 14:52:20 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
>> wrote:

>> >I already earned those prevlidges

>> Earned? By what? Filling out an application? Memorizing enough
>> answers to just pass the written exam?
>exactly the same way you did by passing the tests required at the time

There's a difference between earning and being able to get with no
effort.

>> >> The no-code license was a bad idea to begin
>> >> with anyway.
>>
>> >perhaps it was (I don't agree it was but I will grant it might be)
>> >but it is 20 years too late for that discussion
>>
>> It's never too late to correct a mistake.
>if it were a mistake the only bigger mistake would be to elimate half
>of the curent numbers of licensees

That you have an opinion doesn't make the opinion something that
should be government policy. Who do you think you are, Bush? (Oh,
forget that - his opinion isn't worth as much as yours.)

>but in any case the FCC will never take my prevledges thay have made
>that clear

Right - the government NEVER changes anything. Are you really that
naive?

> accordingly you need to learn to deal with what is instaned
>of trying to overturn history

I have nothing to deal with - I'm licensed, and could keep my license
if they made 20 wpm, or digital mode testing, a yearly requirement.
The biggest problem would be freeing up a day to get to wherever the
test was being given.

>> >Acualy I could have gotten one

>> It was you who said, "I having never been able to passa code test", so
>> you couldn't have.

>you spoke too soon

No, you could have stolen a license - you couldn't have been licensed.
There's a difference. Robbing a bank isn't earning a salary.

>> That's not passing, that's cheating. If you're old enough to go to
>> school you're old enough to know the difference.

>so it is cheating it still is obtaining a license

That's about what it's come to these days, isn't it? We can't hurt
people's feelings by telling them that they're just not qualified to
do what they want to do. The kid fails all his tests and never turns
in homework? Hey, he's still a high school graduate. Let his boss
suffer.

For many years, my CCNY degree was worthless, because anyone could get
one. Whether they could pass the tests, or not even sign their name,
mad no difference. That little escapade has changed. Maybe some day
a ham ticket will mean something again too. Right now all it means is
that you took the time to apply for it.

>> >but you clearly hate what the ARS is

>> If he hated it he wouldn't care what it's become.

>he hates the ARS today his manner makes that plain

>>He cares because he
>> DOESN'T hate it.

>no he thinks or igmagine he can hijack it

It's BEEN hijacked - he's trying to get it back.

>> >and you would enact a sytem that would kill they ARS as it is if you
>> >had the power
>>
>> There were plenty of hams when 13 wpm was the entry level. A 5 wpm
>> code test and a *real* written test wouldn't kill it, it would just
>> separate those who are willing to do what it takes to be hams from
>> those who just want the license without the work.

>maybe nut the current entry rates sugest otherwise

Correlation isn't cause. Who needs ham radio with cell phones and the
internet? (I spent a lot of my ham effort maintaining an HT so that I
had communications when I was out and about. A cell phone's so much
easier.)

> and He does not
>propose 5wpm and writeen test he prososes 13 wpm and a total lack of an
>effective entry class and elimiating half the current licees and likely

>a lot more than that

Oh, you mean the way it used to be, when there were plenty of hams.

>and any "paln" that involves dumping 300,000 hams right of the bat is
>pretty going to finish off the ARS

Seems to me we had a pretty good ARS when we only has 100,000 hams. If dumping 300,000 means losing half, it means having 3 times the number we used to have. How is tripling "finishing off"?

2170. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 02:25:23 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <1t26b2t91fqopt31f7qcjjjlh9r02jv8jn@4ax.com>
Source record: 8550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 10 Jul 2006 18:40:07 -0700, "an old friend"
<kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote:

>but it is load of bullto say Morse is a language

Your opinion, not that of those who can converse in it.

>no such requirement exists indeed if you can read then the question and
>answers are suposed to read to you and your aswers taken down

Languageless? You MUST demonstrate proficiency in at least ONE
language to get a license, even today. A code test is demonstrating
proficiency in two languages - not a major step.

2171. Re: FA AR8200 computer cable CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 02:40:20 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <adEsg.207$NI2.76@fe05.lga>
Source record: 8451

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:5a06b2t8p1fjc7f5ks71rbnlee3o43p1v9@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 18:05:16 -0500, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote:
>
>>Fuck off shit head!
>
> This is Usenet. You don't like it, don't read it.

He was talking to me directly Al. Unless this is Nazi Germany? I believe I have the freedom to reply any way I see fit. Is that all right with you Al?

BTW if you didn't like my post, you shouldn't have read it. I guess you don't like the taste of your own medicine?

BH

2172. Re: Why does slow code hate the ARS I asuume it is becuase one of his other names is hey stupid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 02:42:37 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152585757.621645.246930@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8452
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Al Klein wrote:
> On 10 Jul 2006 18:48:09 -0700, "an old friend"
> <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
> >> On 10 Jul 2006 14:52:20 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> >> wrote:
>
> >> >I already earned those prevlidges
>
> >> Earned? By what? Filling out an application? Memorizing enough
> >> answers to just pass the written exam?
> >exactly the same way you did by passing the tests required at the time
>
> There's a difference between earning and being able to get with no
> effort.
I spent plenty of effort overthe years
>
> >> >> The no-code license was a bad idea to begin
> >> >> with anyway.
> >>
> >> >perhaps it was (I don't agree it was but I will grant it might be)
> >> >but it is 20 years too late for that discussion
> >>
> >> It's never too late to correct a mistake.
> >if it were a mistake the only bigger mistake would be to elimate half
> >of the curent numbers of licensees
>
> That you have an opinion doesn't make the opinion something that
> should be government policy.
sure thing you do the same
> Who do you think you are, Bush? (Oh,
> forget that - his opinion isn't worth as much as yours.)
never said d thtat either
>
> >but in any case the FCC will never take my prevledges thay have made
> >that clear
>
> Right - the government NEVER changes anything. Are you really that
> naive?
never no not soon yes
```

>
> > accordingly you need to learn to deal with what is instaned
> >of trying to overturn history
>
> I have nothing to deal with - I'm licensed, and could keep my license
> if they made 20 wpm, or digital mode testing, a yearly requirement.
yes you wold have to deal with thresult of ruining the ARS
> The biggest problem would be freeing up a day to get to wherever the
> test was being given.
and having any freqs left to operate on
>
> >> >Acualy I could have gotten one
>
> >> It was you who said, "I having never been able to passa code test", so
> >> you couldn't have.
>
> >you spoke too soon
>
> No, you could have stolen a license - you couldn't have been licensed.
no I could have aloowed other to
> There's a difference. Robbing a bank isn't earning a salary.
lots of differences but at least I am in touch with the real world
>
> >> That's not passing, that's cheating. If you're old enough to go to
> >> school you're old enough to know the difference.
>
> >so it is cheating it still is obtaining a license
>
> That's about what it's come to these days, isn't it?
that offer was 30 years ago

> >> >but you clearly hate what the ARS is
>
> >> If he hated it he wouldn't care what it's become.
>
> >he hates the ARS today his manner makes that plain
>
> >>He cares because he
> >> DOESN'T hate it.
>
> >no he thinks or igmagine he can hijack it
>
> It's BEEN hijacked - he's trying to get it back.
if it was hijackewd that was 26 years ago theat service does not exist
anymore
>
> >> >and you would enact a sytem that would kill they ARS as it is if you
> >> >had the power
> >>
> >> There were plenty of hams when 13 wpm was the entry level. A 5 wpm
> >> code test and a *real* written test wouldn't kill it, it would just

> >> separate those who are willing to do what it takes to be hams from
> >> those who just want the license without the work.
>
> >maybe nut the current entry rates sugest otherwise
>
> Correlation isn't cause.
but it does relate to results

>
> > and He does not
> >propose 5wpm and writeen test he prososes 13 wpm and a total lack of an
> >effective entry class and elimiating half the current licees and likely
> >a lot more than that
>
> Oh, you mean the way it used to be, when there were plenty of hams.
vs the the preusre exerted for our bands
>
> >and any "paln" that involves dumping 300,000 hams right of the bat is
> >pretty going to finish off the ARS
>
> Seems to me we had a pretty good ARS when we only has 100,000 hams. If
> dumping 300,000 means losing half, it means having 3 times the number
> we used to have. How is tripling "finishing off"?
becuase it would be halfing not triplling and to assume it is only
halfing is generous many of the current generald and extra would be
weed out withon 10 years
if ham radio looks like it it is dying we will loose oour bands to
anyone that wants em indded a likely result would losing many of ou r
hf bands bands to literaly an extpanded CB service our vhf and up
would be savaged

and we would be powerless to resist

2173. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 02:53:45 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152586425.346210.254860@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8453
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 10 Jul 2006 18:40:07 -0700, "an old friend"
> <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >but it is load of bullto say Morse is a language
>
> Your opinion, not that of those who can converse in it.
my opinion yes but also a fact Morse code is no more a language than
Crillic is

it is simply an alphabet

you can exchange a limited amount of info using the stand codes but you
can say "do you walk or run by the sea" in morse to any one that does
not speak or read english first

you are simply spreading ignorance al
>
> >no such requirement exists indeed if you can read then the question and
> >answers are suposed to read to you and your aswers taken down
>
> Languageless?
yes indeed although it easier if you do understand english not required

not suree if you can take the test in spanish (or other ;lang)right
off but if not now soon I expect it will be possible
> You MUST demonstrate proficiency in at least ONE
> language to get a license, even today.

nope no such requirement exists not for techs not generals and not
extras either

indeed you point out the way you can get a license without demtating
skill with english if you simply memroize all the questions and answers
their remains no requirement that you understand the content, that task
is easier if you know english but not a requirement

where is this requirement for lang skill in part 97?

> A code test is demonstrating
> proficiency in two languages - not a major step.

expect you are never required to demostrate profiicentcy in any
language at all
and if you were 2 languages would twice one and Code is NOT a langauage

just an alphabet

Indeeded the ignorance of Hams that spread such tales have been one of the tools the No Code movement has been using against you

2174. Re: Why does slow code hate the ARS I asuume it is becuase one of his other names is hey stupid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 03:03:09 UTC
From: CW <No-NoCodeidiots@CW4EVER.biz>
Message-ID: <44b307ab$0$9395$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 8454
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:q226b2982pra20ga0qtdumo8urbqmo6o1u@4ax.com...
> On 10 Jul 2006 18:48:09 -0700, "an old friend"
> <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Al Klein wrote:
>>> On 10 Jul 2006 14:52:20 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
>>> wrote:
>
>>> >I already earned those prevlidges
>
>>> Earned? By what? Filling out an application? Memorizing enough
>>> answers to just pass the written exam?
>>exactly the same way you did by passing the tests required at the time
>
> There's a difference between earning and being able to get with no
> effort.
>
>>> >> The no-code license was a bad idea to begin
>>> >> with anyway.
>>>
>>> >perhaps it was (I don't agree it was but I will grant it might be)
>>> >but it is 20 years too late for that discussion
>>>
>>> It's never too late to correct a mistake.
>>if it were a mistake the only bigger mistake would be to elimate half
>>of the curent numbers of licensees
>
> That you have an opinion doesn't make the opinion something that
> should be government policy. Who do you think you are, Bush? (Oh,
> forget that - his opinion isn't worth as much as yours.)
>
>>but in any case the FCC will never take my prevledges thay have made
>>that clear
>
> Right - the government NEVER changes anything. Are you really that
> naive?
>
>> accordingly you need to learn to deal with what is instaned
>>of trying to overturn history
>
> I have nothing to deal with - I'm licensed, and could keep my license

> if they made 20 wpm, or digital mode testing, a yearly requirement.
> The biggest problem would be freeing up a day to get to wherever the
> test was being given.
>
>>> >Acualy I could have gotten one
>
>>> It was you who said, "I having never been able to passa code test", so
>>> you couldn't have.
>
>>you spoke too soon
>
> No, you could have stolen a license - you couldn't have been licensed.
> There's a difference. Robbing a bank isn't earning a salary.
>
>>> That's not passing, that's cheating. If you're old enough to go to
>>> school you're old enough to know the difference.
>
>>so it is cheating it still is obtaining a license
>
> That's about what it's come to these days, isn't it? We can't hurt
> people's feelings by telling them that they're just not qualified to
> do what they want to do. The kid fails all his tests and never turns
> in homework? Hey, he's still a high school graduate. Let his boss
> suffer.
>
> For many years, my CCNY degree was worthless, because anyone could get
> one. Whether they could pass the tests, or not even sign their name,
> mad no difference. That little escapade has changed. Maybe some day
> a ham ticket will mean something again too. Right now all it means is
> that you took the time to apply for it.
>
>>> >but you clearly hate what the ARS is
>
>>> If he hated it he wouldn't care what it's become.
>
>>he hates the ARS today his manner makes that plain
>
>>>He cares because he
>>> DOESN'T hate it.
>
>>no he thinks or igmagine he can hijack it
>
> It's BEEN hijacked - he's trying to get it back.
>
>>> >and you would enact a sytem that would kill they ARS as it is if you
>>> >had the power
>>>
>>> There were plenty of hams when 13 wpm was the entry level. A 5 wpm
>>> code test and a *real* written test wouldn't kill it, it would just
>>> separate those who are willing to do what it takes to be hams from

>>> those who just want the license without the work.
>
>>maybe nut the current entry rates sugest otherwise
>
> Correlation isn't cause. Who needs ham radio with cell phones and the
> internet? (I spent a lot of my ham effort maintaining an HT so that I
> had communications when I was out and about. A cell phone's so much
> easier.)
>
>> and He does not
>>propose 5wpm and writeen test he prososes 13 wpm and a total lack of an
>>effective entry class and elimiating half the current licees and likely
>>a lot more than that
>
> Oh, you mean the way it used to be, when there were plenty of hams.
>
>>and any "paln" that involves dumping 300,000 hams right of the bat is
>>pretty going to finish off the ARS
>
> Seems to me we had a pretty good ARS when we only has 100,000 hams. If
> dumping 300,000 means losing half, it means having 3 times the number
> we used to have. How is tripling "finishing off"?
Al,
with all due respect to you as a fellow ham I strongly disagree with your position. I got my ticket the so called "right" way. I've held an advanced (WA4***) class since I had to drive to the nearest office for for the written and cw.
Remember when the dam questions weren't even allowed to be published?
Remember those stupid ass ARRL study manuals?Al,how was that better?
Remember when the Dick Bash system came along? As I remember new hams would mail Dick the questions they remembered and a crude study manual slowly developed into a pretty accurate (for the time) guide.
Then guys started passing using the system. The "real" hams figured the guys who used the Dick Bash system weren't real hams "like them". Because they passed the "right" way and the new guys were, well, you know, what you say about the techs now.

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2175. Re: Rodio Reference Lou Maag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 03:05:01 UTC
From: "M." <notvalid@email.add>
Message-ID: <yzEsg.3$Ot2.31484@sooner.brightok.net>
Source record: 8455

And you have such a wonderful life by comparison? If you had any balls
you'd post this in the RR Tavern.

<loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1152574926.522170.72350@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> This guy is a real DOUCHE BAG. He has nothing better to do than act
> like the RR site NATZI. He has alomst 5,000 posts there. Get a fu*king
> life. Jerk off, anything! Leave the RR members alone.
>

2176. Re: Why does slow code hate the ARS I asuume it is becuase one of his other names is hey stupid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 03:55:23 UTC
From: kb9rqz_fruit@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1152590123.428726.310350@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8456
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

whta why why you wat to bash my dick gte hlp forgerer
CW wrote:
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:q226b2982pra20ga0qtdumo8urbqmo6o1u@4ax.com...
> > On 10 Jul 2006 18:48:09 -0700, "an old friend"
> > <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> >>Al Klein wrote:
> >>> On 10 Jul 2006 14:52:20 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> >>> wrote:
> >
> >>> >I already earned those prevlidges
> >
> >>> Earned? By what? Filling out an application? Memorizing enough
> >>> answers to just pass the written exam?
> >>exactly the same way you did by passing the tests required at the time
> >
> > There's a difference between earning and being able to get with no
> > effort.
> >
> >>> >> The no-code license was a bad idea to begin
> >>> >> with anyway.
> >>>
> >>> >perhaps it was (I don't agree it was but I will grant it might be)
> >>> >but it is 20 years too late for that discussion
> >>>
> >>> It's never too late to correct a mistake.
> >>if it were a mistake the only bigger mistake would be to elimate half
> >>of the curent numbers of licensees
> >
> > That you have an opinion doesn't make the opinion something that
> > should be government policy. Who do you think you are, Bush? (Oh,
> > forget that - his opinion isn't worth as much as yours.)
> >
> >>but in any case the FCC will never take my prevledges thay have made
> >>that clear
> >
> > Right - the government NEVER changes anything. Are you really that
> > naive?
> >
> >> accordingly you need to learn to deal with what is instaned
> >>of trying to overturn history

> >
> > I have nothing to deal with - I'm licensed, and could keep my license
> > if they made 20 wpm, or digital mode testing, a yearly requirement.
> > The biggest problem would be freeing up a day to get to wherever the
> > test was being given.
> >
> >>> >Acualy I could have gotten one
> >
> >>> It was you who said, "I having never been able to passa code test", so
> >>> you couldn't have.
> >
> >>you spoke too soon
> >
> > No, you could have stolen a license - you couldn't have been licensed.
> > There's a difference. Robbing a bank isn't earning a salary.
> >
> >>> That's not passing, that's cheating. If you're old enough to go to
> >>> school you're old enough to know the difference.
> >
> >>so it is cheating it still is obtaining a license
> >
> > That's about what it's come to these days, isn't it? We can't hurt
> > people's feelings by telling them that they're just not qualified to
> > do what they want to do. The kid fails all his tests and never turns
> > in homework? Hey, he's still a high school graduate. Let his boss
> > suffer.
> >
> > For many years, my CCNY degree was worthless, because anyone could get
> > one. Whether they could pass the tests, or not even sign their name,
> > mad no difference. That little escapade has changed. Maybe some day
> > a ham ticket will mean something again too. Right now all it means is
> > that you took the time to apply for it.
> >
> >>> >but you clearly hate what the ARS is
> >
> >>> If he hated it he wouldn't care what it's become.
> >
> >>he hates the ARS today his manner makes that plain
> >
> >>>He cares because he
> >>> DOESN'T hate it.
> >
> >>no he thinks or igmagine he can hijack it
> >
> > It's BEEN hijacked - he's trying to get it back.
> >
> >>> >and you would enact a sytem that would kill they ARS as it is if you
> >>> >had the power
> >>>
> >>> There were plenty of hams when 13 wpm was the entry level. A 5 wpm

> >>> code test and a *real* written test wouldn't kill it, it would just
> >>> separate those who are willing to do what it takes to be hams from
> >>> those who just want the license without the work.
> >
> >>maybe nut the current entry rates sugest otherwise
> >
> > Correlation isn't cause. Who needs ham radio with cell phones and the
> > internet? (I spent a lot of my ham effort maintaining an HT so that I
> > had communications when I was out and about. A cell phone's so much
> > easier.)
> >
> >> and He does not
> >>propose 5wpm and writeen test he prososes 13 wpm and a total lack of an
> >>effective entry class and elimiating half the current licees and likely
> >>a lot more than that
> >
> > Oh, you mean the way it used to be, when there were plenty of hams.
> >
> >>and any "paln" that involves dumping 300,000 hams right of the bat is
> >>pretty going to finish off the ARS
> >
> > Seems to me we had a pretty good ARS when we only has 100,000 hams. If
> > dumping 300,000 means losing half, it means having 3 times the number
> > we used to have. How is tripling "finishing off"?
> Al,
> with all due respect to you as a fellow ham I strongly disagree with your
> position. I got my ticket the so called "right" way. I've held an advanced
> (WA4***) class since I had to drive to the nearest office for for the
> written and cw.
> Remember when the dam questions weren't even allowed to be published?
> Remember those stupid ass ARRL study manuals?Al,how was that better?
> Remember when the Dick Bash system came along? As I remember new hams would
> mail Dick the questions they remembered and a crude study manual slowly
> developed into a pretty accurate (for the time) guide.
> Then guys started passing using the system. The "real" hams figured the guys
> who used the Dick Bash system weren't real hams "like them". Because they
> passed the "right" way and the new guys were, well, you know, what you say
> about the techs now.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2177. Re: Rodio Reference Lou Maag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 04:19:00 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <UEFsg.169784$F_3.136676@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 8458

Yes, posting under a ficticious e-mail address. What a coward!

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:mc06b2lhi4evlvlgjh4gh273dvaigq5p92@4ax.com...
> On 10 Jul 2006 16:42:06 -0700, loumaagsucks@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>> This guy is a real DOUCHE BAG. He has nothing better to do than act
>>like the RR site NATZI. He has alomst 5,000 posts there. Get a fu*king
>>life. Jerk off, anything! Leave the RR members alone.
>
> Aww, poor baby. Lou said something you disagree with? And you're too
> dishonest to post under a name someone would recognize? And you think
> SANE people will believe anything you say?
>
> I'll get back to you when I finish laughing at you, little boy.

2178. HELP (Please) - BC898T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 04:19:46 UTC
From: me <no.spam@here.com>
Message-ID: <44B326E1.8065FA9@here.com>
Source record: 8457
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner

Computer crashed, had to replace hard drive.

My BC898T and ARC898 Software had to be reinstalled. No longer
have the passwords. Uniden & Butel (who I purchased the software from)
will not give me the passwords that I already paid for - to reinstall
the software.

Would greatly appreciate the passwords for these programs

Thank you

2179. Re: Why does slow code hate the ARS I asuume it is becuase one of his other names is hey stupid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 04:23:42 UTC
From: marky_jizz_fizz@mail2boy.com
Message-ID: <1152591822.888141.146040@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8459
Cross-posted to: alt.sex.anal,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.scatology,alt.sex.oral

HEY FAGGOT WHY DON'T YOU AND CW GO BACK ON EHAM AND HAVE MORE CYBER SEX
YOU SICK COCKSUCKER HEY FAG-BOI WE KNOW YOU SUCKED OFF NICKY (AKA CW)
TO GET HIM HERE. YOU BOTH EAT SHIT AND SUCK COCK
kb9rqz_fruit@yahoo.com wrote:
> whta why why you wat to bash my dick gte hlp forgerer
> CW wrote:
> > "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> > news:q226b2982pra20ga0qtdumo8urbqmo6o1u@4ax.com...
> > > On 10 Jul 2006 18:48:09 -0700, "an old friend"
> > > <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > >
> > >>Al Klein wrote:
> > >>> On 10 Jul 2006 14:52:20 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> > >>> wrote:
> > >
> > >>>I already earned those prevlidges
> > >
> > >>> Earned? By what? Filling out an application? Memorizing enough
> > >>> answers to just pass the written exam?
> > >>exactly the same way you did by passing the tests required at the time
> > >
> > > There's a difference between earning and being able to get with no
> > > effort.
> > >
> > >>> >> The no-code license was a bad idea to begin
> > >>> >> with anyway.
> > >>>
> > >>> >perhaps it was (I don't agree it was but I will grant it might be)
> > >>> >but it is 20 years too late for that discussion
> > >>>
> > >>> It's never too late to correct a mistake.
> > >>if it were a mistake the only bigger mistake would be to elimate half
> > >>of the curent numbers of licensees
> > >
> > > That you have an opinion doesn't make the opinion something that
> > > should be government policy. Who do you think you are, Bush? (Oh,
> > > forget that - his opinion isn't worth as much as yours.)
> > >
> > >>but in any case the FCC will never take my prevledges thay have made
> > >>that clear
> > >
> > > Right - the government NEVER changes anything. Are you really that

> > > naive?
> > >
> > >> accordingly you need to learn to deal with what is instaned
> > >>of trying to overturn history
> > >
> > > I have nothing to deal with - I'm licensed, and could keep my license
> > > if they made 20 wpm, or digital mode testing, a yearly requirement.
> > > The biggest problem would be freeing up a day to get to wherever the
> > > test was being given.
> > >
> > >>> >Acualy I could have gotten one
> > >
> > >>> It was you who said, "I having never been able to passa code test", so
> > >>> you couldn't have.
> > >
> > >>you spoke too soon
> > >
> > > No, you could have stolen a license - you couldn't have been licensed.
> > > There's a difference. Robbing a bank isn't earning a salary.
> > >
> > >>> That's not passing, that's cheating. If you're old enough to go to
> > >>> school you're old enough to know the difference.
> > >
> > >>so it is cheating it still is obtaining a license
> > >
> > > That's about what it's come to these days, isn't it? We can't hurt
> > > people's feelings by telling them that they're just not qualified to
> > > do what they want to do. The kid fails all his tests and never turns
> > > in homework? Hey, he's still a high school graduate. Let his boss
> > > suffer.
> > >
> > > For many years, my CCNY degree was worthless, because anyone could get
> > > one. Whether they could pass the tests, or not even sign their name,
> > > mad no difference. That little escapade has changed. Maybe some day
> > > a ham ticket will mean something again too. Right now all it means is
> > > that you took the time to apply for it.
> > >
> > >>> >but you clearly hate what the ARS is
> > >
> > >>> If he hated it he wouldn't care what it's become.
> > >
> > >>he hates the ARS today his manner makes that plain
> > >
> > >>>He cares because he
> > >>> DOESN'T hate it.
> > >
> > >>no he thinks or igmagine he can hijack it
> > >
> > > It's BEEN hijacked - he's trying to get it back.
> > >

> > >>> >and you would enact a sytem that would kill they ARS as it is if you
> > >>> >had the power
> > >>>
> > >>> There were plenty of hams when 13 wpm was the entry level. A 5 wpm
> > >>> code test and a *real* written test wouldn't kill it, it would just
> > >>> separate those who are willing to do what it takes to be hams from
> > >>> those who just want the license without the work.
> > >
> > >>maybe nut the current entry rates sugest otherwise
> > >
> > > Correlation isn't cause. Who needs ham radio with cell phones and the
> > > internet? (I spent a lot of my ham effort maintaining an HT so that I
> > > had communications when I was out and about. A cell phone's so much
> > > easier.)
> > >
> > >> and He does not
> > >>propose 5wpm and writeen test he prososes 13 wpm and a total lack of an
> > >>effective entry class and elimiating half the current licees and likely
> > >>a lot more than that
> > >
> > > Oh, you mean the way it used to be, when there were plenty of hams.
> > >
> > >>and any "paln" that involves dumping 300,000 hams right of the bat is
> > >>pretty going to finish off the ARS
> > >
> > > Seems to me we had a pretty good ARS when we only has 100,000 hams. If
> > > dumping 300,000 means losing half, it means having 3 times the number
> > > we used to have. How is tripling "finishing off"?
> > Al,
> > with all due respect to you as a fellow ham I strongly disagree with your
> > position. I got my ticket the so called "right" way. I've held an advanced
> > (WA4***) class since I had to drive to the nearest office for for the
> > written and cw.
> > Remember when the dam questions weren't even allowed to be published?
> > Remember those stupid ass ARRL study manuals?Al,how was that better?
> > Remember when the Dick Bash system came along? As I remember new hams would
> > mail Dick the questions they remembered and a crude study manual slowly
> > developed into a pretty accurate (for the time) guide.
> > Then guys started passing using the system. The "real" hams figured the guys
> > who used the Dick Bash system weren't real hams "like them". Because they
> > passed the "right" way and the new guys were, well, you know, what you say
> > about the techs now.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2180. Re: Why does slow code hate the ARS I asuume it is becuase one of his other names is hey stupid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 04:31:47 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152592307.437700.172400@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8460
Cross-posted to: alt.sex.anal,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.scatology,alt.sex.oral

marky_jizz_fizz@mail2boy.com wrote:
> HEY FAGGOT WHY DON'T YOU AND CW GO BACK ON EHAM AND HAVE MORE CYBER SEX
> YOU SICK COCKSUCKER HEY FAG-BOI WE KNOW YOU SUCKED OFF NICKY (AKA CW)
> TO GET HIM HERE. YOU BOTH EAT SHIT AND SUCK COCK
> kb9rqz_fruit@yahoo.com wrote:
> > whta why why you wat to bash my dick gte hlp forgerer
you should watch talking to yourself this way Wismen

2181. Re: FA AR8200 computer cable CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 05:35:06 UTC
From: Jesse <org@org.orgy>
Message-ID: <Xns97FD10218DAD5ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 8461

"Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in news:adEsg.207$Nl2.76@fe05.lga:

>
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:5a06b2t8p1fjc7f5ks71rbnlee3o43p1v9@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 18:05:16 -0500, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote:
>>
>>>Fuck off shit head!
>>
>> This is Usenet. You don't like it, don't read it.
>
> He was talking to me directly Al.

And "fuck off shithead" is your defense against charges of obvious greed and
fleecing ?
What if your buddy who gave you a cable now demanded $80 ?
You would tell him to go fly a kite, wouldn't you ?

2182. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 11:42:38 UTC
From: "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net>
Message-ID: <c0077$44b38f28$d06640f9$511@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 8462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

No Al, CW is a mode not a language. Are you seriously this ignorant?
Just about any language on the planet can use the mode CW which makes it
not a language.

You people that keep trying to make CW something it's not amaze me.
It's not a god, it's just a old mode.

Al Klein wrote:
> On 10 Jul 2006 08:36:15 -0700, "K5LDB.Leo@gmail.com"
> <K5LDB.Leo@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> I think that's one of the problems here. CW isn't just an operating
> mode - it's a language, and the test already includes one language, so
> testing for knowledge of a language isn't anything new.
>
> There's NEVER been a test of CW operating mode, technique or ability -
> just proficiency with the language of International Morse. Since one
> must demonstrate proficiency with another language (English) to obtain
> a license, it's a matter of degree, not a matter of kind.

2183. Re: HELP (Please) - BC898T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 12:17:26 UTC
From: Melv <melvyn.rattenburynojunk@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <qFMsg.83209$IQ.57876@newsfe3-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 8463
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner

Ha ha ha! Yeah right.
Melv
"me" <no.spam@here.com> wrote in message news:44B326E1.8065FA9@here.com...
>
>
> Computer crashed, had to replace hard drive.
>
> My BC898T and ARC898 Software had to be reinstalled. No longer
> have the passwords. Uniden & Butel (who I purchased the software from)
> will not give me the passwords that I already paid for - to reinstall
> the software.
>
> Would greatly appreciate the passwords for these programs
>
> Thank you
>
>
>

2184. Re: HELP (Please) - BC898T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 12:18:43 UTC
From: Mike GW8IJT <michael.cawood@homecall.co.uk>
Message-ID: <4hhj94F1s37trU1@individual.net>
Source record: 8464
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner

"me" <no.spam@here.com> wrote in message news:44B326E1.8065FA9@here.com...
>
>
> Computer crashed, had to replace hard drive.
>
> My BC898T and ARC898 Software had to be reinstalled. No longer
> have the passwords. Uniden & Butel (who I purchased the software from)
> will not give me the passwords that I already paid for - to reinstall
> the software.
>
> Would greatly appreciate the passwords for these programs
>
> Thank you
>
>
>
Always keep a record of all passwords.
Regards Mike.

2185. Re: HELP (Please) - BC898T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 12:31:24 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <sf67b2pvompb3ugvadgehnsmn6mtbfsc6t@4ax.com>
Source record: 8465
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner

On Tue, 11 Jul 2006 00:19:46 -0400, me <no.spam@here.com> wrote:

> Computer crashed, had to replace hard drive.

> My BC898T and ARC898 Software had to be reinstalled. No longer
>have the passwords. Uniden & Butel (who I purchased the software from)
>will not give me the passwords that I already paid for - to reinstall
>the software.

>Would greatly appreciate the passwords for these programs

Both Uniden and Butel are always very helpful in replacing lost
passwords.

2186. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 12:32:34 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <gh67b29tg6qan0letetf0nglaechpp4v9l@4ax.com>
Source record: 8466
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 11 Jul 2006 02:15:26 GMT, "Michael A. Terrell"
<mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote:

> Dipshit. If code is the only way to go, why are you using text for
>your usenet messages? Can you say "Hypocrite"

If text is the only way to go, why do you speak?

Can you say "Yes, I ar wun"?

2187. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 12:37:33 UTC
From: "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net>
Message-ID: <90114$44b39c08$d06640f9$17163@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 8467
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I think you have to refer to people like Al as "Code Nazis" as they want
to force it on everyone, they try to make it into something it is not,
they think code is a cure for what ails the amateur service, and the
only way to salvation is through the code. Anyone who is not on the
code bandwagon is unfit for the amateur service and needs to be eliminated.

"All hail the code!" - NOT!

Al Klein wrote:
>
> If text is the only way to go, why do you speak?
>
> Can you say "Yes, I ar wun"?

2188. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 12:41:05 UTC
From: "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net>
Message-ID: <66c90$44b39cdc$d06640f9$17163@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 8470
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Al, I am speaking 4-year-old English to you so that you can maybe
comprehend the conversation. I would hate to go over your head and
totally lose you.

Al Klein wrote:
> On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 18:33:21 -0400, "J. D. B."
> <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote:
>
>> Al, my four year old makes more sense and can carry on a more
>> intelligent discussion that you. I am going to chat with him.
>> Fffffttttt - you're outta here moron.
>
> I'm crushed. When you grow up maybe you'll understand adult level
> English. Till then keep speaking 4-year-old English.
```

2189. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 12:41:48 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <oj67b2dkn0ilq0dum5md93ikof7k7284na@4ax.com>
Source record: 8468
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 10 Jul 2006 19:53:45 -0700, "an old friend"
<kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> On 10 Jul 2006 18:40:07 -0700, "an old friend"
>> <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> >but it is load of bullto say Morse is a language

>> Your opinion, not that of those who can converse in it.
>my opinion yes but also a fact Morse code is no more a language than
>Crillic is

Yes, I know your opinion. That still makes it just your opinion.

>it is simply an alphabet

No more than ASL is "just an alphabet". (BTW, those who actually
study the subject consider both Morse and ASL to be languages.)

>you can exchange a limited amount of info using the stand codes but you
>can say "do you walk or run by the sea" in morse to any one that does
>not speak or read english first

Neither can you communicate with someone in ASL if they don't
understand English. So? That still doesn't stop ASL from being a
language.

>you are simply spreading ignorance al

That you don't know something doesn't make it the ignorance of others.

>> >no such requirement exists indeed if you can read then the question and
>> >answers are suposed to read to you and your aswers taken down

>> Languageless?

>yes indeed although it easier if you do understand english not required

So if you don't understand English you can still answer English
questions. Interesting.

>not suree if you can take the test in spanish

I'm sure - you can. But one thing's for sure - it has to be in SOME
language.

>> You MUST demonstrate proficiency in at least ONE
>> language to get a license, even today.

>nope no such requirement exists not for techs not generals and not
>extras either

Stop arguing and start thinking. Your claim - that one doesn't have
to know any language to pass the test - is nonsense.

>indeed you point out the way you can get a license without demtating
>skill with english if you simply memroize all the questions and answers

In what language?

>their remains no requirement that you understand the content, that task
>is easier if you know english but not a requirement

You can understand the content if you don't understand any language?
How?

>where is this requirement for lang skill in part 97?

Part 97 itself.

>> A code test is demonstrating
>> proficiency in two languages - not a major step.

>expect you are never required to demostrate profiicentcy in any
>language at all

Which you are. You're just arguing, not thinking.

>and if you were 2 languages would twice one and Code is NOT a langauage
>just an alphabet

Again, your opinion, not the opinion of language experts.

>Indeeded the ignorance of Hams that spread such tales have been one of
>the tools the No Code movement has been using against you

The reason the FCC is dropping the code requirement has absolutely
nothing to do with any "movement" or any lame argument like "you don't
have to understand any language to pass the test".

2190. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 12:43:09 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <h477b2pi2la8c740oie36slmik2b6ltbca@4ax.com>
Source record: 8469
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 11 Jul 2006 07:42:38 -0400, "J. D. B."
<duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote:

>No Al, CW is a mode not a language.

You're arguing against experts in the field of language, not against
me.

> Are you seriously this ignorant?

Are you?

>Just about any language on the planet can use the mode CW which makes it
>not a language.

Any language can be signed - but ASL is a recognized (by the experts)
language. Which nullifies that argument.

2191. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 12:52:29 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e906q7mmk1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 8472
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:h477b2pi2la8c740oie36slmik2b6ltbca@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 11 Jul 2006 07:42:38 -0400, "J. D. B."
> <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote:
>
>>No Al, CW is a mode not a language.
>
> You're arguing against experts in the field of language, not against
> me.
>
>> Are you seriously this ignorant?
>
> Are you?
>
>>Just about any language on the planet can use the mode CW which makes it
>>not a language.
>
> Any language can be signed - but ASL is a recognized (by the experts)
> language. Which nullifies that argument.

CW is not a language, it is a mode. I think what is being confused here is Morse code, and in particular much of the Morse shorthand used in the CW mode (and, for that matter, in much of phone mode as well). Those ARE a language of sorts.

2192. Re: Why does slow code hate the ARS I asuume it is becuase one of his other names is hey stupid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 12:53:46 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <8777b2tp7v0n2hp9iup9qujagkg3a2718k@4ax.com>
Source record: 8471
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 10 Jul 2006 19:42:37 -0700, "an old friend"
<kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> On 10 Jul 2006 18:48:09 -0700, "an old friend"
>> <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> >> >I already earned those prevlidges

>> >> Earned? By what? Filling out an application? Memorizing enough
>> >> answers to just pass the written exam?
>> >exactly the same way you did by passing the tests required at the time

>> There's a difference between earning and being able to get with no
>> effort.

>I spent plenty of effort overthe years

Evidently it was wasted effort, since it didn't result in your being
able to use CW.

>> >but in any case the FCC will never take my prevledges thay have made
>> >that clear

>> Right - the government NEVER changes anything. Are you really that
>> naive?

>never no not soon yes

You're the one who said "never".

>> > accordingly you need to learn to deal with what is instaned
>> >of trying to overturn history

>> I have nothing to deal with - I'm licensed, and could keep my license
>> if they made 20 wpm, or digital mode testing, a yearly requirement.

>yes you wold have to deal with thresult of ruining the ARS

No, I really don't care that my license really means nothing these
days, and will mean even less in the future. I can operate on those
frequencies, and those modes, that I want to use, and talk to those
people I want to talk to. Whether new hams need CW or not.

>> The biggest problem would be freeing up a day to get to wherever the
>> test was being given.

>and having any freqs left to operate on

That has nothing to do with CW - that's set by international
agreement. Do you really think that if we had "only" 300,000 hams,
we'd lose our frequencies?

>lots of differences but at least I am in touch with the real world

Thinking that robbing a bank is earning money? Not very much touch.

>> >so it is cheating it still is obtaining a license

>> That's about what it's come to these days, isn't it?

>that offer was 30 years ago

Oh, I didn't notice. I was licensed for years by that time. I've
never been "offered" anything by the FCC.

>> It's BEEN hijacked - he's trying to get it back.

>if it was hijackewd that was 26 years ago theat service does not exist
>anymore

Yes, and?

>> >> >and you would enact a sytem that would kill they ARS as it is if you
>> >> >had the power

>> >> There were plenty of hams when 13 wpm was the entry level. A 5 wpm
>> >> code test and a *real* written test wouldn't kill it, it would just
>> >> separate those who are willing to do what it takes to be hams from
>> >> those who just want the license without the work.

>> >maybe nut the current entry rates sugest otherwise

>> Correlation isn't cause.

>but it does relate to results

"But" is usually used to refute something, not to just say "is too".
If you take your fingers out of your ears you might hear something.

>> > and He does not
>> >propose 5wpm and writeen test he prososes 13 wpm and a total lack of an
>> >effective entry class and elimiating half the current licees and likely
>> >a lot more than that

>> Oh, you mean the way it used to be, when there were plenty of hams.

>vs the the preusre exerted for our bands

The number of *US* hams has very little to do with what bands are
internationally allocated to hams. Especially these days, when US
prestige has sunk so far.

>> >and any "paln" that involves dumping 300,000 hams right of the bat is
>> >pretty going to finish off the ARS

>> Seems to me we had a pretty good ARS when we only has 100,000 hams. If
>> dumping 300,000 means losing half, it means having 3 times the number
>> we used to have. How is tripling "finishing off"?

>becuase it would be halfing not triplling and to assume it is only
>halfing is generous many of the current generald and extra would be
>weed out withon 10 years

300,000 is half of 100,000? What kind of arithmetic are you using? I
can't seem to get my calculator to make it come out that way.

>if ham radio looks like it it is dying we will loose oour bands to
>anyone that wants em

Your opinion - again, the world at large doesn't really care too much
what the US does these days, as far as number of hams.

> indded a likely result would losing many of ou r
>hf bands bands to literaly an extpanded CB service our vhf and up
>would be savaged

That has nothing to do with frequency allocation. Learn how the ITU
works before you make such funny assertions.

>and we would be powerless to resist

We ARE pretty powerless to resist now - but whether we keep a CW
requirement has nothing to do with the ITU. They couldn't care less.

2193. Re: Why does slow code hate the ARS I asuume it is becuase one of his other names is hey stupid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 13:46:37 UTC
From: Noon-Air <Noon-Air@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <i-CdnZfNndlcNi7ZnZ2dnUVZ_o-dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

47 new messages and most of them are yours... why don't you wither killfile
the dirtball, or get a room... take your pick.

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:8777b2tp7v0n2hp9iup9qujagkg3a2718k@4ax.com...
> On 10 Jul 2006 19:42:37 -0700, "an old friend"
> <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Al Klein wrote:
>>> On 10 Jul 2006 18:48:09 -0700, "an old friend"
>>> <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>> >> >I already earned those prevlidges
>
>>> >> Earned? By what? Filling out an application? Memorizing enough
>>> >> answers to just pass the written exam?
>>> >exactly the same way you did by passing the tests required at the time
>
>>> There's a difference between earning and being able to get with no
>>> effort.
>
>>I spent plenty of effort overthe years
>
> Evidently it was wasted effort, since it didn't result in your being
> able to use CW.
>
>>> >but in any case the FCC will never take my prevledges thay have made
>>> >that clear
>
>>> Right - the government NEVER changes anything. Are you really that
>>> naive?
>
>>never no not soon yes
>
> You're the one who said "never".
>
>>> > accordingly you need to learn to deal with what is instaned
>>> >of trying to overturn history
>
>>> I have nothing to deal with - I'm licensed, and could keep my license
>>> if they made 20 wpm, or digital mode testing, a yearly requirement.
>

>>yes you wold have to deal with thresult of ruining the ARS
>
> No, I really don't care that my license really means nothing these
> days, and will mean even less in the future. I can operate on those
> frequencies, and those modes, that I want to use, and talk to those
> people I want to talk to. Whether new hams need CW or not.
>
>>> The biggest problem would be freeing up a day to get to wherever the
>>> test was being given.
>
>>and having any freqs left to operate on
>
> That has nothing to do with CW - that's set by international
> agreement. Do you really think that if we had "only" 300,000 hams,
> we'd lose our frequencies?
>
>>lots of differences but at least I am in touch with the real world
>
> Thinking that robbing a bank is earning money? Not very much touch.
>
>>> >so it is cheating it still is obtaining a license
>
>>> That's about what it's come to these days, isn't it?
>
>>that offer was 30 years ago
>
> Oh, I didn't notice. I was licensed for years by that time. I've
> never been "offered" anything by the FCC.
>
>>> It's BEEN hijacked - he's trying to get it back.
>
>>if it was hijackewd that was 26 years ago theat service does not exist
>>anymore
>
> Yes, and?
>
>>> >> >and you would enact a sytem that would kill they ARS as it is if you
>>> >> >had the power
>
>>> >> There were plenty of hams when 13 wpm was the entry level. A 5 wpm
>>> >> code test and a *real* written test wouldn't kill it, it would just
>>> >> separate those who are willing to do what it takes to be hams from
>>> >> those who just want the license without the work.
>
>>> >maybe nut the current entry rates sugest otherwise
>
>>> Correlation isn't cause.
>
>>but it does relate to results
>

> "But" is usually used to refute something, not to just say "is too".
> If you take your fingers out of your ears you might hear something.
>
>>> > and He does not
>>> >propose 5wpm and writeen test he prososes 13 wpm and a total lack of an
>>> >effective entry class and elimiating half the current licees and likely
>>> >a lot more than that
>
>>> Oh, you mean the way it used to be, when there were plenty of hams.
>
>>vs the the preusre exerted for our bands
>
> The number of *US* hams has very little to do with what bands are
> *internationally* allocated to hams. Especially these days, when US
> prestige has sunk so far.
>
>>> >and any "paln" that involves dumping 300,000 hams right of the bat is
>>> >pretty going to finish off the ARS
>
>>> Seems to me we had a pretty good ARS when we only has 100,000 hams. If
>>> dumping 300,000 means losing half, it means having 3 times the number
>>> we used to have. How is tripling "finishing off"?
>
>>becuase it would be halfing not triplling and to assume it is only
>>halfing is generous many of the current generald and extra would be
>>weed out withon 10 years
>
> 300,000 is half of 100,000? What kind of arithmetic are you using? I
> can't seem to get my calculator to make it come out that way.
>
>>if ham radio looks like it it is dying we will loose oour bands to
>>anyone that wants em
>
> Your opinion - again, the world at large doesn't really care too much
> what the US does these days, as far as number of hams.
>
>> indded a likely result would losing many of ou r
>>hf bands bands to literaly an extpanded CB service our vhf and up
>>would be savaged
>
> That has nothing to do with frequency allocation. Learn how the ITU
> works before you make such funny assertions.
>
>>and we would be powerless to resist
>
> We ARE pretty powerless to resist now - but whether we keep a CW
> requirement has nothing to do with the ITU. They couldn't care less.

2194. Re: Why does slow code hate the ARS I asuume it is becuase one of his other names is hey stupid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 16:19:51 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152634791.816355.68150@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8474
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 10 Jul 2006 19:42:37 -0700, "an old friend"
> <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
> >> On 10 Jul 2006 18:48:09 -0700, "an old friend"
> >> <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >> >> >I already earned those prevlidges
>
> >> >> Earned? By what? Filling out an application? Memorizing enough
> >> >> answers to just pass the written exam?
> >> >exactly the same way you did by passing the tests required at the time
>
> >> There's a difference between earning and being able to get with no
> >> effort.
>
> >I spent plenty of effort overthe years
>
> Evidently it was wasted effort, since it didn't result in your being
> able to use CW.
indeed it was wasted

cut
> >and we would be powerless to resist
>
> We ARE pretty powerless to resist now -

bullshit you realy are unamerican the No code movement has showne we
have the powerthe chnange to change interantional law and national
rules on this subject

Maxim and his crowd shown we could change mnds in officaldom after WW 1
when some folks did not want us back on the Air at all

people have power man wake and smell what you are shoveling

2195. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 16:21:36 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152634896.110369.89900@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

J. D. B. wrote:
> I think you have to refer to people like Al as "Code Nazis" as they want
> to force it on everyone, they try to make it into something it is not,
> they think code is a cure for what ails the amateur service, and the
> only way to salvation is through the code. Anyone who is not on the
> code bandwagon is unfit for the amateur service and needs to be eliminated.
>
> "All hail the code!" - NOT!
>
indeed and I have from time to time

spread any lie and deciet in there cause

2196. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 16:24:44 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152635084.066776.95580@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Brenda Ann wrote:
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:h477b2pi2la8c740oie36slmik2b6ltbca@4ax.com...
> > On Tue, 11 Jul 2006 07:42:38 -0400, "J. D. B."
> > <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote:

> > > Any language can be signed - but ASL is a recognized (by the experts)
> > > language. Which nullifies that argument.
>
> CW is not a language, it is a mode. I think what is being confused here is
> Morse code, and in particular much of the Morse shorthand used in the CW
> mode (and, for that matter, in much of phone mode as well). Those ARE a
> language of sorts.
they are a jargon but they can comincate the rnanage of expression
twith someone that does not share with the same underlying language
that Al needing to glofiy his favortie mode chooses to over look it's
limits (and prehaps it has no limits for him and can indeed express
everything he wants to express on the air)

2197. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 16:41:53 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152636113.828839.125080@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8477
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 10 Jul 2006 19:53:45 -0700, "an old friend"
> <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote:

> >you are simply spreading ignorance al
>
> That you don't know something doesn't make it the ignorance of others.
>
> >> >no such requirement exists indeed if you can read then the question and
> >> >answers are suposed to read to you and your aswers taken down
>
> >> Languageless?
>
> >yes indeed although it easier if you do understand english not required
>
> So if you don't understand English you can still answer English
> questions. Interesting.
>
all that is required is getting the right answers down on the answer
sheet

how the test taker manages that (other than cheating) is up the take
of the test one of the options

understanding the lang of the question is merely one or the options the
best one for the ARS but for example MY wife did not understand some
the question on her tech test using the fact I know something of how
the test is asembled and graded she was able to fill correctly some of
the ansers by looking for a patern in the in answers of the questions
she could understand without this test taking skill (avarient of skill
taught in some corners for passing other tests) she would not be a ham
today

and yet she was able to help set up at FD this year and make some
contact and has been able to devolpe soe of the skill needd in the ARS,
enough to be complmented on her skill duiring reccent local emergency
here in the area. i was busy geting theour aux power back online and
adjusted to the loads I wanted carried and she used the radio (and
helped by reading a a few reading fromt he station back to me as I
worked on stablizing our household systems

My wife does not understand a great deal of the content of the messages
she relayed, such understanding was not required in order to be of

assitance

On her own she has slowly been feling her way into the preveldges offered by her license, amsuingly to those that know me she is developing some talnet for Morse Code and and has been making a qso's in that mode but due being still clumsy and a more than bit of test anxiety has been unable to pass a test that and the fact the tone the arrl uses gives her a headache and the ARRL will not alow another tone to used without the report of an audiologist (at a cost of about 2k) means she will wait tille the FCC acts to get an HF license like I am waiting

2198. Re: FA AR8200 computer cable CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 18:31:50 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <T8Ssg.222$Es6.217@fe06.lga>
Source record: 8478

"Jesse" <org@org.orgy> wrote in message
news:Xns97FD10218DAD5ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130...
> "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in news:adEsg.207$Nl2.76@fe05.lga:
>
>>
>> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>> news:5a06b2t8p1fjc7f5ks71rbnlee3o43p1v9@4ax.com...
>>> On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 18:05:16 -0500, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Fuck off shit head!
>>>
>>> This is Usenet. You don't like it, don't read it.
>>
>> He was talking to me directly Al.
>
> And "fuck off shithead" is your defense against charges of obvious greed
> and
> fleecing ?
> What if your buddy who gave you a cable now demanded $80 ?
> You would tell him to go fly a kite, wouldn't you ?
>
>

Hey stupid. The cable is new and unused and I paid $89.99 for it and I sold
it for $65. Greed is when you make a profit stupid. Now go change you diaper
troll.

BH

2199. Re: FA AR8200 computer cable CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 18:52:04 UTC
From: Jesse <org@org.orgy>
Message-ID: <Xns97FD973FEEFA6ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 8479

"Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in news:T8Ssg.222$Es6.217@fe06.lga:

>
> "Jesse" <org@org.orgy> wrote in message
> news:Xns97FD10218DAD5ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130...
>> "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in news:adEsg.207$Nl2.76@fe05.lga:
>>
>>>
>>> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>>> news:5a06b2t8p1fjc7f5ks71rbnlee3o43p1v9@4ax.com...
>>>> On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 18:05:16 -0500, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Fuck off shit head!
>>>>
>>>> This is Usenet. You don't like it, don't read it.
>>>
>>> He was talking to me directly Al.
>>
>> And "fuck off shithead" is your defense against charges of obvious
>> greed and
>> fleecing ?
>> What if your buddy who gave you a cable now demanded $80 ?
>> You would tell him to go fly a kite, wouldn't you ?
>>
>>
>
> Hey stupid. The cable is new and unused and I paid $89.99 for it and I
> sold it for $65. Greed is when you make a profit stupid. Now go change
> you diaper troll.
>
> BH
>
Not true.
You are a small time shyster, hope your happy with your $65 blood money - You
will pay much more than that at the gates of hell for your unethical methods.

2200. Re: FA AR8200 computer cable CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 19:03:20 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <rCSsg.49$Bq1.16@fe07.lga>
Source record: 8480

"Jesse" <org@org.orgy> wrote in message

> Not true.
> You are a small time shyster, hope your happy with your $65 blood money -
> You
> will pay much more than that at the gates of hell for your unethical
> methods.
>

Heres the auction I won it on troll. Don't girls like you got better things
to do?

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=9741255142&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMEWN%
3AIT&rd=1

2201. Re: Why does slow code hate the ARS I asuume it is becuase one of his other names is hey stupid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 19:23:50 UTC
From: user@private-mail-domain.invalid
Message-ID: <6fado3-3u4.ln1@chris.org>
Source record: 8481
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> illiterate rants deleted - they were unintelligible anyway, and plonk goes the weasel!

--
73 Chris Cox, N0UK, G4JEC

Come and join us here in Bloomington, Minnesota, home of the Mall of America, July 27 & 28, 2006 for the 40th annual Central States VHF Society Conference which will be hosted once again by the NLRS.

2202. Re: Why does slow code hate the ARS I asuume it is becuase one of his other names is hey stupid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 19:41:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152646889.648675.260990@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8482
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
user@private-mail-domain.invalid wrote:
> an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> illiterate rants deleted - they
> were unintelligible anyway, and plonk goes the weasel!
>
ah yes the "answer" of the ignorant have a nice day
> --
> 73 Chris Cox, N0UK, G4JEC
>
> Come and join us here in Bloomington, Minnesota, home of the Mall of
> America, July 27 & 28, 2006 for the 40th annual Central States VHF
> Society Conference which will be hosted once again by the NLRS.
```

2203. Re: FA AR8200 computer cable CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 20:24:54 UTC
From: Jesse <org@org.orgy>
Message-ID: <Xns97FDA6FC98DD8ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 8483

"Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in news:rCSsg.49$Bq1.16@fe07.lga:

>
> "Jesse" <org@org.orgy> wrote in message
>
>> Not true.
>> You are a small time shyster, hope your happy with your $65 blood money
>> - You
>> will pay much more than that at the gates of hell for your unethical
>> methods.
>>
>
> Heres the auction I won it on troll. Don't girls like you got better
> things to do?
>
Sorry, don't feel like clicking on any of your gay porno links - And If I was
you, I'd hold off on heated arguments with someone who knows your ebay id.
Not only a greedy shyster, but butt dumb as well.

2204. Re: FA AR8200 computer cable CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 21:00:05 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <PjUsg.32$g_1.8@fe04.lga>
Source record: 8484

"Jesse" <org@org.orgy> wrote in message

> Sorry, don't feel like clicking on any of your gay porno links - And If I
> was
> you, I'd hold off on heated arguments with someone who knows your ebay id.
> Not only a greedy shyster, but butt dumb as well.

So I guess with that threat, you'll be spending a lot of your spare time
trying to screw me over?

2205. Re: FA AR8200 computer cable CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 22:38:08 UTC
From: Jesse <org@org.orgy>
Message-ID: <Xns97FDBD93E78B6ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 8485

"Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in news:PjUsg.32$g_1.8@fe04.lga:

>
> "Jesse" <org@org.orgy> wrote in message
>
>> Sorry, don't feel like clicking on any of your gay porno links - And If I
>> was
>> you, I'd hold off on heated arguments with someone who knows your ebay id.
>> Not only a greedy shyster, but butt dumb as well.
>
>
> So I guess with that threat, you'll be spending a lot of your spare time
> trying to screw me over?
>
>
No, I'm not like that.
You'd have to get me mad enough to want to get even first, and petty usenet
insults don't qualify for that.
That was just a word of advice, as many are petty enough to be inflamed by
petty insults.
I'm an ass, I'll admit it, but I do have valid points sometimes.

2206. Uniden 780 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 22:51:44 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <pp-dnQX3a5UdtinZnZ2dnUVZ_oCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8486

Hello,

I have a mint Uniden 780 for sale.

Note that this is a model that cannot be upgraded when the re-banding takes
place (if ever), unfortunately.

Have just purchased the 996.

Also have the Butel software for it, which I'll include of course.

Think that $ 185 would be fair.

If interested, please e-mail me at: rgsroseX@comcast.net.
(remove the large X in address first)

Thanks,
Bob

2207. Re: FA AR8200 computer cable CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 23:12:45 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <ggWsg.854$cv.755@fe05.lga>
Source record: 8487

```
"Jesse" <org@org.orgy> wrote in message
news:Xns97FDBD93E78B6ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130...
> "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in news:PjUsg.32$g_1.8@fe04.lga:
>
>>
>> "Jesse" <org@org.orgy> wrote in message
>>
>>> Sorry, don't feel like clicking on any of your gay porno links - And If
>>> I
>>> was
>>> you, I'd hold off on heated arguments with someone who knows your ebay
>>> id.
>>> Not only a greedy shyster, but butt dumb as well.
>>
>>
>> So I guess with that threat, you'll be spending a lot of your spare time
>> trying to screw me over?
>>
>>
> No, I'm not like that.
> You'd have to get me mad enough to want to get even first, and petty
> usenet
> insults don't qualify for that.
> That was just a word of advice, as many are petty enough to be inflamed by
> petty insults.
> I'm an ass, I'll admit it, but I do have valid points sometimes.
>

Whew!!! Thanks for not unleashing the dogs of war on me. My timid character
just couldn't bear it. Later Mother fucker!
```

2208. LIVE SCANNER FEED FROM PINELLAS COUNTY FL FIRE/RESCUE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-11 23:23:53 UTC
From: grainault@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1152660233.284208.288890@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8488

This county is very active all 70 stations are one one dispatch and several tac channels using the mot 2 system.. i most of the time run the feed 24 hrs a day..

i am no longer using shoutcast. i just bought the butel arc eazy-stream. this program has no delay and shows tex tags of the channels that you are listening to.. in order for you to listen to my feed you will have to go to http://www.butelsoftware.com/ download the arc eazy stream client its free..

after you get that install which only takes a second enter my addy.

pinellasfirerescue.no-ip.org

then make sure the ports are set on

audio port 8100

data port 8101

happy listening.....

you can also watch the live CAD while you listen at this link http://www.co.pinellas.fl.us/ces/ActCallsPub.htm

2209. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 00:49:48 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <44B44705.F4A86462@earthlink.net>
Source record: 8489
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
>
> On Tue, 11 Jul 2006 02:15:26 GMT, "Michael A. Terrell"
> <mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> > Dipshit. If code is the only way to go, why are you using text for
> >your usenet messages? Can you say "Hypocrite"
>
> If text is the only way to go, why do you speak?
>
> Can you say "Yes, I ar wun"?

Can't you spell any better than that?

So, Al. Can you tell us how many receivers or transmitters have you built from scratch? Not from a kit, or someone else's design, but from scratch? Drew a block diagram that was converted to a real schematic one block at a time where you did all the math, laid out the chassis, cut and drilled all the holes and built the equipment all by yourself?

What is the biggest transmitter you've ever built or used? I'm a disabled now, but I worked in broadcast, and built telemetry equipment that is in use all over the world, and in orbit. Tell us, what can you do other than whine? Have you ever built a commercial TV station from scratch? Have you ever maintained a 5 MW EIRP UHF plant with a 1700 foot+ tower? Had the fun of finding parts for a transmitter that haven't been made for 15 years while managing to stay on the air?

I found CW boring years ago, and have some hearing problems so I said to hell with Morse code and got involved in the equipment design end of things. It was more fun for me to develop a design and built it, get it aligned and working, then move on to the next design.

--
Service to my country? Been there, Done that, and I've got my DD214 to prove it.
Member of DAV #85.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

2210. Re: HELP (Please) - BC898T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 00:55:36 UTC
From: "Homer.Simpson" <Homer.Simpson@SpringfieldBB.com.INVALID>
Message-ID: <Xns97FDD4E0D46FCnope@204.153.244.170>
Source record: 8490
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner

me said

> Computer crashed, had to replace hard drive.
>
> My BC898T and ARC898 Software had to be reinstalled. No longer
> have the passwords. Uniden & Butel (who I purchased the software from)
> will not give me the passwords that I already paid for - to reinstall
> the software.
>
> Would greatly appreciate the passwords for these programs

There's a secret password both Uniten and Butel use for enabling service
personal to access the software. Of course, it's not advertised anywhere
but in the service community. Try "gullible".

Best of luck!

2211. Re: HELP (Please) - BC898T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 02:26:44 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1152671206.814471@www.vif.com>
Source record: 8491
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner

get a fucken life idiot!

me wrote:
>
> Computer crashed, had to replace hard drive.
>
> My BC898T and ARC898 Software had to be reinstalled. No longer
> have the passwords. Uniden & Butel (who I purchased the software from)
> will not give me the passwords that I already paid for - to reinstall
> the software.
>
> Would greatly appreciate the passwords for these programs
>
> Thank you
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0628-2, 07/11/2006
> Tested on: 7/11/2006 10:21:45 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0628-2, 07/11/2006
Tested on: 7/11/2006 10:26:45 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

2212. Re: Why does slow code hate the ARS I asuume it is becuase one of his other names is hey stupid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 02:33:23 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <er77b2tbfspu82tf0st8a59joqnijkira8@4ax.com>
Source record: 8492
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 22:03:09 -0500, "CW" <No-NoCodeidiots@CW4EVER.biz>
wrote:

>with all due respect to you as a fellow ham I strongly disagree with your
>position. I got my ticket the so called "right" way. I've held an advanced
>(WA4***) class since I had to drive to the nearest office for for the
>written and cw.
>Remember when the dam questions weren't even allowed to be published?
>Remember those stupid ass ARRL study manuals?Al,how was that better?

Better? I don't know. Who said it was?

But how many hams today could even understand a schematic, let alone
build a W2EWL rig? But how many SSB (or DSB) transmitters were
homebrew? Hams don't even build repeater controllers any longer -
everything is appliance. What's ham radio supposed to be (from the
government viewpoint) if not a training ground? For people who know
how to operate. Not for CBers on ham bands.

People are afraid of losing things if we have too few hams? Where
will the FCC's justification go (the "ham radio provides us with a
pool of experienced operators" thing) if ham radio is just CB on
different frequencies?

2213. Re: FA AR8200 computer cable CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 02:34:50 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <vsn8b2hppuu5ku8bsq3rirofobkt2h9196@4ax.com>
Source record: 8493

On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 21:40:20 -0500, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote:

>"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>news:5a06b2t8p1fjc7f5ks71rbnlee3o43p1v9@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 18:05:16 -0500, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote:
>>
>>>Fuck off shit head!
>>
>> This is Usenet. You don't like it, don't read it.
>
>He was talking to me directly Al. Unless this is Nazi Germany? I believe I
>have the freedom to reply any way I see fit. Is that all right with you Al?

As I said, this is usenet.

2214. Re: Why does slow code hate the ARS I asuume it is becuase one of his other names is hey stupid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 02:40:23 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152672023.377527.87680@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 22:03:09 -0500, "CW" <No-NoCodeidiots@CW4EVER.biz>
> wrote:
>
> >with all due respect to you as a fellow ham I strongly disagree with your
> >position. I got my ticket the so called "right" way. I've held an advanced
> >(WA4***) class since I had to drive to the nearest office for for the
> >written and cw.
> >Remember when the dam questions weren't even allowed to be published?
> >Remember those stupid ass ARRL study manuals?Al,how was that better?
>
> Better? I don't know. Who said it was?
you did
>
> But how many hams today could even understand a schematic, let alone
> build a W2EWL rig?
how many need to
> But how many SSB (or DSB) transmitters were
> homebrew?
I have a hombrew AM unit a cute little thing built from the plan in th
last issue of CQ VHF's first run I will call it
>Hams don't even build repeater controllers any longer -
> everything is appliance.
so?
> What's ham radio supposed to be (from the
> government viewpoint) if not a training ground?
and it is
> For people who know
> how to operate. Not for CBers on ham bands.
>
> People are afraid of losing things if we have too few hams?
indeed they are
> Where
> will the FCC's justification go (the "ham radio provides us with a
> pool of experienced operators" thing) if ham radio is just CB on
> different frequencies?
how is thatever going to happen?
why are you so afraid of CB?

2215. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 02:41:59 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <lao8b217shc1kml6vlu0959brlhe769rr6@4ax.com>
Source record: 8494
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 11 Jul 2006 09:24:44 -0700, "an old friend"
<kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote:

>they are a jargon but they can comincate the rnanage of expression
>twith

Something you obviously haven't learned to do yet.

2216. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 02:46:18 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152672377.972280.286060@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 11 Jul 2006 09:24:44 -0700, "an old friend"
> <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >they are a jargon but they can comincate the rnanage of expression
> >twith
>
> Something you obviously haven't learned to do yet.
did you have any point to make?

OTOH your coment does prove my point that being even know that
demonstarting langiuauge is NOT a requiremnt for a license

2217. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 02:53:20 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <cco8b2135j7cn04eoleq7uq246jdo3ogok@4ax.com>
Source record: 8497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 12 Jul 2006 00:49:48 GMT, "Michael A. Terrell"
<mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote:

>> Can you say "Yes, I ar wun"?

>Can't you spell any better than that?

A spelling flame! I'm vanquished!

>So, Al. Can you tell us how many receivers or transmitters have you
>built from scratch? Not from a kit, or someone else's design, but from
>scratch? Drew a block diagram that was converted to a real schematic
>one block at a time where you did all the math, laid out the chassis,
>cut and drilled all the holes and built the equipment all by yourself?

3 receivers, about a dozen transmitters. Oh, yes, and the automation
system of the Hong Kong Space Museum planetarium, the old Amtrak
ticket printer, some software that's in use in over 50,000
installations around the world ...

But I'm not the typical ham, I've been a design engineer for a long
time.

>What is the biggest transmitter you've ever built or used? I'm a
>disabled now, but I worked in broadcast

Never built anything over a kilowatt, but engineered some pretty hefty
ones. (Ch. 40 in Waterbury CT, WWRL, WHN, a few others.)

(You remind me of an IBM HR department of old. They always wanted to
know the largest program the applicant ever wrote. Someone
legitimately told them, back when software was a few k, that he'd
written a 3 meg program. It was a translation program with a 3 meg
dictionary. You're playing "mine is bigger than yours.")

>Tell us, what can you do other than whine? Have you ever built a commercial TV station
from
>scratch?

All by myself, no. Ever build a planetarium automation system all by
yourself from scratch (including inventing some of the technology -
which is still, after 30 years, state of the art)? But I'm not going
to get into a pissing contest with you. If you were mentally as old
as you claim your body to be you wouldn't have started one.

>I found CW boring years ago, and have some hearing problems so I said
>to hell with Morse code and got involved in the equipment design end of
>things. It was more fun for me to develop a design and built it, get it
>aligned and working, then move on to the next design.

Since I totally depend on 2 4 channel BTE aids, I can't receive CW
that easily any more, but that's not a good reason for the FCC to drop
the requirement. It's not even a bad reason. But when anyone can
guess well enough to pass the "technical" part of the exam, the
license isn't worth much.

2218. Re: Why does slow code hate the ARS I asuume it is becuase one of his other names is hey stupid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 02:55:35 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <d2p8b257sfd1988t9o885kjvetq8ae811r@4ax.com>
Source record: 8498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 11 Jul 2006 09:19:51 -0700, "an old friend"
<kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote:

>bullshit you realy are unamerican the No code movement has showne we
>have the powerthe chnange to change interantional law and national
>rules on this subject

Wake up - the world holds the US in contempt. Bush threw all our
political capital away.

>Maxim and his crowd shown we could change mnds in officaldom after WW 1
>when some folks did not want us back on the Air at all

You really don't see the difference between 1918 and 2006, do you?
We're not the dog any longer. We're not even the tail.

2219. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 02:56:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152672970.670948.270150@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8499
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On Wed, 12 Jul 2006 00:49:48 GMT, "Michael A. Terrell"
> <mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> >> Can you say "Yes, I ar wun"?
>
> >Can't you spell any better than that?
>
> A spelling flame! I'm vanquished!
you ceertainly too bad you don't give at that point

> But when anyone can
> guess well enough to pass the "technical" part of the exam, the
> license isn't worth much.
since you no longer value your licens turn it in

2220. Re: Why does slow code hate the ARS I asuume it is becuase one of his other names is hey stupid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 03:03:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152673389.626443.114490@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8500
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 11 Jul 2006 09:19:51 -0700, "an old friend"
> <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >bullshit you realy are unamerican the No code movement has showne we
> >have the powerthe chnange to change interantional law and national
> >rules on this subject
>
> Wake up - the world holds the US in contempt.
Bullshit

the No code movment (a multi national effort btw) got the the ITU
treaty amended as part of geting we think is best for the ARS

I will grant we may be wrong but we are proof that people ake a
difference
> Bush threw all our
> political capital away.
nope
he can't
>
> >Maxim and his crowd shown we could change mnds in officaldom after WW 1
> >when some folks did not want us back on the Air at all
>
> You really don't see the difference between 1918 and 2006, do you?
there are differneces one of them is folks like you are too bussy
keeping your heads down to recnize that power
> We're not the dog any longer. We're not even the tail.
but WE the Nocode hams ARE, you and your side have been resting on
their wilted glory for years

2221. Re: FA AR8200 computer cable CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 06:17:47 UTC
From: Jesse <org@org.orgy>
Message-ID: <Xns97FE175DB80FBewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 8401

"Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in news:ggWsg.854$cv.755@fe05.lga:

>
> "Jesse" <org@org.orgy> wrote in message
> news:Xns97FDBD93E78B6ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130...
>> "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in news:PjUsg.32$g_1.8@fe04.lga:
>>
>>>
>>> "Jesse" <org@org.orgy> wrote in message
>>>
>>>> Sorry, don't feel like clicking on any of your gay porno links - And If
>>>> I
>>>> was
>>>> you, I'd hold off on heated arguments with someone who knows your ebay
>>>> id.
>>>> Not only a greedy shyster, but butt dumb as well.
>>>
>>>
>>> So I guess with that threat, you'll be spending a lot of your spare time
>>> trying to screw me over?
>>>
>>>
>> No, I'm not like that.
>> You'd have to get me mad enough to want to get even first, and petty
>> usenet
>> insults don't qualify for that.
>> That was just a word of advice, as many are petty enough to be inflamed by
>> petty insults.
>> I'm an ass, I'll admit it, but I do have valid points sometimes.
>>
>
> Whew!!! Thanks for not unleashing the dogs of war on me. My timid character
> just couldn't bear it. Later Mother fucker!
>

I'll keep that in mind, shyster bitch boy.
I've added you to my favorite sellers ahahhaaaaaaa

2222. Re: Fisher Price 157 Baby Monitor AGC Defeat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 10:04:33 UTC
From: pancake <pancake@griddle.com>
Message-ID: <h0i9b25l4oulmu3028quuqtn37472le1p3@4ax.com>
Source record: 8402

On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 21:40:25 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 19:09:17 -0400, pancake <pancake@griddle.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Did it years ago and never documented it. Anyone ?????
>
>Probably just lift the AGC diode. But why?

I Prefer constant levels,

Thanks
Pancake

2223. How to use WIN95

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 11:06:06 UTC
From: RICHARD DAVIES <RICHARD.DAVIES.2au93c@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <RICHARD.DAVIES.2au93c@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 8404

I have just about drove myself crazy.....I consider my self pretty good at using my pc and the other programs on the pc that run my other scanners...BC796D/ BCT8/ AND PRO2052/ ....BUT I HAVE TW0 PRO95'S .....And I can not operate the WIN95 PROGRAM......I have the right cable ...20-289 ....from radio shack......and the pro95 manual does not go into detail on how to do it.......nor does the place where you down load the program? .....It seems ...self exsplanitory? But I click on down load from scanner .....and all I get is either timed out bla bla bla !! .....or unable to open port so and so !! ....I am fustrated !! Is there a site I can go to that will walk me thru this thing?? ....Or can some one help ...?? PLease.....I am going nuts !!! ....what am I doing wrong.....signed ...Old Sarge .

--
RICHARD DAVIES

2224. Re: Fisher Price 157 Baby Monitor AGC Defeat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 12:32:06 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <6tq9b2lm0gqmh00abka0e10a31cmrrs5b1@4ax.com>
Source record: 8403

On Wed, 12 Jul 2006 06:04:33 -0400, pancake <pancake@griddle.com>
wrote:

>On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 21:40:25 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 19:09:17 -0400, pancake <pancake@griddle.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>Did it years ago and never documented it. Anyone ?????
>>
>>Probably just lift the AGC diode. But why?
>
>I Prefer constant levels,

Which is what AGC gives you. Without it you get constant gain, but
varying levels.

2225. Re: How to use WIN95

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 15:40:53 UTC
From: wbertram <wbertram@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3L-dnQ01k7mbhSjZnZ2dnUVZ_tudnZ2d@rcn.net>
Source record: 8405

Yes, the 20-289 is the correct cable for Win95 and the PRO95 scanners.
It looks like the Win95 program does not see the cable. First:

What version Windows are you using, XP or 98?

Is the 20-289 cable plugged into a COM port, or into USB-COM adapter?

RICHARD DAVIES wrote:
> I have just about drove myself crazy.....I consider my self pretty good
> at using my pc and the other programs on the pc that run my other
> scanners...BC796D/ BCT8/ AND PRO2052/ ....BUT I HAVE TW0 PRO95'S
> ....And I can not operate the WIN95 PROGRAM......I have the right
> cable ...20-289 ....from radio shack......and the pro95 manual does not
> go into detail on how to do it.......nor does the place where you down
> load the program? .....It seems ...self exsplanitory? But I click on
> down load from scanner .....and all I get is either timed out bla bla
> bla !! .....or unable to open port so and so !! ....I am fustrated !! Is
> there a site I can go to that will walk me thru this thing?? ....Or can
> some one help ...?? PLease.....I am going nuts !!! ....what am I doing
> wrong.........signed ...Old Sarge .
>
>
>
>

2226. If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 16:06:55 UTC
From: dj@qkd.net
Message-ID: <30954.oidi09.d0904.ddoi0@qkd.org>
Source record: 8406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Ham's care more about operating appliances than knowing how to save a lives.

:-(

2227. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 16:47:36 UTC
From: Bill Turner <noway@nohow.com>
Message-ID: <ar9ab2l404s3gqikr3oin4diar547gf1vq@4ax.com>
Source record: 8407
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

ORIGINAL MESSAGE:

On Wed, 12 Jul 2006 16:06:55 GMT, dj@qkd.net (Dirk) wrote:

>Ham's care more about operating appliances than knowing how to save a lives.
>
>:-(

------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
/
/
/
/
/
/
/
/
TROLL-O-METER

Bill, W6WRT

2228. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 17:14:47 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <b6atg.171270$F_3.70091@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 8408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dirk wrote:
> Ham's care more about operating appliances than knowing how to save a lives.

How many times in the entire history of amateur radio
has a ham used CW to actually save a life? One would
think there would be a book full of examples by now.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2229. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 17:24:55 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152725095.545555.121150@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dirk wrote:
> Ham's care more about operating appliances than knowing how to save a lives.
>
> :-(
if i was at my home station is no they would not die

and I am as no code as they come I down right hate the mode and yet y
pc and station is quite able to work cw as needed to save a life if it
was needed

2230. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 17:38:53 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12bactjanpufjfc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 8410
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Cecil Moore wrote:

> Dirk wrote:
>
>> Ham's care more about operating appliances than knowing how to save a
>> lives.
>
>
> How many times in the entire history of amateur radio
> has a ham used CW to actually save a life? One would
> think there would be a book full of examples by now.

A ham operator intercepted the SOS from the RMS Titanic.

2231. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 17:46:53 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152726413.592316.117800@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8411
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

David G. Nagel wrote:
> Cecil Moore wrote:
>
> > Dirk wrote:
> >
> >> Ham's care more about operating appliances than knowing how to save a
> >> lives.
> >
> >
> > How many times in the entire history of amateur radio
> > has a ham used CW to actually save a life? One would
> > think there would be a book full of examples by now.
>
> A ham operator intercepted the SOS from the RMS Titanic.
how many life were saved thereby the Carpathia wheard the call and
arrived to save some folks what role did the ARS playing in saving even
one life that sorry day?

2232. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 18:21:48 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <05btg.118974$H71.118121@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 8412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

David G. Nagel wrote:
> Cecil Moore wrote:
>> How many times in the entire history of amateur radio
>> has a ham used CW to actually save a life? One would
>> think there would be a book full of examples by now.
>
> A ham operator intercepted the SOS from the RMS Titanic.

Did that save even one life? If the Titanic's CW operator
had not bullied the California's CW operator off the air,
the California might have saved the day. But after such
shabby treatment from the Titanic's arrogant CW operator,
the California's CW operator shut down and turned in.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2233. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 18:33:22 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12bag3q7hc7u723@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 8413
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old friend wrote:
> David G. Nagel wrote:
>
>>Cecil Moore wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Dirk wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Ham's care more about operating appliances than knowing how to save a
>>>>lives.
>>>
>>>
>>>How many times in the entire history of amateur radio
>>>has a ham used CW to actually save a life? One would
>>>think there would be a book full of examples by now.
>>
>>A ham operator intercepted the SOS from the RMS Titanic.
>
> how many life were saved thereby the Carpathia wheard the call and
> arrived to save some folks what role did the ARS playing in saving even
> one life that sorry day?
>

We aren't talking about failure to receive a CW SOS. Those ships that
responded did so after receiving word of the sinking by radio. They
saved many lives from the lifeboats which would otherwise have been lost
to the cold.

Dave N

2234. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 19:36:14 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <TPydnddtnrmw0ijZnZ2dnUVZ_oidnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8414
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

David G. Nagel wrote:

> Cecil Moore wrote:
>
>> Dirk wrote:
>>
>>> Ham's care more about operating appliances than knowing how to save a
>>> lives.
>>
>>
>>
>> How many times in the entire history of amateur radio
>> has a ham used CW to actually save a life? One would
>> think there would be a book full of examples by now.
>
>
> A ham operator intercepted the SOS from the RMS Titanic.

Yep!! It happened once!

2235. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 19:44:01 UTC
From: Bill Turner <noway@nohow.com>
Message-ID: <j6kab2hpqfaob6qrm1s32ra118p8gh3h3p@4ax.com>
Source record: 8415
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

ORIGINAL MESSAGE:

On 12 Jul 2006 10:24:55 -0700, "an old freind"
<kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote:

>if i was at my home station is no they would not die
>
>and I am as no code as they come I down right hate the mode and yet y
>pc and station is quite able to work cw as needed to save a life if it
>was needed

------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------

He apparently hates English too.

Bill, W6WRT

2236. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 19:47:18 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <alctg.129932$dW3.39849@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 8416
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dave wrote:
> David G. Nagel wrote:
>> A ham operator intercepted the SOS from the RMS Titanic.
>
> Yep!! It happened once!

If CW had not existed at the time, how would things have
turned out differently? If the present GPS-based system
had existed at the time, how would things have turned out?
Which system is presently inferior and virtually obsolete?
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2237. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 20:04:06 UTC
From: "R. Scott" <spamtrap@w7psk.net>
Message-ID: <J2B3qt.68p@news.boeing.com>
Source record: 8417
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> ------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------
>
> 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
> \
> \
> \
> \
> \
> \
> \
> \
> TROLL-O-METER
>
>
> Bill, W6WRT

There I fixed it for you

2238. Re: How to use WIN95

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 21:00:31 UTC
From: tlgtr <tlgtrprod@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152738031.430254.295870@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8418

Yep, it can be a bit tricky getting the COM port or USB to work right.
I had to fiddle with the settings a bit to get my USB to Serial to work
right. There is a tab where you set the stuff...but I can't
remember... I am at work...but I will check back here to see if you
got more help and I can post how I have mine set...

2239. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 21:30:37 UTC
From: "Steve N." <stuevue.nouskuowuicuz@moutouroula.com>
Message-ID: <e93plt$742$1@avnika.corp.mot.com>
Source record: 8420
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Uh oh! Now a battle of the troll-o-meters...
Really cute, Bill...I love it.

73, Steve, K9DCI
P.S. I tilted my monitor and I see that this movement is a little out of
balance on the sides. End-to-end balance is ok. Carefully turn the balance
weight on the right side in a little, then it'll sit on zero regardless of
the orientation...

"R. Scott" <spamtrap@w7psk.net> wrote in message
news:J2B3qt.68p@news.boeing.com...
> > ------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------
> >
> > 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
> > \
> > \
> > \
> > \
> > \
> > \
> > \
> > \
> > \
> > TROLL-O-METER
> >
> >
> > Bill, W6WRT
>
> There I fixed it for you
>
>

2240. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 21:37:16 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <GM6dnaSGH_QW9ijZnZ2dnUVZ_oSdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8419
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Cecil Moore wrote:

> Dave wrote:
>
>> David G. Nagel wrote:
>>
>>> A ham operator intercepted the SOS from the RMS Titanic.
>>
>>
>> Yep!! It happened once!
>
>
> If CW had not existed at the time, how would things have
> turned out differently? If the present GPS-based system
> had existed at the time, how would things have turned out?
> Which system is presently inferior and virtually obsolete?

C'mon Cecil, you've been licensed as long as I have. I Know you Know CW. Does that mean we're virtually obsolete?

RE Titanic:

The same result would have happened. The ship hit an iceberg in poor visibility. I don't think icebergs carry GPS transponders these days.

Now, the Titanic's GPS; does it have transponder capability? The older GPS units do not. Anyway, after the crew slipped by the iceberg that ripped it open, the radio op gets on the air and reports "SOS" or equivalent. The nearest ships respond. Under conditions similar to 1914{?} the Titanic still sinks. Many people still die. But, now we know to 20 feet exactly where the ship was when it sank.

GPS won't make a difference. Neither will CW today.

I still enjoy CW.

2241. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 22:13:52 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152742432.549280.204940@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8421
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dave wrote:
> Cecil Moore wrote:
>

> C'mon Cecil, you've been licensed as long as I have. I Know you Know CW. Does
> that mean we're virtually obsolete?
not what make CW obseltete is that is out dated and all bu useless
serious comms

> GPS won't make a difference. Neither will CW today.
meaning in light of the trolig title is useless
>
> I still enjoy CW.
more power to you

2242. Re: FA AR8200 computer cable CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 22:37:46 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <FRetg.740$Cu3.261@fe07.lga>
Source record: 8422

```
"Jesse" <org@org.orgy> wrote in message
news:Xns97FE175DB80FBewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130...
> "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in news:ggWsg.854$cv.755@fe05.lga:
>
>>
>> "Jesse" <org@org.orgy> wrote in message
>> news:Xns97FDBD93E78B6ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130...
>>> "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in news:PjUsg.32$g_1.8@fe04.lga:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Jesse" <org@org.orgy> wrote in message
>>>>
>>>>> Sorry, don't feel like clicking on any of your gay porno links - And
>>>>> If
>>>>> I
>>>>> was
>>>>> you, I'd hold off on heated arguments with someone who knows your ebay
>>>>> id.
>>>>> Not only a greedy shyster, but butt dumb as well.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> So I guess with that threat, you'll be spending a lot of your spare
>>>> time
>>>> trying to screw me over?
>>>>
>>>>
>>> No, I'm not like that.
>>> You'd have to get me mad enough to want to get even first, and petty
>>> usenet
>>> insults don't qualify for that.
>>> That was just a word of advice, as many are petty enough to be inflamed
>>> by
>>> petty insults.
>>> I'm an ass, I'll admit it, but I do have valid points sometimes.
>>>
>>
>> Whew!!! Thanks for not unleashing the dogs of war on me. My timid
>> character
>> just couldn't bear it. Later Mother fucker!
>>
>
> I'll keep that in mind, shyster bitch boy.
> I've added you to my favorite sellers ahahhaaaaaaa
```

Right on you trolling troglodyte. Take care Mo Fo!

2243. CW isn't preventing the modernization of ham radio. Laziness is.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 23:09:17 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <xiftg.3731$vO.1128@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8423
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LOL!

I laugh when you lazy asses whine CW is keeping you from modernizing.

The code requirement isn't stopping anyone from modernizing. Hams don't want to modernize and won't modernize unless they're forced to modernize. That's what's stopping the modernization.

How does having a CW requirement prevent you from hooking a computer to a rig? It don't. You don't want to modernize because you're stupid or lazy. Just like you don't want to learn CW because you're stupid or lazy.

SC

2244. Re: CW is. Laziness iand therby blaocking modernization in the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-12 23:12:12 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152745932.402295.147180@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8424
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> LOL!
>
> I laugh when you lazy asses whine CW is keeping you from modernizing.
>
> The code requirement isn't stopping anyone from modernizing. Hams don't
> want to modernize and won't modernize unless they're forced to modernize.
> That's what's stopping the modernization.

and cw usage as well as test promtoes that sort of laziness

2245. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 00:44:46 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0003@taring.org>
Message-ID: <44b5977e$0$1008$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 8425
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dirk wrote:

> Ham's care more about operating appliances than knowing how to save a lives.
>
> :-(
>

PLONK!

tom
K0TAR

2246. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 00:44:54 UTC
From: Bill Turner <noway@nohow.com>
Message-ID: <lq5bb29j70su2a8nu5t7m3if07pch0ke9b@4ax.com>
Source record: 8426
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

ORIGINAL MESSAGE:

On Wed, 12 Jul 2006 20:04:06 GMT, "R. Scott" <spamtrap@w7psk.net>
wrote:

>> ------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------
>>
>> 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
>> \
>> \
>> \
>> \
>> \
>> \
>> \
>> \
>> TROLL-O-METER
>>
>>
>> Bill, W6WRT
>
>There I fixed it for you
>

------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------

I think you just unplugged your trolltenna.

Bill, W6WRT

2247. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 00:47:26 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0003@taring.org>
Message-ID: <44b5981e$0$1008$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 8427
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

R. Scott wrote:

>>------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------
>>
>> 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
>> \
>> \
>> \
>> \
>> \
>> \
>> \
>> \
>>TROLL-O-METER
>>
>>
>>Bill, W6WRT
>
>
> There I fixed it for you
>
>

PLONK!

There, I fixed it for me.

tom
K0TAR

2248. Re: CW isn't preventing the modernization of ham radio. Laziness is.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 00:52:30 UTC
From: Bill Turner <noway@nohow.com>
Message-ID: <q66bb21d47nnpnc0ue37g2rtoehtcb8tna@4ax.com>
Source record: 8428
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

ORIGINAL MESSAGE:

On Wed, 12 Jul 2006 23:09:17 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Just like you don't want to learn CW because you're stupid or lazy.

---------- REPLY SEPARATOR ----------

Naw, CW makes it too easy to communicate. CW is for lazy people. Real
hams want to struggle to copy signals through noise and QRM. That's
why they hate CW... it's no challenge!

Bill, W6WRT

2249. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 01:50:13 UTC
From: Dave Oldridge <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Xns97FEBF9E9E651doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159>
Source record: 8429
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

dj@qkd.net (Dirk) wrote in news:30954.oidi09.d0904.ddoi0@qkd.org:

> Ham's care more about operating appliances than knowing how to save a
> lives.

The person would not die on my watch, as long as I could get a signal out
and someone on the other end could copy it. I'd probably have to practice
a bit to get back up over 50wpm, but I can do 25 or 30 all day long.

--
Dave Oldridge+
ICQ 1800667

2250. Re: Fisher Price 157 Baby Monitor AGC Defeat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 01:59:19 UTC
From: pancake <pancake@griddle.com>
Message-ID: <od6bb29ble0o61bhpjhot4lkn02f8pai4c@4ax.com>
Source record: 8430

On Wed, 12 Jul 2006 08:32:06 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Wed, 12 Jul 2006 06:04:33 -0400, pancake <pancake@griddle.com>
>wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 21:40:25 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>On Mon, 10 Jul 2006 19:09:17 -0400, pancake <pancake@griddle.com>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>>Did it years ago and never documented it. Anyone ?????
>>>
>>>Probably just lift the AGC diode. But why?
>>
>>I Prefer constant levels,
>
>Which is what AGC gives you. Without it you get constant gain, but
>varying levels.

Perhaps I was incorrect in calling it AGC, the data sheet on the chip
calls it ALC . Regardless, it sucks up unwanted noise from the audio.

2251. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 03:19:13 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <RYitg.48117$VE1.6593@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 8431
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dave wrote:
> C'mon Cecil, you've been licensed as long as I have. I Know you Know CW.
> Does that mean we're virtually obsolete?

My favorite mode is CW and it's a fun mode but it is never
going to save the world.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2252. Re: FA AR8200 computer cable CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 03:54:30 UTC
From: Jesse <org@org.orgy>
Message-ID: <Xns97FEF336DF44Bewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 8432

```
"Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in news:FRetg.740$Cu3.261@fe07.lga:

>
> "Jesse" <org@org.orgy> wrote in message
> news:Xns97FE175DB80FBewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130...
>> "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in news:ggWsg.854$cv.755@fe05.lga:
>>
>>>
>>> "Jesse" <org@org.orgy> wrote in message
>>> news:Xns97FDBD93E78B6ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130...
>>>> "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in news:PjUsg.32$g_1.8@fe04.lga:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Jesse" <org@org.orgy> wrote in message
>>>>>
>>>>>> Sorry, don't feel like clicking on any of your gay porno links - And
>>>>>> If
>>>>>> I
>>>>>> was
>>>>>> you, I'd hold off on heated arguments with someone who knows your
ebay
>>>>>> id.
>>>>>> Not only a greedy shyster, but butt dumb as well.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> So I guess with that threat, you'll be spending a lot of your spare
>>>>> time
>>>>> trying to screw me over?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>> No, I'm not like that.
>>>> You'd have to get me mad enough to want to get even first, and petty
>>>> usenet
>>>> insults don't qualify for that.
>>>> That was just a word of advice, as many are petty enough to be
inflamed
>>>> by
>>>> petty insults.
>>>> I'm an ass, I'll admit it, but I do have valid points sometimes.
>>>>
>>>
>>> Whew!!! Thanks for not unleashing the dogs of war on me. My timid
>>> character
>>> just couldn't bear it. Later Mother fucker!
```

>>>
>>
>> I'll keep that in mind, shyster bitch boy.
>> I've added you to my favorite sellers ahahhaaaaaaa
>
> Right on you trolling troglodyte. Take care Mo Fo!
>
Ok thanks same to you !
Hey, would you take $50 for that junky Jackson ?
Ahahahaaaahahaa Hey, I got a special cable I can sell you for only $95.99,
plug it into your guitar and you'll sound like Jimi Hendrix !!
Let me know

2253. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 08:04:59 UTC
From: nm5k@wt.net
Message-ID: <1152777899.801027.186610@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8433
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dirk wrote:
> Ham's care more about operating appliances than knowing how to save a lives.
>
> :-(

It all depends on the person requesting help. Some people, I would
probably just let drown... Like clowns that troll antenna newsgroups
with silly crap about CW. I bet I could save a lot more lives per
minute
using CW than you could. Wanna race? If you insist.. At that price I
can't resist...
MK

2254. pro-2050 f/s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 12:15:33 UTC
From: "trade2626@insightbb.com"
Message-ID: <H6OdncSosoX0pCvZnZ2dnUVZ_uWdnZ2d@insightbb.com>
Source record: 8434

[1] have a pro 2050 for sale. trunktracker 800mhz/ have book/ power supply.
this is a trade in unit. light has been turned off inside for use in mobile/
for no glare at night. this unit has a mono plug jack also added to the back
for
{??????] work's good. super rec on motorola type 2i trunking system plus
other's. $90.00 or offer. thank's

2255. pro-92 scanner f/s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 12:18:50 UTC
From: "trade2626@insightbb.com"
Message-ID: <IcidnTZIaqi2pyvZnZ2dnUVZ_o6dnZ2d@insightbb.com>
Source record: 8435

for sale [1] pro-92 hand held scanner with book's program cable/software. will do alpha read out/ do scan for dccs/pl tone;s. has the new verison softwar in it. work perfect/ has rechargeable battery's with wall wart. 500 channels.asking $120.00 shipped to your door. in u.s.a. only.

2256. Re: Fisher Price 157 Baby Monitor AGC Defeat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 12:32:58 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <i7fcb25cgu006rgeuhudsqt1lp91uo91pv@4ax.com>
Source record: 8436

On Wed, 12 Jul 2006 21:59:19 -0400, pancake <pancake@griddle.com>
wrote:

>Perhaps I was incorrect in calling it AGC, the data sheet on the chip
>calls it ALC . Regardless, it sucks up unwanted noise from the audio.

Sounds like a squelch control. It turns the audio off if there's just
background noise?

There's something being fed to that pin on the chip. Either ground
the pin or connect it to the positive rail - one or the other is
effectively "off" for the function. If you have a voltmeter, just
check which way the voltage goes to cut off the audio - you want the
other voltage on it constantly.

2257. Re: CW is. Laziness iand therby blaocking modernization in the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 12:34:38 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <0dfcb2h260ekms8j6bsnj8rckt949hhcgg@4ax.com>
Source record: 8437
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 12 Jul 2006 16:12:12 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>> I laugh when you lazy asses whine CW is keeping you from modernizing.

>> The code requirement isn't stopping anyone from modernizing. Hams don't
>> want to modernize and won't modernize unless they're forced to modernize.
>> That's what's stopping the modernization.

>and cw usage as well as test promtoes that sort of laziness

Nonsense. Having to do something promotes laziness? Then exercise
promotes sloth and dieting promotes obesity. By your "logic", that
is.

2258. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 12:37:48 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <7ifcb2pnsk4dfm7sqgq0fk5o2blct6i9r7@4ax.com>
Source record: 8438
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 12 Jul 2006 19:47:18 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>If CW had not existed at the time, how would things have
>turned out differently? If the present GPS-based system
>had existed at the time, how would things have turned out?

Since The radio operator of the Titanic knew exactly where they were,
GPS would have made absolutely no difference. If radio hadn't
existed, everyone aboard would have died.

2259. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 13:00:47 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <44B643D0.36FCD8D4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 8439
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
>
> On Wed, 12 Jul 2006 00:49:48 GMT, "Michael A. Terrell"
> <mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> >> Can you say "Yes, I ar wun"?
>
> >Can't you spell any better than that?
>
> A spelling flame! I'm vanquished!

Not really, I just hate needless abbreviations, and even more so as
my vision gets worse.

> >So, Al. Can you tell us how many receivers or transmitters have you
> >built from scratch? Not from a kit, or someone else's design, but from
> >scratch? Drew a block diagram that was converted to a real schematic
> >one block at a time where you did all the math, laid out the chassis,
> >cut and drilled all the holes and built the equipment all by yourself?
>
> 3 receivers, about a dozen transmitters. Oh, yes, and the automation
> system of the Hong Kong Space Museum planetarium, the old Amtrak
> ticket printer, some software that's in use in over 50,000
> installations around the world ...
>
> But I'm not the typical ham, I've been a design engineer for a long
> time.

I was asked a number of times why I didn't have a degree in EE. When
I found a problem, or saw something that needed improved I didn't just
run crying to the MEs. I researched the problem and wrote up a detailed
report. I found the way to correct the problem, found a source for any
part we didn't already stock, and submitted it directly to the engineer
responsible for that item. After a while they would just flip through
my paperwork and submit it to be typed up on the proper forms, then sign
it off. I had planned to go to college and get my degree, but my time in
the Army threw those plans out the window.

> >What is the biggest transmitter you've ever built or used? I'm a
> >disabled now, but I worked in broadcast
>
> Never built anything over a kilowatt, but engineered some pretty hefty
> ones. (Ch. 40 in Waterbury CT, WWRL, WHN, a few others.)

>
> (You remind me of an IBM HR department of old. They always wanted to
> know the largest program the applicant ever wrote. Someone
> legitimately told them, back when software was a few k, that he'd
> written a 3 meg program. It was a translation program with a 3 meg
> dictionary. You're playing "mine is bigger than yours.")

Not really, but a lot of guys have never done anything more than
solder a microphone plug to a cable, and then use those crappy
solderless coax connectors. Actually, I'm always happy to meet a ham
with some real electronics skills. I meet a lot of retired hams here
near Ocala, and very few of them know any electronics. I hear the same I
could through together a CW rig from scratch in an emergency, then they
admit they don't even have an old ARRL handbook to look up a schematic,
or any parts. One told me he would use parts from his TV set, that the
horizontal output tube and a few other parts would put him on the air.
He didn't even know that his six month old TV only had one tube, and
I've never seen a transmitter built from a CRT and salvaged, unmarked
SMD parts.

Biggest isn't the goal, but a long term large project is always
interesting. I always liked a challenge, and left the easy jobs for
everyone else.

> >Tell us, what can you do other than whine? Have you ever built a commercial TV station
from
> >scratch?
>
> All by myself, no. Ever build a planetarium automation system all by
> yourself from scratch (including inventing some of the technology -
> which is still, after 30 years, state of the art)? But I'm not going
> to get into a pissing contest with you. If you were mentally as old
> as you claim your body to be you wouldn't have started one.

I never built anything like that, but I did repair some electronics
for the manager of the planetarium at the Orlando Science Center years
ago. I have worked on numerous industrial control systems, as well.
Sounds like it was a bit of a challenge. Good for you. :) My next
couple projects are an electric gate controller, and a motorized flag
pole, both with custom controllers with 100 MHz Ethernet interfaces so i
can run them from any computer on my home network. I have about 50' of
used TV tower in storage. I'm going to weld angle iron up two legs and
use a motorized trolley to raise and lower the flag. The gate openers
are a pair of used 24" sat tv jacks with a custom controller, a web cam,
and an emergency open button that sets off the security system as the
gate opens.

> >I found CW boring years ago, and have some hearing problems so I said
> >to hell with Morse code and got involved in the equipment design end of
> >things. It was more fun for me to develop a design and built it, get it
> >aligned and working, then move on to the next design.
>

> Since I totally depend on 2 4 channel BTE aids, I can't receive CW
> that easily any more, but that's not a good reason for the FCC to drop
> the requirement. It's not even a bad reason. But when anyone can
> guess well enough to pass the "technical" part of the exam, the
> license isn't worth much.

What about the people locked out by CW requirements who wanted to design and test RF equipment? Not the "I don't do solder" types, but people with a real love of electronic design? I've always had a severe dropout in my hearing that made it impossible to listen to CW for more than a few minutes at a time. I would end up with headaches, some that lasted for days. I finally threw in the towel and went into other areas of electronics. I wanted to learn microwave communications. Along the way I worked in Broadcast and Two way radio servicing. My last job was building commercial microwave receivers at Microdyne. They were custom built from base models for the customers application, on whatever band or segment they needed, and with IF and video bandwidths from 10 KHz to 40 MHz. In a place like that you would have thought there would be a lot of hams, but I only found about a dozen still licensed, and not one who was still active.

--
Service to my country? Been there, Done that, and I've got my DD214 to prove it.
Member of DAV #85.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

2260. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 13:06:29 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <pzrtg.48155$VE1.3151@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 8440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> If CW had not existed at the time, how would things have
>> turned out differently? If the present GPS-based system
>> had existed at the time, how would things have turned out?
>
> Since The radio operator of the Titanic knew exactly where they were,
> GPS would have made absolutely no difference. If radio hadn't
> existed, everyone aboard would have died.

I'm talking about the emergency GPS-based system now in
operation. Other ships are automatically notified of
emergencies and given headings for reaching the emergency
location. If the Titanic and California had been so equipped,
the California could probably have gotten there before the
Titanic sank. The GPS-based emergency system doesn't go to
sleep like the California's CW operator did. I believe the
California also ignored a flare from the Titanic thinking
it was just part of the maiden voyage celebration.

If radio had not existed, the next passing ship would have
rescued any survivors. That's the way it was for centuries
before the invention of radio. There were often survivors
in lifeboats waiting to be picked up in the shipping lanes.
Well-equipped lifeboats could survive for weeks in calm
waters as did the ejected sailors of "Bounty" fame.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2261. Re: CW is. Laziness iand therby blaocking modernization in the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 14:12:36 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152799956.457511.104540@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 12 Jul 2006 16:12:12 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >> I laugh when you lazy asses whine CW is keeping you from modernizing.
>
> >> The code requirement isn't stopping anyone from modernizing. Hams don't
> >> want to modernize and won't modernize unless they're forced to modernize.
> >> That's what's stopping the modernization.
>
> >and cw usage as well as test promtoes that sort of laziness
>
> Nonsense.
indeed the idea that refusing to expand ones horizon is nonsense

and that is what the ars has done for years with it's contiued reliance
on code testing
>Having to do something promotes laziness?
nope not chnaging and resting on lareuls does
>Then exercise
> promotes sloth and dieting promotes obesity. By your "logic", that
> is.

2262. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 14:21:27 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152800487.287151.29410@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8442
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Cecil Moore wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> If CW had not existed at the time, how would things have
> >> turned out differently? If the present GPS-based system
> >> had existed at the time, how would things have turned out?

> If radio had not existed, the next passing ship would have
> rescued any survivors. That's the way it was for centuries
> before the invention of radio. There were often survivors
> in lifeboats waiting to be picked up in the shipping lanes.
> Well-equipped lifeboats could survive for weeks in calm
> waters as did the ejected sailors of "Bounty" fame.
indeed 90 plus percent of those in thelifboats would likely have
survived with radio of any kind
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2263. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 15:27:04 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152804424.282270.207560@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8443
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Michael A. Terrell wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:

>
> What about the people locked out by CW requirements who wanted to
> design and test RF equipment? Not the "I don't do solder" types, but
> people with a real love of electronic design? I've always had a severe
> dropout in my hearing that made it impossible to listen to CW for more
> than a few minutes at a time. I would end up with headaches, some that
> lasted for days. I finally threw in the towel and went into other areas
> of electronics. I wanted to learn microwave communications. Along the
> way I worked in Broadcast and Two way radio servicing.
i hear you on the headaches I remmebr them from my teen aged efforts at
Morse
amusing I listen I can lsiten to morse all day without a problem as
long I don't try to break it down at all then a headache sets in a in
matter of seconds and slowly grows as I try to process the signal

I can in fat qso in the mode using pc with a spectrographic display to
allow me to look at the parts of the signal I can't read with the pc

2264. Re: FA AR8200 computer cable CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 15:34:43 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <9Lttg.10$kn1.7@fe03.lga>
Source record: 8444

"Jesse" <org@org.orgy> wrote in message

> Ok thanks same to you !
> Hey, would you take $50 for that junky Jackson ?

No thanks. You might want to check the skin flute section of Ebay for
something in that price range.

> Ahahahaaaahahaa Hey, I got a special cable I can sell you for only $95.99,
> plug it into your guitar and you'll sound like Jimi Hendrix !!
> Let me know
>

No thanks again. I all ready sound like Hendrix and I'm liable to sell it
for a profit and that would only get you upset with me again. Later and have
a great day Mother Fucker!!

2265. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 17:36:23 UTC
From: Buck <not@this.time>
Message-ID: <641db29d5dd2dsn4ofkgdc7dr02nq7ugr1@4ax.com>
Source record: 8445
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 08:37:48 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Wed, 12 Jul 2006 19:47:18 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>If CW had not existed at the time, how would things have
>>turned out differently? If the present GPS-based system
>>had existed at the time, how would things have turned out?
>
>Since The radio operator of the Titanic knew exactly where they were,
>GPS would have made absolutely no difference. If radio hadn't
>existed, everyone aboard would have died.
try onstar.... superior to IMC !

--
73 for now
Buck
N4PGW

2266. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 18:52:56 UTC
From: clfe <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44b69682$0$3634$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 8446
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Buck" <not@this.time> wrote in message
news:641db29d5dd2dsn4ofkgdc7dr02nq7ugr1@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 08:37:48 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
> wrote:
>
>>On Wed, 12 Jul 2006 19:47:18 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>If CW had not existed at the time, how would things have
>>>turned out differently? If the present GPS-based system
>>>had existed at the time, how would things have turned out?
>>
>>Since The radio operator of the Titanic knew exactly where they were,
>>GPS would have made absolutely no difference. If radio hadn't
>>existed, everyone aboard would have died.
> try onstar.... superior to IMC !
>
>
> --
> 73 for now
> Buck
> N4PGW

I am not so sure about that. My first and only experience with Onstar - was not a very good impression. The "phone system" didn't dial out for crap - by the time you got it to accept the "right" number, you could be dead. Three people in the vehicle called out the number to the sytem a minimum of 5 times each before one of us finally got it to dial. I'm not sure how the other part (emergency locator and response) would have worked as it was never tried. Maybe it works ok in some areas and not others, like cell phones, I don't know - I'm not "that" familiar with it, but that was my experience, limited as it was. I know others seem to have good reports.

Code - has had it's place in history - be it with the Titanic however it helped - to maybe others stranded. We don't know about any (or many) "military" use(s) where it may have helped out, we don't always hear about those things. Is CODE the "saviour" of the world? NO. Each mode or language if you choose to call it that - has it's own heroic moment at some point. Even Smoke Signals (if truly used/existed) probably had SOME helpful value. In a sense, smoke signals STILL exist. They use them at the Vatican to signify certain events - more notibly the death of a Pope. Simply put - you use what you have available at the moment - be it smoke signals, sun light off a mirror, code, fax, voice, drums, whatever. Anything is better than nothing in time of need. Seriously, I don't understand the argument over

Code. Times change, things change. We could argue the use of the smoke at the Vatican when a PA system would do the same job.

With each advance in technology, something goes off the shelf and tossed aside or if kept on the shelf, gets serious dust collections due to little if any use. Electricity replaced candles and lanterns for the most part - though not completely. The Telephone didn't automatically discontinue all other forms of communication - ie, code. The fax and e-mail have not yet altogether replaced "mail" but some day it may. We as a whole can choose to keep something by "using" it or losing it by NOT using it. Things just don't disappear overnight. IF ya like code - USE IT. IF ya don't, then don't worry about it. "IF" you need it to get a license - 5 wpm is not that hard. You won't get it by osmosis. It takes some determination. Just like studying the book. Nothing in life is worth much if just handed to you. There are myriads of things we "must" learn in life which may never be used again - it goes with the territory of life and getting through it. I had to take a course in college once to jump through their hoops. Have I ever used it since? HELL NO. No plan to - either.

As to the Titanic operator being a smart ass as someone alluded to in here - maybe he was just losing his cool (very afraid) and trying desperately to get help and felt any other signals were just going to interfere. I don't know - just my own supposition. People do strange things in an emergency and staring death in the eye IS an emergency. Maybe he screwed up, maybe things could have went differently - we'll never know. FATE has a strange way of playing out in all our lives. We can argue all night long over the "would haves and could haves". Nothing will change that course of history. The fact remains, he at least got the word out and SOME people were saved. ALL could have been lost - were he killed prior to the sending of the message AND if no one else aboard knew how to operate the equipment. He was just a "player" in the scheme of things. Had they not hit the iceberg by whatever faulty(?) piloting or directions being given in the first place, the Radio Operator wouldn't even be the issue. We had an incident here when I was but a teen. A "firefighter" had a problem - losing his cool, he got on the radio and said "Clear the airwaves, we have a national emergency". Yes, they had a problem, not of "national" proportion, but he lost his cool and went overboard in what he did with the radio. People - even stone hard natured people - panic given the right scenario. FRIGHT exists in all of us - at some time. Panic is the response.

GPS, CODE, ONSTAR - NOTHING (except perhaps radar or sonar) would have told them the icebergs were there. Even if they knew they were in a section of water where there were "known" icebergs that they could have steered away from, - as we know - icebergs can and DO break off - so this one "could" have been such a case. It was a doomed mission just as any that the Astronauts have been killed on - even with all the so-called advanced technology and communications at their disposal. Any number of people could be pointed to or "what ifs" asked. The point is, the end is still the same. People died. In the latter case, NO amount of radio comms modes would have made a difference. Just like the spotting of the iceberg at the last minute - so too was the spotting of the problem in the heat shield and

equipment - aboard the shuttle - too little - too late - with or without radio - regardless the mode.

Just my 2 cents.

Lou/Ka3flu

2267. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 19:14:11 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7Ywtg.3750$2v.1828@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 8447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

clfe wrote:
> As to the Titanic operator being a smart ass as someone alluded to in here -
> maybe he was just losing his cool (very afraid) and trying desperately to
> get help and felt any other signals were just going to interfere.

It was before the Titanic hit the iceberg that the Titanic
CW operator told the Californian CW operator to get off the
air. He considered his normal Titanic CW message traffic to
have priority over any CW traffic that the Californian might
need to pass. Turns out the Californian's CW operator was
the only person in the world who could have saved the life
of the Titanic's CW operator.
--
73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2268. Re: FA AR8200 computer cable CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 19:17:16 UTC
From: Jesse <org@org.orgy>
Message-ID: <Xns97FF9B845C073ewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 8448

"Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in news:9Lttg.10$kn1.7@fe03.lga:

>
> "Jesse" <org@org.orgy> wrote in message
>
>
>> Ok thanks same to you !
>> Hey, would you take $50 for that junky Jackson ?
>
> No thanks. You might want to check the skin flute section of Ebay for
> something in that price range.

Hmm did a search for skin flutes, came up dry.
You must have cornered the market.
Well .. Happy fluting, feeble dweeble !

>
>
>> Ahahahaaaahahaa Hey, I got a special cable I can sell you for only
>> $95.99, plug it into your guitar and you'll sound like Jimi Hendrix !!
>> Let me know
>>
>
> No thanks again. I all ready sound like Hendrix and I'm liable to sell
> it for a profit and that would only get you upset with me again. Later
> and have a great day Mother Fucker!!
>
Yeah, considering that hes been dead for better than 3 decades I'm sure you
sound alot like him.

2269. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 19:38:23 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152819503.528054.33640@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8449
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Cecil Moore wrote:
> clfe wrote:
> > As to the Titanic operator being a smart ass as someone alluded to in here -
> > maybe he was just losing his cool (very afraid) and trying desperately to
> > get help and felt any other signals were just going to interfere.
>
> It was before the Titanic hit the iceberg that the Titanic
> CW operator told the Californian CW operator to get off the
> air. He considered his normal Titanic CW message traffic to
> have priority over any CW traffic that the Californian might
> need to pass. Turns out the Californian's CW operator was
> the only person in the world who could have saved the life
> of the Titanic's CW operator.

Cecil I will conseede the CW usage could have saved lives could still
save lives

but that was never the question

the question of the thread is could you save a life with CW is the
chance came
I am sure you could.

I could I certainly I could by very different means

could I save lifes on HF if the need arouse certainly I could do so
except I do not listen them nowsince Ican't use them as rotuiene matter

which would save more life and property maintining CW testing to keep
many of the current tech from aquiring HF experence or droing the test
al though us sue of the bands and the abilty to learn in an evionment
that assures there is some one out there to talk to someone
> --
> 73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2270. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 20:38:31 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12bdbqdbtsd79a3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 8450
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

clfe wrote:
> "Buck" <not@this.time> wrote in message
> news:641db29d5dd2dsn4ofkgdc7dr02nq7ugr1@4ax.com...
>
>>On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 08:37:48 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>wrote:
>>
>>
>>>On Wed, 12 Jul 2006 19:47:18 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>If CW had not existed at the time, how would things have
>>>>turned out differently? If the present GPS-based system
>>>>had existed at the time, how would things have turned out?
>>>
>>>Since The radio operator of the Titanic knew exactly where they were,
>>>GPS would have made absolutely no difference. If radio hadn't
>>>existed, everyone aboard would have died.
>>
>>try onstar.... superior to IMC !
>>
>>
>>--
>>73 for now
>>Buck
>>N4PGW
>
>
> I am not so sure about that. My first and only experience with Onstar - was
> not a very good impression. The "phone system" didn't dial out for crap - by
> the time you got it to accept the "right" number, you could be dead. Three
> people in the vehicle called out the number to the sytem a minimum of 5
> times each before one of us finally got it to dial. I'm not sure how the
> other part (emergency locator and response) would have worked as it was
> never tried. Maybe it works ok in some areas and not others, like cell
> phones, I don't know - I'm not "that" familiar with it, but that was my
> experience, limited as it was. I know others seem to have good reports.

The first thing to go out in a disaster is the phone system. The cell
phone system is not immune to this problem.

>
> Code - has had it's place in history - be it with the Titanic however it

> helped - to maybe others stranded. We don't know about any (or many)
> "military" use(s) where it may have helped out, we don't always hear about
> those things.

A long time ago a guy I worked with told me that when he was in the Army
he was assigned to the artillery. He could not qualify as a forward
observer because he could only work 20 wpm and needed to be able to do
30 to qualify as a FO communicator.

Is CODE the "saviour" of the world? NO. Each mode or language
> if you choose to call it that - has it's own heroic moment at some point.
> Even Smoke Signals (if truly used/existed) probably had SOME helpful value.
> In a sense, smoke signals STILL exist. They use them at the Vatican to
> signify certain events - more notibly the death of a Pope. Simply put - you
> use what you have available at the moment - be it smoke signals, sun light
> off a mirror, code, fax, voice, drums, whatever. Anything is better than
> nothing in time of need. Seriously, I don't understand the argument over
> Code. Times change, things change. We could argue the use of the smoke at
> the Vatican when a PA system would do the same job.

This is definitely tradition not necessity.

>
> With each advance in technology, something goes off the shelf and tossed
> aside or if kept on the shelf, gets serious dust collections due to little
> if any use. Electricity replaced candles and lanterns for the most part -
> though not completely. The Telephone didn't automatically discontinue all
> other forms of communication - ie, code. The fax and e-mail have not yet
> altogether replaced "mail" but some day it may. We as a whole can choose to
> keep something by "using" it or losing it by NOT using it. Things just don't
> disappear overnight. IF ya like code - USE IT. IF ya don't, then don't worry
> about it. "IF" you need it to get a license - 5 wpm is not that hard. You
> won't get it by osmosis. It takes some determination. Just like studying the
> book. Nothing in life is worth much if just handed to you. There are myriads
> of things we "must" learn in life which may never be used again - it goes
> with the territory of life and getting through it. I had to take a course in
> college once to jump through their hoops. Have I ever used it since? HELL
> NO. No plan to - either.

>
> As to the Titanic operator being a smart ass as someone alluded to in here -
> maybe he was just losing his cool (very afraid) and trying desperately to
> get help and felt any other signals were just going to interfere. I don't
> know - just my own supposition. People do strange things in an emergency and
> staring death in the eye IS an emergency. Maybe he screwed up, maybe things
> could have went differently - we'll never know.

The radio operation on the RMS Titanic was controlled by the Marconie
Radio Company. As such the Titanic radio operator was discouraged from
communicating with any station controlled by another company. This is
called free enterprise. Also the radio was not under the command of
Capt. Smith. This was changed after the sinking. They also mandated 24/7

monitoring of the emergency radio frequencies.

>FATE has a strange way of
> playing out in all our lives. We can argue all night long over the "would
> haves and could haves". Nothing will change that course of history. The fact
> remains, he at least got the word out and SOME people were saved. ALL could
> have been lost - were he killed prior to the sending of the message AND if
> no one else aboard knew how to operate the equipment. He was just a "player"
> in the scheme of things. Had they not hit the iceberg by whatever faulty(?)
> piloting or directions being given in the first place, the Radio Operator
> wouldn't even be the issue. We had an incident here when I was but a teen. A
> "firefighter" had a problem - losing his cool, he got on the radio and said
> "Clear the airwaves, we have a national emergency". Yes, they had a problem,
> not of "national" proportion, but he lost his cool and went overboard in
> what he did with the radio. People - even stone hard natured people - panic
> given the right scenario. FRIGHT exists in all of us - at some time. Panic
> is the response.
>
> GPS, CODE, ONSTAR - NOTHING (except perhaps radar or sonar) would have told
> them the icebergs were there. Even if they knew they were in a section of
> water where there were "known" icebergs that they could have steered away
> from, - as we know - icebergs can and DO break off - so this one "could"
> have been such a case.

The Canadian Coast Guard and the United States Coast Guard expend a lot
of time and money looking for and tracking these icebergs. After the
Titanic went down the International Iceberg Patrol was created. It even
functioned during the Second World War giving positions report to allied
mariners.

It was a doomed mission just as any that the
> Astronauts have been killed on - even with all the so-called advanced
> technology and communications at their disposal. Any number of people could
> be pointed to or "what ifs" asked. The point is, the end is still the same.
> People died. In the latter case, NO amount of radio comms modes would have
> made a difference. Just like the spotting of the iceberg at the last
> minute - so too was the spotting of the problem in the heat shield and
> equipment - aboard the shuttle - too little - too late - with or without
> radio - regardless the mode.
>
> Just my 2 cents.
>

Just my 2 cents also.

Dave WD9BDZ

> Lou/Ka3flu
>
>
>

2271. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 20:43:42 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12bdc44c26vip27@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 8351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Cecil Moore wrote:

> clfe wrote:
>
>> As to the Titanic operator being a smart ass as someone alluded to in
>> here - maybe he was just losing his cool (very afraid) and trying
>> desperately to get help and felt any other signals were just going to
>> interfere.
>
>
> It was before the Titanic hit the iceberg that the Titanic
> CW operator told the Californian CW operator to get off the
> air. He considered his normal Titanic CW message traffic to
> have priority over any CW traffic that the Californian might
> need to pass. Turns out the Californian's CW operator was
> the only person in the world who could have saved the life
> of the Titanic's CW operator.

Ship born radio communications were controlled by communications companies completely separate from the ship. The radio operators were not under the command of the ship's captain. In the case of Titanic the Marconi Radio Company controlled the radio. Californian and Carpathia had different company control and there was a definite rivalry between the companies. The Titanic operator was fully justified in telling the Californian operator to close station. This was one of the direct causes of the formation of the international radio treaties we operate under now.

Dave WD9BDZ

2272. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 20:45:06 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <d9Kdna9_3aZPLSvZnZ2dnUVZ_vmdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Cecil Moore wrote:

> Dave wrote:
>
>> C'mon Cecil, you've been licensed as long as I have. I Know you Know
>> CW. Does that mean we're virtually obsolete?
>
>
> My favorite mode is CW and it's a fun mode but it is never
> going to save the world.

AGREE!!!!!

2273. Hamfest July 16th Kimberton Fire Co. Fairgrounds, Kimberton, Pa.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 20:52:05 UTC
From: "Thanks....." <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Vnytg.1805$qd3.1455@trndny05>
Source record: 8353
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Annual MARC Hamfest at the Kimberton Fire Fairgrounds. For more info follow the link:

http://www.marc-radio.org/

2274. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 21:38:27 UTC
From: clfe <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44b6bd4e$0$3643$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 8354
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:7Ywtg.3750$2v.1828@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
> clfe wrote:
>> As to the Titanic operator being a smart ass as someone alluded to in
>> here - maybe he was just losing his cool (very afraid) and trying
>> desperately to get help and felt any other signals were just going to
>> interfere.
>
> It was before the Titanic hit the iceberg that the Titanic
> CW operator told the Californian CW operator to get off the
> air. He considered his normal Titanic CW message traffic to
> have priority over any CW traffic that the Californian might
> need to pass. Turns out the Californian's CW operator was
> the only person in the world who could have saved the life
> of the Titanic's CW operator.
> --
> 73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

In that case then - I stand corrected, I was unaware of that.

Lou

2275. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 22:21:03 UTC
From: gsm@mendelson.com
Message-ID: <slrnebdhlt.flp.gsm@cable.mendelson.com>
Source record: 8355
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

clfe wrote:
> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:7Ywtg.3750$2v.1828@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
>> It was before the Titanic hit the iceberg that the Titanic
>> CW operator told the Californian CW operator to get off the
>> air. He considered his normal Titanic CW message traffic to
>> have priority over any CW traffic that the Californian might
>> need to pass. Turns out the Californian's CW operator was
>> the only person in the world who could have saved the life
>> of the Titanic's CW operator.
>> --
>> 73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
> In that case then - I stand corrected, I was unaware of that.

It's totaly untrue. The Californian's radio operator ignored the
Titanic's distress signals because the Titanic was a Marconi ship and
the Californian was a Telefunken ship. The operators were not allowed to
communicate with the competing company's operators under any
circumstances under penalty of being put off the ship at first landing,
with no hope of getting home or being hired by the other company.

I recently blogged about it:

http://geoffstechno.livejournal.com/2006/06/22/

Geoff.

--
Geoffrey S. Mendelson, Jerusalem, Israel gsm@mendelson.com N3OWJ/4X1GM
IL Voice: (07)-7424-1667 IL Fax: 972-2-648-1443 U.S. Voice: 1-215-821-1838
Visit my 'blog at http://geoffstechno.livejournal.com/

2276. Re: CW isn't preventing the modernization of ham radio. Laziness is.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 22:34:48 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cUztg.7247$ye3.645@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Bill Turner <noway@nohow.com> wrote in
news:q66bb21d47nnpnc0ue37g2rtoehtcb8tna@4ax.com:

> ORIGINAL MESSAGE:
>
> On Wed, 12 Jul 2006 23:09:17 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>
>>Just like you don't want to learn CW because you're stupid or lazy.
>
> ------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------
>
> Naw, CW makes it too easy to communicate. CW is for lazy people. Real
> hams want to struggle to copy signals through noise and QRM. That's
> why they hate CW... it's no challenge!
>
> Bill, W6WRT

Or when a couple ops with amplifiers move in a little too close to your
on-going qso. That does make things tough, and then they laugh at you
when you politely ask them to move up the band a little. LOL

2277. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 22:34:52 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <gUztg.7250$ye3.3368@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8356
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:RYitg.48117$VE1.6593@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com:

> Dave wrote:
>> C'mon Cecil, you've been licensed as long as I have. I Know you Know
>> CW. Does that mean we're virtually obsolete?
>
> My favorite mode is CW and it's a fun mode but it is never
> going to save the world.

With an attitude like that it probably won't. Better keep a microphone
handy.

SC

2278. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 22:34:54 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <iUztg.7251$ye3.7161@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Bill Turner <noway@nohow.com> wrote in
news:j6kab2hpqfaob6qrm1s32ra118p8gh3h3p@4ax.com:

> ORIGINAL MESSAGE:
>
> On 12 Jul 2006 10:24:55 -0700, "an old freind"
> <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>if i was at my home station is no they would not die
>>
>>and I am as no code as they come I down right hate the mode and yet y
>>pc and station is quite able to work cw as needed to save a life if it
>>was needed
>
> ------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------
>
> He apparently hates English too.
>
> Bill, W6WRT

Imagine that coming toward you 20wpm. I'm begining to think it's good
he hates cw. LOL

SC

2279. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 23:00:20 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8gAtg.48290$VE1.35525@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 8359
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Geoffrey S. Mendelson wrote:
> It's totaly untrue. The Californian's radio operator ignored the
> Titanic's distress signals because the Titanic was a Marconi ship and
> the Californian was a Telefunken ship.

If the History Channel got it right, the Californian's CW
operator was asleep by the time the Titanic hit the iceberg.
--
73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2280. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 23:01:09 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <VgAtg.48291$VE1.42374@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 8360
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> With an attitude like that it probably won't. Better keep a microphone
> handy.

Actually, what I keep handy is food and water.
--
73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2281. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-13 23:04:24 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <KvydnZlJ_cM-TCvZnZ2dnUVZ_rednZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8361
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:pzrtg.48155$VE1.3151@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> Al Klein wrote:
>> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>> If CW had not existed at the time, how would things have
>>> turned out differently? If the present GPS-based system
>>> had existed at the time, how would things have turned out?
>>
>> Since The radio operator of the Titanic knew exactly where they were,
>> GPS would have made absolutely no difference. If radio hadn't
>> existed, everyone aboard would have died.
>
> I'm talking about the emergency GPS-based system now in
> operation. Other ships are automatically notified of
> emergencies and given headings for reaching the emergency
> location. If the Titanic and California had been so equipped,
> the California could probably have gotten there before the
> Titanic sank. The GPS-based emergency system doesn't go to
> sleep like the California's CW operator did. I believe the
> California also ignored a flare from the Titanic thinking
> it was just part of the maiden voyage celebration.
>
> If radio had not existed, the next passing ship would have
> rescued any survivors. That's the way it was for centuries
> before the invention of radio. There were often survivors
> in lifeboats waiting to be picked up in the shipping lanes.
> Well-equipped lifeboats could survive for weeks in calm
> waters as did the ejected sailors of "Bounty" fame.

The men put off the Bounty did not survive with ease but only through very
hard work and the superb seamanship and leadership of Captain Bligh.
Although he was an absolute ass while in command of the Bounty, but his
performance was superb during that time.

And life boats floating in the shipping lanes could capsize in rough weather
or drift out of the shipping lanes. We will never know how many people died
at sea in such boats after surviving the loss of the ship.

The various technological improvements, including radio, have been important
in improving the survival odds.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2282. Re: CW isn't preventing the modernization of ham radio. Laziness is.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-14 00:41:50 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1152837710.065651.206240@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> LOL!
>
> I laugh when you lazy asses whine CW is keeping you from modernizing.
>
> The code requirement isn't stopping anyone from modernizing. Hams don't
> want to modernize and won't modernize unless they're forced to modernize.
> That's what's stopping the modernization.
>
> How does having a CW requirement prevent you from hooking a computer to a
> rig? It don't. You don't want to modernize because you're stupid or lazy.
> Just like you don't want to learn CW because you're stupid or lazy.
>
> SC

LOL! It started a long, long time ago.

When gasoline was 17 Cents a gallon, hams were complainining about how
hard it was to get to the FCC office and wanted a mail-order license.
The conditional was born.

It's been downhill ever since.

2283. Re: CW is. Laziness iand therby blaocking modernization in the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-14 01:43:55 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <6ktdb2hoojnt9t460nrqto153v43eot44k@4ax.com>
Source record: 8363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 13 Jul 2006 07:12:36 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> On 12 Jul 2006 16:12:12 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
>> wrote:

>> >and cw usage as well as test promtoes that sort of laziness

>> Nonsense.

>indeed the idea that refusing to expand ones horizon is nonsense

The idea that testing someone promotes laziness is nonsense.

>>Having to do something promotes laziness?

>nope not chnaging and resting on lareuls does

So resting on your laurels and not learning CW promotes your laziness.
That's what I said and you agree.

2284. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-14 01:53:35 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <1581682.QM02DIj95t@www.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 8364
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dirk wrote:

> Ham's care more about operating appliances than knowing how to save a
lives.
>
> :-(

troll-o-meter (digital version)
0*****1*****2*****3*****4*****5*****6*****7*****8*****9*****
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||>

ah shit. we have a troll!

2285. Re: CW is. Laziness iand therby blaocking modernization in the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-14 01:55:07 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152842107.535325.138310@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 13 Jul 2006 07:12:36 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
> >> On 12 Jul 2006 16:12:12 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
> >> wrote:
>
> >> >and cw usage as well as test promtoes that sort of laziness
>
> >> Nonsense.
>
> >indeed the idea that refusing to expand ones horizon is nonsense
>
> The idea that testing someone promotes laziness is nonsense.
not the test that was the subject
use of the mode promotes lazness

>
> >>Having to do something promotes laziness?
>
> >nope not chnaging and resting on lareuls does
>
> So resting on your laurels and not learning CW promotes your laziness.
> That's what I said and you agree.
nope

learning CW promotes laziness

2286. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-14 01:56:43 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <9ntdb2dkp9jabtvfgmt2c4b3okc4oki66q@4ax.com>
Source record: 8366
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 13:00:47 GMT, "Michael A. Terrell"
<mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> On Wed, 12 Jul 2006 00:49:48 GMT, "Michael A. Terrell"
>> <mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote:

>> >> Can you say "Yes, I ar wun"?

>> >Can't you spell any better than that?

>> A spelling flame! I'm vanquished!

> Not really, I just hate needless abbreviations, and even more so as
>my vision gets worse.

It wasn't an abbreviation, it was a comment. From an old poster we
had in high school - "Six munce ago I cun't evun spel injunere, now I
ar wun."

>I had planned to go to college and get my degree, but my time in
>the Army threw those plans out the window.

Then I'm glad I chose the Navy.

>> You're playing "mine is bigger than yours.")

>One told me he would use parts from his TV set, that the
>horizontal output tube and a few other parts would put him on the air.

There have been many people on the air thanks to a 6BG6 or similar.

>He didn't even know that his six month old TV only had one tube, and
>I've never seen a transmitter built from a CRT and salvaged, unmarked
>SMD parts.

Interesting idea, though - a CRT as a final with an inherent monitor.
I wonder how much RF output you can drive a CRT to. And, if you made
it AM, would that be "focused" modulation? Or, if you were listening
to the flyback, "high sing modulation"?

> I never built anything like that, but I did repair some electronics
>for the manager of the planetarium at the Orlando Science Center years
>ago.

I'll take a stab - a Minolta projector? I doubt it's a Zeiss.

> What about the people locked out by CW requirements who wanted to
>design and test RF equipment?

That was the whole idea behind the Tech ticket - minimal CW that anyone could get to in a few weeks and enough written exam to prove that you knew electronics. Anyone who can design or test RF equipment should be able to draw a few schematics.

2287. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-14 01:58:11 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <ffudb2d8nv8i284egltojg92s7iv84gepl@4ax.com>
Source record: 8367
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 13 Jul 2006 08:27:04 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>amusing I listen I can lsiten to morse all day without a problem as
>long I don't try to break it down at all then a headache sets in a in
>matter of seconds and slowly grows as I try to process the signal

There's the problem. "breaking down" CW is like listening to the
letters someone is speaking. You don't break it down, you listen to
what's being said.

2288. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-14 02:10:39 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <2ludb2pci25vn0uuqbdccv787n4h4ica4a@4ax.com>
Source record: 8368
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 13:06:29 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>I'm talking about the emergency GPS-based system now in
>operation. Other ships are automatically notified of
>emergencies and given headings for reaching the emergency
>location. If the Titanic and California had been so equipped,
>the California could probably have gotten there before the
>Titanic sank. The GPS-based emergency system doesn't go to
>sleep like the California's CW operator did.

Oh, you mean he didn't hear the automatic annunciator they had in use
in those days? Mechanical and clunky, but it worked.

The only thing the GPS-based system does is give you an exact location
- it doesn't notify anyone of anything. Plain old radio does that,
the same as it did back then.

>If radio had not existed, the next passing ship would have
>rescued any survivors. That's the way it was for centuries
>before the invention of radio.

The way it usually was in the centuries before radio (just ask the
crew of the Nuestra Senora de Atocha) was that when the ship sank the
people on her died. (The Atocha's crew were all hardened sailors, yet
only 3 crew members - out of 265 people aboard - clung to the wreckage
long enough to be rescued.)

> There were often survivors
>in lifeboats waiting to be picked up in the shipping lanes.
>Well-equipped lifeboats could survive for weeks in calm
>waters as did the ejected sailors of "Bounty" fame.

1) There weren't enough lifeboats in the Titanic.
2) They weren't "equipped".
3) The crew of the bounty were sailors used to pulling oars for hours
at a time. The passengers of the Titanic - those who were allowed to
get to the lifeboats - were pampered women and children, not used to,
or able to, row a heavy wooden lifeboat anywhere.
4) People who are wet and in their night clothes - most of those who
made it to the lifeboats - don't survive very long in sub-Arctic
climes.

But it's nice to reminisce about what happened, even if it never did.

2289. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-14 02:15:30 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <8hvdb2dgushem7kqafbl9v95vdj02gli3j@4ax.com>
Source record: 8369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 13 Jul 2006 23:00:20 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>If the History Channel got it right

That would be an historic first.

2290. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-14 03:10:23 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152846623.701783.52200@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 13 Jul 2006 08:27:04 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >amusing I listen I can lsiten to morse all day without a problem as
> >long I don't try to break it down at all then a headache sets in a in
> >matter of seconds and slowly grows as I try to process the signal
>
> There's the problem. "breaking down" CW is like listening to the
> letters someone is speaking. You don't break it down, you listen to
> what's being said.
fuck off

It is painfully obvious you are nothing but ahter of Ham radio and yet
you matian a license and go around bashing real hams interted in the
future of the service

2291. BC898T or BC9000XLT ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-14 03:46:20 UTC
From: n4aeq <tucker@conninc.com>
Message-ID: <1152848779.964509.114020@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8371

Earlier this year i bought a BC898T, I wanted a good scanner/reciever
for uhf. My pro2006
work great but i needed a vfo/tunning knob. The 898 works greatand is
close but not quite as
sensitive as the pro6000 in uhf. So sense i dont care about trunking im
thinking of
getting a used BC9000xlt. My question is this, would the 9000 be as
sensitive as the pro2006 in uhf or will i notice any difference in
sensitivity between the BC9000 &
BC898t?

2292. Re: BC898T or BC9000XLT ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-14 04:46:39 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <dr-dnakjb-IuvCrZnZ2dnUVZ_qWdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8372

I've owned a couple BC9000-XLT's, and BC898T's over the years. I think you'll be happier keeping the BC898T. Is UHF MilAir your main interest? That's what I was using the BC898T for, and I was very satisfied. Another consideration is that the BC9000-XLT does not have a computer interface for programming, and/or control. The BC9000-XLT also required an optional CTCSS module, that is almost impossible to find these days.

Bill Crocker

"n4aeq" <tucker@conninc.com> wrote in message news:1152848779.964509.114020@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> Earlier this year i bought a BC898T, I wanted a good scanner/reciever
> for uhf. My pro2006
> work great but i needed a vfo/tunning knob. The 898 works greatand is
> close but not quite as
> sensitive as the pro6000 in uhf. So sense i dont care about trunking im
> thinking of
> getting a used BC9000xlt. My question is this, would the 9000 be as
> sensitive as the pro2006 in uhf or will i notice any difference in
> sensitivity between the BC9000 &
> BC898t?
>

2293. Re: 2 way scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-14 04:53:05 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ypSdnVBKP8KlvirZnZ2dnUVZ_rSdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8373

Some Motorola two-ways can be programmed for receive only on selected channels. I know the HT1000 can. I had a UHF HT1000 programmed for GMRS Tx & Rx, and one channel for my local Sheriff, Rx only. It worked great! I don't think the Radius CP200 has that capability.

Bill Crocker

"mixer788" <mixer788.2apmfb@news.radiobanter.com> wrote in message news:mixer788.2apmfb@news.radiobanter.com...
>
> Ok I have a question not sure if anyone knows about this but thought i
> would like to ask anyway. I have a scanner it has a 2 way capabileity.
> Its a Motorla Radius cp200. I want to be able to add frec to it myself,
> so I can monitor chanels. Now I can only take it to the guy a factory
> rep and he can add or delete it. I asked him if i could do it myself
> and he said no cause if i could i could use other frec and disrupt
> others. I dont want to change the 2way frec just add some frec so I can
> hear others. So if anyone knows about this I would like to hear about
> it. Thanks up front
>
>
>
>
> --
> mixer788

2294. Re: CW is. Laziness iand therby blaocking modernization in the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-14 12:32:01 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <7j3fb2ts8ap787n3eve55vk29jssfmas62@4ax.com>
Source record: 8374
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 13 Jul 2006 18:55:07 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:

>> The idea that testing someone promotes laziness is nonsense.

>not the test that was the subject
>use of the mode promotes lazness

Learning something promotes laziness? Typical.

>learning CW promotes laziness

Yep, as I said, typical. Of a lazy person. Learning doesn't promote
laziness, laziness promotes not learning.

2295. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-14 12:33:17 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <um3fb2p60blo8gv7945b7gpjab2sd8891u@4ax.com>
Source record: 8375
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 13 Jul 2006 20:10:23 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>It is painfully obvious you are nothing but ahter of Ham radio and yet
>you matian a license and go around bashing real hams

I'm bashing you - what do you have to do with "real hams"?

> interted in the future of the service

Really? So how many computer languages are YOU fluent in?

2296. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-14 14:15:26 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <2GNtg.130794$dW3.114604@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 8376
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> The only thing the GPS-based system does is give you an exact location
> - it doesn't notify anyone of anything.

"EPIRB - Emergency Position Indicating Radio Beacons, or EPIRBs,
are used when a ship is in distress, to emit a radio signal
marking the ship's location."

>> If radio had not existed, the next passing ship would have
>> rescued any survivors.
>
> The way it usually was in the centuries before radio (just ask the
> crew of the Nuestra Senora de Atocha) was that when the ship sank the
> people on her died. (The Atocha's crew were all hardened sailors, yet
> only 3 crew members - out of 265 people aboard - clung to the wreckage
> long enough to be rescued.)

Yes, that's what I said. Passing ships rescue survivors.
--
73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2297. Re: CW is. Laziness iand therby blaocking modernization in the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-14 14:38:57 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <50Otg.130800$dW3.56891@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 8377
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> ... laziness promotes not learning.

Some German General went on the record as preferring
his officers to be *intelligent and lazy*. He said the most
dangerous officers are the ambitious and stupid ones.
Now if I could just remember his name.
--
73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2298. Re: CW is. Laziness iand therby blaocking modernization in the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-14 16:58:50 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152896330.067328.56060@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8378
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Cecil Moore wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > ... laziness promotes not learning.
>
> Some German General went on the record as preferring
> his officers to be *intelligent and lazy*. He said the most
> dangerous officers are the ambitious and stupid ones.
> Now if I could just remember his name.
Cecicl I think I rememebr that quote

but I think it is an ADmiral von Tirpitz the father of the WW1 navy
> --
> 73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2299. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-14 17:30:19 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152898218.993530.182010@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 13 Jul 2006 20:10:23 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >It is painfully obvious you are nothing but ahter of Ham radio and yet
> >you matian a license and go around bashing real hams
>
> I'm bashing you - what do you have to do with "real hams"?
I am one
I try to operate as Good returning good for evil to point of course
which you have croosed in your effort to promote the destruction of the
ARS
>
> > interested in the future of the service
>
> Really? So how many computer languages are YOU fluent in?

well Fortran Pascal (I always liked that one) assably with ADA
assmebly language Basci of course C at some level but not using much
they may have added to it sincethen

but what does this have to with the future of the ARS

2300. Re: BC898T or BC9000XLT ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-14 17:34:36 UTC
From: n4aeq <tucker@conninc.com>
Message-ID: <1152898476.667680.96570@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8380

Yes I was trying to get better reception by using a tunning knob and
using the scanner with the squelch off. Seems the pro2006 would lock
on to a weak signal but then skip and
start scanning. The 898t wasent the answer either and yes i understand
the 9000 has no
pc control but i havent used the software and dont plan on it. As far
as the tone decoder
thats fun th play with decoding public service tones but i havent found
much use for it
otherwize. I would really like a good sensitive uhf tuneable am fm
receiver that could be converted to ssb also, the pro2006 can be but as
you know it dosent have a vfo knob.

Bill Crocker wrote:
> I've owned a couple BC9000-XLT's, and BC898T's over the years. I think
> you'll be happier keeping the BC898T. Is UHF MilAir your main interest?
> That's what I was using the BC898T for, and I was very satisfied. Another
> consideration is that the BC9000-XLT does not have a computer interface for
> programming, and/or control. The BC9000-XLT also required an optional CTCSS
> module, that is almost impossible to find these days.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "n4aeq" <tucker@conninc.com> wrote in message
> news:1152848779.964509.114020@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> > Earlier this year i bought a BC898T, I wanted a good scanner/reciever
> > for uhf. My pro2006
> > work great but i needed a vfo/tunning knob. The 898 works greatand is
> > close but not quite as
> > sensitive as the pro6000 in uhf. So sense i dont care about trunking im
> > thinking of
> > getting a used BC9000xlt. My question is this, would the 9000 be as
> > sensitive as the pro2006 in uhf or will i notice any difference in
> > sensitivity between the BC9000 &
> > BC898t?
> >

2301. Re: CW is. Laziness iand therby blaocking modernization in the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-14 18:12:02 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <7hnfb2drk8b80o2nfm33ujibtcfjotgc7d@4ax.com>
Source record: 8381
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 14:38:57 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> ... laziness promotes not learning.
>
>Some German General went on the record as preferring
>his officers to be *intelligent and lazy*. He said the most
>dangerous officers are the ambitious and stupid ones.
>Now if I could just remember his name.

Ribbentrop? It sounds like him.

2302. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-14 18:15:59 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <0knfb210nuveg86nhbpb3pqru8u55nhsd4@4ax.com>
Source record: 8382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 14:15:26 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> The only thing the GPS-based system does is give you an exact location
>> - it doesn't notify anyone of anything.

>"EPIRB - Emergency Position Indicating Radio Beacons, or EPIRBs,
>are used when a ship is in distress, to emit a radio signal
>marking the ship's location."

GPS systems are receivers. Transmitters that use GPS-derived data
aren't GPS-based systems, they're transmitter-based systems. No
transmitter, no notification. No GPS, notification is a little less
accurate, that's all.

>>> If radio had not existed, the next passing ship would have
>>> rescued any survivors.

>> The way it usually was in the centuries before radio (just ask the
>> crew of the Nuestra Senora de Atocha) was that when the ship sank the
>> people on her died. (The Atocha's crew were all hardened sailors, yet
>> only 3 crew members - out of 265 people aboard - clung to the wreckage
>> long enough to be rescued.)

>Yes, that's what I said. Passing ships rescue survivors.

Or, in this case, ships that were part of the same flotilla (they
didn't have to have any luck in being in the area) only managed to
rescue about 1% of the survivors. If they had waited for "passing
ships", their grandchildren would have been too old for rescue. Most
shipwreck survivors who are rescued aren't rescued by ships that just
happen to be passing, they're rescued by ships that knew about the
wreck and responded. (Before radio, most shipwreck survivors weren't
rescued.)

2303. Re: FA AR8200 computer cable CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-14 19:20:29 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <Q8Stg.36$Ri2.33@fe04.lga>
Source record: 8383

"Jesse" <org@org.orgy> wrote in message

> Hmm did a search for skin flutes, came up dry.
> You must have cornered the market.
> Well .. Happy fluting, feeble dweeble!

Try looking under key words " Mouth Organ" And if you still can't find anything, I'll loan you mine and you can play on it a wile. You have a great weekend Capt. Cornhole!!

2304. Re: CW is. Laziness iand therby blaocking modernization in the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-14 20:24:01 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <B3Ttg.121327$H71.15030@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 8384
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

an old freind wrote:
> but I think it is an ADmiral von Tirpitz the father of the WW1 navy

Thanks, I'll research it.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2305. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-14 20:38:39 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <jhTtg.121329$H71.41580@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 8385
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> Transmitters that use GPS-derived data aren't GPS-based systems, ...

Huh???? Can you prove that assertion? :-)

> Most
> shipwreck survivors who are rescued aren't rescued by ships that just
> happen to be passing, they're rescued by ships that knew about the
> wreck and responded. (Before radio, most shipwreck survivors weren't
> rescued.)

All that is true. If one was away from the shipping lanes,
one was SOL or USCWAP. However, if one was in the shipping
lanes with a flare, one at least had a chance of being
rescued. According to my nephew, one of our ancestors was
rescued in such a manner. Presumably, if that had not
happened, neither he nor I would exist.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2306. Re: CW is. Laziness iand therby blaocking modernization in the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-14 21:24:30 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152912269.930438.77110@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8386
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Cecil Moore wrote:
> an old freind wrote:
> > but I think it is an ADmiral von Tirpitz the father of the WW1 navy
>
> Thanks, I'll research it.
your welcome I am sure I knw the quote now that you mention is myself
I hope you will let us know what you find
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2307. Re: FA AR8200 computer cable CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-14 22:23:24 UTC
From: Jesse <org@org.orgy>
Message-ID: <Xns9800BB149BBDBewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 8387

"Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in news:Q8Stg.36$Ri2.33@fe04.lga:

>
> "Jesse" <org@org.orgy> wrote in message
>
>
>> Hmm did a search for skin flutes, came up dry.
>> You must have cornered the market.
>> Well .. Happy fluting, feeble dweeble!
>
> Try looking under key words " Mouth Organ" And if you still can't find
> anything, I'll loan you mine and you can play on it a wile. You have a
> great weekend Capt. Cornhole!!
>
Oh sure play on it a wile, yup.
Seems you got quite an oral fixation going on there, I'll just leave you
alone with that ... And oh, too bad about that junky over-priced jackson not
selling .... Maybe try throwing in a free $87.99 cable with it on your next
relist.

2308. Re: CW isn't preventing the modernization of ham radio. Laziness is.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-14 23:24:23 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <HIVtg.8588$cd3.4049@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1152837710.065651.206240@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> LOL!
>>
>> I laugh when you lazy asses whine CW is keeping you from modernizing.
>>
>> The code requirement isn't stopping anyone from modernizing. Hams don't
>> want to modernize and won't modernize unless they're forced to
>> modernize. That's what's stopping the modernization.
>>
>> How does having a CW requirement prevent you from hooking a computer to
>> a rig? It don't. You don't want to modernize because you're stupid or
>> lazy. Just like you don't want to learn CW because you're stupid or
>> lazy.
>>
>> SC
>
> LOL! It started a long, long time ago.
>
> When gasoline was 17 Cents a gallon, hams were complainining about how
> hard it was to get to the FCC office and wanted a mail-order license.
> The conditional was born.
>
> It's been downhill ever since.

I agree with that.

Whether you like or use CW or not, dumbing down as hurt ham radio.

SC

2309. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-14 23:24:26 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <KIVtg.8589$cd3.7943@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8389
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in
news:ffudb2d8nv8i284egltojg92s7iv84gepl@4ax.com:

> On 13 Jul 2006 08:27:04 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>>amusing I listen I can lsiten to morse all day without a problem as
>>long I don't try to break it down at all then a headache sets in a in
>>matter of seconds and slowly grows as I try to process the signal
>
> There's the problem. "breaking down" CW is like listening to the
> letters someone is speaking. You don't break it down, you listen to
> what's being said.

That's what his teachers said in grade school spelling class too, "Mark,
Why don't you listen."

SC

2310. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-14 23:51:05 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <p9bgb21to4s8ucqsbe6ksq0r1is530qcab@4ax.com>
Source record: 8390
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 20:38:39 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> Transmitters that use GPS-derived data aren't GPS-based systems, ...
>
>Huh???? Can you prove that assertion? :-)

The notification systems are radio-based. Notification isn't made by
GPS. We had radio-based notification systems long before we had the
GPS.

> If one was away from the shipping lanes,
>one was SOL or USCWAP. However, if one was in the shipping
>lanes with a flare, one at least had a chance of being
>rescued.

Once flares had been invented. We've been sailing the seas for at
least 4,000 years, over 3,500 of them without radios, flares or other
means of communications to ships or land installations we couldn't
already see.

2311. Re: CW isn't preventing the modernization of ham radio. Laziness is.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-15 00:07:18 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152922038.113579.178900@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8391
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> news:1152837710.065651.206240@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >

> > > It's been downhill ever since.
>
>
> I agree with that.
>
> Whether you like or use CW or not, dumbing down as hurt ham radio.
in english please

>
> SC
people that live in glass house whoudl dress in basement

2312. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-15 00:11:09 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152922269.163065.154080@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8392
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Slow Code wrote:
> Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in
> news:ffudb2d8nv8i284egltojg92s7iv84gepl@4ax.com:
>
> > On 13 Jul 2006 08:27:04 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> >>amusing I listen I can lsiten to morse all day without a problem as
> >>long I don't try to break it down at all then a headache sets in a in
> >>matter of seconds and slowly grows as I try to process the signal
> >
> > There's the problem. "breaking down" CW is like listening to the
> > letters someone is speaking. You don't break it down, you listen to
> > what's being said.
>
> That's what his teachers said in grade school spelling class too, "Mark,
> Why don't you listen."
lying again My teacher never said ANYTHING about morse code
but your other name is "Hey Stupid"
>
> SC
```

2313. Re: How to use WIN95

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-15 01:43:57 UTC
From: tlgtr <tlgtrprod@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1152927837.336961.85380@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8393

tlgtr wrote:
> Yep, it can be a bit tricky getting the COM port or USB to work right.
> I had to fiddle with the settings a bit to get my USB to Serial to work
> right. There is a tab where you set the stuff...but I can't
> remember... I am at work...but I will check back here to see if you
> got more help and I can post how I have mine set...

I am using a USB to Serial adaptor for a cable I bought long ago (not
Radio Shack)

Here are my Settings from the Configuration Tab-Serial Port
Com Port 5 found that by looking in System
(control Panel)for my USB
Extra Write Time is checked
RTS is not checked
Readback is not checked

Hope this helps...........

2314. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-15 01:58:48 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <sZXtg.66807$Lm5.10950@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 8394
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>> Al Klein wrote:
>>> Transmitters that use GPS-derived data aren't GPS-based systems, ...

>> Huh???? Can you prove that assertion? :-)
>
> The notification systems are radio-based.

Your assertion that transmitting GPS coordinates is not
a GPS-based system is obviously ridiculous and hopefully
just a bad joke. Better luck next time.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2315. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-15 02:15:59 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1152929759.022387.231510@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8395
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dirk wrote:
> Ham's care more about operating appliances than knowing how to save a lives.
>
> :-(

Many ham are American Red Cross first aid and adult CPR instructors.

That trumps CW at any speed.

2316. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-15 02:17:31 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1152929851.865986.4740@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8396
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Steve N. wrote:
> Uh oh! Now a battle of the troll-o-meters...
> Really cute, Bill...I love it.
>
> 73, Steve, K9DCI
> P.S. I tilted my monitor and I see that this movement is a little out of
> balance on the sides. End-to-end balance is ok. Carefully turn the balance
> weight on the right side in a little, then it'll sit on zero regardless of
> the orientation...

Press the degauss button. The needle will let go.

> "R. Scott" <spamtrap@w7psk.net> wrote in message
> news:J2B3qt.68p@news.boeing.com...
> > > ------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------
> > >
> > > 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
> > > \
> > > \
> > > \
> > > \
> > > \
> > > \
> > > \
> > > \
> > > TROLL-O-METER
> > >
> > >
> > > Bill, W6WRT
> >
> > There I fixed it for you
> >
> >

2317. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-15 02:40:08 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152931208.617498.208970@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8397
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dirk wrote:
> > Ham's care more about operating appliances than knowing how to save a lives.
> >
> > :-(
>
> Many ham are American Red Cross first aid and adult CPR instructors.
>
> That trumps CW at any speed.
lol thank you for that

2318. Radio Reference Lou Maag is a control freak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-15 03:07:07 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152932827.619094.305120@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8399

This asshole lives for fucking with posters on RR. He's very anal and his pooper is sooo tight he can't shit. He needs to get fucked, so he can releases his frustartions.

2319. get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-15 03:07:22 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152932842.656021.139090@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8400
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Wussy Woger wrote:
get help sicko

2320. Re: Unwanted Vile Filthy Intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-15 04:06:19 UTC
From: Wussy Woger <wussywoger@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <56bjn.pkg.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 8398
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Lloyd 1" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
news:8810933.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 20:23:31 -0000, Anonymous Markie wrote:
[flush]
Go away, Markie. Go irritate the nimbusters regulars some more.
>
Oh, he will. Mark is caught between two septic systems and wallows in both.
Does he want to spam Usenet with his inane comments or does he want to spam
Nim Busters? Since nobody (with any credibility) on these boards has
responded to Mark, he slowly learned that he was being ignored or avoided.
So, what is a spammer to do? He did what came naturally to him, and that
meant that since the low-lifes had gone elsewhere, he followed.
Now he wants to bring his like-minded idiots back to Usenet and again
disrupt it for the rest of us.
The greatest service any of us can render Mark is to ignore him. That, more
than anything, seems to bug him the most.
Killfile the putz. Ignore him. Simple as that.

2321. Re: CW is. Laziness iand therby blaocking modernization in the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-15 04:40:50 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152938450.260762.105620@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8201
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Barry OGrady wrote:
> On 12 Jul 2006 16:12:12 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >Slow Code wrote:
> >> LOL!

> >and cw usage as well as test promtoes that sort of laziness
>
> Nearly as lazy as people who don't punctuate or use a spell chequer.
well the forum encourages it

2322. Re: Unwanted Vile Filthy Intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-15 04:48:48 UTC
From: BakedHam <huntervonhoven@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152938928.350661.138820@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8202
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

AYE-AYE SIR!
QRT
Wussy Woger wrote:
> "Lloyd 1" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
> news:8810933.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 20:23:31 -0000, Anonymous Markie wrote:
> [flush]
> Go away, Markie. Go irritate the nimbusters regulars some more.
> >
> Oh, he will. Mark is caught between two septic systems and wallows in both.
> Does he want to spam Usenet with his inane comments or does he want to spam
> Nim Busters? Since nobody (with any credibility) on these boards has
> responded to Mark, he slowly learned that he was being ignored or avoided.
> So, what is a spammer to do? He did what came naturally to him, and that
> meant that since the low-lifes had gone elsewhere, he followed.
> Now he wants to bring his like-minded idiots back to Usenet and again
> disrupt it for the rest of us.
> The greatest service any of us can render Mark is to ignore him. That, more
> than anything, seems to bug him the most.
> Killfile the putz. Ignore him. Simple as that.

2323. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-15 10:03:37 UTC
From: Mark Moron <anon@anon.com>
Message-ID: <570hk.a9h.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 8203
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:12b8k3ugq5ovgeb@corp.supernews.com...
"Don Bowey" <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:C0D93A5D.39F0B%dbowey@comcast.net...
> On 7/11/06 7:57 AM, in article e90e8c$32e$1@news04.infoave.net, "John
> Jowdy"
> <jj@aol.com> wrote:
>
>> I can already detect a vast improvement, keep up the good work and good
>> luck
>> in the contest.
>>
>> W1AW, Rick Lindquist
>>
>>
>> "Paul Willie Schleck" <Paul@moderator.um> wrote in message
>> news:4n6ql.jaf.19.1@news.alt.net...
>>> Warning. I, Paul W Schreck, blowgut of blowguts, and self-appointed
>>> moderator, will be monitoring these newsgroups for inappropriate
>>> statements,
>>> misuse of the groups, cross-posting and foul language and will be saving
>>> and
>>> archiving your comments for future use.
>>>
>>> Any future and wanton misuse of my name or callsign or forgeries thereof
>>> will be recorded as well.
>>>
>>> I will not hesitate to contact aeiou.com, wxyz, CBS, NBC, BBC or
>>> Altopia,
>>> or
>>> any other provider to turn you and your cohorts in for punitive action.
>>>
>>> While these groups are largely unmoderated, I am here to tell you that
>>> there
>>> is a new Sheriff in town, and the Sheriff is me.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> You have been warned. Conduct yourself accordingly or risk losing your
>>> ISP.
>>>
>>>

>>>
>>> Paul W. Schreck, Self Appointed Moderator Supreme, New Sheriff.
>>>
>>> Hissyfits@novia.nel
>>>
>>> www.blowguts.com
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
> Hey Paul,
>
> Kiss mine, you officious ass. Go moderate a CB channel.
>
>
We don't need another know it all ham in the cb group.
.....
i psto troll stuffs hear and there and go nim bordes somes times jsut to
piss guys of

i not be smart but trie to act leike I am. I ahve metnal probemls and roger
wisman is riht wehn he calls me stupid.
wisman isnt too smart eiter becuase he posstes insaen commets on Nim
Busturs. tfhe guy is broderline insane and not liek me,mark morgan from
michiggan in the up. i have much moer brian mattre that roger and i know ow
to use it.
i wish Paus schreck wulod talk to me as a equall but he avoids me. i must
notbe good enoghf for paul.

nobody is goode enough for paul and he acts like i dont count. i got nwes
for paul. I count.

mark morgan

2324. New Sheriff, Paul Schreck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-15 10:45:08 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon.com>
Message-ID: <572vg.jh9.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 8204
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

My Paul Schreck troll served its purpose.

For the last ten days I've watched as my New Sheriff In Town troll pitted you guys one against the other. To watch the verbal exchanges was reward enough, but to watch you guys argue amongst yourselves was the best yet.

You guys went nuts looking at headers while stumbling over yourselves to get "one up" on the other in the quest to figure out from whence my post originated.

The best part of this was when Paul himself commented that he would be posting complaints to my ISP for my sheer audacity. For what? I did not use Paul's name, remember? I used a name similar to, but not exactly as Paul spells his name.

Seems that stuffed-shirt Paul and his like-minded egocentric cohorts dislike being chastised, or worse, being made fun of.

By the way, Paul, I'm still waiting to see those complaints you offhandedly said you would lodge. Empty threats?

2325. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-15 15:24:06 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152977046.629683.209310@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8205
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
Mark Moron wrote:
> "DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:12b8k3ugq5ovgeb@corp.supernews.com...
> "Don Bowey" <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:C0D93A5D.39F0B%dbowey@comcast.net...
> > On 7/11/06 7:57 AM, in article e90e8c$32e$1@news04.infoave.net, "John
> > Jowdy"
> > <jj@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> >> I can already detect a vast improvement, keep up the good work and good
> >> luck
> >> in the contest.
> >>
> >> W1AW, Rick Lindquist
> >>
> >>
> >> "Paul Willie Schleck" <Paul@moderator.um> wrote in message
> >> news:4n6ql.jaf.19.1@news.alt.net...
> >>> Warning. I, Paul W Schreck, blowgut of blowguts, and self-appointed
> >>> moderator, will be monitoring these newsgroups for inappropriate
> >>> statements,
> >>> misuse of the groups, cross-posting and foul language and will be saving
> >>> and
> >>> archiving your comments for future use.
> >>>
> >>> Any future and wanton misuse of my name or callsign or forgeries thereof
> >>> will be recorded as well.
> >>>
> >>> I will not hesitate to contact aeiou.com, wxyz, CBS, NBC, BBC or
> >>> Altopia,
> >>> or
> >>> any other provider to turn you and your cohorts in for punitive action.
> >>>
> >>> While these groups are largely unmoderated, I am here to tell you that
> >>> there
> >>> is a new Sheriff in town, and the Sheriff is me.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> You have been warned. Conduct yourself accordingly or risk losing your
> >>> ISP.
> >>>
```

> >>>
> >>>
> >>> Paul W. Schreck, Self Appointed Moderator Supreme, New Sheriff.
> >>>
> >>> Hissyfits@novia.nel
> >>>
> >>> www.blowguts.com
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >>
> >
> > Hey Paul,
> >
> > Kiss mine, you officious ass. Go moderate a CB channel.
> >
> >
> We don't need another know it all ham in the cb group.
> ....
> i psto troll stuffs hear and there and go nim bordes somes times jsut to
> piss guys of
>
> i not be smart but trie to act leike I am. I ahve metnal probemls and roger
> wisman is riht wehn he calls me stupid.
> wisman isnt too smart eiter becuase he posstes insaen commets on Nim
> Busturs. tfhe guy is broderline insane and not liek me,mark morgan from
> michiggan in the up. i have much moer brian mattre that roger and i know ow
> to use it.
> i wish Paus schreck wulod talk to me as a equall but he avoids me. i must
> notbe good enoghf for paul.
>
> nobody is goode enough for paul and he acts like i dont count. i got nwes
> for paul. I count.
>
> mark morgan

Hi Lardass Davies!

2326. Re: Unwanted Vile Filthy Intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-15 15:25:01 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152977101.063014.279370@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8206
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Wussy Woger wrote:
> "Lloyd 1" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
> news:8810933.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> On Fri, 14 Jul 2006 20:23:31 -0000, Anonymous Markie wrote:
> [flush]
> Go away, Markie. Go irritate the nimbusters regulars some more.
> >
> Oh, he will. Mark is caught between two septic systems and wallows in both.
> Does he want to spam Usenet with his inane comments or does he want to spam
> Nim Busters? Since nobody (with any credibility) on these boards has
> responded to Mark, he slowly learned that he was being ignored or avoided.
> So, what is a spammer to do? He did what came naturally to him, and that
> meant that since the low-lifes had gone elsewhere, he followed.
> Now he wants to bring his like-minded idiots back to Usenet and again
> disrupt it for the rest of us.
> The greatest service any of us can render Mark is to ignore him. That, more
> than anything, seems to bug him the most.
> Killfile the putz. Ignore him. Simple as that.

Hi Fatass!

2327. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-15 15:29:28 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1152977367.743288.235400@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8207
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
an old freind wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in
> > news:ffudb2d8nv8i284egltojg92s7iv84gepl@4ax.com:
> >
> > > On 13 Jul 2006 08:27:04 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> > > wrote:
> > >
> > >>amusing I listen I can lsiten to morse all day without a problem as
> > >>long I don't try to break it down at all then a headache sets in a in
> > >>matter of seconds and slowly grows as I try to process the signal
> > >
> > > There's the problem. "breaking down" CW is like listening to the
> > > letters someone is speaking. You don't break it down, you listen to
> > > what's being said.
> >
> > That's what his teachers said in grade school spelling class too, "Mark,
> > Why don't you listen."
> lying again My teacher never said ANYTHING about morse code
> but your other name is "Hey Stupid"

True, Markie was in the Special Ed classes and they tend not to
actually call their retarded students "retards."
```

2328. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-15 16:53:28 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <ua7ib2taup3pdv4qlibuu468vhvgoig62a@4ax.com>
Source record: 8208
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 01:58:48 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Your assertion that transmitting GPS coordinates is not
>a GPS-based system is obviously ridiculous and hopefully
>just a bad joke. Better luck next time.

No GPS, the notification still occurs. No radio, the notice doesn't
occur. What was the notification based on, again?

2329. Re: CW isn't preventing the modernization of ham radio. Laziness is.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-15 16:56:22 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <kc7ib2p0og5ujir1qs7tpujp49b2fe920i@4ax.com>
Source record: 8209
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 14 Jul 2006 17:07:18 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Slow Code wrote:

>> Whether you like or use CW or not, dumbing down as hurt ham radio.

>in english please

Dumbing down has hurt ham radio.

>people that live in glass house whoudl dress in basement

Yep, you should. Try:

"People who live in glass houses should dress in the basement"

It's ironic justice that someone who posts a typo flame posts more
typos in his flame than the post he's flaming.

2330. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-15 16:57:37 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <li7ib29jsnprgbpapnbn3sedallql2m6cj@4ax.com>
Source record: 8210
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 14 Jul 2006 17:11:09 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
wrote:
>Slow Code wrote:

>> That's what his teachers said in grade school spelling class too, "Mark,
>> Why don't you listen."

>lying again My teacher never said ANYTHING about morse code

And he didn't claim that they did. You evidently have a problem with
understanding - not just CW but anything you read in any medium.

2331. Re: Radio Reference Lou Maag is a control freak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-15 16:58:57 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <rl7ib25v3flou9k0aft8knrf5j8a62j7he@4ax.com>
Source record: 8211

On 14 Jul 2006 20:07:07 -0700, "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>This asshole lives for fucking with posters on RR. He's very anal and
>his pooper is sooo tight he can't shit. He needs to get fucked, so he
>can releases his frustartions.

Gee, that sounds like an accurate self-assessment.

2332. Re: CW is. Laziness iand therby blaocking modernization in the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-15 17:01:00 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <to7ib29kq6o9vns2kkf9h1e2p0fgo69i7a@4ax.com>
Source record: 8212
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 14 Jul 2006 21:40:50 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Barry OGrady wrote:

>> Nearly as lazy as people who don't punctuate or use a spell chequer.

>well the forum encourages it

The forum doesn't, your laziness does. Your laziness is also what
encourages you to blame your own faults on others. That, and your
dishonesty.

2333. Re: Warning: New Usenet ModeratorTo Shut Down Abusers IfNecesssary.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-15 17:03:13 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <ir7ib2hl263r4mjjhl2rf5hkanorg04jl7@4ax.com>
Source record: 8213
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 15 Jul 2006 05:03:37 -0500, "Mark Moron" <anon@anon.com>
wrote:

>We don't need another know it all ham in the cb group.

Which group would that be? Pick one.

alt.flame
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors
rec.radio.amateur.misc
rec.radio.amateur.policy
rec.radio.scanner

2334. Re: Unwanted Vile Filthy Intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-15 17:05:05 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152983105.774579.326700@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8214
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
get help sicko

2335. get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-15 17:07:57 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152983277.045469.6490@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8215
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Not Lloyd wrote:
get help sicko

2336. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-15 17:34:04 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152984844.086415.203380@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8216
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 14 Jul 2006 17:11:09 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
> >Slow Code wrote:
>
> >> That's what his teachers said in grade school spelling class too, "Mark,
> >> Why don't you listen."
>
> >lying again My teacher never said ANYTHING about morse code
>
> And he didn't claim that they did. You evidently have a problem with
> understanding - not just CW but anything you read in any medium.

"That's what his teachers said in grade school spelling class too"
is what ihe typed and what you just lied about

you are dishonest but most of the CW advocates are

2337. Re: CW is. Laziness iand therby blaocking modernization in the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-15 17:37:16 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152985036.082275.174650@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8217
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 14 Jul 2006 21:40:50 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Barry OGrady wrote:
>
> >> Nearly as lazy as people who don't punctuate or use a spell chequer.
>
> >well the forum encourages it
>
> The forum doesn't, your laziness does.
sure it does
> Your laziness is also what
> encourages you to blame your own faults on others.
nope
> That, and your
> dishonesty.
gee I am not the one that lies about the contents of posting here and
clips away the evdence of my lies

that is

you want to fosillize and kil the ARS that is a fact

Id like to save it
that makes me a better ham than you hands down

esp when you don't give your call cowardly troll

2338. get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-15 17:45:12 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152985512.471718.199400@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8218
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark Moron wrote:
get help sicko forger

2339. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-15 19:04:50 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9801992EDFA46elektrosmdonet@217.22.228.20>
Source record: 8219
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1152929851.865986.4740@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Steve N. wrote:
>> Uh oh! Now a battle of the troll-o-meters...
>> Really cute, Bill...I love it.
>>
>> 73, Steve, K9DCI
>> P.S. I tilted my monitor and I see that this movement is a little out
>> of balance on the sides. End-to-end balance is ok. Carefully turn
>> the balance weight on the right side in a little, then it'll sit on
>> zero regardless of the orientation...
>
> Press the degauss button. The needle will let go.
>
>> "R. Scott" <spamtrap@w7psk.net> wrote in message
>> news:J2B3qt.68p@news.boeing.com...
>> > > ------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------
>> > >
>> > > 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
>> > > TROLL-O-METER
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > Bill, W6WRT
>> >
>> > There I fixed it for you
>> >
>> >
>
>

Just found this thread. If I had to use CW to save someone's life, it would depend on a lot of variables.

Firstly, if it involved any of my own ham radio gear, it would be more than a little odd, since I have a mic for each transceiver and I don't own a key jack that would plug into any of them, although I do have a straight key, just no jack to plug it in with.

Assuming some weird contrived scenario where I had the equipment to send CW but not phone, it would depend what frequencies it worked on. If it was on the HF ham bands then no major problem, as there are still quite a few people who still use CW.

My own lack of real aptitude shouldn't be a real problem for two reasons. One, I could slow down to a comfortable speed, i.e. 5-10 wpm. Two, it would matter more whether others could copy my sending than vicea versa. I did pass 20 wpm, but have yet to buy a plug for my key, many years later. As I said though, that really wouldn't make a difference.

If you asked the same question to someone who had only passed 5 wpm and then, like me, never used it, then I suspect the victim wouldn't make it. But then in most countries there is NO morse code testing any more, so there are plenty of hams now who've never learnt atall. For decades there have been no code VHF hams in most countries anyway.

There again, if the key was anything other than a straight key, that would be curtains for the victim, as I would have no idea how to use it.

OTOH, if this scenario didn't involve the HF ham bands, then the victim would be as good as dead, as I'd never find a non-ham who could still read CW on the other end.

And your point was...?

2340. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-15 19:40:03 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <74hib2lrn3ga6jjmbiu4q600u0kvq2p0bm@4ax.com>
Source record: 8220
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 15 Jul 2006 10:07:57 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Not Lloyd wrote:
>get help sicko

And you didn't obey him.

2341. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-15 19:48:10 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <_Dbug.121643$H71.10869@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 8221
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> Your assertion that transmitting GPS coordinates is not
>> a GPS-based system is obviously ridiculous and hopefully
>> just a bad joke. Better luck next time.
>
> No GPS, the notification still occurs. No radio, the notice doesn't
> occur. What was the notification based on, again?

Any notification that reports GPS coordinates is GPS-based,
by definition. When you add GPS capability to a radio
transmitter, it doesn't cease to be radio-based but it also
becomes GPS-based. It's not an either/or mutual exclusive
situation. Just as one can have a vehicle that is both
white and a Chevrolet, one can have an emergency system
that is both GPS-based and radio-based.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2342. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-15 20:24:57 UTC
From: clfe <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44b94f14$0$3631$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 8222
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9801992EDFA46elektrosmdonet@217.22.228.20...
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> news:1152929851.865986.4740@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> If you asked the same question to someone who had only passed 5 wpm and
> then, like me, never used it, then I suspect the victim wouldn't make it.
> But then in most countries there is NO morse code testing any more, so
> there are plenty of hams now who've never learnt atall. For decades there
> have been no code VHF hams in most countries anyway.
>

To "some" extent, I "may" have to disagree. I held a class once for "No Code Tech" and one of the guys - a man in his 70s asked if he could go for the code test even though I wasn't teaching code. He said he had learned it years ago in the service - but may be rusty. Let me tell you - when he was done testing, he had PERFECT copy. Was he practicing all along? We'll never know - nor did I ask. He has since passed on. Some people DO have a good memory and retain quite well. Others - lose things almost immediately if not used. Some of us, it takes a while to lose it and we usually do.

Lou

2343. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-15 20:34:59 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1152995699.671081.98920@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8223
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Al Klein wrote:
> On 15 Jul 2006 10:07:57 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Not Lloyd wrote:
> >get help sicko
>
> And you didn't obey him.
why would I obey anybody on here Al
```

2344. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-16 00:49:00 UTC
From: Hymie_slowbotski@yah00.net
Message-ID: <34kdfo.sdf04sffs@devner.net>
Source record: 8224
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In article <29GdnQxiI6gLuSTZnZ2dnUVZ_t-dnZ2d@comcast.com>, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>"J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote in message
>news:d6d2b$44b8dc47$d06640f9$20723@FUSE.NET...
>> John,
>>
>> Just get on digital modes. Don't worry about CW. Digital is where the
>> fun is and the copy is much better.
>>
>> A buddy of mine in St. Louis and I experimented with low power and various
>> digital modes last night on 40 meters. CW was marginal. The best was
>> DominoEX with FEC - just about 100% all the time and it also has a second
>> channel to display additional information.
>>
>> CW can be fun at times, but maybe it's not your thing. Let the stone-age
>> hams continue with CW, and move up and on to the digital modes.
>>
>> MultiPSK, while a bit cluttered and ugly, is a great program you can use.
>> MixW is cleaner looking and very good, but not as many capabilities in the
>> program.
>>
>> Move on and start having fun.
>>
>
>You just don't get it do you. There are conditions for each mode that will
>make that mode "the best". Every mode has its place. That goes for voice,
>all the multiplicity of digital modes and yes CW. Last night you had the
>best luck with DominoEx. Another night RTTY might be better. Another
>night, voice might be more intelligible. Yet another night will favor CW.
>
>Based on what I've read about DominoEX, it is a form of Multi-Frequency
>Shift Keying. This variation looks to be more robust than others, however,
>anything that can compromise FSK and MFSK has the potential to compromise
>DominoEX. It will merely be a matter of the degree of severity needed
>before it becomes unusable.
>
>Just because something is old doesn't make it useless or stone age. Pencils
>have been around far longer and are still highly useful.
>
>Dee, N8UZE

Very well put. Every mode is useful, important and valuable in different situations. What's unfortunate, is that the only modes the anti-CW crowd wants and supports are "Lazy Mode" and "Retard mode"

Hymie

2345. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-16 01:10:53 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153012253.101790.290630@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8225
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hymie wrote:
> In article <29GdnQxiI6gLuSTZnZ2dnUVZ_t-dnZ2d@comcast.com>, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
> >

> >Dee, N8UZE
>
>
> Very well put. Every mode is useful, important and valuable in different
> situations. What's unfortunate, is that the only modes the anti-CW crowd
> wants and supports are "Lazy Mode" and "Retard mode"
wrong again
>
> Hymie

2346. ProScan Version 1.5 beta 2 with Scanner Over IP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-16 03:04:59 UTC
From: res008wn@verizon.net
Message-ID: <v1iug.3010$Lw.2074@trnddc07>
Source record: 8226

I just released ProScan Version 1.5 beta 2

ProScan newest feature - Scanner Over IP
No Other Programs or Plug- In's Required
ProScan is the server and client

ProScan has a full feature set.
I'm thriving to make this the best!

Let get some servers going. No nag screen if ProScan is running as a
server.
I have my online streaming Ontario, Calif PD

Download and try the 30 day demo.
Registration is $50 and includes all future versions and unlimited support.

Visit my site at http://www.proscan.org/index.htm

2347. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-16 03:28:01 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <5niug.539$157.298@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8227
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"JOHN D" <jawnd393one@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:gD2ug.2582$k31.2016@trnddc06:

>
>> "L is easy to remember. "to hell with it".
>>
>>Thank you, I was haveing trouble confusing "F" and "L"
>
> I got nothing against CW, but being kept out of amateur radio for 40+
> years cus I had difficulty learning the code, sucks.
> Finally, I got enough right to barely pass the 5 wpm test. Still can't
> find anybody slow enough for me to copy on the air.
> If I ever get good enough to use it, I'll try a few homebrew transmitter
> projects.
>
> I expect there are some technically competent people who might be
> interested in amateur radio, but have no interest in learning code.
> John

They're not interested in being about to communicate in all ways
effectively, they just want to be phonies. They they could've stuck to CB
for that.

2348. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-16 03:28:03 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <7niug.540$157.330@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8229
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1152977367.743288.235400@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> an old freind wrote:
>> Slow Code wrote:
>> > Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in
>> > news:ffudb2d8nv8i284egltojg92s7iv84gepl@4ax.com:
>> >
>> > > On 13 Jul 2006 08:27:04 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
>> > > wrote:
>> > >
>> > >>amusing I listen I can lsiten to morse all day without a problem as
>> > >>long I don't try to break it down at all then a headache sets in a
>> > >>in matter of seconds and slowly grows as I try to process the
>> > >>signal
>> > >
>> > > There's the problem. "breaking down" CW is like listening to the
>> > > letters someone is speaking. You don't break it down, you listen
>> > > to what's being said.
>> >
>> > That's what his teachers said in grade school spelling class too,
>> > "Mark, Why don't you listen."
>> lying again My teacher never said ANYTHING about morse code
>> but your other name is "Hey Stupid"
>
> True, Markie was in the Special Ed classes and they tend not to
> actually call their retarded students "retards."

LOL, Markie rode the short bus to school.

Sc

2349. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-16 03:28:05 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <9niug.542$157.411@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1152929759.022387.231510@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Dirk wrote:
>> Ham's care more about operating appliances than knowing how to save a
>> lives.
>>
>> :-(
>
> Many ham are American Red Cross first aid and adult CPR instructors.
>
> That trumps CW at any speed.
>
>

Have you ever givin CPR to a person 500 miles away? You must have long
arms.

Sc

2350. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-16 03:28:07 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <bniug.543$157.432@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8230
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1152931208.617498.208970@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> Many ham are American Red Cross first aid and adult CPR instructors.
>>
>> That trumps CW at any speed.
> lol thank you for that

Sorry Mark, the pumping and blowing you know isn't called CPR.

SC

2351. Re: Radio Reference Lou Maag is a control freak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-16 03:53:59 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <rLiug.66726$fb2.16892@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 8231

Once again, he shows what a coward he is for not using a real e-mail address......Come back again when you have some guts!

"Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:1152932827.619094.305120@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> This asshole lives for fucking with posters on RR. He's very anal and
> his pooper is sooo tight he can't shit. He needs to get fucked, so he
> can releases his frustartions.
>

2352. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-16 04:11:38 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153023098.148215.15320@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8232
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> "JOHN D" <jawnd393one@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:gD2ug.2582$k31.2016@trnddc06:
>
> >
> >> "L is easy to remember. "to hell with it".
> >>
> >>Thank you, I was haveing trouble confusing "F" and "L"
> >
> > I got nothing against CW, but being kept out of amateur radio for 40+
> > years cus I had difficulty learning the code, sucks.
> > Finally, I got enough right to barely pass the 5 wpm test. Still can't
> > find anybody slow enough for me to copy on the air.
> > If I ever get good enough to use it, I'll try a few homebrew transmitter
> > projects.
> >
> > I expect there are some technically competent people who might be
> > interested in amateur radio, but have no interest in learning code.
> > John
>
> They're not interested in being about to communicate in all ways
> effectively, they just want to be phonies. They they could've stuck to CB
> for that.

why do you lie

I'd love to various mode on HF indeed I oly use a mike half the time
now

oone can't "stick to CB for that"

but you can't face facts

2353. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-16 04:13:29 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153023209.619793.80920@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8233
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:1152931208.617498.208970@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >> Many ham are American Red Cross first aid and adult CPR instructors.
> >>
> >> That trumps CW at any speed.
> > lol thank you for that
>
> Sorry Mark, the pumping and blowing you know isn't called CPR.
i am skilled at CPR amoug other thing

you uon the other have given us no reason to say your skilled at
anything
>
> SC

2354. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-16 04:15:46 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153023346.892761.92560@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1152977367.743288.235400@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> >

> > True, Markie was in the Special Ed classes and they tend not to
> > actually call their retarded students "retards."
>
>
> LOL, Markie rode the short bus to school.
nope

infact I as far as I recall I never rode a bus to school at all

other than I did try a few times geting to college on the city bus
system it took way to long and saved very little (but gas cheaper then)
>
> Sc

2355. Re: Radio Reference Lou Maag is a control freak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-16 04:19:41 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153023581.435514.266440@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8235

Frank,
Everyone knows you like the taste of dick in you're mouth.
Frank wrote:
> Once again, he shows what a coward he is for not using a real e-mail
> address......Come back again when you have some guts!
>
>
> "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1152932827.619094.305120@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> > This asshole lives for fucking with posters on RR. He's very anal and
> > his pooper is sooo tight he can't shit. He needs to get fucked, so he
> > can releases his frustartions.
> >

2356. WTB Popular Communications JULY 2006 Issue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-16 05:21:17 UTC
From: Zach K7LTF <zach@benders.net>
Message-ID: <1153027277.762976.68350@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8236

If there is someone who has the July 2006 issue of popular
communications, and does not need or want to keep it around, I would be
interested in buying it off - & or paying the shipping cost whatever
the case may be, please let me know.

Thanks
Zach

2357. Re: YAESU FT-817 FT-857 FT-897 KEYPAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-16 13:34:54 UTC
From: Dexxsterlab <dexxster@email.com>
Message-ID: <44ba407e$0$30141$4fafbaef@reader4.news.tin.it>
Source record: 8237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

A keypad for your Yaesu FT-8x7 transceiver

http://www.dexxsterlab.com/kp8x7/kp8x7e.pdf

http://www.qsl.net/it9xxs

2358. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-16 16:51:21 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns980282642E234elektrosmdonet@217.22.228.20>
Source record: 8238
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"clfe" <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com> wrote in
news:44b94f14$0$3631$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net:

> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns9801992EDFA46elektrosmdonet@217.22.228.20...
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> news:1152929851.865986.4740@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> If you asked the same question to someone who had only passed 5 wpm
>> and then, like me, never used it, then I suspect the victim wouldn't
>> make it. But then in most countries there is NO morse code testing any
>> more, so there are plenty of hams now who've never learnt atall. For
>> decades there have been no code VHF hams in most countries anyway.
>>
>
> To "some" extent, I "may" have to disagree. I held a class once for "No
> Code Tech" and one of the guys - a man in his 70s asked if he could go
> for the code test even though I wasn't teaching code. He said he had
> learned it years ago in the service - but may be rusty. Let me tell you
> - when he was done testing, he had PERFECT copy. Was he practicing all
> along? We'll never know - nor did I ask. He has since passed on. Some
> people DO have a good memory and retain quite well. Others - lose
> things almost immediately if not used. Some of us, it takes a while to
> lose it and we usually do.
>
> Lou
>
>
>

It is possible that someone could learn at 5wpm, not use it for years, and
still be able to use it, but I wouldn't want to bet my life on it.

More to the point is I can't magine a scenario in which CW would be the
only mode available, and that hams are about the only remaining users of
CW.

2359. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-16 17:09:36 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <kpuug.67080$fb2.65961@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 8239
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> More to the point is I can't magine a scenario in which CW would be the
> only mode available, and that hams are about the only remaining users of
> CW.

How about a portable QRPer, camping out of range of
a cellphone tower, who falls and can't reach his beer?
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2360. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-16 17:16:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153070161.533747.325300@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8240
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> "clfe" <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com> wrote in
> news:44b94f14$0$3631$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net:
>
> > "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:Xns9801992EDFA46elektrosmdonet@217.22.228.20...
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> >> news:1152929851.865986.4740@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
> >>
> >> If you asked the same question to someone who had only passed 5 wpm

> > >
> > > Lou
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> > It is possible that someone could learn at 5wpm, not use it for years, and
> > still be able to use it, but I wouldn't want to bet my life on it.
> >
> > More to the point is I can't magine a scenario in which CW would be the
> > only mode available, and that hams are about the only remaining users of
> > CW.

oh I can imgine that much bt then however I can't take the next and
imagine that cw is all their is andthat the message is going to do
anygood the only senario like that is independace day

2361. least crowded frequency band for wireless mic?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-16 17:16:44 UTC
From: peter <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <0wuug.5301$Ss2.3639@trnddc01>
Source record: 8241

I want to buy a wireless mic set and it comes in one of three bands that I have to choose at the time of purchase:

518 to 554 MHz
626 to 662 MHz
740 to 776 MHz

The goal is to pick the band with the least interference.

The manufacturer's web site has a table showing TV stations in my city (seattle), and it look like it's clear in the 740 to 776 MHz range. So should I buy the set in this range or is there more research to be done?
http://www.sennheiserusa.com/newsite/mat_dev/frequencyfinder/PDFs/WASHINGTONew.PDF

BTW, they said the table is created in 2002. Does anyone know where to find an updated frequency usage table for a given city?

Sorry this is not directly related to scanners but I hope people in this NG may offer more insights than musicians. Thanks.

2362. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-16 17:16:52 UTC
From: fmmck@aol.com
Message-ID: <fmmck-1607061316520001@ac9bfd05.ipt.aol.com>
Source record: 8242
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In article <Xns9801992EDFA46elektrosmdonet@217.22.228.20>, "Alun L.
Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Assuming some weird contrived scenario where I had the equipment to send CW
> but not phone, it would depend what frequencies it worked on.

I think this is the nature of the premise on which the original post was based.

Compare it to a similar situation, where a film camera user is debating a
digital camera user:

"If you came upon a drowning man, and you had to choose whether to save
him or photograph his demise, what kind of film would you use?"

2363. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-16 17:59:32 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <ncvkb2drdg10db59flamb3h7hav7d58qb8@4ax.com>
Source record: 8243
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 16 Jul 2006 18:51:21 +0200, "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>More to the point is I can't magine a scenario in which CW would be the
>only mode available

A transmitter with no mic, no computer - just a transmit switch. Or
not even a transmit switch, but you can get to one of the battery
wires. Far fetched, but it could happen.

>and that hams are about the only remaining users of CW.

Boy Scouts? The military no longer uses CW - what used to be passed
by brass pounders is now passed digitally. Merchant Marine? Same
thing. Aero commo? Same thing, except for voice. I doubt any group
or service actually uses it these days.

2364. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-16 18:04:56 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <2nvkb2hl674dhilld10b4ed1iohvib6ff4@4ax.com>
Source record: 8244
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 00:49:00 GMT, Hymie_slowbotski@yah00.net (Hymie)
wrote:

>Very well put. Every mode is useful, important and valuable in different
>situations. What's unfortunate, is that the only modes the anti-CW crowd
>wants and supports are "Lazy Mode" and "Retard mode"

Even those who tout digital modes - they want to buy an interface and
software and "be" on digital. Then they wonder why the signal they're
hearing, that sounds just like the digital mode they use, doesn't work
on their setup. Maybe because a PSK program won't decode SSTV?

Reminds me of the GPRS "exerts" who can't understand why changing the
"code" on their radios doesn't eliminate the interference from the guy
next door.

The anti-CW crowd wants no code and a written test you can memorize
the answers to. It's their "right" to be on the air, isn't it? Even
if they need 2 more clues to be totally clueless?

2365. Re: least crowded frequency band for wireless mic?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-16 18:12:42 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <qvvkb21hp86g4lnka9vkiuakvskf8o5ipd@4ax.com>
Source record: 8245

On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 17:16:44 GMT, "peter" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote:

>I want to buy a wireless mic set and it comes in one of three bands that I
>have to choose at the time of purchase:
>
>518 to 554 MHz
>626 to 662 MHz
>740 to 776 MHz
>
>The goal is to pick the band with the least interference.
>
>The manufacturer's web site has a table showing TV stations in my city
>(seattle), and it look like it's clear in the 740 to 776 MHz range. So
>should I buy the set in this range or is there more research to be done?

If you're in a small city (it sounds as if this is the case), you
don't have to worry too much. If not, the only "research" that would
do you any good, aside from [tie in to a scanner group] listening and
finding out which band actually is the least used, would be finding
out which bands will be used more heavily in the future, and that's
impossible.

You may want to research how wireless mics actually work. Unless
you're looking for very long range (illegal) with large antennas
(illegal), you probably won't have anyone else running a wireless mic
close enough to your site to have to worry about it. If the situation
is indoors, it's even better for you - you have some control over
ambient signals.

>BTW, they said the table is created in 2002. Does anyone know where to find
>an updated frequency usage table for a given city?

The FCC's web site, but it's not easy getting that information out of
the database. There's no site I know of that'll present it to you in
the simple way Sennheiser did in that table.

2366. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-16 18:26:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153074398.607078.121310@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 00:49:00 GMT, Hymie_slowbotski@yah00.net (Hymie)
> wrote:
>
> >Very well put. Every mode is useful, important and valuable in different
> >situations. What's unfortunate, is that the only modes the anti-CW crowd
> >wants and supports are "Lazy Mode" and "Retard mode"
>
> Even those who tout digital modes - they want to buy an interface and
> software and "be" on digital. Then they wonder why the signal they're
> hearing, that sounds just like the digital mode they use, doesn't work
> on their setup. Maybe because a PSK program won't decode SSTV?

learn what you are tlaking about psk31 sounds very little like sstv and
most of the programs that can demolate it show you a spectrograhand
they look nothing alike

there is some time confusion in wether that sgnal BPSK 31 or QPSK31 but
the marvels of Windows wallo me to run the output of the sound card
interface to at least 2 program so it can be worked out

you just want to bash any ham that doesnot follow your morse fetish
>
> Reminds me of the GPRS "exerts" who can't understand why changing the
> "code" on their radios doesn't eliminate the interference from the guy
> next door.
>
> The anti-CW crowd wants no code and a written test you can memorize
> the answers to. It's their "right" to be on the air, isn't it? Even
> if they need 2 more clues to be totally clueless?
it is our right and everybody else right that any restriction to our
access to public spectrum be "neccisary and proper" (one of 3 clauses
in the constitution that give the power to regulate the airwave to the
govet at all) otherwise the 10 reserving all right and power to the
sates or the people applies modifing that is the thrid place where the
consititution of US touches on this issue mby making the constitution
and the TREATIES made the supreme law of the land

according the Govt lost the POWER to impose Morse Code test on the ARS
unless it can be justified under some other powere of the Constitution

I find it interesting that the ProCode tes crowd has such disrespect
for that document

2367. Re: Radio Reference Lou Maag is a control freak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-16 22:20:37 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <VYyug.6199$2v.5202@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 8247

Oh, I'm so affended. Not only are you ignorant, you don't listen well
either!
How about a new e-mail for you? gutless@hotmail.com

"Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1153023581.435514.266440@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Frank,
> Everyone knows you like the taste of dick in you're mouth.
> Frank wrote:
>> Once again, he shows what a coward he is for not using a real e-mail
>> address......Come back again when you have some guts!
>>
>>
>> "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1152932827.619094.305120@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>> > This asshole lives for fucking with posters on RR. He's very anal and
>> > his pooper is sooo tight he can't shit. He needs to get fucked, so he
>> > can releases his frustartions.
>> >
>

2368. 30 Steps, Monthy post. Tips for Phonies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-17 00:07:42 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ixAug.5337$vO.3132@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8248
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

The 30 Steps below will help all New Hams, it will also help you to find others just like yourself. These 30 steps should also be added to the present writtens.

Step One: Use as many "Q" signals as possible. Yes, I know they were invented solely for CW and are totally inappropriate for two meter FM, but they are fun and entertaining. They keep people guessing as to what you really meant. I.E. "I'm going to QSY to the phone." Can you really change frequencies to the phone? QSL used to mean, "I am acknowledging receipt", but now it appears to mean, "yes" or "OK". I guess I missed it when the ARRL changed the meaning. It is also best to use "OK" and "QSL" together. Redundancy is the better part of Lid-dom.

Step Two: Never laugh when you can say "HI HI". No one will ever know you aren't a long time CW rag-chewer if you don't tell them. They'll think you've been on since the days of Marconi.

Step Three: Utilize an alternative vocabulary. Use words like "destinated" and "negatory". It's OK to make up your own words here. I.E. "Yeah Tom, I "pheelbart zaphonix" occasionally myself."

Step Four: Always say "XX4XXX" (Insert your own call) "for I.D." As mentioned in Step One, anything that creates redundancy is always encouraged. That's why we have the Department of Redundancy Department. (Please note that you can follow your call with "for identification purposes" instead of "for I.D." While taking longer to say, it is worth more "LID points".

Step Five: The better the copy on two meter FM, the more you should use phonetics. Names should be especially used if they are short or common ones. I.E. "My name is Al... Alpha Lima" or "Jack.. Juliet Alpha Charlie Kilo." If at all possible use the less common HF phonetics "A4SM... America, Number Four, Sugar Mexico." And for maximum "LID points", make up unintelligible phonetics. "My name is Bob... Billibong Oregano Bumperpool."

Step Six: Always give the calls of yourself and everyone who is (or has been) in the group, whether they are still there or not. While this has been unnecessary for years, it is still a great memory test. You may also use "and the group" if you are an "old timer" or just have a bad memory. Extra points for saying everyone's call and then clearing in a silly way "K2PKK, Chow, Chow."

Step Seven: Whenever possible, use the wrong terminology. It keeps people guessing. Use "modulation" when you mean "deviation", and vice-versa.

Step Eight: If someone asks for a break, always finish your turn, taking as long as possible before turning it over. Whenever possible, pass it around a few times first. This will discourage the breaker, and if it is an emergency, encourage him to switch to another repeater and not bother you.

Step Nine: Always ask involved questions of the person who is trying to sign out. Never let him get by with just a "yes" or "no" answer. Make it a question that will take him a long time to answer.

Step Ten: The less you know on a subject, the more you should speculate about it in the roundtable. Also the amount of time you spend on the subject should be inversely proportionate to your knowledge of the subject even though you have no damn clue.

Step Eleven: Always make sure you try to communicate with only a handheld and a rubber duck antenna. Also, make sure you work through a repeater that you can hear very well, but it cannot hear you. This will put out a kind of "LID mating call": "Well, Joe, I can hear the repeater just fine here. I wonder why it can't hear me?" You will score maximum LID points if you are mobile, and with the radio lying in the passenger seat.

Step Twelve: If you hear two amateurs start a conversation, wait until they are twenty seconds into their contact, and then break in to make a call, or better yet to use the auto-patch. Make sure you keep the repeater tied up for at least three minutes. This way, once the two have re-established contact, they won't even remember what they were talking about.

Step Thirteen: You hear someone on the repeater giving directions to a visiting amateur. Even if the directions are good, make sure you break in with your own "alternate route but better way to get there" version. This is most effective with several other "would-be LIDs", each giving a different route. By the time the visiting amateur unscrambles all the street names whizzing by in his mind, he should have moved out of the range of the repeater. This keeps you from having to stick around to help the guy get back out of town, later.

Step Fourteen: If an annoying station is bothering you, make sure your other "LID" buddies have a "coded" frequency list. Even though "CODES" are strictly forbidden on Amateur Radio, it's really neat to practice "James Bond" tactics.

Step Fifteen: Always use the National Calling Frequency for general conversations. The more uninteresting, the longer you should use it. Extra points are awarded if you have recently move from an adjacent frequency for no reason. Make sure when DX is "rolling" in on 52.525 that you hang out there and talk to your friends five miles down the road about the good old CB days!

Step Sixteen: Make sure that if you have a personal problem with someone, you should voice your opinion in a public forum, especially a net. Make sure you give their name, call, and any other identifying remarks. For maximum points, make sure the person in question is not on the repeater,

or not available.

Step Seventeen: Make sure you say the first few words of each transmission twice, especially if it is the same thing each time. Like "roger, roger" or "fine business, fine business". I cannot stress enough about encouraging redundancy.

Step Eighteen: If you hear a conversation on a local repeater, break in and ask how each station is receiving you. Of course they will only see the signal of the repeater you are using, but it's that magic moment when you can find a fellow "LID", and get the report. Extra points are awarded if you are using a base station, and the repeater is less than twenty-five air miles from you.

Step Nineteen: Use the repeater for an hour or two at a time, preventing others from using it. Better yet, do it on a daily basis. Your quest is to make people so sick of hearing your voice every time they turn on their radio, they'll move to another frequency. This way you'll lighten the load on the repeater, leaving even more time for you to talk on it.

Step Twenty: See just how much flutter you can generate by operating at handheld power levels too far away from the repeater. Engage people in conversations when you know they wont be able to copy half of what your saying. Even when they say your uncopyable, continue to string them along by making further transmissions. See just how frustrated you can make the other amateur before he finally signs off in disgust.

Step Twenty One: Use lots of radio jargon. After all, it makes you feel important using words ordinary people don't say. Who cares if it makes you sound like you just fell off Channel 19 on the citizen's Band? Use phrases such as "Roger on that", "10-4", "I'm on the side", "Your making the trip" and "Negatory on that".

Step Twenty Two: Use excessive microphone gain. See just how loud you can make your audio. Make sure the audio gain is so high that other amateurs can hear any bugs crawling on your floor. If mobile, make sure the wind noise is loud enough that others have to strain to pick your words out from all the racket.

Step Twenty Three: Start every transmission with the word "Roger" or "QSL". Sure, you don't need to acknowledge that you received the other transmission in full. After all, you would simply ask for a repeat if you missed something. But consider it your gift to the other amateur to give him solace every few seconds that his transmissions are being received.

Step Twenty Four: When looking for a contact on a repeater, always say your "listening" or "monitoring" multiple times. I've always found that at least a half dozen times or so is good. Repeating your multiple "listening" ID's every 10 to 15 seconds is even better. Those people who didn't want to talk to you will eventually call you, hoping you'll go away after you have finally made a contact.

Step Twenty Five: Always use a repeater, even if you can work the other station easily on simplex ... especially if you can make the contact on simplex. The coverage of the repeater you use should be inversely proportional to your distance from the other station.

Step Twenty Six: When on repeaters using courtesy tones, you should always say "over". Courtesy tones are designed to let everyone know when you have unkeyed but don't let that stop you. Say "over", "back to you" or "go ahead". It serves no useful purpose but don't worry, it's still fun!

Step Twenty Seven: Use the repeater's autopatch for frivolous routine calls... especially during morning or evening commute times. While pulling into the neighborhood, call home to let them know you'll be there in two minutes.... or, call your spouse to complain about the bad day you had at work. After all, the club has "measured rate" service on their phone line so they get charged for each autopatch call. Your endeavor is to make so many patches in a year that you cost the club at least $20 in phone bills. That way you'll feel you got your money's worth for your dues!

Step Twenty Eight: Never say "My name is ....." It makes you sound human. If at all possible, use one of the following phrases: a) "The personal here is ..." b) "The handle here is..."

Step Twenty Nine: Use "73" and "88" incorrectly. Both are already considered plural, but add a "s" to the end anyway. Say "73's" or "88's". Who cares if it means "best regards" and "love and kisses." Better yet, say "seventy thirds"! (By the way, seventy thirds equals about 23.3).

Step Thirty: If the repeater is off the air for service, complain about the fact that it was off the air as soon as it's turned back on. Act as though your entire day has been ruined because the repeater wasn't available when you wanted to use it. Even thought you have never paid a penny to help out with the upkeep of it.

2369. REQUEST :Radio Shack Hyperscan Pro 2030 Maunaul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-17 00:49:56 UTC
From: Malachi <malachi714@cox.net>
Message-ID: <pjnlb2dj6edthehnh3tsqo44vu8m8981eq@4ax.com>
Source record: 8249

Can someone post the user manual for the Radio Shack Hyperscan Pro
2030 ?

Thanks in advance.

2370. Re: 30 Steps, Monthy post. Tips for Phonies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-17 01:10:03 UTC
From: Konstans_with_a_C <Konstans_with_a_c@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153098602.961002.136620@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8250
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

Slow Code wrote:
> The 30 Steps below will help all New Hams, it will also help you to find
> others just like yourself. These 30 steps should also be added to the
> present writtens.
>
>
> Step One: Use as many "Q" signals as possible. Yes, I know they were
> invented solely for CW and are totally inappropriate for two meter FM, but
> they are fun and entertaining. They keep people guessing as to what you
> really meant. I.E. "I'm going to QSY to the phone." Can you really change
> frequencies to the phone? QSL used to mean, "I am acknowledging receipt",
> but now it appears to mean, "yes" or "OK". I guess I missed it when the
> ARRL changed the meaning. It is also best to use "OK" and "QSL" together.
> Redundancy is the better part of Lid-dom.
>
> Step Two: Never laugh when you can say "HI HI". No one will ever know you
> aren't a long time CW rag-chewer if you don't tell them. They'll think
> you've been on since the days of Marconi.
>
> Step Three: Utilize an alternative vocabulary. Use words like "destinated"
> and "negatory". It's OK to make up your own words here. I.E. "Yeah Tom, I
> "pheelbart zaphonix" occasionally myself."
>
> Step Four: Always say "XX4XXX" (Insert your own call) "for I.D." As
> mentioned in Step One, anything that creates redundancy is always
> encouraged. That's why we have the Department of Redundancy Department.
> (Please note that you can follow your call with "for identification
> purposes" instead of "for I.D." While taking longer to say, it is worth
> more "LID points".
>
> Step Five: The better the copy on two meter FM, the more you should use
> phonetics. Names should be especially used if they are short or common
> ones. I.E. "My name is Al... Alpha Lima" or "Jack.. Juliet Alpha Charlie
> Kilo." If at all possible use the less common HF phonetics "A4SM...
> America, Number Four, Sugar Mexico." And for maximum "LID points", make up
> unintelligible phonetics. "My name is Bob... Billibong Oregano
> Bumperpool."
>
> Step Six: Always give the calls of yourself and everyone who is (or has
> been) in the group, whether they are still there or not. While this has
> been unnecessary for years, it is still a great memory test. You may also
> use "and the group" if you are an "old timer" or just have a bad memory.
> Extra points for saying everyone's call and then clearing in a silly way

> "K2PKK, Chow, Chow."
>
> Step Seven: Whenever possible, use the wrong terminology. It keeps people
> guessing. Use "modulation" when you mean "deviation", and vice-versa.
>
> Step Eight: If someone asks for a break, always finish your turn, taking
> as long as possible before turning it over. Whenever possible, pass it
> around a few times first. This will discourage the breaker, and if it is
> an emergency, encourage him to switch to another repeater and not bother
> you.
>
> Step Nine: Always ask involved questions of the person who is trying to
> sign out. Never let him get by with just a "yes" or "no" answer. Make it a
> question that will take him a long time to answer.
>
> Step Ten: The less you know on a subject, the more you should speculate
> about it in the roundtable. Also the amount of time you spend on the
> subject should be inversely proportionate to your knowledge of the subject
> even though you have no damn clue.
>
> Step Eleven: Always make sure you try to communicate with only a handheld
> and a rubber duck antenna. Also, make sure you work through a repeater
> that you can hear very well, but it cannot hear you. This will put out a
> kind of "LID mating call": "Well, Joe, I can hear the repeater just fine
> here. I wonder why it can't hear me?" You will score maximum LID points if
> you are mobile, and with the radio lying in the passenger seat.
>
> Step Twelve: If you hear two amateurs start a conversation, wait until
> they are twenty seconds into their contact, and then break in to make a
> call, or better yet to use the auto-patch. Make sure you keep the repeater
> tied up for at least three minutes. This way, once the two have
> re-established contact, they won't even remember what they were talking
> about.
>
> Step Thirteen: You hear someone on the repeater giving directions to a
> visiting amateur. Even if the directions are good, make sure you break in
> with your own "alternate route but better way to get there" version. This
> is most effective with several other "would-be LIDs", each giving a
> different route. By the time the visiting amateur unscrambles all the
> street names whizzing by in his mind, he should have moved out of the
> range of the repeater. This keeps you from having to stick around to help
> the guy get back out of town, later.
>
> Step Fourteen: If an annoying station is bothering you, make sure your
> other "LID" buddies have a "coded" frequency list. Even though "CODES" are
> strictly forbidden on Amateur Radio, it's really neat to practice "James
> Bond" tactics.
>
> Step Fifteen: Always use the National Calling Frequency for general
> conversations. The more uninteresting, the longer you should use it. Extra

> points are awarded if you have recently move from an adjacent frequency
> for no reason. Make sure when DX is "rolling" in on 52.525 that you hang
> out there and talk to your friends five miles down the road about the good
> old CB days!
>
> Step Sixteen: Make sure that if you have a personal problem with someone,
> you should voice your opinion in a public forum, especially a net. Make
> sure you give their name, call, and any other identifying remarks. For
> maximum points, make sure the person in question is not on the repeater,
> or not available.
>
> Step Seventeen: Make sure you say the first few words of each transmission
> twice, especially if it is the same thing each time. Like "roger, roger"
> or "fine business, fine business". I cannot stress enough about
> encouraging redundancy.
>
> Step Eighteen: If you hear a conversation on a local repeater, break in
> and ask how each station is receiving you. Of course they will only see
> the signal of the repeater you are using, but it's that magic moment when
> you can find a fellow "LID", and get the report. Extra points are awarded
> if you are using a base station, and the repeater is less than twenty-five
> air miles from you.
>
> Step Nineteen: Use the repeater for an hour or two at a time, preventing
> others from using it. Better yet, do it on a daily basis. Your quest is to
> make people so sick of hearing your voice every time they turn on their
> radio, they'll move to another frequency. This way you'll lighten the load
> on the repeater, leaving even more time for you to talk on it.
>
> Step Twenty: See just how much flutter you can generate by operating at
> handheld power levels too far away from the repeater. Engage people in
> conversations when you know they wont be able to copy half of what your
> saying. Even when they say your uncopyable, continue to string them along
> by making further transmissions. See just how frustrated you can make the
> other amateur before he finally signs off in disgust.
>
> Step Twenty One: Use lots of radio jargon. After all, it makes you feel
> important using words ordinary people don't say. Who cares if it makes you
> sound like you just fell off Channel 19 on the citizen's Band? Use phrases
> such as "Roger on that", "10-4", "I'm on the side", "Your making the trip"
> and "Negatory on that".
>
> Step Twenty Two: Use excessive microphone gain. See just how loud you can
> make your audio. Make sure the audio gain is so high that other amateurs
> can hear any bugs crawling on your floor. If mobile, make sure the wind
> noise is loud enough that others have to strain to pick your words out
> from all the racket.
>
> Step Twenty Three: Start every transmission with the word "Roger" or
> "QSL". Sure, you don't need to acknowledge that you received the other

> transmission in full. After all, you would simply ask for a repeat if you
> missed something. But consider it your gift to the other amateur to give
> him solace every few seconds that his transmissions are being received.
>
> Step Twenty Four: When looking for a contact on a repeater, always say
> your "listening" or "monitoring" multiple times. I've always found that at
> least a half dozen times or so is good. Repeating your multiple
> "listening" ID's every 10 to 15 seconds is even better. Those people who
> didn't want to talk to you will eventually call you, hoping you'll go away
> after you have finally made a contact.
>
> Step Twenty Five: Always use a repeater, even if you can work the other
> station easily on simplex ... especially if you can make the contact on
> simplex. The coverage of the repeater you use should be inversely
> proportional to your distance from the other station.
>
> Step Twenty Six: When on repeaters using courtesy tones, you should always
> say "over". Courtesy tones are designed to let everyone know when you have
> unkeyed but don't let that stop you. Say "over", "back to you" or "go
> ahead". It serves no useful purpose but don't worry, it's still fun!
>
> Step Twenty Seven: Use the repeater's autopatch for frivolous routine
> calls... especially during morning or evening commute times. While pulling
> into the neighborhood, call home to let them know you'll be there in two
> minutes.... or, call your spouse to complain about the bad day you had at
> work. After all, the club has "measured rate" service on their phone line
> so they get charged for each autopatch call. Your endeavor is to make so
> many patches in a year that you cost the club at least $20 in phone bills.
> That way you'll feel you got your money's worth for your dues!
>
> Step Twenty Eight: Never say "My name is ....." It makes you sound human.
> If at all possible, use one of the following phrases: a) "The personal
> here is ..." b) "The handle here is..."
>
> Step Twenty Nine: Use "73" and "88" incorrectly. Both are already
> considered plural, but add a "s" to the end anyway. Say "73's" or "88's".
> Who cares if it means "best regards" and "love and kisses." Better yet,
> say "seventy thirds"! (By the way, seventy thirds equals about 23.3).
>
> Step Thirty: If the repeater is off the air for service, complain about
> the fact that it was off the air as soon as it's turned back on. Act as
> though your entire day has been ruined because the repeater wasn't
> available when you wanted to use it. Even thought you have never paid a
> penny to help out with the upkeep of it.

Greetings Mr Code;
Perfect Post,Sir.
Your knowledge,as well as your logic, is superior.
Thank you,
an honest ham

2371. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-17 01:44:32 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153100672.428996.287670@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8301
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
get help troll

2372. get help troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-17 01:55:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153101305.872615.10300@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8302
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

Konstans_with_a_C wrote:
get help sicko troll

2373. Re: 30 Steps, Monthy post. Tips for Phonies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-17 02:14:37 UTC
From: Mustafa <herrbimmler@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <hoCug.195051$Mn5.27135@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 8303
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

And what in hell has this got to do with selling ham gear? Maybe you should think before you crosspost!

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message news:ixAug.5337$vO.3132@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> The 30 Steps below will help all New Hams, it will also help you to find
> others just like yourself. These 30 steps should also be added to the
> present writtens.
>
>
> Step One: Use as many "Q" signals as possible. Yes, I know they were
> invented solely for CW and are totally inappropriate for two meter FM, but
> they are fun and entertaining. They keep people guessing as to what you
> really meant. I.E. "I'm going to QSY to the phone." Can you really change
> frequencies to the phone? QSL used to mean, "I am acknowledging receipt",
> but now it appears to mean, "yes" or "OK". I guess I missed it when the
> ARRL changed the meaning. It is also best to use "OK" and "QSL" together.
> Redundancy is the better part of Lid-dom.
>
> Step Two: Never laugh when you can say "HI HI". No one will ever know you
> aren't a long time CW rag-chewer if you don't tell them. They'll think
> you've been on since the days of Marconi.
>
> Step Three: Utilize an alternative vocabulary. Use words like "destinated"
> and "negatory". It's OK to make up your own words here. I.E. "Yeah Tom, I
> "pheelbart zaphonix" occasionally myself."
>
> Step Four: Always say "XX4XXX" (Insert your own call) "for I.D." As
> mentioned in Step One, anything that creates redundancy is always
> encouraged. That's why we have the Department of Redundancy Department.
> (Please note that you can follow your call with "for identification
> purposes" instead of "for I.D." While taking longer to say, it is worth
> more "LID points".
>
> Step Five: The better the copy on two meter FM, the more you should use
> phonetics. Names should be especially used if they are short or common
> ones. I.E. "My name is Al... Alpha Lima" or "Jack.. Juliet Alpha Charlie
> Kilo." If at all possible use the less common HF phonetics "A4SM...
> America, Number Four, Sugar Mexico." And for maximum "LID points", make up
> unintelligible phonetics. "My name is Bob... Billibong Oregano
> Bumperpool."
>
> Step Six: Always give the calls of yourself and everyone who is (or has

> been) in the group, whether they are still there or not. While this has
> been unnecessary for years, it is still a great memory test. You may also
> use "and the group" if you are an "old timer" or just have a bad memory.
> Extra points for saying everyone's call and then clearing in a silly way
> "K2PKK, Chow, Chow."
>
> Step Seven: Whenever possible, use the wrong terminology. It keeps people
> guessing. Use "modulation" when you mean "deviation", and vice-versa.
>
> Step Eight: If someone asks for a break, always finish your turn, taking
> as long as possible before turning it over. Whenever possible, pass it
> around a few times first. This will discourage the breaker, and if it is
> an emergency, encourage him to switch to another repeater and not bother
> you.
>
> Step Nine: Always ask involved questions of the person who is trying to
> sign out. Never let him get by with just a "yes" or "no" answer. Make it a
> question that will take him a long time to answer.
>
> Step Ten: The less you know on a subject, the more you should speculate
> about it in the roundtable. Also the amount of time you spend on the
> subject should be inversely proportionate to your knowledge of the subject
> even though you have no damn clue.
>
> Step Eleven: Always make sure you try to communicate with only a handheld
> and a rubber duck antenna. Also, make sure you work through a repeater
> that you can hear very well, but it cannot hear you. This will put out a
> kind of "LID mating call": "Well, Joe, I can hear the repeater just fine
> here. I wonder why it can't hear me?" You will score maximum LID points if
> you are mobile, and with the radio lying in the passenger seat.
>
> Step Twelve: If you hear two amateurs start a conversation, wait until
> they are twenty seconds into their contact, and then break in to make a
> call, or better yet to use the auto-patch. Make sure you keep the repeater
> tied up for at least three minutes. This way, once the two have
> re-established contact, they won't even remember what they were talking
> about.
>
> Step Thirteen: You hear someone on the repeater giving directions to a
> visiting amateur. Even if the directions are good, make sure you break in
> with your own "alternate route but better way to get there" version. This
> is most effective with several other "would-be LIDs", each giving a
> different route. By the time the visiting amateur unscrambles all the
> street names whizzing by in his mind, he should have moved out of the
> range of the repeater. This keeps you from having to stick around to help
> the guy get back out of town, later.
>
> Step Fourteen: If an annoying station is bothering you, make sure your
> other "LID" buddies have a "coded" frequency list. Even though "CODES" are
> strictly forbidden on Amateur Radio, it's really neat to practice "James

> Bond" tactics.
>
> Step Fifteen: Always use the National Calling Frequency for general
> conversations. The more uninteresting, the longer you should use it. Extra
> points are awarded if you have recently move from an adjacent frequency
> for no reason. Make sure when DX is "rolling" in on 52.525 that you hang
> out there and talk to your friends five miles down the road about the good
> old CB days!
>
> Step Sixteen: Make sure that if you have a personal problem with someone,
> you should voice your opinion in a public forum, especially a net. Make
> sure you give their name, call, and any other identifying remarks. For
> maximum points, make sure the person in question is not on the repeater,
> or not available.
>
> Step Seventeen: Make sure you say the first few words of each transmission
> twice, especially if it is the same thing each time. Like "roger, roger"
> or "fine business, fine business". I cannot stress enough about
> encouraging redundancy.
>
> Step Eighteen: If you hear a conversation on a local repeater, break in
> and ask how each station is receiving you. Of course they will only see
> the signal of the repeater you are using, but it's that magic moment when
> you can find a fellow "LID", and get the report. Extra points are awarded
> if you are using a base station, and the repeater is less than twenty-five
> air miles from you.
>
> Step Nineteen: Use the repeater for an hour or two at a time, preventing
> others from using it. Better yet, do it on a daily basis. Your quest is to
> make people so sick of hearing your voice every time they turn on their
> radio, they'll move to another frequency. This way you'll lighten the load
> on the repeater, leaving even more time for you to talk on it.
>
> Step Twenty: See just how much flutter you can generate by operating at
> handheld power levels too far away from the repeater. Engage people in
> conversations when you know they wont be able to copy half of what your
> saying. Even when they say your uncopyable, continue to string them along
> by making further transmissions. See just how frustrated you can make the
> other amateur before he finally signs off in disgust.
>
> Step Twenty One: Use lots of radio jargon. After all, it makes you feel
> important using words ordinary people don't say. Who cares if it makes you
> sound like you just fell off Channel 19 on the citizen's Band? Use phrases
> such as "Roger on that", "10-4", "I'm on the side", "Your making the trip"
> and "Negatory on that".
>
> Step Twenty Two: Use excessive microphone gain. See just how loud you can
> make your audio. Make sure the audio gain is so high that other amateurs
> can hear any bugs crawling on your floor. If mobile, make sure the wind
> noise is loud enough that others have to strain to pick your words out

> from all the racket.
>
> Step Twenty Three: Start every transmission with the word "Roger" or
> "QSL". Sure, you don't need to acknowledge that you received the other
> transmission in full. After all, you would simply ask for a repeat if you
> missed something. But consider it your gift to the other amateur to give
> him solace every few seconds that his transmissions are being received.
>
> Step Twenty Four: When looking for a contact on a repeater, always say
> your "listening" or "monitoring" multiple times. I've always found that at
> least a half dozen times or so is good. Repeating your multiple
> "listening" ID's every 10 to 15 seconds is even better. Those people who
> didn't want to talk to you will eventually call you, hoping you'll go away
> after you have finally made a contact.
>
> Step Twenty Five: Always use a repeater, even if you can work the other
> station easily on simplex ... especially if you can make the contact on
> simplex. The coverage of the repeater you use should be inversely
> proportional to your distance from the other station.
>
> Step Twenty Six: When on repeaters using courtesy tones, you should always
> say "over". Courtesy tones are designed to let everyone know when you have
> unkeyed but don't let that stop you. Say "over", "back to you" or "go
> ahead". It serves no useful purpose but don't worry, it's still fun!
>
> Step Twenty Seven: Use the repeater's autopatch for frivolous routine
> calls... especially during morning or evening commute times. While pulling
> into the neighborhood, call home to let them know you'll be there in two
> minutes.... or, call your spouse to complain about the bad day you had at
> work. After all, the club has "measured rate" service on their phone line
> so they get charged for each autopatch call. Your endeavor is to make so
> many patches in a year that you cost the club at least $20 in phone bills.
> That way you'll feel you got your money's worth for your dues!
>
> Step Twenty Eight: Never say "My name is ....." It makes you sound human.
> If at all possible, use one of the following phrases: a) "The personal
> here is ..." b) "The handle here is..."
>
> Step Twenty Nine: Use "73" and "88" incorrectly. Both are already
> considered plural, but add a "s" to the end anyway. Say "73's" or "88's".
> Who cares if it means "best regards" and "love and kisses." Better yet,
> say "seventy thirds"! (By the way, seventy thirds equals about 23.3).
>
> Step Thirty: If the repeater is off the air for service, complain about
> the fact that it was off the air as soon as it's turned back on. Act as
> though your entire day has been ruined because the repeater wasn't
> available when you wanted to use it. Even thought you have never paid a
> penny to help out with the upkeep of it.
>

2374. thinking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-17 02:22:16 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153102936.885065.241350@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Mustafa wrote:
> And what in hell has this got to do with selling ham gear? Maybe you should
> think before you crosspost!
thinking seems to be beyond his keen he is just a troll
>
>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message

2375. Re: Radio Reference Lou Maag is a control freak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-17 02:26:33 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153103193.013350.270350@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8305

Cum on Frankie, you know you like.It's okay to be a fag in this day and
age. Find your true self and taste the penis you love!
Frank wrote:
> Oh, I'm so affended. Not only are you ignorant, you don't listen well
> either!
> How about a new e-mail for you? gutless@hotmail.com
>
>
>
>
> "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1153023581.435514.266440@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > Frank,
> > Everyone knows you like the taste of dick in you're mouth.
> > Frank wrote:
> >> Once again, he shows what a coward he is for not using a real e-mail
> >> address......Come back again when you have some guts!
> >>
> >>
> >> "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1152932827.619094.305120@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >> > This asshole lives for fucking with posters on RR. He's very anal and
> >> > his pooper is sooo tight he can't shit. He needs to get fucked, so he
> >> > can releases his frustartions.
> >> >
> >
>

2376. Easier to Read Manual for the Pro 97/2055

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-17 03:09:17 UTC
From: marksscanners@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153105757.938252.45540@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8306

Just finished an easier to read manual for the Pro 97/2055 scanners:
http://myweb.cableone.net/marksscanners/97/97.html

And, updated my other manuals:

For the BC246T:
http://myweb.cableone.net/marksscanners/246/246.html

For the Pro 95/93/2053:
http://myweb.cableone.net/marksscanners/95/95.html

For the Pro 2052:
http://myweb.cableone.net/marksscanners/2052/2052.html

For the Pro 94A/B:
http://myweb.cableone.net/marksscanners/94AB/94AB.html

For the Pro 94:
http://myweb.cableone.net/marksscanners/94/94.html

For the Pro 92/A/B/2067:
http://myweb.cableone.net/marksscanners/92/92.html

2377. Re: Radio Reference Lou Maag is a control freak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-17 03:16:43 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <viDug.68508$Lm5.66874@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 8307

Such a coward. Why don't you e-mail me directly instead of on this board?

"Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1153103193.013350.270350@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> Cum on Frankie, you know you like.It's okay to be a fag in this day and
> age. Find your true self and taste the penis you love!
> Frank wrote:
>> Oh, I'm so affended. Not only are you ignorant, you don't listen well
>> either!
>> How about a new e-mail for you? gutless@hotmail.com
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1153023581.435514.266440@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> > Frank,
>> > Everyone knows you like the taste of dick in you're mouth.
>> > Frank wrote:
>> >> Once again, he shows what a coward he is for not using a real e-mail
>> >> address......Come back again when you have some guts!
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1152932827.619094.305120@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>> >> > This asshole lives for fucking with posters on RR. He's very anal
>> >> > and
>> >> > his pooper is sooo tight he can't shit. He needs to get fucked, so
>> >> > he
>> >> > can releases his frustartions.
>> >> >
>> >
>>

2378. Re: Radio Reference Lou Maag is a control freak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-17 12:35:51 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <7v0nb2hqjrtq16tun7sspr9g65eb139krp@4ax.com>
Source record: 8308

On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 03:16:43 GMT, "Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>Such a coward. Why don't you e-mail me directly instead of on this board?

Even emailing you would be cowardly. Why doesn't he say it to your
face? Oh, because gutless is a coward. Right.

2379. Re: thinking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-17 12:39:09 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <v61nb25ecbn01i8q8lo11jpbr8dutjitn5@4ax.com>
Source record: 8309
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On 16 Jul 2006 19:22:16 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>thinking seems to be beyond his keen he is just a troll

Pot, kettle ...

2380. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-17 12:41:22 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153140082.524293.32300@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8310
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
an old freind wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > On 15 Jul 2006 10:07:57 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Not Lloyd wrote:
> > >get help sicko
> >
> > And you didn't obey him.
> why would I obey anybody on here Al

No, you'd rather abuse and neglect your dying daddy!
```

2381. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-17 12:47:47 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153140466.990023.249840@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8311
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old freind wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > news:1152977367.743288.235400@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
> >
> > > >
>
> > > > True, Markie was in the Special Ed classes and they tend not to
> > > actually call their retarded students "retards."
> >
> >
> > LOL, Markie rode the short bus to school.
> nope

Yep. You sure do have a piss poor academic record, too.

> infact I as far as I recall I never rode a bus to school at all
>
> other than I did try a few times geting to college on the city bus
> system it took way to long and saved very little (but gas cheaper then)

You were never in college, retard. Maybe barber college.

2382. Re: thinking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-17 12:54:04 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153140844.475199.280200@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8312
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 16 Jul 2006 19:22:16 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >thinking seems to be beyond his keen he is just a troll
>
> Pot, kettle ...
you youare much like it thinking seems beyond your as well

2383. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-17 13:01:41 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153141301.541164.75410@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8313
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko

2384. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-17 13:08:34 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153141714.289223.192690@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8314
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko

2385. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-17 14:19:49 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <d37nb2dr5kjdh647ee49j09e04scmh4dhi@4ax.com>
Source record: 8315
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 17 Jul 2006 05:47:47 -0700, markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
wrote:

>an old freind wrote:

>> other than I did try a few times geting to college on the city bus
>> system it took way to long and saved very little (but gas cheaper then)

>You were never in college, retard. Maybe barber college.

You'd let him near you with a sharp instrument? :)

2386. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-17 14:39:41 UTC
From: an old freid to some a nightmare to steve <kb9rqz__ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153147181.203077.31250@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8316
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 17 Jul 2006 05:47:47 -0700, markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
> wrote:
>
> >an old freind wrote:
>
> >> other than I did try a few times geting to college on the city bus
> >> system it took way to long and saved very little (but gas cheaper then)
>
> >You were never in college, retard. Maybe barber college.
>
> You'd let him near you with a sharp instrument? :)
Wisemen has been near me
he never ventures out of his home for fear somebody will get him

I would say he was being paraoid but in his case with the filth he
spewed and the other deeds he pulled he may indeed have reason to fear

2387. Re: Radio Reference Lou Maag is a control freak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-17 15:31:18 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153150278.473060.116770@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8317

Like you usenet trolls are and less cowardlly. Pussies.
Al Klein wrote:
> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 03:16:43 GMT, "Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Such a coward. Why don't you e-mail me directly instead of on this board?
>
> Even emailing you would be cowardly. Why doesn't he say it to your
> face? Oh, because gutless is a coward. Right.

2388. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-17 16:55:28 UTC
From: jakdedert <jakdedert@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <CkPug.8046$ZH1.4506@bignews4.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 8318
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 00:49:00 GMT, Hymie_slowbotski@yah00.net (Hymie)
> wrote:
>
>> Very well put. Every mode is useful, important and valuable in different
>> situations. What's unfortunate, is that the only modes the anti-CW crowd
>> wants and supports are "Lazy Mode" and "Retard mode"
>
> Even those who tout digital modes - they want to buy an interface and
> software and "be" on digital. Then they wonder why the signal they're
> hearing, that sounds just like the digital mode they use, doesn't work
> on their setup. Maybe because a PSK program won't decode SSTV?
>
> Reminds me of the GPRS "exerts" who can't understand why changing the
> "code" on their radios doesn't eliminate the interference from the guy
> next door.
>
> The anti-CW crowd wants no code and a written test you can memorize
> the answers to. It's their "right" to be on the air, isn't it? Even
> if they need 2 more clues to be totally clueless?
>
There's a world of difference between technical electronics knowledge
and ability to decipher code. One has absolutely nothing to do with the
other. FWIW, I don't even have a ham license anymore. I don't care
about the code, whether it lives or dies. If you enjoy brass pounding,
then do it.

Just don't equate that ability with another that is not even slightly
related.

jak

2389. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-17 19:21:22 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153164082.853670.64220@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8319
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 17 Jul 2006 05:47:47 -0700, markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
> wrote:
>
> >an old freind wrote:
>
> >> other than I did try a few times geting to college on the city bus
> >> system it took way to long and saved very little (but gas cheaper then)
>
> >You were never in college, retard. Maybe barber college.
>
> You'd let him near you with a sharp instrument? :)

LOL!!!!! Fatassed Markie would be more likely to fall on himself when
he waddles around with scissors.

2390. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-17 19:22:53 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153164173.497442.198970@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8320
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old freid to some a nightmare to steve wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > On 17 Jul 2006 05:47:47 -0700, markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
> > wrote:
> >
> > >an old freind wrote:
> >
> > >> other than I did try a few times geting to college on the city bus
> > >> system it took way to long and saved very little (but gas cheaper then)
> >
> > >You were never in college, retard. Maybe barber college.
> >
> > You'd let him near you with a sharp instrument? :)
> Wisemen has been near me
> he never ventures out of his home for fear somebody will get him

Wrong, Marqueer.

> I would say he was being paraoid but in his case with the filth he
> spewed and the other deeds he pulled he may indeed have reason to fear

But you aren't qualified to say anything, high school dropout. You are
projecting again, mentally ill retard. Why are you hiding up in the
U.P.?

2391. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-17 19:23:32 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153164212.062814.222830@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8321
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> get help sicko

So it is true you neglect and abuse your dying daddy.

2392. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-17 19:39:50 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153165190.157052.268660@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8322
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
get help sicko.

2393. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-17 19:48:56 UTC
From: an old freid to some a nightmare to steve <kb9rqz__ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153165736.148269.27070@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
get help sicko
> But you aren't qualified to say anything, high school dropout. You are
> projecting again, mentally ill retard. Why are you hiding up in the
> U.P.?

Of Course I am qualified I am college gard and licese of the ARS
Hiding no Living here it is lovely county

2394. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-17 23:12:11 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153177931.387243.50760@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old freid to some a nightmare to steve wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> get help sicko
> > But you aren't qualified to say anything, high school dropout. You are
> > projecting again, mentally ill retard. Why are you hiding up in the
> > U.P.?
>
> Of Course I am qualified I am college gard and licese of the ARS

We've yet to see any proof. Let's see, you can't communicate in any
resemblance of English and you have the beginner's ham license. So much
for your expertise.

> Hiding no Living here it is lovely county

Yes, you are hiding from something, perhaps your child molesting past?
Is that why you high-tailed it out of Illiopolis, IL?

2395. Pro 98 scanner question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-17 23:17:03 UTC
From: clfe <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44bc1a67$0$3672$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 8325

I have a question regarding the Pro 98 scanner. The 800 MHz stuff if just starting to catch hold around here, and "I" personally don't deal with it much. So, I have a question - on the Pro - 98, with some 800 stuff programmed in, often I hear a sort of machine gun noise. Other times I hear actual signals. The noise is so irritationg though, you tend to take the freqs out and not hear anything. I've not used the "Trunking" function on the scanner as yet, is that the problem?

Thanks

2396. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-17 23:32:46 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1153179166.093620.144370@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8326
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko

2397. Re: 30 Steps, Monthly post. Tips for Phonies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 00:05:00 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <MAVug.9484$PE1.1241@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8327
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Mustafa" <herrbimmler@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in
news:hoCug.195051$Mn5.27135@pd7tw3no:

> And what in hell has this got to do with selling ham gear? Maybe you
> should think before you crosspost!

You must a be no-coder. Well, study these tips and you'll sell more ham
gear, because it will make you sound like you know what ham radio is.
Happy to help. Study, Study, Study:

> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:ixAug.5337$vO.3132@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> The 30 Steps below will help all New Hams, it will also help you to
>> find others just like yourself. These 30 steps should also be added to
>> the present writtens.
>>
>>
>> Step One: Use as many "Q" signals as possible. Yes, I know they were
>> invented solely for CW and are totally inappropriate for two meter FM,
>> but they are fun and entertaining. They keep people guessing as to what
>> you really meant. I.E. "I'm going to QSY to the phone." Can you really
>> change frequencies to the phone? QSL used to mean, "I am acknowledging
>> receipt", but now it appears to mean, "yes" or "OK". I guess I missed
>> it when the ARRL changed the meaning. It is also best to use "OK" and
>> "QSL" together. Redundancy is the better part of Lid-dom.
>>
>> Step Two: Never laugh when you can say "HI HI". No one will ever know
>> you aren't a long time CW rag-chewer if you don't tell them. They'll
>> think you've been on since the days of Marconi.
>>
>> Step Three: Utilize an alternative vocabulary. Use words like
>> "destinated" and "negatory". It's OK to make up your own words here.
>> I.E. "Yeah Tom, I "pheelbart zaphonix" occasionally myself."
>>
>> Step Four: Always say "XX4XXX" (Insert your own call) "for I.D." As
>> mentioned in Step One, anything that creates redundancy is always
>> encouraged. That's why we have the Department of Redundancy Department.
>> (Please note that you can follow your call with "for identification
>> purposes" instead of "for I.D." While taking longer to say, it is worth
>> more "LID points".
>>
>> Step Five: The better the copy on two meter FM, the more you should use
>> phonetics. Names should be especially used if they are short or common
>> ones. I.E. "My name is Al... Alpha Lima" or "Jack.. Juliet Alpha

>> Charlie Kilo." If at all possible use the less common HF phonetics
>> "A4SM... America, Number Four, Sugar Mexico." And for maximum "LID
>> points", make up unintelligible phonetics. "My name is Bob... Billibong
>> Oregano Bumperpool."
>>
>> Step Six: Always give the calls of yourself and everyone who is (or has
>> been) in the group, whether they are still there or not. While this has
>> been unnecessary for years, it is still a great memory test. You may
>> also use "and the group" if you are an "old timer" or just have a bad
>> memory. Extra points for saying everyone's call and then clearing in a
>> silly way "K2PKK, Chow, Chow."
>>
>> Step Seven: Whenever possible, use the wrong terminology. It keeps
>> people guessing. Use "modulation" when you mean "deviation", and
>> vice-versa.
>>
>> Step Eight: If someone asks for a break, always finish your turn,
>> taking as long as possible before turning it over. Whenever possible,
>> pass it around a few times first. This will discourage the breaker, and
>> if it is an emergency, encourage him to switch to another repeater and
>> not bother you.
>>
>> Step Nine: Always ask involved questions of the person who is trying to
>> sign out. Never let him get by with just a "yes" or "no" answer. Make
>> it a question that will take him a long time to answer.
>>
>> Step Ten: The less you know on a subject, the more you should speculate
>> about it in the roundtable. Also the amount of time you spend on the
>> subject should be inversely proportionate to your knowledge of the
>> subject even though you have no damn clue.
>>
>> Step Eleven: Always make sure you try to communicate with only a
>> handheld and a rubber duck antenna. Also, make sure you work through a
>> repeater that you can hear very well, but it cannot hear you. This will
>> put out a kind of "LID mating call": "Well, Joe, I can hear the
>> repeater just fine here. I wonder why it can't hear me?" You will score
>> maximum LID points if you are mobile, and with the radio lying in the
>> passenger seat.
>>
>> Step Twelve: If you hear two amateurs start a conversation, wait until
>> they are twenty seconds into their contact, and then break in to make a
>> call, or better yet to use the auto-patch. Make sure you keep the
>> repeater tied up for at least three minutes. This way, once the two
>> have re-established contact, they won't even remember what they were
>> talking about.
>>
>> Step Thirteen: You hear someone on the repeater giving directions to a
>> visiting amateur. Even if the directions are good, make sure you break
>> in with your own "alternate route but better way to get there" version.
>> This is most effective with several other "would-be LIDs", each giving

>> a different route. By the time the visiting amateur unscrambles all the
>> street names whizzing by in his mind, he should have moved out of the
>> range of the repeater. This keeps you from having to stick around to
>> help the guy get back out of town, later.
>>
>> Step Fourteen: If an annoying station is bothering you, make sure your
>> other "LID" buddies have a "coded" frequency list. Even though "CODES"
>> are strictly forbidden on Amateur Radio, it's really neat to practice
>> "James Bond" tactics.
>>
>> Step Fifteen: Always use the National Calling Frequency for general
>> conversations. The more uninteresting, the longer you should use it.
>> Extra points are awarded if you have recently move from an adjacent
>> frequency for no reason. Make sure when DX is "rolling" in on 52.525
>> that you hang out there and talk to your friends five miles down the
>> road about the good old CB days!
>>
>> Step Sixteen: Make sure that if you have a personal problem with
>> someone, you should voice your opinion in a public forum, especially a
>> net. Make sure you give their name, call, and any other identifying
>> remarks. For maximum points, make sure the person in question is not on
>> the repeater, or not available.
>>
>> Step Seventeen: Make sure you say the first few words of each
>> transmission twice, especially if it is the same thing each time. Like
>> "roger, roger" or "fine business, fine business". I cannot stress
>> enough about encouraging redundancy.
>>
>> Step Eighteen: If you hear a conversation on a local repeater, break in
>> and ask how each station is receiving you. Of course they will only see
>> the signal of the repeater you are using, but it's that magic moment
>> when you can find a fellow "LID", and get the report. Extra points are
>> awarded if you are using a base station, and the repeater is less than
>> twenty-five air miles from you.
>>
>> Step Nineteen: Use the repeater for an hour or two at a time,
>> preventing others from using it. Better yet, do it on a daily basis.
>> Your quest is to make people so sick of hearing your voice every time
>> they turn on their radio, they'll move to another frequency. This way
>> you'll lighten the load on the repeater, leaving even more time for you
>> to talk on it.
>>
>> Step Twenty: See just how much flutter you can generate by operating at
>> handheld power levels too far away from the repeater. Engage people in
>> conversations when you know they wont be able to copy half of what your
>> saying. Even when they say your uncopyable, continue to string them
>> along by making further transmissions. See just how frustrated you can
>> make the other amateur before he finally signs off in disgust.
>>
>> Step Twenty One: Use lots of radio jargon. After all, it makes you feel

>> important using words ordinary people don't say. Who cares if it makes
>> you sound like you just fell off Channel 19 on the citizen's Band? Use
>> phrases such as "Roger on that", "10-4", "I'm on the side", "Your
>> making the trip" and "Negatory on that".
>>
>> Step Twenty Two: Use excessive microphone gain. See just how loud you
>> can make your audio. Make sure the audio gain is so high that other
>> amateurs can hear any bugs crawling on your floor. If mobile, make sure
>> the wind noise is loud enough that others have to strain to pick your
>> words out from all the racket.
>>
>> Step Twenty Three: Start every transmission with the word "Roger" or
>> "QSL". Sure, you don't need to acknowledge that you received the other
>> transmission in full. After all, you would simply ask for a repeat if
>> you missed something. But consider it your gift to the other amateur to
>> give him solace every few seconds that his transmissions are being
>> received.
>>
>> Step Twenty Four: When looking for a contact on a repeater, always say
>> your "listening" or "monitoring" multiple times. I've always found that
>> at least a half dozen times or so is good. Repeating your multiple
>> "listening" ID's every 10 to 15 seconds is even better. Those people
>> who didn't want to talk to you will eventually call you, hoping you'll
>> go away after you have finally made a contact.
>>
>> Step Twenty Five: Always use a repeater, even if you can work the other
>> station easily on simplex ... especially if you can make the contact on
>> simplex. The coverage of the repeater you use should be inversely
>> proportional to your distance from the other station.
>>
>> Step Twenty Six: When on repeaters using courtesy tones, you should
>> always say "over". Courtesy tones are designed to let everyone know
>> when you have unkeyed but don't let that stop you. Say "over", "back to
>> you" or "go ahead". It serves no useful purpose but don't worry, it's
>> still fun!
>>
>> Step Twenty Seven: Use the repeater's autopatch for frivolous routine
>> calls... especially during morning or evening commute times. While
>> pulling into the neighborhood, call home to let them know you'll be
>> there in two minutes.... or, call your spouse to complain about the bad
>> day you had at work. After all, the club has "measured rate" service on
>> their phone line so they get charged for each autopatch call. Your
>> endeavor is to make so many patches in a year that you cost the club at
>> least $20 in phone bills. That way you'll feel you got your money's
>> worth for your dues!
>>
>> Step Twenty Eight: Never say "My name is ....." It makes you sound
>> human. If at all possible, use one of the following phrases: a) "The
>> personal here is ..." b) "The handle here is..."
>>

>> Step Twenty Nine: Use "73" and "88" incorrectly. Both are already
>> considered plural, but add a "s" to the end anyway. Say "73's" or
>> "88's". Who cares if it means "best regards" and "love and kisses."
>> Better yet, say "seventy thirds"! (By the way, seventy thirds equals
>> about 23.3).
>>
>> Step Thirty: If the repeater is off the air for service, complain about
>> the fact that it was off the air as soon as it's turned back on. Act as
>> though your entire day has been ruined because the repeater wasn't
>> available when you wanted to use it. Even thought you have never paid a
>> penny to help out with the upkeep of it.
>>
>
>

2398. Re: CW isn't preventing the modernization of ham radio. Laziness is.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 00:05:06 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <SAVug.9488$PE1.2020@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8328
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Barry OGrady <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:r5sgb2dkhc2dc0dtk378re5oh83podkugf@4ax.com:

> On 14 Jul 2006 17:07:18 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>Slow Code wrote:
>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>>> news:1152837710.065651.206240@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >
>>
>>> > It's been downhill ever since.
>>>
>>>
>>> I agree with that.
>>>
>>> Whether you like or use CW or not, dumbing down as hurt ham radio.
>>in english please
>>
>>
>>>
>>> SC
>>people that live in glass house whoudl dress in basement
>
> In english please, with punctuation and spacing between quoted and your
> text and proper quoting and spelling.
>
> Barry

ROFL, Sorry. I'm starting to believe laughing at him just encourages him
to make more typo's. We need to stop laughing and pity him instead.

Maybe if he had to learn CW the mental process would help his spelling.

SC

2399. Re: Eliminating CW will just give retards HF, it won't modernize the service.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 00:05:08 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <UAVug.9489$PE1.6391@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8329
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Proof:

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1153074398.607078.121310@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

> it is our right and everybody else right that any restriction to our
> access to public spectrum be "neccisary and proper" (one of 3 clauses
> in the constitution that give the power to regulate the airwave to the
> govet at all) otherwise the 10 reserving all right and power to the
> sates or the people applies modifing that is the thrid place where the
> consititution of US touches on this issue mby making the constitution
> and the TREATIES made the supreme law of the land
>
> according the Govt lost the POWER to impose Morse Code test on the ARS
> unless it can be justified under some other powere of the Constitution
>
> I find it interesting that the ProCode tes crowd has such disrespect
> for that document

2400. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 00:05:11 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <XAVug.9491$PE1.2042@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8330
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

"an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1152984844.086415.203380@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> you are dishonest but most of the CW advocates are

you are a Lazy, but most of the CW haters are

SC

2401. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 00:05:14 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <_AVug.9493$PE1.1406@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8331
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

fmmck@aol.com (Fred McKenzie) wrote in
news:fmmck-1607061316520001@ac9bfd05.ipt.aol.com:

> In article <Xns9801992EDFA46elektrosmdonet@217.22.228.20>, "Alun L.
> Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>> Assuming some weird contrived scenario where I had the equipment to
>> send CW but not phone, it would depend what frequencies it worked on.
>
> I think this is the nature of the premise on which the original post was
> based.
>
> Compare it to a similar situation, where a film camera user is debating
> a digital camera user:
>
> "If you came upon a drowning man, and you had to choose whether to save
> him or photograph his demise, what kind of film would you use?"

Getting rid of CW is like choosing the kind of film.

Ham radio is drowning and the anti-code hams want us to think tossing it
bricks will make it float better. Dumbing things down is never an
improvement.

SC

2402. trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 00:11:01 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153181460.976906.304360@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8332
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

```
Slow Code wrote:
> "Mustafa" <herrbimmler@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in
> news:hoCug.195051$Mn5.27135@pd7tw3no:
>
> > And what in hell has this got to do with selling ham gear? Maybe you
> > should think before you crosspost!
>
>
> You must a be no-coder.
poor troll
```

2403. Re: CW is Laziness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 00:12:42 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153181562.785974.16470@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8333
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:
> Barry OGrady <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:r5sgb2dkhc2dc0dtk378re5oh83podkugf@4ax.com:
>

>
>
> ROFL, Sorry. I'm starting to believe laughing at him just encourages him
> to make more typo's. We need to stop laughing and pity him instead.
insisting on foucing on the stupid stuff shows you lack a real argument
>
> Maybe if he had to learn CW the mental process would help his spelling.
nope tried that just gave me headaches
>
> SC

2404. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 00:14:13 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153181653.650897.179520@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8334
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Slow Code wrote:
> "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:1152984844.086415.203380@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > you are dishonest but most of the CW advocates are
>
>
> you are a Lazy, but most of the CW haters are
better lazy than dishonest
>
> SC
```

2405. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 00:16:10 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153181770.231461.15160@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8335
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:
> fmmck@aol.com (Fred McKenzie) wrote in
> news:fmmck-1607061316520001@ac9bfd05.ipt.aol.com:
>
> > In article <Xns9801992EDFA46elektrosmdonet@217.22.228.20>, "Alun L.
> > Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote:

> > "If you came upon a drowning man, and you had to choose whether to save
> > him or photograph his demise, what kind of film would you use?"
>
>
> Getting rid of CW is like choosing the kind of film.
>
> Ham radio is drowning and the anti-code hams want us to think tossing it
> bricks will make it float better. Dumbing things down is never an
> improvement.
nobody is talking about dummbing anything down

you are
indeed you advocate dummbing down radio and giving hf only to the
unintelgent

>
> SC

2406. Re: Radio Reference Lou Maag is a control freak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 00:24:06 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <hgaob2dakj8mmpngaashp7bu59736fhoat@4ax.com>
Source record: 8336

On 17 Jul 2006 08:31:18 -0700, "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 03:16:43 GMT, "Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Such a coward. Why don't you e-mail me directly instead of on this board?
>>
>> Even emailing you would be cowardly. Why doesn't he say it to your
>> face? Oh, because gutless is a coward. Right.

>Like you usenet trolls are and less cowardlly. Pussies.

So why aren't you here saying it to my face?

2407. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 00:26:07 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <ohaob2lp3u1105521v13d3phdfu8aphn9d@4ax.com>
Source record: 8337
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 11:55:28 -0500, jakdedert
<jakdedert@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>There's a world of difference between technical electronics knowledge
>and ability to decipher code.

Considering that someone with absolutely no knowledge of electronics
can memorize enough to pass the test in about 8 hours, there's no
longer any real test of anything but the ability to memorize.

>FWIW, I don't even have a ham license anymore.

Then, as the courts would say, you have no standing in the matter.

2408. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 00:31:11 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153182670.896804.262380@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8338
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 11:55:28 -0500, jakdedert
> <jakdedert@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>
> >There's a world of difference between technical electronics knowledge
> >and ability to decipher code.
>
> Considering that someone with absolutely no knowledge of electronics
> can memorize enough to pass the test in about 8 hours, there's no
> longer any real test of anything but the ability to memorize.
whta is needed and why?
>
> >FWIW, I don't even have a ham license anymore.
>
> Then, as the courts would say, you have no standing in the matter.

nope he has full standing he is an american that means the FCC is
suposed serve not the ARS but the people of the USA.

the problem with you procoders is that you think the ARS owns the
bandwidth not the poeple of the USA

2409. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 00:40:52 UTC
From: jakdedert <jakdedert@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <06Wug.5006$ly.1271@bignews6.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 8339
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 11:55:28 -0500, jakdedert
> <jakdedert@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>
>> There's a world of difference between technical electronics knowledge
>> and ability to decipher code.
>
> Considering that someone with absolutely no knowledge of electronics
> can memorize enough to pass the test in about 8 hours, there's no
> longer any real test of anything but the ability to memorize.
>
>> FWIW, I don't even have a ham license anymore.
>
> Then, as the courts would say, you have no standing in the matter.
>
Ahh...but I did, once, at 15 years old; and I've picked up a fair amount
of electronics knowledge as well...proving that one has little to do
with the other.

jak

2410. Re: Radio Reference Lou Maag is a control freak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 00:41:55 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153183315.297442.312720@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8340

Al,
You mean dick to mouth don't you. Not face to face. Like it would make
a difference anyway. What are you going to do? Fag slap me, then drop
to your knees and perform?
You're my little puppy!
Al Klein wrote:
> On 17 Jul 2006 08:31:18 -0700, "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
> >> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 03:16:43 GMT, "Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Such a coward. Why don't you e-mail me directly instead of on this board?
> >>
> >> Even emailing you would be cowardly. Why doesn't he say it to your
> >> face? Oh, because gutless is a coward. Right.
>
> >Like you usenet trolls are and less cowardlly. Pussies.
>
> So why aren't you here saying it to my face?

2411. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 00:45:56 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153183556.166041.153660@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8341
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

jakdedert wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 11:55:28 -0500, jakdedert
> > <jakdedert@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> >
> >> There's a world of difference between technical electronics knowledge
> >> and ability to decipher code.
> >
> > Considering that someone with absolutely no knowledge of electronics
> > can memorize enough to pass the test in about 8 hours, there's no
> > longer any real test of anything but the ability to memorize.
> >
> >> FWIW, I don't even have a ham license anymore.
> >
> > Then, as the courts would say, you have no standing in the matter.
> >
> Ahh...but I did, once, at 15 years old; and I've picked up a fair amount
> of electronics knowledge as well...proving that one has little to do
> with the other.
you still do don't let this bozo tell you otherwise (unless you are an
ilgeal alien or something)
>
> jak

2412. Re: CW is Laziness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 00:58:45 UTC
From: Noon-Air <Noon-Air@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <coudnfL3iebaryHZnZ2dnUVZ_rudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

I guess until you stop trying to teach a pig to sing, you will reside in my killfile

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:1153181562.785974.16470@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> Barry OGrady <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:r5sgb2dkhc2dc0dtk378re5oh83podkugf@4ax.com:
>>
>
>>
>>
>> ROFL, Sorry. I'm starting to believe laughing at him just encourages him
>> to make more typo's. We need to stop laughing and pity him instead.
> insisting on foucing on the stupid stuff shows you lack a real argument
>>
>> Maybe if he had to learn CW the mental process would help his spelling.
> nope tried that just gave me headaches
>>
>> SC
>

2413. Re: Radio Reference Lou Maag is a control freak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 01:04:54 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <WsWug.123305$H71.39853@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 8343

Douche Bag,

You're showing us all how weak of an individual you really are.....don't you have any respect for yourself?

"Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:1153183315.297442.312720@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Al,
> You mean dick to mouth don't you. Not face to face. Like it would make
> a difference anyway. What are you going to do? Fag slap me, then drop
> to your knees and perform?
> You're my little puppy!
> Al Klein wrote:
>> On 17 Jul 2006 08:31:18 -0700, "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Al Klein wrote:
>> >> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 03:16:43 GMT, "Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
>> >> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >Such a coward. Why don't you e-mail me directly instead of on this
>> >> >board?
>> >>
>> >> Even emailing you would be cowardly. Why doesn't he say it to your
>> >> face? Oh, because gutless is a coward. Right.
>>
>> >Like you usenet trolls are and less cowardlly. Pussies.
>>
>> So why aren't you here saying it to my face?
>

2414. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 01:28:52 UTC
From: Brian Denley <b.denley@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <L4-dneMy-efFpCHZnZ2dnUVZ_vOdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
>> fmmck@aol.com (Fred McKenzie) wrote in
>> news:fmmck-1607061316520001@ac9bfd05.ipt.aol.com:
>>
>>> In article <Xns9801992EDFA46elektrosmdonet@217.22.228.20>, "Alun L.
>>> Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>> "If you came upon a drowning man, and you had to choose whether to
>>> save him or photograph his demise, what kind of film would you use?"
>>
>>
>> Getting rid of CW is like choosing the kind of film.
>>
>> Ham radio is drowning and the anti-code hams want us to think
>> tossing it bricks will make it float better. Dumbing things down is
>> never an improvement.
> nobody is talking about dummbing anything down
>
> you are
> indeed you advocate dummbing down radio and giving hf only to the
> unintelgent
>
>>
>> SC

Knowing CW is NO indication of any level of intelligence, technical or otherwise!

BTW film is seeing it's last days too. Ask Kodak!

--
Brian Denley
http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html

2415. Re: CW is Laziness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 01:33:49 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153186429.504861.48790@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8345
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Noon-Air wrote:
> I guess until you stop trying to teach a pig to sing, you will reside in my
> killfile
thank you very much

if yonly you mean it

2416. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 01:41:29 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <d%Wug.69228$fb2.26566@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 8346
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

```
Al Klein wrote:
> Considering that someone with absolutely no knowledge of electronics
> can memorize enough to pass the test in about 8 hours, there's no
> longer any real test of anything but the ability to memorize.

The Morse code exam is a test of the ability to memorize.
No knowledge of electronics required.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
```

2417. Re: 30 Steps, Monthly post. Tips for Phonies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 01:48:24 UTC
From: Mustafa <herrbimmler@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <I5Xug.203506$Mn5.157302@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 8347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:MAVug.9484$PE1.1241@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "Mustafa" <herrbimmler@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in
> news:hoCug.195051$Mn5.27135@pd7tw3no:
>
>> And what in hell has this got to do with selling ham gear? Maybe you
>> should think before you crosspost!
>
>
> You must a be no-coder. Well, study these tips and you'll sell more ham
> gear, because it will make you sound like you know what ham radio is.
> Happy to help. Study, Study, Study:

You really must be carefull about making assumptions, it tends to highlight
your ignorance.
I'm a Canadian, have full hf privileges and enjoy code very much.
So what relevance did your original post have in a forsale
group...answer=absolutely none.
Happy to help. Think before you post...help to cut down the spam!

2418. Re: 30 Steps, Monthly post. Tips for Phonies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 01:52:33 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153187553.396892.187000@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8348
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Mustafa wrote:
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:MAVug.9484$PE1.1241@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > "Mustafa" <herrbimmler@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in
> > news:hoCug.195051$Mn5.27135@pd7tw3no:
> >
> >> And what in hell has this got to do with selling ham gear? Maybe you
> >> should think before you crosspost!
> >
> >
> > You must a be no-coder. Well, study these tips and you'll sell more ham
> > gear, because it will make you sound like you know what ham radio is.
> > Happy to help. Study, Study, Study:
>
> You really must be carefull about making assumptions, it tends to highlight
> your ignorance.
> I'm a Canadian, have full hf privileges and enjoy code very much.
> So what relevance did your original post have in a forsale
> group...answer=absolutely none.
he can't think he has proven thatin RRAP manytimes
> Happy to help. Think before you post...help to cut down the spam!

2419. Auction the ham bands to the Highest Bidder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 02:03:59 UTC
From: wirelessinternet802@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1153188239.804347.198390@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8349
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

amateur radio is obsolete and nobody cares about it.

The ham frequencies should be auctioned to the highest bidder!

2420. cw is laziness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 02:15:51 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153188951.201371.252050@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8350
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

wirelessinternet802@hotmail.com wrote:
> amateur radio is obsolete and nobody cares about it.
another of the liars

2421. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 02:20:58 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0003@taring.org>
Message-ID: <44bc458b$0$6144$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 8251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Brian Denley wrote:

> Knowing CW is NO indication of any level of intelligence, technical or
> otherwise!
>
> BTW film is seeing it's last days too. Ask Kodak!
>

"Seen" except for special needs. And those that do special needs will
likely be making millions. There will be about 12 of them that make it,
and thousands that don't, but wish they could.

I know quite a few in the photo biz, and film is, to put it very
bluntly, dead.

tom
K0TAR

2422. Re: Radio Reference Lou Maag is a control freak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 02:21:00 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153189260.023333.181130@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8252

My Frankie,
Control yourself. Stop taking the dick, I mean bait!
Frank wrote:
> Douche Bag,
>
> You're showing us all how weak of an individual you really are.....don't you
> have any respect for yourself?
>
>
> "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1153183315.297442.312720@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > Al,
> > You mean dick to mouth don't you. Not face to face. Like it would make
> > a difference anyway. What are you going to do? Fag slap me, then drop
> > to your knees and perform?
> > You're my little puppy!
> > Al Klein wrote:
> >> On 17 Jul 2006 08:31:18 -0700, "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Al Klein wrote:
> >> >> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 03:16:43 GMT, "Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
> >> >> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >Such a coward. Why don't you e-mail me directly instead of on this
> >> >> >board?
> >> >>
> >> >> Even emailing you would be cowardly. Why doesn't he say it to your
> >> >> face? Oh, because gutless is a coward. Right.
> >>
> >> >Like you usenet trolls are and less cowardlly. Pussies.
> >>
> >> So why aren't you here saying it to my face?
> >

2423. Sussquehanna County Pa Freqs needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 02:46:16 UTC
From: r392 <r392@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <YXXug.4224$D7.1537@trndny03>
Source record: 8253

Moving to the county any freqs worth listening to.
Doug

2424. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 02:46:53 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <1siob2l43ihagn4g26iv1oo4v8voro8s0r@4ax.com>
Source record: 8254
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 17 Jul 2006 12:48:56 -0700, "an old freid to some a nightmare to steve" <kb9rqz__ars@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Of Course I am qualified I am college gard and licese of the ARS
>Hiding no Living here it is lovely county

Want to try that in some human language?

2425. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 02:47:47 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <ptiob2lnnjtt7d395l46sa1htk34f2j8rh@4ax.com>
Source record: 8255
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On 17 Jul 2006 17:14:13 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>better lazy than dishonest

Dishonesty is a personality quirk - laziness is a choice.

2426. Re: Pro 98 scanner question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 02:49:07 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <aviob211g7nv4tk63m913mc2f89p88eabe@4ax.com>
Source record: 8256

On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 19:17:03 -0400, "clfe"
<postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com> wrote:

>I have a question regarding the Pro 98 scanner. The 800 MHz stuff if just
>starting to catch hold around here, and "I" personally don't deal with it
>much. So, I have a question - on the Pro - 98, with some 800 stuff
>programmed in, often I hear a sort of machine gun noise. Other times I hear
>actual signals. The noise is so irritationg though, you tend to take the
>freqs out and not hear anything. I've not used the "Trunking" function on
>the scanner as yet, is that the problem?

Probably. The machine gun noise is probably a trunked system's
control channel. You'll hear the voice traffic on the system if you
program it as a trunked system.

2427. Re: CW is Laziness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 02:51:43 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <a3job2ddt33b53o51vic57vp4qs5kp6r5o@4ax.com>
Source record: 8257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On 17 Jul 2006 17:12:42 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Slow Code wrote:

>> Maybe if he had to learn CW the mental process would help his spelling.

>nope tried that just gave me headaches

Not capable of producing a single coherent sentence. Not capable of
learning 26 letter and 10 digits. And thinking gives you a headache.

Only conclusion is that you don't have enough practice, so stop
thinking entirely before you cause permanent damage.

2428. Re: Eliminating CW will just give retards HF, it won't modernize the service.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 02:53:10 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <67job2hlik3rc0o0bdlpou4p779aga2h7u@4ax.com>
Source record: 8259
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 18 Jul 2006 00:05:08 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
>news:1153074398.607078.121310@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>> according the Govt lost the POWER to impose Morse Code test on the ARS
>> unless it can be justified under some other powere of the Constitution

>> I find it interesting that the ProCode tes crowd has such disrespect
>> for that document

>Proof:

You actually expected him to be able to differentiate between "has the
power to" and "is forced to"?

2429. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 02:54:01 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <a9job2demngd55nfabeaqv5066c94rr6ij@4ax.com>
Source record: 8260
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 18 Jul 2006 00:05:14 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Ham radio is drowning and the anti-code hams want us to think tossing it
>bricks will make it float better. Dumbing things down is never an
>improvement.

It is for those who can't rise to the current level. Like someone we
both know.

2430. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 02:54:24 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153191264.842557.94620@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Al Klein wrote:
> On 17 Jul 2006 17:14:13 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >better lazy than dishonest
>
> Dishonesty is a personality quirk - laziness is a choice.
interesting to see you support dishonesty over laziness

why then should trustwhat you post

2431. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 02:55:24 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <eajob2ptgho0kao6mki8dt952bs9mcn1hd@4ax.com>
Source record: 8262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On 17 Jul 2006 17:16:10 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Slow Code wrote:

>> Ham radio is drowning and the anti-code hams want us to think tossing it
>> bricks will make it float better. Dumbing things down is never an
>> improvement.

>nobody is talking about dummbing anything down

Eliminating a requirement is dumbing things down. But no one would
expect you to be able to understand that.

>you are
>indeed you advocate dummbing down radio and giving hf only to the
>unintelgent

That's YOUR stance - giving HF to those not intelligent enough to
actually learn things.

2432. Re: CW is Laziness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 02:56:13 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153191373.870561.125760@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8261
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Al Klein wrote:
> On 17 Jul 2006 17:12:42 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Slow Code wrote:
>
> >> Maybe if he had to learn CW the mental process would help his spelling.
>
> >nope tried that just gave me headaches
>
> Not capable of producing a single coherent sentence.
lying again
> Not capable of
> learning 26 letter and 10 digits.
in mores no I am not
> And thinking gives you a headache.
and lying again
>
> Only conclusion is that you don't have enough practice, so stop
> thinking entirely before you cause permanent damage.
we have already seen you support dishonesty over all

why trust you postings to be factual

2433. Re: Radio Reference Lou Maag is a control freak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 02:57:03 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <8ejob29cua99rvs7epkpcu7mg5out2l552@4ax.com>
Source record: 8265

On 17 Jul 2006 17:41:55 -0700, "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>You mean dick to mouth don't you. Not face to face.

Sure. Come on over here and tell it to me any way you like.

>What are you going to do?

Depends. Why don't you find out?

2434. Re: Radio Reference Lou Maag is a control freak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 02:57:39 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <D6Yug.69281$fb2.45726@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 8263

You still won't e-mail me personally......do you hide from everybody?

"Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1153189260.023333.181130@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> My Frankie,
> Control yourself. Stop taking the dick, I mean bait!
> Frank wrote:
>> Douche Bag,
>>
>> You're showing us all how weak of an individual you really are.....don't
>> you
>> have any respect for yourself?
>>
>>
>> "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1153183315.297442.312720@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> > Al,
>> > You mean dick to mouth don't you. Not face to face. Like it would make
>> > a difference anyway. What are you going to do? Fag slap me, then drop
>> > to your knees and perform?
>> > You're my little puppy!
>> > Al Klein wrote:
>> >> On 17 Jul 2006 08:31:18 -0700, "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
>> >> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >Al Klein wrote:
>> >> >> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 03:16:43 GMT, "Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
>> >> >> wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >Such a coward. Why don't you e-mail me directly instead of on this
>> >> >> >board?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Even emailing you would be cowardly. Why doesn't he say it to your
>> >> >> face? Oh, because gutless is a coward. Right.
>> >> >>
>> >> >Like you usenet trolls are and less cowardlly. Pussies.
>> >> >>
>> >> So why aren't you here saying it to my face?
>> >> >
>> >
>

2435. Re: Eliminating CW is just the lying of those afraid of change

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 02:58:07 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153191487.202998.224030@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8264
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On Tue, 18 Jul 2006 00:05:08 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
> >news:1153074398.607078.121310@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> >> according the Govt lost the POWER to impose Morse Code test on the ARS
> >> unless it can be justified under some other powere of the Constitution
>
> >> I find it interesting that the ProCode tes crowd has such disrespect
> >> for that document
>
> >Proof:
>
> You actually expected him to be able to differentiate between "has the
> power to" and "is forced to"?
the Govt lacks the power to test anymore if chalanced it it only had
the power while it was forced by the treaty

2436. Re: Radio Reference Lou Maag is a control freak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 02:58:14 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <kgjob2l8aeiupd814o60divc5t2eet2fsp@4ax.com>
Source record: 8266

On Tue, 18 Jul 2006 01:04:54 GMT, "Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>Douche Bag,

>You're showing us all how weak of an individual you really are.....don't you
>have any respect for yourself?

Is THAT why he's down on his knees? He's looking for his
self-respect? I thought he had another motive.

2437. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 02:59:28 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <gijob2paistn335sjmffjab7mv493cmm3g@4ax.com>
Source record: 8268
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 19:40:52 -0500, jakdedert
<jakdedert@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:

>> Then, as the courts would say, you have no standing in the matter.

>Ahh...but I did, once

But you don't now, and it's now now, it's not once.

>proving that one has little to do with the other.

And that you have little to do with this conversation.

2438. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 03:00:37 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153191637.682724.240470@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8267
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Al Klein wrote:
> On 17 Jul 2006 17:16:10 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Slow Code wrote:
>
> >> Ham radio is drowning and the anti-code hams want us to think tossing it
> >> bricks will make it float better. Dumbing things down is never an
> >> improvement.
>
> >nobody is talking about dummbing anything down
>
> Eliminating a requirement is dumbing things down.
bullshit
> But no one would
> expect you to be able to understand that.
you mean be fooled by that lie
>
> >you are
> >indeed you advocate dummbing down radio and giving hf only to the
> >unintelgent
>
> That's YOUR stance - giving HF to those not intelligent enough to
> actually learn things.
nope
I want to give it those that can show the brains to ass a written
idealy an improved written test

you want to keep a frat house game in place

but you favor dishonesty

2439. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 03:01:31 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <nkjob2p7s19nelh20j556mgpsne6vef30n@4ax.com>
Source record: 8269
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 18 Jul 2006 01:41:29 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> Considering that someone with absolutely no knowledge of electronics
>> can memorize enough to pass the test in about 8 hours, there's no
>> longer any real test of anything but the ability to memorize.

>The Morse code exam is a test of the ability to memorize.
>No knowledge of electronics required.

For most people, he written test is also a test of the ability to
memorize. Just show most hams licensed in the past 10 years a
schematic and ask them to find a component by function. "Knowledge of
electronics"? It would be funny if it weren't so sad.

2440. Re: Auction the ham bands to the Highest Bidder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 03:02:16 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <uojob2d4q702j1l105la6f1sehafq8sefp@4ax.com>
Source record: 8271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 17 Jul 2006 19:03:59 -0700, wirelessinternet802@hotmail.com wrote:

>amateur radio is obsolete and nobody cares about it.

>The ham frequencies should be auctioned to the highest bidder!

So should you. But I doubt there'd be any bidders.

2441. Re: Auction the ham bands to the Highest Bidder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 03:03:27 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <e9hitj$b51$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 8270
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

<wirelessinternet802@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1153188203.320942.196200@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> amateur radio is obsolete and nobody cares about it.
>
> The ham frequencies should be auctioned to the highest bidder!

Who do you propose would buy the bands? The only ones even remotely commercially viable are 70cm and above, and those are such small segments that they wouldn't be all that viable either. The bands 220 and below are not viable at all commercially because of the size of antenna needed. HF? Forget it!. Maybe give it to the pirates to play with.. that would be the only thing that could be done with it. I say leave it as is.

2442. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 03:04:45 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153191885.904827.254100@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8272
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 17 Jul 2006 12:48:56 -0700, "an old freid to some a nightmare to
> steve" <kb9rqz__ars@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Of Course I am qualified I am college gard and licese of the ARS
> >Hiding no Living here it is lovely county
>
> Want to try that in some human language?
why can't you read it as is

soI gues you are lazy and dishonest both

2443. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 03:12:08 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153192328.628332.204860@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8273
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On Tue, 18 Jul 2006 01:41:29 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
> >> Considering that someone with absolutely no knowledge of electronics
> >> can memorize enough to pass the test in about 8 hours, there's no
> >> longer any real test of anything but the ability to memorize.
>
> >The Morse code exam is a test of the ability to memorize.
> >No knowledge of electronics required.
>
> For most people, he written test is also a test of the ability to
> memorize.
when did the test aquire gender
> Just show most hams licensed in the past 10 years a
> schematic and ask them to find a component by function.
I can even my wife who frankly does not the why ofof it can tel the
component
> "Knowledge of
> electronics"? It would be funny if it weren't so sad.
if you are that depressed about give it up

go fishing but please trying to killthe ARS with your bile

2444. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 03:14:05 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1mYug.69288$fb2.61157@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 8274
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> For most people, he written test is also a test of the ability to
> memorize. Just show most hams licensed in the past 10 years a
> schematic and ask them to find a component by function. "Knowledge of
> electronics"? It would be funny if it weren't so sad.

In 1953, as a sophomore in high school, I didn't know any
electronics and was therefore forced to memorize the ARRL
License Manual in order to get my Conditional license.
People like me have been memorizing License Manuals for
more than half a century.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2445. Re: Radio Reference Lou Maag is a control freak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 03:20:34 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <6sYug.69290$fb2.64896@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 8275

Is that the best comeback you can come up with?

"Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1153189260.023333.181130@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> My Frankie,
> Control yourself. Stop taking the dick, I mean bait!
> Frank wrote:
>> Douche Bag,
>>
>> You're showing us all how weak of an individual you really are.....don't
>> you
>> have any respect for yourself?
>>
>>
>> "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1153183315.297442.312720@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> > Al,
>> > You mean dick to mouth don't you. Not face to face. Like it would make
>> > a difference anyway. What are you going to do? Fag slap me, then drop
>> > to your knees and perform?
>> > You're my little puppy!
>> > Al Klein wrote:
>> >> On 17 Jul 2006 08:31:18 -0700, "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
>> >> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >Al Klein wrote:
>> >> >> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 03:16:43 GMT, "Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
>> >> >> wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >Such a coward. Why don't you e-mail me directly instead of on this
>> >> >> >board?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Even emailing you would be cowardly. Why doesn't he say it to your
>> >> >> face? Oh, because gutless is a coward. Right.
>> >> >
>> >> >Like you usenet trolls are and less cowardlly. Pussies.
>> >>
>> >> So why aren't you here saying it to my face?
>> >
>>

2446. Re: Radio Reference Lou Maag is a control freak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 03:33:33 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153193613.763971.87870@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8276

Frankie, Al Jew nose,
Get a life, stop trolling. Drop down on each other. Release your
frustrations. Let it go. Explode in each others mouths! Swallow. You
will feel free of your burdens and learn the true meaning of your life
senseless lives. Don't delay. Free yourself now. I'm gone. You bore me!
Lou.
Frank wrote:
> Is that the best comeback you can come up with?
>
>
> "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1153189260.023333.181130@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > My Frankie,
> > Control yourself. Stop taking the dick, I mean bait!
> > Frank wrote:
> >> Douche Bag,
> >>
> >> You're showing us all how weak of an individual you really are.....don't
> >> you
> >> have any respect for yourself?
> >>
> >>
> >> "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1153183315.297442.312720@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >> > Al,
> >> > You mean dick to mouth don't you. Not face to face. Like it would make
> >> > a difference anyway. What are you going to do? Fag slap me, then drop
> >> > to your knees and perform?
> >> > You're my little puppy!
> >> > Al Klein wrote:
> >> >> On 17 Jul 2006 08:31:18 -0700, "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
> >> >> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >Al Klein wrote:
> >> >> >> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 03:16:43 GMT, "Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
> >> >> >> wrote:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >Such a coward. Why don't you e-mail me directly instead of on this
> >> >> >> >board?
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Even emailing you would be cowardly. Why doesn't he say it to your
> >> >> >> face? Oh, because gutless is a coward. Right.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >Like you usenet trolls are and less cowardlly. Pussies.

> >> >>
> >> >> So why aren't you here saying it to my face?
> >> >
> >

2447. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 03:40:20 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153194019.945604.76570@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8277
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Cecil Moore wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > For most people, he written test is also a test of the ability to
> > memorize. Just show most hams licensed in the past 10 years a
> > schematic and ask them to find a component by function. "Knowledge of
> > electronics"? It would be funny if it weren't so sad.
>
> In 1953, as a sophomore in high school, I didn't know any
> electronics and was therefore forced to memorize the ARRL
> License Manual in order to get my Conditional license.
> People like me have been memorizing License Manuals for
> more than half a century.
at the risk of seeming foolish but the answer will make a point here I
think tyour license did PRECEED your becoming an EE didn't it, by some
many years
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2448. Re: Radio Reference Lou Maag is a control freak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 03:45:51 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <PPYug.69295$fb2.47914@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 8278

Yeah, and you never had the guts to e-mail me. You have my e-mail address. So you hate your life and coming on here anonymously makes you feel real tough, huh? The internet is full of cowards like you. It's easy. My advise to you, start showing some courage. You will need it if you plan to get through the difficult parts of life.

I'm done waisting my time with you......

"Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1153193613.763971.87870@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Frankie, Al Jew nose,
> Get a life, stop trolling. Drop down on each other. Release your
> frustrations. Let it go. Explode in each others mouths! Swallow. You
> will feel free of your burdens and learn the true meaning of your life
> senseless lives. Don't delay. Free yourself now. I'm gone. You bore me!
> Lou.
> Frank wrote:
>> Is that the best comeback you can come up with?
>>
>>
>> "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1153189260.023333.181130@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> > My Frankie,
>> > Control yourself. Stop taking the dick, I mean bait!
>> > Frank wrote:
>> >> Douche Bag,
>> >>
>> >> You're showing us all how weak of an individual you really
>> >> are.....don't
>> >> you
>> >> have any respect for yourself?
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1153183315.297442.312720@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> >> > Al,
>> >> > You mean dick to mouth don't you. Not face to face. Like it would
>> >> > make
>> >> > a difference anyway. What are you going to do? Fag slap me, then
>> >> > drop
>> >> > to your knees and perform?
>> >> > You're my little puppy!
>> >> > Al Klein wrote:
>> >> >> On 17 Jul 2006 08:31:18 -0700, "Douche Bag"
>> >> >> <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>

>> >> >> wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >Al Klein wrote:
>> >> >> >> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 03:16:43 GMT, "Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
>> >> >> >> wrote:
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >Such a coward. Why don't you e-mail me directly instead of on
>> >> >> >> >this
>> >> >> >> >board?
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Even emailing you would be cowardly. Why doesn't he say it to
>> >> >> >> your
>> >> >> >> face? Oh, because gutless is a coward. Right.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >Like you usenet trolls are and less cowardlly. Pussies.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> So why aren't you here saying it to my face?
>> >> >> >
>> >> >
>> >
>

2449. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 03:48:16 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12bomg19hdotvfa@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 8279
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Cecil Moore wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
>
>> Considering that someone with absolutely no knowledge of electronics
>> can memorize enough to pass the test in about 8 hours, there's no
>> longer any real test of anything but the ability to memorize.
>
>
> The Morse code exam is a test of the ability to memorize.
> No knowledge of electronics required.

Rules and regulations are just an exercise in memorization also. They require no knowledge of electronics either.

The CW text requirement is like the requirement for a foreign language requirement for some college degrees, it rounds out the amateur skills.

Dave WD9BDZ

2450. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 04:02:11 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153195331.269986.118500@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8280
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

David G. Nagel wrote:
> Cecil Moore wrote:
> > Al Klein wrote:
> >
> >> Considering that someone with absolutely no knowledge of electronics
> >> can memorize enough to pass the test in about 8 hours, there's no
> >> longer any real test of anything but the ability to memorize.
> >
> >
> > The Morse code exam is a test of the ability to memorize.
> > No knowledge of electronics required.
>
>
> Rules and regulations are just an exercise in memorization also. They
> require no knowledge of electronics either.
>
> The CW text requirement is like the requirement for a foreign language
> requirement for some college degrees, it rounds out the amateur skills.
an improvement over the drivel most of the procoders are posting
although the analogy streches a bit if I ask what college in the wolrd
has a requirement for one foreign lang and only one

did you submit it in your coments to the FCC?

all in all not bad
>
> Dave WD9BDZ

2451. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 04:49:08 UTC
From: m II <none@telus.net>
Message-ID: <8LZug.141896$771.8470@edtnps89>
Source record: 8281
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Al Klein wrote:

> Dishonesty is a personality quirk - laziness is a choice.

Politicians excepted, where just the opposite is true.

mike

2452. Re: Auction the ham bands to the Highest Bidder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 05:36:51 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <44BC7351.E7C085EB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 8282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
>
> On 17 Jul 2006 19:03:59 -0700, wirelessinternet802@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >amateur radio is obsolete and nobody cares about it.
>
> >The ham frequencies should be auctioned to the highest bidder!
>
> So should you. But I doubt there'd be any bidders.

Rendering plants are always looking for fat to make soap.

--
Service to my country? Been there, Done that, and I've got my DD214 to
prove it.
Member of DAV #85.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

2453. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 11:16:00 UTC
From: billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153221360.818008.213870@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8283
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an Old friend wrote:
> peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> get help sicko

Spam, you illiterate bastard.

2454. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 11:16:58 UTC
From: billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153221418.182325.152680@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8284
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Al Klein wrote:
> On 17 Jul 2006 12:48:56 -0700, "an old freid to some a nightmare to
> steve" <kb9rqz__ars@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Of Course I am qualified I am college gard and licese of the ARS
> >Hiding no Living here it is lovely county
>
> Want to try that in some human language?

He can't, Markie is subhuman bordering on protohuman.
```

2455. Re: Email this to your Senators and Congressmen. make the FCC do the right thing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 11:19:39 UTC
From: billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153221579.004074.167000@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8285
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old freind wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > On 17 Jul 2006 12:48:56 -0700, "an old freid to some a nightmare to
> > steve" <kb9rqz__ars@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > >Of Course I am qualified I am college gard and licese of the ARS
> > >Hiding no Living here it is lovely county
> >
> > Want to try that in some human language?
> why can't you read it as is

Because you are an illiterate imbecile.

> soI gues you are lazy and dishonest both

No, stupid, He's saying you can't write.

2456. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 11:35:22 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153222522.749081.73400@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8286
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> get help sicko.

You're the one who needs that.

2457. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 11:54:31 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <XZ3vg.50180$VE1.34983@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 8287
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

an old freind wrote:
> Cecil Moore wrote:
>> In 1953, as a sophomore in high school, I didn't know any
>> electronics and was therefore forced to memorize the ARRL
>> License Manual in order to get my Conditional license.
>> People like me have been memorizing License Manuals for
>> more than half a century.

> at the risk of seeming foolish but the answer will make a point here I
> think your license did PRECEED your becoming an EE didn't it, by some
> many years

My amateur radio license, obtaining by memorizing the ARRL
License Manual in 1952-1953, was the catalyst that caused
me to seek and obtain a EE degree later in 1959.

The point is that an amateur radio license is a learner's
permit to exercise certain privileges during a lifetime
of learning. It is a permit, not a graduation certificate.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2458. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 12:00:09 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <d34vg.50183$VE1.15374@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 8288
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

David G. Nagel wrote:
> The CW text requirement is like the requirement for a foreign language
> requirement for some college degrees, ...

I carefully avoided any foreign language
requirement for my BS EE.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2459. Re: Auction the ham bands to the Highest Bidder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 12:09:43 UTC
From: Noon-Air <Noon-Air@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <LIOdnUrdBNMUUiHZnZ2dnUVZ_oednZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8289
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

nice troll.... welcome to my killfile

PLONK

<wirelessinternet802@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1153188203.320942.196200@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> amateur radio is obsolete and nobody cares about it.
>
> The ham frequencies should be auctioned to the highest bidder!
>

2460. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 13:33:50 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <bt2dnWeIDJGhfiHZnZ2dnUVZ_v6dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8290
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Brian Denley wrote:

SNIPPED
>
> BTW film is seeing it's last days too. Ask Kodak!

I use a digital for my family memories type shooting.

I use FUJI roll film in 120 size for my serious MF work. It is either Fuji VELVIA for transparencies or NPH for formal portraits.

In either case, digital or film, they have nothing to do with ham radio in general or CW in particular.

CW is!

2461. Re: 30 Steps, Monthy post. Tips for Phonies. Should be : a guide to 2M repeaters.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 15:05:58 UTC
From: EchoBlip <EB@ruckmail.com>
Message-ID: <qN6vg.173864$F_3.166022@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 8291
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I love it.
This IS what 2 meter repeater operation has been like for years.
But cell phones are cutting down on traffic except for the die hards.
In fact, 2M repeater operation is getting back to being respectable.

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:ixAug.5337$vO.3132@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> The 30 Steps below will help all New Hams, it will also help you to find
> others just like yourself. These 30 steps should also be added to the
> present writtens.
>
>
> Step One: Use as many "Q" signals as possible. Yes, I know they were
> invented solely for CW and are totally inappropriate for two meter FM, but
> they are fun and entertaining. They keep people guessing as to what you
> really meant. I.E. "I'm going to QSY to the phone." Can you really change
> frequencies to the phone? QSL used to mean, "I am acknowledging receipt",
> but now it appears to mean, "yes" or "OK". I guess I missed it when the
> ARRL changed the meaning. It is also best to use "OK" and "QSL" together.
> Redundancy is the better part of Lid-dom.
>
> Step Two: Never laugh when you can say "HI HI". No one will ever know you
> aren't a long time CW rag-chewer if you don't tell them. They'll think
> you've been on since the days of Marconi.
>
> Step Three: Utilize an alternative vocabulary. Use words like "destinated"
> and "negatory". It's OK to make up your own words here. I.E. "Yeah Tom, I
> "pheelbart zaphonix" occasionally myself."
>
> Step Four: Always say "XX4XXX" (Insert your own call) "for I.D." As
> mentioned in Step One, anything that creates redundancy is always
> encouraged. That's why we have the Department of Redundancy Department.
> (Please note that you can follow your call with "for identification
> purposes" instead of "for I.D." While taking longer to say, it is worth
> more "LID points".
>
> Step Five: The better the copy on two meter FM, the more you should use
> phonetics. Names should be especially used if they are short or common
> ones. I.E. "My name is Al... Alpha Lima" or "Jack.. Juliet Alpha Charlie
> Kilo." If at all possible use the less common HF phonetics "A4SM...
> America, Number Four, Sugar Mexico." And for maximum "LID points", make up
> unintelligible phonetics. "My name is Bob... Billibong Oregano

> Bumperpool."
>
> Step Six: Always give the calls of yourself and everyone who is (or has
> been) in the group, whether they are still there or not. While this has
> been unnecessary for years, it is still a great memory test. You may also
> use "and the group" if you are an "old timer" or just have a bad memory.
> Extra points for saying everyone's call and then clearing in a silly way
> "K2PKK, Chow, Chow."
>
> Step Seven: Whenever possible, use the wrong terminology. It keeps people
> guessing. Use "modulation" when you mean "deviation", and vice-versa.
>
> Step Eight: If someone asks for a break, always finish your turn, taking
> as long as possible before turning it over. Whenever possible, pass it
> around a few times first. This will discourage the breaker, and if it is
> an emergency, encourage him to switch to another repeater and not bother
> you.
>
> Step Nine: Always ask involved questions of the person who is trying to
> sign out. Never let him get by with just a "yes" or "no" answer. Make it a
> question that will take him a long time to answer.
>
> Step Ten: The less you know on a subject, the more you should speculate
> about it in the roundtable. Also the amount of time you spend on the
> subject should be inversely proportionate to your knowledge of the subject
> even though you have no damn clue.
>
> Step Eleven: Always make sure you try to communicate with only a handheld
> and a rubber duck antenna. Also, make sure you work through a repeater
> that you can hear very well, but it cannot hear you. This will put out a
> kind of "LID mating call": "Well, Joe, I can hear the repeater just fine
> here. I wonder why it can't hear me?" You will score maximum LID points if
> you are mobile, and with the radio lying in the passenger seat.
>
> Step Twelve: If you hear two amateurs start a conversation, wait until
> they are twenty seconds into their contact, and then break in to make a
> call, or better yet to use the auto-patch. Make sure you keep the repeater
> tied up for at least three minutes. This way, once the two have
> re-established contact, they won't even remember what they were talking
> about.
>
> Step Thirteen: You hear someone on the repeater giving directions to a
> visiting amateur. Even if the directions are good, make sure you break in
> with your own "alternate route but better way to get there" version. This
> is most effective with several other "would-be LIDs", each giving a
> different route. By the time the visiting amateur unscrambles all the
> street names whizzing by in his mind, he should have moved out of the
> range of the repeater. This keeps you from having to stick around to help
> the guy get back out of town, later.
>

> Step Fourteen: If an annoying station is bothering you, make sure your
> other "LID" buddies have a "coded" frequency list. Even though "CODES" are
> strictly forbidden on Amateur Radio, it's really neat to practice "James
> Bond" tactics.
>
> Step Fifteen: Always use the National Calling Frequency for general
> conversations. The more uninteresting, the longer you should use it. Extra
> points are awarded if you have recently move from an adjacent frequency
> for no reason. Make sure when DX is "rolling" in on 52.525 that you hang
> out there and talk to your friends five miles down the road about the good
> old CB days!
>
> Step Sixteen: Make sure that if you have a personal problem with someone,
> you should voice your opinion in a public forum, especially a net. Make
> sure you give their name, call, and any other identifying remarks. For
> maximum points, make sure the person in question is not on the repeater,
> or not available.
>
> Step Seventeen: Make sure you say the first few words of each transmission
> twice, especially if it is the same thing each time. Like "roger, roger"
> or "fine business, fine business". I cannot stress enough about
> encouraging redundancy.
>
> Step Eighteen: If you hear a conversation on a local repeater, break in
> and ask how each station is receiving you. Of course they will only see
> the signal of the repeater you are using, but it's that magic moment when
> you can find a fellow "LID", and get the report. Extra points are awarded
> if you are using a base station, and the repeater is less than twenty-five
> air miles from you.
>
> Step Nineteen: Use the repeater for an hour or two at a time, preventing
> others from using it. Better yet, do it on a daily basis. Your quest is to
> make people so sick of hearing your voice every time they turn on their
> radio, they'll move to another frequency. This way you'll lighten the load
> on the repeater, leaving even more time for you to talk on it.
>
> Step Twenty: See just how much flutter you can generate by operating at
> handheld power levels too far away from the repeater. Engage people in
> conversations when you know they wont be able to copy half of what your
> saying. Even when they say your uncopyable, continue to string them along
> by making further transmissions. See just how frustrated you can make the
> other amateur before he finally signs off in disgust.
>
> Step Twenty One: Use lots of radio jargon. After all, it makes you feel
> important using words ordinary people don't say. Who cares if it makes you
> sound like you just fell off Channel 19 on the citizen's Band? Use phrases
> such as "Roger on that", "10-4", "I'm on the side", "Your making the trip"
> and "Negatory on that".
>
> Step Twenty Two: Use excessive microphone gain. See just how loud you can

> make your audio. Make sure the audio gain is so high that other amateurs
> can hear any bugs crawling on your floor. If mobile, make sure the wind
> noise is loud enough that others have to strain to pick your words out
> from all the racket.
>
> Step Twenty Three: Start every transmission with the word "Roger" or
> "QSL". Sure, you don't need to acknowledge that you received the other
> transmission in full. After all, you would simply ask for a repeat if you
> missed something. But consider it your gift to the other amateur to give
> him solace every few seconds that his transmissions are being received.
>
> Step Twenty Four: When looking for a contact on a repeater, always say
> your "listening" or "monitoring" multiple times. I've always found that at
> least a half dozen times or so is good. Repeating your multiple
> "listening" ID's every 10 to 15 seconds is even better. Those people who
> didn't want to talk to you will eventually call you, hoping you'll go away
> after you have finally made a contact.
>
> Step Twenty Five: Always use a repeater, even if you can work the other
> station easily on simplex ... especially if you can make the contact on
> simplex. The coverage of the repeater you use should be inversely
> proportional to your distance from the other station.
>
> Step Twenty Six: When on repeaters using courtesy tones, you should always
> say "over". Courtesy tones are designed to let everyone know when you have
> unkeyed but don't let that stop you. Say "over", "back to you" or "go
> ahead". It serves no useful purpose but don't worry, it's still fun!
>
> Step Twenty Seven: Use the repeater's autopatch for frivolous routine
> calls... especially during morning or evening commute times. While pulling
> into the neighborhood, call home to let them know you'll be there in two
> minutes.... or, call your spouse to complain about the bad day you had at
> work. After all, the club has "measured rate" service on their phone line
> so they get charged for each autopatch call. Your endeavor is to make so
> many patches in a year that you cost the club at least $20 in phone bills.
> That way you'll feel you got your money's worth for your dues!
>
> Step Twenty Eight: Never say "My name is ....." It makes you sound human.
> If at all possible, use one of the following phrases: a) "The personal
> here is ..." b) "The handle here is..."
>
> Step Twenty Nine: Use "73" and "88" incorrectly. Both are already
> considered plural, but add a "s" to the end anyway. Say "73's" or "88's".
> Who cares if it means "best regards" and "love and kisses." Better yet,
> say "seventy thirds"! (By the way, seventy thirds equals about 23.3).
>
> Step Thirty: If the repeater is off the air for service, complain about
> the fact that it was off the air as soon as it's turned back on. Act as
> though your entire day has been ruined because the repeater wasn't
> available when you wanted to use it. Even thought you have never paid a

> penny to help out with the upkeep of it.
>

2462. Re: 30 Steps, Monthy post. Tips for Phonies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 16:54:23 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <uo8vg.2467$_j.2339@fe05.lga>
Source record: 8292

"Evan Platt" <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote in message
news:fr7nb2hmhhtsgnvi4h9tgtdqdbm53be5i9@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 02:14:37 GMT, "Mustafa" <herrbimmler@yahoo.co.uk>
> wrote:
> So did you need to crospost it AGAIN AND repost the entire 187 lines
> to tell him crosposting is bad?

Evan, I think he was trying to show he's adept at using "redundancy" like SC
was talking about. :)

BH

2463. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 17:58:21 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153245501.151177.173950@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8293
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko

2464. Re: Auction the ham bands to the Highest Bidder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 19:10:22 UTC
From: Bernie the Bignose <jewsmakepornos@yahoosblows.com>
Message-ID: <2cc3f$44bd321a$d1cc5727$8018@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 8294
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

<wirelessinternet802@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1153188203.320942.196200@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> amateur radio is obsolete and nobody cares about it.
>
> The ham frequencies should be auctioned to the highest bidder!

.....but what if nobody bids ?

2465. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 21:01:07 UTC
From: Koikus <kirk74601@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1153256467.794008.257210@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8295
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

> I want to give it those that can show the brains to ass a written
> idealy an improved written test
>
> you want to keep a frat house game in place
>
> but you favor dishonesty

. -.. --- -. - --. . - .. - . .. - .... . .-.

2466. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 21:05:48 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153256748.614498.281430@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8296
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> get help sicko

<sarcasm>

Markie, you are sure the conversationalist.

</sarcasm>

2467. Re: 30 Steps, Monthy post. Tips for Phonies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 21:08:51 UTC
From: Gary Simms <gsimms@one-eleven.net>
Message-ID: <e9jikt$5pd$1@news04.infoave.net>
Source record: 8297
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Gee, why is amateur radio dying out?

2468. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 21:38:07 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <45lqb2569ph5f3vnpqhm287chma94billt@4ax.com>
Source record: 8298
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On 18 Jul 2006 14:01:07 -0700, "Koikus" <kirk74601@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>> I want to give it those that can show the brains to ass a written
>> idealy an improved written test
>>
>> you want to keep a frat house game in place
>>
>> but you favor dishonesty
>
>. -.. --- -. - --. . - .. - . .. - .... . .-.

Neither does anyone else, once you destroy the attributions.

2469. Re: Radio Reference Lou Maag is a control freak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 21:39:20 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <g7lqb257jd82e08b217hkemmkgivbcgtha@4ax.com>
Source record: 8299

On 17 Jul 2006 20:33:33 -0700, "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Get a life, stop trolling. Drop down on each other. Release your
>frustrations. Let it go. Explode in each others mouths! Swallow.

And take all the pleasure away from you?

2470. Re: Radio Reference Lou Maag is a control freak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 21:40:05 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <p8lqb2dsb6la0l0e2ifcqmbva96odadclc@4ax.com>
Source record: 8300

On Tue, 18 Jul 2006 03:45:51 GMT, "Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>My advise to you, start showing some courage.

He'll have to steal some first.

2471. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 21:44:59 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <falqb2t0c415um4tc07cdclfam0ncb4e7m@4ax.com>
Source record: 8151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On 17 Jul 2006 20:12:08 -0700, "an old feind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:

>> Just show most hams licensed in the past 10 years a
>> schematic and ask them to find a component by function.

>I can even my wife who frankly does not the why ofof it can tel the
>component

I said "by function". Not "locate the resistor", but "locate the
balanced modulator circuitry".

You used to have to draw a few schematics on blank paper - no hints.
Now you have to be able to identify a resistor. Big deal - that
should take all of 3 seconds to memorize. Memorizing which side of
the heart sends out the oxygenated blood doesn't make you a cardiac
surgeon.

2472. Re: Auction the ham bands to the Highest Bidder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 21:47:19 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <sllqb2h7d4cgo6r5l9m02eb7kot17albrr@4ax.com>
Source record: 8152
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 18 Jul 2006 12:03:27 +0900, "Brenda Ann"
<brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:

>The bands 220 and below are not viable at all commercially because of the size of antenna needed.

Don't forget, UPS (or was it FedEx) wanted part of 220.

2473. Re: 30 Steps, Monthy post. Tips for Phonies. Should be : a guide to 2M repeaters.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 21:49:20 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <nplqb2d24h20ksek433uk6f4fspq34d4er@4ax.com>
Source record: 8153
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 18 Jul 2006 15:05:58 GMT, "EchoBlip" <EB@ruckmail.com> wrote:

>In fact, 2M repeater operation is getting back to being respectable.

Except for those who could time out even a simplex frequency (or a
newsgroup).

<massive snippage>

2474. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 21:55:27 UTC
From: an old freind <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153259727.736159.291410@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8154
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > get help sicko
>
> <sarcasm>
>
> Markie, you are sure the conversationalist.
>
> </sarcasm>
I don't conservse with sicko that tlak abotuchild rape all the time
get help sicko

2475. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 22:04:01 UTC
From: an old freind <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153260241.240723.217480@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8155
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On 17 Jul 2006 20:12:08 -0700, "an old feind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
>
> >> Just show most hams licensed in the past 10 years a
> >> schematic and ask them to find a component by function.
>
> >I can even my wife who frankly does not the why ofof it can tel the
> >component
>
> I said "by function". Not "locate the resistor", but "locate the
> balanced modulator circuitry".
you were vague not my fault you can't express yourself
>
> You used to have to draw a few schematics on blank paper - no hints.
so? you used to have as purely pacitcal matter build at at least some
of your station
> Now you have to be able to identify a resistor. Big deal - that
> should take all of 3 seconds to memorize. Memorizing which side of
> the heart sends out the oxygenated blood doesn't make you a cardiac
> surgeon.
nor is a EE needed to be ham and contrube to advancing the state of the
radio art

the tests needed to cover those things THEN

THEN they more os less needed to inculde Morse code (lathough it could
have been avoided but for the treaty)

times change

adapt or die

2476. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 22:07:01 UTC
From: an old freind <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153260421.724125.141020@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8156
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

a thread related not

I thought Id mention that one of the things that was overlooked in the
Titantic disccusion is the CW was not invovled it was spark gap used in
that Morse encoded spark

2477. the real reason the ARS teters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 22:14:19 UTC
From: an old freind <upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153260859.699235.266190@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8157
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Gary Simms wrote:
> Gee, why is amateur radio dying out?
becuase the socalled better have welched on what they owe those that
came before them

2478. Re: the real reason the ARS teters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-18 23:22:16 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <n8rqb25ahov5p7ce7kbd80d2dedeefena9@4ax.com>
Source record: 8158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On 18 Jul 2006 15:14:19 -0700, "an old freind"
<upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Gary Simms wrote:
>> Gee, why is amateur radio dying out?
>becuase the socalled better have welched on what they owe those that
>came before them
>
Because hams tend to be massive bungs?

2479. Re: 30 Steps, Monthly post. Tips for Phonies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 00:25:39 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <7_evg.1787$157.693@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Mustafa" <herrbimmler@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in
news:I5Xug.203506$Mn5.157302@pd7tw3no:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:MAVug.9484$PE1.1241@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> "Mustafa" <herrbimmler@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in
>> news:hoCug.195051$Mn5.27135@pd7tw3no:
>>
>>> And what in hell has this got to do with selling ham gear? Maybe you
>>> should think before you crosspost!
>>
>>
>> You must a be no-coder. Well, study these tips and you'll sell more
>> ham gear, because it will make you sound like you know what ham radio
>> is. Happy to help. Study, Study, Study:
>
> You really must be carefull about making assumptions, it tends to
> highlight your ignorance.
> I'm a Canadian, have full hf privileges and enjoy code very much.
> So what relevance did your original post have in a forsale
> group...answer=absolutely none.
> Happy to help. Think before you post...help to cut down the spam!

Sorry.

Didn't know you were KKKanadian.

My condolences.

SC

2480. Re: Auction the ham bands to the Highest Bidder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 00:25:43 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <b_evg.1789$157.1505@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8160
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

wirelessinternet802@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1153188203.320942.196200@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> amateur radio is obsolete and nobody cares about it.
>
> The ham frequencies should be auctioned to the highest bidder!

Sorry, CB'ers already got dibs on the bands after CW goes away.

SC

2481. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 00:25:48 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <g_evg.1792$157.750@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8161
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

"Koikus" <kirk74601@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
news:1153256467.794008.257210@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:

>
>
>> I want to give it those that can show the brains to ass a written
>> idealy an improved written test
>>
>> you want to keep a frat house game in place
>>
>> but you favor dishonesty
>
> . -.. --- -. - --. . - .. - . .. - .... . .-.
>

You just gave him another headache, Shame on you.

Sc

2482. get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 00:36:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153269410.313877.34110@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "Mustafa" <herrbimmler@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in
> news:I5Xug.203506$Mn5.157302@pd7tw3no:
>
> > >

> > > You really must be carefull about making assumptions, it tends to
> > > highlight your ignorance.
> > > I'm a Canadian, have full hf privileges and enjoy code very much.
> > > So what relevance did your original post have in a forsale
> > > group...answer=absolutely none.
> > > Happy to help. Think before you post...help to cut down the spam!
>
>
> Sorry.
>
> Didn't know you were KKKanadian.

there you go making friend world wide sc
>
> My condolences.
>
> SC

2483. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 00:38:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153269514.075272.321550@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8163
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:
> "Koikus" <kirk74601@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
> news:1153256467.794008.257210@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>

> > . -.. --- -. - --. . - .. - . .. - .... . .-.
> >
>
>
> You just gave him another headache, Shame on you.
not realy I did not listen to the "transmision"

>
> Sc

2484. get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 00:38:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153269523.855201.219990@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8164
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:

> Sorry, CB'ers already got dibs on the bands after CW goes away.
>
> SC
you are sicko get help and you will feel better you are surely suffring
from the long terms effects of narrow band rf expousure

2485. FS: Standard AX700B (CCR708A)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 01:14:10 UTC
From: mike maghakian <maghakian@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <V4-dnYWUtKL8GiDZnZ2dnUVZ_uidnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8165

if I didn't just get a VR-5000, I wouldn't sell this gem. it has a
wonderfull bandscope, tuning knob and more features.
check here for more info: http://www.rffun.com/catalog/scanners/ccr708a.html
mine is mint and has the original box and a japanese manual and english user
manual !
price is $375 plus shipping

2486. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 01:21:22 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12br28hjeron7d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 8166
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Cecil Moore wrote:
> David G. Nagel wrote:
>
>> The CW text requirement is like the requirement for a foreign language
>> requirement for some college degrees, ...
>
>
> I carefully avoided any foreign language
> requirement for my BS EE.

Computer program was substituted for foreign language where I went to college.

Dave

2487. Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 02:20:16 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153275616.225575.252170@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8167
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

SC,

Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission
-and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?
- - - The Times They Are A Changing !

While I can admire and respect an Amateur {HAM} Radio Operator
for Mastering Morris Code (CW).

Morris Code in and of itself does not define the Amateur Radio Service.

Morris Code
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Morse_code

Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission 'process' in
and of itself does not define the Amateur Radio Service.
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Continuous_wave

The Amateur Radio Service is Greater than both Morris Code
and Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission - IMHO ~ RHF

Boy Scout Merit Badge Requirements - "RADIO"
http://www.meritbadge.com/mb/093.htm
At one time when I was a very young boy nd a Boy Scout
I Learned to Send and Receive Morris Code at about 5WPM
-but- Then I also learned to use Flags to Send Hand-Flag
"Semaphore" Signals Too !
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Semaphore_(communication)
Neither the ability to use Morris Code or the Semaphone
Flags to Communicate 'defined' Being A Boy Scout.

just an old boy scout at heart ~ RHF
.
.
. .

Slow Code wrote:
> fmmck@aol.com (Fred McKenzie) wrote in
> news:fmmck-1607061316520001@ac9bfd05.ipt.aol.com:
>
> > In article <Xns9801992EDFA46elektrosmdonet@217.22.228.20>, "Alun L.
> > Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> >> Assuming some weird contrived scenario where I had the equipment to
> >> send CW but not phone, it would depend what frequencies it worked on.

> >
> > I think this is the nature of the premise on which the original post was
> > based.
> >
> > Compare it to a similar situation, where a film camera user is debating
> > a digital camera user:
> >
> > "If you came upon a drowning man, and you had to choose whether to save
> > him or photograph his demise, what kind of film would you use?"
>
>
> Getting rid of CW is like choosing the kind of film.
>
> Ham radio is drowning and the anti-code hams want us to think tossing it
> bricks will make it float better. Dumbing things down is never an
> improvement.
>
> SC

2488. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 02:36:39 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <XUgvg.209842$Mn5.113680@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 8168
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

an_old_friend wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
>> "Mustafa" <herrbimmler@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in
>> news:I5Xug.203506$Mn5.157302@pd7tw3no:
>>
>
>>> You really must be carefull about making assumptions, it tends to
>>> highlight your ignorance.
>>> I'm a Canadian, have full hf privileges and enjoy code very much.
>>> So what relevance did your original post have in a forsale
>>> group...answer=absolutely none.
>>> Happy to help. Think before you post...help to cut down the spam!
>>
>> Sorry.
>>
>> Didn't know you were KKKanadian.
>
> there you go making friend world wide sc
>> My condolences.
>>
>> SC
>
>
Don't worry about Slow Code and his ilk. We realize that there are more
than enough decent Americans that post on these newsgroups.

JB

2489. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 03:10:39 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Pohvg.132211$dW3.24427@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 8169
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

David G. Nagel wrote:
> Computer program was substituted for foreign language where I went to
> college.

At Texas A&M in the late '50's, a BA in EE required a
foreign language but a BS didn't. Don't know why.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2490. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 03:14:59 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153278899.847878.266480@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8170
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

John Barnard wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Slow Code wrote:
Sorry.
> >
> >> Didn't know you were KKKanadian.
> >
> > there you go making friend world wide sc
> >> My condolences.
> >>
> >> SC
> >
> >
> Don't worry about Slow Code and his ilk. We realize that there are more
> than enough decent Americans that post on these newsgroups.
>
> JB
well I replied for 2 reason
1 it cahnges the title and dumps it off in many people kilfiles since I
title the my responses to another peice of trash that way here on the
net
2 while I may not like some of thing Canada does (and the reverse is
also true) cananda has been there when the chip were REALY down

2491. Re: Radio Reference Lou Maag is a control freak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 03:17:47 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153279067.760436.60770@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8171

Where are my bitches Al and Frankie? Taking the night off? I'll bet
you two puppies are in the bushes together!

Klein wrote:
> On Tue, 18 Jul 2006 03:45:51 GMT, "Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
> >My advise to you, start showing some courage.
>
> He'll have to steal some first.

2492. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 03:20:19 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <44BDA4F3.7000706@fuse.net>
Source record: 8172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

RHF wrote:
> SC,
>
> Morris Code
uh, it's Morse Code...after Samuel Morse who invented it

(and, of course, everyone knows Joshua T. Semaphore)

2493. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 04:53:09 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12brelk76dlj901@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 8173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

jawod wrote:

> RHF wrote:
>
>> SC,
>>
>> Morris Code
>
> uh, it's Morse Code...after Samuel Morse who invented it
>
> (and, of course, everyone knows Joshua T. Semaphore)

Actually the Code that Sam developed is completely unlike the code we use on radio. What is tested for is the "International Morse Code" Sam's code was click based and radio is beep based.

Dave WD9BDZ

2494. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 06:35:45 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153290945.176667.305550@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8174
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

jawod - Oops ! - You Are Right ~RHF
.
.
. .

jawod wrote:
> RHF wrote:
> > SC,
> >
> > Morris Code
> uh, it's Morse Code...after Samuel Morse who invented it
>
> (and, of course, everyone knows Joshua T. Semaphore)

2495. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 06:55:27 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153292127.825715.283790@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8175
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

DGN,

The Modern International Morse Code was invented by
Friedrich Clemens Gerke in 1848 and first used for the
Telegraphy between Hamburg and Cuxhaven in Germany.
After some minor changes in 1865 it has been standardised
at the International Telegraphy Congress in Paris (1865),
and later normed by the ITU as International Morse Code.
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Morse_code#Modern_International_Morse_code

Vice the "American Morse Code"
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/American_Morse_code
Also known as "Railroad" Morse Code
-by- Samuel Finley Breese Morse
http://en.wikipedia.orwiki/Samuel_Morse

Morris-the-Cat knows Code :
mew-meow-meow-mew mew mew meow-mew-mew
meow-meow mew
http://www.swapmeetdave.com/Humor/Cats/Morris.htm

talk to the animals - they know code ~ RHF
.
. .

David G. Nagel wrote:
> jawod wrote:
>
> > RHF wrote:
> >
> >> SC,
> >>
> >> Morris Code
> >
> > uh, it's Morse Code...after Samuel Morse who invented it
> >
> > (and, of course, everyone knows Joshua T. Semaphore)
>
>
> Actually the Code that Sam developed is completely unlike the code we
> use on radio. What is tested for is the "International Morse Code"
> Sam's code was click based and radio is beep based.
>

> Dave WD9BDZ

2496. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 07:04:18 UTC
From: Jeff <jeff@local.host>
Message-ID: <e9klgi$n7d$2@nntp.aioe.org>
Source record: 8176
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> I thought Id mention that one of the things that was overlooked in the
> Titantic disccusion is the CW was not invovled it was spark gap used in
> that Morse encoded spark
>

Actually that is not correct.
Within the original meaning of CW, the Titanic used a CW transmitter.
It was not a spark transmitter, the rf energy was produced by an alternator
which provided 'continuous' rf power, hence CW. The output was not a damped
wave that a spark transmitter would produce, but an interrupted 'continuous
wave' (from the alternator).

Regards
Jeff

2497. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 10:48:14 UTC
From: Scott <acepilot@bloomer.net>
Message-ID: <xJmdnTgFgepykCPZnZ2dnUVZ_r2dnZ2d@bright.net>
Source record: 8177
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Like your link says, it's MORSE code, as in Samuel F. B. Morse. Who's
Morris?

Scott

RHF wrote:

> SC,
>
> Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission
> -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?
> - - - The Times They Are A Changing !
>
> While I can admire and respect an Amateur {HAM} Radio Operator
> for Mastering Morris Code (CW).
>
> Morris Code in and of itself does not define the Amateur Radio Service.
>
>
> Morris Code
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Morse_code
>
> Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission 'process' in
> and of itself does not define the Amateur Radio Service.
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Continuous_wave
>
> The Amateur Radio Service is Greater than both Morris Code
> and Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission - IMHO ~ RHF
>
> Boy Scout Merit Badge Requirements - "RADIO"
> http://www.meritbadge.com/mb/093.htm
> At one time when I was a very young boy nd a Boy Scout
> I Learned to Send and Receive Morris Code at about 5WPM
> -but- Then I also learned to use Flags to Send Hand-Flag
> "Semaphore" Signals Too !
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Semaphore_(communication)
> Neither the ability to use Morris Code or the Semaphone
> Flags to Communicate 'defined' Being A Boy Scout.
>
>
> just an old boy scout at heart ~ RHF
> .
> .

> . .
>
> Slow Code wrote:
>
>>fmmck@aol.com (Fred McKenzie) wrote in
>>news:fmmck-1607061316520001@ac9bfd05.ipt.aol.com:
>>
>>
>>>In article <Xns9801992EDFA46elektrosmdonet@217.22.228.20>, "Alun L.
>>>Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Assuming some weird contrived scenario where I had the equipment to
>>>>send CW but not phone, it would depend what frequencies it worked on.
>>>
>>>I think this is the nature of the premise on which the original post was
>>>based.
>>>
>>>Compare it to a similar situation, where a film camera user is debating
>>>a digital camera user:
>>>
>>>"If you came upon a drowning man, and you had to choose whether to save
>>>him or photograph his demise, what kind of film would you use?"
>>
>>
>>Getting rid of CW is like choosing the kind of film.
>>
>>Ham radio is drowning and the anti-code hams want us to think tossing it
>>bricks will make it float better. Dumbing things down is never an
>>improvement.
>>
>>SC
>
>

2498. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 11:52:00 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <A1pvg.70221$fb2.15058@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 8178
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

David G. Nagel wrote:
> Actually the Code that Sam developed is completely unlike the code we
> use on radio. What is tested for is the "International Morse Code"
> Sam's code was click based and radio is beep based.

Sam's original equipment used ink and scrolling paper to
record the dots and dashes because he didn't think an
ordinary human being could distinguish between the sound
of the dots and the sound of the dashes. He was wrong.
Human operators quickly discovered that they could distinguish
the difference between the down clicks and up clicks and
therefore distinguish the dots from the dashes.

It is true that Sam's "American" Morse was different from
"International" Morse in about a dozen characters but both
used dots and dashes. Still, more characters were alike
than were different.

The term "lid" may have originated from newbie Morse operators
laying a lid on top of the relay receiver to make it easier to
distinguish the dots from the dashes.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2499. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 11:53:10 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153309990.338447.109670@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8179
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
an old freind wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > get help sicko
> >
> > <sarcasm>
> >
> > Markie, you are sure the conversationalist.
> >
> > </sarcasm>
> I don't conservse

We know, your English skills are atrocious. You are too stupid to
understand sarcasm, too.
```

2500. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 11:56:44 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153310204.225252.136410@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8180
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
get help sicko

2501. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 11:56:47 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153310207.605252.255470@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8181
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Cecil Moore wrote:
> David G. Nagel wrote:
> > Actually the Code that Sam developed is completely unlike the code we
> > use on radio. What is tested for is the "International Morse Code"
> > Sam's code was click based and radio is beep based.
>
> Sam's original equipment used ink and scrolling paper to
> record the dots and dashes because he didn't think an
> ordinary human being could distinguish between the sound
> of the dots and the sound of the dashes. He was wrong.
> Human operators quickly discovered that they could distinguish
> the difference between the down clicks and up clicks and
> therefore distinguish the dots from the dashes.
oridinary humans HMM is it realy proven that ordinary human can do it
by ear Cecil or merely proven that enough to man the telagraphs of the
day could do so?

2502. Re: get help sicko

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 11:57:52 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153310272.132969.123120@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> get help sicko

Markie is the product of his daddy molesting him!

2503. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 12:15:59 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3opvg.70224$fb2.34545@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 8183
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> oridinary humans HMM is it realy proven that ordinary human can do it
> by ear Cecil or merely proven that enough to man the telagraphs of the
> day could do so?

Please note that I didn't say ordinary human beings could read
telegraph code. All I said was that ordinary human beings could
distinguish between the sound of a dot and the sound of a dash.

I think that's a pretty safe assumption with "ordinary" in the
sense of an average human possessing average hearing abilities.
I would bet that a dog could even be trained to distinguish a
dot from a dash.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2504. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 12:18:54 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153311534.122551.258640@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8184
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Cecil Moore wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > oridinary humans HMM is it realy proven that ordinary human can do it
> > by ear Cecil or merely proven that enough to man the telagraphs of the
> > day could do so?
>
> Please note that I didn't say ordinary human beings could read
> telegraph code. All I said was that ordinary human beings could
> distinguish between the sound of a dot and the sound of a dash.
>
> I think that's a pretty safe assumption with "ordinary" in the
> sense of an average human possessing average hearing abilities.
> I would bet that a dog could even be trained to distinguish a
> dot from a dash.
I stand corrected although the inclation was that ordinary people could
read the code itself but the poit is made I think
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
```

2505. Two Epson scanners at the same time (OS: Linux, MAC etc.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 12:56:30 UTC
From: MOD <house@os.pl>
Message-ID: <1153313790.034229.149520@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8185
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,comp.periphs.scanners

I've two scanners (Epson Perfection V750) and I would work on those
pair exactly at the same time because I want spread out scanning area
(after hardware modifications). OS doesn't matter (Mac OS, Linux etc.).

Please help me. MOD

Thanks.

2506. Re: Two Epson scanners at the same time (OS: Linux, MAC etc.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 14:42:37 UTC
From: - <xvvvz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <xxrvg.316$gF6.274@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8186
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,comp.periphs.scanners

Most people have problems getting Windows to recognize two scanners being powered up and connected at the same time on the same computer, so you will probably want to investigate the other OS's.

Doug
--
www.BetterScanning.com

2507. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 19:27:18 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <or1tb21oag8spsum1pplc33ctplhi07nut@4ax.com>
Source record: 8187
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On 18 Jul 2006 15:07:01 -0700, "an old freind"
<upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com> wrote:

>a thread related not
>
>I thought Id mention that one of the things that was overlooked in the
>Titantic disccusion is the CW was not invovled it was spark gap used in
>that Morse encoded spark

For the duration of a dash, spark is CW. Maybe slightly damped, but
still CW.

2508. Re: Radio Reference Lou Maag is a control freak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 19:28:21 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <ut1tb210u5at5sv3i4t0pubvnuuom70570@4ax.com>
Source record: 8188

On 18 Jul 2006 20:17:47 -0700, "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Where are my bitches Al and Frankie? Taking the night off? I'll bet
>you two puppies are in the bushes together!

Nah, I'm just busy introducing you to your mouth.
<plonk>

2509. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 19:32:05 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <jv1tb21o0c80e7dhpsekcfgupsij4u22b0@4ax.com>
Source record: 8189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On 18 Jul 2006 15:04:01 -0700, "an old freind"
<upperpenarmyguy@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> On 17 Jul 2006 20:12:08 -0700, "an old feind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
>> wrote:

>> >> Just show most hams licensed in the past 10 years a
>> >> schematic and ask them to find a component by function.

>> >I can even my wife who frankly does not the why ofof it can tel the
>> >component

>> I said "by function". Not "locate the resistor", but "locate the
>> balanced modulator circuitry".

>you were vague

I said, "ask them to find a component by function". That's only vague
to those who don't understand simple English.

> not my fault you can't express yourself

Not in what you use for language but, then, I speak English.

>nor is a EE needed to be ham and contrube to advancing the state of the
>radio art

No one said otherwise - but refusing to learn anything shouldn't be a
criterion, and it certainly doesn't contribute to anything but sloth.

>the tests needed to cover those things THEN

>THEN they more os less needed to inculde Morse code (lathough it could
>have been avoided but for the treaty)

>times change

>adapt or die

Oh, I could pass a test on the technical aspects of communications as
it's practiced today. Could you? (Rhetorical question - I know you
couldn't.) And I don't mean could you memorize enough answers to
pass.

2510. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 19:33:11 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <762tb2hcuqrdtlnj0jnlht6f8736jkuijo@4ax.com>
Source record: 8190
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 18 Jul 2006 20:21:22 -0500, "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
wrote:

>Computer program was substituted for foreign language where I went to
>college.

Computer programming wasn't (as in, didn't exist), when I went to
college. :) Except maybe at IBM.

2511. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 19:33:34 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <282tb2hr85hgsp6lptjg14696gvkl05fg6@4ax.com>
Source record: 8191
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 03:10:39 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>At Texas A&M in the late '50's, a BA in EE required a
>foreign language but a BS didn't. Don't know why.

Language is an art?

2512. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 19:38:31 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <2h2tb25anl46jvkh0eq8jlnbsshtilmn4c@4ax.com>
Source record: 8192
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 10:48:14 +0000, Scott <acepilot@bloomer.net>
wrote:

>Like your link says, it's MORSE code, as in Samuel F. B. Morse. Who's
>Morris?

Morris is also known as C. W. Katt.

2513. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 21:19:52 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <jdednYTpjuJlPCPZnZ2dnUVZ_sadnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8193
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:

> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 03:10:39 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>
>>At Texas A&M in the late '50's, a BA in EE required a
>>foreign language but a BS didn't. Don't know why.
>
>
> Language is an art?

Back in the olden days a BA degree focused on art, humanities, language, sociology, etc.

Back in the olden days a BS degree focused on math, more math, physics, chemistry, biological sciences, etc.

The basic difference was M A T H ... M O R E M A T H ... then four or more semesters of C A L C U L U S.

In fifty years I've forgotten most of that MATH and Calculus stuff, but I still like to read about the humanities, history, sociology. That must mean something. My degree, like Cecil's, is a BS [That does not stand for Bull S...]

2514. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 21:42:22 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <sl9tb2ltlnqje5q2vrke31hsd8047jsec3@4ax.com>
Source record: 8194
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 17:19:52 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:

>In fifty years I've forgotten most of that MATH and Calculus stuff, but I still
>like to read about the humanities, history, sociology. That must mean
>something. My degree, like Cecil's, is a BS [That does not stand for Bull S...]

It's only been 43 years for me, but I've also forgotten some of the
math and I also like to read about some of the humanities. But I
still earn my living doing the BS stuff, although being a field
anthropologist does sound interesting.

2515. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 22:29:26 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <-I6dnY5GqOfbLyPZnZ2dnUVZ_vudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8195
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:

> On Wed, 19 Jul 2006 17:19:52 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>
>>In fifty years I've forgotten most of that MATH and Calculus stuff, but I still
>>like to read about the humanities, history, sociology. That must mean
>>something. My degree, like Cecil's, is a BS [That does not stand for Bull S...]
>
>
> It's only been 43 years for me, but I've also forgotten some of the
> math and I also like to read about some of the humanities. But I
> still earn my living doing the BS stuff, although being a field
> anthropologist does sound interesting.

I retired from the BS business in 2000.

Now I'm an ordained minister, ordained in 1988 as a Catholic Deacon, and serve as Chaplain to the incarcerated in addition to parish responsibilities.

Us old hams have diversified interests !!

2516. Re: 30 Steps, Monthly post. Tips for Phonies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 23:34:34 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ekzvg.2106$157.1927@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8196
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in
news:XUgvg.209842$Mn5.113680@pd7tw3no:

> an_old_friend wrote:
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> "Mustafa" <herrbimmler@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in
>>> news:I5Xug.203506$Mn5.157302@pd7tw3no:
>>>
>>
>>>> You really must be carefull about making assumptions, it tends to
>>>> highlight your ignorance.
>>>> I'm a Canadian, have full hf privileges and enjoy code very much.
>>>> So what relevance did your original post have in a forsale
>>>> group...answer=absolutely none.
>>>> Happy to help. Think before you post...help to cut down the spam!
>>>
>>> Sorry.
>>>
>>> Didn't know you were KKKanadian.
>>
>> there you go making friend world wide sc
>>> My condolences.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>>
> Don't worry about Slow Code and his ilk. We realize that there are more
> than enough decent Americans that post on these newsgroups.
>
> JB
>

Let's hope maybe a little goodness rubs off on the Kanadians then. Eh.

SC

2517. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 23:34:38 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ikzvg.2108$157.1525@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8197
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in
news:telamon_spamshield-1A563C.10302219072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com:
>
> He is a Troll that creates endless cross posted threads about CW to
> radio listening and scanner news groups.

SWL's should learn CW too.

You never know when you might stumple across a station in distress sending
an SOS and you might be the only one that hears it and can get help.

But it seems all SWL's want to do is sit around like blobs all day long
and tell themselves their cheap plastic Etons and Grundigs sound good.
They don't want radio skills if it takes a little work to learn them.

I'm guessing that's why Telamon hates CW and ham radio as well. Laziness.

SC

2518. bless you and grant new mental health to you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 23:39:50 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_mcm@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153352390.803161.152920@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8198
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in
bless you and grant new mental health to you

soon

if you don't like the contents of these groups then look to your
posting and sarting posting something potive or on topic

2519. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 23:44:19 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_mcm@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153352659.407310.171810@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8199
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:
> Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in
> news:telamon_spamshield-1A563C.10302219072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com:
> >
> > He is a Troll that creates endless cross posted threads about CW to
> > radio listening and scanner news groups.
>
>
> SWL's should learn CW too.
>
> You never know when you might stumple across a station in distress sending
> an SOS and you might be the only one that hears it and can get help.
who would be sbe equiped to send such an SOS SC? you want to imporve
the toene of NG

get off your ass and stop troling looking for a fight

bless you and grant new mental health to you

soon

2520. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 23:45:52 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Quzvg.174547$F_3.24513@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 8200
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:
> SWL's should learn CW too.
> You never know when you might stumple across a station in distress sending
> an SOS and you might be the only one that hears it and can get help.

SWL's normally listen to AM stations.
How would they hear a CW station?
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2521. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-19 23:53:36 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_mcm@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153353216.895669.181590@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8101
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Cecil Moore wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > SWL's should learn CW too.
> > You never know when you might stumple across a station in distress sending
> > an SOS and you might be the only one that hears it and can get help.
>
> SWL's normally listen to AM stations.
> How would they hear a CW station?

CW gets through no matter what AM FM XM TV IBOC no matter the mode cw
gets trough even without a tranmitter for that vital signal SOS
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2522. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-20 02:57:08 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0003@taring.org>
Message-ID: <44bef104$0$6141$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 8102
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
>
> CW gets through no matter what AM FM XM TV IBOC no matter the mode cw
> gets trough even without a tranmitter for that vital signal SOS
>

You have, what we call in the midwest, a MORON CHIP problem.

The part of your brain that keeps you from being a total moron, is broken.

tom
K0TAR

2523. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-20 03:44:11 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153367051.402482.124650@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8103
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Tom Ring wrote:
> an old freind wrote:
> >
> > CW gets through no matter what AM FM XM TV IBOC no matter the mode cw
> > gets trough even without a tranmitter for that vital signal SOS
> >
>
> You have, what we call in the midwest, a MORON CHIP problem.
>
> The part of your brain that keeps you from being a total moron, is broken.
Frankly I thining it is you that is missing something, asence of humor.
I tend to supect form your posts it was surgiccaly removed at some
point
>
> tom
> K0TAR

2524. Re: Two Epson scanners at the same time (OS: Linux, MAC etc.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-20 05:30:52 UTC
From: MOD <house@os.pl>
Message-ID: <1153373452.239674.96790@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8104
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,comp.periphs.scanners

- napisal(a):
> Most people have problems getting Windows to recognize two scanners being
> powered up and connected at the same time on the same computer, so you will
> probably want to investigate the other OS's.

I don't talk about Windows, because I've Linux and Mac, so I need plug
both scanners under control those OS's. Does anybody know how do this?
(Both scanners must scan at the same time).

MOD

2525. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-20 08:09:57 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153382997.351692.12600@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8105
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Cecil Moore wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > SWL's should learn CW too.
> > You never know when you might stumple across a station in distress sending
> > an SOS and you might be the only one that hears it and can get help.
>
- SWL's normally listen to AM stations.
- How would they hear a CW station?
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

CM,

Yes - Many Shortwave Radio Listener's (SWL's) do just that.
Listen to the best "AM" International Shortwave Radio
Broadcasters that they can 'hear' at their location.

and morse code is not on their mind ~ RHF
{ just like 'html' is not on my mind as i simply
type these words on rrs }
.
.
. .

2526. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-20 08:14:39 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153383279.604912.16680@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8106
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

an old freind wrote:
> Cecil Moore wrote:
> > Slow Code wrote:
> > > SWL's should learn CW too.
> > > You never know when you might stumple across a station in distress sending
> > > an SOS and you might be the only one that hears it and can get help.
> >
> > SWL's normally listen to AM stations.
> > How would they hear a CW station?
>
- CW gets through no matter what AM FM XM TV IBOC
- no matter the mode cw gets trough even without a tranmitter
- for that vital signal SOS
> > --
> > 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

aof - not if no one is listening ~ RHF
{ radio - it's about communicating }

2527. Re: Two Epson scanners at the same time (OS: Linux, MAC etc.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-20 08:34:54 UTC
From: DaveG <dave-ng@nospam.tld>
Message-ID: <OeHvg.104126$wl.32682@text.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 8107
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,comp.periphs.scanners

Sometime on Wed, 19 Jul 2006 23:30:52 -0700, MOD scribbled:

> - napisal(a):
>> Most people have problems getting Windows to recognize two scanners being
>> powered up and connected at the same time on the same computer, so you will
>> probably want to investigate the other OS's.
>
>
> I don't talk about Windows, because I've Linux and Mac, so I need plug
> both scanners under control those OS's. Does anybody know how do this?
> (Both scanners must scan at the same time).

Shouldn't be a problem under Linux at least. Just run two instances of
your scanning software with the relevant scanner chosen for each one.

At the very least, the command line driven scanimage (part of the sane
backend download) can take a scanner device as a target source. Very
useful if you are scanning a batch the same size. Just set the
height/width and use the batch commands (intended for auto doc feeders but
you can set it to ask for a key press between scans while you change the
photo)

--
Dave
Our business in life is not to succeed,
but to continue to fail in good spirits.
Robert Louis Stevenson

2528. Re: Auction the ham bands to the Highest Bidder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-20 10:19:36 UTC
From: Big Rich Soprano <BucSoprano@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <55mub21e1lp6hnvkmo54lvm3hq9rf7g178@4ax.com>
Source record: 8108
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>amateur radio is obsolete and nobody cares about it.

>The ham frequencies should be auctioned to the highest bidder!

Don't you get tired of being an ass hole?

2529. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-20 10:25:14 UTC
From: Big Rich Soprano <BucSoprano@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <3fmub2tv9kvhmlmgflrcm7dijio47mgc4t@4ax.com>
Source record: 8109
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

>Like your link says, it's MORSE code, as in Samuel F. B. Morse. Who's
>Morris?

Some fictitious cat...

2530. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-20 10:27:39 UTC
From: Big Rich Soprano <BucSoprano@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <bhmub25o0a0itpuu9h0koegtmn0ele7emh@4ax.com>
Source record: 8110
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

```
>> SWL's should learn CW too.
>> You never know when you might stumple across a station in distress sending
>> an SOS and you might be the only one that hears it and can get help.

>SWL's normally listen to AM stations.
>How would they hear a CW station?

When i was a kid in the 60's a local ship to shore cw station could be
heard just under the local AM broadcast band station my family
listened to. That's what got my interest in radio going. The same
thing happens on short wave if you detune it right...
```

2531. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-20 11:47:03 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns98064EBA08724elektrosmdonet@217.22.228.20>
Source record: 8111
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

jawod <jawod@fuse.net> wrote in news:44BDA4F3.7000706@fuse.net:

> RHF wrote:
>> SC,
>>
>> Morris Code
> uh, it's Morse Code...after Samuel Morse who invented it
>
> (and, of course, everyone knows Joshua T. Semaphore)
>

Wasn't morris the cat in the TV ads who eat with his paws?

2532. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-20 12:01:06 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6gKvg.174657$F_3.5191@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 8112
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Big Rich Soprano wrote:
> When i was a kid in the 60's a local ship to shore cw station could be
> heard just under the local AM broadcast band station my family
> listened to. That's what got my interest in radio going. The same
> thing happens on short wave if you detune it right...

In that case the AM carrier is the BFO for the CW signal.
How many SWL's are going to accidentally "detune it right"
for the purpose of hearing an SOS?
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2533. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-20 12:33:42 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <gutub2186vdpr6hhm12qt1r789oibal1oa@4ax.com>
Source record: 8113
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 20 Jul 2006 12:01:06 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>In that case the AM carrier is the BFO for the CW signal.
>How many SWL's are going to accidentally "detune it right"
>for the purpose of hearing an SOS?

You can hear the change in noise as a carrier goes on and off. It's
extremely difficult to copy high speed CW like that if the signal is
strong, but a weak signal or slower CW is just as easy to copy as
noise as it is to copy as a pure tone. T1 doesn't mean uncopyable, it
just means ragged tone.

2534. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-20 12:36:03 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <TMKvg.174659$F_3.22158@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 8114
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Al Klein wrote:
> You can hear the change in noise as a carrier goes on and off. It's
> extremely difficult to copy high speed CW like that if the signal is
> strong, but a weak signal or slower CW is just as easy to copy as
> noise as it is to copy as a pure tone. T1 doesn't mean uncopyable, it
> just means ragged tone.

So now amateurs and SWL's should be Morse code proficient
not only using tones but using the swishing sound made when
a BFO is not present?
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2535. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-20 16:34:53 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153413293.091968.209340@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8115
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

RHF wrote:
> an old freind wrote:
> > Cecil Moore wrote:
> > > Slow Code wrote:
> > > > SWL's should learn CW too.
> > > > You never know when you might stumple across a station in distress sending
> > > > an SOS and you might be the only one that hears it and can get help.
> > >
> > > SWL's normally listen to AM stations.
> > > How would they hear a CW station?
> >
> - CW gets through no matter what AM FM XM TV IBOC
> - no matter the mode cw gets trough even without a tranmitter
> - for that vital signal SOS
> > > --
> > > 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
> aof - not if no one is listening ~ RHF
> { radio - it's about communicating }
no you are worng CW gets through wether you to hear or not (prehaps I
should say sarcasm on)

2536. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-20 16:50:31 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <qrcvb2pjpa5l2uf9vn2ohnvr4ef119hlbc@4ax.com>
Source record: 8116
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 20 Jul 2006 12:36:03 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>So now amateurs and SWL's should be Morse code proficient
>not only using tones but using the swishing sound made when
>a BFO is not present?

If you can copy CW, you can copy CW. The tone it's coming in with
doesn't make much difference. I've copied signals so weak that they
were just changes in the quality of the noise and I've copied perfect
S9++T9 signals. They were all mostly R9. The R only changes if the
signal fades completely out or if there's interference that masks the
signal. Try that with PSK.

2537. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-20 17:14:13 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <FROvg.70672$fb2.9968@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 8117
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Al Klein wrote:
> If you can copy CW, you can copy CW.

I can copy CW, but I cannot copy CW when the receiver
is in AM mode and there's no CW tone. I'm glad you're
that good but I am not.
--
73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2538. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-20 19:16:19 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-6107E6.12161920072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 8118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In article <TMKvg.174659$F_3.22158@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:

> Al Klein wrote:
> > You can hear the change in noise as a carrier goes on and off. It's
> > extremely difficult to copy high speed CW like that if the signal is
> > strong, but a weak signal or slower CW is just as easy to copy as
> > noise as it is to copy as a pure tone. T1 doesn't mean uncopyable, it
> > just means ragged tone.
>
> So now amateurs and SWL's should be Morse code proficient
> not only using tones but using the swishing sound made when
> a BFO is not present?

The swishing sound is coming from aliens. Try making the same sounds
back to them. You might get a more intelligent conversation going than
the one in this cross posted thread.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

2539. Re: controlling an icom pcr-1500 under linux

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-20 19:22:37 UTC
From: AB2RC <AB2RC@localhost.localdomain>
Message-ID: <slrnebvlvt.3i5.Silfax@minimac.linux1.lan>
Source record: 8119

On 2006-05-12, ab <abo@TOGLI_QUESTOaxis-net.it> wrote:
> Has anyone ever succeeded in controlling a pcr-1500 from a linux box? The
> controlling software per se runs smoothly inside the dos/windows emulator
> "wine" under linux, but is doesn't "see" the USB port.
>

The pcr-1000 is usable via hamlib, I don't know how different the pcr-1500
commmand set is, but it should be doable.

Buy a pcr-1500 for me -- to test with, and I will add the needed code to
hamlib :)

2540. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-20 21:04:17 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <etednbf7z8NgciLZnZ2dnUVZ_rWdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8120
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:FROvg.70672$fb2.9968@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
> Al Klein wrote:
>> If you can copy CW, you can copy CW.
>
> I can copy CW, but I cannot copy CW when the receiver
> is in AM mode and there's no CW tone. I'm glad you're
> that good but I am not.
> --
> 73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

I am not good at code but I can do it. You just listen to the rhythm.

Dee, N8UZE

2541. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-20 21:11:34 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153429894.595318.61330@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8121
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Dee Flint wrote:

> I am not good at code but I can do it. You just listen to the rhythm.
your point ? if any Dee
>
> Dee, N8UZE

2542. Why Should Only White Males "Know" CW ? ? ? - Women and Minorities Need CW Too ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-20 22:12:50 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1153433570.393215.45580@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8122
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Cecil Moore wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > You can hear the change in noise as a carrier goes on and off. It's
> > extremely difficult to copy high speed CW like that if the signal is
> > strong, but a weak signal or slower CW is just as easy to copy as
> > noise as it is to copy as a pure tone. T1 doesn't mean uncopyable, it
> > just means ragged tone.
>
> So now amateurs and SWL's should be Morse code proficient
> not only using tones but using the swishing sound made when
> a BFO is not present?
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

CM,

OK - Lets make "CW" 5 WPM a High School Graduation Requirement
and Start the Nation-Wide Testing of Every Child at Every Grade Level
to Ensure that Our Kids Know "CW" ! ! !

We can call it the Uniform Education "Code" {CW} Law -and-
Require that No Child Is Left Behind the "CW" Learning Curve !

Why should only White Males 'know' CW ? ? ?
Equality Demands that Women and Minorities "Know" CW Too ! ! !
- - - We need an Urgent National Federally Funded Program
to Close the "CW" Gap [.]

oops - am i ranting ? ? ? . . . oh never mind ! ~ RHF
.
.
. .

2543. Re: CW isn't preventing the modernization of ham radio. Laziness is.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-20 22:36:35 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1153434995.739193.180470@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8123
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:
> Barry OGrady <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:r5sgb2dkhc2dc0dtk378re5oh83podkugf@4ax.com:
>
> > On 14 Jul 2006 17:07:18 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>Slow Code wrote:
> >>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> >>> news:1152837710.065651.206240@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
> >>>
> >>> >
> >>
> >>> It's been downhill ever since.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> I agree with that.
> >>>
> >>> Whether you like or use CW or not, dumbing down as hurt ham radio.
> >>in english please
> >>
> >>
> >>>
> >>> SC
> >>people that live in glass house whoudl dress in basement
> >>
> > In english please, with punctuation and spacing between quoted and your
> > text and proper quoting and spelling.
> >
> > Barry

Get over yourself.

> ROFL, Sorry. I'm starting to believe laughing at him just encourages him
> to make more typo's. We need to stop laughing and pity him instead.
>
> Maybe if he had to learn CW the mental process would help his spelling.
>
> SC

I wonder how many a retired old-timer who decided to join ham radio
stroked out instead while doing speed runs trying to get to 13/20wpm on
CW?

2544. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-20 22:38:43 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1153435123.460895.216170@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dirk wrote:

> Ham's care more about operating appliances than knowing how to save a lives.
>
> :-(

This ham know CPR.

I wonder how many a retired old-timer who decided to join ham radio
stroked out instead while doing speed runs trying to get to 13/20wpm on
CW?

CW kills.

2545. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-20 23:23:08 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <wfUvg.2503$bP5.1347@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8125
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:Quzvg.174547$F_3.24513@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> SWL's should learn CW too.
>> You never know when you might stumple across a station in distress
>> sending an SOS and you might be the only one that hears it and can get
>> help.
>
> SWL's normally listen to AM stations.
> How would they hear a CW station?

Many SWL's are Ute listeners. They are the ones most likely to stumble
across an SOS.

Just like a person isn't a real ham unless they've passed a code test, a
shortwave listener isn't a real SWL unless their receiver has a BFO.

(SWL's who listen to shortwave with antique receivers are exempt.)

SC

2546. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-20 23:31:02 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <WmUvg.128118$H71.50163@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 8126
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> I can copy CW, but I cannot copy CW when the receiver
>> is in AM mode and there's no CW tone. I'm glad you're
>> that good but I am not.
>
> I am not good at code but I can do it. You just listen to the rhythm.

How does a deaf person do that?
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2547. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-20 23:41:27 UTC
From: clfe <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44c014e1$0$3660$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 8127
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:WmUvg.128118$H71.50163@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>> I can copy CW, but I cannot copy CW when the receiver
>>> is in AM mode and there's no CW tone. I'm glad you're
>>> that good but I am not.
>>
>> I am not good at code but I can do it. You just listen to the rhythm.
>
> How does a deaf person do that?
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

Using a series of flashes of light OR vibrations...........

2548. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-20 23:42:52 UTC
From: clfe <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44c014ec$0$3662$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 8128
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:etednbf7z8NgciLZnZ2dnUVZ_rWdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:FROvg.70672$fb2.9968@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
>> Al Klein wrote:
>>> If you can copy CW, you can copy CW.
>>
>> I can copy CW, but I cannot copy CW when the receiver
>> is in AM mode and there's no CW tone. I'm glad you're
>> that good but I am not.
>> --
>> 73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
> I am not good at code but I can do it. You just listen to the rhythm.
>
> Dee, N8UZE
>

If everyone was proficient at everything they did, there "may" be fewer
problems. I'm "rusty" at code, but I can do it. Keep on plugging away.
```

2549. "Data Skip" Feature: Enable It All Of The Time For Everything ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-21 00:00:27 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <XPSdnZbyXIK4hF3ZnZ2dnUVZ_sidnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8129

Hello:

Have a new 996, but imagine other scanners have this feature also.

Regarding "Data Skip", where the scanner will automatically
skip channels that it identifies as a data stream, or noise, rather than
voice.

e.g., channels with either no audio, or possibly a constant level audio.

Seems to me there is no reason not to have it on all of the time, for all
Systems, and everything.

Are there any Systems, modes, situations, etc. where having it Enabled
would not be a good idea ?

The Default setting is, surprisingly, OFF, which I guess implies having it
on for
everything maybe isn't such a great idea ?

Thanks,
B.

2550. Where are my little puppies Al Klein and Frankie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-21 01:12:59 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153444379.687404.38570@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8130

I hope your sphinters are not sore from your extended time together.
Talk to papa.....

2551. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-21 01:39:13 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <61c0c2tn8t28q8javj9krjak26tilcqtd9@4ax.com>
Source record: 8131
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 20 Jul 2006 23:31:02 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>How does a deaf person do that?

How does a blind person read the computer screen?

2552. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-21 01:39:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153445987.534517.169510@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8132
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Al Klein wrote:
> On Thu, 20 Jul 2006 23:31:02 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >How does a deaf person do that?
>
> How does a blind person read the computer screen?
he does not

which of course has nothing to do with the matter at hand somethat
would easy to sow were to have the slightest intelectual hoestly but no
you hacked evverything away

2553. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-21 01:42:01 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <JhWvg.70787$fb2.35476@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 8133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Al Klein wrote:
> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> How does a deaf person do that?
>
> How does a blind person read the computer screen?

I'm not yet blind but I, like my father, am going deaf.
I couldn't hear a CW signal without a BFO if my life
depended upon it. I can't hear most of the CW signals
even with a BFO.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2554. Central Louisiana Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-21 09:22:25 UTC
From: theburro@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1153473745.861774.301930@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8134

Cenla Frequencies is a small list of active frequencies for Police,
Fire Departments and Ambulances in Grant, LaSalle, Natchitoches,
Rapides and Winn Parishes. If you have any freqs for the city of
Pineville or Caldwell Parish, please post them or email them to me so I
can put them up on that page. Thanks.
http://community.webtv.net/theburro/CenlaFrequencies

2555. Re: "Data Skip" Feature: Enable It All Of The Time For Everything ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-21 13:16:14 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <ut4wg.517$Qx4.285@fe02.lga>
Source record: 8135

"Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com> wrote in message
news:XPSdnZbyXIK4hF3ZnZ2dnUVZ_sidnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Hello:
>
> Have a new 996, but imagine other scanners have this feature also.
>
> Regarding "Data Skip", where the scanner will automatically
> skip channels that it identifies as a data stream, or noise, rather than
> voice.
>
> e.g., channels with either no audio, or possibly a constant level audio.
>
> Seems to me there is no reason not to have it on all of the time, for all
> Systems, and everything.
>
> Are there any Systems, modes, situations, etc. where having it Enabled
> would not be a good idea ?
>
> The Default setting is, surprisingly, OFF, which I guess implies having it
> on for
> everything maybe isn't such a great idea ?
>
> Thanks,
> B.
>
>

Commented on in previous newsgroup...
It's better to cross-post to multiple groups than it is to single post in
each... Multiposting creates only ONE message, readable in all listed
groups. Single posting creates the exact number or messages as the number
of groups you post in. Saves time and space by cross-posting...

2556. From OP: Re: "Data Skip" Feature: Enable It All Of The Time For Everything ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-21 16:51:15 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <99CdnWXzl_yZm1zZnZ2dnUVZ_tqdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8136

Hello,

How do you do this "Multiposting" ?

Bob

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
news:ut4wg.517$Qx4.285@fe02.lga...
>
> "Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com> wrote in message
> news:XPSdnZbyXIK4hF3ZnZ2dnUVZ_sidnZ2d@comcast.com...
>> Hello:
>>
>> Have a new 996, but imagine other scanners have this feature also.
>>
>> Regarding "Data Skip", where the scanner will automatically
>> skip channels that it identifies as a data stream, or noise, rather than
>> voice.
>>
>> e.g., channels with either no audio, or possibly a constant level audio.
>>
>> Seems to me there is no reason not to have it on all of the time, for all
>> Systems, and everything.
>>
>> Are there any Systems, modes, situations, etc. where having it Enabled
>> would not be a good idea ?
>>
>> The Default setting is, surprisingly, OFF, which I guess implies having
>> it
>> on for
>> everything maybe isn't such a great idea ?
>>
>> Thanks,
>> B.
>>
>>
>
> Commented on in previous newsgroup...
> It's better to cross-post to multiple groups than it is to single post in
> each... Multiposting creates only ONE message, readable in all listed
> groups. Single posting creates the exact number or messages as the number
> of groups you post in. Saves time and space by cross-posting...
>

>

2557. Re: "Data Skip" Feature: Enable It All Of The Time For Everything ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-21 20:41:45 UTC
From: martin@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu
Message-ID: <e9re69sbn1@hydrogen.cis.okstate.edu>
Source record: 8137

The dataskip function will many times see data or noise where there isn't any such as on a channel with a PL or CTCSS tone or background noise. When that happens, it begins scanning again right in the middle of the transmission and you will miss some of it.
--

Martin McCormick WB5AGZ Stillwater, OK
Information Technology Department Network Operations Group

2558. Re: CW isn't preventing the modernization of ham radio. Laziness is.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-21 20:57:36 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <4dbwg.1160$gF6.521@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8138
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1153434995.739193.180470@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> Barry OGrady <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:r5sgb2dkhc2dc0dtk378re5oh83podkugf@4ax.com:
>>
>> > On 14 Jul 2006 17:07:18 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
>> > wrote:
>> >
>> >>
>> >>Slow Code wrote:
>> >>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> >>> news:1152837710.065651.206240@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>> >>>
>> >>> >
>> >>
>> >>> It's been downhill ever since.
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>> I agree with that.
>> >>>
>> >>> Whether you like or use CW or not, dumbing down as hurt ham radio.
>> >>in english please
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>>
>> >>> SC
>> >>people that live in glass house whoudl dress in basement
>> >
>> > In english please, with punctuation and spacing between quoted and
>> > your text and proper quoting and spelling.
>> >
>> > Barry
>
> Get over yourself.
>
>> ROFL, Sorry. I'm starting to believe laughing at him just encourages
>> him to make more typo's. We need to stop laughing and pity him
>> instead.
>>
>> Maybe if he had to learn CW the mental process would help his spelling.

>>
>> SC
>
> I wonder how many a retired old-timer who decided to join ham radio
> stroked out instead while doing speed runs trying to get to 13/20wpm on
> CW?

Why don't you get on your CB and ask them.

SC

2559. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-21 20:57:46 UTC
From: Hey Stupid <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <edbwg.1165$gF6.687@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8139
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1153445987.534517.169510@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Al Klein wrote:
>> On Thu, 20 Jul 2006 23:31:02 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >How does a deaf person do that?
>>
>> How does a blind person read the computer screen?
> he does not
>
> which of course has nothing to do with the matter at hand somethat
> would easy to sow were to have the slightest intelectual hoestly but no
> you hacked evverything away

You friggen lost it. There's no way you could have passed the written,
let alone CW.

May the lord bless and grant us peace from the mental illness that traps
you by pulling the plug on your internet.

2560. You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-21 20:57:58 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <qdbwg.1169$gF6.722@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8140
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Just thought you should know that.

Help save Ham radio and ignore Markie to save
usenet. Thanks

1- No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all
elements required for their license class every ten years.

2- The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.

3- Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

4- Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

5- Cancel your ARRL membership until they decide to work to improve
things and stop them from proposing ham radio that is like CB.

2561. Re: From OP: Re: "Data Skip" Feature: Enable It All Of The Time For Everything ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-21 21:25:31 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <pch2c2pmpb4atf60nriv80ao8ued1tamtt@4ax.com>
Source record: 8141

On Fri, 21 Jul 2006 12:51:15 -0400, "Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com>
wrote:

>How do you do this "Multiposting" ?

1) Get a real news client.

2) List all the newsgroups to which you want to post in the
"Newsgroups" box, separated the way the particular client wants them
separated (usually commas).

2562. Re: CW isn't preventing the modernization of ham radio. Laziness is.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-22 00:40:56 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1153528856.788510.316740@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8142
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> news:1153434995.739193.180470@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> Barry OGrady <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >> news:r5sgb2dkhc2dc0dtk378re5oh83podkugf@4ax.com:
> >>
> >> > On 14 Jul 2006 17:07:18 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com>
> >> > wrote:
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >>Slow Code wrote:
> >> >>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> >> >>> news:1152837710.065651.206240@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
> >> >>>
> >> >>> >
> >> >>
> >> >>> It's been downhill ever since.
> >> >>>
> >> >>>
> >> >>> I agree with that.
> >> >>>
> >> >>> Whether you like or use CW or not, dumbing down as hurt ham radio.
> >> >>in english please
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>>
> >> >>> SC
> >> >>people that live in glass house whoudl dress in basement
> >> >
> >> > In english please, with punctuation and spacing between quoted and
> >> > your text and proper quoting and spelling.
> >> >
> >> > Barry
> >
> > Get over yourself.
> >
> >> ROFL, Sorry. I'm starting to believe laughing at him just encourages
> >> him to make more typo's. We need to stop laughing and pity him
> >> instead.
> >>

> >> Maybe if he had to learn CW the mental process would help his spelling.
> >>
> >> SC
> >
> > I wonder how many a retired old-timer who decided to join ham radio
> > stroked out instead while doing speed runs trying to get to 13/20wpm on
> > CW?
>
>
> Why don't you get on your CB and ask them.
>
> SC

I don't think a CB will go that far.

2563. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-22 00:55:20 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153529720.877093.10680@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8143
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Who cares! HAM radio is dying fast and your ideas will just make it
come faster.
The Kat wrote:
> On Fri, 21 Jul 2006 20:57:58 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >Just thought you should know that.
>
> You're an ass.
>
> Just thought you should know that (AND you probably already did).
>
>
>
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> This sig censored by the Office of Home and Land Insecurity...
>
> Remove XYZ to email me

2564. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-22 10:38:22 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1153564701.965658.219410@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8144
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dirk wrote:
> > > Ham's care more about operating appliances than knowing how to save a lives.
> > >
> > > :-(
> >
> > Many ham are American Red Cross first aid and adult CPR instructors.
> >
> > That trumps CW at any speed.

> lol thank you for that

I guess saving lives is saving lives only when it uses CW. Those
firemen are way out of their league when compared to this bunch.

2565. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-22 12:45:57 UTC
From: ohioradioham <me@anybodybutschmidt.com>
Message-ID: <44c210cc$0$20445$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 8145
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Blow Code - your ideas will damn amateur radio and kill it. The ARRL is the best thing we have and all amateurs should join the organization to help preserve amateur radio. Forcing CW onto newcomers will only turn them away from amateur radio since CW is seen by outsiders as old-fashioned and out-of-date. This is the 21st Century and people do not want to be forced to learn and use and communication method developed in the 19th Century. Grow up and face reality. Stop clinging to your old buggy whip communication methods and get over the fact that amateur radio is changing for the better. It's going to change with our without you. You can stop change.

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:qdbwg.1169$gF6.722@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Just thought you should know that.
>
>
> Help save Ham radio and ignore Markie to save
> usenet. Thanks
>
>
> 1- No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all
> elements required for their license class every ten years.
>
>
> 2- The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>
>
> 3- Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
>
> 4- Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
>
> 5- Cancel your ARRL membership until they decide to work to improve
> things and stop them from proposing ham radio that is like CB.
>
>

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2566. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-22 16:02:12 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153584132.692529.177920@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8146
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > Dirk wrote:
> > > > Ham's care more about operating appliances than knowing how to save a lives.
> > > >
> > > > :-(
> > >
> > > Many ham are American Red Cross first aid and adult CPR instructors.
> > >
> > > That trumps CW at any speed.
> >
> > lol thank you for that
> >
> I guess saving lives is saving lives only when it uses CW. Those
> firemen are way out of their league when compared to this bunch.

the only thing that counts to them is CW not even the people using or r
or the ARS or the nation

not even the Ham Code matter to them only CW

2567. Re: CW is Laziness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-22 16:04:28 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153584268.279837.183670@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8147
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> news:1153434995.739193.180470@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>

> > I wonder how many a retired old-timer who decided to join ham radio
> > stroked out instead while doing speed runs trying to get to 13/20wpm on
> > CW?
>
>
> Why don't you get on your CB and ask them.
the idea the radio could contact the dead was bad enough in frequency
do you need to repeat it

but one of your other nicks is hey stupid so I guess we must expect
that
>
> SC

2568. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-22 17:41:23 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <nho4c2dptd37mitam6hdbh1kpfmbh1fq8i@4ax.com>
Source record: 8148
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 22 Jul 2006 08:45:57 -0400, "ohioradioham"
<me@anybodybutschmidt.com> wrote:

>Blow Code - your ideas will damn amateur radio and kill it.

That's evidently why the number of hams kept decreasing until code was
eliminated, right?

Oh, wait a minute - the numbers didn't START decreasing until code was
eliminated.

> Forcing CW onto newcomers will only turn them away
>from amateur radio since CW is seen by outsiders as old-fashioned and
>out-of-date. This is the 21st Century and people do not want to be forced
>to learn and use and communication method developed in the 19th Century.

People today don't want to be forced to learn - whether it's CW, or
how to build a trivial little interface between a transceiver (modern
invention) and a computer (another modern invention), or some antenna
theory. Just hand them a license and a radio and they want to be on
the air.

>Grow up and face reality.

Reality is that ham radio is turning into a multi-band CB - just buy a
radio and get on the air without actually knowing how it works or how
to use it.

2569. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-22 17:42:21 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <0ro4c2d13dq9i23ab98uiiql3spgmhfi22@4ax.com>
Source record: 8149
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 22 Jul 2006 09:02:12 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>not even the Ham Code matter to them only CW

Part of that code is honesty. How honest is it to memorize answers to
a test?

2570. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-22 19:03:44 UTC
From: Bill Turner <noway@nohow.com>
Message-ID: <fft4c29db6kut0820gc7jl18hl5l22ft7r@4ax.com>
Source record: 8150
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

ORIGINAL MESSAGE:

On Sat, 22 Jul 2006 13:41:23 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>Reality is that ham radio is turning into a multi-band CB - just buy a
>radio and get on the air without actually knowing how it works or how
>to use it.

------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------

Much as it pains me, I have to admit the above is true. It is
happening because that's what the majority wants. Isn't democracy
wonderful?

Sigh.

Bill, W6WRT
Licensed since 1957, the good 'ol days
20 WPM Extra who dislikes CW and always will

2571. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-22 19:25:17 UTC
From: clfe <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44c27b8d$0$3663$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 8051
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Bill Turner" <noway@nohow.com> wrote in message
news:fft4c29db6kut0820gc7jl18hl5l22ft7r@4ax.com...
> ORIGINAL MESSAGE:
>
> On Sat, 22 Jul 2006 13:41:23 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
> wrote:
>
>>Reality is that ham radio is turning into a multi-band CB - just buy a
>>radio and get on the air without actually knowing how it works or how
>>to use it.
>
> ------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------
>
> Much as it pains me, I have to admit the above is true. It is
> happening because that's what the majority wants. Isn't democracy
> wonderful?
>
> Sigh.
>
> Bill, W6WRT
> Licensed since 1957, the good 'ol days
> 20 WPM Extra who dislikes CW and always will

I'll agree with this - to a point. While hams "could" go about their hobby and be creative - making gadgets and so on to aid in their hobby or whatever else to keep the "electronics" part of it alive, many don't. Many just do as suggested, buy a radio and operate it - not doing another thing. Yes it can be a bit of a pain to design a multi band or even single band transmitter/receiver or transceiver - while they may not equal an off the shelf unit such as a Icom 706 or whatever, it does add to the fun of "Creating" and "Using" it. Yeah I know - like a car, who wants to build when you can buy. But if you've not built from scratch - try it sometime.
I've built many items and enjoy using them. They've also saved me umpteen hours of work to boot. I build only items I know I can get use of, not something I'm going to waste money on buying parts, time and labor and other materials - just to throw it in a drawer. IF you build something of use or convenience, you will appreciate it and desire to do even more. THAT is one way to carry out the Ham tradition EVEN IF you hate code and swear it off. I had to know code also for passing exams. I AM an examiner. I'm not "in love" with code, but then many are. To each their own. Radio is fairly diverse, it allows you to find your niche.

Technology in itself has to carry some blame - not everyone can repair the current type of equipment being sold. Not everyone has the tools and

necessary special soldering/desoldering equipment. Some of that can cost as much as a radio - itself. So, I say if ya want to at least "try" to participate in repairing your own, buy some older stuff, use it, repair it, ENJOY it. Enjoy using it AND being able to keep it alive.

I guess to make a point short and to the point - if you like ham - try to get as much out of the hobby as you can - after all - you took the time to get a license and spent the money to get involved. IF you don't like ham - maybe into CB, fine - learn what you need about antennas, coax, etc..... and get into your hobby that way. There IS something for everyone if they just LOOK. A hoby, regardless of it's nature - is to be ENJOYED.

Lou/Ka3flu

2572. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-22 19:45:02 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153597502.509378.294260@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8052
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 22 Jul 2006 09:02:12 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >not even the Ham Code matter to them only CW
>
> Part of that code is honesty. How honest is it to memorize answers to
> a test?
absolutely and conpletely honest

how balanced is to to place CW over all over ham knowledge?

How progressive is it?

how freindly is it to advocate keeping the bands for yourself based on
your own self interest?

how loyal is it to denny the nation the benifits of allowing more
operators to aquire the expence needed to truely work on hf by focusing
on CW?

how patriotic is it to keep a staion forom aquiing the skill to be
ready for service to conutry and community?

2573. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-22 20:14:17 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <tGvwg.3305$bP5.2711@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8053
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1153435123.460895.216170@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:

>
> Dirk wrote:
>
>> Ham's care more about operating appliances than knowing how to save a
>> lives.
>>
>> :-(
>
> This ham know CPR.
>
> I wonder how many a retired old-timer who decided to join ham radio
> stroked out instead while doing speed runs trying to get to 13/20wpm on
> CW?
>
> CW kills.

Survival of the fittest.

The fit get a ham license. All the rest get cell phones, CB, and shortwave
listening.

2574. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-22 20:56:45 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153601805.792891.317790@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8054
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> news:1153435123.460895.216170@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>
> >

> > CW kills.
>
>
> Survival of the fittest.
>
> The fit get a ham license.
guess you have not been a hamfest lately

2575. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-22 21:04:54 UTC
From: Bill Turner <noway@nohow.com>
Message-ID: <vf45c2pegnmkj2fre151hsqcrpuho20ahl@4ax.com>
Source record: 8055
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

ORIGINAL MESSAGE:

On Sat, 22 Jul 2006 15:25:17 -0400, "clfe"
<postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com> wrote:

>Yes it can
>be a bit of a pain to design a multi band or even single band
>transmitter/receiver or transceiver - while they may not equal an off the
>shelf unit such as a Icom 706 or whatever, it does add to the fun of
>"Creating" and "Using" it.

------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------

Right you are, but there is one area where homebuilders can still
equal or even outperform commercial manufacturers: High power
amplifiers.

Amplifiers these days are so expensive to buy and yet so simple to
build that a lot of hams enjoying rolling their own. Anyone interested
should join the Amps reflector at contesting.com or the RFAmplifiers
group at yahoo.com, or both.

Don't expect to throw one together in a weekend, but do spend some
time learning the ins and outs of amp design and go for it.

Fun, fun, fun!

Bill, W6WRT

2576. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-22 21:36:27 UTC
From: Steve <steve-o@aol.com>
Message-ID: <vTwwg.6179$1Z5.2107@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 8056
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Ya, and you're not a 'real' bowler either unless you have a $500 bowling
ball.

And you're not a 'real' fisherman until you've caught a Marlin off the coast
of Mexico either.

It's only a hobby. If you don't like it, pick another one!

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:qdbwg.1169$gF6.722@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Just thought you should know that.
>
>
> Help save Ham radio and ignore Markie to save
> usenet. Thanks
>
>
> 1- No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all
> elements required for their license class every ten years.
>
>
> 2- The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>
>
> 3- Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
>
> 4- Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
>
> 5- Cancel your ARRL membership until they decide to work to improve
> things and stop them from proposing ham radio that is like CB.
>
>

2577. ID Tracker II Alpha Release Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-22 23:14:12 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <riywg.18375$Nt.6552@bignews8.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 8057
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

An alpha release of ID Tracker II is now available. This release currently supports the BCD996T scanner only. Although the program should work (at least for the most part), this is still a work in progress so use it at your own risk. The documentation has not been updated at this time. Please read notes before downloading.

http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
http://home.bellsouth.net/p/PWP-k5dmh

ID Tracker
http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
For replies, use my callsign @bellsouth.net.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

2578. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-23 00:17:41 UTC
From: clfe <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44c2c014$0$3675$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 8058
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Steve" <steve-o@aol.com> wrote in message
news:vTwwg.6179$1Z5.2107@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> Ya, and you're not a 'real' bowler either unless you have a $500 bowling
> ball.
>
> And you're not a 'real' fisherman until you've caught a Marlin off the
> coast of Mexico either.
>
> It's only a hobby. If you don't like it, pick another one!
>
>
>

You use what you can afford. I bought my first ham set up for like $50 used - it was all I could afford at the time AND I had to fabricate some things to boot. I got my feet wet with it and it kept me interested. Not everyone can afford the "best". It doesn't mean they're any less of a hobbiest in that particular field. "I" do not try to keep up with the Jones' as they say. IF my friend comes home with a brand new radio - it doesn't mean I'll run out to buy one. Same with a bowling ball, etc. That NEW radio, bowling ball, fishing (equipment) / expedition, hunting rifle - isn't going to promise a damned thing. Human intervention "still" counts. Take astronomy as a hobby..... there are bigger and better(?) telescopes supported by large universities or other groups. Still - many finds are done with the smaller back yard telescopes. It's not the size - the cost that count. The "user" has to do something to make it count. AND in many cases - be it finding a rare station on the bands, an asteroid, bowling all strikes, etc..... LUCK has a hell of a lot to do with it. Even the best in esperience and equipment - fail - sometimes.

Given a choice between a new H.F. rig and either an old boat anchor OR a homebrew rig to make a contact with, I'd pick the latter - hands down. It tends to give you a tad more pride using such old equipment that maybe you repaired or bought cheap OR built from scratch. Personally, I had an HW 101 and now have a TS440S (bought new when offered). If the Heathkit had as many bells and whistles as the Kenwood - only in the extra bands - I'd take it hands down. I love the audio of the tube radios over the newer radios. The Kenwood had an Autotuner - I could tune the Heath with an outboard tuner faster than that dumb autotuner did the Kenwood - in many cases. Point being, those older radios are not as sophisticated as the new stuff, but they sure still performed. Being new, being eh - better(?) isn't what it is always about. And even the homebrew stuff, be it solid state OR tube - when it comes alive with audio out of the speaker - or putting out that first signal over the air waves - there is no better feeling.

You have a ham license and buy a used rig - you're a ham. Buy a used bowling ball, go bowling as often as possible - you're a bowler. Go fishing as often as possible even with a $10 fishing pole, you're a fisherman. Pitch a tent with a sheet over a line - you're a camper. Too many people worry about "impressing" others. Do you do code? IF so, is it at 5 wpm or 60? WHO CARES - you're enjoying the hobby at your own level.

lou-ka3flu

2579. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-23 00:33:05 UTC
From: clfe <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44c2c3b1$0$3654$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 8059
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"clfe" <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com> wrote in message
news:44c2c014$0$3675$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net...
> "Steve" <steve-o@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:vTwwg.6179$1Z5.2107@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
>> Ya, and you're not a 'real' bowler either unless you have a $500 bowling
>> ball.
>>
>> And you're not a 'real' fisherman until you've caught a Marlin off the
>> coast of Mexico either.
>>
>> It's only a hobby. If you don't like it, pick another one!
>>
>>
>>
>
> You use what you can afford. I bought my first ham set up for like $50
> used - it was all I could afford at the time AND I had to fabricate some
> things to boot. I got my feet wet with it and it kept me interested. Not
> everyone can afford the "best". It doesn't mean they're any less of a
> hobbiest in that particular field. "I" do not try to keep up with the
> Jones' as they say. IF my friend comes home with a brand new radio - it
> doesn't mean I'll run out to buy one. Same with a bowling ball, etc. That
> NEW radio, bowling ball, fishing (equipment) / expedition, hunting rifle -
> isn't going to promise a damned thing. Human intervention "still" counts.
> Take astronomy as a hobby..... there are bigger and better(?) telescopes
> supported by large universities or other groups. Still - many finds are
> done with the smaller back yard telescopes. It's not the size - the cost
> that count. The "user" has to do something to make it count. AND in many
> cases - be it finding a rare station on the bands, an asteroid, bowling
> all strikes, etc..... LUCK has a hell of a lot to do with it. Even the
> best in esperience and equipment - fail - sometimes.
>
> Given a choice between a new H.F. rig and either an old boat anchor OR a
> homebrew rig to make a contact with, I'd pick the latter - hands down. It
> tends to give you a tad more pride using such old equipment that maybe you
> repaired or bought cheap OR built from scratch. Personally, I had an HW
> 101 and now have a TS440S (bought new when offered). If the Heathkit had
> as many bells and whistles as the Kenwood - only in the extra bands - I'd
> take it hands down. I love the audio of the tube radios over the newer
> radios. The Kenwood had an Autotuner - I could tune the Heath with an
> outboard tuner faster than that dumb autotuner did the Kenwood - in many
> cases. Point being, those older radios are not as sophisticated as the new
> stuff, but they sure still performed. Being new, being eh - better(?)

> isn't what it is always about. And even the homebrew stuff, be it solid
> state OR tube - when it comes alive with audio out of the speaker - or
> putting out that first signal over the air waves - there is no better
> feeling.
>
> You have a ham license and buy a used rig - you're a ham. Buy a used
> bowling ball, go bowling as often as possible - you're a bowler. Go
> fishing as often as possible even with a $10 fishing pole, you're a
> fisherman. Pitch a tent with a sheet over a line - you're a camper. Too
> many people worry about "impressing" others. Do you do code? IF so, is it
> at 5 wpm or 60? WHO CARES - you're enjoying the hobby at your own level.
>
> lou-ka3flu

Code - CW........ a big argument over someone doing it or not........ WHY? When I got into Ham, I got into CW for a while, but then as now, I'm NOT in love with it. At that time, RTTY (Radio Teletype - for those who may not know) was still fairly big. Packet came in as did other modes. I "tried" RTTY - to me, as CW/Code is to some of you - it was BORING. You could also argue how RTTY could save a life. Any mode "could" under the right conditions. Someone may be aware of a situation and have had ONLY an RTTY machine - send the message to another with other equipment who then gets the help enroute to help the distressed. Let's say someone in a lighthouse seen a sinking ship and they only had the RTTY working. So - yes it may be far fetched but show how a "single" mode "could" "help" save a life. To bring it all together - again I say - you use what you have and to your level. IF you help save a life - congradulations. If you merely spend a quiet evening getting enjoyment out of it, more power to you. I would have found packet boring too, but it was before the internet got going hot and heavy - and it allowed me to get and receive "typed" messages to my friends who were licensed and so equipped.- just like e-mail for those of you who aren't familiar with packet. Pick a mode, try it - if ya like it - use it. If not, try another one. To each - his/her own.

2580. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-23 02:34:00 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <hln5c2pqmtbrutsuc6p99pj0o4l9el9g1a@4ax.com>
Source record: 8060
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 22 Jul 2006 12:45:02 -0700, "kb9rqz@hotmail.com"
<kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> On 22 Jul 2006 09:02:12 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
>> wrote:

>> Part of that code is honesty. How honest is it to memorize answers to
>> a test?

>absolutely and conpletely honest

By taking the test you're claiming that you understand the questions
and know the answers. By memorizing the answers you're not learning
enough to understand the questions.

But I wouldn't expect you to understand what "honesty" means.

>how balanced is to to place CW over all over ham knowledge?

No one is, any more than by requiring people to know the law one is
putting the law "over all ham knowledge".

>How progressive is it?

How progressive is it to not require people to know ... oh, yeah,
that's progressive, since the new thing is to hand out licenses
because people have some kind of "right" to get on the air.

>how loyal is it to denny the nation the benifits of allowing more
>operators

What "benefits" does the country get from more people using radios who
don't know the first thing about them? (Whatever "denny" means.)

> to aquire the expence needed to truely work on hf

You don't acquire knowledge (which is what's needed) by playing with a
radio.

>how patriotic is it to keep a staion forom aquiing the skill to be
>ready for service to conutry and community?

How does playing CB on the ham bands give one "the skill to be
ready for service to conutry and community"? Or any skill, other than
getting what you want? You don't acquire skill by doing something
that requires no skill. And you, particularly, don't acquire
knowledge by demanding something for nothing.

2581. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-23 02:34:56 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <e1o5c29r6guct0u6m2ekonaojgqq16d7ec@4ax.com>
Source record: 8061
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 22 Jul 2006 20:14:17 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>The fit get a ham license. All the rest get cell phones, CB, and shortwave
>listening.

No, SC - in today's society we can't hurt people's feelings, so the
loud get anything they want.

2582. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-23 02:40:54 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153622454.318647.151960@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8062
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> Just thought you should know that.
> OTOH SC I am not disqualified from being a real ham by your title

2583. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-23 03:06:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153623969.274562.324210@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8063
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 22 Jul 2006 12:45:02 -0700, "kb9rqz@hotmail.com"
> <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
> >> On 22 Jul 2006 09:02:12 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> >> wrote:
>
> >> Part of that code is honesty. How honest is it to memorize answers to
> >> a test?
>
> >absolutely and conpletely honest
>
> By taking the test you're claiming that you understand the questions
> and know the answers. By memorizing the answers you're not learning
> enough to understand the questions.
no, one is claiming they can pass the test

which is the only requirement
>
> But I wouldn't expect you to understand what "honesty" means.
I do know what honesty means and you don't employ it

>
> >how balanced is to to place CW over all over ham knowledge?
>
> No one is, any more than by requiring people to know the law one is
> putting the law "over all ham knowledge"
you certainly are

but no one is required to know the law at all merely happpening to obey
it is enough

the current system place CW over all over modes combined any statement
to the contary is dishonest
.
>
> >How progressive is it?
>
> How progressive is it to not require people to know ... oh, yeah,
> that's progressive, since the new thing is to hand out licenses
> because people have some kind of "right" to get on the air.
try that agin is english if you please

best I can make out is another of your snide (and unfreindly and
illcosidered) slaps at newer ops that have obeyed the rules
>
> >how loyal is it to denny the nation the benifits of allowing more
> >operators
>
> What "benefits" does the country get from more people using radios who
> don't know the first thing about them? (Whatever "denny" means.)
you statement makes no sense since obviously anyone that has a radio
and can turn it on knows at least the first thing ,if he/she can get on
the air he know a few more
>
> > to aquire the expence needed to truely work on hf
>
> You don't acquire knowledge (which is what's needed) by playing with a
> radio.
knowledge is needed why? It is helpfull I grant you but needed vs
experence
well that is Bullshit I know more I supect about radio and RF than you
having studied EM waves and their proerty at the College level and yet
this knowledge is only mildly usefull if I am on the HF bands as I
often am for Feild day or something to be a more effective operator I
need expernce at HF not knowledge of circuts
>
> >how patriotic is it to keep a staion forom aquiing the skill to be
> >ready for service to conutry and community?
>
> How does playing CB on the ham bands give one "the skill to be
> ready for service to conutry and community"?
what is playing CB mean? other than then pejoritive
>Or any skill, other than
> getting what you want?
babble all you like
> You don't acquire skill by doing something
> that requires no skill.
so you are claiming this is NO skill in passing traffic at HF I think I
could find people that woluld disagree with you
> And you, particularly, don't acquire
> knowledge by demanding something for nothing.
no knowledge is aquired by learning Morse Code certainly no secert of
the unverse is derived for it

No one is suggesting that ANYONE be given something for nothing
but it is a requirement of law that restictions in access to PUBLIC
reasources must be reasonable in nature

knowledge of Morse code is not realected to prevelegdes it brings ask
the Armmy how many CW opperators it uses in routine affairs, the answer
is zero (intel is not for this prupose routine nor is specail ops)

2584. Re: Liberty Net Loons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-23 06:33:04 UTC
From: KK4TL <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1153636384.418576.288880@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8065
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Lanny wrote:
> http://www.3950.net/
>

Don't forget Sir Sidney and the late Arky Bob. And I hope Doofus Jammer and Tarzan are there, as well as WA9KJI, thought by some to be the greatest ham operator to ever key a microphone.

I hope these files are still there for the sake of posterity everyone can show their grandchildren what fun the hobby was before Riley came along and made everyone behave.

Too bad Kevin didn't get a tape of the Jammer of the Year awards ceremony the year Arky Bob was the winner.

W4SX, aka Captain America was a fine master of ceremonies that night.

And let us not forget the hard work by Mr. Davies and his staff in tallying the votes for this memorable event.

2585. Liberty Net Loons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-23 07:18:32 UTC
From: Lanny <anon@anon.com>
Message-ID: <5rprt.vno.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 8064
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://www.3950.net/

Scroll to the bottom of the page. See Kevin Alfred Strom's name there?
It the Liberty Net had even a shred of respectability, which it doesn't, the association with Nazi Strom shredded it and tossed it into the toilet.

It is worth downloading the .wav files to listen to W9ART jam Vic and his myopic apostles.

2586. Re: Liberty Net Loons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-23 08:45:29 UTC
From: Woger <anon@anon.com>
Message-ID: <5ruuv.7ek.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 8066
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"KK4TL" <kk4tl@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1153636384.418576.288880@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
Lanny wrote:
> http://www.3950.net/
>

Don't forget Sir Sidney and the late Arky Bob. And I hope Doofus
Jammer and Tarzan are there, as well as WA9KJI, thought by some to be
the greatest ham operator to ever key a microphone.

I hope these files are still there for the sake of posterity everyone
can show their grandchildren what fun the hobby was before Riley came
along and made everyone behave.

Too bad Kevin didn't get a tape of the Jammer of the Year awards
ceremony the year Arky Bob was the winner.

W4SX, aka Captain America was a fine master of ceremonies that night.

And let us not forget the hard work by Mr. Davies and his staff in
tallying the votes for this memorable event.

And don't leave me out! Me! Me! Woger the Wascal. You know me...the Jew with
Spew?
I can twist the cleanest Walt Disney family oriented posts into the most
twisted, perverted and vile posts of anybody on Usenet.
I am vile, rude, crude and uneducated. I'm loud and I'm proud.
Say it loud! "I'm Roger Wiseman and I'm here to stay."

2587. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-23 14:26:05 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1153664765.447946.4510@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8067
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 22 Jul 2006 12:45:02 -0700, "kb9rqz@hotmail.com"
> <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
> >> On 22 Jul 2006 09:02:12 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> >> wrote:
>
> >> Part of that code is honesty. How honest is it to memorize answers to
> >> a test?
>
> >absolutely and conpletely honest
>
> By taking the test you're claiming that you understand the questions
> and know the answers.

By releasing the Question Pools, the FCC is claiming that you must
memorize the answers.

No one is claiming any such thing.

> By memorizing the answers you're not learning
> enough to understand the questions.
>
> But I wouldn't expect you to understand what "honesty" means.

Why not?

> >how balanced is to to place CW over all over ham knowledge?
>
> No one is, any more than by requiring people to know the law one is
> putting the law "over all ham knowledge".

CW is pass/fail. To fail CW denies all HF privs (except for Alaska).

> >How progressive is it?
>
> How progressive is it to not require people to know ... oh, yeah,
> that's progressive, since the new thing is to hand out licenses
> because people have some kind of "right" to get on the air.

Then why is it with the prospect of losing the CW Exam, that you'se
guys want to "beef up" the written exams?

> >how loyal is it to denny the nation the benifits of allowing more
> >operators

>
> What "benefits" does the country get from more people using radios who
> don't know the first thing about them? (Whatever "denny" means.)

It's always been that way. You could even buy Heathkits already
assembled. (and Get a context clue: deny).

> > to aquire the expence needed to truely work on hf
>
> You don't acquire knowledge (which is what's needed) by playing with a
> radio.

Then the military has wasted billions of dollars over the years
"training" radio operators.

> >how patriotic is it to keep a staion forom aquiing the skill to be
> >ready for service to conutry and community?
>
> How does playing CB on the ham bands give one "the skill to be
> ready for service to conutry and community"?

Who knows? That's not what Mark is talking about, is it?

> Or any skill, other than
> getting what you want? You don't acquire skill by doing something
> that requires no skill.

So it really is all about CW. Why have a written Exam at all?

> And you, particularly, don't acquire
> knowledge by demanding something for nothing.

The requirements for an amateur radio license have been all over the
map over the history of the service. The ORIGINAL amateur radio
license had no Morse Code Exam, even when Morse Code was the only means
of communicating.

Get over it. Everyone else is moving on.

2588. Re: Liberty Net Loons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-23 17:06:45 UTC
From: moonofneptune <meatsuvtool3@yahoos.com>
Message-ID: <ed701$44c3ad43$d1cc5ac5$19757@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 8068
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"KK4TL" <kk4tl@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1153636384.418576.288880@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Lanny wrote:
> > http://www.3950.net/
> >
>
> Don't forget Sir Sidney and the late Arky Bob. And I hope Doofus
> Jammer and Tarzan are there, as well as WA9KJI, thought by some to be
> the greatest ham operator to ever key a microphone.

....the last that I heard, ole "Kind Jewish Indian" has fully entered the
terminal stages of Alzheimer's and is now happily enjoying his dotage
at the Happy Trails Home for Seniors, enjoying his daily bowl of
instant oatmeal, rice cakes, tuna salad and drooling while staring into
space in a wolld inside of his own head while The Price is Right and
Wheel of Fortune plays on the institution TeeVee hanging on the wall.

Note: For those of you who are brave enuf to take a peek into
just EXACTLY takes place inside of those "Senior Group Homes"
I HIGHLY RECCOMEND the series: "THE TRIALS OF
ST. TIMMY'S" by the late Paul Ess.

here: http://www.geocities.com/SunsetStrip/Disco/3645/pauless.html

Page down halfway, oper each part, right click to save, read at your
lesiure.
(it's where 75% of you are going - enjoy the ride!)

2589. Re: Liberty Net Loons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-23 17:13:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153674786.671235.172670@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8069
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

moonofneptune wrote:
> "KK4TL" <kk4tl@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1153636384.418576.288880@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

> ------
>
> Note: For those of you who are brave enuf to take a peek into
> just EXACTLY takes place inside of those "Senior Group Homes"
> I HIGHLY RECCOMEND the series: "THE TRIALS OF
> ST. TIMMY'S" by the late Paul Ess.
no thanks I prefer something less disgusting like wismen raving about

it is pleasenter reading my old had to spend a breif time till an
injury healed up enough to bring him (well he healed enough then stayed
about 3 days wihile I worked out the last of the adjusments to the
house)

2590. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-23 18:25:46 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <KaPwg.11385$2v.5647@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 8070
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> The requirements for an amateur radio license have been all over the
> map over the history of the service. The ORIGINAL amateur radio
> license had no Morse Code Exam, even when Morse Code was the only means
> of communicating.

Therein lies the solution to the problem. Make A1 the
only mode allowed within amateur radio - solves all
the problems, doesn't it? No more mode arguments, no
more band crowding, no more expensive equipment, ...
The list of advantages is virtually endless.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2591. Re: Liberty Net Loons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-23 21:17:30 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <KHRwg.8845$vO.1355@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8071
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Woger wrote:
> "KK4TL" <kk4tl@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1153636384.418576.288880@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Lanny wrote:
>
>>http://www.3950.net/
>>
>
>
> Don't forget Sir Sidney and the late Arky Bob. And I hope Doofus
> Jammer and Tarzan are there, as well as WA9KJI, thought by some to be
> the greatest ham operator to ever key a microphone.
>
> I hope these files are still there for the sake of posterity everyone
> can show their grandchildren what fun the hobby was before Riley came
> along and made everyone behave.
>
> Too bad Kevin didn't get a tape of the Jammer of the Year awards
> ceremony the year Arky Bob was the winner.
>
> W4SX, aka Captain America was a fine master of ceremonies that night.
>
> And let us not forget the hard work by Mr. Davies and his staff in
> tallying the votes for this memorable event.
>
> And don't leave me out! Me! Me! Woger the Wascal. You know me...the Jew with
> Spew?
> I can twist the cleanest Walt Disney family oriented posts into the most
> twisted, perverted and vile posts of anybody on Usenet.
> I am vile, rude, crude and uneducated. I'm loud and I'm proud.
> Say it loud! "I'm Roger Wiseman and I'm here to stay."
>

You have that right about Woger being a total douchebag. He's been one
of those for 50 years and you'll never be able to clean him up. No
matter how much soap

2592. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-23 22:44:25 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <i3u7c2pkac31batesiged5qn311k5psat0@4ax.com>
Source record: 8072
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 23 Jul 2006 07:26:05 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:

>> By taking the test you're claiming that you understand the questions
>> and know the answers.

>By releasing the Question Pools, the FCC is claiming that you must
>memorize the answers.

Must? Where's the "must"? Or do you mean "If you aren't intelligent
enough, or motivated enough, to learn a little, the only way to get a
license is to memorize the answers."

>No one is claiming any such thing.

>> By memorizing the answers you're not learning
>> enough to understand the questions.

>> But I wouldn't expect you to understand what "honesty" means.

>Why not?

Because he's already admitted that he's dishonest.

>> >how balanced is to to place CW over all over ham knowledge?

>> No one is, any more than by requiring people to know the law one is
>> putting the law "over all ham knowledge".

>CW is pass/fail. To fail CW denies all HF privs (except for Alaska).

Theory is also pass/fail. To fail to get the required number of
correct answers denies all privs - HF, VHF, UHF ...

>> >How progressive is it?

>> How progressive is it to not require people to know ... oh, yeah,
>> that's progressive, since the new thing is to hand out licenses
>> because people have some kind of "right" to get on the air.

>Then why is it with the prospect of losing the CW Exam, that you'se
>guys want to "beef up" the written exams?

We don't. We want to get back the level it used to be before it was
dumbed down to the point that you could almost pass it if you never
heard of the FCC, ham radio or electronics. Just by guessing at the
answers. It used to require that you draw (was it 3?) schematics.
From scratch. Let's see how many people could do that today. A

Colpitts oscillator, a Hartley oscillator and some other circuit that I've forgotten at the moment. They're still as relevant today as they were 50 years ago.

>> >how loyal is it to denny the nation the benifits of allowing more
>> >operators

>> What "benefits" does the country get from more people using radios who
>> don't know the first thing about them? (Whatever "denny" means.)

>It's always been that way. You could even buy Heathkits already
>assembled.

But you had to actually *know* a little theory to use one legally. Today all you need is the time to take the test and the money for the test and the equipment. IOW, a CB "license" with a tiny bit of annoyance up front. How does CB benefit the country?

>> You don't acquire knowledge (which is what's needed) by playing with a
>> radio.

>Then the military has wasted billions of dollars over the years
>"training" radio operators.

I trained operators when I was in the military. We didn't do it by giving recruits radios and telling them to go jam each other.

>> >how patriotic is it to keep a staion forom aquiing the skill to be
>> >ready for service to conutry and community?

>> How does playing CB on the ham bands give one "the skill to be
>> ready for service to conutry and community"?

>Who knows? That's not what Mark is talking about, is it?

That's exactly what he's talking about. Give someone a radio and a "license" to use it and he'll "acquire the skill to be ready for service to country and community". That's what Mark said, right up above. How does one acquire skill by playing radio?

>> Or any skill, other than
>> getting what you want? You don't acquire skill by doing something
>> that requires no skill.

>So it really is all about CW. Why have a written Exam at all?

You don't acquire technical skill by doing something that doesn't require technical skill. You don't acquire operating skill by doing something that requires no operating skill. And you don't acquire skill in CW by cursing into a mike.

But that's what Mark and his ilk want - we'll have "skilled operators" if we allow people to buy radios and put them on the air with no skill or knowledge. By osmosis? Or by magic?

>> And you, particularly, don't acquire
>> knowledge by demanding something for nothing.

>The requirements for an amateur radio license have been all over the
>map over the history of the service. The ORIGINAL amateur radio
>license had no Morse Code Exam, even when Morse Code was the only means
>of communicating.

So you'd get a license not knowing CW, build a radio (you couldn't buy one then) and ... what? Sit and look at it. Some things are just too obvious to need mentioning.

>Get over it. Everyone else is moving on.

Evidently not, or I'd be the only one in the world advocating that a test should actually test for something. There are actually millions of us who don't think lack of instant gratification is the worst thing in the world.

What next? DXCC awards for those who *want* to work 100 countries?

2593. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-23 22:46:33 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <muu7c25dfuonr83avgkg37vdt5baqckkkp@4ax.com>
Source record: 8073
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 23 Jul 2006 18:25:46 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> The requirements for an amateur radio license have been all over the
>> map over the history of the service. The ORIGINAL amateur radio
>> license had no Morse Code Exam, even when Morse Code was the only means
>> of communicating.

>Therein lies the solution to the problem. Make A1 the
>only mode allowed within amateur radio - solves all
>the problems, doesn't it? No more mode arguments, no
>more band crowding, no more expensive equipment, ...
>The list of advantages is virtually endless.

The disadvantages are too. No playing with digital modes. No
innovations. No new inventions by hams. And, I have to admit, my CW
has gotten a bit rusty - I doubt I could send readable code at much
over 15wpm these days. I can still copy faster than that, though.

2594. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-23 23:06:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153696012.697813.281860@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8074
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 23 Jul 2006 07:26:05 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
>
> >> By taking the test you're claiming that you understand the questions
> >> and know the answers.
>
> >By releasing the Question Pools, the FCC is claiming that you must
> >memorize the answers.
>
> Must? Where's the "must"? Or do you mean "If you aren't intelligent
> enough, or motivated enough, to learn a little, the only way to get a
> license is to memorize the answers."
well the only way you are going to lean the rules question is to
momorize
>
> >No one is claiming any such thing.
>
> >> By memorizing the answers you're not learning
> >> enough to understand the questions.
>
> >> But I wouldn't expect you to understand what "honesty" means.
>
> >Why not?
>
> Because he's already admitted that he's dishonest.
a admission that of itself proves me more honest than you

>
> >> >how balanced is to to place CW over all over ham knowledge?
>
> >> No one is, any more than by requiring people to know the law one is
> >> putting the law "over all ham knowledge".
>
> >CW is pass/fail. To fail CW denies all HF privs (except for Alaska).
>
> Theory is also pass/fail. To fail to get the required number of
> correct answers denies all privs - HF, VHF, UHF ...
no sigle element of i and besides you content nobody has trouble passig
it so it not pass fail but pass/pass

>
> >> >How progressive is it?

>
> >> How progressive is it to not require people to know ... oh, yeah,
> >> that's progressive, since the new thing is to hand out licenses
> >> because people have some kind of "right" to get on the air.
>
> >Then why is it with the prospect of losing the CW Exam, that you'se
> >guys want to "beef up" the written exams?
>
> We don't.
liar as you go on to prove
>We want to get back the level it used to be before it was
> dumbed down to the point that you could almost pass it if you never
> heard of the FCC, ham radio or electronics.
establish the need ofr such testing and I will support you
> Just by guessing at the
> answers. It used to require that you draw (was it 3?) schematics.
so what?
> From scratch. Let's see how many people could do that today. A
> Colpitts oscillator, a Hartley oscillator and some other circuit that
> I've forgotten at the moment. They're still as relevant today as they
> were 50 years ago.
and when was the last time you had to assemble one without any notes to
help you?
>
> >> >how loyal is it to denny the nation the benifits of allowing more
> >> >operators
>
> >> What "benefits" does the country get from more people using radios who
> >> don't know the first thing about them? (Whatever "denny" means.)
>
> >It's always been that way. You could even buy Heathkits already
> >assembled.
>
> But you had to actually *know* a little theory to use one legally.
nope you just had to pass the test
> Today all you need is the time to take the test and the money for the
> test and the equipment.
bullshit

must you undermine the ars by insutling allnew ops?
that is not coutesous either Al
> IOW, a CB "license" with a tiny bit of
> annoyance up front. How does CB benefit the country?
why do you hate CB so bad? did one of pinn your coax?

no support for your postion just insults

>
> >> You don't acquire knowledge (which is what's needed) by playing with a
> >> radio.
>

> >Then the military has wasted billions of dollars over the years
> >"training" radio operators.
>
> I trained operators when I was in the military. We didn't do it by
> giving recruits radios and telling them to go jam each other.
I am glad to read that

neither does the ARS your point ? or were you just ranting?

>
> >> >how patriotic is it to keep a staion forom aquiing the skill to be
> >> >ready for service to conutry and community?
>
> >> How does playing CB on the ham bands give one "the skill to be
> >> ready for service to conutry and community"?
>
> >Who knows? That's not what Mark is talking about, is it?
>
> That's exactly what he's talking about.
nope that isn't what I am tlaking about

> Give someone a radio and a
> "license" to use it and he'll "acquire the skill to be ready for
> service to country and community". That's what Mark said, right up
> above.
lying again
never said anything about giving a license away

what was that you said about being dishonest

> How does one acquire skill by playing radio?
the only to aquire skill at using a radio is by USING a radio
>
> >> Or any skill, other than
> >> getting what you want? You don't acquire skill by doing something
> >> that requires no skill.
>
> >So it really is all about CW. Why have a written Exam at all?
>
> You don't acquire technical skill by doing something that doesn't
> require technical skill.
meaning no need for a CW test?
> You don't acquire operating skill by doing
> something that requires no operating skill.
no need for writeen test either thn?
> And you don't acquire
> skill in CW by cursing into a mike.
who siad you did

but I for one have no interest in learning CW at all even if that were
possible for me (which I do not believe is the case bt that is another
arguement)

you OTOH seem to think it polite to disparage opertors that you likely
have never heard
>
> But that's what Mark and his ilk want - we'll have "skilled operators"
honestly in time if we did give the license away the user would
develope skill with it
> if we allow people to buy radios and put them on the air with no skill
> or knowledge. By osmosis? Or by magic?
the same way the skill were devloped in the first trail and error would
still work althogh I don't advocate reling on it
>
> >> And you, particularly, don't acquire
> >> knowledge by demanding something for nothing.
>
> >The requirements for an amateur radio license have been all over the
> >map over the history of the service. The ORIGINAL amateur radio
> >license had no Morse Code Exam, even when Morse Code was the only means
> >of communicating.
>
> So you'd get a license not knowing CW, build a radio (you couldn't buy
> one then) and ... what? Sit and look at it. Some things are just too
> obvious to need mentioning.
>
> >Get over it. Everyone else is moving on.
>
> Evidently not, or I'd be the only one in the world advocating that a
> test should actually test for something.
on here there are perhaps 3 people still advocating a Morse code test

OTOH nobody advocates ywe drop testing except occasion the frustrated
advocate of Code testing

Yes personalyI think some the thing we current test are at best
questionable I would prefer to foucs more on rules and safety question
I realy don't think any body needs to memorize thatwhat freg is white
in SSTV signal he know prehaps where to look it out but to have that
knowledge memorized no way and yet there is such a question on the
current extra pool
> There are actually millions
> of us who don't think lack of instant gratification is the worst thing
> in the world.
what has that got to do with maintining your frat house game called
Morse Code testing?
>
> What next? DXCC awards for those who *want* to work 100 countries?
who cares about a DXCC award? I certianly don't realy

or does not caring about working "countries that have no people in them
and sometimes barely exist at high tide make me not a ham either

2595. Join the RR Yahoo group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-24 03:07:35 UTC
From: johnaboat@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153710453.593842.185270@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8075

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/johnaboat/

2596. Re: Liberty Net Loons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-24 03:39:17 UTC
From: moonofneptune <meatsuvtool3@yahoos.com>
Message-ID: <23817$44c44190$d1cc58e2$26995@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 8076
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1153674786.671235.172670@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> moonofneptune wrote:
> > "KK4TL" <kk4tl@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1153636384.418576.288880@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> > ------
> >
> > Note: For those of you who are brave enuf to take a peek into
> > just EXACTLY takes place inside of those "Senior Group Homes"
> > I HIGHLY RECCOMEND the series: "THE TRIALS OF
> > ST. TIMMY'S" by the late Paul Ess.
> no thanks I prefer something less disgusting like wismen raving about
>
> it is pleasenter reading my old had to spend a breif time till an
> injury healed up enough to bring him (well he healed enough then stayed
> about 3 days wihile I worked out the last of the adjusments to the
> house)
>

Please do not post replies when your drunk Ted. ;)
```

2597. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-24 04:13:25 UTC
From: Jimmy Mac <no-spam@manicarcade.com>
Message-ID: <44c448f6$0$34562$742ec2ed@news.sonic.net>
Source record: 8077
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Are you fucking stoned???

If it weren't for no code, there would be no new Hams! Another 20 years and all you legitimate code endorsed hams will be dx'ing with the worms. We have to go with the times fool!

We've already lost 80% of interest to the Internet and all the IM's email and all.. You clearly are living in the dark ages..

If we are to save amateur radio at all, we need numbers. We've already lost too much spectrum due to the lack of use. So what if no code'rs gain access to HF? It's either that or we loose HF to the commercial interests.

I took the 13 and used code a grand total of one time in the last 16 years.

Asshole.

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:qdbwg.1169$gF6.722@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Just thought you should know that.
>
>
> Help save Ham radio and ignore Markie to save
> usenet. Thanks
>
>
> 1- No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all
> elements required for their license class every ten years.
>
>
> 2- The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>
>
> 3- Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
>
> 4- Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
>
> 5- Cancel your ARRL membership until they decide to work to improve
> things and stop them from proposing ham radio that is like CB.
>
>

2598. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-24 12:02:51 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <LF2xg.178469$F_3.93030@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 8078
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> Must? Where's the "must"? Or do you mean "If you aren't intelligent
> enough, or motivated enough, to learn a little, the only way to get a
> license is to memorize the answers."

How else one can know that the unit of resistance
is the "ohm", except by memorizing?
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2599. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-24 12:36:18 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <raf9c2hprbio962ub0cvf3t5ajq9054peo@4ax.com>
Source record: 8079
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 23 Jul 2006 21:13:25 -0700, "Jimmy Mac"
<no-spam@manicarcade.com> wrote:

>Another 20 years and
>all you legitimate code endorsed hams will be dx'ing with the worms. We have
>to go with the times fool!

Oh? Then you're in favor of REAL testing about digital modes? Like
questions on how Rayleigh fading limits bit rates on HF? That sort of
"the times"? (Or didn't you know that, without frame shifting,
digital modes cause problems on HF?)

Or are you one of those who favors as little testing as can be gotten
away with?

>If we are to save amateur radio at all, we need numbers.

So you'd rather have millions of unqualified hams who know nothing
about radio than a few hundred thousand who do. We already have a
Citizen's Band - we don't need a dozen more of them.

2600. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-24 12:49:35 UTC
From: "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net>
Message-ID: <80fce$44c4c1da$d06640f9$3239@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 8080
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On Sat, 22 Jul 2006 08:45:57 -0400, "ohioradioham"
> <me@anybodybutschmidt.com> wrote:
>
>> Blow Code - your ideas will damn amateur radio and kill it.
>
> That's evidently why the number of hams kept decreasing until code was
> eliminated, right?

The drop would have been far worse than it is now had the CW testing
speed not been reduced.
>
> Oh, wait a minute - the numbers didn't START decreasing until code was
> eliminated.

Sorry Al, but the drop of CW came around the explosion of the Internet.
Young people see our hobby as outdated and the CW testing requirement
reinforces that. What to see a huge drop in licenses? Bring back CW
testing for all licenses and raise the speeds again. There will be
hardly any new licenses issued if someone made such a gross and stupid
error in judgement.

>
>> Forcing CW onto newcomers will only turn them away
>>from amateur radio since CW is seen by outsiders as old-fashioned and
>> out-of-date. This is the 21st Century and people do not want to be forced
>> to learn and use and communication method developed in the 19th Century.
>
> People today don't want to be forced to learn - whether it's CW, or
> how to build a trivial little interface between a transceiver (modern
> invention) and a computer (another modern invention), or some antenna
> theory. Just hand them a license and a radio and they want to be on
> the air.
>
>> Grow up and face reality.

> Reality is that ham radio is turning into a multi-band CB - just buy a
> radio and get on the air without actually knowing how it works or how
> to use it.

CW testing has nothing to do with knowing how the radio works or how it
operates. Thanks for reinforcing some of my previous positions.

The ham bands were filled with idiots when we had all that CW testing.
CW testing has never kept out the idiots and never will.

Besides, since the US is a democracy, the ham bands will turn into whatever we let it turn into because the majority rules and CW freaks like yourself are increasingly in the minority.

2601. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-24 12:56:58 UTC
From: "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net>
Message-ID: <8407a$44c4c395$d06640f9$3874@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 8081
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
>
>
> Or are you one of those who favors as little testing as can be gotten
> away with?

Yup, as a person who has been a ham for 35 years, yup that's what I believe.
>
>> If we are to save amateur radio at all, we need numbers.

I absolutely agree.
>
> So you'd rather have millions of unqualified hams who know nothing
> about radio than a few hundred thousand who do.

Yup, that's what I also believe. Get people into the service and they
will start learning what they need to know on their own.

We already have a
> Citizen's Band - we don't need a dozen more of them.

Actually the CB argument is really old. I got a CB radio for a trip two
years ago so my 20 something daughters could talk on it. The reality is
that hardly anyone is on CB either. Channel 19 was pretty quiet
compared to what is sounded like 20 years ago.

So not only are people avoiding ham radio, they are also avoiding CB.
Find a new argument Al for keeping people out of ham radio will you?

2602. Re: Liberty Net Loons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-24 13:34:00 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153748040.372223.5610@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Lisping Llardass Lloyd showing his love of Roger's Woger wrote:
> "KK4TL" <kk4tl@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1153636384.418576.288880@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Lanny wrote:
> > http://www.3950.net/
> >
>
> Don't forget Sir Sidney and the late Arky Bob. And I hope Doofus
> Jammer and Tarzan are there, as well as WA9KJI, thought by some to be
> the greatest ham operator to ever key a microphone.
>
> I hope these files are still there for the sake of posterity everyone
> can show their grandchildren what fun the hobby was before Riley came
> along and made everyone behave.
>
> Too bad Kevin didn't get a tape of the Jammer of the Year awards
> ceremony the year Arky Bob was the winner.
>
> W4SX, aka Captain America was a fine master of ceremonies that night.
>
> And let us not forget the hard work by Mr. Davies and his staff in
> tallying the votes for this memorable event.
>
> And don't leave me out! Me! Me! Woger

Poor Lardass, too stupid and lazy to upgrade so you can be a
participant to the net you love instead of just being a passive
listener. BWHAHAHAHAHA!

2603. Re: Liberty Net Loons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-24 13:35:35 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153748135.644309.193380@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8083
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Billy Smith wrote:

> You have that right <IKYABWAI>

Poor Lardass, the 32 year old virgin talking to himself. Now run along
to a comic book convention, loser.

2604. Re: Liberty Net Loons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-24 13:36:38 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153748198.645953.80240@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8084
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

an_old_friend wrote:
> moonofneptune wrote:
> > "KK4TL" <kk4tl@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1153636384.418576.288880@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> > ------
> >
> > Note: For those of you who are brave enuf to take a peek into
> > just EXACTLY takes place inside of those "Senior Group Homes"
> > I HIGHLY RECCOMEND the series: "THE TRIALS OF
> > ST. TIMMY'S" by the late Paul Ess.
> no thanks I prefer something less disgusting like wismen raving about

Poor Markie, so queer for Roger Wiseman.

2605. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-24 13:51:08 UTC
From: gsm@mendelson.com
Message-ID: <slrnec9jjm.49c.gsm@cable.mendelson.com>
Source record: 8085
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Cecil Moore wrote:

> How else one can know that the unit of resistance
> is the "ohm", except by memorizing?

More importantly, that you can only transmit on 7.000 to 7.300 mHz, with restrictions on mode and license class. Or that you call "MAYDAY" on voice or SOS on CW for emergencies and never, never, never call "breaker, breaker".

Going back to the orginal point of memorizing or not, is knowing the type of oscilators and drawing their schematics anything but memorizing?

Actually, the only MORSE code you need to get help is SOS (not even run together as one letter). If you keep sending SOS, SOS, SOS, someone will eventually hear you and track you down.

Note that the original intent of the morse code test was that amateur radio was to provide a pool of ready trained radio operators in case of war. I'm in a country in the middle of a war, and I can guarentee you that NONE of the radio communications are morse code.

In fact, until we took out the cellular towers in Lebanon, almost all of the Hizbolah's command and control traffic was via cellular phone.

Geoff.

--
Geoffrey S. Mendelson, Jerusalem, Israel gsm@mendelson.com N3OWJ/4X1GM
IL Voice: (07)-7424-1667 IL Fax: 972-2-648-1443 U.S. Voice: 1-215-821-1838
Visit my 'blog at http://geoffstechno.livejournal.com/

2606. JTFEX-06 going today; "Solid 02" up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-24 14:19:24 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153750764.794833.250680@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8086
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.military.naval

JTFEX going today; "Solid 02" up
````````````````````````````````

It is Mon, 9:34am EDT (1334z).
At about 9am (1300z) this morning "Solid 02" departed MacDill AFB. I
am fairly certain that this is the RAF E-3D AWACS aircraft (ZH104) that
I heard going into MacDill on Friday using the callsign "Ascot 7058."
At that time I conjectured that he might be here for the JTFEX-06
exercise.
Well, a short while ago Solid 02 (British pilot) was up with Sealord,
first on 284.5 and then on 267.5. He explained he was out of MacDill
AFB and was en route to W-122 for the JTFEX exercise. I also heard him
using 327.1, the Jax Ctr high-altitude freq in the Daytona Beach area.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/
````````````````````````````````

2607. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-24 14:43:56 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <paudnQPFYdY0QVnZnZ2dnUVZ_rydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8087
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Geoffrey S. Mendelson wrote:

SNIPPED
>
> In fact, until we took out the cellular towers in Lebanon, almost all of
> the Hizbolah's command and control traffic was via cellular phone.
>
> Geoff.
>

With tongue in cheek I ask: "Now that the towers are out, does that mean
Hezzbollah is changing to CW?" :-)

/s/ W1MCE

2608. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-24 14:58:12 UTC
From: Bill Turner <noway@nohow.com>
Message-ID: <bmn9c25bbt9qvcdolfkevi5p4h67dvjgu4@4ax.com>
Source record: 8088
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

ORIGINAL MESSAGE:

On Mon, 24 Jul 2006 08:56:58 -0400, "J. D. B."
<duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote:

>Get people into the service and they
>will start learning what they need to know on their own.

------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------

JDB has the answer. A ham license should be like a learner's permit
for driving; proof that you know enough to get on the road and not
kill someone, not proof that you have mastered all facets of driving
any kind of vehicle.

If you can show you know these basics, I would give you a license:

1. Know the band limits.
2. Know the power limits.
3. Know how to tune up an amp.
4. Know how to recognize when something is wrong.

There could be a few more, but you get the idea. Knowing how the
Bayseian something-or-other affects HF propagation as someone
suggested can come later, much later.

Bill, W6WRT

2609. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-24 15:01:41 UTC
From: clfe <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44c4e0c5$0$2935$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 8089
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Dave" <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:paudnQPFYdY0QVnZnZ2dnUVZ_rydnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Geoffrey S. Mendelson wrote:
>
> SNIPPED
>>
>> In fact, until we took out the cellular towers in Lebanon, almost all of
>> the Hizbolah's command and control traffic was via cellular phone.
>>
>> Geoff.
>>
>
> With tongue in cheek I ask: "Now that the towers are out, does that mean
> Hezzbollah is changing to CW?" :-)
>
> /s/ W1MCE
>

Not knowing the "distances" involved - any chance they may be using YOUR
cell towers? IF so, that could really suck. Nothing like having your enemy
use your own equipment against you.

Lou

2610. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-24 16:15:03 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <8m6xg.49$Mi2.28@fe03.lga>
Source record: 8090
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:gijob2paistn335sjmffjab7mv493cmm3g@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 19:40:52 -0500, jakdedert
> <jakdedert@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>
>>Al Klein wrote:
>
>>> Then, as the courts would say, you have no standing in the matter.
>
>>Ahh...but I did, once
>
> But you don't now, and it's now now, it's not once.
>
>>proving that one has little to do with the other.
>
> And that you have little to do with this conversation.

You can argue till your blue in the face but CW requirement will be gone
sooner or later. I fully understand the points of the pro CW guys but it's
just the sign of the times.

BH

2611. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-24 21:56:24 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <ecgac2980f74sufirqjhsj74kqkf4nel6a@4ax.com>
Source record: 8091
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 24 Jul 2006 12:02:51 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:

>> Must? Where's the "must"? Or do you mean "If you aren't intelligent
>> enough, or motivated enough, to learn a little, the only way to get a
>> license is to memorize the answers."

>How else one can know that the unit of resistance
>is the "ohm", except by memorizing?

There's a difference between knowing that the unit of resistance is
the Ohm, and remembering that the answer to the question about
Kirchoff with the 3 resistors is 10,000 ohms.

2612. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-24 23:27:04 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153783624.825341.120110@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8092
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On Mon, 24 Jul 2006 12:02:51 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
>
> >> Must? Where's the "must"? Or do you mean "If you aren't intelligent
> >> enough, or motivated enough, to learn a little, the only way to get a
> >> license is to memorize the answers."
>
> >How else one can know that the unit of resistance
> >is the "ohm", except by memorizing?
>
> There's a difference between knowing that the unit of resistance is
> the Ohm, and remembering that the answer to the question about
> Kirchoff with the 3 resistors is 10,000 ohms.
someone could memorize that sort of detail I spuose but you nor your
friends have ever advanced any evidence that this occurs Indeed I
don't think it is possible to memorize enough to pass the test and
learn nothing in the bargan I can't prove that of course but it does
seem likely

2613. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-24 23:42:15 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153784535.633739.249980@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Brian Hill wrote:
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:gijob2paistn335sjmffjab7mv493cmm3g@4ax.com...
> > On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 19:40:52 -0500, jakdedert
> > <jakdedert@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Al Klein wrote:
> >
> >>> Then, as the courts would say, you have no standing in the matter.
> >
> >>Ahh...but I did, once
> >
> > But you don't now, and it's now now, it's not once.
> >
> >>proving that one has little to do with the other.
> >
> > And that you have little to do with this conversation.
>
> You can argue till your blue in the face but CW requirement will be gone
> sooner or later. I fully understand the points of the pro CW guys but it's
> just the sign of the times.
Indeed I understand the points of the CW crwod but I simply reject the
ntotions that merits of CW merit the strangle hold it has

after all I can do even EME without knowing a BIT of Morse did so last
night
>
> BH

2614. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-24 23:42:18 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <uVcxg.9478$vO.5615@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8093
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in news:8m6xg.49$Mi2.28@fe03.lga:

>
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:gijob2paistn335sjmffjab7mv493cmm3g@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 19:40:52 -0500, jakdedert
>> <jakdedert@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>>
>>>Al Klein wrote:
>>
>>>> Then, as the courts would say, you have no standing in the matter.
>>
>>>Ahh...but I did, once
>>
>> But you don't now, and it's now now, it's not once.
>>
>>>proving that one has little to do with the other.
>>
>> And that you have little to do with this conversation.
>
> You can argue till your blue in the face but CW requirement will be gone
> sooner or later. I fully understand the points of the pro CW guys but
> it's just the sign of the times.
>
> BH

We have to keep trying to save Ham radio while we still can because once it's all the way in the shitter it will be even harder to pull back out & clean up.

A Ham who'll stand for nothing will sit for anything. I won't accept more dumbing down.

Help save Ham radio:

1- No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all elements required for their license class every ten years.

2- The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.

3- Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

4- Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

5- Cancel your ARRL membership until they decide to work to improve things and stop them from proposing ham radio that is like CB.

2615. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-24 23:42:57 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <5Wcxg.9498$vO.6585@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8095
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in
news:e1o5c29r6guct0u6m2ekonaojgqq16d7ec@4ax.com:

> On Sat, 22 Jul 2006 20:14:17 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>The fit get a ham license. All the rest get cell phones, CB, and
>>shortwave listening.
>
> No, SC - in today's society we can't hurt people's feelings, so the
> loud get anything they want.

I guess that means I got to get louder too. LOL

SC

2616. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-24 23:44:56 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <YXcxg.9508$vO.3207@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8096
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Steve" <steve-o@aol.com> wrote in
news:vTwwg.6179$1Z5.2107@twister.nyroc.rr.com:

> Ya, and you're not a 'real' bowler either unless you have a $500 bowling
> ball.
>
> And you're not a 'real' fisherman until you've caught a Marlin off the
> coast of Mexico either.
>
> It's only a hobby. If you don't like it, pick another one!

It's not just a hobby, read part 97 again. If you wanted just a hobby you
should've stuck to CB and Stamp collecting.

Help save Ham radio and ignore Mark to save
usenet:

1- No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all
elements required for their license class every ten years.

2- The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.

3- Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

4- Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

5- Cancel your ARRL membership until they decide to work to improve
things and stop them from proposing ham radio that is like CB.

2617. Re: You're not a real ham if you if you keep advocating killing the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-24 23:53:28 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153785208.723816.64630@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8097
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "Steve" <steve-o@aol.com> wrote in
> news:vTwwg.6179$1Z5.2107@twister.nyroc.rr.com:
>
> > Ya, and you're not a 'real' bowler either unless you have a $500 bowling
> > ball.
> >
> > And you're not a 'real' fisherman until you've caught a Marlin off the
> > coast of Mexico either.
> >
> > It's only a hobby. If you don't like it, pick another one!
>
>
> It's not just a hobby, read part 97 again. If you wanted just a hobby you
> should've stuck to CB and Stamp collecting.
sure it is a hobby with service related aspects rather the Boy Scouts
are supposed to be
>
>
> Help save Ham radio and ignore Mark to save
> usenet:
if one wants to Help save Ham radio SC needs to get a life

2618. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-24 23:54:50 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153785290.735689.11100@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in
> news:e1o5c29r6guct0u6m2ekonaojgqq16d7ec@4ax.com:
>
> > On Sat, 22 Jul 2006 20:14:17 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >
> >>The fit get a ham license. All the rest get cell phones, CB, and
> >>shortwave listening.
> >
> > No, SC - in today's society we can't hurt people's feelings, so the
> > loud get anything they want.
>
>
> I guess that means I got to get louder too. LOL
it is way too late for that SC
>
> SC

2619. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 00:20:45 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <FZ-dnYiba5WU-VjZnZ2dnUVZ_s2dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8099
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:uVcxg.9478$vO.5615@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in news:8m6xg.49$Mi2.28@fe03.lga:
>
>>
>> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>> news:gijob2paistn335sjmffjab7mv493cmm3g@4ax.com...
>>> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 19:40:52 -0500, jakdedert
>>> <jakdedert@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Al Klein wrote:
>>>
>>>>> Then, as the courts would say, you have no standing in the matter.
>>>
>>>>Ahh...but I did, once
>>>
>>> But you don't now, and it's now now, it's not once.
>>>
>>>>proving that one has little to do with the other.
>>>
>>> And that you have little to do with this conversation.
>>
>> You can argue till your blue in the face but CW requirement will be gone
>> sooner or later. I fully understand the points of the pro CW guys but
>> it's just the sign of the times.
>>
>> BH
>
>
> We have to keep trying to save Ham radio while we still can because once
> it's all the way in the shitter it will be even harder to pull back out &
> clean up.
>
> A Ham who'll stand for nothing will sit for anything. I won't accept
> more dumbing down.
>
>
> Help save Ham radio:
>
>
> 1- No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all
> elements required for their license class every ten years.
```

>

No reason to. This has never existed in the history of amateur radio and
there is no reason to think it would improve things.

>
> 2- The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>

Might be OK.

>
> 3- Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>

Probably wouldn't make a real difference either way.

>
> 4- Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>

Probably wouldn't make any difference.

>
> 5- Cancel your ARRL membership until they decide to work to improve
> things and stop them from proposing ham radio that is like CB.
>

Terrible idea. The only way to get ARRL to change is to get involved in the
politics of ARRL and work to try to effect the changes that you want.
>

>

2620. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 00:59:09 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153789149.679540.21960@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8100
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Dee Flint wrote:
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:uVcxg.9478$vO.5615@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...

> >
> > 3- Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
> >
>
> Probably wouldn't make a real difference either way.
>
> >
> > 4- Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
> >
>
> Probably wouldn't make any difference.
certainly would but then you are into killing the ars of course
>
> >
> > 5- Cancel your ARRL membership until they decide to work to improve
> > things and stop them from proposing ham radio that is like CB.
> >
>
> Terrible idea. The only way to get ARRL to change is to get involved in the
> politics of ARRL and work to try to effect the changes that you want.
ask Carl Stevenson about that one
> >
>
> >
```

2621. Need Madison County Il Frequencies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 01:23:28 UTC
From: JC2429 <jcook2429@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <koexg.179573$F_3.122947@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 8001

I'm moving to Madison County IL, near Alton, Bethalto, Wood River and Edwardsville. I'm looking for the Police, fire, and Ambulance Frequencies for my Scanner.

2622. Re: Need Madison County Il Frequencies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 02:30:56 UTC
From: gray-beard <gray-beard@tryandguess.org>
Message-ID: <06GdnSXdwMTAH1jZnZ2dnUVZ_rGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8002

http://www.radioreference.com/

GB

JC2429 wrote:
> I'm moving to Madison County IL, near Alton, Bethalto, Wood River and
> Edwardsville. I'm looking for the Police, fire, and Ambulance Frequencies
> for my Scanner.
>
>

--
"There's no way to rule innocent men. The only power any government
has is the power to crack down on criminals. Well, when there aren't
enough criminals, one makes them. One declares so many things to be a
crime that it becomes impossible to live without breaking laws."

-- Ayn Rand, Atlas Shrugged

2623. Re: JTFEX-06 going today; "Solid 02" up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 03:36:03 UTC
From: Vee-One <vierps@gci.com>
Message-ID: <12cb4bqq01bmla8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 8003
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.military.naval

<Allan.Stern@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1153750764.794833.250680@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> JTFEX going today; "Solid 02" up
> ````````````````````````````````
> It is Mon, 9:34am EDT (1334z).
> At about 9am (1300z) this morning "Solid 02" departed MacDill AFB. I
> am fairly certain that this is the RAF E-3D AWACS aircraft (ZH104) that
> I heard going into MacDill on Friday using the callsign "Ascot 7058."
> At that time I conjectured that he might be here for the JTFEX-06
> exercise.

Wow, you've got the Brits down there, and we've got NATO and JASDEF AWACS up
here in AK for an Exercise. All we need now are the Frogs and the Saudis,
and we could have a party!

Vee-One

2624. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 03:37:53 UTC
From: clfe <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44c59219$0$2926$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 8004
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:FZ-dnYiba5WU-VjZnZ2dnUVZ_s2dnZ2d@comcast.com...

>> 5- Cancel your ARRL membership until they decide to work to improve
>> things and stop them from proposing ham radio that is like CB.
>>
>
> Terrible idea. The only way to get ARRL to change is to get involved in
> the politics of ARRL and work to try to effect the changes that you want.
>>

You're most likely correct on getting into the "politics" of the ARRL to "try" to get anywhere. But, good luck. It is more like a "good ole boys club". Anytime I've ever seen any reps to the area at a hamfest - they acted like snobs more than trying to communicate with hams of their concerns OR to try to win those hams who weren't members - to become members. If the rep couldn't give me the time of day, the ARRL didn't need my money either. I stopped my membership when it was due for renewal. That was a good 15 years ago or better. How did the rep act like a snob? He turned to his bud who was with him behind the table and ignored others "trying" to gain his attention and talk to him about whatever. Oh - he may look and say Hi, but god forbid you interrupt his conversation with his buddy. So much for the "MEET YOUR ARRL REP HERE"

lou

2625. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 03:47:55 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <lk4bc2tnolo65jscfvn9uvtm02ppb5iq0h@4ax.com>
Source record: 8005
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 24 Jul 2006 08:49:35 -0400, "J. D. B."
<duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote:

> Young people see our hobby as outdated and the CW testing requirement
>reinforces that. What to see a huge drop in licenses? Bring back CW
>testing for all licenses and raise the speeds again. There will be
>hardly any new licenses issued if someone made such a gross and stupid
>error in judgement.

The drop started MANY years before CW was dropped. Want to increase
the number of hams? Eliminate cellular phones (a lot of people got on
the air to have communications in the car) and the internet - since
those are two of the prime causes of lack of interest in ham radio
today.

>CW testing has nothing to do with knowing how the radio works or how it
>operates.

But many of the same people who want to eliminate CW also want to
eliminate any tests that would really test for knowledge. It's not CW
they want to eliminate, it's effort. You can cheat on written tests
but, since you can't cheat much on CW (although some have), they want
it eliminated. Not just kept for one class of license. What's wrong
with code-free HF, but an additional class with, say, a 20wpm CW test?
It would have nothing to do with the number of people becoming hams.
But those who are opposed to CW testing are opposed to ALL CW testing.
Getting on the air - with the highest class license available is, to
them, their right.

It's like people who are adamantly opposed to having driver's licenses
revoked because "driving is a right".

Sorry, but getting on the public airwaves is not a right.

>Besides, since the US is a democracy, the ham bands will turn into
>whatever we let it turn into because the majority rules

Democracy is a form of government that protects the minority from the
excesses of the majority - the majority doesn't need protection from
itself.

2626. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 03:50:43 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <v35bc217t3mbudt8puctjisgnbk4flu3j6@4ax.com>
Source record: 8006
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 24 Jul 2006 08:56:58 -0400, "J. D. B."
<duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote:

>Yup, that's what I also believe. Get people into the service and they
>will start learning what they need to know on their own.

What color is the sky on your world? (If you were correct, most CBers
would have a pretty good knowledge of electronics and propagation.)

>So not only are people avoiding ham radio, they are also avoiding CB.

So how does CW enter into things?

>Find a new argument Al for keeping people out of ham radio will you?

Oh? It's not MY argument that CW keeps people from using radios, it's
YOURS! And you just destroyed your own best argument.

2627. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 03:52:37 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <2a5bc21ed6fvmham95o9uvtjrjkmcoh55k@4ax.com>
Source record: 8007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 24 Jul 2006 13:51:08 +0000 (UTC), gsm@mendelson.com (Geoffrey
S. Mendelson) wrote:

>Note that the original intent of the morse code test was that amateur
>radio was to provide a pool of ready trained radio operators in case of
>war. I'm in a country in the middle of a war, and I can guarentee you that
>NONE of the radio communications are morse code.

And none of the current crop of CBers is qualified to provide military
(or any other coherent form of) communications.

2628. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 03:55:40 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <qd5bc25n0khh0akqt6qfdetlo5497jst9u@4ax.com>
Source record: 8008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 24 Jul 2006 11:15:03 -0500, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote:

>You can argue till your blue in the face but CW requirement will be gone
>sooner or later. I fully understand the points of the pro CW guys but it's
>just the sign of the times.

The sign reads, "Instant Gratification". Buy the equipment and be
able to put it on the air immediately.

It wouldn't surprise me if, in the not too distant future, one will be
able to buy a ham transceiver, create call letters out of one's
initials or something and legally be on the air while waiting for the
real "ask for it and you get it for a fee" license.

2629. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 04:36:13 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <ea46v6inv1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 8009
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:qd5bc25n0khh0akqt6qfdetlo5497jst9u@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 24 Jul 2006 11:15:03 -0500, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote:
>
>>You can argue till your blue in the face but CW requirement will be gone
>>sooner or later. I fully understand the points of the pro CW guys but it's
>>just the sign of the times.
>
> The sign reads, "Instant Gratification". Buy the equipment and be
> able to put it on the air immediately.
>
> It wouldn't surprise me if, in the not too distant future, one will be
> able to buy a ham transceiver, create call letters out of one's
> initials or something and legally be on the air while waiting for the
> real "ask for it and you get it for a fee" license.

Ahh.. the 1977 solution.. first, middle and last initials followed by your 5
digit zip code....

2630. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 04:44:46 UTC
From: BruceMN44 <Brucemm33@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1153802686.615533.35630@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8010
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> Just thought you should know that.
>
>
> Help save Ham radio and ignore Markie to save
> usenet. Thanks
>
>
> 1- No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all
> elements required for their license class every ten years.
>
>
> 2- The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>
>
> 3- Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
>
> 4- Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
>
> 5- Cancel your ARRL membership until they decide to work to improve
> things and stop them from proposing ham radio that is like CB.

2631. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 04:46:14 UTC
From: "Sal M. Onella" <salmonella@food.poisoning.org>
Message-ID: <rmhxg.12218$lv.7015@fed1read12>
Source record: 8011
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

<somebody> wrote ...

> Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
> Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

... and new aircraft pilot license requirement: Demonstrate an engine start by spinning the prop -- by hand. Even if you intend to fly only jets, some old "prop-job" might be the only thing that can get through in an emergency.

I wonder: Did the radio amateur community go through anything like this for the transition away from spark?

2632. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 04:57:39 UTC
From: BruceMN44 <Brucemm33@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1153803459.202181.221300@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8012
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I can't for the life of me understand why there is so much concern over learning code. After 20 yrs in radiation physics (ionizing, not RF communications) as a living, I finally decided to go for an amature radio license. It wasn't until I got here that I learned about this rift in the community (I have seen it in a few places)....granted it may be a few spirited individuals on each side that perpetuate this argument of learning code. I'm very dismayed by this rift, I wanted to join a fellow group of RF communication enthusianists. I don't need a segration here too...there is enough of that society already, I don't need that in a hobby too. I hear my family calling....thats were my time needs to be spent.
Bruce
Duluth, MN

Slow Code wrote:
> Just thought you should know that.
>
>
> Help save Ham radio and ignore Markie to save
> usenet. Thanks
>
>
> 1- No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all
> elements required for their license class every ten years.
>
>
> 2- The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>
>
> 3- Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
>
> 4- Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
>
> 5- Cancel your ARRL membership until they decide to work to improve
> things and stop them from proposing ham radio that is like CB.

2633. Re: Liberty Net Loons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 08:07:15 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Tikxg.2772$gF6.716@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8013
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> Billy Smith wrote:
>
>
>>You have that right <IKYABWAI>
>
>
> Poor Lardass, the 32 year old virgin talking to himself. Now run along
> to a comic book convention, loser.
>

Get off your momma Weissman, oh that's right, everyone else already got
off on her.

2634. Re: Liberty Net Loons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 08:08:07 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Hjkxg.2773$gF6.117@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8014
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:

> an_old_friend wrote:
>
>>moonofneptune wrote:
>>
>>>"KK4TL" <kk4tl@aol.com> wrote in message
>>>news:1153636384.418576.288880@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>------
>>>
>>>Note: For those of you who are brave enuf to take a peek into
>>>just EXACTLY takes place inside of those "Senior Group Homes"
>>>I HIGHLY RECCOMEND the series: "THE TRIALS OF
>>>ST. TIMMY'S" by the late Paul Ess.
>>
>>no thanks I prefer something less disgusting like wismen raving about
>
>
> Poor Markie, so queer for Roger Wiseman.
>

Only Scheissman would be such a queer to want another guy to be with
him. Then again, what do you expect from a West Virginia loser

2635. 10 year rememberance!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 08:34:35 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <1153816475.315179.198290@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8015

10 years ago this month a terrible war broke out on this newsgroup called the "Bill Cheek War". Several threads were flying across this newsgroup with the main thread called "Bill Cheeks is an asshole" which broke newsgroup records for the longest lasting thread ever (about 3 months). This was a serious war that lasted roughly 2 years, with the primary problems being the first several months.

http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.scanner/browse_thread/thread/7ccb7a99f2443ea2/c7d5b94a673af4e5?lnk=st&q=&rnum=1#c7d5b94a673af4e5

The war on this newsgroup became so massive that many people thought it would cause the demise or collapse of the newsgroup. Several people called for the newsgroup to be disbanded while others suggested it be moderated. Many people simply left the newsgroup. Several people had their identities stolen and/or were the victims of email-bombs.

http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.scanner/browse_thread/thread/5a155380e4674436/88b7484162d50b63?lnk=gst&q=moderated&rnum=2#88b7484162d50b63

http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.scanner/browse_thread/thread/6e9b0570155f33f4/94836be2db3dcf30?lnk=gst&q=email+bomb&rnum=2#94836be2db3dcf30

The war was caused by a person who refused to compromise (which he called (ZERO tolorance) and caused many people to respond in attempt to salvage the integrity and fairness of this newsgroup. The person and his supporting group for him was called "Cheek-A-Likes" or "CAL's". Due to the threats and retaliatory actions that were dispensed to those to did not behave in a way that the CAL's deemed acceptable, a handful of key people stood up for the decency of this newsgroup.

Had it not been for the brave people who stood up to defend the integrity and freedom of this newsgroup, it is very possible that this group would not be functional today. Thank you to those veterans who stood up for this newsgroup.

2636. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 09:01:04 UTC
From: gsm@mendelson.com
Message-ID: <slrnecbne9.muv.gsm@cable.mendelson.com>
Source record: 8016
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:

> And none of the current crop of CBers is qualified to provide military
> (or any other coherent form of) communications.

Why? I assume you really mean that the current crop of new hams needs education. Have you done anything? How many new hams have you elmered?

Are you active on 2m? Do you speak to new no-code hams and offer to teach them morse code, let them see your HF station in operation? Have them assist you during contests?

If all you do is hang out on the HF bands, and complain about how bad the new hams are, they will stay that way. Whose fault is that?

73,

Geoff.

--
Geoffrey S. Mendelson, Jerusalem, Israel gsm@mendelson.com N3OWJ/4X1GM
IL Voice: (07)-7424-1667 IL Fax: 972-2-648-1443 U.S. Voice: 1-215-821-1838
Visit my 'blog at http://geoffstechno.livejournal.com/

2637. Re: Liberty Net Loons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 10:56:38 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1153824998.849092.167200@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8017
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Billy Smith wrote:
> peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Billy Smith wrote:
> >
> >
> >>You have that right <IKYABWAI>
> >
> >
> > Poor Lardass, the 32 year old virgin talking to himself. Now run along
> > to a comic book convention, loser.
> >
>
> Get off your momma Weissman, oh that's right, everyone else already got
> off on her.

You must be thinking of Shelly the "Iowa matress," Lardass! She sure
does those truckers at the truck stops, even the black ones.

2638. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 12:33:59 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <1t3cc2tgb8e05if795s3rqn63uqjrls4ps@4ax.com>
Source record: 8018
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 24 Jul 2006 21:57:39 -0700, "BruceMN44" <Brucemm33@aol.com> wrote:

>argument of learning code. I'm very dismayed by this rift, I wanted to
>join a fellow group of RF communication enthusianists. I don't need a
>segration here too...there is enough of that society already, I don't
>need that in a hobby too.

There are rifts in all hobbies.

2639. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 12:34:46 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <au3cc2lnvuu40nssa5n46ks8204urgi9eu@4ax.com>
Source record: 8019
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 24 Jul 2006 16:27:04 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>someone could memorize that sort of detail I spuose but you nor your
>friends have ever advanced any evidence that this occurs Indeed I
>don't think it is possible to memorize enough to pass the test and
>learn nothing in the bargan I can't prove that of course but it does
>seem likely

It's so likely that people have bragged about it.

2640. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 12:37:26 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <sv3cc25ef7fjq4qin2cvu1o4ktpuis6u48@4ax.com>
Source record: 8020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 25 Jul 2006 09:01:04 +0000 (UTC), gsm@mendelson.com (Geoffrey
S. Mendelson) wrote:

>> And none of the current crop of CBers is qualified to provide military
>> (or any other coherent form of) communications.
>
>Why? I assume you really mean that the current crop of new hams needs
>education. Have you done anything? How many new hams have you elmered?

A few dozen over the years, maybe over 100. I never kept count. Do I
still do it? No, the people I elmered are elmers now. And some of
the people THEY elmered are elmers. It's time I hung up my "elmer
spikes".

2641. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 12:38:21 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <r44cc2pqqqeju085pc7n8bp6r746caadrr@4ax.com>
Source record: 8021
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 24 Jul 2006 16:42:15 -0700, "kb9rqz@hotmail.com"
<kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote:

>after all I can do even EME

I sincerely doubt that. You probably couldn't even figure the loss on
an EME path.

2642. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 12:41:21 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <084cc2pa83r32o3ibkvf3ac79o7npu4nfj@4ax.com>
Source record: 8022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 24 Jul 2006 23:37:53 -0400, "clfe"
<postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com> wrote:

>ago or better. How did the rep act like a snob? He turned to his bud who was
>with him behind the table and ignored others "trying" to gain his attention
>and talk to him about whatever. Oh - he may look and say Hi, but god forbid
>you interrupt his conversation with his buddy. So much for the "MEET YOUR
>ARRL REP HERE"

Instead of canceling your membership you should have complained to
Newington. I've known a lot of League reps - some are great, some are
so-so and some are terrible. About the same mix as any large group of
human beings. Canceling your membership didn't make the situation any
better.

2643. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 12:42:25 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <hc4cc2l0p9m5edooei3a48h81gne3mt781@4ax.com>
Source record: 8023
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 25 Jul 2006 13:36:13 +0900, "Brenda Ann"
<brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:

>
>"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>news:qd5bc25n0khh0akqt6qfdetlo5497jst9u@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 24 Jul 2006 11:15:03 -0500, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote:
>>
>>>You can argue till your blue in the face but CW requirement will be gone
>>>sooner or later. I fully understand the points of the pro CW guys but it's
>>>just the sign of the times.
>>
>> The sign reads, "Instant Gratification". Buy the equipment and be
>> able to put it on the air immediately.
>>
>> It wouldn't surprise me if, in the not too distant future, one will be
>> able to buy a ham transceiver, create call letters out of one's
>> initials or something and legally be on the air while waiting for the
>> real "ask for it and you get it for a fee" license.
>
>Ahh.. the 1977 solution.. first, middle and last initials followed by your 5
>digit zip code....

And remember how that "improved" things? :)

2644. RAF E-3D AWACS in exercise offshore US East Coast

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 13:08:59 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153832938.996515.223660@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8024
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.aviation.military.naval,rec.radio.scanner

It is Tues 8:52am EDT (1252z).
For the second consecutive day, the RAF E-3D AWACS aircraft has
departed MacDill AFB and says he is "heading to W-122 to work with
Giantkiller for JTFEX-06."
This is E-3D ZH104 who arrived at MacDill on Friday as "Ascot 7058."
In my posting yesterday I identified his callsign as "Solid 02," but
later in the day yesterday and this morning I heard him more clearly,
and his callsign is "Selex 02."
He has just been handed off from Sealord's 267.5 to Jax Ctr's 327.1.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/

2645. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 13:31:26 UTC
From: Jack Ricci <ricci@mnsi.net>
Message-ID: <ea56fg0bg0@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 8025
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

...Would any of you say that Heathkit, of Benton Harbour, Michigan, maybe perpetuated the glamour within the ham hobby of the recent past, or did the glamour of the ham hobby past perpetuate Heathkit until there was no more glamour?

Jack

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message news:lk4bc2tnolo65jscfvn9uvtm02ppb5iq0h@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 24 Jul 2006 08:49:35 -0400, "J. D. B."
> <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote:
>
>> Young people see our hobby as outdated and the CW testing requirement
>>reinforces that. What to see a huge drop in licenses? Bring back CW
>>testing for all licenses and raise the speeds again. There will be
>>hardly any new licenses issued if someone made such a gross and stupid
>>error in judgement.
>
> The drop started MANY years before CW was dropped. Want to increase
> the number of hams? Eliminate cellular phones (a lot of people got on
> the air to have communications in the car) and the internet - since
> those are two of the prime causes of lack of interest in ham radio
> today.
>
>>CW testing has nothing to do with knowing how the radio works or how it
>>operates.
>
> But many of the same people who want to eliminate CW also want to
> eliminate any tests that would really test for knowledge. It's not CW
> they want to eliminate, it's effort. You can cheat on written tests
> but, since you can't cheat much on CW (although some have), they want
> it eliminated. Not just kept for one class of license. What's wrong
> with code-free HF, but an additional class with, say, a 20wpm CW test?
> It would have nothing to do with the number of people becoming hams.
> But those who are opposed to CW testing are opposed to ALL CW testing.
> Getting on the air - with the highest class license available is, to
> them, their right.
>
> It's like people who are adamantly opposed to having driver's licenses
> revoked because "driving is a right".
>
> Sorry, but getting on the public airwaves is not a right.
>
>>Besides, since the US is a democracy, the ham bands will turn into

>>whatever we let it turn into because the majority rules
>
> Democracy is a form of government that protects the minority from the
> excesses of the majority - the majority doesn't need protection from
> itself.

2646. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 14:23:09 UTC
From: wilber <wilber@mred.com>
Message-ID: <44C6294C.C57BD5EC@mred.com>
Source record: 8026
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

interesting thread,

I gues I could be considered a real ham ^ 3 power,

it took me three times to pass my cw test...

but I got my general written the first time.

I enjoy cw and all modes. lets use what we like to use.

73 to all

2647. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 14:34:17 UTC
From: "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net>
Message-ID: <a3cf2$44c62be1$d06640f9$20291@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 8027
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Really? My other hobby is model trains and I have never seen a rift in model trains in 45 years.

Ham Radio has rifts only because some people feel they are better than others and try to impose their will and superiority over others. Usually over a CW testing requirement, being able to repair old, outdated tube crap, and how fast one can send and receiver an old mode of communication. They feel that just because someone can't send and receive CW that they are somehow inferior. It is such foolishness. But hang in there. These old, unbending old-timers are dying off and eventually hams will all be united again promoting the service/hobby and joining the national organization in support of the service/hobby. I guess that's why God does not have people living forever. Death has a way of cleansing the hobby of the old, outdated, and outmoded.

Al Klein wrote:
> On 24 Jul 2006 21:57:39 -0700, "BruceMN44" <Brucemm33@aol.com> wrote:
>
>> argument of learning code. I'm very dismayed by this rift, I wanted to
>> join a fellow group of RF communication enthusianists. I don't need a
>> segration here too...there is enough of that society already, I don't
>> need that in a hobby too.
>
> There are rifts in all hobbies.

2648. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 15:25:00 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153841099.994341.82180@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8028
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On 24 Jul 2006 16:27:04 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >someone could memorize that sort of detail I spuose but you nor your
> >friends have ever advanced any evidence that this occurs Indeed I
> >don't think it is possible to memorize enough to pass the test and
> >learn nothing in the bargan I can't prove that of course but it does
> >seem likely
>
> It's so likely that people have bragged about it.
bragging did not make it true

I cercerly doubt anybody that passed memerized allthe answered and
LEARNED NOTHING at all in the bargain

2649. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 15:41:31 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153842091.291441.16690@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8029
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 24 Jul 2006 16:42:15 -0700, "kb9rqz@hotmail.com"
> <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >after all I can do even EME
>
> I sincerely doubt that. You probably couldn't even figure the loss on
> an EME path.
doubt it all you like

figure the path with any precison no, but I am can use the various
charts to know know I need to contact various types of stations

theseday 100w a 13b2 a preamp and you are able to pick up the larger
stations, and they can hear you

why do Ineed to be figure the path loss when I can determine the
parameters for sucess.

I honestly don't care how much of the signal islost along the way

I care wether a readble signal reach the otherside

2650. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 15:45:00 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153842300.466951.174190@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8030
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Sal M. Onella wrote:
> <somebody> wrote ...
>
> > Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
> >
> > Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> ... and new aircraft pilot license requirement: Demonstrate an engine start
> by spinning the prop -- by hand. Even if you intend to fly only jets, some
> old "prop-job" might be the only thing that can get through in an emergency.
>
> I wonder: Did the radio amateur community go through anything like this for
> the transition away from spark?
it idid so I hear and again with adoction of SSB and

the dino never learn that change is part of life and dealing with
change is part of being adult

2651. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 16:05:27 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12ccga7n3do8ta0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 8031
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On Mon, 24 Jul 2006 23:37:53 -0400, "clfe"
> <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com> wrote:
>
>
>>ago or better. How did the rep act like a snob? He turned to his bud who was
>>with him behind the table and ignored others "trying" to gain his attention
>>and talk to him about whatever. Oh - he may look and say Hi, but god forbid
>>you interrupt his conversation with his buddy. So much for the "MEET YOUR
>>ARRL REP HERE"
>
>
> Instead of canceling your membership you should have complained to
> Newington. I've known a lot of League reps - some are great, some are
> so-so and some are terrible. About the same mix as any large group of
> human beings. Canceling your membership didn't make the situation any
> better.

Remember also that most reps are elected by the few who bother to vote
for them. Most are unopposed. Sort of like politicians.

Dave WD9BDZ

2652. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 16:31:14 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153845074.694631.112920@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8032
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

David G. Nagel wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > On Mon, 24 Jul 2006 23:37:53 -0400, "clfe"
> > <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> >>ago or better. How did the rep act like a snob? He turned to his bud who was
> >>with him behind the table and ignored others "trying" to gain his attention
> >>and talk to him about whatever. Oh - he may look and say Hi, but god forbid
> >>you interrupt his conversation with his buddy. So much for the "MEET YOUR
> >>ARRL REP HERE"
> >
> >
> > Instead of canceling your membership you should have complained to
> > Newington. I've known a lot of League reps - some are great, some are
> > so-so and some are terrible. About the same mix as any large group of
> > human beings. Canceling your membership didn't make the situation any
> > better.
>
>
> Remember also that most reps are elected by the few who bother to vote
> for them. Most are unopposed. Sort of like politicians.
>
> Dave WD9BDZ
anyone that thinks you jion the ARRL and stand for office should
inquire of Carl Stevenson

2653. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 16:36:06 UTC
From: "H. Adam Stevens, NQ5H" <nq5h@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <12cci3kou98rq35@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 8034
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In 1964 I passed the 13 WPM test on the first try at the Dallas FCC office.
I was 14. There are plenty who can top that, I'm sure.

The rulemakers "stabbed themselves in the back with their own ballpoints"
(To quote an article from Electronics World in 1962.) when they created CB.
But, as said article went on to point out, the industry needed a shot in the
arm. That article has stuck in my head to this day.

This isn't about creating the best operators, it's about selling the most
junk and having the most votes.

73
H.
NQ5H

2654. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 16:36:26 UTC
From: "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net>
Message-ID: <4680a$44c64886$d06640f9$31714@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 8033
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al, you and the rest of the old farts want CW to keep ham radio from becoming like CB - right? CW does not keep people from using radios, it keeps people from seeking a amateur radio license. You and the rest of the crusty old and out-dated hams think that CW is kind of a filter or the price of admission. It's an over-rated and over-priced ticket.

CBers do not have to have a good knowledge of electronics and propagation to use the radio because there is little else for them to venture into - unlike ham radio. But then again, there are darn few CBers anymore. You, like many other crusty old hams, hang onto this notion that there are so many CBers out there and that the CB band is still out of control like it was 25 years ago. It's not. It's somewhat quiet as people have left CB behind just like they are leaving ham radio behind - but each for different reasons. CB because cell phones have replaced its usage and the speed limit isn't 55 anymore. Ham radio has been left behind because of its image as a hobby for morse code freaks and glowing tubes. The CW testing requirement just reinforces that belief.

Old fart crusty hams like yourself who continue to promote CW and CW testing keep reinforcing the belief that the hobby is old and crusty like yourselves and keep people out in favor of other interest where they don't have to take a test to get involved and have fun.

I am not destroying any argument, you just cannot follow simple logic. Face it, we can spar back and forth on this forever. The reality is that CW testing in the US is going to die soon. You cannot stop the change. Most of the rest of the world has already changed - the US cannot be far behind. Be it now, or ten years from now, CW testing is going to be gone, out of here, adios, good riddance and so long. Hopefully it will not happen too late. The longer the CW requirement remains, the closer ham radio is to death.

Al Klein wrote:
> On Mon, 24 Jul 2006 08:56:58 -0400, "J. D. B."
> <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote:
>
>> Yup, that's what I also believe. Get people into the service and they
>> will start learning what they need to know on their own.
>
> What color is the sky on your world? (If you were correct, most CBers
> would have a pretty good knowledge of electronics and propagation.)
>
>> So not only are people avoiding ham radio, they are also avoiding CB.
>

> So how does CW enter into things?
>
>> Find a new argument Al for keeping people out of ham radio will you?
>
> Oh? It's not MY argument that CW keeps people from using radios, it's
> YOURS! And you just destroyed your own best argument.

2655. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 18:45:01 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <9ipcc2d9kejr4hete3ihn1eskt014c2j9t@4ax.com>
Source record: 8035
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 25 Jul 2006 10:34:17 -0400, "J. D. B."
<duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote:

>Really? My other hobby is model trains and I have never seen a rift in
>model trains in 45 years.

So which is *really* the best gauge to model? Is it okay if you buy
kits, or aren't you a real rail hobbyist unless you make at least all
your cars from scratch? Or do you have to build your own engines from
scratch too? Etc., etc.

2656. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 18:46:17 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <ampcc21oi7jrj2oao8nr84e5cri8goe2u9@4ax.com>
Source record: 8036
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 25 Jul 2006 11:36:06 -0500, "H. Adam Stevens, NQ5H"
<nq5h@arrl.net> wrote:

>This isn't about creating the best operators, it's about selling the most
>junk and having the most votes.

But "the hobby will die" and "we need qualified operators" sounds so
much nicer than "the manufacturers need more money".

2657. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 19:01:19 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <copcc29t03ogbju1gtc6j3sqpfrkeiqpid@4ax.com>
Source record: 8037
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 25 Jul 2006 12:36:26 -0400, "J. D. B."
<duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote:

>Al, you and the rest of the old farts want CW to keep ham radio from
>becoming like CB - right?

Wrong. I want ham radio to stop being what it's been for the last couple of decades - CB on different frequencies. There are CBers who are competent communications engineers, but the majority today - CB or ham band - want to buy a radio and put it on the air. Any license requirement is just an annoyance they get around any way they can - except by actually studying and learning enough to pass tests.

Take a close look at a General test from the 50s and one from today. The difference isn't that the current one dropped old technical questions and added equivalent questions about modern modes - it's that the current test has dropped the technical requirement low enough that it's a joke. Everyone says that CW is old hat and modern modes have replaced it. Okay - let's see a question asking for a PSK interface schematic, including full isolation. That's just simple audio and DC stuff. Let's have questions on Rayleigh fading and its effect on maximum usable baud rate at various frequencies, so no one complains about the FCC not giving us permission to run 9600 bps on 20. Modern stuff.

And no more published answers.

Then let's see how many people talk about "modern" and how many yell "too difficult - there's no reason to know all this stuff". Which is why, on SWL fora, you'll see people complaining that they listened all day on 4.2 MHz and only heard noise. Or tried to get some foreign broadcast station up above 15 MHz all night and couldn't.

It's the "why doesn't this work, and don't give me any of that technical BS" syndrome. People don't want to know how things work, or why they don't work, but they're angry that they don't. And don't you dare tell anyone it's his fault for trying to receive a 440 repeater 80 miles away with a 1/4 wave antenna 5 feet off the ground. His friend, just 3 doors down, copies the repeater S9+ (with a dual 11 element beam 75 feet in the air and LMR600 coax). Now, without any technical BS or monetary expenditure, what does he have to do to receive it?

It's not that no one ever pulled that stuff 50 years ago - but it was so far in the minority that it was below the noise level. Today it's

the majority of newcomers. "I have a right to use the public airwaves, and I don't want to have to learn anything."

2658. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 19:02:11 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <mkqcc2dquk3org9k3nae8dmc2t5ch5eaao@4ax.com>
Source record: 8038
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 25 Jul 2006 11:05:27 -0500, "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
wrote:

>Remember also that most reps are elected by the few who bother to vote
>for them. Most are unopposed. Sort of like politicians.

And, like politics, those who don't vote deserve the representatives
they get.

2659. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 19:02:49 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <ulqcc2lt37imgv8p0mvpq4po0hk5b2d0hh@4ax.com>
Source record: 8039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 25 Jul 2006 09:31:14 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>anyone that thinks you jion the ARRL and stand for office should
>inquire of Carl Stevenson

Or Steve Mendelson?

2660. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 19:12:44 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153854764.216595.180090@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8040
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On Tue, 25 Jul 2006 12:36:26 -0400, "J. D. B."
> <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote:
>
> >Al, you and the rest of the old farts want CW to keep ham radio from
> >becoming like CB - right?
>
> Wrong. I want ham radio to stop being what it's been for the last
> couple of decades - CB on different frequencies.
then give it up along with your hang ups about cb if you please
> There are CBers who
> are competent communications engineers, but the majority today - CB or
> ham band - want to buy a radio and put it on the air.
granted

now what is WRONG with that?
> Any license
> requirement is just an annoyance they get around any way they can -
> except by actually studying and learning enough to pass tests.
then how do they get the lecnse?
they learn enough to pass clearly not more than that in many case I
grant you
>
> Take a close look at a General test from the 50s and one from today.
> The difference isn't that the current one dropped old technical
> questions and added equivalent questions about modern modes - it's
> that the current test has dropped the technical requirement low enough
> that it's a joke. Everyone says that CW is old hat and modern modes
> have replaced it. Okay - let's see a question asking for a PSK
> interface schematic, including full isolation. That's just simple
> audio and DC stuff.
why?
> Let's have questions on Rayleigh fading and its
> effect on maximum usable baud rate at various frequencies, so no one
> complains about the FCC not giving us permission to run 9600 bps on
> 20. Modern stuff.
why do you need to know that in order to operate?
to just get on the air..
Understand in the case you mention is NOT required only obeinace
understanding hopefully comes later

different folks come to different levels of understanding about
different subjects at different time

the license is a permit to learn not proof you have learned
you convince of the need and I will support you
>
> And no more published answers.
NO can do the court have more or less so, along the long standing body
of the FCC not chaleanceing Bash et all years ago to close the
quiestion pools NOW would more or less require an act of Congress or a
change in ITU treaty lang. It took us No Code what 4 or decades to
acheeve the changes we needd in order to bring off No Code

>
> Then let's see how many people talk about "modern" and how many yell
> "too difficult - there's no reason to know all this stuff". Which is
> why, on SWL fora, you'll see people complaining that they listened all
> day on 4.2 MHz and only heard noise. Or tried to get some foreign
> broadcast station up above 15 MHz all night and couldn't.
>
> It's the "why doesn't this work, and don't give me any of that
> technical BS" syndrome. People don't want to know how things work, or
> why they don't work, but they're angry that they don't. And don't you
> dare tell anyone it's his fault for trying to receive a 440 repeater
> 80 miles away with a 1/4 wave antenna 5 feet off the ground. His
> friend, just 3 doors down, copies the repeater S9+ (with a dual 11
> element beam 75 feet in the air and LMR600 coax). Now, without any
> technical BS or monetary expenditure, what does he have to do to
> receive it?
never heard such a complaint ever

>
> It's not that no one ever pulled that stuff 50 years ago - but it was
> so far in the minority that it was below the noise level. Today it's
> the majority of newcomers. "I have a right to use the public
> airwaves, and I don't want to have to learn anything."
Funny all I heard of Ham radio for many years was the "wizards of 80M"
all code tested hams

I have never heard any realy bad behavoi r from any ham that hasn't had
his license renewed at least twice (which leaves out ALL No code techs
BTW)

indeed I have never heard the sort of Vile lang I have heard from that
bunch on CB perhaps midwestern Cber are just different prehaps you are
just full of it

2661. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 19:18:01 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153855081.190895.69000@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8041
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 25 Jul 2006 09:31:14 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >anyone that thinks you jion the ARRL and stand for office should
> >inquire of Carl Stevenson
>
> Or Steve Mendelson?
sorry don't know his story

I Know Carl stried to stand for ARRL director (midalantic) and was
refused a place on the ballot

2662. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 19:19:57 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153855197.865764.115610@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8042
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On Tue, 25 Jul 2006 11:36:06 -0500, "H. Adam Stevens, NQ5H"
> <nq5h@arrl.net> wrote:
>
> >This isn't about creating the best operators, it's about selling the most
> >junk and having the most votes.
>
> But "the hobby will die" and "we need qualified operators" sounds so
> much nicer than "the manufacturers need more money".
the hobyy is dying have you been following the threads about ars
numbers have you looked at the ages of folks at hamfests

although yes the copmanies need a bigg enough market to stay in busness
too

2663. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 19:32:39 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <r42dnVSYivtI7FvZnZ2dnUVZ_rGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 8043
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:

> On Tue, 25 Jul 2006 11:36:06 -0500, "H. Adam Stevens, NQ5H"
> <nq5h@arrl.net> wrote:
>
>
>>This isn't about creating the best operators, it's about selling the most
>>junk and having the most votes.
>
>
> But "the hobby will die" and "we need qualified operators" sounds so
> much nicer than "the manufacturers need more money".

Listen!

"THE MANUFACTURERS NEED MORE MONEY."

"THE MANUFACTURERS NEED BIGGER MARKETS."

"THE MANUFACTURERS NEED MORE ..."

Design and manufacture of any electronics item requires a market to recover design costs, to recover manufacturing tooling costs, to cover distribution costs, to provide a return on investment, to provide a return on equity, to provide net profits to cover medical insurance, to provide net profits for stock retirement plans, to feed the engine of the economy.

The cost of a single DSP chip that operates at high i.f. frequencies has to be recovered or the chip designer goes BANKRUPT. How many radios must be sold to recover a million dollar investment in a single chip?

"THE MANUFACTURERS NEED BIGGER MARKETS."

Follow the money. Understand our hobby/public service!

Finally then, the question becomes not whether we are appliance operators [most of us are], but do we wish to advance the radio art? "How do we advance the radio art?" is a complex question with many answers.

Is preserving historic skills part of the art? Is operating AM, when most HF is SSB, part of the art? Is ragchewing part of the art? Is EME part of the art? Is old fashioned RTTY part of the art? Is current digital communication part of the art [most of today's digital is actually ancient]? I say NO!

But, taking the time, using the energy to learn, investing our money in technology and learning that technology is contributing to the art. Or, is it?

/s/ DD W1MCE

2664. Re: You're not a real ham if you if you keep advocating killing the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 19:53:00 UTC
From: cmdr buzz corey <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <1153857180.567775.169920@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8044
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old idiot wrote:

> sure it is a hobby with service related aspects rather the Boy Scouts
> are supposed to be

Cite one place in part 97, which defines amateur radio, where it is
called a hobby.

2665. Re: You're not a real ham if you if you keep advocating killing the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 19:56:52 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153857412.613487.278630@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8045
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

cmdr buzz corey wrote:
> an old idiot wrote:
>
> > sure it is a hobby with service related aspects rather the Boy Scouts
> > are supposed to be
>
> Cite one place in part 97, which defines amateur radio, where it is
> called a hobby.
red herring alert

Part 97 does not define Ham radio, Part 97 defines the rules

WE define Ham radio

2666. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 20:59:07 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <rv0dc2dh6j9fb31hs32pcuf9reo8f3ifa0@4ax.com>
Source record: 8046
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 25 Jul 2006 12:12:44 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:

>> There are CBers who
>> are competent communications engineers, but the majority today - CB or
>> ham band - want to buy a radio and put it on the air.

>granted

>now what is WRONG with that?

That's fine - for CB - that's what it's for. Ham radio is NOT CB.
(Or, at least, it wasn't supposed to be.)

>> Any license
>> requirement is just an annoyance they get around any way they can -
>> except by actually studying and learning enough to pass tests.

>then how do they get the lecnse?

They memorize the answers.

>they learn enough to pass

If you call learning how to cheat "learning".

>> Take a close look at a General test from the 50s and one from today.
>> The difference isn't that the current one dropped old technical
>> questions and added equivalent questions about modern modes - it's
>> that the current test has dropped the technical requirement low enough
>> that it's a joke. Everyone says that CW is old hat and modern modes
>> have replaced it. Okay - let's see a question asking for a PSK
>> interface schematic, including full isolation. That's just simple
>> audio and DC stuff.

>why?

Why what? You said we should forget CW and concentrate on more modern
aspects of the hobby. A computer->radio interface is modern.

>> Let's have questions on Rayleigh fading and its
>> effect on maximum usable baud rate at various frequencies, so no one
>> complains about the FCC not giving us permission to run 9600 bps on
>> 20. Modern stuff.

>why do you need to know that in order to operate?
>to just get on the air..

Because if you try to run much over 100 baud on 20 you're just making interference. the fact that you didn't know that shows that there are things you need to learn before you start transmitting in "modern modes".

>Understand in the case you mention is NOT required only obeinace
>understanding hopefully comes later

How do you begin to understand WHY you can't run more speed on 20 by just operating?

>different folks come to different levels of understanding about
>different subjects at different time

you're saying that not everyone is equal. then why treat everyone as if everyone were equal?

>the license is a permit to learn not proof you have learned

The license is a permit to operate. Whether you ever learn anything after you get it is totally irrelevant to the license.

>> And no more published answers.

>NO can do the court have more or less so, along the long standing body
>of the FCC not chaleanceing Bash et all years ago to close the
>quiestion pools NOW would more or less require an act of Congress or a
>change in ITU treaty lang.

Which part of any treaty says that the answers have to be published? Quote it.

>> It's the "why doesn't this work, and don't give me any of that
>> technical BS" syndrome. People don't want to know how things work, or
>> why they don't work, but they're angry that they don't. And don't you
>> dare tell anyone it's his fault for trying to receive a 440 repeater
>> 80 miles away with a 1/4 wave antenna 5 feet off the ground. His
>> friend, just 3 doors down, copies the repeater S9+ (with a dual 11
>> element beam 75 feet in the air and LMR600 coax). Now, without any
>> technical BS or monetary expenditure, what does he have to do to
>> receive it?

>never heard such a complaint ever

I see it a few times a day on some fora.

>> It's not that no one ever pulled that stuff 50 years ago - but it was
>> so far in the minority that it was below the noise level. Today it's
>> the majority of newcomers. "I have a right to use the public
>> airwaves, and I don't want to have to learn anything."

>Funny all I heard of Ham radio for many years was the "wizards of 80M"
>all code tested hams

And all I heard was hams talking about designing and building things
that everyone knew couldn't be done. I guess you don't remember when
440 MHz was considered much too high a frequency to be useful for
anything. After all, how useful was a frequency you couldn't transmit
on as far as you could read a billboard?

>indeed I have never heard the sort of Vile lang I have heard from that
>bunch on CB perhaps midwestern Cber are just different prehaps you are
>just full of it

And perhaps you just don't know as much as you'd like to think you do.
Let's start with English, shall we? Or do you think you really
communicate well with the mish-mash you use instead of a real
language?

2667. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 21:44:44 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153863884.745698.252500@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 8047
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 25 Jul 2006 12:12:44 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
>
> >> There are CBers who
> >> are competent communications engineers, but the majority today - CB or
> >> ham band - want to buy a radio and put it on the air.
>
> >granted
>
> >now what is WRONG with that?
>
> That's fine - for CB - that's what it's for. Ham radio is NOT CB.
> (Or, at least, it wasn't supposed to be.)
no way cb going to do what you can do on 20m man
>
> >> Any license
> >> requirement is just an annoyance they get around any way they can -
> >> except by actually studying and learning enough to pass tests.
>
> >then how do they get the lecnse?
>
> They memorize the answers.
which is learning enough to pass the test

>
> >they learn enough to pass
>
> If you call learning how to cheat "learning".
I never call following the rules cheating
>
> >> Take a close look at a General test from the 50s and one from today.
> >> The difference isn't that the current one dropped old technical
> >> questions and added equivalent questions about modern modes - it's
> >> that the current test has dropped the technical requirement low enough
> >> that it's a joke. Everyone says that CW is old hat and modern modes
> >> have replaced it. Okay - let's see a question asking for a PSK
> >> interface schematic, including full isolation. That's just simple
> >> audio and DC stuff.
>
> >why?

>
> Why what? You said we should forget CW and concentrate on more modern
> aspects of the hobby. A computer->radio interface is modern.
expect if you want something to work and be stable and movable you are
not going to build a modem

>
> >> Let's have questions on Rayleigh fading and its
> >> effect on maximum usable baud rate at various frequencies, so no one
> >> complains about the FCC not giving us permission to run 9600 bps on
> >> 20. Modern stuff.
>
> >why do you need to know that in order to operate?
> >to just get on the air..
>
> Because if you try to run much over 100 baud on 20 you're just making
> interference. the fact that you didn't know that shows that there are
> things you need to learn before you start transmitting in "modern
> modes".
wrong if I run more than few buads over 100 (or under for that matter)
nobody is going to be answer to since it is not one of the standard
speeds

the why is irelavant In this case I would venture to say you are wrong
I supect you could run 110 on 20m after all rules are normaly set a bit
on the conservsitive side you don't becuase people aren't looking for
that speed and therefore are unlikely to make a concent

if it were just and FCC it means maybe someone would be there to bust
you if it truel is pphysics does not not

what speeds you packet at on 20 requuires NO understanding merely
obeinece to the rules

>
> >Understand in the case you mention is NOT required only obeinace
> >understanding hopefully comes later
>
> How do you begin to understand WHY you can't run more speed on 20 by
> just operating?
you don't need to understand

you may choose to learn in which case more power to use, but you are
not required to learn this point
>
> >different folks come to different levels of understanding about
> >different subjects at different time
>
> you're saying that not everyone is equal.
no I am not I am saying everyone will develope differently
we all equal in our rights before the law
>then why treat everyone as

> if everyone were equal?
>
> >the license is a permit to learn not proof you have learned
>
> The license is a permit to operate. Whether you ever learn anything
> after you get it is totally irrelevant to the license.
a very grave difference and resaon why the ARS is in trouble this
difinate split in philosophy
but the point is what level is required to operate

that level is easierly obtained with little real understanding

indeed wether you learn anything after matters not to your stauts as a
license holder
>
> >> And no more published answers.
>
> >NO can do the court have more or less so, along the long standing body
> >of the FCC not chaleanceing Bash et all years ago to close the
> >quiestion pools NOW would more or less require an act of Congress or a
> >change in ITU treaty lang.
>
> Which part of any treaty says that the answers have to be published?
I made no such claim
I claimed that amending the treaty was one of the few means to Close
the question pools off
the pools are open becuase the FCC felt it could no longer support
legaly (or practicaly) keeping them closed.

an act of congress closing them or enacting an ITU requirement that be
closed is about the only to close them at this point

> Quote it.
>
> >> It's the "why doesn't this work, and don't give me any of that
> >> technical BS" syndrome. People don't want to know how things work, or
> >> why they don't work, but they're angry that they don't. And don't you
> >> dare tell anyone it's his fault for trying to receive a 440 repeater
> >> 80 miles away with a 1/4 wave antenna 5 feet off the ground. His
> >> friend, just 3 doors down, copies the repeater S9+ (with a dual 11
> >> element beam 75 feet in the air and LMR600 coax). Now, without any
> >> technical BS or monetary expenditure, what does he have to do to
> >> receive it?
>
> >never heard such a complaint ever
>
> I see it a few times a day on some fora.
hang out with a better grade of ham then

I have not seen one such claim in 8 years

do you go out looking to be offended?
>
> >> It's not that no one ever pulled that stuff 50 years ago - but it was
> >> so far in the minority that it was below the noise level. Today it's
> >> the majority of newcomers. "I have a right to use the public
> >> airwaves, and I don't want to have to learn anything."
>
> >Funny all I heard of Ham radio for many years was the "wizards of 80M"
> >all code tested hams
>
> And all I heard was hams talking about designing and building things
> that everyone knew couldn't be done.
and you are one them "it can not be done" shame on you
> I guess you don't remember when
> 440 MHz was considered much too high a frequency to be useful for
> anything.
Indeed I don't since I we TV on UHF if not before I was born at least
before I paying much attantion to such details

but you are tlaking the past
> After all, how useful was a frequency you couldn't transmit
> on as far as you could read a billboard?
>
> >indeed I have never heard the sort of Vile lang I have heard from that
> >bunch on CB perhaps midwestern Cber are just different prehaps you are
> >just full of it
>
> And perhaps you just don't know as much as you'd like to think you do.
prehaps I don't
but ulike you I don't claim to know everything or that one needs to try
and know everything

you coment about needing to be able to caluate path loss before trying
an EME qso for example
> Let's start with English, shall we? Or do you think you really
> communicate well with the mish-mash you use instead of a real
> language?
obviously I do commucate wether you want to call it english or not
since we are comucating

with your proven hyperbole why some anyone believ what you type

2668. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 23:36:18 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <SVxxg.4658$bP5.2159@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
news:FZ-dnYiba5WU-VjZnZ2dnUVZ_s2dnZ2d@comcast.com:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:uVcxg.9478$vO.5615@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in news:8m6xg.49$Mi2.28@fe03.lga:
>>
>>>
>>> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>>> news:gijob2paistn335sjmffjab7mv493cmm3g@4ax.com...
>>>> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 19:40:52 -0500, jakdedert
>>>> <jakdedert@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Al Klein wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>> Then, as the courts would say, you have no standing in the matter.
>>>>
>>>>>Ahh...but I did, once
>>>>
>>>> But you don't now, and it's now now, it's not once.
>>>>
>>>>>proving that one has little to do with the other.
>>>>
>>>> And that you have little to do with this conversation.
>>>
>>> You can argue till your blue in the face but CW requirement will be
>>> gone sooner or later. I fully understand the points of the pro CW guys
>>> but it's just the sign of the times.
>>>
>>> BH
>>
>>
>> We have to keep trying to save Ham radio while we still can because
>> once it's all the way in the shitter it will be even harder to pull
>> back out & clean up.
>>
>> A Ham who'll stand for nothing will sit for anything. I won't accept
>> more dumbing down.
>>
>>
>> Help save Ham radio:
```

>>
>>
>> 1- No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all
>> elements required for their license class every ten years.
>>
>
> No reason to. This has never existed in the history of amateur radio
> and there is no reason to think it would improve things.

Yah friggen right, NOT! Continuing to stay knowledgable and re-testing won't improve things? Sheesh!! What's your problem then, If licensees remembered what was on their exams ten years ago passing the exams again should be a breeze. Of course, if they don't rememeber, they'll have to study again. This will make better hams. And the fact that this requirement has never existed in the history of ham radio doesn't make it a bad idea. You're just Lazy.

>>
>> 2- The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>>
>
> Might be OK.

Thank you.

>>
>> 3- Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>>
>
> Probably wouldn't make a real difference either way.

It DOES make a difference. It maintains the number of ways we can exchange information, and as a filter to keep out some of the riff-raff allowing you better enjoyment of the service.

>>
>> 4- Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>>
>
> Probably wouldn't make any difference.

Of course it WILL make a difference! It creates an incentive to keep studying and building on radio knowledge & skill by requiring an upgrade after a year. And we end up with more knowledgable hams. How can that be bad?

>>
>> 5- Cancel your ARRL membership until they decide to work to improve
>> things and stop them from proposing ham radio that is like CB.
>>
>
> Terrible idea. The only way to get ARRL to change is to get involved in
> the politics of ARRL and work to try to effect the changes that you

> want.

The ARRL knew what it's members wanted before restructuring through surveys of the membership, then did you read the proposal that came out with? Totally opposite of the feeling of the membership. Google it, we discussed it long and hard years ago when RRAP groupies actually argued policy. The ARRL is only interested in padding the corporate bank account, they don't care about the quality of amateurs getting licensed. Our HF bands can sound like CB if means the ARRL can get more money. The ARRL BOD stapped Hiram Percy Maxim in the back. The ARRL doesn't care if you know anything about radio.

2669. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 23:36:30 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <2Wxxg.4660$bP5.943@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

gsm@mendelson.com (Geoffrey S. Mendelson) wrote in
news:slrnecbne9.muv.gsm@cable.mendelson.com:

> Al Klein wrote:
>
>> And none of the current crop of CBers is qualified to provide military
>> (or any other coherent form of) communications.
>
> Why? I assume you really mean that the current crop of new hams needs
> education. Have you done anything? How many new hams have you elmered?
>
> Are you active on 2m? Do you speak to new no-code hams and offer to
> teach them morse code, let them see your HF station in operation? Have
> them assist you during contests?
>
> If all you do is hang out on the HF bands, and complain about how bad
> the new hams are, they will stay that way. Whose fault is that?
>
> 73,
>
> Geoff.

We don't have to leave or complain if we can improve licensing a little.
That's what's happening here. Striving for quality.

BTW: Stay strong and safe over there. I support you. Don't stop the
mission until the job is done because if you stop early, you'll just have
to deal with the problem again later and it may be even harder and uglier
to do next time. I think most American's support Israel, but you could
never tell that by watching are liberal main stream media.

SC

2670. Re: You're not a real ham if you if you keep advocating killing the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 23:36:34 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <6Wxxg.4662$bP5.4054@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8050
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1153857412.613487.278630@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> cmdr buzz corey wrote:
>> an old idiot wrote:
>>
>> > sure it is a hobby with service related aspects rather the Boy Scouts
>> > are supposed to be
>>
>> Cite one place in part 97, which defines amateur radio, where it is
>> called a hobby.
> red herring alert
>
> Part 97 does not define Ham radio, Part 97 defines the rules
>
> WE define Ham radio

And you want ham radio defined like CB. Ten-Four Good-buddy?

Dumbing things down cheapens it, and destroys others enjoyment. Look at
the childish bullshit you do in RRAP. You and the other rejects drove
everyone away with all your retarded bathroom hummor.

That's want will happen with on our HF bands, as it sounds more and more
like CB, good hams will give up on it and leave. Many already have.

Sc

2671. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 23:36:35 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <7Wxxg.4663$bP5.3993@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7951
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote in
news:a3cf2$44c62be1$d06640f9$20291@FUSE.NET:

> Really? My other hobby is model trains and I have never seen a rift in
> model trains in 45 years.

Well that explains everything.

SC

2672. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 23:36:37 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <9Wxxg.4664$bP5.1942@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7952
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote in
news:4680a$44c64886$d06640f9$31714@FUSE.NET:

> Al, you and the rest of the old farts want CW to keep ham radio from
> becoming like CB - right? CW does not keep people from using radios, it
> keeps people from seeking a amateur radio license. You and the rest of
> the crusty old and out-dated hams think that CW is kind of a filter or
> the price of admission. It's an over-rated and over-priced ticket.

<SNIP>

And people shouldn't have to learn multiplication tables because we have
calculators now.

SC

2673. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 23:38:43 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153870723.219035.156660@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7953
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
> news:FZ-dnYiba5WU-VjZnZ2dnUVZ_s2dnZ2d@comcast.com:
>
> >

> > Terrible idea. The only way to get ARRL to change is to get involved in
> > the politics of ARRL and work to try to effect the changes that you
> > want.
>
>
> The ARRL knew what it's members wanted before restructuring through
> surveys of the membership, then did you read the proposal that came out
> with? Totally opposite of the feeling of the membership. Google it, we
> discussed it long and hard years ago when RRAP groupies actually argued
> policy. The ARRL is only interested in padding the corporate bank
> account, they don't care about the quality of amateurs getting licensed.
> Our HF bands can sound like CB if means the ARRL can get more money. The
> ARRL BOD stapped Hiram Percy Maxim in the back. The ARRL doesn't care if
> you know anything about radio.
you mean the ARRL gave in and tired to make a grab for what it thought
it could get (coded extra) and failed opening to door for our final
victory

2674. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 23:42:22 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153870942.697911.93910@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7954
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> gsm@mendelson.com (Geoffrey S. Mendelson) wrote in
> news:slrnecbne9.muv.gsm@cable.mendelson.com:
>
> > Al Klein wrote:
> >

> > 73,
> >
> > Geoff.
>
> We don't have to leave or complain if we can improve licensing a little.
> That's what's happening here. Striving for quality.
indeed we drop the code testing and imporve the quaility right

> SC

2675. Re: You're not a real ham if you if you keep advocating killing the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-25 23:49:44 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153871383.963476.205120@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7955
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:1153857412.613487.278630@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > cmdr buzz corey wrote:
> >> an old idiot wrote:
> >>
> >> > sure it is a hobby with service related aspects rather the Boy Scouts
> >> > are supposed to be
> >>
> >> Cite one place in part 97, which defines amateur radio, where it is
> >> called a hobby.
> > red herring alert
> >
> > Part 97 does not define Ham radio, Part 97 defines the rules
> >
> > WE define Ham radio
>
>
> And you want ham radio defined like CB. Ten-Four Good-buddy?
if somebody realy wants to use 10 codes I don't care they make as much
sense as Q codes
>
> Dumbing things down cheapens it, and destroys others enjoyment.
if it isn't worth it to you to deal with the real world then turn in
your license
> Look at
> the childish bullshit you do in RRAP. You and the other rejects drove
> everyone away with all your retarded bathroom hummor.
no guess you were not reading

stev did it (with help from wismen with years of accusing his foes of
various crimes
>
> That's want will happen with on our HF bands, as it sounds more and more
> like CB, good hams will give up on it and leave. Many already have.
if you are one of those preparig to leave than good riddance
if you can learn some manners you are welcome to stay of course
>
> Sc

2676. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 00:12:22 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153872742.367443.293790@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7956
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote in
> news:4680a$44c64886$d06640f9$31714@FUSE.NET:
>
> > Al, you and the rest of the old farts want CW to keep ham radio from
> > becoming like CB - right? CW does not keep people from using radios, it
> > keeps people from seeking a amateur radio license. You and the rest of
> > the crusty old and out-dated hams think that CW is kind of a filter or
> > the price of admission. It's an over-rated and over-priced ticket.
>
> <SNIP>
>
>
> And people shouldn't have to learn multiplication tables because we have
> calculators now.
agreed they should spend their school days learning something more
important than that
>
>
> SC

2677. Re: You're not a real ham if you if you keep advocating killing the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 00:21:59 UTC
From: Jack Ricci <ricci@mnsi.net>
Message-ID: <ea6cp511ira@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 7957
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Regarding Ham Hobby :

... --- ... ... --- ... ... --- ... ... --- ... ... ---
... ... --- ... ... --- ... ... --- ...

Jack

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:6Wxxg.4662$bP5.4054@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:1153857412.613487.278630@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> cmdr buzz corey wrote:
>>> an old idiot wrote:
>>>
>>> > sure it is a hobby with service related aspects rather the Boy Scouts
>>> > are supposed to be
>>>
>>> Cite one place in part 97, which defines amateur radio, where it is
>>> called a hobby.
>> red herring alert
>>
>> Part 97 does not define Ham radio, Part 97 defines the rules
>>
>> WE define Ham radio
>
>
> And you want ham radio defined like CB. Ten-Four Good-buddy?
>
> Dumbing things down cheapens it, and destroys others enjoyment. Look at
> the childish bullshit you do in RRAP. You and the other rejects drove
> everyone away with all your retarded bathroom hummor.
>
> That's want will happen with on our HF bands, as it sounds more and more
> like CB, good hams will give up on it and leave. Many already have.
>
> Sc

2678. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 00:59:30 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <PrOdnSX08LU9I1vZnZ2dnUVZ_oCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 7958
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:SVxxg.4658$bP5.2159@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
> news:FZ-dnYiba5WU-VjZnZ2dnUVZ_s2dnZ2d@comcast.com:
>
>>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:uVcxg.9478$vO.5615@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> wrote in news:8m6xg.49$Mi2.28@fe03.lga:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>>>> news:gijob2paistn335sjmffjab7mv493cmm3g@4ax.com...
>>>>> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 19:40:52 -0500, jakdedert
>>>>> <jakdedert@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Al Klein wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>> Then, as the courts would say, you have no standing in the matter.
>>>>>
>>>>>>Ahh...but I did, once
>>>>>
>>>>> But you don't now, and it's now now, it's not once.
>>>>>
>>>>>>proving that one has little to do with the other.
>>>>>
>>>>> And that you have little to do with this conversation.
>>>>
>>>> You can argue till your blue in the face but CW requirement will be
>>>> gone sooner or later. I fully understand the points of the pro CW guys
>>>> but it's just the sign of the times.
>>>>
>>>> BH
>>>
>>>
>>> We have to keep trying to save Ham radio while we still can because
>>> once it's all the way in the shitter it will be even harder to pull
>>> back out & clean up.
>>>
>>> A Ham who'll stand for nothing will sit for anything. I won't accept
>>> more dumbing down.
>>>

>>>
>>> Help save Ham radio:
>>>
>>>
>>> 1- No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all
>>> elements required for their license class every ten years.
>>>
>>
>> No reason to. This has never existed in the history of amateur radio
>> and there is no reason to think it would improve things.
>
>
> Yah friggen right, NOT! Continuing to stay knowledgable and re-testing
> won't improve things? Sheesh!! What's your problem then, If licensees
> remembered what was on their exams ten years ago passing the exams again
> should be a breeze. Of course, if they don't rememeber, they'll have to
> study again. This will make better hams. And the fact that this
> requirement has never existed in the history of ham radio doesn't make it
> a bad idea. You're just Lazy.
>
>

Not hardly. Every time they change the pool, I get an up-to-date study guide just to keep current and see what's new. Could pass the test any day of the week and twice on Sunday. By the way the exam has changed in 10 years. There is some common stuff but there is also new stuff.

However, the biggest problem would be manpower for conducting the tests. Based on the current number of hams, that would be over 60,000 people retesting every year. The existing test system (and the prior systems when things were administered by the FCC) were all designed around the single testing concept. Essentially, it would mean almost every VE team would need to conduct test sessions weekly or hold huge test sessions monthly. There just aren't enough of us to do that. Plus many facilities now charge for the use of the facility. And the bigger the room, the higher the fee.

>>>
>>> 2- The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>>>
>>
>> Might be OK.
>
>
> Thank you.
>
>
>>>
>>> 3- Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>>>
>>
>> Probably wouldn't make a real difference either way.

>
>
> It DOES make a difference. It maintains the number of ways we can
> exchange information, and as a filter to keep out some of the riff-raff
> allowing you better enjoyment of the service.
>

I believe in keeping a basic test simply because a person can't determine if they will like code until they've tried it. Plus it is one of the basics of radio. If they have the basics and have thus learned it is not a big, scary hurdle, they will be willing to pursue it in the future since they already know it at a basic level.

The filter argument, I consider totally bogus.

Code is either a basic part of ham radio or it is not. That should be the criteria for determining if it should be tested.

>
>>>
>>> 4- Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>>>
>>
>> Probably wouldn't make any difference.
>
> Of course it WILL make a difference! It creates an incentive to keep
> studying and building on radio knowledge & skill by requiring an upgrade
> after a year. And we end up with more knowledgable hams. How can that be
> bad?
>

In today's climate, it will not be an incentive. Those who want to upgrade don't need the non-renewability clause. The rest will simply let their licenses lapse. Those who would let it lapse aren't on the air enough to contribute anyway.

>
>>>
>>> 5- Cancel your ARRL membership until they decide to work to improve
>>> things and stop them from proposing ham radio that is like CB.
>>>
>>
>> Terrible idea. The only way to get ARRL to change is to get involved in
>> the politics of ARRL and work to try to effect the changes that you
>> want.
>
>
> The ARRL knew what it's members wanted before restructuring through
> surveys of the membership, then did you read the proposal that came out
> with? Totally opposite of the feeling of the membership. Google it, we
> discussed it long and hard years ago when RRAP groupies actually argued
> policy. The ARRL is only interested in padding the corporate bank

> account, they don't care about the quality of amateurs getting licensed.
> Our HF bands can sound like CB if means the ARRL can get more money. The
> ARRL BOD stapped Hiram Percy Maxim in the back. The ARRL doesn't care if
> you know anything about radio.
>

So why don't you go run for office and promote your platform? Or form your own lobbying group? Complaining here won't get it done. If you want your platform to prevail, the YOU have to do the work to convince people. While the ARRL proposal was not what I wanted, it did indeed reflect some of the things that a significant percentage wanted.

As far as the ARRL padding the corporate bank account, if that is true, then you need to report them to the IRS as non-profit organizations are not allowed to do this.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2679. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 02:38:09 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <l3ldc2d49o2saftp2goo6hg5ibm80tpk7n@4ax.com>
Source record: 7959
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 25 Jul 2006 23:36:37 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>And people shouldn't have to learn multiplication tables because we have
>calculators now.

Why learn arithmetic or math at all? Spelling and grammar seem to be
old-fashioned. Letz ghuct spel theengs thu wae thay soun. Maybe we
can even make ourselves understood once in a great while that way.

Digital modes are great - for someone who spells the words you
understand the way you were taught to spell them. CW seems to work no
matter what the accent or native tongue.

But people don't want to learn digital modes either - it's just one of
those things that sounds like a good argument until you actually look
at it. How many hams can actually read a waterfall display for
anything but PSK? And not that many can even do that.

2680. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 03:10:01 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153883401.469016.26320@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7960
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On Tue, 25 Jul 2006 23:36:37 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >And people shouldn't have to learn multiplication tables because we have
> >calculators now.
>
> Why learn arithmetic or math at all? Spelling and grammar seem to be
> old-fashioned. Letz ghuct spel theengs thu wae thay soun. Maybe we
> can even make ourselves understood once in a great while that way.
indeed sir consist spelling is something that is barely more half again
as old as radio
>
> Digital modes are great - for someone who spells the words you
> understand the way you were taught to spell them. CW seems to work no
> matter what the accent or native tongue.
funny thing that property how all the cw people claim they can't read
text the monet it is mispelled but they can read CW all the time no
matter the lang
>
> But people don't want to learn digital modes either - it's just one of
> those things that sounds like a good argument until you actually look
> at it. How many hams can actually read a waterfall display for
> anything but PSK? And not that many can even do that.
really then why I am racking EME qso's my station needs ground gain to
complete the contacts so I get only about 40 minute at moon rise and
moon set but I have bagged a QSO everytime I have tried (and near the
New Moon at that)

2681. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 04:10:13 UTC
From: an old feind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153887013.410181.76520@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7961
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Dee Flint wrote:
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:SVxxg.4658$bP5.2159@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
> > news:FZ-dnYiba5WU-VjZnZ2dnUVZ_s2dnZ2d@comcast.com:
> >

> > It DOES make a difference. It maintains the number of ways we can
> > exchange information, and as a filter to keep out some of the riff-raff
> > allowing you better enjoyment of the service.
> >
>
> I believe in keeping a basic test simply because a person can't determine if
> they will like code until they've tried it. Plus it is one of the basics of
> radio. If they have the basics and have thus learned it is not a big, scary
> hurdle, they will be willing to pursue it in the future since they already
> know it at a basic level.
meaning you basicaly belive that the Mode must be forced on everyone
as mode welfare
>
> The filter argument, I consider totally bogus.
because
>
> Code is either a basic part of ham radio or it is not. That should be the
> criteria for determining if it should be tested.
Dee do you seriously support the implied postion that if we end Code
tesing we should indeed end Code USE?
>
> >
> >>>
> >>> 4- Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
> >>>
> >>
> >> Probably wouldn't make any difference.
> >
> > Of course it WILL make a difference! It creates an incentive to keep
> > studying and building on radio knowledge & skill by requiring an upgrade
> > after a year. And we end up with more knowledgable hams. How can that be
> > bad?
> >
>
> In today's climate, it will not be an incentive. Those who want to upgrade
```

> don't need the non-renewability clause. The rest will simply let their
> licenses lapse. Those who would let it lapse aren't on the air enough to
> contribute anyway.

thanks a lot btch

2682. Re: You're not a real ham if you if you keep advocating killing the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 04:13:41 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153887221.771922.128340@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7962
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Jack Ricci wrote:
> Regarding Ham Hobby :
>
> ... --- ... ... --- ... ... --- ... ... --- ... ... ---
> ... ... --- ... ... --- ... ... --- ...
maybe sent that Slow code otherwise known as Mr Stupid (his own choice
of nick

will getit but I doubt it
>
> Jack
>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:6Wxxg.4662$bP5.4054@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
> > news:1153857412.613487.278630@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
> >
> >>
> >> cmdr buzz corey wrote:
> >>> an old idiot wrote:
> >>>
> >>> > sure it is a hobby with service related aspects rather the Boy Scouts
> >>> > are supposed to be
> >>>
> >>> Cite one place in part 97, which defines amateur radio, where it is
> >>> called a hobby.
> >> red herring alert
> >>
> >> Part 97 does not define Ham radio, Part 97 defines the rules
> >>
> >> WE define Ham radio
> >
> >
> > And you want ham radio defined like CB. Ten-Four Good-buddy?
> >
> > Dumbing things down cheapens it, and destroys others enjoyment. Look at
> > the childish bullshit you do in RRAP. You and the other rejects drove
> > everyone away with all your retarded bathroom hummor.
> >
> > That's want will happen with on our HF bands, as it sounds more and more
> > like CB, good hams will give up on it and leave. Many already have.
> >
> > Sc

2683. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 04:31:20 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dim.com>
Message-ID: <bqodc2hb039ia8hj7il2pjemvibns19n2c@4ax.com>
Source record: 7963
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Sal M. Onella" <salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote:

>
> I wonder: Did the radio amateur community go through anything like this for
> the transition away from spark?
>

Yes, they did.

--
73, Eric F. Richards, KB0YDN, efricha@dim.com
"A few old diehards still blazoned 'Spark Forever!' on their QSL cards..."
- from "200 Meters & Down", copyright 1936, ARRL

2684. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 11:35:37 UTC
From: "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net>
Message-ID: <9fc74$44c75380$d06640f9$24459@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 7964
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

No Al, those are not arguments that take place with the model train hobby. The best scale is what is best for you. Unlike ham radio, no one tries to ram something down another hobbyist's throat. Same for buy vs. build. Not a discussion. You do what you want to do and no one is critical of the other. The way it should be in ham radio.

You were that kid on the playground that always thought you were better than all the other kids and you tried to get other kids in fights didn't you Al? You seem to be always looking for confrontation.

Al Klein wrote:
>
> So which is *really* the best gauge to model? Is it okay if you buy
> kits, or aren't you a real rail hobbyist unless you make at least all
> your cars from scratch? Or do you have to build your own engines from
> scratch too? Etc., etc.

2685. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 11:39:18 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153913958.714184.222140@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7965
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old freind wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > On Tue, 25 Jul 2006 23:36:37 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >
> > >And people shouldn't have to learn multiplication tables because we have
> > >calculators now.
> >
> > Why learn arithmetic or math at all? Spelling and grammar seem to be
> > old-fashioned. Letz ghuct spel theengs thu wae thay soun. Maybe we
> > can even make ourselves understood once in a great while that way.
> indeed sir consist spelling is something that is barely more half again
> as old as radio

No, stupid, you are making excuses for your lack of education.

> >
> > Digital modes are great - for someone who spells the words you
> > understand the way you were taught to spell them. CW seems to work no
> > matter what the accent or native tongue.
> funny thing that property how all the cw people claim they can't read
> text the monet it is mispelled but they can read CW all the time no
> matter the lang

Wrong, you illiterate retard.

> >
> > But people don't want to learn digital modes either - it's just one of
> > those things that sounds like a good argument until you actually look
> > at it. How many hams can actually read a waterfall display for
> > anything but PSK? And not that many can even do that.
> really then why I am racking EME qso's my station needs ground gain to
> complete the contacts so I get only about 40 minute at moon rise and
> moon set but I have bagged a QSO everytime I have tried (and near the
> New Moon at that)

The only moonbouncing you are doing is your three chins on your daddy's
ass as you give him a blowjob.

2686. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 11:51:19 UTC
From: "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net>
Message-ID: <3a2bb$44c75732$d06640f9$27855@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 7966
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
>
> Wrong. I want ham radio to stop being what it's been for the last
> couple of decades - CB on different frequencies. There are CBers who
> are competent communications engineers, but the majority today - CB or
> ham band - want to buy a radio and put it on the air.

And what is wrong with that?

> Any license requirement is just an annoyance they get around any way they can -
> except by actually studying and learning enough to pass tests.

How does "passing tests" going to eliminate the problem?
>
> Take a close look at a General test from the 50s and one from today.
> The difference isn't that the current one dropped old technical
> questions and added equivalent questions about modern modes - it's

How does memorizing answers to "technical questions" make you a better ham?

> that the current test has dropped the technical requirement low enough
> that it's a joke.

> Everyone says that CW is old hat and modern modes

At least we agree on something and nice to see you admit that everyone
is now saying this.

> have replaced it. Okay - let's see a question asking for a PSK
> interface schematic, including full isolation. That's just simple
> audio and DC stuff.

Yup, it is a simple circuit. It's also readily available in books, the
Internet, etc., so how does memorizing the circuit to pass a test, make
you a better ham?

>Let's have questions on Rayleigh fading and its
> effect on maximum usable baud rate at various frequencies, so no one
> complains about the FCC not giving us permission to run 9600 bps on
> 20. Modern stuff.

"Rayleigh Fading" - that comes up in daily discussions on the radio.
Never heard anyone complaining about not being able to run 9600 baud on
HF - who the heck to you hang around with?
>
> And no more published answers.

Why not? Memorizing answers has people learning just like reading a
book. Learning is learning.
>
> Then let's see how many people talk about "modern" and how many yell
> "too difficult - there's no reason to know all this stuff".

That's true, many of the things I had to learn for my test in the 1970s
was worthless in my opinion. But it was conceived by people who had the
same outdated opinion as you. Let's get people communicating and not
continue to figure out ways to make it so hard that new people do not
come into the service. Here's the choice for kids today. Learn code and
other crap to get a license to use a ham radio - or - get on the
Internet immediately where everyone is and communicate with them. Guess
what choice is being made Al - it's a no brainer and why our testing
should be a no brainer.

> Which is
> why, on SWL fora, you'll see people complaining that they listened all
> day on 4.2 MHz and only heard noise. Or tried to get some foreign
> broadcast station up above 15 MHz all night and couldn't.

So what? They will seek out the answers and learn on their own.
>
> It's the "why doesn't this work, and don't give me any of that
> technical BS" syndrome. People don't want to know how things work,

You know that's true and some will seek answers, others won't.
or
> why they don't work, but they're angry that they don't. And don't you
> dare tell anyone it's his fault for trying to receive a 440 repeater
> 80 miles away with a 1/4 wave antenna 5 feet off the ground.

I won't because those discussions never come up in our area. Where the
heck do you live that you have these discussions with so many people?
Arkansas or Mississippi?

>His
> friend, just 3 doors down, copies the repeater S9+ (with a dual 11
> element beam 75 feet in the air and LMR600 coax). Now, without any
> technical BS or monetary expenditure, what does he have to do to
> receive it?

Something tells me he'll figure it out on his own, via another ham, the
Internet, etc. and he'll learn - learning without being forced is a
wonderful thing.
>
> It's not that no one ever pulled that stuff 50 years ago -

Yup, that's true, and all those strict technical tests back then did not
prevent this from occuring.

>but it was
> so far in the minority that it was below the noise level. Today it's
> the majority of newcomers.

No proof of that statement Al. Just something in your own mind.

"I have a right to use the public
> airwaves, and I don't want to have to learn anything."

Is this a great country or what?

2687. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 12:04:25 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <0emec29rhslbeubi4dff1q2rb96hkl67gr@4ax.com>
Source record: 7967
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 26 Jul 2006 07:35:37 -0400, "J. D. B."
<duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:

>> So which is *really* the best gauge to model? Is it okay if you buy
>> kits, or aren't you a real rail hobbyist unless you make at least all
>> your cars from scratch? Or do you have to build your own engines from
>> scratch too? Etc., etc.

>No Al, those are not arguments that take place with the model train
>hobby. The best scale is what is best for you. Unlike ham radio, no one
>tries to ram something down another hobbyist's throat. Same for buy vs.
>build. Not a discussion. You do what you want to do and no one is
>critical of the other. The way it should be in ham radio.

That's the way it *is* in ham radio if you look at it through the same
glasses you're looking at model railroading through. You want to use
different scales to weigh the same thing, then claim it weighs a
different amount? Sorry, but I don't play that game.

2688. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 12:06:12 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <himec29itokutdjc1thtutlh6nqnvrmg3h@4ax.com>
Source record: 7968
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 26 Jul 2006 04:39:18 -0700, markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
wrote:

>No, stupid, you are making excuses for your lack of education.

>Wrong, you illiterate retard.

>The only moonbouncing you are doing is your three chins on your daddy's
>ass as you give him a blowjob.

So much for "education".

2689. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 12:11:50 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153915910.361904.52290@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7969
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 26 Jul 2006 04:39:18 -0700, markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
> wrote:
>
> >No, stupid, you are making excuses for your lack of education.
>
> >Wrong, you illiterate retard.
>
> >The only moonbouncing you are doing is your three chins on your daddy's
> >ass as you give him a blowjob.
>
> So much for "education".

No sense of humor, Al?

2690. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 12:19:24 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <tlmec2har5p2gb49mbhm7phcsfsmj3ktqo@4ax.com>
Source record: 7970
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 26 Jul 2006 07:51:19 -0400, "J. D. B."
<duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:

>> Wrong. I want ham radio to stop being what it's been for the last
>> couple of decades - CB on different frequencies. There are CBers who
>> are competent communications engineers, but the majority today - CB or
>> ham band - want to buy a radio and put it on the air.

>And what is wrong with that?

What's wrong with ham radio being turned into CB? For one thing, we
already had a couple of citizen's bands - we didn't need a dozen more.

>> Any license requirement is just an annoyance they get around any way they can -
>> except by actually studying and learning enough to pass tests.

>How does "passing tests" going to eliminate the problem?

For them? It's not. If they can't pass the test they don't get the
privilege. That's just the way life is. If you're not 75 inches
tall, we don't let you be 6'3". These days some people want to be
what they aren't, regardless of reality. Giving ham licenses to
anyone who wants one doesn't make hams of people who know nothing, it
makes the ham license worthless.

>> Take a close look at a General test from the 50s and one from today.
>> The difference isn't that the current one dropped old technical
>> questions and added equivalent questions about modern modes - it's

>How does memorizing answers to "technical questions" make you a better ham?

I said it doesn't. I said that learning makes you more knowledgeable.

>> that the current test has dropped the technical requirement low enough
>> that it's a joke.

>> Everyone says that CW is old hat and modern modes

>At least we agree on something and nice to see you admit that everyone
>is now saying this.

That thing passing over your head was the point.

>> have replaced it. Okay - let's see a question asking for a PSK
>> interface schematic, including full isolation. That's just simple
>> audio and DC stuff.

>Yup, it is a simple circuit. It's also readily available in books, the
>Internet, etc., so how does memorizing the circuit to pass a test, make
>you a better ham?

Understanding how it works makes you more knowledgeable. Evidently
you're one of those who needs things repeated a few times.

>>Let's have questions on Rayleigh fading and its
>> effect on maximum usable baud rate at various frequencies, so no one
>> complains about the FCC not giving us permission to run 9600 bps on
>> 20. Modern stuff.

>"Rayleigh Fading" - that comes up in daily discussions on the radio.
>Never heard anyone complaining about not being able to run 9600 baud on
>HF - who the heck to you hang around with?

You never listened to QSOs on 20? Or questions asked at ham club
meetings? Or in radio fora?

>> And no more published answers.

>Why not? Memorizing answers has people learning just like reading a
>book. Learning is learning.

Learning requires understanding. Memorizing isn't understanding. It
was proved over 100 years ago that rote memorization isn't even a
mediocre way of teaching.

>> Then let's see how many people talk about "modern" and how many yell
>> "too difficult - there's no reason to know all this stuff".

>That's true, many of the things I had to learn for my test in the 1970s
>was worthless in my opinion. But it was conceived by people who had the
>same outdated opinion as you. Let's get people communicating and not
>continue to figure out ways to make it so hard that new people do not
>come into the service. Here's the choice for kids today. Learn code and
>other crap to get a license to use a ham radio - or - get on the
>Internet immediately where everyone is and communicate with them. Guess
>what choice is being made Al - it's a no brainer and why our testing
>should be a no brainer.

So let them get on radio immediately with no testing. The method has
been available since the 60s.

IT'S CALLED CB! You want HF? Get on 11 meters. You want UHF? Get
on 465. It's all there.

And leave ham radio to hams.

>> Which is
>> why, on SWL fora, you'll see people complaining that they listened all
>> day on 4.2 MHz and only heard noise. Or tried to get some foreign
>> broadcast station up above 15 MHz all night and couldn't.

>So what? They will seek out the answers and learn on their own.

Or, as has happened over the past few decades, they won't. But now
that you said they will ... magic ... they will, eh?

>> It's the "why doesn't this work, and don't give me any of that
>> technical BS" syndrome. People don't want to know how things work,

>You know that's true and some will seek answers, others won't.

So those who seek answers become hams - those who don't become CBers.
What's with the "everyone is equal even if the only way to achieve it
is to dumb the entire world down" crap?

>or
>> why they don't work, but they're angry that they don't. And don't you
>> dare tell anyone it's his fault for trying to receive a 440 repeater
>> 80 miles away with a 1/4 wave antenna 5 feet off the ground.

>I won't because those discussions never come up in our area. Where the
>heck do you live that you have these discussions with so many people?

In the real world.

>>His
>> friend, just 3 doors down, copies the repeater S9+ (with a dual 11
>> element beam 75 feet in the air and LMR600 coax). Now, without any
>> technical BS or monetary expenditure, what does he have to do to
>> receive it?

>Something tells me he'll figure it out on his own, via another ham, the
>Internet, etc. and he'll learn - learning without being forced is a
>wonderful thing.

Demanding answers without putting in any effort seems to have
substituted for learning.

>> It's not that no one ever pulled that stuff 50 years ago -

>Yup, that's true, and all those strict technical tests back then did not
>prevent this from occuring.

>>but it was
>> so far in the minority that it was below the noise level. Today it's
>> the majority of newcomers.

>No proof of that statement Al. Just something in your own mind.

About like everything you've said here.

>"I have a right to use the public
>> airwaves, and I don't want to have to learn anything."

>Is this a great country or what?

Yes, if you're in the bottom 10%, it must surely look that way. You
get to be in the top 10% merely because that's the way you want things
to be, and heaven help the rest of us if we don't give you your way.

2691. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 12:21:03 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <uenec25e1vdqausni9t2k8tqcacntdaj4g@4ax.com>
Source record: 7971
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 25 Jul 2006 21:10:13 -0700, "an old feind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Dee Flint wrote:

>> I believe in keeping a basic test simply because a person can't determine if
>> they will like code until they've tried it. Plus it is one of the basics of
>> radio. If they have the basics and have thus learned it is not a big, scary
>> hurdle, they will be willing to pursue it in the future since they already
>> know it at a basic level.

>meaning you basicaly belive that the Mode must be forced on everyone
>as mode welfare

As opposed to making a ham license something anyone can get merely by
asking for one - because, like you, they don't have the intelligence
to pass a real test.

2692. Florida - Editorial About Police Radio Encryption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 13:44:33 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153921473.735140.112860@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7972

<http://www.gainesville.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20060725/APN/607250676>

IMHO- This is very well written. Peter Sz

2693. Re: Elimination of CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicatewith other.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 17:02:44 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153933364.118890.188000@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7973
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 25 Jul 2006 21:10:13 -0700, "an old feind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Dee Flint wrote:
>
> >> I believe in keeping a basic test simply because a person can't determine if
> >> they will like code until they've tried it. Plus it is one of the basics of
> >> radio. If they have the basics and have thus learned it is not a big, scary
> >> hurdle, they will be willing to pursue it in the future since they already
> >> know it at a basic level.
>
> >meaning you basicaly belive that the Mode must be forced on everyone
> >as mode welfare
>
> As opposed to making a ham license something anyone can get merely by
> asking for one - because, like you, they don't have the intelligence
> to pass a real test.
no one hass advocated giving a license away except of course procoders
like yourself
Funny I can mange ee qso's (second one last night) and yet you inist I
am unintelgent based on my inabilty to serve as a modem, a machine
funtion

2694. APCO 25 / P-25 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 17:10:18 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <R5KdnaWnRvVgPFrZnZ2dnUVZ_tudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 7974

Hello:

Is APCO 25 the same as P-25 ?

Are either digital ?

If so, are all of the listings under APCO 25, or P-25, always digital, or only some sub-set of ? Which, etc. ?

How do you know which are digital ?

B.

2695. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 17:14:38 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153934078.364778.88800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7975
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 26 Jul 2006 04:39:18 -0700, markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
> wrote:
>
> >No, stupid, you are making excuses for your lack of education.
>
> >Wrong, you illiterate retard.
>
> >The only moonbouncing you are doing is your three chins on your daddy's
> >ass as you give him a blowjob.
>
> So much for "education".
and that was posted by an extra class Ham AB8MQ AL

2696. Re: APCO 25 / P-25 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 17:28:32 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <4ipn21F4sdj6U1@individual.net>
Source record: 7976

"Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com> wrote in message
news:R5KdnaWnRvVgPFrZnZ2dnUVZ_tudnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Hello:
>
> Is APCO 25 the same as P-25 ?
>
> Are either digital ?
>
> If so, are all of the listings under APCO 25, or P-25, always digital, or
> only some sub-set of ? Which, etc. ?
>
> How do you know which are digital ?
>
> B.
>
APCO 25 is digital. Havent heard of P-25.

2697. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 18:55:56 UTC
From: billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1153940156.494430.48410@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7977
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old freind wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > On 26 Jul 2006 04:39:18 -0700, markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
> > wrote:
> >
> > >No, stupid, you are making excuses for your lack of education.
> >
> > >Wrong, you illiterate retard.
> >
> > >The only moonbouncing you are doing is your three chins on your daddy's
> > >ass as you give him a blowjob.
> >
> > So much for "education".
> and that was posted by an extra class Ham AB8MQ AL

AB8MQ is Morkie's unrequited queer love interst, Al.

2698. Re: Two Epson scanners at the same time (OS: Linux, MAC etc.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 19:20:33 UTC
From: bmoag <aetoo@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5gPxg.73188$fb2.38826@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 7978
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,comp.periphs.scanners

If you place a large sheet across two scanner beds there will be an
inevitable gap in coverage.
Ergo I have no idea what you have in mind or how it would be in any way
better than stitching together sections of the sheet scanned on one machine.
I have done the latter many times and with a decent panorama program, like
the one built into CS2, seamless results are not difficult to obtain.

2699. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 19:29:26 UTC
From: Eddie Munster <dgsdfgsdgsd@dfgsdfgsdgsdg.com>
Message-ID: <qoPxg.47800$Uy1.25624@read1.cgocable.net>
Source record: 7979
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

It's just another thing in this world that got "dumbed down".

I blame home computers and the internet. I'm not saying wether we are
better of worse off. That's just what I attribute it to.

John

2700. 2006 scanner Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 20:43:28 UTC
From: Skyler <Skyler@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <QtQxg.1143$6q1.736@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 7980

Hi, I have a Pro-2006, open, and real nice with original antenna. If interested, let me know what ham gear you may want to part with. Militaria, or sporting goods ok too. I am in SW Florida, Thanks, Skyler

2701. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 20:50:07 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153947007.785810.141070@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7981
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old freind wrote:
> > Al Klein wrote:
> > > On 26 Jul 2006 04:39:18 -0700, markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
> > > wrote:

> > > So much for "education".
> > and that was posted by an extra class Ham AB8MQ AL
>
> AB8MQ is Morkie's unrequited queer love interst, Al.
no Wismen I again refuse to have sex with you

2702. Clear all channels on Pro 34??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 20:58:51 UTC
From: Phil <pal531TheCat@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <flQxg.369$0e5.366@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7982

Any way to clear all the channels on a Pro 34 in one step or do I have to
clear each channel individually?
Thanks
Phil

2703. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 22:05:14 UTC
From: Jack Ricci <ricci@mnsi.net>
Message-ID: <ea8ous010oh@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 7984
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>I blame home computers and the internet.
"Eddie Munster" <dgsdfgsdgsd@dfgsdfgsdgsdg.com> wrote in message
news:qoPxg.47800

I agree...But today's kids just don't have the aptitude or need for a
hobby that was once the only active hobby which instantly joined others
around the entire world with the same common interest on a technical level,
as well as a communications level, and a sense of accomplishment. There are
simply too many other high-tech hobbies to choose from today.

TV video games come to mind...Satellite TV and communications, cell
phones, FRS radio,XM radio, cheap long distance, DVDs, Ipods, etc., etc.,
etc., etc.

$Uy1.25624@read1.cgocable.net...
>
>
> It's just another thing in this world that got "dumbed down".
>
> I blame home computers and the internet. I'm not saying wether we are
> better of worse off. That's just what I attribute it to.
>
> John
>

2704. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 22:09:19 UTC
From: Jack Ricci <ricci@mnsi.net>
Message-ID: <ea8p6i011gg@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 7985
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> AB8MQ is Morkie's unrequited queer love interst, Al.
<billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1153940156.494430.48410@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

WOW!!!
Who would have thought that the internet would soon become a vehicle for
High-Tech C.B. communication!
Good thing linears don't work on here!

Jack

>
> an old freind wrote:
>> Al Klein wrote:
>> > On 26 Jul 2006 04:39:18 -0700, markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
>> > wrote:
>> >
>> > >No, stupid, you are making excuses for your lack of education.
>> >
>> > >Wrong, you illiterate retard.
>> >
>> > >The only moonbouncing you are doing is your three chins on your
>> > >daddy's
>> > >ass as you give him a blowjob.
>> >
>> > So much for "education".
>> and that was posted by an extra class Ham AB8MQ AL
>
> AB8MQ is Morkie's unrequited queer love interst, Al.
>

2705. Re: Clear all channels on Pro 34??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 22:13:21 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <5ORxg.34020$v02.4324@newsfe3-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 7983

http://www.grove-ent.com/microprocessorreset.html

mike

"Phil" <pal531TheCat@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:fIQxg.369$0e5.366@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Any way to clear all the channels on a Pro 34 in one step or do I have to
> clear each channel individually?
> Thanks
> Phil
>
>

2706. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 22:34:51 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153953291.477392.163030@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7986
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Jack Ricci wrote:
> > AB8MQ is Morkie's unrequited queer love interst, Al.
> <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1153940156.494430.48410@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> WOW!!!
> Who would have thought that the internet would soon become a vehicle for
> High-Tech C.B. communication!
> Good thing linears don't work on here!
>
> Jack
in point of fact they do

the method is different it can be observed by studing RRAP lately the
Linear (slptter box box design) work be the sender sending the same
sort of crap (so and so is rapist child moelstor so on) over and over
again till the target either gives up the net or is forced to repond
with something (in my case I have tried suggesting the jammer "get
help" now I am trying praying for him)

2707. Re: Clear all channels on Pro 34??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 22:52:31 UTC
From: Phil <pal531TheCat@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <PmSxg.3482$gF6.1716@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7987

"mikeFNB" <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn> wrote in message
news:5ORxg.34020$v02.4324@newsfe3-gui.ntli.net...
> http://www.grove-ent.com/microprocessorreset.html
>
> mike
>
Thanks Mike, but the Pro 34 was not listed there. In any case I tried both the Man, 2, 9 procedure and the 2, 9 procedure alone and the Pro 43 Clear, 0 method and nothing worked, all frequencies still there. Don't know if for some reason the Pro 34 is different.

Phil

2708. Re: Clear all channels on Pro 34??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 23:16:47 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <zJSxg.51014$IU2.37488@newsfe2-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 7988

ok there is a reset cause i used to have one and did it.
there is not a little hole inthe case is there?

certainly is on the 39

mike

"Phil" <pal531TheCat@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:PmSxg.3482$gF6.1716@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "mikeFNB" <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn> wrote in message
> news:5ORxg.34020$v02.4324@newsfe3-gui.ntli.net...
>> http://www.grove-ent.com/microprocessorreset.html
>>
>> mike
>>
> Thanks Mike, but the Pro 34 was not listed there. In any case I tried both
> the Man, 2, 9 procedure and the 2, 9 procedure alone and the Pro 43 Clear,
> 0
> method and nothing worked, all frequencies still there. Don't know if for
> some reason the Pro 34 is different.
>
> Phil
>
>

2709. Re: Clear all channels on Pro 34??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 23:23:41 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <1QSxg.46932$1g.39924@newsfe1-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 7989

reading the online manual here:
http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/26441.htm
it reads there is a reset switch on the side like the 39

mike

"Phil" <pal531TheCat@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:PmSxg.3482$gF6.1716@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "mikeFNB" <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn> wrote in message
> news:5ORxg.34020$v02.4324@newsfe3-gui.ntli.net...
>> http://www.grove-ent.com/microprocessorreset.html
>>
>> mike
>>
> Thanks Mike, but the Pro 34 was not listed there. In any case I tried both
> the Man, 2, 9 procedure and the 2, 9 procedure alone and the Pro 43 Clear,
> 0
> method and nothing worked, all frequencies still there. Don't know if for
> some reason the Pro 34 is different.
>
> Phil
>
>

2710. Re: Clear all channels on Pro 34??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 23:24:12 UTC
From: Skyler <Skyler@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <wQSxg.2253$dj2.34@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 7992

http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/26441.htm
"Phil" <pal531TheCat@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:fIQxg.369$0e5.366@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Any way to clear all the channels on a Pro 34 in one step or do I have to
> clear each channel individually?
> Thanks
> Phil
>
>

2711. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-26 23:25:58 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <aSSxg.442$0e5.217@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7990
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote in
news:9fc74$44c75380$d06640f9$24459@FUSE.NET:

> No Al, those are not arguments that take place with the model train
> hobby. The best scale is what is best for you. Unlike ham radio, no one
> tries to ram something down another hobbyist's throat. Same for buy vs.
> build. Not a discussion. You do what you want to do and no one is
> critical of the other. The way it should be in ham radio.
>
> You were that kid on the playground that always thought you were better
> than all the other kids and you tried to get other kids in fights didn't
> you Al? You seem to be always looking for confrontation.

I think you're sniffing too much glue putting your model cho-cho
trains together.

Sc

2712. Re: Florida - Editorial About Police Radio Encryption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-27 00:00:26 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <ab3a@cNo0mScPaAsMt.net>
Message-ID: <heSdnewhDbypn1XZnZ2dnUVZ_tOdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 7991

zerg90 wrote:
> <http://www.gainesville.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20060725/APN/607250676>
>
> IMHO- This is very well written. Peter Sz

Are they talking about APCO25 encryption of EVERYTHING? That's ridiculous and outrageous.

Many confuse encoding with encryption. The use of APCO25 in and of itself is not encryption.

Police companies far and wide love this stuff because only the most expensive scanners can receive it. It also requires substantial technical prowess to figure out what's what. Someone with that kind of background isn't likely to be a threat. --Or so the theory goes.

That said, I concur with the editorial. Rodney King would never have seen justice had it not been for the very quiet support of scanner enthusiasts. Police forces far and wide have nothing to fear from a citizen listening at home.

I do. My wife does too. We like to know what's going on around us. We like to know where our tax money goes. What's wrong with that?

Jake Brodsky
Amateur Radio Station AB3A

2713. Re: Clear all channels on Pro 34??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-27 00:02:26 UTC
From: Skyler <Skyler@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <moTxg.1155$6q1.1073@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 7993

http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/26441.htm
"Phil" <pal531TheCat@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:fIQxg.369$0e5.366@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Any way to clear all the channels on a Pro 34 in one step or do I have to
> clear each channel individually?
> Thanks
> Phil
>
>

2714. Re: Clear all channels on Pro 34??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-27 01:03:18 UTC
From: Phil <pal531TheCat@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <qhUxg.5073$bP5.1632@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7994

"mikeFNB" <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn> wrote in message
news:zJSxg.51014$IU2.37488@newsfe2-win.ntli.net...
> ok there is a reset cause i used to have one and did it.
> there is not a little hole inthe case is there?
>
> certainly is on the 39
>
> mike
>
Yup, thanks, found it. The reset is under the battery pack with a little
access area to stick a paper clip down there to push the button.
Phil

2715. Re: Clear all channels on Pro 34??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-27 01:05:00 UTC
From: Phil <pal531TheCat@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <0jUxg.5074$bP5.3251@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7995

"Skyler" <Skyler@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
news:wQSxg.2253$dj2.34@tornado.tampabay.rr.com...
> http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/26441.htm

Thanks, I found it. The reset is under the battery pack with a little
access area to stick a paper clip down there to push the button. Holding in
Clear while pushing reset did the trick.
Phil

2716. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-27 03:24:18 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1153970658.933302.78310@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7996
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote in
> news:9fc74$44c75380$d06640f9$24459@FUSE.NET:
>
> > No Al, those are not arguments that take place with the model train
> > hobby. The best scale is what is best for you. Unlike ham radio, no one
> > tries to ram something down another hobbyist's throat. Same for buy vs.
> > build. Not a discussion. You do what you want to do and no one is
> > critical of the other. The way it should be in ham radio.
> >
> > You were that kid on the playground that always thought you were better
> > than all the other kids and you tried to get other kids in fights didn't
> > you Al? You seem to be always looking for confrontation.
>
>
>
> I think you're sniffing too much glue putting your model cho-cho
> trains together.
you think SC prove that assertion (that you think) t appears to be
flase
>
> Sc

2717. grounding question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-27 05:25:29 UTC
From: Mike <meb58@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <9gigc2pktv6hhfai8g3uheq21gcepn3f46@4ax.com>
Source record: 7997

I have a discone antenna on my roof and the mast is grounded to the earth with #8 solid wire with a ground rod.
I want to use lmr-400 wire for app 50 ft to the scanner. Is it necessary to install the cable with an in-line arrestor ? I hate to have to add more connectors if it isnt necessary...I always unplug the scanner from my cable, rg-58, when Im not using it.....Any thoughts.....Thanks Mike

2718. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-27 06:42:19 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1153982542.734250@www.vif.com>
Source record: 7998
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

FUCK OFF ALREADY WITH HAM SHIT!

TAKE IT SOMEWHERE ELSE NOT HERE! AND BESIDE WHO GIVE SHIT ABOUT AMATEUR
RADIO ASSHOLES WHO THINK THEIR ALL STUCK UP AND THINK THEY RULE THE WORLD

an_old_friend wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
>
>>"J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote in
>>news:9fc74$44c75380$d06640f9$24459@FUSE.NET:
>>
>>
>>>No Al, those are not arguments that take place with the model train
>>>hobby. The best scale is what is best for you. Unlike ham radio, no one
>>>tries to ram something down another hobbyist's throat. Same for buy vs.
>>>build. Not a discussion. You do what you want to do and no one is
>>>critical of the other. The way it should be in ham radio.
>>>
>>>You were that kid on the playground that always thought you were better
>>>than all the other kids and you tried to get other kids in fights didn't
>>>you Al? You seem to be always looking for confrontation.
>>
>>
>>
>>I think you're sniffing too much glue putting your model cho-cho
>>trains together.
>
> you think SC prove that assertion (that you think) t appears to be
> flase
>
>>Sc
>
>
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0630-2, 07/26/2006
> Tested on: 7/27/2006 2:41:02 AM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0630-2, 07/26/2006
Tested on: 7/27/2006 2:42:19 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

2719. Re: grounding question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-27 10:51:57 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <hV0yg.50747$eQ.13160@newsfe7-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 7999

up to you really
but i'd be very carful about following the old wives tale about
disconnecting your coax during a storm.
this will then charge your coax with static that will discharge through your
equip when you reconnect it.
a shorted connector to a ground line would be much safer, if you wish to
disconnect

mike

"Mike" <meb58@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:9gigc2pktv6hhfai8g3uheq21gcepn3f46@4ax.com...
>I have a discone antenna on my roof and the mast is grounded to the
> earth with #8 solid wire with a ground rod.
> I want to use lmr-400 wire for app 50 ft to the scanner. Is it
> necessary to install the cable with an in-line arrestor ? I hate to
> have to add more connectors if it isnt necessary...I always unplug the
> scanner from my cable, rg-58, when Im not using it.....Any
> thoughts.....Thanks Mike

2720. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-27 11:03:48 UTC
From: Tim <beachcooler@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <o41yg.5185$bP5.3924@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 8000
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

You know, this is the attitude that has kept me from being a licensed ham operator. I have DX'ed for years, but find most of the operators to be smug asses who want to keep the hobby to themselves. I have no need to learn code, or more importantly, no desire. So you old fossils keep your exclusive little club and watch as it dies out with rotary telephones and vacuum tubes. Every ham I have met in person has been secretive and not at all willing to help anyone out. I sold a scope to one a few years back and in our conversation asked a few questions about becoming a ham. He was not willing to answer questions nor offer any advice, just for me to get an arrl book. He wouldn't even tell me what the repeater frequencies were for the 2 meter in our area. So, lets make the passing score 98%, 50wpm, and renew all classes every year. That ought to drive out the rest of 'em and keep you, Mr. Slow Code, as the king of all hams, even if you have no subjects to reign over.
Come to think of it, I can talk to people all over the world via internet, send packets and slow scan tv, so why worry about propagation and antennae?
"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:qdbwg.1169$gF6.722@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Just thought you should know that.
>
>
> Help save Ham radio and ignore Markie to save
> usenet. Thanks
>
>
> 1- No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all
> elements required for their license class every ten years.
>
>
> 2- The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>
>
> 3- Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
>
> 4- Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
>
> 5- Cancel your ARRL membership until they decide to work to improve
> things and stop them from proposing ham radio that is like CB.
>
>

2721. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-27 12:54:00 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154004840.837602.32200@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7901
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old friend wrote:
> billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old freind wrote:
> > > Al Klein wrote:
> > > > On 26 Jul 2006 04:39:18 -0700, markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
> > > > wrote:
>
> > > > So much for "education".
> > > and that was posted by an extra class Ham AB8MQ AL
> >
> > AB8MQ is Morkie's unrequited queer love interst, Al.
> no Wismen

Poor paranoid Morkie.

> I again refuse to have sex with you

But you called him up on the phone begging to.

2722. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-27 12:55:34 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154004934.704600.304670@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7902
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old friend wrote:
> Jack Ricci wrote:
> > > AB8MQ is Morkie's unrequited queer love interst, Al.
> > <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1153940156.494430.48410@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > WOW!!!
> > Who would have thought that the internet would soon become a vehicle for
> > High-Tech C.B. communication!
> > Good thing linears don't work on here!
> >
> > Jack
> in point of fact they do
>
> the method is different it can be observed by studing RRAP lately the
> Linear (slptter box box design) work be the sender sending the same
> sort of crap (so and so is rapist child moelstor so on) over and over
> again till the target either gives up the net or is forced to repond
> with something (in my case I have tried suggesting the jammer "get
> help" now I am trying praying for him)

More like you spam it, Markie the lisping cocksucker.

2723. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-27 14:50:25 UTC
From: "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net>
Message-ID: <b5b43$44c8d2aa$d06640f9$16814@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 7903
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Blow Code, no more damage to my brain then the lead you are breathing in
when putting together your little radios with solder.

But then again, the collector trains come pre-assembled so no glue is
involved. One nice thing, the increase in value of some of these is
incredible.

Paid $20 each for two railcars. Now I am offered $400 for each of them.
Not a bad hobby at all now is it. Don't believe me? Check the prices
for Micro-Trains Illinois state cars (first in the series).

Are trains a great hobby or what?

Slow Code wrote:
>
>
>
> I think you're sniffing too much glue putting your model cho-cho
> trains together.
>
> Sc

2724. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-27 15:01:54 UTC
From: clfe <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44c8d55f$0$2915$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 7904
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Man, I went to a Train Show near me recently, I was AMAZED. I didn't know so much Train Hobby stuff existed. WOW!!!!! Speaking of prices - all my train stuff went by the way side in a disaster. One "engine" I had - I seen at this show for $1400.00..... Man what a sinking feeling I had. I'm sure my dad didn't pay anywhere near "that". This was my "first" train show - as I was going to the Computer show adjoining it in the same hall. I think the train show had more customers than the Computer show. Some of the "Computer" vendors even related to such.

Lou

"J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote in message news:b5b43$44c8d2aa$d06640f9$16814@FUSE.NET...
> Blow Code, no more damage to my brain then the lead you are breathing in
> when putting together your little radios with solder.
>
> But then again, the collector trains come pre-assembled so no glue is
> involved. One nice thing, the increase in value of some of these is
> incredible.
>
> Paid $20 each for two railcars. Now I am offered $400 for each of them.
> Not a bad hobby at all now is it. Don't believe me? Check the prices for
> Micro-Trains Illinois state cars (first in the series).
>
> Are trains a great hobby or what?
>
> Slow Code wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>> I think you're sniffing too much glue putting your model cho-cho
>> trains together. Sc

2725. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-27 15:05:34 UTC
From: Eddie Munster <dgsdfgsdgsd@dfgsdfgsdgsdg.com>
Message-ID: <3D4yg.90284$hp.52351@read2.cgocable.net>
Source record: 7905
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Tim wrote:
>So you old fossils keep your exclusive
> little club and watch as it dies out with rotary telephones and vacuum
> tubes.

Out of curiosity, how old (years) is a fossil to you? Really.

>Every ham I have met in person has been secretive

Strange, all the ones I have meet have been very nice.

> Come to think of it, I can talk to people all over the world via internet,
> send packets and slow scan tv, so why worry about propagation and antennae?

Well if you are really just after instant gratification and no real
sense of accomplishment, go for it. Some like the challanges. I suppose
it is the cahllange aspect to a hobby that makes it fun. Like model
building perhaps. I haven't meet a ham who got into it because he wanted
fast and easy.

These other hams you met, did you make it clear what you expected out of
the hobby?

John

2726. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-27 15:14:58 UTC
From: "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net>
Message-ID: <5a4e$44c8d86c$d06640f9$18229@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 7906
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:

> What's wrong with ham radio being turned into CB? For one thing, we
> already had a couple of citizen's bands - we didn't need a dozen more.

CB is dead. Turning it into CB is a meaningless statement. Your
knowledge of CB is going back way too far when it was chaos. Again, you
do not know what you are talking about as you are making references that
are very old and very outdated - just like CW and code testing.

> For them? It's not. If they can't pass the test they don't get the
> privilege. That's just the way life is. If you're not 75 inches
> tall, we don't let you be 6'3". These days some people want to be
> what they aren't, regardless of reality. Giving ham licenses to
> anyone who wants one doesn't make hams of people who know nothing, it
> makes the ham license worthless.

Why not give a license to anyone who wants one? It's just not that big
of deal anymore. We are not dealing with lethal voltages like we did
with all the tube crap used years ago.

>
>
>> How does memorizing answers to "technical questions" make you a better ham?
>
> I said it doesn't. I said that learning makes you more knowledgeable.

That's true, learning does make you more knowledgeable. People can learn
on their own, learn what interests them, learn what they need to know.
Not what you or I THINK they need to know.

>

>>> Everyone says that CW is old hat and modern modes
>
>> At least we agree on something and nice to see you admit that everyone
>> is now saying this.
>
> That thing passing over your head was the point.

No, I got the point o.k. You admit CW is old hat.

>
>
>> Yup, it is a simple circuit. It's also readily available in books, the
>> Internet, etc., so how does memorizing the circuit to pass a test, make

>> you a better ham?
>
> Understanding how it works makes you more knowledgeable. Evidently
> you're one of those who needs things repeated a few times.

What if I don't care to know how it works? Most women and many men have no idea how a car engine, transmission and car computer works, but they still get a license to drive. By your standards I guess people need to know how their television, AM and FM radio, etc. works before they are allowed to purchase such an item....hmmmm?

> You never listened to QSOs on 20? Or questions asked at ham club
> meetings? Or in radio fora?

Yup, I have listened to and have many QSOs on all ham bands. And most of the crap you profess to be discussed is simply not generally discussed.
>

>
> Learning requires understanding. Memorizing isn't understanding. It
> was proved over 100 years ago that rote memorization isn't even a
> mediocre way of teaching.

Yes and no, depends what it trying to be learned. Memorization can be learning. People learn what they WANT and NEED to learn. No one ever truly learns by having something forced on them. "A man convinced against his will is still of the same opinion."

>

>
> So let them get on radio immediately with no testing. The method has
> been available since the 60s.
>
> IT'S CALLED CB! You want HF? Get on 11 meters. You want UHF? Get
> on 465. It's all there.

Same old tired CB argument again....yawn.....Yes, you can get on CB, Family Radio, GMRS and guess what, you can operate a radio and communicate without a test and the world does not come to an end.
>
> And leave ham radio to hams.

If you get a ham license, no matter how simple the test or no test, you are a ham and you can use the appropriate bands for your license class. Let's get more people into the service and hobby, let them explore and learn what they want - not what you want them to learn.
>
>
>
> Or, as has happened over the past few decades, they won't. But now
> that you said they will ... magic ... they will, eh?

Oh but yes they do. Some more than others. Your statement is baseless
and simply an opinion. So is mine. A gratuitous statement can be
refuted by an equally gratuitous statement.

>

> So those who seek answers become hams - those who don't become CBers.
> What's with the "everyone is equal even if the only way to achieve it
> is to dumb the entire world down" crap?

No, those with a ham license are hams. Those without a ham license are
not hams. It's just that simple. Yup, dumb it down and bring them all in.
>

> In the real world.
>

O.K. then, it's Mississippi.

>
> Demanding answers without putting in any effort seems to have
> substituted for learning.

I guess. This is the opinion of many old fart gate keeper hams, "I had
to pass a test to get a ham license and it was hard. So everyone else
needs to have to do what I did or it's dumbing down the license."

Yes it might be dumbing down the license. But because you had to do it,
doesn't mean it's right for the world today. Things are changing. Times
are changing. If you cannot deal with change, then just give up on life
because change is inevitable.

> About like everything you've said here.

See my rule of logic about gratuitous statements as noted above.

>
>
> Yes, if you're in the bottom 10%, it must surely look that way. You
> get to be in the top 10% merely because that's the way you want things
> to be, and heaven help the rest of us if we don't give you your way.

Yes, wouldn't a classless society be nice? Everyone treated equally?
Probably will never happen as it did not work for Russia and the Eastern
Bloc, but do we really need to have haves and have nots when it comes to
a hobby and a public service? I don't think so.

2727. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-27 15:16:21 UTC
From: "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net>
Message-ID: <3daab$44c8d8be$d06640f9$18229@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 7907
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
>
> That's the way it *is* in ham radio if you look at it through the same
> glasses you're looking at model railroading through. You want to use
> different scales to weigh the same thing, then claim it weighs a
> different amount? Sorry, but I don't play that game.

Gosh Al, you really have a sour perspective of the world. No wonder all
your writings are so anger filled.

2728. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-27 15:46:05 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154015165.376232.280190@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7908
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

J. D. B. wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
>

> > Or, as has happened over the past few decades, they won't. But now
> > that you said they will ... magic ... they will, eh?
>
> Oh but yes they do. Some more than others. Your statement is baseless
> and simply an opinion. So is mine. A gratuitous statement can be
> refuted by an equally gratuitous statement.

> > Demanding answers without putting in any effort seems to have
> > substituted for learning.
>
> I guess. This is the opinion of many old fart gate keeper hams, "I had
> to pass a test to get a ham license and it was hard. So everyone else
> needs to have to do what I did or it's dumbing down the license."
and maybe the test took was harder than it had any real reason to be

if that was the case I am sorry but that is no reason to keep making or
rather go back to making the SAME mistake

2729. Re: You're not a real ham if you if you keep advocating killing the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-27 20:37:26 UTC
From: cmdr buzz corey <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <1154032646.393321.252030@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7909
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Slow Code wrote:
> "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:1153857412.613487.278630@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > cmdr buzz corey wrote:
> >> an old idiot wrote:
> >>
> >> > sure it is a hobby with service related aspects rather the Boy Scouts
> >> > are supposed to be
> >>
> >> Cite one place in part 97, which defines amateur radio, where it is
> >> called a hobby.
> > red herring alert
> >
> > Part 97 does not define Ham radio, Part 97 defines the rules
> >
> > WE define Ham radio
>
>
> And you want ham radio defined like CB. Ten-Four Good-buddy?
>
> Dumbing things down cheapens it, and destroys others enjoyment. Look at
> the childish bullshit you do in RRAP. You and the other rejects drove
> everyone away with all your retarded bathroom hummor.
>
> That's want will happen with on our HF bands, as it sounds more and more
> like CB, good hams will give up on it and leave. Many already have.
>

When people like two-test wogie and an_old_idiot can get a ticket, you
can't hold out much hope for ham radio.
```

2730. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-27 21:06:06 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1154034366.131252.232230@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7910
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old freind wrote:
> J. D. B. wrote:
> > Al Klein wrote:
> >
>
> > > Or, as has happened over the past few decades, they won't. But now
> > > that you said they will ... magic ... they will, eh?
> >
> > Oh but yes they do. Some more than others. Your statement is baseless
> > and simply an opinion. So is mine. A gratuitous statement can be
> > refuted by an equally gratuitous statement.
>
> > > Demanding answers without putting in any effort seems to have
> > > substituted for learning.
> >
> > I guess. This is the opinion of many old fart gate keeper hams, "I had
> > to pass a test to get a ham license and it was hard. So everyone else
> > needs to have to do what I did or it's dumbing down the license."
>
> and maybe the test took was harder than it had any real reason to be

BWHAHAHAHAHA You couldn't read or comprehend it even so, retard. "Test took" BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

> if that was the case I am sorry

You sure are a sorry sack of shit, Markie.

2731. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-27 22:05:37 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1154037937.272169.159780@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7911
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that
traps you

2732. ARRL Maryland State Convention this Saturday, July 29, in Hagerstown, MD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-28 00:03:42 UTC
From: VOA SWLer <Reply_Here@bogus.com>
Message-ID: <CfidnStfq6DQyVTZnZ2dnUVZ_qednZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 7912

ARRL Maryland State Convention this Saturday, July 29, in Hagerstown, MD.

For info, click on:
<http://www.w3cwc.org/MDC2006.htm>

2733. Re: Florida - Editorial About Police Radio Encryption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-28 01:09:34 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154048974.365497.276160@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7913

IIRC - the local Sheriff is going to EDACS ProVoice. And since there are no scanners that can decode EDACS ProVoice, then the public is getting locked out. Peter sz

2734. Re: Florida - Editorial About Police Radio Encryption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-28 02:51:26 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <M4WdncpeH9_74VTZnZ2dnUVZ_t6dnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 7914

"zerg90" <zerg90@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1154048974.365497.276160@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> IIRC - the local Sheriff is going to EDACS ProVoice. And since there
> are no scanners that can decode EDACS ProVoice, then the public is
> getting locked out. Peter sz
>
Somebody will eventually sell an external decoder to put on scanners, just
like in the 1970s.

H.J.

2735. Re: grounding question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-28 05:41:07 UTC
From: Mike <meb58@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <4r8jc25e0vr7i548utpd92o61u8l75ki0i@4ax.com>
Source record: 7915

On Thu, 27 Jul 2006 10:51:57 GMT, "mikeFNB" <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
wrote:

>up to you really
>but i'd be very carful about following the old wives tale about
>disconnecting your coax during a storm.
>this will then charge your coax with static that will discharge through your
>equip when you reconnect it.
>a shorted connector to a ground line would be much safer, if you wish to
>disconnect
>
>mike
>
>"Mike" <meb58@optonline.net> wrote in message
>news:9gigc2pktv6hhfai8g3uheq21gcepn3f46@4ax.com...
>>I have a discone antenna on my roof and the mast is grounded to the
>> earth with #8 solid wire with a ground rod.
>> I want to use lmr-400 wire for app 50 ft to the scanner. Is it
>> necessary to install the cable with an in-line arrestor ? I hate to
>> have to add more connectors if it isnt necessary...I always unplug the
>> scanner from my cable, rg-58, when Im not using it.....Any
>> thoughts.....Thanks Mike
>
Thank You.....Mike

2736. Re: Clear all channels on Pro 34??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-28 06:06:55 UTC
From: Jesse <org@org.orgy>
Message-ID: <Xns980E15865601Eewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 7916

"Phil" <pal531TheCat@mindspring.com> wrote in news:fIQxg.369$0e5.366
@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:

> Any way to clear all the channels on a Pro 34 in one step or do I have to
> clear each channel individually?
> Thanks
> Phil
>
>

Throwing it off a viaduct in front of a semi truck would be one way, though,
granted, you wouldn't be able to reprogram it after such a procedure.

2737. Re: APCO 25 / P-25 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-28 10:33:26 UTC
From: "D. Ruhe" <druhe@atlanticbb.net>
Message-ID: <12cjpvmeg2m822f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 7917

MnMikew wrote:
> "Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com> wrote in message
> news:R5KdnaWnRvVgPFrZnZ2dnUVZ_tudnZ2d@comcast.com...
>> Hello:
>>
>> Is APCO 25 the same as P-25 ?
>>
>> Are either digital ?
>>
>> If so, are all of the listings under APCO 25, or P-25, always digital, or
>> only some sub-set of ? Which, etc. ?
>>
>> How do you know which are digital ?
>>
>> B.
>>
> APCO 25 is digital. Havent heard of P-25.
>
>
APCO 25 and P-25 is the exact same thing. It is all digital

--Dan--

2738. Re: grounding question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-28 12:15:40 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Rdnyg.6008$Q31.4901@fe08.lga>
Source record: 7918

When I disconnect my antenna, I attach a 50-Ohm terminator to the free end
of the cable for the purpose of continuously discharging the
naturally-ocurring electrostatic charge.

- Doug

"mikeFNB" <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn> wrote in message
news:hV0yg.50747$eQ.13160@newsfe7-win.ntli.net...
> up to you really
> but i'd be very carful about following the old wives tale about
> disconnecting your coax during a storm.
> this will then charge your coax with static that will discharge through
> your equip when you reconnect it.
> a shorted connector to a ground line would be much safer, if you wish to
> disconnect
>
> mike
>
> "Mike" <meb58@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:9gigc2pktv6hhfai8g3uheq21gcepn3f46@4ax.com...
>>I have a discone antenna on my roof and the mast is grounded to the
>> earth with #8 solid wire with a ground rod.
>> I want to use lmr-400 wire for app 50 ft to the scanner. Is it
>> necessary to install the cable with an in-line arrestor ? I hate to
>> have to add more connectors if it isnt necessary...I always unplug the
>> scanner from my cable, rg-58, when Im not using it.....Any
>> thoughts.....Thanks Mike
>
>

2739. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-28 12:52:57 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154091176.952529.321840@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7919
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old friend wrote:
> may the lord bless and grant you pecae from the mental illness that
> traps you

Spam, queer, spam.

2740. Re: grounding question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-28 13:12:26 UTC
From: Dale Parfitt <par@parelectronics.com>
Message-ID: <_2oyg.16225$6G3.10077@trnddc05>
Source record: 7920

"DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Rdnyg.6008$Q31.4901@fe08.lga...
> When I disconnect my antenna, I attach a 50-Ohm terminator to the free end
> of the cable for the purpose of continuously discharging the
> naturally-ocurring electrostatic charge.
>
> - Doug
>
Why not just leave a 10K or so resistor connected full time to the antenna?

Dale W4OP

2741. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-28 17:50:42 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154109042.776311.241890@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7921
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
bearing false witness is a sin wismen

2742. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-28 18:45:12 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154112311.965798.314000@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7922
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old freind wrote:
> bearing false witness is a sin wismen

Then why do you do it and engage in ungodly homosexuality?

2743. Re: Florida - Editorial About Police Radio Encryption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-28 21:35:55 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <ab3a@cNo0mScPaAsMt.net>
Message-ID: <s_SdnVUMgOGmHlfZnZ2dnUVZ_qCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 7923

zerg90 wrote:
> IIRC - the local Sheriff is going to EDACS ProVoice. And since there
> are no scanners that can decode EDACS ProVoice, then the public is
> getting locked out. Peter sz

I have a RS Pro-2051, purchased on sale which can track EDACS. Is
Provoice some sort of add on encryption?

2744. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-28 22:21:31 UTC
From: an old freid to some a nightmare to steve <kb9rqz__ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154125291.172344.114260@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7924
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
bearing false witness is a sin wismen

2745. Re: grounding question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-28 22:29:22 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cdwyg.6193$Q31.2906@fe08.lga>
Source record: 7925

"Dale Parfitt" <par@parelectronics.com> wrote in message
news:_2oyg.16225$6G3.10077@trnddc05...
>
> "DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:Rdnyg.6008$Q31.4901@fe08.lga...
>> When I disconnect my antenna, I attach a 50-Ohm terminator to the free
>> end of the cable for the purpose of continuously discharging the
>> naturally-ocurring electrostatic charge.
>>
>> - Doug
>>
> Why not just leave a 10K or so resistor connected full time to the
> antenna?
>
> Dale W4OP

What would be the reason for this? The receiver already has an impedance to ground (2.2K in my AR8600 MkII), so it wouldn't be needed when connected, and when disconnected, an inexpensive 50-Ohm terminator with the proper connector is super easy to connect. Connecting an external resistor as you suggest would require custom wiring (easy, yes, but why do it if not necessary?).
- Doug

2746. STOP what you&#65533;re doing - It doesn&#65533;t work! LCO2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-28 23:55:03 UTC
From: ykvsqvfm@email.adr
Message-ID: <rtxyg.93465$sz1.70154@newsfe6-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 7926

Always staying on the cutting edge of the latest trends and effective advertising methods,
Kiosk offers only the best and time worthy promotional tools.
If we don't have it, you don't need it!

*Supersponder

*Prospecting system

*Master Profit system

*Promotional pages

*Complete resell rights for our library of proprietary products

*Ad tracking

*Membership to our safelist franchise

*Super FFA system

*Group Networking

Click below to find out what has the super-affiliates
quaking in their boots

http://tinyurl.com/pzkxe

Thank you for your time, and we wish you a profitable 2006!

Regards,
Clive Foster

Please visit the following site, for the best promotional
and marketing idea we have seen this year:

http://tinyurl.com/pzkxe

Budaci neh coxaro lam nefopo simemogim nalimimace sedemicori dosa wotep tomuteheta ri daya sarahata na meramedica pigu .

2747. Trying to get rid of a delay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-29 00:38:57 UTC
From: sting2602 <sting2602.2bot41@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <sting2602.2bot41@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 7930

I just received an old radio shack pro-26 scanner from a friend at work.
my boss programmed all the frequencies into the scanner. my problem is
that evey time sometime quits talking there 3 beeps lasting about 3
seconds, which means the traffic of the responding person is always
missed. there is a delay button on the scanner and i made sure i
deactivated it on all the channels but there still is a 3 second delay.

--
sting2602

2748. Re: grounding question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-29 01:00:39 UTC
From: GrayPlayer <bgrass2@cox.net>
Message-ID: <c132bfe7685ce39bcaeaf66e59360eb1@localhost.talkaboutelectronicequipment.com>
Source record: 7927

Install a connection inline with coaxial cable that connects to ground. RS may carry device. Has male/female ends with attaching point for ground lead.

2749. couple of newbie issues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-29 01:50:56 UTC
From: don <donsug@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <2nflc2devrfeihuaab1h5ap4pkrg20fhps@4ax.com>
Source record: 7928

I received as a gift a pro-2018 scanner, purchased at Radio Shack.
Any help with the following issues would be apprec iated

-The frequency for SFO tower is 120.500. However, on that frequency,
I can only hear transmissions coming from the aircraft, not from the
control tower.

-The frequency for the local police department is 488.312. However,
after entering this on the keyboard, only 488.300 shows on the
display. The transmissions are somewhat scratchy.

Any way to improve the above.

Thanks

Don

2750. Lindsay of Radio Reference has found another way to rip off his members

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-29 02:47:51 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154141271.697914.54290@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7929

Now he wants to charge members for a CD Rom with radio frequency data donated by the members back to the members. Like they don't already pay for being premium member. What a greedy mother fucker. What a traitor to his membership. Just bend over and give me your money you suckers.

2751. Re: Trying to get rid of a delay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-29 03:42:22 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <mtllc2h3991vfkrd0e4sf7lier8roek81j@4ax.com>
Source record: 7931

On Sat, 29 Jul 2006 00:38:57 +0000, sting2602
<sting2602.2bot41@news.radiobanter.com> spake thusly:

>
>I just received an old radio shack pro-26 scanner from a friend at work.
>my boss programmed all the frequencies into the scanner. my problem is
>that evey time sometime quits talking there 3 beeps lasting about 3
>seconds, which means the traffic of the responding person is always
>missed. there is a delay button on the scanner and i made sure i
>deactivated it on all the channels but there still is a 3 second delay.

Sounds like you are hearing EDACS trunked traffic. With a trunk
tracking scanner you will not hear those beeps. I believe [somebody
confirm or deny this] that they are a code to tell the trunking system
to release the frequency. It is possible that while you are hearing
those beeps, the responding party is already replying but on another
frequency.

--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

2752. Re: Trying to get rid of a delay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-29 03:56:03 UTC
From: Reed <reedh@rmi.net>
Message-ID: <n%Ayg.6793$157.3941@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7932

sting2602 wrote:
> I just received an old radio shack pro-26 scanner from a friend at work.
> my boss programmed all the frequencies into the scanner. my problem is
> that evey time sometime quits talking there 3 beeps lasting about 3
> seconds, which means the traffic of the responding person is always
> missed. there is a delay button on the scanner and i made sure i
> deactivated it on all the channels but there still is a 3 second delay.
>
>
>
>
Well, I have a Pro-26, and it has never done anything like this. Also
reviewing the manual just now, I see nothing about "3 beeps" in any
mode. If this is happening on any freq regardless of band or agency, I
suspect the radio is defective. If it is only coming from 1 agency's
channels, then it is a product of their system.

--reed

2753. Re: Lindsay of Radio Reference has found another way to rip off his members

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-29 13:34:59 UTC
From: gray-beard <gray-beard@tryandguess.org>
Message-ID: <C-idnYKCI7FI_lbZnZ2dnUVZ_sudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 7933

Copied and pasted from the RadioReference.com web site.

"Please note that general access to the RadioReference.com Database, the Forums, and the Wiki, will always remain free. This policy will never, ever change."

You really are a "Douche Bag"

GB

Douche Bag wrote:
> Now he wants to charge members for a CD Rom with radio frequency data
> donated by the members back to the members. Like they don't already pay
> for being premium member. What a greedy mother fucker. What a traitor
> to his membership. Just bend over and give me your money you suckers.
>

--
"There's no way to rule innocent men. The only power any government has is the power to crack down on criminals. Well, when there aren't enough criminals, one makes them. One declares so many things to be a crime that it becomes impossible to live without breaking laws."

-- Ayn Rand, Atlas Shrugged

2754. Re: couple of newbie issues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-29 15:42:16 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <ab3a@cNo0mScPaAsMt.net>
Message-ID: <uNidnevQqOxFHFbZnZ2dnUVZ_oqdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 7934

don wrote:
> I received as a gift a pro-2018 scanner, purchased at Radio Shack.
> Any help with the following issues would be apprec iated
>
> -The frequency for SFO tower is 120.500. However, on that frequency,
> I can only hear transmissions coming from the aircraft, not from the
> control tower.
>
> -The frequency for the local police department is 488.312. However,
> after entering this on the keyboard, only 488.300 shows on the
> display. The transmissions are somewhat scratchy.

Get closer to the control tower, or use an outdoor antenna. Keep in
mind that the antennas the towers use are focused toward the sky, not
toward the ground like most radio services.

Jake Brodsky
Amateur Radio Station AB3A
PP-ASEL IA Cessna Cardinal N30946 @ FME

2755. ID Tracker II Beta Release Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-29 16:28:51 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <H0Myg.1832$Z7.891@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 7935

http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm

- Misc. changes to code.
- Corrected problem with duplicate entries in the ID list after stopping and restarting program.
- Added support for tracking which site an ID has appeared on.

BCD396T is known to not work correctly with this release..

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
http://home.bellsouth.net/p/PWP-k5dmh

ID Tracker
http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
For replies, use my callsign @bellsouth.net.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

2756. Re: Lindsay of Radio Reference has found another way to rip off his members

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-29 16:49:02 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <YjMyg.3631$DW3.2149@fe06.lga>
Source record: 7936

"Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1154141271.697914.54290@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Now he wants to charge members for a CD Rom with radio frequency data
> donated by the members back to the members. Like they don't already pay
> for being premium member. What a greedy mother fucker. What a traitor
> to his membership. Just bend over and give me your money you suckers.
>

You never answered the question pertaining to your ingredients. Modern high tech or good old fashioned water & vinegar?

BH

2757. It's time again for the Great Liberty Net !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-29 18:54:28 UTC
From: Gridleakkbe3 <xylotherminman@yahoo.nosp.com>
Message-ID: <7b027$44cbaf02$d1cc58f6$23613@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 7937
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.morons,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You KNOW where....

You KNOW when....

You KNOW who....

So don't MISS IT again! wheeeeeeeeeeeee!!

Tonight's special topic !! "How to be an anti-semite jew hating windbag
and also support Hezbollah while eating a Big Mac & Fries and not
slobbering/belching food into your D-104 microphone screen" . . . . . .
With our SPECIAL GUEST (drum roll please!) . . . . . MEL GIBSON
Live from the LA Drunk Tank via ham radio cheap jew telephove charge
avoiding phone patch method.....

This promises to be a great sow tonight folks!

2758. New Orleans Police frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-29 19:33:29 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <JI-dnQwRE_9pJFbZnZ2dnUVZ_s2dnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 7938

A friend of mine is visiting from N.O. and is now very interested in listening to N.O. Police in the city limits. I found about 40 police frequencies in vhf and uhf range listed in my Police Call CD that I got from Radio Shack about 15 yrs. ago. My question, will a 50 channel vhf-uhf scanner work or have things changed in the 15 yrs. and a special or "Digital" scanner be needed for New Orleans Police, now. Thanks for any knowledgeable answers.

H.J.

2759. Re: Trying to get rid of a delay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-29 22:03:58 UTC
From: Me <me@myisp.com>
Message-ID: <9mmnc2tls5gd2dru9vu2fud8ooq66vuh5s@4ax.com>
Source record: 7939

It's just trying to receive EDACS trunking without a scanner that tracks it. After they unkey the repeater stays up a few secs with the beeps. With a EDACS scanner you shouldn't hear it if it's programmed correct..

On Sat, 29 Jul 2006 03:56:03 GMT, Reed <reedh@rmi.net> wrote:

>sting2602 wrote:
>> I just received an old radio shack pro-26 scanner from a friend at work.
>> my boss programmed all the frequencies into the scanner. my problem is
>> that evey time sometime quits talking there 3 beeps lasting about 3
>> seconds, which means the traffic of the responding person is always
>> missed. there is a delay button on the scanner and i made sure i
>> deactivated it on all the channels but there still is a 3 second delay.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>Well, I have a Pro-26, and it has never done anything like this. Also
>reviewing the manual just now, I see nothing about "3 beeps" in any
>mode. If this is happening on any freq regardless of band or agency, I
>suspect the radio is defective. If it is only coming from 1 agency's
>channels, then it is a product of their system.
>
>--reed

2760. Re: Trying to get rid of a delay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-29 22:45:28 UTC
From: Reed <reedh@rmi.net>
Message-ID: <cyRyg.6234$bP5.1601@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7940

Me wrote:
> It's just trying to receive EDACS trunking without a scanner that
> tracks it. After they unkey the repeater stays up a few secs with the
> beeps. With a EDACS scanner you shouldn't hear it if it's programmed
> correct..
>
>
>
> On Sat, 29 Jul 2006 03:56:03 GMT, Reed <reedh@rmi.net> wrote:
>
>
>>sting2602 wrote:
>>
>>>I just received an old radio shack pro-26 scanner from a friend at work.
>>>my boss programmed all the frequencies into the scanner. my problem is
>>>that evey time sometime quits talking there 3 beeps lasting about 3
>>>seconds, which means the traffic of the responding person is always
>>>missed. there is a delay button on the scanner and i made sure i
>>>deactivated it on all the channels but there still is a 3 second delay.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>Well, I have a Pro-26, and it has never done anything like this. Also
>>reviewing the manual just now, I see nothing about "3 beeps" in any
>>mode. If this is happening on any freq regardless of band or agency, I
>>suspect the radio is defective. If it is only coming from 1 agency's
>>channels, then it is a product of their system.
>>
>>--reed
>
>
Agreed. The PRO-26 is not a tracker. So the OP is not hearing a response
likely because the conversation switched to another freq altogether.
.

2761. Re: grounding question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-30 00:22:13 UTC
From: Dale Parfitt <par@parelectronics.com>
Message-ID: <VYSyg.1465$8v.1037@trnddc05>
Source record: 7941

"DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:cdwyg.6193$Q31.2906@fe08.lga...
>
> "Dale Parfitt" <par@parelectronics.com> wrote in message
> news:_2oyg.16225$6G3.10077@trnddc05...
>>
>> "DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:Rdnyg.6008$Q31.4901@fe08.lga...
>>> When I disconnect my antenna, I attach a 50-Ohm terminator to the free
>>> end of the cable for the purpose of continuously discharging the
>>> naturally-ocurring electrostatic charge.
>>>
>>> - Doug
>>>
>> Why not just leave a 10K or so resistor connected full time to the
>> antenna?
>>
>> Dale W4OP
>
> What would be the reason for this? The receiver already has an impedance
> to ground (2.2K in my AR8600 MkII), so it wouldn't be needed when
> connected, and when disconnected, an inexpensive 50-Ohm terminator with
> the proper connector is super easy to connect. Connecting an external
> resistor as you suggest would require custom wiring (easy, yes, but why do
> it if not necessary?).
> - Doug
>Is a 50 Ohm termination a special value for static discharge? Is it better
>than a short or a 10K resistor? The permanently connected 10K would make it
>unnecessary for you to screw on your 50 Ohm termination.

Dale W4OP

2762. Re: APCO 25 / P-25 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-30 10:45:01 UTC
From: KS4VT <KS4VT.2brl43@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <KS4VT.2brl43@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 7943

Robert11 Wrote:
> Hello:
>
> Is APCO 25 the same as P-25 ?
>
> Are either digital ?
>
> If so, are all of the listings under APCO 25, or P-25, always digital,
> or
> only some sub-set of ? Which, etc. ?
>
> How do you know which are digital ?
>
> B.

They are both the same thing. Astro is Motorola's trade name for the
P25 digital protocol.

--
KS4VT

2763. Re: grounding question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-30 11:51:41 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <i31zg.4058$yN1.3709@fe12.lga>
Source record: 7942

>>Is a 50 Ohm termination a special value for static discharge? Is it better
>>than a short or a 10K resistor? The permanently connected 10K would make
>>it unnecessary for you to screw on your 50 Ohm termination.
>
> Dale W4OP

I would think that the lower the impedance, the better, since a dead short on the antenna would be the ideal situation when disconnected. The reason it's 50 Ohms is because that's a readily available terminator. I'm using BNC connectors, so the effort is minimal. The down side of leaving it connected all the time is that, without a lightning arrestor, a strike would probably damage the receiver, so I'm going to disconnect it in any case. I don't think the 10K would protect against that.

- Doug

2764. New No-code HF Pro-Technology Exam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-30 19:40:22 UTC
From: ve@arrl.biz
Message-ID: <arrl.vec.sej0rf-sdofcvkw-sodkmv@arrl.vec>
Source record: 7944
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

NO-CODER LICENSE APPLICATION and EXAM

(Simplified test approved by all book and magazine publiher and
VE whorehou$e$ who $ay "We need more ham$")

Please PRINT (that means no squiggly lines)

YOUR NAME (what they call you)
_____

ADDRESS (where you live) _____

BIRTHDATE (when you were born) _____

EXAM

Instructions: Make a circle (one of these round things O) around the
letter of the best answer! This is so we know what your answer to the
question is!

1. You TALK into a microphone with your _____.

A. Hands
B. Feet
C. Toes
D. Mouth
E. Armpits

2. When you talk into a microphone, you talk into _____.

A. the front
B. the back
C. the top
D. the bottom
E. the wire

3. "HEADPHONES" are worn over the _____.

A. Knees
B. Eyes
C. Toes
D. Ears
E. Lips

4. What do you do with the AC line cord coming out of a power supply?

A. Hold it in the air to pick up signals
B. Pull on it to start the motor

C. Talk into the plug to get real "skip DX"
D. Hook it to your antenna
E. Insert the plug into a source of power

5. A ?two meter? radio is:

A. twice as strong as a one-meter radio
B. two one-meter radios in series
C. a CB with two meters on the front panel
D. a good doorstop
E. yo mama

6. A "ten-foot mast" is how long?

A. Ten feet
B. Ten pounds
C. Two meters
D. Tree-top tall
E. yo mama

7. A ?Triband antenna? is made to work on how many bands?

A. 1
B. 2
C. 3
D. 4
E. 10

8. The ?Marconi? antenna is named after:

A. Marconi
B. Mantovani
C. macaroni
D. Dean Martin
E. yo mama

9. What colors of DIODES can you buy at a Radio Shack store?

A. Red, blue and black
B. Red, yellow and green
C. Orange and brown
D. I am colorblind so this is a discriminatory question and I should automatically get my license because you have been mean to me.
E. I have no intention of ever using DIODES, so I should get my license for free.

10. What color of SLURPEE can you buy at 7-11?

A. Red, blue and black
B. Red, yellow and green
C. Orange and brown
D. I am colorblind so this is a discriminatory question and I should automatically get my license and free slurpees forever because you have been mean to me.

END OF EXAM.

YOUR SIGNATURE (slap yo tag here)

_____

Scoring:

Get THREE correct and you get an EXTRA!!!!
Get TWO right and you get an ADVANCED!!!!
Get ONE right and you get a GENERAL!!!
Blow them all? Just blow the VE and get any license you want!!!

2765. trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-30 20:00:25 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154289625.473541.127460@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7945
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

V.E.C. wrote:
> NO-CODER LICENSE APPLICATION and EXAM
>
> (Simplified test approved by all book and magazine publiher and
> VE whorehou$e$ who $ay "We need more ham$")
troling right along I see

2766. CW to be used in Beruit as only means of communication by Coast Guard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-31 02:21:12 UTC
From: Steve Stone <zzspfleck@citlink.netzz>
Message-ID: <sOdzg.6507$oa1.4694@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 7946
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

The Coast Guard reported today that they will only use CW in Beruit.
All other emissions will be ignored.

2767. realy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-31 02:26:58 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154312818.147850.15080@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7947
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Steve Stone wrote:
> The Coast Guard reported today that they will only use CW in Beruit.
> All other emissions will be ignored.
right

2768. Re: New No-code HF Pro-Technology Exam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-31 03:27:52 UTC
From: Bill Turner <noway@nohow.com>
Message-ID: <8ttqc2pn2n7upib6tkcssjcrsf5d93epb4@4ax.com>
Source record: 7948
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

ORIGINAL MESSAGE:

On Sun, 30 Jul 2006 19:40:22 GMT, ve@arrl.biz (V.E.C.) wrote:

>3. ï¿½HEADPHONESï¿½ are worn over the ___________.
>
> A. Knees
> B. Eyes
> C. Toes
> D. Ears
> E. Lips

------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------

Damn! Failed number 3. My headphones are always in a drawer but that wasn't one of the choices.

My toephones, on the other hand are right where they are supposed to be.

Bill, W6WRT
whose spell checker choked on that one for some reason

2769. Re: CW to be used in Beruit as only means of communication by Coast Guard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-31 03:28:14 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <91a46$44cd7933$453d9423$27613@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 7949
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Steve Stone wrote:
> The Coast Guard reported today that they will only use CW in Beruit.
> All other emissions will be ignored.
>
>
Steve,
Can you provide a source for this report?

2770. Re: CW to be used in Beruit as only means of communication by Coast Guard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-31 03:55:02 UTC
From: jimp@specsol.spam.sux.com
Message-ID: <u4b0q3-fgk.ln1@mail.specsol.com>
Source record: 7950
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In rec.radio.amateur.antenna Steve Stone <zzspfleck@citlink.netzz> wrote:
> The Coast Guard reported today that they will only use CW in Beruit.
> All other emissions will be ignored.

Who's Coast Guard would that be?

--
Jim Pennino

Remove .spam.sux to reply.

2771. Re: CW to be used in Beruit as only means of communication by Coast Guard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-31 04:21:23 UTC
From: Ed <Huckleberry_REMOVE_@bigvalley.net>
Message-ID: <Xns9810D95A94FB6spectrumhogstarbandn@198.186.192.196>
Source record: 7851
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

jimp@specsol.spam.sux.com wrote in news:u4b0q3-fgk.ln1@mail.specsol.com:

> In rec.radio.amateur.antenna Steve Stone <zzspfleck@citlink.netzz> wrote:
>> The Coast Guard reported today that they will only use CW in Beruit.
>> All other emissions will be ignored.

> Who's Coast Guard would that be?
>

US Coast Guard is involved in the evacuation of US citizens in Lebanon
at the Port in Beirut. However, I'd like to see the source of the above
claim about CW.

Ed K7AAT

2772. Re: CW to be used in Beruit as only means of communication by Coast Guard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-31 05:03:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154322215.855013.62940@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7852
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Ed wrote:
> jimp@specsol.spam.sux.com wrote in news:u4b0q3-fgk.ln1@mail.specsol.com:
>
> > In rec.radio.amateur.antenna Steve Stone <zzspfleck@citlink.netzz> wrote:
> >> The Coast Guard reported today that they will only use CW in Beruit.
> >> All other emissions will be ignored.
>
>
> > Who's Coast Guard would that be?
> >
>
>
> US Coast Guard is involved in the evacuation of US citizens in Lebanon
> at the Port in Beirut. However, I'd like to see the source of the above
> claim about CW.
given the poster is all but an annnymouse the source is likely out
thier ass
>
>
> Ed K7AAT

2773. Re: New No-code HF Pro-Technology Exam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-31 07:46:22 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <americaneagle352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <izizg.14472$1Z5.12072@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 7853
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

YAWN... and to think that the 'Liberty Net' folks had to pass a harder test
than this dribble.

"V.E.C." <ve@arrl.biz> wrote in message
news:arrl.vec.sej0rf-sdofcvkw-sodkmv@arrl.vec...
>
> NO-CODER LICENSE APPLICATION and EXAM
>
> (Simplified test approved by all book and magazine publiher and
> VE whorehou$e$ who $ay "We need more ham$")
>
> Please PRINT (that means no squiggly lines)
>
> YOUR NAME (what they call you)
> _____
>
> ADDRESS (where you live) _____
>
> BIRTHDATE (when you were born) _____
>
> EXAM
>
> Instructions: Make a circle (one of these round things O) around the
> letter of the best answer! This is so we know what your answer to the
> question is!
>
> 1. You TALK into a microphone with your _____.
>
> A. Hands
> B. Feet
> C. Toes
> D. Mouth
> E. Armpits
>
> 2. When you talk into a microphone, you talk into _____.
>
> A. the front
> B. the back
> C. the top
> D. the bottom
> E. the wire
>
> 3. "HEADPHONES" are worn over the _____.

>
> A. Knees
> B. Eyes
> C. Toes
> D. Ears
> E. Lips
>
> 4. What do you do with the AC line cord coming out of a power supply?
>
> A. Hold it in the air to pick up signals
> B. Pull on it to start the motor
> C. Talk into the plug to get real "skip DX"
> D. Hook it to your antenna
> E. Insert the plug into a source of power
>
> 5. A "two meter" radio is:
>
> A. twice as strong as a one-meter radio
> B. two one-meter radios in series
> C. a CB with two meters on the front panel
> D. a good doorstop
> E. yo mama
>
> 6. A "ten-foot mast" is how long?
>
> A. Ten feet
> B. Ten pounds
> C. Two meters
> D. Tree-top tall
> E. yo mama
>
> 7. A "Triband antenna" is made to work on how many bands?
>
> A. 1
> B. 2
> C. 3
> D. 4
> E. 10
>
> 8. The "Marconi" antenna is named after:
>
> A. Marconi
> B. Mantovani
> C. macaroni
> D. Dean Martin
> E. yo mama
>
> 9. What colors of DIODES can you buy at a Radio Shack store?
>
> A. Red, blue and black

> B. Red, yellow and green
> C. Orange and brown
> D. I am colorblind so this is a discriminatory question and I should
> automatically get my license because you have been mean to me.
> E. I have no intention of ever using DIODES, so I should get my
> license for free.
>
> 10. What color of SLURPEE can you buy at 7-11?
>
> A. Red, blue and black
> B. Red, yellow and green
> C. Orange and brown
> D. I am colorblind so this is a discriminatory question and I should
> automatically get my license and free slurpees forever because you
> have been mean to me.
>
> END OF EXAM.
>
> YOUR SIGNATURE (slap yo tag here)
> --
>
> Scoring:
>
> Get THREE correct and you get an EXTRA!!!!
> Get TWO right and you get an ADVANCED!!!!
> Get ONE right and you get a GENERAL!!!
> Blow them all? Just blow the VE and get any license you want!!!

2774. Hi there

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-31 11:08:37 UTC
From: Neil Long <nlenterprises@hotmail.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1154344117.418918.223460@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7854

Hi from Neil Long and Radio Jackie in SW London

Hi there, my name is Neil Long, I am a radio presenter on a station in SW London called Radio Jackie, formally pirate, now legal! www.radiojackie.com. I have a blog site that I would be delighted for you to visit on http://getintoradio.blogspot.com

All the very best Neil

2775. Re: New No-code HF Pro-Technology Exam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-31 13:15:16 UTC
From: "R. Scott" <spamtrap@w7psk.net>
Message-ID: <ptudnfT3trD-n1PZnZ2dnUVZ_o6dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 7855
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

V.E.C. wrote:
> NO-CODER LICENSE APPLICATION and EXAM

I bet you fought AM and SSB too.

2776. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-31 13:22:43 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154352163.906640.22440@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7856
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old freid to some a nightmare to steve wrote:
> kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> bearing false witness is a sin wismen

Marqueer's Message From Hell
"Then he said, I pray thee therefore, father, that thou wouldest send him to my father's house: For I have five brethren; that he may testify

unto them, lest they come into this place of torment. Abraham saith unto him, They have Moses and the prophets; let them hear them. And he said, Nay, father Abraham: but if one went unto them from the dead, they will repent. And he said unto him, If they hear not Moses and the prophets, neither will they be persuaded, though one rose from the dead." Luke 16:27-31. Listen to Moses and the prophets:
"Thou shalt not lie with mankind, as with womankind: it is abomination." Leviticus 18:22.

"Hell from beneath is moved for thee to meet thee at thy coming...the worm is spread under thee, and the worms cover thee." Isaiah 14:9-11.

"The same shall drink of the wine of the wrath of God, which is poured out without mixture into the cup of his indignation; and he shall be tormented with fire and brimstone in the presence of the holy angels, and in the presence of the Lamb; And the smoke of their torment ascendeth forever and ever; and they have no rest day or night." Revelation 14:10,11.

2777. Re: New No-code HF Pro-Technology Exam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-31 15:35:34 UTC
From: Bill Turner <noway@nohow.com>
Message-ID: <ro8sc2d9qif4utnvt2mq2htnbh6jbuq2ck@4ax.com>
Source record: 7857
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

ORIGINAL MESSAGE:

On Mon, 31 Jul 2006 07:46:22 GMT, "A.E. 352"
<americaneagle352@iwon.com> wrote:

>YAWN... and to think that the 'Liberty Net' folks had to pass a harder test
>than this dribble.

---------- REPLY SEPARATOR ----------

Be nice. I thought it was a great spoof.

Bill, W6WRT
20 wpm extra

2778. Re: New No-code HF Pro-Technology Exam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-31 16:50:15 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <SecwetWoger@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ealccp$aq9$1@news2.open-news-network.org>
Source record: 7858
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

The VE I blew for my ticket wouldn't like that very much.

"V.E.C." <ve@arrl.biz> wrote in message
news:arrl.vec.sej0rf-sdofcvkw-sodkmv@arrl.vec...
>
> NO-CODER LICENSE APPLICATION and EXAM
>
> (Simplified test approved by all book and magazine publiher and
> VE whorehou$e$ who $ay "We need more ham$")
>
> Please PRINT (that means no squiggly lines)
>
> YOUR NAME (what they call you)
> _____
>
> ADDRESS (where you live) _____
>
> BIRTHDATE (when you were born) _____
>
> EXAM
>
> Instructions: Make a circle (one of these round things O) around the
> letter of the best answer! This is so we know what your answer to the
> question is!
>
> 1. You TALK into a microphone with your _____.
>
> A. Hands
> B. Feet
> C. Toes
> D. Mouth
> E. Armpits
>
> 2. When you talk into a microphone, you talk into _____.
>
> A. the front
> B. the back
> C. the top
> D. the bottom
> E. the wire
>
> 3. "HEADPHONES" are worn over the _____.
>

> A. Knees
> B. Eyes
> C. Toes
> D. Ears
> E. Lips
>
> 4. What do you do with the AC line cord coming out of a power supply?
>
> A. Hold it in the air to pick up signals
> B. Pull on it to start the motor
> C. Talk into the plug to get real "skip DX"
> D. Hook it to your antenna
> E. Insert the plug into a source of power
>
> 5. A "two meter" radio is:
>
> A. twice as strong as a one-meter radio
> B. two one-meter radios in series
> C. a CB with two meters on the front panel
> D. a good doorstop
> E. yo mama
>
> 6. A "ten-foot mast" is how long?
>
> A. Ten feet
> B. Ten pounds
> C. Two meters
> D. Tree-top tall
> E. yo mama
>
> 7. A "Triband antenna" is made to work on how many bands?
>
> A. 1
> B. 2
> C. 3
> D. 4
> E. 10
>
> 8. The "Marconi" antenna is named after:
>
> A. Marconi
> B. Mantovani
> C. macaroni
> D. Dean Martin
> E. yo mama
>
> 9. What colors of DIODES can you buy at a Radio Shack store?
>
> A. Red, blue and black
> B. Red, yellow and green

> C. Orange and brown
> D. I am colorblind so this is a discriminatory question and I should
> automatically get my license because you have been mean to me.
> E. I have no intention of ever using DIODES, so I should get my
> license for free.
>
> 10. What color of SLURPEE can you buy at 7-11?
>
> A. Red, blue and black
> B. Red, yellow and green
> C. Orange and brown
> D. I am colorblind so this is a discriminatory question and I should
> automatically get my license and free slurpees forever because you
> have been mean to me.
>
> END OF EXAM.
>
> YOUR SIGNATURE (slap yo tag here)
> --
>
> Scoring:
>
> Get THREE correct and you get an EXTRA!!!!
> Get TWO right and you get an ADVANCED!!!!
> Get ONE right and you get a GENERAL!!!
> Blow them all? Just blow the VE and get any license you want!!!

2779. Re: Florida - Editorial About Police Radio Encryption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-31 17:08:08 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154365688.814256.166330@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7859

Jake Brodsky wrote:
> zerg90 wrote:
> > IIRC - the local Sheriff is going to EDACS ProVoice. And since there
> > are no scanners that can decode EDACS ProVoice, then the public is
> > getting locked out. Peter sz
>
> I have a RS Pro-2051, purchased on sale which can track EDACS. Is
> Provoice some sort of add on encryption?

I dont think that ProVoice is technically "encryption". I think it is a proprietary method of voice encoding/digitization. I think this has been discussed at www.radioreference.com - check the M/A-Comm forum.
Peter sz

2780. Re: New No-code HF Pro-Technology Exam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-31 19:01:33 UTC
From: ab2rc <ab2rc@localhost.localdomain>
Message-ID: <slrnecsksc.qj6.Silfax@minimac.linux1.lan>
Source record: 7860
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 2006-07-30, V.E.C. <ve@arrl.biz> wrote:
>
> 1. You TALK into a microphone with your _______.
>

I don't use microphones, I am a digital operator.

> 2. When you talk into a microphone, you talk into _______.
>

See above...

> 3. ï¿½HEADPHONESï¿½ are worn over the ___________.
>

See above...

>
> 4. What do you do with the AC line cord coming out of a power supply?
>
> A. Hold it in the air to pick up signals
> B. Pull on it to start the motor
> C. Talk into the plug to get real "skip DX"
> D. Hook it to your antenna
> E. Insert the plug into a source of power
>

Usually E, but if you have about 17 feet of it you could use it to build a
20m dipole, so A or D is a possibility

> 5. A ï¿½two meterï¿½ radio is:
> D. a good doorstop

D -- Unless you are using it for APRS

>
> 6. A "ten-foot mast" is how long?
>
> A. Ten feet
> B. Ten pounds
> C. Two meters
> D. Tree-top tall
> E. yo mama

120 inches

>
> 7. A ï¿½Triband antennaï¿½ is made to work on how many bands?
>
> A. 1
> B. 2
> C. 3
> D. 4
> E. 10

None of the above -- It will radiate on all bands, just better on 3 of them,
so it depends on your defination of "works".

>
> 8. The ï¿½Marconiï¿½ antenna is named after:
>

Andrew Jameson's grandson

>
> 9. What colors of DIODES can you buy at a Radio Shack store?
>

Radio Shack does not sell diodes anymore....
>
> 10. What color of SLURPEE can you buy at 7-11?
>
> A. Red, blue and black
> B. Red, yellow and green
> C. Orange and brown
> D. I am colorblind so this is a discriminatory question and I should
> automatically get my license and free slurpees forever because you
> have been mean to me.

red, green, blue, orange, yellow, brown, white and purple

2781. Re: New No-code HF Pro-Technology Exam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-31 19:03:20 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154372600.728944.189240@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

R. Scott wrote:
> V.E.C. wrote:
> > NO-CODER LICENSE APPLICATION and EXAM
>
> I bet you fought AM and SSB too.
and CW itself (in favor of Spark)

2782. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-31 19:12:18 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154373138.235860.299660@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7862
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
get helps sicko

2783. Re: New No-code HF Pro-Technology Exam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-31 19:41:12 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ZMqdnZoOh_tLwVPZnZ2dnUVZ_q-dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 7863
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

AE +++++++++

Boy is he smart!!!!!!

ab2rc wrote:

> On 2006-07-30, V.E.C. <ve@arrl.biz> wrote:
>
>>1. You TALK into a microphone with your _______.
>>
>
>
> I don't use microphones, I am a digital operator.
>
>
>
>>2. When you talk into a microphone, you talk into _______.
>>
>
>
> See above...
>
>
>>3. ï¿½HEADPHONESï¿½ are worn over the ___________.
>>
>
>
> See above...
>
>
>>4. What do you do with the AC line cord coming out of a power supply?
>>
>> A. Hold it in the air to pick up signals
>> B. Pull on it to start the motor
>> C. Talk into the plug to get real "skip DX"
>> D. Hook it to your antenna
>> E. Insert the plug into a source of power
>>
>
>
> Usually E, but if you have about 17 feet of it you could use it to build a
> 20m dipole, so A or D is a possibility
>
>

>
>>5. A ï¿½two meterï¿½ radio is:
>> D. a good doorstop
>
>
> D -- Unless you are using it for APRS
>
>
>>6. A "ten-foot mast" is how long?
>>
>> A. Ten feet
>> B. Ten pounds
>> C. Two meters
>> D. Tree-top tall
>> E. yo mama
>
>
> 120 inches
>
>
>
>
>>7. A ï¿½Triband antennaï¿½ is made to work on how many bands?
>>
>> A. 1
>> B. 2
>> C. 3
>> D. 4
>> E. 10
>
>
> None of the above -- It will radiate on all bands, just better on 3 of them,
> so it depends on your defination of "works".
>
>
>
>
>>8. The ï¿½Marconiï¿½ antenna is named after:
>>
>
>
> Andrew Jameson's grandson
>
>
>
>>9. What colors of DIODES can you buy at a Radio Shack store?
>>
>
>
> Radio Shack does not sell diodes anymore....

>
>>10. What color of SLURPEE can you buy at 7-11?
>>
>> A. Red, blue and black
>> B. Red, yellow and green
>> C. Orange and brown
>> D. I am colorblind so this is a discriminatory question and I should
>>automatically get my license and free slurpees forever because you
>>have been mean to me.
>
>
> red, green, blue, orange, yellow, brown, white and purple
>
>
>

2784. Re: New No-code HF Pro-Technology Exam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-31 20:54:30 UTC
From: "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net>
Message-ID: <b1e3e$44ce6e05$d06640f9$28062@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 7864
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Somehow, this makes much more sense that a worthless CW exam.

V.E.C. wrote:
> NO-CODER LICENSE APPLICATION and EXAM
>
> (Simplified test approved by all book and magazine publiher and
> VE whorehou$e$ who $ay ï¿½We need more ham$ï¿½)
>
> Please PRINT (that means no squiggly lines)
>
> YOUR NAME (what they call you)
> _____
>
> ADDRESS (where you live) _____
>
> BIRTHDATE (when you were born) _____
>
> EXAM
>
> Instructions: Make a circle (one of these round things O) around the
> letter of the best answer! This is so we know what your answer to the
> question is!
>
> 1. You TALK into a microphone with your _____.
>
> A. Hands
> B. Feet
> C. Toes
> D. Mouth
> E. Armpits
>
> 2. When you talk into a microphone, you talk into _____.
>
> A. the front
> B. the back
> C. the top
> D. the bottom
> E. the wire
>
> 3. ï¿½HEADPHONESï¿½ are worn over the _____.
>
> A. Knees
> B. Eyes

> C. Toes
> D. Ears
> E. Lips
>
> 4. What do you do with the AC line cord coming out of a power supply?
>
> A. Hold it in the air to pick up signals
> B. Pull on it to start the motor
> C. Talk into the plug to get real "skip DX"
> D. Hook it to your antenna
> E. Insert the plug into a source of power
>
> 5. A ï¿½two meterï¿½ radio is:
>
> A. twice as strong as a one-meter radio
> B. two one-meter radios in series
> C. a CB with two meters on the front panel
> D. a good doorstop
> E. yo mama
>
> 6. A "ten-foot mast" is how long?
>
> A. Ten feet
> B. Ten pounds
> C. Two meters
> D. Tree-top tall
> E. yo mama
>
> 7. A ï¿½Triband antennaï¿½ is made to work on how many bands?
>
> A. 1
> B. 2
> C. 3
> D. 4
> E. 10
>
> 8. The ï¿½Marconiï¿½ antenna is named after:
>
> A. Marconi
> B. Mantovani
> C. macaroni
> D. Dean Martin
> E. yo mama
>
> 9. What colors of DIODES can you buy at a Radio Shack store?
>
> A. Red, blue and black
> B. Red, yellow and green
> C. Orange and brown
> D. I am colorblind so this is a discriminatory question and I should

> automatically get my license because you have been mean to me.
> E. I have no intention of ever using DIODES, so I should get my
> license for free.
>
> 10. What color of SLURPEE can you buy at 7-11?
>
> A. Red, blue and black
> B. Red, yellow and green
> C. Orange and brown
> D. I am colorblind so this is a discriminatory question and I should
> automatically get my license and free slurpees forever because you
> have been mean to me.
>
> END OF EXAM.
>
> YOUR SIGNATURE (slap yo tag here)
> _____
>
> Scoring:
>
> Get THREE correct and you get an EXTRA!!!!
> Get TWO right and you get an ADVANCED!!!!
> Get ONE right and you get a GENERAL!!!
> Blow them all? Just blow the VE and get any license you want!!!

2785. Re: New No-code HF Pro-Technology Exam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-31 21:04:59 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12css3r3v7gmb6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 7865
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dave wrote:

> AE +++++++++
>
> Boy is he smart!!!!!!
>

No, it's "Yo he be smart" or "He are smart"

> ab2rc wrote:
>
>> On 2006-07-30, V.E.C. <ve@arrl.biz> wrote:
>>
>>> 1. You TALK into a microphone with your _______.
>>>
>>
>>
>> I don't use microphones, I am a digital operator.
>>
>>
>>
>>> 2. When you talk into a microphone, you talk into _______.
>>>
>>
>>
>> See above...
>>
>>
>>> 3. ï¿½HEADPHONESï¿½ are worn over the __________.
>>>
>>
>>
>> See above...
>>
>>
>>> 4. What do you do with the AC line cord coming out of a power supply?
>>>
>>> A. Hold it in the air to pick up signals
>>> B. Pull on it to start the motor
>>> C. Talk into the plug to get real "skip DX"
>>> D. Hook it to your antenna
>>> E. Insert the plug into a source of power
>>>
>>

>>
>> Usually E, but if you have about 17 feet of it you could use it to
>> build a
>> 20m dipole, so A or D is a possibility
>>
>>
>>
>>> 5. A ï¿½two meterï¿½ radio is:
>>> D. a good doorstop
>>
>>
>>
>> D -- Unless you are using it for APRS
>>
>>
>>> 6. A "ten-foot mast" is how long?
>>>
>>> A. Ten feet
>>> B. Ten pounds
>>> C. Two meters
>>> D. Tree-top tall
>>> E. yo mama
>>
>>
>>
>> 120 inches
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> 7. A ï¿½Triband antennaï¿½ is made to work on how many bands?
>>>
>>> A. 1
>>> B. 2
>>> C. 3
>>> D. 4
>>> E. 10
>>
>>
>>
>> None of the above -- It will radiate on all bands, just better on 3 of
>> them,
>> so it depends on your defination of "works".
>>
>>
>>
>>> 8. The ï¿½Marconiï¿½ antenna is named after:
>>>
>>
>>

>> Andrew Jameson's grandson
>>
>>
>>
>>> 9. What colors of DIODES can you buy at a Radio Shack store?
>>>
>>
>>
>> Radio Shack does not sell diodes anymore....
>>
>>> 10. What color of SLURPEE can you buy at 7-11?
>>>
>>> A. Red, blue and black
>>> B. Red, yellow and green
>>> C. Orange and brown
>>> D. I am colorblind so this is a discriminatory question and I should
>>> automatically get my license and free slurpees forever because you
>>> have been mean to me.
>>
>>
>>
>> red, green, blue, orange, yellow, brown, white and purple
>>
>>
>>
>

2786. BC780 puts 996/2096 to shame...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-31 21:38:05 UTC
From: WheatBoy <warsher@happaopa.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9811B364813FBnotthistimecom@207.115.17.102>
Source record: 7866

....and that bums me out cuz my local PD has gone digital and to upgrade I have to buy a radio that has inferior performance.

I hope whatever comes out next from GRE/Uniden will be comparable to the 780 with digital reception.

2787. Scanner saves family from fire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-31 22:35:58 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154385358.642521.157390@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7867

http://www.therecordherald.com/articles/2006/07/29/local_news/news04.txt

Waynesboro Pennsylvania - scanner wakes family as fire rages in duplex

2788. Re: BC780 puts 996/2096 to shame...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-07-31 23:53:38 UTC
From: Stratinator <stratinator@fender.usa>
Message-ID: <ltWdnTOzz5diClPZnZ2dnUVZ_rudnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 7868

Radios aren't jealous like women . . . it's perfectly OK to have more than one. ;)

Hell, I still use my Pro-2006 for mil air because it is even better than the 780 I used to have, as far as sensitivity is concerned.

I can't speak for the relatively new 996, but the Pro-2096 is a fine scanner. With all the crap they have to cram into one unit these days, I don't think it's reasonable to expect a perfect all-in-one analogue and digital solution . . . at an affordable price at least.

>....and that bums me out cuz my local PD has gone digital and to upgrade I
>have to buy a radio that has inferior performance.
>
>I hope whatever comes out next from GRE/Uniden will be comparable to the
>780 with digital reception.

---------------= Posted using GrabIt =-----------------
------= Binary Usenet downloading made easy =---------
-= Get GrabIt for free from http://www.shemes.com/ =-

2789. Looking for a scanner to purchase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-01 01:24:20 UTC
From: sting2602 <sting2602.2bud4b@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <sting2602.2bud4b@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 7869

I am interested in purchasing a used 800mhz scanner that has trunking capabilities. I would prefer handheld but that is not a requirement. Please let me know if anyone has one they are willing to sell. You can reply or email at bhm76@qx.net.

--
sting2602

2790. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-01 03:55:45 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1154404546.681312@www.vif.com>
Source record: 7870
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

FUCK OFF ALREADY ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO SHIT!

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old freid to some a nightmare to steve wrote:
>
>>kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>> bearing false witness is a sin wismen
>
>
> Marqueer's Message From Hell
> "Then he said, I pray thee therefore, father, that thou wouldest send
> him to my father's house: For I have five brethren; that he may testify
>
> unto them, lest they come into this place of torment. Abraham saith
> unto him, They have Moses and the prophets; let them hear them. And he
> said, Nay, father Abraham: but if one went unto them from the dead,
> they will repent. And he said unto him, If they hear not Moses and the
> prophets, neither will they be persuaded, though one rose from the
> dead." Luke 16:27-31. Listen to Moses and the prophets:
> "Thou shalt not lie with mankind, as with womankind: it is
> abomination." Leviticus 18:22.
>
> "Hell from beneath is moved for thee to meet thee at thy coming...the
> worm is spread under thee, and the worms cover thee." Isaiah 14:9-11.
>
>
> "The same shall drink of the wine of the wrath of God, which is poured
> out without mixture into the cup of his indignation; and he shall be
> tormented with fire and brimstone in the presence of the holy angels,
> and in the presence of the Lamb; And the smoke of their torment
> ascendeth forever and ever; and they have no rest day or night."
> Revelation 14:10,11.
>
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0631-0, 07/31/2006
> Tested on: 7/31/2006 11:53:38 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>

>

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0631-0, 07/31/2006
Tested on: 7/31/2006 11:55:45 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

2791. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-01 04:55:10 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154408110.141823.272580@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7871
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

news.vif.com wrote:
> FUCK OFF ALREADY ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO SHIT!
the problem ARS content
the problem is the nut job that think sexaulity has something to ARS
licensing and raves on and on about it
>
> kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old freid to some a nightmare to steve wrote:
> >
> >>kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> bearing false witness is a sin wismen

2792. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-01 05:10:37 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1154409039.120189@www.vif.com>
Source record: 7872
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

IF YOU WANT TALK ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO GO FUCKEN TO LITTLE WORLD
REC.RADIO.AMATEUR RADIO.

an old freind wrote:

> news.vif.com wrote:
>
>>FUCK OFF ALREADY ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO SHIT!
>
> the problem ARS content
> the problem is the nut job that think sexaulity has something to ARS
> licensing and raves on and on about it
>
>>kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>>an old freid to some a nightmare to steve wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>>bearing false witness is a sin wismen
>
>
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0631-0, 07/31/2006
> Tested on: 8/1/2006 1:08:09 AM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0631-0, 07/31/2006
Tested on: 8/1/2006 1:10:38 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

2793. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-01 05:29:16 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154410156.809944.114260@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7873
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

news.vif.com wrote:
> IF YOU WANT TALK ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO GO FUCKEN TO LITTLE WORLD
> REC.RADIO.AMATEUR RADIO.
doesn't help indeed to discuss Ham radio you have some oscure corner of
the net after Wismen thinks it is discussing ham radio to call everyone
a child molestor

2794. Re: BC780 puts 996/2096 to shame...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-01 17:26:01 UTC
From: "Thanks....." <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <J8Mzg.4733$zV6.1016@trnddc03>
Source record: 7874

Well my old trusty BC9000XLT is still by far my favorite scanner,
conventional, analog and all.
Has better rx and audio than the 780, however the 780 is one of the best
trunk trackers I've ever owned, and that's why I still have it. Although
after the rebanding it will be a conventional scanner again.
I have a Pro96, and other APCO25, I don't like it at all. For the money its
missing a lot of options that my old BC9000 already has, and its 10yrs old.
"Stratinator" <stratinator@fender.usa> wrote in message
news:ltWdnTOzz5diClPZnZ2dnUVZ_rudnZ2d@giganews.com...
> Radios aren't jealous like women . . . it's perfectly OK to have more than
> one. ;)
>
> Hell, I still use my Pro-2006 for mil air because it is even better than
> the 780 I used to have, as far as sensitivity is concerned.
>
> I can't speak for the relatively new 996, but the Pro-2096 is a fine
> scanner. With all the crap they have to cram into one unit these days, I
> don't think it's reasonable to expect a perfect all-in-one analogue and
> digital solution . . . at an affordable price at least.
>
>>....and that bums me out cuz my local PD has gone digital and to upgrade I
>>have to buy a radio that has inferior performance.
>>
>>I hope whatever comes out next from GRE/Uniden will be comparable to the
>>780 with digital reception.
>
>
> --------------= Posted using GrabIt =----------------
> ------= Binary Usenet downloading made easy =---------
> -= Get GrabIt for free from http://www.shemes.com/ =-
>

2795. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-01 19:09:27 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154459367.803431.85180@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7875
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old freind wrote:
> get helps sicko
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Morkie the supposed college graduate makes good sentence! BWHAHAHAHA!

2796. Re: Looking for a scanner to purchase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-02 00:45:32 UTC
From: Bo Williams <williams@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <cf911$44cff5a6$18d69d2b$18495@KNOLOGY.NET>
Source record: 7876

sting2602 wrote:
> I am interested in purchasing a used 800mhz scanner that has trunking
> capabilities. I would prefer handheld but that is not a requirement.
> Please let me know if anyone has one they are willing to sell. You can
> reply or email at bhm76@qx.net.

eBay is usually covered up with them. There are 10 or so Radio Shack
PRO-95s out there right now. That's a great bang-for-the-buck radio
meeting your requirements.
--
Bo Williams - williams@hiwaay.net

2797. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-02 03:17:27 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1154488648.992764@www.vif.com>
Source record: 7877
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

DROP SUBJECT! WHO GIVES FUCK ABOUG AMATEUR RADIO SHIT!

peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:

> an old freind wrote:
>
>>get helps sicko
>
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>
> Morkie the supposed college graduate makes good sentence! BWHAHAHAHA!
>
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0631-1, 08/01/2006
> Tested on: 8/1/2006 11:16:52 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0631-1, 08/01/2006
Tested on: 8/1/2006 11:17:27 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

2798. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-02 12:43:51 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154522631.237446.289760@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7878
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old freind wrote:
> news.vif.com wrote:
> > IF YOU WANT TALK ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO GO FUCKEN TO LITTLE WORLD
> > REC.RADIO.AMATEUR RADIO.
> doesn't help indeed to discuss Ham radio you have some oscure corner of

Post in English, stupid.

> the net after Wismen thinks it is discussing ham radio to call everyone
> a child molestor

But you are a child molester, Morkie.

2799. Re: grounding question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-02 12:50:09 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <vr71d2tftpaur2rd0pa9uutpumfboali61@4ax.com>
Source record: 7879

On Sun, 30 Jul 2006 07:51:41 -0400, "DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>I would think that the lower the impedance, the better, since a dead short
>on the antenna would be the ideal situation when disconnected. The reason
>it's 50 Ohms is because that's a readily available terminator. I'm using
>BNC connectors, so the effort is minimal. The down side of leaving it
>connected all the time is that, without a lightning arrestor, a strike would
>probably damage the receiver, so I'm going to disconnect it in any case. I
>don't think the 10K would protect against that.

Nothing, not even a dead short with #4 wire to ground, will protect
against a direct lightning strike - you're talking millions of amps.
And a 10k will protect against static buildup.

A 50 ohm termination is used to terminate unused outputs on a
splitter, because the only way the splitter will work properly is to
have a 50 ohm termination on each output - either cable to a receiver
or a non-inductive resistor.

2800. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-02 15:59:55 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154534395.329826.55800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7880
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:

> But you are a child molester, Morkie.
I grant you forgiveness for your crimes

2801. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-02 16:21:05 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <SecwetWoger@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <eaqje6edg1@news2.open-news-network.org>
Source record: 7881
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

AMATEUR RADIO SHIT???? There's a scat club for ham radio now??? Where can I sign up???

I LOVE SCAT PLAY!!!

> "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com> wrote in message news:1154488648.992764@www.vif.com...
> DROP SUBJECT! WHO GIVES FUCK ABOUG AMATEUR RADIO SHIT!
>
> peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>> an old freind wrote:
>>
>>>get helps sicko
>>
>> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>>
>> Morkie the supposed college graduate makes good sentence! BWHAHAHAHA!
>>
>>
>>
>> ---
>> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
>> Virus Database (VPS): 0631-1, 08/01/2006
>> Tested on: 8/1/2006 11:16:52 PM
>> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
>> http://www.avast.com
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0631-1, 08/01/2006
> Tested on: 8/1/2006 11:17:27 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

2802. Re: You're not a real ham if all you think it takes to be a ham is to pass a code test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-02 18:45:54 UTC
From: Steve Stone <zzspfleck@citlink.netzz>
Message-ID: <Cp6Ag.6915$Oh1.592@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 7882
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Re: You're not a real ham if all you think it takes to be a ham is to pass a code test

2803. Re: You're not a real ham if all you think it takes to be a ham is to pass a code test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-02 19:13:34 UTC
From: "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net>
Message-ID: <19550$44d0f95d$d06640f9$17082@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 7883
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

True, you also have to get on the radio and communicate.

Steve Stone wrote:
> Re: You're not a real ham if all you think it takes to be a ham is to pass a
> code test
>
>

2804. Re: You're not a real ham if all you think it takes to be a ham is to pass a code test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-02 22:54:22 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1154559264.872305@www.vif.com>
Source record: 7884
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

WHO GIVES A FUCK! AMATEUR ASSHOLE AND STUCK UP & THINK THEY KNOW EVERYTHING

TAKE SUBJECT SOMEWHERE ELSE! OR ELSE FUCK OFF AND DROP THE SUBJECT

Steve Stone wrote:

> Re: You're not a real ham if all you think it takes to be a ham is to pass a
> code test
>
>
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0631-2, 08/02/2006
> Tested on: 8/2/2006 6:53:11 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0631-2, 08/02/2006
Tested on: 8/2/2006 6:54:22 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

2805. Re: You're not a real ham if all you think it takes to be a ham is to pass a code test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-02 23:08:27 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <LfaAg.3617$0e5.182@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7885
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote in
news:19550$44d0f95d$d06640f9$17082@FUSE.NET:

> True, you also have to get on the radio and communicate.
>

Right,

What CB channel do you like to listen to when you build your model cho-cho
trains?

SC

2806. Re: grounding question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-02 23:25:32 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <PvaAg.56$rH3.37@fe11.lga>
Source record: 7886

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:vr71d2tftpaur2rd0pa9uutpumfboali61@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 30 Jul 2006 07:51:41 -0400, "DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>>I would think that the lower the impedance, the better, since a dead short
>>on the antenna would be the ideal situation when disconnected. The reason
>>it's 50 Ohms is because that's a readily available terminator. I'm using
>>BNC connectors, so the effort is minimal. The down side of leaving it
>>connected all the time is that, without a lightning arrestor, a strike
>>would
>>probably damage the receiver, so I'm going to disconnect it in any case.
>>I
>>don't think the 10K would protect against that.
>
> Nothing, not even a dead short with #4 wire to ground, will protect
> against a direct lightning strike - you're talking millions of amps.
> And a 10k will protect against static buildup.
>
> A 50 ohm termination is used to terminate unused outputs on a
> splitter, because the only way the splitter will work properly is to
> have a 50 ohm termination on each output - either cable to a receiver
> or a non-inductive resistor.

All true, but my point is that the receiver already has a 2.2K resistor to ground at the antenna input, so adding a 10K in parallel is completely unnecessary. And using a 50 Ohm terminator on a disconnected antenna downlead is no worse than using a 10K resistor. In either case, no additonal wiring is needed, and the protection from static build-up is there, which was the topic of the OP's question, I believe.

It still isn't clear why I should go to the trouble of wiring up a 10K resistor on the antenna, and why using a 50-Ohm terminator on a disconnected downlead isn't just as good (possibly better).

We do agree that, without a lightning arrestor, it's better to disconnect the downlead during a nearby thunderstorm than to leave it connected with just a 10K resistor, don't we? The method for draining static build-up may vary, but both methods are valid.

- Doug

2807. Re: You're not a real ham if all you think it takes to be a ham is to pass a code test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-03 00:01:53 UTC
From: Jack Ricci <ricci@mnsi.net>
Message-ID: <earedf0fgg@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 7889
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

HEY...RADIO SHACK HAS A GREAT DEAL ON 10 METER MOBILE RIGS!!!

YYYYEEEEHHHAAAWWWwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwww

"news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com> wrote in message
news:1154559264.872305@www.vif.com...
> WHO GIVES A FUCK! AMATEUR ASSHOLE AND STUCK UP & THINK THEY KNOW
> EVERYTHING
>
> TAKE SUBJECT SOMEWHERE ELSE! OR ELSE FUCK OFF AND DROP THE SUBJECT
>
> Steve Stone wrote:
>
>> Re: You're not a real ham if all you think it takes to be a ham is to
>> pass a code test
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> ---
>> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
>> Virus Database (VPS): 0631-2, 08/02/2006
>> Tested on: 8/2/2006 6:53:11 PM
>> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
>> http://www.avast.com
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0631-2, 08/02/2006
> Tested on: 8/2/2006 6:54:22 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

2808. Re: You're not a real ham if all you think it takes to be a ham is to pass a code test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-03 00:12:08 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe47@indy.net>
Message-ID: <sbbAg.1895$kO3.12@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 7887
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote in
> news:19550$44d0f95d$d06640f9$17082@FUSE.NET:
>
>> True, you also have to get on the radio and communicate.
>>
>
> Right,
>
> What CB channel do you like to listen to when you build your model cho-cho
> trains?
>
> SC

Uh, it's choo-choo, NOT "cho-cho". If you are going to slam somebody,
make sure that -you- don't come across as being a little, how shall I
say it, slow.

2809. Re: You're not a real ham if all you think it takes to be a ham is to pass a code test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-03 00:15:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154564146.394217.193640@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7888
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Joe from Kokomo wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote in
> > news:19550$44d0f95d$d06640f9$17082@FUSE.NET:
> >
> >> True, you also have to get on the radio and communicate.
> >>
> >
> > Right,
> >
> > What CB channel do you like to listen to when you build your model cho-cho
> > trains?
> >
> > SC
>
> Uh, it's choo-choo, NOT "cho-cho". If you are going to slam somebody,
> make sure that -you- don't come across as being a little, how shall I
> say it, slow.

sadly he does not seem to be able to help it
```

2810. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-03 01:32:18 UTC
From: lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154568738.495514.244970@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7890
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Not Roger Wiseman wrote:
> AMATEUR RADIO SHIT???? There's a scat club for ham radio now??? Where can I
> sign up???
>
> I LOVE SCAT PLAY!!!

SO Do I, I love eating my own shit! I even piss myself to get something
to drink!

Lloyd Davies
(256) 233-4396
(256) 232-3000

> >
> "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com> wrote in message
> news:1154488648.992764@www.vif.com...
> > DROP SUBJECT! WHO GIVES FUCK ABOUG AMATEUR RADIO SHIT!
> >
> > peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> >> an old freind wrote:
> >>
> >>>get helps sicko
> >>
> >> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> >>
> >> Morkie the supposed college graduate makes good sentence! BWHAHAHAHA!
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> ---
> >> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
> >> Virus Database (VPS): 0631-1, 08/01/2006
> >> Tested on: 8/1/2006 11:16:52 PM
> >> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> >> http://www.avast.com
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> > ---
> > avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> > Virus Database (VPS): 0631-1, 08/01/2006

> > Tested on: 8/1/2006 11:17:27 PM
> > avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> > http://www.avast.com
> >
> >
> >

2811. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-03 01:33:44 UTC
From: lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154568824.607030.203210@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7891
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old freind wrote:
> peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > But you are a child molester, Morkie.
> I grant you forgiveness for your crimes

You told me you had picture you were going to e-mail me of it, Mark.

Lloyd
lloyd_vfp@msn.com

2812. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-03 01:43:05 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154569385.773903.145810@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7892
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old freind wrote:
> > peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > > But you are a child molester, Morkie.
> > I grant you forgiveness for your crimes
>
> You told me you had picture you were going to e-mail me of it, Mark.
you ran off from nimbusters I see

2813. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-03 01:59:00 UTC
From: lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154570340.237315.27000@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7893
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old freind wrote:
> lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old freind wrote:
> > > peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > But you are a child molester, Morkie.
> > > I grant you forgiveness for your crimes
> >
> > You told me you had picture you were going to e-mail me of it, Mark.
> you ran off from nimbusters I see

You sucked your daddy's dick without me, I see.

2814. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-03 02:05:55 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154570754.941747.252880@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7894
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old freind wrote:
> > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:

> > you ran off from nimbusters I see
>
> You sucked your daddy's dick without me, I see.
only in your demtend dreams woger

2815. Re: grounding question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-03 02:06:10 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <q9m2d2dhhef5iaaedhsiqej35hmn3hcnd0@4ax.com>
Source record: 7895

On Wed, 2 Aug 2006 19:25:32 -0400, "DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>We do agree that, without a lightning arrestor, it's better to disconnect
>the downlead during a nearby thunderstorm than to leave it connected with
>just a 10K resistor, don't we?

Well ...

If it's just hanging there and a few tens of thousands of volts come
down the cable, you may lose a few things - radios, furniture, the
house ...

I disconnect my antennas during thunderstorms and connect them to a
grounded block mounted directly on a good ground rod (right outside
the shack window). I've seen what a direct strike can do more than
once. It's not something you can protect against, but I'd rather lose
my antennas and cables than my house.

2816. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-03 02:32:08 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1154572328.292003.53650@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7896
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Cecil Moore wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > The requirements for an amateur radio license have been all over the
> > map over the history of the service. The ORIGINAL amateur radio
> > license had no Morse Code Exam, even when Morse Code was the only means
> > of communicating.
>
> Therein lies the solution to the problem. Make A1 the
> only mode allowed within amateur radio - solves all
> the problems, doesn't it? No more mode arguments, no
> more band crowding, no more expensive equipment, ...
> The list of advantages is virtually endless.

OK, fair enough.

The Amateur Radio Service of the 21st century will be all CW without a
Morse Code Exam.

We'll go out of existance EXACTLY like we came in.

I'm sure that will make the Morseodists happy.

2817. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-03 02:46:27 UTC
From: Jack Ricci <ricci@mnsi.net>
Message-ID: <earo1u02255@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 7897
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

...This a CB group, or a scanner newsgroup?...Hard to tell...

"an old freind" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1154570754.941747.252880@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
>> an old freind wrote:
>> > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>> > you ran off from nimbusters I see
>>
>> You sucked your daddy's dick without me, I see.
> only in your demtend dreams woger
>

2818. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-03 03:05:21 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1154574315.819259.204140@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7898
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 23 Jul 2006 07:26:05 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
>
> >> By taking the test you're claiming that you understand the questions
> >> and know the answers.
>
> >By releasing the Question Pools, the FCC is claiming that you must
> >memorize the answers.
>
> Must? Where's the "must"? Or do you mean "If you aren't intelligent
> enough, or motivated enough, to learn a little, the only way to get a
> license is to memorize the answers."

No, not all all. It should be obvious that if you can make a
ridiculous statement such as the one you made above, I can make a
ridiculous statement also.

> >No one is claiming any such thing.

I guess you missed this part which is key to you're not understanding
that my statement was ridiculous.

> >> By memorizing the answers you're not learning
> >> enough to understand the questions.
>
> >> But I wouldn't expect you to understand what "honesty" means.
>
> >Why not?
>
> Because he's already admitted that he's dishonest.

When will you admit that you are dishonest?

> >> >how balanced is to to place CW over all over ham knowledge?
>
> >> No one is, any more than by requiring people to know the law one is
> >> putting the law "over all ham knowledge".
>
> >CW is pass/fail. To fail CW denies all HF privs (except for Alaska).
>
> Theory is also pass/fail. To fail to get the required number of
> correct answers denies all privs - HF, VHF, UHF ...

There is no pass/fail practical for SSB, FM, AM, FSTV, SSTV, RTTY, FAX,
Packet, PSK, etc, etc, etc.

> >> >How progressive is it?
>
> >> How progressive is it to not require people to know ... oh, yeah,
> >> that's progressive, since the new thing is to hand out licenses
> >> because people have some kind of "right" to get on the air.
>
> >Then why is it with the prospect of losing the CW Exam, that you'se
> >guys want to "beef up" the written exams?
>
> We don't.

That is not true.

> We want to get back the level it used to be before it was
> dumbed down to the point that you could almost pass it if you never
> heard of the FCC, ham radio or electronics.

You're referring to the Conditional license, right?

> Just by guessing at the
> answers. It used to require that you draw (was it 3?) schematics.

You tell me? Was it 2 or was it 3? Is this your lucky day?

> From scratch. Let's see how many people could do that today. A
> Colpitts oscillator, a Hartley oscillator and some other circuit that
> I've forgotten at the moment.

You should self-modify your license and cease amateur operation until
you remember.

The amateur is self-policing, and you no longer meet your own standard.

> They're still as relevant today as they
> were 50 years ago.

Other things are relevant today that weren't even known 50 years ago.

> >> >how loyal is it to denny the nation the benifits of allowing more
> >> >operators
>
> >> What "benefits" does the country get from more people using radios who
> >> don't know the first thing about them? (Whatever "denny" means.)
>
> >It's always been that way. You could even buy Heathkits already
> >assembled.
>
> But you had to actually *know* a little theory to use one legally.

No you didn't.

> Today all you need is the time to take the test and the money for the
> test and the equipment. IOW, a CB "license" with a tiny bit of

> annoyance up front. How does CB benefit the country?

Sounds like you need to look for a different hobby if you have such
disdain for your fellow amateurs. Best of Luck.

> >> You don't acquire knowledge (which is what's needed) by playing with a
> >> radio.
>
> >Then the military has wasted billions of dollars over the years
> >"training" radio operators.
>
> I trained operators when I was in the military. We didn't do it by
> giving recruits radios and telling them to go jam each other.

I used radios in the military. I never used a CW key in the military.
I never jammed another operator, although Brandywine asked me to reduce
power once.

> >> >how patriotic is it to keep a staion forom aquiing the skill to be
> >> >ready for service to conutry and community?
>
> >> How does playing CB on the ham bands give one "the skill to be
> >> ready for service to conutry and community"?
>
> >Who knows? That's not what Mark is talking about, is it?
>
> That's exactly what he's talking about. Give someone a radio and a
> "license" to use it and he'll "acquire the skill to be ready for
> service to country and community". That's what Mark said, right up
> above. How does one acquire skill by playing radio?

We self-train. It is a continuous process of improvements. You
mistakenly believe that at the conclusion of The Exam, the "operator"
is 100%.

Never was, Never will be, and neither were you weren't.

I'm beginning to think that you're from the school of "The Older I Get,
The Better I Was!"

> >> Or any skill, other than
> >> getting what you want? You don't acquire skill by doing something
> >> that requires no skill.
>
> >So it really is all about CW. Why have a written Exam at all?
>
> You don't acquire technical skill by doing something that doesn't
> require technical skill. You don't acquire operating skill by doing
> something that requires no operating skill. And you don't acquire
> skill in CW by cursing into a mike.

Nor do you.

> But that's what Mark and his ilk want - we'll have "skilled operators"
> if we allow people to buy radios and put them on the air with no skill
> or knowledge. By osmosis? Or by magic?

I've listened to emergency responders on a scanner before. They don't use Morse Code, they don't use CW. They use FM/Voice. Somehow they are effective at it, not having taken a Morse Code test. How can this be?

Tell you what. The next time your YL dials 911 for you, she has to communicate with the 911 Operator in Morse Code. She can just sound it out with her mouth, no keyer, sounder, clacker or anything else required.

When the Operator tells her to speak normally, your YL is allowed to say once, and only once, using her normal voice, "Real communications takes place with Morse Code" and then revert back to sounding out her message with Morse Code dits and dahs.

Agreed?

On your block of granite, she will say, "Here Lays Al Klein, Who had no use for Voice Modes. May He Rest In Peace"

> >> And you, particularly, don't acquire
> >> knowledge by demanding something for nothing.
>
> >The requirements for an amateur radio license have been all over the
> >map over the history of the service. The ORIGINAL amateur radio
> >license had no Morse Code Exam, even when Morse Code was the only means
> >of communicating.
>
> So you'd get a license not knowing CW, build a radio (you couldn't buy
> one then) and ... what? Sit and look at it. Some things are just too
> obvious to need mentioning.

Please diagram that radio from "Scratch."

> >Get over it. Everyone else is moving on.
>
> Evidently not, or I'd be the only one in the world advocating that a
> test should actually test for something. There are actually millions
> of us who don't think lack of instant gratification is the worst thing
> in the world.

Dial 911 and tell the operator that you don't need instant gratification, take your time.

> What next? DXCC awards for those who *want* to work 100 countries?

You seem to be confused. DXCC is an award offered by the ARRL, not the FCC. It has nothing to do with licensing.

You ask "What next?" How about a test for everyone else except you, where you get to try to recall what was on your test, but can't.

2819. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-03 03:15:58 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1154574958.502274.257100@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7899
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On Sat, 22 Jul 2006 20:14:17 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >The fit get a ham license. All the rest get cell phones, CB, and shortwave
> >listening.
>
> No, SC - in today's society we can't hurt people's feelings, so the
> loud get anything they want.

Al, you're getting louder. SC has been loud for a long time.

2820. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-03 03:45:00 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154576700.339103.201810@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7900
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Jack Ricci wrote:
> ...This a CB group, or a scanner newsgroup?...Hard to tell...
it is just Roger Wismen (AB8MQ doing the only things he seem to know
how to do try and turn any NG into a sex NG

>
> "an old freind" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1154570754.941747.252880@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> an old freind wrote:
> >> > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> >> > you ran off from nimbusters I see
> >>
> >> You sucked your daddy's dick without me, I see.
> > only in your demtend dreams woger
> >

2821. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-03 05:05:15 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1154581517.784233@www.vif.com>
Source record: 7701
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

EAT YOUR OWN SHIT! POST PIC HERE!

lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:

> Not Roger Wiseman wrote:
>
>>AMATEUR RADIO SHIT???? There's a scat club for ham radio now??? Where can I
>>sign up???
>>
>>I LOVE SCAT PLAY!!!
>
>
> SO Do I, I love eating my own shit! I even piss myself to get something
> to drink!
>
> Lloyd Davies
> (256) 233-4396
> (256) 232-3000
>
>
>
>>"news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com> wrote in message
>>news:1154488648.992764@www.vif.com...
>>
>>>DROP SUBJECT! WHO GIVES FUCK ABOUG AMATEUR RADIO SHIT!
>>>
>>>peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an old freind wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>get helps sicko
>>>>
>>>>^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>>>>
>>>>Morkie the supposed college graduate makes good sentence! BWHAHAHAHA!
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>---
>>>>avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
>>>>Virus Database (VPS): 0631-1, 08/01/2006
>>>>Tested on: 8/1/2006 11:16:52 PM

>>>>avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
>>>>http://www.avast.com
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>---
>>>avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
>>>Virus Database (VPS): 0631-1, 08/01/2006
>>>Tested on: 8/1/2006 11:17:27 PM
>>>avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
>>>http://www.avast.com
>>>
>>>
>>>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0631-2, 08/02/2006
> Tested on: 8/3/2006 1:04:17 AM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0631-2, 08/02/2006
Tested on: 8/3/2006 1:05:15 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

2822. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-03 12:17:44 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154607464.792171.126220@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7702
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old freind to sodomy wrote:
> Jack Ricci wrote:
> > ...This a CB group, or a scanner newsgroup?...Hard to tell...

Breaker, breaker! HAHAHAHAHA!

> it is just Roger Wismen (AB8MQ doing the only things he seem to know
> how to do try and turn any NG into a sex NG

While "an old friend" Mark C. Morgan , KB9RQZ wants to turn it into a
pedophile meeting place.

> >
> > "an old freind" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1154570754.941747.252880@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >> an old freind wrote:
> > >> > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
> > >> > you ran off from nimbusters I see
> > >>
> > >> You sucked your daddy's dick without me, I see.
> > > only in your demtend dreams woger
> > >

2823. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-03 12:19:08 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154607547.960474.260150@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7703
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old freind wrote:
> lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old freind wrote:
> > > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > > you ran off from nimbusters I see
> >
> > You sucked your daddy's dick without me, I see.
> only in

Only that Ed H. says you did him too.

Marky confirms he married a shemale in
<1154036039.815804.294820@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>:
"posted you can prove it for yourself anytime you can catch him at
home"

2824. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-03 12:56:36 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <6gr3d21444qdt5m6mr1e1jpavltvso929p@4ax.com>
Source record: 7704
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 2 Aug 2006 20:05:21 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> On 23 Jul 2006 07:26:05 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>> >> >how balanced is to to place CW over all over ham knowledge?

>> >> No one is, any more than by requiring people to know the law one is
>> >> putting the law "over all ham knowledge".

>> >CW is pass/fail. To fail CW denies all HF privs (except for Alaska).

>> Theory is also pass/fail. To fail to get the required number of
>> correct answers denies all privs - HF, VHF, UHF ...

>There is no pass/fail practical for SSB, FM, AM, FSTV, SSTV, RTTY, FAX,
>Packet, PSK, etc, etc, etc.

There's no test at all, so those claiming that the reason they want a
test for CW dropped because it's not "modern" have no argument - they
want no test for FSTV, SSTV, RTTY (which is also pretty old hat),
packet, PSK, etc. They want no test at all, unless they can memorize
a few answers to "pass" it.

>> >> >How progressive is it?

>> >> How progressive is it to not require people to know ... oh, yeah,
>> >> that's progressive, since the new thing is to hand out licenses
>> >> because people have some kind of "right" to get on the air.

>> >Then why is it with the prospect of losing the CW Exam, that you'se
>> >guys want to "beef up" the written exams?

>> We don't.

>That is not true.

Sure it is. "Beefing up" the written exam is a counter to "drop CW
because it's old fashioned". If you want modern you want the testing
to be turned from CW to modern modes. Those who want CW dropped just
want what they can't memorize dropped so they can get a ticket without
really being tested on anything. Actually knowing anything is so old
fashioned, isn't it?

>> We want to get back the level it used to be before it was
>> dumbed down to the point that you could almost pass it if you never
>> heard of the FCC, ham radio or electronics.

>You're referring to the Conditional license, right?

No, I'm not addressing *where* the test is held at all - I'm addressing *whether* there's any real test, which there isn't, except for CW right now. Spitting out something you memorized is only a test of memory.

>> Just by guessing at the
>> answers. It used to require that you draw (was it 3?) schematics.

>You tell me? Was it 2 or was it 3?

I don't remember after almost 50 years - but I could still draw them today, and it's not a test of remembering what's on the test, it's a test of knowing what's in a radio.

>> From scratch. Let's see how many people could do that today. A
>> Colpitts oscillator, a Hartley oscillator and some other circuit that
>> I've forgotten at the moment.

>You should self-modify your license and cease amateur operation until
>you remember.

Why? Testing isn't about memory, it's about knowledge.

>The amateur is self-policing, and you no longer meet your own standard.

Sure I do. The test wasn't to remember what circuits to draw, it was to draw them. And I can draw them any time.

>> They're still as relevant today as they were 50 years ago.

>Other things are relevant today that weren't even known 50 years ago.

So let's have them on the test.

Oops, that's right - no more relevant testing, isn't that what people are asking for? Just give me the answers so I can memorize them and pick them out on the test.

>> >> >how loyal is it to denny the nation the benifits of allowing more
>> >> >operators

>> >> What "benefits" does the country get from more people using radios who
>> >> don't know the first thing about them? (Whatever "denny" means.)

>> >It's always been that way. You could even buy Heathkits already
>> >assembled.

>> But you had to actually *know* a little theory to use one legally.

>No you didn't.

Yes, you did - you had to pass a test to show that you did. All you have to do now is memorize a few answers.

>I used radios in the military. I never used a CW key in the military.
>I never jammed another operator, although Brandywine asked me to reduce
>power once.

But you had to learn how to use the radios. Hams today don't - they
memorize a few answers, buy equipment and get on the air - with no
understanding of what they're doing, and no desire to learn.

>> That's exactly what he's talking about. Give someone a radio and a
>> "license" to use it and he'll "acquire the skill to be ready for
>> service to country and community". That's what Mark said, right up
>> above. How does one acquire skill by playing radio?

>We self-train.

You may, but I can see from many of the comments that have been posted
here that a lot of people don't. They don't want to learn, they want
to get on the air. Period.

> It is a continuous process of improvements. You
>mistakenly believe that at the conclusion of The Exam, the "operator"
>is 100%.

And you mistakenly believe that most hams today want to learn how to
operate properly. Listen to 75 some evenings.

>> But that's what Mark and his ilk want - we'll have "skilled operators"
>> if we allow people to buy radios and put them on the air with no skill
>> or knowledge. By osmosis? Or by magic?

>I've listened to emergency responders on a scanner before. They don't
>use Morse Code, they don't use CW. They use FM/Voice. Somehow they
>are effective at it, not having taken a Morse Code test. How can this
>be?

They were trained.

>> So you'd get a license not knowing CW, build a radio (you couldn't buy
>> one then) and ... what? Sit and look at it. Some things are just too
>> obvious to need mentioning.

>Please diagram that radio from "Scratch."

Any time. Filter or phasing? BFO receive or quadrature detection?
I've designed them, built them and used them, and still could.

>> Evidently not, or I'd be the only one in the world advocating that a
>> test should actually test for something. There are actually millions
>> of us who don't think lack of instant gratification is the worst thing
>> in the world.

>Dial 911 and tell the operator that you don't need instant
>gratification, take your time.

Very bad example of an attempt at sarcasm and a misunderstanding of
"gratification".

>> What next? DXCC awards for those who *want* to work 100 countries?

>You seem to be confused. DXCC is an award offered by the ARRL, not the
>FCC. It has nothing to do with licensing.

But an award for wanting has to do with "I want it so it's my right to
have it", which is what I'm talking about. No one has any "right" to
get on the air.

2825. Re: You're not a real ham if all you think it takes to be a ham is to pass a code test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-03 13:32:03 UTC
From: Steve Stone <zzspfleck@citlink.netzz>
Message-ID: <nVmAg.6976$Oh1.2400@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 7705
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com> wrote in message
news:1154559264.872305@www.vif.com...
> WHO GIVES A FUCK! AMATEUR ASSHOLE AND STUCK UP & THINK THEY KNOW
> EVERYTHING
>
> TAKE SUBJECT SOMEWHERE ELSE! OR ELSE FUCK OFF AND DROP THE SUBJECT
>
>

Go back to your brick outhouse toilet breath

2826. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-03 13:53:40 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <EdnAg.1985$9T3.1057@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 7706
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> Testing isn't about memory, it's about knowledge.

Then why isn't knowledge of Morse code and the CW mode
sufficient? Why must someone be forced to memorize
the individual characters?
--
73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2827. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-03 14:12:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1154614371.351691.272240@s13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7707
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Cecil Moore wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > Testing isn't about memory, it's about knowledge.
>
> Then why isn't knowledge of Morse code and the CW mode
> sufficient? Why must someone be forced to memorize
> the individual characters?

Probably, Cecil, since it would then make it difficult to pass the
test.

"I"

There. You just got ONE "character" of several
electronics-related formulas.

Now do something with it without knowing the rest of the
"characters" that go with it.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2828. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-03 14:26:51 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <LInAg.689$%j7.344@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 7708
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

K4YZ wrote:
> Cecil Moore wrote:
>> Then why isn't knowledge of Morse code and the CW mode
>> sufficient? Why must someone be forced to memorize
>> the individual characters?
>
> Probably, Cecil, since it would then make it difficult to pass the
> test.

You missed the point. The Morse code skill exam requires
memorizing the characters. Memorizing is being condemned
as an evil act. Since memorizing is evil, the Morse code
skill exam should be the first thing to be eliminated.
--
73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2829. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-03 15:01:58 UTC
From: clfe <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44d20fd9$0$2919$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 7709
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:LInAg.689$%j7.344@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
> K4YZ wrote:
>> Cecil Moore wrote:
>>> Then why isn't knowledge of Morse code and the CW mode
>>> sufficient? Why must someone be forced to memorize
>>> the individual characters?
>>
>> Probably, Cecil, since it would then make it difficult to pass the
>> test.
>
> You missed the point. The Morse code skill exam requires
> memorizing the characters. Memorizing is being condemned
> as an evil act. Since memorizing is evil, the Morse code
> skill exam should be the first thing to be eliminated.
> --
> 73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

In some cases, it "could" be said that hairs are being split. To have "knowledge" of the code - could mean basically - you know it exists and why it is used. To "know" the Morse Code, usually refers to KNOWING the characters sufficiently to use them at whatever speed it is you can. On the other hand, someone who isn't involved could say - that a Ham operator is "knowledgable" in the code. Heck, to someone not IN Ham radio - they could easily assume a NO CODE tech - KNOWS code.

Memory plays a big part be it with learning CODE OR Electronics formulas. MEMORY plays a huge part in "remembering" how to solder correctly and so on. You have to MEMORIZE these things just like code characters - to be proficient. Just like MEMORIZING traffic signs and so on - to get your license to drive.
I think there is too big a deal being made here.

It comes down to - if you want to do ANYTHING - be it do morse code, drive, parachuting, whatever - you have to MEMORIZE SOMETHING - to make it happen.

Lou/ka3flu

2830. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-03 15:31:37 UTC
From: clfe <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44d216cb$0$2920$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 7710
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"clfe" <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com> wrote in message
news:44d20fd9$0$2919$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net...
>
> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:LInAg.689$%j7.344@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
>> K4YZ wrote:
>>> Cecil Moore wrote:
>>>> Then why isn't knowledge of Morse code and the CW mode
>>>> sufficient? Why must someone be forced to memorize
>>>> the individual characters?
>>>
>>> Probably, Cecil, since it would then make it difficult to pass the
>>> test.
>>
>> You missed the point. The Morse code skill exam requires
>> memorizing the characters. Memorizing is being condemned
>> as an evil act. Since memorizing is evil, the Morse code
>> skill exam should be the first thing to be eliminated.
>> --
>> 73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
> In some cases, it "could" be said that hairs are being split. To have
> "knowledge" of the code - could mean basically - you know it exists and
> why it is used. To "know" the Morse Code, usually refers to KNOWING the
> characters sufficiently to use them at whatever speed it is you can. On
> the other hand, someone who isn't involved could say - that a Ham operator
> is "knowledgable" in the code. Heck, to someone not IN Ham radio - they
> could easily assume a NO CODE tech - KNOWS code.

Just to clarify my point - many "assume" a Ham Operator - regardless the
license - KNOWS code. So, if a "No Code" tech simply says "I"M A HAM
OPERATOR" to someone not knowing the license class structure, the
"assumption is made. AND unless that NC tech clarifies it, the unsuspecting
person will go on in ignorance "assuming" ALL hams "know" code. Morse Code
(per my recollection) has always been and most likely - even if only in
history books - always will be known and associated with HAM RADIO.

lou

2831. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-03 15:35:11 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <PIoAg.863$1f6.212@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 7711
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

clfe wrote:
> It comes down to - if you want to do ANYTHING - be it do morse code, drive,
> parachuting, whatever - you have to MEMORIZE SOMETHING - to make it happen.

My point exactly! I'm not the one saying that memorizing
is evil.
--
73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2832. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-03 17:40:25 UTC
From: Jimmie D <jimmiedee@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <hwqAg.40256$Nt.22359@bignews8.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 7712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

> The term "lid" may have originated from newbie Morse operators
> laying a lid on top of the relay receiver to make it easier to
> distinguish the dots from the dashes.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

My mother told me stories of learning morse code this way when she worked for the railroad. She then taught me morse code this way. For twenty yeasrs after that I always wanted to be a ham and finally got m ylicense at about age 35. I was fairly active for about 8 years and pretty much lost interest when my daughter was born. In the last couple of years I have been sporatially interested again but their alaways seems to be little projects and interest that pull me away from it.

When I first went to work at tmy present job almost every tech that worked there was a ham. Just about all of them retired within a few years and nnd only a couple are still active on the ham bands. They pretty much all say that they just dont have time for it anymore. This is where ham radio is going, It is losing out to living.

I havent gotten totally out of it yet and am occasionally involed. Usually working on an antenna project thinking I will become active again. I have been asked to assist some girl scouts in getting badges but I am having a lot of trouble finding scouts that are interested although the requirements are very minimal

2833. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-03 19:47:09 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1154634432.794884@www.vif.com>
Source record: 7713
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

SCANNER NEWSGROUP YOU FUCKEN IDIOT! AND STOP CROSS POSTING YOUR AMATEUR
CRAP!

WHO FUCK CARES! CRAWL BACK WHERE YOU CAME FROM AMATEUR NEWSGROUP FAGITS!

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old freind to sodomy wrote:
>
>>Jack Ricci wrote:
>>
>>>...This a CB group, or a scanner newsgroup?...Hard to tell...
>
>
> Breaker, breaker! HAHAHAHAHA!
>
>
>>it is just Roger Wismen (AB8MQ doing the only things he seem to know
>>how to do try and turn any NG into a sex NG
>
>
>
> While "an old friend" Mark C. Morgan , KB9RQZ wants to turn it into a
> pedophile meeting place.
>
>
>>>"an old freind" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>news:1154570754.941747.252880@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>>>lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>an old freind wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>you ran off from nimbusters I see
>>>>>
>>>>>You sucked your daddy's dick without me, I see.
>>>>
>>>>only in your demtend dreams woger
>>>>
>
>
>
>

> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0631-2, 08/02/2006
> Tested on: 8/3/2006 3:45:11 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0631-2, 08/02/2006
Tested on: 8/3/2006 3:47:09 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

2834. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-04 00:37:28 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cFwAg.9388$157.5621@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7714
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote in
news:3f15e$44d0fb32$d06640f9$31962@FUSE.NET:

> No, it's what happens when give people tests that are irrelevant to the
> state of the service and the world today. It's what happens when old
> timers keep the impression alive that ham radio is about code, tubes and
> other worthless old "technologies". It's what happens when we try to
> gate people out by making them pass the same worthless crap we had to
> pass because, "well I had to do it so they should have to do it"
> mentality.
>
> We already have a bunch of "retards" on HF and have for the thirty plus
> years that I can remember. Testing didn't keep the low-lifes out then,
> and it is not going to do it now.
>
> Learn to face reality. The old tests are what has brought on the
> problems - especially the worthless code tests.
>
> It's not about "dumbing down" the testing. It's about the need to
> modernize the testing, and our hanging onto the old and outdated for far
> too long, that has caused the problems we face today.

Having to learn CW isn't preventing you from modernizing, You're just
lazy. Now go play with your model cho-cho trains.

SC

2835. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-04 00:37:36 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <kFwAg.9392$157.5503@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7715
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

"Jimmie D" <jimmiedee@bellsouth.net> wrote in
news:hwqAg.40256$Nt.22359@bignews8.bellsouth.net:

>
>> The term "lid" may have originated from newbie Morse operators
>> laying a lid on top of the relay receiver to make it easier to
>> distinguish the dots from the dashes.
>> --
>> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
> My mother told me stories of learning morse code this way when she
> worked for the railroad. She then taught me morse code this way. For
> twenty yeasrs after that I always wanted to be a ham and finally got m
> ylicense at about age 35. I was fairly active for about 8 years and
> pretty much lost interest when my daughter was born. In the last couple
> of years I have been sporatially interested again but their alaways
> seems to be little projects and interest that pull me away from it.
>
> When I first went to work at tmy present job almost every tech that
> worked there was a ham. Just about all of them retired within a few
> years and nnd only a couple are still active on the ham bands. They
> pretty much all say that they just dont have time for it anymore. This
> is where ham radio is going, It is losing out to living.
>
> I havent gotten totally out of it yet and am occasionally involed.
> Usually working on an antenna project thinking I will become active
> again. I have been asked to assist some girl scouts in getting badges
> but I am having a lot of trouble finding scouts that are interested
> although the requirements are very minimal

Yes. That's understandable. Hams these days don't want to act like hams,
they like to be appliance operators. So kids don't see that CW is
important and fun. All they see is hams gabbing on a microphone like any
CB'er can do.

SC

2836. Re: SkyWave 2879ABTC...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-04 00:37:39 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <nFwAg.9394$157.8961@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7716
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Katz" <n7uqa91@gmail.com> wrote in
news:1154645552.185409.319680@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com:

> JSF wrote:
>> Looks as good as the last one, why the changes?
>> Is there active bias? looks like it.
>>
>> Still glad to see that there is someone that cares about their work.
>> The other amp makers do very shitty work and looks like it.
>>
>>
> While I commend you on your emphasis on 'quality', it's too bad you are
> wasting your talents building 'CB' amplifiers. The amateur radio
> community could use a source of quality 'legal' 160-10 solid state
> amps.
>
> 73

Hams would still be able to build their own amps if dumbed down licensing
hadn't turned them all into appliance operators.

Sc

2837. Re: SkyWave 2879ABTC...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-04 01:29:16 UTC
From: Clif Holland <clif@avvid.com>
Message-ID: <SpxAg.67$Qp7.102501@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 7717
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Capability and ability are 2 vastly different things.

--

Clif

"Fast Code" <fc8921@cbfools.tweet> wrote in message
news:S82dnTRhW58QpUzZnZ2dnUVZ_rudnZ2d@cbfools.tweet...
> On Fri, 04 Aug 2006 00:37:39 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>> Hams would still be able to build their own amps if dumbed down licensing
>> hadn't turned them all into appliance operators.
>>
>
> Hams can still build their own amps, FOOL. It's all there in Part 97
> for those who can read.
>
> LMFAO at the 'tard.

2838. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-04 01:33:47 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154655227.803803.308580@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7718
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> "J. D. B." <duffyb01@nospamfuse.net> wrote in
> news:3f15e$44d0fb32$d06640f9$31962@FUSE.NET:
>
> > No, it's what happens when give people tests that are irrelevant to the
> > state of the service and the world today. It's what happens when old
> > timers keep the impression alive that ham radio is about code, tubes and
> > other worthless old "technologies". It's what happens when we try to
> > gate people out by making them pass the same worthless crap we had to
> > pass because, "well I had to do it so they should have to do it"
> > mentality.
> >
> > We already have a bunch of "retards" on HF and have for the thirty plus
> > years that I can remember. Testing didn't keep the low-lifes out then,
> > and it is not going to do it now.
> >
> > Learn to face reality. The old tests are what has brought on the
> > problems - especially the worthless code tests.
> >
> > It's not about "dumbing down" the testing. It's about the need to
> > modernize the testing, and our hanging onto the old and outdated for far
> > too long, that has caused the problems we face today.
>
>
>
> Having to learn CW isn't preventing you from modernizing, You're just
> lazy. Now go play with your model cho-cho trains.
more libel you sound just liek wismen and robeson
>
> SC

2839. Re: You're not a real ham if all you think it takes to be a ham is to pass a code test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-04 12:26:41 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1154694401.020493.314640@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7719
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Steve Stone wrote:
> "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com> wrote in message
> news:1154559264.872305@www.vif.com...
> > WHO GIVES A FUCK! AMATEUR ASSHOLE AND STUCK UP & THINK THEY KNOW
> > EVERYTHING
> >
> > TAKE SUBJECT SOMEWHERE ELSE! OR ELSE FUCK OFF AND DROP THE SUBJECT
> >
> >
>
> Go back to your brick outhouse toilet breath

LOL!!!!!!!!!!

2840. Re: SkyWave 2879ABTC...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-04 12:36:19 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <1of6d21ns1kjl160vje4n070kn03d4u3j7@4ax.com>
Source record: 7720
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 4 Aug 2006 01:08:37 +0000 (UTC), "Fast Code"
<fc8921@cbfools.tweet> wrote:

>On Fri, 04 Aug 2006 00:37:39 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>> Hams would still be able to build their own amps if dumbed down licensing
>> hadn't turned them all into appliance operators.

>Hams can still build their own amps, FOOL. It's all there in Part 97
>for those who can read.

>LMFAO at the 'tard.

LMFAO at the one who doesn't understand the difference between "would
be able to", "can" and "are allowed to".

2841. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-04 12:38:58 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <5tf6d2ptdumn4ipooba9f0db0907vtp0q5@4ax.com>
Source record: 7721
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 03 Aug 2006 15:35:11 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>clfe wrote:
>> It comes down to - if you want to do ANYTHING - be it do morse code, drive,
>> parachuting, whatever - you have to MEMORIZE SOMETHING - to make it happen.

>My point exactly! I'm not the one saying that memorizing
>is evil.

No, you're the one misrepresenting "memorizing answers, as opposed to
memorization per se, is wrong" means "memorizing is evil".

2842. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-04 12:49:46 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <KnHAg.2264$9T3.1510@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 7722
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> No, you're the one misrepresenting "memorizing answers, as opposed to
> memorization per se, is wrong" means "memorizing is evil".

How the heck can someone know that the ohm is the unit
of resistance without memorizing it? How can you possibly
develop Ohm's family name from first principles? I
memorized the ARRL License Manual in the early 1950's
in order to obtain my first two amateur radio licenses.
Memorizing license manuals is absolutely nothing new.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2843. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-04 12:58:19 UTC
From: clfe <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44d34461$0$2916$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 7723
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:KnHAg.2264$9T3.1510@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
> Al Klein wrote:
>> No, you're the one misrepresenting "memorizing answers, as opposed to
>> memorization per se, is wrong" means "memorizing is evil".
>
> How the heck can someone know that the ohm is the unit
> of resistance without memorizing it? How can you possibly
> develop Ohm's family name from first principles? I
> memorized the ARRL License Manual in the early 1950's
> in order to obtain my first two amateur radio licenses.
> Memorizing license manuals is absolutely nothing new.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

I "may" be going out on a limb here but I THINK I may have figured out what Al Klein is speaking of - possibly misrepresenting his line of thinking in the scope of things - thus causing the confusion.

I THINK his idea of memorization or what he is referring to is as such - lets say you have someone who wants to pass their ham exam. They buy a manual and instead of reading the damned thing to LEARN the ins and outs of ham radio, applicable theory, rules, regulations and so on - they simply try to memorize each answer which is shown as the right answer - merely by A, B, C or D. Some clown I knew, tried this - he didn't take into account that the tests were not always in that order - when it came to the answers.

Am I correct Mr. Klein?

lou/ka3flu

2844. BPL as posted about a day or so ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-04 19:12:11 UTC
From: clfe <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44d39bfe$0$2936$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 7724

A day or so ago - someone posted some information presuming that "experts" claim that CB/HAM will interfere with BPL. "I" stated in reply - I had heard quite the opposite - that CB/Ham AND 2 way radio services used by Public Safety and so on were "suggested" to be the ones to be interfered with.

HERE is an excerpt released just today - read it. The FCC is taking action to make sure that BPL DOES NOT INTERFERE WITH EXISTING SERVICES.
Now, maybe the FCC isn't the greatest thing next to God or plug in your own highly acclaimed person/item/agency of choice - but here in the US - they DO set the laws and standards for RF transmissions.

FCC ADOPTS MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER ON BROADBAND OVER POWER LINES TO PROMOTE BROADBAND SERVICE TO ALL AMERICANS. The Commission affirmed its rules for Access Broadband Over Power Lines (Access BPL) Systems while maintaining safeguards against harmful interference to existing radio services. News Release. (Dkt No 03-104 ,

2845. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-04 19:35:12 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <p887d2l9n4rg2o1s0t5a9fkf48kfmdaqs1@4ax.com>
Source record: 7725
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 04 Aug 2006 12:49:46 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> No, you're the one misrepresenting "memorizing answers, as opposed to
>> memorization per se, is wrong" means "memorizing is evil".

>How the heck can someone know that the ohm is the unit
>of resistance without memorizing it?

That's exactly what I mean. You're misrepresenting "memorizing that
the answer to the question about the resistor with the orange band is
10,000 ohms" is the same as "memorizing the color code".

2846. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-04 19:39:25 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <bc87d2tmdi1dnoe8reljmjro4piu8ddbtr@4ax.com>
Source record: 7726
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 4 Aug 2006 08:58:19 -0400, "clfe"
<postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com> wrote:

>I THINK his idea of memorization or what he is referring to is as such -
>lets say you have someone who wants to pass their ham exam. They buy a
>manual and instead of reading the damned thing to LEARN the ins and outs of
>ham radio, applicable theory, rules, regulations and so on - they simply try
>to memorize each answer which is shown as the right answer - merely by A, B,
>C or D. Some clown I knew, tried this - he didn't take into account that the
>tests were not always in that order - when it came to the answers.

>Am I correct Mr. Klein?

To paraphrase Maxwell Smart, you're thiiiiis close. Substitute "the
correct answer to each question" for "A, B ..." and you've got it.
Some things have to be memorized - you can't, as Cecil tells us,
derive laws from first principles - but there's a difference between
"the answer to the question about the oscillator is the .001ufd
capacitor" and learning the basics of a Twin-T circuit.

2847. Re: BPL as posted about a day or so ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-04 19:43:04 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <ck87d25u0bekotsr2h8go0j5g942g3loek@4ax.com>
Source record: 7727

On Fri, 4 Aug 2006 15:12:11 -0400, "clfe"
<postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com> wrote:

>A day or so ago - someone posted some information presuming that "experts"
>claim that CB/HAM will interfere with BPL.

1) Any "experts" stating that are at the same level as the "experts"
who claim that the reason coiling coax reduces signal is because the
electrons jump from turn to turn and get confused. (I actually heard
that once from a self-proclaimed expert.)

2) If it happens (that a licensed radio transmitter, being operated
properly interferes with BPL) that's just too bad. The radio service
is protected, BPL is not.

2848. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-04 20:45:45 UTC
From: Bill Turner <noway@nohow.com>
Message-ID: <jec7d25qj7ud11vulq0bdoa42qbg5kdsvk@4ax.com>
Source record: 7728
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

ORIGINAL MESSAGE:

On Thu, 03 Aug 2006 14:26:51 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Since memorizing is evil, the Morse code
>skill exam should be the first thing to be eliminated.
>--

---------- REPLY SEPARATOR ----------

Second thing. English has to go first.

Bill, W6WRT

2849. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-04 20:47:40 UTC
From: Bill Turner <noway@nohow.com>
Message-ID: <6ic7d2diqkk5go86t8bo86ik3c43t3laco@4ax.com>
Source record: 7729
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

ORIGINAL MESSAGE:

On Thu, 3 Aug 2006 11:31:37 -0400, "clfe"
<postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com> wrote:

>AND unless that NC tech clarifies it, the unsuspecting
>person will go on in ignorance "assuming" ALL hams "know" code.

---------- REPLY SEPARATOR ----------

Well then, cure the ignorance. Wouldn't that be easier than learning
the code?

Bill, W6WRT

2850. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-04 21:11:56 UTC
From: clfe <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44d3b80f$0$2919$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 7730
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Bill Turner" <noway@nohow.com> wrote in message
news:6ic7d2diqkk5go86t8bo86ik3c43t3laco@4ax.com...
> ORIGINAL MESSAGE:
>
> On Thu, 3 Aug 2006 11:31:37 -0400, "clfe"
> <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com> wrote:
>
>>AND unless that NC tech clarifies it, the unsuspecting
>>person will go on in ignorance "assuming" ALL hams "know" code.
>
> ------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------
>
> Well then, cure the ignorance. Wouldn't that be easier than learning
> the code?
>
> Bill, W6WRT

I "may" agree with you - in part, but we all know thats not going to happen!
It is a matter of human nature.

2851. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-04 21:18:12 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154726291.953345.94290@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7731
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

clfe wrote:
> "Bill Turner" <noway@nohow.com> wrote in message
> news:6ic7d2diqkk5go86t8bo86ik3c43t3laco@4ax.com...
> > ORIGINAL MESSAGE:
> >
> > On Thu, 3 Aug 2006 11:31:37 -0400, "clfe"
> > <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com> wrote:
> >
> >>AND unless that NC tech clarifies it, the unsuspecting
> >>person will go on in ignorance "assuming" ALL hams "know" code.
> >
> > ------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------
> >
> > Well then, cure the ignorance. Wouldn't that be easier than learning
> > the code?
> >
> > Bill, W6WRT
>
> I "may" agree with you - in part, but we all know thats not going to happen!
> It is a matter of human nature.
i don't it was pretty for me one day work on one of these bike races
the served organizers heard the reapteer CW id asked what it read I
said hame were no longer required to be to read them and I could not,
time change ignorance fixed

2852. Re: Looking for a scanner to purchase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-04 23:01:14 UTC
From: mke65@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <kck7d2d5boae2hjabdaue05ijntjq2l9sm@4ax.com>
Source record: 7732

Get the Uniden BR330t. this is a nice scanner with full coverage
accross the spectrum

On Tue, 1 Aug 2006 01:24:20 +0000, sting2602
<sting2602.2bud4b@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:

>
>I am interested in purchasing a used 800mhz scanner that has trunking
>capabilities. I would prefer handheld but that is not a requirement.
>Please let me know if anyone has one they are willing to sell. You can
>reply or email at bhm76@qx.net.

2853. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-05 00:26:18 UTC
From: Bill Turner <noway@nohow.com>
Message-ID: <77p7d218eepp3gi87gqgr4rvmqs5nobkif@4ax.com>
Source record: 7733
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

ORIGINAL MESSAGE:

On 4 Aug 2006 14:18:12 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>i don't it was pretty for me one day work on one of these bike races
>the served organizers heard the reapteer CW id asked what it read I
>said hame were no longer required to be to read them and I could not,
>time change ignorance fixed

------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------

Text like the above is what comes out when I try to copy CW.

Bill, W6WRT
20 WPM Extra, but just barely

2854. FS: Optocom - Ebay : 290014383951

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-05 01:24:39 UTC
From: Pillbert <phyde1@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <1154741079.615441.31340@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7734

FS: Optocom - Ebay : 290014383951
with bitbanger

2855. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-05 01:26:02 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154741161.913572.107030@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7735
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Bill Turner wrote:
> ORIGINAL MESSAGE:
>
> On 4 Aug 2006 14:18:12 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >i don't it was pretty for me one day work on one of these bike races
> >the served organizers heard the reapteer CW id asked what it read I
> >said hame were no longer required to be to read them and I could not,
> >time change ignorance fixed
>
> ------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------
>
> Text like the above is what comes out when I try to copy CW.
can you still read it when you do it
>
> Bill, W6WRT
> 20 WPM Extra, but just barely

2856. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-05 09:54:14 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1cCdncOk2LFZ90nZnZ2dnUVZ_oKdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 7736
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Bill Turner wrote:

> ORIGINAL MESSAGE:
>
> On Thu, 3 Aug 2006 11:31:37 -0400, "clfe"
> <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com> wrote:
>
>
>>AND unless that NC tech clarifies it, the unsuspecting
>>person will go on in ignorance "assuming" ALL hams "know" code.
>
>
> ------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------
>
> Well then, cure the ignorance. Wouldn't that be easier than learning
> the code?
>
> Bill, W6WRT

Cure the ignorance ... YEP!

How? Learn to copy code.

2857. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-05 09:58:55 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <NtmdnVxnX7JA9knZnZ2dnUVZ_oydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 7737
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Bill Turner wrote:

> ORIGINAL MESSAGE:
>
> On Thu, 03 Aug 2006 14:26:51 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>
>>Since memorizing is evil, the Morse code
>>skill exam should be the first thing to be eliminated.
>>--
>
>
> ------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------
>
> Second thing. English has to go first.
>
> Bill, W6WRT

I'm alomost 69 years old and have forgotten most of what I learned in college.
But I still remember what I learned in High School ... how to copy Morse code.

I still remember what I learned in grade school ... how to ride a two wheel
bicycle.

Now, if I could only remember that name of the woman I've live with for the past
43 years ....

2858. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-05 19:20:11 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Lb6Bg.144$Qf.84@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7738
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1154726291.953345.94290@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> clfe wrote:
>> "Bill Turner" <noway@nohow.com> wrote in message
>> news:6ic7d2diqkk5go86t8bo86ik3c43t3laco@4ax.com...
>> > ORIGINAL MESSAGE:
>> >
>> > On Thu, 3 Aug 2006 11:31:37 -0400, "clfe"
>> > <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >>AND unless that NC tech clarifies it, the unsuspecting
>> >>person will go on in ignorance "assuming" ALL hams "know" code.
>> >
>> > ------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------
>> >
>> > Well then, cure the ignorance. Wouldn't that be easier than learning
>> > the code?
>> >
>> > Bill, W6WRT
>>
>> I "may" agree with you - in part, but we all know thats not going to
>> happen! It is a matter of human nature.
> i don't it was pretty for me one day work on one of these bike races
> the served organizers heard the reapteer CW id asked what it read I
> said hame were no longer required to be to read them and I could not,
> time change ignorance fixed

It's hard to figure out what you're actually trying to say sometimes Mark,
but what it looks like you were trying to convey to the race organizer is
that you're retarded.

Sc

2859. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-05 19:33:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154806401.369014.158580@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7739
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:1154726291.953345.94290@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >

>
> It's hard to figure out what you're actually trying to say sometimes Mark,
> but what it looks like you were trying to convey to the race organizer is
> that you're retarded.

never hard to understand you want Ham radio to die if can't retreat
into the past but then if you got what you wanted Ham radio would truly
be without a future
>
> Sc

2860. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-05 23:31:56 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <MT9Bg.4$Sn3.2@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7740
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1154806401.369014.158580@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> news:1154726291.953345.94290@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
>
>>
>> It's hard to figure out what you're actually trying to say sometimes
>> Mark, but what it looks like you were trying to convey to the race
>> organizer is that you're retarded.
>
> never hard to understand you want Ham radio to die if can't retreat
> into the past but then if you got what you wanted Ham radio would truly
> be without a future

Handi-Hams still has a spot for you on the short bus.

Sc

2861. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-06 07:54:48 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154850887.959554.15680@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:1154806401.369014.158580@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Handi-Hams still has a spot for you on the short bus.
nope handihams has no respect for LD's at all
>
> Sc

2862. FS: AR2700 Manual AOR hand held scanner manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-06 23:52:47 UTC
From: rbouder@dejazzd.com
Message-ID: <pvvcd29f0rq6o5uu7gcbf77rna64th5g8o@4ax.com>
Source record: 7742

Manual for AOR2700 AR2700 Hand portable Scanner
Not a copy --

$6.00 and postage

2863. Bearcat 300 'C-98' Issue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-07 01:46:52 UTC
From: Behnfeldt <behnfeldt@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <BvqdnR581acRBkvZnZ2dnUVZ_o2dnZ2d@adelphia.com>
Source record: 7743

Does anyone have any tips/directions on how to identify, and change the C-98 Capacitor in the Bearcat 300? Mine has all the classic symptoms (low display and can't program a frequency).

I have little experience repairing radios, and it's not clear where to find C-98 in the radio, to replace it. Any suggestions??

Thanks,
Denny

2864. Re: Dick Smith Radio Direction Finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-07 02:01:27 UTC
From: me <me@me.com>
Message-ID: <44d69eec@quokka.wn.com.au>
Source record: 7744
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Kev wrote:

Kev a tanker wanker ,,,, do tell more Kev Deck or ER ?

> news wrote:
>
>> yes thanks glenn. I would like a copy of the circuit.
>>
>> email james_about@hotmail.com with the info please.
>>
>> Any thing you need also. I keep a fairly extensive collection of radio
>> software and circuits.
>>
>> And gidday to Kev ....tanker wanker. private joke.
>>
>>
>
> :)
>
>
> Kev

2865. Radio Direction Finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-07 05:44:55 UTC
From: brizzy bastard <NOTROLLSjamesinbrisbane@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <44d6d365$0$11970$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 7745
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

A cheap tracking device can be purchased through one of the following pages.
http://tech-software.net/index.php?module=pagemaster&PAGE_user_op=view_page&PAGE_id=5&MMN_position=7:7
http://www.silcom.com/~pelican2/PicoDopp/PICODOPP.htm
I really don't think tracking devices have to be expensive but if you don't like paying for a good one, then I can provide a very simple 555ic timer circuit you can use that will cost about $10 to make.
Here is the circuit of a very simple but workable tracking device that I once used to track a signal to tandy's store in the toowong towers in Brisbane. Once you learn how to use it, you can track to a square metre. A hand held radio is the best choice of radio to use with the tracker. This shop blocked ch 7 for 3 days until I had some free time to go and see where it was coming from. The same shop did it again some time later and the culprit lost his job at that location thanks to a night time worker that was on the ball. The signal was a radio on the shelf connected to power with a small antenna and a clip lead over the mic insert to hold it on tx.
http://www.k1ttt.net/technote/doppler.html
http://au.geocities.com/vk4jzz/side.jpg

"me" <me@me.com> wrote in message news:44d69eec@quokka.wn.com.au...
> Kev wrote:
>
> Kev a tanker wanker ,,,, do tell more Kev Deck or ER ?
>
>
> > news wrote:
> >
> >> yes thanks glenn. I would like a copy of the circuit.
> >>
> >> email james_about@hotmail.com with the info please.
> >>
> >> Any thing you need also. I keep a fairly extensive collection of radio
> >> software and circuits.
> >>
> >> And gidday to Kev ....tanker wanker. private joke.
> >>
> >>
> >
> > :)
> >
> >
> > Kev

2866. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-07 14:09:05 UTC
From: Ricke <rceisner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1154959745.516250.237570@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7746
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Love it, get up on cb channels to do CW practice. The sheer irratation
factor is worth learning code

Rick
N4NKR

2867. F.S. AOR AR8200 MkII

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-07 14:09:30 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <tQHBg.369$eB7.219@fe07.lga>
Source record: 7747

This is a like new AOR wideband scanner. These are some of the best handheld receivers ever made. It comes with the original manual, belt clip. Frequency range:0.15-2040 MHz
You wont be disappointed in this radio.

I now only take PayPal because of deadbeats.

$250 + S.H. from 55992

http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/R22.jpg

--

Regards
B.H.
Southern, MN USA
Radios- R-5000, NRD525,SP-600,SX-28,Eton E1

Brian's Radio Universe
http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/500.htm

Brian's Basement
http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/6.htm

Brian's spot on Armorama
http://www.armorama.com/user.php?op=userinfo&uname=jonnysocko

Remove your HAT to reply directly
brianehillHAT@charter.net

2868. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-07 15:02:09 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12delfl7fg2g586@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 7748
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Ricke wrote:

> Love it, get up on cb channels to do CW practice. The sheer irratation
> factor is worth learning code
>
> Rick
> N4NKR
>

If one wishes to operate legally on 11 meters do not use CW or tone CW.
The only legal modes of communicating there is AM or SSB voice. Tone
maybe used as an alerting mechanism but not for other methods of
communication.

Even though licenses are not required and most (not all) persons don't
follow the regs there, if you are caught violating the regs there you
can be refused an Amateur License. It can and does happen from time to time.

Dave WD9BDZ

2869. AWACS training in Florida

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-07 17:30:37 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154971837.694488.81190@n13g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7749
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner

It is Mon, 1:25pm EDT (1725z).
Tinker AFB 960ACS E-3 AWACS "Goliath Alpha" is up with Miami Ctr on
323.1. He has just gotten an altitude block for W-174 FL500 and below.
Goliath Alpha also contacted Homestead ARB's "Mr Mako" on 303.15 to
inquire about the status of "MAKO 11" flight and "SHARK 21" flight
(F-16s); both flights are still on the ground, but departing soon.
Then Goliath Alpha did a modes and codes check with "OakySam"
(NORAD-Tyndall AFB) on 282.6.
Just waiting for the action to begin now.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom/

2870. Re: BPL as posted about a day or so ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-07 18:12:39 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@gwi.net>
Message-ID: <8IOdneKHEcYIH0rZnZ2dnUVZ_oWdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 7750

cb will interfere with it, as just about any other wideband noise that generates harmonics that are louder than the next bpl attached to the next pole down or up the line. That is no trick, since they operate in the 100 mw range, and they probably have a cheaper receiver in them than a cheap transistor radio does
Every time somebody starts welding in your neighborhood, or uses their electric razor, or keys up their 100 watt cb linear overmodulated "splatterbox", your bpl gonna go bye - bye. You will get more disconnections than a dial-up user.
bpl (at least the kinds that have survived the initial testing phase) is implemented by attaching a repeater to each pole, and this "chains" through all the other repeaters, up and down the entire line, to get to and from the isp. Does this give you an idea of the kind of susceptibility bpl will have to interference from just about any source ? A break in the chain is a break in the chain.....
.
"clfe" <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com> wrote in message news:44d39bfe$0$2936$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net...
>A day or so ago - someone posted some information presuming that "experts" >claim that CB/HAM will interfere with BPL. "I" stated in reply - I had >heard quite the opposite - that CB/Ham AND 2 way radio services used by >Public Safety and so on were "suggested" to be the ones to be interfered >with.
>
> HERE is an excerpt released just today - read it. The FCC is taking action
> to make sure that BPL DOES NOT INTERFERE WITH EXISTING SERVICES.
> Now, maybe the FCC isn't the greatest thing next to God or plug in your
> own highly acclaimed person/item/agency of choice - but here in the US -
> they DO set the laws and standards for RF transmissions.
>
> FCC ADOPTS MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER ON BROADBAND OVER POWER LINES
> TO PROMOTE BROADBAND SERVICE TO ALL AMERICANS. The Commission affirmed
> its rules for Access Broadband Over Power Lines (Access BPL) Systems
> while maintaining safeguards against harmful interference to existing
> radio services. News Release. (Dkt No 03-104 ,
>
>

2871. Re: BPL as posted about a day or so ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-07 20:32:17 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44d7a345$0$6608$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 7751

"Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@gwi.net> wrote in message
news:8IOdneKHEcYIH0rZnZ2dnUVZ_oWdnZ2d@giganews.com...
> cb will interfere with it, as just about any other wideband noise that
> generates harmonics that are louder than the next bpl attached to the next
> pole down or up the line. That is no trick, since they operate in the 100
> mw range, and they probably have a cheaper receiver in them than a cheap
> transistor radio does
> Every time somebody starts welding in your neighborhood, or uses their
> electric razor, or keys up their 100 watt cb linear overmodulated
> "splatterbox", your bpl gonna go bye - bye. You will get more
> disconnections than a dial-up user.
> bpl (at least the kinds that have survived the initial testing phase) is
> implemented by attaching a repeater to each pole, and this "chains"
> through all the other repeaters, up and down the entire line, to get to
> and from the isp. Does this give you an idea of the kind of susceptibility
> bpl will have to interference from just about any source ? A break in the
> chain is a break in the chain.........
> .
> "clfe" <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:44d39bfe$0$2936$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net...
>>A day or so ago - someone posted some information presuming that "experts"
>>claim that CB/HAM will interfere with BPL. "I" stated in reply - I had
>>heard quite the opposite - that CB/Ham AND 2 way radio services used by
>>Public Safety and so on were "suggested" to be the ones to be interfered
>>with.
>>
>> HERE is an excerpt released just today - read it. The FCC is taking
>> action to make sure that BPL DOES NOT INTERFERE WITH EXISTING SERVICES.
>> Now, maybe the FCC isn't the greatest thing next to God or plug in your
>> own highly acclaimed person/item/agency of choice - but here in the US -
>> they DO set the laws and standards for RF transmissions.
>>
>> FCC ADOPTS MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER ON BROADBAND OVER POWER LINES
>> TO PROMOTE BROADBAND SERVICE TO ALL AMERICANS. The Commission affirmed
>> its rules for Access Broadband Over Power Lines (Access BPL) Systems
>> while maintaining safeguards against harmful interference to existing
>> radio services. News Release. (Dkt No 03-104 ,
>>

I understand what "you're" saying - but the issue has been - from all I've
read - not the inteference to the BPL - but rather FROM the BPL. And
apparently the FCC is leaning in that direction as well with R/Rs to protect
"existing radio services". Thus my excerpt showing same.

2872. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-07 20:35:59 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44d7a423$0$6604$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 7752
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com> wrote in message
news:12delfl7fg2g586@corp.supernews.com...
> Ricke wrote:
>
>> Love it, get up on cb channels to do CW practice. The sheer irratation
>> factor is worth learning code
>>
>> Rick
>> N4NKR
>>
>
> If one wishes to operate legally on 11 meters do not use CW or tone CW.
> The only legal modes of communicating there is AM or SSB voice. Tone maybe
> used as an alerting mechanism but not for other methods of communication.
>
> Even though licenses are not required and most (not all) persons don't
> follow the regs there, if you are caught violating the regs there you can
> be refused an Amateur License. It can and does happen from time to time.
>
> Dave WD9BDZ

This is true! As the man said, tho most don't follow them - they DO exist.
They WILL be enforced - if so desired. Actually, an infraction of any radio
rule can deem you ineligible for not only an Amateur Licence but also any
other license from the FCC - even GROL. IF you hold a GROL and do something
stupid with it, it can cause your "amateur" license to be stripped.
Ignorance is no excuse. When you sign the forms - and your license(s) - you
agree to abide by the R/Rs.

2873. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-07 20:45:16 UTC
From: TimBob <tmize57@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1154983516.647638.262900@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7753
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
In "Indepedance Day" morse code saves the world from the alien
invasion! They made a movie about it so it must be true!
Tim N7XAU
Cecil Moore wrote:
> Dave wrote:
> > C'mon Cecil, you've been licensed as long as I have. I Know you Know CW.
> > Does that mean we're virtually obsolete?
>
> My favorite mode is CW and it's a fun mode but it is never
> going to save the world.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
```

2874. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-07 20:48:34 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <hfSdnbjpZbeDOkrZnZ2dnUVZ_vudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 7754
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

What MUST be true?... Alien invasion!! When? Where? How??

TimBob wrote:

> In "Indepedance Day" morse code saves the world from the alien
> invasion! They made a movie about it so it must be true!
> Tim N7XAU
> Cecil Moore wrote:
>
>>Dave wrote:
>>
>>>C'mon Cecil, you've been licensed as long as I have. I Know you Know CW.
>>>Does that mean we're virtually obsolete?
>>
>>My favorite mode is CW and it's a fun mode but it is never
>>going to save the world.
>>--
>>73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
>

2875. Scannerist bags murderers - Oakland CA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-07 21:27:26 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154986046.230020.93620@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7755

San Francisco Chronicle, Sat, 05 Aug 2006 4:03 AM PDT
OAKLAND / 3 held in 2 East Bay slayings
http://feeds.sfgate.com/~r/sfgate/rss/feeds/bayarea/~3/9362046/article.cgi
On March 24, one man was killed and another one wounded during a
botched kidnapping attempt at a parking lot in Antioch. Four days later
and 40 miles away, a 40-year-old Berkeley woman was found shot to death
in her car near Fruitvale Avenue in East...

2876. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-07 21:35:45 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12dfchip21io6a7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 7756
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

L. wrote:
> "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com> wrote in message
> news:12delfl7fg2g586@corp.supernews.com...
>
>>Ricke wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Love it, get up on cb channels to do CW practice. The sheer irratation
>>>factor is worth learning code
>>>
>>>Rick
>>>N4NKR
>>>
>>
>>If one wishes to operate legally on 11 meters do not use CW or tone CW.
>>The only legal modes of communicating there is AM or SSB voice. Tone maybe
>>used as an alerting mechanism but not for other methods of communication.
>>
>>Even though licenses are not required and most (not all) persons don't
>>follow the regs there, if you are caught violating the regs there you can
>>be refused an Amateur License. It can and does happen from time to time.
>>
>>Dave WD9BDZ
>
>
> This is true! As the man said, tho most don't follow them - they DO exist.
> They WILL be enforced - if so desired. Actually, an infraction of any radio
> rule can deem you ineligible for not only an Amateur Licence but also any
> other license from the FCC - even GROL. IF you hold a GROL and do something
> stupid with it, it can cause your "amateur" license to be stripped.
> Ignorance is no excuse. When you sign the forms - and your license(s) - you
> agree to abide by the R/Rs.
>
>
>

Apparently if you have been convicted of a felony anything you can loose
your license also.

Dave WD9BDZ

2877. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-07 21:37:21 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12dfckjinvnqs05@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 7757
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

TimBob wrote:

> In "Indepedance Day" morse code saves the world from the alien
> invasion! They made a movie about it so it must be true!
> Tim N7XAU
> Cecil Moore wrote:
>
>>Dave wrote:
>>
>>>C'mon Cecil, you've been licensed as long as I have. I Know you Know CW.
>>>Does that mean we're virtually obsolete?
>>
>>My favorite mode is CW and it's a fun mode but it is never
>>going to save the world.
>>--
>>73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
>

If I recall correctly the IDF officer said the US was communicating in
"old fashioned morse code".

Dave N

2878. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-07 21:46:58 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44d7b5c7$0$6601$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 7759
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com> wrote in message
news:12dfchip21io6a7@corp.supernews.com...
> L. wrote:
>> "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com> wrote in message
>> news:12delfl7fg2g586@corp.supernews.com...
>>
>>>Ricke wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Love it, get up on cb channels to do CW practice. The sheer irratation
>>>>factor is worth learning code
>>>>
>>>>Rick
>>>>N4NKR
>>>>
>>>
>>>If one wishes to operate legally on 11 meters do not use CW or tone CW.
>>>The only legal modes of communicating there is AM or SSB voice. Tone
>>>maybe used as an alerting mechanism but not for other methods of
>>>communication.
>>>
>>>Even though licenses are not required and most (not all) persons don't
>>>follow the regs there, if you are caught violating the regs there you can
>>>be refused an Amateur License. It can and does happen from time to time.
>>>
>>>Dave WD9BDZ
>>
>>
>> This is true! As the man said, tho most don't follow them - they DO
>> exist. They WILL be enforced - if so desired. Actually, an infraction of
>> any radio rule can deem you ineligible for not only an Amateur Licence
>> but also any other license from the FCC - even GROL. IF you hold a GROL
>> and do something stupid with it, it can cause your "amateur" license to
>> be stripped. Ignorance is no excuse. When you sign the forms - and your
>> license(s) - you agree to abide by the R/Rs.
>>
>>
>>
>
> Apparently if you have been convicted of a felony anything you can loose
> your license also.
>
> Dave WD9BDZ

You're correct - and just a few days ago - there was a case of a "licensed" individual who "allegedly" molested children and was convicted - the FCC was debating renewing his license. So, it appears they take a dim view on "criminals" being "license" holders. I guess they feel if you can't obey the law of the land, why would you obey their rules and regulations! I wonder if they take into account any one who's been convicted and had "successful" rehab? That would be interesting to know.

L.

2879. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-07 21:51:14 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44d7b5c8$0$6601$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 7758
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com> wrote in message
news:12dfckjinvnqs05@corp.supernews.com...
> TimBob wrote:
>
>> In "Indepedance Day" morse code saves the world from the alien
>> invasion! They made a movie about it so it must be true!
>> Tim N7XAU
>> Cecil Moore wrote:
>>
>>>Dave wrote:
>>>
>>>>C'mon Cecil, you've been licensed as long as I have. I Know you Know CW.
>>>>Does that mean we're virtually obsolete?
>>>
>>>My favorite mode is CW and it's a fun mode but it is never
>>>going to save the world.
>>>--
>>>73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>>
>>
>
>
> If I recall correctly the IDF officer said the US was communicating in
> "old fashioned morse code".
>
> Dave N

I once seen a copy of the "code" used by other countries. There were
"some" - if not many differences. I also seen a copy of "supposedly" the old
west code - used .... Man, what a difference - IF ALL WERE TRUE - that
is........ I'm only reporting what I seen, can't say for sure if true or
not. It was interesting to say the least.

Maybe - and I"m going on a limb here to guess - the "differences" in the
other countries code - was short hand much like our Q signals.

L.

2880. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-07 22:05:36 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <c8efd2p7vb9u7f5k3iqb8vn76bj99gr2os@4ax.com>
Source record: 7760
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 6 Aug 2006 00:54:48 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>nope handihams has no respect for LD's at all

There's a difference between lack of ability and lack of desire. The
latter is just plain laziness.

2881. Re: Bearcat 300 'C-98' Issue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-07 22:07:04 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <7aefd2p0m7go0lp8k3bl9tectn0l7cos47@4ax.com>
Source record: 7761

On Sun, 6 Aug 2006 21:46:52 -0400, "Behnfeldt"
<behnfeldt@adelphia.net> wrote:

>Does anyone have any tips/directions on how to identify, and change the C-98
>Capacitor in the Bearcat 300? Mine has all the classic symptoms (low display
>and can't program a frequency).

>I have little experience repairing radios, and it's not clear where to find
>C-98 in the radio, to replace it. Any suggestions??

Just a wild guess - low display would seem to be a power supply
problem, so I'd look in that area. If it's an electrolytic (it
probably is), the value would be marked in English.

2882. Re: BC780 puts 996/2096 to shame...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-07 22:24:38 UTC
From: Tom Williams <NeedToKnow?@AskMe.com>
Message-ID: <u4ydncvI3KA4IErZnZ2dnUVZ_sCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 7762

"Stratinator" <stratinator@fender.usa> wrote in message
news:ltWdnTOzz5diClPZnZ2dnUVZ_rudnZ2d@giganews.com...
> Radios aren't jealous like women . . . it's perfectly OK to have more than
> one. ;)
>
> Hell, I still use my Pro-2006 for mil air because it is even better than
> the 780 I used to have, as far as sensitivity is concerned.
>
> I can't speak for the relatively new 996, but the Pro-2096 is a fine
> scanner. With all the crap they have to cram into one unit these days, I
> don't think it's reasonable to expect a perfect all-in-one analogue and
> digital solution . . . at an affordable price at least.
>
>>....and that bums me out cuz my local PD has gone digital and to upgrade I
>>have to buy a radio that has inferior performance.
>>
>>I hope whatever comes out next from GRE/Uniden will be comparable to the
>>780 with digital reception.
>
>
> --------------= Posted using GrabIt =----------------
> ------= Binary Usenet downloading made easy =---------
> -= Get GrabIt for free from http://www.shemes.com/ =-
>

If you look inside a Uniden 996, there is very little inside!

Tom

2883. I'm not going to pick on Mark Morgan any more.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-07 23:28:20 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <o0QBg.1226$Qf.862@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7763
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I hope the rest of you will consider doing the same.

Let's stop teasing Mark, and help me with the five steps below.
Thanks. 73.

SC

Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
pass all elements required for their license class.

Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.

Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
to improve things.

2884. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-07 23:28:21 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <p0QBg.1227$Qf.6@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7764
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Ricke" <rceisner@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1154959745.516250.237570@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com:

> Love it, get up on cb channels to do CW practice. The sheer irratation
> factor is worth learning code
>
> Rick
> N4NKR

And some probably hear it and send back. the CB-Hams, A.K.A. Phonies.

2885. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-07 23:28:23 UTC
From: Radio Buff <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <r0QBg.1228$Qf.276@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7765
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"TimBob" <tmize57@juno.com> wrote in
news:1154983516.647638.262900@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:

> In "Indepedance Day" morse code saves the world from the alien
> invasion! They made a movie about it so it must be true!
> Tim N7XAU

That movie was hokey. An alien invasion force with thousands of ships in
orbit would need to use our satellites to communicate with each other.

Yah, right.

Sc

2886. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-07 23:28:24 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <s0QBg.1229$Qf.56@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7766
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com> wrote in
news:12dfchip21io6a7@corp.supernews.com:

> L. wrote:
>> "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com> wrote in message
>> news:12delfl7fg2g586@corp.supernews.com...
>>
>>>Ricke wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Love it, get up on cb channels to do CW practice. The sheer irratation
>>>>factor is worth learning code
>>>>
>>>>Rick
>>>>N4NKR
>>>>
>>>
>>>If one wishes to operate legally on 11 meters do not use CW or tone CW.
>>>The only legal modes of communicating there is AM or SSB voice. Tone
>>>maybe used as an alerting mechanism but not for other methods of
>>>communication.
>>>
>>>Even though licenses are not required and most (not all) persons don't
>>>follow the regs there, if you are caught violating the regs there you
>>>can be refused an Amateur License. It can and does happen from time to
>>>time.
>>>
>>>Dave WD9BDZ
>>
>>
>> This is true! As the man said, tho most don't follow them - they DO
>> exist. They WILL be enforced - if so desired. Actually, an infraction
>> of any radio rule can deem you ineligible for not only an Amateur
>> Licence but also any other license from the FCC - even GROL. IF you
>> hold a GROL and do something stupid with it, it can cause your
>> "amateur" license to be stripped. Ignorance is no excuse. When you sign
>> the forms - and your license(s) - you agree to abide by the R/Rs.
>>
>>
>>
>
> Apparently if you have been convicted of a felony anything you can loose
> your license also.

>
> Dave WD9BDZ

That would explain why there are a lot of felons on CB then. You can hear alot of them on 27.025 MHz.

SC

2887. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-07 23:40:28 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12dfjrdjur4nn41@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 7767
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

L. wrote:

> "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com> wrote in message
> news:12dfckjinvnqs05@corp.supernews.com...
>
>>TimBob wrote:
>>
>>
>>>In "Indepedance Day" morse code saves the world from the alien
>>>invasion! They made a movie about it so it must be true!
>>>Tim N7XAU
>>>Cecil Moore wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Dave wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>C'mon Cecil, you've been licensed as long as I have. I Know you Know CW.
>>>>>Does that mean we're virtually obsolete?
>>>>
>>>>My favorite mode is CW and it's a fun mode but it is never
>>>>going to save the world.
>>>>--
>>>>73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>>>
>>>
>>
>>If I recall correctly the IDF officer said the US was communicating in
>>"old fashioned morse code".
>>
>>Dave N
>
>
> I once seen a copy of the "code" used by other countries. There were
> "some" - if not many differences. I also seen a copy of "supposedly" the old
> west code - used .... Man, what a difference - IF ALL WERE TRUE - that
> is........ I'm only reporting what I seen, can't say for sure if true or
> not. It was interesting to say the least.
>
> Maybe - and I"m going on a limb here to guess - the "differences" in the
> other countries code - was short hand much like our Q signals.
>
> L.

>
>
Morse code as used by Western Union (when they did code) is different
from International Morse code as used by radio operators. Not very
different but different.

DN

2888. then stop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-08 00:08:56 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154995736.407163.19290@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7768
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> I hope the rest of you will consider doing the same.
>
> Let's stop teasing Mark, and help me with the five steps below.
> Thanks. 73.
then stop ans top proposing to kil Ham radio too
>
> SC
>

2889. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-08 00:16:58 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <krlfd2pabeb1qhle8si7406uvedknnr5n5@4ax.com>
Source record: 7769
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 7 Aug 2006 17:51:14 -0400, "L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote:

>I once seen a copy of the "code" used by other countries. There were
>"some" - if not many differences.

Because there are some differences in alphabets.

> I also seen a copy of "supposedly" the old
>west code - used .... Man, what a difference - IF ALL WERE TRUE - that
>is

That's American Morse, as opposed to International Morse, which is
used on the air. American Morse is composed of dots, dashes and
spaces. (Spaces are parts of the letters, not just the spaces between
them.) International Morse uses just dots and dashes - the spaces are
just spaces.

2890. Re: BC780 puts 996/2096 to shame...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-08 00:20:55 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <q2mfd2dovs0q5lmrjf42pelhlsip2ata7b@4ax.com>
Source record: 7770

On Mon, 7 Aug 2006 18:24:38 -0400, "Tom Williams"
<NeedToKnow?@AskMe.com> wrote:

>If you look inside a Uniden 996, there is very little inside!

Most things today are done by a CPU and a couple of specialized
humongously-LSI chips. Just about the entire RCA 630 TV chassis (the
first large production set), plus full color circuitry, remote
control, SAP, etc., can be put on a single chip today.

2891. Re: then stop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-08 00:22:04 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <t7mfd2dhg2u7nci11012bteb9dadaika31@4ax.com>
Source record: 7771
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 7 Aug 2006 17:08:56 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>then stop ans top proposing to kil Ham radio too

The only way to kill ham radio is to hand licenses to more people who
can't pass tests.

2892. Re: then stop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-08 00:31:03 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154997063.802672.113810@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7772
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 7 Aug 2006 17:08:56 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >then stop ans top proposing to kil Ham radio too
>
> The only way to kill ham radio is to hand licenses to more people who
> can't pass tests.
no I don't buy that either

while I do not propose to end written testing I do wonder if the ARS
might not be better off with a license that (like GRMS) that one BOUGHT
from the FCC with the money rasied thereby used solely to conduct
enforement action on the ARS bands

and Indeed an almost no test novice liiting the licensee to unmodfied
Hts and a staion set up by a full status with no or a very minimal test
might well be a good way to go

preveldges close to the old novice (but with 2m instead or adtional to
222Mhz)
and a test for full preveldegs afer that

2893. Re: then stop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-08 00:41:37 UTC
From: Rayburn <rayburnsweet@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <c8c38$44d7ddc1$8b3713a6$3816@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 7773
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I'm not a no code fan either but....

In case you havent listened to the bands and noticed most hams are now missing....Ham radio is already dying without handing out free tickets.

The number of people becoming new hams is dropping monthly!

Soon the amount of hams dying will be greater than new tickets. Code or not....Its days are numbered.
"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message news:t7mfd2dhg2u7nci11012bteb9dadaika31@4ax.com...
> On 7 Aug 2006 17:08:56 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>>then stop ans top proposing to kil Ham radio too
>
> The only way to kill ham radio is to hand licenses to more people who
> can't pass tests.

2894. Re: then stop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-08 00:55:46 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1154998546.002066.227630@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7774
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Rayburn wrote:
> I'm not a no code fan either but....
>
> In case you havent listened to the bands and noticed most hams are now
> missing....Ham radio is already dying without handing out free tickets.
>
> The number of people becoming new hams is dropping monthly!
>
> Soon the amount of hams dying will be greater than new tickets. Code or
> not....Its days are numbered.
already there or more rpecisely the numbers of ham is droping slowly

wether from death or non renewal of license
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:t7mfd2dhg2u7nci11012bteb9dadaika31@4ax.com...
> > On 7 Aug 2006 17:08:56 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> >>then stop ans top proposing to kil Ham radio too
> >
> > The only way to kill ham radio is to hand licenses to more people who
> > can't pass tests.

2895. Re: I'm not going to pick on Mark Morgan any more.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-08 02:08:10 UTC
From: Joe from Kokomo <joe47@indy.net>
Message-ID: <emSBg.5393$kO3.47@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 7775
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:

> Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work to
> improve things.

And that would prove what?

Slow, you should be old enough to know that this is NOT a perfect world and that the ARRL is not a perfect organization.

However, it IS the -only- game in town. If your "boycott" works, people quit and the ARRL loses revenue, then they will be able to accomplish even less than you think they are accomplishing now.

Sorry, but your plan seems a little half-baked. Come back when you get over being slow.

Joe from Kokomo

2896. Ham License....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-08 02:42:07 UTC
From: bakb0ne@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1155004927.880150.114620@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7776

Can someone send me in the right direction to applying for a ham
license..

And yes, i do want to learn about them, not just "get on the air"...

Im from England (if that makes any difference)..

Thanks...

2897. Re: then stop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-08 02:46:38 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <jeufd29upl9eduj4tc00go2o7psjgp701c@4ax.com>
Source record: 7777
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 7 Aug 2006 17:31:03 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>> The only way to kill ham radio is to hand licenses to more people who
>> can't pass tests.

>no I don't buy that either

Of course not. And the wolf can't see what's wrong with his guarding
the hen house.

>while I do not propose to end written testing

Sure you do - unless it's a test you can pass if you have to.

> I do wonder if the ARS
>might not be better off with a license that (like GRMS) that one BOUGHT
>from the FCC with the money rasied thereby used solely to conduct
>enforement action on the ARS bands

It wouldn't. Is GMRS "better off"? What would the difference be? The
frequency? Is CB "better off"?

There are various types of radio usage - CB for communications - which
soon stopped working. GMRS for communications. Ham radio for
hobbyists. We don't need to turn ham frequencies into a preserve used
for communications - we have 2 perfectly useful - and *totally*
test-free - bands for that now.

>preveldges close to the old novice (but with 2m instead or adtional to
>222Mhz)

The old novice HAD 2 meters. Just no VFO (and, IIRC, only CW and AM).

2898. Re: I'm not going to pick on Mark Morgan any more.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-08 02:48:19 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <1rufd2tlrv37cjqo23a47ubancnohgcpth@4ax.com>
Source record: 7778
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 08 Aug 2006 02:08:10 GMT, Joe from Kokomo <joe47@indy.net>
wrote:

>However, it IS the -only- game in town. If your "boycott" works, people
>quit and the ARRL loses revenue, then they will be able to accomplish
>even less than you think they are accomplishing now.

We could always revive Wayne. :)

2899. Re: Ham License....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-08 03:14:38 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery28146@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ykTBg.5956$0e5.4503@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7779

<bakb0ne@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1155004927.880150.114620@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Can someone send me in the right direction to applying for a ham
> license..
>
> And yes, i do want to learn about them, not just "get on the air"...
>
> Im from England (if that makes any difference)..
>
> Thanks...
>
Not being from England, I would say start here:

http://www.rsgb.org.uk/

I assume it is the equal to the ARRL.org in the United states, sort of a
national club for the ham operators. YOu should be able to find out what
you need to do to get a license and learn about ham radio through them.

2900. *FREE* Tours of the VOICE of AMERICA Radio & TV Studios in Washington,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-08 07:01:01 UTC
From: VOA SWLer <Reply_Here@bogus.com>
Message-ID: <eb9crd$m0j$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 7780
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.dx

For information about tours of the Voice of America
radio and television studios at their headquarters
in Washington, DC, click on:

<http://www.voanews.com/english/about/visitVOA.cfm>.

There is no charge.

2901. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-08 10:55:25 UTC
From: Woody <woody.shadetree@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <x4_Bg.18491$gU4.3266@trnddc07>
Source record: 7781
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Not true. Hams care much more about saving lives than operating appliances.
I'm betting a great number of them have their CPR cards,
ready for action. Self-cleaning ovens run a sad second place to standing
tall at the 911 center.

On your other point..
Most hams are men and they generally don't know how to operate any appliance
except the refrigerator door,
and maybe the microwave oven. A few are versed in toaster operation, I hear.

However; they will indeed spend hours installing flashy lights and
noisemakers on their cars, as well as
spend hours 'standing by' and preparing for the life-saving moment. They'll
spend grocery money to purchase a
plethora of other "necessary" equipment and "uniforms/ID/look-at-me" items.
Be it weather watching, crowd control, parade control, or just control in
general, hams are standing by to manage the world, after the "big one"....
Hams just like you, Dirk. And for that, I thank you.

I can only pray for peace on these hams as they spend their last days
brushing the fallen hair
from their rigs. Saving countless lives in the aftermath, diligently
tapping away; giving
needed instruction to all the elderly survivors crowded around their
homemade QRP
battery operated rigs..... Oh, and lest we not forget the generator owners
crowded around their swans, drakes and 101e's... burning precious fuel to
strain an ear
for the faint but all-knowing dit-dah that will be their salvation.
I'd bet with a 100w rig pushing a 600-1Kw amp [and a break in the fallout],
they could work weak signal maybe 10-20 miles
through the new noise floor.

As for me, I'll be listening too,
voice, morse and digital.
Coz by that time, the only appliance I'll be operating is that new
one-button rig from GLOCK.
....and I love ham.

LOL...
btw, trolls suck.

"Dirk" <dj@qkd.net> wrote in message
news:30954.oidi09.d0904.ddoi0@qkd.org...
> Ham's care more about operating appliances than knowing how to save a

> lives.
>
> :-(
>

2902. Then stop yourself, Morkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-08 12:22:22 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1155039742.871998.70480@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7782
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old fraud wrote:
> then stop ans top proposing to kil Ham radio too

You stop making false EME claims about your faked logbook first, fraud.

2903. get some therapy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-08 14:35:38 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155047738.232685.205820@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7783
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old fraud wrote:
> > then stop ans top proposing to kil Ham radio too
>
> You stop making false EME claims about your faked logbook first, fraud.
I made no false claims at all

get some therapy
```

2904. get some therapy, Markie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-08 20:07:24 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1155067644.137500.251500@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7784
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old fraud wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old fraud wrote:
> > > then stop ans top proposing to kil Ham radio too
> >
> > You stop making false EME claims about your faked logbook first, fraud.
> I made no false claims at all

Sure you did, you claimed all these contacts then you said they were
bootleggers and now you say you just made possibly ONE contact. Youa
re a liar. It's all in Google's archives of your posts.

> get some therapy

Get some for your lying.

2905. Re: get some therapy, and learn to read Wisemen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-08 21:23:55 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155072235.212805.302980@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7785
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old fraud wrote:
> > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old fraud wrote:
> > > > then stop ans top proposing to kil Ham radio too
> > >
> > > You stop making false EME claims about your faked logbook first, fraud.
> > I made no false claims at all
>
> Sure you did, you claimed all these contacts then you said they were
> bootleggers and now you say you just made possibly ONE contact. Youa
> re a liar. It's all in Google's archives of your posts.

I told no lies at any point to be a lie I have to know or at least
beleif the statement false as my knowledge changed so does the story
>
> > get some therapy
>
> Get some for your lying.
what lying?
get some therapy

2906. Airshow frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-08 22:47:57 UTC
From: Jim <chief_jim@go.com>
Message-ID: <xv8Cg.35$643.33@fe08.lga>
Source record: 7786

I'm in the process of building a database on airshow frequencies. Some sites like MONITORING TIMES can be helpful but all to often they overlook a key fact: the participants were using the same set of frequencies as all the others at that particular show. Namely AIRBOSS, DISCRETE, etc.

Wondering if someone could point me to sites that have identified frequencies used specifically by individual acts?

2907. Re: I'm not going to pick on Mark Morgan any more.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-08 23:59:50 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Wz9Cg.6269$0e5.1966@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7787
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Rayburn" <rayburnsweet@alltel.net> wrote in
news:c8c38$44d7ddc1$8b3713a6$3816@ALLTEL.NET:

> I'm not a no code fan either but....
>
> In case you havent listened to the bands and noticed most hams are now
> missing....Ham radio is already dying without handing out free tickets.
>
> The number of people becoming new hams is dropping monthly!
>
> Soon the amount of hams dying will be greater than new tickets. Code or
> not....Its days are numbered.

They cheapened licensing and the ham license. It doesn't mean anything to
have one now.

Novices get phone. No-code tech. 5 wpm CW exam.

2908. Re: I'm not going to pick on Mark Morgan any more.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-09 00:45:51 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155084350.991663.184460@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7788
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "Rayburn" <rayburnsweet@alltel.net> wrote in
> news:c8c38$44d7ddc1$8b3713a6$3816@ALLTEL.NET:
>
> > I'm not a no code fan either but....
> >
> > In case you havent listened to the bands and noticed most hams are now
> > missing....Ham radio is already dying without handing out free tickets.
> >
> > The number of people becoming new hams is dropping monthly!
> >
> > Soon the amount of hams dying will be greater than new tickets. Code or
> > not....Its days are numbered.
>
>
>
> They cheapened licensing and the ham license. It doesn't mean anything to
> have one now.
in a waord Bullshit

if you no longer value your license turn it or take a break and smell
the cofffee
>
>
> Novices get phone. No-code tech. 5 wpm CW exam.

2909. Re: I'm not going to pick on Mark Morgan any more.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-09 01:56:48 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <o5gid2psvk1nl088cfivvivr1p13prbhh8@4ax.com>
Source record: 7789
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 8 Aug 2006 17:45:51 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Slow Code wrote:

>> They cheapened licensing and the ham license. It doesn't mean anything to
>> have one now.

>in a waord Bullshit

It sure was bullshit - giving licenses away to anyone who wanted one.
Having one used to mean something.

2910. Re: I'm not going to pick on Mark Morgan any more.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-09 03:02:25 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155092545.659917.148060@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7790
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 8 Aug 2006 17:45:51 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Slow Code wrote:
>
> >> They cheapened licensing and the ham license. It doesn't mean anything to
> >> have one now.
>
> >in a waord Bullshit
>
> It sure was bullshit - giving licenses away to anyone who wanted one.
that has never happened

> Having one used to mean something.
if yours means nothing turn it in or at least take a break

2911. Markie needs to get some therapy, and learn to read Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-09 11:53:29 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1155124409.736912.19760@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7791
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
an old fraud wrote:
> peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old fraud wrote:
> > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old fraud wrote:
> > > > > then stop ans top proposing to kil Ham radio too
> > > >
> > > > You stop making false EME claims about your faked logbook first, fraud.
> > > I made no false claims at all
> >
> > Sure you did, you claimed all these contacts then you said they were
> > bootleggers and now you say you just made possibly ONE contact. Youa
> > re a liar. It's all in Google's archives of your posts.
>
> I told no lies at any point to be a lie I have to know or at least
> beleif the statement false as my knowledge changed so does the story

All your backpedaling doesn't change the fact you are a liar.

> >
> > > get some therapy
> >
> > Get some for your lying.
> what lying?

Anytime you post.

> get some therapy

Get some for your lying.
```

2912. Re: I'm not going to pick on Mark Morgan any more.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-09 12:45:28 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <b5mjd2dgd3mf2rm5f34vudaj43iadqbjkf@4ax.com>
Source record: 7792
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 8 Aug 2006 20:02:25 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>> It sure was bullshit - giving licenses away to anyone who wanted one.

>that has never happened

You have a license so it happened.

>> Having one used to mean something.

>if yours means nothing

Yours means nothing either, but you're not intelligent enough to
understand that, which is why it means nothing.

2913. Re: I'm not going to pick on Mark Morgan any more.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-09 13:15:10 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155129310.700702.249550@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7793
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 8 Aug 2006 20:02:25 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >> It sure was bullshit - giving licenses away to anyone who wanted one.
>
> >that has never happened
>
> You have a license so it happened.
nope I like you took the required tests and met the standard of the day
>
> >> Having one used to mean something.
>
> >if yours means nothing
>
> Yours means nothing either, but you're not intelligent enough to
> understand that, which is why it means nothing.
Bullshit it means a great deal to me

but if yours means nothing to you then turn it or at least take a break
and smell the coffe

2914. Re: F.S. AOR AR8200 MkII

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-09 13:59:36 UTC
From: brentwood_east@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1155131976.487417.199400@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7794

Brian Hill wrote:
> This is a like new AOR wideband scanner. These are some of the best handheld
> receivers ever made. It comes with the original manual, belt clip. Frequency
> range:0.15-2040 MHz
> You wont be disappointed in this radio.
>
> I now only take PayPal because of deadbeats.

Wow ... I have a no-gap MK3. I have most of the slot cards and all the accessories that came with it, including the factory packaging. I never even took the protective film off the LCD and use the rig very little, so you can't tell it from brand new.

I keep it in a custom-fit leather case and a camera bag, and paid $620 for it in 8/04. I tried to sell the whole kit for half the purchase price of the radio alone, but you'll find there isn't much of a market for these things any more.

Oh well, at least I'm stuck with the best (and the last scanner) ever produced ... meaning scanning isn't what it used to be, but since most consumers have no idea the concept is dead, you may still have a chance to get what you're asking. Good Luck!

2915. Re: I'm not going to pick on Mark Morgan any more.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-09 15:47:20 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1155138440.918346.156140@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7795
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old freind wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > On 8 Aug 2006 20:02:25 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >> It sure was bullshit - giving licenses away to anyone who wanted one.
> >
> > >that has never happened
> >
> > You have a license so it happened.
> nope I like you took the required tests and met the standard of the day

Nope, you haven't.

> >
> > >> Having one used to mean something.
> >
> > >if yours means nothing
> >
> > Yours means nothing either, but you're not intelligent enough to
> > understand that, which is why it means nothing.
>
> Bullshit means a great deal to me

BWHAHAHAHAHA!

2916. more libel from wisemen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-09 16:00:55 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155139255.040413.200920@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7796
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old freind wrote:
> > Al Klein wrote:
> > > On 8 Aug 2006 20:02:25 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> > > wrote:
> > >
> > > >> It sure was bullshit - giving licenses away to anyone who wanted one.
> > >
> > > >that has never happened
> > >
> > > You have a license so it happened.
> > nope I like you took the required tests and met the standard of the day
>
> Nope, you haven't.
you do like libeling people

2917. Re: F.S. AOR AR8200 MkII

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-09 17:44:15 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <P9pCg.1$bF4.0@newsfe06.lga>
Source record: 7797

<brentwood_east@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1155131976.487417.199400@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> Brian Hill wrote:
>> This is a like new AOR wideband scanner. These are some of the best
>> handheld
>> receivers ever made. It comes with the original manual, belt clip.
>> Frequency
>> range:0.15-2040 MHz
>> You wont be disappointed in this radio.
>>
>> I now only take PayPal because of deadbeats.
>
> Wow ... I have a no-gap MK3. I have most of the slot cards and all the
> accessories that came with it, including the factory packaging. I never
> even took the protective film off the LCD and use the rig very little,
> so you can't tell it from brand new.
>
> I keep it in a custom-fit leather case and a camera bag, and paid $620
> for it in 8/04. I tried to sell the whole kit for half the purchase
> price of the radio alone, but you'll find there isn't much of a market
> for these things any more.
>
> Oh well, at least I'm stuck with the best (and the last scanner) ever
> produced ... meaning scanning isn't what it used to be, but since most
> consumers have no idea the concept is dead, you may still have a chance
> to get what you're asking. Good Luck!
>

Maybe I'm asking too much? I'm just selling it for what I paid a few months
ago. Oh well.

BH

2918. Re: I'm not going to pick on Mark Morgan any more.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-09 19:50:29 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <p1fkd2tgjmlil0m9rgodv7boif2827e41o@4ax.com>
Source record: 7798
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 9 Aug 2006 08:47:20 -0700, peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:

>an old freind wrote:
>> Al Klein wrote:
>> > On 8 Aug 2006 20:02:25 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>> > wrote:
>> >
>> > >> It sure was bullshit - giving licenses away to anyone who wanted one.
>> >
>> > >that has never happened
>> >
>> > You have a license so it happened.
>> nope I like you took the required tests and met the standard of the day
>
>Nope, you haven't.

Depending on the definition of "required", he may have - that's the
point. Knowledge is no longer "required", it seems to be optional.
The other option is memorizing the answers.

2919. Re: I'm not going to pick on Mark Morgan any more.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-10 00:43:53 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155170633.032388.144750@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7799
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 9 Aug 2006 08:47:20 -0700, peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >an old freind wrote:
> >> Al Klein wrote:
> >> > On 8 Aug 2006 20:02:25 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> >> > wrote:
> >> >
> >> > >> It sure was bullshit - giving licenses away to anyone who wanted one.
> >> >
> >> > >that has never happened
> >> >
> >> > You have a license so it happened.
> >> nope I like you took the required tests and met the standard of the day
> >>
> >Nope, you haven't.
>
> Depending on the definition of "required", he may have - that's the
> point. Knowledge is no longer "required", it seems to be optional.
it has never been required
> The other option is memorizing the answers.
as has been all along

2920. Re: I'm not going to pick on Mark Morgan any more.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-10 01:49:04 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <k14ld2lfd6fnibea6qvusnra5fo70evh1k@4ax.com>
Source record: 7800
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 9 Aug 2006 17:43:53 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:

>> Depending on the definition of "required", he may have - that's the
>> point. Knowledge is no longer "required", it seems to be optional.

>it has never been required

Since you became aware of it. It used to be required. You can't
memorize the "answer" to "draw the schematic of a Colpitts
oscillator".

>> The other option is memorizing the answers.

>as has been all along

As long as you've been aware of it. The world didn't begin the moment
you first opened your baby browns.

2921. Re: I'm not going to pick on Mark Morgan any more.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-10 02:01:51 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155175311.368663.207090@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7801
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 9 Aug 2006 17:43:53 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
>
> >> Depending on the definition of "required", he may have - that's the
> >> point. Knowledge is no longer "required", it seems to be optional.
>
> >it has never been required
>
> Since you became aware of it. It used to be required. You can't
> memorize the "answer" to "draw the schematic of a Colpitts
> oscillator".
sure I can
at at least i assume the colpitts is no more complex than most of the
others

no understanding of the curcut is required to render a picture from
memory
indeed the only I or anyone else is going to know that ciruct x is a
colpitts rather than Holtzman or a Fu Manch is by memory
>
> >> The other option is memorizing the answers.
>
> >as has been all along
>
> As long as you've been aware of it. The world didn't begin the moment
> you first opened your baby browns.
nope you just refused to open your till well after I did dispite your
date of birth

2922. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-10 02:14:54 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1155176094.212232.56330@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7802
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 2 Aug 2006 20:05:21 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
> >> On 23 Jul 2006 07:26:05 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >> >> >how balanced is to to place CW over all over ham knowledge?
>
> >> >> No one is, any more than by requiring people to know the law one is
> >> >> putting the law "over all ham knowledge".
>
> >> >CW is pass/fail. To fail CW denies all HF privs (except for Alaska).
>
> >> Theory is also pass/fail. To fail to get the required number of
> >> correct answers denies all privs - HF, VHF, UHF ...
>
> >There is no pass/fail practical for SSB, FM, AM, FSTV, SSTV, RTTY, FAX,
> >Packet, PSK, etc, etc, etc.
>
> There's no test at all, so those claiming that the reason they want a
> test for CW dropped because it's not "modern" have no argument - they
> want no test for FSTV, SSTV, RTTY (which is also pretty old hat),
> packet, PSK, etc. They want no test at all, unless they can memorize
> a few answers to "pass" it.

You couldn't be more wrong. If there were practical exams for SSB, FM,
AM, FSTV, SSTV, RTTY (which is pretty darned old), packet, PSK, etc,
then it would be CRYSTAL clear that a Morse Code exam is valid.

However, there are no such practical exams for the other modes. So
there need be no exam for Morse Code, either.

> >> >How progressive is it?
>
> >> How progressive is it to not require people to know ... oh, yeah,
> >> that's progressive, since the new thing is to hand out licenses
> >> because people have some kind of "right" to get on the air.
>
> >> >Then why is it with the prospect of losing the CW Exam, that you'se
> >> >guys want to "beef up" the written exams?
>
> >> We don't.
>
> >That is not true.

>
> Sure it is. "Beefing up" the written exam is a counter to "drop CW
> because it's old fashioned". If you want modern you want the testing
> to be turned from CW to modern modes.

That is not true. You wish to change the written exams, not add
practical exams for SSB, FM, AM, FSTV, SSTV, RTTY (which is pretty
darned old), packet, PSK, etc.

> Those who want CW dropped just
> want what they can't memorize dropped so they can get a ticket without
> really being tested on anything.

So all ham radio is is Morse Code on HF? Or is it more than that?

> Actually knowing anything is so old
> fashioned, isn't it?

Not at all.

> >> We want to get back the level it used to be before it was
> >> dumbed down to the point that you could almost pass it if you never
> >> heard of the FCC, ham radio or electronics.
>
> >You're referring to the Conditional license, right?
>
> No, I'm not addressing *where* the test is held at all - I'm
> addressing *whether* there's any real test, which there isn't, except
> for CW right now. Spitting out something you memorized is only a test
> of memory.

Sounds like the Conditional License to me.

> >> Just by guessing at the
> >> answers. It used to require that you draw (was it 3?) schematics.
>
> >You tell me? Was it 2 or was it 3?
>
> I don't remember after almost 50 years - but I could still draw them
> today, and it's not a test of remembering what's on the test, it's a
> test of knowing what's in a radio.

Then advocate passing the current exam at every license renewal. You'd
probably be weeded out pretty quickly.

> >> From scratch. Let's see how many people could do that today. A
> >> Colpitts oscillator, a Hartley oscillator and some other circuit that
> >> I've forgotten at the moment.
>
> >You should self-modify your license and cease amateur operation until
> >you remember.
>
> Why? Testing isn't about memory, it's about knowledge.

What if you forgot your band edges?

> >The amateur is self-policing, and you no longer meet your own standard.
>
> Sure I do. The test wasn't to remember what circuits to draw, it was
> to draw them. And I can draw them any time.

Then do so. Quit complaining to me that you can't remember what it was
that you were supposed to draw.

> >> They're still as relevant today as they were 50 years ago.
>
> >Other things are relevant today that weren't even known 50 years ago.
>
> So let's have them on the test.

But if a practical exam is necessary for Morse Code, why isn't it
necessary for other modes? If all radio is merely plug and play, why
do the services still have radio schools (that aren't teaching Morse
Code)?

> Oops, that's right - no more relevant testing, isn't that what people
> are asking for? Just give me the answers so I can memorize them and
> pick them out on the test.

Who said that? We absolutely NEED relevant exams. That is my whole
argument!

> >> >> >how loyal is it to denny the nation the benifits of allowing more
> >> >> >operators
>
> >> >> What "benefits" does the country get from more people using radios who
> >> >> don't know the first thing about them? (Whatever "denny" means.)
>
> >> >It's always been that way. You could even buy Heathkits already
> >> >assembled.
>
> >> But you had to actually *know* a little theory to use one legally.
>
> >No you didn't.
>
> Yes, you did - you had to pass a test to show that you did.

There has never been a practical test to show that you could operate a
radio. Ever.

> All you
> have to do now is memorize a few answers.

That's all you had to do then.

> >I used radios in the military. I never used a CW key in the military.
> >I never jammed another operator, although Brandywine asked me to reduce
> >power once.
>

> But you had to learn how to use the radios.

I did?

> Hams today don't - they
> memorize a few answers, buy equipment and get on the air - with no
> understanding of what they're doing, and no desire to learn.

Then it hasn't changed much since you were first licensed.

> >> That's exactly what he's talking about. Give someone a radio and a
> >> "license" to use it and he'll "acquire the skill to be ready for
> >> service to country and community". That's what Mark said, right up
> >> above. How does one acquire skill by playing radio?
> >
> >We self-train.
> >
> You may, but I can see from many of the comments that have been posted
> here that a lot of people don't. They don't want to learn, they want
> to get on the air. Period.

W3RV didn't wait to get a ham license before operating! He just wanted
to get on the air. Period.

> > It is a continuous process of improvements. You
> >mistakenly believe that at the conclusion of The Exam, the "operator"
> >is 100%.
> >
> And you mistakenly believe that most hams today want to learn how to
> operate properly. Listen to 75 some evenings.

Lots of OFs on there who should know better. That's why I hold the
opinions that I hold. Your generation doesn't have a lock on decency,
respect, or apatite for knowledge. Far from it.

> >> But that's what Mark and his ilk want - we'll have "skilled operators"
> >> if we allow people to buy radios and put them on the air with no skill
> >> or knowledge. By osmosis? Or by magic?
> >
> >I've listened to emergency responders on a scanner before. They don't
> >use Morse Code, they don't use CW. They use FM/Voice. Somehow they
> >are effective at it, not having taken a Morse Code test. How can this
> >be?
> >
> They were trained.

Not in Morse Code. If you must retain a Morse Code Exam, then you must
also administer practical exams for SSB, FM, AM, FSTV, SSTV, RTTY
(which is pretty darned old), packet, PSK, etc.

> >> So you'd get a license not knowing CW, build a radio (you couldn't buy
> >> one then) and ... what? Sit and look at it. Some things are just too
> >> obvious to need mentioning.

>
> >Please diagram that radio from "Scratch."
>
> Any time. Filter or phasing? BFO receive or quadrature detection?
> I've designed them, built them and used them, and still could.

What is/was your profession?

> >> Evidently not, or I'd be the only one in the world advocating that a
> >> test should actually test for something. There are actually millions
> >> of us who don't think lack of instant gratification is the worst thing
> >> in the world.
>
> >Dial 911 and tell the operator that you don't need instant
> >gratification, take your time.
>
> Very bad example of an attempt at sarcasm and a misunderstanding of
> "gratification".
>
> >> What next? DXCC awards for those who *want* to work 100 countries?
>
> >You seem to be confused. DXCC is an award offered by the ARRL, not the
> >FCC. It has nothing to do with licensing.
>
> But an award for wanting has to do with "I want it so it's my right to
> have it", which is what I'm talking about. No one has any "right" to
> get on the air.

Correct. And no one has a right to force their favorite mode on
everyone else. Your days of doing so are numbered.

2923. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-10 02:18:06 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1155176286.445811.289510@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7803
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Cecil Moore wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > Testing isn't about memory, it's about knowledge.
>
> Then why isn't knowledge of Morse code and the CW mode
> sufficient? Why must someone be forced to memorize
> the individual characters?
> --
> 73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

We aren't made to memorize every value of resistor and capacitor, or
every offset for the six meter repeater subband.
```

2924. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-10 02:27:57 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1155176877.061209.284650@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7804
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

clfe wrote:
> "clfe" <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:44d20fd9$0$2919$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net...
> >
> > "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:LInAg.689$%j7.344@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >>> Cecil Moore wrote:
> >>>> Then why isn't knowledge of Morse code and the CW mode
> >>>> sufficient? Why must someone be forced to memorize
> >>>> the individual characters?
> >>>
> >>> Probably, Cecil, since it would then make it difficult to pass the
> >>> test.
> >>
> >> You missed the point. The Morse code skill exam requires
> >> memorizing the characters. Memorizing is being condemned
> >> as an evil act. Since memorizing is evil, the Morse code
> >> skill exam should be the first thing to be eliminated.
> >> --
> >> 73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
> >
> > In some cases, it "could" be said that hairs are being split. To have
> > "knowledge" of the code - could mean basically - you know it exists and
> > why it is used. To "know" the Morse Code, usually refers to KNOWING the
> > characters sufficiently to use them at whatever speed it is you can. On
> > the other hand, someone who isn't involved could say - that a Ham operator
> > is "knowledgable" in the code. Heck, to someone not IN Ham radio - they
> > could easily assume a NO CODE tech - KNOWS code.
>
> Just to clarify my point - many "assume" a Ham Operator - regardless the
> license - KNOWS code. So, if a "No Code" tech simply says "I"M A HAM
> OPERATOR" to someone not knowing the license class structure, the
> "assumption is made. AND unless that NC tech clarifies it, the unsuspecting
> person will go on in ignorance "assuming" ALL hams "know" code. Morse Code
> (per my recollection) has always been and most likely - even if only in
> history books - always will be known and associated with HAM RADIO.

Heaven forbid that someone assumes that a lowly, unwashed Technician
know the CODE.

> lou

Many "assume" that an Old Timer knows more than they actually know. Most Old Timers are guilty of this kind of thinking. Furthermore, many "assume" that newcomers to the hobby know little or nothing, and have no desire to learn. Most Old Timers are guilty of this klind of thinking.

2925. Re: I'm not going to pick on Mark Morgan any more.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-10 04:02:45 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <3pbld254g73cja5iqmmdooibu8bqfv0sso@4ax.com>
Source record: 7805
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 9 Aug 2006 19:01:51 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:

>> Since you became aware of it. It used to be required. You can't
>> memorize the "answer" to "draw the schematic of a Colpitts
>> oscillator".

>sure I can
>at at least i assume the colpitts is no more complex than most of the
>others

You can ASSume anything - but you can't draw a schematic.

>no understanding of the curcut is required to render a picture from
>memory

But drawing it correctly, including parts values, requires
understanding. Or are you claiming that you can memorize a few dozen
schematics and draw any 3 upon request?

>indeed the only I or anyone else is going to know that ciruct x is a
>colpitts rather than Holtzman or a Fu Manch is by memory

You just don't know the difference between understanding something and
memorizing answers.

2926. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-10 04:24:05 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <pvbld2pu1rctrs85j0tjfa1prr9psl6781@4ax.com>
Source record: 7806
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 9 Aug 2006 19:14:54 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>You couldn't be more wrong. If there were practical exams for SSB, FM,
>AM, FSTV, SSTV, RTTY (which is pretty darned old), packet, PSK, etc,
>then it would be CRYSTAL clear that a Morse Code exam is valid.

>However, there are no such practical exams for the other modes. So
>there need be no exam for Morse Code, either.

That's my point - there's no test any longer. For anything more than
the ability to memorize answers.

>That is not true. You wish to change the written exams, not add
>practical exams for SSB, FM, AM, FSTV, SSTV, RTTY (which is pretty
>darned old), packet, PSK, etc.

Add SSB, FM, etc., to the nothing there is today.

>So all ham radio is is Morse Code on HF? Or is it more than that?

It's a lot more. The question isn't what ham radio is, it's whether
one should be required to pass a realistic test to get a license.

>> No, I'm not addressing *where* the test is held at all - I'm
>> addressing *whether* there's any real test, which there isn't, except
>> for CW right now. Spitting out something you memorized is only a test
>> of memory.

>Sounds like the Conditional License to me.

The Conditional was whatever class was being tested for, but not at an
FCC office. It had nothing to do with the class, only with the
location.

>> I don't remember after almost 50 years - but I could still draw them
>> today, and it's not a test of remembering what's on the test, it's a
>> test of knowing what's in a radio.

>Then advocate passing the current exam at every license renewal.

What current exam? Memorizing answers and writing them down isn't a
test.

> You'd probably be weeded out pretty quickly.

I doubt it - if I couldn't pass an Extra theory exam - a real one, not
the nonsense that passes for one these days - I'd lose my job in a
second.

>> >> From scratch. Let's see how many people could do that today. A
>> >> Colpitts oscillator, a Hartley oscillator and some other circuit that
>> >> I've forgotten at the moment.

>> >You should self-modify your license and cease amateur operation until
>> >you remember.

>> Why? Testing isn't about memory, it's about knowledge.

>What if you forgot your band edges?

What if you addressed what I said when you answer me? Your dishonest
tactics are transparent.

>> >The amateur is self-policing, and you no longer meet your own standard.

>> Sure I do. The test wasn't to remember what circuits to draw, it was
>> to draw them. And I can draw them any time.

>Then do so. Quit complaining to me that you can't remember what it was
>that you were supposed to draw.

Quit putting words in my mouth. I wasn't complaining to anyone, and
we weren't discussing remembering 50 year old tests.

>> >> They're still as relevant today as they were 50 years ago.

>> >Other things are relevant today that weren't even known 50 years ago.

>> So let's have them on the test.

>But if a practical exam is necessary for Morse Code, why isn't it
>necessary for other modes?

Maybe we should have one - show the ability to put a clean PSK signal
on the air. Show the ability to interpret a waterfall display. Show
the ability to tell the difference between various digital modes. The
bands would be pretty QRM-free.

> If all radio is merely plug and play, why do the services still have radio schools

That's my point, not yours. Or don't you understand what you just
said?

>> Oops, that's right - no more relevant testing, isn't that what people
>> are asking for? Just give me the answers so I can memorize them and
>> pick them out on the test.

>Who said that? We absolutely NEED relevant exams. That is my whole
>argument!

So you're in favor of exams that test knowledge of theory? "Draw the
schematic of ..."? "Explain why long path 2400 bps is impossible on
14 MHz"? That kind of relevance?

Or the "pick the answer with the resistor like we showed you in the
example" kind of relevance?

>> >> But you had to actually *know* a little theory to use one legally.

>> >No you didn't.

>> Yes, you did - you had to pass a test to show that you did.

>There has never been a practical test to show that you could operate a
>radio. Ever.

Do you understand what the word "theory" means?

>> All you
>> have to do now is memorize a few answers.

>That's all you had to do then.

How do you draw a schematic and explain the functions of parts by
memorizing answers? You can't explain phase shift by memorizing "10k"
or "coil".

>> >I used radios in the military. I never used a CW key in the military.
>> >I never jammed another operator, although Brandywine asked me to reduce
>> >power once.

>> But you had to learn how to use the radios.

>I did?

They just gave you a radio and said "use it"?

>> Hams today don't - they
>> memorize a few answers, buy equipment and get on the air - with no
>> understanding of what they're doing, and no desire to learn.

>Then it hasn't changed much since you were first licensed.

When I was licensed you had to show an understanding of theory, by
answering questions that were more than just multiple choice from a
published answer pool.

>> You may, but I can see from many of the comments that have been posted
>> here that a lot of people don't. They don't want to learn, they want
>> to get on the air. Period.

>W3RV didn't wait to get a ham license before operating! He just wanted
>to get on the air. Period.

Point?

>> And you mistakenly believe that most hams today want to learn how to
>> operate properly. Listen to 75 some evenings.

>Lots of OFs on there who should know better. That's why I hold the
>opinions that I hold. Your generation doesn't have a lock on decency,
>respect, or apatite for knowledge. Far from it.

Very few of "my" generation there.

>> >I've listened to emergency responders on a scanner before. They don't
>> >use Morse Code, they don't use CW. They use FM/Voice. Somehow they
>> >are effective at it, not having taken a Morse Code test. How can this
>> >be?

>> They were trained.

>Not in Morse Code.

You must be sitting on oil. Can't you stick to a topic long enough to
be coherent? You were discussing how someone can be efficient at
voice commo, not in Morse.

> If you must retain a Morse Code Exam, then you must
>also administer practical exams for SSB, FM, AM, FSTV, SSTV, RTTY
>(which is pretty darned old), packet, PSK, etc.

I have no problem with that.

>> >> So you'd get a license not knowing CW, build a radio (you couldn't buy
>> >> one then) and ... what? Sit and look at it. Some things are just too
>> >> obvious to need mentioning.

>> >Please diagram that radio from "Scratch."

>> Any time. Filter or phasing? BFO receive or quadrature detection?
>> I've designed them, built them and used them, and still could.

>What is/was your profession?

Trained as an EE. Spent years designing RF circuitry, then went into
digital design. "Is", not yet "was" - I'm still alive.

>> >> What next? DXCC awards for those who *want* to work 100 countries?

>> >You seem to be confused. DXCC is an award offered by the ARRL, not the
>> >FCC. It has nothing to do with licensing.

>> But an award for wanting has to do with "I want it so it's my right to
>> have it", which is what I'm talking about. No one has any "right" to
>> get on the air.

>Correct. And no one has a right to force their favorite mode on
>everyone else.

I'm advocating real testing for whatever mode. Right now the only
test is "do you have the fee, can you get to the testing place, and
have you memorized enough answers to pass". Let's have a test that
shows whether the testee knows anything. CW, APRS, AX25, PSK - all of
it. Or separate the licenses. You want to operate FM, you take a
test on FM and, if you pass, you get an FM license. Want to operate
SSB, you take a different test.

Not "want to get on the air? memorize some answers and pay your fee".

2927. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-10 04:25:30 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <m7dld25gjl1rq4h43e8jsv13uiv0vqsuo0@4ax.com>
Source record: 7807
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 9 Aug 2006 19:18:06 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>Cecil Moore wrote:
>> Al Klein wrote:
>> > Testing isn't about memory, it's about knowledge.

>> Then why isn't knowledge of Morse code and the CW mode
>> sufficient? Why must someone be forced to memorize
>> the individual characters?

>We aren't made to memorize every value of resistor and capacitor, or
>every offset for the six meter repeater subband.

And anyone copying CW character by character is never going to get any
further than someone talking letter by letter. Those who don't
understand it denigrate it.

2928. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-10 04:28:22 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <nadld2hvb7ihqjvmpe5ffieapf0pb413cf@4ax.com>
Source record: 7808
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 9 Aug 2006 19:27:57 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>clfe wrote:

>> Just to clarify my point - many "assume" a Ham Operator - regardless the
>> license - KNOWS code. So, if a "No Code" tech simply says "I"M A HAM
>> OPERATOR" to someone not knowing the license class structure, the
>> "assumption is made. AND unless that NC tech clarifies it, the unsuspecting
>> person will go on in ignorance "assuming" ALL hams "know" code. Morse Code
>> (per my recollection) has always been and most likely - even if only in
>> history books - always will be known and associated with HAM RADIO.

>Heaven forbid that someone assumes that a lowly, unwashed Technician
>know the CODE.

Why would you assume that a NO CODE tech knows code?

>Many "assume" that an Old Timer knows more than they actually know.

There's a difference between "know" and "proved".

>Furthermore, many
>"assume" that newcomers to the hobby know little or nothing, and have
>no desire to learn.

Most of those are the assumptions of newcomers about the assumptions
of old timers. Most old timers don't make that assumption. We've
been around long enough to have seen different.

2929. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-10 05:05:39 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1155186339.805761.31210@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7809
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Aug 9 2006 7:14 pm
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
rec.radio.scanner, rec.radio.swap

>Al Klein wrote:
>> On 2 Aug 2006 20:05:21 -0700, hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>> >Al Klein wrote:
>> >> On 23 Jul 2006 07:26:05 -0700, hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>> >> >> >how balanced is to to place CW over all over ham knowledge?
>
>> >> >> No one is, any more than by requiring people to know the law one is
>> >> >> putting the law "over all ham knowledge".
>
>> >> >CW is pass/fail. To fail CW denies all HF privs (except for Alaska).
>
>> >> Theory is also pass/fail. To fail to get the required number of
>> >> correct answers denies all privs - HF, VHF, UHF ...
>
>> >There is no pass/fail practical for SSB, FM, AM, FSTV, SSTV, RTTY, FAX,
>> >Packet, PSK, etc, etc, etc.
>
>> There's no test at all, so those claiming that the reason they want a
>> test for CW dropped because it's not "modern" have no argument - they
>> want no test for FSTV, SSTV, RTTY (which is also pretty old hat),
>> packet, PSK, etc. They want no test at all, unless they can memorize
>> a few answers to "pass" it.
>
>You couldn't be more wrong. If there were practical exams for SSB, FM,
>AM, FSTV, SSTV, RTTY (which is pretty darned old), packet, PSK, etc,
>then it would be CRYSTAL clear that a Morse Code exam is valid.
>
>However, there are no such practical exams for the other modes. So
>there need be no exam for Morse Code, either.

Hello Brian,

You are engaging in old-time necro-equine flagellation here with
this "Al Klein." I suspect he will not buy rationality and come
back with more of the ARRL-taught-and-sponsored emotionalism
about radiotelegraphy.

The fact that the World Radio Conference of 2003 amened the amateur Radio Regulations seems to be lost to the brainwashed. Prior to July 2003 *all* nations *were*required* to administer International Morse Code proficiency tests to amateur radio license applicants. *All* No exceptions. After July 2003 *all*administrations* were free to test for morse or junk the test.

In mid-2003 the ONLY non-amateur radio service in the world that even bothered with manual radiotelegraphy were some ocean-going vessels who had not bothered to update to Data and SSB like the bigger ocean shipping concerns had already done for years.

>> Sure it is. "Beefing up" the written exam is a counter to "drop CW
>> because it's old fashioned". If you want modern you want the testing
>> to be turned from CW to modern modes.
>
>That is not true. You wish to change the written exams, not add
>practical exams for SSB, FM, AM, FSTV, SSTV, RTTY (which is pretty
>darned old), packet, PSK, etc.

Klein seems to be thinking the AMATEUR radio test "has" to be as "tough" as the commercial licenses. AMATEUR radio is about hobby pursuits, a pastime done for personal pleasure. It is NOT some professional activity (except in the minds of a few dwelling in fantasyland).

I've had a Commercial ticket since March, 1956, passing it at an FCC Field Office on first go. It didn't hurt that I'd already had three full years of big-station Army communications for prior experience.

The United States Army did NOT require me to "know CW" in order to keep 30 to 40 HF transmitters on the air or keep 9 24-channel microwave radio relay terminals operation 24/7. At the same time I had to be proficient in "destroying the enemy." That was in 1953 to 1956.

>> Those who want CW dropped just
>> want what they can't memorize dropped so they can get a ticket without
>> really being tested on anything.
>
>So all ham radio is is Morse Code on HF? Or is it more than that?

It's "Working DX on HF with CW." :-)

ARRL has worked the CW thing to death ever since Hiram Percy went beyond the ionosphere over 70 years ago. Newington got brainwashed by "T. O. M." long ago and never went into detox.

>> No, I'm not addressing *where* the test is held at all - I'm
>> addressing *whether* there's any real test, which there isn't, except
>> for CW right now. Spitting out something you memorized is only a test
>> of memory.

>
>Sounds like the Conditional License to me.

Klein really seems to want a Professional license exam for
AMATEUR activities!

Unless there's been a change in the Communications Act of 1934
and the Telecommunications Act of 1996 as of this Wednesday
morning, the FCC was NEVER EVER chartered to be an academic
institution.

Radio amateurs are AMATEURS by definition.

>> >> Just by guessing at the
>> >> answers. It used to require that you draw (was it 3?) schematics.
>
>> >You tell me? Was it 2 or was it 3?
>
>> I don't remember after almost 50 years - but I could still draw them
>> today, and it's not a test of remembering what's on the test, it's a
>> test of knowing what's in a radio.
>
>Then advocate passing the current exam at every license renewal. You'd
>probably be weeded out pretty quickly.

I can still remember my First 'Phone test. Took two hours. Four
parts to get a First. I recall four schematics...ALL on vacuum
tube circuitry. [this was in 1956 and there were NO RF power
transistors available anywhere]

Anyone who hasn't kept up with the state of electronics would be
totally LOST inside a modern HF transceiver...probably dumbfounded
by any HT using SMDs. :-)

>> >> From scratch. Let's see how many people could do that today. A
>> >> Colpitts oscillator, a Hartley oscillator and some other circuit that
>> >> I've forgotten at the moment.
>
>> >You should self-modify your license and cease amateur operation until
>> >you remember.
>
>> Why? Testing isn't about memory, it's about knowledge.
>
>What if you forgot your band edges?

[change band surgeons? :-)]

No problem for me on Klein's "challenge." I can name four more
basic oscillator circuits, draw them out, simulate their operation
in SPICE after calculating the values with a pocket calculator,
build the little suckers, adjust them, measure their characteristics
and even design-build impedance-interface networks for outputs.
Of course, the challenger has to PAY me for that...I AM a pro

and other folks have paid me for such services for a half century.

Ackshully, about half the commercial ticket questions were on
Rules and Regulations. The theory part was cursory to the subject
and not near enough complete to "qualify" for expertness in radio
or associated electronics. As I've seen since, they still ran
heavy for Rules and Regs for the next four decades. It should.
Not even the commercial ticket is any kind of "diploma" of
knowledge.

>> >The amateur is self-policing, and you no longer meet your own standard.
>
>> Sure I do. The test wasn't to remember what circuits to draw, it was
>> to draw them. And I can draw them any time.
>
>Then do so. Quit complaining to me that you can't remember what it was
>that you were supposed to draw.

Not many tube Hartley oscillators used nowadays. :-)

I see more Yamahas than Hartleys... :-)

>But if a practical exam is necessary for Morse Code, why isn't it
>necessary for other modes? If all radio is merely plug and play, why
>do the services still have radio schools (that aren't teaching Morse
>Code)?

There is NO practical reason for a manual radiotelegraphy test
today. There is only the EMOTIONAL demand of some old-timers
for all to do as they had to do.

>There has never been a practical test to show that you could operate a
>radio. Ever.

Correct for the United States of America.

[waiting for someone to trot out the Russian amateur test...I'll
give them a year's subscription to The Daily Worker...:-)]

>> Hams today don't - they
>> memorize a few answers, buy equipment and get on the air - with no
>> understanding of what they're doing, and no desire to learn.
>
>Then it hasn't changed much since you were first licensed.

Absolutely correct! :-)

>> >> That's exactly what he's talking about. Give someone a radio and a
>> >> "license" to use it and he'll "acquire the skill to be ready for
>> >> service to country and community". That's what Mark said, right up
>> >> above. How does one acquire skill by playing radio?
>
>> >We self-train.
>

>> You may, but I can see from many of the comments that have been posted
>> here that a lot of people don't. They don't want to learn, they want
>> to get on the air. Period.
>
>W3RV didn't wait to get a ham license before operating! He just wanted
>to get on the air. Period.

Not only that, he told us he left his "hormonal" girlfriend on
the porch the night of the prom so he could rush home and get
on the air with his newly-arrived license! :-)

>> > It is a continuous process of improvements. You
>> >mistakenly believe that at the conclusion of The Exam, the "operator"
>> >is 100%.
>
>> And you mistakenly believe that most hams today want to learn how to
>> operate properly. Listen to 75 some evenings.
>
>Lots of OFs on there who should know better. That's why I hold the
>opinions that I hold. Your generation doesn't have a lock on decency,
>respect, or apatite for knowledge. Far from it.

ABSOLUTELY TRUE, Brian! :-)

Heh heh, I'm one of the (chronological) Olde Fahrts and KNOW what
his generation's opinions ARE. God help me if I ever get as
stodgily self-righteous as some of them! :-(

>> >I've listened to emergency responders on a scanner before. They don't
>> >use Morse Code, they don't use CW. They use FM/Voice. Somehow they
>> >are effective at it, not having taken a Morse Code test. How can this
>> >be?
>
>> They were trained.
>
>Not in Morse Code. If you must retain a Morse Code Exam, then you must
>also administer practical exams for SSB, FM, AM, FSTV, SSTV, RTTY
>(which is pretty darned old), packet, PSK, etc.

Having once had a scanner to listen to (idly), civil aircraft pilots
and tower controllers get right up there on voice speech rates,
faster than most PLMRS Safety radio services (even police). Then
there are the UNtrained radio users such as harbor and inland
waterways communicators, the vast majority being untrained
(horrors!)
civilians (more horror!) communicating just fine on their store-
bought, usually-installed-by-others VHF voice radios.

The difference between them and amateurs is that the above are MORE
concerned about INFORMATION; amateur morsemen are much more
concerned about the MEDIUM, of USING Morse.

To paraphrase McLuhan a bit, "Their medium is their ego massage."

>> But an award for wanting has to do with "I want it so it's my right to
>> have it", which is what I'm talking about. No one has any "right" to
>> get on the air.
>
>Correct. And no one has a right to force their favorite mode on
>everyone else. Your days of doing so are numbered.

I think they expired long before WRC-03 was finished.

It seems that Klein and other Olde Fahrts are mostly looking for adulation by others for being so old in amateur radio. Their self-righteous attitudes stick out all over, demanding that "young folk" do what They had to do...or else. :-(

I could care less what was "expert" in radio in 1932. Two decades plus one after I was born I began using Big-Time HF radio communications and KNOW what was "expertise" from seeing (and emulating) the REAL experts of then. It was NOT morse code or manual radiotelegraphy as "best" a half century ago. Manual radiotelegraphy has been slowly dying towards obscurity ever since...but the chief media agency for ham radio in Newington still believes in it and promotes it constantly as "the best skill" in amateur radio to this day. A rather disgusting RETRO attitude of theirs in my opinion.

Klein really doesn't understand human history or humans, for that matter. EVERYONE has wanted "instant gratification" for something or other for all of recorded history. Even in amateur radio. Some companies in the 1920s were selling ready-built amateur radios, back when manual radiotelegraphy was about the ONLY mode available to the ham community. [that's 80 years ago, four generations, in case some of his contemporaries are afflicted with Alzheimers]

But, if Newington wants the test, then the test will remain. ARRL "represents 'all' amateurs," donut? :-) ARRL is Big Business (to the tune of a reported $15 million profit now) and Big Business SPEAKS. :-(

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2930. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-10 06:12:15 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1155190335.344076.131790@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7810
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: Al Klein on Wed, Aug 9 2006 9:24 pm
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
rec.radio.scanner, rec.radio.swap

>On 9 Aug 2006 19:14:54 -0700, hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>>You couldn't be more wrong. If there were practical exams for SSB, FM,
>>AM, FSTV, SSTV, RTTY (which is pretty darned old), packet, PSK, etc,
>>then it would be CRYSTAL clear that a Morse Code exam is valid.
>>However, there are no such practical exams for the other modes. So
>>there need be no exam for Morse Code, either.
>
>That's my point - there's no test any longer. For anything more than
>the ability to memorize answers.

1. The FCC does NOT generate the questions on any amateur
radio license test. The VEC Question Pool Committee does.
By LAW the VEC QPC is composed of radio amateurs.

2. The FCC does NOT mandate the maximum number of questions
on any amateur radio license exam written test. The FCC
specifies only the MINIMUM number of questions. The VEC
QPC can generate as many questions as it cares to.

3. At some point a LARGE number of questions could defeat
even the most eidetic of humans, thereby destroying your
rant of "it isn't a real test because all can memorize
the questions-answers."

>>So all ham radio is is Morse Code on HF? Or is it more than that?
>
>It's a lot more. The question isn't what ham radio is, it's whether
>one should be required to pass a realistic test to get a license.

"Realistic test" = Collitch-level BS to make one a 1930's
radio expert? :-)

Define "realistic test" remembering that ALL the VEs are
also VOLUNTEER radio amateurs.

>>> I don't remember after almost 50 years - but I could still draw them
>>> today, and it's not a test of remembering what's on the test, it's a
>>> test of knowing what's in a radio.
>
>>Then advocate passing the current exam at every license renewal.
>

>What current exam? Memorizing answers and writing them down isn't a
>test.

Oh, my, are you taking on the ENTIRE Academic Community now?

Last college-level course test I had required MEMORIZING
and WRITING THEM DOWN! Damn, all that work leading up to
it and it wasn't a "real" test!

>> You'd probably be weeded out pretty quickly.
>
>I doubt it - if I couldn't pass an Extra theory exam - a real one, not
>the nonsense that passes for one these days - I'd lose my job in a
>second.

[getting Donald Trump wig] "You're fired!" :-)

So, Al, what did you get for a license? A BS-HAM?

Define "real test," show your work.

>What if you addressed what I said when you answer me? Your dishonest
>tactics are transparent.

WHAT "dishonest tactics," olde-tymer?

You've gotten rather self-righteous about "real" without giving
any real answers as to what defines "real."

You got stomped on, par for the newsgroup course. If you don't
like disagreements over your disagreeability, try another venue.

>Quit putting words in my mouth. I wasn't complaining to anyone, and
>we weren't discussing remembering 50 year old tests.

You WERE COMPLAINING...all about "today's tests are not 'real'".

Self-righteousness is readily transparent...

>>But if a practical exam is necessary for Morse Code, why isn't it
>>necessary for other modes?
>
>Maybe we should have one - show the ability to put a clean PSK signal
>on the air. Show the ability to interpret a waterfall display. Show
>the ability to tell the difference between various digital modes. The
>bands would be pretty QRM-free.

You did NOT answer Brian's question.

Does self-righteousness negate having to answer questions?

>So you're in favor of exams that test knowledge of theory? "Draw the
>schematic of ..."? "Explain why long path 2400 bps is impossible on
>14 MHz"? That kind of relevance?
>
>Or the "pick the answer with the resistor like we showed you in the

>example" kind of relevance?

Now you are putting words in Brian's mouth. Tsk, tsk.

Explain how the VEs will love and embrace your collitch-level
AMATEUR radio license exam, needing hours per test applicant.

In case you hadn't been up to speed, the FCC does NOT normally
do any testing of either Commercial or amateur radio licenses.
That's been privatized.

If you wish to change AWAY from privatized testing, you have
ready access to the Proposal method with the FCC. They explain
the whole process.

>>> All you
>>> have to do now is memorize a few answers.
>
>>That's all you had to do then.
>
>How do you draw a schematic and explain the functions of parts by
>memorizing answers? You can't explain phase shift by memorizing "10k"
>or "coil".

Gosh, olde-tymer, did the ham exams of a half century ago get
into vectors and phases? I had none of that in my First 'Phone
exam. I missed a collitch-final kind of exam? :-)

>>> >I used radios in the military. I never used a CW key in the military.
>>> >I never jammed another operator, although Brandywine asked me to reduce
>>> >power once.
>
>>> But you had to learn how to use the radios.
>
>I did?
>
>They just gave you a radio and said "use it"?

Soldiers and Airmen weren't "given" radios. They were ISSUED them.
A half century ago you had damn well take CARE of them or you HAD
to pay for them! By the way, the FCC does NOT regulate federal
government radio use...the NTIA does that, for both federal folks
and military personnel use.

I can give you a brief summation of the "instruction" in using
an AN/PRC-6 HT: About 10 minutes, word of mouth and hands-on
"training." A VHF radio transceiver, it wasn't designed for
AMATEUR radio activities. It couldn't be...didn't have any
place to plug in a code key. :-)

I can easily remember the "training" on lots of other real radios
in the military plus a few more as a civilian working on DoD
contract projects. The AN/PRC-119 took a lot longer, especially

for the Hopset entry. [I had to learn it from its big TM] You
familiar with the PRC-119? A quarter-million of them have been
built. All the military branches have them.

>When I was licensed you had to show an understanding of theory, by
>answering questions that were more than just multiple choice from a
>published answer pool.

Sunnuvagun! In 1956 one of the four parts I successfully
completed was MULTIPLE-CHOICE! How about that? :-)

But that was at an FCC Field Office. 80 miles away in Chicago.
No "conditionals" for Commercials then, senior. "Privatized
testing" would be a laughable subject in '56. :-)

>>> >I've listened to emergency responders on a scanner before. They don't
>>> >use Morse Code, they don't use CW. They use FM/Voice. Somehow they
>>> >are effective at it, not having taken a Morse Code test. How can this
>>> >be?
>
>>> They were trained.
>
>>Not in Morse Code.
>
>You must be sitting on oil. Can't you stick to a topic long enough to
>be coherent? You were discussing how someone can be efficient at
>voice commo, not in Morse.

I have no problem with understanding Brian...and I HAVE been
around radio communication for a rather long time. Try asking
me about "efficiency" or "throughput" on any mode, any radio
service.

Can you explain where all the other radio services got their
"training" in radio use? If any at all, that is. You can't
find any other radio service users who get NO "training"
whatsoever nor need anything but an equipment license to use
it? [I'm not talking about CB]

>> If you must retain a Morse Code Exam, then you must
>>also administer practical exams for SSB, FM, AM, FSTV, SSTV, RTTY
>>(which is pretty darned old), packet, PSK, etc.
>
>I have no problem with that.

I daresay a whole bunch of VEs would argue with you after
spending HOURS separately with each test applicant for
one of those "realistic test" ideas of yours.

>I'm advocating real testing for whatever mode. Right now the only
>test is "do you have the fee, can you get to the testing place, and
>have you memorized enough answers to pass". Let's have a test that
>shows whether the testee knows anything. CW, APRS, AX25, PSK - all of

>it. Or separate the licenses. You want to operate FM, you take a
>test on FM and, if you pass, you get an FM license. Want to operate
>SSB, you take a different test.
>
>Not "want to get on the air? memorize some answers and pay your fee".

Tsk, tsk. Plan out a "real test" and then get an estimation
of the TIME it would take for each VE and each license
applicant. Remember that US amateur radio licensing is now
an ALL-VOLUNTEER process. Just WHO are you expecting to PAY
for all the equipment necessary to do your "real testing" on
ALL modes now allocated to US radio amateurs? Government?
VEs? Who will be responsible for their maintenance?

[this group has ALREADY beaten that subject to death in here]

You will have to Petition the FCC for a drastic change in
the number of "endorsements" to the various parts and classes.
You will have to get in touch with the VEC QPC to change the
number of written test questions.

I don't think you will do anything, just sit in here and blow
off steam like the usual self-righteous Olde-Tymer. Geez.

US amateur radio is "working DX on HF with CW." Know CW and
you don't need any theory or other BS. Ipso facto. [or
something fancy in Latin to show 'book-larnen'...:-)]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2931. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-10 10:12:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155204739.954682.287740@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7811
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old friend made some assinine suggestions with:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > On 7 Aug 2006 17:08:56 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@snotmail.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >then stop ans top proposing to kil Ham radio too
> >
> > The only way to kill ham radio is to hand licenses to more people who
> > can't pass tests.
> no I don't buy that either
>
> while I do not propose to end written testing I do wonder if the ARS
> might not be better off with a license that (like GRMS) that one BOUGHT
> from the FCC with the money rasied thereby used solely to conduct
> enforement action on the ARS bands

(shaking head in slow "oh geeze...how dumb can he get"
manner.....)

> and Indeed an almost no test novice liiting the licensee to unmodfied
> Hts and a staion set up by a full status with no or a very minimal test
> might well be a good way to go

Yeah...that would be the way to go...To go straight to CB.

> preveldges close to the old novice (but with 2m instead or adtional to
> 222Mhz)
> and a test for full preveldegs afer that

Dumb...dumberer...dumberest.

Steve, K4YZ

2932. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-10 12:19:22 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <evFCg.5511$1f6.2458@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 7812
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> The Conditional was whatever class was being tested for, but not at an
> FCC office. It had nothing to do with the class, only with the
> location.

On the contrary, the Conditional was the General Class
license given away from an FCC office. At the time I got
mine, the distance from an FCC office was set at 75 miles.
Quoting the 1957 ARRL License Manual: "The Conditional
Class license conveys privileges identical to those of
the General Class ..." which incidentally at the time,
was all amateur frequency operating privileges.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2933. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-10 12:20:56 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <IwFCg.5512$1f6.2686@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 7813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> Most of those are the assumptions of newcomers about the assumptions
> of old timers. Most old timers don't make that assumption. We've
> been around long enough to have seen different.

But apparently not long enough to know what the Conditional
Class license was all about.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2934. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-10 12:26:19 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <ve9md21rmspk3u9g8i6kcfo3b0a3c7h1qs@4ax.com>
Source record: 7814
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 10 Aug 2006 12:20:56 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> Most of those are the assumptions of newcomers about the assumptions
>> of old timers. Most old timers don't make that assumption. We've
>> been around long enough to have seen different.

>But apparently not long enough to know what the Conditional
>Class license was all about.

So enlighten me.

2935. More stupidity from Markie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-10 12:39:48 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155213588.052092.260270@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7815
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
an old fraud wrote:
> peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old freind wrote:
> > > Al Klein wrote:
> > > > On 8 Aug 2006 20:02:25 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> > > > wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >> It sure was bullshit - giving licenses away to anyone who wanted one.
> > > >
> > > > >that has never happened
> > > >
> > > > You have a license so it happened.
> > > nope I like you took the required tests and met the standard of the day
> >
> > Nope, you haven't.
> you do like libeling people

You do like showing how stupid you are, don't you, Morkie?
```

2936. Re: More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-10 12:42:19 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155213739.763262.59790@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7816
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend made some assinine suggestions with:
> > Al Klein wrote:
> > > On 7 Aug 2006 17:08:56 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@snotmail.com>
> > > wrote:
> > >
> > > >then stop ans top proposing to kil Ham radio too
> > >
> > > The only way to kill ham radio is to hand licenses to more people who
> > > can't pass tests.
> > no I don't buy that either
> >
> > while I do not propose to end written testing I do wonder if the ARS
> > might not be better off with a license that (like GRMS) that one BOUGHT
> > from the FCC with the money rasied thereby used solely to conduct
> > enforement action on the ARS bands
>
> (shaking head in slow "oh geeze...how dumb can he get"
> manner.....)

And Markie claims he can "memorize" all the question pools. BWHAHAHAHA!

> > and Indeed an almost no test novice liiting the licensee to unmodfied
> > Hts and a staion set up by a full status with no or a very minimal test
> > might well be a good way to go
>
> Yeah...that would be the way to go...To go straight to CB.

Where Markie belongs.

> > preveldges close to the old novice (but with 2m instead or adtional to
> > 222Mhz)
> > and a test for full preveldegs afer that
>
> Dumb...dumberer...dumberest.

BWHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!

2937. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-10 12:56:39 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <b2GCg.9725$gY6.9105@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 7817
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Al Klein wrote:
> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>> Al Klein wrote:
>>> Most of those are the assumptions of newcomers about the assumptions
>>> of old timers. Most old timers don't make that assumption. We've
>>> been around long enough to have seen different.
>
>> But apparently not long enough to know what the Conditional
>> Class license was all about.
>
> So enlighten me.

Isn't the quote from the 1957 ARRL License Manual sufficient?
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
```

2938. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-10 14:40:21 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <44DB4555.8020702@fuse.net>
Source record: 7818
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

not THIS again

2939. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-10 16:41:06 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155228066.823171.58160@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7819
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

jawod wrote:
> not THIS again
at least it is one topic

2940. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-10 16:46:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <rmomd2daq2p2ikqreuj3p9re970uo4h32u@4ax.com>
Source record: 7820
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 9 Aug 2006 19:27:57 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>clfe wrote:
>> "clfe" <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com> wrote in message
>> news:44d20fd9$0$2919$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net...
>> >
>> > "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:LInAg.689$%j7.344@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
>> >> K4YZ wrote:
>> >>> Cecil Moore wrote:
>> >>>> Then why isn't knowledge of Morse code and the CW mode
>> >>>> sufficient? Why must someone be forced to memorize
>> >>>> the individual characters?
>> >>>
>> >>> Probably, Cecil, since it would then make it difficult to pass the
>> >>> test.
>> >>
>> >> You missed the point. The Morse code skill exam requires
>> >> memorizing the characters. Memorizing is being condemned
>> >> as an evil act. Since memorizing is evil, the Morse code
>> >> skill exam should be the first thing to be eliminated.
>> >> --
>> >> 73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>> >
>> > In some cases, it "could" be said that hairs are being split. To have
>> > "knowledge" of the code - could mean basically - you know it exists and
>> > why it is used. To "know" the Morse Code, usually refers to KNOWING the
>> > characters sufficiently to use them at whatever speed it is you can. On
>> > the other hand, someone who isn't involved could say - that a Ham operator
>> > is "knowledgable" in the code. Heck, to someone not IN Ham radio - they
>> > could easily assume a NO CODE tech - KNOWS code.
>>
>> Just to clarify my point - many "assume" a Ham Operator - regardless the
>> license - KNOWS code. So, if a "No Code" tech simply says "I"M A HAM
>> OPERATOR" to someone not knowing the license class structure, the
>> "assumption is made. AND unless that NC tech clarifies it, the unsuspecting
>> person will go on in ignorance "assuming" ALL hams "know" code. Morse Code
>> (per my recollection) has always been and most likely - even if only in
>> history books - always will be known and associated with HAM RADIO.
>
>Heaven forbid that someone assumes that a lowly, unwashed Technician
>know the CODE.

inddeed shudder
>
>> lou
>
>Many "assume" that an Old Timer knows more than they actually know.
>Most Old Timers are guilty of this kind of thinking. Furthermore, many
>"assume" that newcomers to the hobby know little or nothing, and have
>no desire to learn. Most Old Timers are guilty of this klind of
>thinking.
yep sad to say
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2941. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-10 16:47:17 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <goomd2dugfvrmphaa62kl3akh5s47opfso@4ax.com>
Source record: 7821
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
On 9 Aug 2006 19:18:06 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Cecil Moore wrote:
>> Al Klein wrote:
>> > Testing isn't about memory, it's about knowledge.
>>
>> Then why isn't knowledge of Morse code and the CW mode
>> sufficient? Why must someone be forced to memorize
>> the individual characters?
>> --
>> 73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
>We aren't made to memorize every value of resistor and capacitor, or
>every offset for the six meter repeater subband.
thank god
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

2942. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-10 16:47:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <2pomd29qvgm2espc15bdkdv5t33a0vqh0a@4ax.com>
Source record: 7822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 10 Aug 2006 00:25:30 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On 9 Aug 2006 19:18:06 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>>Cecil Moore wrote:
>>> Al Klein wrote:
>>> > Testing isn't about memory, it's about knowledge.
>
>>> Then why isn't knowledge of Morse code and the CW mode
>>> sufficient? Why must someone be forced to memorize
>>> the individual characters?
>
>>We aren't made to memorize every value of resistor and capacitor, or
>>every offset for the six meter repeater subband.
>
>And anyone copying CW character by character is never going to get any
>further than someone talking letter by letter. Those who don't
>understand it denigrate it.
dengrate?

how can it be denegrated?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2943. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-10 16:56:33 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <44DB6541.1030806@fuse.net>
Source record: 7824
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>
> dengrate?
>
> how can it be denegrated?
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
it's "denigrate"

2944. Re: I'm not going to pick on Mark Morgan any more.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-10 16:57:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <b6pmd21u8ibc53s82itb96eeb552meurvu@4ax.com>
Source record: 7823
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 10 Aug 2006 00:02:45 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On 9 Aug 2006 19:01:51 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Al Klein wrote:
>
>>> Since you became aware of it. It used to be required. You can't
>>> memorize the "answer" to "draw the schematic of a Colpitts
>>> oscillator".
>
>>sure I can
>>at at least i assume the colpitts is no more complex than most of the
>>others
>
>You can ASSume anything - but you can't draw a schematic.

Ican draw a schematic of an occilator right now
>
>>no understanding of the curcut is required to render a picture from
>>memory
>
>But drawing it correctly, including parts values, requires
>understanding. Or are you claiming that you can memorize a few dozen
>schematics and draw any 3 upon request?
sure I could

>
>>indeed the only I or anyone else is going to know that ciruct x is a
>>colpitts rather than Holtzman or a Fu Manch is by memory
>
>You just don't know the difference between understanding something and
>memorizing answers.

you don't accept to what extenet memeriztion can replce understanding

Indeed I am likely an expert on that being dyslexic requres a
prodigous memeor since you can'twrite yourself a note
that is Dylexia apear in the popualtion of say Acting in such a high
number (indeed I have done some stage work myself II realu love the
role of admas in 1776
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2945. Re: I'm not going to pick on Mark Morgan any more.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-10 20:45:52 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <2d6nd2pd7gs349l4agso1ivmctqhjhu6pv@4ax.com>
Source record: 7825
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 10 Aug 2006 12:57:11 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Thu, 10 Aug 2006 00:02:45 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:

>>You can ASSume anything - but you can't draw a schematic.

>Ican draw a schematic of an occilator right now

Okay - a Wien bridge, using discretes - from memory - for around 1
KHz, and label all values. I'll even allow an incandescent NTCR to
make it easy for you.

>>>no understanding of the curcut is required to render a picture from
>>>memory

>>But drawing it correctly, including parts values, requires
>>understanding. Or are you claiming that you can memorize a few dozen
>>schematics and draw any 3 upon request?

>sure I could

I made the request. Post the schematic on your site.

>>You just don't know the difference between understanding something and
>>memorizing answers.

>you don't accept to what extenet memeriztion can replce understanding

Since it can't, I don't. You can memorize enough that it mimics
understanding, but rote memorization isn't understanding.

2946. again on the smeantics of memory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-10 21:44:41 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155246281.226209.28520@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7826
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On Thu, 10 Aug 2006 12:57:11 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
> >On Thu, 10 Aug 2006 00:02:45 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
> >wrote:
>
> >>You can ASSume anything - but you can't draw a schematic.
>
> >Ican draw a schematic of an occilator right now
>
> Okay - a Wien bridge, using discretes - from memory - for around 1
> KHz, and label all values. I'll even allow an incandescent NTCR to
> make it easy for you.
>
> >>>no understanding of the curcut is required to render a picture from
> >>>memory
>
> >>But drawing it correctly, including parts values, requires
> >>understanding. Or are you claiming that you can memorize a few dozen
> >>schematics and draw any 3 upon request?
>
> >sure I could
>
> I made the request. Post the schematic on your site.
I would tell you where to insert your request but I am feeling kind

but indeed to draw the schamtic you just called would require either
looking up what made it a Wien bridge or to have it memeorized nothing
about the the name of clasification of the occilator are derived from
first principles that being Maxells equations

you when asked with the cance to check your notes can not described
what YOU had to draw

I stated I could memorize a dozen or so schematics,(indeed that I can
memerize whole plays well enough to get paid for the skill should be
proof of that) and indeed to know the collpitts or wien or the fu
manchu occilator I would have to memerize what made it one verus the
other
>
> >>You just don't know the difference between understanding something and
> >>memorizing answers.
>
> >you don't accept to what extenet memeriztion can replce understanding

>
> Since it can't, I don't.
as I said
> You can memorize enough that it mimics
> understanding, but rote memorization isn't understanding.
but your statement is an amdission to my point. I said meroization can replace understanding. you state it can minic it. I agree fully with that statement

therefore since memorization can minic undersatnding as long as one can memirze enough at a given period of time then this proves that Understanding was never required in the same period of testing.

Understanding is always a good thing if it happens, but short of a great deal of knowledge that is not reuired Memorizing the Caps in paralell add, while Indcutor and resister in paralel go though a more complex formula (that I am not sure how to accurately put in this text but ends up halfing if the value in paralele are the same) is fine and no harm is done by this

2947. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-10 22:35:46 UTC
From: Robert Sherrod <rtsherrod@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <6xOCg.84281$FQ1.57123@attbi_s71>
Source record: 7827
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Radio Buff wrote:
> "TimBob" <tmize57@juno.com> wrote in
> news:1154983516.647638.262900@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>
>> In "Indepedance Day" morse code saves the world from the alien
>> invasion! They made a movie about it so it must be true!
>> Tim N7XAU
>
>
> That movie was hokey. An alien invasion force with thousands of ships in
> orbit would need to use our satellites to communicate with each other.
>
> Yah, right.
>
> Sc
>

There was only one ship in orbit in "Independence Day", the mother ship.
All of the other ships that detached from the mother ship, the daughter
ships, so to speak, were all within the Earth's atmosphere. That is why
the satellites were necessary to overcome the line-of-sight problem
between the mother ship and the daughter ships on the opposite side of
the planet.

Bobby
KC9IHK

2948. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-10 22:47:00 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155250020.616670.83970@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7828
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Robert Sherrod wrote:
> Radio Buff wrote:
> > "TimBob" <tmize57@juno.com> wrote in

>
> There was only one ship in orbit in "Independence Day", the mother ship.
> All of the other ships that detached from the mother ship, the daughter
> ships, so to speak, were all within the Earth's atmosphere. That is why
> the satellites were necessary to overcome the line-of-sight problem
> between the mother ship and the daughter ships on the opposite side of
> the planet.
earth is the only earthlike planet with a heavyside layer effect and in
centuries these folks never developded the tech to mach WWV (the time
station?)

it was nice movie even I as deadicated a Nododer as they come enjoyed
the ending
>
> Bobby
> KC9IHK

2949. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 00:19:52 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <I2QCg.2213$Sn3.503@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7829
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Robert Sherrod <rtsherrod@mchsi.com> wrote in
news:6xOCg.84281$FQ1.57123@attbi_s71:

> Radio Buff wrote:
>> "TimBob" <tmize57@juno.com> wrote in
>> news:1154983516.647638.262900@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>> In "Indepedance Day" morse code saves the world from the alien
>>> invasion! They made a movie about it so it must be true!
>>> Tim N7XAU
>>
>>
>> That movie was hokey. An alien invasion force with thousands of ships
>> in orbit would need to use our satellites to communicate with each
>> other.
>>
>> Yah, right.
>>
>> Sc
>>
>
> There was only one ship in orbit in "Independence Day", the mother ship.
> All of the other ships that detached from the mother ship, the daughter
> ships, so to speak, were all within the Earth's atmosphere. That is why
> the satellites were necessary to overcome the line-of-sight problem
> between the mother ship and the daughter ships on the opposite side of
> the planet.
>
> Bobby
> KC9IHK

It was still Hokey. The aliens could do everything but provide their own
communications globally.

2950. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 00:19:58 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <O2QCg.2217$Sn3.2150@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7830
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:vhumd2110g3689i5b40druk9lm40bg23vp@4ax.com:

> On 10 Aug 2006 10:38:09 -0700, "cmdr buzz corey"
> <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>> On 9 Aug 2006 20:30:59 -0700, "cmdr buzz corey"
>>> <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> >an old idiot wrote:
>>> >
>>> >>
>>> >> Part 97 does not define Ham radio, Part 97 defines the rules
>>> >>
>>> >> WE define Ham radio
>>> >
>>> >And again your compete ignorance springs fourth to show you for the
>>> >total moronic half-wit you are. But then, what's new?
>>> >
>>> >97.3 Definitions.
>>> >
>>> >(4) Amateur service. A radiocommunication service for the purpose of
>>> >self-training, intercommunication and technical investigations
>>> >carried out by amateurs, that is, duly authorized persons interested
>>> >in radio technique solely with a personal aim and without pecuniary
>>> >interest.
>>> your poitn
>>>
>>> We defeine Ham radio the FCC merely defines the rules we must obey in
>>> doing so
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>
>>
>>Get a six-year-old to read and explain to you part 97.3 (Definitions),
>>subpart (4) where it DEFINES the Amateur service, note the word
>>service, nowhere does it say hobby.
> indeed you note the words
>
> the FCC defines the rules of the service WE define the noffy aspects
> of it
>>
>>Try not to look so ignorant.

> try it yourself
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

Mark, would you put these five steps on your web blog for me? Thanks. I also want to try to get the moon bouncers to help us out. I think by puting the five steps on your website, a lot more hams will see and agree the five steps are a good idea. TNX, 73

SC

Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all elements required for their license class.

Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.

Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work to improve things.

2951. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 00:25:09 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155255909.434348.304420@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7831
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:vhumd2110g3689i5b40druk9lm40bg23vp@4ax.com:
>
> > On 10 Aug 2006 10:38:09 -0700, "cmdr buzz corey"
> > <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >>> On 9 Aug 2006 20:30:59 -0700, "cmdr buzz corey"
> >>> <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote:
> >>>
> >>> >an old idiot wrote:
> >>> >
> >>> >>
> >>> >> Part 97 does not define Ham radio, Part 97 defines the rules
> >>> >>
> >>> >> WE define Ham radio
> >>> >
> >>> >And again your compete ignorance springs fourth to show you for the
> >>> >total moronic half-wit you are. But then, what's new?
> >>> >
> >>> >§97.3 Definitions.
> >>> >
> >>> >(4) Amateur service. A radiocommunication service for the purpose of
> >>> >self-training, intercommunication and technical investigations
> >>> >carried out by amateurs, that is, duly authorized persons interested
> >>> >in radio technique solely with a personal aim and without pecuniary
> >>> >interest.
> >>> your poitn
> >>>
> >>> We defeine Ham radio the FCC merely defines the rules we must obey in
> >>> doing so
> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >>>
> >>
> >>Get a six-year-old to read and explain to you part 97.3 (Definitions),
> >>subpart (4) where it DEFINES the Amateur service, note the word
> >>service, nowhere does it say hobby.
> > indeed you note the words
> >
> > the FCC defines the rules of the service WE define the noffy aspects
> > of it

> >>
> >>Try not to look so ignorant.
> > try it yourself
> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
>
>
> Mark, would you put these five steps on your web blog for me? Thanks. I
> also want to try to get the moon bouncers to help us out. I think by
> puting the five steps on your website, a lot more hams will see and agree
> the five steps are a good idea. TNX, 73
>
> SC
why would I support a sytem that tkae away my license wether you mean
next week or next year?

2952. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 00:31:26 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ydQCg.6172$kO3.2096@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 7832
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> It was still Hokey. The aliens could do everything but provide their own
> communications globally.

They also couldn't provide a decent firewall for their computers.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2953. Surprise arrival of C-27A 90-0174 at Patrick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 00:48:35 UTC
From: "Allan.Stern@gmail.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155257315.778268.262350@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7833
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner

Surprise arrival of C-27A 90-0174 at Patrick
````````````````````````````````````````````

It is Thur, 8:28pm EDT (Fri, 0028z).
Moments ago C-27A (Alenia Spartan) 90-0174 (c/n 4101) landed at
Patrick AFB, using the callsign N2290J. This is one of the aircraft of
the Dept of State Air Wing that is based here (Dept of State Bureau of
International Narcotics & Law Enforcement Affairs). The last time I saw
this aircraft here was in March 2001. After that it was seen in Peru in
Aug 2001 at Jorge Chavez IAP in Lima, and later was among the old State
Dept aircraft at AMARC. If planes could talk, this plane certainly
would tell some interesting stories. I hope to get a picture of her
tomorrow.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/BrevardRadio
````````````````````````````````````````````

2954. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 01:05:17 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <qtlnd2lu167jd8n51puftueie0uq26281m@4ax.com>
Source record: 7834
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 10 Aug 2006 17:25:09 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>why would I support a sytem that tkae away my license

Because you're honest?

Oh.

2955. Re: again on the smeantics of memory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 01:13:14 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <92mnd2ti70c0k9bt9uict6ljkg2mr4btuo@4ax.com>
Source record: 7835
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 10 Aug 2006 14:44:41 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:

>> I made the request. Post the schematic on your site.

>I would tell you where to insert your request but I am feeling kind

Or honest, for a change?

>but indeed to draw the schamtic you just called would require either
>looking up what made it a Wien bridge or to have it memeorized nothing
>about the the name of clasification of the occilator are derived from
>first principles that being Maxells equations

Or actually having learned what a Wien bridge is and how it works.

>you when asked with the cance to check your notes can not described
>what YOU had to draw

Maybe if you translated that into English I could answer it.

>I stated I could memorize a dozen or so schematics,(indeed that I can
>memerize whole plays well enough to get paid for the skill should be
>proof of that) and indeed to know the collpitts or wien or the fu
>manchu occilator I would have to memerize what made it one verus the
>other

Again - stop repeating "I don't know the difference between learning
and memorizing answers". We know you don't/

>> You can memorize enough that it mimics
>> understanding, but rote memorization isn't understanding.

>but your statement is an amdission to my point. I said meroization can
>replace understanding.

Mimicking it isn't replacing it. If I understand a circuit I can
design improvements to it. If you memorize how to draw it without
understanding it, you can't.

>therefore since memorization can minic undersatnding as long as one can
>memirze enough at a given period of time then this proves that
>Understanding was never required in the same period of testing.

All it proves is that the method of testing doesn't actually test for
the knowledge it claims to test for.

>Memorizing the Caps in
>paralell add, while Indcutor and resister in paralel go though a more
>complex formula (that I am not sure how to accurately put in this text

Because you never learned it, you just memorized the answers.

>is fine and no harm is done by this

As long as no one cares whether having a ham ticket means that you
actually know anything.

2956. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 01:14:48 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <9emnd2hpk1a5gqrau9kaetnhvfmhe20qs9@4ax.com>
Source record: 7836
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 10 Aug 2006 22:35:46 GMT, Robert Sherrod <rtsherrod@mchsi.com>
wrote:

>There was only one ship in orbit in "Independence Day", the mother ship.
>All of the other ships that detached from the mother ship, the daughter
>ships, so to speak, were all within the Earth's atmosphere. That is why
>the satellites were necessary to overcome the line-of-sight problem
>between the mother ship and the daughter ships on the opposite side of
>the planet.

Some invaders. They couldn't invade a race that hadn't progressed to
the satellite stage yet.

Suspension of disbelief aside, it was a pretty good movie.

2957. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 01:15:40 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <fgmnd21jf0d8d1b76e9op0fst1tqr2t3fe@4ax.com>
Source record: 7837
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 10 Aug 2006 15:47:00 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>earth is the only earthlike planet with a heavyside layer effect

Is that a statement or a question?

2958. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 01:16:30 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <fimnd2962hes55g8h64osnvh5ha218d486@4ax.com>
Source record: 7838
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 11 Aug 2006 00:31:26 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Slow Code wrote:
>> It was still Hokey. The aliens could do everything but provide their own
>> communications globally.

>They also couldn't provide a decent firewall for their computers.

Or a force field that could contain an atomic bomb.

2959. Re: again on the smeantics of memory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 01:46:07 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155260767.345690.205120@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7839
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 10 Aug 2006 14:44:41 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
>
> >> I made the request. Post the schematic on your site.
>
> >I would tell you where to insert your request but I am feeling kind
>
> Or honest, for a change?
I am geeneraly honest but you are pusing the kindnsess
take your request and...
>
> >but indeed to draw the schamtic you just called would require either
> >looking up what made it a Wien bridge or to have it memeorized nothing
> >about the the name of clasification of the occilator are derived from
> >first principles that being Maxells equations
>
> Or actually having learned what a Wien bridge is and how it works.
but all these are options
>
> >you when asked with the cance to check your notes can not described
> >what YOU had to draw
>
> Maybe if you translated that into English I could answer it.
>
> >I stated I could memorize a dozen or so schematics,(indeed that I can
> >memerize whole plays well enough to get paid for the skill should be
> >proof of that) and indeed to know the collpitts or wien or the fu
> >manchu occilator I would have to memerize what made it one verus the
> >other
>
> Again - stop repeating "I don't know the difference between learning
> and memorizing answers". We know you don't/
stop lying about what I am saying
we know you are not honest

I certainly know the differrence, OTOH you refuse to accept reality
mKnowledge has never been required for a license just one of the
options
>
>

> >> You can memorize enough that it mimics
> >> understanding, but rote memorization isn't understanding.
>
> >but your statement is an amdission to my point. I said meroization can
> >replace understanding.
>
> Mimicking it isn't replacing it.
the subject is licensing and memrization can replace knowledge

always has been the case
>
> >therefore since memorization can minic undersatnding as long as one can
> >memirze enough at a given period of time then this proves that
> >Understanding was never required in the same period of testing.
>
> All it proves is that the method of testing doesn't actually test for
> the knowledge it claims to test for.

which has alway been the case
>
> >Memorizing the Caps in
> >paralell add, while Indcutor and resister in paralel go though a more
> >complex formula (that I am not sure how to accurately put in this text
>
> Because you never learned it,
I learned it although it it does not go into text like this well

>...........you just memorized the answers.

I never saw the answers before I took the test

I could but the study giude I ordered arrived as I was at the test
session

you assumtion that merely becuase I am tech I know nothing of Phyics

can you even name the names assigned to the grup of equation we call
Maxwells equations
>
> >is fine and no harm is done by this
>
> As long as no one cares whether having a ham ticket means that you
> actually know anything.

no harm is done ever

IndeedI see NO reason why you ever need to have that particular piece
of knowledge at your fingertips

if you need (I guess to do a subtitution or work around a strange
resitance or cap or inductance but like another peice of knowledge on
the extra pool why would anyone need to know what frequence with a
signal was balck in FS ATV

there are porblems with the qustion pools mostly the out dated
knowledge that is still tested for

2960. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 01:51:34 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155261094.168658.265050@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7840
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On 10 Aug 2006 17:25:09 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >why would I support a sytem that tkae away my license
>
> Because you're honest?
how would that play out

I am honest. I don't think CW has ANY value in todays world.

you are welcome to play with it but not to us it to restrict access to
some that is part of my birthright and yours

the Congress has no power to regulate Radio except from the ITU treaty
and the "nessary and proper" clause. against the 10th amendment which
reserves powers not listed to the states or to the people.

well bud I am part of the people, and frankkly I am not pleased pleased
at the way you are not using the alloacted spectrum

nothing about CW is required
>
> Oh.

2961. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 01:52:01 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155261121.062565.58600@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7841
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On 10 Aug 2006 17:25:09 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >why would I support a sytem that tkae away my license
>
> Because you're honest?
how would that play out

I am honest. I don't think CW has ANY value in todays world.

you are welcome to play with it but not to us it to restrict access to
some that is part of my birthright and yours

the Congress has no power to regulate Radio except from the ITU treaty
and the "nessary and proper" clause. against the 10th amendment which
reserves powers not listed to the states or to the people.

well bud I am part of the people, and frankkly I am not pleased pleased
at the way you are not using the alloacted spectrum

nothing about CW is required etc by rules that the FCC is propposing to
change
>
> Oh.

2962. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 01:53:36 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155261216.830276.201740@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 10 Aug 2006 15:47:00 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >earth is the only earthlike planet with a heavyside layer effect
>
> Is that a statement or a question?
yes it is a stament and yes it is a question
happy now kleinmind

2963. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 02:14:53 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <utpnd2hq5gon5e1t1f8f2n2gf2i76fr0hq@4ax.com>
Source record: 7843
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 10 Aug 2006 18:51:34 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> On 10 Aug 2006 17:25:09 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >why would I support a sytem that tkae away my license
>>
>> Because you're honest?

>how would that play out

I was being facetious.

>the Congress has no power to regulate Radio except from the ITU treaty
>and the "nessary and proper" clause. against the 10th amendment which
>reserves powers not listed to the states or to the people.

Interstate commerce. If you don't understand how that gives the
government the right to regulate radio, you don't understand enough
about the Constitution to discuss the subject.

2964. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 02:22:53 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155262973.723172.43560@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7844
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On 10 Aug 2006 18:51:34 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
> >> On 10 Aug 2006 17:25:09 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >why would I support a sytem that tkae away my license
> >>
> >> Because you're honest?
>
> >how would that play out
>
> I was being facetious.
realy
>
> >the Congress has no power to regulate Radio except from the ITU treaty
> >and the "nessary and proper" clause. against the 10th amendment which
> >reserves powers not listed to the states or to the people.
>
> Interstate commerce.
comerence this IS AMATEUR RADIO you dolt
commerce is forbidden

how does Morse code relate to Comerce anyway?

Interstate commerce gives the Congress the right to require Morse Code
testing> Id love to TJ weigh in on that

of course in fact you are beyond any convincing you have decided that
Morse is somehow vital to Ham radio

forutnately my auduince is out there

a gruop you and your postion failed to conivnce the ARRL may be getting
the FCC to drag it feet awhile but do you realy think code testing will
last amore than a few years at the outside

Indeed in last round before 2000 I could not find one of the procoders
who would state he beleived that code testing was not doomed

You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It
already does around here mostly silent

do you realy distpute this title?

2965. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 03:05:25 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1155265525.310166.4760@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7845
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 9 Aug 2006 19:18:06 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >Cecil Moore wrote:
> >> Al Klein wrote:
> >> > Testing isn't about memory, it's about knowledge.
>
> >> Then why isn't knowledge of Morse code and the CW mode
> >> sufficient? Why must someone be forced to memorize
> >> the individual characters?
>
> >We aren't made to memorize every value of resistor and capacitor, or
> >every offset for the six meter repeater subband.
>
> And anyone copying CW character by character is never going to get any
> further than someone talking letter by letter. Those who don't
> understand it denigrate it.

You denigrate the resistor code.

2966. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 03:48:24 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1155268104.444785.177310@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7846
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 9 Aug 2006 19:14:54 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >You couldn't be more wrong. If there were practical exams for SSB, FM,
> >AM, FSTV, SSTV, RTTY (which is pretty darned old), packet, PSK, etc,
> >then it would be CRYSTAL clear that a Morse Code exam is valid.
>
> >However, there are no such practical exams for the other modes. So
> >there need be no exam for Morse Code, either.
>
> That's my point - there's no test any longer. For anything more than
> the ability to memorize answers.

Lots of memorization was required in your day. It's only a bad thing
in 1992 to present. I think I get your drift...

> >That is not true. You wish to change the written exams, not add
> >practical exams for SSB, FM, AM, FSTV, SSTV, RTTY (which is pretty
> >darned old), packet, PSK, etc.
>
> Add SSB, FM, etc., to the nothing there is today.

Ummm? There's no Morse Code test anymore?

> >So all ham radio is is Morse Code on HF? Or is it more than that?
>
> It's a lot more.

Prove it. Show me the exams for all the other modes.

> The question isn't what ham radio is, it's whether
> one should be required to pass a realistic test to get a license.

Yes!!! A realistic test.

> >> No, I'm not addressing *where* the test is held at all - I'm
> >> addressing *whether* there's any real test, which there isn't, except
> >> for CW right now. Spitting out something you memorized is only a test
> >> of memory.
>
> >Sounds like the Conditional License to me.
>
> The Conditional was whatever class was being tested for, but not at an
> FCC office. It had nothing to do with the class, only with the
> location.

Had everything to do with authenticity. You're asking for "real"
exams, right?

> >> I don't remember after almost 50 years - but I could still draw them
> >> today, and it's not a test of remembering what's on the test, it's a
> >> test of knowing what's in a radio.
>
> >Then advocate passing the current exam at every license renewal.
>
> What current exam? Memorizing answers and writing them down isn't a
> test.

So what is it that you fear?

> > You'd probably be weeded out pretty quickly.
>
> I doubt it - if I couldn't pass an Extra theory exam - a real one, not
> the nonsense that passes for one these days - I'd lose my job in a
> second.

Mmmm. I see. You are a careerist in the electronics industry and it
pisses you off that hobbyists have equal "status" as you in amatuer
radio. I've run across a lot of that in the past 20 years...

> >> >> From scratch. Let's see how many people could do that today. A
> >> >> Colpitts oscillator, a Hartley oscillator and some other circuit that
> >> >> I've forgotten at the moment.
>
> >> >You should self-modify your license and cease amateur operation until
> >> >you remember.
>
> >> Why? Testing isn't about memory, it's about knowledge.
>
> >What if you forgot your band edges?
>
> What if you addressed what I said when you answer me? Your dishonest
> tactics are transparent.

You're the one that forgot the circuit, not me. Get pissed at your own
self.

> >> >The amateur is self-policing, and you no longer meet your own standard.
>
> >> Sure I do. The test wasn't to remember what circuits to draw, it was
> >> to draw them. And I can draw them any time.
>
> >Then do so. Quit complaining to me that you can't remember what it was
> >that you were supposed to draw.
>
> Quit putting words in my mouth. I wasn't complaining to anyone, and
> we weren't discussing remembering 50 year old tests.

Correct. "WE" weren't discussing it. YOU were. YOU were discussing
how you can't draw what you can't remember.

> >> >> They're still as relevant today as they were 50 years ago.
>
> >> >Other things are relevant today that weren't even known 50 years ago.
>
> >> So let's have them on the test.
>
> >But if a practical exam is necessary for Morse Code, why isn't it
> >necessary for other modes?
>
> Maybe we should have one - show the ability to put a clean PSK signal
> on the air. Show the ability to interpret a waterfall display. Show
> the ability to tell the difference between various digital modes. The
> bands would be pretty QRM-free.

YES!!!

If you are ever going to save your beloved Morse Code test, this is the
only way you're going to do it.

> > If all radio is merely plug and play, why do the services still have radio schools
>
> That's my point, not yours.

No. It's MY point.

> Or don't you understand what you just said?

I think it is you who don't know where you're going with this
discussion. It's gone beyond your having grief over your favorite mode
to actually having to think about the future of the service.
Conggrats. Another couple of years of RRAP tutoring and you just might
become a rational being.

> >> Oops, that's right - no more relevant testing, isn't that what people
> >> are asking for? Just give me the answers so I can memorize them and
> >> pick them out on the test.
>
> >Who said that? We absolutely NEED relevant exams. That is my whole
> >argument!
>
> So you're in favor of exams that test knowledge of theory? "Draw the
> schematic of ..."? "Explain why long path 2400 bps is impossible on
> 14 MHz"? That kind of relevance?

Sure. But you have to ask yourself one question. Can the average VE
administer such an exam? If not, can your average GS-7 FCC employee
administer such an exam? If you set up an exam that only an engineer
can administer, then your government isn't going to accept it. So be
realistic in your zeal.

> Or the "pick the answer with the resistor like we showed you in the
> example" kind of relevance?

The exam can be anything your VEC wants it to be. We learned this when
the ARRL went from administering a Morse Code Exam at 5WPM to
administering a Farnsworth Exam at 13-15WPM.

> >> >> But you had to actually *know* a little theory to use one legally.
>
> >> >No you didn't.
>
> >> Yes, you did - you had to pass a test to show that you did.
>
> >There has never been a practical test to show that you could operate a
> >radio. Ever.
>
> Do you understand what the word "theory" means?

You got me there.

And today's exams still provide that "theory" though they don't prepare
you to actually operate a radio - never did.

> >> All you
> >> have to do now is memorize a few answers.
>
> >That's all you had to do then.
>
> How do you draw a schematic

Memorization.

> and explain the functions of parts by
> memorizing answers?

Memorization.

> You can't explain phase shift by memorizing "10k"
> or "coil".

You can't memorize the def of phase shift?

C'mon, aren't you supposed to be in the industry?

> >> >I used radios in the military. I never used a CW key in the military.
> >> >I never jammed another operator, although Brandywine asked me to reduce
> >> >power once.
>
> >> But you had to learn how to use the radios.
>
> >I did?
>
> They just gave you a radio and said "use it"?

On/Off and PTT. What else is there???

Oh, yeh, a magnetic compass and a chart where the satellite is.

> >> Hams today don't - they
> >> memorize a few answers, buy equipment and get on the air - with no
> >> understanding of what they're doing, and no desire to learn.
>
> >Then it hasn't changed much since you were first licensed.
>
> When I was licensed you had to show an understanding of theory, by
> answering questions that were more than just multiple choice from a
> published answer pool.

Yes, you had to memorize paragraphs instead of multiple choices. Big
deal.

> >> You may, but I can see from many of the comments that have been posted
> >> here that a lot of people don't. They don't want to learn, they want
> >> to get on the air. Period.
>
> >W3RV didn't wait to get a ham license before operating! He just wanted
> >to get on the air. Period.
>
> Point?

All you wonderful OF's taking trips down memory lane forget that some
of your brother hams were bootleggers.

It's only the unwashed No-code Techs that operate illegally. Hi!!!
What a stinking load.

> >> And you mistakenly believe that most hams today want to learn how to
> >> operate properly. Listen to 75 some evenings.
>
> >Lots of OFs on there who should know better. That's why I hold the
> >opinions that I hold. Your generation doesn't have a lock on decency,
> >respect, or apatite for knowledge. Far from it.
>
> Very few of "my" generation there.

Explain.

> >> >I've listened to emergency responders on a scanner before. They don't
> >> >use Morse Code, they don't use CW. They use FM/Voice. Somehow they
> >> >are effective at it, not having taken a Morse Code test. How can this
> >> >be?
>
> >> They were trained.
>
> >Not in Morse Code.
>
> You must be sitting on oil. Can't you stick to a topic long enough to
> be coherent? You were discussing how someone can be efficient at
> voice commo, not in Morse.

Effective.

> > If you must retain a Morse Code Exam, then you must
> >also administer practical exams for SSB, FM, AM, FSTV, SSTV, RTTY
> >(which is pretty darned old), packet, PSK, etc.
>
> I have no problem with that.

Then go for it.

It is the ONLY legitimate recourse you have for retaining the Morse
Code exam.

Best of luck.

> >> >> So you'd get a license not knowing CW, build a radio (you couldn't buy
> >> >> one then) and ... what? Sit and look at it. Some things are just too
> >> >> obvious to need mentioning.
>
> >> >Please diagram that radio from "Scratch."
>
> >> Any time. Filter or phasing? BFO receive or quadrature detection?
> >> I've designed them, built them and used them, and still could.
>
> >What is/was your profession?
>
> Trained as an EE. Spent years designing RF circuitry, then went into
> digital design. "Is", not yet "was" - I'm still alive.

Are you drawing a pension from it? "Was."

Are you drawing a paycheck from it? "Is."

And it's so typical for Old Timers to forget that not everyone in the
ARS are CAREERIST PROFESSIONALS. Bitching and Moaning about how
everyone else doesn't know as much as them.

> >> >> What next? DXCC awards for those who *want* to work 100 countries?
>
> >> >You seem to be confused. DXCC is an award offered by the ARRL, not the
> >> >FCC. It has nothing to do with licensing.
>
> >> But an award for wanting has to do with "I want it so it's my right to
> >> have it", which is what I'm talking about. No one has any "right" to
> >> get on the air.
>
> >Correct. And no one has a right to force their favorite mode on
> >everyone else.
>
> I'm advocating real testing for whatever mode.

Finally!!! I hope you won't hold it against me for badgering you into
such a position.

> Right now the only
> test is "do you have the fee, can you get to the testing place, and

> have you memorized enough answers to pass".

Welp, other than "can you get to the FCC office" things sure haven't changed much in 50 plus years.

> Let's have a test that
> shows whether the testee knows anything.

Remember that you are handsomely compensated for your professional knowledge. Amateur Radio is a non-compensated hobby.

> CW, APRS, AX25, PSK - all of
> it. Or separate the licenses. You want to operate FM, you take a
> test on FM and, if you pass, you get an FM license.

Endorsement. Remember - your VE has to be smart enough to administer the exam.

> Want to operate
> SSB, you take a different test.
>
> Not "want to get on the air? memorize some answers and pay your fee".

And get a vanity license plate.

You are awesome. You're finally catching on.

Who say an old dog can't learn new tricks?

2967. Re: again on the smeantics of memory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 03:55:10 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <d4qnd25psq73hj4r6idi34ok82top4fmca@4ax.com>
Source record: 7847
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 10 Aug 2006 18:46:07 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:

>> >but indeed to draw the schamtic you just called would require either
>> >looking up what made it a Wien bridge or to have it memeorized nothing
>> >about the the name of clasification of the occilator are derived from
>> >first principles that being Maxells equations

>> Or actually having learned what a Wien bridge is and how it works.

>but all these are options

Memorization isn't one of the options of learning.

>> >I stated I could memorize a dozen or so schematics,(indeed that I can
>> >memerize whole plays well enough to get paid for the skill should be
>> >proof of that) and indeed to know the collpitts or wien or the fu
>> >manchu occilator I would have to memerize what made it one verus the
>> >other

>> Again - stop repeating "I don't know the difference between learning
>> and memorizing answers". We know you don't/

>stop lying about what I am saying

You prove you don't know the difference, then ...

>we know you are not honest

... accuse me of dishonesty for pointing it out?

>I certainly know the differrence

Then why do you keep saying they're the same?

>OTOH you refuse to accept reality
>mKnowledge has never been required for a license just one of the
>options

Before your time it WAS required. You didn't get a Class A by
memorizing answers. "What you know" and "what is" aren't necessarily
the same.

>> >> You can memorize enough that it mimics
>> >> understanding, but rote memorization isn't understanding.

>> >but your statement is an amdission to my point. I said meroization can
>> >replace understanding.

>> Mimicking it isn't replacing it.

>the subject is licensing

The subject *I'M* discussing is your claim that memorization is the
same as learning.

> and memrization can replace knowledge

It's been proven, many times over many years, that it can't.

>> >therefore since memorization can minic undersatnding as long as one can
>> >memirze enough at a given period of time then this proves that
>> >Understanding was never required in the same period of testing.

>> All it proves is that the method of testing doesn't actually test for
>> the knowledge it claims to test for.

>which has alway been the case

Maybe since you became involved - before the answers were available
for memorization there was a better chance that someone who passed the
test actually understood the questions.
>>
>> >Memorizing the Caps in
>> >paralell add, while Indcutor and resister in paralel go though a more
>> >complex formula (that I am not sure how to accurately put in this text

>> Because you never learned it,

>I learned it although it it does not go into text like this well

You can't type (R1 X R2) / (R1 + R2)? I had no problem typing it.

>>..........you just memorized the answers.

>I never saw the answers before I took the test

>I could but the study giude I ordered arrived as I was at the test
>session

>you assumtion that merely becuase I am tech I know nothing of Phyics

And nothing about electronics and nothing about math.

>can you even name the names assigned to the grup of equation we call
>Maxwells equations

Oh, gee, he knows one bit of trivia.

>> >is fine and no harm is done by this

>> As long as no one cares whether having a ham ticket means that you
>> actually know anything.

>no harm is done ever

>IndeedI see NO reason why you ever need to have that particular piece
>of knowledge at your fingertips

Do you miss the point of every conversation you take part in, or only
this one?

>if you need (I guess to do a subtitution or work around a strange
>resitance or cap or inductance but like another peice of knowledge on
>the extra pool why would anyone need to know what frequence with a
>signal was balck in FS ATV

Black isn't a frequency, it's a voltage level. But if you wanted to
build a FSTV station you'd have to know that.

>there are porblems with the qustion pools mostly the out dated
>knowledge that is still tested for

If anything were really *tested* for, you'd be on CB.

2968. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 03:56:05 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <7tvnd2hacnubps2j0s2unb4ropv8ij3v05@4ax.com>
Source record: 7848
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 10 Aug 2006 18:53:36 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> On 10 Aug 2006 15:47:00 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
>> wrote:

>> >earth is the only earthlike planet with a heavyside layer effect

>> Is that a statement or a question?

>yes it is a stament and yes it is a question

And on what do you base your statement? Your extensive familiarity
with dozens of Earth-like planets?

2969. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 04:00:36 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1155268835.997563.149810@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7849
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Cecil Moore wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > The Conditional was whatever class was being tested for, but not at an
> > FCC office. It had nothing to do with the class, only with the
> > location.
>
> On the contrary, the Conditional was the General Class
> license given away from an FCC office.

To clarify, the test was given >at a distance< from an FCC office.

The license was not "given away."

> At the time I got
> mine, the distance from an FCC office was set at 75 miles.
> Quoting the 1957 ARRL License Manual: "The Conditional
> Class license conveys privileges identical to those of
> the General Class ..." which incidentally at the time,
> was all amateur frequency operating privileges.

General/Conditional Class = "All amateur priveleges," as in AMATEUR
EXTRA priveleges.

> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

Hey Cecil, how've you been?

2970. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 05:12:58 UTC
From: cmdr buzz corey <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <1155273177.879115.325820@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7850
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

an old friend wrote:

>
> I am honest. I don't think CW has ANY value in todays world.

Kinda like you.

2971. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 09:48:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155289726.153991.102700@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7651
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

MorkiMoron@kb9rqz-likes-to-lie-rather-than-be-honest.com wrote:
> On Thu, 10 Aug 2006 00:02:45 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
> wrote:
>
> >On 9 Aug 2006 19:01:51 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> >wrote:
> >
> >>Al Klein wrote:
> >
> >>> Since you became aware of it. It used to be required. You can't
> >>> memorize the "answer" to "draw the schematic of a Colpitts
> >>> oscillator".
> >
> >>sure I can
> >>at at least i assume the colpitts is no more complex than most of the
> >>others
> >
> >You can ASSume anything - but you can't draw a schematic.
>
> Ican draw a schematic of an occilator right now

Sure you can...Uh huh...Right.

You can't SPELL it, how can you draw it?

> >>no understanding of the curcut is required to render a picture from
> >>memory
> >
> >But drawing it correctly, including parts values, requires
> >understanding. Or are you claiming that you can memorize a few dozen
> >schematics and draw any 3 upon request?
>
> sure I could

A pure lie.

> >>indeed the only I or anyone else is going to know that ciruct x is a
> >>colpitts rather than Holtzman or a Fu Manch is by memory
> >
> >You just don't know the difference between understanding something and
> >memorizing answers.
>
> you don't accept to what extenet memeriztion can replce understanding

Memorization is NOT "understanding", Mork...It's memorization.

> Indeed I am likely an expert on that being dyslexic requres a
> prodigous memeor since you can'twrite yourself a note

Why is it every other dyslexic I have ever known can write him /
herself a note, Mork, and YOU can't?

You seem to be able to read what you "write" here...

> that is Dylexia apear in the popualtion of say Acting in such a high
> number (indeed I have done some stage work myself II realu love the
> role of admas in 1776

The only "stage work" you ahve ever done is to sweep up after the
show, fatboy.

And WHO was "admas"...?!?!

You are advised to seek the services of a second-grade English
Comprehension and Grammar tutor, Mork.

Idiot.

Steve, K4YZ

2972. Re: again on the smeantics of memory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 11:07:50 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155294470.579072.138000@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7652
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 10 Aug 2006 18:46:07 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
>
> >> >but indeed to draw the schamtic you just called would require either
> >> >looking up what made it a Wien bridge or to have it memeorized nothing
> >> >about the the name of clasification of the occilator are derived from
> >> >first principles that being Maxells equations
>
> >> Or actually having learned what a Wien bridge is and how it works.
>
> >but all these are options
>
> Memorization isn't one of the options of learning.
that is not the issue at hand

that memorization is one of the options for legaly passing the test is

> >there are porblems with the qustion pools mostly the out dated
> >knowledge that is still tested for
>
> If anything were really *tested* for, you'd be on CB.
BTW I am still on CB my use of licening for Ham radio affects not my
ability to use CB

2973. you are advised to caese dists this violation of slander libel and

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 11:14:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <1kpod2pe2j6p2u5uo8imbo9dl1b49q7pt7@4ax.com>
Source record: 7653
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 11 Aug 2006 02:48:46 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
you are advised to caese dists this violation of slander libel and
harressment laws

if you have any evidence of criminal conduct report to law
enforecement

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2974. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 11:15:55 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <mlpod257aggt9t47hjf5332bp7r5ee9md5@4ax.com>
Source record: 7654
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 10 Aug 2006 23:56:05 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On 10 Aug 2006 18:53:36 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Al Klein wrote:
>>> On 10 Aug 2006 15:47:00 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
>>> wrote:
>
>>> >earth is the only earthlike planet with a heavyside layer effect
>
>>> Is that a statement or a question?
>
>>yes it is a stament and yes it is a question
>
>And on what do you base your statement? Your extensive familiarity
>with dozens of Earth-like planets?
made no such claim

you passion for efaration of statment is is much like another
prooocder you harrasses the NG
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2975. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 11:17:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <gopod2dqnh378e0rkb6p6ph4gm25aueb90@4ax.com>
Source record: 7655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 10 Aug 2006 21:00:36 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Cecil Moore wrote:
>> Al Klein wrote:
>> > The Conditional was whatever class was being tested for, but not at an
>> > FCC office. It had nothing to do with the class, only with the
>> > location.
>>
>> On the contrary, the Conditional was the General Class
>> license given away from an FCC office.
>
>To clarify, the test was given >at a distance< from an FCC office.
>
>The license was not "given away."
but if you did not sit for the FCC it was a give away

don't you know they crawled on thier hands knees tthough snow and
broekn glass up hill BOTH ways
>
>> At the time I got
>> mine, the distance from an FCC office was set at 75 miles.
>> Quoting the 1957 ARRL License Manual: "The Conditional
>> Class license conveys privileges identical to those of
>> the General Class ..." which incidentally at the time,
>> was all amateur frequency operating privileges.
>
>General/Conditional Class = "All amateur priveleges," as in AMATEUR
>EXTRA priveleges.
>
>> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
>Hey Cecil, how've you been?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2976. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 12:43:22 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1155300202.514440.6020@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7656
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

an old fraud wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > On 10 Aug 2006 18:51:34 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Al Klein wrote:
> > >> On 10 Aug 2006 17:25:09 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> > >> wrote:
> > >>
> > >> >why would I support a sytem that tkae away my license
> > >>
> > >> Because you're honest?
> >
> > >how would that play out
> >
> > I was being facetious.
> realy

You are too obtuse to see it.

> >
> > >the Congress has no power to regulate Radio except from the ITU treaty
> > >and the "nessary and proper" clause. against the 10th amendment which
> > >reserves powers not listed to the states or to the people.
> >
> > Interstate commerce.
> comerence this IS AMATEUR RADIO you dolt
> commerce is forbidden
>
> how does Morse code relate to Comerce anyway?

Poor Morkie, he uses the word "commerce" three times in a row and only
spells it right once. So much for that "college education" you claim
you have.

> Interstate commerce gives the Congress the right to require Morse Code
> testing> Id love to TJ weigh in on that

"TJ?" T.J. Hooker?

> of course in fact you are beyond any convincing you have decided that
> Morse is somehow vital to Ham radio

It will get through even in the roughest band condtions, stupid.

> forutnately my auduince is out there

You are out there all right, Markie.

> a gruop you and your postion failed to conivnce the ARRL may be getting
> the FCC to drag it feet awhile but do you realy think code testing will
> last amore than a few years at the outside

Mheh. There are kids who can do CW while you can't, Morkie.

> Indeed in last round before 2000 I could not find one of the procoders
> who would state he beleived that code testing was not doomed

Name them, fraud.

> You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It
> already does around here mostly silent

Nobody wants to qso with you, stupid.

> do you realy distpute this title?

Do you of being the newsgroup's retard?

2977. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 12:51:52 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155300712.613646.283060@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7657
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old fraud wrote:
cease and desist

2978. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 12:53:29 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <i4vod2d9t8nq0t4l0fb4dicln3l39s8nah@4ax.com>
Source record: 7658
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 10 Aug 2006 19:22:53 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> On 10 Aug 2006 18:51:34 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
>> wrote:

>> >the Congress has no power to regulate Radio except from the ITU treaty
>> >and the "nessary and proper" clause. against the 10th amendment which
>> >reserves powers not listed to the states or to the people.

>> Interstate commerce.

>comerence this IS AMATEUR RADIO you dolt
>commerce is forbidden

As I said, you don't understand the situation enough to discuss it.
Radio comes under interstate commerce laws. ALL radio does.
Broadcast, public service, CB and ham, among others.

>how does Morse code relate to Comerce anyway?

It relates to radio, which the government has the power to regulate
under its mandate to regulate interstate commerce.

>Interstate commerce gives the Congress the right to require Morse Code
>testing> Id love to TJ weigh in on that

It gives the government to require whatever doesn't violate the
Constitution. And there's nothing in the Constitution prohibiting
having to know code to get a ham license.

>of course in fact you are beyond any convincing you have decided that
>Morse is somehow vital to Ham radio

Nope - I never claimed that it's vital. I never even claimed it's
necessary. You just don't understand conversation.

>You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It
>already does around here mostly silent

>do you realy distpute this title?

"Title"? I dispute your understanding of common English.

2979. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 12:53:57 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <nevod25v2sq7s54ipcoquc92vnmuv488ap@4ax.com>
Source record: 7659
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 10 Aug 2006 22:12:58 -0700, "cmdr buzz corey"
<cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote:

>an old friend wrote:

>> I am honest. I don't think CW has ANY value in todays world.

>Kinda like you.

Except that code was useful once.

2980. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 12:56:28 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155300988.590530.61420@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7660
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On 10 Aug 2006 22:12:58 -0700, "cmdr buzz corey"
> <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote:
>
> >an old friend wrote:
>
> >> I am honest. I don't think CW has ANY value in todays world.
>
> >Kinda like you.
>
> Except that code was useful once.
before Iwas born

ywee you ever usefull yourself

you are not a good ham judging by the ham code

2981. Re: you are advised to caese dists this violation of slander libel and

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 12:57:24 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44dc7e9d$0$6605$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 7661
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

<Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
news:1kpod2pe2j6p2u5uo8imbo9dl1b49q7pt7@4ax.com...
> On 11 Aug 2006 02:48:46 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
> you are advised to caese dists this violation of slander libel and
> harressment laws
>
> if you have any evidence of criminal conduct report to law
> enforecement
>
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

I believe the words you attempted to use are - cease and desist. No to leave
out Harassment.

2982. Re: you are advised to caese dists this violation of slander libel and

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 12:59:22 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155301162.664594.206610@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7662
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
L. wrote:
> <Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
> news:1kpod2pe2j6p2u5uo8imbo9dl1b49q7pt7@4ax.com...
> > On 11 Aug 2006 02:48:46 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > you are advised to caese dists this violation of slander libel and
> > harressment laws
> >
> > if you have any evidence of criminal conduct report to law
> > enforecement
> >
> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >
> > --
> > Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
> >
>
> I believe the words you attempted to use are - cease and desist. No to leave
> out Harassment.
indeed I do yyour point? IOf you are under the impression the targets
of those don't know exactly what is up you are mistaken
```

2983. Re: you are advised to caese dists this violation of slander libel and

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 12:59:46 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1155301186.319654.286400@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7663
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On 11 Aug 2006 02:48:46 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
> you are advised to caese dists this violation of slander libel and
> harressment laws
>
> if you have any evidence of criminal conduct report to law
> enforecement

STFU, fraud
```

2984. Re: you are advised to caese dists this violation of slander libel and

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 13:01:06 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155301266.578597.113580@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7664
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> > On 11 Aug 2006 02:48:46 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > you are advised to caese dists this violation of slander libel and
> > harressment laws
> >
> > if you have any evidence of criminal conduct report to law
> > enforecement
>
> STFU, fraud
cease and desist

2985. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 13:28:12 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <MB%Cg.5820$9T3.3098@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 7665
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On 10 Aug 2006 21:00:36 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> The license was not "given away."

> but if you did not sit for the FCC it was a give away

One of the definitions of "away" is "distant". The
Conditional exam was given "away from" the FCC office.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2986. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 13:56:29 UTC
From: user@private-mail-domain.invalid
Message-ID: <dteuq3-49v.ln1@chris.org>
Source record: 7666
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

: Lots of memorization was required in your day. It's only a bad thing
: in 1992 to present. I think I get your drift...

You are failing (or choosing) to understand/acknowledge the difference between understanding the principles and simply rote memorising the answers. It used to be that there weren't a set of questions with corresponding answers - there was a syllabus from which the questions were set. It took understanding of the syllabus to apply the formulae that had been learnt to calculate the answer.

--
73 Chris Cox, N0UK, G4JEC

2987. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 14:27:34 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44dc93be$0$6589$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 7667
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

<user@private-mail-domain.invalid> wrote in message
news:dteuq3-49v.ln1@chris.org...
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> : Lots of memorization was required in your day. It's only a bad thing
> : in 1992 to present. I think I get your drift...
>
> You are failing (or choosing) to understand/acknowledge the difference
> between understanding the principles and simply rote memorising the
> answers. It used to be that there weren't a set of questions with
> corresponding answers - there was a syllabus from which the questions
> were set. It took understanding of the syllabus to apply the formulae
> that had been learnt to calculate the answer.
>
> --
> 73 Chris Cox, N0UK, G4JEC

Even TODAY'S tests are not the same ie; Answer A in your study guide will coorespond to Answer A on the exam. ANYONE "memorizing" those answers is nothing short of a FOOL. It is far better to read that book for what little it is worth - for what it will "TEACH" you to understand - thereby making passing the exam almost a done deal. UNDERSTANDING AND REMEMBERING (Memorizing if you will) the BASE material is what gets you through.

As the man said, at one time - there were no SET of exams. If you had no clue of electronics or rules and regulations where it came to Ham radio - you were "guranteed" to fail in front of the FCC. Often - that meant a long trip. Even at that - tests today - most VEs have at least 3 - 5 sets of exams per class. OR you could be given a test via computer which is randomly generated. So, to "memorize" ABCD just isn't going to cut it.

As I said before - ya got to memorize many things to get through life - your SS number, your birthdate, your name, how to spell, read, write, add, subtract, driving a vehicle, etc..... the list goes on - SURELY you didn't "memorize" a simple ABCD answer for MOST of that! Memorizing your SS number, name and birthdate may equivalate to the ABCD method, but - when you were tested in school for subjects - you had NO clue what was to be asked. If you didn't pay attention to what was being taught - you most likely bombed the test.

Again - we're splitting hairs here on the word "memorize". You can "try" to memorize ABCD to pass a test without studying (and hope the test conforms to the pattern you "memorized") OR you can MEMORIZE IT BY STUDYING it - (committ it to memory for life) -therby understanding the principles and

being able to "honestly" answer the questions based on "knowledge" of the subject.

I seriously do NOT understand the hang up on this issue. Maybe "I" am missing something - but it seems to me, I had to memorize (LEARN) a whole list of shit to be able to function in a meaningful life. Your mind is like a computer - you have to program it (study) - to learn things TO MEMORIZE FOR LIFE. Simply trying to recall ABCD on a test without understanding the concepts - you're still going to be dumb as shit even if you do pass. Wouldn't you rather "know" what you're supposed to know? Sure makes one look a bit more inteliigent.
Maybe that is why so many people are so goofy on the highways - they "memorized" answers to the test instead of actually "learning" what the principle were/are. Makes sense to me! For those of you who parachute or do other "life endangering" tasks - I'd sure hate to be you - depending on someone who simply "memorized" ABCD on a test as opposed to "learning" the requirements to fulfill the task.

No wonder this world is so screwed up..... TOO LAZY TO "LEARN".

L.

2988. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die? <correction>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 14:36:43 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44dc95e3$0$6608$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 7668
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote in message
news:44dc93be$0$6589$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net...
>
> <user@private-mail-domain.invalid> wrote in message
> news:dteuq3-49v.ln1@chris.org...
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> : Lots of memorization was required in your day. It's only a bad thing
>> : in 1992 to present. I think I get your drift...
>>
>> You are failing (or choosing) to understand/acknowledge the difference
>> between understanding the principles and simply rote memorising the
>> answers. It used to be that there weren't a set of questions with
>> corresponding answers - there was a syllabus from which the questions
>> were set. It took understanding of the syllabus to apply the formulae
>> that had been learnt to calculate the answer.
>>
>> --
>> 73 Chris Cox, N0UK, G4JEC
>

Damned I hate when I screw up - to make a correction here - the word in my
second sentence should be <correspond>. I didn't catch it before I hit send.
I think I had a "Coors" beer on my mind...

> Even TODAY'S tests are not the same ie; Answer A in your study guide will
> coorespond to Answer A on the exam. ANYONE "memorizing" those answers is
> nothing short of a FOOL. It is far better to read that book for what
> little it is worth - for what it will "TEACH" you to understand - thereby
> making passing the exam almost a done deal. UNDERSTANDING AND REMEMBERING
> (Memorizing if you will) the BASE material is what gets you through.
>
> As the man said, at one time - there were no SET of exams. If you had no
> clue of electronics or rules and regulations where it came to Ham radio -
> you were "guranteed" to fail in front of the FCC. Often - that meant a
> long trip. Even at that - tests today - most VEs have at least 3 - 5 sets
> of exams per class. OR you could be given a test via computer which is
> randomly generated. So, to "memorize" ABCD just isn't going to cut it.
>
> As I said before - ya got to memorize many things to get through life -
> your SS number, your birthdate, your name, how to spell, read, write, add,
> subtract, driving a vehicle, etc..... the list goes on - SURELY you
> didn't "memorize" a simple ABCD answer for MOST of that! Memorizing your

> SS number, name and birthdate may equivalate to the ABCD method, but -
> when you were tested in school for subjects - you had NO clue what was to
> be asked. If you didn't pay attention to what was being taught - you most
> likely bombed the test.
>
> Again - we're splitting hairs here on the word "memorize". You can "try"
> to memorize ABCD to pass a test without studying (and hope the test
> conforms to the pattern you "memorized") OR you can MEMORIZE IT BY
> STUDYING it - (committ it to memory for life) -therby understanding the
> principles and being able to "honestly" answer the questions based on
> "knowledge" of the subject.
>
> I seriously do NOT understand the hang up on this issue. Maybe "I" am
> missing something - but it seems to me, I had to memorize (LEARN) a whole
> list of shit to be able to function in a meaningful life. Your mind is
> like a computer - you have to program it (study) - to learn things TO
> MEMORIZE FOR LIFE. Simply trying to recall ABCD on a test without
> understanding the concepts - you're still going to be dumb as shit even if
> you do pass.
> Wouldn't you rather "know" what you're supposed to know? Sure makes one
> look a bit more inteliigent.
> Maybe that is why so many people are so goofy on the highways - they
> "memorized" answers to the test instead of actually "learning" what the
> principle were/are. Makes sense to me! For those of you who parachute or
> do other "life endangering" tasks - I'd sure hate to be you - depending on
> someone who simply "memorized" ABCD on a test as opposed to "learning" the
> requirements to fulfill the task.
>
> No wonder this world is so screwed up..... TOO LAZY TO "LEARN".
>
> L.
>

2989. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 14:55:09 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <hT0Dg.5673$o27.4301@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 7669
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

user@private-mail-domain.invalid wrote:
> It used to be that there weren't a set of questions with
> corresponding answers - there was a syllabus from which the questions
> were set. It took understanding of the syllabus to apply the formulae
> that had been learnt to calculate the answer.

It is true that the 1950's License Manuals were not multiple
choice but the exams were. The License Manuals went like this:

Q: What is the unit of electrical resistance?

A: The unit of electrical resistance is the ohm.

The exam then had multiple choices, one of them being "ohm".

It is hard to understand how anyone could develop that correct
answer from first principles or formulas. I memorized the
correct answer and it still exists in my memory as something
I once memorized long before I ever knew there was a man named
Ohm after whom the unit of electrical resistance was named.

The difference between memorizing the question pool answers
from the 1950's License Manuals and memorizing the question
pool answers of today is just splitting hairs. I used exactly
the same memorizing techniques to ace the Extra exam in
2000 as I did to pass the Conditional exam in 1953.
--
73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

2990. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 14:57:47 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155308267.270679.100020@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7670
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

L. wrote:
> <user@private-mail-domain.invalid> wrote in message

> I seriously do NOT understand the hang up on this issue. Maybe "I" am
> missing something - but it seems to me, I had to memorize (LEARN) a whole
> list of shit to be able to function in a meaningful life. Your mind is like
> a computer - you have to program it (study) - to learn things TO MEMORIZE
> FOR LIFE. Simply trying to recall ABCD on a test without understanding the
> concepts - you're still going to be dumb as shit even if you do pass.
the issue is simple some folks want to claim other folks that took and
passed the tests equire by by law are somehow "cheating"

2991. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 19:31:43 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1155324703.131909.279070@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Thurs, Aug 10 2006 8:48 pm
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
rec.radio.scanner, rec.radio.swap

>Al Klein wrote:
>> On 9 Aug 2006 19:14:54 -0700, hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>> >You couldn't be more wrong. If there were practical exams for SSB, FM,
>> >AM, FSTV, SSTV, RTTY (which is pretty darned old), packet, PSK, etc,
>> >then it would be CRYSTAL clear that a Morse Code exam is valid.
>
>> >However, there are no such practical exams for the other modes. So
>> >there need be no exam for Morse Code, either.
>
>> That's my point - there's no test any longer. For anything more than
>> the ability to memorize answers.
>
>Lots of memorization was required in your day. It's only a bad thing
>in 1992 to present. I think I get your drift...

Selective amnesia. "No one had to memorize anything" prior 1992.
Not in grade school, not in college, not in industry, not in
real life. Strange perception...

>Ummm? There's no Morse Code test anymore?

The International Morse Code test for United States amateur
radio license classes General and Extra have NEVER GONE AWAY.

That is especially true in the perception of the ARRL which
still manages to insert the "necessities" for morsemanship
in nearly everything it publishes. It's been six decades
since Hiram Percy became ultimate DX but they still keep on
with their demand that all [US] amateurs be proficient in
that old mode.

> The Conditional was whatever class was being tested for, but not at an
> FCC office. It had nothing to do with the class, only with the
> location.

>> What current exam? Memorizing answers and writing them down isn't a
>> test.
>
>So what is it that you fear?

Klein fears CHANGE and, perhaps, feelings of obsolescence.

Someone who has been a regular worker in electronics (radio is a
subset of electronics) ought to damn well know and recognize
that the state of the art in electronics has been CONSTANTLY
changing. It's sometimes a chore to keep up, whether it be
1950 or 2000 or any time in-between.

>> > You'd probably be weeded out pretty quickly.
>
>> I doubt it - if I couldn't pass an Extra theory exam - a real one, not
>> the nonsense that passes for one these days - I'd lose my job in a
>> second.
>
>Mmmm. I see. You are a careerist in the electronics industry and it
>pisses you off that hobbyists have equal "status" as you in amatuer
>radio. I've run across a lot of that in the past 20 years...

I've run across a lot of that my entire life. :-)

I think Klein wants recognition as a "professional amateur" or
"amateur professional." I'm not sure which...

>> What if you addressed what I said when you answer me? Your dishonest
>> tactics are transparent.
>
>You're the one that forgot the circuit, not me. Get pissed at your own
>self.

When in doubt of an effective reply, these Fundamentalist
Morseodists
must resort to some form of denigration. Sigh, they never learn...

>> Quit putting words in my mouth. I wasn't complaining to anyone, and
>> we weren't discussing remembering 50 year old tests.
>
>Correct. "WE" weren't discussing it. YOU were. YOU were discussing
>how you can't draw what you can't remember.

This is an indicator that Klein isn't used to computer-modem
communications. He isn't looking beyond his own screen and
understanding that others are separated from it in time and space.
"He" was obviously talking about "old days" of "His." He is not
considering that others do not share his viewpoints.

Considering the Type of Oscillator and "names," he has put
Names as somehow "essential" to the circuit. NO SUCH THING.
An oscillator is simply an amplifier of just-barely-past-unity
gain with positive feedback. The Names were tacked on by
academics long, long ago as IDENTIFICATION of the general form
of amplification-with-positive-feedback.

One can build a Colpitts oscillator, make it work, and continue
calling it a Hartley. Won't make a bit of difference to the
circuit...electrons don't give a damn about human labels. They
work by THEIR laws, not humans' with their imperative labels.

By the way, on a quick bit of checking, I've got text references
to about 11 different oscillator forms, not just two (with his
unknown third type)...and I'm not counting free-running multi-
vibrators which are also very much an "oscillator."

>> Maybe we should have one - show the ability to put a clean PSK signal
>> on the air. Show the ability to interpret a waterfall display. Show
>> the ability to tell the difference between various digital modes. The
>> bands would be pretty QRM-free.
>
>YES!!!

[no...]

>If you are ever going to save your beloved Morse Code test, this is the
>only way you're going to do it.

The only way to "save his beloved morse code test" is to have the
ARRL exercise some BETTER brainwashing than it has been doing for
decades. The League is still trying to use its old persuasion
and, so far, hasn't been able to get memberships from the 3/4 of
all licensed US radio amateurs who are NOT ARRL members...

>I think it is you who don't know where you're going with this
>discussion. It's gone beyond your having grief over your favorite mode
>to actually having to think about the future of the service.
>Conggrats. Another couple of years of RRAP tutoring and you just might
>become a rational being.

I disagree, Brian. Klein is a MORSEMAN. They don't change.
They are rooted in old days long gone, brainwashed early into
thinking that morsemanship is "essential" to "best" radio
communication. It isn't...easily proved by ALL the OTHER
radio services giving up on morse code as a mode (if they
had it once) or never requiring it since a radio service began.

>> >Who said that? We absolutely NEED relevant exams. That is my whole
>> >argument!
>
>> So you're in favor of exams that test knowledge of theory? "Draw the
>> schematic of ..."? "Explain why long path 2400 bps is impossible on
>> 14 MHz"? That kind of relevance?
>
>Sure. But you have to ask yourself one question. Can the average VE
>administer such an exam? If not, can your average GS-7 FCC employee
>administer such an exam? If you set up an exam that only an engineer
>can administer, then your government isn't going to accept it. So be
>realistic in your zeal.

Klein hasn't considered the simple fact that, by law, the VEs
do NOT have to be trained test-adminsters. They are simply
VOLINTEERS who have the requisite license class and GIVE OF
THEIR OWN TIME to adminster tests. VEs are accountable only
to the FCC in that volunteer testing. VEs' only "penalty"

in mis-administering an amateur test is a reduction in license
class or forteiture of their amateur license.

Klein and his "tests aren't like they were in 'my' time"
bitchers and moaners HAD their chance to keep privatization
in testing from happening long ago. Legal means to stop it
by NPRM Commentary didn't make their case. Privatization
happened for BOTH amateur and commercial licenses. Now
their whine is long past its time and has turned to vinegar.

>> Or the "pick the answer with the resistor like we showed you in the
>> example" kind of relevance?
>
>The exam can be anything your VEC wants it to be. We learned this when
>the ARRL went from administering a Morse Code Exam at 5WPM to
>administering a Farnsworth Exam at 13-15WPM.

True enough, Brian, but expect ten kinds of flak from the
other morsepersons in here on that... :-)

The VEC can LEGALLY generate a Question Pool with ONE HUNDRED
times the minimum required number of questions. With electronic
transmittal over the Internet the Question Pool can be updated
within 24 hours to ALL VE groups.

Say the FCC requires a minimum of 50 questions on a written
test element. If the VEC QPC generates the Question-Answer
pool with FIVE THOUSAND QUESTIONS (and answers), it should be
obvious that mere "memorization" sufficient to pass that
written test element is out of the question. Anyone who CAN
memorize that prodigious amount is already gifted as an eidetic
and those are extremely rare among humans.

What all that concentration on the "written tests" is about is
just a DIVERSION to keep from replying on the singular morse
code test continuation. The morsemen just haven't been able
to come up with sufficently-valid reasons to keep the morse
test (other than the emotional ones) so they smoke-screen by
bringing up the writtens. Old tactic of theirs.

>> How do you draw a schematic
>
>Memorization.

Correct.

>> and explain the functions of parts by
>> memorizing answers?
>
>Memorization.

Correct again.

>> You can't explain phase shift by memorizing "10k"
>> or "coil".
>
>You can't memorize the def of phase shift?
>
>C'mon, aren't you supposed to be in the industry?

We don't know WHERE, Brian, or for WHOM. :-)

>> >> >I used radios in the military. I never used a CW key in the military.
>> >> >I never jammed another operator, although Brandywine asked me to reduce
>> >> >power once.
>
>> >> But you had to learn how to use the radios.
>
>> >I did?
>
>> They just gave you a radio and said "use it"?
>
>On/Off and PTT. What else is there???

[ahem..."volume" and "squelch" to name two... :-)]

>Oh, yeh, a magnetic compass and a chart where the satellite is.

Darn you "kids!" Weren't any of those newfangled gizmos
like "satellites" when I was in the Army. :-)

The AN/PRC-8 backpack VHF transceivers (one of which I wore
in PIP Training) also had VFO frequency control along with a
built-in "crystal calibrator." Nothing like the "channel
selection" of a later synthesized AN/PRC-25 (also FM on VHF).

Interesting engineering feat with that VFO control over a
military temperature and vibration environment. Copied from
the old SCR-300 "walkie-talkie" of WW2, devised by Motorola
(also FM on VHF). But, I digress, that was Practical Theory
as applied by professional engineering, used by professional
military people...didn't have the majesty of AMATEURISM and
all its nobility (and class distinctions).

>> >> Hams today don't - they
>> >> memorize a few answers, buy equipment and get on the air - with no
>> >> understanding of what they're doing, and no desire to learn.
>
>> >Then it hasn't changed much since you were first licensed.
>
>> When I was licensed you had to show an understanding of theory, by
>> answering questions that were more than just multiple choice from a
>> published answer pool.
>
>Yes, you had to memorize paragraphs instead of multiple choices. Big
>deal.

Good grief, all that crying and wailing over Test Privatization!
Maybe we should take up a collection to send him some Kleenex?

Seems to me that COLLEGE-level course tests that I took had a
LOT of memorization. Maybe we should all slam the academic
world for doing the same "memorization?" Hey, why not, all
those who failed college level courses can get a Wailing Wall!

My state drivers' license testing is done from multiple-choice
and that requires MUCH memorization of the applicable laws.
While the CA DMV does not publish the EXACT answers, the have
lots and lots of examples, not only well-publicized but available
free in little booklets at each DMV office. Maybe Klein wants
me to take an ME degree course in automotive engineering just
to drive our Malibu MAXX? :-)

>> >> You may, but I can see from many of the comments that have been posted
>> >> here that a lot of people don't. They don't want to learn, they want
>> >> to get on the air. Period.
>
>> >W3RV didn't wait to get a ham license before operating! He just wanted
>> >to get on the air. Period.
>
>> Point?
>
>All you wonderful OF's taking trips down memory lane forget that some
>of your brother hams were bootleggers.
>
>It's only the unwashed No-code Techs that operate illegally. Hi!!!
>What a stinking load.

Brian, if you check out the "official" history of the ARRL
you will find out that they BEGAN in trying to circumvent
the commercial telegram system with a relaying of messages
past the commercial boundaries and FEES. If that were
reported today, the journalists would call it "hacking."

>> > If you must retain a Morse Code Exam, then you must
>> >also administer practical exams for SSB, FM, AM, FSTV, SSTV, RTTY
>> >(which is pretty darned old), packet, PSK, etc.
>
>> I have no problem with that.
>
>Then go for it.
>
>It is the ONLY legitimate recourse you have for retaining the Morse
>Code exam.
>
>Best of luck.

I hope he tries it. I'm anxious to find out how much hostility
he will engender from his fellow amateurs who are VEs...how they
have to spend many more hours (of their own time) in testing

each license applicant (separately). Ought to go over like a
concrete balloon...

>> Trained as an EE. Spent years designing RF circuitry, then went into
>> digital design. "Is", not yet "was" - I'm still alive.
>
>Are you drawing a pension from it? "Was."
>
>Are you drawing a paycheck from it? "Is."
>
>And it's so typical for Old Timers to forget that not everyone in the
>ARS are CAREERIST PROFESSIONALS. Bitching and Moaning about how
>everyone else doesn't know as much as them.

Klein has yet to define his own label, whether it is "professional
amateur" or "amateur professional." He seems undecided.

I'm one of the (chronological) Olde Fahrts in this group but I
pray to God that I won't ever get as bad as some of them with
their retro attitudes and fixations with modes of their long-
ago youth, the ultra importance of CLASS and RANK. Geez.

You'd think that some of them regard amateur radio like the
USMC! ["the few, the ultra proud (of morsemanship)"]

>> Let's have a test that
>> shows whether the testee knows anything.
>
>Remember that you are handsomely compensated for your professional
>knowledge. Amateur Radio is a non-compensated hobby.

Some of these Olde Fahrts seem to think their amateurism is on
some kind of "higher plane" than ordinary, plebian, work.
They be BETTER than the pros and keep reinforcing each other
with that pipe-dream. After all, the ARRL keeps reminding
them of their greatness, their "service to their country"
(by having their hobby). To hear them talk the nation would
immediately fall apart without these federally-licensed
hobbyists!

We'll have to get Mr. Webster to work coming up with a better
definition of the hobby. Is it "professional amateurism" or
"amateur professionalism?" I opt for the latter but others
may differ.

Beep, beep...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2992. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 21:07:24 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <7bspd2h57omk6avslm5hkckm13dntdg3vc@4ax.com>
Source record: 7672
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 11 Aug 2006 05:56:28 -0700, "an old friend"
<kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>Al Klein wrote:
>> On 10 Aug 2006 22:12:58 -0700, "cmdr buzz corey"
>> <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote:
>>
>> >an old friend wrote:
>>
>> >> I am honest. I don't think CW has ANY value in todays world.
>>
>> >Kinda like you.
>>
>> Except that code was useful once.
>before Iwas born
>
>ywee you ever usefull yourself
>
>you are not a good ham judging by the ham code

That thing going by about 500 feet overhead was the point. WHOOSSSHHH!

2993. Re: again on the smeantics of memory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 21:08:38 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <7dspd2dcs28s0b6ct4ha0dqigmrnftcj37@4ax.com>
Source record: 7673
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 11 Aug 2006 04:07:50 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>> Memorization isn't one of the options of learning.

>that is not the issue at hand

>that memorization is one of the options for legaly passing the test is

If you say so. Can we get back to the conversation *I* was having,
now?

2994. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 21:09:55 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <4fspd2p7qecou8mmsf5tipdh59nfo5l2qs@4ax.com>
Source record: 7674
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 10 Aug 2006 20:05:25 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>You denigrate the resistor code.

Not at all. It's a lot better than having the value printed on the
resistor in numbers. Even with MIL quality and transparent coatings,
the numbers on 1/8 watt resistors are kind of hard to read.

2995. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 21:13:28 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <smspd253q5p8kfk6g2lt4t7ovkt56sappf@4ax.com>
Source record: 7675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 11 Aug 2006 07:57:47 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>the issue is simple some folks want to claim other folks that took and
>passed the tests equire by by law are somehow "cheating"

If you can't even understand the issue, why do you keep opening your
mouth and proving it?

2996. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 21:15:57 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <8ospd2lfvgmbtpd1s6b0orl41qjudi5du5@4ax.com>
Source record: 7676
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 11 Aug 2006 14:55:09 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>It is hard to understand how anyone could develop that correct
>answer from first principles or formulas.

No one but you even mentioned "first principles" in this discussion.
It's certainly not part of the discussion.

> I memorized the
>correct answer and it still exists in my memory as something
>I once memorized long before I ever knew there was a man named
>Ohm after whom the unit of electrical resistance was named.

You still don't understand (or want to acknowledge) the difference
between learning that Ohm was a scientist after whom the unit of
resistance was named, and "the answer to the question with the 10k
resistor is 'greater'".

2997. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 21:19:42 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <1vspd2h3kjocrth54dn5dpl6kkaskjecb6@4ax.com>
Source record: 7677
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 11 Aug 2006 07:15:55 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Thu, 10 Aug 2006 23:56:05 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:
>
>>On 10 Aug 2006 18:53:36 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>Al Klein wrote:
>>>> On 10 Aug 2006 15:47:00 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
>>>> wrote:
>>
>>>> >earth is the only earthlike planet with a heavyside layer effect
>>
>>>> Is that a statement or a question?
>>
>>>yes it is a stament and yes it is a question
>>
>>And on what do you base your statement? Your extensive familiarity
>>with dozens of Earth-like planets?
>made no such claim

You said, "earth is the only earthlike planet with a heavyside layer
effect". What did you base that claim on?

>you passion for efaration of statment

Who of which? I know the internet is an international thing, but
could we keep it to HUMAN languages, please? Or at least languages
used by mobile beings? I don't speak Tree.

2998. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 21:22:53 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1155331373.380661.27660@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7678
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

user@private-mail-domain.invalid wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> : Lots of memorization was required in your day. It's only a bad thing
> : in 1992 to present. I think I get your drift...
>
> You are failing (or choosing) to understand/acknowledge the difference
> between understanding the principles and simply rote memorising the
> answers. It used to be that there weren't a set of questions with
> corresponding answers - there was a syllabus from which the questions
> were set. It took understanding of the syllabus to apply the formulae
> that had been learnt to calculate the answer.
>
> --
> 73 Chris Cox, N0UK, G4JEC

I learned the formulas and worked the probs longhand. Trying to
memorize all those answers was just too much work.

2999. Re: More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 21:23:23 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <r4tpd21l2eehs9s1mhtb6spkkh6r4ggbbo@4ax.com>
Source record: 7679
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 11 Aug 2006 02:48:46 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

>MorkiMoron@kb9rqz-likes-to-lie-rather-than-be-honest.com wrote:
>> On Thu, 10 Aug 2006 00:02:45 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>> wrote:

>> >You can ASSume anything - but you can't draw a schematic.

>> Ican draw a schematic of an occilator right now

> Sure you can...Uh huh...Right.

> You can't SPELL it, how can you draw it?

Maybe he CAN draw the schematic of an occilator, but I doubt he can
draw the schematic of an oscillator.

>> Indeed I am likely an expert on that being dyslexic requres a
>> prodigous memeor since you can'twrite yourself a note

> Why is it every other dyslexic I have ever known can write him /
>herself a note, Mork, and YOU can't?

Dyslexia is only ONE of his many problems?

3000. Re: you are advised to caese dists this violation of slander libel and

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 21:25:02 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <ratpd2lre6tltone28rc8uqhc4sahfrioj@4ax.com>
Source record: 7680
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 11 Aug 2006 07:14:22 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On 11 Aug 2006 02:48:46 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
>you are advised to caese dists this violation of slander libel and
>harressment laws

This is not only Usenet (so it's not subject to the laws of any
locality), it's the alt* hierarchy. If you want a moderated
newsgroup, go find one. No one is going to "protect" you here.

3001. Re: you are advised to caese dists this violation of slander libel and

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 21:26:18 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <1etpd2d1oi81bq5mn3sjnu7ab27u4c96qd@4ax.com>
Source record: 7681
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 11 Aug 2006 05:59:22 -0700, "an old friend"
<kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote:

>L. wrote:

>> I believe the words you attempted to use are - cease and desist. No to leave
>> out Harassment.

>indeed I do yyour point?

That if one wishes to avail himself of a written medium, one should
learn to write. Picking letters at random isn't writing.

3002. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 21:27:26 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <2D6Dg.7768$FN2.1842@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 7682
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> You denigrate the resistor code.
>
> Not at all. It's a lot better than having the value printed on the
> resistor in numbers. Even with MIL quality and transparent coatings,
> the numbers on 1/8 watt resistors are kind of hard to read.

Even with the resistor color code, most of us *MEMORIZED*
a jingle like:
Bad Boys Rape Our Young Girls But Violet Gives Willingly
I believe the military used to teach their technicians
to *MEMORIZE* that jingle. Exactly how does one develop
the resistor color code from first principles?
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3003. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 21:32:51 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7I6Dg.7770$FN2.6278@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 7683
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> the issue is simple some folks want to claim other folks that took and
>> passed the tests equire by by law are somehow "cheating"
>
> If you can't even understand the issue, why do you keep opening your
> mouth and proving it?

The issue is that if there's something wrong with the tests,
that is not the fault of the test takers. It is the fault
of the test designers. Given a person with a PhD in electronics,
50 years experience, and capable of doing 50 wpm in Morse code,
all he can do presently is pass the present day test requirements
and then be belittled by people like you who are inferior to him
in every way.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3004. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 21:33:52 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1155332031.122826.289560@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7684
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 11 Aug 2006 07:57:47 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >the issue is simple some folks want to claim other folks that took and
> >passed the tests equire by by law are somehow "cheating"
>
> If you can't even understand the issue, why do you keep opening your
> mouth and proving it?

"My test was better than your test and that makes me a better ham."

3005. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 21:36:49 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <RL6Dg.7772$FN2.5584@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 7685
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> You still don't understand (or want to acknowledge) the difference
> between learning that Ohm was a scientist after whom the unit of
> resistance was named, and "the answer to the question with the 10k
> resistor is 'greater'".

Of course I understand. When I took my Conditional exam,
I didn't know Mr. Ohm from a hole in the ground. I simply
MEMORIZED that the ohm was the unit of resistance. I
had no idea how to know that except by *MEMORIZATION*.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3006. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 21:39:11 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3O6Dg.7773$FN2.4946@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 7686
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> I learned the formulas ...

Did you *Heaven Forbid* memorize any formulas?
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3007. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 21:49:42 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1155332982.632328.303450@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7687
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Cecil Moore wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > I learned the formulas ...
>
> Did you *Heaven Forbid* memorize any formulas?
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

Absolutely.
```

3008. Re: Airshow frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 22:20:12 UTC
From: Jim <chief_jim@go.com>
Message-ID: <mn7Dg.78$lp7.19@newsfe09.lga>
Source record: 7688

Evan Platt wrote:
> On Tue, 08 Aug 2006 18:47:57 -0400, Jim <chief_jim@go.com> wrote:
>
>
>> I'm in the process of building a database on airshow frequencies.
>> Some sites like MONITORING TIMES can be helpful but all to often
>> they overlook a key fact: the participants were using the same set
>> of frequencies as all the others at that particular show. Namely
>> AIRBOSS, DISCRETE, etc.
>>
>> Wondering if someone could point me to sites that have identified
>> frequencies used specifically by individual acts?
>
>
> The airshow frequencies are often changed from year to year, and from
> airport to airport. I've worked a few airshows, and from one year to
> another, they've changed.

However many acts have either obtained frequency assignments from the
FCC and/or FAA for themselves.
Some military units are using squadron common assigned frequencies.
These tend to remain constant from year to year.

3009. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 22:21:54 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155334914.647225.274730@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7689
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On 11 Aug 2006 05:56:28 -0700, "an old friend"
> <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >Al Klein wrote:
> >> On 10 Aug 2006 22:12:58 -0700, "cmdr buzz corey"
> >> <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >an old friend wrote:
> >>
> >> >> I am honest. I don't think CW has ANY value in todays world.
> >>
> >> >Kinda like you.
> >>
> >> Except that code was useful once.
> >before Iwas born
> >
> >ywee you ever usefull yourself
> >
> >you are not a good ham judging by the ham code
>
> That thing going by about 500 feet overhead was the point. WHOOSSSHHH!
you are a clearly a poor ham as maeasured by the amateur code

you clealy have this notion that that rules have ever required thing
NOT in the rules

you are rude and abusive (and then to top that object to being treated
the same by others)

that you are rude enough to call a man you have never met useless as
ignored out of coutesy not missed

the fact is Ham radio sound very miuch like cb NOW on HF and VHF the
sound in many areas is all but silence

3010. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-11 22:54:33 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155336873.334573.182430@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7690
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 11 Aug 2006 07:57:47 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >the issue is simple some folks want to claim other folks that took and
> >passed the tests equire by by law are somehow "cheating"
>
> If you can't even understand the issue, why do you keep opening your
> mouth and proving it?
but I do uderstand the issue the real issue not the smoke screen you
are trying to blow (wether your own one you have been brainwashed into
accepting

3011. Re: I'm not going to pick on Mark Morgan any more.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 00:03:17 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <9V8Dg.3144$Qf.204@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7691
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1155294470.579072.138000@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

> BTW I am still on CB my use of licening for Ham radio affects not my
> ability to use CB
>

Why is that not a surprise.

SC

3012. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 00:03:18 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <aV8Dg.3145$Qf.2571@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7692
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:ydQCg.6172$kO3.2096@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> It was still Hokey. The aliens could do everything but provide their
>> own communications globally.
>
> They also couldn't provide a decent firewall for their computers.

Maybe their OS was a Microsoft product too. LOL

SC

3013. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 00:03:20 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cV8Dg.3146$Qf.95@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7693
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1155336873.334573.182430@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> Al Klein wrote:
>> On 11 Aug 2006 07:57:47 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >the issue is simple some folks want to claim other folks that took and
>> >passed the tests equire by by law are somehow "cheating"
>>
>> If you can't even understand the issue, why do you keep opening your
>> mouth and proving it?
> but I do uderstand the issue the real issue not the smoke screen you
> are trying to blow (wether your own one you have been brainwashed into
> accepting

Mark, would you put these five steps on your web blog for me? Thanks. I
also want to try to get the moon bouncers to help us out. I think by
puting the five steps on your website, a lot more hams will see and agree
the five steps are a good idea. TNX, 73

SC

Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
pass all elements required for their license class.

Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.

Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
to improve things.

3014. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 01:19:14 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <53bqd29h0gr5c8pt7jeij5kvg15h943t9g@4ax.com>
Source record: 7694
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 11 Aug 2006 15:21:54 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>you are rude and abusive

No, I'm just biblical - I don't suffer fools gladly.

3015. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 01:20:57 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <s5bqd25ia7dbop4n8co73avmssgnjo5bkr@4ax.com>
Source record: 7695
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 11 Aug 2006 21:32:51 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>all he can do presently is pass the present day test requirements
>and then be belittled

For claiming that the tests prove that he knows as much as those who
passed much more difficult tests, not for not having to pass those
more difficult tests.

3016. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 01:21:50 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <u7bqd2hr5moiobhmfhujt8jvvnp8lpvatt@4ax.com>
Source record: 7696
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 11 Aug 2006 15:54:33 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> On 11 Aug 2006 07:57:47 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>> wrote:

>> >the issue is simple some folks want to claim other folks that took and
>> >passed the tests equire by by law are somehow "cheating"

>> If you can't even understand the issue, why do you keep opening your
>> mouth and proving it?

>but I do uderstand the issue

Then why do you keep pretending that you don't?

> the real issue not the smoke screen you
>are trying to blow (wether your own one you have been brainwashed into
>accepting

I think I know what I'm claiming a little better than you do.

3017. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 01:22:39 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <u9bqd2l2tbfvkjgpnt7nc8cnismq8s5j3g@4ax.com>
Source record: 7697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 11 Aug 2006 21:36:49 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:

>> You still don't understand (or want to acknowledge) the difference
>> between learning that Ohm was a scientist after whom the unit of
>> resistance was named, and "the answer to the question with the 10k
>> resistor is 'greater'".

>Of course I understand. When I took my Conditional exam,
>I didn't know Mr. Ohm from a hole in the ground. I simply
>*MEMORIZED* that the ohm was the unit of resistance. I
>had no idea how to know that except by *MEMORIZATION*.

Showing that you DON'T know the difference. Don't you even understand
what you post?

3018. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 01:23:33 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <4bbqd2pmudip3s3j0geqbookdclrvqrq0s@4ax.com>
Source record: 7698
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 11 Aug 2006 21:27:26 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> You denigrate the resistor code.

>> Not at all. It's a lot better than having the value printed on the
>> resistor in numbers. Even with MIL quality and transparent coatings,
>> the numbers on 1/8 watt resistors are kind of hard to read.

>Even with the resistor color code, most of us *MEMORIZED*
>a jingle like:
>Bad Boys Rape Our Young Girls But Violet Gives Willingly
>I believe the military used to teach their technicians
>to *MEMORIZE* that jingle. Exactly how does one develop
>the resistor color code from first principles?

One doesn't, but "first principles" has nothing to do with this
discussion - a fact you still don't understand.

3019. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 01:24:10 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <ucbqd2l5ur2b86p0v83v9dm0hllartiv5r@4ax.com>
Source record: 7699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 11 Aug 2006 21:39:11 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>> I learned the formulas ...

>Did you *Heaven Forbid* memorize any formulas?

I'll bet he didn't derive the shapes of the written numbers from first
principles either.

3020. Re: you are advised to caese dists this violation of slander libel and

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 01:43:41 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155347021.820163.111940@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7700
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On Fri, 11 Aug 2006 07:14:22 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
> >On 11 Aug 2006 02:48:46 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >you are advised to caese dists this violation of slander libel and
> >harressment laws
>
> This is not only Usenet (so it's not subject to the laws of any
> locality),

that isn't what the court case law says

3021. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 01:51:28 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155347488.895791.96000@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7601
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 11 Aug 2006 15:54:33 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
> >> On 11 Aug 2006 07:57:47 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> >> wrote:
>
> >> >the issue is simple some folks want to claim other folks that took and
> >> >passed the tests equire by by law are somehow "cheating"
>
> >> If you can't even understand the issue, why do you keep opening your
> >> mouth and proving it?
>
> >but I do uderstand the issue
>
> Then why do you keep pretending that you don't?
but I don't

I do understand your public snit
>
> > the real issue not the smoke screen you
> >are trying to blow (wether your own one you have been brainwashed into
> >accepting
>
> I think I know what I'm claiming a little better than you do.

not likely nor did I say you were climing something othe r than you are
claiming I am simply accusing not telling being honest about why you
are making the claim

your beef has nothing to do with the tests it is to do with end of the
Hazing ritual that is a bout to occour you are just not honest to amdit
(perhaps not even to yourself) you your concern is for the service the
fact is that your problem is being hung up on the notion I did so you
must

3022. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 01:53:27 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155347607.687899.105100@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7602
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On Fri, 11 Aug 2006 21:32:51 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >all he can do presently is pass the present day test requirements
> >and then be belittled
>
> For claiming that the tests prove that he knows as much as those who
> passed much more difficult tests, not for not having to pass those
> more difficult tests.
who has made any such claim?

you like a lot of the procoders add a lot of things to what people are
realy saying

3023. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 01:54:53 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155347693.124033.166560@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7603
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On 11 Aug 2006 15:21:54 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >you are rude and abusive
>
> No, I'm just biblical - I don't suffer fools gladly.
you are just rude and abusivise and as for biblical you are not
following the injunction to not bear false witness against your
neighboor either

3024. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 02:16:22 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <WRaDg.4772$%j7.461@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 7604
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> Showing that you DON'T know the difference.

I personally don't care why the unit of resistance
is named the ohm.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3025. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 02:18:17 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <JTaDg.4773$%j7.643@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 7605
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> One doesn't, but "first principles" has nothing to do with this
> discussion - a fact you still don't understand.

There's two ways to learn: 1. Memorize knowledge, 2. develop
knowledge from first principles. You hate memorization so you
must love first principles.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3026. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 02:19:22 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <KUaDg.4775$%j7.474@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 7606
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> I'll bet he didn't derive the shapes of the written numbers from first
> principles either.

That fact goes against your "memorizing is evil" argument.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3027. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 09:05:40 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <ebk5fv$ml7$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 7607
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:KUaDg.4775$%j7.474@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
> Al Klein wrote:
>> I'll bet he didn't derive the shapes of the written numbers from first
>> principles either.
>
> That fact goes against your "memorizing is evil" argument.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

There's a difference between memorizing a formula or method and memorizing
specific answers to specific questions. The former is called learning, and
can be applied to many situations. The latter is called laziness, and
teaches nothing that can be used for any other purpose.

--
Say no to institutionalized interference.
Just say NO to HD/IBOC!

3028. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 12:39:33 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <ruird250q10p7bdf251vv4hnrahjfc2n3n@4ax.com>
Source record: 7608
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 11 Aug 2006 18:54:53 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> On 11 Aug 2006 15:21:54 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>> wrote:

>> >you are rude and abusive

>> No, I'm just biblical - I don't suffer fools gladly.

>you are just rude and abusivise and as for biblical you are not
>following the injunction to not bear false witness against your
>neighboor either

That the truth hurts doesn't make it a lie.

3029. Re: you are advised to caese dists this violation of slander libel and

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 12:40:59 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <j0jrd2tasg3gq5beb8hka41t364bag484h@4ax.com>
Source record: 7609
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 11 Aug 2006 18:43:41 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> On Fri, 11 Aug 2006 07:14:22 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>> >On 11 Aug 2006 02:48:46 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >you are advised to caese dists this violation of slander libel and
>> >harressment laws

>> This is not only Usenet (so it's not subject to the laws of any
>> locality),

>that isn't what the court case law says

Court decisions only hold in the areas of the courts' jurisdictions.
Don't start spouting law to us now - you don't know enough about it to
cross the street legally.

3030. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 12:42:34 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <u3jrd29i1c6vk6kgb1abd9lhthbjav5ihh@4ax.com>
Source record: 7610
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 11 Aug 2006 18:51:28 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:

>> I think I know what I'm claiming a little better than you do.

>not likely

Very juvenile of you.

>your beef has nothing to do with the tests it is to do with end of the
>Hazing ritual that is a bout to occour

There's a hazing rule in ham radio? Since when?

3031. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 12:42:55 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <26jrd2pug4448ng9i6p69924i5jcer21a3@4ax.com>
Source record: 7611
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 02:16:22 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> Showing that you DON'T know the difference.
>
>I personally don't care why the unit of resistance
>is named the ohm.

Which has nothing to do with the discussion.

3032. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 12:43:37 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <n6jrd21ns929g8tk2gg6ep77rls0i4ndc7@4ax.com>
Source record: 7612
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 02:18:17 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> One doesn't, but "first principles" has nothing to do with this
>> discussion - a fact you still don't understand.
>
>There's two ways to learn: 1. Memorize knowledge, 2. develop
>knowledge from first principles.

Which has nothing to do with the difference between memorizing answers
and learning theory.

3033. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 12:44:00 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <08jrd21bo324pp8a2rtgujh8u6d0693sbb@4ax.com>
Source record: 7613
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 02:19:22 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> I'll bet he didn't derive the shapes of the written numbers from first
>> principles either.
>
>That fact goes against your "memorizing is evil" argument.

And against your claim to understand the conversation.

3034. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 12:44:51 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <t8jrd29ilqef66ljumqkvsbakqm871fg7b@4ax.com>
Source record: 7614
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 18:05:40 +0900, "Brenda Ann"
<brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:

>"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:KUaDg.4775$%j7.474@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
>> Al Klein wrote:
>>> I'll bet he didn't derive the shapes of the written numbers from first
>>> principles either.
>>
>> That fact goes against your "memorizing is evil" argument.
>> --
>> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
>There's a difference between memorizing a formula or method and memorizing
>specific answers to specific questions. The former is called learning, and
>can be applied to many situations. The latter is called laziness, and
>teaches nothing that can be used for any other purpose.

You must be at least 6 years old, Brenda Ann - Cecil can't seem to
make that distinction. :)

3035. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would Ham Radio die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 13:31:38 UTC
From: Steve Stone <zzspfleck@citlink.netzz>
Message-ID: <_KkDg.7649$Oh1.1995@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 7615
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Ham radio is gonna be flushed big time if this is all you old farts are worried about.

3036. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 14:41:33 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <xMlDg.9444$FN2.8540@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 7616
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Brenda Ann wrote:
> There's a difference between memorizing a formula or method and memorizing
> specific answers to specific questions. The former is called learning, and
> can be applied to many situations. The latter is called laziness, and
> teaches nothing that can be used for any other purpose.

That is just hair-splitting. For instance, the first question
in my 2000 Extra Class License Manual is: What exclusive
frequency privileges in the 80-meter band are authorized to
Extra class control operators?

Of the four choices, the correct answer is 3500-3525 kHz.

Now what formula or method will yield the correct answer?
I simply memorized that specific answer to that specific
question. The moral is: "Work smarter, not harder!"
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3037. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 14:45:35 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <jQlDg.9445$FN2.2059@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 7617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>> Al Klein wrote:
>>> Showing that you DON'T know the difference.

>> I personally don't care why the unit of resistance
>> is named the ohm.
>
> Which has nothing to do with the discussion.

I do know the difference but the point is that I do not
need to know the history behind that particular choice.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3038. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 14:54:55 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3ZIDg.9446$FN2.8581@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 7618
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 02:18:17 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>> Al Klein wrote:
>>> One doesn't, but "first principles" has nothing to do with this
>>> discussion - a fact you still don't understand.
>> There's two ways to learn: 1. Memorize knowledge, 2. develop
>> knowledge from first principles.
>
> Which has nothing to do with the difference between memorizing answers
> and learning theory.

If you are learning theory that someone has already developed,
you *are* memorizing answers. I *memorized* Ohm's law for my
Novice exam. I *memorized* the fact that 'I' is the letter
used for current.

If you are not memorizing answers provided by the people who
developed the theory, then you are necessarily developing the
theory from first principles.

Avoiding memorizing answers to questions is a good way to
keep making the same mistakes over and over. Do you avoid the
Q&A sections of all web pages for fear that you might accidentally
memorize an answer?
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3039. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 14:56:51 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44ddec1b$0$6597$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 7619
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:ebk5fv$ml7$1@news2.kornet.net...
>
>
> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:KUaDg.4775$%j7.474@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
>> Al Klein wrote:
>>> I'll bet he didn't derive the shapes of the written numbers from first
>>> principles either.
>>
>> That fact goes against your "memorizing is evil" argument.
>> --
>> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
> There's a difference between memorizing a formula or method and memorizing
> specific answers to specific questions. The former is called learning,
> and can be applied to many situations. The latter is called laziness, and
> teaches nothing that can be used for any other purpose.
>
> --
> Say no to institutionalized interference.
> Just say NO to HD/IBOC!
>

Which is exactly what "I" also have said. They're splitting hairs over the definition. MANY words can be used in more than one way. Your example is resembling mine. There are some things (many actually) you must "memorize" (learn) for life - to function. To simply "memorize" answers for a test - as you said, teaches NOTHING. It doens't even guarantee passing. I've seen a few fail by that method. IT simply is NOT a good way to go about things in life. Laziness gets you no where - fast.

L.

3040. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 15:01:51 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <z3mDg.9448$FN2.6674@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 7620
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:
>> There's a difference between memorizing a formula or method and memorizing
>> specific answers to specific questions. The former is called learning, and
>> can be applied to many situations. The latter is called laziness, and
>> teaches nothing that can be used for any other purpose.
>
> You must be at least 6 years old, Brenda Ann - Cecil can't seem to
> make that distinction. :)

So exactly what is the "formula or method" for determining Extra
frequency privileges outside of memorizing them? I was too lazy
to use a formula so I just memorized only what I needed to know
for my Extra exam. I still don't know all the Extra frequencies
for all the bands. Since Extras have all frequency privileges,
I don't really need to know where those frequencies are.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3041. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 15:03:00 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44dded8c$0$6593$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 7621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:xMlDg.9444$FN2.8540@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> Brenda Ann wrote:
>> There's a difference between memorizing a formula or method and
>> memorizing specific answers to specific questions. The former is called
>> learning, and can be applied to many situations. The latter is called
>> laziness, and teaches nothing that can be used for any other purpose.
>
> That is just hair-splitting. For instance, the first question
> in my 2000 Extra Class License Manual is: What exclusive
> frequency privileges in the 80-meter band are authorized to
> Extra class control operators?
>
> Of the four choices, the correct answer is 3500-3525 kHz.
>
> Now what formula or method will yield the correct answer?
> I simply memorized that specific answer to that specific
> question. The moral is: "Work smarter, not harder!"
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

In the example YOU give - Cecil - it could be taken either way. In the case of the "frequencies" you're to operate on for a given license and band - YES - you could simply "memorize" (not really commit to memory) those frequencies - for the exam purposes and just refer to a chart from there in. OR you COULD "memorize" them (actually committing to memory) for the purpose of NOT having to use a chart! However, once you use those frequencies after a while - especially if active - then you "would" tend to "memorize" (for life) those frequencies. Yes, it is definately splitting hairs!

L.

3042. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 15:12:59 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <%dmDg.9451$FN2.1314@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 7622
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

L. wrote:
> Laziness gets you no where - fast.

Laziness allows one to achieve a goal by the most efficient
route. Some famous German military leader said he would
lots rather have brilliant and lazy officers than ambitious
and stupid ones. I personally would rather see brilliant
and lazy amateur radio operators than ambitious and stupid
ones hanging on for dear life to an obsolete testing
requirement.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3043. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 15:21:24 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <UlmDg.9457$FN2.1600@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 7623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

L. wrote:
> YES - you could simply "memorize" (not really commit to memory)

Here's the crux of your communications problem. From Webster's:
"memorize - to commit to memory". *Everything* that one
memorizes is the act of committing something to memory. You
definitely need to pick a different word than "memorize"
to describe the concept you are trying to present. Memorizing
is how human beings remember things and it is a good thing.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3044. cease and desist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 16:54:53 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155401693.806977.18190@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7624
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
cease and desist
```

3045. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 16:57:19 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155401839.345769.101540@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7625
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On 11 Aug 2006 18:54:53 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
> >> On 11 Aug 2006 15:21:54 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> >> wrote:
>
> >> >you are rude and abusive
>
> >> No, I'm just biblical - I don't suffer fools gladly.
>
> >you are just rude and abusivise and as for biblical you are not
> >following the injunction to not bear false witness against your
> >neighboor either
>
> That the truth hurts doesn't make it a lie.
that only applies if you tell the truth

you don't it is just that simple

it is not a sign sign of any superior knowledge to know that is a
particular circut is Collpitts or hartley ocilator no can that
difference by learned in any way other than memorization

3046. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 17:10:08 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155402608.674317.299000@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7626
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 11 Aug 2006 18:51:28 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
>
> >> I think I know what I'm claiming a little better than you do.
>
> >not likely
>
> Very juvenile of you.
exactly on point

you can't even semem to make up your mind what you are claiming
>
> >your beef has nothing to do with the tests it is to do with end of the
> >Hazing ritual that is a bout to occour
>
> There's a hazing rule in ham radio? Since when?
sure there is it is called Morse Code testing

3047. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 17:10:55 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155402655.846630.24010@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7627
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 02:16:22 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
> >> Showing that you DON'T know the difference.
> > >
> >I personally don't care why the unit of resistance
> >is named the ohm.
>
> Which has nothing to do with the discussion.
no it is part of the very core

at some level all you can do a merorize

3048. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 17:11:36 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155402696.148105.304140@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7628
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Al Klein wrote:
> On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 02:18:17 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
> >> One doesn't, but "first principles" has nothing to do with this
> >> discussion - a fact you still don't understand.
> >>
> >There's two ways to learn: 1. Memorize knowledge, 2. develop
> >knowledge from first principles.
>
> Which has nothing to do with the difference between memorizing answers
> and learning theory.
sure it does
it is the very core of it
```

3049. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 17:51:30 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44de150a$0$6591$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 7629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:UlmDg.9457$FN2.1600@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> L. wrote:
>> YES - you could simply "memorize" (not really commit to memory)
>
> Here's the crux of your communications problem. From Webster's:
> "memorize - to commit to memory". *Everything* that one
> memorizes is the act of committing something to memory. You
> definitely need to pick a different word than "memorize"
> to describe the concept you are trying to present. Memorizing
> is how human beings remember things and it is a good thing.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

Eh, excuse me, it was not "I" who started splitting hairs here with regard to MEMORY AND MEMORIZATION.
"I" KNOW what "memorization" means - I was using it in the "SLANG" sense that YOU all are arguing about - memorizing something for just a few moments of need as opposed to a "lifetime". There ARE "SLANG" uses for popular words which do NOT coincide necessarily with Webster. Again, it was not "I" who started this ridiculous argument.

AND for what it is worth - if you're (whoever this applies to) that freaking lazy to not want to have to "learn" something - then it is no damned wonder this country is going to hell. The downfall of our Education system begins with that very principle (refusal to learn). I don't give a crap WHAT Hitler or some other idiot said about being lazy and smart - if you're lazy - you are no damned good to society or yourself for that matter. The REST of us who have to pick up the pace to deal with the slackers. I'll be damned if I ever hire a "LAZY" smart person. I want a person who is going to EARN their keep. Sitting there telling me how things should be isn't what I would hire them for - the purpose is to DO the things as they're supposed to be done.

Working smarter - not harder - is a good concept - but I DO NOT THINK - the originator of it meant for LAZY asses to be using it as an EXCUSE to not have to learn. I live by that concept (work smarter - not harder) often but it sure in hell hasn't stopped me from having to - OR DESIRING to LEARN.

Lou

3050. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 17:58:17 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155405497.799120.156630@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

L. wrote:
> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:UlmDg.9457$FN2.1600@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> > L. wrote:
> >> YES - you could simply "memorize" (not really commit to memory)
> >
> > Here's the crux of your communications problem. From Webster's:
> > "memorize - to commit to memory". *Everything* that one
> > memorizes is the act of committing something to memory. You
> > definitely need to pick a different word than "memorize"
> > to describe the concept you are trying to present. Memorizing
> > is how human beings remember things and it is a good thing.
> > --
> > 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
> Eh, excuse me, it was not "I" who started splitting hairs here with regard
> to MEMORY AND MEMORIZATION.
> "I" KNOW what "memorization" means - I was using it in the "SLANG" sense
> that YOU all are arguing about - memorizing something for just a few moments
> of need as opposed to a "lifetime". There ARE "SLANG" uses for popular words
> which do NOT coincide necessarily with Webster. Again, it was not "I" who
> started this ridiculous argument.
but you choose to step into themiddle of of your own free will
>
> AND for what it is worth - if you're (whoever this applies to) that freaking
> lazy to not want to have to "learn" something - then it is no damned wonder
> this country is going to hell. The downfall of our Education system begins
> with that very principle (refusal to learn). I don't give a crap WHAT Hitler
> or some other idiot said about being lazy and smart - if you're lazy - you
> are no damned good to society or yourself for that matter. The REST of us
> who have to pick up the pace to deal with the slackers. I'll be damned if I
> ever hire a "LAZY" smart person. I want a person who is going to EARN their
> keep. Sitting there telling me how things should be isn't what I would hire
> them for - the purpose is to DO the things as they're supposed to be done.
>
> Working smarter - not harder - is a good concept - but I DO NOT THINK - the
> originator of it meant for LAZY asses to be using it as an EXCUSE to not
> have to learn. I live by that concept (work smarter - not harder) often but
> it sure in hell hasn't stopped me from having to - OR DESIRING to LEARN.
and nobody but your side has suggested it does

but there still ramins no need for me to ever know the differentce
between a collpitts and hartely occilator. If I should need that

knowledge It sits in the trdh shelf down bout the middle in nice bright red book I used in college where it describes the difference in detail "so that the reader my find older reference book usefull" or words to that effect as I recell

and occionaly I do take it off the shelf and refer to it to exactly that materail
>
> Lou

3051. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 18:33:16 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <M9pDg.7811$kO3.5148@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 7631
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

L. wrote:
> "I" KNOW what "memorization" means - I was using it in the "SLANG" sense
> that YOU all are arguing about - memorizing something for just a few moments
> of need as opposed to a "lifetime". There ARE "SLANG" uses for popular words
> which do NOT coincide necessarily with Webster.

Sorry, my unabridged dictionary doesn't acknowledge a slang
definition for "memorize" as it certainly does for other
words used as slang. You really need to find another word
to use to define your concept. You seem to be talking about
temporary storage, the exact opposite of memorizing.

> Working smarter - not harder - is a good concept - but I DO NOT THINK ...

:-)
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3052. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 18:37:57 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9epDg.7812$kO3.4544@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 7632
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old friend wrote:
> and occionaly I do take it off the shelf and refer to it to exactly
> that materail

A brilliant lazy person knows that having the answer
within arm's reach is just as effective as knowing the
answer and probably much more efficient. At this very
moment, I have about 60 reference books within arm's
reach.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3053. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 19:22:15 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44de2a50$0$6585$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 7633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:M9pDg.7811$kO3.5148@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> L. wrote:
>> "I" KNOW what "memorization" means - I was using it in the "SLANG" sense
>> that YOU all are arguing about - memorizing something for just a few
>> moments of need as opposed to a "lifetime". There ARE "SLANG" uses for
>> popular words which do NOT coincide necessarily with Webster.
>
> Sorry, my unabridged dictionary doesn't acknowledge a slang
> definition for "memorize" as it certainly does for other
> words used as slang. You really need to find another word
> to use to define your concept. You seem to be talking about
> temporary storage, the exact opposite of memorizing.
>
>> Working smarter - not harder - is a good concept - but I DO NOT THINK ...
>
> :-)
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

Now you're trying to be a smart "ass" (there's some slang for ya) :) .
Again, it was not "MY" argument here - splitting hairs. AND as for thinking,
I think you ought to go back a couple days or better and reread the threads.
Unlike some in this ridiculous argument, I DO think.. I THINK IT IS CRAZY.
Don't you all have something better to do? I don't care what "YOUR"
dictionary shows. There are some out there for example which show the word
COCK for example - as a type of Bird OR the preparing of a rifle or gun for
firing and leave it at that - while a "few" others will show the "Slang"
term used - as many do - meaning sexual organ. SO - ALL DICTIONARYS ARE NOT
CREATED EQUAL. What may not show up in YOUR dictionary as slang - may
certainly - in others. AND perhaps it was a "misuse" of the word
"Memorize" - I was merely trying to rationalize where you AND AL Klein both
were coming from. Now, neither one of you make any sense - he argues against
memory and you against having to do any work. MANY people "misuse" words -
very much and very badly. MY (mis)use was ONLY intended for the sake of this
STUPID argument.

Lou

3054. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would Ham Radio die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 19:49:52 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1155412192.574567.251920@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7634
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: Steve Stone on Sat, Aug 12 2006 6:31 am
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
rec.radio.scanner,

rec.radio.swap

>Ham radio is gonna be flushed big time if this is all you old farts are
>worried about.

Steve, you may be quite right!

There is NO expression from these federally-authorized morsemen
of amateur radio being a HOBBY. [it is a "service" to the
country or something para-military...and "hobby" is not in the
Rules (except by definition)] Indeed, they bristle and come
unglued at the slightest negativism of their mighty endeavors of
"professional amateurism" with all its rank, status, and glory.
Ham radio can't be just "fun" for its own sake, an enjoyable
pastime, something done for personal pleasure. No, one has to
enjoy ONLY Their views, like what they like, or suffer the
consequences of being considered "lower caste" on par with
river-bottom slime.

These mighty macho morsemen demand OBEDIANCE to their views and
opinions, are quick to call disbelievers any name they choose,
always denigrative, condescending, with heavy overtones of
attempted humiliation. They are the unforgiving in regards to
anyone NOT worshiping their status, rank, titles in amateurism.
Unforgiving to the point of attacking ANYONE against them.
They RULE. [they think...but only in here...]

While these mighty macho morsemen take out their frustrations,
resentments, anger against all not idolizing their opinions,
there are some actual amateur radio issues which need addressing.
The removal or continuation of the morse code test for US amateur
radio license testing is still in limbo; official Comment period
on the NPRM was over late last year. Access BPL recently had a
rules revision/addendum added by the FCC with a Report and Order
released on 7 August 2006. No one in here apparently cares about
it since the "ARRL is on the job," "fighting" to keep HF "safe"
(for their membership?). [ho, ho, some "fight"...]

No one cares to discuss two BIG issues. Everyone is busy, busy,
trying to insult anyone who doesn't subscribe to Their views.
Ain't no "discussion" here, hasn't been for years. Internecine

personal warfare is the order of the day. Everyone in here either obeys the rulings of Big Brother in Newington or they are considered lower forms of (barely) life.

The number of US amateur radio licensees is slowly dropping (expirations greater than new licensees to the tune of 10K in three years). Membership in the ARRL (the "representative" of all, according to them) has never been more than a quarter of all US licensees. Technician class licensees are very very close to being a full half of all classes (49.07% of all individual licensees as of 12 Aug 06).

Lettuce all bow our heads and worship morsemanship...these are the salad days of the brass-pounders. Morse is the answer to everything I'm told. [over and over again] :-)

Beep, beep...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3055. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 20:10:15 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44de358f$0$6597$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 7635
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:9epDg.7812$kO3.4544@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> an old friend wrote:
>> and occionaly I do take it off the shelf and refer to it to exactly
>> that materail
>
> A brilliant lazy person knows that having the answer
> within arm's reach is just as effective as knowing the
> answer and probably much more efficient. At this very
> moment, I have about 60 reference books within arm's
> reach.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

For a short time, you had my attention as being correct at least part of the time - in this ongoing dumb argument. But here's where we part ways - "along with the "memory" deal - which "I" didn't start.

A "brilliant" "lazy" person - isn't worth a dime. Brilliant ? BY WHO's DEFINITION? I have a ton of books in my reach too - so whats your point? Yes, SOME are "reference" books - but many I spent hours in "STUDYING". It is the "reference" books I use for things not used daily or even at times month to month. Books on hobbies I have but not enough time to get into as much - or repair manuals for my test equipment - should I need to do so. Having a ton of books at arms length doesn't substitute for KNOWLEDGE OR WORK. Those "books" sure in hell aren't going to "troubleshoot" equipment for me to earn my pay. They're not going to diagnose my body to heal me. They're not going to fix my vehicle "just" by having them at arms length. They may make you LOOK smart! They won't "make" you a Ham operator, Nuclear Physicist, Doctor, Lawyer, Mechanic, etc.
SO what - you can pull (legal/physics/etc) laws out or Meds and dosages, spark plug clearances and so on - BIG DEAL. anyone with half a brain can do that. Even those who busted their ass to get to the top have to keep "working" at it to STAY at the top. Even today, Bill Gates - smart as he is, I'm sure is still working on "something". I'm sure he doesn't sit there with his ton of "reference" books at arms length - and do NOTHING. And I'm DAMNED sure - he had to study long and hard to learn all he knows to get to where he is today. I will bet my last dime he would tell you himself - it wasn't easy. It took LOTS of hard work, dedication, studying, committment, TRIAL AND ERROR - to make things work. TRIAL AND ERROR doesn't get done sitting on your ass. NOTHING worth while - does. UNLESS of course that so called "brilliant" "lazy" person is collecting a welfare check - smart yes - but not enough to be gainfully employed. Ya know - I have knowledge of a man who can recite transistor theory and much much more - formulas and all from

his head. BUT - the poor bastard can't even solder. He has NO clue on how to troubleshoot or repair. So, tell me - what good is it to JUST be "brilliant"? AND AGAIN - BY WHO'S STANDARDS? Hell, I've known supposedly slow and/or "mentally retarded" people that put so called "knowledgeable" people to shame. They talked with more sense, didn't assume they knew everything and sure in hell weren't afraid to TRY to work. Those who "CLAIM" to know everything - usually aren't worth a plugged nickle. We ALL have something to "learn" each and every day of our lives. Anyone who thinks otherwise or that they know it all - are DEAD already. They won't get anywhere in life. "I" for one will NEVER EVER claim I know "everything". I LOVE to learn new things and look forward to each new adventure - be it repairing something - tackling a new problem never seen before to learning things to do with my "other" activities that take up many hours of my life. In THOSE fields - "knowing it all" can get you KILLED.

Sorry dude - I jumped track from agreeing with you..... Pick it apart all you want. LAZY DOES NOT WORK - no matter which way you cut it. I'm leaning in the direction that you've apparently bought one of those GET RICH QUICK WITHOUT WORKING books..... SORRY DUDE - THEY DON"T WORK.

L.

And as for "Hitler" claiming that - as you said about his admiration for a brilliant lazy man - eh..... last I heard - the man was a fruit cake, lost the war, cost thousands of lives, innocent ones at that - and ended up committing suicide - WHAT A LOSER. And I would want to follow his examples/principles - why?

3056. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 22:01:33 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <5rjsd21oqaa6s2qa3hu7mrlsaciei1d4f2@4ax.com>
Source record: 7636
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 12 Aug 2006 09:57:19 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>it is not a sign sign of any superior knowledge to know that is a
>particular circut is Collpitts or hartley ocilator

It's a sign of having learned it.

> no can that
>difference by learned in any way other than memorization

You still don't understand the difference between memorizing facts and
memorizing answers.

3057. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 22:02:40 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <bujsd2p1kkc5fkctlrepm63b8m06cpbqtt@4ax.com>
Source record: 7637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 14:45:35 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>> Al Klein wrote:
>>>> Showing that you DON'T know the difference.
>
>>> I personally don't care why the unit of resistance
>>> is named the ohm.
>>
>> Which has nothing to do with the discussion.
>
>I do know the difference but the point is that I do not
>*need* to know the history behind that particular choice.

Nor does knowing the history or whether you know the history have
anything to do with it.

3058. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 22:03:15 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <90ksd29a82a6ui32fpl1sshph1f9vld103@4ax.com>
Source record: 7638
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 12 Aug 2006 10:10:55 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>at some level all you can do a merorize

The discussion isn't about WHETHER you memorize, it's about WHAT you
memorize.

3059. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 22:07:22 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <b1ksd2pef2p2g45krbpqf6ilska8b510cc@4ax.com>
Source record: 7639
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 14:54:55 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 02:18:17 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> Al Klein wrote:
>>>> One doesn't, but "first principles" has nothing to do with this
>>>> discussion - a fact you still don't understand.
>>> There's two ways to learn: 1. Memorize knowledge, 2. develop
>>> knowledge from first principles.
>>
>> Which has nothing to do with the difference between memorizing answers
>> and learning theory.
>
>If you are learning theory that someone has already developed,
>you *are* memorizing answers.

Showing that you don't know the difference between the two.

> I *memorized* Ohm's law for my
>Novice exam. I *memorized* the fact that 'I' is the letter
>used for current.

Those weren't the answers, they were the facts that allowed you to
figure out the answers.

>If you are not memorizing answers provided by the people who
>developed the theory, then you are necessarily developing the
>theory from first principles.

The people who developed the theory in the 19th century didn't
"develop" the answers to tests created in the 20th century.

>Avoiding memorizing answers to questions is a good way to
>keep making the same mistakes over and over.

Then you must be an expert in avoidance, since you keep making the
same mistake post after post. Memorizing theory is NOT the same as
memorizing test answers. If information and test answers were the
same, owning a book with the information needed to answer the
questions on any test given in any public school would probably be
illegal in all 50 states.

3060. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 22:09:02 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <09ksd2d8kdt36t61dsk27espsee0e6i2o3@4ax.com>
Source record: 7640
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 12 Aug 2006 10:11:36 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 02:18:17 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>> wrote:

>> >There's two ways to learn: 1. Memorize knowledge, 2. develop
>> >knowledge from first principles.

>> Which has nothing to do with the difference between memorizing answers
>> and learning theory.

>sure it does
>it is the very core of it

Why don't you stay out of discussions you don't understand? We know
you're a fool, why keep proving it? Read Samuel Clemens, at least.

3061. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 22:09:47 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <6cksd25bmlgrgii3q4g2goan6k4r9rk0j2@4ax.com>
Source record: 7641
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 15:01:51 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:
>>> There's a difference between memorizing a formula or method and memorizing
>>> specific answers to specific questions. The former is called learning, and
>>> can be applied to many situations. The latter is called laziness, and
>>> teaches nothing that can be used for any other purpose.
>>
>> You must be at least 6 years old, Brenda Ann - Cecil can't seem to
>> make that distinction. :)
>
>So exactly what is the "formula or method" for determining Extra
>frequency privileges outside of memorizing them?

Since frequency assignments aren't theory, your question is both
irrelevant and incompetent.

3062. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 22:11:40 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <jdksd2plrobg7042i3bfrhtajus7r6gdnu@4ax.com>
Source record: 7642
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 14:41:33 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Brenda Ann wrote:
>> There's a difference between memorizing a formula or method and memorizing
>> specific answers to specific questions. The former is called learning, and
>> can be applied to many situations. The latter is called laziness, and
>> teaches nothing that can be used for any other purpose.
>
>That is just hair-splitting.

The same hair splitting as the difference between stealing money and
earning it - they're both methods of obtaining it.

But, since you don't know the difference between "learning" and
"memorizing", nor which subjects fall into which category, you
probably can't see the parallel.

3063. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 22:13:44 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <phksd21sr6cshcclilva0j6r80seoqgrto@4ax.com>
Source record: 7643
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 15:21:24 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Here's the crux of your communications problem. From Webster's:

"The absolutely worst source of the definition of a technical term is
a non-technical dictionary. If it doesn't get it completely wrong,
the definition doesn't apply to the technical usage, so it's useless,
except for the incompetent to think they've proved a point."

3064. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 22:16:39 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <tmksd2htqcomm0kr6l0tq28et7d4tduj7s@4ax.com>
Source record: 7644
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 12 Aug 2006 10:58:17 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>but there still ramins no need for me to ever know the differentce
>between a collpitts and hartely occilator.

There's no *need* for you to even know that you can use a radio to
talk to people.

There's a need, if we want a ham license to say that the holder of
said license has achieved a certain level of technical competence, to
test for that competence. Otherwise all the license says is "I have
this piece of paper with ink on it".

3065. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 22:18:11 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <dqksd2l8ahs7l8h4maask8u5nekn50h4v7@4ax.com>
Source record: 7645
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 18:37:57 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>an old friend wrote:

>> and occionaly I do take it off the shelf and refer to it to exactly
>> that materail

>A brilliant lazy person knows that having the answer
>within arm's reach is just as effective as knowing the
>answer and probably much more efficient.

You conflated "stupid" with "brilliant".

Any knowledgeable person knows that knowledge is valuable for its own
sake.

3066. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 22:20:35 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <evksd2l62va28sseev8skear44p4m104lc@4ax.com>
Source record: 7646
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 18:33:16 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Sorry, my unabridged dictionary

"Webster's Unabridged" is a trademark, not a claim. It's certainly
abridged.

3067. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 22:23:13 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <42lsd2ddni97cu2bucrj73j36unefvptlg@4ax.com>
Source record: 7647
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 15:12:59 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>L. wrote:
>> Laziness gets you no where - fast.

>Laziness allows one to achieve a goal by the most efficient
>route. Some famous German military leader said he would
>lots rather have brilliant and lazy officers than ambitious
>and stupid ones.

As I recall, he was also known as one of the most idiotic strategists
the species has ever produced. His "fame" didn't stop him from being
the almost single-handed reason his country lost its big war, did it?

> I personally would rather see brilliant
>and lazy amateur radio operators than ambitious and stupid
>ones hanging on for dear life to an obsolete testing
>requirement.

Being both intelligent and ambitious doesn't appear on your radar?

3068. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 22:24:46 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <q6lsd2t6i4ja5f7501f4ekrvqc19snplh4@4ax.com>
Source record: 7648
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 12 Aug 2006 10:10:08 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> On 11 Aug 2006 18:51:28 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Al Klein wrote:
>>
>> >> I think I know what I'm claiming a little better than you do.
>>
>> >not likely
>>
>> Very juvenile of you.

>exactly on point

Your claim to know what I'm thinking better than I do? Only if your
age is a single digit.

>> >your beef has nothing to do with the tests it is to do with end of the
>> >Hazing ritual that is a bout to occour

>> There's a hazing rule in ham radio? Since when?

>sure there is it is called Morse Code testing

You don't win points by redefining words, you just make yourself look
desperate.

3069. Re: You are advised that such threats can themselves be illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 22:25:47 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <salsd2dndc12o06td64oeameo81m20np3q@4ax.com>
Source record: 7649
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 19:01:31 GMT, The Kat <newskat@katxyzkave.net>
wrote:

>Shut the fuck up, moron.

>Learn how to use usenet filters.

Try taking your own advice.

3070. Re: you are advised to caese dists this violation of slander libel and

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 22:37:17 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155422237.380243.296980@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7650
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 11 Aug 2006 18:43:41 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
> >> On Fri, 11 Aug 2006 07:14:22 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
> >> >On 11 Aug 2006 02:48:46 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >you are advised to caese dists this violation of slander libel and
> >> >harressment laws
>
> >> This is not only Usenet (so it's not subject to the laws of any
> >> locality),
>
> >that isn't what the court case law says
>
> Court decisions only hold in the areas of the courts' jurisdictions.
indeed but the supremes are the the law in the USA

> Don't start spouting law to us now - you don't know enough about it to
> cross the street legally.
interesting that you lciam knowledge of what is in my head

3071. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 22:39:24 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155422364.819047.133680@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7551
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 14:45:35 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
> >> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>> Al Klein wrote:
> >>>> Showing that you DON'T know the difference.
> >
> >>> I personally don't care why the unit of resistance
> >>> is named the ohm.
> >>
> >> Which has nothing to do with the discussion.
> >
> >I do know the difference but the point is that I do not
> >*need* to know the history behind that particular choice.
>
> Nor does knowing the history or whether you know the history have
> anything to do with it.
it simply shows some things are best memorized is all

3072. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 22:42:50 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155422570.385867.308540@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7552
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 12 Aug 2006 10:10:55 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >at some level all you can do a merorize
>
> The discussion isn't about WHETHER you memorize, it's about WHAT you
> memorize.
that is a chnge in tune

oncce you accpet that much of the testing involves memizztion the
question then comes down to where is your beef?
if it is that today we then to use multiguess questions pools verus
short answer of bygone day you likely out of luck the extra cost is not
going to be supported within the present system

I agree short answer would be an improvement over multible guess but
teks you issue up with other don't imply that the ams that have taken
and passed the required tetst have not done what is required

you tread awfully close to libel there AL ask an lawyer if you don't
believe me

3073. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 22:43:51 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155422631.434982.49560@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7553
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 14:41:33 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Brenda Ann wrote:
> >> There's a difference between memorizing a formula or method and memorizing
> >> specific answers to specific questions. The former is called learning, and
> >> can be applied to many situations. The latter is called laziness, and
> >> teaches nothing that can be used for any other purpose.
> >
> >That is just hair-splitting.
>
> The same hair splitting as the difference between stealing money and
> earning it - they're both methods of obtaining it.
again you tread close to libel and flatout insaity
>
> But, since you don't know the difference between "learning" and
> "memorizing", nor which subjects fall into which category, you
> probably can't see the parallel.
and again

3074. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 22:49:50 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155422990.129083.131770@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7554
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On 12 Aug 2006 09:57:19 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >it is not a sign sign of any superior knowledge to know that is a
> >particular circut is Collpitts or hartley ocilator
>
> It's a sign of having learned it.
a sign of havigng learned something of NO value
>
> > no can that
> >difference by learned in any way other than memorization
>
> You still don't understand the difference between memorizing facts and
> memorizing answers.
at pesent their is no different when I learn the text of the right
answer to a given I leraned the answer to that question

there is no difference, none at all,

for some of the materail the only rational way of approuching it is
memorization, for other section you can learn some part of the
underlying theroy and use it but short of the Full course of Eltromatic
Theroy I hadin colledge you don't learn much

can you describe and USE Maxwell's equation? I can I found the
knowledge very helpfull on the rf safety question in the pools,
butstill useless without memorizing certain facts that have set as
arbitary level in the regs

the fact I need to an rf eval at at 51 watts on 2m is not something I
can know from guasses equation although guass' law allow me to easily
undertsand theprocess of doing the eval

3075. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 23:10:00 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <bsnsd2ljp616qo8486phe0t004lrf2bp85@4ax.com>
Source record: 7555
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 18:09:02 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On 12 Aug 2006 10:11:36 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Al Klein wrote:
>>> On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 02:18:17 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>>> wrote:
>
>>> >There's two ways to learn: 1. Memorize knowledge, 2. develop
>>> >knowledge from first principles.
>
>>> Which has nothing to do with the difference between memorizing answers
>>> and learning theory.
>
>>sure it does
>>it is the very core of it
>
>Why don't you stay out of discussions you don't understand? We know
>you're a fool, why keep proving it? Read Samuel Clemens, at least.
why don't you stay out of discussions that show so as aold fool not
honest enough he blowing smoke

you are arguing over a difference that does not exist certain not as a
some sharp line
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3076. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 23:13:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <qunsd2d3b03oh035rmh16osc1sn67mmp29@4ax.com>
Source record: 7556
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 18:24:46 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On 12 Aug 2006 10:10:08 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Al Klein wrote:
>>> On 11 Aug 2006 18:51:28 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>> >Al Klein wrote:
>>>
>>> >> I think I know what I'm claiming a little better than you do.
>>>
>>> >not likely
>>>
>>> Very juvenile of you.
>
>>exactly on point
>
>Your claim to know what I'm thinking better than I do? Only if your
>age is a single digit.
sure I know better you are not thinking at all you are reuitating
some bile

you reply "only if your age is a single is all but proof right there
>
>>> >your beef has nothing to do with the tests it is to do with end of the
>>> >Hazing ritual that is a bout to occour
>
>>> There's a hazing rule in ham radio? Since when?
>
>>sure there is it is called Morse Code testing
>
>You don't win points by redefining words, you just make yourself look
>desperate.

no refining word HERE at any rate

you are dancing around sutblies in the menaing of emorizing like mad

and at the same tim e parrot thing that clearly define code testing as
frat house game "having to earn it", there has never been a
requirement to earn the license it has always been reconized that some
not everyone puts the same effort into it as the rest

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3077. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 23:14:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <65osd21lq4gps07j6atbcg9su4cfcq5sa7@4ax.com>
Source record: 7557
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 18:09:47 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 15:01:51 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Al Klein wrote:
>>> <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:
>>>> There's a difference between memorizing a formula or method and memorizing
>>>> specific answers to specific questions. The former is called learning, and
>>>> can be applied to many situations. The latter is called laziness, and
>>>> teaches nothing that can be used for any other purpose.
>>>
>>> You must be at least 6 years old, Brenda Ann - Cecil can't seem to
>>> make that distinction. :)
>>
>>So exactly what is the "formula or method" for determining Extra
>>frequency privileges outside of memorizing them?
>
>Since frequency assignments aren't theory, your question is both
>irrelevant and incompetent.
since feq assignment are large part of the test they go to the core of
the matter
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3078. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 23:14:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <m6osd21dm1afagculdnc420u32ujpt9rss@4ax.com>
Source record: 7558
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 18:18:11 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 18:37:57 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>an old friend wrote:
>
>>> and occionaly I do take it off the shelf and refer to it to exactly
>>> that materail
>
>>A brilliant lazy person knows that having the answer
>>within arm's reach is just as effective as knowing the
>>answer and probably much more efficient.
>
>You conflated "stupid" with "brilliant".
>
>Any knowledgeable person knows that knowledge is valuable for its own
>sake.
and also knows that not all knowledge is equaly valuable
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3079. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 23:16:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <48osd29t9np8c8srpreghml88aits2me93@4ax.com>
Source record: 7559
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 18:16:39 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On 12 Aug 2006 10:58:17 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>wrote:
>
>>but there still ramins no need for me to ever know the differentce
>>between a collpitts and hartely occilator.
>
>There's no *need* for you to even know that you can use a radio to
>talk to people.
there is if I want a license for it
>
>There's a need, if we want a ham license to say that the holder of
>said license has achieved a certain level of technical competence, to
>test for that competence. Otherwise all the license says is "I have
>this piece of paper with ink on it".
no it say I have legal license to do xyz with it that is all it has
ever said, experhaps in the epriod where the general advanced and
Extra class all had the same preveldges
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3080. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 23:17:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <fbosd2hmvoedu7slqgbqqaugkofht5gi05@4ax.com>
Source record: 7560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 18:23:13 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 15:12:59 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>L. wrote:
>>> Laziness gets you no where - fast.
>
>>Laziness allows one to achieve a goal by the most efficient
>>route. Some famous German military leader said he would
>>lots rather have brilliant and lazy officers than ambitious
>>and stupid ones.
>
>As I recall, he was also known as one of the most idiotic strategists
>the species has ever produced. His "fame" didn't stop him from being
>the almost single-handed reason his country lost its big war, did it?
>
>> I personally would rather see brilliant
>>and lazy amateur radio operators than ambitious and stupid
>>ones hanging on for dear life to an obsolete testing
>>requirement.
>
>Being both intelligent and ambitious doesn't appear on your radar?
but it is not a requirement of licensing after you have one
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3081. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 23:38:16 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <IDtDg.6505$1f6.5125@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 7561
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

L. wrote:
> I don't care what "YOUR"
> dictionary shows. There are some out there for example which show the word
> COCK for example - as a type of Bird OR the preparing of a rifle or gun for
> firing and leave it at that - while a "few" others will show the "Slang"
> term used - as many do - meaning sexual organ. SO - ALL DICTIONARYS ARE NOT
> CREATED EQUAL.

From my dictionary: "cock - 5. Slang (vulgar) a. penis. b. sexual
relations with a man."

Seems my 2230 page unabridged dictionary covers slang very well but
does not have a slang definition for "memorize". Please try again.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3082. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 23:51:28 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4QtDg.6510$1f6.2095@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 7562
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

L. wrote:
> I have a ton of books in my reach too - so whats your point?

If you are ambitious enough to already possess the knowledge,
you don't need the books. I am too lazy to try to possess all
knowledge so I need the books. Not having to memorize all
knowledge frees up my mind for creative thought.

> And as for "Hitler" claiming that - as you said about his admiration for a
> brilliant lazy man - eh..... last I heard - the man was a fruit cake,
> lost the war, cost thousands of lives, innocent ones at that - and ended up
> committing suicide - WHAT A LOSER. And I would want to follow his
> examples/principles - why?

The statements that I quoted were from a WWI German military
leader probably uttered while Hitler was still a private or
corporal. Why do you have to try to misrepresent what I said?
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3083. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-12 23:57:13 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <tVtDg.6511$1f6.1703@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 7563
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> Since frequency assignments aren't theory, your question is both
> irrelevant and incompetent.

So the questions on my Extra exam were irrelevant?
In that, I tend to agree with you. I would like to
see one and only one entry level amateur radio exam
leading to one brotherhood of amateur radio operators
devoid of the jealousy, pecking order, and back-biting
apparent in your postings and others.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3084. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 00:04:45 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <x0uDg.6513$1f6.263@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 7564
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> But, since you don't know the difference between "learning" and
> "memorizing", nor which subjects fall into which category, you
> probably can't see the parallel.

Learning is impossible without memorizing. You are
simply ignorant of the definition of the words you
are using. Is English your second language? Again,
from Websters: "memorize - to commit to memory"
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3085. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 00:06:36 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <iqqsd21viptcq9qp3l8q6jatklque3dkf9@4ax.com>
Source record: 7565
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 23:51:28 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>L. wrote:
>> I have a ton of books in my reach too - so whats your point?
>
>If you are ambitious enough to already possess the knowledge,
>you don't need the books. I am too lazy to try to possess all
>knowledge so I need the books. Not having to memorize all
>knowledge frees up my mind for creative thought.
>
>> And as for "Hitler" claiming that - as you said about his admiration for a
>> brilliant lazy man - eh..... last I heard - the man was a fruit cake,
>> lost the war, cost thousands of lives, innocent ones at that - and ended up
>> committing suicide - WHAT A LOSER. And I would want to follow his
>> examples/principles - why?
>
>The statements that I quoted were from a WWI German military
>leader probably uttered while Hitler was still a private or
>corporal. Why do you have to try to misrepresent what I said?
I thought it was WW1 quote and the advice that beat Gemany was that
tehy uboat war would bring the USA ito the war

but the statements are always msirepresented it is about the only tool
left them
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3086. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 00:07:36 UTC
From: Jack Ricci <ricci@mnsi.net>
Message-ID: <eblqg80e1i@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 7572
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

I have a question for " an old friend ".

I noticed that you have a difficult time with writing the English language, with regards to spelling and grammar, etc, but you do try to get the thought across. I have a dyslexic daughter with the same problem, and her teachers in grade school used to flunk her thinking she did not know answers to the test questions, when actually, she really did know and understand, but was afraid to write them out because of her dyslexia and the resultant laughter and snickering that followed. When we all caught on to this finally, we demanded that she be tested aurally, where she was asked the questions out loud, and responded verbally with the answers instead of writing things out. This made a hell of a difference in assessing her learning and understanding during her education in the early years. As her father, I was hard on her because of the frustration she caused us at first when we did not understand what was really happening, and I still feel guilty about it to this day.

Are you a native North American, or are you an immigrant? When you did your ham test, or any test, did the teachers/instructors make these allowances or alternate testing methods for you also? Were they easy on you, or did they show frustration? Did they understand where you were coming from? Did you have a pleasant attitude to deal with? I am not making fun of you. This is a serious question. Thanks,

Jack

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1155422990.129083.131770@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> Al Klein wrote:
>> On 12 Aug 2006 09:57:19 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >it is not a sign sign of any superior knowledge to know that is a
>> >particular circut is Collpitts or hartley ocilator
>>
>> It's a sign of having learned it.
> a sign of havigng learned something of NO value
>>
>> > no can that
>> >difference by learned in any way other than memorization
>>
>> You still don't understand the difference between memorizing facts and

>> memorizing answers.
> at pesent their is no different when I learn the text of the right
> answer to a given I leraned the answer to that question
>
> there is no difference, none at all,
>
> for some of the materail the only rational way of approuching it is
> memorization, for other section you can learn some part of the
> underlying theroy and use it but short of the Full course of Eltromatic
> Theroy I hadin colledge you don't learn much
>
> can you describe and USE Maxwell's equation? I can I found the
> knowledge very helpfull on the rf safety question in the pools,
> butstill useless without memorizing certain facts that have set as
> arbitary level in the regs
>
> the fact I need to an rf eval at at 51 watts on 2m is not something I
> can know from guasses equation although guass' law allow me to easily
> undertsand theprocess of doing the eval
>

3087. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 00:07:55 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44de6d87$0$6607$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 7567
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:IDtDg.6505$1f6.5125@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
> L. wrote:
>> I don't care what "YOUR" dictionary shows. There are some out there for
>> example which show the word COCK for example - as a type of Bird OR the
>> preparing of a rifle or gun for firing and leave it at that - while a
>> "few" others will show the "Slang" term used - as many do - meaning
>> sexual organ. SO - ALL DICTIONARYS ARE NOT CREATED EQUAL.
>
> From my dictionary: "cock - 5. Slang (vulgar) a. penis. b. sexual
> relations with a man."
>
> Seems my 2230 page unabridged dictionary covers slang very well but
> does not have a slang definition for "memorize". Please try again.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

I don't care what your dictionary says. PEOPLE MISUSE words ALL the time -
and definately in this case. "I" again STATE - "I" DID NOT START the "hair
splitting" argument. I was merely trying to rationalize where BOTH of you
were coming from and sadly neither of you know yourselves. AGAIN I SAY -
THIS WHOLE FREAKING ARGUMENT IS - STUPID.

L.

3088. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 00:08:14 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <O3uDg.6515$1f6.6421@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 7566
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> Here's the crux of your communications problem. From Webster's:
>
> "The absolutely worst source of the definition of a technical term is
> a non-technical dictionary.

"Memorize" is NOT a technical word. Please get
back to us when you have talked the IEEE into
putting your special definition of "memorize"
into their technical dictionary.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3089. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 00:11:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <thrsd2pjmvmchsb2st90uu1tlndtgnvojg@4ax.com>
Source record: 7568
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 23:57:13 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> Since frequency assignments aren't theory, your question is both
>> irrelevant and incompetent.
>
>So the questions on my Extra exam were irrelevant?
>In that, I tend to agree with you. I would like to
>see one and only one entry level amateur radio exam
>leading to one brotherhood of amateur radio operators
>devoid of the jealousy, pecking order, and back-biting
>apparent in your postings and others.
amen
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3090. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 00:12:09 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <iirsd2tsrfgsrabheaa9m2atu4rptkqvlk@4ax.com>
Source record: 7569
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 20:07:55 -0400, "L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote:

>"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:IDtDg.6505$1f6.5125@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
>> L. wrote:
>>> I don't care what "YOUR" dictionary shows. There are some out there for
>>> example which show the word COCK for example - as a type of Bird OR the
>>> preparing of a rifle or gun for firing and leave it at that - while a
>>> "few" others will show the "Slang" term used - as many do - meaning
>>> sexual organ. SO - ALL DICTIONARYS ARE NOT CREATED EQUAL.
>>
>> From my dictionary: "cock - 5. Slang (vulgar) a. penis. b. sexual
>> relations with a man."
>>
>> Seems my 2230 page unabridged dictionary covers slang very well but
>> does not have a slang definition for "memorize". Please try again.
>> --
>> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
>I don't care what your dictionary says. PEOPLE MISUSE words ALL the time -
>and definately in this case. "I" again STATE - "I" DID NOT START the "hair
>splitting" argument. I was merely trying to rationalize where BOTH of you
>were coming from and sadly neither of you know yourselves. AGAIN I SAY -
>THIS WHOLE FREAKING ARGUMENT IS - STUPID.
then bail
>
>L.
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3091. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 00:17:10 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44de6f6e$0$6604$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 7570
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:4QtDg.6510$1f6.2095@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
> L. wrote:
>> I have a ton of books in my reach too - so whats your point?
>
> If you are ambitious enough to already possess the knowledge,
> you don't need the books. I am too lazy to try to possess all
> knowledge so I need the books. Not having to memorize all
> knowledge frees up my mind for creative thought.
>
>> And as for "Hitler" claiming that - as you said about his admiration for
>> a brilliant lazy man - eh..... last I heard - the man was a fruit
>> cake, lost the war, cost thousands of lives, innocent ones at that - and
>> ended up committing suicide - WHAT A LOSER. And I would want to follow
>> his examples/principles - why?
>
> The statements that I quoted were from a WWI German military
> leader probably uttered while Hitler was still a private or
> corporal. Why do you have to try to misrepresent what I said?
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

OK, so maybe I misrepresented your quote "by accident" - big deal. HITLER was still a loser as was/is ANY ONE who bought/buys into that STUPID philosophy you spoke of. Pretty damned sad when you - speaking of ANYONE it applies to - are too "lazy" to "learn". That is almost laughable. Creative thinking - without knowledge? Surely you jest!

I'm done arguing with someone who is "too" lazy to "learn". I'd prefer to talk to those who have "intelligence" to refer to - to stimulate the conversation. Good luck in your monologue.

L.

3092. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 00:22:31 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <bhuDg.6516$1f6.5548@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 7571
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> Laziness allows one to achieve a goal by the most efficient
>> route. Some famous German military leader said he would
>> lots rather have brilliant and lazy officers than ambitious
>> and stupid ones.
>
> As I recall, he was also known as one of the most idiotic strategists
> the species has ever produced. His "fame" didn't stop him from being
> the almost single-handed reason his country lost its big war, did it?

This was a WWI German officer and I don't recall his name.
Why do you think he was an idiotic strategist? Why do you
think he single-handedly lost WWI? If you know so much about
him, what was his name?

> Being both intelligent and ambitious doesn't appear on your radar?

The pride, lust, and greed usually accompanying ambition are
a good percentage of the seven deadly sins.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3093. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 00:25:43 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155428743.401993.321560@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7573
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

L. wrote:
> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:4QtDg.6510$1f6.2095@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
> > L. wrote:
> >> I have a ton of books in my reach too - so whats your point?
> >
> > If you are ambitious enough to already possess the knowledge,
> > you don't need the books. I am too lazy to try to possess all
> > knowledge so I need the books. Not having to memorize all
> > knowledge frees up my mind for creative thought.
> >
> >> And as for "Hitler" claiming that - as you said about his admiration for
> >> a brilliant lazy man - eh..... last I heard - the man was a fruit
> >> cake, lost the war, cost thousands of lives, innocent ones at that - and
> >> ended up committing suicide - WHAT A LOSER. And I would want to follow
> >> his examples/principles - why?
> >
> > The statements that I quoted were from a WWI German military
> > leader probably uttered while Hitler was still a private or
> > corporal. Why do you have to try to misrepresent what I said?
> > --
> > 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
> OK, so maybe I misrepresented your quote "by accident" - big deal.
it shows that you are ignorant of what you are tlaking about
>HITLER
> was still a loser as was/is ANY ONE who bought/buys into that STUPID
> philosophy you spoke of.
base effort t atr anyone that believes something you don't as a Nazi
> Pretty damned sad when you - speaking of ANYONE it
> applies to - are too "lazy" to "learn". That is almost laughable. Creative
> thinking - without knowledge? Surely you jest!
without occopiing ones mind by consdiering triva
>
> I'm done arguing with someone who is "too" lazy to "learn". I'd prefer to
> talk to those who have "intelligence" to refer to - to stimulate the
> conversation. Good luck in your monologue.

hardly a monlogou why do you misrepresent the state of reality
>
> L.

3094. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 00:30:52 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <0puDg.6518$1f6.5481@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 7574
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

L. wrote:
> THIS WHOLE FREAKING ARGUMENT IS - STUPID.

Yes, now you are getting it. I remember when hams like you
were trying to keep the single-sidewinders off the air in
the 1950's.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3095. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 00:35:04 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155429304.512353.16400@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7575
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Jack Ricci wrote:
> I have a question for " an old friend ".
>
> I noticed that you have a difficult time with writing the English
> language, with regards to spelling and grammar, etc, but you do try to get
> the thought across. I have a dyslexic daughter with the same problem, and
> her teachers in grade school used to flunk her thinking she did not know
> answers to the test questions, when actually, she really did know and
> understand, but was afraid to write them out because of her dyslexia and the
> resultant laughter and snickering that followed. When we all caught on to
> this finally, we demanded that she be tested aurally, where she was asked
> the questions out loud, and responded verbally with the answers instead of
> writing things out. This made a hell of a difference in assessing her
> learning and understanding during her education in the early years. As her
> father, I was hard on her because of the frustration she caused us at first
> when we did not understand what was really happening, and I still feel
> guilty about it to this day.

I was diagnosed as Duslexic and am at a better occasion verbaly of
course I decided that I would not allow the mocking of the close minded
to stop me expressing myself when I choose
>
> Are you a native North American, or are you an immigrant?
intteresting I am a native american citizen but was in fact born abaord
with my folks working in the srvice of Uncle Sam
>When you did
> your ham test, or any test, did the teachers/instructors make these
> allowances or alternate testing methods for you also?
nothing but given the nature of my knowledge I needed none for the
written testing but the VE system bascial refuses any real accomadation
in making an effort at code testing

in tests in Hs it got easier once my dyslexia was discovered amusingly
it result of the mangling I did in takeing code tests (one of the
tester was also a specail ed teacher and thought I might be dyslexic)

> Were they easy on you,
> or did they show frustration?
depends
> Did they understand where you were coming
> from?
agian depends
>Did you have a pleasant attitude to deal with?

pleasent to a point but frankly to survie and do I wanted I have a
SERIOUS pugnaous streak of course

the posters on here seem to work to bring that to the fore
> I am not making fun of
> you. This is a serious question. Thanks,
in the arguement here frank it shows just what memorization can do Like
Tom cruise I can memorize vasts amounts of data ((I do some
professional acting)
>
> Jack
>

3096. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 00:35:40 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <eblrvm$4st$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 7576
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
--
Say no to institutionalized interference.
Just say NO to HD/IBOC!
"L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote in message
news:44de150a$0$6591$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net...
> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:UlmDg.9457$FN2.1600@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>> L. wrote:
>>> YES - you could simply "memorize" (not really commit to memory)
>>
>> Here's the crux of your communications problem. From Webster's:
>> "memorize - to commit to memory". *Everything* that one
>> memorizes is the act of committing something to memory. You
>> definitely need to pick a different word than "memorize"
>> to describe the concept you are trying to present. Memorizing
>> is how human beings remember things and it is a good thing.
>> --
>> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
> Eh, excuse me, it was not "I" who started splitting hairs here with regard
> to MEMORY AND MEMORIZATION.
> "I" KNOW what "memorization" means - I was using it in the "SLANG" sense
> that YOU all are arguing about - memorizing something for just a few
> moments of need as opposed to a "lifetime". There ARE "SLANG" uses for
> popular words which do NOT coincide necessarily with Webster. Again, it
> was not "I" who started this ridiculous argument.
>
> AND for what it is worth - if you're (whoever this applies to) that
> freaking lazy to not want to have to "learn" something - then it is no
> damned wonder this country is going to hell. The downfall of our Education
> system begins with that very principle (refusal to learn). I don't give a
> crap WHAT Hitler or some other idiot said about being lazy and smart - if
> you're lazy - you are no damned good to society or yourself for that
> matter. The REST of us who have to pick up the pace to deal with the
> slackers. I'll be damned if I ever hire a "LAZY" smart person. I want a
> person who is going to EARN their keep. Sitting there telling me how
> things should be isn't what I would hire them for - the purpose is to DO
> the things as they're supposed to be done.
>
> Working smarter - not harder - is a good concept - but I DO NOT THINK -
> the originator of it meant for LAZY asses to be using it as an EXCUSE to
> not have to learn. I live by that concept (work smarter - not harder)
> often but it sure in hell hasn't stopped me from having to - OR DESIRING
```

> to LEARN.
>
> Lou

Let me rephrase my original statement. Learning something means you can actually use what you learn. It is more than the ability to parrot what you have memorized. You can memorize the answers from the back of the Teacher's Edition of a textbook, but you are not LEARNING anything. This is exactly what you are doing when you memorize the answers to a pool of questions. You don't KNOW anything. If you LEARN the material, you can take any given set of parameters and formulate an answer to anything you need to know, WITHOUT having to go to the shelf to look it up in a book (which, BTW, you can't do when you're needing complex mathematical equation to figure out the frequency of resonance for a capacitance of 120pF and an inductance of 3uH (or the x of c or x of l for such a circuit).

Of course, none of this means anything to an appliance operator.

Appliance operator: n. c. 1985 An amateur radio operator who knows only enough to tune the VFO on his radio and speak into the microphone. Some advanced appliance operators know enough to connect other peripheral devices such as digital mode devices or power amplifiers, but do not know how these devices work, nor how to construct such devices.

3097. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 00:37:41 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <pvuDg.6519$1f6.2390@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 7577
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

L. wrote:
> I'm done arguing with someone who is "too" lazy to "learn". I'd prefer to
> talk to those who have "intelligence" to refer to - to stimulate the
> conversation.

My MENSA membership number is 1006281.
What's your MENSA membership number?
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3098. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 00:48:36 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <EFuDg.6525$1f6.423@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 7578
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Brenda Ann wrote:
> Some
> advanced appliance operators know enough to connect other peripheral devices
> such as digital mode devices or power amplifiers, but do not know how these
> devices work, nor how to construct such devices.

An amateur radio license is an entry level license. It is
not a university degree. When I obtained all amateur privileges
at the age of 15, I didn't know squat. All I had done is memorize
the ARRL License Manual. Six years later I had a EE degree. What
is wrong with learning the technical stuff after one obtains his
entry level license?

Do you know how to construct an IC-756PROII?
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3099. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 01:14:20 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <eblu84$6sk$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 7579
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
--
Say no to institutionalized interference.
Just say NO to HD/IBOC!
"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:pvuDg.6519$1f6.2390@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
> L. wrote:
>> I'm done arguing with someone who is "too" lazy to "learn". I'd prefer to
>> talk to those who have "intelligence" to refer to - to stimulate the
>> conversation.
>
> My MENSA membership number is 1006281.
> What's your MENSA membership number?
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

A MENSA membership means you have a high intelligence quotient. A high
intelligence quotient does not necessarily mean you know anything, only that
if you apply yourself that you have the ability to learn more easily than an
average person. I know some very lazy MENSA members that can't even spell a
large number of the words they use.

In other words, even people above average intelligence can be and often are
too lazy to learn. They do manage to apply what they do know better than
most.
```

3100. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 01:45:05 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1155433505.131116.226510@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7580
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Cecil Moore wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> >> You denigrate the resistor code.
> >
> > Not at all. It's a lot better than having the value printed on the
> > resistor in numbers. Even with MIL quality and transparent coatings,
> > the numbers on 1/8 watt resistors are kind of hard to read.

> Even with the resistor color code, most of us *MEMORIZED*
> a jingle like:
> Bad Boys Rape Our Young Girls But Violet Gives Willingly
> I believe the military used to teach their technicians
> to *MEMORIZE* that jingle. Exactly how does one develop
> the resistor color code from first principles?
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

How did capacitors escape getting color coded?

3101. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 01:58:18 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155434298.409922.126140@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7581
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Cecil Moore wrote:
> > Al Klein wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > >> You denigrate the resistor code.
> > >
> > > Not at all. It's a lot better than having the value printed on the
> > > resistor in numbers. Even with MIL quality and transparent coatings,
> > > the numbers on 1/8 watt resistors are kind of hard to read.
>
> > Even with the resistor color code, most of us *MEMORIZED*
> > a jingle like:
> > Bad Boys Rape Our Young Girls But Violet Gives Willingly
> > I believe the military used to teach their technicians
> > to *MEMORIZE* that jingle. Exactly how does one develop
> > the resistor color code from first principles?
> > --
> > 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
> How did capacitors escape getting color coded?
sshhhhhh bb don't ask such questions please
```

3102. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 02:06:13 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <ebm19d$a17$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 7582
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
--
Say no to institutionalized interference.
Just say NO to HD/IBOC!
<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1155433505.131116.226510@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
> Cecil Moore wrote:
>> Al Klein wrote:
>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>> >> You denigrate the resistor code.
>> >
>> > Not at all. It's a lot better than having the value printed on the
>> > resistor in numbers. Even with MIL quality and transparent coatings,
>> > the numbers on 1/8 watt resistors are kind of hard to read.
>
>> Even with the resistor color code, most of us *MEMORIZED*
>> a jingle like:
>> Bad Boys Rape Our Young Girls But Violet Gives Willingly
>> I believe the military used to teach their technicians
>> to *MEMORIZE* that jingle. Exactly how does one develop
>> the resistor color code from first principles?
>> --
>> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
> How did capacitors escape getting color coded?
>

For a long time, they were.

The coding system was similar to that for resistors, but there were
additional stripes or dots to denote voltage rating.

http://www.pickguardian.com/pickguardian/Images/Sprague%20Bumblebee%20Chart.pdf

and

http://www.tpub.com/neets/book2/3g.htm
```

3103. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 02:25:26 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <q4wDg.4978$%j7.3883@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 7583
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> How did capacitors escape getting color coded?

They didn't. I still have boxes of silver mica caps
that use essentially the same color code as resistors.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3104. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 02:27:59 UTC
From: cmdr buzz corey <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <1155436079.805562.268380@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7584
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On 10 Aug 2006 22:12:58 -0700, "cmdr buzz corey"
> <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote:
>
> >an old friend wrote:
>
> >> I am honest. I don't think CW has ANY value in todays world.
>
> >Kinda like you.
>
> Except that code was useful once.

And still more useful today than an old idiot is.

3105. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 04:29:30 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <44DEAAAA.7030203@fuse.net>
Source record: 7585
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Cecil Moore wrote:
> user@private-mail-domain.invalid wrote:
>
>> It used to be that there weren't a set of questions with
>> corresponding answers - there was a syllabus from which the questions
>> were set. It took understanding of the syllabus to apply the formulae
>> that had been learnt to calculate the answer.
>
>
> It is true that the 1950's License Manuals were not multiple
> choice but the exams were. The License Manuals went like this:
>
> Q: What is the unit of electrical resistance?
>
> A: The unit of electrical resistance is the ohm.
>
> The exam then had multiple choices, one of them being "ohm".
>
> It is hard to understand how anyone could develop that correct
> answer from first principles or formulas. I memorized the
> correct answer and it still exists in my memory as something
> I once memorized long before I ever knew there was a man named
> Ohm after whom the unit of electrical resistance was named.
>
> The difference between memorizing the question pool answers
> from the 1950's License Manuals and memorizing the question
> pool answers of today is just splitting hairs. I used exactly
> the same memorizing techniques to ace the Extra exam in
> 2000 as I did to pass the Conditional exam in 1953.
Here here (!)
Cecil, I'm happy to agree with you.

Modern testing techniques are intended to be transparent. This is true
for FCC exams, Postal exams, any and all government qualification exams.
(And because of this, all qualifying exams in the private sector, as
well.)

It took a lot of litigation to get there. Government agencies had to
prove that their qualifying exams were directly linked to the specific
tasks required of the given position for which the individual was applying.

A Postal Carrier needn't know Pythagorus' theorum to deliver the mail.
I took the Postal Carrier exam 35 years ago and did not do well. I
wasn't good at sorting on a timed basis.

This notion of a "cheapening" of FCC requirements because the question pool is open to the public is a red herring: transparency is the rule. If you look at the question pool and study it, you will gain the necessary expertise to pass the exam. This is not cheating, nor is it short-circuiting the "REAL" ham radio "requirements" that some view as sacrosanct.

I used ARRL manuals to pass the Extra Exam and I do not defer to anyone in this regard. Does this make me a ham radio genius? Not AT ALL. Man, I have SO MUCH to learn. This newsgroup is "potentially" very helpful! For that, I give thanks.

You know, I love ham radio. I'm happy so many join the ranks each year. If there still is a concern out there, be an Elmer and address it.

John
AB8O (yeah, I changed my call)

3106. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 04:42:15 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <44DEADA7.5010806@fuse.net>
Source record: 7586
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

To me, MENSA is a sort of "Who's Who". As such, one can value it
appropriately or ignore it completely. To me, it's a sort of conundrum:
If you are confident in your intelligence, why do you need validation?
Is it the obverse of the T-shirt "I'm with stupid"?

My apologies for adding to this old and fraying thread.

John
AB8O

3107. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 06:23:13 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44dec558$0$6587$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 7587
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:eblu84$6sk$1@news2.kornet.net...
>
>
> --
> Say no to institutionalized interference.
> Just say NO to HD/IBOC!
> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pvuDg.6519$1f6.2390@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
>> L. wrote:
>>> I'm done arguing with someone who is "too" lazy to "learn". I'd prefer
>>> to talk to those who have "intelligence" to refer to - to stimulate the
>>> conversation.
>>
>> My MENSA membership number is 1006281.
>> What's your MENSA membership number?
>> --
>> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
> A MENSA membership means you have a high intelligence quotient. A high
> intelligence quotient does not necessarily mean you know anything, only
> that if you apply yourself that you have the ability to learn more easily
> than an average person. I know some very lazy MENSA members that can't
> even spell a large number of the words they use.
>
> In other words, even people above average intelligence can be and often
> are too lazy to learn. They do manage to apply what they do know better
> than most.
>

Mensa - who gives a damned? Most of the "brilliant" people I've ever met -
had their thumbs up their ass when it came to doing the basic things in
life - they can't do them. What the hell good is a "brilliant" person if
they're too stupid to know how or lazy to do "basic" things? If having a
Mensa number means you're bankrupt in common sense or "lazy", I'd rather not
be a member - thank you. Often, it is "common sense" which gets you
through - NOT "brilliance". From all I've ever heard and /or seen -
"brilliance" and "common sense" don't go hand in hand. Sitting in a chair
with a bunch of books behind you to make you "appear" smart and not getting
off your lazy ass to use it or to further your education - is not a
"productive" person. I know a few welfare bums who fall into that
description- "appear" smart, "act" smart - lazy as hell. Being in the center
of a University Library with books on most any subject - is NOT going to

make you any more intelligent if you don't venture to "learn". Even at that, if you don't use it, you lose it.

This is funny as all hell..... some shmuck "claiming" to be a "genious" coming in here and trying to make the rest of us seem inferior to him. No one is any better than the rest of us. Their crap doesn't stink any less than ours. Just when he starts losing his grip on the argument, he pulls this so called mensa number out - just like a race card. So, what, we're supposed to take pity? Bow down? I don't think so! The answer to that is "PLONK".
There was another party a few years ago tried that - and after about a month - him and his self proclaimed "geniousness" - disappeared. The idea here is to come in - share ideas, thoughts, "help" if possible - NOT try to place yourself on a throne above all. I can assure you the majority of us don't tolerate that attitude. You can agree or agree to disagree on any use of words, theories, laws, principles, strategies, etc. But when you put yourself on a pedestal..... the game is over - you'll either find one of your mensa friends to keep you company or be an awful lonely person on here.

To respond to a statement regarding me in a previous post - Hams like "me" NEVER kept or attempted to keep any one off the air. If anything, I've gotten people "involved" in Amateur Radio - with one (12 years old at the time) going on to higher education once out of high school - and getting a very good job from it. His parents couldn't thank me enough. Even if that is the only good example of the good I am/was able to do - in Amateur Radio, then I'm satisfied. But I haven't stopped there..... I teach Amateur Radio classes when asked - and on other occasions - I've taught basic electronics - taking those folks beyond the Study Guide.
It is nice to be able to "use" and "impart" that knowledge so that others can benefit.

3108. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 07:17:51 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44ded225$0$6604$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 7589
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"jawod" <jawod@fuse.net> wrote in message news:44DEAAAA.7030203@fuse.net...
> Cecil Moore wrote:
>> user@private-mail-domain.invalid wrote:
>>
>>> It used to be that there weren't a set of questions with
>>> corresponding answers - there was a syllabus from which the questions
>>> were set. It took understanding of the syllabus to apply the formulae
>>> that had been learnt to calculate the answer.
>>
>>
>> It is true that the 1950's License Manuals were not multiple
>> choice but the exams were. The License Manuals went like this:
>>
>> Q: What is the unit of electrical resistance?
>>
>> A: The unit of electrical resistance is the ohm.
>>
>> The exam then had multiple choices, one of them being "ohm".
>>
>> It is hard to understand how anyone could develop that correct
>> answer from first principles or formulas. I memorized the
>> correct answer and it still exists in my memory as something
>> I once memorized long before I ever knew there was a man named
>> Ohm after whom the unit of electrical resistance was named.
>>
>> The difference between memorizing the question pool answers
>> from the 1950's License Manuals and memorizing the question
>> pool answers of today is just splitting hairs. I used exactly
>> the same memorizing techniques to ace the Extra exam in
>> 2000 as I did to pass the Conditional exam in 1953.
> Here here (!)
> Cecil, I'm happy to agree with you.
>
> Modern testing techniques are intended to be transparent. This is true for
> FCC exams, Postal exams, any and all government qualification exams.
> (And because of this, all qualifying exams in the private sector, as
> well.)
>
> It took a lot of litigation to get there. Government agencies had to
> prove that their qualifying exams were directly linked to the specific
> tasks required of the given position for which the individual was
> applying.
>

> A Postal Carrier needn't know Pythagorus' theorum to deliver the mail.
> I took the Postal Carrier exam 35 years ago and did not do well. I wasn't
> good at sorting on a timed basis.
>
> This notion of a "cheapening" of FCC requirements because the question
> pool is open to the public is a red herring: transparency is the rule. If
> you look at the question pool and study it, you will gain the necessary
> expertise to pass the exam. This is not cheating, nor is it
> short-circuiting the "REAL" ham radio "requirements" that some view as
> sacrosanct.
>
> I used ARRL manuals to pass the Extra Exam and I do not defer to anyone in
> this regard. Does this make me a ham radio genius? Not AT ALL. Man, I
> have SO MUCH to learn. This newsgroup is "potentially" very helpful!
> For that, I give thanks.
>
> You know, I love ham radio. I'm happy so many join the ranks each year.
> If there still is a concern out there, be an Elmer and address it.
>
> John
> AB8O (yeah, I changed my call)

I don't know if there is actually any truth to this BUT - the dumbing down "allegedly" started back in Reagan's day or was it George Srs? - when someone here in the U.S. apparently couldn't pass the exam - and being he was in good relations with the then King of Jordan, the King of Jordan asked Reagan or Bush Sr to do something to help out. I've heard that story a few times. True or not - the tests have changed drastically.

The tests really (in my opinion) aren't meant to "teach" anything or shall we say - show any "in depth proficiency". The study guides give you "just" enough to pass the exam - without going into a lot of information. You certainly can't pass a novice OR extra exam and instantly qualify yourself as an Electronics Technician. The material just isn't that in depth. For that matter, the GROL tests and accompanying manuals don't do a hell of a lot either for "teaching" - or showing "proficiency". They're merely meant to get you in the door - period. The latter is scary - considering that the GROL though diminished in need in most cases as of this point in time - IS still required in "some" cases - as a sign of "knowledge" of the subject. That is why many places that I know of, produce their own exams - designed to actually test your knowledge. One guy I know - been in 2 way radio for years, good at it, smart as a whip - took an entry exam to get a new job. Said that was the most in depth - "drilling" - organized test he ever took, considering he had his Commercial license "pre- GROL" and his amateur license.

I have read articles from a leading publication in the industry that there is a move afoot to get the FCC Commercial License - some teeth put back in. Why? - Due to an increasing issue with interference and so on. Seems to me, though in some cases - the horses are already out of the barn and "maybe" too late to try to close the doors, changes "could" be made. What gets me,

is that article spoke of another agency doing the testing and licensing. So - in other words - the FCC - our "RADIO" governing agency has lost its grip on this? IF the FCC can't control it, how the hell is some "private" agency? Not that it would happen, but I have to wonder just "how" they may want to try to differentiate between those with GROLs and any subsequent endorsements - of those who just read a "study guide" enough to pass - from those who have "actual" electronics schooling?

As an examiner for FCC Commercial AND Amateur exams, I've seen many folks come through. You can usually pick out those who know their stuff and those who do not. You can also usually pick out those who DO know the stuff but freeze on exams. For what it is worth - at least in my experience here, most who have "schooling" do NOT usually bring any type of "study guide". I've tested whole groups of School students and not one study guide was to be seen. Same goes for those who are "comfortable" with their knowledge of Ham radio. They simply feel - if they don't know it by test time - then no sense testing. For the GROL, it is an expensive proposition if you don't know your stuff or haven't been able to grasp the study guide material. Back in the day - it was usually the cost of a LONG trip (maybe lodging too) to an FCC office, now they're more local but cost is still there - pass or fail. Come to think of it, if the current rate increases stay the same - soon - the Amateur Exams will cost almost if not as much as the GROL! They're almost half way there now - depending on which VEC/TCM you go through.

Yes, it is going to be very interesting indeed to see how things progress not only in the Amateur Licensing area, but also the "Commercial" side as well - for the next few years at least.

L.

3109. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 07:31:26 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44ded554$0$6596$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 7590
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Olie" <nospam@nobody> wrote in message news:7j8t6.7pu.19.1@news.alt.net...
>
>>>
>>> My MENSA membership number is 1006281.
>>> What's your MENSA membership number?
>>> --
>>> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>>
>> A MENSA membership means you have a high intelligence quotient. A high
>> intelligence quotient does not necessarily mean you know anything, only
>> that if you apply yourself that you have the ability to learn more easily
>> than an average person. I know some very lazy MENSA members that can't
>> even spell a large number of the words they use.
>>
>> In other words, even people above average intelligence can be and often
>> are too lazy to learn. They do manage to apply what they do know better
>> than most.
>>
>
> Mensa - who gives a damned? Most of the "brilliant" people I've ever met -
> had their thumbs up their ass when it came to doing the basic things in
> life - they can't do them. What the hell good is a "brilliant" person if
> they're too stupid to know how or lazy to do "basic" things? If having a
> Mensa number means you're bankrupt in common sense or "lazy", I'd rather
> not
> be a member - thank you. Often, it is "common sense" which gets you
> through - NOT "brilliance". From all I've ever heard and /or seen -
> "brilliance" and "common sense" don't go hand in hand. Sitting in a chair
> with a bunch of books behind you to make you "appear" smart and not
> getting
> off your lazy ass to use it or to further your education - is not a
> "productive" person. I know a few welfare bums who fall into that
> description- "appear" smart, "act" smart - lazy as hell. Being in the
> center
> of a University Library with books on most any subject - is NOT going to
> make you any more intelligent if you don't venture to "learn". Even at
> that,
> if you don't use it, you lose it.
>
> ....
> This is funny as all getout <angry diatribe snipped>
>
> Obviously the person claiming to be a Mensa member has poked a figurative

> finger into your soft spot. Sensitive, are you? Taking umbrage? Apparently
> you feel that the alleged Mensa member has slighted you either directly or
> indirectly, ergo your lengthy and uncalled-for diatribe.
> Of course, in your self-righteous response you probably did not consider
> the
> possibility that the "Mensa" person was a troll. Makes no difference, does
> it? He certainly set you off on a rant.
> I give him five stars for that.
>
> Sit back, read the post again and view it with a bit of a tongue-in-cheek
> sense of humor. It will lower your blood pressure. Even if the guy IS a
> Mensa member, by the simple fact of him bragging about same shows that he
> is
> a blowgut braggart whose ego has gotten in the way of common sense.
> I doubt he is what he says he is, but he certainly elicited a wordy
> response
> from you.
> He wins. You lost.
>

Eh, not really..... I'm "agreeing" with you as to his content. As to mine, I was basically replying to what Brenda Ann had said. He didn't hit a "soft spot". People like that - I just find very ignorant. You're right, it is funny! My exchange with him died with my last contact with him. I'm merely replying to others. AND giving my opinion about people like that. Him get to me? THAT IS FUNNY! I've had better people try. So, in that regard I'll disagree with you. He didn't budge my blood pressure - one iota. So, sorry - he gets no stars. I've just agreed to disagree with him and am agreeing with the others - YOU included (to the point mentioned).

L.

3110. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 08:12:52 UTC
From: Olie <nospam@nobody>
Message-ID: <7j8t6.7pu.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 7588
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>>
>> My MENSA membership number is 1006281.
>> What's your MENSA membership number?
>> --
>> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
> A MENSA membership means you have a high intelligence quotient. A high
> intelligence quotient does not necessarily mean you know anything, only
> that if you apply yourself that you have the ability to learn more easily
> than an average person. I know some very lazy MENSA members that can't
> even spell a large number of the words they use.
>
> In other words, even people above average intelligence can be and often
> are too lazy to learn. They do manage to apply what they do know better
> than most.
>

Mensa - who gives a damned? Most of the "brilliant" people I've ever met - had their thumbs up their ass when it came to doing the basic things in life - they can't do them. What the hell good is a "brilliant" person if they're too stupid to know how or lazy to do "basic" things? If having a Mensa number means you're bankrupt in common sense or "lazy", I'd rather not be a member - thank you. Often, it is "common sense" which gets you through - NOT "brilliance". From all I've ever heard and /or seen - "brilliance" and "common sense" don't go hand in hand. Sitting in a chair with a bunch of books behind you to make you "appear" smart and not getting off your lazy ass to use it or to further your education - is not a "productive" person. I know a few welfare bums who fall into that description- "appear" smart, "act" smart - lazy as hell. Being in the center of a University Library with books on most any subject - is NOT going to make you any more intelligent if you don't venture to "learn". Even at that, if you don't use it, you lose it.

....
This is funny as all getout <angry diatribe snipped>

Obviously the person claiming to be a Mensa member has poked a figurative finger into your soft spot. Sensitive, are you? Taking umbrage? Apparently you feel that the alleged Mensa member has slighted you either directly or indirectly, ergo your lengthy and uncalled-for diatribe.
Of course, in your self-righteous response you probably did not consider the possibility that the "Mensa" person was a troll. Makes no difference, does it? He certainly set you off on a rant.
I give him five stars for that.

Sit back, read the post again and view it with a bit of a tongue-in-cheek sense of humor. It will lower your blood pressure. Even if the guy IS a Mensa member, by the simple fact of him bragging about same shows that he is a blowgut braggart whose ego has gotten in the way of common sense.
I doubt he is what he says he is, but he certainly elicited a wordy response from you.
He wins. You lost.

3111. OK, Mork...You Claim "Court Case Law" Supports Your Internet Libel Claims...QUOTE US THE CASE, FATBOY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 10:05:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155463537.164273.48180@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7591
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old friend wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > On Fri, 11 Aug 2006 07:14:22 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >
> > >On 11 Aug 2006 02:48:46 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > >you are advised to caese dists this violation of slander libel and
> > >harressment laws
> >
> > This is not only Usenet (so it's not subject to the laws of any
> > locality),
>
> that isn't what the court case law says

OK, fatboy...Get your gameface on...

QUOTE US THE CASE, FATBOY!

Steve, K4YZ

3112. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 12:39:42 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <i4FDg.12162$gY6.4622@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 7592
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

jawod wrote:
> If you are confident in your intelligence, why do you need validation?

In my case, my wife's best friend's husband, who was a member
of MENSA, made a bet with me that I couldn't qualify for MENSA.
If I won, he would pay for the exam and my first year's dues.
If I lost, I was out the cost of the exam and dinner for 4.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3113. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 12:57:41 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9lFDg.12164$gY6.9269@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 7593
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

L. wrote:
> Mensa - who gives a damned?

Some of the same people who give a damn about the definition of words.

> Most of the "brilliant" people I've ever met -
> had their thumbs up their ass when it came to doing the basic things in
> life - they can't do them. What the hell good is a "brilliant" person if
> they're too stupid to know how or lazy to do "basic" things? If having a
> Mensa number means you're bankrupt in common sense or "lazy", I'd rather not
> be a member - thank you.

This is one of the best examples of sour grapes that I have ever seen.

> This is funny as all hell..... some shmuck "claiming" to be a "genious"
> coming in here and trying to make the rest of us seem inferior to him.

Exactly as you are trying to use your Morse code skill to make nocode
techs feel inferior to you "real hams". (Incidentally, what good is
Morse code skill when one can't even spell "genius"?)

> No one is any better than the rest of us.

Strange words from a man who has been pounding his chest because knowing
Morse code makes him so superior.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3114. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 12:59:26 UTC
From: Jack Ricci <ricci@mnsi.net>
Message-ID: <ebn7ng016qu@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 7595
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

I agree with the Morse Code (or whatever exotic name it has evolved to in modern day) as still being useful. I think morse code is a lot of fun, and very much a part of the ham hobby's old-time, nostalgic glamour. I see no harm in making it part of a ham's testing and licensing requirement. I feel that it is definitely useful, and provides communicants with an international second language to deal with in emergencies.

I do not think the newer hams are idiots for wanting to do away with code, but I think they are missing out on a piece of irreplaceable history that is so easy to maintain and cherish forever.
Viva le ham.

Jack

"cmdr buzz corey" <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote in message news:1155436079.805562.268380@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Al Klein wrote:
>> On 10 Aug 2006 22:12:58 -0700, "cmdr buzz corey"
>> <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote:
>>
>> >an old friend wrote:
>>
>> >> I am honest. I don't think CW has ANY value in todays world.
>>
>> >Kinda like you.
>>
>> Except that code was useful once.
>
> And still more useful today than an old idiot is.
>

3115. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 13:06:48 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ItFDg.12165$gY6.3182@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 7594
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

L. wrote:
> I don't know if there is actually any truth to this BUT - the dumbing down
> "allegedly" started back in Reagan's day or was it George Srs? - when
> someone here in the U.S. apparently couldn't pass the exam - and being he
> was in good relations with the then King of Jordan, the King of Jordan asked
> Reagan or Bush Sr to do something to help out. I've heard that story a few
> times. True or not - the tests have changed drastically.

If I remember correctly, the incident had nothing to do with
dumbing down technical issues. It was all about the outdated
Morse code testing requirement which a lot of countries have
indeed dropped.

> The tests really (in my opinion) aren't meant to "teach" anything or shall
> we say - show any "in depth proficiency".

Of course not. They are entrance exams, not university degrees.

> They're merely meant to get you in the door - period.

Exactly! That is their entire purpose. They open the door to
a lifetime of learning.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3116. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 13:19:32 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <EFFDg.12167$gY6.3958@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 7596
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Olie wrote:
> Obviously the person claiming to be a Mensa member has poked a figurative
> finger into your soft spot. Sensitive, are you? Taking umbrage? Apparently
> you feel that the alleged Mensa member has slighted you either directly or
> indirectly, ergo your lengthy and uncalled-for diatribe.
> Of course, in your self-righteous response you probably did not consider the
> possibility that the "Mensa" person was a troll. Makes no difference, does
> it? He certainly set you off on a rant. I give him five stars for that.

My reporting my MENSA membership is usually a troll aimed
at someone who feels himself to be superior to everyone else
for some obscure reason, e.g. Morse code skill. Note that
not only is a lack of Morse code skill belittled but MENSA
membership is also belittled. In fact, any difference from
the attitudes and attrubutes of the poster will be belittled.
Even correct definitions of words have been belittled.

Truth is, I got into MENSA because someone lost a bet and
paid for my exam and dues. I am not presently a member of
MENSA because the yearly dues exceed my threshold of pain.
But I am a member of OOTC and FISTS, #8741. :-)
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3117. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 13:22:13 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <44DF2785.4070200@fuse.net>
Source record: 7597
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>
> There's a difference between memorizing a formula or method and memorizing
> specific answers to specific questions. The former is called learning, and
> can be applied to many situations. The latter is called laziness, and
> teaches nothing that can be used for any other purpose.
>

I don't view testing in this regard as a form of teaching. The goal, as someone posted, is to "get in the door". What's wrong with that?

Memorizing precise answers and not processes is lazy, I guess. However, following ARRL testing manuals, you HAVE to learn the processes.

Deep understanding of all principles involved will gain you an educational degree. That's not the intent of FCC testing.

It's a hobby! Get in the door and have fun.

John
AB8O

3118. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 13:25:20 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <44DF2840.8040500@fuse.net>
Source record: 7598
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>
>
> In the example YOU give - Cecil - it could be taken either way. In the case
> of the "frequencies" you're to operate on for a given license and band -
> YES - you could simply "memorize" (not really commit to memory) those
> frequencies - for the exam purposes and just refer to a chart from there in.
> OR you COULD "memorize" them (actually committing to memory) for the purpose
> of NOT having to use a chart! However, once you use those frequencies after
> a while - especially if active - then you "would" tend to "memorize" (for
> life) those frequencies. Yes, it is definately splitting hairs!
>
> L.
>
>
that makes no sense. to memorize is to commit to memory, by definition.

3119. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would Ham Radio die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 13:30:06 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <44DF295E.6070201@fuse.net>
Source record: 7599
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Steve Stone on Sat, Aug 12 2006 6:31 am
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
> rec.radio.scanner,
>
> rec.radio.swap
>
>
>
>>Ham radio is gonna be flushed big time if this is all you old farts are
>>worried about.
>
>
> Steve, you may be quite right!
>
> There is NO expression from these federally-authorized morsemen
> of amateur radio being a HOBBY. [it is a "service" to the
> country or something para-military...and "hobby" is not in the
> Rules (except by definition)] Indeed, they bristle and come
> unglued at the slightest negativism of their mighty endeavors of
> "professional amateurism" with all its rank, status, and glory.
> Ham radio can't be just "fun" for its own sake, an enjoyable
> pastime, something done for personal pleasure. No, one has to
> enjoy ONLY Their views, like what they like, or suffer the
> consequences of being considered "lower caste" on par with
> river-bottom slime.
>
> These mighty macho morsemen demand OBEDIANCE to their views and
> opinions, are quick to call disbelievers any name they choose,
> always denigrative, condescending, with heavy overtones of
> attempted humiliation. They are the unforgiving in regards to
> anyone NOT worshiping their status, rank, titles in amateurism.
> Unforgiving to the point of attacking ANYONE against them.
> They RULE. [they think...but only in here...]
>
> While these mighty macho morsemen take out their frustrations,
> resentments, anger against all not idolizing their opinions,
> there are some actual amateur radio issues which need addressing.
> The removal or continuation of the morse code test for US amateur
> radio license testing is still in limbo; official Comment period
> on the NPRM was over late last year. Access BPL recently had a
> rules revision/addendum added by the FCC with a Report and Order
> released on 7 August 2006. No one in here apparently cares about

> it since the "ARRL is on the job," "fighting" to keep HF "safe"
> (for their membership?). [ho, ho, some "fight"...]
>
> No one cares to discuss two BIG issues. Everyone is busy, busy,
> trying to insult anyone who doesn't subscribe to Their views.
> Ain't no "discussion" here, hasn't been for years. Internecine
> personal warfare is the order of the day. Everyone in here
> either obeys the rulings of Big Brother in Newington or
> they are considered lower forms of (barely) life.
>
> The number of US amateur radio licensees is slowly dropping
> (expirations greater than new licensees to the tune of 10K
> in three years). Membership in the ARRL (the "representative"
> of all, according to them) has never been more than a quarter
> of all US licensees. Technician class licensees are very
> very close to being a full half of all classes (49.07% of all
> individual licensees as of 12 Aug 06).
>
> Lettuce all bow our heads and worship morsemanship...these
> are the salad days of the brass-pounders. Morse is the answer
> to everything I'm told. [over and over again] :-)
>
> Beep, beep...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
JOIN us.

3120. cease and desist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 14:55:18 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155480918.252807.23150@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7600
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Al Klein wrote:
> > > On Fri, 11 Aug 2006 07:14:22 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> > >
> > > >On 11 Aug 2006 02:48:46 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > >you are advised to caese dists this violation of slander libel and
> > > >harressment laws
> > >
> > > This is not only Usenet (so it's not subject to the laws of any
> > > locality),
> >
> > that isn't what the court case law says
>
> OK, fatboy...Get your gameface on...
>
> QUOTE US THE CASE, FATBOY!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
cease and desist
```

3121. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 15:03:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <qkfud29pvivsaghitl05opsfaqk9kck4li@4ax.com>
Source record: 7451
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 00:29:30 -0400, jawod <jawod@fuse.net> wrote:

>Cecil Moore wrote:
>> user@private-mail-domain.invalid wrote:
>>
>>> It used to be that there weren't a set of questions with
>>> corresponding answers - there was a syllabus from which the questions
>>> were set. It took understanding of the syllabus to apply the formulae
>>> that had been learnt to calculate the answer.
>>
>>
>> It is true that the 1950's License Manuals were not multiple
>> choice but the exams were. The License Manuals went like this:
>>
>> Q: What is the unit of electrical resistance?
>>
>> A: The unit of electrical resistance is the ohm.
>>
>> The exam then had multiple choices, one of them being "ohm".
>>
>> It is hard to understand how anyone could develop that correct
>> answer from first principles or formulas. I memorized the
>> correct answer and it still exists in my memory as something
>> I once memorized long before I ever knew there was a man named
>> Ohm after whom the unit of electrical resistance was named.
>>
>> The difference between memorizing the question pool answers
>> from the 1950's License Manuals and memorizing the question
>> pool answers of today is just splitting hairs. I used exactly
>> the same memorizing techniques to ace the Extra exam in
>> 2000 as I did to pass the Conditional exam in 1953.
>Here here (!)
>Cecil, I'm happy to agree with you.
>
>Modern testing techniques are intended to be transparent. This is true
>for FCC exams, Postal exams, any and all government qualification exams.
>(And because of this, all qualifying exams in the private sector, as
>well.)

Indeed part this is so we the people (ham and nonham alike) may be
assured of fair testing and fair grading. Short answer qoul d make for
better ttests if you could that they would be fairly graded
>
>It took a lot of litigation to get there. Government agencies had to

>prove that their qualifying exams were directly linked to the specific
>tasks required of the given position for which the individual was applying.
I would correct amend your statment to it took a lot of ligtigation,
threats of ligation and Law but that is mostly quibbling
>
>A Postal Carrier needn't know Pythagorus' theorum to deliver the mail.
>I took the Postal Carrier exam 35 years ago and did not do well. I
>wasn't good at sorting on a timed basis.
>
>This notion of a "cheapening" of FCC requirements because the question
>pool is open to the public is a red herring: transparency is the rule.
>If you look at the question pool and study it, you will gain the
>necessary expertise to pass the exam. This is not cheating, nor is it
>short-circuiting the "REAL" ham radio "requirements" that some view as
>sacrosanct.

indeed well said
>
>I used ARRL manuals to pass the Extra Exam and I do not defer to anyone
>in this regard. Does this make me a ham radio genius? Not AT ALL. Man,
>I have SO MUCH to learn. This newsgroup is "potentially" very helpful!
>For that, I give thanks.
>
>You know, I love ham radio. I'm happy so many join the ranks each year.
>If there still is a concern out there, be an Elmer and address it.
>
>John
>AB8O (yeah, I changed my call)
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3122. ICOM R1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 15:04:02 UTC
From: jeff-boston <jmboone@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155481442.307633.70820@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7452

Do you guys have any idea what an ICOM R1 (Unblocked version) is worth
these days??

Thanks
-jb

3123. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 15:08:43 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155481722.998146.287950@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7453
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Jack Ricci wrote:
> I agree with the Morse Code (or whatever exotic name it has evolved to
> in modern day) as still being useful. I think morse code is a lot of fun,
> and very much a part of the ham hobby's old-time, nostalgic glamour. I see
> no harm in making it part of a ham's testing and licensing requirement. I
> feel that it is definitely useful, and provides communicants with an
> international second language to deal with in emergencies.
if it were not treated as a go or nogo element I might be persauded to
agree with you

that isn't the case

indeed if the usa had adopted years something Canada is doing now I
would lay good money that NCI would never have existed
>
> I do not think the newer hams are idiots for wanting to do away with
> code, but I think they are missing out on a piece of irreplaceable history
> that is so easy to maintain and cherish forever.
> Viva le ham.
>
> Jack
>
> "cmdr buzz corey" <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote in message
> news:1155436079.805562.268380@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Al Klein wrote:
> >> On 10 Aug 2006 22:12:58 -0700, "cmdr buzz corey"
> >> <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >an old friend wrote:
> >>
> >> >> I am honest. I don't think CW has ANY value in todays world.
> >>
> >> >Kinda like you.
> >>
> >> Except that code was useful once.
> >
> > And still more useful today than an old idiot is.
> >

3124. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 15:16:25 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <44DF4249.1080807@fuse.net>
Source record: 7454
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old friend wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
>
>>On 12 Aug 2006 10:10:55 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>at some level all you can do a merorize
>>
>>The discussion isn't about WHETHER you memorize, it's about WHAT you
>>memorize.
>
> that is a chnge in tune
>
> oncce you accpet that much of the testing involves memizztion the
> question then comes down to where is your beef?
> if it is that today we then to use multiguess questions pools verus
> short answer of bygone day you likely out of luck the extra cost is not
> going to be supported within the present system
>
> I agree short answer would be an improvement over multible guess but
> teks you issue up with other don't imply that the ams that have taken
> and passed the required tetst have not done what is required
>
> you tread awfully close to libel there AL ask an lawyer if you don't
> believe me
>
I am sorry, but do you type with your feet?

Anyway,,
Back in the old days, we used to walk 5 miles in the snow to the FCC
field office to take our exams. We had to kneel on radiators while we
took the test. We used slide rules and crayons AND WE LIKED IT!!!

Then we'd wait 3 years to receive our license which gave us time to
teach electrons to enter and exit all the tubes...stupid little buggers,
those.

Boy, those were the days. When a ham was a ham, brass was for pounding
and AM signals were as wide as the day is long.

These "young" whippersnappers get off too easy.

I say, rank priveleges on the basis of how big an RF burn you can take,
or on the basis of personal weight.

I may have said it before: take the FCC out of it completely and go with the FDA. Those boys know how to grade.

(Too much tea this morning!)

John
AB8O

3125. FA: Uniden BCD996T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 15:33:43 UTC
From: Pin-medic <jandcthomasspamsux@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <rDHDg.7694$Oh1.377@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 7455

http://cm.ebay.com/cm/ck/1065-29296-2357-0?uid=18623921&site=0&ver=LCA080805&item=140018499564&lk=ItemDescriptionANDimage

Like new, works great. Includes software and USB cable as well as everything it originally came with. Only 2 months old.

3126. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 15:36:01 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155483361.447706.281300@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7456
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

jawod wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Al Klein wrote:
> >
> >>On 12 Aug 2006 10:10:55 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> >>wrote:

> > > you tread awfully close to libel there AL ask an lawyer if you don't
> > > believe me
> > >
> > I am sorry, but do you type with your feet?
> >
> > Anyway,,
> > Back in the old days, we used to walk 5 miles in the snow to the FCC
> > field office to take our exams. We had to kneel on radiators while we
> > took the test. We used slide rules and crayons AND WE LIKED IT!!!
> >
> > Then we'd wait 3 years to receive our license which gave us time to
> > teach electrons to enter and exit all the tubes...stupid little buggers,
> > those.
> >
> > Boy, those were the days. When a ham was a ham, brass was for pounding
> > and AM signals were as wide as the day is long.
> >
> > These "young" whippersnappers get off too easy.
> >
> > I say, rank priveleges on the basis of how big an RF burn you can take,
> > or on the basis of personal weight.
> >
> > I may have said it before: take the FCC out of it completely and go with
> > the FDA. Those boys know how to grade.
> >
> > (Too much tea this morning!)
I avoid answering question from that admit to using too much of any
drug legal or or not
>
> John
> AB8O

3127. Re: ICOM R1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 15:38:39 UTC
From: Rayburn <rayburnsweet@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <96b6f$44df4773$8b371664$5005@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 7457

About 5$

Especially since 100% of all cell communications will be no longer readable
by the end of October!

Going totally digital!

"jeff-boston" <jmboone@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1155481442.307633.70820@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Do you guys have any idea what an ICOM R1 (Unblocked version) is worth
> these days??
>
> Thanks
> -jb
>

3128. Re: ICOM R1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 18:20:17 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <VPSdnQpWeaPP8ELZnZ2dnUVZ_rWdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 7458

"Rayburn" <rayburnsweet@alltel.net> wrote in message
news:96b6f$44df4773$8b371664$5005@ALLTEL.NET...
> About 5$
>
> Especially since 100% of all cell communications will be no longer
readable
> by the end of October!
>
> Going totally digital!
>
I'll start the bidding at $6.

HJ

3129. Re: ICOM R1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 20:55:07 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <LkMDg.8206$9T3.4816@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 7459

I'll have to dig mine out and look at it but I believe it covers more than just the cell phone frequencies, unless, by some strange chance, someone on Usenet was just trying to be a "smart-ass". What are the odds of THAT?

"Rayburn" <rayburnsweet@alltel.net> wrote in message news:96b6f$44df4773$8b371664$5005@ALLTEL.NET...
> About 5$
>
> Especially since 100% of all cell communications will be no longer readable
> by the end of October!
>
> Going totally digital!
>
>
> "jeff-boston" <jmboone@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1155481442.307633.70820@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > Do you guys have any idea what an ICOM R1 (Unblocked version) is worth
> > these days??
> >
> > Thanks
> > -jb
> >
>
>

3130. BC895xlt Display Right Side Dim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 21:06:15 UTC
From: bart51 <chadg@epix.net>
Message-ID: <bvMDg.485$Db4.47524@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 7460

Came home from vacation to discover the right side of my BC 895 XLT display dim.

I didn't get into tearing it apart yet, but does anyone know if there are 2 bulbs in the unit? What type & where can I buy them?

I have replaced some bulbs in other radio shack models but I did a yahoo search can not find anything on 895's for bulb replacement.

Thanks in advance.
Chad Graybill
Bart Twp. Fire Co.
Asst. Chief

3131. RACEceiver TruScan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 21:29:01 UTC
From: Wes <RWestman@Cox.Net>
Message-ID: <xQMDg.2520$SZ3.1272@dukeread04>
Source record: 7461

Good day,

I would like to know the GOOD and BAD for the RACEceiver TruScan.

Thanks ahead of time...

3132. Good Race Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 21:30:04 UTC
From: Wes <RWestman@Cox.Net>
Message-ID: <wRMDg.2521$SZ3.1401@dukeread04>
Source record: 7462

Sorry to post two message. But what race radio would people recommend for Indy and Nascar? Any suggestions on headsets also?

Thanks ahead of time...

3133. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 22:21:03 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <44DFA5CF.6080201@fuse.net>
Source record: 7463
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Cecil Moore wrote:
> jawod wrote:
>
>> If you are confident in your intelligence, why do you need validation?
>
>
> In my case, my wife's best friend's husband, who was a member
> of MENSA, made a bet with me that I couldn't qualify for MENSA.
> If I won, he would pay for the exam and my first year's dues.
> If I lost, I was out the cost of the exam and dinner for 4.
I suppose you two argue over who has the lower number and how much
harder it USED to be to get into MENSA. :)

3134. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 22:24:38 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <44DFA6A6.2020708@fuse.net>
Source record: 7464
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Olie wrote:
>>>My MENSA membership number is 1006281.
>>>What's your MENSA membership number?
>>>--
>>>73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>>
>>A MENSA membership means you have a high intelligence quotient. A high
>>intelligence quotient does not necessarily mean you know anything, only
>>that if you apply yourself that you have the ability to learn more easily
>>than an average person. I know some very lazy MENSA members that can't
>>even spell a large number of the words they use.
>>
>>In other words, even people above average intelligence can be and often
>>are too lazy to learn. They do manage to apply what they do know better
>>than most.
>>
>
>
> Mensa - who gives a damned? Most of the "brilliant" people I've ever met -
> had their thumbs up their ass when it came to doing the basic things in
> life - they can't do them. What the hell good is a "brilliant" person if
> they're too stupid to know how or lazy to do "basic" things? If having a
> Mensa number means you're bankrupt in common sense or "lazy", I'd rather not
> be a member - thank you. Often, it is "common sense" which gets you
> through - NOT "brilliance". From all I've ever heard and /or seen -
> "brilliance" and "common sense" don't go hand in hand. Sitting in a chair
> with a bunch of books behind you to make you "appear" smart and not getting
> off your lazy ass to use it or to further your education - is not a
> "productive" person. I know a few welfare bums who fall into that
> description- "appear" smart, "act" smart - lazy as hell. Being in the center
> of a University Library with books on most any subject - is NOT going to
> make you any more intelligent if you don't venture to "learn". Even at that,
> if you don't use it, you lose it.
>
> ....
> This is funny as all getout <angry diatribe snipped>
>
> Obviously the person claiming to be a Mensa member has poked a figurative
> finger into your soft spot. Sensitive, are you? Taking umbrage? Apparently
> you feel that the alleged Mensa member has slighted you either directly or
> indirectly, ergo your lengthy and uncalled-for diatribe.
> Of course, in your self-righteous response you probably did not consider the
> possibility that the "Mensa" person was a troll. Makes no difference, does

> it? He certainly set you off on a rant.
> I give him five stars for that.
>
> Sit back, read the post again and view it with a bit of a tongue-in-cheek
> sense of humor. It will lower your blood pressure. Even if the guy IS a
> Mensa member, by the simple fact of him bragging about same shows that he is
> a blowgut braggart whose ego has gotten in the way of common sense.
> I doubt he is what he says he is, but he certainly elicited a wordy response
> from you.
> He wins. You lost.
>
>
>
>

If MENSA membership is important to you, fine. Most of us find it a bit pretentious and downright silly.

If someone wants to use MENSA to elevate themselves above the rest, they are perched on very rickety stilts.

3135. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 22:42:04 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <0VNDg.5150$%j7.4201@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 7465
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

jawod wrote:
> If MENSA membership is important to you, fine. Most of us find it a bit
> pretentious and downright silly.

Ditto for the Morse code testing requirement.
That was the whole point.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3136. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 22:46:20 UTC
From: Rusty Shackleford <nospam@bunnyhole_entertainment.com>
Message-ID: <0ZNDg.118599$R26.47061@tornado.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 7466
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:EFFDg.12167$gY6.3958@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> Truth is, I got into MENSA because someone lost a bet and
> paid for my exam and dues. I am not presently a member of
> MENSA because the yearly dues exceed my threshold of pain.
> But I am a member of OOTC and FISTS, #8741. :-)

Question (this is not a flame):.

1. Is the ability to decode Morse Code transmissions, in one's own wet modem (brain), at high speed, proportional or even relevant in any possible way to one's ability to pass a mensa test and be accepted for membership? Is mensa membership useful? Is mensa membership a measure of Morse wet modem throughput?

Cecil, I think you already answered all of the above as 'no'. That doesn't mean I like the the brand of motorcycle you drive. I do not. I like BMW's that don't require a toolkit for every 100 miles on the road.

2. Or, (as I suspect), is Morse ability only useful after you have purchased one's HF equipment, now that one has passed the test (whose investment you otherwise would not have made until you passed the trivial but compulsory 5wpm test for Morse code proficiency) to have to have fun and prevent future alien attacks?

I am an extra class op who passed 13 wpm several years ago, can do 25 wpm now and getting better all the time just because of heavy (and fun) Morse action on the cw sub-bands, and wanting to know what people are saying and being able to communicate back; in fact, CW is almost as good as psk31.

3. Just the same, how much does this mensa thing cost? Is it worth a dinner for 4?

3137. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 23:04:21 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <VdODg.5454$%j7.187@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 7467
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Rusty Shackleford wrote:
> 1. Is the ability to decode Morse Code transmissions, in one's own wet modem
> (brain), at high speed, proportional or even relevant in any possible way to
> one's ability to pass a mensa test and be accepted for membership? Is mensa
> membership useful? Is mensa membership a measure of Morse wet modem
> throughput?

Rusty, let me answer you this way. In my humble opinion, a MENSA
level IQ is worth magnitudes more to its possessor than is Morse
code skill even within the Amateur Radio Service. If all the coded
hams with IQ's less than 100 were transformed into nocode techs
with MENSA level IQ's, the ARS would be much better off and a lot
less prone to silliness. The ARRL might even stop publishing those
gross technical errors, e.g. reflections don't exist.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3138. Re: BC895xlt Display Right Side Dim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 23:14:25 UTC
From: Stratinator
Message-ID: <8c-dneopGuPML0LZnZ2dnUVZ_qidnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 7468

I believe there are 4 of them. Uniden part #BPZY0036001.

I looked quickly on Radio Shack's site, but only saw some 12V microlamps. The ones in the 895XLT are 8V. The 12V ones would probably work, but they'd be too dim methinks.

Uniden probably has a ton of them, so give 'em a call and see if they can send you some replacements.

3139. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 23:28:33 UTC
From: David 01 <ifiwantspam@illask.org>
Message-ID: <3c8fb$44dfb595$4b75c8a5$16107@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 7469
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

I wish everybody here would use their MENSA and take this thread and the
other non swap related garbage out of rec.radio.swap.

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:VdODg.5454$%j7.187@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
> Rusty Shackleford wrote:
> > 1. Is the ability to decode Morse Code transmissions, in one's own wet
modem
> > (brain), at high speed, proportional or even relevant in any possible
way to
> > one's ability to pass a mensa test and be accepted for membership? Is
mensa
> > membership useful? Is mensa membership a measure of Morse wet modem
> > throughput?
>
> Rusty, let me answer you this way. In my humble opinion, a MENSA
> level IQ is worth magnitudes more to its possessor than is Morse
> code skill even within the Amateur Radio Service. If all the coded
> hams with IQ's less than 100 were transformed into nocode techs
> with MENSA level IQ's, the ARS would be much better off and a lot
> less prone to silliness. The ARRL might even stop publishing those
> gross technical errors, e.g. reflections don't exist.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>

3140. FS: Watson W-901 Air-Gainer antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-13 23:29:20 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <kBODg.629$q63.480@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 7470

Dedicated VHF/UHF Civil & Military Airband Antenna w/BNC connector. Rubber duck for handheld scanners. 8-1/2" in length. Works great! With original plastic sleeve. I have 2 of them. I only need one.

Price is $30.00 plus shipping (CONUS only)

3141. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 00:21:34 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155514894.144629.267820@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7471
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

David 01 wrote:
> I wish everybody here would use their MENSA and take this thread and the
> other non swap related garbage out of rec.radio.swap.
either use your ignore thread comnad if you don't have one just try not
reading it

3142. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 00:21:34 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155514894.111278.191790@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7472
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

David 01 wrote:
> I wish everybody here would use their MENSA and take this thread and the
> other non swap related garbage out of rec.radio.swap.
either use your ignore thread comnad if you don't have one just try not
reading it

3143. Bank Robber is Ex Cop - Iowa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 00:54:26 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155516866.799597.42110@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7473

<http://www.wcfcourier.com/articles/2006/08/10/news/regional/f951cf93e9999961862571c600505239.txt>
busted by scannerists

3144. question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 01:00:41 UTC
From: Chief <Chief@everestkc.net>
Message-ID: <b20fe$44dfcb39$407e4d90$28158@EVERESTKC.NET>
Source record: 7474

[No readable article body recovered]

3145. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 01:28:40 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44dfd1ce$0$6606$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 7475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"jawod" <jawod@fuse.net> wrote in message news:44DF2840.8040500@fuse.net...
>
>>
>>
>> In the example YOU give - Cecil - it could be taken either way. In the
>> case of the "frequencies" you're to operate on for a given license and
>> band - YES - you could simply "memorize" (not really commit to memory)
>> those frequencies - for the exam purposes and just refer to a chart from
>> there in. OR you COULD "memorize" them (actually committing to memory)
>> for the purpose of NOT having to use a chart! However, once you use those
>> frequencies after a while - especially if active - then you "would" tend
>> to "memorize" (for life) those frequencies. Yes, it is definately
>> splitting hairs!
>>
>> L.
> that makes no sense. to memorize is to commit to memory, by definition.

RE-READ IT - there were TWO people here in the beginning "splitting hairs" about the use of or perhaps MIS use of the word "memorization. One was leaning towards "memorizing" "ANSWERS" purely to satisfy an exam..... i.e; ABCD..... it isn't quite that simple. On the other hand, the other argument was in the "true" sense of the word - TO MEMORIZE (commit to memory for life).

3146. Re: Good Race Radio (please excuse my ignorance....)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 01:59:43 UTC
From: Coldwarrior1 <Coldwarrior1@whitehouse.gov>
Message-ID: <bo-dnfkKnIWURELZnZ2dnUVZ_r2dnZ2d@insightbb.com>
Source record: 7476

Wes wrote:
> Sorry to post two message. But what race radio would people recommend for
> Indy and Nascar? Any suggestions on headsets also?
>
> Thanks ahead of time...
>
>
This is a sincere question, I am not being rhetorical. Why do you need
a "race radio"? What do you listen to at a car race?

Thanks for reading

3147. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 02:13:45 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155521625.916501.7000@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7477
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

L. wrote:
> "jawod" <jawod@fuse.net> wrote in message news:44DF2840.8040500@fuse.net...
> >
> >>

> RE-READ IT - there were TWO people here in the beginning "splitting hairs"
> about the use of or perhaps MIS use of the word "memorization. One was
> leaning towards "memorizing" "ANSWERS" purely to satisfy an exam..... i.e;
> ABCD.......... it isn't quite that simple. On the other hand, the other
> argument was in the "true" sense of the word - TO MEMORIZE (commit to memory
> for life).
what it has been about is that couple of folks want to make out that
somehow the NoCodeTechs are some how doing something altogether
different from what has been done for years

3148. answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 02:25:54 UTC
From: Brian 2W0BDW <notsaying@here.com>
Message-ID: <SaRDg.18077$Cz6.10510@newsfe5-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 7478

"Chief" <Chief@everestkc.net> wrote in message
news:b20fe$44dfcb39$407e4d90$28158@EVERESTKC.NET...
>
>

3149. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 02:38:01 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44dfe20f$0$6610$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 7479
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:0VNDg.5150$%j7.4201@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
> jawod wrote:
>> If MENSA membership is important to you, fine. Most of us find it a bit
>> pretentious and downright silly.
>
> Ditto for the Morse code testing requirement.
> That was the whole point.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

Let me set something straight. "I" was NOT beating my chest about "CODE". I was only in the argument with regard to the use/misuse of the word "Memorization". "I" think code has its place - but not as it once did. It sure isn't keeping the bands clean by keeping it in place. "I" don't use code - that often. I think also, it "can" be fun to learn for those who may want to try it. Some people may - as has been proven, still - others won't - which also has been proven. THAT IS MY stance on code. As to the "mis"spelling of "genius", hey - what can I say - I'm human, I DO make mistakes. I don't rely on "spell check" techniques - so ..... an error does tend to sneak through from time to time. It doesn't matter one iota to me if you do code or not. "I" surely am not here to pass judgement on you or anyone else by that ability or inability (since some DO have trouble getting it). OR lack of interest "may" be more specific. I have quite a few people I talk to on the bands who are "NO CODE Techs. They're not interested in the code - OR wanting to climb any higher in license class. Its cool with me..... That is their thing - not mine. It sure doesn't mean we can't have a decent conversation - be it on the air via repeater, simplex, computer mode or face to face.

:)

L.

3150. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 03:09:59 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155524999.519215.134960@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7480
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

L. wrote:
> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:0VNDg.5150$%j7.4201@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
> > jawod wrote:
> >> If MENSA membership is important to you, fine. Most of us find it a bit
> >> pretentious and downright silly.
> >
> > Ditto for the Morse code testing requirement.
> > That was the whole point.
> > --
> > 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
> Let me set something straight. "I" was NOT beating my chest about "CODE
you are however enging a deabte flaming people on side of the map that
tend to get you "counter battery fire sir

face it or not as you choose

3151. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 03:32:19 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <khrvd2tb6o9qb52hfcroct1o82memeu5do@4ax.com>
Source record: 7481
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 12 Aug 2006 15:49:50 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> On 12 Aug 2006 09:57:19 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >it is not a sign sign of any superior knowledge to know that is a
>> >particular circut is Collpitts or hartley ocilator
>>
>> It's a sign of having learned it.
>a sign of havigng learned something of NO value

No value to you - that doesn't matter very much to the other 6
billion+ people on the planet.

>> You still don't understand the difference between memorizing facts and
>> memorizing answers.

>at pesent their is no different

To you - which was what I said.

>for some of the materail the only rational way of approuching it is
>memorization

For some, yes. I'm referring to those who use the method for all the
answers - people like you.

>, for other section you can learn some part of the
>underlying theroy and use it but short of the Full course of Eltromatic
>Theroy I hadin colledge you don't learn much

I doubt you learned much in college either.

3152. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 03:33:53 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <corvd25galal8r530d3ungaf8fh56j467d@4ax.com>
Source record: 7482
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 12 Aug 2006 19:27:59 -0700, "cmdr buzz corey"
<cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> On 10 Aug 2006 22:12:58 -0700, "cmdr buzz corey"
>> <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote:
>>
>> >an old friend wrote:
>>
>> >> I am honest. I don't think CW has ANY value in todays world.
>>
>> >Kinda like you.
>>
>> Except that code was useful once.
>
>And still more useful today than an old idiot is.

Urdu and Sanskrit are a lot more useful today than he is.

3153. Re: you are advised to caese dists this violation of slander libel and

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 03:35:57 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <kprvd2tvjjkftkjku1rf6u6v9nt71ikva@4ax.com>
Source record: 7483
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 12 Aug 2006 15:37:17 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:

>> Court decisions only hold in the areas of the courts' jurisdictions.

>indeed but the supremes are the the law in the USA

And the internet is international, so US findings bear no weight,
except on those in the US.

>> Don't start spouting law to us now - you don't know enough about it to
>> cross the street legally.

>interesting that you lciam knowledge of what is in my head

I'm claiming a conclusion based on your DEMONSTRATED level of
knowledge and intelligence. It's like claiming that the sun will rise
tomorrow - the Earth may stop turning during the night, but all the
evidence is against it.

3154. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 03:41:30 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <44DFF0EA.8080305@fuse.net>
Source record: 7484
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

a MENSA
> level IQ is worth magnitudes more to its possessor than is Morse
> code skill even within the Amateur Radio Service. If all the coded
> hams with IQ's less than 100 were transformed into nocode techs
> with MENSA level IQ's, the ARS would be much better off and a lot
> less prone to silliness. The ARRL might even stop publishing those
> gross technical errors, e.g. reflections don't exist.
Cecil

you're trolling, aren't you?

If you truly believe this stuff, you're in sadder shape than I thought.

Your MENSA membership is dreck, dribble, dross. With code, there is, at
least, some grounding in practicality: a real world function.

"Whew! I knew I was a genius but now I have PROOF!" How completely sad.

MENSA has perverted the very nature of IQ in a manner not dissimilar to
the way Home Owner Associations have perverted the notion of individual
freedoms (guaranteed by our Constitution).

Enjoy your little trophy but I'm sure you'll keep yourself insulated
from the derision you richly deserve when you bring it up in this newsgroup.

I can tell that you're not a bad guy...but, the mensa thing makes you
seem, I don't know, ...(fill in the blank)

Be Good.
Hell, be more egalitarian for once in your life!
We all share a HOBBY!!!!

John
AB8O

3155. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 03:49:32 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <cesvd213hgfe5dkmm1lr56pph5g4dd73pb@4ax.com>
Source record: 7485
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 12 Aug 2006 15:42:50 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>oncce you accpet that much of the testing involves memizztion the
>question then comes down to where is your beef?

Those who memorize answers instead of learning concepts - what you
would have seen at the beginning of the thread had you paid attention.

>you tread awfully close to libel there AL

Look up the definition of "libel". Part of it is "malicious
defamation". Calling a penny a cent isn't malicious, nor is it
defamatory.

> ask an lawyer if you don't believe me

You need to take your own advice. Also you need to ask an English
teacher - you don't seem to know the definitions of a lot of very
common words.

3156. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 03:51:10 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <kmsvd21haqpf00c34hji4korqmo0hv9upq@4ax.com>
Source record: 7486
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 12 Aug 2006 15:43:51 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 14:41:33 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Brenda Ann wrote:
>> >> There's a difference between memorizing a formula or method and memorizing
>> >> specific answers to specific questions. The former is called learning, and
>> >> can be applied to many situations. The latter is called laziness, and
>> >> teaches nothing that can be used for any other purpose.
>> >
>> >That is just hair-splitting.
>>
>> The same hair splitting as the difference between stealing money and
>> earning it - they're both methods of obtaining it.

>again you tread close to libel and flatout insaity

There's nothing either malicious not defamatory in that. ("Who" am I
defaming? "Hair"?)

>> But, since you don't know the difference between "learning" and
>> "memorizing", nor which subjects fall into which category, you
>> probably can't see the parallel.

>and again

Telling you something true about yourself isn't actionable, unless
done with certain intent, which you'd be hard-pressed to prove.

3157. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 03:54:12 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <hqsvd2tvtuid8jqdcg4qu01fe922gubml8@4ax.com>
Source record: 7487
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 19:13:23 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 18:24:46 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:

>>Your claim to know what I'm thinking better than I do? Only if your
>>age is a single digit.

>sure I know better

Then you're claiming to be a child.

>>>> >your beef has nothing to do with the tests it is to do with end of the
>>>> >Hazing ritual that is a bout to occour

>>>> There's a hazing rule in ham radio? Since when?

>>>sure there is it is called Morse Code testing

>>You don't win points by redefining words, you just make yourself look
>>desperate.

>no refining word HERE at any rate

If you don't even know the difference between refining and redefining
(they're not even close in meaning), there's no way you can discuss
it. But testing for a license isn't hazing by any accepted definition
regardless of what's being tested for.

>you are dancing around sutblies in the menaing of emorizing like mad

In your mind, because you can't understand the simple distinctions.

3158. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 03:55:15 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <9vsvd2lfgc7m2cv9hds5h79ri5hchdk6uf@4ax.com>
Source record: 7488
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 19:10:00 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 18:09:02 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:

>>Why don't you stay out of discussions you don't understand? We know
>>you're a fool, why keep proving it? Read Samuel Clemens, at least.

>why don't you stay out of discussions that show so as aold fool not
>honest enough he blowing smoke

Parroting what I say doesn't make you look educated.

>you are arguing over a difference that does not exist certain not as a
>some sharp line

In your mind.

>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

The fact that you posted something on your blog doesn't make it
definitive, or even correct.

3159. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 03:56:24 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <f1tvd2lcdhbt0b8t542rhnfkq6jlshuomd@4ax.com>
Source record: 7489
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 19:14:08 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 18:09:47 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:
>>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 15:01:51 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>>wrote:

>>>So exactly what is the "formula or method" for determining Extra
>>>frequency privileges outside of memorizing them?

>>Since frequency assignments aren't theory, your question is both
>>irrelevant and incompetent.

>since feq assignment are large part of the test they go to the core of
>the matter

Another case of your commenting on something you don't understand.
"The core of the matter" is the difference between rote memorization
and understanding - which you don't understand.

3160. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 03:57:26 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <j3tvd2pae7r5emn0b87ts59deii50veh44@4ax.com>
Source record: 7490
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 19:14:59 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 18:18:11 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:

>>Any knowledgeable person knows that knowledge is valuable for its own
>>sake.

>and also knows that not all knowledge is equaly valuable

There's a difference between "knowledge" as a class and specific
knowledge - something evidently outside the scope of your knowledge.

3161. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 03:59:30 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <d5tvd2tnlu0le38vesqkt2ba8nshqmeh5c@4ax.com>
Source record: 7491
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 19:16:28 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 18:16:39 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:

>>>but there still ramins no need for me to ever know the differentce
>>>between a collpitts and hartely occilator.

>>There's no *need* for you to even know that you can use a radio to
>>talk to people.

>there is if I want a license for it

Learn (now there's a new concept for you) the difference between
"specific" and "general".

>>There's a need, if we want a ham license to say that the holder of
>>said license has achieved a certain level of technical competence, to
>>test for that competence. Otherwise all the license says is "I have
>>this piece of paper with ink on it".

>no it say I have legal license to do xyz with it that is all it has
>ever said

In your limited experience - which is about 0% relevant to anyone
else.

>experhaps in the epriod where the general advanced and
>Extra class all had the same preveldges

Which was ... oh ... only a few decades. But you weren't licensed
then, so they don't matter, right?

3162. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 04:00:50 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <99tvd2193fjj37j8c9ngnlelh91t9tgqaj@4ax.com>
Source record: 7492
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 19:17:33 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 18:23:13 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:
>>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 15:12:59 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>>wrote:

>>>Laziness allows one to achieve a goal by the most efficient
>>>route. Some famous German military leader said he would
>>>lots rather have brilliant and lazy officers than ambitious
>>>and stupid ones.

>>As I recall, he was also known as one of the most idiotic strategists
>>the species has ever produced. His "fame" didn't stop him from being
>>the almost single-handed reason his country lost its big war, did it?

>>> I personally would rather see brilliant
>>>and lazy amateur radio operators than ambitious and stupid
>>>ones hanging on for dear life to an obsolete testing
>>>requirement.

>>Being both intelligent and ambitious doesn't appear on your radar?

>but it is not a requirement of licensing after you have one

Your comment was totally irrelevant to THIS conversation, Mark. Ham
radio has nothing to do, in this context, with Hitler.

3163. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 04:02:09 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <9dtvd2900p59qe44tem9ju2v1ti1e8s1sp@4ax.com>
Source record: 7493
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 23:51:28 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>I am too lazy

I think that says it all.

3164. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 04:04:16 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <7ftvd2hjsfc1t99rrkbrpbdmbcl4hn6m51@4ax.com>
Source record: 7494
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 00:37:41 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>My MENSA membership number is 1006281.

There ought to be a Godwin's Rule type of rule for using the MENSA
crutch. Maybe I should declare one.

Klein's rule - so you lose.

(The claim "I'm so intelligent that ..." proves lack of intelligence.)

3165. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 04:04:55 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <ditvd21de06jkgkuemf917j1ie23qvjfpp@4ax.com>
Source record: 7495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 23:57:13 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> Since frequency assignments aren't theory, your question is both
>> irrelevant and incompetent.

>So the questions on my Extra exam were irrelevant?

No, but at least you're consistent - your response is non-responsive
and incompetent.

3166. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 04:05:46 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <qjtvd2957q95pmucac2s3ge8nke26nrqfu@4ax.com>
Source record: 7496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 20:11:49 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 23:57:13 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>wrote:

>>I would like to
>>see one and only one entry level amateur radio exam
>>leading to one brotherhood of amateur radio operators
>>devoid of the jealousy, pecking order, and back-biting
>>apparent in your postings and others.
>amen

You wouldn't be able to pass it, Mark, but you'd be the only one who
would care about that.

3167. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 04:09:15 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <0ltvd2hlra21i0qhc12eucks8lotga2qa3@4ax.com>
Source record: 7497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 00:04:45 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:

>> But, since you don't know the difference between "learning" and
>> "memorizing", nor which subjects fall into which category, you
>> probably can't see the parallel.

>Learning is impossible without memorizing.

Memorizing is possible without learning.

> You are simply ignorant

I'm not the one who doesn't understand the discussion, inverting
"memorizing" and "learning". Maybe you need to stop being so lazy and
actually learn something.

>Is English your second language?

Third. My internal language is (was) my first. Brooklynese was my
second. English is my third.

> Again, from Websters

Again, Webster's is a compendium of common usage, not an unabridged
(regardless of the trademark) authoritative source. those who cling
to dictionary definitions as authoritative announce their lack of
actual knowledge.

3168. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 04:10:44 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <hrtvd293jk8g53phkilcnefv3flcll4v27@4ax.com>
Source record: 7498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 00:08:14 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>> Here's the crux of your communications problem. From Webster's:

>> "The absolutely worst source of the definition of a technical term is
>> a non-technical dictionary.

>"Memorize" is NOT a technical word.

As a technical term (the usage here) it is, by definition.

> Please get
>back to us when you have talked the IEEE into
>putting your special definition of "memorize"
>into their technical dictionary.

As soon as the IEEE becomes a body of experts in the usage of the
English language. In the meantime, why don't you go and learn
something? Anything. New experiences can be quite enjoyable.

3169. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 04:12:21 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <dutvd2dh2ib10dmq8cok4kg0l7adabi2tg@4ax.com>
Source record: 7499
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 00:22:31 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>> Laziness allows one to achieve a goal by the most efficient
>>> route. Some famous German military leader said he would
>>> lots rather have brilliant and lazy officers than ambitious
>>> and stupid ones.
>>
>> As I recall, he was also known as one of the most idiotic strategists
>> the species has ever produced. His "fame" didn't stop him from being
>> the almost single-handed reason his country lost its big war, did it?
>
>This was a WWI German officer and I don't recall his name.

Then it's just an assertion of yours, isn't it?

>> Being both intelligent and ambitious doesn't appear on your radar?

>The pride, lust, and greed usually accompanying ambition are
>a good percentage of the seven deadly sins.

Sorry, I don't share your religious incredulity. I don't recognize
"sin" as anything but a nonsense word.

3170. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 04:14:47 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <f1uvd2dmtboecjl5uvbo2e7b0e6131p1sk@4ax.com>
Source record: 7500
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 00:48:36 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Brenda Ann wrote:

>> Some
>> advanced appliance operators know enough to connect other peripheral devices
>> such as digital mode devices or power amplifiers, but do not know how these
>> devices work, nor how to construct such devices.

>An amateur radio license is an entry level license.

There are a few classes - ONE class is entry level.

> It is not a university degree. When I obtained all amateur privileges
>at the age of 15, I didn't know squat.

"When I robbed a man at the age of 15, I wasn't arrested." Does that
make robbery legal? Your experience is only that - your experience,
it's not definitive.

> All I had done is memorize
>the ARRL License Manual. Six years later I had a EE degree. What
>is wrong with learning the technical stuff after one obtains his
>entry level license?

Nothing, if you don't care that the license means nothing more than
that you have it.

3171. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 04:15:18 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <u5uvd2tru2n5mbubeg4iffnvpoj1eh60rh@4ax.com>
Source record: 7501
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 12 Aug 2006 18:58:18 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>> How did capacitors escape getting color coded?

>sshhhhhh bb don't ask such questions please

Since a) you don't know the answer and b) they didn't.

3172. Re: cease and desist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 12:47:57 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155559677.266824.77820@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7502
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
an old fraud wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > Al Klein wrote:
> > > > On Fri, 11 Aug 2006 07:14:22 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >On 11 Aug 2006 02:48:46 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > >you are advised to caese dists this violation of slander libel and
> > > > >harressment laws
> > > >
> > > > This is not only Usenet (so it's not subject to the laws of any
> > > > locality),
> > >
> > > that isn't what the court case law says
> >
> > OK, fatboy...Get your gameface on...
> >
> > QUOTE US THE CASE, FATBOY!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> cease and desist

Quote the case, FRAUD.
```

3173. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 12:49:05 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155559745.391459.150590@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7503
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On 12 Aug 2006 15:49:50 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
> >> On 12 Aug 2006 09:57:19 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >it is not a sign sign of any superior knowledge to know that is a
> >> >particular circut is Collpitts or hartley ocilator
> >>
> >> It's a sign of having learned it.
> >a sign of havigng learned something of NO value
>
> No value to you - that doesn't matter very much to the other 6
> billion+ people on the planet.
>
> >> You still don't understand the difference between memorizing facts and
> >> memorizing answers.
>
> >at pesent their is no different
>
> To you - which was what I said.
>
> >for some of the materail the only rational way of approuching it is
> >memorization
>
> For some, yes. I'm referring to those who use the method for all the
> answers - people like you.
>
> >, for other section you can learn some part of the
> >underlying theroy and use it but short of the Full course of Eltromatic
> >Theroy I hadin colledge you don't learn much
>
> I doubt you learned much in college either.

He went to clown college.

3174. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 12:55:58 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <yp_Dg.6865$1f6.2082@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 7504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

jawod wrote:
> you're trolling, aren't you?

No, I'm wishing that every amateur radio operator had
an above average IQ. Don't you agree that would be a
good thing for them and the ARS?
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3175. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 13:05:37 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <By_Dg.6867$1f6.2272@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 7505
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
>> An amateur radio license is an entry level license.
>
> There are a few classes - ONE class is entry level.

They are all entry level. The Extra class license allows
entry into the Extra class frequency segments. An amateur
license is not a status symbol. Its only worth is the
privileges granted. In the 1950's, generals, conditionals,
advanced, and extras all had the same frequency privileges.
I would like to see one amateur license granting all
amateur privileges so this crazy irrational pecking order
nonsense would cease.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3176. Re: answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 13:45:57 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <6cGdnf0yXpb84n3ZnZ2dnUVZ_tidnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 7506

"Brian 2W0BDW" <notsaying@here.com> wrote in message
news:SaRDg.18077$Cz6.10510@newsfe5-win.ntli.net...
>
> "Chief" <Chief@everestkc.net> wrote in message
> news:b20fe$44dfcb39$407e4d90$28158@EVERESTKC.NET...
> >
> >
>
>
I tatally agree!

3177. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 14:32:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <i821e2haophp08dk1k0ps3juhmjuqlsb4c@4ax.com>
Source record: 7507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 23:55:15 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 19:10:00 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 18:09:02 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>wrote:
>
>>>Why don't you stay out of discussions you don't understand? We know
>>>you're a fool, why keep proving it? Read Samuel Clemens, at least.
>
>>why don't you stay out of discussions that show so as aold fool not
>>honest enough he blowing smoke
>
>Parroting what I say doesn't make you look educated.
indeed it would not

but your point?
>
>>you are arguing over a difference that does not exist certain not as a
>>some sharp line
>
>In your mind.
or in yreality

no sudden dramtic change occoured in testing exists
>
>>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
>The fact that you posted something on your blog doesn't make it
>definitive, or even correct.
never claimed otherwise now you are attacking for a having a sig line?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3178. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 14:32:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <nb21e212itvmgmt4ins76ri3lm81k67hbu@4ax.com>
Source record: 7508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 00:15:18 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On 12 Aug 2006 18:58:18 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>>> How did capacitors escape getting color coded?
>
>>sshhhhh bb don't ask such questions please
>
>Since a) you don't know the answer and b) they didn't.
no I did ot know that answer and you lied they did
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3179. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 14:34:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <hc21e2p23u0a8574sqabqrpe215qeeq748@4ax.com>
Source record: 7509
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 23:54:12 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 19:13:23 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 18:24:46 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>wrote:
>
>>>Your claim to know what I'm thinking better than I do? Only if your
>>>age is a single digit.
>
>>sure I know better
>
>Then you're claiming to be a child.
nope you are claiming to something contary to fact
>
>>>>> >your beef has nothing to do with the tests it is to do with end of the
>>>>> >Hazing ritual that is a bout to occour
>
>>>>> There's a hazing rule in ham radio? Since when?
>
>>>>sure there is it is called Morse Code testing
>
>>>You don't win points by redefining words, you just make yourself look
>>>desperate.
>
>>no refining word HERE at any rate
>
>If you don't even know the difference between refining and redefining
>(they're not even close in meaning), there's no way you can discuss
>it. But testing for a license isn't hazing by any accepted definition
>regardless of what's being tested for.

you are worng it becoming hazing when the subject of the test is
unrelated to the prevlegdes it grannts like testing for Guases law for
the Post office so is morse code testing for the ARS
>
>>you are dancing around sutblies in the menaing of emorizing like mad
>
>In your mind, because you can't understand the simple distinctions.
and in the mind of engineer at least 2 of em and countless others as
well
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3180. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 14:36:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <tg21e213to7locl1ljkq9c2pfqmd1bn6uc@4ax.com>
Source record: 7510
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 23:49:32 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On 12 Aug 2006 15:42:50 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>wrote:
>
>>oncce you accpet that much of the testing involves memizztion the
>>question then comes down to where is your beef?
>
>Those who memorize answers instead of learning concepts - what you
>would have seen at the beginning of the thread had you paid attention.

I did

your point are a defference that makes no deffenerce that you can
explain
>
>>you tread awfully close to libel there AL
>
>Look up the definition of "libel". Part of it is "malicious
>defamation". Calling a penny a cent isn't malicious, nor is it
>defamatory.
but calling someone a cheat on federal requirement is
>
>> ask an lawyer if you don't believe me
>
>You need to take your own advice. Also you need to ask an English
>teacher - you don't seem to know the definitions of a lot of very
>common words.
that is your peoblem dancing over minutia you don't seem to understand
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3181. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 14:37:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <nj21e2pinnselvqhkftrq6rt0gri4qi59g@4ax.com>
Source record: 7511
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 23:56:24 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 19:14:08 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 18:09:47 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>wrote:
>>>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 15:01:51 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>>>wrote:
>
>>>>So exactly what is the "formula or method" for determining Extra
>>>>frequency privileges outside of memorizing them?
>
>>>Since frequency assignments aren't theory, your question is both
>>>irrelevant and incompetent.
>
>>since feq assignment are large part of the test they go to the core of
>>the matter
>
>Another case of your commenting on something you don't understand.
>"The core of the matter" is the difference between rote memorization
>and understanding - which you don't understand.
no the core of the matter is that there is no matter just you and
other trying toalter hisoory
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3182. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 14:39:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <7l21e2dvu794qnmr8gfqnicusbe9epf2r4@4ax.com>
Source record: 7512
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 00:05:46 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 20:11:49 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 23:57:13 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>>wrote:
>
>>>I would like to
>>>see one and only one entry level amateur radio exam
>>>leading to one brotherhood of amateur radio operators
>>>devoid of the jealousy, pecking order, and back-biting
>>>apparent in your postings and others.
>>amen
>
>You wouldn't be able to pass it, Mark, but you'd be the only one who
>would care about that.
I would certainly be able to pass it

your effort to smeear anybody that disagrees with you not withstanding
or indeed if you succeeded in producing a test I could not pass you
would exclude a lot of people besides me and kill the ARS which seems
to be your objecctive wether you know it or not

further if you produced a test that some woth an MS in GeoPhysics
could not pass it likely would ntot stand a court challenges anyway
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3183. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 14:40:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <1q21e2tet4e0c8n6436ae0bhfl3d1nq3em@4ax.com>
Source record: 7513
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 00:04:55 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 23:57:13 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Al Klein wrote:
>>> Since frequency assignments aren't theory, your question is both
>>> irrelevant and incompetent.
>
>>So the questions on my Extra exam were irrelevant?
>
>No, but at least you're consistent - your response is non-responsive
>and incompetent.
no they are on point
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3184. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 14:41:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <6r21e2l7au54u8umohgkiblkq8cfn13cjr@4ax.com>
Source record: 7514
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 12:55:58 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>jawod wrote:
>> you're trolling, aren't you?
>
>No, I'm wishing that every amateur radio operator had
>an above average IQ. Don't you agree that would be a
>good thing for them and the ARS?
the problem may well be they had it when they jioned jioned sadly it
would take massive re testing to keep it that way
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3185. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 14:42:01 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <4t21e2p66s782a92ftu1td8259n5hjhmn0@4ax.com>
Source record: 7515
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 00:02:09 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 23:51:28 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>I am too lazy
>
>I think that says it all.
indeed but no t wat you think it say
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3186. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 14:42:51 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <5u21e2hcmt9fv01fkah8ff7eo6drafnf8v@4ax.com>
Source record: 7516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 23:57:26 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 19:14:59 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 18:18:11 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>wrote:
>
>>>Any knowledgeable person knows that knowledge is valuable for its own
>>>sake.
>
>>and also knows that not all knowledge is equaly valuable
>
>There's a difference between "knowledge" as a class and specific
>knowledge - something evidently outside the scope of your knowledge.
nope

but the less value in knowledge that is without use than knowledge
gained from use

something I am sure you will not undersatnd
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

3187. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 14:45:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <kv21e2tnp8af61kcos7tstrfjkuafvbmrg@4ax.com>
Source record: 7517
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 23:59:30 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 19:16:28 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 18:16:39 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>wrote:
>
>>>>but there still ramins no need for me to ever know the differentce
>>>>between a collpitts and hartely occilator.
>
>>>There's no *need* for you to even know that you can use a radio to
>>>talk to people.
>
>>there is if I want a license for it
>
>Learn (now there's a new concept for you) the difference between
>"specific" and "general".
already do
>
>>>There's a need, if we want a ham license to say that the holder of
>>>said license has achieved a certain level of technical competence, to
>>>test for that competence. Otherwise all the license says is "I have
>>>this piece of paper with ink on it".
>
>>no it say I have legal license to do xyz with it that is all it has
>>ever said
>
>In your limited experience - which is about 0% relevant to anyone
>else.
>
nope

but belittleing your oppenents merely puts you on the road to being
another clone of Robeson whoose sole posting these day consists of
insults
>>experhaps in the epriod where the general advanced and
>>Extra class all had the same preveldges
>
>Which was ... oh ... only a few decades. But you weren't licensed
>then, so they don't matter, right?

is that what you are advocting?
but there were before many if not most curent ham were born or at

least licensed

that state of affiars was also found un acceptable by the powers that
be

your point?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3188. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 14:47:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <d431e2lvbhvpglrdtpqf2fncuu1bm41bnt@4ax.com>
Source record: 7518
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 00:14:47 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 00:48:36 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Brenda Ann wrote:
>
>>> Some
>>> advanced appliance operators know enough to connect other peripheral devices
>>> such as digital mode devices or power amplifiers, but do not know how these
>>> devices work, nor how to construct such devices.
>
>>An amateur radio license is an entry level license.
>
>There are a few classes - ONE class is entry level.
all of them are entry level comapred to an RF engineer
>
>> It is not a university degree. When I obtained all amateur privileges
>>at the age of 15, I didn't know squat.
>
>"When I robbed a man at the age of 15, I wasn't arrested." Does that
>make robbery legal? Your experience is only that - your experience,
>it's not definitive.

impling that Cecil stole his license by passing the tests of the day
agina come close to libel, not quite there but close
>
>> All I had done is memorize
>>the ARRL License Manual. Six years later I had a EE degree. What
>>is wrong with learning the technical stuff after one obtains his
>>entry level license?
>
>Nothing, if you don't care that the license means nothing more than
>that you have it.
indeed I guess there we have

YOU want the license as some sort of badge of honnor, the rest of one
want to use and explore what it grants us
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3189. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 14:48:51 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <5a31e2ll076qu2v57664di735g05ut9tkm@4ax.com>
Source record: 7519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 21:28:40 -0400, "L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote:

>"jawod" <jawod@fuse.net> wrote in message news:44DF2840.8040500@fuse.net...
>>
>>>
>>>
>>> In the example YOU give - Cecil - it could be taken either way. In the
>>> case of the "frequencies" you're to operate on for a given license and
>>> band - YES - you could simply "memorize" (not really commit to memory)
>>> those frequencies - for the exam purposes and just refer to a chart from
>>> there in. OR you COULD "memorize" them (actually committing to memory)
>>> for the purpose of NOT having to use a chart! However, once you use those
>>> frequencies after a while - especially if active - then you "would" tend
>>> to "memorize" (for life) those frequencies. Yes, it is definately
>>> splitting hairs!
>>>
>>> L.
>> that makes no sense. to memorize is to commit to memory, by definition.
>
>RE-READ IT - there were TWO people here in the beginning "splitting hairs"
>about the use of or perhaps MIS use of the word "memorization. One was
>leaning towards "memorizing" "ANSWERS" purely to satisfy an exam..... i.e;
>ABCD.......... it isn't quite that simple. On the other hand, the other
>argument was in the "true" sense of the word - TO MEMORIZE (commit to memory
>for life).
if you don't like it bail
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3190. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 14:51:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <1b31e2lf5llq52nd5sljesv44e7rpkcgpi@4ax.com>
Source record: 7520
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 23:51:10 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On 12 Aug 2006 15:43:51 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Al Klein wrote:
>>> On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 14:41:33 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>> >Brenda Ann wrote:
>>> >> There's a difference between memorizing a formula or method and memorizing
>>> >> specific answers to specific questions. The former is called learning, and
>>> >> can be applied to many situations. The latter is called laziness, and
>>> >> teaches nothing that can be used for any other purpose.
>>> >
>>> >That is just hair-splitting.
>>>
>>> The same hair splitting as the difference between stealing money and
>>> earning it - they're both methods of obtaining it.
>
>>again you tread close to libel and flatout insaity
>
>There's nothing either malicious not defamatory in that. ("Who" am I
>defaming? "Hair"?)
myself for example by comparing me to a theft

that you don't even bother to consider your words
>
>>> But, since you don't know the difference between "learning" and
>>> "memorizing", nor which subjects fall into which category, you
>>> probably can't see the parallel.
>
>>and again
>
>Telling you something true about yourself isn't actionable, unless
>done with certain intent, which you'd be hard-pressed to prove.
never said it was actiionable

do you understand english it seem not

however when you keep compating someone to theifs in time I suspect it
would rise to being actionale

what protects you is that judgement is not particularly collectable
and therefore not worth an atorneys time
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3191. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 14:52:30 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <3f31e29gu9b88stkf5goqhvg9tmre1iike@4ax.com>
Source record: 7521
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 00:09:15 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 00:04:45 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Al Klein wrote:
>
>>> But, since you don't know the difference between "learning" and
>>> "memorizing", nor which subjects fall into which category, you
>>> probably can't see the parallel.
>
>>Learning is impossible without memorizing.
>
>Memorizing is possible without learning.
indeed so?
>
>> You are simply ignorant
>
>I'm not the one who doesn't understand the discussion, inverting
>"memorizing" and "learning". Maybe you need to stop being so lazy and
>actually learn something.

yep the personal in lew of reason
>
>
>>Is English your second language?
>
>Third. My internal language is (was) my first. Brooklynese was my
>second. English is my third.
>
>> Again, from Websters
>
>Again, Webster's is a compendium of common usage, not an unabridged
>(regardless of the trademark) authoritative source. those who cling
>to dictionary definitions as authoritative announce their lack of
>actual knowledge.

meaning agree with me o r be labeled dumb

get over yourself troll
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3192. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 14:54:10 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <qh31e29rh962do3rd60a661d3ghd4varrj@4ax.com>
Source record: 7522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 00:00:50 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 19:17:33 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 18:23:13 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>wrote:
>>>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 15:12:59 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>>>wrote:
>
>>>>Laziness allows one to achieve a goal by the most efficient
>>>>route. Some famous German military leader said he would
>>>>lots rather have brilliant and lazy officers than ambitious
>>>>and stupid ones.
>
>>>As I recall, he was also known as one of the most idiotic strategists
>>>the species has ever produced. His "fame" didn't stop him from being
>>>the almost single-handed reason his country lost its big war, did it?
>
>>>> I personally would rather see brilliant
>>>>and lazy amateur radio operators than ambitious and stupid
>>>>ones hanging on for dear life to an obsolete testing
>>>>requirement.
>
>>>Being both intelligent and ambitious doesn't appear on your radar?
>
>>but it is not a requirement of licensing after you have one
>
>Your comment was totally irrelevant to THIS conversation, Mark. Ham
>radio has nothing to do, in this context, with Hitler.
not in my opinion which for the pruposes of posting is all that counts

indeed Hiltler has nothing to do with the discussion at this stage he
comes into it later
do keep track esp if you are going to play thread cop
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3193. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 14:55:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <0l31e293dga4k6d7j764m2bee6ubn0t25h@4ax.com>
Source record: 7523
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 00:12:21 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 00:22:31 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Al Klein wrote:
>>> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>> Laziness allows one to achieve a goal by the most efficient
>>>> route. Some famous German military leader said he would
>>>> lots rather have brilliant and lazy officers than ambitious
>>>> and stupid ones.
>>>
>>> As I recall, he was also known as one of the most idiotic strategists
>>> the species has ever produced. His "fame" didn't stop him from being
>>> the almost single-handed reason his country lost its big war, did it?
>>
>>This was a WWI German officer and I don't recall his name.
>
>Then it's just an assertion of yours, isn't it?
and my assertion but then you need to refer to autority when it suits
you
>
>>> Being both intelligent and ambitious doesn't appear on your radar?
>
>>The pride, lust, and greed usually accompanying ambition are
>>a good percentage of the seven deadly sins.
>
>Sorry, I don't share your religious incredulity. I don't recognize
>"sin" as anything but a nonsense word.
you certainly a polite ham ....NOT
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3194. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 14:58:40 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <pn31e2t8ij42lrq5pn3aom04igddmahfh4@4ax.com>
Source record: 7524
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 23:32:19 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On 12 Aug 2006 15:49:50 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Al Klein wrote:
>>> On 12 Aug 2006 09:57:19 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>> >it is not a sign sign of any superior knowledge to know that is a
>>> >particular circut is Collpitts or hartley ocilator
>>>
>>> It's a sign of having learned it.
>>a sign of havigng learned something of NO value
>
>No value to you - that doesn't matter very much to the other 6
>billion+ people on the planet.
the knowledg is of no value to most of those people either
>
>>> You still don't understand the difference between memorizing facts and
>>> memorizing answers.
>
>>at pesent their is no different
>
>To you - which was what I said.
to me and the FCC and reality
>
>>for some of the materail the only rational way of approuching it is
>>memorization
>
>For some, yes. I'm referring to those who use the method for all the
>answers - people like you.

as it happens I did not

I ordereda copy of the tech mnaul but it did not arive before the test
so I took it and passed based on what was in my headabout rf and
working the rules question mostly from the notion just which of these
answers sounds like the work of a real "crat

>
>>, for other section you can learn some part of the

>>underlying theroy and use it but short of the Full course of Eltromatic
>>Theroy I hadin colledge you don't learn much
>
>I doubt you learned much in college either.

perhaps not perhaps so that is another matter

and one clearly without profit to go into
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3195. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 14:59:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <vt31e2h6e7dl1bg1svf9uvnl2ari0dg9oj@4ax.com>
Source record: 7525
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 23:33:53 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On 12 Aug 2006 19:27:59 -0700, "cmdr buzz corey"
><cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote:
>
>>Al Klein wrote:
>>> On 10 Aug 2006 22:12:58 -0700, "cmdr buzz corey"
>>> <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> >an old friend wrote:
>>>
>>> >> I am honest. I don't think CW has ANY value in todays world.
>>>
>>> >Kinda like you.
>>>
>>> Except that code was useful once.
>>
>>And still more useful today than an old idiot is.
>
>Urdu and Sanskrit are a lot more useful today than he is.
to you I am sure that is true but you are looking more like Robeson
and Wismen daily not a good thing
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3196. Re: you are advised to caese dists this violation of slander libel and

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 15:01:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <kv31e2h48hn9rqa7k7sicmu6s6o086p1te@4ax.com>
Source record: 7526
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 23:35:57 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On 12 Aug 2006 15:37:17 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Al Klein wrote:
>
>>> Court decisions only hold in the areas of the courts' jurisdictions.
>
>>indeed but the supremes are the the law in the USA
>
>And the internet is international, so US findings bear no weight,
>except on those in the US.
which is the case in most of this discusion
>
>>> Don't start spouting law to us now - you don't know enough about it to
>>> cross the street legally.
>
>>interesting that you lciam knowledge of what is in my head
>
>I'm claiming a conclusion based on your DEMONSTRATED level of
>knowledge and intelligence. It's like claiming that the sun will rise
>tomorrow - the Earth may stop turning during the night, but all the
>evidence is against it.
the best answer to that is one words
BULLSHIT you are just enaging in the personal smear attacks that the
NG is noted for
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3197. Re: Good Race Radio (please excuse my ignorance....)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 15:58:45 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155571125.019801.47700@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7527

Coldwarrior1 wrote:
> Wes wrote:
> > Sorry to post two message. But what race radio would people recommend for
> > Indy and Nascar? Any suggestions on headsets also?
> >
> > Thanks ahead of time...
> >
> >
> This is a sincere question, I am not being rhetorical. Why do you need
> a "race radio"? What do you listen to at a car race?
>
> Thanks for reading
at a race or other sporting events there is a lot of trafradio chatter
around the event itself

indeed I supect that if the orignal poster has not experenced it he may
be expecting more than he gets like driver to pit traffic which I hear
is hard to find

3198. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 19:32:48 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1155583970.669946@www.vif.com>
Source record: 7528
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

STFU MORON! DROP THE SUBJECT

WHO GIVE FUCK.

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

> On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 23:32:19 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
> wrote:
>
>
>>On 12 Aug 2006 15:49:50 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Al Klein wrote:
>>>
>>>>On 12 Aug 2006 09:57:19 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>>>>wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>it is not a sign sign of any superior knowledge to know that is a
>>>>>particular circut is Collpitts or hartley ocilator
>>>>
>>>>It's a sign of having learned it.
>>>
>>>a sign of havigng learned something of NO value
>>
>>No value to you - that doesn't matter very much to the other 6
>>billion+ people on the planet.
>
> the knowledg is of no value to most of those people either
>
>>>>You still don't understand the difference between memorizing facts and
>>>>memorizing answers.
>>
>>>at pesent their is no different
>>
>>To you - which was what I said.
>
> to me and the FCC and reality
>
>>>for some of the materail the only rational way of approuching it is
>>>memorization

>>
>>For some, yes. I'm referring to those who use the method for all the
>>answers - people like you.
>
>
> as it happens I did not
>
> I ordereda copy of the tech mnaul but it did not arive before the test
> so I took it and passed based on what was in my headabout rf and
> working the rules question mostly from the notion just which of these
> answers sounds like the work of a real "crat
>
>
>>>, for other section you can learn some part of the
>>>underlying theroy and use it but short of the Full course of Eltromatic
>>>Theroy I hadin colledge you don't learn much
>>
>>I doubt you learned much in college either.
>
>
> perhaps not perhaps so that is another matter
>
> and one clearly without profit to go into
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0633-0, 08/14/2006
Tested on: 8/14/2006 3:32:49 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

3199. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 19:33:01 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1155583983.549825@www.vif.com>
Source record: 7529
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

STFU MORON! DROP THE SUBJECT

WHO GIVE FUCK.

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

> On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 23:33:53 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
> wrote:
>
>
>>On 12 Aug 2006 19:27:59 -0700, "cmdr buzz corey"
>><cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Al Klein wrote:
>>>
>>>>On 10 Aug 2006 22:12:58 -0700, "cmdr buzz corey"
>>>><cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>an old friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>I am honest. I don't think CW has ANY value in todays world.
>>>>
>>>>Kinda like you.
>>>>
>>>>Except that code was useful once.
>>>
>>>And still more useful today than an old idiot is.
>>
>>Urdu and Sanskrit are a lot more useful today than he is.
>
> to you I am sure that is true but you are looking more like Robeson
> and Wismen daily not a good thing
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0633-0, 08/14/2006
Tested on: 8/14/2006 3:33:01 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

3200. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 19:43:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <rhk1e25n5r6sk2vjb7s6bgb5c5i21736uo@4ax.com>
Source record: 7530
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 15:33:01 -0400, "news.vif.com"
<root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com> wrote:

>STFU MORON! DROP THE SUBJECT
no
>
>WHO GIVE FUCK.
obviously you do and Al Klien does and perhaps other as well
>
>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>

>>>
>>>Urdu and Sanskrit are a lot more useful today than he is.
>>
>> to you I am sure that is true but you are looking more like Robeson
>> and Wismen daily not a good thing
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>
>
>---
>avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
>Virus Database (VPS): 0633-0, 08/14/2006
>Tested on: 8/14/2006 3:33:01 PM
>avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
>http://www.avast.com
>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3201. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 19:57:55 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <m9l1e25p5sphg0jif7ndd6l4vqpa5fdumm@4ax.com>
Source record: 7531
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:58:40 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 23:32:19 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:
>>On 12 Aug 2006 15:49:50 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>>wrote:

>>>> It's a sign of having learned it.

>>>a sign of havigng learned something of NO value

>>No value to you - that doesn't matter very much to the other 6
>>billion+ people on the planet.

>the knowledg is of no value to most of those people either

I'm glad to know that you've been appointed to speak for them but, if
you don't mind, I'd rather hear it from them.

>>>> You still don't understand the difference between memorizing facts and
>>>> memorizing answers.

>>>at pesent their is no different

>>To you - which was what I said.

>to me and the FCC and reality

But not to those actually involved in the study of memory and
learning.

>>>for some of the materail the only rational way of approuching it is
>>>memorization

>>For some, yes. I'm referring to those who use the method for all the
>>answers - people like you.

>as it happens I did not

I prefer to not take your word for something that contradicts what
you've said before.

3202. Re: you are advised to caese dists this violation of slander libel and

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:01:07 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <5hl1e21rhc4cddh5ln6gqjnvcdtecbrur2@4ax.com>
Source record: 7532
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 11:01:14 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>you are just enaging in the personal smear attacks that the
>NG is noted for

Since you claim to know that, one could say that you're asking for
whatever you're getting, couldn't one? One can hardly complain that
one's shoes are getting dirty if one freely chooses to walk in
ankle-deep mud, can one?

3203. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:02:16 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <lkl1e2l0s6qacflc3nugm3l7qrv6518v0d@4ax.com>
Source record: 7533
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 12:55:58 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>No, I'm wishing that every amateur radio operator had
>an above average IQ.

Easy solution - only award licenses to those with above average IQs.

3204. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:04:14 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <kml1e2hr6j34quhl2bumq64kovo66j0omp@4ax.com>
Source record: 7534
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 13:05:37 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>>> An amateur radio license is an entry level license.
>>
>> There are a few classes - ONE class is entry level.
>
>They are all entry level. The Extra class license allows
>entry into the Extra class frequency segments.

Using that logic, a PhD oral is an entry level exam - it allows entry
into the ranks of those with PhDs.

> An amateur
>license is not a status symbol. Its only worth is the
>privileges granted. In the 1950's, generals, conditionals,
>advanced, and extras all had the same frequency privileges.

Except that there were no advanced class licenses, and the extra was a
prestige license.

3205. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:06:32 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <6ql1e29j9ti7r0bh7721dmsq6jq3r2cckm@4ax.com>
Source record: 7535
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:32:06 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 23:55:15 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:

>>Parroting what I say doesn't make you look educated.

>indeed it would not

>but your point?

That if you bought 5 clues you'd still be less than clueless.

>>The fact that you posted something on your blog doesn't make it
>>definitive, or even correct.
>never claimed otherwise now you are attacking for a having a sig line?

>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

That's not a sig line, it's part of your post. Sigs appear UNDER the
tear (and you don't even have a tear). Put your sig in the signature
area, not as part of your post. (If you can figure out how to use
Agent.)

3206. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:07:30 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <jul1e2p1u9jituees5agtmut4r58r6bmb2@4ax.com>
Source record: 7536
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:32:41 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 00:15:18 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:
>
>>On 12 Aug 2006 18:58:18 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>> How did capacitors escape getting color coded?
>>
>>>sshhhhh bb don't ask such questions please
>>
>>Since a) you don't know the answer and b) they didn't.

>no I did ot know that answer and you lied they did

I *HAVE* capacitors that are color coded, so you lied about my lying.

3207. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:09:43 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <d0m1e2900biacp75io95s2u6qtui98bmg6@4ax.com>
Source record: 7537
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:34:58 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 23:54:12 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 19:13:23 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>
>>>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 18:24:46 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>>wrote:
>>
>>>>Your claim to know what I'm thinking better than I do? Only if your
>>>>age is a single digit.
>>
>>>sure I know better
>>
>>Then you're claiming to be a child.

>nope you are claiming to something contary to fact

I'm claiming that I know what I think and you don't - which is a fact.

>you are worng it becoming hazing when the subject of the test is
>unrelated to the prevlegdes it grannts

Nope - it's just a poor test. Hazing is something entirely different.

>>>you are dancing around sutblies in the menaing of emorizing like mad

>>In your mind, because you can't understand the simple distinctions.

>and in the mind of engineer at least 2 of em and countless others as
>well

Degrees don't guarantee competence - 50% of all engineers graduated in
the bottom half of the class.

3208. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:12:03 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <o4m1e2l41kblgqlg97tk73j76m9pjks4j8@4ax.com>
Source record: 7538
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:36:29 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 23:49:32 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:
>>On 12 Aug 2006 15:42:50 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>>wrote:

>>>oncce you accpet that much of the testing involves memizztion the
>>>question then comes down to where is your beef?

>>Those who memorize answers instead of learning concepts - what you
>>would have seen at the beginning of the thread had you paid attention.

>I did

>your point are a defference that makes no deffenerce that you can
>explain

In a way that you can understand. Since you demonstrate so little
understanding of anything, that's no surprise.

>>>you tread awfully close to libel there AL

>>Look up the definition of "libel". Part of it is "malicious
>>defamation". Calling a penny a cent isn't malicious, nor is it
>>defamatory.

>but calling someone a cheat on federal requirement is

Post a link to my post calling you "a cheat on federal requirement" -
or even just calling you a cheat.

>>> ask an lawyer if you don't believe me

>>You need to take your own advice. Also you need to ask an English
>>teacher - you don't seem to know the definitions of a lot of very
>>common words.

>that is your peoblem dancing over minutia you don't seem to understand

Pot - kettle - ebon.

3209. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:14:25 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <79m1e2h039r5a4p4l11ekso7lo6nhk1fh0@4ax.com>
Source record: 7539
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:37:12 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>no the core of the matter is that there is no matter just you and

>other trying toalter hisoory

History is amateurs having to demonstrate proficiency in Morse code.
those trying to eliminate the code requirement are the ones trying to
alter history. Do you work for the government? It sure sounds like
it. "When we change history it's progress, when you try to change
things back to the way they were, it's 'changing history'."

3210. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:16:21 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <kdm1e2t5hkfqtmmmrlperjo2s9etraoipg@4ax.com>
Source record: 7540
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:39:45 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>your effort to smeear anybody that disagrees with you not withstanding
>or indeed if you succeeded in producing a test I could not pass you
>would exclude a lot of people besides me and kill the ARS

Like it was "killed" all through the 30s, 40s, 50, 60s, etc.? Code
was required, as was drawing schematics. Yet there were more hams
every year than there were the year before. You have a strange
concept of "kill".

3211. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:17:07 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <2hm1e2d7tscn14jb9bhgc18k8vcu3kht7f@4ax.com>
Source record: 7541
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:40:11 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 00:04:55 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:

>>No, but at least you're consistent - your response is non-responsive
>>and incompetent.

>no they are on point

You don't even know what an incompetent response is, so how can you
comment on it?

3212. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:18:08 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <4jm1e2hgtsa39apoo633dso3aatv7h8v8c@4ax.com>
Source record: 7542
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:42:01 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 00:02:09 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 23:51:28 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>I am too lazy
>>
>>I think that says it all.
>indeed but no t wat you think it say

Mark, if missing the point were worth money you'd be richer than
Gates.

3213. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:20:01 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <emm1e2ha553g8g2ro94mgar10uaje0btom@4ax.com>
Source record: 7543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:06:32 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:32:06 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 23:55:15 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>wrote:
>
>>>Parroting what I say doesn't make you look educated.
>
>>indeed it would not
>
>>but your point?
>
>That if you bought 5 clues you'd still be less than clueless.
no need to buy clues
but still your point is what?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3214. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:20:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <tnm1e21gh72lf4gj83f0254s4hp86rm85a@4ax.com>
Source record: 7544
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:07:30 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:32:41 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 00:15:18 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>On 12 Aug 2006 18:58:18 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>> How did capacitors escape getting color coded?
>>>
>>>>ssshhhhh bb don't ask such questions please
>>>
>>>Since a) you don't know the answer and b) they didn't.
>
>>no I did ot know that answer and you lied they did
>
>I *HAVE* capacitors that are color coded, so you lied about my lying.
then you lied when you typed " they didn't." or used english
incoreectly or
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3215. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:22:13 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <snm1e2d0jbhmma3fn5k712jbbare4t9upi@4ax.com>
Source record: 7546
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:47:21 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 00:14:47 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:
>>On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 00:48:36 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>>wrote:

>>> It is not a university degree. When I obtained all amateur privileges
>>>at the age of 15, I didn't know squat.

>>"When I robbed a man at the age of 15, I wasn't arrested." Does that
>>make robbery legal? Your experience is only that - your experience,
>>it's not definitive.

>impling that Cecil stole his license by passing the tests of the day

Not even close, but your accusation is close to being libelous.

>YOU want the license as some sort of badge of honnor

No, I want it to mean what it meant for decades - that the holder had
demonstrated a certain level of knowledge.

3216. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:22:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <cpm1e2lsra9deqp96g5u1603rp209pigjj@4ax.com>
Source record: 7545
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:09:43 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:34:58 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 23:54:12 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 19:13:23 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 18:24:46 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>>>Your claim to know what I'm thinking better than I do? Only if your
>>>>>age is a single digit.
>>>
>>>>sure I know better
>>>
>>>Then you're claiming to be a child.
>
>>nope you are claiming to something contary to fact
>
>I'm claiming that I know what I think and you don't - which is a fact.
prove it

I don't think you truely understand what you think, that is another
fact
>
>>you are worng it becoming hazing when the subject of the test is
>>unrelated to the prevlegdes it grannts
>
>Nope - it's just a poor test. Hazing is something entirely different.

hazing is in the ye of the beholder once it sceases to be relavant
>
>>>>you are dancing around sutblies in the menaing of emorizing like mad
>
>>>In your mind, because you can't understand the simple distinctions.
>
>>and in the mind of engineer at least 2 of em and countless others as
>>well
>
>Degrees don't guarantee competence - 50% of all engineers graduated in
>the bottom half of the class.

do you have anything cogent to say?

it would apear not
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3217. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:24:36 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <gsm1e21f1kvjth3a9lu1ekr9rgeqo31tf3@4ax.com>
Source record: 7547
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:12:03 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:36:29 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 23:49:32 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>wrote:
>>>On 12 Aug 2006 15:42:50 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>>>wrote:
>
>>>>oncce you accpet that much of the testing involves memizztion the
>>>>question then comes down to where is your beef?
>
>>>Those who memorize answers instead of learning concepts - what you
>>>would have seen at the beginning of the thread had you paid attention.
>
>>I did
>
>>your point are a defference that makes no deffenerce that you can
>>explain
>
>In a way that you can understand. Since you demonstrate so little
>understanding of anything, that's no surprise.
you can't explain it to me or to a frormer (or current memeber of
Mensa nor it seems can you explain where it counts...The FCC
>
>>>>you tread awfully close to libel there AL
>
>>>Look up the definition of "libel". Part of it is "malicious
>>>defamation". Calling a penny a cent isn't malicious, nor is it
>>>defamatory.
>
>>but calling someone a cheat on federal requirement is
>
>Post a link to my post calling you "a cheat on federal requirement" -
>or even just calling you a cheat.
why? you would simply dey it

but you compare those that took and passed the test required at the
time to theifs that sure soound calling em cheats to me

>
>>>> ask an lawyer if you don't believe me
>

>>>You need to take your own advice. Also you need to ask an English
>>>teacher - you don't seem to know the definitions of a lot of very
>>>common words.
>
>>that is your peoblem dancing over minutia you don't seem to understand
>
>Pot - kettle - ebon.

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3218. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:27:40 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <hsm1e2d58qglt0btugqbt461m36giu3ao2@4ax.com>
Source record: 7548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:51:06 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 23:51:10 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:

>>There's nothing either malicious not defamatory in that. ("Who" am I
>>defaming? "Hair"?)

>myself for example by comparing me to a theft

1) A theft is an act - I wasn't comparing anyone to an act.

2) Quote where I'm comparing you to anything.

>>Telling you something true about yourself isn't actionable, unless
>>done with certain intent, which you'd be hard-pressed to prove.

>never said it was actiionable

You keep using the word "libel" - that means actionable, since libel
is a civil tort.

>do you understand english it seem not

Oh, I understand it. It's difficult to get published if you don't
understand the language in which you're writing. Your posts, however,
demonstrate a clear lack of ability to use the language to communicate
clearly.

>however when you keep compating someone to theifs in time I suspect it
>would rise to being actionale

If I ever did, which I never have.

>what protects you is that judgement is not particularly collectable
>and therefore not worth an atorneys time

How would you know whether a judgment against me is collectable?

Or is this another case of what you say having nothing to do with what
you mean?

3219. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:28:17 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <g0n1e253ipo2u8iahim95ic154inrjhme8@4ax.com>
Source record: 7549
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:14:25 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:37:12 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>no the core of the matter is that there is no matter just you and
>
>>other trying toalter hisoory
>
>History is amateurs having to demonstrate proficiency in Morse code.
indeed it is History today there is no need for it

>those trying to eliminate the code requirement are the ones trying to
>alter history.
how so we adkonowledge that it once had a fairly legit place in the
scheme of things (I am almost alone in saying we could have done
without as early as the invention of voice although I grant it was
convenait then and the treaty lang required it)
> Do you work for the government?
at times
> It sure sounds like
>it. "When we change history it's progress, when you try to change
>things back to the way they were, it's 'changing history'."

no when you try to ignore what is and reverse progress and techinal
advance I call it Ludditism and in (close to)theoriginal meaning of
the word, Sabotage.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3220. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:30:02 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <j7n1e21gv5fijesa3cnhfqm6pelnkoe3id@4ax.com>
Source record: 7550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:54:10 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>not in my opinion which for the pruposes of posting is all that counts

No, actually, "for the purposes of posting", your opinion doesn't
count at all to most people. But, since you have such a limited view
of the world, you won't understand what that means.

3221. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:31:07 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <konstans@homomale.com>
Message-ID: <44e0d063$0$11706$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 7351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:lkl1e2l0s6qacflc3nugm3l7qrv6518v0d@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 12:55:58 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>>No, I'm wishing that every amateur radio operator had
>>an above average IQ.
>
> Easy solution - only award licenses to those with above average IQs.

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3222. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:31:29 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <6bn1e2lmeh8adsvjkmral0r9tih3dlbfie@4ax.com>
Source record: 7352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:55:07 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 00:12:21 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:

>>Sorry, I don't share your religious incredulity. I don't recognize
>>"sin" as anything but a nonsense word.

>you certainly a polite ham ....NOT

Is that religious bigotry I'm hearing, Mark? "Accept my beliefs as
fact or be labeled impolite"?

3223. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:32:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <b7n1e2hpbc6r09qbfse74j4r5k8tlf28co@4ax.com>
Source record: 7353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:16:21 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:39:45 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>your effort to smeear anybody that disagrees with you not withstanding
>>or indeed if you succeeded in producing a test I could not pass you
>>would exclude a lot of people besides me and kill the ARS
>
you reply which had zero relavance to my statement clipped

My statement if you enacted a standard that would in fact keep me from
passing, that would kill the ARS. That sort would require far more
than merely adding schamtics or going to short answer questions. it
would involved a test that would serious chalange Cecil and Len
Anderson both RF engineers, doing that would kill the ars as would the
asiine proposals of Mr Slow Code and many others

your notions are simplely not exexutable in anything like the current
sytem

the notion that multible guess is acceptable for pilots and drivers
(amoug others) but ham radio ops is silly
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3224. Re: Good Race Radio (please excuse my ignorance....)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:32:48 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <vdn1e29fhnl2pjdujutunihchv5b0rj8m2@4ax.com>
Source record: 7354

On 14 Aug 2006 08:58:45 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>indeed I supect that if the orignal poster has not experenced it he may
>be expecting more than he gets like driver to pit traffic which I hear
>is hard to find

It's easy to find - it's usually impossible (not to mention totally
illegal) to unscramble.

3225. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:33:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <uen1e29gph2f5vu9tuvvj6cldo8lmq8a63@4ax.com>
Source record: 7355
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:17:07 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:40:11 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 00:04:55 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>wrote:
>
>>>No, but at least you're consistent - your response is non-responsive
>>>and incompetent.
>
>>no they are on point
>
>You don't even know what an incompetent response is, so how can you
>comment on it?\
it is like pron I can't define it but I know it when I see it

it works for the law on Pornographic materail surely that is a high
enough standard for the NG
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3226. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:33:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <lgn1e219d1ee68d7vvopg6vulcvcenr40k@4ax.com>
Source record: 7356
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:02:16 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 12:55:58 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>No, I'm wishing that every amateur radio operator had
>>an above average IQ.
>
>Easy solution - only award licenses to those with above average IQs.
only if you throw away a little document like the constitution

but you are not serious I know that
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3227. with the punce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:34:21 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <konstans@homomale.com>
Message-ID: <44e0d125$0$11665$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 7358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling
do u hav anyting cognet two say?

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3228. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:34:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <rhn1e2hjmsu7ki5q0iv36osm52gp7efnfp@4ax.com>
Source record: 7357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:18:08 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:42:01 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 00:02:09 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 23:51:28 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>>I am too lazy
>>>
>>>I think that says it all.
>>indeed but no t wat you think it say
>
>Mark, if missing the point were worth money you'd be richer than
>Gates.
nah O see the point I don't agree with you

if you could understand that you might get somewhere
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3229. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:36:42 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u95Eg.796$q63.532@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 7359
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> They are all entry level. The Extra class license allows
>> entry into the Extra class frequency segments.
>
> Using that logic, a PhD oral is an entry level exam - it allows entry
> into the ranks of those with PhDs.

As far as I know, there is no governmental PhD class
license and therefore no governmental ranks of those
with PhDs.

>> An amateur
>> license is not a status symbol. Its only worth is the
>> privileges granted. In the 1950's, generals, conditionals,
>> advanced, and extras all had the same frequency privileges.
>
> Except that there were no advanced class licenses, and the extra was a
> prestige license.

You don't seem to know much about 1950's ham licenses.
You didn't know that Conditional was a General exam
taken by mail. You don't know there were many Advanced
class hams in the 1950's faithfully renewing their
licenses. My Elmer was an Advanced licensee. Here's
a quote from a 1957 ARRL License Manual: "Holders of
Advanced Class licenses may renew them so long as they
can comply with renewal requirements."
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3230. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:37:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <kjn1e2d735e90hl7kek3k4gm6rlrdvobiq@4ax.com>
Source record: 7360
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 15:57:55 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:58:40 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 23:32:19 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>wrote:
>>>On 12 Aug 2006 15:49:50 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>>>wrote:
>
>>>>> It's a sign of having learned it.
>
>>>>a sign of havigng learned something of NO value
>
>>>No value to you - that doesn't matter very much to the other 6
>>>billion+ people on the planet.
>
>>the knowledg is of no value to most of those people either
>
>I'm glad to know that you've been appointed to speak for them but, if
>you don't mind, I'd rather hear it from them.
you have heard from the appointed legel representives and you did not
listen to them either

but indeed enlight me
what value is derived from the knowledge that an occiclaotr is
colpitss type or hartley

without adding something to mix they answer it has no value

>
>>>>> You still don't understand the difference between memorizing facts and
>>>>> memorizing answers.
>
>>>>at pesent their is no different
>
>>>To you - which was what I said.
>
>>to me and the FCC and reality
>
>But not to those actually involved in the study of memory and
>learning.
when did you become nominated to speak for them Al Klein fair is fiar

except it seems with procoders
>
>>>>for some of the materail the only rational way of approuching it is
>>>>memorization
>
>>>For some, yes. I'm referring to those who use the method for all the
>>>answers - people like you.
>
>>as it happens I did not
>
>I prefer to not take your word for something that contradicts what
>you've said before.
l;ying again I have never said otherwise

I have one could do so

you are very sloppy about your facts
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3231. Re: you are advised to caese dists this violation of slander libel and

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:39:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <cpn1e2p6iaosrqe080fv1d0h5sj2o72g0k@4ax.com>
Source record: 7361
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:01:07 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 11:01:14 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>you are just enaging in the personal smear attacks that the
>>NG is noted for
>
>Since you claim to know that, one could say that you're asking for
>whatever you're getting, couldn't one?
obviously YOU can

but many things can be claimed
> One can hardly complain that
>one's shoes are getting dirty if one freely chooses to walk in
>ankle-deep mud, can one?
but not complaining about my shoes man but sutble things like the
turth elude you
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3232. Re: question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:39:24 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <konstans@homomale.com>
Message-ID: <44e0d254$0$11672$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 7362

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling
do u hav anyting cognet two say?

"Chief" <Chief@everestkc.net> wrote in message
news:b20fe$44dfcb39$407e4d90$28158@EVERESTKC.NET...
>
>

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3233. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:41:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <cun1e2pvcju5nm265bemgt8dhutte3n6ck@4ax.com>
Source record: 7363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:22:13 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:47:21 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 00:14:47 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>wrote:
>>>On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 00:48:36 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>>>wrote:
>
>>>> It is not a university degree. When I obtained all amateur privileges
>>>>at the age of 15, I didn't know squat.
>
>>>"When I robbed a man at the age of 15, I wasn't arrested." Does that
>>>make robbery legal? Your experience is only that - your experience,
>>>it's not definitive.
>
>>impling that Cecil stole his license by passing the tests of the day
>
>Not even close, but your accusation is close to being libelous.
the accusation is your not mine
>
>>YOU want the license as some sort of badge of honnor
>
>No, I want it to mean what it meant for decades - that the holder had
>demonstrated a certain level of knowledge.
it has never meant that, not as a matt r of law
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3234. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:41:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <uvn1e29b1l15qf6oggfd3vo7rgt8ni5q91@4ax.com>
Source record: 7364
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:30:02 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:54:10 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>not in my opinion which for the pruposes of posting is all that counts
>
>No, actually, "for the purposes of posting", your opinion doesn't
>count at all to most people.
you knwo you efforts are getting boring
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3235. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:43:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <01o1e2l6ndp0dmuc4rau07vlioog34tdb5@4ax.com>
Source record: 7365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:31:29 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:55:07 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 00:12:21 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>wrote:
>
>>>Sorry, I don't share your religious incredulity. I don't recognize
>>>"sin" as anything but a nonsense word.
>
>>you certainly a polite ham ....NOT
>
>Is that religious bigotry I'm hearing, Mark? "Accept my beliefs as
>fact or be labeled impolite"?
if you are hearing anything seek medical help most like
but you are misreading the stament

you can politely disagree with re;ligoous beliefes without labeling
them as nonsense

it is not polite to label such thigs as nonsense

if you were polite youd know that
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3236. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:45:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <v6o1e2144bl4r73d9bk20v2fh7qn9e2iip@4ax.com>
Source record: 7366
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:34:21 -0400, "Mark Morgan"
<konstans@homomale.com> wrote:

id theft is crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3237. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:45:32 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Mh5Eg.799$q63.626@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 7367
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:36:29 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>> but calling someone a cheat on federal requirement is
>
> Post a link to my post calling you "a cheat on federal requirement" -
> or even just calling you a cheat.

I seem to recall you saying that anyone who didn't take
his test at an FCC office probably cheated.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3238. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:46:13 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155588373.177086.43560@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7368

Mark Morgan wrote:
id theft is crime

3239. Re: Good Race Radio (please excuse my ignorance....)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:47:22 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155588441.951311.78130@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7369

Al Klein wrote:
> On 14 Aug 2006 08:58:45 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >indeed I supect that if the orignal poster has not experenced it he may
> >be expecting more than he gets like driver to pit traffic which I hear
> >is hard to find
>
> It's easy to find - it's usually impossible (not to mention totally
> illegal) to unscramble.
easy to find something but knowing what it is is another matter with
liekly breaking the law

3240. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:48:52 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155588532.913978.266600@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Cecil Moore wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:36:29 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >> but calling someone a cheat on federal requirement is
> >
> > Post a link to my post calling you "a cheat on federal requirement" -
> > or even just calling you a cheat.
>
> I seem to recall you saying that anyone who didn't take
> his test at an FCC office probably cheated.
oh that doesn't count for who took the test having crawled though
broken glas in blizzard up hill both ways
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3241. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:50:25 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <lm5Eg.802$q63.215@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 7371
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> Those trying to eliminate the code requirement are the ones trying to
> alter history.

The past cannot be altered. Only the present, which is not
history, can be altered.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3242. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:54:01 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Jp5Eg.803$q63.135@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 7372
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> Like it was "killed" all through the 30s, 40s, 50, 60s, etc.? Code
> was required, as was drawing schematics. Yet there were more hams
> every year than there were the year before. You have a strange
> concept of "kill".

Following your line of reasoning, skill with buggy whips
should be part of the requirements for a driver's license.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3243. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 20:58:41 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155589121.468411.216920@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Cecil Moore wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > Like it was "killed" all through the 30s, 40s, 50, 60s, etc.? Code
> > was required, as was drawing schematics. Yet there were more hams
> > every year than there were the year before. You have a strange
> > concept of "kill".
>
> Following your line of reasoning, skill with buggy whips
> should be part of the requirements for a driver's license.
and sewing skill for a pilots license after all canvas was once prime
plane covering
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3244. Re: ICOM R1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-14 23:19:53 UTC
From: "Thanks....." <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ty7Eg.7554$Z1.2836@trnddc03>
Source record: 7374

I've seen them go anywhere from $100-$200 depending on condition and whether
or not they have everything with them, box, charger, manual, etc..

Good luck, they're nice radio's.

"jeff-boston" <jmboone@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1155481442.307633.70820@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Do you guys have any idea what an ICOM R1 (Unblocked version) is worth
> these days??
>
> Thanks
> -jb
>

3245. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 01:14:47 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <1p72e2tad8s368cvom56i0e8qpahi5qe6l@4ax.com>
Source record: 7375
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:37:50 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 15:57:55 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:

>>>the knowledg is of no value to most of those people either

>>I'm glad to know that you've been appointed to speak for them but, if
>>you don't mind, I'd rather hear it from them.

>you have heard from the appointed legel representives and you did not
>listen to them either

Oh? I didn't know that we legally appointed "representatives" to tell
us what people think. Who, pray tell, are these telepathic
"representatives"?

>but indeed enlight me
>what value is derived from the knowledge that an occiclaotr is
>colpitss type or hartley

Plenty of value to those who have to work with oscillators of various
types. It's difficult to design a circuit when you have no
understanding of it.

>without adding something to mix they answer it has no value

Your contributions to these threads are what have no value.

>>But not to those actually involved in the study of memory and
>>learning.

>when did you become nominated to speak for them

When those telepathic representatives of yours became appointed to
tell us what everyone thinks.

>you are very sloppy about your facts

How would you know? You wouldn't recognize a fact if it came up to
you and hit you.

3246. Re: id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 01:20:19 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <t982e212cvijhbcl2t1goujb9i5vc8iqtg@4ax.com>
Source record: 7376

On 14 Aug 2006 13:46:13 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Mark Morgan wrote:
>id theft is crime

Using any nym you want on Usenet isn't.

3247. Re: you are advised to caese dists this violation of slander libel and

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 01:22:12 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <jb82e25d8mppvt7cpcblituqlel0gh9b1o@4ax.com>
Source record: 7377
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:39:05 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:01:07 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:

>> One can hardly complain that
>>one's shoes are getting dirty if one freely chooses to walk in
>>ankle-deep mud, can one?

>but not complaining about my shoes man but sutble things like the
>turth elude you

Said by someone still searching for reality. You're still a few clues
shy of clueless, Markie.

3248. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 01:38:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <s692e2l7qthifhuf36aun4nci1kbdkqeq8@4ax.com>
Source record: 7378
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 21:14:47 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:37:50 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 15:57:55 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>wrote:
>
>>>>the knowledg is of no value to most of those people either
>
>>>I'm glad to know that you've been appointed to speak for them but, if
>>>you don't mind, I'd rather hear it from them.
>
>>you have heard from the appointed legel representives and you did not
>>listen to them either
>
>Oh? I didn't know that we legally appointed "representatives" to tell
>us what people think. Who, pray tell, are these telepathic
>"representatives"?
never said anything about their being telpaths but I was refering to
the FCC
>
>>but indeed enlight me
>>what value is derived from the knowledge that an occiclaotr is
>>colpitss type or hartley
>
>Plenty of value to those who have to work with oscillators of various
>types. It's difficult to design a circuit when you have no
>understanding of it.

nknowing the name and undertsnading the circut are 2 different things

why should I care if my vfo is a colpiitts or hartley occilator as
long as it ocilates at the right freq?
>
>>without adding something to mix they answer it has no value
>
>Your contributions to these threads are what have no value.
in your opinion obviously mine differs
>
>>>But not to those actually involved in the study of memory and
>>>learning.
>

>>when did you become nominated to speak for them
>
>When those telepathic representatives of yours became appointed to
>tell us what everyone thinks.
then ae nopt authirized and should stop
>
>>you are very sloppy about your facts
>
>How would you know? You wouldn't recognize a fact if it came up to
>you and hit you.
your personal attack only show the wekness of your arguement
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3249. Re: you are advised to caese dists this violation of slander libel and

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 01:39:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <5c92e2hf5gmjiv9q5705aigjk63ebkdf8d@4ax.com>
Source record: 7379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 21:22:12 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:39:05 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:01:07 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>wrote:
>
>>> One can hardly complain that
>>>one's shoes are getting dirty if one freely chooses to walk in
>>>ankle-deep mud, can one?
>
>>but not complaining about my shoes man but sutble things like the
>>turth elude you
>
>Said by someone still searching for reality. You're still a few clues
>shy of clueless, Markie.
nope I am full contact with the world both the harsh reality and
loftyness of theory that you are reducuded to personal attacks alone
show the weakness of YOUR case
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3250. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 01:40:51 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <mf92e25lb9bkjmgonkpvbgm9pnv70dm4ei@4ax.com>
Source record: 7380
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:20:01 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:06:32 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:
>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:32:06 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>>>but your point?

>>That if you bought 5 clues you'd still be less than clueless.

> but still your point is what?

Want to go for another ride on the merry-go-round?

3251. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 01:41:34 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <ch92e257b0rm5hl4hrps4i8gjph409tlrn@4ax.com>
Source record: 7381
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:20:50 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:07:30 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:32:41 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>
>>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 00:15:18 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>>On 12 Aug 2006 18:58:18 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>>>>wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>> How did capacitors escape getting color coded?
>>>>
>>>>>sshhhhh bb don't ask such questions please
>>>>
>>>>Since a) you don't know the answer and b) they didn't.
>>>
>>>no I did ot know that answer and you lied they did
>>>
>>I *HAVE* capacitors that are color coded, so you lied about my lying.
>then you lied when you typed " they didn't." or used english
>incoreectly or

Or typed something that was beyond your comprehension - a double
negative.

3252. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 01:42:55 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1155606175.469557.27070@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: Al Klein on Sun, Aug 13 2006 9:15 pm
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
rec.radio.scanner, rec.radio.swap

>On 12 Aug 2006 18:58:18 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> How did capacitors escape getting color coded?
>>sshhhhhh bb don't ask such questions please
>
>Since a) you don't know the answer and b) they didn't.

Klein, you said you were an OF. Any olde-fahrt ought to KNOW that
silver-mica capacitors were color-dot-coded for about a quarter
century. [look in the 1976 ARRL Handbook] Those flat cases
were eventually displaced by dipped silver-mica.

Paper tubular capacitors in molded plastic tubular casings were
marked with color bands and were on the market for at least
15 years, maybe 20...until aced out by ceramic disc capacitors
for general bypassing and coupling applications (by both tube
and transistor architecture electronics).

ANYONE with hands-on experience in electronics between 1950
and about 1970 would KNOW that. [okay, folks, looks like
there's another imposter here...at least this one isn't
trying to pass hisself off as some marine NCO...:-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3253. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 01:43:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <ij92e2ddgobc82f8d6062urg8upcvgncu6@4ax.com>
Source record: 7383
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 21:40:51 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:20:01 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:06:32 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>wrote:
>>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:32:06 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>>>but your point?
>
>>>That if you bought 5 clues you'd still be less than clueless.
>
>> but still your point is what?
>
>Want to go for another ride on the merry-go-round?
not esp but you do seem bent and canother round of personal attack
without basis substance or relavance

but that is what the ProCode side has been resorting for years and
that why the state of their cause is at such a low ebb
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3254. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 01:43:48 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <li92e2tjrkefb5uncrkglsvise7iprpsjq@4ax.com>
Source record: 7384
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:22:26 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:09:43 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:34:58 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>
>>>On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 23:54:12 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 19:13:23 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 18:24:46 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>>>>wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Your claim to know what I'm thinking better than I do? Only if your
>>>>>age is a single digit.
>>>>
>>>>>sure I know better
>>>>
>>>>Then you're claiming to be a child.
>>
>>>nope you are claiming to something contary to fact
>>
>>I'm claiming that I know what I think and you don't - which is a fact.

>prove it

Are you telepathic? No? Then you can't know what I think.

>I don't think you truely understand what you think, that is another
>fact

That you don't think I do is a fact. That I don't understand isn't.
So what you think is incorrect and that's another fact.

>>>you are worng it becoming hazing when the subject of the test is
>>>unrelated to the prevlegdes it grannts

>>Nope - it's just a poor test. Hazing is something entirely different.

>hazing is in the ye of the beholder

No, words have actual meanings sometimes.

>do you have anything cogent to say?

Cogent in your eyes, no, since you and cogency have never met.

3255. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 01:44:27 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <0n92e2l9t01lv685oi1j7ing4nqc5tb17r@4ax.com>
Source record: 7385
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 20:45:32 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:36:29 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>> but calling someone a cheat on federal requirement is

>> Post a link to my post calling you "a cheat on federal requirement" -
>> or even just calling you a cheat.

>I seem to recall you saying that anyone who didn't take
>his test at an FCC office probably cheated.

Your memory is THAT faulty? Maybe it's just part of being lazy.

3256. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 01:44:51 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <mn92e2djtj2m5nh1qf5a3uvfd18fcorrfg@4ax.com>
Source record: 7386
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 21:41:34 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:20:50 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:07:30 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:32:41 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 00:15:18 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>>>wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>On 12 Aug 2006 18:58:18 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>>>>>wrote:

>>>I *HAVE* capacitors that are color coded, so you lied about my lying.
>>then you lied when you typed " they didn't." or used english
>>incoreectly or
>
>Or typed something that was beyond your comprehension - a double
>negative.
which is gramticaly incorect so you would NNOT do that
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

3257. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 01:45:59 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1155606359.362135.148300@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7387
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: jawod on Sun, Aug 13 2006 8:16 am

>an old friend wrote:
>> Al Klein wrote:
>>>On 12 Aug 2006 10:10:55 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Anyway,,
>Back in the old days, we used to walk 5 miles in the snow to the FCC
>field office to take our exams.

You forgot: "uphill both ways, barefoot..."

> We had to kneel on radiators while we
>took the test. We used slide rules and crayons AND WE LIKED IT!!!

You are still using crayons but I doubt you know how to
use a 1950 slide-rule...too complex for brass-pounders.

Oh, and FCC Field Offices were NOT 10 miles apart in the USA
now, in 1956 (when I took a train 80 miles into Chicago), nor
before then.

>Then we'd wait 3 years to receive our license which gave us time to
>teach electrons to enter and exit all the tubes...stupid little buggers,
>those.

Wrongo, olde-fahrt. Electrons, fields, and waves will ONLY
obey THEIR rules. You can't "teach" them anything. All you
can do is provide paths for them...on THEIR terms.

>Boy, those were the days. When a ham was a ham, brass was for pounding
>and AM signals were as wide as the day is long.

That was well before 1960...like before WW2.

>These "young" whippersnappers get off too easy.

Pizza off, olde-fahrt. 51 years ago I would be walking a mile
from a corner of an airfield NE of Tokyo to the transmitter
house in the center which housed 41 HF transmitters ranging
in power output from 1 KW to 40 KW. Not a single one of
them used manual (morse code) radiotelegraphy modes. About
two square miles of wire antennas doing 24/7 radio circuit
transmission to CONUS, Hawaii, Phillippines, Okinawa, Korea,
and a MAG in Vietnam. Six of those circuits used multichannel
SSB (the commercial variety, like in-use prior to WW2).

I STARTED that HF transmitter site work in '53, NO military
schooling on kilowatt transmitters, RTTY, or SSB and NO
"CW" skill necessary.

>I say, rank priveleges on the basis of how big an RF burn you can take,
>or on the basis of personal weight.

Sounds like you had TOO MANY of those "RF burns."

See Dr. Robeson in here...he will bandage your "burns" with
one of his medical-practice certificates...those are sterile.

>I may have said it before: take the FCC out of it completely and go with
>the FDA. Those boys know how to grade.

"Ham is the butchered meat of swine?"

Last guy I heard utter that phrase is SK...used to work with him
(he was a code-tested Extra)...he came out with that every once
in a while when some amateur morseman got too full of himself.

>(Too much tea this morning!)

Try a detox program, okay? QRT.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3258. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 01:47:49 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <bo92e25bkapc2so7ocnv4tbgts6a4uvng6@4ax.com>
Source record: 7388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:24:36 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:12:03 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:
>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:36:29 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>you can't explain it to me or to a frormer (or current memeber of
>Mensa

Being a member of MENSA doesn't mean anything more than potential. It
certainly doesn't mean realized potential.

> nor it seems can you explain where it counts...The FCC

I have to explain something to the FCC?

>>>>Look up the definition of "libel". Part of it is "malicious
>>>>defamation". Calling a penny a cent isn't malicious, nor is it
>>>>defamatory.

>>>but calling someone a cheat on federal requirement is

>>Post a link to my post calling you "a cheat on federal requirement" -
>>or even just calling you a cheat.

>why? you would simply dey it

I'd deny a link? Would you deny a sunrise? Are you *really* as daft
as you sound here?

>but you compare those that took and passed the test required at the
>time to theifs that sure soound calling em cheats to me

But since I never compared anyone to anything, it's all in your mind.

3259. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 01:48:41 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1155606520.963945.264800@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7389
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: jawod on Sun, Aug 13 2006 3:24 pm
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
rec.radio.scanner, rec.radio.swap

>If MENSA membership is important to you, fine. Most of us find it a bit
>pretentious and downright silly.
>
>If someone wants to use MENSA to elevate themselves above the rest, they
>are perched on very rickety stilts.

If MORSEMANSHIP is important to you, fine. Most of US find it a bit
pretentious and downright silly.

If someone wants to use MORSEMANSHIP to elevate themselves above
the rest, they are perched on very rickety stilts.

[I'll just add something like...]:

Stilts are needed by morsemen because their appearance, relative
to REAL radio people, are very short. They try to gain "height"
of their reputation by using 1930s standards in the year 2006.
Tsk, they don't realize that their new "height" still falls
short of everyone else...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3260. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 01:49:43 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <jp92e2tgojek4qc8lqo65ugm94689epn4f@4ax.com>
Source record: 7390
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 21:43:48 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:22:26 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:09:43 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:34:58 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 23:54:12 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>>>wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 19:13:23 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>On Sat, 12 Aug 2006 18:24:46 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Your claim to know what I'm thinking better than I do? Only if your
>>>>>>>age is a single digit.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>sure I know better
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Then you're claiming to be a child.
>>>>>
>>>>>nope you are claiming to something contary to fact
>>>>>
>>>>>I'm claiming that I know what I think and you don't - which is a fact.
>>>>
>>>>prove it
>>>
>>>Are you telepathic? No? Then you can't know what I think.
>>where is your proof

without proving that I am not a telpath or simply smarter than you you
can't proove that assertion

Indeed I asert the claim you can't know what you think, anymore than I
can. based on science the human often decieves itself therfore you
don't know in many cases what you truly think, anymore than I know
what I truely think
>
>>I don't think you truely understand what you think, that is another
>>fact
```

>
>That you don't think I do is a fact.
progress boyo
> That I don't understand isn't.
prove that assertion

you can't
>So what you think is incorrect and that's another fact.
maybe it is incorrect maybe it isn't that is the fact
you can not prove otherwise

>
>>>>you are worng it becoming hazing when the subject of the test is
>>>>unrelated to the prevlegdes it grannts
>
>>>Nope - it's just a poor test. Hazing is something entirely different.
>
>>hazing is in the ye of the beholder
>
>No, words have actual meanings sometimes.
yes they do

your point?
>
>>do you have anything cogent to say?
>
>Cogent in your eyes, no, since you and cogency have never met.
always the personal attack such a weak case you must have
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3261. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 01:50:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <v1a2e21vc6v8eh71duof9gjeuvr0r83qir@4ax.com>
Source record: 7391
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 21:44:27 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 20:45:32 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Al Klein wrote:
>>> On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:36:29 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>>> but calling someone a cheat on federal requirement is
>
>>> Post a link to my post calling you "a cheat on federal requirement" -
>>> or even just calling you a cheat.
>
>>I seem to recall you saying that anyone who didn't take
>>his test at an FCC office probably cheated.
>
>Your memory is THAT faulty? Maybe it's just part of being lazy.
nope I recall the same thing and you cpmparing the current licene test
taking as stealing something
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3262. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 01:54:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <u5a2e2lnrkm42epidhejsn019slqipj84t@4ax.com>
Source record: 7392
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 21:47:49 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:24:36 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:12:03 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>wrote:
>>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:36:29 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>you can't explain it to me or to a frormer (or current memeber of
>>Mensa
>
>Being a member of MENSA doesn't mean anything more than potential. It
>certainly doesn't mean realized potential.
who have you convinced of your ideas btw
>
>> nor it seems can you explain where it counts...The FCC
>
>I have to explain something to the FCC?
indeed you do if of course you wwanted to accomplish something instead
bleating on
>
>>>>>Look up the definition of "libel". Part of it is "malicious
>>>>>defamation". Calling a penny a cent isn't malicious, nor is it
>>>>>defamatory.
>
>>>>but calling someone a cheat on federal requirement is
>
>>>Post a link to my post calling you "a cheat on federal requirement" -
>>>or even just calling you a cheat.
>
>>why? you would simply dey it
>
>I'd deny a link? Would you deny a sunrise? Are you *really* as daft
>as you sound here?
I would never deny a sunrise but you and your sort deny all sorts of
thing like the laws of unververse your stamenets honnor deccentcy
toleraacne and manner what a link on that
>
>>but you compare those that took and passed the test required at the
>>time to theifs that sure soound calling em cheats to me
>
>But since I never compared anyone to anything, it's all in your mind.

lflat out lying now

patheic
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3263. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 01:59:51 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <jja2e2tfih3n1s3te19c2885j1v3c79o97@4ax.com>
Source record: 7393
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 20:50:25 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:

>> Those trying to eliminate the code requirement are the ones trying to
>> alter history.

>The past cannot be altered. Only the present, which is not
>history, can be altered.

WOW! Did you come up with that with no outside help? (I'm not
overwhelmed - I'm not even whelmed.)

3264. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 02:03:04 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <una2e2ld03scvgehmvbeatsgebmhmfvq4d@4ax.com>
Source record: 7394
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 21:59:51 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 20:50:25 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Al Klein wrote:
>
>>> Those trying to eliminate the code requirement are the ones trying to
>>> alter history.
>
>>The past cannot be altered. Only the present, which is not
>>history, can be altered.
>
>WOW! Did you come up with that with no outside help? (I'm not
>overwhelmed - I'm not even whelmed.)
yep
you might as well admit it your arguement is totaly bankrupt you have
nothing but personal attacks indeed I am ounder whemed with your
perfromance Robeson took at least a year to desend so far in the
personal attack realm as you have manged in roughly a month

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3265. Re: Good Race Radio (please excuse my ignorance....)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 02:04:31 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155607471.074183.128370@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7395

LoneGunman wrote:
> On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:32:48 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
> spewed forth:
>
> >On 14 Aug 2006 08:58:45 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> >wrote:
> >
> >>indeed I supect that if the orignal poster has not experenced it he may
> >>be expecting more than he gets like driver to pit traffic which I hear
> >>is hard to find
> >
> >It's easy to find - it's usually impossible (not to mention totally
> >illegal) to unscramble.
>
> No need to unscramble. NASCAR, IRL, ALMS, and Grand Am to do not
> scramble any of the pit to car radio chatter, aside from a bit of
> "code", since other teams listen in too, and freqs are easy to get.
> If you've never watched a race with a scanner, you're missing out!
I would have thought there was little point to it and that it would
make the on baord radio trickier to use the last thing a driver need

3266. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 02:04:39 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <3la2e21b93an8ok04d58okd0i3i120kevr@4ax.com>
Source record: 7396
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:32:21 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:16:21 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:39:45 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>
>>>your effort to smeear anybody that disagrees with you not withstanding
>>>or indeed if you succeeded in producing a test I could not pass you
>>>would exclude a lot of people besides me and kill the ARS
>>
>you reply which had zero relavance to my statement clipped

I hope you enjoyed arguing with yourself.

>My statement if you enacted a standard that would in fact keep me from
>passing, that would kill the ARS.

You're not that important, Markie. Or that well educated that if you
couldn't pass a test, very few others could.

> That sort would require far more
>than merely adding schamtics or going to short answer questions. it
>would involved a test that would serious chalange Cecil and Len
>Anderson both RF engineers, doing that would kill the ars as would the
>asiine proposals of Mr Slow Code and many others

>your notions are simplely not exexutable in anything like the current
>sytem

Since you couldn't pass a final in a high school physics class, you
aren't qualified to determine what someone with an earned EE could or
couldn't do. As one who earned mine, I am.

>the notion that multible guess is acceptable for pilots and drivers
>(amoug others) but ham radio ops is silly

So you don't understand the difference between "choice" and "guess".
We'll just add that to the *L*O*N*G* list of things you don't
understand.

3267. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 02:05:21 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <vta2e253u0md202c88kcqj9sojrffs2p45@4ax.com>
Source record: 7397
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 20:54:01 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:

>> Like it was "killed" all through the 30s, 40s, 50, 60s, etc.? Code
>> was required, as was drawing schematics. Yet there were more hams
>> every year than there were the year before. You have a strange
>> concept of "kill".

>Following your line of reasoning, skill with buggy whips
>should be part of the requirements for a driver's license.

For driving a four-in-hand, it should be. There's a keyer in my
fairly new rig.

3268. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 02:06:06 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <8va2e251u1820rdtb2jeef22l29ivtt5g7@4ax.com>
Source record: 7398
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 14 Aug 2006 13:58:41 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>and sewing skill for a pilots license after all canvas was once prime
>plane covering

Let's add "doesn't understand the difference between 'constructing'
and 'piloting'".

3269. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 02:07:07 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <v0b2e2l8f5iht5rf67pgfhtmve5ltuvihm@4ax.com>
Source record: 7399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:33:15 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:17:07 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:

>>You don't even know what an incompetent response is, so how can you
>>comment on it?\

>it is like pron I can't define it but I know it when I see it

>it works for the law on Pornographic materail

No, actually the SCOTUS said that it DOESN'T work, which is why they
came up with a definition.

3270. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 02:09:57 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <03b2e2p1c23s6ia0s0vaddrspt6onqtsni@4ax.com>
Source record: 7400
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:41:18 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:22:13 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:
>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:47:21 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>>>>"When I robbed a man at the age of 15, I wasn't arrested." Does that
>>>>make robbery legal? Your experience is only that - your experience,
>>>>it's not definitive.

>>>impling that Cecil stole his license by passing the tests of the day

>>Not even close, but your accusation is close to being libelous.

>the accusation is your not mine

Your accusation that I implied that Cecil stole his license is mine?
Not in this universe.

>>>YOU want the license as some sort of badge of honnor

>>No, I want it to mean what it meant for decades - that the holder had
>>demonstrated a certain level of knowledge.

>it has never meant that, not as a matt r of law

Just as a matter of fact (before you were aware of ham radio), not in
law. Now it doesn't mean anything in fact, just in law.

3271. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 02:10:38 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <38b2e2p4saa1c7depqh79ov1ufi7vravt6@4ax.com>
Source record: 7401
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:41:50 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:30:02 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:54:10 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>
>>>not in my opinion which for the pruposes of posting is all that counts
>>
>>No, actually, "for the purposes of posting", your opinion doesn't
>>count at all to most people.
>you knwo you efforts are getting boring

Then ... what's your famous line? Oh, yes, bail, Markie.

3272. FS: RS 15-1607 amplified antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 02:12:07 UTC
From: Rob Mills <robmills@cox.net>
Message-ID: <Q3aEg.348$xk3.327@dukeread07>
Source record: 7402

I purchased this aprox 8-10 yr ago after reading a MT review on it. I have never used it and it has just kicked around the house all that time. The best description I can come up with is that it's sort of a portable amplified (uses 2 aa's) rabbit ear type antenna that the shack advertised for vhf/uhf/fm and MT seemed to think it was a great scanner antenna. I'll ship any place in the continental U.S. for $25. RM~

3273. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 02:12:59 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <q9b2e2d60d99hbnudr2uqili3omudg5rfk@4ax.com>
Source record: 7403
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:43:20 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:31:29 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:55:07 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>
>>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 00:12:21 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>>wrote:
>>
>>>>Sorry, I don't share your religious incredulity. I don't recognize
>>>>"sin" as anything but a nonsense word.
>>
>>>you certainly a polite ham ....NOT
>>
>>Is that religious bigotry I'm hearing, Mark? "Accept my beliefs as
>>fact or be labeled impolite"?
>if you are hearing anything seek medical help most like
>but you are misreading the stament

You called me impolite because of my religious view.

>you can politely disagree with re;ligoous beliefes without labeling
>them as nonsense

I didn't label any religious belief as nonsense - that's in your head,
because you don't understand English.

>it is not polite to label such thigs as nonsense

It's not impolite to label nonsensical things as nonsense.

>if you were polite youd know that

If you were at least a tad intelligent, you'd know a lot that you
don't know now.

3274. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 02:16:54 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <kdb2e29llcetnjmfjgmudqsm065segh63e@4ax.com>
Source record: 7404
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:33:50 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:02:16 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 12:55:58 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>No, I'm wishing that every amateur radio operator had
>>>an above average IQ.
>>
>>Easy solution - only award licenses to those with above average IQs.

>only if you throw away a little document like the constitution

So we have to give everyone a driver's license, even those who can't drive? And let everyone vote, even those who are mentally incompetent? No discrimination based on anything?

The Constitution doesn't prevent us from imposing standards, Markie. And the law certainly doesn't guarantee that we're all equal, only that we all be given equal opportunity to EARN what we want. There's no Constitutional guarantee that the government will give us everything we want, or that it can't treat different people in accordance with those differences.

3275. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 02:25:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <2rb2e29r8di0fv5l1rnv6pasjjri1lvptr@4ax.com>
Source record: 7405
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 22:04:39 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:32:21 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:16:21 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:39:45 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>your effort to smeear anybody that disagrees with you not withstanding
>>>>or indeed if you succeeded in producing a test I could not pass you
>>>>would exclude a lot of people besides me and kill the ARS
>>>
>>>you reply which had zero relavance to my statement clipped
>
>I hope you enjoyed arguing with yourself.
wasn't do that was corecting your misinformation
>
>>My statement if you enacted a standard that would in fact keep me from
>>passing, that would kill the ARS.
>
>You're not that important, Markie. Or that well educated that if you
>couldn't pass a test, very few others could.
sure I am
I hold a Master degree in geophysics my special is sesmic waves rf is
much the same except for the medium a few constans and such
>
>> That sort would require far more
>>than merely adding schamtics or going to short answer questions. it
>>would involved a test that would serious chalange Cecil and Len
>>Anderson both RF engineers, doing that would kill the ars as would the
>>asiine proposals of Mr Slow Code and many others
>
>>your notions are simplely not exexutable in anything like the current
>>sytem
>
>Since you couldn't pass a final in a high school physics class, you
>aren't qualified to determine what someone with an earned EE could or
>couldn't do. As one who earned mine, I am.

gee I got an an A in My HS physics class and for that matter a B in my
course physics course on E&M theory I certainly am quailified

again BTW you imply I cheated or somehow did not earn mine I did did I have to work as hard as you maybe not but then I don't think You should have had to work as hard as you did I regret I can' change that for you but the I did so you must BS is not going to carry on if I can help it
>
>>the notion that multible guess is acceptable for pilots and drivers
>>(amoug others) but ham radio ops is silly
>
>So you don't understand the difference between "choice" and "guess".
>We'll just add that to the *L*O*N*G* list of things you don't
>understand.
sure I do I just don't why Ham radio requires a system more rigouous than pilots
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3276. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 02:26:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <h4c2e2hb0vb638m80v0co4v4rlsnak2qft@4ax.com>
Source record: 7406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 22:06:06 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On 14 Aug 2006 13:58:41 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>wrote:
>
>>and sewing skill for a pilots license after all canvas was once prime
>>plane covering
>
>Let's add "doesn't understand the difference between 'constructing'
>and 'piloting'".
lets not you want to tkaet the same notion into Radio why not hold
pilots to same sort of stanards as Radio Ops after flying is a bit
more dangerous
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3277. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 02:26:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <c6c2e2pn2gs72b80luoq5eaka2abthiah5@4ax.com>
Source record: 7407
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 22:05:21 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 20:54:01 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Al Klein wrote:
>
>>> Like it was "killed" all through the 30s, 40s, 50, 60s, etc.? Code
>>> was required, as was drawing schematics. Yet there were more hams
>>> every year than there were the year before. You have a strange
>>> concept of "kill".
>
>>Following your line of reasoning, skill with buggy whips
>>should be part of the requirements for a driver's license.
>
>For driving a four-in-hand, it should be. There's a keyer in my
>fairly new rig.
wht you mean you don't do REAL Morse code with straight key may may
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3278. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 02:27:31 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <g7c2e2pcq96gu2462q1fq3esnvvd9u2p84@4ax.com>
Source record: 7408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 22:07:07 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:33:15 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:17:07 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>wrote:
>
>>>You don't even know what an incompetent response is, so how can you
>>>comment on it?\
>
>>it is like pron I can't define it but I know it when I see it
>
>>it works for the law on Pornographic materail
>
>No, actually the SCOTUS said that it DOESN'T work, which is why they
>came up with a definition.
well not they did not they left it at comuinty stadards which is not
exactly an algorythic matter
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3279. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 02:37:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <s8c2e2hhbsq44hadrvsd3mm107dgg6g3um@4ax.com>
Source record: 7409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 22:16:54 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:33:50 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:02:16 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 12:55:58 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>>No, I'm wishing that every amateur radio operator had
>>>>an above average IQ.
>>>
>>>Easy solution - only award licenses to those with above average IQs.
>
>>only if you throw away a little document like the constitution
>
>So we have to give everyone a driver's license, even those who can't
>drive?
no becuase driving is dangerous peotcaily ham radio is not

furtehr what evidence do you advance that Higher make beter radio ops

indeed you reject the notion that higher IQ means much of anything
> And let everyone vote, even those who are mentally
>incompetent?

most esp we should not restrict the mentaly imcpomtant form from
voting since the likely result in time is everyone being declared so
> No discrimination based on anything?
not on something un related to the matter being regulated

Morse Code was never vital for Hams to be operate once we had voice we
could have done away with such testing (aprt from the treaty) the
requirement for Morse being to avoid the military on cw would have
meant a voice that could read code would simply have to qsy everytime
he heard CW)

today theere is NO requirement for CW testing. It is not needed that I
know CW in order to operate at all. Indeed even if we don't stick to
band plans I don't need to be able read a CW signal to know it is
there, and reconize the frequency is in use
>
>The Constitution doesn't prevent us from imposing standards, Markie.

can't you show enough manner to call me by my name which is Mr Morgan
since I gave you no leave to address me familier

>And the law certainly doesn't guarantee that we're all equal, only
>that we all be given equal opportunity to EARN what we want.
and that anything in our way must relate to matter being regulated

no harm will result if I don't know Morse code many hams ven now on HF
don't know it well enough to use indeed there was never a test to
determine if we could use it on the air at all

I expect the Govt not to require mye to demstrate a skill that is
completely uneeded in order to access public airwaves

the FCC (and I et al) have regrected as false all the arguements that
Code testing makes one a better operator

you have advanced no real reason why I need to know without looking ti
up whattype of occiclator a given circut is

you adavnce no answer to how we would insure that the tests you want
to see are fairly given and graded accross the country
> There's
>no Constitutional guarantee that the government will give us
>everything we want, or that it can't treat different people in
>accordance with those differences.

no one is asking the govt me everything we want or the rest of the
nosense you are spouting
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3280. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 02:39:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <2sc2e21rcdgvad7llrnlmjpflaq1fjeehi@4ax.com>
Source record: 7410
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 22:09:57 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:41:18 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:22:13 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>wrote:
>>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:47:21 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>>>>"When I robbed a man at the age of 15, I wasn't arrested." Does that
>>>>>make robbery legal? Your experience is only that - your experience,
>>>>>it's not definitive.
>
>>>>impling that Cecil stole his license by passing the tests of the day
>
>>>Not even close, but your accusation is close to being libelous.
>
>>the accusation is your not mine
>
>Your accusation that I implied that Cecil stole his license is mine?
sure it is
>Not in this universe.
read your ownn stuff man
>
>>>>YOU want the license as some sort of badge of honnor
>
>>>No, I want it to mean what it meant for decades - that the holder had
>>>demonstrated a certain level of knowledge.
>
>>it has never meant that, not as a matt r of law
>
>Just as a matter of fact (before you were aware of ham radio), not in
>law. Now it doesn't mean anything in fact, just in law.
you have not proven that assertion indeed your efforts have failed

However I am certain the FCC has not agreed with this notion likely
longer than I have lived
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3281. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 02:39:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <2vc2e2djnpfa848hsle9ki8o7oqkhfhde6@4ax.com>
Source record: 7411
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 22:10:38 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:41:50 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:30:02 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:54:10 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>not in my opinion which for the pruposes of posting is all that counts
>>>
>>>No, actually, "for the purposes of posting", your opinion doesn't
>>>count at all to most people.
>>you knwo you efforts are getting boring
>
>Then ... what's your famous line? Oh, yes, bail, Markie.
I have that option yes
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3282. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 02:40:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <pvc2e2569c6v9edvi6urbjvdnt4i3c8seo@4ax.com>
Source record: 7412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 22:12:59 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:43:20 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:31:29 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:55:07 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 00:12:21 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>>>Sorry, I don't share your religious incredulity. I don't recognize
>>>>>"sin" as anything but a nonsense word.
>>>
>>>>you certainly a polite ham ....NOT
>>>
>>>Is that religious bigotry I'm hearing, Mark? "Accept my beliefs as
>>>fact or be labeled impolite"?
>>if you are hearing anything seek medical help most like
>>but you are misreading the stament
>
>You called me impolite because of my religious view.
no I called you impolite becuase of your illmanned statement babout
other peoples religous view
flushing the rest
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

3283. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 03:08:35 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44e13b06$0$6590$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 7413
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

<Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
news:s8c2e2hhbsq44hadrvsd3mm107dgg6g3um@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 22:16:54 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
> wrote:
>

I'm not sure where you're coming from with "these" statements.....

> today theere is NO requirement for CW testing. It is not needed that I
> know CW in order to operate at all. Indeed even if we don't stick to
> band plans I don't need to be able read a CW signal to know it is
> there, and reconize the frequency is in use

UNTIL the Code requirement is abolished for good - which to my
recollection - it has not for U.S. hams (yet), then to get on H.F. you most
certainly are required to pass the 5 WPM code exam.

> no harm will result if I don't know Morse code many hams ven now on HF
> don't know it well enough to use indeed there was never a test to
> determine if we could use it on the air at all
>

Also, I think if you read Part 97 - you may be surprised. VEs can give
either a receiving test OR "sending" test. USUALLY (most of the time) - it
is a "receiving" test. Whatever it takes for them to have "the examinee"
prove his/her knowledge of the code at 5 WPM. For example, you could claim
tone deafness to me - ok - so instead of "receiving" the code, I could have
you "send" the code. Before the code dropped to 5 WPM - you could get a
doctor to sign a waiver and you got code credit. BUT once the code was
dropped, so too were the waivers. I know it may sound hokey to have you
"send" code if you claim tone deafness, but the other options are a buzzer
sending YOU the code - you decipher - or a flashing light or whatever. AND
the FCC stated to the VEs that THOSE claiming handicaps are the ones
responsible for supplying the equipment to enable them to have every
reasonable chance of passing. Also, that code test "could" be broken down
into segments. Instead of playing a tape with a full message as you would to
most applicants, the VEs could break it down in segments of letters, words,
sentences at a time for someone with severe handicaps. WHATEVER was able to
give that applicant every reasonable chance of passing without much stress.
Argue that with THEM - "I" didn't make the rules.

So, just when was it that CODE was "abolished" as an "Exam" requirement?
Maybe I missed some mail from the VECs and FCC to tell me to quit testing
for 5 WPM code to get on H.F. ..... You give me a date that it went
into effect, and I'll retract my post.....

L.

3284. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 03:12:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <cre2e2tjn8t98hq0dc65kpp7kq7ukb0ch7@4ax.com>
Source record: 7414
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 23:08:35 -0400, "L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
>news:s8c2e2hhbsq44hadrvsd3mm107dgg6g3um@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 22:16:54 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>> wrote:
>>
>
>I'm not sure where you're coming from with "these" statements.....
>
>> today theere is NO requirement for CW testing. It is not needed that I
>> know CW in order to operate at all. Indeed even if we don't stick to
>> band plans I don't need to be able read a CW signal to know it is
>> there, and reconize the frequency is in use
>
>UNTIL the Code requirement is abolished for good -
the is no need or proper reason if you prefer that wording
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3285. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 03:44:13 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44e1ba5c$0$6588$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 7420
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

<Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
news:cre2e2tjn8t98hq0dc65kpp7kq7ukb0ch7@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 23:08:35 -0400, "L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote:
>
>>
>><Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
>>news:s8c2e2hhbsq44hadrvsd3mm107dgg6g3um@4ax.com...
>>> On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 22:16:54 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>
>>I'm not sure where you're coming from with "these" statements.............
>>
>>> today theere is NO requirement for CW testing. It is not needed that I
>>> know CW in order to operate at all. Indeed even if we don't stick to
>>> band plans I don't need to be able read a CW signal to know it is
>>> there, and reconize the frequency is in use
>>
>>UNTIL the Code requirement is abolished for good -
> the is no need or proper reason if you prefer that wording
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

OK, I'll buy that - but again, until the code {exam} is "ABOLISHED" - we are "required" to have it for H.F. I WILL agree, once many pass their code exams, they never see a key or listen to a code tape - again...........

For what it is worth and THIS I've not kept up with - I have heard that there is a move afoot - by the FCC themselves - to abolish the code requirement. For some strange reason, September or October of this year comes to mind. I guess we'll have to wait and see.

L.

3286. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 03:48:18 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6ubEg.6754$o27.4725@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 7415
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
Al Klein wrote:
> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>> Al Klein wrote:
>>> Those trying to eliminate the code requirement are the ones trying to
>>> alter history.
>
>> The past cannot be altered. Only the present, which is not
>> history, can be altered.
>
> WOW! Did you come up with that with no outside help? (I'm not
> overwhelmed - I'm not even whelmed.)

You are the one who suggested above that it is possible
to alter the past, i.e. "alter history".
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
```

3287. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 03:57:07 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <nCbEg.6756$o27.4672@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 7416
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> Following your line of reasoning, skill with buggy whips
>> should be part of the requirements for a driver's license.
>
> For driving a four-in-hand, it should be. There's a keyer in my
> fairly new rig.

Get you a four-on-the-floor Mustang and beat it with a
buggy whip to make it go faster?

And Real Hams use straight keys to key cathodes, not some
computer assisted solid-state modern electronic crap.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3288. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 03:59:46 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <SEbEg.6757$o27.6574@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 7417
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> Your accusation that I implied that Cecil stole his license is mine?
> Not in this universe.

Who was it who said a Conditional exam taken away
from an FCC office probably involved cheating?
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3289. Re: ICOM R1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 04:09:37 UTC
From: krackula <krackula.i.am>
Message-ID: <n0i2e2ldqvmkqfc93t46bh5u04ddjcqdvi@4ax.com>
Source record: 7418

On 13 Aug 2006 08:04:02 -0700, "jeff-boston" <jmboone@gmail.com>
wrote:

>Do you guys have any idea what an ICOM R1 (Unblocked version) is worth
>these days??
>
>Thanks
>-jb

the most recent icom r1 that sold on ebay had 6 bids and sold for
$81. the batteries weren't working .... it had the manual with the
sale ....

this one was unblocked as well .... unblocked , however is
meaningless (not of value) these days as analog cell service is
about to become kaput.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ICOM-IC-R1-ICR1-IC-R1-Scanner-Reciever_W0QQitemZ290016929447QQihZ019QQ
categoryZ14956QQssPageNameZWDVWQQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem

3290. Re: ICOM R1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 09:38:05 UTC
From: NS <ns@ns.nl>
Message-ID: <44e19618$0$2025$ba620dc5@text.nova.planet.nl>
Source record: 7419

> Good luck, they're nice radio's.

say what? they are crap!

3291. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 12:26:12 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <o4f3e2hq4qvtcj55gb54e5n5j9h3p7tag2@4ax.com>
Source record: 7421
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 21:38:03 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 21:14:47 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:
>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:37:50 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>>>you have heard from the appointed legel representives and you did not
>>>listen to them either

>>Oh? I didn't know that we legally appointed "representatives" to tell
>>us what people think. Who, pray tell, are these telepathic
>>"representatives"?

>never said anything about their being telpaths but I was refering to
>the FCC

The FCC doesn't tell us what the people think, it tells us what the
FCC thinks.

>>>but indeed enlight me
>>>what value is derived from the knowledge that an occiclaotr is
>>>colpitss type or hartley

>>Plenty of value to those who have to work with oscillators of various
>>types. It's difficult to design a circuit when you have no
>>understanding of it.

>nknowing the name and undertsnading the circut are 2 different things

Since you know neither, it's a distinction without a difference for
you.

>why should I care if my vfo is a colpiitts or hartley occilator as
>long as it ocilates at the right freq?

Oh, maybe you'd care to know why it's microphonic? Or what to look
for if it starts doing something wrong? Different circuits are prone
to different problems.

>your personal attack only show the wekness of your arguement

And yours doesn't, I suppose.

3292. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 12:30:09 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1155645012.845395@www.vif.com>
Source record: 7422
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

STFU MORON! WHO FUCK CARES

Al Klein wrote:

> On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 21:38:03 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>
>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 21:14:47 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:37:50 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>
>>>>you have heard from the appointed legel representives and you did not
>>>>listen to them either
>
>
>>>Oh? I didn't know that we legally appointed "representatives" to tell
>>>us what people think. Who, pray tell, are these telepathic
>>>"representatives"?
>
>
>>never said anything about their being telpaths but I was refering to
>>the FCC
>
>
> The FCC doesn't tell us what the people think, it tells us what the
> FCC thinks.
>
>
>>>>but indeed enlight me
>>>>what value is derived from the knowledge that an occiclaotr is
>>>>colpitss type or hartley
>
>
>>>Plenty of value to those who have to work with oscillators of various
>>>types. It's difficult to design a circuit when you have no
>>>understanding of it.
>
>
>>nknowing the name and undertsnading the circut are 2 different things
>
>

> Since you know neither, it's a distinction without a difference for
> you.
>
>
>>why should I care if my vfo is a colpiitts or hartley occilator as
>>long as it ocilates at the right freq?
>
>
> Oh, maybe you'd care to know why it's microphonic? Or what to look
> for if it starts doing something wrong? Different circuits are prone
> to different problems.
>
>
>>your personal attack only show the wekness of your arguement
>
>
> And yours doesn't, I suppose.
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0633-1, 08/15/2006
> Tested on: 8/15/2006 8:29:36 AM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0633-1, 08/15/2006
Tested on: 8/15/2006 8:30:10 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

3293. Re: Good Race Radio (please excuse my ignorance....)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 12:32:48 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <7mf3e25r2et5io3dtgds8aschf4a8gscul@4ax.com>
Source record: 7423

On 14 Aug 2006 19:04:31 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>I would have thought there was little point to it and that it would
>make the on baord radio trickier to use the last thing a driver need

How does scrambling or encrypting make a radio trickier to use?

3294. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 12:33:58 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <5pf3e2dndh5hd5i8vom7nd6nroicmbf2kh@4ax.com>
Source record: 7424
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 21:44:51 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 21:41:34 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:

>>Or typed something that was beyond your comprehension - a double
>>negative.

>which is gramticaly incorect so you would NNOT do that

Says who?

3295. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 12:35:05 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155645305.430808.224190@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7425
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 21:14:47 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
> wrote:
>
> >On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:37:50 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >
> >>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 15:57:55 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
> >>wrote:
> >
> >>>>the knowledg is of no value to most of those people either
> >
> >>>I'm glad to know that you've been appointed to speak for them but, if
> >>>you don't mind, I'd rather hear it from them.
> >
> >>you have heard from the appointed legel representives and you did not
> >>listen to them either
> >
> >Oh? I didn't know that we legally appointed "representatives" to tell
> >us what people think. Who, pray tell, are these telepathic
> >"representatives"?
> never said anything about their being telpaths but I was refering to
> the FCC

The same people who are interested in the fact you conversed with
bootleggers.

> >
> >>but indeed enlight me
> >>what value is derived from the knowledge that an occiclaotr is
> >>colpitss type or hartley
> >
> >Plenty of value to those who have to work with oscillators of various
> >types. It's difficult to design a circuit when you have no
> >understanding of it.
>
> nknowing the name and undertsnading the circut are 2 different things

Neither of which you can do with your limited intelligence.
You weren't born, Markie, you were shit out.

> why should I care if my vfo is a colpiitts or hartley occilator as
> long as it ocilates at the right freq?

That's our Markie, representing the Red States!

> >
> >>without adding something to mix they answer it has no value
> >
> >Your contributions to these threads are what have no value.
> in your opinion obviously mine differs

In everybody's opinion, stupid.

> >
> >>>But not to those actually involved in the study of memory and
> >>>learning.
> >
> >>when did you become nominated to speak for them
> >
> >When those telepathic representatives of yours became appointed to
> >tell us what everyone thinks.
> then ae nopt authirized and should stop

Post when you sober up.

> >
> >>you are very sloppy about your facts
> >
> >How would you know? You wouldn't recognize a fact if it came up to
> >you and hit you.
> your personal attack only show the wekness of your arguement

Oh, the irony.

3296. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 12:35:32 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <1qf3e2tu8t5lrd82arlr6eitfb6l3poo1b@4ax.com>
Source record: 7426
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 14 Aug 2006 18:42:55 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>From: Al Klein on Sun, Aug 13 2006 9:15 pm
>Groups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
>rec.radio.scanner, rec.radio.swap
>
>
>>On 12 Aug 2006 18:58:18 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>> How did capacitors escape getting color coded?
>>>sshhhhhh bb don't ask such questions please
>>
>>Since a) you don't know the answer and b) they didn't.
>
> Klein, you said you were an OF. Any olde-fahrt ought to KNOW that
> silver-mica capacitors were color-dot-coded for about a quarter
> century. [look in the 1976 ARRL Handbook] Those flat cases
> were eventually displaced by dipped silver-mica.
>
> Paper tubular capacitors in molded plastic tubular casings were
> marked with color bands and were on the market for at least
> 15 years, maybe 20...until aced out by ceramic disc capacitors
> for general bypassing and coupling applications (by both tube
> and transistor architecture electronics).
>
> ANYONE with hands-on experience in electronics between 1950
> and about 1970 would KNOW that. [okay, folks, looks like
> there's another imposter here...at least this one isn't
> trying to pass hisself off as some marine NCO...:-)

Try reading what I wrote. "They didn't" ... "escape". Looks like the
impostor (as far as understanding simple English) isn't me.

3297. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 12:40:27 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <5tf3e2h9nije4i9s5p12lrcgtsgfs7omoq@4ax.com>
Source record: 7427
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 21:49:43 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 21:43:48 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>wrote:

>>Are you telepathic? No? Then you can't know what I think.

>where is your proof

That you're not telepathic? I don't need any - either you aren't
telepathic or you aren't on the planet legally.

>without proving that I am not a telpath or simply smarter than you you
>can't proove that assertion

No matter how smart you are, I still know what I think better than you
do You'd realize that if you were as smart as the average human
adult.

>Indeed I asert the claim you can't know what you think, anymore than I
>can. based on science the human often decieves itself therfore you
>don't know in many cases what you truly think, anymore than I know
>what I truely think

Solipsism is its own defeat, and that comes awfully close.

>> That I don't understand isn't.

>prove that assertion

I made the assertion. (Figure that one out.)

>you can't
>>So what you think is incorrect and that's another fact.
>maybe it is incorrect maybe it isn't that is the fact
>you can not prove otherwise

By any standard definition, the proof is by definition.

>>>>>you are worng it becoming hazing when the subject of the test is
>>>>>unrelated to the prevlegdes it grannts
>>
>>>>Nope - it's just a poor test. Hazing is something entirely different.
>>
>>>hazing is in the ye of the beholder
>>
>>No, words have actual meanings sometimes.
>yes they do

>
>your point?

You mean the one you missed? Again?

>>>do you have anything cogent to say?

>>Cogent in your eyes, no, since you and cogency have never met.

>always the personal attack such a weak case you must have

In response to a personal attack there's nothing wrong with a personal
rejoinder.

3298. You are advised that spell checkers and dictionaries are available even to retards like you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 12:42:04 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155645723.945262.166940@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7428
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 21:22:12 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
> wrote:
>
> >On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:39:05 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >
> >>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:01:07 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
> >>wrote:
> >
> >>> One can hardly complain that
> >>>one's shoes are getting dirty if one freely chooses to walk in
> >>>ankle-deep mud, can one?
> >
> >>but not complaining about my shoes man but sutble things like the
> >>turth elude you
> >
> >Said by someone still searching for reality. You're still a few clues
> >shy of clueless, Markie.
> nope I am full contact with the world

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA You are also deluding yourself.

>both the harsh reality and
> loftyness of theory that you are reducuded to personal attacks alone
> show the weakness of YOUR case

PKB, fake EME qsoer.

3299. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 12:42:33 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <f7g3e291mv3vpcnrfglkrjq20gql4apqee@4ax.com>
Source record: 7429
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 15 Aug 2006 03:48:18 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>> Al Klein wrote:
>>>> Those trying to eliminate the code requirement are the ones trying to
>>>> alter history.
>>
>>> The past cannot be altered. Only the present, which is not
>>> history, can be altered.
>>
>> WOW! Did you come up with that with no outside help? (I'm not
>> overwhelmed - I'm not even whelmed.)
>
>You are the one who suggested above that it is possible
>to alter the past, i.e. "alter history".

Keep going, Cecil, they'll name a book of aphorisms after you
eventually.

3300. Tips and Tricks for Eavesdropping Detection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 12:42:57 UTC
From: ctaylor@pinpoint-productions.com
Message-ID: <1155645777.880960.102020@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7430

First of all, let me thank the many of you who have requested that we produce a "Tips and Tricks for Eavesdropping Detection" video similar to award winning issue awareness video, The Red Balloon about industrial espionage and eavesdropping (http://www.tscmvideo.com).

Then answer is yes and we are in the script development stage of what will probably be a two to four hour video. While I am relatively sure that we have covered most areas, I also accept that sometimes you can be too close to the tress to see the forest. What I need from the groups are ideas about what specifics you would like to see covered.

Also, we are going to press next week for the Spanish version of Telephone Eavesdropping and Detection, which is the textbook I used at the Texas A&M's Advanced Telephone Eavesdropping Detection 40 hour seminars. The book will cost the same prices as the English version, but will have a red cover. All 300 original technical illustrations have been converted to Spanish also. (http://www.tscmvideo.com/book-telephone-eavesdropping.html).

Since we do not have a Spanish website, we are currently looking for distributors/dealers in Latin America.

Thank you,

Charlie Taylor

ctaylor@pinpoint-productions.com

3301. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 12:45:51 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <oeg3e2961625fp8iip6ghevdejbg7vven6@4ax.com>
Source record: 7431
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 15 Aug 2006 03:57:07 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>> Following your line of reasoning, skill with buggy whips
>>> should be part of the requirements for a driver's license.
>>
>> For driving a four-in-hand, it should be. There's a keyer in my
>> fairly new rig.
>
>Get you a four-on-the-floor Mustang and beat it with a
>buggy whip to make it go faster?

Markie? Get out of Cecil's head.

3302. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 12:46:20 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <egg3e218jiihl75f8b92lr5kqcjblbq7vc@4ax.com>
Source record: 7432
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 15 Aug 2006 03:59:46 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:

>> Your accusation that I implied that Cecil stole his license is mine?
>> Not in this universe.

>Who was it who said a Conditional exam taken away
>from an FCC office probably involved cheating?

I don't know. You?

3303. Re: id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 13:04:14 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1155647054.102548.311910@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7433
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

MarktheMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:34:21 -0400, "Mark Morgan"
> <konstans@homomale.com> wrote:
>
> id theft is crime

So is your continuing to live...a crime against humanity.

3304. A Couple More Scanner Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 14:12:46 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155651166.433701.62540@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7434

The Daily Reflector, Sun, 13 Aug 2006 8:55 PM PDT
Friendly competition, desire to help, form bond with couple
http://www.reflector.com/local/content/news/stories/2006/08/14/8_14_06_s_p_The_Evans.html?cxtype=rss&cxsvc=7&cxcat=9
There is some rivalry between Ada Evans and fellow firefighter husband Jim. (volunteer firefighters from North Carolina)

Central Kentucky News Journal, Sun, 13 Aug 2006 5:35 PM PDT
Hall of Fame http://www.cknj.com/articles/2006/08/13/news/news02.txt
Though 10 years have passed since he retired as Campbellsville Fire Department's chief, fighting fires is still a huge part of Jimmy Cox's life. At his home, there are emergency scanners in every room. (he has a scanner in every room)

Richmond Times-Dispatch, Sun, 13 Aug 2006 10:28 PM PDT
Officer killed in crash had gone back to college
http://www.timesdispatch.com/servlet/Satellite?pagename=RTD/MGArticle/RTD_BasicArticle&c=MGArticle&cid=1149189968555&path=!news&s=1045855934842
While police continue searching for a suspect they say is armed and dangerous, a mother mourns her son, killed when a police cruiser in hot pursuit hit his car. (The deceased officer had requested a scanner when very young because he always wanted to be a cop)

Tri-State Media, Sun, 13 Aug 2006 11:08 PM PDT
SIREN SILENCE
http://www.tristate-media.com/articles/2006/08/14/mtcarmelregister/news/news1.txt
What sources do we turn to when the sky threatens? Storms. Whatever the season - or reason - the natural occurrence can weigh on the minds of Wabash County residents and their southern Illinois neighbors. (photo shows scanners)

Iowa Farmer, Mon, 14 Aug 2006 8:21 AM PDT
Cattle rustlers growing menace
http://www.iowafarmer.com/articles/2006/08/14/livestock/49rustlers_ap.txt
PERRY, Okla. (AP) -- The truck raced like a phantom down the lonesome dirt road, poking its headlights into the pre-dawn darkness and spewing blinding clouds of dust. The deputy, who was watching nearby, smelled trouble.

The Sentinel-News, Mon, 14 Aug 2006 9:00 AM PDT
Smooth start: Southside reopens; few dress code blues
http://www.sentinelnews.com/articles/2006/08/14/news/news09.txt
When Jennifer Mauck dropped her daughters Katie, 7, and Jamie, 5, at Southside Elementary School Tuesday morning she gave them some simple advice for surviving the first day of school.

Messenger Index, Mon, 14 Aug 2006 1:05 PM PDT
Fire starts in the alley, spreads to shed and cars
http://www.messenger-index.com/articles/2006/08/14/news/neafire.txt
Smoke saturated the quiet, pre-dawn neighborhood, as the erie rhythm of
emergency lights from several vehicles drifted in and out of focus.
(there is a good fire photo here - "scanner" is misused word for
"police radio")

3305. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 14:23:50 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155651829.957563.201730@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7435
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

L. wrote:
> <Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
> news:cre2e2tjn8t98hq0dc65kpp7kq7ukb0ch7@4ax.com...
> > On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 23:08:35 -0400, "L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>><Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
> >>>news:s8c2e2hhbsq44hadrvsd3mm107dgg6g3um@4ax.com...
> >>> On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 22:16:54 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
> >>> wrote:
> >>>
> >>
> >>>I'm not sure where you're coming from with "these" statements.....
> >>
> >>> today theere is NO requirement for CW testing. It is not needed that I
> >>> know CW in order to operate at all. Indeed even if we don't stick to
> >>> band plans I don't need to be able read a CW signal to know it is
> >>> there, and reconize the frequency is in use
> >>
> >>>UNTIL the Code requirement is abolished for good -
> > the is no need or proper reason if you prefer that wording
> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >
> > --
> > Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
> >
>
> OK, I'll buy that - but again, until the code {exam} is "ABOLISHED" - we are
> "required" to have it for H.F. I WILL agree, once many pass their code
> exams, they never see a key or listen to a code tape - again.....
>
> For what it is worth and THIS I've not kept up with - I have heard that
> there is a move afoot - by the FCC themselves - to abolish the code
> requirement. For some strange reason, September or October of this year
> comes to mind. I guess we'll have to wait and see.
indeed that is why many of are here trashing it out one last time

NoCode got real montenum and organzation here t grow in to movement in
part in this very forum

there newpaper articles mention the same timefram and the noocders are
swatting the whinners that would like to try and derail that
>
> L.

3306. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 14:26:50 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <KQkEg.7232$%j7.5455@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 7436
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> Who was it who said a Conditional exam taken away
>> from an FCC office probably involved cheating?
>
> I don't know. You?

It was someone who replied to my posting about receiving
a Conditional class license in the '50's. I thought it
was you. If it wasn't, my apologies.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3307. Re: id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 14:29:16 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155652156.490629.231870@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7437
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> MarktheMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> > On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:34:21 -0400, "Mark Morgan"
> > <konstans@homomale.com> wrote:
> >
> > id theft is crime
>
> So is your continuing to live...a crime against humanity.
cease and desist your harrassment
```

3308. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 14:34:58 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155652498.060117.299460@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7438
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
Al Klein wrote:
> On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 21:38:03 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
> >On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 21:14:47 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
> >wrote:
> >>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:37:50 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
> >>>you have heard from the appointed legel representives and you did not
> >>>listen to them either
>
> >>Oh? I didn't know that we legally appointed "representatives" to tell
> >>us what people think. Who, pray tell, are these telepathic
> >>"representatives"?
>
> >never said anything about their being telpaths but I was refering to
> >the FCC
>
> The FCC doesn't tell us what the people think, it tells us what the
> FCC thinks.
agred in practice but you are the one going about therory
.
```

3309. Re: id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 16:11:12 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <4gs3e2tb115jgrpdpf4cerld53ulid1gbu@4ax.com>
Source record: 7439
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 15 Aug 2006 07:29:16 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>cease and desist your harrassment

Someone still has to learn the difference between Usenet and reality.

3310. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 16:13:41 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <dhs3e29q6qojso0jer86skrdkt5j6c6541@4ax.com>
Source record: 7440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 15 Aug 2006 14:26:50 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>> Who was it who said a Conditional exam taken away
>>> from an FCC office probably involved cheating?

>> I don't know. You?

>It was someone who replied to my posting about receiving
>a Conditional class license in the '50's.

I wouldn't even think along those lines.

> I thought it was you. If it wasn't, my apologies.

Accepted.

3311. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 16:15:32 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <qms3e2tns819dkeqi1qlfa5v0j6vg29er6@4ax.com>
Source record: 7441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 15 Aug 2006 07:23:50 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>there newpaper articles mention the same timefram and the noocders are
>swatting the whinners that would like to try and derail that

Derail what, Markie? Oh, right, the change in the rules. And you
accuse us of being the ones who want to change things.

3312. Re: id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 18:44:00 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155667440.124473.242390@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7442
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On 15 Aug 2006 07:29:16 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >cease and desist your harrassment
>
> Someone still has to learn the difference between Usenet and reality.
indeed and that someone is you but then you hdon't seem to be in even
dx contact with the real world

3313. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 18:45:35 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155667535.502666.80690@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7443
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On 15 Aug 2006 07:23:50 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >there newpaper articles mention the same timefram and the noocders are
> >swatting the whinners that would like to try and derail that
>
> Derail what, Markie? Oh, right, the change in the rules. And you
> accuse us of being the ones who want to change things.
never said anything was wrong with change per se changing histrical
facts to suit your case is wrong Al but is it what you want to change
that is the problem

BTW why are you such an ill manner lout that you can't address me by
name

3314. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 18:45:44 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155667544.260794.192080@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7444
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On 15 Aug 2006 07:23:50 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >there newpaper articles mention the same timefram and the noocders are
> >swatting the whinners that would like to try and derail that
>
> Derail what, Markie? Oh, right, the change in the rules. And you
> accuse us of being the ones who want to change things.
never said anything was wrong with change per se changing histrical
facts to suit your case is wrong Al but is it what you want to change
that is the problem

BTW why are you such an ill mannered lout that you can't address me by
name

3315. Re: Good Race Radio (please excuse my ignorance....)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 18:52:54 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155667974.229160.120090@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7445

Al Klein wrote:
> On 14 Aug 2006 19:04:31 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >I would have thought there was little point to it and that it would
> >make the on baord radio trickier to use the last thing a driver need
>
> How does scrambling or encrypting make a radio trickier to use?
don't you know may may

3316. cease and desist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 18:54:08 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155668048.376389.27760@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7446
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
cease and desist

3317. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 21:33:03 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1155677583.030681.221990@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On 12 Aug 2006 18:58:18 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >> How did capacitors escape getting color coded?
>
> >sssshhhhh bb don't ask such questions please
>
> Since a) you don't know the answer and b) they didn't.

Develop "b)" a little more.

3318. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 21:41:40 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155678100.159829.146220@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7448
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > On 12 Aug 2006 18:58:18 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> > >> How did capacitors escape getting color coded?
> >
> > >ssshhhhh bb don't ask such questions please
> >
> > Since a) you don't know the answer and b) they didn't.
>
> Develop "b)" a little more.
he sure turning out like steve

even started following to most of the ngs I like to post in nice guy

3319. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 23:15:50 UTC
From: slow code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <GAsEg.8313$xp2.7246@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7449
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1155667544.260794.192080@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com:

>
> BTW why are you such an ill mannered lout that you can't address me by
> name
>

Maybe Al doesn't like to type dirty words.

Sc

3320. Re: license renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 23:15:52 UTC
From: slow code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <IAsEg.8314$xp2.1792@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7450
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Bob Miller <NOSPAM@neosoft.com> wrote in
news:pj04e2tl6lrcfpsit7ueimv9b1ohk5hbe6@4ax.com:

> On Tue, 15 Aug 2006 10:48:49 -0500, "Tom" <tom@nospam.com> wrote:
>
>>My license is up for renewal in November. I got a letter from an outfit
>>in Texas wanting $7 to renew it. I thought one could renew their
>>license for free over the internet.
>>
>
> What I did to renew mine:
>
> Go to the FCC website. Find the section on renewing amateur licenses.
> You can download form 610. What's easier, tho', just call the FCC
> phone number they give you, tell them you're renewing, the next day or
> so you will find form 610 and all instructions in your mailbox, free
> of charge. Fill the stuff out and send it in.
>
> The FCC may have a minor charge for renewing, I forget.
>
> If you've changed your address since getting your license, you may
> have to register the new address with the FCC before renewing. You can
> do only one thing at a time on form 610, as I recall.
>
> bob
> k5qwg

Anyone going to visit the FCC website please leave them these ideas in
their comment box, Thanks.

1-No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all
elements required for their license class.

2-The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.

3-Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

4-Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

3321. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 23:17:21 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155683841.792127.69370@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7301
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

slow code wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1155667544.260794.192080@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >
> > > BTW why are you such an ill mannered lout that you can't address me by
> > > name
> > >
>
>
>
> Maybe Al doesn't like to type dirty words.
well mark certainly isn't one
>
>
> Sc

3322. cease and desist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 23:19:11 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155683951.275010.57500@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7302
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

slow code wrote:
>>
> Anyone going to visit the FCC website please leave them these ideas in
> their comment box, Thanks.
cease and desist in your efforts to kill off ham radio

3323. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 23:22:50 UTC
From: Rusty Shackleford <nospam@bunnyhole_entertainment.com>
Message-ID: <eHsEg.16155$4c7.14640@tornado.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 7303
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:f7g3e291mv3vpcnrfglkrjq20gql4apqee@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 15 Aug 2006 03:48:18 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>>Al Klein wrote:
>>> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>> Al Klein wrote:
>>>>> Those trying to eliminate the code requirement are the ones trying to
>>>>> alter history.
>>>
>>>> The past cannot be altered. Only the present, which is not
>>>> history, can be altered.
>>>
>>> WOW! Did you come up with that with no outside help? (I'm not
>>> overwhelmed - I'm not even whelmed.)
>>
>>You are the one who suggested above that it is possible
>>to alter the past, i.e. "alter history".
>
> Keep going, Cecil, they'll name a book of aphorisms after you
> eventually.

Aphorisms are statements of truth, however brief or terse. Do you consider
truth a negative attribute?

3324. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 23:24:59 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155684299.859665.219660@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Rusty Shackleford wrote:
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:f7g3e291mv3vpcnrfglkrjq20gql4apqee@4ax.com...
> > On Tue, 15 Aug 2006 03:48:18 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> >>Al Klein wrote:
> >>> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>> Al Klein wrote:
> >>>>> Those trying to eliminate the code requirement are the ones trying to
> >>>>> alter history.
> >>>
> >>>> The past cannot be altered. Only the present, which is not
> >>>> history, can be altered.
> >>>
> >>> WOW! Did you come up with that with no outside help? (I'm not
> >>> overwhelmed - I'm not even whelmed.)
> >>
> >>You are the one who suggested above that it is possible
> >>to alter the past, i.e. "alter history".
> >
> > Keep going, Cecil, they'll name a book of aphorisms after you
> > eventually.
>
> Aphorisms are statements of truth, however brief or terse. Do you consider
> truth a negative attribute?
he certainly finds it inconveinent at times

3325. Re: license renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-15 23:42:59 UTC
From: Ed <Huckleberry_REMOVE_@bigvalley.net>
Message-ID: <Xns9820AA3C3D5CAspectrumhogstarbandn@198.186.192.196>
Source record: 7305
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

>
>
> Anyone going to visit the FCC website please leave them these ideas in
> their comment box, Thanks.
>
>
> 1-No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all
> elements required for their license class.
>
> 2-The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>

hmmmm... could something like this be applied to marriage licenses, too?

:^)

3326. Re: license renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 00:05:12 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155686712.879520.124170@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7306
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Ed wrote:
> >
> >
> > Anyone going to visit the FCC website please leave them these ideas in
> > their comment box, Thanks.
> >
> >
> > 1-No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all
> > elements required for their license class.
> >
> > 2-The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
> >
>
>
>
> hmmmm... could something like this be applied to marriage licenses, too?
only if you choose you spose poorly

3327. Re: license renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 01:25:52 UTC
From: Rayburn <rayburnsweet@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <a2b40$44e27421$8b371664$17268@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 7307
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Just the excuse I need to let my "CODED" extra ticket go lapse....with
stupid fucking assholes like slow code only on the bands then who in their
right fucking mind would want to talk to the lowlife cocksuckers?

30 wpm with ease!

RAYBURN
"slow code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:IAsEg.8314$xp2.1792@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Bob Miller <NOSPAM@neosoft.com> wrote in
> news:pj04e2tl6lrcfpsit7ueimv9b1ohk5hbe6@4ax.com:
>
>> On Tue, 15 Aug 2006 10:48:49 -0500, "Tom" <tom@nospam.com> wrote:
>>
>>>My license is up for renewal in November. I got a letter from an outfit
>>>in Texas wanting $7 to renew it. I thought one could renew their
>>>license for free over the internet.
>>>
>>
>> What I did to renew mine:
>>
>> Go to the FCC website. Find the section on renewing amateur licenses.
>> You can download form 610. What's easier, tho', just call the FCC
>> phone number they give you, tell them you're renewing, the next day or
>> so you will find form 610 and all instructions in your mailbox, free
>> of charge. Fill the stuff out and send it in.
>>
>> The FCC may have a minor charge for renewing, I forget.
>>
>> If you've changed your address since getting your license, you may
>> have to register the new address with the FCC before renewing. You can
>> do only one thing at a time on form 610, as I recall.
>>
>> bob
>> k5qwg
>
>
>
>
> Anyone going to visit the FCC website please leave them these ideas in
> their comment box, Thanks.
>
>
> 1-No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all

> elements required for their license class.
>
> 2-The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>
> 3-Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
> 4-Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>

3328. Re: license renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 01:32:04 UTC
From: Ed <Huckleberry_REMOVE_@bigvalley.net>
Message-ID: <Xns9820BCBAB228Espectrumhogstarbandn@198.186.192.196>
Source record: 7308
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

> Just the excuse I need to let my "CODED" extra ticket go lapse....with
> stupid fucking assholes like slow code only on the bands then who in
> their right fucking mind would want to talk to the lowlife
> cocksuckers?
>

You DO know that there are 360+ countries other than the US that you can
talk to, don't you? . . . .

3329. Re: license renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 01:53:22 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155693202.141747.161030@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7309
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Ed wrote:
> > Just the excuse I need to let my "CODED" extra ticket go lapse....with
> > stupid fucking assholes like slow code only on the bands then who in
> > their right fucking mind would want to talk to the lowlife
> > cocksuckers?
> >
>
>
> You DO know that there are 360+ countries other than the US that you can
> talk to, don't you? . . . .
indeed they are the only reason to go into ham radio today not the us
hams

3330. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 03:18:29 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <pb35e2p4nphrkk4ac87oa49antpm111hq4@4ax.com>
Source record: 7310
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 15 Aug 2006 23:22:50 GMT, "Rusty Shackleford"
<nospam@bunnyhole_entertainment.com> wrote:

>"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>news:f7g3e291mv3vpcnrfglkrjq20gql4apqee@4ax.com...
>> On Tue, 15 Aug 2006 03:48:18 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>Al Klein wrote:
>>>> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> Al Klein wrote:
>>>>>> Those trying to eliminate the code requirement are the ones trying to
>>>>>> alter history.
>>>>
>>>>> The past cannot be altered. Only the present, which is not
>>>>> history, can be altered.
>>>>
>>>> WOW! Did you come up with that with no outside help? (I'm not
>>>> overwhelmed - I'm not even whelmed.)
>>>
>>>You are the one who suggested above that it is possible
>>>to alter the past, i.e. "alter history".
>>
>> Keep going, Cecil, they'll name a book of aphorisms after you
>> eventually.
>
>Aphorisms are statements of truth, however brief or terse. Do you consider
>truth a negative attribute?

If you're into definitions, look up "sarcasm" (which an aphorism
frequently is). But an aphorism can also be an opinion, whether true
or not.

3331. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 03:31:03 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155699063.389511.304600@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7311
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On Tue, 15 Aug 2006 23:22:50 GMT, "Rusty Shackleford"
> <nospam@bunnyhole_entertainment.com> wrote:
>

> >Aphorisms are statements of truth, however brief or terse. Do you consider
> >truth a negative attribute?
>
> If you're into definitions, look up "sarcasm" (which an aphorism
> frequently is). But an aphorism can also be an opinion, whether true
> or not.

do you accualy have anything to say on the topic or just more of your BS

3332. Re: Dick Smith Radio Direction Finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 04:00:43 UTC
From: ASIS <car_mar@ozemail.com.au>
Message-ID: <44e2983a$0$310$5a62ac22@per-qv1-newsreader-01.iinet.net.au>
Source record: 7312
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

yeah man me too i you dont mind,

i need a project to keep me going

thanks

"me" <me@me.com> wrote in message news:44d69eec@quokka.wn.com.au...
> Kev wrote:
>
> Kev a tanker wanker ,,,, do tell more Kev Deck or ER ?
>
>
>> news wrote:
>>
>>> yes thanks glenn. I would like a copy of the circuit.
>>>
>>> email james_about@hotmail.com with the info please.
>>>
>>> Any thing you need also. I keep a fairly extensive collection of radio
>>> software and circuits.
>>>
>>> And gidday to Kev ....tanker wanker. private joke.
>>>
>>>
>>
>> :)
>>
>>
>> Kev

3333. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 04:53:44 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1155704024.611968.168570@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7313
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Al Klein wrote:
> > > On 12 Aug 2006 18:58:18 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> > > wrote:
> > >
> > > >hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >
> > > >> How did capacitors escape getting color coded?
> > >
> > > >ssshhhhh bb don't ask such questions please
> > >
> > > Since a) you don't know the answer and b) they didn't.
> >
> > Develop "b)" a little more.
> he sure turning out like steve
>
> even started following to most of the ngs I like to post in nice guy

Signal tracing will show it's the same transmitter.

3334. Re: license renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 05:15:08 UTC
From: Buck <not@this.time>
Message-ID: <3ea5e2pimm47pkab5au4i79bac1e4cpq99@4ax.com>
Source record: 7314
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 15 Aug 2006 23:42:59 GMT, Ed <Huckleberry_REMOVE_@bigvalley.net>
wrote:

>
>>
>>
>> Anyone going to visit the FCC website please leave them these ideas in
>> their comment box, Thanks.
>>
>>
>> 1-No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all
>> elements required for their license class.
>>
>> 2-The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>>
>
>
>
> hmmmm... could something like this be applied to marriage licenses, too?
>
>
> :^)
>

I don't know, but that would upset my ex something terrible if I
renewed ours :)

--
73 for now
Buck
N4PGW

3335. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 06:21:32 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1155709292.489367.259930@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7315
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: Al Klein on Tues, Aug 15 2006 5:35 am
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
rec.radio.scanner,
rec.radio.swap

><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
>>From: Al Klein on Sun, Aug 13 2006 9:15 pm
>>Groups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
>>rec.radio.scanner, rec.radio.swap
>
>>>On 12 Aug 2006 18:58:18 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>> How did capacitors escape getting color coded?
>>>>ssshhhhh bb don't ask such questions please
>
>>>Since a) you don't know the answer and b) they didn't.
>
>> Klein, you said you were an OF. Any olde-fahrt ought to KNOW that
>> silver-mica capacitors were color-dot-coded for about a quarter
>> century. [look in the 1976 ARRL Handbook] Those flat cases
>> were eventually displaced by dipped silver-mica.
>
>> Paper tubular capacitors in molded plastic tubular casings were
>> marked with color bands and were on the market for at least
>> 15 years, maybe 20...until aced out by ceramic disc capacitors
>> for general bypassing and coupling applications (by both tube
>> and transistor architecture electronics).
>
>> ANYONE with hands-on experience in electronics between 1950
>> and about 1970 would KNOW that. [okay, folks, looks like
>> there's another imposter here...at least this one isn't
>> trying to pass hisself off as some marine NCO...:-)
>
>Try reading what I wrote.

Tsk, Klein, you don't write enough to read. It's all about
implications, inferences, and vague "truths" which don't
establish anything. Then you get pissed off when others
don't accept your "word" on things.

I will ask directly: ARE you an olde-fahrt? Or long-timer?
If so, HOW LONG?

Try not to be too vague on this. Real truth will establish
your "rep" in here. So far you don't have much of a "rep"
except we all know you are a PRO-CODER.

> "They didn't" ... "escape". Looks like the
>impostor (as far as understanding simple English) isn't me.

I can't see anyone named "They didn't" in the Google message
list, nor that of "escape."

Simply put, if you can't establish any bona fides for your
alleged long-timerness, I'll just put you in the "imposter"
list. [lots of folks from here in there...]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3336. Re: Dick Smith Radio Direction Finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 10:10:18 UTC
From: Kev <kevcat@optunet.com.au>
Message-ID: <44e2ef04$0$22361$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 7316
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

ASIS wrote:

> yeah man me too i you dont mind,
>
> i need a project to keep me going
>
> thanks

Yeah you wish

Kev

3337. Cease and desist brethaing, Marqueer!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 12:35:54 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155731754.126952.8230@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7317
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old friendless cocksucker wrote:
> ce

See Markie lie.

3338. Re: cease and desist your harrassment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 12:39:36 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155731976.050366.177150@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7318
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
cease and desist your harrassment

3339. Re: id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 12:40:02 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155732002.602849.123660@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7319
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
an old fraud wrote:
> peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
> > MarktheMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> > > On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:34:21 -0400, "Mark Morgan"
> > > <konstans@homomale.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > id theft is crime
> > >
> > So is your continuing to live...a crime against humanity.
> cease and desist your harrassment

Talk to any unlicensed stations, fraud?
```

3340. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 12:42:15 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155732135.754063.319490@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7320
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

an old friendless cocksucker wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 21:38:03 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >
> > >On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 21:14:47 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
> > >wrote:
> > >>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:37:50 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >
> > >>>you have heard from the appointed legel representives and you did not
> > >>>listen to them either
> >
> > >>Oh? I didn't know that we legally appointed "representatives" to tell
> > >>us what people think. Who, pray tell, are these telepathic
> > >>"representatives"?
> >
> > >never said anything about their being telpaths but I was refering to
> > >the FCC
> >
> > The FCC doesn't tell us what the people think, it tells us what the
> > FCC thinks.
> agred in practice but you are the one going about therory

What the hell would you know, you are a no code beginner training wheel
ham who makes up EME contacts.

3341. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 12:45:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <hq46e25vbq5ho7p9goki5bj3hvveu4u442@4ax.com>
Source record: 7321
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 16 Aug 2006 05:42:15 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

cease and desist your harrassment
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3342. Re: id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 12:48:12 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155732492.347529.228590@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7322
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
cease and desist your harrassment

3343. Re: id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 12:49:27 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1155732567.701545.37420@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

an old freindless cocksucker wrote:
> cease and desist your harrassment

Or what?

3344. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 12:50:36 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1155732636.334963.44790@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7324
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On 16 Aug 2006 05:42:15 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
> What the hell would you know, you are a no code beginner training wheel
>ham who makes up EME contacts.
> cease and desist your harrassment

Or what? Fix your shitty newsreader.

3345. Re: id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 12:50:49 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155732649.689048.244200@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7325
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
cease and desist your harrassment

3346. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 12:52:34 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155732754.468945.93170@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7326
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
cease and desist your harrassment

3347. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 12:56:18 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1155732978.099498.223450@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7327
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

an old freindless cocksucker wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
> cease and desist your harrassment

Or what?

3348. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 12:59:41 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155733181.102327.96680@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7328
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

markie_morgan_rapesb...@yahoo.com wrote:
cease and desist your harrassment

3349. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 13:01:49 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1155733309.331669.160980@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7329
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

an old fraud wrote:
> cease and desist your harrassment

AC5-Webproxy69.direcpc.com (66.82.9.86)

66.82.0.0 - 66.82.255.255
Hughes Network Systems
11717 Exploration Lane
DirecWAY Network Management Center
attn: Network Security Manager
Germantown, MD
US

Network Security Manager
abuse@hughes.net
+1-301-601-7205

3350. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 13:04:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <bu56e21q12cpnilqar4ntk8p8vcplfh7kn@4ax.com>
Source record: 7330
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 16 Aug 2006 06:01:49 -0700, markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
wrote:

>
>an old fraud wrote:
>> cease and desist your harrassment
>
>AC5-Webproxy69.direcpc.com (66.82.9.86)
>
so?
cease and desist your harrassment
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3351. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 13:11:33 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155733891.473439.148300@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7331
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On Tue, 15 Aug 2006 03:57:07 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
> >> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> >>> Following your line of reasoning, skill with buggy whips
> >>> should be part of the requirements for a driver's license.
> >>
> >> For driving a four-in-hand, it should be. There's a keyer in my
> >> fairly new rig.
> > >
> > >Get you a four-on-the-floor Mustang and beat it with a
> > >buggy whip to make it go faster?
> >
> > Markie? Get out of Cecil's head.
> the other standard tactic is to avoid the point

and again why are you such an ill mannered lout that you can't call me
Mark

3352. Re: license renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 16:35:18 UTC
From: Rayburn <rayburnsweet@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <9adb7$44e34938$8b371664$736@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 7332
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Yea but who wants to say...

"ur 59 NY.....73 sk "

And leave it at that?

Thats not talking to anyone!

Rayburn
"Ed" <Huckleberry_REMOVE_@bigvalley.net> wrote in message
news:Xns9820BCBAB228Espectrumhogstarbandn@198.186.192.196...
>
>
>> Just the excuse I need to let my "CODED" extra ticket go lapse....with
>> stupid fucking assholes like slow code only on the bands then who in
>> their right fucking mind would want to talk to the lowlife
>> cocksuckers?
>>
>
>
> You DO know that there are 360+ countries other than the US that you can
> talk to, don't you? . . . .
>
>
>

3353. Re: license renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 17:30:04 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <8gl6e2dps34ihtp2h97o1eakvsikhdog7l@4ax.com>
Source record: 7333
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 16 Aug 2006 12:35:18 -0400, "Rayburn"
<rayburnsweet@alltel.net> wrote:

>Yea but who wants to say...
>
>
>"ur 59 NY.....73 sk "
>
>
>And leave it at that?
>
>Thats not talking to anyone!
>
>Rayburn
indeed but thankfully sometimes you can tlak to people
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3354. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 18:26:06 UTC
From: Penguin <penguin@south.pole>
Message-ID: <ebvnr1$1gr$1@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 7334
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

.

3355. Re: id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 18:27:09 UTC
From: Penguin <penguin@south.pole>
Message-ID: <ebvnt1$1gr$2@eskinews.eskimo.com>
Source record: 7335
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

.

3356. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 18:27:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <cso6e25qv543tvhsjhgtf6s2ksfocmn16c@4ax.com>
Source record: 7336
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 16 Aug 2006 11:26:06 -0700, Penguin <penguin@south.pole>
wrote:

>.
well at least it is not obviously a lie making it better than say one
Robeson posts
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3357. Re: id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 18:28:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <cto6e25qahh45n0j69dse86ak990n6l987@4ax.com>
Source record: 7337
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 16 Aug 2006 11:27:09 -0700, Penguin <penguin@south.pole>
wrote:

>.
yes it is acrime sad LE does not care about crime more liekly to care
if there donut shhop gets robbed
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3358. Re: id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 19:17:58 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1155755878.737265.55800@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7338
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

an old freindless cocksucker wrote:
> cease and desist your harrassment

Cease breathing.

3359. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 19:34:13 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1155756853.519865.27980@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7339
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
Markthe Moron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On 16 Aug 2006 06:01:49 -0700, markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
> wrote:
>
> >
> >an old fraud wrote:
> >> cease and desist your harrassment
> >
> >AC5-Webproxy69.direcpc.com (66.82.9.86)
> >
> so?

So spamming like you are doing will make you lose that high speed
connection.
```

3360. Cease and desist your breathing, you useless fat bastard!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 19:38:36 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1155757116.388903.191060@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7340
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old friendless cocksucker wrote:
> ce

See Markie spam.

3361. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 19:53:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <jst6e2pck968bnhv617kudhspu3poqtn70@4ax.com>
Source record: 7341
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 16 Aug 2006 12:34:13 -0700, peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:

>> >AC5-Webproxy69.direcpc.com (66.82.9.86)
>> >
>> so?
>
>So spamming like you are doing will make you lose that high speed
>connection.
no spamm from me just responding your harrassment and Robeson
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3362. Re: id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 19:53:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <aut6e25rrv4tfrcifdn05vjemn1o3oo0jd@4ax.com>
Source record: 7342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 16 Aug 2006 12:17:58 -0700, peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
cease and desist your harrassment
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3363. Re: Radio Direction Finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 19:54:31 UTC
From: Steve <nospam@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <44e377f9$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 7343
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"brizzy bastard" <NOTROLLSjamesinbrisbane@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:44d6d365$0$11970$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> A cheap tracking device can be purchased through one of the following
pages.
>
http://tech-software.net/index.php?module=pagemaster&PAGE_user_op=view_page&
> PAGE_id=5&MMN_position=7:7
> http://www.silcom.com/~pelican2/PicoDopp/PICODOPP.htm
> I really don't think tracking devices have to be expensive but if you
don't
> like paying for a good one, then I can provide a very simple 555ic timer
> circuit you can use that will cost about $10 to make.
> Here is the circuit of a very simple but workable tracking device that I
> once used to track a signal to tandy's store in the toowong towers in
> Brisbane. Once you learn how to use it, you can track to a square metre. A
> hand held radio is the best choice of radio to use with the tracker. This
> shop blocked ch 7 for 3 days until I had some free time to go and see
where
> it was coming from. The same shop did it again some time later and the
> culprit lost his job at that location thanks to a night time worker that
was
> on the ball. The signal was a radio on the shelf connected to power with a
> small antenna and a clip lead over the mic insert to hold it on tx.
> http://www.k1ttt.net/technote/doppler.html
> http://au.geocities.com/vk4jzz/side.jpg
>

I've bought one of the Ramsey kits which should arrive this week.
http://www.ramseyelectronics.com/cgi-bin/commerce.exe?preadd=action&key=DDF1
I'll let you guys know how well it works when it's assembled.
Tracking to within a couple of houses is easy even without a radio that has
a signal meter but the doppler will make it all that much faster.
the serial ratbags and spastics in Sydney will get a fright when its up and
running. Unfortunately Sydney repeaters are going through one of those
phases where every sub-moron with a radio is having an obsessive compulsive
episode and we have about 20 whispering retards making the repeater
unusable. Hopefully within the next 4 weeks I can post an excel spreadsheet
with all their info. they usually piss off when they lose their anonymity.

3364. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 19:55:55 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1155758155.776385.270500@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7344
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Moron@kb9rqz.com waits around to spam, since he has no job:
> On 16 Aug 2006 12:34:13 -0700, peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>
> >> >AC5-Webproxy69.direcpc.com (66.82.9.86)
> >> >
> >> so?
> >
> >So spamming like you are doing will make you lose that high speed
> >connection.
> no spamm from me just responding your harrassment and Robeson

No, you are spamming. Now go relieve your shemale wife from sucking off
your daddy.

3365. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 19:56:07 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1155758166.990061.259160@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7346
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Moron@kb9rqz.com waits around to spam, since he has no job:
> On 16 Aug 2006 12:34:13 -0700, peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>
> >> >AC5-Webproxy69.direcpc.com (66.82.9.86)
> >> >
> >> so?
> >
> >So spamming like you are doing will make you lose that high speed
> >connection.
> no spamm from me just responding your harrassment and Robeson

No, you are spamming. Now go relieve your shemale wife from sucking off
your daddy.

3366. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 19:56:08 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1155758168.048081.291040@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7345
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Moron@kb9rqz.com waits around to spam, since he has no job:
> On 16 Aug 2006 12:34:13 -0700, peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>
> >> >AC5-Webproxy69.direcpc.com (66.82.9.86)
> >> >
> >> so?
> >
> >So spamming like you are doing will make you lose that high speed
> >connection.
> no spamm from me just responding your harrassment and Robeson

No, you are spamming. Now go relieve your shemale wife from sucking off
your daddy.

3367. Re: Cease desist and grow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 19:56:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <04u6e2l46e115hhijoe7dammltjv7v5h41@4ax.com>
Source record: 7347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 16 Aug 2006 12:38:36 -0700, peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
Cease desist and grow up
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3368. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 20:00:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <kau6e2tambbp6kerr12ar8cnvp9li17vtn@4ax.com>
Source record: 7348
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 16 Aug 2006 12:55:55 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:

>No, you are spamming. Now go relieve your shemale wife from sucking off
>your daddy.
Cease desist and grow up
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3369. Need Schematic or info for Radio Shack Pro-30

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 23:22:04 UTC
From: cruikshank <cruikshank2@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1155770524.377742.53010@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7349

I bought a Pro-30 for $5 today. Took a chance. At first it works, it scans but no audio. I open it and 4 wires are off. If I clip a earphone onto the leads marked Earphone it works, But I'm not sure where to hook the speaker wires. When I hook them up where I think they should go Blue to Blue and White to white.. I get the programing beep, but no Audio. Can someone help me with this. I'm looking at where to solder 4 wires and my paperweight is a scanner. Thanks, Dave in PA WB3EKN

3370. Re: Cease and desist brethaing, Marqueer! - Mark, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 23:22:15 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <HMNEg.6842$Qf.2425@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7350
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1155731754.126952.8230@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com:

>
> an old friendless cocksucker wrote:
>> ce
>
> See Markie lie.
>
>

Mark, Just ignore them.

They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.

Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
more stupid.

Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
moon bounce some more.

SC

3371. Re: Cease and desist your breathing, you useless fat bastard! - Mark, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 23:23:39 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <%NNEg.6848$Qf.4679@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote in news:1155757116.388903.191060
@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> an old friendless cocksucker wrote:
>> ce
>
> See Markie spam.
>
>

Mark, Just ignore them.

They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.

Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
more stupid.

Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
moon bounce some more.

SC

3372. Re: cease and desist your harrassment - Mark, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-16 23:23:55 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <fONEg.6850$Qf.530@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7252
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in news:1155731976.050366.177150
@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> cease and desist your harrassment
>
>

Mark, Just ignore them.

They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.

Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
more stupid.

Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
moon bounce some more.

SC

3373. Re: ICOM R1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-17 01:13:06 UTC
From: Rayburn <rayburnsweet@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <c6f64$44e3c2a2$8b371664$977@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 7253

CRAP?

Thats putting it mildly!

LOL!

RAYBURN
"NS" <ns@ns.nl> wrote in message
news:44e19618$0$2025$ba620dc5@text.nova.planet.nl...
>
>> Good luck, they're nice radio's.
>
> say what? they are crap!
>
>
>
>

3374. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-17 01:15:56 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <rng7e2lavpbcct44sjasn22ke2seon1acc@4ax.com>
Source record: 7254
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 15 Aug 2006 23:21:32 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>From: Al Klein on Tues, Aug 15 2006 5:35 am
>Groups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
>rec.radio.scanner,
>rec.radio.swap
>
>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
>>>From: Al Klein on Sun, Aug 13 2006 9:15 pm
>>>Groups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
>>>rec.radio.scanner, rec.radio.swap
>>
>>>>On 12 Aug 2006 18:58:18 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>> How did capacitors escape getting color coded?
>>>>>sshhhhh bb don't ask such questions please
>>
>>>>Since a) you don't know the answer and b) they didn't.
>>
>>> Klein, you said you were an OF. Any olde-fahrt ought to KNOW that
>>> silver-mica capacitors were color-dot-coded for about a quarter
>>> century. [look in the 1976 ARRL Handbook] Those flat cases
>>> were eventually displaced by dipped silver-mica.
>>
>>> Paper tubular capacitors in molded plastic tubular casings were
>>> marked with color bands and were on the market for at least
>>> 15 years, maybe 20...until aced out by ceramic disc capacitors
>>> for general bypassing and coupling applications (by both tube
>>> and transistor architecture electronics).
>>
>>> ANYONE with hands-on experience in electronics between 1950
>>> and about 1970 would KNOW that. [okay, folks, looks like
>>> there's another imposter here...at least this one isn't
>>> trying to pass hisself off as some marine NCO...:-)
>>
>>Try reading what I wrote.
>
> Tsk, Klein, you don't write enough to read.

I'm not the one who misread "capacitors didn't *ESCAPE* getting color
coded" for "capacitors didn't *GET* color coded" - YOU DID!

3375. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-17 05:24:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <7cv7e2p3c3bud3veru2str1cri9dtboav8@4ax.com>
Source record: 7255
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 16 Aug 2006 21:15:56 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On 15 Aug 2006 23:21:32 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:
>
>>From: Al Klein on Tues, Aug 15 2006 5:35 am
>>Groups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
>>rec.radio.scanner,
>>rec.radio.swap
>>
>>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
>>>>From: Al Klein on Sun, Aug 13 2006 9:15 pm
>>>>Groups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
>>>>rec.radio.scanner, rec.radio.swap
>>>
>>>>>On 12 Aug 2006 18:58:18 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>> How did capacitors escape getting color coded?
>>>>>>ssshhhhh bb don't ask such questions please
>>>
>>>>>Since a) you don't know the answer and b) they didn't.
>>>
>>>> Klein, you said you were an OF. Any olde-fahrt ought to KNOW that
>>>> silver-mica capacitors were color-dot-coded for about a quarter
>>>> century. [look in the 1976 ARRL Handbook] Those flat cases
>>>> were eventually displaced by dipped silver-mica.
>>>
>>>> Paper tubular capacitors in molded plastic tubular casings were
>>>> marked with color bands and were on the market for at least
>>>> 15 years, maybe 20...until aced out by ceramic disc capacitors
>>>> for general bypassing and coupling applications (by both tube
>>>> and transistor architecture electronics).
>>>
>>>> ANYONE with hands-on experience in electronics between 1950
>>>> and about 1970 would KNOW that. [okay, folks, looks like
>>>> there's another imposter here...at least this one isn't
>>>> trying to pass hisself off as some marine NCO...:-)
>>>
>>>Try reading what I wrote.
>>
>> Tsk, Klein, you don't write enough to read.
>

>I'm not the one who misread "capacitors didn't *ESCAPE* getting color
>coded" for "capacitors didn't *GET* color coded" - YOU DID!
and yet you dare to attack others for there mistakes take the lumps
you earn OM or bow out
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3376. Re: license renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-17 09:25:21 UTC
From: Gerry <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
Message-ID: <5sidnXkHWK1mqHnZnZ2dnUVZ_rqdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 7256
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Rayburn" <rayburnsweet@alltel.net> wrote in message
news:a2b40$44e27421$8b371664$17268@ALLTEL.NET...
> Just the excuse I need to let my "CODED" extra ticket go lapse....with
> stupid fucking assholes like slow code only on the bands then who in their
> right fucking mind would want to talk to the lowlife cocksuckers?
>
> 30 wpm with ease!

Wow... you are sooooo cool...

3377. See Markie spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-17 12:36:42 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155818202.744970.180190@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>Ce

See Markie Spam.

3378. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-17 12:57:32 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1155819452.505459.249520@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7258
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On 16 Aug 2006 12:55:55 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>
> >No, you are spamming. Now go relieve your shemale wife from sucking off
> >your daddy.
> Cease

Clean up that trash filled yard, Morkie.

3379. Markie your spamming is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-17 12:59:40 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1155819580.435966.161810@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7259
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

MarkMoron @kb9rqz.com wrote:
> cease

Cease spamming, retard.

3380. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-17 14:01:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <clt8e2p3d41nbql085sll92s2mc1isdk6t@4ax.com>
Source record: 7260
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 17 Aug 2006 05:57:32 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:

>
cease desist and grow up
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3381. cease and desist your harrassment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-17 14:06:47 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155823607.915017.73410@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7261
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>
cease and desist your harrassment

3382. cease and desist your harrassment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-17 14:11:44 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1155823904.148235.32650@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>
cease and desist your harrassment

3383. Easier to read manual for the SC230 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-17 19:03:18 UTC
From: marksscanners@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1155841398.027647.128080@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7263

Just finished an easier to read manual for the SC230 scanner:

http://myweb.cableone.net/marksscanners/230/230.html

3384. DTMF decoder from OPTO not working

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-17 22:23:54 UTC
From: Phil <pal531TheCat@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <_%5Fg.9104$xp2.614@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7264

I have a Techtoyz from Optoelectronics Micro DTMF decoder that has been sitting in a drawer for several years that I just tried to use today. I put in a fresh battery and it did come on but displayed fragmented and incomplete characters. So I switched it to receive and hit redial on a phone and it did read the number dialed, but again displayed fragmented characters. When switched to recall, it displays the incomplete characters. There does not seem to be a visible user reset button on the unit.

Any ideas?
Is this worth repairing and is there actually anyone that would repair such an item?
Or should I just toss it?

Thanks,

Phil

3385. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-17 22:26:18 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1155853578.502660.314550@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7265
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: Al Klein on Wed, Aug 16 2006 6:15 pm

>On 15 Aug 2006 23:21:32 -0700, "LenAnder...@ieee.org"
><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
>>From: Al Klein on Tues, Aug 15 2006 5:35 am
>>Groups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
>>rec.radio.scanner, rec.radio.swap
>>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
>>>>From: Al Klein on Sun, Aug 13 2006 9:15 pm
>>>>>On 12 Aug 2006 18:58:18 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>> How did capacitors escape getting color coded?
>>>>>>ssshhhhh bb don't ask such questions please
>
>>>>>Since a) you don't know the answer and b) they didn't.
>
>>>> Klein, you said you were an OF. Any olde-fahrt ought to KNOW that
>>>> silver-mica capacitors were color-dot-coded for about a quarter
>>>> century. [look in the 1976 ARRL Handbook] Those flat cases
>>>> were eventually displaced by dipped silver-mica.
>
>>>> Paper tubular capacitors in molded plastic tubular casings were
>>>> marked with color bands and were on the market for at least
>>>> 15 years, maybe 20...until aced out by ceramic disc capacitors
>>>> for general bypassing and coupling applications (by both tube
>>>> and transistor architecture electronics).
>
>>>> ANYONE with hands-on experience in electronics between 1950
>>>> and about 1970 would KNOW that. [okay, folks, looks like
>>>> there's another imposter here...at least this one isn't
>>>> trying to pass hisself off as some marine NCO...:-)
>
>>>Try reading what I wrote.
>
>> Tsk, Klein, you don't write enough to read.
>
>I'm not the one who misread "capacitors didn't *ESCAPE* getting color
>coded" for "capacitors didn't *GET* color coded" - YOU DID!

Nice attempt at misdirection, but a very old technique. :-)

That sort of misdirection is puerile (meaning childish).
If you have some bona fides on English grammar and some
false idea that ALL must be literal with NO departure from

such literalness, please state them. Otherwise go into
auto-fornication mode since we ain't buyin that, homie. :-)

Let's reprise. First you state that capacitors were never
color coded. You got called on that and corrected by more
than myself. Secondly, you've never admitted being wrong or
corrected. Third, you try to (badly) convince others that
those who corrected your statement are "wrong" or "at fault."

Amazing. You make mistakes and then try to convince all that
those mistakes never happened or that it is "wrong" to try to
correct your mistakes! :-)

Here's some more to chew on:

RFCs (Radio Frequency Chokes, inductors) in axial-lead plastic
tubular packages are STILL marked with color-code bands. There's
a MIL SPEC on that as all "long-time design engineers" should
know; such parts are even used in commercial market electronics.
It's really irrelevant HOW capacitors are marked as long anyone
using them can know their value and working voltage and
tolerance and apply them properly.

There are 7 (seven) amateur radio licensees in the USA that could
answer to "Al Klein." Are you one of those?

I can say without hesitation that I am NOT a licensed amateur.
I am a licensed commercial-professional in radio and have been
so for 50 years, beginning in military 24/7 big-time HF
communications 53 1/2 years ago. I have all sorts of valid
documentation on that and some in here have seen some of that.
Do you have ANYTHING in the way of ID? On the Internet?

Or, are you going to scribble meaningless misdirections in here,
attempting to portray some personal "outrage" for being
corrected? Especially about a well-known electronic component
identification method which you don't seem to know yet others
can verify?

I'll just put you down as an IMPOSTER poster, one of those
wanna-bees who might never have been anything but really,
really wants to be someone. That's up to you. I don't care.
I've seen your kind on the Internet, on the Bulletin Board
Systems since 1984. None have anything worthwhile to
contribute but all wanting to be a SOMEBODY on screens.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3386. Re: cease and desist your harrassment - Mark, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-17 22:32:48 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <k86Fg.5137$Sn3.2728@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7266
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1155823607.915017.73410@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com:

>
> kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
> cease and desist your harrassment
>
>

Mark, Just ignore them.

They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.

Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
more stupid.

Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
moon bounce some more.

SC

3387. Re: Markie your spamming is a crime - Mark, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-17 22:33:04 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <A86Fg.5138$Sn3.2543@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7267
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote in news:1155819580.435966.161810@
75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> MarkMoron @kb9rqz.com wrote:
>> cease
>
> Cease spamming, retard.
>
>

Mark, Just ignore them.

They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.

Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
more stupid.

Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
moon bounce some more.

SC

3388. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die? - Mark, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-17 22:33:22 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <S86Fg.5139$Sn3.1263@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7268
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:7cv7e2p3c3bud3veru2str1cri9dtboav8@4ax.com:

> On Wed, 16 Aug 2006 21:15:56 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
> wrote:
>
>>On 15 Aug 2006 23:21:32 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
>><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:
>>
>>>From: Al Klein on Tues, Aug 15 2006 5:35 am
>>>Groups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
>>>rec.radio.scanner,
>>>rec.radio.swap
>>>
>>>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
>>>>>From: Al Klein on Sun, Aug 13 2006 9:15 pm
>>>>>Groups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
>>>>>rec.radio.scanner, rec.radio.swap
>>>>
>>>>>On 12 Aug 2006 18:58:18 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com>
>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>> How did capacitors escape getting color coded?
>>>>>>sshhhhh bb don't ask such questions please
>>>>
>>>>Since a) you don't know the answer and b) they didn't.
>>>>
>>>> Klein, you said you were an OF. Any olde-fahrt ought to KNOW that
>>>> silver-mica capacitors were color-dot-coded for about a quarter
>>>> century. [look in the 1976 ARRL Handbook] Those flat cases
>>>> were eventually displaced by dipped silver-mica.
>>>>
>>>> Paper tubular capacitors in molded plastic tubular casings were
>>>> marked with color bands and were on the market for at least
>>>> 15 years, maybe 20...until aced out by ceramic disc capacitors
>>>> for general bypassing and coupling applications (by both tube
>>>> and transistor architecture electronics).
>>>>
>>>> ANYONE with hands-on experience in electronics between 1950
>>>> and about 1970 would KNOW that. [okay, folks, looks like
>>>> there's another imposter here...at least this one isn't
>>>> trying to pass hisself off as some marine NCO...:-)
>>>>

>>>>Try reading what I wrote.
>>>
>>> Tsk, Klein, you don't write enough to read.
>>
>>I'm not the one who misread "capacitors didn't *ESCAPE* getting color
>>coded" for "capacitors didn't *GET* color coded" - YOU DID!
> and yet you dare to attack others for there mistakes take the lumps
> you earn OM or bow out
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

Mark, Just ignore them.

They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.

Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
more stupid.

Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
moon bounce some more.

SC

3389. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent - Mark, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-17 22:33:39 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <796Fg.5140$Sn3.2084@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7269
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:clt8e2p3d41nbql085sll92s2mc1isdk6t@4ax.com:

> On 17 Aug 2006 05:57:32 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>>
> cease desist and grow up
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

Mark, Just ignore them.

They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.

Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
more stupid.

Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
moon bounce some more.

SC

3390. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent - Mark, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-17 22:33:55 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <n96Fg.5141$Sn3.2543@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7270
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote in news:1155819452.505459.249520@
74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com:

>
> MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>> On 16 Aug 2006 12:55:55 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>
>> >No, you are spamming. Now go relieve your shemale wife from sucking off
>> >your daddy.
>> Cease
>
> Clean up that trash filled yard, Morkie.
>
>

Mark, Just ignore them.

They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.

Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
more stupid.

Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
moon bounce some more.

SC

3391. Re: cease and desist your harrassment - Mark, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-17 22:34:12 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <E96Fg.5142$Sn3.4015@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1155823904.148235.32650@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>>
> cease and desist your harrassment

Mark, Just ignore them.

They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.

Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
more stupid.

Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
moon bounce some more.

SC

3392. Re: DTMF decoder from OPTO not working

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-17 23:09:24 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <EG6Fg.1089$v4.242@newsfe3-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 7272

is it lcd display?
if so it might have got damp and the little cardonloaded edge connector on
the glass might be dirty from it.
if you can lightly push on the display
does it work better
mike

"Phil" <pal531TheCat@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:_%5Fg.9104$xp2.614@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>I have a Techtoyz from Optoelectronics Micro DTMF decoder that has been
> sitting in a drawer for several years that I just tried to use today. I
> put
> in a fresh battery and it did come on but displayed fragmented and
> incomplete characters. So I switched it to receive and hit redial on a
> phone
> and it did read the number dialed, but again displayed fragmented
> characters. When switched to recall, it displays the incomplete
> characters.
> There does not seem to be a visible user reset button on the unit.
>
> Any ideas?
> Is this worth repairing and is there actually anyone that would repair
> such
> an item?
> Or should I just toss it?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Phil
>
>

3393. Re: DTMF decoder from OPTO not working

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 00:01:40 UTC
From: Phil <pal531TheCat@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <Er7Fg.9128$xp2.2687@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7273

It is lcd display. But pushing on the display doesn't help. I think there must be something a bit more serious wrong with it because when I turn it off, it won't always turn back on unless I take out the battery, put it back in and start over again.

"mikeFNB" <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn> wrote in message news:EG6Fg.1089$v4.242@newsfe3-win.ntli.net...
> is it lcd display?
> if so it might have got damp and the little cardonloaded edge connector on
> the glass might be dirty from it.
> if you can lightly push on the display
> does it work better
> mike
>
> "Phil" <pal531TheCat@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:_%5Fg.9104$xp2.614@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >I have a Techtoyz from Optoelectronics Micro DTMF decoder that has been
> > sitting in a drawer for several years that I just tried to use today. I
> > put
> > in a fresh battery and it did come on but displayed fragmented and
> > incomplete characters. So I switched it to receive and hit redial on a
> > phone
> > and it did read the number dialed, but again displayed fragmented
> > characters. When switched to recall, it displays the incomplete
> > characters.
> > There does not seem to be a visible user reset button on the unit.
> >
> > Any ideas?
> > Is this worth repairing and is there actually anyone that would repair
> > such
> > an item?
> > Or should I just toss it?
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Phil
> >
> >
>
>

3394. Re: DTMF decoder from OPTO not working

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 01:43:42 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <nk6ae2hkujmldm65sjuo4e5md99ul1n3nr@4ax.com>
Source record: 7274

On Thu, 17 Aug 2006 22:23:54 GMT, "Phil" <pal531TheCat@mindspring.com>
wrote:

>There does not seem to be a visible user reset button on the unit.

Taking out the battery resets it.

If you can open it up, see if you can unplug the display - either pull
the display out of the socket or pull the connector off the display
(very carefully). Blow the connector out and wipe the card edge of
the display with a lint-free cloth (linen or a clean t-shirt).

Sitting a drawer for years can make the connection between the display
and the connector oxidize, so some of the signals aren't getting
through. Then, again, the display could just have gone bad. But
cleaning the connection won't cost anything.

3395. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 01:46:22 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <vq6ae29f5pcikoke496tqo8j43pak86o5j@4ax.com>
Source record: 7275
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 17 Aug 2006 15:26:18 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

> Let's reprise. First you state that capacitors were never
> color coded.

No, first YOU misunderstood "didn't escape being color coded" as
meaning "didn't get color coded". Then you tried to weasel out of
looking like the ass you are by looking even more stupid. You're not
worth my time.

<plonk>

3396. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die? - Mark, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 01:49:05 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <j07ae2135ild30pfgnseg6hclounuvf3hn@4ax.com>
Source record: 7276
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 17 Aug 2006 22:33:22 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:7cv7e2p3c3bud3veru2str1cri9dtboav8@4ax.com:

>>>I'm not the one who misread "capacitors didn't *ESCAPE* getting color
>>>coded" for "capacitors didn't *GET* color coded" - YOU DID!

>> and yet you dare to attack others for there mistakes take the lumps
>> you earn OM or bow out

Since I have you killfiled, I didn't see the original post, Markie,
and I probably won't see your reply if you post one, but dyslexia
doesn't excuse you for this one. Google has my original post, so I
have no need to defend what others don't understand. Yes, Murky, it's
YOUR mistake ... again.

3397. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 02:06:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <f58ae2tdlqk6bernugdm6ve194hnlef81p@4ax.com>
Source record: 7277
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 17 Aug 2006 21:46:22 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On 17 Aug 2006 15:26:18 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:
>
>> Let's reprise. First you state that capacitors were never
>> color coded.
>
>No, first YOU misunderstood "didn't escape being color coded" as
>meaning "didn't get color coded"
more back pedaling and wod games
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3398. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 02:26:58 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155868018.510672.53590@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7278
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Al Klein on Wed, Aug 16 2006 6:15 pm
>
> >On 15 Aug 2006 23:21:32 -0700, "LenAnder...@ieee.org"
> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
> >>From: Al Klein on Tues, Aug 15 2006 5:35 am
> >>Groups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
> >>rec.radio.scanner, rec.radio.swap
> >>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
> >>>>From: Al Klein on Sun, Aug 13 2006 9:15 pm
> >>>>>On 12 Aug 2006 18:58:18 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>>> How did capacitors escape getting color coded?
> >>>>>>sshhhhh bb don't ask such questions please
> >
> >>>>>Since a) you don't know the answer and b) they didn't.
> >
> >>>> Klein, you said you were an OF. Any olde-fahrt ought to KNOW that
> >>>> silver-mica capacitors were color-dot-coded for about a quarter
> >>>> century. [look in the 1976 ARRL Handbook] Those flat cases
> >>>> were eventually displaced by dipped silver-mica.
> >
> >>>> Paper tubular capacitors in molded plastic tubular casings were
> >>>> marked with color bands and were on the market for at least
> >>>> 15 years, maybe 20...until aced out by ceramic disc capacitors
> >>>> for general bypassing and coupling applications (by both tube
> >>>> and transistor architecture electronics).
> >
> >>>> ANYONE with hands-on experience in electronics between 1950
> >>>> and about 1970 would KNOW that. [okay, folks, looks like
> >>>> there's another imposter here...at least this one isn't
> >>>> trying to pass hisself off as some marine NCO...:-)
> >
> >>>Try reading what I wrote.
> >
> >> Tsk, Klein, you don't write enough to read.
> >
> >I'm not the one who misread "capacitors didn't *ESCAPE* getting color
> >coded" for "capacitors didn't *GET* color coded" - YOU DID!
>
> Nice attempt at misdirection, but a very old technique. :-)
>
> That sort of misdirection is puerile (meaning childish).

> If you have some bona fides on English grammar and some
> false idea that ALL must be literal with NO departure from
> such literalness, please state them. Otherwise go into
> auto-fornication mode since we ain't buyin that, homie. :-)
>
> Let's reprise. First you state that capacitors were never
> color coded. You got called on that and corrected by more
> than myself. Secondly, you've never admitted being wrong or
> corrected. Third, you try to (badly) convince others that
> those who corrected your statement are "wrong" or "at fault."
>
> Amazing. You make mistakes and then try to convince all that
> those mistakes never happened or that it is "wrong" to try to
> correct your mistakes! :-)

who that we know does that Sound Like Len

remind you of a certain exMarine we know and loathe
>
> Here's some more to chew on:
>
> RFCs (Radio Frequency Chokes, inductors) in axial-lead plastic
> tubular packages are STILL marked with color-code bands. There's
> a MIL SPEC on that as all "long-time design engineers" should
> know; such parts are even used in commercial market electronics.
> It's really irrelevant HOW capacitors are marked as long anyone
> using them can know their value and working voltage and
> tolerance and apply them properly.
>
> There are 7 (seven) amateur radio licensees in the USA that could
> answer to "Al Klein." Are you one of those?
>
> I can say without hesitation that I am NOT a licensed amateur.
> I am a licensed commercial-professional in radio and have been
> so for 50 years, beginning in military 24/7 big-time HF
> communications 53 1/2 years ago. I have all sorts of valid
> documentation on that and some in here have seen some of that.
> Do you have ANYTHING in the way of ID? On the Internet?
>
> Or, are you going to scribble meaningless misdirections in here,
> attempting to portray some personal "outrage" for being
> corrected? Especially about a well-known electronic component
> identification method which you don't seem to know yet others
> can verify?
>
> I'll just put you down as an IMPOSTER poster, one of those
> wanna-bees who might never have been anything but really,
> really wants to be someone. That's up to you. I don't care.
> I've seen your kind on the Internet, on the Bulletin Board
> Systems since 1984. None have anything worthwhile to
> contribute but all wanting to be a SOMEBODY on screens.

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

3399. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 02:28:20 UTC
From: David 01 <ifiwantspam@illask.org>
Message-ID: <7adbc$44e525b5$471cfff1$18714@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 7279
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

This one is getting a whole lot old.

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1155868018.510672.53590@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: Al Klein on Wed, Aug 16 2006 6:15 pm
> >
> > >On 15 Aug 2006 23:21:32 -0700, "LenAnder...@ieee.org"
> > ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
> > >>From: Al Klein on Tues, Aug 15 2006 5:35 am
> > >>Groups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
> > >>rec.radio.scanner, rec.radio.swap
> > >>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
> > >>>>From: Al Klein on Sun, Aug 13 2006 9:15 pm
> > >>>>>On 12 Aug 2006 18:58:18 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> > >>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >>>>>>> How did capacitors escape getting color coded?
> > >>>>>>sshhhhh bb don't ask such questions please
> > >
> > >>>>>Since a) you don't know the answer and b) they didn't.
> > >
> > >>>> Klein, you said you were an OF. Any olde-fahrt ought to KNOW
that
> > >>>> silver-mica capacitors were color-dot-coded for about a quarter
> > >>>> century. [look in the 1976 ARRL Handbook] Those flat cases
> > >>>> were eventually displaced by dipped silver-mica.
> > >
> > >>>> Paper tubular capacitors in molded plastic tubular casings were
> > >>>> marked with color bands and were on the market for at least
> > >>>> 15 years, maybe 20...until aced out by ceramic disc capacitors
> > >>>> for general bypassing and coupling applications (by both tube
> > >>>> and transistor architecture electronics).
> > >
> > >>>> ANYONE with hands-on experience in electronics between 1950
> > >>>> and about 1970 would KNOW that. [okay, folks, looks like
> > >>>> there's another imposter here...at least this one isn't
> > >>>> trying to pass hisself off as some marine NCO...:-)
> > >
> > >>>Try reading what I wrote.
> > >
> > >> Tsk, Klein, you don't write enough to read.

> > >
> > >I'm not the one who misread "capacitors didn't *ESCAPE* getting color
> > >coded" for "capacitors didn't *GET* color coded" - YOU DID!
> >
> > Nice attempt at misdirection, but a very old technique. :-)
> >
> > That sort of misdirection is puerile (meaning childish).
> > If you have some bona fides on English grammar and some
> > false idea that ALL must be literal with NO departure from
> > such literalness, please state them. Otherwise go into
> > auto-fornication mode since we ain't buyin that, homie. :-)
> >
> > Let's reprise. First you state that capacitors were never
> > color coded. You got called on that and corrected by more
> > than myself. Secondly, you've never admitted being wrong or
> > corrected. Third, you try to (badly) convince others that
> > those who corrected your statement are "wrong" or "at fault."
> >
> > Amazing. You make mistakes and then try to convince all that
> > those mistakes never happened or that it is "wrong" to try to
> > correct your mistakes! :-)
>
> who that we know does that Sound Like Len
>
> remind you of a certain exMarine we know and loathe
> >
> > Here's some more to chew on:
> >
> > RFCs (Radio Frequency Chokes, inductors) in axial-lead plastic
> > tubular packages are STILL marked with color-code bands. There's
> > a MIL SPEC on that as all "long-time design engineers" should
> > know; such parts are even used in commercial market electronics.
> > It's really irrelevant HOW capacitors are marked as long anyone
> > using them can know their value and working voltage and
> > tolerance and apply them properly.
> >
> > There are 7 (seven) amateur radio licensees in the USA that could
> > answer to "Al Klein." Are you one of those?
> >
> > I can say without hesitation that I am NOT a licensed amateur.
> > I am a licensed commercial-professional in radio and have been
> > so for 50 years, beginning in military 24/7 big-time HF
> > communications 53 1/2 years ago. I have all sorts of valid
> > documentation on that and some in here have seen some of that.
> > Do you have ANYTHING in the way of ID? On the Internet?
> >
> > Or, are you going to scribble meaningless misdirections in here,
> > attempting to portray some personal "outrage" for being
> > corrected? Especially about a well-known electronic component
> > identification method which you don't seem to know yet others

> > can verify?
> >
> > I'll just put you down as an IMPOSTER poster, one of those
> > wanna-bees who might never have been anything but really,
> > really wants to be someone. That's up to you. I don't care.
> > I've seen your kind on the Internet, on the Bulletin Board
> > Systems since 1984. None have anything worthwhile to
> > contribute but all wanting to be a SOMEBODY on screens.
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>

3400. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die? - Mark, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 02:33:34 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155868414.766864.93870@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7280
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Al Klein wrote:
> On Thu, 17 Aug 2006 22:33:22 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:7cv7e2p3c3bud3veru2str1cri9dtboav8@4ax.com:
>
> >>>I'm not the one who misread "capacitors didn't *ESCAPE* getting color
> >>>coded" for "capacitors didn't *GET* color coded" - YOU DID!
>
> >> and yet you dare to attack others for there mistakes take the lumps
> >> you earn OM or bow out
>
> Since I have you killfiled, I didn't see the original post, Markie,
> and I probably won't see your reply if you post one, but dyslexia
> doesn't excuse you for this one. Google has my original post, so I
> have no need to defend what others don't understand. Yes, Murky, it's
> YOUR mistake ... again.
nope yours but then you make so many and adknowledge none of them

killfile away of course it leaves little ornothing to post about
```

3401. cease, desist and grow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 02:35:28 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155868528.387517.185650@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7281
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>
cease, desist and grow up

3402. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 02:37:29 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155868649.426178.109860@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7282
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>
cease desist tryong to speak for Houghton county

3403. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 12:38:03 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155904683.125798.227790@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7283
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

an old fraud wrote:

> cease desist tryong to speak for Houghton county

"tryong?" HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
They already have received too many complaints about your trash filled
yard, Markie!

3404. Poor Markie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 12:40:56 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155904856.192887.112830@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7284
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

an old friendless cocksucking child molester wrote:
> cease

Cry, you illiterate cunt, cry!

3405. Whine, Markqueer, whine!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 12:44:37 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1155905077.810868.278850@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7285
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old freindless cocksucking parrot wrote:
> cease

STFU, spammer!

3406. Re: Poor Markie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 12:56:55 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <44E5B917.6050406@fuse.net>
Source record: 7286
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> an old friendless cocksucking child molester wrote:
>
>>cease
>
>
> Cry, you illiterate cunt, cry!
>
Nobody reads your ridiculous posts

3407. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 13:33:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <2cgbe2phjn9d5icaefk9pkffbcqe5dkpa4@4ax.com>
Source record: 7287
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 18 Aug 2006 05:38:03 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>an old fraud wrote:
>
>> cease desist tryong to speak for Houghton county
>
>"tryong?" HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
>They already have received too many complaints about your trash filled
>yard, Markie!
from you in the past few weeks no doubt
cease desists and grow up
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3408. Re: Poor Markie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 13:50:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <lsgbe211dthjvvfqcqe540a6ikv692tr8p@4ax.com>
Source record: 7288
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 18 Aug 2006 05:40:56 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>an old friendless cocksucking child molester wrote:
>> cease
>
>Cry, you illiterate cunt, cry!
cease desists and grow up
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3409. Re: Poor Markie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 13:50:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <atgbe2hf9ohhjcl500r8mkb7fd8ot69ajv@4ax.com>
Source record: 7289
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 18 Aug 2006 08:56:55 -0400, jawod <jawod@fuse.net> wrote:

>Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>> an old friendless cocksucking child molester wrote:
>>
>>>cease
>>
>>
>> Cry, you illiterate cunt, cry!
>>
>Nobody reads your ridiculous posts
be fiar I certainly do so do you

it is good for laughs mosts day laughing a rueshaking of the head
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3410. Re: Whine, Markqueer, whine!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 13:50:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <kugbe299dcr8b0mf0i2fjcdv3lpfshm2ta@4ax.com>
Source record: 7290
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 18 Aug 2006 05:44:37 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>an old freindless cocksucking parrot wrote:
>> cease
>
>STFU, spammer!
cease desists and grow up
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3411. Re: license renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 17:30:44 UTC
From: Pin-medic <jandcthomasspamsux@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <8PmFg.7890$oa1.1348@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 7291
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

I agree Bob, and maybe we'd have better operators as a result. Considering the waning interest in our dying hobby though, all that would probably do is eliminate even more operators from the ranks, leading the FCC to reduce our bands even more by selling them to the highest bidder.

Ham radio was so much better back in the late 70's, when I first became licensed. People were polite, and the radio had a mystique to it. With the internet and the immediate gratification that everyone seems to expect these days, Ham Radio is undesirable; having to hunt for contacts when you can just IM someone? Having to work for a license? Not anymore, no one wants to put any effort into anything when they have an easier alternative. Unfortunately, the good old days are gone, and ham radio is in the sunset of its existence.

73,

JT
K6TP
"slow code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:IAsEg.8314$xp2.1792@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Bob Miller <NOSPAM@neosoft.com> wrote in
> news:pj04e2tl6lrcfpsit7ueimv9b1ohk5hbe6@4ax.com:
>
>> On Tue, 15 Aug 2006 10:48:49 -0500, "Tom" <tom@nospam.com> wrote:
>>
>>>My license is up for renewal in November. I got a letter from an outfit
>>>in Texas wanting $7 to renew it. I thought one could renew their
>>>license for free over the internet.
>>>
>>
>> What I did to renew mine:
>>
>> Go to the FCC website. Find the section on renewing amateur licenses.
>> You can download form 610. What's easier, tho', just call the FCC
>> phone number they give you, tell them you're renewing, the next day or
>> so you will find form 610 and all instructions in your mailbox, free
>> of charge. Fill the stuff out and send it in.
>>
>> The FCC may have a minor charge for renewing, I forget.
>>
>> If you've changed your address since getting your license, you may
>> have to register the new address with the FCC before renewing. You can
>> do only one thing at a time on form 610, as I recall.
>>

>> bob
>> k5qwg
>
>
>
>
> Anyone going to visit the FCC website please leave them these ideas in
> their comment box, Thanks.
>
>
> 1-No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all
> elements required for their license class.
>
> 2-The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>
> 3-Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
> 4-Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>

3412. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 20:02:39 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1155931359.841721.300500@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7292
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: Al Klein on Thurs, Aug 17 2006 6:46 pm

>On 17 Aug 2006 15:26:18 -0700, "LenAnder...@ieee.org"
><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
>
>> Let's reprise. First you state that capacitors were never
>> color coded.
>
>No, first YOU misunderstood "didn't escape being color coded" as
>meaning "didn't get color coded".

What is to "misunderstand?" Brian Burke wrote in that fashion,
perhaps too colloquially for your absolutely-literal standards
of English, but it was perfectly clear to most readers here.

>Then you tried to weasel out of
>looking like the ass you are by looking even more stupid.

Trying to insult those who challenge your "knowledge" of
electronic components isn't going to win you any points.

The FACT is that capacitors and axial-lead inductors have
been color-coded for decades. That can be verified by looking
at component manufacturers' catalogs and several textbooks
(even going back to the ITT "Green Bible" of the 50s) as well
as the ARRL Handbooks (several years worth).

You call that "stupid?"

I wouldn't. Any self-respecting worker who has been in
electronics for years wouldn't.

Had you wanted to be "civil" about it, you could have simply
acknowledged your mistake, stopped trying to build a Mt. Everest
out of a teaspoon of sand, and gone on with life. You did not.
You have MANUFACTURED a dispute, insulted your challengers, and
implied a number of things, all without any referencible data.

Your definition of "stupidity" seems to be that of ANYONE WHO
DISAGREES WITH YOU or one who DOES NOT HONOR AND BOW-DOWN TO
YOUR SUPPOSED MAJESTY AS AN AMATEUR.

Unfortunately, those "definitions" seem endemic to pro-coders,
those who insist on keeping a morse code test for amateur radio
into the far future. That viewpoint is entirely EMOTIONAL
based on your own experiences, has no validity in the supposed

"necessity" of keeping that morse code test in USA amateur radio licensing.

"You did it so everyone else has to..."

That's a selfish, self-righteous viewpoint in my opinion.

It confuses the actual necessities of a government regulating agency trying to mitigate many, many users of the civil radio spectrum with some fraternal-organization in-house "rules" of just one radio service out of many, "rules" that were established decades ago.

You cannot support your "cause" with anything but throwing personal insults at your challengers. You have already LOST your arguments concerning the morse code test issue. You win NOTHING except in your imagination.

It is even worse, perhaps sociopathic in that over-the-top self-righteousness, to claim you are a "better human being" just for having taken a morse code test...as an AMATEUR. You seem to look down your royal nose at all who wish to remove the code test from amateur radio licensing. Especially so when you cannot establish your bona fides of "long-term experience" supposedly in radio beyond amateur activities.

>You're not worth my time.

Obviously not, "your majesty." :-)

Here's a suggestion: Drop the "outraged" act and start thinking about the SUBJECT, not your own emotionalism. One good way to make you feel better to yourself is to find a morsemanship-support group. Such a group can sit around and praise one another. Makes all in the group warm and fuzzy holding the same opinion. Its also a way to hold off the future and any changes in regulations, but only within your own fantasies.

An alternative is to just LEAVE rec.radio.amateur.policy. Few in here see you as the Final Arbiter of what is "good" and what is "bad" in amateurism.

Leave or stay. Your choice. Matters not to me. Government will continue - in a democratic-process fashion - to serve ALL citizens, not just one group of radio spectrum users. A group, I might add, that is a distinct MINORITY of all radio users.

Think on that. [few pro-coders do]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3413. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 20:14:30 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1155932070.166805.39250@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7293
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
an old friend wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: Al Klein on Wed, Aug 16 2006 6:15 pm
> >
> > >On 15 Aug 2006 23:21:32 -0700, "LenAnder...@ieee.org"
> > ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
> > >>From: Al Klein on Tues, Aug 15 2006 5:35 am
> > >>Groups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
> > >>rec.radio.scanner, rec.radio.swap
> > >>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
> > >>>>From: Al Klein on Sun, Aug 13 2006 9:15 pm
> > >>>>>On 12 Aug 2006 18:58:18 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > >>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >>>>>>> How did capacitors escape getting color coded?
> > >>>>>>sshhhhh bb don't ask such questions please
> > >
> > >>>>>Since a) you don't know the answer and b) they didn't.
> > >
> > >>>> Klein, you said you were an OF. Any olde-fahrt ought to KNOW that
> > >>>> silver-mica capacitors were color-dot-coded for about a quarter
> > >>>> century. [look in the 1976 ARRL Handbook] Those flat cases
> > >>>> were eventually displaced by dipped silver-mica.
> > >
> > >>>> Paper tubular capacitors in molded plastic tubular casings were
> > >>>> marked with color bands and were on the market for at least
> > >>>> 15 years, maybe 20...until aced out by ceramic disc capacitors
> > >>>> for general bypassing and coupling applications (by both tube
> > >>>> and transistor architecture electronics).
> > >
> > >>>> ANYONE with hands-on experience in electronics between 1950
> > >>>> and about 1970 would KNOW that. [okay, folks, looks like
> > >>>> there's another imposter here...at least this one isn't
> > >>>> trying to pass hisself off as some marine NCO...:-)
> > >
> > >>>Try reading what I wrote.
> > >
> > >> Tsk, Klein, you don't write enough to read.
> > >
> > >I'm not the one who misread "capacitors didn't *ESCAPE* getting color
> > >coded" for "capacitors didn't *GET* color coded" - YOU DID!
> >
> > Nice attempt at misdirection, but a very old technique. :-)
> >
```

> > That sort of misdirection is puerile (meaning childish).
> > If you have some bona fides on English grammar and some
> > false idea that ALL must be literal with NO departure from
> > such literalness, please state them. Otherwise go into
> > auto-fornication mode since we ain't buyin that, homie. :-)
> >
> > Let's reprise. First you state that capacitors were never
> > color coded. You got called on that and corrected by more
> > than myself. Secondly, you've never admitted being wrong or
> > corrected. Third, you try to (badly) convince others that
> > those who corrected your statement are "wrong" or "at fault."
> >
> > Amazing. You make mistakes and then try to convince all that
> > those mistakes never happened or that it is "wrong" to try to
> > correct your mistakes! :-)
>
> who that we know does that Sound Like Len
>
> remind you of a certain exMarine we know and loathe

It's a "sort-of" reminder, Mark, but let's clear up something:
I don't personally "loathe" this "exmarine." That person
isn't worth much in here, always insulting those who just
disagree with his viewpoint. It's not possible to have any
sort of dialogue with Major Dud. :-)

It's pretty much the same with all the self-righteous, ultra-
conservative (about the code test) pro-coders. Sigh.

As to Klein, all he seems to want in here is to FIGHT. In
that regard he is a clone of Robeson and first cousin to
several others in here. He is too haughty to correspond
with anyone who disagrees with him.

Perhaps someone once jammed an IC above his arm?
He has been going around "with a chip on his 'shoulder'"
ever since... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3414. Re: license renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 23:00:39 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1155942039.645138.164160@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7294
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

From: Pin-medic on Fri, Aug 18 2006 10:30 am

>I agree Bob, and maybe we'd have better operators as a result. Considering
>the waning interest in our dying hobby though, all that would probably do is
>eliminate even more operators from the ranks, leading the FCC to reduce our
>bands even more by selling them to the highest bidder.

1. The FCC has NOT "reduced 'your' bands," nor is it somehow
threatening to "sell them" to anyone. Had you bothered to
look at this process of AUCTIONING certain COMMERCIAL bands
and the Congressional laws establishing it, you would have
seen that it does NOT apply to radio amateurs.

2. Since WARC-79 'you' have gotten MORE BANDS and that has
increased up to a few years ago when 'you' got the five
60m channels.

3. There doesn't seem to be any "waning interest" in USA
amateur radio considering the overall licensee numbers.
The newcomer licensees are - just managing - to keep the
licensee numbers in numeric bouyancy, almost keeping pace
with those letting their licenses lapse. See www.hamdata.
com FCC data page.

4. "Dying hobby" must be entirely subjective since there is
no real sign that amateur radio equipment and supplies
companies are closing down nor has the ARRL threatened to
dissolve their $15M per annum profit publishing business.
Since 1990 three INDEPENDENT amateur radio publications
have ceased, primarily due to lack of advertising revenue,
NOT for interest in the hobby.

>Ham radio was so much better back in the late 70's, when I first became
>licensed. People were polite, and the radio had a mystique to it.

I find that highly subjective, primarily in comparison to the
times of the mid-50s. Let's look at the "mystique" of the
late 1970s insofar as radio communications are concerned:

1. Communications satellites were well-established for
international video-audio relay with thousands of
circuits for voice and data. More would come in the
next three decades until the geosynchronous orbit
positions were filled before the 1990s were over.

2. HF spectrum users, the majority being commercial-government prior to the 1970s, were LEAVING HF for more reliable 24/7 circuit throughput IN the beginning of the 1970s. The peak use of HF occurred during the mid-1950s to 1960.

3. Tens of thousands of radio communications licensees (with all their users and equipment) were ALREADY up and communicating from low-VHF on up. That would continue to grow in the next three decades until the FCC and NTIA had to expand the VHF-and-up spectrum for such radio services as PLMRS (Private Land Mobile Radio Services), maritime radio (for private boat owners and inland-waterway and harbor comms).

4. MILLIONS of Citizen Band radios existed, CB (on HF) was created in 1958, two decades before the late-1970s. Licensing of CB had been dropped and the off-shore radio makers had established themselves in the radio market, including CB.

5. "Radio" was KNOWN to the general public as a means to communicate and had been known for decades. "Known" in a sense beyond the obvious of TV and AM and FM broadcasting and international telecasts. The public did NOT "know" that morse code modes were used except perhaps for watching the last of the "Westerns" on TV and old WW2 movies.

6. In the mid-1950s the BIG communicators on HF were already in a process of change of mode. Teleprinter data was the overwhelming majority mode for written communications for commercial and government users of the ONLY long-haul comm circuits. Microwave radio relay for both short and long distances on land had already begun. Only the maritime world held to their morse code modes with, rarely, voice on AM.

7. In the late 1970s 'you' already had solid-state HF radios (transmitters barely reaching 100 W PEP) with the beginnings of synthesized frequencies. VFOs, now reliable and stable, were a standard feature for frequency control. In the mid-1950s frequency control of the then-standard tube type radios was essentially one-crystal/one-frequency type unless one could afford a very expensive (then) "Collins" (or equivalent) manufactured radio.

8. The Semiconductor Era had already begun in ALL of electronics and new techniques and methods were the order of the day in comparison to the limitations of tube architecture in the mid-1950s. In that regard, there IS a "mystique."

I would state that a vast cornucopia of things were
suddenly opened by the many-plateau advances in
electronics technology, sometimes happening at a
rate of advancement that was near overwhelming.
Having been a part of the electronics industry for
53 years I not only saw it but was immersed in it,
doing it while keeping up with it.

>With the
>internet and the immediate gratification that everyone seems to expect these
>days, Ham Radio is undesirable; having to hunt for contacts when you can
>just IM someone? Having to work for a license? Not anymore, no one wants to
>put any effort into anything when they have an easier alternative.

Unless there has been some "stealth" action of government
to redefine FCC rules, amateur radio has ALWAYS been considered
a non-commercial AVOCATION. It is a HOBBY without having to be
stated as such in Parts 1 and 97 of Title 47 C.F.R. There is NO
legal necessity to "WORK" for a hobby pursuit, is there?

Absolutely YES, I will opt for an "easier alternative" to
ANYTHING! When I began in Big-Time HF radio communications,
the "easier alternative" ALREADY existed in the products of
Teletype Corporation. The teleprinter had already displaced
manual morse code modes for the majority of messaging even
before WW2 (in business and government and the military).

So, if 'we' all do this 'work' thing, who is going to
give 'us' this permission to exist on the same planet as
all you extras? Would 'we' be FIRED if 'we' didn't
'work?" [delusions of Donald Trump there?]

Ah..."not enough EFFORT being put forth" by us not-licensed-
in-the-AMATEUR-radio-service? Right...some of us have been
professionals in radio and electronics for decades, WITHOUT
any morsemanship tests! Even though we've put our own time
into keeping up with radio and electronics for all those
decades (without renumeration for those efforts), that isn't
good enough for you? Here, have a [rude Italian hand gesture]

The FCC was NEVER chartered as an academic institution and
those valued [suitable for framing] licenses are NOT "grades
earned" (after all that 'work'). The job of the FCC is just
regulate, mitigate ALL civil radio in the USA. Mere 'tenure'
as a radio amateur does NOT make someone better nor does all
the snarling about "nobody wants to 'work' for their license"
change anything. You want the US amateur radio regulations
to FREEZE in those old days ways? Fine, send in your
proposal to the FCC and tell them why it should remain in
the freezer. They MUST, by law, examine ALL proposals
submitted by citizens. [I wouldn't expect that they actually
put forth an NPRM on keeping regs on ice, but they WILL
look at it]

>Unfortunately, the good old days are gone, and ham radio is in the sunset of
>its existence.

1. Take your sunglasses off or step out from behind the
curtains. It is a BRIGHT day with sun HIGH in the sky
for amateur radio...unless you are one of the olde-
tymers who refuse to acknowledge change.

2. There exist new medications that can alleviate depression
and, perhaps, a feeling of ennui. See your doctor about
that.

3. "The good old days are gone?" THANK GOD! I was IN those
'good old days' and am damn glad that radio and electronics
has improved, gotten easier, enabled us (except morsemen)
to do more and better things! I look FORWARD to each and
every improvement that makes things EASIER! I've already
done lots of things with lots of personal labor and I
sincerely APPRECIATE whatever labor-saving, work-saving
things that are available now.

Hey, if you WORK real hard, study real hard, maybe you can
come up with a TIME MACHINE! Yeah, right, it could take you
back to those "good old days" that you seem to like so much.
Like back to a time when "ham" was a pejorative expressed by
professional morsemen? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3415. Re: license renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 23:26:32 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <I0sFg.5553$Sn3.944@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7295
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Rayburn" <rayburnsweet@alltel.net> wrote in
news:a2b40$44e27421$8b371664$17268@ALLTEL.NET:

> Just the excuse I need to let my "CODED" extra ticket go lapse....with
> stupid fucking assholes like slow code only on the bands then who in
> their right fucking mind would want to talk to the lowlife cocksuckers?
>
> 30 wpm with ease!
>
> RAYBURN

Will we see your equipment on eBay, or are you gonna take it
to a pawn shop?

SC

3416. Re: license renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 23:26:38 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <O0sFg.5555$Sn3.2667@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7296
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1155942039.645138.164160@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

> From: Pin-medic on Fri, Aug 18 2006 10:30 am
>
>>I agree Bob, and maybe we'd have better operators as a result.
>>Considering the waning interest in our dying hobby though, all that
>>would probably do is eliminate even more operators from the ranks,
>>leading the FCC to reduce our bands even more by selling them to the
>>highest bidder.
>
> 1. The FCC has NOT "reduced 'your' bands," nor is it somehow
> threatening to "sell them" to anyone. Had you bothered to
> look at this process of AUCTIONING certain COMMERCIAL bands
> and the Congressional laws establishing it, you would have
> seen that it does NOT apply to radio amateurs.
>
> 2. Since WARC-79 'you' have gotten MORE BANDS and that has
> increased up to a few years ago when 'you' got the five
> 60m channels.
>
> 3. There doesn't seem to be any "waning interest" in USA
> amateur radio considering the overall licensee numbers.
> The newcomer licensees are - just managing - to keep the
> licensee numbers in numeric bouyancy, almost keeping pace
> with those letting their licenses lapse. See www.hamdata.
> com FCC data page.
>
> 4. "Dying hobby" must be entirely subjective since there is
> no real sign that amateur radio equipment and supplies
> companies are closing down nor has the ARRL threatened to
> dissolve their $15M per annum profit publishing business.
> Since 1990 three INDEPENDENT amateur radio publications
> have ceased, primarily due to lack of advertising revenue,
> NOT for interest in the hobby.
>
>>Ham radio was so much better back in the late 70's, when I first became
>>licensed. People were polite, and the radio had a mystique to it.
>
> I find that highly subjective, primarily in comparison to the
> times of the mid-50s. Let's look at the "mystique" of the
> late 1970s insofar as radio communications are concerned:
>

> 1. Communications satellites were well-established for
> international video-audio relay with thousands of
> circuits for voice and data. More would come in the
> next three decades until the geosynchronous orbit
> positions were filled before the 1990s were over.
>
> 2. HF spectrum users, the majority being commercial-
> government prior to the 1970s, were LEAVING HF for more
> reliable 24/7 circuit throughput IN the beginning of
> the 1970s. The peak use of HF occurred during the mid-
> 1950s to 1960.
>
> 3. Tens of thousands of radio communications licensees
> (with all their users and equipment) were ALREADY
> up and communicating from low-VHF on up. That would
> continue to grow in the next three decades until the
> FCC and NTIA had to expand the VHF-and-up spectrum for
> such radio services as PLMRS (Private Land Mobile
> Radio Services), maritime radio (for private boat
> owners and inland-waterway and harbor comms).
>
> 4. MILLIONS of Citizen Band radios existed, CB (on HF)
> was created in 1958, two decades before the late-1970s.
> Licensing of CB had been dropped and the off-shore
> radio makers had established themselves in the radio
> market, including CB.
>
> 5. "Radio" was KNOWN to the general public as a means to
> communicate and had been known for decades. "Known"
> in a sense beyond the obvious of TV and AM and FM
> broadcasting and international telecasts. The public
> did NOT "know" that morse code modes were used except
> perhaps for watching the last of the "Westerns" on
> TV and old WW2 movies.
>
> 6. In the mid-1950s the BIG communicators on HF were
> already in a process of change of mode. Teleprinter
> data was the overwhelming majority mode for written
> communications for commercial and government users
> of the ONLY long-haul comm circuits. Microwave radio
> relay for both short and long distances on land had
> already begun. Only the maritime world held to their
> morse code modes with, rarely, voice on AM.
>
> 7. In the late 1970s 'you' already had solid-state HF
> radios (transmitters barely reaching 100 W PEP) with
> the beginnings of synthesized frequencies. VFOs,
> now reliable and stable, were a standard feature for
> frequency control. In the mid-1950s frequency control
> of the then-standard tube type radios was essentially

> one-crystal/one-frequency type unless one could afford
> a very expensive (then) "Collins" (or equivalent)
> manufactured radio.
>
> 8. The Semiconductor Era had already begun in ALL of
> electronics and new techniques and methods were the
> order of the day in comparison to the limitations of
> tube architecture in the mid-1950s. In that regard,
> there IS a "mystique."
>
> I would state that a vast cornucopia of things were
> suddenly opened by the many-plateau advances in
> electronics technology, sometimes happening at a
> rate of advancement that was near overwhelming.
> Having been a part of the electronics industry for
> 53 years I not only saw it but was immersed in it,
> doing it while keeping up with it.
>
>>With the
>>internet and the immediate gratification that everyone seems to expect
>>these days, Ham Radio is undesirable; having to hunt for contacts when
>>you can just IM someone? Having to work for a license? Not anymore, no
>>one wants to put any effort into anything when they have an easier
>>alternative.
>
> Unless there has been some "stealth" action of government
> to redefine FCC rules, amateur radio has ALWAYS been considered
> a non-commercial AVOCATION. It is a HOBBY without having to be
> stated as such in Parts 1 and 97 of Title 47 C.F.R. There is NO
> legal necessity to "WORK" for a hobby pursuit, is there?
>
> Absolutely YES, I will opt for an "easier alternative" to
> ANYTHING! When I began in Big-Time HF radio communications,
> the "easier alternative" ALREADY existed in the products of
> Teletype Corporation. The teleprinter had already displaced
> manual morse code modes for the majority of messaging even
> before WW2 (in business and government and the military).
>
> So, if 'we' all do this 'work' thing, who is going to
> give 'us' this permission to exist on the same planet as
> all you extras? Would 'we' be FIRED if 'we' didn't
> 'work?" [delusions of Donald Trump there?]
>
> Ah..."not enough EFFORT being put forth" by us not-licensed-
> in-the-AMATEUR-radio-service? Right...some of us have been
> professionals in radio and electronics for decades, WITHOUT
> any morsemanship tests! Even though we've put our own time
> into keeping up with radio and electronics for all those
> decades (without renumeration for those efforts), that isn't
> good enough for you? Here, have a [rude Italian hand gesture]

>
> The FCC was NEVER chartered as an academic institution and
> those valued [suitable for framing] licenses are NOT "grades
> earned" (after all that 'work'). The job of the FCC is just
> regulate, mitigate ALL civil radio in the USA. Mere 'tenure'
> as a radio amateur does NOT make someone better nor does all
> the snarling about "nobody wants to 'work' for their license"
> change anything. You want the US amateur radio regulations
> to FREEZE in those old days ways? Fine, send in your
> proposal to the FCC and tell them why it should remain in
> the freezer. They MUST, by law, examine ALL proposals
> submitted by citizens. [I wouldn't expect that they actually
> put forth an NPRM on keeping regs on ice, but they WILL
> look at it]
>
>>Unfortunately, the good old days are gone, and ham radio is in the
>>sunset of its existence.
>
> 1. Take your sunglasses off or step out from behind the
> curtains. It is a BRIGHT day with sun HIGH in the sky
> for amateur radio...unless you are one of the olde-
> tymers who refuse to acknowledge change.
>
> 2. There exist new medications that can alleviate depression
> and, perhaps, a feeling of ennui. See your doctor about
> that.
>
> 3. "The good old days are gone?" THANK GOD! I was IN those
> 'good old days' and am damn glad that radio and electronics
> has improved, gotten easier, enabled us (except morsemen)
> to do more and better things! I look FORWARD to each and
> every improvement that makes things EASIER! I've already
> done lots of things with lots of personal labor and I
> sincerely APPRECIATE whatever labor-saving, work-saving
> things that are available now.
>
> Hey, if you WORK real hard, study real hard, maybe you can
> come up with a TIME MACHINE! Yeah, right, it could take you
> back to those "good old days" that you seem to like so much.
> Like back to a time when "ham" was a pejorative expressed by
> professional morsemen? :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
pass all elements required for their license class.

2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.

3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

Let's take back ham radio.

73

3417. It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 23:26:40 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Q0sFg.5556$Sn3.866@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7297
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Glen Overby <coreSPAMsample@charter.net> wrote in
news:oa8cr3-v5v2.ln1@monolith.nodomain:

> slow code <my.mail@no.spam> flamed:
>>Anyone going to visit the FCC website please leave them these ideas in
>>their comment box, Thanks.
>
> Leave them yourself. Enter your comments with dots and dashes.
>
>>3-Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
> Thats too whimpy. Morse code = Ham Radio, right?
>
> Make it 20wpm for general, 30wpm for Extra.
>
> Require extras to answer 100% on ALL questions of ALL element question
> pools in on ONE sitting with no multiple choice. You're not "extra" if
> you can't do that.
>
> Stop granting type acceptance to any radio that can transmit or receive
> on the extra portion of the band (just like the rule for the cell phone
> frequencies). Extras should be building radios, not buying them.
>
>>4-Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> Eliminate the no code license, wait ten years for the hobby to die, then
> give 2.3ghz to WiFI, 5.7 to WiMAX and auction the rest off to the
> highest bidder.
>
> Looking forwards to working you on the 10ghz-and-up contest this
> weekend, Glen

Sheeesh.

3418. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die? - Mark, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 23:26:48 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Y0sFg.5561$Sn3.617@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7298
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1155932070.166805.39250@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> an old friend wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: Al Klein on Wed, Aug 16 2006 6:15 pm
>> >
>> > >On 15 Aug 2006 23:21:32 -0700, "LenAnder...@ieee.org"
>> > ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
>> > >>From: Al Klein on Tues, Aug 15 2006 5:35 am
>> > >>Groups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
>> > >>rec.radio.scanner, rec.radio.swap
>> > >>>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
>> > >>>>From: Al Klein on Sun, Aug 13 2006 9:15 pm
>> > >>>>>On 12 Aug 2006 18:58:18 -0700, "an old friend"
>> > >>>>><kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> > >>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > >>>>>>> How did capacitors escape getting color coded?
>> > >>>>>>sshhhhh bb don't ask such questions please
>> > >>>
>> > >>>>>Since a) you don't know the answer and b) they didn't.
>> > >>>
>> > >>>> Klein, you said you were an OF. Any olde-fahrt ought to KNOW
>> > >>>> that silver-mica capacitors were color-dot-coded for about a
>> > >>>> quarter century. [look in the 1976 ARRL Handbook] Those flat
>> > >>>> cases were eventually displaced by dipped silver-mica.
>> > >>>
>> > >>>> Paper tubular capacitors in molded plastic tubular casings
>> > >>>> were marked with color bands and were on the market for at
>> > >>>> least 15 years, maybe 20...until aced out by ceramic disc
>> > >>>> capacitors for general bypassing and coupling applications (by
>> > >>>> both tube and transistor architecture electronics).
>> > >>>
>> > >>>> ANYONE with hands-on experience in electronics between 1950
>> > >>>> and about 1970 would KNOW that. [okay, folks, looks like
>> > >>>> there's another imposter here...at least this one isn't
>> > >>>> trying to pass hisself off as some marine NCO...:-)
>> > >>>
>> > >>>>>Try reading what I wrote.
>> > >>>
>> > >> Tsk, Klein, you don't write enough to read.

>> > >
>> > >I'm not the one who misread "capacitors didn't *ESCAPE* getting
>> > >color coded" for "capacitors didn't *GET* color coded" - YOU DID!
>> >
>> > Nice attempt at misdirection, but a very old technique. :-)
>> >
>> > That sort of misdirection is puerile (meaning childish).
>> > If you have some bona fides on English grammar and some
>> > false idea that ALL must be literal with NO departure from
>> > such literalness, please state them. Otherwise go into
>> > auto-fornication mode since we ain't buyin that, homie. :-)
>> >
>> > Let's reprise. First you state that capacitors were never
>> > color coded. You got called on that and corrected by more
>> > than myself. Secondly, you've never admitted being wrong or
>> > corrected. Third, you try to (badly) convince others that
>> > those who corrected your statement are "wrong" or "at fault."
>> >
>> > Amazing. You make mistakes and then try to convince all that
>> > those mistakes never happened or that it is "wrong" to try to
>> > correct your mistakes! :-)
>>
>> who that we know does that Sound Like Len
>>
>> remind you of a certain exMarine we know and loathe
>
> It's a "sort-of" reminder, Mark, but let's clear up something:
> I don't personally "loathe" this "exmarine." That person
> isn't worth much in here, always insulting those who just
> disagree with his viewpoint. It's not possible to have any
> sort of dialogue with Major Dud. :-)
>
> It's pretty much the same with all the self-righteous, ultra-
> conservative (about the code test) pro-coders. Sigh.
>
> As to Klein, all he seems to want in here is to FIGHT. In
> that regard he is a clone of Robeson and first cousin to
> several others in here. He is too haughty to correspond
> with anyone who disagrees with him.
>
> Perhaps someone once jammed an IC above his arm?
> He has been going around "with a chip on his 'shoulder'"
> ever since... :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>

Mark, Just ignore them.

They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.

Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look more stupid.

Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your moon bounce some more.

SC

3419. Re: cease, desist and grow up - Mark, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 23:31:43 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <z5sFg.5594$Sn3.1943@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7299
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1155868528.387517.185650
@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:

>
> kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
> cease, desist and grow up
>
>

Mark, Just ignore them.

They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.

Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
more stupid.

Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
moon bounce some more.

SC

3420. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent - Mark, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 23:33:42 UTC
From: Slow code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <q7sFg.5604$Sn3.2691@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7300
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1155904683.125798.227790@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:

>
> an old fraud wrote:
>
>> cease desist tryong to speak for Houghton county
>
> "tryong?" HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
> They already have received too many complaints about your trash filled
> yard, Markie!
>
>

Mark, Just ignore them.

They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.

Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
more stupid.

Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
moon bounce some more.

SC

3421. Re: Whine, Markqueer, whine! - Mark, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 23:33:59 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <H7sFg.5605$Sn3.2730@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7201
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:kugbe299dcr8b0mf0i2fjcdv3lpfshm2ta@4ax.com:

> On 18 Aug 2006 05:44:37 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>an old freindless cocksucking parrot wrote:
>>> cease
>>
>>STFU, spammer!
> cease desists and grow up
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

Mark, Just ignore them.

They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.

Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
more stupid.

Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
moon bounce some more.

SC

3422. Re: Poor Markie! - Mark, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 23:34:16 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Y7sFg.5606$Sn3.4853@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7202
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:atgbe2hf9ohhjcl500r8mkb7fd8ot69ajv@4ax.com:

> On Fri, 18 Aug 2006 08:56:55 -0400, jawod <jawod@fuse.net> wrote:
>
>>Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>>> an old friendless cocksucking child molester wrote:
>>>
>>>>cease
>>>
>>>
>>> Cry, you illiterate cunt, cry!
>>>
>>Nobody reads your ridiculous posts
> be fiar I certainly do so do you
>
> it is good for laughs mosts day laughing a rueshaking of the head
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

Mark, Just ignore them.

They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.

Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
more stupid.

Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
moon bounce some more.

SC

3423. Re: Poor Markie! - Mark, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 23:34:32 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <c8sFg.5607$Sn3.2632@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7203
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1155904856.192887.112830@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> an old friendless cocksucking child molester wrote:
>> cease
>
> Cry, you illiterate cunt, cry!
>
>

Mark, Just ignore them.

They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.

Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
more stupid.

Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
moon bounce some more.

SC

3424. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die? - Mark, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 23:34:50 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <u8sFg.5608$Sn3.3853@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7204
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1155853578.502660.314550@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

> From: Al Klein on Wed, Aug 16 2006 6:15 pm
>
>>On 15 Aug 2006 23:21:32 -0700, "LenAnder...@ieee.org"
>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
>>>From: Al Klein on Tues, Aug 15 2006 5:35 am
>>>Groups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
>>>rec.radio.scanner, rec.radio.swap
>>>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
>>>>From: Al Klein on Sun, Aug 13 2006 9:15 pm
>>>>>On 12 Aug 2006 18:58:18 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com>
>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>> How did capacitors escape getting color coded?
>>>>>>sshhhhh bb don't ask such questions please
>>
>>>>>Since a) you don't know the answer and b) they didn't.
>>
>>>>> Klein, you said you were an OF. Any olde-fahrt ought to KNOW that
>>>>> silver-mica capacitors were color-dot-coded for about a quarter
>>>>> century. [look in the 1976 ARRL Handbook] Those flat cases
>>>>> were eventually displaced by dipped silver-mica.
>>
>>>>> Paper tubular capacitors in molded plastic tubular casings were
>>>>> marked with color bands and were on the market for at least
>>>>> 15 years, maybe 20...until aced out by ceramic disc capacitors
>>>>> for general bypassing and coupling applications (by both tube
>>>>> and transistor architecture electronics).
>>
>>>>> ANYONE with hands-on experience in electronics between 1950
>>>>> and about 1970 would KNOW that. [okay, folks, looks like
>>>>> there's another imposter here...at least this one isn't
>>>>> trying to pass hisself off as some marine NCO...:-)
>>
>>>>Try reading what I wrote.
>>
>>> Tsk, Klein, you don't write enough to read.
>>
>>I'm not the one who misread "capacitors didn't *ESCAPE* getting color
>>coded" for "capacitors didn't *GET* color coded" - YOU DID!

>
> Nice attempt at misdirection, but a very old technique. :-)
>
> That sort of misdirection is puerile (meaning childish).
> If you have some bona fides on English grammar and some
> false idea that ALL must be literal with NO departure from
> such literalness, please state them. Otherwise go into
> auto-fornication mode since we ain't buyin that, homie. :-)
>
> Let's reprise. First you state that capacitors were never
> color coded. You got called on that and corrected by more
> than myself. Secondly, you've never admitted being wrong or
> corrected. Third, you try to (badly) convince others that
> those who corrected your statement are "wrong" or "at fault."
>
> Amazing. You make mistakes and then try to convince all that
> those mistakes never happened or that it is "wrong" to try to
> correct your mistakes! :-)
>
> Here's some more to chew on:
>
> RFCs (Radio Frequency Chokes, inductors) in axial-lead plastic
> tubular packages are STILL marked with color-code bands. There's
> a MIL SPEC on that as all "long-time design engineers" should
> know; such parts are even used in commercial market electronics.
> It's really irrelevant HOW capacitors are marked as long anyone
> using them can know their value and working voltage and
> tolerance and apply them properly.
>
> There are 7 (seven) amateur radio licensees in the USA that could
> answer to "Al Klein." Are you one of those?
>
> I can say without hesitation that I am NOT a licensed amateur.
> I am a licensed commercial-professional in radio and have been
> so for 50 years, beginning in military 24/7 big-time HF
> communications 53 1/2 years ago. I have all sorts of valid
> documentation on that and some in here have seen some of that.
> Do you have ANYTHING in the way of ID? On the Internet?
>
> Or, are you going to scribble meaningless misdirections in here,
> attempting to portray some personal "outrage" for being
> corrected? Especially about a well-known electronic component
> identification method which you don't seem to know yet others
> can verify?
>
> I'll just put you down as an IMPOSTER poster, one of those
> wanna-bees who might never have been anything but really,
> really wants to be someone. That's up to you. I don't care.
> I've seen your kind on the Internet, on the Bulletin Board
> Systems since 1984. None have anything worthwhile to

> contribute but all wanting to be a SOMEBODY on screens.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>

Mark, Just ignore them.

They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.

Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look more stupid.

Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your moon bounce some more.

SC

3425. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent - Mark, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 23:35:07 UTC
From: Slow code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <L8sFg.5609$Sn3.562@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7205
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:2cgbe2phjn9d5icaefk9pkffbcqe5dkpa4@4ax.com:

> On 18 Aug 2006 05:38:03 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>an old fraud wrote:
>>
>>> cease desist tryong to speak for Houghton county
>>
>>"tryong?" HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
>>They already have received too many complaints about your trash filled
>>yard, Markie!
> from you in the past few weeks no doubt
> cease desists and grow up
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

Mark, Just ignore them.

They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.

Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
more stupid.

Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
moon bounce some more.

SC

3426. Re: See Markie spam - Mark, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-18 23:35:23 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <%8sFg.5610$Sn3.1396@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7206
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1155818202.744970.180190@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com:

>
> MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>Ce
>
> See Markie Spam.

Mark, Just ignore them.

They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.

Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
more stupid.

Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
moon bounce some more.

SC

3427. Re: license renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-19 00:48:08 UTC
From: Rayburn <rayburnsweet@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <c4739$44e65fb8$8b370cbe$21424@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 7207
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Will give it to a buddy across town.
"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:I0sFg.5553$Sn3.944@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "Rayburn" <rayburnsweet@alltel.net> wrote in
> news:a2b40$44e27421$8b371664$17268@ALLTEL.NET:
>
>> Just the excuse I need to let my "CODED" extra ticket go lapse....with
>> stupid fucking assholes like slow code only on the bands then who in
>> their right fucking mind would want to talk to the lowlife cocksuckers?
>>
>> 30 wpm with ease!
>>
>> RAYBURN
>
>
>
> Will we see your equipment on eBay, or are you gonna take it
> to a pawn shop?
>
> SC

3428. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-19 00:56:04 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1155948964.809708.129860@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7208
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Had you wanted to be "civil" about it, you could have simply
> acknowledged your mistake, stopped trying to build a Mt. Everest
> out of a teaspoon of sand, and gone on with life. You did not.
> You have MANUFACTURED a dispute, insulted your challengers, and
> implied a number of things, all without any referencible data.

Very Robesonesque.

3429. Re:Mark Morgan's is a kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-19 00:57:24 UTC
From: cletis_morkullia@mail2Army.com
Message-ID: <1155949044.622666.107380@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7209
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.anal

Slow Code wrote:
> I hope the rest of you will consider doing the same.
>
> Let's stop teasing Mark, and help me with the five steps below.
> Thanks. 73.
>
> SC
>
>
> Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.
>
>
> Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>
>
> Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
>
> Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
>
> Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> to improve things.

Thanks for setting the tard straight,S.C.

I sent your most perfect idea to the fcc,sir.

Also canceled my membership with the league the first time I read yor
post.

3430. Iowa frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-19 01:29:25 UTC
From: arcadefreaque@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1155950965.054275.270480@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7210

Can anybody give me advice on which frequencies I should be targeting for my first scanner purchase - in Oskaloosa, Iowa?

Do I need digital, or is my area mostly analog? I'm looking to start out cheap, but don't want to start out disappointed, so any advice on frequencies and analog/vs digital for my specific area would be helpful.

thx

3431. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-19 02:07:15 UTC
From: wa0kbz <wa0kbz@charter.net>
Message-ID: <TBJFg.78$j25.23@newsfe07.lga>
Source record: 7222
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Glen
Don't know if I 100% agree with your code speeds but I do thing the NO Code
should be for 1 year and none renewable.
I had to take my license in front of the FCC and not so VE who may or may
not help you out to get a license.
As I am a big VHFer I don't know how these NO Code guys are going to
understand beacons. They are all code and most at 10 ~ 20 wpm. I guess the
next thing will be, they will want all beacons either digital or voice. YUK!
Not up in the GHZ range yet but maybe some day.
73, Bill, WA0KBZ

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:Q0sFg.5556$Sn3.866@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Glen Overby <coreSPAMsample@charter.net> wrote in
> news:oa8cr3-v5v2.ln1@monolith.nodomain:
>
>> slow code <my.mail@no.spam> flamed:
>>>Anyone going to visit the FCC website please leave them these ideas in
>>>their comment box, Thanks.
>>
>> Leave them yourself. Enter your comments with dots and dashes.
>>
>>>3-Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>>
>> Thats too whimpy. Morse code = Ham Radio, right?
>>
>> Make it 20wpm for general, 30wpm for Extra.
>>
>> Require extras to answer 100% on ALL questions of ALL element question
>> pools in on ONE sitting with no multiple choice. You're not "extra" if
>> you can't do that.
>>
>> Stop granting type acceptance to any radio that can transmit or receive
>> on the extra portion of the band (just like the rule for the cell phone
>> frequencies). Extras should be building radios, not buying them.
>>
>>>4-Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>>
>> Eliminate the no code license, wait ten years for the hobby to die, then
>> give 2.3ghz to WiFI, 5.7 to WiMAX and auction the rest off to the
>> highest bidder.
>>

>> Looking forwards to working you on the 10ghz-and-up contest this
>> weekend, Glen
>
>
> Sheeesh.
>
>

3432. Re: Iowa frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-19 02:18:00 UTC
From: arcadefreaque@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1155953879.995346.70120@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7211

arcadefreaque@gmail.com wrote:
> Can anybody give me advice on which frequencies I should be targeting
> for my first scanner purchase - in Oskaloosa, Iowa?
>
> Do I need digital, or is my area mostly analog? I'm looking to start
> out cheap, but don't want to start out disappointed, so any advice on
> frequencies and analog/vs digital for my specific area would be
> helpful.
>
> thx

Okay, so I find my frequencies here -
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&ctid=846

but I still can't tell if its digital or analog. Any hints there?

Thx

3433. Re: Iowa frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-19 02:45:26 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <5kuce2haig308f90p1hf2219v90jnfs3ua@4ax.com>
Source record: 7212

On 18 Aug 2006 19:18:00 -0700, arcadefreaque@gmail.com wrote:

>arcadefreaque@gmail.com wrote:
>> Can anybody give me advice on which frequencies I should be targeting
>> for my first scanner purchase - in Oskaloosa, Iowa?
>>
>> Do I need digital, or is my area mostly analog? I'm looking to start
>> out cheap, but don't want to start out disappointed, so any advice on
>> frequencies and analog/vs digital for my specific area would be
>> helpful.

>Okay, so I find my frequencies here -
>http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&ctid=846

>but I still can't tell if its digital or analog. Any hints there?

Everything there is analog (even the RACOM trunked system you see the link to at the top) with the exception of the Alliant Energy system which, being iDen, can't be monitored with any existing scanner. So a Pro97 or a Uniden 246 or 330 (or even a Pro95) will do just fine. Whichever scanner you decide to get, get the programming cable (Unidens come with one) and some programming software (there are trial versions of the good ones, Uniden, ARC and Starrsoft).

3434. Re: Iowa frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-19 03:02:18 UTC
From: arcadefreaque@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1155956538.508176.52120@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7213

Al Klein wrote:
> On 18 Aug 2006 19:18:00 -0700, arcadefreaque@gmail.com wrote:
>
> Everything there is analog (even the RACOM trunked system you see the
> link to at the top) with the exception of the Alliant Energy system
> which, being iDen, can't be monitored with any existing scanner. So a
> Pro97 or a Uniden 246 or 330 (or even a Pro95) will do just fine.
> Whichever scanner you decide to get, get the programming cable
> (Unidens come with one) and some programming software (there are trial
> versions of the good ones, Uniden, ARC and Starrsoft).

Thanks for the reply/info. I'm thinking of starting with a PRO-2052
since they are on sale for a hundred bucks right now. It sounds like
that will work for now then. It has the serial in/out so that I can
program it and get the frequency info for streaming (so the wife and I
can both listen and see frequency info from work).

Now you have me thinking though, the pro97 is not much more expensive
and it's pretty portable. I wonder if I'll actually utilize the
ability to grab the frequency info for streaming.. or maybe the
portability would be better... *sigh* decisions... decisions..

I figure after I get "hooked", I'll be looking for something a little
nicer, but if one of these will work for now, that's cool.

Thanks again!

3435. Looking for Freqs & Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-19 05:41:42 UTC
From: Eric Ferguson <GEFERG.KBHR@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <qwxFg.9184$%j7.508@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 7214
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Here's the picture...My Mother is going on a cruise in December. I've been trying to get her into scanning. The cruise starts in Sydney Australia IIRC, and then proceeds around the New Zealand Islands.

I'm going to program in the international maritime frequencies and the on board ship frequencies (I may not have all of those BTW)

Haven't googled yet (but will). What would be good frequencies to program in while she cruises and is in port(s) other than the VHF Marine freqs? Especially anything she could hear on the ship, coming from the land.

She'll be using my Pro-95 (on which *I* still haven't figured out the trunking...sigh) and she is definitely *not* technically minded. I'll just be teaching her how to lock/unlock channels and banks, use the squelch and volume control. I'll do *all* the programming.

Thanks for any and all suggestions....Hmm maybe I'll throw in both her airports of departure....Something to listen to while she's waiting <g>

Eric

--
Tune Out .KBHR to reply me

3436. Re: Scan Victoria NOW OPEN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-19 07:16:39 UTC
From: fastea@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1155971799.174513.4470@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7215

i signed up and then was banned in a matter of moments, I wonder if its
cause my password was

keepingsuckscock

:)
webmaster@scanvictoria.com wrote:
> Scan Victoria is now officially re-open.
> In order to access the information that is now provided, free
> registration is required.
> The information you provide will be kept in confidence, and will not be
> used or passed on to any authorised person(s).
>
> A new gallery has been implemented, with some great photos.
> Including the new digital + encrypted radio's in VicPol Metro cars,
> also a shot of the MDT's in action. This is backed with the speed
> camera's ect.
>
> Whilst that should be enough, I have taken it upon myself to provide a
> database that you wont find anywhere else on the internet. Has 10,000+
> records.
> No more information will be provided on here, but if you sign up its
> awaiting in the downloads section for you to download it !! I am quite
> certain this will be a massive hit across australia.
>
> If that isnt enough, then there are some new recordings I have added.
>
> So what are you waiting for?
> Sign Up Today and join in the action !
>
> Website: www.scanvictoria.com
> Email: webmaster@scanvictoria.com
>
> Many thanks to all.

3437. Re: license renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-19 14:04:20 UTC
From: Allodoxaphobia <bit-bucket@config.com>
Message-ID: <slrneee6j4.fto.bit-bucket@shell.config.com>
Source record: 7216
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Please, God, let this thread die!

3438. Re: license renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-19 15:29:02 UTC
From: Bill <Bill@birchnet.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <4+ofJ40X3y5EFwn3@birchnet.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 7217
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

In message <slrneee6j4.fto.bit-bucket@shell.config.com>, Allodoxaphobia
<bit-bucket@config.com> writes
>
> Please, God, let this thread die!

A prayer often said, rarely answered.
--
Bill

3439. Idaho Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-19 16:07:53 UTC
From: "PonderosaSports.com" <cliff@ponderosasports.com>
Message-ID: <1156003673.401421.30440@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7218

Are you a serious scanner?

I will trade "ACTIVE" frequencies in the Boise County and Ada County
of Idaho.

3440. Re: license renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-19 16:25:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <hreee29p96gp13tp51v0dbldj0qeq4migc@4ax.com>
Source record: 7219
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 19 Aug 2006 15:29:02 GMT, Bill <Bill@birchnet.demon.co.uk>
wrote:

>In message <slrneee6j4.fto.bit-bucket@shell.config.com>, Allodoxaphobia
><bit-bucket@config.com> writes
>>
>> Please, God, let this thread die!
>
>A prayer often said, rarely answered.
nope always answered

generaly however the answer is NO
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3441. Re: Watson W-901 Air-Gainer antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-19 17:09:34 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <iBHFg.2176$q63.142@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 7220

Price reduced to $25.00 plus shipping

This antenna really pulls in those weak civil and milair signals!

Frank

"Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:kBODg.629$q63.480@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> Dedicated VHF/UHF Civil & Military Airband Antenna w/BNC connector. Rubber
> duck for handheld scanners. 8-1/2" in length. Works great! With original
> plastic sleeve. I have 2 of them. I only need one.
>
>
> Price is $30.00 plus shipping (CONUS only)
>
>

3442. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-19 19:20:30 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1156015230.748436.86760@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7221
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > Had you wanted to be "civil" about it, you could have simply
> > acknowledged your mistake, stopped trying to build a Mt. Everest
> > out of a teaspoon of sand, and gone on with life. You did not.
> > You have MANUFACTURED a dispute, insulted your challengers, and
> > implied a number of things, all without any referencible data.
>
> Very Robesonesque.

Hello Brian,

This "dispute manufacturing" technique probably predates Robeson
by centuries... :-)

Anyway, it is an old, old technique of computer-modem comms and
was seen on ARPANET back before the first BBSs existed.

It's a way of bluff by the "manufacturer" to get around actually
replying to some challenge made by others. That's usually
accompanied by the manufacturer's veiled or outright personal
insults levelled against the challenger. Robeson uses the latter
more than the former.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3443. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die? - Mark, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-19 19:29:30 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1156015770.026900.177290@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7223
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
> news:1155853578.502660.314550@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> > From: Al Klein on Wed, Aug 16 2006 6:15 pm
> >
> >>On 15 Aug 2006 23:21:32 -0700, "LenAnder...@ieee.org"
> >><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
> >>>From: Al Klein on Tues, Aug 15 2006 5:35 am
> >>>Groups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
> >>>rec.radio.scanner, rec.radio.swap
> >>>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
> >>>>From: Al Klein on Sun, Aug 13 2006 9:15 pm
> >>>>>On 12 Aug 2006 18:58:18 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com>
> >>>>>wrote:
> >>>>>>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>>>> How did capacitors escape getting color coded?
> >>>>>>>sshhhhh bb don't ask such questions please
> >>
> >>>>>Since a) you don't know the answer and b) they didn't.
> >>
> >>>>> Klein, you said you were an OF. Any olde-fahrt ought to KNOW that
> >>>>> silver-mica capacitors were color-dot-coded for about a quarter
> >>>>> century. [look in the 1976 ARRL Handbook] Those flat cases
> >>>>> were eventually displaced by dipped silver-mica.
> >>
> >>>>> Paper tubular capacitors in molded plastic tubular casings were
> >>>>> marked with color bands and were on the market for at least
> >>>>> 15 years, maybe 20...until aced out by ceramic disc capacitors
> >>>>> for general bypassing and coupling applications (by both tube
> >>>>> and transistor architecture electronics).
> >>
> >>>>> ANYONE with hands-on experience in electronics between 1950
> >>>>> and about 1970 would KNOW that. [okay, folks, looks like
> >>>>> there's another imposter here...at least this one isn't
> >>>>> trying to pass hisself off as some marine NCO...:-)
> >>
> >>>>Try reading what I wrote.
> >>
> >>> Tsk, Klein, you don't write enough to read.
> >>
> >>I'm not the one who misread "capacitors didn't *ESCAPE* getting color

> >>coded" for "capacitors didn't *GET* color coded" - YOU DID!
> >
> > Nice attempt at misdirection, but a very old technique. :-)
> >
> > That sort of misdirection is puerile (meaning childish).
> > If you have some bona fides on English grammar and some
> > false idea that ALL must be literal with NO departure from
> > such literalness, please state them. Otherwise go into
> > auto-fornication mode since we ain't buyin that, homie. :-)
> >
> > Let's reprise. First you state that capacitors were never
> > color coded. You got called on that and corrected by more
> > than myself. Secondly, you've never admitted being wrong or
> > corrected. Third, you try to (badly) convince others that
> > those who corrected your statement are "wrong" or "at fault."
> >
> > Amazing. You make mistakes and then try to convince all that
> > those mistakes never happened or that it is "wrong" to try to
> > correct your mistakes! :-)
> >
> > Here's some more to chew on:
> >
> > RFCs (Radio Frequency Chokes, inductors) in axial-lead plastic
> > tubular packages are STILL marked with color-code bands. There's
> > a MIL SPEC on that as all "long-time design engineers" should
> > know; such parts are even used in commercial market electronics.
> > It's really irrelevant HOW capacitors are marked as long anyone
> > using them can know their value and working voltage and
> > tolerance and apply them properly.
> >
> > There are 7 (seven) amateur radio licensees in the USA that could
> > answer to "Al Klein." Are you one of those?
> >
> > I can say without hesitation that I am NOT a licensed amateur.
> > I am a licensed commercial-professional in radio and have been
> > so for 50 years, beginning in military 24/7 big-time HF
> > communications 53 1/2 years ago. I have all sorts of valid
> > documentation on that and some in here have seen some of that.
> > Do you have ANYTHING in the way of ID? On the Internet?
> >
> > Or, are you going to scribble meaningless misdirections in here,
> > attempting to portray some personal "outrage" for being
> > corrected? Especially about a well-known electronic component
> > identification method which you don't seem to know yet others
> > can verify?
> >
> > I'll just put you down as an IMPOSTER poster, one of those
> > wanna-bees who might never have been anything but really,
> > really wants to be someone. That's up to you. I don't care.
> > I've seen your kind on the Internet, on the Bulletin Board

> > Systems since 1984. None have anything worthwhile to
> > contribute but all wanting to be a SOMEBODY on screens.
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> Mark, Just ignore them.

Hey, "Slow," you might want to check your message headers
a bit more carefully. I am not Mark. Neither am I a "mark"
for con games. :-)

> They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
> follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.

Oh, my, another one with "stupid." Tsk, tsk, tsk. :-)

> Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
> more stupid.

Yup, a fledgling "dispute manufacturer" busy practicing...

> Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
> moon bounce some more.

"Slow," I've been involved in radio for 53 years. Most of that time
as a professional. As a part of that, I once "worked" a station
ON the moon. No bounce needed. Quarter million mile DX.
Can you top that as an amateur? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3444. Re: DTMF decoder from OPTO not working

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-19 19:33:25 UTC
From: labtech1 <labtech1@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <b84f$44e76735$438c601a$23488@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 7224

Same thing happened to mine. The display seems to be a standard PAGER
display. I was thinking if I can find a similar pager, just swapping the
display out.

"Phil" <pal531TheCat@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:_%5Fg.9104$xp2.614@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> I have a Techtoyz from Optoelectronics Micro DTMF decoder that has been
> sitting in a drawer for several years that I just tried to use today. I
put
> in a fresh battery and it did come on but displayed fragmented and
> incomplete characters. So I switched it to receive and hit redial on a
phone
> and it did read the number dialed, but again displayed fragmented
> characters. When switched to recall, it displays the incomplete
characters.
> There does not seem to be a visible user reset button on the unit.
>
> Any ideas?
> Is this worth repairing and is there actually anyone that would repair
such
> an item?
> Or should I just toss it?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Phil
>
>

3445. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-19 21:18:35 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156022315.584445.177400@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7225
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
> > news:1155853578.502660.314550@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> > Mark, Just ignore them.
>
> Hey, "Slow," you might want to check your message headers
> a bit more carefully. I am not Mark. Neither am I a "mark"
> for con games. :-)
it seems that Slowcode think I am some secret maniolator (or is just
realy stupid about programing jammer bots
>
> > They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
> > follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.
>
> Oh, my, another one with "stupid." Tsk, tsk, tsk. :-)
>
> > Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
> > more stupid.
>
> Yup, a fledgling "dispute manufacturer" busy practicing...
>
> > Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
> > moon bounce some more.
>
> "Slow," I've been involved in radio for 53 years. Most of that time
> as a professional. As a part of that, I once "worked" a station
> ON the moon. No bounce needed. Quarter million mile DX.
> Can you top that as an amateur? :-)
I csn about match that Lenn not quite but close
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

3446. Re: Scan Victoria NOW OPEN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-19 22:58:39 UTC
From: fastea@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1156028319.365942.160460@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7226

webmaster@scanvictoria.com wrote:
> I cannot view your passwords.
> However, you are banned because you are stalking my family.

Where is your proof on this, you liar.

3447. Re: Idaho Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-20 02:29:17 UTC
From: "PonderosaSports.com" <cliff@ponderosasports.com>
Message-ID: <1156040957.387641.278660@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7227

Evan Platt wrote:
> >Are you a serious scanner?
>
> No, I'm an alive human.

So why respond to the request if you have nothing relevent to
contribute?

3448. Re: license renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-20 03:29:54 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1156044594.166730.207150@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

From: Slow Code on Fri, Aug 18 2006 4:26 pm

>"LenAnder...@ieee.org" <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in
>> From: Pin-medic on Fri, Aug 18 2006 10:30 am

>>>I agree Bob, and maybe we'd have better operators as a result.
>>>Considering the waning interest in our dying hobby though, all that
>>>would probably do is eliminate even more operators from the ranks,
>>>leading the FCC to reduce our bands even more by selling them to the
>>>highest bidder.
>
>> 1. The FCC has NOT "reduced 'your' bands," nor is it somehow
>> threatening to "sell them" to anyone. Had you bothered to
>> look at this process of AUCTIONING certain COMMERCIAL bands
>> and the Congressional laws establishing it, you would have
>> seen that it does NOT apply to radio amateurs.

Hey, "Slow," no comment on that?

>> 2. Since WARC-79 'you' have gotten MORE BANDS and that has
>> increased up to a few years ago when 'you' got the five
>> 60m channels.

1979 was TWENTY-SEVEN YEARS AGO.

>> 3. There doesn't seem to be any "waning interest" in USA
>> amateur radio considering the overall licensee numbers.
>> The newcomer licensees are - just managing - to keep the
>> licensee numbers in numeric bouyancy, almost keeping pace
>> with those letting their licenses lapse. See www.hamdata.
>> com FCC data page.

Still no comment, "Slow?" :-)

>1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.

Wow...you will be REALLY popular with VEs...FCC too...

>2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.

Wayyyy too low. 100%! Nothing less...

>3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

Wayyyy too low. 30 WPM for General, 80 WPM for Extra!

>4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

Make it two weeks.

It's fairly obvious you've just alienated half of your "brotherhood"! [better armor your lead-in...]

>Let's take back ham radio.

Why? Was it kidnapped? Lost along with Apollo 11 data?

BRING BACK SPARK! The very first RF transmitter in amateur radio! Preserve the past! Honor "sparky" tradition!

Hey, "Slow," here's a better idea: Let's get you to a cryogenic chamber and FREEZE you and your longing for times of your youth. You want everything FROZEN, don't you? Cool? Cool...

Pax.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3449. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-20 03:41:30 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1156045290.227782.28180@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7229
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: an old friend on Sat, Aug 19 2006 2:18 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> Slow Code wrote:
>> > "LenAnder...@ieee.org" <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in
>> > news:1155853578.502660.314550@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>> > > LenAnder...@ieee.org
>
>> > Mark, Just ignore them.
>
>> Hey, "Slow," you might want to check your message headers
>> a bit more carefully. I am not Mark. Neither am I a "mark"
>> for con games. :-)
>
>it seems that Slowcode think I am some secret maniolator (or is just
>realy stupid about programing jammer bots

He seems CONFUSED. Maybe that's a result of hearing all
that beeping morse code? :-)

He sent his "reply" to me TWICE... tsk,tsk :-)

>> "Slow," I've been involved in radio for 53 years. Most of that time
>> as a professional. As a part of that, I once "worked" a station
>> ON the moon. No bounce needed. Quarter million mile DX.
>> Can you top that as an amateur? :-)
>
>I csn about match that Lenn not quite but close

Noooooo. I worked a STATION on the moon, namely one of the
ALSEP (Apollo Lunar Surface Experiment Package). Sent a
command to the SWS (Solar Wind Spectrometer) part, got the
response back on earth. Two-way. The ALSEPs are now
silent, nobody can work them. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3450. Re: Looking for Freqs & Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-20 04:02:05 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <blnfe218adtn0jbsior5q05m01t1tcojsm@4ax.com>
Source record: 7230
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 19 Aug 2006 05:41:42 GMT, "Eric Ferguson"
<GEFERG.KBHR@prodigy.net> wrote:

>She'll be using my Pro-95 (on which *I* still haven't figured out the
>trunking...sigh)

You may want to try
<http://myweb.cableone.net/marksscanners/95/95.html>

3451. Re: Idaho Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-20 04:03:20 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <3nnfe21idcclhov0pr91lvgg4vfbagj1jd@4ax.com>
Source record: 7231

On 19 Aug 2006 19:29:17 -0700, "PonderosaSports.com"
<cliff@ponderosasports.com> wrote:

>
>Evan Platt wrote:
>> >Are you a serious scanner?
>>
>> No, I'm an alive human.
>
>So why respond to the request if you have nothing relevent to
>contribute?

He did have something relevant to contribute, but if you don't want to
go to the relevant link he gave you it's your loss.

3452. Re: Idaho Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-20 15:34:09 UTC
From: "PonderosaSports.com" <cliff@ponderosasports.com>
Message-ID: <1156088049.243484.134760@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7232

Al Klein wrote:
> He did have something relevant to contribute, but if you don't want to
> go to the relevant link he gave you it's your loss.

Apparently you are not an serious scanner in the Boise county either.

I did not ask for a reprint of free listings off the FCC site?

3453. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-20 16:59:08 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1156093148.686146.230750@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7233
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > > Had you wanted to be "civil" about it, you could have simply
> > > acknowledged your mistake, stopped trying to build a Mt. Everest
> > > out of a teaspoon of sand, and gone on with life. You did not.
> > > You have MANUFACTURED a dispute, insulted your challengers, and
> > > implied a number of things, all without any referencible data.
> >
> > Very Robesonesque.
>
> Hello Brian,
>
> This "dispute manufacturing" technique probably predates Robeson
> by centuries... :-)
>
> Anyway, it is an old, old technique of computer-modem comms and
> was seen on ARPANET back before the first BBSs existed.
>
> It's a way of bluff by the "manufacturer" to get around actually
> replying to some challenge made by others. That's usually
> accompanied by the manufacturer's veiled or outright personal
> insults levelled against the challenger. Robeson uses the latter
> more than the former.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

His, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio." would make a good,
quick, clean case study for some grad student of psychology. It has
all of the elements of that pathology and google serves it up in
seconds.

3454. Easier to Read BR330T/BCD396T Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-20 17:12:18 UTC
From: marksscanners@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1156093937.970427.195110@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7234

I have finish my manual for the BR330T/BCD396T scanners:

http://myweb.cableone.net/marksscanners/330/330test.html

3455. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-20 17:34:16 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1156095256.617771.181000@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7235
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >
> > > > Had you wanted to be "civil" about it, you could have simply
> > > > acknowledged your mistake, stopped trying to build a Mt. Everest
> > > > out of a teaspoon of sand, and gone on with life. You did not.
> > > > You have MANUFACTURED a dispute, insulted your challengers, and
> > > > implied a number of things, all without any referencible data.
> > >
> > > Very Robesonesque.
> >
> > Hello Brian,
> >
> > This "dispute manufacturing" technique probably predates Robeson
> > by centuries... :-)
> >
> > Anyway, it is an old, old technique of computer-modem comms and
> > was seen on ARPANET back before the first BBSs existed.
> >
> > It's a way of bluff by the "manufacturer" to get around actually
> > replying to some challenge made by others. That's usually
> > accompanied by the manufacturer's veiled or outright personal
> > insults levelled against the challenger. Robeson uses the latter
> > more than the former.
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> His, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio." would make a good,
> quick, clean case study for some grad student of psychology. It has
> all of the elements of that pathology and google serves it up in
> seconds.

Quite true, Brian. Those of us who were here 1 to 2 years ago
had an eyefull of his continuous - but faulty - efforts to "tell" us
all about His fantasy of things. :-)

Mainly it was his abject refusal to back down when faced with
definitive directives by the government (DoD) in regard to the
Military Affiliate Radio System. Weeks went by without his
admitting that the Directive existed. His final communication
on the subject would NOT openly admit to error but was laced
with more personal insults on his challengers. Sad.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3456. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-20 20:55:55 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1156107355.126445.201060@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7236
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Had you wanted to be "civil" about it, you could have simply
> > > > > acknowledged your mistake, stopped trying to build a Mt. Everest
> > > > > out of a teaspoon of sand, and gone on with life. You did not.
> > > > > You have MANUFACTURED a dispute, insulted your challengers, and
> > > > > implied a number of things, all without any referencible data.
> > > >
> > > > Very Robesonesque.
> > >
> > > Hello Brian,
> > >
> > > This "dispute manufacturing" technique probably predates Robeson
> > > by centuries... :-)
> > >
> > > Anyway, it is an old, old technique of computer-modem comms and
> > > was seen on ARPANET back before the first BBSs existed.
> > >
> > > It's a way of bluff by the "manufacturer" to get around actually
> > > replying to some challenge made by others. That's usually
> > > accompanied by the manufacturer's veiled or outright personal
> > > insults levelled against the challenger. Robeson uses the latter
> > > more than the former.
> > >
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> > His, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio." would make a good,
> > quick, clean case study for some grad student of psychology. It has
> > all of the elements of that pathology and google serves it up in
> > seconds.
>
> Quite true, Brian. Those of us who were here 1 to 2 years ago
> had an eyefull of his continuous - but faulty - efforts to "tell" us
> all about His fantasy of things. :-)

Little Billy Beeper had him pegged - he's nuts.

> Mainly it was his abject refusal to back down when faced with
> definitive directives by the government (DoD) in regard to the
> Military Affiliate Radio System.
```

Such complete ignorance of MARS, yet somehow, he claims that he was the
Assistant NCOIC of a NMC MARS Station on Okinawa. Simply unbeleivable.

> Weeks went by without his
> admitting that the Directive existed. His final communication
> on the subject would NOT openly admit to error but was laced
> with more personal insults on his challengers. Sad.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Accusations and insults. Whichever grad student locks on to him first
is one lucky SOB. All the work is done.

3457. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-20 21:57:29 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1156111049.458067.33380@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Thurs, Aug 10 2006 8:48 pm
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
> rec.radio.scanner, rec.radio.swap
>
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
> >> On 9 Aug 2006 19:14:54 -0700, hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >
> >> >You couldn't be more wrong. If there were practical exams for SSB, FM,
> >> >AM, FSTV, SSTV, RTTY (which is pretty darned old), packet, PSK, etc,
> >> >then it would be CRYSTAL clear that a Morse Code exam is valid.
> > >
> >> >However, there are no such practical exams for the other modes. So
> >> >there need be no exam for Morse Code, either.
> > >
> >> That's my point - there's no test any longer. For anything more than
> >> the ability to memorize answers.
> > >
> >Lots of memorization was required in your day. It's only a bad thing
> >in 1992 to present. I think I get your drift...
>
> Selective amnesia. "No one had to memorize anything" prior 1992.
> Not in grade school, not in college, not in industry, not in
> real life. Strange perception...

Selective amnesia...

With the advent of the No-Code Technician license, memorization became
a bad, bad thing.

> >Ummm? There's no Morse Code test anymore?
>
> The International Morse Code test for United States amateur
> radio license classes General and Extra have NEVER GONE AWAY.
>
> That is especially true in the perception of the ARRL which
> still manages to insert the "necessities" for morsemanship
> in nearly everything it publishes. It's been six decades
> since Hiram Percy became ultimate DX but they still keep on
> with their demand that all [US] amateurs be proficient in
> that old mode.

Most issues of QST have a minimum of at least one walk down memory
lane, usually with a key or keyer in one hand.

> > The Conditional was whatever class was being tested for, but not at an
> > FCC office. It had nothing to do with the class, only with the
> > location.
>
> >> What current exam? Memorizing answers and writing them down isn't a
> >> test.
> >
> >So what is it that you fear?
>
> Klein fears CHANGE and, perhaps, feelings of obsolescence.

I think everyone has some of that to one degree or another. It's
unhealthy to allow that fear to paralyze you.

> Someone who has been a regular worker in electronics (radio is a
> subset of electronics) ought to damn well know and recognize
> that the state of the art in electronics has been CONSTANTLY
> changing. It's sometimes a chore to keep up, whether it be
> 1950 or 2000 or any time in-between.

There will be new challenges before us tomorrow, but we won't know
about them. We will still be arguing if a morse code exam is
necessary.

> >> > You'd probably be weeded out pretty quickly.
> >
> >> I doubt it - if I couldn't pass an Extra theory exam - a real one, not
> >> the nonsense that passes for one these days - I'd lose my job in a
> >> second.
> >
> >Mmmm. I see. You are a careerist in the electronics industry and it
> >pisses you off that hobbyists have equal "status" as you in amatuer
> >radio. I've run across a lot of that in the past 20 years...
>
> I've run across a lot of that my entire life. :-)
>
> I think Klein wants recognition as a "professional amateur" or
> "amateur professional." I'm not sure which...

He's a professional whiner.

> >> What if you addressed what I said when you answer me? Your dishonest
> >> tactics are transparent.
> >
> >You're the one that forgot the circuit, not me. Get pissed at your own
> >self.
>
> When in doubt of an effective reply, these Fundamentalist
> Morseodists
> must resort to some form of denigration. Sigh, they never learn...

My dishonest, transparent tactics...

Odd, saying what you mean and meaning what you say have become
dishonest.

> >> Quit putting words in my mouth. I wasn't complaining to anyone, and
> >> we weren't discussing remembering 50 year old tests.
> >
> >Correct. "WE" weren't discussing it. YOU were. YOU were discussing
> >how you can't draw what you can't remember.
>
> This is an indicator that Klein isn't used to computer-modem
> communications. He isn't looking beyond his own screen and
> understanding that others are separated from it in time and space.
> "He" was obviously talking about "old days" of "His." He is not
> considering that others do not share his viewpoints.

I regret to inform Mr Klein that I do not agree with him.

> Considering the Type of Oscillator and "names," he has put
> Names as somehow "essential" to the circuit. NO SUCH THING.
> An oscillator is simply an amplifier of just-barely-past-unity
> gain with positive feedback. The Names were tacked on by
> academics long, long ago as IDENTIFICATION of the general form
> of amplification-with-positive-feedback.

I'm surprised that Klein allows any feedback in his oscillator
circuits.

> One can build a Colpitts oscillator, make it work, and continue
> calling it a Hartley. Won't make a bit of difference to the
> circuit...electrons don't give a damn about human labels. They
> work by THEIR laws, not humans' with their imperative labels.
>
> By the way, on a quick bit of checking, I've got text references
> to about 11 different oscillator forms, not just two (with his
> unknown third type)...and I'm not counting free-running multi-
> vibrators which are also very much an "oscillator."

Talk of any kind of vibrator might draw inuendo from robesin.

> >> Maybe we should have one - show the ability to put a clean PSK signal
> >> on the air. Show the ability to interpret a waterfall display. Show
> >> the ability to tell the difference between various digital modes. The
> >> bands would be pretty QRM-free.
> >
> >YES!!!
>
> [no...]

Huh? Lets let the FCC tell us that it is impractical to have everyone
take mode exams. Or lets let the council of VECs tul us the same
thing.

> >If you are ever going to save your beloved Morse Code test, this is the
> >only way you're going to do it.
>
> The only way to "save his beloved morse code test" is to have the
> ARRL exercise some BETTER brainwashing than it has been doing for
> decades. The League is still trying to use its old persuasion
> and, so far, hasn't been able to get memberships from the 3/4 of
> all licensed US radio amateurs who are NOT ARRL members...

If the league pushes the morse testing issue too hard, it will become
obvious to the 25% that are members.

> >I think it is you who don't know where you're going with this
> >discussion. It's gone beyond your having grief over your favorite mode
> >to actually having to think about the future of the service.
> >Conggrats. Another couple of years of RRAP tutoring and you just might
> >become a rational being.
>
> I disagree, Brian. Klein is a MORSEMAN. They don't change.
> They are rooted in old days long gone, brainwashed early into
> thinking that morsemanship is "essential" to "best" radio
> communication. It isn't...easily proved by ALL the OTHER
> radio services giving up on morse code as a mode (if they
> had it once) or never requiring it since a radio service began.

Actuarial tables abound to deal with that kind of thinking.

> >> >Who said that? We absolutely NEED relevant exams. That is my whole
> >> >argument!
> >
> >> So you're in favor of exams that test knowledge of theory? "Draw the
> >> schematic of ..."? "Explain why long path 2400 bps is impossible on
> >> 14 MHz"? That kind of relevance?
> >
> >Sure. But you have to ask yourself one question. Can the average VE
> >administer such an exam? If not, can your average GS-7 FCC employee
> >administer such an exam? If you set up an exam that only an engineer
> >can administer, then your government isn't going to accept it. So be
> >realistic in your zeal.
>
> Klein hasn't considered the simple fact that, by law, the VEs
> do NOT have to be trained test-adminsters. They are simply
> VOLINTEERS who have the requisite license class and GIVE OF
> THEIR OWN TIME to adminster tests. VEs are accountable only
> to the FCC in that volunteer testing. VEs' only "penalty"
> in mis-administering an amateur test is a reduction in license
> class or forteiture of their amateur license.
>
> Klein and his "tests aren't like they were in 'my' time"
> bitchers and moaners HAD their chance to keep privatization
> in testing from happening long ago. Legal means to stop it
> by NPRM Commentary didn't make their case. Privatization

> happened for BOTH amateur and commercial licenses. Now
> their whine is long past its time and has turned to vinegar.

Yep. Testing must become more "legitimate" for hobbyists than for
professionals.

> >> Or the "pick the answer with the resistor like we showed you in the
> >> example" kind of relevance?
> >
> >The exam can be anything your VEC wants it to be. We learned this when
> >the ARRL went from administering a Morse Code Exam at 5WPM to
> >administering a Farnsworth Exam at 13-15WPM.
>
> True enough, Brian, but expect ten kinds of flak from the
> other morsepersons in here on that... :-)

Quack, Quack! Water off a duck's back.

> The VEC can LEGALLY generate a Question Pool with ONE HUNDRED
> times the minimum required number of questions. With electronic
> transmittal over the Internet the Question Pool can be updated
> within 24 hours to ALL VE groups.

But everytime the NCVEC solicits for questions and participants for the
QP revisions, guys like Klein are silent; absent.

> Say the FCC requires a minimum of 50 questions on a written
> test element. If the VEC QPC generates the Question-Answer
> pool with FIVE THOUSAND QUESTIONS (and answers), it should be
> obvious that mere "memorization" sufficient to pass that
> written test element is out of the question. Anyone who CAN
> memorize that prodigious amount is already gifted as an eidetic
> and those are extremely rare among humans.

Klein will claim that all are eidetic, and the new QP is unfair.

> What all that concentration on the "written tests" is about is
> just a DIVERSION to keep from replying on the singular morse
> code test continuation. The morsemen just haven't been able
> to come up with sufficently-valid reasons to keep the morse
> test (other than the emotional ones) so they smoke-screen by
> bringing up the writtens. Old tactic of theirs.

Old and tired.

> >> How do you draw a schematic
> >
> >Memorization.
>
> Correct.
>
> >> and explain the functions of parts by
> >> memorizing answers?

> >
> >Memorization.
>
> Correct again.
>
> >> You can't explain phase shift by memorizing "10k"
> >> or "coil".
> >
> >You can't memorize the def of phase shift?
> >
> >C'mon, aren't you supposed to be in the industry?
>
> We don't know WHERE, Brian, or for WHOM. :-)

Sounds like Jim.

> >> >> >I used radios in the military. I never used a CW key in the military.
> >> >> >I never jammed another operator, although Brandywine asked me to reduce
> >> >> >power once.
> >
> >> >> But you had to learn how to use the radios.
> >
> >> >I did?
> >
> >> They just gave you a radio and said "use it"?
> >
> >On/Off and PTT. What else is there???
>
> [ahem..."volume" and "squelch" to name two... :-)]
>
> >Oh, yeh, a magnetic compass and a chart where the satellite is.
>
> Darn you "kids!" Weren't any of those newfangled gizmos
> like "satellites" when I was in the Army. :-)

1957. The Russians. Sputnik. CW beacon signal on 20M.

And I wasn't born yet.

But the technilogy was worthwhile and moved forward - without morse
code.

> The AN/PRC-8 backpack VHF transceivers (one of which I wore
> in PIP Training) also had VFO frequency control along with a
> built-in "crystal calibrator." Nothing like the "channel
> selection" of a later synthesized AN/PRC-25 (also FM on VHF).
>
> Interesting engineering feat with that VFO control over a
> military temperature and vibration environment. Copied from
> the old SCR-300 "walkie-talkie" of WW2, devised by Motorola
> (also FM on VHF). But, I digress, that was Practical Theory
> as applied by professional engineering, used by professional

> military people...didn't have the majesty of AMATEURISM and
> all its nobility (and class distinctions).

Now we've got FM repeater satellites getting kicked out by the dozens.

> >> >> Hams today don't - they
> >> >> memorize a few answers, buy equipment and get on the air - with no
> >> >> understanding of what they're doing, and no desire to learn.
> >
> >> >Then it hasn't changed much since you were first licensed.
> >
> >> When I was licensed you had to show an understanding of theory, by
> >> answering questions that were more than just multiple choice from a
> >> published answer pool.
> >
> >Yes, you had to memorize paragraphs instead of multiple choices. Big
> >deal.
>
> Good grief, all that crying and wailing over Test Privatization!
> Maybe we should take up a collection to send him some Kleenex?

Robesin will interpret that as some kind of sexual inuendo.

> Seems to me that COLLEGE-level course tests that I took had a
> LOT of memorization. Maybe we should all slam the academic
> world for doing the same "memorization?" Hey, why not, all
> those who failed college level courses can get a Wailing Wall!

Bill Gates at the wailing wall?

> My state drivers' license testing is done from multiple-choice
> and that requires MUCH memorization of the applicable laws.
> While the CA DMV does not publish the EXACT answers, the have
> lots and lots of examples, not only well-publicized but available
> free in little booklets at each DMV office. Maybe Klein wants
> me to take an ME degree course in automotive engineering just
> to drive our Malibu MAXX? :-)

I sure hope he doesn't answer that question.

> >> >> You may, but I can see from many of the comments that have been posted
> >> >> here that a lot of people don't. They don't want to learn, they want
> >> >> to get on the air. Period.
> >
> >> >W3RV didn't wait to get a ham license before operating! He just wanted
> >> >to get on the air. Period.
> >
> >> Point?
> >
> >All you wonderful OF's taking trips down memory lane forget that some
> >of your brother hams were bootleggers.
> >
> >It's only the unwashed No-code Techs that operate illegally. Hi!!!

> >What a stinking load.
>
> Brian, if you check out the "official" history of the ARRL
> you will find out that they BEGAN in trying to circumvent
> the commercial telegram system with a relaying of messages
> past the commercial boundaries and FEES. If that were
> reported today, the journalists would call it "hacking."

Oh, oh.

> >> > If you must retain a Morse Code Exam, then you must
> >> >also administer practical exams for SSB, FM, AM, FSTV, SSTV, RTTY
> >> >(which is pretty darned old), packet, PSK, etc.
> >
> >> I have no problem with that.
> >
> >Then go for it.
> >
> >It is the ONLY legitimate recourse you have for retaining the Morse
> >Code exam.
> >
> >Best of luck.
>
> I hope he tries it. I'm anxious to find out how much hostility
> he will engender from his fellow amateurs who are VEs...how they
> have to spend many more hours (of their own time) in testing
> each license applicant (separately). Ought to go over like a
> concrete balloon...

Forced learning of Morse Code...

> >> Trained as an EE. Spent years designing RF circuitry, then went into
> >> digital design. "Is", not yet "was" - I'm still alive.
> >
> >Are you drawing a pension from it? "Was."
> >
> >Are you drawing a paycheck from it? "Is."
> >
> >And it's so typical for Old Timers to forget that not everyone in the
> >ARS are CAREERIST PROFESSIONALS. Bitching and Moaning about how
> >everyone else doesn't know as much as them.
>
> Klein has yet to define his own label, whether it is "professional
> amateur" or "amateur professional." He seems undecided.
>
> I'm one of the (chronological) Olde Fahrts in this group but I
> pray to God that I won't ever get as bad as some of them with
> their retro attitudes and fixations with modes of their long-
> ago youth, the ultra importance of CLASS and RANK. Geez.
>
> You'd think that some of them regard amateur radio like the

> USMC! ["the few, the ultra proud (of morsemanship)"]

I'm just a beginner. Passed my Novice Exam in November 1986.

> >> Let's have a test that
> >> shows whether the testee knows anything.
> >
> >Remember that you are handsomely compensated for your professional
> >knowledge. Amateur Radio is a non-compensated hobby.
>
> Some of these Olde Fahrts seem to think their amateurism is on
> some kind of "higher plane" than ordinary, plebian, work.
> They be BETTER than the pros and keep reinforcing each other
> with that pipe-dream. After all, the ARRL keeps reminding
> them of their greatness, their "service to their country"
> (by having their hobby). To hear them talk the nation would
> immediately fall apart without these federally-licensed
> hobbyists!

Don't know if you've heard yet, but the ARRL and robesin announced that
MARS and TSA have an agreement for armageddon communications.

> We'll have to get Mr. Webster to work coming up with a better
> definition of the hobby. Is it "professional amateurism" or
> "amateur professionalism?" I opt for the latter but others
> may differ.
>
> Beep, beep...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Didit.

3458. Re: Idaho Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-20 22:44:48 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <lbphe2tqa0a6jh61eunrsgp62psq88luo0@4ax.com>
Source record: 7238

On 20 Aug 2006 08:34:09 -0700, "PonderosaSports.com"
<cliff@ponderosasports.com> wrote:

>Apparently you are not an serious scanner in the Boise county either.

Apparently you aren't a serious scanner. If you were, you'd know that
RR is a database with most of the data supplied by "serious scanners"
in the area of the data.

But please don't bother - the noise level in RR is pretty low, and we
really don't need to increase it.

3459. Dumbing down licensing will just let in people that really don't want to be hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-20 22:50:37 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <1H5Gg.10923$xp2.2506@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7239
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

So why do it?

SC

3460. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent - Mark, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-20 22:52:35 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <TI5Gg.10974$xp2.5999@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7240
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote in
news:1155758166.990061.259160@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Moron@kb9rqz.com waits around to spam, since he has no job:
>> On 16 Aug 2006 12:34:13 -0700, peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>
>> >> >AC5-Webproxy69.direcpc.com (66.82.9.86)
>> >> >
>> >> so?
>> >
>> >So spamming like you are doing will make you lose that high speed
>> >connection.
>> no spamm from me just responding your harrassment and Robeson
>
> No, you are spamming. Now go relieve your shemale wife from sucking off
> your daddy.
>
>

Mark, Just ignore them.

They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.

Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
more stupid.

Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
moon bounce some more.

SC

3461. Re: id theft is crime -Mark, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-20 22:54:25 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <BK5Gg.10998$xp2.4009@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7241
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote in news:1155755878.737265.55800
@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com:

>
> an old freindless cocksucker wrote:
>> cease and desist your harrassment
>
> Cease breathing.
>
>

Mark, Just ignore them.

They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.

Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
more stupid.

Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
moon bounce some more.

SC

3462. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die? - Mark, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-20 22:54:42 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <SK5Gg.11001$xp2.10360@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7242
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:cso6e25qv543tvhsjhgtf6s2ksfocmn16c@4ax.com:

> On Wed, 16 Aug 2006 11:26:06 -0700, Penguin <penguin@south.pole>
> wrote:
>
>>.
> well at least it is not obviously a lie making it better than say one
> Robeson posts
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

Mark, Just ignore them.

They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.

Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
more stupid.

Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
moon bounce some more.

SC

3463. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent - Mark, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-20 22:58:05 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <1O5Gg.11040$xp2.10315@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7243
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:bu56e21q12cpnilqar4ntk8p8vcplfh7kn@4ax.com:

> On 16 Aug 2006 06:01:49 -0700, markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
> wrote:
>
>>
>>an old fraud wrote:
>>> cease and desist your harrassment
>>
>>AC5-Webproxy69.direcpc.com (66.82.9.86)
>>
> so?
> cease and desist your harrassment
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

Mark, Just ignore them.

They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.

Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
more stupid.

Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
moon bounce some more.

SC

3464. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-20 23:27:53 UTC
From: Jimmie D <jimmiedee@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Ld6Gg.30663$bo6.7667@bignews7.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 7244
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

>
> Yes. That's understandable. Hams these days don't want to act like hams,
> they like to be appliance operators. So kids don't see that CW is
> important and fun. All they see is hams gabbing on a microphone like any
> CB'er can do.
>
> SC

Actually a lot of tghe boy scouts know morse code, they still arent
intersted in ham radio.

3465. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-20 23:58:15 UTC
From: Jimmie D <jimmiedee@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <cG6Gg.30691$bo6.15034@bignews7.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 7245
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Dirk" <dj@qkd.net> wrote in message
news:30954.oidi09.d0904.ddoi0@qkd.org...
> Ham's care more about operating appliances than knowing how to save a
> lives.
>
> :-(
>

Hams could save more lives by supporting a blood drive than than standing by waiting for that emergency to use morse code.
I hear about hams supporting public service, my daughter DID 75 hrs of public service last year as a ten year old Girl Scout. I learned CW even got up to 20 wpm but I dont think it should be any more of a requirement than any other mode of operation. There is a lot of hams who may not be able to save anyones lives but they could save their own by turning off the radio for an hour and taking a walk

3466. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 00:08:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <84uhe21f29eecisk1i143m5nnllomsmmel@4ax.com>
Source record: 7246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 20 Aug 2006 14:57:29 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>> The VEC can LEGALLY generate a Question Pool with ONE HUNDRED
>> times the minimum required number of questions. With electronic
>> transmittal over the Internet the Question Pool can be updated
>> within 24 hours to ALL VE groups.
>
>But everytime the NCVEC solicits for questions and participants for the
>QP revisions, guys like Klein are silent; absent.

tell me have you ever sent any input to the NVEC?

get any reply?

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3467. Re: CW to be used in Beruit as only means of communication by Coast Guard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 00:32:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <knvhe25288ojot3u7f0vjfrusih61r8nr1@4ax.com>
Source record: 7247
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 31 Jul 2006 02:21:12 GMT, "Steve Stone"
<zzspfleck@citlink.netzz> wrote:

>The Coast Guard reported today that they will only use CW in Beruit.
>All other emissions will be ignored.
>
still no suport of this fraud
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3468. Re: Idaho Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 02:23:11 UTC
From: "PonderosaSports.com" <cliff@ponderosasports.com>
Message-ID: <1156126991.772963.229590@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7248

PonderosaSports.com wrote:
> Are you a serious scanner?
>
> I will trade "ACTIVE" frequencies in the Boise County and Ada County
> of Idaho.

Lets try a fresh approach.

Is anyone interested in recording weak signals emitting from remote
areas such as -

LOCATION: N44.02986 W116.03125 (NAD83)
FREQ: 218.000 - 218.999 MHz

At the same time as voice from the same general area -
FREQ: 34.000 - 34.999 MHz
FREQ: 159.000 - 159.999 MHz

3469. Re: Dumbing down licensing will just let in people that really don't want to be hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 02:35:23 UTC
From: Bakb0ne <bakb0ne@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156127723.634728.175500@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7249
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> So why do it?
>
> SC

Just wondering...

Do you really think what you post on a newsgroup is going to make ANY
difference in the real world...

Just because you bitch and moan on here, isnt going to make them revise
the licensing rules..

Get over it and move on...

3470. Re: Dumbing down licensing will just let in people that really don't want to be hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 03:06:27 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156129587.649254.66300@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7250
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Because Ham radio is dying. If you make it easier, you can attract more losers who may have joined earlier. Shortwave and Ham radio is dead. If you ask the man on the street what AM or FM is? They can answer you ,it"s what the hear on their car radio. The difference is the buttons on the radio. If you ask them what shortwave is? They would not have a fucking clue. It's DEAD. Get a life.
Slow Code wrote:
> So why do it?
>
> SC

3471. Re: Dumbing down licensing will just let in people that really don't want to be hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 03:17:33 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <ecb8epfuf1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 7051
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
--
Say no to institutionalized interference.
Just say NO to HD/IBOC!
"Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1156129587.649254.66300@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Because Ham radio is dying. If you make it easier, you can attract more
> losers who may have joined earlier. Shortwave and Ham radio is dead. If
> you ask the man on the street what AM or FM is? They can answer you
> ,it"s what the hear on their car radio. The difference is the buttons
> on the radio. If you ask them what shortwave is? They would not have a
> fucking clue. It's DEAD. Get a life.
> Slow Code wrote:
>> So why do it?

Maybe if you ask the average lame clueless bastard in the US. Shortwave and
amateur radio are alive and well and flourishing in other parts of the
world. Indeed, in many countries SW is still the system of choice to cover a
wide area.
```

3472. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 03:19:20 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1156130360.024398.156900@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7052
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Aug 20 2006 1:55 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> > His, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio." would make a good,
>> > quick, clean case study for some grad student of psychology. It has
>> > all of the elements of that pathology and google serves it up in
>> > seconds.
>
>> Quite true, Brian. Those of us who were here 1 to 2 years ago
>> had an eyefull of his continuous - but faulty - efforts to "tell" us
>> all about His fantasy of things. :-)
>
>Little Billy Beeper had him pegged - he's nuts.

True enough. :-(

>> Mainly it was his abject refusal to back down when faced with
>> definitive directives by the government (DoD) in regard to the
>> Military Affiliate Radio System.
>
>Such complete ignorance of MARS, yet somehow, he claims that he was the
>Assistant NCOIC of a NMC MARS Station on Okinawa. Simply unbeleivable.

It gets worse. Go to the QRZ bio page for K4YZ and use his
link to his AOL Home Page. There he claims not only an
Assistant "CHOP" (presumably CHief OPerator) but as THE
CHOP of another MARS station! He'd never mentioned being
at that 2nd MARS station in here.

>> Weeks went by without his
>> admitting that the Directive existed. His final communication
>> on the subject would NOT openly admit to error but was laced
>> with more personal insults on his challengers. Sad.
>
>Accusations and insults. Whichever grad student locks on to him first
>is one lucky SOB. All the work is done.

Yeah, but look at the MASSIVE outpouring of his claims, insults,
and generally vague, undetailed personal history of his. Whoever
takes it on will probably need a dozen file cabinets to store all

the printouts! :-)

[a fine example of today's Extra class amateur licensee...]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3473. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 03:45:55 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <44E92C73.8030509@fuse.net>
Source record: 7053
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>
> [a fine example of today's Extra class amateur licensee...]
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
You want to slam all Extras because of one lid? Perhaps that same psych
grad student has some prime real estate closer to (your) home?

3474. cease and desist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 05:55:45 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156139744.951107.285390@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7054
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> So why do it?
but nobody is proposing to do that
>
> SC

3475. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 06:04:11 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156140251.371223.239890@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7055
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

jawod wrote:
> >
> > [a fine example of today's Extra class amateur licensee...]
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> You want to slam all Extras because of one lid? Perhaps that same psych
> grad student has some prime real estate closer to (your) home?
well there Is Robeson and Wismen Heil is better than them but.. How
many example does one need?

right now the sample rate isn't very good of Extras that are not
members of NCI

given your non disclosed call status you certainly don't count

3476. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 06:17:17 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156141037.487249.100550@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7056
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:
> "Jimmie D" <jimmiedee@bellsouth.net> wrote in
> news:hwqAg.40256$Nt.22359@bignews8.bellsouth.net:
>

> Yes. That's understandable. Hams these days don't want to act like hams,
> they like to be appliance operators. So kids don't see that CW is
> important and fun.
that is becuase it isn't important and fun for some it is neither but
it is not important in the modern world
period

3477. Re: Iowa frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 06:32:57 UTC
From: humpzu22@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1156141977.296367.73340@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7057

Hi,

I was looking for scanner frequencies in my home area and found a site were you can get scanner frequencies for anywhere in the country (United States). I did have to pay a small fee, but it was well worth it. I got hundreds of frequencies from everything imagiable.

There site states they have Frequencies for business, police, sheriff, air force, airports, ambulance, army, civil defense, constables, disaster services, firefighters, forests, harbors, highway partrol, hospitals, house authority, lifeguards, marines, national parks, navy, paramedics, police, resue, school buses, sheriff, transit systems, troopers, aircraft, alarm companies, amusement parks, casinos, collges, conventions, country clubs, farm cooperatives, hotels, mail security, movie crews, newpapers, private investigators, public utilites, race crews, railroads, resorts, ski areas, sports events, stadiums, state fairs, taxis, towing.

I got alot of those with my order.

They even sell police scanners if you haven't already picked up one by now.

arcadefreaque@gmail.com wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > On 18 Aug 2006 19:18:00 -0700, arcadefreaque@gmail.com wrote:
> >
> > Everything there is analog (even the RACOM trunked system you see the
> > link to at the top) with the exception of the Alliant Energy system
> > which, being iDen, can't be monitored with any existing scanner. So a
> > Pro97 or a Uniden 246 or 330 (or even a Pro95) will do just fine.
> > Whichever scanner you decide to get, get the programming cable
> > (Unidens come with one) and some programming software (there are trial
> > versions of the good ones, Uniden, ARC and Starrsoft).
>
> Thanks for the reply/info. I'm thinking of starting with a PRO-2052
> since they are on sale for a hundred bucks right now. It sounds like
> that will work for now then. It has the serial in/out so that I can
> program it and get the frequency info for streaming (so the wife and I
> can both listen and see frequency info from work).
>
> Now you have me thinking though, the pro97 is not much more expensive
> and it's pretty portable. I wonder if I'll actually utilize the
> ability to grab the frequency info for streaming.. or maybe the
> portability would be better... *sigh* decisions... decisions..
>

> I figure after I get "hooked", I'll be looking for something a little
> nicer, but if one of these will work for now, that's cool.
>
> Thanks again!

3478. Re: Iowa frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 06:33:08 UTC
From: humpzu22@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1156141988.693400.178210@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7058

Hi,

I was looking for scanner frequencies in my home area and found a site were you can get scanner frequencies for anywhere in the country (United States). I did have to pay a small fee, but it was well worth it. I got hundreds of frequencies from everything imagiable.

There site states they have Frequencies for business, police, sheriff, air force, airports, ambulance, army, civil defense, constables, disaster services, firefighters, forests, harbors, highway partrol, hospitals, house authority, lifeguards, marines, national parks, navy, paramedics, police, resue, school buses, sheriff, transit systems, troopers, aircraft, alarm companies, amusement parks, casinos, collges, conventions, country clubs, farm cooperatives, hotels, mail security, movie crews, newpapers, private investigators, public utilites, race crews, railroads, resorts, ski areas, sports events, stadiums, state fairs, taxis, towing.

I got alot of those with my order.

They even sell police scanners if you haven't already picked up one by now.

www.scannersandmore.com

arcadefreaque@gmail.com wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > On 18 Aug 2006 19:18:00 -0700, arcadefreaque@gmail.com wrote:
> >
> > Everything there is analog (even the RACOM trunked system you see the
> > link to at the top) with the exception of the Alliant Energy system
> > which, being iDen, can't be monitored with any existing scanner. So a
> > Pro97 or a Uniden 246 or 330 (or even a Pro95) will do just fine.
> > Whichever scanner you decide to get, get the programming cable
> > (Unidens come with one) and some programming software (there are trial
> > versions of the good ones, Uniden, ARC and Starrsoft).
>
> Thanks for the reply/info. I'm thinking of starting with a PRO-2052
> since they are on sale for a hundred bucks right now. It sounds like
> that will work for now then. It has the serial in/out so that I can
> program it and get the frequency info for streaming (so the wife and I
> can both listen and see frequency info from work).
>
> Now you have me thinking though, the pro97 is not much more expensive
> and it's pretty portable. I wonder if I'll actually utilize the
> ability to grab the frequency info for streaming.. or maybe the

> portability would be better... *sigh* decisions... decisions..
>
> I figure after I get "hooked", I'll be looking for something a little
> nicer, but if one of these will work for now, that's cool.
>
> Thanks again!

3479. WTB: Yupiteru MVT-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 13:14:04 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <wkiGg.11774$kO3.2145@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 7059

Must be in good working condition. Box and manual would be nice, but not needed. Let me know price and condition.

Frank

3480. Re: DTMF decoder from OPTO not working

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 13:33:39 UTC
From: Phil <pal531TheCat@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <TCiGg.6794$Sn3.5283@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7060

I just ended up sticking it on ebay as a non-working item.

"labtech1" <labtech1@alltel.net> wrote in message
news:b84f$44e76735$438c601a$23488@ALLTEL.NET...
> Same thing happened to mine. The display seems to be a standard PAGER
> display. I was thinking if I can find a similar pager, just swapping the
> display out.
>
> "Phil" <pal531TheCat@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:_%5Fg.9104$xp2.614@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > I have a Techtoyz from Optoelectronics Micro DTMF decoder that has been
> > sitting in a drawer for several years that I just tried to use today. I
> put
> > in a fresh battery and it did come on but displayed fragmented and
> > incomplete characters. So I switched it to receive and hit redial on a
> phone
> > and it did read the number dialed, but again displayed fragmented
> > characters. When switched to recall, it displays the incomplete
> characters.
> > There does not seem to be a visible user reset button on the unit.
> >
> > Any ideas?
> > Is this worth repairing and is there actually anyone that would repair
> such
> > an item?
> > Or should I just toss it?
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Phil
> >
> >
>
>

3481. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 13:35:37 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156167337.571677.251390@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7061
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On 18 Aug 2006 05:38:03 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >an old fraud wrote:
> >
> >> cease desist tryong to speak for Houghton county
> >
> >"tryong?" HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
> >They already have received too many complaints about your trash filled
> >yard, Markie!
> from you in the past few weeks no doubt

I didn't have to Markie, the county already was well aware of your
hazard to public health.

>ce

Whine, faggot, whine.

3482. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 13:42:10 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156167730.763482.81330@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7062
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>

cease and desist

3483. Re: Poor Markie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 13:42:56 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156167776.418585.26880@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7063
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

jawod wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> > an old friendless cocksucking child molester wrote:
> >
> >>cease
> >
> >
> > Cry, you illiterate cunt, cry!
> >
> Nobody reads your ridiculous posts

You just did, obviously, dumbassed pizza boy.

3484. Re: Poor Markie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 13:45:41 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1156167941.257256.314290@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7064
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On Fri, 18 Aug 2006 08:56:55 -0400, jawod <jawod@fuse.net> wrote:
>
> >Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> >> an old friendless cocksucking child molester wrote:
> >>
> >>>cease
> >>
> >>
> >> Cry, you illiterate cunt, cry!
> >>
> >Nobody reads your ridiculous posts
> be fiar I certainly do so do you

Markie admits to being a bitch!

> it is good for laughs mosts day laughing a rueshaking of the head

In English, you illiterate lying fraud.

3485. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 13:46:49 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1156168009.645111.115040@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7065
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

an old friendless cocksucker wrote:
> cease and desist

Cry, you cock sucking faggot.

3486. cease and desist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 13:47:35 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156168055.849450.60970@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7066
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>
cease and desist

3487. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 13:48:44 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156168124.539646.128790@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7067
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

kb9rqz_child_moles...@yahoo.com wrote:
>
cease and desist

3488. Re: Idaho Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 14:13:25 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <vpfje2lik4rr7ece2k8t7t04emqk3ntjrr@4ax.com>
Source record: 7068

On 20 Aug 2006 19:23:11 -0700, "PonderosaSports.com"
<cliff@ponderosasports.com> wrote:

>PonderosaSports.com wrote:
>> Are you a serious scanner?
>>
>> I will trade "ACTIVE" frequencies in the Boise County and Ada County
>> of Idaho.
>
>Lets try a fresh approach.
>
>Is anyone interested in recording weak signals emitting from remote
>areas such as -
>
>LOCATION: N44.02986 W116.03125 (NAD83)
>FREQ: 218.000 - 218.999 MHz
>
>At the same time as voice from the same general area -
>FREQ: 34.000 - 34.999 MHz
>FREQ: 159.000 - 159.999 MHz

IOW, you're not really a serious scanner enthusiast. Maybe if someone
sent you the key to Fort Knox you could afford to rent enough clues to
be clueless.

3489. Re: Dumbing down licensing will just let in people that really don't want to be hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 14:34:08 UTC
From: EchoBlip <EB@ruckmail.com>
Message-ID: <AvjGg.9708$%j7.4910@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 7069
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> Because Ham radio is dying.

E V E R Y T H I N G D I E S

I also hear the buggy whip industry is doing pretty lousy except in
Lancaster, PA.

"Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1156129587.649254.66300@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Because Ham radio is dying. If you make it easier, you can attract more
> losers who may have joined earlier. Shortwave and Ham radio is dead. If
> you ask the man on the street what AM or FM is? They can answer you
> ,it"s what the hear on their car radio. The difference is the buttons
> on the radio. If you ask them what shortwave is? They would not have a
> fucking clue. It's DEAD. Get a life.
> Slow Code wrote:
>> So why do it?
>>
>> SC
>

3490. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 18:59:20 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1156186760.081649.97130@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7070
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

an old fraud wrote:
> ce

Marky, do your neighbors call you the local "Fred Sanford" with all the
junk and trash laying in your yard? That makes you a whigger.

3491. STFU, Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 19:00:49 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1156186849.689873.44950@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7071
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

an old friendless kiddie diddler wrote:
> ce

Dance, my little monkey boy, dance!

3492. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 19:25:57 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1156188357.153274.166100@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7072
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: jawod on Sun, Aug 20 2006 8:45 pm

>> [a fine example of today's Extra class amateur licensee...]
>
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org
>
>You want to slam all Extras because of one lid?

Tsk, tsk, tsk, anony-mousie "Jawod," that LID is doing the
"slamming," not I. :-)

Why are YOU so upset, "Jawod?" You don't exist. You are
a mere figment of your own imagination. The FCC doesn't
license figments...

>Perhaps that same psych
>grad student has some prime real estate closer to (your) home?

Which home? The one in California or the one in Washington
(state)? :-)

As far as the southern home is concerned, its address has
been printed with every article I had published in HAM
RADIO magazine. It's still the same address.

No problem on "knowing psych" people. Graduates...of USC,
(University of California) of UI (University of Illinois),
of University of Wisconsin at Madison. "Students?" Not
greatly acquainted with any, only their instructors. My
wife has two Masters Degrees, one of which required a
thorough education in mental health...and she was licensed
in two states for private practice.

"Jawod," what you just attempted to do was INTIMIDATE
through suggestion. Old, old BLUFF. Didn't work, of
course, but you just had to try the bully game, didn't
you? :-)

That bluff-intimidation ploy has been used for years and
years on BBSs and Internet...almost always by those too
cowardly to reveal their true identity. [few of us would
be "scared" if we found out how you REALLY are...] Try
not to be such a blatant bullshitter in the future, OK?

Beep, beep and bye-eeee...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3493. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 19:29:22 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1156188562.839806.186090@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7073
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Aug 20 2006 2:57 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Thurs, Aug 10 2006 8:48 pm
>> >Al Klein wrote:
>> >> On 9 Aug 2006 19:14:54 -0700, hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:

>> >> That's my point - there's no test any longer. For anything more than
>> >> the ability to memorize answers.
>
>> >Lots of memorization was required in your day. It's only a bad thing
>> >in 1992 to present. I think I get your drift...
>
>> Selective amnesia. "No one had to memorize anything" prior 1992.
>> Not in grade school, not in college, not in industry, not in
>> real life. Strange perception...
>
>Selective amnesia...
>
>With the advent of the No-Code Technician license, memorization became
>a bad, bad thing.

Tsk. Brian, there's another individual for study by that psych
student.

>> >Ummm? There's no Morse Code test anymore?
>
>> The International Morse Code test for United States amateur
>> radio license classes General and Extra have NEVER GONE AWAY.
>
>> That is especially true in the perception of the ARRL which
>> still manages to insert the "necessities" for morsemanship
>> in nearly everything it publishes. It's been six decades
>> since Hiram Percy became ultimate DX but they still keep on
>> with their demand that all [US] amateurs be proficient in
>> that old mode.
>
>Most issues of QST have a minimum of at least one walk down memory
>lane, usually with a key or keyer in one hand.

ARRL views itself as "representative" of the ARS. Unfortunately,
the 'ARS' stands for Amateur Radiotelegraphy Society.

>> >> What current exam? Memorizing answers and writing them down isn't a
>> >> test.

>
>> >So what is it that you fear?
>
>> Klein fears CHANGE and, perhaps, feelings of obsolescence.
>
>I think everyone has some of that to one degree or another. It's
>unhealthy to allow that fear to paralyze you.

Yes and no. When it comes to Status-Rank-Privilege the fear
of LOSS of those seems to take on a life of its own. They
are SOMEBODIES at present, complete with federally-authorized
permission and certificates (suitable for framing) to "prove"
that. Take away the status, the rank, and perhaps privileges
and they are (in their own perception) "lesser beings." That
seems to work with the normal prime survival rule in humans.

>> Someone who has been a regular worker in electronics (radio is a
>> subset of electronics) ought to damn well know and recognize
>> that the state of the art in electronics has been CONSTANTLY
>> changing. It's sometimes a chore to keep up, whether it be
>> 1950 or 2000 or any time in-between.
>
>There will be new challenges before us tomorrow, but we won't know
>about them. We will still be arguing if a morse code exam is
>necessary.

True. "Today is the tomorrow you worried about yesterday." :-)

>> >Mmmm. I see. You are a careerist in the electronics industry and it
>> >pisses you off that hobbyists have equal "status" as you in amatuer
>> >radio. I've run across a lot of that in the past 20 years...
>
>> I've run across a lot of that my entire life. :-)
>
>> I think Klein wants recognition as a "professional amateur" or
>> "amateur professional." I'm not sure which...
>
>He's a professional whiner.

:-)

>> >> What if you addressed what I said when you answer me? Your dishonest
>> >> tactics are transparent.
>
>> >You're the one that forgot the circuit, not me. Get pissed at your own
>> >self.
>
>> When in doubt of an effective reply, these Fundamentalist Morseodists
>> must resort to some form of denigration. Sigh, they never learn...
>
>My dishonest, transparent tactics...
>

>Odd, saying what you mean and meaning what you say have become
>dishonest.

Klein is just a teeny bit more civil than Major Dud. Robeson
just shouts "LIAR! LIAR!" :-)

>> >> Quit putting words in my mouth. I wasn't complaining to anyone, and
>> >> we weren't discussing remembering 50 year old tests.
>
>> >Correct. "WE" weren't discussing it. YOU were. YOU were discussing
>> >how you can't draw what you can't remember.
>
>> This is an indicator that Klein isn't used to computer-modem
>> communications. He isn't looking beyond his own screen and
>> understanding that others are separated from it in time and space.
>> "He" was obviously talking about "old days" of "His." He is not
>> considering that others do not share his viewpoints.
>
>I regret to inform Mr Klein that I do not agree with him.

I also don't agree with him. Maybe he's gotten the message?

>> Considering the Type of Oscillator and "names," he has put
>> Names as somehow "essential" to the circuit. NO SUCH THING.
>> An oscillator is simply an amplifier of just-barely-past-unity
>> gain with positive feedback. The Names were tacked on by
>> academics long, long ago as IDENTIFICATION of the general form
>> of amplification-with-positive-feedback.
>
>I'm surprised that Klein allows any feedback in his oscillator
>circuits.

Positive feedback (pro-code type) allowed. Negative feedback
is "dishonest." :-)

>> >> Maybe we should have one - show the ability to put a clean PSK signal
>> >> on the air. Show the ability to interpret a waterfall display. Show
>> >> the ability to tell the difference between various digital modes. The
>> >> bands would be pretty QRM-free.
>
>> >YES!!!
>
>> [no...]
>
>Huh? Lets let the FCC tell us that it is impractical to have everyone
>take mode exams. Or lets let the council of VECs tul us the same
>thing.

Klein, armed only with an air of self-importance and inflated
claims of experience, is shooting from the lip.

IF and only IF such a "practical test" were imposed, the time
of testing EACH license applicant would increase dramatically.

VEs would have to spend at least a day's worth of time on each
applicant. I think VEs would object to such enforced labor in
a Volunteer task.

As with other proponents of a "practical test," Klein hasn't
explained WHO will maintain the equipment necessary for such
"practical tests" nor make up the much-more-complicated test
tasks and grading. Who will pay for the equipment that would
cover "everything" as to modes and operations? The FCC? The
VECs? Who will pay the VEs for their (essentially) "jobs"?

Klein assigns an importance and ability of AMATEUR activities
in radio far higher than professional ones. This is wrong,
but it serves his and other pro-coders self-image of being
"better" because they passed tests lobbied-for by those of
the same mindset.

>If the league pushes the morse testing issue too hard, it will become
>obvious to the 25% that are members.

I don't think so. The Amateur Radiotelegraphy Society is very
firmly SET in their ideas of keeping the "heritage" and
"tradition" of being a living museum of archaic radio. Those
firm believers and worshippers at the Church of St. Hiram are
disciples and they haven't had their last supper yet.

>> >I think it is you who don't know where you're going with this
>> >discussion. It's gone beyond your having grief over your favorite mode
>> >to actually having to think about the future of the service.
>> >Conggrats. Another couple of years of RRAP tutoring and you just might
>> >become a rational being.
>
>> I disagree, Brian. Klein is a MORSEMAN. They don't change.
>> They are rooted in old days long gone, brainwashed early into
>> thinking that morsemanship is "essential" to "best" radio
>> communication. It isn't...easily proved by ALL the OTHER
>> radio services giving up on morse code as a mode (if they
>> had it once) or never requiring it since a radio service began.
>
>Actuarial tables abound to deal with that kind of thinking.

That's a draconian sort of realism...but, unfortunately true.

Believers can be extremely stubborn. "The only way you'll stop
morse code is to pry my code key from my cold, dead fingers"
isn't an idle threat. Morsemen as SOMEBODIES and they will
hold that banner high even as they crumble.

>Yep. Testing must become more "legitimate" for hobbyists than for
>professionals.

Self-inflation of importance, meaningless in reality.

>> >The exam can be anything your VEC wants it to be. We learned this when
>> >the ARRL went from administering a Morse Code Exam at 5WPM to
>> >administering a Farnsworth Exam at 13-15WPM.
>
>> True enough, Brian, but expect ten kinds of flak from the
>> other morsepersons in here on that... :-)
>
>Quack, Quack! Water off a duck's back.

:-)

>> The VEC can LEGALLY generate a Question Pool with ONE HUNDRED
>> times the minimum required number of questions. With electronic
>> transmittal over the Internet the Question Pool can be updated
>> within 24 hours to ALL VE groups.
>
>But everytime the NCVEC solicits for questions and participants for the
>QP revisions, guys like Klein are silent; absent.

That shows they are only whining, not thinking. In order to
preserve their self-image of importance they have to continue
whining on how they are so self-important. If they REALLY
CARED about their sacred amateurism they would get busy and
work at preserving things. Perhaps mumifying instead of
preservation...

>> What all that concentration on the "written tests" is about is
>> just a DIVERSION to keep from replying on the singular morse
>> code test continuation. The morsemen just haven't been able
>> to come up with sufficently-valid reasons to keep the morse
>> test (other than the emotional ones) so they smoke-screen by
>> bringing up the writtens. Old tactic of theirs.
>
>Old and tired.

It is, but they are self-important because of a singular skill
test that makes them "better" than others..."better" in ways
not even remotely connected to that singular skill test.

>> Seems to me that COLLEGE-level course tests that I took had a
>> LOT of memorization. Maybe we should all slam the academic
>> world for doing the same "memorization?" Hey, why not, all
>> those who failed college level courses can get a Wailing Wall!
>
>Bill Gates at the wailing wall?

Nah...Bill G. QUIT Harvard "to pursue other interests." :-)

He could probably BUY Harvard now...and have lots more left
in his petty-cash box. :-)

Oh, yeah, and Bill Gates is PRO-CODE! Only problem for hams is
that the "code" isn't morse but programming code... :-)

>> Brian, if you check out the "official" history of the ARRL
>> you will find out that they BEGAN in trying to circumvent
>> the commercial telegram system with a relaying of messages
>> past the commercial boundaries and FEES. If that were
>> reported today, the journalists would call it "hacking."
>
>Oh, oh.

It is TRUE according to the ARRL's own history. But, they've
written it (cleverly) so that it LOOKS like some kind of
noble thing that "wasn't cheating anyone." :-)

>> Some of these Olde Fahrts seem to think their amateurism is on
>> some kind of "higher plane" than ordinary, plebian, work.
>> They be BETTER than the pros and keep reinforcing each other
>> with that pipe-dream. After all, the ARRL keeps reminding
>> them of their greatness, their "service to their country"
>> (by having their hobby). To hear them talk the nation would
>> immediately fall apart without these federally-licensed
>> hobbyists!
>
>Don't know if you've heard yet, but the ARRL and robesin announced that
>MARS and TSA have an agreement for armageddon communications.

Heh heh, I wouldn't doubt it... :-)

[via "giant meteor bounce?" ... off the earth, that is? :-)]

I thought Robesin had put on his (invisible) USMC uniform and
was busy pounding brass with the USCG offshore from Beirut to
evacuate US civilians? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3494. Re: Dumbing down licensing will just let in people that really don't want to be hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 20:04:20 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <4kuhu5FdtvfvU1@individual.net>
Source record: 7074
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Bakb0ne" <bakb0ne@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1156127723.634728.175500@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> So why do it?
>>
>> SC
>
> Just wondering...
>
> Do you really think what you post on a newsgroup is going to make ANY
> difference in the real world...
>
> Just because you bitch and moan on here, isnt going to make them revise
> the licensing rules..
>
> Get over it and move on...
>
They don't call him slowcode for nothing.

3495. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 20:30:11 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <44EA17D3.10400@fuse.net>
Source record: 7075
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: jawod on Sun, Aug 20 2006 8:45 pm
>
>
>
>>> [a fine example of today's Extra class amateur licensee...]
>>
>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org
>>
>>You want to slam all Extras because of one lid?
>
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, anony-mousie "Jawod," that LID is doing the
> "slamming," not I. :-)
>
> Why are YOU so upset, "Jawod?" You don't exist. You are
> a mere figment of your own imagination. The FCC doesn't
> license figments...
>
>
>>Perhaps that same psych
>>grad student has some prime real estate closer to (your) home?
>
>
> Which home? The one in California or the one in Washington
> (state)? :-)
>
> As far as the southern home is concerned, its address has
> been printed with every article I had published in HAM
> RADIO magazine. It's still the same address.
>
> No problem on "knowing psych" people. Graduates...of USC,
> (University of California) of UI (University of Illinois),
> of University of Wisconsin at Madison. "Students?" Not
> greatly acquainted with any, only their instructors. My
> wife has two Masters Degrees, one of which required a
> thorough education in mental health...and she was licensed
> in two states for private practice.
>
> "Jawod," what you just attempted to do was INTIMIDATE
> through suggestion. Old, old BLUFF. Didn't work, of
> course, but you just had to try the bully game, didn't
> you? :-)

>
> That bluff-intimidation ploy has been used for years and
> years on BBSs and Internet...almost always by those too
> cowardly to reveal their true identity. [few of us would
> be "scared" if we found out how you REALLY are...] Try
> not to be such a blatant bullshitter in the future, OK?
>
> Beep, beep and bye-eeee...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
So many people need to pump themselves up here. Truly amazing.

I have no interest in your wife's degrees, your home(s), your friends or anything else. Nor was any "bluff" intended.

My post was in response to the quote above. If that wasn't you, my apologies. It was a simple statement.

Whew. Sometimes accessing this group is like stepping in dogshit.

3496. If Lennie Anderson Went One Day Without Disparging The Amateur Radio Service, Would Andy Rooney's Eyebrows Fall Out? Subtitled: The Feeble Five Backslap Each Other

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 20:42:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1156192933.731602.229720@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7076
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenCan'tPassThe SameTestGradeSchoolersDoAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com on Sun, Aug 20 2006 2:57 pm

> >Most issues of QST have a minimum of at least one walk down memory
> >lane, usually with a key or keyer in one hand.
>
> ARRL views itself as "representative" of the ARS. Unfortunately,
> the 'ARS' stands for Amateur Radiotelegraphy Society.

At the Anderscum residence, perhaps.

For the nearly 700,000 of us with licenses, it's the Amateur Radio
Service.

Note: Leonard H. Anderson is not an FCC licensee in that service.

> >I think everyone has some of that to one degree or another. It's
> >unhealthy to allow that fear to paralyze you.
>
> Yes and no. When it comes to Status-Rank-Privilege the fear
> of LOSS of those seems to take on a life of its own.

You would know, Lennie...

It's YOU that perceives that loss...For by taking the same test
mere mortals do, you "surrender" your "I Was An Electronics Engineer"
brags.

Snip of usual Anti-Amateur Radio rhetoric.

> >Odd, saying what you mean and meaning what you say have become
> >dishonest.
>
> Klein is just a teeny bit more civil than Major Dud. Robeson
> just shouts "LIAR! LIAR!"

Who's "Major Dud"..?!?!

And I don't have to shout it, Lennie...

You make it quite apparent.

> >I regret to inform Mr Klein that I do not agree with him.
>
> I also don't agree with him. Maybe he's gotten the message?

Maybe he doesn't see the need to try and "debate" those who only
want to throw sand...?!?!

> >If the league pushes the morse testing issue too hard, it will become
> >obvious to the 25% that are members.
>
> I don't think so. The Amateur Radiotelegraphy Society is very
> firmly SET in their ideas of keeping the "heritage" and
> "tradition" of being a living museum of archaic radio. Those
> firm believers and worshippers at the Church of St. Hiram are
> disciples and they haven't had their last supper yet.

Thankfully OUR "last supper" will come long, LONG after yours,
Lennie...

> >Actuarial tables abound to deal with that kind of thinking.
>
> That's a draconian sort of realism...but, unfortunately true.

And as I was saying...Let's hope YOUR tables are into small
numbers.

MORE SNIPPAGE

> >Don't know if you've heard yet, but the ARRL and robesin announced that
> >MARS and TSA have an agreement for armageddon communications.
>
> Heh heh, I wouldn't doubt it...

"Armageddon"...?!?!

No one announced "armageddon" in any release that I am aware of.

Why did you?

Yet more evidence of why it's better to have Lennie "Can't Pass An
Exam" Anderson on the outside looking in.

Steve, K4YZ

3497. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 20:46:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <os6ke2dmi0c41o4m86glfpf3lhn0lhkeqd@4ax.com>
Source record: 7077
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 21 Aug 2006 12:25:57 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>From: jawod on Sun, Aug 20 2006 8:45 pm
>
>
>>> [a fine example of today's Extra class amateur licensee...]
>>
>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org
>>
>>You want to slam all Extras because of one lid?
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, anony-mousie "Jawod," that LID is doing the
> "slamming," not I. :-)
>
> Why are YOU so upset, "Jawod?" You don't exist. You are
> a mere figment of your own imagination. The FCC doesn't
> license figments...
oh he exists he is just afraid to come out and dmit his name it ight
be robeson after all
>
>>Perhaps that same psych
>>grad student has some prime real estate closer to (your) home?
>
> Which home? The one in California or the one in Washington
> (state)? :-)
>
> As far as the southern home is concerned, its address has
> been printed with every article I had published in HAM
> RADIO magazine. It's still the same address.
>
> No problem on "knowing psych" people. Graduates...of USC,
> (University of California) of UI (University of Illinois),
> of University of Wisconsin at Madison. "Students?" Not
> greatly acquainted with any, only their instructors. My
> wife has two Masters Degrees, one of which required a
> thorough education in mental health...and she was licensed
> in two states for private practice.
>
> "Jawod," what you just attempted to do was INTIMIDATE
> through suggestion. Old, old BLUFF. Didn't work, of
> course, but you just had to try the bully game, didn't
> you? :-)

>
> That bluff-intimidation ploy has been used for years and
> years on BBSs and Internet...almost always by those too
> cowardly to reveal their true identity. [few of us would
> be "scared" if we found out how you REALLY are...] Try
> not to be such a blatant bullshitter in the future, OK?
>
> Beep, beep and bye-eeee...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3498. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 20:51:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <967ke29emgkpl0i8gj3oqconjmkr6bgn8q@4ax.com>
Source record: 7078
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 21 Aug 2006 11:59:20 -0700, markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
wrote:
cease and desist
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3499. Re: Poor Markie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 20:52:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <887ke2turqa8vu0kicfomqf513lbkhj9ld@4ax.com>
Source record: 7079
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 21 Aug 2006 06:45:41 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
cease and desist
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3500. Re: Dumbing down licensing will just let in people that really don't want to be hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 20:54:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <eb7ke2p7jss7fv50ge0ha41ttnf4n288e3@4ax.com>
Source record: 7080
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 15:04:20 -0500, "MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
wrote:

>
>"Bakb0ne" <bakb0ne@gmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1156127723.634728.175500@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> So why do it?
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> Just wondering...
>>
>> Do you really think what you post on a newsgroup is going to make ANY
>> difference in the real world...
>>
>> Just because you bitch and moan on here, isnt going to make them revise
>> the licensing rules..
>>
>> Get over it and move on...
>>
>They don't call him slowcode for nothing.
>
his other nick saying better that is one is Hey Stupid
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

3501. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 21:01:33 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156194093.467279.51150@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7081
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

jawod wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: jawod on Sun, Aug 20 2006 8:45 pm

> So many people need to pump themselves up here. Truly amazing.
indeed why do you do it?

3502. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 21:11:15 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156194675.522795.165860@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Aug 20 2006 2:57 pm
>

> >> Selective amnesia. "No one had to memorize anything" prior 1992.
> >> Not in grade school, not in college, not in industry, not in
> >> real life. Strange perception...
> >
> >Selective amnesia...
> >
> >With the advent of the No-Code Technician license, memorization became
> >a bad, bad thing.
>
> Tsk. Brian, there's another individual for study by that psych
> student.
are we even sure of that? he might be robeson after all or dave or a
few others under another nick

3503. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 22:16:47 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156198607.916738.121580@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7083
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Aug 20 2006 2:57 pm

> >If the league pushes the morse testing issue too hard, it will become
> >obvious to the 25% that are members.
>
> I don't think so. The Amateur Radiotelegraphy Society is very
> firmly SET in their ideas of keeping the "heritage" and
> "tradition" of being a living museum of archaic radio. Those
> firm believers and worshippers at the Church of St. Hiram are
> disciples and they haven't had their last supper yet.
>
I have no objection to them trying to prservs thier mode the ARS is big
enough even for unproductive thing
> >Don't know if you've heard yet, but the ARRL and robesin announced that
> >MARS and TSA have an agreement for armageddon communications.
>
> Heh heh, I wouldn't doubt it... :-)
>
> [via "giant meteor bounce?" ... off the earth, that is? :-)]
>
> I thought Robesin had put on his (invisible) USMC uniform and
> was busy pounding brass with the USCG offshore from Beirut to
> evacuate US civilians? :-)
now that remark I must take you to task for

the last thing we want to sugest that robeson might wear is something
invisible now that image IS a sexauly distrubing one
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

3504. Re: "Slow Code" : It Won't Ever Happen!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 23:44:38 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <GzrGg.6985$Sn3.2953@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7084
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com> wrote in
news:1156179000.251449.161240@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in
>> news:6436e29a72sikapr1m2ganf89df4ndv25a@4ax.com:
>> > On 15 Aug 2006 13:35:05 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com> wrote:
>> > cease and desist
>> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>> >
>>
>> Mark, Just ignore them.
>
> It won't happen. Slow Code.
>
> Morkie is co-dependent upon any person who apparently "victimizes"
> him. He is addicted to being humiliated. He loves it.
>
>> They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
>> follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.
>
> But don'e YOU get it...?!?!
>
> Morkie can't HELP but say stupid things, even if it's a thread he
> initiates.
>
>> Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
>> more stupid.
>
> That would only result if Morkie stopped posting here, and as
> you've seen, despite countless offers of encouragment to at least FIX
> his grammatical abuses, he steadfastly REFUSES to do so.
>
> He LIKES getting what he perceives as "abuse".
>
> Fo whatever reason, he LIKES looking stupid.
>
>> Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
>> moon bounce some more.
>
> The only moon Morkie bounces on is his "wifes". And I am sure HE
> (the "wife") doesn't mind.

>
> Steve, K4YZ

I know you're right.

Mark butts into treads that aren't even about him with his 'cease and desist' and all his other unintelligible mis-spelled crap. Then when he gets flamed back or called a tard over it, he thinks it's a personal attack. Mark just needs to learn to keep quiet and let the hams talk radio.

I'm trying to help him by reminding him to not butt into threads and to ignore attacks so those of us that care about ham radio can get back to discussing the radio issues that will protect and help preserve the service.

Mark's posts make it tough to discuss radio issues because everyone is to busy laughing at him they forget the issue. He has even got the AUK goof-balls teasing him now.

Maybe that's Marks plan. To disrupt the radio groups so we Can't discuss issues needed to save ham radio.

He did say he wanted to be the first no-code Extra.

SC

3505. Re: Dumbing down licensing will just let in people that really don't want to be hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 23:44:48 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <QzrGg.6989$Sn3.2019@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7085
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"EchoBlip" <EB@ruckmail.com> wrote in
news:AvjGg.9708$%j7.4910@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net:

>> Because Ham radio is dying.
>
> E V E R Y T H I N G D I E S
>
> I also hear the buggy whip industry is doing pretty lousy except in
> Lancaster, PA.
>
>
>
>
> "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1156129587.649254.66300@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> Because Ham radio is dying. If you make it easier, you can attract more
>> losers who may have joined earlier. Shortwave and Ham radio is dead. If
>> you ask the man on the street what AM or FM is? They can answer you
>> ,it"s what the hear on their car radio. The difference is the buttons
>> on the radio. If you ask them what shortwave is? They would not have a
>> fucking clue. It's DEAD. Get a life.
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> So why do it?
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>
>
>

Why do we want to let in people that don't want to be hams?

SC

3506. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 23:45:13 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <dArGg.6993$Sn3.5862@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7086
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

jawod <jawod@fuse.net> wrote in news:44EA17D3.10400@fuse.net:

> So many people need to pump themselves up here. Truly amazing.
>
> I have no interest in your wife's degrees, your home(s), your friends or
> anything else. Nor was any "bluff" intended.
>
> My post was in response to the quote above. If that wasn't you, my
> apologies. It was a simple statement.
>
> Whew. Sometimes accessing this group is like stepping in dogshit.

Usenet radio groups were a lot nicer before ham radio got dumbed down. Now the ham radio groups have a CB feel to them.

SC

3507. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 23:45:30 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <uArGg.6996$Sn3.5118@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7087
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

"Jimmie D" <jimmiedee@bellsouth.net> wrote in
news:Ld6Gg.30663$bo6.7667@bignews7.bellsouth.net:

>
>>
>> Yes. That's understandable. Hams these days don't want to act like
>> hams, they like to be appliance operators. So kids don't see that CW
>> is important and fun. All they see is hams gabbing on a microphone
>> like any CB'er can do.
>>
>> SC
>
> Actually a lot of tghe boy scouts know morse code, they still arent
> intersted in ham radio.
>

Some old time ham in the area needs to step in and show the scouts how ham radio and CW can save lives and help communities in emergencies.

Nickle Generals & Extra's have a hard time promoting amateur radio. All they want to do is promote dumbing it down more. It's no wonder the scouts aren't interested.

SC

3508. Re: PING: Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 23:46:48 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <IBrGg.7000$Sn3.5801@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7088
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

new_cw_ham@saintly.com wrote in
news:1156186858.857772.22110@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com:

> Wanted to thank you for your posts about the code.
>
> Because of you I just upgraded (Saturday) from technician to general. I
> breezed the 5 wpm and am up to around 15wpm according to the W1AW
> pratice broadcasts. I never would have bothered to learn CW if it
> wasn't for you.
>
> I know I only "need" 5 wpm but I am not going to upgrade to extra until
> I can cpy 25 wpm SOLID!
>
> This is in honor of my electronic elmer, Slow Code.
>
> Thanks......

ROFLMAO!

.... And you've made me think of possibly joining CAP.

Testimonials like yours will bring a smile to every Real hams face. :-)

Just learn the code to 22 wpm. That would be a nice edge if you still had
to take a 20 wpm CW test. But if you can only get your speed up to 16 or
17 words per minute, upgrade anyway. We need good people like you on the
ham bands and on usenet. LOL

73

SC

3509. Re: Poor Markie! - Markie, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 23:46:53 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <NBrGg.7001$Sn3.1284@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7089
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote in
news:1156167941.257256.314290@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

> MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>> On Fri, 18 Aug 2006 08:56:55 -0400, jawod <jawod@fuse.net> wrote:
>>
>> >Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>> >> an old friendless cocksucking child molester wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>cease
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Cry, you illiterate cunt, cry!
>> >>
>> >Nobody reads your ridiculous posts
>> be fiar I certainly do so do you
>
> Markie admits to being a bitch!
>
>> it is good for laughs mosts day laughing a rueshaking of the head
>
> In English, you illiterate lying fraud.
>

Markie, Just ignore them.

They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.

Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
more stupid.

Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
moon bounce some more or clean up your yard.

SC

3510. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 23:47:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <eghke29reth71m9dovtcq5gjiomk3e4mo6@4ax.com>
Source record: 7090
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:45:13 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>jawod <jawod@fuse.net> wrote in news:44EA17D3.10400@fuse.net:
>
>> So many people need to pump themselves up here. Truly amazing.
>>
>> I have no interest in your wife's degrees, your home(s), your friends or
>> anything else. Nor was any "bluff" intended.
>>
>> My post was in response to the quote above. If that wasn't you, my
>> apologies. It was a simple statement.
>>
>> Whew. Sometimes accessing this group is like stepping in dogshit.
>
>
>
>Usenet radio groups were a lot nicer before ham radio got dumbed down. Now
>the ham radio groups have a CB feel to them.
>
obviously not since ham radio has never been dumbed down
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3511. Re: STFU, Morgan - Markie, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 23:47:54 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <KCrGg.7010$Sn3.2683@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7091
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote in
news:1156186849.689873.44950@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> an old friendless kiddie diddler wrote:
>> ce
>
> Dance, my little monkey boy, dance!
>
>

Markie, Just ignore them.

They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.

Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
more stupid.

Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
moon bounce some more or clean up your yard.

SC

3512. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent - Markie, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 23:48:26 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <eDrGg.7013$Sn3.2719@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7092
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1156167337.571677.251390@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

>
> MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>> On 18 Aug 2006 05:38:03 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
>> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >an old fraud wrote:
>> >
>> >> cease desist tryong to speak for Houghton county
>> >
>> >"tryong?" HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
>> >They already have received too many complaints about your trash filled
>> >yard, Markie!
>> from you in the past few weeks no doubt
>
> I didn't have to Markie, the county already was well aware of your
> hazard to public health.
>
>>ce
>
> Whine, faggot, whine.
>

Markie, Just ignore them.

They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.

Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
more stupid.

Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
moon bounce some more or clean up your yard.

SC

3513. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent - Markie, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 23:48:39 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <rDrGg.7014$Sn3.2495@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7093
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote in
news:1156168009.645111.115040@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:

>
> an old friendless cocksucker wrote:
>> cease and desist
>
> Cry, you cock sucking faggot.
>
>

Markie, Just ignore them.

They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.

Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
more stupid.

Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
moon bounce some more or clean up your yard.

SC

3514. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 23:49:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <bhhke2t2ec9nrv7vsmh34meiagn3qdbnjb@4ax.com>
Source record: 7094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:45:30 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Jimmie D" <jimmiedee@bellsouth.net> wrote in
>news:Ld6Gg.30663$bo6.7667@bignews7.bellsouth.net:
>
>>
>>>
>>> Yes. That's understandable. Hams these days don't want to act like
>>> hams, they like to be appliance operators. So kids don't see that CW
>>> is important and fun. All they see is hams gabbing on a microphone
>>> like any CB'er can do.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> Actually a lot of tghe boy scouts know morse code, they still arent
>> intersted in ham radio.
>>
>
>
>
>Some old time ham in the area needs to step in and show the scouts how ham
>radio and CW can save lives and help communities in emergencies.
how can they do that with nobody but ham using CW it can't save
anybody on a reiliable mann
>
>Nickle Generals & Extra's have a hard time promoting amateur radio. All
>they want to do is promote dumbing it down more.
it has never been dumbed down indeed the tests (while alwys needing
even more improvement) have gotten away from testing on the realy
stupid shit like wether an occilator is a Hartley or Colpitts
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3515. why not deal with the eal issue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 23:50:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <6lhke2tnmq1pnsq258lj5gmo9k7vmoqlha@4ax.com>
Source record: 7095
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:44:48 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"EchoBlip" <EB@ruckmail.com> wrote in
>news:AvjGg.9708$%j7.4910@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net:
>
>>> Because Ham radio is dying.
>>
>> E V E R Y T H I N G D I E S
>>

>>
>>
>
>
>
>Why do we want to let in people that don't want to be hams?
red herring

why are we exclding people able to be ham nd that want to for no
reason at all
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3516. Re: "Slow Code" : It Won't Ever Happen!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 23:54:32 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <qohke29ra0vjvp9nug67on5io3l7cdgo4o@4ax.com>
Source record: 7096
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:44:38 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com> wrote in
>news:1156179000.251449.161240@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in

>> The only moon Morkie bounces on is his "wifes". And I am sure HE
>> (the "wife") doesn't mind.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>
>
>I know you're right.
>
>Mark butts into treads that aren't even about him with his 'cease and
>desist' and all his other unintelligible mis-spelled crap. Then when he
>gets flamed back or called a tard over it, he thinks it's a personal
>attack. Mark just needs to learn to keep quiet and let the hams talk
>radio.
meaing you want to exclude people from the debate
but no thread here is private I opose al the gay bashing that goes on
here
>
>I'm trying to help him by reminding him to not butt into threads and to
>ignore attacks so those of us that care about ham radio can get back to
>discussing the radio issues that will protect and help preserve the
>service.
then why do you keep proposing to kill off the serice
>
>Mark's posts make it tough to discuss radio issues because everyone is to
>busy laughing at him they forget the issue. He has even got the AUK
>goof-balls teasing him now.
>
>Maybe that's Marks plan. To disrupt the radio groups so we Can't discuss
>issues needed to save ham radio.
>
>He did say he wanted to be the first no-code Extra.
yes I wish to may well not make it but likely in the first big group
of them

nothing wrong with having goals esp when those gaols in the ARS
revolve around saving it from the death you want to inflict on it
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3517. Re: why not deal with the eal issue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 23:55:27 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <PJrGg.4727$HO5.2070@newsfe6-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 7151
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

all sounds a bit fishy to me
eal issue
red herring

mike

<Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
news:6lhke2tnmq1pnsq258lj5gmo9k7vmoqlha@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:44:48 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>"EchoBlip" <EB@ruckmail.com> wrote in
>>news:AvjGg.9708$%j7.4910@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net:
>>
>>>> Because Ham radio is dying.
>>>
>>> E V E R Y T H I N G D I E S
>>>
>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>Why do we want to let in people that don't want to be hams?
> red herring
>
> why are we exclding people able to be ham nd that want to for no
> reason at all
>>
>>SC
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

3518. Re: PING: Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 23:55:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <fuhke2hd2vac7svifcnc9q53od1q3ej4pr@4ax.com>
Source record: 7097
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:46:48 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>new_cw_ham@saintly.com wrote in
>news:1156186858.857772.22110@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com:
>
>> Wanted to thank you for your posts about the code.
>>
>> Because of you I just upgraded (Saturday) from technician to general. I
>> breezed the 5 wpm and am up to around 15wpm according to the W1AW
>> pratice broadcasts. I never would have bothered to learn CW if it
>> wasn't for you.
>>
>> I know I only "need" 5 wpm but I am not going to upgrade to extra until
>> I can cpy 25 wpm SOLID!
>>
>> This is in honor of my electronic elmer, Slow Code.
>>
>> Thanks......
>
>ROFLMAO!
>
>.... And you've made me think of possibly joining CAP.
>
>
>Testimonials like yours will bring a smile to every Real hams face. :-)
>
>Just learn the code to 22 wpm. That would be a nice edge if you still had
>to take a 20 wpm CW test. But if you can only get your speed up to 16 or
>17 words per minute, upgrade anyway. We need good people like you on the
>ham bands and on usenet. LOL

why do we ned more people that make snips and don't even have the guts
to sign their names?
>
>
>73
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3519. Re: Poor Markie! - Markie, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 23:57:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <82ike2pei4r1ja1lonke9cun717mcq0mea@4ax.com>
Source record: 7098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:46:53 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote in
>news:1156167941.257256.314290@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
>> MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>> On Fri, 18 Aug 2006 08:56:55 -0400, jawod <jawod@fuse.net> wrote:
>>>
>>> >Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>>> >> an old friendless cocksucking child molester wrote:
>>> >>
>>> >>>cease
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >> Cry, you illiterate cunt, cry!
>>> >>
>>> >Nobody reads your ridiculous posts
>>> be fiar I certainly do so do you
>>
>> Markie admits to being a bitch!
>>
>>> it is good for laughs mosts day laughing a rueshaking of the head
>>
>> In English, you illiterate lying fraud.
>>
>
>
>Markie, Just ignore them.
why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3520. Re: STFU, Morgan - Markie, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-21 23:59:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <56ike295bug0hr4h2tgj35jvkj0e666hl1@4ax.com>
Source record: 7099
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:47:54 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote in
>news:1156186849.689873.44950@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> an old friendless kiddie diddler wrote:
>>> ce
>>
>> Dance, my little monkey boy, dance!
>>
>>
>
>Markie, Just ignore them.
>
why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3521. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent - Markie, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 00:00:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <c8ike21umbo1u2s3opkiucn6g7brh4kdla@4ax.com>
Source record: 7100
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:48:39 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote in
>news:1156168009.645111.115040@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> an old friendless cocksucker wrote:
>>> cease and desist
>>
>> Cry, you cock sucking faggot.
>>
>>
>
>
>Markie, Just ignore them.
why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3522. Duke University (Durham, NC, USA) trunked system change?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 00:37:55 UTC
From: ac4rd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1156207075.193279.36320@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7152

Does anybody know what is different about the
Duke University (Durham, NC) trunking radio system?

For several years I've kept a scanner on my desk,
set to pick up 123.050, the standard helipad frequency,
and talkgroup "496" on Duke's trunked system, which is
.. or WAS .. the air helicopter channel. No big deal,
but I can see all but the last 100 yards of their
approaches from my window, and having the scanner on
lets me know when to look up and see an approach or
departure.

Until today, that is--this Monday morning, 8/21, the
Duke trunked traffic is still coming in--but the IDs
have changed. Instead of "496" and "1360" as talkgroup
IDs, I'm seeing 0001-7 and 0000-10 and numbers like
that. And I can no longer hear just the helicopter
group--I'm getting EVERYTHING, requests for clean
linen in this room, shuttle vans, etc. I have been
too busy to do much research but it seems like more
than one service/area/whatever may be using the same
(strange new) numbers. I can program the Pro-94A to
hear just "496" in the old system--but this new system,
whatever it is, is still a mystery to me.

Is this connected with the "rebanding" I keep hearing
about? Does anyone have an explanation of what has
changed that is simple enough for even me to understand?

TIA!
--ken

3523. Re: PING: Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 00:58:38 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156208318.777429.173610@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7153
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

You both suck each other off. Jerks!
Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:46:48 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >new_cw_ham@saintly.com wrote in
> >news:1156186858.857772.22110@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com:
> > >
> > >> Wanted to thank you for your posts about the code.
> > >>
> > >> Because of you I just upgraded (Saturday) from technician to general. I
> > >> breezed the 5 wpm and am up to around 15wpm according to the W1AW
> > >> pratice broadcasts. I never would have bothered to learn CW if it
> > >> wasn't for you.
> > >>
> > >> I know I only "need" 5 wpm but I am not going to upgrade to extra until
> > >> I can cpy 25 wpm SOLID!
> > >>
> > >> This is in honor of my electronic elmer, Slow Code.
> > >>
> > >> Thanks......
> > >
> > >ROFLMAO!
> > >
> > >.... And you've made me think of possibly joining CAP.
> > >
> > >
> > >Testimonials like yours will bring a smile to every Real hams face. :-)
> > >
> > >Just learn the code to 22 wpm. That would be a nice edge if you still had
> > >to take a 20 wpm CW test. But if you can only get your speed up to 16 or
> > >17 words per minute, upgrade anyway. We need good people like you on the
> > >ham bands and on usenet. LOL
>
> why do we ned more people that make snips and don't even have the guts
> to sign their names?
> > >
> > >
> > >73
> > >
> > >SC
> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --

> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3524. Re: PING: Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 00:59:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <enlke2pbbv6m943vefc2fv9nctfvcrpfq0@4ax.com>
Source record: 7154
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 21 Aug 2006 17:58:38 -0700, "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>You both suck each other off. Jerks!
>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>> On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:46:48 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
talking about yuorself abthat way
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3525. Re: why not deal with the eal issue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 01:01:26 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156208486.108865.15650@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7155
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

IT'S DEAD. Get a life. You learned code for nothing. Where's my iPod?

ikeFNB wrote:
> all sounds a bit fishy to me
> eal issue
> red herring
>
> mike
>
> <Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
> news:6lhke2tnmq1pnsq258lj5gmo9k7vmoqlha@4ax.com...
> > On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:44:48 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >
> >>"EchoBlip" <EB@ruckmail.com> wrote in
> >>news:AvjGg.9708$%j7.4910@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net:
> >>
> >>>> Because Ham radio is dying.
> >>>
> >>> E V E R Y T H I N G D I E S
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Why do we want to let in people that don't want to be hams?
> > > red herring
> > >
> > > why are we exclding people able to be ham nd that want to for no
> > > reason at all
> > >
> > >>SC
> > > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> > >
> > > --
> > > Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
> > >

3526. Re: why not deal with the eal issue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 01:04:43 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156208683.769075.32480@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7156
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Douche Bag wrote:
> IT'S DEAD. Get a life. You learned code for nothing. Where's my iPod?
wel you got that part aprt Code being dead but the ARS is still alive
dispite the efforts of Sloaw code Robeson and others to kill it

3527. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 01:05:19 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1156208719.641279.66550@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7157
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

From: Slow Code on Mon, Aug 21 2006 4:45 pm

>"Jimmie D" <jimmie...@bellsouth.net> wrote in
>news:Ld6Gg.30663$bo6.7667@bignews7.bellsouth.net:
>
>>> Yes. That's understandable. Hams these days don't want to act like
>>> hams, they like to be appliance operators. So kids don't see that CW
>>> is important and fun. All they see is hams gabbing on a microphone
>>> like any CB'er can do.
>
>>> SC
>
>> Actually a lot of tghe boy scouts know morse code, they still arent
>> intersted in ham radio.
>
>Some old time ham in the area needs to step in and show the scouts how ham
>radio and CW can save lives and help communities in emergencies.

When are you leaving the group to go do that, "Slow?"

Oh, and while you're at it, why don't you inform the group
the date when amateur radio morse code saved ANYONE's life?
Betcha can't do it...

On 7 July 2006 the FCC released its Independent Panel report
on the worst natural disaster to hit the USA, Hurricane
Katrina. You can find it in the Federal Register of that
date. In there you can find an objective report on how much
radio amateurs helped their communities.

BTW, Comments on that Report are due on or before 21 August
2006...today. Have you sent in your Comment, "Slow?" No?

>Nickle Generals & Extra's have a hard time promoting amateur radio. All
>they want to do is promote dumbing it down more.

Tsk, if anyone was doing "dumbing it down more," they'd have
to outdo your own retrograde, freeze-it-in-YOUR-youth ham
radio, "Slow." Feel proud. You are practically a one-ham
show on dumbing down the service...

>It's no wonder the scouts aren't interested.

Tsk, you should have recited your own tale of saving the
Titanic...when you were asleep on the US California...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3528. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 01:10:13 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1156209013.791687.216010@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: Slow Code on Mon, Aug 21 2006 4:45 pm

>jawod <j...@fuse.net> wrote in news:44EA17D3.10400@fuse.net:
>
>> So many people need to pump themselves up here. Truly amazing.
>
>> I have no interest in your wife's degrees, your home(s), your friends or
>> anything else. Nor was any "bluff" intended.

Tsk, tsk, "Jawod" did indeed intimidate through bluff.

Poor baby got stomped on and now he cries "foul." Boo-hoo.

>> Whew. Sometimes accessing this group is like stepping in dogshit.
>
>Usenet radio groups were a lot nicer before ham radio got dumbed down.

"Slow," multiple-choice questions were in place in FCC exams
LONNNNGGGG ago. [many morsemen bitch that those started the
"dumbing-down"]

Privatization of license testing happened BEFORE the Internet
went public and creation of amateur radio newsgroups in what
many still call "USENET." With privatization of testing came
the open question pools.

"Slow," you are very confused on your dates and what happened.

>Now the ham radio groups have a CB feel to them.

Awwww...you can't find enough for a group to sit around and
bullshit to one another on how "good" and "magical" is CW?

Must do your self-image a really, really bad whuppin!

Now, you run right out and Save A Life for Hiram Percy, ya
hear? Show them what you can do! Then you can sit around
campfires and molest the ears of young boy scouts...

Beep, beep...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3529. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 01:10:17 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1156209017.352408.62810@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: Slow Code on Mon, Aug 21 2006 4:45 pm

>jawod <j...@fuse.net> wrote in news:44EA17D3.10400@fuse.net:
>
>> So many people need to pump themselves up here. Truly amazing.
>
>> I have no interest in your wife's degrees, your home(s), your friends or
>> anything else. Nor was any "bluff" intended.

Tsk, tsk, "Jawod" did indeed intimidate through bluff.

Poor baby got stomped on and now he cries "foul." Boo-hoo.

>> Whew. Sometimes accessing this group is like stepping in dogshit.
>
>Usenet radio groups were a lot nicer before ham radio got dumbed down.

"Slow," multiple-choice questions were in place in FCC exams
LONNNNGGGG ago. [many morsemen bitch that those started the
"dumbing-down"]

Privatization of license testing happened BEFORE the Internet
went public and creation of amateur radio newsgroups in what
many still call "USENET." With privatization of testing came
the open question pools.

"Slow," you are very confused on your dates and what happened.

>Now the ham radio groups have a CB feel to them.

Awwww...you can't find enough for a group to sit around and
bullshit to one another on how "good" and "magical" is CW?

Must do your self-image a really, really bad whuppin!

Now, you run right out and Save A Life for Hiram Percy, ya
hear? Show them what you can do! Then you can sit around
campfires and molest the ears of young boy scouts...

Beep, beep...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3530. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 01:19:04 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1156209544.379600.140580@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7160
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: an old friend on Mon, Aug 21 2006 3:16 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Aug 20 2006 2:57 pm
>> >If the league pushes the morse testing issue too hard, it will become
>> >obvious to the 25% that are members.
>
>> I don't think so. The Amateur Radiotelegraphy Society is very
>> firmly SET in their ideas of keeping the "heritage" and
>> "tradition" of being a living museum of archaic radio. Those
>> firm believers and worshippers at the Church of St. Hiram are
>> disciples and they haven't had their last supper yet.
>
>I have no objection to them trying to prservs thier mode the ARS is big
>enough even for unproductive thing

It's "minority rule" when ARRL lobbies for preservation of
morse code test for any amateur radio license class. The
ARRL membership is slightly less than a quarter of all US
amateur radio licensees.

>> >Don't know if you've heard yet, but the ARRL and robesin announced that
>> >MARS and TSA have an agreement for armageddon communications.
>
>> Heh heh, I wouldn't doubt it... :-)
>
>> [via "giant meteor bounce?" ... off the earth, that is? :-)]
>
>> I thought Robesin had put on his (invisible) USMC uniform and
>> was busy pounding brass with the USCG offshore from Beirut to
>> evacuate US civilians? :-)
>
>now that remark I must take you to task for
>
>the last thing we want to sugest that robeson might wear is something
>invisible now that image IS a sexauly distrubing one

Ahem...my reference was the old fairy tale, "The Emperor's New
Clothes." :-)

That's the one where a full-of-himself ruler ordered some new
clothes and the tailor buttered him up (while not sewing any
new clothes) so much that the Emperor bought into this pandering
to his ego and appeared in public with his "new clothes" (he was
naked). Needless to say, the public laughed and laughed at this

ridiculous spectacle. :-)

Robeson has been all full of himself in here about his alleged "USMC service" yet he has presented zero-point-zero evidence from anyone else (or any legitimate agency) that he ever served on active USMC duty for any of his claimed "18 years."

Even though he NOW thinks of himself AS the amateur radio service personified (anything against him is somehow against ALL radio amateurs), he is still parodying the "Emperor."

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3531. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 01:30:43 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <ienke2h7knjjtrbp33vt0sffrgb3u7j0kj@4ax.com>
Source record: 7161
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 21 Aug 2006 18:19:04 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>From: an old friend on Mon, Aug 21 2006 3:16 pm
>
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Aug 20 2006 2:57 pm
>>> >If the league pushes the morse testing issue too hard, it will become
>>> >obvious to the 25% that are members.
>>
>>> I don't think so. The Amateur Radiotelegraphy Society is very
>>> firmly SET in their ideas of keeping the "heritage" and
>>> "tradition" of being a living museum of archaic radio. Those
>>> firm believers and worshippers at the Church of St. Hiram are
>>> disciples and they haven't had their last supper yet.
>>
>>I have no objection to them trying to prservs thier mode the ARS is big
>>enough even for unproductive thing
>
> It's "minority rule" when ARRL lobbies for preservation of
> morse code test for any amateur radio license class. The
> ARRL membership is slightly less than a quarter of all US
> amateur radio licensees.
>
>>> >Don't know if you've heard yet, but the ARRL and robesin announced that
>>> >MARS and TSA have an agreement for armageddon communications.
>>
>>> Heh heh, I wouldn't doubt it... :-)
>>
>>> [via "giant meteor bounce?" ... off the earth, that is? :-)]
>>
>>> I thought Robesin had put on his (invisible) USMC uniform and
>>> was busy pounding brass with the USCG offshore from Beirut to
>>> evacuate US civilians? :-)
>>
>>now that remark I must take you to task for
>>
>>the last thing we want to sugest that robeson might wear is something
>>invisible now that image IS a sexauly distrubing one
>
> Ahem...my reference was the old fairy tale, "The Emperor's New
> Clothes." :-)

i thougt as much OTOH the image of robeson nude is still well
> That's the one where a full-of-himself ruler ordered some new
> clothes and the tailor buttered him up (while not sewing any
> new clothes) so much that the Emperor bought into this pandering
> to his ego and appeared in public with his "new clothes" (he was
> naked). Needless to say, the public laughed and laughed at this
> ridiculous spectacle. :-)
indeed i laugh at him myself ruefully

with the added though that this is thebest the procoder can muster
>
> Robeson has been all full of himself in here about his alleged
> "USMC service" yet he has presented zero-point-zero evidence
> from anyone else (or any legitimate agency) that he ever
> served on active USMC duty for any of his claimed "18 years."
>
> Even though he NOW thinks of himself AS the amateur radio
> service personified (anything against him is somehow against
> ALL radio amateurs), he is still parodying the "Emperor."
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3532. Re: why not deal with the eal issue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 02:19:19 UTC
From: Bakb0ne <bakb0ne@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156213159.387173.176230@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7162
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old friend wrote:
> Douche Bag wrote:
> > IT'S DEAD. Get a life. You learned code for nothing. Where's my iPod?
> wel you got that part aprt Code being dead but the ARS is still alive
> dispite the efforts of Sloaw code Robeson and others to kill it

Isnt that the main point tho.... they have no power to do anything...

They are just sad ppl with nothing better to do. Next they will be
saying that
you should have to pay a monthly fee to use the airwaves...

Maybe have a subscription fee, that would stop most of the scum (yeh
right)

3533. Re: why not deal with the real issue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 02:56:49 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156215409.916008.322300@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7163
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Bakb0ne wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Douche Bag wrote:
> > > IT'S DEAD. Get a life. You learned code for nothing. Where's my iPod?
> > wel you got that part aprt Code being dead but the ARS is still alive
> > dispite the efforts of Sloaw code Robeson and others to kill it
>
> Isnt that the main point tho.... they have no power to do anything...
>
> They are just sad ppl with nothing better to do. Next they will be
> saying that
> you should have to pay a monthly fee to use the airwaves...
>
> Maybe have a subscription fee, that would stop most of the scum (yeh
> right)
well a GMRS like license would surely do better asa filter than the
code test look at the code tested ham we have on the air now

3534. Re: why not deal with the real issue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 03:22:15 UTC
From: Bakb0ne <bakb0ne@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156216935.451293.177760@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7164
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old friend wrote:
> Bakb0ne wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > Douche Bag wrote:
> > > > IT'S DEAD. Get a life. You learned code for nothing. Where's my iPod?
> > > wel you got that part aprt Code being dead but the ARS is still alive
> > > dispite the efforts of Sloaw code Robeson and others to kill it
> >
> > Isnt that the main point tho.... they have no power to do anything...
> >
> > They are just sad ppl with nothing better to do. Next they will be
> > saying that
> > you should have to pay a monthly fee to use the airwaves...
> >
> > Maybe have a subscription fee, that would stop most of the scum (yeh
> > right)
> well a GMRS like license would surely do better asa filter than the
> code test look at the code tested ham we have on the air now

What part of the GMRS license would be a better filter?

The 5 year duration between renewals, the $75/£40 charge or just the
general way of applying for it?

Im not saying i disagree, just wondering what you meant..

3535. Re: why not deal with the real issue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 03:26:57 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156217217.076077.295020@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7165
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Bakb0ne wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Bakb0ne wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > Douche Bag wrote:
> > > > > IT'S DEAD. Get a life. You learned code for nothing. Where's my iPod?
> > > > wel you got that part aprt Code being dead but the ARS is still alive
> > > > dispite the efforts of Sloaw code Robeson and others to kill it
> > >
> > > Isnt that the main point tho.... they have no power to do anything...
> > >
> > > They are just sad ppl with nothing better to do. Next they will be
> > > saying that
> > > you should have to pay a monthly fee to use the airwaves...
> > >
> > > Maybe have a subscription fee, that would stop most of the scum (yeh
> > > right)
> > well a GMRS like license would surely do better asa filter than the
> > code test look at the code tested ham we have on the air now
>
> What part of the GMRS license would be a better filter?
>
> The 5 year duration between renewals, the $75/£40 charge or just the
> general way of applying for it?
>
> Im not saying i disagree, just wondering what you meant..
having to pay to use the ARS freqs might be more of a deterant to those
with bad attiudes than a code test

not to say it would be a good filter but fee for license with the
license fee used for enforcement here in the state the license is free
(the tests cost something) and the FCC is always complaining it laks
any funding to go after ham violators I suspect you are a brit and so
this might escape you I should have been clearer

nice to see someone serious paying attention here I had assume the
flamers and trolls had driven them off

3536. Discriminator output on icom ic-r20?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 09:47:07 UTC
From: sebastian <balledude@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <44ead2a0$0$3558$edfadb0f@dread11.news.tele.dk>
Source record: 7166

Does anybody know were to find the discriminator output on the Icom ic-r20

Sebastian

3537. Re: PING: Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 10:49:15 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156243755.176467.116970@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7167
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Markqueer@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On 21 Aug 2006 17:58:38 -0700, "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >You both suck each other off. Jerks!
> >Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >> On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:46:48 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> talking about yuorself abthat way

Drunk again, Marqueer?

3538. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent - Markie, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 10:57:46 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156244266.479563.32390@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7168
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:48:39 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote in
> >news:1156168009.645111.115040@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
> >
> >>
> >> an old friendless cocksucker wrote:
> >>> cease and desist
> >>
> >> Cry, you cock sucking faggot.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >Markie, Just ignore them.
> why?

Yeah, Markie gets little atention in real life.

3539. Re: STFU, Morgan - Markie, Just drink gasoline

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 11:00:01 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156244401.890375.104080@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7169
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:47:54 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote in
> >news:1156186849.689873.44950@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> >
> >>
> >> an old friendless kiddie diddler wrote:
> >>> ce
> >>
> >> Dance, my little monkey boy, dance!
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >Markie, Just ignore them.
> >
> why?

Dance, bitch, dance!

3540. Re: "Slow Code" Markie thinking or stfu : It Won't Ever Happen!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 11:15:14 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1156245314.809053.249920@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7170
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:44:38 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >"K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com> wrote in
> >news:1156179000.251449.161240@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
> >
> >>
> >> Slow Code wrote:
> >>> Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in
>
>
> >> The only moon Morkie bounces on is his "wifes". And I am sure HE
> >> (the "wife") doesn't mind.
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!

> >
> >
> >I know you're right.
> >
> >Mark butts into treads that aren't even about him with his 'cease and
> >desist' and all his other unintelligible mis-spelled crap. Then when he
> >gets flamed back or called a tard over it, he thinks it's a personal
> >attack. Mark just needs to learn to keep quiet and let the hams talk
> >radio.
> meaing you want to exclude people from the debate
> but no thread here is private I opose al the gay bashing that goes on
> here

Whine, faggot, whine.

> >
> >I'm trying to help him by reminding him to not butt into threads and to
> >ignore attacks so those of us that care about ham radio can get back to
> >discussing the radio issues that will protect and help preserve the
> >service.
> then why do you keep proposing to kill off the serice

What's "serice," retard?

> >
> >Mark's posts make it tough to discuss radio issues because everyone is to
> >busy laughing at him they forget the issue. He has even got the AUK

> >goof-balls teasing him now.
> >
> >Maybe that's Marks plan. To disrupt the radio groups so we Can't discuss
> >issues needed to save ham radio.
> >
> >He did say he wanted to be the first no-code Extra.
> yes I wish to may well not make it but likely in the first big group
> of them

Markie, you have to pass the theory part first.
You've yet to do so.

> nothing wrong with having goals esp when those gaols in the ARS
> revolve around saving it from the death you want to inflict on it

"gaols?" Markie, you should make correct English your "goal."

3541. Re: Duke University (Durham, NC, USA) trunked system change?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 12:31:13 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <e5ule25viorcqfrmmst74b5ja3d2sm8l6e@4ax.com>
Source record: 7171

On 21 Aug 2006 17:37:55 -0700, ac4rd@yahoo.com wrote:

>Until today, that is--this Monday morning, 8/21, the
>Duke trunked traffic is still coming in--but the IDs
>have changed. Instead of "496" and "1360" as talkgroup
>IDs, I'm seeing 0001-7 and 0000-10 and numbers like
>that. And I can no longer hear just the helicopter
>group--I'm getting EVERYTHING, requests for clean
>linen in this room, shuttle vans, etc.

>Is this connected with the "rebanding" I keep hearing
>about? Does anyone have an explanation of what has
>changed that is simple enough for even me to understand?

It sounds more like you hitting the wrong button on your scanner.
You're seeing the talkgroups in a different format, and you're hearing
all talkgroups, not just the ones you programmed in. Not knowing
which scanner you have, there's no way to tell what to do to put it
back.

3542. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and-Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 14:00:35 UTC
From: Bill Turner <noway@nohow.com>
Message-ID: <44eb0e03$1_4@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 7176
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Cecil Moore wrote:

> The same is true of sailing ships, hot-air balloons,
> and horses. Do what turns you on and leave the
> @#$%&$ federal government out of it.

----------REPLY BELOW----------

Cecil has it exactly right.

Bill, W6WRT

--

3543. cease and desist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 15:05:35 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156259135.750875.198930@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>
!cease and desist
```

3544. Re: 30 Steps, Monthy post. Tips for Phonies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 15:11:32 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <ik7me21ra2143nbnv5o2nre3er8qepsemd@4ax.com>
Source record: 7173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 00:07:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>The 30 Steps below will help all New Hams, it will also help you to find
>others just like yourself. These 30 steps should also be added to the
>present writtens.
>
>
>Step One: Use as many "Q" signals as possible. Yes, I know they were
>invented solely for CW and are totally inappropriate for two meter FM, but
>they are fun and entertaining. They keep people guessing as to what you
>really meant. I.E. "I'm going to QSY to the phone." Can you really change
>frequencies to the phone? QSL used to mean, "I am acknowledging receipt",
>but now it appears to mean, "yes" or "OK". I guess I missed it when the
>ARRL changed the meaning. It is also best to use "OK" and "QSL" together.
>Redundancy is the better part of Lid-dom.
>
>Step Two: Never laugh when you can say "HI HI". No one will ever know you
>aren't a long time CW rag-chewer if you don't tell them. They'll think
>you've been on since the days of Marconi.
>
>Step Three: Utilize an alternative vocabulary. Use words like "destinated"
>and "negatory". It's OK to make up your own words here. I.E. "Yeah Tom, I
>"pheelbart zaphonix" occasionally myself."
>
>Step Four: Always say "XX4XXX" (Insert your own call) "for I.D." As
>mentioned in Step One, anything that creates redundancy is always
>encouraged. That's why we have the Department of Redundancy Department.
>(Please note that you can follow your call with "for identification
>purposes" instead of "for I.D." While taking longer to say, it is worth
>more "LID points".
>
>Step Five: The better the copy on two meter FM, the more you should use
>phonetics. Names should be especially used if they are short or common
>ones. I.E. "My name is Al... Alpha Lima" or "Jack.. Juliet Alpha Charlie
>Kilo." If at all possible use the less common HF phonetics "A4SM...
>America, Number Four, Sugar Mexico." And for maximum "LID points", make up
>unintelligible phonetics. "My name is Bob... Billibong Oregano
>Bumperpool."
>
>Step Six: Always give the calls of yourself and everyone who is (or has
>been) in the group, whether they are still there or not. While this has
>been unnecessary for years, it is still a great memory test. You may also
>use "and the group" if you are an "old timer" or just have a bad memory.

>Extra points for saying everyone's call and then clearing in a silly way
>"K2PKK, Chow, Chow."
>
>Step Seven: Whenever possible, use the wrong terminology. It keeps people
>guessing. Use "modulation" when you mean "deviation", and vice-versa.
>
>Step Eight: If someone asks for a break, always finish your turn, taking
>as long as possible before turning it over. Whenever possible, pass it
>around a few times first. This will discourage the breaker, and if it is
>an emergency, encourage him to switch to another repeater and not bother
>you.
>
>Step Nine: Always ask involved questions of the person who is trying to
>sign out. Never let him get by with just a "yes" or "no" answer. Make it a
>question that will take him a long time to answer.
>
>Step Ten: The less you know on a subject, the more you should speculate
>about it in the roundtable. Also the amount of time you spend on the
>subject should be inversely proportionate to your knowledge of the subject
>even though you have no damn clue.
>
>Step Eleven: Always make sure you try to communicate with only a handheld
>and a rubber duck antenna. Also, make sure you work through a repeater
>that you can hear very well, but it cannot hear you. This will put out a
>kind of "LID mating call": "Well, Joe, I can hear the repeater just fine
>here. I wonder why it can't hear me?" You will score maximum LID points if
>you are mobile, and with the radio lying in the passenger seat.
>
>Step Twelve: If you hear two amateurs start a conversation, wait until
>they are twenty seconds into their contact, and then break in to make a
>call, or better yet to use the auto-patch. Make sure you keep the repeater
>tied up for at least three minutes. This way, once the two have
>re-established contact, they won't even remember what they were talking
>about.
>
>Step Thirteen: You hear someone on the repeater giving directions to a
>visiting amateur. Even if the directions are good, make sure you break in
>with your own "alternate route but better way to get there" version. This
>is most effective with several other "would-be LIDs", each giving a
>different route. By the time the visiting amateur unscrambles all the
>street names whizzing by in his mind, he should have moved out of the
>range of the repeater. This keeps you from having to stick around to help
>the guy get back out of town, later.
>
>Step Fourteen: If an annoying station is bothering you, make sure your
>other "LID" buddies have a "coded" frequency list. Even though "CODES" are
>strictly forbidden on Amateur Radio, it's really neat to practice "James
>Bond" tactics.
>
>Step Fifteen: Always use the National Calling Frequency for general

>conversations. The more uninteresting, the longer you should use it. Extra
>points are awarded if you have recently move from an adjacent frequency
>for no reason. Make sure when DX is "rolling" in on 52.525 that you hang
>out there and talk to your friends five miles down the road about the good
>old CB days!
>
>Step Sixteen: Make sure that if you have a personal problem with someone,
>you should voice your opinion in a public forum, especially a net. Make
>sure you give their name, call, and any other identifying remarks. For
>maximum points, make sure the person in question is not on the repeater,
>or not available.
>
>Step Seventeen: Make sure you say the first few words of each transmission
>twice, especially if it is the same thing each time. Like "roger, roger"
>or "fine business, fine business". I cannot stress enough about
>encouraging redundancy.
>
>Step Eighteen: If you hear a conversation on a local repeater, break in
>and ask how each station is receiving you. Of course they will only see
>the signal of the repeater you are using, but it's that magic moment when
>you can find a fellow "LID", and get the report. Extra points are awarded
>if you are using a base station, and the repeater is less than twenty-five
>air miles from you.
>
>Step Nineteen: Use the repeater for an hour or two at a time, preventing
>others from using it. Better yet, do it on a daily basis. Your quest is to
>make people so sick of hearing your voice every time they turn on their
>radio, they'll move to another frequency. This way you'll lighten the load
>on the repeater, leaving even more time for you to talk on it.
>
>Step Twenty: See just how much flutter you can generate by operating at
>handheld power levels too far away from the repeater. Engage people in
>conversations when you know they wont be able to copy half of what your
>saying. Even when they say your uncopyable, continue to string them along
>by making further transmissions. See just how frustrated you can make the
>other amateur before he finally signs off in disgust.
>
>Step Twenty One: Use lots of radio jargon. After all, it makes you feel
>important using words ordinary people don't say. Who cares if it makes you
>sound like you just fell off Channel 19 on the citizen's Band? Use phrases
>such as "Roger on that", "10-4", "I'm on the side", "Your making the trip"
>and "Negatory on that".
>
>Step Twenty Two: Use excessive microphone gain. See just how loud you can
>make your audio. Make sure the audio gain is so high that other amateurs
>can hear any bugs crawling on your floor. If mobile, make sure the wind
>noise is loud enough that others have to strain to pick your words out
>from all the racket.
>
>Step Twenty Three: Start every transmission with the word "Roger" or

>"QSL". Sure, you don't need to acknowledge that you received the other
>transmission in full. After all, you would simply ask for a repeat if you
>missed something. But consider it your gift to the other amateur to give
>him solace every few seconds that his transmissions are being received.
>
>Step Twenty Four: When looking for a contact on a repeater, always say
>your "listening" or "monitoring" multiple times. I've always found that at
>least a half dozen times or so is good. Repeating your multiple
>"listening" ID's every 10 to 15 seconds is even better. Those people who
>didn't want to talk to you will eventually call you, hoping you'll go away
>after you have finally made a contact.
>
>Step Twenty Five: Always use a repeater, even if you can work the other
>station easily on simplex ... especially if you can make the contact on
>simplex. The coverage of the repeater you use should be inversely
>proportional to your distance from the other station.
>
>Step Twenty Six: When on repeaters using courtesy tones, you should always
>say "over". Courtesy tones are designed to let everyone know when you have
>unkeyed but don't let that stop you. Say "over", "back to you" or "go
>ahead". It serves no useful purpose but don't worry, it's still fun!
>
>Step Twenty Seven: Use the repeater's autopatch for frivolous routine
>calls... especially during morning or evening commute times. While pulling
>into the neighborhood, call home to let them know you'll be there in two
>minutes.... or, call your spouse to complain about the bad day you had at
>work. After all, the club has "measured rate" service on their phone line
>so they get charged for each autopatch call. Your endeavor is to make so
>many patches in a year that you cost the club at least $20 in phone bills.
>That way you'll feel you got your money's worth for your dues!
>
>Step Twenty Eight: Never say "My name is ....." It makes you sound human.
>If at all possible, use one of the following phrases: a) "The personal
>here is ..." b) "The handle here is..."
>
>Step Twenty Nine: Use "73" and "88" incorrectly. Both are already
>considered plural, but add a "s" to the end anyway. Say "73's" or "88's".
>Who cares if it means "best regards" and "love and kisses." Better yet,
>say "seventy thirds"! (By the way, seventy thirds equals about 23.3).
>
>Step Thirty: If the repeater is off the air for service, complain about
>the fact that it was off the air as soon as it's turned back on. Act as
>though your entire day has been ruined because the repeater wasn't
>available when you wanted to use it. Even thought you have never paid a
>penny to help out with the upkeep of it.
still think you are helping the ARS
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3545. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 16:24:49 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <UKudnaluY8jWrHbZnZ2dnUVZ8qudnZ2d@bt.com>
Source record: 7174
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

> Some old time ham in the area needs to step in and show the scouts
how ham
> radio and CW can save lives and help communities in emergencies.
>
==========

In these days of mobile telephones, etc., morse code just gets in the
way of emergencies.

But there's nothing to prevent people who appreciate and love the
language of Morse, the way it sings, its universality, its beauty,
from continuing to use it way into the future.

It is the beauty of Morse, in plain English, never mind the
abbreviations, which boy scouts and others who show an interest should
be taught to appreciate.

Reg, G4FGQ

3546. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 16:33:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <cdcme29fiq3kbahuad6g977bkspm114jd7@4ax.com>
Source record: 7124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 22 Aug 2006 17:24:49 +0100, "Reg Edwards"
<g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com> wrote:

>> Some old time ham in the area needs to step in and show the scouts
>how ham
>> radio and CW can save lives and help communities in emergencies.
>>
>====================================
>
>In these days of mobile telephones, etc., morse code just gets in the
>way of emergencies.
indeed
>
>But there's nothing to prevent people who appreciate and love the
>language of Morse, the way it sings, its universality, its beauty,
>from continuing to use it way into the future.
indeed and I who profess a definate distan for the mode defend their
right to use it
>
>It is the beauty of Morse, in plain English, never mind the
>abbreviations, which boy scouts and others who show an interest should
>be taught to appreciate.
>----
>Reg, G4FGQ
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3547. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 17:23:35 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <r4HGg.3174$q63.1567@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 7175
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Reg Edwards wrote:
> But there's nothing to prevent people who appreciate and love the
> language of Morse, the way it sings, its universality, its beauty,
> from continuing to use it way into the future.

The same is true of sailing ships, hot-air balloons,
and horses. Do what turns you on and leave the
@#$%&$ federal government out of it.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3548. Re: PING: Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 17:37:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <g6gme25j5trdejh97p5a33kmltaaocdhek@4ax.com>
Source record: 7125
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:46:48 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>new_cw_ham@saintly.com wrote in
>news:1156186858.857772.22110@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com:
>
>> Wanted to thank you for your posts about the code.
>>
>> Because of you I just upgraded (Saturday) from technician to general. I
>> breezed the 5 wpm and am up to around 15wpm according to the W1AW
>> pratice broadcasts. I never would have bothered to learn CW if it
>> wasn't for you.
>>
>> I know I only "need" 5 wpm but I am not going to upgrade to extra until
>> I can cpy 25 wpm SOLID!
>>
>> This is in honor of my electronic elmer, Slow Code.
>>
>> Thanks......
>
>ROFLMAO!
>
>.... And you've made me think of possibly joining CAP.
>
>
>Testimonials like yours will bring a smile to every Real hams face. :-)
>
>Just learn the code to 22 wpm. That would be a nice edge if you still had
>to take a 20 wpm CW test. But if you can only get your speed up to 16 or
>17 words per minute, upgrade anyway. We need good people like you on the
>ham bands and on usenet. LOL
>
>
>73
>
>SC
why do you want to kil the ARS?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3549. Re: Poor Markie! - Markie, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 17:37:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <q6gme2durm28nfpqopn5u6fv7tu8h1ql30@4ax.com>
Source record: 7126
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:46:53 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote in
>news:1156167941.257256.314290@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
>> MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>> On Fri, 18 Aug 2006 08:56:55 -0400, jawod <jawod@fuse.net> wrote:
>>>
>>> >Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>>> >> an old friendless cocksucking child molester wrote:
>>> >>
>>> >>>cease
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >> Cry, you illiterate cunt, cry!
>>> >>
>>> >Nobody reads your ridiculous posts
>>> be fiar I certainly do so do you
>>
>> Markie admits to being a bitch!
>>
>>> it is good for laughs mosts day laughing a rueshaking of the head
>>
>> In English, you illiterate lying fraud.
>>
>
>
>Markie, Just ignore them.
>
>They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
>follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.
>
>Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
>more stupid.
>
>Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
>moon bounce some more or clean up your yard.
>
>SC
why do you want to kil the ARS?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3550. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent - Markie, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 17:38:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <k8gme211hhmi54fl9eu4m39u0cb968jtga@4ax.com>
Source record: 7127
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:48:26 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:1156167337.571677.251390@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>> On 18 Aug 2006 05:38:03 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
>>> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> >
>>> >an old fraud wrote:
>>> >
>>> >> cease desist tryong to speak for Houghton county
>>> >
>>> >"tryong?" HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
>>> >They already have received too many complaints about your trash filled
>>> >yard, Markie!
>>> from you in the past few weeks no doubt
>>
>> I didn't have to Markie, the county already was well aware of your
>> hazard to public health.
>>
>>>ce
>>
>> Whine, faggot, whine.
>>
>
>
>Markie, Just ignore them.
>
>They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
>follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.
>
>Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
>more stupid.
>
>Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
>moon bounce some more or clean up your yard.
>
>SC
why do you want to kil the ARS?

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3551. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 19:33:16 UTC
From: Woody <woody.shadetree@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <0_IGg.27169$uV.15986@trnddc08>
Source record: 7177
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

It was a CQD actually....
but the point is,
the ham immediately alerted the Frankfurt, Olympic, Carpathia, et al and
told them to go save lives! Being simple Marconists however; they all said
'no' so the ham
grabbed his portable radio trunk, strapped a J-38 to his leg, jumped into
his skiff and madly paddled 46 hours straight out to the stricken ship, all
the while tapping
out rescue coordination efforts with his elbow, and.... wait... I forget,
where does it go from there?

rb

"David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com> wrote in message
news:12bactjanpufjfc@corp.supernews.com...
> Cecil Moore wrote:
>
>> Dirk wrote:
>>
>>> Ham's care more about operating appliances than knowing how to save a
>>> lives.
>>
>>
>> How many times in the entire history of amateur radio
>> has a ham used CW to actually save a life? One would
>> think there would be a book full of examples by now.
>
> A ham operator intercepted the SOS from the RMS Titanic.

3552. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 19:42:37 UTC
From: Woody <woody.shadetree@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <N6JGg.27193$uV.6302@trnddc08>
Source record: 7178
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

uhhhh..... good point. Not sure what your point applies to... but OK, we all
agree that radio is a useful invention.
What were we talking about again?

rb

"David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com> wrote in message
news:12bag3q7hc7u723@corp.supernews.com...
> an old friend wrote:
>> David G. Nagel wrote:
>>
>>>Cecil Moore wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Dirk wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Ham's care more about operating appliances than knowing how to save a
>>>>>lives.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>How many times in the entire history of amateur radio
>>>>has a ham used CW to actually save a life? One would
>>>>think there would be a book full of examples by now.
>>>
>>>A ham operator intercepted the SOS from the RMS Titanic.
>>
>> how many life were saved thereby the Carpathia wheard the call and
>> arrived to save some folks what role did the ARS playing in saving even
>> one life that sorry day?
>>
>
> We aren't talking about failure to receive a CW SOS. Those ships that
> responded did so after receiving word of the sinking by radio. They saved
> many lives from the lifeboats which would otherwise have been lost to the
> cold.
>
> Dave N

3553. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 19:44:29 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <6-idnTM_Adq1wnbZRVnyuA@bt.com>
Source record: 7179
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:r4HGg.3174$q63.1567@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> Reg Edwards wrote:
> > But there's nothing to prevent people who appreciate and love the
> > language of Morse, the way it sings, its universality, its beauty,
> > from continuing to use it way into the future.
>
> The same is true of sailing ships, hot-air balloons,
> and horses. Do what turns you on and leave the
> @#$%&$ federal government out of it.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
==========

I like watching gleaming reciprocating stationary steam engines with 8 feet diameter flywheels. They turn me on too. The government doesn't interfere. But there's not many about these days. ;o(

Turbines leave me cold!

Reg.

3554. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 19:50:35 UTC
From: Woody <woody.shadetree@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <feJGg.27212$uV.13688@trnddc08>
Source record: 7180
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

The Titanic knew their coordinates.... didn't slow the influx of H20....
The responding ships had radios too... didn't turn their props any
faster....

Answer to the question.... there was no system then.
CW can punch through if there is a human on the other end, where GPS/packet
says 'no signal'....
GPS is faster, where CW takes longer....
so one is obsolete, the other inferior.

rb

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:alctg.129932$dW3.39849@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> Dave wrote:
>> David G. Nagel wrote:
>>> A ham operator intercepted the SOS from the RMS Titanic.
>>
>> Yep!! It happened once!
>
> If CW had not existed at the time, how would things have
> turned out differently? If the present GPS-based system
> had existed at the time, how would things have turned out?
> Which system is presently inferior and virtually obsolete?
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3555. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 19:56:37 UTC
From: Woody <woody.shadetree@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <VjJGg.27228$uV.5316@trnddc08>
Source record: 7181
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Good Lord, are you saying that a 1 jigawatt transmitter and an Infinity
times Pi speed ham operator couldn't telepathically float a swamped ship,
and thwart hypothermia of people in the water???
How crass.

Can you tell I'm off today and quite bored? LOL
rb

"Dave" <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:GM6dnaSGH_QW9ijZnZ2dnUVZ_oSdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Cecil Moore wrote:
>
>> Dave wrote:
>>
>>> David G. Nagel wrote:
>>>
>>>> A ham operator intercepted the SOS from the RMS Titanic.
>>>
>>>
>>> Yep!! It happened once!
>>
>>
>> If CW had not existed at the time, how would things have
>> turned out differently? If the present GPS-based system
>> had existed at the time, how would things have turned out?
>> Which system is presently inferior and virtually obsolete?
>
> C'mon Cecil, you've been licensed as long as I have. I Know you Know CW.
> Does that mean we're virtually obsolete?
>
> RE Titanic:
>
> The same result would have happened. The ship hit an iceberg in poor
> visibility. I don't think icebergs carry GPS transponders these days.
>
> Now, the Titanic's GPS; does it have transponder capability? The older GPS
> units do not. Anyway, after the crew slipped by the iceberg that ripped it
> open, the radio op gets on the air and reports "SOS" or equivalent. The
> nearest ships respond. Under conditions similar to 1914{?} the Titanic
> still sinks. Many people still die. But, now we know to 20 feet exactly
> where the ship was when it sank.
>
> GPS won't make a difference. Neither will CW today.
>

> I still enjoy CW.
>

3556. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 20:00:59 UTC
From: Woody <woody.shadetree@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <%nJGg.27240$uV.18850@trnddc08>
Source record: 7182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hey, if an alien force ever invades our planet and demands that we pass a
40wpm code test or they will annihilate the planet, then yes, it would!
Never say never.

rb

ps:
Yeah, all is see on these groups is stupidity... might as well enjoy it.
:-)
no offense to the OP's here.... just making a generalized statement about
this whole thread and others like it.

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:RYitg.48117$VE1.6593@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> Dave wrote:
>> C'mon Cecil, you've been licensed as long as I have. I Know you Know CW.
>> Does that mean we're virtually obsolete?
>
> My favorite mode is CW and it's a fun mode but it is never
> going to save the world.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3557. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 20:02:50 UTC
From: Woody <woody.shadetree@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <KpJGg.27245$uV.15283@trnddc08>
Source record: 7183
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

....and ammo, for control of rabid cw ops who finally figure out there's no one listening and come to steal said food and water....

rb

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:VgAtg.48291$VE1.42374@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> Slow Code wrote:
>> With an attitude like that it probably won't. Better keep a microphone
>> handy.
>
> Actually, what I keep handy is food and water.
> --
> 73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3558. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 20:17:10 UTC
From: Woody <woody.shadetree@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <aDJGg.27280$uV.3760@trnddc08>
Source record: 7184
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Wow.... so you could almost say the reason there was such a disaster
[notwithstanding the time period and simple circumstance] is that all these
radio operators [and companies] were acting like a bunch of egotistical
morons, each thinking their way to be better, and that most
vessels communications, wireless ops and policies were almost.....
amateur..... in nature?

LOL...
rb

"Geoffrey S. Mendelson" <gsm@mendelson.com> wrote in message
news:slrnebdhlt.flp.gsm@cable.mendelson.com...
> clfe wrote:
>> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:7Ywtg.3750$2v.1828@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
>>> It was before the Titanic hit the iceberg that the Titanic
>>> CW operator told the Californian CW operator to get off the
>>> air. He considered his normal Titanic CW message traffic to
>>> have priority over any CW traffic that the Californian might
>>> need to pass. Turns out the Californian's CW operator was
>>> the only person in the world who could have saved the life
>>> of the Titanic's CW operator.
>>> --
>>> 73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>>
>> In that case then - I stand corrected, I was unaware of that.
>
> It's totaly untrue. The Californian's radio operator ignored the
> Titanic's distress signals because the Titanic was a Marconi ship and
> the Californian was a Telefunken ship. The operators were not allowed to
> communicate with the competing company's operators under any
> circumstances under penalty of being put off the ship at first landing,
> with no hope of getting home or being hired by the other company.
>
> I recently blogged about it:
>
> http://geoffstechno.livejournal.com/2006/06/22/
>
> Geoff.
>
> --
> Geoffrey S. Mendelson, Jerusalem, Israel gsm@mendelson.com N3OWJ/4X1GM
> IL Voice: (07)-7424-1667 IL Fax: 972-2-648-1443 U.S. Voice:
> 1-215-821-1838

> Visit my 'blog at http://geoffstechno.livejournal.com/

3559. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 20:21:44 UTC
From: Woody <woody.shadetree@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <sHJGg.27292$uV.19390@trnddc08>
Source record: 7185
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

And I say I agree with the problem that mentioned for the cw being needed
and life saving station for the pc with no code.
It'll never work and if it does it will only be working a pc and if that is
not the person then it is not the same
so you can say it didn't work anyway because it wasn't a person that needed
it.
So there.
rb

"Bill Turner" <noway@nohow.com> wrote in message
news:j6kab2hpqfaob6qrm1s32ra118p8gh3h3p@4ax.com...
> ORIGINAL MESSAGE:
>
> On 12 Jul 2006 10:24:55 -0700, "an old freind"
> <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>if i was at my home station is no they would not die
>>
>>and I am as no code as they come I down right hate the mode and yet y
>>pc and station is quite able to work cw as needed to save a life if it
>>was needed
>
> ------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------
>
> He apparently hates English too.
>
> Bill, W6WRT

3560. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 20:32:59 UTC
From: Woody <woody.shadetree@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <%RJGg.27319$uV.13889@trnddc08>
Source record: 7186
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Well there ya have it folks.... 50wpm saves lives. So how does it work?
Turn up the speaker really loud and place it [face down] on the person's
chest, while
an op in South America tapped out universally accepted words that would
mimic an atrioventricular rhythm?

Wrong theatre? OK...

Maybe if a person is trapped on a sinking ship in the Indian Ocean you, in
Siberia, could tap out a message to someone in Madagascar [who happened to
be awake at an odd hour] and that person also owned a large SAR chopper,
they could jump in it, saving the time of relaying to anyone else, and go
pluck them from certain death?

OH, or better yet... if your neighbor is also a ham.... and your wife fell
over with an AMI, you could call your neighbor, give him a freq, then the
two of you
get set up and running, then you can send a 50wpm message asking your
neighbor to call an ambulance? BTW, if the phones are down, you ask him to
get in his
car and drive down to the local EMS agency, and bring them to you. Life
saved!
I'm impressed.
rb

"Dave Oldridge" <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote in message
news:Xns97FEBF9E9E651doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159...
> dj@qkd.net (Dirk) wrote in news:30954.oidi09.d0904.ddoi0@qkd.org:
>
>> Ham's care more about operating appliances than knowing how to save a
>> lives.
>
> The person would not die on my watch, as long as I could get a signal out
> and someone on the other end could copy it. I'd probably have to practice
> a bit to get back up over 50wpm, but I can do 25 or 30 all day long.
>
>
> --
> Dave Oldridge+
> ICQ 1800667

3561. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 20:37:17 UTC
From: Woody <woody.shadetree@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1WJGg.27331$uV.23399@trnddc08>
Source record: 7187
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Not true.... commercial stations ID with CW all the time. It's great for
zero-priority use.
rb

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns980282642E234elektrosmdonet@217.22.228.20...
> "clfe" <postmasternospam@clfurentnospam.com> wrote in
> news:44b94f14$0$3631$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net:
>
>> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:Xns9801992EDFA46elektrosmdonet@217.22.228.20...
>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>>> news:1152929851.865986.4740@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> If you asked the same question to someone who had only passed 5 wpm
>>> and then, like me, never used it, then I suspect the victim wouldn't
>>> make it. But then in most countries there is NO morse code testing any
>>> more, so there are plenty of hams now who've never learnt atall. For
>>> decades there have been no code VHF hams in most countries anyway.
>>>
>>
>> To "some" extent, I "may" have to disagree. I held a class once for "No
>> Code Tech" and one of the guys - a man in his 70s asked if he could go
>> for the code test even though I wasn't teaching code. He said he had
>> learned it years ago in the service - but may be rusty. Let me tell you
>> - when he was done testing, he had PERFECT copy. Was he practicing all
>> along? We'll never know - nor did I ask. He has since passed on. Some
>> people DO have a good memory and retain quite well. Others - lose
>> things almost immediately if not used. Some of us, it takes a while to
>> lose it and we usually do.
>>
>> Lou
>>
>>
>>
>
> It is possible that someone could learn at 5wpm, not use it for years, and
> still be able to use it, but I wouldn't want to bet my life on it.
>
> More to the point is I can't magine a scenario in which CW would be the
> only mode available, and that hams are about the only remaining users of
> CW.

3562. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 20:38:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <kkqme21hrd8prof3uiufepk5i9u8vs9mv0@4ax.com>
Source record: 7128
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 22 Aug 2006 20:32:59 GMT, "Woody"
<woody.shadetree@verizon.net> wrote:

>Well there ya have it folks.... 50wpm saves lives. So how does it work?
>Turn up the speaker really loud and place it [face down] on the person's
>chest, while
>an op in South America tapped out universally accepted words that would
>mimic an atrioventricular rhythm?
you know that might work althought the same result could be achieved
by having a laptop and speacker do the same thiing
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3563. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 20:49:01 UTC
From: Woody <woody.shadetree@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <15KGg.27360$uV.26734@trnddc08>
Source record: 7188
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Ha... yeah, only a ham radio operator would use a non-digital camera.....
and then it would have to be a daguerreotype.
rb

"Brian Denley" wrote in message
news:L4-dneMy-efFpCHZnZ2dnUVZ_vOdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> an old friend wrote:
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> fmmck@aol.com (Fred McKenzie) wrote in
>>> news:fmmck-1607061316520001@ac9bfd05.ipt.aol.com:
>>>
>>>> In article , "Alun L.
>>>> Palmer" wrote:
>>
>>>> "If you came upon a drowning man, and you had to choose whether to
>>>> save him or photograph his demise, what kind of film would you use?"
>>>
>>>
>>> Getting rid of CW is like choosing the kind of film.
>>>
>>> Ham radio is drowning and the anti-code hams want us to think
>>> tossing it bricks will make it float better. Dumbing things down is
>>> never an improvement.
>> nobody is talking about dummbing anything down
>>
>> you are
>> indeed you advocate dummbing down radio and giving hf only to the
>> unintelgent
>>
>>>
>>> SC
>
> Knowing CW is NO indication of any level of intelligence, technical or
> otherwise!
>
> BTW film is seeing it's last days too. Ask Kodak!
>
> --
> Brian Denley
> http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html
>

3564. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 20:55:47 UTC
From: Woody <woody.shadetree@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <nbKGg.27377$uV.16254@trnddc08>
Source record: 7189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Why would they be? 10th graders aren't interested in listening to a bunch of
60yr old men act like 8th graders.
:-)
rb

"Jimmie D" <jimmiedee@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:Ld6Gg.30663$bo6.7667@bignews7.bellsouth.net...
>
>>
>> Yes. That's understandable. Hams these days don't want to act like
>> hams,
>> they like to be appliance operators. So kids don't see that CW is
>> important and fun. All they see is hams gabbing on a microphone like any
>> CB'er can do.
>>
>> SC
>
> Actually a lot of tghe boy scouts know morse code, they still arent
> intersted in ham radio.
>

3565. Re: Morse Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 21:01:30 UTC
From: Woody <woody.shadetree@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <KgKGg.27392$uV.25872@trnddc08>
Source record: 7190
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LOL

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-6107E6.12161920072006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <TMKvg.174659$F_3.22158@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>,
> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>> Al Klein wrote:
>> > You can hear the change in noise as a carrier goes on and off. It's
>> > extremely difficult to copy high speed CW like that if the signal is
>> > strong, but a weak signal or slower CW is just as easy to copy as
>> > noise as it is to copy as a pure tone. T1 doesn't mean uncopyable, it
>> > just means ragged tone.
>>
>> So now amateurs and SWL's should be Morse code proficient
>> not only using tones but using the swishing sound made when
>> a BFO is not present?
>
> The swishing sound is coming from aliens. Try making the same sounds
> back to them. You might get a more intelligent conversation going than
> the one in this cross posted thread.
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

3566. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 21:05:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <7bsme211fs48snmemim65rf2mtqh0taaaf@4ax.com>
Source record: 7129
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 16 Jul 2006 13:59:32 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On 16 Jul 2006 18:51:21 +0200, "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
>wrote:
>
>>More to the point is I can't magine a scenario in which CW would be the
>>only mode available
>
>A transmitter with no mic, no computer - just a transmit switch. Or
>not even a transmit switch, but you can get to one of the battery
>wires. Far fetched, but it could happen.
>
>>and that hams are about the only remaining users of CW.
>
>Boy Scouts? The military no longer uses CW - what used to be passed
>by brass pounders is now passed digitally. Merchant Marine? Same
>thing. Aero commo? Same thing, except for voice. I doubt any group
>or service actually uses it these days.
and yet we still test for it and try to claim we are advancing radio
by doing it
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3567. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 21:22:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <0dtme213mn1h0ss123du9uf8f657n1v6ia@4ax.com>
Source record: 7130
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On Tue, 22 Aug 2006 20:49:01 GMT, "Woody"
wrote:

>Ha... yeah, only a ham radio operator would use a non-digital camera.....
>and then it would have to be a daguerreotype.
>rb
LOL
>
>
>"Brian Denley" wrote in message
>news:L4-dneMy-efFpCHZnZ2dnUVZ_vOdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>> an old friend wrote:
>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>> fmmck@aol.com (Fred McKenzie) wrote in
>>>> news:fmmck-1607061316520001@ac9bfd05.ipt.aol.com:
>>>>
>>>>> In article , "Alun L.
>>>>> Palmer" wrote:
>>>
>>>>> "If you came upon a drowning man, and you had to choose whether to
>>>>> save him or photograph his demise, what kind of film would you use?"
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Getting rid of CW is like choosing the kind of film.
>>>>
>>>> Ham radio is drowning and the anti-code hams want us to think
>>>> tossing it bricks will make it float better. Dumbing things down is
>>>> never an improvement.
>>> nobody is talking about dummbing anything down
>>>
>>> you are
>>> indeed you advocate dummbing down radio and giving hf only to the
>>> unintelgent
>>>
>>>>
>>>> SC
>>
>> Knowing CW is NO indication of any level of intelligence, technical or
>> otherwise!
>>
>> BTW film is seeing it's last days too. Ask Kodak!
>>
>> --

>> Brian Denley
>> http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html
>>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3568. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 21:24:28 UTC
From: Woody <woody.shadetree@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <gCKGg.6302$E_.5047@trnddc06>
Source record: 7191
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Yep, and a single point mentioning that if it were anything other than a
simple hobby, your arguments would matter.

But since it isn't...

rb

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:6gr3d21444qdt5m6mr1e1jpavltvso929p@4ax.com...
> On 2 Aug 2006 20:05:21 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>>Al Klein wrote:
>>> On 23 Jul 2006 07:26:05 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>>> >> >how balanced is to to place CW over all over ham knowledge?
>
>>> >> No one is, any more than by requiring people to know the law one is
>>> >> putting the law "over all ham knowledge".
>
>>> >CW is pass/fail. To fail CW denies all HF privs (except for Alaska).
>
>>> Theory is also pass/fail. To fail to get the required number of
>>> correct answers denies all privs - HF, VHF, UHF ...
>
>>There is no pass/fail practical for SSB, FM, AM, FSTV, SSTV, RTTY, FAX,
>>Packet, PSK, etc, etc, etc.
>
> There's no test at all, so those claiming that the reason they want a
> test for CW dropped because it's not "modern" have no argument - they
> want no test for FSTV, SSTV, RTTY (which is also pretty old hat),
> packet, PSK, etc. They want no test at all, unless they can memorize
> a few answers to "pass" it.
>
>>> >> >How progressive is it?
>
>>> >> How progressive is it to not require people to know ... oh, yeah,
>>> >> that's progressive, since the new thing is to hand out licenses
>>> >> because people have some kind of "right" to get on the air.
>
>>> >Then why is it with the prospect of losing the CW Exam, that you'se
>>> >guys want to "beef up" the written exams?
>
>>> We don't.
>

>>That is not true.
>
> Sure it is. "Beefing up" the written exam is a counter to "drop CW
> because it's old fashioned". If you want modern you want the testing
> to be turned from CW to modern modes. Those who want CW dropped just
> want what they can't memorize dropped so they can get a ticket without
> really being tested on anything. Actually knowing anything is so old
> fashioned, isn't it?
>
>>> We want to get back the level it used to be before it was
>>> dumbed down to the point that you could almost pass it if you never
>>> heard of the FCC, ham radio or electronics.
>
>>You're referring to the Conditional license, right?
>
> No, I'm not addressing *where* the test is held at all - I'm
> addressing *whether* there's any real test, which there isn't, except
> for CW right now. Spitting out something you memorized is only a test
> of memory.
>
>>> Just by guessing at the
>>> answers. It used to require that you draw (was it 3?) schematics.
>
>>You tell me? Was it 2 or was it 3?
>
> I don't remember after almost 50 years - but I could still draw them
> today, and it's not a test of remembering what's on the test, it's a
> test of knowing what's in a radio.
>
>>> From scratch. Let's see how many people could do that today. A
>>> Colpitts oscillator, a Hartley oscillator and some other circuit that
>>> I've forgotten at the moment.
>
>>You should self-modify your license and cease amateur operation until
>>you remember.
>
> Why? Testing isn't about memory, it's about knowledge.
>
>>The amateur is self-policing, and you no longer meet your own standard.
>
> Sure I do. The test wasn't to remember what circuits to draw, it was
> to draw them. And I can draw them any time.
>
>>> They're still as relevant today as they were 50 years ago.
>
>>Other things are relevant today that weren't even known 50 years ago.
>
> So let's have them on the test.
>
> Oops, that's right - no more relevant testing, isn't that what people

> are asking for? Just give me the answers so I can memorize them and
> pick them out on the test.
>
>>> >> >how loyal is it to denny the nation the benifits of allowing more
>>> >> >operators
>
>>> >> What "benefits" does the country get from more people using radios
>>> >> who
>>> >> don't know the first thing about them? (Whatever "denny" means.)
>
>>> >It's always been that way. You could even buy Heathkits already
>>> >assembled.
>
>>> But you had to actually *know* a little theory to use one legally.
>
>>No you didn't.
>
> Yes, you did - you had to pass a test to show that you did. All you
> have to do now is memorize a few answers.
>
>>I used radios in the military. I never used a CW key in the military.
>>I never jammed another operator, although Brandywine asked me to reduce
>>power once.
>
> But you had to learn how to use the radios. Hams today don't - they
> memorize a few answers, buy equipment and get on the air - with no
> understanding of what they're doing, and no desire to learn.
>
>>> That's exactly what he's talking about. Give someone a radio and a
>>> "license" to use it and he'll "acquire the skill to be ready for
>>> service to country and community". That's what Mark said, right up
>>> above. How does one acquire skill by playing radio?
>
>>We self-train.
>
> You may, but I can see from many of the comments that have been posted
> here that a lot of people don't. They don't want to learn, they want
> to get on the air. Period.
>
>> It is a continuous process of improvements. You
>>mistakenly believe that at the conclusion of The Exam, the "operator"
>>is 100%.
>
> And you mistakenly believe that most hams today want to learn how to
> operate properly. Listen to 75 some evenings.
>
>>> But that's what Mark and his ilk want - we'll have "skilled operators"
>>> if we allow people to buy radios and put them on the air with no skill
>>> or knowledge. By osmosis? Or by magic?
>

>>I've listened to emergency responders on a scanner before. They don't
>>use Morse Code, they don't use CW. They use FM/Voice. Somehow they
>>are effective at it, not having taken a Morse Code test. How can this
>>be?
>
> They were trained.
>
>>> So you'd get a license not knowing CW, build a radio (you couldn't buy
>>> one then) and ... what? Sit and look at it. Some things are just too
>>> obvious to need mentioning.
>
>>Please diagram that radio from "Scratch."
>
> Any time. Filter or phasing? BFO receive or quadrature detection?
> I've designed them, built them and used them, and still could.
>
>>> Evidently not, or I'd be the only one in the world advocating that a
>>> test should actually test for something. There are actually millions
>>> of us who don't think lack of instant gratification is the worst thing
>>> in the world.
>
>>Dial 911 and tell the operator that you don't need instant
>>gratification, take your time.
>
> Very bad example of an attempt at sarcasm and a misunderstanding of
> "gratification".
>
>>> What next? DXCC awards for those who *want* to work 100 countries?
>
>>You seem to be confused. DXCC is an award offered by the ARRL, not the
>>FCC. It has nothing to do with licensing.
>
> But an award for wanting has to do with "I want it so it's my right to
> have it", which is what I'm talking about. No one has any "right" to
> get on the air.

3569. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 21:31:18 UTC
From: Woody <woody.shadetree@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <GIKGg.6695$E_.2897@trnddc06>
Source record: 7192
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Won't work.... memorizing is learning. You know where you live because you memorized it. You know what a diode is because you read it somewhere. Reading a book or taking a class on radio would require you retain [memorize] what you are told or read.

The information on radio should be kept secret, and the real test would be this:
Here's a radio. Take it with you. Come back in 30 days and explain how it works.

Then you get your owner's license, and can buy a radio.

Next test is to listen on-air to the CW [as there is no reason to use phone, if you already speak a language.] and figure out the code without any text or charts.

Then you get your operator's license.

When you can do that, then you can act like you've accomplished something.

rb

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:LInAg.689$%j7.344@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
> K4YZ wrote:
>> Cecil Moore wrote:
>>> Then why isn't knowledge of Morse code and the CW mode
>>> sufficient? Why must someone be forced to memorize
>>> the individual characters?
>>
>> Probably, Cecil, since it would then make it difficult to pass the
>> test.
>
> You missed the point. The Morse code skill exam requires
> memorizing the characters. Memorizing is being condemned
> as an evil act. Since memorizing is evil, the Morse code
> skill exam should be the first thing to be eliminated.
> --
> 73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3570. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 21:38:41 UTC
From: Woody <woody.shadetree@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <BPKGg.7040$E_.5394@trnddc06>
Source record: 7193
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

This reply has absolutely no significance or meaning. It was just a good
place to add a reply.
Helps even out the sawtooth shape of the posts as I scroll down them.
rb

"an old friend" <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1154741161.913572.107030@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> Bill Turner wrote:
>> ORIGINAL MESSAGE:
>>
>> On 4 Aug 2006 14:18:12 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >i don't it was pretty for me one day work on one of these bike races
>> >the served organizers heard the reapteer CW id asked what it read I
>> >said hame were no longer required to be to read them and I could not,
>> >time change ignorance fixed
>>
>> ------------ REPLY SEPARATOR ------------
>>
>> Text like the above is what comes out when I try to copy CW.
> can you still read it when you do it
>>
>> Bill, W6WRT
>> 20 WPM Extra, but just barely
>

3571. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 22:10:17 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1156284617.050388.132430@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7194
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: Woody on Tues, Aug 22 2006 12:50 pm

>The Titanic knew their coordinates.... didn't slow the influx of H20....
>The responding ships had radios too... didn't turn their props any
>faster....

The year was 1912...NINETY-FOUR YEARS AGO.

>Answer to the question.... there was no system then.

The predecessor organization for SOLAS had not yet made 500 KHz
the international distress and safety frequency. "SOLAS" is an
acronym for Safety Of Life At Sea.

>CW can punch through if there is a human on the other end, where GPS/packet
>says 'no signal'....
>GPS is faster, where CW takes longer....
>so one is obsolete, the other inferior.

The International Maritime Community settled the 'morse issue.'
They DROPPED it in favor of GMDSS (Global Marine Distress and
Safety System), a semi-automated system which can be operated
by anyone of the bridge crew on a ship (it needs little
instruction on use). GMDSS messages are automatically routed
to ground stations (note plural) via satellite relay. Those
ground stations can coordinate rescue missions.

A shipboard GMDS station doesn't HAVE to have a GPS receiver
to feed it position data but all those which have one have
no complaint about this alleged "loss of signal." Position
data can be entered manually to a GMDS station. The bridge
crew will have a running record of the ship's position in
either event.

The United States Coast Guard has DROPPED continuous
monitoring of the 500 KHz distress frequency some years
ago. Several other countries have done so.

A following question is WHO will you believe on the efficacy
of communications? The entire international maritime
community or a bunch of myth-happy amateur morsemen?

In a sentient, intelligent mind, ANY form of communications
is good for use in matters involving life and death. The
FCC thinks (rightly) so and says as much in Part 1 of Title
47 C.F.R. [Part 97 is not the entirety of regulations on

amateur radio in the USA]

In a preceding message set:

>"Cecil Moore" <myc...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> Dave wrote:
>>> David G. Nagel wrote:
>
>>>> A ham operator intercepted the SOS from the RMS Titanic.
>
>>> Yep!! It happened once!

It happened NINETY-FOUR YEARS AGO.

>> If CW had not existed at the time, how would things have
>> turned out differently? If the present GPS-based system
>> had existed at the time, how would things have turned out?

I have to fault Cecil's erudite and intelligent mindset
on that...although his motor looks good in his picture. :-)

One CANNOT base any intelligent argument about ALTERNATE
universes of different times and places. It hasn't
happened in our present time-space continuum.

In 1912 "radio" was in its infancy, having been first shown
and demonstrated as a communications medium just 16 years
prior. There were extremely few ships which had vacuum
tubes as active devices to aid those first "radios." The
tube was only 6 years old, the triode invented in 1906.

To argue about "GPS" (which is not an integral part of
GMDSS but can be) versus morse code is ludicrous. GPS
relies on a time-frequency standard within each of the
24 GPS satellites which is comparable to the best time-
frequency source at NIST. [the quartz crystal
oscillator wasn't yet invented in 1912] Each satellite
needs solid-state circuitry to make it function within
a relatively small package. [the best "solid-state"
device of 1912 was a galena crystal detector with its
famous "cat's whisker"] The whole GPSS needed rocketry
advanced enough to put all the satellites into orbit.
[rocketry wasn't perfected for that purpose until after
WW2] Those rockets needed launch guidance aided by
radar systems. [radar, or rather a primitive system of
it, wasn't tried until 1932 in a harbor area of France]

However, "morse code" was used in the landline Morse-Vail
Telegraph System working before the American Civil War
and simple enough to turn a spark transmitter on and off
as on the Titanic.

>> Which system is presently inferior and virtually obsolete?

On-off keyed CW.

Except in the mindset of the ARRL. The IARU knows better.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3572. Re: 30 Steps, Monthy post. Tips for Phonies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 22:12:12 UTC
From: Jim Douglas <jimddouglas@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <0jLGg.27636$uV.7937@trnddc08>
Source record: 7195
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

ZBM2

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 00:07:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>> The 30 Steps below will help all New Hams, it will also help you to find
>> others just like yourself. These 30 steps should also be added to the
>> present writtens.
>>
>>
>> Step One: Use as many "Q" signals as possible. Yes, I know they were
>> invented solely for CW and are totally inappropriate for two meter FM, but
>> they are fun and entertaining. They keep people guessing as to what you
>> really meant. I.E. "I'm going to QSY to the phone." Can you really change
>> frequencies to the phone? QSL used to mean, "I am acknowledging receipt",
>> but now it appears to mean, "yes" or "OK". I guess I missed it when the
>> ARRL changed the meaning. It is also best to use "OK" and "QSL" together.
>> Redundancy is the better part of Lid-dom.
>>
>> Step Two: Never laugh when you can say "HI HI". No one will ever know you
>> aren't a long time CW rag-chewer if you don't tell them. They'll think
>> you've been on since the days of Marconi.
>>
>> Step Three: Utilize an alternative vocabulary. Use words like "destinated"
>> and "negatory". It's OK to make up your own words here. I.E. "Yeah Tom, I
>> "pheelbart zaphonix" occasionally myself."
>>
>> Step Four: Always say "XX4XXX" (Insert your own call) "for I.D." As
>> mentioned in Step One, anything that creates redundancy is always
>> encouraged. That's why we have the Department of Redundancy Department.
>> (Please note that you can follow your call with "for identification
>> purposes" instead of "for I.D." While taking longer to say, it is worth
>> more "LID points".
>>
>> Step Five: The better the copy on two meter FM, the more you should use
>> phonetics. Names should be especially used if they are short or common
>> ones. I.E. "My name is Al... Alpha Lima" or "Jack.. Juliet Alpha Charlie
>> Kilo." If at all possible use the less common HF phonetics "A4SM...
>> America, Number Four, Sugar Mexico." And for maximum "LID points", make up
>> unintelligible phonetics. "My name is Bob... Billibong Oregano
>> Bumperpool."
>>
>> Step Six: Always give the calls of yourself and everyone who is (or has

>> been) in the group, whether they are still there or not. While this has
>> been unnecessary for years, it is still a great memory test. You may also
>> use "and the group" if you are an "old timer" or just have a bad memory.
>> Extra points for saying everyone's call and then clearing in a silly way
>> "K2PKK, Chow, Chow."
>>
>> Step Seven: Whenever possible, use the wrong terminology. It keeps people
>> guessing. Use "modulation" when you mean "deviation", and vice-versa.
>>
>> Step Eight: If someone asks for a break, always finish your turn, taking
>> as long as possible before turning it over. Whenever possible, pass it
>> around a few times first. This will discourage the breaker, and if it is
>> an emergency, encourage him to switch to another repeater and not bother
>> you.
>>
>> Step Nine: Always ask involved questions of the person who is trying to
>> sign out. Never let him get by with just a "yes" or "no" answer. Make it a
>> question that will take him a long time to answer.
>>
>> Step Ten: The less you know on a subject, the more you should speculate
>> about it in the roundtable. Also the amount of time you spend on the
>> subject should be inversely proportionate to your knowledge of the subject
>> even though you have no damn clue.
>>
>> Step Eleven: Always make sure you try to communicate with only a handheld
>> and a rubber duck antenna. Also, make sure you work through a repeater
>> that you can hear very well, but it cannot hear you. This will put out a
>> kind of "LID mating call": "Well, Joe, I can hear the repeater just fine
>> here. I wonder why it can't hear me?" You will score maximum LID points if
>> you are mobile, and with the radio lying in the passenger seat.
>>
>> Step Twelve: If you hear two amateurs start a conversation, wait until
>> they are twenty seconds into their contact, and then break in to make a
>> call, or better yet to use the auto-patch. Make sure you keep the repeater
>> tied up for at least three minutes. This way, once the two have
>> re-established contact, they won't even remember what they were talking
>> about.
>>
>> Step Thirteen: You hear someone on the repeater giving directions to a
>> visiting amateur. Even if the directions are good, make sure you break in
>> with your own "alternate route but better way to get there" version. This
>> is most effective with several other "would-be LIDs", each giving a
>> different route. By the time the visiting amateur unscrambles all the
>> street names whizzing by in his mind, he should have moved out of the
>> range of the repeater. This keeps you from having to stick around to help
>> the guy get back out of town, later.
>>
>> Step Fourteen: If an annoying station is bothering you, make sure your
>> other "LID" buddies have a "coded" frequency list. Even though "CODES" are
>> strictly forbidden on Amateur Radio, it's really neat to practice "James

>> Bond" tactics.
>>
>> Step Fifteen: Always use the National Calling Frequency for general
>> conversations. The more uninteresting, the longer you should use it. Extra
>> points are awarded if you have recently move from an adjacent frequency
>> for no reason. Make sure when DX is "rolling" in on 52.525 that you hang
>> out there and talk to your friends five miles down the road about the good
>> old CB days!
>>
>> Step Sixteen: Make sure that if you have a personal problem with someone,
>> you should voice your opinion in a public forum, especially a net. Make
>> sure you give their name, call, and any other identifying remarks. For
>> maximum points, make sure the person in question is not on the repeater,
>> or not available.
>>
>> Step Seventeen: Make sure you say the first few words of each transmission
>> twice, especially if it is the same thing each time. Like "roger, roger"
>> or "fine business, fine business". I cannot stress enough about
>> encouraging redundancy.
>>
>> Step Eighteen: If you hear a conversation on a local repeater, break in
>> and ask how each station is receiving you. Of course they will only see
>> the signal of the repeater you are using, but it's that magic moment when
>> you can find a fellow "LID", and get the report. Extra points are awarded
>> if you are using a base station, and the repeater is less than twenty-five
>> air miles from you.
>>
>> Step Nineteen: Use the repeater for an hour or two at a time, preventing
>> others from using it. Better yet, do it on a daily basis. Your quest is to
>> make people so sick of hearing your voice every time they turn on their
>> radio, they'll move to another frequency. This way you'll lighten the load
>> on the repeater, leaving even more time for you to talk on it.
>>
>> Step Twenty: See just how much flutter you can generate by operating at
>> handheld power levels too far away from the repeater. Engage people in
>> conversations when you know they wont be able to copy half of what your
>> saying. Even when they say your uncopyable, continue to string them along
>> by making further transmissions. See just how frustrated you can make the
>> other amateur before he finally signs off in disgust.
>>
>> Step Twenty One: Use lots of radio jargon. After all, it makes you feel
>> important using words ordinary people don't say. Who cares if it makes you
>> sound like you just fell off Channel 19 on the citizen's Band? Use phrases
>> such as "Roger on that", "10-4", "I'm on the side", "Your making the trip"
>> and "Negatory on that".
>>
>> Step Twenty Two: Use excessive microphone gain. See just how loud you can
>> make your audio. Make sure the audio gain is so high that other amateurs
>> can hear any bugs crawling on your floor. If mobile, make sure the wind
>> noise is loud enough that others have to strain to pick your words out

>>from all the racket.
>> Step Twenty Three: Start every transmission with the word "Roger" or
>> "QSL". Sure, you don't need to acknowledge that you received the other
>> transmission in full. After all, you would simply ask for a repeat if you
>> missed something. But consider it your gift to the other amateur to give
>> him solace every few seconds that his transmissions are being received.
>>
>> Step Twenty Four: When looking for a contact on a repeater, always say
>> your "listening" or "monitoring" multiple times. I've always found that at
>> least a half dozen times or so is good. Repeating your multiple
>> "listening" ID's every 10 to 15 seconds is even better. Those people who
>> didn't want to talk to you will eventually call you, hoping you'll go away
>> after you have finally made a contact.
>>
>> Step Twenty Five: Always use a repeater, even if you can work the other
>> station easily on simplex ... especially if you can make the contact on
>> simplex. The coverage of the repeater you use should be inversely
>> proportional to your distance from the other station.
>>
>> Step Twenty Six: When on repeaters using courtesy tones, you should always
>> say "over". Courtesy tones are designed to let everyone know when you have
>> unkeyed but don't let that stop you. Say "over", "back to you" or "go
>> ahead". It serves no useful purpose but don't worry, it's still fun!
>>
>> Step Twenty Seven: Use the repeater's autopatch for frivolous routine
>> calls... especially during morning or evening commute times. While pulling
>> into the neighborhood, call home to let them know you'll be there in two
>> minutes.... or, call your spouse to complain about the bad day you had at
>> work. After all, the club has "measured rate" service on their phone line
>> so they get charged for each autopatch call. Your endeavor is to make so
>> many patches in a year that you cost the club at least $20 in phone bills.
>> That way you'll feel you got your money's worth for your dues!
>>
>> Step Twenty Eight: Never say "My name is ....." It makes you sound human.
>> If at all possible, use one of the following phrases: a) "The personal
>> here is ..." b) "The handle here is..."
>>
>> Step Twenty Nine: Use "73" and "88" incorrectly. Both are already
>> considered plural, but add a "s" to the end anyway. Say "73's" or "88's".
>> Who cares if it means "best regards" and "love and kisses." Better yet,
>> say "seventy thirds"! (By the way, seventy thirds equals about 23.3).
>>
>> Step Thirty: If the repeater is off the air for service, complain about
>> the fact that it was off the air as soon as it's turned back on. Act as
>> though your entire day has been ruined because the repeater wasn't
>> available when you wanted to use it. Even thought you have never paid a
>> penny to help out with the upkeep of it.
> still think you are helping the ARS
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

3573. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 22:19:49 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1156285189.249991.19140@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7196
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: M...@kb9rqz.com on Mon, Aug 21 2006 6:30 pm

><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
>>From: an old friend on Mon, Aug 21 2006 3:16 pm
>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Aug 20 2006 2:57 pm
>
>>>> >If the league pushes the morse testing issue too hard, it will become
>>>> >obvious to the 25% that are members.
>
>>>> I don't think so. The Amateur Radiotelegraphy Society is very
>>>> firmly SET in their ideas of keeping the "heritage" and
>>>> "tradition" of being a living museum of archaic radio. Those
>>>> firm believers and worshippers at the Church of St. Hiram are
>>>> disciples and they haven't had their last supper yet.
>
>>>I have no objection to them trying to prservs thier mode the ARS is big
>>>enough even for unproductive thing
>
>> It's "minority rule" when ARRL lobbies for preservation of
>> morse code test for any amateur radio license class. The
>> ARRL membership is slightly less than a quarter of all US
>> amateur radio licensees.
>
>>>> >Don't know if you've heard yet, but the ARRL and robesin announced that
>>>> >MARS and TSA have an agreement for armageddon communications.
>
>>>> Heh heh, I wouldn't doubt it... :-)
>
>>>> [via "giant meteor bounce?" ... off the earth, that is? :-)]
>
>>>> I thought Robesin had put on his (invisible) USMC uniform and
>>>> was busy pounding brass with the USCG offshore from Beirut to
>>>> evacuate US civilians? :-)
>
>>>now that remark I must take you to task for
>
>>>the last thing we want to sugest that robeson might wear is something
>>>invisible now that image IS a sexauly distrubing one
>
>> Ahem...my reference was the old fairy tale, "The Emperor's New
>> Clothes." :-)
>

>i thougt as much OTOH the image of robeson nude is still well

To me it is UNwell... :-)

>> That's the one where a full-of-himself ruler ordered some new
>> clothes and the tailor buttered him up (while not sewing any
>> new clothes) so much that the Emperor bought into this pandering
>> to his ego and appeared in public with his "new clothes" (he was
>> naked). Needless to say, the public laughed and laughed at this
>> ridiculous spectacle. :-)
>
>indeed i laugh at him myself ruefully
>
>with the added though that this is thebest the procoder can muster

Robesin is merely a product of the "incentive" licensing
system where all those who hunger for being a "somebody"
can get a Title - Rank - Privilege through a singular skill.

I didn't make that system, neither did you, neither did
anyone in these four forums. The FCC took a big chunk out
of it (license classes and morsemanship skill) with the
Restructuring of 2000 and that pissed off the Title-Rank-
Status seekers. Devout morsemen are angry and venting steam
because their self-esteem has fallen.

>> Robeson has been all full of himself in here about his alleged
>> "USMC service" yet he has presented zero-point-zero evidence
>> from anyone else (or any legitimate agency) that he ever
>> served on active USMC duty for any of his claimed "18 years."

Simply amazing. EIGHTEEN years alleged on active duty and
he can't supply a single photo or document to support his
claim? In November of this year I can truthfully say I've
been in the southern California aerospace business 50 years.
I have all sorts of documentation and photos on that which
I may fully digitize some day (some are already digitized).
Some time ago I posted my resume in here...which only made
Robesin ballistic then since he has NO comparable
experience in industry and cannot prove any radio experience
other than amateur and alleged "chief operator" status at
some small MARS station long ago. [that was before his
less-than-a-half-year as a purchasing agent at a small
set top box maker]

In another recent post, Robesin keeps referring to a "CV."
That's an acronym for the Latin 'curriculum vitae,' a list
of life experiences (education, work experience). In the
electronics industry, indeed in MOST industries, those
applying for jobs don't present a curriculum vitae, just a
RESUME of education-work experience. Some academics may
use "CV" but Personnel departments still look over resumes.

Just one more little gaffe on Robesin's part, trying to
LOOK experienced when he is NOT.

>> Even though he NOW thinks of himself AS the amateur radio
>> service personified (anything against him is somehow against
>> ALL radio amateurs), he is still parodying the "Emperor."

Another sign of his megalomania, purporting to "represent
all" and, by extension, anyone against Him is "against all
radio amateurs." Robesin desperately needs SOMETHING to
hold up his self-esteem and he uses amateur radio for that
selfish purpose. It is like his infamous snot-on-the-
moustache CAP flight suit picture, big on rank, title, and
with implications of status. CAP is NOT about amateur
radio but Robesin keeps on harping about it as if it
"proved" something about his amateur radio abilities. He
does the same with his "ER nurse qualifications" but those
have absolutely nothing to do with radio, amateur or
professional.

But, challenge Robesin or call him in error and one will be
inundated with personal insults. Robeson MUST be right and
he MUST rule. Civil comportment be damned with Robeson in
newsgroups. Those newsgroups were (in his mind) created to
showcase Him?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3574. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 22:29:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <121ne2pq8rntcmb90l7lrj30qmk3voaioq@4ax.com>
Source record: 7132
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 22 Aug 2006 15:19:49 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>From: M...@kb9rqz.com on Mon, Aug 21 2006 6:30 pm
>
>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
>>>From: an old friend on Mon, Aug 21 2006 3:16 pm
>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Aug 20 2006 2:57 pm
>>
>>>>> >If the league pushes the morse testing issue too hard, it will become
>>>>> >obvious to the 25% that are members.
>>
>>>>> I don't think so. The Amateur Radiotelegraphy Society is very
>>>>> firmly SET in their ideas of keeping the "heritage" and
>>>>> "tradition" of being a living museum of archaic radio. Those
>>>>> firm believers and worshippers at the Church of St. Hiram are
>>>>> disciples and they haven't had their last supper yet.
>>
>>>>I have no objection to them trying to prservs thier mode the ARS is big
>>>>enough even for unproductive thing
>>
>>> It's "minority rule" when ARRL lobbies for preservation of
>>> morse code test for any amateur radio license class. The
>>> ARRL membership is slightly less than a quarter of all US
>>> amateur radio licensees.
>>
>>>>> >Don't know if you've heard yet, but the ARRL and robesin announced that
>>>>> >MARS and TSA have an agreement for armageddon communications.
>>
>>>>> Heh heh, I wouldn't doubt it... :-)
>>
>>>>> [via "giant meteor bounce?" ... off the earth, that is? :-)]
>>
>>>>> I thought Robesin had put on his (invisible) USMC uniform and
>>>>> was busy pounding brass with the USCG offshore from Beirut to
>>>>> evacuate US civilians? :-)
>>
>>>>now that remark I must take you to task for
>>
>>>>the last thing we want to sugest that robeson might wear is something
>>>>invisible now that image IS a sexauly distrubing one
>>

>>> Ahem...my reference was the old fairy tale, "The Emperor's New
>>> Clothes." :-)
>>
>>i thougt as much OTOH the image of robeson nude is still well
>
> To me it is UNwell... :-)
thinking much about him makes me feel unwell myself

one of the reason I refuse to spend any time proofing a response to
him
>
>>> That's the one where a full-of-himself ruler ordered some new
>>> clothes and the tailor buttered him up (while not sewing any
>>> new clothes) so much that the Emperor bought into this pandering
>>> to his ego and appeared in public with his "new clothes" (he was
>>> naked). Needless to say, the public laughed and laughed at this
>>> ridiculous spectacle. :-)
>>
>>indeed i laugh at him myself ruefully
>>
>>with the added though that this is thebest the procoder can muster
>
> Robesin is merely a product of the "incentive" licensing
> system where all those who hunger for being a "somebody"
> can get a Title - Rank - Privilege through a singular skill.
>
> I didn't make that system, neither did you, neither did
> anyone in these four forums. The FCC took a big chunk out
> of it (license classes and morsemanship skill) with the
> Restructuring of 2000 and that pissed off the Title-Rank-
> Status seekers. Devout morsemen are angry and venting steam
> because their self-esteem has fallen.
>
BTW several Ham over on QRZ have posted that they have received word
derect from the FCC that the R&O is due out soon

that should drive some of them over the edge
>>> Robeson has been all full of himself in here about his alleged
>>> "USMC service" yet he has presented zero-point-zero evidence
>>> from anyone else (or any legitimate agency) that he ever
>>> served on active USMC duty for any of his claimed "18 years."
>
> Simply amazing. EIGHTEEN years alleged on active duty and
> he can't supply a single photo or document to support his
> claim? In November of this year I can truthfully say I've
> been in the southern California aerospace business 50 years.
> I have all sorts of documentation and photos on that which
> I may fully digitize some day (some are already digitized).
> Some time ago I posted my resume in here...which only made
> Robesin ballistic then since he has NO comparable
> experience in industry and cannot prove any radio experience

> other than amateur and alleged "chief operator" status at
> some small MARS station long ago. [that was before his
> less-than-a-half-year as a purchasing agent at a small
> set top box maker]
>
> In another recent post, Robesin keeps referring to a "CV."
> That's an acronym for the Latin 'curriculum vitae,' a list
> of life experiences (education, work experience). In the
> electronics industry, indeed in MOST industries, those
> applying for jobs don't present a curriculum vitae, just a
> RESUME of education-work experience. Some academics may
> use "CV" but Personnel departments still look over resumes.
> Just one more little gaffe on Robesin's part, trying to
> LOOK experienced when he is NOT.
>
not tryiong to look more experenced but more cultured I think
>>> Even though he NOW thinks of himself AS the amateur radio
>>> service personified (anything against him is somehow against
>>> ALL radio amateurs), he is still parodying the "Emperor."
>
> Another sign of his megalomania, purporting to "represent
> all" and, by extension, anyone against Him is "against all
> radio amateurs." Robesin desperately needs SOMETHING to
> hold up his self-esteem and he uses amateur radio for that
> selfish purpose. It is like his infamous snot-on-the-
> moustache CAP flight suit picture, big on rank, title, and
> with implications of status. CAP is NOT about amateur
> radio but Robesin keeps on harping about it as if it
> "proved" something about his amateur radio abilities. He
> does the same with his "ER nurse qualifications" but those
> have absolutely nothing to do with radio, amateur or
> professional.
>
> But, challenge Robesin or call him in error and one will be
> inundated with personal insults. Robeson MUST be right and
> he MUST rule. Civil compartment be damned with Robeson in
> newsgroups. Those newsgroups were (in his mind) created to
> showcase Him?
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3575. If Lennie Anderson Had To Tell The Truth Once, Would Bill Clinton Swear Off Big Mac's and White House Interns? With "Engineers" Like Lennie, It's No Wonder Everything Says "Made In Someplace Other Than The United States"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 22:31:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1156285869.664544.112970@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7197
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org tried the same old tired rhetoric:

Huge snip of same stuff Lennie's trashed the NG with for years.....

> But, challenge Robesin or call him in error and one will be
> inundated with personal insults. Robeson MUST be right and
> he MUST rule. Civil comportment be damned with Robeson in
> newsgroups. Those newsgroups were (in his mind) created to
> showcase Him?

No, Lennie...All YOU had to do was start in with the Nazi terms,
elitist, etc etc etc.

Google archives refer.

I'd say you had a pretty good insight to yourself....

Hmmmmmm...Lessee......

> But, challenge (Anderson) or call him in error and one will be
> inundated with personal insults. (Anderson) MUST be right and
> he MUST rule. Civil comportment be damned with (Anderson) in
> newsgroups. Those newsgroups were (in his mind) created to
> showcase Him?

Yep.

Perfect fit.

Steve, K4YZ

3576. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 22:31:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <eb1ne2hdreo2dsmpurbq11jofoe3enb3c3@4ax.com>
Source record: 7133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 22 Aug 2006 15:10:17 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>From: Woody on Tues, Aug 22 2006 12:50 pm
>
>
>>The Titanic knew their coordinates.... didn't slow the influx of H20....
>>The responding ships had radios too... didn't turn their props any
>>faster....
>
> The year was 1912...NINETY-FOUR YEARS AGO.
>
>>Answer to the question.... there was no system then.
>
> The predecessor organization for SOLAS had not yet made 500 KHz
> the international distress and safety frequency. "SOLAS" is an
> acronym for Safety Of Life At Sea.
>
>>CW can punch through if there is a human on the other end, where GPS/packet
>>says 'no signal'....
>>GPS is faster, where CW takes longer....
>>so one is obsolete, the other inferior.
>
> The International Maritime Community settled the 'morse issue.'
> They DROPPED it in favor of GMDSS (Global Marine Distress and
> Safety System), a semi-automated system which can be operated
> by anyone of the bridge crew on a ship (it needs little
> instruction on use). GMDSS messages are automatically routed
> to ground stations (note plural) via satellite relay. Those
> ground stations can coordinate rescue missions.
>
> A shipboard GMDS station doesn't HAVE to have a GPS receiver
> to feed it position data but all those which have one have
> no complaint about this alleged "loss of signal." Position
> data can be entered manually to a GMDS station. The bridge
> crew will have a running record of the ship's position in
> either event.

Inded if the distress a small private like mine for example my GPS
stil tells me where I last was
>
> The United States Coast Guard has DROPPED continuous
> monitoring of the 500 KHz distress frequency some years
> ago. Several other countries have done so.

>
> A following question is WHO will you believe on the efficacy
> of communications? The entire international maritime
> community or a bunch of myth-happy amateur morsemen?
>
> In a sentient, intelligent mind, ANY form of communications
> is good for use in matters involving life and death. The
> FCC thinks (rightly) so and says as much in Part 1 of Title
> 47 C.F.R. [Part 97 is not the entirety of regulations on
> amateur radio in the USA]
>
> --------------------
>
> In a preceding message set:
>
>>"Cecil Moore" <myc...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> Dave wrote:
>>>> David G. Nagel wrote:
>>
>>>>> A ham operator intercepted the SOS from the RMS Titanic.
>>
>>>> Yep!! It happened once!
>
> It happened NINETY-FOUR YEARS AGO.
>
>>> If CW had not existed at the time, how would things have
>>> turned out differently? If the present GPS-based system
>>> had existed at the time, how would things have turned out?
>
> I have to fault Cecil's erudite and intelligent mindset
> on that...although his motor looks good in his picture. :-)
>
> One CANNOT base any intelligent argument about ALTERNATE
> universes of different times and places. It hasn't
> happened in our present time-space continuum.
>
> In 1912 "radio" was in its infancy, having been first shown
> and demonstrated as a communications medium just 16 years
> prior. There were extremely few ships which had vacuum
> tubes as active devices to aid those first "radios." The
> tube was only 6 years old, the triode invented in 1906.
>
> To argue about "GPS" (which is not an integral part of
> GMDSS but can be) versus morse code is ludicrous. GPS
> relies on a time-frequency standard within each of the
> 24 GPS satellites which is comparable to the best time-
> frequency source at NIST. [the quartz crystal
> oscillator wasn't yet invented in 1912] Each satellite
> needs solid-state circuitry to make it function within
> a relatively small package. [the best "solid-state"

> device of 1912 was a galena crystal detector with its
> famous "cat's whisker"] The whole GPSS needed rocketry
> advanced enough to put all the satellites into orbit.
> [rocketry wasn't perfected for that purpose until after
> WW2] Those rockets needed launch guidance aided by
> radar systems. [radar, or rather a primitive system of
> it, wasn't tried until 1932 in a harbor area of France]
>
> However, "morse code" was used in the landline Morse-Vail
> Telegraph System working before the American Civil War
> and simple enough to turn a spark transmitter on and off
> as on the Titanic.
>
>>> Which system is presently inferior and virtually obsolete?
>
> On-off keyed CW.
>
> Except in the mindset of the ARRL. The IARU knows better.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3577. Re: If Lennie Anderson Had To Tell The Truth Once, Would Bill Clinton Swear Off Big Mac's and White House Interns? With "Engineers" Like Lennie, It's No Wonder Everything Says "Made In Someplace Other Than The United States"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 22:38:25 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156286305.735833.70980@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7198
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.military.cap

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org tried the same old tired rhetoric:
>> > But, challenge (Anderson) or call him in error and one will be
> > inundated with personal insults. (Anderson) MUST be right and
> > he MUST rule. Civil compportment be damned with (Anderson) in
> > newsgroups. Those newsgroups were (in his mind) created to
> > showcase Him?
>
> Yep.
agreeing for once get help

but titles like that are Robeson stock in trade my content in his posts
just ranting on and on about epople instead of Issues

3578. Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 23:15:42 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <yeMGg.7462$Sn3.7330@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7199
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
pass all elements required for their license class.

2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.

3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

3579. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 23:19:25 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1iMGg.27902$uV.24149@trnddc08>
Source record: 7200
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Um.... you know, just saying "I agree" would have been a lot simpler and
saved you 2 pages of typing.... LOL.
rb

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1156284617.050388.132430@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> From: Woody on Tues, Aug 22 2006 12:50 pm
>
>
>>The Titanic knew their coordinates.... didn't slow the influx of H20....
>>The responding ships had radios too... didn't turn their props any
>>faster....
>
> The year was 1912...NINETY-FOUR YEARS AGO.
>
>>Answer to the question.... there was no system then.
>
> The predecessor organization for SOLAS had not yet made 500 KHz
> the international distress and safety frequency. "SOLAS" is an
> acronym for Safety Of Life At Sea.
>
>>CW can punch through if there is a human on the other end, where
>>GPS/packet
>>says 'no signal'....
>>GPS is faster, where CW takes longer....
>>so one is obsolete, the other inferior.
>
> The International Maritime Community settled the 'morse issue.'
> They DROPPED it in favor of GMDSS (Global Marine Distress and
> Safety System), a semi-automated system which can be operated
> by anyone of the bridge crew on a ship (it needs little
> instruction on use). GMDSS messages are automatically routed
> to ground stations (note plural) via satellite relay. Those
> ground stations can coordinate rescue missions.
>
> A shipboard GMDS station doesn't HAVE to have a GPS receiver
> to feed it position data but all those which have one have
> no complaint about this alleged "loss of signal." Position
> data can be entered manually to a GMDS station. The bridge
> crew will have a running record of the ship's position in
> either event.
>
> The United States Coast Guard has DROPPED continuous

> monitoring of the 500 KHz distress frequency some years
> ago. Several other countries have done so.
>
> A following question is WHO will you believe on the efficacy
> of communications? The entire international maritime
> community or a bunch of myth-happy amateur morsemen?
>
> In a sentient, intelligent mind, ANY form of communications
> is good for use in matters involving life and death. The
> FCC thinks (rightly) so and says as much in Part 1 of Title
> 47 C.F.R. [Part 97 is not the entirety of regulations on
> amateur radio in the USA]
>
> --------------------
>
> In a preceding message set:
>
>>"Cecil Moore" <myc...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> Dave wrote:
>>>> David G. Nagel wrote:
>>
>>>>> A ham operator intercepted the SOS from the RMS Titanic.
>>
>>>> Yep!! It happened once!
>
> It happened NINETY-FOUR YEARS AGO.
>
>>> If CW had not existed at the time, how would things have
>>> turned out differently? If the present GPS-based system
>>> had existed at the time, how would things have turned out?
>
> I have to fault Cecil's erudite and intelligent mindset
> on that...although his motor looks good in his picture. :-)
>
> One CANNOT base any intelligent argument about ALTERNATE
> universes of different times and places. It hasn't
> happened in our present time-space continuum.
>
> In 1912 "radio" was in its infancy, having been first shown
> and demonstrated as a communications medium just 16 years
> prior. There were extremely few ships which had vacuum
> tubes as active devices to aid those first "radios." The
> tube was only 6 years old, the triode invented in 1906.
>
> To argue about "GPS" (which is not an integral part of
> GMDSS but can be) versus morse code is ludicrous. GPS
> relies on a time-frequency standard within each of the
> 24 GPS satellites which is comparable to the best time-
> frequency source at NIST. [the quartz crystal
> oscillator wasn't yet invented in 1912] Each satellite

> needs solid-state circuitry to make it function within
> a relatively small package. [the best "solid-state"
> device of 1912 was a galena crystal detector with its
> famous "cat's whisker"] The whole GPSS needed rocketry
> advanced enough to put all the satellites into orbit.
> [rocketry wasn't perfected for that purpose until after
> WW2] Those rockets needed launch guidance aided by
> radar systems. [radar, or rather a primitive system of
> it, wasn't tried until 1932 in a harbor area of France]
>
> However, "morse code" was used in the landline Morse-Vail
> Telegraph System working before the American Civil War
> and simple enough to turn a spark transmitter on and off
> as on the Titanic.
>
>>> Which system is presently inferior and virtually obsolete?
>
> On-off keyed CW.
>
> Except in the mindset of the ARRL. The IARU knows better.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

3580. you mean let kill the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 23:19:44 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156288783.938050.53490@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7101
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.
might be a good idea but only if we go one class of license o make
deffernt test to renew
>
>
> 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
mayebe ok
>
>
> 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
killing the service off
>
>
> 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
and cuting numbers by 50percent insuring the end of the ars within 10
years

3581. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 23:20:57 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156288857.171365.86470@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7102
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

You can't bring it back to life. It's dead. Shove code up your ASS!
Slow Code wrote:
> 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.
>
>
> 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>
>
> 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
>
> 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

3582. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 23:21:44 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156288904.729043.287050@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7103
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

You can't bring it back to life. It's dead. Shove code up your ASS!
Slow Code wrote:
> 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.
>
>
> 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>
>
> 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
>
> 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

3583. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 23:22:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <sb4ne25616rmuc5dq64ns9j1q67h4pq9em@4ax.com>
Source record: 7134
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 22 Aug 2006 23:19:25 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Um.... you know, just saying "I agree" would have been a lot simpler and
>saved you 2 pages of typing.... LOL.
>rb
len likes to carry on

as is his right
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3584. you mean lets kill the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 23:27:22 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156289242.544715.95470@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7104
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Douche Bag wrote:
> You can't bring it back to life. It's dead. Shove code up your ASS!
nope it is not dead but slow code want to will it

3585. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 23:29:55 UTC
From: David 01 <ifiwantspam@illask.org>
Message-ID: <58860$44eb9361$471f2e62$7403@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 7105
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
"Woody" <woody.shadetree@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:N6JGg.27193$uV.6302@trnddc08...
> uhhhh..... good point. Not sure what your point applies to... but OK, we
all
> agree that radio is a useful invention.
> What were we talking about again?
>
> rb
>
>
> "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com> wrote in message
> news:12bag3q7hc7u723@corp.supernews.com...
> > an old friend wrote:
> >> David G. Nagel wrote:
> >>
> >>>Cecil Moore wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Dirk wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Ham's care more about operating appliances than knowing how to save a
> >>>>>lives.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>How many times in the entire history of amateur radio
> >>>>has a ham used CW to actually save a life? One would
> >>>>think there would be a book full of examples by now.
> >>>
> >>>A ham operator intercepted the SOS from the RMS Titanic.
> >>
> >> how many life were saved thereby the Carpathia wheard the call and
> >> arrived to save some folks what role did the ARS playing in saving even
> >> one life that sorry day?
> >>
> >
> > We aren't talking about failure to receive a CW SOS. Those ships that
> > responded did so after receiving word of the sinking by radio. They
saved
> > many lives from the lifeboats which would otherwise have been lost to
the
> > cold.
> >
```

> > Dave N
>
>
>

3586. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 23:47:36 UTC
From: "X-rated Vermonter"
Message-ID: <1e5ne2d6jvjitdhl9t6tcgsmbu5dle9pig@4ax.com>
Source record: 7106
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 22 Aug 2006 23:15:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.
>
>
>2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>
>
>3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
>
>4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

5. No more "multiple guess" code test. One minute solid copy with
lots of numbers, punctuation, and intentional misspellings

6. No more VE sessions. Re-establish testing at FCC Field offices,
and train test administrators in the mold of Jules Finkelman.

7. No more pass the theory one day, the code another day. If you
expect to be a ham, you should know both the same day.

8. No more transceivers. Separate receivers and transmitters,
preferably with tubes, that you have to tune separately. For first
year of license, crystal transmit frequency control only.

9. Fill in the World Trade Center hole, re-establish the old grid of
streets, and re-establish radio row on Courtlandt Street.

3587. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 23:50:46 UTC
From: notbob <notbob@nothome.com>
Message-ID: <aoCdnTMcUdDLBXbZnZ2dnUVZ_oydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 7107
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 2006-08-22, X-rated Vermonter <X-rated> wrote:

> 5. No more......

Yeah!! And bring back beeswax candles!

nb

3588. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-22 23:54:15 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44eb9963$0$15489$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 7108
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:0VNDg.5150$%j7.4201@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
> jawod wrote:
>> If MENSA membership is important to you, fine. Most of us find it a bit
>> pretentious and downright silly.
>
> Ditto for the Morse code testing requirement.
> That was the whole point.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

As the little munchin said in the Wizard of Oz - opening up the window to Dorothy's insistent knocking - and she finally got her point across - "well now - that's a horse of a different color - why didn't you say so!"

L.

3589. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 00:16:07 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1156292167.553349.223020@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7109
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: an old friend on Mon, Aug 21 2006 3:16 pm
>
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Aug 20 2006 2:57 pm
> >> >If the league pushes the morse testing issue too hard, it will become
> >> >obvious to the 25% that are members.
> >
> >> I don't think so. The Amateur Radiotelegraphy Society is very
> >> firmly SET in their ideas of keeping the "heritage" and
> >> "tradition" of being a living museum of archaic radio. Those
> >> firm believers and worshippers at the Church of St. Hiram are
> >> disciples and they haven't had their last supper yet.
> >
> >I have no objection to them trying to prservs thier mode the ARS is big
> >enough even for unproductive thing
>
> It's "minority rule" when ARRL lobbies for preservation of
> morse code test for any amateur radio license class. The
> ARRL membership is slightly less than a quarter of all US
> amateur radio licensees.

The ARRL is trying to soften their image - the latest QST shows a
person using a, gulp, microphone on the FRONT cover!

Just inside is yet another article on building a code key - from a door
hinge.

> >> >Don't know if you've heard yet, but the ARRL and robesin announced that
> >> >MARS and TSA have an agreement for armageddon communications.
> >
> >> Heh heh, I wouldn't doubt it... :-)
> >
> >> [via "giant meteor bounce?" ... off the earth, that is? :-)]
> >
> >> I thought Robesin had put on his (invisible) USMC uniform and
> >> was busy pounding brass with the USCG offshore from Beirut to
> >> evacuate US civilians? :-)
> >
> >now that remark I must take you to task for
> >
> >the last thing we want to sugest that robeson might wear is something
> >invisible now that image IS a sexauly distrubing one

>
> Ahem...my reference was the old fairy tale, "The Emperor's New
> Clothes." :-)
>
> That's the one where a full-of-himself ruler ordered some new
> clothes and the tailor buttered him up (while not sewing any
> new clothes) so much that the Emperor bought into this pandering
> to his ego and appeared in public with his "new clothes" (he was
> naked). Needless to say, the public laughed and laughed at this
> ridiculous spectacle. :-)
>
> Robeson has been all full of himself in here about his alleged
> "USMC service" yet he has presented zero-point-zero evidence
> from anyone else (or any legitimate agency) that he ever
> served on active USMC duty for any of his claimed "18 years."
>
> Even though he NOW thinks of himself AS the amateur radio
> service personified (anything against him is somehow against
> ALL radio amateurs), he is still parodying the "Emperor."
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

This just in from The ARRL Letter, Vol. 25, No. 33, August 18, 2006

"ARRL First Vice President Kay Craigie, N3KN, represented the League at
the
Global Amateur Radio Emergency Communications Conference 2006."

"Craigie stressed that Amateur Radio needs to avoid "being dazzled by
our
own press clippings into thinking that we are the big dog in emergency
telecommunications.""

She refers to robesin-like attitudes within the ARS.

didit!

3590. Re: If you had to use CW... would robesin still be an idiot?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 00:31:31 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1156293091.154625.295310@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7110
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

K4YZ wrote:
> LenCan'tPassThe SameTestGradeSchoolersDoAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com on Sun, Aug 20 2006 2:57 pm

Geee? Robesin is still forging attributes... Some things just never
change.

> > > >Don't know if you've heard yet, but the ARRL and robesin announced that
> > > >MARS and TSA have an agreement for armageddon communications.
> > >
> > Heh heh, I wouldn't doubt it...
>
> "Armageddon"...?!?!
>
> No one announced "armageddon" in any release that I am aware of.
>
> Why did you?
>
> Yet more evidence of why it's better to have Lennie "Can't Pass An
> Exam" Anderson on the outside looking in.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

The ARRL Letter, Vol. 25, No. 33, August 18, 2006

ARRL First Vice President Kay Craigie, N3KN, represented the League at
the
Global Amateur Radio Emergency Communications Conference 2006:

"Craigie stressed that Amateur Radio needs to avoid "being dazzled by
our
own press clippings into thinking that we are the big dog in emergency
telecommunications.""

3591. Re: Looking for Freqs & Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 00:32:41 UTC
From: "A. Pismo Clam" <opalockamishabob@'86DASPAMyahoo.com>
Message-ID: <rNudnVQZw9-CP3bZnZ2dnUVZ_ridnZ2d@got.net>
Source record: 7111
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On Sat, 19 Aug 2006 05:41:42 GMT, "Eric Ferguson"
> <GEFERG.KBHR@prodigy.net> wrote:
>
>
>> She'll be using my Pro-95 (on which *I* still haven't figured out the
>> trunking...sigh)
>>
>
> You may want to try
> <http://myweb.cableone.net/marksscanners/95/95.html>
>
Hi Guys,

First, I'd like to recommend that you become a member of the Pro95 Yahoo group.

Secondly, I'd also like to recommend that you use the wonderful F-R-E-E software for the Pro 95 by logging onto Don Starr's website: http://www.starrsoft.com/

It looks very complex, if you've never used it before. I was a newbie too at one time. I made some mistakes, but I know just how much I missed by not using Don's software.

If you get lost or stuck, we are all there at the Yahoo group to help you. We were newbies once too...

Alain

3592. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 00:36:51 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <ho8ne2dv1tsfu5end8u54adthe63e48cje@4ax.com>
Source record: 7136
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 22 Aug 2006 17:16:07 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: an old friend on Mon, Aug 21 2006 3:16 pm
>>
>>
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Aug 20 2006 2:57 pm
>> >> >If the league pushes the morse testing issue too hard, it will become
>> >> >obvious to the 25% that are members.
>> >
>> >> I don't think so. The Amateur Radiotelegraphy Society is very
>> >> firmly SET in their ideas of keeping the "heritage" and
>> >> "tradition" of being a living museum of archaic radio. Those
>> >> firm believers and worshippers at the Church of St. Hiram are
>> >> disciples and they haven't had their last supper yet.
>> >
>> >I have no objection to them trying to prservs thier mode the ARS is big
>> >enough even for unproductive thing
>>
>> It's "minority rule" when ARRL lobbies for preservation of
>> morse code test for any amateur radio license class. The
>> ARRL membership is slightly less than a quarter of all US
>> amateur radio licensees.
>
>The ARRL is trying to soften their image - the latest QST shows a
>person using a, gulp, microphone on the FRONT cover!
>
>Just inside is yet another article on building a code key - from a door
>hinge.
>
>> >> >Don't know if you've heard yet, but the ARRL and robesin announced that
>> >> >MARS and TSA have an agreement for armageddon communications.
>> >
>> >> Heh heh, I wouldn't doubt it... :-)
>> >
>> >> [via "giant meteor bounce?" ... off the earth, that is? :-)]
>> >
>> >> I thought Robesin had put on his (invisible) USMC uniform and
>> >> was busy pounding brass with the USCG offshore from Beirut to
>> >> evacuate US civilians? :-)
>> >

>> >now that remark I must take you to task for
>> >
>> >the last thing we want to sugest that robeson might wear is something
>> >invisible now that image IS a sexauly distrubing one
>>
>> Ahem...my reference was the old fairy tale, "The Emperor's New
>> Clothes." :-)
>>
>> That's the one where a full-of-himself ruler ordered some new
>> clothes and the tailor buttered him up (while not sewing any
>> new clothes) so much that the Emperor bought into this pandering
>> to his ego and appeared in public with his "new clothes" (he was
>> naked). Needless to say, the public laughed and laughed at this
>> ridiculous spectacle. :-)
>>
>> Robeson has been all full of himself in here about his alleged
>> "USMC service" yet he has presented zero-point-zero evidence
>> from anyone else (or any legitimate agency) that he ever
>> served on active USMC duty for any of his claimed "18 years."
>>
>> Even though he NOW thinks of himself AS the amateur radio
>> service personified (anything against him is somehow against
>> ALL radio amateurs), he is still parodying the "Emperor."
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>This just in from The ARRL Letter, Vol. 25, No. 33, August 18, 2006
>
>"ARRL First Vice President Kay Craigie, N3KN, represented the League at
>the
>Global Amateur Radio Emergency Communications Conference 2006."
>
>"Craigie stressed that Amateur Radio needs to avoid "being dazzled by
>our
>own press clippings into thinking that we are the big dog in emergency
>telecommunications.""
>
>She refers to robesin-like attitudes within the ARS.
indeed she might almost hav mentioned him by name
>
>didit!
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3593. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 00:38:29 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <TaudnUdwXe8nPnbZnZ2dnUVZ_qidnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 7112
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

<X-rated Vermonter> wrote in message
news:1e5ne2d6jvjitdhl9t6tcgsmbu5dle9pig@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 22 Aug 2006 23:15:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
>> pass all elements required for their license class.
>>
>>
>>2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>>
>>
>>3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>>
>>
>>4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> 5. No more "multiple guess" code test. One minute solid copy with
> lots of numbers, punctuation, and intentional misspellings
>

The council of VECs has already eliminated the multiple choice test. Now
it's fill in the blank or get solid copy.

3594. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 00:41:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <519ne2dhhpgaqm6ilnvsb1ohjqii3ilnuo@4ax.com>
Source record: 7135
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 22 Aug 2006 20:38:29 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
><X-rated Vermonter> wrote in message
>news:1e5ne2d6jvjitdhl9t6tcgsmbu5dle9pig@4ax.com...
>> On Tue, 22 Aug 2006 23:15:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
>>> pass all elements required for their license class.
>>>
>>>
>>>2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>>>
>>>
>>>3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>>>
>>>
>>>4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>>
>> 5. No more "multiple guess" code test. One minute solid copy with
>> lots of numbers, punctuation, and intentional misspellings
>>
>
>The council of VECs has already eliminated the multiple choice test. Now
>it's fill in the blank or get solid copy.
and insured the end of code testing
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3595. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 01:10:08 UTC
From: Dave Oldridge <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Xns9827B8D1F9112doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159>
Source record: 7113
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Woody" <woody.shadetree@verizon.net> wrote in
news:%RJGg.27319$uV.13889@trnddc08:

> Well there ya have it folks.... 50wpm saves lives. So how does it
> work? Turn up the speaker really loud and place it [face down] on the
> person's chest, while
> an op in South America tapped out universally accepted words that
> would mimic an atrioventricular rhythm?

Did someone drop you on your head at birth? The reason 50wpm can save
lives is probably a bit complex for you to get both your functioning
neurons around, but believe me, having done CW for a living for some
decades I do know that it can save lives. And if you're faster than the
average bear at it, you can tell someone on the scene things they need to
know all that much faster.
>
> Wrong theatre? OK...
>
> Maybe if a person is trapped on a sinking ship in the Indian Ocean
> you, in Siberia, could tap out a message to someone in Madagascar [who
> happened to be awake at an odd hour] and that person also owned a
> large SAR chopper, they could jump in it, saving the time of relaying
> to anyone else, and go pluck them from certain death?
>
> OH, or better yet... if your neighbor is also a ham.... and your wife
> fell over with an AMI, you could call your neighbor, give him a freq,
> then the two of you
> get set up and running, then you can send a 50wpm message asking your
> neighbor to call an ambulance? BTW, if the phones are down, you ask
> him to get in his
> car and drive down to the local EMS agency, and bring them to you.
> Life saved!
> I'm impressed.
> rb

So apparently YOUR answer to this question is that you couldn't send your
name if your own life depended on it.

Believe me, I get it. I don't think CW ought to be mandatory and it
isn't where I live. I do think people who intend to use it should learn
how to use it properly, though. For CW to be effective, both operators
must be competent. IF they are, they can often transcend barriers of
language that only digital modes can get over. In my own case, the fact
that I could read CW and read written Spanish a bit once enabled me to

render aid to a burning fishing boat. (There were other more routine examples of where the language barrier was crossed by CW--many messages I copied were not in English at all, but were readable by their end recipients).

--
Dave Oldridge+
ICQ 1800667

3596. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 01:17:51 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <erane2hdiu7srugt48dht5ut01r71ru6g7@4ax.com>
Source record: 7137
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 23 Aug 2006 01:10:08 GMT, Dave Oldridge
<doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote:

>"Woody" <woody.shadetree@verizon.net> wrote in
>news:%RJGg.27319$uV.13889@trnddc08:
>
>> Well there ya have it folks.... 50wpm saves lives. So how does it
>> work? Turn up the speaker really loud and place it [face down] on the
>> person's chest, while
>> an op in South America tapped out universally accepted words that
>> would mimic an atrioventricular rhythm?
>
>Did someone drop you on your head at birth?
wy wouldyou ask that did someone drop you on yours?
>The reason 50wpm can save
>lives is probably a bit complex for you to get both your functioning
>neurons around, but believe me, having done CW for a living for some
>decades I do know that it can save lives.
a date when was the last Ham Morse saved a life at any speed

>> car and drive down to the local EMS agency, and bring them to you.
>> Life saved!
>> I'm impressed.
>> rb
>
>So apparently YOUR answer to this question is that you couldn't send your
>name if your own life depended on it.
I can send anything I like the proof of that is before you I have a pc
>
>Believe me, I get it. I don't think CW ought to be mandatory and it
>isn't where I live.
good for you
> I do think people who intend to use it should learn
>how to use it properly, though. For CW to be effective, both operators
>must be competent. IF they are, they can often transcend barriers of
>language that only digital modes can get over. In my own case, the fact
>that I could read CW and read written Spanish a bit once enabled me to
>render aid to a burning fishing boat. (There were other more routine
>examples of where the language barrier was crossed by CW--many messages I
>copied were not in English at all, but were readable by their end
>recipients).
ok you have a date for that I'll accept it as a life saved by CW if
you do
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3597. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 02:05:26 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <vqdne25ac4mp5ikf4rsu4tirno8vi251hp@4ax.com>
Source record: 7114
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 22 Aug 2006 19:47:36 -0400, X-rated Vermonter wrote:

>and train test administrators in the mold of Jules Finkelman.

There go most potential hams. Finkleman's look could curdle stone.

>9. Fill in the World Trade Center hole, re-establish the old grid of
>streets, and re-establish radio row on Courtlandt Street.

Bring back Sy Denby and ARC5s.

3598. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 02:05:40 UTC
From: slow_code_rules_the_waves@hush.ai
Message-ID: <1156298740.522455.91860@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7115
Cross-posted to: alt.homosexual,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
Dee Flint wrote:
> <X-rated Vermonter> wrote in message
> news:1e5ne2d6jvjitdhl9t6tcgsmbu5dle9pig@4ax.com...
> > On Tue, 22 Aug 2006 23:15:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >
> >>1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> >> pass all elements required for their license class.
> >>
> >>
> >>2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
> >>
> >>
> >>3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
> >>
> >>
> >>4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
> >
> > 5. No more "multiple guess" code test. One minute solid copy with
> > lots of numbers, punctuation, and intentional misspellings
> >
>
> The council of VECs has already eliminated the multiple choice test. Now
> it's fill in the blank or get solid copy.

DEEEEEEEEEE !!!
HELLOOOOOO !!!
Please post a pic of that hugh ass of yours !!!
```

3599. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 02:14:21 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1156299261.351592.9880@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7116
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: M...@kb9rqz.com on Mon, Aug 21 2006 6:30 pm
>
> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
> >>From: an old friend on Mon, Aug 21 2006 3:16 pm
> >>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Aug 20 2006 2:57 pm
> >
> >>>> >If the league pushes the morse testing issue too hard, it will become
> >>>> >obvious to the 25% that are members.
> >
> >>>> I don't think so. The Amateur Radiotelegraphy Society is very
> >>>> firmly SET in their ideas of keeping the "heritage" and
> >>>> "tradition" of being a living museum of archaic radio. Those
> >>>> firm believers and worshippers at the Church of St. Hiram are
> >>>> disciples and they haven't had their last supper yet.
> >
> >>>I have no objection to them trying to prservs thier mode the ARS is big
> >>>enough even for unproductive thing
> >
> >> It's "minority rule" when ARRL lobbies for preservation of
> >> morse code test for any amateur radio license class. The
> >> ARRL membership is slightly less than a quarter of all US
> >> amateur radio licensees.
> >
> >>>> >Don't know if you've heard yet, but the ARRL and robesin announced that
> >>>> >MARS and TSA have an agreement for armageddon communications.
> >
> >>>> Heh heh, I wouldn't doubt it... :-)
> >
> >>>> [via "giant meteor bounce?" ... off the earth, that is? :-)]
> >
> >>>> I thought Robesin had put on his (invisible) USMC uniform and
> >>>> was busy pounding brass with the USCG offshore from Beirut to
> >>>> evacuate US civilians? :-)
> >
> >>>now that remark I must take you to task for
> >
> >>>the last thing we want to sugest that robeson might wear is something
> >>>invisible now that image IS a sexauly distrubing one
> >
> >> Ahem...my reference was the old fairy tale, "The Emperor's New
> >> Clothes." :-)

> >
> >i thougt as much OTOH the image of robeson nude is still well
>
> To me it is UNwell... :-)
>
> >> That's the one where a full-of-himself ruler ordered some new
> >> clothes and the tailor buttered him up (while not sewing any
> >> new clothes) so much that the Emperor bought into this pandering
> >> to his ego and appeared in public with his "new clothes" (he was
> >> naked). Needless to say, the public laughed and laughed at this
> >> ridiculous spectacle. :-)
> >
> >indeed i laugh at him myself ruefully
> >
> >with the added though that this is thebest the procoder can muster
>
> Robesin is merely a product of the "incentive" licensing
> system where all those who hunger for being a "somebody"
> can get a Title - Rank - Privilege through a singular skill.

If it doesn't have rank or a uniform, Robesin isn't interested.

> I didn't make that system, neither did you, neither did
> anyone in these four forums. The FCC took a big chunk out
> of it (license classes and morsemanship skill) with the
> Restructuring of 2000 and that pissed off the Title-Rank-
> Status seekers. Devout morsemen are angry and venting steam
> because their self-esteem has fallen.

Only in their minds. They are the very same good or bad hams that they
were with all the layers of hamdom.

> >> Robeson has been all full of himself in here about his alleged
> >> "USMC service" yet he has presented zero-point-zero evidence
> >> from anyone else (or any legitimate agency) that he ever
> >> served on active USMC duty for any of his claimed "18 years."
>
> Simply amazing. EIGHTEEN years alleged on active duty and
> he can't supply a single photo or document to support his
> claim? In November of this year I can truthfully say I've
> been in the southern California aerospace business 50 years.
> I have all sorts of documentation and photos on that which
> I may fully digitize some day (some are already digitized).
> Some time ago I posted my resume in here...which only made
> Robesin ballistic then since he has NO comparable
> experience in industry and cannot prove any radio experience
> other than amateur and alleged "chief operator" status at
> some small MARS station long ago. [that was before his
> less-than-a-half-year as a purchasing agent at a small
> set top box maker]

Yet as "chief operator" or ANCOIC of NMC MARS on Okinawa, he remains
woefully ignorant of MARS. I just don't get it.

> In another recent post, Robesin keeps referring to a "CV."
> That's an acronym for the Latin 'curriculum vitae,' a list
> of life experiences (education, work experience).

Maybe he meant "constant velocity" as in "CV joints" because he's
always "spun up" about one thing or another.

In the
> electronics industry, indeed in MOST industries, those
> applying for jobs don't present a curriculum vitae, just a
> RESUME of education-work experience. Some academics may
> use "CV" but Personnel departments still look over resumes.
> Just one more little gaffe on Robesin's part, trying to
> LOOK experienced when he is NOT.

Robesin an academic? Not in this lifetime.

It's just his inappropriate use of what to him are important sounding
words and acronyms.

> >> Even though he NOW thinks of himself AS the amateur radio
> >> service personified (anything against him is somehow against
> >> ALL radio amateurs), he is still parodying the "Emperor."
>
> Another sign of his megalomania, purporting to "represent
> all" and, by extension, anyone against Him is "against all
> radio amateurs." Robesin desperately needs SOMETHING to
> hold up his self-esteem and he uses amateur radio for that
> selfish purpose. It is like his infamous snot-on-the-
> moustache CAP flight suit picture, big on rank, title, and
> with implications of status. CAP is NOT about amateur
> radio but Robesin keeps on harping about it as if it
> "proved" something about his amateur radio abilities. He
> does the same with his "ER nurse qualifications" but those
> have absolutely nothing to do with radio, amateur or
> professional.
>
> But, challenge Robesin or call him in error and one will be
> inundated with personal insults. Robeson MUST be right and
> he MUST rule. Civil comportment be damned with Robeson in
> newsgroups. Those newsgroups were (in his mind) created to
> showcase Him?
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

The rec.radio newsgroups have showcased Robesin. He HAS earned his
reputation. He's worked very hard for it.

3600. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 02:20:39 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1156299639.850562.323570@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7117
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On Tue, 22 Aug 2006 19:47:36 -0400, X-rated Vermonter wrote:
>
> >and train test administrators in the mold of Jules Finkelman.
>
> There go most potential hams. Finkleman's look could curdle stone.
why bother after that
>
> >9. Fill in the World Trade Center hole, re-establish the old grid of
> >streets, and re-establish radio row on Courtlandt Street.
>
> Bring back Sy Denby and ARC5s.
why you are all living in the past you can't do that it just not
possible

3601. Re: why not deal with the real issue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 02:34:12 UTC
From: Bakb0ne <bakb0ne@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156300452.123618.251900@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7118
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old friend wrote:
> Bakb0ne wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > Bakb0ne wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > Douche Bag wrote:
> > > > > > IT'S DEAD. Get a life. You learned code for nothing. Where's my iPod?
> > > > > wel you got that part aprt Code being dead but the ARS is still alive
> > > > > dispite the efforts of Sloaw code Robeson and others to kill it
> > > >
> > > > Isnt that the main point tho.... they have no power to do anything...
> > > >
> > > > They are just sad ppl with nothing better to do. Next they will be
> > > > saying that
> > > > you should have to pay a monthly fee to use the airwaves...
> > > >
> > > > Maybe have a subscription fee, that would stop most of the scum (yeh
> > > > right)
> > > well a GMRS like license would surely do better asa filter than the
> > > code test look at the code tested ham we have on the air now
> > >
> > What part of the GMRS license would be a better filter?
> >
> > The 5 year duration between renewals, the $75/£40 charge or just the
> > general way of applying for it?
> >
> > Im not saying i disagree, just wondering what you meant..
> having to pay to use the ARS freqs might be more of a deterant to those
> with bad attiudes than a code test
>
> not to say it would be a good filter but fee for license with the
> license fee used for enforcement here in the state the license is free
> (the tests cost something) and the FCC is always complaining it laks
> any funding to go after ham violators I suspect you are a brit and so
> this might escape you I should have been clearer
>
> nice to see someone serious paying attention here I had assume the
> flamers and trolls had driven them off

Yep, im british.

I can see your point about the FCC complaints, lack of funding is the
usual reason for them not to bother to go after ham violators. If they
charged users then they would be able to use that money to go after the

retards who clog todays airwaves...

And the flamers/trolls havent driven us all off, we just go into hiding
until we see something of interest, and just ignore the rest...

3602. Re: why not deal with the real issue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 02:40:06 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1156300806.685581.89530@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7119
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Bakb0ne wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Bakb0ne wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > Bakb0ne wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > Douche Bag wrote:
> > > > > > > IT'S DEAD. Get a life. You learned code for nothing. Where's my iPod?
> > > > > > wel you got that part aprt Code being dead but the ARS is still alive
> > > > > > dispite the efforts of Sloaw code Robeson and others to kill it
> > > > >
> > > > > Isnt that the main point tho.... they have no power to do anything...
> > > > >
> > > > > They are just sad ppl with nothing better to do. Next they will be
> > > > > saying that
> > > > > you should have to pay a monthly fee to use the airwaves...
> > > > >
> > > > > Maybe have a subscription fee, that would stop most of the scum (yeh
> > > > > right)
> > > > well a GMRS like license would surely do better asa filter than the
> > > > code test look at the code tested ham we have on the air now
> > >
> > > What part of the GMRS license would be a better filter?
> > >
> > > The 5 year duration between renewals, the $75/£40 charge or just the
> > > general way of applying for it?
> > >
> > > Im not saying i disagree, just wondering what you meant..
> > having to pay to use the ARS freqs might be more of a deterant to those
> > with bad attiudes than a code test
> >
> > not to say it would be a good filter but fee for license with the
> > license fee used for enforcement here in the state the license is free
> > (the tests cost something) and the FCC is always complaining it laks
> > any funding to go after ham violators I suspect you are a brit and so
> > this might escape you I should have been clearer
> >
> > nice to see someone serious paying attention here I had assume the
> > flamers and trolls had driven them off
>
> Yep, im british.
>
> I can see your point about the FCC complaints, lack of funding is the
```

> usual reason for them not to bother to go after ham violators. If they
> charged users then they would be able to use that money to go after the
> retards who clog todays airwaves...
>
> And the flamers/trolls havent driven us all off, we just go into hiding
> until we see something of interest, and just ignore the rest...
indeed but you can't discuss any changes as I suspect you have ntoiced

and for that matter I have never seen why we have to have all of us
geting our license by the same path

indeed I have always liked an idea with some folks talking a rule and
safety test (and being confined to built equipment) and pay a fee while
others would takerigourous test and no fees

3603. Re: why not deal with the real issue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 02:46:56 UTC
From: Bakb0ne <bakb0ne@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156301216.132471.315560@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7120
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an Old friend wrote:
> Bakb0ne wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > Bakb0ne wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > Bakb0ne wrote:
> > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > Douche Bag wrote:
> > > > > > > > IT'S DEAD. Get a life. You learned code for nothing. Where's my iPod?
> > > > > > > > wel you got that part aprt Code being dead but the ARS is still alive
> > > > > > > > dispite the efforts of Sloaw code Robeson and others to kill it
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Isnt that the main point tho.... they have no power to do anything...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > They are just sad ppl with nothing better to do. Next they will be
> > > > > > > saying that
> > > > > > > you should have to pay a monthly fee to use the airwaves...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Maybe have a subscription fee, that would stop most of the scum (yeh
> > > > > > > right)
> > > > > > well a GMRS like license would surely do better asa filter than the
> > > > > > code test look at the code tested ham we have on the air now
> > > > > >
> > > > > What part of the GMRS license would be a better filter?
> > > > >
> > > > The 5 year duration between renewals, the $75/£40 charge or just the
> > > > general way of applying for it?
> > > >
> > > Im not saying i disagree, just wondering what you meant..
> > > having to pay to use the ARS freqs might be more of a deterant to those
> > > with bad attiudes than a code test
> > >
> > > not to say it would be a good filter but fee for license with the
> > > license fee used for enforcement here in the state the license is free
> > > (the tests cost something) and the FCC is always complaining it laks
> > > any funding to go after ham violators I suspect you are a brit and so
> > > this might escape you I should have been clearer
> > >
> > > nice to see someone serious paying attention here I had assume the
> > > flamers and trolls had driven them off
> >
> > Yep, im british.
> >

> > I can see your point about the FCC complaints, lack of funding is the
> > usual reason for them not to bother to go after ham violators. If they
> > charged users then they would be able to use that money to go after the
> > retards who clog todays airwaves...
> >
> > And the flamers/trolls havent driven us all off, we just go into hiding
> > until we see something of interest, and just ignore the rest...
> indeed but you can't discuss any changes as I suspect you have ntoiced
>
> and for that matter I have never seen why we have to have all of us
> geting our license by the same path
>
> indeed I have always liked an idea with some folks talking a rule and
> safety test (and being confined to built equipment) and pay a fee while
> others would takerigourous test and no fees

So basically ur saying that you should be able to either

1. Pay for your license and Take a beginner test (to prove your
competent)
or
2. Take a Intermediate Test and get free license after passing

If this is what you mean, then i can see your logic and i would fully
support a system like this...

Only problem is that just like Slow Code and the other trolls who try
to change the Ham world, we wouldnt be able to do anything either, its
down to the corporations, not us little people...

3604. Re: why not deal with the real issue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 03:44:42 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <smjne2hb0gq3bmkd3ijom1o26eh2v5pktj@4ax.com>
Source record: 7138
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 22 Aug 2006 19:46:56 -0700, "Bakb0ne" <bakb0ne@gmail.com> wrote:

>
>an Old friend wrote:
>> Bakb0ne wrote:
>> > an old friend wrote:
>> > > Bakb0ne wrote:
>> > > > an old friend wrote:
>> > > > > Bakb0ne wrote:

>> indeed I have always liked an idea with some folks talking a rule and
>> safety test (and being confined to built equipment) and pay a fee while
>> others would takerigourous test and no fees
>
>So basically ur saying that you should be able to either
>
>1. Pay for your license and Take a beginner test (to prove your
>competent)
>or
>2. Take a Intermediate Test and get free license after passing
>
>If this is what you mean, then i can see your logic and i would fully
>support a system like this...
basicaly yes

>
>Only problem is that just like Slow Code and the other trolls who try
>to change the Ham world, we wouldnt be able to do anything either, its
>down to the corporations, not us little people...
well maybe I am naive but if Ham could get it together to support
something different that was not hard to run (from the FCC's point of
view) I suspect we would get it
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3605. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 04:09:22 UTC
From: Steve <radio1@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <SxQGg.27232$8j3.4461@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 7121
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

If that were the case, YOU'D FLUNK !

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:yeMGg.7462$Sn3.7330@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.
>
>
> 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>
>
> 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
>
> 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

3606. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 04:12:16 UTC
From: Steve <radio1@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <AAQGg.27233$8j3.7429@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 7122
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Bring back the horse and buggy too. I should only take you about a month to
make it to Dayton!

"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:1156299639.850562.323570@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> Al Klein wrote:
>> On Tue, 22 Aug 2006 19:47:36 -0400, X-rated Vermonter wrote:
>>
>> >and train test administrators in the mold of Jules Finkelman.
>>
>> There go most potential hams. Finkleman's look could curdle stone.
> why bother after that
>>
>> >9. Fill in the World Trade Center hole, re-establish the old grid of
>> >streets, and re-establish radio row on Courtlandt Street.
>>
>> Bring back Sy Denby and ARC5s.
> why you are all living in the past you can't do that it just not
> possible
>

3607. Re: If you had to use CW... would robesin still be an idiot?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 04:47:04 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1156308424.852891.4550@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7123
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: an old friend on Mon, Aug 21 2006 3:16 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Aug 20 2006 2:57 pm

>> It's "minority rule" when ARRL lobbies for preservation of
>> morse code test for any amateur radio license class. The
>> ARRL membership is slightly less than a quarter of all US
>> amateur radio licensees.
>
>The ARRL is trying to soften their image - the latest QST shows a
>person using a, gulp, microphone on the FRONT cover!

Good grief! The sky is falling! The sky is falling!

>Just inside is yet another article on building a code key - from a door
>hinge.

Oh, goody...HIGH TECH construction article.

Would they follow that with another article on the door itself?
Like, I mean, making the door a jar? :-)

>> Ahem...my reference was the old fairy tale, "The Emperor's New
>> Clothes." :-)
>
>> That's the one where a full-of-himself ruler ordered some new
>> clothes and the tailor buttered him up (while not sewing any
>> new clothes) so much that the Emperor bought into this pandering
>> to his ego and appeared in public with his "new clothes" (he was
>> naked). Needless to say, the public laughed and laughed at this
>> ridiculous spectacle. :-)
>
>> Robeson has been all full of himself in here about his alleged
>> "USMC service" yet he has presented zero-point-zero evidence
>> from anyone else (or any legitimate agency) that he ever
>> served on active USMC duty for any of his claimed "18 years."
>
>> Even though he NOW thinks of himself AS the amateur radio
>> service personified (anything against him is somehow against
>> ALL radio amateurs), he is still parodying the "Emperor."

>This just in from The ARRL Letter, Vol. 25, No. 33, August 18, 2006
>
>"ARRL First Vice President Kay Craigie, N3KN, represented the League at the

>Global Amateur Radio Emergency Communications Conference 2006."
>
>"Craigie stressed that Amateur Radio needs to avoid "being dazzled by our
>own press clippings into thinking that we are the big dog in emergency
>telecommunications.""
>
>She refers to robesin-like attitudes within the ARS.

Oh. My. God. ! ! !

Tsk, just because NBC, CBS, ABC, CNN, FOX, ESPN, and PBS haven't
covered the tremendously fantastic wonderfullest huge contribution
to saving lives and property via ham radio? Gosh, there are all
sorts of clippings from obscure weekly and biweekly newspapers
dutifully cut-and-pasted into messages here from Robesin & Co.

Maybe I'll have to write the Department of Defense and say that
"Major" Robesin said that radio amateurs run MARS! They should
fortwith cease and desist publishing DoD Directives on thinking
that they started it and keep running it!

Maybe I missed the "news" on the Home and Garden Channel...I don't
watch that much...

Right and all the other radio services are switching to morse
code for all emergency communications a la ham radio...the sky
has truly fallen!

>didit!

Dahdah comrade. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3608. Re: If Lennie Anderson Had To Tell The Truth Once, Would Bill Clinton Swear Off Big Mac's and White House Interns? With "Engineers" Like Lennie, It's No Wonder Everything Says "Made In Someplace Other Than The United States"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 04:59:31 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1156309170.987438.161010@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7131
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.military.cap

From: an old freind on Tues, Aug 22 2006 4:16 pm

>K4YZ wrote:
>> an old freind wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > LenAnder...@ieee.org tried the same old tired rhetoric:
>
>> > > > But, challenge Robeson or call him in error and one will be
>> > > > inundated with personal insults. Robeson MUST be right and
>> > > > he MUST rule. Civil comportment be damned with Robeson in
>> > > > newsgroups. Those newsgroups were (in his mind) created to
>> > > > showcase Him?
>
>> > > Yep.
>
>> > agreeing for once get help
>
>> Get help for what?
>
>well a pro needs to way but Id say meglomanina paranoia, pathological
>lying for starts

Give up on Robesin, Mark. He MUST remain "right" and He
"must" rule. He isn't interested in civility. Once an
"enemy" of his, always an enemy in his mind. Sick way to
be but he is that way, repeatedly. He just proved it in
the message you replied to.

He is setting the example for all hams. Not going to help
the amateur ranks in getting more hams but that is not,
apparently, his point. Robesin needs to come out on TOP in
his own mind, be chieftan, be the warlord.

He also wants rec.radio.amateur. policy all his own to do with
as he sees fit. [probably to have his daily fits in...]

Ech...

> but titles like that are Robeson stock in trade my content in his posts
> just ranting on and on about epople instead of Issues

Absolutely true, Mark. He tries to belittle his "enemies" so that
He looks good. Problem is, it is working in reverse and he is only

belittling himself.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3609. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 05:23:10 UTC
From: Jack Ricci <ricci@mnsi.net>
Message-ID: <ecgooo01u7v@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 7139
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> Bring back the horse and buggy too. I should only take you about a month
> to make it to Dayton!
"Steve" <radio1@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:AAQGg.27233$8j3.7429@twister.nyroc.rr.com...

...Is there still enough room for chics in the back seats of those things?

Jack

> Bring back the horse and buggy too. I should only take you about a month
> to make it to Dayton!
>
>
> "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
> news:1156299639.850562.323570@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Al Klein wrote:
>>> On Tue, 22 Aug 2006 19:47:36 -0400, X-rated Vermonter wrote:
>>>
>>> >and train test administrators in the mold of Jules Finkelman.
>>>
>>> There go most potential hams. Finkleman's look could curdle stone.
>> why bother after that
>>>
>>> >9. Fill in the World Trade Center hole, re-establish the old grid of
>>> >streets, and re-establish radio row on Courtlandt Street.
>>>
>>> Bring back Sy Denby and ARC5s.
>> why you are all living in the past you can't do that it just not
>> possible
>>
>
>

3610. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent - Markie, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 12:29:20 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156336160.830221.91300@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7140
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:48:26 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >"Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >news:1156167337.571677.251390@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
> >
> >>
> >> MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >>> On 18 Aug 2006 05:38:03 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> >>> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>>
> >>> >
> >>> >an old fraud wrote:
> >>> >
> >>> >> cease desist tryong to speak for Houghton county
> >>> >
> >>> >"tryong?" HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
> >>> >They already have received too many complaints about your trash filled
> >>> >yard, Markie!
> >>> from you in the past few weeks no doubt
> >>
> >> I didn't have to Markie, the county already was well aware of your
> >> hazard to public health.
> >>
> >>>ce
> >>
> >> Whine, faggot, whine.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>Markie, Just ignore them.
> >>
> >>They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
> >>follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.
> >>
> >>Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
> >>more stupid.
> >>
> >>Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
> >>moon bounce some more or clean up your yard.
> >>
> >>SC

> why do you want to kil the ARS?

Why do you want to "kill" the English language?

3611. Re: Poor Markie! - Markie, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 12:30:23 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156336223.563647.100240@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7141
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:46:53 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote in
> >news:1156167941.257256.314290@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
> >
> >> MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >>> On Fri, 18 Aug 2006 08:56:55 -0400, jawod <jawod@fuse.net> wrote:
> >>>
> >>> >Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> >>> >> an old friendless cocksucking child molester wrote:
> >>> >>
> >>> >>>cease
> >>> >>
> >>> >>
> >>> >> Cry, you illiterate cunt, cry!
> >>> >>
> >>> >Nobody reads your ridiculous posts
> >>> be fiar I certainly do so do you
> >>
> >> Markie admits to being a bitch!
> >>
> >>> it is good for laughs mosts day laughing a rueshaking of the head
> >>
> >> In English, you illiterate lying fraud.
> >>
> >
> >
> >Markie, Just ignore them.
> >
> >They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
> >follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.
> >
> >Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
> >more stupid.
> >
> >Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
> >moon bounce some more or clean up your yard.
> >
> >SC
> why do you want to kil the ARS?

Why do you want to "kill" the English language?

3612. Re: PING: Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 12:31:31 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156336291.761443.49450@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7142
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:46:48 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >new_cw_ham@saintly.com wrote in
> >news:1156186858.857772.22110@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com:
> >
> >> Wanted to thank you for your posts about the code.
> >>
> >> Because of you I just upgraded (Saturday) from technician to general. I
> >> breezed the 5 wpm and am up to around 15wpm according to the W1AW
> >> pratice broadcasts. I never would have bothered to learn CW if it
> >> wasn't for you.
> >>
> >> I know I only "need" 5 wpm but I am not going to upgrade to extra until
> >> I can cpy 25 wpm SOLID!
> >>
> >> This is in honor of my electronic elmer, Slow Code.
> >>
> >> Thanks......
> >
> >ROFLMAO!
> >
> >.... And you've made me think of possibly joining CAP.
> >
> >
> >Testimonials like yours will bring a smile to every Real hams face. :-)
> >
> >Just learn the code to 22 wpm. That would be a nice edge if you still had
> >to take a 20 wpm CW test. But if you can only get your speed up to 16 or
> >17 words per minute, upgrade anyway. We need good people like you on the
> >ham bands and on usenet. LOL
> >
> >
> >73
> >
> >SC
> why do you want to kil the ARS?

Why do you "kill" the English language ?

3613. Re: Morris Code -plus- Continuous Wave (CW) Radio Transmission -and- Semaphore Signals ? Do They Defining Amateur Radio ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 13:28:33 UTC
From: Steve Stone <zzspfleck@citlink.netzz>
Message-ID: <5KYGg.8192$oa1.5530@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 7143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

> But there's nothing to prevent people who appreciate and love the
> language of Morse, the way it sings, its universality, its beauty,
> from continuing to use it way into the future.
>
> It is the beauty of Morse, in plain English, never mind the
> abbreviations, which boy scouts and others who show an interest should
> be taught to appreciate.
> ----
> Reg, G4FGQ

I completely agree with you
N2UBP

3614. Re: you mean let kill the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 13:30:14 UTC
From: Steve Stone <zzspfleck@citlink.netzz>
Message-ID: <GLYGg.8320$Oh1.5187@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 7144
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
>> 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
> and cuting numbers by 50percent insuring the end of the ars within 10
> years
>

ALL ARES and RACES members will have master 20 WPM CW or better
```

3615. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 13:39:28 UTC
From: Bill Turner <noway@nohow.com>
Message-ID: <44ec5a90_5@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 7148
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:

> 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.

Why? Is Amateur Radio a memory test? Make it a lifetime license and
reduce the FCC's workload.

> 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.

Why not 100%? Are you saying it's ok to screw up 15% of your operating?

> 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

Why should anyone be tested for code proficiency if they don't plan to
use it? I can see testing for basic knowledge (dit dah = A), but why
any particular speed? Should people be tested for speed talking before
using voice modes?

> 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

All licenses should be no-code. Does the FCC test for speaking ability?

I don't think you have thought this through very well. Go take a nap
and come back after some extended cogitating.

Bill, W6WRT

--

3616. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent - Markie, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 15:18:03 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1156346283.273652.246910@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7145
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>
> Why do you want to "kill" the English language?
based on you own adknowledgement that I am an expert on lying I say you
are lying

3617. Re: Poor Markie! - Markie, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 15:18:16 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1156346296.495989.316750@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7146
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> > On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:46:53 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >

> > why do you want to kil the ARS?
>
> Why do you want to "kill" the English language?
based on you own adknowledgement that I am an expert on lying I say you
are lying

3618. Re: PING: Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 15:38:27 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156347507.188495.166660@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7147
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> > why do you want to kil the ARS?
>
> Why do you "kill" the English language ?
by the authority YOU gave me I can state the expert opiion you just lied

3619. Re: If Lennie Anderson Had To Tell The Truth Once, Would Bill Clinton Swear Off Big Mac's and White House Interns? With "Engineers" Like Lennie, It's No Wonder Everything Says "Made In Someplace Other Than The United States"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 18:18:51 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156357131.548824.161310@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7149
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.military.cap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: an old freind on Tues, Aug 22 2006 4:16 pm
>
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> an old freind wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > LenAnder...@ieee.org tried the same old tired rhetoric:
> >
> >> > > > But, challenge Robeson or call him in error and one will be
> >> > > > inundated with personal insults. Robeson MUST be right and
> >> > > > he MUST rule. Civil comportment be damned with Robeson in
> >> > > > newsgroups. Those newsgroups were (in his mind) created to
> >> > > > showcase Him?
> >
> >> > > Yep.
> >
> >> > agreeing for once get help
> >
> >> Get help for what?
> >
> >well a pro needs to way but Id say meglomanina paranoia, pathological
> >lying for starts
>
> Give up on Robesin, Mark. He MUST remain "right" and He
> "must" rule. He isn't interested in civility. Once an
> "enemy" of his, always an enemy in his mind. Sick way to
> be but he is that way, repeatedly. He just proved it in
> the message you replied to.

I use him for punching bag hopeing he might give it up

did you catch the bit where he claims that he is acting as MY firend I
am gald I was not drinking something , i might have choked to death
>
> He is setting the example for all hams. Not going to help
> the amateur ranks in getting more hams but that is not,
> apparently, his point. Robesin needs to come out on TOP in
> his own mind, be chieftan, be the warlord.

he is great as bad example. I use robeson posts as warning to people
all the time I have specail set book marked to us as warning fo r where

their behavoir might lead
>
> He also wants rec.radio.amateur. policy all his own to do with
> as he sees fit. [probably to have his daily fits in...]

in a few day weeks or months I will quit this feild signing off here as
KB9RQZ/AE
>
> Ech...
>
> > but titles like that are Robeson stock in trade my content in his posts
> > just ranting on and on about epople instead of Issues
>
> Absolutely true, Mark. He tries to belittle his "enemies" so that
> He looks good. Problem is, it is working in reverse and he is only
> belittling himself.
indeed
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

3620. Re: Dumbing down licensing will just let in people that really don't want to be hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 19:17:26 UTC
From: mke65@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6t9pe2l02j0cm946c2mv0ihebpajfnu728@4ax.com>
Source record: 7150
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have been into Shortwave and Police communications since the days of putting crystals into my 8 channel regency scanner from Montgomery Wards.

I am 41, college educated, and technically savy. Today I am going to go and take my license to be a certified Technician no code ham. So far every test I have taken online I have achieved a passing score without doing any studying other that the knowledge I have accumulated over the past 30 years of being a shortwave, military comm, and police comm listener.

I hope that others in the hobby do not have the same attitude that you possess and are favorable to me being new to the hobby (I am a bit shy out the gate but warm up quickly).

The fact that the fcc has made this test so much more attainable is a good thing because it invites those (like myself) who decide to join this hobby as a result of the test being passable with a basic understanding of the radio spectrum and laws of using the spectrum for which you are licensed to use.

More people available to be a part of the hobby is a good thing. So why would you want to try to weed them out?? Almost sounds like you are being a bit of a radio snob.

Just my 2 pennies worth.

Keith

On Sun, 20 Aug 2006 22:50:37 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>So why do it?
>
>SC

3621. Re: 30 Steps, Monthy post. Tips for Phonies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 19:19:38 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <eT1Hg.11657$hP6.3147@trnddc04>
Source record: 7001
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Heh.. you can title it "W8CH Rules fer Radio"..... LOL

rb

<Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
news:ik7me21ra2143nbnv5o2nre3er8qepsemd@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 00:07:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>The 30 Steps below will help all New Hams, it will also help you to find
>>others just like yourself. These 30 steps should also be added to the
>>present writtens.
>>

3622. Re: Dumbing down licensing will just let in people that really don't want to be hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 19:23:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <onape2p6l22hhp7dajbg43cgblcb8550nl@4ax.com>
Source record: 7002
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 23 Aug 2006 12:17:26 -0700, mke65@yahoo.com wrote:

>I have been into Shortwave and Police communications since the days of
>putting crystals into my 8 channel regency scanner from Montgomery
>Wards.
>
>I am 41, college educated, and technically savy. Today I am going to
>go and take my license to be a certified Technician no code ham. So
>far every test I have taken online I have achieved a passing score
>without doing any studying other that the knowledge I have accumulated
>over the past 30 years of being a shortwave, military comm, and police
>comm listener.
>
>I hope that others in the hobby do not have the same attitude that you
>possess and are favorable to me being new to the hobby (I am a bit shy
>out the gate but warm up quickly).
>
>The fact that the fcc has made this test so much more attainable is a
>good thing because it invites those (like myself) who decide to join
>this hobby as a result of the test being passable with a basic
>understanding of the radio spectrum and laws of using the spectrum for
>which you are licensed to use.
>
>More people available to be a part of the hobby is a good thing. So
>why would you want to try to weed them out?? Almost sounds like you
>are being a bit of a radio snob.
>
>Just my 2 pennies worth.
he is like a number you will find on the air he can be rduer here of
course
but most will be better

ntoice he lack the nerve to sign his name
>
>Keith
>
>
>On Sun, 20 Aug 2006 22:50:37 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>
>>So why do it?
>>
>>SC

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3623. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 19:24:19 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <DX1Hg.11659$hP6.9956@trnddc04>
Source record: 7003
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I agree.
rb

<Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
news:sb4ne25616rmuc5dq64ns9j1q67h4pq9em@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 22 Aug 2006 23:19:25 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Um.... you know, just saying "I agree" would have been a lot simpler and
>>saved you 2 pages of typing.... LOL.
>>rb
> len likes to carry on
>
> as is his right
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

3624. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 19:42:01 UTC
From: Travis Jordan <no.one@no.net>
Message-ID: <dc2Hg.634122$GD7.612509@fe08.news.easynews.com>
Source record: 7004
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> 1: No more

Please don't feed the trolls.

3625. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 19:54:37 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1o2Hg.19713$Te.3938@trnddc07>
Source record: 7005
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Dave Oldridge" <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote in message
news:Xns9827B8D1F9112doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159...
> "Woody" <woody.shadetree@verizon.net> wrote in
> news:%RJGg.27319$uV.13889@trnddc08:
>
> Did someone drop you on your head at birth? The reason 50wpm can save
> lives is probably a bit complex for you to get both your functioning
> neurons around, but believe me, having done CW for a living for some
> decades I do know that it can save lives. And if you're faster than the
> average bear at it, you can tell someone on the scene things they need to
> know all that much faster.
>>

Possibly, because try as I might, I can't really remember much about that
day.... I had pyloric stenosis, if that counts?

> So apparently YOUR answer to this question is that you couldn't send your
> name if your own life depended on it.

Now that's true... I'd require a CW setup of some kind in order to send my
name; or anything else for that matter.
Or as previously pointed out, hack up a headphone jack and tippy tap the
wires together. Either way, I don't see my life depending on it at any time,
so I'll just let my CW skills continue to rust.
However; your argument does make me wonder how non-hams even have a chance
at life in this world... ??

>
> Believe me, I get it. I don't think CW ought to be mandatory and it
> isn't where I live. I do think people who intend to use it should learn
> how to use it properly, though. For CW to be effective, both operators
> must be competent. IF they are, they can often transcend barriers of
> language that only digital modes can get over. In my own case, the fact
> that I could read CW and read written Spanish a bit once enabled me to
> render aid to a burning fishing boat. (There were other more routine
> examples of where the language barrier was crossed by CW--many messages I
> copied were not in English at all, but were readable by their end
> recipients).

OK.... so by your own words, CW still didn't save a life... CW mixed with
bad Spanish passed a message. So now we'll have to add a Spanish test.
Thanks a lot.

As for the language thing.... I can copy voice language and hand it off to
another native just as easy and they'll figure it out too.

No CW necessary.

BTW, I noticed you conveniently left out the specific year in which said burning boat was offshore with an obsolete CW outfit, and how your CW expertise put out a fire.... but I'm guessing we're talking many a year ago, so again, a moot point.
Actually,
The boat thing in general is really killing me... If these numb-nuts are offshore and not on the correct USCG freqs and/or unaware of how to properly tune their radios in an emergency, then it isn't CW saving lives, it's the grace of God that somebody happened to be on their freq at that time. But again, what boats are out there with a CW rig???? That's crazy, bubba. :-)
rb

3626. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 19:59:00 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8s2Hg.19716$Te.17427@trnddc07>
Source record: 7006
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Yeah!! and my Bonnie.. bring back my Bonnie to me, to me!

Oh, and .75 cent gasoline too....
rb

"notbob" <notbob@nothome.com> wrote in message
news:aoCdnTMcUdDLBXbZnZ2dnUVZ_oydnZ2d@comcast.com...
> On 2006-08-22, X-rated Vermonter <X-rated> wrote:
>
>> 5. No more......
>
> Yeah!! And bring back beeswax candles!
>
> nb

3627. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 20:22:53 UTC
From: Dave Oldridge <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Xns9828881EDA0doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159>
Source record: 7007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in
news:erane2hdiu7srugt48dht5ut01r71ru6g7@4ax.com:

> On Wed, 23 Aug 2006 01:10:08 GMT, Dave Oldridge
> <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote:
>
>>"Woody" <woody.shadetree@verizon.net> wrote in
>>news:%RJGg.27319$uV.13889@trnddc08:
>>
>>> Well there ya have it folks.... 50wpm saves lives. So how does it
>>> work? Turn up the speaker really loud and place it [face down] on
>>> the person's chest, while
>>> an op in South America tapped out universally accepted words that
>>> would mimic an atrioventricular rhythm?
>>
>>Did someone drop you on your head at birth?
> wy wouldyou ask that did someone drop you on yours?

No, but when I'm confronted with TOTAL stupidity, it's a possible
explanotion for it.

>>The reason 50wpm can save
>>lives is probably a bit complex for you to get both your functioning
>>neurons around, but believe me, having done CW for a living for some
>>decades I do know that it can save lives.
> a date when was the last Ham Morse saved a life at any speed
>
>>> car and drive down to the local EMS agency, and bring them to you.
>>> Life saved!
>>> I'm impressed.
>>> rb
>>
>>So apparently YOUR answer to this question is that you couldn't send
>>your name if your own life depended on it.

> I can send anything I like the proof of that is before you I have a pc
>>
>>Believe me, I get it. I don't think CW ought to be mandatory and it
>>isn't where I live.
> good for you
>> I do think people who intend to use it should learn
>>how to use it properly, though. For CW to be effective, both
>>operators must be competent. IF they are, they can often transcend
>>barriers of language that only digital modes can get over. In my own

>>case, the fact that I could read CW and read written Spanish a bit
>>once enabled me to render aid to a burning fishing boat. (There were
>>other more routine examples of where the language barrier was crossed
>>by CW--many messages I copied were not in English at all, but were
>>readable by their end recipients).
> ok you have a date for that I'll accept it as a life saved by CW if
> you do
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

Not an exact date, though it's probably in the archives of the Canadian Coast Guard, my employer at the time. Hey, I worked at Halifax Coast Guard radio from 1977 until 1995, 18 years at the one station. We handled a number of SOS calls on CW and were able to save lives some of the time (not always. alas). But with trained operators on both ends of the signal path, CW was pretty much always an easier go than SSB. And SITOR was pretty much a joke. Half the ships couldn't get it going.

INMARSAT is what put CW out of business in the marine industry. And a nasty solar flare or two could put INMARSAT out of business. You pays your money and you takes your chances. I'm not sure that a ship equipped with a complex satellite radio with a lot of moving parts and a technician is all that much better off than a ship was when they were equipped with an MF-HF CW and SSB radio station and a radio operator who was also a trained technician. All is well until something breaks and the nearest part is 500 miles away over water.

CW was still in use for a some ship-to-shore work when I retired in 1995.

When I went to the high arctic in 1964 it was our main means of communication with the south. We eventually converted that to RTTY and SSB, but neither was really as effective as the CW that preceded.

Now, today, we have such things a PSK31 to do much of the grunt work. That will work as well as CW in most cases, I find.

--
Dave Oldridge+
ICQ 1800667

3628. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 20:27:51 UTC
From: Dave Oldridge <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Xns982888F69D0C1doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159>
Source record: 7008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote in news:1o2Hg.19713$Te.3938@trnddc07:

>
> "Dave Oldridge" <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote in message
> news:Xns9827B8D1F9112doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159...
>> "Woody" <woody.shadetree@verizon.net> wrote in
>> news:%RJGg.27319$uV.13889@trnddc08:
>>
>> Did someone drop you on your head at birth? The reason 50wpm can
>> save lives is probably a bit complex for you to get both your
>> functioning neurons around, but believe me, having done CW for a
>> living for some decades I do know that it can save lives. And if
>> you're faster than the average bear at it, you can tell someone on
>> the scene things they need to know all that much faster.
>>>
>
> Possibly, because try as I might, I can't really remember much about
> that day.... I had pyloric stenosis, if that counts?
>
>> So apparently YOUR answer to this question is that you couldn't send
>> your name if your own life depended on it.
>
> Now that's true... I'd require a CW setup of some kind in order to
> send my name; or anything else for that matter.
> Or as previously pointed out, hack up a headphone jack and tippy tap
> the wires together. Either way, I don't see my life depending on it at
> any time, so I'll just let my CW skills continue to rust.
> However; your argument does make me wonder how non-hams even have a
> chance at life in this world... ??
>
>>
>> Believe me, I get it. I don't think CW ought to be mandatory and it
>> isn't where I live. I do think people who intend to use it should
>> learn how to use it properly, though. For CW to be effective, both
>> operators must be competent. IF they are, they can often transcend
>> barriers of language that only digital modes can get over. In my own
>> case, the fact that I could read CW and read written Spanish a bit
>> once enabled me to render aid to a burning fishing boat. (There were
>> other more routine examples of where the language barrier was crossed
>> by CW--many messages I copied were not in English at all, but were
>> readable by their end recipients).
>
> OK.... so by your own words, CW still didn't save a life... CW mixed

> with bad Spanish passed a message. So now we'll have to add a Spanish
> test. Thanks a lot.

My point is, my bad Spanish might not have recognized the word "fuego" if it was spoken fast among a lot of other words. But on CW it came across loud and clear.

> As for the language thing.... I can copy voice language and hand it
> off to another native just as easy and they'll figure it out too.
> No CW necessary.

Except you'll be a lot slower because you'll need phonetic spellings for everything. Believe me, I know. I've done this. For a living for many years.

> BTW, I noticed you conveniently left out the specific year in which
> said burning boat was offshore with an obsolete CW outfit, and how
> your CW expertise put out a fire.... but I'm guessing we're talking
> many a year ago, so again, a moot point.

Not that long ago, really. Early 1990's if I remember.

> Actually,
> The boat thing in general is really killing me... If these numb-nuts
> are offshore and not on the correct USCG freqs and/or unaware of how
> to properly tune their radios in an emergency, then it isn't CW saving
> lives, it's the grace of God that somebody happened to be on their
> freq at that time. But again, what boats are out there with a CW
> rig???? That's crazy, bubba. :-) rb

This was on 500khz (and 484). CW was the mode of operation on those frequencies until well into the 90's. Cheap SSB radios were plentiful. So were some SITOR lashups. But what finally killed it was INMARSAT.

So now, instead of getting nailed by solar flares on HF, you get nailed by them on INMARSAT and have to wait 6 to 9 months for a new launch. Meanwhile you're limping along on SSB using a phonetic alphabet to send traffic at a SLOWER rate.

--
Dave Oldridge+
ICQ 1800667

3629. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 21:58:21 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <6rjpe21o5ld0i43irvtv9u2akhipk4vof8@4ax.com>
Source record: 7009
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 23 Aug 2006 19:59:00 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Oh, and .75 cent gasoline too....

25 cent gas. I guess I'm older than you.

3630. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 22:02:50 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <rujpe2dfg6a35matc3fnrsauotja9co4lk@4ax.com>
Source record: 7010
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 23 Aug 2006 19:54:37 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:

>"Dave Oldridge" <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote in message
>news:Xns9827B8D1F9112doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159...
>> For CW to be effective, both operators
>> must be competent. IF they are, they can often transcend barriers of
>> language that only digital modes can get over. In my own case, the fact
>> that I could read CW and read written Spanish a bit once enabled me to
>> render aid to a burning fishing boat. (There were other more routine
>> examples of where the language barrier was crossed by CW--many messages I
>> copied were not in English at all, but were readable by their end
>> recipients).

>OK.... so by your own words, CW still didn't save a life... CW mixed with
>bad Spanish passed a message. So now we'll have to add a Spanish test.
>Thanks a lot.

I think you missed the point. Even if you didn't know "ola" from
"adios", you can copy Spanish in CW and hand it to the recipient, who
can read it. Try that with a mic.

>As for the language thing.... I can copy voice language and hand it off to
>another native just as easy and they'll figure it out too.
>No CW necessary.

Really? You can write a spoken language you don't understand well
enough to be read by someone who understands it? Maybe. Maybe not.
In CW, you can.

3631. Re: PING: Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 22:07:10 UTC
From: Bill Turner <noway@nohow.com>
Message-ID: <44ecd18e_2@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 7036
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old freind wrote:

> > > why do you want to kil the ARS?
> >
> > Why do you want ham radio to be like CB?
>
> why do you want Ham radio dead

-----------------REPLY FOLLOWS-----------------

Why do you ask these questions on an antenna newsgroup?

Bill, W6WRT

--

3632. Re: Dumbing down licensing will just let in people that really don't want to be hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 22:10:12 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <CqmdnaLUbJbIT3HZnZ2dnUVZ_rednZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 7011
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

<mke65@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:6t9pe2l02j0cm946c2mv0ihebpajfnu728@4ax.com...
>I have been into Shortwave and Police communications since the days of
> putting crystals into my 8 channel regency scanner from Montgomery
> Wards.
>
> I am 41, college educated, and technically savy. Today I am going to
> go and take my license to be a certified Technician no code ham. So
> far every test I have taken online I have achieved a passing score
> without doing any studying other that the knowledge I have accumulated
> over the past 30 years of being a shortwave, military comm, and police
> comm listener.
>
> I hope that others in the hobby do not have the same attitude that you
> possess and are favorable to me being new to the hobby (I am a bit shy
> out the gate but warm up quickly).
>
> The fact that the fcc has made this test so much more attainable is a
> good thing because it invites those (like myself) who decide to join
> this hobby as a result of the test being passable with a basic
> understanding of the radio spectrum and laws of using the spectrum for
> which you are licensed to use.
>
> More people available to be a part of the hobby is a good thing. So
> why would you want to try to weed them out?? Almost sounds like you
> are being a bit of a radio snob.
>
> Just my 2 pennies worth.
>
> Keith
>

It sounds like you want to be a ham so you will be welcome.

The point that some people are trying to make is that attracting people who
do not want to be hams is rather pointless. They'll be talked into getting
a license by some overzealous person telling them how easy it is and then
will end up not participating because they don't have an interest in it.

Dee, N8UZE

3633. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 22:14:20 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <COOdnQIYG6HvTnHZnZ2dnUVZ_oGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 7013
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Dave Oldridge" <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote in message
news:Xns9828881EDA0doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159...

[snip]

>
> Now, today, we have such things a PSK31 to do much of the grunt work.
> That will work as well as CW in most cases, I find.
>

Don't forget thought that solar flares and especially the aurora they create
induce a phase shift in signals and that wipes out PSK31.

Dee, N8UZE

3634. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 22:14:45 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156371285.103649.231230@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7012
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On Wed, 23 Aug 2006 19:59:00 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Oh, and .75 cent gasoline too....
>
> 25 cent gas. I guess I'm older than you.
I don't recal 25 cent but I do remmber 35 cent gas

you point?

3635. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 22:23:07 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156371787.106622.88700@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7014
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On Wed, 23 Aug 2006 19:54:37 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >"Dave Oldridge" <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote in message
> >news:Xns9827B8D1F9112doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159...
> >> For CW to be effective, both operators
> >> must be competent. IF they are, they can often transcend barriers of
> >> language that only digital modes can get over. In my own case, the fact
> >> that I could read CW and read written Spanish a bit once enabled me to
> >> render aid to a burning fishing boat. (There were other more routine
> >> examples of where the language barrier was crossed by CW--many messages I
> >> copied were not in English at all, but were readable by their end
> >> recipients).
>
> >OK.... so by your own words, CW still didn't save a life... CW mixed with
> >bad Spanish passed a message. So now we'll have to add a Spanish test.
> >Thanks a lot.
>
> I think you missed the point. Even if you didn't know "ola" from
> "adios", you can copy Spanish in CW and hand it to the recipient, who
> can read it. Try that with a mic.
I do that firaly well as long as it is a a langauge fro gruop I know I
can take down serbian in crylllic even though I don't what they are
saying it is simply a skill For that matter I hear and resend Morse as
long as I don't try to decipher it
>
> >As for the language thing.... I can copy voice language and hand it off to
> >another native just as easy and they'll figure it out too.
> >No CW necessary.
>
> Really? You can write a spoken language you don't understand well
> enough to be read by someone who understands it? Maybe. Maybe not.
> In CW, you can.

YOU can and you then claim that you have that skill it is valid

your values in the ARS refuse to accept that notion different strokes
for different folks

If instead of CW testng we had a choice a various tests to take that
would stand muster the current value Morse well outside of it value
withut even realy testing its abilty to do a QSO were the test based
sending and receiveing where the receiveing could send bak pse senf all
after ... and before what then take a test to show that he was able to

comincate the test would have more vailiity but it doesn't the CW tests
do noy even show that the testee can use CW over the air

3636. Re: Dumbing down licensing will just let in people that really don't want to be hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 22:26:32 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156371992.885786.41410@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7015
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dee Flint wrote:
> <mke65@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:6t9pe2l02j0cm946c2mv0ihebpajfnu728@4ax.com...
> >I have been into Shortwave and Police communications since the days of

> > Just my 2 pennies worth.
> >
> > Keith
> >
>
> It sounds like you want to be a ham so you will be welcome.
not by you most likely if he expresses an opinion you don't like
>
> The point that some people are trying to make is that attracting people who
> do not want to be hams is rather pointless.
is that the point?
then you are worng

It far from pointless although it is less valueble than atracting and
retaining those that realy want to be hams

> They'll be talked into getting
> a license by some overzealous person telling them how easy it is and then
> will end up not participating because they don't have an interest in it.

Thus pseaks Dee Flint Like Robeson a supreme judge of other people

as I have an elitist bitch

to the original poster you find these number they can not be escaped
but they are not the majority
>
> Dee, N8UZE

3637. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 22:26:36 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <2rkpe2pidhmd1ra6chkcrql0hj9h0l91db@4ax.com>
Source record: 7016
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 23 Aug 2006 20:22:53 GMT, Dave Oldridge
<doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in
>news:erane2hdiu7srugt48dht5ut01r71ru6g7@4ax.com:
>
>> On Wed, 23 Aug 2006 01:10:08 GMT, Dave Oldridge
>> <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote:
>>
>>>"Woody" <woody.shadetree@verizon.net> wrote in
>>>news:%RJGg.27319$uV.13889@trnddc08:
>>>
>>>> Well there ya have it folks.... 50wpm saves lives. So how does it
>>>> work? Turn up the speaker really loud and place it [face down] on
>>>> the person's chest, while
>>>> an op in South America tapped out universally accepted words that
>>>> would mimic an atrioventricular rhythm?
>>>
>>>Did someone drop you on your head at birth?
>> wy wouldyou ask that did someone drop you on yours?
>
>No, but when I'm confronted with TOTAL stupidity, it's a possible
>explanotion for it.
where did you encounter total stpupidity? I missed it I saw someone
being sarcastic and reasonabley cleaver about it
>
>>>The reason 50wpm can save
>>>lives is probably a bit complex for you to get both your functioning
>>>neurons around, but believe me, having done CW for a living for some
>>>decades I do know that it can save lives.
>> a date when was the last Ham Morse saved a life at any speed
>>
>>>> car and drive down to the local EMS agency, and bring them to you.
>>>> Life saved!
>>>> I'm impressed.
>>>> rb
>>>
>>>So apparently YOUR answer to this question is that you couldn't send
>>>your name if your own life depended on it.
>
>> I can send anything I like the proof of that is before you I have a pc
>>>
>>>Believe me, I get it. I don't think CW ought to be mandatory and it

>>>isn't where I live.
>> good for you
>>> I do think people who intend to use it should learn
>>>how to use it properly, though. For CW to be effective, both
>>>operators must be competent. IF they are, they can often transcend
>>>barriers of language that only digital modes can get over. In my own
>>>case, the fact that I could read CW and read written Spanish a bit
>>>once enabled me to render aid to a burning fishing boat. (There were
>>>other more routine examples of where the language barrier was crossed
>>>by CW--many messages I copied were not in English at all, but were
>>>readable by their end recipients).
>> ok you have a date for that I'll accept it as a life saved by CW if
>> you do
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
>Not an exact date, though it's probably in the archives of the Canadian
>Coast Guard, my employer at the time. Hey, I worked at Halifax Coast
>Guard radio from 1977 until 1995, 18 years at the one station. We
>handled a number of SOS calls on CW and were able to save lives some of
>the time (not always. alas). But with trained operators on both ends of
>the signal path, CW was pretty much always an easier go than SSB. And
>SITOR was pretty much a joke. Half the ships couldn't get it going.
>
>INMARSAT is what put CW out of business in the marine industry. And a
>nasty solar flare or two could put INMARSAT out of business. You pays
>your money and you takes your chances. I'm not sure that a ship equipped
>with a complex satellite radio with a lot of moving parts and a
>technician is all that much better off than a ship was when they were
>equipped with an MF-HF CW and SSB radio station and a radio operator who
>was also a trained technician. All is well until something breaks and
>the nearest part is 500 miles away over water.
>
>
>CW was still in use for a some ship-to-shore work when I retired in 1995.
>
>When I went to the high arctic in 1964 it was our main means of
>communication with the south. We eventually converted that to RTTY and
>SSB, but neither was really as effective as the CW that preceded.
>
>Now, today, we have such things a PSK31 to do much of the grunt work.
>That will work as well as CW in most cases, I find.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3638. Re: Dumbing down licensing will just let in people that really don't want to be hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 23:05:45 UTC
From: Truth <T&R@noyb.not>
Message-ID: <ecin0k$h48$1@news2.open-news-network.org>
Source record: 7017
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1156371992.885786.41410@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> I have an elitist bitch
>
Your she-male wife. Sober up before you post, you drunken fool.

3639. Re: Dumbing down licensing will just let in people that really don't want to be hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 23:11:34 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156374694.430176.223610@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7018
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Truth wrote:

> >
> Your she-male wife. Sober up before you post, you drunken fool.
no wismen I don't have a she male wife

inded she male are by and large the sole pruview and scared wannabe
gays that lack the guts to just come out and have sex with another man

to sober up I would have to get druk first
that is the facts

deal with it or shove you monitor up your ass and plug it in

3640. Re: Dumbing down licensing will just let in people that really don't want to be hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 23:16:04 UTC
From: Truth <T&R@noyb.not>
Message-ID: <ecinjvhbm1@news2.open-news-network.org>
Source record: 7019
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1156374694.430176.223610@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
> Truth wrote:
>
>> >
>> Your she-male wife. Sober up before you post, you drunken fool.
>
> inded she male
>
All that booze in your rotten skull is finally make you tell the truth this
one time. Check yourself into a dry out clinic you pathetic lush.

"an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1156374694.430176.223610@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
> inded she male

3641. Re: Dumbing down licensing will just let in people that really don't want to be hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 23:22:17 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156375337.481412.89320@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7020
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

not Truth wrote:

> All that booze in your rotten skull is finally make you tell the truth this
> one time. Check yourself into a dry out clinic you pathetic lush.
no booze is there or my system at al
I said she males you preview of scared men like yourself

I don't don't have a shemale wife, shemale are fun but they are by and
large pretty fucked up mentaly rather like you wismen

If I want a cock I go for a man if I want pussy I go fo r a fmeale
simple as that

3642. Re: 30 Steps, Monthy post. Tips for Phonies. - Thanks Markie.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 23:25:41 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Vt5Hg.787$bM.323@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7021
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:ik7me21ra2143nbnv5o2nre3er8qepsemd@4ax.com:

> On Mon, 17 Jul 2006 00:07:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>The 30 Steps below will help all New Hams, it will also help you to find
>>others just like yourself. These 30 steps should also be added to the
>>present writtens.
>>
>>
>>Step One: Use as many "Q" signals as possible. Yes, I know they were
>>invented solely for CW and are totally inappropriate for two meter FM,
>>but they are fun and entertaining. They keep people guessing as to what
>>you really meant. I.E. "I'm going to QSY to the phone." Can you really
>>change frequencies to the phone? QSL used to mean, "I am acknowledging
>>receipt", but now it appears to mean, "yes" or "OK". I guess I missed it
>>when the ARRL changed the meaning. It is also best to use "OK" and "QSL"
>>together. Redundancy is the better part of Lid-dom.
>>
>>Step Two: Never laugh when you can say "HI HI". No one will ever know
>>you aren't a long time CW rag-chewer if you don't tell them. They'll
>>think you've been on since the days of Marconi.
>>
>>Step Three: Utilize an alternative vocabulary. Use words like
>>"destinated" and "negatory". It's OK to make up your own words here.
>>I.E. "Yeah Tom, I "pheelbart zaphonix" occasionally myself."
>>
>>Step Four: Always say "XX4XXX" (Insert your own call) "for I.D." As
>>mentioned in Step One, anything that creates redundancy is always
>>encouraged. That's why we have the Department of Redundancy Department.
>>(Please note that you can follow your call with "for identification
>>purposes" instead of "for I.D." While taking longer to say, it is worth
>>more "LID points".
>>
>>Step Five: The better the copy on two meter FM, the more you should use
>>phonetics. Names should be especially used if they are short or common
>>ones. I.E. "My name is Al... Alpha Lima" or "Jack.. Juliet Alpha Charlie
>>Kilo." If at all possible use the less common HF phonetics "A4SM...
>>America, Number Four, Sugar Mexico." And for maximum "LID points", make
>>up unintelligible phonetics. "My name is Bob... Billibong Oregano
>>Bumperpool."
>>
>>Step Six: Always give the calls of yourself and everyone who is (or has
>>been) in the group, whether they are still there or not. While this has

>>been unnecessary for years, it is still a great memory test. You may
>>also use "and the group" if you are an "old timer" or just have a bad
>>memory. Extra points for saying everyone's call and then clearing in a
>>silly way "K2PKK, Chow, Chow."
>>
>>Step Seven: Whenever possible, use the wrong terminology. It keeps
>>people guessing. Use "modulation" when you mean "deviation", and
>>vice-versa.
>>
>>Step Eight: If someone asks for a break, always finish your turn, taking
>>as long as possible before turning it over. Whenever possible, pass it
>>around a few times first. This will discourage the breaker, and if it is
>>an emergency, encourage him to switch to another repeater and not bother
>>you.
>>
>>Step Nine: Always ask involved questions of the person who is trying to
>>sign out. Never let him get by with just a "yes" or "no" answer. Make it
>>a question that will take him a long time to answer.
>>
>>Step Ten: The less you know on a subject, the more you should speculate
>>about it in the roundtable. Also the amount of time you spend on the
>>subject should be inversely proportionate to your knowledge of the
>>subject even though you have no damn clue.
>>
>>Step Eleven: Always make sure you try to communicate with only a
>>handheld and a rubber duck antenna. Also, make sure you work through a
>>repeater that you can hear very well, but it cannot hear you. This will
>>put out a kind of "LID mating call": "Well, Joe, I can hear the repeater
>>just fine here. I wonder why it can't hear me?" You will score maximum
>>LID points if you are mobile, and with the radio lying in the passenger
>>seat.
>>
>>Step Twelve: If you hear two amateurs start a conversation, wait until
>>they are twenty seconds into their contact, and then break in to make a
>>call, or better yet to use the auto-patch. Make sure you keep the
>>repeater tied up for at least three minutes. This way, once the two have
>>re-established contact, they won't even remember what they were talking
>>about.
>>
>>Step Thirteen: You hear someone on the repeater giving directions to a
>>visiting amateur. Even if the directions are good, make sure you break
>>in with your own "alternate route but better way to get there" version.
>>This is most effective with several other "would-be LIDs", each giving a
>>different route. By the time the visiting amateur unscrambles all the
>>street names whizzing by in his mind, he should have moved out of the
>>range of the repeater. This keeps you from having to stick around to
>>help the guy get back out of town, later.
>>
>>Step Fourteen: If an annoying station is bothering you, make sure your
>>other "LID" buddies have a "coded" frequency list. Even though "CODES"

>>are strictly forbidden on Amateur Radio, it's really neat to practice
>>"James Bond" tactics.
>>
>>Step Fifteen: Always use the National Calling Frequency for general
>>conversations. The more uninteresting, the longer you should use it.
>>Extra points are awarded if you have recently move from an adjacent
>>frequency for no reason. Make sure when DX is "rolling" in on 52.525
>>that you hang out there and talk to your friends five miles down the
>>road about the good old CB days!
>>
>>Step Sixteen: Make sure that if you have a personal problem with
>>someone, you should voice your opinion in a public forum, especially a
>>net. Make sure you give their name, call, and any other identifying
>>remarks. For maximum points, make sure the person in question is not on
>>the repeater, or not available.
>>
>>Step Seventeen: Make sure you say the first few words of each
>>transmission twice, especially if it is the same thing each time. Like
>>"roger, roger" or "fine business, fine business". I cannot stress enough
>>about encouraging redundancy.
>>
>>Step Eighteen: If you hear a conversation on a local repeater, break in
>>and ask how each station is receiving you. Of course they will only see
>>the signal of the repeater you are using, but it's that magic moment
>>when you can find a fellow "LID", and get the report. Extra points are
>>awarded if you are using a base station, and the repeater is less than
>>twenty-five air miles from you.
>>
>>Step Nineteen: Use the repeater for an hour or two at a time, preventing
>>others from using it. Better yet, do it on a daily basis. Your quest is
>>to make people so sick of hearing your voice every time they turn on
>>their radio, they'll move to another frequency. This way you'll lighten
>>the load on the repeater, leaving even more time for you to talk on it.
>>
>>Step Twenty: See just how much flutter you can generate by operating at
>>handheld power levels too far away from the repeater. Engage people in
>>conversations when you know they wont be able to copy half of what your
>>saying. Even when they say your uncopyable, continue to string them
>>along by making further transmissions. See just how frustrated you can
>>make the other amateur before he finally signs off in disgust.
>>
>>Step Twenty One: Use lots of radio jargon. After all, it makes you feel
>>important using words ordinary people don't say. Who cares if it makes
>>you sound like you just fell off Channel 19 on the citizen's Band? Use
>>phrases such as "Roger on that", "10-4", "I'm on the side", "Your making
>>the trip" and "Negatory on that".
>>
>>Step Twenty Two: Use excessive microphone gain. See just how loud you
>>can make your audio. Make sure the audio gain is so high that other
>>amateurs can hear any bugs crawling on your floor. If mobile, make sure

>>the wind noise is loud enough that others have to strain to pick your
>>words out from all the racket.
>>
>>Step Twenty Three: Start every transmission with the word "Roger" or
>>"QSL". Sure, you don't need to acknowledge that you received the other
>>transmission in full. After all, you would simply ask for a repeat if
>>you missed something. But consider it your gift to the other amateur to
>>give him solace every few seconds that his transmissions are being
>>received.
>>
>>Step Twenty Four: When looking for a contact on a repeater, always say
>>your "listening" or "monitoring" multiple times. I've always found that
>>at least a half dozen times or so is good. Repeating your multiple
>>"listening" ID's every 10 to 15 seconds is even better. Those people who
>>didn't want to talk to you will eventually call you, hoping you'll go
>>away after you have finally made a contact.
>>
>>Step Twenty Five: Always use a repeater, even if you can work the other
>>station easily on simplex ... especially if you can make the contact on
>>simplex. The coverage of the repeater you use should be inversely
>>proportional to your distance from the other station.
>>
>>Step Twenty Six: When on repeaters using courtesy tones, you should
>>always say "over". Courtesy tones are designed to let everyone know when
>>you have unkeyed but don't let that stop you. Say "over", "back to you"
>>or "go ahead". It serves no useful purpose but don't worry, it's still
>>fun!
>>
>>Step Twenty Seven: Use the repeater's autopatch for frivolous routine
>>calls... especially during morning or evening commute times. While
>>pulling into the neighborhood, call home to let them know you'll be
>>there in two minutes.... or, call your spouse to complain about the bad
>>day you had at work. After all, the club has "measured rate" service on
>>their phone line so they get charged for each autopatch call. Your
>>endeavor is to make so many patches in a year that you cost the club at
>>least $20 in phone bills. That way you'll feel you got your money's
>>worth for your dues!
>>
>>Step Twenty Eight: Never say "My name is ....." It makes you sound
>>human. If at all possible, use one of the following phrases: a) "The
>>personal here is ..." b) "The handle here is..."
>>
>>Step Twenty Nine: Use "73" and "88" incorrectly. Both are already
>>considered plural, but add a "s" to the end anyway. Say "73's" or
>>"88's". Who cares if it means "best regards" and "love and kisses."
>>Better yet, say "seventy thirds"! (By the way, seventy thirds equals
>>about 23.3).
>>
>>Step Thirty: If the repeater is off the air for service, complain about
>>the fact that it was off the air as soon as it's turned back on. Act as

>>though your entire day has been ruined because the repeater wasn't
>>available when you wanted to use it. Even thought you have never paid a
>>penny to help out with the upkeep of it.

Markie, Thanks for the re-post.

You saved my the trouble of having to post it this month.

SC

3643. Re: Dumbing down licensing will just let in people that really don't want to be hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 23:25:48 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <0u5Hg.792$bM.718@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7022
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

mke65@yahoo.com wrote in news:6t9pe2l02j0cm946c2mv0ihebpajfnu728@4ax.com:

>
> The fact that the fcc has made this test so much more attainable is a
> good thing because it invites those (like myself) who decide to join
> this hobby as a result of the test being passable with a basic
> understanding of the radio spectrum and laws of using the spectrum for
> which you are licensed to use.

If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

SC

3644. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 23:25:56 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <8u5Hg.799$bM.295@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7023
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

The Kat <new1@katxyzkave.net> wrote in
news:rvkpe2pfem453lscckek4a6n11rjshs4r9@4ax.com:

> On Wed, 23 Aug 2006 15:38:39 -0400, "L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote:
>
>>If you "use" it enough
>
> I WISH people would start remembering they're in a SCANNER newsgroup,
> NOT a Ham newsgroup!

You would have a scanner if it weren't for hams. Ever tune 144-148 or
420-450? Good stuff Maynard.

SC

3645. Re: Phonetic alphabets. Female names.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 23:26:03 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <fu5Hg.804$bM.749@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7024
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"thesak" <don.saklad@gmail.com> wrote in
news:1156347504.228308.110790@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

> What versions of phonetic alphabets are there with
> only female names?...

Most of the time it's best to use the standard phonetics: alpha, bravo,
charlie, delta, echo, etc....

But in some situations, using an alternate phonetic set will make your
message much more clear & accurate, so it's better to use the alternate
phonetics when clearity and accuracy is paramount.

For example, using 'Anal Boy Ate Multiple Queers' for AB8MQ, is much more
clear and accurate.

Happy to Help

3646. Re: PING: Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 23:26:05 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <hu5Hg.805$bM.85@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:g6gme25j5trdejh97p5a33kmltaaocdhek@4ax.com:

> On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:46:48 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>new_cw_ham@saintly.com wrote in
>>news:1156186858.857772.22110@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>> Wanted to thank you for your posts about the code.
>>>
>>> Because of you I just upgraded (Saturday) from technician to general.
>>> I breezed the 5 wpm and am up to around 15wpm according to the W1AW
>>> pratice broadcasts. I never would have bothered to learn CW if it
>>> wasn't for you.
>>>
>>> I know I only "need" 5 wpm but I am not going to upgrade to extra
>>> until I can cpy 25 wpm SOLID!
>>>
>>> This is in honor of my electronic elmer, Slow Code.
>>>
>>> Thanks......
>>
>>ROFLMAO!
>>
>>.... And you've made me think of possibly joining CAP.
>>
>>
>>Testimonials like yours will bring a smile to every Real hams face. :-)
>>
>>Just learn the code to 22 wpm. That would be a nice edge if you still
>>had to take a 20 wpm CW test. But if you can only get your speed up to
>>16 or 17 words per minute, upgrade anyway. We need good people like you
>>on the ham bands and on usenet. LOL
>>
>>
>>73
>>
>>SC
> why do you want to kil the ARS?

Why do you want ham radio to be like CB?

SC

3647. Re: Poor Markie! - Markie, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 23:26:07 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ju5Hg.806$bM.93@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7025
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:q6gme2durm28nfpqopn5u6fv7tu8h1ql30@4ax.com:

> On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:46:53 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote in
>>news:1156167941.257256.314290@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>> MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>>> On Fri, 18 Aug 2006 08:56:55 -0400, jawod <jawod@fuse.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> >Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>>>> >> an old friendless cocksucking child molester wrote:
>>>> >>
>>>> >>>cease
>>>> >>
>>>> >>
>>>> >> Cry, you illiterate cunt, cry!
>>>> >>
>>>> >Nobody reads your ridiculous posts
>>>> be fiar I certainly do so do you
>>>
>>> Markie admits to being a bitch!
>>>
>>>> it is good for laughs mosts day laughing a rueshaking of the head
>>>
>>> In English, you illiterate lying fraud.
>>>
>>
>>
>>Markie, Just ignore them.
>>
>>They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
>>follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.
>>
>>Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
>>more stupid.
>>
>>Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
>>moon bounce some more or clean up your yard.
>>
>>SC
> why do you want to kil the ARS?

Why do you want ham radio to be like CB?

SC

3648. Re: Poor Markie! - Markie, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 23:26:08 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ku5Hg.807$bM.162@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7027
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:q6gme2durm28nfpqopn5u6fv7tu8h1ql30@4ax.com:

> On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:46:53 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote in
>>news:1156167941.257256.314290@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>> MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>>> On Fri, 18 Aug 2006 08:56:55 -0400, jawod <jawod@fuse.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> >Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>>>> >> an old friendless cocksucking child molester wrote:
>>>> >>
>>>> >>>cease
>>>> >>
>>>> >>
>>>> >> Cry, you illiterate cunt, cry!
>>>> >>
>>>> >Nobody reads your ridiculous posts
>>>> be fiar I certainly do so do you
>>>
>>> Markie admits to being a bitch!
>>>
>>>> it is good for laughs mosts day laughing a rueshaking of the head
>>>
>>> In English, you illiterate lying fraud.
>>>
>>
>>
>>Markie, Just ignore them.
>>
>>They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
>>follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.
>>
>>Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
>>more stupid.
>>
>>Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
>>moon bounce some more or clean up your yard.
>>
>>SC
> why do you want to kil the ARS?

Why do you want ham radio to be like CB?

SC

3649. Re: you mean lets kill the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 23:26:16 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <su5Hg.810$bM.263@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 7028
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1156289242.544715.95470@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:

>
> Douche Bag wrote:
>> You can't bring it back to life. It's dead. Shove code up your ASS!
> nope it is not dead but slow code want to will it
>
>

Why do you want ham radio to be like CB, Ain't one CB service enough for ya?

SC

3650. Re: Dumbing down licensing will just let in people that really don't want to be hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 23:28:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <73ppe2l5nreqevfrrlnntp8oq8j13kfsf8@4ax.com>
Source record: 7029
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 23 Aug 2006 23:25:48 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>mke65@yahoo.com wrote in news:6t9pe2l02j0cm946c2mv0ihebpajfnu728@4ax.com:
>
>>
>> The fact that the fcc has made this test so much more attainable is a
>> good thing because it invites those (like myself) who decide to join
>> this hobby as a result of the test being passable with a basic
>> understanding of the radio spectrum and laws of using the spectrum for
>> which you are licensed to use.
>
>
>
>If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?
no they would not

I can use CW well enough to save someone life with if that should
occour
>
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3651. Re: Poor Markie! - Markie, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 23:29:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <36ppe2p3v7ak0mp4e8um72gi5n06a3un5j@4ax.com>
Source record: 7030
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 23 Aug 2006 23:26:07 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:q6gme2durm28nfpqopn5u6fv7tu8h1ql30@4ax.com:
>
>> On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:46:53 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>

>>>SC
>> why do you want to kil the ARS?
>
>
>Why do you want ham radio to be like CB?
>
I don't and it isn't
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3652. Re: you mean lets kill the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 23:32:26 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156375946.763075.20290@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7031
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:1156289242.544715.95470@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Douche Bag wrote:
> >> You can't bring it back to life. It's dead. Shove code up your ASS!
> > > nope it is not dead but slow code want to will it
> > >
> > >
>
>
> Why do you want ham radio to be like CB,
Never said I did

> SC

3653. Re: Phonetic alphabets. Female names.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 23:33:21 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156376001.637088.10310@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7032
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> "thesak" <don.saklad@gmail.com> wrote in
> news:1156347504.228308.110790@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> > What versions of phonetic alphabets are there with
> > only female names?...
>
why did you decide to crosspost this thread?

3654. Re: PING: Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-23 23:38:54 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156376334.120034.171090@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7033
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:g6gme25j5trdejh97p5a33kmltaaocdhek@4ax.com:
>
> > On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:46:48 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >

> >>SC
> > why do you want to kil the ARS?
>
>
> Why do you want ham radio to be like CB?
I don't

why do you want Ham radio dead

>
> SC

3655. Re: PING: Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 00:34:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <60tpe211tj35hec0vrjs7umiejmqenjuof@4ax.com>
Source record: 7034
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 23 Aug 2006 23:26:05 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:g6gme25j5trdejh97p5a33kmltaaocdhek@4ax.com:
>
>> On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:46:48 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>new_cw_ham@saintly.com wrote in
>>>news:1156186858.857772.22110@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>>> Wanted to thank you for your posts about the code.
>>>>
>>>> Because of you I just upgraded (Saturday) from technician to general.
>>>> I breezed the 5 wpm and am up to around 15wpm according to the W1AW
>>>> pratice broadcasts. I never would have bothered to learn CW if it
>>>> wasn't for you.
>>>>
>>>> I know I only "need" 5 wpm but I am not going to upgrade to extra
>>>> until I can cpy 25 wpm SOLID!
>>>>
>>>> This is in honor of my electronic elmer, Slow Code.
>>>>
>>>> Thanks......
>>>
>>>ROFLMAO!
>>>
>>>.... And you've made me think of possibly joining CAP.
>>>
>>>
>>>Testimonials like yours will bring a smile to every Real hams face. :-)
>>>
>>>Just learn the code to 22 wpm. That would be a nice edge if you still
>>>had to take a 20 wpm CW test. But if you can only get your speed up to
>>>16 or 17 words per minute, upgrade anyway. We need good people like you
>>>on the ham bands and on usenet. LOL
>>>
>>>
>>>73
>>>
>>>SC
>> why do you want to kil the ARS?
>>
>>
>Why do you want ham radio to be like CB?

i don't why do you want it dead?
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3656. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 01:18:42 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1156382321.909740.191980@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Tues, Aug 22 2006 7:14 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: M...@kb9rqz.com on Mon, Aug 21 2006 6:30 pm
>> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
>> >>From: an old friend on Mon, Aug 21 2006 3:16 pm
>> >>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Aug 20 2006 2:57 pm

>> Robesin is merely a product of the "incentive" licensing
>> system where all those who hunger for being a "somebody"
>> can get a Title - Rank - Privilege through a singular skill.
>
>If it doesn't have rank or a uniform, Robesin isn't interested.

That certainly seems the case. The "uniform" is his
emperor's new clothes...

>> I didn't make that system, neither did you, neither did
>> anyone in these four forums. The FCC took a big chunk out
>> of it (license classes and morsemanship skill) with the
>> Restructuring of 2000 and that pissed off the Title-Rank-
>> Status seekers. Devout morsemen are angry and venting steam
>> because their self-esteem has fallen.
>
>Only in their minds. They are the very same good or bad hams that they
>were with all the layers of hamdom.

All of those "layers" hams are examples to the general public,
good or bad or indifferent. So?

"Self-esteem" is a nice-nice word for EGO tied in with self-
perception. Trying to represent themselves as "expert" radio
persons in this new millennium is a rather stupid idea of those
devout morsepersons when they want to force the FCC to keep the
code test.

Those who LIKE morse code should, and can, go on using it. That
is in no sense any validity for making it an amateur radio test
requirement for a license.

>> Simply amazing. EIGHTEEN years alleged on active duty and
>> he can't supply a single photo or document to support his
>> claim? In November of this year I can truthfully say I've
>> been in the southern California aerospace business 50 years.
>> I have all sorts of documentation and photos on that which

>> I may fully digitize some day (some are already digitized).
>> Some time ago I posted my resume in here...which only made
>> Robesin ballistic then since he has NO comparable
>> experience in industry and cannot prove any radio experience
>> other than amateur and alleged "chief operator" status at
>> some small MARS station long ago. [that was before his
>> less-than-a-half-year as a purchasing agent at a small
>> set top box maker]
>
>Yet as "chief operator" or ANCOIC of NMC MARS on Okinawa, he remains
>woefully ignorant of MARS. I just don't get it.

It's easy to "get." He wasn't what he says he was. He hasn't
supplied a single bit of evidence to prove his claims.

>> In another recent post, Robesin keeps referring to a "CV."
>> That's an acronym for the Latin 'curriculum vitae,' a list
>> of life experiences (education, work experience).
>
>Maybe he meant "constant velocity" as in "CV joints" because he's
>always "spun up" about one thing or another.

He tries to "spin" his claims to be the truth. Still no
evidence presented.

Robesin used the wrong word/acronym for a RESUME' presented
as part of an interview for a job.

>Robesin an academic? Not in this lifetime.
>
>It's just his inappropriate use of what to him are important sounding
>words and acronyms.

Some of those he makes up as he goes along.

>The rec.radio newsgroups have showcased Robesin. He HAS earned his
>reputation. He's worked very hard for it.

He's hardly worked in radio.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3657. Re: PING: Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 01:50:46 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156384246.774658.71930@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7037
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Bill Turner wrote:
> an old freind wrote:
>
> > > > why do you want to kil the ARS?
> > >
> > > Why do you want ham radio to be like CB?
> >
> > why do you want Ham radio dead
>
> -----------------REPLY FOLLOWS-----------------
>
> Why do you ask these questions on an antenna newsgroup?
I ask becuase I have given up on parring back the thread of the troll's

and if I answer i answer in all the NG not to break the threads
>
> Bill, W6WRT
>
>
> --

3658. Re: you mean let kill the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 02:02:46 UTC
From: Noon-Air <Noon-Air@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <quqdnQq3p9VVlXDZnZ2dnUVZ_oOdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 7038
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Steve Stone" <zzspfleck@citlink.netzz> wrote in message
news:GLYGg.8320$Oh1.5187@news01.roc.ny...
>
>>> 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>> and cuting numbers by 50percent insuring the end of the ars within 10
>> years
>>
>
> ALL ARES and RACES members will have master 20 WPM CW or better

and??

3659. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 02:32:01 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Bc8Hg.14495$9T3.3995@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 7039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> Really? You can write a spoken language you don't understand well
> enough to be read by someone who understands it? Maybe. Maybe not.
> In CW, you can.

Spanish words are easy to write even if one doesn't
understand them. In general, unlike English, there
is usually only one possible way to pronounce and to
spell a Spanish word. You hear "a-di-os". You write
a-d-i-o-s. You don't need to know what it means.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3660. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 02:46:56 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1156387616.130756.18380@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7040
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Tues, Aug 22 2006 7:14 pm
>
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: M...@kb9rqz.com on Mon, Aug 21 2006 6:30 pm
> >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
> >> >>From: an old friend on Mon, Aug 21 2006 3:16 pm
> >> >>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Aug 20 2006 2:57 pm
>
>
> >> Robesin is merely a product of the "incentive" licensing
> >> system where all those who hunger for being a "somebody"
> >> can get a Title - Rank - Privilege through a singular skill.
> >>
> >If it doesn't have rank or a uniform, Robesin isn't interested.
>
> That certainly seems the case. The "uniform" is his
> emperor's new clothes...

Lessee. He's got a Marine uniform (he says). A Tennessee State Guard uniform (whatever that is), an ill-fitting Air Force CAP flight suit uniform, and a male nurses uniform. And he has lots of military medals that he never earned (according to him).

You know, whenever I saw folks in the CAP uniform at any Air Force Base, including Maxwell, they work the 4b or Class A. Never ever saw a CAP in a flight suit before robesin's well advertised home page.

> >> I didn't make that system, neither did you, neither did
> >> anyone in these four forums. The FCC took a big chunk out
> >> of it (license classes and morsemanship skill) with the
> >> Restructuring of 2000 and that pissed off the Title-Rank-
> >> Status seekers. Devout morsemen are angry and venting steam
> >> because their self-esteem has fallen.
> >>
> >Only in their minds. They are the very same good or bad hams that they
> >were with all the layers of hamdom.
>
> All of those "layers" hams are examples to the general public,
> good or bad or indifferent. So?
>
> "Self-esteem" is a nice-nice word for EGO tied in with self-

> perception. Trying to represent themselves as "expert" radio
> persons in this new millennium is a rather stupid idea of those
> devout morsepersons when they want to force the FCC to keep the
> code test.

Some do. Some don't. robesin does.

> Those who LIKE morse code should, and can, go on using it.

Roger.

> That
> is in no sense any validity for making it an amateur radio test
> requirement for a license.

No valid reason to maintain it as a barrier to the ARS.

> >> Simply amazing. EIGHTEEN years alleged on active duty and
> >> he can't supply a single photo or document to support his
> >> claim? In November of this year I can truthfully say I've
> >> been in the southern California aerospace business 50 years.
> >> I have all sorts of documentation and photos on that which
> >> I may fully digitize some day (some are already digitized).
> >> Some time ago I posted my resume in here...which only made
> >> Robesin ballistic then since he has NO comparable
> >> experience in industry and cannot prove any radio experience
> >> other than amateur and alleged "chief operator" status at
> >> some small MARS station long ago. [that was before his
> >> less-than-a-half-year as a purchasing agent at a small
> >> set top box maker]
> >
> >Yet as "chief operator" or ANCOIC of NMC MARS on Okinawa, he remains
> >woefully ignorant of MARS. I just don't get it.
>
> It's easy to "get." He wasn't what he says he was. He hasn't
> supplied a single bit of evidence to prove his claims.

Now it all makes sense.

> >> In another recent post, Robesin keeps referring to a "CV."
> >> That's an acronym for the Latin 'curriculum vitae,' a list
> >> of life experiences (education, work experience).
> >
> >Maybe he meant "constant velocity" as in "CV joints" because he's
> >always "spun up" about one thing or another.
>
> He tries to "spin" his claims to be the truth. Still no
> evidence presented.
>
> Robesin used the wrong word/acronym for a RESUME' presented
> as part of an interview for a job.

Thought he had a "killer" job as a male nurse?

> >Robesin an academic? Not in this lifetime.
> >
> >It's just his inappropriate use of what to him are important sounding
> >words and acronyms.
>
> Some of those he makes up as he goes along.

Probably how his career in the Marines was invented.

> >The rec.radio newsgroups have showcased Robesin. He HAS earned his
> >reputation. He's worked very hard for it.
>
> He's hardly worked in radio.

Oh, I don't know. After a hard day behind the microphone, he's got
that 1,000 yard stare.

3661. Re: If you had to use CW... would robesin still be an idiot?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 03:10:14 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1156389014.340987.320780@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7041
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: an old friend on Mon, Aug 21 2006 3:16 pm
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Aug 20 2006 2:57 pm
> >
> >
> >> It's "minority rule" when ARRL lobbies for preservation of
> >> morse code test for any amateur radio license class. The
> >> ARRL membership is slightly less than a quarter of all US
> >> amateur radio licensees.
> >>
> >The ARRL is trying to soften their image - the latest QST shows a
> >person using a, gulp, microphone on the FRONT cover!
> >
> Good grief! The sky is falling! The sky is falling!

It may be for some.

> >Just inside is yet another article on building a code key - from a door
> >hinge.
> >
> Oh, goody...HIGH TECH construction article.

They didn't mention wether you should use oil or conductive grease on
the hinge.

> Would they follow that with another article on the door itself?
> Like, I mean, making the door a jar? :-)

Wow! We almost leaped from a door hinge to a jar head.

> >> Ahem...my reference was the old fairy tale, "The Emperor's New
> >> Clothes." :-)
> >>
> >> That's the one where a full-of-himself ruler ordered some new
> >> clothes and the tailor buttered him up (while not sewing any
> >> new clothes) so much that the Emperor bought into this pandering
> >> to his ego and appeared in public with his "new clothes" (he was
> >> naked). Needless to say, the public laughed and laughed at this
> >> ridiculous spectacle. :-)
> >>
> >> Robeson has been all full of himself in here about his alleged
> >> "USMC service" yet he has presented zero-point-zero evidence
> >> from anyone else (or any legitimate agency) that he ever

> >> served on active USMC duty for any of his claimed "18 years."
> >
> >> Even though he NOW thinks of himself AS the amateur radio
> >> service personified (anything against him is somehow against
> >> ALL radio amateurs), he is still parodying the "Emperor."
>
> >This just in from The ARRL Letter, Vol. 25, No. 33, August 18, 2006
> >
> >"ARRL First Vice President Kay Craigie, N3KN, represented the League at the
> >Global Amateur Radio Emergency Communications Conference 2006."
> >
> >"Craigie stressed that Amateur Radio needs to avoid "being dazzled by our
> >own press clippings into thinking that we are the big dog in emergency
> >telecommunications.""
> >
> >She refers to robesin-like attitudes within the ARS.
>
> Oh. My. God. ! ! !
>
> Tsk, just because NBC, CBS, ABC, CNN, FOX, ESPN, and PBS haven't
> covered the tremendously fantastic wonderfullest huge contribution
> to saving lives and property via ham radio? Gosh, there are all
> sorts of clippings from obscure weekly and biweekly newspapers
> dutifully cut-and-pasted into messages here from Robesin & Co.

And probably at least as credible as the rest of the news they carry.

> Maybe I'll have to write the Department of Defense and say that
> "Major" Robesin said that radio amateurs run MARS!

He did.

> They should
> fortwith cease and desist publishing DoD Directives on thinking
> that they started it and keep running it!

They live in a fantasy world.

> Maybe I missed the "news" on the Home and Garden Channel...I don't
> watch that much...
>
> Right and all the other radio services are switching to morse
> code for all emergency communications a la ham radio

The American Public would sue them for slow service and wrongful
deaths.

> ...the sky
> has truly fallen!

The other shoe would drop.

> >didit!
>
> Dahdah comrade. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

bb

3662. Re: PING: Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 12:28:26 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156422506.804963.308820@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7042
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old friendless cocksucker wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> > MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> > > why do you want to kil the ARS?
> >
> > Why do you "kill" the English language ?
> by the authority YOU gave me I can state the expert opiion you just lied

By your own example, you proved me right, imbecile. And that's the
general "opinion" on you.

3663. Re: PING: Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 12:29:48 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156422588.144428.116840@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7043
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

kb9rqzchildmolester@hotmail.com wrote:
> Bill Turner wrote:
> > an old freind wrote:
> >
> > > > > > why do you want to kil the ARS?
> > > > >
> > > > > Why do you want ham radio to be like CB?
> > > >
> > > why do you want Ham radio dead
> >
> > -----------------REPLY FOLLOWS-----------------
> >
> > Why do you ask these questions on an antenna newsgroup?
> I ask becuase I have given up on parring back the thread of the troll's

Markie likes to spam.

> and if I answer i answer in all the NG not to break the threads

As I said, Markie likes to spam.

3664. Re: Phonetic alphabets. Female names.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 12:34:31 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156422871.082703.149900@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7044
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> "thesak" <don.saklad@gmail.com> wrote in
> news:1156347504.228308.110790@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> > What versions of phonetic alphabets are there with
> > only female names?...
>
>
>
>
> Most of the time it's best to use the standard phonetics: alpha, bravo,
> charlie, delta, echo, etc....
>
> But in some situations, using an alternate phonetic set will make your
> message much more clear & accurate, so it's better to use the alternate
> phonetics when clearity and accuracy is paramount.
>
> For example, using 'Anal Boy Ate Multiple Queers' for AB8MQ, is much more
> clear and accurate.

Sort of like how Sniveling Cunt is appropriate for you, FATASS!

3665. Re: Phonetic alphabets. Female names.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 12:35:39 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156422938.947988.44790@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7045
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

an old fraud wrote:
> Sniveling Cunt wrote:
> > "thesak" <don.saklad@gmail.com> wrote in
> > news:1156347504.228308.110790@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
> >
> > > What versions of phonetic alphabets are there with
> > > only female names?...
> >
> why did you decide to crosspost this thread?

He's following in your example, markie.

3666. Re: Poor Markie! - Markie, Just kill yourself.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 12:38:56 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156423136.443138.16580@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

an Old fraud wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> > MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> > > On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:46:53 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> > >
>
> > > why do you want to kil the ARS?
> >
> > Why do you want to "kill" the English language?
> based on you own adknowledgement that I am an expert on lying I say you
> are lying

You proved me correct again in your "acknowledgement."

3667. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 12:47:12 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <4g7re29ghimo4ochmlh7v6mhv3qq1gsmej@4ax.com>
Source record: 7047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 24 Aug 2006 02:32:01 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> Really? You can write a spoken language you don't understand well
>> enough to be read by someone who understands it? Maybe. Maybe not.
>> In CW, you can.

>Spanish words are easy to write even if one doesn't
>understand them.

Ever try taking dictation at 100wpm spoken speed in a foreign
language? I have. Even in one I understand, it's difficult. In one
I don't understand it's impossible. Maybe you're better than I am.

> In general, unlike English, there
>is usually only one possible way to pronounce and to
>spell a Spanish word. You hear "a-di-os". You write
>a-d-i-o-s. You don't need to know what it means.

When it's spoken very quickly and in a panic, it's more like
"adhyose". Understandable, if weird looking, to a Spaniard. Try some
other languages, though - those you've never heard spoken before. See
how well you do. Then see how well you do in CW ... oh, you already
know that, don't you? -.-. is the same, whether it's hard, soft or
unpronounced. Whether you put a cedilla on it or not, a Spaniard will
understand it. So will a Turk, even though it sounds more like - -.-.
But would you write "Con" for something that sounds like Tchonn?

3668. Re: Dumbing down licensing will just let in people that really don't want to be hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 12:52:23 UTC
From: Slow Code <nomail@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <eck7ei$6c1$1@news2.open-news-network.org>
Source record: 7048
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks

Markie, Just ignore them.

They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.

Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
more stupid.

"an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1156375337.481412.89320@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com...
>
> not Truth wrote:
>
>> All that booze in your rotten skull is finally make you tell the truth
>> this
>> one time. Check yourself into a dry out clinic you pathetic lush.
> no booze is there or my system at al
> I said she males you preview of scared men like yourself
>
> I don't don't have a shemale wife, shemale are fun but they are by and
> large pretty fucked up mentaly rather like you wismen
>
> If I want a cock I go for a man if I want pussy I go fo r a fmeale
> simple as that
>

3669. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent - Markie, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 13:10:09 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1156425008.912396.256130@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 7049
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

an Old fraud wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> > MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
> >
> > Why do you want to "kill" the English language?
> based on you own adknowledgement that I am an expert on lying I say you
> are lying

Based on your response, his question is quite valid and correct.

3670. Re: Phonetic alphabets. Female names.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 13:20:22 UTC
From: No Code Lloyd Davies <novfp@lardass.net>
Message-ID: <eck932$2fq$1@registered.motzarella.de>
Source record: 7050
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:fu5Hg.804$bM.749@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "thesak" <don.saklad@gmail.com> wrote in
> news:1156347504.228308.110790@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
>> What versions of phonetic alphabets are there with
>> only female names?...
>
>
>
>
> Most of the time it's best to use the standard phonetics: alpha, bravo,
> charlie, delta, echo, etc....
>
> But in some situations, using an alternate phonetic set will make your
> message much more clear & accurate, so it's better to use the alternate
> phonetics when clearity and accuracy is paramount.
>
> For example, using 'Anal Boy Ate Multiple Queers' for AB8MQ, is much more
> clear and accurate.
>
>
> Happy to Help
>
Hi Lardass Davies.

3671. Re: If Lennie Anderson Had To Tell The Truth Once, Would Bill Clinton Swear Off Big Mac's and White House Interns? With "Engineers" Like Lennie, It's No Wonder Everything Says "Made In Someplace Other Than The United States"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 13:24:56 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1156425896.895590.63550@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6951
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.military.cap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: an old freind on Tues, Aug 22 2006 4:16 pm
>
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> an old freind wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > LenAnder...@ieee.org tried the same old tired rhetoric:
> >> >
> >> > > > > But, challenge Robeson or call him in error and one will be
> >> > > > > inundated with personal insults. Robeson MUST be right and
> >> > > > > he MUST rule. Civil comportment be damned with Robeson in
> >> > > > > newsgroups. Those newsgroups were (in his mind) created to
> >> > > > > showcase Him?
> >> >
> >> > > > Yep.
> >> >
> >> > > agreeing for once get help
> >> >
> >> > >> Get help for what?
> >> >
> >> >well a pro needs to way but Id say meglomanina paranoia, pathological
> >> >lying for starts
> >
> > Give up on Robesin, Mark. He MUST remain "right" and He
> > "must" rule. He isn't interested in civility. Once an
> > "enemy" of his, always an enemy in his mind. Sick way to
> > be but he is that way, repeatedly. He just proved it in
> > the message you replied to.
> >
> > He is setting the example for all hams. Not going to help
> > the amateur ranks in getting more hams but that is not,
> > apparently, his point. Robesin needs to come out on TOP in
> > his own mind, be chieftan, be the warlord.
> >
> > He also wants rec.radio.amateur. policy all his own to do with
> > as he sees fit. [probably to have his daily fits in...]
> >
> > Ech...
> >
> > > but titles like that are Robeson stock in trade my content in his posts

> > just ranting on and on about epople instead of Issues
>
> Absolutely true, Mark. He tries to belittle his "enemies" so that
> He looks good. Problem is, it is working in reverse and he is only
> belittling himself.

Says the blowhard who can't even pass the No Code Technician Amateur
exam. Some "electronics expert" you are.

3672. Re: If Lennie Anderson Had To Tell The Truth Once, Would Bill Clinton Swear Off Big Mac's and White House Interns? With "Engineers" Like Lennie, It's No Wonder Everything Says "Made In Someplace Other Than The United States"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 13:26:58 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1156426018.245182.308720@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6952
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.military.cap

an old fraud wrote:
>
> I use him for punching bag hopeing he might give it up

Markie, you are everybody's punching bag.

> did you catch the bit where he claims that he is acting as MY firend I
> am gald I was not drinking something , i might have choked to death

We can only hope you would choke to death......

> in a few day weeks or months I will quit this feild signing off here as
> KB9RQZ/AE

That will never happen, you are too stupid to pass the theory tests.

3673. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent - Markie, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 13:35:27 UTC
From: Jack Ricci <ricci@mnsi.net>
Message-ID: <eck9tj02jgq@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 6953
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

>> > Why do you want to "kill" the English language?
<peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1156425008.912396.256130@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

...According to research, communication in English can still be maintained
if the first and last letters in a word are correctly placed, and the rest
are messed up. Check out the sentence below :

I lvoe tihs fncikug palce!

Jcak

>
> an Old fraud wrote:
>> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>> > MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > Why do you want to "kill" the English language?
>> based on you own adknowledgement that I am an expert on lying I say you
>> are lying
>
> Based on your response, his question is quite valid and correct.
>

3674. Re: PING: Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 14:04:17 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <tdcre29epqugpo2ilas61rtpivcti81hrs@4ax.com>
Source record: 6954
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 24 Aug 2006 05:28:26 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>an old friendless cocksucker wrote:
>> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>> > MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>> > > why do you want to kil the ARS?
>> >
>> > Why do you "kill" the English language ?
>> by the authority YOU gave me I can state the expert opiion you just lied
>
>By your own example, you proved me right, imbecile. And that's the
>general "opinion" on you.
you are Lying expert opinion adknowledged by yourself

cease desist and growup
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3675. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent - Markie, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 14:04:32 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <cfcre25273bc9q3qcuoarbd7g1kg0r6j68@4ax.com>
Source record: 6955
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 24 Aug 2006 06:10:09 -0700, peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>an Old fraud wrote:
>> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>> > MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > Why do you want to "kill" the English language?
>> based on you own adknowledgement that I am an expert on lying I say you
>> are lying
>
>Based on your response, his question is quite valid and correct.
you are Lying expert opinion adknowledged by yourself

cease desist and growup
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3676. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent - Markie, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 14:05:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <egcre216au8sfrtfr35l2p2c7lk241c3gj@4ax.com>
Source record: 6956
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 24 Aug 2006 09:35:27 -0400, "Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net>
wrote:

>>> > Why do you want to "kill" the English language?
><peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1156425008.912396.256130@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>...According to research, communication in English can still be maintained
>if the first and last letters in a word are correctly placed, and the rest
>are messed up. Check out the sentence below :
>
>I lvoe tihs fncikug palce!
>
>Jcak
>
indeeed all the CW freaks claim it gets through and yet they can't
handle any mispleeled words?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3677. Re: If Lennie Anderson Had To Tell The Truth Once, Would Bill Clinton Swear Off Big Mac's and White House Interns? With "Engineers" Like Lennie, It's No Wonder Everything Says "Made In Someplace Other Than The United States"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 14:11:01 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <ipcre29jatp4il4hb8go8gpmt8c1em0qgr@4ax.com>
Source record: 6957
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.military.cap

On 24 Aug 2006 06:26:58 -0700, peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>an old fraud wrote:
>>
>> I use him for punching bag hopeing he might give it up
>
>Markie, you are everybody's punching bag.
nope
>
>> did you catch the bit where he claims that he is acting as MY firend I
>> am gald I was not drinking something , i might have choked to death
>
>We can only hope you would choke to death......

and such a statement from MY Friend
>
>> in a few day weeks or months I will quit this feild signing off here as
>> KB9RQZ/AE
>
>That will never happen, you are too stupid to pass the theory tests.
already done I may have to take them again if the FCC dleys much
longer

after steve you keep complaining they are too easy
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3678. Re: If Lennie Anderson Had To Tell The Truth Once, Would Bill Clinton Swear Off Big Mac's and White House Interns? With "Engineers" Like Lennie, It's No Wonder Everything Says "Made In Someplace Other Than The United States"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 14:11:30 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <0scre25a5jp7olsibcl4qgctecjamcb2dq@4ax.com>
Source record: 6958
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.military.cap

On 24 Aug 2006 06:24:56 -0700, peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: an old freind on Tues, Aug 22 2006 4:16 pm
>>
>>
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> an old freind wrote:
>> >> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > > LenAnder...@ieee.org tried the same old tired rhetoric:
>> >
>> >> > > > But, challenge Robeson or call him in error and one will be
>> >> > > > inundated with personal insults. Robeson MUST be right and
>> >> > > > he MUST rule. Civil comportment be damned with Robeson in
>> >> > > > newsgroups. Those newsgroups were (in his mind) created to
>> >> > > > showcase Him?
>> >
>> >> > > Yep.
>> >
>> >> > agreeing for once get help
>> >
>> >> Get help for what?
>> >
>> >well a pro needs to way but Id say meglomanina paranoia, pathological
>> >lying for starts
>>
>> Give up on Robesin, Mark. He MUST remain "right" and He
>> "must" rule. He isn't interested in civility. Once an
>> "enemy" of his, always an enemy in his mind. Sick way to
>> be but he is that way, repeatedly. He just proved it in
>> the message you replied to.
>>
>> He is setting the example for all hams. Not going to help
>> the amateur ranks in getting more hams but that is not,
>> apparently, his point. Robesin needs to come out on TOP in
>> his own mind, be chieftan, be the warlord.
>>
>> He also wants rec.radio.amateur. policy all his own to do with
>> as he sees fit. [probably to have his daily fits in...]
>>

>> Ech...
>>
>> > but titles like that are Robeson stock in trade my content in his posts
>> > just ranting on and on about epople instead of Issues
>>
>> Absolutely true, Mark. He tries to belittle his "enemies" so that
>> He looks good. Problem is, it is working in reverse and he is only
>> belittling himself.
>
>Says the blowhard who can't even pass the No Code Technician Amateur
>exam. Some "electronics expert" you are.
learn english

hasn't does not mean can't
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3679. Re: Phonetic alphabets. Female names.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 14:15:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <h2dre29mdj9e8o6fnj1hh9c0aagpr2h5hs@4ax.com>
Source record: 6959
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 24 Aug 2006 05:35:39 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>an old fraud wrote:
>> Sniveling Cunt wrote:
>> > "thesak" <don.saklad@gmail.com> wrote in
>> > news:1156347504.228308.110790@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>> >
>> > > What versions of phonetic alphabets are there with
>> > > only female names?...
>> >
>> why did you decide to crosspost this thread?
>
>He's following in your example, markie.
nope I never add any crosposts except alt.military.cap

you lied again
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3680. Re: Poor Markie! - Markie, Just kill yourself.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 14:31:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <f1ere293v9hpl96tmh5j5rcnqfv2e13vvf@4ax.com>
Source record: 6960
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 24 Aug 2006 05:38:56 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>an Old fraud wrote:
>> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>> > MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>> > > On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:46:53 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>> > >
>>
>> > > why do you want to kil the ARS?
>> >
>> > Why do you want to "kill" the English language?
>> based on you own adknowledgement that I am an expert on lying I say you
>> are lying
>
>You proved me correct again in your "acknowledgement."
the subject is your lying

you claim I am a expert on lying so I say you are lying
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3681. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 14:52:28 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <M2jHg.10994$1f6.10742@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 6961
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> Ever try taking dictation at 100wpm spoken speed in a foreign
> language? I have. Even in one I understand, it's difficult. In one
> I don't understand it's impossible. Maybe you're better than I am.

I can certainly write down Spanish spoken at my CW
speed of 13 wpm which is the whole point. If one speaks
faster, I may not be able to copy it. If one sends CW
to me faster than 13 wpm, for sure I cannot copy it even
in English.
--
73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3682. Re: you mean let kill the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 15:05:06 UTC
From: Steve Stone <zzspfleck@citlink.netzz>
Message-ID: <CejHg.8244$oa1.2187@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 6962
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>> ALL ARES and RACES members will have master 20 WPM CW or better
>
> and??
>
>

kick anyone out who can't make the hurdle

3683. Norweigian Spirit Cruise Line ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 16:34:55 UTC
From: JAMES <jamezynj@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1156437295.933330.315590@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6963

I am going on a cruise in Febuary on the Norwegian Spirit - NY to Florida to Bahamas to NY.

Anybody have frequencies (or links) for this particular ship and bahamas?

Thanks in advance!

3684. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 17:32:16 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156440736.207259.220220@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6964
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Cecil Moore wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > Ever try taking dictation at 100wpm spoken speed in a foreign
> > language? I have. Even in one I understand, it's difficult. In one
> > I don't understand it's impossible. Maybe you're better than I am.
>
> I can certainly write down Spanish spoken at my CW
> speed of 13 wpm which is the whole point. If one speaks
> faster, I may not be able to copy it. If one sends CW
> to me faster than 13 wpm, for sure I cannot copy it even
> in English.
interesting how Al Keeps changing the condictions franticaly trying to
make a point
> --
> 73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3685. Re: you mean let kill the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 17:34:37 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156440877.353329.59410@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6965
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Steve Stone wrote:
> >> ALL ARES and RACES members will have master 20 WPM CW or better
> >
> > and??
> >
> >
>
> kick anyone out who can't make the hurdle
and as the title sugests Kill the ars very productive exericse

3686. Re: you mean let kill the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 18:14:30 UTC
From: Murray Neece <radioranch@qth.com>
Message-ID: <44EDEC86.3000805@qth.com>
Source record: 6966
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Thatll be the end of all those geeks...you guys are all nuts, glad I
found you again

Steve Stone wrote:
>>> ALL ARES and RACES members will have master 20 WPM CW or better
>> and??
>>
>>
>
> kick anyone out who can't make the hurdle
>
>

3687. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 20:32:50 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <t53se2hscbon17j983lqu2lr7lj7v3aqln@4ax.com>
Source record: 6968
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 24 Aug 2006 14:52:28 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> Ever try taking dictation at 100wpm spoken speed in a foreign
>> language? I have. Even in one I understand, it's difficult. In one
>> I don't understand it's impossible. Maybe you're better than I am.

>I can certainly write down Spanish spoken at my CW
>speed of 13 wpm

Really? You listen to people speaking at 13 wpm? What are they on?
It sounds like good stuff.

3688. REQ: POLICE CALL CD 6 OR NEWER IF THERE IS A NEWER VERSION THANKS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 20:50:57 UTC
From: mike <fishbones182@juno.com>
Message-ID: <RioHg.17003$hP6.14054@trnddc04>
Source record: 6967

```
--------------= Posted using GrabIt =----------------
------= Binary Usenet downloading made easy =---------
-= Get GrabIt for free from http://www.shemes.com/ =-
```

3689. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 21:34:44 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1156455284.028084.184240@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6969
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Aug 23 2006 7:46 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Tues, Aug 22 2006 7:14 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: M...@kb9rqz.com on Mon, Aug 21 2006 6:30 pm
>> >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
>> >> >>From: an old friend on Mon, Aug 21 2006 3:16 pm
>> >> >>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Aug 20 2006 2:57 pm
>
>> >> Robesin is merely a product of the "incentive" licensing
>> >> system where all those who hunger for being a "somebody"
>> >> can get a Title - Rank - Privilege through a singular skill.
>
>> >If it doesn't have rank or a uniform, Robesin isn't interested.
>
>> That certainly seems the case. The "uniform" is his
>> emperor's new clothes...
>
>Lessee. He's got a Marine uniform (he says). A Tennessee State Guard
>uniform (whatever that is), an ill-fitting Air Force CAP flight suit
>uniform, and a male nurses uniform. And he has lots of military medals
>that he never earned (according to him).
>
>You know, whenever I saw folks in the CAP uniform at any Air Force
>Base, including Maxwell, they work the 4b or Class A. Never ever saw a
>CAP in a flight suit before robesin's well advertised home page.

In all my visits to USAF bases I've never seen any CAP
personnel there, let alone some in a poopy suit. I've seen
several civilians on USAF bases, employed by the USAF, wearing
flight suits and clearly identified as to being civilian.

>> >> I didn't make that system, neither did you, neither did
>> >> anyone in these four forums. The FCC took a big chunk out
>> >> of it (license classes and morsemanship skill) with the
>> >> Restructuring of 2000 and that pissed off the Title-Rank-
>> >> Status seekers. Devout morsemen are angry and venting steam
>> >> because their self-esteem has fallen.
>
>> >Only in their minds. They are the very same good or bad hams that they
>> >were with all the layers of hamdom.
>

>> All of those "layers" hams are examples to the general public,
>> good or bad or indifferent. So?
>
>> "Self-esteem" is a nice-nice word for EGO tied in with self-
>> perception. Trying to represent themselves as "expert" radio
>> persons in this new millennium is a rather stupid idea of those
>> devout morsepersons when they want to force the FCC to keep the
>> code test.
>
>Some do. Some don't. robesin does.

He's a bad example for the morsemen.

>> Those who LIKE morse code should, and can, go on using it.
>
>Roger.

Roger that. <salute>

>> That
>> is in no sense any validity for making it an amateur radio test
>> requirement for a license.
>
>No valid reason to maintain it as a barrier to the ARS.

Oh, oh...in the PC (Political Correctness) of morsedom,
the code test cannot possibly ever be a "barrier."

ALL "good hams" should WORK for their AMATEUR licenses!

Those that won't are worse than river-bottom slime...:-)

Trying to speak logically, the FCC grants amateur licenses
in the USA and even they proposed (via an NPRM) to eliminate
the amateur radio morse code test for a license. FCC is on
record of a couple decades ago that this singular manual
skill test does not tell them if a license applicant is
worthy of a federal license.

>> >> Simply amazing. EIGHTEEN years alleged on active duty and
>> >> he can't supply a single photo or document to support his
>> >> claim? In November of this year I can truthfully say I've
>> >> been in the southern California aerospace business 50 years.
>> >> I have all sorts of documentation and photos on that which
>> >> I may fully digitize some day (some are already digitized).
>> >> Some time ago I posted my resume in here...which only made
>> >> Robesin ballistic then since he has NO comparable
>> >> experience in industry and cannot prove any radio experience
>> >> other than amateur and alleged "chief operator" status at
>> >> some small MARS station long ago. [that was before his
>> >> less-than-a-half-year as a purchasing agent at a small
>> >> set top box maker]
>

>> >Yet as "chief operator" or ANCOIC of NMC MARS on Okinawa, he remains
>> >woefully ignorant of MARS. I just don't get it.
>
>> It's easy to "get." He wasn't what he says he was. He hasn't
>> supplied a single bit of evidence to prove his claims.
>
>Now it all makes sense.

It was all one great big super-brag.

>> Robesin used the wrong word/acronym for a RESUME' presented
>> as part of an interview for a job.
>
>Thought he had a "killer" job as a male nurse?

God forbid!

>> >Robesin an academic? Not in this lifetime.
>
>> >It's just his inappropriate use of what to him are important sounding
>> >words and acronyms.
>
>> Some of those he makes up as he goes along.
>
>Probably how his career in the Marines was invented.

Well, he might have been in the reserves?

>> >The rec.radio newsgroups have showcased Robesin. He HAS earned his
>> >reputation. He's worked very hard for it.
>
>> He's hardly worked in radio.
>
>Oh, I don't know. After a hard day behind the microphone, he's got
>that 1,000 yard stare.

That's also a symptom of anoxia...lack of oxygen used up in
his bragging of what he did that never was... :-)

Tsk, all that work he does in trying to bluff us. All he had to
do was present SOME sort of document proof or even a personal
snapshot taken while in that "hostile-action-filled" 18 year
"career" in the USMC. He hasn't done so after many years.

If he can't present a single item of 18 years of his life, it
is hard for the rest of us to believe anything he said.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3690. Re: If you had to use CW... would robesin still be an idiot?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 21:41:22 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1156455681.932440.283910@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6970
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Aug 23 2006 8:10 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: an old friend on Mon, Aug 21 2006 3:16 pm
>> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Aug 20 2006 2:57 pm
>
>> >> It's "minority rule" when ARRL lobbies for preservation of
>> >> morse code test for any amateur radio license class. The
>> >> ARRL membership is slightly less than a quarter of all US
>> >> amateur radio licensees.
>
>> >The ARRL is trying to soften their image - the latest QST shows a
>> >person using a, gulp, microphone on the FRONT cover!
>
>> Good grief! The sky is falling! The sky is falling!
>
>It may be for some.

I pity the Al-Code-Ah and their absurd zealousness of the old.

>> >Just inside is yet another article on building a code key - from a door
>> >hinge.
>
>> Oh, goody...HIGH TECH construction article.
>
>They didn't mention wether you should use oil or conductive grease on
>the hinge.

They should use "RF Grease" - same stuff to lower VSWR on
feedlines...

>> Would they follow that with another article on the door itself?
>> Like, I mean, making the door a jar? :-)
>
>Wow! We almost leaped from a door hinge to a jar head.

Oh, my! :-) Now comes the "outrage" from a wanna-be jar head.

I was thinking more of the image of Jar-Jar Binks having come
to earth in Newington and invading the editors offices of QST.

[see the 4th "Star Wars" film to find out who Jar-Jar Binks
is and morsemen should quit viewing "Independence Day" for
the umpteenth time... :-)]

>> >This just in from The ARRL Letter, Vol. 25, No. 33, August 18, 2006
>
>> >"ARRL First Vice President Kay Craigie, N3KN, represented the League at the
>> >Global Amateur Radio Emergency Communications Conference 2006."
>
>> >"Craigie stressed that Amateur Radio needs to avoid "being dazzled by our
>> >own press clippings into thinking that we are the big dog in emergency
>> >telecommunications.""
>
>> >She refers to robesin-like attitudes within the ARS.
>
>> Oh. My. God. ! ! !
>
>> Tsk, just because NBC, CBS, ABC, CNN, FOX, ESPN, and PBS haven't
>> covered the tremendously fantastic wonderfullest huge contribution
>> to saving lives and property via ham radio? Gosh, there are all
>> sorts of clippings from obscure weekly and biweekly newspapers
>> dutifully cut-and-pasted into messages here from Robesin & Co.
>
>And probably at least as credible as the rest of the news they carry.

Weeklies and bi-weeklies serve their communities okay. It's
when their reporters get overly ambitious and start copying
copy from other sources when they get into trouble.

>> Maybe I'll have to write the Department of Defense and say that
>> "Major" Robesin said that radio amateurs run MARS!
>
>He did.

We KNOW Major Dud said that in here over and over and over and
over and over and over again, but did he really write DoD?

BWAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>> Right and all the other radio services are switching to morse
>> code for all emergency communications a la ham radio
>
>The American Public would sue them for slow service and wrongful
>deaths.

Well, the reporting of those civil court cases would be
NEW COPY for the papers! Wanna bet that the ARRL would try
to spin that off as "good for the ARS?" :-)

>> ...the sky has truly fallen!
>
>The other shoe would drop.

The end is near...

doo-dah, doo-dah...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3691. Re: you mean let kill the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 22:03:34 UTC
From: Noon-Air <Noon-Air@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ePCdnSZ2Y4eqv3PZnZ2dnUVZ_qednZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 6971
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Steve Stone" <zzspfleck@citlink.netzz> wrote in message
news:CejHg.8244$oa1.2187@news02.roc.ny...
>
>>> ALL ARES and RACES members will have master 20 WPM CW or better
>>
>> and??
>>
>>
>
> kick anyone out who can't make the hurdle

Ok.... and lose 99% of the available operators when another Katrina
hits..... We needed *ANYBODY* that had a radio and a license for almost a
month after the storm. My town took the direct hit from Katrina..... even
though we are 60 miles north of the gulf, I still had 110mph sustained winds
at my house.

3692. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 22:06:47 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <XppHg.12666$kO3.10534@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 6972
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> I can certainly write down Spanish spoken at my CW
>> speed of 13 wpm
>
> Really? You listen to people speaking at 13 wpm? What are they on?
> It sounds like good stuff.

Your objection was that I cannot write Spanish at 100 wpm.
I admit that but I know how to say "despacio" until they
slow down so I can write it down. Since I can copy CW
at 13 wpm, that seems to be a reasonable comparison.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3693. Re: you mean let kill the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 23:09:02 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156460942.548671.164770@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6974
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Noon-Air wrote:
> "Steve Stone" <zzspfleck@citlink.netzz> wrote in message
> news:CejHg.8244$oa1.2187@news02.roc.ny...
> >
> >>> ALL ARES and RACES members will have master 20 WPM CW or better
> >>
> >> and??
> >>
> >>
> >
> > kick anyone out who can't make the hurdle
>
> Ok.... and lose 99% of the available operators when another Katrina
> hits..... We needed *ANYBODY* that had a radio and a license for almost a
> month after the storm. My town took the direct hit from Katrina..... even
> though we are 60 miles north of the gulf, I still had 110mph sustained winds
> at my house.
indeed

what would be more usfull my wife and I or an old geezer with a key and
artirtic fingers or worse yet no one at all

3694. If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 23:30:36 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <wEqHg.911$xQ1.795@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6975
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Let's keep the CB'ers on CB.

1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
pass all elements required for their license class.

2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.

3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

Tnx, 73

SC

3695. Re: you mean let kill the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 23:30:41 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <BEqHg.914$xQ1.153@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6976
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Noon-Air" <Noon-Air@comcast.net> wrote in
news:ePCdnSZ2Y4eqv3PZnZ2dnUVZ_qednZ2d@comcast.com:

>
> "Steve Stone" <zzspfleck@citlink.netzz> wrote in message
> news:CejHg.8244$oa1.2187@news02.roc.ny...
>>
>>>> ALL ARES and RACES members will have master 20 WPM CW or better
>>>
>>> and??
>>>
>>>
>>
>> kick anyone out who can't make the hurdle
>
> Ok.... and lose 99% of the available operators when another Katrina
> hits..... We needed *ANYBODY* that had a radio and a license for almost
> a month after the storm. My town took the direct hit from Katrina.....
> even though we are 60 miles north of the gulf, I still had 110mph
> sustained winds at my house.

You'll lose some lazy ops, sure, but the operators that remain will be
better.

Sc

3696. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 23:34:16 UTC
From: RLW <KookTard@anon>
Message-ID: <8hv0f.csu.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 6973
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1156455284.028084.184240@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Aug 23 2006 7:46 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Tues, Aug 22 2006 7:14 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: M...@kb9rqz.com on Mon, Aug 21 2006 6:30 pm
>> >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
>> >> >>From: an old friend on Mon, Aug 21 2006 3:16 pm
>> >> >>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Aug 20 2006 2:57 pm
>
>> >> Robesin is merely a product of the "incentive" licensing
>> >> system where all those who hunger for being a "somebody"
>> >> can get a Title - Rank - Privilege through a singular skill.
>
>> >If it doesn't have rank or a uniform, Robesin isn't interested.
>
>> That certainly seems the case. The "uniform" is his
>> emperor's new clothes...
>
>Lessee. He's got a Marine uniform (he says). A Tennessee State Guard
>uniform (whatever that is), an ill-fitting Air Force CAP flight suit
>uniform, and a male nurses uniform. And he has lots of military medals
>that he never earned (according to him).
>
>You know, whenever I saw folks in the CAP uniform at any Air Force
>Base, including Maxwell, they work the 4b or Class A. Never ever saw a
>CAP in a flight suit before robesin's well advertised home page.

In all my visits to USAF bases I've never seen any CAP
personnel there, let alone some in a poopy suit. I've seen
several civilians on USAF bases, employed by the USAF, wearing
flight suits and clearly identified as to being civilian.

>> >> I didn't make that system, neither did you, neither did
>> >> anyone in these four forums. The FCC took a big chunk out
>> >> of it (license classes and morsemanship skill) with the
>> >> Restructuring of 2000 and that pissed off the Title-Rank-
>> >> Status seekers. Devout morsemen are angry and venting steam
>> >> because their self-esteem has fallen.
>
>> >Only in their minds. They are the very same good or bad hams that they

>> >were with all the layers of hamdom.
>
>> All of those "layers" hams are examples to the general public,
>> good or bad or indifferent. So?
>
>> "Self-esteem" is a nice-nice word for EGO tied in with self-
>> perception. Trying to represent themselves as "expert" radio
>> persons in this new millennium is a rather stupid idea of those
>> devout morsepersons when they want to force the FCC to keep the
>> code test.
>
>Some do. Some don't. robesin does.

He's a bad example for the morsemen.

>> Those who LIKE morse code should, and can, go on using it.
>
>Roger.

Roger that. <salute>

>> That
>> is in no sense any validity for making it an amateur radio test
>> requirement for a license.
>
>No valid reason to maintain it as a barrier to the ARS.

Oh, oh...in the PC (Political Correctness) of morsedom,
the code test cannot possibly ever be a "barrier."

ALL "good hams" should WORK for their AMATEUR licenses!

Those that won't are worse than river-bottom slime...:-)

Trying to speak logically, the FCC grants amateur licenses
in the USA and even they proposed (via an NPRM) to eliminate
the amateur radio morse code test for a license. FCC is on
record of a couple decades ago that this singular manual
skill test does not tell them if a license applicant is
worthy of a federal license.

>> >> Simply amazing. EIGHTEEN years alleged on active duty and
>> >> he can't supply a single photo or document to support his
>> >> claim? In November of this year I can truthfully say I've
>> >> been in the southern California aerospace business 50 years.
>> >> I have all sorts of documentation and photos on that which
>> >> I may fully digitize some day (some are already digitized).
>> >> Some time ago I posted my resume in here...which only made
>> >> Robesin ballistic then since he has NO comparable
>> >> experience in industry and cannot prove any radio experience
>> >> other than amateur and alleged "chief operator" status at
>> >> some small MARS station long ago. [that was before his
>> >> less-than-a-half-year as a purchasing agent at a small

>> >> set top box maker]
>
>> >Yet as "chief operator" or ANCOIC of NMC MARS on Okinawa, he remains
>> >woefully ignorant of MARS. I just don't get it.
>
>> It's easy to "get." He wasn't what he says he was. He hasn't
>> supplied a single bit of evidence to prove his claims.
>
>Now it all makes sense.

It was all one great big super-brag.

>> Robesin used the wrong word/acronym for a RESUME' presented
>> as part of an interview for a job.
>
>Thought he had a "killer" job as a male nurse?

God forbid!

>> >Robesin an academic? Not in this lifetime.
>
>> >It's just his inappropriate use of what to him are important sounding
>> >words and acronyms.
>
>> Some of those he makes up as he goes along.
>
>Probably how his career in the Marines was invented.

Well, he might have been in the reserves?

>> >The rec.radio newsgroups have showcased Robesin. He HAS earned his
>> >reputation. He's worked very hard for it.
>
>> He's hardly worked in radio.
>
>Oh, I don't know. After a hard day behind the microphone, he's got
>that 1,000 yard stare.

That's also a symptom of anoxia...lack of oxygen used up in
his bragging of what he did that never was... :-)

Tsk, all that work he does in trying to bluff us. All he had to
do was present SOME sort of document proof or even a personal
snapshot taken while in that "hostile-action-filled" 18 year
"career" in the USMC. He hasn't done so after many years.

If he can't present a single item of 18 years of his life, it
is hard for the rest of us to believe anything he said.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Well, doggone it, Lennie. Next thing ya know he'll be posting over the
callsign of another Amateur.

Nope...ya just cain't believe guys like that, can you Len?

3697. Re: you mean let kill the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 23:45:31 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <jgese29lf057g9olghei27nabtk3kkonuo@4ax.com>
Source record: 6979
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 24 Aug 2006 23:30:41 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Noon-Air" <Noon-Air@comcast.net> wrote in
>news:ePCdnSZ2Y4eqv3PZnZ2dnUVZ_qednZ2d@comcast.com:
>
>>
>> "Steve Stone" <zzspfleck@citlink.netzz> wrote in message
>> news:CejHg.8244$oa1.2187@news02.roc.ny...
>>>
>>>>> ALL ARES and RACES members will have master 20 WPM CW or better
>>>>
>>>> and??
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> kick anyone out who can't make the hurdle
>>
>> Ok.... and lose 99% of the available operators when another Katrina
>> hits..... We needed *ANYBODY* that had a radio and a license for almost
>> a month after the storm. My town took the direct hit from Katrina.....
>> even though we are 60 miles north of the gulf, I still had 110mph
>> sustained winds at my house.
>
>
>
>You'll lose some lazy ops, sure, but the operators that remain will be
>better.
why?
>
>Sc
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3698. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?<< why would a licensed ham LEAVE CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 23:47:21 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156463241.626039.42130@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6977
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

```
Slow Code wrote:
> Let's keep the CB'ers on CB.
why this troll
```

3699. Re: you mean let kill the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-24 23:50:10 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156463410.590711.284720@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6978
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "Noon-Air" <Noon-Air@comcast.net> wrote in
> news:ePCdnSZ2Y4eqv3PZnZ2dnUVZ_qednZ2d@comcast.com:
>

> > Ok.... and lose 99% of the available operators when another Katrina
> > hits..... We needed *ANYBODY* that had a radio and a license for almost
> > a month after the storm. My town took the direct hit from Katrina.....
> > even though we are 60 miles north of the gulf, I still had 110mph
> > sustained winds at my house.
>
>
>
> You'll lose some lazy ops, sure, but the operators that remain will be
> better.
you can't lose half the ops as you propose and survive as a funtional
gruop

it is just that simple
>
> Sc

3700. Get a SONY SW Radio now B4 their bankrupt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 00:07:37 UTC
From: Capt Video 2006! <noetonsplease@halfbakedswl.com>
Message-ID: <87798$44ee3f28$d1cc5670$10998@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 6980
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.ham-radio.misc,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.boatanchors,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Looks like the Dell and Apple laptop battery fiasco
just might BANKRUPT Sony Corporation, so if your
looking for a Sony Shortwave, Scanner or other radio
product, you may want to buy it sooner than later....

--> http://tinyurl.com/ltf55

--->
http://www.forbes.com/markets/equities/2006/08/17/sony-update-0817marketscan01.html

---> http://msnbc.msn.com/id/14502021/

---> http://www.chron.com/disp/story.mpl/ap/fn/4139283.html

3701. Re: Get a SONY SW Radio now B4 their bankrupt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 00:44:46 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <nvhse29gh392lrmseapp9lpla9tnakl9f7@4ax.com>
Source record: 6981
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.ham-radio.misc,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.boatanchors,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 24 Aug 2006 20:07:37 -0400, "Capt Video 2006!"
<noetonsplease@halfbakedswl.com> wrote:

>Looks like the Dell and Apple laptop battery fiasco
>just might BANKRUPT Sony Corporation, so if your
>looking for a Sony Shortwave, Scanner or other radio
>product, you may want to buy it sooner than later....
>
>--> http://tinyurl.com/ltf55
>
>--->
>http://www.forbes.com/markets/equities/2006/08/17/sony-update-0817marketscan01.html
>
>---> http://msnbc.msn.com/id/14502021/
>
>---> http://www.chron.com/disp/story.mpl/ap/fn/4139283.html
>
Good riddance.

3702. Re: Get a SONY SW Radio now B4 their bankrupt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 00:46:54 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156466814.632171.274380@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Capt Video 2006! wrote:
> Looks like the Dell and Apple laptop battery fiasco
> just might BANKRUPT Sony Corporation, so if your
> looking for a Sony Shortwave, Scanner or other radio
> product, you may want to buy it sooner than later....
>
> --> http://tinyurl.com/ltf55
>
> --->
> http://www.forbes.com/markets/equities/2006/08/17/sony-update-0817marketscan01.html
>
> ---> http://msnbc.msn.com/id/14502021/
>
> ---> http://www.chron.com/disp/story.mpl/ap/fn/4139283.html
calm down capt before your decoder ring melts
```

3703. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 01:14:19 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <jijse25f4a0kh8s97gt1daemi8q43mc6aq@4ax.com>
Source record: 6983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 24 Aug 2006 22:06:47 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>> I can certainly write down Spanish spoken at my CW
>>> speed of 13 wpm
>>
>> Really? You listen to people speaking at 13 wpm? What are they on?
>> It sounds like good stuff.
>
>Your objection was that I cannot write Spanish at 100 wpm.
>I admit that but I know how to say "despacio" until they
>slow down so I can write it down.

As I said in another post, try that in Turkish. Or Latvian. Or any
other language you don't know a single word of.

But in CW, it doesn't matter - you write the letters as they come,
whether you know what they mean or not. In the Navy, we had to read
what we had copied to know what it said - the CW came in your ear and
went out your fingers, you didn't pay attention to it. English? Who
knew, until you read it?

3704. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 01:15:52 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156468552.726523.209080@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6984
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On Thu, 24 Aug 2006 22:06:47 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
> >> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>> I can certainly write down Spanish spoken at my CW
> >>> speed of 13 wpm
> >>
> >> Really? You listen to people speaking at 13 wpm? What are they on?
> >> It sounds like good stuff.
> >>
> >Your objection was that I cannot write Spanish at 100 wpm.
> >I admit that but I know how to say "despacio" until they
> >slow down so I can write it down.
>
> As I said in another post, try that in Turkish. Or Latvian. Or any
> other language you don't know a single word of.

>
> But in CW, it doesn't matter - you write the letters as they come,
> whether you know what they mean or not. In the Navy, we had to read
> what we had copied to know what it said - the CW came in your ear and
> went out your fingers, you didn't pay attention to it. English? Who
> knew, until you read it?
if you get a message in turkish in most of the USA you still have a
useless message since where are yo going to find some that read the
lang

3705. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 01:30:20 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1156469420.301419.134990@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6985
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Aug 23 2006 7:46 pm
>
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Tues, Aug 22 2006 7:14 pm
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: M...@kb9rqz.com on Mon, Aug 21 2006 6:30 pm
> >> >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
> >> >> >>From: an old friend on Mon, Aug 21 2006 3:16 pm
> >> >> >>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Aug 20 2006 2:57 pm
> >> >
> >> >> Robesin is merely a product of the "incentive" licensing
> >> >> system where all those who hunger for being a "somebody"
> >> >> can get a Title - Rank - Privilege through a singular skill.
> >> >
> >> >If it doesn't have rank or a uniform, Robesin isn't interested.
> >> >
> >> That certainly seems the case. The "uniform" is his
> >> emperor's new clothes...
> >> >
> >> >Lessee. He's got a Marine uniform (he says). A Tennessee State Guard
> >> >uniform (whatever that is), an ill-fitting Air Force CAP flight suit
> >> >uniform, and a male nurses uniform. And he has lots of military medals
> >> >that he never earned (according to him).
> >> >
> >> >You know, whenever I saw folks in the CAP uniform at any Air Force
> >> >Base, including Maxwell, they work the 4b or Class A. Never ever saw a
> >> >CAP in a flight suit before robesin's well advertised home page.
> >>
> >> In all my visits to USAF bases I've never seen any CAP
> >> personnel there, let alone some in a poopy suit. I've seen
> >> several civilians on USAF bases, employed by the USAF, wearing
> >> flight suits and clearly identified as to being civilian.

I knew a SSgt that was also a Major. When he had on his Major Uniform,
he strutted around escorting the JrCAPs to the chow hall to show them
how it's really done.

> >> >> I didn't make that system, neither did you, neither did
> >> >> anyone in these four forums. The FCC took a big chunk out
> >> >> of it (license classes and morsemanship skill) with the
> >> >> Restructuring of 2000 and that pissed off the Title-Rank-

> >> >> Status seekers. Devout morsemen are angry and venting steam
> >> >> because their self-esteem has fallen.
> >
> >> >Only in their minds. They are the very same good or bad hams that they
> >> >were with all the layers of hamdom.
> >
> >> All of those "layers" hams are examples to the general public,
> >> good or bad or indifferent. So?
> >
> >> "Self-esteem" is a nice-nice word for EGO tied in with self-
> >> perception. Trying to represent themselves as "expert" radio
> >> persons in this new millennium is a rather stupid idea of those
> >> devout morsepersons when they want to force the FCC to keep the
> >> code test.
> >
> >Some do. Some don't. robesin does.
>
> He's a bad example for the morsemen.

But he's very visible, with or without that new suit of clothes.
Either way, it's bad for the morsemen.

> >> Those who LIKE morse code should, and can, go on using it.
> >
> >Roger.
>
> Roger that. <salute>
>
>
> >> That
> >> is in no sense any validity for making it an amateur radio test
> >> requirement for a license.
> >
> >No valid reason to maintain it as a barrier to the ARS.
>
> Oh, oh...in the PC (Political Correctness) of morsedom,
> the code test cannot possibly ever be a "barrier."
>
> ALL "good hams" should WORK for their AMATEUR licenses!
>
> Those that won't are worse than river-bottom slime...:-)

Like kepone at the bottom of the James.

> Trying to speak logically, the FCC grants amateur licenses
> in the USA and even they proposed (via an NPRM) to eliminate
> the amateur radio morse code test for a license. FCC is on
> record of a couple decades ago that this singular manual
> skill test does not tell them if a license applicant is
> worthy of a federal license.

So what's the hold up?

> >> >> Simply amazing. EIGHTEEN years alleged on active duty and
> >> >> he can't supply a single photo or document to support his
> >> >> claim? In November of this year I can truthfully say I've
> >> >> been in the southern California aerospace business 50 years.
> >> >> I have all sorts of documentation and photos on that which
> >> >> I may fully digitize some day (some are already digitized).
> >> >> Some time ago I posted my resume in here...which only made
> >> >> Robesin ballistic then since he has NO comparable
> >> >> experience in industry and cannot prove any radio experience
> >> >> other than amateur and alleged "chief operator" status at
> >> >> some small MARS station long ago. [that was before his
> >> >> less-than-a-half-year as a purchasing agent at a small
> >> >> set top box maker]
> >
> >> >Yet as "chief operator" or ANCOIC of NMC MARS on Okinawa, he remains
> >> >woefully ignorant of MARS. I just don't get it.
> >
> >> It's easy to "get." He wasn't what he says he was. He hasn't
> >> supplied a single bit of evidence to prove his claims.
> >
> >Now it all makes sense.
>
> It was all one great big super-brag.

He never thought that a mere Army or Air Force NCO would know anything
about MARS.

Very, very unfortunate for him.

> >> Robesin used the wrong word/acronym for a RESUME' presented
> >> as part of an interview for a job.
> >
> >Thought he had a "killer" job as a male nurse?
>
> God forbid!

Yes.

> >> >Robesin an academic? Not in this lifetime.
> >
> >> >It's just his inappropriate use of what to him are important sounding
> >> >words and acronyms.
> >
> >> Some of those he makes up as he goes along.
> >
> >Probably how his career in the Marines was invented.
>
> Well, he might have been in the reserves?

Was that you or Frank that nailed that one?

> >> >The rec.radio newsgroups have showcased Robesin. He HAS earned his
> >> >reputation. He's worked very hard for it.

> >
> >> He's hardly worked in radio.
> >
> >Oh, I don't know. After a hard day behind the microphone, he's got
> >that 1,000 yard stare.
>
> That's also a symptom of anoxia...lack of oxygen used up in
> his bragging of what he did that never was... :-)

There is supposed to be a form of self-afflicted asphyxia but to talk
of it wouldn't be polite.

> Tsk, all that work he does in trying to bluff us. All he had to
> do was present SOME sort of document proof or even a personal
> snapshot taken while in that "hostile-action-filled" 18 year
> "career" in the USMC. He hasn't done so after many years.

Seven hostile actions, I'm told. I can't imagine being short-sheeted 7
times by members of my flight.

> If he can't present a single item of 18 years of his life, it
> is hard for the rest of us to believe anything he said.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Yep.

3706. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 01:37:24 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156469844.402083.323040@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6986
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Aug 23 2006 7:46 pm

> > Trying to speak logically, the FCC grants amateur licenses
> > in the USA and even they proposed (via an NPRM) to eliminate
> > the amateur radio morse code test for a license. FCC is on
> > record of a couple decades ago that this singular manual
> > skill test does not tell them if a license applicant is
> > worthy of a federal license.
>
> So what's the hold up?
>most likely the fact that some at the FCC wouldlike to plase the ARRL as long as it costs them nothing ut the cpsts are starting to build since NVEC realy needs to know soon what the general license involves so they can write the new pool

3707. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 01:39:55 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1156469995.033080.20480@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6987
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

RLW wrote:
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> news:1156455284.028084.184240@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Aug 23 2006 7:46 pm
>
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Tues, Aug 22 2006 7:14 pm
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: M...@kb9rqz.com on Mon, Aug 21 2006 6:30 pm
> >> >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
> >> >> >>From: an old friend on Mon, Aug 21 2006 3:16 pm
> >> >> >>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Aug 20 2006 2:57 pm
> > >
> >> >> Robesin is merely a product of the "incentive" licensing
> >> >> system where all those who hunger for being a "somebody"
> >> >> can get a Title - Rank - Privilege through a singular skill.
> > >
> >> >If it doesn't have rank or a uniform, Robesin isn't interested.
> > >
> >> That certainly seems the case. The "uniform" is his
> >> emperor's new clothes...
> > >
> >Lessee. He's got a Marine uniform (he says). A Tennessee State Guard
> >uniform (whatever that is), an ill-fitting Air Force CAP flight suit
> >uniform, and a male nurses uniform. And he has lots of military medals
> >that he never earned (according to him).
> > >
> >You know, whenever I saw folks in the CAP uniform at any Air Force
> >Base, including Maxwell, they work the 4b or Class A. Never ever saw a
> >CAP in a flight suit before robesin's well advertised home page.
>
> In all my visits to USAF bases I've never seen any CAP
> personnel there, let alone some in a poopy suit. I've seen
> several civilians on USAF bases, employed by the USAF, wearing
> flight suits and clearly identified as to being civilian.

Saturdays. They bring the kids in for a tour and a meal at the chow
hall.

> >Oh, I don't know. After a hard day behind the microphone, he's got
> >that 1,000 yard stare.
>

> That's also a symptom of anoxia...lack of oxygen used up in
> his bragging of what he did that never was... :-)
>
> Tsk, all that work he does in trying to bluff us. All he had to
> do was present SOME sort of document proof or even a personal
> snapshot taken while in that "hostile-action-filled" 18 year
> "career" in the USMC. He hasn't done so after many years.
>
> If he can't present a single item of 18 years of his life, it
> is hard for the rest of us to believe anything he said.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> Well, doggone it, Lennie. Next thing ya know he'll be posting over the
> callsign of another Amateur.
>
> Nope...ya just cain't believe guys like that, can you Len?

I don't believe his bs.

3708. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 02:05:04 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <12esmmfqqoi2e07@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 6988
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:wEqHg.911$xQ1.795@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> Let's keep the CB'ers on CB.
(snipped)
> SC

You just don't get it. We don't want on the ham freqs. I have the entire 11 meter spectrum at my disposal, and that's what really burns your ass.

3709. Re: Get a SONY SW Radio now B4 their bankrupt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 02:05:32 UTC
From: patrick jankowiak <eccm@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <44EE5AF6.3080309@swbell.net>
Source record: 6989
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.ham-radio.misc,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.boatanchors,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

David wrote:

> On Thu, 24 Aug 2006 20:07:37 -0400, "Capt Video 2006!"
> <noetonsplease@halfbakedswl.com> wrote:
>
>
>>Looks like the Dell and Apple laptop battery fiasco
>>just might BANKRUPT Sony Corporation, so if your
>>looking for a Sony Shortwave, Scanner or other radio
>>product, you may want to buy it sooner than later....
>>
>>--> http://tinyurl.com/ltf55
>>
>>--->
>>http://www.forbes.com/markets/equities/2006/08/17/sony-update-0817marketscan01.html
>>
>>---> http://msnbc.msn.com/id/14502021/
>>
>>---> http://www.chron.com/disp/story.mpl/ap/fn/4139283.html
>>
>
> Good riddance.

In the past, the company did very well, even though the products and service were costly they were of the highest quality. Once the founder, Mr. Morita, passed away, the company became very poorly managed -left to bean counters and lawyers who had no concept of the value of a tradition of quality products or service. They made sweeping changes they would not have dared to make while Morita-San was alive. Parts in machines that had previously been cast or machined became stamped. Machined brass or aluminum bosses holding mechanism guides and supports to a mechanism baseplate became molded-in nylon strips and thin sheets with little threaded and even -perish the though- press-fit bosses on them. Yes, press-fit metal into nylon. Service quality also became secondary to profit. That is, the service organization was no longer permitted to break even in order to provide high value service, and the profit-center model they implemented caused the quality of service to drop severely, even as they raised the hourly rate to $150 (broadcast) and cheaply hired inexperienced technicians right out of a 2-year course DeVry to work on broadcast video gear. People I would not let loose on a CD palyer. Their excuse was "hire from a diverse pool" but in reality that was not done. We called them 20-20's because they were 20 years old and made $20K/Yr

-and they really had no concept of the fundamental theory behind most of the equipment they worked on. I mean these guys could not tell you the difference between a balanced and unbalanced signal, or why it was important. Service center managers expected us 'old' guys to train them, but the immaturity, laziness, and impatience of such folk won out as time and time again the rework would come back. Customers would say that Sony service was becoming no better than Panasonic's, a huge insult previously, but it became close to the truth. The profit sharing plan dried up as the hungry new 'hollywood' branch of the company, a gigantic business error, sucked up money faster than a new york coke prostitue. They were paying Michael Jackson's salary for a while too. It was a mess, and the spiral to destruction was not slow, and is probably now irreversible. I left Sony when they decided to consolidate alll service to one location and they offered me the "opportunity" to move to Norcross GA (Atlanta) and work in a 24-hour sweatshop, er.. hot-seat repair center. In the current center, I was in charge of a 12x30 area with three 7' racks, a desk, computer, phone, and workbench, with maybe $250K worh of test gear, and that is where I did my repairs. I worked on high end NTSC and HDTV digital broadcast and production products. Nothing I worked on cost less than $5000 and most of it was in the 20K range. That was the level of responsibility. The new place would have me coming in to a seat still warm from someone's butt every morning, sharing a drawer full of possibly nasty hand tools and maybe some potato chip crumbs, in a short workbench with no desk, no racks and only a couple pieces of test gear, working on cheap VHS or 8mm video tape recorders with two other people, and no computer or phone, all assholes and elbows, butts to nuts with 300 other people in the huge room, the hillbilly boss staring down from his loft on the second floor, deciding which slave to beat. (we sent spies in ahead to check on this) That is what they did to the service department and it is why Sony service sucks now and why the products are not what they were. I could go on, but there's no point. I think that was the best thing that Sony ever did for us experienced people, shutting down the regional service centers. Really gave our carreers a boost when we changed Jobs. Sony on your resume used to mean something then. I wonder if it does now?

3710. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 02:12:14 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20tHg.17273$gY6.5766@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 6990
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> As I said in another post, try that in Turkish. Or Latvian. Or any
> other language you don't know a single word of.

Sorry, you first challenged me to do that in Spanish.
I have proved beyond any doubt that I can do that.
Your need to suddenly change languages on me speaks
volumes.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3711. Re: Get a SONY SW Radio now B4 their bankrupt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 02:17:50 UTC
From: Steven <manyrumours@lycos.com>
Message-ID: <1156472270.424119.60030@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6991
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

We don't really care at RAR+P, but I wondered when rec.radio.cb or alt.usenet.kooks was going to break in with the prerequisite story that Lloyd the child molester uses only Sony Betamax...

Please, I have a 1942 Zenith to fix. You shouldn't feed on false hope, it's silly.

3712. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 02:49:17 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156474157.682969.243170@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6992
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

DrDeath wrote:
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:wEqHg.911$xQ1.795@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> > Let's keep the CB'ers on CB.
> (snipped)
> > SC
>
> You just don't get it. We don't want on the ham freqs. I have the entire 11
> meter spectrum at my disposal, and that's what really burns your ass.
he gets even less than you doc

the CBer that want to use ham spectrum will (with or likely without a
licnse depending on there motives and how ridicolous the license
requiremnt are)

everything he can't control burns is ass the No Code techs the Cber's
and even worse the part 15 experimental users

3713. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 03:56:36 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1156478196.340076.306810@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6993
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

rom: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Thurs, Aug 24 2006 6:39 pm

> <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
> news:1156455284.028084.184240@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Aug 23 2006 7:46 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Tues, Aug 22 2006 7:14 pm
>> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> From: M...@kb9rqz.com on Mon, Aug 21 2006 6:30 pm
>> >> >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
>> >> >> >>From: an old friend on Mon, Aug 21 2006 3:16 pm
>> >> >> >>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Aug 20 2006 2:57 pm

>> In all my visits to USAF bases I've never seen any CAP
>> personnel there, let alone some in a poopy suit. I've seen
>> several civilians on USAF bases, employed by the USAF, wearing
>> flight suits and clearly identified as to being civilian.
>
>Saturdays. They bring the kids in for a tour and a meal at the chow
>hall.

OK, that explains it. :-) If I was on-site for some company
business, I wouldn't be there on weekends. :-)

>> >Oh, I don't know. After a hard day behind the microphone, he's got
>> >that 1,000 yard stare.
>
>> That's also a symptom of anoxia...lack of oxygen used up in
>> his bragging of what he did that never was... :-)
>
>> Tsk, all that work he does in trying to bluff us. All he had to
>> do was present SOME sort of document proof or even a personal
>> snapshot taken while in that "hostile-action-filled" 18 year
>> "career" in the USMC. He hasn't done so after many years.
>
>> If he can't present a single item of 18 years of his life, it
>> is hard for the rest of us to believe anything he said.
>
>I don't believe his bs.

Any rational, sane person can't believe his claims. Hopefully,
that is most of us reading some of the garbage going on in here
now.

I found it uproarious that Robeson tried to cover up his NOT naming a single military radio that was operational during his alleged 18-year "USMC career," claiming "all the information is classified!" :-)

Absolute bullshit. The names, ID, functions have all been in public view...the 'Public' being the makers or those wanting to get in on an RFQ (Request for Quote) being advertised by the DoD. Even though I never operated (as a civilian) anything more than an old ARC-27 or PRC-119 SINCGARS, all the military radios operational between the times of those two are easily recognizeable to me (well, the VRCs have lots of differences between families but the same case and general form). The operating manuals are NOT classified, just in limited distribution. LOGSA the Logistics Supply Agency is busy making CDs of all the printed manuals for darn near ALL military equipment; it's a piece of cake to pop one of those CDs in an ever-present military PC and read them. LOGSA has a website and even civilians can download some of the older equipment's manuals. LOGSA has some internal priority on what can be downloaded (depending on the cookie generated by a non-military PC). That was a tip I got from rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors and rec.radio.amateur.homebrew. The nomenclatures and quick-look facts are on a couple websites in a long, long, long list. Even BAMA has some manuals for free download plus big link lists for other sites that have them.

Robesin DID list some (questionable) nomenclatures for MARS equipment once but NOTHING else. That kind of info can be had from other hams' personal websites. MARS doesn't normally talk about regular military tactical radio gear. MARS doesn't normally use such. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3714. Re: Get a SONY SW Radio now B4 their bankrupt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 05:19:42 UTC
From: Uncle Willie <enablegate4@yahoo.nosprem.com>
Message-ID: <8896f$44ee884d$d1cc41d1$13560@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 6994
Cross-posted to:
rec.ham-radio.misc,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.boatanchors,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I found it very amusing that this all went down with
Sony on the same day that the IAU downsized the
Solar System from 9 to 8 Planets.

: (I feel sorry for Pluto. : (

"RESOLUTION 5A"

The IAU therefore resolves that planets
and other bodies in our Solar System be
defined into three distinct categories in the following way:

(1) A planet is a celestial body that (a) is in orbit
around the Sun, (b) has sufficient mass for its
self-gravity to overcome rigid body forces so
that it assumes a hydrostatic equilibrium (nearly round)
shape, and (c) has cleared the neighbourhood around its orbit.

(2) A dwarf planet is a celestial body that (a) is in orbit
around the Sun, (b) has sufficient mass for its self-gravity
to overcome rigid body forces so that it assumes
a hydrostatic equilibrium (nearly round) shape, (c) has not
cleared the neighbourhood around its orbit, and (d) is not a satellite.

(...I know more than a few drunks at the local Am Legion Post who could
qualify for the above after they've had a few shots and beers in them -
grin)

3715. Re: Poor Markie! - Markie, Just kill yourself.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 12:02:43 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1156507363.140996.70620@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6995
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

MarkMron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On 24 Aug 2006 05:38:56 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >an Old fraud wrote:
> >> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> >> > MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >> > > On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:46:53 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >> > >
> >>
> >> > > why do you want to kil the ARS?
> >> >
> >> > Why do you want to "kill" the English language?
> >> based on you own adknowledgement that I am an expert on lying I say you
> >> are lying
> >
> >You proved me correct again in your "acknowledgement."
> the subject is your lying

The subject is and was the fact you have substandard English skills.

>
> you claim I am a expert on lying so I say you are lying

I am lying?
But if everything I say is a lie then I am telling the truth.....

3716. Re: Phonetic alphabets. Female names.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 12:06:26 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1156507585.732669.45960@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6996
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.military.cap

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com caught in another lie:
> On 24 Aug 2006 05:35:39 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >an old fraud wrote:
> >> Sniveling Cunt wrote:
> >> > "thesak" <don.saklad@gmail.com> wrote in
> >> > news:1156347504.228308.110790@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
> >> >
> >> > > What versions of phonetic alphabets are there with
> >> > > only female names?...
> >> >
> >> why did you decide to crosspost this thread?
> >
> >He's following in your example, markie.
> nope I never add any crosposts except alt.military.cap

Sure you have, you've added "alt.test" and a few other newsgroups to
the headers. Google has all your posts where you've done so, liar.

> you lied again

No.

3717. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent - Markie, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 12:20:17 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1156508417.270489.42560@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6997
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On 24 Aug 2006 06:10:09 -0700, peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >an Old fraud wrote:
> >> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> >> > MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> > Why do you want to "kill" the English language?
> >> based on you own adknowledgement that I am an expert on lying I say you
> >> are lying
> >>
> >Based on your response, his question is quite valid and correct.
> you are right

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

3718. Re: PING: Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 12:22:46 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1156508566.946201.190240@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6998
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On 24 Aug 2006 05:28:26 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >an old friendless cocksucker wrote:
> >> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> >> > MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >> > > why do you want to kil the ARS?
> >> >
> >> > Why do you "kill" the English language ?
> >> by the authority YOU gave me I can state the expert opiion you just lied
> >
> >By your own example, you proved me right, imbecile. And that's the
> >general "opinion" on you.
> you are Lying expert opinion adknowledged by yourself

You continue to prove you lack English skills.

3719. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 12:48:07 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <caste2livu1a8iqhpgd6hhfspi7ceki14j@4ax.com>
Source record: 6999
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 25 Aug 2006 02:12:14 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> As I said in another post, try that in Turkish. Or Latvian. Or any
>> other language you don't know a single word of.

>Sorry, you first challenged me to do that in Spanish.

David's choice was Spanish. My choice was a language one couldn't
understand. Pay attention.

>I have proved beyond any doubt that I can do that.
>Your need to suddenly change languages on me speaks
>volumes.

About your lack of content, yes.

3720. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 13:03:50 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <WyCHg.18034$o27.6023@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 7000
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> David's choice was Spanish. My choice was a language one couldn't
> understand. Pay attention.

Sorry Al, here's what you said:
> Even if you didn't know "ola" from
> "adios", you can copy Spanish in CW and hand it to the recipient, who
> can read it. Try that with a mic.

Your words, not David's. You implied *Spanish* couldn't
be copied with a mic by a non-Spanish speaking person.
I showed that it can be done.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3721. Re: Get a SONY SW Radio now B4 their bankrupt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 14:40:03 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <mt2ue21ph6iu69hj4fkd54bbsqe3s0n9dv@4ax.com>
Source record: 6851
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.ham-radio.misc,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.boatanchors,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 25 Aug 2006 02:05:32 GMT, patrick jankowiak <eccm@swbell.net>
wrote:

>David wrote:
>
>> On Thu, 24 Aug 2006 20:07:37 -0400, "Capt Video 2006!"
>> <noetonsplease@halfbakedswl.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Looks like the Dell and Apple laptop battery fiasco
>>>just might BANKRUPT Sony Corporation, so if your
>>>looking for a Sony Shortwave, Scanner or other radio
>>>product, you may want to buy it sooner than later....
>>>
>>>--> http://tinyurl.com/ltf55
>>>
>>>--->
>>>http://www.forbes.com/markets/equities/2006/08/17/sony-update-0817marketscan01.html
>>>
>>>---> http://msnbc.msn.com/id/14502021/
>>>
>>>---> http://www.chron.com/disp/story.mpl/ap/fn/4139283.html
>>>
>>
http://www.quadonevideo.com/

3722. Re: Get a SONY SW Radio now B4 their bankrupt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 14:41:50 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <cludnVRWerryk3LZnZ2dnUVZ_vmdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 6852
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"an old friend" <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote in message

> calm down capt before your decoder ring melts
>
LMAO

3723. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent - Markie, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 15:59:33 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156521573.612277.320240@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6853
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
cease and desist

3724. Re: PING: Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 16:00:53 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156521653.389923.238120@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6854
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
cease and desist

3725. Re: Poor Markie! - Markie, Just kill yourself.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 16:16:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <lf7ue2p4vt4f8cfuaqpo9t4eute9e4so0o@4ax.com>
Source record: 6855
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 25 Aug 2006 05:02:43 -0700, peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>MarkMron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>> On 24 Aug 2006 05:38:56 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
>> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >an Old fraud wrote:
>> >> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>> >> > MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>> >> > > On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 23:46:53 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>> >> > >
>> >>
>> >> > > why do you want to kil the ARS?
>> >> >
>> >> > Why do you want to "kill" the English language?
>> >> based on you own adknowledgement that I am an expert on lying I say you
>> >> are lying
>> >
>> >You proved me correct again in your "acknowledgement."
>> the subject is your lying
>
>The subject is and was the fact you have substandard English skills.
>
lying again

and your appointed expert points it out
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3726. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 16:25:45 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156523145.805735.80970@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6856
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On Fri, 25 Aug 2006 02:12:14 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
> >> As I said in another post, try that in Turkish. Or Latvian. Or any
> >> other language you don't know a single word of.
>
> >Sorry, you first challenged me to do that in Spanish.
>
> David's choice was Spanish. My choice was a language one couldn't
> understand. Pay attention.
>
> >I have proved beyond any doubt that I can do that.
> >Your need to suddenly change languages on me speaks
> >volumes.
>
> About your lack of content, yes.
nope your efforts to keep chaning to rules

it is tranperant as see though as your cowardly nature

3727. Re: Get a SONY SW Radio now B4 their bankrupt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 16:34:35 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156523675.042183.141170@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6857
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

honestjohn wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>
> > calm down capt before your decoder ring melts
> >
> LMAO
ty glad you enjoyed italthough it may have been a capt midnight with
the deocder rings it was as I understand it literly before my time

3728. Re: PING: Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 16:57:07 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1156525026.992502.270630@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6858
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old friendless cocksucker wrote:
> cease

Cease breathing, asshole.

3729. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent - Markie, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 17:00:16 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1156525216.488635.158130@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6859
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

an old fraud wrote:

> ce

See Markie whine and spam.

3730. Re: PING: Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 17:08:44 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156525724.393865.19210@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6860
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
cease and desist

3731. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent - Markie, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 17:11:02 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156525862.327204.156430@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6861
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
cease and desist

3732. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 18:20:37 UTC
From: Dave Oldridge <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Xns982A7363CDCBCdoldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159>
Source record: 6862
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
news:COOdnQlYG6HvTnHZnZ2dnUVZ_oGdnZ2d@comcast.com:

>
> "Dave Oldridge" <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote in message
> news:Xns9828881EDA0doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159...
>
> [snip]
>
>>
>> Now, today, we have such things a PSK31 to do much of the grunt work.
>> That will work as well as CW in most cases, I find.
>>
>
> Don't forget thought that solar flares and especially the aurora they
> create induce a phase shift in signals and that wipes out PSK31.

True, I've never had much success during 2 metere aurora openings with
anything BUT CW. It ain't CW by the time it gets to the other end, but
it's still readable there.

--
Dave Oldridge+
ICQ 1800667

3733. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 18:21:32 UTC
From: Dave Oldridge <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Xns982A738B6564Cdoldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159>
Source record: 6863
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in
news:2rkpe2pidhmd1ra6chkcrql0hj9h0l91db@4ax.com:

> On Wed, 23 Aug 2006 20:22:53 GMT, Dave Oldridge
> <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote:
>
>>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in
>>news:erane2hdiu7srugt48dht5ut01r71ru6g7@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Wed, 23 Aug 2006 01:10:08 GMT, Dave Oldridge
>>> <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote:
>>>
>>>>"Woody" <woody.shadetree@verizon.net> wrote in
>>>>news:%RJGg.27319$uV.13889@trnddc08:
>>>>
>>>>> Well there ya have it folks.... 50wpm saves lives. So how does it
>>>>> work? Turn up the speaker really loud and place it [face down] on
>>>>> the person's chest, while
>>>>> an op in South America tapped out universally accepted words that
>>>>> would mimic an atrioventricular rhythm?
>>>>
>>>>Did someone drop you on your head at birth?
>>> wy wouldyou ask that did someone drop you on yours?
>>
>>No, but when I'm confronted with TOTAL stupidity, it's a possible
>>explanotion for it.
> where did you encounter total stpupidity? I missed it I saw someone
> being sarcastic and reasonabley cleaver about it

I'm not responsible for your perceptual difficulties.

--
Dave Oldridge+
ICQ 1800667

3734. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 18:22:21 UTC
From: Dave Oldridge <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Xns982A73AF43229doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159>
Source record: 6864
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in
news:rujpe2dfg6a35matc3fnrsauotja9co4lk@4ax.com:

> On Wed, 23 Aug 2006 19:54:37 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>"Dave Oldridge" <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote in message
>>news:Xns9827B8D1F9112doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159...
>>> For CW to be effective, both operators
>>> must be competent. IF they are, they can often transcend barriers
>>> of language that only digital modes can get over. In my own case,
>>> the fact that I could read CW and read written Spanish a bit once
>>> enabled me to render aid to a burning fishing boat. (There were
>>> other more routine examples of where the language barrier was
>>> crossed by CW--many messages I copied were not in English at all,
>>> but were readable by their end recipients).
>
>>OK.... so by your own words, CW still didn't save a life... CW mixed
>>with bad Spanish passed a message. So now we'll have to add a Spanish
>>test. Thanks a lot.
>
> I think you missed the point. Even if you didn't know "ola" from
> "adios", you can copy Spanish in CW and hand it to the recipient, who
> can read it. Try that with a mic.
>
>>As for the language thing.... I can copy voice language and hand it
>>off to another native just as easy and they'll figure it out too.
>>No CW necessary.
>
> Really? You can write a spoken language you don't understand well
> enough to be read by someone who understands it? Maybe. Maybe not.
> In CW, you can.

I can do it (and have done so) using phonetics. But that's SLOWER than
CW.

--
Dave Oldridge+
ICQ 1800667

3735. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 19:15:17 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <htiue2dqqurlttil79ka6apngndip080d9@4ax.com>
Source record: 6865
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 25 Aug 2006 18:22:21 GMT, Dave Oldridge
<doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote:

>Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in
>news:rujpe2dfg6a35matc3fnrsauotja9co4lk@4ax.com:

>> Really? You can write a spoken language you don't understand well
>> enough to be read by someone who understands it? Maybe. Maybe not.
>> In CW, you can.

>I can do it (and have done so) using phonetics. But that's SLOWER than
>CW.

You're preaching to the choir, Dave. I've had to handle foreign
language traffic phonetically by voice and by CW - and I much prefer
CW for that kind of work, even though I prefer voice for most
rag-chewing. As you say, needing it spelled out is quite slow.

3736. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 19:54:31 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <XzIHg.720$Cq4.117@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 6866
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> You're preaching to the choir, Dave. I've had to handle foreign
> language traffic phonetically by voice and by CW - and I much prefer
> CW for that kind of work, even though I prefer voice for most
> rag-chewing. As you say, needing it spelled out is quite slow.

I prefer phonetics myself. So are you advocating using the
coercive force of the federal government to enforce your
preferences instead of mine? Of course you are!
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3737. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 20:37:55 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <frnue2lbfppes62drm1ec59f9gafb1uj56@4ax.com>
Source record: 6867
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 25 Aug 2006 19:54:31 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> You're preaching to the choir, Dave. I've had to handle foreign
>> language traffic phonetically by voice and by CW - and I much prefer
>> CW for that kind of work, even though I prefer voice for most
>> rag-chewing. As you say, needing it spelled out is quite slow.
>
>I prefer phonetics myself. So are you advocating using the
>coercive force of the federal government to enforce your
>preferences instead of mine? Of course you are!

Of course you don't understand a thing Dave and I are discussing. It's
surprising that you can find the "on" switch on your computer.

<plonk>

3738. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 20:50:48 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156539048.941052.297210@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6868
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On Fri, 25 Aug 2006 19:54:31 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
> >> You're preaching to the choir, Dave. I've had to handle foreign
> >> language traffic phonetically by voice and by CW - and I much prefer
> >> CW for that kind of work, even though I prefer voice for most
> >> rag-chewing. As you say, needing it spelled out is quite slow.
> >>
> >I prefer phonetics myself. So are you advocating using the
> >coercive force of the federal government to enforce your
> >preferences instead of mine? Of course you are!
>
> Of course you don't understand a thing Dave and I are discussing. It's
> surprising that you can find the "on" switch on your computer.
well are you still tlaking to anybody here AL

your problem is that we all see your number way to well for your comfort

3739. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 21:19:38 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <KPJHg.39$6R7.22@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 6869
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> Of course you don't understand a thing Dave and I are discussing. It's
> surprising that you can find the "on" switch on your computer.

My MENSA membership number is 1006281. What's yours?
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3740. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 21:29:09 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156541349.468325.201780@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
Cecil Moore wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > Of course you don't understand a thing Dave and I are discussing. It's
> > surprising that you can find the "on" switch on your computer.
>
> My MENSA membership number is 1006281. What's yours?

now ccil must not be boastfull he was just expresing his own stupidity
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
```

3741. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 23:45:51 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1156549551.368941.58010@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6871
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> from: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Thurs, Aug 24 2006 6:39 pm
> > <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
> > news:1156455284.028084.184240@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Aug 23 2006 7:46 pm
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Tues, Aug 22 2006 7:14 pm
> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> From: M...@kb9rqz.com on Mon, Aug 21 2006 6:30 pm
> >> >> >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
> >> >> >> >>From: an old friend on Mon, Aug 21 2006 3:16 pm
> >> >> >> >>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> >>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Aug 20 2006 2:57 pm
> >
> >
> >> In all my visits to USAF bases I've never seen any CAP
> >> personnel there, let alone some in a poopy suit. I've seen
> >> several civilians on USAF bases, employed by the USAF, wearing
> >> flight suits and clearly identified as to being civilian.
> >
> >Saturdays. They bring the kids in for a tour and a meal at the chow
> >hall.
>
> OK, that explains it. :-) If I was on-site for some company
> business, I wouldn't be there on weekends. :-)

I attended 3 weeks of CWPC training at Maxwell AFB in Montgomery,
Alabama. It hosts CAP HQ. Didn't see any CAP uniforms there, either.

Odd, though. The new owner of robesin's old vanity callsign, K4CAP,
resides in Montgomery, Alabama.

> >> >Oh, I don't know. After a hard day behind the microphone, he's got
> >> >that 1,000 yard stare.
> >
> >> That's also a symptom of anoxia...lack of oxygen used up in
> >> his bragging of what he did that never was... :-)
> >
> >> Tsk, all that work he does in trying to bluff us. All he had to
> >> do was present SOME sort of document proof or even a personal
> >> snapshot taken while in that "hostile-action-filled" 18 year
> >> "career" in the USMC. He hasn't done so after many years.
> >
> >> If he can't present a single item of 18 years of his life, it

> >> is hard for the rest of us to believe anything he said.
> >
> >I don't believe his bs.
>
> Any rational, sane person can't believe his claims. Hopefully,
> that is most of us reading some of the garbage going on in here
> now.

Some of the whacko Anon's have championed robesin's cause, whatever it
might be.

> I found it uproarious that Robeson tried to cover up his NOT
> naming a single military radio that was operational during his
> alleged 18-year "USMC career," claiming "all the information is
> classified!" :-)

Scuse me while I blow the pepsi out of my nose.

> Absolute bullshit. The names, ID, functions have all been in
> public view...the 'Public' being the makers or those wanting to
> get in on an RFQ (Request for Quote) being advertised by the
> DoD. Even though I never operated (as a civilian) anything
> more than an old ARC-27 or PRC-119 SINCGARS, all the military
> radios operational between the times of those two are easily
> recognizeable to me (well, the VRCs have lots of differences
> between families but the same case and general form). The
> operating manuals are NOT classified, just in limited
> distribution. LOGSA the Logistics Supply Agency is busy making
> CDs of all the printed manuals for darn near ALL military
> equipment; it's a piece of cake to pop one of those CDs in an
> ever-present military PC and read them. LOGSA has a website
> and even civilians can download some of the older equipment's
> manuals. LOGSA has some internal priority on what can be
> downloaded (depending on the cookie generated by a non-military
> PC). That was a tip I got from rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors
> and rec.radio.amateur.homebrew. The nomenclatures and quick-
> look facts are on a couple websites in a long, long, long list.
> Even BAMA has some manuals for free download plus big link
> lists for other sites that have them.

Too much work for robesin. So he just "classified" everything. He was
definitely confused by Major Vincent and his key on a necklace. Hah!

> Robesin DID list some (questionable) nomenclatures for MARS
> equipment once but NOTHING else. That kind of info can be
> had from other hams' personal websites. MARS doesn't
> normally talk about regular military tactical radio gear.
> MARS doesn't normally use such. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

If he was involved in MARS, it was probably just to eavesdrop on morale calls from a lonely GI to his wife or girlfriend back home.

3742. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 23:54:31 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <X4MHg.13926$xp2.5426@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6872
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:12esmmfqqoi2e07@corp.supernews.com:

> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:wEqHg.911$xQ1.795@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> Let's keep the CB'ers on CB.
> (snipped)
>> SC
>
> You just don't get it. We don't want on the ham freqs. I have the entire
> 11 meter spectrum at my disposal, and that's what really burns your ass.
>

No, we want your sorry ass to stay on CB. Better ham radio exams will
help. Let's keep the CB'ers on CB.

1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
pass all elements required for their license class.

2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.

3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

SC

3743. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-25 23:54:33 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Z4MHg.13927$xp2.13256@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in
news:frnue2lbfppes62drm1ec59f9gafb1uj56@4ax.com:

> On Fri, 25 Aug 2006 19:54:31 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>>Al Klein wrote:
>>> You're preaching to the choir, Dave. I've had to handle foreign
>>> language traffic phonetically by voice and by CW - and I much prefer
>>> CW for that kind of work, even though I prefer voice for most
>>> rag-chewing. As you say, needing it spelled out is quite slow.
>>
>>I prefer phonetics myself. So are you advocating using the
>>coercive force of the federal government to enforce your
>>preferences instead of mine? Of course you are!
>
> Of course you don't understand a thing Dave and I are discussing. It's
> surprising that you can find the "on" switch on your computer.
>
> <plonk>

ROFL

3744. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 00:06:05 UTC
From: an old freid to some a nightmare to steve <kb9rqz__ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156550765.425673.69710@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6874
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

```
Slow Code wrote:
> "DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:12esmmfqqoi2e07@corp.supernews.com:
>
> > "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> > news:wEqHg.911$xQ1.795@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >>
> >> Let's keep the CB'ers on CB.
> > (snipped)
> >> SC
> >
> > You just don't get it. We don't want on the ham freqs. I have the entire
> > 11 meter spectrum at my disposal, and that's what really burns your ass.
> >
>
>
> No, we want your sorry ass to stay on CB. Better ham radio exams will
> help. Let's keep the CB'ers on CB.
all true CBer are one CB if they are not on CB are they cbers? some
are also hams some are not
```

3745. Re: Get a SONY SW Radio now B4 their bankrupt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 00:09:00 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <gP2dnRX4L5FYDnLZnZ2dnUVZ_sudnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 6875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1156523675.042183.141170@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> honestjohn wrote:
> > "an old friend" <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >
> > > calm down capt before your decoder ring melts
> > >
> > LMAO
> ty glad you enjoyed italthough it may have been a capt midnight with
> the deocder rings it was as I understand it literly before my time
>
It was Capt. Midnight, I saw a picture of one once in an Esquire Magazine.

HJ

3746. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 01:12:57 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <lb7ve2dfj9dc594t286fhngng6mkoismis@4ax.com>
Source record: 6876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I have a better idea.

Lets require all Ham radio operators take an I.Q. test. Only upon passing the test would an operator be allowed Amateur privileges. A score of 130 would be required. This would eliminate 98% of hams and also eliminate overcrowding of the band.

The 98% of Amateurs who flunk would automatically be termed CBers. This shouldn't be a problem because 98% of Amateurs are nothing but self glorified CBers anyway. They just would never admit it.

Problem solved.

3747. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 01:14:29 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20060825211527.808$cB@newsreader.com>
Source record: 6877
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

tnom@mucks.net wrote:
>Amateurs are nothing but self glorified CBers anyway.
>
You're narrowing it down now, tnom.

3748. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 01:39:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156556373.345943.200360@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6878
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

tnom@mucks.net wrote:
> I have a better idea.
better sure but not good either

3749. (OT) : If you had to Study to get a CB License ? - Would you become a Ham ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 02:06:26 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1156557986.738172.39870@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6879
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> Let's keep the CB'ers on CB.
>
>
>
> 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.
>
>
> 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>
>
> 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
>
> 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
>
> Tnx, 73
>
> SC

SC - So... Why Cross-Post this to a Shortwave Listener's (SWL)
Radio NewsGroup ? ? ?

Shortwave Listening (SWL) - No License Required [.] ~ RHF

Then again may be your Question should be :
If you had to Study to get a CB License ? - Would you become a Ham ?
.
.
. .

3750. Re: (OT) : If you had to Study to get a CB License ? - Would you become a Ham ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 02:10:54 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156558254.031797.62430@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6880
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

```
RHF wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > Let's keep the CB'ers on CB.

> > SC
>
> SC - So... Why Cross-Post this to a Shortwave Listener's (SWL)
> Radio NewsGroup ? ? ?
becuase he is a troll deserate for attention
>
> Shortwave Listening (SWL) - No License Required [.] ~ RHF
>
>
> Then again may be your Question should be :
> If you had to Study to get a CB License ? - Would you become a Ham ?
> .
> .
> . .
```

3751. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 04:34:25 UTC
From: Dave Oldridge <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Xns982ADB747B59Ddoldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159>
Source record: 6881
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in news:XzIHg.720$Cq4.117
@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net:

> Al Klein wrote:
>> You're preaching to the choir, Dave. I've had to handle foreign
>> language traffic phonetically by voice and by CW - and I much prefer
>> CW for that kind of work, even though I prefer voice for most
>> rag-chewing. As you say, needing it spelled out is quite slow.
>
> I prefer phonetics myself. So are you advocating using the
> coercive force of the federal government to enforce your
> preferences instead of mine? Of course you are!

Not me. MY federal government dropped the code requirement a while back--and with my blessing. The sky didn't fall (at least not any further than it already had). But having used CW professionally for years, I know both its strengths and its weaknesses. Its main weakness is that not everyone can do it. PSK31 and PACTOR get a bit of an edge on it when there is no large ionospheric phase distortion happening, but require significantly more equipment.

Back in 1976 when I was doing weather observing for a living on Sable Island, we were required to send the results of two balloon soundings and hourly surface observations to Halifax by RTTY. At night that 2mhz RTTY circuit often became impossible. Nor was the AM circuit any good. I frequently got out a key and sent it on CW. It was actually funny when one of the department's high mucky-muck techs came out to the island to fix the problem. I pointed out that verticals were great on 2mhz for a 192 mile path in the daytime but that they got bogged down in DX signals and QRN at night and suggested that he could improve things by giving us dipoles at both ends for night use. I also suggested that a properly designed VHF link would be superior. He laughed at me. Funny thing is, that man's son went out to Sable afterwards and installed a beacon that I could ALWAYS hear in Halifax, running 8 watts on 144mhz.

The thing is, you don't always know what is saving a life. Those weather observations may have made no difference to anything or they may have made a huge difference to how someone flew a 747.

--
Dave Oldridge+
ICQ 1800667
VA7CZ

3752. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 04:36:52 UTC
From: Dave Oldridge <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Xns982ADBDEA3357doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159>
Source record: 6882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in news:KPJHg.39$6R7.22
@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com:

> Al Klein wrote:
>> Of course you don't understand a thing Dave and I are discussing. It's
>> surprising that you can find the "on" switch on your computer.
>
> My MENSA membership number is 1006281. What's yours?

I don't bother with low-IQ clubs myself. Or high-IQ ones for that matter.

I did do some work on the question of human intelligence back in the 60's.
It was interesting. We used factor analysis (now called PCA) and came to
the conclusion that it is a six-dimensional vector quantity--to the extent
you can measure it at all.

--
Dave Oldridge+
ICQ 1800667

3753. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 06:55:30 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <12evs30n0kd4iba@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 6883
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:X4MHg.13926$xp2.5426@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:12esmmfqqoi2e07@corp.supernews.com:
>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:wEqHg.911$xQ1.795@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>
>>> Let's keep the (BITCHSLAPPED)

Like I said, we don't want to be hams or we would already have tickets you
slow witted troll.

3754. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 06:56:18 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <12evs4gnkkpb9f9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 6884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:lb7ve2dfj9dc594t286fhngng6mkoismis@4ax.com...
>I have a better idea.
>
> Lets require all Ham radio operators take an I.Q. test. Only upon
> passing the test would an operator be allowed Amateur privileges.
> A score of 130 would be required. This would eliminate 98% of
> hams and also eliminate overcrowding of the band.
>
> The 98% of Amateurs who flunk would automatically be termed CBers.
> This shouldn't be a problem because 98% of Amateurs are nothing but
> self glorified CBers anyway. They just would never admit it.
>
> Problem solved.

You've got that right!

3755. Yahoo Groups Reactivation: Legitimite ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 10:58:57 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <v4qdnaYmTbnstG3ZnZ2dnUVZ_oidnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 6885

Hello:

I belong to several Yahoo Groups dealing with radio.

Got the following, yesterday and today.

Is it legitamite ?

Thanks,
Bob

Dear Yahoo! Groups member,

You belong to one or more email groups provided by Yahoo! Groups
(groups.yahoo.com).

Recently, messages sent to you from Yahoo! Groups have been
returned to us as undeliverable. As a result, we have temporarily
turned off message delivery to this email address.

If you are reading this message, the delivery problem appears to
be fixed. To start receiving your groups messages by email again and
turn your account back on, please visit:

http://groups.yahoo.com/unbounce?adj=164271332,45769&p=115658

(You can also copy and paste this link into your browser, and hit the
'Return' key.)

Once you reactivate your Yahoo! Groups account by clicking the
link above, you will receive messages from your group(s) again.

Tip: You can read messages you might have missed while delivery was
turned off by visiting your groups here:

http://groups.yahoo.com/mygroups

Thank you for using Yahoo! Groups!

Yahoo! Groups Customer Care

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

3756. Re: license renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 12:52:48 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44f04422$0$15493$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 6886
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1156044594.166730.207150@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> From: Slow Code on Fri, Aug 18 2006 4:26 pm
>
>
>>2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>
> Wayyyy too low. 100%! Nothing less...
>

Hey now wait a minute, 85% is probably the absolute best he (SC) could do -
thus making that the ceiling! So, if you make it 100% - he too wouldn't be
licensed! DAMNED..... that would be a shame. :)

L.

3757. Re: Yahoo Groups Reactivation: Legitimite ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 12:59:35 UTC
From: Bill <Bill@birchnet.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <2tJsdIiFVE8EFwul@birchnet.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 6887

In message <v4qdnaYmTbnstG3ZnZ2dnUVZ_oidnZ2d@comcast.com>, Robert11
<rgsros@notme.com> writes
>Hello:
>
>I belong to several Yahoo Groups dealing with radio.
>
>Got the following, yesterday and today.
>
>Is it legitamite ?
>
>Thanks,
>Bob

Yes Bob,
quite legitimate, I get it fairly often as I am with Demon and their
spam filtering picks up some of the spam and blocks emails from yahoo.
Just follow the unbounce link and reset it.
--
Bill

3758. REQ: police call cd vol 6 im in fl please post cd thanks so much

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 13:34:56 UTC
From: mike <fishbones182@juno.com>
Message-ID: <46YHg.2147$p23.1076@trnddc04>
Source record: 6888

```
--------------= Posted using GrabIt =----------------
------= Binary Usenet downloading made easy =---------
-= Get GrabIt for free from http://www.shemes.com/ =-
```

3759. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 13:51:58 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1156600318.457925.98920@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6889
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Cecil Moore wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
> > David's choice was Spanish. My choice was a language one couldn't
> > understand. Pay attention.
>
> Sorry Al, here's what you said:
> > Even if you didn't know "ola" from
> > "adios", you can copy Spanish in CW and hand it to the recipient, who
> > can read it. Try that with a mic.
>
> Your words, not David's. You implied *Spanish* couldn't
> be copied with a mic by a non-Spanish speaking person.
> I showed that it can be done.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

I'll bet copying a message by voice from someone with the cyrillic
alphabet would end up a lot better results than copying the same
message with cw.

3760. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 14:09:26 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <44F05616.20801@fuse.net>
Source record: 6890
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

>
>
> My MENSA membership number is 1006281. What's yours?

What's your Social Security number?

3761. Re: Get a SONY SW Radio now B4 their bankrupt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 14:22:17 UTC
From: Cubit <no@not.not>
Message-ID: <tOYHg.17817$gY6.4554@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 6891
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.ham-radio.misc,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.boatanchors,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Thanks for the heads-up on changes at sony. Is there a new premium name
that one can rely upon for the best electronic products (without doing
homework on each purchase)?

"patrick jankowiak" <eccm@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:44EE5AF6.3080309@swbell.net...
> David wrote:
>
>> On Thu, 24 Aug 2006 20:07:37 -0400, "Capt Video 2006!"
>> <noetonsplease@halfbakedswl.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Looks like the Dell and Apple laptop battery fiasco
>>>just might BANKRUPT Sony Corporation, so if your
>>>looking for a Sony Shortwave, Scanner or other radio
>>>product, you may want to buy it sooner than later....
>>>
>>>--> http://tinyurl.com/ltf55
>>>
>>>--->
>>>http://www.forbes.com/markets/equities/2006/08/17/sony-update-0817marketscan01.html
>>>
>>>---> http://msnbc.msn.com/id/14502021/
>>>
>>>---> http://www.chron.com/disp/story.mpl/ap/fn/4139283.html
>>>
>>
>> Good riddance.
>
> In the past, the company did very well, even though the products and
> service were costly they were of the highest quality. Once the founder,
> Mr. Morita, passed away, the company became very poorly managed -left to
> bean counters and lawyers who had no concept of the value of a tradition
> of quality products or service. They made sweeping changes they would not
> have dared to make while Morita-San was alive. Parts in machines that had
> previously been cast or machined became stamped. Machined brass or
> aluminum bosses holding mechanism guides and supports to a mechanism
> baseplate became molded-in nylon strips and thin sheets with little
> threaded and even -perish the though- press-fit bosses on them. Yes,
> press-fit metal into nylon. Service quality also became secondary to
> profit. That is, the service organization was no longer permitted to break
> even in order to provide high value service, and the profit-center model
> they implemented caused the quality of service to drop severely, even as

> they raised the hourly rate to $150 (broadcast) and cheaply hired
> inexperienced technicians right out of a 2-year course DeVry to work on
> broadcast video gear. People I would not let loose on a CD palyer. Their
> excuse was "hire from a diverse pool" but in reality that was not done. We
> called them 20-20's because they were 20 years old and made $20K/Yr -and
> they really had no concept of the fundamental theory behind most of the
> equipment they worked on. I mean these guys could not tell you the
> difference between a balanced and unbalanced signal, or why it was
> important. Service center managers expected us 'old' guys to train them,
> but the immaturity, laziness, and impatience of such folk won out as time
> and time again the rework would come back. Customers would say that Sony
> service was becoming no better than Panasonic's, a huge insult previously,
> but it became close to the truth. The profit sharing plan dried up as the
> hungry new 'hollywood' branch of the company, a gigantic business error,
> sucked up money faster than a new york coke prostitue. They were paying
> Michael Jackson's salary for a while too. It was a mess, and the spiral to
> destruction was not slow, and is probably now irreversible. I left Sony
> when they decided to consolidate alll service to one location and they
> offered me the "opportunity" to move to Norcross GA (Atlanta) and work in
> a 24-hour sweatshop, er.. hot-seat repair center. In the current center, I
> was in charge of a 12x30 area with three 7' racks, a desk, computer,
> phone, and workbench, with maybe $250K worh of test gear, and that is
> where I did my repairs. I worked on high end NTSC and HDTV digital
> broadcast and production products. Nothing I worked on cost less than
> $5000 and most of it was in the 20K range. That was the level of
> responsibility. The new place would have me coming in to a seat still warm
> from someone's butt every morning, sharing a drawer full of possibly nasty
> hand tools and maybe some potato chip crumbs, in a short workbench with no
> desk, no racks and only a couple pieces of test gear, working on cheap VHS
> or 8mm video tape recorders with two other people, and no computer or
> phone, all assholes and elbows, butts to nuts with 300 other people in the
> huge room, the hillbilly boss staring down from his loft on the second
> floor, deciding which slave to beat. (we sent spies in ahead to check on
> this) That is what they did to the service department and it is why Sony
> service sucks now and why the products are not what they were. I could go
> on, but there's no point. I think that was the best thing that Sony ever
> did for us experienced people, shutting down the regional service centers.
> Really gave our carreers a boost when we changed Jobs. Sony on your resume
> used to mean something then. I wonder if it does now?

3762. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 15:55:11 UTC
From: Phil Wheeler <wt6uh-ng7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <z9_Hg.4994$S_5.2261@tornado.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 6892
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Cecil Moore wrote:
> Al Klein wrote:
>> As I said in another post, try that in Turkish. Or Latvian. Or any
>> other language you don't know a single word of.
>
> Sorry, you first challenged me to do that in Spanish.
> I have proved beyond any doubt that I can do that.
> Your need to suddenly change languages on me speaks
> volumes.

But in what language? ;)

3763. Re: Get a SONY SW Radio now B4 their bankrupt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 16:09:53 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <fgs0f2145iqu7m97k5k6svqggs9dpfv9e5@4ax.com>
Source record: 6893
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.ham-radio.misc,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.boatanchors,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 26 Aug 2006 14:22:17 GMT, "Cubit" <no@not.not> wrote:

>Thanks for the heads-up on changes at sony. Is there a new premium name
>that one can rely upon for the best electronic products (without doing
>homework on each purchase)?
>
>
I never thought of Sony as a premium name.

Onkyo makes nice stereos.

3764. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 16:14:50 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <_r_Hg.3919$q63.2642@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 6894
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> I'll bet copying a message by voice from someone with the cyrillic
> alphabet would end up a lot better results than copying the same
> message with cw.

I would like to see Al try to copy Japanese CW.
Let's see, -.-. --.- would be "ni" "ne". Wonder
what he would do with .-.-- and --.-. ? :-)
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3765. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 16:16:42 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Kt_Hg.3920$q63.3718@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 6895
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

jawod wrote:
>> My MENSA membership number is 1006281. What's yours?
>
> What's your Social Security number?

... 66 ... 6
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3766. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 16:45:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <nmt0f25l6pr6bkfnl88k9be1hbesv167v2@4ax.com>
Source record: 6896
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
On 26 Aug 2006 06:51:58 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Cecil Moore wrote:
>> Al Klein wrote:
>> > David's choice was Spanish. My choice was a language one couldn't
>> > understand. Pay attention.
>>
>> Sorry Al, here's what you said:
>> > Even if you didn't know "ola" from
>> > "adios", you can copy Spanish in CW and hand it to the recipient, who
>> > can read it. Try that with a mic.
>>
>> Your words, not David's. You implied *Spanish* couldn't
>> be copied with a mic by a non-Spanish speaking person.
>> I showed that it can be done.
>> --
>> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
>I'll bet copying a message by voice from someone with the cyrillic
>alphabet would end up a lot better results than copying the same
>message with cw.
of course it would
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

3767. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 16:45:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <fnt0f2pjl72ic33rast0qnubn0e9u0hjcr@4ax.com>
Source record: 6897
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 26 Aug 2006 10:09:26 -0400, jawod <jawod@fuse.net> wrote:

>
>>
>>
>> My MENSA membership number is 1006281. What's yours?
>
>What's your Social Security number?
now there is a KOOK
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3768. Re: license renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 16:45:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <02u0f2lqea86qpr2mikak7n7k92crln5t3@4ax.com>
Source record: 6898
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 26 Aug 2006 08:52:48 -0400, "L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote:

>
><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>news:1156044594.166730.207150@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>> From: Slow Code on Fri, Aug 18 2006 4:26 pm
>>
>>
>>>>2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>>
>> Wayyyy too low. 100%! Nothing less...
>>
>
>Hey now wait a minute, 85% is probably the absolute best he (SC) could do -
>thus making that the ceiling! So, if you make it 100% - he too wouldn't be
>licensed! DAMNED..... that would be a shame. :)
but not wroth killing the ars over
>
>L.
>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3769. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 17:14:41 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156612481.049612.69040@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6899
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
Cecil Moore wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > I'll bet copying a message by voice from someone with the cyrillic
> > alphabet would end up a lot better results than copying the same
> > message with cw.
>
> I would like to see Al try to copy Japanese CW.
> Let's see, -.-. --.- would be "ni" "ne". Wonder
> what he would do with .-.-- and --.-. ? :-)
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
of course I suspect tht Al is old enough to think them Japs don't count
for much
```

3770. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 17:15:22 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156612522.790308.313150@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6900
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Cecil Moore wrote:
> jawod wrote:
> >> My MENSA membership number is 1006281. What's yours?
> > >
> > > What's your Social Security number?
> >
> > ... 66 ... 6
> > --
> > 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
you know I suspect it is from the PoV of the procoders

3771. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 20:22:20 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <44F0AD7C.3040301@fuse.net>
Source record: 6801
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Cecil Moore wrote:
> jawod wrote:
>
>>> My MENSA membership number is 1006281. What's yours?
>>
>>
>> What's your Social Security number?
>
>
> ... 66 ... 6
Cecil,
Are you REALLY the spawn of Satan?
I'll kid with you no more!
(Imagine me making the sign of the cross right now.)

3772. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 21:58:07 UTC
From: Travis Jordan <no.one@no.net>
Message-ID: <Ot3Ig.79826$P02.21245@fe07.news.easynews.com>
Source record: 6802
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> 1: No more

Amateur radio is in decline and you think putting Morse code back in as
a requirement would help?

Bwhahahahaha.

Statistics courtesy of George McCouch, K3UD.

Total active individual licenses as of May 14, 2000:
Novice - 49,329
Tech/+ - 334,254
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750

Total all classes - 674,792

Total active individual licenses as of June 30, 2006:
Novice - 24,877 (-49.57%) (-24,456)
Tech/+ - 320,242 (-4.20%) (-14,012)
General - 132,758 (+17.82%) (+20,081)
Advanced - 71,753 (-28.13%) (-28,029)
Extra - 108,184 (+37.38%) (+29,434)

Total All Classes: 657,814 (-1,405 since the March 2006 reporting
period)

Total all classes (5/14/00) - 674,792
Total all Classes (4/21/03) - 687,860
Total all classes (9/6/04) - 674,788
Total all classes (6/30/06) - 657,814

Total loss of 16,978 since 5/14/2000 (Was 674,792)
Total loss of 16,974 since 9/6/2004 (Was 674,788)
Total Loss of 30,066 since 4/2003 (all time high of 687,860)

We lost:
842 Novice
1,088 General
1,157 Advanced
3,087 Total

We Gained:
1,258 Tech/+

424 Extra
1,682 Total

This is an overall 1,405 decline since the March reporting period
and averages 467 per month for the quarter.

For the months March April and May the new licenses issued
break down as follows.

6,999 Tech/+ 89.3% **
627 General 8.0%
217 Extra 2.7%

Total new licenses issues during the period - 7,849

** These numbers come from the very interesting and comprehensive
website of Joe Speroni, AH0A http://www.ah0a.org

Numbers of US population and the number of hams at the start of each
decade from 1930.

Year Population # Hams Growth Rate
1930 123,202,624 19,000
1940 132,164,569 56,000 194%
1950 151,325,798 87,000 55%
1960 179,323,175 230,000 164%
1970 203,211,926 263,918 15%
1980 226,545,805 393,353 49%
1990 248,709,873 502,677 28%
2000 281,421,906 682,240 36%
2006 657,814 -3.6 %

The 2006 number was as of June 30, 2006.

3773. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 21:59:36 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cv3Ig.877$Cq4.537@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 6803
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

jawod wrote:
> Are you REALLY the spawn of Satan?

How many 6's do you have in your SS#?
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3774. You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 22:53:36 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Qh4Ig.10669$Qf.7020@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6804
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life, but you should learn it anyway just in case. Ham radio is like a spare tire, when you need it you hope it's not flat. CW is like the air in the tire. I know I don't ever want to hear someone say: "Why couldn't you get help, I though hams were supposed to know morse code."

Sc

3775. Re: (OT) : If you had to Study to get a CB License ? - Would you become a Ham ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 22:54:17 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ti4Ig.10670$Qf.7327@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6805
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in
news:1156557986.738172.39870@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> Let's keep the CB'ers on CB.
>>
>>
>>
>> 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
>> pass all elements required for their license class.
>>
>>
>> 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>>
>>
>> 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>>
>>
>> 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>>
>>
>> Tnx, 73
>>
>> SC
>
> SC - So... Why Cross-Post this to a Shortwave Listener's (SWL)
> Radio NewsGroup ? ? ?
>
> Shortwave Listening (SWL) - No License Required [.] ~ RHF
>
>
> Then again may be your Question should be :
> If you had to Study to get a CB License ? - Would you become a Ham ?
> .
> .
> . .
>

I'd be both, but the CB'ers would have to agree to:

1: No more automatic renewals. CB'ers must retest and
pass all elements required for the Extra class.

2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.

3: Code elements, 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra and CB'ers.

4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

Tnx, 73, Keep up the good work. Burp.

~SC

.
.
. .

3776. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 22:54:21 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <xi4Ig.10673$Qf.1376@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6807
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:12evs30n0kd4iba@corp.supernews.com:

> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:X4MHg.13926$xp2.5426@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> "DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:12esmmfqqoi2e07@corp.supernews.com:
>>
>>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>>> news:wEqHg.911$xQ1.795@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>>
>>>> Let's keep the (BITCHSLAPPED)
>
> Like I said, we don't want to be hams or we would already have tickets
> you slow witted troll.

Breaker, Breaker, Breaker, anyone got their ears on, kick it on back to Mr
Death, the big dog around town.

3777. Re: If you had to use CB to save Markies life, would you use CW then?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 22:54:23 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <zi4Ig.10674$Qf.5343@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6806
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1156612522.790308.313150@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com:

>
> Cecil Moore wrote:
>> jawod wrote:
>> >> My MENSA membership number is 1006281. What's yours?
>> >
>> > What's your Social Security number?
>>
>> ... 66 ... 6
>> --
>> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

> you know I suspect it is from the PoV of the procoders

3778. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 23:24:50 UTC
From: Steve <radio1@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <6L4Ig.42248$1Z5.14933@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 6808
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

YAWN....

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:Qh4Ig.10669$Qf.7020@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life, but you should learn
> it anyway just in case. Ham radio is like a spare tire, when you need it
> you hope it's not flat. CW is like the air in the tire. I know I don't
> ever want to hear someone say: "Why couldn't you get help, I though hams
> were supposed to know morse code."
>
> Sc

3779. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 23:29:08 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156634947.982183.99860@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6809
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

```
Slow Code wrote:
> "DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:12evs30n0kd4iba@corp.supernews.com:
>

>
> Breaker, Breaker, Breaker, anyone got their ears on, kick it on back to Mr
> Death, the big dog around town.
gee why do you USE the cw lingo you affect to despise?
```

3780. Re: You'll certainly never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 23:30:10 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156635009.999454.106990@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6810
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life, but you should learn
> it anyway just in case.
why?

why is the fantastic ly unlikely something you should eb prepared for?

3781. kooks on parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-26 23:32:44 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156635164.623729.325600@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6811
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:1156612522.790308.313150@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com:
more kookery

3782. Re: You'll certainly never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 00:25:14 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <ecqoj2dhk1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 6812
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
--
Say no to institutionalized interference.
Just say NO to HD/IBOC!
"an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1156635009.999454.106990@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life, but you should learn
>> it anyway just in case.
> why?
>
> why is the fantastic ly unlikely something you should eb prepared for?
>

Logically, the fantastically unlikely is the one thing you SHOULD be
prepared for. According to Murphy, whatever can go wrong, WILL go wrong,
and at the least opportune time.
```

3783. Re: You'll certainly never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 00:30:24 UTC
From: an old freid to some a nightmare to steve <kb9rqz__ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156638624.025614.40360@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6813
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Brenda Ann wrote:
> --
> Say no to institutionalized interference.
> Just say NO to HD/IBOC!
> "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1156635009.999454.106990@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life, but you should learn
> >> it anyway just in case.
> > why?
> >
> > why is the fantastic ly unlikely something you should eb prepared for?
> >
>
> Logically, the fantastically unlikely is the one thing you SHOULD be
> prepared for. According to Murphy, whatever can go wrong, WILL go wrong,
> and at the least opportune time.

Well I prefer to be prepared for the unlikely event that an Alein
invader will be unable to read PSK 31

3784. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 01:37:23 UTC
From: Bakb0ne <bakb0ne@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156642643.135805.63280@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6814
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life, but you should learn
> it anyway just in case. Ham radio is like a spare tire, when you need it
> you hope it's not flat. CW is like the air in the tire. I know I don't
> ever want to hear someone say: "Why couldn't you get help, I though hams
> were supposed to know morse code."
>
> Sc

I agree, they should learn it..
they just shouldnt HAVE TO LEARN IT...

3785. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 01:44:08 UTC
From: an old freid to some a nightmare to steve <kb9rqz__ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156643048.423952.247700@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6815
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Bakb0ne wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life, but you should learn
> > it anyway just in case. Ham radio is like a spare tire, when you need it
> > you hope it's not flat. CW is like the air in the tire. I know I don't
> > ever want to hear someone say: "Why couldn't you get help, I though hams
> > were supposed to know morse code."
> >
> > Sc
>
> I agree, they should learn it..
> they just shouldnt HAVE TO LEARN IT...
I disagree but you postion is fair enough
```

3786. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 02:05:30 UTC
From: Just4Me <no@nono.noway>
Message-ID: <K57Ig.9546$JO5.5150@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 6816
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Don't feed this idiot troll!

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:Qh4Ig.10669$Qf.7020@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life, but you should learn
> it anyway just in case. Ham radio is like a spare tire, when you need it
> you hope it's not flat. CW is like the air in the tire. I know I don't
> ever want to hear someone say: "Why couldn't you get help, I though hams
> were supposed to know morse code."
>
> Sc

3787. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 02:09:13 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156644553.849464.230210@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6817
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Just4Me wrote:
> Don't feed this idiot troll!
why not at least it is on topic

3788. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 02:43:53 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44f106eb$0$15498$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 6818
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Yeah, me thinks you're right. This thing has dragged on long enough. It is getting boring. What IS - IS and until someone - like the FCC changes things - it shall stay as it is. No amount of bitching here will get it done. So, if you want a license, lump it and learn it. If not - its your loss.

L.

"Just4Me" <no@nono.noway> wrote in message
news:K57Ig.9546$JO5.5150@tornado.tampabay.rr.com...
> Don't feed this idiot troll!
>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:Qh4Ig.10669$Qf.7020@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life, but you should learn
>> it anyway just in case. Ham radio is like a spare tire, when you need it
>> you hope it's not flat. CW is like the air in the tire. I know I don't
>> ever want to hear someone say: "Why couldn't you get help, I though hams
>> were supposed to know morse code."
>>
>> Sc
>
>

3789. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 04:25:30 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <44F11EBA.1080901@fuse.net>
Source record: 6819
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Travis Jordan wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
>
>>1: No more
>
>
> Amateur radio is in decline and you think putting Morse code back in as
> a requirement would help?
>
> Bwhahahahaha.
> ----------------
> Statistics courtesy of George McCouch, K3UD.
>
> Total active individual licenses as of May 14, 2000:
> Novice - 49,329
> Tech/+ - 334,254
> General - 112,677
> Advanced - 99,782
> Extra - 78,750
>
> Total all classes - 674,792
>
> Total active individual licenses as of June 30, 2006:
> Novice - 24,877 (-49.57%) (-24,456)
> Tech/+ - 320,242 (-4.20%) (-14,012)
> General - 132,758 (+17.82%) (+20,081)
> Advanced - 71,753 (-28.13%) (-28,029)
> Extra - 108,184 (+37.38%) (+29,434)
>
> Total All Classes: 657,814 (-1,405 since the March 2006 reporting
> period)
>
> Total all classes (5/14/00) - 674,792
> Total all Classes (4/21/03) - 687,860
> Total all classes (9/6/04 ) - 674,788
> Total all classes (6/30/06) - 657,814
>
> Total loss of 16,978 since 5/14/2000 (Was 674,792)
> Total loss of 16,974 since 9/6/2004 (Was 674,788)
> Total Loss of 30,066 since 4/2003 (all time high of 687,860)
>
> We lost:
> 842 Novice
```

> 1,088 General
> 1,157 Advanced
> 3,087 Total
>
> We Gained:
> 1,258 Tech/+
> 424 Extra
> 1,682 Total
>
> This is an overall 1,405 decline since the March reporting period
> and averages 467 per month for the quarter.
>
> For the months March April and May the new licenses issued
> break down as follows.
>
> 6,999 Tech/+ 89.3% **
> 627 General 8.0%
> 217 Extra 2.7%
>
> Total new licenses issues during the period - 7,849
>
> ** These numbers come from the very interesting and comprehensive
> website of Joe Speroni, AH0A http://www.ah0a.org
>
> Numbers of US population and the number of hams at the start of each
> decade from 1930.
>
> Year Population # Hams Growth Rate
> 1930 123,202,624 19,000
> 1940 132,164,569 56,000 194%
> 1950 151,325,798 87,000 55%
> 1960 179,323,175 230,000 164%
> 1970 203,211,926 263,918 15%
> 1980 226,545,805 393,353 49%
> 1990 248,709,873 502,677 28%
> 2000 281,421,906 682,240 36%
> 2006 657,814 -3.6 %
>
> The 2006 number was as of June 30, 2006.
>
>
I just read the latest QST. In "How's DX?" the author notes that ham
radio "used to be" the prime communication from and to Haiti. But now,
with cell phones, satellite, etc. it is no longer as important.

This is the real world. Morse is NOT the issue.

Relevance (is that the correct spelling?) is the issue.

Ham radio really WANTS to help in emergencies. This is very
commendable. I think, though, we've been sidelined.

We're still there "when all else fails". Morale of those involved remains high, from what I hear / read.

However, in a typical emergency, ham radio is not nearly as primary as it used to be. It's OK. We can still contribute.

Communications have been "universalized"...anyone can use a cell phone. It's natural that communications-specialists see their need waning.

It's OK.

As a hobby, ham radio has a LOT to offer. When I mention to others that I have re-entered ham radio, many people ask "Is that still around?"

Man, of COURSE, it's still around.

If you discuss ham radio with others, do you mention contacting the Space Shuttle? ham radio satellites? weak signal work in VHF/UHF? Sporadic E? Tropospheric Ducting? DX???

How about EME?

You don't have to be doing these things yourself, you just need to let everyone know what the ENTIRE hobby is about.

Morse, data, SSB, FM all have a place.

Ham radio is NOT dying. Hams are. Share our hobby, in ALL its forms, generously with those you know. The rest will take care of itself, for better or worse.

John
AB8O

3790. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 05:00:34 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <12f29nfjc9t8r9b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 6820
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

```
"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:xi4Ig.10673$Qf.1376@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:12evs30n0kd4iba@corp.supernews.com:
>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:X4MHg.13926$xp2.5426@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> "DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> news:12esmmfqqoi2e07@corp.supernews.com:
>>>
>>>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>>>> news:wEqHg.911$xQ1.795@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>>>
>>>>> Let's keep the (BITCHSLAPPED)
>>
>> Like I said, we don't want to be hams or we would already have tickets
>> you slow witted troll.
>
>
>
> Breaker, Breaker, Breaker, anyone got their ears on, kick it on back to Mr
> Death, the big dog around town.
>

Again your lack of knowledge makes you look the fool. Quit watching your
copy of Convoy.
```

3791. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 05:06:22 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <in92f2le3gj8oe8qa800vpglj3b3t4u3eh@4ax.com>
Source record: 6821
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 26 Aug 2006 21:58:07 GMT, "Travis Jordan" <no.one@no.net>
wrote:

>Total All Classes: 657,814 (-1,405 since the March 2006 reporting
>period)

>Total all classes (5/14/00) - 674,792
>Total all Classes (4/21/03) - 687,860
>Total all classes (9/6/04) - 674,788
>Total all classes (6/30/06) - 657,814

Change 5/14/00 to 4/2/03 - ***13068
Change 4/2/03 to 9/6/04 - -13072
Change 9/6/04 to 6/30/06 - -16974

What a perfect example if ignoring evidence that doesn't back up your
argument. There was no change in Morse from 5/14/00 to 4/2/03, yet we
GAINED over 13,000 hams, so how is a Morse requirement decreasing the
number of hams?

3792. Re: PING: Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 05:15:00 UTC
From: Phil Wheeler <wt6uh-ng7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <oT9lg.5050$S_5.3150@tornado.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 6822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mark Moron Morgan wrote:
> with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
> impoving my spelling
> do u hav anyting cognet two say?
>
>
>

Pretty good composition for a giant rabbit.

3793. Re: Get a SONY SW Radio now B4 their bankrupt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 06:32:09 UTC
From: patrick jankowiak <eccm@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <44F13C67.8050408@swbell.net>
Source record: 6823
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.ham-radio.misc,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.boatanchors,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

no idea.. maybe the extreme high end stuff.,\\? most affordable gear
is crap now.

Cubit wrote:

> Thanks for the heads-up on changes at sony. Is there a new premium name
> that one can rely upon for the best electronic products (without doing
> homework on each purchase)?
>
>
> "patrick jankowiak" <eccm@swbell.net> wrote in message
> news:44EE5AF6.3080309@swbell.net...
>
>>David wrote:
>>
>>
>>>On Thu, 24 Aug 2006 20:07:37 -0400, "Capt Video 2006!"
>>><noetonsplease@halfbakedswl.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Looks like the Dell and Apple laptop battery fiasco
>>>>just might BANKRUPT Sony Corporation, so if your
>>>>looking for a Sony Shortwave, Scanner or other radio
>>>>product, you may want to buy it sooner than later....
>>>>
>>>>--> http://tinyurl.com/ltf55
>>>>
>>>>--->
>>>>http://www.forbes.com/markets/equities/2006/08/17/sony-update-0817marketscan01.html
>>>>
>>>>---> http://msnbc.msn.com/id/14502021/
>>>>
>>>>---> http://www.chron.com/disp/story.mpl/ap/fn/4139283.html
>>>>
>>>
>>>Good riddance.
>>
>>In the past, the company did very well, even though the products and
>>service were costly they were of the highest quality. Once the founder,
>>Mr. Morita, passed away, the company became very poorly managed -left to
>>bean counters and lawyers who had no concept of the value of a tradition
>>of quality products or service. They made sweeping changes they would not

>>have dared to make while Morita-San was alive. Parts in machines that had
>>previously been cast or machined became stamped. Machined brass or
>>aluminum bosses holding mechanism guides and supports to a mechanism
>>baseplate became molded-in nylon strips and thin sheets with little
>>threaded and even -perish the though- press-fit bosses on them. Yes,
>>press-fit metal into nylon. Service quality also became secondary to
>>profit. That is, the service organization was no longer permitted to break
>>even in order to provide high value service, and the profit-center model
>>they implemented caused the quality of service to drop severely, even as
>>they raised the hourly rate to $150 (broadcast) and cheaply hired
>>inexperienced technicians right out of a 2-year course DeVry to work on
>>broadcast video gear. People I would not let loose on a CD palyer. Their
>>excuse was "hire from a diverse pool" but in reality that was not done. We
>>called them 20-20's because they were 20 years old and made $20K/Yr -and
>>they really had no concept of the fundamental theory behind most of the
>>equipment they worked on. I mean these guys could not tell you the
>>difference between a balanced and unbalanced signal, or why it was
>>important. Service center managers expected us 'old' guys to train them,
>>but the immaturity, laziness, and impatience of such folk won out as time
>>and time again the rework would come back. Customers would say that Sony
>>service was becoming no better than Panasonic's, a huge insult previously,
>>but it became close to the truth. The profit sharing plan dried up as the
>>hungry new 'hollywood' branch of the company, a gigantic business error,
>>sucked up money faster than a new york coke prostitue. They were paying
>>Michael Jackson's salary for a while too. It was a mess, and the spiral to
>>destruction was not slow, and is probably now irreversible. I left Sony
>>when they decided to consolidate alll service to one location and they
>>offered me the "opportunity" to move to Norcross GA (Atlanta) and work in
>>a 24-hour sweatshop, er.. hot-seat repair center. In the current center, I
>>was in charge of a 12x30 area with three 7' racks, a desk, computer,
>>phone, and workbench, with maybe $250K worh of test gear, and that is
>>where I did my repairs. I worked on high end NTSC and HDTV digital
>>broadcast and production products. Nothing I worked on cost less than
>>$5000 and most of it was in the 20K range. That was the level of
>>responsibility. The new place would have me coming in to a seat still warm
>>from someone's butt every morning, sharing a drawer full of possibly nasty
>>hand tools and maybe some potato chip crumbs, in a short workbench with no
>>desk, no racks and only a couple pieces of test gear, working on cheap VHS
>>or 8mm video tape recorders with two other people, and no computer or
>>phone, all assholes and elbows, butts to nuts with 300 other people in the
>>huge room, the hillbilly boss staring down from his loft on the second
>>floor, deciding which slave to beat. (we sent spies in ahead to check on
>>this) That is what they did to the service department and it is why Sony
>>service sucks now and why the products are not what they were. I could go
>>on, but there's no point. I think that was the best thing that Sony ever
>>did for us experienced people, shutting down the regional service centers.
>>Really gave our carreers a boost when we changed Jobs. Sony on your resume
>>used to mean something then. I wonder if it does now?
>
>

>

3794. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 07:10:56 UTC
From: wîthhËld fØr rËåsØñs Øf ñå tîØñål SËÇürîty <root@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <1156662664.111442@www.vif.com>
Source record: 6824
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

W H O T H E F U C K C A R E S ! ! ! !

THIS IS FUCKEN SCANNER NEWSGROUP, NOT TALK ABOUT ISSUES ABOUT AMATEUR
RADIO. WHO FUCK CARES!.

IF YOU FUCKEN IDIOTS WANT TO TALK ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO THEN GO YOUR
LITTLE FUCKEN NEWSGROUP AND DISCUSS IT THEIR NOT HERE!

jawod wrote:
> Travis Jordan wrote:
>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>
>>> 1: No more
>>
>>
>>
>> Amateur radio is in decline and you think putting Morse code back in as
>> a requirement would help?
>>
>> Bwhahahahaha.
>> ----------------
>> Statistics courtesy of George McCouch, K3UD.
>>
>> Total active individual licenses as of May 14, 2000:
>> Novice - 49,329
>> Tech/+ - 334,254
>> General - 112,677
>> Advanced - 99,782
>> Extra - 78,750
>>
>> Total all classes - 674,792
>>
>> Total active individual licenses as of June 30, 2006:
>> Novice - 24,877 (-49.57%) (-24,456)
>> Tech/+ - 320,242 (-4.20%) (-14,012)
>> General - 132,758 (+17.82%) (+20,081)
>> Advanced - 71,753 (-28.13%) (-28,029)
>> Extra - 108,184 (+37.38%) (+29,434)
>>
>> Total All Classes: 657,814 (-1,405 since the March 2006 reporting
>> period)
>>
>> Total all classes (5/14/00) - 674,792

>> Total all Classes (4/21/03) - 687,860
>> Total all classes (9/6/04) - 674,788
>> Total all classes (6/30/06) - 657,814
>>
>> Total loss of 16,978 since 5/14/2000 (Was 674,792)
>> Total loss of 16,974 since 9/6/2004 (Was 674,788)
>> Total Loss of 30,066 since 4/2003 (all time high of 687,860)
>>
>> We lost:
>> 842 Novice
>> 1,088 General
>> 1,157 Advanced
>> 3,087 Total
>>
>> We Gained:
>> 1,258 Tech/+
>> 424 Extra
>> 1,682 Total
>>
>> This is an overall 1,405 decline since the March reporting period
>> and averages 467 per month for the quarter.
>>
>> For the months March April and May the new licenses issued
>> break down as follows.
>>
>> 6,999 Tech/+ 89.3% **
>> 627 General 8.0%
>> 217 Extra 2.7%
>>
>> Total new licenses issues during the period - 7,849
>>
>> ** These numbers come from the very interesting and comprehensive
>> website of Joe Speroni, AH0A http://www.ah0a.org
>>
>> Numbers of US population and the number of hams at the start of each
>> decade from 1930.
>>
>> Year Population # Hams Growth Rate
>> 1930 123,202,624 19,000
>> 1940 132,164,569 56,000 194%
>> 1950 151,325,798 87,000 55%
>> 1960 179,323,175 230,000 164%
>> 1970 203,211,926 263,918 15%
>> 1980 226,545,805 393,353 49%
>> 1990 248,709,873 502,677 28%
>> 2000 281,421,906 682,240 36%
>> 2006 657,814 -3.6 %
>>
>> The 2006 number was as of June 30, 2006.
>>

>>
> I just read the latest QST. In "How's DX?" the author notes that ham
> radio "used to be" the prime communication from and to Haiti. But now,
> with cell phones, satellite, etc. it is no longer as important.
>
> This is the real world. Morse is NOT the issue.
>
> Relevance (is that the correct spelling?) is the issue.
>
> Ham radio really WANTS to help in emergencies. This is very
> commendable. I think, though, we've been sidelined.
>
> We're still there "when all else fails". Morale of those involved
> remains high, from what I hear / read.
>
> However, in a typical emergency, ham radio is not nearly as primary as
> it used to be. It's OK. We can still contribute.
>
> Communications have been "universalized"...anyone can use a cell phone.
> It's natural that communications-specialists see their need waning.
>
> It's OK.
>
> As a hobby, ham radio has a LOT to offer. When I mention to others that
> I have re-entered ham radio, many people ask "Is that still around?"
>
> Man, of COURSE, it's still around.
>
> If you discuss ham radio with others, do you mention contacting the
> Space Shuttle? ham radio satellites? weak signal work in VHF/UHF?
> Sporadic E? Tropospheric Ducting? DX???
>
> How about EME?
>
> You don't have to be doing these things yourself, you just need to let
> everyone know what the ENTIRE hobby is about.
>
> Morse, data, SSB, FM all have a place.
>
> Ham radio is NOT dying. Hams are. Share our hobby, in ALL its forms,
> generously with those you know. The rest will take care of itself, for
> better or worse.
>
> John
> AB8O
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0634-2, 08/24/2006

> Tested on: 8/27/2006 3:06:02 AM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0634-2, 08/24/2006
Tested on: 8/27/2006 3:10:56 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

3795. Radioshack / Realistic Pro 2006 manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 07:19:33 UTC
From: Erik <erik.knol@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156663173.838216.141680@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6825

Does anybody knows if, and where, I can download the user's manual (or service manual) for this scanner?
It's the Radioshack/Realistic Pro 2600 400 channel Model no. 20-9145.

Thanks!!!!!

3796. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 07:30:44 UTC
From: Rick Frazier <rickf@rickfrazier.com>
Message-ID: <zMKdnUjw4qFg1GzZnZ2dnUVZ_vCdnZ2d@hawaiiantel.net>
Source record: 6826
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

You've insisted on posting this crap so many times just about anyone with more than two or three brain cells would be sick of it by now. Why don't you just crawl back under the rock you crawled out from under?

At this point, even a relative moron should get the point that there are a bunch of people that really don't give a damn about CW. That you do is not the point, but your continual posts about it are the point. Therefore, your continual posts lead me to believe you should be seeking mental help. If you don't think you need therapy, how about you get a life and if you are so Pro-CW, why aren't you on the bands right now?

Though I'm an Extra and passed the CW requirements shouldn't make a difference if I choose to operate phone. If the requirements change and new Hams aren't required to take the code test, am I going to be pissed? Hell no, because I took the tests when I did because I wanted to be a productive part of this hobby, not wait for an easier ticket in. I learned it, just as a huge number of others have, but none of us wish to push it like you seem to want to. Even the most die-hard CW fanatic is spending his time on the bands, not on the internet trolling for arguments.

Now, to provide a response to your query: It is extremely unlikely that with all the means we have for contact in the case of an emergency, that CW would be the only way to make contact, particularly if you live in anything near an urban evironment in mainland US. First and foremost, there has to be someone else that will respond to you, and given the things going on with the hobby lately, I doubt that CW will be the safety net you'd like to believe it is.

For myself, I have HF and VHF in both the house and vehicle, and have the VHF radios all programmed with all of the local police and fire frequencies. In a true emergency, I wouldn't lose a moment's time worrying about whether it was legal or not before I keyed up on a public service/fire/police frequency if it meant saving somebody. Would CW help? Not very damn likely, as first I'd have to key up the HF rig, get to someone that could relay, pass a message, hope like hell that they actually did call the proper authority, (and were believed), and so on. You can bet I'll get attention right away if I key up on any of the public service frequencies, and they damn well will respond, if for no other reason than to find me. If that's what it takes, so be it, they can fight it out in court later, and I'd get so much media attention they wouldn't dare push it very far. On the other hand, the likelihood of actually being believed using standard, HF and CW procedures, or getting help in a timely manner to actually save a life is an extremely remote chance...

I rarely filter anyone, but you've definitely earned the "plonk" of
being filtered. Say Bye-Bye ! ! !

--Rick AH7H

Slow Code wrote:

> You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life, but you should learn
> it anyway just in case. Ham radio is like a spare tire, when you need it
> you hope it's not flat. CW is like the air in the tire. I know I don't
> ever want to hear someone say: "Why couldn't you get help, I though hams
> were supposed to know morse code."
>
> Sc

3797. Re: Get a SONY SW Radio now B4 their bankrupt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 07:32:49 UTC
From: Steven <manyrumours@lycos.com>
Message-ID: <1156663969.745773.275550@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6828

The Kat wrote:
> On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 06:32:09 GMT, patrick jankowiak <eccm@swbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
> WHY in the FUCK are you continuing this thread
> in a SCANNER newsgroup??
>
>
>
>
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> This sig censored by the Office of Home and Land Insecurity...
>
> Remove XYZ to email me

Why in the fuck don't you email him and find out? This was posted to:

rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.ham-radio.misc,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,re
c.radio.boatanchors,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

and all but your group was removed before sending.

Jeebus Faust, learn how to head a header or at least click "Show
options" at the top of the Google post if you use them.

We wanted your newsgroup to know you are stupid. I wanted you to know I
will block your email if you send me crap. Savvy?

3798. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 07:34:00 UTC
From: rover1 <danda@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <IVbIg.14442$vu2.6571@newsfe1-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 6827
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:Qh4Ig.10669$Qf.7020@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life, but you should learn
> it anyway just in case. Ham radio is like a spare tire, when you need it
> you hope it's not flat. CW is like the air in the tire. I know I don't
> ever want to hear someone say: "Why couldn't you get help, I though hams
> were supposed to know morse code."
>
> Sc

Here we go again boring or what

3799. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 07:49:17 UTC
From: Timmy <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <8o898.l5k.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 6829
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Rick Frazier" <rickf@rickfrazier.com> wrote in message
news:zMKdnUjw4qFg1GzZnZ2dnUVZ_vCdnZ2d@hawaiiantel.net...
You've insisted on posting this crap so many times just about anyone
with more than two or three brain cells would be sick of it by now. Why
don't you just crawl back under the rock you crawled out from under?

At this point, even a relative moron should get the point that there are
a bunch of people that really don't give a damn about CW. That you do is
not the point, but your continual posts about it are the point.
Therefore, your continual posts lead me to believe you should be seeking
mental help. If you don't think you need therapy, how about you get a
life and if you are so Pro-CW, why aren't you on the bands right now?

Though I'm an Extra and passed the CW requirements shouldn't make a
difference if I choose to operate phone. If the requirements change and
new Hams aren't required to take the code test, am I going to be pissed?
Hell no, because I took the tests when I did because I wanted to be a
productive part of this hobby, not wait for an easier ticket in. I
learned it, just as a huge number of others have, but none of us wish to
push it like you seem to want to. Even the most die-hard CW fanatic is
spending his time on the bands, not on the internet trolling for arguments.

Now, to provide a response to your query: It is extremely unlikely that
with all the means we have for contact in the case of an emergency, that
CW would be the only way to make contact, particularly if you live in
anything near an urban evironment in mainland US. First and foremost,
there has to be someone else that will respond to you, and given the
things going on with the hobby lately, I doubt that CW will be the
safety net you'd like to believe it is.

For myself, I have HF and VHF in both the house and vehicle, and have
the VHF radios all programmed with all of the local police and fire
frequencies. In a true emergency, I wouldn't lose a moment's time
worrying about whether it was legal or not before I keyed up on a public
service/fire/police frequency if it meant saving somebody. Would CW
help? Not very damn likely, as first I'd have to key up the HF rig, get
to someone that could relay, pass a message, hope like hell that they
actually did call the proper authority, (and were believed), and so on.
You can bet I'll get attention right away if I key up on any of the
public service frequencies, and they damn well will respond, if for no
other reason than to find me. If that's what it takes, so be it, they
can fight it out in court later, and I'd get so much media attention
they wouldn't dare push it very far. On the other hand, the likelihood
of actually being believed using standard, HF and CW procedures, or

getting help in a timely manner to actually save a life is an extremely remote chance...

I rarely filter anyone, but you've definitely earned the "plonk" of being filtered. Say Bye-Bye ! ! !

--Rick AH7H

Rick...point well made, tho a bit wordy.
I happen to be in a position whereby I work in law enforcement and have more that one HT in the home charger, said HTs being on the local PD freqs.
Save a life? CW? Not hardly! That is a moot argument, one with no merit save for the spammers who wish to continue the thread for their own, selfish entertainment.
In an emergency I use one of the HTs. They work even when the cell phone service is out.
In a true emergency, CW is not fast enough. It is archaic. It simply doesn't cut the proverbial mustard.
Keep a Marine battery on hand and keep it charged. One can work any number of radios from that.

'Nuff said.

3800. Re: REQ: police call cd vol 6 im in fl please post cd thanks so much

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 08:01:00 UTC
From: g <manyrumours@lycos.com>
Message-ID: <1156665660.081543.223610@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6830

Evan Platt wrote:
> On Sat, 26 Aug 2006 13:34:56 GMT, "mike" <fishbones182@juno.com>
> wrote:
>
> As I already said:
>
> http://www.police-scanner.info/reviews/police-call-frequency-guide.htm
>
> HTH.

He wants a BINARY. N00b, this isn't a binary group and nothing but text
shows here.

3801. Re: Radioshack / Realistic Pro 2006 manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 08:04:21 UTC
From: g <manyrumours@lycos.com>
Message-ID: <1156665861.573987.299730@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6831

Erik wrote:
> Does anybody knows if, and where, I can download the user's manual (or
> service manual) for this scanner?
> It's the Radioshack/Realistic Pro 2600 400 channel Model no. 20-9145.
>
> Thanks!!!!!

Did you try WWW.RADIOSHACK.COM? Service manual, ??? but it I got info
for a cheap turntable, I would think...

3802. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 12:27:32 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Ucglg.13569$kO3.2071@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 6832
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

The Kat wrote:
> WHY in the FUCK are you continuing this thread
> in a SCANNER newsgroup??

Why in the @!#$% are you posting the identical objection
five times in an ANTENNA newsgroup??
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3803. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 12:53:40 UTC
From: Slow Code <nomail@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <ecs4koike1@news2.open-news-network.org>
Source record: 6833
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:UcgIg.13569$kO3.2071@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> The Kat wrote:
>> WHY in the FUCK are you continuing this thread in a SCANNER newsgroup??
>
> Why in the @!#$% are you posting the identical objection
> five times in an ANTENNA newsgroup??
>
Keep this crap out of rec.radio.amateur.policy!!!

--
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3804. Re: Get a SONY SW Radio now B4 their bankrupt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 13:15:52 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <sn63f29b2chpe9oq5gdrvjebst0784inqf@4ax.com>
Source record: 6834
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.ham-radio.misc,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.boatanchors,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 07:20:37 GMT, The Kat <new1@katxyzkave.net> wrote:

>On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 06:32:09 GMT, patrick jankowiak <eccm@swbell.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>WHY in the FUCK are you continuing this thread
>in a SCANNER newsgroup??
>
>
>
>
>Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
>This sig censored by the Office of Home and Land Insecurity...
>
> Remove XYZ to email me

Sony has made scanners.

3805. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 13:29:47 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156685387.405312.246510@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6835
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

jawod wrote:
> Travis Jordan wrote:
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >

> >
> I just read the latest QST. In "How's DX?" the author notes that ham
> radio "used to be" the prime communication from and to Haiti. But now,
> with cell phones, satellite, etc. it is no longer as important.
>
> This is the real world. Morse is NOT the issue.
it is till it is gone
>
> Relevance (is that the correct spelling?) is the issue.
>
> Ham radio really WANTS to help in emergencies. This is very
> commendable. I think, though, we've been sidelined.
>
> We're still there "when all else fails". Morale of those involved
> remains high, from what I hear / read.
>
> However, in a typical emergency, ham radio is not nearly as primary as
> it used to be. It's OK. We can still contribute.
gee wonder if Robeson will flame for that statement
> John
> AB8O

3806. Re: PING: Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 13:34:25 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156685665.122985.107360@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6836
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Phil Wheeler wrote:
> Mark Moron Morgan wrote:
> > with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
> > impoving my spelling
> > do u hav anyting cognet two say?
> >
> >
> >
>
> Pretty good composition for a giant rabbit.
that isn't a rabbit don't insult those noble rodents that is mass of
human DNA his name is Wismen lacking a life he trys to steal one and
does that with it

3807. Re: Radioshack / Realistic Pro 2006 manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 13:35:55 UTC
From: ed <ed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <%chIg.707893$Fs1.689068@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 6837

Try http://www.mods.dk/index.php?ListManuals=radio_shack

Ed

"Erik" <erik.knol@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1156663173.838216.141680@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Does anybody knows if, and where, I can download the user's manual (or
> service manual) for this scanner?
> It's the Radioshack/Realistic Pro 2600 400 channel Model no. 20-9145.
>
> Thanks!!!!!
>

3808. Re: You'll certainy never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 13:41:51 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156686111.804327.73150@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6838
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks

Slow Code wrote:
> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:UcgIg.13569$kO3.2071@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> > The Kat wrote:
> >> WHY in the FUCK are you continuing this thread in a SCANNER newsgroup??
> >
> > Why in the @!#$% are you posting the identical objection
> > five times in an ANTENNA newsgroup??
> >
> Keep this crap out of rec.radio.amateur.policy!!!

enjoying your trolling SC at least this is on topic unlike most of your
crap

3809. Re: You'll certainly never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 14:17:46 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <Y5mdndEqZc_qN2zZRVnyhw@bt.com>
Source record: 6839
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I do happen to know the morse code. At one time I could do 30 wpm.

But the chance that I shall see, in my lifetime, someone drowning in a river when I have with me a battery-operated transmitter, a receiver, an antenna, ground spike, and a morse key is about one in 10,000,000,000.

I would be far more likely to plug in the microphone.

In any case, by the time I had got the gear fixed up and made a suitable contact, the poor victim could have floated out into the Atlantic Ocean.

It would be a good idea to dump the equipment and run downstream along the river bank to the nearest safety belt.

Reg.

3810. Re: You'll certainly never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 14:36:58 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1156689418.644269.65060@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6840
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Reg Edwards wrote:
> I do happen to know the morse code. At one time I could do 30 wpm.
>
> But the chance that I shall see, in my lifetime, someone drowning in a
> river when I have with me a battery-operated transmitter, a receiver,
> an antenna, ground spike, and a morse key is about one in
> 10,000,000,000.
>
> I would be far more likely to plug in the microphone.
>
> In any case, by the time I had got the gear fixed up and made a
> suitable contact, the poor victim could have floated out into the
> Atlantic Ocean.
>
> It would be a good idea to dump the equipment and run downstream along
> the river bank to the nearest safety belt.

nah you use the ground spike to achor your antenna to use as a safety
line the tranmiter might make a decent grip might not and then go in
and save the guy
at least the troll is on topic is all
> ----
> Reg.

3811. Re: PING: Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 14:40:15 UTC
From: Slow Code <nomail@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <ecsasj$la8$1@news2.open-news-network.org>
Source record: 6841
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Mark Moron Morgan" <kb9rqz@hothomomale.cum> wrote in message
news:44f070d1$0$8811$88260bb3@free.teranews.com...
> with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
> impoving my spelling
> do u hav anyting cognet two say?
>
>
You really are a moron mark morgan.

>
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

3812. REQ: Bearcat BC8500XLT wheat lamps to LED conversion.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 14:51:36 UTC
From: Just_A_Stranger
Message-ID: <H7-dnVfcc5zlLGzZnZ2dnUVZ_uudnZ2d@adelphia.com>
Source record: 6842

I'm tired of playing around with the wheat lamps used to backlight the front panel and would
like to convert to LED. I don't care snot about retaining the dimming feature. Any one done this?

--
---------- --- -- -
Posted with NewsLeecher v3.7 Final
Web @ http://www.newsleecher.com/?usenet
---------- ----- ---- -- -

3813. Re: PING: Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 14:59:49 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1156690789.229110.282770@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6843
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "Mark Moron Morgan" <kb9rqz@hothomomale.cum> wrote in message
> news:44f070d1$0$8811$88260bb3@free.teranews.com...
> > with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
> > impoving my spelling
> > do u hav anyting cognet two say?
> >
> >
> You really are a moron mark morgan.
the poster you are respondng to is a Mron or worse his name is Wismen
his one wise act is to try and steal alife to replace his own

3814. Re: You'll certainly never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 15:04:18 UTC
From: Jack Ricci <ricci@mnsi.net>
Message-ID: <ecsc7p01bin@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 6844
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> Logically, the fantastically unlikely is the one thing you SHOULD be
> prepared for. According to Murphy, whatever can go wrong, WILL go wrong,
> and at the least opportune time.
"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:ecqoj2dhk1@news2.kornet.net...

...That is exactly why I fill in and buy two identical 6/49 lottery tickets,
and give one away to a cute waitress as a tip on our wedding anniversary. I
love reverse psychology...Ooops! Wrong newsgroup...

Jack

>
>
> --
> Say no to institutionalized interference.
> Just say NO to HD/IBOC!
> "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1156635009.999454.106990@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life, but you should
>>> learn
>>> it anyway just in case.
>> why?
>>
>> why is the fantastic ly unlikely something you should eb prepared for?
>>
>
> Logically, the fantastically unlikely is the one thing you SHOULD be
> prepared for. According to Murphy, whatever can go wrong, WILL go wrong,
> and at the least opportune time.
>

3815. Re: You'll certainly never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 15:24:40 UTC
From: Kurt Ullman <kurtullman@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <kurtullman-B57F92.11243827082006@customer-201-125-217-207.uninet.net.mx>
Source record: 6845
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In article <ecsc7p01bin@enews2.newsguy.com>,
"Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net> wrote:

> > Logically, the fantastically unlikely is the one thing you SHOULD be
> > prepared for. According to Murphy, whatever can go wrong, WILL go wrong,
> > and at the least opportune time.
> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:ecqoj2dhk1@news2.kornet.net...
>
> ...That is exactly why I fill in and buy two identical 6/49 lottery tickets,
> and give one away to a cute waitress as a tip on our wedding anniversary. I
> love reverse psychology...Ooops! Wrong newsgroup...
>
>
You're married to a cute waitress? Cool (g)

3816. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 15:25:45 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <12f3eg3prj16657@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 6846
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"The Kat" <new1@katxyzkave.net> wrote in message
news:ruh2f21f0haqd03e63lp9psprbc72s6n3g@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 00:00:34 -0500, "DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
>
>
> WHY in the FUCK are you continuing this thread
> in a SCANNER newsgroup??

Because I can. Nice meltdown though.

--
Suffer, unto me.
Indulge, my every need.
UV Count 1
Vote Mark Morgan for THICK AS A BRICK in August 2006!
Vote rec.radio.amateur.policy for Busted Urinal in August 2006!

3817. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 15:37:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156693021.158391.313340@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6847
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

DrDeath wrote:
> "The Kat" <new1@katxyzkave.net> wrote in message
> news:ruh2f21f0haqd03e63lp9psprbc72s6n3g@4ax.com...
> > On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 00:00:34 -0500, "DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> > WHY in the FUCK are you continuing this thread
> > in a SCANNER newsgroup??
>
> Because I can. Nice meltdown though.

meaning you have no ccause to complain when other do likewsie dr kook

3818. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 15:45:03 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1156693503.732392.185300@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6848
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

You could eliminate the tests, or you could increase passing levels and
I suspect the results would be the same. Fewer and fewer people
joining the hobby.

Slow Code wrote:
> Let's keep the CB'ers on CB.
>
>
>
> 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.
>
>
> 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>
>
> 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
>
> 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
>
> Tnx, 73
>
> SC

3819. Re: Radioshack / Realistic Pro 2006 manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 15:46:05 UTC
From: g <manyrumours@lycos.com>
Message-ID: <1156693565.362566.209480@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6849

ed wrote:
> Try http://www.mods.dk/index.php?ListManuals=radio_shack
>
> Ed
>
> "Erik" <erik.knol@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1156663173.838216.141680@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Does anybody knows if, and where, I can download the user's manual (or
> > service manual) for this scanner?
> > It's the Radioshack/Realistic Pro 2600 400 channel Model no. 20-9145.
> >
> > Thanks!!!!!
> >

I suppose it does take a call to figure most things out from Radio
Snack or else you end up looking at all the pretty closeout computer
and HD crap...I'd rather take a taxi 35 miles to the nearest real
electronics parts house myself <grr>

3820. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 15:49:28 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <44F1BF08.1070406@fuse.net>
Source record: 6901
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

wï¿½thhï¿½ld fï¿½r rï¿½ï¿½sï¿½ï¿½s ï¿½f ï¿½ï¿½tï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½l Sï¿½ï¿½ï¿½rï¿½ty wrote:
> W H O T H E F U C K C A R E S ! ! ! !
>
> THIS IS FUCKEN SCANNER NEWSGROUP, NOT TALK ABOUT ISSUES ABOUT AMATEUR
> RADIO. WHO FUCK CARES!.
>
> IF YOU FUCKEN IDIOTS WANT TO TALK ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO THEN GO YOUR
> LITTLE FUCKEN NEWSGROUP AND DISCUSS IT THEIR NOT HERE!
>
Dear Fucken,

You're absolutely right. My apologies for inadvertently posting to YOUR
group.

Thanks for your understanding and consideration...

Now, go back to your fucken newsgroup and enjoy yourself.

3821. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 15:49:51 UTC
From: Drake nazi <manyrumours@lycos.com>
Message-ID: <1156693791.403716.80690@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6850
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

The Kat wrote:
> On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 01:06:22 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote:
>
>
>
> WHY in the FUCK are you continuing this thread
> in a SCANNER newsgroup??
>
>
>
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> This sig censored by the Office of Home and Land Insecurity...
>
> Remove XYZ to email me

3822. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 15:51:55 UTC
From: Drake nazi <manyrumours@lycos.com>
Message-ID: <1156693915.760867.174750@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6902
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Why did you give your ham radio away fool?

No 6 meters for you, one year!

3823. Re: PING: Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 15:54:11 UTC
From: Mark Moron Morgan <kb9rqz@hothomomale.cum>
Message-ID: <44f1b306$0$8828$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 6903
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1156685665.122985.107360@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Phil Wheeler wrote:
>> Mark Moron Morgan wrote:
>> > with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
>> > impoving my spelling
>> > do u hav anyting cognet two say?
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>> Pretty good composition for a giant rabbit.
> that isn't a rabbit don't insult those noble rodents that is mass of
> human DNA his name is Wismen lacking a life he trys to steal one and
> does that with it
>
with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling
do u hav anyting cognet two say?

http://i7.tinypic.com/25rf8gk.jpg

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3824. kooks on parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 15:56:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <d4g3f25ac6iibjqakfeesgju8jpihtcbl8@4ax.com>
Source record: 6904
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 11:54:11 -0400, "Mark Moron Morgan"
<kb9rqz@hothomomale.cum> wrote:

>>
>with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
> impoving my spelling
> do u hav anyting cognet two say?
kooks on parade
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3825. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 16:00:10 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <12f3gcmqb2pn8f7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 6905
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks

"Slow Code" <nomail@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:ecs4koike1@news2.open-news-network.org...
>
> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:UcgIg.13569$kO3.2071@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
>> The Kat wrote:
>>> WHY in the FUCK are you continuing this thread in a SCANNER newsgroup??
>>
>> Why in the @!#$% are you posting the identical objection
>> five times in an ANTENNA newsgroup??
>>
> Keep this crap out of rec.radio.amateur.policy!!!

Not a chance. Your group is up for the Buster Urinal award.

--
Suffer, unto me.
Indulge, my every need.
UV Count 1
Vote Mark Morgan for THICK AS A BRICK in August 2006!
Vote rec.radio.amateur.policy for Busted Urinal in August 2006!

3826. Re: PING: Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 16:00:34 UTC
From: Slow Code <nomail@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <ecsfj7$n96$1@news2.open-news-network.org>
Source record: 6906
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks

```
"Mark Moron Morgan" <kb9rqz@hothomomale.cum> wrote in message
news:44f1b2b0$0$8889$88260bb3@free.teranews.com...
>
> "Slow Code" <nomail@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:ecsasj$la8$1@news2.open-news-network.org...
>>
>> "Mark Moron Morgan" <kb9rqz@hothomomale.cum> wrote in message
>> news:44f070d1$0$8811$88260bb3@free.teranews.com...
>>> with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
>>> impoving my spelling
>>> do u hav anyting cognet two say?
>>>
>>>
>> You really are a moron mark morgan.
>>
> thank you four teh coplamant it more tahn a name two me I trie to look teh
> part two see?
>
> http://i7.tinypic.com/25rf8gk.jpg
>
> with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
> impoving my spelling
> do u hav anyting cognet two say?
>
>
Keep this crap out of the radio groups you losers.

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

>
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
```

3827. Re: REQ: Bearcat BC8500XLT wheat lamps to LED conversion.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 16:11:28 UTC
From: Ken Layton <KLayton888@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1156695088.683340.8670@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6907

stranger@nostranger.com wrote:
> I'm tired of playing around with the wheat lamps used to backlight the front panel and would
> like to convert to LED. I don't care snot about retaining the dimming feature. Any one done
> this?

What's the problem you're having with the existing setup?

3828. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 16:11:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156695103.276567.183050@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6908
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

DrDeath wrote:
> "Slow Code" <nomail@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:ecs4koike1@news2.open-news-network.org...
> >
> > "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:UcgIg.13569$kO3.2071@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> >> The Kat wrote:
> >>> WHY in the FUCK are you continuing this thread in a SCANNER newsgroup??
> >>
> >> Why in the @!#$% are you posting the identical objection
> >> five times in an ANTENNA newsgroup??
> >>
> > Keep this crap out of rec.radio.amateur.policy!!!
>
> Not a chance. Your group is up for the Buster Urinal award.
ebing full of shit what use have you got a for a urinal busted or not?

3829. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 16:20:47 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44f1c662$0$15495$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 6909
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
news:1156693503.732392.185300@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> You could eliminate the tests, or you could increase passing levels and
> I suspect the results would be the same. Fewer and fewer people
> joining the hobby.
>
>

There are MANY reasons why ham may not be as popular. NO ONE reason is THE reason. Code sure isn't it. It didn't stop the many who desired a license from persuing it. If you want to do it - you will - simple as that. EFFORT is the name of the game!

> Slow Code wrote:
>> Let's keep the CB'ers on CB.
>>

Many a fine CBer has moved up to Ham - and improved the hobby. CODE didn't keep the scum of the bands from operating there ON CB OR now in the Ham bands. SO - CODE IS NOT THE REASON.

>> 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.

>> Tnx, 73
>>
>> SC
>

With the way you've been talking - you (SC) couldn't even do 85%. SO, you'd be cutting yourself out.

I've said it before, I'll say it again - there are MANY things in life you MUST learn to get by, some by pure need or desire. You "LEARNED" to drive, had to study the rules of the road. You "LEARNED" how to do many other things - and I'm damned sure "studied" things in school - maybe even college or post high school to get to where you wanted to be - maybe took an exam for a job promotion. HAM is just one more thing to "learn". For Christs sakes, if you had EVERYTHING handed to you - it wouldn't be worth having. To JUST have a "DRIVER'S LICENSE" "GIVEN" to you - would produce havoc on our roads. To JUST GIVE AWAY "PILOTS LICENSES - would be devastating to many when they come crashing down. To JUST "GIVE" Radio Licenses away - regardless class or whatever - would produce havoc on the air waves. SOMEWHERE - there HAS to be SOME control.

ANYONE not willing to work for something - is PURE LAZY..... NO other way to put it. I suppose those of you whining and bitching - never had

the pleasure of "satisfaction" KNOWING you "passed" a test by KNOWING the material - NOT having it given to you.

THIS isn't ALL JUST about code anymore - our whole freaking nation is dumbing down and that is SAD. AND it is getting to be a "lawless" society where no one cares anymore - they do as they damned well please. Be it on the telephone, radio, highway, waterways, in the air..... where ever - doing whatever - they're not supposed to be doing. Step on your neighbors rights but damned if they're to step on yours. That logic creates more troubles.

This sort of thread will continue as long as there are whiners who don't want to EARN a privelage - they want it "handed" to them. No wonder this country and/or world is so screwed up.

L.

3830. Re: Dumbing down licensing will just let in people that really don't want to be hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 16:26:50 UTC
From: "[censored]" <manyrumours@lycos.com>
Message-ID: <1156696010.762784.149800@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6910
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> So why do it?
>
> SC

You got in...are YOU worried?

3831. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 16:44:14 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1156697054.668395.250710@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6911
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

The point I was trying to make is that the amateur radio hobby matured about 3 decades ago. It's membership is aging rapidly and the number of active participants is declining. As the baby boomer generation dies off at an increasing rate the amateur radio hobby will continue to fade away. Fiddling with the test requirements isn't going to magically entice younger blood away from the many other license-free ways of communicating. I would venture to say that you could open the ham bands completely CB style and not get a big jump in membership. There are just too many other interesting, easy to use ways of communicating that that younger people are accustomed to using. I wish it were otherwise.

Making the ham radio test more of a challenge as you suggest misses the point completely. The point is that there will be a diminishing number of people with any interest becoming a ham, no matter what the requirements are.

L. wrote:
> "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
> news:1156693503.732392.185300@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > You could eliminate the tests, or you could increase passing levels and
> > I suspect the results would be the same. Fewer and fewer people
> > joining the hobby.
> >
> >
>
> There are MANY reasons why ham may not be as popular. NO ONE reason is THE
> reason. Code sure isn't it. It didn't stop the many who desired a license
> from persuing it. If you want to do it - you will - simple as that. EFFORT
> is the name of the game!
>
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> Let's keep the CB'ers on CB.
> >>
>
> Many a fine CBer has moved up to Ham - and improved the hobby. CODE didn't
> keep the scum of the bands from operating there ON CB OR now in the Ham
> bands. SO - CODE IS NOT THE REASON.
>
> >> 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>
> >> Tnx, 73
> >>
> >> SC

> >
>
> With the way you've been talking - you (SC) couldn't even do 85%. SO, you'd
> be cutting yourself out.
>
> I've said it before, I'll say it again - there are MANY things in life you
> MUST learn to get by, some by pure need or desire. You "LEARNED" to drive,
> had to study the rules of the road. You "LEARNED" how to do many other
> things - and I'm damned sure "studied" things in school - maybe even college
> or post high school to get to where you wanted to be - maybe took an exam
> for a job promotion. HAM is just one more thing to "learn". For Christs
> sakes, if you had EVERYTHING handed to you - it wouldn't be worth having. To
> JUST have a "DRIVER'S LICENSE" "GIVEN" to you - would produce havoc on our
> roads. To JUST GIVE AWAY "PILOTS LICENSES - would be devastating to many
> when they come crashing down. To JUST "GIVE" Radio Licenses away -
> regardless class or whatever - would produce havoc on the air waves.
> SOMEWHERE - there HAS to be SOME control.
>
> ANYONE not willing to work for something - is PURE LAZY..... NO
> other way to put it. I suppose those of you whining and bitching - never had
> the pleasure of "satisfaction" KNOWING you "passed" a test by KNOWING the
> material - NOT having it given to you.
>
> THIS isn't ALL JUST about code anymore - our whole freaking nation is
> dumbing down and that is SAD. AND it is getting to be a "lawless" society
> where no one cares anymore - they do as they damned well please. Be it on
> the telephone, radio, highway, waterways, in the air..... where ever -
> doing whatever - they're not supposed to be doing. Step on your neighbors
> rights but damned if they're to step on yours. That logic creates more
> troubles.
>
> This sort of thread will continue as long as there are whiners who don't
> want to EARN a privelage - they want it "handed" to them. No wonder this
> country and/or world is so screwed up.
>
> L.

3832. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 16:45:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <v0j3f2ddpd4hs46i2fe2rqufvdjrvrh8vh@4ax.com>
Source record: 6912
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 12:20:47 -0400, "L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote:

>"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
>news:1156693503.732392.185300@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>. EFFORT
>is the name of the game!
bullshit
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3833. Re: Dumbing down licensing will just let in people that really don't want to be hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 16:47:20 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156697240.266463.59910@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6913
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

[censored] wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > So why do it?
> >
> > SC
>
> You got in...are YOU worried?
having gotten his he wants to blow up the feild so nobody else can have
it

3834. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 16:49:20 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44f1cd13$0$15495$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 6914
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

<Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
news:v0j3f2ddpd4hs46i2fe2rqufvdjrvrh8vh@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 12:20:47 -0400, "L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote:
>
>>"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
>>news:1156693503.732392.185300@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>. EFFORT
>>is the name of the game!
> bullshit
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

BULL SHIT? TRY a bit of effort in some of your spelling dude - your website sucks with misspelled words as do most of your posts.

EFFORT IS required in most anything in life - worth having. For some jack off who thinks we NEEDED this war - then why don't you MAKE AN EFFORT TO HELP FIGHT IT. Maybe if we're lucky, you'll be wiped out instead of a soldier who didn't need to be there.

Don't even bother replying jack off. You're barred from my screen.

3835. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 16:53:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <vbj3f2tinnln7ps93om7vu3qossg4sna2i@4ax.com>
Source record: 6915
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 12:49:20 -0400, "L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
>news:v0j3f2ddpd4hs46i2fe2rqufvdjrvrh8vh@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 12:20:47 -0400, "L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote:
>>
>>>"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
>>>news:1156693503.732392.185300@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>>. EFFORT
>>>is the name of the game!
>> bullshit
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>
>BULL SHIT? TRY a bit of effort in some of your spelling dude - your website
>sucks with misspelled words as do most of your posts.
why?
>
>EFFORT IS required in most anything in life - worth having.
not effort for efforts sake
> For some jack
>off who thinks we NEEDED this war - then why don't you MAKE AN EFFORT TO
>HELP FIGHT IT.
well having been one of those that fought the last time, and being bit
older now ofcourse not my place to fight this one
> Maybe if we're lucky, you'll be wiped out instead of a
>soldier who didn't need to be there.

>
>Don't even bother replying jack off. You're barred from my screen.

kilfile if you like you don't get to issue order that have any effect
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3836. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 17:08:48 UTC
From: Slow Code <nomail@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <ecsjj4$p0r$1@news2.open-news-network.org>
Source record: 6916
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks

<Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
news:v0j3f2ddpd4hs46i2fe2rqufvdjrvrh8vh@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 12:20:47 -0400, "L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote:
>
>>"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
>>news:1156693503.732392.185300@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>. EFFORT
>>is the name of the game!
> bullshit
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
my ass and face look teh same see.

http://i7.tinypic.com/25rf8gk.jpg

3837. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 17:46:48 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cUklg.1042$Cq4.890@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 6917
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks

Slow Code wrote:
> Keep this crap out of rec.radio.amateur.policy!!!

:-) rec.radio.amateur.policy was created to keep this
crap off the other more technical newsgroups.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3838. Uniden SC230 Quick Key question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 18:14:44 UTC
From: "Joe S." <anon@mous.net>
Message-ID: <ecsnfr01q3h@news3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 6920

How do I use the Quick Key function on the SC230?

Yesterday I joined 160,000 of my best friends at Bristol for the night race. I took my new Uniden SC230 and my trusty old Radio Shack PRO-89.

Before leaving, I updated freqs on both scanners. Also, I followed the instructions to set up Quick Keys on the SC230 -- go through the menu to a car number, set up a Quick Key for that car. I set up the following Quick Keys:
-- MRN-PRN Broadcast: 1
-- Jeff "Squeaky" Gordon: 2
-- Jr: 8
-- Fat Tony: 3
-- Jimmy J.: 4

I thought that all I had to do was hit the Quick Key and the scanner would go to that freq. Wrong. If I hit any of the keys I had set up, all the scanner did was "beep" for an error and keep on scanning. After about 30 minutes, I stuffed the SC230 into my backpack and pulled out the PRO-89 -- because the 230 is too much trouble to tune.

For example:

-- To go to a car on the 230: CAR>(Enter car #)>ENT>Scanner shows a freq>ENT and the scanner goes to the car freq. THREE button presses plus the car #.
-- To go to a car on the PRO-89: CAR>(Enter car #)>CAR and there it is -- TWO button presses plus the car #. And, if the car # has three digits, all you do is hit CAR>(Enter the car #) and the scanner goes to the freq -- ONE button press plus the car number. This is really convenient with MRN-PRN in the scanner as Car #100.

Still, the 230 would be much more convenient if all I had to do was press one button -- the Quick Key number -- and recall the car set on that Quick Key.

How do I make the Quick Keys recall a freq with a single key press??

Thanks.

3839. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 18:34:27 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <8pe2u.4ma.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 6918
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

<Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
news:vbj3f2tinnln7ps93om7vu3qossg4sna2i@4ax.com...
On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 12:49:20 -0400, "L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
>news:v0j3f2ddpd4hs46i2fe2rqufvdjrvrh8vh@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 12:20:47 -0400, "L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote:
>>
>>>"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
>>>news:1156693503.732392.185300@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>>. EFFORT
>>>is the name of the game!
>> bullshit
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>
>BULL SHIT? TRY a bit of effort in some of your spelling dude - your website
>sucks with misspelled words as do most of your posts.
why?
>
>EFFORT IS required in most anything in life - worth having.
not effort for efforts sake
> For some jack
>off who thinks we NEEDED this war - then why don't you MAKE AN EFFORT TO
>HELP FIGHT IT.
well having been one of those that fought the last time, and being bit
older now ofcourse not my place to fight this one
> Maybe if we're lucky, you'll be wiped out instead of a
>soldier who didn't need to be there.

>
>Don't even bother replying jack off. You're barred from my screen.

kilfile if you like you don't get to issue order that have any effect
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

3840. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 18:35:01 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <tdp3f213tb4ks6o5sdql4mrjr80ia74mcm@4ax.com>
Source record: 6919
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 13:34:27 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
promoting you feeble efforts at harrassing me
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3841. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 18:46:29 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44f1e888$0$15482$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 6921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
news:1156697054.668395.250710@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> The point I was trying to make is that the amateur radio hobby matured
> about 3 decades ago. It's membership is aging rapidly and the number
> of active participants is declining. As the baby boomer generation
> dies off at an increasing rate the amateur radio hobby will continue to
> fade away. Fiddling with the test requirements isn't going to magically
> entice younger blood away from the many other license-free ways of
> communicating. I would venture to say that you could open the ham
> bands completely CB style and not get a big jump in membership. There
> are just too many other interesting, easy to use ways of communicating
> that that younger people are accustomed to using. I wish it were
> otherwise.
>
> Making the ham radio test more of a challenge as you suggest misses the
> point completely. The point is that there will be a diminishing number
> of people with any interest becoming a ham, no matter what the
> requirements are.
>

You "could" be and may very well be right in that regard as to the numbers increasing or not if the bands were completely opened. But since most of this crap evolved around CODE and then the "learning" ability of "exam" material - those issues are not the <complete> problem. As I said, if you want to "learn" anything, effort is the key. All through our lives, we all have to LEARN "something" to make us who/what we are in life. You learn to do your job, even if it isn't from a text book. But, you have to admit - except for sweeping floors, pumping gas, washing windows, etc..... it DOES take "effort" to learn the tasks. Once you master them - then usually it is a piece of cake. "Learning" should never end for anyone - until their number is up here on earth.

In code, you learn ._ is "A". In driving - you learn to put your left turn signal on and then turn the wheel left - to make a left turn in your vehicle. In a factory or on a construction job - you learn hand signals to instruct a crane-man at a job site. Whatever it is - you learn "something" to get you by in life - be it a job, hobby or whatever. In most cases (except code) - NOT learning - could get you or others injured or killed.

I DOUBT - anyone jumped in a car the first day and went and passed their drivers exam - it took EFFORT to learn to drive (safely). I DOUBT anyone - jumped in a plane and passed their pilots test the first time in the plane - it took EFFORT. Even to pass a physical ability test for some jobs - TAKES EFFORT. Any SOLDIER or PRO or even high school/college athlete who has

excelled - will tell you that.

To the screwball who said BULL SHIT to "effort" - he claims to be an EME user on his website. I'm DAMNED sure he didn't just wake up one day and decide to try EME - and be eh - fair(?) at it! SOMEWHERE along the line - it took EFFORT to acquire the knowledge, license and materials to do so. Too bad he doesn't make some "effort" to "spell" properly - MOST of his bull shit has been misspelled so poorly, he comes across as a tard. No wonder he gets picked on..... Misspelled words, "NEEDED" wars, etc..... SHEESH. I misspell a word here and there once in a while in error - as do many others. BUT there are SOME who seem to KILL IT when it comes to spelling. They never heard of "spell check"? Can't even make effort to use THAT to make them look a bit brighter.

It doesn't matter how easy or hard the tests are - Code or NO Code - the point here in this thread and others similar is - it seems some want it "handed" to them and all I'm saying is EFFORT will help you get it and you'll be rewarded - your achievement - be it a license, an upgrade in license OR a job promotion with subsequent pay raise or position. So what if you never use the code again to save a life or just to chat on the air - who cares? To pass the muster for some promotions in the service, you have to prove physical ability, and some of those things needed to be done - you may never have to make use of again. Tell your senior officer you're not going to do it and see what you hear in return.....

IF YOU WANT IT BAD ENOUGH - YOU"LL DO IT. Of course - weinie boy who thinks effort is bull shit and believes we NEEDED wars - wouldn't have a clue. So the quiestion is now - did he cheat on his exam and had his license handed to him OR have the equipment donated to not have to make the EFFORT to acquire it all?

Opening up the bands won't be the answer to the "population" of the bands. IF I were God, had a Crystal Ball, I might be able to give the "exact" answer. I've "never" claimed to have it nor do I now. All I can say, is we know where we're headed and it will be interesting in say 5 years or so - to see how we do indeed end up. Changes "could" come about to revive it, open the bands up OR shut them down. Right now, they are somewhat protected by Vongress, but the Congress members are usually able to be bought out by special interest groups - so - NEVER SAY NEVER..... we could be sold out next year if enough of them get their heads together. Then - all of these threads will be moot points. Hell, we may end up paying to type/read this - over and above our ISP payment. There WAS a move to do so..... Nothing to say it couldn't happen if someone tries it again.

L.

3842. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 18:48:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <c6q3f2dc1miq4d3ucg522rsuqs862tdrfn@4ax.com>
Source record: 6922
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 14:46:29 -0400, "L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote:

>"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
>news:1156697054.668395.250710@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> The point I was trying to make is that the amateur radio hobby matured
>> about 3 decades ago. It's membership is aging rapidly and the number
>> of active participants is declining. As the baby boomer generation
>> dies off at an increasing rate the amateur radio hobby will continue to
>> fade away. Fiddling with the test requirements isn't going to magically
>> entice younger blood away from the many other license-free ways of
>> communicating. I would venture to say that you could open the ham
>> bands completely CB style and not get a big jump in membership. There
>> are just too many other interesting, easy to use ways of communicating
>> that that younger people are accustomed to using. I wish it were
>> otherwise.
>>
>> Making the ham radio test more of a challenge as you suggest misses the
>> point completely. The point is that there will be a diminishing number
>> of people with any interest becoming a ham, no matter what the
>> requirements are.
>>
>
>You "could" be and may very well be right in that regard as to the numbers
>increasing or not if the bands were completely opened. But since most of
>this crap evolved around CODE and then the "learning" ability of "exam"
>material - those issues are not the <complete> problem. As I said, if you
>want to "learn" anything, effort is the key
.more from the s&M shcool of lincense
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3843. Re: REQ: Bearcat BC8500XLT wheat lamps to LED conversion.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 18:59:31 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44f1eb95$0$15474$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 6923

"Ken Layton" <KLayton888@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1156695088.683340.8670@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> stranger@nostranger.com wrote:
>> I'm tired of playing around with the wheat lamps used to backlight the
>> front panel and would
>> like to convert to LED. I don't care snot about retaining the dimming
>> feature. Any one done
>> this?'''
>
>
> What's the problem you're having with the existing setup?
>

Being totally unfamiliar with this particular scanner - he "might" have a power supply issue of sorts. I had a radio come in the shop once with bulbs that kept blowing out - a previous tech did nothing other than replace the blown bulbs - three times - without trying to corrrect the issue. I placed a resistor in line and no more blown bulbs. The surge when turning the set on - apparently was more than the bulbs wanted to see - even though the readings from the power supply were "as spec'd". The set worked flawlessly otherwise and since. THAT set was the only one I had seen of that model that had that issue. I had known many who had the same model with no issues.

That could be a simple repair - without having to do any real mods.........

Just my 2 cents

L.

3844. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 19:07:05 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <8pg03.1dk.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 6924
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

IF YOU WANT IT BAD ENOUGH - YOU"LL DO IT. Of course - weinie boy who thinks effort is bull shit and believes we NEEDED wars - wouldn't have a clue. So the quiestion is now - did he cheat on his exam and had his license handed to him OR have the equipment donated to not have to make the EFFORT to acquire it all?
...
Weinie Boy is, by nature, lazy. He is NOT the bread winner in his family and, indeed, sits home all day giving excuses as to why he cannot obtain gainful employment.
And forget trying to shame this obtuse leech into procuring and utilizing a spell check program. He knows better...he's simply too lazy, preferring instead to sit on his rotund arse while he flames Usenet with his drivel.
There are three things you can't give Mark.
1. A job.
2. A fat lip.
3. Ambition.

3845. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 19:09:49 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <8pg57.21m.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 6925
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

<Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
news:c6q3f2dc1miq4d3ucg522rsuqs862tdrfn@4ax.com...
On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 14:46:29 -0400, "L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote:

>"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
>news:1156697054.668395.250710@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> The point I was trying to make is that the amateur radio hobby matured
>> about 3 decades ago. It's membership is aging rapidly and the number
>> of active participants is declining. As the baby boomer generation
>> dies off at an increasing rate the amateur radio hobby will continue to
>> fade away. Fiddling with the test requirements isn't going to magically
>> entice younger blood away from the many other license-free ways of
>> communicating. I would venture to say that you could open the ham
>> bands completely CB style and not get a big jump in membership. There
>> are just too many other interesting, easy to use ways of communicating
>> that that younger people are accustomed to using. I wish it were
>> otherwise.
>>
>> Making the ham radio test more of a challenge as you suggest misses the
>> point completely. The point is that there will be a diminishing number
>> of people with any interest becoming a ham, no matter what the
>> requirements are.
>>
>
>You "could" be and may very well be right in that regard as to the numbers
>increasing or not if the bands were completely opened. But since most of
>this crap evolved around CODE and then the "learning" ability of "exam"
>material - those issues are not the <complete> problem. As I said, if you
>want to "learn" anything, effort is the key
.more from the s&M shcool of lincense
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

3846. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 19:11:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <0hr3f2ln0rmkbvn1mv819k43codun6k59o@4ax.com>
Source record: 6926
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 14:07:05 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>IF YOU WANT IT BAD ENOUGH - YOU"LL DO IT. Of
>Weinie Boy is, by nature, lazy. He is NOT the bread winner in his family
>and, indeed, sits home all day giving excuses as to why he cannot obtain
>gainful employment.
no excuses I have gainfull employmennet
>And forget trying to shame this obtuse leech into procuring and utilizing a
>spell check program. He knows better...he's simply too lazy, preferring
>instead to sit on his rotund arse while he flames Usenet with his drivel.
>There are three things you can't give Mark.
>1. A job.
you can't give me what I have
>2. A fat lip.
you got that right
>3. Ambition.
you got that right you can't give what I already ahve
>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3847. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 19:11:59 UTC
From: The Demon Prince of Absurdity <absurd_number_of_nicks@hell.corn>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.08.27.19.11.58.509103@hell.corn>
Source record: 6927
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks

On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 17:46:48 +0000, Cecil Moore did the cha-cha, and screamed:
> Slow Code wrote:
>> Keep this crap out of rec.radio.amateur.policy!!!
>
> :-) rec.radio.amateur.policy was created to keep this
> crap off the other more technical newsgroups.

It would appear that the Busted Urinals of RRAP are trying to share the
joy. Clueful posters will make tactical use of their killfiles.

--

Hail Eris! TM#5
Cardinal Snarky of the Fannish Inquisition
No one expects the Fannish Inquisition!
Vote rec.radio.amateur.policy for Busted Urinal in August 2006!
Also vote Cletis Perkins for:
Bolo Bullis Foam Duck
Unabomber Surprise
Goofy @zzed Babboon
Joseph Bartlo "Pathetic Anal Pineapple" Award

3848. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 19:15:05 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156706105.151984.167180@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6928
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
> On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 17:46:48 +0000, Cecil Moore did the cha-cha, and screamed:
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> Keep this crap out of rec.radio.amateur.policy!!!
> >
> > :-) rec.radio.amateur.policy was created to keep this
> > crap off the other more technical newsgroups.
>
> It would appear that the Busted Urinals of RRAP are trying to share the
> joy. Clueful posters will make tactical use of their killfiles.
just a kook
```

3849. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 19:18:16 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <7vr3f2d28q1ed56oh8osj2hc46iffsn9do@4ax.com>
Source record: 6930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 14:09:49 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message

>http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
>
fraud
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3850. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 19:19:17 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <8pgn0.ej5.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 6929
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

<Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
news:0hr3f2ln0rmkbvn1mv819k43codun6k59o@4ax.com...
On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 14:07:05 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>IF YOU WANT IT BAD ENOUGH - YOU"LL DO IT. Of
>Weinie Boy is, by nature, lazy. He is NOT the bread winner in his family
>and, indeed, sits home all day giving excuses as to why he cannot obtain
>gainful employment.
no excuses I have gainfull employmennet and maek $5.45 a howr at the door
>And forget trying to shame this obtuse leech into procuring and utilizing a
>spell check program. He knows better...he's simply too lazy, preferring
>instead to sit on his rotund arse while he flames Usenet with his drivel.
>There are three things you can't give Mark.
>1. A job.
you can't give me what I have i am a door greeter at wal-mart
>2. A fat lip.
you got that right it is already fat
>3. Ambition.
you got that right you can't give what I already ahve. i even go to the
refrigeaerator all by mself
>
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

3851. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 19:22:00 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <16s3f2poh0g6elbarp1klbobnemet5j8nv@4ax.com>
Source record: 6931
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 14:19:17 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>

>you got that right you can't give what I already ahve.
i even go to the
refrigeaerator all by mself
good for your wismen
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3852. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 19:23:46 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <8pgve.fk7.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 6932
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"an old friend" <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1156706105.151984.167180@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
> On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 17:46:48 +0000, Cecil Moore did the cha-cha, and
screamed:
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> Keep this crap out of rec.radio.amateur.policy!!!
> >
> > :-) rec.radio.amateur.policy was created to keep this
> > crap off the other more technical newsgroups.
>
> It would appear that the Busted Urinals of RRAP are trying to share the
> joy. Clueful posters will make tactical use of their killfiles.
just a kook
...

Pot calling the kettle black again?
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Mark caught forging using Steve's name.
"Steve's" post has headers:

> X-Complaints-To: abuse@aioe.org
> X-Submitted-By: 66.82.9.42

Your reply contains headers:

> NNTP-Posting-Host: 66.82.9.42
> Injection-Info: 38g2000cwa.googlegroups.com; posting-host=66.82.9.42

'Nuff said.

3853. RE:re police call cd vol6 im in fl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 20:21:23 UTC
From: mike <fishbones182@juno.com>
Message-ID: <79nIg.1981$pX3.528@trnddc07>
Source record: 6933

i tired the link i clik on the book and its dead i cant seem to buy it through that site thanks so if anyone can post the disc here or tell me were i can find the disc posted that would be great thanks

---------------= Posted using GrabIt =----------------
------= Binary Usenet downloading made easy =---------
-= Get GrabIt for free from http://www.shemes.com/ =-

3854. Re: PING: Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 20:59:27 UTC
From: Art Deco <erfc@netcabal.com>
Message-ID: <270820061459278240%erfc@netcabal.com>
Source record: 6934
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks

Slow Code <nomail@nospam.com> wrote:

>"Mark Moron Morgan" <kb9rqz@hothomomale.cum> wrote in message
>news:44f1b2b0$0$8889$88260bb3@free.teranews.com...
>>
>> "Slow Code" <nomail@nospam.com> wrote in message
>> news:ecsasj$la8$1@news2.open-news-network.org...
>>>
>>> "Mark Moron Morgan" <kb9rqz@hothomomale.cum> wrote in message
>>> news:44f070d1$0$8811$88260bb3@free.teranews.com...
>>>> with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
>>>> impoving my spelling
>>>> do u hav anyting cognet two say?
>>>>
>>>>
>>> You really are a moron mark morgan.
>>>
>> thank you four teh coplamant it more tahn a name two me I trie to look teh
>> part two see?
>>
>> http://i7.tinypic.com/25rf8gk.jpg
>>
>> with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
>> impoving my spelling
>> do u hav anyting cognet two say?
>>
>>
>Keep this crap out of the radio groups you losers.

Whine much?
>
>
>
>--
>
>
>
>>
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>
>

>

--
COOSN-266-06-39716
Official Associate AFA-B Vote Rustler
Official Overseer of Kooks and Saucerheads in alt.astronomy
Official "Usenet psychopath and born-again LLPOF minion",
as designated by Brad Guth

"Who is "David Tholen", Daedalus? Still suffering from
attribution problems?"
-- Dr. David Tholen

3855. kooks on parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 21:05:33 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156712732.916088.141780@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6935
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Art Deco wrote:
> >
> Whine much?
yea you do that too kook

3856. Re: finaly figured it out the meow is just Paul effort to insure the his moderated nNG gets launched

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 21:31:24 UTC
From: Slow Code <nomail@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <ect2vh$v7j$1@news2.open-news-network.org>
Source record: 6936
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks

"an old freind" <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1156713598.478065.227490@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> just kidding but I hope folks enjoy the thought
>
You brought all the AUK retards here.

3857. Re: finaly figured it out the meow is just Paul effort to insure the his moderated nNG gets launched

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 21:47:43 UTC
From: Slow Code <nomail@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <ect3u3vkt1@news2.open-news-network.org>
Source record: 6937
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks

<Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
news:ve44f2l1dhh57mpapj1942gbsp763g5o8t@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 17:31:24 -0400, "Slow Code" <nomail@nospam.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"an old freind" <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:1156713598.478065.227490@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>> just kidding but I hope folks enjoy the thought
>>>
>>You brought all the AUK retards here.
>
> nope you had rather more to do with you and wismen assuming you are
> not the same person
>
> as can be seen by the fact you took a joke posted to policy and added
> AUK to it
>
> you are the one of the trool responible assuming you are one of auk
> boys yourself
> http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
^^^^^ what the hell is that?? rofl

3858. Re: PING: Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 22:23:13 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44f21b5d$0$15479$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 6938
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks

"Art Deco" <erfc@netcabal.com> wrote in message
news:270820061459278240%erfc@netcabal.com...
> Slow Code <nomail@nospam.com> wrote:
>
>>"Mark Moron Morgan" <kb9rqz@hothomomale.cum> wrote in message
>>news:44f1b2b0$0$8889$88260bb3@free.teranews.com...
>>>
>>> "Slow Code" <nomail@nospam.com> wrote in message
>>> news:ecsasj$la8$1@news2.open-news-network.org...
>>>>
>>>> "Mark Moron Morgan" <kb9rqz@hothomomale.cum> wrote in message
>>>> news:44f070d1$0$8811$88260bb3@free.teranews.com...
>>>>> with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
>>>>> impoving my spelling
>>>>> do u hav anyting cognet two say?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>> You really are a moron mark morgan.
>>>>
>>> thank you four teh coplamant it more tahn a name two me I trie to look
>>> teh
>>> part two see?
>>>
>>> http://i7.tinypic.com/25rf8gk.jpg
>>>
>>> with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
>>> impoving my spelling
>>> do u hav anyting cognet two say?
>>>
>>>
>>Keep this crap out of the radio groups you losers.
>
> Whine much?
>>
>>
>>
>>--
>>

The other question would be - "would you like a little cheese to go with the
whine?"

L.

3859. Re: REQ: Bearcat BC8500XLT wheat lamps to LED conversion.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 22:42:13 UTC
From: Ken Layton <KLayton888@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1156718533.327868.22040@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6939

I posted a repair for erratic/completely out backlight display several months ago on alt.radio.scanner for this model.

One of the display lamp driver transistors was bad and I posted an improved replacement for it.

3860. Re: Dumbing down licensing will just let in people that really don't want to be hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 22:56:22 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <qqpIg.7318$Xl5.4731@trnddc06>
Source record: 6940
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Ok, what if you were stranded on a desert island, and had to use code to
save someone's life?
Could you use the coconuts to build a radio in order to send said code?
And if you could build the radio out of coconuts, why not just build one
with a mic and speak
the miracle of life into being?

rb

<Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
news:73ppe2l5nreqevfrrlnntp8oq8j13kfsf8@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 23 Aug 2006 23:25:48 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>mke65@yahoo.com wrote in news:6t9pe2l02j0cm946c2mv0ihebpajfnu728@4ax.com:
>>
>>>
>>> The fact that the fcc has made this test so much more attainable is a
>>> good thing because it invites those (like myself) who decide to join
>>> this hobby as a result of the test being passable with a basic
>>> understanding of the radio spectrum and laws of using the spectrum for
>>> which you are licensed to use.
>>
>>
>>
>>If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?
> no they would not
>
> I can use CW well enough to save someone life with if that should
> occour
>>
>>
>>SC
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

3861. Re: Dumbing down licensing will just let in people that really don't want to be hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 23:03:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <i594f2l6hd0s7q5lmehbjunud6aamanjo9@4ax.com>
Source record: 6941
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 22:56:22 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Ok, what if you were stranded on a desert island, and had to use code to
>save someone's life?
well it would neer i don't ravel much
>Could you use the coconuts to build a radio in order to send said code?
surething the range would....suck
>And if you could build the radio out of coconuts, why not just build one
>with a mic and speak
>the miracle of life into being?

of course
>
>rb
>
>
><Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
>news:73ppe2l5nreqevfrrlnntp8oq8j13kfsf8@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 23 Aug 2006 23:25:48 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>mke65@yahoo.com wrote in news:6t9pe2l02j0cm946c2mv0ihebpajfnu728@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> The fact that the fcc has made this test so much more attainable is a
>>>> good thing because it invites those (like myself) who decide to join
>>>> this hobby as a result of the test being passable with a basic
>>>> understanding of the radio spectrum and laws of using the spectrum for
>>>> which you are licensed to use.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?
>> no they would not
>>
>> I can use CW well enough to save someone life with if that should
>> occour
>>>
>>>
>>>SC
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

>>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3862. Re: Dumbing down licensing will just let in people that really don't want to be hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 23:23:03 UTC
From: Jack Ricci <ricci@mnsi.net>
Message-ID: <ect9go02sf8@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 6943
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> Could you use the coconuts to build a radio in order to send said code?
"Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:qqpIg.7318$Xl5.4731@trnddc06...

...Remember the smoke signals...remember the smoke signals...remember the
smoke signals...

Jack

> Ok, what if you were stranded on a desert island, and had to use code to
> save someone's life?
> Could you use the coconuts to build a radio in order to send said code?
> And if you could build the radio out of coconuts, why not just build one
> with a mic and speak
> the miracle of life into being?
>
> rb
>
>
> <Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
> news:73ppe2l5nreqevfrrlnntp8oq8j13kfsf8@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 23 Aug 2006 23:25:48 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>mke65@yahoo.com wrote in news:6t9pe2l02j0cm946c2mv0ihebpajfnu728@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> The fact that the fcc has made this test so much more attainable is a
>>>> good thing because it invites those (like myself) who decide to join
>>>> this hobby as a result of the test being passable with a basic
>>>> understanding of the radio spectrum and laws of using the spectrum for
>>>> which you are licensed to use.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?
>> no they would not
>>
>> I can use CW well enough to save someone life with if that should
>> occour
>>>
>>>
>>>SC
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>
>

3863. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 23:31:06 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <_WpIg.1987$XD1.33@trnddc01>
Source record: 6942
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

And ever so slowly we creep up on the *actual* point....

pick a freq, pick a mode, pick a language, pick a moment in time.
Nothing is perfect and CW or no CW, it's about circumstance, not code.
Then again, you can back it up one more and acknowledge the foolishness of
the whole CW argument.
As it stands, hams are so crazy they somehow think they are the only hope
for mankind.
I pray that farce never becomes fact!

Everything has it's place.
Ham radio is a novelty. CW is a novelty within the same. That's all....
As long as there are 40wpm ops out there that can't program a radio, it's
just a bunch of
ding-dongs arguing amongst each other, looking like a bunch of ding-dongs.
And it goes both ways.... no reason to ditch CW but this "my tapper is
faster than your tapper"
stupidity is just phallic and pathetic. Which finally brings us to the
overall problem...
As usual, the last word in any hammy hashing is the same.
Control freaks needing to feel in control, and the subject matters not.
That's why these goofy threads carry on forever.

rb

"Dave Oldridge" <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote in message
news:Xns982888F69D0C1doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159...
> "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote in news:1o2Hg.19713$Te.3938@trnddc07:
>
>>
>> "Dave Oldridge" <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote in message
>> news:Xns9827B8D1F9112doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159...
>>> "Woody" <woody.shadetree@verizon.net> wrote in
>>> news:%RJGg.27319$uV.13889@trnddc08:
>>>
>>> Did someone drop you on your head at birth? The reason 50wpm can
>>> save lives is probably a bit complex for you to get both your
>>> functioning neurons around, but believe me, having done CW for a
>>> living for some decades I do know that it can save lives. And if
>>> you're faster than the average bear at it, you can tell someone on
>>> the scene things they need to know all that much faster.
>>>>
>>
>> Possibly, because try as I might, I can't really remember much about

>> that day.... I had pyloric stenosis, if that counts?
>>
>>> So apparently YOUR answer to this question is that you couldn't send
>>> your name if your own life depended on it.
>>
>> Now that's true... I'd require a CW setup of some kind in order to
>> send my name; or anything else for that matter.
>> Or as previously pointed out, hack up a headphone jack and tippy tap
>> the wires together. Either way, I don't see my life depending on it at
>> any time, so I'll just let my CW skills continue to rust.
>> However; your argument does make me wonder how non-hams even have a
>> chance at life in this world... ??
>>
>>>
>>> Believe me, I get it. I don't think CW ought to be mandatory and it
>>> isn't where I live. I do think people who intend to use it should
>>> learn how to use it properly, though. For CW to be effective, both
>>> operators must be competent. IF they are, they can often transcend
>>> barriers of language that only digital modes can get over. In my own
>>> case, the fact that I could read CW and read written Spanish a bit
>>> once enabled me to render aid to a burning fishing boat. (There were
>>> other more routine examples of where the language barrier was crossed
>>> by CW--many messages I copied were not in English at all, but were
>>> readable by their end recipients).
>>
>> OK.... so by your own words, CW still didn't save a life... CW mixed
>> with bad Spanish passed a message. So now we'll have to add a Spanish
>> test. Thanks a lot.
>
> My point is, my bad Spanish might not have recognized the word "fuego" if
> it was spoken fast among a lot of other words. But on CW it came across
> loud and clear.
>
>> As for the language thing.... I can copy voice language and hand it
>> off to another native just as easy and they'll figure it out too.
>> No CW necessary.
>
> Except you'll be a lot slower because you'll need phonetic spellings for
> everything. Believe me, I know. I've done this. For a living for many
> years.
>
>> BTW, I noticed you conveniently left out the specific year in which
>> said burning boat was offshore with an obsolete CW outfit, and how
>> your CW expertise put out a fire.... but I'm guessing we're talking
>> many a year ago, so again, a moot point.
>
> Not that long ago, really. Early 1990's if I remember.
>
>> Actually,
>> The boat thing in general is really killing me... If these numb-nuts

>> are offshore and not on the correct USCG freqs and/or unaware of how
>> to properly tune their radios in an emergency, then it isn't CW saving
>> lives, it's the grace of God that somebody happened to be on their
>> freq at that time. But again, what boats are out there with a CW
>> rig???? That's crazy, bubba. :-) rb
>
> This was on 500khz (and 484). CW was the mode of operation on those
> frequencies until well into the 90's. Cheap SSB radios were plentiful.
> So were some SITOR lashups. But what finally killed it was INMARSAT.
>
> So now, instead of getting nailed by solar flares on HF, you get nailed
> by them on INMARSAT and have to wait 6 to 9 months for a new launch.
> Meanwhile you're limping along on SSB using a phonetic alphabet to send
> traffic at a SLOWER rate.
>
> --
> Dave Oldridge+
> ICQ 1800667

3864. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 23:42:44 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <U5qIg.36408$NF3.27574@trnddc05>
Source record: 6944
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Well... no, I got the point just fine. I can't imagine being surrounded by Spanish-speaking people needing a code interp, or being on a CW freq anyway, while some emergency happens to be taking place. And yeah, I'm elite like that... I have a gift for gab... just like a monkey can be trained to tap out a code of communication, I can parrot what I hear. It [or 50wpm CW] isn't intelligence in any way, it's just a stupid circus trick. Once again..... this wasn't or isn't the issue anyway... No one said there were no pros to the mode, just that the whole "save a life" thing is laughable. This argument jumps from an issue of speed, then to the generation gap, then to simple name-calling banter. It's quite humorous and totally stupid. Kudos to the OP troll! LOL
rb

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:rujpe2dfg6a35matc3fnrsauotja9co4lk@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 23 Aug 2006 19:54:37 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>"Dave Oldridge" <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote in message
>>news:Xns9827B8D1F9112doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159...
>>> For CW to be effective, both operators
>>> must be competent. IF they are, they can often transcend barriers of
>>> language that only digital modes can get over. In my own case, the fact
>>> that I could read CW and read written Spanish a bit once enabled me to
>>> render aid to a burning fishing boat. (There were other more routine
>>> examples of where the language barrier was crossed by CW--many messages
>>> I
>>> copied were not in English at all, but were readable by their end
>>> recipients).
>
>>OK.... so by your own words, CW still didn't save a life... CW mixed with
>>bad Spanish passed a message. So now we'll have to add a Spanish test.
>>Thanks a lot.
>
> I think you missed the point. Even if you didn't know "ola" from
> "adios", you can copy Spanish in CW and hand it to the recipient, who
> can read it. Try that with a mic.
>
>>As for the language thing.... I can copy voice language and hand it off to
>>another native just as easy and they'll figure it out too.
>>No CW necessary.
>
> Really? You can write a spoken language you don't understand well
> enough to be read by someone who understands it? Maybe. Maybe not.

> In CW, you can.

3865. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 23:46:47 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <H9qIg.7330$Xl5.1688@trnddc06>
Source record: 6945
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Thank you.
rb

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Bc8Hg.14495$9T3.3995@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
> Al Klein wrote:
>> Really? You can write a spoken language you don't understand well
>> enough to be read by someone who understands it? Maybe. Maybe not.
>> In CW, you can.
>
> Spanish words are easy to write even if one doesn't
> understand them. In general, unlike English, there
> is usually only one possible way to pronounce and to
> spell a Spanish word. You hear "a-di-os". You write
> a-d-i-o-s. You don't need to know what it means.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3866. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 23:54:22 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <ectb52qsv1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 6946
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

--
Say no to institutionalized interference.
Just say NO to HD/IBOC!
"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
news:1156697054.668395.250710@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> The point I was trying to make is that the amateur radio hobby matured
> about 3 decades ago. It's membership is aging rapidly and the number
> of active participants is declining. As the baby boomer generation
> dies off at an increasing rate the amateur radio hobby will continue to
> fade away. Fiddling with the test requirements isn't going to magically
> entice younger blood away from the many other license-free ways of
> communicating. I would venture to say that you could open the ham
> bands completely CB style and not get a big jump in membership. There
> are just too many other interesting, easy to use ways of communicating
> that that younger people are accustomed to using. I wish it were
> otherwise.
>
> Making the ham radio test more of a challenge as you suggest misses the
> point completely. The point is that there will be a diminishing number
> of people with any interest becoming a ham, no matter what the
> requirements are.

Want to see interest in radio pique?? Open up a band specifically for
unlicensed broadcasting. Not CB, not ham, but actual broadcasting. I can see
tens of thousands rushing to get in on that one..

3867. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 23:55:45 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156722945.558120.140270@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6947
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Brenda Ann wrote:
> --

> Want to see interest in radio pique?? Open up a band specifically for
> unlicensed broadcasting. Not CB, not ham, but actual broadcasting. I can see
> tens of thousands rushing to get in on that one..
or even low requirments forlicense would draw quite well I expect

3868. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 23:56:09 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <tiqIg.880$N84.834@trnddc08>
Source record: 6948
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

And for the millionth time, all you control/speed freaks just don't get it..... If I could take down a message in CW at 200wpm in High German, guess what? It'll take me hours to locate a German to translate it. SO..... shot down yet again, a big burning ball of fire falling from the sky beepin' SOS till ya hit the water right next to a burning boat...

Let's get back on point please. The question was if you had to use CW to save a life, would that person die?
The answer is simple. If the person in question knows CW, then no, and if the person in question doesn't know CW, then yes.
How hard is that? Why can't everyone keep to the issue?
rb

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message news:4g7re29ghimo4ochmlh7v6mhv3qq1gsmej@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 24 Aug 2006 02:32:01 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>>Al Klein wrote:
>>> Really? You can write a spoken language you don't understand well
>>> enough to be read by someone who understands it? Maybe. Maybe not.
>>> In CW, you can.
>
>>Spanish words are easy to write even if one doesn't
>>understand them.
>
> Ever try taking dictation at 100wpm spoken speed in a foreign
> language? I have. Even in one I understand, it's difficult. In one
> I don't understand it's impossible. Maybe you're better than I am.
>
>> In general, unlike English, there
>>is usually only one possible way to pronounce and to
>>spell a Spanish word. You hear "a-di-os". You write
>>a-d-i-o-s. You don't need to know what it means.
>
> When it's spoken very quickly and in a panic, it's more like
> "adhyose". Understandable, if weird looking, to a Spaniard. Try some
> other languages, though - those you've never heard spoken before. See
> how well you do. Then see how well you do in CW ... oh, you already
> know that, don't you? -.-. is the same, whether it's hard, soft or
> unpronounced. Whether you put a cedilla on it or not, a Spaniard will
> understand it. So will a Turk, even though it sounds more like - -.-.
> But would you write "Con" for something that sounds like Tchonn?

3869. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 23:56:37 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ViqIg.4378$p23.3823@trnddc04>
Source record: 6949
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Murderer!
rb

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:M2jHg.10994$1f6.10742@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net...
> Al Klein wrote:
>> Ever try taking dictation at 100wpm spoken speed in a foreign
>> language? I have. Even in one I understand, it's difficult. In one
>> I don't understand it's impossible. Maybe you're better than I am.
>
> I can certainly write down Spanish spoken at my CW
> speed of 13 wpm which is the whole point. If one speaks
> faster, I may not be able to copy it. If one sends CW
> to me faster than 13 wpm, for sure I cannot copy it even
> in English.
> --
> 73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3870. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-27 23:58:45 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <ectbd9$r01$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 6950
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
news:1156697054.668395.250710@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> There
> are just too many other interesting, easy to use ways of communicating
> that that younger people are accustomed to using. I wish it were
> otherwise.

The problem with young people anymore is that they don't communicate face to face.. if it's not on the phone, or some instant messenger, they don't communicate at all.

3871. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 00:00:09 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <tec4f29henb0pv6bgbjkr8jggpdi771kod@4ax.com>
Source record: 6751
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 08:58:45 +0900, "Brenda Ann"
<brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:

>
>
>"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
>news:1156697054.668395.250710@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > There
>> are just too many other interesting, easy to use ways of communicating
>> that that younger people are accustomed to using. I wish it were
>> otherwise.
>
>The problem with young people anymore is that they don't communicate face to
>face.. if it's not on the phone, or some instant messenger, they don't
>communicate at all.
they don't want to comicate even then if the other party does n't want
to xactly agree with them all you have ot do is read RRAP to see that
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3872. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 00:00:17 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <lmqIg.36409$NF3.1216@trnddc05>
Source record: 6752
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hi-quality Maui Wowie bud, bra.... you know, da kine Mary-Jane....
rb

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:t53se2hscbon17j983lqu2lr7lj7v3aqln@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 24 Aug 2006 14:52:28 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>>Al Klein wrote:
>>> Ever try taking dictation at 100wpm spoken speed in a foreign
>>> language? I have. Even in one I understand, it's difficult. In one
>>> I don't understand it's impossible. Maybe you're better than I am.
>
>>I can certainly write down Spanish spoken at my CW
>>speed of 13 wpm
>
> Really? You listen to people speaking at 13 wpm? What are they on?
> It sounds like good stuff.

3873. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 00:08:40 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cuqIg.2641$nR2.1410@trnddc03>
Source record: 6753
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Ok.... you just ruined your whole argument.... you're now comparing
commercial and professional ops to amateur ops.
What is your point? The issue here is hobby radio operated by hobbyists.

The dark question has yet to be asked anyway... "Should amateur radio ops be
held to professional standards?"
Or maybe it's something about saving a life with CW... it's kinda muddy at
this point....

rb

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:jijse25f4a0kh8s97gt1daemi8q43mc6aq@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 24 Aug 2006 22:06:47 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>>Al Klein wrote:
>>> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>> I can certainly write down Spanish spoken at my CW
>>>> speed of 13 wpm
>>>
>>> Really? You listen to people speaking at 13 wpm? What are they on?
>>> It sounds like good stuff.
>>
>>Your objection was that I cannot write Spanish at 100 wpm.
>>I admit that but I know how to say "despacio" until they
>>slow down so I can write it down.
>
> As I said in another post, try that in Turkish. Or Latvian. Or any
> other language you don't know a single word of.
>
> But in CW, it doesn't matter - you write the letters as they come,
> whether you know what they mean or not. In the Navy, we had to read
> what we had copied to know what it said - the CW came in your ear and
> went out your fingers, you didn't pay attention to it. English? Who
> knew, until you read it?

3874. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 00:15:02 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aAqlg.1014$4O4.584@trnddc02>
Source record: 6754
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Excuse me? If you are going to operate on a worldwide basis, you should be
well versed on world languages so you don't have that problem. Ask any
hi-speed op and they should agree.
The funny part being most all foreign ops know English and most US natives
are small appliance bulbs in a world of Xenon driving lights.
rb

"an old friend" <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1156468552.726523.209080@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Al Klein wrote:
>> On Thu, 24 Aug 2006 22:06:47 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Al Klein wrote:
>> >> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >>> I can certainly write down Spanish spoken at my CW
>> >>> speed of 13 wpm
>> >>
>> >> Really? You listen to people speaking at 13 wpm? What are they on?
>> >> It sounds like good stuff.
>> >
>> >Your objection was that I cannot write Spanish at 100 wpm.
>> >I admit that but I know how to say "despacio" until they
>> >slow down so I can write it down.
>>
>> As I said in another post, try that in Turkish. Or Latvian. Or any
>> other language you don't know a single word of.
>
>>
>> But in CW, it doesn't matter - you write the letters as they come,
>> whether you know what they mean or not. In the Navy, we had to read
>> what we had copied to know what it said - the CW came in your ear and
>> went out your fingers, you didn't pay attention to it. English? Who
>> knew, until you read it?
> if you get a message in turkish in most of the USA you still have a
> useless message since where are yo going to find some that read the
> lang
>

3875. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 00:18:07 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3DqIg.891$N84.566@trnddc08>
Source record: 6755
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Git'em Cecil....

I'm still wondering why he thinks we'd be in the CW band in the first place.
I've killed millions with my lax attitude towards
listening in, and figure I'll be guilty of genocide before I'm done!
rb

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20tHg.17273$gY6.5766@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> Al Klein wrote:
>> As I said in another post, try that in Turkish. Or Latvian. Or any
>> other language you don't know a single word of.
>
> Sorry, you first challenged me to do that in Spanish.
> I have proved beyond any doubt that I can do that.
> Your need to suddenly change languages on me speaks
> volumes.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3876. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 00:24:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <vrd4f2d7cs9rhj7v4tarnbvgpg87ipdked@4ax.com>
Source record: 6756
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 00:18:07 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Git'em Cecil....
>
>I'm still wondering why he thinks we'd be in the CW band in the first place.
>I've killed millions with my lax attitude towards
>listening in, and figure I'll be guilty of genocide before I'm done!
>rb
becuase he needs to belive that CW is the sum total of Ham radio
>
>"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:20tHg.17273$gY6.5766@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
>> Al Klein wrote:
>>> As I said in another post, try that in Turkish. Or Latvian. Or any
>>> other language you don't know a single word of.
>>
>> Sorry, you first challenged me to do that in Spanish.
>> I have proved beyond any doubt that I can do that.
>> Your need to suddenly change languages on me speaks
>> volumes.
>> --
>> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3877. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 00:31:57 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1QqIg.1999$XD1.1838@trnddc01>
Source record: 6757
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LOL.... I assume you think you've made a point at any time?

It's a simple yes or no question....
If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?
Stay on point please.

Sheesh, why would we be in the CW band in the first place, and then why
taking down code or voice in a foreign language we don't understand?
That Mister, *must* be the epitome of ham....

You know, there are children starving in South America, Babies being
slaughtered at birth in India, and you
think some stupid ham op parked in a chair in poshville USA has a clue about
anything that really matters?
Oh! I know CW, I know CW! I passed a message once and saved the world! And
for the next 30 years I bumbled
around in my back yard drinking beer, eating donuts and doing nothing for
anyone except my own self.

Arrogant Americans lost in space.
That's thick.

rb

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:caste2livu1a8iqhpgd6hhfspi7ceki14j@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 25 Aug 2006 02:12:14 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>>Al Klein wrote:
>
> About your lack of content, yes.

3878. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 00:36:43 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <vUqIg.2006$pX3.1226@trnddc07>
Source record: 6758
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Yeah, yoo big chikin. LOL

I dare you to pick up a mic and do a radio test on 3.865
by saying "Beep, beep, beep, beeeeeeeep"!

rb

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1156523145.805735.80970@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Al Klein wrote:
>> On Fri, 25 Aug 2006 02:12:14 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Al Klein wrote:
>> >> As I said in another post, try that in Turkish. Or Latvian. Or any
>> >> other language you don't know a single word of.
>>
>> >Sorry, you first challenged me to do that in Spanish.
>>
>> David's choice was Spanish. My choice was a language one couldn't
>> understand. Pay attention.
>>
>> >I have proved beyond any doubt that I can do that.
>> >Your need to suddenly change languages on me speaks
>> >volumes.
>>
>> About your lack of content, yes.
> nope your efforts to keep chaning to rules
>
> it is tranperant as see though as your cowardly nature
>

3879. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 00:38:10 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <SVqIg.2007$pX3.416@trnddc07>
Source record: 6759
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

It's all in the same language... Eastern Deuphus.
rb

"Phil Wheeler" <wt6uh-ng7@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:z9_Hg.4994$S_5.2261@tornado.socal.rr.com...
> Cecil Moore wrote:
>> Al Klein wrote:
>>> As I said in another post, try that in Turkish. Or Latvian. Or any
>>> other language you don't know a single word of.
>>
>> Sorry, you first challenged me to do that in Spanish.
>> I have proved beyond any doubt that I can do that.
>> Your need to suddenly change languages on me speaks
>> volumes.
>
>
> But in what language? ;)

3880. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 00:38:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <7oe4f2lr255om8j04n73e8v9e4023rals4@4ax.com>
Source record: 6760
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 00:36:43 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Yeah, yoo big chikin. LOL
>
>I dare you to pick up a mic and do a radio test on 3.865
>by saying "Beep, beep, beep, beeeeeeeep"!
>
>rb
well as a etech I realy should not try that one
>
>"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1156523145.805735.80970@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3881. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 00:41:09 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <FYqIg.36416$NF3.28742@trnddc05>
Source record: 6761
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

And as previously stated, slow equals death. If you can't do 20wpm you
should stay off the bands.

rb

"Dave Oldridge" <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote in message
news:Xns982A73AF43229doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159...
> Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in
> news:rujpe2dfg6a35matc3fnrsauotja9co4lk@4ax.com:
>
>> On Wed, 23 Aug 2006 19:54:37 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>"Dave Oldridge" <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote in message
>>>news:Xns9827B8D1F9112doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159...
>>>> For CW to be effective, both operators
>>>> must be competent. IF they are, they can often transcend barriers
>>>> of language that only digital modes can get over. In my own case,
>>>> the fact that I could read CW and read written Spanish a bit once
>>>> enabled me to render aid to a burning fishing boat. (There were
>>>> other more routine examples of where the language barrier was
>>>> crossed by CW--many messages I copied were not in English at all,
>>>> but were readable by their end recipients).
>>
>>>OK.... so by your own words, CW still didn't save a life... CW mixed
>>>with bad Spanish passed a message. So now we'll have to add a Spanish
>>>test. Thanks a lot.
>>
>> I think you missed the point. Even if you didn't know "ola" from
>> "adios", you can copy Spanish in CW and hand it to the recipient, who
>> can read it. Try that with a mic.
>>
>>>As for the language thing.... I can copy voice language and hand it
>>>off to another native just as easy and they'll figure it out too.
>>>No CW necessary.
>>
>> Really? You can write a spoken language you don't understand well
>> enough to be read by someone who understands it? Maybe. Maybe not.
>> In CW, you can.
>
> I can do it (and have done so) using phonetics. But that's SLOWER than
> CW.
>
>
> --

> Dave Oldridge+
> ICQ 1800667

3882. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 00:42:38 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <2_qIg.2009$pX3.1822@trnddc07>
Source record: 6762
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Eh? Now you say its ok to be slow? Make up your mind will ya? People are
dying while you decide!
rb

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:htiue2dqqurlttil79ka6apngndip080d9@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 25 Aug 2006 18:22:21 GMT, Dave Oldridge
> <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote:
>
>>Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in
>>news:rujpe2dfg6a35matc3fnrsauotja9co4lk@4ax.com:
>
>>> Really? You can write a spoken language you don't understand well
>>> enough to be read by someone who understands it? Maybe. Maybe not.
>>> In CW, you can.
>
>>I can do it (and have done so) using phonetics. But that's SLOWER than
>>CW.
>
> You're preaching to the choir, Dave. I've had to handle foreign
> language traffic phonetically by voice and by CW - and I much prefer
> CW for that kind of work, even though I prefer voice for most
> rag-chewing. As you say, needing it spelled out is quite slow.

3883. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 00:49:17 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <h4rIg.900$N84.154@trnddc08>
Source record: 6763
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Dave Oldridge" <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote in message
news:Xns9828881EDA0doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159...
>
> Not an exact date, though it's probably in the archives of the Canadian
> Coast Guard, my employer at the time.

Bam... burnin' beepin' ball of fire trailing smoke to the white bubbly patch
in the ocean.... [next to the beepin' burnin' boat]...

Let's get with it people.... are we have a commercial radio argument or an
amateur radio argument???

If commercial then we all agree, argument is moot.

If amateur, it doesn't matter as it's just a hobby, argument is moot.

Make up your mind folks. Either this is a stupid argument or it's a stupid
point to argue.
[hint: its the one that's stupid]
rb

3884. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 00:49:18 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <i4rlg.901$N84.191@trnddc08>
Source record: 6764
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

So did your guy die or not?
rb

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:COOdnQlYG6HvTnHZnZ2dnUVZ_oGdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
> "Dave Oldridge" <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote in message
> news:Xns9828881EDA0doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159...
>
> [snip]
>
>>
>> Now, today, we have such things a PSK31 to do much of the grunt work.
>> That will work as well as CW in most cases, I find.
>>
>
> Don't forget thought that solar flares and especially the aurora they
> create induce a phase shift in signals and that wipes out PSK31.
>
> Dee, N8UZE
>

3885. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 00:55:01 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <F9rIg.904$N84.50@trnddc08>
Source record: 6765
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I thought it was illegal to change modes during the EMF stage... ??
You should be able to remodulate your carrier to offset the gravimetric
effect on the signal
as it nears subspace. I scavenged a modulator wheel from an electronic piano
and work it with the left
hand whilst straight-keying with my right, as obviously this can't be done
with a keyer or bug of any kind.
Manual manipulation of each character's component time/phase is a must to
get a synch.
rb

"Dave Oldridge" <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote in message
news:Xns982A7363CDCBCdoldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159...
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
> news:COOdnQlYG6HvTnHZnZ2dnUVZ_oGdnZ2d@comcast.com:
>
>>
>> "Dave Oldridge" <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote in message
>> news:Xns9828881EDA0doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159...
>>
>> [snip]
>>
>>>
>>> Now, today, we have such things a PSK31 to do much of the grunt work.
>>> That will work as well as CW in most cases, I find.
>>>
>>
>> Don't forget thought that solar flares and especially the aurora they
>> create induce a phase shift in signals and that wipes out PSK31.
>
> True, I've never had much success during 2 metere aurora openings with
> anything BUT CW. It ain't CW by the time it gets to the other end, but
> it's still readable there.
>
>
> --
> Dave Oldridge+
> ICQ 1800667

3886. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:00:56 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cfrlg.2016$pX3.1819@trnddc07>
Source record: 6766
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

<Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
news:2rkpe2pidhmd1ra6chkcrql0hj9h0l91db@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 23 Aug 2006 20:22:53 GMT, Dave Oldridge
> <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote:

> where did you encounter total stupidity? I missed it I saw someone
>
>>

Uhhhh..... Start with the OP and read to this point or beyond....

rb

3887. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:03:21 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <thrIg.2006$XD1.1601@trnddc01>
Source record: 6767
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Good call. Admit nothing, deny everything.

Hey, if you had to use CW to make chicken salad for a starving Latvian,
would that person starve to death?
rb

> I'm not responsible for your perceptual difficulties.
>
>
>
> --
> Dave Oldridge+
> ICQ 1800667

3888. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:05:49 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Njrlg.36417$NF3.24314@trnddc05>
Source record: 6768
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I think I saw him at a Star Trek convention once, does that count?
rb

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1156382321.909740.191980@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Tues, Aug 22 2006 7:14 pm
>
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: M...@kb9rqz.com on Mon, Aug 21 2006 6:30 pm
>>> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
>>> >>From: an old friend on Mon, Aug 21 2006 3:16 pm
>>> >>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> >>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Aug 20 2006 2:57 pm
>
>
>>> Robesin is merely a product of the "incentive" licensing
>>> system where all those who hunger for being a "somebody"
>>> can get a Title - Rank - Privilege through a singular skill.
>>
>>If it doesn't have rank or a uniform, Robesin isn't interested.
>
> That certainly seems the case. The "uniform" is his
> emperor's new clothes...
>
>>> I didn't make that system, neither did you, neither did
>>> anyone in these four forums. The FCC took a big chunk out
>>> of it (license classes and morsemanship skill) with the
>>> Restructuring of 2000 and that pissed off the Title-Rank-
>>> Status seekers. Devout morsemen are angry and venting steam
>>> because their self-esteem has fallen.
>>
>>Only in their minds. They are the very same good or bad hams that they
>>were with all the layers of hamdom.
>
> All of those "layers" hams are examples to the general public,
> good or bad or indifferent. So?
>
> "Self-esteem" is a nice-nice word for EGO tied in with self-
> perception. Trying to represent themselves as "expert" radio
> persons in this new millennium is a rather stupid idea of those
> devout morsepersons when they want to force the FCC to keep the

> code test.
>
> Those who LIKE morse code should, and can, go on using it. That
> is in no sense any validity for making it an amateur radio test
> requirement for a license.
>
>
>>> Simply amazing. EIGHTEEN years alleged on active duty and
>>> he can't supply a single photo or document to support his
>>> claim? In November of this year I can truthfully say I've
>>> been in the southern California aerospace business 50 years.
>>> I have all sorts of documentation and photos on that which
>>> I may fully digitize some day (some are already digitized).
>>> Some time ago I posted my resume in here...which only made
>>> Robesin ballistic then since he has NO comparable
>>> experience in industry and cannot prove any radio experience
>>> other than amateur and alleged "chief operator" status at
>>> some small MARS station long ago. [that was before his
>>> less-than-a-half-year as a purchasing agent at a small
>>> set top box maker]
>>
>>Yet as "chief operator" or ANCOIC of NMC MARS on Okinawa, he remains
>>woefully ignorant of MARS. I just don't get it.
>
> It's easy to "get." He wasn't what he says he was. He hasn't
> supplied a single bit of evidence to prove his claims.
>
>
>>> In another recent post, Robesin keeps referring to a "CV."
>>> That's an acronym for the Latin 'curriculum vitae,' a list
>>> of life experiences (education, work experience).
>>
>>Maybe he meant "constant velocity" as in "CV joints" because he's
>>always "spun up" about one thing or another.
>
> He tries to "spin" his claims to be the truth. Still no
> evidence presented.
>
> Robesin used the wrong word/acronym for a RESUME' presented
> as part of an interview for a job.
>
>>Robesin an academic? Not in this lifetime.
>>
>>It's just his inappropriate use of what to him are important sounding
>>words and acronyms.
>
> Some of those he makes up as he goes along.
>
>>The rec.radio newsgroups have showcased Robesin. He HAS earned his
>>reputation. He's worked very hard for it.

>
> He's hardly worked in radio.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

3889. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:12:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <7og4f2pcr8p4hd67o7uht9a75gnsmvqh75@4ax.com>
Source record: 6770
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 20:20:29 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>Uh, wanna try that again? This time use English.
you got it the first time wisemen
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3890. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:15:04 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ssrIg.7344$Xl5.5696@trnddc06>
Source record: 6771
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>Never ever saw a
>CAP in a flight suit before robesin's well advertised home page

Big blue jumpsuits... never seen anything else...

>, and a male nurses uniform.

Wanna explain what a male nurses uniform is??

>
> > Thought he had a "killer" job as a male nurse?

Wow, that sounds rather condescending....

>
>> Oh, I don't know. After a hard day behind the microphone, he's got
> that 1,000 yard stare.
>

Yeah, those "real" radio ops really have it tough, don't they? There should
be a reality show called
"Two-Way Trauma: Life in the radio room."

rb

3891. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:17:21 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Burlg.1034$4O4.509@trnddc02>
Source record: 6773
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Since I don't know this Robitussin guy, I really can't say anything in reply
to this post....
rb

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1156455284.028084.184240@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Aug 23 2006 7:46 pm
>
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Tues, Aug 22 2006 7:14 pm
>>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> >> From: M...@kb9rqz.com on Mon, Aug 21 2006 6:30 pm
>>> >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
>>> >> >>From: an old friend on Mon, Aug 21 2006 3:16 pm
>>> >> >>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> >> >>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Aug 20 2006 2:57
>>> >> >>>> pm
>>
>>> >> >>>Robesin is merely a product of the "incentive" licensing
>>> >> >>>system where all those who hunger for being a "somebody"
>>> >> >>>can get a Title - Rank - Privilege through a singular skill.
>>
>>> >> >If it doesn't have rank or a uniform, Robesin isn't interested.
>>
>>> >> That certainly seems the case. The "uniform" is his
>>> >> emperor's new clothes...
>>
>>>Lessee. He's got a Marine uniform (he says). A Tennessee State Guard
>>>uniform (whatever that is), an ill-fitting Air Force CAP flight suit
>>>uniform, and a male nurses uniform. And he has lots of military medals
>>>that he never earned (according to him).
>>
>>You know, whenever I saw folks in the CAP uniform at any Air Force
>>Base, including Maxwell, they work the 4b or Class A. Never ever saw a
>>CAP in a flight suit before robesin's well advertised home page.
>
> In all my visits to USAF bases I've never seen any CAP
> personnel there, let alone some in a poopy suit. I've seen
> several civilians on USAF bases, employed by the USAF, wearing
> flight suits and clearly identified as to being civilian.
>
>

>>> >> I didn't make that system, neither did you, neither did
>>> >> anyone in these four forums. The FCC took a big chunk out
>>> >> of it (license classes and morsemanship skill) with the
>>> >> Restructuring of 2000 and that pissed off the Title-Rank-
>>> >> Status seekers. Devout morsemen are angry and venting steam
>>> >> because their self-esteem has fallen.
>>
>>> >Only in their minds. They are the very same good or bad hams that they
>>> >were with all the layers of hamdom.
>>
>>> All of those "layers" hams are examples to the general public,
>>> good or bad or indifferent. So?
>>
>>> "Self-esteem" is a nice-nice word for EGO tied in with self-
>>> perception. Trying to represent themselves as "expert" radio
>>> persons in this new millennium is a rather stupid idea of those
>>> devout morsepersons when they want to force the FCC to keep the
>>> code test.
>>
>>Some do. Some don't. robesin does.
>
> He's a bad example for the morsemen.
>
>
>>> Those who LIKE morse code should, and can, go on using it.
>>
>>Roger.
>
> Roger that. <salute>
>
>
>>> That
>>> is in no sense any validity for making it an amateur radio test
>>> requirement for a license.
>>
>>No valid reason to maintain it as a barrier to the ARS.
>
> Oh, oh...in the PC (Political Correctness) of morsedom,
> the code test cannot possibly ever be a "barrier."
>
> ALL "good hams" should WORK for their AMATEUR licenses!
>
> Those that won't are worse than river-bottom slime...:-)
>
> Trying to speak logically, the FCC grants amateur licenses
> in the USA and even they proposed (via an NPRM) to eliminate
> the amateur radio morse code test for a license. FCC is on
> record of a couple decades ago that this singular manual
> skill test does not tell them if a license applicant is
> worthy of a federal license.

>
>
>>> >> Simply amazing. EIGHTEEN years alleged on active duty and
>>> >> he can't supply a single photo or document to support his
>>> >> claim? In November of this year I can truthfully say I've
>>> >> been in the southern California aerospace business 50 years.
>>> >> I have all sorts of documentation and photos on that which
>>> >> I may fully digitize some day (some are already digitized).
>>> >> Some time ago I posted my resume in here...which only made
>>> >> Robesin ballistic then since he has NO comparable
>>> >> experience in industry and cannot prove any radio experience
>>> >> other than amateur and alleged "chief operator" status at
>>> >> some small MARS station long ago. [that was before his
>>> >> less-than-a-half-year as a purchasing agent at a small
>>> >> set top box maker]
>>
>>> >Yet as "chief operator" or ANCOIC of NMC MARS on Okinawa, he remains
>>> >woefully ignorant of MARS. I just don't get it.
>>
>>> It's easy to "get." He wasn't what he says he was. He hasn't
>>> supplied a single bit of evidence to prove his claims.
>>
>>Now it all makes sense.
>
> It was all one great big super-brag.
>
>
>
>>> Robesin used the wrong word/acronym for a RESUME' presented
>>> as part of an interview for a job.
>>
>>Thought he had a "killer" job as a male nurse?
>
> God forbid!
>
>
>>> >Robesin an academic? Not in this lifetime.
>>
>>> >It's just his inappropriate use of what to him are important sounding
>>> >words and acronyms.
>>
>>> Some of those he makes up as he goes along.
>>
>>Probably how his career in the Marines was invented.
>
> Well, he might have been in the reserves?
>
>
>>> >The rec.radio newsgroups have showcased Robesin. He HAS earned his
>>> >reputation. He's worked very hard for it.

>>
>>> He's hardly worked in radio.
>>
>>Oh, I don't know. After a hard day behind the microphone, he's got
>>that 1,000 yard stare.
>
> That's also a symptom of anoxia...lack of oxygen used up in
> his bragging of what he did that never was... :-)
>
> Tsk, all that work he does in trying to bluff us. All he had to
> do was present SOME sort of document proof or even a personal
> snapshot taken while in that "hostile-action-filled" 18 year
> "career" in the USMC. He hasn't done so after many years.
>
> If he can't present a single item of 18 years of his life, it
> is hard for the rest of us to believe anything he said.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

3892. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:19:06 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ewrlg.36420$NF3.26006@trnddc05>
Source record: 6774
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Me either.
rb

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1156469995.033080.20480@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> RLW wrote:
>> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>> news:1156455284.028084.184240@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Aug 23 2006 7:46 pm
>>
>>
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Tues, Aug 22 2006 7:14 pm
>> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> From: M...@kb9rqz.com on Mon, Aug 21 2006 6:30 pm
>> >> >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
>> >> >> >>From: an old friend on Mon, Aug 21 2006 3:16 pm
>> >> >> >>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Aug 20 2006 2:57
>> >> >> >>>> pm
>> >> >
>> >> >> Robesin is merely a product of the "incentive" licensing
>> >> >> system where all those who hunger for being a "somebody"
>> >> >> can get a Title - Rank - Privilege through a singular skill.
>> >> >
>> >> >If it doesn't have rank or a uniform, Robesin isn't interested.
>> >>
>> >> That certainly seems the case. The "uniform" is his
>> >> emperor's new clothes...
>> >>
>> >Lessee. He's got a Marine uniform (he says). A Tennessee State Guard
>> >uniform (whatever that is), an ill-fitting Air Force CAP flight suit
>> >uniform, and a male nurses uniform. And he has lots of military medals
>> >that he never earned (according to him).
>> >
>> >You know, whenever I saw folks in the CAP uniform at any Air Force
>> >Base, including Maxwell, they work the 4b or Class A. Never ever saw a
>> >CAP in a flight suit before robesin's well advertised home page.
>>
>> In all my visits to USAF bases I've never seen any CAP
>> personnel there, let alone some in a poopy suit. I've seen
>> several civilians on USAF bases, employed by the USAF, wearing

>> flight suits and clearly identified as to being civilian.
>
> Saturdays. They bring the kids in for a tour and a meal at the chow
> hall.
>
>> >Oh, I don't know. After a hard day behind the microphone, he's got
>> >that 1,000 yard stare.
>>
>> That's also a symptom of anoxia...lack of oxygen used up in
>> his bragging of what he did that never was... :-)
>>
>> Tsk, all that work he does in trying to bluff us. All he had to
>> do was present SOME sort of document proof or even a personal
>> snapshot taken while in that "hostile-action-filled" 18 year
>> "career" in the USMC. He hasn't done so after many years.
>>
>> If he can't present a single item of 18 years of his life, it
>> is hard for the rest of us to believe anything he said.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>> Well, doggone it, Lennie. Next thing ya know he'll be posting over the
>> callsign of another Amateur.
>>
>> Nope...ya just cain't believe guys like that, can you Len?
>
> I don't believe his bs.
>

3893. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:20:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <r5h4f2dssjq1prs7di8h65bd7q3ipbn86m@4ax.com>
Source record: 6775
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 01:17:21 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Since I don't know this Robitussin guy, I really can't say anything in reply
>to this post....
>rb
count that amoug so blessings
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3894. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:20:29 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <8q5bf.gvu.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 6769
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

they don't want to comicate even then if the other party does n't want
to xactly agree with them all you have ot do is read RRAP to see that

Uh, wanna try that again? This time use English.

3895. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:20:37 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <FxrIg.36421$NF3.4483@trnddc05>
Source record: 6776
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

So you guys got sidetracked and missed the CW call so both your guys died.
You are the weakest link.
Goodbye.
rb

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1156478196.340076.306810@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> rom: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Thurs, Aug 24 2006 6:39 pm
>
>
>> <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>> news:1156455284.028084.184240@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Aug 23 2006 7:46 pm
>>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Tues, Aug 22 2006 7:14 pm
>>> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> >> >> From: M...@kb9rqz.com on Mon, Aug 21 2006 6:30 pm
>>> >> >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
>>> >> >> >>From: an old friend on Mon, Aug 21 2006 3:16 pm
>>> >> >> >>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> >> >> >>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Aug 20 2006
>>> >> >> >>>> 2:57 pm
>
>
>>> In all my visits to USAF bases I've never seen any CAP
>>> personnel there, let alone some in a poopy suit. I've seen
>>> several civilians on USAF bases, employed by the USAF, wearing
>>> flight suits and clearly identified as to being civilian.
>>
>>Saturdays. They bring the kids in for a tour and a meal at the chow
>>hall.
>
> OK, that explains it. :-) If I was on-site for some company
> business, I wouldn't be there on weekends. :-)
>
>>> >Oh, I don't know. After a hard day behind the microphone, he's got
>>> >that 1,000 yard stare.
>>
>>> That's also a symptom of anoxia...lack of oxygen used up in
>>> his bragging of what he did that never was... :-)
>>
>>> Tsk, all that work he does in trying to bluff us. All he had to
>>> do was present SOME sort of document proof or even a personal

>>> snapshot taken while in that "hostile-action-filled" 18 year
>>> "career" in the USMC. He hasn't done so after many years.
>>
>>> If he can't present a single item of 18 years of his life, it
>>> is hard for the rest of us to believe anything he said.
>>
>>I don't believe his bs.
>
> Any rational, sane person can't believe his claims. Hopefully,
> that is most of us reading some of the garbage going on in here
> now.
>
> I found it uproarious that Robeson tried to cover up his NOT
> naming a single military radio that was operational during his
> alleged 18-year "USMC career," claiming "all the information is
> classified!" :-)
>
> Absolute bullshit. The names, ID, functions have all been in
> public view...the 'Public' being the makers or those wanting to
> get in on an RFQ (Request for Quote) being advertised by the
> DoD. Even though I never operated (as a civilian) anything
> more than an old ARC-27 or PRC-119 SINCGARS, all the military
> radios operational between the times of those two are easily
> recognizeable to me (well, the VRCs have lots of differences
> between families but the same case and general form). The
> operating manuals are NOT classified, just in limited
> distribution. LOGSA the Logistics Supply Agency is busy making
> CDs of all the printed manuals for darn near ALL military
> equipment; it's a piece of cake to pop one of those CDs in an
> ever-present military PC and read them. LOGSA has a website
> and even civilians can download some of the older equipment's
> manuals. LOGSA has some internal priority on what can be
> downloaded (depending on the cookie generated by a non-military
> PC). That was a tip I got from rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors
> and rec.radio.amateur.homebrew. The nomenclatures and quick-
> look facts are on a couple websites in a long, long, long list.
> Even BAMA has some manuals for free download plus big link
> lists for other sites that have them.
>
> Robesin DID list some (questionable) nomenclatures for MARS
> equipment once but NOTHING else. That kind of info can be
> had from other hams' personal websites. MARS doesn't
> normally talk about regular military tactical radio gear.
> MARS doesn't normally use such. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

3896. Alot of people don't want to be knowledgable about radio. You can hear'em on 11 meters.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:21:06 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <6yrlg.11025$Qf.7593@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6777
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:12f29nfjc9t8r9b@corp.supernews.com:

> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:xi4Ig.10673$Qf.1376@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> "DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:12evs30n0kd4iba@corp.supernews.com:
>>
>>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>>> news:X4MHg.13926$xp2.5426@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>> "DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>> news:12esmmfqqoi2e07@corp.supernews.com:
>>>>
>>>>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>>>>> news:wEqHg.911$xQ1.795@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Let's keep the (BITCHSLAPPED)
>>>
>>> Like I said, we don't want to be hams or we would already have tickets
>>> you slow witted troll.
>>
>>
>>
>> Breaker, Breaker, Breaker, anyone got their ears on, kick it on back to
>> Mr Death, the big dog around town.
>>
>
> Again your lack of knowledge makes you look the fool. Quit watching your
> copy of Convoy.

3897. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:21:54 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <SyrIg.4457$p23.3371@trnddc04>
Source record: 6778
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I saw a C-130 fly almost directly over my house last year. Couldn't see who
was in it though...
rb

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1156549551.368941.58010@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> from: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Thurs, Aug 24 2006 6:39 pm
>> > <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>> > news:1156455284.028084.184240@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Aug 23 2006 7:46 pm
>> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Tues, Aug 22 2006 7:14 pm
>> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >> From: M...@kb9rqz.com on Mon, Aug 21 2006 6:30 pm
>> >> >> >> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
>> >> >> >> >>From: an old friend on Mon, Aug 21 2006 3:16 pm
>> >> >> >> >>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >> >>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Aug 20 2006
>> >> >> >> >>>> 2:57 pm
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> In all my visits to USAF bases I've never seen any CAP
>> >> personnel there, let alone some in a poopy suit. I've seen
>> >> several civilians on USAF bases, employed by the USAF, wearing
>> >> flight suits and clearly identified as to being civilian.
>> >
>> >Saturdays. They bring the kids in for a tour and a meal at the chow
>> >hall.
>>
>> OK, that explains it. :-) If I was on-site for some company
>> business, I wouldn't be there on weekends. :-)
>
> I attended 3 weeks of CWPC training at Maxwell AFB in Montgomery,
> Alabama. It hosts CAP HQ. Didn't see any CAP uniforms there, either.
>
> Odd, though. The new owner of robesin's old vanity callsign, K4CAP,
> resides in Montgomery, Alabama.
>
>> >> >Oh, I don't know. After a hard day behind the microphone, he's got
>> >> >that 1,000 yard stare.
>> >

>> >> That's also a symptom of anoxia...lack of oxygen used up in
>> >> his bragging of what he did that never was... :-)
>> >
>> >> Tsk, all that work he does in trying to bluff us. All he had to
>> >> do was present SOME sort of document proof or even a personal
>> >> snapshot taken while in that "hostile-action-filled" 18 year
>> >> "career" in the USMC. He hasn't done so after many years.
>> >
>> >> If he can't present a single item of 18 years of his life, it
>> >> is hard for the rest of us to believe anything he said.
>> >
>> >I don't believe his bs.
>>
>> Any rational, sane person can't believe his claims. Hopefully,
>> that is most of us reading some of the garbage going on in here
>> now.
>
> Some of the whacko Anon's have championed robesin's cause, whatever it
> might be.
>
>> I found it uproarious that Robeson tried to cover up his NOT
>> naming a single military radio that was operational during his
>> alleged 18-year "USMC career," claiming "all the information is
>> classified!" :-)
>
> Scuse me while I blow the pepsi out of my nose.
>
>> Absolute bullshit. The names, ID, functions have all been in
>> public view...the 'Public' being the makers or those wanting to
>> get in on an RFQ (Request for Quote) being advertised by the
>> DoD. Even though I never operated (as a civilian) anything
>> more than an old ARC-27 or PRC-119 SINCGARS, all the military
>> radios operational between the times of those two are easily
>> recognizeable to me (well, the VRCs have lots of differences
>> between families but the same case and general form). The
>> operating manuals are NOT classified, just in limited
>> distribution. LOGSA the Logistics Supply Agency is busy making
>> CDs of all the printed manuals for darn near ALL military
>> equipment; it's a piece of cake to pop one of those CDs in an
>> ever-present military PC and read them. LOGSA has a website
>> and even civilians can download some of the older equipment's
>> manuals. LOGSA has some internal priority on what can be
>> downloaded (depending on the cookie generated by a non-military
>> PC). That was a tip I got from rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors
>> and rec.radio.amateur.homebrew. The nomenclatures and quick-
>> look facts are on a couple websites in a long, long, long list.
>> Even BAMA has some manuals for free download plus big link
>> lists for other sites that have them.
>
> Too much work for robesin. So he just "classified" everything. He was

> definitely confused by Major Vincent and his key on a necklace. Hah!
>
>> Robesin DID list some (questionable) nomenclatures for MARS
>> equipment once but NOTHING else. That kind of info can be
>> had from other hams' personal websites. MARS doesn't
>> normally talk about regular military tactical radio gear.
>> MARS doesn't normally use such. :-)
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> If he was involved in MARS, it was probably just to eavesdrop on morale
> calls from a lonely GI to his wife or girlfriend back home.
>

3898. Re: Dumbing down licensing will just let in people that really don't want to be hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:22:35 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <vzrlg.11036$Qf.9639@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6779
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote in news:qqpIg.7318$Xl5.4731@trnddc06:

> Ok, what if you were stranded on a desert island, and had to use code to
> save someone's life?
> Could you use the coconuts to build a radio in order to send said code?
> And if you could build the radio out of coconuts, why not just build one
> with a mic and speak
> the miracle of life into being?
>
> rb

Markie could. He learned out to do it watching Gilligans Island.

SC

3899. I blame the ARRL, Mark, Roger, and Lloyd for all the homosexual posts here. Please Read.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:22:57 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Rzrlg.11040$Qf.8220@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6781
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

ARRL threw principle out the window when they caved in to the demands of the Lambda Amateur Radio Club (LARC). It was a sad day for me indeed when I opened my beloved QST magazine and there on the pages were photos of queers on a Tropical DXpedition, and in living color no less. The ARRL wanted us to embrace a sick perverted lifestyle and be one big happy family. To me, it was the spark that signaled the death of a once proud organization, and it turned liberal.

Now the queers have all but taken over, they're like the military wing of the Democratic party, and always in your face. It's not even safe to take your kids to Easter egg hunts anymore. I know you're all going to disagree with me, but a man touching and sucking on another man's cock and screwing his ass just ain't right. The ARRL promoted this, now look what happened to our radio groups now that Mark, Roger, and Lloyd have come out of the closet.

SC

3900. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:23:00 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Uzrlg.11041$Qf.486@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6780
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in
news:ectb52qsv1@news2.kornet.net:

> --
> Say no to institutionalized interference.
> Just say NO to HD/IBOC!
> "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
> news:1156697054.668395.250710@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> The point I was trying to make is that the amateur radio hobby matured
>> about 3 decades ago. It's membership is aging rapidly and the number
>> of active participants is declining. As the baby boomer generation
>> dies off at an increasing rate the amateur radio hobby will continue to
>> fade away. Fiddling with the test requirements isn't going to magically
>> entice younger blood away from the many other license-free ways of
>> communicating. I would venture to say that you could open the ham
>> bands completely CB style and not get a big jump in membership. There
>> are just too many other interesting, easy to use ways of communicating
>> that that younger people are accustomed to using. I wish it were
>> otherwise.
>>
>> Making the ham radio test more of a challenge as you suggest misses the
>> point completely. The point is that there will be a diminishing number
>> of people with any interest becoming a ham, no matter what the
>> requirements are.
>
> Want to see interest in radio pique?? Open up a band specifically for
> unlicensed broadcasting. Not CB, not ham, but actual broadcasting. I can
> see tens of thousands rushing to get in on that one..

That because they're all appliance operators, they don't want to put forth
an effort to learn and it will end up sounding like CB.

SC

3901. If you had to use microphone to save Markies life, would use CW instead?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:23:02 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Wzrlg.11042$Qf.7231@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6782
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1156635164.623729.325600@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> news:1156612522.790308.313150@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com:
> more kookery

3902. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:23:05 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ZzrIg.11043$Qf.731@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6783
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

The Kat <new1@katxyzkave.net> wrote in
news:rph2f25kom1gtlpp8hnebpsdr69tum5i4i@4ax.com:

> On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 01:06:22 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
> wrote:
>
>
>
> WHY in the FUCK are you continuing this thread
> in a SCANNER newsgroup??
>
>
>
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

Hey,

This thread is about CW and ham radio. You scanner assholes stay
out of it. Go find some police or fireman to listen to.

Sc

3903. NoCode Techs should have to pass the CW test in a year or get booted.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:23:06 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <_zrIg.11044$Qf.10314@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6784
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1156635009.999454.106990@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life, but you should
>> learn it anyway just in case.
> why?
>
> why is the fantastic ly unlikely something you should eb prepared for?

3904. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:23:17 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <9ArIg.11050$Qf.8781@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6785
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Steve" <radio1@gmail.com> wrote in
news:6L4Ig.42248$1Z5.14933@twister.nyroc.rr.com:

> YAWN....

11 meters dead?

> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:Qh4Ig.10669$Qf.7020@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life, but you should
>> learn it anyway just in case. Ham radio is like a spare tire, when you
>> need it you hope it's not flat. CW is like the air in the tire. I know
>> I don't ever want to hear someone say: "Why couldn't you get help, I
>> though hams were supposed to know morse code."
>>
>> Sc
>
>
>

3905. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:23:19 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <bArIg.11051$Qf.5462@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6786
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks

Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in news:cUkIg.1042$Cq4.890
@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> Keep this crap out of rec.radio.amateur.policy!!!
>
>:-) rec.radio.amateur.policy was created to keep this
> crap off the other more technical newsgroups.

ROFLMAO

That was good.

3906. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:23:21 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <dArlg.11052$Qf.3183@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6787
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks

"labtech1" <labtech1@alltel.net> wrote in
news:86ce7$44f1f061$438c601a$23211@ALLTEL.NET:

> I refuse to get involved in such an utterly ridiculous discussion, any
> one who thinks CW as a 'modern' form of communications and thinks it
> needs to be learned 'just in case' should also have a horse handy
> 'just in case' he runs out of gas or his car breaks down.
>
> Slow Code, its now the 21st century, learning and knowing CW (or Morse
> Code, which WAS a modern form of communications during the Civil War),
> should retire to his room and listen to his massive collection of 45 &
> 33 rpm records.
>
> Also I'm not impressed with your cross posting of this
> conversation..... plainly put your a TROLL

Okay.

I'll put you down as a No for CW. Thanks for helping with the survey.

SC

3907. Re: Alot of people don't want to be knowledgable about radio. You can hear'em on 11 meters.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:24:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <fdh4f29ipetcukifqg57v1r1m6okoe33g2@4ax.com>
Source record: 6788
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 01:21:06 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

just reporting with anew header troll
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3908. gay bashing trol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:26:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <ugh4f2970cvesmf1s4hinj0bitlg9vpaq4@4ax.com>
Source record: 6789
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 01:22:57 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>ARRL threw principle out the window when they caved in to the demands of
>the Lambda Amateur Radio Club (LARC).
back to your gay ashing I see
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3909. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:26:40 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <8q5n3.ien.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 6772
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:FYqIg.36416$NF3.28742@trnddc05...
And as previously stated, slow equals death. If you can't do 20wpm you
should stay off the bands.

rb

Aw, fer Chrissake Woody, kwit yer cryin' and whinin'.

3910. Re: NoCode Techs should have to pass the CW test in a year or get booted.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:26:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <4ih4f2tobvhvmimrv84knus16ibiorlq1h@4ax.com>
Source record: 6790
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 01:23:06 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
wrote:NoCode Techs should have to pass the CW test in a year or get
booted.
yes we know you want to kill the ars
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3911. Re: If you had to use microphone to save Markies life, would use CW instead?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:27:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <7jh4f2drip43pbscm57obu6i5su35t69g5@4ax.com>
Source record: 6791
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 01:23:02 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:If you had to use microphone to save Markies life, would use CW instead?

we knwo you are filled with hate for someone you have never met
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3912. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:30:58 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <mHrIg.2026$pX3.1283@trnddc07>
Source record: 6792
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1156469420.301419.134990@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>

>
> I knew a SSgt that was also a Major. When he had on his Major Uniform,
> he strutted around escorting the JrCAPs to the chow hall to show them
> how it's really done.
>

Cool, so he could wear one of each rank per shoulder and you can just call
him Sgt. Major.

>> >> >Yet as "chief operator" or ANCOIC of NMC MARS on Okinawa, he remains
>> >> >woefully ignorant of MARS. I just don't get it.

Uh, hello.... Looks like perfect qualifications for a management position.

> He never thought that a mere Army or Air Force NCO would know anything
> about MARS.

It's the 4th planet from the Sun, and there's a probe thingy driving in
uncontrollable circles around Deuphus Prime.

>
> Very, very unfortunate for him.

Not good for the probe either...

>
> Seven hostile actions, I'm told. I can't imagine being short-sheeted 7
> times by members of my flight.
>
The marines can fly? I thought they were just to cover the beaches so the
Army doesn't get sand in their boots....

rb

3913. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:32:34 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <SIrIg.7347$Xl5.3812@trnddc06>
Source record: 6793
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Yeah, but with the VNER sanctions, you can't get the ALE to link up with
them to start the whole CVAC anyway.
rb

"an old friend" <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1156469844.402083.323040@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Aug 23 2006 7:46 pm
>
>> > Trying to speak logically, the FCC grants amateur licenses
>> > in the USA and even they proposed (via an NPRM) to eliminate
>> > the amateur radio morse code test for a license. FCC is on
>> > record of a couple decades ago that this singular manual
>> > skill test does not tell them if a license applicant is
>> > worthy of a federal license.
>>
>> So what's the hold up?
>>most likely the fact that some at the FCC wouldlike to plase the ARRL as
>>long as it costs them nothing ut the cpsts are starting to build since
>>NVEC realy needs to know soon what the general license involves so they
>>can write the new pool
>

3914. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:33:39 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156728819.831213.322250@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6794
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> The Kat <new1@katxyzkave.net> wrote in
> news:rph2f25kom1gtlpp8hnebpsdr69tum5i4i@4ax.com:
>

>
>
> Hey,
>
> This thread is about CW and ham radio.
you started the thread in those gruops to troll them so you could bitch
when they complained

3915. Re: I blame the ARRL, Mark, Roger, and Lloyd for all the homosexual posts here. Please Read.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:35:48 UTC
From: mork margan <gethelp@get.help>
Message-ID: <EOR90017833.243141558F9@get.help>
Source record: 6795
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 01:22:57 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> Now the queers have all but taken over, they're like the military wing of
> the Democratic party, and always in your face. It's not even safe to take
> your kids to Easter egg hunts anymore. I know you're all going to disagree
> with me, but a man touching and sucking on another man's cock and screwing
> his ass just ain't right. The ARRL promoted this, now look what happened
> to our radio groups now that Mark, Roger, and Lloyd have come out of the
> closet.

It wasnt nice to postt that so Iam sending huricane Earnesto overto
Orlando to get you. I got naked and prayed to baal tooday and now he
wil destr oy you and all of the bsatards in orlando plus Llyod.

3916. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:36:10 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <eMrlg.2661$nR2.278@trnddc03>
Source record: 6796
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Nah, if ya can't beat 'em join 'em.... Help us out, we're making a Comm-Post pile!

And my answer is this:

If someone took ham radio, let 'em have it. Fighting to get it back is like fighting over a turd in the sewer.
Just enjoy what you have, and float on down the river, to your Cajun hideaway.....
rb

"Travis Jordan" <no.one@no.net> wrote in message news:dc2Hg.634122$GD7.612509@fe08.news.easynews.com...
> Slow Code wrote:
>> 1: No more
>
> Please don't feed the trolls.
>
>

3917. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:38:43 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <DOrIg.7348$Xl5.4813@trnddc06>
Source record: 6797
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Well... I can remember my dad filling 'er up with Fire Chief Hi-Test back in
the day, at the Sunoco station.
I'm a summer of love baby so not too old yet, LOL.
rb

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:6rjpe21o5ld0i43irvtv9u2akhipk4vof8@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 23 Aug 2006 19:59:00 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Oh, and .75 cent gasoline too....
>
> 25 cent gas. I guess I'm older than you.

3918. Re: Dumbing down licensing will just let in people that really don't want to be hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:41:44 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156729303.957016.48280@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6798
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:

>
>
> Markie could. He learned out to do it watching Gilligans Island.

why don't you just admit it you care about people safety or the public
just your own petty staus

3919. get help kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:43:12 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156729392.295508.189150@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6799
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

mork margan wrote:

> It wasnt nice to postt that so Iam sending huricane Earnesto overto
> Orlando to get you. I got naked and prayed to baal tooday and now he
> wil destr oy you and all of the bsatards in orlando plus Llyod.
Hi roger how is the you talk it types system workingfor you

3920. Re: police call cd vol 6 im in fl please post cd thanks so much

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:43:54 UTC
From: Eric Ferguson <GEFERG.KBHR@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <uTrIg.3448$tU.731@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 6800

Mike,

I can burn you a copy of Police Call V6. Only problem is that it asks for a word (usually the last word) of a page in the book. Sort of a password. Comes now the rub, I can't find my copy of the book!!!! I'll be looking.

In the mean time, would you like a disk. Email me and let me have an address to send it to. Hope this is of help....Eric (in California)

--
Tune Out .KBHR to reply me

3921. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:46:43 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7WrIg.2666$nR2.321@trnddc03>
Source record: 6701
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

OK.... taking a poll....

By replying only in CW, either text dit-dah, or periods and hyphens......

How many people actually read that, and what is your analysis of the report?

I am running a script to see how long it takes you to click reply, enter
your response,
then click send. Your effective scaled-score code speed will be calculated
from those particular
clicks, along with the character count of the message. You will be made fun
of, according to your
CW speed. Anyone less than 24wpm will be required to take the new FCC
remedial code speed
Enzyte Exam for phallic phlops.

Gentlemen, gud luck.
rb

"Travis Jordan" <no.one@no.net> wrote in message
news:Ot3Ig.79826$P02.21245@fe07.news.easynews.com...
> Slow Code wrote:
>> 1: No more
>
> Amateur radio is in decline and you think putting Morse code back in as
> a requirement would help?
>
> Bwhahahahaha.
> ----------------
> Statistics courtesy of George McCouch, K3UD.
>
> Total active individual licenses as of May 14, 2000:
> Novice - 49,329
> Tech/+ - 334,254
> General - 112,677
> Advanced - 99,782
> Extra - 78,750
>
> Total all classes - 674,792
>
> Total active individual licenses as of June 30, 2006:
> Novice - 24,877 (-49.57%) (-24,456)
> Tech/+ - 320,242 (-4.20%) (-14,012)
> General - 132,758 (+17.82%) (+20,081)
> Advanced - 71,753 (-28.13%) (-28,029)

> Extra - 108,184 (+37.38%) (+29,434)
>
> Total All Classes: 657,814 (-1,405 since the March 2006 reporting
> period)
>
> Total all classes (5/14/00) - 674,792
> Total all Classes (4/21/03) - 687,860
> Total all classes (9/6/04) - 674,788
> Total all classes (6/30/06) - 657,814
>
> Total loss of 16,978 since 5/14/2000 (Was 674,792)
> Total loss of 16,974 since 9/6/2004 (Was 674,788)
> Total Loss of 30,066 since 4/2003 (all time high of 687,860)
>
> We lost:
> 842 Novice
> 1,088 General
> 1,157 Advanced
> 3,087 Total
>
> We Gained:
> 1,258 Tech/+
> 424 Extra
> 1,682 Total
>
> This is an overall 1,405 decline since the March reporting period
> and averages 467 per month for the quarter.
>
> For the months March April and May the new licenses issued
> break down as follows.
>
> 6,999 Tech/+ 89.3% **
> 627 General 8.0%
> 217 Extra 2.7%
>
> Total new licenses issues during the period - 7,849
>
> ** These numbers come from the very interesting and comprehensive
> website of Joe Speroni, AH0A http://www.ah0a.org
>
> Numbers of US population and the number of hams at the start of each
> decade from 1930.
>
> Year Population # Hams Growth Rate
> 1930 123,202,624 19,000
> 1940 132,164,569 56,000 194%
> 1950 151,325,798 87,000 55%
> 1960 179,323,175 230,000 164%
> 1970 203,211,926 263,918 15%
> 1980 226,545,805 393,353 49%

> 1990 248,709,873 502,677 28%
> 2000 281,421,906 682,240 36%
> 2006 657,814 -3.6 %
>
> The 2006 number was as of June 30, 2006.
>
>

3922. Re: REQ: Bearcat BC8500XLT wheat lamps to LED conversion.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:53:36 UTC
From: Just_A_Stranger
Message-ID: <N5-dnUnXevY90W_ZnZ2dnUVZ_tidnZ2d@adelphia.com>
Source record: 6702

The problem is the little bulbs burn out too often and are next to impossible to obtain locally. And Now that
apparently weak transistor has blown as well. LED's seem a far better option.

--
---------- --- -- -
Posted with NewsLeecher v3.7 Final
Web @ http://www.newsleecher.com/?usenet
---------- ----- ---- -- -

3923. Re: gay bashing trol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:57:51 UTC
From: mork margan <gethelp@get.help>
Message-ID: <77109017833.243141558F9@get.help>
Source record: 6703
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 21:26:20 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com <Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote:
> On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 01:22:57 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >ARRL threw principle out the window when they caved in to the demands of
> >the Lambda Amateur Radio Club (LARC).
> back to your gay ashing I see

I saw him burn no gays. Why do you tell lies?

> http://marksspamblog.blogspot.com

Nice blog.

3924. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 01:58:30 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <a5sIg.2667$nR2.767@trnddc03>
Source record: 6704
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> Man, of COURSE, it's still around.
>
> If you discuss ham radio with others, do you mention contacting the Space
> Shuttle? ham radio satellites? weak signal work in VHF/UHF? Sporadic E?
> Tropospheric Ducting? DX???
>
> How about EME?
>
> You don't have to be doing these things yourself, you just need to let
> everyone know what the ENTIRE hobby is about.
>

Huh? You mean there is something besides CW?? Man, don't you know CW saves lives?!?!?

Listen, Weak signals are for CW work on HF while boats are burning. Contacting the space shuttle is just pointless unless you're correcting their keplerian elements for the return flight path or asking if they really drink Tang, Sporadic E [aka EMF bombing] is really pissing off the Condensation Entities that periodically enter the atmosphere to scan for intelligent life, and tropospheric ducting is a lie. I happen to have the control codes to several am-sats and I randomly change the freqs all the time, and you suckers fall for it without fail....
And DX? Hello....? Internet....?
:-)
rb

3925. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 02:00:23 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <X6sIg.940$N84.560@trnddc08>
Source record: 6705
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Scanners?? Cool movie...
rb

"w�thh�ld f�r r��s��s �f ��t����l S���r�ty" <root@127.0.0.1> wrote in
message news:1156662664.111442@www.vif.com...
>W H O T H E F U C K C A R E S ! ! ! !
>
> THIS IS FUCKEN SCANNER NEWSGROUP, NOT TALK ABOUT ISSUES ABOUT AMATEUR
> RADIO. WHO FUCK CARES!.
>
> IF YOU FUCKEN IDIOTS WANT TO TALK ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO THEN GO YOUR LITTLE
> FUCKEN NEWSGROUP AND DISCUSS IT THEIR NOT HERE!
>
>
>
>
> jawod wrote:
>> Travis Jordan wrote:
>>
>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>
>>>> 1: No more
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Amateur radio is in decline and you think putting Morse code back in as
>>> a requirement would help?
>>>
>>> Bwhahahahaha.
>>> ----------
>>> Statistics courtesy of George McCouch, K3UD.
>>>
>>> Total active individual licenses as of May 14, 2000:
>>> Novice - 49,329
>>> Tech/+ - 334,254
>>> General - 112,677
>>> Advanced - 99,782
>>> Extra - 78,750
>>>
>>> Total all classes - 674,792
>>>
>>> Total active individual licenses as of June 30, 2006:
>>> Novice - 24,877 (-49.57%) (-24,456)

>>> Tech/+ - 320,242 (-4.20%) (-14,012)
>>> General - 132,758 (+17.82%) (+20,081)
>>> Advanced - 71,753 (-28.13%) (-28,029)
>>> Extra - 108,184 (+37.38%) (+29,434)
>>>
>>> Total All Classes: 657,814 (-1,405 since the March 2006 reporting
>>> period)
>>>
>>> Total all classes (5/14/00) - 674,792
>>> Total all Classes (4/21/03) - 687,860
>>> Total all classes (9/6/04) - 674,788
>>> Total all classes (6/30/06) - 657,814
>>>
>>> Total loss of 16,978 since 5/14/2000 (Was 674,792)
>>> Total loss of 16,974 since 9/6/2004 (Was 674,788)
>>> Total Loss of 30,066 since 4/2003 (all time high of 687,860)
>>>
>>> We lost:
>>> 842 Novice
>>> 1,088 General
>>> 1,157 Advanced
>>> 3,087 Total
>>>
>>> We Gained:
>>> 1,258 Tech/+
>>> 424 Extra
>>> 1,682 Total
>>>
>>> This is an overall 1,405 decline since the March reporting period
>>> and averages 467 per month for the quarter.
>>>
>>> For the months March April and May the new licenses issued
>>> break down as follows.
>>>
>>> 6,999 Tech/+ 89.3% **
>>> 627 General 8.0%
>>> 217 Extra 2.7%
>>>
>>> Total new licenses issues during the period - 7,849
>>>
>>> ** These numbers come from the very interesting and comprehensive
>>> website of Joe Speroni, AH0A http://www.ah0a.org
>>>
>>> Numbers of US population and the number of hams at the start of each
>>> decade from 1930.
>>>
>>> Year Population # Hams Growth Rate
>>> 1930 123,202,624 19,000
>>> 1940 132,164,569 56,000 194%

>>> 1950 151,325,798 87,000 55%
>>> 1960 179,323,175 230,000 164%
>>> 1970 203,211,926 263,918 15%
>>> 1980 226,545,805 393,353 49%
>>> 1990 248,709,873 502,677 28%
>>> 2000 281,421,906 682,240 36%
>>> 2006 657,814 -3.6 %
>>>
>>> The 2006 number was as of June 30, 2006.
>>>
>>>
>> I just read the latest QST. In "How's DX?" the author notes that ham
>> radio "used to be" the prime communication from and to Haiti. But now,
>> with cell phones, satellite, etc. it is no longer as important.
>>
>> This is the real world. Morse is NOT the issue.
>>
>> Relevance (is that the correct spelling?) is the issue.
>>
>> Ham radio really WANTS to help in emergencies. This is very commendable.
>> I think, though, we've been sidelined.
>>
>> We're still there "when all else fails". Morale of those involved
>> remains high, from what I hear / read.
>>
>> However, in a typical emergency, ham radio is not nearly as primary as it
>> used to be. It's OK. We can still contribute.
>>
>> Communications have been "universalized"...anyone can use a cell phone.
>> It's natural that communications-specialists see their need waning.
>>
>> It's OK.
>>
>> As a hobby, ham radio has a LOT to offer. When I mention to others that
>> I have re-entered ham radio, many people ask "Is that still around?"
>>
>> Man, of COURSE, it's still around.
>>
>> If you discuss ham radio with others, do you mention contacting the Space
>> Shuttle? ham radio satellites? weak signal work in VHF/UHF? Sporadic E?
>> Tropospheric Ducting? DX???
>>
>> How about EME?
>>
>> You don't have to be doing these things yourself, you just need to let
>> everyone know what the ENTIRE hobby is about.
>>
>> Morse, data, SSB, FM all have a place.
>>

>> Ham radio is NOT dying. Hams are. Share our hobby, in ALL its forms,
>> generously with those you know. The rest will take care of itself, for
>> better or worse.
>>
>> John
>> AB8O
>>
>>
>> ---
>> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
>> Virus Database (VPS): 0634-2, 08/24/2006
>> Tested on: 8/27/2006 3:06:02 AM
>> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
>> http://www.avast.com
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0634-2, 08/24/2006
> Tested on: 8/27/2006 3:10:56 AM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

3926. Re: gay bashing trol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 02:01:02 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156730462.697743.115580@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6706
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

mork margan wrote:
gay bashing kook

3927. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 02:02:50 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <e9sIg.7353$Xl5.2112@trnddc06>
Source record: 6707
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Two words:

Comm-Post Pile !

Plus we like to see you knuckle-draggers bounce, grunt and beat your
chests...

rb

"The Kat" <new1@katxyzkave.net> wrote in message
news:9oh2f259quoa0tujb1am5hlrpd0h7nk8u7@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 00:25:30 -0400, jawod <jawod@fuse.net> wrote:
>
>>Travis Jordan wrote:
>
>
> WHY in the FUCK are you continuing this thread
> in a SCANNER newsgroup??
>
>
>
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> This sig censored by the Office of Home and Land Insecurity...
>
> Remove XYZ to email me

3928. Re: I blame the ARRL, Mark, Roger, and Lloyd for all the homosexual posts here. Please Read.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 02:03:37 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <12f4jno1757kq40@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 6708
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:RzrIg.11040$Qf.8220@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> ARRL threw principle out the window when they caved in to the demands of
> the Lambda Amateur Radio Club (LARC). It was a sad day for me indeed when
> I opened my beloved QST magazine and there on the pages were photos of
> queers on a Tropical DXpedition, and in living color no less. The ARRL
> wanted us to embrace a sick perverted lifestyle and be one big happy
> family. To me, it was the spark that signaled the death of a once proud
> organization, and it turned liberal.
>
> Now the queers have all but taken over, they're like the military wing of
> the Democratic party, and always in your face. It's not even safe to take
> your kids to Easter egg hunts anymore. I know you're all going to disagree
> with me, but a man touching and sucking on another man's cock and screwing
> his ass just ain't right. The ARRL promoted this, now look what happened
> to our radio groups now that Mark, Roger, and Lloyd have come out of the
> closet.
>
> SC
>
>

Sweet homophobic meltdown kOOk.

--
Suffer, unto me.
Indulge, my every need.
UV Count 1
Vote Mark Morgan for THICK AS A BRICK in August 2006!
Vote rec.radio.amateur.policy for Busted Urinal in August 2006!

3929. gey bashing kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 02:06:18 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156730778.815121.22850@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6710
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

DrDeath wrote:
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:RzrIg.11040$Qf.8220@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...

> >
>
> Sweet homophobic meltdown kOOk.
your trademakr dr

3930. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 02:06:20 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <wcsIg.36433$NF3.2838@trnddc05>
Source record: 6709
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Ok, forget my previous poll posting, and stop the world, I want off.....

Al, buddy,... my wife has a hundred friends, lemme hook you up bro.
rb

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:in92f2le3gj8oe8qa800vpglj3b3t4u3eh@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 26 Aug 2006 21:58:07 GMT, "Travis Jordan" <no.one@no.net>
> wrote:
>
> Change 5/14/00 to 4/2/03 - ***13068
> Change 4/2/03 to 9/6/04 - -13072
> Change 9/6/04 to 6/30/06 - -16974
>
>There was no change in Morse from 5/14/00 to 4/2/03, yet we
> GAINED over 13,000 hams, so how is a Morse requirement decreasing the
> number of hams?

3931. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 02:09:17 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <hfsIg.2016$XD1.1684@trnddc01>
Source record: 6711
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

To keep you informed of your need to learn CW, so you can save lives too?
rb

"The Kat" <new1@katxyzkave.net> wrote in message
news:rph2f25kom1gtlpp8hnebpsdr69tum5i4i@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 01:06:22 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote:
>
>
>
> WHY in the FUCK are you continuing this thread
> in a SCANNER newsgroup??
>
>
>
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> This sig censored by the Office of Home and Land Insecurity...
>
> Remove XYZ to email me

3932. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 02:10:28 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ogsIg.2017$XD1.495@trnddc01>
Source record: 6712
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Nazis suck, dude.
I'll learn 100wpm CW if it only ends the life on a single Nazi.
rb

"Drake nazi" <manyrumours@lycos.com> wrote in message
news:1156693791.403716.80690@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> The Kat wrote:
>> On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 01:06:22 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>> WHY in the FUCK are you continuing this thread
>> in a SCANNER newsgroup??
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>>
>> This sig censored by the Office of Home and Land Insecurity...
>>
>> Remove XYZ to email me
>

3933. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 02:11:46 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ChsIg.2018$XD1.541@trnddc01>
Source record: 6713
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Yeah, you sure told yourself off but good, too.
I wouldn't take that kinda trash from an inbred skinhead if I were you!
rb

"Drake nazi" <manyrumours@lycos.com> wrote in message
news:1156693915.760867.174750@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> Why did you give your ham radio away fool?
>
> No 6 meters for you, one year!
>

3934. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 02:17:52 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1156731471.899303.75780@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6714
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Woody wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1156469420.301419.134990@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> > I knew a SSgt that was also a Major. When he had on his Major Uniform,
> > he strutted around escorting the JrCAPs to the chow hall to show them
> > how it's really done.
>
> Cool, so he could wear one of each rank per shoulder and you can just call
> him Sgt. Major.

Yikes!

> >> >> >Yet as "chief operator" or ANCOIC of NMC MARS on Okinawa, he remains
> >> >> >woefully ignorant of MARS. I just don't get it.
>
> Uh, hello.... Looks like perfect qualifications for a management position.

I like the way you think.

> > He never thought that a mere Army or Air Force NCO would know anything
> > about MARS.
>
> It's the 4th planet from the Sun, and there's a probe thingy driving in
> uncontrollable circles around Deuphus Prime.

Careful. You might get him excited with the probe talk.

> > Very, very unfortunate for him.
>
> Not good for the probe either...

Can't it be factory refurbished?

> > Seven hostile actions, I'm told. I can't imagine being short-sheeted 7
> > times by members of my flight.
> >
> The marines can fly? I thought they were just to cover the beaches so the
> Army doesn't get sand in their boots....

Welp, when the AF took the airplanes away from the Army in 1947...

...this guy named Sikorsky came along and sold them some helicopters.
Anyway, they were a lot of fun and the idea really caught on. Now the
marines have them, too. Robesin says he worked on helicopters in some
capacity, and got hisself involved in 7 hostile actions.

3935. Re: PING: Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 02:19:50 UTC
From: Art Deco <erfc@netcabal.com>
Message-ID: <270820062019501684%erfc@netcabal.com>
Source record: 6715
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks

L. <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote:

>"Art Deco" <erfc@netcabal.com> wrote in message
>news:270820061459278240%erfc@netcabal.com...
>> Slow Code <nomail@nospam.com> wrote:
>>
>>>"Mark Moron Morgan" <kb9rqz@hothomomale.cum> wrote in message
>>>news:44f1b2b0$0$8889$88260bb3@free.teranews.com...
>>>>
>>>> "Slow Code" <nomail@nospam.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:ecsasj$la8$1@news2.open-news-network.org...
>>>>>
>>>>> "Mark Moron Morgan" <kb9rqz@hothomomale.cum> wrote in message
>>>>> news:44f070d1$0$8811$88260bb3@free.teranews.com...
>>>>>> with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
>>>>>> impoving my spelling
>>>>>> do u hav anyting cognet two say?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>> You really are a moron mark morgan.
>>>>>
>>>> thank you four teh coplamant it more tahn a name two me I trie to look
>>>> teh
>>>> part two see?
>>>>
>>>> http://i7.tinypic.com/25rf8gk.jpg
>>>>
>>>> with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
>>>> impoving my spelling
>>>> do u hav anyting cognet two say?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>Keep this crap out of the radio groups you losers.
>>
>> Whine much?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>--
>>>--
>>>
>
>The other question would be - "would you like a little cheese to go with the

>whine?"

Of course.

--
COOSN-266-06-39716
Official Associate AFA-B Vote Rustler
Official Overseer of Kooks and Saucerheads in alt.astronomy
Official "Usenet psychopath and born-again LLPOF minion",
as designated by Brad Guth

"Who is "David Tholen", Daedalus? Still suffering from
attribution problems?"
-- Dr. David Tholen

3936. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 02:25:08 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1156731908.676838.260520@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6716
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Woody wrote:
> I saw a C-130 fly almost directly over my house last year. Couldn't see who
> was in it though...
> rb

The aluminum skin of a C130 is sort of hard to see through. The only craft that I ever saw with a glass underbelly was a tour boat in Florida.

But you can rest assured that neither robesin nor the CAP was flying that C130.

3937. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 03:15:34 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <44F25FD6.4080608@fuse.net>
Source record: 6717
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Woody wrote:
>>Man, of COURSE, it's still around.
>>
>>If you discuss ham radio with others, do you mention contacting the Space
>>Shuttle? ham radio satellites? weak signal work in VHF/UHF? Sporadic E?
>>Tropospheric Ducting? DX???
>>
>>How about EME?
>>
>>You don't have to be doing these things yourself, you just need to let
>>everyone know what the ENTIRE hobby is about.
>>
>
>
> Huh? You mean there is something besides CW?? Man, don't you know CW saves
> lives?!?!?
>
> Listen, Weak signals are for CW work on HF while boats are burning.
> Contacting the space shuttle is just pointless unless you're correcting
> their keplerian elements for the return flight path or asking if they really
> drink Tang, Sporadic E [aka EMF bombing] is really pissing off the
> Condensation Entities that periodically enter the atmosphere to scan for
> intelligent life, and tropospheric ducting is a lie. I happen to have the
> control codes to several am-sats and I randomly change the freqs all the
> time, and you suckers fall for it without fail....
> And DX? Hello....? Internet....?
> :-)
> rb
>
>
Interesting name, Woody. Yet, it seems to fit.

3938. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 03:17:36 UTC
From: Jack Ricci <ricci@mnsi.net>
Message-ID: <ectn8h08ut@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 6718
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> By replying only in CW, either text dit-dah, or periods and hyphens......
>
> How many people actually read that, and what is your analysis of the
> report?
"Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:7WrIg.2666$nR2.321@trnddc03...
> OK.... taking a poll....
>
> By replying only in CW, either text dit-dah, or periods and hyphens......
>
> How many people actually read that, and what is your analysis of the
> report?

...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...

...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...

Jack

>
> I am running a script to see how long it takes you to click reply, enter
> your response,
> then click send. Your effective scaled-score code speed will be calculated
> from those particular
> clicks, along with the character count of the message. You will be made
> fun of, according to your
> CW speed. Anyone less than 24wpm will be required to take the new FCC
> remedial code speed
> Enzyte Exam for phallic phlops.
>
> Gentlemen, gud luck.
> rb
>
>
>
>
> "Travis Jordan" <no.one@no.net> wrote in message
> news:Ot3Ig.79826$P02.21245@fe07.news.easynews.com...
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> 1: No more
>>
>> Amateur radio is in decline and you think putting Morse code back in as
>> a requirement would help?
>>
>> Bwhahahahaha.
>> ----------------
>> Statistics courtesy of George McCouch, K3UD.
>>
>> Total active individual licenses as of May 14, 2000:
>> Novice - 49,329
>> Tech/+ - 334,254
>> General - 112,677
>> Advanced - 99,782
>> Extra - 78,750
>>
>> Total all classes - 674,792
>>
>> Total active individual licenses as of June 30, 2006:
>> Novice - 24,877 (-49.57%) (-24,456)
>> Tech/+ - 320,242 (-4.20%) (-14,012)
>> General - 132,758 (+17.82%) (+20,081)
>> Advanced - 71,753 (-28.13%) (-28,029)
>> Extra - 108,184 (+37.38%) (+29,434)

>>
>> Total All Classes: 657,814 (-1,405 since the March 2006 reporting
>> period)
>>
>> Total all classes (5/14/00) - 674,792
>> Total all Classes (4/21/03) - 687,860
>> Total all classes (9/6/04) - 674,788
>> Total all classes (6/30/06) - 657,814
>>
>> Total loss of 16,978 since 5/14/2000 (Was 674,792)
>> Total loss of 16,974 since 9/6/2004 (Was 674,788)
>> Total Loss of 30,066 since 4/2003 (all time high of 687,860)
>>
>> We lost:
>> 842 Novice
>> 1,088 General
>> 1,157 Advanced
>> 3,087 Total
>>
>> We Gained:
>> 1,258 Tech/+
>> 424 Extra
>> 1,682 Total
>>
>> This is an overall 1,405 decline since the March reporting period
>> and averages 467 per month for the quarter.
>>
>> For the months March April and May the new licenses issued
>> break down as follows.
>>
>> 6,999 Tech/+ 89.3% **
>> 627 General 8.0%
>> 217 Extra 2.7%
>>
>> Total new licenses issues during the period - 7,849
>>
>> ** These numbers come from the very interesting and comprehensive
>> website of Joe Speroni, AH0A http://www.ah0a.org
>>
>> Numbers of US population and the number of hams at the start of each
>> decade from 1930.
>>
>> Year Population # Hams Growth Rate
>> 1930 123,202,624 19,000
>> 1940 132,164,569 56,000 194%
>> 1950 151,325,798 87,000 55%
>> 1960 179,323,175 230,000 164%
>> 1970 203,211,926 263,918 15%
>> 1980 226,545,805 393,353 49%
>> 1990 248,709,873 502,677 28%

>> 2000 281,421,906 682,240 36%
>> 2006 657,814 -3.6 %
>>
>> The 2006 number was as of June 30, 2006.
>>
>>
>
>

3939. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 03:47:07 UTC
From: wîthhËld fØr rËåsØñs Øf ñå tîØñål SËÇürîty <root@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <1156736836.483502@www.vif.com>
Source record: 6719
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

THIS IS FUCKEN SCANNER NEWSGROUP, NOT TALK ABOUT ISSUES ABOUT AMATEUR
> RADIO. WHO FUCK CARES!.
>
> IF YOU FUCKEN IDIOTS WANT TO TALK ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO THEN GO YOUR
LITTLE
> FUCKEN NEWSGROUP AND DISCUSS IT THEIR NOT HERE!

jawod wrote:

> Woody wrote:
>
>>> Man, of COURSE, it's still around.
>>>
>>> If you discuss ham radio with others, do you mention contacting the
>>> Space Shuttle? ham radio satellites? weak signal work in VHF/UHF?
>>> Sporadic E? Tropospheric Ducting? DX???
>>>
>>> How about EME?
>>>
>>> You don't have to be doing these things yourself, you just need to
>>> let everyone know what the ENTIRE hobby is about.
>>>
>>
>>
>> Huh? You mean there is something besides CW?? Man, don't you know CW
>> saves lives?!?!?
>>
>> Listen, Weak signals are for CW work on HF while boats are burning.
>> Contacting the space shuttle is just pointless unless you're
>> correcting their keplerian elements for the return flight path or
>> asking if they really drink Tang, Sporadic E [aka EMF bombing] is
>> really pissing off the Condensation Entities that periodically enter
>> the atmosphere to scan for intelligent life, and tropospheric ducting
>> is a lie. I happen to have the control codes to several am-sats and I
>> randomly change the freqs all the time, and you suckers fall for it
>> without fail....
>> And DX? Hello....? Internet....?
>> :-)
>> rb
>>
>>
> Interesting name, Woody. Yet, it seems to fit.
>
>

> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0634-2, 08/24/2006
> Tested on: 8/27/2006 11:46:51 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0634-2, 08/24/2006
Tested on: 8/27/2006 11:47:08 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

3940. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 03:47:18 UTC
From: wîthhËld fØr rËåsØñs Øf ñå tîØñål SËÇürîty <root@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <1156736847.99199@www.vif.com>
Source record: 6720
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

THIS IS FUCKEN SCANNER NEWSGROUP, NOT TALK ABOUT ISSUES ABOUT AMATEUR
> RADIO. WHO FUCK CARES!.
>
> IF YOU FUCKEN IDIOTS WANT TO TALK ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO THEN GO YOUR
LITTLE
> FUCKEN NEWSGROUP AND DISCUSS IT THEIR NOT HERE!

Woody wrote:

> Yeah, you sure told yourself off but good, too.
> I wouldn't take that kinda trash from an inbred skinhead if I were you!
> rb
>
> "Drake nazi" <manyrumours@lycos.com> wrote in message
> news:1156693915.760867.174750@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
>>Why did you give your ham radio away fool?
>>
>>No 6 meters for you, one year!
>>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0634-2, 08/24/2006
> Tested on: 8/27/2006 11:44:14 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0634-2, 08/24/2006
Tested on: 8/27/2006 11:47:18 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

3941. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 03:47:25 UTC
From: wîthhËld fØr rËåsØñs Øf ñå tîØñål SËÇürîty <root@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <1156736854.599183@www.vif.com>
Source record: 6721
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

THIS IS FUCKEN SCANNER NEWSGROUP, NOT TALK ABOUT ISSUES ABOUT AMATEUR
> RADIO. WHO FUCK CARES!.
>
> IF YOU FUCKEN IDIOTS WANT TO TALK ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO THEN GO YOUR
LITTLE
> FUCKEN NEWSGROUP AND DISCUSS IT THEIR NOT HERE!

Woody wrote:

> Yeah, you sure told yourself off but good, too.
> I wouldn't take that kinda trash from an inbred skinhead if I were you!
> rb
>
> "Drake nazi" <manyrumours@lycos.com> wrote in message
> news:1156693915.760867.174750@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
>>Why did you give your ham radio away fool?
>>
>>No 6 meters for you, one year!
>>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0634-2, 08/24/2006
> Tested on: 8/27/2006 11:44:14 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0634-2, 08/24/2006
Tested on: 8/27/2006 11:47:26 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

3942. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 03:47:47 UTC
From: wîthhËld fØr rËåsØñs Øf ñå tîØñål SËÇürîty <root@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <1156736876.338120@www.vif.com>
Source record: 6722
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

THIS IS FUCKEN SCANNER NEWSGROUP, NOT TALK ABOUT ISSUES ABOUT AMATEUR
> RADIO. WHO FUCK CARES!.
>
> IF YOU FUCKEN IDIOTS WANT TO TALK ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO THEN GO YOUR
LITTLE
> FUCKEN NEWSGROUP AND DISCUSS IT THEIR NOT HERE!

Woody wrote:

> To keep you informed of your need to learn CW, so you can save lives too?
> rb
>
>
>
> "The Kat" <new1@katxyzkave.net> wrote in message
> news:rph2f25kom1gtlpp8hnebpsdr69tum5i4i@4ax.com...
>
>>On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 01:06:22 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>WHY in the FUCK are you continuing this thread
>>in a SCANNER newsgroup??
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>>
>>This sig censored by the Office of Home and Land Insecurity...
>>
>> Remove XYZ to email me
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0634-2, 08/24/2006
> Tested on: 8/27/2006 11:44:26 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>

>
>

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0634-2, 08/24/2006
Tested on: 8/27/2006 11:47:47 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

3943. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 03:47:58 UTC
From: wîthhËld fØr rËåsØñs Øf ñå tîØñål SËÇürîty <root@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <1156736907.230029@www.vif.com>
Source record: 6723
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

THIS IS FUCKEN SCANNER NEWSGROUP, NOT TALK ABOUT ISSUES ABOUT AMATEUR
> RADIO. WHO FUCK CARES!.
>
> IF YOU FUCKEN IDIOTS WANT TO TALK ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO THEN GO YOUR
LITTLE
> FUCKEN NEWSGROUP AND DISCUSS IT THEIR NOT HERE!

Jack Ricci wrote:

>>By replying only in CW, either text dit-dah, or periods and hyphens......
>>
>>How many people actually read that, and what is your analysis of the
>>report?
>
> "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:7WrIg.2666$nR2.321@trnddc03...
>
>>OK.... taking a poll....
>>
>>By replying only in CW, either text dit-dah, or periods and hyphens......
>>
>>How many people actually read that, and what is your analysis of the
>>report?
>
>
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...

> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>
> Jack
>
>
>
>>I am running a script to see how long it takes you to click reply, enter
>>your response,
>>then click send. Your effective scaled-score code speed will be calculated
>>from those particular
>>clicks, along with the character count of the message. You will be made
>>fun of, according to your
>>CW speed. Anyone less than 24wpm will be required to take the new FCC
>>remedial code speed
>>Enzyte Exam for phallic phlops.
>>
>>Gentlemen, gud luck.
>>rb
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>"Travis Jordan" <no.one@no.net> wrote in message
>>news:Ot3Ig.79826$P02.21245@fe07.news.easynews.com...
>>
>>>>Slow Code wrote:
>>>
>>>>>1: No more
>>>
>>>Amateur radio is in decline and you think putting Morse code back in as
>>>a requirement would help?
>>>
>>>Bwhahahahaha.
>>>----------------
>>>Statistics courtesy of George McCouch, K3UD.
>>>

>>>Total active individual licenses as of May 14, 2000:
>>>Novice - 49,329
>>>Tech/+ - 334,254
>>>General - 112,677
>>>Advanced - 99,782
>>>Extra - 78,750
>>>
>>>Total all classes - 674,792
>>>
>>>Total active individual licenses as of June 30, 2006:
>>>Novice - 24,877 (-49.57%) (-24,456)
>>>Tech/+ - 320,242 (-4.20%) (-14,012)
>>>General - 132,758 (+17.82%) (+20,081)
>>>Advanced - 71,753 (-28.13%) (-28,029)
>>>Extra - 108,184 (+37.38%) (+29,434)
>>>
>>>Total All Classes: 657,814 (-1,405 since the March 2006 reporting
>>>period)
>>>
>>>Total all classes (5/14/00) - 674,792
>>>Total all Classes (4/21/03) - 687,860
>>>Total all classes (9/6/04) - 674,788
>>>Total all classes (6/30/06) - 657,814
>>>
>>>Total loss of 16,978 since 5/14/2000 (Was 674,792)
>>>Total loss of 16,974 since 9/6/2004 (Was 674,788)
>>>Total Loss of 30,066 since 4/2003 (all time high of 687,860)
>>>
>>>We lost:
>>> 842 Novice
>>>1,088 General
>>>1,157 Advanced
>>>3,087 Total
>>>
>>>We Gained:
>>>1,258 Tech/+
>>>424 Extra
>>>1,682 Total
>>>
>>>This is an overall 1,405 decline since the March reporting period
>>>and averages 467 per month for the quarter.
>>>
>>>For the months March April and May the new licenses issued
>>>break down as follows.
>>>
>>>6,999 Tech/+ 89.3% **
>>>627 General 8.0%
>>>217 Extra 2.7%
>>>
>>>Total new licenses issues during the period - 7,849

>>>
>>>** These numbers come from the very interesting and comprehensive
>>>website of Joe Speroni, AH0A http://www.ah0a.org
>>>
>>>Numbers of US population and the number of hams at the start of each
>>>decade from 1930.
>>>
>>>Year Population # Hams Growth Rate
>>>1930 123,202,624 19,000
>>>1940 132,164,569 56,000 194%
>>>1950 151,325,798 87,000 55%
>>>1960 179,323,175 230,000 164%
>>>1970 203,211,926 263,918 15%
>>>1980 226,545,805 393,353 49%
>>>1990 248,709,873 502,677 28%
>>>2000 281,421,906 682,240 36%
>>>2006 657,814 -3.6 %
>>>
>>>The 2006 number was as of June 30, 2006.
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0634-2, 08/24/2006
> Tested on: 8/27/2006 11:44:54 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0634-2, 08/24/2006
Tested on: 8/27/2006 11:48:18 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

3944. Re: REQ: Bearcat BC8500XLT wheat lamps to LED conversion.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 03:52:33 UTC
From: Ken Layton <KLayton888@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1156737153.647785.36070@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6724

I bought my 8500 brand new and is on constantly for hours at a time
every day. Never had any burned out lights. Just the driver transistor
had gone bad.

3945. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 05:56:16 UTC
From: Dave Oldridge <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Xns982CE954C5529doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159>
Source record: 6725
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote in news:cfrIg.2016$pX3.1819@trnddc07:

>
> <Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
> news:2rkpe2pidhmd1ra6chkcrql0hj9h0l91db@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 23 Aug 2006 20:22:53 GMT, Dave Oldridge
>> <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote:
>
>> where did you encounter total stupidity? I missed it I saw someone
>>
>>>
>
> Uhhhh..... Start with the OP and read to this point or beyond....

Gee, which of your brain cells thought that one up?

--
Dave Oldridge+
ICQ 1800667

3946. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 05:58:51 UTC
From: Dave Oldridge <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Xns982CE9C4F2282doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159>
Source record: 6726
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote in news:2_qIg.2009$pX3.1822@trnddc07:

> Eh? Now you say its ok to be slow? Make up your mind will ya? People
are
> dying while you decide!
> rb

This gem must've come from the other brain cell. Is that the one that
took the brunt when you were dropped?

> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:htiue2dqqurlttil79ka6apngndip080d9@4ax.com...
>> On Fri, 25 Aug 2006 18:22:21 GMT, Dave Oldridge
>> <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote:
>>
>>>Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in
>>>news:rujpe2dfg6a35matc3fnrsauotja9co4lk@4ax.com:
>>
>>>> Really? You can write a spoken language you don't understand well
>>>> enough to be read by someone who understands it? Maybe. Maybe not.
>>>> In CW, you can.
>>
>>>I can do it (and have done so) using phonetics. But that's SLOWER
than
>>>CW.
>>
>> You're preaching to the choir, Dave. I've had to handle foreign
>> language traffic phonetically by voice and by CW - and I much prefer
>> CW for that kind of work, even though I prefer voice for most
>> rag-chewing. As you say, needing it spelled out is quite slow.

--
Dave Oldridge+
ICQ 1800667

3947. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 06:00:31 UTC
From: Dave Oldridge <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Xns982CEA0D070D0doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159>
Source record: 6727
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote in news:FYqIg.36416$NF3.28742@trnddc05:

> And as previously stated, slow equals death. If you can't do 20wpm you
> should stay off the bands.

20 is SLOW. But the only way to learn 35 or 40 is to learn 10, 15, 20 etc.
And the best way to do that is get on the bands and DO it instead of making
rude noises at the people who CAN.

Phonetics on SSB are usually a lot slower than 20wpm, though.

--
Dave Oldridge+
ICQ 1800667

3948. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 06:04:21 UTC
From: Dave Oldridge <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Xns982CEAB37793Ddoldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159>
Source record: 6728
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1156371787.106622.88700@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com:

>
> Al Klein wrote:
>> On Wed, 23 Aug 2006 19:54:37 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >"Dave Oldridge" <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote in message
>> >news:Xns9827B8D1F9112doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159...
>> >> For CW to be effective, both operators
>> >> must be competent. IF they are, they can often transcend barriers
>> >> of language that only digital modes can get over. In my own case,
>> >> the fact that I could read CW and read written Spanish a bit once
>> >> enabled me to render aid to a burning fishing boat. (There were
>> >> other more routine examples of where the language barrier was
>> >> crossed by CW--many messages I copied were not in English at all,
>> >> but were readable by their end recipients).
>>
>> >OK.... so by your own words, CW still didn't save a life... CW mixed
>> >with bad Spanish passed a message. So now we'll have to add a
>> >Spanish test. Thanks a lot.
>>
>> I think you missed the point. Even if you didn't know "ola" from
>> "adios", you can copy Spanish in CW and hand it to the recipient, who
>> can read it. Try that with a mic.
> I do that firaly well as long as it is a a langauge fro gruop I know I
> can take down serbian in crylllic even though I don't what they are
> saying it is simply a skill For that matter I hear and resend Morse
> as long as I don't try to decipher it
>>
>> >As for the language thing.... I can copy voice language and hand it
>> >off to another native just as easy and they'll figure it out too.
>> >No CW necessary.
>>
>> Really? You can write a spoken language you don't understand well
>> enough to be read by someone who understands it? Maybe. Maybe not.
>> In CW, you can.
>
> YOU can and you then claim that you have that skill it is valid
>
> your values in the ARS refuse to accept that notion different strokes
> for different folks
>

> If instead of CW testng we had a choice a various tests to take that
> would stand muster the current value Morse well outside of it value
> withut even realy testing its abilty to do a QSO were the test based
> sending and receiveing where the receiveing could send bak pse senf
> all after ... and before what then take a test to show that he was
> able to comincate the test would have more vailiity but it doesn't the
> CW tests do noy even show that the testee can use CW over the air

We have three tests her in Canada now. The Basic gets you on the air.
If you get an 80% or higher score you get HF privileges with that.
You're limited in power and must use commercially built, approved gear.

If you want to roll your own gear or put up a repeater or something, then
write the Advanced. You'll get full HF privileges.

If you want to be known as morse proficient, then take the code test.
That will get you on HF even if you just PASSED your Basic. But if you
already have the Advanced, then it will get you a nic shiny morse
endorsement and a sense of accomplishment.

And no, the sky didn't fall any further than it already did when we
dropped the code requirement for Basic and made it VHF only.

--
Dave Oldridge+
ICQ 1800667

3949. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 06:09:49 UTC
From: Dave Oldridge <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Xns982CEBA0B8B2Fdoldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159>
Source record: 6729
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:U5qIg.36408$NF3.27574@trnddc05:

> Well... no, I got the point just fine. I can't imagine being
> surrounded by Spanish-speaking people needing a code interp, or being
> on a CW freq anyway, while some emergency happens to be taking place.

Well, it certainly happened to me. Of course I can't say whether it
actually SAVED any lives. Because maybe they would have been saved
anyway, though it sure helped that I could figure out what was wrong.

But you see, a lot of ships used CW at that time.

> And yeah, I'm elite like that... I have a gift for gab... just like a
> monkey can be trained to tap out a code of communication, I can parrot
> what I hear. It [or 50wpm CW] isn't intelligence in any way, it's just
> a stupid circus trick. Once again..... this wasn't or isn't the issue

50wpm is relatively easy. Now back in 1975 when I was out on Sable
Island, I broke in on some guys on 80m with my nice new computer,
programmed to send morse from the terminal keyboard (but still requiring
a human ear to hear). They were going 65 and wanted to know if I could
"copy the morse code." One kid there used to QSO me and he'd start out
at 60 and ramp up. He was 13.

> anyway... No one said there were no pros to the mode, just that the
> whole "save a life" thing is laughable. This argument jumps from an
> issue of speed, then to the generation gap, then to simple
> name-calling banter. It's quite humorous and totally stupid. Kudos to
> the OP troll! LOL rb

Well, to be honest, I leave my keyer set at 25wpm. That's a good steady
speed for general working. I'm only likely to speed it up if I'm in a
contest or something.

--
Dave Oldridge+
ICQ 1800667

3950. Re: Radioshack / Realistic Pro 2006 manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 06:26:52 UTC
From: Erik <erik.knol@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156746412.062285.256150@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6730

Erik schreef:

> Does anybody knows if, and where, I can download the user's manual (or
> service manual) for this scanner?
> It's the Radioshack/Realistic Pro 2600 400 channel Model no. 20-9145.
>
> Thanks!!!!!

Thank you all, I found the manual on the site Ed mentioned.

Greetings,

Erik

3951. Re: I blame the ARRL, Mark, Roger, and Lloyd for all the homosexual posts here. Please Read.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 06:39:47 UTC
From: greylightnin <lfgdrnny6@connairnc.com>
Message-ID: <c5f19$44f28f8b$d1cc45f1$19910@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 6731
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Cude" <my.mail@no.spam> ate onions and then farted CW in message
news:RzrIg.11040$Qf.8220@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> ARRL threw principle out the window when they caved in to the demands of
> the Lambda Amateur Radio Club (LARC).

here----> http://zapatopi.net/afdb/

3952. Lennie Confuses His Amateur Radio Rant's for His Marital Bed Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 07:18:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156749480.288516.254860@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6732
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Let's get you to a
> cryogenic chamber and FREEZE you and your longing for
> times of your youth. You want everything FROZEN, don't
> you? Cool? Cool...

Poor Lennie...All that make-believe experience going to waste on a
make-believe life.

Steve, K4YZ

3953. Re: finaly figured it out the meow is just Paul effort to insure the his moderated nNG gets launched

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 07:45:54 UTC
From: The Demon Prince of Absurdity <absurd_number_of_nicks@hell.corn>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.08.28.07.45.52.703246@hell.corn>
Source record: 6734
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks

```
On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 17:31:24 -0400, Slow Code did the cha-cha, and
screamed:
> "an old freind" wrote...
>> just kidding but I hope folks enjoy the thought
>
> You brought all the AUK retards here.

We(tinw) wouldn't have stayed if there weren't any k00ks worth mentioning,
beyond Slap-Happy.

--
_____________________________________________________________________
Hail Eris! TM#5
Cardinal Snarky of the Fannish Inquisition
http://www6.kingdomofloathing.com/login.php
http://www.runescape.com/
No one expects the Fannish Inquisition!
Vote rec.radio.amateur.policy for Busted Urinal in August 2006!
Also vote Cletis Perkins for:
Bolo Bullis Foam Duck
Unabomber Surprise
Goofy @zzed Babboon
Joseph Bartlo "Pathetic Anal Pineapple" Award
```

3954. Re: Lennie Confuses His Amateur Radio Rant's for His Marital Bed Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 08:44:15 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <8qscc.gn7.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 6733
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1156749480.288516.254860@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Let's get you to a
> cryogenic chamber and FREEZE you and your longing for
> times of your youth. You want everything FROZEN, don't
> you? Cool? Cool...

Poor Lennie...All that make-believe experience going to waste on a
make-believe life.

Steve, K4YZ
(but..but...his wife has two Masters degrees in the medical arena...doesn't
that count for something?)

3955. Re: I blame the ARRL, Mark, Roger, and Lloyd for all the homosexual posts here. Please Read.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 09:38:35 UTC
From: The Demon Prince of Absurdity <absurd_number_of_nicks@hell.corn>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.08.28.09.38.35.84317@hell.corn>
Source record: 6735
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 21:03:37 -0500, DrDeath did the cha-cha, and screamed:
> "Slow Code" wrote...
>> ARRL threw principle out the window when they caved in to the demands of
>> the Lambda Amateur Radio Club (LARC). It was a sad day for me indeed
>> when I opened my beloved QST magazine and there on the pages were photos
>> of queers on a Tropical DXpedition, and in living color no less. The
>> ARRL wanted us to embrace a sick perverted lifestyle and be one big
>> happy family. To me, it was the spark that signaled the death of a once
>> proud organization, and it turned liberal.
>>
>> Now the queers have all but taken over, they're like the military wing
>> of the Democratic party, and always in your face. It's not even safe to
>> take your kids to Easter egg hunts anymore. I know you're all going to
>> disagree with me, but a man touching and sucking on another man's cock
>> and screwing his ass just ain't right. The ARRL promoted this, now look
>> what happened to our radio groups now that Mark, Roger, and Lloyd have
>> come out of the closet.
>
> Sweet homophobic meltdown kOOk.

Oh, quite! Very frothy. I see why Cletis respects him.

--
____
Hail Eris! TM#5
Cardinal Snarky of the Fannish Inquisition
http://www6.kingdomofloathing.com/login.php
http://www.runescape.com/
No one expects the Fannish Inquisition!
Vote rec.radio.amateur.policy for Busted Urinal in August 2006!
Also vote Cletis Perkins for:
Bolo Bullis Foam Duck
Unabomber Surprise
Goofy @zzed Babboon
Joseph Bartlo "Pathetic Anal Pineapple" Award

3956. Re: Lennie Confuses His Amateur Radio Rant's for His Marital Bed Again<<is Amy Fireproof?<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 11:22:56 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156764176.053603.315160@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6736
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > Let's get you to a
> > cryogenic chamber and FREEZE you and your longing for
> > times of your youth. You want everything FROZEN, don't
> > you? Cool? Cool...
>
> Poor Lennie...All that make-believe experience going to waste on a
> make-believe life.
is Amy Fireproof?

3957. Re: kooks on parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 12:04:48 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156766688.106627.273790@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6738
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Not Lloyd wrote:

> This can be SO simple. I should have thought of this weeks ago.
> I'll simply x-post Marks comments to two or three of the extreme Muslim
> newsgroups and be sure that they realize he is a bisexual Jew. Wiseman
> already posted Marks address....
> It should take four or five months, six tops, before a Camel Jockey or two
> pays Mark a visit. There are lots of em in Dearborn, which is less than a
> three hour drive from Chassell.

LOL t3 hours? try 12 hours
>
> Why didn't I think of this sooner?
well you don't think at all wisemen

3958. Re: I blame the ARRL, Mark, Roger, and Lloyd for all the homosexual posts here. Please Read.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 12:28:53 UTC
From: Just4Me <no@nono.noway>
Message-ID: <9kBIg.13826$bZ6.12809@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 6739
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Lets see if Earthlink will remove you for off topic posts! Complaints filed with Earthlink as well as with the cable company.

3959. Re: kooks on parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 12:34:54 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156768494.042647.205940@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6740
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

an old fraud wrote:
> Not Lloyd wrote:
>
> > This can be SO simple. I should have thought of this weeks ago.
> > I'll simply x-post Marks comments to two or three of the extreme Muslim
> > newsgroups and be sure that they realize he is a bisexual Jew. Wiseman
> > already posted Marks address....

Poor Lennie.

> > It should take four or five months, six tops, before a Camel Jockey or two
> > pays Mark a visit. There are lots of em in Dearborn, which is less than a
> > three hour drive from Chassell.
>
> LOL t3 hours? try 12 hours

And they would see your trash and junk filled yard.

> >
> > Why didn't I think of this sooner?
> well you don't think at all wisemen

BWHAHAHAHA.... Markie fucks up again!

3960. Re: kooks on parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 12:48:11 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156769291.785846.220350@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old fraud wrote:
> Art Deco wrote:
> > >
> > Whine much?
> yea you do that too kook

And here you are posting 24/7 this past weekend, Markie. You talk about how others have no life. Now get off the computer and clean up that toxic waste dump you call your yard.

3961. trash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 12:55:44 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156769743.267844.205510@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6742
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Just4Me wrote:
> Lets see if Earthlink will remove you for off topic posts! Complaints filed
> with Earthlink as well as with the cable company.
good luck I have drop them a line as well

3962. Re: kooks on parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 12:57:39 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156769859.125550.273790@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6743
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> an old fraud wrote:
> > Not Lloyd wrote:
> >
> > > This can be SO simple. I should have thought of this weeks ago.
> > > I'll simply x-post Marks comments to two or three of the extreme Muslim
> > > newsgroups and be sure that they realize he is a bisexual Jew. Wiseman
> > > already posted Marks address....
>
> Poor Lennie.
meltdown in progress
```

3963. Re: kooks on parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 12:59:43 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <8rbbc.6p3.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 6737
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"an old friend" <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1156765061.864521.221580@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...

DrDeath wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1156732852.195575.199840@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > DrDeath wrote:
> >
> >>
> >> Why do you ask?
> > becuase I always question the motive of lairs esp when th lie tiself is
> > pointless
> >
>
> It's not a lie, go to AUK and see my vote.
the lie is your claim you are not a gay bashing bigot

kook
.....

This can be SO simple. I should have thought of this weeks ago.
I'll simply x-post Marks comments to two or three of the extreme Muslim
newsgroups and be sure that they realize he is a bisexual Jew. Wiseman
already posted Marks address....
It should take four or five months, six tops, before a Camel Jockey or two
pays Mark a visit. There are lots of em in Dearborn, which is less than a
three hour drive from Chassell.

Why didn't I think of this sooner?

3964. meltdown in progress

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 13:03:43 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156770223.475501.56260@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6744
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:

> And here you are posting 24/7 this past weekend, Markie. You talk about
> how others have no life. Now get off the computer and clean up that
> toxic waste dump you call your yard.
meltdown in progress

3965. Antenna Identification question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 14:26:50 UTC
From: JAMES <jamezynj@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1156775210.552420.170510@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6745

On portable radios...About 4", with 2 red stripes near the tip....

What band would this be?

3966. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 19:25:01 UTC
From: "X-rated Vermonter"
Message-ID: <3kg6f29ocbti00u6s3b09k94gbe4l5drv3@4ax.com>
Source record: 6746
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 01:38:43 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Well... I can remember my dad filling 'er up with Fire Chief Hi-Test back in
>the day, at the Sunoco station.
>I'm a summer of love baby so not too old yet, LOL.
>rb
++++++++++
Fire Chief was the regular grade sold by Texaco. Their premium was
Sky Chief.

In the 1950s, Sunoco sold only one grade of gasoline, which was
supposed to be a "near premium". Its gimmick was that it was dyed
blue, whereas other gasolines were yellow to red. In the 1960s, its
super-premium was Sunoco 260 from the "custom blend" pump.

3967. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 19:28:06 UTC
From: "X-rated Vermonter"
Message-ID: <urg6f2hte38mojbch2o4mrd7met3mk3u98@4ax.com>
Source record: 6747
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 23:47:07 -0400, wï¿½thhï¿½ld fï¿½r rï¿½ï¿½sï¿½ï¿½s ï¿½f
ï¿½ï¿½tï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½l
Sï¿½ï¿½ï¿½rï¿½ty <root@127.0.0.1> wrote:

>THIS IS FUCKEN SCANNER NEWSGROUP, NOT TALK ABOUT ISSUES ABOUT AMATEUR
> > RADIO. WHO FUCK CARES!.
> >
> > IF YOU FUCKEN IDIOTS WANT TO TALK ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO THEN GO YOUR
>LITTLE
> > FUCKEN NEWSGROUP AND DISCUSS IT THEIR NOT HERE!
++++++++++++++++
I am reading your enlightened reply in the rec.radio.amateur.equipment
group. Why are you here???

3968. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 20:12:41 UTC
From: wîthhËld fØr rËåsØñs Øf ñå tîØñål SËÇürîty <root@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <1156795970.341579@www.vif.com>
Source record: 6748
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

GO FUCK YOURSELF! AND TAKE YOUR HAM RADIO AND SHOVE IT UP YOUR FUCKEN ASS

X-rated Vermonter wrote:
> On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 01:38:43 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>>Well... I can remember my dad filling 'er up with Fire Chief Hi-Test back in
>>the day, at the Sunoco station.
>>I'm a summer of love baby so not too old yet, LOL.
>>rb
>
> ++++++++++
> Fire Chief was the regular grade sold by Texaco. Their premium was
> Sky Chief.
>
> In the 1950s, Sunoco sold only one grade of gasoline, which was
> supposed to be a "near premium". Its gimmick was that it was dyed
> blue, whereas other gasolines were yellow to red. In the 1960s, its
> super-premium was Sunoco 260 from the "custom blend" pump.
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0635-1, 08/28/2006
> Tested on: 8/28/2006 4:12:05 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0635-1, 08/28/2006
Tested on: 8/28/2006 4:12:41 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

3969. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 20:18:10 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <Woger@noyb.net>
Message-ID: <ecvj27$393$1@news2.open-news-network.org>
Source record: 6749
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

OK SHOVE IT UP MINE FIRST!!!!!!
>
"w�thh�ld f�r r��s��s �f ��t����l S���r�ty" <root@127.0.0.1> wrote in
message news:1156795970.341579@www.vif.com...
> GO FUCK YOURSELF! AND TAKE YOUR HAM RADIO AND SHOVE IT UP YOUR FUCKEN ASS
>
> X-rated Vermonter wrote:
>> On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 01:38:43 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Well... I can remember my dad filling 'er up with Fire Chief Hi-Test back
>>>in the day, at the Sunoco station.
>>>I'm a summer of love baby so not too old yet, LOL.
>>>rb
>>
>> ++++++++++
>> Fire Chief was the regular grade sold by Texaco. Their premium was
>> Sky Chief.
>>
>> In the 1950s, Sunoco sold only one grade of gasoline, which was
>> supposed to be a "near premium". Its gimmick was that it was dyed
>> blue, whereas other gasolines were yellow to red. In the 1960s, its
>> super-premium was Sunoco 260 from the "custom blend" pump.
>>
>>
>> ---
>> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
>> Virus Database (VPS): 0635-1, 08/28/2006
>> Tested on: 8/28/2006 4:12:05 PM
>> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
>> http://www.avast.com
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0635-1, 08/28/2006
> Tested on: 8/28/2006 4:12:41 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com

>
>
>

3970. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 21:24:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156800261.412488.306670@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6750
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

X-rated wrote:

> I am reading your enlightened reply in the rec.radio.amateur.equipment
> group. Why are you here???

becuase the ISP will not retrain the trollss anymore which means the
troll crosspost everything every where

this set is relitively mild and at least is confined to radio NGs

3971. Re: If you had to study to get a ham licence, would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 22:17:21 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <RXJIg.7911$Xl5.4151@trnddc06>
Source record: 6601
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

ROTFLMAO!!!! Now there's a parade I'll march in!
Amen, bro.
rb

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:lb7ve2dfj9dc594t286fhngng6mkoismis@4ax.com...
>I have a better idea.
>
> Lets require all Ham radio operators take an I.Q. test. Only upon
> passing the test would an operator be allowed Amateur privileges.
> A score of 130 would be required. This would eliminate 98% of
> hams and also eliminate overcrowding of the band.
>
> The 98% of Amateurs who flunk would automatically be termed CBers.
> This shouldn't be a problem because 98% of Amateurs are nothing but
> self glorified CBers anyway. They just would never admit it.
>
> Problem solved.

3972. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 22:20:58 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <g1r6f2pmt1b4c2cu7443hfqmn47g26gksc@4ax.com>
Source record: 6602
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 23:47:07 -0400, wï¿½thhï¿½ld fï¿½r rï¿½ï¿½sï¿½ï¿½s ï¿½f
ï¿½ï¿½tï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½l
Sï¿½ï¿½ï¿½rï¿½ty <root@127.0.0.1> wrote:

>THIS IS FUCKEN SCANNER NEWSGROUP, NOT TALK ABOUT ISSUES ABOUT AMATEUR
> > RADIO. WHO FUCK CARES!.

No one. <plonk>

3973. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 22:21:17 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156803677.259929.83890@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6603
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Al Klein wrote:
> On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 23:47:07 -0400, wîthhËld fØr rËåsØñs Øf ñåtîØñål
> SËÇürîty <root@127.0.0.1> wrote:
>
> >THIS IS FUCKEN SCANNER NEWSGROUP, NOT TALK ABOUT ISSUES ABOUT AMATEUR
> > > RADIO. WHO FUCK CARES!.
>
> No one. <plonk>
alwys the child

3974. Re: Alot of people don't want to be knowledgable about radio. You can hear'em on 11 meters.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 22:34:30 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156804470.858620.40080@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6606
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

```
Al Klein wrote:
> On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 23:56:44 +1000, Barry OGrady
> <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >A lot of people misspell a lot as alot and metre as meter.
>
> Alot may be the incorrect spelling of allot. In non-UK countries,
> meter may be the correct spelling. (And they call Americans insular.)
grow up

get a clue or better still a sense of humor
```

3975. Re: Alot of people don't want to be knowledgable about radio. You can hear'em on 11 meters.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 22:34:37 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <qnr6f2t2f04l26e0u5rvui1af9q2aamqag@4ax.com>
Source record: 6604
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 23:56:44 +1000, Barry OGrady
<god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote:

>A lot of people misspell a lot as alot and metre as meter.

Alot may be the incorrect spelling of allot. In non-UK countries,
meter may be the correct spelling. (And they call Americans insular.)

3976. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 22:35:41 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1dKlg.7914$Xl5.341@trnddc06>
Source record: 6607
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Ladies and Gentlemen, I give you..... the "Whole Point" in the Apples and Oranges War:

"Dave Oldridge" <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca>
>
> But you see, a lot of ships used CW at that time.
>

So, what say we just forget this whole thing a swap some radios?
rb

3977. Re: Antenna Identification question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 22:35:59 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <5sr6f2p6or4tc75uhlami7mb5uevntlbki@4ax.com>
Source record: 6605

On 28 Aug 2006 07:26:50 -0700, "JAMES" <jamezynj@aol.com> wrote:

>On portable radios...About 4", with 2 red stripes near the tip....

>What band would this be?

Anywhere from VHF-hi to 450. (I've never seen a VHF-lo antenna that
short, but I've seen stubbies shorter than that from 150-850.)

3978. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 22:38:52 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156804732.873472.188340@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6609
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Woody wrote:
> Ladies and Gentlemen, I give you..... the "Whole Point" in the Apples and
> Oranges War:
>
>
> "Dave Oldridge" <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca>
> >
> > But you see, a lot of ships used CW at that time.
> >
>
> So, what say we just forget this whole thing a swap some radios?
> rb
I'kk be glad to as soonas the R&O comes out

3979. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 22:38:54 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <2gKlg.7915$Xl5.1583@trnddc06>
Source record: 6608
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Ah, my bad... sry.
rb

Radio content:
There used to be a radio swap group here....

<Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
news:7oe4f2lr255om8j04n73e8v9e4023rals4@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 00:36:43 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Yeah, yoo big chikin. LOL
>>
>>I dare you to pick up a mic and do a radio test on 3.865
>>by saying "Beep, beep, beep, beeeeeeeep"!
>>
>>rb
> well as a etech I realy should not try that one
>>
>>"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>news:1156523145.805735.80970@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

3980. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 22:43:11 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3kKIg.7919$XI5.1703@trnddc06>
Source record: 6610
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Listen here... I will not stand by and watch millions of innocent people die needlessly as
more an more people shun the gift of life by scoffing at CW.
It's genocide I tell you, and the government just sits by and watches it happen!!
rb

radio content:
Now we're really ridin' a continuous wave.....

too bad we're in the sewer....

"Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote in message news:8q5n3.ien.17.1@news.alt.net...
>
> "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:FYqIg.36416$NF3.28742@trnddc05...
> And as previously stated, slow equals death. If you can't do 20wpm you
> should stay off the bands.
>
> rb
>
> Aw, fer Chrissake Woody, kwit yer cryin' and whinin'.
>
>

3981. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 22:46:31 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <2hs6f2p6685a6ml03d5sf436l48ss5qlg7@4ax.com>
Source record: 6611
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 22:38:54 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Ah, my bad... sry.
>rb
>
np I expect the FCC to help me correct that soon enough
>Radio content:
>There used to be a radio swap group here....

indeed and all kinds of radio gruops
>
><Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
>news:7oe4f2lr255om8j04n73e8v9e4023rals4@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 00:36:43 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Yeah, yoo big chikin. LOL
>>>
>>>I dare you to pick up a mic and do a radio test on 3.865
>>>by saying "Beep, beep, beep, beeeeeeeep"!
>>>
>>>rb
>> well as a etech I realy should not try that one
>>>
>>>"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>news:1156523145.805735.80970@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3982. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 22:50:52 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <grKIg.7922$Xl5.87@trnddc06>
Source record: 6612
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Sorry... my bad... is it 35 now? I stand corrected.

Back to the chant...

If you can't do 35wpm, you should stay off the bands!!
Seriously people, think about it... do *you* want blood on your hands
because you're a slow-beepin loser?
Lives are on the line, in case you aren't paying attention.

This is serious business and requires a dedication as if it were... your
only purpose.

Unmotivated 25wpm losers need not apply.
rb

"Dave Oldridge" <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote in message
news:Xns982CEA0D070D0doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159...
> "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote in news:FYqIg.36416$NF3.28742@trnddc05:
>
>> And as previously stated, slow equals death. If you can't do 20wpm you
>> should stay off the bands.
>
> 20 is SLOW. But the only way to learn 35 or 40 is to learn 10, 15, 20
> etc.
> And the best way to do that is get on the bands and DO it instead of
> making
> rude noises at the people who CAN.
>
> Phonetics on SSB are usually a lot slower than 20wpm, though.
>
>
> --
> Dave Oldridge+
> ICQ 1800667

3983. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 22:56:37 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <FwKIg.7925$Xl5.4102@trnddc06>
Source record: 6613
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hey... you have proof I was dropped?? I've wondered for years as I just
can't remember the day I was born at all.
It kinda annoys me, but hey, I can't remember CW either, so I figure no loss
either way.
rb

Not to stray off-topic or break any rules, but you wouldn't happen to have a
50w VHF Spectra you'd want to sell or trade, would ya?

"Dave Oldridge" <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote in message
news:Xns982CE9C4F2282doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159...
> "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote in news:2_qIg.2009$pX3.1822@trnddc07:
>
>> Eh? Now you say its ok to be slow? Make up your mind will ya? People
> are
>> dying while you decide!
>> rb
>
> This gem must've come from the other brain cell. Is that the one that
> took the brunt when you were dropped?
>
>> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>> news:htiue2dqqurlttil79ka6apngndip080d9@4ax.com...
>>> On Fri, 25 Aug 2006 18:22:21 GMT, Dave Oldridge
>>> <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in
>>>>news:rujpe2dfg6a35matc3fnrsauotja9co4lk@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>>> Really? You can write a spoken language you don't understand well
>>>>> enough to be read by someone who understands it? Maybe. Maybe not.
>>>>> In CW, you can.
>>>
>>>>I can do it (and have done so) using phonetics. But that's SLOWER
> than
>>>>CW.
>>>
>>> You're preaching to the choir, Dave. I've had to handle foreign
>>> language traffic phonetically by voice and by CW - and I much prefer
>>> CW for that kind of work, even though I prefer voice for most
>>> rag-chewing. As you say, needing it spelled out is quite slow.
>
>
>

> --
> Dave Oldridge+
> ICQ 1800667

3984. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 23:03:40 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <gDKIg.7926$Xl5.5330@trnddc06>
Source record: 6615
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Dave Oldridge" <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote in message
news:Xns982CE8FF65B52doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159...
> "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote in news:i4rIg.901$N84.191@trnddc08:
>
>> So did your guy die or not?
>
> Which one? You win some, you lose some.

Huh? How can a fast CW op *not* save a life? Have you read this thread at
all?
CW = Gift of Life.
Fast CW = Hand of God.

It's in the Extra Class manual....

> And that's why I'm watching TV from a 12ghz satellite signal right now.
> Putting the antenna that high makes all modes pretty much equal as far as
> signal-to-noise goes.

AAAAAAAAAAARRRRRRRRRRRRGGGGGGGH!!
Holy crap.... I hope no one else reads that.. It'll kill the entire
Comm-Post pile we're making, and then
people will just go back to swapping radios or something. [Perish the
thought!]
rb

3985. FS: G.E. Monitor Receivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 23:03:44 UTC
From: Hunchy <sx43@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <kDKIg.4543$pX3.4502@trnddc07>
Source record: 6614

For Sale:

G.E. ER-106A VHF High-Band monitor receivers (not scanners). These receivers are currently operating in the 173 mHz portion of the band. These are the units that look like MVP radios.

They are very clean, have decent sensitivity, and nice audio. 120 VAC operation only. I have 4 of these. $25.00 each + shipping from 93240.

Please respond to: sx43ster at gmail dot com

Thanks

3986. Re: Alot of people don't want to be knowledgable about radio. You can hear'em on 11 meters.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 23:14:30 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1156806870.743871.256740@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6616
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Barry OGrady wrote:
> A lot of people misspell a lot as alot and metre as meter.
>
> Barry
> =====
> Home page
> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og

Here a Meter . . . There a Metre !

3987. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 23:17:18 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <2QKIg.7929$Xl5.2566@trnddc06>
Source record: 6617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

The one that's looking for a VHF 50w Spectra..... hehe...

And I have to ask Dave, [and I mean seriously this time]... You really
aren't defending this thread [in this group] as
an intelligent discussion [or one that should even be here] in the first
place, are you?
rb

Radio Content:
For the acetylcholine-deficient that think I'm really trying to be a part of
this seemingly perpetual, and twisted delusion...
It's a friggin swap group.... Now sit and ponder that for just a minute....

"Dave Oldridge" <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote in message
news:Xns982CE954C5529doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159...
> "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote in news:cfrIg.2016$pX3.1819@trnddc07:
>
>>
>> <Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
>> news:2rkpe2pidhmd1ra6chkcrql0hj9h0l91db@4ax.com...
>>> On Wed, 23 Aug 2006 20:22:53 GMT, Dave Oldridge
>>> <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote:
>>
>>> where did you encounter total stupidity? I missed it I saw someone
>>>
>>>>
>>
>> Uhhhh..... Start with the OP and read to this point or beyond....
>
> Gee, which of your brain cells thought that one up?
>
>
>
> --
> Dave Oldridge+
> ICQ 1800667

3988. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 23:25:44 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <YXKIg.7930$Xl5.2372@trnddc06>
Source record: 6618
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LOL.. Now that's what I'm looking for! What else ya got? Let's post each reply twice from now on, OK?

In other news....

Stay on topic Dave.... the issue is *CW* saves lives,[or results in other holistic happenings] ok?

I swear I've already explained that to ya...?

We've proven many posts ago that brain cells have nothing to do with ham radio, this thread or those in it.
My fav site, www.hamsexy.com will explain it all.
rb

radio content:
I think I'd even consider an M1225 in trade, instead of the Spectra.

"Dave Oldridge" <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote in message news:Xns982CE92822348doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159...
> "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote in news:thrIg.2006$XD1.1601@trnddc01:
>
>> Good call. Admit nothing, deny everything.
>>
>> Hey, if you had to use CW to make chicken salad for a starving Latvian,
>> would that person starve to death?
>
> If you had to use either of your brain cells to think of some way to FEED
> a
> starving Latvian would that person starve to death?
>
>
> --
> Dave Oldridge+
> ICQ 1800667

3989. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 23:29:50 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <O%KIg.7931$Xl5.5634@trnddc06>
Source record: 6619
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Bless you brother, I will indeed make it so.
Thanks for the reply!
rb

Radio content:
M1225 needs to be VHF and 40w, or I can't use it....

<Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
news:r5h4f2dssjq1prs7di8h65bd7q3ipbn86m@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 01:17:21 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Since I don't know this Robitussin guy, I really can't say anything in
>>reply
>>to this post....
>>rb
> count that amoug so blessings
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

3990. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 23:31:34 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <q1LIg.7932$XI5.801@trnddc06>
Source record: 6620
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Yeah, that was the problem exactly...
so I can stand on the ocean floor and see people inside the boat?
Kewl.
rb

radio content:
So what kinda radio did the boat have? I'd like to find me a nice Micom for
cheap...

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1156731908.676838.260520@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Woody wrote:
>> I saw a C-130 fly almost directly over my house last year. Couldn't see
>> who
>> was in it though...
>> rb
>
> The aluminum skin of a C130 is sort of hard to see through. The only
> craft that I ever saw with a glass underbelly was a tour boat in
> Florida.
>
> But you can rest assured that neither robesin nor the CAP was flying
> that C130.
>

3991. Do you ever hear CW on your scanner? Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 23:34:23 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <34LIg.11333$Qf.6969@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6621
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

w�thh�ld f�r r��s��s �f ⁂ t����l S���r�ty <root@127.0.0.1> wrote in news:1156736847.99199@www.vif.com:

> THIS IS FUCKEN SCANNER NEWSGROUP, NOT TALK ABOUT ISSUES ABOUT AMATEUR
> > RADIO. WHO FUCK CARES!.
> >
> > IF YOU FUCKEN IDIOTS WANT TO TALK ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO THEN GO YOUR
> LITTLE
> > FUCKEN NEWSGROUP AND DISCUSS IT THEIR NOT HERE!

Ever hear CW on your scanner?

Sound neat, don't it.

SC

3992. Re: I blame the ARRL, Mark, Roger, and Lloyd for all the homosexual posts here. Please Read.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 23:34:28 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <84LIg.11336$Qf.10807@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6622
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Just4Me" <no@nono.noway> wrote in
news:9kBIg.13826$bZ6.12809@tornado.tampabay.rr.com:

> Lets see if Earthlink will remove you for off topic posts! Complaints
> filed with Earthlink as well as with the cable company.
>
>
>

Thanks for taking our survey. We put you down in the Pro-Gay catagory
with Roger, Mark, and Llooooyd. Do you hate morse code too?

SC

3993. Re: If you heard Mark calling Mayday, would you switch to a different repeater?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 23:34:29 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <94LIg.11337$Qf.9198@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6624
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Or have a party.

3994. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 23:34:32 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <c4LIg.11339$Qf.7836@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6623
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1156728819.831213.322250@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> The Kat <new1@katxyzkave.net> wrote in
>> news:rph2f25kom1gtlpp8hnebpsdr69tum5i4i@4ax.com:
>>
>
>>
>>
>> Hey,
>>
>> This thread is about CW and ham radio.
>
> you started the thread in those gruops to troll them so you could bitch
> when they complained
>

Hey,

This thread is about CW and ham radio. You no-codes stay out of it.
Go find some CB'ers on 11 meters to listen to.

SC

3995. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 23:34:33 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <d4LIg.11340$Qf.9658@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6625
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

w�thh�ld f�r r��s��s �f ⁂ t����l S���r�ty <root@127.0.0.1> wrote in news:1156736876.338120@www.vif.com:

> THIS IS FUCKEN SCANNER NEWSGROUP, NOT TALK ABOUT ISSUES ABOUT AMATEUR
> > RADIO. WHO FUCK CARES!.
> >
> > IF YOU FUCKEN IDIOTS WANT TO TALK ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO THEN GO YOUR
> LITTLE
> > FUCKEN NEWSGROUP AND DISCUSS IT THEIR NOT HERE!

Do you listen to amateur radio repeaters on your scanner? Yes?

Well talk to Mark. He can tell you how to become a no code Tech. He is an expert at that because he's been a no code tech forever.

73, Happy to Help

SC

3996. Narrowband Scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 23:35:57 UTC
From: Hunchy <sx43@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <x5LIg.3375$nR2.1441@trnddc03>
Source record: 6626

Are any of the scanner manufacturers making receivers which accommodate the new "narrow" bandwidth? The compliance date for domestic spectrum users is January 1, 2008.* When the new plan comes into effect, the max deviation will then be 2.5 kHz, instead of the current 5.0 kHz.

* The new format does not apply to low-band, hams, aviation band, or 800 mHz (yet).

Hunchy

3997. Slow code the gay basher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 23:41:56 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156808516.882573.100250@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6627
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "Just4Me" <no@nono.noway> wrote in
> news:9kBIg.13826$bZ6.12809@tornado.tampabay.rr.com:
>
> > Lets see if Earthlink will remove you for off topic posts! Complaints
> > filed with Earthlink as well as with the cable company.
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> Thanks for taking our survey. We put you down in the Pro-Gay catagory
> with Roger, Mark, and Llooyd.
more of your efforts to look like Robeson or a clone of him

3998. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 23:46:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <i107f293392epcm65kuv58cs37e168plp4@4ax.com>
Source record: 6628
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 23:34:32 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
>news:1156728819.831213.322250@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> The Kat <new1@katxyzkave.net> wrote in
>>> news:rph2f25kom1gtlpp8hnebpsdr69tum5i4i@4ax.com:
>>>
>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Hey,
>>>
>>> This thread is about CW and ham radio.
>>
>> you started the thread in those gruops to troll them so you could bitch
>> when they complained
>>
>>
>>
>Hey,
>
>This thread is about CW and ham radio.
so?
> You no-codes stay out of it.
why?

as you title sugests you lost ham radio to someone looking at the
numbers it is US you will soon lose therest
>Go find some CB'ers on 11 meters to listen to.
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3999. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 23:47:49 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <FgLIg.4645$p23.1133@trnddc04>
Source record: 6629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> >> >> >> >Yet as "chief operator" or ANCOIC of NMC MARS on Okinawa, he
>> >> >> >remains
>> >> >> >woefully ignorant of MARS. I just don't get it.
>>
>> Uh, hello.... Looks like perfect qualifications for a management
>> position.
>
> I like the way you think.

I usually try to avoid thought... it makes my head hurt...

>
>
> Can't it be factory refurbished?

Funny thing... the stupid NASA Engineers tried to control the thing with
data bursts.... Yes, data bursts!
Can you believe those anti-CW nazis? We'd already be on Pluto if they'd
controlled it with CW.
So, sadly, no.... due to advanced technology, she's been lost....

>
>>I thought they were just to cover the beaches so the
>> Army doesn't get sand in their boots....
>
> Welp, when the AF took the airplanes away from the Army in 1947...
>

Was it that late? I would've guess more around the late/end of the war...

Well anyway, I was just trying to stir up some jarheads with the
beaches/Army thing...

You wanna swap radios or anything?
rb

4000. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 23:50:25 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156809025.282284.127690@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6630
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> wîthhËld fØr rËåsØñs Øf ñå tîØñål SËÇürîty <root@127.0.0.1> wrote in
> news:1156736876.338120@www.vif.com:
note your title take back meaning you lost it

and you did
> Well talk to Mark. He can tell you how to become a no code Tech. He is an
> expert at that because he's been a no code tech forever.
not realy only 8-9 years
>
> 73, Happy to Help
>
> SC

4001. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 23:50:37 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1156809037.407896.164310@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6631
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Woody wrote:
> Yeah, that was the problem exactly...
> so I can stand on the ocean floor and see people inside the boat?
> Kewl.
> rb
>
> radio content:
> So what kinda radio did the boat have? I'd like to find me a nice Micom for
> cheap...

Only robesin can tell us what kind of radio his craft carried, but even
he doesn't know.

4002. Re: If you heard Mark calling Mayday, would you switch to a different repeater?<<<only if you were abad ham like yourself

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 23:50:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <da07f2lejisjdvp0m90s97r0q461bbt2m6@4ax.com>
Source record: 6632
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 23:34:29 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>
>Or have a party.
enough said
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4003. Re: Alot of people don't want to be knowledgable about radio. You can hear'em on 11 meters.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 23:51:03 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <HjLIg.4646$p23.360@trnddc04>
Source record: 6633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

You are indeed correct in the first instance, whereas in the latter, it would depend upon which side of the pond one resides.

Got any radios for sale/trade?
rb

"Barry OGrady" <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:aft5f2hj0aki16m8u9p59ce1unajts4c23@4ax.com...
>A lot of people misspell a lot as alot and metre as meter.
>
> Barry
> =====
> Home page
> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og

4004. Re: gay bashing trol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 23:55:31 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <TnLlg.4649$p23.4643@trnddc04>
Source record: 6634
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LOL... good catch..

But again, maybe he was a priest presiding over the last ash Wednesday
service and ashed the gays then?

It still leaves me wondering if either of them had a radio for trade...?
rb

"mork margan" <gethelp@get.help> wrote in message
news:77109017833.243141558F9@get.help...
> On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 21:26:20 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com <Mark@kb9rqz.com>
> wrote:
>> On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 01:22:57 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>> >ARRL threw principle out the window when they caved in to the demands of
>> >the Lambda Amateur Radio Club (LARC).
>> back to your gay ashing I see
>
> I saw him burn no gays. Why do you tell lies?
>
>> http://marksspamblog.blogspot.com
>
> Nice blog.

4005. Re: I blame the ARRL, Mark, Roger, and Lloyd for all the homosexual posts here. Please Read.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 23:56:31 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <PoLIg.4650$p23.3008@trnddc04>
Source record: 6635
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Which Baal? There's like 50 clones running around....
None of which seem to be interested in trading radios....
rb

"mork margan" <gethelp@get.help> wrote in message
news:EOR90017833.243141558F9@get.help...
> On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 01:22:57 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>> Now the queers have all but taken over, they're like the military wing of
>> the Democratic party, and always in your face. It's not even safe to take
>> your kids to Easter egg hunts anymore. I know you're all going to
>> disagree
>> with me, but a man touching and sucking on another man's cock and
>> screwing
>> his ass just ain't right. The ARRL promoted this, now look what happened
>> to our radio groups now that Mark, Roger, and Lloyd have come out of the
>> closet.
>
> It wasnt nice to postt that so Iam sending huricane Earnesto overto
> Orlando to get you. I got naked and prayed to baal tooday and now he
> wil destr oy you and all of the bsatards in orlando plus Llyod.

4006. Re: I blame the ARRL, Mark, Roger, and Lloyd for all the homosexual posts here. Please Read.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 23:57:49 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1qLIg.4651$p23.199@trnddc04>
Source record: 6636
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Really? Can I do that? Please email me directly and give details! It would
be much more productive.
thanks!!!
rb

> Lets see if Earthlink will remove you for off topic posts! Complaints
> filed with Earthlink as well as with the cable company.

4007. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-28 23:58:39 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1156809519.192294.92120@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Woody wrote:
> >
> >> >> >> >Yet as "chief operator" or ANCOIC of NMC MARS on Okinawa, he
> >> >> >> >remains
> >> >> >> >woefully ignorant of MARS. I just don't get it.
> >>
> >> Uh, hello.... Looks like perfect qualifications for a management
> >> position.
> > >
> > > I like the way you think.
> >
> I usually try to avoid thought... it makes my head hurt...

You would have been right at home in the Morse Forever camp about 10
years ago.

> > Can't it be factory refurbished?
> >
> Funny thing... the stupid NASA Engineers tried to control the thing with
> data bursts.... Yes, data bursts!
> Can you believe those anti-CW nazis? We'd already be on Pluto if they'd
> controlled it with CW.
> So, sadly, no.... due to advanced technology, she's been lost....

Manual telegraphy? C'mon...

> >>I thought they were just to cover the beaches so the
> >> Army doesn't get sand in their boots....
> > >
> > > Welp, when the AF took the airplanes away from the Army in 1947...
> >
> Was it that late? I would've guess more around the late/end of the war...

I wasn't there, but that's how the story went.

> Well anyway, I was just trying to stir up some jarheads with the
> beaches/Army thing...

I've got a lot of respect for both. Served with the 2ID at Casey, and
again with the marines and 10th mountain in somalia.

> You wanna swap radios or anything?
> rb

Probably not, but there is another group, rec.radio.amateur.equipment,
which is a lot like a hamfest. Except the food is better.

4008. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 00:01:54 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <StLIg.4653$p23.2634@trnddc04>
Source record: 6638
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Stupid 40 year old memories, they're about as reliable as rain....
It appears I was quite an inattentive child, sorry... :-(
rb

Radio content:
The Maverick had an AM/FM/8-track, and a big screwdriver for a gearshift.

<X-rated Vermonter> wrote in message
news:3kg6f29ocbti00u6s3b09k94gbe4l5drv3@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 01:38:43 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Well... I can remember my dad filling 'er up with Fire Chief Hi-Test back
>>in
>>the day, at the Sunoco station.
>>I'm a summer of love baby so not too old yet, LOL.
>>rb
> ++++++++++
> Fire Chief was the regular grade sold by Texaco. Their premium was
> Sky Chief.
>
> In the 1950s, Sunoco sold only one grade of gasoline, which was
> supposed to be a "near premium". Its gimmick was that it was dyed
> blue, whereas other gasolines were yellow to red. In the 1960s, its
> super-premium was Sunoco 260 from the "custom blend" pump.

4009. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 00:04:54 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <GwLIg.4654$p23.4612@trnddc04>
Source record: 6639
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Ah, the day I could do that.... LOL... [the self thing... the ol' arse is exit-only, sorry!]
rb

Radio content:
Radios get sold every day on ebay... and no discussion is necessary...
hmmm....

"w�thh�ld f�r r��s��s �f ��t����l S���r�ty" <root@127.0.0.1> wrote in message news:1156795970.341579@www.vif.com...
> GO FUCK YOURSELF! AND TAKE YOUR HAM RADIO AND SHOVE IT UP YOUR FUCKEN ASS
>
> X-rated Vermonter wrote:
>> On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 01:38:43 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Well... I can remember my dad filling 'er up with Fire Chief Hi-Test back
>>>in the day, at the Sunoco station.
>>>I'm a summer of love baby so not too old yet, LOL.
>>>rb
>>
>> ++++++++++
>> Fire Chief was the regular grade sold by Texaco. Their premium was
>> Sky Chief.
>>
>> In the 1950s, Sunoco sold only one grade of gasoline, which was
>> supposed to be a "near premium". Its gimmick was that it was dyed
>> blue, whereas other gasolines were yellow to red. In the 1960s, its
>> super-premium was Sunoco 260 from the "custom blend" pump.
>>
>>
>> ---
>> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
>> Virus Database (VPS): 0635-1, 08/28/2006
>> Tested on: 8/28/2006 4:12:05 PM
>> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
>> http://www.avast.com
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> ---

> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0635-1, 08/28/2006
> Tested on: 8/28/2006 4:12:41 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

4010. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 00:06:12 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <UxLIg.4655$p23.4449@trnddc04>
Source record: 6640
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Eww.... yer one weird dude...
rb

Radio Content:
AM and FM are available on most dials.

"Roger Wiseman" <Woger@noyb.net> wrote in message
news:ecvj27$393$1@news2.open-news-network.org...
> OK SHOVE IT UP MINE FIRST!!!!!!
>>
> "w�thh�ld f�r r��s��s �f ��t����l S���r�ty" <root@127.0.0.1> wrote in
> message news:1156795970.341579@www.vif.com...
>> GO FUCK YOURSELF! AND TAKE YOUR HAM RADIO AND SHOVE IT UP YOUR FUCKEN ASS
>>
>> X-rated Vermonter wrote:
>>> On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 01:38:43 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Well... I can remember my dad filling 'er up with Fire Chief Hi-Test
>>>>back in the day, at the Sunoco station.
>>>>I'm a summer of love baby so not too old yet, LOL.
>>>>rb
>>>
>>> ++++++++++
>>> Fire Chief was the regular grade sold by Texaco. Their premium was
>>> Sky Chief.
>>>
>>> In the 1950s, Sunoco sold only one grade of gasoline, which was
>>> supposed to be a "near premium". Its gimmick was that it was dyed
>>> blue, whereas other gasolines were yellow to red. In the 1960s, its
>>> super-premium was Sunoco 260 from the "custom blend" pump.
>>>
>>>
>>> ---
>>> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
>>> Virus Database (VPS): 0635-1, 08/28/2006
>>> Tested on: 8/28/2006 4:12:05 PM
>>> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
>>> http://www.avast.com
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>

>>
>> ---
>> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
>> Virus Database (VPS): 0635-1, 08/28/2006
>> Tested on: 8/28/2006 4:12:41 PM
>> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
>> http://www.avast.com
>>
>>
>>
>
>

4011. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 00:11:06 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uCLIg.4656$p23.3545@trnddc04>
Source record: 6641
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Sweet Jesus!! Man, are you crazy??? Do you know how many hammies are gonna
see that and
what kind of search and rescue operation you've started....
Now lives will be lost and thousands of dollars wasted because of that
little trick.
I hope you're proud of yourself.
rb

"Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net> wrote in message
news:ectn8h08ut@enews4.newsguy.com...
>> By replying only in CW, either text dit-dah, or periods and hyphens......
>>
>> How many people actually read that, and what is your analysis of the
>> report?
> "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:7WrIg.2666$nR2.321@trnddc03...
>> OK.... taking a poll....
>>
>> By replying only in CW, either text dit-dah, or periods and hyphens......
>>
>> How many people actually read that, and what is your analysis of the
>> report?
>
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...

> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>
> Jack
>
>
>>
>> I am running a script to see how long it takes you to click reply, enter
>> your response,
>> then click send. Your effective scaled-score code speed will be
>> calculated from those particular
>> clicks, along with the character count of the message. You will be made
>> fun of, according to your
>> CW speed. Anyone less than 24wpm will be required to take the new FCC
>> remedial code speed
>> Enzyte Exam for phallic phlops.
>>
>> Gentlemen, gud luck.
>> rb
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> "Travis Jordan" <no.one@no.net> wrote in message
>> news:Ot3Ig.79826$P02.21245@fe07.news.easynews.com...
>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>> 1: No more
>>>
>>> Amateur radio is in decline and you think putting Morse code back in as
>>> a requirement would help?
>>>
>>> Bwhahahahaha.
>>> ----------------
>>> Statistics courtesy of George McCouch, K3UD.
>>>
>>> Total active individual licenses as of May 14, 2000:
>>> Novice - 49,329
>>> Tech/+ - 334,254

>>> General - 112,677
>>> Advanced - 99,782
>>> Extra - 78,750
>>>
>>> Total all classes - 674,792
>>>
>>> Total active individual licenses as of June 30, 2006:
>>> Novice - 24,877 (-49.57%) (-24,456)
>>> Tech/+ - 320,242 (-4.20%) (-14,012)
>>> General - 132,758 (+17.82%) (+20,081)
>>> Advanced - 71,753 (-28.13%) (-28,029)
>>> Extra - 108,184 (+37.38%) (+29,434)
>>>
>>> Total All Classes: 657,814 (-1,405 since the March 2006 reporting
>>> period)
>>>
>>> Total all classes (5/14/00) - 674,792
>>> Total all Classes (4/21/03) - 687,860
>>> Total all classes (9/6/04) - 674,788
>>> Total all classes (6/30/06) - 657,814
>>>
>>> Total loss of 16,978 since 5/14/2000 (Was 674,792)
>>> Total loss of 16,974 since 9/6/2004 (Was 674,788)
>>> Total Loss of 30,066 since 4/2003 (all time high of 687,860)
>>>
>>> We lost:
>>> 842 Novice
>>> 1,088 General
>>> 1,157 Advanced
>>> 3,087 Total
>>>
>>> We Gained:
>>> 1,258 Tech/+
>>> 424 Extra
>>> 1,682 Total
>>>
>>> This is an overall 1,405 decline since the March reporting period
>>> and averages 467 per month for the quarter.
>>>
>>> For the months March April and May the new licenses issued
>>> break down as follows.
>>>
>>> 6,999 Tech/+ 89.3% **
>>> 627 General 8.0%
>>> 217 Extra 2.7%
>>>
>>> Total new licenses issues during the period - 7,849
>>>
>>> ** These numbers come from the very interesting and comprehensive
>>> website of Joe Speroni, AH0A http://www.ah0a.org

>>>
>>> Numbers of US population and the number of hams at the start of each
>>> decade from 1930.
>>>
>>> Year Population # Hams Growth Rate
>>> 1930 123,202,624 19,000
>>> 1940 132,164,569 56,000 194%
>>> 1950 151,325,798 87,000 55%
>>> 1960 179,323,175 230,000 164%
>>> 1970 203,211,926 263,918 15%
>>> 1980 226,545,805 393,353 49%
>>> 1990 248,709,873 502,677 28%
>>> 2000 281,421,906 682,240 36%
>>> 2006 657,814 -3.6 %
>>>
>>> The 2006 number was as of June 30, 2006.
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

4012. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 00:16:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <po17f2p6l1ndkilirjl0c6mk13lijg5kpl@4ax.com>
Source record: 6642
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 28 Aug 2006 16:58:39 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Woody wrote:
>> >
>> >> >> >> >Yet as "chief operator" or ANCOIC of NMC MARS on Okinawa, he
>> >> >> >> >remains
>> >> >> >> >woefully ignorant of MARS. I just don't get it.
>> >> >>
>> >> Uh, hello.... Looks like perfect qualifications for a management
>> >> position.
>> >
>> > I like the way you think.
>> >
>> I usually try to avoid thought... it makes my head hurt...
>
>You would have been right at home in the Morse Forever camp about 10
>years ago.
or today
>
>> > Can't it be factory refurbished?
>> >
>> Funny thing... the stupid NASA Engineers tried to control the thing with
>> data bursts.... Yes, data bursts!
>> Can you believe those anti-CW nazis? We'd already be on Pluto if they'd
>> controlled it with CW.
>> So, sadly, no.... due to advanced technology, she's been lost....
>
>Manual telegraphy? C'mon...
>
>> >>I thought they were just to cover the beaches so the
>> >> Army doesn't get sand in their boots....
>> >
>> > Welp, when the AF took the airplanes away from the Army in 1947...
>> >
>> Was it that late? I would've guess more around the late/end of the war...
>
>I wasn't there, but that's how the story went.
>
>> Well anyway, I was just trying to stir up some jarheads with the
>> beaches/Army thing...
>
>I've got a lot of respect for both. Served with the 2ID at Casey, and

>again with the marines and 10th mountain in somalia.
>
>> You wanna swap radios or anything?
>> rb
>
>Probably not, but there is another group, rec.radio.amateur.equipment,
>which is a lot like a hamfest. Except the food is better.
pf course it is
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4013. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 00:18:54 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <OJLIg.4659$p23.437@trnddc04>
Source record: 6643
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Yo homes... y'all needs tuh take a minizzle an scan roun foze a
dictionary.... fo shizzle....
Bess yet, aks bout wunna them boost mobile phonez.... den yous kin say it
widdout sayin' it wif boose caw tonez....
in an attachment, of course.
Savvy?
rb

Radio content:
A 40's vintage Collins military receiver, if dropped from about 25 feet,
will crush a human skull.

"w�thh�ld f�r r��s��s �f ��t����l S���r�ty" <root@127.0.0.1> wrote in
message news:1156736907.230029@www.vif.com...
> THIS IS FUCKEN SCANNER NEWSGROUP, NOT TALK ABOUT ISSUES ABOUT AMATEUR
> > RADIO. WHO FUCK CARES!.
> >
> > IF YOU FUCKEN IDIOTS WANT TO TALK ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO THEN GO YOUR
> LITTLE
> > FUCKEN NEWSGROUP AND DISCUSS IT THEIR NOT HERE!
>
> Jack Ricci wrote:
>
>>>By replying only in CW, either text dit-dah, or periods and hyphens.....
>>>
>>>How many people actually read that, and what is your analysis of the
>>>report?
>>
>> "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:7WrIg.2666$nR2.321@trnddc03...
>>
>>>OK.... taking a poll....
>>>
>>>By replying only in CW, either text dit-dah, or periods and hyphens.....
>>>
>>>How many people actually read that, and what is your analysis of the
>>>report?
>>
>>
>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...

>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>> ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---... ...---...
>>
>> Jack
>>
>>
>>
>>>I am running a script to see how long it takes you to click reply, enter
>>>your response,
>>>then click send. Your effective scaled-score code speed will be
>>>calculated from those particular
>>>clicks, along with the character count of the message. You will be made
>>>fun of, according to your
>>>CW speed. Anyone less than 24wpm will be required to take the new FCC
>>>remedial code speed
>>>Enzyte Exam for phallic phlops.
>>>
>>>Gentlemen, gud luck.
>>>rb
>>>
>>>

>>>
>>>
>>>"Travis Jordan" <no.one@no.net> wrote in message
>>>news:Ot3Ig.79826$P02.21245@fe07.news.easynews.com...
>>>
>>>>Slow Code wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>1: No more
>>>>
>>>>Amateur radio is in decline and you think putting Morse code back in as
>>>>a requirement would help?
>>>>
>>>>Bwhahahahaha.
>>>>----------
>>>>Statistics courtesy of George McCouch, K3UD.
>>>>
>>>>Total active individual licenses as of May 14, 2000:
>>>>Novice - 49,329
>>>>Tech/+ - 334,254
>>>>General - 112,677
>>>>Advanced - 99,782
>>>>Extra - 78,750
>>>>
>>>>Total all classes - 674,792
>>>>
>>>>Total active individual licenses as of June 30, 2006:
>>>>Novice - 24,877 (-49.57%) (-24,456)
>>>>Tech/+ - 320,242 (-4.20%) (-14,012)
>>>>General - 132,758 (+17.82%) (+20,081)
>>>>Advanced - 71,753 (-28.13%) (-28,029)
>>>>Extra - 108,184 (+37.38%) (+29,434)
>>>>
>>>>Total All Classes: 657,814 (-1,405 since the March 2006 reporting
>>>>period)
>>>>
>>>>Total all classes (5/14/00) - 674,792
>>>>Total all Classes (4/21/03) - 687,860
>>>>Total all classes (9/6/04) - 674,788
>>>>Total all classes (6/30/06) - 657,814
>>>>
>>>>Total loss of 16,978 since 5/14/2000 (Was 674,792)
>>>>Total loss of 16,974 since 9/6/2004 (Was 674,788)
>>>>Total Loss of 30,066 since 4/2003 (all time high of 687,860)
>>>>
>>>>We lost:
>>>> 842 Novice
>>>>1,088 General
>>>>1,157 Advanced
>>>>3,087 Total

>>>>
>>>>We Gained:
>>>>1,258 Tech/+
>>>>424 Extra
>>>>1,682 Total
>>>>
>>>>This is an overall 1,405 decline since the March reporting period
>>>>and averages 467 per month for the quarter.
>>>>
>>>>For the months March April and May the new licenses issued
>>>>break down as follows.
>>>>
>>>>6,999 Tech/+ 89.3% **
>>>>627 General 8.0%
>>>>217 Extra 2.7%
>>>>
>>>>Total new licenses issues during the period - 7,849
>>>>
>>>>** These numbers come from the very interesting and comprehensive
>>>>website of Joe Speroni, AH0A http://www.ah0a.org
>>>>
>>>>Numbers of US population and the number of hams at the start of each
>>>>decade from 1930.
>>>>
>>>>Year Population # Hams Growth Rate
>>>>1930 123,202,624 19,000
>>>>1940 132,164,569 56,000 194%
>>>>1950 151,325,798 87,000 55%
>>>>1960 179,323,175 230,000 164%
>>>>1970 203,211,926 263,918 15%
>>>>1980 226,545,805 393,353 49%
>>>>1990 248,709,873 502,677 28%
>>>>2000 281,421,906 682,240 36%
>>>>2006 657,814 -3.6 %
>>>>
>>>>The 2006 number was as of June 30, 2006.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> ---
>> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
>> Virus Database (VPS): 0634-2, 08/24/2006
>> Tested on: 8/27/2006 11:44:54 PM
>> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.

>> http://www.avast.com
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0634-2, 08/24/2006
> Tested on: 8/27/2006 11:48:18 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

4014. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 00:22:20 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <0NLIg.4662$p23.4533@trnddc04>
Source record: 6644
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Actually, in all seriousness... my advice is to never put a d-cell maglight
in your front pocket on a 3am call.....
No good can come from it.
rb

>>
>>
> Interesting name, Woody. Yet, it seems to fit.

4015. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 00:23:47 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <nOLIg.4663$p23.2733@trnddc04>
Source record: 6645
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Yo.... DJ Scratch N'Sniff...
bump the turn-table will ya?
rb

"w�thh�ld f�r r��s��s �f ��t����l S���r�ty" <root@127.0.0.1> wrote in
message news:1156736836.483502@www.vif.com...
> THIS IS FUCKEN SCANNER NEWSGROUP, NOT TALK ABOUT ISSUES ABOUT AMATEUR
> > RADIO. WHO FUCK CARES!.
> >
> > IF YOU FUCKEN IDIOTS WANT TO TALK ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO THEN GO YOUR
> LITTLE
> > FUCKEN NEWSGROUP AND DISCUSS IT THEIR NOT HERE!
>
> jawod wrote:
>
>> Woody wrote:
>>
>>>> Man, of COURSE, it's still around.
>>>>
>>>> If you discuss ham radio with others, do you mention contacting the
>>>> Space Shuttle? ham radio satellites? weak signal work in VHF/UHF?
>>>> Sporadic E? Tropospheric Ducting? DX???
>>>>
>>>> How about EME?
>>>>
>>>> You don't have to be doing these things yourself, you just need to let
>>>> everyone know what the ENTIRE hobby is about.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Huh? You mean there is something besides CW?? Man, don't you know CW
>>> saves lives?!?!?
>>>
>>> Listen, Weak signals are for CW work on HF while boats are burning.
>>> Contacting the space shuttle is just pointless unless you're correcting
>>> their keplerian elements for the return flight path or asking if they
>>> really drink Tang, Sporadic E [aka EMF bombing] is really pissing off
>>> the Condensation Entities that periodically enter the atmosphere to scan
>>> for intelligent life, and tropospheric ducting is a lie. I happen to
>>> have the control codes to several am-sats and I randomly change the
>>> freqs all the time, and you suckers fall for it without fail....
>>> And DX? Hello....? Internet....?
>>> :-)

>>> rb
>>>
>>>
>> Interesting name, Woody. Yet, it seems to fit.
>>
>>
>> ---
>> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
>> Virus Database (VPS): 0634-2, 08/24/2006
>> Tested on: 8/27/2006 11:46:51 PM
>> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
>> http://www.avast.com
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0634-2, 08/24/2006
> Tested on: 8/27/2006 11:47:08 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

4016. New scanners from Radio Shack??? anyone know?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 00:25:02 UTC
From: bitwisebob <bitwisebob@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <g727f2p5bd40d1a5i5vedoldhb4cfol57l@4ax.com>
Source record: 6652

The Pro-96 has been around for a while, any rumors out there about new scanners from GRE/RadioShack?

looking mainly for digital scanners, needed to hear local PD/FD....

tia

Bob Anderson*Bitwisebob
Eugene Oregon

4017. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 00:25:12 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <IPLIg.4665$p23.2840@trnddc04>
Source record: 6646
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Huh?
rb

"w�thh�ld f�r r��s��s �f ��t����l S���r�ty" <root@127.0.0.1> wrote in
message news:1156736876.338120@www.vif.com...
> THIS IS FUCKEN SCANNER NEWSGROUP, NOT TALK ABOUT ISSUES ABOUT AMATEUR
> > RADIO. WHO FUCK CARES!.
> >
> > IF YOU FUCKEN IDIOTS WANT TO TALK ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO THEN GO YOUR
> LITTLE
> > FUCKEN NEWSGROUP AND DISCUSS IT THEIR NOT HERE!
>
> Woody wrote:
>
>> To keep you informed of your need to learn CW, so you can save lives too?
>> rb
>>
>>
>>
>> "The Kat" <new1@katxyzkave.net> wrote in message
>> news:rph2f25kom1gtlpp8hnebpsdr69tum5i4i@4ax.com...
>>
>>>On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 01:06:22 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>WHY in the FUCK are you continuing this thread
>>>in a SCANNER newsgroup??
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>>>
>>>This sig censored by the Office of Home and Land Insecurity...
>>>
>>> Remove XYZ to email me
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> ---

>> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
>> Virus Database (VPS): 0634-2, 08/24/2006
>> Tested on: 8/27/2006 11:44:26 PM
>> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
>> http://www.avast.com
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0634-2, 08/24/2006
> Tested on: 8/27/2006 11:47:47 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

4018. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 00:25:22 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <SPLIg.4666$p23.611@trnddc04>
Source record: 6647
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

What?
rb

"w�thh�ld f�r r��s��s �f ��t����l S���r�ty" <root@127.0.0.1> wrote in
message news:1156736876.338120@www.vif.com...
> THIS IS FUCKEN SCANNER NEWSGROUP, NOT TALK ABOUT ISSUES ABOUT AMATEUR
> > RADIO. WHO FUCK CARES!.
> >
> > IF YOU FUCKEN IDIOTS WANT TO TALK ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO THEN GO YOUR
> LITTLE
> > FUCKEN NEWSGROUP AND DISCUSS IT THEIR NOT HERE!
>
> Woody wrote:
>
>> To keep you informed of your need to learn CW, so you can save lives too?
>> rb
>>
>>
>>
>> "The Kat" <new1@katxyzkave.net> wrote in message
>> news:rph2f25kom1gtlpp8hnebpsdr69tum5i4i@4ax.com...
>>
>>>On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 01:06:22 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>WHY in the FUCK are you continuing this thread
>>>in a SCANNER newsgroup??
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>>>
>>>This sig censored by the Office of Home and Land Insecurity...
>>>
>>> Remove XYZ to email me
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> ---

>> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
>> Virus Database (VPS): 0634-2, 08/24/2006
>> Tested on: 8/27/2006 11:44:26 PM
>> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
>> http://www.avast.com
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0634-2, 08/24/2006
> Tested on: 8/27/2006 11:47:47 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

4019. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 00:25:53 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <lQLIg.4667$p23.12@trnddc04>
Source record: 6648
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hey, are you Sprechen Si English?
rb

"w�thh�ld f�r r��s��s �f ��t����l S���r�ty" <root@127.0.0.1> wrote in
message news:1156736876.338120@www.vif.com...
> THIS IS FUCKEN SCANNER NEWSGROUP, NOT TALK ABOUT ISSUES ABOUT AMATEUR
> > RADIO. WHO FUCK CARES!.
> >
> > IF YOU FUCKEN IDIOTS WANT TO TALK ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO THEN GO YOUR
> LITTLE
> > FUCKEN NEWSGROUP AND DISCUSS IT THEIR NOT HERE!
>
> Woody wrote:
>
>> To keep you informed of your need to learn CW, so you can save lives too?
>> rb
>>
>>
>>
>> "The Kat" <new1@katxyzkave.net> wrote in message
>> news:rph2f25kom1gtlpp8hnebpsdr69tum5i4i@4ax.com...
>>
>>>On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 01:06:22 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>WHY in the FUCK are you continuing this thread
>>>in a SCANNER newsgroup??
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>>>
>>>This sig censored by the Office of Home and Land Insecurity...
>>>
>>> Remove XYZ to email me
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> ---

>> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
>> Virus Database (VPS): 0634-2, 08/24/2006
>> Tested on: 8/27/2006 11:44:26 PM
>> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
>> http://www.avast.com
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0634-2, 08/24/2006
> Tested on: 8/27/2006 11:47:47 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

4020. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 00:26:48 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cRLlg.4668$p23.4253@trnddc04>
Source record: 6649
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

That's a nasty mouth you got there... do you kiss your momma with that
mouth??

Well... in retrospect... please don't answer that...
rb

"w�thh�ld f�r r��s��s �f ��t����l S���r�ty" <root@127.0.0.1> wrote in
message news:1156736876.338120@www.vif.com...
> THIS IS FUCKEN SCANNER NEWSGROUP, NOT TALK ABOUT ISSUES ABOUT AMATEUR
> > RADIO. WHO FUCK CARES!.
> >
> > IF YOU FUCKEN IDIOTS WANT TO TALK ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO THEN GO YOUR
> LITTLE
> > FUCKEN NEWSGROUP AND DISCUSS IT THEIR NOT HERE!
>
> Woody wrote:
>
>> To keep you informed of your need to learn CW, so you can save lives too?
>> rb
>>
>>
>>
>> "The Kat" <new1@katxyzkave.net> wrote in message
>> news:rph2f25kom1gtlpp8hnebpsdr69tum5i4i@4ax.com...
>>
>>>On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 01:06:22 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>WHY in the FUCK are you continuing this thread
>>>in a SCANNER newsgroup??
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>>>
>>>This sig censored by the Office of Home and Land Insecurity...
>>>
>>> Remove XYZ to email me
>>
>>
>>

>>
>>
>> ---
>> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
>> Virus Database (VPS): 0634-2, 08/24/2006
>> Tested on: 8/27/2006 11:44:26 PM
>> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
>> http://www.avast.com
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0634-2, 08/24/2006
> Tested on: 8/27/2006 11:47:47 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

4021. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 00:27:45 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5SLlg.4669$p23.716@trnddc04>
Source record: 6650
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

HAHA.... do it again....
rb

"w�thh�ld f�r r��s��s �f ��t����l S���r�ty" <root@127.0.0.1> wrote in
message news:1156736847.99199@www.vif.com...
> THIS IS FUCKEN SCANNER NEWSGROUP, NOT TALK ABOUT ISSUES ABOUT AMATEUR
> > RADIO. WHO FUCK CARES!.
> >
> > IF YOU FUCKEN IDIOTS WANT TO TALK ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO THEN GO YOUR
> LITTLE
> > FUCKEN NEWSGROUP AND DISCUSS IT THEIR NOT HERE!
>
> Woody wrote:
>
>> Yeah, you sure told yourself off but good, too.
>> I wouldn't take that kinda trash from an inbred skinhead if I were you!
>> rb
>>
>> "Drake nazi" <manyrumours@lycos.com> wrote in message
>> news:1156693915.760867.174750@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>Why did you give your ham radio away fool?
>>>
>>>No 6 meters for you, one year!
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> ---
>> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
>> Virus Database (VPS): 0634-2, 08/24/2006
>> Tested on: 8/27/2006 11:44:14 PM
>> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
>> http://www.avast.com
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.

> Virus Database (VPS): 0634-2, 08/24/2006
> Tested on: 8/27/2006 11:47:18 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>
>

4022. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 00:28:13 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <xSLlg.4670$p23.3129@trnddc04>
Source record: 6651
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LMAO! Kewl... do it again...
rb

"w�thh�ld f�r r��s��s �f ��t����l S���r�ty" <root@127.0.0.1> wrote in
message news:1156736854.599183@www.vif.com...
> THIS IS FUCKEN SCANNER NEWSGROUP, NOT TALK ABOUT ISSUES ABOUT AMATEUR
> > RADIO. WHO FUCK CARES!.
> >
> > IF YOU FUCKEN IDIOTS WANT TO TALK ABOUT AMATEUR RADIO THEN GO YOUR
> LITTLE
> > FUCKEN NEWSGROUP AND DISCUSS IT THEIR NOT HERE!
>
> Woody wrote:
>
>> Yeah, you sure told yourself off but good, too.
>> I wouldn't take that kinda trash from an inbred skinhead if I were you!
>> rb
>>
>> "Drake nazi" <manyrumours@lycos.com> wrote in message
>> news:1156693915.760867.174750@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>Why did you give your ham radio away fool?
>>>
>>>No 6 meters for you, one year!
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> ---
>> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
>> Virus Database (VPS): 0634-2, 08/24/2006
>> Tested on: 8/27/2006 11:44:14 PM
>> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
>> http://www.avast.com
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.

> Virus Database (VPS): 0634-2, 08/24/2006
> Tested on: 8/27/2006 11:47:26 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

4023. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 01:03:07 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <fnMIg.4723$pX3.1893@trnddc07>
Source record: 6653
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Bummer...
rb

Radio Content:
Well... there is none... I guess I give up.

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1156809037.407896.164310@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Woody wrote:
>> Yeah, that was the problem exactly...
>> so I can stand on the ocean floor and see people inside the boat?
>> Kewl.
>> rb
>>
>> radio content:
>> So what kinda radio did the boat have? I'd like to find me a nice Micom
>> for
>> cheap...
>
> Only robesin can tell us what kind of radio his craft carried, but even
> he doesn't know.
>

4024. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 01:09:39 UTC
From: Jack Ricci <ricci@mnsi.net>
Message-ID: <ed1chk01vks@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 6662
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> OK SHOVE IT UP MINE FIRST!!!!!!
"Roger Wiseman" <Woger@noyb.net> wrote in message
news:ecvj27$393$1@news2.open-news-network.org...
> OK SHOVE IT UP MINE FIRST!!!!!!

...I used to get more free radios that way...

Jack

>>
> "w�thh�ld f�r r��s��s �f ��t����l S���r�ty" <root@127.0.0.1> wrote in
> message news:1156795970.341579@www.vif.com...
>> GO FUCK YOURSELF! AND TAKE YOUR HAM RADIO AND SHOVE IT UP YOUR FUCKEN ASS
>>
>> X-rated Vermonter wrote:
>>> On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 01:38:43 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Well... I can remember my dad filling 'er up with Fire Chief Hi-Test
>>>>back in the day, at the Sunoco station.
>>>>I'm a summer of love baby so not too old yet, LOL.
>>>>rb
>>>
>>> ++++++++++
>>> Fire Chief was the regular grade sold by Texaco. Their premium was
>>> Sky Chief.
>>>
>>> In the 1950s, Sunoco sold only one grade of gasoline, which was
>>> supposed to be a "near premium". Its gimmick was that it was dyed
>>> blue, whereas other gasolines were yellow to red. In the 1960s, its
>>> super-premium was Sunoco 260 from the "custom blend" pump.
>>>
>>>
>>> ---
>>> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
>>> Virus Database (VPS): 0635-1, 08/28/2006
>>> Tested on: 8/28/2006 4:12:05 PM
>>> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
>>> http://www.avast.com
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>

>>
>> ---
>> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
>> Virus Database (VPS): 0635-1, 08/28/2006
>> Tested on: 8/28/2006 4:12:41 PM
>> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
>> http://www.avast.com
>>
>>
>>
>
>

4025. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 01:10:12 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <UtMIg.4733$pX3.1433@trnddc07>
Source record: 6654
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1156809519.192294.92120@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> Woody wrote:
>
> Manual telegraphy? C'mon...

You do realize I'm a disgruntled radio swap group, off-topic, post bombing
loser, right?
I'm just thinking this would be a great place for a radio swap group, that's
all.
Everything I say is usually in sarcasm.....just to add stupidity to the
stupidty....
I've given up though and I'm going back to ebay...

>
> I've got a lot of respect for both. Served with the 2ID at Casey, and
> again with the marines and 10th mountain in somalia.
>

Big Ol' Kudos to you bro. Somalians suck. I think there are indeed places
that qualify as Fourth-world countries.
Somalia, Nigeria, etc... LOL..
rb

4026. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 01:17:34 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <OAMIg.4745$pX3.3815@trnddc07>
Source record: 6655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Good on ya then! Do upgrade whenever you can, coz hammin' can be fun if you
can find a decent bunch to hang with.
Learning 5wpm is pretty easy and gives you a new "toy" or "language" to play
with if you are so inclined.
The big plus being the new privileges and theory, and all the hi-tech
progression in radio going on in the 21st Century!
There's lots to get into.
Gud luck,
rb

<Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
news:2hs6f2p6685a6ml03d5sf436l48ss5qlg7@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 22:38:54 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Ah, my bad... sry.
>>rb
>>
> np I expect the FCC to help me correct that soon enough
>>Radio content:
>>There used to be a radio swap group here....
>
> indeed and all kinds of radio gruops
>>
>><Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
>>news:7oe4f2lr255om8j04n73e8v9e4023rals4@4ax.com...
>>> On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 00:36:43 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Yeah, yoo big chikin. LOL
>>>>
>>>>I dare you to pick up a mic and do a radio test on 3.865
>>>>by saying "Beep, beep, beep, beeeeeeeep"!
>>>>
>>>>rb
>>> well as a etech I realy should not try that one
>>>>
>>>>"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:1156523145.805735.80970@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>
>>> --
>>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>>
>>

> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

4027. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 01:38:56 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1156815536.269854.59980@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6656
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Woody wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1156809519.192294.92120@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Woody wrote:
> >
> > Manual telegraphy? C'mon...
>
> You do realize I'm a disgruntled radio swap group, off-topic, post bombing
> loser, right?
> I'm just thinking this would be a great place for a radio swap group, that's
> all.
> Everything I say is usually in sarcasm.....just to add stupidity to the
> stupidty....
> I've given up though and I'm going back to ebay...

Alright. List your stuff. Maybe someone is interested.

> > I've got a lot of respect for both. Served with the 2ID at Casey, and
> > again with the marines and 10th mountain in somalia.
> >
>
> Big Ol' Kudos to you bro. Somalians suck. I think there are indeed places
> that qualify as Fourth-world countries.
> Somalia, Nigeria, etc... LOL..
> rb

It sucked.

Just got a message on the somalia reflector today. Seems the al qaida
is well liked in somalia because the country has been through 15 years
of chaos and lawlessness, and the islamists are now imposing their law.
I guess any law is better than none.

Reminds me, in part, how hitler came to power.

4028. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 01:44:50 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1156815890.124501.133600@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Woody wrote:
> >Never ever saw a
> >CAP in a flight suit before robesin's well advertised home page
>
> Big blue jumpsuits... never seen anything else...

Robesin's flight suit is sage green. Looks like a real one.

> >, and a male nurses uniform.
>
> Wanna explain what a male nurses uniform is??

He said it wasn't a dress. Culots, maybe?

> > > Thought he had a "killer" job as a male nurse?
>
> Wow, that sounds rather condescending....

How else would you describe the best job in the world?

> >> Oh, I don't know. After a hard day behind the microphone, he's got
> > that 1,000 yard stare.
>
> Yeah, those "real" radio ops really have it tough, don't they? There should
> be a reality show called
> "Two-Way Trauma: Life in the radio room."
>
> rb

Hah!!! I'm sure he could make up stories. I'm real sure of it.

4029. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 01:51:02 UTC
From: Dave Oldridge <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Xns982DBFC23EB7Adoldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159>
Source record: 6658
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote in news:gDKIg.7926$Xl5.5330@trnddc06:

>
> "Dave Oldridge" <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote in message
> news:Xns982CE8FF65B52doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159...
>> "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote in news:i4rIg.901$N84.191@trnddc08:
>>
>>> So did your guy die or not?
>>
>> Which one? You win some, you lose some.
>
> Huh? How can a fast CW op *not* save a life? Have you read this thread
> at all?
> CW = Gift of Life.
> Fast CW = Hand of God.

Where did anyone actually say that in this thread?
>
> It's in the Extra Class manual....
>
>> And that's why I'm watching TV from a 12ghz satellite signal right
>> now. Putting the antenna that high makes all modes pretty much equal
>> as far as signal-to-noise goes.
>
> AAAAAAAAAAARRRRRRRRRRRRGGGGGGGH!!
> Holy crap.... I hope no one else reads that.. It'll kill the entire
> Comm-Post pile we're making, and then
> people will just go back to swapping radios or something. [Perish the
> thought!]
> rb

Well, at the moment my radio is on 3729 LSB. But it will shortly be on
3652 CW.

--
Dave Oldridge+
ICQ 1800667

4030. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 01:52:34 UTC
From: Dave Oldridge <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Xns982DC004CDB80doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159>
Source record: 6659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote in news:2QKIg.7929$Xl5.2566@trnddc06:

> The one that's looking for a VHF 50w Spectra..... hehe...
>
> And I have to ask Dave, [and I mean seriously this time]... You really
> aren't defending this thread [in this group] as
> an intelligent discussion [or one that should even be here] in the
> first place, are you?

Nope. It's not about antennas, so that makes it off topic in the group I'm posting in.

--
Dave Oldridge+
ICQ 1800667

4031. Re: New scanners from Radio Shack??? anyone know?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 02:15:10 UTC
From: Stratinator
Message-ID: <-rOdnRYXIM6zPm7ZnZ2dnUVZ_vudnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 6660

It's speculated that GRE probably won't release a new model until some rebanded systems are online. Erring on the side of caution, I suppose.

In the meantime, the PRO-96/2096 works quite well unless you need to track 700MHz trunked or LTR systems. In that case, the newer Uniden scanners (396/996) should work for you.

All of the above *should* be able to be modified to work after rebanding takes place, but nothing is 100% certain. Hence, it may be wise indeed for GRE to wait.

4032. FS: Pro 2006 400 Channel Base scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 13:02:28 UTC
From: Bill Hammon <bhammon@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <290820060702280737%bhammon@comcast.net>
Source record: 6661
Cross-posted to: dfw.forsale,tx.forsale,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

FS: Pro 2006 400 Channel Base scanner. No gaps in coverage (25-1300
MHz) A bargain at $125.

Common questions:
Yes, the backlight is bright
Yes, it receives cell phone signals
No other modifications have been perfomed.
No, I don't have the box.

--
Bill Hammon

4033. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 13:16:27 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <L6XIg.2761$N84.1456@trnddc08>
Source record: 6663
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Because your stupidity is laughable each and every time you cut/paste it...
LOL
rb

"The Kat" <new1@katxyzkave.net> wrote in message
news:lsh2f2l1egqf4qbqgnocmv31jipljc234c@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 26 Aug 2006 22:43:53 -0400, "L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> WHY in the FUCK are you continuing this thread
> in a SCANNER newsgroup??
>
>
>
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> This sig censored by the Office of Home and Land Insecurity...
>
> Remove XYZ to email me

4034. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 13:16:45 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <17Xlg.2762$N84.2437@trnddc08>
Source record: 6664
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Because your stupidity is laughable each and every time you cut/paste it...
LOL
rb

"The Kat" <new1@katxyzkave.net> wrote in message
news:sqh2f2pgr6qff03oo1mfg83d1a5p0sjlnh@4ax.com...
> On 26 Aug 2006 18:44:08 -0700, "an old freid to some a nightmare to steve"
> <kb9rqz__ars@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>
> WHY in the FUCK are you continuing this thread
> in a SCANNER newsgroup??
>
>
>
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> This sig censored by the Office of Home and Land Insecurity...
>
> Remove XYZ to email me

4035. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 13:17:31 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <L7XIg.2763$N84.87@trnddc08>
Source record: 6665
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Because your stupidity is laughable each and every time you cut/paste it...
LOL
rb

"The Kat" <new1@katxyzkave.net> wrote in message
news:8rh2f290q6k4fmb6d0ujo61384rugmbjqb@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 02:05:30 GMT, "Just4Me" <no@nono.noway> wrote:
>
>
>
> WHY in the FUCK are you continuing this thread
> in a SCANNER newsgroup??
>
>
>
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> This sig censored by the Office of Home and Land Insecurity...
>
> Remove XYZ to email me

4036. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 13:17:46 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <_7XIg.2764$N84.540@trnddc08>
Source record: 6666
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Because your stupidity is laughable each and every time you cut/paste it...
LOL
rb

"The Kat" <new1@katxyzkave.net> wrote in message
news:2sh2f2hkcuirus63lip4p54j34t0456aim@4ax.com...
> On 26 Aug 2006 19:09:13 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>
>
> WHY in the FUCK are you continuing this thread
> in a SCANNER newsgroup??
>
>
>
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> This sig censored by the Office of Home and Land Insecurity...
>
> Remove XYZ to email me

4037. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 13:17:57 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <98Xlg.2765$N84.2713@trnddc08>
Source record: 6667
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Because your stupidity is laughable each and every time you cut/paste it...
LOL
rb

"The Kat" <new1@katxyzkave.net> wrote in message
news:gqh2f2t810716scfnka4e8hv388lkts2nj@4ax.com...
> On 26 Aug 2006 18:37:23 -0700, "Bakb0ne" <bakb0ne@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> WHY in the FUCK are you continuing this thread
> in a SCANNER newsgroup??
>
>
>
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> This sig censored by the Office of Home and Land Insecurity...
>
> Remove XYZ to email me

4038. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 13:25:00 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <MeXIg.2768$N84.1221@trnddc08>
Source record: 6668
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Excellent point!
?
rb

"Dave Oldridge" <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote in message
news:Xns982DBFC23EB7Adoldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159...
> "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote in news:gDKIg.7926$Xl5.5330@trnddc06:
>
>>
>> "Dave Oldridge" <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote in message
>> news:Xns982CE8FF65B52doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159...
>>> "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote in news:i4rIg.901$N84.191@trnddc08:
>>>
>>>> So did your guy die or not?
>>>
>>> Which one? You win some, you lose some.
>>
>> Huh? How can a fast CW op *not* save a life? Have you read this thread
>> at all?
>> CW = Gift of Life.
>> Fast CW = Hand of God.
>
> Where did anyone actually say that in this thread?
>>
>> It's in the Extra Class manual....
>>
>>> And that's why I'm watching TV from a 12ghz satellite signal right
>>> now. Putting the antenna that high makes all modes pretty much equal
>>> as far as signal-to-noise goes.
>>
>> AAAAAAAAAAARRRRRRRRRRRRGGGGGGGH!!
>> Holy crap.... I hope no one else reads that.. It'll kill the entire
>> Comm-Post pile we're making, and then
>> people will just go back to swapping radios or something. [Perish the
>> thought!]
>> rb
>
> Well, at the moment my radio is on 3729 LSB. But it will shortly be on
> 3652 CW.
>
>
> --
> Dave Oldridge+

> ICQ 1800667

4039. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 13:38:53 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <NrXIg.2771$N84.1234@trnddc08>
Source record: 6669
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

If you'll check the address bar when you reply, you might notice that you're posting in a swap group, scanner group, policy group, and antenna group....
IOW, you're just as guilty as the rest of us. :-)
rb

"Dave Oldridge" <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote in message news:Xns982DC004CDB80doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159...
> "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote in news:2QKIg.7929$Xl5.2566@trnddc06:
>
>> The one that's looking for a VHF 50w Spectra..... hehe...
>>
>> And I have to ask Dave, [and I mean seriously this time]... You really
>> aren't defending this thread [in this group] as
>> an intelligent discussion [or one that should even be here] in the
>> first place, are you?
>
> Nope. It's not about antennas, so that makes it off topic in the group
> I'm
> posting in.
>
>
> --
> Dave Oldridge+
> ICQ 1800667

4040. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 13:56:20 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8IXIg.5171$p23.4598@trnddc04>
Source record: 6670
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Who's gonna see a single want ad in 300 postings about nothing?
Who wants to pilfer 300 postings about nothing to find a sale ad?
On the Somalia thing,
Do you mean extremists/terrorists that claim to follow Islam, or just basic
Islamists?
It looks like you mean the former.

Please understand that Al Qaeda is a group of terrorists/extremists, it
doesn't matter what religion they
claim to follow.

rb

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1156815536.269854.59980@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> Woody wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1156809519.192294.92120@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Woody wrote:
>> >
>> > Manual telegraphy? C'mon...
>>
>> You do realize I'm a disgruntled radio swap group, off-topic, post
>> bombing
>> loser, right?
>> I'm just thinking this would be a great place for a radio swap group,
>> that's
>> all.
>> Everything I say is usually in sarcasm.....just to add stupidity to the
>> stupidty....
>> I've given up though and I'm going back to ebay...
>
> Alright. List your stuff. Maybe someone is interested.
>
>> > I've got a lot of respect for both. Served with the 2ID at Casey, and
>> > again with the marines and 10th mountain in somalia.
>> >
>>
>> Big Ol' Kudos to you bro. Somalians suck. I think there are indeed places
>> that qualify as Fourth-world countries.
>> Somalia, Nigeria, etc... LOL..
>> rb

>
> It sucked.
>
> Just got a message on the somalia reflector today. Seems the al qaida
> is well liked in somalia because the country has been through 15 years
> of chaos and lawlessness, and the islamists are now imposing their law.
> I guess any law is better than none.
>
> Reminds me, in part, how hitler came to power.
>

4041. Markie spamfest in progress

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 14:25:37 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156861536.842163.231080@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
an old freindless fat faggot wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>
> > And here you are posting 24/7 this past weekend, Markie. You talk about
> > how others have no life. Now get off the computer and clean up that
> > toxic waste dump you call your yard.
> me

Spamming by Mark Morgan.
```

4042. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 15:24:52 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <7vm8f2p53g7a6nmhenc5j380duav3rc2tv@4ax.com>
Source record: 6672
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 29 Aug 2006 01:17:34 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Good on ya then! Do upgrade whenever you can, coz hammin' can be fun if you
>can find a decent bunch to hang with.
>Learning 5wpm is pretty easy and gives you a new "toy" or "language" to play
>with if you are so inclined.
easy for some I spent 5 years at it in my teens for a Novice license
and neve got a novice license

instead it was learned I have serious case os dyslexia andfew of its
fellow travlers
>The big plus being the new privileges and theory, and all the hi-tech
>progression in radio going on in the 21st Century!
>There's lots to get into.
>Gud luck,
>rb
>
>
>
><Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
>news:2hs6f2p6685a6ml03d5sf436l48ss5qlg7@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 22:38:54 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Ah, my bad... sry.
>>>rb
>>>
>> np I expect the FCC to help me correct that soon enough
>>>Radio content:
>>>There used to be a radio swap group here....
>>
>> indeed and all kinds of radio gruops
>>>
>>><Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
>>>news:7oe4f2lr255om8j04n73e8v9e4023rals4@4ax.com...
>>>> On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 00:36:43 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Yeah, yoo big chikin. LOL
>>>>>
>>>>>I dare you to pick up a mic and do a radio test on 3.865
>>>>>by saying "Beep, beep, beep, beeeeeeeep"!
>>>>>
>>>>>rb
>>>> well as a etech I realy should not try that one
>>>>>

>>>>>"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>>>news:1156523145.805735.80970@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>>
>>>> --
>>>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>>>
>>>
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4043. Re:Wisemen filthfest in progress

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 15:30:41 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156865441.551008.76660@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6673
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> an old freindless fat faggot wrote:
meltdown in progress

4044. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 15:30:44 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1156865444.617650.136140@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6674
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Woody wrote:
> Since I don't know this Robitussin guy, I really can't say anything in reply
> to this post....
> rb

All you have to do (if you've got about 8 hours to spare) is go
through Google archives since before they bought it from
DejaNews several years ago. "Robitussin" has thousands of
postings in the same venal manner he continues to use...
especially his self-promotion as heroic USMC veteran which
he has never, ever proved through any third-party references,
digitized documents, or much of anything else other than his
own AOL home page and self-description on QRZ.

He is clearly into his own fantasy of imagined superpowers
without the aid of Stan Lee.

:-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4045. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 15:46:43 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1156866402.993367.31180@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Woody wrote:
> So you guys got sidetracked and missed the CW call so both your guys died.
> You are the weakest link.
> Goodbye.
> rb
>
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> news:1156478196.340076.306810@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > rom: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Thurs, Aug 24 2006 6:39 pm

"Sidetracked?!?" :-)

I put this message into the Captain ARRL Superpower Decryption
machine and the machine broke down.

Last I looked (which was yesterday) there were NO Public Safety
radio services which used "CW" for either "life-saving" or distress
calls. The USCG doesn't monitor the OLD 500 KHz international
maritime distress and safety frequency any more. International
Civil Aviation Organization long ago stopped any need for carrying
"CW"-proficient air crew on over-ocean flights.

This "vital need" of maintaining a "pool of trained (morsemen)
operators" in the mighty amateur radio (militia?) ranks is a
wigment of olde-tyme morsemen's imagination.

In truth, I haven't checked out the Civil Air Patrol. Maybe they
have some kind of "need" for morsemen even though they use
121.5 MHz for emergencies just like all the CIVIL airways do.
[transponder squawk to 77 or 7700 if that is installed; 243 MHz
if a REAL military aircraft]

We'll have to see what the "pilot in command" of a TN CAP
two-seater has to say on that...if he isn't "busy" with his
"life-saving air patrols." :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4046. Re: NoCode Techs should have to pass the CW test in a year or get booted.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 16:08:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <fjp8f2llhqlnk43dqa675695ra5g4v0os8@4ax.com>
Source record: 6676
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 01:23:06 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
>news:1156635009.999454.106990@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life, but you should
>>> learn it anyway just in case.
>> why?
>>
>> why is the fantastic ly unlikely something you should eb prepared for?
why does the trol Slow code hate ham radio?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4047. Re: Narrowband Scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 18:58:36 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <lh39f21gchfufbtbgpoob09j1o8s3os5ek@4ax.com>
Source record: 6677

On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 23:35:57 GMT, "Hunchy" <sx43@gmail.com> wrote:

>Are any of the scanner manufacturers making receivers which accommodate the
>new "narrow" bandwidth?

I know Uniden is - the 396, 330, 15 and 996 - maybe some others.

4048. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 19:18:12 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <1d75e7d56dd4aedb7ec57581785cd8a4@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 6678
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.

Al Klein said:

>Eliminating a requirement is dumbing things down. But no one would
>expect you to be able to understand that.

Well, let me ask, from the point of view of a potential noob to the hobby. What use is the code requirements?
I can't really see in today's era, the need for them? I've been surfing around looking at ham and talking to
an old friend that had a license and it look interesting to me.

But, given that many professional people like myself are stretched for time, what good does all the licensing
and code requirements do for you besides build up boundries to doing something new and fun?

I'm in a pretty technical field, and I study to keep up in that field everyday, the last thing I want to do,
is have to spend my weekends studying to talk on a radio. If less stringent requirements were there, I could
easily afford the tools of the trade, and would like to just jump in and start working with a ham setup. I'm
particularly interested in exploring the amateur radio/computer connections.

I have no problem informally looking up information and learning on my own (heck, that's what I'm doing in
the USENET group to begin with)...but, I just don't have the time for archaic rules, regulations and codes
that as far as I can see...really serve no real purpose but, to keep out busy people that might like to
participate.

Can you give me valid reasons as to what useful purpose in today's age they serve?

Thank you,

noonespecial

4049. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 20:34:18 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <HumdnSHe6oRXOWnZnZ2dnUVZ_rOdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 6679
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.

George Orwell wrote:

> Al Klein said:
>
>
>>Eliminating a requirement is dumbing things down. But no one would
>>expect you to be able to understand that.
>
>
> Well, let me ask, from the point of view of a potential noob to the hobby. What use is the code requirements?

The 'use' is something you just can't understand. The 'use' is a commitment of time and talent which adds value to the license. The 'use' is investment.

Balance of original post has been REDACTED.

4050. Basic Police/EMS scanner purchase advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 20:43:30 UTC
From: kriskane@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1156884210.726430.181810@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6680

Can of worms topic, I'm sure. To avoid the "how long is a piece of string" nature of this question, I'll give some particulars.

I'm looking to buy a good basic police/EMS scanner for my father, a retired police dispatcher, to get back into the hobby (he hasn't actively listened in a few years). The scanner he has now, an old basic Uniden model, evidently can no longer handle the frequencies the LEA has switched to (he's tried to program them to no avail, I'm assuming it's just because of a shift in mhz to higher bands).

He lives in a suburb of Washington DC so anything with good range that could get his local city/county frequencies and those of nearby (within a five to ten mile radius, max) would be great, as would any public government/military frequencies he might be in the range of. External antennas are definitely an option, as he's got a roof-mounted dinosaur he used to use for CB radio as well (going to try to get him back into that as well).

He's getting "on in age," as they say, and his eyesight is now quite poor, so anything with a larger, well-lit display would be a definite plus, but it's not a deal killer as I'd probably be programming it for him and I don't know that he'd need to see the frequency display to know the channels after a while (and honestly, I don't know that there'd be a scanner unit with numbers large enough for him to read).

I'm so inexperienced with this subject that I wouldn't even know how to approach the topic of pricing. I guess we're looking for a "solid, middle of the line table-top model," but don't need a lot of complex bells and whistles he'd have to manage through an array of tiny (and therefore pretty much invisible to him) switches and dials. I'm really looking for the good old-fashioned "fire and forget" setup approach, if such a thing is even still possible. I do see him at least once a week, so occasional tweaking, if necessary, would definitely be fine.

I realize this is a bit of a broad question, and I'll thank everyone in advance for the patience and their replies. With his fading eyesight, he's gotten a bit "down at the mouth," and this is one hobby he used to enjoy that he could still do given his current circumstances, and I'm just sort of looking around trying to find something to get him involved and interested in again that may lift his spirits.

Thanks again--I look forward to your replies. Feel free to reply also to my email address: kriskane (at) gmail (dot com).

4051. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 21:53:49 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156888429.467001.187060@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6681
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Dave wrote:
> George Orwell wrote:
>
> > Al Klein said:
> >
> >
> >>Eliminating a requirement is dumbing things down. But no one would
> >>expect you to be able to understand that.
> >
> >
> > Well, let me ask, from the point of view of a potential noob to the hobby. What use is the code requirements?
>
> The 'use' is something you just can't understand. The 'use' is a commitment of
> time and talent which adds value to the license. The 'use' is investment.
the use is hazing why not just coem out and say that
>
>
> Balance of original post has been REDACTED.

4052. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 22:29:14 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1156890554.129924.59410@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6682
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Dave wrote:
> George Orwell wrote:
>
> > Al Klein said:
> >
> >
> > >>Eliminating a requirement is dumbing things down. But no one would
> > >>expect you to be able to understand that.
> > >
> > >
> > > Well, let me ask, from the point of view of a potential noob to the hobby. What use is
the code requirements?
>
> The 'use' is something you just can't understand. The 'use' is a commitment of
> time and talent which adds value to the license. The 'use' is investment.

In other words, you just can't come up with a valid
reason other than some emotional-traditional one, can you?

What is the return on that "investment?" Being good enough to
do 1930s radio operating? In a diversified HOBBY?

What you really seem to be saying is that "everyone has to do it
because you did it...so there, nyah, nyah..." :-)

> Balance of original post has been REDACTED.

You have been redacted.

You have been rejected.

You have been inducted.

Here's a news flash: The job of the FCC (the agency that grants
amateur radio licenses...and hundreds of others...) is to regulate
and mitigate all USA civil radio. It does not tailor ham tests to
some nebulous "tradition" of the Archaic Radiotelegraphy
Society (ARS). I.E., it has no reason to demand anyone take a
manual morse code test except under the lobbying by the ARRL.

If you want to "preserve" the ARS as requiring morsemanship for
all privileges below 30 MHz, then you need to make up a Petition
and submit it to the FCC. You can even ask them to RENAME
the ARS to Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society. The FCC allows
such petitioning. They even explain how to do it on their website.

Tell the FCC that you insist on maintaining 1930s standards of radio communication well beyond 2030 by keeping that morse code test forever and ever. The ARRL will love you for it. Go for it!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4053. Re: NoCode Techs should have to pass the CW test in a year or get booted.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 23:12:32 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <AR3Jg.3044$xQ1.2503@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6683
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:fjp8f2llhqlnk43dqa675695ra5g4v0os8@4ax.com:

> On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 01:23:06 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>"an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
>>news:1156635009.999454.106990@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>>
>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>> You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life, but you should
>>>> learn it anyway just in case.
>>> why?
>>>
>>> why is the fantastic ly unlikely something you should eb prepared for?
> why does the trol Slow code hate ham radio?
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

Motivating hams to be better hams is a good thing.

For example, if you were going to lose your internet if you didn't learn how to type and spell after a year, I'm sure you'd be learning how to type and spell. But since there is no requirement that you have to type and spell properly after a year, you continue on murdering the english language.

SC

4054. Re: If you had to use CW..., would Robesin still be an idiot?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 23:21:38 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1156893698.495263.100150@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6684
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> Woody wrote:

> > Since I don't know this Robitussin guy, I really can't say anything in reply
> > to this post....
> > rb
>
> All you have to do (if you've got about 8 hours to spare) is go
> through Google archives since before they bought it from
> DejaNews several years ago. "Robitussin" has thousands of
> postings in the same venal manner he continues to use...
> especially his self-promotion as heroic USMC veteran which
> he has never, ever proved through any third-party references,
> digitized documents, or much of anything else other than his
> own AOL home page and self-description on QRZ.
>
> He is clearly into his own fantasy of imagined superpowers
> without the aid of Stan Lee.
>
> :-)

There's some real gems in there.

Lawsuits. Robeson sues people, or at least he says he does. In his last lawsuit, he got an Upper Peninsula attorney gratis, then made comments on who his free attorney's wife might be sleeping with. Meanwhile, the person he is suing has yet to be served.

"Bricks through windows, slashed tires, terrorized wives." These are bad things that happen to people who shoot their mouths off, as some people have been told they do. Mind you, Robesin isn't the one throwing bricks, slashing tires, or terrorizing wives. "Other people" do this sort of stuff.

"Dialing..." On Robesin's personal authority as a male nurse and failed Marine, he can make a phone call anywhere in the USA and have you picked up on his say so. Really.

"PUTZ, Putz, putz" Disagree with Robesin and you are a penis with a yiddish accent.

"PEDOPHILE, Pedophile, pedophile" If you disagree with Robesin and you give of your time to a youth group, you are a pervert.

"HOMO, Homo, homo" Disagree with Robesin and you are queer, with lots and lots of inuendo.

Robesin is a national hero, taking part in seven hostile actions as a Marine. Yet, his only overseas assignment is Okinawa. Never been injured, but discharged after only 18 years. Says he's retired. Says he's disabled. Says it's not medical. Says he has been rehabilitated by the VA. "Ask the VA."

Robesin got back into uniform as a Tennessee State Guard "officer" of some kind. Very short lived career.

Robesin got back into uniform as a Civil Air Patrol (CAP) "officer" of some kind. Says he's a Major now. Photo of him in a sage green (not blue) flight suit on his QRZ website. As far as I know, most CAP volunteers are involved in training youth/Cadets. Hmmmm?

Robesin got a vanity call, K4CAP, while in the CAP. The CAP HQ is at Maxwell AFB in Montgomery, Alabama. Then he gave it up. Look where his old call now resides.

Robesin got back into uniform as a male nurse. Says he doesn't wear the white dress uniform. Probably just regular pants and a v-neck lavendar colo[u]red top.

Robesin has military medals. He has lots of medals. Many of those medals he didn't earn.

Robesin used to be an ASSISTANT NCOIC of NMC MARS on Okinawa. Doesn't know the first thing about it. Doesn't know what a MOD is.

"MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio" according to Robesin Yup, you heard it here first.

A well know amateur radio outlet had the owners daughter's photo featured in a prominent amateur radio publication. Robesin said she was selling "Something" but it wasn't radios. He's a swell guy.

Robesin can make comments all day long about how your children won't respect you. His child died from severe birth defects and he knows that no one will make comments about his children. He's a swell guy.

Robesin needs to talk to the wives. He needs to talk to Len's wife. He needs to talk to my wife. He needs to talk to Mark's wife. He wants to talk to them on the phone or in person. He wants to mail them. He wants to knock on my door to talk to my wife and posts partial addresses so you know to expect a visit. Now he makes jokes about Len and Mark's wife. He's a swell guy.

I could go on, but I don't have 8 hours as Len suggests...

So what's the real beef??? I disagreed with Robesin. He doesn't like the way I think.

So what's the real, Real beef? I don't think the Morse Code exam should be retained for an HF license in amateur radio.

4055. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 23:21:53 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <2_idnUWfZuiTUWnZnZ2dnUVZ_tWdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 6685
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

I'll say it again ... INVESTMENT!!

If an activity is to have value it must have INVESTMENT.

Whether it be Boy Scouts, Girl Scouts, Little League coach, Soccer MOM, fishing,
poker playing, or just watching tv. All require INVESTMENT if it is to have value.

If you don't/can't make the INVESTMENT, find something else to become involved with.

It has nothing to do with hazing.

- - -

an old friend wrote:
> Dave wrote:
>
>>George Orwell wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Al Klein said:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Eliminating a requirement is dumbing things down. But no one would
>>>>expect you to be able to understand that.
>>>
>>>
>>>Well, let me ask, from the point of view of a potential noob to the hobby. What use is
the code requirements?
>>
>>The 'use' is something you just can't understand. The 'use' is a commitment of
>>time and talent which adds value to the license. The 'use' is investment.
>
> the use is hazing why not just coem out and say that
>
>>
>>Balance of original post has been REDACTED.
>
>

4056. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 23:25:40 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <sP-dnTkf8v6xUGnZnZ2dnUVZ_vydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 6686
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.

"George Orwell" <nobody@mixmaster.it> wrote in message
news:1d75e7d56dd4aedb7ec57581785cd8a4@mixmaster.it...
> Al Klein said:
>
>>Eliminating a requirement is dumbing things down. But no one would
>>expect you to be able to understand that.
>
> Well, let me ask, from the point of view of a potential noob to the hobby.
> What use is the code requirements?
> I can't really see in today's era, the need for them? I've been surfing
> around looking at ham and talking to
> an old friend that had a license and it look interesting to me.
>
> But, given that many professional people like myself are stretched for
> time, what good does all the licensing
> and code requirements do for you besides build up boundries to doing
> something new and fun?
>

If you will read Part 97 (the rules that govern amateur radio), the
government doesn't care about you doing something new and fun. The section
on basis and purpose makes it quite clear that their objectives are quite
different. They want people knowledgeable in ham radio and who are
interested in expanding that knowledge.

> I'm in a pretty technical field, and I study to keep up in that field
> everyday, the last thing I want to do,
> is have to spend my weekends studying to talk on a radio. If less
> stringent requirements were there, I could
> easily afford the tools of the trade, and would like to just jump in and
> start working with a ham setup. I'm
> particularly interested in exploring the amateur radio/computer
> connections.
>
> I have no problem informally looking up information and learning on my own
> (heck, that's what I'm doing in
> the USENET group to begin with)...but, I just don't have the time for
> archaic rules, regulations and codes
> that as far as I can see...really serve no real purpose but, to keep out
> busy people that might like to
> participate.
>

Until and unless you have actually participated in amateur radio in a wide variety of aspects, it is difficult to asses which rules, regulations, knowledge, etc are archaic and which should remain as requirements. Notice that the majority of people advocating ditching requirements are those who have not yet passed those requirements, regardless of their age. Note that the majority of people advocating keeping the requirements have passed them and have experience in amateur radio again regardless of their age.

As far as "busy people" go, again refer to the basis and purpose as given in the rules. The government is not concerned about your choice of how you use your time. It has no bearing on what their goals are.

> Can you give me valid reasons as to what useful purpose in today's age
> they serve?
>

Every piece of knowledge has its uses. The difficult part is winnowing through it and decide what should be tested and what should not.

Here's why I think code should still be tested:
1. It is still one of the basic building blocks of ham radio. For example, one of the "hot" digital modes is PSK31. The developer drew upon personal experience and incorporated features derived from that mode to make a robust digital mode.
2. Because it is not "book learning", too many people will avoid it since it is different than the type of learning they are accustomed to. They will falsely think it is hard when in reality it is different. Requiring them to learn it gets them over that resistance hump.
3. Each and every mode has its strong points and weak points. Each of us that participate in ham radio should attempt to gain personal experience in those modes so that we know by that personal experience what those strengths and weaknesses are.

If you are interested, I could construct various scenarios where mode X is the best mode. However, unless you specifically want to know, I won't clutter up the newsgroup at this time with discussions that have been repeated many times by many people already.

Dee, N8UZE

4057. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 23:38:03 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <T4ydnV14SKBGUmnZnZ2dnUVZ_qGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 6687
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

LenAnderson, You have obviously made an INVESTMENT in your technical profession.
Make one in your participation in the radio service!!

Amateur Radio is a SERVICE!!! If you only think of it as a hobby your thinking
is flawed.

/s/ Dave, BSEE, Program Chief Engineer-retired, LGM-118A(RS), MK21/W87

<http://www.strategic-air-command.com/missiles/Peacekeeper/Peacekeeper_Missile_Home_Page.h
tm>

- - -

4058. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 23:42:51 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1156894971.435041.226460@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6688
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Woody wrote:

> Who's gonna see a single want ad in 300 postings about nothing?

Seinfeld ran for eight years and it was all about nothing. People
cried at the end.

> Who wants to pilfer 300 postings about nothing to find a sale ad?
> On the Somalia thing,
> Do you mean extremists/terrorists that claim to follow Islam, or just basic
> Islamists?

Here's one:

""Somali Islamist gunmen undergo military training
http://www.mg.co.za/articlepage.aspx?area=/breaking_news/breaking_news__africa/&articl
eid=281612

{EXCERPT} Mail & Guardian Online, South Africa Somalia's dominant
Islamic movement on Tuesday launched intensive military training for
hundreds of its gunmen under a plan to create instruments of
statehood....."

> It looks like you mean the former.
>
> Please understand that Al Qaeda is a group of terrorists/extremists, it
> doesn't matter what religion they
> claim to follow.
>
> rb

Here's another:

""SOMALIA, THE BLACK HOLE OF ANARCHY

BY AHMED Q. BURSALIID

In mid July 2005 The U.S. intelligence agents, working with local
warlords, have carried out counter terrorist operations to seize
suspects. "Somalia remains the theatre for a shadowy
confrontation involving local Jihadis, foreign Al Qaeda operatives
and intelligence services from a number of regional and
Western countries," says a report by the International Crisis Group
in 2005. The report says the "dirty war between terrorists and
counter-terrorist operatives in Mogadishu appears to have
entered a new and more vicious stage that threatens to push the
country further towards Jihadism and extremist violence unless its

root causes are properly addressed."

The Somali transitional federal government was formed in neighbouring Kenya in 2004 and moved into Somalia June 2005. However, the administration has failed to relocate to Mogadishu, the Somali capital, because the city was considered unsafe. Due to the insecurity of Mogadishu, the TFG relocated to Jawhar, which it later decided to move to Baidoa.

Warlords and leaders of Islamic courts have been fighting in Mogadishu for about five months. This led to the loss of hundreds of civilians and destruction of properties. Somalia, which had been in a state of anarchy for more than a decade, has once again gone to war; this war was somehow different from previous ones. The nature of this war is seen to have some religious and political clash while previous ones were much related to tribal and feudal conflicts. Each one of the conflicting parties is claiming that the other group is related to Al-Qaeda when the reality is somehow different from that. After months of armed conflicts within the capital city of Somalia, Union of Islamic Courts took the lead with enormous points by eliminating the warlords who took hostage the country and its people for 16 years.

United States of America, which earlier denied any allegation of helping or giving hand to the warlords in the fight to weed out the Islamic Militia in Somalia shortly after the takeover admitted that it had been supporting warlords to destabilise the Islamic militia. America has been an active player in the politics of Somalia, and until now its policy towards restoring peace and stability in the war -torn Somalia is somehow obscure.

Somalis believe that it is the right time to take advantage to restore the lost hope of good governance in the country as Union of Islamic Courts led by Sheikh Sharif imposed law and order on Mogadishu, which was seen neither for 16 years. Hundreds of families from overseas countries returned back to Mogadishu, the capital city of Somalia since the takeover took place.

At first Transitional Federal Government welcomed the political change, which took place in Mogadishu as the Union of Islamic Courts disarmed a pack of US-backed warlords that took the country and its people hostage for more than a decade. But soon after the Islamic Militia started to capture some other cities, the TFG warned the Union of Islamic Courts of capturing farther cities. A big gap of political misunderstanding came

in between the Transitional Federal Government and the Union of Islamic Courts, after the president of fragile Transitional Federal Government requested peacekeeping forces from the African Union. As the new Somali constitution inked in Kenya says, "Peacekeeping forces should not come from the neighbouring countries (Ethiopia, Kenya and Djibouti)". Likewise, the parliament passed a motion, which it was requesting peacekeeping troops to Somalia without paying attention to the classification of peacekeeping forces from AU countries and of front
line countries. This has created an escalation of political crisis in Somalia. Ethiopia, which is the common enemy of Somalia has sent its troops to Somalia in an attempt to protect the Transitional Federal Government.

This time, Arab League took the political platform of Somalia to mediate
the two conflicting parties. TFG and UIC were invited to attend a mediation conference held on June 2006 in Sudan to settle their differences. After a reconciliation conference, presided over by Sudanese president Omar Hassan Albashir, the current chairman of Arab League, the reconciliation process derailed with unknown reasons but it is believed that Ethiopia was the main figure, which made the reconciliation process fail as the outcome of the meeting could not meet
the criteria of Ethiopia's plan to Somalia and then, President Abdullahi Yusuf Ahmed, a well-known pro-Ethiopian warlord and his loyal prime minister Ali Mohamed Gedi, a vet scientist started to advocate the
legality of Ethiopian Army presence in Somalia.

The Somalis are of the opinion that the involvement of Ethiopian Army in
the peacekeeping operations in Somalia could cause havoc and deterioration of the political condition of the country in any case as Ethiopia is an old aged enemy of Somalia in East Africa. While a vast majority of the Somali public strongly opposed to deployment of Ethiopian troop into Somalia under any pretext, president Yusuf's political stance towards the deployment of Ethiopian troops in Somalia is clear and also in action by denying the presence of Ethiopian troops in Somalia just to protect his presidential seat.

Since the reconciliation conference in Sudan derailed a number of ministers resigned due to the political misunderstanding between TFG and
UIC. Prime minister declared that he would be nominating successors of the resigned 24 ministers. In addition to that, the prime minister himself survived after the Somali parliament passed a motion of no confidence against him recently.

Moreover, Prime minister of Ethiopia Milez zanawi whose government earlier denied any presence of Ethiopian troops in Somalia told BBC

that
his government has sent troops to Somalia to protect the Transitional Federal Government from the Union of Islamic Courts. While on the other hand, Eritrea is also believed to be an active supporter for the Union of Islamic Courts. Somalis believe that the support from both Ethiopia and Eritrea respectively is much related to a proxy war in between them.
US government warned Ethiopia and Eritrea stop the proxy war they are playing in the Somali territory.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]""

4059. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-29 23:44:12 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <OeSdnagvDIXQTGnZnZ2dnUVZ_tydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 6689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Lloyd 4 wrote:

> On Tue, 29 Aug 2006 21:18:12 +0200 (CEST), George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it> wrote:
>
>>Al Klein said:
>>
>>
>>>>Eliminating a requirement is dumbing things down. But no one would
>>>>expect you to be able to understand that.
>>
>>Well, let me ask, from the point of view of a potential noob to the hobby. What use is the code requirements?
>>I can't really see in today's era, the need for them? I've been surfing around looking at ham and talking to
>>an old friend that had a license and it look interesting to me.
>

As long a 'Rare DX' uses CW, CW will live and thrive in the DX community.

A DX pile of 100 stations on CW occupies much less bandwidth than 1 SSB station.
The CW contact rate exceeds the SSB rate.

As long a 'Rare DX' uses CW, CW will live and thrive in the DX community.

It's your choice: if you want to play the DX game learn the rules including 25 wpm CW.

If you want to operate an appliance, but an appliance.

4060. Re: New scanners from Radio Shack??? anyone know?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 01:37:28 UTC
From: bitwisebob <bitwisebob@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ioq9f2d4hqk85p5kcg3vopphc1jc1pcrp7@4ax.com>
Source record: 6690

On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 21:15:10 -0500, Stratinator
(stratinator@fender.usa) wrote:

>It's speculated that GRE probably won't release a new model until
>some rebanded systems are online. Erring on the side of caution, I
>suppose.
>
>In the meantime, the PRO-96/2096 works quite well unless you need to
>track 700MHz trunked or LTR systems. In that case, the newer Uniden
>scanners (396/996) should work for you.
>
>All of the above *should* be able to be modified to work after
>rebanding takes place, but nothing is 100% certain. Hence, it may
>be wise indeed for GRE to wait.

thanks ... enough waiting, going to take the digital plunge ... :-)
can't find uniden locally, so radio shack it is.
Looks like Win96 is the way to go for programming software.

Bob Anderson*Bitwisebob
Eugene Oregon

4061. Re: Basic Police/EMS scanner purchase advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 01:58:03 UTC
From: bitwisebob <bitwisebob@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <k5r9f21s67v47e4jn285fui74oa0i5m4jc@4ax.com>
Source record: 6695

On 29 Aug 2006 13:43:30 -0700, kriskane@gmail.com wrote:

>I'm looking to buy a good basic police/EMS scanner for my father, a
>retired police dispatcher, to get back into the hobby (he hasn't
>actively listened in a few years). The scanner he has now, an old basic
>Uniden model, evidently can no longer handle the frequencies the LEA
>has switched to (he's tried to program them to no avail, I'm assuming
>it's just because of a shift in mhz to higher bands).
>
>He lives in a suburb of Washington DC so anything with good range that
>could get his local city/county frequencies and those of nearby (within
>a five to ten mile radius, max) would be great, as would any public
>government/military frequencies he might be in the range of. External
>antennas are definitely an option, as he's got a roof-mounted dinosaur
>he used to use for CB radio as well (going to try to get him back into
>that as well).

Most likely you will need/want to have a radio that can receive
digital signals, which ups the price. But gets you a nice rig.

Without digital you will miss out on a lot; police/fire.

Take a look at these base units. Lots of web reviews to read on
these.

========
Uniden BCD996T
Mobile Trunking Scanner with GPS Support and APCO-25
http://www.uniden.com/products/productdetail.cfm?product=BCD996T&filter=Public%20Safety
http://www.google.com/search?hl=en&lr=&safe=off&rls=SUNA%2CSUNA%3A2006-03%2CSUNA%3Aen&q=be
arcat+bcd996t+scanner
6000 Dynamically Allocated channels
Location-Based Scanning
APCO 25 Digital
100 System Quick Keys
Dual-Color Display
Temporary Lockout
Dangerous Crossing, Dangerous Road and POI Alert
DIN-E Mounting
Multi-Site Trunking Support
Close Calli¿½ RF Capture Technology - Instantly tunes to signals from
nearby transmitters
Public Safety Scanner

=======
Radio Shack Pro-2096

http://www.radioshack.com/product/index.jsp?productId=2036235&cp=2032052.2032072&pg=2&parentPage=search&origkw=pro+2096&kw=pro+2096&parentPage=family
http://www.google.com/search?sourceid=navclient&ie=UTF-8&rls=SUNA,SUNA:2006-03,SUNA:en&q=radio+shack+pro+2096
Tracks trunked systems like a digital hound dog.
Trunked systems, used by many cities and towns, jump from one
frequency to another with every transmission. You need a trunking
scanner to allow you to hear the entire conversation. Digital trunked
systems, which are becoming more common, can only be followed using a
digital trunking scanner. The PRO-2096 follows both digital and analog
sytems, including 9600bps control channel and C-QPSK modulation.
Listen to law enforcement officers and fire fighters, and hear advance
warnings of dangerous weather conditions. Frequency coverage: 25-50MHz
VHF-Lo, 50-54MHz 6-meter Ham, 108-136.9875MHz aircraft, 137-174,
216.0025-225MHz, 406-512MHz, 806-960MHz (excluding cellular bands),
1240-1300MHz.

Tunes virtually all important bands--local marine, fire/police, HAM,
CB, VHF-air, racing, weather
Virtual Scanner Memory Management system stores 11 memory
configurations, each with 500 channels
Preprogrammed for most major metropolitan areas
Alphanumeric display with text entry can show ID code or service name
Easy-to-read backlit control and display for low-light viewing
Mobile/base design with DIN-E in-dash mounting (requires separate
installation kit for your vehicle

=======

Bob Anderson*Bitwisebob
Eugene Oregon

4062. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 02:00:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <27s9f2poa2toknekc4so47k8urajlmjcda@4ax.com>
Source record: 6691
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 29 Aug 2006 19:21:53 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:

>I'll say it again ... INVESTMENT!!
>
>If an activity is to have value it must have INVESTMENT.
Bullshit
I value my tech license not because I had to work for it but becuase
it has been a great return on my effort

if Ihad had to work twice as hard Id value it half as much
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4063. Re: NoCode Techs should have to pass the CW test in a year or get booted.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 02:03:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <pbs9f2hp4msdkbln44jhrr3vlfjc1uolp6@4ax.com>
Source record: 6692
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 29 Aug 2006 23:12:32 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:fjp8f2llhqlnk43dqa675695ra5g4v0os8@4ax.com:
>
>> On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 01:23:06 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>"an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
>>>news:1156635009.999454.106990@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>> You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life, but you should
>>>>> learn it anyway just in case.
>>>> why?
>>>>
>>>> why is the fantastic ly unlikely something you should eb prepared for?
>> why does the trol Slow code hate ham radio?
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>
>
>Motivating hams to be better hams is a good thing.
>
>
>For example, if you were going to lose your internet if you didn't learn
>how to type and spell after a year, I'm sure you'd be learning how to type
>and spell. But since there is no requirement that you have to type and
>spell properly after a year, you continue on murdering the english
>language.
nope you over look facts, I can't type or spel without making at least
twice the effort you do

if you were told ththat everyone in the world would die if yu did not
learn to fly by flapigng your arms you would be motivated to learn.
However it does nt fllow you would learn
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4064. Re: If you had to use CW..., would Robesin still be an idiot?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 02:12:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <bns9f299u8rviin68t7t1okbvaltd87vqh@4ax.com>
Source record: 6694
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 29 Aug 2006 16:21:38 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> Woody wrote:
>
>> > Since I don't know this Robitussin guy, I really can't say anything in reply
>> > to this post....
>> > rb
>>
>> All you have to do (if you've got about 8 hours to spare) is go
>> through Google archives since before they bought it from
>> DejaNews several years ago. "Robitussin" has thousands of
>> postings in the same venal manner he continues to use...
>> especially his self-promotion as heroic USMC veteran which
>> he has never, ever proved through any third-party references,
>> digitized documents, or much of anything else other than his
>> own AOL home page and self-description on QRZ.
>>
>> He is clearly into his own fantasy of imagined superpowers
>> without the aid of Stan Lee.
>>
>> :-)
>
>There's some real gems in there.
>
>Lawsuits. Robeson sues people, or at least he says he does. In his
>last lawsuit, he got an Upper Peninsula attorney gratis, then made
>comments on who his free attorney's wife might be sleeping with.
>Meanwhile, the person he is suing has yet to be served.

acrualywaiti ng now for 5 different lawsuits from steve
>
>"Bricks through windows, slashed tires, terrorized wives." These are
>bad things that happen to people who shoot their mouths off, as some
>people have been told they do. Mind you, Robesin isn't the one
>throwing bricks, slashing tires, or terrorizing wives. "Other people"
>do this sort of stuff.

his other selves perhaps
>
>"Dialing..." On Robesin's personal authority as a male nurse and
>failed Marine, he can make a phone call anywhere in the USA and have
>you picked up on his say so. Really.

yep whow much safer we are for him
>
>"PUTZ, Putz, putz" Disagree with Robesin and you are a penis with a
>yiddish accent.

and then he dinies he makes sexauly based insults
>
>"PEDOPHILE, Pedophile, pedophile" If you disagree with Robesin and you
>give of your time to a youth group, you are a pervert.

or just breath air (witness Tood Hans and Myself)
>
>"HOMO, Homo, homo" Disagree with Robesin and you are queer, with lots
>and lots of inuendo.
that is you todd Han and scores of others
>
>Robesin is a national hero, taking part in seven hostile actions as a
>Marine. Yet, his only overseas assignment is Okinawa. Never been
>injured, but discharged after only 18 years. Says he's retired. Says
>he's disabled. Says it's not medical. Says he has been rehabilitated
>by the VA. "Ask the VA."

well I he=ard the army in wirtiing today They afrim the existance of
my old unit
>
>Robesin got back into uniform as a Tennessee State Guard "officer" of
>some kind. Very short lived career.

maybe that gruop held him to professional stanards unlike CAP
>
>Robesin got back into uniform as a Civil Air Patrol (CAP) "officer" of
>some kind. Says he's a Major now. Photo of him in a sage green (not
>blue) flight suit on his QRZ website. As far as I know, most CAP
>volunteers are involved in training youth/Cadets. Hmmmm?

I know that does worry me too
>
>Robesin got a vanity call, K4CAP, while in the CAP. The CAP HQ is at
>Maxwell AFB in Montgomery, Alabama. Then he gave it up. Look where
>his old call now resides.
>
>Robesin got back into uniform as a male nurse. Says he doesn't wear
>the white dress uniform. Probably just regular pants and a v-neck
>lavendar colo[u]red top.
>
>Robesin has military medals. He has lots of medals. Many of those
>medals he didn't earn.

has he ever listed them?
>
>Robesin used to be an ASSISTANT NCOIC of NMC MARS on Okinawa. Doesn't
>know the first thing about it. Doesn't know what a MOD is.
>

>"MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio" according to Robesin Yup, you heard it
>here first.
>
>A well know amateur radio outlet had the owners daughter's photo
>featured in a prominent amateur radio publication. Robesin said she
>was selling "Something" but it wasn't radios. He's a swell guy.
>
>Robesin can make comments all day long about how your children won't
>respect you. His child died from severe birth defects and he knows
>that no one will make comments about his children. He's a swell guy.
>
>Robesin needs to talk to the wives. He needs to talk to Len's wife.
>He needs to talk to my wife. He needs to talk to Mark's wife. He
>wants to talk to them on the phone or in person. He wants to mail
>them. He wants to knock on my door to talk to my wife and posts
>partial addresses so you know to expect a visit. Now he makes jokes
>about Len and Mark's wife. He's a swell guy.
>
>I could go on, but I don't have 8 hours as Len suggests...
>
>So what's the real beef??? I disagreed with Robesin. He doesn't like
>the way I think.

prehaps it was he disliked that you THINK at all
>
>So what's the real, Real beef? I don't think the Morse Code exam
>should be retained for an HF license in amateur radio.

me neither

looks liek we will win
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4065. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 02:29:52 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156904991.941660.246260@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6696
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Dee Flint wrote:
> "George Orwell" <nobody@mixmaster.it> wrote in message
> news:1d75e7d56dd4aedb7ec57581785cd8a4@mixmaster.it...
> > Al Klein said:

> Until and unless you have actually participated in amateur radio in a wide
> variety of aspects, it is difficult to asses which rules, regulations,
> knowledge, etc are archaic and which should remain as requirements. Notice
> that the majority of people advocating ditching requirements are those who
> have not yet passed those requirements, regardless of their age. Note that
> the majority of people advocating keeping the requirements have passed them
> and have experience in amateur radio again regardless of their age.
stop lying
all the officers of NCI have passed the requirement they support doing
away with

4066. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent - Markie, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 03:07:18 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <Webmaster@WH2T>
Message-ID: <941ad$44f4f1d2$4e0b129$31793@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 6693
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net> wrote in message
news:eck9tj02jgq@enews2.newsguy.com...
>>> > Why do you want to "kill" the English language?
> <peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1156425008.912396.256130@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> ...According to research, communication in English can still be maintained
> if the first and last letters in a word are correctly placed, and the rest
> are messed up. Check out the sentence below :
>
> I lvoe tihs fncikug palce!
>
> Jcak

That is valid research .

4067. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 04:58:36 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1156913916.664238.40320@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

From: Dave <W...@comcast.net> on Tues, Aug 29 2006 4:38 pm

>LenAnderson, You have obviously made an INVESTMENT in your
>technical profession.

Yes, I have. Not only has it been intellectually rewarding,
it was also monetarily rewarding...for the last 54 years.

>Make one in your participation in the radio service!!

Kiss my yes, "Dave," I've "participated" in numerous radio
SERVICES of USA civil radio and in DoD contract work from
below LF to Ku-Band. In these previous 54 years I've
communicated from land, from the air, from the ocean
surface...even once "worked" a station ON the moon. Never
once in 53 years was I EVER required to either use or know
on-off-keying manual radiotelegraphy.

>Amateur Radio is a SERVICE!!! If you only think of it as a hobby your thinking
>is flawed.

"Dave," you are SO FLAWED that you can't think straight.
Here's the real story:

Go to the FULL Title 47, C.F.R., and LOOK at ALL the radio
SERVICES. The word "service" used in Title 47 is a
regulatory term denoting a type and kind of radio activity
being regulated under a Part. Go write the FCC if you
don't believe that. But, you won't believe that since you
are obviously stuck in some kind of "patriotic" pipe-dream
where you think a HOBBY activity is some kind of "national
service."

Look in Part 95, the Personal Radio SERVICES. In there you
will find the Citizens Band Radio SERVICE and the Radio-
Control Radio SERVICE. Those are all SERVICES, "Dave."

There is NOTHING wrong with having a HOBBY. It's a fine
hobby in fact. What is wrong, seriously wrong, with your
(observable) thinking is that US amateur radio is some
kind of quasi-military "national need" that is somehow
"important to the national welfare." It isn't. Amateur
radio is about as "vital to the nation" as CB or some
model airplane flyers on one of the 72 MHz channels.

Yes, HAMS get neat certificates from the federal government (suitable for framing) and like to go around saying "they are 'authorized' by the feds" as if that were some Nobel-laureate accomplishment. It isn't. The FCC is tasked with regulating and mitigating ALL United States civil radio. Since amateur radio transmitters emit RF that requires the FCC to regulate it. The FCC, or rather its predecessors (before 1934), decided that licensing was a way of doing that regulation. To get that license required taking a test. That TEST was never, ever any sort of academic achievement thing (FCC was never chartered to be an academic institution), just something to satisfy the FCC that a license applicant was sufficiently knowledgeable to get that license. Note: Satisfed the FCC...not the ARRL, NOT the nebulous "ham community" or even any "Hams in da Hood."

Have you got that straight yet, "Dave?" Did you take some kind of oath of "service" on getting your amateur license? Raise the right hand and repeat after whoever was prompting you on the oath? No? I didn't think so.

I took a REAL oath on 13 March 1952, "Dave," entering the United States Army. A Real SERVICE, "Dave." I did my "eight" and got an Honorable Discharge. From February 1953 to end of January 1956 I worked HF comms in Big Time radio. You can even download a photo essay of that from this link:

http://sujan.hallikainen.org/BroadcastHistory/uploads/My3Years.pdf

It's 6 MB and will take about 19 minutes download over a POTS dial-up connection. If you look closely at those 20 pages you won't find a single thing about "working CW" (on-off-keying manual telegraphy) yet the whole station ran 24/7 pushing about 220K messages a month. It would be IMPOSSIBLE to send that many (some of which were encrypted) by manual telegraphy unless the signal battalion was doubled. It didn't have to be because the messages got through and on-time. That was 53 to 51 years ago, "Dave."

What do you think the military uses NOW for communications? Data, "Dave," Data. High-speed data, "Dave," not some dinky 1200 baud amateur stuff. DIGITAL. Digital can be on-line encrypted and on-line decrypted securely.

You show me where the REAL Services use manual radiotelegraphy, "Dave." They don't. It is voice and/or data, most of it in the field done DIGITALLY.

>/s/ Dave, BSEE, Program Chief Engineer-retired, LGM-118A(RS), MK21/W87

WTF, "Dave?" So, you tacked on a bunch of undescribed acronym things supposedly project numbers or IDs. Are we to be "impressed?" I'm not. I've worked alongside and for PhDs who

didn't bother with IMAGE and all that rank-status-title BS...
WE got the job done, working together.

Amateur radio MIGHT get something done working together. But,
you olde-tymers won't. You have to RULE, holding fast to the
traditions of 50 to 70 years ago...because YOU and all the
olde-tymers had to do it so everyone else has to...and all
you olde-tymer morsemen think that "CW" is somehow "best."
It isn't "best." If you really have a BSEE instead of just
PR BS about morsemanship, you would realize that.

><http://www.strategic-air-command.com/missiles/Peacekeeper/Peacekeeper...>

"Dave," amateur radio isn't about missles. Save your energy
for donating DVDs of "Strategic Air Command" (starring Jimmy
Stewart) to give to impressionable youngsters.

I've been in the smoke-and-fire trade of rocket engines for a
little while (Rocketdyne Division of Rockwell International).
Trust me, rocket engines do NOT use manual radiotelegraphy.
BTW, SAC is GONE, "Dave." A whole reorganization in the USAF
some time ago. No more "oil burner routes" or flying out to
loiter near the USSR (the USSR is gone, too). BTW, there
were SSB transmitters emitting 12 KHz wide RF long before
SAC got the single-channel SSB stuff to use on such
loitering. I know, having to keep a few of those REAL SSB
transmitters running correctly.

Now, "Dave," I can't fault on-off-keying CW any. The key
fob for our 2005 Malibu MAXX uses that. Yes, the last
vestige of high-speed CW (REAL CW) done digitally. Done
by the hundreds of thousands all over the country daily.
Nearly all of them operated by unlicensed NON-MORSE-TESTED
civilians! That Chebbie got in our garage courtesy of
"investments," "Dave." Investments in REAL work, not
playing like big-time 1930s radio ops "jobs" of a long-
past age by AMATEURS.

Beep, beep,

LenAnderson@ieee.org
Life Member, IEEE

4068. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 05:02:05 UTC
From: Dave Oldridge <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Xns982EE026191B0doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159>
Source record: 6698
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote in news:NrXIg.2771$N84.1234@trnddc08:

> If you'll check the address bar when you reply, you might notice that
> you're posting in a swap group, scanner group, policy group, and
> antenna group.... IOW, you're just as guilty as the rest of us. :-)

Yep, I only very rarely set followups.

--
Dave Oldridge+
ICQ 1800667

4069. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 05:04:37 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1156914277.288760.133060@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

From: Dee Flint on Tues, Aug 29 2006 4:25 pm
Email: "Dee Flint" <deeflin...@comcast.net>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
rec.radio.scanner

>"George Orwell" <nob...@mixmaster.it> wrote in message
>> Al Klein said:

>>>Eliminating a requirement is dumbing things down. But no one would
>>>expect you to be able to understand that.
>
>> Well, let me ask, from the point of view of a potential noob to the hobby.
>> What use is the code requirements?
>> I can't really see in today's era, the need for them? I've been surfing
>> around looking at ham and talking to
>> an old friend that had a license and it look interesting to me.
>
>> But, given that many professional people like myself are stretched for
>> time, what good does all the licensing
>> and code requirements do for you besides build up boundries to doing
>> something new and fun?
>
>If you will read Part 97 (the rules that govern amateur radio), the
>government doesn't care about you doing something new and fun.

Dee, the "rules that govern amateur radio" are the ENTIRETY
of Title 47 C.F.R. I don't care that the ARRL pushes ONLY
Part 97. Part 1 has plenty about amateur radio as well as
a few other Parts. Look it up. It's free at the US Government
Printing Office website.

>The section
>on basis and purpose makes it quite clear that their objectives are quite
>different.

The "objectives" of the FCC are to regulate and mitigate ALL
civil radio in the USA, Dee. That's what the Communications
Act of 1934 and the Telecommunications Act of 1996 chartered
them to do (plus quite a bit on wireline comms...which don't
apply to amateur radio).

The "Basis and Purpose" has a lot of POLITICAL boilerplate
in it, just like most of the other Parts for other radio
SERVICES. Get real.

> They want people knowledgeable in ham radio and who are
>interested in expanding that knowledge.

The FCC is mainly interested in all radio services' users
FOLLOWING THE REGULATIONS, Dee. That's their job. The
FCC doesn't "want" people a certain way, only to FOLLOW
THE REGULATIONS.

>> I'm in a pretty technical field, and I study to keep up in that field
>> everyday, the last thing I want to do,
>> is have to spend my weekends studying to talk on a radio. If less
>> stringent requirements were there, I could
>> easily afford the tools of the trade, and would like to just jump in and
>> start working with a ham setup. I'm
>> particularly interested in exploring the amateur radio/computer
>> connections.

"George," you've got a whole bunch in this forum who think
that amateur radio is some kind of veddy formal "JOB" with
absolutes on THE WAY THEY DO IT. It's almost draconian in
the insistence of "correct proceedure." No one will get
fired from that "job" if they don't use "correct" ways but
the way they blabber on you'd think they were "supervisors."

>> I have no problem informally looking up information and learning on my own
>> (heck, that's what I'm doing in
>> the USENET group to begin with)...but, I just don't have the time for
>> archaic rules, regulations and codes
>> that as far as I can see...really serve no real purpose but, to keep out
>> busy people that might like to
>> participate.
>
>Until and unless you have actually participated in amateur radio in a wide
>variety of aspects, it is difficult to asses which rules, regulations,
>knowledge, etc are archaic and which should remain as requirements.

Horsepuckey. Dee, "George" is talking about GETTING INTO
amateur radio. Don't give us this olde-tymer morseperson
"I've operated all the modes there are" stuff. Dee, I've
operated lots more modes on radio than YOU are allowed to
as an amateur.

Manual morse code ability IS an ARCHAIC mode of communications
Dee. ALL the other US radio services have either dropped it
for comms or never bothered with it in the first place. ALL.
The ARRL and the olde-tyme morsepersons insist that the manual
morse test "MUST" remain to "show something" about commitment
and dedication. To WHOM, Dee? To YOU? To da Hams in da hood?

>Notice
>that the majority of people advocating ditching requirements are those who
>have not yet passed those requirements, regardless of their age.

Dee, before you get to the downright-bitchy stage, may I remind
you that some of us professionals in radio and electronics
NEVER BOTHERED WITH AN AMATEUR RADIO TEST? I got my First 'Phone
in 1956. Considerably more testing involved than a ham license
test then. Could YOU get a GROL now? Could YOU get a job
working with radio hardware?

>Note that
>the majority of people advocating keeping the requirements have passed them
>and have experience in amateur radio again regardless of their age.

Yes, nine-year-old Extras possess the maturity and wisdom of
the ages, all through having taken that morse test and gotten
that magic certificate (suitable for framing).

>As far as "busy people" go, again refer to the basis and purpose as given in
>the rules. The government is not concerned about your choice of how you use
>your time. It has no bearing on what their goals are.

Dee, you are LECTURING again. [just how long have you been
in ANY radio?]

Dee, go look at some 1990 documents on the creation of the
no-code-test Technician license. There's a copy available for
free download on the NCI website. Over 16 years ago the FCC
said outright that it didn't think the manual morse code test
suited their purpose in granting an amateur radio license.
The FCC said the same thing in last year's NPRM.

I DO have to remind you that the FCC's only job is to REGULATE
all civil radio in the USA. Their only task is to do that and
mitigate matters of interference with other radio services
(plus wireline but that's not concerning amateurs). Theirs
is not to brainwash hams...that's the ARRL's task.

>> Can you give me valid reasons as to what useful purpose in today's age
>> they serve?

[stand by for the LITANY from the Church of St. Hiram]

>Every piece of knowledge has its uses. The difficult part is winnowing
>through it and decide what should be tested and what should not.

Ahem, to olde-tyme morsepersons, manual morse code skill MUST
be tested for any radio privileges below 30 MHz. That's
engraved in everlasting marble and protected by nuclear-blast
armor plate.

>Here's why I think code should still be tested:
>1. It is still one of the basic building blocks of ham radio.

Horsepucky. It was only the first mode used...had to be
in the primitive-technology of early radio using "spark."
Spark is outlawed now, Dee.

> For example,
>one of the "hot" digital modes is PSK31. The developer drew upon personal
>experience and incorporated features derived from that mode to make a robust
>digital mode.

More sinning-by-omission, Dee. Peter Martinez, G3PLX, innovated
PSK31. Using the available Information Theory rules he knew
about, derived from commercial and military technology. It was
field-tested for years in Europe by many amateurs there before
it got any publicity over here. "Hot" is over a decade OLD,
Dee. In 1974 Peter was doing great things with polyphase
networks for voice SSB...it was written up in RSGB's member-
ship magazine. I doubt the ARRL bothered with publicizing it.

>2. Because it is not "book learning", too many people will avoid it since
>it is different than the type of learning they are accustomed to. They will
>falsely think it is hard when in reality it is different. Requiring them to
>learn it gets them over that resistance hump.

So...morsemanship really IS a barrier. You admit it.

>3. Each and every mode has its strong points and weak points. Each of us
>that participate in ham radio should attempt to gain personal experience in
>those modes so that we know by that personal experience what those strengths
>and weaknesses are.

Oh my, there we go on the lecture circuit again.

Dee, I started out working as an Illustrator. That's an artist
who draws/paints things as they really are. I have an aptitude
for that. It is as natural to me to draw, fairly well I might
add, as a physically-endowed athlete is to sports or another
with a musical aptitude is to playing an instrument. The ability
to "advance" in manual morse code skill is NOT a "natural" one
but an aptitude in only a few of us. The US military even
tested for that aptitude in all recruits of the 1940s and
1950s. [I got okay marks in that, by the way...:-)]

The first radio operators used manual morse code. First, it was
fine for the primitive state of the art. Second, it was a mature
mode in the wireline communications, a technology even more
primitive than radio of that time. Telegraphers weren't taken
off the street...they either had the aptitude or they didn't.
Once "radio" got going, the telegraphers (downsized from
wireline comms by those new-fangled teleprinter thingies) made
much of their "abilities" using on-off-keying manual morse. The
Morse Mythos was born and grew like wildfire. Good, good
newsprint copy!

But, as time progressed there came NEW modes, much faster and
more accurate, without need of morse specialists at each end
of a circuit. The radio telegraphers were downsized. They
retired and turned to amateur radio of pre-WW2 times. Morse
was still king of the modes and ARRL (by the 1930s) was

hailing the king as the "best" for all amateurs. None of this newfangled thing called "voice" for them although they did pay lip-service to it. By 1940 the ARRL was King of the membership organizations (through their publications) and they maintained that morsemanship was the epitome of amateur comms. They kept that up after WW2 and on into the single-channel SSB start in the 1950s...again paying lip-service to this newfangled SSB. And you know what? ARRL is STILL trying to promote morsemanship even if sinning-by omission once more.

Back before WRC-03 (that's over three years ago) the IARU took a position that the amateur radio license tests for morse ability was NOT mandatory...make it an option for each administration. Lots of folks went along with that, but NOT the ARRL. League was almost vehement in opposition. OK, the ITU-R amateur radio regulations were CHANGED, going along the lines of the IARU position. [that's the International Amateur Radio Union, Dee] Ah, but NOW the ARRL takes a neutral position, won't go either way...they just say that all amateurs must obey the law...but they lobbied last year to keep SOME manual morse testing for the under-30-MHz privileges. 92 years after being formed, the ARRL just can't give up and change to what OTHER folks want...

>If you are interested, I could construct various scenarios where mode X is
>the best mode.

"X-Files" was cancelled, Dee. [cousin Gillian got a vacation]

>However, unless you specifically want to know, I won't
>clutter up the newsgroup at this time with discussions that have been
>repeated many times by many people already.

Please, go on, Dee. I want to hear from your vast experience in radio and all your guru-like knowledge of what is "best" for radio amateurs. Especially WHY everyone has to emulate the olde-tyme amateur days, the ones before you were born.

Beep, beep,

LenAnderson@ieee.org
Life Member, IEEE

4070. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 07:15:10 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <8vvta.chr.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 6700
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1156913916.664238.40320@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
From: Dave <W...@comcast.net> on Tues, Aug 29 2006 4:38 pm

>LenAnderson, You have obviously made an INVESTMENT in your
>technical profession.

Yes, I have. Not only has it been intellectually rewarding,
it was also monetarily rewarding...for the last 54 years.

>Make one in your participation in the radio service!!

Kiss my yes, "Dave," I've "participated" in numerous radio
SERVICES of USA civil radio and in DoD contract work from
below LF to Ku-Band. In these previous 54 years I've
communicated from land, from the air, from the ocean
surface...even once "worked" a station ON the moon. Never
once in 53 years was I EVER required to either use or know
on-off-keying manual radiotelegraphy.

>Amateur Radio is a SERVICE!!! If you only think of it as a hobby your
thinking
>is flawed.

"Dave," you are SO FLAWED that you can't think straight.
Here's the real story:

Go to the FULL Title 47, C.F.R., and LOOK at ALL the radio
SERVICES. The word "service" used in Title 47 is a
regulatory term denoting a type and kind of radio activity
being regulated under a Part. Go write the FCC if you
don't believe that. But, you won't believe that since you
are obviously stuck in some kind of "patriotic" pipe-dream
where you think a HOBBY activity is some kind of "national
service."

Look in Part 95, the Personal Radio SERVICES. In there you
will find the Citizens Band Radio SERVICE and the Radio-
Control Radio SERVICE. Those are all SERVICES, "Dave."

There is NOTHING wrong with having a HOBBY. It's a fine
hobby in fact. What is wrong, seriously wrong, with your
(observable) thinking is that US amateur radio is some
kind of quasi-military "national need" that is somehow
"important to the national welfare." It isn't. Amateur
radio is about as "vital to the nation" as CB or some

model airplane flyers on one of the 72 MHz channels.

Yes, HAMS get neat certificates from the federal government (suitable for framing) and like to go around saying "they are 'authorized' by the feds" as if that were some Nobel-laureate accomplishment. It isn't. The FCC is tasked with regulating and mitigating ALL United States civil radio. Since amateur radio transmitters emit RF that requires the FCC to regulate it. The FCC, or rather its predecessors (before 1934), decided that licensing was a way of doing that regulation. To get that license required taking a test. That TEST was never, ever any sort of academic achievement thing (FCC was never chartered to be an academic institution), just something to satisfy the FCC that a license applicant was sufficiently knowledgeable to get that license. Note: Satisfed the FCC...not the ARRL, NOT the nebulous "ham community" or even any "Hams in da Hood."

Have you got that straight yet, "Dave?" Did you take some kind of oath of "service" on getting your amateur license? Raise the right hand and repeat after whoever was prompting you on the oath? No? I didn't think so.

I took a REAL oath on 13 March 1952, "Dave," entering the United States Army. A Real SERVICE, "Dave." I did my "eight" and got an Honorable Discharge. From February 1953 to end of January 1956 I worked HF comms in Big Time radio. You can even download a photo essay of that from this link:

http://sujan.hallikainen.org/BroadcastHistory/uploads/My3Years.pdf

It's 6 MB and will take about 19 minutes download over a POTS dial-up connection. If you look closely at those 20 pages you won't find a single thing about "working CW" (on-off-keying manual telegraphy) yet the whole station ran 24/7 pushing about 220K messages a month. It would be IMPOSSIBLE to send that many (some of which were encrypted) by manual telegraphy unless the signal battalion was doubled. It didn't have to be because the messages got through and on-time. That was 53 to 51 years ago, "Dave."

What do you think the military uses NOW for communications? Data, "Dave," Data. High-speed data, "Dave," not some dinky 1200 baud amateur stuff. DIGITAL. Digital can be on-line encrypted and on-line decrypted securely.

You show me where the REAL Services use manual radiotelegraphy, "Dave." They don't. It is voice and/or data, most of it in the field done DIGITALLY.

>/s/ Dave, BSEE, Program Chief Engineer-retired, LGM-118A(RS), MK21/W87

WTF, "Dave?" So, you tacked on a bunch of undescribed acronym things supposedly project numbers or IDs. Are we to be "impressed?" I'm not. I've worked alongside and for PhDs who didn't bother with IMAGE and all that rank-status-title BS... WE got the job done, working together.

Amateur radio MIGHT get something done working together. But, you olde-tymers won't. You have to RULE, holding fast to the traditions of 50 to 70 years ago...because YOU and all the olde-tymers had to do it so everyone else has to...and all you olde-tymer morsemen think that "CW" is somehow "best." It isn't "best." If you really have a BSEE instead of just PR BS about morsemanship, you would realize that.

><http://www.strategic-air-command.com/missiles/Peacekeeper/Peacekeeper...>

"Dave," amateur radio isn't about missles. Save your energy for donating DVDs of "Strategic Air Command" (starring Jimmy Stewart) to give to impressionable youngsters.

I've been in the smoke-and-fire trade of rocket engines for a little while (Rocketdyne Division of Rockwell International). Trust me, rocket engines do NOT use manual radiotelegraphy. BTW, SAC is GONE, "Dave." A whole reorganization in the USAF some time ago. No more "oil burner routes" or flying out to loiter near the USSR (the USSR is gone, too). BTW, there were SSB transmitters emitting 12 KHz wide RF long before SAC got the single-channel SSB stuff to use on such loitering. I know, having to keep a few of those REAL SSB transmitters running correctly.

Now, "Dave," I can't fault on-off-keying CW any. The key fob for our 2005 Malibu MAXX uses that. Yes, the last vestige of high-speed CW (REAL CW) done digitally. Done by the hundreds of thousands all over the country daily. Nearly all of them operated by unlicensed NON-MORSE-TESTED civilians! That Chebbie got in our garage courtesy of "investments," "Dave." Investments in REAL work, not playing like big-time 1930s radio ops "jobs" of a long-past age by AMATEURS.

Beep, beep,

LenAnderson@ieee.org
Life Member, IEEE

Oh, my. Lennie is pontificating yet again.
Bragging. Reminding us, The Great Unwashed, of his deeds of electronic daring-do.
Listen up, gents and Gentiles, as Lenny regales us with his words of wisdom and his off-beat Mississippi brand of home-spun humor. Good to see he is doing so over his own name this time rather than over the callsign of another.
YAWN!

4071. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 07:37:01 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <9016a.9pp.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 6551
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1156914277.288760.133060@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com...
From: Dee Flint on Tues, Aug 29 2006 4:25 pm
Email: "Dee Flint" <deeflin...@comcast.net>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
rec.radio.scanner

>"George Orwell" <nob...@mixmaster.it> wrote in message
>> Al Klein said:

>>>Eliminating a requirement is dumbing things down. But no one would
>>>expect you to be able to understand that.
>
>> Well, let me ask, from the point of view of a potential noob to the
hobby.
>> What use is the code requirements?
>> I can't really see in today's era, the need for them? I've been surfing
>> around looking at ham and talking to
>> an old friend that had a license and it look interesting to me.
>
>> But, given that many professional people like myself are stretched for
>> time, what good does all the licensing
>> and code requirements do for you besides build up boundries to doing
>> something new and fun?
>
>If you will read Part 97 (the rules that govern amateur radio), the
>government doesn't care about you doing something new and fun.

Dee, the "rules that govern amateur radio" are the ENTIRETY
of Title 47 C.F.R. I don't care that the ARRL pushes ONLY
Part 97. Part 1 has plenty about amateur radio as well as
a few other Parts. Look it up. It's free at the US Government
Printing Office website.

>The section
>on basis and purpose makes it quite clear that their objectives are quite
>different.

The "objectives" of the FCC are to regulate and mitigate ALL
civil radio in the USA, Dee. That's what the Communications
Act of 1934 and the Telecommunications Act of 1996 chartered
them to do (plus quite a bit on wireline comms...which don't
apply to amateur radio).

The "Basis and Purpose" has a lot of POLITICAL boilerplate
in it, just like most of the other Parts for other radio
SERVICES. Get real.

> They want people knowledgeable in ham radio and who are
>interested in expanding that knowledge.

The FCC is mainly interested in all radio services' users
FOLLOWING THE REGULATIONS, Dee. That's their job. The
FCC doesn't "want" people a certain way, only to FOLLOW
THE REGULATIONS.

>> I'm in a pretty technical field, and I study to keep up in that field
>> everyday, the last thing I want to do,
>> is have to spend my weekends studying to talk on a radio. If less
>> stringent requirements were there, I could
>> easily afford the tools of the trade, and would like to just jump in and
>> start working with a ham setup. I'm
>> particularly interested in exploring the amateur radio/computer
>> connections.

"George," you've got a whole bunch in this forum who think
that amateur radio is some kind of veddy formal "JOB" with
absolutes on THE WAY THEY DO IT. It's almost draconian in
the insistence of "correct proceedure." No one will get
fired from that "job" if they don't use "correct" ways but
the way they blabber on you'd think they were "supervisors."

>> I have no problem informally looking up information and learning on my
own
>> (heck, that's what I'm doing in
>> the USENET group to begin with)...but, I just don't have the time for
>> archaic rules, regulations and codes
>> that as far as I can see...really serve no real purpose but, to keep out
>> busy people that might like to
>> participate.
>
>Until and unless you have actually participated in amateur radio in a wide
>variety of aspects, it is difficult to asses which rules, regulations,
>knowledge, etc are archaic and which should remain as requirements.

Horsepuckey. Dee, "George" is talking about GETTING INTO
amateur radio. Don't give us this olde-tymer morseperson
"I've operated all the modes there are" stuff. Dee, I've
operated lots more modes on radio than YOU are allowed to
as an amateur.

Manual morse code ability IS an ARCHAIC mode of communications
Dee. ALL the other US radio services have either dropped it
for comms or never bothered with it in the first place. ALL.
The ARRL and the olde-tyme morsepersons insist that the manual
morse test "MUST" remain to "show something" about commitment
and dedication. To WHOM, Dee? To YOU? To da Hams in da hood?

>Notice
>that the majority of people advocating ditching requirements are those who
>have not yet passed those requirements, regardless of their age.

Dee, before you get to the downright-bitchy stage, may I remind
you that some of us professionals in radio and electronics
NEVER BOTHERED WITH AN AMATEUR RADIO TEST? I got my First 'Phone
in 1956. Considerably more testing involved than a ham license
test then. Could YOU get a GROL now? Could YOU get a job
working with radio hardware?

>Note that
>the majority of people advocating keeping the requirements have passed them
>and have experience in amateur radio again regardless of their age.

Yes, nine-year-old Extras possess the maturity and wisdom of
the ages, all through having taken that morse test and gotten
that magic certificate (suitable for framing).

>As far as "busy people" go, again refer to the basis and purpose as given
in
>the rules. The government is not concerned about your choice of how you
use
>your time. It has no bearing on what their goals are.

Dee, you are LECTURING again. [just how long have you been
in ANY radio?]

Dee, go look at some 1990 documents on the creation of the
no-code-test Technician license. There's a copy available for
free download on the NCI website. Over 16 years ago the FCC
said outright that it didn't think the manual morse code test
suited their purpose in granting an amateur radio license.
The FCC said the same thing in last year's NPRM.

I DO have to remind you that the FCC's only job is to REGULATE
all civil radio in the USA. Their only task is to do that and
mitigate matters of interference with other radio services
(plus wireline but that's not concerning amateurs). Theirs
is not to brainwash hams...that's the ARRL's task.

>> Can you give me valid reasons as to what useful purpose in today's age
>> they serve?

[stand by for the LITANY from the Church of St. Hiram]

>Every piece of knowledge has its uses. The difficult part is winnowing
>through it and decide what should be tested and what should not.

Ahem, to olde-tyme morsepersons, manual morse code skill MUST
be tested for any radio privileges below 30 MHz. That's
engraved in everlasting marble and protected by nuclear-blast
armor plate.

>Here's why I think code should still be tested:
>1. It is still one of the basic building blocks of ham radio.

Horsepucky. It was only the first mode used...had to be
in the primitive-technology of early radio using "spark."
Spark is outlawed now, Dee.

> For example,
>one of the "hot" digital modes is PSK31. The developer drew upon personal
>experience and incorporated features derived from that mode to make a
robust
>digital mode.

More sinning-by-omission, Dee. Peter Martinez, G3PLX, innovated
PSK31. Using the available Information Theory rules he knew
about, derived from commercial and military technology. It was
field-tested for years in Europe by many amateurs there before
it got any publicity over here. "Hot" is over a decade OLD,
Dee. In 1974 Peter was doing great things with polyphase
networks for voice SSB...it was written up in RSGB's member-
ship magazine. I doubt the ARRL bothered with publicizing it.

>2. Because it is not "book learning", too many people will avoid it since
>it is different than the type of learning they are accustomed to. They
will
>falsely think it is hard when in reality it is different. Requiring them
to
>learn it gets them over that resistance hump.

So...morsemanship really IS a barrier. You admit it.

>3. Each and every mode has its strong points and weak points. Each of us
>that participate in ham radio should attempt to gain personal experience in
>those modes so that we know by that personal experience what those
strengths
>and weaknesses are.

Oh my, there we go on the lecture circuit again.

Dee, I started out working as an Illustrator. That's an artist
who draws/paints things as they really are. I have an aptitude
for that. It is as natural to me to draw, fairly well I might
add, as a physically-endowed athlete is to sports or another
with a musical aptitude is to playing an instrument. The ability
to "advance" in manual morse code skill is NOT a "natural" one
but an aptitude in only a few of us. The US military even
tested for that aptitude in all recruits of the 1940s and
1950s. [I got okay marks in that, by the way...:-)]

The first radio operators used manual morse code. First, it was
fine for the primitive state of the art. Second, it was a mature
mode in the wireline communications, a technology even more
primitive than radio of that time. Telegraphers weren't taken
off the street...they either had the aptitude or they didn't.

Once "radio" got going, the telegraphers (downsized from wireline comms by those new-fangled teleprinter thingies) made much of their "abilities" using on-off-keying manual morse. The Morse Mythos was born and grew like wildfire. Good, good newsprint copy!

But, as time progressed there came NEW modes, much faster and more accurate, without need of morse specialists at each end of a circuit. The radio telegraphers were downsized. They retired and turned to amateur radio of pre-WW2 times. Morse was still king of the modes and ARRL (by the 1930s) was hailing the king as the "best" for all amateurs. None of this newfangled thing called "voice" for them although they did pay lip-service to it. By 1940 the ARRL was King of the membership organizations (through their publications) and they maintained that morsemanship was the epitome of amateur comms. They kept that up after WW2 and on into the single-channel SSB start in the 1950s...again paying lip-service to this newfangled SSB. And you know what? ARRL is STILL trying to promote morsemanship even if sinning-by omission once more.

Back before WRC-03 (that's over three years ago) the IARU took a position that the amateur radio license tests for morse ability was NOT mandatory...make it an option for each administration. Lots of folks went along with that, but NOT the ARRL. League was almost vehement in opposition. OK, the ITU-R amateur radio regulations were CHANGED, going along the lines of the IARU position. [that's the International Amateur Radio Union, Dee] Ah, but NOW the ARRL takes a neutral position, won't go either way...they just say that all amateurs must obey the law...but they lobbied last year to keep SOME manual morse testing for the under-30-MHz privileges. 92 years after being formed, the ARRL just can't give up and change to what OTHER folks want...

>If you are interested, I could construct various scenarios where mode X is
>the best mode.

"X-Files" was cancelled, Dee. [cousin Gillian got a vacation]

>However, unless you specifically want to know, I won't
>clutter up the newsgroup at this time with discussions that have been
>repeated many times by many people already.

Please, go on, Dee. I want to hear from your vast experience in radio and all your guru-like knowledge of what is "best" for radio amateurs. Especially WHY everyone has to emulate the olde-tyme amateur days, the ones before you were born.

Beep, beep,

LenAnderson@ieee.org
Life Member, IEEE

>
Wow! It took you so long to say so little, Len!
Why not simply toss the "personal experience" gauntlet directly into her chops and challenge Dee to a battle of the electronic wits at sunrise? I'm sure it will do wonders for your machismo and, if it makes you feel that you succeeded in one-upmanshit, perhaps you can even send her to her corner, crying for mercy.
Go ahead, Len. Dazzle her with your brilliance and browbeat her if you can.
There...don't you feel better already? Was the relief good for you? Feel vindicated?

4072. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 09:54:30 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156931670.150626.53300@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6552
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Not Lloyd wrote:
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message

> Wow! It took you so long to say so little, Len!
so he is long winded?
> Why not simply toss the "personal experience" gauntlet directly into her
> chops and challenge Dee to a battle of the electronic wits at sunrise? I'm
> sure it will do wonders for your machismo and, if it makes you feel that you
> succeeded in one-upmanshit, perhaps you can even send her to her corner,
> crying for mercy.
not likely Dee obviously lacks the snece for that
> Go ahead, Len. Dazzle her with your brilliance and browbeat her if you can.
> There...don't you feel better already? Was the relief good for you? Feel
> vindicated?

4073. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 10:17:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <8dpaf29pm459kkbs535d0o1blqjqrok3h1@4ax.com>
Source record: 6554
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 30 Aug 2006 06:17:18 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
>"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1156931670.150626.53300@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...

>"snece"? Care to 'splain that, Lucy?
who is lucy
you just can't deal with attributions and being an internet guru and
that sad
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4074. Re: get help forger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 10:55:47 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <12farlp19ndnn22@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 6556
Cross-posted to:
alt.fan.art-bell,alt.morons,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote in message news:90egr.349.17.1@news.alt.net...
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1156931903.218073.246200@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
> Frosty wrote:
>> As the sun rose on 29 Aug 2006 19:22:50 -0700, the distinguished and
>> oh so talented "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> climbed up to the
>> podium, shuffled some papers, took a sip of ice water and shouted in a
>> loud voice:
>>
>> >
>> >an old freind wrote:
>> >> high erverybody i no its sum what offtopic four the gropes but can u
>> >> tellme if compoundf W hples control gantial warts?
>> >>
>> >> thanks for teh hple
>> >get help forger
>>
>>
>> Yeah. What's with the warts on his pee-pee dude? I see this clown in
>> many of the ng's I lurk & post in.
> he likes by posting this stupid shit under close bu t not exact copies
> of my nick to try and stroll for flame he shit intended to drop on me
>>
> Please, Mark. Try using English. Your tongue is once again wrapped in
> knots
> around your third grade molars. Your statement, "he shit intended to drop
> on
> me " is nonsense. Which language WAS that?

I think he meant that someone was going to fly over him and shit on him like
a pigeon.
My favorite part is where Mark says "stroll for flame". Does that mean he is
a prostitute that gets paid in insults?

--
Suffer, unto me.
Indulge, my every need.
UV Count 1

4075. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 11:17:18 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <90e3b.oed.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 6553
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1156931670.150626.53300@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...

Not Lloyd wrote:
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message

> Wow! It took you so long to say so little, Len!
so he is long winded?
> Why not simply toss the "personal experience" gauntlet directly into her
> chops and challenge Dee to a battle of the electronic wits at sunrise? I'm
> sure it will do wonders for your machismo and, if it makes you feel that
you
> succeeded in one-upmanshit, perhaps you can even send her to her corner,
> crying for mercy.
not likely Dee obviously lacks the snece for that
> Go ahead, Len. Dazzle her with your brilliance and browbeat her if you
can.
> There...don't you feel better already? Was the relief good for you? Feel
> vindicated?

"snece"? Care to 'splain that, Lucy?

4076. Re: get help forger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 11:24:30 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <90egr.349.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 6555
Cross-posted to: alt.morons,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1156931903.218073.246200@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...

Frosty wrote:
> As the sun rose on 29 Aug 2006 19:22:50 -0700, the distinguished and
> oh so talented "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> climbed up to the
> podium, shuffled some papers, took a sip of ice water and shouted in a
> loud voice:
>
> >
> >an old freind wrote:
> >> high erverybody i no its sum what offtopic four the gropes but can u
> >> tellme if compoundf W hples control gantial warts?
> >>
> >> thanks for teh hple
> >get help forger
>
>
> Yeah. What's with the warts on his pee-pee dude? I see this clown in
> many of the ng's I lurk & post in.
he likes by posting this stupid shit under close bu t not exact copies
of my nick to try and stroll for flame he shit intended to drop on me
>
Please, Mark. Try using English. Your tongue is once again wrapped in knots
around your third grade molars. Your statement, "he shit intended to drop on
me " is nonsense. Which language WAS that?

4077. Re: If you had to use CW..., would Robesin still be an idiot?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 11:48:26 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1156938506.811395.322980@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6557
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On 29 Aug 2006 16:21:38 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> Woody wrote:
> >
> >> > Since I don't know this Robitussin guy, I really can't say anything in reply
> >> > to this post....
> >> > rb
> >>
> >> All you have to do (if you've got about 8 hours to spare) is go
> >> through Google archives since before they bought it from
> >> DejaNews several years ago. "Robitussin" has thousands of
> >> postings in the same venal manner he continues to use...
> >> especially his self-promotion as heroic USMC veteran which
> >> he has never, ever proved through any third-party references,
> >> digitized documents, or much of anything else other than his
> >> own AOL home page and self-description on QRZ.
> >>
> >> He is clearly into his own fantasy of imagined superpowers
> >> without the aid of Stan Lee.
> >>
> >> :-)
> >
> >There's some real gems in there.
> >
> >Lawsuits. Robeson sues people, or at least he says he does. In his
> >last lawsuit, he got an Upper Peninsula attorney gratis, then made
> >comments on who his free attorney's wife might be sleeping with.
> >Meanwhile, the person he is suing has yet to be served.
>
> acrualywaiti ng now for 5 different lawsuits from steve

Five??? What are they for?

> >"Bricks through windows, slashed tires, terrorized wives." These are
> >bad things that happen to people who shoot their mouths off, as some
> >people have been told they do. Mind you, Robesin isn't the one
> >throwing bricks, slashing tires, or terrorizing wives. "Other people"
> >do this sort of stuff.
>
> his other selves perhaps

I wonder if they've all manifested themselves on RRAP, or if there are
others for situations at work, at home, on vacation, when in CAP
uniform....

> >"Dialing..." On Robesin's personal authority as a male nurse and
> >failed Marine, he can make a phone call anywhere in the USA and have
> >you picked up on his say so. Really.
>
> yep whow much safer we are for him

Mmmmm. I don't think it works that way. Now if it were a reverse
911....

> >"PUTZ, Putz, putz" Disagree with Robesin and you are a penis with a
> >yiddish accent.
>
> and then he dinies he makes sexauly based insults

Anyone whose read his posts for 5 minutes knows that's a lie.

> >"PEDOPHILE, Pedophile, pedophile" If you disagree with Robesin and you
> >give of your time to a youth group, you are a pervert.
>
> or just breath air (witness Tood Hans and Myself)
> >
> >"HOMO, Homo, homo" Disagree with Robesin and you are queer, with lots
> >and lots of inuendo.

> that is you todd Han and scores of others

Everybody's gay.

> >Robesin is a national hero, taking part in seven hostile actions as a
> >Marine. Yet, his only overseas assignment is Okinawa. Never been
> >injured, but discharged after only 18 years. Says he's retired. Says
> >he's disabled. Says it's not medical. Says he has been rehabilitated
> >by the VA. "Ask the VA."
>
> well I he=ard the army in wirtiing today They afrim the existance of
> my old unit

Robesin has given so many mixed messages over the conditions of his
"discharge" that no one be;lieves him any longer.

> >Robesin got back into uniform as a Tennessee State Guard "officer" of
> >some kind. Very short lived career.
>
> maybe that gruop held him to professional stanards unlike CAP

Yikes!!!

> >Robesin got back into uniform as a Civil Air Patrol (CAP) "officer" of
> >some kind. Says he's a Major now. Photo of him in a sage green (not
> >blue) flight suit on his QRZ website. As far as I know, most CAP
> >volunteers are involved in training youth/Cadets. Hmmmm?

>
> I know that does worry me too

Naw. It's everybody else you have to worry about.

> >Robesin got a vanity call, K4CAP, while in the CAP. The CAP HQ is at
> >Maxwell AFB in Montgomery, Alabama. Then he gave it up. Look where
> >his old call now resides.
> >
> >Robesin got back into uniform as a male nurse. Says he doesn't wear
> >the white dress uniform. Probably just regular pants and a v-neck
> >lavendar colo[u]red top.
> >
> >Robesin has military medals. He has lots of medals. Many of those
> >medals he didn't earn.
>
> has he ever listed them?

Nope. I'd only be interested in the ones he earned. But that would
just raise more questions about seven hostile actions.

> >Robesin used to be an ASSISTANT NCOIC of NMC MARS on Okinawa. Doesn't
> >know the first thing about it. Doesn't know what a MOD is.
> >
> >"MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio" according to Robesin Yup, you heard it
> >here first.
> >
> >A well know amateur radio outlet had the owners daughter's photo
> >featured in a prominent amateur radio publication. Robesin said she
> >was selling "Something" but it wasn't radios. He's a swell guy.
> >
> >Robesin can make comments all day long about how your children won't
> >respect you. His child died from severe birth defects and he knows
> >that no one will make comments about his children. He's a swell guy.
> >
> >Robesin needs to talk to the wives. He needs to talk to Len's wife.
> >He needs to talk to my wife. He needs to talk to Mark's wife. He
> >wants to talk to them on the phone or in person. He wants to mail
> >them. He wants to knock on my door to talk to my wife and posts
> >partial addresses so you know to expect a visit. Now he makes jokes
> >about Len and Mark's wife. He's a swell guy.
> >
> >I could go on, but I don't have 8 hours as Len suggests...
> >
> >So what's the real beef??? I disagreed with Robesin. He doesn't like
> >the way I think.
>
> prehaps it was he disliked that you THINK at all

I'm not a Morse bot.

> >So what's the real, Real beef? I don't think the Morse Code exam
> >should be retained for an HF license in amateur radio.
>
> me neither
>
> looks liek we will win

With the tons and tons of sour grapes from these Morse Forever folks,
no one has won anything.

4078. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 12:13:00 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <7LadnT053apQHWjZnZ2dnUVZ_qmdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 6558
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On Tue, 29 Aug 2006 19:21:53 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>
>>I'll say it again ... INVESTMENT!!
>>
>>If an activity is to have value it must have INVESTMENT.
>
> Bullshit

Your rudeness is clearly expressed in your one word response [above].

A more appropriate response, carrying the same meaning, but suitable for an open list, would be 'bovine defacation'!

No wonder Ham Radio is going the way of CB with people who express themselves so poorly and are unwilling to work for something they value.

Where your treasure is ... there your heart is!

/s/ Dave

> I value my tech license not because I had to work for it but becuase
> it has been a great return on my effort
>
> if Ihad had to work twice as hard Id value it half as much
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

4079. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent - Markie, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 12:15:04 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156940104.396258.326590@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6559
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

an old friendless Sodomite wrote:
> cease

Cease breathing, Spammer.

4080. Mark Morgan spam and filthfest in progress

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 12:42:46 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1156941765.928775.312320@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old friendless child molester wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> > an old freindless fat faggot wrote:
> meltdown in progress

By you, self admitted cocksucker, sodomite and beer enema pervert.

4081. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 12:48:18 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44f58916$0$10213$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 6561
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Dave" <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:7LadnT053apQHWjZnZ2dnUVZ_qmdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>> On Tue, 29 Aug 2006 19:21:53 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>I'll say it again ... INVESTMENT!!
>>>
>>>If an activity is to have value it must have INVESTMENT.
>>
>> Bullshit
>
> Your rudeness is clearly expressed in your one word response [above].
>
> A more appropriate response, carrying the same meaning, but suitable for
> an open list, would be 'bovine defacation'!
>
> No wonder Ham Radio is going the way of CB with people who express
> themselves so poorly and are unwilling to work for something they value.
>
> Where your treasure is ... there your heart is!
>
> /s/ Dave

Dave, he can't help it, his education is "limited" and doesn't know any
better - due to NO EFFORT - effort as he said was bull shit too. So you
can't expect much out of someone who has apparently made effort - to do
something.

Mork the dork - The man is right - IT IS AN INVESTMENT - OR shall we assume
your radios were given to you by the welfare office, paid for by pan
handling on the street, or some other way which YOU didn't have to pay for
them? ALSO - to get involved in such a hobby - ANY hobby - YOU MAKE AN
INVESTMENT in time and well - whatever to enjoy it. We won't go with effort
since it too is bull shit.

L.

4082. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 13:24:51 UTC
From: Anonyma <anon-bounces@deuxpi.ca>
Message-ID: <4e75e0746f183cda115e96f709bc84a3@deuxpi.ca>
Source record: 6562
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Dave Said:

>I'll say it again ... INVESTMENT!!

>If an activity is to have value it must have INVESTMENT.

Hi Dave,

Thanks for the replies, I surely didn't mean to get a flamewar going, but, it seems there are some very
strong views on this.

I don't mind putting an investment into something...usually when I learn something new, I research and get
into it 110%. The trouble with the requirements and all there that I see is, that it puts up a very high
initial investment before you can actually get in and do anything with it. I guess I question, well how do I
know it will be worth all the time invested before I even get to 'play'?

In my case, and I'm sure many others out there in this day in age, my TIME is what is of such short supply. I
have no problem laying out cash for equipment...with most things I do, I generally jump in with both feet,
buy equipment and 'learn as I go'. I'd like to do the same with ham and other radio technologies, but, in
this case, I find a large wall in front of me of study and test taking, before I even get to jump on the air
even once to see how much I'd like it.

Does that make sense to you?

Thanks for the replies,

noonespecial

4083. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 14:14:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <l57bf2paj86on908rbp2i0fhol8s5dupll@4ax.com>
Source record: 6563
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 30 Aug 2006 08:13:00 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>> On Tue, 29 Aug 2006 19:21:53 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>I'll say it again ... INVESTMENT!!
>>>
>>>If an activity is to have value it must have INVESTMENT.
>>
>> Bullshit
>
>Your rudeness is clearly expressed in your one word response [above].
Rudeness is always a proper response to rudeness

telling someone how they must value thing is the hight of rudeness
>
>A more appropriate response, carrying the same meaning, but suitable for an open
>list, would be 'bovine defacation'!

only in vitorian world world not the real one
>
>No wonder Ham Radio is going the way of CB with people who express themselves so
>poorly and are unwilling to work for something they value.

poorly no it is obvious you got the message claerly and simplely
>
>Where your treasure is ... there your heart is!
>
>/s/ Dave
>
>
>
>
>> I value my tech license not because I had to work for it but becuase
>> it has been a great return on my effort
>>
>> if Ihad had to work twice as hard Id value it half as much
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4084. Re: get help forger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 14:17:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <2g7bf2thmeljom8co72os4kr5fham1es2s@4ax.com>
Source record: 6564
Cross-posted to: alt.morons,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
On Wed, 30 Aug 2006 06:24:30 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
>"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1156931903.218073.246200@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
>
>Frosty wrote:
>> As the sun rose on 29 Aug 2006 19:22:50 -0700, the distinguished and
>> oh so talented "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> climbed up to the
>> podium, shuffled some papers, took a sip of ice water and shouted in a
>> loud voice:
>>
>> >
>> >an old freind wrote:
>> >> high erverybody i no its sum what offtopic four the gropes but can u
>> >> tellme if compoundf W hples control gantial warts?
>> >>
>> >> thanks for teh hple
>> >get help forger
>>
>>
>> Yeah. What's with the warts on his pee-pee dude? I see this clown in
>> many of the ng's I lurk & post in.
>he likes by posting this stupid shit under close bu t not exact copies
>of my nick to try and stroll for flame he shit intended to drop on me
>>
>Please, Mark. Try using
get you own house in order first
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

4085. Re: finaly figured it out the meow is just Paul effort to insure the his moderated nNG gets launched

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 14:25:32 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <12fb7uucmb4pka6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 6565
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks

"The Demon Prince of Absurdity" <absurd_number_of_nicks@hell.corn> wrote in
message news:pan.2006.08.28.07.45.52.703246@hell.corn...
> On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 17:31:24 -0400, Slow Code did the cha-cha, and
> screamed:
>> "an old freind" wrote...
>>> just kidding but I hope folks enjoy the thought
>>
>> You brought all the AUK retards here.
>
> We(tinw) wouldn't have stayed if there weren't any k00ks worth mentioning,
> beyond Slap-Happy.
>

I think I mentioned the kOOk potential in the radio groups in an earlier
post. I think Slow Code may get a nomination in the near future, providing
that he stays the course.

--
Suffer, unto me.
Indulge, my every need.
UV Count 1

4086. Re: If you had to use CW..., would Robesin still be an idiot?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 14:35:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <q28bf2hrvf1v7qmlc1asq55lrnkt7hfi6a@4ax.com>
Source record: 6566
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 30 Aug 2006 04:48:26 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>> On 29 Aug 2006 16:21:38 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> Woody wrote:
>> >
>> >> > Since I don't know this Robitussin guy, I really can't say anything in reply
>> >> > to this post....
>> >> > rb
>> >>
>> >> All you have to do (if you've got about 8 hours to spare) is go
>> >> through Google archives since before they bought it from
>> >> DejaNews several years ago. "Robitussin" has thousands of
>> >> postings in the same venal manner he continues to use...
>> >> especially his self-promotion as heroic USMC veteran which
>> >> he has never, ever proved through any third-party references,
>> >> digitized documents, or much of anything else other than his
>> >> own AOL home page and self-description on QRZ.
>> >>
>> >> He is clearly into his own fantasy of imagined superpowers
>> >> without the aid of Stan Lee.
>> >>
>> >> :-)
>> >
>> >There's some real gems in there.
>> >
>> >Lawsuits. Robeson sues people, or at least he says he does. In his
>> >last lawsuit, he got an Upper Peninsula attorney gratis, then made
>> >comments on who his free attorney's wife might be sleeping with.
>> >Meanwhile, the person he is suing has yet to be served.
>>
>> acrualywaiti ng now for 5 different lawsuits from steve
>
>Five??? What are they for?

one was fr reporting him the BoN another for Daring to mention is
daughter (the one with dat 28dec) one forcontacting CAP, another
daring to make a true stament about his daugter last month, another
for asking if his wfe was fireproof to project her from Robeson
flaming pants

>
>> >"Bricks through windows, slashed tires, terrorized wives." These are
>> >bad things that happen to people who shoot their mouths off, as some
>> >people have been told they do. Mind you, Robesin isn't the one
>> >throwing bricks, slashing tires, or terrorizing wives. "Other people"
>> >do this sort of stuff.
>>
>> his other selves perhaps
>
>I wonder if they've all manifested themselves on RRAP, or if there are
>others for situations at work, at home, on vacation, when in CAP
>uniform....

most likely

he must have some persona that is not the asshole stev is , since he
does go out in the world and hasn't been mrudered yet
>
>> >"Dialing..." On Robesin's personal authority as a male nurse and
>> >failed Marine, he can make a phone call anywhere in the USA and have
>> >you picked up on his say so. Really.
>>
>> yep whow much safer we are for him
>
>Mmmmm. I don't think it works that way. Now if it were a reverse
>911....

indeed
>
>> >"PUTZ, Putz, putz" Disagree with Robesin and you are a penis with a
>> >yiddish accent.
>>
>> and then he dinies he makes sexauly based insults
>
>Anyone whose read his posts for 5 minutes knows that's a lie.

not true sometime it takes more than 5minutes of reading to make
obvious after all you must first decided if he is serious
>
>> >"PEDOPHILE, Pedophile, pedophile" If you disagree with Robesin and you
>> >give of your time to a youth group, you are a pervert.
>>
>> or just breath air (witness Tood Hans and Myself)
>> >
>> >"HOMO, Homo, homo" Disagree with Robesin and you are queer, with lots
>> >and lots of inuendo.
>
>> that is you todd Han and scores of others
>
>Everybody's gay.

except Steve of course and all these gay men wantsteve's body (not)
same sort of insanity as wismen

>
>> >Robesin is a national hero, taking part in seven hostile actions as a
>> >Marine. Yet, his only overseas assignment is Okinawa. Never been
>> >injured, but discharged after only 18 years. Says he's retired. Says
>> >he's disabled. Says it's not medical. Says he has been rehabilitated
>> >by the VA. "Ask the VA."
>>
>> well I he=ard the army in wirtiing today They afrim the existance of
>> my old unit
>
>Robesin has given so many mixed messages over the conditions of his
>"discharge" that no one be;lieves him any longer.

indeed
>
>> >Robesin got back into uniform as a Tennessee State Guard "officer" of
>> >some kind. Very short lived career.
>>
>> maybe that gruop held him to professional stanards unlike CAP
>
>Yikes!!!

that would explain things
>
>> >Robesin got back into uniform as a Civil Air Patrol (CAP) "officer" of
>> >some kind. Says he's a Major now. Photo of him in a sage green (not
>> >blue) flight suit on his QRZ website. As far as I know, most CAP
>> >volunteers are involved in training youth/Cadets. Hmmmm?
>>
>> I know that does worry me too
>
>Naw. It's everybody else you have to worry about.

exactly sorry if I was unclear
>
>> >Robesin got a vanity call, K4CAP, while in the CAP. The CAP HQ is at
>> >Maxwell AFB in Montgomery, Alabama. Then he gave it up. Look where
>> >his old call now resides.
>> >
>> >Robesin got back into uniform as a male nurse. Says he doesn't wear
>> >the white dress uniform. Probably just regular pants and a v-neck
>> >lavendar colo[u]red top.
>> >
>> >Robesin has military medals. He has lots of medals. Many of those
>> >medals he didn't earn.
>>
>> has he ever listed them?
>
>Nope. I'd only be interested in the ones he earned. But that would
>just raise more questions about seven hostile actions.

I assume the good conuct is not amoug them
>
>> >Robesin used to be an ASSISTANT NCOIC of NMC MARS on Okinawa. Doesn't
>> >know the first thing about it. Doesn't know what a MOD is.
>> >
>> >"MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio" according to Robesin Yup, you heard it
>> >here first.
>> >
>> >A well know amateur radio outlet had the owners daughter's photo
>> >featured in a prominent amateur radio publication. Robesin said she
>> >was selling "Something" but it wasn't radios. He's a swell guy.
>> >
>> >Robesin can make comments all day long about how your children won't
>> >respect you. His child died from severe birth defects and he knows
>> >that no one will make comments about his children. He's a swell guy.
>> >
>> >Robesin needs to talk to the wives. He needs to talk to Len's wife.
>> >He needs to talk to my wife. He needs to talk to Mark's wife. He
>> >wants to talk to them on the phone or in person. He wants to mail
>> >them. He wants to knock on my door to talk to my wife and posts
>> >partial addresses so you know to expect a visit. Now he makes jokes
>> >about Len and Mark's wife. He's a swell guy.
>> >
>> >I could go on, but I don't have 8 hours as Len suggests...
>> >
>> >So what's the real beef??? I disagreed with Robesin. He doesn't like
>> >the way I think.
>>
>> prehaps it was he disliked that you THINK at all
>
>I'm not a Morse bot.
exactly and only bots like himself are welcome
>
>> >So what's the real, Real beef? I don't think the Morse Code exam
>> >should be retained for an HF license in amateur radio.
>>
>> me neither
>>
>> looks liek we will win
>
>With the tons and tons of sour grapes from these Morse Forever folks,
>no one has won anything.
indeed
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4087. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 14:36:40 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@remailer.paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <3c3d3edd54cf5a880c1dcd896b57c06a@remailer.paranoici.org>
Source record: 6567
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Lloyd Said:

>During the 50's, the operators of high power AM stations realized that
>kids like me with an ssb transmitter could outperform their own
>stations at a fraction of the cost and could not be jammed by their
>carriers. That was the REAL reason that they fought against ssb.

Hi Lloyd,

Thanks for the reply. Can you explain what SSB is to me, or post some informative links? I've seen ssb
(single side band?) in the same breath/post as some CB radios. I'm not sure what it is, or what special
equipment it takes. About all the info I can google points to radio communications at sea.

Can you use this on land? License and equipment requirements?

Thanks in advance for any info or pointers, I'm trying to learn about all this differences in publicly
accessible radio.

noonespecial

4088. kook on parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 14:41:04 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1156948864.076169.36860@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6568
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
kook on parade

4089. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 14:51:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <cc9bf2tfafa83ah95pgb77ceuqkd9dcsm1@4ax.com>
Source record: 6569
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 30 Aug 2006 16:36:40 +0200 (CEST), Anonymous
<nobody@remailer.paranoici.org> wrote:

>Lloyd Said:
>
>>During the 50's, the operators of high power AM stations realized that
>>kids like me with an ssb transmitter could outperform their own
>>stations at a fraction of the cost and could not be jammed by their
>>carriers. That was the REAL reason that they fought against ssb.
>
>Hi Lloyd,
>
>Thanks for the reply. Can you explain what SSB is to me, or post some informative links?
I've seen ssb
>(single side band?) in the same breath/post as some CB radios. I'm not sure what it is, or
what special
>equipment it takes. About all the info I can google points to radio communications at sea.

http://cbdaze.blogspot.com/ has enterty that does a nice job of it
>
>Can you use this on land? License and equipment requirements?
>
>Thanks in advance for any info or pointers, I'm trying to learn about all this differences
in publicly
>accessible radio.
>
>
>noonespecial
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4090. Re: finaly figured it out the meow is just Paul effort to insure the his moderated nNG gets launched

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 15:01:04 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156950063.946862.159060@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6570
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

DrDeath wrote:
> "The Demon Prince of Absurdity" <absurd_number_of_nicks@hell.corn> wrote in
> message news:pan.2006.08.28.07.45.52.703246@hell.corn...
> > On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 17:31:24 -0400, Slow Code did the cha-cha, and
> > screamed:
> >> "an old freind" wrote...
> >>> just kidding but I hope folks enjoy the thought
> >>
> >> You brought all the AUK retards here.
> >
> > We(tinw) wouldn't have stayed if there weren't any k00ks worth mentioning,
> > beyond Slap-Happy.
> >
>
>
> I think I mentioned the kOOk potential in the radio groups in an earlier
> post. I think Slow Code may get a nomination in the near future, providing
> that he stays the course.
of you support the coruption of the ngs hypocrite that ok that dny you
do it isn't kook

4091. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 16:10:03 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20060830161003.C884317239@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 6571
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Dave Said:

>As long a 'Rare DX' uses CW, CW will live and thrive in the DX community.

I've seen this DX term here and there, but, can't seem to find out what it stand for, or what a DX community
is. Can you post some info or links on what this is/involves?

Thanks,

noonespecial

4092. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 16:18:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <mfebf25oicahkleenv7mbcf456a4lc3ves@4ax.com>
Source record: 6572
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 30 Aug 2006 18:10:03 +0200 (CEST), Anonymous via the
Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to> wrote:

>Dave Said:
>
>>As long a 'Rare DX' uses CW, CW will live and thrive in the DX community.
>
>I've seen this DX term here and there, but, can't seem to find out what it stand for, or
what a DX community
>is. Can you post some info or links on what this is/involves?
well even if you are pulling our chain it is better than a lot of the
stuff posted

DX isseeking out Distant contacts for an eXchange of very basic data
and ocollecting these conacts and esp proof of these conacts for
various awadrd the DX comunity obviously is those into chasing down
these DX contacts
>
>Thanks,
>
>noonespecial
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4093. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 17:34:04 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <a7idnedcEJKRUWjZnZ2dnUVZ_tidnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 6573
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Example.

A few months ago a group of ham radio operators went into the central Pacific Ocean to an island named Swain's Island [ATOLL]. Swain's Island had just been approved by the Ham radio Certificate Powers {American Radio relay League] as a separate DX [distance] entity and as such it qualifies as an entry into the various DX awards [DXCC being the prime award}. [DXCC means you have submitted written proof of confirmed contacts with other ham radio operators in 100 or more other countries [or entities].

The Hams operated from this rare location for about a week and then returned home. There is no-one there today!

Let me digress into another of your questions: i.e. What is SSB?

Fifty years ago ham radio, and still today the AM broadcast band, transmitted three components to put a signal on the air. First, was the carrier that set the dial frequency e.g. 3950 KHz. The carrier contains NO information, it just sets the dial frequency. Then voice audio was added to the carrier. This addition [modulation] produced two audio signals around the carrier. One above the carrier, the other below the carrier. So, the resulting signal had the carrier and one upper side band and one lower sideband. The carrier contained 2X the power of the audio. And the audio was redundant with 1/2 the audio power in each sideband. The resulting signal can be described as Double Sideband Plus Carrier.

In the 50s and early 60s design techniques were incorporated to suppress the carrier, which contained NO information; and to eliminate one of the redundant sidebands. The resulting signal is Single Sideband [one audio channel] with suppressed carrier. [SSB = Single Side Band]

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

> On Wed, 30 Aug 2006 18:10:03 +0200 (CEST), Anonymous via the
> Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to> wrote:
>
>
>>Dave Said:
>>
>>
>>>As long a 'Rare DX' uses CW, CW will live and thrive in the DX community.
>>
>>I've seen this DX term here and there, but, can't seem to find out what it stand for, or what a DX community
>>is. Can you post some info or links on what this is/involves?
>

> well even if you are pulling our chain it is better than a lot of the
> stuff posted
>
> DX isseeking out Distant contacts for an eXchange of very basic data
> and ocollecting these conacts and esp proof of these conacts for
> various awadrd the DX comunity obviously is those into chasing down
> these DX contacts
>
>>Thanks,
>>
>>noonespecial
>
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

4094. Re: Basic Police/EMS scanner purchase advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 18:53:16 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <0gnbf21bhudsbgbg0dktb7d6if6jmlto60@4ax.com>
Source record: 6574

On 29 Aug 2006 13:43:30 -0700, kriskane@gmail.com wrote:

>Can of worms topic, I'm sure. To avoid the "how long is a piece of

I'd definitely go with the Uniden BCT15 for analog or the BCT996D (I think that's where they put the D - at the end) for digital. What he needs depends on the signals he wants. Go to <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR> and look around. If the mode for what he wants is D, he needs digital, otherwise an analog scanner will do. Both of them track trunked systems, so he can follow the DC PD (and FD) systems, and they cover all currently used frequencies.

4095. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 19:02:10 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <mlnbf2ptfdtsc7qc08kb7jsa1uoiqfd9cg@4ax.com>
Source record: 6575
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.

On Tue, 29 Aug 2006 21:18:12 +0200 (CEST), George Orwell
<nobody@mixmaster.it> wrote:

>Al Klein said:
>
>>Eliminating a requirement is dumbing things down. But no one would
>>expect you to be able to understand that.
>
>Well, let me ask, from the point of view of a potential noob to the hobby. What use is the code requirements?

In lieu of any other meaningful test (and there currently is none), it
shows a willingness to put in a minimum amount of effort.

>But, given that many professional people like myself are stretched for time, what good does all the licensing
>and code requirements do for you besides build up boundries to doing something new and fun?

You might ask that about anything. Given that <insert group here>
like myself are stretched for time, what do all the requirements for
<insert something here> do for anyone?

>I'm in a pretty technical field, and I study to keep up in that field everyday, the last thing I want to do,
>is have to spend my weekends studying to talk on a radio.

So don't. Buy a CB, FRS or GMRS radio and talk all you like. Those
are the services created for folks who just want to talk on the radio.

>If less stringent requirements were there, I could
>easily afford the tools of the trade, and would like to just jump in and start working with a ham setup. I'm
>particularly interested in exploring the amateur radio/computer connections.

You won't like that - you'll have to devote a lot of your precious
time to learning things - electronics, both theoretical and practical,
programming, reading a waterfall display, keeping your signal
distortion-free ...

You can't just plug a radio into a computer and start using them.
Digital communications doesn't work if you do it that way.

>Can you give me valid reasons as to what useful purpose in today's age they serve?

No, since someone who wants instant gratification can't be bothered to
listen to why that's the wrong way to do something. Your time is

probably much to valuable to spend it learning enough to understand why you can't learn enough in an instant.

Go memorize some test answers, get a code-free tech, hook your computer up to your radio and, in 6 months, you'll have your radio up for sale on eBay, because "it doesn't really work".

4096. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 19:06:25 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <RklJg.3614$N84.357@trnddc08>
Source record: 6576
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Bummer.. well, there's a bunch of ways to test, flashing lights and other
ways. If dyslexia is a problem, just have to get someone to read off the
dit-dah sequences while you memorize them, or use a recorded audio lesson.
There's always a way!
gud luck,
rb

<Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
news:7vm8f2p53g7a6nmhenc5j380duav3rc2tv@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 29 Aug 2006 01:17:34 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Good on ya then! Do upgrade whenever you can, coz hammin' can be fun if
>>you
>>can find a decent bunch to hang with.
>>Learning 5wpm is pretty easy and gives you a new "toy" or "language" to
>>play
>>with if you are so inclined.
> easy for some I spent 5 years at it in my teens for a Novice license
> and neve got a novice license
>
> instead it was learned I have serious case os dyslexia andfew of its
> fellow travlers
>>The big plus being the new privileges and theory, and all the hi-tech
>>progression in radio going on in the 21st Century!
>>There's lots to get into.
>>Gud luck,
>>rb
>>
>>
>>
>><Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
>>news:2hs6f2p6685a6ml03d5sf436l48ss5qlg7@4ax.com...
>>> On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 22:38:54 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Ah, my bad... sry.
>>>>rb
>>>>
>>> np I expect the FCC to help me correct that soon enough
>>>>Radio content:
>>>>There used to be a radio swap group here....
>>>
>>> indeed and all kinds of radio gruops
>>>>

>>>><Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:7oe4f2lr255om8j04n73e8v9e4023rals4@4ax.com...
>>>>> On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 00:36:43 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Yeah, yoo big chikin. LOL
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I dare you to pick up a mic and do a radio test on 3.865
>>>>>>by saying "Beep, beep, beep, beeeeeeeep"!
>>>>>>
>>>>>>rb
>>>>> well as a etech I realy should not try that one
>>>>>>
>>>>>>"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>news:1156523145.805735.80970@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>>>
>>>>> --
>>>>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>>>>
>>>>
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>
>>> --
>>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>>
>>
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

4097. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 19:09:14 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <7hobf25ts6p6h36nkqpb56i66vpqqi0im3@4ax.com>
Source record: 6577
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 30 Aug 2006 09:24:51 -0400 (EDT), Anonyma
<anon-bounces@deuxpi.ca> wrote:

>In my case, and I'm sure many others out there in this day in age, my TIME is what is of such short supply. I
>have no problem laying out cash for equipment...with most things I do, I generally jump in with both feet,
>buy equipment and 'learn as I go'.

A GMRS license costs money. A ham license costs study (time). Your
choice. Either one lets you "talk on the radio".

4098. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 19:13:58 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <ajobf2lr6ess9093o056pmo3a9147te3di@4ax.com>
Source record: 6580
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 30 Aug 2006 16:36:40 +0200 (CEST), Anonymous
<nobody@remailer.paranoici.org> wrote:

>Thanks for the reply. Can you explain what SSB is to me, or post some informative links? I've seen ssb
>(single side band?) in the same breath/post as some CB radios. I'm not sure what it is, or what special
>equipment it takes. About all the info I can google points to radio communications at sea.
>
>Can you use this on land? License and equipment requirements?

It's a mode, like CW or FM. It's actually AM, with the carrier and
one sideband chopped off (or never generated). No whistles, the same
transmitter can handle more power, it punches through noise and
interference better and, if you have a quadrature detector (sorry,
Usenet isn't large enough to explain that in a post - you'll have to
learn a little engineering), it's almost as good, for getting through,
as digital or CW.

You can use it anywhere you can use AM (as far as ham and CB
frequencies are concerned, anyway). Same license as any AM voice mode
for the frequency. SSB transmitter. Receiver has to at least have a
beat oscillator (BFO), but a SSB receiver is better.

4099. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 19:14:39 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <6hobf2d5qon28csfmv7eh5v6bna780k7fn@4ax.com>
Source record: 6579
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 30 Aug 2006 19:06:25 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Bummer.. well, there's a bunch of ways to test, flashing lights and other
>ways. If dyslexia is a problem, just have to get someone to read off the
>dit-dah sequences while you memorize them, or use a recorded audio lesson.
>There's always a way!
>gud luck,
>rb
then there is the problem of getting the VE to even discuss acomdation
where I lived before they would not speak to me with a Doctor (md)
dispite the fact the PHD's and MSW tend to dianose Dyslexia, then they
want seris of test result and your medical records truned over the the
ARRL all fo them for evaluation by NON medial people indeed we saw
last year our own Steve Robeson making fun of the facts I was looking
for the min osrt of testing that an MD could prescribe to dianose
dyslexia

he has tormented me ever since for daring to try accomation

there indeed was a way but I was too honest to take since I got to the
point where in some sessions I could sending, other session receiving,
it was offered long ago when I was first trying that someone would
simply scamble 2 session together and say I passed them both on the
same day instead of 2 weeks apart as was realy case (btw getting to
the stage where passing iether way was 1 in 4 coccourance took 4 years
working 35 minute 5 day per week, and suffering through spliting
headaches. It might be possible now but it aint worth it the FCC will
act soon enough
>
><Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
>news:7vm8f2p53g7a6nmhenc5j380duav3rc2tv@4ax.com...
>> On Tue, 29 Aug 2006 01:17:34 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Good on ya then! Do upgrade whenever you can, coz hammin' can be fun if
>>>you
>>>can find a decent bunch to hang with.
>>>Learning 5wpm is pretty easy and gives you a new "toy" or "language" to
>>>play
>>>with if you are so inclined.
>> easy for some I spent 5 years at it in my teens for a Novice license
>> and neve got a novice license
>>
>> instead it was learned I have serious case os dyslexia andfew of its
>> fellow travlers

>>>The big plus being the new privileges and theory, and all the hi-tech
>>>progression in radio going on in the 21st Century!
>>>There's lots to get into.
>>>Gud luck,
>>>rb
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>><Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
>>>news:2hs6f2p6685a6ml03d5sf436l48ss5qlg7@4ax.com...
>>>> On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 22:38:54 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Ah, my bad... sry.
>>>>>rb
>>>>>
>>>> np I expect the FCC to help me correct that soon enough
>>>>>Radio content:
>>>>>There used to be a radio swap group here....
>>>>
>>>> indeed and all kinds of radio gruops
>>>>>
>>>>><Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
>>>>>news:7oe4f2lr255om8j04n73e8v9e4023rals4@4ax.com...
>>>>>> On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 00:36:43 GMT, "Woody" <ts520@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Yeah, yoo big chikin. LOL
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I dare you to pick up a mic and do a radio test on 3.865
>>>>>>>by saying "Beep, beep, beep, beeeeeeeep"!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>rb
>>>>>> well as a etech I realy should not try that one
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>news:1156523145.805735.80970@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>>>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>>>>
>>>>>> --
>>>>>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>>
>>>> --
>>>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>>>
>>>
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --

>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4100. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 19:14:40 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <AslJg.3623$N84.1113@trnddc08>
Source record: 6578
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Good point on the seinfeld thing.. lol..
and yep, those Al Qaeda guys are insane idiots, for sure.
No good can come from anything they have a hand in.
rb

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1156894971.435041.226460@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com...

Woody wrote:

> Who's gonna see a single want ad in 300 postings about nothing?

Seinfeld ran for eight years and it was all about nothing. People
cried at the end.

> Who wants to pilfer 300 postings about nothing to find a sale ad?
> On the Somalia thing,
> Do you mean extremists/terrorists that claim to follow Islam, or just
> basic
> Islamists?

Here's one:

""Somali Islamist gunmen undergo military training
http://www.mg.co.za/articlepage.aspx?area=/breaking_news/breaking_news__africa/&articl
eid=281612

{EXCERPT} Mail & Guardian Online, South Africa Somalia's dominant
Islamic movement on Tuesday launched intensive military training for
hundreds of its gunmen under a plan to create instruments of
statehood......""

> It looks like you mean the former.
>
> Please understand that Al Qaeda is a group of terrorists/extremists, it
> doesn't matter what religion they
> claim to follow.
>
> rb

Here's another:

""SOMALIA, THE BLACK HOLE OF ANARCHY

BY AHMED Q. BURSALIID

In mid July 2005 The U.S. intelligence agents, working with local warlords, have carried out counter terrorist operations to seize sus�pects. "Somalia remains the theatre for a shadowy confrontation involving local Ji�hadis, foreign Al Qaeda operatives and in�telligence services from a number of re�gional and Western countries," says a report by the International Crisis Group in 2005. The report says the "dirty war be�tween terrorists and counter-terrorist oper�atives in Mogadishu appears to have en�tered a new and more vicious stage that threatens to push the country further towards Jihadism and extremist violence un�less its root causes are properly addressed."

The Somali transitional federal government was formed in neighbouring Kenya in 2004 and moved into Somalia June 2005. However, the administration has failed to relocate to Mogadishu, the Somali capital, because the city was considered unsafe. Due to the insecurity of Mogadishu, the TFG relocated to Jawhar, which it later decided to move to Baidoa.

Warlords and leaders of Islamic courts have been fighting in Mogadishu for about five months. This led to the loss of hundreds of civilians and
destruction of properties. Somalia, which had been in a state of anarchy
for more than a decade, has once again gone to war; this war was somehow
different from previous ones. The nature of this war is seen to have some religious and political clash while previous ones were much related
to tribal and feudal conflicts. Each one of the conflicting parties is claiming that the other group is related to Al-Qaeda when the reality is
somehow different from that. After months of armed conflicts within the capital city of Somalia, Union of Islamic Courts took the lead with enormous points by eliminating the warlords who took hostage the country
and its people for 16 years.

United States of America, which earlier denied any allegation of helping
or giving hand to the warlords in the fight to weed out the Islamic Militia in Somalia shortly after the takeover admitted that it had been supporting warlords to destabilise the Islamic militia. America has been
an active player in the politics of Somalia, and until now its policy towards restoring peace and stability in the war -torn Somalia is somehow obscure.

Somalis believe that it is the right time to take advantage to restore the lost hope of good governance in the country as Union of Islamic Courts led by Sheikh Sharif imposed law and order on Mogadishu, which was seen neither for 16 years. Hundreds of families from overseas

countries returned back to Mogadishu, the capital city of Somalia since the takeover took place.

At first Transitional Federal Government welcomed the political change, which took place in Mogadishu as the Union of Islamic Courts disarmed a pack of US-backed warlords that took the country and its people hostage for more than a decade. But soon after the Islamic Militia started to capture some other cities, the TFG warned the Union of Islamic Courts of
capturing farther cities. A big gap of political misunderstanding came in between the Transitional Federal Government and the Union of Islamic Courts, after the president of fragile Transitional Federal Government requested peacekeeping forces from the African Union. As the new Somali constitution inked in Kenya says, "Peacekeeping forces should not come from the neighbouring countries (Ethiopia, Kenya and Djibouti)". Likewise, the parliament passed a motion, which it was requesting peacekeeping troops to Somalia without paying attention to the classification of peacekeeping forces from AU countries and of front
line countries. This has created an escalation of political crisis in Somalia. Ethiopia, which is the common enemy of Somalia has sent its troops to Somalia in an attempt to protect the Transitional Federal Government.

This time, Arab League took the political platform of Somalia to mediate
the two conflicting parties. TFG and UIC were invited to attend a mediation conference held on June 2006 in Sudan to settle their differences. After a reconciliation conference, presided over by Sudanese president Omar Hassan Albashir, the current chairman of Arab League, the reconciliation process derailed with unknown reasons but it is believed that Ethiopia was the main figure, which made the reconciliation process fail as the outcome of the meeting could not meet
the criteria of Ethiopia's plan to Somalia and then, President Abdullahi Yusuf Ahmed, a well-known pro-Ethiopian warlord and his loyal prime minister Ali Mohamed Gedi, a vet scientist started to advocate the
legality of Ethiopian Army presence in Somalia.

The Somalis are of the opinion that the involvement of Ethiopian Army in
the peacekeeping operations in Somalia could cause havoc and deterioration of the political condition of the country in any case as Ethiopia is an old aged enemy of Somalia in East Africa. While a vast majority of the Somali public strongly opposed to deployment of Ethiopian troop into Somalia under any pretext, president Yusuf's political stance towards the deployment of Ethiopian troops in Somalia is clear and also in action by denying the presence of Ethiopian troops in Somalia just to protect his presidential seat.

Since the reconciliation conference in Sudan derailed a number of ministers resigned due to the political misunderstanding between TFG and
UIC. Prime minister declared that he would be nominating successors of the resigned 24 ministers. In addition to that, the prime minister himself survived after the Somali parliament passed a motion of no confidence against him recently.

Moreover, Prime minister of Ethiopia Milez zanawi whose government earlier denied any presence of Ethiopian troops in Somalia told BBC that
his government has sent troops to Somalia to protect the Transitional Federal Government from the Union of Islamic Courts. While on the other hand, Eritrea is also believed to be an active supporter for the Union of Islamic Courts. Somalis believe that the support from both Ethiopia and Eritrea respectively is much related to a proxy war in between them.
US government warned Ethiopia and Eritrea stop the proxy war they are playing in the Somali territory.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]""

4101. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 19:15:31 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <rtobf2hund9jrdv3bgn6a6oshptdcul9qg@4ax.com>
Source record: 6581
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.

On Wed, 30 Aug 2006 15:02:10 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Tue, 29 Aug 2006 21:18:12 +0200 (CEST), George Orwell
><nobody@mixmaster.it> wrote:
>
>>Al Klein said:
>>
>>>Eliminating a requirement is dumbing things down. But no one would
>>>expect you to be able to understand that.
>>
>>Well, let me ask, from the point of view of a potential noob to the hobby. What use is
the code requirements?
>
>In lieu of any other meaningful test (and there currently is none), it
>shows a willingness to put in a minimum amount of effort.
nope

and no amount of effort is presbribed by law or reg
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4102. Re: New scanners from Radio Shack??? anyone know?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 19:17:34 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <10pbf2ht918aaat4ijgi5bas5ojnn0p1t0@4ax.com>
Source record: 6582

On Tue, 29 Aug 2006 18:37:28 -0700, bitwisebob <bitwisebob@gmail.com>
wrote:

>thanks ... enough waiting, going to take the digital plunge ... :-)
>can't find uniden locally, so radio shack it is.
>Looks like Win96 is the way to go for programming software.

The choice is a bit more than local/mail order. You should really
compare the 96/396 or 2096/996 feature by feature to see which one
best suits your needs.

4103. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 19:36:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <l3qbf2l04a78c28k6bkruucpdgst0pin72@4ax.com>
Source record: 6583
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 30 Aug 2006 15:09:14 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Wed, 30 Aug 2006 09:24:51 -0400 (EDT), Anonyma
><anon-bounces@deuxpi.ca> wrote:
>
>>In my case, and I'm sure many others out there in this day in age, my TIME is what is of such short supply. I
>>have no problem laying out cash for equipment...with most things I do, I generally jump in with both feet,
>>buy equipment and 'learn as I go'.
>
>A GMRS license costs money. A ham license costs study (time). Your
>choice. Either one lets you "talk on the radio".
but gmrs does not realy allow the osrt of range you can do with the
ars or the modes either

so red herring aleart and the fish is geting smelly
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4104. PRO 46 Junker / Parts radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 20:17:43 UTC
From: eg9k@aol.com
Message-ID: <1156969062.987432.53410@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6584

Hi - Looking for a Pro 46 Parts radio or a schematic for same.
Please email me direct eg9k@aol.com

Thanks - Harold - K9ge

4105. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 22:06:15 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <rZnJg.670$v%4.177@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6585
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Dave wrote:
> Example.
>
> A few months ago a group of ham radio operators went into the central
> Pacific Ocean to an island named Swain's Island [ATOLL].

It was just last month, Dave.

> Swain's Island
> had just been approved by the Ham radio Certificate Powers {American
> Radio relay League] as a separate DX [distance] entity and as such it
> qualifies as an entry into the various DX awards [DXCC being the prime
> award}. [DXCC means you have submitted written proof of confirmed
> contacts with other ham radio operators in 100 or more other countries
> [or entities].

The "Ham radio Certificate Powers"?

> The Hams operated from this rare location for about a week and then
> returned home. There is no-one there today!

Really? The people who live there just up and left?

> Let me digress into another of your questions: i.e. What is SSB?
>
> Fifty years ago ham radio, and still today the AM broadcast band,
> transmitted three components to put a signal on the air. First, was the
> carrier that set the dial frequency e.g. 3950 KHz. The carrier contains
> NO information, it just sets the dial frequency.

The carrier is just there for setting a dial frequency? How about if
one just transmitted ONE component, the carrier and then turned it on
and off and regular intervals. It might be possible to use the on/off
pulses to convey information, huh?

> In the 50s and early 60s design techniques were incorporated to suppress
> the carrier, which contained NO information; and to eliminate one of the
> redundant sidebands. The resulting signal is Single Sideband [one audio
> channel] with suppressed carrier. [SSB = Single Side Band]

Those "design techniques" were used as early as about 1927.

Where is all this going?

Dave K8MN

4106. Re: If you had to use CW..., would Robesin still be an idiot?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 22:21:44 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1156976504.773067.327080@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6586
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On 30 Aug 2006 04:48:26 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >> On 29 Aug 2006 16:21:38 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> Woody wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> > Since I don't know this Robitussin guy, I really can't say anything in reply
> >> >> > to this post....
> >> >> > rb
> >> >>
> >> >> All you have to do (if you've got about 8 hours to spare) is go
> >> >> through Google archives since before they bought it from
> >> >> DejaNews several years ago. "Robitussin" has thousands of
> >> >> postings in the same venal manner he continues to use...
> >> >> especially his self-promotion as heroic USMC veteran which
> >> >> he has never, ever proved through any third-party references,
> >> >> digitized documents, or much of anything else other than his
> >> >> own AOL home page and self-description on QRZ.
> >> >>
> >> >> He is clearly into his own fantasy of imagined superpowers
> >> >> without the aid of Stan Lee.
> >> >>
> >> >> :-)
> >> >
> >> >There's some real gems in there.
> >> >
> >> >Lawsuits. Robeson sues people, or at least he says he does. In his
> >> >last lawsuit, he got an Upper Peninsula attorney gratis, then made
> >> >comments on who his free attorney's wife might be sleeping with.
> >> >Meanwhile, the person he is suing has yet to be served.
> >> >
> >> acrualywaiti ng now for 5 different lawsuits from steve
> >
> >Five??? What are they for?
>
> one was fr reporting him the BoN another for Daring to mention is
> daughter (the one with dat 28dec) one forcontacting CAP, another
> daring to make a true stament about his daugter last month, another

> for asking if his wfe was fireproof to project her from Robeson
> flaming pants

Liar, liar, pants on fiar. He still hasn't made the connection.

Welp, I don't think any female is part of his life so you might as well
quit asking.

> >> >"Bricks through windows, slashed tires, terrorized wives." These are
> >> >bad things that happen to people who shoot their mouths off, as some
> >> >people have been told they do. Mind you, Robesin isn't the one
> >> >throwing bricks, slashing tires, or terrorizing wives. "Other people"
> >> >do this sort of stuff.
> >>
> >> his other selves perhaps
> >
> >I wonder if they've all manifested themselves on RRAP, or if there are
> >others for situations at work, at home, on vacation, when in CAP
> >uniform....
>
> most likely
>
> he must have some persona that is not the asshole stev is , since he
> does go out in the world and hasn't been mrudered yet

That must be it. Persona #28.

> >> >"Dialing..." On Robesin's personal authority as a male nurse and
> >> >failed Marine, he can make a phone call anywhere in the USA and have
> >> >you picked up on his say so. Really.
> >>
> >> yep whow much safer we are for him
> >
> >Mmmmm. I don't think it works that way. Now if it were a reverse
> >911....
>
> indeed

> >> >"PUTZ, Putz, putz" Disagree with Robesin and you are a penis with a
> >> >yiddish accent.
> >>
> >> and then he dinies he makes sexauly based insults
> >
> >Anyone whose read his posts for 5 minutes knows that's a lie.
>
> not true sometime it takes more than 5minutes of reading to make
> obvious after all you must first decided if he is serious

No smiley's!

> >> >"PEDOPHILE, Pedophile, pedophile" If you disagree with Robesin and you
> >> >give of your time to a youth group, you are a pervert.
> >>

> >> or just breath air (witness Tood Hans and Myself)
> >> >
> >> >"HOMO, Homo, homo" Disagree with Robesin and you are queer, with lots
> >> >and lots of inuendo.
> >
> >> that is you todd Han and scores of others
> >
> >Everybody's gay.
>
> except Steve of course and all these gay men wantsteve's body (not)
> same sort of insanity as wismen

He's always talking about resting his chin on his chest...

> >> >Robesin is a national hero, taking part in seven hostile actions as a
> >> >Marine. Yet, his only overseas assignment is Okinawa. Never been
> >> >injured, but discharged after only 18 years. Says he's retired. Says
> >> >he's disabled. Says it's not medical. Says he has been rehabilitated
> >> >by the VA. "Ask the VA."
> >>
> >> well I he=ard the army in wirtiing today They afrim the existance of
> >> my old unit
> >
> >Robesin has given so many mixed messages over the conditions of his
> >"discharge" that no one be;lieves him any longer.
>
> indeed
> >
> >> >Robesin got back into uniform as a Tennessee State Guard "officer" of
> >> >some kind. Very short lived career.
> >>
> >> maybe that gruop held him to professional stanards unlike CAP
> >
> >Yikes!!!
>
> that would explain things
> >
> >> >Robesin got back into uniform as a Civil Air Patrol (CAP) "officer" of
> >> >some kind. Says he's a Major now. Photo of him in a sage green (not
> >> >blue) flight suit on his QRZ website. As far as I know, most CAP
> >> >volunteers are involved in training youth/Cadets. Hmmmm?
> >>
> >> I know that does worry me too
> >
> >Naw. It's everybody else you have to worry about.
>
> exactly sorry if I was unclear
> >
> >> >Robesin got a vanity call, K4CAP, while in the CAP. The CAP HQ is at
> >> >Maxwell AFB in Montgomery, Alabama. Then he gave it up. Look where
> >> >his old call now resides.

> >> >
> >> >Robesin got back into uniform as a male nurse. Says he doesn't wear
> >> >the white dress uniform. Probably just regular pants and a v-neck
> >> >lavendar colo[u]red top.
> >> >
> >> >Robesin has military medals. He has lots of medals. Many of those
> >> >medals he didn't earn.
> >>
> >> has he ever listed them?
> >
> >Nope. I'd only be interested in the ones he earned. But that would
> >just raise more questions about seven hostile actions.
>
> I assume the good conuct is not amoug them

Says he's got those. Persona #29?

> >> >Robesin used to be an ASSISTANT NCOIC of NMC MARS on Okinawa. Doesn't
> >> >know the first thing about it. Doesn't know what a MOD is.
> >> >
> >> >"MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio" according to Robesin Yup, you heard it
> >> >here first.
> >> >
> >> >A well know amateur radio outlet had the owners daughter's photo
> >> >featured in a prominent amateur radio publication. Robesin said she
> >> >was selling "Something" but it wasn't radios. He's a swell guy.
> >> >
> >> >Robesin can make comments all day long about how your children won't
> >> >respect you. His child died from severe birth defects and he knows
> >> >that no one will make comments about his children. He's a swell guy.
> >> >
> >> >Robesin needs to talk to the wives. He needs to talk to Len's wife.
> >> >He needs to talk to my wife. He needs to talk to Mark's wife. He
> >> >wants to talk to them on the phone or in person. He wants to mail
> >> >them. He wants to knock on my door to talk to my wife and posts
> >> >partial addresses so you know to expect a visit. Now he makes jokes
> >> >about Len and Mark's wife. He's a swell guy.
> >> >
> >> >I could go on, but I don't have 8 hours as Len suggests...
> >> >
> >> >So what's the real beef??? I disagreed with Robesin. He doesn't like
> >> >the way I think.
> >>
> >> prehaps it was he disliked that you THINK at all
> >
> >I'm not a Morse bot.

> exactly and only bots like himself are welcome
> >
> >> >So what's the real, Real beef? I don't think the Morse Code exam
> >> >should be retained for an HF license in amateur radio.

> >>
> >> me neither
> >>
> >> looks liek we will win
> >
> >With the tons and tons of sour grapes from these Morse Forever folks,
> >no one has won anything.

> indeed

I'm curious if the sour grapes will manifest itself as bad behavio[u]r
on the air?

4107. Re: If you had to use CW..., would Robesin still be an idiot?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 23:07:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <rb6cf2hbmd31oo7rdt4if5pla9q2qmrsqv@4ax.com>
Source record: 6587
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
On 30 Aug 2006 15:21:44 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>> On 30 Aug 2006 04:48:26 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>> >> On 29 Aug 2006 16:21:38 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> Woody wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >> > Since I don't know this Robitussin guy, I really can't say anything in reply
>> >> >> > to this post....
>> >> >> > rb
>> >> >>
>> >> >> All you have to do (if you've got about 8 hours to spare) is go
>> >> >> through Google archives since before they bought it from
>> >> >> DejaNews several years ago. "Robitussin" has thousands of
>> >> >> postings in the same venal manner he continues to use...
>> >> >> especially his self-promotion as heroic USMC veteran which
>> >> >> he has never, ever proved through any third-party references,
>> >> >> digitized documents, or much of anything else other than his
>> >> >> own AOL home page and self-description on QRZ.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> He is clearly into his own fantasy of imagined superpowers
>> >> >> without the aid of Stan Lee.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> :-)
>> >> >
>> >> >There's some real gems in there.
>> >> >
>> >> >Lawsuits. Robeson sues people, or at least he says he does. In his
>> >> >last lawsuit, he got an Upper Peninsula attorney gratis, then made
>> >> >comments on who his free attorney's wife might be sleeping with.
>> >> >Meanwhile, the person he is suing has yet to be served.
>> >>
>> >> acrualywaiti ng now for 5 different lawsuits from steve
>> >
>> >Five??? What are they for?
>>
```

>> one was fr reporting him the BoN another for Daring to mention is
>> daughter (the one with dat 28dec) one forcontacting CAP, another
>> daring to make a true stament about his daugter last month, another
>> for asking if his wfe was fireproof to project her from Robeson
>> flaming pants
>
>Liar, liar, pants on fiar. He still hasn't made the connection.
>
>Welp, I don't think any female is part of his life so you might as well
>quit asking.
very likely
>
>> >> >"Bricks through windows, slashed tires, terrorized wives." These are
>> >> >bad things that happen to people who shoot their mouths off, as some
>> >> >people have been told they do. Mind you, Robesin isn't the one
>> >> >throwing bricks, slashing tires, or terrorizing wives. "Other people"
>> >> >do this sort of stuff.
>> >>
>> >> his other selves perhaps
>> >
>> >I wonder if they've all manifested themselves on RRAP, or if there are
>> >others for situations at work, at home, on vacation, when in CAP
>> >uniform....
>>
>> most likely
>>
>> he must have some persona that is not the asshole stev is , since he
>> does go out in the world and hasn't been mrudered yet
>
>That must be it. Persona #28.
or 29?

you never can tell and they don't exactly fall in and and count off
>
>> >> >"Dialing..." On Robesin's personal authority as a male nurse and
>> >> >failed Marine, he can make a phone call anywhere in the USA and have
>> >> >you picked up on his say so. Really.
>> >>
>> >> yep whow much safer we are for him
>> >
>> >Mmmmm. I don't think it works that way. Now if it were a reverse
>> >911....
>>
>> indeed
>
>> >> >"PUTZ, Putz, putz" Disagree with Robesin and you are a penis with a
>> >> >yiddish accent.
>> >>
>> >> and then he dinies he makes sexauly based insults
>> >

>> >Anyone whose read his posts for 5 minutes knows that's a lie.
>>
>> not true sometime it takes more than 5minutes of reading to make
>> obvious after all you must first decided if he is serious
>
>No smiley's!
>
>> >> >"PEDOPHILE, Pedophile, pedophile" If you disagree with Robesin and you
>> >> >give of your time to a youth group, you are a pervert.
>> >>
>> >> or just breath air (witness Tood Hans and Myself)
>> >> >
>> >> >"HOMO, Homo, homo" Disagree with Robesin and you are queer, with lots
>> >> >and lots of inuendo.
>> >
>> >> that is you todd Han and scores of others
>> >
>> >Everybody's gay.
>>
>> except Steve of course and all these gay men wantsteve's body (not)
>> same sort of insanity as wismen
>
>He's always talking about resting his chin on his chest...

yepas if it were an acomlishment

>
>> >> >Robesin is a national hero, taking part in seven hostile actions as a
>> >> >Marine. Yet, his only overseas assignment is Okinawa. Never been
>> >> >injured, but discharged after only 18 years. Says he's retired. Says
>> >> >he's disabled. Says it's not medical. Says he has been rehabilitated
>> >> >by the VA. "Ask the VA."
>> >>
>> >> well I he=ard the army in wirtiing today They afrim the existance of
>> >> my old unit
>> >
>> >Robesin has given so many mixed messages over the conditions of his
>> >"discharge" that no one be;lieves him any longer.
>>
>> indeed
>> >
>> >> >Robesin got back into uniform as a Tennessee State Guard "officer" of
>> >> >some kind. Very short lived career.
>> >>
>> >> maybe that gruop held him to professional stanards unlike CAP
>> >
>> >Yikes!!!
>>
>> that would explain things
>> >
>> >> >Robesin got back into uniform as a Civil Air Patrol (CAP) "officer" of

>> >> >some kind. Says he's a Major now. Photo of him in a sage green (not
>> >> >blue) flight suit on his QRZ website. As far as I know, most CAP
>> >> >volunteers are involved in training youth/Cadets. Hmmmm?
>> >>
>> >> I know that does worry me too
>> >
>> >Naw. It's everybody else you have to worry about.
>>
>> exactly sorry if I was unclear
>> >
>> >> >Robesin got a vanity call, K4CAP, while in the CAP. The CAP HQ is at
>> >> >Maxwell AFB in Montgomery, Alabama. Then he gave it up. Look where
>> >> >his old call now resides.
>> >> >
>> >> >Robesin got back into uniform as a male nurse. Says he doesn't wear
>> >> >the white dress uniform. Probably just regular pants and a v-neck
>> >> >lavendar colo[u]red top.
>> >> >
>> >> >Robesin has military medals. He has lots of medals. Many of those
>> >> >medals he didn't earn.
>> >>
>> >> has he ever listed them?
>> >
>> >Nope. I'd only be interested in the ones he earned. But that would
>> >just raise more questions about seven hostile actions.
>>
>> I assume the good conuct is not amoug them
>
>Says he's got those. Persona #29?
or 33
>
>> >> >Robesin used to be an ASSISTANT NCOIC of NMC MARS on Okinawa. Doesn't
>> >> >know the first thing about it. Doesn't know what a MOD is.
>> >> >
>> >> >"MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio" according to Robesin Yup, you heard it
>> >> >here first.
>> >> >
>> >> >A well know amateur radio outlet had the owners daughter's photo
>> >> >featured in a prominent amateur radio publication. Robesin said she
>> >> >was selling "Something" but it wasn't radios. He's a swell guy.
>> >> >
>> >> >Robesin can make comments all day long about how your children won't
>> >> >respect you. His child died from severe birth defects and he knows
>> >> >that no one will make comments about his children. He's a swell guy.
>> >> >
>> >> >Robesin needs to talk to the wives. He needs to talk to Len's wife.
>> >> >He needs to talk to my wife. He needs to talk to Mark's wife. He
>> >> >wants to talk to them on the phone or in person. He wants to mail
>> >> >them. He wants to knock on my door to talk to my wife and posts
>> >> >partial addresses so you know to expect a visit. Now he makes jokes

>> >> >about Len and Mark's wife. He's a swell guy.
>> >> >
>> >> >I could go on, but I don't have 8 hours as Len suggests...
>> >> >
>> >> >So what's the real beef??? I disagreed with Robesin. He doesn't like
>> >> >the way I think.
>> >>
>> >> prehaps it was he disliked that you THINK at all
>> >
>> >I'm not a Morse bot.
>
>> exactly and only bots like himself are welcome
>> >
>> >> >So what's the real, Real beef? I don't think the Morse Code exam
>> >> >should be retained for an HF license in amateur radio.
>> >>
>> >> me neither
>> >>
>> >> looks liek we will win
>> >
>> >With the tons and tons of sour grapes from these Morse Forever folks,
>> >no one has won anything.
>
>> indeed
>
>I'm curious if the sour grapes will manifest itself as bad behavio[u]r
>on the air?

I am wonderig about te myself I was sking question about recording hf
voice a month or so ago if you recall

recall now if someone wuld drecall robeson
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4108. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 23:17:05 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <3sSdnc4Lm9o1gWvZnZ2dnUVZ_qKdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 6588
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Anonyma" <anon-bounces@deuxpi.ca> wrote in message
news:4e75e0746f183cda115e96f709bc84a3@deuxpi.ca...
> Dave Said:
>
>>I'll say it again ... INVESTMENT!!
>
>>If an activity is to have value it must have INVESTMENT.
>
> Hi Dave,
>
> Thanks for the replies, I surely didn't mean to get a flamewar going, but,
> it seems there are some very
> strong views on this.
>
> I don't mind putting an investment into something...usually when I learn
> something new, I research and get
> into it 110%. The trouble with the requirements and all there that I see
> is, that it puts up a very high
> initial investment before you can actually get in and do anything with it.
> I guess I question, well how do I
> know it will be worth all the time invested before I even get to 'play'?
>
> In my case, and I'm sure many others out there in this day in age, my TIME
> is what is of such short supply. I
> have no problem laying out cash for equipment...with most things I do, I
> generally jump in with both feet,
> buy equipment and 'learn as I go'. I'd like to do the same with ham and
> other radio technologies, but, in
> this case, I find a large wall in front of me of study and test taking,
> before I even get to jump on the air
> even once to see how much I'd like it.
>
> Does that make sense to you?
>
> Thanks for the replies,
>

The time investment is not as much as it seems. That is even more true of
someone who has experience in related fields or has experience in the art of
studying. For those with some existing experience in related fields, they
can often read up enough in a single weekend to pass the Technician written.
This will let you get your feet wet in the VHF/UHF and higher frequencies.
There's quite a lot that can be done in this area if you invest in the

equipment.

Again if you have some related background, the General written is not particularly time consuming to study for either. The code is only as hard as you make it by fighting yourself. If you decide that you will learn it, the average person only needs about 30 hours to get to 5wpm, assuming that a good training method is used.

Getting the General license gives you all modes and all bands and the maximum power privileges. The only thing you do not get are some subsections on some of the bands that are reserved for Extra licensees.

Now the Extra test is quite a bit more difficult but is not required unless you want to get into those subsections mentioned above.

You spoke of "learning as you go". Basically ham radio is the same. Even the Extra class license has only scratched the surface of all that might be involved in amateur radio. The tests & licensing are to insure that you know enough not to get hurt, not to cause harm to other people, and not to make a mess on the bands. Also they are to insure that you have a grounding in the basics so you don't go spinning your wheels trying to get things working.

You might ask why this is important. Let's just address the safety area for a moment. Well for example, you can actually get a burn from grabbing an antenna that is being used to transmit. If you get into microwave transmissions, you could fry your eyes (or somebody else's) if you look into the end of a wave guide while it's being used to transmit. You can get electrical shocks from feedback due to a poorly set up station. This is just a small sample of some of the things that you should be familiar with.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4109. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 23:21:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <287cf2tkrkjjtajo7at2dug0geckjgnfug@4ax.com>
Source record: 6589
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 30 Aug 2006 19:17:05 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"Anonyma" <anon-bounces@deuxpi.ca> wrote in message
>news:4e75e0746f183cda115e96f709bc84a3@deuxpi.ca...
>> Dave Said:
>>
>>>I'll say it again ... INVESTMENT!!
>>
>>>If an activity is to have value it must have INVESTMENT.
>>
>> Hi Dave,
>>
>> Thanks for the replies, I surely didn't mean to get a flamewar going, but,
>> it seems there are some very
>> strong views on this.
>>
>> I don't mind putting an investment into something...usually when I learn
>> something new, I research and get
>> into it 110%. The trouble with the requirements and all there that I see
>> is, that it puts up a very high
>> initial investment before you can actually get in and do anything with it.
>> I guess I question, well how do I
>> know it will be worth all the time invested before I even get to 'play'?
>>
>> In my case, and I'm sure many others out there in this day in age, my TIME
>> is what is of such short supply. I
>> have no problem laying out cash for equipment...with most things I do, I
>> generally jump in with both feet,
>> buy equipment and 'learn as I go'. I'd like to do the same with ham and
>> other radio technologies, but, in
>> this case, I find a large wall in front of me of study and test taking,
>> before I even get to jump on the air
>> even once to see how much I'd like it.
>>
>> Does that make sense to you?
>>
>> Thanks for the replies,
>>
>
>The time investment is not as much as it seems. That is even more true of
>someone who has experience in related fields or has experience in the art of

>studying. For those with some existing experience in related fields, they
>can often read up enough in a single weekend to pass the Technician written.
>This will let you get your feet wet in the VHF/UHF and higher frequencies.
>There's quite a lot that can be done in this area if you invest in the
>equipment.
the investment s not the same for different folks it can't be so the
e;lcetircal engineer less of a ham for making a lessor investment?
that is the clear inplacation

indeed you have implied that My Wife is a more cabble member of the
Tech the class because she had to wrok MUCH harder than me to get that
license
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4110. Re: If you saw Mark at a hamfest, would you wave or give him the finger?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 23:21:34 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <24pJg.12229$Qf.6259@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6590
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:q28bf2hrvf1v7qmlc1asq55lrnkt7hfi6a@4ax.com:

> On 30 Aug 2006 04:48:26 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>>
>>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>> On 29 Aug 2006 16:21:38 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>> >
>>> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> >> Woody wrote:
>>> >
>>> >> > Since I don't know this Robitussin guy, I really can't say
>>> >> > anything in reply to this post....
>>> >> > rb
>>> >>
>>> >> All you have to do (if you've got about 8 hours to spare) is go
>>> >> through Google archives since before they bought it from
>>> >> DejaNews several years ago. "Robitussin" has thousands of
>>> >> postings in the same venal manner he continues to use...
>>> >> especially his self-promotion as heroic USMC veteran which
>>> >> he has never, ever proved through any third-party references,
>>> >> digitized documents, or much of anything else other than his
>>> >> own AOL home page and self-description on QRZ.
>>> >>
>>> >> He is clearly into his own fantasy of imagined superpowers
>>> >> without the aid of Stan Lee.
>>> >>
>>> >> :-)
>>> >
>>> >There's some real gems in there.
>>> >
>>> >Lawsuits. Robeson sues people, or at least he says he does. In his
>>> >last lawsuit, he got an Upper Peninsula attorney gratis, then made
>>> >comments on who his free attorney's wife might be sleeping with.
>>> >Meanwhile, the person he is suing has yet to be served.
>>>
>>> acrualywaiti ng now for 5 different lawsuits from steve
>>
>>Five??? What are they for?
>
> one was fr reporting him the BoN another for Daring to mention is
> daughter (the one with dat 28dec) one forcontacting CAP, another

> daring to make a true stament about his daugter last month, another
> for asking if his wfe was fireproof to project her from Robeson
> flaming pants
>
>>
>>> >"Bricks through windows, slashed tires, terrorized wives." These are
>>> >bad things that happen to people who shoot their mouths off, as some
>>> >people have been told they do. Mind you, Robesin isn't the one
>>> >throwing bricks, slashing tires, or terrorizing wives. "Other
>>> >people" do this sort of stuff.
>>>
>>> his other selves perhaps
>>
>>I wonder if they've all manifested themselves on RRAP, or if there are
>>others for situations at work, at home, on vacation, when in CAP
>>uniform....
>
> most likely
>
> he must have some persona that is not the asshole stev is , since he
> does go out in the world and hasn't been mrudered yet
>>
>>> >"Dialing..." On Robesin's personal authority as a male nurse and
>>> >failed Marine, he can make a phone call anywhere in the USA and have
>>> >you picked up on his say so. Really.
>>>
>>> yep whow much safer we are for him
>>
>>Mmmmm. I don't think it works that way. Now if it were a reverse
>>911....
>
> indeed
>>
>>> >"PUTZ, Putz, putz" Disagree with Robesin and you are a penis with a
>>> >yiddish accent.
>>>
>>> and then he dinies he makes sexauly based insults
>>
>>Anyone whose read his posts for 5 minutes knows that's a lie.
>
> not true sometime it takes more than 5minutes of reading to make
> obvious after all you must first decided if he is serious
>>
>>> >"PEDOPHILE, Pedophile, pedophile" If you disagree with Robesin and
>>> >you give of your time to a youth group, you are a pervert.
>>>
>>> or just breath air (witness Tood Hans and Myself)
>>> >
>>> >"HOMO, Homo, homo" Disagree with Robesin and you are queer, with
>>> >lots and lots of inuendo.

>>
>>> that is you todd Han and scores of others
>>
>>Everybody's gay.
>
> except Steve of course and all these gay men wantsteve's body (not)
> same sort of insanity as wismen
>
>>
>>> >Robesin is a national hero, taking part in seven hostile actions as a
>>> >Marine. Yet, his only overseas assignment is Okinawa. Never been
>>> >injured, but discharged after only 18 years. Says he's retired.
>>> >Says he's disabled. Says it's not medical. Says he has been
>>> >rehabilitated by the VA. "Ask the VA."
>>>
>>> well I he=ard the army in wirtiing today They afrim the existance of
>>> my old unit
>>
>>Robesin has given so many mixed messages over the conditions of his
>>"discharge" that no one be;lieves him any longer.
>
> indeed
>>
>>> >Robesin got back into uniform as a Tennessee State Guard "officer" of
>>> >some kind. Very short lived career.
>>>
>>> maybe that gruop held him to professional stanards unlike CAP
>>
>>Yikes!!!
>
> that would explain things
>>
>>> >Robesin got back into uniform as a Civil Air Patrol (CAP) "officer"
>>> >of some kind. Says he's a Major now. Photo of him in a sage green
>>> >(not blue) flight suit on his QRZ website. As far as I know, most
>>> >CAP volunteers are involved in training youth/Cadets. Hmmmm?
>>>
>>> I know that does worry me too
>>
>>Naw. It's everybody else you have to worry about.
>
> exactly sorry if I was unclear
>>
>>> >Robesin got a vanity call, K4CAP, while in the CAP. The CAP HQ is at
>>> >Maxwell AFB in Montgomery, Alabama. Then he gave it up. Look where
>>> >his old call now resides.
>>> >
>>> >Robesin got back into uniform as a male nurse. Says he doesn't wear
>>> >the white dress uniform. Probably just regular pants and a v-neck
>>> >lavendar colo[u]red top.

>>> >
>>> >Robesin has military medals. He has lots of medals. Many of those
>>> >medals he didn't earn.
>>>
>>> has he ever listed them?
>>
>>Nope. I'd only be interested in the ones he earned. But that would
>>just raise more questions about seven hostile actions.
>
> I assume the good conuct is not amoug them
>>
>>> >Robesin used to be an ASSISTANT NCOIC of NMC MARS on Okinawa.
>>> >Doesn't know the first thing about it. Doesn't know what a MOD is.
>>> >
>>> >"MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio" according to Robesin Yup, you heard it
>>> >here first.
>>> >
>>> >A well know amateur radio outlet had the owners daughter's photo
>>> >featured in a prominent amateur radio publication. Robesin said she
>>> >was selling "Something" but it wasn't radios. He's a swell guy.
>>> >
>>> >Robesin can make comments all day long about how your children won't
>>> >respect you. His child died from severe birth defects and he knows
>>> >that no one will make comments about his children. He's a swell guy.
>>> >
>>> >Robesin needs to talk to the wives. He needs to talk to Len's wife.
>>> >He needs to talk to my wife. He needs to talk to Mark's wife. He
>>> >wants to talk to them on the phone or in person. He wants to mail
>>> >them. He wants to knock on my door to talk to my wife and posts
>>> >partial addresses so you know to expect a visit. Now he makes jokes
>>> >about Len and Mark's wife. He's a swell guy.
>>> >
>>> >I could go on, but I don't have 8 hours as Len suggests...
>>> >
>>> >So what's the real beef??? I disagreed with Robesin. He doesn't
>>> >like the way I think.
>>>
>>> prehaps it was he disliked that you THINK at all
>>
>>I'm not a Morse bot.
> exactly and only bots like himself are welcome
>>
>>> >So what's the real, Real beef? I don't think the Morse Code exam
>>> >should be retained for an HF license in amateur radio.
>>>
>>> me neither
>>>
>>> looks liek we will win
>>
>>With the tons and tons of sour grapes from these Morse Forever folks,

>>no one has won anything.
> indeed
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

4111. Re: NoCode Techs should have to pass the CW test in a year or get booted.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 23:21:38 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <64pJg.12232$Qf.4798@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6591
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:pbs9f2hp4msdkbln44jhrr3vlfjc1uolp6@4ax.com:

> On Tue, 29 Aug 2006 23:12:32 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in
>>news:fjp8f2llhqlnk43dqa675695ra5g4v0os8@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 01:23:06 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>>
>>>>"an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
>>>>news:1156635009.999454.106990@75g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>>> You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life, but you should
>>>>>> learn it anyway just in case.
>>>>> why?
>>>>>
>>>>> why is the fantastic ly unlikely something you should eb prepared
>>>>> for?
>>> why does the trol Slow code hate ham radio?
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>
>>
>>
>>Motivating hams to be better hams is a good thing.
>>
>>
>>For example, if you were going to lose your internet if you didn't learn
>>how to type and spell after a year, I'm sure you'd be learning how to
>>type and spell. But since there is no requirement that you have to type
>>and spell properly after a year, you continue on murdering the english
>>language.
> nope you over look facts, I can't type or spel without making at least
> twice the effort you do

That's why you'll never be a good ham. You refuse to put forth the
effort.

SC

4112. Re: NoCode Techs should have to pass the CW test in a year or get booted.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 23:25:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <uh7cf2906a6t2ncla1j5144knhvv3ug8ag@4ax.com>
Source record: 6592
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 30 Aug 2006 23:21:38 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:pbs9f2hp4msdkbln44jhrr3vlfjc1uolp6@4ax.com:
>
>> On Tue, 29 Aug 2006 23:12:32 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>

>>>
>>>For example, if you were going to lose your internet if you didn't learn
>>>how to type and spell after a year, I'm sure you'd be learning how to
>>>type and spell. But since there is no requirement that you have to type
>>>and spell properly after a year, you continue on murdering the english
>>>language.
>> nope you over look facts, I can't type or spel without making at least
>> twice the effort you do
>
>
>That's why you'll never be a good ham. You refuse to put forth the
>effort.
I am already a better ham than you
at least the measure of the Ham code
>
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4113. Re: If you saw Mark at a hamfest, would you wave or give him the finger?<< if you were a good am youd know the answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 23:30:52 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <hr7cf2ps68t22849p9ic8b0gdgsatplfpg@4ax.com>
Source record: 6593
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 30 Aug 2006 23:21:34 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:q28bf2hrvf1v7qmlc1asq55lrnkt7hfi6a@4ax.com:
>
>> On 30 Aug 2006 04:48:26 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
but you are a bad one wanting to take away the preledges other earned
for themselves
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4114. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 23:37:58 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <9sGdnfi5UtITvGvZnZ2dnUVZ_omdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 6594
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Anonymous" <nobody@remailer.paranoici.org> wrote in message
news:3c3d3edd54cf5a880c1dcd896b57c06a@remailer.paranoici.org...
> Lloyd Said:
>
>>During the 50's, the operators of high power AM stations realized that
>>kids like me with an ssb transmitter could outperform their own
>>stations at a fraction of the cost and could not be jammed by their
>>carriers. That was the REAL reason that they fought against ssb.
>
> Hi Lloyd,
>
> Thanks for the reply. Can you explain what SSB is to me, or post some
> informative links? I've seen ssb
> (single side band?) in the same breath/post as some CB radios. I'm not
> sure what it is, or what special
> equipment it takes. About all the info I can google points to radio
> communications at sea.
>
> Can you use this on land? License and equipment requirements?
>
> Thanks in advance for any info or pointers, I'm trying to learn about all
> this differences in publicly
> accessible radio.
>
>

This is some of the very information that you will learn in studying for a
license but here's the nickel tour.

An AM signal (like the radio stations use) has a center carrier frequency.
This is modulated by the information (voice, music, etc). The result of
this modulation is that two sidebands develop that are identical but are
mirrored about the carrier frequency. All the information is carried in the
side bands and both sidebands carry the same information. So the smart boys
got to thinking and asked why do they actually need to transmit both
sidebands (since they contain the same information) and why do they need to
transmit the center carrier (which contains no information). They developed
a way to eliminate the carrier and one of the side bands on the transmit end
and a method to receive and convert it to useful sound at the receive end.
This is called (ta-dah) Single Side Band. The main advantage of SSB over AM
is that the transmitted signal is much narrower so more stations can fit in
the same band width. An additional benefit is that because the signal is
narrower, you can use a narrower filter and so reduce the amount of
background noise receive with the signal. Since the carrier and one side

band are eliminated before the signal power is boosted for transmission, you also end up with a stronger signal because the power is, in essence, focused on boosting a much narrower signal. For example, my radio gives me 100 watts out on SSB but only 25 watts out on AM.

Amateur radio operators use SSB as the commonest voice mode on HF (shortwave communications). Some hobbyists do use AM from time to time partly because they enjoy it and partly because of its historical significance. There is also SSB activity (as well as some AM) in the VHF and higher frequencies. Basically, in the amateur bands, it is legal anywhere that voice communications are legal. However in VHF and higher frequencies, there are band plans that one should follow on where to use SSB.

As far as equipment goes, you've got to read the specs. Some CBs (an unlicensed radio service) have SSB as well as AM. For amateur radio (a licensed service) HF voice transceivers, SSB is normally the standard mode with AM being an option that is available in most radios.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4115. Re: finaly figured it out the meow is just Paul effort to insure the his moderated nNG gets launched

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 23:38:16 UTC
From: Daryl Hunt <dhunt@celticommnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44f62156$3@news.i70west.com>
Source record: 6595
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks

"DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:12fb7uucmb4pka6@corp.supernews.com...
> "The Demon Prince of Absurdity" <absurd_number_of_nicks@hell.corn> wrote
> in message news:pan.2006.08.28.07.45.52.703246@hell.corn...
>> On Sun, 27 Aug 2006 17:31:24 -0400, Slow Code did the cha-cha, and
>> screamed:
>>> "an old freind" wrote...
>>>> just kidding but I hope folks enjoy the thought
>>>
>>> You brought all the AUK retards here.
>>
>> We(tinw) wouldn't have stayed if there weren't any k00ks worth
>> mentioning,
>> beyond Slap-Happy.
>>
>
>
> I think I mentioned the kOOk potential in the radio groups in an earlier
> post. I think Slow Code may get a nomination in the near future, providing
> that he stays the course.

I suggest that everyone that the AUKk00ks have been chasing (yes, group mission k00k posters are real, Alice) join me in alt.usenet.kooks and help me trash the place. WE can have our own elections, chase the k00ks that are chasing kooks around, watch them try and avoid our rocking chairs with their tails, it will be entertaining.

4116. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 23:40:51 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <lK-dnZMI-7mnv2vZnZ2dnUVZ_u6dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 6596
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer" <nobody@cypherpunks.to> wrote
in message news:20060830161003.C884317239@mail.cypherpunks.to...
> Dave Said:
>
>>As long a 'Rare DX' uses CW, CW will live and thrive in the DX community.
>
> I've seen this DX term here and there, but, can't seem to find out what it
> stand for, or what a DX community
> is. Can you post some info or links on what this is/involves?
>
> Thanks,
>
> noonespecial
>

DX simply means distance. If you are talking about HF transmissions, it
normally refers to countries outside your own. If you are talking about VHF
and higher, it can be within your own country but at distances beyond line
of site communications.

The "DX community" is simply a term that refers collectively to those who
are particularly interested and involved in the longer distance radio
contacts.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4117. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-30 23:44:48 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <4pCdnZRLRYq1vmvZnZ2dnUVZ_o6dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 6597
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

I would like to add that DXing isn't limited to chasing awards. Some people
just like to find someone in a foreign country to ragchew with. One day I
was lucky enough to come across a gentleman in Italy who simply wanted to
talk not run a pileup. We spent about 1/2 an hour just chatting.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

"Dave" <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:a7idnedcEJKRUWjZnZ2dnUVZ_tidnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Example.
>
> A few months ago a group of ham radio operators went into the central
> Pacific Ocean to an island named Swain's Island [ATOLL]. Swain's Island
> had just been approved by the Ham radio Certificate Powers {American Radio
> relay League] as a separate DX [distance] entity and as such it qualifies
> as an entry into the various DX awards [DXCC being the prime award}. [DXCC
> means you have submitted written proof of confirmed contacts with other
> ham radio operators in 100 or more other countries [or entities].
>
> The Hams operated from this rare location for about a week and then
> returned home. There is no-one there today!
>
> Let me digress into another of your questions: i.e. What is SSB?
>
> Fifty years ago ham radio, and still today the AM broadcast band,
> transmitted three components to put a signal on the air. First, was the
> carrier that set the dial frequency e.g. 3950 KHz. The carrier contains NO
> information, it just sets the dial frequency. Then voice audio was added
> to the carrier. This addition [modulation] produced two audio signals
> around the carrier. One above the carrier, the other below the carrier.
> So, the resulting signal had the carrier and one upper side band and one
> lower sideband. The carrier contained 2X the power of the audio. And the
> audio was redundant with 1/2 the audio power in each sideband. The
> resulting signal can be described as Double Sideband Plus Carrier.
>
> In the 50s and early 60s design techniques were incorporated to suppress
> the carrier, which contained NO information; and to eliminate one of the
> redundant sidebands. The resulting signal is Single Sideband [one audio
> channel] with suppressed carrier. [SSB = Single Side Band]
>
> Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>> On Wed, 30 Aug 2006 18:10:03 +0200 (CEST), Anonymous via the
>> Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to> wrote:

>>
>>
>>>Dave Said:
>>>
>>>
>>>>As long a 'Rare DX' uses CW, CW will live and thrive in the DX
>>>>community.
>>>
>>>I've seen this DX term here and there, but, can't seem to find out what
>>>it stand for, or what a DX community is. Can you post some info or links
>>>on what this is/involves?
>>
>> well even if you are pulling our chain it is better than a lot of the
>> stuff posted
>>
>> DX isseeking out Distant contacts for an eXchange of very basic data
>> and ocollecting these conacts and esp proof of these conacts for
>> various awadrd the DX comunity obviously is those into chasing down
>> these DX contacts
>>
>>>Thanks,
>>>
>>>noonespecial
>>
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>

4118. Re: finaly figured it out the meow is just Paul effort to insure the his moderated nNG gets launched

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-31 00:08:57 UTC
From: Otaku <otaku@troll4fun.c0m>
Message-ID: <x6hcztydeu.fsf@troll4fun.com>
Source record: 6598
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks

On that fabled day of 31 Aug 2006, Daryl Hunt blessed Usenet with hir
wisdom in <44f62156$3@news.i70west.com>:

> I suggest that everyone that the AUKk00ks have been chasing (yes,
> group mission k00k posters are real, Alice) join me in
> alt.usenet.kooks and help me trash the place. WE can have our own
> elections, chase the k00ks that are chasing kooks around, watch them
> try and avoid our rocking chairs with their tails, it will be
> entertaining.

Looks like a Tinfoil Sombrero candidate, to me.
--
ï¿½2006 Otaku (at) troll4fun (dot) com

My BIOLOGICAL ALARM CLOCK just went off.. It has noiseless DOZE FUNCTION and
full kitchen!!

4119. Re: Scanner for F1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-31 00:19:38 UTC
From: Jim <jmios@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <12fcap18i0vprdb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 6599
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.autos.sport.f1,rec.radio.scanner

The Uniden BT330T has all the race frequeccies and alphanumeric info you
will need plus it is a great leading edge scanner.

"Les Hemmings" <les.frontalclaire@lobesvirgin.net> wrote in message
news:4g9q4oF1m2k27U1@individual.net...
> Cody Dawg wrote:
>> What's the best scanner for the F1 race at Indy?
>
> Yupiteru MVT7100 Sensitive, quick and bullet proof. SW to microwave, AM,
> FM, USB, LSB, WFM.... had mine for 9 years plus and never missed a beat.
>
> Will stand you in good stead for everything else too..
>
> Les
>
>
> --
> Remove Frontal Lobes to reply direct.
>
> http://uk.groups.yahoo.com/group/hxflyers/
>
> http://armsofmorpheus.blogspot.com/
>
> http://www.xenu.net/
>
> http://www.venganza.org/index.htm
>
> Les Hemmings a.a #2251 SA
>
>
>

4120. Re: finaly figured it out the meow is just Paul effort to insure the his moderated nNG gets launched

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-31 00:29:00 UTC
From: Peter J Ross <pjr@kookbusters.org>
Message-ID: <slrnefcbfe.7kj.pjr@nntp.alcatroll.com>
Source record: 6501
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks

On Thu, 31 Aug 2006 10:08:57 +1000, Otaku <otaku@troll4fun.c0m> wrote
in alt.usenet.kooks:

> On that fabled day of 31 Aug 2006, Daryl Hunt blessed Usenet with hir
> wisdom in <44f62156$3@news.i70west.com>:
>
>> I suggest that everyone that the AUKk00ks have been chasing (yes,
>> group mission k00k posters are real, Alice) join me in
>> alt.usenet.kooks and help me trash the place. WE can have our own
>> elections, chase the k00ks that are chasing kooks around, watch them
>> try and avoid our rocking chairs with their tails, it will be
>> entertaining.
>
> Looks like a Tinfoil Sombrero candidate, to me.

I don't think he can get a TS merely for making empty threats to
increase the Sacred Poast Count. Tim Brown set the bar for the TS
award, and the bar remains high.

PJR :-)
--
_ _(o)_(o)_ _ Tired of the same old posters in your
._\`:_ F S M _:' _, newsgroup? Why not visit news.groups.reviews
/ (`---'\ `-. and attract new talent by posting a review?
,-` _) (_, F_P (Please read the posting guidelines.)

4121. Re: finaly figured it out the meow is just Paul effort to insure the his moderated nNG gets launched

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-31 00:38:05 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156984685.835701.70480@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6600
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Otaku wrote:
>
kooks on parade

4122. Re: finaly figured it out the meow is just Paul effort to insure the his moderated nNG gets launched

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-31 00:42:49 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1156984969.477523.76330@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6502
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Peter J Ross wrote:
>
kooks on parade

4123. Re: New scanners from Radio Shack??? anyone know?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-31 00:44:59 UTC
From: bitwisebob <bitwisebob@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <k5ccf29j8a1a3miehv4pqm73l0idpoordb@4ax.com>
Source record: 6503

On Wed, 30 Aug 2006 15:17:34 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>The choice is a bit more than local/mail order. You should really
>compare the 96/396 or 2096/996 feature by feature to see which one
>best suits your needs.

indeed, and I did.... via the internet anyway. all look like good
radios. thanks for the feedback

Bob Anderson*Bitwisebob
Eugene Oregon

4124. Re: finaly figured it out the meow is just Paul effort to insure the his moderated nNG gets launched

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-31 01:10:37 UTC
From: Otaku <otaku@troll4fun.c0m>
Message-ID: <x61wqxyak2.fsf@troll4fun.com>
Source record: 6504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks

Having contemplated deeply, on 31 Aug 2006, pjr@kookbusters.org saw
fit to share with us:
> On Thu, 31 Aug 2006 10:08:57 +1000, Otaku <otaku@troll4fun.c0m>
> wrote in alt.usenet.kooks:
>
>> On that fabled day of 31 Aug 2006, Daryl Hunt blessed Usenet with
>> hir wisdom in <44f62156$3@news.i70west.com>:
>>
>>> I suggest that everyone that the AUKk00ks have been chasing (yes,
>>> group mission k00k posters are real, Alice) join me in
>>> alt.usenet.kooks and help me trash the place. WE can have our own
>>> elections, chase the k00ks that are chasing kooks around, watch
>>> them try and avoid our rocking chairs with their tails, it will be
>>> entertaining.
>>
>> Looks like a Tinfoil Sombrero candidate, to me.
>
> I don't think he can get a TS merely for making empty threats to
> increase the Sacred Poast Count. Tim Brown set the bar for the TS
> award, and the bar remains high.
>
>
>
> PJR :-)

Ahh, but if he actually goes so far as to hold his own elections and give
out "awards" (I hope I get one!)?

--
ï¿½2006 Otaku (at) troll4fun (dot) com

"When it's time to stop living, I will certainly make Death my number one
choice!"
(The Last Continent)

4125. Re: finaly figured it out the meow is just Paul effort to insure the his moderated nNG gets launched

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-31 01:15:47 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <12fce244ha1fhf6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 6505
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks

"Otaku" <otaku@troll4fun.c0m> wrote in message
news:x6hcztydeu.fsf@troll4fun.com...
> On that fabled day of 31 Aug 2006, Daryl Hunt blessed Usenet with hir
> wisdom in <44f62156$3@news.i70west.com>:
>
>> I suggest that everyone that the AUKk00ks have been chasing (yes,
>> group mission k00k posters are real, Alice) join me in
>> alt.usenet.kooks and help me trash the place. WE can have our own
>> elections, chase the k00ks that are chasing kooks around, watch them
>> try and avoid our rocking chairs with their tails, it will be
>> entertaining.
>
> Looks like a Tinfoil Sombrero candidate, to me.

Seconded.
--
Suffer, unto me.
Indulge, my every need.
UV Count 1
Religion is a whore! (Slayer)

4126. Re: finaly figured it out the meow is just Paul effort to insure the his moderated nNG gets launched

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-31 01:19:02 UTC
From: Peter J Ross <pjr@kookbusters.org>
Message-ID: <slrnefced7.7kj.pjr@nntp.alcatroll.com>
Source record: 6506
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks

On Thu, 31 Aug 2006 11:10:37 +1000, Otaku <otaku@troll4fun.c0m> wrote
in alt.usenet.kooks:

> Having contemplated deeply, on 31 Aug 2006, pjr@kookbusters.org saw
> fit to share with us:
>> On Thu, 31 Aug 2006 10:08:57 +1000, Otaku <otaku@troll4fun.c0m>
>> wrote in alt.usenet.kooks:
>>
>>> On that fabled day of 31 Aug 2006, Daryl Hunt blessed Usenet with
>>> hir wisdom in <44f62156$3@news.i70west.com>:
>>>
>>>> I suggest that everyone that the AUKk00ks have been chasing (yes,
>>>> group mission k00k posters are real, Alice) join me in
>>>> alt.usenet.kooks and help me trash the place. WE can have our own
>>>> elections, chase the k00ks that are chasing kooks around, watch
>>>> them try and avoid our rocking chairs with their tails, it will be
>>>> entertaining.
>>>
>>> Looks like a Tinfoil Sombrero candidate, to me.
>>
>> I don't think he can get a TS merely for making empty threats to
>> increase the Sacred Poast Count. Tim Brown set the bar for the TS
>> award, and the bar remains high.
>>
>>
>>
>> PJR :-)
>
> Ahh, but if he actually goes so far as to hold his own elections and give
> out "awards" (I hope I get one!)?

Yes, of course. But he's probably not competent enough to do that.

PJR :-)
--
_ _(o)_(o)_ _ Tired of the same old posters in your
._\`:_ F S M _:' _, newsgroup? Why not visit news.groups.reviews
/ (`---'\ `-. and attract new talent by posting a review?
,-` _) (_, F_P (Please read the posting guidelines.)

4127. Re: finaly figured it out the meow is just Paul effort to insure the his moderated nNG gets launched

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-31 01:43:31 UTC
From: Otaku <otaku@troll4fun.c0m>
Message-ID: <x6wt8pwugs.fsf@troll4fun.com>
Source record: 6507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks

```
On 31 Aug 2006, pjr@kookbusters.org stared intently at the screen and
then furiously typed:
> On Thu, 31 Aug 2006 11:10:37 +1000, Otaku <otaku@troll4fun.c0m>
> wrote in alt.usenet.kooks:
>
>> Having contemplated deeply, on 31 Aug 2006, pjr@kookbusters.org saw
>> fit to share with us:
>>> On Thu, 31 Aug 2006 10:08:57 +1000, Otaku <otaku@troll4fun.c0m>
>>> wrote in alt.usenet.kooks:
>>>
>>>> On that fabled day of 31 Aug 2006, Daryl Hunt blessed Usenet with
>>>> hir wisdom in <44f62156$3@news.i70west.com>:
>>>>
>>>>> I suggest that everyone that the AUKk00ks have been chasing
>>>>> (yes, group mission k00k posters are real, Alice) join me in
>>>>> alt.usenet.kooks and help me trash the place. WE can have our
>>>>> own elections, chase the k00ks that are chasing kooks around,
>>>>> watch them try and avoid our rocking chairs with their tails, it
>>>>> will be entertaining.
>>>>
>>>> Looks like a Tinfoil Sombrero candidate, to me.
>>>
>>> I don't think he can get a TS merely for making empty threats to
>>> increase the Sacred Poast Count. Tim Brown set the bar for the TS
>>> award, and the bar remains high.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> PJR :-)
>>
>> Ahh, but if he actually goes so far as to hold his own elections
>> and give out "awards" (I hope I get one!)?
>
> Yes, of course. But he's probably not competent enough to do that.
>
>
>
> PJR :-)

Oh, but he's a News Administrator and an ISP Owner, yaknow!

--
ï¿½2006 Otaku (at) troll4fun (dot) com
```

"Every morning, I get up and look through the 'Forbes' list of the
richest people in America. If I'm not there, I go to work"
-- Robert Orben

4128. Re: finaly figured it out the meow is just Paul effort to insure the his moderated nNG gets launched

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-31 02:14:25 UTC
From: Art Deco <erfc@netcabal.com>
Message-ID: <300820062014254633%erfc@netcabal.com>
Source record: 6508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks

DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:

>"Otaku" <otaku@troll4fun.c0m> wrote in message
>news:x6hcztydeu.fsf@troll4fun.com...
>> On that fabled day of 31 Aug 2006, Daryl Hunt blessed Usenet with hir
>> wisdom in <44f62156$3@news.i70west.com>:
>>
>>> I suggest that everyone that the AUKk00ks have been chasing (yes,
>>> group mission k00k posters are real, Alice) join me in
>>> alt.usenet.kooks and help me trash the place. WE can have our own
>>> elections, chase the k00ks that are chasing kooks around, watch them
>>> try and avoid our rocking chairs with their tails, it will be
>>> entertaining.
>>
>> Looks like a Tinfoil Sombrero candidate, to me.
>
>
>Seconded.

He needs to sign up with PSYLVIA'S GOTI SCHEME PDQ.

--
COOSN-266-06-39716
Official Associate AFA-B Vote Rustler
Official Overseer of Kooks and Saucerheads in alt.astronomy
Official "Usenet psychopath and born-again LLPOF minion",
as designated by Brad Guth

"Who is "David Tholen", Daedalus? Still suffering from
attribution problems?"
-- Dr. David Tholen

4129. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-31 03:05:13 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <6qgcf2lpf7au64su084v3r86t0ea35iqof@4ax.com>
Source record: 6509
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.

I have been watching this thread for a while and now I must join the
fray.

On Tue, 29 Aug 2006 16:34:18 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> spake
thusly:

>George Orwell wrote:
>
>> Al Klein said:
>>
>>
>>>>>Eliminating a requirement is dumbing things down. But no one would
>>>>expect you to be able to understand that.
>>
>>
>> Well, let me ask, from the point of view of a potential noob to the hobby. What use is
the code requirements?
>
>The 'use' is something you just can't understand. The 'use' is a commitment of
>time and talent which adds value to the license. The 'use' is investment.

The term "investment" is very misleading. To explain my position, I am
in agreement that CW testing should go the way of the dinosaurs. I
have no problem with technical testing, as a way to ensure that
potential Hams can operate their radios properly, without causing
interference with neighbors and other Hams world wide. There is also a
safety factor, with transmitters that can kick out a LOT of
potentially dangerous power. So, technical exam = good idea.
Here in Canada, CW is not required IF you achieve at least 80% on your
technical exam. You need at least 55% WITH CW.
http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/insmt-gst.nsf/en/sf08435e.html
This seems fair, to me.

As for the "investment", not all investments are valuable. I invested
years of training to be a fully qualified mechanic. There is
considerable value in that investment, obviously, as it is my bread
and butter.

But, would my investment be more valuable if, for example, if an
additional year of carpentry training were required for me to be
certified? I mean, after all, cars had wood frames and bodies at one
time so a mechanic would have needed carpentry skills...back in the
1930's. Such an investment would be a bad one. The skills would have
no value and do nothing to enhance my skills as a mechanic. The extra
investment would have no return with regards to being a mechanic.

Carpentry would not make me a better mechanic and would not prove to
the world that I really wanted to be a mechanic.

CW is as useful to todays Hams as carpentry is to a mechanic. A good
thing to learn, and potentially useful, but should not be a barrier.

But then again, a mechanic *might* need to do urgent bodywork on an
1930's wood-bodied ambulance and therefore save somebodys life. ;-)
{hehe...sorry..just had to pour on more coal..}
Just about as likely a scenario as CW being the only way to save a
life.

But then, if I were stranded on a desert island and found some old war
time aircraft wreckage, I could modify a magneto to be a spark
generator and spark out an S.O.S. My mechanical skills help me there.

Well......could happen!!

--
jbuchy@hotmail.com

\|||/ Kilroy was Here.
(@@)
____ooO_(_)_Ooo_____________________________________
|_____|_____|_____|_____|_____|_____|_____|_____|
|___|____|_____|_____|_____|_____|_____|_____|____|
|_____|_____|_____|_____|_____|_____|_____|_____|__

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

4130. Re: finaly figured it out the meow is just Paul effort to insure the his moderated nNG gets launched

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-31 03:10:26 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <if-dncnnZrsWxmvZnZ2dnUVZ_vGdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 6510
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks

"DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:12fce244ha1fhf6@corp.supernews.com...
> "Otaku" <otaku@troll4fun.c0m> wrote in message
> news:x6hcztydeu.fsf@troll4fun.com...
> > On that fabled day of 31 Aug 2006, Daryl Hunt blessed Usenet with hir
> > wisdom in <44f62156$3@news.i70west.com>:
> >
> >> I suggest that everyone that the AUKk00ks have been chasing (yes,
> >> group mission k00k posters are real, Alice) join me in
> >> alt.usenet.kooks and help me trash the place. WE can have our own
> >> elections, chase the k00ks that are chasing kooks around, watch them
> >> try and avoid our rocking chairs with their tails, it will be
> >> entertaining.
> >
> > Looks like a Tinfoil Sombrero candidate, to me.
>
http://groups.google.com/group/alt.graphics.photoshop/msg/4b1c7522b2959838?dmode=source

Tip of the hatlo hat--where all this fucking tip of the hat business
started.

4131. Re: You've Got Mail...But No Job! Fun at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-31 05:05:34 UTC
From: Tharsheblowsboys <cutehairday654@yahoostinks.com>
Message-ID: <ac604$44f66df3$d1cc57cc$14122@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 6511
Cross-posted to:
alt.tasteless,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Perhaps if RS didn't sell so much redundant Sprint Telephones, overpriced
DVD players and TV's and their UTTERLY USELESS junk toys that
start to appear each Labor Day and multiply right up till Xmas, their sales
would rise. Personally however I would not miss them if each and every
RS were to close and the company was to go the way of FW Woolworth.
Most of the stuff you can get at RS you can usually get at Walmart for
50% less price anyway, plus Walmart employees are usually a tad more
intellegent than your average Radio Shack stooge.

"Unidyne" <unidyne@cox.net> wrote in message
news:KOtJg.2624$JR5.444@dukeread11...
> http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20060830/ap_on_hi_te/radioshack_layoffs
>
> RadioShack uses e-mail to fire employees
>
> Wed Aug 30, 4:28 PM ET
>
> FORT WORTH, Texas - RadioShack Corp. notified about 400 workers by
> e-mail that they were being dismissed immediately as part of planned job
> cuts.
>
> Employees at the Fort Worth headquarters got messages Tuesday morning
> saying: "The work force reduction notification is currently in progress.
> Unfortunately your position is one that has been eliminated."
>
> Company officials had told employees in a series of meetings that layoff
> notices would be delivered electronically, spokeswoman Kay Jackson said.
> She said employees were invited to ask questions before Tuesday's
> notification on a company intranet site.
>
> Derrick D'Souza, a management professor at the University of North
> Texas, said he had never heard of such a large number of terminated
> employees being notified electronically. He said it could be seen as
> dehumanizing to employees.

Wouldn't it be fun if, in the comming weeks, we start to hear of
many "disgruntled employee" shootings in the comming weeks?
Yeah! 'You've got questions, we got answers...BLAM!! - BLAM!!"

> "If I put myself in their shoes, I'd say, 'Didn't they have a few
> minutes to tell me?'" D'Souza said.
>
> Laid-off workers got one to three weeks pay for each year of service, up

> to 16 weeks for hourly employees and 36 weeks for those with base bay of
> at least $90,000, the company said.
>
> The company announced Aug. 10 that it would cut 400 to 450 jobs, mostly
> at headquarters, to cut expenses and "improve its long-term competitive
> position in the marketplace." RadioShack has closed nearly 500 stores,
> consolidated distribution centers and liquidated slow-moving merchandise
> in an effort to shake out of a sales slump.
>
> Shares of RadioShack rose 29 cents, or 1.6 percent, to close at $18.21
> Wednesday on the New York Stock Exchange.

4132. Re: Duke University (Durham, NC, USA) trunked system change?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-31 11:18:35 UTC
From: kuzen001@duke.edu
Message-ID: <ed6gib$h70$1@gargoyle.oit.duke.edu>
Source record: 6512

Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote:

> >about? Does anyone have an explanation of what has
> >changed that is simple enough for even me to understand?

> It sounds more like you hitting the wrong button on your scanner.
> You're seeing the talkgroups in a different format, and you're hearing

Al, I wouldn't have thought you were right--except that
you turned out to be exactly right. :-) The very next
day I turned the thing on and everything was exactly as
it had been before. My best guess is the same as yours--
that I hit a button accidentally. Can't believe I didn't
try off-and-back-on a time or two that day. Maybe I really
AM as chuckleheaded as my wife always says I am. ;-)

Thanks!
-ken

Ken Kuzenski AC4RD ken . kuzenski at duke .edu

All disclaimers apply, see? www.duke.edu/~kuzen001

4133. Re: You've Got Mail...But No Job! Fun at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-31 11:46:05 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1157024765.544514.143820@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6513
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Tharsheblowsboys wrote:
> Perhaps if RS didn't sell so much redundant Sprint Telephones, overpriced
> DVD players and TV's and their UTTERLY USELESS junk toys that
> start to appear each Labor Day and multiply right up till Xmas, their sales
> would rise. Personally however I would not miss them if each and every
> RS were to close and the company was to go the way of FW Woolworth.
> Most of the stuff you can get at RS you can usually get at Walmart for
> 50% less price anyway, plus Walmart employees are usually a tad more
> intellegent than your average Radio Shack stooge.
>
>
> "Unidyne" <unidyne@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:KOtJg.2624$JR5.444@dukeread11...
> > http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20060830/ap_on_hi_te/radioshack_layoffs
> >
> > RadioShack uses e-mail to fire employees
> >
> > Wed Aug 30, 4:28 PM ET
> >
> > FORT WORTH, Texas - RadioShack Corp. notified about 400 workers by
> > e-mail that they were being dismissed immediately as part of planned job
> > cuts.
> >
> > Employees at the Fort Worth headquarters got messages Tuesday morning
> > saying: "The work force reduction notification is currently in progress.
> > Unfortunately your position is one that has been eliminated."
> >
> > Company officials had told employees in a series of meetings that layoff
> > notices would be delivered electronically, spokeswoman Kay Jackson said.
> > She said employees were invited to ask questions before Tuesday's
> > notification on a company intranet site.
> >
> > Derrick D'Souza, a management professor at the University of North
> > Texas, said he had never heard of such a large number of terminated
> > employees being notified electronically. He said it could be seen as
> > dehumanizing to employees.
>
> Wouldn't it be fun if, in the comming weeks, we start to hear of
> many "disgruntled employee" shootings in the comming weeks?
> Yeah! 'You've got questions, we got answers...BLAM!! - BLAM!!"

Dumbass Markie couldn't even get a job at Radio Shack.....

4134. Re: finaly figured it out the meow is just Paul effort to insure the his moderated nNG gets launched

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-31 11:48:51 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1157024931.468450.323140@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6514
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old friendless Sodomite wrote:
> Peter J Ross wrote:
> >
> kooks on parade

Hey, Markie, tell us how you got sodomized and liked it.

Mark Morgan replied "yes I did. your point?" when confessing publicly
to having been sodomized in
<1150581379.052544.216...@r2g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>.

4135. Markie the kook on parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-31 12:11:47 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1157026307.576649.290120@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6515
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an old friendless sodomite wrote:
> kook on parade

Have any beer enemas lately, Marqueer?

Mark Morgan replied "yes I did. your point?" when confessing publicly
to having been sodomized in
<1150581379.052544.216...@r2g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>.

4136. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-31 12:31:40 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44f6d6b0$0$10218$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 6516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:6qgcf2lpf7au64su084v3r86t0ea35iqof@4ax.com...
>
> I have been watching this thread for a while and now I must join the
> fray.

<lots snipped>

> The term "investment" is very misleading. To explain my position, I am
> in agreement that CW testing should go the way of the dinosaurs. I
> have no problem with technical testing, as a way to ensure that
> potential Hams can operate their radios properly, without causing
> interference with neighbors and other Hams world wide. There is also a
> safety factor, with transmitters that can kick out a LOT of
> potentially dangerous power. So, technical exam = good idea.
> Here in Canada, CW is not required IF you achieve at least 80% on your
> technical exam. You need at least 55% WITH CW.
> http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/insmt-gst.nsf/en/sf08435e.html
> This seems fair, to me.
>
> As for the "investment", not all investments are valuable. I invested
> years of training to be a fully qualified mechanic. There is
> considerable value in that investment, obviously, as it is my bread
> and butter.
>

I'm not sure I recall all the other posters idea of investment - but
"mine" - was referring to the time/cost how ever little - "spent" or
"Invested" - if you will - in the way of time to study or prepare for the
exam - acquire equipment AND to actually go on the air to make use of it.
So - yes it "can" be looked as an investment - even if in a "minimal" sense
of the word.

IN the way I "think" you're referring to - as an investment towards a
"rewarding career" or leap forward into life - eh - depends on the person.
Many do NOT make electronics their lifes work. BUT, many have started from
ham (some from CB and then ham) and went on into some electronics or
electrical field. So for "them" - it "was" an investment of sorts - into
their future.

Just like another part of this thread where "memory and memorization" was
being used, hacked, slanged - the word "investment" could also go that
direction. It is all in how you want to look at it and perceive it.

Just my 2 cents!

L.

4137. Re: You've Got Mail...But No Job! Fun at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-31 12:49:35 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <44f6dae3$0$10210$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 6517
Cross-posted to:
alt.tasteless,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Tharsheblowsboys" <cutehairday654@yahoostinks.com> wrote in message
news:ac604$44f66df3$d1cc57cc$14122@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> Perhaps if RS didn't sell so much redundant Sprint Telephones, overpriced
> DVD players and TV's and their UTTERLY USELESS junk toys that
> start to appear each Labor Day and multiply right up till Xmas, their
> sales
> would rise. Personally however I would not miss them if each and every
> RS were to close and the company was to go the way of FW Woolworth.
> Most of the stuff you can get at RS you can usually get at Walmart for
> 50% less price anyway, plus Walmart employees are usually a tad more
> intellegent than your average Radio Shack stooge.
>
>
> "Unidyne" <unidyne@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:KOtJg.2624$JR5.444@dukeread11...
>> http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20060830/ap_on_hi_te/radioshack_layoffs
>>
>> RadioShack uses e-mail to fire employees
>>
>> Wed Aug 30, 4:28 PM ET
>>
>> FORT WORTH, Texas - RadioShack Corp. notified about 400 workers by
>> e-mail that they were being dismissed immediately as part of planned job
>> cuts.
>>
>> Employees at the Fort Worth headquarters got messages Tuesday morning
>> saying: "The work force reduction notification is currently in progress.
>> Unfortunately your position is one that has been eliminated."
>>
>> Company officials had told employees in a series of meetings that layoff
>> notices would be delivered electronically, spokeswoman Kay Jackson said.
>> She said employees were invited to ask questions before Tuesday's
>> notification on a company intranet site.
>>
>> Derrick D'Souza, a management professor at the University of North
>> Texas, said he had never heard of such a large number of terminated
>> employees being notified electronically. He said it could be seen as
>> dehumanizing to employees.
>
> Wouldn't it be fun if, in the comming weeks, we start to hear of
> many "disgruntled employee" shootings in the comming weeks?
> Yeah! 'You've got questions, we got answers...BLAM!! - BLAM!!"

>
>> "If I put myself in their shoes, I'd say, 'Didn't they have a few
>> minutes to tell me?'" D'Souza said.
>>
>> Laid-off workers got one to three weeks pay for each year of service, up
>> to 16 weeks for hourly employees and 36 weeks for those with base bay of
>> at least $90,000, the company said.
>>
>> The company announced Aug. 10 that it would cut 400 to 450 jobs, mostly
>> at headquarters, to cut expenses and "improve its long-term competitive
>> position in the marketplace." RadioShack has closed nearly 500 stores,
>> consolidated distribution centers and liquidated slow-moving merchandise
>> in an effort to shake out of a sales slump.
>>
>> Shares of RadioShack rose 29 cents, or 1.6 percent, to close at $18.21
>> Wednesday on the New York Stock Exchange.
>
>

If this is true, it isn't so surprising - a few stores have closed locally. Many are not as busy as they once were and I suspect "might" be going the same way in the near future. "SOME" things are still able to be gotten there that Wal-Mart doesn't supply - BUT - then again - those are the things which "experimenters", "builders", "technicians", "radio enthusiasts" would buy. ALL ELSE - is "Wal-Mart" related type items. Heck - even "Wal-Mart" sells phone plans now for at least a couple companies but they don't hound you when walking in the door to buy one. "IF" Wal-Mart sold "ALL" items that RS handled and "Cheaper" - yeah, I think RS would go DOWN.

Lets not give Wal-Mart TOO much credit here - I hear they're not doing so well all the sudden - either. The local store - ranked one of the top sellers in the nation - parking lot and aisles always full of shoppers, bringing in "millions" a day (data acquired from a good source) - is laying off people, cutting back hours, freezing pay raises, etc. AND I hear that is a trend across the nation in "Wal-Mart". So - even that giant may be coming to it's knees. My question on this issue, is I wonder if it has anything even remotely related to do with the "mexican" hiring policies they were busted on? A retaliation of having to pay "higher" wages to Americans - or shall we say "Legal" residents? They closed a store down in Canada when the people there wanted to form a "union" - so I heard in a news column. Sure makes you wonder. Its amazing what the holder of the wallet can do!

It is interesting (in a sad way) to see these big companies going belly up or at least having woes..... It makes you wonder where we are actually headed. HERE - Wal-Marts ARE one of the biggest employers. If they go out - the unemployment rate will be higher than George Bush Jr. can count. Almost as high as his deficit.
I know Wal-Marts and yes some others too - already gone by the way side - have been accused of killing off the Mom and Pop stores, but the whole picture just plain SUCKS.

I guess the adage applies - it IS a "Dog eat dog" world out there.

L.

4138. kook on parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-31 14:41:55 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157035315.725649.275970@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6518
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friendless sodomite wrote:
> > kook on parade
>
> Have any beer enemas lately, Marqueer?

why are you that hard up for booze?

4139. Re: finaly figured it out the meow is just Paul effort to insure the his moderated nNG gets launched

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-31 14:45:01 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157035501.187167.184800@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:

> Hey, Markie, tell us how you got sodomized and liked it.
this is no t a porn gruop you find gruop to trun you on that way

4140. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-31 14:49:32 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157035772.803478.295260@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6520
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

L. wrote:
> "Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> news:6qgcf2lpf7au64su084v3r86t0ea35iqof@4ax.com...

> > As for the "investment", not all investments are valuable. I invested
> > years of training to be a fully qualified mechanic. There is
> > considerable value in that investment, obviously, as it is my bread
> > and butter.
> >
>
> I'm not sure I recall all the other posters idea of investment - but
> "mine" - was referring to the time/cost how ever little - "spent" or
> "Invested" - if you will - in the way of time to study or prepare for the
> exam - acquire equipment AND to actually go on the air to make use of it.
> So - yes it "can" be looked as an investment - even if in a "minimal" sense
> of the word.

but that doesn't count of course like My g-5500 totor set isn't an
investment in my station

these folks are just in to what I call the "S&M" school of licensure

4141. Re: You've Got Socialism...Don't Need A Job! Fun in Utopia!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-31 18:21:57 UTC
From: SeeingEyeDog <star@shot.org>
Message-ID: <12fea5u7h4gjp1f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 6521
Cross-posted to:
alt.tasteless,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Tharsheblowsboys" <cutehairday654@yahoostinks.com> complained

4142. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-31 20:36:34 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1157056594.397269.226290@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

From: Dave on Tues, Aug 29 2006 4:44 pm

>Lloyd 4 wrote:
>> On Tue, 29 Aug 2006 21:18:12 +0200 (CEST), George Orwell <nob...@mixmaster.it> wrote:
>>>Al Klein said:

>>>>Eliminating a requirement is dumbing things down. But no one would
>>>>expect you to be able to understand that.
>
>>>Well, let me ask, from the point of view of a potential noob to the hobby. What use is
>>>the code requirements?
>>>I can't really see in today's era, the need for them? I've been surfing around looking
>>>at ham and talking to
>>>an old friend that had a license and it look interesting to me.
>
>As long a 'Rare DX' uses CW, CW will live and thrive in the DX community.
>
>A DX pile of 100 stations on CW occupies much less bandwidth than 1 SSB station.
>The CW contact rate exceeds the SSB rate.
>
>As long a 'Rare DX' uses CW, CW will live and thrive in the DX community.

Hey, "Dave," since when does the FCC mandate all hams MUST
"work DX?" [try to remember that "the DX community" does NOT
issue/grant/authorize amateur radio licenses, only the FCC]

"Working DX on HF with CW" is an OPTION, not a requirement.

In fact, the FCC doesn't even mandate 'working' a foreign
radio amateur at all. ['foreign' meaning any country outside
USA jurisdiction]

>It's your choice: if you want to play the DX game learn the rules including 25
>wpm CW.

"Dave," you really need to be reminded that the FCC does NOT
mandate/require/encourage ANY "DX contacts." Neither does the
"DX community" grant any licenses OR make any "rules" that ALL
US radio amateurs must follow.

Oh, and "Dave," the REAL US amateur radio regulations require
passing a FIVE WORD PER MINUTE (equivalent) manual telegraphy
test (15 words per minute with the "farnesworth" approved
equivalent rate), not "twenty-five words per minute."

"Dave," have you been licensed a long time? I don't recall ANY FCC requlations requiring a "twenty-five" rating, only the OLD regulation (prior to mid-2000) and that only for Extra class. I'd say you really ought to review the latest rules that apply in this new millennium. You can review those for free at the United States Government Printing Office website under "Code of Federal Regulations." You can also get it at the ARRL website since the ARRL seems to think they are the only "official" source for everything amateur...

>If you want to operate an appliance, but an appliance.

You mean EVERYONE "following the rules of the DX Community" uses homebuilt radios? All have built their own keys so that they don't use appliance keys? Amazing...

How does anyone "but an appliance?" :-)

Beep, beep,

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4143. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-31 20:41:13 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1157056873.488793.148060@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6523
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Dee Flint on Wed, Aug 30 2006 4:17 pm

>"Anonyma" <anon-boun...@deuxpi.ca> wrote in message
>> Dave Said:
>
>>>I'll say it again ... INVESTMENT!!
>
>>>If an activity is to have value it must have INVESTMENT.

["Dave" is a suspect banker in the investment trade?]

>The time investment is not as much as it seems.

Dee, the "time investment" for MORSEMANSHIP depends entirely
on the psycho-acoustical aptitudes of the learner. "Learning"
a psycho-acoustic skill is NOT an intellectual one, it is a
physical one.

>That is even more true of
>someone who has experience in related fields or has experience in the art of
>studying. For those with some existing experience in related fields, they
>can often read up enough in a single weekend to pass the Technician written.

You morsepersons need to get a common story. The rabid morsemen
keep saying it is all "memorization," taking no skill whatsoever.

>This will let you get your feet wet in the VHF/UHF and higher frequencies.
>There's quite a lot that can be done in this area if you invest in the
>equipment.

Come right out and say it...you morsepersons consider the
frequency world above 30 MHz to be beneath you, a place for
kids and lids (and probably space cadets) to go and PLAY.

>Again if you have some related background, the General written is not
>particularly time consuming to study for either.

Everyone can just "memorize" the answers, right? :-)

>The code is only as hard
>as you make it by fighting yourself. If you decide that you will learn it,
>the average person only needs about 30 hours to get to 5wpm, assuming that a
>good training method is used.

The ARRL VEC uses the "farnesworth" method which send at FIFTEEN
words per minute...even though the FCC regulations have five.

Dee, you haven't addressed the subject of the existance of the
manual morse code test itself. You (erroneously) think that it
is "necessary," possibly because you had to take that test.

>Getting the General license gives you all modes and all bands and the
>maximum power privileges. The only thing you do not get are some
>subsections on some of the bands that are reserved for Extra licensees.
>
>Now the Extra test is quite a bit more difficult but is not required unless
>you want to get into those subsections mentioned above.

Extra class are the "elite." Having an extra license allows all
the preening and self-righteous attitudes possible in a hobby
activity. It is personally rewarding to elitist-wannabes who
have to be "better than ordinary persons."

>You spoke of "learning as you go". Basically ham radio is the same. Even
>the Extra class license has only scratched the surface of all that might be
>involved in amateur radio.

Really? All those extras in here seem to think they are FAR
better than any professionals in radio...because they had to
test for morsemanship.

>The tests & licensing are to insure that you
>know enough not to get hurt, not to cause harm to other people, and not to
>make a mess on the bands. Also they are to insure that you have a grounding
>in the basics so you don't go spinning your wheels trying to get things
>working.

Golleee! All along I thought the FCC just regulated (and
mitigated interference) in ALL US civil radio. Their
predecessor agencies (before 1934) used Licensing and Testing
for same as a REGULATORY tool. I didn't know that those were
also academic tests and diplomas...

>You might ask why this is important.

Why IS morsemanship so important?

> Let's just address the safety area for a moment.

FCC amateur radio regulations cover only the radiated
RF power field. As a safety issue for ALL affected by
that RF field. Does YOUR station obey the federal
regulations?

>Well for example, you can actually get a burn from grabbing an
>antenna that is being used to transmit.

Why would anyone do that? [anything in official rules on that?]

>If you get into microwave
>transmissions, you could fry your eyes (or somebody else's) if you look into
>the end of a wave guide while it's being used to transmit.

Why would anyone do that? You can get far worse damage to the
eyes looking into a laser beam of much less power...and you
don't need any license for that.

>You can get
>electrical shocks from feedback due to a poorly set up station. This is
>just a small sample of some of the things that you should be familiar with.

Those aren't covered in FCC regulations, are they?

"Feedback gives one shocks?" I suppose...I've made feedback
networks for years and never been "electrically shocked" by
them. Delighted and surprised that they worked so well,
perhaps, but never got any electrical shocks from feedback.
I guess I'm just not "amateur" enough...hi hi :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4144. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-31 20:46:23 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1157057183.157641.263010@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6524
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

From: Dave on Wed, Aug 30 2006 10:34 am

>Let me digress into another of your questions: i.e. What is SSB?
>
>Fifty years ago ham radio, and still today the AM broadcast band, transmitted
>three components to put a signal on the air. First, was the carrier that set the
>dial frequency e.g. 3950 KHz. The carrier contains NO information, it just sets
>the dial frequency. Then voice audio was added to the carrier. This addition
>[modulation] produced two audio signals around the carrier. One above the
>carrier, the other below the carrier. So, the resulting signal had the carrier
>and one upper side band and one lower sideband. The carrier contained 2X the
>power of the audio. And the audio was redundant with 1/2 the audio power in each
>sideband. The resulting signal can be described as Double Sideband Plus Carrier.
>
>In the 50s and early 60s design techniques were incorporated to suppress the
>carrier, which contained NO information; and to eliminate one of the redundant
>sidebands. The resulting signal is Single Sideband [one audio channel] with
>suppressed carrier. [SSB = Single Side Band]

"Dave," your knowledge of Single Sideband is ferklempt.

The spectra of an amplitude modulated signal was mathematically
described by John R. Carson of AT&T before the 1920s. SSB,
including suppressed carrier, was USED by the telephone
infrastructure in the 1920s for long-distance lines. The most
common system, "C Carrier," had four separate 3 KHz voice
channels and would operate on the open-wire telephone lines
then common all over the world. This "C Carrier" was directly
adapted to HF radio in the early 1930s, the frequency-multiplexed
total signal converted to HF and amplified. The first HF SSB
radio link was put into service between the Netherlands and the
Netherlands Antilles carrying four voice channels or (to become
the later commercial-military standard of two voice and six to
eight TTY channels). While single-channel SSB was experimented
with before WW2, it didn't expand until after WW2 and a number
of US military contracts awarded to then-prominent radio makers
(Collins, RCA as two examples). Based on that success, the
amateurs took it up in the 1950s while the ARRL promoted the
false idea that "SSB was pioneered by radio amateurs."

Technically, your statement was faulty. Each "sideband" (the
spectra adjacent to the carrier) carries ONE QUARTER of the
total RF power output of single-channel SSB, not "half" in
normal AM. In normal AM the carrier is always constant in

amplitude. In normal AM receivers the "detector" stage is a mixer, combining the carrier with the two sideband spectra with the output lowpass filtered to yield the original audio signal. Single-channel SSB usually suppresses the carrier (almost to extinction) and the "detector" stage being fed an equivalent constant-amplitude carrier signal from an internal receiver oscillator. The mix products of carrier re-insertion and the input single sideband spectra yield the original audio modulation after lowpass filtering.

The important thing about single-channel SSB is that a transmitter peak power output of RF need only be one-half to on-quarter of a conventional AM transmitter to yield the same demodulated audio signal level.

No morsemanship skill is necessary to use a single-channel SSB radio. Today it is being used on the open sea by both commercial and private boat/ship owners for voice communications; also data, separate or multiplexed, for written communications.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4145. Re: If you had to use CW..., would Robesin still be an idiot?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-31 20:51:47 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1157057506.858053.130900@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Aug 30 2006 4:48 am

>M...@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>> On 29 Aug 2006 16:21:38 -0700, hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> Woody wrote:

>> >> > Since I don't know this Robitussin guy, I really can't say anything in reply
>> >> > to this post....
>> >> > rb
>
>> >> All you have to do (if you've got about 8 hours to spare) is go
>> >> through Google archives since before they bought it from
>> >> DejaNews several years ago. "Robitussin" has thousands of
>> >> postings in the same venal manner he continues to use...
>> >> especially his self-promotion as heroic USMC veteran which
>> >> he has never, ever proved through any third-party references,
>> >> digitized documents, or much of anything else other than his
>> >> own AOL home page and self-description on QRZ.
>
>> >> He is clearly into his own fantasy of imagined superpowers
>> >> without the aid of Stan Lee.
>
>> >There's some real gems in there.
>
>> >Lawsuits. Robeson sues people, or at least he says he does. In his
>> >last lawsuit, he got an Upper Peninsula attorney gratis, then made
>> >comments on who his free attorney's wife might be sleeping with.
>> >Meanwhile, the person he is suing has yet to be served.
>
>> acrualywaiti ng now for 5 different lawsuits from steve
>
>Five??? What are they for?

Class A uniforms for when Stebie joins the CAP Judge Advocate
General's office and goes on TV. :-)

>> >"Bricks through windows, slashed tires, terrorized wives." These are
>> >bad things that happen to people who shoot their mouths off, as some
>> >people have been told they do. Mind you, Robesin isn't the one
>> >throwing bricks, slashing tires, or terrorizing wives. "Other people"
>> >do this sort of stuff.
>
>> his other selves perhaps

>
>I wonder if they've all manifested themselves on RRAP, or if there are
>others for situations at work, at home, on vacation, when in CAP
>uniform....

Oh, wow, what a thought! Major Dud could have his very own
newsgroup featuring all his personnae! :-)

>> >"Dialing..." On Robesin's personal authority as a male nurse and
>> >failed Marine, he can make a phone call anywhere in the USA and have
>> >you picked up on his say so. Really.
>
>> yep whow much safer we are for him
>
>Mmmmm. I don't think it works that way. Now if it were a reverse
>911....

Hey! Rebolutionary! 911 operators call Him! :-)

>> >"PUTZ, Putz, putz" Disagree with Robesin and you are a penis with a
>> >yiddish accent.
>
>> and then he dinies he makes sexauly based insults
>
>Anyone whose read his posts for 5 minutes knows that's a lie.

Absolutely true. 'Robitussin' probably has an empty sex
life and takes out his frustrations on everyone else.
Something on the order of Saddam Hussein now...and about
as Yiddish as Hussein... :-)

>> >"PEDOPHILE, Pedophile, pedophile" If you disagree with Robesin and you
>> >give of your time to a youth group, you are a pervert.
>
>> or just breath air (witness Tood Hans and Myself)
>
>> >"HOMO, Homo, homo" Disagree with Robesin and you are queer, with lots
>> >and lots of inuendo.
>> that is you todd Han and scores of others
>
>Everybody's gay.

...but morsemen aren't happy. :-(

>> >Robesin is a national hero, taking part in seven hostile actions as a
>> >Marine. Yet, his only overseas assignment is Okinawa. Never been
>> >injured, but discharged after only 18 years. Says he's retired. Says
>> >he's disabled. Says it's not medical. Says he has been rehabilitated
>> >by the VA. "Ask the VA."
>
>> well I he=ard the army in wirtiing today They afrim the existance of
>> my old unit
>

>Robesin has given so many mixed messages over the conditions of his
>"discharge" that no one be;lieves him any longer.

At this stage of his fraudulent claims, without ever having
presented ANY third-party references or documents or even
personal photographs, he is caught up in his own conundrum.

If Robeson comes clean and ever shows any documentation now,
he is in for tons of remarks of his past claims that he can
never weasel out of, not even with the gratuitous personal
insults he normally uses against challengers.

If Robeson continues on his fraudulent claims, then he is
only repeating his present behavior, convincing no one, and
building only his own warped fantasy. The rest of us will be
stuck with his personal blog output.

>> >Robesin got back into uniform as a Tennessee State Guard "officer" of
>> >some kind. Very short lived career.
>
>> maybe that gruop held him to professional stanards unlike CAP
>
>Yikes!!!

The duties of the Tennessee STATE Guard (not affiliated with
the National Guard) were described by them as a sort of
in-Tennessee 'custodian' of NG facilities if and only if the
NG there is activated into federal service plus being a sort-of
'military police' for that state. As quasi-MPs they would have
some ability to access federal records to confirm Robeson's
military service. On the other hand, the TN STATE Guard will
(by their own statements) accept membership by civilians.

>> >Robesin got back into uniform as a Civil Air Patrol (CAP) "officer" of
>> >some kind. Says he's a Major now. Photo of him in a sage green (not
>> >blue) flight suit on his QRZ website. As far as I know, most CAP
>> >volunteers are involved in training youth/Cadets. Hmmmm?
>
>> I know that does worry me too
>
>Naw. It's everybody else you have to worry about.

...unless one is under the flight path of this "pilot in
command." :-)

>> >Robesin got a vanity call, K4CAP, while in the CAP. The CAP HQ is at
>> >Maxwell AFB in Montgomery, Alabama. Then he gave it up. Look where
>> >his old call now resides.
>
>> >Robesin got back into uniform as a male nurse. Says he doesn't wear
>> >the white dress uniform. Probably just regular pants and a v-neck
>> >lavendar colo[u]red top.
>

>> >Robesin has military medals. He has lots of medals. Many of those
>> >medals he didn't earn.
>
>> has he ever listed them?
>
>Nope. I'd only be interested in the ones he earned. But that would
>just raise more questions about seven hostile actions.

One doesn't get a Good Conduct Medal for fighting in a bar
outside of Camp Pendleton... :-)

>> >Robesin used to be an ASSISTANT NCOIC of NMC MARS on Okinawa. Doesn't
>> >know the first thing about it. Doesn't know what a MOD is.
>
>> >"MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio" according to Robesin Yup, you heard it
>> >here first.

Wayyyy too many times... :-)

>> >A well know amateur radio outlet had the owners daughter's photo
>> >featured in a prominent amateur radio publication. Robesin said she
>> >was selling "Something" but it wasn't radios. He's a swell guy.

[NOT the way to expect a discount from that dealer...]

>> >Robesin can make comments all day long about how your children won't
>> >respect you. His child died from severe birth defects and he knows
>> >that no one will make comments about his children. He's a swell guy.
>
>> >Robesin needs to talk to the wives. He needs to talk to Len's wife.
>> >He needs to talk to my wife. He needs to talk to Mark's wife. He
>> >wants to talk to them on the phone or in person. He wants to mail
>> >them. He wants to knock on my door to talk to my wife and posts
>> >partial addresses so you know to expect a visit. Now he makes jokes
>> >about Len and Mark's wife. He's a swell guy.
>
>> >I could go on, but I don't have 8 hours as Len suggests...

No? :-) It's like reading "Psychopathia Sexualis" by Kraft-
Ebbing...for fun... :-)

>> >So what's the real beef??? I disagreed with Robesin. He doesn't like
>> >the way I think.
>
>> prehaps it was he disliked that you THINK at all

Robeson imagined himself to be a "real" GSgt, a DILL sergeant
who GIVES orders and is immune to any criticsm. :-)

>I'm not a Morse bot.
>
>> >So what's the real, Real beef? I don't think the Morse Code exam
>> >should be retained for an HF license in amateur radio.

>
>> me neither
>
>> looks liek we will win
>
>With the tons and tons of sour grapes from these Morse Forever folks,
>no one has won anything.

The morsemen have been so brainwashed into believing their own
efficacy in radio that it is impossible to "win" anything,
certainly not with the ARRL publishing house constantly implying
that morsemanship is the "best" skill an amateur could have.
Amateur morsemen are so wrapped up in their personal skill that
they are unable to come to terms with any amateur or
professional radio person who doesn't care for it. To them,
US amateur radio is ALL ABOUT manual radiotelegraphy. Tsk.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4146. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-31 21:10:48 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1157058648.719091.235310@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6526
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: Al Klein on Wed, Aug 30 2006 12:02 pm

>>On Tue, 29 Aug 2006 21:18:12 +0200 (CEST), George Orwell
>>>Al Klein said:

>>>>Eliminating a requirement is dumbing things down. But no one would
>>>>expect you to be able to understand that.
>
>>>Well, let me ask, from the point of view of a potential noob to the hobby. What use is
>>>the code requirements?
>
>>In lieu of any other meaningful test (and there currently is none), it
>>shows a willingness to put in a minimum amount of effort.

Gotta love your argument "technique," Big Al...it's your way or
the highway...! :-)

>>But, given that many professional people like myself are stretched for time, what good
>>does all the licensing
>>and code requirements do for you besides build up boundries to doing something new and
>>fun?
>
>You might ask that about anything. Given that <insert group here>
>like myself are stretched for time, what do all the requirements for
><insert something here> do for anyone?

Poor Big Al...he must have had a TERRIBLE time learning to be an
amateur when he was only Lil Al... :-)

>>I'm in a pretty technical field, and I study to keep up in that field everyday, the
>>last thing I want to do,
>>is have to spend my weekends studying to talk on a radio.
>
>So don't. Buy a CB, FRS or GMRS radio and talk all you like. Those
>are the services created for folks who just want to talk on the radio.

Seems like that's all the extras and generals I hear on HF
doing...just talking on the radio... :-)

>>If less stringent requirements were there, I could
>>easily afford the tools of the trade, and would like to just jump in and start working
>>with a ham setup. I'm
>>particularly interested in exploring the amateur radio/computer connections.
>
>You won't like that - you'll have to devote a lot of your precious
>time to learning things - electronics, both theoretical and practical,

>programming, reading a waterfall display, keeping your signal
>distortion-free ...
>
>You can't just plug a radio into a computer and start using them.
>Digital communications doesn't work if you do it that way.

No? You mean you can't buy a rig from HRO, unbox it, and
connect it, and have it work right away? :-)

There's several transceivers on the market that DO that,
Big Al. Have you kept up with radio technology since
Spark was outlawed?

>>Can you give me valid reasons as to what useful purpose in today's age they serve?
>
>No, since someone who wants instant gratification can't be bothered to
>listen to why that's the wrong way to do something. Your time is
>probably much to valuable to spend it learning enough to understand
>why you can't learn enough in an instant.
>
>Go memorize some test answers, get a code-free tech, hook your
>computer up to your radio and, in 6 months, you'll have your radio up
>for sale on eBay, because "it doesn't really work".

Is eBay where you sold your radios, Big Al? :-)

Hey, Big Al, have you written the QPC yet about those simple,
easy-to-memorize questions on writtens? No? Why not?

Have you written the FCC yet about the anyone-can-get-a-ham-
license and tell 'em "what for?" [it's easy to submit a
petition to get back to them good ol days you want, FCC tells
you the procedure on their website] No? Why not?

Maybe you ought to write your congressperson, then. Tell THEM
that US amateur radio testing has gone down (your imaginative)
toilet and DEMAND that something be DOME about it!

You sure are ANGRY about things, Big Al. Maybe you need some
tranquilizers or mental therapy? No, you say? Okay, then I'll
just put you down in the Cranky Old Coot category. Here, have
a Foxtrot Uniform with my best wishes... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4147. Re: You've Got Mail...But No Job! Fun at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-08-31 23:56:50 UTC
From: danielle <null@null.com>
Message-ID: <ed7t00$b30$1@news04.infoave.net>
Source record: 6527
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Tharsheblowsboys" <cutehairday654@yahoostinks.com> wrote in message
news:ac604$44f66df3$d1cc57cc$14122@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> Perhaps if RS didn't sell so much redundant Sprint Telephones, overpriced
> DVD players and TV's and their UTTERLY USELESS junk toys that
> start to appear each Labor Day and multiply right up till Xmas, their
> sales
> would rise. .
> Most of the stuff you can get at RS you can usually get at Walmart for
> 50% less price anyway,

This is a major problem at RS and what I want I can't you can't get it at
Wally World.

When I go to RS, I am usually looking for something like a BNC connector,
or some 3.7 Kohm resistors or somesuch thing.
Most of the time the employees are nice, but they don't have a clue about
what I'm asking for.
Those little drawers of parts in the back aisle are usually picked over and
half empty.
The RS employee will then look up the part you want ($2.49 for two 1/4 Watt
resistors plus $8.95 special shipping, over ten dollars for what used to be
a 49cent part and you have to wait days to get it.

>> RadioShack uses e-mail to fire employees

This is cold and Major NOT NICE! This lack of caring, of correct behavior is
what has driven RS down.

4148. Anyone ever hear AB8MQ on the radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 00:06:30 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <aQKJg.4155$bM.1697@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6528
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.fan.art-bell,ba.broadcast,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Is he as sick and disgusting on the air as he is on usenet?

SC

4149. If you saw KB9RQZ next to a large mud puddle would you drive close and splash him?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 00:06:39 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <jQKJg.4162$bM.1734@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6529
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:hr7cf2ps68t22849p9ic8b0gdgsatplfpg@4ax.com:

> On Wed, 30 Aug 2006 23:21:34 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in
>>news:q28bf2hrvf1v7qmlc1asq55lrnkt7hfi6a@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On 30 Aug 2006 04:48:26 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> but you are a bad one wanting to take away the preledges other earned
> for themselves

You don't want to earn radio "preledges" Mark. You're too lazy to put
forth an effort to earn them.

SC

4150. Re: NoCode Techs should have to pass the CW test in a year or get booted.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 00:06:42 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <mQKJg.4164$bM.1416@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6530
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:uh7cf2906a6t2ncla1j5144knhvv3ug8ag@4ax.com:

> On Wed, 30 Aug 2006 23:21:38 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in
>>news:pbs9f2hp4msdkbln44jhrr3vlfjc1uolp6@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Tue, 29 Aug 2006 23:12:32 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>>
>
>>>>
>>>>For example, if you were going to lose your internet if you didn't
>>>>learn how to type and spell after a year, I'm sure you'd be learning
>>>>how to type and spell. But since there is no requirement that you
>>>>have to type and spell properly after a year, you continue on
>>>>murdering the english language.
>>> nope you over look facts, I can't type or spel without making at least
>>> twice the effort you do
>>
>>
>>That's why you'll never be a good ham. You refuse to put forth the
>>effort.
> I am already a better ham than you
> at least the measure of the Ham code

whatever that means.

4151. Re: NoCode Techs should have to pass the CW test in a year or get booted.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 00:09:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <chuef2pvhkp6fvak67povdglpcg2aguh5f@4ax.com>
Source record: 6531
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 01 Sep 2006 00:06:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:uh7cf2906a6t2ncla1j5144knhvv3ug8ag@4ax.com:
>
>> On Wed, 30 Aug 2006 23:21:38 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in
>>>news:pbs9f2hp4msdkbln44jhrr3vlfjc1uolp6@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>> On Tue, 29 Aug 2006 23:12:32 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>>>
>>
>>>>>For example, if you were going to lose your internet if you didn't
>>>>>learn how to type and spell after a year, I'm sure you'd be learning
>>>>>how to type and spell. But since there is no requirement that you
>>>>>have to type and spell properly after a year, you continue on
>>>>>murdering the english language.
>>>> nope you over look facts, I can't type or spel without making at least
>>>> twice the effort you do
>>>
>>>
>>>That's why you'll never be a good ham. You refuse to put forth the
>>>effort.
>> I am already a better ham than you
>> at least the measure of the Ham code
>
>
>whatever that means.
gald you agree
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4152. Re: Anyone ever hear AB8MQ on the radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 00:12:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <0muef2te26a3n15pv9sk06ej33qevgqscl@4ax.com>
Source record: 6532
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.fan.art-bell,ba.broadcast,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 01 Sep 2006 00:06:30 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Is he as sick and disgusting on the air as he is on usenet?
>
>SC
not quite or at at least that is what I have heard

I hear he is merely obnoxious as opposed to abolutely vile
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4153. Re: If you saw KB9RQZ next to a large mud puddle would you drive close and splash him?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 00:12:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <inuef2lf28as7au0a7ithmdfgpbo56o24l@4ax.com>
Source record: 6533
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 01 Sep 2006 00:06:39 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:hr7cf2ps68t22849p9ic8b0gdgsatplfpg@4ax.com:
>
>> On Wed, 30 Aug 2006 23:21:34 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in
>>>news:q28bf2hrvf1v7qmlc1asq55lrnkt7hfi6a@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>> On 30 Aug 2006 04:48:26 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> but you are a bad one wanting to take away the preledges other earned
>> for themselves
>
>
>You don't want to earn radio "preledges" Mark. You're too lazy to put
>forth an effort to earn them.
I have earned them

that is a fact
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4154. Re: If you had to use CW..., would Robesin still be an idiot?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 00:23:19 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1157070199.185321.280340@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6534
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Aug 30 2006 4:48 am
>
>
> >M...@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >> On 29 Aug 2006 16:21:38 -0700, hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> Woody wrote:
>
> >> >> > Since I don't know this Robitussin guy, I really can't say anything in reply
> >> >> > to this post....
> >> >> > rb
> >
> >> >> All you have to do (if you've got about 8 hours to spare) is go
> >> >> through Google archives since before they bought it from
> >> >> DejaNews several years ago. "Robitussin" has thousands of
> >> >> postings in the same venal manner he continues to use...
> >> >> especially his self-promotion as heroic USMC veteran which
> >> >> he has never, ever proved through any third-party references,
> >> >> digitized documents, or much of anything else other than his
> >> >> own AOL home page and self-description on QRZ.
> >
> >> >> He is clearly into his own fantasy of imagined superpowers
> >> >> without the aid of Stan Lee.
> >
> >> >There's some real gems in there.
> >
> >> >Lawsuits. Robeson sues people, or at least he says he does. In his
> >> >last lawsuit, he got an Upper Peninsula attorney gratis, then made
> >> >comments on who his free attorney's wife might be sleeping with.
> >> >Meanwhile, the person he is suing has yet to be served.
> >
> >> acrualywaiti ng now for 5 different lawsuits from steve
> >
> >Five??? What are they for?
>
> Class A uniforms for when Stebie joins the CAP Judge Advocate
> General's office and goes on TV. :-)

The CAP has a JAG? I think robesin just likes to collect suits.

I'm going to have to find an organization chart and locate the JAG's
office.

> >> >"Bricks through windows, slashed tires, terrorized wives." These are
> >> >bad things that happen to people who shoot their mouths off, as some
> >> >people have been told they do. Mind you, Robesin isn't the one
> >> >throwing bricks, slashing tires, or terrorizing wives. "Other people"
> >> >do this sort of stuff.
> >
> >> his other selves perhaps
> >
> >I wonder if they've all manifested themselves on RRAP, or if there are
> >others for situations at work, at home, on vacation, when in CAP
> >uniform....
>
> Oh, wow, what a thought! Major Dud could have his very own
> newsgroup featuring all his personnae! :-)

Sgt York-Robesin and Chesty Puller-Robesin. And probably Sgt Schultz-Robesin from Hogan's Heroes, and Corporal Agarn-Robesin from F-Troop.

Then there's Walter Mitty-Robesin from fiction.

> >> >"Dialing..." On Robesin's personal authority as a male nurse and
> >> >failed Marine, he can make a phone call anywhere in the USA and have
> >> >you picked up on his say so. Really.
> >
> >> yep whow much safer we are for him
> >
> >Mmmmm. I don't think it works that way. Now if it were a reverse
> >911....
>
> Hey! Rebolutionary! 911 operators call Him! :-)

He knows everything. But he'll never get the call.

He taught the 911 Ops that there's a dash between the digits, i.e., 9-1-1, and they can't find the dash on th{i]er dialpads, even in reverse.

> >> >"PUTZ, Putz, putz" Disagree with Robesin and you are a penis with a
> >> >yiddish accent.
> >
> >> and then he dinies he makes sexauly based insults
> >
> >Anyone whose read his posts for 5 minutes knows that's a lie.
>
> Absolutely true. 'Robitussin' probably has an empty sex
> life and takes out his frustrations on everyone else.
> Something on the order of Saddam Hussein now...and about
> as Yiddish as Hussein... :-)

Queezay and Oooday had a rape room. Don't know if Saddam participated.

Perhaps if robesin had been captured on one of his seven hostile forays behind enemy lines, we might have known the truth about Saddam.

> >> >"PEDOPHILE, Pedophile, pedophile" If you disagree with Robesin and you
> >> >give of your time to a youth group, you are a pervert.
> >
> >> or just breath air (witness Tood Hans and Myself)
> >
> >> >"HOMO, Homo, homo" Disagree with Robesin and you are queer, with lots
> >> >and lots of inuendo.
> >> that is you todd Han and scores of others
> >
> >Everybody's gay.
>
> ...but morsemen aren't happy. :-(

It's not the Gay 90's anymore. But maybe before the 1st decade of the
new millenium lets out, we'll have a decision from the FCC.

> >> >Robesin is a national hero, taking part in seven hostile actions as a
> >> >Marine. Yet, his only overseas assignment is Okinawa. Never been
> >> >injured, but discharged after only 18 years. Says he's retired. Says
> >> >he's disabled. Says it's not medical. Says he has been rehabilitated
> >> >by the VA. "Ask the VA."
> >
> >> well I he=ard the army in wirtiing today They afrim the existance of
> >> my old unit
> >
> >Robesin has given so many mixed messages over the conditions of his
> >"discharge" that no one be;lieves him any longer.
>
> At this stage of his fraudulent claims, without ever having
> presented ANY third-party references or documents or even
> personal photographs, he is caught up in his own conundrum.

The sad thing is, even if he were to finally tell the truth no one
would believe him.

> If Robeson comes clean and ever shows any documentation now,
> he is in for tons of remarks of his past claims that he can
> never weasel out of, not even with the gratuitous personal
> insults he normally uses against challengers.

And so, robesin can never come clean. He will go to his grave with
lies heaped up, pushing up the daisies.

> If Robeson continues on his fraudulent claims, then he is
> only repeating his present behavior, convincing no one, and
> building only his own warped fantasy. The rest of us will be
> stuck with his personal blog output.

We know better. We are stuck with nothing, the nothing that is
robesin.

> >> >Robesin got back into uniform as a Tennessee State Guard "officer" of
> >> >some kind. Very short lived career.
> >

> >> maybe that gruop held him to professional stanards unlike CAP
> >
> >Yikes!!!
>
> The duties of the Tennessee STATE Guard (not affiliated with
> the National Guard) were described by them as a sort of
> in-Tennessee 'custodian' of NG facilities if and only if the
> NG there is activated into federal service plus being a sort-of
> 'military police' for that state. As quasi-MPs they would have
> some ability to access federal records to confirm Robeson's
> military service. On the other hand, the TN STATE Guard will
> (by their own statements) accept membership by civilians.

So they would be free to raid the armory? Yikes!

> >> >Robesin got back into uniform as a Civil Air Patrol (CAP) "officer" of
> >> >some kind. Says he's a Major now. Photo of him in a sage green (not
> >> >blue) flight suit on his QRZ website. As far as I know, most CAP
> >> >volunteers are involved in training youth/Cadets. Hmmmm?
> >
> >> I know that does worry me too
> >
> >Naw. It's everybody else you have to worry about.
>
> ...unless one is under the flight path of this "pilot in
> command." :-)

I pity anyone under that flight path. They don't know the danger they
might be in.

And the CAP and American Taxpayers are liable.

> >> >Robesin got a vanity call, K4CAP, while in the CAP. The CAP HQ is at
> >> >Maxwell AFB in Montgomery, Alabama. Then he gave it up. Look where
> >> >his old call now resides.
> >
> >> >Robesin got back into uniform as a male nurse. Says he doesn't wear
> >> >the white dress uniform. Probably just regular pants and a v-neck
> >> >lavendar colo[u]red top.
> >
> >> >Robesin has military medals. He has lots of medals. Many of those
> >> >medals he didn't earn.
> >
> >> has he ever listed them?
> >
> >Nope. I'd only be interested in the ones he earned. But that would
> >just raise more questions about seven hostile actions.
>
> One doesn't get a Good Conduct Medal for fighting in a bar
> outside of Camp Pendleton... :-)

Probably why he rests his chin on his chest. Can't hold it high.

> >> >Robesin used to be an ASSISTANT NCOIC of NMC MARS on Okinawa. Doesn't
> >> >know the first thing about it. Doesn't know what a MOD is.
> >
> >> >"MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio" according to Robesin Yup, you heard it
> >> >here first.
>
> Wayyyy too many times... :-)

Froot Loops.

> >> >A well know amateur radio outlet had the owners daughter's photo
> >> >featured in a prominent amateur radio publication. Robesin said she
> >> >was selling "Something" but it wasn't radios. He's a swell guy.
>
> [NOT the way to expect a discount from that dealer...]

I'm sure that that amateur radio dealer became aware of robesin's
remarks.

> >> >Robesin can make comments all day long about how your children won't
> >> >respect you. His child died from severe birth defects and he knows
> >> >that no one will make comments about his children. He's a swell guy.
> >
> >> >Robesin needs to talk to the wives. He needs to talk to Len's wife.
> >> >He needs to talk to my wife. He needs to talk to Mark's wife. He
> >> >wants to talk to them on the phone or in person. He wants to mail
> >> >them. He wants to knock on my door to talk to my wife and posts
> >> >partial addresses so you know to expect a visit. Now he makes jokes
> >> >about Len and Mark's wife. He's a swell guy.
> >
> >> >I could go on, but I don't have 8 hours as Len suggests...
>
> No? :-) It's like reading "Psychopathia Sexualis" by Kraft-
> Ebbing...for fun... :-)

Ordinary sex is good enough for me.

> >> >So what's the real beef??? I disagreed with Robesin. He doesn't like
> >> >the way I think.
> >
> >> prehaps it was he disliked that you THINK at all
>
> Robeson imagined himself to be a "real" GSgt, a DILL sergeant
> who GIVES orders and is immune to any criticsm. :-)

Sorry Anderson Amateur Radio >>>IS NOT<<< Boot Camp!

> >I'm not a Morse bot.
> >
> >> >So what's the real, Real beef? I don't think the Morse Code exam
> >> >should be retained for an HF license in amateur radio.
> >
> >> me neither
> >

> >> looks liek we will win
> >
> >With the tons and tons of sour grapes from these Morse Forever folks,
> >no one has won anything.
>
> The morsemen have been so brainwashed into believing their own
> efficacy in radio that it is impossible to "win" anything,
> certainly not with the ARRL publishing house constantly implying
> that morsemanship is the "best" skill an amateur could have.
> Amateur morsemen are so wrapped up in their personal skill that
> they are unable to come to terms with any amateur or
> professional radio person who doesn't care for it. To them,
> US amateur radio is ALL ABOUT manual radiotelegraphy. Tsk.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

The day of reckoning is coming.

4155. Re: You've Got Mail...But No Job! Fun at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 01:07:07 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <uJLJg.27672$C6.23319@bignews1.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 6535
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1157024765.544514.143820@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Tharsheblowsboys wrote:
(snip)

Walmart employees are usually a tad more
> intellegent than your average Radio Shack stooge.

You noticed that, too, eh? ;)

>

4156. Re: Anyone ever hear AB8MQ on the radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 01:39:05 UTC
From: get help <gethelp@get.help>
Message-ID: <SPMIT017833.243141558F9@get.help>
Source record: 6536
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.fan.art-bell,ba.broadcast,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Sounds just like you, Mark.

On Thu, 31 Aug 2006 20:12:25 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com <Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote:
> On Fri, 01 Sep 2006 00:06:30 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >Is he as sick and disgusting on the air as he is on usenet?
> >
> >SC
> not quite or at at least that is what I have heard
>
> I hear he is merely obnoxious as opposed to abolutely vile
> http://marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

4157. Re: Anyone ever hear AB8MQ on the radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 01:41:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <9u3ff2ttab7hvkog85b7pv4gu7fm3g30c4@4ax.com>
Source record: 6537
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.fan.art-bell,ba.broadcast,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 1 Sep 2006 01:39:05 +0000 (UTC), get help <gethelp@get.help>
wrote:

>Sounds just like you, Mark.
realy and how time have I ever gone on voice?

I rarely do
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4158. Re: If you had to use CW..., would Robesin still be an idiot?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 02:28:50 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1157077730.650881.206430@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6538
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Aug 30 2006 4:48 am
> >
> >
> > >M...@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> > >> On 29 Aug 2006 16:21:38 -0700, hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> >> Woody wrote:
> >
> > >> >> > Since I don't know this Robitussin guy, I really can't say anything in reply
> > >> >> > to this post....
> > >> >> > rb
> > >
> > >> >> All you have to do (if you've got about 8 hours to spare) is go
> > >> >> through Google archives since before they bought it from
> > >> >> DejaNews several years ago. "Robitussin" has thousands of
> > >> >> postings in the same venal manner he continues to use...
> > >> >> especially his self-promotion as heroic USMC veteran which
> > >> >> he has never, ever proved through any third-party references,
> > >> >> digitized documents, or much of anything else other than his
> > >> >> own AOL home page and self-description on QRZ.
> > >
> > >> >> He is clearly into his own fantasy of imagined superpowers
> > >> >> without the aid of Stan Lee.
> > >
> > >> >There's some real gems in there.
> > >
> > >> >Lawsuits. Robeson sues people, or at least he says he does. In his
> > >> >last lawsuit, he got an Upper Peninsula attorney gratis, then made
> > >> >comments on who his free attorney's wife might be sleeping with.
> > >> >Meanwhile, the person he is suing has yet to be served.
> > >
> > >> acrualywaiti ng now for 5 different lawsuits from steve
> > >
> > >Five??? What are they for?
> >
> > Class A uniforms for when Stebie joins the CAP Judge Advocate
> > General's office and goes on TV. :-)
>
>
> The CAP has a JAG? I think robesin just likes to collect suits.

He loves UNIFORMS, Brian, not suits. ANY uniform. :-)

> I'm going to have to find an organization chart and locate the JAG's
> office.

I was jesting on the "JAG" part. :-) Robeson-Robesin has been on
such a jag trying to impress folks (as a fraud) that his posts have
enough material for at least two seasons of "JAG - a personal
story."

> > >> >"Bricks through windows, slashed tires, terrorized wives." These are
> > >> >bad things that happen to people who shoot their mouths off, as some
> > >> >people have been told they do. Mind you, Robesin isn't the one
> > >> >throwing bricks, slashing tires, or terrorizing wives. "Other people"
> > >> >do this sort of stuff.
> > >
> > >> his other selves perhaps
> > >
> > >I wonder if they've all manifested themselves on RRAP, or if there are
> > >others for situations at work, at home, on vacation, when in CAP
> > >uniform....
> >
> > Oh, wow, what a thought! Major Dud could have his very own
> > newsgroup featuring all his personnae! :-)
>
> Sgt York-Robesin and Chesty Puller-Robesin. And probably Sgt
> Schultz-Robesin from Hogan's Heroes, and Corporal Agarn-Robesin from
> F-Troop.
>
> Then there's Walter Mitty-Robesin from fiction.

Don't forget the skirt-wearing guy from MASH. :-)

> > >> >"Dialing..." On Robesin's personal authority as a male nurse and
> > >> >failed Marine, he can make a phone call anywhere in the USA and have
> > >> >you picked up on his say so. Really.
> > >
> > >> yep whow much safer we are for him
> > >
> > >Mmmmm. I don't think it works that way. Now if it were a reverse
> > >911....
> >
> > Hey! Rebolutionary! 911 operators call Him! :-)
>
> He knows everything. But he'll never get the call.
>
> He taught the 911 Ops that there's a dash between the digits, i.e.,
> 9-1-1, and they can't find the dash on th{i]er dialpads, even in
> reverse.

Sunnuvagun!

> > >> >"PUTZ, Putz, putz" Disagree with Robesin and you are a penis with a
> > >> >yiddish accent.
> > >
> > >> and then he dinies he makes sexauly based insults
> > >
> > >Anyone whose read his posts for 5 minutes knows that's a lie.
> >
> > Absolutely true. 'Robitussin' probably has an empty sex
> > life and takes out his frustrations on everyone else.
> > Something on the order of Saddam Hussein now...and about
> > as Yiddish as Hussein... :-)
>
> Queezay and Oooday had a rape room. Don't know if Saddam participated.

Them's Hussein sons? Could they do morse code? :-)

> Perhaps if robesin had been captured on one of his seven hostile forays
> behind enemy lines, we might have known the truth about Saddam.
>
> > >> >"PEDOPHILE, Pedophile, pedophile" If you disagree with Robesin and you
> > >> >give of your time to a youth group, you are a pervert.
> > >
> > >> or just breath air (witness Tood Hans and Myself)
> > >
> > >> >"HOMO, Homo, homo" Disagree with Robesin and you are queer, with lots
> > >> >and lots of inuendo.
> > >> that is you todd Han and scores of others
> > >
> > >Everybody's gay.
> >
> > ...but morsemen aren't happy. :-(
>
> It's not the Gay 90's anymore. But maybe before the 1st decade of the
> new millenium lets out, we'll have a decision from the FCC.

Maybe. Who knows what the ARRL backroom boys are doing in DC?

> > >> >Robesin is a national hero, taking part in seven hostile actions as a
> > >> >Marine. Yet, his only overseas assignment is Okinawa. Never been
> > >> >injured, but discharged after only 18 years. Says he's retired. Says
> > >> >he's disabled. Says it's not medical. Says he has been rehabilitated
> > >> >by the VA. "Ask the VA."
> > >
> > >> well I he=ard the army in wirtiing today They afrim the existance of
> > >> my old unit
> > >
> > >Robesin has given so many mixed messages over the conditions of his
> > >"discharge" that no one be;lieves him any longer.
> >
> > At this stage of his fraudulent claims, without ever having
> > presented ANY third-party references or documents or even
> > personal photographs, he is caught up in his own conundrum.

>
> The sad thing is, even if he were to finally tell the truth no one
> would believe him.

That's one of my points...

> > If Robeson comes clean and ever shows any documentation now,
> > he is in for tons of remarks of his past claims that he can
> > never weasel out of, not even with the gratuitous personal
> > insults he normally uses against challengers.
>
> And so, robesin can never come clean. He will go to his grave with
> lies heaped up, pushing up the daisies.

"Hedgerow flowers," Brian, also known as weeds...

> > If Robeson continues on his fraudulent claims, then he is
> > only repeating his present behavior, convincing no one, and
> > building only his own warped fantasy. The rest of us will be
> > stuck with his personal blog output.
>
> We know better. We are stuck with nothing, the nothing that is
> robesin.

We got plenty o' nuthin... [from the song]

> > >> >Robesin got back into uniform as a Tennessee State Guard "officer" of
> > >> >some kind. Very short lived career.
> > >
> > >> maybe that gruop held him to professional stanards unlike CAP
> > >
> > >Yikes!!!
> >
> > The duties of the Tennessee STATE Guard (not affiliated with
> > the National Guard) were described by them as a sort of
> > in-Tennessee 'custodian' of NG facilities if and only if the
> > NG there is activated into federal service plus being a sort-of
> > 'military police' for that state. As quasi-MPs they would have
> > some ability to access federal records to confirm Robeson's
> > military service. On the other hand, the TN STATE Guard will
> > (by their own statements) accept membership by civilians.
>
> So they would be free to raid the armory? Yikes!

The armories would no doubt be empty if full activation happens.

Prolly better quarters for their monthly meetings, though...

> > > >> >Robesin got back into uniform as a Civil Air Patrol (CAP) "officer" of
> > >> >some kind. Says he's a Major now. Photo of him in a sage green (not
> > >> >blue) flight suit on his QRZ website. As far as I know, most CAP
> > >> >volunteers are involved in training youth/Cadets. Hmmmm?
> > >

> > >> I know that does worry me too
> > >
> > >Naw. It's everybody else you have to worry about.
> >
> > ...unless one is under the flight path of this "pilot in
> > command." :-)
>
> I pity anyone under that flight path. They don't know the danger they
> might be in.
>
> And the CAP and American Taxpayers are liable.

Well, be thankful we aren't in TN.

> > >> >Robesin got a vanity call, K4CAP, while in the CAP. The CAP
HQ is at
> > >> >Maxwell AFB in Montgomery, Alabama. Then he gave it up. Look where
> > >> >his old call now resides.
> > >
> > >> >Robesin got back into uniform as a male nurse. Says he doesn't wear
> > >> >the white dress uniform. Probably just regular pants and a v-neck
> > >> >lavendar colo[u]red top.
> > >
> > >> >Robesin has military medals. He has lots of medals. Many of those
> > >> >medals he didn't earn.
> > >
> > >> has he ever listed them?
> > >
> > >Nope. I'd only be interested in the ones he earned. But that would
> > >just raise more questions about seven hostile actions.
> >
> > One doesn't get a Good Conduct Medal for fighting in a bar
> > outside of Camp Pendleton... :-)
>
> Probably why he rests his chin on his chest. Can't hold it high.

Sounds plausible...

> > >> >Robesin used to be an ASSISTANT NCOIC of NMC MARS on Okinawa. Doesn't
> > >> >know the first thing about it. Doesn't know what a MOD is.
> > >
> > >> >"MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio" according to Robesin Yup, you heard it
> > >> >here first.
> >
> > Wayyyy too many times... :-)
>
> Froot Loops.

We've been exposed to his cereal killing of the truth...

> > >> >A well know amateur radio outlet had the owners daughter's photo
> > >> >featured in a prominent amateur radio publication. Robesin said she

> > >> >was selling "Something" but it wasn't radios. He's a swell guy.
> >
> > [NOT the way to expect a discount from that dealer...]
>
> I'm sure that that amateur radio dealer became aware of robesin's
> remarks.

Tsk on me, I missed those exchanges.

> > >> >Robesin can make comments all day long about how your children won't
> > >> >respect you. His child died from severe birth defects and he knows
> > >> >that no one will make comments about his children. He's a swell guy.
> > >
> > >> >Robesin needs to talk to the wives. He needs to talk to Len's wife.
> > >> >He needs to talk to my wife. He needs to talk to Mark's wife. He
> > >> >wants to talk to them on the phone or in person. He wants to mail
> > >> >them. He wants to knock on my door to talk to my wife and posts
> > >> >partial addresses so you know to expect a visit. Now he makes jokes
> > >> >about Len and Mark's wife. He's a swell guy.
> > >
> > >> >I could go on, but I don't have 8 hours as Len suggests...
> >
> > No? :-) It's like reading "Psychopathia Sexualis" by Kraft-
> > Ebbing...for fun... :-)
>
> Ordinary sex is good enough for me.

I think Robeson wants to experience OTHERS' sex lives...

> > >> >So what's the real beef??? I disagreed with Robesin. He doesn't like
> > >> >the way I think.
> > >
> > >> prehaps it was he disliked that you THINK at all
> >
> > Robeson imagined himself to be a "real" GSgt, a DILL sergeant
> > who GIVES orders and is immune to any criticsm. :-)
>
> Sorry Anderson Amateur Radio >>>IS NOT<<< Boot Camp!

Guess the wannabe DILL sergeant told me, huh? :-)

> > >I'm not a Morse bot.
> > >
> > >> >So what's the real, Real beef? I don't think the Morse Code exam
> > >> >should be retained for an HF license in amateur radio.
> > >
> > >> me neither
> > >
> > >> looks liek we will win
> > >
> > >With the tons and tons of sour grapes from these Morse Forever folks,
> > >no one has won anything.

> >
> > The morsemen have been so brainwashed into believing their own
> > efficacy in radio that it is impossible to "win" anything,
> > certainly not with the ARRL publishing house constantly implying
> > that morsemanship is the "best" skill an amateur could have.
> > Amateur morsemen are so wrapped up in their personal skill that
> > they are unable to come to terms with any amateur or
> > professional radio person who doesn't care for it. To them,
> > US amateur radio is ALL ABOUT manual radiotelegraphy. Tsk.
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> The day of reckoning is coming.

Ah reckon y'all are raht... :-)

4159. Re: You've Got Mail...But No Job! Fun at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 02:35:42 UTC
From: m II <c@in.the.hat>
Message-ID: <20NJg.5739$rd7.3255@edtnps89>
Source record: 6539
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

L. wrote:

> I guess the adage applies - it IS a "Dog eat dog" world out there.

Count your blessings. In a Totalitarian State, it's exactly the opposite!

mike

4160. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 02:38:31 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1157078311.728705.311920@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6540
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Rick Frazier wrote:
> You've insisted on posting this crap so many times just about anyone
> with more than two or three brain cells would be sick of it by now. Why
> don't you just crawl back under the rock you crawled out from under?
>
> At this point, even a relative moron should get the point that there are
> a bunch of people that really don't give a damn about CW. That you do is
> not the point, but your continual posts about it are the point.
> Therefore, your continual posts lead me to believe you should be seeking
> mental help. If you don't think you need therapy, how about you get a
> life and if you are so Pro-CW, why aren't you on the bands right now?
>
> Though I'm an Extra and passed the CW requirements shouldn't make a
> difference if I choose to operate phone. If the requirements change and
> new Hams aren't required to take the code test, am I going to be pissed?
> Hell no, because I took the tests when I did because I wanted to be a
> productive part of this hobby, not wait for an easier ticket in. I
> learned it, just as a huge number of others have, but none of us wish to
> push it like you seem to want to. Even the most die-hard CW fanatic is
> spending his time on the bands, not on the internet trolling for arguments.
>
> Now, to provide a response to your query: It is extremely unlikely that
> with all the means we have for contact in the case of an emergency, that
> CW would be the only way to make contact, particularly if you live in
> anything near an urban evironment in mainland US. First and foremost,
> there has to be someone else that will respond to you, and given the
> things going on with the hobby lately, I doubt that CW will be the
> safety net you'd like to believe it is.
>
> For myself, I have HF and VHF in both the house and vehicle, and have
> the VHF radios all programmed with all of the local police and fire
> frequencies. In a true emergency, I wouldn't lose a moment's time
> worrying about whether it was legal or not before I keyed up on a public
> service/fire/police frequency if it meant saving somebody. Would CW
> help? Not very damn likely, as first I'd have to key up the HF rig, get
> to someone that could relay, pass a message, hope like hell that they
> actually did call the proper authority, (and were believed), and so on.
> You can bet I'll get attention right away if I key up on any of the
> public service frequencies, and they damn well will respond, if for no
> other reason than to find me. If that's what it takes, so be it, they
> can fight it out in court later, and I'd get so much media attention
> they wouldn't dare push it very far. On the other hand, the likelihood
> of actually being believed using standard, HF and CW procedures, or

> getting help in a timely manner to actually save a life is an extremely
> remote chance...
>
> I rarely filter anyone, but you've definitely earned the "plonk" of
> being filtered. Say Bye-Bye ! ! !
>
> --Rick AH7H
>
> Slow Code wrote:
>
> > You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life, but you should learn
> > it anyway just in case. Ham radio is like a spare tire, when you need it
> > you hope it's not flat. CW is like the air in the tire. I know I don't
> > ever want to hear someone say: "Why couldn't you get help, I though hams
> > were supposed to know morse code."
> >
> > Sc

Thank you, Rick! You spoke volumes of reality in this new
millennium.

"Slow Code" is a relic of the 1930s, mentally over 70 years in the
past.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4161. Re: If you had to use CW..., would Robesin still be an idiot?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 03:27:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <6r9ff2l2jl3qv44cff6bov8jtt6q5ndr15@4ax.com>
Source record: 6541
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 31 Aug 2006 19:28:50 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>

>> Queezay and Oooday had a rape room. Don't know if Saddam participated.
>
> Them's Hussein sons? Could they do morse code? :-)
nah but I am sure anytime they might want someone able to do morse
would havebeen found for them
>
>> Perhaps if robesin had been captured on one of his seven hostile forays
>> behind enemy lines, we might have known the truth about Saddam.
>>
>> > >> >"PEDOPHILE, Pedophile, pedophile" If you disagree with Robesin and you
>> > >> >give of your time to a youth group, you are a pervert.
>> > >
>> > >> or just breath air (witness Tood Hans and Myself)
>> > >
>> > >> >"HOMO, Homo, homo" Disagree with Robesin and you are queer, with lots
>> > >> >and lots of inuendo.
>> > >> that is you todd Han and scores of others
>> > >
>> > >Everybody's gay.
>> >
>> > ...but morsemen aren't happy. :-(
>>
>> It's not the Gay 90's anymore. But maybe before the 1st decade of the
>> new millenium lets out, we'll have a decision from the FCC.
>
> Maybe. Who knows what the ARRL backroom boys are doing in DC?
>
>
>> > >> >Robesin is a national hero, taking part in seven hostile actions as a
>> > >> >Marine. Yet, his only overseas assignment is Okinawa. Never been
>> > >> >injured, but discharged after only 18 years. Says he's retired. Says
>> > >> >he's disabled. Says it's not medical. Says he has been rehabilitated
>> > >> >by the VA. "Ask the VA."
>> > >
>> > >> well I he=ard the army in wirtiing today They afrim the existance of
>> > >> my old unit
>> > >
>> > >Robesin has given so many mixed messages over the conditions of his
>> > >"discharge" that no one be;lieves him any longer.

>> >
>> > At this stage of his fraudulent claims, without ever having
>> > presented ANY third-party references or documents or even
>> > personal photographs, he is caught up in his own conundrum.
>>
>> The sad thing is, even if he were to finally tell the truth no one
>> would believe him.
>
> That's one of my points...

indeed ut if he told something convincing enough I might pretend to
believe just for the sake of peace
>
>> > If Robeson comes clean and ever shows any documentation now,
>> > he is in for tons of remarks of his past claims that he can
>> > never weasel out of, not even with the gratuitous personal
>> > insults he normally uses against challengers.
>>
>> And so, robesin can never come clean. He will go to his grave with
>> lies heaped up, pushing up the daisies.
>
> "Hedgerow flowers," Brian, also known as weeds...
>
>> > If Robeson continues on his fraudulent claims, then he is
>> > only repeating his present behavior, convincing no one, and
>> > building only his own warped fantasy. The rest of us will be
>> > stuck with his personal blog output.
>>
>> We know better. We are stuck with nothing, the nothing that is
>> robesin.
>
> We got plenty o' nuthin... [from the song]
>
>
>> > >> >Robesin got back into uniform as a Tennessee State Guard "officer" of
>> > >> >some kind. Very short lived career.
>> > >
>> > >> maybe that gruop held him to professional stanards unlike CAP
>> > >
>> > >Yikes!!!
>> >
>> > The duties of the Tennessee STATE Guard (not affiliated with
>> > the National Guard) were described by them as a sort of
>> > in-Tennessee 'custodian' of NG facilities if and only if the
>> > NG there is activated into federal service plus being a sort-of
>> > 'military police' for that state. As quasi-MPs they would have
>> > some ability to access federal records to confirm Robeson's
>> > military service. On the other hand, the TN STATE Guard will
>> > (by their own statements) accept membership by civilians.
>>

>> So they would be free to raid the armory? Yikes!
>
> The armories would no doubt be empty if full activation happens.

well they would be defending things like the kitchen sinks and the
bathrooms
>
> Prolly better quarters for their monthly meetings, though...
>
>
>> > > >> >Robesin got back into uniform as a Civil Air Patrol (CAP) "officer" of
>> > >> >some kind. Says he's a Major now. Photo of him in a sage green (not
>> > >> >blue) flight suit on his QRZ website. As far as I know, most CAP
>> > >> >volunteers are involved in training youth/Cadets. Hmmmm?
>> > >
>> > >> I know that does worry me too
>> > >
>> > >Naw. It's everybody else you have to worry about.
>> >
>> > ...unless one is under the flight path of this "pilot in
>> > command." :-)
>>
>> I pity anyone under that flight path. They don't know the danger they
>> might be in.
>>
>> And the CAP and American Taxpayers are liable.
>
> Well, be thankful we aren't in TN.
amen Alah be praise Caththulu be thanked
>
>
> > > >> >Robesin got a vanity call, K4CAP, while in the CAP. The CAP
>HQ is at
>> > >> >Maxwell AFB in Montgomery, Alabama. Then he gave it up. Look where
>> > >> >his old call now resides.
>> > >
>> > >> >Robesin got back into uniform as a male nurse. Says he doesn't wear
>> > >> >the white dress uniform. Probably just regular pants and a v-neck
>> > >> >lavendar colo[u]red top.
>> > >
>> > >> >Robesin has military medals. He has lots of medals. Many of those
>> > >> >medals he didn't earn.
>> > >
>> > >> has he ever listed them?
>> > >
>> > >Nope. I'd only be interested in the ones he earned. But that would
>> > >just raise more questions about seven hostile actions.
>> >
>> > One doesn't get a Good Conduct Medal for fighting in a bar
>> > outside of Camp Pendleton... :-)

>>
>> Probably why he rests his chin on his chest. Can't hold it high.
>
> Sounds plausible...
>
>> > >> >Robesin used to be an ASSISTANT NCOIC of NMC MARS on Okinawa. Doesn't
>> > >> >know the first thing about it. Doesn't know what a MOD is.
>> > >
>> > >> >"MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio" according to Robesin Yup, you heard it
>> > >> >here first.
>> >
>> > Wayyyy too many times... :-)
>>
>> Froot Loops.
>
> We've been exposed to his cereal killing of the truth...
>
>
>> > >> >A well know amateur radio outlet had the owners daughter's photo
>> > >> >featured in a prominent amateur radio publication. Robesin said she
>> > >> >was selling "Something" but it wasn't radios. He's a swell guy.
>> >
>> > [NOT the way to expect a discount from that dealer...]
>>
>> I'm sure that that amateur radio dealer became aware of robesin's
>> remarks.
>
> Tsk on me, I missed those exchanges.

me too
>
>
>> > >> >Robesin can make comments all day long about how your children won't
>> > >> >respect you. His child died from severe birth defects and he knows
>> > >> >that no one will make comments about his children. He's a swell guy.
>> > >
>> > >> >Robesin needs to talk to the wives. He needs to talk to Len's wife.
>> > >> >He needs to talk to my wife. He needs to talk to Mark's wife. He
>> > >> >wants to talk to them on the phone or in person. He wants to mail
>> > >> >them. He wants to knock on my door to talk to my wife and posts
>> > >> >partial addresses so you know to expect a visit. Now he makes jokes
>> > >> >about Len and Mark's wife. He's a swell guy.
>> > >
>> > >> >I could go on, but I don't have 8 hours as Len suggests...
>> >
>> > No? :-) It's like reading "Psychopathia Sexualis" by Kraft-
>> > Ebbing...for fun... :-)
>>
>> Ordinary sex is good enough for me.
>

> I think Robeson wants to experience OTHERS' sex lives...

yep mine esp it seems and with him insisting mie is ony with other
guys it should make everyone clear Robesin does not have sexaul issues
his has a subscription
>
>> > >> >So what's the real beef??? I disagreed with Robesin. He doesn't like
>> > >> >the way I think.
>> > >
>> > >> prehaps it was he disliked that you THINK at all
>> >
>> > Robeson imagined himself to be a "real" GSgt, a DILL sergeant
>> > who GIVES orders and is immune to any criticsm. :-)
>>
>> Sorry Anderson Amateur Radio >>>IS NOT<<< Boot Camp!
>
> Guess the wannabe DILL sergeant told me, huh? :-)

well real boot camp would pleasenter than what Robesin would devise

I know Boot camp was accuauly the most fun I had in the army
>
>> > >I'm not a Morse bot.
>> > >
>> > >> >So what's the real, Real beef? I don't think the Morse Code exam
>> > >> >should be retained for an HF license in amateur radio.
>> > >
>> > >> me neither
>> > >
>> > >> looks liek we will win
>> > >
>> > >With the tons and tons of sour grapes from these Morse Forever folks,
>> > >no one has won anything.
>> >
>> > The morsemen have been so brainwashed into believing their own
>> > efficacy in radio that it is impossible to "win" anything,
>> > certainly not with the ARRL publishing house constantly implying
>> > that morsemanship is the "best" skill an amateur could have.
>> > Amateur morsemen are so wrapped up in their personal skill that
>> > they are unable to come to terms with any amateur or
>> > professional radio person who doesn't care for it. To them,
>> > US amateur radio is ALL ABOUT manual radiotelegraphy. Tsk.
>> >
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>> The day of reckoning is coming.
>
> Ah reckon y'all are raht... :-)

yep
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4162. Re: You've Got Mail...But No Job! Fun at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 05:42:53 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <ed8h20$ig0$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 6542
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
--
Say no to institutionalized interference.
Just say NO to HD/IBOC!
"Jerry" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:uJLJg.27672$C6.23319@bignews1.bellsouth.net...
>
> "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1157024765.544514.143820@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> Tharsheblowsboys wrote:
> (snip)
>
> Walmart employees are usually a tad more
>> intellegent than your average Radio Shack stooge.
>
> You noticed that, too, eh? ;)
>

And that's just the greeters..
```

4163. scannerfrequenties

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 11:30:06 UTC
From: Rinus <r.jager1@home.nl>
Message-ID: <ed95k4$vb0$1@news6.zwoll1.ov.home.nl>
Source record: 6543

wie kan mij relevante scannerfrequenties geven voor de gemeente Heerlen e.o.bvd
r.jager1@home.nl

--------------= Posted using GrabIt =----------------
------= Binary Usenet downloading made easy =---------
-= Get GrabIt for free from http://www.shemes.com/ =-

4164. Re: Anyone ever hear N9OGL on the radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 11:55:59 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1157111759.836491.283850@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6544
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Sniveling Cunt wrote:
> Is he as sick and disgusting on the air as he is on usenet?

Poor Toad, your sockpuppet isn't working, now go back to using your own name and your filthy and disgusting language and cartoon kiddie porn.

4165. Re: Anyone ever hear KB9RQZ on the radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 11:57:16 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1157111836.314929.172290@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6545
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On Fri, 1 Sep 2006 01:39:05 +0000 (UTC), get help <gethelp@get.help>
> wrote:
>
> >Sounds just like you, Mark.
> realy and how time have I ever gone on voice?
>
> I rarely do

Is that because you have a lisp from all your cocksucking?

4166. Re: Anyone ever hear AB8MQ on the radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 11:58:20 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1157111900.867494.20950@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6546
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.policy

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> not quite or at at least that is what I have heard
>
> I hear he is merely obnoxious as opposed to abolutely vile

You claim he has no signal, Markie. Another lie?

4167. Re: finaly figured it out the meow is just Paul effort to insure the his moderated nNG gets launched

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 12:08:01 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1157112481.867313.111420@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6547
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old friendless sodomite wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>
> > Hey, Markie, tell us how you got sodomized and liked it.
> this is no t a porn gruop you find gruop to trun you on that way

Nobody said it was, and besides you always offer your sodomy
experiences without prompting, you illiterate FAGGOT.

4168. Markie's a kook on parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 12:20:39 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1157113239.054028.5880@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an old friendless sodomite wrote:
> kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friendless sodomite wrote:
> > > kook on parade
> >
> > Have any beer enemas lately, Marqueer?
>
> why are you that hard up for booze?

Not everybody "recycles" it like you do, Markie. Does drinking that
used enema beer taste great or is it less filling to you?

4169. Re: Anyone ever hear AB8MQ on the radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 13:01:26 UTC
From: get help <gethelp@get.help>
Message-ID: <IPOJW17833.243141558F9@get.help>
Source record: 6549
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.fan.art-bell,ba.broadcast,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Oh sure you do. The locals talk to you often with 100 mw rigs when
you think you're doing EME. They have even put the recordings on
their blogs.

get help
http://marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

In message <1156878272.702034.176080@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>,
kb9rqz@hotmail.com declared "yes so I have?" "what is it to YOU" when
Secwet Woger said "Marky admits he's been assfucked!"

On Thu, 31 Aug 2006 21:41:54 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com <Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote:
> On Fri, 1 Sep 2006 01:39:05 +0000 (UTC), get help <gethelp@get.help>
> wrote:
>
> >Sounds just like you, Mark.
> realy and how time have I ever gone on voice?
>
> I rarely do
> http://marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

4170. Jimmy the Stalker (was: Patsy Ramsey ransom note, of course)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 14:07:25 UTC
From: *VOTE* Republican! <anon@comments.header>
Message-ID: <YO7G18YD38961.4218171296@twistycreek.com>
Source record: 6550
Cross-posted to: alt.religion.christian,sci.astro,alt.horology,alt.cellular,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 1 Sep 2006, Anonyma <anon-bounces@deuxpi.ca> wrote:
> What headers?

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----

Oops! I missed it. My old three-layered killfile system (i.e. using Nfilter(NewsProxy), Xnews, & Hamster) :-) was getting so large and complicated some months ago, with so many obsolete entries etc., I cleaned house and started from scratch.

But the first entries in my new killfile are the stalkers, spammers, and the like: most of which are angry Anti-Christ Liberal "democrats", but, apparently, one of which is an angry Anti-Christ something else...

But if it really does vote Republican (only our N.S.A. & God & the psychic detectives, et al, and it [itself], know for sure -- I skip-traced it this morning, and I'm convinced it probably does), then I don't care if it believes in the tooth fairy, of if it believes in the "men-to-the-moon" fairy tale, as long as it votes Republican in all cases (J. Lieberman is a conspicuous exception to the otherwise steadfast rule, who will be re-elected with the HELP of Republicans). :-)

Enjoy!
Daniel Joseph Min

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
iQA/AwUBRPg5j5ljD7YrHM/nEQK6CQCgoPnX/9dG+fpjbK91ztP228oWgysAn1qJ
ZgI2evckzoL2Qdt3W7fklLF2
=jGnO
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

4171. Re: Anyone ever hear AB8MQ on the radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 15:57:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <d3mgf2dv2e9o5g24dm3o7qci30jj52c2te@4ax.com>
Source record: 6401
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 1 Sep 2006 04:58:20 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>> not quite or at at least that is what I have heard
>>
>> I hear he is merely obnoxious as opposed to abolutely vile
>
>You claim he has no signal, Markie. Another lie?
nope
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4172. kook on parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 16:04:12 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1157126652.148188.59360@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6402
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>
kook on parade

4173. Re: finaly figured it out the meow is just Paul effort to insure the his moderated nNG gets launched

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 16:20:31 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157127631.486135.32050@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6403
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>
> Nobody said it was, and besides you always offer your sodomy
> experiences without prompting, you illiterate FAGGOT.
sure you are when you post all your forgeries doing it yopu are the one
(along with robeson) trying to turn this into a sex gruop

4174. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 16:22:29 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157127749.006189.43190@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6404
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> Rick Frazier wrote:
> > You've insisted on posting this crap so many times just about anyone
> > with more than two or three brain cells would be sick of it by now. Why
> > don't you just crawl back under the rock you crawled out from under?

> > --Rick AH7H
> >
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >
> > > You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life, but you should learn
> > > it anyway just in case. Ham radio is like a spare tire, when you need it
> > > you hope it's not flat. CW is like the air in the tire. I know I don't
> > > ever want to hear someone say: "Why couldn't you get help, I though hams
> > > were supposed to know morse code."
> > >
> > > Sc
>
> Thank you, Rick! You spoke volumes of reality in this new
> millennium.
>
> "Slow Code" is a relic of the 1930s, mentally over 70 years in the
> past.
indeed it is interesting that Slow code seems stuck in era before he
was born do I have to apologize to Sceintology nuts
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

4175. Re: Anyone ever hear AB8MQ on the radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 16:56:50 UTC
From: "Mr. Presumptive" <sizeDDbloobes@yahoobitesazz.com>
Message-ID: <5a4c0$44f86673$d1cc7b31$735@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 6405
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.fan.art-bell,ba.broadcast,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:aQKJg.4155$bM.1697@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Is he as sick and disgusting on the air as he is on usenet?
>
> SC

Someone said he allegedly was a big-time QRM'er of the
MCARS weekend net on 7.258 but about a Year ago
after local hams DF'ed the QRM'ing signals to his general area.

4176. Re: You've Got Mail...But No Job! Fun at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 17:41:05 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <Webmaster@WH2T>
Message-ID: <dd3ee$44f86fd1$4e151ba$21403@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 6406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"m II" <c@in.the.hat> wrote in message news:20NJg.5739$rd7.3255@edtnps89...
> L. wrote:
>
>> I guess the adage applies - it IS a "Dog eat dog" world out there.
>
>
> Count your blessings. In a Totalitarian State, it's exactly the opposite!
>
>
>
> mike

Just wondering , what's the opposite of a "Dog eat dog" world ?
Ace - WH2T

4177. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 17:45:27 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1157132727.151321.78360@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6407
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old friend wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > Rick Frazier wrote:
> > > You've insisted on posting this crap so many times just about anyone
> > > with more than two or three brain cells would be sick of it by now. Why
> > > don't you just crawl back under the rock you crawled out from under?
> >
> > > --Rick AH7H
> > >
> > > Slow Code wrote:
> > >
> > > > You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life, but you should learn
> > > > it anyway just in case. Ham radio is like a spare tire, when you need it
> > > > you hope it's not flat. CW is like the air in the tire. I know I don't
> > > > ever want to hear someone say: "Why couldn't you get help, I though hams
> > > > were supposed to know morse code."
> > > >
> > > > Sc
> > >
> > Thank you, Rick! You spoke volumes of reality in this new
> > millennium.
> >
> > "Slow Code" is a relic of the 1930s, mentally over 70 years in the
> > past.
> indeed it is interesting that Slow code seems stuck in era before he
> was born do I have to apologize to Sceintology nuts

Mark, you don't have to apologize to anyone.

If Slow Code isn't a throwback to 7 decades ago, he is one example
of a thoroughly brainwashed morseman imprinted with the Beliefs
of 70 years ago...and all the mythos of "code saves lives" that
spread like kudzu after the Titanic disaster of 1912.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4178. Re: You've Got Mail...But No Job! Fun at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 17:47:37 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Zm_Jg.5454$yO7.1403@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 6408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dr.Ace wrote:
> Just wondering , what's the opposite of a "Dog eat dog" world ?

Isn't god the opposite of dog?
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

4179. Re: You've Got Mail...But No Job! Fun at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 17:50:27 UTC
From: John Sheatsley <jsheatsley@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Dp_Jg.4505$bM.2557@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>
> Just wondering , what's the opposite of a "Dog eat dog" world ?
> Ace - WH2T
>

A "World eat world" dog?

Regards,
John

4180. Re: If you had to use CW..., would Robesin still be an idiot?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 20:11:32 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1157141492.478822.244820@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6410
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: M...@kb9rqz.com on Thurs, Aug 31 2006 8:27 pm

><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:

>>> > At this stage of his fraudulent claims, without ever having
>>> > presented ANY third-party references or documents or even
>>> > personal photographs, he is caught up in his own conundrum.
>
>>> The sad thing is, even if he were to finally tell the truth no one
>>> would believe him.
>
>> That's one of my points...
>
>indeed ut if he told something convincing enough I might pretend to
>believe just for the sake of peace

So far, Robeson has NOT been convincing. He IMPLIES and ALLUDES
to things but hardly ever states anything outright and then
never with any referencible sources that anyone can access.

Robeson has tried to construct an edifice, indeed almost a
temple in which we should all venerate his mighty
accomplishments AND, at the same time, has leveled barrages
of personal insults and deragatory accusations against all
challengers. That latter action negated his mighty
constructs and established his fraud. That 'edifice' has
to be torn down...if for no other reason than being an
eyesore to us in his field of dreams.

>>> > The duties of the Tennessee STATE Guard (not affiliated with
>>> > the National Guard) were described by them as a sort of
>>> > in-Tennessee 'custodian' of NG facilities if and only if the
>>> > NG there is activated into federal service plus being a sort-of
>>> > 'military police' for that state. As quasi-MPs they would have
>>> > some ability to access federal records to confirm Robeson's
>>> > military service. On the other hand, the TN STATE Guard will
>>> > (by their own statements) accept membership by civilians.
>
>>> So they would be free to raid the armory? Yikes!
>
>> The armories would no doubt be empty if full activation happens.
>
>well they would be defending things like the kitchen sinks and the
>bathrooms

In reality, the STATE Guards (not all states have them) are
little more than state political constructs to enable a few
to play soldier and otherwise establish their machismo. Part
of that is the ever-present "conspiracy theory" coupled with
some kind of imaginative armageddon scenario of a doomed
future where everything in a state is in ruins or anarchy but
the "state guard" can step in and "restore order."

The curious thing about the latter is that some of the Believers
in the efficacy of morsemanship think in the same manner...that
only amateur radio survives the worst emergency and that only
morsemanship can be used to call for help. That is patently
absurd in light of reality but founded on the mythos of morse
that grew following the Titanic disaster of 94 years ago.

As to fully-activated National Guard units, the states have
various plans to keep the NG structures and land intact,
usually using civilian personnel (law enforcement, probably)
and that does not require a lot of personnel. In the field
of communications for the REAL public safety agencies, those
agencies are well-supplied with many forms of communication
of their own (outnumbering amateur licensees according to EIA
data of about 15 years ago) that is NOT part of the "telephone
infrastructure" that many ham-patriots erroneously think
"always fails in an emergency." Most public safety agencies
in the USA have established emergency-scenario plans and
they periodically train/drill for those procedures. Very few
amateur radio local organizations do that.

>>> > >> >A well know amateur radio outlet had the owners daughter's photo
>>> > >> >featured in a prominent amateur radio publication. Robesin said she
>>> > >> >was selling "Something" but it wasn't radios. He's a swell guy.
>
>>> > [NOT the way to expect a discount from that dealer...]
>
>>> I'm sure that that amateur radio dealer became aware of robesin's
>>> remarks.
>
>> Tsk on me, I missed those exchanges.
>
>me too

For several months past I simply did not bother accessing Google
for this newsgroup. It was a waste of time when the macho
middle-school mental-adolescents came in and talked trash and
filth to anyone. How many of those anony-mousies were actual
amateur radio licensees is unknown and irrelevant. The known
licensed amateurs just didn't do anything about them. That
presents a very BIG negative on the amateurs' ability "to
police their own." They couldn't. They can't despite their
brags about such "policing."

Robeson once made claims that he was IN one of the local Los Angeles HRO stores, with "friends while visiting them." A big problem with that was that was his claimed time-line and NOT being able to describe, even in minor detail, the locations or the surrounding territory. The first HRO in northern L.A. was in Van Nuys, CA, in the center of the San Fernando Valley. [bought my Icom R70 there years ago] That HRO moved to Burbank, CA, a few years ago, at the corner of Buena Vista and Victory (a major intersection with shops at all four corners). It is across the street from a relatively new food supermarket having a huge elevated sign visible from all four corners. Robeson could not describe that sign, let alone the location, even though it was easily visible (he didn't name the supermarket). It is very familiar to me since my wife and I shop there regularly. Robeson couldn't name a single store in the mini-mall across the street from the market even though there's a Radio Shack outlet next to that HRO. That HRO has now moved again (they had a window sign announcing that for weeks) and we will see if Robeson wants to repeat all of his lies about being in any one of them. :-)

>>> > Robeson imagined himself to be a "real" GSgt, a DILL sergeant
>>> > who GIVES orders and is immune to any criticsm. :-)
>
>>> Sorry Anderson Amateur Radio >>>IS NOT<<< Boot Camp!
>
>> Guess the wannabe DILL sergeant told me, huh? :-)
>
>well real boot camp would pleasenter than what Robesin would devise
>
>I know Boot camp was accuauly the most fun I had in the army

Brian and I were being sarcastic about "boot camp." The US Army and the USAF *never* had "boot camp." In those branches is was called BASIC TRAINING. It still is and is usually referred to familiarly as just "Basic."

I "took Basic" at Camp Gordon (now Fort Gordon) which was in 1952 a Signal Corps center and now THE Center for Army Signal Corps. We had to learn basic infantryman soldiering, how to "close with the enemy and destroy them." No fun in that part of Georgia near Agusta. The only communications taught in Basic were courier duties and connecting/using an EE-8 Field Telephone plus laying field wire (real grunt work carrying that auto-pay-out wire box on a back pack). After 8 weeks of Basic we were assigned to Signal Schools...Field Radio and TTY at Gordon, radar-microwave-photography at Ft. Monmouth, NJ. For me, "fun" didn't begin until Monmouth and the ability to actually handle radios, examine their guts and theory, use them on the air.

Things have changed greatly in military communications since 54 years ago. The Field Radio MOS long since became extinct and with it the need to learn manual radio-telegraphy. HF radio is still taught but more as an adjunct to VHF radios common from small units to battalion level...the SINCGARS family of digital voice/data, optional frequency-hopping and encrypted modes with a quarter million produced since 1989 and all operational...to be replaced soon with a compatible but upgraded family of radios with more and better features. HT-sized SINCGARS-compatible radios are being used in Iraq and Afghanistan now (you can see them on news telecasts). The ONLY radiotelegraphy classes are centered at the Military Intelligence School at Ft. Huachuca and that for (passive, listening-only) Intercept Analysis purposes, not communications.

Some non-active or never-serving morsemen in here have insisted that Special Forces "use" radiotelegraphy since a Special Forces Communications Sergeant MOS is required to know that. Special Forces are very macho in image but they, like the USN SEALs, are a very small group of specialists, for (as their name implies) Special operations. The major Army and land-force marines effort is done by regular land soldiers. "Behind the lines" (quaint euphemism) comms can be done by regular land signal units by encrypted data over VHF-UHF, relay by aircraft or satellite, extemely hard to intercept. Even in the 1990 First Gulf War there was no "CW" used from "Behind enemy lines." The AN/PSC-3 did that or, in a few locations inside Iraq, by VHF voice or data.

The extreme mobility of USA land forces now, and in 1990, is described in the many land-forces reports done on the First Gulf War, namely Operation "73-Easting." The final hundred hours of the First Gulf War outdid the best panzerfaust actions of Rommel in North Africa of 1942-1943. About two orders of magnitude better. One thing that the American military did copy from Rommel's units was "Nevis" or NVIS, Near Vertical Incidence Skywave, short-distance ionospheric bounce that some hams deride as "cloud burning." Nevis works rather well and has been a field procedure in USA-USMC-USAF land-to-land comms for at least a quarter century.

World War 2 was over 61 years ago. Vietnam War was over 33 years go. The First Gulf War started (and finished almost as quickly) 16 years ago. The Korean War went into a state of perpetual Truce 53 years ago. Amateur morsemen still gorge their imaginations on the Titanic disaster CW comms of 94 years ago. Time has gone on and technology has changed...for all but those hidebound morsemen are still pipe-dreaming their

imagined glory and self-steam after watching old WW2
movies on late-night TV, demanding that future amateurs
learn morse to defend their homeland. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4181. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent - Mark, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 20:40:54 UTC
From: Watchman <NAMHCTAW@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157143254.072058.89460@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6411
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

huh huh ah ha_ what a bunch of idiots in here...aint no wonder there
aint to many cbers become hams they all to ignorant ya dimwits 4
rogers gud buddies 10-4 and im steppin`... ``The Watchman`` I Am
Watching You...
Slow code wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:2cgbe2phjn9d5icaefk9pkffbcqe5dkpa4@4ax.com:
>
> > On 18 Aug 2006 05:38:03 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> > <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>an old fraud wrote:
> >>
> >>> cease desist tryong to speak for Houghton county
> >>
> >>"tryong?" HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
> >>They already have received too many complaints about your trash filled
> >>yard, Markie!
> > from you in the past few weeks no doubt
> > cease desists and grow up
> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >
>
>
> Mark, Just ignore them.
>
> They only tease you because of the stupid things you say when you
> follow up. Just ignore them and they'll give up.
>
> Stop giving them reasons to tease you. It only makes you look
> more stupid.
>
> Take a break from the radio groups for a while, Maybe work on your
> moon bounce some more.
>
> SC

4182. NEW SCANNER SITE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-01 21:27:14 UTC
From: Pacman <nospam.pacman1_63@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <JbmdnWXGbaEpOGXZnZ2dnUVZ_tudnZ2d@insightbb.com>
Source record: 6412

Hello,
I been working on a new scanner website for West Central Illinois. Here is a brand new scanner forum that I have setup that will be on the new website when I get it done. Please register and help this Scanner forums grow.
Thanks. KB9HGI

WCIL SCANNER RADIO FORUMS
http://www.free-forum.com/bulletinboard/index.php?mforum=pacmans

4183. Anybody get a QSL card from AB8MQ lately?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-02 00:36:14 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <2m4Kg.13343$Qf.6660@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6413
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.fan.art-bell,ba.broadcast,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Does Roger's QSL card still have his Lambda Amateur Radio Club membership number on it, or did he take that off.

SC

4184. Re: If you saw KB9RQZ standing next to a large mud puddle would you drive close and splash him?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-02 00:36:22 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <am4Kg.13347$Qf.5315@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6414
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:inuef2lf28as7au0a7ithmdfgpbo56o24l@4ax.com:

> On Fri, 01 Sep 2006 00:06:39 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in
>>news:hr7cf2ps68t22849p9ic8b0gdgsatplfpg@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Wed, 30 Aug 2006 23:21:34 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in
>>>>news:q28bf2hrvf1v7qmlc1asq55lrnkt7hfi6a@4ax.com:
>>>>
>>>>> On 30 Aug 2006 04:48:26 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> but you are a bad one wanting to take away the preledges other earned
>>> for themselves
>>
>>
>>You don't want to earn radio "preledges" Mark. You're too lazy to put
>>forth an effort to earn them.
> I have earned them

You might have gotten some priviledges, but that was only because ham
radio has been dumbed down and you didn't have to make an effort to earn
them.

You're too friggen lazy to put forth an effort to earn anymore
priviledges, that's why you want ham radio dumbed down.

Now, if I lived near you, I would do CW practice for you to upgrade. I
honestly would. What you should do if you have an HF receiver is to try
to find some code on air to practice copying.

Maybe you could talk N9OGL into making Omega One a code practice station.
He could send Code practice runs in between all the shitty songs he plays.

SC

4185. Re: NoCode Techs should have to pass the CW test in a year or get booted.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-02 00:36:25 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <dm4Kg.13350$Qf.11244@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6415
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:chuef2pvhkp6fvak67povdglpcg2aguh5f@4ax.com:

> On Fri, 01 Sep 2006 00:06:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in
>>news:uh7cf2906a6t2ncla1j5144knhvv3ug8ag@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Wed, 30 Aug 2006 23:21:38 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in
>>>>news:pbs9f2hp4msdkbln44jhrr3vlfjc1uolp6@4ax.com:
>>>>
>>>> On Tue, 29 Aug 2006 23:12:32 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>>>
>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>For example, if you were going to lose your internet if you didn't
>>>>>>learn how to type and spell after a year, I'm sure you'd be learning
>>>>>>how to type and spell. But since there is no requirement that you
>>>>>>have to type and spell properly after a year, you continue on
>>>>>>murdering the english language.
>>>>> nope you over look facts, I can't type or spel without making at
>>>>> least twice the effort you do
>>>>
>>>>That's why you'll never be a good ham. You refuse to put forth the
>>>>effort.
>>> I am already a better ham than you
>>> at least the measure of the Ham code
>>
>>
>>whatever that means.
> gald you agree

How can someone agree when they can't understand what you're attempting to
babble.

Sc

4186. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-02 00:36:29 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <hm4Kg.13352$Qf.10513@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6416
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1157078311.728705.311920@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:

>
> Rick Frazier wrote:
>> You've insisted on posting this crap so many times just about anyone
>> with more than two or three brain cells would be sick of it by now.
>> Why don't you just crawl back under the rock you crawled out from
>> under?
>>
>> At this point, even a relative moron should get the point that there
>> are a bunch of people that really don't give a damn about CW. That you
>> do is not the point, but your continual posts about it are the point.
>> Therefore, your continual posts lead me to believe you should be
>> seeking mental help. If you don't think you need therapy, how about
>> you get a life and if you are so Pro-CW, why aren't you on the bands
>> right now?
>>
>> Though I'm an Extra and passed the CW requirements shouldn't make a
>> difference if I choose to operate phone. If the requirements change
>> and new Hams aren't required to take the code test, am I going to be
>> pissed?
>> Hell no, because I took the tests when I did because I wanted to be a
>> productive part of this hobby, not wait for an easier ticket in. I
>> learned it, just as a huge number of others have, but none of us wish
>> to push it like you seem to want to. Even the most die-hard CW fanatic
>> is spending his time on the bands, not on the internet trolling for
>> arguments.
>>
>> Now, to provide a response to your query: It is extremely unlikely
>> that with all the means we have for contact in the case of an
>> emergency, that CW would be the only way to make contact, particularly
>> if you live in anything near an urban evironment in mainland US.
>> First and foremost, there has to be someone else that will respond to
>> you, and given the things going on with the hobby lately, I doubt that
>> CW will be the safety net you'd like to believe it is.
>>
>> For myself, I have HF and VHF in both the house and vehicle, and have
>> the VHF radios all programmed with all of the local police and fire
>> frequencies. In a true emergency, I wouldn't lose a moment's time
>> worrying about whether it was legal or not before I keyed up on a
>> public service/fire/police frequency if it meant saving somebody.
>> Would CW help? Not very damn likely, as first I'd have to key up the

>> HF rig, get to someone that could relay, pass a message, hope like hell
>> that they actually did call the proper authority, (and were believed),
>> and so on.
>> You can bet I'll get attention right away if I key up on any of the
>> public service frequencies, and they damn well will respond, if for no
>> other reason than to find me. If that's what it takes, so be it, they
>> can fight it out in court later, and I'd get so much media attention
>> they wouldn't dare push it very far. On the other hand, the likelihood
>> of actually being believed using standard, HF and CW procedures, or
>> getting help in a timely manner to actually save a life is an extremely
>> remote chance...
>>
>> I rarely filter anyone, but you've definitely earned the "plonk" of
>> being filtered. Say Bye-Bye ! ! !
>>
>> --Rick AH7H
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>
>> > You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life, but you should
>> > learn it anyway just in case. Ham radio is like a spare tire, when
>> > you need it you hope it's not flat. CW is like the air in the tire.
>> > I know I don't ever want to hear someone say: "Why couldn't you get
>> > help, I though hams were supposed to know morse code."
>> >
>> > Sc
>
> Thank you, Rick! You spoke volumes of reality in this new
> millennium.

And you're still just as stupid as you were before you read it.

Now go bullshit with Dr Death on 11 meters, and don't come back until you
pull your head out of your ass.

Sc

4187. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-02 00:36:31 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <jm4Kg.13353$Qf.4423@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6417
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Watchman" <NAMHCTAW@gmail.com> wrote in
news:1157143254.072058.89460@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

> huh huh ah ha_ what a bunch of idiots in here...aint no wonder there
> aint to many cbers become hams they all to ignorant ya dimwits 4
> rogers gud buddies 10-4 and im steppin`... ``The Watchman`` I Am
> Watching You...

You out a work again? Well watch all you want, but we would appreciate it
if you would stop jerking yourself off while watching. Tnx.

Do you also listen to Omega One?

Sc

4188. trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-02 02:27:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157164058.818759.139220@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6418
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:
trolling right along

4189. trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-02 02:30:22 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157164222.192542.259610@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6419
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:inuef2lf28as7au0a7ithmdfgpbo56o24l@4ax.com:
>

> You might have gotten some priviledges, but that was only because ham
> radio has been dumbed down and you didn't have to make an effort to earn
> them.

funy how for all you claim to be better than I and better than those
that agree with me on code tesing Our view is going to prevail

trolling right along

4190. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-02 02:32:05 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157164325.885558.260220@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6420
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
> news:1157078311.728705.311920@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:

> > Thank you, Rick! You spoke volumes of reality in this new
> > millennium.
>
>
>
> And you're still just as stupid as you were before you read it.

trolling right along

4191. Re: You're not a real ham if you want kill the ARS like Sloaw Code does

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-02 02:36:26 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157164586.704060.320240@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6421
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> "Watchman" <NAMHCTAW@gmail.com> wrote in
> news:1157143254.072058.89460@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> > huh huh ah ha_ what a bunch of idiots in here...aint no wonder there
> > aint to many cbers become hams they all to ignorant ya dimwits 4
> > rogers gud buddies 10-4 and im steppin`... ``The Watchman`` I Am
> > Watching You...
>
>
> You out a work again? Well watch all you want, but we would appreciate it
> if you would stop jerking yourself off while watching. Tnx.

trolling right along

4192. Uniden Bearcat BCD996T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-02 14:22:55 UTC
From: ritchi50@optonline.net
Message-ID: <ud4jf210sfv0el01g2gu0sia9em15a8m7e@4ax.com>
Source record: 6422

I got this Scanner as part of a trade, And I already have a Icom 8500,
so no need for it.
Its brand new, I took it out of the box and made shure everything was
there. Also played with it for a few days and works great!!!
Has the plastic on face and as I stated.....Brand New.
Price new is: 575.00 I want 450.00
ritchi50@optonline.net

The Uniden Bearcat BCD996T is a state-of-the-art scanner radio with
Trunk Tracker IVï¿½ and automatic scanning capabilities. You can store
in the dynamic memory conventional frequencies such as police,
fire/emergency, marine, air, amateur, and other communications. Click
here for a a complete list of frequency coverage. You can store and
scan services that use Trunked Radio Systems and so much more. You can
use the scannerï¿½s Scroll Control to quickly select channels and
frequencies and you can automatically program channels in a system
using the Auto Store feature. The high resolution display indicates
the radio's status in detail.

The BCD996T also introduces the first-ever GPS-enabled scanner,
allowing the product to automatically select nearby radio systems for
scanning. The BCD996T also offers APCO 25 digital capability, allowing
consumers to monitor the activities and signals of city and government
service departments, and features advanced scanner technology in an
in-dash or mobile mount design.

The GPS-enabled feature offered by the BCD996T provides automatic
system selection, which permits the scanner to turn system reception
on or off depending on the userï¿½s location, and allows the user to
define the scanner display to show location-based information. In
addition, when a GPS unit, not included with this scanner, is
connected to the BCD996T, it will alert at areas of special interest,
such as dangerous intersections, school zones, or general points of
interest.

Featuring APCO 25 capability, Unidenï¿½s BCD996T is poised to further
enhance Unidenï¿½s offerings to public safety and increase
interoperability between agencies using different types of radio
systems. Unidenï¿½s BCD996T will not permit users to monitor sensitive,
encrypted signals from national and local security services.

The Bearcat BCD996T also offers Close Callï¿½ RF Capture Technology,
Dynamic Memory Management, Fire Tone-Out, and Multi-site programming
as well as 6,000 channels and a frequency range of 25 MHz to 1.3 GHz
(excluding cellular and UHF TV frequencies). Please click here for a
detailed list of features.

Front-set serial port using the same connector as BC246T/BCD396T/BR330T/SC230. Rear DB9 Male connector (for convenient direct plug-in of GPS Serial cable). Either port can be configured to be PC interface or GPS interface. The memory architecture supports dynamic allocation with up to 500 systems and 6000 channels.

4193. Schematic for Uniden BC 760XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-02 17:45:46 UTC
From: Ramon <rvsamson@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <erjKg.508657$IK3.30462@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 6423

Does anyone have or know where I could get a schematic diagram for the
Uniden Bearcat BC 760XLT?

4194. Re: trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-02 18:22:31 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1157221351.168076.62110@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6424
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

rom: an old friend on Fri, Sep 1 2006 7:30 pm

>Slow Code wrote:
>> M...@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:inuef2lf28as7au0a7ithmdfgpbo56o24l@4ax.com:

>> You might have gotten some priviledges, but that was only because ham
>> radio has been dumbed down and you didn't have to make an effort to earn
>> them.
>
>funy how for all you claim to be better than I and better than those
>that agree with me on code tesing Our view is going to prevail
>
>trolling right along

Mark, you have to give up on this anonymous "Slow Code." He is just another of the Bigot Morsemen. He cannot adjust to changing times, changing technology, or (perhaps) changing his underwear.

The FCC establishes regulations for ALL United States civil radio. What the FCC says, goes. The ARRL doesn't rule, morsemen don't rule, and old, old traditions don't rule. The FCC has NEVER said anything about "earning" a license...a license applicant must take an FCC-approved test and score above an FCC-established minimum percentage correct answers. That's all there is. FCC regulations are LAW and that LAW can be changed by democratic principled means. That LAW is not established by some minority group who cannot advance beyond primitive on-off carrier keying.

This "Slow Code" (like all bigoted morsemen) has taken up a role of "I-am-your-leader" gang-banger bullshit bragging and usual calling names of those licensees "below" him. Poor guy (or gal?). That's all this "Slow Code" has...SOME manual morse code skill. He might be right at home in an 1870s telegraph office but his attitude is neither for democratic principles, nor for good fellowship in a hobby, nor as a human being participating in a discussion forum.

The FCC doesn't "rule" on any amateur radio "traditions." It simply regulates ALL US civil radio (amateur radio is a small part of that) and mitigates interference when it happens. The FCC follows the LAW in their charter, permitting an open forum for Comments on NPRMs, even R&Os, open for ALL citizens and even allowing Comments from non-citizens.

Bigot morsemen do NOT allow any opinions opposing their own over-inflated self-defined opinions. They want to RULE all the Homies in da Ham Hood. They think they "own" ham radio when the only thing they've "earned" is a bad attitude against them. It's a wonder they haven't taken up Spark transmitters (even though damped-wave mode is forbidden by law). Spark and morsemanship went together in olden days, days well before the existance of any of the bigot morsemen in this forum. "Slow Code" needs a time machine to go back to that old era since he can't keep up with modern times nor the democratic principles of the representative republic of the United States of America.

As a professional in radio communications, one who began in and on HF over a half century ago, I have contempt for the over-inflated egos of the bigot morsemen. None have made their case valid enough to warrant morse code testing for amateur radio licenses forever. But, the ARRL is toadying to them, vainly hoping to increase their minority membership by sticking to the amateur practice of a half century ago. ARRL membership hasn't increased beyond a quarter of all licensed radio amateurs in the United States, nor has the ARRL favored, ever, the VHF-and-up amateur radio licensees beyond the barest minimum of mentions.

Sooner or later the FCC will yield to PUBLIC pressure to modernize US amateur radio beyond the old-fashioned limitations and favoritism towards morsemanship lobbied for by the ARRL. It may not come in "Slow Code's" lifetime since he is of the group where a morse key has to be pried from his cold, dead fingers. Poor "Slow Code," old before his time, unable to fit into this new millennium of radio technology.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4195. Radio Shack PRO-95 Quit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-02 19:26:21 UTC
From: rlewart@shell.monmouth.com
Message-ID: <edclst$1vu$1@news.monmouth.com>
Source record: 6425

My Pro-95 suddenly stopped responding to the keyboard. It is locked up on a channel and there is no way to make it do anything. It does not respond to the Lock/Light key either. Using WIN-95 program and the serial cable I was able to clear all channels but it still does not respond to the keyboard. Please help!
Cass

4196. Re: trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-02 20:52:25 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <bd308$44f9f218$471f5efd$31517@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 6427
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

We are better than you! Was there ever any doubt? If you can't learn code
you are not only stupid but lazy as well! You have a welfare license, shut
up and enjoy what was given to your whining ass...

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1157164222.192542.259610@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:inuef2lf28as7au0a7ithmdfgpbo56o24l@4ax.com:
>>
>
>> You might have gotten some priviledges, but that was only because ham
>> radio has been dumbed down and you didn't have to make an effort to earn
>> them.
>
> funy how for all you claim to be better than I and better than those
> that agree with me on code tesing Our view is going to prevail
>
> trolling right along
>

4197. Re: You've Got Mail...But No Job! Fun at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-02 21:01:17 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <44F9F11D.6010106@fuse.net>
Source record: 6426
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Cecil Moore wrote:
> Dr.Ace wrote:
>
>> Just wondering , what's the opposite of a "Dog eat dog" world ?
>
>
> Isn't god the opposite of dog?
"Tea god tea"?

4198. Re: trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-02 22:43:22 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1157237002.633514.147580@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6428
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Fred Hambrecht wrote:
> We are better than you! Was there ever any doubt? If you can't learn code
> you are not only stupid but lazy as well! You have a welfare license, shut
> up and enjoy what was given to your whining ass...

Mr Congenialty has spoken.

4199. Re: Radio Shack PRO-95 Quit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-02 23:32:53 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <FwoKg.3440$wo3.2959@newsfe7-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 6429

try a factory reset
the info was on here last month

mike

"Cass Lewart" <rlewart@shell.monmouth.com> wrote in message
news:edclst$1vu$1@news.monmouth.com...
> My Pro-95 suddenly stopped responding to the keyboard. It is locked up on
> a
> channel and there is no way to make it do anything. It does not respond to
> the
> Lock/Light key either. Using WIN-95 program and the serial cable I was
> able to
> clear all channels but it still does not respond to the keyboard. Please
> help!
> Cass

4200. Re: trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-02 23:39:51 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1157240391.318511.138610@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6430
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: Fred Hambrecht on Sat, Sep 2 2006 1:52 pm

>We are better than you! Was there ever any doubt? If you can't learn code
>you are not only stupid but lazy as well! You have a welfare license, shut
>up and enjoy what was given to your whining ass...
>
>"an old friend" <kb9...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> M...@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:inuef2lf28as7au0a7ithmdfgpbo56o24l@4ax.com:
>
>>> You might have gotten some priviledges, but that was only because ham
>>> radio has been dumbed down and you didn't have to make an effort to earn
>>> them.
>
>> funy how for all you claim to be better than I and better than those
>> that agree with me on code tesing Our view is going to prevail
>
>> trolling right along

Go for it, Congenial Fred, show 'em who's boss in da ham hood!
:-)

Ya know, US amateur radio is supposed to be about good
fellowship and all that warm and fuzzy stuff. Poor Fred
long since ran out of warmth and his mind is fuzzy...tsk.

What are YOU "better at," Congenial Fred? Morse code? I'm
sure you are a whiz at that. Anything else except getting a
bad score on the range after shooting off your mouth? I'll
bet not.

Wow (yawn) 50 years a ham (and still not cured). I've been
a professional in radio longer than that. Fascinating stuff
that radio and electronics technology. Morse code isn't
technology per se...it was being done over 40 years before
the first radio-as-a-communications-medium was demonstrated
in 1896. But you "are better" than non-morsemen because you
passed a NON-TECHNICAL, NON-INTELLECTUAL manual morse code
test...as an AMATEUR. [big Ben Stein "wowwww" here]

"Welfare license?" Just because there's no manual morse
code test for Technician class? Oh, my, but the FCC does
NOT say anything about "welfare licenses." That's only your
own BIGOTRY vomited up. Almost half of all US amateur radio

licenses are now in the Technician Class. Are you deliberately trying to alienate half of all ham licensees? Well, Congenial Fred, you are doing real good on that alienation part.

Have fun on "the bands," Fred, there's plenty of your kind there, the bigoted morsemen, the self-proclaimed gods of AMATEUR radio trying to be "leaders" in da ham 'hood.

Fred, support stem cell research. You've lost too many neurons required for good fellowship...maybe some stem cells can help restore them.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4201. Re: trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-02 23:42:00 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1157240519.992211.150170@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6431
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Sep 2 2006 3:43 pm

>Fred Hambrecht wrote:
>> We are better than you! Was there ever any doubt? If you can't learn code
>> you are not only stupid but lazy as well! You have a welfare license, shut
>> up and enjoy what was given to your whining ass...
>
>Mr Congenialty has spoken.

Brian, sudden realization! We may have found the illegitimate
biological father of Stebie Robeson!

Same ego, same "better than you" bad attitude, same lack of good
fellowship, same 9-year-old's sass, same-o same-o! Incredible!

Then again he may be a cousin to that anony-mousie "Slow Code."
Lots of inbreeding going on in the morsemen ranks.

I wonder where Jimmy Noserve is hiding? Fred said "shut up!"
Jimmy would have a hissy fit if'n he saw that...:-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4202. Don't believe everything Markie says.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 00:13:20 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <A6pKg.2134$v%4.685@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6432
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dumbing down ham radio *ain't* modernizing.

Mark is just lazy.

SC

4203. Re: If you saw KB9RQZ standing next to a large mud puddle would you drive close and splash him?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 00:13:25 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <F6pKg.2139$v%4.826@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6433
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1157164222.192542.259610@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in
>> news:inuef2lf28as7au0a7ithmdfgpbo56o24l@4ax.com:
>>
>
>> You might have gotten some priviledges, but that was only because ham
>> radio has been dumbed down and you didn't have to make an effort to
>> earn them.
>
> funy how for all you claim to be better than I and better than those
> that agree with me on code tesing Our view is going to prevail

Right, shit rolls down hill.

SC

4204. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 00:22:09 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1157242929.751563.162380@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6434
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: Slow Code on Fri, Sep 1 2006 5:36 pm

>"LenAnder...@ieee.org" <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in
>> Rick Frazier wrote:

>>> You've insisted on posting this crap so many times just about anyone
>>> with more than two or three brain cells would be sick of it by now.
>>> Why don't you just crawl back under the rock you crawled out from
>>> under?
>
>>> At this point, even a relative moron should get the point that there
>>> are a bunch of people that really don't give a damn about CW. That you
>>> do is not the point, but your continual posts about it are the point.
>>> Therefore, your continual posts lead me to believe you should be
>>> seeking mental help. If you don't think you need therapy, how about
>>> you get a life and if you are so Pro-CW, why aren't you on the bands
>>> right now?

Excellent question, "Slow." Why didn't you answer it?

>>> Though I'm an Extra and passed the CW requirements shouldn't make a
>>> difference if I choose to operate phone. If the requirements change
>>> and new Hams aren't required to take the code test, am I going to be
>>> pissed?
>>> Hell no, because I took the tests when I did because I wanted to be a
>>> productive part of this hobby, not wait for an easier ticket in. I
>>> learned it, just as a huge number of others have, but none of us wish
>>> to push it like you seem to want to. Even the most die-hard CW fanatic
>>> is spending his time on the bands, not on the internet trolling for
>>> arguments.

Nobody responding to your CQs, "Slow?" Is that why you are so
up-tight and angry in this forum?

>>> Now, to provide a response to your query: It is extremely unlikely
>>> that with all the means we have for contact in the case of an
>>> emergency, that CW would be the only way to make contact, particularly
>>> if you live in anything near an urban evironment in mainland US.
>>> First and foremost, there has to be someone else that will respond to
>>> you, and given the things going on with the hobby lately, I doubt that
>>> CW will be the safety net you'd like to believe it is.
>
>>> For myself, I have HF and VHF in both the house and vehicle, and have
>>> the VHF radios all programmed with all of the local police and fire
>>> frequencies. In a true emergency, I wouldn't lose a moment's time

>>> worrying about whether it was legal or not before I keyed up on a
>>> public service/fire/police frequency if it meant saving somebody.
>>> Would CW help? Not very damn likely, as first I'd have to key up the
>>> HF rig, get to someone that could relay, pass a message, hope like hell
>>> that they actually did call the proper authority, (and were believed),
>>> and so on.
>>> You can bet I'll get attention right away if I key up on any of the
>>> public service frequencies, and they damn well will respond, if for no
>>> other reason than to find me. If that's what it takes, so be it, they
>>> can fight it out in court later, and I'd get so much media attention
>>> they wouldn't dare push it very far. On the other hand, the likelihood
>>> of actually being believed using standard, HF and CW procedures, or
>>> getting help in a timely manner to actually save a life is an extremely
>>> remote chance...

You haven't answered that from a licensed amateur Extra, "Slow."
Why haven't you? Is it possible you don't know of anything in
radio except what the ARRL has spoon-fed you?

>>> I rarely filter anyone, but you've definitely earned the "plonk" of
>>> being filtered. Say Bye-Bye ! ! !

"Slow," you've earned that "plonk" many times over.

>>> --Rick AH7H
>
>> Thank you, Rick! You spoke volumes of reality in this new
>> millennium.
>
>And you're still just as stupid as you were before you read it.

Now, now, "Slow," you are starting to sound like one of those
inbred bigoted morsemen in here. You can't discuss anything
reasonable-like, only cuss at those who disagree with you. :-)

>Now go bullshit with Dr Death on 11 meters, and don't come back until you
>pull your head out of your ass.

I don't know any "Dr Death," "Slow," nor do I operate on
"11 meters."

Further, you are ten kinds of short on ability to threaten.
Your threats and "orders" become recycled electrons doing
nothing but dissipating a tiny bit of heat. <yawn>

Take YOUR beloved morse code test and shove it up YOUR ass.
Push real hard...there seems to be an obstruction there.
Must be your own four neurons in the way.

Beep, beep

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4205. Re: trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 01:02:58 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <84737$44fa2fbd$471d2636$25732@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 6435
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I extend fellowship to REAL hams, you no code idiots are not hams, simply CBers that have the ability to read at a third grade level.

Everyone serves a purpose, even if only as a bad example... No code, non showering, non intellectial, whiners holding welfare licenses meet that criteria to a "T".

Now that you have been responded to in the manner you accuse all code able hams of, you may cease your trolling...

I don't really give a flip one way or another if you ever know code, I just wish the bunch of you would stop playing "pity me" and lamenting the fact you do not have a no code Jesse Jackson type to take up your cause. You have a license, enjoy it and if you don't care to learn the code, someday you won't have to. In the mean time shut up with the whining and get on with life.

The license is only worth the investment you have in it, no effort - no value! If you spent half the time learning as you do bitching you would be at 40 WPM.

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1157240391.318511.138610@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> From: Fred Hambrecht on Sat, Sep 2 2006 1:52 pm
>
>>We are better than you! Was there ever any doubt? If you can't learn code
>>you are not only stupid but lazy as well! You have a welfare license, shut
>>up and enjoy what was given to your whining ass...
>>
>>"an old friend" <kb9...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> Go for it, Congenial Fred, show 'em who's boss in da ham hood!
> :-)
>
> Ya know, US amateur radio is supposed to be about good
> fellowship and all that warm and fuzzy stuff. Poor Fred
> long since ran out of warmth and his mind is fuzzy...tsk.
>
> What are YOU "better at," Congenial Fred? Morse code? I'm
> sure you are a whiz at that. Anything else except getting a
> bad score on the range after shooting off your mouth? I'll
> bet not.
>
> Wow (yawn) 50 years a ham (and still not cured). I've been
> a professional in radio longer than that. Fascinating stuff
> that radio and electronics technology. Morse code isn't

> technology per se...it was being done over 40 years before
> the first radio-as-a-communications-medium was demonstrated
> in 1896. But you "are better" than non-morsemen because you
> passed a NON-TECHNICAL, NON-INTELLECTUAL manual morse code
> test...as an AMATEUR. [big Ben Stein "wowwww" here]
>
> "Welfare license?" Just because there's no manual morse
> code test for Technician class? Oh, my, but the FCC does
> NOT say anything about "welfare licenses." That's only your
> own BIGOTRY vomited up. Almost half of all US amateur radio
> licenses are now in the Technician Class. Are you
> deliberately trying to alienate half of all ham licensees?
> Well, Congenial Fred, you are doing real good on that
> alienation part.
>
> Have fun on "the bands," Fred, there's plenty of your kind
> there, the bigoted morsemen, the self-proclaimed gods of
> AMATEUR radio trying to be "leaders" in da ham 'hood.
>
> Fred, support stem cell research. You've lost too many
> neurons required for good fellowship...maybe some stem
> cells can help restore them.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

4206. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 01:40:46 UTC
From: JDB <liberalsrcrap@nospamgmail.com>
Message-ID: <yoqKg.91174$Eh1.59203@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 6436
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

So who are you remaining five guys going to talk to?

BTW, I didn't know we lost ham radio and need to take it back.

Blow Code, think before you type will you? You spend entirely too much
time on the computers. Get on the radio and talk to all the old timers
on CW will you? They are lonely and need you there.

Slow Code wrote:
> 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.
>
>
> 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>
>
> 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
>
> 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

4207. RIP-OFF ALERT...K7VO/K9NI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 02:12:09 UTC
From: Steve <ranger011@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ZRqKg.33650$8j3.17277@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 6437

http://chat.qth.com/viewtopic.php?t=2580&sid=776c9eb40b1a40d1904cb542f93b852b

4208. Re: Don't believe everything Markie says.<< inded you should not but you can't belive anything Slow Code says

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 02:31:20 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157250680.734131.240210@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6438
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Slow Code wrote:
> Dumbing down ham radio *ain't* modernizing.
no one is dumbing it down exept the likes of yourself
>
> Mark is just lazy.
nope
>
> SC
```

4209. Re: trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 02:32:39 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157250759.722037.248970@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Fred Hambrecht wrote:
> I extend fellowship to REAL hams, you no code idiots are not hams,
bullshit

4210. Re: trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 02:32:40 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157250759.979459.43990@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6439
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Fred Hambrecht wrote:
> I extend fellowship to REAL hams, you no code idiots are not hams,
bullshit

4211. Re: trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 02:52:16 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <73156$44fa43a3$471d2636$20198@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 6441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

If you read beyond that line, you would have seen I was fulfilling the
expectations of the no code troll...

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1157250759.979459.43990@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com...
>
> Fred Hambrecht wrote:
>> I extend fellowship to REAL hams, you no code idiots are not hams,
> bullshit
>

4212. Re: trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 02:55:29 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157252129.662561.277400@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6442
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Fred Hambrecht wrote:
> If you read beyond that line, you would have seen I was fulfilling the
> expectations of the no code troll...

you were the stand procode jerk you mean

4213. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 02:58:52 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157252332.348155.227880@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6443
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

JDB wrote:
> So who are you remaining five guys going to talk to?
not qutie that bad but Slow code does like llots of BW
>
> BTW, I didn't know we lost ham radio and need to take it back.

his idealogy lostcotrol of Ham Radio completely about 20 years ago with
the introduction of the No Code license

he is going to whine for another 50 year or till he drops dead
>
> Blow Code, think before you type will you? You spend entirely too much
> time on the computers. Get on the radio and talk to all the old timers
> on CW will you? They are lonely and need you there.
thinking? I realy don't thik he can do that he seems to be one of those
fellows for whom Narrow Band OOKed RF causes brain problems

4214. Re: trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 03:44:48 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1157255088.467885.265190@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6444
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Fred HaFrom: Fred Hambrecht on Sat, Sep 2 2006 6:02 pm

>I extend fellowship to REAL hams, you no code idiots are not hams, simply
>CBers that have the ability to read at a third grade level.

Tsk, tsk, Fred. Had you been able to read RRAP before, you'd
know I have no amateur license, only a commercial one. :-)

So, you think that US amateur radio is defined ONLY by
morsemanship? Of course you do. You are unable to cope
with any other definition. The FCC doesn't think so,
Congenial Fred. Only you and the rabid olde-tyme
morsemen think so.

>Everyone serves a purpose, even if only as a bad example...

You fill that bill to a "T" Congenial Fred. :-)

>No code, non
>showering, non intellectial, whiners holding welfare licenses meet that
>criteria to a "T".

"Non showering?" :-) Shirley, you can think of some
metaphor better than that! :-)

"Non intellectial?" Oh, you mean 'non intellectual."
Tsk, tsk, Congenial Fred, if you wish to appear 'intellectual'
you really ought to learn to spell correctly... :-)

"Whiners?" Poor congenial Fred, can't understand that there
are several valid reasons being held by the majority AGAINST
morse code testing. You want to impose YOUR imperial will
on the majority... Tsk, tsk, not a nice democratic
principle idea.

"Welfare licenses?" I got my First 'Phone in 1956, one test
session at the Chicago Field Office of the FCC. Had to pay
my own way in on the train. No snow, ground was level, and
I kept my shoes on the whole time. Guess that isn't near as
good as all them olde-tymers in the ham boonies, huh? :-)

>Now that you have been responded to in the manner you accuse all code able
>hams of, you may cease your trolling...

Fred, you've shown yourself to be a first class morse BIGOT,
one with a totally closed mind who lives in the past. But,
that's not unusual among some of you kids (your birth year is

1939 but mine is earlier). After you hit the magic 65-year
mark you go all shirty and start whining yourself about how
"tough" YOU had it and how "loyal and principled" you are
and how the "younger generation is no damn good" because they
don't like YOUR ideals of a long-ago youth. I know, I've seen
it hundreds of times...and am damn glad I never succumbed to
such mental sickness. :-)

Oh, and I do NOT "accuse all code able hams" of anything, only
YOU, Fred Hambrecht, and all the other morsemen bigots who
trash-mouth everyone who doesn't care for morsemanship.

I don't "troll," congenial Fred, I CATCH. I've presented my
views before the FCC clearly, logically, with facts researched
and noted. Many times. I don't sing praises about morse code
or testing for the simple reason that there's no praise for
either.

>I don't really give a flip one way or another if you ever know code, I just
>wish the bunch of you would stop playing "pity me" and lamenting the fact
>you do not have a no code Jesse Jackson type to take up your cause.

Tsk, are you a racial bigot ALSO? I'm not black, congenial
Fred, don't fake any religious titles nor academic titles nor
the usual bragging bullshit common to so many amateurs. I've
been on this planet longer than you have and made my own way
without asking for welfare. Up yours and enjoy your food stamps.

Well, maybe no "Jesse Jackson" but you pro-code-test mavens DO
have the Church of St. Hiram on your side plus all the Brother
Believers in Newington alla time singing the praises of
"cee-double-yew" that all good maxim-fearers must follow.
To the letter. To a "T."

>You have
>a license, enjoy it and if you don't care to learn the code, someday you
>won't have to.

I have a license, Freddie baby, but it NEVER had any requirement
to learn morsemanship skills. I've had other FCC licenses,
Freddie, and those didn't have any need to learn code. Of course
those were all commercial-professional, not the mighty amateur
kind (which you no doubt consider much, much more valuable).

Freddie booby, 53 1/2 years ago the US Army had me and
several others starting to operate HF transmitters of higher
output power than was ever allowed to amateurs. NO license
test needed. NO morsemanship skills needed...NO morse code
modes were used to keep dozens of circuits open 24/7.

I didn't have to know or learn morsemanship over a half century
ago and haven't needed it in all the time up to now. You come
along like some adolescent male and talk trash to me and other

no-code-test advocates and say I "must?" Oh, my, you must
think your morsemanship skills are super-macho with
superpowers!

>In the mean time shut up with the whining and get on with
>life.

Shut your own, bigot Freddie. You get NO breaks acting
like a morseman bigot. Bigots don't deserve any civility.

"My life" is going on just dandy without you, Freddie. Try
to stay out of it. Intrude on it and you get stepped on.

>The license is only worth the investment you have in it, no effort - no
>value!

Oh, wow, trotting out the "moral paragon" ploy are you? :-)

Sounds like you are getting ready to enter the seminary at
the blessed Church of St. Hiram!

>If you spent half the time learning as you do bitching you would be
>at 40 WPM.

One "learns" a psycho-motor skill? :-) It is DEVELOPED,
Freddie boy, isn't one damn thing "intellectual" about it.

Pressing the government for a change in certain laws, such
as the regulations concerning amateur radio licensing, isn't
"bitching," colonial Fred. It is simply a democratic
process to change archaic laws and regulations that have no
use today. But, you fear change. Such might detract from
your mighty self-image of an amateur morseman, god of radio,
and ruler of the ham-ways. :-)

Or is it that you want to CONTROL others, have them do as
YOU command and to hell with democratic principles of law?
Clarify that for us, Freddie, you seem to be swinging both
ways.

Freddie, there is zero-point-zero interest in "learning"
any psycho's motor skill in this new millennium. It isn't
used for communications in any other radio service under
USA regulations and won't be...except in the time-retarded
imaginations of some US radio amateurs who dream of ever-
lasting glory of the beeperman.

There is one helluvalot MORE INTERESTING, INTELLECTUAL
things to do in learning the theory behind the front
panel. Don't speak blithering drivel about "beauty and
majesty" of monotonic on-off tones while caressing your
vibroplex...the only "theory" there is turning something
on and turning something off. You can do that with a
buzzer if it turns you on so strong.

You want to do 40 words per minute using morse? Fine. Go for it. I've been doing ONE HUNDRED TIMES that with data and that's at a slow speed. The world's comms aren't about manual telegraphy, Freddie, that's a long-past time that began before you and I were born. It is OLD stuff.

Now you go right ahead and enjoy your "ARS" (Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society). I'm sure you feel all authoritative with your federal AMATEUR license and all. Tell everyone you are "federally authorized." Invite your friend and neighbors in to view your mighty license certificates (suitable for framing). Feel proud for you are a MORSEMAN! [god of radio, ruler of the ham-ways, etc., etc., etc.]

Beep, beep

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4215. WCIL SCANNER RADIO FORUMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 10:56:49 UTC
From: Pacman <nospam.pacman1_63@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7cGdnUd5Pf1vKWfZnZ2dnUVZ_rOdnZ2d@insightbb.com>
Source record: 6445

WCIL SCANNER RADIO FORUMS

http://www.free-forum.com/bulletinboard/index.php?mforum=pacmans

4216. Re: trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 13:17:16 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1157289436.536931.167820@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6446
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Sep 2 2006 3:43 pm
>
>
> >Fred Hambrecht wrote:
> >> We are better than you! Was there ever any doubt? If you can't learn code
> >> you are not only stupid but lazy as well! You have a welfare license, shut
> >> up and enjoy what was given to your whining ass...
> > >
> > >Mr Congenialty has spoken.
>
> Brian, sudden realization! We may have found the illegitimate
> biological father of Stebie Robeson!
>
> Same ego, same "better than you" bad attitude, same lack of good
> fellowship, same 9-year-old's sass, same-o same-o! Incredible!
>
> Then again he may be a cousin to that anony-mousie "Slow Code."
> Lots of inbreeding going on in the morsemen ranks.

The family tree has few branches.

> I wonder where Jimmy Noserve is hiding? Fred said "shut up!"
> Jimmy would have a hissy fit if'n he saw that...:-)

I wonder where robesin is hiding? Fred said "ass..."

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Welp, if Fred had a tour in Ohio as an Army recruiter back in the 70s...

4217. Brian P Burke, N0IMD, Perpetuating In The Very Thing He Says He Doesn't Do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 13:35:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1157290517.970119.327030@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Fred Hambrecht wrote:
> > We are better than you! Was there ever any doubt? If you can't learn code
> > you are not only stupid but lazy as well! You have a welfare license, shut
> > up and enjoy what was given to your whining ass...
>
> Mr Congenialty has spoken.

(snare-drum rim shot....)

N0IMD has spoken.

Steve, K4YZ

4218. Re: trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 13:39:29 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1157290769.287602.294910@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6448
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Fred Hambrecht wrote:

> If you read beyond that line, you would have seen I was fulfilling the
> expectations of the no code troll...

Mr Benevolent Congeniality, while it may be your desire to fulfill the wishes ot the no-code troll, there are just too many trolls on RRAP. I you go around and start fufilling the expectations of all trolls, this newsgroup will end up being trashed and unreadable.

Do you want that on your conscience?

4219. trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 13:43:19 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1157290999.451023.43370@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6449
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Fred Hambrecht wrote:
> > > We are better than you! Was there ever any doubt? If you can't learn code
> > > you are not only stupid but lazy as well! You have a welfare license, shut
> > > up and enjoy what was given to your whining ass...
> >
> > Mr Congenialty has spoken.
>
> (snare-drum rim shot....)
>
> N0IMD has spoken.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

("in the navy" playing)

The War Hero has spoken/

4220. Re: trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 14:03:55 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <5f562$44fae6d0$471c615d$15646@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 6351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Wah! Wah! Wah! Boo fricken Hoo... the mean old coder is picking on me... Get a life! Anyone that can get that emotional over not getting their way has more issues than not being able to copy code.

You may want to consult a mental health worker...

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:1157252129.662561.277400@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Fred Hambrecht wrote:
>> If you read beyond that line, you would have seen I was fulfilling the
>> expectations of the no code troll...
>
> you were the stand procode jerk you mean
>

4221. Re: Radio Shack PRO-95 Quit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 14:18:12 UTC
From: rlewart@shell.monmouth.com
Message-ID: <edeo74$4gd$1@news.monmouth.com>
Source record: 6450

Cass Lewart (rlewart@shell.monmouth.com) wrote:
: My Pro-95 suddenly stopped responding to the keyboard. It is locked up on a
: channel and there is no way to make it do anything. It does not respond to the
: Lock/Light key either. Using WIN-95 program and the serial cable I was able to
: clear all channels but it still does not respond to the keyboard. Please help!
: Cass

Would appreciate any info on factory reset.
Cass

4222. Re: Radio Shack PRO-95 Quit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 16:11:47 UTC
From: gray-beard <gray-beard@tryandguess.org>
Message-ID: <-96dnUnohMleY2fZnZ2dnUVZ_qSdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 6352

In your owners manual under truobleshooting there is a section on how to
reset and how to initialize the scanner.
If you don't have a manual you can join the Pro93-95 Yahoo group and
download one there.

GB

Cass Lewart wrote:
> Cass Lewart (rlewart@shell.monmouth.com) wrote:
> : My Pro-95 suddenly stopped responding to the keyboard. It is locked up on a
> : channel and there is no way to make it do anything. It does not respond to the
> : Lock/Light key either. Using WIN-95 program and the serial cable I was able to
> : clear all channels but it still does not respond to the keyboard. Please help!
> : Cass
>
> Would appreciate any info on factory reset.
> Cass

--
"There's no way to rule innocent men. The only power any government
has is the power to crack down on criminals. Well, when there aren't
enough criminals, one makes them. One declares so many things to be a
crime that it becomes impossible to live without breaking laws."

-- Ayn Rand, Atlas Shrugged

4223. Re: trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 16:14:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <edvlf2pqfvf4ut81hbj59r2im3cc2ovlrp@4ax.com>
Source record: 6353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 3 Sep 2006 10:03:55 -0400, "Fred Hambrecht" <w4jle@w4jle.com>
wrote:

>Wah! Wah! Wah! Boo fricken Hoo... the mean old coder is picking on me... Get
>a life! Anyone that can get that emotional over not getting their way has
>more issues than not being able to copy code.
not geting emotional

just calling a jerk a jerk
>
>You may want to consult a mental health worker...
why? to help dianose your problem?
>
>"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1157252129.662561.277400@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Fred Hambrecht wrote:
>>> If you read beyond that line, you would have seen I was fulfilling the
>>> expectations of the no code troll...
>>
>> you were the stand procode jerk you mean
>>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4224. Re: trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 16:36:32 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1157301392.007432.276440@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6354
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sun, Sep 3 2006 6:17 am

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Sep 2 2006 3:43 pm
>> >Fred Hambrecht wrote:

>> >> We are better than you! Was there ever any doubt? If you can't learn code
>> >> you are not only stupid but lazy as well! You have a welfare license, shut
>> >> up and enjoy what was given to your whining ass...
>> >
>> >Mr Congenialty has spoken.
>>
>> Brian, sudden realization! We may have found the illegitimate
>> biological father of Stebie Robeson!
>>
>> Same ego, same "better than you" bad attitude, same lack of good
>> fellowship, same 9-year-old's sass, same-o same-o! Incredible!
>>
>> Then again he may be a cousin to that anony-mousie "Slow Code."
>> Lots of inbreeding going on in the morsemen ranks.
>
>The family tree has few branches.

The roots are dead, petrified (of change).

>> I wonder where Jimmy Noserve is hiding? Fred said "shut up!"
>> Jimmy would have a hissy fit if'n he saw that...:-)
>
>I wonder where robesin is hiding? Fred said "ass..."

Jimmie Noserve showed up with his bimonthly Numbers (his period
on?)...still trying to "prove" that Technician class licensees
aren't really no-coders. :-)

Robeson showed up wondering who "Robesin" is...only proving that
the poor guy can't realize it is himself. He always was
illiterate in regards to alliteration.

>Welp, if Fred had a tour in Ohio as an Army recruiter back in the 70s...

Ya know, that always bothered me. Why would an ex-NAVY person
be "recruiting for the ARMY"? [explanation for the trash-mouth
newcomers: Robesin once claimed - in here - that was what his
late father did, but didn't say when or exactly where] The Army
has always used active-duty Army NCOs for such tasks since before
1973 (the ending of the "Draft"). Strange LIE by Robeson, must

have been done at time of some bad goods he swiped out of the Sharps box.

A "Sharps box" is colloquial term for a plastic container (usually wall mounted) for biological waste in medical facilities, sometimes referred to as just a "Sharps." Made by B. D. Sharps company and not affiliated with the dullness of the pro-coders. They can be seen in most doctors' offices and hospitals all over the country.

Robesin is going to come back and say "it's not nice to talk about medical things" as if he is some kind of code caduceus bearing "judge" of medicine. :-) He is a nurse (probably using a wastebasket-salvaged 1983 edition "Physician's Desk Reference" as his medical terminology guide). If he REALLY wanted some REAL rank, he could devote the next 9 years of his life to add MD after his name and honestly say he "saves lives." Tsk, he can't save his own life from the disease of impersonation. May a colony of helicobacter pylori set up housekeeping in his duodenum! :-) [he can share that with papa Fred]

Freddie baby is prolly setting up a spittle session in here bitching and bad-mouthing anyone who doesn't want to relive times past a half-century ago when Kode was King. We'll see what his kind of foaming-at-the-mouth trash talk against "no-coders" will be. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4225. Re: trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 16:52:28 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1157302348.089072.81130@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6355
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sun, Sep 3 2006 6:17 am
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Sep 2 2006 3:43 pm

> >> Then again he may be a cousin to that anony-mousie "Slow Code."
> >> Lots of inbreeding going on in the morsemen ranks.
> >>
> >The family tree has few branches.
>
> The roots are dead, petrified (of change).
" whn the family becomes a family bush you can't can't hide as much
under it" Regent to the Centari Reblic B5 JMS
>
> >> I wonder where Jimmy Noserve is hiding? Fred said "shut up!"
> >> Jimmy would have a hissy fit if'n he saw that...:-)
> >>
> >I wonder where robesin is hiding? Fred said "ass..."
>
> Jimmie Noserve showed up with his bimonthly Numbers (his period
> on?)...still trying to "prove" that Technician class licensees
> aren't really no-coders. :-)

interest that he still does that of course he still goes on when he
does post about being robbed in "incentive licensing" back roughly 50
years there was the real mistake and all the result of the ARRL's power
being misused, everything since has been trying to clean up the mess
that caused

Tell before that point what was the Code test speed reqrired for the
General License? some where I recall it being 5 wpm
>
> Robeson showed up wondering who "Robesin" is...only proving that
> the poor guy can't realize it is himself. He always was
> illiterate in regards to alliteration.

nah he was trying to PRETED he does not know

Deny Robeson what you will he does that well (and vilely)
>
>
> >Welp, if Fred had a tour in Ohio as an Army recruiter back in the 70s...
>
> Ya know, that always bothered me. Why would an ex-NAVY person

> be "recruiting for the ARMY"? [explanation for the trash-mouth
> newcomers: Robesin once claimed - in here - that was what his
> late father did, but didn't say when or exactly where] The Army
> has always used active-duty Army NCOs for such tasks since before
> 1973 (the ending of the "Draft"). Strange LIE by Robeson, must
> have been done at time of some bad goods he swiped out of the
> Sharps box.

Indeed I comented on that last year said th Bio Steve wrote for his old
looked like a lie that produced another of his aleged lawsuits that was
going to filed against me
>
> A "Sharps box" is colloquial term for a plastic container
> (usually wall mounted) for biological waste in medical
> facilities, sometimes referred to as just a "Sharps." Made by
> B. D. Sharps company and not affiliated with the dullness of
> the pro-coders. They can be seen in most doctors' offices
> and hospitals all over the country.
>
> Robesin is going to come back and say "it's not nice to talk
> about medical things" as if he is some kind of code caduceus
> bearing "judge" of medicine. :-) He is a nurse (probably
> using a wastebasket-salvaged 1983 edition "Physician's Desk
> Reference" as his medical terminology guide). If he REALLY
> wanted some REAL rank, he could devote the next 9 years of
> his life to add MD after his name and honestly say he "saves
> lives." Tsk, he can't save his own life from the disease of
> impersonation. May a colony of helicobacter pylori set up
> housekeeping in his duodenum! :-) [he can share that with
> papa Fred]

I don't think Robeson could cut it

leaving ot the issue of could Robeson do the courework (I am not surre
I could) he could never survive the process with his temper he'd blow
somewhere and likely kill some one (either by fucking up or flat out
murder) he dropped out of Nursing school by his own admission (maybe he
was asked to leave who knows)
>
> Freddie baby is prolly setting up a spittle session in here
> bitching and bad-mouthing anyone who doesn't want to relive
> times past a half-century ago when Kode was King. We'll see
> what his kind of foaming-at-the-mouth trash talk against "no-
> coders" will be. :-)

yep unless the FCC grants us the mercy of the R&O first
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

4226. Looking for free online scanner freq database for non trunked freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 16:58:05 UTC
From: The Polish-Kraut <krautDELETE7540722@yahooo.com>
Message-ID: <qkcmf256v5am4ec6hnhod6qa3g8o4pjl46@4ax.com>
Source record: 6356

Looking for free online scanner freq database for non trunked freqs.

Does anyone know of any. Used to use Percon ages ago but ------

Thanks for any help in advance.

4227. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 17:09:37 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1157303377.078933.152090@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6357
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Slow Code on Fri, Sep 1 2006 5:36 pm
>
>
> >"LenAnder...@ieee.org" <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in
> >> Rick Frazier wrote:

> >>> seeking mental help. If you don't think you need therapy, how about
> >>> you get a life and if you are so Pro-CW, why aren't you on the bands
> >>> right now?
>
> Excellent question, "Slow." Why didn't you answer it?
becuase the answer is that there is nobody on we wants to "talk' to
>
> >>> Though I'm an Extra and passed the CW requirements shouldn't make a
> >>> difference if I choose to operate phone. If the requirements change
> >>> and new Hams aren't required to take the code test, am I going to be
> >>> pissed?
> >>> Hell no, because I took the tests when I did because I wanted to be a
> >>> productive part of this hobby, not wait for an easier ticket in. I
> >>> learned it, just as a huge number of others have, but none of us wish
> >>> to push it like you seem to want to. Even the most die-hard CW fanatic
> >>> is spending his time on the bands, not on the internet trolling for
> >>> arguments.
>
> Nobody responding to your CQs, "Slow?" Is that why you are so
> up-tight and angry in this forum?

and still holding forlorn hope the FCC will somehow make people see the
light and use CW

the CW has seen the light that being they betrayed the ARS by listening
to the ARRL what 50 years ago

> >>> they wouldn't dare push it very far. On the other hand, the likelihood
> >>> of actually being believed using standard, HF and CW procedures, or
> >>> getting help in a timely manner to actually save a life is an extremely
> >>> remote chance...
>
> You haven't answered that from a licensed amateur Extra, "Slow."
> Why haven't you? Is it possible you don't know of anything in
> radio except what the ARRL has spoon-fed you?
perhaps not even that
>

>
> >>> I rarely filter anyone, but you've definitely earned the "plonk" of
> >>> being filtered. Say Bye-Bye ! ! !
>
> "Slow," you've earned that "plonk" many times over.
>
> >>> --Rick AH7H
> >
> >> Thank you, Rick! You spoke volumes of reality in this new
> >> millennium.
> >
> >And you're still just as stupid as you were before you read it.
>
> Now, now, "Slow," you are starting to sound like one of those
> inbred bigoted morsemen in here. You can't discuss anything
> reasonable-like, only cuss at those who disagree with you. :-)

yet I do wonder if he isn't Robeson somedays but I am pretty sure he is
just another bitter old that bought into "incetive Licesning) the brain
child of the ARRL
>
> >Now go bullshit with Dr Death on 11 meters, and don't come back until you
> >pull your head out of your ass.
>
> I don't know any "Dr Death," "Slow," nor do I operate on
> "11 meters."
>
> Further, you are ten kinds of short on ability to threaten.
> Your threats and "orders" become recycled electrons doing
> nothing but dissipating a tiny bit of heat. <yawn>

amasing how they keep resorting to threats and orders
>
> Take YOUR beloved morse code test and shove it up YOUR ass.
> Push real hard...there seems to be an obstruction there.
> Must be your own four neurons in the way.
>
> Beep, beep
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

4228. Re: Looking for free online scanner freq database for non trunked freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 17:21:14 UTC
From: gray-beard <gray-beard@tryandguess.org>
Message-ID: <WeedndgYae2XkmbZnZ2dnUVZ_oKdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 6358

http://www.radioreference.com/

They are free to join. They ask for a donation to get the files to upload to the popular trunked scanners. But the databases are free. To join it is only $2.50 a month. Very cheap considering you may spend that much daily at 7-11 for a cup of coffee in the morning and a big-gulp later in the day.

GB

"There's no way to rule innocent men. The only power any government has is the power to crack down on criminals. Well, when there aren't enough criminals, one makes them. One declares so many things to be a crime that it becomes impossible to live without breaking laws."

-- Ayn Rand, Atlas Shrugged

4229. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 17:39:58 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1157305198.656554.35920@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6359
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: an old friend on Sat, Sep 2 2006 7:58 pm

>JDB wrote:
>> So who are you remaining five guys going to talk to?

>not qutie that bad but Slow code does like llots of BW

Correction, Mark, Blow Code likes lots of BS. :-)

He also wants lots of attention and might want to be the
successor to that military impostor Robeson.

>> BTW, I didn't know we lost ham radio and need to take it back.
>
>his idealogy lostcotrol of Ham Radio completely about 20 years ago with
>the introduction of the No Code license

Actually 16 years ago (1990) but the movement to end the
morse code testing for AMATEURS had begun much earlier than
that.

Prior to the opening of the Internet to the public in 1991
the ARRL could retain attornies in DC to promote Hiram
Percy's ideals of morsemanship. [paid for by ARRL
members] However, no-code-test advocates did manage to
get their Petition into the NPRM stage in 1990. That
passed into LAW in 1991 and the rabid morsemen have
been frothing at the mouth over it ever since.

Interestingly enough, the ARRL had to retain a lobbyist
organization after the Internet went public...in addition
to the law firm on retainer. [also paid for by ARRL
members] The competition for the FCC's ear had grown
now that amateurs could "talk" to the FCC directly instead
of being filtered through the ARRL. Rightly so since the
ARRL membership has never been more than a quarter of all
licensed US radio amateurs. Democratic principles in
action for this republic, not the monopolistic pseudo-
"representation" of a minority group organization.

>he is going to whine for another 50 year or till he drops dead

Has Blow Code ever identified himself by callsign?

Blow Code is more likely just one of the 'others' under
a new pseudonym. Either that or the pro-code-testers are
just all parroting the ARRL dicta (or is that "papal

encyclical'?) from the Church of St. Hiram in Newington.

>> Blow Code, think before you type will you? You spend entirely too much
>> time on the computers. Get on the radio and talk to all the old timers
>> on CW will you? They are lonely and need you there.
>
>thinking? I realy don't thik he can do that he seems to be one of those
>fellows for whom Narrow Band OOKed RF causes brain problems

My take on that is the monotonic beeping did it. :-)

Rabid morsemen reject all communications outside of their
very tight little RF spectra and sing praises of their
very narrowband mental viewpoints. Maybe that's what
they think is "intellectualism." :-)

Or, it may be some undiscovered malady in their brain
which also keeps them from advancing beyond their mental
youth. They can't think "outside their box" but then few
morsemen can think inside the box containing their radio
circuitry. It seems that anything other than their
twitching fingers carressing their code keys is some
mysterious, alien "force" in those radio boxes, a deep
mystery to them. The signs pointing to that are their
inability to talk technical other than parroting (again)
the ARRL information spoon-fed them. That lack of self-
confidence or insecurity may lead to their defensive
"we are better than you!" shouting about their beloved
psycho-motor skill of morsemanship.

Gotta love their LACK of technical details, all the time
praising to the skies of their narrowband signals yet an
almost utter lack of understanding Shannon's Law, the
universal relationship of message rate, random noise,
information error, and bandwidth. Maybe they can't
THINK faster than 20 to 40 words per minute? :-)

US amateur radio appears to be quite alive and well today.
That despite the ARRL's insistence that all amateurs
should emulate the standards and practices of the 1930s.
Instead of trying to take a neutral, hands-off policy on
code testing, the ARRL ought to look at itself and the
reason they can't get membership from the three-quarters
of all amateur licensees in the USA. That majority IS
the future of US amateur radio, not the frozen-in-their-
youth delusions of some olde-tyme morsemen.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4230. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 17:53:23 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1157306003.327843.205450@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6360
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: an old friend on Sat, Sep 2 2006 7:58 pm
>
>
> >JDB wrote:
> >> So who are you remaining five guys going to talk to?
>
> >not qutie that bad but Slow code does like llots of BW
>
> Correction, Mark, Blow Code likes lots of BS. :-)
that two
>
> He also wants lots of attention and might want to be the
> successor to that military impostor Robeson.

indeed asuming he isn't Robeson using the net to hide some more
>
>
> >> BTW, I didn't know we lost ham radio and need to take it back.
> >
> >his idealogy lostcotrol of Ham Radio completely about 20 years ago with
> >the introduction of the No Code license
>
> Actually 16 years ago (1990) but the movement to end the
> morse code testing for AMATEURS had begun much earlier than
> that.
>
> Prior to the opening of the Internet to the public in 1991
> the ARRL could retain attornies in DC to promote Hiram
> Percy's ideals of morsemanship. [paid for by ARRL
> members] However, no-code-test advocates did manage to
> get their Petition into the NPRM stage in 1990. That
> passed into LAW in 1991 and the rabid morsemen have
> been frothing at the mouth over it ever since.
>
> Interestingly enough, the ARRL had to retain a lobbyist
> organization after the Internet went public...in addition
> to the law firm on retainer. [also paid for by ARRL
> members] The competition for the FCC's ear had grown
> now that amateurs could "talk" to the FCC directly instead
> of being filtered through the ARRL. Rightly so since the
> ARRL membership has never been more than a quarter of all
> licensed US radio amateurs. Democratic principles in

> action for this republic, not the monopolistic pseudo-
> "representation" of a minority group organization.
>
> >he is going to whine for another 50 year or till he drops dead
>
> Has Blow Code ever identified himself by callsign?

nope and likely never will
>
> Blow Code is more likely just one of the 'others' under
> a new pseudonym. Either that or the pro-code-testers are
> just all parroting the ARRL dicta (or is that "papal
> encyclical'?) from the Church of St. Hiram in Newington.

the problem with makig an ID is that so many of of tem were stamped out
of the same warped mold
>
> >> Blow Code, think before you type will you? You spend entirely too much
> >> time on the computers. Get on the radio and talk to all the old timers
> >> on CW will you? They are lonely and need you there.
> >
> >thinking? I realy don't thik he can do that he seems to be one of those
> >fellows for whom Narrow Band OOKed RF causes brain problems
>
> My take on that is the monotonic beeping did it. :-)
>
> Rabid morsemen reject all communications outside of their
> very tight little RF spectra and sing praises of their
> very narrowband mental viewpoints. Maybe that's what
> they think is "intellectualism." :-)
>
> Or, it may be some undiscovered malady in their brain
> which also keeps them from advancing beyond their mental
> youth. They can't think "outside their box" but then few
> morsemen can think inside the box containing their radio
> circuitry. It seems that anything other than their
> twitching fingers carressing their code keys is some
> mysterious, alien "force" in those radio boxes, a deep
> mystery to them. The signs pointing to that are their
> inability to talk technical other than parroting (again)
> the ARRL information spoon-fed them. That lack of self-
> confidence or insecurity may lead to their defensive
> "we are better than you!" shouting about their beloved
> psycho-motor skill of morsemanship.
>
> Gotta love their LACK of technical details, all the time
> praising to the skies of their narrowband signals yet an
> almost utter lack of understanding Shannon's Law, the
> universal relationship of message rate, random noise,
> information error, and bandwidth. Maybe they can't
> THINK faster than 20 to 40 words per minute? :-)

>
> US amateur radio appears to be quite alive and well today.
> That despite the ARRL's insistence that all amateurs
> should emulate the standards and practices of the 1930s.
> Instead of trying to take a neutral, hands-off policy on
> code testing, the ARRL ought to look at itself and the
> reason they can't get membership from the three-quarters
> of all amateur licensees in the USA. That majority IS
> the future of US amateur radio, not the frozen-in-their-
> youth delusions of some olde-tyme morsemen.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

indeed

4231. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 18:02:47 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1157306567.831444.114230@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6361
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old friend wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: an old friend on Sat, Sep 2 2006 7:58 pm
> >
> >
> > >JDB wrote:
> > >> So who are you remaining five guys going to talk to?
> >
> > >not qutie that bad but Slow code does like llots of BW
> >
> > Correction, Mark, Blow Code likes lots of BS. :-)
> that two
> >
> > He also wants lots of attention and might want to be the
> > successor to that military impostor Robeson.
>
> indeed asuming he isn't Robeson using the net to hide some more

I doubt it. Robesin has a peculiar format in his text and shouts
denigrations to others too much.

> > >> BTW, I didn't know we lost ham radio and need to take it back.
> > >
> > >his idealogy lostcotrol of Ham Radio completely about 20 years ago with
> > >the introduction of the No Code license
> >
> > Actually 16 years ago (1990) but the movement to end the
> > morse code testing for AMATEURS had begun much earlier than
> > that.
> >
> > Prior to the opening of the Internet to the public in 1991
> > the ARRL could retain attornies in DC to promote Hiram
> > Percy's ideals of morsemanship. [paid for by ARRL
> > members] However, no-code-test advocates did manage to
> > get their Petition into the NPRM stage in 1990. That
> > passed into LAW in 1991 and the rabid morsemen have
> > been frothing at the mouth over it ever since.
> >
> > Interestingly enough, the ARRL had to retain a lobbyist
> > organization after the Internet went public...in addition
> > to the law firm on retainer. [also paid for by ARRL
> > members] The competition for the FCC's ear had grown
> > now that amateurs could "talk" to the FCC directly instead
> > of being filtered through the ARRL. Rightly so since the

> > ARRL membership has never been more than a quarter of all
> > licensed US radio amateurs. Democratic principles in
> > action for this republic, not the monopolistic pseudo-
> > "representation" of a minority group organization.
> >
> > >he is going to whine for another 50 year or till he drops dead
> >
> > Has Blow Code ever identified himself by callsign?
>
> nope and likely never will

"Well, there we have it" as Ed Hare liked to write in here... :-)

> > Blow Code is more likely just one of the 'others' under
> > a new pseudonym. Either that or the pro-code-testers are
> > just all parroting the ARRL dicta (or is that "papal
> > encyclical'?) from the Church of St. Hiram in Newington.
>
> the problem with makig an ID is that so many of of tem were stamped out
> of the same warped mold

That mold isn't so much warped as just OLD. It was fine in 1930
but 1930 is 76 years ago.

> > >> Blow Code, think before you type will you? You spend entirely too much
> > >> time on the computers. Get on the radio and talk to all the old timers
> > >> on CW will you? They are lonely and need you there.
> > >
> > >thinking? I realy don't thik he can do that he seems to be one of those
> > >fellows for whom Narrow Band OOKed RF causes brain problems
> >
> > My take on that is the monotonic beeping did it. :-)
> >
> > Rabid morsemen reject all communications outside of their
> > very tight little RF spectra and sing praises of their
> > very narrowband mental viewpoints. Maybe that's what
> > they think is "intellectualism." :-)
> >
> > Or, it may be some undiscovered malady in their brain
> > which also keeps them from advancing beyond their mental
> > youth. They can't think "outside their box" but then few
> > morsemen can think inside the box containing their radio
> > circuitry. It seems that anything other than their
> > twitching fingers carressing their code keys is some
> > mysterious, alien "force" in those radio boxes, a deep
> > mystery to them. The signs pointing to that are their
> > inability to talk technical other than parroting (again)
> > the ARRL information spoon-fed them. That lack of self-
> > confidence or insecurity may lead to their defensive
> > "we are better than you!" shouting about their beloved
> > psycho-motor skill of morsemanship.

> >
> > Gotta love their LACK of technical details, all the time
> > praising to the skies of their narrowband signals yet an
> > almost utter lack of understanding Shannon's Law, the
> > universal relationship of message rate, random noise,
> > information error, and bandwidth. Maybe they can't
> > THINK faster than 20 to 40 words per minute? :-)
> >
> > US amateur radio appears to be quite alive and well today.
> > That despite the ARRL's insistence that all amateurs
> > should emulate the standards and practices of the 1930s.
> > Instead of trying to take a neutral, hands-off policy on
> > code testing, the ARRL ought to look at itself and the
> > reason they can't get membership from the three-quarters
> > of all amateur licensees in the USA. That majority IS
> > the future of US amateur radio, not the frozen-in-their-
> > youth delusions of some olde-tyme morsemen.
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> indeed

Thank you, T'ealc. :-) [let's send the morsemen through the
Stargate...one way...}

4232. Re: trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 18:22:31 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1157307751.743341.91370@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old friend wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sun, Sep 3 2006 6:17 am
> >
> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Sep 2 2006 3:43 pm
>
> > >> Then again he may be a cousin to that anony-mousie "Slow Code."
> > >> Lots of inbreeding going on in the morsemen ranks.
> > >
> > >The family tree has few branches.
> >
> > The roots are dead, petrified (of change).
>
> " whn the family becomes a family bush you can't can't hide as much
> under it" Regent to the Centari Reblic B5 JMS

Ah! Another fan! [too bad that B5 got cancelled...]

> > >> I wonder where Jimmy Noserve is hiding? Fred said "shut up!"
> > >> Jimmy would have a hissy fit if'n he saw that...:-)
> > >
> > >I wonder where robesin is hiding? Fred said "ass..."
> >
> > Jimmie Noserve showed up with his bimonthly Numbers (his period
> > on?)...still trying to "prove" that Technician class licensees
> > aren't really no-coders. :-)
>
> interest that he still does that of course he still goes on when he
> does post about being robbed in "incentive licensing" back roughly 50
> years there was the real mistake and all the result of the ARRL's power
> being misused, everything since has been trying to clean up the mess
> that caused

Jimmie Noserve got hopeless when he tried to be some kind of
"informed insider" into the aerospace business here and his
attempts to "correct" REAL military veterans. He also tried to
foist off his old "$100 rig" as his own design but it was really a
near-copy of the "HBR" series that began in QST years ago.
Jimmie made the mistake of posting on that (relatively new
website) showing his HBR version, the very same photos he
had in a link posted here when he claimed it was all "original."
:-)

> Tell before that point what was the Code test speed reqrired for the
> General License? some where I recall it being 5 wpm
> >
> > Robeson showed up wondering who "Robesin" is...only proving that
> > the poor guy can't realize it is himself. He always was
> > illiterate in regards to alliteration.
>
> nah he was trying to PRETED he does not know
>
> Deny Robeson what you will he does that well (and vilely)

Well, Robesin IS a PRETENDER to be sure... :-)

> > >Welp, if Fred had a tour in Ohio as an Army recruiter back in the 70s...
> >
> > Ya know, that always bothered me. Why would an ex-NAVY person
> > be "recruiting for the ARMY"? [explanation for the trash-mouth
> > newcomers: Robesin once claimed - in here - that was what his
> > late father did, but didn't say when or exactly where] The Army
> > has always used active-duty Army NCOs for such tasks since before
> > 1973 (the ending of the "Draft"). Strange LIE by Robeson, must
> > have been done at time of some bad goods he swiped out of the
> > Sharps box.
>
> Indeed I comented on that last year said th Bio Steve wrote for his old
> looked like a lie that produced another of his aleged lawsuits that was
> going to filed against me

If he keeps up all those civil suit theats he may have to open a
clothing store...

> > A "Sharps box" is colloquial term for a plastic container
> > (usually wall mounted) for biological waste in medical
> > facilities, sometimes referred to as just a "Sharps." Made by
> > B. D. Sharps company and not affiliated with the dullness of
> > the pro-coders. They can be seen in most doctors' offices
> > and hospitals all over the country.
> >
> > Robesin is going to come back and say "it's not nice to talk
> > about medical things" as if he is some kind of code caduceus
> > bearing "judge" of medicine. :-) He is a nurse (probably
> > using a wastebasket-salvaged 1983 edition "Physician's Desk
> > Reference" as his medical terminology guide). If he REALLY
> > wanted some REAL rank, he could devote the next 9 years of
> > his life to add MD after his name and honestly say he "saves
> > lives." Tsk, he can't save his own life from the disease of
> > impersonation. May a colony of helicobacter pylori set up
> > housekeeping in his duodenum! :-) [he can share that with
> > papa Fred]
>
> I don't think Robeson could cut it
>

> leaving ot the issue of could Robeson do the courework (I am not surre
> I could) he could never survive the process with his temper he'd blow
> somewhere and likely kill some one (either by fucking up or flat out
> murder) he dropped out of Nursing school by his own admission (maybe he
> was asked to leave who knows)

Robesin has so MANY variations on his "experiences" that it isn't
worthwhile trying to keep up... :-)

> > Freddie baby is prolly setting up a spittle session in here
> > bitching and bad-mouthing anyone who doesn't want to relive
> > times past a half-century ago when Kode was King. We'll see
> > what his kind of foaming-at-the-mouth trash talk against "no-
> > coders" will be. :-)
>
> yep unless the FCC grants us the mercy of the R&O first

Tsk, that won't stop the "investment" olde-tymers...they will be
bad-mouthing no-code-test-advocates until their code keys are
pried from cold, dead fingers.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4233. Re: trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 18:28:32 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1157308112.737588.110220@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On Sun, 3 Sep 2006 10:03:55 -0400, "Fred Hambrecht" <w4jle@w4jle.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Wah! Wah! Wah! Boo fricken Hoo... the mean old coder is picking on me... Get
> >a life! Anyone that can get that emotional over not getting their way has
> >more issues than not being able to copy code.
>
> not geting emotional
>
> just calling a jerk a jerk

Congenial Freddie got rather emotional there... :-)

> >You may want to consult a mental health worker...
>
> why? to help dianose your problem?

Freddie wouldn't go... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4234. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 19:06:30 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1157310390.183964.233040@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6364
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > From: an old friend on Sat, Sep 2 2006 7:58 pm

> > indeed asuming he isn't Robeson using the net to hide some more
>
> I doubt it. Robesin has a peculiar format in his text and shouts
> denigrations to others too much.
so do I although he has his moment
>
> > > >> BTW, I didn't know we lost ham radio and need to take it back.
r identified himself by callsign?
> >
> > nope and likely never will
>
> "Well, there we have it" as Ed Hare liked to write in here... :-)
>
>
> > > Blow Code is more likely just one of the 'others' under
> > > a new pseudonym. Either that or the pro-code-testers are
> > > just all parroting the ARRL dicta (or is that "papal
> > > encyclical'?) from the Church of St. Hiram in Newington.
> >
> > the problem with makig an ID is that so many of of tem were stamped out
> > of the same warped mold
>
> That mold isn't so much warped as just OLD. It was fine in 1930
> but 1930 is 76 years ago.
it is warped if nothing else from doing that muchstamping even if it
fit all though years ago

indeed I supect it bent abit some 30 years when it tried to put it
stamp on ME
>

> > indeed
>
> Thank you, T'ealc. :-) [let's send the morsemen through the
> Stargate...one way...}
do they have to get through at all can' t we leave them in limbo
somehow Id hate to polute some other world

4235. Re: trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 19:08:25 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1157310505.853574.33470@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> > On Sun, 3 Sep 2006 10:03:55 -0400, "Fred Hambrecht" <w4jle@w4jle.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Wah! Wah! Wah! Boo fricken Hoo... the mean old coder is picking on me... Get
> > >a life! Anyone that can get that emotional over not getting their way has
> > >more issues than not being able to copy code.
> >
> > not geting emotional
> >
> > just calling a jerk a jerk
>
> Congenial Freddie got rather emotional there... :-)

indeed and who is getting there way
>
> > >You may want to consult a mental health worker...
> >
> > why? to help dianose your problem?
>
> Freddie wouldn't go... :-)

yep would never go and only be draged there kick and beeping
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

4236. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 20:00:21 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1157313621.225114.279900@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6366
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: an old friend on Sun, Sep 3 2006 10:09 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Slow Code on Fri, Sep 1 2006 5:36 pm
>> >"LenAnder...@ieee.org" <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in
>> >> Rick Frazier wrote:
>> >>> seeking mental help. If you don't think you need therapy, how about
>> >>> you get a life and if you are so Pro-CW, why aren't you on the bands
>> >>> right now?
>
>> Excellent question, "Slow." Why didn't you answer it?
>
>becuase the answer is that there is nobody on we wants to "talk' to

Or maybe nobody wants to talk to him... :-)

>the CW has seen the light that being they betrayed the ARS by listening
>to the ARRL what 50 years ago

I don't think the ARRL "betrayed the ARS". I believe that they
sincerely thought that morsemanship was THEN a topmost skill
of US radio amtaeurs. Fifty years ago would be 1956 and not
long after the passing of ARRL co-founder (and president-for-
life) Hiram Percy Maxim. "T.O.M." used his editorial pages
to promote morsemanship in the 1920s and 1930s.

The original core group of the ARRL were go-getters and smart
enough to realize that, to make enough money as an organization
that came out on top, PUBLICATIONS were the key to survival.
ARRL was first a very small group of local New Englanders,
formed 5 years after the first (and still surviving) national
organization, the Radio Club of America. There were lots of
"national club" competitors in the 1920s but those eventually
dropped out. RCA still exists but is not much concerned with
amateur radio.

Prior to the Internet going public in 1991, the only major
presence for US amateur radio in DC was the legal firm on
retainer from the ARRL. ARRL kept promoting themselves as
"representative" allegedly for the amateur to the FCC but
suspiciously more like a "filter" of amateurs' opinions.
That changed dramatically once the FCC got their website
going and ramped up to take Comments electronically. The
ARRL had to retain a second firm in DC for lobbying.

The evidence is an observation of the number and kind of Comments on 98-143 "restructuring" versus Comments on all those Petitions and last year's NPRM concerning code testing elimination. The pro-code-test advocates' Comments were straight out of the League hymn book about morsemanship with a few adding in nebulous advantages for "homeland security" necessities! [those Petitions began after 11 Sep 01]

What is more telling about the League's stubbornness on their pro-code-test stance is that the IARU took a firm stand on changing the ITU-R amateur radio regulations compulsory (by administrations) morse testing for any license having below-30-MHz privileges...the IARU wanted it OPTIONAL by all administrations (at their discretion) a good year BEFORE WRC-03. The ARRL wanted to keep the compulsory regulation. After WRC-03 the League took a neutral stance, neither for nor against code testing in the USA. It's still a "ARRL versus the World" situation.

>> >> Thank you, Rick! You spoke volumes of reality in this new
>> >> millennium.
>
>> >And you're still just as stupid as you were before you read it.
>
>> Now, now, "Slow," you are starting to sound like one of those
>> inbred bigoted morsemen in here. You can't discuss anything
>> reasonable-like, only cuss at those who disagree with you. :-)
>
>yet I do wonder if he isn't Robeson somedays but I am pretty sure he is
>just another bitter old that bought into "incetive Licesning) the brain
>child of the ARRL

It should be abundantly clear that "Incentive Licensing" was never about "advancing" in amateur radio beyond getting TITLE, RAND, and STATUS. That was VERY important to the controlling coterie of the League, folks who wanted to be "better" than others...in a hobby activity.

What "incentive licensing" DID create was just the opposite of "good fellowship" among amateurs, that of CLASS DISTINCTION and a "pecking order" based largely on morsemanship. The morsemen won it. Never mind that radio technology was already far advanced from the 1930s' style of amateur radio and that morse code was falling by the wayside in every other radio service, the League still (stubbornly) held to the belief that all amateurs "should" be able to be morse skilled...even four decades after the 1930s.

The League lobbied for and got the "vanity license" system so that olde-tymers could get their 1x2 and 2x1 super-special guru-status callsigns. Even more status symbolism. Combining "vanity" calls and "incentive licensing" there was a perfect

setup for all who managed to get both to crow and holler they
WERE BETTER than all others. Good fellowship went out the
window...rank, status, title RULED.

>> >Now go bullshit with Dr Death on 11 meters, and don't come back until you
>> >pull your head out of your ass.
>
>> I don't know any "Dr Death," "Slow," nor do I operate on
>> "11 meters."
>
>> Further, you are ten kinds of short on ability to threaten.
>> Your threats and "orders" become recycled electrons doing
>> nothing but dissipating a tiny bit of heat. <yawn>
>
>amasing how they keep resorting to threats and orders

That's all they have left in this new millennium, Mark.

Some of them, such as Blow Code and Hambrecht still think
they are "better than others" in all aspects, not just
morsemanship. They LIKE that. So much so that they are
in great personal fear of losing that very precious rank,
status, title, and privilege that MIGHT happen if the
code test is eliminated. They will LOSE their "better
than you" rationalization. Internally the sky will have
fallen on their self-perceptions.

Personally, I think radio and electronics is totally
fascinating. So much so that I made a career choice of
it while studying for an entirely different sort of
work. Professional work, not some amateur dabbling,
yet I liked to make electronic things in my home
workshop. Things other than work-related tasks. It
is FUN, personally rewarding, not "work."

I got into Big Time HF comms 53 1/2 years ago and have
seen what modes DO work well and on a 24/7 basis on
long-haul circuits that HAD to be kept working. Years
later some KID is trying to "moralize" me into "working
on morsemanship?" He (or she) can go shove it
somewhere...until he (or she) can prove they've done
more than I in radio communications...which they have
NOT done yet in here.

Once, a very long time ago, I thought that becoming a
"ham" was a cool deal. That was before the commsats,
before technology had fully gotten with the semi-
conductor era, before the wonderful way we can get
over most of the world via PCs and the Internet. Why
IS it that some have to be a grand champion of the
1930s over seven decades later? What are THEY trying
to prove? I could care less about 1930s technology

and the "radio standards" of then. I live in the NOW. If some dumbshit wants to moralize about "working" and "investing" he (or she) can go get some flagellation and suffer themselves for their own "cause." I'm not about to join him (or her) in such moralistic self-abuse/mis-use. If these self-styled emperors want to flap their new clothes in my direction, I'll just keep on pointing out that they are NAKED (and ugly).

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4237. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 20:07:20 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1157314040.033385.197370@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6367
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old friend wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > From: an old friend on Sat, Sep 2 2006 7:58 pm
>
> > > indeed asuming he isn't Robeson using the net to hide some more
> > >
> > I doubt it. Robesin has a peculiar format in his text and shouts
> > denigrations to others too much.
>
> so do I although he has his moment
> >
> > > > >> BTW, I didn't know we lost ham radio and need to take it back.
> r identified himself by callsign?
> > >
> > > nope and likely never will
> >
> > "Well, there we have it" as Ed Hare liked to write in here... :-)
> >
> >
> > > > Blow Code is more likely just one of the 'others' under
> > > > a new pseudonym. Either that or the pro-code-testers are
> > > > just all parroting the ARRL dicta (or is that "papal
> > > > encyclical'?) from the Church of St. Hiram in Newington.
> > >
> > > the problem with makig an ID is that so many of of tem were stamped out
> > > of the same warped mold
> >
> > That mold isn't so much warped as just OLD. It was fine in 1930
> > but 1930 is 76 years ago.
>
> it is warped if nothing else from doing that muchstamping even if it
> fit all though years ago
>
> indeed I supect it bent abit some 30 years when it tried to put it
> stamp on ME
>
> > > indeed
> >
> > Thank you, T'ealc. :-) [let's send the morsemen through the
> > Stargate...one way...}
>

> do they have to get through at all can' t we leave them in limbo
> somehow Id hate to polute some other world

...just the one where the Ori hang out. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org
[one who has talked with J. Michael S. on a Writer's BBS and
still can't remember how he correctly spells his surname...:-)]

4238. FA: Uniden Bearcat BC350A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 20:16:06 UTC
From: cowboy67 <cowboy67@casscomm.com>
Message-ID: <1157314565.977087.270330@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6368

Item number: 290025631051

4239. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 20:49:24 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1157316564.302182.234400@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6369
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: an old friend on Sun, Sep 3 2006 10:09 am

> >the CW has seen the light that being they betrayed the ARS by listening
> >to the ARRL what 50 years ago
>
> I don't think the ARRL "betrayed the ARS". I believe that they
> sincerely thought that morsemanship was THEN a topmost skill
> of US radio amtaeurs.

The FCC thought so too - well into the 1970s.

> Fifty years ago would be 1956 and not
> long after the passing of ARRL co-founder (and president-for-
> life) Hiram Percy Maxim.

Maxim died in 1936. 1956 was twenty years later.

> "T.O.M." used his editorial pages
> to promote morsemanship in the 1920s and 1930s.

He also promoted many other things on those pages, such as technical progress, operating skills, public service, and the observance of government regulations.

> The original core group of the ARRL were go-getters and smart
> enough to realize that, to make enough money as an organization
> that came out on top, PUBLICATIONS were the key to survival.

Publications were one way to support the organization. They also supported amateur radio by offering low-cost information specifically for the radio amateur.

> ARRL was first a very small group of local New Englanders,
> formed 5 years after the first (and still surviving) national
> organization, the Radio Club of America.

But it didn't stay that way for long. By the time of the 1917 shutdown - just three years after ARRL was founded - it was a national organization.

One of the cofounders, Charles H. Stewart, 3ZS, lived right here in Radnor, PA. Hardly "local" in those days.

> There were lots of
> "national club" competitors in the 1920s but those eventually
> dropped out.

Name some.

> RCA still exists but is not much concerned with
> amateur radio.

It is a very small organization whose main activities seem to be
honorary and historical.
>
> Prior to the Internet going public in 1991, the only major
> presence for US amateur radio in DC was the legal firm on
> retainer from the ARRL.

There was nothing to stop others from doing the same thing. Nor from
contacting FCC directly.

> ARRL kept promoting themselves as
> "representative" allegedly for the amateur to the FCC but
> suspiciously more like a "filter" of amateurs' opinions.

Why are you suspicious, Len? Anyone could petition the FCC directly,
and many did, long before the Internet and ECFS.

> That changed dramatically once the FCC got their website
> going and ramped up to take Comments electronically. The
> ARRL had to retain a second firm in DC for lobbying.

All ECFS did was to make it easier to petition and comment.

Back in the 1960s, when the changes known as "incentive licensing" were
being debated, FCC received over 6000 comments from individuals and
groups. There were at least 10 proposals besides the ARRL's. Those
other proposals were taken seriously enough by FCC to get RM numbers.

> The evidence is an observation of the number and kind of
> Comments on 98-143 "restructuring" versus Comments on
> all those Petitions and last year's NPRM concerning code
> testing elimination. The pro-code-test advocates' Comments
> were straight out of the League hymn book about morsemanship
> with a few adding in nebulous advantages for "homeland
> security" necessities! [those Petitions began after 11 Sep
> 01]

??

The fact is that the majority of individuals who commented supported
the retention of at least some Morse Code testing. The majority also
supported elimination of the Morse Code test for the General Class
license.

> What is more telling about the League's stubbornness on their
> pro-code-test stance is that the IARU took a firm stand on
> changing the ITU-R amateur radio regulations compulsory
> (by administrations) morse testing for any license having
> below-30-MHz privileges...the IARU wanted it OPTIONAL by all
> administrations (at their discretion) a good year BEFORE

> WRC-03. The ARRL wanted to keep the compulsory regulation.

Not true! Not true at all, Len.

The fact is that way back in 2000 or 2001, the ARRL BoD changed their
policy wrt S25.5. They decided to neither support nor oppose changes to
ITU-R S25.5.

Given the strong support from many other member countries to change
S25.5, the ARRL's no-opinion policy pretty much guaranteed there would
be majority support to change S25.5.

> After WRC-03 the League took a neutral stance, neither for
> nor against code testing in the USA. It's still a "ARRL
> versus the World" situation.

Wrong again, Len!

In ARRL's petition to FCC, they proposed eliminating the Morse Code
test for General but retaining it for Extra.

The majority of individuals commenting on the NPRM wanted the test
eliminated for General.

The majority of individuals commenting on the NPRM wanted the test
retained for Extra.

The two majorities are not composed of all the same individuals, but
they *are* majorities.

> >> >> Thank you, Rick! You spoke volumes of reality in this new
> >> >> millennium.
> >
> >> >And you're still just as stupid as you were before you read it.
> >
> >> Now, now, "Slow," you are starting to sound like one of those
> >> inbred bigoted morsemen in here. You can't discuss anything
> >> reasonable-like, only cuss at those who disagree with you. :-)
> >
> >yet I do wonder if he isn't Robeson somedays but I am pretty sure he is
> >just another bitter old that bought into "incetive Licesning) the brain
> >child of the ARRL
>
> It should be abundantly clear that "Incentive Licensing" was
> never about "advancing" in amateur radio beyond getting TITLE,
> RAND, and STATUS.

"RAND"?

Do you mean Remington Rand, Ayn Rand, or the South African monetary
unit?

> That was VERY important to the controlling
> coterie of the League, folks who wanted to be "better" than

> others...in a hobby activity.

Nope. That's not what it was about at all, Len. Do try to get your history straight.

The fact is that the "incentive licensing" changes were an attempt to *return* to a system something like that which existed before February 1953. The complexity of the final result was due in large part to it being pieced together from the numerous non-ARRL proposals mentioned earlier.

btw, the 1951 restructuring that gave us the license classes with names rather than letters was not primarily driven by ARRL.

> What "incentive licensing" DID create was just the opposite of
> "good fellowship" among amateurs, that of CLASS DISTINCTION
> and a "pecking order" based largely on morsemanship.

How so?

Did you forget about the written tests?

> The
> morsemen won it. Never mind that radio technology was already
> far advanced from the 1930s' style of amateur radio and that
> morse code was falling by the wayside in every other radio
> service, the League still (stubbornly) held to the belief that
> all amateurs "should" be able to be morse skilled...even four
> decades after the 1930s.

How many other radio services used Morse Code in 1966, Len?

Was there a shortage of trained radiotelegraphers during the Vietnam War?

> The League lobbied for and got the "vanity license" system so
> that olde-tymers could get their 1x2 and 2x1 super-special
> guru-status callsigns. Even more status symbolism.

Should accomplishment not be rewarded?

> Combining
> "vanity" calls and "incentive licensing" there was a perfect
> setup for all who managed to get both to crow and holler they
> WERE BETTER than all others. Good fellowship went out the
> window...rank, status, title RULED.

btw, Len, did you ever manage to get your Extra out of the box? It's been more than six and a half years now...

> >> Further, you are ten kinds of short on ability to threaten.
> >> Your threats and "orders" become recycled electrons doing
> >> nothing but dissipating a tiny bit of heat. <yawn>
> >
> >amasing how they keep resorting to threats and orders

>
> That's all they have left in this new millennium, Mark.
>
> Some of them, such as Blow Code and Hambrecht still think
> they are "better than others" in all aspects, not just
> morsemanship.

Well, maybe they are, Len. Or maybe they aren't.

Why does it bother you so much?

Do you have a need to look down on everyone?

> They LIKE that. So much so that they are
> in great personal fear of losing that very precious rank,
> status, title, and privilege that MIGHT happen if the
> code test is eliminated.

How will any currently licensed amateur lose anything if the Morse Code test is eliminated?

> They will LOSE their "better
> than you" rationalization.

How?

If they really are better than you, they'll still be better without the test. And vice-versa.

> Internally the sky will have
> fallen on their self-perceptions.
>
> Personally, I think radio and electronics is totally
> fascinating.

Me too. Amateur radio particularly.

> So much so that I made a career choice of
> it while studying for an entirely different sort of
> work.

Funded by the taxpayers, too.

> Professional work, not some amateur dabbling,
> yet I liked to make electronic things in my home
> workshop.

Does being paid for something make someone automatically "better", Len?

> Things other than work-related tasks. It
> is FUN, personally rewarding, not "work."

But not rewarding enough for you to get an amateur radio license, it seems.

Or have you gotten that Extra out of its box, as you told us you were going to do, way back on January 19, 2000?

> I got into Big Time HF comms 53 1/2 years ago and have
> seen what modes DO work well and on a 24/7 basis on
> long-haul circuits that HAD to be kept working.

Using equipment supplied and paid for by others. With a team of several hundred people trained to do the job.

That doesn't make you more qualified to judge what amateurs do - self-funded and largely self-trained.

> Years
> later some KID is trying to "moralize" me into "working
> on morsemanship?"

Is youth somehow wrong, Len?

> He (or she) can go shove it
> somewhere...until he (or she) can prove they've done
> more than I in radio communications...which they have
> NOT done yet in here.

I see.

What if someone older than you, with more radio experience, told you that you should work on your morse code skills? How would you react?

> Once, a very long time ago, I thought that becoming a
> "ham" was a cool deal. That was before the commsats,
> before technology had fully gotten with the semi-
> conductor era, before the wonderful way we can get
> over most of the world via PCs and the Internet.

What about your posting of January 19, 2000?

> Why
> IS it that some have to be a grand champion of the
> 1930s over seven decades later? What are THEY trying
> to prove? I could care less about 1930s technology
> and the "radio standards" of then. I live in the NOW.

Then why do you tell us so much about your past?

btw, if you are *not* interested in becoming a ham, why are you so vocal about the requirements?

> If some dumbshit wants to moralize about "working" and
> "investing" he (or she) can go get some flagellation
> and suffer themselves for their own "cause." I'm not
> about to join him (or her) in such moralistic self-
> abuse/mis-use.

You sure seem to spend a lot of effort arguing about it, though.

Why?

> If these self-styled emperors want to
> flap their new clothes in my direction, I'll just keep
> on pointing out that they are NAKED (and ugly).

Perhaps they are simply holding up a mirror.....

Gee, Len, it's been more than three years since the ITU treaty changed.
Some countries have eliminated Morse Code testing, some haven't, and at
least one (Canada) has worked out a unique solution to the debate.
Meanwhile the USA rules on the subject haven't changed since 2000.

Are you frustrated because your will has not become law...yet?

4240. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 20:57:06 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1157317026.807617.101680@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6370
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Now, now, "Slow," you are starting to sound like one of those
> inbred bigoted morsemen in here.

> Take YOUR beloved morse code test and shove it up YOUR ass.
> Push real hard...there seems to be an obstruction there.
> Must be your own four neurons in the way.
>
> Beep, beep

Gee, Len....do you think posting that way will cause people to change
their minds and agree with you?

Do you think FCC would be convinced by such arguments?

Is that sort of posting your idea of how a "professional" behaves?

4241. Re: Radio Shack PRO-95 Quit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 21:20:05 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <4nhmf29j8lss84dn926guldjd9o1qf6cip@4ax.com>
Source record: 6371

On 3 Sep 2006 14:18:12 GMT, rlewart@shell.monmouth.com (Cass Lewart)
wrote:

>Would appreciate any info on factory reset.

If you haven't found it yet,
<http://myweb.cableone.net/marksscanners/95/95.html#reset>

4242. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 21:41:20 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1157319680.652604.198860@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6372
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: an old friend on Sun, Sep 3 2006 10:09 am
>
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: Slow Code on Fri, Sep 1 2006 5:36 pm
> >> >"LenAnder...@ieee.org" <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in
> >> >> Rick Frazier wrote:
> >> >>> seeking mental help. If you don't think you need therapy, how about
> >> >>> you get a life and if you are so Pro-CW, why aren't you on the bands
> >> >>> right now?
> >> >
> >> >> Excellent question, "Slow." Why didn't you answer it?
> >> >
> >> >becuase the answer is that there is nobody on we wants to "talk' to
> >
> > Or maybe nobody wants to talk to him... :-)
> that too
> >
> >
> >the CW has seen the light that being they betrayed the ARS by listening
> >to the ARRL what 50 years ago
> >
> I don't think the ARRL "betrayed the ARS". I believe that they
> sincerely thought that morsemanship was THEN a topmost skill
> of US radio amtaeurs. Fifty years ago would be 1956 and not
> long after the passing of ARRL co-founder (and president-for-
> life) Hiram Percy Maxim. "T.O.M." used his editorial pages
> to promote morsemanship in the 1920s and 1930s.

I think they betrayed it wether they meant to or not by as you will
sowing the seeds for the battles that were to follow

indeed in looking only back at Maxim I submit they betaryed even him
>
> The original core group of the ARRL were go-getters and smart
> enough to realize that, to make enough money as an organization
> that came out on top, PUBLICATIONS were the key to survival.
> ARRL was first a very small group of local New Englanders,
> formed 5 years after the first (and still surviving) national
> organization, the Radio Club of America. There were lots of
> "national club" competitors in the 1920s but those eventually
> dropped out. RCA still exists but is not much concerned with
> amateur radio.

>
> Prior to the Internet going public in 1991, the only major
> presence for US amateur radio in DC was the legal firm on
> retainer from the ARRL. ARRL kept promoting themselves as
> "representative" allegedly for the amateur to the FCC but
> suspiciously more like a "filter" of amateurs' opinions.
> That changed dramatically once the FCC got their website
> going and ramped up to take Comments electronically. The
> ARRL had to retain a second firm in DC for lobbying.
>
> The evidence is an observation of the number and kind of
> Comments on 98-143 "restructuring" versus Comments on
> all those Petitions and last year's NPRM concerning code
> testing elimination. The pro-code-test advocates' Comments
> were straight out of the League hymn book about morsemanship
> with a few adding in nebulous advantages for "homeland
> security" necessities! [those Petitions began after 11 Sep
> 01]
>
> What is more telling about the League's stubbornness on their
> pro-code-test stance is that the IARU took a firm stand on
> changing the ITU-R amateur radio regulations compulsory
> (by administrations) morse testing for any license having
> below-30-MHz privileges...the IARU wanted it OPTIONAL by all
> administrations (at their discretion) a good year BEFORE
> WRC-03. The ARRL wanted to keep the compulsory regulation.
> After WRC-03 the League took a neutral stance, neither for
> nor against code testing in the USA. It's still a "ARRL
> versus the World" situation.
>
>
> >> >> Thank you, Rick! You spoke volumes of reality in this new
> >> >> millennium.
> >
> >> >And you're still just as stupid as you were before you read it.
> >
> >> Now, now, "Slow," you are starting to sound like one of those
> >> inbred bigoted morsemen in here. You can't discuss anything
> >> reasonable-like, only cuss at those who disagree with you. :-)
> >
> >yet I do wonder if he isn't Robeson somedays but I am pretty sure he is
> >just another bitter old that bought into "incetive Licesning) the brain
> >child of the ARRL
>
> It should be abundantly clear that "Incentive Licensing" was
> never about "advancing" in amateur radio beyond getting TITLE,
> RAND, and STATUS. That was VERY important to the controlling
> coterie of the League, folks who wanted to be "better" than
> others...in a hobby activity.
>

> What "incentive licensing" DID create was just the opposite of
> "good fellowship" among amateurs, that of CLASS DISTINCTION
> and a "pecking order" based largely on morsemanship. The
> morsemen won it. Never mind that radio technology was already
> far advanced from the 1930s' style of amateur radio and that
> morse code was falling by the wayside in every other radio
> service, the League still (stubbornly) held to the belief that
> all amateurs "should" be able to be morse skilled...even four
> decades after the 1930s.

and therby betraying the fundental core of the service, a change that
needs to removed altogether if possible hence my fovoring a oe 2 class
license system with the prevedlges indentical to all the lclasses that
exist (with modern radio I reconize it may be needed to have some sort
of up or out license with 10 to do it becuase of the volume of material
but the classes should be equal in preveledge and the class should not
be a publicly accsable (except on an ARS wide) basis
>
> The League lobbied for and got the "vanity license" system so
> that olde-tymers could get their 1x2 and 2x1 super-special
> guru-status callsigns. Even more status symbolism. Combining
> "vanity" calls and "incentive licensing" there was a perfect
> setup for all who managed to get both to crow and holler they
> WERE BETTER than all others. Good fellowship went out the
> window...rank, status, title RULED.
>
> >> >Now go bullshit with Dr Death on 11 meters, and don't come back until you
> >> >pull your head out of your ass.
> >
> >> I don't know any "Dr Death," "Slow," nor do I operate on
> >> "11 meters."
> >
> >> Further, you are ten kinds of short on ability to threaten.
> >> Your threats and "orders" become recycled electrons doing
> >> nothing but dissipating a tiny bit of heat. <yawn>
> >
> >amasing how they keep resorting to threats and orders
>
> That's all they have left in this new millennium, Mark.
>
> Some of them, such as Blow Code and Hambrecht still think
> they are "better than others" in all aspects, not just
> morsemanship. They LIKE that. So much so that they are
> in great personal fear of losing that very precious rank,
> status, title, and privilege that MIGHT happen if the
> code test is eliminated. They will LOSE their "better
> than you" rationalization. Internally the sky will have
> fallen on their self-perceptions.
>
> Personally, I think radio and electronics is totally

> fascinating. So much so that I made a career choice of
> it while studying for an entirely different sort of
> work. Professional work, not some amateur dabbling,
> yet I liked to make electronic things in my home
> workshop. Things other than work-related tasks. It
> is FUN, personally rewarding, not "work."
>
> I got into Big Time HF comms 53 1/2 years ago and have
> seen what modes DO work well and on a 24/7 basis on
> long-haul circuits that HAD to be kept working. Years
> later some KID is trying to "moralize" me into "working
> on morsemanship?" He (or she) can go shove it
> somewhere...until he (or she) can prove they've done
> more than I in radio communications...which they have
> NOT done yet in here.
>
> Once, a very long time ago, I thought that becoming a
> "ham" was a cool deal. That was before the commsats,
> before technology had fully gotten with the semi-
> conductor era, before the wonderful way we can get
> over most of the world via PCs and the Internet. Why
> IS it that some have to be a grand champion of the
> 1930s over seven decades later? What are THEY trying
> to prove? I could care less about 1930s technology
> and the "radio standards" of then. I live in the NOW.
> If some dumbshit wants to moralize about "working" and
> "investing" he (or she) can go get some flagellation
> and suffer themselves for their own "cause." I'm not
> about to join him (or her) in such moralistic self-
> abuse/mis-use. If these self-styled emperors want to
> flap their new clothes in my direction, I'll just keep
> on pointing out that they are NAKED (and ugly).

and inccreasingly cold and unfeeling and failing to fufill the debt
they owe to those that came before them
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

4243. Re: Looking for free online scanner freq database for non trunked freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 21:48:58 UTC
From: Steve <ranger011@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <e5IKg.4646$xV.1219@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 6373

www.cityfreq.com

"The Polish-Kraut" <krautDELETE7540722@yahooo.com> wrote in message
news:qkcmf256v5am4ec6hnhod6qa3g8o4pjl46@4ax.com...
>
>
>
> Looking for free online scanner freq database for non trunked freqs.
>
> Does anyone know of any. Used to use Percon ages ago but ------
>
> Thanks for any help in advance.
>
>

4244. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 22:00:57 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1157320857.871105.172180@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6374
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > From: an old friend on Sat, Sep 2 2006 7:58 pm
> > >
> > > > > indeed asuming he isn't Robeson using the net to hide some more
> > > >
> > > > I doubt it. Robesin has a peculiar format in his text and shouts
> > > > denigrations to others too much.
> > >
> > > so do I although he has his moment
> > > >
> > > > > > >> BTW, I didn't know we lost ham radio and need to take it back.
> > > r identified himself by callsign?
> > > > >
> > > > > nope and likely never will
> > > >
> > > > "Well, there we have it" as Ed Hare liked to write in here... :-)
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > > > Blow Code is more likely just one of the 'others' under
> > > > > > a new pseudonym. Either that or the pro-code-testers are
> > > > > > just all parroting the ARRL dicta (or is that "papal
> > > > > > encyclical'?) from the Church of St. Hiram in Newington.
> > > > >
> > > > > the problem with makig an ID is that so many of of tem were stamped out
> > > > > of the same warped mold
> > > >
> > > > That mold isn't so much warped as just OLD. It was fine in 1930
> > > > but 1930 is 76 years ago.
> > >
> > > it is warped if nothing else from doing that muchstamping even if it
> > > fit all though years ago
> > >
> > > indeed I supect it bent abit some 30 years when it tried to put it
> > > stamp on ME
> > >
> > > > > indeed
> > > >
> > > > Thank you, T'ealc. :-) [let's send the morsemen through the
> > > > Stargate...one way...}

> >
> > do they have to get through at all can' t we leave them in limbo
> > somehow Id hate to polute some other world
>
> ...just the one where the Ori hang out. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> [one who has talked with J. Michael S. on a Writer's BBS and
> still can't remember how he correctly spells his surname...:-)]
I just leave it JMS much easier that way

4245. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 22:42:13 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1157323333.200429.160460@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6375
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > Now, now, "Slow," you are starting to sound like one of those
> > inbred bigoted morsemen in here.
>
>
> > Take YOUR beloved morse code test and shove it up YOUR ass.
> > Push real hard...there seems to be an obstruction there.
> > Must be your own four neurons in the way.
> >
> > Beep, beep
>
> Gee, Len....do you think posting that way will cause people to change
> their minds and agree with you?
psoibly but not likely
>
> Do you think FCC would be convinced by such arguments?

no but then Fcc was not being addressed
>
> Is that sort of posting your idea of how a "professional" behaves?

it certainly was the proper professional response

caling a jerk a kerk is simply being honest

4246. Cleveland National Airshow Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-03 23:03:29 UTC
From: Tom Pamin <scnrfrq@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Y-2dnTW0HP7dwmbZnZ2dnUVZ_t-dnZ2d@adelphia.com>
Source record: 6376

Does anyone have the air boss frequency and any others being used at the Cleveland, Ohio Airshow this weekend?

4247. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 00:10:48 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1157328647.944398.91150@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6377
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Perhaps they are simply holding up a mirror.....
>
> Gee, Len, it's been more than three years since the ITU treaty changed.
> Some countries have eliminated Morse Code testing, some haven't, and at
> least one (Canada) has worked out a unique solution to the debate.
> Meanwhile the USA rules on the subject haven't changed since 2000.
>
> Are you frustrated because your will has not become law...yet?

Jim, welcome back. I guess Coslo's BBS was a little too quiet?

billy beeper

4248. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 00:52:42 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1157331162.181245.233010@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6378
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > Perhaps they are simply holding up a mirror.....
> >
> > Gee, Len, it's been more than three years since the ITU treaty changed.
> > Some countries have eliminated Morse Code testing, some haven't, and at
> > least one (Canada) has worked out a unique solution to the debate.
> > Meanwhile the USA rules on the subject haven't changed since 2000.
> >
> > Are you frustrated because your will has not become law...yet?
>
> Jim, welcome back. I guess Coslo's BBS was a little too quiet?
did it ever get of the virual ground?
>
> billy beeper

4249. Re: trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 01:34:52 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1157333692.094489.268450@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sun, Sep 3 2006 6:17 am
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Sep 2 2006 3:43 pm
> >> >Fred Hambrecht wrote:
>
> >> >> We are better than you! Was there ever any doubt? If you can't learn code
> >> >> you are not only stupid but lazy as well! You have a welfare license, shut
> >> >> up and enjoy what was given to your whining ass...
> >> >
> >> >Mr Congenialty has spoken.
> >>
> >> Brian, sudden realization! We may have found the illegitimate
> >> biological father of Stebie Robeson!
> >>
> >> Same ego, same "better than you" bad attitude, same lack of good
> >> fellowship, same 9-year-old's sass, same-o same-o! Incredible!
> >>
> >> Then again he may be a cousin to that anony-mousie "Slow Code."
> >> Lots of inbreeding going on in the morsemen ranks.
> >
> >The family tree has few branches.
>
> The roots are dead, petrified (of change).

Girdled.

> >> I wonder where Jimmy Noserve is hiding? Fred said "shut up!"
> >> Jimmy would have a hissy fit if'n he saw that...:-)
> >
> >I wonder where robesin is hiding? Fred said "ass..."
>
> Jimmie Noserve showed up with his bimonthly Numbers (his period
> on?)...still trying to "prove" that Technician class licensees
> aren't really no-coders. :-)

Wow. Why would he think that?

> Robeson showed up wondering who "Robesin" is...only proving that
> the poor guy can't realize it is himself. He always was
> illiterate in regards to alliteration.

He lacks self-realization and self-actualization.

> >Welp, if Fred had a tour in Ohio as an Army recruiter back in the 70s...
>
> Ya know, that always bothered me. Why would an ex-NAVY person
> be "recruiting for the ARMY"? [explanation for the trash-mouth
> newcomers: Robesin once claimed - in here - that was what his
> late father did, but didn't say when or exactly where] The Army
> has always used active-duty Army NCOs for such tasks since before
> 1973 (the ending of the "Draft"). Strange LIE by Robeson, must
> have been done at time of some bad goods he swiped out of the
> Sharps box.

He got his lies crossed-up, that's all. You're supposed to just go
along with it.

> A "Sharps box" is colloquial term for a plastic container
> (usually wall mounted) for biological waste in medical
> facilities, sometimes referred to as just a "Sharps." Made by
> B. D. Sharps company and not affiliated with the dullness of
> the pro-coders. They can be seen in most doctors' offices
> and hospitals all over the country.

Robesin as medical waste? Sure. Why not? He's a waste in so many
ways.

> Robesin is going to come back and say "it's not nice to talk
> about medical things" as if he is some kind of code caduceus
> bearing "judge" of medicine. :-) He is a nurse (probably
> using a wastebasket-salvaged 1983 edition "Physician's Desk
> Reference" as his medical terminology guide). If he REALLY
> wanted some REAL rank, he could devote the next 9 years of
> his life to add MD after his name and honestly say he "saves
> lives." Tsk, he can't save his own life from the disease of
> impersonation. May a colony of helicobacter pylori set up
> housekeeping in his duodenum! :-) [he can share that with
> papa Fred]

Did you see his "conceed" to Mark in another thread?

> Freddie baby is prolly setting up a spittle session in here
> bitching and bad-mouthing anyone who doesn't want to relive
> times past a half-century ago when Kode was King. We'll see
> what his kind of foaming-at-the-mouth trash talk against "no-
> coders" will be. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I don't care what Fred says. He's a bad impersonator of some of the
great anti-Technicians of years past...

4250. Re: trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 02:11:18 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1157335878.209469.64060@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6380
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sun, Sep 3 2006 6:17 am
> >
> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Sep 2 2006 3:43 pm
> > >> >Fred Hambrecht wrote:

> > >> Then again he may be a cousin to that anony-mousie "Slow Code."
> > >> Lots of inbreeding going on in the morsemen ranks.
> > >
> > >The family tree has few branches.
> >
> > The roots are dead, petrified (of change).
>
> Girdled.
gridle yea maybe steve should try that for his next Cap Photo
>
> > >> I wonder where Jimmy Noserve is hiding? Fred said "shut up!"
> > >> Jimmy would have a hissy fit if'n he saw that...:-)
> > >
> > >I wonder where robesin is hiding? Fred said "ass..."
> >
> > Jimmie Noserve showed up with his bimonthly Numbers (his period
> > on?)...still trying to "prove" that Technician class licensees
> > aren't really no-coders. :-)
>
> Wow. Why would he think that?
>
> > Robeson showed up wondering who "Robesin" is...only proving that
> > the poor guy can't realize it is himself. He always was
> > illiterate in regards to alliteration.
>
> He lacks self-realization and self-actualization.
>
> > >Welp, if Fred had a tour in Ohio as an Army recruiter back in the 70s...
> >
> > Ya know, that always bothered me. Why would an ex-NAVY person
> > be "recruiting for the ARMY"? [explanation for the trash-mouth
> > newcomers: Robesin once claimed - in here - that was what his
> > late father did, but didn't say when or exactly where] The Army
> > has always used active-duty Army NCOs for such tasks since before
> > 1973 (the ending of the "Draft"). Strange LIE by Robeson, must

> > have been done at time of some bad goods he swiped out of the
> > Sharps box.
>
> He got his lies crossed-up, that's all. You're supposed to just go
> along with it.

or go shop at his clothing store for a civl suit
>
> > A "Sharps box" is colloquial term for a plastic container
> > (usually wall mounted) for biological waste in medical
> > facilities, sometimes referred to as just a "Sharps." Made by
> > B. D. Sharps company and not affiliated with the dullness of
> > the pro-coders. They can be seen in most doctors' offices
> > and hospitals all over the country.
>
> Robesin as medical waste? Sure. Why not? He's a waste in so many
> ways.
>
> > Robesin is going to come back and say "it's not nice to talk
> > about medical things" as if he is some kind of code caduceus
> > bearing "judge" of medicine. :-) He is a nurse (probably
> > using a wastebasket-salvaged 1983 edition "Physician's Desk
> > Reference" as his medical terminology guide). If he REALLY
> > wanted some REAL rank, he could devote the next 9 years of
> > his life to add MD after his name and honestly say he "saves
> > lives." Tsk, he can't save his own life from the disease of
> > impersonation. May a colony of helicobacter pylori set up
> > housekeeping in his duodenum! :-) [he can share that with
> > papa Fred]
>
> Did you see his "conceed" to Mark in another thread?

did you notice how he put it said I would eat something that would on
the other guy bascialy if steve was passive in aal sex with a man or if
Robeson enaged in a fetish behavoir with another man? boy Robeson is
straight (not judeging by that line he isn't)
>
> > Freddie baby is prolly setting up a spittle session in here
> > bitching and bad-mouthing anyone who doesn't want to relive
> > times past a half-century ago when Kode was King. We'll see
> > what his kind of foaming-at-the-mouth trash talk against "no-
> > coders" will be. :-)
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> I don't care what Fred says. He's a bad impersonator of some of the
> great anti-Technicians of years past...

he may grow into the part but I doubt it

4251. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 02:27:33 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <paMKg.14280$Qf.3757@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6381
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
news:1157245927.503665.253740@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:

> These are the numbers of current, unexpired
> amateur radio licenses held by individuals
> on the stated dates, and the percentage of
> the total number of active licenses that
> class contains:
>
> As of May 14, 2000:
>
> Novice - 49,329 (7.3%)
> Technician - 205,394 (30.4%)
> Technician Plus - 128,860 (19.1%)
> General - 112,677 (16.7%)
> Advanced - 99,782 (14.8%)
> Extra - 78,750 (11.7%)
>
> Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254 (49.5%)
>
> Total General/Advanced/Extra - 291,209 (43.2%)
>
> Total all classes - 674,792
>
>
> As of September 1, 2006:
>
> Novice - 24,494 (3.7%) [decrease of 24,835]
> Technician - 284,694 (43.3%) [increase of 79,300]
> Technician Plus - 36,183 (5.5%) [decrease of 92,677]
> General - 132,213 (20.1%) [increase of 19,536]
> Advanced - 71,045 (10.8%) [decrease of 28,737]
> Extra - 108,307 (16.5%) [increase of 29,557]
>
> (percentages may not add up to exactly 100.0% due to rounding)
>
> Total Tech/TechPlus - 320,877 (48.8%) [decrease of 13,377]
>
> Total General/Advanced/Extra - 311,565 (47.4%) [increase of 20,356]
>
> Total all classes - 656,936 (decrease of 17,856)
>
> Note that these totals do not include licenses

> that have expired but are in the grace period.
>
> They also do not include club, military, RACES
> or other station-only licenses.
>
> Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new
> Novice, Technician Plus and Advanced licenses
> are no longer issued.
>
> Since April 15, 2000, FCC has renewed all existing
> Technician Plus licenses as Technician. It is therefore
> informative to consider the totals of the two classes,
> since the Technician class includes a significant
> number of Technician Plus licenses renewed as
> Technician.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Thanks Jim.

Maybe now everyone will see that dumbing things down was bad for ham radio.

73

SC

4252. Re: Don't believe everything Markie says.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 02:27:41 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <xaMKg.14285$Qf.5558@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1157250680.734131.240210@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> Dumbing down ham radio *ain't* modernizing.
> no one is dumbing it down exept the likes of yourself
>>
>> Mark is just lazy.
> nope

Why do you want to dumb down ham radio Mark, is it because you're to lazy
to put forth the effort to upgrade the normal way?

SC

4253. Re: If you saw KB9RQZ standing next to a large mud puddle would you drive close and splash him?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 02:27:49 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <FaMKg.14292$Qf.13059@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6383
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in
news:F6pKg.2139$v%4.826@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net:

> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:1157164222.192542.259610@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in
>>> news:inuef2lf28as7au0a7ithmdfgpbo56o24l@4ax.com:
>>>
>>
>>> You might have gotten some priviledges, but that was only because ham
>>> radio has been dumbed down and you didn't have to make an effort to
>>> earn them.
>>
>> funy how for all you claim to be better than I and better than those
>> that agree with me on code tesing Our view is going to prevail
>
>
> Right, shit rolls down hill.
>

on to Marks dinner plat.

SC

4254. Re: If you saw KB9RQZ standing next to a large mud puddle would you drive close and splash him?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 02:27:51 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <HaMKg.14293$Qf.1979@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6384
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Fred Hambrecht" <w4jle@w4jle.com> wrote in
news:84737$44fa2fbd$471d2636$25732@ALLTEL.NET:

> I extend fellowship to REAL hams, you no code idiots are not hams,
> simply CBers that have the ability to read at a third grade level.
>
> Everyone serves a purpose, even if only as a bad example... No code, non
> showering, non intellectial, whiners holding welfare licenses meet that
> criteria to a "T".
>
> Now that you have been responded to in the manner you accuse all code
> able hams of, you may cease your trolling...
>
> I don't really give a flip one way or another if you ever know code, I
> just wish the bunch of you would stop playing "pity me" and lamenting
> the fact you do not have a no code Jesse Jackson type to take up your
> cause. You have a license, enjoy it and if you don't care to learn the
> code, someday you won't have to. In the mean time shut up with the
> whining and get on with life.
>
> The license is only worth the investment you have in it, no effort - no
> value! If you spent half the time learning as you do bitching you would
> be at 40 WPM.
>

They just want to be appliance operators Fred, they don't care if they
can't commute. They want to pick up a microphone and start gabbing. A ham
license means nothing to them, and will mean even less if ham radio tests
are dumbed down more.

Sc

4255. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 02:27:58 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <OaMKg.14298$Qf.11592@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6385
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

JDB <liberalsrcrap@nospamgmail.com> wrote in
news:yoqKg.91174$Eh1.59203@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com:

> So who are you remaining five guys going to talk to?
>
> BTW, I didn't know we lost ham radio and need to take it back.
>
> Blow Code, think before you type will you? You spend entirely too much
> time on the computers. Get on the radio and talk to all the old timers
> on CW will you? They are lonely and need you there.

JDB, You're back.

Did you get tired of playing with your model Cho-Cho trains? You could
try stamp collecting for something else to do, but you might not be smart
enough to figure out what side of a stamp to lick.

Sc

4256. Re: You'll probably never use CW to save a life, if you're too lazy to learn it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 02:29:20 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <4cMKg.14309$Qf.1338@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6386
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1157164325.885558.260220@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>> news:1157078311.728705.311920@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>
>> > Thank you, Rick! You spoke volumes of reality in this new
>> > millennium.
>>
>>
>>
>> And you're still just as stupid as you were before you read it.
>
> trolling right along

Are you puting any effort into learning CW Mark or are you just being lazy
waiting for things to get dumbed down some more?

SC

4257. Re: You'll probably never use CW to save a life, if you're too stupid to learn it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 02:29:33 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <hcMKg.14310$Qf.6353@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6387
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1157242929.751563.162380@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> Further, you are ten kinds of short on ability to threaten.
> Your threats and "orders" become recycled electrons doing
> nothing but dissipating a tiny bit of heat. <yawn>
>
> Take YOUR beloved morse code test and shove it up YOUR ass.
> Push real hard...there seems to be an obstruction there.
> Must be your own four neurons in the way.
>
> Beep, beep
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Great news Len,

your proctologist called, they found your head.

Sc

4258. slow code on parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 02:30:57 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1157337057.630119.227160@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Slow Code wrote:
> "Fred Hambrecht" <w4jle@w4jle.com> wrote in
> news:84737$44fa2fbd$471d2636$25732@ALLTEL.NET:
>

>
> They just want to be appliance operators Fred, they don't care if they
> can't commute.
I could commute I prefer not to
```

4259. slow code on parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 02:32:19 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1157337139.412321.41620@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6389
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:

> Did you get tired of playing with your model Cho-Cho trains? You could
> try stamp collecting for something else to do, but you might not be smart
> enough to figure out what side of a stamp to lick.
slow code on parade
>
>
> Sc

4260. Re: Don't believe everything Markie says.inded you should not but you can't belive anything Slow Code says

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 02:36:28 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1157337388.121290.56170@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6390
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Slow Code wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:1157250680.734131.240210@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> Dumbing down ham radio *ain't* modernizing.
> > no one is dumbing it down exept the likes of yourself
> >>
> >> Mark is just lazy.
> > nope
>
>
> Why do you want to dumb down ham radio Mark,
I don't want to dumb it down i want to stop the silly frat house shit
you advocate
```

4261. Re: You'll probably never use CW to save a life,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 02:39:04 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1157337544.501218.216150@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6391
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
> news:1157242929.751563.162380@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> your proctologist called, they found your head.

Slow Code:kook on parade

4262. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 02:49:40 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157338180.434596.319470@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6392
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>> Thanks Jim.
>
> Maybe now everyone will see that dumbing things down was bad for ham
> radio.
wht dumbing down has occured since Ham radio was dumbed down with
higher code test speeds?
>
> 73
>
> SC

4263. Re: Looking for free online scanner freq database for non trunked freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 04:28:41 UTC
From: "M." <noemail@nomail.net>
Message-ID: <2YNKg.19$i4.73890@sooner.brightok.net>
Source record: 6393

Cityfreq is worthless, full of inaccuracies, misspellings, etc.
Radioreference is the best.

"Steve" <ranger011@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:e5IKg.4646$xV.1219@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> www.cityfreq.com
>
>
>
> "The Polish-Kraut" <krautDELETE7540722@yahooo.com> wrote in message
> news:qkcmf256v5am4ec6hnhod6qa3g8o4pjl46@4ax.com...
>>
>>
>>
>> Looking for free online scanner freq database for non trunked freqs.
>>
>> Does anyone know of any. Used to use Percon ages ago but ------
>>
>> Thanks for any help in advance.
>>
>>
>
>

4264. NASCAR ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 12:03:20 UTC
From: John Kerkes <jekerkes@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <cCUKg.5435$tU.1342@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 6394
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am going to my first nascar race in two weeks and was wondering if anyone knows where I can get a list of frequencies for the drivers and pit crews? The race is in Louden NH so anyone has track frequencies that would be great.

Thanks in Advance-John in Ct

4265. Re: Don't believe everything Markie says.inded you should not but you can't belive anything Slow Code says

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 12:38:53 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1157373533.330715.322360@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6395
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
an old fraud wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
> > news:1157250680.734131.240210@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
> >
> > >
> > > Slow Code wrote:
> > >> Dumbing down ham radio *ain't* modernizing.
> > > no one is dumbing it down exept the likes of yourself
> > >>
> > >> Mark is just lazy.
> > > nope
> >
> >
> > Why do you want to dumb down ham radio Mark,
> I don't want to dumb it down i want to stop the silly frat house shit
> you advocate

What the hell do you know about real "frat houses", you illiterate
moron, besides when you watch a rerun of "Animal House" on TV? You
sure were never in a real frat house at any time.
```

4266. Re: Anyone ever hear AB8MQ on the radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 12:41:10 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1157373670.825803.203610@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6396
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mr. Presumptive wrote:
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:aQKJg.4155$bM.1697@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > Is he as sick and disgusting on the air as he is on usenet?
> >
> > SC
>
> Someone said he allegedly was a big-time QRM'er of the
> MCARS weekend net on 7.258 but about a Year ago

Nope, that would be you, though! I hear you like to get on 7.248 and
proposition those black operators.

> after local hams DF'ed the QRM'ing signals to his general area.

Cameron?

4267. Re: Anybody get AIDS card from Slow Code lately?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 12:44:11 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1157373851.615668.83780@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6397
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Sniveling Cunt wrote:
> Does Roger's QSL card still have his Lambda Amateur Radio Club membership
> number on it, or did he take that off.

Do you still have your AIDS?

4268. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 13:22:14 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1157376133.951235.110570@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6398
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
an old fraud wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> >> Thanks Jim.
> >
> > Maybe now everyone will see that dumbing things down was bad for ham
> > radio.
> wht dumbing down has occured since Ham radio was dumbed down with
> higher code test speeds?

You can't even pass 5 wpm, Markie.
```

4269. Re: Looking for free online scanner freq database for non trunked freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 13:33:56 UTC
From: The Polish-Kraut <krautDELETE7540722@yahooo.com>
Message-ID: <m4lof2tgp0qgo93u33r5hb3g0g8nmtg5mb@4ax.com>
Source record: 6399

On Sun, 03 Sep 2006 11:21:14 -0600, gray-beard
<gray-beard@tryandguess.org> wrote:

>
>They are free to join. They ask for a donation to get the files to
>upload to the popular trunked scanners. But the databases are free.
>To join it is only $2.50 a month. Very cheap considering you may spend
>that much daily at 7-11 for a cup of coffee in the morning and a
>big-gulp later in the day.
>
>GB

Not free then IS IT !!!

4270. Re: Looking for free online scanner freq database for non trunked freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 13:39:53 UTC
From: The Polish-Kraut <krautDELETE7540722@yahooo.com>
Message-ID: <gflof2d0r4mker98nv5tjhb4dj7hudpuvt@4ax.com>
Source record: 6400

On Sun, 3 Sep 2006 23:28:41 -0500, "M." <noemail@nomail.net> wrote:

>Cityfreq is worthless, full of inaccuracies, misspellings, etc.
>Radioreference is the best.

Not free either IS IT ?!?!

4271. Re: trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 13:56:23 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1157378183.566653.224170@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6451
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> > Did you see his "conceed" to Mark in another thread?
>
> did you notice how he put it said I would eat something that would on
> the other guy bascialy if steve was passive in aal sex with a man or if
> Robeson enaged in a fetish behavoir with another man? boy Robeson is
> straight (not judeging by that line he isn't)

He has an unnatural interest in such things.

4272. Re: NASCAR ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 15:13:23 UTC
From: Don Del Grande <del_grande_news@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <r8gof29q2bpatv6i0u843o58270v0djhga@4ax.com>
Source record: 6452
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

John Kerkes wrote:

>I am going to my first nascar race in two weeks and was wondering if anyone knows where I can get a list of frequencies for the drivers and pit crews? The race is in
>Louden NH so anyone has track frequencies that would be great.

Try:
http://www.gonascargo.com/articles/nascar-scanner-frequencies.php
or
http://www.milliron.net/nascar/ (which has both primary and secondary frequencies)

-- Don

4273. Re: trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 16:21:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157386898.013968.152590@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6453
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > > Did you see his "conceed" to Mark in another thread?
> >
> > did you notice how he put it said I would eat something that would on
> > the other guy bascialy if steve was passive in aal sex with a man or if
> > Robeson enaged in a fetish behavoir with another man? boy Robeson is
> > straight (not judeging by that line he isn't)
>
> He has an unnatural interest in such things.
an ynatural interest in a lot of things, there likely is his real
pathology

4274. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 16:24:45 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1157387085.373625.293370@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6454
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: "an old friend" on Sun, Sep 3 2006 2:41 pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: an old friend on Sun, Sep 3 2006 10:09 am
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: Slow Code on Fri, Sep 1 2006 5:36 pm
>> >> >"LenAnder...@ieee.org" <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in
>> >> >> Rick Frazier wrote:
>
>> >> >>> seeking mental help. If you don't think you need therapy, how about
>> >> >>> you get a life and if you are so Pro-CW, why aren't you on the bands
>> >> >>> right now?
>> >
>> >> Excellent question, "Slow." Why didn't you answer it?
>> >
>> >becuase the answer is that there is nobody on we wants to "talk' to
>>
>> Or maybe nobody wants to talk to him... :-)
>
>that too

:-)

>> >the CW has seen the light that being they betrayed the ARS by listening
>> >to the ARRL what 50 years ago
>>
>> I don't think the ARRL "betrayed the ARS". I believe that they
>> sincerely thought that morsemanship was THEN a topmost skill
>> of US radio amtaeurs. Fifty years ago would be 1956 and not
>> long after the passing of ARRL co-founder (and president-for-
>> life) Hiram Percy Maxim. "T.O.M." used his editorial pages
>> to promote morsemanship in the 1920s and 1930s.
>
>I think they betrayed it wether they meant to or not by as you will
>sowing the seeds for the battles that were to follow
>
>indeed in looking only back at Maxim I submit they betaryed even him

Careful, careful, Mark...Sister Nun of the Above, now the
Mother SUPERIOR is BACK, ruler in hand, ready to spank the
knuckles of anyone who DARES say anything negative about
the blessed, sacred ARRL!

I was literally going back 50 years to 1956 and remembering
how both the electronics hobby and the (much, much bigger)

electronics industry was doing...preparing to move to
California and the aerospace industries that year. Frankly,
the ARRL wasn't keeping up with the electronics industry
other than keeping QST afloat with advertising revenue.
Since they were largely unaware (from their publications)
what the (then) long-haul radio communications were doing,
they couldn't really decide which way to go for amateurs.
Their decisions were based largely on ignorance, especially
that of SSB. The commercial-military folks on HF were already
USING SSB on HF and had been doing it for over two decades by
1956...yet the ARRL wanted amateurs to believe that "amateur
radio 'pioneered' SSB." :-) Bullshit.

>> >yet I do wonder if he isn't Robeson somedays but I am pretty sure he is
>> >just another bitter old that bought into "incetive Licesning) the brain
>> >child of the ARRL
>>
>> It should be abundantly clear that "Incentive Licensing" was
>> never about "advancing" in amateur radio beyond getting TITLE,
>> RAND, and STATUS. That was VERY important to the controlling
>> coterie of the League, folks who wanted to be "better" than
>> others...in a hobby activity.
>>
>> What "incentive licensing" DID create was just the opposite of
>> "good fellowship" among amateurs, that of CLASS DISTINCTION
>> and a "pecking order" based largely on morsemanship. The
>> morsemen won it. Never mind that radio technology was already
>> far advanced from the 1930s' style of amateur radio and that
>> morse code was falling by the wayside in every other radio
>> service, the League still (stubbornly) held to the belief that
>> all amateurs "should" be able to be morse skilled...even four
>> decades after the 1930s.
>
>and therby betraying the fundental core of the service, a change that
>needs to removed altogether if possible hence my fovoring a oe 2 class
>license system with the prevedlges indentical to all the lclasses that
>exist (with modern radio I reconize it may be needed to have some sort
>of up or out license with 10 to do it becuase of the volume of material
>but the classes should be equal in preveledge and the class should not
>be a publicly accsable (except on an ARS wide) basis

Well, it's a subject which is damn clear to outside observers
but the Believers are about to strike a blow for the Church of
St. Hiram. Mother SIPERIOR is back in her habit of
one-liner sentences thinking she can slay the dragons (of her
mind) which defile the sanctity of the Newington folks who
"know what is good for amateur radio!" :-)

Prior to 1990 there were already FIVE different license classes
in US amateur radio. The no-code Technician class made it SIX.
A decade later the FCC chopped that in half. Rightly so in my

estimation. It had gotten literally Byzantine in structure with
the privileged bandplans and who could use what mode. It was
worse than the commercial-professional operator licenses. The
Restructuring was sorely needed for the avocational activities.

The worst blow to the rank-status-title morsemen was cutting
the code test rate down to a single, low one, well below the
exhaulted, royal rate of 20 WPM that they overused for
bragging rights before 1998. :-) Those extra super special
morsemen lost NO PRIVILEGES ON THE BANDS but the sky fell in
on their bragging rights. Boo-hoo, poor morsemen. :-)

>> Once, a very long time ago, I thought that becoming a
>> "ham" was a cool deal. That was before the commsats,
>> before technology had fully gotten with the semi-
>> conductor era, before the wonderful way we can get
>> over most of the world via PCs and the Internet. Why
>> IS it that some have to be a grand champion of the
>> 1930s over seven decades later? What are THEY trying
>> to prove? I could care less about 1930s technology
>> and the "radio standards" of then. I live in the NOW.
>> If some dumbshit wants to moralize about "working" and
>> "investing" he (or she) can go get some flagellation
>> and suffer themselves for their own "cause." I'm not
>> about to join him (or her) in such moralistic self-
>> abuse/mis-use. If these self-styled emperors want to
>> flap their new clothes in my direction, I'll just keep
>> on pointing out that they are NAKED (and ugly).
>
>and inccreasingly cold and unfeeling and failing to fufill the debt
>they owe to those that came before them

I disagree with you a bit...nobody "owes" anything
other than bill payments, Mark.

The rabid amateur morsemen are just full of themselves.
They have lost their ability to RULE by that singular
skill, are now worried that they might lose all their
rank, title, status, and privileges when the code test
is finally eliminated. Few of them seem to have much
for themselves beyond that bragging right. <shrug>

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4275. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 16:33:01 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157387581.131616.86850@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6455
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
>
trolling right along

4276. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 16:33:39 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1157387619.310943.170540@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6456
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sun, Sep 3 2006 1:57 pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> Now, now, "Slow," you are starting to sound like one of those
>> inbred bigoted morsemen in here.
>
>> Take YOUR beloved morse code test and shove it up YOUR ass.
>> Push real hard...there seems to be an obstruction there.
>> Must be your own four neurons in the way.
>>
>Gee, Len....do you think posting that way will cause people to change
>their minds and agree with you?

Oh, oh, here comes Mother Superior again, waving
her ruler, a weapon of morale destruction! :-)

>Do you think FCC would be convinced by such arguments?

Mother, I'm NOT posting "to the FCC" here...just to a
mixed group that includes rabid morsewomen such as
yourself.

>Is that sort of posting your idea of how a "professional" behaves?

Tsk, tsk, Mother, "when in Rome, do as the Romans do."
In here the AMATEURS hang out. Ergo, one must adopt
language these AMATEURS us. If that means offending
you, TS.

You don't like that? Go back to the cloister. Pray for
redemption of your soul after being among those you
perceive as evil no-coders. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4277. Re: Don't believe everything Markie says.inded you should not but you can't belive anything Slow Code says

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 16:39:27 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157387966.977294.249930@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> an old fraud wrote:

> > > Why do you want to dumb down ham radio Mark,
> > I don't want to dumb it down i want to stop the silly frat house shit
> > you advocate
>
> What the hell do you know about real "frat houses", you illiterate
> moron, besides when you watch a rerun of "Animal House" on TV? You
> sure were never in a real frat house at any time.
sure I have been I was never presauded I wanted to jion such a gruop,

OTOH one need not be in a frat to see there sillyness 'round here all I
need do is drive down US 41 through town on the right days

4278. trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 16:47:58 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157388478.064094.3690@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6458
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> Sniveling Cunt wrote:
> > Does Roger's QSL card still have his Lambda Amateur Radio Club membership
> > number on it, or did he take that off.
>
> Do you still have your AIDS?
trolling right along
```

4279. Re: Looking for free online scanner freq database for non trunked freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 17:08:42 UTC
From: AL <hipot230@nowhere.invalid>
Message-ID: <wPSdnfTO0uc-wGHZUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 6459

http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts

Shows as "Listings Obsolete"

<xxnonexspamxnonexx@tampascanner.info> wrote in message
news:lmfof2lt9v1d38q5f6uv43jf7r5s7bsvnf@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 03 Sep 2006 16:58:05 GMT, The Polish-Kraut
> <krautDELETE7540722@yahooo.com> wrote:
>
>
>>Looking for free online scanner freq database for non trunked freqs.
>>
>>Does anyone know of any. Used to use Percon ages ago but ------
>
> You can use:
>
> http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts
>
> http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm
>
> I prefer the first one, runs better and cleaner in my opinion.
>
> You can also use the ULS system, from:
> http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/searchLicense.jsp
>
> The advanced search has way to drill down some more on the results.
>
>
> ----
> Support your LOCAL scanner frequency site and groups!
> http://www.wpascanner.com http://www.tampascanner.info
> http://www.trunker.info - Trunker support
> --
> http://www.gulfcoastdish.net
>
> --
> Posted via NewsDemon.com - Premium Uncensored Newsgroup Service
> ------>>>>>>http://www.NewsDemon.com<<<<<<------
> Unlimited Access, Anonymous Accounts, Uncensored Broadband Access

4280. Re: NASCAR ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 17:29:28 UTC
From: gray-beard <gray-beard@tryandguess.org>
Message-ID: <49ednReQ1-zq_2HZnZ2dnUVZ_tCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 6460
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Search engines are your friend. Learn to user them and you won't have
to wait for days for someone to do it for you.

http://www.devilfinder.com/find.php?q=nascar+scanner+frequencies

GB

Don Del Grande wrote:
> John Kerkes wrote:
>
>
>>I am going to my first nascar race in two weeks and was wondering if anyone knows where I
can get a list of frequencies for the drivers and pit crews? The race is in
>>Louden NH so anyone has track frequencies that would be great.
>
>
> Try:
> http://www.gonascargo.com/articles/nascar-scanner-frequencies.php
> or
> http://www.milliron.net/nascar/ (which has both primary and secondary
> frequencies)
>
> -- Don

--
"There's no way to rule innocent men. The only power any government
has is the power to crack down on criminals. Well, when there aren't
enough criminals, one makes them. One declares so many things to be a
crime that it becomes impossible to live without breaking laws."

-- Ayn Rand, Atlas Shrugged

4281. FA: 4 100' rolls of Amphenol RG-58 cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 20:50:42 UTC
From: cowboy67 <czerniakj@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1157403042.843786.20270@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6461

Item number: 290026245780

4282. Re: Radio Shack PRO-95 Quit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 22:22:22 UTC
From: rlewart@shell.monmouth.com
Message-ID: <edi8uu$t7n$1@news.monmouth.com>
Source record: 6462

Thank you guys for the advice. In the meantime I decided to do the unthinkable, RTFM. On page 83 of the manual I found how to reset the scanner (press a pin through a hole on the side of the scanner) and how to initialize it (press 0 then 1 after turning it on). I tried it about 5 times without results but after the sixth attempt the scanner came back to life and started responding to the keyboard. It seems to be fixed now. However, it lost my confidence and I resurrected my old PRO-37 and keep it as a backup.

Cass gray-beard
(gray-beard@tryandguess.org)
wrote: : In your owners manual under truobleshooting there is a section on how to
: reset and how to initialize the scanner.
: If you don't have a manual you can join the Pro93-95 Yahoo group and
: download one there.

: GB

: Cass Lewart wrote:
: > Cass Lewart (rlewart@shell.monmouth.com) wrote:
: > : My Pro-95 suddenly stopped responding to the keyboard. It is locked up on a
: > : channel and there is no way to make it do anything. It does not respond to the
: > : Lock/Light key either. Using WIN-95 program and the serial cable I was able to
: > : clear all channels but it still does not respond to the keyboard. Please help!
: > : Cass
: >
: > Would appreciate any info on factory reset.
: > Cass

: --
: "There's no way to rule innocent men. The only power any government
: has is the power to crack down on criminals. Well, when there aren't
: enough criminals, one makes them. One declares so many things to be a
: crime that it becomes impossible to live without breaking laws."

: -- Ayn Rand, Atlas Shrugged

4283. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 23:15:01 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Vr2Lg.14934$Qf.4671@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6463
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1157338180.434596.319470@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>>> Thanks Jim.
>>
>> Maybe now everyone will see that dumbing things down was bad for ham
>> radio.
> wht dumbing down has occured since Ham radio was dumbed down with
> higher code test speeds?

You're living proof CW was a good filter for lazy lids. It didn't keep all
the lids out, but it did keep stupid ones out until they come up with the
no code tech license.

Sc

4284. Re: Don't believe everything Markie says.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 23:15:15 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <7s2Lg.14945$Qf.12520@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6464
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1157337388.121290.56170@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> news:1157250680.734131.240210@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
>> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >> Dumbing down ham radio *ain't* modernizing.
>> > no one is dumbing it down exept the likes of yourself
>> >>
>> >> Mark is just lazy.
>> > nope
>>
>>
>> Why do you want to dumb down ham radio Mark,

> I don't want to dumb it down i want to stop the silly frat house shit
> you advocate

You can be a ham, Mark, but only if you decide to make an effort to be one.
Dumbing down ham radio the way you want is like burning down the club house
and selling the land to CB'ers.

Sc

4285. Re: If you saw KB9RQZ standing next to a large mud puddle would you drive close and splash him?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 23:15:28 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ks2Lg.14954$Qf.1227@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6465
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1157337057.630119.227160@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> "Fred Hambrecht" <w4jle@w4jle.com> wrote in
>> news:84737$44fa2fbd$471d2636$25732@ALLTEL.NET:
>>
>
>>
>> They just want to be appliance operators Fred, they don't care if they
>> can't communicate.
> I could commute I prefer not to

Because you're dumb, or just lazy?

SC

4286. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 23:15:43 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <zs2Lg.14958$Qf.12768@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6466
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1157337139.412321.41620@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>
>> Did you get tired of playing with your model Cho-Cho trains? You could
>> try stamp collecting for something else to do, but you might not be
>> smart enough to figure out what side of a stamp to lick.

> slow code on parade

I won't suggest stamp collecting for you Mark. You would probably whine
that the little boxes where you paste the stamps are too small and you
won't go any further until the post office makes bigger boxes, and that
the problem is the big stamp collectors want stamp collecting to be like
a frat house and squeeze out the little guy.

Sc

4287. Re: You'll probably never use CW to save a life if you're too dumb to learn it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 23:19:28 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <4w2Lg.14975$Qf.5782@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6467
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1157387085.373625.293370@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> From: "an old friend" on Sun, Sep 3 2006 2:41 pm
>
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: an old friend on Sun, Sep 3 2006 10:09 am
>>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> >> From: Slow Code on Fri, Sep 1 2006 5:36 pm
>>> >> >"LenAnder...@ieee.org" <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in
>>> >> >> Rick Frazier wrote:
>>
>>> >> >>> seeking mental help. If you don't think you need therapy, how
>>> >> >>> about you get a life and if you are so Pro-CW, why aren't you
>>> >> >>> on the bands right now?
>>> >>
>>> >> Excellent question, "Slow." Why didn't you answer it?
>>> >
>>> >becuase the answer is that there is nobody on we wants to "talk' to
>>>
>>> Or maybe nobody wants to talk to him... :-)
>>
>>that too
>
> :-)
>
>>> >the CW has seen the light that being they betrayed the ARS by
>>> >listening to the ARRL what 50 years ago
>>>
>>> I don't think the ARRL "betrayed the ARS". I believe that they
>>> sincerely thought that morsemanship was THEN a topmost skill
>>> of US radio amtaeurs. Fifty years ago would be 1956 and not
>>> long after the passing of ARRL co-founder (and president-for-
>>> life) Hiram Percy Maxim. "T.O.M." used his editorial pages
>>> to promote morsemanship in the 1920s and 1930s.
>>
>>I think they betrayed it wether they meant to or not by as you will
>>sowing the seeds for the battles that were to follow
>>
>>indeed in looking only back at Maxim I submit they betaryed even him
>
> Careful, careful, Mark...Sister Nun of the Above, now the

> Mother SUPERIOR is BACK, ruler in hand, ready to spank the
> knuckles of anyone who DARES say anything negative about
> the blessed, sacred ARRL!
>
> I was literally going back 50 years to 1956 and remembering
> how both the electronics hobby and the (much, much bigger)
> electronics industry was doing...preparing to move to
> California and the aerospace industries that year. Frankly,
> the ARRL wasn't keeping up with the electronics industry
> other than keeping QST afloat with advertising revenue.
> Since they were largely unaware (from their publications)
> what the (then) long-haul radio communications were doing,
> they couldn't really decide which way to go for amateurs.
> Their decisions were based largely on ignorance, especially
> that of SSB. The commercial-military folks on HF were already
> USING SSB on HF and had been doing it for over two decades by
> 1956...yet the ARRL wanted amateurs to believe that "amateur
> radio 'pioneered' SSB." :-) Bullshit.
>
>
>>> >yet I do wonder if he isn't Robeson somedays but I am pretty sure he
>>> >is just another bitter old that bought into "incetive Licesning) the
>>> >brain child of the ARRL
>>>
>>> It should be abundantly clear that "Incentive Licensing" was
>>> never about "advancing" in amateur radio beyond getting TITLE,
>>> RAND, and STATUS. That was VERY important to the controlling
>>> coterie of the League, folks who wanted to be "better" than
>>> others...in a hobby activity.
>>>
>>> What "incentive licensing" DID create was just the opposite of
>>> "good fellowship" among amateurs, that of CLASS DISTINCTION
>>> and a "pecking order" based largely on morsemanship. The
>>> morsemen won it. Never mind that radio technology was already
>>> far advanced from the 1930s' style of amateur radio and that
>>> morse code was falling by the wayside in every other radio
>>> service, the League still (stubbornly) held to the belief that
>>> all amateurs "should" be able to be morse skilled...even four
>>> decades after the 1930s.
>>
>>and therby betraying the fundental core of the service, a change that
>>needs to removed altogether if possible hence my fovoring a oe 2 class
>>license system with the prevedlges indentical to all the lclasses that
>>exist (with modern radio I reconize it may be needed to have some sort
>>of up or out license with 10 to do it becuase of the volume of material
>>but the classes should be equal in preveledge and the class should not
>>be a publicly accsable (except on an ARS wide) basis
>
> Well, it's a subject which is damn clear to outside observers
> but the Believers are about to strike a blow for the Church of

> St. Hiram. Mother SIPERIOR is back in her habit of
> one-liner sentences thinking she can slay the dragons (of her
> mind) which defile the sanctity of the Newington folks who
> "know what is good for amateur radio!" :-)
>
> Prior to 1990 there were already FIVE different license classes
> in US amateur radio. The no-code Technician class made it SIX.
> A decade later the FCC chopped that in half. Rightly so in my
> estimation. It had gotten literally Byzantine in structure with
> the privileged bandplans and who could use what mode. It was
> worse than the commercial-professional operator licenses. The
> Restructuring was sorely needed for the avocational activities.
>
> The worst blow to the rank-status-title morsemen was cutting
> the code test rate down to a single, low one, well below the
> exhaulted, royal rate of 20 WPM that they overused for
> bragging rights before 1998. :-) Those extra super special
> morsemen lost NO PRIVILEGES ON THE BANDS but the sky fell in
> on their bragging rights. Boo-hoo, poor morsemen. :-)
>
>
>>> Once, a very long time ago, I thought that becoming a
>>> "ham" was a cool deal. That was before the commsats,
>>> before technology had fully gotten with the semi-
>>> conductor era, before the wonderful way we can get
>>> over most of the world via PCs and the Internet. Why
>>> IS it that some have to be a grand champion of the
>>> 1930s over seven decades later? What are THEY trying
>>> to prove? I could care less about 1930s technology
>>> and the "radio standards" of then. I live in the NOW.
>>> If some dumbshit wants to moralize about "working" and
>>> "investing" he (or she) can go get some flagellation
>>> and suffer themselves for their own "cause." I'm not
>>> about to join him (or her) in such moralistic self-
>>> abuse/mis-use. If these self-styled emperors want to
>>> flap their new clothes in my direction, I'll just keep
>>> on pointing out that they are NAKED (and ugly).
>>
>>and inccreasingly cold and unfeeling and failing to fufill the debt
>>they owe to those that came before them
>
> I disagree with you a bit...nobody "owes" anything
> other than bill payments, Mark.
>
> The rabid amateur morsemen are just full of themselves.
> They have lost their ability to RULE by that singular
> skill, are now worried that they might lose all their
> rank, title, status, and privileges when the code test
> is finally eliminated. Few of them seem to have much
> for themselves beyond that bragging right. <shrug>

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I think we got k00k material here.

4288. Re: You'll probably never use CW to save a life, if you're too stupid to learn it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 23:19:44 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <kw2Lg.14976$Qf.9358@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6468
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1157337544.501218.216150@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>> news:1157242929.751563.162380@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>> your proctologist called, they found your head.
>
> Slow Code:kook on parade
>
>

You got cut & paste down pretty good Mark. Now if you could only get
amateur radio down, you could be a real ham and not a dumbed down one.

SC

4289. Re: You'll probably want to use CW if you ever have to save Len Anderson.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 23:20:02 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Cw2Lg.14977$Qf.8486@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6469
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1157387085.373625.293370@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> From: "an old friend" on Sun, Sep 3 2006 2:41 pm
>
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: an old friend on Sun, Sep 3 2006 10:09 am
>>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> >> From: Slow Code on Fri, Sep 1 2006 5:36 pm
>>> >> >"LenAnder...@ieee.org" <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in
>>> >> >> Rick Frazier wrote:
>>
>>> >> >>> seeking mental help. If you don't think you need therapy, how
>>> >> >>> about you get a life and if you are so Pro-CW, why aren't you
>>> >> >>> on the bands right now?
>>> >>
>>> >> Excellent question, "Slow." Why didn't you answer it?
>>> >>
>>> >becuase the answer is that there is nobody on we wants to "talk' to
>>>
>>> Or maybe nobody wants to talk to him... :-)
>>
>>that too
>>
>> :-)
>>
>>> >the CW has seen the light that being they betrayed the ARS by
>>> >listening to the ARRL what 50 years ago
>>>
>>> I don't think the ARRL "betrayed the ARS". I believe that they
>>> sincerely thought that morsemanship was THEN a topmost skill
>>> of US radio amtaeurs. Fifty years ago would be 1956 and not
>>> long after the passing of ARRL co-founder (and president-for-
>>> life) Hiram Percy Maxim. "T.O.M." used his editorial pages
>>> to promote morsemanship in the 1920s and 1930s.
>>
>>I think they betrayed it wether they meant to or not by as you will
>>sowing the seeds for the battles that were to follow
>>
>>indeed in looking only back at Maxim I submit they betaryed even him
>
> Careful, careful, Mark...Sister Nun of the Above, now the

> Mother SUPERIOR is BACK, ruler in hand, ready to spank the
> knuckles of anyone who DARES say anything negative about
> the blessed, sacred ARRL!
>
> I was literally going back 50 years to 1956 and remembering
> how both the electronics hobby and the (much, much bigger)
> electronics industry was doing...preparing to move to
> California and the aerospace industries that year. Frankly,
> the ARRL wasn't keeping up with the electronics industry
> other than keeping QST afloat with advertising revenue.
> Since they were largely unaware (from their publications)
> what the (then) long-haul radio communications were doing,
> they couldn't really decide which way to go for amateurs.
> Their decisions were based largely on ignorance, especially
> that of SSB. The commercial-military folks on HF were already
> USING SSB on HF and had been doing it for over two decades by
> 1956...yet the ARRL wanted amateurs to believe that "amateur
> radio 'pioneered' SSB." :-) Bullshit.
>
>
>>> >yet I do wonder if he isn't Robeson somedays but I am pretty sure he
>>> >is just another bitter old that bought into "incetive Licesning) the
>>> >brain child of the ARRL
>>>
>>> It should be abundantly clear that "Incentive Licensing" was
>>> never about "advancing" in amateur radio beyond getting TITLE,
>>> RAND, and STATUS. That was VERY important to the controlling
>>> coterie of the League, folks who wanted to be "better" than
>>> others...in a hobby activity.
>>>
>>> What "incentive licensing" DID create was just the opposite of
>>> "good fellowship" among amateurs, that of CLASS DISTINCTION
>>> and a "pecking order" based largely on morsemanship. The
>>> morsemen won it. Never mind that radio technology was already
>>> far advanced from the 1930s' style of amateur radio and that
>>> morse code was falling by the wayside in every other radio
>>> service, the League still (stubbornly) held to the belief that
>>> all amateurs "should" be able to be morse skilled...even four
>>> decades after the 1930s.
>>
>>and therby betraying the fundental core of the service, a change that
>>needs to removed altogether if possible hence my fovoring a oe 2 class
>>license system with the prevedlges indentical to all the lclasses that
>>exist (with modern radio I reconize it may be needed to have some sort
>>of up or out license with 10 to do it becuase of the volume of material
>>but the classes should be equal in preveledge and the class should not
>>be a publicly accsable (except on an ARS wide) basis
>
> Well, it's a subject which is damn clear to outside observers
> but the Believers are about to strike a blow for the Church of

> St. Hiram. Mother SIPERIOR is back in her habit of
> one-liner sentences thinking she can slay the dragons (of her
> mind) which defile the sanctity of the Newington folks who
> "know what is good for amateur radio!" :-)
>
> Prior to 1990 there were already FIVE different license classes
> in US amateur radio. The no-code Technician class made it SIX.
> A decade later the FCC chopped that in half. Rightly so in my
> estimation. It had gotten literally Byzantine in structure with
> the privileged bandplans and who could use what mode. It was
> worse than the commercial-professional operator licenses. The
> Restructuring was sorely needed for the avocational activities.
>
> The worst blow to the rank-status-title morsemen was cutting
> the code test rate down to a single, low one, well below the
> exhaulted, royal rate of 20 WPM that they overused for
> bragging rights before 1998. :-) Those extra super special
> morsemen lost NO PRIVILEGES ON THE BANDS but the sky fell in
> on their bragging rights. Boo-hoo, poor morsemen. :-)
>
>
>>> Once, a very long time ago, I thought that becoming a
>>> "ham" was a cool deal. That was before the commsats,
>>> before technology had fully gotten with the semi-
>>> conductor era, before the wonderful way we can get
>>> over most of the world via PCs and the Internet. Why
>>> IS it that some have to be a grand champion of the
>>> 1930s over seven decades later? What are THEY trying
>>> to prove? I could care less about 1930s technology
>>> and the "radio standards" of then. I live in the NOW.
>>> If some dumbshit wants to moralize about "working" and
>>> "investing" he (or she) can go get some flagellation
>>> and suffer themselves for their own "cause." I'm not
>>> about to join him (or her) in such moralistic self-
>>> abuse/mis-use. If these self-styled emperors want to
>>> flap their new clothes in my direction, I'll just keep
>>> on pointing out that they are NAKED (and ugly).
>>
>>and inccreasingly cold and unfeeling and failing to fufill the debt
>>they owe to those that came before them
>
> I disagree with you a bit...nobody "owes" anything
> other than bill payments, Mark.
>
> The rabid amateur morsemen are just full of themselves.
> They have lost their ability to RULE by that singular
> skill, are now worried that they might lose all their
> rank, title, status, and privileges when the code test
> is finally eliminated. Few of them seem to have much
> for themselves beyond that bragging right. <shrug>

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Ping real Hams,

You'll want to use CW if you ever have to save len Anderson, that
should help him change his brain cell regarding the value of Morse.

Thanks

73
Sc

4290. slow code:kook on parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 23:32:44 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1157412764.171850.119690@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6470
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
> news:1157387085.373625.293370@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
> > From: "an old friend" on Sun, Sep 3 2006 2:41 pm

slow code:kook on parade

4291. slow code:kook on parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 23:45:15 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157413515.709691.92230@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6471
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:1157338180.434596.319470@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

> > wht dumbing down has occured since Ham radio was dumbed down with
> > higher code test speeds?
>
>
> You're living proof CW was a good filter for lazy lids.
nope
> It didn't keep all
> the lids out, but it did keep stupid ones out until they come up with the
> no code tech license.
nope witness wisemn and yourself

slow code:kook on parade
>
> Sc

4292. slow code:kook on parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-04 23:55:20 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157414120.499755.191630@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6472
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1157337388.121290.56170@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >
> > > Slow Code wrote:
> > >> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in

> > > I don't want to dumb it down i want to stop the silly frat house shit
> > > you advocate
>
>
> You can be a ham, Mark,

I am a Ham

get over it you will be happier.

slow code:kook on parade

4293. slow code:kook on parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-05 00:00:34 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157414434.897561.223080@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1157337057.630119.227160@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

> >> They just want to be appliance operators Fred, they don't care if they
> >> can't communicate.
> > I could commute I prefer not to
>
>
> Because you're dumb, or just lazy?
>
niether because I prefer to work smarter rather than harder

slow code:kook on parade

4294. Re: Let's take back ham radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-05 00:00:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157414438.057213.166170@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6474
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1157337139.412321.41620@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >
> > > Slow Code wrote:
> > >
> > >> Did you get tired of playing with your model Cho-Cho trains? You could
> > >> try stamp collecting for something else to do, but you might not be
> > >> smart enough to figure out what side of a stamp to lick.
> >
> > > slow code on parade
> >
> >
> > I won't suggest stamp collecting for you Mark.

well stamp collecting is one of my hobbies as it happens

but you it seems will always be the hate filled bag of bile that show
yourself as much like Wisemen and Robeson and Heil

slow code:kook on parade

4295. slow code:kook on parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-05 00:00:49 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157414449.727005.181000@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1157337139.412321.41620@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >
> > > Slow Code wrote:
> > >
> > >> Did you get tired of playing with your model Cho-Cho trains? You could
> > >> try stamp collecting for something else to do, but you might not be
> > >> smart enough to figure out what side of a stamp to lick.
> >
> > > slow code on parade
> >
> >
> > I won't suggest stamp collecting for you Mark.

well stamp collecting is one of my hobbies as it happens

but you it seems will always be the hate filled bag of bile that show
yourself as much like Wisemen and Robeson and Heil

slow code:kook on parade

4296. Re: slow code:kook on parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-05 00:21:13 UTC
From: Bakb0ne <bakb0ne@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157415673.339685.262290@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6476
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old friend wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
> > news:1157387085.373625.293370@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> >
> > > From: "an old friend" on Sun, Sep 3 2006 2:41 pm
>
> slow code:kook on parade

Morse has no value in todays society...

And I agree "slow code:kook on parade"

4297. FS: HP3586B Frequency selective level meter VLF receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-05 00:52:06 UTC
From: **THE-RFI-EMI-GUY** <rhyolite@nettally.com>
Message-ID: <WS3Lg.18661$Tg1.12847@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 6478
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

For Sale: HP3586B Frequency selective level meter VLF receiver

This unit has the high stability oscillator and is excellent condition. No dents, no scratches, and no dust inside whatsoever. I believe it was rack mounted at an environmentally controlled site and seldom used. I don't have the feet and the small blank panels (where the rack ears normally go) but these are common size. This unit works fantastic and has a new NICAD memory battery installed. I replaced one of the jacks in front with a BNC (easily reversed mod that screwed in). I also put a nice black anodized aluminum spinner knob on the VFO shaft encoder for ease of tuning. It makes for a very sensitive VLF receiver and can measure extremely low levels with great accuracy. By the way, there is an RF output jack on rear which enables you to sweep filters etc.

The service manual is included.

$495 plus shipping from central florida

Description :

HP 3586B Selective Level Meter 3586B: Meets North American Bell requirements, 50Hz to 32.5MHz frequency range with 75ohm unbalanced signal input, 4kHz to 1MHz frequency range with 124ohm balanced signal input, 4kHz to 1MHz frequency range with 135ohm balanced Input

--
Joe Leikhim K4SAT
"The RFI-EMI-GUY"ï¿½

"Treason doth never prosper: what's the reason?
For if it prosper, none dare call it treason."

"Follow The Money" ;-P

4298. FS: HP3586B Frequency selective level meter VLF receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-05 00:54:59 UTC
From: **THE-RFI-EMI-GUY** <rhyolite@nettally.com>
Message-ID: <DV3Lg.18662$Tg1.7482@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 6477

For Sale: HP3586B Frequency selective level meter VLF receiver

This unit has the high stability oscillator and is excellent condition. No dents, no scratches, and no dust inside whatsoever. I believe it was rack mounted at an environmentally controlled site and seldom used. I don't have the feet and the small blank panels (where the rack ears normally go) but these are common size. This unit works fantastic and has a new NICAD memory battery installed. I replaced one of the jacks in front with a BNC (easily reversed mod that screwed in). I also put a nice black anodized aluminum spinner knob on the VFO shaft encoder for ease of tuning. It makes for a very sensitive VLF receiver and can measure extremely low levels with great accuracy. By the way, there is an RF output jack on rear which enables you to sweep filters etc.

The service manual is included.

$495 plus shipping from central florida

Description :

HP 3586B Selective Level Meter 3586B: Meets North American Bell requirements, 50Hz to 32.5MHz frequency range with 75ohm unbalanced signal input, 4kHz to 1MHz frequency range with 124ohm balanced signal input, 4kHz to 1MHz frequency range with 135ohm balanced Input

--
Joe Leikhim K4SAT
"The RFI-EMI-GUY"ï¿½

"Treason doth never prosper: what's the reason?
For if it prosper, none dare call it treason."

"Follow The Money" ;-P

4299. Re: Looking for free online scanner freq database for non trunked freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-05 02:33:19 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <rcopf2t29hug0fi44428hl0o4ds2c74nnf@4ax.com>
Source record: 6479

On Mon, 04 Sep 2006 13:33:56 GMT, The Polish-Kraut
<krautDELETE7540722@yahooo.com> wrote:

>On Sun, 03 Sep 2006 11:21:14 -0600, gray-beard
><gray-beard@tryandguess.org> wrote:

>>They are free to join. They ask for a donation to get the files to
>>upload to the popular trunked scanners. But the databases are free.
>>To join it is only $2.50 a month. Very cheap considering you may spend
>>that much daily at 7-11 for a cup of coffee in the morning and a
>>big-gulp later in the day.

>Not free then IS IT !!!

Free to join the forums, free to access the database - which IS what
the OP asked for - frequencies.

Not free to run an ARC program and automatically download the data.
But, then, neither is the ARC program.

4300. Lennie Screws Up yet Again...Reminds Us Of Just How Arrogantly Stupid He Is.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-05 04:36:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1157430984.429205.135010@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6480
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> Fred HaFrom: Fred Hambrecht on Sat, Sep 2 2006 6:02 pm
>
> >I extend fellowship to REAL hams, you no code idiots are not hams, simply
> >CBers that have the ability to read at a third grade level.
>
> Tsk, tsk, Fred. Had you been able to read RRAP before, you'd
> know I have no amateur license, only a commercial one.

Lennie, Lennie, LENNIE!

How SOON you FORGET!

You let that license EXPIRE back in October 0f 2000 ! ! !

Or so you said!...Of course every Amateur Licensee except Morkie
and Brain jumped on you for that...Knowing full well that GROLs do NOT
"expire" until YOU expire.

Of course it's YOU who is always chiding Amateurs for not knowing
anything other THAN Amateur Radio, which is a pretty stupid claim...But
then you ARE pretty stupid.

And here you are spreading some more of that "stupid" around....

Silly Lennie...Tricks are for kids!

Steve, K4YZ

4301. Re: Lennie Screws Up yet Again...Reminds Us Of Just How Arrogantly Stupid He Is.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-05 04:59:56 UTC
From: ArtieFlonkmeister <billifonker55@yahoo.bites.com>
Message-ID: <c6c1b$44fd0453$d1cc5aad$14239@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 6481
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I HAVE JUST FOUND A VIDEO OF THE ARCHTYPE
OLD FART HAM RADIO OPERATOR.

THIS IS THE *REAL DEAL* FOLKS !!!
I MEAN IT - YOU HAVE GOT TO SEE THIS FOR YOURSELF.....

HERE: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BAXEkJEw4KM

THIS GUY IS THE EMBOLDENED ENCAPSULATION OF EVERY
DIRTY STINKING HAM OP THAT I'VE EVER SEEN AT A HAMFEST.
(I JUST WISH I HAD THE GUY IN THE VIDEO'S CALLSIGN - PROLLY
FROM 4 OR 5 LAND NO DOUBT........)

"Enjoy! Ya've earned it! - (as we used to say on 14.313)

"K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1157430984.429205.135010@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > Fred HaFrom: Fred Hambrecht on Sat, Sep 2 2006 6:02 pm
> >
> > >I extend fellowship to REAL hams, you no code idiots are not hams,
simply
> > >CBers that have the ability to read at a third grade level.
> >
> > Tsk, tsk, Fred. Had you been able to read RRAP before, you'd
> > know I have no amateur license, only a commercial one.
>
> Lennie, Lennie, LENNIE!
>
> How SOON you FORGET!
>
> You let that license EXPIRE back in October 0f 2000 ! ! !
>
> Or so you said!...Of course every Amateur Licensee except Morkie
> and Brain jumped on you for that...Knowing full well that GROLs do NOT
> "expire" until YOU expire.
>
> Of course it's YOU who is always chiding Amateurs for not knowing
> anything other THAN Amateur Radio, which is a pretty stupid claim...But
> then you ARE pretty stupid.
>
> And here you are spreading some more of that "stupid" around....

>
> Silly Lennie...Tricks are for kids!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

4302. Re:steve talks about geting his shit on other literaly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-05 05:04:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <q71qf25bektinp6h3djhi1prgg0kf5odrs@4ax.com>
Source record: 6482
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 4 Sep 2006 21:36:24 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com> wrote:

>
>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> Fred HaFrom: Fred Hambrecht on Sat, Sep 2 2006 6:02 pm
>>
>> >I extend fellowship to REAL hams, you no code idiots are not hams, simply
>> >CBers that have the ability to read at a third grade level.
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, Fred. Had you been able to read RRAP before, you'd
>> know I have no amateur license, only a commercial one.
>
> Lennie, Lennie, LENNIE!

your words Steve"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another
man's genitals

then you try to deny they mean anything"
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4303. SWL Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-05 07:38:38 UTC
From: Mags <magsimoe@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157441918.428773.76710@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6483

Hello there.

The swl database has been updated with new frequencies, links and some
bugfixes.
Please have a look.

73, Magnus

http://www.swldatabase.com

4304. Re: Don't believe everything Markie says.inded you should not but you can't belive anything Slow Code says

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-05 12:57:41 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1157461061.638256.307620@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6484
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
an old friendless cocksucker wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> > an old fraud wrote:
>
> > > > Why do you want to dumb down ham radio Mark,
> > > I don't want to dumb it down i want to stop the silly frat house shit
> > > you advocate
> >
> > What the hell do you know about real "frat houses", you illiterate
> > moron, besides when you watch a rerun of "Animal House" on TV? You
> > sure were never in a real frat house at any time.
> sure I have been I was never presauded I wanted to jion such a gruop,

You were never asked. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! Since you were never at a
college to begin with.
```

4305. Re: slow code:kook on parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-05 13:11:03 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1157461863.695971.280060@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6485
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

an old fraud wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
> > news:1157338180.434596.319470@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > wht dumbing down has occured since Ham radio was dumbed down with
> > > higher code test speeds?
> >
> >
> > You're living proof CW was a good filter for lazy lids.
> nope

Yep.

> > It didn't keep all
> > the lids out, but it did keep stupid ones out until they come up with the
> > no code tech license.
> nope witness wisemn and yourself

Wiseman had to do 13 wpm code to get his General, stupid. You fucked up
again.

> slow code:kook on parade

Markie Morgan lazy cocksucker.

4306. Re: slow code:kook on parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-05 16:21:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <cu8rf259btq1aeat7cqq3fq4bc9ve9o5jk@4ax.com>
Source record: 6486
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 5 Sep 2006 06:11:03 -0700, markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>an old fraud wrote:
>> Slow Code wrote:
>> > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> > news:1157338180.434596.319470@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> > > wht dumbing down has occured since Ham radio was dumbed down with
>> > > higher code test speeds?
>> >
>> >
>> > You're living proof CW was a good filter for lazy lids.
>> nope
>
>Yep.
nope
>
>> > It didn't keep all
>> > the lids out, but it did keep stupid ones out until they come up with the
>> > no code tech license.
>> nope witness wisemn and yourself
>
>Wiseman had to do 13 wpm code to get his General, stupid. You fucked up
>again.
whichserves as proof that CW testing has NO value keeping bad
operators off the air

Woger you are an FCC certified bad operator
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4307. woger: kook on parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-05 16:27:48 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1157473668.359251.72110@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6487
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friendless cocksucker wrote:

> > sure I have been I was never presauded I wanted to jion such a gruop,
>
> You were never asked. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! Since you were never at a
> college to begin with.
wrong again woger that has been proven

woger: kook on parade
```

4308. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-05 23:19:15 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <M-6dnZoP4rq2m2PZnZ2dnUVZ_r-dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 6488
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.

"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:6qgcf2lpf7au64su084v3r86t0ea35iqof@4ax.com...
>
> I have been watching this thread for a while and now I must join the
> fray.
>
> On Tue, 29 Aug 2006 16:34:18 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> spake
> thusly:
>
>>George Orwell wrote:
>>
>>> Al Klein said:
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>Eliminating a requirement is dumbing things down. But no one would
>>>>>>expect you to be able to understand that.
>>>
>>>
>>> Well, let me ask, from the point of view of a potential noob to the
>>> hobby. What use is the code requirements?
>>
>>The 'use' is something you just can't understand. The 'use' is a
>>commitment of
>>time and talent which adds value to the license. The 'use' is investment.
>
> The term "investment" is very misleading. To explain my position, I am
> in agreement that CW testing should go the way of the dinosaurs. I
> have no problem with technical testing, as a way to ensure that
> potential Hams can operate their radios properly, without causing
> interference with neighbors and other Hams world wide. There is also a
> safety factor, with transmitters that can kick out a LOT of
> potentially dangerous power. So, technical exam = good idea.
> Here in Canada, CW is not required IF you achieve at least 80% on your
> technical exam. You need at least 55% WITH CW.
> http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/insmt-gst.nsf/en/sf08435e.html
> This seems fair, to me.
>
> As for the "investment", not all investments are valuable. I invested
> years of training to be a fully qualified mechanic. There is
> considerable value in that investment, obviously, as it is my bread
> and butter.
>
> But, would my investment be more valuable if, for example, if an

> additional year of carpentry training were required for me to be
> certified? I mean, after all, cars had wood frames and bodies at one
> time so a mechanic would have needed carpentry skills...back in the
> 1930's. Such an investment would be a bad one. The skills would have
> no value and do nothing to enhance my skills as a mechanic. The extra
> investment would have no return with regards to being a mechanic.
> Carpentry would not make me a better mechanic and would not prove to
> the world that I really wanted to be a mechanic.
>
> CW is as useful to todays Hams as carpentry is to a mechanic. A good
> thing to learn, and potentially useful, but should not be a barrier.
>

This is where your analogy falls apart. CW is currently very useful to hams. It is in daily use. However, the arguments have already been presented and those with closed minds have rejected them.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4309. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-06 01:47:46 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <719sf2tn97k2704h0uj3q1u7j7fu6ksk9m@4ax.com>
Source record: 6489
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.

```
On Tue, 5 Sep 2006 19:19:15 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> spake thusly:

>
>"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>news:6qgcf2lpf7au64su084v3r86t0ea35iqof@4ax.com...
>>
>> I have been watching this thread for a while and now I must join the
>> fray.
>>
>> On Tue, 29 Aug 2006 16:34:18 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> spake
>> thusly:
>>
>>>George Orwell wrote:
>>>
>>>>> Al Klein said:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Eliminating a requirement is dumbing things down. But no one would
>>>>>expect you to be able to understand that.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>> Well, let me ask, from the point of view of a potential noob to the
>>>> hobby. What use is the code requirements?
>>>
>>>The 'use' is something you just can't understand. The 'use' is a
>>>commitment of
>>>time and talent which adds value to the license. The 'use' is investment.
>>
>> The term "investment" is very misleading. To explain my position, I am
>> in agreement that CW testing should go the way of the dinosaurs. I
>> have no problem with technical testing, as a way to ensure that
>> potential Hams can operate their radios properly, without causing
>> interference with neighbors and other Hams world wide. There is also a
>> safety factor, with transmitters that can kick out a LOT of
>> potentially dangerous power. So, technical exam = good idea.
>> Here in Canada, CW is not required IF you achieve at least 80% on your
>> technical exam. You need at least 55% WITH CW.
>> http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/insmt-gst.nsf/en/sf08435e.html
>> This seems fair, to me.
>>
>> As for the "investment", not all investments are valuable. I invested
>> years of training to be a fully qualified mechanic. There is
```

>> considerable value in that investment, obviously, as it is my bread
>> and butter.
>>
>> But, would my investment be more valuable if, for example, if an
>> additional year of carpentry training were required for me to be
>> certified? I mean, after all, cars had wood frames and bodies at one
>> time so a mechanic would have needed carpentry skills...back in the
>> 1930's. Such an investment would be a bad one. The skills would have
>> no value and do nothing to enhance my skills as a mechanic. The extra
>> investment would have no return with regards to being a mechanic.
>> Carpentry would not make me a better mechanic and would not prove to
>> the world that I really wanted to be a mechanic.
>>
>> CW is as useful to todays Hams as carpentry is to a mechanic. A good
>> thing to learn, and potentially useful, but should not be a barrier.
>>
>
>This is where your analogy falls apart. CW is currently very useful to
>hams. It is in daily use. However, the arguments have already been
>presented and those with closed minds have rejected them.
>
>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Sorry, but your wrong, my analogy is just fine for this subject. CW to a ham, like carpentry to a mechanic, can be useful BUT is NOT NECESSARY for each to do what they want to do effectively and properly.

Don't be one of those closed minds.

Yes, a ham CAN use CW if he wants and more power to him/her to do so. A mechanic CAN learn to be a carpenter if he wants to and more power to him/her to do so. But carpentry will not make a mechanic a better mechanic, no more than CW will make a ham operator a better ham operator. More useful to themselves and others, but NOT better.

Just because carpentry COULD maybe be useful to a mechanic does not mean that he should be required to learn carpentry to be a mechanic. A mechanic can be a damn good mechanic without picking up a hammer and a ham can be a damn good ham without touching a key.

I said it before and I'll say it again. 70 years ago a good mechanic needed carpentry skills but no more. 70 years ago a good ham needed to know code but no more. A code test for todays prospective hams is like a carpentry test for todays prospective mechanics.

4310. Markie: lying kook on parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-06 11:36:03 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1157542563.382960.210340@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6490
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old fraud wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friendless cocksucker wrote:
>
> > > sure I have been I was never presauded I wanted to jion such a gruop,
> >
> > You were never asked. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! Since you were never at a
> > college to begin with.
> wrong again woger that has been proven

Yes, proven that you haven't ever attended one.
Your own posts prove that.

Marky confirms he married a shemale in
<1154036039.815804.294820@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>:
"posted you can prove it for yourself anytime you can catch him at
home"

Mark Morgan replied "yes I did. your point?" when confessing publicly
to having been sodomized in
<1150581379.052544.216...@r2g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>.

Markie the illiterate moron confirms that AB8MQ was never accused of
sodomy
while at the same time confirming he was in
<1153945599.494230.59710@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>:
"no wismen was never even accused of sodomy in the service"

Marky fucks up bigtime on
http://www.the-forum.us/boards/ubbthreads.php?Cat=0
in post #5185 - 07/12/06 11:57 AM
"what s your excuse for not upgrading to extra?"

Marqueer "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
admits to being at a KKK rally in
<1145994920.497145.57590@t31g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
"I was sorta a KK rally one"

Mark Morgan <konstans@geocities.com> admits he has never been
successful
learning morse or anything else in<34CFB2CE.7F36@geocities.com>:
"This assumes that you can learn Morse in the first place. I have
spent
years without sucess"

Markie Morgan, idiot shatters the irony meter when he pegged it when it was suggested he take a remedial English course for his illiteracy in <1150559315.854057.26550@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>:
"no illeteracy it is plain to see that"

Mark C. Morgan KB9RQZ blows the lid off the irony meter instead of his usual blowing preference, a hard cock in Message-ID: <enfi32hqa2hgtl4pl3ov9ah7vt055dkf5n@4ax.com>:
"you don't know or use english very well...gte help"

Markie Morgan, kb9rqz, proves his illiteracy when he slobbered in Message-ID: <1147884138.829873.289830@j33g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
"Icertainly can so I am not ileiterate"

Illiterate Mark C. Morgan KB9RQZ proves he has no degrees, but "several" lies to cover it up in <1149266387.476878.26540@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
"I have a degree weveral of them thay are NOT needed"

Illiterate dumbass Mark C.Morgan pegs the irony meter when his claims of superior "intelligence" is shown when he misspells "intelligence" in <1149941234.898740.289670@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>:
"Wisemen is not more intellgent than me, he is not as ainteligent as my cat"

Markie, who claims to be Jewish, exposes his actual racist Neo-Nazi leanings in <1150211918.885491.37890@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com>:
"killing you would make the world a better place. you do make the case for gassing the feeble minded well enough to make the NAZI's look justified on that one"

Markie thinks Wiseman posts from the library exclusively in <1149356359.633336.281150@i40g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>:
"yep that is Wismen cited for jaming 20 m with filth now doing online everyweekday (unless the local library is closed"

Marqueer Morgan, KB9RQZ admits he sucks cock in <1150379423.544324.227310@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
"I do have gay sex partners"

4311. Re: slow code:kook on parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-06 12:33:34 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1157546014.532693.164450@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6491
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On 5 Sep 2006 06:11:03 -0700, markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >an old fraud wrote:
> >> Slow Code wrote:
> >> > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
> >> > news:1157338180.434596.319470@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
> >>
> >> > > wht dumbing down has occured since Ham radio was dumbed down with
> >> > > higher code test speeds?
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > You're living proof CW was a good filter for lazy lids.
> >> nope
> >>
> >Yep.
> nope

Yep.

> >
> >> > It didn't keep all
> >> > the lids out, but it did keep stupid ones out until they come up with the
> >> > no code tech license.
> >> nope witness wisemn and yourself
> >>
> >Wiseman had to do 13 wpm code to get his General, stupid. You fucked up
> >again.
> whichserves as proof that CW testing has NO value keeping bad
> operators off the air

Gee Markie, he doesn't talk to unlicensed stations like you admitted to
doing.

> Woger you are an FCC certified bad operator

He is? Where? You are a State of Michigan (and other states) registered
child molester.

4312. Whatever reason Dr DEAth is in this group he is a disinformation agent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-06 15:25:28 UTC
From: stopdisinformationagents <englandoccupant1@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1157556327.847519.249820@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6492

drdeath555...@yahoo.com

http://groups.google.com/group/Chadwick-fruitcake-stone-Fan-club

if you know his true identity report him to
http://www.websitetoolbox.com/tool/mb/whosarat

There are people out there who might want a peice of a usenet gang
member

http://groups.msn.com/psycologicalwarfare

4313. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-06 16:02:32 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <v6stf2lisdkqdgj6p76muduh7e6a1k4rfp@4ax.com>
Source record: 6493
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.

On Tue, 5 Sep 2006 19:19:15 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>news:6qgcf2lpf7au64su084v3r86t0ea35iqof@4ax.com...
>>
>> I have been watching this thread for a while and now I must join the
>> fray.
>>
>> On Tue, 29 Aug 2006 16:34:18 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> spake
>> thusly:
>>
>>>George Orwell wrote:
>>>
>>>> Al Klein said:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Eliminating a requirement is dumbing things down. But no one would
>>>>>expect you to be able to understand that.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Well, let me ask, from the point of view of a potential noob to the
>>>> hobby. What use is the code requirements?
>>>
>>>The 'use' is something you just can't understand. The 'use' is a
>>>commitment of
>>>time and talent which adds value to the license. The 'use' is investment.
>>
>> The term "investment" is very misleading. To explain my position, I am
>> in agreement that CW testing should go the way of the dinosaurs. I
>> have no problem with technical testing, as a way to ensure that
>> potential Hams can operate their radios properly, without causing
>> interference with neighbors and other Hams world wide. There is also a
>> safety factor, with transmitters that can kick out a LOT of
>> potentially dangerous power. So, technical exam = good idea.
>> Here in Canada, CW is not required IF you achieve at least 80% on your
>> technical exam. You need at least 55% WITH CW.
>> http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/insmt-gst.nsf/en/sf08435e.html
>> This seems fair, to me.
>>
>> As for the "investment", not all investments are valuable. I invested
>> years of training to be a fully qualified mechanic. There is

>> considerable value in that investment, obviously, as it is my bread
>> and butter.
>>
>> But, would my investment be more valuable if, for example, if an
>> additional year of carpentry training were required for me to be
>> certified? I mean, after all, cars had wood frames and bodies at one
>> time so a mechanic would have needed carpentry skills...back in the
>> 1930's. Such an investment would be a bad one. The skills would have
>> no value and do nothing to enhance my skills as a mechanic. The extra
>> investment would have no return with regards to being a mechanic.
>> Carpentry would not make me a better mechanic and would not prove to
>> the world that I really wanted to be a mechanic.
>>
>> CW is as useful to todays Hams as carpentry is to a mechanic. A good
>> thing to learn, and potentially useful, but should not be a barrier.
>>
>
>This is where your analogy falls apart. CW is currently very useful to
>hams. It is in daily use. However, the arguments have already been
>presented and those with closed minds have rejected them.

it is as usefull as cparpentry to a mechinaic

the poster did not state it was sueless

is your hubby Fireproof
>
>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4314. Re: woger: lying kook on parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-06 16:11:42 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157559102.875450.263610@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6494
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> an old fraud wrote:
> > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > an old friendless cocksucker wrote:
> >
> > > > sure I have been I was never presauded I wanted to jion such a gruop,
> > >
> > > You were never asked. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! Since you were never at a
> > > college to begin with.
> > wrong again woger that has been proven
>
> Yes, proven that you haven't ever attended one.
> Your own posts prove that.
nop

woger lying kook on parade"

4315. Re: Woger:kook on parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-06 16:15:47 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157559347.154818.74250@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6495
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
>
Woger:kook on parade

4316. woger: lying kook on parade
http://www.mipsor.state.mi.us/mipsor/default.htm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-06 16:19:17 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157559557.031930.264800@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6496
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> > On 5 Sep 2006 06:11:03 -0700, markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> >

> > Woger you are an FCC certified bad operator
>
> He is? Where?
FCC NAl certify your bad operating
>You are a State of Michigan (and other states) registered
> child molester.

http://www.mipsor.state.mi.us/mipsor/default.htm
funny how the state disagress

4317. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-06 23:43:31 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <D2JLg.7439$bM.2170@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
news:719sf2tn97k2704h0uj3q1u7j7fu6ksk9m@4ax.com:

> On Tue, 5 Sep 2006 19:19:15 -0400, "Dee Flint"
> <deeflint01@comcast.net> spake thusly:
>
>>
>>"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>>news:6qgcf2lpf7au64su084v3r86t0ea35iqof@4ax.com...
>>>
>>> I have been watching this thread for a while and now I must join the
>>> fray.
>>>
>>> On Tue, 29 Aug 2006 16:34:18 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> spake
>>> thusly:
>>>
>>>>George Orwell wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> Al Klein said:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Eliminating a requirement is dumbing things down. But no one would
>>>>>>expect you to be able to understand that.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Well, let me ask, from the point of view of a potential noob to the
>>>>> hobby. What use is the code requirements?
>>>>
>>>>The 'use' is something you just can't understand. The 'use' is a
>>>>commitment of
>>>>time and talent which adds value to the license. The 'use' is
>>>>investment.
>>>
>>> The term "investment" is very misleading. To explain my position, I am
>>> in agreement that CW testing should go the way of the dinosaurs. I
>>> have no problem with technical testing, as a way to ensure that
>>> potential Hams can operate their radios properly, without causing
>>> interference with neighbors and other Hams world wide. There is also a
>>> safety factor, with transmitters that can kick out a LOT of
>>> potentially dangerous power. So, technical exam = good idea.
>>> Here in Canada, CW is not required IF you achieve at least 80% on your
>>> technical exam. You need at least 55% WITH CW.
>>> http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/insmt-gst.nsf/en/sf08435e.html

>>> This seems fair, to me.
>>>
>>> As for the "investment", not all investments are valuable. I invested
>>> years of training to be a fully qualified mechanic. There is
>>> considerable value in that investment, obviously, as it is my bread
>>> and butter.
>>>
>>> But, would my investment be more valuable if, for example, if an
>>> additional year of carpentry training were required for me to be
>>> certified? I mean, after all, cars had wood frames and bodies at one
>>> time so a mechanic would have needed carpentry skills...back in the
>>> 1930's. Such an investment would be a bad one. The skills would have
>>> no value and do nothing to enhance my skills as a mechanic. The extra
>>> investment would have no return with regards to being a mechanic.
>>> Carpentry would not make me a better mechanic and would not prove to
>>> the world that I really wanted to be a mechanic.
>>>
>>> CW is as useful to todays Hams as carpentry is to a mechanic. A good
>>> thing to learn, and potentially useful, but should not be a barrier.
>>>
>>
>>This is where your analogy falls apart. CW is currently very useful to
>>hams. It is in daily use. However, the arguments have already been
>>presented and those with closed minds have rejected them.
>>
>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> Sorry, but your wrong, my analogy is just fine for this subject. CW to
> a ham, like carpentry to a mechanic, can be useful BUT is NOT
> NECESSARY for each to do what they want to do effectively and
> properly.
>
> Don't be one of those closed minds.
>
> Yes, a ham CAN use CW if he wants and more power to him/her to do so.
> A mechanic CAN learn to be a carpenter if he wants to and more power
> to him/her to do so. But carpentry will not make a mechanic a better
> mechanic, no more than CW will make a ham operator a better ham
> operator. More useful to themselves and others, but NOT better.
>
> Just because carpentry COULD maybe be useful to a mechanic does not
> mean that he should be required to learn carpentry to be a mechanic. A
> mechanic can be a damn good mechanic without picking up a hammer and a
> ham can be a damn good ham without touching a key.
>
> I said it before and I'll say it again. 70 years ago a good mechanic
> needed carpentry skills but no more. 70 years ago a good ham needed to
> know code but no more. A code test for todays prospective hams is like
> a carpentry test for todays prospective mechanics.

When you have to spend time building a skill, you spend more time learning other related things as well. Hams that haven't had to study to pass a 13 wpm CW exam, probably don't know the written portion of the exam as will as hams that did. If I hadn't had to pass 20 wpm for my Extra, I would have taken the exam a lot sooner, but as I had to build my CW abilities, I also spend more time learning the theory too. It made me a better ham.

You people don't want knowledgable hams anymore. CB'ers dont have to learn CW, are they better?

SC

4318. Re: Whatever reason Dr DEAth is in this group he is a disinformation agent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-06 23:43:37 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <J2JLg.7444$bM.6335@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6498

"stopdisinformationagents" <englandoccupant1@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in
news:1157556327.847519.249820@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

> drdeath555...@yahoo.com
>
> http://groups.google.com/group/Chadwick-fruitcake-stone-Fan-club
>
> if you know his true identity report him to
> http://www.websitetoolbox.com/tool/mb/whosarat
>
> There are people out there who might want a peice of a usenet gang
> member
>
> http://groups.msn.com/psycologicalwarfare

He's evil and associates with the bad crowd on the internet.

Sc

4319. Re: Whatever reason Dr DEAth is in this group he is a disinformation agent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-07 03:23:06 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <3KOdnRwg74crDWLZnZ2dnUVZ_tednZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 6499

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:J2JLg.7444$bM.6335@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "stopdisinformationagents" <englandoccupant1@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in
> news:1157556327.847519.249820@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> > drdeath555...@yahoo.com
> >
> > http://groups.google.com/group/Chadwick-fruitcake-stone-Fan-club
> >
> > if you know his true identity report him to
> > http://www.websitetoolbox.com/tool/mb/whosarat
> >
> > There are people out there who might want a peice of a usenet gang
> > member
> >
> > http://groups.msn.com/psycologicalwarfare
>
>
>
> He's evil and associates with the bad crowd on the internet.
>
> Sc

You mean he's a satan worshipper?

HJ

4320. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-07 06:05:54 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <gccvf29v9cnqfvt70bnmfv3cl4dn2lvedg@4ax.com>
Source record: 6500
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 06 Sep 2006 23:43:31 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>news:719sf2tn97k2704h0uj3q1u7j7fu6ksk9m@4ax.com:

>> I said it before and I'll say it again. 70 years ago a good mechanic
>> needed carpentry skills but no more. 70 years ago a good ham needed to
>> know code but no more. A code test for todays prospective hams is like
>> a carpentry test for todays prospective mechanics.
>
>
>When you have to spend time building a skill, you spend more time learning
>other related things as well. Hams that haven't had to study to pass a 13
>wpm CW exam, probably don't know the written portion of the exam as will
>as hams that did. If I hadn't had to pass 20 wpm for my Extra, I would
>have taken the exam a lot sooner, but as I had to build my CW abilities, I
>also spend more time learning the theory too. It made me a better ham.

Was code the ONLY way to learn the written portion? Besides, using a
bunch of beeps to spell out characters over the airwaves takes no
technical ability at all. Here in Canada, a no-code license requires
MORE technical skill than a code license. In other words, here in
Canada you need to learn MORE to pass a no-code license since learning
code is a motor skill, not a technical one.

>You people don't want knowledgable hams anymore.

Learning code does not impart any type of "knowledge" any more than
learning roller skating.

>CB'ers dont have to
>learn CW, are they better?

Based on the CBers I used to hear on the higher sideband channels, I
heard very little of the insults I have seen here spewed by some of
the pro-coders. Therefore, I'd say that they were at least better
behaved than the aforementioned pro-coders.

Yes, there were assholes on CB, but CB didn't make them that way. By
the same token, learning code will not turn an asshole into a nice
guy. CB is just a ham radio in the embryo stage, a zygote. The only
real difference between CB and ham is the choices of bands and the
power of the transmitter, when you really look at things in the most
basic sense.

4321. Markie Morgan: lying kook on parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-07 12:19:47 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1157631587.425544.183360@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6301
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
an old fraud wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> > an old fraud wrote:
> > > markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > an old friendless cocksucker wrote:
> > >
> > > > > sure I have been I was never presauded I wanted to jion such a gruop,
> > > >
> > > > You were never asked. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! Since you were never at a
> > > > college to begin with.
> > > wrong again woger that has been proven
> >
> > Yes, proven that you haven't ever attended one.
> > Your own posts prove that.
> nop
^^^^^^^^

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! Yep. You just did, dumbass.
```

4322. Markie Morgan: lying queer pervert kook on parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-07 12:28:38 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1157632118.733510.186410@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6302
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

an old fraud wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> > > On 5 Sep 2006 06:11:03 -0700, markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
>
>
> > > Woger you are an FCC certified bad operator
> >
> > He is? Where?
> FCC NAl certify your bad operating

Except Roger never got an "NAI" or an NAL, stupid.

> >You are a State of Michigan (and other states) registered
> > child molester.
>
> http

Funny how you make a fake website.

4323. FS: Pro-2004 Radio Scanner 20-119

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-07 13:18:48 UTC
From: bertbarndoor@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1157635127.984459.245420@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6303

For those that are intereste...

FS: Pro-2004 Radio Scanner 20-119

Channels 300 EBay Item number: 180025147174

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&ih=008&item=180025147174&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1

-Rob

4324. woger: lying kook on parade http://www.mipsor.state.mi.us/-mipsor/default.htm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-07 14:56:25 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1157640985.897373.236620@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6304
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

```
markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > >You are a State of Michigan (and other states) registered
> > > child molester.
> >
> > http
>
> Funny how you make a fake website.

woger: lying kook on parade
http://www.mipsor.state.mi.us/mipsor/default.htm
running from the truth as alaways
```

4325. woger: lying kook on parade http://www.mipsor.state.mi.us/-mipsor/default.htm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-07 14:56:59 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1157641019.640779.231950@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6305
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > >You are a State of Michigan (and other states) registered
> > > child molester.
> >
> > http
>
> Funny how you make a fake website.

woger: lying kook on parade
http://www.mipsor.state.mi.us/mipsor/default.htm
running from the truth as alaways

4326. woger: lying kook on parade http://www.mipsor.state.mi.us/-mipsor/default.htm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-07 15:04:30 UTC
From: an old freid to some a nightmare to steve <kb9rqz__ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157641470.442659.227000@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6306
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
>
woger: lying kook on parade
http://www.mipsor.state.mi.us/mipsor/default.htm

4327. Re: NEW SCANNER SITE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-07 17:26:16 UTC
From: bakb0ne@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1157649976.321720.100230@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6307

He cant, thats down to the forum provider.... Its a crappy free phpbb
site.... does the job tho..

WsW wrote:
> Get rid of all the pop ups first

4328. Boca Raton, Fl Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-07 19:41:06 UTC
From: ken foshee <kenfoshee@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <qD1Mg.534$jF.421@newsfe04.lga>
Source record: 6310

I recently gave my son my Uniden BC895XLT scanner. I was in Boca this past weekend and we tried to program frequencies in his area into the scanner. We programmed the analog and trunked frequencies into it (on different banks) and were not able to receive anything. Any help/advise would be appreciated. We used the Boca Raton & Palm Beach County info.

4329. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-07 22:04:39 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1157666679.359401.27210@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6308
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: Opus- on Wed, Sep 6 2006 11:05 pm

>On Wed, 06 Sep 2006 23:43:31 GMT, Slow Code <my.m...@no.spam> spake
>thusly:
>
>>Opus- <jbu...@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>news:719sf2tn97k2704h0uj3q1u7j7fu6ksk9m@4ax.com:
>
>>> I said it before and I'll say it again. 70 years ago a good mechanic
>>> needed carpentry skills but no more. 70 years ago a good ham needed to
>>> know code but no more. A code test for todays prospective hams is like
>>> a carpentry test for todays prospective mechanics.
>
>>When you have to spend time building a skill, you spend more time learning
>>other related things as well. Hams that haven't had to study to pass a 13
>>wpm CW exam, probably don't know the written portion of the exam as will
>>as hams that did. If I hadn't had to pass 20 wpm for my Extra, I would
>>have taken the exam a lot sooner, but as I had to build my CW abilities, I
>>also spend more time learning the theory too. It made me a better ham.
>
>Was code the ONLY way to learn the written portion? Besides, using a
>bunch of beeps to spell out characters over the airwaves takes no
>technical ability at all.

Agreed. But, please remember that Blow Code is a morse bigot.
He (or she) is representative of only a minority of United
States radio amateurs. When the major accomplishment in life
of such morse bigots has been morsemanship, they will naturally
trumpet that singular skill and attempt to moralize it as some
kind of positive attribute that one MUST have.

>Here in Canada, a no-code license requires
>MORE technical skill than a code license. In other words, here in
>Canada you need to learn MORE to pass a no-code license since learning
>code is a motor skill, not a technical one.

I applaud Industry Canada's decision on their regulations.
My contact with Canadian regulations has been minimal but
their website on radio regulations explained it all. Blow
Code is confused on the task of the United States FCC in
regulating ALL US civil radio; our FCC is not a booster
organization for amateur radio or any other radio service
here. The FCC only "wants" radio service users to obey the
regulations.

Manual radiotelegraphy skill testing for an amateur radio license has existed since the first US radio regulating agency and was maintained mostly out of inertia and the insistence of the ARRL (once it had risen above its competition) through lobbying the FCC. Given the vast scope of today's radio services, the FCC has a far greater task to keep up with its regulatory task. Amateur radio here is low on the regulatory task list of the FCC.

Manual telegraphy IS a motor skill (more correct "psycho-motor" skill) but morse bigots become more psycho about their personal skills, equating it to intellectual knowledge and the ability to reason. Morse bigots see only their kind and want to destroy those who think differently; that is security for their "tribe."

>>You people don't want knowledgable hams anymore.
>
>Learning code does not impart any type of "knowledge" any more than
>learning roller skating.

^^ [an example of the morse bigot and their confusion over psycho-motor skills versus intellectual knowledge]

>>CB'ers dont have to learn CW, are they better?
>
>Based on the CBers I used to hear on the higher sideband channels, I
>heard very little of the insults I have seen here spewed by some of
>the pro-coders. Therefore, I'd say that they were at least better
>behaved than the aforementioned pro-coders.

The gratuitous insults to Citizens Band Radio Service users has been in existance since 1958 when the USA authorized its use here (as 'Class D' CB on only 23 27 MHz band channels). That's a time span of 48 years.

From its inception CB has NEVER required ANY testing to obtain a CB license. The "license" was pro-forma, a slight revision of the Restricted Class of Radio-telephone Operator license then common to services such as both private and civil aviation pilots.

Nearly a half century ago, US radio amateurs developed a distaste for the US government and these new radio service users for: (1) "Taking away" their 11 meter band (little used, sitting below the largest amateur radio allocation on HF); having the audacity in NOT requiring any testing plus intolerant bitching over NOT having to pass any morse code test. That almost-hatred developed into the bigotry seen today.

The bigotry grew when about a decade after authorization the offshore electronics industries hit the US market with less-expensive CB transceivers and long-haul truckers

took to that service. CB users here soon out-numbered radio amateurs by a large margin. With such a huge base of users, a common patois/lingo/jargon grew that was NOT related to amateur radio but had roots in our state highway patrol police organizations. That lingo became quite different than amateurs' use of theirs, so that reinforced the "different-destroy" attitude of the amateurs' bigotry against CB users. Amateurs like to make fun of CB lingo but don't realize their jargon is amusing to other HF radio service users and the military radio. Amateurs tend to think of themselves as first and foremost without realizing that they never were first nor foremost and were always a minority in radio.

>Yes, there were assholes on CB, but CB didn't make them that way. By
>the same token, learning code will not turn an asshole into a nice
>guy.

Morse bigots have the egotistical self-definition of THEIR skills being the only "true" ones for amateur radio. They will rationalize that self-righteous attitude with any number of archaic "reasons" that defy validity for regulatory purposes by radio regulating agencies. In last year's Notice of Proposed Rule Making (NPRM) on the elimination of morse code testing for US radio amateurs, several Commenters cited the ability to "thwart terrorism" by using morse code! :-) Apparently they had taken a Hollywood motion picture fictional depiction of aliens-from-outer-space-invasion as a documentary of a real event [film title was "Independence Day"]!

>CB is just a ham radio in the embryo stage, a zygote. The only
>real difference between CB and ham is the choices of bands and the
>power of the transmitter, when you really look at things in the most
>basic sense.

I see it differently. Human beings like to communicate. That is evident in the enormous growth of cellular telephony and daily use of that; the US Census Bureau stated two years ago the one in three Americans had a cell phone subscription. That is roughly 100 million users of those tiny full-duplex radio transceivers. Several million CB users exist on USA highways (exact figures unknown as CB has been unlicensed for years, but can be approximated from EIA - Electronic Industry Association - statistics on manufactured and imported electronic equipment). FRS and GMRS unlicensed UHF HTs may exceed the million mark by now. In the maritime radio service private boat owners' VHF radios exceed that of commercial ship owners, VHF voice required on

inland waterways and harbors for traffic control.
Private boat owners are increasing their HF radio use
on deep-water travels unsing HF SSB voice (a few radios
marketed for sales to both them and radio amateurs).

CB is 48 years old, hardly a youngster/child/teener.
It is DIFFERENT than amateur radio on HF, therefore
abhored by some radio amateurs stuck in their radio
bigotry attitudes put there long ago by their
ancestors. If truck drivers have spread a DIFFERENT
lingo on CB radio, then they did so out of THEIR need
to communicate about THEIR things, not some self-
righteous and not-required-by-regulation "traditional"
lingo used by hams. Amateurs don't/can't speak to
others on HF and are restricted (in the USA) to
"non-essential" communications (paraphrased from US
regulations). Truck drivers CAN and DO communicate
with others on and off the highways freely and with
much less of the bigotry displayed by some radio
amateurs looking for someone to destroy.

Where does manual radiotelegraphy fit into this?
It doesn't.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4330. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-07 22:08:39 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1157666918.946559.134920@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6309
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Dee Flint wrote:
>> "Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>> news:6qgcf2lpf7au64su084v3r86t0ea35iqof@4ax.com...

> > CW is as useful to todays Hams as carpentry is to a mechanic. A good
> > thing to learn, and potentially useful, but should not be a barrier.
> >
>
> This is where your analogy falls apart. CW is currently very useful to
> hams. It is in daily use. However, the arguments have already been
> presented and those with closed minds have rejected them.

Pity the morse zealots and their closed minds... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4331. Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-07 23:50:10 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Se2Mg.8434$bM.6468@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6311
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I could support that.

SC

4332. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-07 23:50:19 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <%e2Mg.8438$bM.2868@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6312
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1157666679.359401.27210@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:

> From: Opus- on Wed, Sep 6 2006 11:05 pm
>
>>On Wed, 06 Sep 2006 23:43:31 GMT, Slow Code <my.m...@no.spam> spake
>>thusly:
>>
>>>Opus- <jbu...@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>>news:719sf2tn97k2704h0uj3q1u7j7fu6ksk9m@4ax.com:
>>
>>>> I said it before and I'll say it again. 70 years ago a good mechanic
>>>> needed carpentry skills but no more. 70 years ago a good ham needed
>>>> to know code but no more. A code test for todays prospective hams is
>>>> like a carpentry test for todays prospective mechanics.
>>
>>>When you have to spend time building a skill, you spend more time
>>>learning other related things as well. Hams that haven't had to study
>>>to pass a 13 wpm CW exam, probably don't know the written portion of
>>>the exam as will as hams that did. If I hadn't had to pass 20 wpm for
>>>my Extra, I would have taken the exam a lot sooner, but as I had to
>>>build my CW abilities, I also spend more time learning the theory too.
>>>It made me a better ham.
>>
>>Was code the ONLY way to learn the written portion? Besides, using a
>>bunch of beeps to spell out characters over the airwaves takes no
>>technical ability at all.
>
> Agreed. But, please remember that Blow Code is a morse bigot.
> He (or she) is representative of only a minority of United
> States radio amateurs. When the major accomplishment in life
> of such morse bigots has been morsemanship, they will naturally
> trumpet that singular skill and attempt to moralize it as some
> kind of positive attribute that one MUST have.
>
>>Here in Canada, a no-code license requires
>>MORE technical skill than a code license. In other words, here in
>>Canada you need to learn MORE to pass a no-code license since learning
>>code is a motor skill, not a technical one.
>
> I applaud Industry Canada's decision on their regulations.
> My contact with Canadian regulations has been minimal but
> their website on radio regulations explained it all. Blow

> Code is confused on the task of the United States FCC in
> regulating ALL US civil radio; our FCC is not a booster
> organization for amateur radio or any other radio service
> here. The FCC only "wants" radio service users to obey the
> regulations.
>
> Manual radiotelegraphy skill testing for an amateur radio
> license has existed since the first US radio regulating
> agency and was maintained mostly out of inertia and the
> insistence of the ARRL (once it had risen above its
> competition) through lobbying the FCC. Given the vast
> scope of today's radio services, the FCC has a far greater
> task to keep up with its regulatory task. Amateur radio
> here is low on the regulatory task list of the FCC.
>
> Manual telegraphy IS a motor skill (more correct "psycho-
> motor" skill) but morse bigots become more psycho about
> their personal skills, equating it to intellectual
> knowledge and the ability to reason. Morse bigots see
> only their kind and want to destroy those who think
> differently; that is security for their "tribe."
>
>>>You people don't want knowledgable hams anymore.
>>
>>Learning code does not impart any type of "knowledge" any more than
>>learning roller skating.
>
> ^^ [an example of the morse bigot and their confusion over
> psycho-motor skills versus intellectual knowledge]
>
>>>CB'ers dont have to learn CW, are they better?
>>
>>Based on the CBers I used to hear on the higher sideband channels, I
>>heard very little of the insults I have seen here spewed by some of
>>the pro-coders. Therefore, I'd say that they were at least better
>>behaved than the aforementioned pro-coders.
>
> The gratuitous insults to Citizens Band Radio Service
> users has been in existance since 1958 when the USA
> authorized its use here (as 'Class D' CB on only 23
> 27 MHz band channels). That's a time span of 48 years.
>
> From its inception CB has NEVER required ANY testing
> to obtain a CB license. The "license" was pro-forma,
> a slight revision of the Restricted Class of Radio-
> telephone Operator license then common to services
> such as both private and civil aviation pilots.
>
> Nearly a half century ago, US radio amateurs developed
> a distaste for the US government and these new radio

> service users for: (1) "Taking away" their 11 meter
> band (little used, sitting below the largest amateur
> radio allocation on HF); having the audacity in NOT
> requiring any testing plus intolerant bitching over
> NOT having to pass any morse code test. That almost-
> hatred developed into the bigotry seen today.
>
> The bigotry grew when about a decade after authorization
> the offshore electronics industries hit the US market
> with less-expensive CB transceivers and long-haul truckers
> took to that service. CB users here soon out-numbered
> radio amateurs by a large margin. With such a huge base
> of users, a common patois/lingo/jargon grew that was NOT
> related to amateur radio but had roots in our state
> highway patrol police organizations. That lingo became
> quite different than amateurs' use of theirs, so that
> reinforced the "different-destroy" attitude of the
> amateurs' bigotry against CB users. Amateurs like to
> make fun of CB lingo but don't realize their jargon is
> amusing to other HF radio service users and the military
> radio. Amateurs tend to think of themselves as first
> and foremost without realizing that they never were
> first nor foremost and were always a minority in radio.
>
>>Yes, there were assholes on CB, but CB didn't make them that way. By
>>the same token, learning code will not turn an asshole into a nice
>>guy.
>
> Morse bigots have the egotistical self-definition of
> THEIR skills being the only "true" ones for amateur
> radio. They will rationalize that self-righteous
> attitude with any number of archaic "reasons" that
> defy validity for regulatory purposes by radio
> regulating agencies. In last year's Notice of
> Proposed Rule Making (NPRM) on the elimination of
> morse code testing for US radio amateurs, several
> Commenters cited the ability to "thwart terrorism"
> by using morse code! :-) Apparently they had taken
> a Hollywood motion picture fictional depiction of
> aliens-from-outer-space-invasion as a documentary of
> a real event [film title was "Independence Day"]!
>
>>CB is just a ham radio in the embryo stage, a zygote. The only
>>real difference between CB and ham is the choices of bands and the
>>power of the transmitter, when you really look at things in the most
>>basic sense.
>
> I see it differently. Human beings like to communicate.
> That is evident in the enormous growth of cellular
> telephony and daily use of that; the US Census Bureau

> stated two years ago the one in three Americans had a
> cell phone subscription. That is roughly 100 million
> users of those tiny full-duplex radio transceivers.
> Several million CB users exist on USA highways (exact
> figures unknown as CB has been unlicensed for years,
> but can be approximated from EIA - Electronic Industry
> Association - statistics on manufactured and imported
> electronic equipment). FRS and GMRS unlicensed UHF
> HTs may exceed the million mark by now. In the maritime
> radio service private boat owners' VHF radios exceed
> that of commercial ship owners, VHF voice required on
> inland waterways and harbors for traffic control.
> Private boat owners are increasing their HF radio use
> on deep-water travels unsing HF SSB voice (a few radios
> marketed for sales to both them and radio amateurs).
>
> CB is 48 years old, hardly a youngster/child/teener.
> It is DIFFERENT than amateur radio on HF, therefore
> abhored by some radio amateurs stuck in their radio
> bigotry attitudes put there long ago by their
> ancestors. If truck drivers have spread a DIFFERENT
> lingo on CB radio, then they did so out of THEIR need
> to communicate about THEIR things, not some self-
> righteous and not-required-by-regulation "traditional"
> lingo used by hams. Amateurs don't/can't speak to
> others on HF and are restricted (in the USA) to
> "non-essential" communications (paraphrased from US
> regulations). Truck drivers CAN and DO communicate
> with others on and off the highways freely and with
> much less of the bigotry displayed by some radio
> amateurs looking for someone to destroy.
>
> Where does manual radiotelegraphy fit into this?
> It doesn't.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

There are two ways to communicate when all you have is a transceiver, phone, & CW. All you need for phone is a microphone, All you need for CW is a pencil and paper. If you had to build a transmitter in an emergency, a CW transmitter is simpliest to build. We are after all technical aren't we? We're not just appliance operators.

Eliminating CW removes a way we can communicate. A simple way, where all that's required is an ear, a pencil, and paper, and a skill.

SC

4333. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-07 23:50:20 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <0f2Mg.8439$bM.903@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6313
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1157666918.946559.134920@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> "Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>>> news:6qgcf2lpf7au64su084v3r86t0ea35iqof@4ax.com...
>
>> > CW is as useful to todays Hams as carpentry is to a mechanic. A good
>> > thing to learn, and potentially useful, but should not be a barrier.
>> >
>>
>> This is where your analogy falls apart. CW is currently very useful to
>> hams. It is in daily use. However, the arguments have already been
>> presented and those with closed minds have rejected them.
>
> Pity the morse zealots and their closed minds... :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Pity the lazy asses that just want to be appliance operators. They care
nothing for the integrity of the service.

SC

4334. Re: Whatever reason Dr DEAth is in this group he is a disinformation agent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-07 23:50:35 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ff2Mg.8453$bM.1286@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6314

"honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in
news:3KOdnRwg74crDWLZnZ2dnUVZ_tednZ2d@centurytel.net:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:J2JLg.7444$bM.6335@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> "stopdisinformationagents" <englandoccupant1@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in
>> news:1157556327.847519.249820@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> > drdeath555...@yahoo.com
>> >
>> > http://groups.google.com/group/Chadwick-fruitcake-stone-Fan-club
>> >
>> > if you know his true identity report him to
>> > http://www.websitetoolbox.com/tool/mb/whosarat
>> >
>> > There are people out there who might want a peice of a usenet gang
>> > member
>> >
>> > http://groups.msn.com/psycologicalwarfare
>>
>>
>>
>> He's evil and associates with the bad crowd on the internet.
>>
>> Sc
>
> You mean he's a satan worshipper?
>
> HJ

Yes, He worships k00k's and Death.

Sc

4335. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 00:00:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <5gc1g2p2793k3oqulro0dqvea3rqm4966k@4ax.com>
Source record: 6315
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 07 Sep 2006 23:50:19 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>news:1157666679.359401.27210@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>

>> Where does manual radiotelegraphy fit into this?
>> It doesn't.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>
>There are two ways to communicate when all you have is a transceiver,
>phone, & CW. All you need for phone is a microphone, All you need for CW
>is a pencil and paper. ....
No radio needed right

PTOH My D&7-a will packet and im like stuff all y itself or though a
satlite like ao-16

>.... If you had to build a transmitter in an emergency,
>a CW transmitter is simpliest to build. We are after all technical aren't
>we? We're not just appliance operators. '

nobody is going to build a tranceaiver during an emergency
>
>Eliminating CW removes a way we can communicate. A simple way, where all
>that's required is an ear, a pencil, and paper, and a skill.

you leave out brain as a require emmnt
>
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4336. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 00:00:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <skc1g2d0fji86liegvq28ded03655p6t4j@4ax.com>
Source record: 6316
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 07 Sep 2006 23:50:20 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>news:1157666918.946559.134920@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

>
>Pity the lazy asses that just want to be appliance operators. They care
>nothing for the integrity of the service.

yes I pity you SC

you are lazy and resting on your wilted laurels
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4337. you ae not a good ham if you advocate killing the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 00:07:16 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <l0d1g2lj9d4sa619vbdmadnsl8v3ra4k0k@4ax.com>
Source record: 6317
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 07 Sep 2006 23:50:10 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>I could support that.
>
indeed becuase you want to kill off the ARS
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4338. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 00:17:49 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <qkd1g29853vu15mp0npuf6j7mav3i8m37o@4ax.com>
Source record: 6318
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 07 Sep 2006 23:50:10 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>
>I could support that.

I could not.

4339. Re: Boca Raton, Fl Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 01:30:51 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <5rh1g2d4o667t49dm5cqut2pf6puu6frcp@4ax.com>
Source record: 6319

On Thu, 7 Sep 2006 14:41:06 -0500, "ken foshee"
<kenfoshee@hotmail.com> wrote:

>I recently gave my son my Uniden BC895XLT scanner. I was in Boca this past
>weekend and we tried to program frequencies in his area into the scanner. We
>programmed the analog and trunked frequencies into it (on different banks)
>and were not able to receive anything. Any help/advise would be appreciated.
>We used the Boca Raton & Palm Beach County info.

Try the frequencies at
<http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&ctid=365> You
might have had an outdated list.

4340. Re: NEW SCANNER SITE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 01:33:31 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <h2i1g25m84euj66ro5fithtd2i8ue1rq2b@4ax.com>
Source record: 6320

On 7 Sep 2006 10:26:16 -0700, bakb0ne@gmail.com wrote:

>WsW wrote:
>> Get rid of all the pop ups first
>He cant, thats down to the forum provider.... Its a crappy free phpbb
>site.
Which he can edit.

4341. Re: Whatever reason Dr DEAth is in this group he is a disinformation agent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 02:26:53 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <U5ednY8YC4IxSJ3YnZ2dnUVZ_r6dnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 6321

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:ff2Mg.8453$bM.1286@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in
> news:3KOdnRwg74crDWLZnZ2dnUVZ_tednZ2d@centurytel.net:
>
> >
> > "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> > news:J2JLg.7444$bM.6335@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >> "stopdisinformationagents" <englandoccupant1@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in
> >> news:1157556327.847519.249820@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
> >>
> >> > drdeath555...@yahoo.com
> >> >
> >> > http://groups.google.com/group/Chadwick-fruitcake-stone-Fan-club
> >> >
> >> > if you know his true identity report him to
> >> > http://www.websitetoolbox.com/tool/mb/whosarat
> >> >
> >> > There are people out there who might want a peice of a usenet gang
> >> > member
> >> >
> >> > http://groups.msn.com/psycologicalwarfare
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> He's evil and associates with the bad crowd on the internet.
> >>
> >> Sc
> >
> > You mean he's a satan worshipper?
> >
> > HJ
>
>
> Yes, He worships k00k's and Death.
>
> Sc

He's a Ko0kologist trainee, headquarted out of alt.usenet.kooks and will
crosspost to AUK for "points".

Keep posts to rec.radio.scanner "only" and his Ko0kiness won't be a problem.

HJ

4342. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 04:38:10 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1157690290.742220.230520@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6322
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

From: Slow Code on Thurs, Sep 7 2006 11:50 pm

>"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>> From: Opus- on Wed, Sep 6 2006 11:05 pm
>>>On Wed, 06 Sep 2006 23:43:31 GMT, Slow Code <my.m...@no.spam> spake

>There are two ways to communicate when all you have is a transceiver,
>phone, & CW.

WRONG, WRONG, WRONG...

It is voice, radiotelegraphy, data, pulse, right off the bat,
plus a few others which you have NO idea they existed for
EMERGENCIES.

Blowcode, you are stuck on HF ham thinking. So were some
other morse zealots and morse bigots in this forum many
years ago. Their scenarios were invalid comic-book stuff
then and now you've just repeated the same. Deja phooey.

On HF you can find some EMERGENCY calling frequencies...but
those aren't on ham bands. I recall only two, one for
maritime, one for civil aviation over-ocean routes. Aviation
has some more but you aren't worth the time to go look them
up in the huge Part 2 regulations of Title 47 C.F.R. Both
are VOICE.

Over or near land the aviation VHF frequency is 121.5 MHz.
Military aviation is 243 MHz. Both are VOICE. Airborne
transponders (those are transmitter-receivers) has a
"squawk" code just for emergencies and, in a pinch, you
can push the IDENT button. Transponder output will auto-
matically show up on ATCRBS radar displays. The little
HTs in military parachute backpacks can do both VOICE
and DME/TACAN (the 'pulse' I mentioned). [you probably
don't know what DME or TACAN are yet they've been around
for a half century.

On waterways (not open ocean) there are VHF frequencies
for EMERGENCIES. VOICE. Go look those up in Part 2.
VHF VOICE is used in the USA in harbors and inland
waterways. Need EMERGENCY frequencies on land? Plenty
of those, band specific, organization specific, freqs
available if you are serious about having to use them.
Those frequencies are kept open 24/7 in most areas and
have emergency power backup. Three major land PLMRS

bands, can you name them? [I didn't think so]

Ever hear of a cellular telephone? By now, I'll bet you
noticed them. One in three Americans have one. Little
1 GHz HTs with lots of added features with tens of
thousands of cell sites to connect to telco. You can
punch up nine-one-one on them same as a wireline phone.
Nine-one-one is operated 24/7, done by professionals.
Cell sites and telephone exchanges all have "floating"
emergency power, can remain operating on AC power outage.

Think you can call for a paramedic with your little "CW"
transceiver, Blowcode? Or a fire truck? Police car?
Sorry, Blowcode, they use VOICE and above 30 MHz.
Some paramedic ambulances have EKG instruments with
radio coupling to a hospital. Some police departments
have data terminals IN their cars (LAPD does as do
many adjacent incorporated cities here).

>All you need for phone is a microphone, All you need for CW
>is a pencil and paper.

BWAAAAAHAAAA!!!!! How are you on "straight wire CW"
Blowcode? Can you do 'twenty' just rubbing two bare
wires together? [remember, you have to scrape OFF
the insulation to make contact...]

>If you had to build a transmitter in an emergency,
>a CW transmitter is simpliest to build.

!!!BUILD?!?!?!??? BWAAAAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!

Is your QST featuring the "Tuna Tin Two" handy and able
to survive a full EMERGENCY environment? Do you have
the parts? Do you have a power-less soldering iron?
Do you know how to use hand tools? [I don't think so]

Once you've cobbled together something that MIGHT work,
HOW DO YOU KNOW? Did you have test equipment that
survived the EMERGENCY, Blowcode? [I'll bet you think
so] How do you know you're on a frequency that you
think is good for emergencies? You do "laying on of
hands" to miraculously make it work? In this (unspecified)
EMERGENCY do you have two functioning hands to use the
tools to 'make' this thing? Will you need AC power
to run it? Will it work on DC? From where will the
electrical power come from? Rub two Handbooks together?
Cobbling together a one-tube transmitter MIGHT be
possible, provided you have plans, the parts, a
suitable tube, and the right values of components
(which you seem to think you 'know' exactly?. It might
take 24 hours or so...at which time the "emergency"
would be over and the 'emergency' victims might be

long dead.

You can spout bullshit bragging only so far. You've
gone too far, Blowcode. You DEMONSTRATE that you can
cobble together a simple 'transmitter' out of a pile
of parts which do NOT know of ahead of time. SHOW US
or someone. Put your money where your big mouth is.

Here's what the right-thinking folks do: Have a radio
or radios ALREADY available for emergencies, along with
a power source, on some 'emergency frequency' that you
KNOW WILL BE HEARD. The "CW-saves-the-day" scenario is
BS that went out before the GMDSS was activated seven
years ago; the USCG is NOT monitoring the 500 KHz "CW"
frequency and neither are many other equivalent national
maritime aid agencies. A few years ago a gal teenager
picked up a call for help on an FRS HT from a stranded
mountain climbing team...voice, not "CW", miles away.
Made the Washington and Oregon newspapers. [FRS isn't
on ham bands, Blowcode, and the gal wasn't a "radio op"]

>We are after all technical aren't we?

You (sure as hell) sound like you can barely wire up
a doorbell. With a QST article on it to show you how.

>We're not just appliance operators.

Right...in addition you are an unthinking nuisance.

>Eliminating CW removes a way we can communicate.

Eliminating a code test does NOT "remove its use."

If morsemanship is so damn good, it DOESN'T NEED
TESTING. Folks will take to it without needing to be
tested if its such a wonderful thing.

>A simple way, where all
>that's required is an ear, a pencil, and paper, and a skill.

Blowcode, you need a SKULL more than a skill...one
with a working brain inside it. Try to get a new brain. Quickly.

Now go back to reading those comic books from the ARRL.
You know, the "Archie" ones that you saved up long ago.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4343. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 04:41:03 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <70t1g21a5r51c1q7k9gp4fcm6tne99l2fp@4ax.com>
Source record: 6323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 07 Sep 2006 23:50:20 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>news:1157666918.946559.134920@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>>> "Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>>>> news:6qgcf2lpf7au64su084v3r86t0ea35iqof@4ax.com...
>>
>>> > CW is as useful to todays Hams as carpentry is to a mechanic. A good
>>> > thing to learn, and potentially useful, but should not be a barrier.
>>> >
>>>
>>> This is where your analogy falls apart. CW is currently very useful to
>>> hams. It is in daily use. However, the arguments have already been
>>> presented and those with closed minds have rejected them.
>>
>> Pity the morse zealots and their closed minds... :-)
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>
>Pity the lazy asses that just want to be appliance operators. They care
>nothing for the integrity of the service.

Newsflash: ALL radio operators, code or no-code, are "appliance
operators" as you colloquially state. A radio is a means to
communicate. Knowing code just adds another way to use that radio.

4344. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 04:50:05 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1157691004.933277.175940@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Opus- wrote:
> On Thu, 07 Sep 2006 23:50:20 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> thusly:
>
> >"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
> >news:1157666918.946559.134920@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
> >
> >> Dee Flint wrote:
> >>>> "Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> >>>> news:6qgcf2lpf7au64su084v3r86t0ea35iqof@4ax.com...
> >>
> >>> > CW is as useful to todays Hams as carpentry is to a mechanic. A good
> >>> > thing to learn, and potentially useful, but should not be a barrier.
> >>> >
> >>>
> >>> This is where your analogy falls apart. CW is currently very useful to
> >>> hams. It is in daily use. However, the arguments have already been
> >>> presented and those with closed minds have rejected them.
> >>
> >> Pity the morse zealots and their closed minds... :-)
> >
> >
> >Pity the lazy asses that just want to be appliance operators. They care
> >nothing for the integrity of the service.
>
> Newsflash: ALL radio operators, code or no-code, are "appliance
> operators" as you colloquially state. A radio is a means to
> communicate. Knowing code just adds another way to use that radio.

Quite true, Opus! Thank you for pointing that out.

Let us hope that Blowcode sticks to his ARRL "Archie" comic
books while he mimes the "Wizard of Oz's" scarecrow wishing
he had a brain. :-(

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4345. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 04:58:00 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <cht1g29l11q9uktorg7l6o5d29vgki73fn@4ax.com>
Source record: 6325
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 07 Sep 2006 23:50:19 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>There are two ways to communicate when all you have is a transceiver,
>phone, & CW. All you need for phone is a microphone, All you need for CW
>is a pencil and paper. If you had to build a transmitter in an emergency,
>a CW transmitter is simpliest to build. We are after all technical aren't
>we? We're not just appliance operators.

Build a transmitter? I could humiliate you there, but that's been done
already.

>Eliminating CW removes a way we can communicate. A simple way, where all
>that's required is an ear, a pencil, and paper, and a skill.

There is NOTHING about removing code testing that will stop you from
using code. Wanna use code? Go ahead, knock yourself out. You can
hammer on that key all night long, for all anybody cares. Hammer on it
in your sleep. Hammer on it in the shower.

Just don't tell me that I am a bad person if I don't.

4346. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 06:34:24 UTC
From: David Bubblegum <repoiss4@yahoo.nosp.com>
Message-ID: <38f11$45010ec3$d1cc5809$19022@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 6326
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:Se2Mg.8434$bM.6468@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> I could support that.
>
> SC

Even if the no-code ticket was made 6 months or 2 years
in active length it would not matter one bit. Amateur radio
in the public eye is unknown and your average young person
is far more interested in the Internet, online video, instant text
messaging and cellphones. There are too many commercially
available technologies, which are far cheaper I may add, than
amateur radio which while a curosity is still a functionally and
technologically obsolete pursuit. Sorry but that's the truth.

4347. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 07:46:53 UTC
From: Harbin Osteen <harbinjr@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <XJ-dnWhRy9VJgpzYnZ2dnUVZ_rCdnZ2d@adelphia.com>
Source record: 6327
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

No support.

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:Se2Mg.8434$bM.6468@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> I could support that.
>
> SC

--

SeeYaa:) Harbin Osteen KG6URO

When American Citizens with dual citizenship pledges allegiance
to the flag, to which flag do they pledge allegiance too?

-

4348. Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 12:31:52 UTC
From: landnotloans@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1157718712.421116.182710@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6328
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

The 9/11 terrorist attack on America which left almost 3,000 people dead was an "inside job", according to a group of leading academics.

Around 75 top professors and leading scientists believe the attacks were puppeteered by war mongers in the White House to justify the invasion and the occupation of oil-rich Arab countries.

The claims have caused outrage and anger in the US which marks the fifth anniversary of the terrorist attacks on Monday.

But leading scientists say the facts of their investigations cannot be ignored and say they have evidence that points to one of the biggest conspiracies ever perpetrated. Professor Steven Jones, who lectures in physics at the Brigham Young University in Utah, says the official version of events is the biggest and most evil cover up in history.

He has joined the 9/11 Scholars for Truth whose membership includes up to 75 leading scientists and experts from universities across the US.

Prof Jones said: "We don't believe that 19 hijackers and a few others in a cave in Afghanistan pulled this off acting alone.

"We challenge this official conspiracy theory and, by God, we're going to get to the bottom of this."

They believe a group of US neo-conservatives called the Project for a New American Century, set on US world dominance, orchestrated the 9/11 attacks as an excuse to hit Iraq, Afghanistan and later Iran.

http://www.dailymail.co.uk/pages/live/articles/news/news.html?in_article_id=403757&in_page_id=1770

The Demolition of the World Trade Centre Complex:
http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=6545313046180631815

4349. Markie: lying perverted kook on parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 12:41:21 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1157719281.308382.274010@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6329
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an old faggot to some a nightmare to unattended kids wrote:
> wo

Get that lisp fixed, faggot.

4350. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 13:11:56 UTC
From: Jim Macklin <p51mustang>
Message-ID: <L_dMg.19881$SZ3.5946@dukeread04>
Source record: 6330
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

Gravity makes everything fall at the same rate. Fire
softens steel.

Little green men or maybe they're women, did it is just as
good a fantasy.

<landnotloans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1157718712.421116.182710@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
| The 9/11 terrorist attack on America which left almost
3,000 people
| dead was an "inside job", according to a group of leading
academics.
|
| Around 75 top professors and leading scientists believe
the attacks
| were puppeteered by war mongers in the White House to
justify the
| invasion and the occupation of oil-rich Arab countries.
|
| The claims have caused outrage and anger in the US which
marks the
| fifth anniversary of the terrorist attacks on Monday.
|
| But leading scientists say the facts of their
investigations cannot be
| ignored and say they have evidence that points to one of
the biggest
| conspiracies ever perpetrated. Professor Steven Jones, who
lectures in
| physics at the Brigham Young University in Utah, says the
official
| version of events is the biggest and most evil cover up in
history.
|
| He has joined the 9/11 Scholars for Truth whose membership
includes up
| to 75 leading scientists and experts from universities
across the US.
|
| Prof Jones said: "We don't believe that 19 hijackers and a
few others
| in a cave in Afghanistan pulled this off acting alone.
|

| "We challenge this official conspiracy theory and, by God,
we're going
| to get to the bottom of this."
|
| They believe a group of US neo-conservatives called the
Project for a
| New American Century, set on US world dominance,
orchestrated the 9/11
| attacks as an excuse to hit Iraq, Afghanistan and later
Iran.
|
|
|
http://www.dailymail.co.uk/pages/live/articles/news/news.html?in_article_id=403757&in_page
_id=1770
|
| The Demolition of the World Trade Centre Complex:
|
http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=6545313046180631815
|

4351. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 13:16:22 UTC
From: etagg <etagg@twcny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <G2eMg.8788$xV.554@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 6331
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Get a life......
"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:Se2Mg.8434$bM.6468@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> I could support that.
>
> SC

4352. Re: 9-11 was an 'Inside Job' say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 13:28:09 UTC
From: ingui777@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1157722089.220542.41820@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6332
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

You've seen the photos of the survivors standing in the impact holes on the side of the tower begging for help. How could they do that if the temperatures were hot enough to 'melt steel'?

4353. Re: 9-11 was an 'Inside Job' say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 13:34:07 UTC
From: AJ <BayonneTenor@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157722446.965532.257560@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6333
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

ingui...@yahoo.com wrote:
> You've seen the photos of the survivors standing in the impact holes on
> the side of the tower begging for help. How could they do that if the
> temperatures were hot enough to 'melt steel'?

I never saw that particular picture -- where is it?

4354. Re: 9-11 was an 'Inside Job' say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 13:46:09 UTC
From: ingui777@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1157723169.257364.291560@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6334
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

http://www.newsfocus.org/wtc_woman.gif

4355. Re: 9-11 was an 'Inside Job' say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 14:17:45 UTC
From: HankC <clarkc@missouri.edu>
Message-ID: <1157725065.470827.231000@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6335
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

ingui777@yahoo.com wrote:
> You've seen the photos of the survivors standing in the impact holes on
> the side of the tower begging for help. How could they do that if the
> temperatures were hot enough to 'melt steel'?

The entire structure does not have to melt - just enough key
components.

For a physics professor he sure seems to ignore a lot of concepts such
as momentum, torque, leverage and conservation of energy...

HankC

4356. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 15:15:27 UTC
From: Aluckyguess <neverreal@thisemail.com>
Message-ID: <mOfMg.625$jF.351@newsfe04.lga>
Source record: 6336
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

All 73 need to get a life. They should move to Russia.
Lets get real.

4357. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 15:42:14 UTC
From: Orval Fairbairn <orfairbairn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <orfairbairn-50B7BF.11421408092006@news.west.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6337
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

In article <1157718712.421116.182710@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>,
landnotloans@hotmail.com smoked some really BAD dope, beamed down from
the Mothership, spotted a Sasquatch, dodged a Chupacabra's claws,
sniffed a whole lot of "chemtrails," foamed at the mouth, howled at the
Moon and bloviated :

> The 9/11 terrorist attack on America which left almost 3,000 people
> dead was an "inside job", according to a group of leading academics.
>
> Around 75 top professors and leading scientists believe the attacks
> were puppeteered by war mongers in the White House to justify the
> invasion and the occupation of oil-rich Arab countries.

"Top professors"???? NONE of them has experience in relevant fields:
aviation, structures, demolition, operations, covert ops, etc.

> The claims have caused outrage and anger in the US which marks the
> fifth anniversary of the terrorist attacks on Monday.
>
> But leading scientists say the facts of their investigations cannot be
> ignored and say they have evidence that points to one of the biggest
> conspiracies ever perpetrated. Professor Steven Jones, who lectures in
> physics at the Brigham Young University in Utah, says the official
> version of events is the biggest and most evil cover up in history.

"Leading scientists"??? Experts in the appropriate fields support the
NIST explanations -- NOT those of Jones, et. al! Jones' field of
expertise are: cold fusion, the journeys of Jesus Christ through the
Americas. He has zero experience in structures or anything else relevant
to the subject.

Jones and co. are making a lot of money selling lectures, books and
videos to the ignorant, the galactically stupid, the gullible and the
malicious.

> He has joined the 9/11 Scholars for Truth whose membership includes up
> to 75 leading scientists and experts from universities across the US.
>
> Prof Jones said: "We don't believe that 19 hijackers and a few others
> in a cave in Afghanistan pulled this off acting alone.

He is obviously willfully ignorant of *real* conspiracies!

These guys are continually blowing smoke in political newsgroups and
getting shot down everywhere. They use more aliases than Carter has pill!

4358. Re: 9-11 was an 'Inside Job' say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 15:59:56 UTC
From: Larry Dighera <LDighera@att.net>
Message-ID: <mq43g2t77vqlttg0d95eru0d6hivhrqjio@4ax.com>
Source record: 6338
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

On 8 Sep 2006 06:28:09 -0700, ingui777@yahoo.com wrote in
<1157722089.220542.41820@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>Newsgroups: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

Please remove rec.aviation.piloting from your replies to this spam.

4359. Re: 9-11 was an 'Inside Job' say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 16:11:42 UTC
From: John Smith <someone@microsoft.com>
Message-ID: <1157731502_73@sp6iad.superfeed.net>
Source record: 6340
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

<ingui777@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1157722089.220542.41820@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> You've seen the photos of the survivors standing in the impact holes on
> the side of the tower begging for help. How could they do that if the
> temperatures were hot enough to 'melt steel'?
>

Because at the point where the picture is taken, the fires were not yet hot enough. Either Discovery Channel or A&E (I can't remember which) had a program where they built duplicates of the offices including furniture, computers, etc., then threw in Jet A and set them on fire. The Jet A along with all the burning plastic easily got hot enough to reach temperatures that cause the type of steel used in the WTC to loose something like 80% of it's strength. Very interesting show.

--
"Anybody who deliberately propagandizes with lies should be held up to scorn and ridicule" - Al Franken

4360. Re: 9-11 was an 'Inside Job' say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 16:14:17 UTC
From: danny burstein <dannyb@panix.com>
Message-ID: <eds4so$oo8$1@reader2.panix.com>
Source record: 6339
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

In <mq43g2t77vqlttg0d95eru0d6hivhrqjio@4ax.com> Larry Dighera <LDighera@att.net> writes:

>On 8 Sep 2006 06:28:09 -0700, ingui777@yahoo.com wrote in
><1157722089.220542.41820@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>>Newsgroups: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.
piloting,misc.emerg-services

>Please remove rec.aviation.piloting from your replies to this spam.

I realize I'm adding a post to this thread, but...

In general, don't bother replying to the creatures who
post this sort of drivel. They're living in their own
little spheres of stupidity and either don't read
any follows-up or don't care.

--

_____
Knowledge may be power, but communications is the key
dannyb@panix.com
[to foil spammers, my address has been double rot-13 encoded]

4361. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 16:17:12 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <4mdjc6F5ma1gU1@individual.net>
Source record: 6341

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:Se2Mg.8434$bM.6468@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> I could support that.
>
> SC

I dont support crossposting retards NoLoad.

4362. AR8200 MKII and Scout Mdl 40 for aution

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 16:23:15 UTC
From: techno <technozap@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1157732595.658346.268350@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6342

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&ih=011&item=320023343366&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1

ends in less than 20 minutes

4363. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 16:36:44 UTC
From: "Robert M. Gary" <N7093v@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157733404.183451.102970@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6343
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

Please keep this off the rec.aviation.piloting list. Issues involving
witches, warlocks, little green men, anal probes, and 9/11 conspiracies
are not appropriate for this list.

-Robert

4364. Re: Boca Raton, Fl Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 17:01:43 UTC
From: ken foshee <kenfoshee@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5mhMg.113$oz7.34@newsfe07.lga>
Source record: 6344

I appreciate the reply. This is the link to info we used. I can't determine
if these are analog or digital..

http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&sid=197

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:5rh1g2d4o667t49dm5cqut2pf6puu6frcp@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 7 Sep 2006 14:41:06 -0500, "ken foshee"
> <kenfoshee@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>I recently gave my son my Uniden BC895XLT scanner. I was in Boca this past
>>weekend and we tried to program frequencies in his area into the scanner.
>>We
>>programmed the analog and trunked frequencies into it (on different banks)
>>and were not able to receive anything. Any help/advise would be
>>appreciated.
>>We used the Boca Raton & Palm Beach County info.
>
> Try the frequencies at
> <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&ctid=365> You
> might have had an outdated list.

4365. Re: 9-11 was an 'Inside Job' say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 17:37:01 UTC
From: Jim Macklin <p51mustang>
Message-ID: <fUhMg.20531$SZ3.2811@dukeread04>
Source record: 6346
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

Who said melt? Fire softens steel. It was a damn big
building, the fire wasn't everywhere.

<ingui777@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1157722089.220542.41820@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
| You've seen the photos of the survivors standing in the
impact holes on
| the side of the tower begging for help. How could they do
that if the
| temperatures were hot enough to 'melt steel'?
|

4366. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 17:37:40 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <4mdo33F5m8p4U1@individual.net>
Source record: 6345
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

This is what happens to tinfoilers who spew this crap.

http://www.ksl.com/?nid=148&sid=476951

"Physics Professor Steven Jones has made numerous statements about the collapse of the World Trade Center. BYU has repeatedly said that it does not endorse assertions made by individual faculty.

"We are, however, concerned about the increasingly speculative and accusatory nature of these statements by Dr. Jones."

4367. woger try http://www.mipsor.state.mi.us/mipsor/default.htm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 18:00:22 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157738422.700546.296770@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
>
woger try http://www.mipsor.state.mi.us/mipsor/default.htm
where the state of Michigan makes clear I am not

4368. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 18:05:34 UTC
From: You <You@shadow.orgs>
Message-ID: <You-9EBDB2.10061008092006@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 6348
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

In article <4mdo33F5m8p4U1@individual.net>,
"MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com> wrote:

> This is what happens to tinfoilers who spew this crap.
>
> http://www.ksl.com/?nid=148&sid=476951
>
> "Physics Professor Steven Jones has made numerous statements about the
> collapse of the World Trade Center. BYU has repeatedly said that it does not
> endorse assertions made by individual faculty.
>
> "We are, however, concerned about the increasingly speculative and
> accusatory nature of these statements by Dr. Jones."

Steve Jones Is Certifiable Loon, and anyone who knows him will state so,
in just those words. He isn't a Structural Enginee., He isn't an
Energetic Materials Science Chemist. He has no experience with explosives
in any way, shape or form. He is a Physics Professor with dubious
Publication History, looking to make a 'Big Splash" in the media.
When will these folks just blow away with their own Hot Air......

4369. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 18:08:11 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157738891.521188.124840@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6349
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Opus- wrote:
> On Thu, 07 Sep 2006 23:50:19 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> thusly:
>
> >There are two ways to communicate when all you have is a transceiver,
> >phone, & CW. All you need for phone is a microphone, All you need for CW
> >is a pencil and paper. If you had to build a transmitter in an emergency,
> >a CW transmitter is simpliest to build. We are after all technical aren't
> >we? We're not just appliance operators.
>
> Build a transmitter? I could humiliate you there, but that's been done
> already.

I have built afew built a nice 6m am voice unit once even had a vacum
tube
>
> >Eliminating CW removes a way we can communicate. A simple way, where all
> >that's required is an ear, a pencil, and paper, and a skill.
>
> There is NOTHING about removing code testing that will stop you from
> using code. Wanna use code? Go ahead, knock yourself out. You can
> hammer on that key all night long, for all anybody cares. Hammer on it
> in your sleep. Hammer on it in the shower.

the problem is that they (the ProCoders) have been nasty over the years
they fear the mode will die off if they don't code welfare program of
code testing
>
> Just don't tell me that I am a bad person if I don't.

4370. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 18:13:29 UTC
From: "Robert M. Gary" <N7093v@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157739209.068985.187070@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6350
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

I thought it was strange that this guy was a physics professor. What
would a physics prof know about how the towers fell? That's the realm
of engineering. A physics prof would start the problem with "ok, assume
a zero gravity, zero atmophere environment..." ;)

-Robert,

MnMikew wrote:
> This is what happens to tinfoilers who spew this crap.
>
> http://www.ksl.com/?nid=148&sid=476951
>
> "Physics Professor Steven Jones has made numerous statements about the
> collapse of the World Trade Center. BYU has repeatedly said that it does not
> endorse assertions made by individual faculty.
>
> "We are, however, concerned about the increasingly speculative and
> accusatory nature of these statements by Dr. Jones."

4371. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 18:15:04 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157739304.144522.33770@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

etagg wrote:
> Get a life......
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message

indeed morse code ishis life it would seem

4372. Re: 9-11 was an 'Inside Job' say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 19:17:38 UTC
From: John Smith <someone@microsoft.com>
Message-ID: <1157742659_349@sp6iad.superfeed.net>
Source record: 6252
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

"Jim Macklin" <p51mustang[threeX12]@xxxhotmail.calm> wrote in message
news:fUhMg.20531$SZ3.2811@dukeread04...
> Who said melt? Fire softens steel. It was a damn big
> building, the fire wasn't everywhere.
>
>
> <ingui777@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1157722089.220542.41820@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> | You've seen the photos of the survivors standing in the
> impact holes on
> | the side of the tower begging for help. How could they do
> that if the
> | temperatures were hot enough to 'melt steel'?
> |
>
>

It appears you only have to cause one floor to drop in that type of building to bring it down. On the History Channel they have a program called Engineering Disasters, and in one of the shows they addressed that very issue. They had actual films of a building that fell during construction in just the way the WTC fell. After much testing they found that rebar had been placed 1/2 inch off from where it was supposed to be in the floor. As the building got taller there was enough weight placed on a lower floor and it failed. The building went straight down, just like the WTC, killing a bunch of workers. All this because of some rebar in the wrong place.

--
"Anybody who deliberately propagandizes with lies should be held up to scorn and ridicule" - Al Franken

4373. Re: 9-11 was an 'Inside Job' say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 19:38:03 UTC
From: danny burstein <dannyb@panix.com>
Message-ID: <edsgqrarf1@reader2.panix.com>
Source record: 6253
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

In <1157742659_349@sp6iad.superfeed.net> "John Smith" <someone@microsoft.com> writes:

>It appears you only have to cause one floor to drop in that type of building
>to bring it down. On the History Channel they have a program called
>Engineering Disasters, and in one of the shows they addressed that very
>issue. They had actual films of a building that fell during construction
>in just the way the WTC fell. After much testing they found that rebar had
>been placed 1/2 inch off from where it was supposed to be in the floor. As
>the building got taller there was enough weight placed on a lower floor and
>it failed. The building went straight down, just like the WTC, killing a
>bunch of workers. All this because of some rebar in the wrong place.

Sounds like (more or less) they're describing
the pancaking of L'Ambiance Plaza in
Bridgeport, Ct., back in (clickety click) 1987

(and even if they're not, it's a good read...)

short version: the building was a "lift slab"
structure, where the floors were built up
at ground level, then lifted by crane to
their destination height, and attached to
the columns. First would be the top floor,
then (top -1), (top -2), rinse, lather, repeat.

One of the upper floors came loose, fell down, and
the rest joined up.

A couple of cites:
http://www.pubs.asce.org/WWWdisplay.cgi?9204513

http://www.fire.nist.gov/bfrlpubs/build94/PDF/b94023.pdf (pdf)

and, for good measure:

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/analysis/compare/lambiance.html

(note that the last includes some commentary which
is full of [expletive deleted], such as the claim that
"The rubble of the L'Ambiance Plaza building contained
clearly recognizable stacks of floor slabs, contrasting
with the striking absence of a single photograph showing
a piece of floor slab or even steel truss at Ground Zero...)

--

____
Knowledge may be power, but communications is the key
dannyb@panix.com
[to foil spammers, my address has been double rot-13 encoded]

4374. Re: 9-11 was an 'Inside Job' say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 19:54:28 UTC
From: coord <splunge@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <-9udnaEsRqTwV5zYnZ2dnUVZ_vadnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 6254
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

"danny burstein" <dannyb@panix.com> wrote in message
news:edsgqrarf1@reader2.panix.com...
> In <1157742659_349@sp6iad.superfeed.net> "John Smith" <someone@microsoft.com> writes:
>
>>It appears you only have to cause one floor to drop in that type of building
>>to bring it down. On the History Channel they have a program called
>>Engineering Disasters, and in one of the shows they addressed that very
>>issue. They had actual films of a building that fell during construction
>>in just the way the WTC fell. After much testing they found that rebar had
>>been placed 1/2 inch off from where it was supposed to be in the floor. As
>>the building got taller there was enough weight placed on a lower floor and
>>it failed. The building went straight down, just like the WTC, killing a
>>bunch of workers. All this because of some rebar in the wrong place.
>
> Sounds like (more or less) they're describing
> the pancaking of L'Ambiance Plaza in
> Bridgeport, Ct., back in (clickety click) 1987
>
> (and even if they're not, it's a good read...)
>
> short version: the building was a "lift slab"
> structure, where the floors were built up
> at ground level, then lifted by crane to
> their destination height, and attached to
> the columns. First would be the top floor,
> then (top -1), (top -2), rinse, lather, repeat.
>
> One of the upper floors came loose, fell down, and
> the rest joined up.
>
> A couple of cites:
> http://www.pubs.asce.org/WWWdisplay.cgi?9204513
>
> http://www.fire.nist.gov/bfrlpubs/build94/PDF/b94023.pdf (pdf)
>
> and, for good measure:
>
> http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/analysis/compare/lambiance.html
>
> (note that the last includes some commentary which
> is full of [expletive deleted], such as the claim that
> "The rubble of the L'Ambiance Plaza building contained

> clearly recognizable stacks of floor slabs, contrasting
> with the striking absence of a single photograph showing
> a piece of floor slab or even steel truss at Ground Zero...)
>
Interesting links here...

http://www.ire.org/inthenews_archive/collapse.html

4375. Re: 9-11 was an 'Inside Job' say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 21:02:21 UTC
From: John Smith <someone@microsoft.com>
Message-ID: <1157748942_1559@sp6iad.superfeed.net>
Source record: 6255
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

"danny burstein" <dannyb@panix.com> wrote in message
news:edsgqrarf1@reader2.panix.com...
> In <1157742659_349@sp6iad.superfeed.net> "John Smith"
> <someone@microsoft.com> writes:
>
>>It appears you only have to cause one floor to drop in that type of
>>building
>>to bring it down. On the History Channel they have a program called
>>Engineering Disasters, and in one of the shows they addressed that very
>>issue. They had actual films of a building that fell during construction
>>in just the way the WTC fell. After much testing they found that rebar
>>had
>>been placed 1/2 inch off from where it was supposed to be in the floor.
>>As
>>the building got taller there was enough weight placed on a lower floor
>>and
>>it failed. The building went straight down, just like the WTC, killing a
>>bunch of workers. All this because of some rebar in the wrong place.
>
> Sounds like (more or less) they're describing
> the pancaking of L'Ambiance Plaza in
> Bridgeport, Ct., back in (clickety click) 1987
>
> (and even if they're not, it's a good read...)
>
> short version: the building was a "lift slab"
> structure, where the floors were built up
> at ground level, then lifted by crane to
> their destination height, and attached to
> the columns. First would be the top floor,
> then (top -1), (top -2), rinse, lather, repeat.
>
> One of the upper floors came loose, fell down, and
> the rest joined up.
>
> A couple of cites:
> http://www.pubs.asce.org/WWWdisplay.cgi?9204513
>
> http://www.fire.nist.gov/bfrlpubs/build94/PDF/b94023.pdf (pdf)
>
> and, for good measure:
>

> http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/analysis/compare/lambiance.html
>
> (note that the last includes some commentary which
> is full of [expletive deleted], such as the claim that
> "The rubble of the L'Ambiance Plaza building contained
> clearly recognizable stacks of floor slabs, contrasting
> with the striking absence of a single photograph showing
> a piece of floor slab or even steel truss at Ground Zero...)
>

Great links, thanks. I do think the building they had on the film was in Florida, but I seem to remember them saying the name L'Ambiance Plaza when talking about other building that had fallen. There was a guy doing a video tape during the construction so you got to see it come down.

Although it is hard to tell from the photos shown, it sure looks like there were pieces of floor slab in some of them.

4376. Re: 9-11 was an 'Inside Job' say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 21:29:35 UTC
From: Matt Whiting <whiting@epix.net>
Message-ID: <3hlMg.1111$Db4.134952@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 6256
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

HankC wrote:
> ingui777@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>>You've seen the photos of the survivors standing in the impact holes on
>>the side of the tower begging for help. How could they do that if the
>>temperatures were hot enough to 'melt steel'?
>
>
> The entire structure does not have to melt - just enough key
> components.

Nothing had to melt, just get hot enough to lose strength and yield.
With steel, this occurs well below the melting point. Hasn't anyone
ever watched a blacksmith at work?

> For a physics professor he sure seems to ignore a lot of concepts such
> as momentum, torque, leverage and conservation of energy...

And ignores common sense as well.

Matt

4377. Re: 9-11 was an 'Inside Job' say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 22:14:35 UTC
From: landnotloans@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1157753675.577019.298340@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6257
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

The following letter was sent today by Kevin Ryan of Underwriters Laboratories to Frank Gayle of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) . Underwriters Laboratories is the company that certified the steel components used in the construction of the World Trade Center towers. The information in this letter is of great importance.

Dr. Gayle,

Having recently reviewed your team's report of 10/19/04, I felt the need to contact you directly.

As I'm sure you know, the company I work for certified the steel components used in the construction of the WTC buildings. [..]

We know that the steel components were certified to ASTM E119. The time temperature curves for this standard require the samples to be exposed to temperatures around 2000F for several hours. And as we all agree, the steel applied met those specifications. Additionally, I think we can all agree that even un-fireproofed steel will not melt until reaching red-hot temperatures of nearly 3000F (2). Why Dr. Brown would imply that 2000F would melt the high-grade steel used in those buildings makes no sense at all. [..]

This story just does not add up. If steel from those buildings did soften or melt, I'm sure we can all agree that this was certainly not due to jet fuel fires of any kind, let alone the briefly burning fires in those towers. That fact should be of great concern to all Americans.

http://www.globalresearch.ca/index.php?context=viewArticle&code=RYA20041106&articleId=236

4378. 1st Responder Wireless News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 22:15:05 UTC
From: "nyscan@gmail.com" <nyscan@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157753705.325677.307230@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6258

1st Responder Wireless News (1RWN), already very active, and recognized by most media outlets and Public Safety Officials as the "Industry Leader" in most of the East Coast States, is actively looking for News Correspondents to become active as Incident Dispatchers for your area.

1st Responder Wireless News, a subsidiary company of 1st Responder News, is now consider the leader in the emergency notification industry, sending incident alerts to pagers, cell phones and e-mails.

We are now active in AL, AZ, CA, CO, CT, DC, DE, FL, GA, HI, IL, IN, KY, LA, MA, MD, ME, MO, MS, NC, NH, NJ, NM, NV, NY, OH, OR, PA, RI, SC, TN, TX, VA, VI, VT, WA, WV and Canada. 1RWN has already acquired many well known Paging Notification Services including TAC-9 Paging, East Coast Paging, Ohio Fire News, Maryland Fire Network, Virginia Fire Net, Toronto Fire Net, Metro Fire Paging and the National Incident News Network (NINN), with several additional under negotiations. This is a very exciting time to become involved.

1RWN sends instant notifications for any newsworthy Police, Fire, Rescue, Traffic, Weather and Breaking News event within our coverage areas, to pagers, e-mail, cell phones, PDAs and to our online streaming tickers. The vast majority of our Dispatch Staff is comprised of Volunteer and Career Firefighters, Police/Sheriff Officers, Haz-Mat & Rescue Technicians, 911/ALS/Medevac Dispatchers, ALS, BLS & OEM Personnel and Skywarn Spotters, on local, county, state and federal levels.

1RWN is in the final stages of development of our Dispatcher Rewards Program which will allow our Dispatchers to log into an online store and buy products ranging from cameras to antenna to two-way radios using points they've earned by being active on our system! EVERY Dispatcher on our system will now reap rewards for their hard work, dedication and loyalty!

1RWN has also recently gone live with the industry's MOST COMPREHENSIVE Customer Interface selection process which allows our Subscribers to select EXACTLY what information they want to receive, from what areas and to what devices. In other words, you can select to receive JUST building collapse incidents in Yonkers to be sent to your cell phone, with bridge closures in Philadelphia being sent to your pager. You are no longer restricted to receiving ALL alerts from a region, now YOU decide what you receive from the average 16,000 monthly alerts sent from any of the areas we cover - 37 States, Washington DC, or Canada! EVERY active 1RWN Dispatcher qualifies for complimentary service!

If you are interested, or would like more information, please check out the website at www.1RWN.com and/or e-mail Scott@1RWN.com.

Why settle for the rest...when you can be with the best?

4379. Re: Boca Raton, Fl Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 22:41:40 UTC
From: gray-beard <gray-beard@tryandguess.org>
Message-ID: <Kb-dnQR03sw8bJzYnZ2dnUVZ_uydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 6259

System Name: Palm Beach County
Location: Palm Beach, FL
County: Palm Beach
System Type: Motorola Type II SmartZone
System Voice: Analog and *****APCO-25 Common Air Interface*****
Sysid: 813A
Last Updated: Added a single talkgroup
Hits: 11628

Fifth line down (the one I added the stars to)
APCO-25 is digital

GB

ken foshee wrote:
> I appreciate the reply. This is the link to info we used. I can't determine
> if these are analog or digital..
>
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&sid=197
>
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:5rh1g2d4o667t49dm5cqut2pf6puu6frcp@4ax.com...
>
>>On Thu, 7 Sep 2006 14:41:06 -0500, "ken foshee"
>><kenfoshee@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>I recently gave my son my Uniden BC895XLT scanner. I was in Boca this past
>>>weekend and we tried to program frequencies in his area into the scanner.
>>>We
>>>programmed the analog and trunked frequencies into it (on different banks)
>>>and were not able to receive anything. Any help/advise would be
>>>appreciated.
>>>We used the Boca Raton & Palm Beach County info.
>>
>>Try the frequencies at
>><http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&ctid=365> You
>>might have had an outdated list.
>
>
>

--
"There's no way to rule innocent men. The only power any government
has is the power to crack down on criminals. Well, when there aren't

enough criminals, one makes them. One declares so many things to be a crime that it becomes impossible to live without breaking laws."

-- Ayn Rand, Atlas Shrugged

4380. RE: Who Really Blew Up the World Trade Centre?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 23:01:47 UTC
From: ingui777@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1157756507.641500.231430@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6260
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

http://video.google.ca/videoplay?docid=4194796183168750014

http://video.google.ca/videoplay?docid=6545313046180631815

NY Fire Department Chief of Safety stated there were "bombs" and
"secondary devices", which caused the explosions in the buildings
VIDEO: http://terrorize.dk/911/witnesses/911.wtc.reporter.1.wmv

NYC firefighters who witnessed attacks stated that it looked like there
were bombs in the buildings
http://www.letsroll911.org/discussion_in_firehouse.mpg

NYC firefighter stated "On the last trip up a bomb went off. We think
there was bombs set in the building"
http://web.archive.org/web/20010914230246/http://people.aol.com/people/special/0,11859,174
592-3,00.html

NYC firefighter stated there was a "bomb in the building ... start
clearing out"
http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-4574366633014832928&q=9%2F11+bomb

NYC firefighter stated "the south tower . . . exploded . . . At that
point a debate began to rage because the perception was that the
building looked like it had been taken out with charges . . . many
people had felt that possibly explosives had taken out 2 World Trade"
http://a1022.g.akamai.net/f/1022/8160/1d/www.newsday.com/includes/fdny-9-11/pdf/9110295.pd
f

Assistant Fire Commissioner stated "I thought . . . before . . . No.
2 came down, that I saw low-level flashes. . . . I . . . saw a flash
flash flash . . . [at] the lower level of the building [not up where
the fire was]. You know like when they . . . blow up a building ... ?"
-- and a lieutenant firefighter the Commissioner spoke with
independently verified the flashes
http://www.nytimes.com/packages/html/nyregion/20050812_WTC_GRAPHIC/Gregory_Stephen.txt

A firefighter said "[T]here was just an explosion. It seemed like on
television [when] they blow up these buildings. It seemed like it was
going all the way around like a belt, all these explosions."
http://www.nytimes.com/packages/html/nyregion/20050812_WTC_GRAPHIC/Banaciski_Richard.txt

Another firefighter stated "it almost sounded like bombs going off,
like boom, boom, boom, like seven or eight"
http://66.102.7.104/search?q=cache:T2ldEwVAu18J:abclocal.go.com/images/wabc/2005/TurilliTh
omas_firefighter.pdf+%22Thomas+Turilli%22+%22%E2%80%9Cit+almost%22&hl=en&client=firefox-a

Paramedic said "at first I thought it was -- do you ever see
professional demolition where they set the charges on certain floors
and then you hear pop pop pop pop pop -- thats exactly what because
thought it was"
http://a1022.g.akamai.net/f/1022/8160/1d/www.newsday.com/includes/fdny-9-11/pdf/9110035.pd
f

Police officer noted "People were saying, 'There's another one and
another one.' I heard reports of secondary bomb explosions . . ."
http://www.thememoryhole.org/911/pa-transcripts/pa-police-reports01.pdf

Firefighter stated "there was an explosion in the south tower, which .
. . just blew out in flames . . . One floor under another after another
and when it hit about the fifth floor, I figured it was a bomb, because
it looked like a synchronized deliberate kind of thing. I was there in
'93"
http://graphics8.nytimes.com/packages/pdf/nyregion/20050812_WTC_GRAPHIC/9110290.PDF

A firefighter stated "it looked like sparkling around one specific
layer of the building . . . Then the building started to come down. My
initial reaction was that this was exactly the way it looks when they
show you those implosions on TV."
http://www.nytimes.com/packages/html/nyregion/20050812_WTC_GRAPHIC/Fitzpatrick_Tom.txt

Officer in the New Jersey Fire Police Department who was previously a
sergeant in the U.S. Army, said about the south tower: "[I]t sounded
like bombs going off. That's when the explosions happened. . . . I knew
something was going to happen. . . . It started to get dark, then all
of a sudden there was this massive explosion." Then, discussing her
experiences during the collapse of the north tower, she said: "[There
was] another explosion. That sent me and the two firefighters down the
stairs. . . . I can't tell you how many times I got banged around. Each
one of those explosions picked me up and threw me. . . . There was
another explosion, and I got thrown with two firefighters out onto the
street."
http://www.amazon.com/gp/product/0028644220/002-7168646-8424844?v=glance&n=283155

A facilities manager in the north tower "was convinced that there were
bombs planted all over the place and someone was sitting at a control
panel pushing detonator buttons"
http://www.thememoryhole.org/911/veliz-bombs.htm

A police report states World Trade Center "Police Desk reporting an
explosion on the lower level" 8 minutes after plane crash (page 17,
which is page 2 of the Chronological Report of the WTC Radio
Transmissions on 9/11/01)
http://www.thememoryhole.org/911/pa-transcripts/pa-police-reports01.pdf

NYC firefighter stated "It actually gave at a lower floor, not the
floor where the plane hit. . . [W]e originally had thought there was
like an internal detonation, explosives, because it went in succession,
boom, boom, boom, boom, and then the tower came down."
http://www.nytimes.com/packages/html/nyregion/20050812_WTC_GRAPHIC/Cachia_Edward.txt

Firefighter states "this, huge incredible force of wind and debris actually came UP the stairs, knocked my helmet off, knocked me to the ground"
http://terrorize.dk/911/witnesses/911.wtc.debris.up.the.stairs.wmv

Similarly, employee of an insurance company in south tower heard an explosion from BELOW the impact of the airplane, an "exploding sound" shook the building, a tornado of hot air, smoke and ceiling tiles and bits of drywall came flying UP the stairwell, and the wall split from the bottom UP
http://www.csmonitor.com/2001/0917/p1s1-usgn.html

Firefighter said "I was distracted by a large explosion from the south tower and it seemed like fire was shooting out a couple of hundred feet in each direction, then all of a sudden the top of the tower started coming down in a pancake . . . It appeared somewhere below [the area where the plane had hit]. Maybe twenty floors below the impact area of the plane"
http://a1022.g.akamai.net/f/1022/8160/1d/www.newsday.com/includes/fdny-9-11/pdf/9110288.pdf

continued: http://911proof.com/11.html

4381. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 23:03:12 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <4501f6b1$0$6849$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 6261
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

That story line of it "not" being the planes that brought the towers down, is one of the BIGGEST bull shit stories ever to be printed.

IF you "watched" the towers fall, they fell from top to bottom. Straight down (just about). IF there were "Dynamite" used - especially at the ground floors, they would have went out first - and THAT WAS NOT THE CASE. ALSO - you would most likely have seen implosions or adversely - walls "shattering" "OUT" depending on how the charges were placed. That ALSO was not the case. IF you recall, the FIRST attempt to bring the towers down WAS done with "Explosives" placed in the garage area. Yes, it caused damage and some injuries/deaths but as to bringing the towers down - It didn't work.

You bull shit conspiracy theorists really need to get a grip on life. THAT was a fucking attack on our country - pure and simple. OR "maybe" YOU were a part of it! Trying to spin it out of the real truth!

L.

"Robert M. Gary" <N7093v@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1157739209.068985.187070@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>I thought it was strange that this guy was a physics professor. What
> would a physics prof know about how the towers fell? That's the realm
> of engineering. A physics prof would start the problem with "ok, assume
> a zero gravity, zero atmophere environment..." ;)
>
> -Robert,
>
>
>
>
> MnMikew wrote:
>> This is what happens to tinfoilers who spew this crap.
>>
>> http://www.ksl.com/?nid=148&sid=476951
>>
>> "Physics Professor Steven Jones has made numerous statements about the
>> collapse of the World Trade Center. BYU has repeatedly said that it does
>> not
>> endorse assertions made by individual faculty.
>>
>> "We are, however, concerned about the increasingly speculative and
>> accusatory nature of these statements by Dr. Jones."
>

4382. Re: Who Really Blew Up the World Trade Centre?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 23:19:06 UTC
From: ingui777@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1157757546.881355.320170@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6262
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

"Lucky" Larry Silverstein

Larry Silverstein is the New York property tycoon who purchased the entire WTC complex just 6 months prior to the 9/11 attacks. That was the first time in its 33-year history the complex had EVER changed ownership.

Mr. Silverstein's first order of business as the new owner was to change the company responsible for the security of the complex. The new security company he hired was Securacom (now Stratasec). George W. Bush's brother, Marvin Bush, was on its board of directors, and Marvin's cousin, Wirt Walker III, was its CEO. According to public records, not only did Securacom provide electronic security for the World Trade Center, it also covered Dulles International Airport and United Airlines - two key players in the 9/11 attacks.

The company was backed by an investment firm, the Kuwait-American Corp., also linked for many years to the Bush family. KuwAm has been linked to the Bush family financially since the Gulf War. One of its principals and a member of the Kuwaiti royal family, Mishal Yousef Saud al Sabah, served on the board of Stratesec.

Now, consider: The members of a small cabal owned the WTC complex, controlled its electronic security, and also controlled the security not only for one of the airlines whose aircraft were hijacked on 9/11, but the airport from which they originated.

Another little "coincidence" -- Mr. Silversten, who made a down-payment of $124 million on this $3.2 billion complex, promptly insured it for $7 Billion. Not only that, he covered the complex against "terrorist attacks".

Following the attacks, Silverstein filed TWO insurance claims for the maximum amount of the policy ($7B), based on the two -- in Silverstein's view -- separate attacks. The insurance company, Swiss Re, paid Mr. Silverstein $4.6 Billion - a princely return on a relatively paltry investment of $124 million.

There's more. You see, the World Trade Towers were not the real estate plum we are led to believe. From an economic standpoint, the trade center -- subsidized since its inception by the NY Port Authority -- has never functioned, nor was it intended to function, unprotected in the rough-and-tumble real estate marketplace. How could Silverstein Group have been ignorant of this?

The towers required some $200 million in renovations and improvements, most of which related to removal and replacement of building materials declared to be health hazards in the years since the towers were built. It was well-known by the city of New York that the WTC was an asbestos bombshell. For years, the Port Authority treated the building like an aging dinosaur, attempting on several occasions to get permits to demolish the building for liability reasons, but being turned down due the known asbestos problem. Further, it was well-known the only reason the building was still standing until 9/11 was because it was too costly to disassemble the twin towers floor by floor since the Port Authority was prohibited legally from demolishing the buildings.

The projected cost to disassemble the towers: $15 Billion. Just the scaffolding for the operation was estimated at $2.4 Billion!

In other words, the Twin Towers were condemned structures. How convenient that an unexpected "terrorist" attack demolished the buildings completely.

WTC Building 7 was a part of the WTC complex, and covered under the same insurance policy. This 47-storey steel-framed structure, which was NOT struck by an aircraft, mysteriously collapsed 8 hours later that same day into its own footprint at freefall speed - exactly in the manner of the Twin Towers.

How could this have happened? Mr. Silverstein gave the world the answer when he slipped up during a PBS television interview a year later, on 9/11/2002:

"I remember getting a call from the...er...fire department commander, telling me that they were not sure they were gonna be able to contain the fire, and I said, 'We've had such terrible loss of life, maybe the smartest thing to do is pull it.' And they made that decision to pull and we watched the building collapse."

As anyone who knows anything about construction can tell you, "Pull" is common industry jargon for a controlled demolition.

One thing is for sure, the decision to 'pull' WTC 7 would have delighted many people. Especially because it has been reported that thousands of sensitive files relating to some of the biggest financial scams in history - including Enron and WorldCom -- were stored in the offices of some of the building's tenants:

* US Secret Service
* NSA
* CIA
* IRS
* BATF
* SEC
* NAIC Securities
* Salomon Smith Barney
* American Express Bank International
* Standard Chartered Bank

* Provident Financial Management
* ITT Hartford Insurance Group
* Federal Home Loan Bank

The Securities and Exchange Commission has not quantified the number of active cases in which substantial files were destroyed by the collapse of WTC 7. Reuters news service and the Los Angeles Times published reports estimating them at 3,000 to 4,000. They include the agency's major inquiry into the manner in which investment banks divvied up hot shares of initial public offerings during the high-tech boom. ..."Ongoing investigations at the New York SEC will be dramatically affected because so much of their work is paper-intensive," said Max Berger of New York's Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann. "This is a disaster for these cases."

Citigroup says some information that the committee is seeking [about WorldCom] was destroyed in the Sept. 11 terror attack on the World Trade Center. Salomon had offices in 7 World Trade Center. The bank says that back-up tapes of corporate emails from September 1998 through December 2000 were stored at the building and destroyed in the attack.

Inside WTC 7 was the US Secret Service's largest field office with more than 200 employees. "All the evidence that we stored at 7 World Trade, in all our cases, went down with the building," according to US Secret Service Special Agent David Curran.

What a neat, complete, and fortuitous turn of events was 9/11.

Incidentally, it's worth noting that one of Lucky Larry's closest friends - a person with whom it's said he speaks almost daily by phone - is none other than former Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu.

More on that cozy little relationship later...

http://www.ziopedia.org/content/view/1494/0/

4383. Re: Who Really Blew Up the World Trade Centre?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-08 23:22:13 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <4501fb65$0$6845$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 6263
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

BULL SHIT.....

<ingui777@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1157757546.881355.320170@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> "Lucky" Larry Silverstein
>
>

4384. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 00:14:57 UTC
From: Orval Fairbairn <orfairbairn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <orfairbairn-B3DCEA.20145608092006@news.west.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6264
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

In article <4501f6b1$0$6849$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>,
"L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote:

> That story line of it "not" being the planes that brought the towers down,
> is one of the BIGGEST bull shit stories ever to be printed.
>
> IF you "watched" the towers fall, they fell from top to bottom. Straight
> down (just about). IF there were "Dynamite" used - especially at the ground
> floors, they would have went out first - and THAT WAS NOT THE CASE. ALSO -
> you would most likely have seen implosions or adversely - walls "shattering"
> "OUT" depending on how the charges were placed. That ALSO was not the case.
> IF you recall, the FIRST attempt to bring the towers down WAS done with
> "Explosives" placed in the garage area. Yes, it caused damage and some
> injuries/deaths but as to bringing the towers down - It didn't work.
>
> You bull shit conspiracy theorists really need to get a grip on life. THAT
> was a fucking attack on our country - pure and simple. OR "maybe" YOU were a
> part of it! Trying to spin it out of the real truth!

They started out with a story that it was "thermate" that brought them
down. When they found out that there is no such thing as "thermate,"
they switched the story to thermite. Now it is dynamite! If braind were
dynamite, the collective "9/11 'Truth' Movement" wouldn't ahve enough to
blow their noses!

> "Robert M. Gary" <N7093v@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1157739209.068985.187070@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >I thought it was strange that this guy was a physics professor. What
> > would a physics prof know about how the towers fell? That's the realm
> > of engineering. A physics prof would start the problem with "ok, assume
> > a zero gravity, zero atmophere environment..." ;)
> >
> > -Robert,
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > MnMikew wrote:
> >> This is what happens to tinfoilers who spew this crap.
> >>
> >> http://www.ksl.com/?nid=148&sid=476951
> >>
> >> "Physics Professor Steven Jones has made numerous statements about the

> >> collapse of the World Trade Center. BYU has repeatedly said that it does
> >> not
> >> endorse assertions made by individual faculty.
> >>
> >> "We are, however, concerned about the increasingly speculative and
> >> accusatory nature of these statements by Dr. Jones."
> >

4385. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 02:13:22 UTC
From: Mike Painter <mddotpainter@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <6rpMg.26487$gY6.16183@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 6265
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

Orval Fairbairn wrote:
>
> They started out with a story that it was "thermate" that brought them
> down. When they found out that there is no such thing as "thermate,"
> they switched the story to thermite. Now it is dynamite! If braind
> were dynamite, the collective "9/11 'Truth' Movement" wouldn't ahve
> enough to blow their noses!
>
>
I recently was in an exchange with someone who didn't keep track of his
responses.

One of his last responses to me was to say that the "explosions" could have
been every ten floors and that "They" were sloppy.
One of his last posts to another person had him saying "They" had to wire
every floor with great precision.
I quoted his response to me in that thread and he went away.

The question that popped into my mind during that exchange was "Why bother
with airplanes?"

If they could wire two they could wire two hundred. Blow up a couple, tell
the world of the other 198 then blow one a week or one every time a search
crew went in.

The recent fear of water and toothpaste (but you can carry on KY-jelly) on
planes led me to another way to bring excitement onto an airplane.

4386. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 02:16:05 UTC
From: Bob <warthog9@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <FtpMg.2649$MF1.1994@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 6266
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

Oh cripes, if you believe that then my schlong is two feet long! There
is always some crack pot A-hole with some conspiracy song about
something. Faaaaak!
Bob

landnotloans@hotmail.com wrote:
> The 9/11 terrorist attack on America which left almost 3,000 people
> dead was an "inside job", according to a group of leading academics.
>
> Around 75 top professors and leading scientists believe the attacks
> were puppeteered by war mongers in the White House to justify the
> invasion and the occupation of oil-rich Arab countries.
>
> The claims have caused outrage and anger in the US which marks the
> fifth anniversary of the terrorist attacks on Monday.
>
> But leading scientists say the facts of their investigations cannot be
> ignored and say they have evidence that points to one of the biggest
> conspiracies ever perpetrated. Professor Steven Jones, who lectures in
> physics at the Brigham Young University in Utah, says the official
> version of events is the biggest and most evil cover up in history.
>
> He has joined the 9/11 Scholars for Truth whose membership includes up
> to 75 leading scientists and experts from universities across the US.
>
> Prof Jones said: "We don't believe that 19 hijackers and a few others
> in a cave in Afghanistan pulled this off acting alone.
>
> "We challenge this official conspiracy theory and, by God, we're going
> to get to the bottom of this."
>
> They believe a group of US neo-conservatives called the Project for a
> New American Century, set on US world dominance, orchestrated the 9/11
> attacks as an excuse to hit Iraq, Afghanistan and later Iran.
>
>
> http://www.dailymail.co.uk/pages/live/articles/news/news.html?in_article_id=403757&in_pa
ge_id=1770
>
> The Demolition of the World Trade Centre Complex:
> http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=6545313046180631815
>

4387. Re: Boca Raton, Fl Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 02:22:15 UTC
From: ken foshee <kenfoshee@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <31BMg.8$jR7.1@newsfe02.lga>
Source record: 6290

Thx, that was what I was afraid of.. Oh well, tried.....
"gray-beard" <gray-beard@tryandguess.org> wrote in message
news:Kb-dnQR03sw8bJzYnZ2dnUVZ_uydnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
> System Name: Palm Beach County
> Location: Palm Beach, FL
> County: Palm Beach
> System Type: Motorola Type II SmartZone
> System Voice: Analog and *****APCO-25 Common Air Interface*****
> Sysid: 813A
> Last Updated: Added a single talkgroup
> Hits: 11628
>
> Fifth line down (the one I added the stars to)
> APCO-25 is digital
>
> GB
>
>
> ken foshee wrote:
>> I appreciate the reply. This is the link to info we used. I can't
>> determine if these are analog or digital..
>>
>> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&sid=197
>>
>> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>> news:5rh1g2d4o667t49dm5cqut2pf6puu6frcp@4ax.com...
>>
>>>On Thu, 7 Sep 2006 14:41:06 -0500, "ken foshee"
>>><kenfoshee@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>I recently gave my son my Uniden BC895XLT scanner. I was in Boca this
>>>>past
>>>>weekend and we tried to program frequencies in his area into the
>>>>scanner. We
>>>>programmed the analog and trunked frequencies into it (on different
>>>>banks)
>>>>and were not able to receive anything. Any help/advise would be
>>>>appreciated.
>>>>We used the Boca Raton & Palm Beach County info.
>>>
>>>Try the frequencies at
>>><http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&ctid=365> You

>>>might have had an outdated list.
>>
>>
>>
>
> --
> "There's no way to rule innocent men. The only power any government has
> is the power to crack down on criminals. Well, when there aren't enough
> criminals, one makes them. One declares so many things to be a crime that
> it becomes impossible to live without breaking laws."
>
> -- Ayn Rand, Atlas Shrugged

4388. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 02:28:20 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157768900.823337.147020@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6267
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

The hobby is dead because of all these old ham geriatrics stuck on
code. No one in the real world gives a shit about code.
Slow Code wrote:
> I could support that.
>
> SC

4389. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 02:47:09 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157770029.574411.301430@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6268
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Douche Bag wrote:
> The hobby is dead because of all these old ham geriatrics stuck on
> code. No one in the real world gives a shit about code.

not dead dispite the efforts folks like the arrl and slow code to kill
it

4390. Re: 9-11 was an 'Inside Job' say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 03:09:33 UTC
From: Matt Barrow <mbarrow@site_fill.com>
Message-ID: <4501862d$0$3326$815e3792@news.qwest.net>
Source record: 6269
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

There was no one at the impact holes, they were on ledges.

Quit lying before we have to sew your mouth shut.

> <ingui777@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1157722089.220542.41820@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> | You've seen the photos of the survivors standing in the
> impact holes on
> | the side of the tower begging for help. How could they do
> that if the
> | temperatures were hot enough to 'melt steel'?
> |
>
>

4391. Re: 9-11 was an 'Inside Job' say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 03:22:59 UTC
From: BDK <BDK@twilight-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1f6bfd99cd6b5d6198a283@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 6270
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

In article <1157753675.577019.298340@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>,
landnotloans@hotmail.com says...
> The following letter was sent today by Kevin Ryan of Underwriters
> Laboratories to Frank Gayle of the National Institute of Standards and
> Technology (NIST) . Underwriters Laboratories is the company that
> certified the steel components used in the construction of the World
> Trade Center towers. The information in this letter is of great
> importance.
>
> Dr. Gayle,
>
> Having recently reviewed your team's report of 10/19/04, I felt the
> need to contact you directly.
>
> As I'm sure you know, the company I work for certified the steel
> components used in the construction of the WTC buildings. [..]
>
> We know that the steel components were certified to ASTM E119. The time
> temperature curves for this standard require the samples to be exposed
> to temperatures around 2000F for several hours. And as we all agree,
> the steel applied met those specifications. Additionally, I think we
> can all agree that even un-fireproofed steel will not melt until
> reaching red-hot temperatures of nearly 3000F (2). Why Dr. Brown would
> imply that 2000F would melt the high-grade steel used in those
> buildings makes no sense at all. [..]
>
> This story just does not add up. If steel from those buildings did
> soften or melt, I'm sure we can all agree that this was certainly not
> due to jet fuel fires of any kind, let alone the briefly burning fires
> in those towers. That fact should be of great concern to all Americans.
>
>
http://www.globalresearch.ca/index.php?context=viewArticle&code=RYA20041106&articleId=236
>
>

The Kevin Ryan stuff has been shot down so many times already...yawn..

BDK

4392. Re: Who Really Blew Up the World Trade Centre?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 03:23:39 UTC
From: BDK <BDK@twilight-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1f6bfdcab171ad4698a284@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 6271
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

In article <4501fb65$0$6845$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>,
nospam@tnospam.com says...
> BULL SHIT.....
>
> <ingui777@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1157757546.881355.320170@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > "Lucky" Larry Silverstein
> >
> >
>
>
>

You're being too kind..LOL

BDK

4393. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 04:04:34 UTC
From: Bruce Markowitz <scosgt@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <m3rMg.12029$QM6.4908@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 6272
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

Isn't it amazing how you can have an event like 911 on tape and people can
view the tape and deny the evidence of their own eyes?
We all SAW the planes hit the towers.
We all SAW the towers fall from the TOP down.
Many of us saw all this in person, not on tape.
The only conclusion I can draw is that some people are just idiots.

"L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote in message
news:4501f6b1$0$6849$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net...
> That story line of it "not" being the planes that brought the towers down,
> is one of the BIGGEST bull shit stories ever to be printed.
>
> IF you "watched" the towers fall, they fell from top to bottom. Straight
> down (just about). IF there were "Dynamite" used - especially at the
ground
> floors, they would have went out first - and THAT WAS NOT THE CASE. ALSO -
> you would most likely have seen implosions or adversely - walls
"shattering"
> "OUT" depending on how the charges were placed. That ALSO was not the
case.
> IF you recall, the FIRST attempt to bring the towers down WAS done with
> "Explosives" placed in the garage area. Yes, it caused damage and some
> injuries/deaths but as to bringing the towers down - It didn't work.
>
> You bull shit conspiracy theorists really need to get a grip on life. THAT
> was a fucking attack on our country - pure and simple. OR "maybe" YOU were
a
> part of it! Trying to spin it out of the real truth!
>
> L.
>
>
> "Robert M. Gary" <N7093v@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1157739209.068985.187070@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >I thought it was strange that this guy was a physics professor. What
> > would a physics prof know about how the towers fell? That's the realm
> > of engineering. A physics prof would start the problem with "ok, assume
> > a zero gravity, zero atmophere environment..." ;)
> >
> > -Robert,
> >
> >

> >
> >
> > MnMikew wrote:
> >> This is what happens to tinfoilers who spew this crap.
> >>
> >> http://www.ksl.com/?nid=148&sid=476951
> >>
> >> "Physics Professor Steven Jones has made numerous statements about the
> >> collapse of the World Trade Center. BYU has repeatedly said that it
does
> >> not
> >> endorse assertions made by individual faculty.
> >>
> >> "We are, however, concerned about the increasingly speculative and
> >> accusatory nature of these statements by Dr. Jones."
> >
>
>

4394. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 04:11:35 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <45023ef9$0$6843$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 6273
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

They need their ass kicked for even remotely trying to make humor of it in
any manner - be it joking or trying to twist it around in this sick manner.
Now "that" would be a lovely conclusion.

L.

"Bruce Markowitz" <scosgt@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:m3rMg.12029$QM6.4908@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Isn't it amazing how you can have an event like 911 on tape and people can
> view the tape and deny the evidence of their own eyes?
> We all SAW the planes hit the towers.
> We all SAW the towers fall from the TOP down.
> Many of us saw all this in person, not on tape.
> The only conclusion I can draw is that some people are just idiots.
>
>
> "L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:4501f6b1$0$6849$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net...
>> That story line of it "not" being the planes that brought the towers
>> down,
>> is one of the BIGGEST bull shit stories ever to be printed.
>>
>> IF you "watched" the towers fall, they fell from top to bottom. Straight
>> down (just about). IF there were "Dynamite" used - especially at the
> ground
>> floors, they would have went out first - and THAT WAS NOT THE CASE.
>> ALSO -
>> you would most likely have seen implosions or adversely - walls
> "shattering"
>> "OUT" depending on how the charges were placed. That ALSO was not the
> case.
>> IF you recall, the FIRST attempt to bring the towers down WAS done with
>> "Explosives" placed in the garage area. Yes, it caused damage and some
>> injuries/deaths but as to bringing the towers down - It didn't work.
>>
>> You bull shit conspiracy theorists really need to get a grip on life.
>> THAT
>> was a fucking attack on our country - pure and simple. OR "maybe" YOU
>> were
> a
>> part of it! Trying to spin it out of the real truth!
>>
>> L.

>>
>>
>> "Robert M. Gary" <N7093v@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1157739209.068985.187070@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >I thought it was strange that this guy was a physics professor. What
>> > would a physics prof know about how the towers fell? That's the realm
>> > of engineering. A physics prof would start the problem with "ok, assume
>> > a zero gravity, zero atmophere environment..." ;)
>> >
>> > -Robert,
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > MnMikew wrote:
>> >> This is what happens to tinfoilers who spew this crap.
>> >>
>> >> http://www.ksl.com/?nid=148&sid=476951
>> >>
>> >> "Physics Professor Steven Jones has made numerous statements about the
>> >> collapse of the World Trade Center. BYU has repeatedly said that it
> does
>> >> not
>> >> endorse assertions made by individual faculty.
>> >>
>> >> "We are, however, concerned about the increasingly speculative and
>> >> accusatory nature of these statements by Dr. Jones."
>> >
>>
>>
>
>

4395. Radio Shack Employee Portal (Policy and Benefits Handbooks)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 04:55:34 UTC
From: BuddahMOO <heatherpanek@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157777734.519060.132270@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6274

I'm a Radio Shack employee (Sprint Kisok) and have noticed quite a few Radio Shack postings in this user group. I am trying to determine how to access (if possible) Radio Shack's intranet portal from my home PC, but haven't been able to get the URL link from my boss. Does anyone here happen to know how I can go about accessing the intranet from a internet location??? At my old company we were able to do this, but we needed to know the specific portal URL.

Specifically, I am trying to access the employee policy and employee benefits handbooks, as I have never had these provided to me.

Thanks in advance for your help.

4396. Re: 9-11 was an 'Inside Job' say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 06:31:55 UTC
From: Morgans <jsmorgan@charterJUNK.net>
Message-ID: <WctMg.539$AI5.154@newsfe05.lga>
Source record: 6275
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

<ingui777@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1157722089.220542.41820@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> You've seen the photos of the survivors standing in the impact holes on
> the side of the tower begging for help. How could they do that if the
> temperatures were hot enough to 'melt steel'?

Are you really so ignorant, as to ask that question? I hope not!

Doh, perhaps the fire was only at it's hottest in less than a dozen of the
over 100 floors? Once those floors collapsed, the additional weight of
these floors could not be supported by the floors beneath them.
--
Jim in NC

4397. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 06:54:01 UTC
From: RK Henry <robert.henry@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <qro4g2lo4fm2ji4th1jpdfuicrukvaebb6@4ax.com>
Source record: 6276
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

Ever watch those documentaries on building implosions? Notice how they spend weeks removing fixtures, walls, etc.? And then drilling holes in supports and walls to hold the explosives? There's a lot of work leading up to the big event. Wouldn't someone have noticed all that going on in the weeks before 9/11? I know there has been a lot of discussion about not "connecting the dots" but surely someone would have noticed that. And what about hauling in all the tons of dynamite? Wouldn't someone have noticed that and said something? "Hey, did someone see a big cockroach upstairs or something?"

RK Henry

On Fri, 8 Sep 2006 19:03:12 -0400, "L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote:

>That story line of it "not" being the planes that brought the towers down,
>is one of the BIGGEST bull shit stories ever to be printed.
>
>IF you "watched" the towers fall, they fell from top to bottom. Straight
>down (just about). IF there were "Dynamite" used - especially at the ground
>floors, they would have went out first - and THAT WAS NOT THE CASE. ALSO -
>you would most likely have seen implosions or adversely - walls "shattering"
>"OUT" depending on how the charges were placed. That ALSO was not the case.
>IF you recall, the FIRST attempt to bring the towers down WAS done with
>"Explosives" placed in the garage area. Yes, it caused damage and some
>injuries/deaths but as to bringing the towers down - It didn't work.
>
>You bull shit conspiracy theorists really need to get a grip on life. THAT
>was a fucking attack on our country - pure and simple. OR "maybe" YOU were a
>part of it! Trying to spin it out of the real truth!
>
>L.
>
>
>"Robert M. Gary" <N7093v@gmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1157739209.068985.187070@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>I thought it was strange that this guy was a physics professor. What
>> would a physics prof know about how the towers fell? That's the realm
>> of engineering. A physics prof would start the problem with "ok, assume
>> a zero gravity, zero atmophere environment..." ;)
>>
>> -Robert,
>>
>>

>>
>>
>> MnMikew wrote:
>>> This is what happens to tinfoilers who spew this crap.
>>>
>>> http://www.ksl.com/?nid=148&sid=476951
>>>
>>> "Physics Professor Steven Jones has made numerous statements about the
>>> collapse of the World Trade Center. BYU has repeatedly said that it does
>>> not
>>> endorse assertions made by individual faculty.
>>>
>>> "We are, however, concerned about the increasingly speculative and
>>> accusatory nature of these statements by Dr. Jones."
>>
>

4398. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 08:26:23 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <9513918.6Of7qGqph7@www.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 6277
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

Bruce Markowitz wrote:

> Isn't it amazing how you can have an event like 911 on tape and people can
> view the tape and deny the evidence of their own eyes?
> We all SAW the planes hit the towers.
> We all SAW the towers fall from the TOP down.
> Many of us saw all this in person, not on tape.
> The only conclusion I can draw is that some people are just idiots.
>

agreed!

btw, in the video, they highlight a "plume" of material ejecting from a
lower floor window below the start of the collapse and claim it was from an
explosion.

well, there was an explosion of sorts. its called compression. The air had
to exit somewhere, hence that debris plume. no dynamite needed!

4399. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 09:23:26 UTC
From: Cubdriver <"usenet AT danford.net">
Message-ID: <4v15g21l343ksesbj0dr3ffn77rh84v60e@4ax.com>
Source record: 6278
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

On 8 Sep 2006 05:31:52 -0700, landnotloans@hotmail.com wrote:

>Around 75 top professors and leading scientists believe the attacks
>were puppeteered by war mongers in the White House to justify the
>invasion and the occupation of oil-rich Arab countries.

Let's see, that would be Noam Chomsky and 74 of his friends and
acolytes?

4400. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 10:46:38 UTC
From: ingui777@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1157798798.386185.314890@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6279
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

Are you accusing me of getting some sort of sick satisfaction from dragging out 9-11 when I know families are the victims are bound to read it at some point? Fuck you, what's in it for me, I'm relaying information the mass media won't. Go switch on the TV and shut up you fucking idiot.

Building 7 - never hit by a plane but it collapsed!
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jPDNPJAr_Ao

No steel framed building has ever collapsed by fire EVER! The owner of the WTC, who took billions in insurance for the 9-11 attacks on the compund he bought 6 months earlier said on TV the fire service 'pulled the building and you have seen Building 7 falling straight all the way down like a demolition. It takes 2 weeks to wire a building for demolition, so how did invisible men manage it in 7 hours with the entire Manhatten emergency service population in the vacinity?

4401. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 11:27:29 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <4502a523$0$6827$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 6280
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

Then WHY are you perpetuating this stupid shit if YOU YOURSELF do not
believe it? MOST ANYONE WITH A BRAIN KNOWS IT ISN'T TRUE. TO RELAY A RUMOR -
IS BEING PART OF IT. YOU ARE THE IDIOT FOR PLAYING INTO THE SHIT.

Did you EVER think the MASS MEDIA "ISN'T" BROADCASTING THIS SHIT "BECAUSE"
THEY KNOW TOO WELL IT IS BULL SHIT???? WAKE THE FUCK UP.

L.

<ingui777@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1157798798.386185.314890@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> Are you accusing me of getting some sort of sick satisfaction from
> dragging out 9-11 when I know families are the victims are bound to
> read it at some point? Fuck you, what's in it for me, I'm relaying
> information the mass media won't. Go switch on the TV and shut up you
> fucking idiot.

4402. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 11:55:58 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <4502abcf$0$6831$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 6281
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

Your lame ass excuse below is NOT sufficient reason to be perpetuating BULL SHIT. There is NO REASONABLE explanation for continuing that BULL SHIT story - regardless your claims. So YOU are the "fucking idiot" for choosing to place yourself in as a part of it - even in "spreading" it. "YOU" helping to spread the bull shit is just as much a slap in the face of all who suffered in what ever manner.

YES - by continuing to pass it along - YOU ARE getting sick satisfaction by trying to prove your own sick point. Obviously you MUST believe it to pass it on. MOST anyone would say that is bull shit and let it drop at that - case closed. And as I said in another post - if you do NOT believe it, then why perpetuate it? You dumb asses who do this shit - are so full of it, you have no clue..... hell, even the stories don't hold up, they change. That in itself spells BULL SHIT. If YOU aren't smart enough to figure that out - then YOU are the fucking idiot - not me. And I'm sure many in here would agree with that.

Maybe you're just trying to get your 15 minutes of fame trying to make your case, I don't know - but you FAILED. All you did was bring up bad memories and make yourself out to be a real ASS HOLE. IF YOU DO NOT believe in your heart the crap you're spreading - then leave this crap die - don't keep trying to push it. THAT would prove you do NOT believe it. Your words below - are NOT an apology for bringing this up and even at at that - you seem to still try to push the point in doing so. HOW LAME.

Also, you didn't seem to send anything along with it to SHOW that you didn't believe it! You could have said something to the effect of - "here is what I found - <provided the link(s)> - and said - UNBELIEVABLE. Hows that for a bunch of crap?" Just to let people know what was out there and let them debate it IF they chose. Maybe that was your "intention". BUT your "method" tends to lean in the direction of you "believing' it. YOU are the one who made the post - NOT ME. BLAME YOURSELF for the image you portrayed. IF I say something stupid on line - and I have - then that makes me the fool and I must face up to it and in the past - I have - including personal apologies (takes a real man to do that). BUT THIS BABY IS YOUR DOING. WE ARE ONLY CALLING YOU ON YOUR OWN WORDS AND WHAT SEEMS TO BE YOUR APPARENT BELIEFS.

Since you didn't include a reference to whom you were asking of them accusing you - then it seems reasonable to believe that more than I have gathered the same opinion. Therefore - being accused? Well dude - if the shoe fits - wear it. Again - it was YOU who posted the stupid shit. NOT US.

L.

<ingui777@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1157798798.386185.314890@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> Are you accusing me of getting some sort of sick satisfaction from
> dragging out 9-11 when I know families are the victims are bound to
> read it at some point? Fuck you, what's in it for me, I'm relaying
> information the mass media won't. Go switch on the TV and shut up you
> fucking idiot.
>
> Building 7 - never hit by a plane but it collapsed!
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jPDNPJAr_Ao
>
> No steel framed building has ever collapsed by fire EVER! The owner of
> the WTC, who took billions in insurance for the 9-11 attacks on the
> compund he bought 6 months earlier said on TV the fire service 'pulled
> the building and you have seen Building 7 falling straight all the way
> down like a demolition. It takes 2 weeks to wire a building for
> demolition, so how did invisible men manage it in 7 hours with the
> entire Manhatten emergency service population in the vacinity?
>

4403. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 12:47:56 UTC
From: ingui777@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1157806076.441726.202000@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6282
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

9/11 Revisited - There were EXPLOSIONS?...
http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=1951610169657809939

4404. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 12:58:15 UTC
From: ingui777@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1157806695.487697.102420@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6283
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

You could be anyone. You could be involved, so what if I have an
interest in the World Trade Centre? Find your own interests instead of
trying to stop me having one for whatever reason. I'm not saying planes
didn't hit the towers, I'm saying it was a cover for their controlled
demolition.Those towers were packed to the hilt with explosives all the
way down, 73 professors agree. Firefighters heard the explosions,
everyone one heard the explosions, you can hear them going off on every
level as the tower was blown to dust on every floor as it came down.
Why else would concrete and everything else be blown to dust

911 REVISITED It's all in this video:
http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=1951610169657809939&q=911

L. wrote:
> Your lame ass excuse below is NOT sufficient reason to be perpetuating BULL
> SHIT. There is NO REASONABLE explanation for continuing that BULL SHIT
> story - regardless your claims. So YOU are the "fucking idiot" for choosing
> to place yourself in as a part of it - even in "spreading" it. "YOU" helping
> to spread the bull shit is just as much a slap in the face of all who
> suffered in what ever manner.
>
> YES - by continuing to pass it along - YOU ARE getting sick satisfaction by
> trying to prove your own sick point. Obviously you MUST believe it to pass
> it on. MOST anyone would say that is bull shit and let it drop at that -
> case closed. And as I said in another post - if you do NOT believe it, then
> why perpetuate it? You dumb asses who do this shit - are so full of it, you
> have no clue..... hell, even the stories don't hold up, they change.
> That in itself spells BULL SHIT. If YOU aren't smart enough to figure that
> out - then YOU are the fucking idiot - not me. And I'm sure many in here
> would agree with that.
>
> Maybe you're just trying to get your 15 minutes of fame trying to make your
> case, I don't know - but you FAILED. All you did was bring up bad memories
> and make yourself out to be a real ASS HOLE. IF YOU DO NOT believe in your
> heart the crap you're spreading - then leave this crap die - don't keep
> trying to push it. THAT would prove you do NOT believe it. Your words
> below - are NOT an apology for bringing this up and even at at that - you
> seem to still try to push the point in doing so. HOW LAME.
>
> Also, you didn't seem to send anything along with it to SHOW that you didn't
> believe it! You could have said something to the effect of - "here is what I
> found - <provided the link(s)> - and said - UNBELIEVABLE. Hows that for a
> bunch of crap?" Just to let people know what was out there and let them

> debate it IF they chose. Maybe that was your "intention". BUT your "method"
> tends to lean in the direction of you "believing' it. YOU are the one who
> made the post - NOT ME. BLAME YOURSELF for the image you portrayed. IF I say
> something stupid on line - and I have - then that makes me the fool and I
> must face up to it and in the past - I have - including personal apologies
> (takes a real man to do that). BUT THIS BABY IS YOUR DOING. WE ARE ONLY
> CALLING YOU ON YOUR OWN WORDS AND WHAT SEEMS TO BE YOUR APPARENT BELIEFS.
>
> Since you didn't include a reference to whom you were asking of them
> accusing you - then it seems reasonable to believe that more than I have
> gathered the same opinion. Therefore - being accused? Well dude - if the
> shoe fits - wear it. Again - it was YOU who posted the stupid shit. NOT US.
>
> L.
>
>
> <ingui777@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1157798798.386185.314890@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Are you accusing me of getting some sort of sick satisfaction from
> > dragging out 9-11 when I know families are the victims are bound to
> > read it at some point? Fuck you, what's in it for me, I'm relaying
> > information the mass media won't. Go switch on the TV and shut up you
> > fucking idiot.
> >
> > Building 7 - never hit by a plane but it collapsed!
> > http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jPDNPJAr_Ao
> >
> > No steel framed building has ever collapsed by fire EVER! The owner of
> > the WTC, who took billions in insurance for the 9-11 attacks on the
> > compund he bought 6 months earlier said on TV the fire service 'pulled
> > the building and you have seen Building 7 falling straight all the way
> > down like a demolition. It takes 2 weeks to wire a building for
> > demolition, so how did invisible men manage it in 7 hours with the
> > entire Manhatten emergency service population in the vacinity?
> >

4405. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 13:05:22 UTC
From: Jeff <oldog@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <m_yMg.134460$FQ1.43349@attbi_s71>
Source record: 6284
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

<ingui777@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1157798798.386185.314890@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>

> No steel framed building has ever collapsed by fire EVER! The owner of
---
--

That "is" the whole point. The WTC was NOT a typical steel frame
building. Most Hi Rises make use of huge steel girders at there center and
encase these girders in tons of rebar and and more tons of concrete to form
massive support columns. Then floors are laid, attached to these massive
columns. The only way to bring "them" down is to take out the support
columns. The WTC bldgs. were of a totally different design. They built
those bldgs. using a technique where the exterior walls "were" built as the
supporting structure. The bldgs. had no massive center columns to fall back
on. Once you take out a huge section (by a large airplane) you remove
the support from everything above it. And then its just a matter of time
before things start falling. Its really too bad the bldgs were built that way.
If they had been conventional design, they would have had very bad
damage to a couple floors, but they wouldnt have fallen. And the death
toll would have been much, much less.

Jeff

4406. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 13:15:42 UTC
From: John Smith <someone@microsoft.com>
Message-ID: <1157807341_7499@sp6iad.superfeed.net>
Source record: 6285
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

<ingui777@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1157806695.487697.102420@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> You could be anyone. You could be involved, so what if I have an
> interest in the World Trade Centre? Find your own interests instead of
> trying to stop me having one for whatever reason. I'm not saying planes
> didn't hit the towers, I'm saying it was a cover for their controlled
> demolition.Those towers were packed to the hilt with explosives all the
> way down, 73 professors agree. Firefighters heard the explosions,
> everyone one heard the explosions, you can hear them going off on every
> level as the tower was blown to dust on every floor as it came down.
> Why else would concrete and everything else be blown to dust
>
> 911 REVISITED It's all in this video:
> http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=1951610169657809939&q=911
>
>
> L. wrote:
>> Your lame ass excuse below is NOT sufficient reason to be perpetuating
>> BULL
>> SHIT. There is NO REASONABLE explanation for continuing that BULL SHIT
>> story - regardless your claims. So YOU are the "fucking idiot" for
>> choosing
>> to place yourself in as a part of it - even in "spreading" it. "YOU"
>> helping
>> to spread the bull shit is just as much a slap in the face of all who
>> suffered in what ever manner.
>>
>> YES - by continuing to pass it along - YOU ARE getting sick satisfaction
>> by
>> trying to prove your own sick point. Obviously you MUST believe it to
>> pass
>> it on. MOST anyone would say that is bull shit and let it drop at that -
>> case closed. And as I said in another post - if you do NOT believe it,
>> then
>> why perpetuate it? You dumb asses who do this shit - are so full of it,
>> you
>> have no clue..... hell, even the stories don't hold up, they change.
>> That in itself spells BULL SHIT. If YOU aren't smart enough to figure
>> that
>> out - then YOU are the fucking idiot - not me. And I'm sure many in here
>> would agree with that.
>>

>> Maybe you're just trying to get your 15 minutes of fame trying to make
>> your
>> case, I don't know - but you FAILED. All you did was bring up bad
>> memories
>> and make yourself out to be a real ASS HOLE. IF YOU DO NOT believe in
>> your
>> heart the crap you're spreading - then leave this crap die - don't keep
>> trying to push it. THAT would prove you do NOT believe it. Your words
>> below - are NOT an apology for bringing this up and even at at that - you
>> seem to still try to push the point in doing so. HOW LAME.
>>
>> Also, you didn't seem to send anything along with it to SHOW that you
>> didn't
>> believe it! You could have said something to the effect of - "here is
>> what I
>> found - <provided the link(s)> - and said - UNBELIEVABLE. Hows that for a
>> bunch of crap?" Just to let people know what was out there and let them
>> debate it IF they chose. Maybe that was your "intention". BUT your
>> "method"
>> tends to lean in the direction of you "believing' it. YOU are the one who
>> made the post - NOT ME. BLAME YOURSELF for the image you portrayed. IF I
>> say
>> something stupid on line - and I have - then that makes me the fool and I
>> must face up to it and in the past - I have - including personal
>> apologies
>> (takes a real man to do that). BUT THIS BABY IS YOUR DOING. WE ARE ONLY
>> CALLING YOU ON YOUR OWN WORDS AND WHAT SEEMS TO BE YOUR APPARENT BELIEFS.
>>
>> Since you didn't include a reference to whom you were asking of them
>> accusing you - then it seems reasonable to believe that more than I have
>> gathered the same opinion. Therefore - being accused? Well dude - if the
>> shoe fits - wear it. Again - it was YOU who posted the stupid shit. NOT
>> US.
>>
>> L.
>>
>>
>> <ingui777@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1157798798.386185.314890@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Are you accusing me of getting some sort of sick satisfaction from
>> > dragging out 9-11 when I know families are the victims are bound to
>> > read it at some point? Fuck you, what's in it for me, I'm relaying
>> > information the mass media won't. Go switch on the TV and shut up you
>> > fucking idiot.
>> >
>> > Building 7 - never hit by a plane but it collapsed!
>> > http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jPDNPJAr_Ao
>> >
>> > No steel framed building has ever collapsed by fire EVER! The owner of

>> > the WTC, who took billions in insurance for the 9-11 attacks on the
>> > compund he bought 6 months earlier said on TV the fire service 'pulled
>> > the building and you have seen Building 7 falling straight all the way
>> > down like a demolition. It takes 2 weeks to wire a building for
>> > demolition, so how did invisible men manage it in 7 hours with the
>> > entire Manhatten emergency service population in the vacinity?
>> >
>

Really, can you give us a cite for this? BTW when you cut and paste a video together just using the audio and video you want someone to see (switching shots frequently so no one gets the true context of the video) it isn't worth much. And now we come to Dr. David Ray Griffin.

David Ray Griffin grew up in a small town in Oregon, where he was an active participant in his Disciples of Christ church. After deciding to become a minister, Griffin entered Northwest Christian College, but became disenchanted with the conservative-fundamentalist theology that was taught there. While getting his master's degree in counseling from the University of Oregon, Griffin attended a lecture series delivered by Paul Tillich at the Graduate Theological Union in Berkeley, California. At this time, Griffin made his decision to focus on philosophical theology. He eventually attended the Claremont Graduate University, where Griffin received his Ph.D. in 1970.

Yes after Dr. Griffin explained physics and structural engineering to us I now know that Bush did it!

--
"Anybody who deliberately propagandizes with lies should be held up to scorn and ridicule" - Al Franken

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

4407. Re: 9-11 was an 'Inside Job' say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 13:37:51 UTC
From: John Smith <someone@microsoft.com>
Message-ID: <1157808669_7551@sp6iad.superfeed.net>
Source record: 6286
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

<landnotloans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1157753675.577019.298340@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> The following letter was sent today by Kevin Ryan of Underwriters
> Laboratories to Frank Gayle of the National Institute of Standards and
> Technology (NIST) . Underwriters Laboratories is the company that
> certified the steel components used in the construction of the World
> Trade Center towers. The information in this letter is of great
> importance.
>
> Dr. Gayle,
>
> Having recently reviewed your team's report of 10/19/04, I felt the
> need to contact you directly.
>
> As I'm sure you know, the company I work for certified the steel
> components used in the construction of the WTC buildings. [..]
>
> We know that the steel components were certified to ASTM E119. The time
> temperature curves for this standard require the samples to be exposed
> to temperatures around 2000F for several hours. And as we all agree,
> the steel applied met those specifications. Additionally, I think we
> can all agree that even un-fireproofed steel will not melt until
> reaching red-hot temperatures of nearly 3000F (2). Why Dr. Brown would
> imply that 2000F would melt the high-grade steel used in those
> buildings makes no sense at all. [..]
>
> This story just does not add up. If steel from those buildings did
> soften or melt, I'm sure we can all agree that this was certainly not
> due to jet fuel fires of any kind, let alone the briefly burning fires
> in those towers. That fact should be of great concern to all Americans.
>
>
http://www.globalresearch.ca/index.php?context=viewArticle&code=RYA20041106&articleId=236

This is great --- except that it is all a lie.

Kevin Ryan
From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia
Kevin Ryan is a former Site Manager for Environmental Health Laboratories in
South Bend, Indiana, a subsidiary of Underwriters Labs(UL) responsible for
water testing. He was fired after publicly challenging UL's conclusions
regarding the collapse of the WTC.

Contents
[hide]
a.. 1 Research
b.. 2 Activism
c.. 3 Media coverage
d.. 4 External links

[edit]
Research
Ryan said that in the WTC towers case, UL was the company that certified the steel used in construction of the two towers. Although Ryan was not was not involved in the certification for the steel used in the World Trade Centers, he claimed to have been given information, both verbally and in writing, about UL's involvement in in these activities.

However the company's spokesman Paul M. Baker stated "UL does not certify structural steel, such as the beams, columns and trusses used in World Trade Center". In a paper entitled "Propping Up the War on Terror: Lies About the WTC by NIST and Underwriters Laboratories", Ryan states that this statement by UL is misleading.

The NIST also said that steel is not certified and "UL did not certify any steel as suggested. In fact, in U.S. practice, steel is not certified at all; rather structural assemblies are tested for their fire resistance rating in accordance with a standard procedure such as ASTM E 119 (see NCSTAR 1-6B). That the steel was "certified ... to 2000 degrees Fahrenheit for six hours" is simply not true." [1]

But Ryan never stated that the steel was certified for six hours.

The NIST also states that steel heated to 2000 degrees Fahrenheit "softens and its strength reduces to roughly 10 percent of its room temperature value."[2]

Ryan has responded to the UL statements, noting that they directly contradict statements made by UL's CEO, Loring Knoblauch [3].

Ryan wrote to Dr. Frank Gayle urging him to clarify his findings on the causes of structure collapse of the towers, copying the letter to David Ray Griffin, author of The New Pearl Harbor, and to Catherine Austin Fitts, who is a member of the 911Truth.org board. Gayle was, at the time, the Deputy Chief of the Metallurgy Division, Material Science and Engineering Laboratory, at the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). Gayle headed the "NIST and the World Trade Center" project.

In Ryan's letter, he claimed that a subsequent report from NIST ignored Gayle's initial findings that weak steel was not the contributing factor in the collapse of the towers. Ryan stated: "Your comments suggest that the steel was probably exposed to temperatures of only about 500 °F (250 °C)."

Ryan admitted that he wrote to Dr. Gayle on his own capacity and not on behalf of his employer, UL. Subsequently, UL disavowed Ryan's expertise because he was not employed in the Fire Protection Department and fired him.

>--
"Anybody who deliberately propagandizes with lies should be held up to scorn
and ridicule" - Al Franken

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

4408. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 14:31:39 UTC
From: John Smith <someone@microsoft.com>
Message-ID: <1157811897_8215@sp6iad.superfeed.net>
Source record: 6287
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

"Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:m_yMg.134460$FQ1.43349@attbi_s71...
>
> <ingui777@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1157798798.386185.314890@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>>
>
>> No steel framed building has ever collapsed by fire EVER! The owner of
> ----------

>
>
> That "is" the whole point. The WTC was NOT a typical steel
> frame
> building. Most Hi Rises make use of huge steel girders at there center
> and
> encase these girders in tons of rebar and and more tons of concrete to
> form
> massive support columns. Then floors are laid, attached to these massive
> columns. The only way to bring "them" down is to take out the support
> columns. The WTC bldgs. were of a totally different design. They built
> those bldgs. using a technique where the exterior walls "were" built as
> the
> supporting structure. The bldgs. had no massive center columns to fall
> back
> on. Once you take out a huge section (by a large airplane) you remove
> the support from everything above it. And then its just a matter of time
> before things start falling. Its really too bad the bldgs were built that
> way.
> If they had been conventional design, they would have had very bad
> damage to a couple floors, but they wouldnt have fallen. And the death
> toll would have been much, much less.
>
>
>
> Jeff

Did you ever see the pictures of the WWII bomber that hit the Empire State Building? It was bad but, just as you said, the building didn't fall and the damage was repaired without too much trouble.

Hey wait, maybe that was an "inside job" too!

--
"Anybody who deliberately propagandizes with lies should be held up to scorn
and ridicule" - Al Franken

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

4409. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 14:46:22 UTC
From: Dudley Henriques <dhenriques@nowhere.net>
Message-ID: <2tAMg.5444$v%4.1150@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6288
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

"Cubdriver" <usenet AT danford.net> wrote in message
news:4v15g21l343ksesbj0dr3ffn77rh84v60e@4ax.com...
> On 8 Sep 2006 05:31:52 -0700, landnotloans@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>>Around 75 top professors and leading scientists believe the attacks
>>were puppeteered by war mongers in the White House to justify the
>>invasion and the occupation of oil-rich Arab countries.
>
> Let's see, that would be Noam Chomsky and 74 of his friends and
> acolytes?

I'm always amazed, and quite frankly downright frightened, when I realize that there are actually people out here who have gone though a lifetime of learning to achieve the qualifications necessary required to teach at a post grad level, who are so absolutely stupid; so moronic and juvenile in their approach to simple logic, that they not only accept lunacy like this, but willingly feel the need to propagate this totally worthless and intellectually vacant line of reasoning.(or lack of reasoning in this case)

A two year old child can see that the logic for such an elaborate conspiracy is fatally flawed.
It is absolutely amazing to me that those espousing these elaborate conspiracy theories don't have the simple common sense to realize that no plan this big, or involving the many people that would be required to make it work could ever be pulled off in secrecy.
The simple numbers just aren't there.
Meanwhile back at the ranch.....we can all be prepared to fork up even more college tuition money next year to feed these idiot professors, and our kids will have the supreme privilege of working their butts off to get high scores on their SAT's so that we can send them off for four years of indoctrination into a world of over educated no common sense morons who don't have the collective brains to fill a condom.
On second thought, maybe its US who are the idiots for doing this!!! :-)))
Dudley Henriques

4410. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 14:49:45 UTC
From: Notan <notan@ddress.thatcanbespammed>
Message-ID: <4502D489.476EF99E@ddress.thatcanbespammed>
Source record: 6289
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

John Smith wrote:
>
> <snip>
>
> Hey wait, maybe that was an "inside job" too!

Of course it was an inside job.

EVERYTHING is an inside job.

Just ask the conspiracy theorists! <g>

Notan

4411. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 15:47:01 UTC
From: ingui777@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1157816821.201537.302170@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6291
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

Each tower had 40 huge steel columns running up the centre. If the booms you can hear during the collapse were the floors hitting each other and not demolition charges why were these steel columns not left standing but found at the debris pile in uniform 30 foot lengths? Why weren't those columns standing after the floors had suposedly slid down around them?

http://www.google.com/url?sa=D&q=http://www.thaiengineering.com/column/lesson_disaster/World_trade_center/image/wtc.jpg

911 Revisited - IT'S ALL IN THIS VIDEO:
http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=1951610169657809939

4412. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 15:53:26 UTC
From: Jim Macklin <p51mustang>
Message-ID: <4tBMg.21326$SZ3.9705@dukeread04>
Source record: 6292
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

Some "contractors" in Australia watched a TV program about imploding buildings with explosives. They decided they could do it. Their city had a large building complex that had to come down. They bid on the contract and were the low bidder.

Just like the people commenting here, they packed the building with high explosives, a ton or more. Citizens came out to watch, many were in boats on the nearby lake.

When the explosives went off, the buildings did not all fall down, but large pieces did fly a 1/4 to half mile into the lake and several people were killed. This proves that the professional contractors who bring buildings down without breaking the windows on the building next door don't use very much explosive power, just a small charge in a critical place.

And idiots can make silly mistakes, like hiring a contractor who trained by watching Max eXtreme videos on TV.

I think we should all remember that the hard part of building an atomic bomb was done in three years, between 1942 and 1945. Soon, the Muslim terrorists will have a few bombs, particularly if the party of appeasement wins the Congress in November. Then the next attack on an American city can kill not just 3,000, but 300,000. I'm sure that some kooks will present the idea that the CIA did it so we could start another war for oil.

Names that can be looked up on the Internet...Neville Chamberlain - Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia Chamberlain is perhaps the most ill-regarded British Prime Minister of the 20th ... Chamberlain's political legacy is defined by his dealings with and ...
en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neville_Chamberlain - 147k - Cached - Similar pages

Vidkun Quisling -- Norway's Benedict Arnold Quisling, Vidkun (1887-1945), Norwegian politician, whose collaboration with the Nazis...during World War II (1939-1945) made his name synonymous with ...
www.mnc.net/norway/quisling.htm - 6k - Cached - Similar pages

Vidkun Quisling - Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia Quisling
was the son of a Church of Norway priest and genealogist Jon
Lauritz ... rooted one to a more pro-Nazi and anti-Semitic
hardline policy from 1935, ...
en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vidkun_Quisling - 30k - Cached -
Similar pages

WWII took a long time to begin, the next big war is starting
now, and it is being ignored or worse, called Bush's war,
Bush lied, Bush's war for his "oil buddies."

Maybe these people should watch all the old Star Trek shows,
one of them had a line, "the purpose of diplomacy is to
prolong the conflict." Or something like that.

"Dudley Henriques" <dhenriques@nowhere.net> wrote in message
news:2tAMg.5444$v%4.1150@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
|
| "Cubdriver" <usenet AT danford.net> wrote in message
| news:4v15g21l343ksesbj0dr3ffn77rh84v60e@4ax.com...
| > On 8 Sep 2006 05:31:52 -0700, landnotloans@hotmail.com
wrote:
| >
| >>Around 75 top professors and leading scientists believe
the attacks
| >>were puppeteered by war mongers in the White House to
justify the
| >>invasion and the occupation of oil-rich Arab countries.
| >
| > Let's see, that would be Noam Chomsky and 74 of his
friends and
| > acolytes?
|
| I'm always amazed, and quite frankly downright frightened,
when I realize
| that there are actually people out here who have gone
though a lifetime of
| learning to achieve the qualifications necessary required
to teach at a post
| grad level, who are so absolutely stupid; so moronic and
juvenile in their
| approach to simple logic, that they not only accept lunacy
like this, but
| willingly feel the need to propagate this totally
worthless and
| intellectually vacant line of reasoning.(or lack of
reasoning in this case)
|
| A two year old child can see that the logic for such an
elaborate conspiracy
| is fatally flawed.
| It is absolutely amazing to me that those espousing these

elaborate
| conspiracy theories don't have the simple common sense to
realize that no
| plan this big, or involving the many people that would be
required to make
| it work could ever be pulled off in secrecy.
| The simple numbers just aren't there.
| Meanwhile back at the ranch.....we can all be
prepared to fork up
| even more college tuition money next year to feed these
idiot professors,
| and our kids will have the supreme privilege of working
their butts off to
| get high scores on their SAT's so that we can send them
off for four years
| of indoctrination into a world of over educated no common
sense morons who
| don't have the collective brains to fill a condom.
| On second thought, maybe its US who are the idiots for
doing this!!! :-)))
| Dudley Henriques
|
|

4413. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 16:41:03 UTC
From: danny burstein <dannyb@panix.com>
Message-ID: <eduqqu$7k3$1@reader2.panix.com>
Source record: 6293
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

In <1157806695.487697.102420@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com> ingui777@yahoo.com writes:

>You could be anyone. You could be involved, so what if I have an
>interest in the World Trade Centre? Find your own interests instead of
>trying to stop me having one for whatever reason. I'm not saying planes
>didn't hit the towers, I'm saying it was a cover for their controlled
>demolition.

And I'm saying you're a moron. And a kook. And insane.

But there's MORE!

You're also ugly.

--

_____
Knowledge may be power, but communications is the key
dannyb@panix.com
[to foil spammers, my address has been double rot-13 encoded]

4414. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 17:25:27 UTC
From: You <You@shadow.orgs>
Message-ID: <You-AB52A3.09261809092006@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 6294
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

In article <1157816821.201537.302170@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>,
ingui777@yahoo.com wrote:

> Each tower had 40 huge steel columns running up the centre. If the
> booms you can hear during the collapse were the floors hitting each
> other and not demolition charges why were these steel columns not left
> standing but found at the debris pile in uniform 30 foot lengths? Why
> weren't those columns standing after the floors had suposedly slid down
> around them?
>
> http://www.google.com/url?sa=D&q=http://www.thaiengineering.com/column/lesson_
> disaster/World_trade_center/image/wtc.jpg
>
> 911 Revisited - IT'S ALL IN THIS VIDEO:
> http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=1951610169657809939
>

Hey Dufus, did you notice that Dr. Jones GOT FIRED, for making dubious
CLAIMS, about things he isn't qualified to comment on, ON THURSDAY????
The guy is a first class LOON......

4415. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 17:54:25 UTC
From: You <You@shadow.orgs>
Message-ID: <You-2BF309.09551409092006@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 6295
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

In article <1157806695.487697.102420@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
ingui777@yahoo.com wrote:

> You could be anyone. You could be involved, so what if I have an
> interest in the World Trade Centre? Find your own interests instead of
> trying to stop me having one for whatever reason. I'm not saying planes
> didn't hit the towers, I'm saying it was a cover for their controlled
> demolition.Those towers were packed to the hilt with explosives all the
> way down, 73 professors agree. Firefighters heard the explosions,
> everyone one heard the explosions, you can hear them going off on every
> level as the tower was blown to dust on every floor as it came down.
> Why else would concrete and everything else be blown to dust
>
> 911 REVISITED It's all in this video:

Sonny, you have NO expertiese in Energetic Materials Science, Demolition
of MultiStory Buildings or even Structural Engineering, and NONE of your
"So Called Professors" do either. If you had any of the above
expertiese, it would be OBVIOUS, to you that these guys are "So full
of Shit, there eyes are BROWN". Tell us all when, and how the buildings
were filled with explosives, and who did it? Then tell us all, How it
was that these explosives could be set off, with the MILES of Detcord
it would take to time such a demolition, that would take MONTHS to
install, and fill, every hallway and crawlspace, and NONE of the
buildings occupants who were there, 24/7, never noticed ANY of that
Detcord strung all over the place, or these mythical Badguys installing
it all? Then explain to us all just how the demolition was triggered,
and timed correctly, when noone could possibley know where the planes
were going to hit, and what part of the REQUIRED Detcord would be
destroyed by the plane strikes, in each building? Then when you have all
that figured out, tell us all, what happened to all the Explosive
Residue that should have been left on the Structual Steel when the
mythical Energetic Materials Detonated? All the Steel was sampled and
tested for Residue, and NO TRACE of ANY Energetic Material WAS FOUND.
Dr. Johns "Says" he found traces of SULFUR, for which he has NO
Cooroberating Lab Results. BIG DEAL, Sulfur is NOT part of ANY of the
KNOW Energetic Materials that would be used in a Demolition of this kind.
It is also not a component of Thermite, in the classic formula, or in its
Industrial forms. Also Thermite is NOT used in Building Demolitions
because it takes way to long to Cut the Steel. What is use is Shaped
HE charges with Copper or Brass Cutting Wedges, to finish cuts that
were made with OXY/ACT Torches when the building was Prepped for
demolition. NO ONE saw anyone makinf stategic CUTS in the WTC prior

to 9/11 and the building were occupied 24/7.

Your theories are so far out, as to be unrational, in the extreme....

4416. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 18:01:20 UTC
From: You <You@shadow.orgs>
Message-ID: <You-02FF31.10021109092006@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 6296
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

In article <You-9EBDB2.10061008092006@netnews.worldnet.att.net>,
You <You@shadow.orgs> wrote:

> In article <4mdo33F5m8p4U1@individual.net>,
> "MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > This is what happens to tinfoilers who spew this crap.
> >
> > http://www.ksl.com/?nid=148&sid=476951
> >
> > "Physics Professor Steven Jones has made numerous statements about the
> > collapse of the World Trade Center. BYU has repeatedly said that it does
> > not
> > endorse assertions made by individual faculty.
> >
> > "We are, however, concerned about the increasingly speculative and
> > accusatory nature of these statements by Dr. Jones."
>
> Steve Jones Is Certifiable Loon, and anyone who knows him will state so,
> in just those words. He isn't a Structural Enginee., He isn't an
> Energetic Materials Science Chemist. He has no experience with explosives
> in any way, shape or form. He is a Physics Professor with dubious
> Publication History, looking to make a 'Big Splash" in the media.
> When will these folks just blow away with their own Hot Air......

and he got FIRED, yesterday for spouting Unsupported Claims....
Does anyone else wonder why.......

4417. FS/T: Trunking Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 18:30:54 UTC
From: k5die@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1157826653.912641.165200@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6297

Radio Shack Pro-2067 500 channel mobile trunking scanner, box, mounting bracket, ac power supply, manual. $100

Radio Shack Pro-2052 1000 channel base dual trunking scanner, box, manual, & antenna. $100

Radio Shack 21-1679 40 channel hand held CB with weather alert and charger. Good battey. $50

Price does not include shipping from the Louisville, KY area. I accept postal MO - no paypal.
Would be interested in trades on ham equipment.

Questions or offers to: k5die..at...insightbb...dot...com

4418. Re: Radio Shack Employee Portal (Policy and Benefits Handbooks)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 19:35:04 UTC
From: BuddahMOO <heatherpanek@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157830504.619737.257830@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6298

PLEASE DO NOT INSINUATE THAT I WAS TRYING TO DO SOMETHING ILLEGAL!!! I
am not seeking to do this illegally!!! I have a login and password,
however I can't find the link (stated to be on radioshack.com).

I am (essentially) looking for Radio Shack's equivallent of an SSL VPN
(my previous company uses Aventail). With all the layoffs that have
happened, I have not been able to get this information (that as an
employee I have the rights to have) from my new boss (and her boss was
one of the people let go).

I, and other Radio-Shack owned Sprint kiosk managers in my region, are
not always provided all corporate documentation from our regional
managers. She has stated there is a link on the bottom of
radioshack.com, however we have not been able to find it anywhere on
the site. This is all that I am looking for...

PLEASE DO NOT INSINUATE THAT I WAS TRYING TO DO SOMETHING ILLEGAL!!! I
was hoping another Radio Shack employee could have pointed me in the
right direction of the URL or link...

Pneuma Cheney wrote:
> On 8 Sep 2006 21:55:34 -0700, "BuddahMOO" <heatherpanek@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Specifically, I am trying to access the employee policy and employee
> >benefits handbooks, as I have never had these provided to me.
> >
> >Thanks in advance for your help.
>
>
> Technically and legally you would be hacking into their system. Contact your
> State labor board for advice rather then risk a civil and/or criminal charge
> against you.
>
> Read Oregon vs Schwartz
>
> http://www.lightlink.com/spacenka/fors/
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> Iraq Body Count: http://icasualties.org/oif/
> 15 yr old Ava Lowery Reflects on the Iraq War:
> http://www.peacetakescourage.com/whatwillyoudo.html

4419. Markie spamming again for damage control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 20:00:24 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1157832024.062877.17860@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6299
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an old fraud wrote:
>wo

Markie try http://www.hookedonphonics.com

4420. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 20:03:11 UTC
From: funkbastler <funkbastler@eudoramail.com>
Message-ID: <ou66g2pmeo5a2oaohh245g65nnreao2b19@4ax.com>
Source record: 6300
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 8 Sep 2006 19:28:20 -0700, "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>The hobby is dead because of all these old ham geriatrics stuck on
>code. No one in the real world gives a shit about code.
>Slow Code wrote:
>> I could support that.
>>
>> SC

Code is dead - along with anything else that requires skill, practice, or
a little bit of effort.

The folks who want to do away with code should at least learn it and
try it - they might find it to be (gasp) enjoyable. Personally, I could
support doing away with no-code licensing altogether. I realize it won't
happen, but I gotta think that if I managed to learn Morse code, then so
can "they". The only thing no-code licensing accomplished in this neck of
the woods was to move the CB mentality from 11 to 2 meters.

--
-fb-

4421. woger try http://www.mipsor.state.mi.us/mipsor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 20:07:00 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157832419.977367.236840@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6201
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
>
woger try http://www.mipsor.state.mi.us/mipsor

4422. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 20:19:38 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157833178.272505.170010@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6202
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

funkbastler wrote:
> On 8 Sep 2006 19:28:20 -0700, "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >The hobby is dead because of all these old ham geriatrics stuck on
> >code. No one in the real world gives a shit about code.
> >Slow Code wrote:
> >> I could support that.
> >>
> >> SC
>
> Code is dead - along with anything else that requires skill, practice, or
> a little bit of effort.

wrong agian Code is not dead which is too bad

4423. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 21:12:44 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <g7GMg.12834$q63.7822@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 6203
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

funkbastler wrote:
> ... I gotta think that if I managed to learn Morse code, then so
> can "they".

I'm sure that drivers licenses applicants can
learn to use buggy whips on their horseless
carriages, but should they be required to?
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

4424. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 21:24:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <35c6g2pl78k88ubfoao2bslt7g8vlaqau5@4ax.com>
Source record: 6204
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 09 Sep 2006 21:12:44 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>funkbastler wrote:
>> ... I gotta think that if I managed to learn Morse code, then so
>> can "they".
>
>I'm sure that drivers licenses applicants can
>learn to use buggy whips on their horseless
>carriages, but should they be required to?
learn to use a buggy whip to good effect on and horseless carage
Idon't think so I felt like taking a whip to mine the last time it ran
out of gas nothing would happened Buggy whip are abolslutely useless
wth horseless carrages expect I supose to get better service at the
gas station
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4425. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 22:19:19 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <H5HMg.5599$v%4.5032@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6205
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"etagg" <etagg@twcny.rr.com> wrote in
news:G2eMg.8788$xV.554@twister.nyroc.rr.com:

> Get a life......

Get a real license.

Sc

4426. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 22:19:21 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <J5HMg.5600$v%4.168@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6206
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

funkbastler <funkbastler@eudoramail.com> wrote in
news:ou66g2pmeo5a2oaohh245g65nnreao2b19@4ax.com:

> On 8 Sep 2006 19:28:20 -0700, "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>>The hobby is dead because of all these old ham geriatrics stuck on
>>code. No one in the real world gives a shit about code.
>>Slow Code wrote:
>>> I could support that.
>>>
>>> SC
>
> Code is dead - along with anything else that requires skill, practice,
> or a little bit of effort.
>
> The folks who want to do away with code should at least learn it and
> try it - they might find it to be (gasp) enjoyable. Personally, I could
> support doing away with no-code licensing altogether. I realize it
> won't happen, but I gotta think that if I managed to learn Morse code,
> then so can "they". The only thing no-code licensing accomplished in
> this neck of the woods was to move the CB mentality from 11 to 2 meters.

There is no arguing that. KB9RQZ is living proof.

SC

4427. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 22:26:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <crf6g21ro14h1m2kuet9df1lnl0c97kbca@4ax.com>
Source record: 6207
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 09 Sep 2006 22:19:19 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"etagg" <etagg@twcny.rr.com> wrote in
>news:G2eMg.8788$xV.554@twister.nyroc.rr.com:
>
>> Get a life......
>
>
>Get a real license.

howdo you know what license he has or does not have
>
>Sc
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4428. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 22:26:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <8sf6g2ti0jklkjb89orl5g38bo7if6d0m6@4ax.com>
Source record: 6208
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 09 Sep 2006 22:19:21 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>funkbastler <funkbastler@eudoramail.com> wrote in
>news:ou66g2pmeo5a2oaohh245g65nnreao2b19@4ax.com:
>

>
>There is no arguing that. KB9RQZ is living proof.
of what?
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4429. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 22:35:14 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <cbad$450341c2$471f5a21$30693@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 6209
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Only if the buggy whip conveys useful information to the "horseless carrage"
as it did in the case of the horse.

As CW still conveys information as it did in the beginnings of radio the
anology does not hold water...

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:g7GMg.12834$q63.7822@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> funkbastler wrote:
>> ... I gotta think that if I managed to learn Morse code, then so
>> can "they".
>
> I'm sure that drivers licenses applicants can
> learn to use buggy whips on their horseless
> carriages, but should they be required to?
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

4430. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 22:37:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <qfg6g2tgdbv9eo06ie5u6tvde1i6i244s1@4ax.com>
Source record: 6210
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 9 Sep 2006 18:35:14 -0400, "Fred Hambrecht" <w4jle@w4jle.com>
wrote:

>Only if the buggy whip conveys useful information to the "horseless carrage"
>as it did in the case of the horse.
>
>As CW still conveys information as it did in the beginnings of radio the
>anology does not hold water...
nope it does not convey information as it did in the begining of radio

in the begining it conveyed data other radio radio services as well as
the ars today it does not
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4431. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 23:18:05 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <NYHMg.13140$q63.6420@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 6211
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Fred Hambrecht wrote:
> Only if the buggy whip conveys useful information to the "horseless carrage"
> as it did in the case of the horse.

We could probably modify vehicles to respond to
lashes from a buggy whip and eliminate the
accelerator.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

4432. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 23:46:43 UTC
From: funkbastler <funkbastler@eudoramail.com>
Message-ID: <2gk6g2pq3gug8paeou2sa9o92t6nphal9h@4ax.com>
Source record: 6212
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 09 Sep 2006 21:12:44 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:

>funkbastler wrote:
>> ... I gotta think that if I managed to learn Morse code, then so
>> can "they".
>
>I'm sure that drivers licenses applicants can
>learn to use buggy whips on their horseless
>carriages, but should they be required to?

No, but it darned sure wouldn't hurt to make sure they could drive
something with a standard transmission. Never know when the ol'
grind-o-crunch automatic is gonna give out and ya has to use
something more reliable.

--
-fb-

4433. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-09 23:53:12 UTC
From: funkbastler <funkbastler@eudoramail.com>
Message-ID: <kkk6g2ls1u51gisfoaeb81ftk1cr97d496@4ax.com>
Source record: 6213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 09 Sep 2006 22:19:21 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>
>There is no arguing that. KB9RQZ is living proof.
>

Well, I don't know KB9RQZ - but I'll say thay he's entitled to have
and express his opinions, same as you and I, whether you or I agree
with him or not.

Y'all try to stay away from the ad hominem stuff now - it never convinces
anybody of anything. Just pisses 'em off.

--
-fb-

4434. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 00:59:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <eno6g21982jteg17oklhq0ovdctp9tbrmh@4ax.com>
Source record: 6214
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 09 Sep 2006 18:53:12 -0500, funkbastler
<funkbastler@eudoramail.com> wrote:

>On Sat, 09 Sep 2006 22:19:21 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>There is no arguing that. KB9RQZ is living proof.
>>
>
>Well, I don't know KB9RQZ - but I'll say thay he's entitled to have
>and express his opinions, same as you and I, whether you or I agree
>with him or not.

I am KB9RQZ I thank you for what has become rare in RRAP adknowledging
that one oppenent have a a right to thier opinion too
>
>Y'all try to stay away from the ad hominem stuff now - it never convinces
>anybody of anything. Just pisses 'em off.

I will correct you the ad hominem stuff does often convince peole
(botht the targets and the audence) that hthe attacker lacks any real
point to make
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
Warning: Do not use Ultimate-Anonymity
They are worthless spamers that are running a scam.

4435. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 01:54:18 UTC
From: BDK <BDK@twilight-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1f6d3a5380690e2098a289@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 6215
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In article <ou66g2pmeo5a2oaohh245g65nnreao2b19@4ax.com>,
funkbastler@eudoramail.com says...
> On 8 Sep 2006 19:28:20 -0700, "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >The hobby is dead because of all these old ham geriatrics stuck on
> >code. No one in the real world gives a shit about code.
> >Slow Code wrote:
> >> I could support that.
> >>
> >> SC
>
> Code is dead - along with anything else that requires skill, practice, or
> a little bit of effort.
>
> The folks who want to do away with code should at least learn it and
> try it - they might find it to be (gasp) enjoyable. Personally, I could
> support doing away with no-code licensing altogether. I realize it won't
> happen, but I gotta think that if I managed to learn Morse code, then so
> can "they". The only thing no-code licensing accomplished in this neck of
> the woods was to move the CB mentality from 11 to 2 meters.
>
>

I took the code test (novice 5WPM) back in 73 in high school, and had the totally worthless (to me) Novice ticket for 2 years or whatever it was. I spent a lot of time practicing CW, back then and also about 10 years ago, and can't seem to get past 7-8WPM, so I gave up on it, the sound of it annoys me anyway. It wasn't worth the stress it caused me to work HF. of course, since I DID pass the 5WPM test, I can be a general now. But, the interest has passed. I have a 2M mobile and an HT, but if I buy an HF rig, it's going to be to listen, but not to other hams, that's as boring as it gets. If I want to hear about hip replacements, and stuff like that, I can visit the folks at the assisted living place my mom's in.

I don't care about HF anymore, the code requirement outlasted my interest in HF. I wanted to be on HF from the time I was a little kid to about age 40 or so. No longer. I have my other hobbies now, guns, PCs, home theater, and dogs. Not much time or budget left for ham radio.

Just because you and I were forced to learn it does it mean a new ham should. It's an obsolete mode in most respects, handy in a certain type of emergency, but of little other use, except communicating with other

people using it. Nobody's stopping them. Nobody should have to learn it either.

But it's funny, the people I hear, both on HF and 2M and 440 causing all the real problems are people who didn't get a no code license, supposedly don't have a "CB mentality", yet do many of the things you can hear on 11 meters all the time, keying up on top of other hams, harassing hams, ignoring calls, etc, based on their call sign timeframe (Thinking if the ham was ticketed after xx-xx-1990 or whatever, they are a "hamster", etc). Seems like the code makes you this way, or maybe getting old does.

I would gladly take the "CB mentality" over the stuff the so-called "good hams" pull.

BDK

4436. Re: NEW SCANNER SITE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 01:58:33 UTC
From: bakb0ne@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1157853513.555517.269130@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6216

Al Klein wrote:
> On 7 Sep 2006 10:26:16 -0700, bakb0ne@gmail.com wrote:
>
> >WsW wrote:
> >> Get rid of all the pop ups first
> >He cant, thats down to the forum provider.... Its a crappy free phpbb
> >site.
> Which he can edit.

Not really...

He does have administrator access to PHPBB itself, but the popups are either in the html or part of the template, which he cannot edit (no ftp access)

The pop-ups are just a way for the host to make money, the users cannot remove them....

Anyway, anybody with a bit of common sense would just install a pop-up blocker and leave it at that..

Im using google pop-up blocker and I didn't even see any until you mentioned it...

4437. Re: Schematic for Uniden BC 760XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 02:29:35 UTC
From: ASIS <car_mar@ozemail.com.au>
Message-ID: <45037888$0$4028$5a62ac22@per-qv1-newsreader-01.iinet.net.au>
Source record: 6217

have you treid asking UNIDEN? they usally help out...

"Ramon" <rvsamson@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:erjKg.508657$IK3.30462@pd7tw1no...
> Does anyone have or know where I could get a schematic diagram for the
> Uniden Bearcat BC 760XLT?
>
>

4438. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 03:30:31 UTC
From: Mike Painter <mddotpainter@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <rFLMg.13171$q63.10061@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 6218
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

Richard Riley wrote:
> On Fri, 08 Sep 2006 15:42:14 GMT, Orval Fairbairn
> <orfairbairn@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
>>
>> "Leading scientists"??? Experts in the appropriate fields support the
>> NIST explanations -- NOT those of Jones, et. al! Jones' field of
>> expertise are: cold fusion, the journeys of Jesus Christ through the
>> Americas. He has zero experience in structures or anything else
>> relevant to the subject.
>
> You know, until this very moment I harbored a tiny hope that somehow
> there was something real about cold fusion.
>
> <sigh>
>
> I guess next you'll tell me that the Masons aren't really in charge of
> everything.

Of Course they are in charge.
fnord.

4439. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 04:24:06 UTC
From: Private <please@dont.bother>
Message-ID: <GrMMg.523030$Mn5.299174@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 6219
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

"Dudley Henriques" <dhenriques@nowhere.net> wrote in message
news:2tAMg.5444$v%4.1150@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> On second thought, maybe its US who are the idiots for doing this!!!
> :-)))
> Dudley Henriques

"We have seen the enemy and it is us." -- Pogo
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pogo

I have no answers regarding 911, but I do have a lot of questions. There seems to be a lot of untruthfulness and questionable secrecy and many of the facts are very disturbing.

"Reality is a thin line between denial and paranoia."
-- Author unknown, but often quoted by the 9/11 truth movement

4440. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 04:26:50 UTC
From: Jim Macklin <p51mustang>
Message-ID: <juMMg.21974$SZ3.12227@dukeread04>
Source record: 6220
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

```
Actually the subject line is wrong for several reasons.
Gravity brought the WTC down, just as gravity brings
everything down.

"Private" <please@dont.bother> wrote in message
news:GrMMg.523030$Mn5.299174@pd7tw3no...
|
| "Dudley Henriques" <dhenriques@nowhere.net> wrote in
message
|
news:2tAMg.5444$v%4.1150@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
| >
| > On second thought, maybe its US who are the idiots for
doing this!!!
| > :-)))
| > Dudley Henriques
|
| "We have seen the enemy and it is us." -- Pogo
| http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pogo
|
| I have no answers regarding 911, but I do have a lot of
questions. There
| seems to be a lot of untruthfulness and questionable
secrecy and many of the
| facts are very disturbing.
|
| "Reality is a thin line between denial and paranoia."
| -- Author unknown, but often quoted by the 9/11 truth
movement
|
|
```

4441. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 05:38:49 UTC
From: Mike Painter <mddotpainter@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <JxNMg.4481$MF1.2359@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 6221
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

Private wrote:
> "Dudley Henriques" <dhenriques@nowhere.net> wrote in message
> news:2tAMg.5444$v%4.1150@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> On second thought, maybe its US who are the idiots for doing this!!!
>> :-)))
>> Dudley Henriques
>
> "We have seen the enemy and it is us." -- Pogo
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pogo
>
> I have no answers regarding 911, but I do have a lot of questions. There
> seems to be a lot of untruthfulness and questionable secrecy
> and many of the facts are very disturbing.
Planes flew into the buildings and the top floors fell in mass on the floor
below it.
That floor collapesed and the mas fell on the floor below it.

I once watched a friend of mine break a stack of roofing tiles that was over
six feet tall with his bare hand. While he did it in one continuos motion,
he still broke only one brick at a time.

KE = 1/2 MV^2 says that once that top mass fell more than a single floor,
the building was going to come down, one floor at a time.

As for any inane ideas about wiring the floors with explosives one question
must be asked.
Why bother with planes and only two buildings when you could wire hundreds
and set them off when discovered or on a weekly basis?

4442. Re: Boca Raton, Fl Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 06:36:45 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <o9c7g2dk6aa7alsk6fmsum2mj3e423fjel@4ax.com>
Source record: 6222

On Fri, 08 Sep 2006 16:41:40 -0600, gray-beard
<gray-beard@tryandguess.org> wrote:

>
>System Name: Palm Beach County
>Location: Palm Beach, FL
>County: Palm Beach
>System Type: Motorola Type II SmartZone
>System Voice: Analog and *****APCO-25 Common Air Interface*****
>Sysid: 813A
>Last Updated: Added a single talkgroup
>Hits: 11628
>
>Fifth line down (the one I added the stars to)
>APCO-25 is digital

Motorola type II is an analog system. While some channels may be
digital, the system isn't, and there are definitely some analog
channels in there.

Boca Raton fire is digital and 8 channels of Palm Beach County Sheriff
are digital, but the rest are probably analog.

Ken, if you hear any talkgroups that aren't shown in the list with an
A for the mode (or those services that aren't listed with modes
because no one posted modes to the site), please post the talkgroups
that are analog, so that the moderators can add the information to the
database.

4443. Re: NEW SCANNER SITE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 07:00:19 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <3rd7g25itr5vqsktm5egt24h81cmf18oh8@4ax.com>
Source record: 6223

On 9 Sep 2006 18:58:33 -0700, bakb0ne@gmail.com wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> On 7 Sep 2006 10:26:16 -0700, bakb0ne@gmail.com wrote:

>> >WsW wrote:
>> >> Get rid of all the pop ups first
>> >He cant, thats down to the forum provider.... Its a crappy free phpbb
>> >site.
>> Which he can edit.

>Not really...

>He does have administrator access to PHPBB itself, but the popups are
>either in the html or part of the template, which he cannot edit (no
>ftp access)

The person running a phpBB can edit anything in the entire BB he wants
- I know, I run one - and I've edited it extensively.

>The pop-ups are just a way for the host to make money, the users cannot
>remove them....

Bull cookies. There's nothing in phpBB that can't be edited.

4444. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 10:47:59 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <z3SMg.17757$%j7.12658@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 6224
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

funkbastler wrote:
> No, but it darned sure wouldn't hurt to make sure they could drive
> something with a standard transmission.

Would you have 1000 people learn to drive a standard
transmission even though only one person out of those
1000 people benefits from it? The cost/benefit ratio
is extremely high.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

4445. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 11:31:53 UTC
From: funkbastler <funkbastler@eudoramail.com>
Message-ID: <als7g2lo8qts2jbrtgiuuimaq4pgb2jt4j@4ax.com>
Source record: 6225
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 10:47:59 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:

>funkbastler wrote:
>> No, but it darned sure wouldn't hurt to make sure they could drive
>> something with a standard transmission.
>
>Would you have 1000 people learn to drive a standard
>transmission even though only one person out of those
>1000 people benefits from it? The cost/benefit ratio
>is extremely high.

(I'll go ya one better - make EVERYBODY drive a standard - or a Harley -
and save some oil. Outlaw automatics!)

Ok then - same goes for satellite communications, fast/slow scan
television, packet, EME, and so on. Lots of applicants will never
use those modes, but the questions are on the test, so you
better learn the material.

K2FRD (I think) proposed what I thought was the best solution to
the Morse code issue - keep it as part of the test, but don't
keep it as a show-stopper. I think it would be fair, at least for
the higher grade licenses, to throw it in there with all the other
modes you may never use.... have it on the test, let the applicant
earn some points from it if they can, but don't send 'em home
disappointed if they don't.

--
-fb-

4446. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 12:16:38 UTC
From: John Smith <someone@microsoft.com>
Message-ID: <1157890194_16015@sp6iad.superfeed.net>
Source record: 6226
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

"Mike Painter" <mddotpainter@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:rFLMg.13171$q63.10061@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> Richard Riley wrote:
>> On Fri, 08 Sep 2006 15:42:14 GMT, Orval Fairbairn
>> <orfairbairn@earthlink.net> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> "Leading scientists"??? Experts in the appropriate fields support the
>>> NIST explanations -- NOT those of Jones, et. al! Jones' field of
>>> expertise are: cold fusion, the journeys of Jesus Christ through the
>>> Americas. He has zero experience in structures or anything else
>>> relevant to the subject.
>>
>> You know, until this very moment I harbored a tiny hope that somehow
>> there was something real about cold fusion.
>>
>> <sigh>
>>
>> I guess next you'll tell me that the Masons aren't really in charge of
>> everything.
>
> Of Course they are in charge.
> fnord.

Well, kinda! The Masons run things, but the Illuminati tell them what to
do.

--
"Anybody who deliberately propagandizes with lies should be held up to scorn
and ridicule" - Al Franken

4447. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 12:26:28 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <JMudnYg3qpnkmZnYnZ2dnUVZ_sednZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 6227
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:z3SMg.17757$%j7.12658@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
> funkbastler wrote:
>> No, but it darned sure wouldn't hurt to make sure they could drive
>> something with a standard transmission.
>
> Would you have 1000 people learn to drive a standard
> transmission even though only one person out of those
> 1000 people benefits from it? The cost/benefit ratio
> is extremely high.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

Someone skilled in driving a vehicle with a manual transmission and actually using it can reap a number of performance benefits. These include improved gas mileage, better passing performance, better performance in hilly terrain, etc. If people were required to learn how to drive vehicles with manual transmissions, more of them might actually choose to drive such vehicles.

Dee, N8UZE

4448. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 12:47:51 UTC
From: Dudley Henriques <dhenriques@nowhere.net>
Message-ID: <XPTMg.5802$v%4.598@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6228
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

"Private" <please@dont.bother> wrote in message
news:GrMMg.523030$Mn5.299174@pd7tw3no...
>
> "Dudley Henriques" <dhenriques@nowhere.net> wrote in message
> news:2tAMg.5444$v%4.1150@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> On second thought, maybe its US who are the idiots for doing this!!!
>> :-)))
>> Dudley Henriques
>
> "We have seen the enemy and it is us." -- Pogo
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pogo
>
> I have no answers regarding 911, but I do have a lot of questions. There
> seems to be a lot of untruthfulness and questionable secrecy and many of
> the facts are very disturbing.

I would agree with this on premise. Its a fair statement and presented in a
manner that indicates a reaction to this event not unlike that felt by many.
The circumstances of the incident are horrific and difficult to deal with
for ANY "man in the street".
Its one thing to have questions. Its another to accept blindly as fact, some
"theory" put out here that defies all logic, by individuals with an obvious
political agenda.
The ultimate blame for 9-11 will no doubt be in question for eternity. The
actual circumstances that brought down the buildings however is obvious to
anyone without an agenda and possessing normal powers of observation and
needs no further comment really.
This having been said, it is obvious as well that those believing the
conspiracies are most likely not going to change their opinion since the
core logic for that opinion is based on
logic outside the realm of normal reason.
Dudley Henriques

4449. Re: FS: Pro-2004 Radio Scanner 20-119

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 13:14:55 UTC
From: bitwisebob <bitwisebob@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <5h38g21bu5njqesbl723liahaberq3nshr@4ax.com>
Source record: 6229

On Sat, 09 Sep 2006 23:53:10 +1000, Barry OGrady
<god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote:

>>FS: Pro-2004 Radio Scanner 20-119
>>Channels 300 EBay Item number: 180025147174
>>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&ih=008&item=180025147174&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1
>
>Pretty cheap so far, but it really has 400 channels.

the unmodified Pro 2004 has 300 channels

Bob Anderson*Bitwisebob
Eugene Oregon

4450. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 14:06:00 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cZUMg.17465$1f6.17131@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 6230
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Dee Flint wrote:
> Someone skilled in driving a vehicle with a manual transmission and actually
> using it can reap a number of performance benefits. These include improved
> gas mileage, better passing performance, better performance in hilly
> terrain, etc. If people were required to learn how to drive vehicles with
> manual transmissions, more of them might actually choose to drive such
> vehicles.

Riding a bicycle has even more benefits so force everyone
to pass a bicycle riding exam.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

4451. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 15:24:07 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1157901847.621135.173950@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6231
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Cecil Moore wrote:
> funkbastler wrote:

> > ... I gotta think that if I managed to learn Morse code, then so
> > can "they".
>
> I'm sure that drivers licenses applicants can
> learn to use buggy whips on their horseless
> carriages, but should they be required to?
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

Try as I might, my V6 won't respond to the buggy whip. Kind of like my
Novice experience on 40M CW.

4452. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 15:31:17 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1157902277.111492.318460@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6232
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> I could support that.
>
> SC

I support licensing for life.

4453. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 15:43:26 UTC
From: John Smith <someone@microsoft.com>
Message-ID: <1157902602_16701@sp6iad.superfeed.net>
Source record: 6233
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

```
"Dudley Henriques" <dhenriques@nowhere.net> wrote in message
news:XPTMg.5802$v%4.598@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Private" <please@dont.bother> wrote in message
> news:GrMMg.523030$Mn5.299174@pd7tw3no...
>>
>> "Dudley Henriques" <dhenriques@nowhere.net> wrote in message
>> news:2tAMg.5444$v%4.1150@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>
>>> On second thought, maybe its US who are the idiots for doing this!!!
>>> :-)))
>>> Dudley Henriques
>>
>> "We have seen the enemy and it is us." -- Pogo
>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pogo
>>
>> I have no answers regarding 911, but I do have a lot of questions. There
>> seems to be a lot of untruthfulness and questionable secrecy and many of
>> the facts are very disturbing.
>
> I would agree with this on premise. Its a fair statement and presented in
> a manner that indicates a reaction to this event not unlike that felt by
> many. The circumstances of the incident are horrific and difficult to deal
> with for ANY "man in the street".
> Its one thing to have questions. Its another to accept blindly as fact,
> some "theory" put out here that defies all logic, by individuals with an
> obvious political agenda.
> The ultimate blame for 9-11 will no doubt be in question for eternity. The
> actual circumstances that brought down the buildings however is obvious to
> anyone without an agenda and possessing normal powers of observation and
> needs no further comment really.
> This having been said, it is obvious as well that those believing the
> conspiracies are most likely not going to change their opinion since the
> core logic for that opinion is based on
> logic outside the realm of normal reason.
> Dudley Henriques
>

Very well said Mr. Henriques!

--
"Anybody who deliberately propagandizes with lies should be held up to scorn
and ridicule" - Al Franken
```

4454. Re: Schematic for Uniden BC 760XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 16:29:02 UTC
From: Ramon <rvsamson@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <i3XMg.540964$IK3.212187@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 6234

I forgot to mention that I tried that some time ago, but they wanted to
charge me I believe about $70 for a service manual.

Thanks.

"ASIS" <car_mar@ozemail.com.au> wrote in message
news:45037888$0$4028$5a62ac22@per-qv1-newsreader-01.iinet.net.au...
> have you treid asking UNIDEN? they usally help out...
>
>
>
> "Ramon" <rvsamson@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:erjKg.508657$IK3.30462@pd7tw1no...
> > Does anyone have or know where I could get a schematic diagram for the
> > Uniden Bearcat BC 760XLT?
> >
> >
>
>

4455. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 16:50:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <rhg8g219650iqeub7mr7j66tu5r9ilpkg6@4ax.com>
Source record: 6235
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 08:26:28 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:z3SMg.17757$%j7.12658@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
>> funkbastler wrote:
>>> No, but it darned sure wouldn't hurt to make sure they could drive
>>> something with a standard transmission.
>>
>> Would you have 1000 people learn to drive a standard
>> transmission even though only one person out of those
>> 1000 people benefits from it? The cost/benefit ratio
>> is extremely high.
>> --
>> 73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com
>
>Someone skilled in driving a vehicle with a manual transmission and actually
>using it can reap a number of performance benefits. These include improved
>gas mileage, better passing performance, better performance in hilly
>terrain, etc. If people were required to learn how to drive vehicles with
>manual transmissions, more of them might actually choose to drive such
>vehicles.
so?

you did not address the point

cost verus benifit
>
>Dee, N8UZE
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
Warning: Do not use Ultimate-Anonymity
They are worthless spammers that are running a scam.

4456. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 16:51:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <5jg8g29mu1ahntmbjoa6mnu2vjfcgj1kse@4ax.com>
Source record: 6236
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 10 Sep 2006 08:31:17 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Slow Code wrote:
>> I could support that.
>>
>> SC
>
>I support licensing for life.
I don't you'd never clear out the SK's from the database
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
Warning: Do not use Ultimate-Anonymity
They are worthless spammers that are running a scam.

4457. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 17:34:37 UTC
From: funkbastler <funkbastler@eudoramail.com>
Message-ID: <u1j8g2538ton8scsugsp93js4jlklqep65@4ax.com>
Source record: 6237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 14:06:00 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>Riding a bicycle has even more benefits so force everyone
>to pass a bicycle riding exam.

Only if they want to ride a bicycle.

--
-fb-

4458. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 17:40:44 UTC
From: Private <please@dont.bother>
Message-ID: <w6YMg.528016$iF6.453603@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 6238
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

"Dudley Henriques" <dhenriques@nowhere.net> wrote in message
news:XPTMg.5802$v%4.598@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Private" <please@dont.bother> wrote in message
> news:GrMMg.523030$Mn5.299174@pd7tw3no...
>>
>> I have no answers regarding 911, but I do have a lot of questions. There
>> seems to be a lot of untruthfulness and questionable secrecy and many of
>> the facts are very disturbing.
>
> I would agree with this on premise. Its a fair statement and presented in
> a manner that indicates a reaction to this event not unlike that felt by
> many. The circumstances of the incident are horrific and difficult to deal
> with for ANY "man in the street".

> Its one thing to have questions. Its another to accept blindly as fact,
> some "theory" put out here that defies all logic, by individuals with an
> obvious political agenda.

I accept nothing regarding this matter as fact. IMHO there is a collection
of ignorance and wishful thinking on both sides of the issue. IMHO, there
are many who are attempting to use the events or to bend the truth to
justify beliefs and conclusions that were held long before it happened.
There is also no doubt that there is intentional opportunism, obfuscation,
concealment, public thought manipulation and downright lying, from both
sides of the debate. I do think it is good that the untruths are being
questioned. I do think it was a crime that the investigation was
manipulated and the forensic and other evidence was hidden and destroyed.

Just my .02

4459. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 18:17:37 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5FYMg.59$IA.28@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 6239
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> I support licensing for life.

Do you have something against dead people?
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

4460. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 18:22:12 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <oJYMg.61$IA.5@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 6240
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

funkbastler wrote:
> On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 14:06:00 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> Riding a bicycle has even more benefits so force everyone
>> to pass a bicycle riding exam.
>
> Only if they want to ride a bicycle.

Absolutely not. It doesn't matter if they want to ride
a bicycle or not. Simply knowing how to ride a bicycle
would be good for them. And if they discovered they
liked it enough to actually ride a bicycle, it would
not only benefit them but also benefit the environment.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

4461. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 20:13:51 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157919230.915616.48160@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6241
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Cecil Moore wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > I support licensing for life.
>
> Do you have something against dead people?
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com
well until the ARRL figures out out how to operate of QSL buro for the
SK's I don't think they merit licensure

4462. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 20:44:43 UTC
From: Matt Whiting <whiting@epix.net>
Message-ID: <%O_Mg.1137$Db4.138102@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 6242
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

Richard Riley wrote:
> On Fri, 08 Sep 2006 15:42:14 GMT, Orval Fairbairn
> <orfairbairn@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
>
>>"Leading scientists"??? Experts in the appropriate fields support the
>>NIST explanations -- NOT those of Jones, et. al! Jones' field of
>>expertise are: cold fusion, the journeys of Jesus Christ through the
>>Americas. He has zero experience in structures or anything else relevant
>>to the subject.
>
>
> You know, until this very moment I harbored a tiny hope that somehow
> there was something real about cold fusion.
>
> <sigh>
>
> I guess next you'll tell me that the Masons aren't really in charge of
> everything.

That's correct. The carpenters are now in charge. Masonry is passe. :-)

Matt

4463. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 20:54:41 UTC
From: danny burstein <dannyb@panix.com>
Message-ID: <ee1u2hcbo1@reader2.panix.com>
Source record: 6243
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

In <%O_Mg.1137$Db4.138102@news1.epix.net> Matt Whiting <whiting@epix.net> writes:
>>
>> I guess next you'll tell me that the Masons aren't really in charge of
>> everything.

>That's correct. The carpenters are now in charge. Masonry is passe. :-)

Bringing us back to the dawn of Christianity...

--

_____
Knowledge may be power, but communications is the key
dannyb@panix.com
[to foil spammers, my address has been double rot-13 encoded]

4464. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 21:17:00 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1157923020.179691.293730@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6244
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

From: Cecil Moore on Sun, Sep 10 2006 7:06 am

>Dee Flint wrote:
>> Someone skilled in driving a vehicle with a manual transmission and actually
>> using it can reap a number of performance benefits. These include improved
>> gas mileage, better passing performance, better performance in hilly
>> terrain, etc. If people were required to learn how to drive vehicles with
>> manual transmissions, more of them might actually choose to drive such
>> vehicles.
>
>Riding a bicycle has even more benefits so force everyone
>to pass a bicycle riding exam.

Cecil, bicycles (and most motorcycles) need smooth
roadways; it is hard to operate "CW" while mobile and
off-road on a bike.

Now HORSEBACK mobile is the same on-road or off-road. No
gasoline or oil needed nor "gear shifting." Horses can
make "new models" all by themselves, keep themselves
"powered up" without the aid of stations like Exxon, 76,
Shell, or Sinclair. The US Army even had a 'horse
mobile' radio set (1943) to talk while the troop was on the
move. :-)

Everybody ought to learn to "sit" a horse and guide it. :-)

Dee seems to have little experience in long-haul driving,
or even short-haul automotive transport. I learned to
drive in a '39 Ford sedan. The first three autos I owned
were manual trans, a Plymouth two-door (came out west in
it), a '53 Austin-Healey roadster (manual trans went
kaput while downshifting on a freeway off-ramp back in
'60), and a Brit very compact station wagon. A whole
lotta NONSENSE to do the clutch-gearshift thing on all
those manual transmission vehicles even if it was easy
for me. NO "performance increase" whatsoever of manual
versus automatic.

Buying a new 2005 Chevy Malibu MAXX with its better
engine system computer allowed us to get 32.7 MPG
(based on both fuel tank filling receipts AND the
Driver Information Center display of MPG) for a 1,900

mile round trip up to Washington state and back in July this year. That's without using the Cruise Control (which my wife likes but I don't, driving over 90% of the time). About 2 1/2 MPG better than the Chevy Cavalier wagon for the same distance the year before. MAXX had done almost as good MPG in September last year on a much longer distance to Wisconsin, again doing about 2 1/2 MPG better than the Cavalier over the same route the year before that.

The engine computers keep getting better and better, some even compensating for the bad habits of some drivers using the almost-universal automatic. Why anyone would prefer using a manual or automatic in stop-and-go city traffic can be summed up as RATIONALIZATION or braggadoccio by manual trans owners. Besides, operating "CW" in stop-and-go city traffic will seriously cut down one's morsemanship speed with a manual trans. Unless one has a third hand... :-)

Cell phone coverage is growing, growing, growing. My wife used the cell for all kinds of calls while we were moving in MAXX through several states, even checking her e-mail on AOL! Without any skill at morsemanship whatsoever, she "worked" her sister in WA state from the parking lot of a restaurant in Amana, IA, using the cell phone. :-)

Hmmmm. One out of three Americans has a cell phone now. Yet, Blowcode contends "everyone has to learn" morsemanship to have a backup skill in comms? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4465. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 21:19:37 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1157923177.695833.6770@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6245
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Cecil Moore wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > I support licensing for life.
>
> Do you have something against dead people?
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

I am against the dead horses these morseman beat over and over.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4466. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 21:40:27 UTC
From: funkbastler <funkbastler@eudoramail.com>
Message-ID: <6a19g2pvbs6p5al7rpnnlairqf0ufj4p1k@4ax.com>
Source record: 6246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 18:22:12 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:

>funkbastler wrote:
>> On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 14:06:00 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>> Riding a bicycle has even more benefits so force everyone
>>> to pass a bicycle riding exam.
>>
>> Only if they want to ride a bicycle.
>
>Absolutely not. It doesn't matter if they want to ride
>a bicycle or not. Simply knowing how to ride a bicycle
>would be good for them. And if they discovered they
>liked it enough to actually ride a bicycle, it would
>not only benefit them but also benefit the environment.

You are absolutely right! Why didn't I think of that? One caveat,
however - they'll also have to pass a Morse code test with their
bicycle horn. (I forget now - is this so they can drive a car or
use the microwave oven?) This is too silly.

--
-fb-

4467. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 21:53:35 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <f3d56$45048947$471f5945$12198@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 6247
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

So are you saying that CW no longer works? Not to be judgmental, but your an
idiot.

<Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
news:qfg6g2tgdbv9eo06ie5u6tvde1i6i244s1@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 9 Sep 2006 18:35:14 -0400, "Fred Hambrecht" <w4jle@w4jle.com>
> wrote:
> in the begining it conveyed data other radio radio services as well as
> the ars today it does not
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

4468. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 22:32:58 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1157927578.494230.67970@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6248
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Fred Hambrecht wrote:
> So are you saying that CW no longer works? Not to be judgmental, but your an
> idiot.
>
> <Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
> news:qfg6g2tgdbv9eo06ie5u6tvde1i6i244s1@4ax.com...
> > On Sat, 9 Sep 2006 18:35:14 -0400, "Fred Hambrecht" <w4jle@w4jle.com>
> > wrote:
> > in the begining it conveyed data other radio radio services as well as
> > the ars today it does not
> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

Even if spelled correctly [you're, not your] Fred is definitely NOT
saying his thing with civility. :-)

Freddie, Mark was saying that - IN THE BEGINNING - ALL radio
services used morse code...and the first US radio regulating
agency wanted all to show competency in this common mode
so that the agency to communicate with all users. That era has
long since passed into oblivion. Just ain't no other radio services
using manual morse code for communications now.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4469. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 22:43:31 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <s659g210gkhhtdjdl8hruetvnu25q7eane@4ax.com>
Source record: 6249
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 17:53:35 -0400, "Fred Hambrecht" <w4jle@w4jle.com>
wrote:

>So are you saying that CW no longer works?
no I am saying correctly that it no longer tlaks to the same gruops

> Not to be judgmental, but your an
>idiot.

you could learn to read what is written before you pass judegment
>
><Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
>news:qfg6g2tgdbv9eo06ie5u6tvde1i6i244s1@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 9 Sep 2006 18:35:14 -0400, "Fred Hambrecht" <w4jle@w4jle.com>
>> wrote:
>> in the begining it conveyed data other radio radio services as well as
>> the ars today it does not
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
Warning: Do not use Ultimate-Anonymity
They are worthless spammers that are running a scam.

4470. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 23:22:50 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <e71Ng.10400$xQ1.2291@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6250
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:g7GMg.12834$q63.7822@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com:

> funkbastler wrote:
>> ... I gotta think that if I managed to learn Morse code, then so
>> can "they".
>
> I'm sure that drivers licenses applicants can
> learn to use buggy whips on their horseless
> carriages, but should they be required to?

They should if they want to be good back seat drivers.

SC

4471. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 23:22:51 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <f71Ng.10401$xQ1.495@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1157902277.111492.318460@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> I could support that.
>>
>> SC
>
> I support licensing for life.
>
>

Whimp!

SC

4472. Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 23:23:03 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <r71Ng.10413$xQ1.2696@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6152
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Or just lazy people out?

Sc

4473. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 23:23:22 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <K71Ng.10421$xQ1.5666@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6153
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Unit 200" <anon@anon> wrote in news:9t825.r9a.19.1@news.alt.net:

> Long time no hear, Len. Good to see that you are out and about and
> belaboring Usenet with your tripe.
> I'm sure that you feel much relieved after posting a ten paragraph
> diatribe...you oldsters seem to feel like youngsters after passing a few
> cubic feet of natural gas.
> Did you rattle your Rely diapers while so doing?
> You didn't "brit your shitches", did you? I hate it when Flatulent Old
> Men play their tunes while pretending they are not the fartee....

ROFLMAO

That was good.

Sc

4474. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio by promoting a cutlure of elitism

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 23:30:29 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157931029.073370.30550@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6154
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

hell yes it is

4475. slowcode kook on parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 23:34:24 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157931264.802893.232120@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6155
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
>
slowcode kook on parade

4476. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-10 23:39:34 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0003@taring.org>
Message-ID: <4504a237$0$6154$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 6156
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Dee Flint wrote:

>
> Someone skilled in driving a vehicle with a manual transmission and actually
> using it can reap a number of performance benefits. These include improved
> gas mileage, better passing performance, better performance in hilly
> terrain, etc. If people were required to learn how to drive vehicles with
> manual transmissions, more of them might actually choose to drive such
> vehicles.
>
> Dee, N8UZE
>

Not all of those statements are always true. As fond as I am of manual transmissions, sometimes automatics have the advantage. A hydraulic torque converter with a manually controlled "automatic" transmission is better at drag racing than a manual gearbox under many conditions.

This is less true under road race conditions where the lower torque needed to be handled by the transmission allows the newer style "manual" transmissions to change gears in milliseconds. The secret is 2 transmissions, one for the odd gears, one for the even, and 2 clutches, you are literally in 2 gears at the same time for a short period of time. Takes a lot of computer control. That's one way to do it, there are others.

The landscape is very blurred nowadays concerning what is a manual and what is an automatic transmission, with "manuals" in modern race cars being more automatic than "automatics" in non-race cars.

And the state of CW vs digital is about the same. Except CW can always be beat if your PC works. You just need to select the correct mode.

tom
K0TAR

4477. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-11 00:05:12 UTC
From: Allan9 <exatc@neo1.rr.com>
Message-ID: <4504a838$0$6939$4c368faf@roadrunner.com>
Source record: 6157
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Off the wall comment.
I learned code in 1956 and haven't had to use it yet.
Al

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:r71Ng.10413$xQ1.2696@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> Or just lazy people out?
>
> Sc

4478. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-11 00:06:26 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1157933186.364361.282310@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Cecil Moore wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> > I support licensing for life.
>
> Do you have something against dead people?

Is that some kind of Robesin-like inuendo?

4479. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-11 00:10:33 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1157933433.007065.75590@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> news:1157902277.111492.318460@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>
> > Slow Code wrote:

> >> I could support that.
> >>
> >> SC
> >
> > I support licensing for life.
>
> Whimp!
>
> SC

Whimp???

I'll thumb wrestle you for it.

4480. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-11 00:11:01 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <b4OdnTStHJQHNJnYnZ2dnUVZ_rCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 6160
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Tom Ring" <news0003@taring.org> wrote in message
news:4504a237$0$6154$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>>
>> Someone skilled in driving a vehicle with a manual transmission and
>> actually using it can reap a number of performance benefits. These
>> include improved gas mileage, better passing performance, better
>> performance in hilly terrain, etc. If people were required to learn how
>> to drive vehicles with manual transmissions, more of them might actually
>> choose to drive such vehicles.
>>
>> Dee, N8UZE
>
> Not all of those statements are always true. As fond as I am of manual
> transmissions, sometimes automatics have the advantage. A hydraulic
> torque converter with a manually controlled "automatic" transmission is
> better at drag racing than a manual gearbox under many conditions.
>

Never said they were always true. And most of us do not put racing
equipment in our personal, daily use street vehicles.

> This is less true under road race conditions where the lower torque needed
> to be handled by the transmission allows the newer style "manual"
> transmissions to change gears in milliseconds. The secret is 2
> transmissions, one for the odd gears, one for the even, and 2 clutches,
> you are literally in 2 gears at the same time for a short period of time.
> Takes a lot of computer control. That's one way to do it, there are
> others.
>
> The landscape is very blurred nowadays concerning what is a manual and
> what is an automatic transmission, with "manuals" in modern race cars
> being more automatic than "automatics" in non-race cars.
>

Again this does not affect the ordinary driver.

> And the state of CW vs digital is about the same. Except CW can always be
> beat if your PC works. You just need to select the correct mode.
>
> tom
> K0TAR

That last statement is a fallacy. The digital modes are wiped out by conditions that will still permit CW to be used. Even SSB can sometimes be used when conditions wipe out the digital. I have repeatedly said and now I am emphasizing: EVERY MODE HAS ITS UNIQUE ADVANTAGES AND UNIQUE DISADVANTAGES. It seems that people wish to deny that whatever mode they don't want to deal with has any advantages whatsoever. They also wish to attribute magic properties to whatever is their favorite mode. Both points of view are foolish.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4481. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-11 00:21:58 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <G_1Ng.79$e66.5@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 6161
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Tom Ring wrote:
> And the state of CW vs digital is about the same. Except CW can always
> be beat if your PC works. You just need to select the correct mode.

PACTOR II works for me when I cannot even hear the signal.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

4482. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-11 00:22:51 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0003@taring.org>
Message-ID: <4504ac5b$0$6151$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 6162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Dee Flint wrote:

>
> That last statement is a fallacy. The digital modes are wiped out by
> conditions that will still permit CW to be used. Even SSB can sometimes be
> used when conditions wipe out the digital. I have repeatedly said and now I
<snip>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

Wrong. There are digital modes that handle every distortion type that
exists. You just have to pick the correct one.

tom
K0TAR

4483. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-11 00:25:00 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <w12Ng.81$e66.14@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 6163
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Dee Flint wrote:
> The digital modes are wiped out by
> conditions that will still permit CW to be used.

CW is wiped out by conditions that will still permit
PACTOR II to be used, e.g. I cannot hear any signals
at all on an apparently dead band and a friend in
Germany pops up on the screen.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

4484. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-11 00:25:41 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1157934341.106721.207810@q16g2000cwq.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6164
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Allan9 wrote:
> Off the wall comment.
> I learned code in 1956 and haven't had to use it yet.
> Al

I learned in 30 years later. Used it 1.5 times. Not worth the effort.

4485. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-11 00:28:49 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1157934529.287907.281210@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6165
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> Allan9 wrote:
> > Off the wall comment.
> > I learned code in 1956 and haven't had to use it yet.
> > Al
>
> I learned in 30 years later. Used it 1.5 times. Not worth the effort.

and makes the ARS look realy stpupid to most outsiders

4486. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-11 00:29:13 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Qc6dnZFPT8ZCMJnYnZ2dnUVZ_oCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 6166
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Tom Ring" <news0003@taring.org> wrote in message
news:4504ac5b$0$6151$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>>
>> That last statement is a fallacy. The digital modes are wiped out by
>> conditions that will still permit CW to be used. Even SSB can sometimes
>> be used when conditions wipe out the digital. I have repeatedly said and
>> now I
> <snip>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> Wrong. There are digital modes that handle every distortion type that
> exists. You just have to pick the correct one.
>
> tom
> K0TAR

Name them. I've not found any one mode that can handle all conditions of distortion. However, I have found from time to time that distortion can be severe enough at times to wipe out all digital modes even if you try to switch from mode to mode. Occasionally it can wipe out CW.

I repeat: EVERY MODE HAS ITS ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES. To deny that is foolish.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4487. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-11 00:33:23 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0003@taring.org>
Message-ID: <4504aed6$0$1009$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 6167
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Tom Ring wrote:

> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>>
>> That last statement is a fallacy. The digital modes are wiped out by
>> conditions that will still permit CW to be used. Even SSB can
>> sometimes be used when conditions wipe out the digital. I have
>> repeatedly said and now I
>
> <snip>
>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>
> Wrong. There are digital modes that handle every distortion type that
> exists. You just have to pick the correct one.
>
> tom
> K0TAR

And one of the simplest ones that works pretty much every time CW does
is Hellschreiber.

tom
K0TAR

4488. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-11 00:33:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <4mb9g2h0tuo021vubnkiacgmqd88lrde0q@4ax.com>
Source record: 6168
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 20:29:13 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"Tom Ring" <news0003@taring.org> wrote in message
>news:4504ac5b$0$6151$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net...
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> That last statement is a fallacy. The digital modes are wiped out by
>>> conditions that will still permit CW to be used. Even SSB can sometimes
>>> be used when conditions wipe out the digital. I have repeatedly said and
>>> now I
>> <snip>
>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>> Wrong. There are digital modes that handle every distortion type that
>> exists. You just have to pick the correct one.
>>
>> tom
>> K0TAR
>
>Name them. I've not found any one mode
no said said one mode

when you distrot the the claim made in order to counter it you lie
Miss Flint

stop lying please
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
Warning: Do not use Ultimate-Anonymity
They are worthless spammers that are running a scam.

4489. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-11 00:35:31 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <rob9g25ntka6542kggl5mn21t7np8pv2l1@4ax.com>
Source record: 6169
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 19:33:23 -0500, Tom Ring <news0003@taring.org>
wrote:

>Tom Ring wrote:
>
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> That last statement is a fallacy. The digital modes are wiped out by
>>> conditions that will still permit CW to be used. Even SSB can
>>> sometimes be used when conditions wipe out the digital. I have
>>> repeatedly said and now I
>>
>> <snip>
>>
>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>
>>
>> Wrong. There are digital modes that handle every distortion type that
>> exists. You just have to pick the correct one.
>>
>> tom
>> K0TAR
>
>And one of the simplest ones that works pretty much every time CW does
>is Hellschreiber.
>
indeed one of my personal favorites or course in fact It is CW, even
OOKed cw at that just not Morse encoded OOKed CW
>tom
>K0TAR
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
Warning: Do not use Ultimate-Anonymity
They are worthless spammers that are running a scam.

4490. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-11 01:48:17 UTC
From: captain_veritas@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1157939297.358096.144050@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6170
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com wrote:
> and makes the ARS look realy stpupid to most outsiders

Not nearly as stupid as most of the postings of the Inferior Five on
rrap.

You know who I'm referring to.

4491. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-11 02:00:52 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <FfSdnVk6-tzBXpnYnZ2dnUVZ_sadnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 6171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:w12Ng.81$e66.14@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> The digital modes are wiped out by conditions that will still permit CW
>> to be used.
>
> CW is wiped out by conditions that will still permit
> PACTOR II to be used, e.g. I cannot hear any signals
> at all on an apparently dead band and a friend in
> Germany pops up on the screen.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

Everyone keeps ignoring that I maintain that: EVERY MODE HAS ITS ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES. I keep repeating this but no one cares to acknowledge such a plain and simple fact. Why is that? Are you all so set on bashing what you don't like? Are you all so set on trying to prove that your favorite mode, whatever it may be, is the best?

Unless Pactor II has recently been put into the public domain, it requires buying proprietary hardware to run it instead of using a simple inexpensive sound card interface with commonly available software. Most hams are not thrilled with the idea of proprietary hardware and data modes.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4492. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-11 02:02:30 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ieSdnY2AB8MhXpnYnZ2dnUVZ_oGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 6172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Tom Ring" <news0003@taring.org> wrote in message
news:4504aed6$0$1009$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net...
> Tom Ring wrote:
>
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> That last statement is a fallacy. The digital modes are wiped out by
>>> conditions that will still permit CW to be used. Even SSB can sometimes
>>> be used when conditions wipe out the digital. I have repeatedly said
>>> and now I
>>
>> <snip>
>>
>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>
>>
>> Wrong. There are digital modes that handle every distortion type that
>> exists. You just have to pick the correct one.
>>
>> tom
>> K0TAR
>
> And one of the simplest ones that works pretty much every time CW does is
> Hellschreiber.
>
> tom
> K0TAR

"Pretty much" is not the same as "always". You are hedging your statement.
Either it does or it doesn't.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4493. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-11 07:58:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <gn5ag2dim6j944ckimm6n2lbiboa3b0udc@4ax.com>
Source record: 6173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 22:00:52 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:w12Ng.81$e66.14@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> The digital modes are wiped out by conditions that will still permit CW
>>> to be used.
>>
>> CW is wiped out by conditions that will still permit
>> PACTOR II to be used, e.g. I cannot hear any signals
>> at all on an apparently dead band and a friend in
>> Germany pops up on the screen.
>> --
>> 73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com
>
>Everyone keeps ignoring that I maintain that: EVERY MODE HAS ITS ADVANTAGES
>AND DISADVANTAGES. I keep repeating this but no one cares to acknowledge
>such a plain and simple fact.
lying bitch I certainly adknowledge that all modes have advatages and
drawbacks

that said why does Morse Coded CW alone requiresspecail tests?

>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
Warning: Do not use Ultimate-Anonymity
They are worthless spammers that are running a scam.

4494. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-11 08:08:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <k96ag2hqsdqeqa97b8cvknn22q6nspm9e3@4ax.com>
Source record: 6174
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 10 Sep 2006 18:48:17 -0700, captain_veritas@hotmail.com wrote:

>kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com wrote:
>> and makes the ARS look realy stpupid to most outsiders
>
>Not nearly as stupid as most of the postings of the Inferior Five on
>rrap.
nothing ends the interest of people faster than the ideaof a Morse
tests even those interested in the mode tend to be turned off by the
test
>
>You know who I'm referring to.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
Warning: Do not use Ultimate-Anonymity
They are worthless spammers that are running a scam.

4495. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-11 09:42:32 UTC
From: Toto the Dog <carsss1234567@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1157967752.684550.299400@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6175
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

Each tower had 40 huge steel columns running up the centre. If the booms you can hear during the collapse were the floors hitting each other and not demolition charges why were these steel columns not left standing but found at the debris pile in uniform 30 foot lengths? Why weren't those columns standing after the floors had suposedly 'slid down' around them?

Here's one of the columns:
http://img225.imageshack.us/img225/2403/meltedcolumnfy6.jpg

p.s. take rec.aviation out of your replies - they're only interested in the plane part.

4496. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-11 11:40:27 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <LWbNg.192$vJ2.8@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 6176
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Dee Flint wrote:
> I repeat: EVERY MODE HAS ITS ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES. To deny that is
> foolish.

Every brand of vehicle has its advantages and disadvantages
but I don't see the government forcing anyone to buy a
Chevrolet. What is wrong with a free market of modes?
Nobody is forcing anyone not to use CW.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

4497. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-11 12:03:20 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0003@taring.org>
Message-ID: <45055089$0$1008$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 6177
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Dee Flint wrote:

>
> Name them. I've not found any one mode that can handle all conditions of
> distortion. However, I have found from time to time that distortion can be
> severe enough at times to wipe out all digital modes even if you try to
> switch from mode to mode. Occasionally it can wipe out CW.
>
> I repeat: EVERY MODE HAS ITS ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES. To deny that is
> foolish.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

I didn't mean to imply that there is a single mode that will handle all
types of distortion, just that there are specific modes available that
handle each type. And somethimes absolutely no digital mode or CW can
get through, but that circumstance happens less often as time goes on,
the exception being CW's cacpbilities aren't changing.

Your shouted statement is correct.

tom
K0TAR

4498. Re: RIP-OFF ALERT...K7VO/K9NI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-11 15:35:18 UTC
From: daddyforman@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1157988918.790528.132120@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6178

Caitlyn Martin (K7VO/K9NI) was served a warrant and arrested at her
home in WI on
Monday September 11th, 2006.

Steve בתכ:
> http://chat.qth.com/viewtopic.php?t=2580&sid=776c9eb40b1a40d1904cb542f93b852b

4499. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?Q

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-11 16:44:49 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <16RHGBUP38971.5311226852@anonymous>
Source record: 6179
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Dave Said:

>Amateur Radio is a SERVICE!!! If you only think of it as a hobby your thinking
>is flawed.

Hi Dave,

I've seen you refer to service vs hobby a number of times in this thread. As a noob here, could you explain
this? What do you mean by 'service'? Getting on, talking to others...communicating with others seems more of
a hobby to me.

Can you explain the service aspects of ham radio that you are referring to....can you define for me 'service'
and why amateur radio is a service to you rather than a hobby?

Thanks in advance,

noonespecial

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

4500. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?Q

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-11 17:12:21 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <VNgNg.247$vJ2.36@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 6180
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Anonymous wrote:
> Can you explain the service aspects of ham radio ...

From Webster's: "service - an administrative division
of government" In the USA, that means a service TO
the citizens BY the government. The service comes
from the government, not from the citizens.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

4501. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-11 17:30:39 UTC
From: Denny <k8do@mailblocks.com>
Message-ID: <1157995839.116120.26470@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6181
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

The Morse test neither helps nor hinders... It is simply another
barrier to be overcome by the motivated... If it weren't for such
barriers we would all have certificates as neurosurgeons...
If the feds want to drop CW, fine by me... If you want to discuss it
look for me on the bottom end of 160 and 80...

denny / k8do

4502. Re: 1st Responder Wireless News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-11 17:31:29 UTC
From: Scott <scanman@scanland.oirg>
Message-ID: <Xns983B899972E3Fscanmanscanlandorg@208.49.80.253>
Source record: 6182

What a rip-off. Their prices are outrageous!

Why not stick with the local groups and get your money's worth.

"nyscan@gmail.com" <nyscan@gmail.com> wrote in
news:1157753705.325677.307230@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

> 1st Responder Wireless News (1RWN), already very active, and
recognized
> by most media outlets and Public Safety Officials as the "Industry
> Leader" in most of the East Coast States, is actively looking for News
> Correspondents to become active as Incident Dispatchers for your area.
>
> 1st Responder Wireless News, a subsidiary company of 1st Responder
> News, is now consider the leader in the emergency notification
> industry, sending incident alerts to pagers, cell phones and e-mails.
>
> We are now active in AL, AZ, CA, CO, CT, DC, DE, FL, GA, HI, IL, IN,
> KY, LA, MA, MD, ME, MO, MS, NC, NH, NJ, NM, NV, NY, OH, OR, PA, RI,
SC,
> TN, TX, VA, VI, VT, WA, WV and Canada. 1RWN has already acquired many
> well known Paging Notification Services including TAC-9 Paging, East
> Coast Paging, Ohio Fire News, Maryland Fire Network, Virginia Fire
Net,
> Toronto Fire Net, Metro Fire Paging and the National Incident News
> Network (NINN), with several additional under negotiations. This is a
> very exciting time to become involved.
>
> 1RWN sends instant notifications for any newsworthy Police, Fire,
> Rescue, Traffic, Weather and Breaking News event within our coverage
> areas, to pagers, e-mail, cell phones, PDAs and to our online
streaming
> tickers. The vast majority of our Dispatch Staff is comprised of
> Volunteer and Career Firefighters, Police/Sheriff Officers, Haz-Mat &
> Rescue Technicians, 911/ALS/Medevac Dispatchers, ALS, BLS & OEM
> Personnel and Skywarn Spotters, on local, county, state and federal
> levels.
>
> 1RWN is in the final stages of development of our Dispatcher Rewards
> Program which will allow our Dispatchers to log into an online store
> and buy products ranging from cameras to antenna to two-way radios
> using points they've earned by being active on our system! EVERY
> Dispatcher on our system will now reap rewards for their hard work,
> dedication and loyalty!
>

> 1RWN has also recently gone live with the industry's MOST
COMPREHENSIVE
> Customer Interface selection process which allows our Subscribers to
> select EXACTLY what information they want to receive, from what areas
> and to what devices. In other words, you can select to receive JUST
> building collapse incidents in Yonkers to be sent to your cell phone,
> with bridge closures in Philadelphia being sent to your pager. You
are
> no longer restricted to receiving ALL alerts from a region, now YOU
> decide what you receive from the average 16,000 monthly alerts sent
> from any of the areas we cover - 37 States, Washington DC, or Canada!
> EVERY active 1RWN Dispatcher qualifies for complimentary service!
>
> If you are interested, or would like more information, please check
out
> the website at www.1RWN.com and/or e-mail Scott@1RWN.com.
>
>
>
> Why settle for the rest...when you can be with the best?
>
>

4503. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?Q

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-11 19:22:49 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1158002569.614393.140140@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6183
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Cecil Moore wrote:
> Anonymous wrote:
> > Can you explain the service aspects of ham radio ...
>
> From Webster's: "service - an administrative division
> of government" In the USA, that means a service TO
> the citizens BY the government. The service comes
> from the government, not from the citizens.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

All throughout Title 47 Code of Federal Regulations, the word
"service" is used as the type and kind of radio being regulated.
It is a regulatory term in that context. One can write the FCC
and get that information.

All too often, amateurs who think too much of themselves try to
use "service" as equivalent to some (undefined) "national service"
such as being a part of the military or a government position. :-)

Part 95 describes Citizens Band Radio SERVICE and the Radio
Control Radio SERVICE. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4504. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-11 19:41:01 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1158003661.363983.85700@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6184
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Cecil Moore wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > I support licensing for life.
>
> Do you have something against dead people?
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

You have a ghoul friend, Cecil? :-)

4505. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?Q

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-11 20:52:26 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <HJidnfMMd_MVUZjYnZ2dnUVZ_qCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 6185
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Forgive the top posting

I was requested to define "Amateur Service" ... what service?

"47 CFR 97.3 Definitions:

(a) Definitions of terms used in Part 97 are:

(1) Amateur operator ... redacted
(2) Amateur radio Services ... redacted
(3) Amateur Satellite Service ... redacted
(4) Amateur Service. A radio communication for the purpose of self training, intercommunication and technical investigations carries out by amateurs, that is, duly authorized persons interested in the radio technique solely with a personal aim and without pecuniary interest."

Definitions [a][5] through [a][46] and section [b] in it's entirety have been excluded.

There are three elements of the amateur service: 1) 'self training' in any and all aspects of electro-magnetic radio telecommunication [notice this is listed first]; 2) 'intercommunication' includes talking, satellite techniques, television techniques [yes, amateurs can design and operate wideband tv], microwave techniques, digital techniques, spread spectrum techniques, CW, AM, SSB, FM, etc.; 3) 'technical investigations' include propagation studies at HF, VHF, UHF and microwave, EME activities, antenna developments, new modulation modes [particularly in digital communications], error correction techniques in digital communication, bandwidth compression techniques, and any number of Physics and Electronic areas of investigation.

Amateur [non financially compensated] radio is much more than buying a box and using it to just talk with someone. IMO, it is a service wherein the amateur, a duly authorized person, is committed to self training [education] in some components of the electromagnetic radio communication arts and sciences.

"Duly Authorized" requires authorization by competent authority after a demonstration of some related skill or knowledge by the person seeking to be 'duly authorized'. If a demonstrable skill level is NOT required then "Duly Authorized' does not make sense.

If you just want to talk, the 11 meter band or the FRS will meet your needs.

/s/ DD [AKA Dave]

Anonymous wrote:
> Dave Said:
>

>
>>Amateur Radio is a SERVICE!!! If you only think of it as a hobby your thinking
>>is flawed.
>
>
> Hi Dave,
>
> I've seen you refer to service vs hobby a number of times in this thread. As a noob here, could you explain
> this? What do you mean by 'service'? Getting on, talking to others...communicating with others seems more of
> a hobby to me.
>
> Can you explain the service aspects of ham radio that you are referring to....can you define for me 'service'
> and why amateur radio is a service to you rather than a hobby?
>

4506. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-11 22:55:28 UTC
From: Mike Painter <mddotpainter@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <APINg.620$IA.247@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 6186
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

Toto the Dog wrote:
> Each tower had 40 huge steel columns running up the centre. If the
> booms you can hear during the collapse were the floors hitting each
> other and not demolition charges why were these steel columns not left
> standing but found at the debris pile in uniform 30 foot lengths? Why
> weren't those columns standing after the floors had suposedly 'slid
> down' around them?

>
> Here's one of the columns:
> http://img225.imageshack.us/img225/2403/meltedcolumnfy6.jpg

That's a picture of something but please give us the pictures and
information on
a "debris pile in uniform 30 foot lengths"

As for the "huge steel columns"
"The unique structure of the WTC towers exaggerated the problems caused by
the weakened steel. The towers had a lightweight "perimeter tube" design
consisting of 244 exterior columns of 36 cm square steel box section on 100
cm centers, with 95% of the structure's interior consisting of nothing but
air Within this perimeter tube design there was a 27m by 40m core,
designed to provide additional support to the tower."

I don't consider 36 cm (less than 15 inches) huge in that context.

4507. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-12 00:13:01 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <hYmNg.68$UG4.55@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6187
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Allan9" <exatc@neo1.rr.com> wrote in
news:4504a838$0$6939$4c368faf@roadrunner.com:

> Off the wall comment.
> I learned code in 1956 and haven't had to use it yet.
> Al
>

You probably don't remember your callsign either, or do you prefer using a CB
handle?

SC

4508. Re: Problems with Cobra 148GTL CB radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-12 00:13:06 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <mYmNg.72$UG4.57@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6188
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

david@drumheller.org wrote in
news:1157936937.460480.54160@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:

> Ok--I solved the problem with the radio!
>
> Yes, it had been modified. Someone grounded pin 10 of the PPL chip and
> fiddled with the VCO for above-band operation. I removed the jumper on
> pin 10 and then used a frequency counter to realign the VCO. Also, the
> output power was a bit high, so I adjusted the modulation circuit to
> make it operate at the legal limits for AM and SSB.
>
> Thanks for the advice. It was helpful.
>
> -Dave

You're welcome, My pleasure.

There's nothing like the sound of a good loud fart or belch on CB
channel 19 to get the conversations going especially when they're
broadcast over-modulated with echo and punctuated with a roger beep.

SC

4509. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-12 00:28:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <fovbg25itvvs3nfkaugalp42dsgnq2ji94@4ax.com>
Source record: 6189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 00:13:01 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Allan9" <exatc@neo1.rr.com> wrote in
>news:4504a838$0$6939$4c368faf@roadrunner.com:
>
>> Off the wall comment.
>> I learned code in 1956 and haven't had to use it yet.
>> Al
>>
>
>You probably don't remember your callsign either, or do you prefer using a CB
>handle?
you use your handle code not your name or call
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
Warning: Do not use Ultimate-Anonymity
They are worthless spammers that are running a scam.

4510. Re: Problems with Cobra 148GTL CB radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-12 01:42:03 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <LfoNg.110998$5i3.64027@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 6190
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> david@drumheller.org wrote in
> news:1157936937.460480.54160@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>
>> Ok--I solved the problem with the radio!
>>
>> Yes, it had been modified. Someone grounded pin 10 of the PPL chip and
>> fiddled with the VCO for above-band operation. I removed the jumper on
>> pin 10 and then used a frequency counter to realign the VCO. Also, the
>> output power was a bit high, so I adjusted the modulation circuit to
>> make it operate at the legal limits for AM and SSB.
>>

That would make you virtually unique on the Citizen's Band.

One of the reasons for the desirability of the classic 148GTL
Sideband was the ability to crank up the output power and modulation
depth by simply opening up a couple of pots.

Though this was not the official position of Cobra, I remember
sitting in the service department when one of the techs told caller
after caller how to make this adjustment.

Many that left the building for the personal use of staff had also
been so adjusted.

Then again, the chief engineer had a Galaxy (complete with roger beep
and reverb) with about 100 watts connected to a yagi on the roof at
Cortland St, so liberties with the regs were not uncommon at Cobra.

Later models have been revised so such power adjustments were not
simple to make.

The above band operation is new to me. Although I did work with
someone, some years ago, who had modified an old Midland to work above
the band, fiercely arguing her right to do so.

There was a crackdown in St Louis that year, and it was sternly
explained unto her that she had been misinformed.

4511. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-12 02:13:09 UTC
From: kd5sak <kd5sak@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <VIoNg.684$IA.216@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 6192
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:hYmNg.68$UG4.55@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "Allan9" <exatc@neo1.rr.com> wrote in
> news:4504a838$0$6939$4c368faf@roadrunner.com:
>
>> Off the wall comment.
>> I learned code in 1956 and haven't had to use it yet.
>> Al
>>
>
> You probably don't remember your callsign either, or do you prefer using a
> CB
> handle?
>
> SC

I learned sufficient code to gain my upgrade to General in 2005 and passed the written test the same evening. I have no interest in using code further. I may change my mind on that later, but given my advanced years, the point may be moot. My primary interest as a Ham is in fiddling with antennas, only one of the 11 antennas scattered about my 7 acres was purchased. There are 5 at my detached shop/shack and 6 more back at the house to use when I desire air conditioning while pursuing my hobby. Three of these are indoor dipoles for use when bad weather threatens, as it often does here in southern Oklahoma. I hope all you other gentlemen continue to enjoy the access to the Amateur Bands allowed by what ever license class you possess.

Harold
KD5SAK

4512. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-12 02:13:13 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <YfydneXDxPUiipvYnZ2dnUVZ_oSdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 6191
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:LWbNg.192$vJ2.8@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> I repeat: EVERY MODE HAS ITS ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES. To deny that
>> is foolish.
>
> Every brand of vehicle has its advantages and disadvantages
> but I don't see the government forcing anyone to buy a
> Chevrolet. What is wrong with a free market of modes?
> Nobody is forcing anyone not to use CW.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

That analogy doesn't work at all.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4513. Re: Problems with Cobra 148GTL CB radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-12 02:16:39 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <716cg2t6jbvqlugccaca8ta26rtajde99j@4ax.com>
Source record: 6193
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 01:42:03 GMT, D Peter Maus
<DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Slow Code wrote:
>> david@drumheller.org wrote in
>> news:1157936937.460480.54160@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>> Ok--I solved the problem with the radio!
>>>
>>> Yes, it had been modified. Someone grounded pin 10 of the PPL chip and
>>> fiddled with the VCO for above-band operation. I removed the jumper on
>>> pin 10 and then used a frequency counter to realign the VCO. Also, the
>>> output power was a bit high, so I adjusted the modulation circuit to
>>> make it operate at the legal limits for AM and SSB.
>>>
>>
> That would make you virtually unique on the Citizen's Band.
and no call to go the charges of course but most CBer like mst hms
operate legaly (as as legaly as the Inosphere allows I admit to
breaking the 150 mile rule without intending to at the hight of the
last Sunspot cycle)
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
Warning: Do not use Ultimate-Anonymity
They are worthless spammers that are running a scam.

4514. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-12 02:22:25 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1158027745.585642.204230@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6194
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:LWbNg.192$vJ2.8@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> I repeat: EVERY MODE HAS ITS ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES. To deny that
> >> is foolish.
> >
> > Every brand of vehicle has its advantages and disadvantages
> > but I don't see the government forcing anyone to buy a
> > Chevrolet. What is wrong with a free market of modes?
> > Nobody is forcing anyone not to use CW.
> > --
> > 73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com
>
> That analogy doesn't work at all.

why not?
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4515. parts for PRO51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-12 03:37:27 UTC
From: albertto@sprynet.com
Message-ID: <1158032247.907451.246970@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6195

Does anyone have a back battery cover for this scanner. I would buy a whole scanner for parts or if you have an idea.

4516. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-12 03:44:07 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0003@taring.org>
Message-ID: <45062d08$0$1013$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 6196
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Dee Flint wrote:

> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:LWbNg.192$vJ2.8@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
>
>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>>>I repeat: EVERY MODE HAS ITS ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES. To deny that
>>>is foolish.
>>
>>Every brand of vehicle has its advantages and disadvantages
>>but I don't see the government forcing anyone to buy a
>>Chevrolet. What is wrong with a free market of modes?
>>Nobody is forcing anyone not to use CW.
>>--
>>73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com
>
>
> That analogy doesn't work at all.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

Why not? Picking what you want to use isn't okay? You don't want
alternatives to CW. Or alternatives to digital? Or , for that matter,
alternatives to voice modes.

So for you choice is bad?

tom
K0TAR

4517. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-12 10:03:57 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <hCvNg.361$e66.142@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 6197
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> Every brand of vehicle has its advantages and disadvantages
>> but I don't see the government forcing anyone to buy a
>> Chevrolet. What is wrong with a free market of modes?
>> Nobody is forcing anyone not to use CW.
>
> That analogy doesn't work at all.

I should have said "4WD" instead of "Chevrolet".
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

4518. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-12 10:37:06 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <jeGdnUZn4INEEJvYnZ2dnUVZ_oadnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 6198
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Tom Ring" <news0003@taring.org> wrote in message
news:45062d08$0$1013$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:LWbNg.192$vJ2.8@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
>>
>>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>>
>>>>I repeat: EVERY MODE HAS ITS ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES. To deny
>>>>that is foolish.
>>>
>>>Every brand of vehicle has its advantages and disadvantages
>>>but I don't see the government forcing anyone to buy a
>>>Chevrolet. What is wrong with a free market of modes?
>>>Nobody is forcing anyone not to use CW.
>>>--
>>>73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com
>>
>>
>> That analogy doesn't work at all.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> Why not? Picking what you want to use isn't okay? You don't want
> alternatives to CW. Or alternatives to digital? Or , for that matter,
> alternatives to voice modes.
>
> So for you choice is bad?
>
> tom
> K0TAR

Different brands of cars are not dissimilar enough to compare to different types of modes so the analogy doesn't work. The different brands of cars (Chevy, Ford, Chrysler, etc) are more like comparing a Bencher paddle to an MFJ paddle to a Kent paddle, etc. If you use CW, you pick your own type of paddle. If you drive, you pick your own type of car.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4519. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-12 10:38:45 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <oY6dnR90S9ChE5vYnZ2dnUVZ_vidnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 6199
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:hCvNg.361$e66.142@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> Every brand of vehicle has its advantages and disadvantages
>>> but I don't see the government forcing anyone to buy a
>>> Chevrolet. What is wrong with a free market of modes?
>>> Nobody is forcing anyone not to use CW.
>>
>> That analogy doesn't work at all.
>
> I should have said "4WD" instead of "Chevrolet".
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

That doesn't work either. That comparison would be like comparing a
straight key to an electronic keyer.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4520. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-12 11:37:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1158061030.941122.115980@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6200
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

funkbastler wrote:
> On Sat, 09 Sep 2006 22:19:21 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >There is no arguing that. KB9RQZ is living proof.
> >
>
> Well, I don't know KB9RQZ - but I'll say thay he's entitled to have
> and express his opinions, same as you and I, whether you or I agree
> with him or not.
>
> Y'all try to stay away from the ad hominem stuff now - it never convinces
> anybody of anything. Just pisses 'em off.

There's nothing "ad hominem" about comments directed towards
Morkie the Moron, KB9RQZ. His CV of idiocity is volumous.

Steve, K4YZ

4521. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-12 13:23:23 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <fxyNg.617$GR.433@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 6051
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> I should have said "4WD" instead of "Chevrolet".
>
> That doesn't work either. That comparison would be like comparing a
> straight key to an electronic keyer.

It works perfectly. A 4WD could save your life when
it would be lost in a 2WD. So why shouldn't the
government mandate ownership of 4WD's only?
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

4522. Splitting the signal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-12 15:56:11 UTC
From: Mike <meb58@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <84mdg2t04u0qdacr6j43ac6r4n11aukm4o@4ax.com>
Source record: 6052

Hi....Im new to ham Radio and have an all-band discone on my roof that I use for my scanner. I also will use this antenna to transmit with my ham radio. So far, it works OK for the transmissions.
Lead in line is 50ft of LMR-400 with 'N' connectors. My question is, Can I split the line for 2 locations? I like to listen to my scanner in my back yard and transmit with the Radio but I also would like to have a line indoors when the weather isnt great. Can I add a splitter and run a new line indoors ?
Or, should I have a dedicated line to run inside? Thank You for any responses....Mike

4523. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-12 16:06:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <pmmdg218tjgjg4csfinn3qr9id3t3pudkv@4ax.com>
Source record: 6053
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 12 Sep 2006 04:37:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com> wrote:

>
>funkbastler wrote:
>> On Sat, 09 Sep 2006 22:19:21 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >
>> >There is no arguing that. KB9RQZ is living proof.
>> >
>>
>> Well, I don't know KB9RQZ - but I'll say thay he's entitled to have
>> and express his opinions, same as you and I, whether you or I agree
>> with him or not.
>>
>> Y'all try to stay away from the ad hominem stuff now - it never convinces
>> anybody of anything. Just pisses 'em off.
>
> There's nothing "ad hominem" about comments directed towards
>Morkie the Moron, KB9RQZ. His CV of idiocity is volumous.
steve that is the very diffnition of an adhominem attack right there
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4524. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-12 19:07:49 UTC
From: You <You@shadow.orgs>
Message-ID: <You-A3BBFA.11084812092006@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 6054
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

In article <APlNg.620$IA.247@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>,
"Mike Painter" <mddotpainter@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

> Toto the Dog wrote:
> > Each tower had 40 huge steel columns running up the centre. If the
> > booms you can hear during the collapse were the floors hitting each
> > other and not demolition charges why were these steel columns not left
> > standing but found at the debris pile in uniform 30 foot lengths? Why
> > weren't those columns standing after the floors had suposedly 'slid
> > down' around them?
>
> >
> > Here's one of the columns:
> > http://img225.imageshack.us/img225/2403/meltedcolumnfy6.jpg
>
> That's a picture of something but please give us the pictures and
> information on
> a "debris pile in uniform 30 foot lengths"
>
> As for the "huge steel columns"
> "The unique structure of the WTC towers exaggerated the problems caused by
> the weakened steel. The towers had a lightweight "perimeter tube" design
> consisting of 244 exterior columns of 36 cm square steel box section on 100
> cm centers, with 95% of the structure's interior consisting of nothing but
> air Within this perimeter tube design there was a 27m by 40m core,
> designed to provide additional support to the tower."
>
> I don't consider 36 cm (less than 15 inches) huge in that context.
>
>
>

Maaybe it never occured to this Dufus, that these columns were built in
30Ft lengths and bolted together, or welded, as the building was
erected. Since these columns were all designed to be in "Compression",
when the lateral failure of the Exterior Tube happened, they would
naturally come out of compression, and break at their 30 Ft original
lengths. Duhh, this is first Year Structural Engineer stuff, but I guess
it would be beyound, the comprehension of the above, GED Dufus.......

4525. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-12 20:07:45 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <4507138b$0$6848$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 6055
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

"You" <You@shadow.orgs> wrote in message
news:You-A3BBFA.11084812092006@netnews.worldnet.att.net...
> In article <APlNg.620$IA.247@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>,
> "Mike Painter" <mddotpainter@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>
>> Toto the Dog wrote:
>> > Each tower had 40 huge steel columns running up the centre. If the
>> > booms you can hear during the collapse were the floors hitting each
>> > other and not demolition charges why were these steel columns not left
>> > standing but found at the debris pile in uniform 30 foot lengths? Why
>> > weren't those columns standing after the floors had suposedly 'slid
>> > down' around them?
>>
>> >
>> > Here's one of the columns:
>> > http://img225.imageshack.us/img225/2403/meltedcolumnfy6.jpg
>>
>> That's a picture of something but please give us the pictures and
>> information on
>> a "debris pile in uniform 30 foot lengths"
>>
>> As for the "huge steel columns"
>> "The unique structure of the WTC towers exaggerated the problems caused
>> by
>> the weakened steel. The towers had a lightweight "perimeter tube" design
>> consisting of 244 exterior columns of 36 cm square steel box section on
>> 100
>> cm centers, with 95% of the structure's interior consisting of nothing
>> but
>> air Within this perimeter tube design there was a 27m by 40m core,
>> designed to provide additional support to the tower."
>>
>> I don't consider 36 cm (less than 15 inches) huge in that context.
>>
>>
>>
>
> Maaybe it never occured to this Dufus, that these columns were built in
> 30Ft lengths and bolted together, or welded, as the building was
> erected. Since these columns were all designed to be in "Compression",
> when the lateral failure of the Exterior Tube happened, they would
> naturally come out of compression, and break at their 30 Ft original

> lengths. Duhh, this is first Year Structural Engineer stuff, but I guess
> it would be beyound, the comprehension of the above, GED Dufus.......

It doesn't matter to them - all they want to do is ignore the obvious and try to stir up shit. What they fail to see is when they ignore the facts - it makes them look like the assholes they are.

Personally, I hate seeing all this 9/11 stuff being restirred. Not because of disrespect - but because it makes me want to go out and destroy all those rag heads for causing the deaths of so many innocent people. IMHO - we're treating them with kid gloves, even those who now reside in this country "supposedly" legally. I don't trust a one of them. WAR IS WAR. They didn't give a shit about who they hurt or killed - why the hell should "I" care if they all die? I DON'T. Their so called religion is about peace..... ROFLMAO..... PEACE MY ASS..... Its their way or no way. FUCK THEM ALL - AND ANYONE who wants to side with them even if making conspiracy twists to try to say those ragheads had nothing to do with it.

L.

4526. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-12 20:55:54 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <d1b9$45071ee1$471d249f$29335@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 6056
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

It's Not a Code, no code problem, it's a generational problem!

In the past week, the articles that seemed to get the most play on ham sites were the Southern Border Volunteers and the lack of brotherhood in ham radio.

I was amazed at the responses that were both negative and critical of supporting a group that wanted to protect our borders. I suspect some passengers were probably tsk tsking the only guy in four airplanes with the guts to do something to stop the rag heads on 9/11. How else can you explain rag heads armed ONLY with box cutters were able to control three airplanes? Many of the younger generation find it easier to criticize than take action.

Ham radio went to hell when Dick Bash got involved with his published "cheat sheets".

This piece is from the prospective of a ham with over 50 years spewing RF throughout the world. At 68 years of age, I look back at my start in ham radio, appearing before a steely eyed FCC examiner in Norfolk Virginia. I missed the distance for a Conditional license by about 11 miles. I had to walk between school and home in the snow, uphill both ways.

Do I think that everyone should have to do what I did to become a ham? Nope, I can live with the memorized exams, the no code, etc. Like the parable of the workers in the vineyard, I accept the rules have changed. At no place in the parable does it tell the late arriving workers to ridicule those that worked all day.

Just as sure as these words are on your screen, you too will someday be an old fart just like me. As you enter the dotage of your life, health concerns and the condition of your aged friends, becomes more of a concern. We, like you, used to talk about more contemporary subjects. Unlike a number of you, we allowed the older folks to have their conversations without ridicule. It is called respect, and is a two way street. Realize that when I was a newby, I suffered the same as you at the hands of the old farts. They believed that for you to enter their group, you should first show respect and learn to snatch the pebble from their hand.

None of you started in your working life as the CEO of the company, yet many of today's generation feel that a newly minted license conveys total knowledge. What you have is a license to learn, take advantage of it and the brotherhood will naturally follow.

If we all took the time to show each other respect, and be less quick to judge, not only would ham radio be better, but our lives as well.

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1157691004.933277.175940@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> Newsflash: ALL radio operators, code or no-code, are "appliance
>> operators" as you colloquially state. A radio is a means to
>> communicate. Knowing code just adds another way to use that radio.
>
> Quite true, Opus! Thank you for pointing that out.
>
> Let us hope that Blowcode sticks to his ARRL "Archie" comic
> books while he mimes the "Wizard of Oz's" scarecrow wishing
> he had a brain. :-(
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

4527. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-12 22:26:11 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Md6dnYg2lruQqZrYnZ2dnUVZ_uqdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 6057
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:fxyNg.617$GR.433@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> I should have said "4WD" instead of "Chevrolet".
>>
>> That doesn't work either. That comparison would be like comparing a
>> straight key to an electronic keyer.
>
> It works perfectly. A 4WD could save your life when
> it would be lost in a 2WD. So why shouldn't the
> government mandate ownership of 4WD's only?
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

Still doesn't work since the FCC doesn't mandate use of CW.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4528. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-12 22:32:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <0adeg29lkr3b32m651nicgl1fjd3aki9b6@4ax.com>
Source record: 6058
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 18:26:11 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:fxyNg.617$GR.433@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> I should have said "4WD" instead of "Chevrolet".
>>>
>>> That doesn't work either. That comparison would be like comparing a
>>> straight key to an electronic keyer.
>>
>> It works perfectly. A 4WD could save your life when
>> it would be lost in a 2WD. So why shouldn't the
>> government mandate ownership of 4WD's only?
>> --
>> 73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com
>
>Still doesn't work since the FCC doesn't mandate use of CW.
>
you got that wonrg then

the RS licensing doesnot work becuase the FCC manadtes Code testing
but not code use
>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4529. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-12 23:47:04 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <YFHNg.624$e66.44@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 6059
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Dee Flint wrote:
> Still doesn't work since the FCC doesn't mandate use of CW.

But it does mandate ownership of CW. Think about it.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

4530. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-12 23:51:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <rvheg254i5n69ci5mmk3rm9d21eaqnteks@4ax.com>
Source record: 6060
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 23:47:04 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Dee Flint wrote:
>> Still doesn't work since the FCC doesn't mandate use of CW.
>
>But it does mandate ownership of CW. Think about it.
I am not sure she can think once it comes to CW
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4531. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-12 23:53:22 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1158105202.790409.228060@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6061
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Fred Hambrecht wrote:
> It's Not a Code, no code problem, it's a generational problem!

> In the past week, the articles that seemed to get the most play on ham sites
> were the Southern Border Volunteers and the lack of brotherhood in ham
> radio.

> I was amazed at the responses that were both negative and critical of
> supporting a group that wanted to protect our borders. I suspect some
> passengers were probably tsk tsking the only guy in four airplanes with the
> guts to do something to stop the rag heads on 9/11.

The hijackers on Flight 93 were stopped by more than one guy. And the
people on the other planes did not know the hijackers were on a suicide
mission.

> How else can you explain
> rag heads armed ONLY with box cutters were able to control three airplanes?

Simple:

- The hijackers killed one or two people who tried to resist.
- Then they said they had a bomb aboard.
- They also said that if the passengers did as they were told, nobody
else would get hurt.

You have to remember that before that terrible morning 5 years ago,
suicide-hijacking was unknown. Hijackers took over planes to get
ransom, or to get transportation somewhere, or for political reasons.
They always had demands and always promised that if their demands were
met, nobody would get hurt or killed. And the conventional way of
dealing with them was to do what they wanted, get the plane on the
ground safely, negotiate, and then go after them on the ground.

All that changed on Sept 11, 2001. Look what happened when that
shoe-bomb guy tried it.

The folks on Flight 93 had two things the people on the other flights
did not:

1) They *knew* the rules had changed - they knew what the hijackers
were going to do.

2) They had time to formulate a plan and time to implement it.

> Many of the younger generation find it easier to criticize than take action.

Just like their predecessors.
>
> Ham radio went to hell when Dick Bash got involved with his published "cheat
> sheets".

Well, I don't know if it "went to hell" but I agree 100% that Bash's
"cheat sheets" were a very bad thing for amateur radio.

Remember, though, that it was the top folks at FCC who decided not to
prosecute Bash. Lower-level FCC officials had evidence against him, and
wanted to go after him with criminal charges - but the leadership said
no. Those FCC folks who decided to let Bash get away with his "cheat
sheets" almost 30 years ago were not young people then.
>
> This piece is from the prospective of a ham with over 50 years spewing RF
> throughout the world. At 68 years of age, I look back at my start in ham
> radio, appearing before a steely eyed FCC examiner in Norfolk Virginia. I
> missed the distance for a Conditional license by about 11 miles. I had to
> walk between school and home in the snow, uphill both ways.

HAW! That's a good one!

> Do I think that everyone should have to do what I did to become a ham?
> Nope, I can live with the memorized exams, the no code, etc. Like the
> parable of the workers in the vineyard, I accept the rules have changed. At
> no place in the parable does it tell the late arriving workers to ridicule
> those that worked all day.

But is it fair that someone who works an hour should get the same pay
as someone who works ten hours doing the same thing?
>
> Just as sure as these words are on your screen, you too will someday be an
> old fart just like me. As you enter the dotage of your life, health concerns
> and the condition of your aged friends, becomes more of a concern. We, like
> you, used to talk about more contemporary subjects. Unlike a number of you,
> we allowed the older folks to have their conversations without ridicule. It
> is called respect, and is a two way street. Realize that when I was a newby,
> I suffered the same as you at the hands of the old farts. They believed that
> for you to enter their group, you should first show respect and learn to
> snatch the pebble from their hand.

Well, I'm 52, and next month I will have 39 years as a radio amateur.

> None of you started in your working life as the CEO of the company, yet many
> of today's generation feel that a newly minted license conveys total
> knowledge. What you have is a license to learn, take advantage of it and the
> brotherhood will naturally follow.

> If we all took the time to show each other respect, and be less quick to
> judge, not only would ham radio be better, but our lives as well.
>
That I can agree with!

73 de Jim, N2EY

Not an appliance operator.

4532. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-12 23:55:25 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <NNHNg.631$e66.467@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 6062
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
Cecil Moore wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> Still doesn't work since the FCC doesn't mandate use of CW.
>
> But it does mandate ownership of CW. Think about it.

Should have been: But it does mandate ownership of
a CW modem.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com
```

4533. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-13 00:27:14 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <DY2dnVzLLKL7zZrYnZ2dnUVZ_qOdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 6063
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:YFHNg.624$e66.44@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> Still doesn't work since the FCC doesn't mandate use of CW.
>
> But it does mandate ownership of CW. Think about it.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

Not if you're not into amateur radio.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4534. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-13 00:51:49 UTC
From: Tom Ring <news0003@taring.org>
Message-ID: <45075626$0$1005$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 6064
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Dee Flint wrote:

> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:YFHNg.624$e66.44@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>>>Still doesn't work since the FCC doesn't mandate use of CW.
>>
>>But it does mandate ownership of CW. Think about it.
>>--
>>73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com
>
>
> Not if you're not into amateur radio.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

Dee

Keep beating that horse. It may be dead, but I'm sure that with your
enthusiastic efforts it will be on its feet in no time.

tom
K0TAR

4535. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-13 01:46:29 UTC
From: Mike Painter <mddotpainter@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <VpJNg.682$GR.476@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 6065
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

```
L. wrote:
> "You" <You@shadow.orgs> wrote in message
> news:You-A3BBFA.11084812092006@netnews.worldnet.att.net...
>> In article <APlNg.620$IA.247@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>,
>> "Mike Painter" <mddotpainter@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>>
>>> Toto the Dog wrote:
>>>> Each tower had 40 huge steel columns running up the centre. If the
>>>> booms you can hear during the collapse were the floors hitting each
>>>> other and not demolition charges why were these steel columns not
>>>> left standing but found at the debris pile in uniform 30 foot
>>>> lengths? Why weren't those columns standing after the floors had
>>>> suposedly 'slid down' around them?
>>>
>>>>
>>>> Here's one of the columns:
>>>> http://img225.imageshack.us/img225/2403/meltedcolumnfy6.jpg
>>>
>>> That's a picture of something but please give us the pictures and
>>> information on
>>> a "debris pile in uniform 30 foot lengths"
>>>
>>> As for the "huge steel columns"
>>> "The unique structure of the WTC towers exaggerated the problems
>>> caused by
>>> the weakened steel. The towers had a lightweight "perimeter tube"
>>> design consisting of 244 exterior columns of 36 cm square steel box
>>> section on 100
>>> cm centers, with 95% of the structure's interior consisting of
>>> nothing but
>>> air Within this perimeter tube design there was a 27m by 40m core,
>>> designed to provide additional support to the tower."
>>>
>>> I don't consider 36 cm (less than 15 inches) huge in that context.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Maaybe it never occured to this Dufus, that these columns were built
>> in 30Ft lengths and bolted together, or welded, as the building was
>> erected. Since these columns were all designed to be in
>> "Compression", when the lateral failure of the Exterior Tube
```

>> happened, they would naturally come out of compression, and break at
>> their 30 Ft original lengths. Duhh, this is first Year Structural
>> Engineer stuff, but I guess it would be beyound, the comprehension
>> of the above, GED Dufus.......
>
> It doesn't matter to them - all they want to do is ignore the obvious
> and try to stir up shit. What they fail to see is when they ignore
> the facts - it makes them look like the assholes they are.
>
> Personally, I hate seeing all this 9/11 stuff being restirred. Not
> because of disrespect - but because it makes me want to go out and
> destroy all those rag heads for causing the deaths of so many
> innocent people. IMHO - we're treating them with kid gloves, even
> those who now reside in this country "supposedly" legally. I don't
> trust a one of them. WAR IS WAR. They didn't give a shit about who
> they hurt or killed - why the hell should "I" care if they all die? I
> DON'T. Their so called religion is about peace.........
> ROFLMAO......... PEACE MY ASS........ Its their way or no way. FUCK
> THEM ALL - AND ANYONE who wants to side with them even if making
> conspiracy twists to try to say those ragheads had nothing to do with
> it.

Now you have some idea of why most of that reagion wants to kill all of us.
For over a generation "Made in USA" was on the bombs that killed them and
the planes that dropped.
The ones who *DON'T THINK* react just as you do.

4536. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent balst form that past

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-13 01:55:04 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <86peg2p1abjk543e10dhdoacupd4ruv3rv@4ax.com>
Source record: 6066
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 23:32:19 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On 12 Aug 2006 15:49:50 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Al Klein wrote:
>>> On 12 Aug 2006 09:57:19 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>> >it is not a sign sign of any superior knowledge to know that is a
>>> >particular circut is Collpitts or hartley ocilator
>>>
>>> It's a sign of having learned it.
>>a sign of havigng learned something of NO value
>
>No value to you - that doesn't matter very much to the other 6
>billion+ people on the planet.

funny do you realy igmaine code knowledge is more valbuble to the rst
of the world than me
>
>>> You still don't understand the difference between memorizing facts and
>>> memorizing answers.
>
>>at pesent their is no different
>
>To you - which was what I said.
>
>>for some of the materail the only rational way of approuching it is
>>memorization
>
>For some, yes. I'm referring to those who use the method for all the
>answers - people like you.
>
>>, for other section you can learn some part of the
>>underlying theroy and use it but short of the Full course of Eltromatic
>>Theroy I hadin colledge you don't learn much
>
>I doubt you learned much in college either.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4537. Re: Splitting the signal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-13 03:06:14 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <JqCdnaOJV4NY6JrYnZ2dnUVZ_oqdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 6067

"Mike" <meb58@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:84mdg2t04u0qdacr6j43ac6r4n11aukm4o@4ax.com...
> Hi....Im new to ham Radio and have an all-band discone on my roof that
> I use for my scanner. I also will use this antenna to transmit with my
> ham radio. So far, it works OK for the transmissions.
> Lead in line is 50ft of LMR-400 with 'N' connectors. My question is,
> Can I split the line for 2 locations? I like to listen to my scanner
> in my back yard and transmit with the Radio but I also would like to
> have a line indoors when the weather isnt great. Can I add a splitter
> and run a new line indoors ?
> Or, should I have a dedicated line to run inside? Thank You for any
> responses....Mike

If it's 2 meter or higher in frequency, no splitter. When there is bad
weather disconnect one cable, and connect the indoor antenna (cable and
connector).

HJ

4538. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-13 04:52:50 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <45078e9b$0$6833$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 6068
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

```
"Mike Painter" <mddotpainter@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:VpJNg.682$GR.476@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
> L. wrote:
>> "You" <You@shadow.orgs> wrote in message
>> news:You-A3BBFA.11084812092006@netnews.worldnet.att.net...
>>> In article <APlNg.620$IA.247@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>,
>>> "Mike Painter" <mddotpainter@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>> Toto the Dog wrote:
>>>>> Each tower had 40 huge steel columns running up the centre. If the
>>>>> booms you can hear during the collapse were the floors hitting each
>>>>> other and not demolition charges why were these steel columns not
>>>>> left standing but found at the debris pile in uniform 30 foot
>>>>> lengths? Why weren't those columns standing after the floors had
>>>>> suposedly 'slid down' around them?
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Here's one of the columns:
>>>>> http://img225.imageshack.us/img225/2403/meltedcolumnfy6.jpg
>>>>
>>>> That's a picture of something but please give us the pictures and
>>>> information on
>>>> a "debris pile in uniform 30 foot lengths"
>>>>
>>>> As for the "huge steel columns"
>>>> "The unique structure of the WTC towers exaggerated the problems
>>>> caused by
>>>> the weakened steel. The towers had a lightweight "perimeter tube"
>>>> design consisting of 244 exterior columns of 36 cm square steel box
>>>> section on 100
>>>> cm centers, with 95% of the structure's interior consisting of
>>>> nothing but
>>>> air Within this perimeter tube design there was a 27m by 40m core,
>>>> designed to provide additional support to the tower."
>>>>
>>>> I don't consider 36 cm (less than 15 inches) huge in that context.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> Maaybe it never occured to this Dufus, that these columns were built
>>> in 30Ft lengths and bolted together, or welded, as the building was
```

>>> erected. Since these columns were all designed to be in
>>> "Compression", when the lateral failure of the Exterior Tube
>>> happened, they would naturally come out of compression, and break at
>>> their 30 Ft original lengths. Duhh, this is first Year Structural
>>> Engineer stuff, but I guess it would be beyound, the comprehension
>>> of the above, GED Dufus.......
>>
>> It doesn't matter to them - all they want to do is ignore the obvious
>> and try to stir up shit. What they fail to see is when they ignore
>> the facts - it makes them look like the assholes they are.
>>
>> Personally, I hate seeing all this 9/11 stuff being restirred. Not
>> because of disrespect - but because it makes me want to go out and
>> destroy all those rag heads for causing the deaths of so many
>> innocent people. IMHO - we're treating them with kid gloves, even
>> those who now reside in this country "supposedly" legally. I don't
>> trust a one of them. WAR IS WAR. They didn't give a shit about who
>> they hurt or killed - why the hell should "I" care if they all die? I
>> DON'T. Their so called religion is about peace.........
>> ROFLMAO......... PEACE MY ASS........ Its their way or no way. FUCK
>> THEM ALL - AND ANYONE who wants to side with them even if making
>> conspiracy twists to try to say those ragheads had nothing to do with
>> it.
>
> Now you have some idea of why most of that reagion wants to kill all of
> us. For over a generation "Made in USA" was on the bombs that killed them
> and the planes that dropped.
> The ones who *DON'T THINK* react just as you do.
>

Sorry dude, MY line of thinking - DIDN"T INVITE THOSE COCK SUCKERS HERE TO KILL. "I" had nothing against them until they pulled this shit off. AND for that - I will NEVER forgive them. They all deserve to die. Even their brand of religion is "flawed".

I guess you're one of those who would open the gates to allow them all to move in and the Mexicans too - huh?

Dude - I DO think - every fucking day about those 3000 + killed.

L.

4539. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-13 06:02:58 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1158127378.087518.32720@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6069
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

From: "Fred Hambrecht" on Tues, Sep 12 2006 4:55 pm

>It's Not a Code, no code problem, it's a generational problem!

Why are you younger generation kids so retrograde compared
to my, OLDER generation, Freddie? :-)

Is your generation's generator turning over? Not much
coherent stuff being generated there...

>In the past week, the articles that seemed to get the most play on ham sites
>were the Southern Border Volunteers and the lack of brotherhood in ham
>radio.

Oh, wow! South Carolina is in danger of being over-run
by Mexicans?!? Losing the "brotherhood?" Have you tried
recruiting a "sisterhood?" :-)

Freddie, I live in southern California. California borders
Mexico. Guess what, that border is only around 3 hours
drive from me. :-)

>Ham radio went to hell when Dick Bash got involved with his published "cheat
>sheets".

Really?!? I thought it "went" when you hams were allowed
to use VOICE! :-)

Or maybe when SPARK was outlawed?

>This piece is from the prospective of a ham with over 50 years spewing RF
>throughout the world. At 68 years of age, I look back at my start in ham
>radio, appearing before a steely eyed FCC examiner in Norfolk Virginia. I
>missed the distance for a Conditional license by about 11 miles. I had to
>walk between school and home in the snow, uphill both ways.

You forgot BAREFOOT, Freddie. :-)

So, you "spewed RF throughout the world" over 50 years ago?

Unidirectional? I started in February 1953, HF beam patterns
pointed in many, specific directions...thanks to the United
States Army Signal Corps. :-)

>Just as sure as these words are on your screen, you too will someday be an
>old fart just like me.

Poor baby, born in 1939. Tsk, tsk, tsk. That makes me 6 or 7
years OLDER than you, Freddie.

>As you enter the dotage of your life, health concerns
>and the condition of your aged friends, becomes more of a concern. We, like
>you, used to talk about more contemporary subjects.

WTF? Freddie boy, just WHO are you posting to? [see the bottom
since you didn't format this for proper quoting and replies]

>Unlike a number of you,
>we allowed the older folks to have their conversations without ridicule. It
>is called respect, and is a two way street.

Freddie, you are demanding ONE WAY driving on a two-way street.
When did you last take a driving test? :-)

> Realize that when I was a newby,
>I suffered the same as you at the hands of the old farts.

Poor baby. Snif, snif.

>They believed that
>for you to enter their group, you should first show respect and learn to
>snatch the pebble from their hand.

You mean DRINK in their hand, don't you? :-)

WHY did "your elders" have PEBBLES in their hand?

>None of you started in your working life as the CEO of the company, yet many
>of today's generation feel that a newly minted license conveys total
>knowledge. What you have is a license to learn, take advantage of it and the
>brotherhood will naturally follow.

Sigh...Freddie, you may be too far gone in your dotage? :-)

NOT in THIS group in this Din of Inequity, Freddie. Ain't
NO "respect" unless one is a devout morseman worshipping
at the Church of St. Hiram.

>If we all took the time to show each other respect, and be less quick to
>judge, not only would ham radio be better, but our lives as well.

Whoopee, a MAXIM! You ARE in the congregation of the Church
of St. Hiram!

I am supposed to "respect" you for your 'age?' :-)

So, does your quaint little pebble-filled maxim work the
OTHER way, Freddie?

When are YOU going to show respect for those OLDER than
YOU? :-)

[watch out, I have lots of 'pebbles'...:-)]

><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>news:1157691004.933277.175940@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> Newsflash: ALL radio operators, code or no-code, are "appliance
>>> operators" as you colloquially state. A radio is a means to
>>> communicate. Knowing code just adds another way to use that radio.
>>
>> Quite true, Opus! Thank you for pointing that out.
>>
>> Let us hope that Blowcode sticks to his ARRL "Archie" comic
>> books while he mimes the "Wizard of Oz's" scarecrow wishing
>> he had a brain. :-(
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Freddie, you replied to MY message. Try, please TRY to
understand who you are replying to. It doesn't hurt to
use acceptible formatting in Usenet style; makes it a
lot easier to follow for others.

Good night and good luck, Vaya con Dios,

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Life Member, Institute for Electrical and Electronic Engineers,
a professional association, 397 thousand members worldwide.

4540. Re: parts for PRO51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-13 08:07:32 UTC
From: "Mike M." <notme@invalid.email.net>
Message-ID: <0vefg2tlg06cq3299goabhp2an49q48ebq@4ax.com>
Source record: 6071

On 11 Sep 2006 20:37:27 -0700, albertto@sprynet.com wrotF:

>Does anyone have a back battery cover for this scanner. I would buy a
>whole scanner for parts or if you have an idea.

Have you checked with RadioShack?

4541. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-13 08:09:31 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <a50as.8eg.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 6070
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Good night and good luck, Vaya con Dios,

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Life Member, Institute for Electrical and Electronic Engineers,
a professional association, 397 thousand members worldwide.
.....

As before...
More Gas Baggery from The King of Flatulence.
Self-aggrandizement so noted...

4542. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent balst form that past

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-13 12:18:40 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1158149920.130268.297220@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6072
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 23:32:19 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
> wrote:
>
> >On 12 Aug 2006 15:49:50 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> >wrote:
> >
> >>Al Klein wrote:
> >>> On 12 Aug 2006 09:57:19 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> >>> wrote:
> >>>
> >>> >it is not a sign sign of any superior knowledge to know that is a
> >>> >particular circut is Collpitts or hartley ocilator
> >>>
> >>> It's a sign of having learned it.
> >>a sign of havigng learned something of NO value
> >>
> >No value to you - that doesn't matter very much to the other 6
> >billion+ people on the planet.
>
> funny do you realy igmaine code knowledge is more valbuble to the rst
> of the world than me
> >
> >>> You still don't understand the difference between memorizing facts and
> >>> memorizing answers.
> >>
> >>at pesent their is no different
> >>
> >To you - which was what I said.
> >
> >>for some of the materail the only rational way of approuching it is
> >>memorization
> >>
> >For some, yes. I'm referring to those who use the method for all the
> >answers - people like you.
> >
> >>, for other section you can learn some part of the
> >>underlying theroy and use it but short of the Full course of Eltromatic
> >>Theroy I hadin colledge you don't learn much
> >>
> >I doubt you learned much in college either.

Oh, the irony, retard. Kill yourself, Marqueer.

4543. Re: parts for PRO51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-13 15:27:47 UTC
From: albertto@sprynet.com
Message-ID: <1158161267.336600.151220@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6073

Mike M. wrote:
> On 11 Sep 2006 20:37:27 -0700, albertto@sprynet.com wrotF:
>
> >Does anyone have a back battery cover for this scanner. I would buy a
> >whole scanner for parts or if you have an idea.
>
> Have you checked with RadioShack?

Told that old scanner parts were no availab;e from RS.

4544. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-13 16:36:26 UTC
From: Mike Painter <mddotpainter@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <esWNg.819$e66.411@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 6074
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

L. wrote:
<snip>
>>
>> Now you have some idea of why most of that reagion wants to kill all
>> of us. For over a generation "Made in USA" was on the bombs that
>> killed them and the planes that dropped.
>> The ones who *DON'T THINK* react just as you do.
>>
>
> Sorry dude, MY line of thinking - DIDN"T INVITE THOSE COCK SUCKERS
> HERE TO KILL. "I" had nothing against them until they pulled this
> shit off. AND for that - I will NEVER forgive them. They all deserve
> to die. Even their brand of religion is "flawed".

And the women and children who have died every day from bombs, bullets and
starvation since the first gulf war had nothing to do with 9/11.
In fact there probably were no people from Iraq on the planes and *you* buy
some of your gas from the country with the most people represented Saudi,
Arabia.

I doubt you know enought about your religion to call Islam flawed.

>
> I guess you're one of those who would open the gates to allow them
> all to move in and the Mexicans too - huh?

"I had nothing against them" is similar to BillCosby's "I have nothing
against them" in his classic monolog on bigotry.
I suppose you feel that way against the German's, Japanese, British, Native
American's and others who have killed far more Americans than were killed in
9/11.
>
> Dude - I DO think - every fucking day about those 3000 + killed.

And they think about the tens of thousands who hve died at the hand of
American arms.

>
> L.

4545. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-13 17:04:45 UTC
From: GK <ontheair247@atverizondot.net>
Message-ID: <NSWNg.9021$xC3.5561@trnddc06>
Source record: 6075
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emerg-services

landnotloans@hotmail.com wrote:
> The 9/11 terrorist attack on America which left almost 3,000 people
> dead was an "inside job", according to a group of leading academics.
>
I had been inside all those buildings many times myself as a broadcast
engineer. I do know that the top floors of both of the tall towers had
lots of very heavy items such as massive TV transmitter plants, both
analog and new digital ones, new digital antennas for tv where being
added on the roof and also the motor structures for the upper elevators
where on the top floors.

GK

4546. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent balst form that past

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-13 17:13:36 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1158167615.978252.217230@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6076
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:

> Oh, the irony, retard. Kill yourself, Marqueer.
grow up woger

4547. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-13 18:43:00 UTC
From: Jeff <oldog@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <UiYNg.965897$084.696435@attbi_s22>
Source record: 6077
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

"Mike Painter" <mddotpainter@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:esWNg.819$e66.411@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...

> > Dude - I DO think - every fucking day about those 3000 + killed.
>
> And they think about the tens of thousands who hve died at the hand of
> American arms.
>

That is why they call it "war", and that is unfortunately how you win wars.
Every "real" leader i.e. Patton, have known this for a long time. There is no
such thing as a "surgical" war. I dont care how careful you are. Those ragheads
have been terrorizing, and blowing people up for a long, long time. Its time to
end it, no matter what it takes. If we dont, your grandkids will be praying to
Allah someday. Phrase from the Koran: " By the sword ye shall convert"
And these bastards are seeing to it. Kill them ALL now, no matter what it
takes (Period). But unfortunately we dont even need to have the ragheads
ruin us,, the bleeding hearts in this country are already doing it for them.

J

4548. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-13 19:49:01 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <w4jle@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <1212e$450860af$471c6047$3246@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 6078
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

My father always told me that when arguing with a jackass, make sure he
isn't doing the same thing.

In that vein, this conversation is ended Lennie...

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1158127378.087518.32720@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> Life Member, Institute for Electrical and Electronic Engineers,
> a professional association, 397 thousand members worldwide.
>

4549. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-13 19:52:29 UTC
From: Mike Painter <mddotpainter@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1kZNg.938$6S3.892@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 6079
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

Jeff wrote:
> "Mike Painter" <mddotpainter@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:esWNg.819$e66.411@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
>>> Dude - I DO think - every fucking day about those 3000 + killed.
>>
>> And they think about the tens of thousands who hve died at the hand
>> of American arms.
>>
> ---
>
> That is why they call it "war", and that is unfortunately
> how you win wars. Every "real" leader i.e. Patton, have known this
> for a long time. There is no
> such thing as a "surgical" war. I dont care how careful you are.
> Those ragheads have been terrorizing, and blowing people up for a
> long, long time. Its time to
> end it, no matter what it takes. If we dont, your grandkids will be
> praying to Allah someday. Phrase from the Koran: " By the sword ye
> shall convert"
> And these bastards are seeing to it. Kill them ALL now, no matter
> what it
> takes (Period). But unfortunately we dont even need to have the
> ragheads
> ruin us,, the bleeding hearts in this country are already doing it
> for them.

Gosh, where have I heard that before. I remember, it was when we were
trying to stop the domionos by fighting in Viet Nam.

Phrases from the bible,
Matthew 10:34
Think not that I am come to send peace on earth: I came not to send peace,
but a sword.

Luke 12:51
Suppose ye that I am come to give peace on earth? I tell you, Nay; but
rather division: For from henceforth there shall be five in one house
divided, three against two, and two against three. The father shall be
divided against the son, and the son against the father; the mother against
the daughter, and the daughter against the mother; the mother in law against
her daughter in law, and the daughter in law against her mother in law.

Luke 22:36
He that hath no sword, let him sell his garment, and buy one.

4550. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-13 20:26:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <kbqgg2d5dla90brpeuvd5bjp45ltrvthp3@4ax.com>
Source record: 6080
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 15:49:01 -0400, "Fred Hambrecht" <w4jle@w4jle.com>
wrote:

>My father always told me that when arguing with a jackass, make sure he
>isn't doing the same thing.
>
>In that vein, this conversation is ended Lennie...
right steve

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4551. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-13 21:37:35 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <45087a17$0$6841$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 6081
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

```
"Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:UiYNg.965897$084.696435@attbi_s22...
>
> "Mike Painter" <mddotpainter@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:esWNg.819$e66.411@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
>> > Dude - I DO think - every fucking day about those 3000 + killed.
>>
>> And they think about the tens of thousands who hve died at the hand of
>> American arms.
>>
> ----------------------------------------------------------------------------------
>
> That is why they call it "war", and that is unfortunately how you
> win wars.
> Every "real" leader i.e. Patton, have known this for a long time. There is
> no
> such thing as a "surgical" war. I dont care how careful you are. Those
> ragheads
> have been terrorizing, and blowing people up for a long, long time. Its
> time to
> end it, no matter what it takes. If we dont, your grandkids will be
> praying to
> Allah someday. Phrase from the Koran: " By the sword ye shall convert"
> And these bastards are seeing to it. Kill them ALL now, no matter what it
> takes ( Period ). But unfortunately we dont even need to have the
> ragheads
> ruin us,, the bleeding hearts in this country are already doing it for
> them.
>
>
>
> J
>
>

Now THAT I can agree with! Especially the last part.

L.
```

4552. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-13 22:05:14 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <45088092$0$6851$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 6082
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

"Mike Painter" <mddotpainter@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:esWNg.819$e66.411@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> L. wrote:
> <snip>
>>>
>>> Now you have some idea of why most of that reagion wants to kill all
>>> of us. For over a generation "Made in USA" was on the bombs that
>>> killed them and the planes that dropped.
>>> The ones who *DON'T THINK* react just as you do.
>>>
>>
>> Sorry dude, MY line of thinking - DIDN"T INVITE THOSE COCK SUCKERS
>> HERE TO KILL. "I" had nothing against them until they pulled this
>> shit off. AND for that - I will NEVER forgive them. They all deserve
>> to die. Even their brand of religion is "flawed".
>
> And the women and children who have died every day from bombs, bullets and
> starvation since the first gulf war had nothing to do with 9/11.
> In fact there probably were no people from Iraq on the planes and *you*
> buy some of your gas from the country with the most people represented
> Saudi, Arabia.
>
> I doubt you know enought about your religion to call Islam flawed.
>
>>
>> I guess you're one of those who would open the gates to allow them
>> all to move in and the Mexicans too - huh?
>
> "I had nothing against them" is similar to BillCosby's "I have nothing
> against them" in his classic monolog on bigotry.
> I suppose you feel that way against the German's, Japanese, British,
> Native American's and others who have killed far more Americans than were
> killed in 9/11.
>>
>> Dude - I DO think - every fucking day about those 3000 + killed.
>
> And they think about the tens of thousands who hve died at the hand of
> American arms.
>
>>
>> L.
>

>

YOU do not know me. I don't know YOU either - so do NOT suppose you can tell me how I can think or feel. I do NOT have anything against ANY people. It is not "me" who is declaring the wars - but since they found it in their liking to come here and kill - then they shall be killed. And I'm not suggesting the women or children - but the murderous pricks who did it or want to perpetuate it. WHO are YOU to offer an excuse? They'd slit your throat in a heart beat. They don't give a fuck about you either. OR is it you're one of them?

Yes, their religion IS flawed. They want to die to receive 70 virgins and so on? What the hell's with that? They don't even use the women here - they keep them covered up. And as for "Virgins" - don't those dumb bastards know that once used - they're no longer virgins? On the other hand - who's suggesting they're virgin "women". They suck each other off enough - could be men, goats, donkeys, camels, etc.

There is NOTHING you're going to tell me to want to forgive that yellow bellied low life prick and all his cock sucking buddies. Again, much as I don't like Bush, at least he goes out in public - not hiding in caves. OBL is a Yellow Bellied ASS HOLE. Were it not for his money - he'd be just another piece of shit.

Forget the Bible versuses dude - they do me no good. Christ isn't here - nor is God - to stop this craziness - nor will they be. They've been coming to earth for "centuries" - they've not arrived yet. Furthermore - it is said that Christ himself will rise against the anti Christ - so that makes him too - a "warrior". So don't portray me as evil when the Prince of Peace is to do the same thing. Peace - that is such a joke. There hasn't been peace on this earth since Cain and Abel - and never will be! Yeah, it would be nice if one day soon - someone could say - "OK - all people - put down your weapons - there is peace on earth from today on" and it "happens". NEVER .... that is such wishful thinking. Any smart religious person knows we'll not see Peace any time soon. As many churches as there are, bibles, korans, etc - supposedly teaching Peace and Love - for all these centuries - did ya ever take notice - it isn't happening? It just doesn't work that way. I don't care how many cops, preachers, priests, nuns, etc - you have - there will be NO peace. The shit is worse now than ever. Some may say the end of the world - so be it. But when each of us die, it's always the "end of the world" that dying person's world - so who's to say it is "THE" end of "EARTH"? Where "all" mankind dies at once or there abouts. Where ALL things cease to exist - including troubles? The only way this world will end is when our resources run out, the sun goes black, we nuke each other to death so the clouds do suffocate the earth from all living conditions.

See, this is where YOU TOO are flawed - They want US to practice THEIR religion - or else. THEY HATE CHRISTIANS, JEWS and I can't recall the last one. SO - they've included ALL but themselves. How convenient. And they're "peaceful"? PEACEFUL - MY ASS. Christians are also out there pounding the pavement to shove religion down people's throats. So, it could be deemed yet another "religious" war. You bible carriers always switch to a bible when

things don't go your way. Those versuses from days gone by aren't going to save your ass should they come knocking.

L.

4553. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-14 00:25:16 UTC
From: Jeff <oldog@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <Mj1Og.966590$084.878249@attbi_s22>
Source record: 6083
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

"Mike Painter" <mddotpainter@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:1kZNg.938$6S3.892@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
> Jeff wrote:
> > "Mike Painter" <mddotpainter@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> > news:esWNg.819$e66.411@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> >>> Dude - I DO think - every fucking day about those 3000 + killed.
> >>
> >> And they think about the tens of thousands who hve died at the hand
> >> of American arms.
> >>
> >

> >
> > That is why they call it "war", and that is unfortunately
> > how you win wars. Every "real" leader i.e. Patton, have known this
> > for a long time. There is no
> > such thing as a "surgical" war. I dont care how careful you are.
> > Those ragheads have been terrorizing, and blowing people up for a
> > long, long time. Its time to
> > end it, no matter what it takes. If we dont, your grandkids will be
> > praying to Allah someday. Phrase from the Koran: " By the sword ye
> > shall convert"
> > And these bastards are seeing to it. Kill them ALL now, no matter
> > what it
> > takes (Period). But unfortunately we dont even need to have the
> > ragheads
> > ruin us,, the bleeding hearts in this country are already doing it
> > for them.
>
> Gosh, where have I heard that before. I remember, it was when we were
> trying to stop the domionos by fighting in Viet Nam.

Lets try to compare apples to apples, instead of apples to potatoes.

>
> Phrases from the bible,
> Matthew 10:34
> Think not that I am come to send peace on earth: I came not to send peace,
> but a sword.
>
> Luke 12:51

> Suppose ye that I am come to give peace on earth? I tell you, Nay; but
> rather division: For from henceforth there shall be five in one house
> divided, three against two, and two against three. The father shall be
> divided against the son, and the son against the father; the mother against
> the daughter, and the daughter against the mother; the mother in law against
> her daughter in law, and the daughter in law against her mother in law.
>
> Luke 22:36
> He that hath no sword, let him sell his garment, and buy one.
> ---

That's cute,,, relevance??

J

4554. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-14 00:32:25 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <4508a311$0$6836$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 6084
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

> "Mike Painter" <mddotpainter@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:esWNg.819$e66.411@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...

>> And the women and children who have died every day from bombs, bullets
>> and
>> starvation since the first gulf war had nothing to do with 9/11.
>> In fact there probably were no people from Iraq on the planes and *you*
>> buy some of your gas from the country with the most people represented
>> Saudi, Arabia.
>>

The "Gulf war" as you said, had absolutely nothing to do with 9/11. I'll agree with you there. That was a whole different story. However - HAD they allowed the General in charge to finish the job, THIS Iraq war may not be happening.

>> I doubt you know enought about your religion to call Islam flawed.

I never pretended, admitted to or claimed to be a "religious" finatic or "follower" if you will. Not of "Christianity", Buddhism (sp?), any others and CERTAINLY not "Islam". But - I DO know what I've seen and heard with my own ears and eyes. Those pricks want all who do NOT follow Islam - to die. They are NOT practicing a "peaceful" religion as much as they'd love to think. So, if you think Islam is so great - why don't YOU go to Afghanistan and join OBL and take what comes to you. You "seem" to support it in defending it.

>> I suppose you feel that way against the German's, Japanese, British,
>> Native American's and others who have killed far more Americans than were
>> killed in 9/11.

Eh - I think your history is a bit fucked up. It is the people who came to America - who KILLED the "Native" Americans. WE essentially took their land off them and in the end - they have like maybe a millionth of what they once owned. I'm not proud of that - but THAT is history!

As to the other wars - were it not for a nut case like Hitler - just as Saddam and the Japanese leader whose name I've forgotten - those wars may never have been fought. Never did "I" say I agreed with them. BUT since they were started - those fruit cakes had to be stopped. THEY alone are responsible for the loss of innocent lives. ANYONE who "starts" a war - wears the blood. Now, as for THIS Iraq war - I have in past posts and shall in this one - DETEST it. I didn't agree with it then nor do I now. BUT - I DO AND WILL support our troops. They're doing what they are sent to do -

even if "they" don't agree with it. OBL and that dick head from N. Korea are the two latest nuts that need dealt with. NOT so much the people - but the assholes that are convincing them. What I DID agree to - is going to Afghanistan to find OBL and deliver his cold dead sorry ass on a silver stretcher. BUT Bush lost track of that and went wondering on to Iraq. We should have devoted ALL resources to finish that first job. Had we done that - OBL and his dick sucking buds might all be dead now - and with no leader with "money" - maybe the other "rag heads" would stop their fateful dreaming.

>>>
>>> Dude - I DO think - every fucking day about those 3000 + killed.
>>
>> And they think about the tens of thousands who hve died at the hand of
>> American arms.
>>

They died because others were attacked first and we stuck our nose in to defend those being attacked - in whatever manner. REMEMBER - we even helped Iraq at one time - against Iran. Strange - how we now battle in Iraq AND in the U.N. at least for now - with their foe as well - Iran. THAT is our problem - we ALWAYS stick our nose in. Those assholes in that area have been fighting for CENTURIES. WHO the hell are WE to think WE will stop it in a couple years? It ain't never going to happen. PEACE in the middle east is a wild dream at best.

At one point WE even armed the Taliban..... We essentially fought Russian equipped armies via the Taliban. We get bit in the ass every damned time we help ANYONE. We need to let them fight it out - kill each other off if it makes them happy. THEN mop up when they start looking in our direction. IF they want to commit genocide in that area - far be it from me to stop them OR care. There's nothing we're going to do to stop it with absolute certainty. I'm not totally heartless - I DO feel for the kids and women. IF they could be removed, I'd say do it and let those assholes have at it.

Furthermore - EVERY country - who has capabilities to make arms - SELLS them. SO, be it the U.S., Russia, N. Korea, whoever..... it is not necessarily the "maker" of those bombs who is "responsible" for the innocent lives being taken. Just because YOU buy a gun from Smith and Wesson - doesn't make THEM guilty for your committing murder. THAT analogy you used is a bit off based..... IF Israel bombs the shit out of Palestine, it's not OUR fault they used stuff they bought from us! WE didn't "pull" the trigger. Does it make it right? NO - but that is a fact of life.

L.

4555. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent another blast from th recent past

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-14 00:48:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <tl9hg2l351houqtqccio0ie9216idnvsjf@4ax.com>
Source record: 6085
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 21:14:47 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:37:50 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 15:57:55 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>wrote:
>
>>>>the knowledg is of no value to most of those people either
>
>>>I'm glad to know that you've been appointed to speak for them but, if
>>>you don't mind, I'd rather hear it from them.
>
>>you have heard from the appointed legel representives and you did not
>>listen to them either
>
>Oh? I didn't know that we legally appointed "representatives" to tell
>us what people think. Who, pray tell, are these telepathic
>"representatives"?
>
>>but indeed enlight me
>>what value is derived from the knowledge that an occiclaotr is
>>colpitss type or hartley
>
>Plenty of value to those who have to work with oscillators of various
>types. It's difficult to design a circuit when you have no
>understanding of it.
what value is gained by knowing the name of the cirut
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4556. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-14 01:27:42 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <4508b007$0$6841$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 6086
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

```
"Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:Mj1Og.966590$084.878249@attbi_s22...
>
> "Mike Painter" <mddotpainter@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:1kZNg.938$6S3.892@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
>> Jeff wrote:
>> > "Mike Painter" <mddotpainter@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
>> > news:esWNg.819$e66.411@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>> >
>> >>> Dude - I DO think - every fucking day about those 3000 + killed.
>> >>
>> >> And they think about the tens of thousands who hve died at the hand
>> >> of American arms.
>> >>
>> >
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
>> >
>> > That is why they call it "war", and that is unfortunately
>> > how you win wars. Every "real" leader i.e. Patton, have known this
>> > for a long time. There is no
>> > such thing as a "surgical" war. I dont care how careful you are.
>> > Those ragheads have been terrorizing, and blowing people up for a
>> > long, long time. Its time to
>> > end it, no matter what it takes. If we dont, your grandkids will be
>> > praying to Allah someday. Phrase from the Koran: " By the sword ye
>> > shall convert"
>> > And these bastards are seeing to it. Kill them ALL now, no matter
>> > what it
>> > takes ( Period ). But unfortunately we dont even need to have the
>> > ragheads
>> > ruin us,, the bleeding hearts in this country are already doing it
>> > for them.
>>
>> Gosh, where have I heard that before. I remember, it was when we were
>> trying to stop the domionos by fighting in Viet Nam.
> --------------------------------------------------------------------------------
>
> Lets try to compare apples to apples, instead of apples to
> potatoes.
>
>>
>> Phrases from the bible,
```

>> Matthew 10:34
>> Think not that I am come to send peace on earth: I came not to send
>> peace,
>> but a sword.
>>
>> Luke 12:51
>> Suppose ye that I am come to give peace on earth? I tell you, Nay; but
>> rather division: For from henceforth there shall be five in one house
>> divided, three against two, and two against three. The father shall be
>> divided against the son, and the son against the father; the mother
>> against
>> the daughter, and the daughter against the mother; the mother in law
>> against
>> her daughter in law, and the daughter in law against her mother in law.
>>
>> Luke 22:36
>> He that hath no sword, let him sell his garment, and buy one.
>> ----------
>
> That's cute,,, relevance??
>
>
> J
>
>

There is none! That is the problem. He quotes them pretty easily - probably reading word for word - but himself doesn't know the significance. He blasts ME for blasting "Islam" - hahahahaha ROFLMAO.
The Bible itself - from that which I do know and had preached to me - has ALWAYS alluded to war in many areas. So - that "history" book is telling us that THOSE people have been at it forever and WE are not going to stop it. You would "think" that over all those centuries, someone - one of their many leaders - would have said enough is enough. Even if they "get" one - the poor bastard is assassinated - further proving peace is not desired. They don't want "us" interfering. So, let them go on - we can watch via CNN - ring side seats.

Maybe the "true" Islam is peaceful - but "I" speak of the <perhaps twisted version of> "Islam" being preached by these murderous PRICKS. Where NO ONE but them - should exist. IF that isn't a bunch of psychos, I don't know what is.

Infidels are we? OK..... I've stated my title for them before and will do so again - Cock sucking yellow bellied rag head bastards. They hate those who don't follow the Koran or at least their "twisted" version of it? OK - how's this - shove the Koran up your ass. Go ahead and hate me - who gives a shit? IF I cared, I sure in hell wouldn't be defaming those ass holes. You never see them with women, and they look like they've never bathed in like their life time. So, yeah, I think I have them pegged pretty close.

Regardless what is happening today - they "still" show - that they prefer "civilian" targets over "military" targets. Buses, market places, weddings, etc. SO - it is easy to see they are truly YELLOW. Have I "offended" anyone yet? If you're "innocent" - then this doesn't pertain to you. If you're among the supporters of those murderous pricks - then may you be next to get what they have coming.

L.

4557. Re: You've Got Mail...But No Job! Fun at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-14 07:05:04 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4508FEF9.573A65A5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 6087
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

m II wrote:
>
> L. wrote:
>
> > I guess the adage applies - it IS a "Dog eat dog" world out there.
>
> Count your blessings. In a Totalitarian State, it's exactly the opposite!
>
> mike

"Eat dog, eat"?

--
Service to my country? Been there, Done that, and I've got my DD214 to
prove it.
Member of DAV #85.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

4558. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-14 07:06:36 UTC
From: Mike Painter <mddotpainter@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <0c7Og.694$lj.627@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 6088
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

Jeff wrote:
> "Mike Painter" <mddotpainter@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:1kZNg.938$6S3.892@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
>> Jeff wrote:
>>> "Mike Painter" <mddotpainter@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
>>> news:esWNg.819$e66.411@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>>>
>>>>> Dude - I DO think - every fucking day about those 3000 + killed.
>>>>
>>>> And they think about the tens of thousands who hve died at the
>>>> hand of American arms.
>>>>
>>>

>>>
>>> That is why they call it "war", and that is unfortunately
>>> how you win wars. Every "real" leader i.e. Patton, have known this
>>> for a long time. There is no
>>> such thing as a "surgical" war. I dont care how careful you are.
>>> Those ragheads have been terrorizing, and blowing people up for a
>>> long, long time. Its time to
>>> end it, no matter what it takes. If we dont, your grandkids will be
>>> praying to Allah someday. Phrase from the Koran: " By the sword ye
>>> shall convert"
>>> And these bastards are seeing to it. Kill them ALL now, no matter
>>> what it
>>> takes (Period). But unfortunately we dont even need to have the
>>> ragheads
>>> ruin us,, the bleeding hearts in this country are already doing it
>>> for them.
>>
>> Gosh, where have I heard that before. I remember, it was when we
>> were trying to stop the domionos by fighting in Viet Nam.
> ---
>
> Lets try to compare apples to apples, instead of apples to
> potatoes.

The same arguments were used then. They were wrong then.

>
>>
>> Phrases from the bible,

>> Matthew 10:34
>> Think not that I am come to send peace on earth: I came not to send
>> peace, but a sword.
>>
>> Luke 12:51
>> Suppose ye that I am come to give peace on earth? I tell you, Nay;
>> but rather division: For from henceforth there shall be five in one
>> house divided, three against two, and two against three. The father
>> shall be divided against the son, and the son against the father;
>> the mother against the daughter, and the daughter against the
>> mother; the mother in law against her daughter in law, and the
>> daughter in law against her mother in law.
>>
>> Luke 22:36
>> He that hath no sword, let him sell his garment, and buy one.
>> ---
>
> That's cute,,, relevance??
>
The Christian bible is far bloodier than the Koran and a reference was made
to a single similar verse in the Koran.

4559. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent balst form that past

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-14 12:51:32 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1158238292.181206.44840@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6089
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> > MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
> > Oh, the irony, retard. Kill yourself, Marqueer.
> grow up woger

More irony, kill yourself you lisping cocksucking child raper.

4560. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent another blast from th recent past

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-14 12:56:16 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1158238576.208148.60660@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6090
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 21:14:47 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
> wrote:
>
> >On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:37:50 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >
> >>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 15:57:55 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
> >>wrote:
> >
> >>>>the knowledg is of no value to most of those people either
> >
> >>>I'm glad to know that you've been appointed to speak for them but, if
> >>>you don't mind, I'd rather hear it from them.
> >
> >>you have heard from the appointed legel representives and you did not
> >>listen to them either
> >
> >Oh? I didn't know that we legally appointed "representatives" to tell
> >us what people think. Who, pray tell, are these telepathic
> >"representatives"?
> >
> >>but indeed enlight me
> >>what value is derived from the knowledge that an occiclaotr is
> >>colpitss type or hartley
> >
> >Plenty of value to those who have to work with oscillators of various
> >types. It's difficult to design a circuit when you have no
> >understanding of it.
> what value is gained by knowing the name of the cirut

What's a "cirut?" You are so stupid, Markie, anybody can see why you
can't pass the General theory exam.
I can bet you didn't pass the no code tech until about after 20 times
of trying to pass it.

4561. Peoria, IL Superfest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-14 15:22:31 UTC
From: Pacman <nospam.pacman1_63@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <lqmdnajKZKsp7pTYnZ2dnUVZ_sudnZ2d@insightbb.com>
Source record: 6091

Peoria Superfest 2006
Amateur Radio Hamfest and Computer Show

September 16,17 2006
Exposition Gardens, Peoria IL
Northmoor and University Streets
(off 6300 Block of N. University)

Friday Hours 3pm - Dusk
Sat 6:00am - 4:00pm
Sun 6:00am - 2:00pm
Commercial Buildings Open 8:00am Sat & Sunday

More info click here http://www.peoriasuperfest.com/

KB9HGI

http://wcilscanner.home.insightbb.com

4562. Re: You've Got Mail...But No Job! Fun at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-14 15:26:58 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <450974b9$0$6848$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 6092
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:4508FEF9.573A65A5@earthlink.net...
>m II wrote:
>>
>> L. wrote:
>>
>> > I guess the adage applies - it IS a "Dog eat dog" world out there.
>>
>> Count your blessings. In a Totalitarian State, it's exactly the opposite!
>>
>> mike
>
>
> "Eat dog, eat"?
>
>

We'll reserve this one for you know who (name and nic name begins with
"S") - Mike! :)

L.

4563. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-14 15:38:36 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <45097774$0$6852$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 6093
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

```
"Mike Painter" <mddotpainter@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:0c7Og.694$Ij.627@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> Jeff wrote:
>> "Mike Painter" <mddotpainter@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
>> news:1kZNg.938$6S3.892@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
>>> Jeff wrote:
>>>> "Mike Painter" <mddotpainter@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:esWNg.819$e66.411@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>>>>
>>>>>> Dude - I DO think - every fucking day about those 3000 + killed.
>>>>>
>>>>> And they think about the tens of thousands who hve died at the
>>>>> hand of American arms.
>>>>>
>>>>
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------
>>>>
>>>> That is why they call it "war", and that is unfortunately
>>>> how you win wars. Every "real" leader i.e. Patton, have known this
>>>> for a long time. There is no
>>>> such thing as a "surgical" war. I dont care how careful you are.
>>>> Those ragheads have been terrorizing, and blowing people up for a
>>>> long, long time. Its time to
>>>> end it, no matter what it takes. If we dont, your grandkids will be
>>>> praying to Allah someday. Phrase from the Koran: " By the sword ye
>>>> shall convert"
>>>> And these bastards are seeing to it. Kill them ALL now, no matter
>>>> what it
>>>> takes ( Period ). But unfortunately we dont even need to have the
>>>> ragheads
>>>> ruin us,, the bleeding hearts in this country are already doing it
>>>> for them.
>>>
>>> Gosh, where have I heard that before. I remember, it was when we
>>> were trying to stop the domionos by fighting in Viet Nam.
>> ---------------------------------------------------------------------------------
>>
>> Lets try to compare apples to apples, instead of apples to
>> potatoes.
>
> The same arguments were used then. They were wrong then.
>
```

>>
>>>
>>> Phrases from the bible,
>>> Matthew 10:34
>>> Think not that I am come to send peace on earth: I came not to send
>>> peace, but a sword.
>>>
>>> Luke 12:51
>>> Suppose ye that I am come to give peace on earth? I tell you, Nay;
>>> but rather division: For from henceforth there shall be five in one
>>> house divided, three against two, and two against three. The father
>>> shall be divided against the son, and the son against the father;
>>> the mother against the daughter, and the daughter against the
>>> mother; the mother in law against her daughter in law, and the
>>> daughter in law against her mother in law.
>>>
>>> Luke 22:36
>>> He that hath no sword, let him sell his garment, and buy one.
>>> ----------
>>
>> That's cute,,, relevance??
>>
> The Christian bible is far bloodier than the Koran and a reference was
> made to a single similar verse in the Koran.
>

You know - I had a very strange experience today. I had a bunch of donkey shit - quotes from the "Koran" -sent to me by someone "supposedly" writing from the "Whitehouse". I wrote to the "Contact" address of the White House to let them know that "IF" it DID come from that "employee" that they need checked into and I DETEST having "religious" views shoved down my throat. I've not heard back - yet. The address "of course" - looked legit. It closely matched the one I got from the web FOR the Whitehouse. I "doubt" that was a legit address to start with - but if it was - then that writer had NO right to cast her views on me. ALSO - she had NO right to use an "official" address as it be (if it were so) - to do so. It is not for the Government to push religion.

I don't give a shit if they reply or not. My main concern is "maybe" a virus being started as there "appeared" to be some links which I didn't click on. I wanted them to know that "just in case". The whole thing stunk.

Oh and as for the "Koran" being less violent than the Bible - Ah..... then WHY is OBL and his "fairy" band of cock sucking followers vowing that ALL Christians, Jews, etc. SHOULD DIE if not following ISLAM who "uses" the "KORAN"? STUFF THE KORAN UP YOUR ASS.

L.

4564. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent another blast from th recent past

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-14 16:04:06 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1158249846.733593.244060@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6094
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
>
woger try http://www.mipsor.state.mi.us/mipsor

4565. simple dipole for newbie - copper, alum, or galv steel pipe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-14 20:41:53 UTC
From: Paul Monaghan <monaghan@shorelinelegal.com>
Message-ID: <qeejg2lo0a9vl70bjuve1btaru97i3aodq@4ax.com>
Source record: 6095

Hi folks, new here.

I have been in need of a weather radio, but when shopping for one this week it seemed such a waste to receive only 7 frequencies when I could be receiving thousands. So I ended up with a scanner, just like that.

Wow! Instant hobby! Big mistake! I'm a serial compulsive, so now it's time to start making antennas...

The scanner is one of those closeout RS Pro-2052s. With the stock antenna I can receive the local police at around 39 and some frequencies in the 100s, around 125 and 150, including civilian air. Maybe more, but I'll concentrate on these for now.

Would like to build a simple half-wave dipole to start with. In my garage I have a roll of 10ga solid copper, some solid aluminum wire maybe twice as thick (don't know what it is, is left over from a satellite TV system), and some galvanized 1-inch steel pipe.

Antenna would be mounted inside a detached garage so weather/weight are not significant factors.

Reading the archives I can't tell whether to go with the copper because it is a better conductor than the others, with the aluminum because it is thicker than the copper, or with the galv pipe because it is much thicker than the copper though somewhat lossy.

Any thoughts appreciated.

Thank you,
Paul

4566. Re: simple dipole for newbie - copper, alum, or galv steel pipe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-14 21:07:37 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <twjOg.1643$2g5.1383@newsfe7-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 6096

was of them all
if its inside make a dipole out of coax.

however.
i'd use the ally meself, you wont get a better sig with copper it would be
wasted and weather badly
CSA does not really play much of a role in RX only aerials

mike

"Paul Monaghan" <monaghan@shorelinelegal.com> wrote in message
news:qeejg2lo0a9vl70bjuve1btaru97i3aodq@4ax.com...
> Hi folks, new here.
>
> I have been in need of a weather radio, but when shopping for one this
> week it seemed such a waste to receive only 7 frequencies when I could
> be receiving thousands. So I ended up with a scanner, just like that.
>
> Wow! Instant hobby! Big mistake! I'm a serial compulsive, so now it's
> time to start making antennas...
>
> The scanner is one of those closeout RS Pro-2052s. With the stock
> antenna I can receive the local police at around 39 and some
> frequencies in the 100s, around 125 and 150, including civilian air.
> Maybe more, but I'll concentrate on these for now.
>
> Would like to build a simple half-wave dipole to start with. In my
> garage I have a roll of 10ga solid copper, some solid aluminum wire
> maybe twice as thick (don't know what it is, is left over from a
> satellite TV system), and some galvanized 1-inch steel pipe.
>
> Antenna would be mounted inside a detached garage so weather/weight
> are not significant factors.
>
> Reading the archives I can't tell whether to go with the copper
> because it is a better conductor than the others, with the aluminum
> because it is thicker than the copper, or with the galv pipe because
> it is much thicker than the copper though somewhat lossy.
>
> Any thoughts appreciated.
>
> Thank you,
> Paul

4567. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-15 02:34:48 UTC
From: ken foshee <kenfoshee@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4koOg.31$Af2.10@newsfe02.lga>
Source record: 6097
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

I'll agree with you 100%. I have a Tech license and enjoy the hobby very much. I plan on upgrading to General once the code issue is settled. I have always heard that "If you don't use it, you lose it". I have no interest in the code so why should I not be allowed to enjoy Ham radio. BTW, my CB license years back was KLW4194..

"kd5sak" <kd5sak@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:VIoNg.684$IA.216@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:hYmNg.68$UG4.55@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> "Allan9" <exatc@neo1.rr.com> wrote in
>> news:4504a838$0$6939$4c368faf@roadrunner.com:
>>
>>> Off the wall comment.
>>> I learned code in 1956 and haven't had to use it yet.
>>> Al
>>>
>>
>> You probably don't remember your callsign either, or do you prefer using
>> a CB
>> handle?
>>
>> SC
>
> I learned sufficient code to gain my upgrade to General in 2005 and passed
> the written test the same evening. I have no interest in using code
> further. I may change my mind on that later, but given my advanced years,
> the point may be moot. My primary interest as a Ham is in fiddling with
> antennas, only one of the 11 antennas scattered about my 7 acres was
> purchased. There are 5 at my detached shop/shack and 6 more back at the
> house to use when I desire air conditioning while pursuing my hobby.
> Three of these are indoor dipoles for use when bad weather threatens, as
> it often does here in southern Oklahoma. I hope all you other gentlemen
> continue to enjoy the access to the Amateur Bands allowed by what ever
> license class you possess.
>
> Harold
> KD5SAK
>

4568. Re: WTC 7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-15 12:19:36 UTC
From: mark-in-618@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22037-450A9A58-68@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 6098

I wish someone would please explain why WTC 7 came crashing down at 6 p.m. when nothing even hit that building? What did owner Larry Silverstein mean by, "pull it" just before it collapsed?

Do your own research instead of believing everything the controlled news media and our worthless elected officials tell us. Type "WTC 7" into a search engine and get educated on the subject instead of chastising others.

4569. Re: simple dipole for newbie - copper, alum, or galv steel pipe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-15 13:21:21 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@gwi.net>
Message-ID: <_f6dnefhN5c8NZfYnZ2dnUVZ_oKdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 6099

Make a Half - wave vertical with pipe. If the wall is dry wood, you can simply clamp it in place on the wall. You figure out the length of this antenna by the following formula

468 / freq (in MHZ)

Hook the center conductor of the coax to the antenna, and then simply run a wire from the shield braid of the coax to a nearby ground. Presto. You now have a half - wave vertical.

A l;ittle note on dipoles. the bands you want to listen to are primarily vertical in nature. so if you made a diplole, you would want to mount the thing vertically, not horizontally. The side of the diplole with the center conductor connection would go at the top.

the sides of this antenna can be figured out by the following formula

234 / freq (in MHZ)

this gives you one side of the dipole. shield to one side, center conductor to the other. I would use the copper wire for this.

Another antenna is the loop. the entire length of this antenna is figured out by the following

1005 / freq (in MHZ)

this is a loop of wire, and is usually tacked onto the wall. the hookup is made at the side of the loop for vertical polarization. Once again, the copper wire is best suited for this antenna. the only trouble with this antenna is that it is slightly directional, recieving best at a 90 degree angle to the plane of the loop in both directions. The loop is usually formed into a circle or a square on the wall. (square is the usual case).

all of the answers in these equations give the answer in feet and fractions of a foot. simply multiply the fractional part by 12 to get inches. rounding to the nearest inch is fine.

naturally, this mounting info is based on an indoor environment, outside mountings need to be dealt with a bit differently. also, we are assumiong that the building does not have metal siding, which will tend to block radio waves. These do make excellent attic mounted antennas in the house, by the way. Just mount the antenna on the end wall of the attic, straight up. If you feel you must insulate the antenna, then electric fence insulators are a quick and easy way to do this. simply tap the nails into a convenient stud to mount the antenna. The half wave vertical can also be made from the copper wire, by the way, and it will work well. The half wave does not require radials , by the way. That is why we simply run a ground wire from

the braid to ground on this antenna.

for the coax connection points, a piece of thick plexiglass makes a good terminal block. just drill a couple holes in the block, close together, and put an extra washer and nut on the screws. walla ! you have a place to hook the wires up. Screw spacing ? 1/2 inch or so works pretty well....

"Paul Monaghan" <monaghan@shorelinelegal.com> wrote in message news:qeejg2lo0a9vl70bjuve1btaru97i3aodq@4ax.com...
> Hi folks, new here.
>
> I have been in need of a weather radio, but when shopping for one this
> week it seemed such a waste to receive only 7 frequencies when I could
> be receiving thousands. So I ended up with a scanner, just like that.
>
> Wow! Instant hobby! Big mistake! I'm a serial compulsive, so now it's
> time to start making antennas...
>
> The scanner is one of those closeout RS Pro-2052s. With the stock
> antenna I can receive the local police at around 39 and some
> frequencies in the 100s, around 125 and 150, including civilian air.
> Maybe more, but I'll concentrate on these for now.
>
> Would like to build a simple half-wave dipole to start with. In my
> garage I have a roll of 10ga solid copper, some solid aluminum wire
> maybe twice as thick (don't know what it is, is left over from a
> satellite TV system), and some galvanized 1-inch steel pipe.
>
> Antenna would be mounted inside a detached garage so weather/weight
> are not significant factors.
>
> Reading the archives I can't tell whether to go with the copper
> because it is a better conductor than the others, with the aluminum
> because it is thicker than the copper, or with the galv pipe because
> it is much thicker than the copper though somewhat lossy.
>
> Any thoughts appreciated.
>
> Thank you,
> Paul

4570. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-15 19:45:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <4n0mg2l22d4mj0eq978mq2o38g5rk9tns7@4ax.com>
Source record: 6100
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:58:34 GMT, WsW <respond@use.net> wrote:

>You should have put as much effort into fighting BPL..
>
>
>
>On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 23:23:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>
>>Or just lazy people out?
>>
>>Sc
would have been a better use of the ffort agreed
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4571. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-15 20:46:49 UTC
From: nm5k@wt.net
Message-ID: <1158353208.991127.141500@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6101
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> Or just lazy people out?
>
> Sc

I wish it would keep all you "non antenna related" goofballs
out of the antenna newsgroup. Why don't you all get some
kind of life on a group that pertains to stuff like that. IE: misc..
MK

4572. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-15 23:25:13 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <tDGOg.12701$xQ1.1604@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6102
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"ken foshee" <kenfoshee@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:4koOg.31$Af2.10@newsfe02.lga:

> I'll agree with you 100%. I have a Tech license and enjoy the hobby very
> much. I plan on upgrading to General once the code issue is settled. I
> have always heard that "If you don't use it, you lose it". I have no
> interest in the code so why should I not be allowed to enjoy Ham radio.
> BTW, my CB license years back was KLW4194..

That's why you'll never be an asset to the ham radio service. You're too
lazy to be an asset.

SC

4573. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-15 23:33:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <v1emg2lqu0ijm8klpacmd05v0eekm1u5t3@4ax.com>
Source record: 6103
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 23:25:13 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"ken foshee" <kenfoshee@hotmail.com> wrote in
>news:4koOg.31$Af2.10@newsfe02.lga:
>
>> I'll agree with you 100%. I have a Tech license and enjoy the hobby very
>> much. I plan on upgrading to General once the code issue is settled. I
>> have always heard that "If you don't use it, you lose it". I have no
>> interest in the code so why should I not be allowed to enjoy Ham radio.
>> BTW, my CB license years back was KLW4194..
>
>
>That's why you'll never be an asset to the ham radio service. You're too
>lazy to be an asset.
you are too cowardly to be an asset

>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4574. Re: simple dipole for newbie - copper, alum, or galv steel pipe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-16 00:43:03 UTC
From: Dale Parfitt <par@parelectronics.com>
Message-ID: <rMHOg.91$W13.22@trnddc05>
Source record: 6104

"Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@gwi.net> wrote in message
news:_f6dnefhN5c8NZfYnZ2dnUVZ_oKdnZ2d@giganews.com...
> Make a Half - wave vertical with pipe. If the wall is dry wood, you can
> simply clamp it in place on the wall. You figure out the length of this
> antenna by the following formula
>
> 468 / freq (in MHZ)
>
> Hook the center conductor of the coax to the antenna, and then simply run
> a wire from the shield braid of the coax to a nearby ground. Presto. You
> now have a half - wave vertical.
>
The end impedance of a half wave antenna is in the neighborhood of
1000-->5000 Ohms depending on the diameter of the conductor; fatter
conductors, lower Z.

Connecting a coaxial cable to the bottom end of a half wave element and the
shield to ground will then result in a V.S.W.R. of roughly 2500/50 or
500:1. You need to convert the feed Z to 5o Ohms either by:

1. A quarter wave stub as in the popular J antenna
2. A lumped element L or Pi network

Dale W4OP

4575. Re: simple dipole for newbie - copper, alum, or galv steel pipe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-16 01:11:53 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@gwi.net>
Message-ID: <R7CdnXFVjoe-0pbYnZ2dnUVZ_vydnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 6105

"Dale Parfitt" <par@parelectronics.com> wrote in message
news:rMHOg.91$W13.22@trnddc05...
>
> "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@gwi.net> wrote in message
> news:_f6dnefhN5c8NZfYnZ2dnUVZ_oKdnZ2d@giganews.com...
>> Make a Half - wave vertical with pipe. If the wall is dry wood, you can
>> simply clamp it in place on the wall. You figure out the length of this
>> antenna by the following formula
>>
>> 468 / freq (in MHZ)
>>
>> Hook the center conductor of the coax to the antenna, and then simply run
>> a wire from the shield braid of the coax to a nearby ground. Presto. You
>> now have a half - wave vertical.
>>
> The end impedance of a half wave antenna is in the neighborhood of
> 1000-->5000 Ohms depending on the diameter of the conductor; fatter
> conductors, lower Z
The END impedance is not where you are making your connection. The impedance
at the connection point on a half wave vertical is about 30 to 35 ohms. Not
a perfect match , but certainly not 500 to 1 !.
>
> Connecting a coaxial cable to the bottom end of a half wave element and
> the shield to ground will then result in a V.S.W.R. of roughly 2500/50 or
> 500:1. You need to convert the feed Z to 5o Ohms either by:

They didnt "convert" it when i ran a half wave whip on my car , and the
shield was grounded to the chassis. I ran this for 15 years and never had an
swr of over 1.5. My 40 meter vertical outside doesnt have an swr of 500,
either, and it has worked well over the last 20 years. No stub matching is
required in either case. Better tell this to the thousands of hams that have
been running these antennas for the last 50 years ! No antenna matcher is
needed, either (your lumped L or pi network). The formulas and the antenna
itself came right out of the arrl handbook.
>
> 1. A quarter wave stub as in the popular J antenna
> 2. A lumped element L or Pi network
>
>
> Dale W4OP
>

4576. Re: simple dipole for newbie - copper, alum, or galv steel pipe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-16 02:18:48 UTC
From: Dale Parfitt <par@parelectronics.com>
Message-ID: <caJOg.123$GO2.84@trnddc01>
Source record: 6106

"Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@gwi.net> wrote in message
news:R7CdnXFVjoe-0pbYnZ2dnUVZ_vydnZ2d@giganews.com...
>
> "Dale Parfitt" <par@parelectronics.com> wrote in message
> news:rMHOg.91$W13.22@trnddc05...
>>
>> "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@gwi.net> wrote in message
>> news:_f6dnefhN5c8NZfYnZ2dnUVZ_oKdnZ2d@giganews.com...
>>> Make a Half - wave vertical with pipe. If the wall is dry wood, you can
>>> simply clamp it in place on the wall. You figure out the length of this
>>> antenna by the following formula
>>>
>>> 468 / freq (in MHZ)
>>>
>>> Hook the center conductor of the coax to the antenna, and then simply
>>> run a wire from the shield braid of the coax to a nearby ground. Presto.
>>> You now have a half - wave vertical.
>>>
>> The end impedance of a half wave antenna is in the neighborhood of
>> 1000-->5000 Ohms depending on the diameter of the conductor; fatter
>> conductors, lower Z
> The END impedance is not where you are making your connection. The
> impedance at the connection point on a half wave vertical is about 30 to
> 35 ohms. Not a perfect match , but certainly not 500 to 1 !.
>>
>> Connecting a coaxial cable to the bottom end of a half wave element and
>> the shield to ground will then result in a V.S.W.R. of roughly 2500/50
>> or 500:1. You need to convert the feed Z to 5o Ohms either by:
>
> They didnt "convert" it when i ran a half wave whip on my car , and the
> shield was grounded to the chassis. I ran this for 15 years and never had
> an swr of over 1.5. My 40 meter vertical outside doesnt have an swr of
> 500, either, and it has worked well over the last 20 years. No stub
> matching is required in either case. Better tell this to the thousands of
> hams that have been running these antennas for the last 50 years ! No
> antenna matcher is needed, either (your lumped L or pi network). The
> formulas and the antenna itself came right out of the arrl handbook.

So, you're telling me you have a 66' tall 40M vertical that is fed directly
with coax?
I would appreciate a model of the antenna in EZNEC or ELNEC or AO. In
addition, the reference and year of the Handbook or any professional journal
where it describes end feeding a half wave antenna directly with coaxial
cable.

Dale W4OP

4577. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-16 07:55:35 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <8tang2poskvrigk9hp40ab5gdkbjh20efv@4ax.com>
Source record: 6107
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 23:25:13 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>"ken foshee" <kenfoshee@hotmail.com> wrote in
>news:4koOg.31$Af2.10@newsfe02.lga:
>
>> I'll agree with you 100%. I have a Tech license and enjoy the hobby very
>> much. I plan on upgrading to General once the code issue is settled. I
>> have always heard that "If you don't use it, you lose it". I have no
>> interest in the code so why should I not be allowed to enjoy Ham radio.
>> BTW, my CB license years back was KLW4194..
>
>
>That's why you'll never be an asset to the ham radio service. You're too
>lazy to be an asset.

Just what makes a person an "asset to the ham radio service"? That
sounds just as stupid as an "asset to the telephone service". Like it
or not, ham radio is just a means of communication that has world-wide
reach. Listen up, the "ham radio service" isn't some illustrious
organization. It's just a means for people around to world to chat
live. It can have great value in some emergencies, but most of it's
use is idle chatter.

4578. Re: simple dipole for newbie - copper, alum, or galv steel pipe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-16 08:14:59 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@gwi.net>
Message-ID: <CrCdnccp_s7UL5bYnZ2dnUVZ_oydnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 6108

Yes, I do have a 66 foot vertical, that is suspended from about an 80 foot tree out here, and i have been using it for a very long time. And the mobil antenna is still on the old truck, also. Out here in the country, they dont care what you put up for antennas. I also have a horizontal loop antenna out there that is 150 feet on each side.

If you are so convinced that it doesnt work, then try it out. Its just that simple. Put a three foot one up in the attic, as i described, and see if it "fails to work".on the 155 mhz police band. Out here, we dont use EZNEC. We just put 'em up, tune 'em, and use 'em. The antennas I dexcribed here are well known to any novice operator (back when you had to know something about it). The half - wave vertical is problably one of the oldest antennas on the ham bands. It's not some "new idea" that i dreamed up.....

"Dale Parfitt" <par@parelectronics.com> wrote in message
news:caJOg.123$GO2.84@trnddc01...
>
> "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@gwi.net> wrote in message
> news:R7CdnXFVjoe-0pbYnZ2dnUVZ_vydnZ2d@giganews.com...
>>
>> "Dale Parfitt" <par@parelectronics.com> wrote in message
>> news:rMHOg.91$W13.22@trnddc05...
>>>
>>> "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@gwi.net> wrote in message
>>> news:_f6dnefhN5c8NZfYnZ2dnUVZ_oKdnZ2d@giganews.com...
>>>> Make a Half - wave vertical with pipe. If the wall is dry wood, you can
>>>> simply clamp it in place on the wall. You figure out the length of this
>>>> antenna by the following formula
>>>>
>>>> 468 / freq (in MHZ)
>>>>
>>>> Hook the center conductor of the coax to the antenna, and then simply
>>>> run a wire from the shield braid of the coax to a nearby ground.
>>>> Presto. You now have a half - wave vertical.
>>>>
>>> The end impedance of a half wave antenna is in the neighborhood of
>>> 1000-->5000 Ohms depending on the diameter of the conductor; fatter
>>> conductors, lower Z
>> The END impedance is not where you are making your connection. The
>> impedance at the connection point on a half wave vertical is about 30 to
>> 35 ohms. Not a perfect match , but certainly not 500 to 1 !.
>>>
>>> Connecting a coaxial cable to the bottom end of a half wave element and
>>> the shield to ground will then result in a V.S.W.R. of roughly 2500/50
>>> or 500:1. You need to convert the feed Z to 5o Ohms either by:

>>
>> They didnt "convert" it when i ran a half wave whip on my car , and the
>> shield was grounded to the chassis. I ran this for 15 years and never had
>> an swr of over 1.5. My 40 meter vertical outside doesnt have an swr of
>> 500, either, and it has worked well over the last 20 years. No stub
>> matching is required in either case. Better tell this to the thousands of
>> hams that have been running these antennas for the last 50 years ! No
>> antenna matcher is needed, either (your lumped L or pi network). The
>> formulas and the antenna itself came right out of the arrl handbook.
>
> So, you're telling me you have a 66' tall 40M vertical that is fed
> directly with coax?
> I would appreciate a model of the antenna in EZNEC or ELNEC or AO. In
> addition, the reference and year of the Handbook or any professional
> journal where it describes end feeding a half wave antenna directly with
> coaxial cable.
>
> Dale W4OP
>

4579. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-16 09:04:00 UTC
From: Ed Cregger <ecregger@bellsouff.net>
Message-ID: <16POg.1419$eW5.615@bignews5.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 6109
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:K71Ng.10421$xQ1.5666@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "Unit 200" <anon@anon> wrote in news:9t825.r9a.19.1@news.alt.net:
>
>> Long time no hear, Len. Good to see that you are out and about and
>> belaboring Usenet with your tripe.
>> I'm sure that you feel much relieved after posting a ten paragraph
>> diatribe...you oldsters seem to feel like youngsters after passing a few
>> cubic feet of natural gas.
>> Did you rattle your Rely diapers while so doing?
>> You didn't "brit your shitches", did you? I hate it when Flatulent Old
>> Men play their tunes while pretending they are not the fartee....
>
>
>
> ROFLMAO
>
>
> That was good.
>
> Sc

Pssssst! I hate to break this to you, but if you are lucky enough to
survive, you too will be old some day. Come to think of it, with your
smartassed attitudes, the chances of that happening are pretty slim.

Sparky

4580. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-16 09:23:01 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <3445606.nyd3PnXgvu@www.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 6110
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

Toto the Dog wrote:

> Each tower had 40 huge steel columns running up the centre. If the
> booms you can hear during the collapse were the floors hitting each
> other and not demolition charges why were these steel columns not left
> standing but found at the debris pile in uniform 30 foot lengths? Why
> weren't those columns standing after the floors had suposedly 'slid
> down' around them?
>
> Here's one of the columns:
> http://img225.imageshack.us/img225/2403/meltedcolumnfy6.jpg
>
had one of my friends look at that so-called evidence of yours. that picture
is so low res you can't even tell that it is ground zero, let alone what is
there.

nice try but no soap boss!

4581. CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-16 11:54:34 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <telstarelectronics@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <eegols$1e24$1@registered.motzarella.org>
Source record: 6111
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

I build these in my basemant.

www.telstar-electronics.com

4582. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-16 13:28:27 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <%ZSOg.1900$GR.647@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 6112
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

nm5k@wt.net wrote:
> I wish it would keep all you "non antenna related" goofballs
> out of the antenna newsgroup. Why don't you all get some
> kind of life on a group that pertains to stuff like that. IE: misc..

I believe that r.r.a.policy was created to keep such
off of r.r.a.misc
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

4583. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-16 15:14:56 UTC
From: Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <C1316300.43595%dbowey@comcast.net>
Source record: 6113
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

On 9/16/06 4:54 AM, in article eegols$1e24$1@registered.motzarella.org,
"Brian Griffey" <telstarelectronics@yahoo.com> wrote:

> I build these in my basemant.
>
> www.telstar-electronics.com
>
>

Thanks.

Forwarded to FCC.

4584. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-16 16:09:43 UTC
From: The Professor <telstarelectronics@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <eeh7ka$1oee$1@registered.motzarella.org>
Source record: 6114
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

You didn't forward shit you crybaby. LOL

www.telstar-electronics.com

>
"Don Bowey" <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:C1316300.43595%dbowey@comcast.net...
> On 9/16/06 4:54 AM, in article eegols$1e24$1@registered.motzarella.org,
> "Brian Griffey" <telstarelectronics@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>> I build these in my basemant.
>>
>> www.telstar-electronics.com
>>
>>
>
> Thanks.
>
> Forwarded to FCC.
>
>

4585. Re: WTC 7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-16 16:23:15 UTC
From: Bruce Markowitz <scosgt@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <TxVOg.52081$QM6.36360@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 6115

From someone who was there:
Please stop being an asshole.

One Liberty Plaza was also thought to be in imminent danger of collapse, but
the foundation was not found to be as badly damaged by the impact of two
1400 foot buildings collapsing as was 7 WTC

Now crawl back into your hole.

"Mark" <mark-in-618@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:22037-450A9A58-68@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net...
> I wish someone would please explain why WTC 7 came crashing down at 6
> p.m. when nothing even hit that building? What did owner Larry
> Silverstein mean by, "pull it" just before it collapsed?
>
> Do your own research instead of believing everything the controlled news
> media and our worthless elected officials tell us. Type "WTC 7" into a
> search engine and get educated on the subject instead of chastising
> others.
>

4586. Re: simple dipole for newbie - copper, alum, or galv steel pipe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-16 19:26:09 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <aijog2lkf6iuq3jtu0t8qft33aiubp84cu@4ax.com>
Source record: 6116

On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:41:53 GMT, Paul Monaghan
<monaghan@shorelinelegal.com> wrote:

>Reading the archives I can't tell whether to go with the copper
>because it is a better conductor than the others, with the aluminum
>because it is thicker than the copper, or with the galv pipe because
>it is much thicker than the copper though somewhat lossy.

It's a mechanical decision, mostly. The antenna has to be 1/2
wavelength long (and vertical) for best reception, so consider that
when you decide what to use.

My personal decision would be a ground plane, not a dipole. Radials
(the horizontal elements) about 75" each (for the 39 MHz signals) and
a few vertical elements - one 75" long, one about 26" long for the
aircraft band and another about 19" long for the 150-160 MHz range.
(You can add as many as you like - the only constraint is mechanical -
how do you do it?)

4587. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-16 19:35:42 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <b6kog2hjuiv1u3n4rdjgpgd05qiad9dmqi@4ax.com>
Source record: 6117
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 11:38:36 -0400, "L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote:

>Oh and as for the "Koran" being less violent than the Bible - Ah.....
>then WHY is OBL and his "fairy" band of cock sucking followers vowing that
>ALL Christians, Jews, etc. SHOULD DIE if not following ISLAM who "uses" the
>"KORAN"?

Same reason all of Christendom wanted to kill all non-Christians in the Holy Lands back in the Crusades?

Same reason Catholics killed Protestants and Protestants killed Catholics in the Hundred Years' War?

Same Reason Christians tried to eliminate all non-Christians in Armenia in the early part of the last century?

On the whole there's a larger percentage of bloodthirsty-against-not-us Christians than there is in any other religion.

BTW, the only part of Islam that follows the tenets that OBL does, as far as killing all infidels, is the Wahabi sect, which is as much a part of mainstream Islam as Santoria is part of mainstream Christianity. When's the last time they killed a goat on the altar at St. Pat's?

4588. Re: simple dipole for newbie - copper, alum, or galv steel pipe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-16 21:39:32 UTC
From: Bob <warthog9@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <oa_Og.1202$lj.345@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 6118

"Make a half wave vertical and simply run a wire to the nearest ground
and presto", you have a very high impedance antenna that will not match
to 50 ohms and is very bad advice. Make it a quarter wavelength vertical
radiator and ground the coax to something substantial, that would be
better advice. On the half wave dipole, it doesnï¿½t matter if the element
connected to the center of the coax points up or down, the antenna
doesnï¿½t know any different and it works the same.
Bob

Zombie Wolf wrote:
> Make a Half - wave vertical with pipe. If the wall is dry wood, you can
> simply clamp it in place on the wall. You figure out the length of this
> antenna by the following formula
>
> 468 / freq (in MHZ)
>
> Hook the center conductor of the coax to the antenna, and then simply
> run a wire from the shield braid of the coax to a nearby ground. Presto.
> You now have a half - wave vertical.
>
> A l;ittle note on dipoles. the bands you want to listen to are primarily
> vertical in nature. so if you made a diplole, you would want to mount
> the thing vertically, not horizontally. The side of the diplole with the
> center conductor connection would go at the top.
>
> the sides of this antenna can be figured out by the following formula
>
> 234 / freq (in MHZ)
>
> this gives you one side of the dipole. shield to one side, center
> conductor to the other. I would use the copper wire for this.
>
> Another antenna is the loop. the entire length of this antenna is
> figured out by the following
>
> 1005 / freq (in MHZ)
>
> this is a loop of wire, and is usually tacked onto the wall. the hookup
> is made at the side of the loop for vertical polarization. Once again,
> the copper wire is best suited for this antenna. the only trouble with
> this antenna is that it is slightly directional, recieving best at a 90
> degree angle to the plane of the loop in both directions. The loop is
> usually formed into a circle or a square on the wall. (square is the
> usual case).

>
>
> all of the answers in these equations give the answer in feet and
> fractions of a foot. simply multiply the fractional part by 12 to get
> inches. rounding to the nearest inch is fine.
>
> naturally, this mounting info is based on an indoor environment, outside
> mountings need to be dealt with a bit differently. also, we are
> assumiong that the building does not have metal siding, which will tend
> to block radio waves. These do make excellent attic mounted antennas in
> the house, by the way. Just mount the antenna on the end wall of the
> attic, straight up. If you feel you must insulate the antenna, then
> electric fence insulators are a quick and easy way to do this. simply
> tap the nails into a convenient stud to mount the antenna. The half wave
> vertical can also be made from the copper wire, by the way, and it will
> work well. The half wave does not require radials , by the way. That is
> why we simply run a ground wire from the braid to ground on this antenna.
>
> for the coax connection points, a piece of thick plexiglass makes a good
> terminal block. just drill a couple holes in the block, close together,
> and put an extra washer and nut on the screws. walla ! you have a place
> to hook the wires up. Screw spacing ? 1/2 inch or so works pretty well....
>
>
>
> "Paul Monaghan" <monaghan@shorelinelegal.com> wrote in message
> news:qeejg2lo0a9vl70bjuve1btaru97i3aodq@4ax.com...
>> Hi folks, new here.
>>
>> I have been in need of a weather radio, but when shopping for one this
>> week it seemed such a waste to receive only 7 frequencies when I could
>> be receiving thousands. So I ended up with a scanner, just like that.
>>
>> Wow! Instant hobby! Big mistake! I'm a serial compulsive, so now it's
>> time to start making antennas...
>>
>> The scanner is one of those closeout RS Pro-2052s. With the stock
>> antenna I can receive the local police at around 39 and some
>> frequencies in the 100s, around 125 and 150, including civilian air.
>> Maybe more, but I'll concentrate on these for now.
>>
>> Would like to build a simple half-wave dipole to start with. In my
>> garage I have a roll of 10ga solid copper, some solid aluminum wire
>> maybe twice as thick (don't know what it is, is left over from a
>> satellite TV system), and some galvanized 1-inch steel pipe.
>>
>> Antenna would be mounted inside a detached garage so weather/weight
>> are not significant factors.
>>
>> Reading the archives I can't tell whether to go with the copper

>> because it is a better conductor than the others, with the aluminum
>> because it is thicker than the copper, or with the galv pipe because
>> it is much thicker than the copper though somewhat lossy.
>>
>> Any thoughts appreciated.
>>
>> Thank you,
>> Paul
>

4589. Re: simple dipole for newbie - copper, alum, or galv steel pipe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-16 21:57:50 UTC
From: Bob <warthog9@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <yr_Og.1212$lj.139@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 6119

Ladies and gentlemen, here is a perfect example of CB mentality that has plagued the radio hobby from the beginning. Someone here obviously doesnï¿½t understand the fundamental nature of antennas but preaches bogus information as it was gospel. Maybe the half wavelength mobile antenna with the perfect match he refers to is his fiberglass loaded CB antenna, which is advertised in half, full, one and a half, and every combination of silly lengths to please CBrs as the dealers take their money. They do match ok, but are not the full length, end fed, very high impedance half wave antenna that he originally described in this thread. If you actually read the ARRL handbook you will see Mr. Wolfiï¿½s grievous mistakes.
Bob

Zombie Wolf wrote:
> Yes, I do have a 66 foot vertical, that is suspended from about an 80
> foot tree out here, and i have been using it for a very long time. And
> the mobil antenna is still on the old truck, also. Out here in the
> country, they dont care what you put up for antennas. I also have a
> horizontal loop antenna out there that is 150 feet on each side.
>
> If you are so convinced that it doesnt work, then try it out. Its just
> that simple. Put a three foot one up in the attic, as i described, and
> see if it "fails to work".on the 155 mhz police band. Out here, we dont
> use EZNEC. We just put 'em up, tune 'em, and use 'em. The antennas I
> dexcribed here are well known to any novice operator (back when you had
> to know something about it). The half - wave vertical is problably one
> of the oldest antennas on the ham bands. It's not some "new idea" that i
> dreamed up.....
>
> "Dale Parfitt" <par@parelectronics.com> wrote in message
> news:caJOg.123$GO2.84@trnddc01...
>>
>> "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@gwi.net> wrote in message
>> news:R7CdnXFVjoe-0pbYnZ2dnUVZ_vydnZ2d@giganews.com...
>>>
>>> "Dale Parfitt" <par@parelectronics.com> wrote in message
>>> news:rMHOg.91$W13.22@trnddc05...
>>>>
>>>> "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@gwi.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:_f6dnefhN5c8NZfYnZ2dnUVZ_oKdnZ2d@giganews.com...
>>>>> Make a Half - wave vertical with pipe. If the wall is dry wood, you
>>>>> can simply clamp it in place on the wall. You figure out the length
>>>>> of this antenna by the following formula
>>>>>
>>>>> 468 / freq (in MHZ)

>>>>>
>>>>> Hook the center conductor of the coax to the antenna, and then
>>>>> simply run a wire from the shield braid of the coax to a nearby
>>>>> ground. Presto. You now have a half - wave vertical.
>>>>>
>>>> The end impedance of a half wave antenna is in the neighborhood of
>>>> 1000-->5000 Ohms depending on the diameter of the conductor; fatter
>>>> conductors, lower Z
>>> The END impedance is not where you are making your connection. The
>>> impedance at the connection point on a half wave vertical is about 30
>>> to 35 ohms. Not a perfect match , but certainly not 500 to 1 !.
>>>>
>>>> Connecting a coaxial cable to the bottom end of a half wave element
>>>> and the shield to ground will then result in a V.S.W.R. of roughly
>>>> 2500/50 or 500:1. You need to convert the feed Z to 5o Ohms either by:
>>>
>>> They didnt "convert" it when i ran a half wave whip on my car , and
>>> the shield was grounded to the chassis. I ran this for 15 years and
>>> never had an swr of over 1.5. My 40 meter vertical outside doesnt
>>> have an swr of 500, either, and it has worked well over the last 20
>>> years. No stub matching is required in either case. Better tell this
>>> to the thousands of hams that have been running these antennas for
>>> the last 50 years ! No antenna matcher is needed, either (your lumped
>>> L or pi network). The formulas and the antenna itself came right out
>>> of the arrl handbook.
>>
>> So, you're telling me you have a 66' tall 40M vertical that is fed
>> directly with coax?
>> I would appreciate a model of the antenna in EZNEC or ELNEC or AO. In
>> addition, the reference and year of the Handbook or any professional
>> journal where it describes end feeding a half wave antenna directly
>> with coaxial cable.
>>
>> Dale W4OP
>>
>

4590. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-16 22:18:06 UTC
From: Mike Painter <mddotpainter@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <yK_Og.2596$e66.768@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 6120
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

Al Klein wrote:
> On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 11:38:36 -0400, "L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote:
>
>> Oh and as for the "Koran" being less violent than the Bible -
>> Ah.......... then WHY is OBL and his "fairy" band of cock sucking
>> followers vowing that ALL Christians, Jews, etc. SHOULD DIE if not
>> following ISLAM who "uses" the "KORAN"?
>
> Same reason all of Christendom wanted to kill all non-Christians in
> the Holy Lands back in the Crusades?
>
> Same reason Catholics killed Protestants and Protestants killed
> Catholics in the Hundred Years' War?
>
> Same Reason Christians tried to eliminate all non-Christians in
> Armenia in the early part of the last century?
>
> On the whole there's a larger percentage of
> bloodthirsty-against-not-us Christians than there is in any other
> religion.
>
> BTW, the only part of Islam that follows the tenets that OBL does, as
> far as killing all infidels, is the Wahabi sect, which is as much a
> part of mainstream Islam as Santoria is part of mainstream
> Christianity. When's the last time they killed a goat on the altar at
> St. Pat's?

Or committed acts of cannibalism, uh, wait don't push sen

4591. Re: You'll probably never have to use CW to save a life.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-16 23:24:22 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <GI%Og.13124$xQ1.5908@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6121
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Ed Cregger" <ecregger@bellsouff.net> wrote in
news:16POg.1419$eW5.615@bignews5.bellsouth.net:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:K71Ng.10421$xQ1.5666@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> "Unit 200" <anon@anon> wrote in news:9t825.r9a.19.1@news.alt.net:
>>
>>> Long time no hear, Len. Good to see that you are out and about and
>>> belaboring Usenet with your tripe.
>>> I'm sure that you feel much relieved after posting a ten paragraph
>>> diatribe...you oldsters seem to feel like youngsters after passing a
>>> few cubic feet of natural gas.
>>> Did you rattle your Rely diapers while so doing?
>>> You didn't "brit your shitches", did you? I hate it when Flatulent Old
>>> Men play their tunes while pretending they are not the fartee....
>>
>>
>>
>> ROFLMAO
>>
>>
>> That was good.
>>
>> Sc
>
>
> Psssssst! I hate to break this to you, but if you are lucky enough to
> survive, you too will be old some day. Come to think of it, with your
> smartassed attitudes, the chances of that happening are pretty slim.
>
> Sparky

I'd rather be a smartass than a dumb ass, Dumb Ass.

Lenny is full of hot air. It was hilarious watching the original poster
point that out to him.

Now don't let the door hit you in the butt on the way out.

SC

4592. Re: simple dipole for newbie - copper, alum, or galv steel pipe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-17 00:11:37 UTC
From: Dale Parfitt <par@parelectronics.com>
Message-ID: <Zo0Pg.690$W13.431@trnddc05>
Source record: 6122

"Bob" <warthog9@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:yr_Og.1212$lj.139@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> Ladies and gentlemen, here is a perfect example of CB mentality that has
> plagued the radio hobby from the beginning. Someone here obviously doesn't
> understand the fundamental nature of antennas but preaches bogus
> information as it was gospel. Maybe the half wavelength mobile antenna
> with the perfect match he refers to is his fiberglass loaded CB antenna,
> which is advertised in half, full, one and a half, and every combination
> of silly lengths to please CBrs as the dealers take their money. They do
> match ok, but are not the full length, end fed, very high impedance half
> wave antenna that he originally described in this thread. If you actually
> read the ARRL handbook you will see Mr. Wolf's grievous mistakes.
> Bob
>
>
Aah! A voice of reason. I well remember the CB ads re 1/2 wave and full wave
antennas. As far as I could tell, the only thing half wave was maybe the
overall length of wire if it were unwound and stretched out straight.

Dale W4OP

4593. slow code stalker at large

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-17 02:11:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1158459100.675619.116490@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6123
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Slow Code wrote:
>
slow code stalker at large
```

4594. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-17 02:35:26 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <450cb465$0$21540$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 6124
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

"Mike Painter" <mddotpainter@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:yK_Og.2596$e66.768@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> Al Klein wrote:
>> On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 11:38:36 -0400, "L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote:
>>
>>> Oh and as for the "Koran" being less violent than the Bible -
>>> Ah.......... then WHY is OBL and his "fairy" band of cock sucking
>>> followers vowing that ALL Christians, Jews, etc. SHOULD DIE if not
>>> following ISLAM who "uses" the "KORAN"?
>>
>> Same reason all of Christendom wanted to kill all non-Christians in
>> the Holy Lands back in the Crusades?
>>
>> Same reason Catholics killed Protestants and Protestants killed
>> Catholics in the Hundred Years' War?
>>
>> Same Reason Christians tried to eliminate all non-Christians in
>> Armenia in the early part of the last century?
>>
>> On the whole there's a larger percentage of
>> bloodthirsty-against-not-us Christians than there is in any other
>> religion.
>>
>> BTW, the only part of Islam that follows the tenets that OBL does, as
>> far as killing all infidels, is the Wahabi sect, which is as much a
>> part of mainstream Islam as Santoria is part of mainstream
>> Christianity. When's the last time they killed a goat on the altar at
>> St. Pat's?
>
> Or committed acts of cannibalism, uh, wait don't push sen

"I" haven't mentioned - that Christianity was or wasn't a cause of wars - I called the bible a "history" book. It DOES speak of wars. Hell, even when Christ supposedly was taken, there was upheaval. MY point wasn't with all that crap - mine was "strictly" dealing with the "Koran" which Mike seems to be pushing and my disgust with it and the cock sucker currently using it for his excuse to murder. The one "responsible" for bringing the towers down.

L.

4595. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-17 03:03:54 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <8nepg2t80bb9ftinvchrbc9410o6j7438g@4ax.com>
Source record: 6125
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 07:54:34 -0400, "Brian Griffey"
<telstarelectronics@yahoo.com> wrote:

>I build these in my basemant.

Even if it WAS legal to use them, yours aren't type approved, so it
would be illegal to use yours.

Jut a word to the gullible.

4596. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-17 03:06:37 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <0repg25l7j201d5ac7vu28u9j9duo3q09p@4ax.com>
Source record: 6126
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 22:35:26 -0400, "L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote:

>"I" haven't mentioned - that Christianity was or wasn't a cause of wars - I
>called the bible a "history" book.

Only if you consider "history" to refer to fiction.

> It DOES speak of wars. Hell, even when
>Christ supposedly was taken, there was upheaval. MY point wasn't with all
>that crap - mine was "strictly" dealing with the "Koran" which Mike seems to
>be pushing and my disgust with it and the cock sucker currently using it for
>his excuse to murder. The one "responsible" for bringing the towers down.

The one responsible for the towers will most likely be judged by
history to be a Christian.

4597. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-17 03:26:04 UTC
From: Mike Painter <mddotpainter@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <gf3Pg.1547$vJ2.1133@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 6127
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

```
L. wrote:
> "Mike Painter" <mddotpainter@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:yK_Og.2596$e66.768@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>> Al Klein wrote:
>>> On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 11:38:36 -0400, "L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>> Oh and as for the "Koran" being less violent than the Bible -
>>>> Ah.......... then WHY is OBL and his "fairy" band of cock sucking
>>>> followers vowing that ALL Christians, Jews, etc. SHOULD DIE if not
>>>> following ISLAM who "uses" the "KORAN"?
>>>
>>> Same reason all of Christendom wanted to kill all non-Christians in
>>> the Holy Lands back in the Crusades?
>>>
>>> Same reason Catholics killed Protestants and Protestants killed
>>> Catholics in the Hundred Years' War?
>>>
>>> Same Reason Christians tried to eliminate all non-Christians in
>>> Armenia in the early part of the last century?
>>>
>>> On the whole there's a larger percentage of
>>> bloodthirsty-against-not-us Christians than there is in any other
>>> religion.
>>>
>>> BTW, the only part of Islam that follows the tenets that OBL does,
>>> as far as killing all infidels, is the Wahabi sect, which is as
>>> much a part of mainstream Islam as Santoria is part of mainstream
>>> Christianity. When's the last time they killed a goat on the altar
>>> at St. Pat's?
>>
>> Or committed acts of cannibalism, uh, wait don't push sen
>
> "I" haven't mentioned - that Christianity was or wasn't a cause of
> wars - I called the bible a "history" book. It DOES speak of wars.
> Hell, even when Christ supposedly was taken, there was upheaval. MY
> point wasn't with all that crap - mine was "strictly" dealing with
> the "Koran" which Mike seems to be pushing and my disgust with it and
> the cock sucker currently using it for his excuse to murder. The one
> "responsible" for bringing the towers down.

Mike is pushing nothing. Mike is pointing out that you have a sound bite
education and that you know nothing of mainstream Islam.
```

There are places called libraries and they have things called books. But they take more than 30 seconds to read.

The bible is a *very* poor history book. Even in cases where a story tells of towns that existed at some point in time as in the Exodus, they frequently did not exist within hundreds of years of each other.
As for the major people in the stories, if they existed they had neither the might or power that was described.

4598. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-17 04:44:14 UTC
From: Kurt Ullman <kurtullman@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <kurtullman-AFF0F1.00441317092006@customer-201-125-217-207.uninet.net.mx>
Source record: 6128
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

In article <0repg25l7j201d5ac7vu28u9j9duo3q09p@4ax.com>,
Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote:

> On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 22:35:26 -0400, "L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote:
>
> >"I" haven't mentioned - that Christianity was or wasn't a cause of wars - I
> >called the bible a "history" book.
>
> Only if you consider "history" to refer to fiction.
>
> > It DOES speak of wars. Hell, even when
> >Christ supposedly was taken, there was upheaval. MY point wasn't with all
> >that crap - mine was "strictly" dealing with the "Koran" which Mike seems to
> >be pushing and my disgust with it and the cock sucker currently using it for
> >his excuse to murder. The one "responsible" for bringing the towers down.
>
> The one responsible for the towers will most likely be judged by
> history to be a Christian.

Iknow I am gonna regret this.. but who "will most likely be judged by
history" to be responsible for the towers..

4599. Re: Splitting the signal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-17 11:12:26 UTC
From: cbmscotty@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1158491546.581964.269290@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6129

Mike wrote:
> Hi....Im new to ham Radio and have an all-band discone on my roof that
> I use for my scanner. I also will use this antenna to transmit with my
> ham radio. So far, it works OK for the transmissions.
> Lead in line is 50ft of LMR-400 with 'N' connectors. My question is,
> Can I split the line for 2 locations? I like to listen to my scanner
> in my back yard and transmit with the Radio but I also would like to
> have a line indoors when the weather isnt great. Can I add a splitter
> and run a new line indoors ?
> Or, should I have a dedicated line to run inside? Thank You for any
> responses....Mike

mike, i would use an antenna switcher, use both radios on one antenna,
you don't want rf going to the scanner from the ham rig anyways, bad
weather? as said before, switch to a n indoor antenna and be safe

4600. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-17 13:06:24 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <F6OdnRtneMPK1ZDYnZ2dnUVZ_vudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 6130
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Barry OGrady" <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:9meqg2tenr72j0g3eo51iunbvq9pj19c5k@4ax.com...
> Code has been eliminated completely as a requirement for amateur radio
> since 2 years ago, and its not coming back. I have a full call with no
> code.
>
> Barry

While that is true in your country, it is not yet true here. The
international conference simply left it up to each individual country as to
whether or not to require it.

Dee, N8UZE

4601. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-17 13:45:22 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1158500721.851296.308740@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6131
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Barry OGrady" <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:9meqg2tenr72j0g3eo51iunbvq9pj19c5k@4ax.com...
> > Code has been eliminated completely as a requirement for amateur radio
> > since 2 years ago, and its not coming back. I have a full call with no
> > code.
> >
> > Barry
>
> While that is true in your country, it is not yet true here. The
> international conference simply left it up to each individual country as to
> whether or not to require it.
the conference ended the intertional requireent Dee as the FCC has
started the paperwork with stated intent of doing the same here

4602. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-17 15:07:53 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <0vdPg.6$k74.0@newsfe06.lga>
Source record: 6132
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

Feed the forgers, morons... Go ahead, why not!

4603. responding to post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-17 16:22:37 UTC
From: Scan Man <djnordmann@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1158510157.292152.51720@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6133

How do I get people to respond to my postings?

4604. Re: responding to post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-17 17:02:54 UTC
From: gray-beard <gray-beard@tryandguess.org>
Message-ID: <NL6dna8PMtAg4pDYnZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 6134

Be an idiot. Post of topic. Make wild outlandish statements. Say you
want to kill a public official. Call someone an idiot when we all know
he isn't.
Follow all the other ways that people post in this group.
You will then get answered

GB

Scan Man wrote:
> How do I get people to respond to my postings?
>

--
"There's no way to rule innocent men. The only power any government
has is the power to crack down on criminals. Well, when there aren't
enough criminals, one makes them. One declares so many things to be a
crime that it becomes impossible to live without breaking laws."

-- Ayn Rand, Atlas Shrugged

4605. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-17 18:13:26 UTC
From: The Professor <telstarelectronics@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <eek38a$1g59$1@registered.motzarella.org>
Source record: 6135
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:8nepg2t80bb9ftinvchrbc9410o6j7438g@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 07:54:34 -0400, "Brian Griffey"
> <telstarelectronics@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>I build these in my basemant.
>
> Even if it WAS legal to use them, yours aren't type approved, so it
> would be illegal to use yours.
>
> Jut a word to the gullible.
>
Says you....LOL

www.telstar-electronics.com

4606. FS: Uniden MR-8100A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-17 18:44:03 UTC
From: Hunchy <sx43@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <THgPg.2546$4C1.1119@trnddc03>
Source record: 6136

For Sale:

Uniden MR-8100A scanner in pristine condition. This receiver is new in the box...has never seen service but works perfectly. Complete with all accessories and a copy of the owner's manual.

$155.00 + shipping form 93240

Please respond to:

sx43ster at gmail dot com

4607. ScannerDesk.com Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-17 19:23:20 UTC
From: ScanDesk <bate.david@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1158521000.085410.323750@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6137

For those in the Durham Region, Ontario, Canada area, I have updated the frequency lists. I have also re-designed the site a bit. I hope it looks more "communicationish" then it did before.

I should have the county frequeny lists for areas east and north of Durham Region done later today.

4608. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-17 21:08:59 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1158527339.352992.28170@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6138
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Denny wrote:

> The Morse test neither helps nor hinders...

You are uninformed and only half-right. It does not help, it hinders.

4609. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-17 21:15:25 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1158527725.767818.262820@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6139
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
Cecil Moore wrote:
> nm5k@wt.net wrote:
> > I wish it would keep all you "non antenna related" goofballs
> > out of the antenna newsgroup. Why don't you all get some
> > kind of life on a group that pertains to stuff like that. IE: misc..
>
> I believe that r.r.a.policy was created to keep such
> off of r.r.a.misc
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

I believe that you are correct.
```

4610. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-17 21:23:09 UTC
From: Sales <infoat>
Message-ID: <450dbcb3$0$21516$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 6140
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

"Kurt Ullman" <kurtullman@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:kurtullman-AFF0F1.00441317092006@customer-201-125-217-207.uninet.net.mx...
> In article <0repg25l7j201d5ac7vu28u9j9duo3q09p@4ax.com>,
> Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote:
>
>> On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 22:35:26 -0400, "L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote:
>>
>> >"I" haven't mentioned - that Christianity was or wasn't a cause of
>> >wars - I
>> >called the bible a "history" book.
>>
>> Only if you consider "history" to refer to fiction.
>>
>> > It DOES speak of wars. Hell, even when
>> >Christ supposedly was taken, there was upheaval. MY point wasn't with
>> >all
>> >that crap - mine was "strictly" dealing with the "Koran" which Mike
>> >seems to
>> >be pushing and my disgust with it and the cock sucker currently using it
>> >for
>> >his excuse to murder. The one "responsible" for bringing the towers
>> >down.
>>
>> The one responsible for the towers will most likely be judged by
>> history to be a Christian.
>
> Iknow I am gonna regret this.. but who "will most likely be judged by
> history" to be responsible for the towers..

I can't answer the "last" question with certainty but I am willing to bet in some eyes, it will be "Bush". And in saying that, "I" am "NOT" blaming him. It just seems like the President of the day always takes the heat.

As to the remark of the one bringing them down - deemed a "Christian"? THAT IS FUNNY..... though you may be right! History will bear it out one way or another.

Further - I heard this AM, the Pope apologized to the MOOSELEMS - apparently for talking crap on them (deservedly so - in my book). They didn't deserve an apology. Also, the news was that they killed a nun and others. So they've proven themselves once again. They can't pick on someone prepared to kick their ass. THEY'RE YELLOW BELLIED PUSSIES. The same shit I've been saying - they're a bunch of murdering cock suckers who wants all to bow down to them.

I heard that somewhere in the Koran - that it is written that all "infidels" will convert to ISLAM "or" die by the sword. And that is a "peaceful religion"? MY ASS.....
I STILL say - they can KISS MY ASS. Stick the Koran/Quaran or however you spell - it up your ass. It is no more peace preaching than the Bible. With all the Priests, preachers, ministers, nuns, etc. - if there was to be peace, there would be with all the preaching going on. Same with the rest - NO ONE CARES. Then this band of merry cocksucking murderers comes along and says we must convert..... THAT IS HILARIOUS. DREAM ON - DICK HEADS

I'll be an infidel as long as they're sucking each others cocks - including their donkeys, camels, and other animals cocks as well. THAT is what I think of them. I'm not afraid, they can only kill me once. Ya got to go sometime!

L.

4611. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-17 21:30:05 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <450dbe56$0$21543$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 6141
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

> "Kurt Ullman" <kurtullman@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:kurtullman-AFF0F1.00441317092006@customer-201-125-217-207.uninet.net.mx...
>> In article <0repg25l7j201d5ac7vu28u9j9duo3q09p@4ax.com>,
>> Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote:
>>
>>> On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 22:35:26 -0400, "L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> >"I" haven't mentioned - that Christianity was or wasn't a cause of
>>> >wars - I
>>> >called the bible a "history" book.
>>>
>>> Only if you consider "history" to refer to fiction.
>>>
>>> > It DOES speak of wars. Hell, even when
>>> >Christ supposedly was taken, there was upheaval. MY point wasn't with
>>> >all
>>> >that crap - mine was "strictly" dealing with the "Koran" which Mike
>>> >seems to
>>> >be pushing and my disgust with it and the cock sucker currently using
>>> >it for
>>> >his excuse to murder. The one "responsible" for bringing the towers
>>> >down.
>>>
>>> The one responsible for the towers will most likely be judged by
>>> history to be a Christian.
>>
>> Iknow I am gonna regret this.. but who "will most likely be judged by
>> history" to be responsible for the towers..
>
> I can't answer the "last" question with certainty but I am willing to bet
> in some eyes, it will be "Bush". And in saying that, "I" am "NOT" blaming
> him. It just seems like the President of the day always takes the heat.
>
> As to the remark of the one bringing them down - deemed a "Christian"?
> THAT IS FUNNY..... though you may be right! History will bear it
> out one way or another.
>
> Further - I heard this AM, the Pope apologized to the MOOSELEMS -
> apparently for talking crap on them (deservedly so - in my book). They
> didn't deserve an apology. Also, the news was that they killed a nun and
> others. So they've proven themselves once again. They can't pick on
> someone prepared to kick their ass. THEY'RE YELLOW BELLIED PUSSIES. The

> same shit I've been saying - they're a bunch of murdering cock suckers who
> wants all to bow down to them. I heard that somewhere in the Koran - that
> it is written that all "infidels" will convert to ISLAM "or" die by the
> sword. And that is a "peaceful religion"? MY ASS.....
> I STILL say - they can KISS MY ASS. Stick the Koran/Quaran or however you
> spell - it up your ass. It is no more peace preaching than the Bible. With
> all the Priests, preachers, ministers, nuns, etc. - if there was to be
> peace, there would be with all the preaching going on. Same with the
> rest - NO ONE CARES. Then this band of merry cocksucking murderers comes
> along and says we must convert..... THAT IS HILARIOUS. DREAM ON - DICK
> HEADS
>
> I'll be an infidel as long as they're sucking each others cocks -
> including their donkeys, camels, and other animals cocks as well. THAT is
> what I think of them. I'm not afraid, they can only kill me once. Ya got
> to go sometime!
>
> L.
>

Damned, not that I disagree, but looks like I got a forger!

L.

4612. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-17 21:40:11 UTC
From: clf <info@clfurent.com>
Message-ID: <450dc0b1$0$21535$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 6142
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

```
"L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote in message
news:450dbe56$0$21543$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net...
>> "Kurt Ullman" <kurtullman@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:kurtullman-AFF0F1.00441317092006@customer-201-125-217-207.uninet.net.mx...
>>> In article <0repg25l7j201d5ac7vu28u9j9duo3q09p@4ax.com>,
>>> Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote:
>>>
>>>> On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 22:35:26 -0400, "L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> >"I" haven't mentioned - that Christianity was or wasn't a cause of
>>>> >wars - I
>>>> >called the bible a "history" book.
>>>>
>>>> Only if you consider "history" to refer to fiction.
>>>>
>>>> > It DOES speak of wars. Hell, even when
>>>> >Christ supposedly was taken, there was upheaval. MY point wasn't with
>>>> >all
>>>> >that crap - mine was "strictly" dealing with the "Koran" which Mike
>>>> >seems to
>>>> >be pushing and my disgust with it and the cock sucker currently using
>>>> >it for
>>>> >his excuse to murder. The one "responsible" for bringing the towers
>>>> >down.
>>>>
>>>> The one responsible for the towers will most likely be judged by
>>>> history to be a Christian.
>>>
>>> Iknow I am gonna regret this.. but who "will most likely be judged by
>>> history" to be responsible for the towers..
>>
>> I can't answer the "last" question with certainty but I am willing to bet
>> in some eyes, it will be "Bush". And in saying that, "I" am "NOT" blaming
>> him. It just seems like the President of the day always takes the heat.
>>
>> As to the remark of the one bringing them down - deemed a "Christian"?
>> THAT IS FUNNY..... though you may be right! History will bear it
>> out one way or another.
>>
>> Further - I heard this AM, the Pope apologized to the MOOSELEMS -
>> apparently for talking crap on them (deservedly so - in my book). They
>> didn't deserve an apology. Also, the news was that they killed a nun and
```

>> others. So they've proven themselves once again. They can't pick on
>> someone prepared to kick their ass. THEY'RE YELLOW BELLIED PUSSIES. The
>> same shit I've been saying - they're a bunch of murdering cock suckers
>> who wants all to bow down to them. I heard that somewhere in the Koran -
>> that it is written that all "infidels" will convert to ISLAM "or" die by
>> the sword. And that is a "peaceful religion"? MY ASS.....
>> I STILL say - they can KISS MY ASS. Stick the Koran/Quaran or however you
>> spell - it up your ass. It is no more peace preaching than the Bible.
>> With all the Priests, preachers, ministers, nuns, etc. - if there was to
>> be peace, there would be with all the preaching going on. Same with the
>> rest - NO ONE CARES. Then this band of merry cocksucking murderers comes
>> along and says we must convert..... THAT IS HILARIOUS. DREAM ON - DICK
>> HEADS
>>
>> I'll be an infidel as long as they're sucking each others cocks -
>> including their donkeys, camels, and other animals cocks as well. THAT is
>> what I think of them. I'm not afraid, they can only kill me once. Ya got
>> to go sometime!
>>
>> L.
>>
>
> Damned, not that I disagree, but looks like I got a forger!
>
> L.

?????????? Looks like my old pal (a troublemaker) is up to it again. Using my business name to make trouble. I posted an ad in a group which we had an issue in a while back. I guess he used it. I know he was good for hacking. "Sorry" for the intrusion.....

clfurent

4613. Re: Dynamite bought the twin towers down say 73 top professors...I get it, you'll wait till you hear it from Katie Couric.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-17 21:45:22 UTC
From: "L." <nospam@tnospam.com>
Message-ID: <450dc1e8$0$21525$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 6143
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,alt.law-enforcement.traffic,rec.radio.scanner,misc.emerg-services

```
"clf" <info@clfurent.com> wrote in message
news:450dc0b1$0$21535$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net...
>
> "L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:450dbe56$0$21543$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net...
>>> "Kurt Ullman" <kurtullman@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>> news:kurtullman-AFF0F1.00441317092006@customer-201-125-217-207.uninet.net.mx...
>>>> In article <0repg25l7j201d5ac7vu28u9j9duo3q09p@4ax.com>,
>>>> Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 22:35:26 -0400, "L." <nospam@tnospam.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>> >"I" haven't mentioned - that Christianity was or wasn't a cause of
>>>>> >wars - I
>>>>> >called the bible a "history" book.
>>>>>
>>>>> Only if you consider "history" to refer to fiction.
>>>>>
>>>>> > It DOES speak of wars. Hell, even when
>>>>> >Christ supposedly was taken, there was upheaval. MY point wasn't with
>>>>> >all
>>>>> >that crap - mine was "strictly" dealing with the "Koran" which Mike
>>>>> >seems to
>>>>> >be pushing and my disgust with it and the cock sucker currently using
>>>>> >it for
>>>>> >his excuse to murder. The one "responsible" for bringing the towers
>>>>> >down.
>>>>>
>>>>> The one responsible for the towers will most likely be judged by
>>>>> history to be a Christian.
>>>>
>>>> Iknow I am gonna regret this.. but who "will most likely be judged by
>>>> history" to be responsible for the towers..
>>>
>>> I can't answer the "last" question with certainty but I am willing to
>>> bet in some eyes, it will be "Bush". And in saying that, "I" am "NOT"
>>> blaming him. It just seems like the President of the day always takes
>>> the heat.
>>>
>>> As to the remark of the one bringing them down - deemed a "Christian"?
>>> THAT IS FUNNY..... though you may be right! History will bear it
>>> out one way or another.
```

>>>
>>> Further - I heard this AM, the Pope apologized to the MOOSELEMS -
>>> apparently for talking crap on them (deservedly so - in my book). They
>>> didn't deserve an apology. Also, the news was that they killed a nun and
>>> others. So they've proven themselves once again. They can't pick on
>>> someone prepared to kick their ass. THEY'RE YELLOW BELLIED PUSSIES. The
>>> same shit I've been saying - they're a bunch of murdering cock suckers
>>> who wants all to bow down to them. I heard that somewhere in the Koran -
>>> that it is written that all "infidels" will convert to ISLAM "or" die by
>>> the sword. And that is a "peaceful religion"? MY ASS.....
>>> I STILL say - they can KISS MY ASS. Stick the Koran/Quaran or however
>>> you spell - it up your ass. It is no more peace preaching than the
>>> Bible. With all the Priests, preachers, ministers, nuns, etc. - if there
>>> was to be peace, there would be with all the preaching going on. Same
>>> with the rest - NO ONE CARES. Then this band of merry cocksucking
>>> murderers comes along and says we must convert..... THAT IS HILARIOUS.
>>> DREAM ON - DICK HEADS
>>>
>>> I'll be an infidel as long as they're sucking each others cocks -
>>> including their donkeys, camels, and other animals cocks as well. THAT
>>> is what I think of them. I'm not afraid, they can only kill me once. Ya
>>> got to go sometime!
>>>
>>> L.
>>>
>>
>> Damned, not that I disagree, but looks like I got a forger!
>>
>> L.
>
> ?????????? Looks like my old pal (a troublemaker) is up to it again. Using
> my business name to make trouble. I posted an ad in a group which we had
> an issue in a while back. I guess he used it. I know he was good for
> hacking. "Sorry" for the intrusion.....
>
> clfurent

What the shit - some dufus told me in another group I had someone writing like me in a group yet that I've never been to - just a few days ago. Have no clue who the person is, but I guess the dufus was right. Hmmmmm. Have to sit back and check this one out.

4614. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-17 23:27:41 UTC
From: Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <C13327FD.43932%dbowey@comcast.net>
Source record: 6144
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

```
On 9/17/06 11:13 AM, in article eek38a$1g59$1@registered.motzarella.org,
"The Professor" <telstarelectronics@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:8nepg2t80bb9ftinvchrbc9410o6j7438g@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 07:54:34 -0400, "Brian Griffey"
>> <telstarelectronics@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>> I build these in my basemant.
>>
>> Even if it WAS legal to use them, yours aren't type approved, so it
>> would be illegal to use yours.
>>
>> Jut a word to the gullible.
>>
> Says you....LOL

Says those of us who are familiar with FCC Regulations.
```

4615. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-18 02:29:59 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <631sg25ccumamjjnp02j6g6lllfkr02m4p@4ax.com>
Source record: 6145
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

On Sun, 17 Sep 2006 14:13:26 -0400, "The Professor"
<telstarelectronics@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>news:8nepg2t80bb9ftinvchrbc9410o6j7438g@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 07:54:34 -0400, "Brian Griffey"
>> <telstarelectronics@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>>I build these in my basemant.
>>
>> Even if it WAS legal to use them, yours aren't type approved, so it
>> would be illegal to use yours.
>>
>> Jut a word to the gullible.
>>
>Says you....LOL
>
>www.telstar-electronics.com
>
"10 Meter Amateur Radio Band Coverage (26-30MHz)"

Prima Facie Illegal. They can't be sold IN the United States, or TO
the united States.

Next time you want to talk about the law, read it first - you'll look
less foolish and less crooked.

4616. More RRAP funny VIDEOS !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-18 04:33:42 UTC
From: corn flax 7 <bushisaclownwewantclintonback@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a02e$450e21d5$d1cc5999$16596@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 6146
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Who can guess what famous RRAP personality is in THIS video ?

http://www.tourettesguy.com/videos/shitlikeahorse/

(be SURE to check out all the titles in "The Videos" section!)

4617. Slow Code kook onthe loose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-18 10:22:21 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1158574941.651118.145450@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6147
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

corn flax 7 wrote:
> Who can guess what famous RRAP personality is in THIS video ?
>
> http://www.tourettesguy.com/videos/shitlikeahorse/
>
> (be SURE to check out all the titles in "The Videos" section!)

4618. Re: Could you support making the No-code license one year non-renewable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-18 10:26:06 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Z_6dnZdKXMnY6ZPYnZ2dnUVZ_u-dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 6148
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Barry OGrady" <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:eimrg2la6mcmngkg6evu8jc19khajs7kbk@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 08:26:28 -0400, "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
> wrote:
>
>>"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:z3SMg.17757$%j7.12658@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
>>> funkbastler wrote:
>>>> No, but it darned sure wouldn't hurt to make sure they could drive
>>>> something with a standard transmission.
>>>
>>> Would you have 1000 people learn to drive a standard
>>> transmission even though only one person out of those
>>> 1000 people benefits from it? The cost/benefit ratio
>>> is extremely high.
>>> --
>>> 73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com
>>
>>Someone skilled in driving a vehicle with a manual transmission and
>>actually
>>using it can reap a number of performance benefits. These include
>>improved
>>gas mileage, better passing performance, better performance in hilly
>>terrain, etc. If people were required to learn how to drive vehicles with
>>manual transmissions, more of them might actually choose to drive such
>>vehicles.
>
> Here most cars run on petrol rather than gas still.
>
>>Dee, N8UZE
>
> Barry
> =====
> Home page
> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og

In American English, "gas" is short for gasoline. Gasoline is the same as
petrol. The term petrol is generally not used here.

4619. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent another blast from th recent past

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-18 13:14:50 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1158585290.097935.241950@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6149
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

an old friendless pedophile wrote:
> wo <markie spam>

Markie, post proof you even passed the test. And, try
http://www.english-tutoring.com

4620. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent another blast from th recent past

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-18 13:15:36 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1158585335.785138.156800@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6150
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Barry OGrady wrote:
> On 14 Sep 2006 05:56:16 -0700, markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >> On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 21:14:47 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:37:50 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >> >
> >> >>On Mon, 14 Aug 2006 15:57:55 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
> >> >>wrote:
> >> >
> >> >>>>the knowledg is of no value to most of those people either
> >> >
> >> >>>I'm glad to know that you've been appointed to speak for them but, if
> >> >>>you don't mind, I'd rather hear it from them.
> >> >
> >> >>you have heard from the appointed legel representives and you did not
> >> >>listen to them either
> >> >
> >> >Oh? I didn't know that we legally appointed "representatives" to tell
> >> >us what people think. Who, pray tell, are these telepathic
> >> >"representatives"?
> >> >
> >> >>but indeed enlight me
> >> >>what value is derived from the knowledge that an occiclaotr is
> >> >>colpitss type or hartley
> >> >
> >> >Plenty of value to those who have to work with oscillators of various
> >> >types. It's difficult to design a circuit when you have no
> >> >understanding of it.
> >> what value is gained by knowing the name of the cirut
> >
> >What's a "cirut?" You are so stupid, Markie, anybody can see why you
> >can't pass the General theory exam.
> >I can bet you didn't pass the no code tech until about after 20 times
> >of trying to pass it.
>
> He failed the code part of the no code test.
>
> Barry
> =====

> Home page
> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!

4621. Re: More RRAP funny VIDEOS !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-18 13:58:57 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1158587937.372451.25250@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6001
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
corn flax 7 wrote:
> Who can guess what famous RRAP personality is in THIS video ?
>
> http://www.tourettesguy.com/videos/shitlikeahorse/
>
> (be SURE to check out all the titles in "The Videos" section! )
ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ
ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ!!!!!!!!!
```

4622. Wisemen stalker at large

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-18 15:07:03 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1158592022.826335.77720@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6002
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
>
Wisemen stalker at large

4623. Re: You're not a real ham if you want the ARS to sound like CB.<<< It already does around here mostly silent another blast from th recent past

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-18 15:22:13 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1158592933.224142.234680@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6003
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:

> > wo <markie spam>
>
> Markie, post proof you even passed the test.
done woger proof is at that link

http://www.qrz.com/detail/KB9RQZ

4624. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-18 16:14:37 UTC
From: The Professor <telstarelectronics@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <eemglj$7b0$1@registered.motzarella.org>
Source record: 6004
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

"Don Bowey" <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:C13327FD.43932%dbowey@comcast.net...
> On 9/17/06 11:13 AM, in article eek38a$1g59$1@registered.motzarella.org,
> "The Professor" <telstarelectronics@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>
>> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>> news:8nepg2t80bb9ftinvchrbc9410o6j7438g@4ax.com...
>>> On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 07:54:34 -0400, "Brian Griffey"
>>> <telstarelectronics@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>> I build these in my basemant.
>>>
>>> Even if it WAS legal to use them, yours aren't type approved, so it
>>> would be illegal to use yours.
>>>
>>> Jut a word to the gullible.
>>>
>> Says you....LOL
>
> Says those of us who are familiar with FCC Regulations.
>
>
Says the clueless newbie. It's a 10 meter amplifier dumbass....LOL

4625. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-18 16:16:44 UTC
From: The Professor <telstarelectronics@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <eemgpi$7da$1@registered.motzarella.org>
Source record: 6005
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:631sg25ccumamjjnp02j6g6lllfkr02m4p@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 17 Sep 2006 14:13:26 -0400, "The Professor"
> <telstarelectronics@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>>news:8nepg2t80bb9ftinvchrbc9410o6j7438g@4ax.com...
>>> On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 07:54:34 -0400, "Brian Griffey"
>>> <telstarelectronics@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>I build these in my basemant.
>>>
>>> Even if it WAS legal to use them, yours aren't type approved, so it
>>> would be illegal to use yours.
>>>
>>> Jut a word to the gullible.
>>>
>>Says you....LOL
>>
>>www.telstar-electronics.com
>>
> "10 Meter Amateur Radio Band Coverage (26-30MHz)"
>
Exactly right it is a 10 meter ham amplifier dummy.
>
> Prima Facie Illegal. They can't be sold IN the United States, or TO
> the united States.
>
I sell them in the good old USA everyday!
>
> Next time you want to talk about the law, read it first - you'll look
> less foolish and less crooked.
>
Shut up you clueless newbie....LOL

4626. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-18 16:22:21 UTC
From: The Professor <telstarelectronics@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <eemh43$7ih$1@registered.motzarella.org>
Source record: 6006
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

Oh I almost forgot.....get yours here!

www.telstar-electronics.com

> Shut up you clueless newbie....LOL
>

4627. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-18 17:31:03 UTC
From: Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <C13425E7.43B3C%dbowey@comcast.net>
Source record: 6007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

On 9/18/06 9:14 AM, in article eemglj$7b0$1@registered.motzarella.org, "The Professor" <telstarelectronics@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
> "Don Bowey" <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:C13327FD.43932%dbowey@comcast.net...
>> On 9/17/06 11:13 AM, in article eek38a$1g59$1@registered.motzarella.org,
>> "The Professor" <telstarelectronics@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>>> news:8nepg2t80bb9ftinvchrbc9410o6j7438g@4ax.com...
>>>> On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 07:54:34 -0400, "Brian Griffey"
>>>> <telstarelectronics@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> I build these in my basemant.
>>>>
>>>> Even if it WAS legal to use them, yours aren't type approved, so it
>>>> would be illegal to use yours.
>>>>
>>>> Jut a word to the gullible.
>>>>
>>> Says you....LOL
>>
>> Says those of us who are familiar with FCC Regulations.
>>
>>
> Says the clueless newbie. It's a 10 meter amplifier dumbass....LOL
>
>

Sez you, you smarmy, liar. One of the posts I sent to the FCC was from the guy who makes the units, claiming they were for CB.

By the way, This "newbie" got his first ham license in 1952, and his first Commercial License in 1955.

4628. Re: responding to post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-18 19:30:43 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <xtCPg.31$_h1.0@newsfe04.lga>
Source record: 6008

"gray-beard" <gray-beard@tryandguess.org> wrote in message
news:NL6dna8PMtAg4pDYnZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Be an idiot. Post of topic. Make wild outlandish statements. Say you want
> to kill a public official. Call someone an idiot when we all know he
> isn't.
> Follow all the other ways that people post in this group.
> You will then get answered
>
> GB

lol

4629. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-18 23:50:31 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <bhGPg.9337$v%4.106@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6009
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1158527339.352992.28170@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> Denny wrote:
>
>> The Morse test neither helps nor hinders...
>
> You are uninformed and only half-right. It does not help, it hinders.
>

Yes, it hinders.

It keeps out the stupid and lazy. Individuals that really don't what to be
hams if it requires knowledge and skill to get a license.

Make them stay on CB and FRS. They're not an asset to the service.

And neither are you.

SC

4630. N9OGL is lazy and a terrible disk jockey.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-18 23:50:38 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ihGPg.9342$v%4.4083@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6010
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Besides playing the same Crap over and over constantly, Todd doesn't even change the order of the shitty songs much. What a terrible disk jockey.

He could at least have a little variety in the order he plays the shit.

SC

4631. Re: responding to post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-18 23:50:45 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <phGPg.9345$v%4.3412@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6011

gray-beard <gray-beard@tryandguess.org> wrote in
news:NL6dna8PMtAg4pDYnZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@comcast.com:

> Be an idiot. Post of topic. Make wild outlandish statements. Say you
> want to kill a public official. Call someone an idiot when we all know
> he isn't.
> Follow all the other ways that people post in this group.
> You will then get answered
>
> GB
>
> Scan Man wrote:
>> How do I get people to respond to my postings?
>>
>

Another one that's good is ask people how to modify your scanner to
receive cell phones.

SC

4632. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-19 00:38:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <sveug21lpahu12n0s58gpm97j2gs34achg@4ax.com>
Source record: 6012
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 18 Sep 2006 23:50:31 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>news:1158527339.352992.28170@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Denny wrote:
>>
>>> The Morse test neither helps nor hinders...
>>
>> You are uninformed and only half-right. It does not help, it hinders.
>>
>
>
>Yes, it hinders.
then it should be abolished
>
>It keeps out the stupid and lazy. Individuals that really don't what to be
>hams if it requires knowledge and skill to get a license.
no it does notyou have a license after all
>
>Make them stay on CB and FRS. They're not an asset to the service.
nope
>
>
>And neither are you.
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4633. slow code stalker at large

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-19 00:54:56 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1158627296.220624.260250@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6013
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
>
slow code stalker at large

4634. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-19 00:55:28 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <34fug2lhnr3qmu4gsakel5jmmtpirt6k9s@4ax.com>
Source record: 6015
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

On Mon, 18 Sep 2006 12:16:44 -0400, "The Professor"
<telstarelectronics@yahoo.com> wrote:

>"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>news:631sg25ccumamjjnp02j6g6lllfkr02m4p@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 17 Sep 2006 14:13:26 -0400, "The Professor"
>> <telstarelectronics@yahoo.com> wrote:

>>>> Jut a word to the gullible.

>>>Says you....LOL

>> "10 Meter Amateur Radio Band Coverage (26-30MHz)"

>Exactly right it is a 10 meter ham amplifier dummy.

>> Prima Facie Illegal. They can't be sold IN the United States, or TO
>> the united States.

>I sell them in the good old USA everyday!

Lots of people commit felonies every day The fact that you sell them
doesn't change the fact that the FCC says that "10 meter" amps that go
below 28 MHz are illegal.

>> Next time you want to talk about the law, read it first - you'll look
>> less foolish and less crooked.

>Shut up you clueless newbie....LOL

This "newbie"'s been licensed half a century, ham and 1st phone. Have
you been alive that long?

4635. Re: NEW SCANNER SITE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-19 01:08:11 UTC
From: bakb0ne@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1158628091.195955.137350@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6014

Again, He cant remove the ads... I also run many PhpBB and PhpBB2
boards, and I know that from within phpbb admin, you can set up the
forum, change the permissions, change catagories, mod and admin access
but there is nowhere where you can edit the HTML on which the forum is
displayed..

The Ads are part of the phpBB styles, which you have the "upload" by
ftp and then "install" in phpbb admin in order to change....

He cannot do this as it is a FREE FORUM HOST... the only access he has
is phpbb admin.. NOTHING ELSE>..

Your wrong, just admit it and go on with ur life...

NOTE: If he was hosting his own forum using phpbb and mysql databases
then YES he could change anything he wanted, he could upload any new
styles, or edit the templates himself, but as he doesnt have access to
the webspace itself, then he only has limited access to what he can do

Al Klein wrote:
> On 9 Sep 2006 18:58:33 -0700, bakb0ne@gmail.com wrote:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
> >> On 7 Sep 2006 10:26:16 -0700, bakb0ne@gmail.com wrote:
>
> >> >WsW wrote:
> >> >> Get rid of all the pop ups first
> >> >He cant, thats down to the forum provider.... Its a crappy free phpbb
> >> >site.
> >> Which he can edit.
>
> >Not really...
>
> >He does have administrator access to PHPBB itself, but the popups are
> >either in the html or part of the template, which he cannot edit (no
> >ftp access)
>
> The person running a phpBB can edit anything in the entire BB he wants
> - I know, I run one - and I've edited it extensively.
>
> >The pop-ups are just a way for the host to make money, the users cannot
> >remove them....
>
> Bull cookies. There's nothing in phpBB that can't be edited.

4636. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-19 03:36:20 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <c3iug29tid5kod1f1olqktlvn5kkicgg7j@4ax.com>
Source record: 6016
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 18 Sep 2006 23:50:31 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>news:1158527339.352992.28170@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Denny wrote:
>>
>>> The Morse test neither helps nor hinders...
>>
>> You are uninformed and only half-right. It does not help, it hinders.
>>
>
>
>Yes, it hinders.
>
>It keeps out the stupid and lazy. Individuals that really don't what to be
>hams if it requires knowledge and skill to get a license.

BULLSHIT! Code is NOT KNOWLEDGE!!! I have NO problem learning
technical info that helps me use the radio properly. Code is not
needed to do that.

Get off your high-horse already.

>Make them stay on CB and FRS. They're not an asset to the service.

CB and FRS don't have the range.

>And neither are you.

Who the FUCK are YOU to make such a statement? You snot nosed,
arrogant PRICK! You do NOT know the kind of person that I am!! Wake up
and smell the cappuccino! Code is obsolete! Knowing code does NOTHING
to make somebody an "asset to the service". And, could you explain
what makes a person an "asset to the service"?

What fantasy do you hold that you would believe that your tiny-fisted
tantrums would have more weight than that of a leprous desert rat,
spinning rabidly in a circle, waiting for the bite of the snake?

4637. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-19 12:19:27 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <450FE04F.7060501@fuse.net>
Source record: 6017
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

>
>
> Who the FUCK are YOU to make such a statement? You snot nosed,
> arrogant PRICK! You do NOT know the kind of person that I am!! Wake up
> and smell the cappuccino! Code is obsolete! Knowing code does NOTHING
> to make somebody an "asset to the service". And, could you explain
> what makes a person an "asset to the service"?
>
Jeez,
Chill out, eh?

> What fantasy do you hold that you would believe that your tiny-fisted
> tantrums would have more weight than that of a leprous desert rat,
> spinning rabidly in a circle, waiting for the bite of the snake?
>

Waxing poetic now?

Yes it's obsolete. Yes, it's fun.

Should it be used to qualify? Let the FCC decide (soon).

If it is eliminated, will that change the "Service"? Maybe.

Will CW disappear? Probably not.
Historically, it defined ham radio, so it has a special place in the
hearts of very many hams. It's natural that they sort of cling to it.

Will CW's elimination be the end of ham radio? Of course not.
Ham radio will cease when all the hams die off. New hams are needed,
with or without code.

My personal hope is that a significant minority of these new hams will
take up CW and learn to enjoy this mode. It truly is a fun mode. I
hope people will WANT to learn it.

Compulsory things are seldom welcome,,,some are necessary. Is CW a good
requirement for ham radio? I guess it has probably outlived its day.

A requirement related to other digital modes would make a good
replacement. True?

4638. Re: Art Bell / Cell Phone Tricks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-19 15:44:21 UTC
From: thesavagebelcher <14313loonsareback@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <90cf4$4510105d$d1cc57ce$1835@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 6018
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.fan.art-bell.alt.usenet.kooks,alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

----- Original Message -----
From: "Al Patrick" <arp@_NOSPAM_inet4u.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.shortwave
Sent: Monday, September 18, 2006 3:42 PM
Subject: OT: Art Bell / Cell Phone Tricks
> Sorry, this is Off Topic, but contains things - when completed - that
> may be important to many of us.
>
> Last night / This morning Art Bell was telling of some cell phone tricks
> that may come in handy to many of us one day and I confess: I was too
> lazy or sleepy to get up, find some paper and write them down.
>
> As I recall them there were four, at least. I'll start with the two I
> think I recall correctly.
>
> 1) ANYWHERE IN THE WORLD you can use *112 as an emergency call and they
> will locate and redirect you to the proper number(s) such as 911.
> (Someone Please correct me if I'm wrong on any of this. -- As if I had
> to ask. :-)
>
> 2) Should you be so unfortunate as to lock your keys - and your
> automatic door opener in your vehicle you may not be totally out of luck
> if you have a cell phone handy -- and know someone else with an
> automatic door opener for your vehicle; i.e. spouse, etc. Call them
> on the cell phone and have them get their door opener and put it near
> the phone and press the unlock button - while you hold your cell phone
> about one foot from the door of the vehicle. It WILL unlock the door!
> If they are a bit mischievous they could also press the alarm key and
> set off the alarm INSTEAD of opening the door!!!!

The above transcript is an URBAN LEGEND.

It 100% WILL NOT work as stated.

The full story of this particular amusing Urban Legend is at the URL
below...

http://www.snopes.com/autos/techno/keyless.asp

Wireless Entry devices for passenger cars and trucks use RF.
They do not use audio. Also, the RF signal from a keychain Auto
Remote Entry device WILL NOT carry atop a cellular telephone
transmitted signal.

If this method were true and did work as
stated, hackers would sit in parking lots
with scanners and computers getting the
embedded signals off of people's keychains
and the result is vehicle Grand Thefts would
increase 5000% and nobody would want to
own any type of a wireless entry system on their
car or truck.

I am amazed that Bell stated this, as with his background
in ham radio and broadcast electronics, he should have
known this was a crock of chicken beaks....

>
> 3) I do not recall the process, but: For those who have a Nokia cell
> phone and MUST make an emergency call while the battery is almost dead
> there is a reserve battery inside the Nokia that can be called upon to
> boost your power level by about 50% via the keypad. When the regular
> battery is recharged this emergency one will also recharge. Anyone
> recall the steps to this?
>
> 4) There is also a way to access your cell phone serial number from
> your keypad so you can write it down. Then you can call the phone
> company and have that cell phone totally disabled should it be stolen.
> Even if the thief has some kind of access card it still will not allow
> calls from that cell phone. You probably will NOT get your phone back
> but you can rest in knowing that the thief can no longer get any benefit
> out of it either! :-)
>
> I realize I might have been able to go to Coast2CoastAM or Art Bell's
> url if he has one and found this stuff, but thought some of you'd like
> to know about it anyway. If anyone can locate it online or happened to
> write it down this morning would you please post it here?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Al

4639. Re: N9OGL is lazy and a terrible disk jockey.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-19 17:27:29 UTC
From: Indy ham <private@nospam.com.cc>
Message-ID: <alur7.bir.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 6019
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

> Besides playing the same Crap over and over constantly, Todd doesn't even
> change the order of the shitty songs much. What a terrible disk jockey.
>
> He could at least have a little variety in the order he plays the shit.

Where does he play his music?

And what the hell is a "slow code stalker?"

4640. Cheap P25 scanner???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-19 18:40:44 UTC
From: "John B." <jlb@computac.com>
Message-ID: <1158691264.446271@nfs-db1.segnet.com>
Source record: 6020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Could anyone recommend a reasonably priced scanner that can pick up APCO PC25 digital transmissions? My hometown's PD & FD recently switched over to that mode - so with my "old" scanner, I have NO idea what's going on in town anymore! Reason for wanting a cheapie is that EVERY OTHER department in the area is analog and I can monitor them just fine with my Bearcat 9000XLT (which I love!). So, basically looking for a 2-channel P25 unit - heh. Thanks in advance.

John B.

4641. Re: N9OGL is lazy and a terrible disk jockey.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-19 19:21:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <2mg0h21s15rtj4knpgdi0jp84eau6ogkln@4ax.com>
Source record: 6021
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 19 Sep 2006 10:27:29 -0700, "Indy ham" <private@nospam.com.cc>
wrote:

>> Besides playing the same Crap over and over constantly, Todd doesn't even
>> change the order of the shitty songs much. What a terrible disk jockey.
>>
>> He could at least have a little variety in the order he plays the shit.
>
>
>Where does he play his music?
Todd plays music on part 15 sattion [DEFAULT]
BASEURL=http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/
[InternetShortcut]
URL=http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/
Modified=20D7A999F084C60174

>
>And what the hell is a "slow code stalker?"
>
slow code is a stalke and at larger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4642. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-19 20:16:36 UTC
From: Spokesman <his@spam.net>
Message-ID: <Bb-dnVeYjpCwzY3YnZ2dnUVZ_vqdnZ2d@wightman.ca>
Source record: 6022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

"The Professor" <telstarelectronics@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:eemgpi$7da$1@registered.motzarella.org...
>
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:631sg25ccumamjjnp02j6g6lllfkr02m4p@4ax.com...
> > On Sun, 17 Sep 2006 14:13:26 -0400, "The Professor"
> > <telstarelectronics@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> >>news:8nepg2t80bb9ftinvchrbc9410o6j7438g@4ax.com...
> >>> On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 07:54:34 -0400, "Brian Griffey"
> >>> <telstarelectronics@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>I build these in my basemant.
> >>>
> >>> Even if it WAS legal to use them, yours aren't type approved, so it
> >>> would be illegal to use yours.
> >>>
> >>> Jut a word to the gullible.
> >>>
> >>Says you....LOL
> >>
> >>www.telstar-electronics.com
> >>
> > "10 Meter Amateur Radio Band Coverage (26-30MHz)"
> >
> Exactly right it is a 10 meter ham amplifier dummy.
> >
> > Prima Facie Illegal. They can't be sold IN the United States, or TO
> > the united States.
> >
> I sell them in the good old USA everyday!
> >
> > Next time you want to talk about the law, read it first - you'll look
> > less foolish and less crooked.
> >
> Shut up you clueless newbie....LOL

Go away you dumb rectum.

>
>

4643. Re: Cheap P25 scanner???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-19 21:08:15 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <18qdnZBhI_DawY3YnZ2dnUVZ_rSdnZ2d@nventure.com>
Source record: 6023
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"John B." <jlb@computac.com> wrote in message
news:1158691264.446271@nfs-db1.segnet.com...
> Could anyone recommend a reasonably priced scanner that can pick up APCO
> PC25 digital transmissions? My hometown's PD & FD recently switched over
> to
> that mode - so with my "old" scanner, I have NO idea what's going on in
> town
> anymore! Reason for wanting a cheapie is that EVERY OTHER department in
> the
> area is analog and I can monitor them just fine with my Bearcat 9000XLT
> (which I love!). So, basically looking for a 2-channel P25 unit - heh.
> Thanks in advance.
>
> John B.
>
>

What's your idea of "cheap"? Also you need to make sure they can still be
monitored. Just because they went digital does not mean you can pick them up
with a Digital scanner. Unless you can find another person in your area it's
hard to know for sure. perhaps the best way would be to go to a local radio
shack and see if they have a digital scanner to demo. then see if you can
pick up the local PD.
--
"Everything in excess! To enjoy the flavor of life, take big bites.
Moderation is for monks."

[Lazarus Long]

4644. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-19 21:26:50 UTC
From: Gizmo <gizmotski@telus.net>
Message-ID: <ugZPg.31974$E67.8097@clgrps13>
Source record: 6024
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

```
Spokesman wrote:
> "The Professor" <telstarelectronics@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:eemgpi$7da$1@registered.motzarella.org...
>> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>> news:631sg25ccumamjjnp02j6g6lllfkr02m4p@4ax.com...
>>> On Sun, 17 Sep 2006 14:13:26 -0400, "The Professor"
>>> <telstarelectronics@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>>>> news:8nepg2t80bb9ftinvchrbc9410o6j7438g@4ax.com...
>>>>> On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 07:54:34 -0400, "Brian Griffey"
>>>>> <telstarelectronics@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> I build these in my basemant.
>>>>> Even if it WAS legal to use them, yours aren't type approved, so it
>>>>> would be illegal to use yours.
>>>>>
>>>>> Jut a word to the gullible.
>>>>>
>>>> Says you....LOL
>>>>
>>>> www.telstar-electronics.com
>>>>
>>> "10 Meter Amateur Radio Band Coverage (26-30MHz)"
>>>
>> Exactly right it is a 10 meter ham amplifier dummy.
>>> Prima Facie Illegal. They can't be sold IN the United States, or TO
>>> the united States.
>>>
>> I sell them in the good old USA everyday!
>>> Next time you want to talk about the law, read it first - you'll look
>>> less foolish and less crooked.
>>>
>> Shut up you clueless newbie....LOL
>
> Go away you dumb rectum.
>
>
>
>>
>
>
```

Boy oh boy, you sure put him in his place. Bet he will never try
that again.....

4645. Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-19 23:58:20 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <wu%Pg.9881$v%4.8087@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6025
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Think Back, Are todays conversations on 2 meters as technical as they were 25 years ago, or today might you hear things like:

"I woke up this morning with a pimple on my butt" or

"The dog crapped on the living room carpet again."

Allowing no-codes on VHF & UHF has made discussions less technical and more like CB. Don't let your hatred of CW destroy our HF bands too.

Amateur Radio, a National resource.

73

SC

4646. Ham radios don't come with kill-filters or plonk buttons.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-19 23:58:25 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Bu%Pg.9885$v%4.4258@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

It's written tests and CW that keep the sick, lame, and lazy, out of your receiver, not a plonk button or kill-filter. Don't degrade receiver performance. Support keeping CW, and increasing the speed requirement, and make the passing score for writtens 85%.

TNX, 73 de Slow Code :-)

4647. Re: responding to post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-19 23:58:30 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Gu%Pg.9889$v%4.3211@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6027

Evan Platt <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote in
news:2v70h25k5ndrhqrumae088m4f6e81hpcef@4ax.com:

> On Mon, 18 Sep 2006 23:50:45 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>Another one that's good is ask people how to modify your scanner to
>>receive cell phones.
>
> I want to modify my cell phone to receive scanners.

How good are you with surface mount technology?

SC

4648. Re: Ham radios don't come with kill-filters or plonk buttons.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 00:02:57 UTC
From: Mahmoud Ahmadinejad <read@book.com>
Message-ID: <12h11ljpcc6mf19@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 6028
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"No Code", just like "No War", is just another failed Neo-Liberal policy.

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:Bu%Pg.9885$v%4.4258@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> It's written tests and CW that keep the sick, lame, and lazy, out of
> your receiver, not a plonk button or kill-filter. Don't degrade receiver
> performance. Support keeping CW, and increasing the speed requirement,
> and make the passing score for writtens 85%.
>
> TNX, 73 de Slow Code :-)
>
>
>

4649. Re: You've Got Mail...But No Job! Fun at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 00:14:12 UTC
From: amyotte@personainternet.com
Message-ID: <1158711252.622005.175260@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6029
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> Personally however I would not miss them if each and every
> RS were to close

Unfortunately the RS stores in Ontario are now called "The Source by
Circuit City" Electronic toy crap that can be bought at fleamarkets
BUTTTT they are still the only store around that sells resistors, caps,
solder, alligator clips, and other wierd stuff Wally mart doesn't.

Man, are we screwed.

Brian

4650. Re: Ham radios don't come with kill-filters or plonk buttons.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 01:16:47 UTC
From: Bob Downonit <Down@gag.net>
Message-ID: <2006091921164750073%Down@gagnet>
Source record: 6030
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On 2006-09-19 19:58:25 -0400, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> said:

> It's written tests and CW that keep the sick, lame, and lazy, out of
> your receiver, not a plonk button or kill-filter. Don't degrade receiver
> performance. Support keeping CW, and increasing the speed requirement,
> and make the passing score for writtens 85%.
> TNX, 73 de Slow Code :-)
>

Nonsense but thanks for playing!

4651. Re: Ham radios don't come with kill-filters or plonk buttons.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 01:43:29 UTC
From: captain_veritas@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1158716609.132509.143560@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6031
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:
> It's written tests and CW that keep the sick, lame, and lazy, out of
> your receiver, not a plonk button or kill-filter. Don't degrade receiver
> performance. Support keeping CW, and increasing the speed requirement,
> and make the passing score for writtens 85%.

I agree in principle; we can work out the details later. What we
obtain too cheaply we do not value highly. Keep the standards high.
Put some teeth back in ham tests. That tends to weed out those who
aren't serious. While not a panacea it would go a long way toward
cleaning up a lot of the dreck we now deal with on a daily basis.

4652. A humble request for "SlowCode" and contemporaries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 01:49:08 UTC
From: Roy Lewallen <w7el@eznec.com>
Message-ID: <12h17gktf0opa87@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 6032
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

As a reader and frequent contributor to the rec.radio.amateur.antenna newsgroup, I see a large and increasing volume of messages cross posted to a large handful of groups which have nothing to do with antennas. Not surprisingly, I don't see any of the regular contributors to this newsgroup participating in the endless arguments about Morse code, testing requirements, and what it takes to be a "real" amateur. These threads seem to be increasing in number daily, involving the same participants, and have grown to the point that the signal-to-noise ratio of rec.radio.amateur.antenna newsgroup is getting seriously degraded. It's becoming necessary to fish through multiple off-topic threads to find the postings involving antennas.

There's no point in including rec.radio.amateur.antenna in the list of newsgroups you send these postings to. There are certainly groups whose readers are much more interested in participating or reading about these topics.

So my request is: Please don't include rec.radio.amateur.antenna in the list of newsgroups you send the original posting to. And when replying to a thread whose topic doesn't involve antennas, simply delete rec.radio.amateur.antenna from the list of newsgroups receiving the reply, before clicking "Send". This won't detract in any way from your discussions, and will be a relief for rec.radio.amateur.antenna readers and participants.

Thanks and 73,
Roy Lewallen, W7EL

4653. Re: Art Bell / Cell Phone Tricks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 02:09:12 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <2g81h29mbsja7sma5eb5b6e8o98jbfjvsb@4ax.com>
Source record: 6033
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.fan.art-bell.alt.usenet.kooks,alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 19 Sep 2006 11:44:21 -0400, "thesavagebelcher"
<14313loonsareback@yahoo.com> wrote:

>The above transcript is an URBAN LEGEND.

>It 100% WILL NOT work as stated.

Also ...

>> 3) I do not recall the process, but: For those who have a Nokia cell
>> phone and MUST make an emergency call while the battery is almost dead
>> there is a reserve battery inside the Nokia that can be called upon to
>> boost your power level by about 50% via the keypad. When the regular
>> battery is recharged this emergency one will also recharge. Anyone
>> recall the steps to this?

There's an internal battery in some phones to keep the memory alive if
you change batteries, but it wouldn't actually run the phone for more
than a small fraction of a second. It's about as powerful as the CMOS
battery in a computer.

>> 4) There is also a way to access your cell phone serial number from
>> your keypad so you can write it down. Then you can call the phone
>> company and have that cell phone totally disabled should it be stolen.
>> Even if the thief has some kind of access card it still will not allow
>> calls from that cell phone. You probably will NOT get your phone back
>> but you can rest in knowing that the thief can no longer get any benefit
>> out of it either! :-)

Or just tell the company to disable the phone you have on your account
- they have all the information they need in their database. Although
you CAN get the IMEI of a cellphone very easily.

4654. Re: NEW SCANNER SITE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 02:17:04 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <qn81h21782gd9psoke1rji40e2gi30eqkt@4ax.com>
Source record: 6034

On 18 Sep 2006 18:08:11 -0700, bakb0ne@gmail.com wrote:

>Again, He cant remove the ads... I also run many PhpBB and PhpBB2
>boards, and I know that from within phpbb admin, you can set up the
>forum, change the permissions, change catagories, mod and admin access
>but there is nowhere where you can edit the HTML on which the forum is
>displayed..

>The Ads are part of the phpBB styles, which you have the "upload" by
>ftp and then "install" in phpbb admin in order to change....

Or just learn enough PHP to rewrite the files, then upload them. It's not even as difficult as what I do every day for a living - PHP is a pretty simple language. Next you'll tell me that programs written in C++, or Jovial, or ATLAS can't be changed.

>He cannot do this as it is a FREE FORUM HOST... the only access he has
>is phpbb admin.. NOTHING ELSE>..

If he can't even upload the phpBB files, it's not even a host - he's being given access to someone else's BB. If he can upload the phpBB files, he can change them before he uploads them.

>NOTE: If he was hosting his own forum using phpbb and mysql databases
>then YES he could change anything he wanted, he could upload any new
>styles, or edit the templates himself, but as he doesnt have access to
>the webspace itself, then he only has limited access to what he can do

GoDaddy hosts my phpBB (that means that it runs on their computers - they have nothing to do with the PHP code I upload to the site) and I rewrite it any time I want to rewrite it, so don't tell someone who's done it that it can't be done. Learn what "hosting", "uploading" and "programming" mean.

4655. Re: Ham radios don't come with kill-filters or plonk buttons.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 02:51:42 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <4510ACBE.7030100@fuse.net>
Source record: 6035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Mahmoud Ahmadinejad wrote:
> "No Code", just like "No War", is just another failed Neo-Liberal policy.
>
Yes, WAR has worked out SO WELL for the NeoCons. (Actually, it has.
Lots of money to be made on the backs of American soldiers.)

First time I've seen the term Neo-Liberal...I kinda like it.

4656. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 03:35:22 UTC
From: captain_veritas@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1158723322.489593.69020@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6036
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Slow Code wrote:
> Think Back, Are todays conversations on 2 meters as technical as they
> were 25 years ago

Absolutely not. Back in the 70s we would discuss antennas, circuitry, the pros and cons of certain radios, cars, boats, guns, and such. Occasionally we would discuss more esoteric things like philosophy and history (conspiracy theories were always a favorite). We kept away from politics and religion, mostly, except we would discuss them in general terms.

Come to think of it, HF was the same. These days, it's hardly worth turning the radio on most of the time.

> Allowing no-codes on VHF & UHF has made discussions less technical and
> more like CB. Don't let your hatred of CW destroy our HF bands too.

That might be an oversimplification but it's generally accurate. Like I said earlier, what we obtain too cheaply we do not value highly.

> Amateur Radio, a National resource.

Too many of us forget that if we weren't a resource we would be legislated out of existence.

4657. Re: Ham radios don't come with kill-filters or plonk buttons.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 04:13:40 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <avf1h2httjn52pa8nbgu17ebvpskki1ss1@4ax.com>
Source record: 6037
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 19 Sep 2006 23:58:25 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>It's written tests and CW that keep the sick, lame, and lazy, out of
>your receiver, not a plonk button or kill-filter. Don't degrade receiver
>performance. Support keeping CW, and increasing the speed requirement,
>and make the passing score for writtens 85%.
>
>TNX, 73 de Slow Code :-)
troling right along I see
>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4658. slow code staking torl on the lose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 04:26:01 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1158726361.567210.27090@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6038
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> Think Back, Are todays conversations on 2 meters as technical as they
> were 25 years ago, or today might you hear things like:
how would know thye were not in code

4659. Re: Ham radios don't come with kill-filters or plonk buttons.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 05:07:17 UTC
From: Son of a Mahmoud Ahmadinejad <boringflatusk@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d6333$4510cc87$d1cc56e1$8787@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 6039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:Bu%Pg.9885$v%4.4258@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> It's written tests and CW that keep the sick, lame, and lazy, out of
> your receiver, not a plonk button or kill-filter.

Sure!

I guess that you were not around during the heyday
of the 14.313 and 75 Meter Jamming Wars of
the late 70's and 80's.

ALL of the QRM'ers involved had a General or better
and in those days you had to pass a 13 WPM code test
to even GET ON the HF spectrum.

Your argument is as pointless as your ball-shaped fart head.

4660. Re: slow code staking torl on the lose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 05:58:20 UTC
From: captain_veritas@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1158731900.174212.54010@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6040
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > Think Back, Are todays conversations on 2 meters as technical as they
> > were 25 years ago, or today might you hear things like:
> how would know thye were not in code

This is a prime example of why we don't have many intelligent
discussions on this board. Anyone who says anything halfway
interesting gets flamed by the resident gollums and trolls.

4661. Re: N9OGL is lazy and a terrible disk jockey.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 11:16:44 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1158751003.991250.229060@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 6041
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

MarktheMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On Tue, 19 Sep 2006 10:27:29 -0700, "Indy ham" <private@nospam.com.cc>
> wrote:
>
> >> Besides playing the same Crap over and over constantly, Todd doesn't even
> >> change the order of the shitty songs much. What a terrible disk jockey.
> >>
> >> He could at least have a little variety in the order he plays the shit.
> > >
> > >
> > >Where does he play his music?
> > Todd plays music on part 15 sattion [DEFAULT]
> > BASEURL=http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/
> > [InternetShortcut]
> > URL=http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/
> > Modified=20D7A999F084C60174
> >
> > >
> > >And what the hell is a "slow code stalker?"
> > >
> > slow code is a stalke and at larger

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA Markie makes good sentence!

4662. Re: A humble request for "SlowCode" and contemporaries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 11:53:30 UTC
From: Chris <daviesc338@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <3dcnsdd1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 6042
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 19 Sep 2006 18:49:08 -0700, Roy Lewallen <w7el@eznec.com> wrote:
> So my request is: Please don't include rec.radio.amateur.antenna in the
> list of newsgroups you send the original posting to. And when replying
> to a thread whose topic doesn't involve antennas, simply delete

My request is: Please learn about kill files, and please learn how to take action yourself by killing all posts crossposted to groups other than rec.radio.amateur.antenna. You'll have a much greater chance of changing yourself than you will of getting everyone else to do your bidding, and you'll be a whole lot less frustrated once you do figure that simple task out.

I have a theory about hams who can't even master a news reader, but I'll leave that discussion for another thread.

4663. Re: A humble request for "SlowCode" and contemporaries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 11:58:01 UTC
From: nospam <nospam@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <f1b2h21p0mmkpmsmelqo3tfov093aa05kr@4ax.com>
Source record: 6043
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Chris, we don't mind using the filters. The problem is they (you) are
changing the subject every single day so we are constantly making new
kill filters. THATS the problem.

On Wed, 20 Sep 2006 11:53:30 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
<daviesc338@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On Tue, 19 Sep 2006 18:49:08 -0700, Roy Lewallen <w7el@eznec.com> wrote:
>> So my request is: Please don't include rec.radio.amateur.antenna in the
>> list of newsgroups you send the original posting to. And when replying
>> to a thread whose topic doesn't involve antennas, simply delete
>
>My request is: Please learn about kill files, and please learn how to
>take action yourself by killing all posts crossposted to groups other
>than rec.radio.amateur.antenna. You'll have a much greater chance of
>changing yourself than you will of getting everyone else to do your
>bidding, and you'll be a whole lot less frustrated once you do figure
>that simple task out.
>
>I have a theory about hams who can't even master a news reader, but I'll
>leave that discussion for another thread.

4664. Re: Cheap P25 scanner???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 12:48:01 UTC
From: "John B." <jlb@computac.com>
Message-ID: <1158756502.800348@nfs-db1.segnet.com>
Source record: 6044

"Evan Platt" <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote in message
news:lnk0h2hcgdg22p6po9t6ih5252vtpatp0k@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 19 Sep 2006 14:40:44 -0400, "John B." <jlb@computac.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Could anyone recommend a reasonably priced scanner that can pick up APCO
> >PC25 digital transmissions? My hometown's PD & FD recently switched over
to
> >that mode - so with my "old" scanner, I have NO idea what's going on in
town
> >anymore! Reason for wanting a cheapie is that EVERY OTHER department in
the
> >area is analog and I can monitor them just fine with my Bearcat 9000XLT
> >(which I love!). So, basically looking for a 2-channel P25 unit - heh.
> >Thanks in advance.
>
> For digital scanners, cheap doesn't go together.
>
> You're looking at $400 or so. Granted I haven't kept up with non
> Uniden scanners, but anything Uniden and digital is going to be $400
> or more.

And so I'm gathering in doing further research online. The P25 card to pop
into one of these high-end Unidens is almost $200 alone! There are a few
used P25-ready scanners on eBay going for around $200 --- that's probably my
best bet.

John B.

4665. Re: A humble request for "SlowCode" and contemporaries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 12:48:48 UTC
From: Chris <daviesc338@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <3dcd8911b6e.aa1075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 6045
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 20 Sep 2006 06:58:01 -0500, nospam <nospam@nospam.net> wrote:
> Chris, we don't mind using the filters. The problem is they (you) are
> changing the subject every single day so we are constantly making new
> kill filters. THATS the problem.
>
>
>
> On Wed, 20 Sep 2006 11:53:30 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
> <daviesc338@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>
> >On Tue, 19 Sep 2006 18:49:08 -0700, Roy Lewallen <w7el@eznec.com> wrote:
> >> So my request is: Please don't include rec.radio.amateur.antenna in the
> >> list of newsgroups you send the original posting to. And when replying
> >> to a thread whose topic doesn't involve antennas, simply delete
> >
> >My request is: Please learn about kill files, and please learn how to
> >take action yourself by killing all posts crossposted to groups other
> >than rec.radio.amateur.antenna. You'll have a much greater chance of
> >changing yourself than you will of getting everyone else to do your
> >bidding, and you'll be a whole lot less frustrated once you do figure
> >that simple task out.
> >
> >I have a theory about hams who can't even master a news reader, but I'll
> >leave that discussion for another thread.

No, YOU are the problem. A proper kill filter will kill all crossposts,
no matter what the identity of the poster may be. If you really knew
how to use a kill filter (and you obviously don't), morphing posters
would present no problem at all.

You also top post, and that makes it obvious that you have a lot yet to
learn about Usenet.

4666. Re: A humble request for "SlowCode" and contemporaries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 12:58:15 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <45113AE7.6060309@fuse.net>
Source record: 6046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

>
>
> No, YOU are the problem. A proper kill filter will kill all crossposts,
> no matter what the identity of the poster may be. If you really knew
> how to use a kill filter (and you obviously don't), morphing posters
> would present no problem at all.
>
> You also top post, and that makes it obvious that you have a lot yet to
> learn about Usenet.

Hey man,

Instead of insulting someone and trying to prop yourself up with your
expertise, why not just share your "wealth"?

4667. Re: Cheap P25 scanner???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 13:17:21 UTC
From: "John B." <jlb@computac.com>
Message-ID: <1158758263.126035@nfs-db1.segnet.com>
Source record: 6047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Alex Clayton" <alexx1400@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:18qdnZBhl_DawY3YnZ2dnUVZ_rSdnZ2d@nventure.com...
> "John B." <jlb@computac.com> wrote in message
> news:1158691264.446271@nfs-db1.segnet.com...
> > Could anyone recommend a reasonably priced scanner that can pick up APCO
> > PC25 digital transmissions? My hometown's PD & FD recently switched
over
> > to
> > that mode - so with my "old" scanner, I have NO idea what's going on in
> > town
> > anymore! Reason for wanting a cheapie is that EVERY OTHER department in
> > the
> > area is analog and I can monitor them just fine with my Bearcat 9000XLT
> > (which I love!). So, basically looking for a 2-channel P25 unit - heh.
> > Thanks in advance.
> >
> > John B.
> >
> >
>
> What's your idea of "cheap"? Also you need to make sure they can still be
> monitored. Just because they went digital does not mean you can pick them
up
> with a Digital scanner. Unless you can find another person in your area
it's
> hard to know for sure. perhaps the best way would be to go to a local
radio
> shack and see if they have a digital scanner to demo. then see if you can
> pick up the local PD.
> --
> "Everything in excess! To enjoy the flavor of life, take big bites.
> Moderation is for monks."
>
> [Lazarus Long]
>
>
Good point and great idea of going to Radio Shack in town. I'm sure others
have gone there looking for the same.

John B.

4668. Re: Ham radios don't come with kill-filters or plonk buttons.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 14:11:14 UTC
From: EchoBlip <EB@ruckmail.com>
Message-ID: <6_bQg.2972$GR.1367@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 6048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

mmmm, I like Neo Nazi myself.

Wake up White people!

like sea food? How about a bowl of Ku Klux Clam chowder?

"jawod" <jawod@fuse.net> wrote in message news:4510ACBE.7030100@fuse.net...
> Mahmoud Ahmadinejad wrote:
>> "No Code", just like "No War", is just another failed Neo-Liberal policy.
>>
> Yes, WAR has worked out SO WELL for the NeoCons. (Actually, it has. Lots
> of money to be made on the backs of American soldiers.)
>
>
>
> First time I've seen the term Neo-Liberal...I kinda like it.

4669. Re: A humble request for "SlowCode" and contemporaries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 14:39:53 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ZocQg.6491$lj.1210@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 6049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Chris wrote:
> My request is: ... and please learn how to
> take action yourself by killing all posts crossposted to groups other
> than rec.radio.amateur.antenna.

Please explain how to do that with Thunderbird.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

4670. Re: Cheap P25 scanner???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 15:20:23 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <qeidnad0xPqhwYzYnZ2dnUVZ_s-dnZ2d@nventure.com>
Source record: 6050
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"John B." <jlb@computac.com> wrote in message
news:1158758263.126035@nfs-db1.segnet.com...
>
> Good point and great idea of going to Radio Shack in town. I'm sure
> others
> have gone there looking for the same.
>
> John B.
>
>

Hope you can find the info you want. If it turns out they have gone to a Non encrypted digital you can hear, I would get a Uniden if you want to listen. They will run around $500.00 for one that will pick up the Digital, but they have a nice one that fits in a shirt pocket.
--
"Little girls like butterflies, need no excuse".

Lazarus Long

4671. Re: A humble request for "SlowCode" and contemporaries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 15:54:26 UTC
From: Chris <daviesc338@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <3dcd1b6e.ff1075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 5951
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 20 Sep 2006 14:39:53 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Chris wrote:
> > My request is: ... and please learn how to
> > take action yourself by killing all posts crossposted to groups other
> > than rec.radio.amateur.antenna.
>
> Please explain how to do that with Thunderbird.

You should make sure that you have a proper news reader and then configure it as has been outlined in the news.answers FAQs for the last 20 years or so. If Thunderbird won't cut the mustard, then you should drop it in in favor of one of the other three or four *free* newsreaders which can do the job.

Usenet users should take responsibility for their own environment and stop asking others to do it for them. Too many people have a cheesy newsreader (such as Google Groups) and are too lazy to find a good one. They are often the people who complain the most loudly about crossposts instead of doing something about it themselves.

(Hint: People using newsreaders which can filter on the Newsgroups header should develop a filter which uses that header. If an article is crossposted, there will be at least one comma in that header. To kill all crossposted articles, kill all articles with a comma in the Newsgroups header. You can also use the Xref header to do the same thing by searching for two or more colons.)

4672. Suggestions encouraged

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 16:43:56 UTC
From: SeppoP <seppo_pietikainen@xyahoox.com>
Message-ID: <4nd9ecF9oovbU1@individual.net>
Source record: 5952

My old Radio Shack Pro-51 is getting a bit tired and I'm thinking it's about time to drag it to the back of the barn and
give it one in the neck.

Any suggestions as to replacement? I've been thinking of Yaesu VR-500, but, as it has been around for a lot of years, I
suspect there are several possibilities in the same approximate price range ($250-500) that are more advanced than that.

I *really* haven't followed the markets over the past years, so I'm kinda in the dark (lots of brands, yupiteru,
bearcat, uniden etc. etc.)...

My basic requirements:
- Aviation traffic monitoring (99.9% of the time)
- I would be nice to monitor/control the scanner via Linux over [serial] connection
- Must be portable.

I'm all ears...

--
Seppo P.
What's wrong with Theocracy? (a Finnish Taliban, Oct 1, 2005)

4673. Re: simple dipole for newbie - copper, alum, or galv steel pipe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 17:23:49 UTC
From: Paul Monaghan <monaghan@shorelinelegal.com>
Message-ID: <jtt2h2pclgrg90387h0p5sqpgnvm3si9ce@4ax.com>
Source record: 5953

Wow, thank you for all of the advice everyone. Apparently I have some more reading to do...

In the meantime I put together an off-center dipole 48" up 18" down using aluminum wire. I understand there are probably better options, but this provides significant improvement over what I had before.

Just one question about this antenna - how would one estimate the impedance? I don't have an impedance analyzer. I have read in this NG that the impedance will be roughly 300 Ohm, and that a TV-type balun would bring it down to 75, which would be better than 300 if not ideal. I have read elsewhere that it will be roughly 75 Ohm and no balun is necessary. What is the truth?

I do have a 4:1 balun that would work if impedance is around 300, but if impedance is around 75 would there be any benefit or harm in using it?

Thank you all again,
Paul

4674. Re: Cheap P25 scanner???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 17:56:35 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <DsqdndLfmsRGHYzYnZ2dnUVZ_tednZ2d@nventure.com>
Source record: 5954

"Evan Platt" <evan@theobvious.espphotography.com> wrote in message
news:bik2h2ppcg97o1ji9hje908mv6asffd80h@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 20 Sep 2006 08:48:01 -0400, "John B." <jlb@computac.com>
> wrote:
>
>>And so I'm gathering in doing further research online. The P25 card to
>>pop
>>into one of these high-end Unidens is almost $200 alone!
>
> Be careful - a good number of the scanners are Digital already. Only a
> few (they will generally say "P25 READY") need the card.
>
>>There are a few used P25-ready scanners on eBay going for around $200 ---
>>that's probably my
>>best bet.
>
> "Going for" - you mean currently at $200 with 3 days left to go?
>
> The majority of the bidding is done in the last hours or even minutes.

They run close to $500.00 new. If you see one on Ebay that is a buy it now
or is won for $200.00 I would be VERY surprised if it actually worked when
you got it. If you really want to take a chance, make damn sure you pay with
a Credit Card. At least then when you get it, if it does not work you will
get your money back. If the seller will not let you pay with a Credit card
don't even consider it.
--
"Little girls like butterflies, need no excuse".

Lazarus Long

4675. Re: slow code staking torl on the lose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 18:06:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <in03h25krib54esl1utampi8djqfag1llr@4ax.com>
Source record: 5955
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 19 Sep 2006 22:58:20 -0700, captain_veritas@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Slow Code wrote:
>> > Think Back, Are todays conversations on 2 meters as technical as they
>> > were 25 years ago, or today might you hear things like:
>> how would know thye were not in code
>
>This is a prime example of why we don't have many intelligent
>discussions on this board. Anyone who says anything halfway
>interesting gets flamed by the resident gollums and trolls.
no Steve we have the problem becaus you and rest think attacking
someone sex life is an answer to the issues of the day
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4676. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 19:05:01 UTC
From: SC <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <1hGPg.9238$v%4.2412@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5956
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 19 Sep 2006 20:35:22 -0700, captain_veritas@hotmail.com wrote:

> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Date: 19 Sep 2006 20:35:22 -0700
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 131.110.125.19

What's an older guy like you still doing on night shift at the Marshall
Space Flight Center? Does NASA have a policy about misuse of government
computers?

query: whois 131.110.125.19
answer:

OrgName: National Aeronautics and Space Administration
OrgID: NASA
Address: IS05/Office of the Chief Information Officer
City: MSFC
StateProv: AL
PostalCode: 35812
Country: US

NetRange: 131.110.0.0 - 131.110.255.255
CIDR: 131.110.0.0/16

4677. Re: Suggestions encouraged

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 19:23:36 UTC
From: albertto@sprynet.com
Message-ID: <1158780216.531479.321970@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5957

SeppoP wrote:
> My old Radio Shack Pro-51 is getting a bit tired and I'm thinking it's about time to drag it to the back of the barn and
> give it one in the neck.
> I have a pro-51 on ebay now, It is also my favorite scanner. I am selling it, but it works great and am having second thoughts. If you want another let me know i will save you some money
> Any suggestions as to replacement? I've been thinking of Yaesu VR-500, but, as it has been around for a lot of years, I
> suspect there are several possibilities in the same approximate price range ($250-500) that are more advanced than that.
>
> I *really* haven't followed the markets over the past years, so I'm kinda in the dark (lots of brands, yupiteru,
> bearcat, uniden etc. etc.)...
>
> My basic requirements:
> - Aviation traffic monitoring (99.9% of the time)
> - I would be nice to monitor/control the scanner via Linux over [serial] connection
> - Must be portable.
>
> I'm all ears...
>
> --
> Seppo P.
> What's wrong with Theocracy? (a Finnish Taliban, Oct 1, 2005)

4678. Re: N9OGL is lazy and a terrible disk jockey.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 19:49:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <rp63h29hnltdkv9h60gtdep13n83b95gpo@4ax.com>
Source record: 5958
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 18 Sep 2006 23:50:38 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Besides playing the same Crap over and over constantly, Todd doesn't even
>change the order of the shitty songs much. What a terrible disk jockey.
>
>He could at least have a little variety in the order he plays the shit.
>
>SC
then trun the dail Steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4679. Re: NEW SCANNER SITE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 20:31:41 UTC
From: bakb0ne@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1158784301.814376.241460@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5959

Al Klein wrote:
> On 18 Sep 2006 18:08:11 -0700, bakb0ne@gmail.com wrote:
>
> >Again, He cant remove the ads... I also run many PhpBB and PhpBB2
> >boards, and I know that from within phpbb admin, you can set up the
> >forum, change the permissions, change catagories, mod and admin access
> >but there is nowhere where you can edit the HTML on which the forum is
> >displayed..
>
> >The Ads are part of the phpBB styles, which you have the "upload" by
> >ftp and then "install" in phpbb admin in order to change....
>
> Or just learn enough PHP to rewrite the files, then upload them. It's
> not even as difficult as what I do every day for a living - PHP is a
> pretty simple language. Next you'll tell me that programs written in
> C++, or Jovial, or ATLAS can't be changed.

"then upload them." Lol, now your just being retarded... [he cant
upload]

> >He cannot do this as it is a FREE FORUM HOST... the only access he has
> >is phpbb admin.. NOTHING ELSE>..
>
> If he can't even upload the phpBB files, it's not even a host - he's
> being given access to someone else's BB. If he can upload the phpBB
> files, he can change them before he uploads them.

It is "hosting" a phpbb for him, he isnt given ftp access so no
"uploads", he is only given admin access to the phpbb board...

> >NOTE: If he was hosting his own forum using phpbb and mysql databases
> >then YES he could change anything he wanted, he could upload any new
> >styles, or edit the templates himself, but as he doesnt have access to
> >the webspace itself, then he only has limited access to what he can do
>
> GoDaddy hosts my phpBB (that means that it runs on their computers -
> they have nothing to do with the PHP code I upload to the site) and I
> rewrite it any time I want to rewrite it, so don't tell someone who's
> done it that it can't be done. Learn what "hosting", "uploading" and
> "programming" mean.

I understand these terms, and you have ftp access to the space that
GoDaddy allocate you... he doesnt, so all I can say is "learn to read"

4680. Re: A humble request for "SlowCode" and contemporaries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 20:57:15 UTC
From: nospam <nospam@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <gna3h2hskc5s9n5mdtfvrm7eumpuap06lr@4ax.com>
Source record: 5960
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Chris, I guarentee I am not the problem. I will bet you are one of the
people spewing all the garbage on here.

On Wed, 20 Sep 2006 12:48:48 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
<daviesc338@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 20 Sep 2006 06:58:01 -0500, nospam <nospam@nospam.net> wrote:
>> Chris, we don't mind using the filters. The problem is they (you) are
>> changing the subject every single day so we are constantly making new
>> kill filters. THATS the problem.
>>
>>
>>
>> On Wed, 20 Sep 2006 11:53:30 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
>> <daviesc338@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>>
>> >On Tue, 19 Sep 2006 18:49:08 -0700, Roy Lewallen <w7el@eznec.com> wrote:
>> >> So my request is: Please don't include rec.radio.amateur.antenna in the
>> >> list of newsgroups you send the original posting to. And when replying
>> >> to a thread whose topic doesn't involve antennas, simply delete
>> >
>> >My request is: Please learn about kill files, and please learn how to
>> >take action yourself by killing all posts crossposted to groups other
>> >than rec.radio.amateur.antenna. You'll have a much greater chance of
>> >changing yourself than you will of getting everyone else to do your
>> >bidding, and you'll be a whole lot less frustrated once you do figure
>> >that simple task out.
>> >
>> >I have a theory about hams who can't even master a news reader, but I'll
>> >leave that discussion for another thread.
>
>No, YOU are the problem. A proper kill filter will kill all crossposts,
>no matter what the identity of the poster may be. If you really knew
>how to use a kill filter (and you obviously don't), morphing posters
>would present no problem at all.
>
>You also top post, and that makes it obvious that you have a lot yet to
>learn about Usenet.

4681. Re: Suggestions encouraged

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 22:22:32 UTC
From: albertto@sprynet.com
Message-ID: <1158790952.733744.307730@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5961

albertto@sprynet.com wrote:
> SeppoP wrote:
> > My old Radio Shack Pro-51 is getting a bit tired and I'm thinking it's about time to drag it to the back of the barn and
> > give it one in the neck.
> > I have a pro-51 on ebay now, It is also my favorite scanner. I am selling it, but it works great and am having second thoughts. If you want another let me know i will save you some money
> > Any suggestions as to replacement? I've been thinking of Yaesu VR-500, but, as it has been around for a lot of years, I
> > suspect there are several possibilities in the same approximate price range ($250-500) that are more advanced than that.
> >
> > I *really* haven't followed the markets over the past years, so I'm kinda in the dark (lots of brands, yupiteru,
> > bearcat, uniden etc. etc.)...
> >
> > My basic requirements:
> > - Aviation traffic monitoring (99.9% of the time)
> > - I would be nice to monitor/control the scanner via Linux over [serial] connection

I nacw a Pro 51 on ebay now that has been very useful to me. It is
especially good on aircraft band. If you want to stay the same let me
know.

> > - Must be portable.
> >
> > I'm all ears...
> >
> > --
> > Seppo P.
> > What's wrong with Theocracy? (a Finnish Taliban, Oct 1, 2005)

4682. Re: simple dipole for newbie - copper, alum, or galv steel pipe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-20 23:46:35 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <pjk3h29ni8bsvbl2td9f174uqm3tjsglc7@4ax.com>
Source record: 5962

On Wed, 20 Sep 2006 17:23:49 GMT, Paul Monaghan
<monaghan@shorelinelegal.com> wrote:

>Just one question about this antenna - how would one estimate the
>impedance? I don't have an impedance analyzer. I have read in this NG
>that the impedance will be roughly 300 Ohm, and that a TV-type balun
>would bring it down to 75, which would be better than 300 if not
>ideal. I have read elsewhere that it will be roughly 75 Ohm and no
>balun is necessary. What is the truth?

The truth is that a scanner that covers a wide range of frequencies
rarely shows 50 ohms, and that's only over a very narrow frequency
range, so I wouldn't worry about it. Putting on a balun may improve
reception on some frequencies, only to degrade it on others.

4683. Re: A humble request for "SlowCode" and contemporaries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-21 00:51:09 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <1mlQg.14299$bM.1960@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5964
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Roy Lewallen <w7el@eznec.com> wrote in
news:12h17gktf0opa87@corp.supernews.com:

> As a reader and frequent contributor to the rec.radio.amateur.antenna
> newsgroup, I see a large and increasing volume of messages cross posted
> to a large handful of groups which have nothing to do with antennas. Not
> surprisingly, I don't see any of the regular contributors to this
> newsgroup participating in the endless arguments about Morse code,
> testing requirements, and what it takes to be a "real" amateur. These
> threads seem to be increasing in number daily, involving the same
> participants, and have grown to the point that the signal-to-noise ratio
> of rec.radio.amateur.antenna newsgroup is getting seriously degraded.
> It's becoming necessary to fish through multiple off-topic threads to
> find the postings involving antennas.
>
> There's no point in including rec.radio.amateur.antenna in the list of
> newsgroups you send these postings to. There are certainly groups whose
> readers are much more interested in participating or reading about these
> topics.
>
> So my request is: Please don't include rec.radio.amateur.antenna in the
> list of newsgroups you send the original posting to. And when replying
> to a thread whose topic doesn't involve antennas, simply delete
> rec.radio.amateur.antenna from the list of newsgroups receiving the
> reply, before clicking "Send". This won't detract in any way from your
> discussions, and will be a relief for rec.radio.amateur.antenna readers
> and participants.
>
> Thanks and 73,
> Roy Lewallen, W7EL

Roy. I appreciate your point and I'm sorry for disturbing the
newsgroup. It won't happen again, I promise. I'm glad we have
RRAA because I had antenna questions they couldn't answer over
in rec.radio.cb

Does one long continuous wave(CW) make your antenna warm in the winter?

What kind of antenna do you use to receive CW, a vertical co-linear
ground-plane or some form of half-wave Dipole? What's Best?

Does antenna polarization matter if the CW is high speed and received
with a computer?

A lot of hams now are Appliance Operators and hate CW, how can they keep CW of their antennas?

I heard like if you hook the coax to your dipole antenna in reverse, CW comes out backwards, Like POTS would come out as STOP. Is that true?

Thanks Roy, keep up the good work! You're doing a good job. 73

SC

4684. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-21 00:51:11 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <3mlQg.14300$bM.4176@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5963
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

SC <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in
news:1hGPg.9238$v%4.2412@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net:

> On 19 Sep 2006 20:35:22 -0700, captain_veritas@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>> Newsgroups:
>> rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc Date:
>> 19 Sep 2006 20:35:22 -0700 NNTP-Posting-Host: 131.110.125.19
>
> What's an older guy like you still doing on night shift at the Marshall
> Space Flight Center? Does NASA have a policy about misuse of government
> computers?
>
> query: whois 131.110.125.19
> answer:
>
> OrgName: National Aeronautics and Space Administration
> OrgID: NASA
> Address: IS05/Office of the Chief Information Officer
> City: MSFC
> StateProv: AL
> PostalCode: 35812
> Country: US
>
> NetRange: 131.110.0.0 - 131.110.255.255
> CIDR: 131.110.0.0/16

-> Path: !news.alt.net!usenet
-> From: SC <my.mail@no.spam>
-> Message-ID: <1hGPg.9238$v%4.2412@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>

ROFLMAO, yah right.

Don't ya just love Altopia.

SC

4685. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-21 00:51:12 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <4mlQg.14301$bM.13237@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5965
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

captain_veritas@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1158731900.174212.54010@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Slow Code wrote:
>> > Think Back, Are todays conversations on 2 meters as technical as they
>> > were 25 years ago, or today might you hear things like:
>> how would know thye were not in code
>
> This is a prime example of why we don't have many intelligent
> discussions on this board. Anyone who says anything halfway
> interesting gets flamed by the resident gollums and trolls.

Don't confuse Marks un-intelligable babble as flames, it's just
un-intelligable babble. I don't know why I asked the question in
the first place. KB9RQZ is living proof it's gone downhill.

SC

4686. slow kook on the loose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-21 03:37:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1158809851.671262.39870@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5966
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
>
slow kook on the loose

4687. gay bashers are not welcome anywhere that is why they use fake names like Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-21 03:46:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1158810387.206689.303060@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5967
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
>
gay bashers are not welcome anywhere that is why they use fake names
like Slow Code

4688. Re: Cheap P25 scanner???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-21 06:45:46 UTC
From: Bill.K9KZ@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1158821146.816421.54630@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5968
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

John B. wrote:

> Good point and great idea of going to Radio Shack in town. I'm sure others
> have gone there looking for the same.
>
> John B.

Will anything from RS even survive rebanding???

4689. Space Shuttle landing support acft working

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-21 07:09:06 UTC
From: "AllanStern@aol.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1158822546.547202.42020@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5969
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.military

"BH 340" begins work in support of Shuttle landing
``````````````````````````````````````````````````

It is Thurs, 2:43am EDT (0643z).
USN NP-3D #150522 "BH 340" of the Pt Mugu VX-30 "Bloodhounds" has
been at Patrick for several days waiting to assume his duties for the
Space Shuttle STS-115 landing. Moments ago he departed Patrick AFB and
is headed to an orbit at FL220. At the moment he is up with ATC on
132.45.
This acft is "Cast Glance" equipped. Cast Glance is a system of
stabilized high-power optics used in range surveillance and data
collecting.
I will be trying to snag his mission freq for this landing and will
pass it along as soon as I hear him.
The NASA weather plane has been up already; heard him on 126.65 with
Weather Com. He orbited around the Shuttle Landing Facility here, and
announced fine weather conditions for the landing.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/BrevardRadio
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/CivilAirComms
``````````````````````````````````````````````````

4690. Shuttle Landed, air-ground comms heard on 259.7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-21 10:47:48 UTC
From: "AllanStern@aol.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1158835668.101199.266890@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5970
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.military

The Shuttle landed on time, shortly after 6:20am EDT (1020z).
Comms were clear from the Shuttle on 259.7 during their approach and
landing here on Rnwy 33.
The KSC ground freqs are busy now with comms from the convoy of
vehicles approaching the shuttle on the runway, fire dept, security,
etc.
While listening to the comms from the Shuttle, I was watching the
landing televised by NASA TV. The view was through the pilot's HUD; it
was a spectacular landing.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/BrevardRadio
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/CivilAirComms

4691. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-21 11:29:02 UTC
From: dave <cmrbison>
Message-ID: <keKdnXHzV8Lh6o_YnZ2dnUVZ_vidnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 5971
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Does anyone still "do" ham? There are cell phones, 15 mile range 2 ways,
satellite phones.....

Why would anyone want to take a test to communicate? with Star Trek
convention grade people??

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:qdbwg.1169$gF6.722@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Just thought you should know that.
>
>
> Help save Ham radio and ignore Markie to save
> usenet. Thanks
>
>
> 1- No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all
> elements required for their license class every ten years.
>
>
> 2- The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>
>
> 3- Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
>
> 4- Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
>
> 5- Cancel your ARRL membership until they decide to work to improve
> things and stop them from proposing ham radio that is like CB.
>
>

4692. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-21 12:10:25 UTC
From: Spamfree <Spamfree@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <4512811f$0$19899$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 5972
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Of course, if you're not "into" ham radio - you'd never know that - would you? Cell phones have their limits too. Just as FRS, and others. ALL modes have "limits". AND this is not to say I'm "pro" code. I learned it for my licenses, I listen to it and use it once in a while but not as much as some and a tad more than many. It isn't all about the "code". That hasn't kept the ass holes from invading the airwaves. Don't knock something you've never tried. There is more to it than "just" talking. But, like choosing a "Cell phone" for features - be it video, internet, etc. - aside from "just" talking - ham has many choices too. If you don't like one mode - you try another. Some buy cells "just" for emergencies, while others buy them for all the reasons and effects they can offer. IT IS A MATTER OF PERSONAL TASTE. Cells are the "current" fad just as Ham was to many of you who have never bothered to jump in. Some day Cells will be a thing of the past as well. Like Ham, Cell is NOT the answer to all of life's problems. I've seen THEM fail too when needed most.

"dave" <cmrbison> wrote in message
news:keKdnXHzV8Lh6o_YnZ2dnUVZ_vidnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Does anyone still "do" ham? There are cell phones, 15 mile range 2 ways,
> satellite phones.....
>
> Why would anyone want to take a test to communicate? with Star Trek
> convention grade people??
>
>
>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:qdbwg.1169$gF6.722@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> Just thought you should know that.
>>
>>
>> Help save Ham radio and ignore Markie to save
>> usenet. Thanks
>>
>>
>> 1- No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all
>> elements required for their license class every ten years.
>>
>>
>> 2- The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>>
>>
>> 3- Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

>>
>>
>> 4- Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>>
>>
>> 5- Cancel your ARRL membership until they decide to work to improve
>> things and stop them from proposing ham radio that is like CB.
>>
>>
>
>

4693. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-21 12:50:49 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1158843049.597278.134510@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5973
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
Sniveling Cunt wrote:
> SC <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in
> news:1hGPg.9238$v%4.2412@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>
> > On 19 Sep 2006 20:35:22 -0700, captain_veritas@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> >> Newsgroups:
> >> rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc Date:
> >> 19 Sep 2006 20:35:22 -0700 NNTP-Posting-Host: 131.110.125.19
> >
> > What's an older guy like you still doing on night shift at the Marshall
> > Space Flight Center? Does NASA have a policy about misuse of government
> > computers?
> >
> > query: whois 131.110.125.19
> > answer:
> >
> > OrgName: National Aeronautics and Space Administration
> > OrgID: NASA
> > Address: IS05/Office of the Chief Information Officer
> > City: MSFC
> > StateProv: AL
> > PostalCode: 35812
> > Country: US
> >
> > NetRange: 131.110.0.0 - 131.110.255.255
> > CIDR: 131.110.0.0/16
>
>
>
>
> -> Path: !news.alt.net!usenet
> -> From: SC <my.mail@no.spam>
> -> Message-ID: <1hGPg.9238$v%4.2412@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>
>
> ROFLMAO, yah right.
>
> Don't ya just love Altopia.

But you are on Earthlink.

<3mlQg.14300$bM.4176@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
```

>
> SC

4694. Re: Cheap P25 scanner???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-21 12:53:09 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <3o25h2d3s3859m4i0l3871l5d2ib01p33j@4ax.com>
Source record: 5974
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On 20 Sep 2006 23:45:46 -0700, Bill.K9KZ@gmail.com wrote:

>Will anything from RS even survive rebanding???

Since no one (not even Motorola) knows exactly how rebanding will end
up, no one can know what scanners can or can't survive it.

4695. Re: N9OGL is lazy and a terrible disk jockey.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-21 13:05:19 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1158843919.327348.181400@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5975
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

MarktheMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On Mon, 18 Sep 2006 23:50:38 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >Besides playing the same Crap over and over constantly, Todd doesn't even
> >change the order of the shitty songs much. What a terrible disk jockey.
> >
> >He could at least have a little variety in the order he plays the shit.
> >
> >SC
> then trun the dail Steve

"Trun?" "dail?" BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

http://www.english-tutoring.com

4696. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-21 14:31:36 UTC
From: Simon <vk2ua-spamout@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4512aec3_6@news.peopletelecom.com.au>
Source record: 5976
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

Don Bowey wrote:
> On 9/17/06 11:13 AM, in article eek38a$1g59$1@registered.motzarella.org,
> "The Professor" <telstarelectronics@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>> news:8nepg2t80bb9ftinvchrbc9410o6j7438g@4ax.com...
>>> On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 07:54:34 -0400, "Brian Griffey"
>>> <telstarelectronics@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>> I build these in my basemant.
>>> Even if it WAS legal to use them, yours aren't type approved, so it
>>> would be illegal to use yours.
>>>
>>> Jut a word to the gullible.
>>>
>> Says you....LOL
>
> Says those of us who are familiar with FCC Regulations.
>
>
>
>
Since when have CB amplifiers been recognised as boatanchors or is this
topic just spam from unthinking cross posting?

4697. Re: Suggestions encouraged

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-21 15:16:56 UTC
From: SeppoP <seppo_pietikainen@xyahoox.com>
Message-ID: <4nfon9Fa6hqlU1@individual.net>
Source record: 5977

albertto@sprynet.com wrote:
> albertto@sprynet.com wrote:
>> SeppoP wrote:
>>> My old Radio Shack Pro-51 is getting a bit tired and I'm thinking it's about time to drag it to the back of the barn and
>>> give it one in the neck.
>>> I have a pro-51 on ebay now, It is also my favorite scanner. I am selling it, but it works great and am having second thoughts. If you want another let me know i will save you some money
>>> Any suggestions as to replacement? I've been thinking of Yaesu VR-500, but, as it has been around for a lot of years, I
>>> suspect there are several possibilities in the same approximate price range ($250-500) that are more advanced than that.
>>>
>>> I *really* haven't followed the markets over the past years, so I'm kinda in the dark (lots of brands, yupiteru,
>>> bearcat, uniden etc. etc.)...
>>>
>>> My basic requirements:
>>> - Aviation traffic monitoring (99.9% of the time)
>>> - I would be nice to monitor/control the scanner via Linux over [serial] connection
>
>
>
> I nacw a Pro 51 on ebay now that has been very useful to me. It is
> especially good on aircraft band. If you want to stay the same let me
> know.
>
>>> - Must be portable.
>>>
>>> I'm all ears...
>>>
>>> --
>>> Seppo P.
>>> What's wrong with Theocracy? (a Finnish Taliban, Oct 1, 2005)
>

Albertto,

I've been *very* happy with Pro-51. I don't have anything negative to say about it and I'd still want to continue with
it if I could.

The thing is that battery power doesn't work anymore ('DC9V In' still works), 'light' -key doesn't work anymore, 'K/L'

-key doesn't work anymore, #6 & #8 -keys works intermittently and the case is "slightly" cracked. :)

I don't want to make any hasty conclusions, but I suspect that dropping it three floors down the stairwell didn't do it
much good, neither do I think it is a good idea to try to sell it thru eBay :)

(Actually I was *totally* surprised and impressed to see it even a tiny bit alive after the fall!)

The thing is, I'm interested in something "new" I can live with for the next - say - 10-15 years.

Cheers and all that, take care!

--
Seppo P.
What's wrong with Theocracy? (a Finnish Taliban, Oct 1, 2005)

4698. Re: Cheap P25 scanner???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-21 16:27:02 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <E6-dnR9ADePAII_YnZ2dnUVZ_t-dnZ2d@nventure.com>
Source record: 5978
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

<Bill.K9KZ@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1158821146.816421.54630@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> John B. wrote:
>
>> Good point and great idea of going to Radio Shack in town. I'm sure
>> others
>> have gone there looking for the same.
>>
>> John B.
>
> Will anything from RS even survive rebanding???
>

Who knows. I would not recommend buying anything from them, just use it to see if you can actually hear what the PD is sending. It's real iffy asking around. Someone may "tell" you it's something you can pick up, but if you buy a Digital Scanner then find out they did not know what they were talking about, you are sitting there with an expensive scanner that will do you no good. We have a P-25 system here, but I have never been able to find out for sure if it can be monitored or not. I have found that the only way to know for sure is find a Digital scanner and try it. If a Pro-96 will pick it up, then you can thank them, go out and buy a Uniden.
--
Beer is proof that God loves us and wants us to be happy. --Benjamin Franklin

4699. gay bashers are not welcome anywhere that is why they use fake names like Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-21 17:23:09 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1158859389.181411.309530@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5979
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
>
gay bashers are not welcome anywhere that is why they use fake names
like Slow Code

4700. gay bashers are not welcome anywhere that is why they use fake names like Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-21 17:27:12 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1158859632.189572.172590@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5980
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>
gay bashers are not welcome anywhere that is why they use fake names
like Slow Code

4701. Here are the new band designations & modes after FCC R & O comes out.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-21 23:32:00 UTC
From: Anne Tannah <anne@no.net.net>
Message-ID: <QhFQg.592$o71.428@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5981
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Here is a list of the band designations and allowable modes after the FCC Report and Order comes out eliminating the Code Requirement.

Note: This bandplan has been endorsed by the C.B.R.L., Citizen Band Relay League, 225 Main Street, Newington CT.

Band Frequency Allowable
Range(MHz) Emmissions

CB-160 1.8 - 2.0 Splatter Mode, Echo-mics o.k.
CB-80 3.5 - 4.0 Belching & Farting permitted on 3.950
CB-40 7.0 - 7.3 Splatter mode, 7.2 echo-mic calling freq.
CB-20 14.0 - 13.35 Jamming modes permitted on 14.313
CB-15 21.0 - 21.45 Noise Toys & Roger beeps ok below 21.250
CB-11 27.185 National New Ham calling frequency.
CB-10 28.0 - 29.7 Tweak your CB a bit more and you're there!
CB-02 144 - 148 Farting and repeater kerchunking.

Profanity, insults, and Cursing are permitted if phrases like "Old Extra licensee" or "Morse Code" are used in the same sentence.

Discussions of a technical nature are rarely heard and prohibited anyway.

27.185 MHz is also the prefered frequency for new hams to let out a mating call.

Ten Codes are prefered for brevity.

See ya on the new Bands!

Anne

4702. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-21 23:48:10 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <o196h2lg272qdjp9or67p2ct5ioj1ur0b3@4ax.com>
Source record: 5982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

On Thu, 21 Sep 2006 14:31:36 GMT, Simon <vk2ua-spamout@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Since when have CB amplifiers been recognised as boatanchors or is this
>topic just spam from unthinking cross posting?

Running more than 4 watts on 27 MHz in the US has been illegal since
CB became legal here - 1961 IIRC. I don't know what the rules are in
Oz.

4703. slow code stalker at large

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-22 01:58:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1158890322.818826.314420@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Anne Tannah wrote:
>
slow code stalker at large

4704. FS: ICOM R20 Euro Version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-22 09:48:15 UTC
From: Steven Skindell <openop@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <FvCdnTsoN6L4LI7YnZ2dnUVZ_tCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 5984

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&ih=012&item=220030668760&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1

Full Coverage, Mint Conditon with Manual

100% feedback (329)

4705. Re: gay bashers are not welcome anywhere that is why they use fake names like Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-22 12:38:01 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1158928681.662267.132110@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5985
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> gay bashers are not welcome anywhere that is why they use fake names
> like Slow Code

When you spam over and over like this Marqueer, you know you won't get
tripped up trying to spell complicated words, don't you?

4706. Markie will be using a case of K-Y this weekend after his shemale wife and daddy get through!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-22 12:51:42 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1158929502.535662.221790@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5986
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> gay bashers are not welcome anywhere that is why they use fake names
> like Slow Code

Poor Markie, a spamming fool!
```

4707. Re: I punch in agian

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-22 13:28:57 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <4513d816$0$19693$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 5987
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
so
bringit on you loosers

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

>

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4708. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-22 14:40:12 UTC
From: Simon <vk2ua-spamout@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <45140251$1_4@news.peopletelecom.com.au>
Source record: 5988
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

Al Klein wrote:
> On Thu, 21 Sep 2006 14:31:36 GMT, Simon <vk2ua-spamout@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
>> Since when have CB amplifiers been recognised as boatanchors or is this
>> topic just spam from unthinking cross posting?
>
> Running more than 4 watts on 27 MHz in the US has been illegal since
> CB became legal here - 1961 IIRC. I don't know what the rules are in
> Oz.

Al, yes more or less the same rules in Oz - type approval etc, but this gear is not considered as a collectable boatanchor though, so a bit off topic in the boatanchors forum - maybe an excess of cross-posting.

Simon

4709. Techno Remix of Bizarre CB Transmission

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-22 16:28:24 UTC
From: Dan <danonqspam@pnotspamd.net>
Message-ID: <Xns98467EEF05BD6danonqspampnotspamd@204.186.200.105>
Source record: 5989
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

From the official Web site:

" They Call Me The Midnight Cowboy...
Late on the night of 9/20/06 (actually 9/21/06, 0528 UTC), Dan
Quintiliani, K3DRQ stumbled across a bizarre CB transmission while tuning
the dial on his IC-725 ham radio transceiver. The transmission went
something like this:

"They call me the Midnight Cowboy, the Midnight Cowboy,
They call me the Midnight, Midnight, Midnight, Midnight, Midnight..."

...over and over again.

So, Dan decided to record this transmission on his computer, and (soon
afterward) to make a techno remix of it."

--

Download it at this page:

http://theycallmethemidnightcowboy.blogspot.com/

--

-Dan

4710. Re: Here are the new band designations & modes after FCC R & O comes out.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-22 16:37:20 UTC
From: L Ron Humorless <DIELIBERALSCUM@nutsi.net>
Message-ID: <4jUQg.4317$6S3.4131@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 5990
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LMFAO ! :)

"Anne Tannah" <anne@no.net.net> wrote in message
news:QhFQg.592$o71.428@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> Here is a list of the band designations and allowable modes after the FCC
> Report and Order comes out eliminating the Code Requirement.
>
> Note: This bandplan has been endorsed by the C.B.R.L., Citizen
> Band Relay League, 225 Main Street, Newington CT.
>
> Band Frequency Allowable
> Range(MHz) Emmissions
> --
> --
> CB-160 1.8 - 2.0 Splatter Mode, Echo-mics o.k.
> CB-80 3.5 - 4.0 Belching & Farting permitted on 3.950
> CB-40 7.0 - 7.3 Splatter mode, 7.2 echo-mic calling freq.
> CB-20 14.0 - 13.35 Jamming modes permitted on 14.313
> CB-15 21.0 - 21.45 Noise Toys & Roger beeps ok below 21.250
> CB-11 27.185 National New Ham calling frequency.
> CB-10 28.0 - 29.7 Tweak your CB a bit more and you're there!
> CB-02 144 - 148 Farting and repeater kerchunking.
>
>
> Profanity, insults, and Cursing are permitted if phrases like
> "Old Extra licensee" or "Morse Code" are used in the same sentence.
>
> Discussions of a technical nature are rarely heard and prohibited anyway.
>
> 27.185 MHz is also the prefered frequency for new hams to let out a mating
> call.
>
> Ten Codes are prefered for brevity.
>
> See ya on the new Bands!
>
> Anne
>

4711. Re: Cheap P25 scanner???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-22 17:03:41 UTC
From: zerg90 <zerg90@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1158944621.261109.226180@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5991
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

John B. - have you checked www.radioreference.com to see what type of digital system is in use? As far as I know, you can monitor/decode/trunk track any digital and/or trunked system unless it is encrypted, OpenSky, Passport, or ProVoice. (I am sure there are exceptions to this "rule".)

Peter Sz

4712. Re: Cheap P25 scanner???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-22 18:16:25 UTC
From: BDK <BDK@twilight-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1f7df2884374e59a98a30b@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 5992
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <E6-dnR9ADePAII_YnZ2dnUVZ_t-dnZ2d@nventure.com>, alexx1400
@yahoo.com says...
> <Bill.K9KZ@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1158821146.816421.54630@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > John B. wrote:
> >
> >> Good point and great idea of going to Radio Shack in town. I'm sure
> >> others
> >> have gone there looking for the same.
> >>
> >> John B.
> >
> > Will anything from RS even survive rebanding???
> >
>
> Who knows. I would not recommend buying anything from them, just use it to
> see if you can actually hear what the PD is sending. It's real iffy asking
> around. Someone may "tell" you it's something you can pick up, but if you
> buy a Digital Scanner then find out they did not know what they were talking
> about, you are sitting there with an expensive scanner that will do you no
> good. We have a P-25 system here, but I have never been able to find out for
> sure if it can be monitored or not. I have found that the only way to know
> for sure is find a Digital scanner and try it. If a Pro-96 will pick it up,
> then you can thank them, go out and buy a Uniden.
>

Then realize you liked the Pro-96 better and take it back.

BDK

4713. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-22 18:24:30 UTC
From: Porgy Tirebiter <biteme@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <yTVQg.3490$GR.3411@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 5993
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

"Simon" <vk2ua-spamout@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:45140251$1_4@news.peopletelecom.com.au...
> Al Klein wrote:
>> On Thu, 21 Sep 2006 14:31:36 GMT, Simon <vk2ua-spamout@yahoo.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> Since when have CB amplifiers been recognised as boatanchors or is this
>>> topic just spam from unthinking cross posting?
>>
>> Running more than 4 watts on 27 MHz in the US has been illegal since
>> CB became legal here - 1961 IIRC. I don't know what the rules are in
>> Oz.
>
> Al, yes more or less the same rules in Oz - type approval etc, but this
> gear is not considered as a collectable boatanchor though, so a bit off
> topic in the boatanchors forum - maybe an excess of cross-posting.
>
> Simon

Actually it was 1957 when they took the 11 meter band from the HAMS and
created the "Class-D" Citizens radio service....The callsigns
were 11W1234 series of calls.
If this guy wanted to market these amplifiers and not attract the wrong
attention all he would have to say is they are for amateur use only, with
broadband coverage from 28 to 30Mhz.HAM gear does NOT require ANY "type
acceptance" at all..
It's not real hard to figure that they would indeed work on 21/24 and
27Mhz....food for thought....

4714. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-22 21:17:29 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <lkk8h29rain6nv3qme3vomv6opq7mm37uo@4ax.com>
Source record: 5994
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 23 Sep 2006 00:40:12 +1000, Simon <vk2ua-spamout@yahoo.com>
wrote:

[newsgroups reset]

>Al Klein wrote:
>> On Thu, 21 Sep 2006 14:31:36 GMT, Simon <vk2ua-spamout@yahoo.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> Since when have CB amplifiers been recognised as boatanchors or is this
>>> topic just spam from unthinking cross posting?
>>
>> Running more than 4 watts on 27 MHz in the US has been illegal since
>> CB became legal here - 1961 IIRC. I don't know what the rules are in
>> Oz.
>
>Al, yes more or less the same rules in Oz - type approval etc, but this
>gear is not considered as a collectable boatanchor though, so a bit off
>topic in the boatanchors forum - maybe an excess of cross-posting.

My fault for not noticing your ng. I guess *newer* amps wouldn't be
too collectable, although I do recall an article in QST (or was it CQ)
many years back about a pair of 4CW300s running in the trunk (boot) of
a car. That kind of amp would probably be considered pretty
collectable.

4715. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-22 23:07:29 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <Ace@WH2T.com>
Message-ID: <d9bd7$45145d0f$4fc45cd$20694@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 5995
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

"Porgy Tirebiter" <biteme@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:yTVQg.3490$GR.3411@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...

Snipped

>>> Running more than 4 watts on 27 MHz in the US has been illegal since
>>> CB became legal here - 1961 IIRC. I don't know what the rules are in
>>> Oz.
>>
>> Al, yes more or less the same rules in Oz - type approval etc, but this
>> gear is not considered as a collectable boatanchor though, so a bit off
>> topic in the boatanchors forum - maybe an excess of cross-posting.
>>
>> Simon
>
> Actually it was 1957 when they took the 11 meter band from the HAMS and
> created the "Class-D" Citizens radio service....The callsigns
> were 11W1234 series of calls.
> If this guy wanted to market these amplifiers and not attract the wrong
> attention all he would have to say is they are for amateur use only, with
> broadband coverage from 28 to 30Mhz.HAM gear does NOT require ANY "type
> acceptance" at all..
> It's not real hard to figure that they would indeed work on 21/24 and
> 27Mhz....food for thought....
>
>
>

Home brew ham gear does NOT require ANY "type acceptance" at all..
Commercial ham gear must be type accepted.
Ace - WH2T

4716. Re: I punch in agian

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-22 23:27:42 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <4514716E.3000300@fuse.net>
Source record: 5996
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
> so
> bringit on you loosers
>
>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>

>>
>>
> with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
> impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?
>
> my blog
> http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
>
>
>
>
>
PLEASE, don't bother, really

4717. Re: A humble request for "SlowCode" and contemporaries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-23 00:31:27 UTC
From: Al Lorona <al_lorona@agilent.com>
Message-ID: <1158970877.909707@newsreg.cos.agilent.com>
Source record: 5997
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

>
> You also top post, and that makes it obvious that you have a lot yet to
> learn about Usenet.

Chris,

Normally I would agree that killfiles and delete buttons are certainly indicated in a case like this, but there is a certain amount of newsgroup etiquette that is warranted on your part, too. You can't just do whatever you want on a newsgroup with no accountability and expect everyone else to modify their behavior to accomodate yours. You even cite Usenet etiquette in your own post, so how about doing your share in applying said etiquette to yourself?

Regards,

Al

4718. Re: A humble request for "SlowCode" and contemporaries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-23 01:00:55 UTC
From: nospam <nospam@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <tg19h2pmostadvtipvt59touiov3fnkgth@4ax.com>
Source record: 5998
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 22 Sep 2006 17:31:27 -0700, "Al Lorona"
<al_lorona@agilent.com> wrote:

>>
>> You also top post, and that makes it obvious that you have a lot yet to
>> learn about Usenet.
>
>Chris,
>
>Normally I would agree that killfiles and delete buttons are certainly
>indicated in a case like this, but there is a certain amount of newsgroup
>etiquette that is warranted on your part, too. You can't just do whatever
>you want on a newsgroup with no accountability and expect everyone else to
>modify their behavior to accomodate yours. You even cite Usenet etiquette in
>your own post, so how about doing your share in applying said etiquette to
>yourself?
>
>Regards,
>
>Al
>
>
Al, these guys don't care what we think. You should of read what they
said about my dead mother. I'm doing some research right now as who
said that and I am so sick of this I am ready to go on a road trip. He
doesn't realize that I am a disgrunted postal worker. Shouldn't of
said that about my dead mother!

4719. Re: Techno Remix of Bizarre CB Transmission

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-23 01:04:05 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <9K%Qg.15127$bM.10399@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 6000
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan <danonqspam@pnotspamd.net> wrote in
news:Xns98467EEF05BD6danonqspampnotspamd@204.186.200.105:

> From the official Web site:
>
> " They Call Me The Midnight Cowboy...
> Late on the night of 9/20/06 (actually 9/21/06, 0528 UTC), Dan
> Quintiliani, K3DRQ stumbled across a bizarre CB transmission while
> tuning the dial on his IC-725 ham radio transceiver. The transmission
> went something like this:
>
> "They call me the Midnight Cowboy, the Midnight Cowboy,
> They call me the Midnight, Midnight, Midnight, Midnight, Midnight..."
>
> ...over and over again.
>
> So, Dan decided to record this transmission on his computer, and (soon
> afterward) to make a techno remix of it."
>
> --
>
> Download it at this page:
>
> http://theycallmethemidnightcowboy.blogspot.com/

Him being a CB'er, he should have called himself a K-Y Cowboy.

SC

4720. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-23 01:04:09 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <dK%Qg.15130$bM.2602@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5999
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Barry OGrady <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:89s8h2tt04jmh9hmage4uo61jpc9amsb91@4ax.com:

> On Thu, 21 Sep 2006 04:29:02 -0700, "dave" <cmrbison> wrote:
>
>>Does anyone still "do" ham? There are cell phones, 15 mile range 2
>>ways, satellite phones.............
>>
>>Why would anyone want to take a test to communicate? with Star Trek
>>convention grade people??
>
> For that matter why would anyone learn how to avoid top posting?
>
> I passed my "ham" test in 1979 and now that the code requirement has
> been completely removed for amateur radio I have full access to all
> bands, but I never get on the air.
>

You aren't smart enough to turn a radio on? Bummer.

SC

4721. Re: Cheap P25 scanner???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-23 01:17:19 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4_CdnYToU6S5FonYnZ2dnUVZ_tidnZ2d@nventure.com>
Source record: 5801
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"zerg90" <zerg90@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1158944621.261109.226180@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> John B. - have you checked www.radioreference.com to see what type of
> digital system is in use? As far as I know, you can
> monitor/decode/trunk track any digital and/or trunked system unless it
> is encrypted, OpenSky, Passport, or ProVoice. (I am sure there are
> exceptions to this "rule".)
>
> Peter Sz
>

The RR site is sometimes great, but I have found for the system here it's of no help. The info is outdated and has not been brought up to date. I and at least one other person tried to help, but they were not interested so I gave up. It's a great site for info, but I would not recommend buying a $500.00 scanner just because it said it would work unless you could confirm it.
--
"Everything in excess! To enjoy the flavor of life, take big bites. Moderation is for monks."

[Lazarus Long]

4722. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-23 02:46:01 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <ia79h21f1uhjc1pd68ud3j86nbmlnemdvi@4ax.com>
Source record: 5802
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 19 Sep 2006 08:19:27 -0400, jawod <jawod@fuse.net> spake
thusly:

>
>>
>>
>> Who the FUCK are YOU to make such a statement? You snot nosed,
>> arrogant PRICK! You do NOT know the kind of person that I am!! Wake up
>> and smell the cappuccino! Code is obsolete! Knowing code does NOTHING
>> to make somebody an "asset to the service". And, could you explain
>> what makes a person an "asset to the service"?
>>
>Jeez,
>Chill out, eh?

Sorry, but I get upset with people who make statements that are easily
taken as personal insults.

>> What fantasy do you hold that you would believe that your tiny-fisted
>> tantrums would have more weight than that of a leprous desert rat,
>> spinning rabidly in a circle, waiting for the bite of the snake?
>>
>
>Waxing poetic now?
>
>Yes it's obsolete. Yes, it's fun.

I found it to be cold and impersonal.

>Should it be used to qualify? Let the FCC decide (soon).

Here in Canada, they already have. I believe the FCC will soon.

>If it is eliminated, will that change the "Service"? Maybe.

Probably not.

>Will CW disappear? Probably not.
>Historically, it defined ham radio, so it has a special place in the
>hearts of very many hams. It's natural that they sort of cling to it.

Let them cling, they are free to do so.

>Will CW's elimination be the end of ham radio? Of course not.
>Ham radio will cease when all the hams die off. New hams are needed,
>with or without code.

I totally agree.

>My personal hope is that a significant minority of these new hams will
>take up CW and learn to enjoy this mode. It truly is a fun mode. I
>hope people will WANT to learn it.

I always found it to be boring.

>Compulsory things are seldom welcome,,,some are necessary. Is CW a good
>requirement for ham radio? I guess it has probably outlived its day.
>
>A requirement related to other digital modes would make a good
>replacement. True?

I completely agree. If you want to filter out the less serious, then
use a relevant method. Here in Canada, in order to get a no-code
licence, you must get at least 80% on the technical. And technical
prowess will always be important regardless of the mode of
communication.

4723. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-23 03:46:15 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1158983174.967897.172510@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5803
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Opus- wrote:
> On Tue, 19 Sep 2006 08:19:27 -0400, jawod <jawod@fuse.net> spake
> thusly:
>
> >> Who the FUCK are YOU to make such a statement? You snot nosed,
> >> arrogant PRICK! You do NOT know the kind of person that I am!! Wake up
> >> and smell the cappuccino! Code is obsolete! Knowing code does NOTHING
> >> to make somebody an "asset to the service". And, could you explain
> >> what makes a person an "asset to the service"?
> >>
> >Jeez,
> >Chill out, eh?
>
> Sorry, but I get upset with people who make statements that are easily
> taken as personal insults.

"Stuff happens."

BTW, this "Jawod" signed a message on rec.radio.amateur.homebrew
as "AB8O." I found a blank on that call sign at QRZ.

> >Yes it's obsolete. Yes, it's fun.
>
> I found it to be cold and impersonal.

I agree. Manual radiotelegraphy has NONE of the body language
or tone of voice or much of anything that is normal in everyday
person-to-person contacts. Using this monotonic form of
very early radio allows any user to be anything they want with
no real references to anything but the ability to send telegraphy.

> >Should it be used to qualify? Let the FCC decide (soon).
>
> Here in Canada, they already have. I believe the FCC will soon.
>
> >If it is eliminated, will that change the "Service"? Maybe.
>
> Probably not.

Heh heh...if the test is eliminated the expressed outrage,
anguish, and horror will be a horrendous wail never to be
silenced until the last code key is pried from cold, dead
fingers! :-)

> >Will CW disappear? Probably not.
> >Historically, it defined ham radio, so it has a special place in the
> >hearts of very many hams. It's natural that they sort of cling to it.
>
> Let them cling, they are free to do so.

I'd say "clog" as in cholesterol clogging those "hearts."

"Jawod" uses "many" AS IF it were quantitative. Not so much
in the USA now. The US Technician class licensees now
number about 49% of all, twice as large a number as the
General class. I doubt they want to hear such facts.

> >Will CW's elimination be the end of ham radio? Of course not.
> >Ham radio will cease when all the hams die off. New hams are needed,
> >with or without code.
>
> I totally agree.

In the USA the number of newcomers is not able to keep pace
with the expirations of licensees. That trend has been evident
for more than a year. [see www.hamdata.com] The majority of
new licensees are Technician class. Novice class, the
supposed traditional "beginner" license has been expiring at a
steady rate for years before the US changes in 2000.

> >My personal hope is that a significant minority of these new hams will
> >take up CW and learn to enjoy this mode. It truly is a fun mode. I
> >hope people will WANT to learn it.
>
> I always found it to be boring.

"Jawod" and other morsemen think that all will "like" what they
like. They really don't understand what other citizens want.

> >Compulsory things are seldom welcome,,,some are necessary. Is CW a good
> >requirement for ham radio? I guess it has probably outlived its day.
> >
> >A requirement related to other digital modes would make a good
> >replacement. True?
>
> I completely agree. If you want to filter out the less serious, then
> use a relevant method. Here in Canada, in order to get a no-code
> licence, you must get at least 80% on the technical. And technical
> prowess will always be important regardless of the mode of
> communication.

That sounds fair. In general I've approved what Industry Canada
does on communications regulations...a bit more than what the
FCC does for US civil radio services.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4724. TrunkPCR version 0.8.200 Now Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-23 03:52:09 UTC
From: CrazyC <crazy__c_@hot_mail.com>
Message-ID: <Nb2Rg.1558$Go3.787@dukeread05>
Source record: 5804

TrunkPCR version 0.8.200 Now Available for Downloading from homepage:
http://members.cox.net/fiftyone.50/TrunkPcr/TrunkPcr.html

I've been testing this new version using a PCR-2500 that has been graciously loaned from Vincent Battle... P25 Card support is still undergoing and I need your feedback to fix any kinks. To activate P25 decoding, you will have to click the FSK Mode button. It will change to P25 instead.
All of your active Control Channels will need to changed to P25, other channels will need to be changed to FM...
Any old channels that were set to FSK will have to be changed to FM and "Stored", otherwise you will get strange results when listening...

This update fixes a few bugs and has a few changes:

May 04,2006 - Added: Channel Selector using a List Display - 1 Click Tune.
May 07,2006 - Fixed: Group/Radio ID Descriptions now updated on main window.
May 08,2006 - -----: Group/Radio ID Descriptions can now be Clicked ONCE to edit.
May 09,2006 - Fixed: Multiple Displays of Frequency in CC Activity, if LCN=0.
May 09,2006 - Added: List of System Pages in CC Activity.
May 09,2006 - Added: List of User Status Updates in CC Activity.
May 09,2006 - Added: Audio Spectrum Display.
May 10,2006 - Fixed: Control List display in CC activity when Afiliation Reset Clicked.
May 12,2006 - Added: 6 Watch Group Lists in CC Activity, Set in Trunk Settings.
May 12,2006 - Fixed: Logging Buttons in Dump Display if 'Dump.Log' NOT Opened.
May 12,2006 - Fixed: Logging Buttons in Misc Display if 'Misc.Log' NOT Opened.
May 13,2006 - Added: Time Stamps to Dump and Misc Log Files.
May 18,2006 - Added: KeepAlive Function. Default was ON in Previous Versions.
May 18,2006 - Added: Raise DTR Function. Default was ON in Previous Versions.
May 21,2006 - Fixed: Dual Receiver mode in Edacs.
May 21,2006 - -----: Edacs LCN 26 to 31 Report Messages. No longer used as active channels.
May 21,2006 - Fixed: Updating Stored Freqs when Channel Editor Saved.
May 22,2006 - -----: Increased Max Groups and Radios Again.
May 22,2006 - -----: Increased Max Banks and Channels for $50 donations.
May 23,2006 - Added: Direct Entry of Frequency after using any knobs or

menus.
May 30,2006 - Fixed: External Speaker Selection for PCR-100 when
pluged-in or out.
June 04,2006 - Fixed: COM Status Display when Spectrum Sweep
Enabled/Disabled.
June 08,2006 - Added: Decoding Wave Files for Moto/Edacs Trunking Systems.
June 15,2006 - Added: Block Data Calls in Edacs.
June 20,2006 - Fixed: I-Call Reporting in Edacs.
June 22,2006 - Added: ESK Selection in Edacs.
June 23,2006 - Fixed: Edacs Dotting was set to Zero when Trunk Settings
loaded.
June 24,2006 - Fixed: Scanning in Conventional Mode when ScanBank Count =
Zero.
June 29,2006 - Fixed: Menus Enabled/Disabled when Sweep Spectrum
Selected/Deselected.
June 29,2006 - Added: 7.5 khz Step Frequency...
July 14,2006 - Added: MPT-1327 Trunking Support. (Minimal at this time)
Aug 18,2006 - -----: PCR Type Setting now Automatic. Menu Removed...
Aug 18,2006 - Added: More Support for PCR-1500/2500...
Aug 24,2006 - Added: Searching Tone for CTCSS & DTCS Tone Squelch...
Aug 26,2006 - Fixed: Saving SoundCard Settings.
Aug 26,2006 - Added: Select SoundCard Settings From Trunk Settings.
Aug 28,2006 - Fixed: Saving Group Watch Settings.
Aug 28,2006 - Fixed: Adding a New GroupID from TrunkID Editor.
Aug 31,2006 - Fixed: Entering a Frequency above 2ghz from keypad.
Sept 05,2006 - Added: Locking Out a channel during Scanning now Resumes
Scanning.
Sept 13,2006 - Added: Follow Active Frequencies in BandScope.
(Double-Click sets Watch Level)
Sept 19,2006 - Added: Duplex Offset Frequency for each Scan Bank.
Sept 21,2006 - Added: Duplex +/- Offset Buttons control frequency look-up.
Version 0.8.200 Released 09/22/2006

A new option in the BandScope will allow you to follow the active freqs
according to the signal level. Double-click on either side bandscope when
there is black area or the center bar. A Red line will appear indicating
the watch level. This is turned on in the BandScope Menu...

MPT1327 Support is limited at this time... I'll need some input from users
who have this system in their area to complete this trunking scheme...

Regards,
Jay

4725. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-23 04:53:03 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <scf9h2dlna72ckqndl9ju09jbut5bikq3s@4ax.com>
Source record: 5805
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

On Fri, 22 Sep 2006 18:07:29 -0500, "Dr.Ace" <Ace@WH2T.com> wrote:

>Home brew ham gear does NOT require ANY "type acceptance" at all..
>Commercial ham gear must be type accepted.

There's no type acceptance for ham gear.

4726. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-23 07:41:05 UTC
From: Rick Frazier <rickf@rickfrazier.com>
Message-ID: <4514E511.8030908@rickfrazier.com>
Source record: 5806
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code you're an idiot, because only an idiot would contine to post this sort of crap over and over on multiple groups...

No code licensing has done nothing to hurt CW or other license classes, and certainly hasn't turned Ham radio into Children's Band, at least where I live (or have lived over the past 40 years or so)

I don't know where you live, but where I live, the conversations are at least as techincal on 2 meterss as they were before (even 25 years back), and maybe even more so. Given your agenda on polluting the newsgroups (and probably bands too, if you actually do get on the ham bands) with this crap that supposes than anyone that doesn't do 20+wpm code is not a ham, or other similar pretenses, I doubt if you actually are even active on the bands... (bigots rarely are, in my experience).

Yep, we talk about a lot of very technical topics, not the least of which occur on 2 meters, and on local nets, or offline on other frequencies during the day (and heave3n forbid, we actually use telephones too!, and with others outside of our area. The future of ham radio in the Hawaiian islands is likely to be relatively bright, even without an increase in CW. CW is not only the slowest method of communicating, it also is the oldest. Why don't you look into some of the relatively recent advances in the hobby, which most of us have at least evaluated and determined whether we wish to participate, rather than the continual baiting and other crap you post on the groups?

By the way, I can't recall seeing you post an actual call sign, just a "slow code" moniker, so how would any of us really know you are one of us anyway? Even if you are, your'e clearly one that should have been outed many years ago.

Despite the feeling I'm doing nothing more than feeding a troll, I feel it's time to see if you're actually real... IF SO, please reply to my email address (not on the groups, you've polluted them enough already) and we can hopefully entertain an intelligent conversation.

Thanks
--Rick AH7H
(notice I'm not hiding behind a false email address or ashamed to indicate my true identity!)

Slow Code wrote:

> Think Back, Are todays conversations on 2 meters as technical as they
> were 25 years ago, or today might you hear things like:
>
> "I woke up this morning with a pimple on my butt" or
>
> "The dog crapped on the living room carpet again."
>
>
> Allowing no-codes on VHF & UHF has made discussions less technical and
> more like CB. Don't let your hatred of CW destroy our HF bands too.
>
> Amateur Radio, a National resource.
>
> 73
>
> SC

4727. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-23 07:41:27 UTC
From: Rick Frazier <rickf@rickfrazier.com>
Message-ID: <2cCdnfeApstieYnYnZ2dnUVZ_tadnZ2d@hawaiiantel.net>
Source record: 5807
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code you're an idiot, because only an idiot would contine to post this sort of crap over and over on multiple groups...

No code licensing has done nothing to hurt CW or other license classes, and certainly hasn't turned Ham radio into Children's Band, at least where I live (or have lived over the past 40 years or so)

I don't know where you live, but where I live, the conversations are at least as techincal on 2 meterss as they were before (even 25 years back), and maybe even more so. Given your agenda on polluting the newsgroups (and probably bands too, if you actually do get on the ham bands) with this crap that supposes than anyone that doesn't do 20+wpm code is not a ham, or other similar pretenses, I doubt if you actually are even active on the bands... (bigots rarely are, in my experience).

Yep, we talk about a lot of very technical topics, not the least of which occur on 2 meters, and on local nets, or offline on other frequencies during the day (and heave3n forbid, we actually use telephones too!, and with others outside of our area. The future of ham radio in the Hawaiian islands is likely to be relatively bright, even without an increase in CW. CW is not only the slowest method of communicating, it also is the oldest. Why don't you look into some of the relatively recent advances in the hobby, which most of us have at least evaluated and determined whether we wish to participate, rather than the continual baiting and other crap you post on the groups?

By the way, I can't recall seeing you post an actual call sign, just a "slow code" moniker, so how would any of us really know you are one of us anyway? Even if you are, your'e clearly one that should have been outed many years ago.

Despite the feeling I'm doing nothing more than feeding a troll, I feel it's time to see if you're actually real... IF SO, please reply to my email address (not on the groups, you've polluted them enough already) and we can hopefully entertain an intelligent conversation.

Thanks
--Rick AH7H
(notice I'm not hiding behind a false email address or ashamed to indicate my true identity!)

Slow Code wrote:

> Think Back, Are todays conversations on 2 meters as technical as they
> were 25 years ago, or today might you hear things like:
>
> "I woke up this morning with a pimple on my butt" or
>
> "The dog crapped on the living room carpet again."
>
>
> Allowing no-codes on VHF & UHF has made discussions less technical and
> more like CB. Don't let your hatred of CW destroy our HF bands too.
>
> Amateur Radio, a National resource.
>
> 73
>
> SC

4728. Gee...What A Coincidence, Lennie...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-23 08:49:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1159001395.681117.297240@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5808
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> Opus- wrote:
> > On Tue, 19 Sep 2006 08:19:27 -0400, jawod <jawod@fuse.net> spake
> > thusly:
> >
> > >> Who the #### are YOU to make such a statement? You snot nosed,
> > >> arrogant #####! You do NOT know the kind of person that I am!! Wake up
> > >> and smell the cappuccino! Code is obsolete! Knowing code does NOTHING
> > >> to make somebody an "asset to the service". And, could you explain
> > >> what makes a person an "asset to the service"?
> > >>
> > >Jeez,
> > >Chill out, eh?
> >
> > Sorry, but I get upset with people who make statements that are easily
> > taken as personal insults.
>
> "Stuff happens."
>
> BTW, this "Jawod" signed a message on rec.radio.amateur.homebrew
> as "AB8O." I found a blank on that call sign at QRZ.

What a coincidence...I used QRZ's database search engine and found
a blank when I entered "Leonard H. Anderson".

Funny, eh...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

4729. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-23 10:04:29 UTC
From: N7ZZT <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <1318543.TF9YdkMBtZ@www.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 5809
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

The Professor wrote:

>> Says those of us who are familiar with FCC Regulations.
>>
>>
> Says the clueless newbie. It's a 10 meter amplifier dumbass....LOL

anyone with any radio knowledge at all knows that a "10 meter" amp is more than broad enough to be used on 11 meters with very little retuning required (hell, the band edges are less than 600 Khz apart!).

now, there are ways to make a 10 meter amp unusable on 11, but then, it would be mostly unusable on the lower end of 10 as well. :(

so..... the label of a "10 meter amp" os pretty much meaningless, unless you can come up with a bandpass filter that has a 90+db/decade slope and a cutoff just 40 Khz from the edge of band you want to work (good luck with that as the filter would be unwieldy in both size and cost). things like this can be easily done on the upper UHF spectrum where wavelengths are short and parts are easy to fabricate..... not so in the upper HF (wavelengths >6 meters). can you imaging a cavity filter for 10 meters? it would be as big as a house and have a bandwidth narrower than your transmitters operating frequency plus its associated sidebands (am or fm) and wider still than any ssb signal (on 10 meters)

the math on this is indisputable.

4730. Re: You're not a real ham if you never took or passed a Code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-23 10:43:56 UTC
From: dave <cmrbison>
Message-ID: <KeKdnT31aY13kojYnZ2dnUVZ_u-dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 5810
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Exactly..............oops I top posted again.

"Barry OGrady" <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:89s8h2tt04jmh9hmage4uo61jpc9amsb91@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 21 Sep 2006 04:29:02 -0700, "dave" <cmrbison> wrote:
>
>>Does anyone still "do" ham? There are cell phones, 15 mile range 2 ways,
>>satellite phones..............
>>
>>Why would anyone want to take a test to communicate? with Star Trek
>>convention grade people??
>
> For that matter why would anyone learn how to avoid top posting?
>
> I passed my "ham" test in 1979 and now that the code requirement has been
> completely removed for amateur radio I have full access to all bands, but
> I never
> get on the air.
>
>>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>>news:qdbwg.1169$gF6.722@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> Just thought you should know that.
>>>
>>>
>>> Help save Ham radio and ignore Markie to save
>>> usenet. Thanks
>>>
>>>
>>> 1- No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all
>>> elements required for their license class every ten years.
>>>
>>>
>>> 2- The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>>>
>>>
>>> 3- Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>>>
>>>
>>> 4- Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>>>
>>>
>>> 5- Cancel your ARRL membership until they decide to work to improve
>>> things and stop them from proposing ham radio that is like CB.

>>>
>>>
>>
>
> Barry
> =====
> Home page
> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og

4731. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-23 10:59:35 UTC
From: "Guy P. Distaffen" <guypd@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <rs8Rg.47739$uH6.19778@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 5811
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

My understanding for the US, is that according to the FCC, if you sell ham gear as a business, it has to be type accepted, but you can "home brew" anything you want to use on the ham bands for personal use. If you sell your personal equipment, it doesn't need to be type accepted, either. Also, if you sell an amplifier as a business, it can't operate the 12 or 10 meter band. However, it can be modified to operate it, but that fits in the "home brew" category, again.
I think the stink arose on the posting, because the person posting the original listing, advertised it as a CB radio amplifier, which is illegal in the US and from what I understand, most other countries. Notice that the original poster has not posted in a while, I believe he probably has been warned, because it is off-topic and cross-posted to more than 5 groups, which is considered spam according to the TOS with most ISPs.

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message news:scf9h2dlna72ckqndl9ju09jbut5bikq3s@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 22 Sep 2006 18:07:29 -0500, "Dr.Ace" <Ace@WH2T.com> wrote:
>
>>Home brew ham gear does NOT require ANY "type acceptance" at all..
>>Commercial ham gear must be type accepted.
>
> There's no type acceptance for ham gear.

4732. Air Monitoring Freq. Ranges ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-23 13:28:25 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <5L2dnR5wlff5q4jYnZ2dnUVZ_tqdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 5812

Hello:

What is the "official range for the normail aircraft frequencies ?
Is it 118 to 137 MHz, or does it start at 108 ?

The same question, please for Military Air Monitoring ?

Thanks,
Bob

4733. An Insult To One's Deceased Mother? Look to KB9RQZ For That Kind Of "Response"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-23 13:29:20 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159018160.003284.12770@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

nospam wrote:
> On Fri, 22 Sep 2006 17:31:27 -0700, "Al Lorona"

> Al, these guys don't care what we think. You should of read what they
> said about my dead mother. I'm doing some research right now as who
> said that and I am so sick of this I am ready to go on a road trip. He
> doesn't realize that I am a disgrunted postal worker. Shouldn't of
> said that about my dead mother!

KB9RQZ (Mark 'Morkie Moron' Morgan) feels right at home absuing the
memory of my deceased daughter in order to try and gain "messaging
leverage"...I have no doubt that he's your culprit.

Why does Morkie keep insisting that I am "lying" when all I am
doing is quoting HIM verbatim?

I'm not.

Here's YOUR words AGAIN, Morkie:

Message-ID: <1158542716.987841.319690@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>

KB9RQZ Said: "oh learning code is easy"

There you have it, folks! Morkie says learning code is easy!

Quoted Word For Word!

Steve, K4YZ

4734. Re: Gee...What A Coincidence, Lennie...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-23 13:58:39 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <60c43$45153e23$4831cd39$31709@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 5814
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>>Opus- wrote:
>>
>>>On Tue, 19 Sep 2006 08:19:27 -0400, jawod <jawod@fuse.net> spake
>>>thusly:
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>Who the #### are YOU to make such a statement? You snot nosed,
>>>>>arrogant #####! You do NOT know the kind of person that I am!! Wake up
>>>>>and smell the cappuccino! Code is obsolete! Knowing code does NOTHING
>>>>>to make somebody an "asset to the service". And, could you explain
>>>>>what makes a person an "asset to the service"?
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Jeez,
>>>>Chill out, eh?
>>>
>>>Sorry, but I get upset with people who make statements that are easily
>>>taken as personal insults.
>>
>> "Stuff happens."
>>
>> BTW, this "Jawod" signed a message on rec.radio.amateur.homebrew
>> as "AB8O." I found a blank on that call sign at QRZ.
>
>
> What a coincidence...I used QRZ's database search engine and found
> a blank when I entered "Leonard H. Anderson".
>
> Funny, eh...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

I am not "Leonard H. Anderson"

4735. Re: An Insult To One's Deceased Mother? Look to KB9RQZ For That Kind Of "Response"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-23 14:04:57 UTC
From: nospam <nospam@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <k4fah2922nogvqrsld3vhoduibrr848igi@4ax.com>
Source record: 5815
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

You are the one that posted about my dead mother. I am getting close
to finding out who you are. Its not KB9RQZ. You are using his name and
call. I have paid a someone to help me find out who you are. You
crossed the line when you made the comment about my dead mother. You
think this is a joke what you are doing on here. I'm not going to make
any threats here but we will meet some day. Keep posting

On 23 Sep 2006 06:29:20 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>nospam wrote:
>> On Fri, 22 Sep 2006 17:31:27 -0700, "Al Lorona"
>
>> Al, these guys don't care what we think. You should of read what they
>> said about my dead mother. I'm doing some research right now as who
>> said that and I am so sick of this I am ready to go on a road trip. He
>> doesn't realize that I am a disgrunted postal worker. Shouldn't of
>> said that about my dead mother!
>
> KB9RQZ (Mark 'Morkie Moron' Morgan) feels right at home absuing the
>memory of my deceased daughter in order to try and gain "messaging
>leverage"...I have no doubt that he's your culprit.
>
> Why does Morkie keep insisting that I am "lying" when all I am
>doing is quoting HIM verbatim?
>
> I'm not.
>
> Here's YOUR words AGAIN, Morkie:
>
>Message-ID: <1158542716.987841.319690@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
>
> KB9RQZ Said: "oh learning code is easy"
>
> There you have it, folks! Morkie says learning code is easy!
>
> Quoted Word For Word!
>
>Steve, K4YZ

4736. Re: Techno Remix of Bizarre CB Transmission

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-23 14:09:53 UTC
From: billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159020593.433944.148690@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5816
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:
> Dan <danonqspam@pnotspamd.net> wrote in
> news:Xns98467EEF05BD6danonqspampnotspamd@204.186.200.105:
>
> > From the official Web site:
> >
> > " They Call Me The Midnight Cowboy...
> > Late on the night of 9/20/06 (actually 9/21/06, 0528 UTC), Dan
> > Quintiliani, K3DRQ stumbled across a bizarre CB transmission while
> > tuning the dial on his IC-725 ham radio transceiver. The transmission
> > went something like this:
> >
> > "They call me the Midnight Cowboy, the Midnight Cowboy,
> > They call me the Midnight, Midnight, Midnight, Midnight, Midnight..."
> >
> > ...over and over again.
> >
> > So, Dan decided to record this transmission on his computer, and (soon
> > afterward) to make a techno remix of it."
> >
> > --
> >
> > Download it at this page:
> >
> > http://theycallmethemidnightcowboy.blogspot.com/
>
>
>
> Him being a CB'er, he should have called himself a K-Y Cowboy.

Why would he want to take your CB handle?

4737. Re: An Insult To One's Deceased Mother? Look to KB9RQZ For That Kind Of "Response"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-23 14:14:44 UTC
From: billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159020884.241768.76590@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5817
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

nospam wrote:
> You are the one that posted about my dead mother. I am getting close
> to finding out who you are. Its not KB9RQZ. You are using his name and
> call. I have paid a someone to help me find out who you are. You
> crossed the line when you made the comment about my dead mother.

You are sensitive about having sex with your dead mother?

>You
> think this is a joke what you are doing on here. I'm not going to make
> any threats here but we will meet some day. Keep posting

He isn't interested in a quickie in a public restroom, George Michael.

>
> On 23 Sep 2006 06:29:20 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >nospam wrote:
> >> On Fri, 22 Sep 2006 17:31:27 -0700, "Al Lorona"
> >
> >> Al, these guys don't care what we think. You should of read what they
> >> said about my dead mother. I'm doing some research right now as who
> >> said that and I am so sick of this I am ready to go on a road trip. He
> >> doesn't realize that I am a disgrunted postal worker. Shouldn't of
> >> said that about my dead mother!
> >
> > KB9RQZ (Mark 'Morkie Moron' Morgan) feels right at home absuing the
> >memory of my deceased daughter in order to try and gain "messaging
> >leverage"...I have no doubt that he's your culprit.
> >
> > Why does Morkie keep insisting that I am "lying" when all I am
> >doing is quoting HIM verbatim?
> >
> > I'm not.
> >
> > Here's YOUR words AGAIN, Morkie:
> >
> >Message-ID: <1158542716.987841.319690@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
> >
> > KB9RQZ Said: "oh learning code is easy"
> >
> > There you have it, folks! Morkie says learning code is easy!

> >
> > Quoted Word For Word!
> >
> >Steve, K4YZ

4738. A real scanner question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-23 17:13:29 UTC
From: Key Liime <keylime31415927@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <YWdRg.1318$nm4.26@newsfe09.lga>
Source record: 5818

Was in my local RS yesterday and noticed the 2052 was on clearance.
Anyone care to comment whether $99 is worthwhile for this little box?

4739. Re: Air Monitoring Freq. Ranges ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-23 17:57:11 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <cksah21r06k5o2872utdtvfb4b97t2tj7n@4ax.com>
Source record: 5821

On Sat, 23 Sep 2006 09:28:25 -0400, "Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com>
wrote:

>What is the "official range for the normail aircraft frequencies ?
>Is it 118 to 137 MHz, or does it start at 108 ?

108-137.

4740. Re: A real scanner question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-23 18:18:42 UTC
From: Alex Clayton <alexx1400@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <UJGdnYIeQdgW54jYnZ2dnUVZ_qOdnZ2d@nventure.com>
Source record: 5819

"Key Liime" <keylime31415927@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:YWdRg.1318$nm4.26@newsfe09.lga...
> Was in my local RS yesterday and noticed the 2052 was on clearance. Anyone
> care to comment whether $99 is worthwhile for this little box?

What are you wanting to listen to?
--
Without question, the greatest invention in the history of mankind is beer.
Oh, I grant you that the wheel was also a fine invention, but the wheel does
not go nearly as well with pizza.
--Dave Barry

4741. Re: Air Monitoring Freq. Ranges ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-23 18:45:27 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <ievah25ei00mvs5aqrqdi757jcjv6719e1@4ax.com>
Source record: 5820

On Sat, 23 Sep 2006 09:28:25 -0400, "Robert11" <rgsros@notme.com>
wrote:

>Hello:
>
>What is the "official range for the normail aircraft frequencies ?
>Is it 118 to 137 MHz, or does it start at 108 ?

The band officially starts at 108. Below 118, though, you're mostly
going to hear navigational (such as ILS) systems and not voice comms.
You'll also find some milair (and other stuff such as satellites) from
137-144, but the "official" band is 108-137.

>The same question, please for Military Air Monitoring ?

The "official" band is 225-400 MHz.

John Kasupski, KC2HMZ

4742. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-23 20:31:11 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <qa6bh2dgcnt9a6n3l8coi4edg4tb1ketf0@4ax.com>
Source record: 5822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 23 Sep 2006 10:59:35 GMT, "Guy P. Distaffen"
<guypd@rochester.rr.com> wrote:

>"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>news:scf9h2dlna72ckqndl9ju09jbut5bikq3s@4ax.com...
>> On Fri, 22 Sep 2006 18:07:29 -0500, "Dr.Ace" <Ace@WH2T.com> wrote:

>>>Home brew ham gear does NOT require ANY "type acceptance" at all..
>>>Commercial ham gear must be type accepted.

>> There's no type acceptance for ham gear.

> My understanding for the US, is that according to the FCC, if you sell
>ham gear as a business, it has to be type accepted

There no FCC procedure for "type acceptance" of ham gear, so ham gear
can't *BE* type accepted.

There's certification for commercially produced ham gear, but that's a
totally different process from type acceptance, and it looks for
totally different things. Calling certified gear "type accepted" is
like calling a ham a CBer - using the wrong term (which seems to be
common practice in English for a couple of decades now)

> Also, if you sell an amplifier as a business, it can't operate the 12 or
>10 meter band. However, it can be modified to operate it

But not by something as simple as cutting a prominent wire that's
labeled "don't cut this wire". By changing capacitors and inductors,
yes - but that's home-brewing.

4743. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-24 02:09:21 UTC
From: al goss <renal@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <INlRg.1397$Ka1.783@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 5823
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

type accepted means CB gear must be
not amateur radio gear.
erg

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:qa6bh2dgcnt9a6n3l8coi4edg4tb1ketf0@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 23 Sep 2006 10:59:35 GMT, "Guy P. Distaffen"
> <guypd@rochester.rr.com> wrote:
>
>>"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>>news:scf9h2dlna72ckqndl9ju09jbut5bikq3s@4ax.com...
>>> On Fri, 22 Sep 2006 18:07:29 -0500, "Dr.Ace" <Ace@WH2T.com> wrote:
>
>>>>Home brew ham gear does NOT require ANY "type acceptance" at all..
>>>>Commercial ham gear must be type accepted.
>
>>> There's no type acceptance for ham gear.
>
>> My understanding for the US, is that according to the FCC, if you sell
>>ham gear as a business, it has to be type accepted
>
> There no FCC procedure for "type acceptance" of ham gear, so ham gear
> can't *BE* type accepted.
>
> There's certification for commercially produced ham gear, but that's a
> totally different process from type acceptance, and it looks for
> totally different things. Calling certified gear "type accepted" is
> like calling a ham a CBer - using the wrong term (which seems to be
> common practice in English for a couple of decades now)
>
>> Also, if you sell an amplifier as a business, it can't operate the 12
>> or
>>10 meter band. However, it can be modified to operate it
>
> But not by something as simple as cutting a prominent wire that's
> labeled "don't cut this wire". By changing capacitors and inductors,
> yes - but that's home-brewing.

4744. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-24 02:21:32 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <ef4prf$o53$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 5824
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

"al goss" <renal@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:lNlRg.1397$Ka1.783@news01.roc.ny...
> type accepted means CB gear must be
> not amateur radio gear.
> erg

I think what people may be thinking about is that ham gear must be Part 15 certified (for the receiver) if it's commercially built, whereas home brew gear need not be.

4745. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-24 04:15:28 UTC
From: Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com>
Message-ID: <d9WdndSqVbIrm4vYnZ2dnUVZ_ridnZ2d@rcn.net>
Source record: 5825
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

S 97.315 Type acceptance of external RF power amplifiers.
(a) No more than 1 unit of 1 model of an external RF power amplifier capable of operation below 144 MHz may be constructed or modified during any calendar year by an amateur operator for use at a station without a grant of type acceptance. No amplifier capable of operation below 144 MHz may be constructed or modified by a non-amateur operator without a grant of type acceptance from the FCC.
(b) Any external RF power amplifier or external RF power amplifier kit (see S 2.815 of the FCC Rules), manufactured, imported or modified for use in a station or attached at any station must be type accepted for use in the amateur service in accordance with Subpart J of Part 2 of the FCC Rules. This requirement does not apply if one or more of the following conditions are met:
(1) The amplifier is not capable of operation on frequencies below 144 MHz. For the purpose of this part, an amplifier will be deemed to be incapable of operation below 144 MHz if it is not capable of being easily modified to increase its amplification characteristics below 120 MHz and either:
(i) The mean output power of the amplifier decreases, as frequency decreases from 144 MHz, to a point where 0 dB or less gain is exhibited at 120 MHz; or
(ii) The amplifier is not capable of amplifying signals below 120 MHz even for brief periods without sustaining permanent damage to its amplification circuitry.
(2) The amplifier was manufactured before April 28, 1978, and has been issued a marketing waiver by the FCC, or the amplifier was purchased before April 28, 1978, by an amateur operator for use at that amateur operator's station.
(3) The amplifier was:
(i) Constructed by the licensee, not from an external RF power amplifier kit, for use at the licensee's station; or
(ii) Modified by the licensee for use at the licensee's station.
(4) The amplifier is sold by an amateur operator to another amateur operator or to a dealer.
(5) The amplifier is purchased in used condition by an equipment dealer from an amateur operator and the amplifier is further sold to another amateur operator for use at that operator's station.
(c) A list of type accepted equipment may be inspected at FCC headquarters in Washington, DC or at any FCC field location. Any external RF power amplifier appearing on this list as type accepted for use in the amateur service may be marketed for use in the amateur service.

S 97.317 Standards for type acceptance of external RF power amplifiers.
(a) To receive a grant of type acceptance, the amplifier must satisfy the spurious emission standards of S 97.307(d) or (e) of this Part, as applicable, when the amplifier is:
(1) Operated at its full output power;
(2) Placed in the "standby" or "off" positions, but still connected to the transmitter; and
(3) Driven with at least 50 W mean RF input power (unless higher drive level is specified).
(b) To receive a grant of type acceptance, the amplifier must not be capable of operation on any frequency or frequencies between 24 MHz and 35 MHz. The amplifier will be deemed incapable of such operation if it:
(1) Exhibits no more than 6 dB gain between 24 MHz and 26 MHz and between 28 MHz and 35 MHz. (This gain will be determined by the ratio of the input RF driving signal (mean power measurement) to the mean RF output power of the amplifier); and
(2) Exhibits no amplification (0 dB gain) between 26 MHz and 28 MHz.
(c) Type acceptance may be denied when denial would prevent the use of these amplifiers in services other than the amateur service. The following features will result in dismissal or denial of an application for the type acceptance:
(1) Any accessible wiring which, when altered, would permit operation of the amplifier in a manner contrary to the FCC Rules;
(2) Circuit boards or similar circuitry to facilitate the addition of components to change the amplifier's operating characteristics in a manner contrary to the FCC Rules;
(3) Instructions for operation or modification of the amplifier in a manner contrary to the FCC Rules;
(4) Any internal or external controls or adjustments to facilitate operation of the amplifier in a manner contrary to the FCC Rules;
(5) Any internal RF sensing circuitry or any external switch, the purpose of which is to place the amplifier in the transmit mode;
(6) The incorporation of more gain in the amplifier than is necessary to operate in the amateur service; for purposes of this paragraph, the amplifier must:
(i) Not be capable of achieving designed output power when driven with less than 40 W mean RF input power;
(ii) Not be capable of amplifying the input RF driving signal by more than 15 dB, unless the amplifier has a designed transmitter power of less than 1.5 kW (in such a case, gain must be reduced by the same number of dB as the transmitter power relationship to 1.5 kW; This gain limitation is determined by the ratio of the input RF driving signal to the RF output power of the amplifier where both signals are expressed in peak envelope power or mean power);
(iii) Not exhibit more gain than permitted by paragraph (c)(6)(ii) of this Section when driven by an RF input signal of less than 50 W mean power; and
(iv) Be capable of sustained operation at its designed power level.
(7) Any attenuation in the input of the amplifier which, when removed or modified, would permit the amplifier to function at its designed transmitter power when driven by an RF frequency input signal of less than

50 W mean power; or
(8) Any other features designed to facilitate operation in a telecommunication service other than the Amateur Radio Services, such as the Citizens Band (CB) Radio Service.

4746. Re: A real scanner question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-24 05:00:45 UTC
From: ken foshee <kenfoshee@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <XAoRg.599$%s2.164@newsfe04.lga>
Source record: 5827

I recently bought one and it is a good scanner. The only thing I see with it is that it does not display the 'Alpha Tag' assigned to the channel.

"Key Liime" <keylime31415927@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:YWdRg.1318$nm4.26@newsfe09.lga...
> Was in my local RS yesterday and noticed the 2052 was on clearance. Anyone
> care to comment whether $99 is worthwhile for this little box?

4747. Re: Techno Remix of Bizarre CB Transmission

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-24 05:38:22 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <Ace@WH2T.com>
Message-ID: <4ad99$451609eb$4fc5a80$5946@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 5826
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dan" <danonqspam@pnotspamd.net> wrote in message
news:Xns98467EEF05BD6danonqspampnotspamd@204.186.200.105...
> From the official Web site:
>
> " They Call Me The Midnight Cowboy...
> Late on the night of 9/20/06 (actually 9/21/06, 0528 UTC), Dan
> Quintiliani, K3DRQ stumbled across a bizarre CB transmission while tuning
> the dial on his IC-725 ham radio transceiver. The transmission went
> something like this:
>
> "They call me the Midnight Cowboy, the Midnight Cowboy,
> They call me the Midnight, Midnight, Midnight, Midnight, Midnight..."
>
> ...over and over again.
>
> So, Dan decided to record this transmission on his computer, and (soon
> afterward) to make a techno remix of it."
>
> --
>
> Download it at this page:
>
> http://theycallmethemidnightcowboy.blogspot.com/
>
> --
>
> -Dan

Anyone got a copy of the Porkbutt song ?
Ace - WH2T

4748. Re: Techno Remix of Bizarre CB Transmission

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-24 12:50:51 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <SavRg.3731$LF6.212@newsfe12.lga>
Source record: 5828
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Why the hell did he waste his time doing that? It isn't funny or entertaining at all. Dan needs to find more constructive ways of spending his time. Besides, that transmission wasn't really that bizarre for CB.

"Dan" <danonqspam@pnotspamd.net> wrote in message news:Xns98467EEF05BD6danonqspampnotspamd@204.186.200.105...
> From the official Web site:
>
> " They Call Me The Midnight Cowboy...
> Late on the night of 9/20/06 (actually 9/21/06, 0528 UTC), Dan
> Quintiliani, K3DRQ stumbled across a bizarre CB transmission while tuning
> the dial on his IC-725 ham radio transceiver. The transmission went
> something like this:
>
> "They call me the Midnight Cowboy, the Midnight Cowboy,
> They call me the Midnight, Midnight, Midnight, Midnight, Midnight..."
>
> ...over and over again.
>
> So, Dan decided to record this transmission on his computer, and (soon
> afterward) to make a techno remix of it."
>
> --
>
> Download it at this page:
>
> http://theycallmethemidnightcowboy.blogspot.com/
>
> --
>
> -Dan

4749. On Line scanner Politie Amsterdam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-24 13:40:24 UTC
From: Joop <joop@joop.com>
Message-ID: <45168ac6$0$53786$dbd4b001@news.wanadoo.nl>
Source record: 5829
Cross-posted to: nl.radio.scanners,nl.radio.scanners.112-berichten,rec.radio.scanner

www.rensmaas.eu

of

www.webcamsue.nl

4750. HT1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-24 13:54:32 UTC
From: Harry <hthomas@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <r6wRg.77195$ED.31366@read2.cgocable.net>
Source record: 5830

can any one give me info on programing this radio,i have the rib,and some software HT1000/Release R03.03.00-RVN 4098H ..and im using a laptop with win 98 an Think its a PI

4751. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-24 14:30:03 UTC
From: kludge@panix.com
Message-ID: <ef64pbavt1@panix2.panix.com>
Source record: 5831
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:
>"al goss" <renal@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> type accepted means CB gear must be
>> not amateur radio gear.
>
>I think what people may be thinking about is that ham gear must be Part 15
>certified (for the receiver) if it's commercially built, whereas home brew
>gear need not be.

True, but Part 15 testing has nothing to do with type acceptance. AND,
amateur radio gear is still required to meet the Part 15 radiation
specifications even if the equipment is homebrew. You don't need to
send it off to a certification lab, but if you're radiating trash out
of band, you're in violation of the law.

I'll say that the broadcast service normally requires type acceptance
on transmitters as well, BUT there's still an exception for homebrew
broadcast gear... your local AM station can put a homebuilt transmitter
on the air as long as it meets the technical specifications and it has
a nameplate on the front stating that it was homebuilt. (The wording
required is quite specific but it's listed in Part 73.)
--scott
--
"C'est un Nagra. C'est suisse, et tres, tres precis."

4752. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-24 15:58:18 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <8nadh2d1ab2bcb7a0t785664q7u0ci7p7b@4ax.com>
Source record: 5832
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 24 Sep 2006 11:21:32 +0900, "Brenda Ann"
<brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:

>I think what people may be thinking about is that ham gear must be Part 15
>certified (for the receiver) if it's commercially built, whereas home brew
>gear need not be.

It still has to meet Part 15 specs (all incident radiation devices
do), it just doesn't have to be certified before you use it.

4753. Re: Techno Remix of Bizarre CB Transmission

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-24 15:59:09 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <kpadh2d2base2fcvvk8feh22kpf38083tp@4ax.com>
Source record: 5833
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 24 Sep 2006 12:15:05 +1000, Barry OGrady
<god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote:

>On Fri, 22 Sep 2006 11:28:24 -0500, Dan <danonqspam@pnotspamd.net> wrote:
>
>>From the official Web site:
>>
>>" They Call Me The Midnight Cowboy...
>>Late on the night of 9/20/06 (actually 9/21/06, 0528 UTC), Dan
>
>Actually 21/9/06 but whose counting?
>
>>Quintiliani, K3DRQ

Not in K3-land.

4754. Welding educational video for hobbyists.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-24 16:11:42 UTC
From: SeppoP <seppo_pietikainen@xyahoox.com>
Message-ID: <4nnp1vFb48hmU1@individual.net>
Source record: 5834

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-1714320797771849234>

Ok, that wasn't a scanner, but I believe scanner enthusiasts can also learn from this...
:)

--
Seppo P.
What's wrong with Theocracy? (a Finnish Taliban, Oct 1, 2005)

4755. Re: I punch in agian

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-24 16:45:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <4516a991$0$19652$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 5835
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
so
bringit on you loosers

show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW
rendered phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and
SC a few just decided to not to be as hateful

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
>
>

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4756. show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW rendered phonicticaly)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-24 17:07:43 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159117663.017908.283480@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5836
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
forgery
show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW
rendered phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and
SC a few just decided to not to be as hateful

4757. Re: On Line scanner Politie Amsterdam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-24 17:19:34 UTC
From: Joop <joop@joop.com>
Message-ID: <4516be24$0$84837$dbd4d001@news.wanadoo.nl>
Source record: 5837
Cross-posted to: nl.radio.scanners,nl.radio.scanners.112-berichten,rec.radio.scanner

Nu ook met live webcam op de dam.

"Joop" <joop@joop.com> wrote in message
news:45168ac6$0$53786$dbd4b001@news.wanadoo.nl...
>
>
> www.rensmaas.eu
>
> of
>
> www.webcamsue.nl
>
>
>

4758. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-24 17:38:26 UTC
From: funkbastler <funkbastler@eudoramail.com>
Message-ID: <9igdh213cl3hd5ddk28c6rprbn0osavat1@4ax.com>
Source record: 5838
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

On Mon, 18 Sep 2006 12:14:37 -0400, "The Professor"
<telstarelectronics@yahoo.com> wrote:

>>
>Says the clueless newbie. It's a 10 meter amplifier dumbass....LOL
>

Dang! I didn't know the 10 meter band went all the way down to 26 MHz.

--
-fb-

4759. 1st Responder Wireless News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-24 17:50:33 UTC
From: "nyscan@gmail.com" <nyscan@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159120233.767693.61550@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5839

1st Responder Wireless News (1RWN), already very active, and recognized by most media outlets and Public Safety Officials as the "Industry Leader" in most of the East Coast States, is actively looking for News Correspondents to become active as Incident Dispatchers for your area.

1st Responder Wireless News, a subsidiary company of 1st Responder News, is now consider the leader in the emergency notification industry, sending incident alerts to pagers, cell phones and e-mails.

We are now active in AL, AZ, CA, CO, CT, DC, DE, FL, GA, HI, IL, IN, KY, LA, MA, MD, ME, MO, MS, NC, NH, NJ, NM, NV, NY, OH, OR, PA, RI, SC, TN, TX, VA, VI, VT, WA, WV and Canada. 1RWN has already acquired many well known Paging Notification Services including TAC-9 Paging, East Coast Paging, Ohio Fire News, Maryland Fire Network, Virginia Fire Net, Toronto Fire Net, Metro Fire Paging and the National Incident News Network (NINN), with several additional under negotiations. This is a very exciting time to become involved.

1RWN sends instant notifications for any newsworthy Police, Fire, Rescue, Traffic, Weather and Breaking News event within our coverage areas, to pagers, e-mail, cell phones, PDAs and to our online streaming tickers. The vast majority of our Dispatch Staff is comprised of Volunteer and Career Firefighters, Police/Sheriff Officers, Haz-Mat & Rescue Technicians, 911/ALS/Medevac Dispatchers, ALS, BLS & OEM Personnel and Skywarn Spotters, on local, county, state and federal levels.

1RWN is in the final stages of development of our Dispatcher Rewards Program which will allow our Dispatchers to log into an online store and buy products ranging from cameras to antenna to two-way radios using points they've earned by being active on our system! EVERY Dispatcher on our system will now reap rewards for their hard work, dedication and loyalty!

1RWN has also recently gone live with the industry's MOST COMPREHENSIVE Customer Interface selection process which allows our Subscribers to select EXACTLY what information they want to receive, from what areas and to what devices. In other words, you can select to receive JUST building collapse incidents in Yonkers to be sent to your cell phone, with bridge closures in Philadelphia being sent to your pager. You are no longer restricted to receiving ALL alerts from a region, now YOU decide what you receive from the average 16,000 monthly alerts sent from any of the areas we cover - 37 States, Washington DC, or Canada! EVERY active 1RWN Dispatcher qualifies for complimentary service!

If you are interested, or would like more information, please check out the website at www.1RWN.com and/or e-mail Scott@1RWN.com.

Why settle for the rest...when you can be with the best?

4760. show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW rendered phonicticaly)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-24 17:50:41 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159120241.554759.81340@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5840
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>
!show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW
rendered phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and
SC a few just decided to not to be as hateful

4761. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-24 19:14:39 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <s3mdh2dt8uerpaiofo745dd4f922gvev2t@4ax.com>
Source record: 5841
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 22 Sep 2006 20:46:15 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>
>Opus- wrote:
>> On Tue, 19 Sep 2006 08:19:27 -0400, jawod <jawod@fuse.net> spake
>> thusly:
>>
>> >> Who the FUCK are YOU to make such a statement? You snot nosed,
>> >> arrogant PRICK! You do NOT know the kind of person that I am!! Wake up
>> >> and smell the cappuccino! Code is obsolete! Knowing code does NOTHING
>> >> to make somebody an "asset to the service". And, could you explain
>> >> what makes a person an "asset to the service"?
>> >>
>> >Jeez,
>> >Chill out, eh?
>>
>> Sorry, but I get upset with people who make statements that are easily
>> taken as personal insults.
>
> "Stuff happens."
>
> BTW, this "Jawod" signed a message on rec.radio.amateur.homebrew
> as "AB8O." I found a blank on that call sign at QRZ.
>
>
>> >Yes it's obsolete. Yes, it's fun.
>>
>> I found it to be cold and impersonal.
>
> I agree. Manual radiotelegraphy has NONE of the body language
> or tone of voice or much of anything that is normal in everyday
> person-to-person contacts. Using this monotonic form of
> very early radio allows any user to be anything they want with
> no real references to anything but the ability to send telegraphy.

and te test lacks even that since there isn't suposed to be any static
>
>
>> >Should it be used to qualify? Let the FCC decide (soon).
>>
>> Here in Canada, they already have. I believe the FCC will soon.
>>
>> >If it is eliminated, will that change the "Service"? Maybe.

>>
>> Probably not.
>
> Heh heh...if the test is eliminated the expressed outrage,
> anguish, and horror will be a horrendous wail never to be
> silenced until the last code key is pried from cold, dead
> fingers! :-)

well I am hopeing some of them will be silenced chlucting at their
hearts when they read the R&O
>
>
>> >Will CW disappear? Probably not.
>> >Historically, it defined ham radio, so it has a special place in the
>> >hearts of very many hams. It's natural that they sort of cling to it.
>>
>> Let them cling, they are free to do so.
>
> I'd say "clog" as in cholesterol clogging those "hearts."
>
> "Jawod" uses "many" AS IF it were quantitative. Not so much
> in the USA now. The US Technician class licensees now
> number about 49% of all, twice as large a number as the
> General class. I doubt they want to hear such facts.
>
>
>> >Will CW's elimination be the end of ham radio? Of course not.
>> >Ham radio will cease when all the hams die off. New hams are needed,
>> >with or without code.
>>
>> I totally agree.
>
> In the USA the number of newcomers is not able to keep pace
> with the expirations of licensees. That trend has been evident
> for more than a year. [see www.hamdata.com] The majority of
> new licensees are Technician class. Novice class, the
> supposed traditional "beginner" license has been expiring at a
> steady rate for years before the US changes in 2000.
>
>
>> >My personal hope is that a significant minority of these new hams will
>> >take up CW and learn to enjoy this mode. It truly is a fun mode. I
>> >hope people will WANT to learn it.
>>
>> I always found it to be boring.
>
> "Jawod" and other morsemen think that all will "like" what they
> like. They really don't understand what other citizens want.

nor do they care about anything but themselves
>

>
>> >Compulsory things are seldom welcome,,,some are necessary. Is CW a good
>> >requirement for ham radio? I guess it has probably outlived its day.
>> >
>> >A requirement related to other digital modes would make a good
>> >replacement. True?
>>
>> I completely agree. If you want to filter out the less serious, then
>> use a relevant method. Here in Canada, in order to get a no-code
>> licence, you must get at least 80% on the technical. And technical
>> prowess will always be important regardless of the mode of
>> communication.
>
> That sounds fair. In general I've approved what Industry Canada
> does on communications regulations...a bit more than what the
> FCC does for US civil radio services.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
indeed if they had been willing say 10 years ago to go along with what
Canada has now (which was proposed by members of NCI) this would be
over long since
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4762. Re: Techno Remix of Bizarre CB Transmission

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-24 19:21:59 UTC
From: RickaTTic <rickattic@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <op.tgedexia1941oo@thedarkcave>
Source record: 5842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The midnight Cowboy guy can be heard everyday on channel 19 in the Newark, NJ area during morning commute time. He is almost always on with the same stuff...mystery.

On Sun, 24 Sep 2006 08:50:51 -0400, DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote:

> Why the hell did he waste his time doing that? It isn't funny or
> entertaining at all. Dan needs to find more constructive ways of
> spending
> his time. Besides, that transmission wasn't really that bizarre for CB.
>
>
> "Dan" <danonqspam@pnotspamd.net> wrote in message
> news:Xns98467EEF05BD6danonqspampnotspamd@204.186.200.105...
>> From the official Web site:
>>
>> " They Call Me The Midnight Cowboy...
>> Late on the night of 9/20/06 (actually 9/21/06, 0528 UTC), Dan
>> Quintiliani, K3DRQ stumbled across a bizarre CB transmission while
>> tuning
>> the dial on his IC-725 ham radio transceiver. The transmission went
>> something like this:
>>
>> "They call me the Midnight Cowboy, the Midnight Cowboy,
>> They call me the Midnight, Midnight, Midnight, Midnight, Midnight..."
>>
>> ...over and over again.
>>
>> So, Dan decided to record this transmission on his computer, and (soon
>> afterward) to make a techno remix of it."
>>
>> --
>>
>> Download it at this page:
>>
>> http://theycallmethemidnightcowboy.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>>
>> -Dan
>
>

--
Using Opera's revolutionary e-mail client: http://www.opera.com/mail/

4763. How to stream auidio fro scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-24 19:58:22 UTC
From: Harry <hthomas@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <xrBRg.77211$ED.16346@read2.cgocable.net>
Source record: 5843

i have win amp and shoutcast ,im tring to stream a bearcat 796d ,i dont no how i got no idea messed with shoutcast could not figure it at all,is there something easyer,like piont and click..thanx for any help;;

4764. Re: How to stream auidio fro scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-24 20:32:12 UTC
From: NS <ns@verizon.neti>
Message-ID: <4517a8cb$0$2027$ba620dc5@text.nova.planet.nl>
Source record: 5905

http://www.scannermaster.com/SearchResults.asp?Cat=146

"Harry" <hthomas@cogeco.ca> schreef in bericht
news:xrBRg.77211$ED.16346@read2.cgocable.net...
>i have win amp and shoutcast ,im tring to stream a bearcat 796d ,i dont no
>how i got no idea messed with shoutcast could not figure it at all,is there
>something easyer,like piont and click..thanx for any help;;
>

4765. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-24 21:38:30 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159133910.781460.119870@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5844
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On 22 Sep 2006 20:46:15 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:
>
> >
> >Opus- wrote:

> >> >If it is eliminated, will that change the "Service"? Maybe.
> >>
> >> Probably not.
> >
> > Heh heh...if the test is eliminated the expressed outrage,
> > anguish, and horror will be a horrendous wail never to be
> > silenced until the last code key is pried from cold, dead
> > fingers! :-)
>
> well I am hopeing some of them will be silenced chlucting at their
> hearts when they read the R&O

Careful, Mark, we can't say such things due to "threats" against
the pro-coders. :-) They are muy touchy about threats against
Them.

> > That sounds fair. In general I've approved what Industry Canada
> > does on communications regulations...a bit more than what the
> > FCC does for US civil radio services.
> >
> indeed if they had been willing say 10 years ago to go along with what
> Canada has now (which was proposed by members of NCI) this would be
> over long since

Well, Mark, it is like this: Some of those who have the cool
HOBBY of amateur radio think they are "servicemen" in the
"service of their country" for having that hobby. We can't say
nasty to them because that is not "nice." They rank
themselves as equivalent to soldiers, sailors, and airmen.

They want the ILLUSION that they are doing great and
meritorious SERVICE. Especially the morseketeers. That is
important to them. The illusion, that is. Screw the reality
part of it, that doesn't apply to them (they think).

Never mind that US amateur radio licensee numbers are holding
static with a slight downturn over the past three years. Oldsters
are signing off permanently and newcomers are going in through

the no-code-test Technician class. Most of the latter are STAYING no-code. [General Of The Amateurs Miccolis says otherwise but then he loves cooking the stats to suit his own taste]

As time goes on with the code test gone, the amateur bands WILL change. But, it will be slow since humans are living longer now. Human attrition will, nonetheless, happen. Oldsters don't want to think about that but it is inevitable to all of us. The oldsters want to preserve the illusion that they are still "young," believing in the old, antiquated ways they were impressed with. Why some even believe they are a "service to the country" by having that hobby.

There's no argument with those ancient morseketeers, certainly no "discussion." To them, all must be preserved. [read mummified] Ptui.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4766. Re: On Line scanner Politie Amsterdam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-24 21:54:25 UTC
From: Johan Beck <johan-beck@xs4all.nl>
Message-ID: <ef6uqd$2dqh$1@nl-news.euro.net>
Source record: 5845
Cross-posted to: nl.radio.scanners,nl.radio.scanners.112-berichten,rec.radio.scanner

Joop wrote:
> www.rensmaas.eu
>
> of
>
> www.webcamsue.nl

Goed, dit is dus gewoon een link naar mijn online scanner.
http://www.xs4all.nl/~bjohan/
Ik draai bij mij de squelch open om even wat ruis te laten horen en druk op wat toetsen van de scanner om wat piepjes te laten horen. Ik heb ook nog even Schiphol toren aangezet. En wat denk je?

4767. Re: A real scanner question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-24 22:51:40 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <8v2eh25ohb18ap6vt5etvsb0n9dt2antjq@4ax.com>
Source record: 5846

On Sun, 24 Sep 2006 00:00:45 -0500, "ken foshee"
<kenfoshee@hotmail.com> wrote:

>I recently bought one and it is a good scanner. The only thing I see with it
>is that it does not display the 'Alpha Tag' assigned to the channel.

It allows you to assign an alpha tag but doesn't display it?

4768. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-24 22:55:19 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <r03eh29hnlivkgdl8ka0vlcfl34cbk1bv6@4ax.com>
Source record: 5847
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

On Sun, 24 Sep 2006 12:49:18 +1000, Barry OGrady
<god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Aside from spelling metre as meter you are confusing can't and may. You can
>sell whatever you like anywhere but there are many things you may not sell.

American English: "Can" = "May". "Can" seems to be preferred in
common usage. (Then again, just look at how some of our kids spell on
Usenet and in IRC and, knowing that any of them can grow up to be
president, be very afraid.)

>> I think the stink arose on the posting, because the person posting the
>>original listing, advertised it as a CB radio amplifier

>He may have been blasted for top posting.

Neither of us who took issue with his post mentioned his top-posting.
We both blasted him on pure content.

4769. Re: A real scanner question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-24 23:00:42 UTC
From: ken foshee <kenfoshee@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <J6ERg.951$av1.578@newsfe07.lga>
Source record: 5848

Yes, I load my info using a PC control Program ScanControl. When I load back to PC from scanner, it retrieves the info but the scanner will not display info on screen only the frequency..

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message news:8v2eh25ohb18ap6vt5etvsb0n9dt2antjq@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 24 Sep 2006 00:00:45 -0500, "ken foshee"
> <kenfoshee@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>I recently bought one and it is a good scanner. The only thing I see with
>>it
>>is that it does not display the 'Alpha Tag' assigned to the channel.
>
> It allows you to assign an alpha tag but doesn't display it?

4770. Re: How to stream auidio fro scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-24 23:15:47 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <1b4eh2hv6oueemul73nktfhuk122sdmv0o@4ax.com>
Source record: 5849

On Sun, 24 Sep 2006 15:58:22 -0400, "Harry" <hthomas@cogeco.ca> wrote:

>i have win amp and shoutcast ,im tring to stream a bearcat 796d ,i dont no
>how i got no idea messed with shoutcast could not figure it at all,is there
>something easyer,like piont and click..thanx for any help;;

No, but Icecast is simpler than shoutcast.
<http://www33.websamba.com/cnmsales/oddcast%20tutorial.html>

4771. Re: An Insult To One's Deceased Mother? k4yz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-24 23:48:40 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <h66eh2dnugg6k51pkkj0n2u4cho0dgp6mg@4ax.com>
Source record: 5850
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On 23 Sep 2006 06:29:20 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>nospam wrote:
>> On Fri, 22 Sep 2006 17:31:27 -0700, "Al Lorona"
>
>> Al, these guys don't care what we think. You should of read what they
>> said about my dead mother. I'm doing some research right now as who
>> said that and I am so sick of this I am ready to go on a road trip. He
>> doesn't realize that I am a disgrunted postal worker. Shouldn't of
>> said that about my dead mother!
>
> KB9RQZ (Mark 'Morkie Moron' Morgan) feels right at home absuing the
>memory of my deceased daughter in order to try and gain "messaging
>leverage"...I have no doubt that he's your culprit.
only after you have threatened my murder arest been harrasing me for 8
years accusing me a being a rapist child molestor accusing my father
of being a rapist and Fatherin law of being a molestor my mother of
being a prostitute

after that I certainly feel right at home poking their after you
daughter is dead and beyond any harm unlike your wife and living
daughters
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4772. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-25 00:17:44 UTC
From: "Roger (K8RI)" <ValidAddress@my.com>
Message-ID: <ka7eh21sgi8v02srhbjai0amle7mi9atol@4ax.com>
Source record: 5901
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 19 Sep 2006 23:58:20 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>Think Back, Are todays conversations on 2 meters as technical as they
>were 25 years ago, or today might you hear things like:
I'd guess this varies from location to location but:

Probably the topic most often heard here is digital... Interfacing,
coordinating IPs, hooking the system into the Internet, configuring
operating systems, and getting some one's station to work in the
system.

Lots of discussions on antennas which is pretty much the same as years
gone by.

RACES

>
>"I woke up this morning with a pimple on my butt" or
>
I'm on my way to the airport (that'd be me)

>"The dog crapped on the living room carpet again."

We have cats, one of which is bigger than some dogs and I've seen him
tackle a black lab about five times his size and win. They don't crap
on the carpet, but do tend to barf up the mouse they caught which is
particularly nice when you are just sitting down to breakfast.
>
>
>Allowing no-codes on VHF & UHF has made discussions less technical and
>more like CB. Don't let your hatred of CW destroy our HF bands too.

On average, I think the technical discussions go deeper now than when
I first got on. OTOH people are people. When driving we comment on
traffic. When hung over we tend to ... well...never mind.<:-)) So
topics other than the technical ones are pretty much the same whether
now or then.

>
>Amateur Radio, a National resource.
>
>73
>
>SC

Roger Halstead (K8RI & ARRL life member)
(N833R, S# CD-2 Worlds oldest Debonair)
www.rogerhalstead.com

4773. Radios and freebies from radio_programming group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-25 00:20:27 UTC
From: radio.programming.owner@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1159143627.229045.142410@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5902

To all radio enthusiasts and members of the group,
Hey guys and gals, you are all invited to join the "radio_programming" group in yahoo groups. To subscribe, just sent an email to: radio_programming-owner@yahoogroups.com

I'm the group moderator and as a reward, I'm giving away some freebies here :)
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/radio_programming/files/
If what you are looking for is not in the list, don't hesitate to drop us an email. We might be able to point you in the right direction to get the latest software or programming utilities.

Also we have RADIOS FOR SALE ! We got radios from Kenwood (TK models), Icom (IC models) and Motorola (HT & XTS APCO-25 models)
Contact us at radio.programming.owner@gmail.com for all your programming needs and radio equipments!
Thank you for your time..

4774. Re: A real scanner question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-25 01:51:47 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <5edeh2911s5p5qgnt348nq0c5r1obai794@4ax.com>
Source record: 5903

On Sun, 24 Sep 2006 18:00:42 -0500, "ken foshee"
<kenfoshee@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Yes, I load my info using a PC control Program ScanControl. When I load back
>to PC from scanner, it retrieves the info but the scanner will not display
>info on screen only the frequency..

I don't know the particular scanner, which is why I'm asking. Does
the scanner allow you to enter alpha tags? Not Scan Control - the
scanner itself. Are there instructions for entering alpha tags using
the keyboard?

4775. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-25 03:58:43 UTC
From: Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <C13CA203.44B9C%dbowey@comcast.net>
Source record: 5904
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

On 9/24/06 3:55 PM, in article r03eh29hnlivkgdl8ka0vlcfl34cbk1bv6@4ax.com,
"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote:

> On Sun, 24 Sep 2006 12:49:18 +1000, Barry OGrady
> <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>> Aside from spelling metre as meter you are confusing can't and may. You can
>> sell whatever you like anywhere but there are many things you may not sell.
>
> American English: "Can" = "May".

That is not correct. If you ask "can I eat a caramel, my reply is you may
(permission) if you can (ability). For all I know you're toothless and have
no ability to chew.

If you correctly ask "may I have a caramel" the correct reply is, "yes, you
may."

Don

4776. Re: I punch in agian

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-25 12:21:58 UTC
From: "hamguy@arrl.net" <mamarat2003@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159186918.035779.236700@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5906
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

You're the loser, moron.

Check out www.hamwave.com

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
> so
> bringit on you loosers

4777. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-25 12:32:48 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <p2jfh2t9tua3nv0b11jovhr9pnki9lvot7@4ax.com>
Source record: 5907
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

On Sun, 24 Sep 2006 20:58:43 -0700, Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net>
wrote:

>If you correctly ask "may I have a caramel" the correct reply is, "yes, you
>may."

You don't listen to the conversation of many people under 20, do you?

4778. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-25 12:42:11 UTC
From: Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com>
Message-ID: <4YGdnUxqKr-aUorYnZ2dnUVZ_rudnZ2d@rcn.net>
Source record: 5908
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

Al Klein wrote:
> On Sun, 24 Sep 2006 12:49:18 +1000, Barry OGrady
> <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>> Aside from spelling metre as meter you are confusing can't and may. You can
>> sell whatever you like anywhere but there are many things you may not sell.
>
> American English: "Can" = "May". "Can" seems to be preferred in
> common usage. (Then again, just look at how some of our kids spell on
> Usenet and in IRC and, knowing that any of them can grow up to be
> president, be very afraid.)

Hmm, you think that spelling is a reflection on intelligence? Spelling is a rather arbitrary transliteration of vocal sounds into written text. I have known some incredibly brilliant people that couldn't spell their way out of a wet paper bag, and I have known some extremely facile spellers that couldn't reason their way out of that same bag.

The kids on IRC are using home grown abbreviations to cut down on the number of symbols they need to type to convey a message... Hams do the same thing when using RTTY, or CW.

>
>>> I think the stink arose on the posting, because the person posting the
>>> original listing, advertised it as a CB radio amplifier
>
>> He may have been blasted for top posting.
>
> Neither of us who took issue with his post mentioned his top-posting.
> We both blasted him on pure content.

I am sort of curious as to why my posting of the actual FCC part 97 rules that cover linear amplifiers drew exactly zero comments.

-Chuck Harris

4779. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-25 13:29:27 UTC
From: Nunya Bizness <ask@imight.tel>
Message-ID: <XQQRg.19773$Qg.16244@southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 5909
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

Al Klein wrote:
> On Sun, 24 Sep 2006 20:58:43 -0700, Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net>
> wrote:
>
>> If you correctly ask "may I have a caramel" the correct reply is, "yes, you
>> may."
>
> You don't listen to the conversation of many people under 20, do you?

I do... and it's amazing they can communicate at all.

So if everyone under 20 confuses "can" and "may" does this make the misuse of either word correct? Hardly. If a million people do a stupid thing, it's still a stupid thing.

Believe it or not, grammar does have a place in this world. It's the correct means by which we communicate. If we can't communicate correctly, we shouldn't be surprised when things don't go the way we want them.

Just my 2 cents.

4780. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-25 14:34:11 UTC
From: Noon-Air <Noon-Air@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <lrmdnTwBHol-dYrYnZ2dnUVZ_qednZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 5911
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

"Dr.Ace" <Ace@WH2T.com> wrote in message
news:95f6d$4517ddbf$4fc667d$25404@DIALUPUSA.NET...
>
> "Don Bowey" <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:C13CA203.44B9C%dbowey@comcast.net...
>> On 9/24/06 3:55 PM, in article
>> r03eh29hnlivkgdl8ka0vlcfl34cbk1bv6@4ax.com,
>> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote:
>>
>>> American English: "Can" = "May".
>>
>> That is not correct. If you ask "can I eat a caramel, my reply is you
>> may
>> (permission) if you can (ability). For all I know you're toothless and
>> have
>> no ability to chew.
>>
>>
>> If you correctly ask "may I have a caramel" the correct reply is, "yes,
>> you
>> may."
>>
>> Don
>>
>
> Can = A metal container
> May = A month of the year

And Grammer is inna kitchen bakin cookies :-)

4781. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-25 14:53:50 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <Ace@WH2T.com>
Message-ID: <95f6d$4517ddbf$4fc667d$25404@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 5910
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

"Don Bowey" <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:C13CA203.44B9C%dbowey@comcast.net...
> On 9/24/06 3:55 PM, in article r03eh29hnlivkgdl8ka0vlcfl34cbk1bv6@4ax.com,
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote:
>
>> American English: "Can" = "May".
>
> That is not correct. If you ask "can I eat a caramel, my reply is you may
> (permission) if you can (ability). For all I know you're toothless and
> have
> no ability to chew.
>
>
> If you correctly ask "may I have a caramel" the correct reply is, "yes,
> you
> may."
>
> Don
>

Can = A metal container
May = A month of the year

Ace - WH2T

4782. show-na-to-va

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-25 15:19:53 UTC
From: old freind <kb9rqz-4@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159197593.825716.176120@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5912
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

hamguy@arrl.net wrote:
>
show-na-to-va

4783. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-25 15:31:32 UTC
From: Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <C13D4464.44C67%dbowey@comcast.net>
Source record: 5913
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

On 9/25/06 5:32 AM, in article p2jfh2t9tua3nv0b11jovhr9pnki9lvot7@4ax.com,
"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote:

> On Sun, 24 Sep 2006 20:58:43 -0700, Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net>
> wrote:
>
>> If you correctly ask "may I have a caramel" the correct reply is, "yes, you
>> may."
>
> You don't listen to the conversation of many people under 20, do you?

Yes, but that just tells me there are too many uneducated or lazy people.

Don

4784. Pro-2096 APCO25 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-25 15:45:59 UTC
From: "Thanks....." <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <XQSRg.8365$Wi1.7325@trnddc06>
Source record: 5914
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Can anyone give me an honest opinion of the above scanner? Also is it PC programmable like the Pro-96 and what would I need to to program it with a PC?

thnx

Lou
pottsladd13@yahoo.com

4785. Rec.Radio.Shortwave down for the count?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-25 16:28:08 UTC
From: hjsjms@cs.com
Message-ID: <1159201688.532063.124510@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5915

Last post is 9-22 and new posts don't show.

4786. Programming Bearcat BC898T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-25 16:46:48 UTC
From: Good Ol Dad <bigmike@justboystoys.com>
Message-ID: <3s1gh2104vvscb93a4rfs4c9rsqnk2fun9@4ax.com>
Source record: 5916

Hi All,

Is the BC898T fairly easy to program ? I live in SW Florida and we have numerous agencies I want to include. Trunk tracking is a little confusing to me.

Thanks in advance for all assistance.

4787. Re: On Line scanner Politie Amsterdam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-25 17:39:14 UTC
From: NS <nick-stone@zonnetNOSPAMM.nl>
Message-ID: <ef9489$1h9t$1@nl-news.euro.net>
Source record: 5917
Cross-posted to: nl.radio.scanners,nl.radio.scanners.112-berichten,rec.radio.scanner

"Johan Beck" <johan-beck@xs4all.nl> schreef in bericht
news:ef6uqd$2dqh$1@nl-news.euro.net...
> Joop wrote:
>> www.rensmaas.eu
>>
>> of
>>
>> www.webcamsue.nl
>
> Goed, dit is dus gewoon een link naar mijn online scanner.
> http://www.xs4all.nl/~bjohan/
> Ik draai bij mij de squelch open om even wat ruis te laten horen en druk
> op wat toetsen van de scanner om wat piepjes te laten horen. Ik heb ook
> nog even Schiphol toren aangezet. En wat denk je?
>
>

Kom Johan, hou ons niet langer in spanning, wat is het? ;-))

MvG

NS

4788. Re: Rec.Radio.Shortwave down for the count?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-25 17:44:32 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <zzURg.6$7D1.5@newsfe07.lga>
Source record: 5918

<hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
news:1159201688.532063.124510@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...
> Last post is 9-22 and new posts don't show.
>

Plenty of new posts... Reset the group in whatever you use for a
newsreader...

4789. I punce in aigain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-25 18:37:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <451815a7$0$19626$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 5919
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
so
bringit on you loosers

show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW
rendered phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and
SC a few just decided to not to be as hateful

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
>
>

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4790. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-25 18:41:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <d98gh2dc4q2rn03f9qngv2l5ori8bu7u5d@4ax.com>
Source record: 5920
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

On Mon, 25 Sep 2006 08:42:11 -0400, Chuck Harris
<cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> On Sun, 24 Sep 2006 12:49:18 +1000, Barry OGrady
>> <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>> Aside from spelling metre as meter you are confusing can't and may. You can
>>> sell whatever you like anywhere but there are many things you may not sell.
>>
>> American English: "Can" = "May". "Can" seems to be preferred in
>> common usage. (Then again, just look at how some of our kids spell on
>> Usenet and in IRC and, knowing that any of them can grow up to be
>> president, be very afraid.)
>
>Hmm, you think that spelling is a reflection on intelligence? Spelling is a
>rather arbitrary transliteration of vocal sounds into written text. I have
>known some incredibly brilliant people that couldn't spell their way out of
>a wet paper bag, and I have known some extremely facile spellers that
>couldn't reason their way out of that same bag.
>
>The kids on IRC are using home grown abbreviations to cut down on the number
>of symbols they need to type to convey a message... Hams do the same thing
>when using RTTY, or CW.
>
>>
>>>> I think the stink arose on the posting, because the person posting the
>>>> original listing, advertised it as a CB radio amplifier
>>
>>> He may have been blasted for top posting.
>>
>> Neither of us who took issue with his post mentioned his top-posting.
>> We both blasted him on pure content.
>
>I am sort of curious as to why my posting of the actual FCC part 97 rules
>that cover linear amplifiers drew exactly zero comments.
because Facts have nothing to do the bashing going on

personaly I think telestar is pushing the envelope a bit but I hardly
care I may find a legal use for one of those amps if the FCC gets off
its ass and publishes the R&O

notice for examply the title of the thread CB amps and the CB grouop
is no where in sight
>
>-Chuck Harris
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4791. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-25 19:03:57 UTC
From: N7ZZT <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <2535048.DafC992Fjo@www.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 5921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

Chuck Harris wrote:

>
> Hmm, you think that spelling is a reflection on intelligence? Spelling is a
> rather arbitrary transliteration of vocal sounds into written text. I have
> known some incredibly brilliant people that couldn't spell their way out of
> a wet paper bag, and I have known some extremely facile spellers that
> couldn't reason their way out of that same bag.
>
> The kids on IRC are using home grown abbreviations to cut down on the number
> of symbols they need to type to convey a message... Hams do the same thing
> when using RTTY, or CW.
>
Chuck,
you do point out an interesting fact:
in the last 15 years or so, the written language of the internet has come
into its own. it is not unlike English in many respects (American English
is an "evolved" language, much like "net speak").

today's kids know this language well and it does, in fact, convey a lot
of information with a minimum of keystrokes. replacing letter combinations
(the ATE sound) with numbers (like 8) can shorten word lengths while still
sounding the same.

there is already research going on per this subject and several prominent
papers have been presented over the last few years.... it won't be too
long before someone actually engineers an alphabet for the net. that will
be neat to see.

and to think, hams are the ones that actually originated tis concept with
CW starting over 70 years ago.

DE N7ZZT

4792. forge

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-25 19:13:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159211599.769698.163210@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5922
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
forge

4793. my dad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-25 21:51:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <45184ab3$0$19734$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 5923
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

http://home.mchsi.com/~north1959/professorblumpkin.jpg

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4794. Re: my dad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-25 22:35:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <45184e41$0$19607$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 5924
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

<Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
news:45184ab3$0$19734$88260bb3@free.teranews.com...
> http://home.mchsi.com/~north1959/professorblumpkin.jpg
>
and now me.....
http://i10.tinypic.com/29ygohw.jpg

strking remsenblance ay?

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4795. Re: my dad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-25 22:41:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <45184e42$0$19607$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 5925
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

<Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
news:45184ab3$0$19734$88260bb3@free.teranews.com...
> http://home.mchsi.com/~north1959/professorblumpkin.jpg
>
and now me.....
http://i10.tinypic.com/29ygohw.jpg

strking remsenblance ay?

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4796. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-25 23:58:42 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <S2_Rg.1376$Y24.60@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5926
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Rick Frazier <rickf@rickfrazier.com> wrote in news:4514E511.8030908
@rickfrazier.com:

> Slow Code you're an idiot, because only an idiot would contine to post
> this sort of crap over and over on multiple groups...
>
> No code licensing has done nothing to hurt CW or other license classes,
> and certainly hasn't turned Ham radio into Children's Band, at least
> where I live (or have lived over the past 40 years or so)
>
> I don't know where you live, but where I live, the conversations are at
> least as techincal on 2 meterss as they were before (even 25 years
> back), and maybe even more so. Given your agenda on polluting the
> newsgroups (and probably bands too, if you actually do get on the ham
> bands) with this crap that supposes than anyone that doesn't do 20+wpm
> code is not a ham, or other similar pretenses, I doubt if you actually
> are even active on the bands... (bigots rarely are, in my experience).
>
> Yep, we talk about a lot of very technical topics, not the least of
> which occur on 2 meters, and on local nets, or offline on other
> frequencies during the day (and heave3n forbid, we actually use
> telephones too!, and with others outside of our area. The future of ham
> radio in the Hawaiian islands is likely to be relatively bright, even
> without an increase in CW. CW is not only the slowest method of
> communicating, it also is the oldest. Why don't you look into some of
> the relatively recent advances in the hobby, which most of us have at
> least evaluated and determined whether we wish to participate, rather
> than the continual baiting and other crap you post on the groups?
>
> By the way, I can't recall seeing you post an actual call sign, just a
> "slow code" moniker, so how would any of us really know you are one of
> us anyway? Even if you are, your'e clearly one that should have been
> outed many years ago.
>
> Despite the feeling I'm doing nothing more than feeding a troll, I feel
> it's time to see if you're actually real... IF SO, please reply to my
> email address (not on the groups, you've polluted them enough already)
> and we can hopefully entertain an intelligent conversation.
>
> Thanks
> --Rick AH7H
> (notice I'm not hiding behind a false email address or ashamed to

> indicate my true identity!)
>
>
>
>
> Slow Code wrote:
>
>> Think Back, Are todays conversations on 2 meters as technical as they
>> were 25 years ago, or today might you hear things like:
>>
>> "I woke up this morning with a pimple on my butt" or
>>
>> "The dog crapped on the living room carpet again."
>>
>>
>> Allowing no-codes on VHF & UHF has made discussions less technical and
>> more like CB. Don't let your hatred of CW destroy our HF bands too.
>>
>> Amateur Radio, a National resource.
>>
>> 73
>>
>> SC

Sorry you feel that way. These will Help:

Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
pass all elements required for their license class.

Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.

Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

73 de Slow Code

4797. Re: Gerritsen Sentenced

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-25 23:58:48 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Y2_Rg.1377$Y24.336@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5927
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1159220086.444158.32860@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:

> From: Paul W. Schleck on Mon, Sep 25 2006 7:28 am
>
>><LenAnderson@ieee.org> writes:
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> > From: Paul W. Schleck on Fri, Sep 22 2006 4:09 pm
>
>
>>> The Institute for Electrical and Electronic Engineers is a
>>> PROFESSIONAL Association.
>>
>>> If you have "confusion" about it, feel free to write them at:
>>
>>> IEEE
>>> 445 Hoes Lane
>>> Box 1331
>>> Piscataway, NJ 08855-1331
>>> USA
>>
>>> If your haughtiness has a problem with my PROFESSIONAL
>>> membership, take it up with the IEEE directly. You can include
>>> "questions" on NON-work "conduct" all you want.
>>
>>So, Len, your personal morality makes a distinction between how you
>>treat people in professional vs. personal life.
>
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> "Personal morality..."
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Tsk, the Torquemadas are in a conclave now? :-) :-)
>
> Too funny, really...but tragic in the obvious state of
> mind of a future newsgroup moderator...and a possible
> course of action of future newsgroup "moderation."
>
> Here's a plain and simple fact: Heil, unable to control

> hisself, searched and searched for a weapon of anti-morse
> destruction and seized the IEEE Code of Conduct...saying
> he "addressed it." Not fully, so I merely listed the
> IEEE mailing address for his convenience.
>
> David Heil is perfectly free to communicate with the IEEE
> and bring his CHARGES OF MISCONDUCT against me to the
> attention of the Membership Committee of the IEEE, the
> Ethics Committee, whatever IEEE official, other IEEE
> members he wants to vent to. So are you. Go ahead.
>
> As I've said, as the IEEE says, it is a Professional
> Association. It has no direct bearing on AMATEUR RADIO
> other than some of its thousands of members no doubt
> do professional work on designing, manufacturing, and
> selling of amateur radio equipment. The IEEE makes
> available a forwarding alias for e-mail to members and
> I use that free service, hence the "signature" I use.
> Some have great difficulty with that "signature,"
> demanding I either drop it or get an amateur radio
> license and use that (as "official?"). Now that
> "signature" (or "ID" or just an e-mail address) has
> become a Cause Celebre' of yourself and someone who
> has an obsession of villifying his newsgroup enemies?
>
> Here's another plain and simple fact: There are dozens
> of daily postings by anonymous individuals in THIS
> newsgroup spewing hateful filth about others. A simple
> search of Google archives will turn up "responsible"
> (Ha!) "names" such as "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" and "Billy
> Shittydrawers" yet THEY are NOT made a target of this
> Inquisition into the "Morality" of their postings.
> Why IS that? They do not have any identifiable
> connection with amateur radio, but you seem to avoid
> them in favor of direct (snide) "attacks" on certain
> others such as myself. That is hypocrisy in action,
> demonstratable in things like the insinuation below:
>
>>In your personal,
>>non-professional life, you feel that it is acceptable
>>and defensible:
>
> What I do in my "personal, non-professional life" is
> none of your concern. Neither you nor David Heil
> can control that, modify it, or make judgements on
> it leading to cessation of my rights to free speech
> as a citizen of the USA and as a military veteran of
> the USA. Yet, you actively seek to CONTROL it. Why?
> Because you are PERSONALLY upset by my words? You
> feel you are such a supreme ruler that you can

> CONTROL opposing opinions or wish to delete all
> postings which are not to some arbitrary standard of
> protocol demanding obediance to some self-righteous
> opinions held by a ruling clique?
>
> If you wish to delve into my "personal, non-professional"
> life, get together an Investigative Team. You can use
> those that Hewlett-Packard did (their business may have
> slowed since HP is under investigation and no doubt have
> some free time). Feel free to start with my Pastor,
> Ralph Midtlyng, at All-Saints Community Church three
> blocks away from my house. It is principally a Lutheran
> church but does not bar others about their "personal,
> non-professional life." [recall that Martin Luther was
> no shrinking violet on matters theological?]
>
> Interrogate my immediate neighbors about my "personal,
> non-professional life." The Topalians are right across
> the street, Hurleys and Brunos on either side, the
> Gonzalez family up on the corner. Consult old issues
> of Ham Radio for my address; it hasn't changed since
> May of '63. Try my city councilmember's office (Wendy
> Gruel, real name). Or possibly the Los Angeles Police
> Department, Foothill Division. Maybe you can extract
> juicy little tidbits of "scandal" to use in here to
> actively engage in vituperative attacks who don't fit
> your mold? Since you won't find any, feel free to
> MANUFACTURE some. Others do it, therefore it is okay?
>
> Yes, I suppose there is some great (grate?) significance
> about "personal, non-professional life" that the outraged
> wish to use as weapons of anti-morse destruction (see
> Heil's vituperation in here) against me. Try my Sex
> Life (heterosexual). My wife is also my high school
> sweetheart. I would suggest you NOT consult my wife on
> "personal, non-professional life" for your own emotional
> safety. Her name? Hundreds of our school classmates
> know it. Sorry, you'll have to find that out yourself
> but your Investigative Team will know the correct
> government agency to find that out...and many more items
> of "personal, non-professional life" data.
>
> I've tried to help you out here on "personal, non-
> professional life" items as a courtesy to your apparent
> Inquisition of a single individual. You really can't
> ask more than that, can you? :-)
>
> Why do you feel that AMATEUR radio activity is to
> be taken the SAME as Professional Activity? Why do
> you side with the allegations of "misconduct" in

> "personal, non-professional life" by someone who is
> a known personal attacker of those he does not like.
> Do you wish to add more spotlights to some imagined
> "dispute" between a Professional Code of ETHICS, not
> "morality?" Make this into a "federal case?" Why?
> Personality conflict? My failure to "pop to" and pay
> some kind of "respect" you feel "owed" to you? I am
> NOT OBLIGATED to do that, except in a few individual's
> fantasies or imaginations.
>
> I am NOT OBLIGATED to "pay respect" to some olde-tyme
> radio amateur just because they have some federal
> license in amateur radio. I was IN radio communications
> on HF full-time before some of these self-styled "rulers"
> of the amateur waves were born, using techniques that
> persist in amateur radio HF communications today. With
> the exception of manual radiotelegraphy which wasn't used
> a half century ago but persists in the mythical "standards"
> of US amateur radio today.
>
> I am NOT OBLIGATED to ANYONE who insists on calling every
> statement I make "wrong" or others with some smug,
> arrogant attitudes that they are "superior." My opinions
> are my opinions, those of a free and independent US
> citizen who has taken an Oath to preserve the Constitution
> of the United States.
>
> I am NOT OBLIGATED to ANYONE who cannot personally stand
> opposition to self-righteous opinions of theirs. I am
> NOT OBLIGATED to "stand on an outside" on any subject that
> some alleged "insiders" say I do.
>
> I am NOT OBLIGATED to any would-be moderator who wants to
> both moderate and to engage in one-sided behavior in the
> newsgroup.
>
> ByteBrothers famous phrase invoked.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

If bullshit were music, you'd be brass bands of America.

SC

4798. Re: I punch in agian

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-25 23:59:00 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <83_Rg.1382$Y24.735@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5928
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

<Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in
news:4516a991$0$19652$88260bb3@free.teranews.com:

> ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I
> do
> so
> bringit on you loosers
>
> show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW
> rendered phonicticaly)
> happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and
> SC a few just decided to not to be as hateful

ROFL

Why do you have to tease the retard? It's not helping my effort to save ham
radio from becoming like CB.

SC

4799. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-25 23:59:03 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <b3_Rg.1384$Y24.335@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5929
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
news:ia79h21f1uhjc1pd68ud3j86nbmlnemdvi@4ax.com:

> On Tue, 19 Sep 2006 08:19:27 -0400, jawod <jawod@fuse.net> spake
> thusly:
>
>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Who the FUCK are YOU to make such a statement? You snot nosed,
>>> arrogant PRICK! You do NOT know the kind of person that I am!! Wake up
>>> and smell the cappuccino! Code is obsolete! Knowing code does NOTHING
>>> to make somebody an "asset to the service". And, could you explain
>>> what makes a person an "asset to the service"?
>>>
>>Jeez,
>>Chill out, eh?
>
> Sorry, but I get upset with people who make statements that are easily
> taken as personal insults.

<SNIP>

It's hilarious watching how violent CB'er hams get. ROFL.

How's your Code practice coming along?

SC

4800. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statmenet s fals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-25 23:59:05 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <d3_Rg.1385$Y24.1129@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

"an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1159124520.451162.214350@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in
>> news:ldg6h21s801or5hrlcu2jcg538016dq4ri@4ax.com:
>>
>
>>
>> How's your code practice coming along Mark? It's easy and fun.
> what Code practice?
> it isn't easy fun or usefull in the real world
>>
>> SC

How's your code practice coming along Markie? It's easy and fun. You have
to learn it if you want to be a real ham.

SC

4801. Re: That's DEFINITELY Wiseman's photo with long, greasy hair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 00:00:14 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <i4_Rg.1391$Y24.62@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5931
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1159117345.376539.55120@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> an old enema followed up to himself:
>> On 23 Sep 2006 07:01:13 -0700, Secwet Woger wrote:
>
> Poor plagiarizing Lardas Davies, and he steals from an equally lame
> Davey Assheil!
>> >
>

Hey N-C-L,

If you're not AB8MQ, why do you defend him? Did Roger ask you to post for
him while he's in prison?

SC

4802. Re: Pro-2096 APCO25 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 00:19:55 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <6fsgh295omvdbuancjrkevncretlrbkm19@4ax.com>
Source record: 5932
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 25 Sep 2006 15:45:59 GMT, "Thanks......."
<pottsladd13@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Can anyone give me an honest opinion of the above scanner? Also is it PC
>programmable like the Pro-96 and what would I need to to program it with a
>PC?

Programmable, yes, controllable, no.

You'll need Win96 from Starrsoft.

4803. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 00:29:56 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <r1tgh29quhjg0r0dbshtmmcpc1el0laphu@4ax.com>
Source record: 5933
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 25 Sep 2006 08:31:32 -0700, Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net>
wrote:

>On 9/25/06 5:32 AM, in article p2jfh2t9tua3nv0b11jovhr9pnki9lvot7@4ax.com,
>"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote:
>
>> On Sun, 24 Sep 2006 20:58:43 -0700, Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> If you correctly ask "may I have a caramel" the correct reply is, "yes, you
>>> may."
>>
>> You don't listen to the conversation of many people under 20, do you?
>
>Yes, but that just tells me there are too many uneducated or lazy people.

I'd say lazy. Too lazy to learn, perhaps.

4804. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 01:21:12 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <ltvgh21b35rlg7smc8o5n2509m34afg1pk@4ax.com>
Source record: 5934
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 25 Sep 2006 23:59:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>news:ia79h21f1uhjc1pd68ud3j86nbmlnemdvi@4ax.com:
>
>> On Tue, 19 Sep 2006 08:19:27 -0400, jawod <jawod@fuse.net> spake
>> thusly:
>>
>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Who the FUCK are YOU to make such a statement? You snot nosed,
>>>> arrogant PRICK! You do NOT know the kind of person that I am!! Wake up
>>>> and smell the cappuccino! Code is obsolete! Knowing code does NOTHING
>>>> to make somebody an "asset to the service". And, could you explain
>>>> what makes a person an "asset to the service"?
>>>>
>>>Jeez,
>>>Chill out, eh?
>>
>> Sorry, but I get upset with people who make statements that are easily
>> taken as personal insults.
>
>
><SNIP>
>
>It's hilarious watching how violent CB'er hams get. ROFL.

Fuck, but you're stupid. Where is the violence? But that is typical of
bigots. Always deflecting, never answering..usually because they lack
the ability.

>How's your Code practice coming along?

I'm not, nor will I ever. If code means associating with bigots like
you, then I want no part of it. Much rather talk to civilized people.

4805. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statmenet s fals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 01:30:39 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <gk0hh2t5p14qap2go60ahmhijibqojtk33@4ax.com>
Source record: 5935
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 25 Sep 2006 23:59:05 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>"an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
>news:1159124520.451162.214350@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in
>>> news:ldg6h21s801or5hrlcu2jcg538016dq4ri@4ax.com:
>>>
>>
>>>
>>> How's your code practice coming along Mark? It's easy and fun.
>> what Code practice?
>> it isn't easy fun or usefull in the real world
>>>
>>> SC
>
>
>How's your code practice coming along Markie? It's easy and fun. You have
>to learn it if you want to be a real ham.

That's like saying you have to learn bloodletting to be a real doctor.

4806. blow code blows it again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 01:32:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159234354.789698.90260@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5936
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Slow Code wrote:
>
blow code blows it again

4807. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 01:41:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159234875.158483.210190@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5937
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Opus- wrote:
> On Mon, 25 Sep 2006 23:59:05 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> thusly:
>
> >"an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
> >news:1159124520.451162.214350@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
> >

> >How's your code practice coming along Markie? It's easy and fun. You have
> >to learn it if you want to be a real ham.
>
> That's like saying you have to learn bloodletting to be a real doctor.
indeed but I have no intention of wastingmy time on code I wasted years
on it in my teen trying for a novice

4808. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 01:43:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <921hh25k7k2v4utqif64o316h4g9s3thtm@4ax.com>
Source record: 5938
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 25 Sep 2006 23:58:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Rick Frazier <rickf@rickfrazier.com> wrote in news:4514E511.8030908
>@rickfrazier.com:
>

>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>
>>> Think Back, Are todays conversations on 2 meters as technical as they
>>> were 25 years ago, or today might you hear things like:
>>>
>>> "I woke up this morning with a pimple on my butt" or
>>>
>>> "The dog crapped on the living room carpet again."
>>>
>>>
>>> Allowing no-codes on VHF & UHF has made discussions less technical and
>>> more like CB. Don't let your hatred of CW destroy our HF bands too.
>>>
>>> Amateur Radio, a National resource.
>>>
>>> 73
>>>
>>> SC
>
>
>Sorry you feel that way. These will Help:

blow code blows it again
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4809. kooks on parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 01:48:36 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159235315.947741.172840@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5939
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> >
>
> Sorry you feel that way. These will Help:
stop trying to kill the ars
kooks on parade

4810. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 01:48:37 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159235317.940596.112390@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5940
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Opus- wrote:
> On Mon, 25 Sep 2006 23:59:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> thusly:
>

> >It's hilarious watching how violent CB'er hams get. ROFL.
>
> Fuck, but you're stupid. Where is the violence? But that is typical of
> bigots. Always deflecting, never answering..usually because they lack
> the ability.
slow code is prehaps the dumbest of the folks currently online that is
likely why he refuses to give his name
>
> >How's your Code practice coming along?
>
> I'm not, nor will I ever. If code means associating with bigots like
> you, then I want no part of it. Much rather talk to civilized people.

4811. e is prehaps the dumbest of the folks currently online that is likely why he refuses to give his name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 01:49:54 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159235394.283780.238770@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5941
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> <Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
more forgery and despictable attackson my dad

4812. Re: That's DEFINITELY Wiseman's photo with long, greasy hair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 01:52:23 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159235543.278927.133670@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5942
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1159117345.376539.55120@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >
> > > an old enema followed up to himself:
> > >> On 23 Sep 2006 07:01:13 -0700, Secwet Woger wrote:
> > >
> > > Poor plagiarizing Lardas Davies, and he steals from an equally lame
> > > Davey Assheil!
> > >> >
> > >
>
> Hey N-C-L,
>
> If you're not AB8MQ, why do you defend him? Did Roger ask you to post for
> him while he's in prison?
my word you got one right SDC I may die of heart failure
>
> SC

4813. Re: Pro-2096 APCO25 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 01:59:12 UTC
From: bitwisebob <bitwisebob@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <692hh29ceptd5akhb41ccv9v0bsc1tvnfg@4ax.com>
Source record: 5945
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 25 Sep 2006 15:45:59 GMT, "Thanks......."
<pottsladd13@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Can anyone give me an honest opinion of the above scanner? Also is it PC
>programmable like the Pro-96 and what would I need to to program it with a
>PC?

looks like the same scanner, in a different case.
... more or less.... anyway

Bob Anderson*Bitwisebob
Eugene Oregon

4814. Re: A real scanner question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 02:05:59 UTC
From: Jesse <org@org.orgy>
Message-ID: <Xns9849E0CFBD7AAewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 5943

Key Liime <keylime31415927@yahoo.com> wrote in news:YWdRg.1318$nm4.26
@newsfe09.lga:

> Was in my local RS yesterday and noticed the 2052 was on clearance.
> Anyone care to comment whether $99 is worthwhile for this little box?

Sorry.
That does not qualify as a real scanner question.

4815. Re: responding to post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 02:07:46 UTC
From: Jesse <org@org.orgy>
Message-ID: <Xns9849E11BF12FCewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 5944

"Scan Man" <djnordmann@gmail.com> wrote in news:1158510157.292152.51720
@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

> How do I get people to respond to my postings?

Change your name to scanner slut or something might do it.

4816. Re: 1st Responder Wireless News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 10:41:30 UTC
From: Scott <scanman@scanland.oirg>
Message-ID: <Xns984A432705E61scanmanscanlandorg@208.49.80.253>
Source record: 5946

Why settle for good, accurate, local information from local groups?

You can settle for spam and inaccurate information from a 'media giant'
that claims that the media only uses them for accurate information.

BULL!

Stick with the local groups that have a history in this field. 1STR will
soon fall apart as did NINN, IPN, etc...

Good luck.

"nyscan@gmail.com" <nyscan@gmail.com> wrote in
news:1159120233.767693.61550@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:

> 1st Responder Wireless News (1RWN), already very active, and
recognized
> by most media outlets and Public Safety Officials as the "Industry
> Leader" in most of the East Coast States, is actively looking for News
> Correspondents to become active as Incident Dispatchers for your area.
>
> 1st Responder Wireless News, a subsidiary company of 1st Responder
> News, is now consider the leader in the emergency notification
> industry, sending incident alerts to pagers, cell phones and e-mails.
>
> We are now active in AL, AZ, CA, CO, CT, DC, DE, FL, GA, HI, IL, IN,
> KY, LA, MA, MD, ME, MO, MS, NC, NH, NJ, NM, NV, NY, OH, OR, PA, RI,
SC,
> TN, TX, VA, VI, VT, WA, WV and Canada. 1RWN has already acquired many
> well known Paging Notification Services including TAC-9 Paging, East
> Coast Paging, Ohio Fire News, Maryland Fire Network, Virginia Fire
Net,
> Toronto Fire Net, Metro Fire Paging and the National Incident News
> Network (NINN), with several additional under negotiations. This is a
> very exciting time to become involved.
>
> 1RWN sends instant notifications for any newsworthy Police, Fire,
> Rescue, Traffic, Weather and Breaking News event within our coverage
> areas, to pagers, e-mail, cell phones, PDAs and to our online
streaming
> tickers. The vast majority of our Dispatch Staff is comprised of
> Volunteer and Career Firefighters, Police/Sheriff Officers, Haz-Mat &
> Rescue Technicians, 911/ALS/Medevac Dispatchers, ALS, BLS & OEM
> Personnel and Skywarn Spotters, on local, county, state and federal
> levels.
>

> 1RWN is in the final stages of development of our Dispatcher Rewards
> Program which will allow our Dispatchers to log into an online store
> and buy products ranging from cameras to antenna to two-way radios
> using points they've earned by being active on our system! EVERY
> Dispatcher on our system will now reap rewards for their hard work,
> dedication and loyalty!
>
> 1RWN has also recently gone live with the industry's MOST
COMPREHENSIVE
> Customer Interface selection process which allows our Subscribers to
> select EXACTLY what information they want to receive, from what areas
> and to what devices. In other words, you can select to receive JUST
> building collapse incidents in Yonkers to be sent to your cell phone,
> with bridge closures in Philadelphia being sent to your pager. You
are
> no longer restricted to receiving ALL alerts from a region, now YOU
> decide what you receive from the average 16,000 monthly alerts sent
> from any of the areas we cover - 37 States, Washington DC, or Canada!
> EVERY active 1RWN Dispatcher qualifies for complimentary service!
>
> If you are interested, or would like more information, please check
out
> the website at www.1RWN.com and/or e-mail Scott@1RWN.com.
>
>
>
> Why settle for the rest...when you can be with the best?
>
>

4817. Re: Programming Bearcat BC898T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 10:41:46 UTC
From: Walter <juskorfus@netscape.com>
Message-ID: <1159267306.098648.325520@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5947

Sure is, but first you need Radio Shack Part 20-047 USB serial programming cable, and a copy of Scancat Lite Plus, or similar software. It is much slower but you can also do it by hand! which will take some time, you can also look around on the web as there are many sites that show you how to build your own programmer cable.

I use my Radio Shack 20-047, to program some of my ham radios, and even a couple commercial radios.

Hope this helps,
Walter kg4bvd

Good Ol Dad wrote:
> Hi All,
>
> Is the BC898T fairly easy to program ? I live in SW Florida and we
> have numerous agencies I want to include. Trunk tracking is a little
> confusing to me.
>
> Thanks in advance for all assistance.

4818. Re: That's DEFINITELY Wiseman's photo with long, greasy hair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 10:49:10 UTC
From: Walter <juskorfus@netscape.com>
Message-ID: <1159267750.072641.140720@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5948
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > news:1159117345.376539.55120@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
> >
> > >
> > > an old enema followed up to himself:
> > >> On 23 Sep 2006 07:01:13 -0700, Secwet Woger wrote:
> > >
> > > Poor plagiarizing Lardas Davies, and he steals from an equally lame
> > > Davey Assheil!
> > >> >
> > >
> >
> > Hey N-C-L,
> >
> > If you're not AB8MQ, why do you defend him? Did Roger ask you to post for
> > him while he's in prison?
> my word you got one right SDC I may die of heart failure
> >
> > SC

4819. Re: That's DEFINITELY Wiseman's photo with long, greasy hair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 10:49:17 UTC
From: Walter <juskorfus@netscape.com>
Message-ID: <1159267757.485899.138260@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5949
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > news:1159117345.376539.55120@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
> >
> > >
> > > an old enema followed up to himself:
> > >> On 23 Sep 2006 07:01:13 -0700, Secwet Woger wrote:
> > >
> > > Poor plagiarizing Lardas Davies, and he steals from an equally lame
> > > Davey Assheil!
> > >> >
> > >
> >
> > Hey N-C-L,
> >
> > If you're not AB8MQ, why do you defend him? Did Roger ask you to post for
> > him while he's in prison?
> my word you got one right SDC I may die of heart failure
> >
> > SC

4820. Re: That's DEFINITELY Wiseman's photo with long, greasy hair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 10:49:21 UTC
From: Walter <juskorfus@netscape.com>
Message-ID: <1159267761.121662.39540@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5950
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > news:1159117345.376539.55120@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
> >
> > >
> > > an old enema followed up to himself:
> > >> On 23 Sep 2006 07:01:13 -0700, Secwet Woger wrote:
> > >
> > > Poor plagiarizing Lardas Davies, and he steals from an equally lame
> > > Davey Assheil!
> > >> >
> > >
> >
> > Hey N-C-L,
> >
> > If you're not AB8MQ, why do you defend him? Did Roger ask you to post for
> > him while he's in prison?
> my word you got one right SDC I may die of heart failure
> >
> > SC

4821. Re: Pro-2096 APCO25 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 11:05:40 UTC
From: "Richard Carlson, N9JIG" <usenet@usenet.net>
Message-ID: <usenet-D34678.06054026092006@comcast.dca.giganews.com>
Source record: 5851
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <692hh29ceptd5akhb41ccv9v0bsc1tvnfg@4ax.com>,
bitwisebob <bitwisebob@gmail.com> wrote:

> On Mon, 25 Sep 2006 15:45:59 GMT, "Thanks......."
> <pottsladd13@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >Can anyone give me an honest opinion of the above scanner? Also is it PC
> >programmable like the Pro-96 and what would I need to to program it with a
> >PC?
>
> looks like the same scanner, in a different case.
> ... more or less.... anyway
>
>
> ---
> Bob Anderson*Bitwisebob
> Eugene Oregon

Actually that's pretty accurate. The Pro2096 and the Pro96 are for the
most part identical radios. You can clone between them and they both use
the same programming cable and software.

There are a few options when it comes to software, I recommend Win96
from Don Starr (www.starrsoft.com).

The programming cable is available from a variety of sources. Radio
Shack has them and you can get some from eBay and other places. I
actually use my Icom cable with a Stereo to mono adapter. I have to
adjust the reply time a bit, but it works fine.

The 2096 is a fine radio, it decodes the digital modulation very well
and the V-Scanner is pretty neat for traveling. It programs more
conventionally than the 996 (Is this good or bad, you decide...)

It has been passed up by the Uniden 996 in some of the features
available however, as it doesn't track 700 MHz. trunking, it requires to
to select PL or DPL for tone search, has less memory channels and limits
you to 10 banks. The 996 also has things like Fire Tone Out and GPS
connections and more, any of which may or may not interest you.

You can usually get a new 2096 for about $400 on sale at Radio Shack and
some dealers, while the 396 is usually between $525 and $550.

While I have both the 996 and the 2096, I prefer the 996 for most
scanning uses. I use the 2096 mostly with a program called Pro96Comm
that decodes the 9600 baud data signals used on a local trunking system.

Others prefer the 2096, if they don't need the 996's features or coverage. If 700 MHz. trunking is in your area or coming, I would go with the 996. (The 2096 can monitor 700 MHz. after programming with Win96 but can not trunk track there).

4822. Re: That's DEFINITELY not Wiseman's photo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 12:16:15 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159272975.754944.175520@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5852
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Sniveling Cunt wrote:
> "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1159117345.376539.55120@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >
> > > an old enema followed up to himself:
> > >> On 23 Sep 2006 07:01:13 -0700, Secwet Woger wrote:
> > >
> > > Poor plagiarizing Lardas Davies, and he steals from an equally lame
> > > Davey Assheil!
> > >> >
> > >
> >
> > Hey N-C-L,
> >
> > If you're not AB8MQ, why do you defend him?

Hey Sniveling Cunt, if you're not Toad, why do you defend him?

> Did Roger ask you to post for him while he's in prison?

Roger isn't in prison, dumbass. Did Davey Assheil ask you to spam while
he polishes Ray's knob and his wheelchair? Or is he watching Mark D and
his anorexic wife?

4823. Zayin sucker Markie fucks up again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 12:18:01 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159273081.539816.30650@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5853
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> my word you got one right SDC I may die of heart failure

He didn't get anything right, dumbass. You'll die of heart failure
anyways, you morbidly obese queer.

4824. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 12:43:52 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <HJednVoOhasYvYTYnZ2dnUVZ_uudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 5854
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Opus- wrote:

SNIPPED
>
>
> I'm not, nor will I ever. If code means associating with bigots like
> you, then I want no part of it. Much rather talk to civilized people.

Then we will gladly acknowledge that you desire to leave this NG.

We don't need the profanity, the attitude and the whimpering.

All in favor, say AYE! ...

4825. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 12:53:24 UTC
From: billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159275204.490375.71500@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5855
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

an_old_friendless child raper wrote:
> Opus- wrote:
> > On Mon, 25 Sep 2006 23:59:05 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> > thusly:
> >
> > >"an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
> > >news:1159124520.451162.214350@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
> > >
>
> > >How's your code practice coming along Markie? It's easy and fun. You have
> > >to learn it if you want to be a real ham.
> >
> > That's like saying you have to learn bloodletting to be a real doctor.

Nope, it's just another language.
But, you have trouble with English, so it is to be expected.

> indeed but I have no intention of wastingmy time on code I wasted years
> on it in my teen trying for a novice

BWHAHAHAHA Markie the lazy bastard can't even pass 5 wpm code!
BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!

4826. Re: Gerritsen Sentenced

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 13:21:48 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159276908.564441.263330@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5856
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
> news:1159220086.444158.32860@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>
> > From: Paul W. Schleck on Mon, Sep 25 2006 7:28 am
> >
> >><LenAnderson@ieee.org> writes:
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>> > From: Paul W. Schleck on Fri, Sep 22 2006 4:09 pm
> > >
> > >
> >>> The Institute for Electrical and Electronic Engineers is a
> >>> PROFESSIONAL Association.
> >>
> >>> If you have "confusion" about it, feel free to write them at:
> >>
> >>> IEEE
> >>> 445 Hoes Lane
> >>> Box 1331
> >>> Piscataway, NJ 08855-1331
> >>> USA
> >>
> >>> If your haughtiness has a problem with my PROFESSIONAL
> >>> membership, take it up with the IEEE directly. You can include
> >>> "questions" on NON-work "conduct" all you want.
> >>
> >>So, Len, your personal morality makes a distinction between how you
> >>treat people in professional vs. personal life.
> >
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > "Personal morality..."
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > Tsk, the Torquemadas are in a conclave now? :-) :-)
> >
> > Too funny, really...but tragic in the obvious state of
> > mind of a future newsgroup moderator...and a possible
> > course of action of future newsgroup "moderation."
> >

> > Here's a plain and simple fact: Heil, unable to control
> > hisself, searched and searched for a weapon of anti-morse
> > destruction and seized the IEEE Code of Conduct...saying
> > he "addressed it." Not fully, so I merely listed the
> > IEEE mailing address for his convenience.
> >
> > David Heil is perfectly free to communicate with the IEEE
> > and bring his CHARGES OF MISCONDUCT against me to the
> > attention of the Membership Committee of the IEEE, the
> > Ethics Committee, whatever IEEE official, other IEEE
> > members he wants to vent to. So are you. Go ahead.
> >
> > As I've said, as the IEEE says, it is a Professional
> > Association. It has no direct bearing on AMATEUR RADIO
> > other than some of its thousands of members no doubt
> > do professional work on designing, manufacturing, and
> > selling of amateur radio equipment. The IEEE makes
> > available a forwarding alias for e-mail to members and
> > I use that free service, hence the "signature" I use.
> > Some have great difficulty with that "signature,"
> > demanding I either drop it or get an amateur radio
> > license and use that (as "official?"). Now that
> > "signature" (or "ID" or just an e-mail address) has
> > become a Cause Celebre' of yourself and someone who
> > has an obsession of villifying his newsgroup enemies?
> >
> > Here's another plain and simple fact: There are dozens
> > of daily postings by anonymous individuals in THIS
> > newsgroup spewing hateful filth about others. A simple
> > search of Google archives will turn up "responsible"
> > (Ha!) "names" such as "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" and "Billy
> > Shittydrawers" yet THEY are NOT made a target of this
> > Inquisition into the "Morality" of their postings.
> > Why IS that? They do not have any identifiable
> > connection with amateur radio, but you seem to avoid
> > them in favor of direct (snide) "attacks" on certain
> > others such as myself. That is hypocrisy in action,
> > demonstratable in things like the insinuation below:
> >
> >>In your personal,
> >>non-professional life, you feel that it is acceptable
> >>and defensible:
> >
> > What I do in my "personal, non-professional life" is
> > none of your concern. Neither you nor David Heil
> > can control that, modify it, or make judgements on
> > it leading to cessation of my rights to free speech
> > as a citizen of the USA and as a military veteran of
> > the USA. Yet, you actively seek to CONTROL it. Why?
> > Because you are PERSONALLY upset by my words? You

> > feel you are such a supreme ruler that you can
> > CONTROL opposing opinions or wish to delete all
> > postings which are not to some arbitrary standard of
> > protocol demanding obediance to some self-righteous
> > opinions held by a ruling clique?
> >
> > If you wish to delve into my "personal, non-professional"
> > life, get together an Investigative Team. You can use
> > those that Hewlett-Packard did (their business may have
> > slowed since HP is under investigation and no doubt have
> > some free time). Feel free to start with my Pastor,
> > Ralph Midtlyng, at All-Saints Community Church three
> > blocks away from my house. It is principally a Lutheran
> > church but does not bar others about their "personal,
> > non-professional life." [recall that Martin Luther was
> > no shrinking violet on matters theological?]
> >
> > Interrogate my immediate neighbors about my "personal,
> > non-professional life." The Topalians are right across
> > the street, Hurleys and Brunos on either side, the
> > Gonzalez family up on the corner. Consult old issues
> > of Ham Radio for my address; it hasn't changed since
> > May of '63. Try my city councilmember's office (Wendy
> > Gruel, real name). Or possibly the Los Angeles Police
> > Department, Foothill Division. Maybe you can extract
> > juicy little tidbits of "scandal" to use in here to
> > actively engage in vituperative attacks who don't fit
> > your mold? Since you won't find any, feel free to
> > MANUFACTURE some. Others do it, therefore it is okay?
> >
> > Yes, I suppose there is some great (grate?) significance
> > about "personal, non-professional life" that the outraged
> > wish to use as weapons of anti-morse destruction (see
> > Heil's vituperation in here) against me. Try my Sex
> > Life (heterosexual). My wife is also my high school
> > sweetheart. I would suggest you NOT consult my wife on
> > "personal, non-professional life" for your own emotional
> > safety. Her name? Hundreds of our school classmates
> > know it. Sorry, you'll have to find that out yourself
> > but your Investigative Team will know the correct
> > government agency to find that out...and many more items
> > of "personal, non-professional life" data.
> >
> > I've tried to help you out here on "personal, non-
> > professional life" items as a courtesy to your apparent
> > Inquisition of a single individual. You really can't
> > ask more than that, can you? :-)
> >
> > Why do you feel that AMATEUR radio activity is to
> > be taken the SAME as Professional Activity? Why do

> > you side with the allegations of "misconduct" in
> > "personal, non-professional life" by someone who is
> > a known personal attacker of those he does not like.
> > Do you wish to add more spotlights to some imagined
> > "dispute" between a Professional Code of ETHICS, not
> > "morality?" Make this into a "federal case?" Why?
> > Personality conflict? My failure to "pop to" and pay
> > some kind of "respect" you feel "owed" to you? I am
> > NOT OBLIGATED to do that, except in a few individual's
> > fantasies or imaginations.
> >
> > I am NOT OBLIGATED to "pay respect" to some olde-tyme
> > radio amateur just because they have some federal
> > license in amateur radio. I was IN radio communications
> > on HF full-time before some of these self-styled "rulers"
> > of the amateur waves were born, using techniques that
> > persist in amateur radio HF communications today. With
> > the exception of manual radiotelegraphy which wasn't used
> > a half century ago but persists in the mythical "standards"
> > of US amateur radio today.
> >
> > I am NOT OBLIGATED to ANYONE who insists on calling every
> > statement I make "wrong" or others with some smug,
> > arrogant attitudes that they are "superior." My opinions
> > are my opinions, those of a free and independent US
> > citizen who has taken an Oath to preserve the Constitution
> > of the United States.
> >
> > I am NOT OBLIGATED to ANYONE who cannot personally stand
> > opposition to self-righteous opinions of theirs. I am
> > NOT OBLIGATED to "stand on an outside" on any subject that
> > some alleged "insiders" say I do.
> >
> > I am NOT OBLIGATED to any would-be moderator who wants to
> > both moderate and to engage in one-sided behavior in the
> > newsgroup.
> >
> > ByteBrothers famous phrase invoked.
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>
>
>
> If bullshit were music, you'd be brass bands of America.

And if a measure of intelligence were music, you'd be the Milli Vanilli group.

4827. Re: Pro-2096 APCO25 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 13:49:04 UTC
From: "Thanks....." <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <kdaSg.2981$Kw1.2923@trnddc05>
Source record: 5857
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks for all the helpful information.
One more question, I currently have the Pro-96 and recently purchased a used BC796D, will the 796 do 700mhz trunking?

Thanks again,
"Richard Carlson, N9JIG" <usenet@usenet.net> wrote in message news:usenet-D34678.06054026092006@comcast.dca.giganews.com...
> In article <692hh29ceptd5akhb41ccv9v0bsc1tvnfg@4ax.com>,
> bitwisebob <bitwisebob@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>> On Mon, 25 Sep 2006 15:45:59 GMT, "Thanks......."
>> <pottsladd13@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Can anyone give me an honest opinion of the above scanner? Also is it PC
>> >programmable like the Pro-96 and what would I need to to program it with
>> >a
>> >PC?
>>
>> looks like the same scanner, in a different case.
>> ... more or less.... anyway
>>
>>
>> ---
>> Bob Anderson*Bitwisebob
>> Eugene Oregon
>
> Actually that's pretty accurate. The Pro2096 and the Pro96 are for the
> most part identical radios. You can clone between them and they both use
> the same programming cable and software.
>
> There are a few options when it comes to software, I recommend Win96
> from Don Starr (www.starrsoft.com).
>
> The programming cable is available from a variety of sources. Radio
> Shack has them and you can get some from eBay and other places. I
> actually use my Icom cable with a Stereo to mono adapter. I have to
> adjust the reply time a bit, but it works fine.
>
> The 2096 is a fine radio, it decodes the digital modulation very well
> and the V-Scanner is pretty neat for traveling. It programs more
> conventionally than the 996 (Is this good or bad, you decide...)
>
> It has been passed up by the Uniden 996 in some of the features

> available however, as it doesn't track 700 MHz. trunking, it requires to
> to select PL or DPL for tone search, has less memory channels and limits
> you to 10 banks. The 996 also has things like Fire Tone Out and GPS
> connections and more, any of which may or may not interest you.
>
> You can usually get a new 2096 for about $400 on sale at Radio Shack and
> some dealers, while the 396 is usually between $525 and $550.
>
> While I have both the 996 and the 2096, I prefer the 996 for most
> scanning uses. I use the 2096 mostly with a program called Pro96Comm
> that decodes the 9600 baud data signals used on a local trunking system.
>
> Others prefer the 2096, if they don't need the 996's features or
> coverage. If 700 MHz. trunking is in your area or coming, I would go
> with the 996. (The 2096 can monitor 700 MHz. after programming with
> Win96 but can not trunk track there).

4828. my dad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 16:01:53 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <kb9rqz@bareback.com>
Message-ID: <451941ef$0$19703$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 5858
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

http://home.mchsi.com/~north1959/professorblumpkin.jpg

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

>
>

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4829. woger self hateing a JEW registered pedophile ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 17:00:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <t4nih2d4muhirp5ak9c82edifimupgcct8@4ax.com>
Source record: 5859
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Mon, 25 Sep 2006 17:51:08 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote:

woger self hateing a JEW registered pedophile ?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4830. Re: Programming Bearcat BC898T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 17:06:03 UTC
From: Good Ol Dad <bigmike@justboystoys.com>
Message-ID: <0enih2dh1ioj1433nqkeldnjgbs5foo5hf@4ax.com>
Source record: 5860

Thanks for the reply and where would one find a copy of Scancat Lite
Plus as you recommend?

Thanks for the info.....

>
>Sure is, but first you need Radio Shack Part 20-047 USB serial
>programming cable, and a copy of Scancat Lite Plus, or similar
>software. It is much slower but you can also do it by hand! which will
>take some time, you can also look around on the web as there are many
>sites that show you how to build your own programmer cable.
>
> I use my Radio Shack 20-047, to program some of my ham radios, and
>even a couple commercial radios.
>
> Hope this helps,
>Walter kg4bvd
>
>Good Ol Dad wrote:
>> Hi All,
>>
>> Is the BC898T fairly easy to program ? I live in SW Florida and we
>> have numerous agencies I want to include. Trunk tracking is a little
>> confusing to me.
>>
>> Thanks in advance for all assistance.

4831. Re: 1st Responder Wireless News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 19:03:51 UTC
From: Tom Simes <usenetboy@netexpress.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.09.26.19.03.51.43696@netexpress.com>
Source record: 5862

On Sun, 24 Sep 2006 11:50:33 -0700, nyscan@gmail.com wrote:

> 1st Responder Wireless News (1RWN), already very active, and recognized
> by most media outlets and Public Safety Officials as the "Industry
> Leader" in most of the East Coast States, is actively looking for News
> Correspondents to become active as Incident Dispatchers for your area.

I took a look at the site. From what I can tell they use volunteers to send pager alerts of interesting traffic and then turn a profit on the volunteer labor by selling subscriptions. Is that an accurate description?

4832. Re: Programming Bearcat BC898T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 19:04:28 UTC
From: NS <ns@verizon.neti>
Message-ID: <451979d2$0$2028$ba620dc5@text.nova.planet.nl>
Source record: 5861

That cable is not compatible with BC898, also there is much better software
check
out Scancontrol or ARC898

"Good Ol Dad" <bigmike@justboystoys.com> schreef in bericht
news:0enih2dh1ioj1433nqkeldnjgbs5foo5hf@4ax.com...
> Thanks for the reply and where would one find a copy of Scancat Lite
> Plus as you recommend?
>
> Thanks for the info..............
>
>>
>>Sure is, but first you need Radio Shack Part 20-047 USB serial
>>programming cable, and a copy of Scancat Lite Plus, or similar
>>software. It is much slower but you can also do it by hand! which will
>>take some time, you can also look around on the web as there are many
>>sites that show you how to build your own programmer cable.
>>
>> I use my Radio Shack 20-047, to program some of my ham radios, and
>>even a couple commercial radios.
>>
>> Hope this helps,
>>Walter kg4bvd
>>
>>Good Ol Dad wrote:
>>> Hi All,
>>>
>>> Is the BC898T fairly easy to program ? I live in SW Florida and we
>>> have numerous agencies I want to include. Trunk tracking is a little
>>> confusing to me.
>>>
>>> Thanks in advance for all assistance.
>

4833. show-na-to-va woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 21:42:07 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159306927.747658.258410@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5863
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
>
show-na-to-va woger

4834. Re: NEW SCANNER SITE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 22:18:09 UTC
From: Pacman <nospam.pacman1_63@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <gcednQGr6fy7OoTYnZ2dnUVZ_tSdnZ2d@insightbb.com>
Source record: 5864

This is Correct I can not edit out any pop ups this is a free phpbb forum.
The popup blocker blocks most of mine out. Here is the rest of the website
Visit the new website WCIL Scanner Radio
http://wcilscanner.home.insightbb.com/

KB9HGI
Quincy, IL

<bakb0ne@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1158628091.195955.137350@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Again, He cant remove the ads... I also run many PhpBB and PhpBB2
> boards, and I know that from within phpbb admin, you can set up the
> forum, change the permissions, change catagories, mod and admin access
> but there is nowhere where you can edit the HTML on which the forum is
> displayed..
>
> The Ads are part of the phpBB styles, which you have the "upload" by
> ftp and then "install" in phpbb admin in order to change....
>
> He cannot do this as it is a FREE FORUM HOST... the only access he has
> is phpbb admin.. NOTHING ELSE>..
>
> Your wrong, just admit it and go on with ur life...
>
> NOTE: If he was hosting his own forum using phpbb and mysql databases
> then YES he could change anything he wanted, he could upload any new
> styles, or edit the templates himself, but as he doesnt have access to
> the webspace itself, then he only has limited access to what he can do
>
> Al Klein wrote:
>> On 9 Sep 2006 18:58:33 -0700, bakb0ne@gmail.com wrote:
>>
>> >Al Klein wrote:
>> >> On 7 Sep 2006 10:26:16 -0700, bakb0ne@gmail.com wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >WsW wrote:
>> >> >> Get rid of all the pop ups first
>> >> >He cant, thats down to the forum provider.... Its a crappy free phpbb
>> >> >site.
>> >> Which he can edit.
>> >>
>> >> >Not really...
>> >>
>> >> >He does have administrator access to PHPBB itself, but the popups are
>> >> >either in the html or part of the template, which he cannot edit (no

>> >ftp access)
>>
>> The person running a phpBB can edit anything in the entire BB he wants
>> - I know, I run one - and I've edited it extensively.
>>
>> >The pop-ups are just a way for the host to make money, the users cannot
>> >remove them....
>>
>> Bull cookies. There's nothing in phpBB that can't be edited.
>

4835. If KB9RQZ business is internet related.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 23:15:43 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <zwiSg.6712$UG4.6025@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5865
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Business must be bad for him that he has time to goof off at RRAP and get hammer by everyone on usenet.

SC

4836. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 23:15:45 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <BwiSg.6714$UG4.4412@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5867
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote in
news:HJednVoOhasYvYTYnZ2dnUVZ_uudnZ2d@comcast.com:

> Opus- wrote:
>
> SNIPPED
>>
>>
>> I'm not, nor will I ever. If code means associating with bigots like
>> you, then I want no part of it. Much rather talk to civilized people.
>
> Then we will gladly acknowledge that you desire to leave this NG.
>
> We don't need the profanity, the attitude and the whimpering.
>
> All in favor, say AYE! ...
>
>

Aye.

SC

4837. Mark, Just ignore them.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 23:15:46 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <CwiSg.6715$UG4.5839@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5866
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

They just tease you because of all the stupid things you say when you follow up to them. Just ignore them and they'll stop bothering you.

How your code practice coming along?

SC

4838. Re: That's DEFINITELY Wiseman's photo with long, greasy hair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 23:15:53 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <JwiSg.6720$UG4.6120@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5868
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1159235543.278927.133670@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:1159117345.376539.55120@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
>> > an old enema followed up to himself:
>> >> On 23 Sep 2006 07:01:13 -0700, Secwet Woger wrote:
>> >
>> > Poor plagiarizing Lardas Davies, and he steals from an equally lame
>> > Davey Assheil!
>> >> >
>> >
>>
>> Hey N-C-L,
>>
>> If you're not AB8MQ, why do you defend him? Did Roger ask you to post
>> for him while he's in prison?
> my word you got one right SDC I may die of heart failure
>>
>> SC
>
>

Mark,

Why do you have to follow up to every post? When you do they just laugh
at you and keep teasing your silly dyslexic ass. Contribute something
useful to RRAP, Don't be the group retard.

How your code practice coming along?

SC

4839. Re: That's DEFINITELY Wiseman's photo with long, greasy hair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 23:20:04 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <fcdjh2d6ka91spsmrt40v0281s1o7fstac@4ax.com>
Source record: 5869
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 26 Sep 2006 23:15:53 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com> wrote in
>news:1159235543.278927.133670@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> news:1159117345.376539.55120@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >
>>> > an old enema followed up to himself:
>>> >> On 23 Sep 2006 07:01:13 -0700, Secwet Woger wrote:
>>> >
>>> > Poor plagiarizing Lardas Davies, and he steals from an equally lame
>>> > Davey Assheil!
>>> >> >
>>> >
>>>
>>> Hey N-C-L,
>>>
>>> If you're not AB8MQ, why do you defend him? Did Roger ask you to post
>>> for him while he's in prison?
>> my word you got one right SDC I may die of heart failure
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>>
>
>Mark,
>
>Why do you have to follow up to every post?

asked and answered
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4840. asked and answered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 23:25:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <dldjh2laaq84mk3f32ast1pjemmb57h0d8@4ax.com>
Source record: 5870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 26 Sep 2006 23:15:46 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>They just tease you because of all the stupid things you say when you
>follow up to them. Just ignore them and they'll stop bothering you.
funny that did not work when I posted nothing for several years
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4841. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 23:27:32 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <sqdjh2tghudcua64fdccv7h6bmr12totmu@4ax.com>
Source record: 5871
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 26 Sep 2006 23:15:45 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote in
>news:HJednVoOhasYvYTYnZ2dnUVZ_uudnZ2d@comcast.com:
>
>> Opus- wrote:
>>
>> SNIPPED
>>>
>>>
>>> I'm not, nor will I ever. If code means associating with bigots like
>>> you, then I want no part of it. Much rather talk to civilized people.
>>
>> Then we will gladly acknowledge that you desire to leave this NG.
>>
>> We don't need the profanity, the attitude and the whimpering.
>>
>> All in favor, say AYE! ...
>>
>>
>
>Aye.
your point?
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4842. Re: If KB9RQZ business is internet related. it is

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-26 23:30:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <4udjh2172cbrvc7ikjpop7k97gdpf7qpu9@4ax.com>
Source record: 5872
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 26 Sep 2006 23:15:43 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Business must be bad for him that he has time to goof off at RRAP and get
>hammer by everyone on usenet.
SC I get paid about half the time I am on RRAP "varies"

it is great job I start satrting when I log on and chrage for eacch
hour or part thereof spend a fair amount goofing off waiting for those
that are paying me to get the data to me
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4843. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 00:21:55 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <jtgjh2513kh4birq8ct1bf4c15cgc9g8dl@4ax.com>
Source record: 5873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 26 Sep 2006 08:43:52 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> spake
thusly:

>Opus- wrote:
>
>SNIPPED
>>
>>
>> I'm not, nor will I ever. If code means associating with bigots like
>> you, then I want no part of it. Much rather talk to civilized people.
>
>Then we will gladly acknowledge that you desire to leave this NG.

Are you saying that there are no civilized people in this newsgroup?
Talk about attitude.

>We don't need the profanity, the attitude and the whimpering.

Then tell the pro-coders to quit insulting and labeling people.

>All in favor, say AYE! ...

Nay.

4844. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 00:22:32 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <e1hjh2dgfm71dub76s1uesld2p1okap6sq@4ax.com>
Source record: 5874
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 26 Sep 2006 23:15:45 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote in
>news:HJednVoOhasYvYTYnZ2dnUVZ_uudnZ2d@comcast.com:
>
>> Opus- wrote:
>>
>> SNIPPED
>>>
>>>
>>> I'm not, nor will I ever. If code means associating with bigots like
>>> you, then I want no part of it. Much rather talk to civilized people.
>>
>> Then we will gladly acknowledge that you desire to leave this NG.
>>
>> We don't need the profanity, the attitude and the whimpering.
>>
>> All in favor, say AYE! ...
>>
>>
>
>Aye.

What are YOU saying "aye" for? YOU'RE the bigot.

4845. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 00:36:46 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <4fhjh2l43v63mg9bvsvo8gve6vui5ku55i@4ax.com>
Source record: 5875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 26 Sep 2006 05:53:24 -0700, billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
spake thusly:

>
>an_old_friendless child raper wrote:
>> Opus- wrote:
>> > On Mon, 25 Sep 2006 23:59:05 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>> > thusly:
>> >
>> > >"an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> > >news:1159124520.451162.214350@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>> > >
>>
>> > >How's your code practice coming along Markie? It's easy and fun. You have
>> > >to learn it if you want to be a real ham.
>> >
>> > That's like saying you have to learn bloodletting to be a real doctor.
>
>Nope, it's just another language.
>But, you have trouble with English, so it is to be expected.

You don't get it, do you? But if you insist, many many years ago you
HAD to learn Latin to attend university. Then, a few people bucked the
trend and started removing the requirement to know Latin. Oh sure, the
old guard resisted. Many professors refused to work without Latin as a
requirement, ostensibly because they felt that the Latin requirement
would demonstrate serious commitment to learning. But the world
progressed and now conversational Latin is no longer required, save
for a few medical and legal references.

Now, would you tell your doctor that he is not a real doctor if he
can't carry on a conversation in Latin? He just might be able to, but
odds are he will only know what is necessary to do his job
effectively.

>> indeed but I have no intention of wastingmy time on code I wasted years
>> on it in my teen trying for a novice
>
>BWHAHAHAHA Markie the lazy bastard can't even pass 5 wpm code!
>BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!

Your really, REALLY don't get it, do you?

4846. SC: Been practicing code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 01:08:58 UTC
From: Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1f839935fa9c8f97989a2e@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 5876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In article <CwiSg.6715$UG4.5839@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> .
> They just tease you because of all the stupid things you say when you
> follow up to them. Just ignore them and they'll stop bothering you.
>
>
> How your code practice coming along?
>
> SC
>

.-- --- ..- .-.. -.. / -.-- --- ..- / .--. .-.. . .- ... . / -.-. ....
.- -. --. . / - .... . / ... ..- -... .--- . -.-. - null / .-- . / .-
.-. . / - .. .-. . -.. / --- ..-. / - .- .-.. -.- .. -. --. / .- -...
--- ..- - / -.-. --- -.. . null

4847. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 01:57:27 UTC
From: Neal Hudson <nealwh@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <bUkSg.5850$e66.2310@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 5877
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

Actually I thought the 11 Meter band was given to CB in 1955 and not 1957. A very mute point indeed.

"Chuck Harris" <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in message news:4YGdnUxqKr-aUorYnZ2dnUVZ_rudnZ2d@rcn.net...
> Al Klein wrote:
> > On Sun, 24 Sep 2006 12:49:18 +1000, Barry OGrady
> > <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> >> Aside from spelling metre as meter you are confusing can't and may. You can
> >> sell whatever you like anywhere but there are many things you may not sell.
> >
> > American English: "Can" = "May". "Can" seems to be preferred in
> > common usage. (Then again, just look at how some of our kids spell on
> > Usenet and in IRC and, knowing that any of them can grow up to be
> > president, be very afraid.)
>
> Hmm, you think that spelling is a reflection on intelligence? Spelling is a
> rather arbitrary transliteration of vocal sounds into written text. I have
> known some incredibly brilliant people that couldn't spell their way out of
> a wet paper bag, and I have known some extremely facile spellers that
> couldn't reason their way out of that same bag.
>
> The kids on IRC are using home grown abbreviations to cut down on the number
> of symbols they need to type to convey a message... Hams do the same thing
> when using RTTY, or CW.
>
> >
> >>> I think the stink arose on the posting, because the person posting the
> >>> original listing, advertised it as a CB radio amplifier
> >
> >> He may have been blasted for top posting.
> >
> > Neither of us who took issue with his post mentioned his top-posting.
> > We both blasted him on pure content.
>

> I am sort of curious as to why my posting of the actual FCC part 97 rules
> that cover linear amplifiers drew exactly zero comments.
>
> -Chuck Harris

4848. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 02:09:55 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <2anjh2dm3g2hm6pg58rcs4r8pn2nfa197h@4ax.com>
Source record: 5879
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 27 Sep 2006 00:36:46 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:

>On 26 Sep 2006 05:53:24 -0700, billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
>spake thusly:
>
>>
>>an_old_friendless child raper wrote:
>>> Opus- wrote:
>>> > On Mon, 25 Sep 2006 23:59:05 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>> > thusly:
>>> >
>>> > >"an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
>>> > >news:1159124520.451162.214350@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>>> > >
>>>
>>> > >How's your code practice coming along Markie? It's easy and fun. You have
>>> > >to learn it if you want to be a real ham.
>>> >
>>> > That's like saying you have to learn bloodletting to be a real doctor.
>>
>>Nope, it's just another language.
>>But, you have trouble with English, so it is to be expected.
>
>You don't get it, do you? But if you insist, many many years ago you
>HAD to learn Latin to attend university. Then, a few people bucked the
>trend and started removing the requirement to know Latin. Oh sure, the
>old guard resisted. Many professors refused to work without Latin as a
>requirement, ostensibly because they felt that the Latin requirement
>would demonstrate serious commitment to learning. But the world
>progressed and now conversational Latin is no longer required, save
>for a few medical and legal references.
good story I may well lift it fro some future occasion
>
>Now, would you tell your doctor that he is not a real doctor if he
>can't carry on a conversation in Latin? He just might be able to, but
>odds are he will only know what is necessary to do his job
>effectively.
>
>>> indeed but I have no intention of wastingmy time on code I wasted years
>>> on it in my teen trying for a novice
>>
>>BWHAHAHAHA Markie the lazy bastard can't even pass 5 wpm code!
>>BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!

>
>Your really, REALLY don't get it, do you?

no he doesn't he is a sad old failure afraid to even try anymore
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4849. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 02:10:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <51njh2hl8g6pqu1onu23mqnisfpfociec0@4ax.com>
Source record: 5878
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 27 Sep 2006 00:21:55 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:

>On Tue, 26 Sep 2006 08:43:52 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> spake
>thusly:
>
>>Opus- wrote:
>>
>>SNIPPED
>>>
>>>
>>> I'm not, nor will I ever. If code means associating with bigots like
>>> you, then I want no part of it. Much rather talk to civilized people.
>>
>>Then we will gladly acknowledge that you desire to leave this NG.
>
>Are you saying that there are no civilized people in this newsgroup?
>Talk about attitude.

and stpidityof course since that would include himself
>
>>We don't need the profanity, the attitude and the whimpering.
>
>Then tell the pro-coders to quit insulting and labeling people.
it would be nice but I certainly don't expet it
>
>>All in favor, say AYE! ...
>
>Nay.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4850. Re: SC: Been practicing code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 02:29:30 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159324170.470898.100540@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5880
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Papa Dog wrote:
> In article <CwiSg.6715$UG4.5839@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> my.mail@no.spam says...

>
> .-- --- ..- .-.. -.. / -.-- --- ..- / .--. .-.. . .- ... . / -.-. ....
> .- -. --. . / - .... . / ... ..- -... .--- . -.-. - null / .-- . / .-
> .-. . / - .. .-. . -.. / --- ..-. / - .- .-.. -.- .. -. --. / .- -...
> --- ..- - / -.-. --- -.. . null
not claiming any skill at code care to decode that for me

4851. Re: Pro-2096 APCO25 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 02:41:10 UTC
From: "Richard Carlson, N9JIG" <usenet@usenet.net>
Message-ID: <usenet-99AEB9.21411026092006@comcast.dca.giganews.com>
Source record: 5881
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <kdaSg.2981$Kw1.2923@trnddc05>,
"Thanks......." <pottsladd13@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Thanks for all the helpful information.
> One more question, I currently have the Pro-96 and recently purchased a used
> BC796D, will the 796 do 700mhz trunking?
>

No, sorry, but it won't. The only scanners that will track 700 MHz.
channels right now are the Uniden BCD396T and BCD996T.

4852. Re: Techno Remix of Bizarre CB Transmission

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 03:44:12 UTC
From: Indy Ham <nospam@privatenospam.com>
Message-ID: <b9hjh.gei.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 5882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> Anyone got a copy of the Porkbutt song ?
> Ace - WH2T

You should know all about being a "porkbutt" shouldn't you fat boy? Have you been out in Bloomington handing out KKK flyers? Oh, thats right, you only have the guts to do that in towns with less than 500 people.

CLOSE YOUR MOUTH TRACY

4853. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 04:08:10 UTC
From: jawod <jawod@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <4519F92A.5080301@fuse.net>
Source record: 5883
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

> I am sort of curious as to why my posting of the actual FCC part 97 rules
> that cover linear amplifiers drew exactly zero comments.
>
> -Chuck Harris

What is there on which to comment? "Can't argue with the horse's mouth"

(not quite right, is it?)

Here's another one: "If you can't dish it out, don't cook it." I have a
friend who came up with a whole page of malaprops (collected sayings
from his wife)

4854. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 04:12:27 UTC
From: Bowser <usually@home.org>
Message-ID: <LSmSg.2697$eZ4.902@dukeread06>
Source record: 5884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

Actually, you mean "moot point", not "Mute point"...

"Neal Hudson" <nealwh@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:bUkSg.5850$e66.2310@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> Actually I thought the 11 Meter band was given to CB in 1955 and not 1957.
A
> very mute point indeed.
>
>
> "Chuck Harris" <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in message
> news:4YGdnUxqKr-aUorYnZ2dnUVZ_rudnZ2d@rcn.net...
> > Al Klein wrote:
> > > On Sun, 24 Sep 2006 12:49:18 +1000, Barry OGrady
> > > <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > >
> > >> Aside from spelling metre as meter you are confusing can't and may.
You
> can
> > >> sell whatever you like anywhere but there are many things you may not
> sell.
> > >
> > > American English: "Can" = "May". "Can" seems to be preferred in
> > > common usage. (Then again, just look at how some of our kids spell on
> > > Usenet and in IRC and, knowing that any of them can grow up to be
> > > president, be very afraid.)
> >
> > Hmm, you think that spelling is a reflection on intelligence? Spelling
is
> a
> > rather arbitrary transliteration of vocal sounds into written text. I
> have
> > known some incredibly brilliant people that couldn't spell their way out
> of
> > a wet paper bag, and I have known some extremely facile spellers that
> > couldn't reason their way out of that same bag.
> >
> > The kids on IRC are using home grown abbreviations to cut down on the
> number
> > of symbols they need to type to convey a message... Hams do the same
thing
> > when using RTTY, or CW.
> >
> > >

> > >>> I think the stink arose on the posting, because the person
posting
> the
> > >>> original listing, advertised it as a CB radio amplifier
> > >
> > >> He may have been blasted for top posting.
> > >
> > > Neither of us who took issue with his post mentioned his top-posting.
> > > We both blasted him on pure content.
> >
> > I am sort of curious as to why my posting of the actual FCC part 97
rules
> > that cover linear amplifiers drew exactly zero comments.
> >
> > -Chuck Harris
>
>

4855. Re: Programming Bearcat BC898T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 04:16:45 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <3oujh2h1v4ibo2u7nmtdbrjmk5ok6l9el3@4ax.com>
Source record: 5885

On Tue, 26 Sep 2006 21:04:28 +0200, "NS" <ns@verizon.neti> wrote:

>That cable is not compatible with BC898, also there is much better software
>check

The 898, IIRC, just needs a plain old 9-pin to 9-pin cable.

4856. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 04:52:36 UTC
From: Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com>
Message-ID: <MuWdnTd4eoqemYfYnZ2dnUVZ_tydnZ2d@rcn.net>
Source record: 5886
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

jawod wrote:
>
>> I am sort of curious as to why my posting of the actual FCC part 97 rules
>> that cover linear amplifiers drew exactly zero comments.
>>
>> -Chuck Harris
>
> What is there on which to comment? "Can't argue with the horse's mouth"

Well, I sort of thought it might draw a few "Oh, gee, I guess commercially
made ham linears have to be FCC type accepted after all"'s.

The guy making the CB linears fails a whole laundry list of requirements
for type acceptance of a Ham linear.

And because he isn't a ham, he cannot legally build even *one* such unit,
let alone go into production.

-Chuck Harris

4857. Re: SC: Been practicing code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 11:36:55 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159357015.578493.36480@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5887
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old friendless faggot pervert wrote:
> not claiming any skill at code care to decode that for me

The only skill Markie has is swallowing huge amounts of semen!

4858. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 11:44:59 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159357499.386718.308200@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5888
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Opus- wrote:
> On 26 Sep 2006 05:53:24 -0700, billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
> spake thusly:
>
> >
> >an_old_friendless child raper wrote:
> >> Opus- wrote:
> >> > On Mon, 25 Sep 2006 23:59:05 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> >> > thusly:
> >> >
> >> > >"an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
> >> > >news:1159124520.451162.214350@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
> >> > >
> >>
> >> > >How's your code practice coming along Markie? It's easy and fun. You have
> >> > >to learn it if you want to be a real ham.
> >> >
> >> > That's like saying you have to learn bloodletting to be a real doctor.
> >
> >Nope, it's just another language.
> >But, you have trouble with English, so it is to be expected.
>
> You don't get it, do you? But if you insist, many many years ago you
> HAD to learn Latin to attend university. Then, a few people bucked the
> trend and started removing the requirement to know Latin. Oh sure, the
> old guard resisted. Many professors refused to work without Latin as a
> requirement, ostensibly because they felt that the Latin requirement
> would demonstrate serious commitment to learning. But the world
> progressed and now conversational Latin is no longer required, save
> for a few medical and legal references.

Hey state the obvious, like it's not already known, dumbass. Morse code
is a language as well, Penquin boy. It is still used.

> Now, would you tell your doctor that he is not a real doctor if he
> can't carry on a conversation in Latin? He just might be able to, but
> odds are he will only know what is necessary to do his job
> effectively.

Funny, Prescription directions are made in latin.....but you use
any excuse for your laziness, don't you?

> >> indeed but I have no intention of wastingmy time on code I wasted years
> >> on it in my teen trying for a novice

> >
> >BWHAHAHAHA Markie the lazy bastard can't even pass 5 wpm code!
> >BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!
>
> Your really, REALLY don't get it, do you?

Neither do you, dumbass, Latin terms are used in Medicine and in the
Legal profession all the time.

4859. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 11:47:23 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159357643.909894.94590@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5889
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> good story I may well lift it fro some future occasion

You mean you want to plagiarize what Penquin boy plagiarized!

> no he doesn't he is a sad old failure afraid to even try anymore

But he could at least pass the CW test and get a higher license without
having to wait until they lower the standards like you and Penquin boy
weant to do, loser.

4860. Re: Scanner frequencies for Newnan Georgia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 11:51:08 UTC
From: ed <ed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <MAtSg.233410$5i3.146457@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 5890

Try
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&ctid=420

Ed

"Scott" <witty2@futtyur2.com> wrote in message
news:k7kkh25ba2sfb16jg3kl6l1a0urb4r4ocn@4ax.com...
> Where can I find a full updated list of all available scanner
> frequencies for Newnan GA? Thanks so much.

4861. Re: If KB9RQZ business is internet related. it is only in his tiny brain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 12:05:22 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159358722.180325.285210@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5891
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On Tue, 26 Sep 2006 23:15:43 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >Business must be bad for him that he has time to goof off at RRAP and get
> >hammer by everyone on usenet.

What's "get hammer?" You mean "get hammered", don't you, Sniveling
Cunt?

> SC I get paid about half the time I am on RRAP "varies"

No, you mooch off your shemale wife and daddy.

> it is great job <lies>

You don't have a job, Marqueer.

4862. Re: That's DEFINITELY Wiseman's photo with long, greasy hair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 12:17:21 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159359441.855440.40700@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5892
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On Tue, 26 Sep 2006 23:15:53 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >"kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com> wrote in
> >news:1159235543.278927.133670@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
> >
> >>
> >> Slow Code wrote:
> >>> "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>> news:1159117345.376539.55120@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
> >>>
> >>> >
> >>> an old enema followed up to himself:
> >>> On 23 Sep 2006 07:01:13 -0700, Secwet Woger wrote:
> >>> >
> >>> Poor plagiarizing Lardas Davies, and he steals from an equally lame
> >>> Davey Assheil!
> >>> >>
> >>> >
> >>>
> >>> Hey N-C-L,
> >>>
> >>> If you're not AB8MQ, why do you defend him? Did Roger ask you to post
> >>> for him while he's in prison?
> >> my word you got one right SDC I may die of heart failure
> >>>
> >>> SC
> >>
> >>
> >> >
> >> Mark,
> >> >
> >> Why do you have to follow up to every post?
> >
> > asked and answered

Markie is our dancing monkey!

4863. Re: 1st Responder Wireless News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 12:18:11 UTC
From: Scott <scanman@scanland.oirg>
Message-ID: <Xns984B53BAECFF6scanmanscanlandorg@208.49.80.253>
Source record: 5893

That would be most certainly accurate.

Tom Simes <usenetboy@netexpress.com> wrote in
news:pan.2006.09.26.19.03.51.43696@netexpress.com:

> On Sun, 24 Sep 2006 11:50:33 -0700, nyscan@gmail.com wrote:
>
>> 1st Responder Wireless News (1RWN), already very active, and recognized
>> by most media outlets and Public Safety Officials as the "Industry
>> Leader" in most of the East Coast States, is actively looking for News
>> Correspondents to become active as Incident Dispatchers for your area.
>
> I took a look at the site. From what I can tell they use volunteers to
> send pager alerts of interesting traffic and then turn a profit on the
> volunteer labor by selling subscriptions. Is that an accurate
> description?
>

4864. Markie the registered pedo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 12:26:05 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159359965.315950.327540@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5894
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

an old friendless cocksucker wrote:

> show-

Markie wants us to show him our dicks, gents!

4865. Re: show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year from a JEW registered pedophile, MARK C. MORGAN)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 12:53:51 UTC
From: markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159361631.061343.97700@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5895
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an old friend wrote:
> !show-

Learn to spell, dropout!

4866. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 14:36:07 UTC
From: kludge@panix.com
Message-ID: <efe28nrag1@panix2.panix.com>
Source record: 5896
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

Neal Hudson <nealwh@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>Actually I thought the 11 Meter band was given to CB in 1955 and not 1957. A
>very mute point indeed.

That was the Class D citizens band. I believe Class C is also in the 27 MC
range, with low bandwidth digital modulation for remote control, etc.

Whatever happened to classes A and B? I recall Class A was AM on the 460 MC
band.... took a huge amount of bandwidth both because of the modulation
method and the fact the transmitters were generally not very stable...
--scott

--
"C'est un Nagra. C'est suisse, et tres, tres precis."

4867. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 14:38:17 UTC
From: kludge@panix.com
Message-ID: <efe2cpjtg1@panix2.panix.com>
Source record: 5897
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote:
>
>Well, I sort of thought it might draw a few "Oh, gee, I guess commercially
>made ham linears have to be FCC type accepted after all"'s.
>
>The guy making the CB linears fails a whole laundry list of requirements
>for type acceptance of a Ham linear.
>
>And because he isn't a ham, he cannot legally build even *one* such unit,
>let alone go into production.

I don't think anyone except him thinks otherwise.

The thing is... what will the FCC do about it? And are they going to do anything about the touch lamps sold at my local Wal-Mart which spew trash all over the HF band, or the local computer store which has a roll of "FCC Part 15 approved" stickers which they put on uncertified (and often uncompliant) PCs?
--scott

--
"C'est un Nagra. C'est suisse, et tres, tres precis."

4868. i punce in aigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 17:17:00 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <kb9rqz@blumpkin.net>
Message-ID: <451aa510$0$19682$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 5898
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
so
bringit on you loosers

show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW
rendered phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and
SC a few just decided to not to be as hateful

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4869. MD Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 18:03:38 UTC
From: "J.E.W." <rwilley30592@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <G5-dnepotbcmI4fYnZ2dnUVZ_sCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 5899

I'm looking for a sight that has up to date frequencies , and talk-group
listing for "DELMARVA"

4870. Re: show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year from a JEW registered pedophile, MARK C. MORGAN)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 19:13:34 UTC
From: slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159384414.305092.216190@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5900
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.usenet.kooks

markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > !show-
>
> Learn to spell, dropout!

morkins only talks technical about dicks and mens assholes.

4871. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 20:40:32 UTC
From: Telstar Electronics <BGriffey@lycos.net>
Message-ID: <451ae36c$0$1349$834e42db@reader.greatnowhere.com>
Source record: 5751
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

"Chuck Harris" <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in message
news:MuWdnTd4eoqemYfYnZ2dnUVZ_tydnZ2d@rcn.net...
> jawod wrote:
>>
>>> I am sort of curious as to why my posting of the actual FCC part 97
>>> rules
>>> that cover linear amplifiers drew exactly zero comments.
>>>
>>> -Chuck Harris
>>
>> What is there on which to comment? "Can't argue with the horse's mouth"
>
> Well, I sort of thought it might draw a few "Oh, gee, I guess commercially
> made ham linears have to be FCC type accepted after all"'s.
>
> The guy making the CB linears fails a whole laundry list of requirements
> for type acceptance of a Ham linear.
>
> And because he isn't a ham, he cannot legally build even *one* such unit,
> let alone go into production.
>
> -Chuck Harris
>
Oh I'm producing many more than one and they are selling like hot cakes.
There's not a damn thing you can do about it either so deal with it,
asshole.

http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQfgtpZ1QQfrppZ25QQsassZtelstarQ2delectronics

www.telstar-electronics.com

http://auctions.yahoo.com/i:SkyWave%202879AB%20-%20Mobile%20RF%20Linear%20Amplifier:117239910

4872. Re: responding to post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 21:29:52 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <kb9rqz@blumpkin.net>
Message-ID: <451ae051$0$19666$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 5752

"Scan Man" <djnordmann@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1158510157.292152.51720@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> How do I get people to respond to my postings?
>
with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4873. Re: SC: Been practicing code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 22:43:52 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ekvlh2p45bnsv078p5t0kblkv1kpu0810t@4ax.com>
Source record: 5756
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 27 Sep 2006 04:36:55 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>an old friendless faggot pervert wrote:
>> not claiming any skill at code care to decode that for me
>
>The only skill Markie has is swallowing huge amounts of semen!
and of making you so afriad of me that you expose your stupidity
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4874. show na to va woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 22:49:23 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159397363.337306.204010@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5753
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>
!show na to va woger

4875. Re: Radio Shack Employee Portal (Policy and Benefits Handbooks)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 22:51:53 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <3l6dnZZcxPgUnYbYnZ2dnUVZ_o-dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 5754

"BuddahMOO" <heatherpanek@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1157777734.519060.132270@p79g2000cwp.googlegroups.com...
> I'm a Radio Shack employee
[clipped]
>
> Specifically, I am trying to access the employee policy and employee
> benefits handbooks, as I have never had these provided to me.
>
> Thanks in advance for your help.
>

Have you tried requesting them from your Human Resources Department?
They're required by law to provide them to all employees.

Bill Crocker

4876. Re: If KB9RQZ business is internet related.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 22:52:50 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159397570.658803.111440@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5755
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> > On Tue, 26 Sep 2006 23:15:43 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >

> > it is great job <lies>
>
> You don't have a job, Marqueer.sure I do and right now I am on the clock show na to va
woger

4877. show na to va woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 22:56:12 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159397772.205336.188990@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5757
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Mark Morgan wrote:
> ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
show na to va woger

4878. Re: show-na-to-va

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 23:18:21 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159399101.553889.236760@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5758
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.usenet.kooks

slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com wrote:
>
show na to va woger

4879. Re: MD Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 23:39:34 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <6t2mh25i29kde5irbh6ulrei40q982mbje@4ax.com>
Source record: 5759

On Wed, 27 Sep 2006 14:03:38 -0400, "J.E.W."
<rwilley30592@comcast.net> wrote:

>I'm looking for a sight that has up to date frequencies , and talk-group
>listing for "DELMARVA"

<http://www.radioreference.com>

4880. Re: Pro-2096 APCO25 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 23:41:16 UTC
From: "Thanks....." <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <w_DSg.3408$Kw1.677@trnddc05>
Source record: 5760
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ok, thanks for the heads up I appreciate it.
I've been using scanners for a long time, and it's getting tough to keep up
with all the new models and their functions.
I currently have a BC9000XLT,BC780XLT,BC796D,Pro-96, and everyone is
different.
I still like my 9000 for milcoms.............

Thanks again.
"Richard Carlson, N9JIG" <usenet@usenet.net> wrote in message
news:usenet-99AEB9.21411026092006@comcast.dca.giganews.com...
> In article <kdaSg.2981$Kw1.2923@trnddc05>,
> "Thanks......." <pottsladd13@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>> Thanks for all the helpful information.
>> One more question, I currently have the Pro-96 and recently purchased a
>> used
>> BC796D, will the 796 do 700mhz trunking?
>>
>
>
> No, sorry, but it won't. The only scanners that will track 700 MHz.
> channels right now are the Uniden BCD396T and BCD996T.

4881. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-27 23:44:47 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <P1ESg.7110$UG4.6543@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5761
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Tom" <tom@nospam.com> wrote in
news:gtidne6NO5opTIfYnZ2dnUVZ_qGdnZ2d@insightbb.com:

> I am interested in the MFJ 464 and was wondering what you all thought
> of
> it. I've been wanting to get back into CW this winter and thought this
> might help. Is there any other brand reader out there that is any good?
> Thanks for your help.

The Human ear.

It doesn't need batteries, can provide reliable copy when band conditions
are bad, or the sender can't send well. All you need is a pencil and
paper for hard copy.

It takes a little work to get good at the Ear method, but no one has ever
has any problems learning it unless they're sick, lame, or Lazy.

SC

4882. Re: Pro-2096 APCO25 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 00:41:24 UTC
From: "Richard Carlson, N9JIG" <usenet@usenet.net>
Message-ID: <usenet-5BD41D.19412427092006@comcast.dca.giganews.com>
Source record: 5762
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <w_DSg.3408$Kw1.677@trnddc05>,
"Thanks......." <pottsladd13@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Ok, thanks for the heads up I appreciate it.
> I've been using scanners for a long time, and it's getting tough to keep up
> with all the new models and their functions.
> I currently have a BC9000XLT,BC780XLT,BC796D,Pro-96, and everyone is
> different.
> I still like my 9000 for milcoms.............
>

I know what you mean with trying to remember different scanners... At
home I have a 996, 3 780's 2 BC15's a BC796 and a 2096. You know how
many times I tried to enter freqs on the 2096 from the Manual mode
instead of hitting the Program button?????

4883. ID Tracker II Beta Update Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 00:44:18 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <pVESg.16218$GY5.8069@bignews6.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 5763
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

New features in this version (0.4.0):

- Modified code to display all 100 Quick Key systems (0-99) if used.
- Add capability to read ID tag from the scanner if the ID is not currently identified in ID Tracker II.

See link in signature for web page.

I have not gotten much feedback on ID Tracker II so either things are going extremely well or I am just not being told if there are problems occurring. I would like to hear from anyone experiencing problems with the program.

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
http://home.bellsouth.net/p/PWP-k5dmh

ID Tracker
http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
For replies, use my callsign @bellsouth.net.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

4884. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 01:14:03 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <vlFSg.5342$TV3.4025@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 5764
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> It doesn't need batteries, can provide reliable copy when band conditions
> are bad, or the sender can't send well. All you need is a pencil and
> paper for hard copy.

All your ear needs to decode RF is a pencil and paper?????
I certainly would like to see a demonstration. I could
sell my IC-756PRO and just use pencil and paper.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

4885. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 01:16:15 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1159406175.745346.79260@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5765
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "Tom" <tom@nospam.com> wrote in
> news:gtidne6NO5opTIfYnZ2dnUVZ_qGdnZ2d@insightbb.com:
>
> > I am interested in the MFJ 464 and was wondering what you all thought
> > of
> > it. I've been wanting to get back into CW this winter and thought this
> > might help. Is there any other brand reader out there that is any good?
> > Thanks for your help.
>
> The Human ear.
>
> It doesn't need batteries, can provide reliable copy when band conditions
> are bad, or the sender can't send well. All you need is a pencil and
> paper for hard copy.
>
> It takes a little work to get good at the Ear method, but no one has ever
> has any problems learning it unless they're sick, lame, or Lazy.
>
> SC

The Army was full of cooks and mp's who washed out of ditty-bopper
school.

4886. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 01:43:33 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <1q9mh2dk2avd32fttemfvpbfl885kvv9s5@4ax.com>
Source record: 5766
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 27 Sep 2006 04:44:59 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> spake thusly:

>
>Opus- wrote:
>> On 26 Sep 2006 05:53:24 -0700, billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
>> spake thusly:
>>
>> >
>> >an_old_friendless child raper wrote:
>> >> Opus- wrote:
>> >> > On Mon, 25 Sep 2006 23:59:05 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>> >> > thusly:
>> >> >
>> >> > >"an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> >> > >news:1159124520.451162.214350@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>> >> > >
>> >>
>> >> > >How's your code practice coming along Markie? It's easy and fun. You have
>> >> > >to learn it if you want to be a real ham.
>> >> >
>> >> > That's like saying you have to learn bloodletting to be a real doctor.
>> >
>> >Nope, it's just another language.
>> >But, you have trouble with English, so it is to be expected.
>>
>> You don't get it, do you? But if you insist, many many years ago you
>> HAD to learn Latin to attend university. Then, a few people bucked the
>> trend and started removing the requirement to know Latin. Oh sure, the
>> old guard resisted. Many professors refused to work without Latin as a
>> requirement, ostensibly because they felt that the Latin requirement
>> would demonstrate serious commitment to learning. But the world
>> progressed and now conversational Latin is no longer required, save
>> for a few medical and legal references.
>
>Hey state the obvious, like it's not already known, dumbass. Morse code
>is a language as well, Penquin boy. It is still used.

And Klingon is a language created by Mark Okerund and it is commonly
used at Star Trek conventions. Your point?

>> Now, would you tell your doctor that he is not a real doctor if he
>> can't carry on a conversation in Latin? He just might be able to, but
>> odds are he will only know what is necessary to do his job

>> effectively.
>
>Funny, Prescription directions are made in latin.....but you use
>any excuse for your laziness, don't you?

No shit Sherlock. If you actually READ you would see that I said so
too.

And if you actually paid attention you would already know that I am in
Canada and WE require 80% on the technical exam to get a no-code
license, something I fully agree with. Nothing lazy there, but you
seem too lazy to figure that out.

>> >> indeed but I have no intention of wastingmy time on code I wasted years
>> >> on it in my teen trying for a novice
>> >
>> >BWHAHAHAHA Markie the lazy bastard can't even pass 5 wpm code!
>> >BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!
>>
>> Your really, REALLY don't get it, do you?
>
>Neither do you, dumbass, Latin terms are used in Medicine and in the
>Legal profession all the time.

Uh...I said CONVERSATIONAL Latin. I made that point clear AND I
acknowledged Latin's use in medicine and law. Try to keep up.

Get back to me when you can cobble together a coherent argument.

4887. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 01:44:37 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <c6amh2dkdjqaupj1ega54j7uegmlt60g4m@4ax.com>
Source record: 5767
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 27 Sep 2006 04:47:23 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> spake thusly:

>
>MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>> good story I may well lift it fro some future occasion
>
>
> You mean you want to plagiarize what Penquin boy plagiarized!

He has my blessing. And, what EXACTLY did I plagiarize?

>> no he doesn't he is a sad old failure afraid to even try anymore
>
>But he could at least pass the CW test and get a higher license without
>having to wait until they lower the standards like you and Penquin boy
>weant to do, loser.

A meaningless standard.

4888. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 01:46:40 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <9aamh21rkqhvanqgdtvjus1f2m6jv25k53@4ax.com>
Source record: 5768
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 27 Sep 2006 23:44:47 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>"Tom" <tom@nospam.com> wrote in
>news:gtidne6NO5opTIfYnZ2dnUVZ_qGdnZ2d@insightbb.com:
>
>> I am interested in the MFJ 464 and was wondering what you all thought
>> of
>> it. I've been wanting to get back into CW this winter and thought this
>> might help. Is there any other brand reader out there that is any good?
>> Thanks for your help.
>
>
>The Human ear.
>
>It doesn't need batteries, can provide reliable copy when band conditions
>are bad, or the sender can't send well. All you need is a pencil and
>paper for hard copy.
>
>It takes a little work to get good at the Ear method, but no one has ever
>has any problems learning it unless they're sick, lame, or Lazy.

You also have to believe that a bunch of beeps on the speaker is real
exciting.
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

4889. FS Pro-2006 with OS456 full and my copy of Probe v7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 06:03:33 UTC
From: great river <loopcat@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159423413.803211.223730@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5769

Ok here it is, after NUMEROUS scanners/receivers owned and many hours of listening, I have come to a conclusion. The Pro-2006 with the OC456 full and the Probe v7 software is the BEST PC OPERATED SCANNER EVER MADE!! What a spooky combo this is! Lighting fast scanning,DTMF tone decoder, sensitive and selective, discriminator tap. But wait it gets better, PROBE v7!! If you haven't witnessed the POWER of probe, do yourself a favor and check out the reviews. WOW! The hype IS justified. No scanning software comes close! Ok, analog scanners are out right? WRONG! With a discriminator tap you can decode TONS of digital sigs. There are LOTS of FREEWARE programs out there! Now, lets move on to the condition of the equipment. On a scale from 1 to 10, I give it a 9.5! EVERYTHING WORKS on this baby! Here is what you get: PRO2006, ANTENNA, MANUAL,PROBE V7, SERIAL CABLE!! This is a KILLER scanner!! Check out the info on this rig! http://userweb.suscom.net/~pro-2006/

Here is the link to ebay

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&ih=020&item=300032535626&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=

4890. FS: Pro-2006 with OS456 full and my copy of Probe v7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 06:06:33 UTC
From: great river <loopcat@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159423593.254055.18320@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5770

Ok here it is, after NUMEROUS scanners/receivers owned and many hours of listening, I have come to a conclusion. The Pro-2006 with the OC456 full and the Probe v7 software is the BEST PC OPERATED SCANNER EVER MADE!! What a spooky combo this is! Lighting fast scanning,DTMF tone decoder, sensitive and selective, discriminator tap. But wait it gets better, PROBE v7!! If you haven't witnessed the POWER of probe, do yourself a favor and check out the reviews. WOW! The hype IS justified. No scanning software comes close! Ok, analog scanners are out right? WRONG! With a discriminator tap you can decode TONS of digital sigs. There are LOTS of FREEWARE programs out there! Now, lets move on to the condition of the equipment. On a scale from 1 to 10, I give it a 9.5! EVERYTHING WORKS on this baby! Here is what you get: PRO2006, ANTENNA, MANUAL,PROBE V7, SERIAL CABLE!! This is a KILLER scanner!! Check out the info on this rig! http://userweb.suscom.net/~pro-2006/

Here is the link to ebay

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&ih=020&item=300032535626&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=

4891. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 06:57:11 UTC
From: Indy Ham <nospam@privatenospam.com>
Message-ID: <bch9c.b5e.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 5771
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Repeaters are shit now. They have so many beeps and boops... tell you the time, temperature, your signal, DVR recordings.. hell, I hear some even tell you where Woger is hiding at any given moment based on the anal implant provided by the aliens!

<captain_veritas@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:1158723322.489593.69020@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> Slow Code wrote:
>> Think Back, Are todays conversations on 2 meters as technical as they
>> were 25 years ago
>
> Absolutely not. Back in the 70s we would discuss antennas, circuitry,
> the pros and cons of certain radios, cars, boats, guns, and such.
> Occasionally we would discuss more esoteric things like philosophy and
> history (conspiracy theories were always a favorite). We kept away
> from politics and religion, mostly, except we would discuss them in
> general terms.
>
> Come to think of it, HF was the same. These days, it's hardly worth
> turning the radio on most of the time.
>
>
>> Allowing no-codes on VHF & UHF has made discussions less technical and
>> more like CB. Don't let your hatred of CW destroy our HF bands too.
>
> That might be an oversimplification but it's generally accurate. Like
> I said earlier, what we obtain too cheaply we do not value highly.
>
>
>> Amateur Radio, a National resource.
>
> Too many of us forget that if we weren't a resource we would be
> legislated out of existence.
>

4892. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 12:40:15 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <ukgnh25ts4sj94k4imgsm6a85shg69lba1@4ax.com>
Source record: 5772
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 01:46:40 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:

>You also have to believe that a bunch of beeps on the speaker is real
>exciting.

Or that a bunch of lit pixels on the screen is.

4893. i punce in aigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 15:44:55 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <kb9rqz@blumpkin.net>
Message-ID: <451be0f9$0$19689$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 5773
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
so
bringit on you loosers

show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW
rendered phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and
SC a few just decided to not to be as hateful

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4894. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 15:57:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <j6snh2lbnan4f1mdfuljk7amlpshhub8iq@4ax.com>
Source record: 5774
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 01:14:03 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Slow Code wrote:
>> It doesn't need batteries, can provide reliable copy when band conditions
>> are bad, or the sender can't send well. All you need is a pencil and
>> paper for hard copy.
>
>All your ear needs to decode RF is a pencil and paper?????
>I certainly would like to see a demonstration. I could
>sell my IC-756PRO and just use pencil and paper.

amazing the claims of the CW Advocates and they wonder having told
these lies why no one beleives their arguments
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4895. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 15:58:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <p8snh217vjv1187qgqg21ejhbg2mofarht@4ax.com>
Source record: 5775
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 08:40:15 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 01:46:40 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>>You also have to believe that a bunch of beeps on the speaker is real
>>exciting.
>
>Or that a bunch of lit pixels on the screen is.
back to lie some more AL no one (except pro coders) is suggesting we
need totest for digital modes
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4896. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 16:05:04 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159459504.068988.325360@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5776
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Opus- wrote:
> On 27 Sep 2006 04:47:23 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
>
> >
> >MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >> good story I may well lift it fro some future occasion
> >
> >
> > You mean you want to plagiarize what Penquin boy plagiarized!
>
> He has my blessing. And, what EXACTLY did I plagiarize?
woger is one the resident wackos like robeson who come and goes
>
> >> no he doesn't he is a sad old failure afraid to even try anymore
> >
> >But he could at least pass the CW test and get a higher license without
> >having to wait until they lower the standards like you and Penquin boy
> >weant to do, loser.
>
> A meaningless standard.
worse than meaning less it promates the sociopaths like Wismen and
Robeson Slow code ect leave behind the sane

4897. Markie fucks up again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 18:21:13 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159467672.955309.240110@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5777
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.usenet.kooks

an old freindless child raper wrote:
> slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> show na to va woger

Wrong guess, again, dumbfuck! BWHAHAHAHAHA!

4898. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 18:22:16 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159467736.769923.33790@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5778
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Indy Ham wrote:
> Repeaters are shit now. They have so many beeps and boops... tell you the
> time, temperature, your signal, DVR recordings.. hell, I hear some even tell
> you where Woger is hiding at any given moment based on the anal implant
> provided by the aliens!

Yes, he's hiding his penis in your mouth right now, according to the
satellite feed.

4899. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 18:43:39 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159469019.639999.154410@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5779
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Penquin boy Opus- whined:
> On 27 Sep 2006 04:44:59 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
>
> >
> >Opus- wrote:
> >> On 26 Sep 2006 05:53:24 -0700, billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
> >> spake thusly:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >an_old_friendless child raper wrote:
> >> >> Opus- wrote:
> >> >> > On Mon, 25 Sep 2006 23:59:05 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> >> >> > thusly:
> >> >> >
> >> >> > >"an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
> >> >> > >news:1159124520.451162.214350@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
> >> >> > >
> >> >>
> >> >> > >How's your code practice coming along Markie? It's easy and fun. You have
> >> >> > >to learn it if you want to be a real ham.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > That's like saying you have to learn bloodletting to be a real doctor.
> >> >
> >> >Nope, it's just another language.
> >> >But, you have trouble with English, so it is to be expected.
> >>
> >> You don't get it, do you? But if you insist, many many years ago you
> >> HAD to learn Latin to attend university. Then, a few people bucked the
> >> trend and started removing the requirement to know Latin. Oh sure, the
> >> old guard resisted. Many professors refused to work without Latin as a
> >> requirement, ostensibly because they felt that the Latin requirement
> >> would demonstrate serious commitment to learning. But the world
> >> progressed and now conversational Latin is no longer required, save
> >> for a few medical and legal references.
> >
> >Hey state the obvious, like it's not already known, dumbass. Morse code
> >is a language as well, Penquin boy. It is still used.
>
> And Klingon is a language created by Mark Okerund and it is commonly
> used at Star Trek conventions. Your point?

And Penquin boy Opus dresses up in his Spock ears when he posts.
It figures you'd be a Trekkie. BWHAHAHAHAHAHA Loser!

>
> >> Now, would you tell your doctor that he is not a real doctor if he
> >> can't carry on a conversation in Latin? He just might be able to, but
> >> odds are he will only know what is necessary to do his job
> >> effectively.
> >
> >Funny, Prescription directions are made in latin.....but you use
> >any excuse for your laziness, don't you?
>
> No shit Sherlock. If you actually READ you would see that I said so
> too.

Wrong. You are taking what I wrote and caliming it as your own.

> And if you actually paid attention you would already know that I am in
> Canada <bullshit>

Well, Canada is such a useless country, anyways.

> >> >> indeed but I have no intention of wastingmy time on code I wasted years
> >> >> on it in my teen trying for a novice
> >> >
> >> >BWHAHAHAHA Markie the lazy bastard can't even pass 5 wpm code!
> >> >BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!
> >>
> >> Your really, REALLY don't get it, do you?
> >
> >Neither do you, dumbass, Latin terms are used in Medicine and in the
> >Legal profession all the time.
>
> Uh...I said CONVERSATIONAL Latin. <bullshit alert!>

No you are backpedaling. You said "Latin" nothing about "conversational
Latin. You should really not try to lie your way out of your screw ups.
You aren't very good at it or anything else.

4900. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 18:45:13 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159469113.084230.165400@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5780
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Penquin boy Opus- backpedaled some more:
> On 27 Sep 2006 04:47:23 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
>
> >
> >MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >> good story I may well lift it fro some future occasion
> >
> >
> > You mean you want to plagiarize what Penquin boy plagiarized!
>
> He has my blessing. And, what EXACTLY did I plagiarize?

Learn to read, or don't they do that in Canada?

> >> no he doesn't he is a sad old failure afraid to even try anymore
> >
> >But he could at least pass the CW test and get a higher license without
> >having to wait until they lower the standards like you and Penquin boy
> >weant to do, loser.
>
> A meaningless standard.

Only to lazy subhumans like you who can't pass it.

4901. Re: SC: Been practicing code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 19:05:04 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159470304.804692.193540@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5781
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.military.cap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

MarkMoron kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 27 Sep 2006 04:36:55 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >an old friendless faggot pervert wrote:
> >> not claiming any skill at code care to decode that for me
> >
> >The only skill Markie has is swallowing huge amounts of semen!
> and of making you so afriad of me that you expose your stupidity

Nobody is afraid of you, stupid. You are about as dangerous as a tiny
baby.

4902. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 19:32:23 UTC
From: Pat Stevens <patstevens2@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <brVSg.48809$uH6.19769@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 5782
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

"Billy cumgobbler Smith" <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> wrote in
message news:1159469019.639999.154410@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
<snip>
>> >> progressed and now conversational Latin is no longer required, save
<snip>
>> >Neither do you, dumbass, Latin terms are used in Medicine and in the
>> >Legal profession all the time.
>>
>> Uh...I said CONVERSATIONAL Latin. <bullshit alert!>
>
> No you are backpedaling. You said "Latin" nothing about "conversational
> Latin. You should really not try to lie your way out of your screw ups.
> You aren't very good at it or anything else.
>
Did I miss something? In the original post, which is also in your posting,
he mentions conversational latin.

4903. Re: show-na-to-va

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 20:45:49 UTC
From: kb9rqz69@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1159476349.093523.171630@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5783
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.usenet.kooks

an old freind wrote:
> slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> show na to va woger

shamma lamma ding dong you filthy fruit!

4904. Re: SC: Been practicing code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 21:19:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <72foh25mji53s45qvm7g2mkunm47magr16@4ax.com>
Source record: 5784
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.military.cap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 28 Sep 2006 12:05:04 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>MarkMoron kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 27 Sep 2006 04:36:55 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
>> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >an old friendless faggot pervert wrote:
>> >> not claiming any skill at code care to decode that for me
>> >
>> >The only skill Markie has is swallowing huge amounts of semen!
>> and of making you so afriad of me that you expose your stupidity
>
>Nobody is afraid of you, stupid. You are about as dangerous as a tiny
>baby.
you certainly are afraid of me my very existance threatns you somehow
woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4905. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 21:23:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <c9foh2tvt35lg8iev1vif2klo5pd72bddv@4ax.com>
Source record: 5785
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 28 Sep 2006 11:22:16 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Indy Ham wrote:
>> Repeaters are shit now. They have so many beeps and boops... tell you the
>> time, temperature, your signal, DVR recordings.. hell, I hear some even tell
>> you where Woger is hiding at any given moment based on the anal implant
>> provided by the aliens!
>
>Yes, he's hiding his penis in your mouth right now, according to the
>satellite feed.
get some therapy woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4906. Re: show-na-to-va

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 21:27:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <nhfoh29cg8t4t9fv46k8rso3v91oe1b6n7@4ax.com>
Source record: 5786
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.usenet.kooks

On 27 Sep 2006 16:18:21 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>show na to va woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4907. Re: woger fucks up again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 21:34:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <fvfoh2ph7nm9seda6u07devp3vtd2krg9i@4ax.com>
Source record: 5787
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 28 Sep 2006 11:21:13 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed mr turd
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4908. my dad an me pics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 21:42:23 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <kb9rqz@blumpkin.net>
Message-ID: <451c34c1$0$19732$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 5788
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

dad
http://home.mchsi.com/~north1959/professorblumpkin.jpg

and now me.....
http://i10.tinypic.com/29ygohw.jpg

we morgan are hamson mens

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4909. Wabbit fucks up again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 22:11:54 UTC
From: The God of Odd Statements <godofodd@statements.likeyours>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.09.28.22.11.51.985855@statements.likeyours>
Source record: 5789
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.usenet.kooks

On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 11:21:13 -0700, Wabbit Not Lloyd Davies did most oddly
state:
> an old freindless child raper wrote:
>> slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >
>> show na to va woger
>
> Wrong guess, again, dumbfuck! BWHAHAHAHAHA!

The foam duck in question is the one who thinks anyone is _bothering_ to
"guess" who you are. You adopted Woger Wiseman's style, and that's enough
to get you the nickname of "Wabbit". Woger was never a flamer, but he
thought he was, just like you.

--
____
Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5
Demon Lord of Confusion
COOSN-029-06-71069
Supreme High Overlord of rec.radio.*
Chuck Lysaght: Tarred & Feathered!
"It would be offly hard for any of you to abuse me on usenet. Really. I
have the advantage. I could easily turn alt.usenet.kooks into a cesspool
of encoded posts. Bringing the noise ratio up so high as to make the
group worthless. Anybody who can code could do this, why nobody has
bothered before now is beyond me. The ultimate spamming engine..
'BAWAHAHA'" -- Dustbin "Outer Filth" K00k's delusions of grandeur
reached new heights, in Message-ID:
<Xns98355D29419B9HHI2948AJD832@69.28.186.121>
"Immorality: The morality of those who are having a better time." -- H.
L. Mencken

4910. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 22:55:08 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159484108.782249.94540@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5790
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

an old freindless faggot wrote:
> woger is one the resident wackos like robeson who come and goes

While Markie the pervert who likes beer enemas stays and overstays his
welcome.

> worse than meaning less it promates the sociopaths like Wismen and
> Robeson Slow code ect leave behind the sane

And losers like Marqueer make excuses for not being able to learn CW.

4911. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 23:21:48 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159485708.237465.89780@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5791
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Pat Stevens wrote:
>
> Did I miss something? <bullshit>

You missed a lot. I bet you even look like the SNL character "Pat".

4912. Re: asked and answered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 23:22:20 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <MOYSg.3984$o71.1841@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5792
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:dldjh2laaq84mk3f32ast1pjemmb57h0d8@4ax.com:

> On Tue, 26 Sep 2006 23:15:46 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>
>>They just tease you because of all the stupid things you say when you
>>follow up to them. Just ignore them and they'll stop bothering you.
> funny that did not work when I posted nothing for several years

It most certainly did work. You weren't here for Roger & LLoyd to
pump you. The was more radio discussions and not the gay retard
threads you enjoy.

SC

4913. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 23:22:23 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <POYSg.3986$o71.1449@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5793
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
news:9aamh21rkqhvanqgdtvjus1f2m6jv25k53@4ax.com:

> On Wed, 27 Sep 2006 23:44:47 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> thusly:
>
>>"Tom" <tom@nospam.com> wrote in
>>news:gtidne6NO5opTIfYnZ2dnUVZ_qGdnZ2d@insightbb.com:
>>
>>> I am interested in the MFJ 464 and was wondering what you all thought
>>> of
>>> it. I've been wanting to get back into CW this winter and thought
>>> this might help. Is there any other brand reader out there that is
>>> any good? Thanks for your help.
>>
>>
>>The Human ear.
>>
>>It doesn't need batteries, can provide reliable copy when band
>>conditions are bad, or the sender can't send well. All you need is a
>>pencil and paper for hard copy.
>>
>>It takes a little work to get good at the Ear method, but no one has
>>ever has any problems learning it unless they're sick, lame, or Lazy.
>
> You also have to believe that a bunch of beeps on the speaker is real
> exciting.

That's why I said if you're sick, lame, and Lazy, then you can't or don't
want to learn it. Heard any DX on your CB lately?

SC

4914. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 23:22:24 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <QOYSg.3987$o71.2977@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5794
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote in news:p8snh217vjv1187qgqg21ejhbg2mofarht@4ax.com:

> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 08:40:15 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
> wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 01:46:40 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>
>>>You also have to believe that a bunch of beeps on the speaker is real
>>>exciting.
>>
>>Or that a bunch of lit pixels on the screen is.
> back to lie some more AL no one (except pro coders) is suggesting we
> need totest for digital modes
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

Mark,
If ever there was proof of the dangers of dumbing down ham radio, you're
it.

SC

4915. Re: I hollered over to Todd. I said, "Don't look Todd!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 23:22:37 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <1PYSg.3996$o71.3980@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5795
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:2sdjh2ds65kmp49cm2tq1uhgae59emat97@4ax.com:

> On Tue, 26 Sep 2006 23:15:41 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>news:1159236543.059490.284050@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>>
>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>> But it was too late, Todd had already been NAL'd.
>>>>
>>>> ROFL
>>>
>>> you have yet to prove that i've got a NAL looser.
>>>
>>> TODD N9OGL
>>> OMEGA ONE RADIO
>>> 13.556.00 LSB
>>
>>
>>It's coming, Bwhaaaahaahaaa, it's coming. Then RRAP is going to have an
>>Omega one NAL party and you'll hear everyone laughing at you.

> if Todd ever gets an NAL maybe you will have a party but he isn't
> likely to

Have you heard the indecency on Omega One lately. Todds NAL is on the
way, and when Todd is gone, the only friends you'll have left on the
internet are AB8MQ, N0VFP and K4YZ. With friend like yours, who needs
enemies?

SC

4916. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 23:22:38 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <2PYSg.3997$o71.3248@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5796
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1159465692.713092.168660@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> So...has anyone ever saved a life or lives using "CW" on
> the ham bands?
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Well it certainly isn't going to happen if they don't know CW.

SC

4917. Re: SC: Been practicing code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 23:23:21 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <JPYSg.4009$o71.837@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5797
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:MPG.1f839935fa9c8f97989a2e@news-server.carolina.rr.com:

> In article <CwiSg.6715$UG4.5839@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> my.mail@no.spam says...
>> .
>> They just tease you because of all the stupid things you say when you
>> follow up to them. Just ignore them and they'll stop bothering you.
>>
>>
>> How your code practice coming along?
>>
>> SC
>>
>
> .-- --- ..- .-.. -.. / -.-- --- ..- / .--. .-.. . .- ... . / -.-. ....
> .- -. --. . / - .... . / ... ..- -... .--- . -.-. - null / .-- . / .-
> .-. . / - .. .-. . -.. / --- ..-. / - .- .-.. -.- .. -. --. / .- -...
> --- ..- - / -.-. --- -.. . null

So what do you like to talk about then? Roger's Ass?

I like to talk about saving ham radio. How's your code practice coming
along?

SC

4918. Why don't KB9RQZ, K4YZ, AB8MQ, N0VFP, and N9OGL ever want to talk about radio, only gay stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-28 23:24:04 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <oQYSg.4013$o71.3071@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5798
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Because of you, People that come by the radio groups think we're all a bunch of potty mouth retards.

Thanks a lot assholes.

SC

4919. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 01:31:04 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <fhtoh2lr7kqpps37m8jggcqsukiol7rsaq@4ax.com>
Source record: 5799
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

```
On 28 Sep 2006 11:43:39 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
<billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> spake thusly:

>
>Penquin boy Opus- whined:
>> On 27 Sep 2006 04:44:59 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
>> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
>>
>> >
>> >Opus- wrote:
>> >> On 26 Sep 2006 05:53:24 -0700, billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
>> >> spake thusly:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >an_old_friendless child raper wrote:
>> >> >> Opus- wrote:
>> >> >> > On Mon, 25 Sep 2006 23:59:05 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>> >> >> > thusly:
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >"an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> >> >> >news:1159124520.451162.214350@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>> >> >> > >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >How's your code practice coming along Markie? It's easy and fun. You have
>> >> >> >to learn it if you want to be a real ham.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > That's like saying you have to learn bloodletting to be a real doctor.
>> >> >
>> >> >Nope, it's just another language.
>> >> >But, you have trouble with English, so it is to be expected.
>> >>
>> >> You don't get it, do you? But if you insist, many many years ago you
>> >> HAD to learn Latin to attend university. Then, a few people bucked the
>> >> trend and started removing the requirement to know Latin. Oh sure, the
>> >> old guard resisted. Many professors refused to work without Latin as a
>> >> requirement, ostensibly because they felt that the Latin requirement
>> >> would demonstrate serious commitment to learning. But the world
>> >> progressed and now conversational Latin is no longer required, save
>> >> for a few medical and legal references.
>> >
>> >Hey state the obvious, like it's not already known, dumbass. Morse code
>> >is a language as well, Penquin boy. It is still used.
>>
```

>> And Klingon is a language created by Mark Okerund and it is commonly
>> used at Star Trek conventions. Your point?
>
>And Penquin boy Opus dresses up in his Spock ears when he posts.
>It figures you'd be a Trekkie. BWHAHAHAHAHAHA Loser!

Never been to a convention. Do you have a point? Obviously not.

>> >> Now, would you tell your doctor that he is not a real doctor if he
>> >> can't carry on a conversation in Latin? He just might be able to, but
>> >> odds are he will only know what is necessary to do his job
>> >> effectively.
>> >
>> >Funny, Prescription directions are made in latin.....but you use
>> >any excuse for your laziness, don't you?
>>
>> No shit Sherlock. If you actually READ you would see that I said so
>> too.
>
>Wrong. You are taking what I wrote and caliming it as your own.

I DARE you to read my original post. I DARE YOU!!! Prove me wrong or
you'll be a liar by default..which you are anyway.

You have still made no pertinent point.

>> And if you actually paid attention you would already know that I am in
>> Canada <bullshit>
>
>Well, Canada is such a useless country, anyways.

Please try to make a REAL point.

>> >> >> indeed but I have no intention of wastingmy time on code I wasted years
>> >> >> on it in my teen trying for a novice
>> >> >
>> >> >BWHAHAHAHA Markie the lazy bastard can't even pass 5 wpm code!
>> >> >BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!
>> >>
>> >> Your really, REALLY don't get it, do you?
>> >
>> >Neither do you, dumbass, Latin terms are used in Medicine and in the
>> >Legal profession all the time.
>>
>> Uh...I said CONVERSATIONAL Latin. <bullshit alert!>
>
>No you are backpedaling. You said "Latin" nothing about "conversational
>Latin. You should really not try to lie your way out of your screw ups.
>You aren't very good at it or anything else.

I DARE YOU TO PROVE IT!!!! Google me! Go ahead! Everybody else saw it.

Ad hominims only prove that you can't put together an argument.

4920. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 01:35:09 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <g0uoh2pj8ksja9b8q8fk83q4esojm9hk35@4ax.com>
Source record: 5800
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 28 Sep 2006 16:21:48 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
<billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> spake thusly:

>
>Pat Stevens wrote:
>>
>> Did I miss something? <bullshit>
>
>You missed a lot. I bet you even look like the SNL character "Pat".

Gawd you're stupid. Look up at the message header and click on my old
message. You can do it. I know you can.

4921. Re: SC: Been practicing code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 01:37:34 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <f4uoh2p6kcadicpmrl2bc2onk0b2ipm19a@4ax.com>
Source record: 5601
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 23:23:21 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:MPG.1f839935fa9c8f97989a2e@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>
>> In article <CwiSg.6715$UG4.5839@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>> my.mail@no.spam says...
>>> .
>>> They just tease you because of all the stupid things you say when you
>>> follow up to them. Just ignore them and they'll stop bothering you.
>>>
>>>
>>> How your code practice coming along?
>>>
>>> SC
>>>
>>
>> .-- --- ..- .-.. -.. / -.-- --- ..- / .--. .-.. . .- ... . / -.-. ....
>> .- -. --. . / - .... . / ... ..- -... .--- . -.-. - null / .-- . / .-
>> .-. . / - .. .-. . -.. / --- ..-. / - .- .-.. -.- .. -. --. / .- -...
>> --- ..- - / -.-. --- -.. . null
>
>
>
>So what do you like to talk about then? Roger's Ass?
>
>I like to talk about saving ham radio. How's your code practice coming
>along?

Ham radio needs to be saved from arrogant pricks like you. Leave it
already.

4922. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 01:42:45 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <3guoh21hh7sks84g2k0ibqg591ba7s26q2@4ax.com>
Source record: 5602
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 23:22:23 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>news:9aamh21rkqhvanqgdtvjus1f2m6jv25k53@4ax.com:
>
>> On Wed, 27 Sep 2006 23:44:47 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>> thusly:
>>
>>>"Tom" <tom@nospam.com> wrote in
>>>news:gtidne6NO5opTIfYnZ2dnUVZ_qGdnZ2d@insightbb.com:
>>>
>>>> I am interested in the MFJ 464 and was wondering what you all thought
>>>> of
>>>> it. I've been wanting to get back into CW this winter and thought
>>>> this might help. Is there any other brand reader out there that is
>>>> any good? Thanks for your help.
>>>
>>>
>>>The Human ear.
>>>
>>>It doesn't need batteries, can provide reliable copy when band
>>>conditions are bad, or the sender can't send well. All you need is a
>>>pencil and paper for hard copy.
>>>
>>>It takes a little work to get good at the Ear method, but no one has
>>>ever has any problems learning it unless they're sick, lame, or Lazy.
>>
>> You also have to believe that a bunch of beeps on the speaker is real
>> exciting.
>
>
>That's why I said if you're sick, lame, and Lazy, then you can't or don't
>want to learn it. Heard any DX on your CB lately?

Hear lots on my Grundig.
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

4923. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 01:47:55 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <rguoh2dag7fbvj2fgr3rls98tjp4r34ipq@4ax.com>
Source record: 5603
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 08:40:15 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
spake thusly:

>On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 01:46:40 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>>You also have to believe that a bunch of beeps on the speaker is real
>>exciting.
>
>Or that a bunch of lit pixels on the screen is.

Nice strawman. The pixels form a full complete picture. Beeps are just
beeps. One dimensional. That they form a recognizable pattern does not
make them more. Humans are highly visual creatures. I have listened to
code for years. Being able to make out a few letters does nothing for
me.

It's just plain dull.
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

4924. Re: If you had to use CW to save someone's life, would that person die?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 02:05:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <q4voh2hfu2v2hvcl9rekj4v52japl6l17d@4ax.com>
Source record: 5604
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 23:22:38 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>news:1159465692.713092.168660@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>> So...has anyone ever saved a life or lives using "CW" on
>> the ham bands?
>>
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>
>Well it certainly isn't going to happen if they don't know CW.
inablity to answer the question put noted Slow code
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4925. Re: I hollered over to Todd. I said, "Don't look Todd!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 02:05:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <k9voh25ss98pr4k8iljkc545tsljfp6se0@4ax.com>
Source record: 5605
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 23:22:37 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:2sdjh2ds65kmp49cm2tq1uhgae59emat97@4ax.com:
>

>> if Todd ever gets an NAL maybe you will have a party but he isn't
>> likely to
>
>
>Have you heard the indecency on Omega One lately.
I have never heard Omega One
>Todds NAL is on the
>way, and when Todd is gone, the only friends you'll have left on the
>internet are AB8MQ, N0VFP and K4YZ.
you are truly fucked in the head sc
> With friend like yours, who needs
>enemies?
>
>SC
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4926. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 02:05:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <pbvoh2l9q56ajaj1f7m35tqtis4o66a48s@4ax.com>
Source record: 5606
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 28 Sep 2006 15:55:08 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>an old freindless faggot wrote:
>> woger is one the resident wackos like robeson who come and goes
>
>While Markie the pervert who likes beer enemas stays and overstays his
>welcome.
funny how you what to be me
>
>> worse than meaning less it promates the sociopaths like Wismen and
>> Robeson Slow code ect leave behind the sane
>
>And losers like Marqueer make excuses for not being able to learn CW.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4927. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 02:05:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <4dvoh21e9hvt068gr4pfgjpnpf14ora1rc@4ax.com>
Source record: 5607
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 01:31:04 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:

>On 28 Sep 2006 11:43:39 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
><billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
>
>>
>>Penquin boy Opus- whined:
>>> On 27 Sep 2006 04:44:59 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
>>> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
>>>
>>> >
>>> >Opus- wrote:
>>> >> On 26 Sep 2006 05:53:24 -0700, billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
>>> >> spake thusly:
>>> >>
>>> >> >
>>> >> >an_old_friendless child raper wrote:
>>> >> >> Opus- wrote:
>>> >> >> > On Mon, 25 Sep 2006 23:59:05 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>> >> >> > thusly:
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >> > >"an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
>>> >> >> > >news:1159124520.451162.214350@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>>> >> >> > >
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> > >How's your code practice coming along Markie? It's easy and fun. You have
>>> >> >> > >to learn it if you want to be a real ham.
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >> > That's like saying you have to learn bloodletting to be a real doctor.
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >Nope, it's just another language.
>>> >> >But, you have trouble with English, so it is to be expected.
>>> >>
>>> >> You don't get it, do you? But if you insist, many many years ago you
>>> >> HAD to learn Latin to attend university. Then, a few people bucked the
>>> >> trend and started removing the requirement to know Latin. Oh sure, the
>>> >> old guard resisted. Many professors refused to work without Latin as a
>>> >> requirement, ostensibly because they felt that the Latin requirement
>>> >> would demonstrate serious commitment to learning. But the world
>>> >> progressed and now conversational Latin is no longer required, save
>>> >> for a few medical and legal references.
>>> >
>>> >Hey state the obvious, like it's not already known, dumbass. Morse code

>>> >is a language as well, Penquin boy. It is still used.
>>>
>>> And Klingon is a language created by Mark Okerund and it is commonly
>>> used at Star Trek conventions. Your point?
>>
>>And Penquin boy Opus dresses up in his Spock ears when he posts.
>>It figures you'd be a Trekkie. BWHAHAHAHAHAHA Loser!
>
>Never been to a convention. Do you have a point? Obviously not.
yes he does it is on the top of his head
>
>>> >> Now, would you tell your doctor that he is not a real doctor if he
>>> >> can't carry on a conversation in Latin? He just might be able to, but
>>> >> odds are he will only know what is necessary to do his job
>>> >> effectively.
>>> >
>>> >Funny, Prescription directions are made in latin.....but you use
>>> >any excuse for your laziness, don't you?
>>>
>>> No shit Sherlock. If you actually READ you would see that I said so
>>> too.
>>
>>Wrong. You are taking what I wrote and caliming it as your own.
>
>I DARE you to read my original post. I DARE YOU!!! Prove me wrong or
>you'll be a liar by default..which you are anyway.

lying is these folks stock in trade

while I will certain lie if it imporves my safety or that of other
people these lie more often than they breath
>
>You have still made no pertinent point.
>
>>> And if you actually paid attention you would already know that I am in
>>> Canada <bullshit>
>>
>>Well, Canada is such a useless country, anyways.
>
>Please try to make a REAL point.
>
>>> >> >> indeed but I have no intention of wastingmy time on code I wasted years
>>> >> >> on it in my teen trying for a novice
>>> >> >
>>> >> >BWHAHAHAHA Markie the lazy bastard can't even pass 5 wpm code!
>>> >> >BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!
>>> >>
>>> >> Your really, REALLY don't get it, do you?
>>> >
>>> >Neither do you, dumbass, Latin terms are used in Medicine and in the
>>> >Legal profession all the time.

>>>
>>> Uh...I said CONVERSATIONAL Latin. <bullshit alert!>
>>
>>No you are backpedaling. You said "Latin" nothing about "conversational
>>Latin. You should really not try to lie your way out of your screw ups.
>>You aren't very good at it or anything else.
>
>I DARE YOU TO PROVE IT!!!! Google me! Go ahead! Everybody else saw it.
>
>Ad hominims only prove that you can't put together an argument.
indeed
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4928. Re: asked and answered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 02:05:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <jivoh2l6b322oqs61h1fecg8sdobtrkplu@4ax.com>
Source record: 5608
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 23:22:20 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:dldjh2laaq84mk3f32ast1pjemmb57h0d8@4ax.com:
>
>> On Tue, 26 Sep 2006 23:15:46 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>They just tease you because of all the stupid things you say when you
>>>follow up to them. Just ignore them and they'll stop bothering you.
>> funny that did not work when I posted nothing for several years
>
>
>
>It most certainly did work.
nope they contiued to harrass in my email and snail mail

since i decided to fight out in public those hhave stopped
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4929. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 02:05:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <jmvoh2tivmefasn5euf59dejov0kgjpmt6@4ax.com>
Source record: 5609
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 23:22:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>Mark,
>If ever there was proof of the dangers of dumbing down ham radio, you're
>it.
nope you are looking at the glare on pc you are dumbing down of ham
radio you and all those that worship cw
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4930. Re: SC: Been practicing code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 02:05:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <9kvoh2p0bi78g1fnlkhnjja3ftrh4ibuf7@4ax.com>
Source record: 5610
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 23:23:21 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:MPG.1f839935fa9c8f97989a2e@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>
>> In article <CwiSg.6715$UG4.5839@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>> my.mail@no.spam says...
>>> .
>>> They just tease you because of all the stupid things you say when you
>>> follow up to them. Just ignore them and they'll stop bothering you.
>>>
>>>
>>> How your code practice coming along?
>>>
>>> SC
>>>
>>
>> .-- --- ..- .-.. -.. / -.-- --- ..- / .--. .-.. . .- ... . / -.-. ....
>> .- -. --. . / - .... . / ... ..- -... .--- . -.-. - null / .-- . / .-
>> .-. . / - .. .-. . -.. / --- ..-. / - .- .-.. -.- .. -. --. / .- -...
>> --- ..- - / -.-. --- -.. . null
>
>
>
>So what do you like to talk about then? Roger's Ass?
>
>I like to talk about saving ham radio.
you want to save Ham radio

turn in your license sc
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4931. Re: my dad an me pics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 02:08:16 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <vvvoh29l2n027v78ar1qbndhfmvvnl88ak@4ax.com>
Source record: 5611
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 17:42:23 -0400, "Mark Morgan"
<kb9rqz@blumpkin.net> wrote:
more forgery such frightened folks
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4932. Re: Why don't , K4YZ, AB8MQ, N0VFP, Slaw code ever want to talk about radio, only gay stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 02:12:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <v60ph2ljorourl4tepsbmr0q44ae8u4213@4ax.com>
Source record: 5612
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 23:24:04 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>Because of you, People that come by the radio groups think we're all a bunch
>of potty mouth retards.
becuase you are a potty mouthed retard
>
>Thanks a lot assholes.
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4933. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 03:53:59 UTC
From: "Sal M. Onella" <salmonella@food.poisoning.org>
Message-ID: <sN0Tg.213$gM1.156@fed1read12>
Source record: 5613
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:ukgnh25ts4sj94k4imgsm6a85shg69lba1@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 01:46:40 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
> >You also have to believe that a bunch of beeps on the speaker is real
> >exciting.
>
> Or that a bunch of lit pixels on the screen is.

What a shame that we all have to agree on how to be a ham. Oh, wait -- we
don't.

Effective right now, everything legal that anybody wants to do for their
personal enter-ham-tainment (don't try to look it up) is OK with me.

4934. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 04:02:39 UTC
From: Charlie <charlie@ease.biz>
Message-ID: <12hp6nn70riva5c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 5614
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Made Extra in 1995 and had to do 20wpm. I did it and have NEVER used CW
since and have no intention to ever do so..even if "someone is dying!"
IMHO it is an outdated requirement and will/should be dropped altogether
very very soon....

"Nada Tapu" <user@host.domain> wrote in message
news:s36ph29jo2t34kn6epmi9ejshlq8tspoj3@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 23:23:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>
>>Or just lazy people out?
>>
>>Sc
>
> It certainly didn't keep me out, and I wasn't all that crazy about
> learning it, either.
>
> NT
>

4935. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 04:16:31 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <gg7ph29kt679rl14kp2hvrm3fe408ifsi6@4ax.com>
Source record: 5615
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 20:53:59 -0700, "Sal M. Onella"
<salmonella@food.poisoning.org> spake thusly:

>
>"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>news:ukgnh25ts4sj94k4imgsm6a85shg69lba1@4ax.com...
>> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 01:46:40 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>
>> >You also have to believe that a bunch of beeps on the speaker is real
>> >exciting.
>>
>> Or that a bunch of lit pixels on the screen is.
>
>What a shame that we all have to agree on how to be a ham. Oh, wait -- we
>don't.
>
>Effective right now, everything legal that anybody wants to do for their
>personal enter-ham-tainment (don't try to look it up) is OK with me.

Works for me.
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

4936. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 04:17:23 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159503443.939029.22510@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5616
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Sal M. Onella wrote:
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:ukgnh25ts4sj94k4imgsm6a85shg69lba1@4ax.com...
> > On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 01:46:40 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
> >
> > >You also have to believe that a bunch of beeps on the speaker is real
> > >exciting.
> >
> > Or that a bunch of lit pixels on the screen is.
>
> What a shame that we all have to agree on how to be a ham. Oh, wait -- we
> don't.

realy I thought we had to do cw to be hams
>
> Effective right now, everything legal that anybody wants to do for their
> personal enter-ham-tainment (don't try to look it up) is OK with me.

4937. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 04:36:59 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159504619.303649.264490@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

ab8mq wrote:
> ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
> so
get help woger

4938. Re: Why don't KB9RQZ, K4YZ, AB8MQ, N0VFP, and N9OGL ever want to talk about radio, only gay stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 04:54:19 UTC
From: "Pope Snarky Goodfella of the undulating cable, JM, CK, POEE, KOTHASK, DSOCPL, EOTHIDIAUTP, GGGHD, HCNB, CPFWJ, CPFG, MWFA, CEM" <popesnarky@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159505659.205094.264380@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5618
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.usenet.kooks

Hail Eris! Slow Code wrote:
> Because of you, People that come by the radio groups think we're all a bunch
> of potty mouth retards.
>
> Thanks a lot assholes.

Don't worry, you've had the rep for at least the past six years.
Nothing's changed of late, except for Wabbit trying to use AUK to bash
Mark (who won the GK because he was a fucking boring k00k, not because
AUK was catering to Woger/Woger's copycat). On that note:

4. What's this newsgroup (AUK) NOT for?

This group exists for recreational Kookology, not for Very Personal
^^
Vendetta. It is not to be used for general harassment of someone, or
for
^^^^^^
net abuse such as spamming and flooding and cancelbombing in an attempt
to gain vengeance on someone or get the denizens of AUK to shut up.
Either way, it won't work, it's very messy, "Bob" will give you triple
your money back, and you don't get a life.

Also, we [tinw] are not in the business of harassing the vulnerable or
the insane. Occasionally an apparent net.kook proves to be genuinely
ill. In such cases the person is disqualified from winning awards and
other posters are asked to avoid exacerbating his or her problems.
Similarly, trolling or kook-hunting in support groups is strongly
discouraged.

Snarky

4939. Re: SC: Been practicing code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 04:56:18 UTC
From: Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1f867181a81fbc8a989a30@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 5619
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In article <JPYSg.4009$o71.837@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:MPG.1f839935fa9c8f97989a2e@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>
> > In article <CwiSg.6715$UG4.5839@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> > my.mail@no.spam says...
> >> .
> >> They just tease you because of all the stupid things you say when you
> >> follow up to them. Just ignore them and they'll stop bothering you.
> >>
> >>
> >> How your code practice coming along?
> >>
> >> SC
> >>
> >
> > .-- --- ..- .-.. -.. / -.-- --- ..- / .--. .-.. . .- ... . / -.-. ....
> > .- -. --. . / - .... . / ... ..- -... .--- . -.-. - null / .-- . / .-
> > .-. . / - .. .-. . -.. / --- ..-. / - .- .-.. -.- .. -. --. / .- -...
> > --- ..- - / -.-. --- -.. . null
>
>
>
> So what do you like to talk about then?

I'd like to talk about building a 6 meter homebrew rig. You got any
info, expertise, or pointers for me in that band?

> Roger's Ass?

Don't know who Roger is and I could care less about talking about
anyones ass unless it is a hot chick.
>
> I like to talk about saving ham radio.

You like to talk about morse code. Last I checked Ham Radio was alive
and well.

> How's your code practice coming
> along?

Been playing with it a little but concentrating more on the theory right
now. I knew it well in Boy Scouts 25 years ago. Taking that test in 2
weeks.

Chris

>
> SC
>

4940. Re: Why don't KB9RQZ, K4YZ, AB8MQ, N0VFP, and N9OGL ever want to talk about radio, only gay stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 05:05:38 UTC
From: Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1f8673ad18ea8cb8989a31@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 5620
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

In article <oQYSg.4013$o71.3071@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
>
> Because of you, People that come by the radio groups think we're all a bunch
> of potty mouth retards.

I've never actaully heard you being accused of having a clean mouth SC
:-)

LOL

Chris

>
> Thanks a lot assholes.
>
> SC
>

4941. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 05:16:41 UTC
From: Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1f867645df7667b8989a34@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 5621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Code isn't hard. I was fleunt in code as a 12 year old in Boy Scouts
along with most of the troop. We sent code back and forth by
flashlight from tent to tent when we should have been asleep. When we
got older and didn't have to go to bed at 10 o'clock we just used words.

73

Chris

4942. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 11:39:27 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159529967.469072.104330@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5622
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Spock ear wearing Penquin boy Opus- whined:
> On 28 Sep 2006 11:43:39 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
> <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
>
> >
> >Penquin boy Opus- whined:
> >> On 27 Sep 2006 04:44:59 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> >> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >Opus- wrote:
> >> >> On 26 Sep 2006 05:53:24 -0700, billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
> >> >> spake thusly:
> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >an_old_friendless child raper wrote:
> >> >> >> Opus- wrote:
> >> >> >> > On Mon, 25 Sep 2006 23:59:05 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> >> >> >> > thusly:
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > >"an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
> >> >> >> > >news:1159124520.451162.214350@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
> >> >> >> > >
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> > >How's your code practice coming along Markie? It's easy and fun. You have
> >> >> >> > >to learn it if you want to be a real ham.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > That's like saying you have to learn bloodletting to be a real doctor.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Nope, it's just another language.
> >> >> >But, you have trouble with English, so it is to be expected.
> >> >>
> >> >> You don't get it, do you? But if you insist, many many years ago you
> >> >> HAD to learn Latin to attend university. Then, a few people bucked the
> >> >> trend and started removing the requirement to know Latin. Oh sure, the
> >> >> old guard resisted. Many professors refused to work without Latin as a
> >> >> requirement, ostensibly because they felt that the Latin requirement
> >> >> would demonstrate serious commitment to learning. But the world
> >> >> progressed and now conversational Latin is no longer required, save
> >> >> for a few medical and legal references.
> >> >
> >> >Hey state the obvious, like it's not already known, dumbass. Morse code
> >> >is a language as well, Penquin boy. It is still used.

> >>
> >> And Klingon is a language created by Mark Okerund and it is commonly
> >> used at Star Trek conventions. Your point?
> >
> >And Penquin boy Opus dresses up in his Spock ears when he posts.
> >It figures you'd be a Trekkie. BWHAHAHAHAHAHA Loser!
>
> Never been to a convention. Do you have a point? Obviously not.

Liar, you probably wear your homade Star Trek uniform and your Spock
ears as you post.
The only point is on top of your empty head, Trekkie.

>
> >> >> Now, would you tell your doctor that he is not a real doctor if he
> >> >> can't carry on a conversation in Latin? He just might be able to, but
> >> >> odds are he will only know what is necessary to do his job
> >> >> effectively.
> >> >
> >> >Funny, Prescription directions are made in latin.....but you use
> >> >any excuse for your laziness, don't you?
> >>
> >> No shit Sherlock. If you actually READ you would see that I said so
> >> too.
> >
> >Wrong. You are taking what I wrote and caliming it as your own.
>
> I DARE <much stamping of feet>

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA Having one of your fag fits, Penquin boy?

>
> >> And if you actually paid attention you would already know that I am in
> >> Canada <bullshit>
> >
> >Well, Canada is such a useless country, anyways.
>
> Please try to make a REAL point.

Translation: "We Canadians are too stupid to post an\ything but
whines."

>
> >> >> >> indeed but I have no intention of wastingmy time on code I wasted years
> >> >> >> on it in my teen trying for a novice
> >> >> >
> >> >> >BWHAHAHAHA Markie the lazy bastard can't even pass 5 wpm code!
> >> >> >BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!
> >> >>
> >> >> Your really, REALLY don't get it, do you?
> >> >
> >> >Neither do you, dumbass, Latin terms are used in Medicine and in the

> >> >Legal profession all the time.
> >>
> >> Uh...I said CONVERSATIONAL Latin. <bullshit alert!>
> >
> >No you are backpedaling. You said "Latin" nothing about "conversational
> >Latin. You should really not try to lie your way out of your screw ups.
> >You aren't very good at it or anything else.
>
> I DARE YOU TO PROVE IT!!!! <Bitchslap>

BWHAHAHAHA Posting in all caps mean you're getting arsed and being
bested, Kanuck.

4943. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 11:40:51 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159530050.966488.217130@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Penquin boy Opus- whined:
> On 28 Sep 2006 16:21:48 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
> <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
>
> >
> >Pat Stevens wrote:
> >>
> >> Did I miss something? <bullshit>
> >
> >You missed a lot. I bet you even look like the SNL character "Pat".
>
> Gawd you're stupid. <PKB and IKYABWAI>

PKB, Trekkie. The post was addressing "Pat" not you.

4944. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 11:42:54 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159530174.936193.65020@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5624
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 28 Sep 2006 15:55:08 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
> <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >While Markie the pervert who likes beer enemas stays and overstays his
> >welcome.
> funny how you what to be me

Funny how you whine about what you do yourself, hypocrite.

> >And losers like Marqueer make excuses for not being able to learn CW.

What, no excuse for being too lazy to learn CW?

--
Mark Morgan <konstans@geocities.com> admits he has never been
successful
learning morse or anything else in<34CFB2CE.7F36@geocities.com>:
"This assumes that you can learn Morse in the first place. I have
spent
years without sucess"

4945. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 11:43:58 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159530238.176622.131550@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5625
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> lying is these folks stock in trade

BWHAHAHAHA Says the self-addmitted liar.

4946. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 11:44:56 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159530296.130630.138680@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5626
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Papa Dog wrote:
> Code isn't hard. I was fleunt in code as a 12 year old in Boy Scouts
> along with most of the troop. We sent code back and forth by
> flashlight from tent to tent when we should have been asleep. When we
> got older and didn't have to go to bed at 10 o'clock we just used words.

And Chris loved it when the Scoutmaster payed his tent a visit late at
night, too!

4947. Re: Why don't Sniveling Cunt STFU?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 11:49:20 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159530560.089535.107510@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5627
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Sniveling Cunt wrote:
> Because of you, People that come by the radio groups think we're all a bunch
> of potty mouth retards.

You are!

> Thanks a lot assholes.

You sure do like assholes, don't you, faggot?
STFU, asshole.

4948. Re: Why don't KB9RQZ, K4YZ, AB8MQ, N0VFP, and N9OGL ever want to talk about radio, only gay stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 11:51:37 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159530697.330666.121200@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5628
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.usenet.kooks

Pope Shitty Goodblowerfella of the undulating cock, JM, CK, POEE,
KOTHASK, DSOCPL, EOTHIDIAUTP, GGGHD, HCNB, CPFWJ, CPFG, MWFA, CEM
wrote:
> Hail Eris! Slow Code wrote:
> > Because of you, People that come by the radio groups think we're all a bunch
> > of potty mouth retards.
> >
> > Thanks a lot assholes.
>
> Don't worry, you've had the rep for at least the past six years.

Neither has yours, FUCKHEAD.

> Nothing's changed of late, <IKYABWAI>

Try again, Pee Wee.
:
>
> 4. What's this newsgroup (AUK) NOT for?
>
> This group exists for recreational Kookology, not for Very Personal
> ^^
> Vendetta. It is not to be used for general harassment of someone, or
> for
> ^^^^^^
> net abuse such as spamming and flooding and cancelbombing in an attempt
> to gain vengeance on someone or get the denizens of AUK to shut up.
> Either way, it won't work, it's very messy, "Bob" will give you triple
> your money back, and you don't get a life.

Blow me.

> Also, we [tinw] are not in the business of harassing the vulnerable or
> the insane. Occasionally an apparent net.kook proves to be genuinely
> ill. In such cases the person is disqualified from winning awards and
> other posters are asked to avoid exacerbating his or her problems.
> Similarly, trolling or kook-hunting in support groups is strongly
> discouraged.
>
> Snarky

STFU, fuckhead.

4949. Re: SC: Been practicing code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 11:52:42 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159530762.636274.128030@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.military.cap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 28 Sep 2006 12:05:04 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >MarkMoron kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> On 27 Sep 2006 04:36:55 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> >> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >an old friendless faggot pervert wrote:
> >> >> not claiming any skill at code care to decode that for me
> >> >
> >> >The only skill Markie has is swallowing huge amounts of semen!
> >> and of making you so afriad of me that you expose your stupidity
> >>
> >Nobody is afraid of you, stupid. You are about as dangerous as a tiny
> >baby.
> you certainly are afraid of me my very existance threatns you somehow

Don't flatter yourself, faggot.

4950. Re: asked and answered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 11:54:04 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159530844.504288.136500@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 23:22:20 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:dldjh2laaq84mk3f32ast1pjemmb57h0d8@4ax.com:
> > >
> > >> On Tue, 26 Sep 2006 23:15:46 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>
> > >>>They just tease you because of all the stupid things you say when you
> > >>>follow up to them. Just ignore them and they'll stop bothering you.
> > >> funny that did not work when I posted nothing for several years
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >It most certainly did work.
> > nope they contiued to harrass in my email and snail mail

BWHAHAHAHAHA!

> since i decided to fight out in public those hhave stopped

Want to bet? BWHAHAHAHAHA!

4951. Re: SC: Been practicing code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 11:55:43 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159530943.320988.120280@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5631
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Papa Dog wrote:
> In article <JPYSg.4009$o71.837@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> my.mail@no.spam says...
> > Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > news:MPG.1f839935fa9c8f97989a2e@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
> >
> > > In article <CwiSg.6715$UG4.5839@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> > > my.mail@no.spam says...
> > >> .
> > >> They just tease you because of all the stupid things you say when you
> > >> follow up to them. Just ignore them and they'll stop bothering you.
> > >>
> > >>
> > >> How your code practice coming along?
> > >>
> > >> SC
> > >>
> > >
> > > .-- --- ..- .-.. -.. / -.-- --- ..- / .--. .-.. . .- ... . / -.-. ....
> > > .- -. --. . / - .... . / ... ..- -... .--- . -.-. - null / .-- . / .-
> > > .-. . / - .. .-. . -.. / --- ..-. / - .- .-.. -.- .. -. --. / .- -...
> > > --- ..- - / -.-. --- -.. . null
> >
> >
> >
> > So what do you like to talk about then?
>
> I'd like to talk about building a 6 meter homebrew rig. You got any
> info, expertise, or pointers for me in that band?
>
> > Roger's Ass?
>
> Don't know who Roger is and I could care less about talking about
> anyones ass unless it is a hot chick.

Liar, you are as queer as Marqueer!

> > I like to talk about saving ham radio.
>
> You like to talk about morse code. Last I checked Ham Radio was alive
> and well.

Stating the obvious.

> > How's your code practice coming
> > along?
>
> Been playing with it a little but concentrating more on the theory right
> now. I knew it well in Boy Scouts 25 years ago. Taking that test in 2
> weeks.

More like you were playing with yourself like when you were in the Boy
Scouts......

4952. Re: I hollered over to Todd. I said, "Don't look Todd!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 12:26:05 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159532765.012373.100900@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5632
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 23:22:37 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in news:2sdjh2ds65kmp49cm2tq1uhgae59emat97@4ax.com:
> > >
>
> >> if Todd ever gets an NAL maybe you will have a party but he isn't
> >> likely to
> > >
> > >
> >Have you heard the indecency on Omega One lately.
> I have never heard Omega One

No, you keep your head up your ass 24/7.

> >Todds NAL is on the
> >way, and when Todd is gone, the only friends you'll have left on the
> >internet are AB8MQ, N0VFP and K4YZ.
> you are truly fucked in the head sc

True, and Markie has no friends!

> > With friend like yours, who needs
> >enemies?

BWHAHAHAHA!

4953. Goddamned Blatherer of Obtuse Statements fucks up again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 12:28:23 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159532903.561541.280140@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.usenet.kooks

```
The Goddamned Blatherer of Obtuse Statements whined:
> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 11:21:13 -0700, (Fuckhead gets it wrong again!) did most oddly
> state:
> > an old freindless child raper wrote:
> >> slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >
> >> show na to va woger
> >>
> > Wrong guess, again, dumbfuck! BWHAHAHAHAHA!
>
> The foam duck in question <IKYABWAI>

Keep your bath-time toys out of public discussion, freak.
```

4954. Markie fucks up again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 12:29:22 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159532962.057342.312510@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5634
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> get

Get to dying of your AIDS.

4955. woger the wabbit hops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 14:03:38 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159538618.379274.209430@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5635
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>
woger the wabbit hops

4956. woger the wabbit hops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 14:04:23 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159538663.323095.10800@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5636
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>
woger the wabbit hops

4957. Re: Why don't KB9RQZ, K4YZ, AB8MQ, N0VFP, and N9OGL ever want to talk about radio, only gay stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 14:04:52 UTC
From: "Text Medium No. 5" <mhm29x21@meow.flonk>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.09.29.14.04.50.78405@hail.eris.flonk.meow.all.hail.discordia.meow.flonk.mockery.demon.not.really.leola>
Source record: 5637
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.music.classical.recordings,alt.baldspot

```
Hail Eris! On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 04:51:37 -0700, Wabbit Not Lloyd Davies
jabbered inanely:
> Pope Shitty Goodblowerfella of the undulating cock, JM, CK, POEE, KOTHASK,
> DSOCPL, EOTHIDIAUTP, GGGHD, HCNB, CPFWJ, CPFG, MWFA, CEM wrote:

Y'know, someone once made the connection you just did between "cable" and
genitalia, but without bothering with the explicit part. They're a k00k,
too (but would be Formosa'd, due to their bipolarity).

>> Hail Eris! Slow Code wrote:
>> > Because of you, People that come by the radio groups think we're all a
>> > bunch of potty mouth retards.
>> >
>> > Thanks a lot assholes.
>>
>> Don't worry, you've had the rep for at least the past six years.
>
> Neither has yours, FUCKHEAD.

K00ksign noted. Neither has my "what", Wabbit?

>> Nothing's changed of late, <IKYABWAI>
>
> Try again, Pee Wee.

You are a very silly man.

>> 4. What's this newsgroup (AUK) NOT for?
>>
>> This group exists for recreational Kookology, not for Very Personal
>> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^ Vendetta. It is not to be used
>> for general harassment of someone, or for
>> ^^^^^^
>> net abuse such as spamming and flooding and cancelbombing in an attempt
>> to gain vengeance on someone or get the denizens of AUK to shut up.
>> Either way, it won't work, it's very messy, "Bob" will give you triple
>> your money back, and you don't get a life.
>
> Blow me.

Well, see, that's why you're the one up for an award now, Woger. AUK
doesn't exist to serve your insane vendettas against Mark and others.
```

>> Also, we [tinw] are not in the business of harassing the vulnerable or
>> the insane. Occasionally an apparent net.kook proves to be genuinely
>> ill. In such cases the person is disqualified from winning awards and
>> other posters are asked to avoid exacerbating his or her problems.
>> Similarly, trolling or kook-hunting in support groups is strongly
>> discouraged.
>
> STFU, fuckhead.

Yeah, good luck with that.

--
Shon'ai COOSN-029-06-71069
"I was told there would be cookies."
Cross-Poasters For Goddess!
Remember: Straight people can't help it!

4958. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 14:07:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <54aqh2ls6sh1pgu7ipqlh565ajdim15r1o@4ax.com>
Source record: 5638
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 29 Sep 2006 04:42:54 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed mr turd
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4959. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 14:07:36 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <t4aqh2hsr49a219dvmpo089ui5e8h5g1gf@4ax.com>
Source record: 5639
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 29 Sep 2006 04:40:51 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flsuhed mr turd.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4960. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 14:07:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <e5aqh25nedh84aanl3fqi54qv1mt2mus0r@4ax.com>
Source record: 5640
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 29 Sep 2006 04:43:58 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed mr turd
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4961. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 14:08:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <u5aqh21ivnnv6r0dp3g0k5ggk1jq4r7qeo@4ax.com>
Source record: 5641
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 29 Sep 2006 04:39:27 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flsuhed mr turd
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4962. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 14:08:39 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <s6aqh2ti3vv3asepqju574e23v623t74vt@4ax.com>
Source record: 5642
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 29 Sep 2006 04:44:56 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Papa Dog wrote:
>> Code isn't hard. I was fleunt in code as a 12 year old in Boy Scouts
>> along with most of the troop. We sent code back and forth by
>> flashlight from tent to tent when we should have been asleep. When we
>> got older and didn't have to go to bed at 10 o'clock we just used words.
>
>And Chris loved it when the Scoutmaster payed his tent a visit late at
>night, too!
get help or get flushed mr turd
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4963. Re: asked and answered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 14:12:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <mdaqh2da490v02724qva21pfr6lo94ifu2@4ax.com>
Source record: 5644
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 29 Sep 2006 04:54:04 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed mr turd
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4964. Re: SC: Been practicing code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 14:12:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <keaqh2do06p0hr91iob9k1tlald9t2mgof@4ax.com>
Source record: 5643
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 29 Sep 2006 04:55:43 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flsuhed mr turd
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4965. Re: Why don't, K4YZ, AB8MQ, N0VFP, and ever want to talk about radio, only gay stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 14:15:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <fiaqh25iafbsibtr7f4oekfdgqsps203rd@4ax.com>
Source record: 5645
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.usenet.kooks

On 28 Sep 2006 21:54:19 -0700, "Pope Snarky Goodfella of the
undulating cable, JM, CK, POEE, KOTHASK, DSOCPL, EOTHIDIAUTP, GGGHD,
HCNB, CPFWJ, CPFG, MWFA, CEM" <popesnarky@gmail.com> wrote:

>Hail Eris! Slow Code wrote:
>> Because of you, People that come by the radio groups think we're all a bunch
>> of potty mouth retards.
>>
>> Thanks a lot assholes.
>
>Don't worry, you've had the rep for at least the past six years.
>Nothing's changed of late, except for Wabbit trying to use AUK to bash
>Mark (who won the GK because he was a fucking boring k00k, not because
>AUK was catering to Woger/Woger's copycat). On that note:
funny how are you lying
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4966. Re: SC: Been practicing code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 14:26:55 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <q7bqh2dksjov3rj11nh9aap3hnl5mgddq6@4ax.com>
Source record: 5646
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.military.cap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 29 Sep 2006 04:52:42 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> you certainly are afraid of me my very existance threatns you somehow
>
>Don't flatter yourself, faggot.
of course it does you have only invented what 8 seperate nym trying to
discredit me

you are terrified of me now get help or get flushed mr turd
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4967. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 15:18:30 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <huOdnbveasXYpIDYnZ2dnUVZ_qCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 5647
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Opus- wrote:
> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 08:40:15 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
> spake thusly:
>
>
>>On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 01:46:40 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>You also have to believe that a bunch of beeps on the speaker is real
>>>exciting.
>>
>>Or that a bunch of lit pixels on the screen is.
>
>
> Nice strawman. The pixels form a full complete picture. Beeps are just
> beeps. One dimensional. That they form a recognizable pattern does not
> make them more. Humans are highly visual creatures. I have listened to
> code for years. Being able to make out a few letters does nothing for
> me.
>
> It's just plain dull.

Then do what most people do with dull stuff. They blow it off!

Say good night, Gracie.

4968. Re: Why don't KB9RQZ, K4YZ, AB8MQ, N0VFP, and N9OGL ever want to talk about radio, only gay stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 15:42:10 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159544530.494390.6090@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5648
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> Because of you, People that come by the radio groups think we're all a bunch
> of potty mouth retards.
>
> Thanks a lot assholes.
>
> SC

I've never talked about gay stuff.....Oh, you mean that stupid fuck
who's pretending to be me....I guess not be on here in a while people
miss me so much that I have to come back....

BTW You ARE all fucking Scumbags!!! listen to my radio program and you
know how I REALLY feel about you fucking retards!!!!!

Todd N9OGL
OMEGA ONE RADIO
13.556.00 LSB

4969. Re: Why don't, K4YZ, AB8MQ, N0VFP, and ever want to talk about radio, only gay stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 20:23:17 UTC
From: "Text Medium No. 5" <mhm29x21@meow.flonk>
Message-ID:
<pan.2006.09.29.20.23.13.840733@hail.eris.flonk.meow.all.hail.discordia.meow.flonk.mockery.demon.not.really.leola>
Source record: 5649
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.usenet.kooks

```
Hail Eris! On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 10:15:02 -0400, Mark jabbered inanely:
> On 28 Sep 2006 21:54:19 -0700, "Pope Snarky Goodfella of the undulating
> cable, JM, CK, POEE, KOTHASK, DSOCPL, EOTHIDIAUTP, GGGHD, HCNB, CPFWJ,
> CPFG, MWFA, CEM" wrote:
>>Hail Eris! Slow Code wrote:
>>> Because of you, People that come by the radio groups think we're all a
>>> bunch of potty mouth retards.
>>>
>>> Thanks a lot assholes.
>>
>>Don't worry, you've had the rep for at least the past six years.
>>Nothing's changed of late, except for Wabbit trying to use AUK to bash
>>Mark (who won the GK because he was a fucking boring k00k, not because
>>AUK was catering to Woger/Woger's copycat). On that note:
>
> funny how are you lying

Your delusions of Wabbit's effectiveness as a torll aside, about what
might I be lying?

--
Shon'ai COOSN-029-06-71069
"I was told there would be cookies."
Cross-Poasters For Goddess!
Remember: Straight people can't help it!
```

4970. Re: Why don't, K4YZ, AB8MQ, N0VFP, and ever want to talk about radio, only gay stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 20:53:09 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <is1rh2dlo8aa34601u5ujjtvvf33ei8k3j@4ax.com>
Source record: 5650
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.usenet.kooks

On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:23:17 GMT, "Text Medium No. 5"
<mhm29x21@meow.flonk> wrote:

>Hail Eris! On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 10:15:02 -0400, Mark jabbered inanely:
>> On 28 Sep 2006 21:54:19 -0700, "Pope Snarky Goodfella of the undulating
>> cable, JM, CK, POEE, KOTHASK, DSOCPL, EOTHIDIAUTP, GGGHD, HCNB, CPFWJ,
>> CPFG, MWFA, CEM" wrote:
>>>Hail Eris! Slow Code wrote:
>>>> Because of you, People that come by the radio groups think we're all a
>>>> bunch of potty mouth retards.
>>>>
>>>> Thanks a lot assholes.
>>>
>>>Don't worry, you've had the rep for at least the past six years.
>>>Nothing's changed of late, except for Wabbit trying to use AUK to bash
>>>Mark (who won the GK because he was a fucking boring k00k, not because
>>>AUK was catering to Woger/Woger's copycat). On that note:
>>
>> funny how are you lying
>
>Your delusions of Wabbit's effectiveness as a torll aside, about what
>might I be lying?
no delusion your are in RRAP as a result of HIS troling therefore you
were are lying that simple
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4971. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 21:03:51 UTC
From: Indy Ham <nospam@privatenospam.com>
Message-ID: <bgn8u.a2p.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 5701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Woger needs his ass kicked is the problem. It almost happened at Dayton 3 or 4 years ago. Remember Woger? You ran and got the police. I remember....

4972. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 21:08:42 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159564122.114517.73440@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5702
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Indy Ham wrote:
> Woger needs his ass kicked is the problem. It almost happened at Dayton 3 or
> 4 years ago. Remember Woger? You ran and got the police. I remember....
woger has showed at a ham fest I am shocked but sadly what woger realy
needs is euthenizing

4973. Re: Why don't, K4YZ, AB8MQ, N0VFP, and ever want to talk about radio, only gay stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 23:31:14 UTC
From: "Text Medium No. 5" <mhm29x21@meow.flonk>
Message-ID:
<pan.2006.09.29.23.31.11.104183@hail.eris.flonk.meow.all.hail.discordia.meow.flonk.mockery.demon.not.really.leola>
Source record: 5703
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.usenet.kooks

```
Hail Eris! On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 16:53:09 -0400, Mark jabbered inanely:
> On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:23:17 GMT, "Text Medium No. 5" wrote:
>>Hail Eris! On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 10:15:02 -0400, Mark jabbered inanely:
>>> On 28 Sep 2006 21:54:19 -0700, "Pope Snarky Goodfella of the undulating
>>> cable, JM, CK, POEE, KOTHASK, DSOCPL, EOTHIDIAUTP, GGGHD, HCNB, CPFWJ,
>>> CPFG, MWFA, CEM" wrote:
>>>>Hail Eris! Slow Code wrote:
>>>>> Because of you, People that come by the radio groups think we're all
>>>>> a bunch of potty mouth retards.
>>>>>
>>>>> Thanks a lot assholes.
>>>>
>>>>Don't worry, you've had the rep for at least the past six years.
>>>>Nothing's changed of late, except for Wabbit trying to use AUK to bash
>>>>Mark (who won the GK because he was a fucking boring k00k, not because
>>>>AUK was catering to Woger/Woger's copycat). On that note:
>>>
>>> funny how are you lying
>>
>>Your delusions of Wabbit's effectiveness as a torll aside, about what
>>might I be lying?
>
> no delusion your are in RRAP as a result of HIS troling therefore you
> were are lying that simple

Not his trolling, his k00kery! Also, your inept attempts at net.KKKopping
were a major factor. But it was mainly the k00kery. Homophobia is kooky,
you see.

--
Shon'ai COOSN-029-06-71069
"I was told there would be cookies."
Cross-Poasters For Goddess!
Remember: Straight people can't help it!
```

4974. Re: Why don't, K4YZ, AB8MQ, N0VFP, and ever want to talk about radio, only gay stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 23:36:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <debrh255964hplq4mhomc5iquhqoqd0do3@4ax.com>
Source record: 5704
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.usenet.kooks

```
On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 23:31:14 GMT, "Text Medium No. 5"
<mhm29x21@meow.flonk> wrote:

>Hail Eris! On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 16:53:09 -0400, Mark jabbered inanely:
>> On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:23:17 GMT, "Text Medium No. 5" wrote:
>>>Hail Eris! On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 10:15:02 -0400, Mark jabbered inanely:
>>>> On 28 Sep 2006 21:54:19 -0700, "Pope Snarky Goodfella of the undulating
>>>> cable, JM, CK, POEE, KOTHASK, DSOCPL, EOTHIDIAUTP, GGGHD, HCNB, CPFWJ,
>>>> CPFG, MWFA, CEM" wrote:
>>>>>Hail Eris! Slow Code wrote:
>>>>>> Because of you, People that come by the radio groups think we're all
>>>>>> a bunch of potty mouth retards.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Thanks a lot assholes.
>>>>>
>>>>>Don't worry, you've had the rep for at least the past six years.
>>>>>Nothing's changed of late, except for Wabbit trying to use AUK to bash
>>>>>Mark (who won the GK because he was a fucking boring k00k, not because
>>>>>AUK was catering to Woger/Woger's copycat). On that note:
>>>>
>>>> funny how are you lying
>>>
>>>Your delusions of Wabbit's effectiveness as a torll aside, about what
>>>might I be lying?
>>
>> no delusion your are in RRAP as a result of HIS troling therefore you
>> were are lying that simple
>
>Not his trolling, his k00kery! A
go ahead tell yourself that sothing lie
'
you were used by the kook woger and so much for your ""threat"t to
kill file me as well
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

4975. Re: Why don't, K4YZ, AB8MQ, N0VFP, and ever want to talk about radio, only gay stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 23:57:09 UTC
From: "Text Medium No. 5" <mhm29x21@meow.flonk>
Message-ID:
<pan.2006.09.29.23.57.03.28706@hail.eris.flonk.meow.all.hail.discordia.meow.flonk.mockery.demon.not.really.leola>
Source record: 5705
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.usenet.kooks

Hail Eris! On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 19:36:26 -0400, Mark jabbered inanely:
> On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 23:31:14 GMT, "Text Medium No. 5" wrote:
>>Hail Eris! On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 16:53:09 -0400, Mark jabbered inanely:
>>> On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:23:17 GMT, "Text Medium No. 5" wrote:
>>>>Hail Eris! On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 10:15:02 -0400, Mark jabbered inanely:
>>>>> On 28 Sep 2006 21:54:19 -0700, "Pope Snarky Goodfella of the
>>>>> undulating cable, JM, CK, POEE, KOTHASK, DSOCPL, EOTHIDIAUTP, GGGHD,
>>>>> HCNB, CPFWJ, CPFG, MWFA, CEM" wrote:
>>>>>>Hail Eris! Slow Code wrote:
>>>>>>> Because of you, People that come by the radio groups think we're
>>>>>>> all a bunch of potty mouth retards.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Thanks a lot assholes.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Don't worry, you've had the rep for at least the past six years.
>>>>>>Nothing's changed of late, except for Wabbit trying to use AUK to
>>>>>>bash Mark (who won the GK because he was a fucking boring k00k, not
>>>>>>because AUK was catering to Woger/Woger's copycat). On that note:
>>>>>
>>>>> funny how are you lying
>>>>
>>>>Your delusions of Wabbit's effectiveness as a torll aside, about what
>>>>might I be lying?
>>>
>>> no delusion your are in RRAP as a result of HIS troling therefore you
>>> were are lying that simple
>>
>>Not his trolling, his k00kery! A
>
> go ahead tell yourself that sothing lie '
> you were used by the kook woger and so much for your ""threat"t to kill
> file me as well

I didn't say I was going to "killfile" you. In fact, I neither nominated
you nor seconded your nomination for the Golden Killfile -- I think I
voted for you, though that was a tough field (both Tholenator and
Warhol!) -- I told you you were nominated for an award that happens to
have the word "killfile" in it, meaning you are worthy to be ignored by
everyone, not that everyone has to killfile you. Remember, no one ever
forced you to x-poast to AUK. You did that all by yourself.

--
Shon'ai COOSN-029-06-71069
"I was told there would be cookies."
Cross-Poasters For Goddess!
Remember: Straight people can't help it!

4976. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-29 23:57:18 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <ogcrh2d0iernc0j7nokqv5q4imamngb756@4ax.com>
Source record: 5706
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 11:18:30 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:

[piggybacking]
>Opus- wrote:
>> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 08:40:15 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>> spake thusly:
>>>On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 01:46:40 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:

>>>>You also have to believe that a bunch of beeps on the speaker is real
>>>>exciting.

>>>Or that a bunch of lit pixels on the screen is.

>> Nice strawman. The pixels form a full complete picture. Beeps are just
>> beeps.

And speech is just noise if you don't understand it.

>> One dimensional. That they form a recognizable pattern does not
>> make them more. Humans are highly visual creatures.

Which is why it was said, for many centuries, I suppose, that it's
speech that separates us from the animals. (We're a lot less visually
oriented than a lot of other species.)

>>I have listened to
>> code for years. Being able to make out a few letters does nothing for
>> me.

I have listened to Turkish for years. Being able to make out a few
words does nothing for me.

But there are a lot of Turks who feel otherwise.

Your insularity is showing.

4977. Re: Why don't, K4YZ, AB8MQ, N0VFP, and ever want to talk about radio, only gay stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 00:03:25 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159574605.822277.36410@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5707
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.usenet.kooks

Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
> Hail Eris! On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 19:36:26 -0400, Mark jabbered inanely:
> > On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 23:31:14 GMT, "Text Medium No. 5" wrote:
> >>Hail Eris! On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 16:53:09 -0400, Mark jabbered inanely:

> > go ahead tell yourself that sothing lie '
> > you were used by the kook woger and so much for your ""threat"t to kill
> > file me as well
>
> I didn't say I was going to "killfile" you. In fact
anytime you want to tell truth about things do let me know
you were trolled in by the sort of fellow you afect to hate to be used
by him in his multi years campaign of harrasment and his personal
vendatta whcih went real world almost a decade ago

those are the facts you were used face it and move on

4978. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 00:04:31 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159574671.500622.93420@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5708
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 11:18:30 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> [piggybacking]
> >Opus- wrote:
> >> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 08:40:15 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
> >> spake thusly:
> >>>On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 01:46:40 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
> >>>>You also have to believe that a bunch of beeps on the speaker is real
> >>>>exciting.
>
> >>>Or that a bunch of lit pixels on the screen is.
>
> >> Nice strawman. The pixels form a full complete picture. Beeps are just
> >> beeps.
>
> And speech is just noise if you don't understand it.
your point?

4979. Re: asked and answered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 00:36:27 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <f_iTg.669$Lv3.135@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5709
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote in news:jivoh2l6b322oqs61h1fecg8sdobtrkplu@4ax.com:

> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 23:22:20 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in
>>news:dldjh2laaq84mk3f32ast1pjemmb57h0d8@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Tue, 26 Sep 2006 23:15:46 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>They just tease you because of all the stupid things you say when you
>>>>follow up to them. Just ignore them and they'll stop bothering you.
>>> funny that did not work when I posted nothing for several years
>>
>>
>>
>>It most certainly did work.

> nope they contiued to harrass in my email and snail mail

Well they love you Markie. Why do you shun their affections? I thought
you liked being Bi/Gay.

By the way, How is your CW practice coming along? Up to one WPM yet?

SC

4980. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 00:36:29 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <h_iTg.670$Lv3.103@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5710
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote in news:jmvoh2tivmefasn5euf59dejov0kgjpmt6@4ax.com:

> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 23:22:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>
>>
>>Mark,
>>If ever there was proof of the dangers of dumbing down ham radio, you're
>>it.
> nope you are looking at the glare on pc you are dumbing down of ham
> radio you and all those that worship cw

No Markie, being able to communicate is good. Can you say, "is good"?

SC

4981. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 00:36:36 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <o_iTg.676$Lv3.151@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5711
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Barry OGrady <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:nn3rh29q703jh7jgp72m6qhvun02pcpb28@4ax.com:

> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 22:54:46 -0500, Nada Tapu <user@host.domain> wrote:
>
>>On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 23:23:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>>Or just lazy people out?
>>>
>>>>Sc
>>
>>It certainly didn't keep me out, and I wasn't all that crazy about
>>learning it, either.
>
> More to the point, are there more licensed amateurs since the code
> requirement was removed years ago?

No, numbers are decreasing because ham radio has been dumbed down so
having a ham license isn't worth anything anymore and people are leaving.

SC

4982. Re: SC: Been practicing code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 00:36:39 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <r_iTg.678$Lv3.664@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
news:f4uoh2p6kcadicpmrl2bc2onk0b2ipm19a@4ax.com:

> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 23:23:21 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> thusly:
>
>>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>news:MPG.1f839935fa9c8f97989a2e@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>
>>> In article <CwiSg.6715$UG4.5839@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>>> my.mail@no.spam says...
>>>> .
>>>> They just tease you because of all the stupid things you say when you
>>>> follow up to them. Just ignore them and they'll stop bothering you.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> How your code practice coming along?
>>>>
>>>> SC
>>>>
>>>
>>> .-- --- ..- .-.. -.. / -.-- --- ..- / .--. .-.. . .- ... . / -.-. ....
>>> .- -. --. . / - .... . / ... ..- -... .--- . -.-. - null / .-- . / .-
>>> .-. . / - .. .-. . -.. / --- ..-. / - .- .-.. -.- .. -. --. / .- -...
>>> --- ..- - / -.-. --- -.. . null
>>
>>
>>
>>So what do you like to talk about then? Roger's Ass?
>>
>>I like to talk about saving ham radio. How's your code practice coming
>>along?
>
> Ham radio needs to be saved from arrogant pricks like you. Leave it
> already.

I'm not arrogant, I'm just trying to start a movement to keep ham radio
out of the hands of the sick, lame, and lazy.

Ten-Four, breaker, breaker?

SC

4983. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 00:36:41 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <t_iTg.679$Lv3.125@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5715
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1159548473.298539.188490@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:

> Dave Oldridge wrote:
>> Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in news:SPYSg.4010$o71.3724
>> @newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>>
>> > "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>> > news:1159466819.636954.270450@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>> >
>> >> Please, don't all jump in at once with all the unproven
>> >> bragging and dozens of local weekly newspaper clippings.
>> >>
>> >> Let's hear it for the mode that saved the Titanic survivors
>> >> in 1912...
>>
>> Don't know about whether it saved any lives or not, but I once took a
>> very important NOTAM (Notice to Airman) on CW from a guy in the
>> Aleutians in the days following the 1964 quake and put it on the proper
>> teletype circuits for him.
>>
>> His airport's altitude was changing so that charts and other info were
>> inaccurate.
>
> Dave, that sounds very suspicious considering my ground school
> instructor's 1962 display of a then-old Santa Barbara, CA,
> half-hour TTY Wx report (then required by Commerce Dept.).
> SBA (ID of Santa Barbara), like all other weather stations at
> airports, were required to post their local Wx and airport
> conditions every half hour. SBA is on the Pacific coast and
> subject to rapid variations of weather. If weather changes more
> rapidly than that, weather stations were required to post extra
> in-between-scheduled-times reports.
>
> One day, after having fog entering and leaving SBA often, the
> operator of the TTY sent: "THE FOG SHE COMES IN THE FOG
> SHE GOES OUT." :-)
>
> The ground school class at VNY was presented with a
> glassine-protected TTY copy of the Wx message that must
> have been old at that time (the cheap TTY paper was
> already turning yellow). Got a good laugh from the class.

>
> In 1964 (which is 42 years ago), the weather stations had their
> own network over leased telephone lines. At least in the 48
> contiguous states. Whether or not Alaska was tied in with
> manual telegraphy (radio or wirelines) I can't confirm...nor do I
> think it important since I know it was NOT via amateur bands.
>
> NOTAMs take many shapes but back 40+ years ago, the
> FAA handled them and saw to their distribution at airports.
> Most were press-printed but some current ones were sent
> by TTY. That was in times before NOAA.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Lenny, just to help you feel better about morse code, if I ever hear you are dying and in need of help, I will use CW to get you help. Then you'll know before you die a ham used morse code to save a life and you can rest in peace over CW.

SC

4984. Re: Why don't KB9RQZ, AB8MQ, N0VFP, K4ZY and N9OGL ever want to talk about radio, only gay stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 00:36:42 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <u_iTg.680$Lv3.370@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5713
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1159555118.470642.164060@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:

>
> Lloyd Daugherty wrote:
>> On 29 Sep 2006 08:42:10 -0700, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>> Hurry up and get that NAL, Todd, so we can party.

> NAL's don't send someoe to jail asshole

They throw you in jail if you don't pay it. Just ask your buddy
Woger Wiseman.

SC

4985. Re: Why don't KB9RQZ, K4YZ, AB8MQ, N0VFP, and N9OGL ever want to talk about radio, only gay stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 00:36:43 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <v_iTg.681$Lv3.577@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5714
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1159544530.494390.6090@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> Because of you, People that come by the radio groups think we're all a
>> bunch of potty mouth retards.
>>
>> Thanks a lot assholes.
>>
>> SC
>
> I've never talked about gay stuff.....Oh, you mean that stupid fuck
> who's pretending to be me....I guess not be on here in a while people
> miss me so much that I have to come back....
>
> BTW You ARE all fucking Scumbags!!! listen to my radio program and you
> know how I REALLY feel about you fucking retards!!!!!
>
>
>
> Todd N9OGL
> OMEGA ONE RADIO
> 13.556.00 LSB

Fortunately today, I couldn't hear your monologue very well, But I noticed
you stole some of your grandmothers 78's and are playing them now. ROFL

I'll give you one thing, you got variety. It's sounds shitty, but it's
variety.

4986. Re: Why don't, K4YZ, AB8MQ, N0VFP, and ever want to talk about radio, only gay stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 00:41:13 UTC
From: "Text Medium No. 5" <mhm29x21@meow.flonk>
Message-ID:
<pan.2006.09.30.00.41.11.774837@hail.eris.flonk.meow.all.hail.discordia.meow.flonk.mockery.demon.not.really.leola>
Source record: 5716
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.usenet.kooks

Hail Eris! On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 17:03:25 -0700, an old friend jabbered
inanely:
> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>> Hail Eris! On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 19:36:26 -0400, Mark jabbered inanely:
>> > On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 23:31:14 GMT, "Text Medium No. 5" wrote:
>> >>Hail Eris! On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 16:53:09 -0400, Mark jabbered inanely:
>
>> > go ahead tell yourself that sothing lie ' you were used by the kook
>> > woger and so much for your ""threat"t to kill file me as well
>>
>> I didn't say I was going to "killfile" you. In fact
>
> anytime you want to tell truth about things do let me know you were
> trolled in by the sort of fellow you afect to hate to be used by him in
> his multi years campaign of harrasment and his personal vendatta whcih
> went real world almost a decade ago
>
> those are the facts you were used face it and move on

Woger is currently nominated for a kook award, and is a very strong
contender. I happen to be the one who caused his latest foamdown. How am I
the one who has been used?

--
Shon'ai COOSN-029-06-71069
"I was told there would be cookies."
Cross-Poasters For Goddess!
Remember: Straight people can't help it!

4987. Re: asked and answered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 00:51:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <irfrh2d6s95cpvm6phvcuom3d4jq8ot4au@4ax.com>
Source record: 5717
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:36:27 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote in news:jivoh2l6b322oqs61h1fecg8sdobtrkplu@4ax.com:
>
>> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 23:22:20 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote in
>>>news:dldjh2laaq84mk3f32ast1pjemmb57h0d8@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>> On Tue, 26 Sep 2006 23:15:46 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>They just tease you because of all the stupid things you say when you
>>>>>follow up to them. Just ignore them and they'll stop bothering you.
>>>> funny that did not work when I posted nothing for several years
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>It most certainly did work.
>
>> nope they contiued to harrass in my email and snail mail
>
>
>Well they love you Markie. Why do you shun their affections? I thought
>you liked being Bi/Gay.
I have no interest in self hating gay like you and Robeson and Woger
>
>By the way, How is your CW practice coming along?
no code practice here sc
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4988. Re: SC: Been practicing code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 00:52:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <5tfrh2pp46pmec7cdpirurl540boiqnrs8@4ax.com>
Source record: 5720
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:36:39 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>news:f4uoh2p6kcadicpmrl2bc2onk0b2ipm19a@4ax.com:
>
>> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 23:23:21 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>> thusly:
>>

>>>
>>>
>>>So what do you like to talk about then? Roger's Ass?
>>>
>>>I like to talk about saving ham radio. How's your code practice coming
>>>along?
>>
>> Ham radio needs to be saved from arrogant pricks like you. Leave it
>> already.
>
>
>I'm not arrogant,
yes you arogant
> I'm just trying to start a movement to keep ham radio
>out of the hands of the sick, lame, and lazy.
then turn in your license SC
>
>Ten-Four, breaker, breaker?
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4989. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 00:52:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <cufrh2hm45s4cn6b4k3k6sjge9g779rojo@4ax.com>
Source record: 5719
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:36:29 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote in news:jmvoh2tivmefasn5euf59dejov0kgjpmt6@4ax.com:
>
>> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 23:22:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>
>>>Mark,
>>>If ever there was proof of the dangers of dumbing down ham radio, you're
>>>it.
>> nope you are looking at the glare on pc you are dumbing down of ham
>> radio you and all those that worship cw
>
>
>No Markie, being able to communicate is good.
you can't comuicate with CW there is no one to tlak to that way
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4990. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 00:54:01 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <40grh2l7ilb6r6ku2g4v68ml6ij5uo2b9k@4ax.com>
Source record: 5721
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:36:41 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>news:1159548473.298539.188490@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>
>> Dave Oldridge wrote:
>>> Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in news:SPYSg.4010$o71.3724
>>> @newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>>>
>>> > "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>>> > news:1159466819.636954.270450@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>>> >
>>> >> Please, don't all jump in at once with all the unproven
>>> >> bragging and dozens of local weekly newspaper clippings.
>>> >>
>>> >> Let's hear it for the mode that saved the Titanic survivors
>>> >> in 1912...
>>>
>>> Don't know about whether it saved any lives or not, but I once took a
>>> very important NOTAM (Notice to Airman) on CW from a guy in the
>>> Aleutians in the days following the 1964 quake and put it on the proper
>>> teletype circuits for him.
>>>
>>> His airport's altitude was changing so that charts and other info were
>>> inaccurate.
>>
>> Dave, that sounds very suspicious considering my ground school
>> instructor's 1962 display of a then-old Santa Barbara, CA,
>> half-hour TTY Wx report (then required by Commerce Dept.).
>> SBA (ID of Santa Barbara), like all other weather stations at
>> airports, were required to post their local Wx and airport
>> conditions every half hour. SBA is on the Pacific coast and
>> subject to rapid variations of weather. If weather changes more
>> rapidly than that, weather stations were required to post extra
>> in-between-scheduled-times reports.
>>
>> One day, after having fog entering and leaving SBA often, the
>> operator of the TTY sent: "THE FOG SHE COMES IN THE FOG
>> SHE GOES OUT." :-)
>>
>> The ground school class at VNY was presented with a
>> glassine-protected TTY copy of the Wx message that must

>> have been old at that time (the cheap TTY paper was
>> already turning yellow). Got a good laugh from the class.
>>
>> In 1964 (which is 42 years ago), the weather stations had their
>> own network over leased telephone lines. At least in the 48
>> contiguous states. Whether or not Alaska was tied in with
>> manual telegraphy (radio or wirelines) I can't confirm...nor do I
>> think it important since I know it was NOT via amateur bands.
>>
>> NOTAMs take many shapes but back 40+ years ago, the
>> FAA handled them and saw to their distribution at airports.
>> Most were press-printed but some current ones were sent
>> by TTY. That was in times before NOAA.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>
>
>Lenny, just to help you feel better about morse code, if I ever hear you
>are dying and in need of help, I will use CW to get you help.
that is why he would die you would try to use code
> Then you'll
>know before you die a ham used morse code to save a life and you can rest
>in peace over CW.
>
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4991. Re: Why don't , K4YZ, AB8MQ, N0VFP, and Slow code ever want to talk about radio, only gay stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 00:55:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <83grh2l234mvojsvdgbrl2ire640ub2u81@4ax.com>
Source record: 5722
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:36:43 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:1159544530.494390.6090@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> Because of you, People that come by the radio groups think we're all a
>>> bunch of potty mouth retards.
>>>
>>> Thanks a lot assholes.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> I've never talked about gay stuff.....Oh, you mean that stupid fuck
>> who's pretending to be me....I guess not be on here in a while people
>> miss me so much that I have to come back....
>>
>> BTW You ARE all fucking Scumbags!!! listen to my radio program and you
>> know how I REALLY feel about you fucking retards!!!!!
>>
>>
>>
>> Todd N9OGL
>> OMEGA ONE RADIO
>> 13.556.00 LSB
>
>
>Fortunately today, I couldn't hear your monologue very well, But I noticed
>you stole some of your grandmothers 78's and are playing them now. ROFL
>
>I'll give you one thing, you got variety. It's sounds shitty, but it's
>variety.
get help
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4992. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 00:56:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <3qfrh296qpl4sv7qmop3h3jfots83jf8me@4ax.com>
Source record: 5718
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:36:36 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Barry OGrady <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:nn3rh29q703jh7jgp72m6qhvun02pcpb28@4ax.com:
>
>> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 22:54:46 -0500, Nada Tapu <user@host.domain> wrote:
>>
>>>On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 23:23:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>Or just lazy people out?
>>>>
>>>>Sc
>>>
>>>It certainly didn't keep me out, and I wasn't all that crazy about
>>>learning it, either.
>>
>> More to the point, are there more licensed amateurs since the code
>> requirement was removed years ago?
>
>
>No, numbers are decreasing because ham radio has been dumbed down so
>having a ham license isn't worth anything anymore and people are leaving.
>
no slow code the number are down because with Code testing looks so
stpupid
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4993. Re: Why don't AB8MQ, N0VFP, K4ZY andSlow Codeever want to talk about radio, only gay stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 01:00:36 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ecgrh2h5tj4k4p86vgglp9itdsn1d9tt7m@4ax.com>
Source record: 5723
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:36:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
>news:1159555118.470642.164060@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Lloyd Daugherty wrote:
>>> On 29 Sep 2006 08:42:10 -0700, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>> Hurry up and get that NAL, Todd, so we can party.
>
>> NAL's don't send someoe to jail asshole
>
>
>
>They throw you in jail if you don't pay it. Just ask your buddy
>Woger Wiseman.
when has this ever happen and woger was not NALed he was retested SC
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4994. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 01:13:16 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <MwjTg.11654$7I1.4639@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 5724
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> No, numbers are decreasing because ham radio has been dumbed down so
> having a ham license isn't worth anything anymore and people are leaving.

Therefore, if the ARS required MENSA membership, there would
be more hams?
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

4995. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 02:10:46 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1159582246.301432.72240@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5725
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:36:36 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >No, numbers are decreasing because ham radio has been dumbed down so
> >having a ham license isn't worth anything anymore and people are leaving.
> >
> no slow code the number are down because with Code testing looks so
> stupid

Code testing is absurd. The government shouldn't be in the merit badge
business.

If you really need a merit badge to hang on the wall, listen to W1AW
during a qualifying run....

4996. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 02:13:45 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1159582425.314370.85190@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5726
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Cecil Moore wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:

> > No, numbers are decreasing because ham radio has been dumbed down so
> > having a ham license isn't worth anything anymore and people are leaving.
>
> Therefore, if the ARS required MENSA membership, there would
> be more hams?
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

Guys don't have mensas. If they did, this would be called the "Women's
League of Coders."

;^)

4997. Re: FS: Pro-2006 with OS456 full and my copy of Probe v7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 03:31:00 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <UxlTg.7726$YW5.3646@news-wrt-01.rdc-nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 5727

If you are so in love with this system, why are you selling?

Good luck, the Buy It Now AND starting bid for this package, as good as
it might be, is WAY overpriced. If I could get those prices, I'd sell mine!

Dan

> Ok here it is, after NUMEROUS scanners/receivers owned and many hours
> of listening, I have come to a conclusion. The Pro-2006 with the OC456
> full and the Probe v7 software is the BEST PC OPERATED SCANNER EVER
> MADE!! What a spooky combo this is! Lighting fast scanning,DTMF tone
> decoder, sensitive and selective, discriminator tap. But wait it gets
> better, PROBE v7!! If you haven't witnessed the POWER of probe, do
> yourself a favor and check out the reviews. WOW! The hype IS justified.
> No scanning software comes close! Ok, analog scanners are out right?
> WRONG! With a discriminator tap you can decode TONS of digital sigs.
> There are LOTS of FREEWARE programs out there! Now, lets move on to the
> condition of the equipment. On a scale from 1 to 10, I give it a 9.5!
> EVERYTHING WORKS on this baby! Here is what you get: PRO2006, ANTENNA,
> MANUAL,PROBE V7, SERIAL CABLE!! This is a KILLER scanner!! Check out
> the info on this rig! http://userweb.suscom.net/~pro-2006/
>
> Here is the link to ebay
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&ih=020&item=300032535626&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=
>

4998. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 04:00:10 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1159588810.130448.295900@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5728
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
>
> Lenny, just to help you feel better about morse code, if I ever hear you
> are dying and in need of help, I will use CW to get you help. Then you'll
> know before you die a ham used morse code to save a life and you can rest
> in peace over CW.
>
> SC

Slow, thats IMPOSSIBLE! If you use CW then how can Len die?

4999. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 06:23:50 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <5a3sh2lv86qeg78fr1rnk2i0fnqkvohmq2@4ax.com>
Source record: 5729
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:36:36 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>Barry OGrady <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:nn3rh29q703jh7jgp72m6qhvun02pcpb28@4ax.com:
>
>> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 22:54:46 -0500, Nada Tapu <user@host.domain> wrote:
>>
>>>On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 23:23:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Or just lazy people out?
>>>>
>>>>Sc
>>>
>>>It certainly didn't keep me out, and I wasn't all that crazy about
>>>learning it, either.
>>
>> More to the point, are there more licensed amateurs since the code
>> requirement was removed years ago?
>
>
>No, numbers are decreasing because ham radio has been dumbed down so
>having a ham license isn't worth anything anymore and people are leaving.

No, it's dying because of attitudes like yours that scare people away.
Nobody wants to be like you.

5000. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 06:38:48 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <ei3sh2p4obd4rh0bj0tv2jn60v24bkpfjq@4ax.com>
Source record: 5730
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

```
On 29 Sep 2006 04:39:27 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> spake thusly:

>
>Spock ear wearing Penquin boy Opus- whined:
>> On 28 Sep 2006 11:43:39 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
>> <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
>>
>> >
>> >Penquin boy Opus- whined:
>> >> On 27 Sep 2006 04:44:59 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
>> >> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >Opus- wrote:
>> >> >> On 26 Sep 2006 05:53:24 -0700, billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
>> >> >> spake thusly:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >an_old_friendless child raper wrote:
>> >> >> >> Opus- wrote:
>> >> >> >> > On Mon, 25 Sep 2006 23:59:05 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>> >> >> >> > thusly:
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >>"an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> >> >> >> >>news:1159124520.451162.214350@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >How's your code practice coming along Markie? It's easy and fun. You have
>> >> >> >> >> >to learn it if you want to be a real ham.
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> > That's like saying you have to learn bloodletting to be a real doctor.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >Nope, it's just another language.
>> >> >> >But, you have trouble with English, so it is to be expected.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> You don't get it, do you? But if you insist, many many years ago you
>> >> >> HAD to learn Latin to attend university. Then, a few people bucked the
>> >> >> trend and started removing the requirement to know Latin. Oh sure, the
>> >> >> old guard resisted. Many professors refused to work without Latin as a
>> >> >> requirement, ostensibly because they felt that the Latin requirement
>> >> >> would demonstrate serious commitment to learning. But the world
>> >> >> progressed and now conversational Latin is no longer required, save
```

>> >> >> for a few medical and legal references.
>> >> >
>> >> >Hey state the obvious, like it's not already known, dumbass. Morse code
>> >> >is a language as well, Penquin boy. It is still used.
>> >>
>> >> And Klingon is a language created by Mark Okerund and it is commonly
>> >> used at Star Trek conventions. Your point?
>> >
>> >And Penquin boy Opus dresses up in his Spock ears when he posts.
>> >It figures you'd be a Trekkie. BWHAHAHAHAHAHA Loser!
>>
>> Never been to a convention. Do you have a point? Obviously not.
>
>Liar, you probably wear your homade Star Trek uniform and your Spock
>ears as you post.
>The only point is on top of your empty head, Trekkie.

You're almost funny, in your stupidity. You go out of your way to
prove me right. Thank you.

No need for you to try and make a REAL point now. You have proven
beyond a shadow of a doubt that you can't. Free clue: Schoolyard bully
tactics don't really make you into a big man.

>> >> >> Now, would you tell your doctor that he is not a real doctor if he
>> >> >> can't carry on a conversation in Latin? He just might be able to, but
>> >> >> odds are he will only know what is necessary to do his job
>> >> >> effectively.
>> >> >
>> >> >Funny, Prescription directions are made in latin.....but you use
>> >> >any excuse for your laziness, don't you?
>> >>
>> >> No shit Sherlock. If you actually READ you would see that I said so
>> >> too.
>> >
>> >Wrong. You are taking what I wrote and caliming it as your own.
>>
>> I DARE <much stamping of feet>
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^ This is so childish. Grow up.

>BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA Having one of your fag fits, Penquin boy?

Avoiding the real issue at hand, knobgobbler?

>> >> And if you actually paid attention you would already know that I am in
>> >> Canada <bullshit>
>> >
>> >Well, Canada is such a useless country, anyways.
>>
>> Please try to make a REAL point.
>
>Translation: "We Canadians are too stupid to post an\ything but

>whines."

You misspelled "If I keep up this childish name calling and elementary
schoolyard bullying then all the girls will think that I am a real
man" Hope this helps.

>> >> >> >> indeed but I have no intention of wastingmy time on code I wasted years
>> >> >> >> on it in my teen trying for a novice
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >BWHAHAHAHA Markie the lazy bastard can't even pass 5 wpm code!
>> >> >> >BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Your really, REALLY don't get it, do you?
>> >> >
>> >> >Neither do you, dumbass, Latin terms are used in Medicine and in the
>> >> >Legal profession all the time.
>> >>
>> >> Uh...I said CONVERSATIONAL Latin. <bullshit alert!>
>> >
>> >No you are backpedaling. You said "Latin" nothing about "conversational
>> >Latin. You should really not try to lie your way out of your screw ups.
>> >You aren't very good at it or anything else.
>>
>> I DARE YOU TO PROVE IT!!!! <Bitchslap>
>
>BWHAHAHAHA Posting in all caps mean you're getting arsed and being
>bested, Kanuck.

Posting in all caps is me YELLING at you to put up or shut up. Your
steadfast refusal to do so demonstrates to the world that you have no
grasp of the issue being discussed and have resorted to lies to try
and make a point when you really had no point to make.

This is no fun anymore. You're just another worthless troll. Mea culpa
for not seeing it sooner. My bad.

5001. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 06:39:50 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <084sh29l7h1nb72vhuv6tbgamd70g7dqs7@4ax.com>
Source record: 5731
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 29 Sep 2006 04:40:51 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> spake thusly:

>
>Penquin boy Opus- whined:
>> On 28 Sep 2006 16:21:48 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
>> <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
>>
>> >
>> >Pat Stevens wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Did I miss something? <bullshit>
>> >
>> >You missed a lot. I bet you even look like the SNL character "Pat".
>>
>> Gawd you're stupid. <PKB and IKYABWAI>
>
>PKB, Trekkie. The post was addressing "Pat" not you.

Double standard? You tried to hump my leg when I wasn't addressing you
so fair is fair.

5002. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 06:45:12 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <sb4sh2tvq7k8dboe4cf09hkg0s0n8hpsqj@4ax.com>
Source record: 5732
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 29 Sep 2006 04:39:27 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> spake thusly:

Oops..missed one.

>
>Spock ear wearing Penquin boy Opus- whined:

>> >> And if you actually paid attention you would already know that I am in
>> >> Canada <bullshit>

"Bullshit"???? Are you saying that I am NOT in Canada? Look at the
message header. You DO know what a header is..do you? If not, have
your mommy or daddy help you by showing you the header and then look
for my IP address. With a simple search [mommy or daddy can help you
here too] you will discover that I am connected thru Shaw Cable in
Edmonton Alberta.

5003. Re: SC: Been practicing code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 06:47:46 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <hm4sh25jlo4v9cl1cer1uuhv2p8aqotv2u@4ax.com>
Source record: 5733
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:36:39 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>news:f4uoh2p6kcadicpmrl2bc2onk0b2ipm19a@4ax.com:
>
>> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 23:23:21 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>> thusly:
>>
>>>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>news:MPG.1f839935fa9c8f97989a2e@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>>
>>>> In article <CwiSg.6715$UG4.5839@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>>>> my.mail@no.spam says...
>>>>> .
>>>>> They just tease you because of all the stupid things you say when you
>>>>> follow up to them. Just ignore them and they'll stop bothering you.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> How your code practice coming along?
>>>>>
>>>>> SC
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> .-- --- ..- .-.. -.. / -.-- --- ..- / .--. .-.. . .- ... . / -.-. ....
>>>> .- -. --. . / - .... . / ... ..- -... .--- . -.-. - null / .-- . / .-
>>>> .-. . / - .. .-. . -.. / --- ..-. / - .- .-.. -.- .. -. --. / .- -...
>>>> --- ..- - / -.-. --- -.. . null
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>So what do you like to talk about then? Roger's Ass?
>>>
>>>I like to talk about saving ham radio. How's your code practice coming
>>>along?
>>
>> Ham radio needs to be saved from arrogant pricks like you. Leave it
>> already.
>
>
>I'm not arrogant, I'm just trying to start a movement to keep ham radio
>out of the hands of the sick, lame, and lazy.

Democracy will always beat fascists like you.
```

>Ten-Four, breaker, breaker?

Huh? Ohh..right...you watch too much TV.

5004. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 06:54:33 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <kv4sh2lt3c6pudt1r4eeeduq516llm4i8v@4ax.com>
Source record: 5734
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 19:57:18 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
spake thusly:

>On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 11:18:30 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>[piggybacking]
>>Opus- wrote:
>>> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 08:40:15 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>> spake thusly:
>>>>On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 01:46:40 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>>>>>You also have to believe that a bunch of beeps on the speaker is real
>>>>>exciting.
>
>>>>Or that a bunch of lit pixels on the screen is.
>
>>> Nice strawman. The pixels form a full complete picture. Beeps are just
>>> beeps.
>
>And speech is just noise if you don't understand it.

Speech has infection. You can say the same sentence 10 different ways
and it can have 10 different meanings depending on the emotion invoked
in the speech. You know....the HUMAN element.

>>> One dimensional. That they form a recognizable pattern does not
>>> make them more. Humans are highly visual creatures.
>
>Which is why it was said, for many centuries, I suppose, that it's
>speech that separates us from the animals. (We're a lot less visually
>oriented than a lot of other species.)

See above.

>>>I have listened to
>>> code for years. Being able to make out a few letters does nothing for
>>> me.
>
>I have listened to Turkish for years. Being able to make out a few
>words does nothing for me.
>
>But there are a lot of Turks who feel otherwise.

Bet you can easily tell what kind of a mood the speaker is in just by
his tone. I don't speak Ukrainian but I sure knew when my grandmother

was mad at me.

>Your insularity is showing.

Not insularity...humanity.
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

5005. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 06:55:48 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <d65sh2p86e57qq5d4fsuqos697q8n0amrt@4ax.com>
Source record: 5735
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:36:29 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote in news:jmvoh2tivmefasn5euf59dejov0kgjpmt6@4ax.com:
>
>> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 23:22:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>
>>>Mark,
>>>If ever there was proof of the dangers of dumbing down ham radio, you're
>>>it.
>> nope you are looking at the glare on pc you are dumbing down of ham
>> radio you and all those that worship cw
>
>
>No Markie, being able to communicate is good. Can you say, "is good"?

And communicating with human emotion as opposed to emotionless beeps
is better.
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

5006. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 08:57:50 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberals.com>
Message-ID: <bhth0.kos.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 5736
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:d65sh2p86e57qq5d4fsuqos697q8n0amrt@4ax.com...
On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:36:29 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote in news:jmvoh2tivmefasn5euf59dejov0kgjpmt6@4ax.com:
>
>> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 23:22:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>
>>>Mark,
>>>If ever there was proof of the dangers of dumbing down ham radio, you're
>>>it.
>> nope you are looking at the glare on pc you are dumbing down of ham
>> radio you and all those that worship cw
>
>
>No Markie, being able to communicate is good. Can you say, "is good"?

And communicating with human emotion as opposed to emotionless beeps
is better.
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

==

Opus, none of my concern, but I have a five dollar bill that says Mark will
somehow stick his unasked-for comments into this topic. Just as I did. But
rest assured I will post just this one comment whereas Mark will post ad
infinatum.

5007. i punce in aigain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 12:06:07 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <kb9rqz@blumpkin.net>
Message-ID: <451e50aa$0$19672$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 5737
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
so
bringit on you loosers

show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW
rendered phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and
SC a few just decided to not to be as hateful

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5008. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 12:08:54 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <tdudnVl3hLbIw4PYnZ2dnUVZ_sidnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 5738
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:

SNIPPED
>
> you can't comuicate with CW there is no one to tlak to that way
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

Please define "TLAK" so we can try to understand you. Is TLAK touching the paddles on a keyer? Is TLAK using a J38? Does TLAK mean touching that mysterious button titled CW on you radio?

5009. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 15:40:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <nr3th2duoe7q3ktes7hso76gqv2ts0mpfm@4ax.com>
Source record: 5739
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 06:54:33 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:

>On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 19:57:18 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>spake thusly:
>
>>On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 11:18:30 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:
>>
>>[piggybacking]
>>>Opus- wrote:
>>>> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 08:40:15 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>>> spake thusly:
>>>>>On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 01:46:40 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>
>>>>>>You also have to believe that a bunch of beeps on the speaker is real
>>>>>>exciting.
>>
>>>>>Or that a bunch of lit pixels on the screen is.
>>
>>>> Nice strawman. The pixels form a full complete picture. Beeps are just
>>>> beeps.
>>
>>And speech is just noise if you don't understand it.
>
>Speech has infection. You can say the same sentence 10 different ways
>and it can have 10 different meanings depending on the emotion invoked
>in the speech. You know....the HUMAN element.
Humanity is opposed by the Coders they don't like people a can be
plainly seen in this NG
>
>>>> One dimensional. That they form a recognizable pattern does not
>>>> make them more. Humans are highly visual creatures.
>>
>>Which is why it was said, for many centuries, I suppose, that it's
>>speech that separates us from the animals. (We're a lot less visually
>>oriented than a lot of other species.)
>
>See above.
>
>>>>I have listened to
>>>> code for years. Being able to make out a few letters does nothing for
>>>> me.
>>
>>I have listened to Turkish for years. Being able to make out a few

>>words does nothing for me.
>>
>>But there are a lot of Turks who feel otherwise.
>
>Bet you can easily tell what kind of a mood the speaker is in just by
>his tone. I don't speak Ukrainian but I sure knew when my grandmother
>was mad at me.

indeed but rememebr the coders are against humanty they prefer we the
humans become machiines
>
>>Your insularity is showing.
>
>Not insularity...humanity.
humanity is a dirty word to the corders
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5010. more forgery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 15:49:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <dg4th2h52oevcfd7mofop7ka36b885l188@4ax.com>
Source record: 5740
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 08:06:07 -0400, "Mark Morgan"
<kb9rqz@blumpkin.net> wrote:

>ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
more forgery
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5011. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 16:04:02 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159632242.317271.91890@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Opus- wrote:
> On 29 Sep 2006 04:40:51 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> spake thusly:

> >> Gawd you're stupid. <PKB and IKYABWAI>
> >
> >PKB, Trekkie. The post was addressing "Pat" not you.
>
> Double standard? You tried to hump my leg when I wasn't addressing you
> so fair is fair.
Mr Turd also known as Wismen and Marky morgan rapes boy and other
enlighten nyms is nothing one sick walking double standard

5012. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 16:07:24 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159632444.031323.82810@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5742
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> >
> > Lenny, just to help you feel better about morse code, if I ever hear you
> > are dying and in need of help, I will use CW to get you help. Then you'll
> > know before you die a ham used morse code to save a life and you can rest
> > in peace over CW.
> >
> > SC
>
> Slow, thats IMPOSSIBLE! If you use CW then how can Len die?
indeed I am certain that all that is keeping some of these hams alive
is code thus I am expecting a sudden die off when the R&O come out

5013. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 16:13:16 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159632796.333724.115450@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5743
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Opus- wrote:
> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:36:36 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> thusly:
>

> >> More to the point, are there more licensed amateurs since the code
> >> requirement was removed years ago?
> >
> >
> >No, numbers are decreasing because ham radio has been dumbed down so
> >having a ham license isn't worth anything anymore and people are leaving.
>
> No, it's dying because of attitudes like yours that scare people away.
not scare or not just scare disgust indeed it was the attitude of
people like sc and Robeson that served as a the major to each newbie I
have helped to obtain a license

> Nobody wants to be like you.

afew do to be fair but not near enough to be usefull nor is the result
desiable

5014. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 16:23:53 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159633433.799877.38760@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5744
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Opus- wrote:
> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:36:36 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> thusly:
>

> >> More to the point, are there more licensed amateurs since the code
> >> requirement was removed years ago?
> >
> >
> >No, numbers are decreasing because ham radio has been dumbed down so
> >having a ham license isn't worth anything anymore and people are leaving.
>
> No, it's dying because of attitudes like yours that scare people away.
not scare or not just scare disgust indeed it was the attitude of
people like sc and Robeson that served as a the major to each newbie I
have helped to obtain a license

> Nobody wants to be like you.

afew do to be fair but not near enough to be usefull nor is the result
desiable

5015. Re: SC: Been practicing code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 17:19:50 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159636790.291902.170180@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5745
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Opus- wrote:
> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:36:39 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> thusly:
>

> >>>I like to talk about saving ham radio. How's your code practice coming
> >>>along?
> >>
> >> Ham radio needs to be saved from arrogant pricks like you. Leave it
> >> already.
> > >
> > >
> > >I'm not arrogant, I'm just trying to start a movement to keep ham radio
> > >out of the hands of the sick, lame, and lazy.
>
> Democracy will always beat fascists like you.
although not without some set backs of course
>
> >Ten-Four, breaker, breaker?
>
> Huh? Ohh..right...you watch too much TV.
and old tv too

5016. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 18:54:46 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <g0fth218sc2cnavfagspften3ri52qnltc@4ax.com>
Source record: 5746
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 06:54:33 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:

>On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 19:57:18 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>spake thusly:
>>On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 11:18:30 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:

>>>> Nice strawman. The pixels form a full complete picture. Beeps are just
>>>> beeps.

>>And speech is just noise if you don't understand it.

>Speech has infection.

Not if you keep your saliva to yourself.

> You can say the same sentence 10 different ways
>and it can have 10 different meanings depending on the emotion invoked
>in the speech. You know....the HUMAN element.

ANY communication you don't understand, including CW, is like that.
You can't tell us what candy tastes like if you're standing on the
street looking through the window of the store.

>>>> One dimensional. That they form a recognizable pattern does not
>>>> make them more. Humans are highly visual creatures.

>>Which is why it was said, for many centuries, I suppose, that it's
>>speech that separates us from the animals. (We're a lot less visually
>>oriented than a lot of other species.)

>See above.

You're simply wrong. Humans are aural creatures. Argue with me when
you get enough education in the subject that you're qualified to
discuss it.

>>>>I have listened to
>>>> code for years. Being able to make out a few letters does nothing for
>>>> me.

>>I have listened to Turkish for years. Being able to make out a few
>>words does nothing for me.

>>But there are a lot of Turks who feel otherwise.

>Bet you can easily tell what kind of a mood the speaker is in just by
>his tone.

I can tell that on the air too - in CW. I can't tell it here, so I guess you'll be leaving Usenet.

> I don't speak Ukrainian but I sure knew when my grandmother
>was mad at me.

Not by her words, though, which is what you're claiming. So tell me, what mood am I in at the moment? Evidently, since Usenet is a visual medium, you can tell.

Or you just don't know what you're talking about.

>>Your insularity is showing.

>Not insularity...humanity.

Which has nothing to do with communication, which every life form participates in - even those who have no analog of vision.

5017. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 18:55:38 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <4cfth2h1snrm8p7ns33hrvjev5j9t091c3@4ax.com>
Source record: 5747
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 06:55:48 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:

>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:36:29 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>thusly:

>>No Markie, being able to communicate is good. Can you say, "is good"?

>And communicating with human emotion as opposed to emotionless beeps
>is better.

And pixels show emotion?

When you actually get into high school, let us know.

5018. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 20:00:44 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <M1ATg.7536$vJ2.4327@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 5748
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an old friend wrote:
> indeed I am certain that all that is keeping some of these hams alive
> is code thus I am expecting a sudden die off when the R&O come out

The R&O may come after global warming has already killed
most of us off. Here's what Al Gore had to say quoting
from The DrudgeReport:

Former U.S. Vice President Al Gore warned: "Cigarette
smoking is a significant contributor to global warming!"
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

5019. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 20:39:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <5flth2d0hf5q1lr38kc7ojgdpg6v4h8b9e@4ax.com>
Source record: 5749
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 14:15:11 -0500, Nada Tapu <user@host.domain>
wrote:

>On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 23:02:39 -0500, "Charlie" <charlie@ease.biz>
>wrote:
>
>>Made Extra in 1995 and had to do 20wpm. I did it and have NEVER used CW
>>since and have no intention to ever do so..even if "someone is dying!"
>>IMHO it is an outdated requirement and will/should be dropped altogether
>>very very soon....
>
>And you probably answered some theory questions about modes you'll
>never use and formulas you'll never see again. Maybe we should just
>eliminate the theory exam, too.
and what do we gain by doing that it is certainly an option when
eleimate code testing we eleimate something that makes the ARS look
stpuid obviously we gain by that
>
>NT
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5020. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio. indeed ythey say say anything to keep dumbing it down with code testsing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 20:43:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <hklth2tllscstsrpffsklfokhkfkqhsvqi@4ax.com>
Source record: 5750
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 14:30:14 -0500, Nada Tapu <user@host.domain>
wrote:

>On Fri, 18 Aug 2006 21:07:15 -0500, "wa0kbz" <wa0kbz@charter.net>
>wrote:
>
>>Glen
>>Don't know if I 100% agree with your code speeds but I do thing the NO Code
>>should be for 1 year and none renewable.
>>I had to take my license in front of the FCC and not so VE who may or may
>>not help you out to get a license.
>>As I am a big VHFer I don't know how these NO Code guys are going to
>>understand beacons.
we don't have to understand the beacon any more than pilot's must
understand the air nav beacon to use them
>> They are all code and most at 10 ~ 20 wpm. I guess the
>>next thing will be, they will want all beacons either digital or voice. YUK!
>>Not up in the GHZ range yet but maybe some day.
>>73, Bill, WA0KBZ
>
>Right on, Bill! Thanks for your thoughts.
>
>NT
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5021. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 20:49:28 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159649368.475402.31460@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5651
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri, Sep 29 2006 9:00 pm

>Slow Code wrote:
>>
>> Lenny, just to help you feel better about morse code, if I ever hear you
>> are dying and in need of help, I will use CW to get you help. Then you'll
>> know before you die a ham used morse code to save a life and you can rest
>> in peace over CW.
>
> Slow, thats IMPOSSIBLE! If you use CW then how can Len die?

Blowcode can, in his small mind's imagination, do all sorts
of things.

Here's a "plain and simple fact" of possible probables in
case of anyone ACTUALLY dying or needing help:

1. By telephone, wired or cell: Dial 911, the universal,
manned 24/7 emergency number. In turn, the 911 operator
will communicate with the appropriate agency by wire
or radio (there are 3 basic radio bands for public
safety radio services in the USA, one more coming).
There is one cell phone for every three citizens in the
USA. Speed as fast as a 911 conversation.

2. By - gasp - Citizens Band Radio Service transceivers in
urban areas. CB radio users out-number amateurs by 4 to
5 to one. Considering that some radio amateurs have to
work for a living and are not AT their ham radios but
highway truckers are both working and with their CBs,
the virtual ratio is probably double 5:1. Probability
of return to first communication about help is high
considering that many CB transceivers have guard
receivers always tuned to the emergency channel. Speed
of that is variable, may take three times longer than a
conversation with a 911 operator.

3. If flying there are two basic ways to indicate help.
Overland airways routes will have ATCRBS running and a
transponder "77" prefix code will generate a flag on
the ATC beacon display. On civil airways, 121.5 MHz
is the international universal emergency frequency
(243 MHz for military radio). Speed of either is a bit
longer than with 911 operator calling but quite fast.

4. On the water, in inland waterways and harbors, there is a VHF FM channel for emergencies but common in-use frequencies would get attention for real calls for help. GMDSS is available on ships over deep water as well as an HF radio voice channel for international maritime distress calls. Since maritmers are noted for adherence to SOLAS (Safety Of Life At Sea), the response would be fast.

5. On land, lacking cell coverage (rare now) but on or near highways, there is high probability that any motorist or trucker would have a CB or possibly a business vehicle with a business radio would be passing (PLMRS vehicular radios are quite common with small and large businesses). Any passing public safety agency vehicle would have a radio transceiver capable of directly contacting appropriate help. Farmers are increasing their use of private radios and might be nearby. FRS and GMRS HTs are also becoming more common for both private and business use. Speed of help calling would be variable depending on location, nearby vehicular traffic.

6. Lacking any of the above, one might look for a non-TV or non-CB antenna on a house...high probability of that belonging to a radio amateur. [such a search could take many hours, though] If the date and time corresponded to a ham contest time, the ham might not respond quickly. Even if the ham responded and began calling, there is no assurance that anyone would hear or pay attention to some emergency plea; that would violate the normal conversation that goes on in ham bands and cause much on-air disputes, further clogging calls for help. Speed of help calling is variable, anything from several minutes to many hours. Not that it matters since the victim already died after trying to get through all the ragchewing, self-styled radio police, and general cat-calling by other amateurs.

7. Blow Code is of NO help since he is not verified as a valid (or invalid) licensed radio amateur.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

5022. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 21:14:55 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159650895.410925.49460@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5652
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri, Sep 29 2006 9:00 pm

> 6. Lacking any of the above, one might look for a non-TV
> or non-CB antenna on a house...high probability of
> that belonging to a radio amateur. [such a search
> could take many hours, though] If the date and time
> corresponded to a ham contest time, the ham might not
> respond quickly. Even if the ham responded and began
> calling, there is no assurance that anyone would hear
> or pay attention to some emergency plea; that would
> violate the normal conversation that goes on in ham
> bands and cause much on-air disputes, further clogging
> calls for help. Speed of help calling is variable,
> anything from several minutes to many hours. Not that
> it matters since the victim already died after trying
> to get through all the ragchewing, self-styled radio
> police, and general cat-calling by other amateurs.

or you if you had to you could send ing sos or oso the later seems
likely to get you aid the fastest from the CW they will df you fast to
come and castise you for it and likely then well at least rennder first
aid as they take you to task for daring to misspell sos

5023. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 21:23:32 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159651412.189356.220100@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5653
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 06:54:33 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:

> > You can say the same sentence 10 different ways
> >and it can have 10 different meanings depending on the emotion invoked
> >in the speech. You know....the HUMAN element.
>
> ANY communication you don't understand, including CW, is like that.

wrong again klien and the rest becomes GIGO

5024. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 21:29:35 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159651775.125996.304790@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5654
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Nada Tapu wrote:
> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 16:39:47 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>

> Call me old fashioned, but I think the code makes amateur radio look
> rather quaint and charming myself. It's a legacy mode, and just because it's a relic

which means you agree with my point but lack the guts to say so

it it makes us look like relics

5025. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-09-30 22:31:57 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1159655516.966869.240280@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5655
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri, Sep 29 2006 9:00 pm
>
>
> >Slow Code wrote:
> >>
> >> Lenny, just to help you feel better about morse code, if I ever hear you
> >> are dying and in need of help, I will use CW to get you help. Then you'll
> >> know before you die a ham used morse code to save a life and you can rest
> >> in peace over CW.
> >>
> > Slow, thats IMPOSSIBLE! If you use CW then how can Len die?
>
> Blowcode can, in his small mind's imagination, do all sorts
> of things.
>
> Here's a "plain and simple fact" of possible probables in
> case of anyone ACTUALLY dying or needing help:
>
> 1. By telephone, wired or cell: Dial 911, the universal,
> manned 24/7 emergency number. In turn, the 911 operator
> will communicate with the appropriate agency by wire
> or radio (there are 3 basic radio bands for public
> safety radio services in the USA, one more coming).
> There is one cell phone for every three citizens in the
> USA. Speed as fast as a 911 conversation.

Correction... that's "9 -dash- 1 -dash- 1." Robesin says so.

> 2. By - gasp - Citizens Band Radio Service transceivers in
> urban areas. CB radio users out-number amateurs by 4 to
> 5 to one. Considering that some radio amateurs have to
> work for a living and are not AT their ham radios but
> highway truckers are both working and with their CBs,
> the virtual ratio is probably double 5:1. Probability
> of return to first communication about help is high
> considering that many CB transceivers have guard
> receivers always tuned to the emergency channel. Speed
> of that is variable, may take three times longer than a
> conversation with a 911 operator.
>
> 3. If flying there are two basic ways to indicate help.
> Overland airways routes will have ATCRBS running and a

> transponder "77" prefix code will generate a flag on
> the ATC beacon display. On civil airways, 121.5 MHz
> is the international universal emergency frequency
> (243 MHz for military radio). Speed of either is a bit
> longer than with 911 operator calling but quite fast.
>
> 4. On the water, in inland waterways and harbors, there
> is a VHF FM channel for emergencies but common in-use
> frequencies would get attention for real calls for
> help. GMDSS is available on ships over deep water
> as well as an HF radio voice channel for international
> maritime distress calls. Since maritmers are noted
> for adherence to SOLAS (Safety Of Life At Sea), the
> response would be fast.
>
> 5. On land, lacking cell coverage (rare now) but on or
> near highways, there is high probability that any
> motorist or trucker would have a CB or possibly a
> business vehicle with a business radio would be
> passing (PLMRS vehicular radios are quite common with
> small and large businesses). Any passing public
> safety agency vehicle would have a radio transceiver
> capable of directly contacting appropriate help.
> Farmers are increasing their use of private radios
> and might be nearby. FRS and GMRS HTs are also
> becoming more common for both private and business
> use. Speed of help calling would be variable
> depending on location, nearby vehicular traffic.
>
> 6. Lacking any of the above, one might look for a non-TV
> or non-CB antenna on a house...high probability of
> that belonging to a radio amateur. [such a search
> could take many hours, though] If the date and time
> corresponded to a ham contest time, the ham might not
> respond quickly. Even if the ham responded and began
> calling, there is no assurance that anyone would hear
> or pay attention to some emergency plea; that would
> violate the normal conversation that goes on in ham
> bands and cause much on-air disputes, further clogging
> calls for help. Speed of help calling is variable,
> anything from several minutes to many hours. Not that
> it matters since the victim already died after trying
> to get through all the ragchewing, self-styled radio
> police, and general cat-calling by other amateurs.
>
> 7. Blow Code is of NO help since he is not verified as a
> valid (or invalid) licensed radio amateur.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

5026. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 01:06:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <tk4uh2dh82m53rpoucr8nduc0ous56rf0e@4ax.com>
Source record: 5656
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 19:27:33 -0500, Nada Tapu <user@host.domain>
wrote:

>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 16:39:47 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
>>>And you probably answered some theory questions about modes you'll
>>>never use and formulas you'll never see again. Maybe we should just
>>>eliminate the theory exam, too.
>
>>and what do we gain by doing that it is certainly an option when
>>eleimate code testing we eleimate something that makes the ARS look
>>stpuid obviously we gain by that
>
>Look stupid? Oh, excuse me!
yes you are stupid and anothe rof the usenet cowards

>
>There are a lot of people who don't want to be bothered with the
>theory exam, either. And when it comes right down to it, what do we
>really need a theory exam for?
you tell me

I think it benifits the ARS by insuring that new hams reconize the
term and the rules involved in the ARS

don't you think it does that?

> Most CB'ers and electronic hobbyists
>have the technical expertise to put a multi-band rig and antenna on
>the air and start operating without any trouble at all.

and many so Indeed we could despense with the technical question sif
it was found to be to our benifit

> They feel they
>shouldn't need to take a test in order to do that, and a good case may
>be made in favor of that approach. Homebrew and experiment? Sure, why
>not? They can do that too. I did when I was on CB, so why can't
>others? Why should I take a test that includes superflous questions
>about operating modes and aspects of electronics and computers that I
>have absolutely no intention of employing in my day to day station
>operation?
>
>Now allow me to put on the "other hat".

pput on such hats as you please
>
>CW is a part of amateur radio's heritage and history.

agreed
>One has to
>embrace the past to realize where one is today.
that is merely one method but one is not required to emabrace the past
or to real;ize where we are today
> Having said that, CW
>is not an obsolete mode by any means;

it is obslete
>it is timeless. It was a viable
>communications mode 50 years ago, it still is today, and it will still
>be perfectly viable 10,000 years from now.

which does not prevent it from being oselte

the Longbow it is still a vaible weapon today will be for some time to
come

it is none the less obeslete
> It's spectrum efficient
>and highly effective under adverse conditions. So what if it happens
>to be dated? There is absolutlely nothing wrong with the preservation
>and continued use of old but perfectly good technologies.

and I don't object to YOU doing so but I do object to your insistance
on public specturm being used to do as a complution on all those that
wish to use it
> It's just
>too bad if some operators feel that a certain operating mode reflects
>badly on the amateur community solely because it's been around for a
>long time. There is nothing "stupid" about this issue.

what is stupid is this insistance that I must help to preserve some
thing that YOU value and wish preserved and that I think we would be
better off leting it go the way of Spark
>
>Am I supporting the elimination of the theory exam and promoting the
>testing of morse skills? Of course not, although I can see how one
>would reach that conclusion from my statements. I'm being purposely
>obtuse.
and insulting
> What amateur radio needs is BALANCE.

which it will lack as long as the ARS insist on worshiping the ONE
mode CW above the rest of the ARS combined as the leicense system does
today
> It needs operators with
>a rich set of skills and traits that will set it apart from the other
>radio services. When those skills and traits cease to exist, the
>service will perish, and eventually the spectrum will be sold to the

>highest bidder.
>
>My $.02 Draw your own conclusions.
my conclusion is that you will twist truth and logic anyway you like
to achive your end

for that matter so will I
>
>- - . . . . . - -
>
>NT
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5027. Re: SC: Been practicing code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 01:09:51 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <zzETg.3638$DU3.2826@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 5657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:r_iTg.678$Lv3.664@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
> news:f4uoh2p6kcadicpmrl2bc2onk0b2ipm19a@4ax.com:
>
>> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 23:23:21 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>> thusly:
>>
>>>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>news:MPG.1f839935fa9c8f97989a2e@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>>
>>>> In article <CwiSg.6715$UG4.5839@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>>>> my.mail@no.spam says...
>>>>> .
>>>>> They just tease you because of all the stupid things you say when you
>>>>> follow up to them. Just ignore them and they'll stop bothering you.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> How your code practice coming along?
>>>>>
>>>>> SC
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> .-- --- ..- .-.. -.. / -.-- --- ..- / .--. .-.. . .- ... . / -.-. ....
>>>> .- -. --. . / - .... . / ... ..- -... .--- . -.-. - null / .-- . / .-
>>>> .-. . / - .. .-. . -.. / --- ..-. / - .- .-.. -.- .. -. --. / .- -...
>>>> --- ..- - / -.-. --- -.. . null
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>So what do you like to talk about then? Roger's Ass?
>>>
>>>I like to talk about saving ham radio. How's your code practice coming
>>>along?
>>
>> Ham radio needs to be saved from arrogant pricks like you. Leave it
>> already.
>
>
> I'm not arrogant, I'm just trying to start a movement to keep ham radio
> out of the hands of the sick, lame, and lazy.
>
> Ten-Four, breaker, breaker?

>
> SC

They already have it. Most call them "extras". "K5xx here, how's your prostrate today, Phil? K5xx, over."

5028. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 01:30:17 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159666217.027734.282960@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5658
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri, Sep 29 2006 9:00 pm
> >
> >

> Correction... that's "9 -dash- 1 -dash- 1." Robesin says so.
then it MUST be worng BB you should know that by now

5029. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 01:44:16 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1159667056.586603.98450@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5659
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

kb9rqz@hotmail.com wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri, Sep 29 2006 9:00 pm
> > >
> > >
>
> > Correction... that's "9 -dash- 1 -dash- 1." Robesin says so.
> then it MUST be worng BB you should know that by now

It's come full circle. ;^)

5030. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 02:27:51 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <l19uh21c32cdidrdsoe7022a1g278m2e4d@4ax.com>
Source record: 5660
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 14:54:46 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
spake thusly:

>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 06:54:33 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>>On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 19:57:18 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>spake thusly:
>>>On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 11:18:30 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>>>> Nice strawman. The pixels form a full complete picture. Beeps are just
>>>>> beeps.
>
>>>And speech is just noise if you don't understand it.
>
>>Speech has infection.
>
>Not if you keep your saliva to yourself.

My bad. Was supposed to be "inflection". Aren't spell checkers
supposed to read your mind?? ;-)

>> You can say the same sentence 10 different ways
>>and it can have 10 different meanings depending on the emotion invoked
>>in the speech. You know....the HUMAN element.
>
>ANY communication you don't understand, including CW, is like that.
>You can't tell us what candy tastes like if you're standing on the
>street looking through the window of the store.
>
>>>>> One dimensional. That they form a recognizable pattern does not
>>>>> make them more. Humans are highly visual creatures.
>
>>>Which is why it was said, for many centuries, I suppose, that it's
>>>speech that separates us from the animals. (We're a lot less visually
>>>oriented than a lot of other species.)
>
>>See above.
>
>You're simply wrong. Humans are aural creatures. Argue with me when
>you get enough education in the subject that you're qualified to
>discuss it.

Are YOU qualified? We gather more information about our environment
from vision than any other sense. Now, that's NOT to say that we have

the best vision in the animal kingdom. Our vision is refined and
depended on at the expense of our other senses. Ever have a pet cat or
dog that was blind and deaf? I have and you would be surprised how
well then can adapt with just the sense of smell and touch alone.
Humans need some degree of assistance.

>>>>>I have listened to
>>>>> code for years. Being able to make out a few letters does nothing for
>>>>> me.
>
>>>I have listened to Turkish for years. Being able to make out a few
>>>words does nothing for me.
>
>>>But there are a lot of Turks who feel otherwise.
>
>>Bet you can easily tell what kind of a mood the speaker is in just by
>>his tone.
>
>I can tell that on the air too - in CW. I can't tell it here, so I
>guess you'll be leaving Usenet.

Why would I want to leave usenet? You're not making any sense. Want to
use CW? Go right ahead, you have that right. Nobody has ever said that
you shouldn't be able to.

>> I don't speak Ukrainian but I sure knew when my grandmother
>>was mad at me.
>
>Not by her words, though, which is what you're claiming. So tell me,
>what mood am I in at the moment? Evidently, since Usenet is a visual
>medium, you can tell.

I never said I could tell by her words. Usent is text, by the way, not
visual.

>Or you just don't know what you're talking about.
>
>>>Your insularity is showing.
>
>>Not insularity...humanity.
>
>Which has nothing to do with communication, which every life form
>participates in - even those who have no analog of vision.

Not quite sure what point you are making here.
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

5031. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 02:38:24 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <qt9uh2d7om8v6urm715ngkft0nmi0j0pq6@4ax.com>
Source record: 5661
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 14:55:38 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
spake thusly:

>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 06:55:48 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:36:29 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>thusly:
>
>>>No Markie, being able to communicate is good. Can you say, "is good"?
>
>>And communicating with human emotion as opposed to emotionless beeps
>>is better.
>
>And pixels show emotion?

This is an strawman. You know full well what I mean.

I'll tell my daughter's occupational therapist to quit using a monitor
screen to teach her how to recognize emotions of people's faces
pictured on the screen. After all, I have just been told that you
can't view a persons mood by the look on his face if it is composed of
pixels on a screen.

>When you actually get into high school, let us know.

That was uncalled for and childish. Your arguments are based on a
false premise that I and other want to ban the use of CW or that it is
useless. We're only opposed to it being required to pass a test. I
question those who say it's as good as a human voice. It isn't and you
can't say otherwise. Romanticize it all you want. It is what it is.
Nothing more.
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

5032. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 02:53:38 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <50buh2994btd26dtaoq0i5qhi7kjo4s23o@4ax.com>
Source record: 5662
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 02:27:51 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:

>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 14:54:46 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>spake thusly:
>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 06:54:33 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:

>>You're simply wrong. Humans are aural creatures. Argue with me when
>>you get enough education in the subject that you're qualified to
>>discuss it.

>Are YOU qualified? We gather more information about our environment
>from vision than any other sense.

We gather more information from fellow humans by words than by any
other means. And words aren't processed in the visual cortex, not
even written words.

>Ever have a pet cat or
>dog that was blind and deaf? I have and you would be surprised how
>well then can adapt with just the sense of smell and touch alone.
>Humans need some degree of assistance.

Apples and oranges. Deaf-blind people get along pretty well too, if
they're given food, water and all the comforts of home by someone
else.

>Why would I want to leave usenet?

You don't like CW because you can't tell emotions on CW. Since you
can't tell emotions on Usenet, you evidently don't like Usenet. Or
you're being inconsistent.

>>> I don't speak Ukrainian but I sure knew when my grandmother
>>>was mad at me.

>>Not by her words, though, which is what you're claiming. So tell me,
>>what mood am I in at the moment? Evidently, since Usenet is a visual
>>medium, you can tell.

>I never said I could tell by her words.

That's what this discussion is about, so I guess the grandmother story
is just a red herring.

> Usent is text, by the way, not visual.

I'll have to start using my ears to read your posts, then.

>>>>Your insularity is showing.

>>>Not insularity...humanity.

>>Which has nothing to do with communication, which every life form
>>participates in - even those who have no analog of vision.

>Not quite sure what point you are making here.

The discussion was about communication. YOUR discussion. You started
it. Did you forget what you were talking about?

5033. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 02:56:12 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159671372.199668.162150@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5663
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 02:27:51 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:

> > Usent is text, by the way, not visual.
>
> I'll have to start using my ears to read your posts, then.
iondeed we all knew you were not reading the text of anybody Klenex

5034. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 03:00:08 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <qdbuh25d8unuc2ore6rb8ukrdf4ge3frna@4ax.com>
Source record: 5664
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 02:38:24 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:

>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 14:55:38 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>spake thusly:
>
>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 06:55:48 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>
>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:36:29 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>>thusly:
>>
>>>>No Markie, being able to communicate is good. Can you say, "is good"?
>>
>>>And communicating with human emotion as opposed to emotionless beeps
>>>is better.
>>
>>And pixels show emotion?
>
>This is an strawman. You know full well what I mean.

Since I can fully communicate using "emotionless beeps", no.

>I'll tell my daughter's occupational therapist to quit using a monitor
>screen to teach her how to recognize emotions of people's faces
>pictured on the screen. After all, I have just been told that you
>can't view a persons mood by the look on his face if it is composed of
>pixels on a screen.

No you haven't, but you're being told that if you're not being
deliberately facetious, you're appearing to be pretty stupid.

>>When you actually get into high school, let us know.

>That was uncalled for and childish.

It was completely called for.

>Your arguments are based on a
>false premise that I and other want to ban the use of CW or that it is
>useless.

The original discussion was about requiring it, not banning it. My
attention span's not that short.

> We're only opposed to it being required to pass a test.

So be opposed to testing altogether. Oh, there's already a way to get
on the air without a test. You just don't like that way. Now that's

being childish.

>I question those who say it's as good as a human voice.

How can you question a language you don't even begin to understand?

>It isn't and you can't say otherwise.

Sure I can - I understand and use it - you don't, so you can't
intelligently discuss what it is or isn't at all.

5035. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 03:03:02 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159671782.638527.316370@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5665
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 02:38:24 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>

> >That was uncalled for and childish.
>
> It was completely called for.
hi steve

5036. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 03:54:16 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <ruduh2p7m949ffmp0pghfllpubtjoha49c@4ax.com>
Source record: 5666
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 22:53:38 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
spake thusly:

>On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 02:27:51 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 14:54:46 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>spake thusly:
>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 06:54:33 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>>>You're simply wrong. Humans are aural creatures. Argue with me when
>>>you get enough education in the subject that you're qualified to
>>>discuss it.
>
>>Are YOU qualified? We gather more information about our environment
>>from vision than any other sense.
>
>We gather more information from fellow humans by words than by any
>other means. And words aren't processed in the visual cortex, not
>even written words.

There is a lot more information in our environment than just raw data.

>>Ever have a pet cat or
>>dog that was blind and deaf? I have and you would be surprised how
>>well then can adapt with just the sense of smell and touch alone.
>>Humans need some degree of assistance.
>
>Apples and oranges. Deaf-blind people get along pretty well too, if
>they're given food, water and all the comforts of home by someone
>else.

A blind person cannot sniff his way around as well as a dog or cat,
therefore a white cane is needed or an unchanging closed environment.

>>Why would I want to leave usenet?
>
>You don't like CW because you can't tell emotions on CW. Since you
>can't tell emotions on Usenet, you evidently don't like Usenet. Or
>you're being inconsistent.

My turn to say apples and oranges then. Can you quote where I said
that I didn't like CW? Basically, I say that it's only good for
submitting raw data, like usenet. Didn't say that it was a bad thing,
just not a full, complete way to engage in human discourse. It should
also not be a barrier to the use of amateur radio.

>>>> I don't speak Ukrainian but I sure knew when my grandmother
>>>>was mad at me.
>
>>>Not by her words, though, which is what you're claiming. So tell me,
>>>what mood am I in at the moment? Evidently, since Usenet is a visual
>>>medium, you can tell.
>
>>I never said I could tell by her words.
>
>That's what this discussion is about, so I guess the grandmother story
>is just a red herring.

No that was NOT my point. Let me be more precise: The inflection added
by actual voice results in a conversation that is much more than the
sum of it's parts, the parts being the words used. My grandmother
example simply showed that inflection adds so much more to a
conversation that it can, at times, convey some information on it's
own without words.

>> Usent is text, by the way, not visual.
>
>I'll have to start using my ears to read your posts, then.

Raw data [text] is all that's needed for this conversation.

>>>>>Your insularity is showing.
>
>>>>Not insularity...humanity.
>
>>>Which has nothing to do with communication, which every life form
>>>participates in - even those who have no analog of vision.
>
>>Not quite sure what point you are making here.
>
>The discussion was about communication. YOUR discussion. You started
>it. Did you forget what you were talking about?

You insist on reducing the term "communication" to just an exchange of
data. I am trying to point out that there is MUCH more to human
interactions than just data.
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

5037. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 04:05:15 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <uveuh2dijrdi8l804rmoh5p3shvtvnfntr@4ax.com>
Source record: 5667
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 23:00:08 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
spake thusly:

>On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 02:38:24 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 14:55:38 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>spake thusly:
>>
>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 06:55:48 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:36:29 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>>>thusly:
>>>
>>>>>No Markie, being able to communicate is good. Can you say, "is good"?
>>>
>>>>And communicating with human emotion as opposed to emotionless beeps
>>>>is better.
>>>
>>>And pixels show emotion?
>>
>>This is an strawman. You know full well what I mean.
>
>Since I can fully communicate using "emotionless beeps", no.

In the other thread, I explained how your beeps are just a trade of
raw data.

>>I'll tell my daughter's occupational therapist to quit using a monitor
>>screen to teach her how to recognize emotions of people's faces
>>pictured on the screen. After all, I have just been told that you
>>can't view a persons mood by the look on his face if it is composed of
>>pixels on a screen.
>
>No you haven't, but you're being told that if you're not being
>deliberately facetious, you're appearing to be pretty stupid.

You're the one who used the term pixels like they are just an exchange
of raw data. Technically, the are. But they are much more than the sum
of their parts.

>>>When you actually get into high school, let us know.
>
>>That was uncalled for and childish.
>
>It was completely called for.

You are wrong.

>>Your arguments are based on a
>>false premise that I and other want to ban the use of CW or that it is
>>useless.
>
>The original discussion was about requiring it, not banning it. My
>attention span's not that short.
>
>> We're only opposed to it being required to pass a test.
>
>So be opposed to testing altogether. Oh, there's already a way to get
>on the air without a test. You just don't like that way. Now that's
>being childish.

The fact that I fully support technical testing is well established.
Others who want to end code testing generally feel the same way. This
is well established.

>>I question those who say it's as good as a human voice.
>
>How can you question a language you don't even begin to understand?

I have already pointed out that you can get much information beyond
just data. And, no matter what you say, beeps are just data.

>>It isn't and you can't say otherwise.
>
>Sure I can - I understand and use it - you don't, so you can't
>intelligently discuss what it is or isn't at all.

Keep on using it then. But don't tell me that I must know it in order
to use my voice on the radio.
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

5038. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 05:36:54 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159681014.774892.184650@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5668
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm

>On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:

>>no slow code the number are down because with Code testing looks so
>>stpupid
>
>The numbers are down for a variety of reasons, but I suspect that
>computers and the internet are the major factors, not the CW
>requirement.

The ready-built Personal Computer first appeared in 1976,
30 years ago (the "IBM PC" debuted in 1980, 26 years ago).
The Internet went public in 1991, 15 years ago.
"Restructuring" to drop the morse test rate to 5 WPM
for all such tests happened only 6 years ago.

The peak licensing of 737,938 happened on 2 Jul 03, just
3 years ago. [they've been dropping at an average of 7K
per year ever since]

I disagree on your reasons stated in your quote above.

>When I ask technical people about why they haven't
>acquired an interest in amateur radio, I never get the CW requirement
>as a response.

Strange, I hear that response. Having been IN radio-
electronics for over a half century, I DO know some
"technical people." :-)

Manual radiotelegraphy was a MUST to use early radio
as a communications medium. The technology of early
radio was primitive, simple, and not yet developed.
On-off keying was the ONLY practical way to make it
possible to communicate. Morse code was then already
mature and a new branch of communications was open
to use by downsized landline telegraphers.

> They simply view the whole service as outmoded in the
>face of modern telecommunications.

PART of that IS true. NOT all of it.

What IS outmoded (technically) is sitting only on HF
and "working" other stations with morse radiotelegraphy.
Amateur radio is the ONLY radio service still using

morse radiotelegraphy for communications purposes.

Another thing outmoded is the strict "necessity" to use a formalism in "procedure" AS IF it was "professional" radio. That formalism was established between 50 to 70 years ago. Amateur radio, by definition, is NOT professional. Too many olde-tymers want to PRETEND they are pros in front of their ham rigs.

But, there is still an enormous area of the EM spectrum that is still open for experimentation, for just the fun of doing something out of the ordinary above 30 MHz. That can be a very different RF environment, much much different than the technology available in the 20s and 30s. It has exciting possibilities...except for the rutted and mired olde-tymers unable to keep up with new things, secure in their own dreams of youth and simple technological environment.

>Let's face it.. the romance is gone.

Oh, boo hoo...the "romance" of the 1930s is gone? Yes, it IS. The "pioneering of the airwaves" below 30 MHz has been DONE...mostly by the pros of radio (despite what the ARRL claims). DONE a long time ago. The solid-state era came into being about 45 years ago and has revolutionized ALL electronics (radio is a subset of that).

Except as memorabilia trinkets of the past, GONE is the analog VFO, GONE is the one-tube regenerative receiver, GONE is the single-crystal-single-frequency Tx, GONE is the big, bulky AM modulator amplifier, GONE is the not-knowing-when-the-bands-are-open (solar activity and ionosonding solved that and HF MUF is a predictable item that can be found by a computer program). Except for the boatanchor afficionados, vacuum tubes are GONE for nearly everything but high-power transmitters.

The radio world of today is NOT that of 1950, nor of 1960, nor 1970, nor even 1980s. It keeps changing, advancing, the state of the art never static. For the stuck-in-the-mud olde tymers that is terrible...they feel insecure on not being able to keep up, become aggressive to newcomers ("no kids, lids or space cadets") and retreat to the "secure" mode of their youth, "CW." But, they want to make sure They get the respect they feel they've "earned" (as if) so they try and try and try to bring all down to THEIR level...the code test MUST stay..."because."

There are 100 million two-way radios in use in the USA alone, millions more in other countries. Those are the

cellular telephones. There are millions of VHF and UHF transceivers in the USA, working daily for public safety agencies, ships, private boats, air carriers as well as private airplanes. There are tens of thousands of HF transceivers in use in the USA, users being everyone from government agencies to private boat owners, ALL exclusive of amateur radio users. Where is the "romance" in all this Plenty from a cornucopia that all have grabbed? It is GONE, yes.

But, NEW "romances" await. DIFFERENT ones, I'd say a helluva lot more complex than old, simple "radio." We can't relive old "romances" except in our minds and we can't grow physically younger. Only person-to-person romance is TRUE, the other "romance" is of the imagination, of the fantasy of what was once there. This fantasy "romance" can't be brought back. It can't be legislated into remaining static. The rules and regulations have to change to keep up with the NOW.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

5039. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 05:40:43 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159681243.639891.88070@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5669
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> kb9rqz@hotmail.com wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri, Sep 29 2006 9:00 pm
> >
> > > Correction... that's "9 -dash- 1 -dash- 1." Robesin says so.
> > then it MUST be worng BB you should know that by now
>
> It's come full circle. ;^)

Har! :-) The robeswine spawned the worm ouroborous! :-)

5040. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 05:47:12 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159681632.553008.205840@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5670
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Nada Tapu wrote:
> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 21:06:23 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> >yes you are stupid and anothe rof the usenet cowards
>
> This discussion is over. You lose. And to prove it, you had to get
> personal and I didn't. I will not engage in a reasoned debate with an
> individual such as you. If you really are an amateur operator, and I
> sincerely doubt that you are, do us all a favor and keep your seething
> hatred and childish foot stomping off of the bands.
>
> NT

If you really are an amateur operator, why can't you give out
your license callsign?

How DOES one have a "reasoned debate" with an anony-mousie
such as "Nada Tapu"?

I've not seen that such is possible in here except for two and
both of them are self-admitted Canadians.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

5041. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 05:47:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <9fluh2tmgmca7l3gehurg85pjksfq2hd6i@4ax.com>
Source record: 5671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 00:41:42 -0500, Nada Tapu <user@host.domain>
wrote:

>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 21:06:23 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
>>yes you are stupid and anothe rof the usenet cowards
>
>This discussion is over.
it never began
> You lose.
hardly
> And to prove it, you had to get
>personal and I didn't.

> I will not engage in a reasoned debate with an
>individual such as you.
obviously since you have yet to make a reasoned post
> If you really are an amateur operator, and I
>sincerely doubt that you are
I am certina am a Ham and a good one
>, do us all a favor and keep your seething
>hatred
hatred that is YOUR department
> and childish foot stomping off of the bands.
>
>NT
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5042. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 05:55:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ruluh2pufl5lfldl970p6nsr6nauqo1brv@4ax.com>
Source record: 5672
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 30 Sep 2006 22:36:54 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>
>
>>On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
>>>no slow code the number are down because with Code testing looks so
>>>stpupid
>>
>>The numbers are down for a variety of reasons, but I suspect that
>>computers and the internet are the major factors, not the CW
>>requirement.
>
> The ready-built Personal Computer first appeared in 1976,
> 30 years ago (the "IBM PC" debuted in 1980, 26 years ago).
> The Internet went public in 1991, 15 years ago.
> "Restructuring" to drop the morse test rate to 5 WPM
> for all such tests happened only 6 years ago.
>
> The peak licensing of 737,938 happened on 2 Jul 03, just
> 3 years ago. [they've been dropping at an average of 7K
> per year ever since]
>
> I disagree on your reasons stated in your quote above.
>
>>When I ask technical people about why they haven't
>>acquired an interest in amateur radio, I never get the CW requirement
>>as a response.
>
> Strange, I hear that response. Having been IN radio-
> electronics for over a half century, I DO know some
> "technical people." :-)
>
> Manual radiotelegraphy was a MUST to use early radio
> as a communications medium. The technology of early
> radio was primitive, simple, and not yet developed.
> On-off keying was the ONLY practical way to make it
> possible to communicate. Morse code was then already
> mature and a new branch of communications was open
> to use by downsized landline telegraphers.
>

>> They simply view the whole service as outmoded in the
>>face of modern telecommunications.
>
> PART of that IS true. NOT all of it.
>
> What IS outmoded (technically) is sitting only on HF
> and "working" other stations with morse radiotelegraphy.
> Amateur radio is the ONLY radio service still using
> morse radiotelegraphy for communications purposes.
>
> Another thing outmoded is the strict "necessity" to use
> a formalism in "procedure" AS IF it was "professional"
> radio. That formalism was established between 50 to 70
> years ago. Amateur radio, by definition, is NOT
> professional. Too many olde-tymers want to PRETEND
> they are pros in front of their ham rigs.
>
> But, there is still an enormous area of the EM spectrum
> that is still open for experimentation, for just the fun
> of doing something out of the ordinary above 30 MHz.
> That can be a very different RF environment, much much
> different than the technology available in the 20s and
> 30s. It has exciting possibilities...except for the
> rutted and mired olde-tymers unable to keep up with new
> things, secure in their own dreams of youth and simple
> technological environment.
>
>>Let's face it.. the romance is gone.
>
> Oh, boo hoo...the "romance" of the 1930s is gone? Yes,
> it IS. The "pioneering of the airwaves" below 30 MHz
> has been DONE...mostly by the pros of radio (despite what
> the ARRL claims). DONE a long time ago. The solid-state
> era came into being about 45 years ago and has
> revolutionized ALL electronics (radio is a subset of that).
>
> Except as memorabilia trinkets of the past, GONE is the
> analog VFO, GONE is the one-tube regenerative receiver,
> GONE is the single-crystal-single-frequency Tx, GONE is
> the big, bulky AM modulator amplifier, GONE is the not-
> knowing-when-the-bands-are-open (solar activity and
> ionosonding solved that and HF MUF is a predictable
> item that can be found by a computer program). Except
> for the boatanchor afficionados, vacuum tubes are GONE
> for nearly everything but high-power transmitters.
>
> The radio world of today is NOT that of 1950, nor of
> 1960, nor 1970, nor even 1980s. It keeps changing,
> advancing, the state of the art never static. For the
> stuck-in-the-mud olde tymers that is terrible...they

> feel insecure on not being able to keep up, become
> aggressive to newcomers ("no kids, lids or space
> cadets") and retreat to the "secure" mode of their
> youth, "CW." But, they want to make sure They get
> the respect they feel they've "earned" (as if) so
> they try and try and try to bring all down to THEIR
> level...the code test MUST stay..."because."
>
> There are 100 million two-way radios in use in the USA
> alone, millions more in other countries. Those are the
> cellular telephones. There are millions of VHF and UHF
> transceivers in the USA, working daily for public
> safety agencies, ships, private boats, air carriers as
> well as private airplanes. There are tens of thousands
> of HF transceivers in use in the USA, users being
> everyone from government agencies to private boat
> owners, ALL exclusive of amateur radio users. Where is
> the "romance" in all this Plenty from a cornucopia that
> all have grabbed? It is GONE, yes.
>
> But, NEW "romances" await. DIFFERENT ones, I'd say a
> helluva lot more complex than old, simple "radio." We
> can't relive old "romances" except in our minds and we
> can't grow physically younger. Only person-to-person
> romance is TRUE, the other "romance" is of the
> imagination, of the fantasy of what was once there.
> This fantasy "romance" can't be brought back. It can't
> be legislated into remaining static. The rules and
> regulations have to change to keep up with the NOW.
a nice peice of writing stored I may lift a peice or for something I
am working on

but yes their is still magaic I play with it (although yes acess to HF
would be a help as I learn the ins and out of EME and other VHF+ modes
pity that progress in the ARS is opposed at every turn by hams
themslves
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5043. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 05:58:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <v6muh255bt64qq7sboafjoop0rkvp4eree@4ax.com>
Source record: 5673
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 30 Sep 2006 22:47:12 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>Nada Tapu wrote:
>> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 21:06:23 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>
>> >yes you are stupid and anothe rof the usenet cowards
>>
>> This discussion is over. You lose. And to prove it, you had to get
>> personal and I didn't. I will not engage in a reasoned debate with an
>> individual such as you. If you really are an amateur operator, and I
>> sincerely doubt that you are, do us all a favor and keep your seething
>> hatred and childish foot stomping off of the bands.
>>
>> NT
>
> If you really are an amateur operator, why can't you give out
> your license callsign?
I think he just another avatar of Al Klein since al was having trouble
having killfiled everyone
>
> How DOES one have a "reasoned debate" with an anony-mousie
> such as "Nada Tapu"?
>
> I've not seen that such is possible in here except for two and
> both of them are self-admitted Canadians.
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5044. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 06:15:01 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159683301.474412.100070@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5674
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 30 Sep 2006 22:36:54 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:
>
> >From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
> >
> >
> >>On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >
> >>>no slow code the number are down because with Code testing looks so
> >>>stpupid
> >>
> >>The numbers are down for a variety of reasons, but I suspect that
> >>computers and the internet are the major factors, not the CW
> >>requirement.
> >
> > The ready-built Personal Computer first appeared in 1976,
> > 30 years ago (the "IBM PC" debuted in 1980, 26 years ago).
> > The Internet went public in 1991, 15 years ago.
> > "Restructuring" to drop the morse test rate to 5 WPM
> > for all such tests happened only 6 years ago.
> >
> > The peak licensing of 737,938 happened on 2 Jul 03, just
> > 3 years ago. [they've been dropping at an average of 7K
> > per year ever since]
> >
> > I disagree on your reasons stated in your quote above.
> >
> >>When I ask technical people about why they haven't
> >>acquired an interest in amateur radio, I never get the CW requirement
> >>as a response.
> >
> > Strange, I hear that response. Having been IN radio-
> > electronics for over a half century, I DO know some
> > "technical people." :-)
> >
> > Manual radiotelegraphy was a MUST to use early radio
> > as a communications medium. The technology of early
> > radio was primitive, simple, and not yet developed.
> > On-off keying was the ONLY practical way to make it
> > possible to communicate. Morse code was then already
> > mature and a new branch of communications was open
> > to use by downsized landline telegraphers.

> >
> >> They simply view the whole service as outmoded in the
> >>face of modern telecommunications.
> >
> > PART of that IS true. NOT all of it.
> >
> > What IS outmoded (technically) is sitting only on HF
> > and "working" other stations with morse radiotelegraphy.
> > Amateur radio is the ONLY radio service still using
> > morse radiotelegraphy for communications purposes.
> >
> > Another thing outmoded is the strict "necessity" to use
> > a formalism in "procedure" AS IF it was "professional"
> > radio. That formalism was established between 50 to 70
> > years ago. Amateur radio, by definition, is NOT
> > professional. Too many olde-tymers want to PRETEND
> > they are pros in front of their ham rigs.
> >
> > But, there is still an enormous area of the EM spectrum
> > that is still open for experimentation, for just the fun
> > of doing something out of the ordinary above 30 MHz.
> > That can be a very different RF environment, much much
> > different than the technology available in the 20s and
> > 30s. It has exciting possibilities...except for the
> > rutted and mired olde-tymers unable to keep up with new
> > things, secure in their own dreams of youth and simple
> > technological environment.
> >
> >>Let's face it.. the romance is gone.
> >
> > Oh, boo hoo...the "romance" of the 1930s is gone? Yes,
> > it IS. The "pioneering of the airwaves" below 30 MHz
> > has been DONE...mostly by the pros of radio (despite what
> > the ARRL claims). DONE a long time ago. The solid-state
> > era came into being about 45 years ago and has
> > revolutionized ALL electronics (radio is a subset of that).
> >
> > Except as memorabilia trinkets of the past, GONE is the
> > analog VFO, GONE is the one-tube regenerative receiver,
> > GONE is the single-crystal-single-frequency Tx, GONE is
> > the big, bulky AM modulator amplifier, GONE is the not-
> > knowing-when-the-bands-are-open (solar activity and
> > ionosonding solved that and HF MUF is a predictable
> > item that can be found by a computer program). Except
> > for the boatanchor afficionados, vacuum tubes are GONE
> > for nearly everything but high-power transmitters.
> >
> > The radio world of today is NOT that of 1950, nor of
> > 1960, nor 1970, nor even 1980s. It keeps changing,
> > advancing, the state of the art never static. For the

> > stuck-in-the-mud olde tymers that is terrible...they
> > feel insecure on not being able to keep up, become
> > aggressive to newcomers ("no kids, lids or space
> > cadets") and retreat to the "secure" mode of their
> > youth, "CW." But, they want to make sure They get
> > the respect they feel they've "earned" (as if) so
> > they try and try and try to bring all down to THEIR
> > level...the code test MUST stay..."because."
> >
> > There are 100 million two-way radios in use in the USA
> > alone, millions more in other countries. Those are the
> > cellular telephones. There are millions of VHF and UHF
> > transceivers in the USA, working daily for public
> > safety agencies, ships, private boats, air carriers as
> > well as private airplanes. There are tens of thousands
> > of HF transceivers in use in the USA, users being
> > everyone from government agencies to private boat
> > owners, ALL exclusive of amateur radio users. Where is
> > the "romance" in all this Plenty from a cornucopia that
> > all have grabbed? It is GONE, yes.
> >
> > But, NEW "romances" await. DIFFERENT ones, I'd say a
> > helluva lot more complex than old, simple "radio." We
> > can't relive old "romances" except in our minds and we
> > can't grow physically younger. Only person-to-person
> > romance is TRUE, the other "romance" is of the
> > imagination, of the fantasy of what was once there.
> > This fantasy "romance" can't be brought back. It can't
> > be legislated into remaining static. The rules and
> > regulations have to change to keep up with the NOW.
>
> a nice peice of writing stored I may lift a peice or for something I
> am working on

Thank you. Feel free to use any part. I would be nice to
get credit for it, a common courtesy.

> but yes their is still magaic I play with it (although yes acess to HF
> would be a help as I learn the ins and out of EME and other VHF+ modes
> pity that progress in the ARS is opposed at every turn by hams
> themslves

"Magic" is a subjective term. The "magic" of HF worldwide comms
dissolved into reality for me in 1953 on seeing such wholesale
"magic" working 24/7 in tying US Army (and other military) into
the large network going back to the states.

"Different strokes for different folks."

ARRL is still fixated on HF and the "magic" of morse. Since they
influence (if not brainwash) as many US hams as they can with
their huge publishing effort, we aren't supposed to negatively

critique them. That's "not nice" to those who've had their brains washed in that way.

I've seen "real" magic at the Magic Castle in Hollywood, CA. That's mainly a professional association of magicians/illusionists. One can't gain entrance without being admitted by a member. I've had the marvelous opportunity to go in three times there...and be totally fascinated by the illusions. Whenever I see some ham bring up "magic" I think of the Magic Castle. A lot of hams ascribe "magic" to HF comms but that is their own private illusion (or delusion, as the case may be). Shrug. To each his own but I don't like others trying to cram Their delusions into everyone.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

5045. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 08:48:05 UTC
From: Baloo <baloo@ursine.ca>
Message-ID: <5vb4v3-jsd.ln1@ursine.ca>
Source record: 5675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Nada Tapu wrote:
>> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 21:06:23 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>
>> >yes you are stupid and anothe rof the usenet cowards
>>
>> This discussion is over. You lose. And to prove it, you had to get
>> personal and I didn't. I will not engage in a reasoned debate with an
>> individual such as you. If you really are an amateur operator, and I
>> sincerely doubt that you are, do us all a favor and keep your seething
>> hatred and childish foot stomping off of the bands.
>>
>> NT
>
> If you really are an amateur operator, why can't you give out
> your license callsign?

Probably because it's not safe to go waving around more information than you
have to.

http://www.blogs.oregonlive.com/oregonian/newsupdates/default.asp?item=194639
Dispute On CB Airwaves Leads To Fatal Shooting

Yes, the non-CB amateur bands tend to be a little more mature than the
citizens band (or at least as far as the
Washington/Clark/Multnomah/Clackamas County area is concerned).
Unfortunately, Usenet and the Internet are not. If you don't believe me,
go watch Dateline when they sting Internet weirdos sometime to see the
worst of them.

--
Baloo
email & xmpp: baloo@ursine.ca

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5046. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 11:44:08 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cSNTg.668$NE6.481@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 5676
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> What IS outmoded (technically) is sitting only on HF
> and "working" other stations with morse radiotelegraphy.
> Amateur radio is the ONLY radio service still using
> morse radiotelegraphy for communications purposes.

Actually Len, almost all amateur radio operation has
been outmoded by advancing technology which has made
amateur radio first to be redundant and later to be
obsolete. I'm still using the same modes for amateur
radio that I used more than half a century ago.

My daughter lives in New York state. 50 years ago,
I would have tried to talk her into getting a ham
license. Today, Sprint cellphones allow the two of
us to communicate any time, day or night, for free.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

5047. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 12:56:47 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1159707407.782529.173280@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5677
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>
>
> >On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> >>no slow code the number are down because with Code testing looks so
> >>stpupid
> >
> >The numbers are down for a variety of reasons, but I suspect that
> >computers and the internet are the major factors, not the CW
> >requirement.
>
> The ready-built Personal Computer first appeared in 1976,
> 30 years ago (the "IBM PC" debuted in 1980, 26 years ago).
> The Internet went public in 1991, 15 years ago.
> "Restructuring" to drop the morse test rate to 5 WPM
> for all such tests happened only 6 years ago.
>
> The peak licensing of 737,938 happened on 2 Jul 03, just
> 3 years ago. [they've been dropping at an average of 7K
> per year ever since]
>
> I disagree on your reasons stated in your quote above.

Ronald Reagan once said, "Facts are stupid things."

> >When I ask technical people about why they haven't
> >acquired an interest in amateur radio, I never get the CW requirement
> >as a response.
>
> Strange, I hear that response. Having been IN radio-
> electronics for over a half century, I DO know some
> "technical people." :-)

It IS the Code.

> Manual radiotelegraphy was a MUST to use early radio
> as a communications medium. The technology of early
> radio was primitive, simple, and not yet developed.
> On-off keying was the ONLY practical way to make it
> possible to communicate. Morse code was then already
> mature and a new branch of communications was open
> to use by downsized landline telegraphers.

Telegraph.

> > They simply view the whole service as outmoded in the
> >face of modern telecommunications.
>
> PART of that IS true. NOT all of it.
>
> What IS outmoded (technically) is sitting only on HF
> and "working" other stations with morse radiotelegraphy.
> Amateur radio is the ONLY radio service still using
> morse radiotelegraphy for communications purposes.
>
> Another thing outmoded is the strict "necessity" to use
> a formalism in "procedure" AS IF it was "professional"
> radio. That formalism was established between 50 to 70
> years ago. Amateur radio, by definition, is NOT
> professional. Too many olde-tymers want to PRETEND
> they are pros in front of their ham rigs.
>
> But, there is still an enormous area of the EM spectrum
> that is still open for experimentation, for just the fun
> of doing something out of the ordinary above 30 MHz.

ABOVE 30 mhz? Hmmmm?

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2006/09/26/101/?nc=1

> That can be a very different RF environment, much much
> different than the technology available in the 20s and
> 30s. It has exciting possibilities...except for the
> rutted and mired olde-tymers unable to keep up with new
> things, secure in their own dreams of youth and simple
> technological environment.
>
> >Let's face it.. the romance is gone.
>
> Oh, boo hoo...the "romance" of the 1930s is gone? Yes,
> it IS. The "pioneering of the airwaves" below 30 MHz
> has been DONE...mostly by the pros of radio (despite what
> the ARRL claims). DONE a long time ago.

Then why are we: http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2006/09/26/101/?nc=1

> The solid-state
> era came into being about 45 years ago and has
> revolutionized ALL electronics (radio is a subset of that).
>
> Except as memorabilia trinkets of the past, GONE is the
> analog VFO, GONE is the one-tube regenerative receiver,
> GONE is the single-crystal-single-frequency Tx, GONE is
> the big, bulky AM modulator amplifier, GONE is the not-
> knowing-when-the-bands-are-open (solar activity and
> ionosonding solved that and HF MUF is a predictable

> item that can be found by a computer program). Except
> for the boatanchor afficionados, vacuum tubes are GONE
> for nearly everything but high-power transmitters.
>
> The radio world of today is NOT that of 1950, nor of
> 1960, nor 1970, nor even 1980s. It keeps changing,
> advancing, the state of the art never static. For the
> stuck-in-the-mud olde tymers that is terrible...they
> feel insecure on not being able to keep up, become
> aggressive to newcomers ("no kids, lids or space
> cadets") and retreat to the "secure" mode of their
> youth, "CW." But, they want to make sure They get
> the respect they feel they've "earned" (as if) so
> they try and try and try to bring all down to THEIR
> level...the code test MUST stay..."because."
>
> There are 100 million two-way radios in use in the USA
> alone, millions more in other countries. Those are the
> cellular telephones. There are millions of VHF and UHF
> transceivers in the USA, working daily for public
> safety agencies, ships, private boats, air carriers as
> well as private airplanes. There are tens of thousands
> of HF transceivers in use in the USA, users being
> everyone from government agencies to private boat
> owners, ALL exclusive of amateur radio users. Where is
> the "romance" in all this Plenty from a cornucopia that
> all have grabbed? It is GONE, yes.
>
> But, NEW "romances" await. DIFFERENT ones, I'd say a
> helluva lot more complex than old, simple "radio." We
> can't relive old "romances" except in our minds and we
> can't grow physically younger. Only person-to-person
> romance is TRUE, the other "romance" is of the
> imagination, of the fantasy of what was once there.
> This fantasy "romance" can't be brought back. It can't
> be legislated into remaining static. The rules and
> regulations have to change to keep up with the NOW.

Ore even to move us into the future... Leadership.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

5048. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 14:19:25 UTC
From: Jack Ricci <ricci@mnsi.net>
Message-ID: <efoiph02p2h@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 5678
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>You don't like CW because you can't tell emotions on CW. Since you
>can't tell emotions on Usenet, you evidently don't like Usenet. Or
>you're being inconsistent.

··· _ _ _ ··· ··· _ _ _ ··· ··· _ _ _ ···

· _ _ _ _ _ _ ·· _ _ _ _. ··· · _ · ··_ _. _. ··
_· _ _ · ···· ·· _ _. ···

· _ _ _ ·_ _·_· _·_

news:50buh2994btd26dtaoq0i5qhi7kjo4s23o@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 02:27:51 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 14:54:46 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>spake thusly:
>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 06:54:33 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>>>You're simply wrong. Humans are aural creatures. Argue with me when
>>>you get enough education in the subject that you're qualified to
>>>discuss it.
>
>>Are YOU qualified? We gather more information about our environment
>>from vision than any other sense.
>
> We gather more information from fellow humans by words than by any
> other means. And words aren't processed in the visual cortex, not
> even written words.
>
>>Ever have a pet cat or
>>dog that was blind and deaf? I have and you would be surprised how
>>well then can adapt with just the sense of smell and touch alone.
>>Humans need some degree of assistance.
>
> Apples and oranges. Deaf-blind people get along pretty well too, if
> they're given food, water and all the comforts of home by someone
> else.
>
>>Why would I want to leave usenet?
>
> You don't like CW because you can't tell emotions on CW. Since you
> can't tell emotions on Usenet, you evidently don't like Usenet. Or
> you're being inconsistent.

>
>>>> I don't speak Ukrainian but I sure knew when my grandmother
>>>>was mad at me.
>
>>>Not by her words, though, which is what you're claiming. So tell me,
>>>what mood am I in at the moment? Evidently, since Usenet is a visual
>>>medium, you can tell.
>
>>I never said I could tell by her words.
>
> That's what this discussion is about, so I guess the grandmother story
> is just a red herring.
>
>> Usent is text, by the way, not visual.
>
> I'll have to start using my ears to read your posts, then.
>
>>>>>Your insularity is showing.
>
>>>>Not insularity...humanity.
>
>>>Which has nothing to do with communication, which every life form
>>>participates in - even those who have no analog of vision.
>
>>Not quite sure what point you are making here.
>
> The discussion was about communication. YOUR discussion. You started
> it. Did you forget what you were talking about?

5049. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 14:41:48 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <MsQTg.10212$6S3.200@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 5679
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Jack Ricci wrote:
> .... .. _ _. ...

Jack, what does "HIGS" mean? Is that a name for
Hams who are pIGS? :-)
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

5050. Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 14:46:49 UTC
From: Jack Ricci <ricci@mnsi.net>
Message-ID: <efokcn02s86@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 5680
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

These are serious questions I have of CW operation, with no harm, malice, hurt, or put-downs intended for anyone, so I hope this thread does not degrade to that point.

When listening to CW on my scanner, are there any tell-tale indications that the operator is dyslexic? Does a dyslexic ham use the same speed, protocol and " short-hand/short-form wording " as a more proficient ham would use in communicating CW? Do dyslexic hams ever really use CW, or would dyslexic hams ever even consider the use of CW on a regular basis? Are dyslexic would-be hams able to pass the CW portion of an exam to get into a higher class of license and increased privileges?

I meant these as very real and serious questions. I have a dyslexic daughter which I'm still trying to comprehend and understand by trying to view reality as she sees it. Wifey and I are somewhat still feeling guilty of having eroded her self-esteem when we were raising her by using usual methods of discipline when she screwed-up excessively, until we finally realized that there was a very real problem that needed dealing with, but in non-usual ways. This is 25 years later, and I still feel like shit about the situation and go way out of my usual way to help her without making the other 3 siblings feel left out, so maybe we should lighten up on some of the dyslexic guys/gals in these newsgroups for having the balls to be here in the first place, let alone wanting to communicate with those who do not have a dyslexia problem.

More power to the dyslexics...I think they should be made to feel welcome. The crappy name-calling should stop, though.

Jack

5051. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 15:10:21 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159715421.503387.138180@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5681
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Jack Ricci wrote:
> These are serious questions I have of CW operation, with no harm, malice,
> hurt, or put-downs intended for anyone, so I hope this thread does not
> degrade to that point.
>
> When listening to CW on my scanner, are there any tell-tale indications
> that the operator is dyslexic? Does a dyslexic ham use the same speed,
> protocol and " short-hand/short-form wording " as a more proficient ham
> would use in communicating CW? Do dyslexic hams ever really use CW, or would
> dyslexic hams ever even consider the use of CW on a regular basis? Are
> dyslexic would-be hams able to pass the CW portion of an exam to get into a
> higher class of license and increased privileges?

some are able to dylexia comes in degrees not all dyslexics are afected
to same degree

OTOH I know of No dyslexic ham that has been able to USE CW onnthe air
without being roundly flamed by the other users of CW
>
> I meant these as very real and serious questions. I have a dyslexic
> daughter which I'm still trying to comprehend and understand by trying to
> view reality as she sees it. Wifey and I are somewhat still feeling guilty
> of having eroded her self-esteem when we were raising her by using usual
> methods of discipline when she screwed-up excessively, until we finally
> realized that there was a very real problem that needed dealing with, but in
> non-usual ways. This is 25 years later, and I still feel like shit about the
> situation and go way out of my usual way to help her without making the
> other 3 siblings feel left out, so maybe we should lighten up on some of the
> dyslexic guys/gals in these newsgroups for having the balls to be here in
> the first place, let alone wanting to communicate with those who do not have
> a dyslexia problem.
>
> More power to the dyslexics...I think they should be made to feel
> welcome. The crappy name-calling should stop, though.

it will not stop however people like Steve have too much of their ego
coming from beating up this cheap target to do otherwise
>
> Jack

5052. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams...One Of Whom is NOT KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 15:19:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159715995.546642.43660@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5682
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> Jack Ricci wrote:
> > These are serious questions I have of CW operation, with no harm, malice,
> > hurt, or put-downs intended for anyone, so I hope this thread does not
> > degrade to that point.
> >
> > When listening to CW on my scanner, are there any tell-tale indications
> > that the operator is dyslexic? Does a dyslexic ham use the same speed,
> > protocol and " short-hand/short-form wording " as a more proficient ham
> > would use in communicating CW? Do dyslexic hams ever really use CW, or would
> > dyslexic hams ever even consider the use of CW on a regular basis? Are
> > dyslexic would-be hams able to pass the CW portion of an exam to get into a
> > higher class of license and increased privileges?
>
> some are able to dylexia comes in degrees not all dyslexics are afected
> to same degree
>
> OTOH I know of No dyslexic ham that has been able to USE CW onnthe air
> without being roundly flamed by the other users of CW

Oh?

Such as whom?

Prove it...Give us the name of ONE case.

Steve, K4YZ

5053. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams...stop thread jacking steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 15:22:59 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159716179.140676.128160@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5683
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Jack Ricci wrote:
> > > These are serious questions I have of CW operation, with no harm, malice,
> > > hurt, or put-downs intended for anyone, so I hope this thread does not
> > > degrade to that point.
> > >
> > > When listening to CW on my scanner, are there any tell-tale indications
> > > that the operator is dyslexic? Does a dyslexic ham use the same speed,
> > > protocol and " short-hand/short-form wording " as a more proficient ham
> > > would use in communicating CW? Do dyslexic hams ever really use CW, or would
> > > dyslexic hams ever even consider the use of CW on a regular basis? Are
> > > dyslexic would-be hams able to pass the CW portion of an exam to get into a
> > > higher class of license and increased privileges?
> >
> > some are able to dylexia comes in degrees not all dyslexics are afected
> > to same degree
> >
> > OTOH I know of No dyslexic ham that has been able to USE CW onnthe air
> > without being roundly flamed by the other users of CW
>
> Oh?

Robeson the dog barks some more

5054. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 15:52:12 UTC
From: Jack Ricci <ricci@mnsi.net>
Message-ID: <efoo570vc3@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 5686
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:MsQTg.10212$6S3.200@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
> Jack Ricci wrote:
>> .... .. _ _. ...
>
> Jack, what does "HIGS" mean? Is that a name for
> Hams who are pIGS? :-)
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

...Nope..." high " was the last word, and I left out a " dot " on the last "
h " to make it an " s " as in " higs " instead of " high " . Just testing
to see if anyone out there cared enough about CW at all to catch
that...Proved my point, I guess :) :) :)

Jack

5055. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 15:55:51 UTC
From: RST Engineering <jim@rstengineering.com>
Message-ID: <ryRTg.4$xS3.60476@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 5684
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

The two of you jerks have made a cesspool out of .misc and .policy. Take this crap to the sewer you have made for yourselves and don't foul this nest with your rantings and ravings.

To the op, I realize the question was asked in sincerity, and I don't have a good answer.

Jim

<kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:1159715421.503387.138180@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> it will not stop however people like Steve have too much of their ego
> coming from beating up this cheap target to do otherwise

5056. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 16:03:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <mkpvh2l71ds08ktsp92rb3vkucogvp5jd2@4ax.com>
Source record: 5685
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 1 Oct 2006 08:55:51 -0700, "RST Engineering"
<jim@rstengineering.com> wrote:

>The two of you jerks have made a cesspool out of .misc and .policy. Take
>this crap to the sewer you have made for yourselves and don't foul this nest
>with your rantings and ravings.
>
No Steve did so I simply choose to make it it imposible you to pretend
that steve is not a kjjerk besides you left the other half Wismen
>To the op, I realize the question was asked in sincerity, and I don't have a
>good answer.
>
>Jim
>
>
>
><kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1159715421.503387.138180@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> it will not stop however people like Steve have too much of their ego
>> coming from beating up this cheap target to do otherwise
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5057. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 17:15:37 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159722937.636138.204440@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5687
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Cecil Moore wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > What IS outmoded (technically) is sitting only on HF
> > and "working" other stations with morse radiotelegraphy.
> > Amateur radio is the ONLY radio service still using
> > morse radiotelegraphy for communications purposes.
>
> Actually Len, almost all amateur radio operation has
> been outmoded by advancing technology which has made
> amateur radio first to be redundant and later to be
> obsolete. I'm still using the same modes for amateur
> radio that I used more than half a century ago.

That is true in essence for all those who "work DX on HF
with CW." :-)

Some will point to modern techniques in radio (DDS, PLL
frequency control, solid-state PAs that need no tuning
controls, etc.) as being advancements. Trouble is, those
advancements came from the designers-manufacturers,
advancements to capture market share of ham consumer
electronics. Using only on-off keying with a state-of-the-
art transceiver seems a waste of available resources in
that equipment.

> My daughter lives in New York state. 50 years ago,
> I would have tried to talk her into getting a ham
> license. Today, Sprint cellphones allow the two of
> us to communicate any time, day or night, for free.

One in three Americans has a cell phone now
according to the US Census Bureau. Each cell
phone is basically a little two-way radio.

No "CW" test is needed to use a cell phone. :-)

I just completed an exchange of files (including hi-
resolution photographs) this morning with another
in Europe. Took only a few minutes. The Internet
stretches over most of the globe, is unaffected by any
ionospheric variation. Those files couldn't be
exchanged via "CW" on HF. [maybe the "phase
shift" impairs such information transfer...:-)]

No "CW" test is needed to use the Internet. :-)

But, in 2006 the FCC regulations still require any radio
amateur to test for "CW" in order to operate on bands
below 30 MHz. None of the other radio services
require that. <shrug>

LenAnderson@ieee.org

5058. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 17:37:28 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159724248.861097.157000@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5690
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
> >
> > >On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >
> > >>no slow code the number are down because with Code testing looks so
> > >>stpupid
> > >
> > >The numbers are down for a variety of reasons, but I suspect that
> > >computers and the internet are the major factors, not the CW
> > >requirement.
> >
> > The ready-built Personal Computer first appeared in 1976,
> > 30 years ago (the "IBM PC" debuted in 1980, 26 years ago).
> > The Internet went public in 1991, 15 years ago.
> > "Restructuring" to drop the morse test rate to 5 WPM
> > for all such tests happened only 6 years ago.
> >
> > The peak licensing of 737,938 happened on 2 Jul 03, just
> > 3 years ago. [they've been dropping at an average of 7K
> > per year ever since]
> >
> > I disagree on your reasons stated in your quote above.
>
> Ronald Reagan once said, "Facts are stupid things."

Heh. But, in here, coders are the only ones with "facts." Anything
a no-coder says is "wrong," "in error" and other endearments. :-)

> > >When I ask technical people about why they haven't
> > >acquired an interest in amateur radio, I never get the CW requirement
> > >as a response.
> >
> > Strange, I hear that response. Having been IN radio-
> > electronics for over a half century, I DO know some
> > "technical people." :-)
>
> It IS the Code.

True enough. But...the coders HAVE their rank-status-
privileges and seem to enjoy looking down on no-coders.
All must do as they did or be called "wrong" or "in error."

> > Manual radiotelegraphy was a MUST to use early radio
> > as a communications medium. The technology of early
> > radio was primitive, simple, and not yet developed.
> > On-off keying was the ONLY practical way to make it
> > possible to communicate. Morse code was then already
> > mature and a new branch of communications was open
> > to use by downsized landline telegraphers.
>
> Telegraph.

Early radio was just a telegraph system without poles and
wires between stations. Mythical tales have turned early radio
into something greater than rocket science.

> > > They simply view the whole service as outmoded in the
> > >face of modern telecommunications.
> >
> > PART of that IS true. NOT all of it.
> >
> > What IS outmoded (technically) is sitting only on HF
> > and "working" other stations with morse radiotelegraphy.
> > Amateur radio is the ONLY radio service still using
> > morse radiotelegraphy for communications purposes.
> >
> > Another thing outmoded is the strict "necessity" to use
> > a formalism in "procedure" AS IF it was "professional"
> > radio. That formalism was established between 50 to 70
> > years ago. Amateur radio, by definition, is NOT
> > professional. Too many olde-tymers want to PRETEND
> > they are pros in front of their ham rigs.
> >
> > But, there is still an enormous area of the EM spectrum
> > that is still open for experimentation, for just the fun
> > of doing something out of the ordinary above 30 MHz.
>
> ABOVE 30 mhz? Hmmmm?
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2006/09/26/101/?nc=1

Ah, yes, the Great 500 KHz "Experiment." AS IF the 500 KHz
region hasn't ALREADY had 80 years plus of determining
whether or not it works for communications! :-)

Good old League, leading all "Back to the Future." :-)

> > That can be a very different RF environment, much much
> > different than the technology available in the 20s and
> > 30s. It has exciting possibilities...except for the
> > rutted and mired olde-tymers unable to keep up with new
> > things, secure in their own dreams of youth and simple
> > technological environment.
> >
> > >Let's face it.. the romance is gone.

> >
> > Oh, boo hoo...the "romance" of the 1930s is gone? Yes,
> > it IS. The "pioneering of the airwaves" below 30 MHz
> > has been DONE...mostly by the pros of radio (despite what
> > the ARRL claims). DONE a long time ago.
>
> Then why are we: http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2006/09/26/101/?nc=1

It's about the same as those who love to Re-Enact the
American Civil War or the American Revolutionary War.
Play-acting at "pioneering" over 8 decades after that
frequency region was picked for the first maritime distress
and safety reserved frequency.

> > The solid-state
> > era came into being about 45 years ago and has
> > revolutionized ALL electronics (radio is a subset of that).
> >
> > Except as memorabilia trinkets of the past, GONE is the
> > analog VFO, GONE is the one-tube regenerative receiver,
> > GONE is the single-crystal-single-frequency Tx, GONE is
> > the big, bulky AM modulator amplifier, GONE is the not-
> > knowing-when-the-bands-are-open (solar activity and
> > ionosonding solved that and HF MUF is a predictable
> > item that can be found by a computer program). Except
> > for the boatanchor afficionados, vacuum tubes are GONE
> > for nearly everything but high-power transmitters.
> >
> > The radio world of today is NOT that of 1950, nor of
> > 1960, nor 1970, nor even 1980s. It keeps changing,
> > advancing, the state of the art never static. For the
> > stuck-in-the-mud olde tymers that is terrible...they
> > feel insecure on not being able to keep up, become
> > aggressive to newcomers ("no kids, lids or space
> > cadets") and retreat to the "secure" mode of their
> > youth, "CW." But, they want to make sure They get
> > the respect they feel they've "earned" (as if) so
> > they try and try and try to bring all down to THEIR
> > level...the code test MUST stay..."because."
> >
> > There are 100 million two-way radios in use in the USA
> > alone, millions more in other countries. Those are the
> > cellular telephones. There are millions of VHF and UHF
> > transceivers in the USA, working daily for public
> > safety agencies, ships, private boats, air carriers as
> > well as private airplanes. There are tens of thousands
> > of HF transceivers in use in the USA, users being
> > everyone from government agencies to private boat
> > owners, ALL exclusive of amateur radio users. Where is
> > the "romance" in all this Plenty from a cornucopia that
> > all have grabbed? It is GONE, yes.

> >
> > But, NEW "romances" await. DIFFERENT ones, I'd say a
> > helluva lot more complex than old, simple "radio." We
> > can't relive old "romances" except in our minds and we
> > can't grow physically younger. Only person-to-person
> > romance is TRUE, the other "romance" is of the
> > imagination, of the fantasy of what was once there.
> > This fantasy "romance" can't be brought back. It can't
> > be legislated into remaining static. The rules and
> > regulations have to change to keep up with the NOW.
>
> Ore even to move us into the future... Leadership.

"Ore" from a mine. The pro-coders say "I've got mine, nya-nya."

It's getting to be "Back to the Future, Part Infinity" if things like the Great 500 KHz Experiment is a sign of things to come from the "representative of all amateurs" in Newington.

Their other "Experiment" is a "contest" to see who can best come up with a whole ham station for LESS than $50 in new part costs. Whoever "wins" that gets a really hefty prize of $100 cash and Publication in QST! Oh, and it is 40 meters only, but "allows" SSB voice to be included. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

5059. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 17:46:24 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Q9TTg.7142$e66.474@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 5691
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Jack Ricci wrote:
> ...Nope..." high " was the last word, and I left out a " dot " on the last "
> h " to make it an " s " as in " higs " instead of " high " . Just testing
> to see if anyone out there cared enough about CW at all to catch
> that...Proved my point, I guess :) :) :)

CW is my favorite mode. I'm a member of FISTS (8741).
Strange that I helped design the 8741 at Intel, huh?

Vanilla Bean ice cream is my favorite ice cream. But
I wouldn't dream of forcing my favorite ice cream on
anyone else, including my fellow amateur radio
operators. QSL?
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

5060. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 18:23:40 UTC
From: Dudley <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <blj1s.qg9.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 5688
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"RST Engineering" <jim@rstengineering.com> wrote in message
news:ryRTg.4$xS3.60476@news.sisna.com...
The two of you jerks have made a cesspool out of .misc and .policy. Take this crap to the sewer you have made for yourselves and don't foul this nest with your rantings and ravings.

To the op, I realize the question was asked in sincerity, and I don't have a good answer.

Jim

==
Nor does Mark, but that won't refrain him from flooding the newsgroups. Perhaps he could get a handle on CW if it were sent backward and upside down? That way, with his head being where it is, perhaps he could "read" it.

www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com

5061. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 18:28:47 UTC
From: Dudley <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <bljbg.rj9.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 5689
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
news:mkpvh2l71ds08ktsp92rb3vkucogvp5jd2@4ax.com...
On Sun, 1 Oct 2006 08:55:51 -0700, "RST Engineering"
<jim@rstengineering.com> wrote:

>The two of you jerks have made a cesspool out of .misc and .policy. Take
>this crap to the sewer you have made for yourselves and don't foul this
nest
>with your rantings and ravings.
>
No Steve did so I simply choose to make it it imposible you to pretend
that steve is not a kjjerk besides you left the other half Wismen
>To the op, I realize the question was asked in sincerity, and I don't have
a
>good answer.
>
>Jim
>
>
>
><kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1159715421.503387.138180@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> it will not stop however people like Steve have too much of their ego
>> coming from beating up this cheap target to do otherwise

Gee, Mark. I really wish you'd speak English.

5062. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 18:31:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <cb20i25p815gcev3na3j0mri9psnn6k2ib@4ax.com>
Source record: 5692
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 1 Oct 2006 13:28:47 -0500, "Dudley" <anon@anon> wrote:

>Gee, Mark. I really wish you'd speak English.
but I do you just choose tnot to understand steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5063. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 19:04:03 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159729443.788890.153450@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5693
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Jack Ricci wrote:
> These are serious questions I have of CW operation, with no harm, malice,
> hurt, or put-downs intended for anyone, so I hope this thread does not
> degrade to that point.
it did not take long but you need not feel guilty about it at least it
was on topic discusion
>
> When listening to CW on my scanner, are there any tell-tale indications
> that the operator is dyslexic? Does a dyslexic ham use the same speed,
> protocol and " short-hand/short-form wording " as a more proficient ham
> would use in communicating CW? Do dyslexic hams ever really use CW, or would
> dyslexic hams ever even consider the use of CW on a regular basis? Are
> dyslexic would-be hams able to pass the CW portion of an exam to get into a
> higher class of license and increased privileges?
some dylesxic do manage to pass. I know of No dylexic ham that use CW
on air at all, at least not for very long

MyselfI used to operate it using keyboard provide code paractice for
some friends (anyone that can follow my typing rendered in CW) can
pass a test at the same speed
>
> I meant these as very real and serious questions. I have a dyslexic
> daughter which I'm still trying to comprehend and understand by trying to
> view reality as she sees it. Wifey and I are somewhat still feeling guilty
> of having eroded her self-esteem when we were raising her by using usual
> methods of discipline when she screwed-up excessively, until we finally
> realized that there was a very real problem that needed dealing with, but in
> non-usual ways. This is 25 years later, and I still feel like shit about the
> situation and go way out of my usual way to help her without making the
> other 3 siblings feel left out, so maybe we should lighten up on some of the
> dyslexic guys/gals in these newsgroups for having the balls to be here in
> the first place, let alone wanting to communicate with those who do not have
> a dyslexia problem.

some of simply get used to it, and feel frankly a duty to do so some
don't have the strengh I understand that as well
>
> More power to the dyslexics...I think they should be made to feel
> welcome. The crappy name-calling should stop, though.

it will not stop the name calling is the only that gives the callers
any sense they are alive . It reveals them as as sick and pathetic
people that they are my foes in the NG and that giving them a target to
attack this way aids (I feel) my cause in the NG is an interesting

bonus
>
> Jack

5064. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 22:25:43 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <gef0i2ddtreduj74glri5fnljs39ekeamn@4ax.com>
Source record: 5694
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 04:05:15 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:

>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 23:00:08 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>spake thusly:
>>Since I can fully communicate using "emotionless beeps", no.

>In the other thread, I explained how your beeps are just a trade of
>raw data.

So is speech. So is writing. Communication between beings is raw
data. It only conveys meaning to those who understand it.

>>>I'll tell my daughter's occupational therapist to quit using a monitor
>>>screen to teach her how to recognize emotions of people's faces
>>>pictured on the screen. After all, I have just been told that you
>>>can't view a persons mood by the look on his face if it is composed of
>>>pixels on a screen.

>>No you haven't, but you're being told that if you're not being
>>deliberately facetious, you're appearing to be pretty stupid.

>You're the one who used the term pixels like they are just an exchange
>of raw data.

All communication is the exchange of raw data.

>>>>When you actually get into high school, let us know.
>>
>>>That was uncalled for and childish.
>>
>>It was completely called for.
>
>You are wrong.

I would have been ... if you hadn't been acting childish.

>>> We're only opposed to it being required to pass a test.

>>So be opposed to testing altogether. Oh, there's already a way to get
>>on the air without a test. You just don't like that way. Now that's
>>being childish.

>The fact that I fully support technical testing is well established.

But you're being inconsistent. You only want to eliminate code
testing because YOU can't see any merit in code. Many people can't
see any merit in knowing the laws or in having any technical

knowledge, so why not eliminate testing altogether?

Because you want your views to determine what's done. No other cogent reason.

>Others who want to end code testing generally feel the same way. This >is well established.

Yes, it is. They want everything done the way they want it - just like you.

You want to get on the air code-free, use the no code bands - CB. You want to get on frequencies that allow code? Pass a code test. It's not rocket science.

>>>I question those who say it's as good as a human voice.

>>How can you question a language you don't even begin to understand?

>I have already pointed out that you can get much information beyond >just data. And, no matter what you say, beeps are just data.

To you. Why should that matter to the FCC? As I said, you're not qualified to discuss something you have absolutely no understanding of - let alone make decisions about it for others.

>Keep on using it then. But don't tell me that I must know it in order >to use my voice on the radio.

You can use your voice on voice bands - called CB. That's what CB is for - communications for those who don't want to pass a ham test (which includes CW). Like you.

5065. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 22:38:26 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <CrXTg.1595$Lv3.235@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5695
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net> wrote in news:efoo570vc3@enews4.newsguy.com:

>
> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:MsQTg.10212$6S3.200@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
>> Jack Ricci wrote:
>>> .... .. _ _. ...
>>
>> Jack, what does "HIGS" mean? Is that a name for
>> Hams who are pIGS? :-)
>> --
>> 73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com
>
>
> ...Nope..." high " was the last word, and I left out a " dot " on the
> last " h " to make it an " s " as in " higs " instead of " high " .
> Just testing to see if anyone out there cared enough about CW at all to
> catch that...Proved my point, I guess :) :) :)
>
> Jack

I don't think you proved anything. But keep trying.

SC

5066. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 22:38:29 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <FrXTg.1597$Lv3.326@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5696
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net> wrote in
news:efokcn02s86@enews3.newsguy.com:

>
> More power to the dyslexics...I think they should be made to feel
> welcome. The crappy name-calling should stop, though.
>
> Jack

Long ago the FCC thought this might be a problem, so that's why they came
up with CB. You're welcome to CB, Talk all you want on it.

SC

5067. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 22:38:37 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <NrXTg.1603$Lv3.1242@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Nada Tapu <user@host.domain> wrote in
news:9chth2t7cq3g2p6ml6dr85mcntn2fmpuh7@4ax.com:

> On Fri, 18 Aug 2006 21:07:15 -0500, "wa0kbz" <wa0kbz@charter.net>
> wrote:
>
>>Glen
>>Don't know if I 100% agree with your code speeds but I do thing the NO
>>Code should be for 1 year and none renewable.
>>I had to take my license in front of the FCC and not so VE who may or
>>may not help you out to get a license.
>>As I am a big VHFer I don't know how these NO Code guys are going to
>>understand beacons. They are all code and most at 10 ~ 20 wpm. I guess
>>the next thing will be, they will want all beacons either digital or
>>voice. YUK! Not up in the GHZ range yet but maybe some day.
>>73, Bill, WA0KBZ
>
> Right on, Bill! Thanks for your thoughts.
>
> NT

It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have everything over 30
MHz, but it's the code hams that are the one's moving ham radio forward
and doing anything technical wise. I guess the no-coders are still trying
to figure things out.

SC

5068. Re: SC: Been practicing code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 22:38:40 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <QrXTg.1605$Lv3.1107@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
news:hm4sh25jlo4v9cl1cer1uuhv2p8aqotv2u@4ax.com:

> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:36:39 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> thusly:
>
>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>news:f4uoh2p6kcadicpmrl2bc2onk0b2ipm19a@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 23:23:21 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>> thusly:
>>>
>>>>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>news:MPG.1f839935fa9c8f97989a2e@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>>>
>>>>> In article <CwiSg.6715$UG4.5839@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>>>>> my.mail@no.spam says...
>>>>>> .
>>>>>> They just tease you because of all the stupid things you say when
>>>>>> you follow up to them. Just ignore them and they'll stop bothering
>>>>>> you.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> How your code practice coming along?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> SC
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> .-- --- ..- .-.. -.. / -.-- --- ..- / .--. .-.. . .- ... . / -.-.
>>>>> .... .- -. --. . / - .... . / ... ..- -... .--- . -.-. - null / .--
>>>>> . / .- .-. . / - .. .-. . -.. / --- ..-. / - .- .-.. -.- .. -. --. /
>>>>> .- -... --- ..- - / -.-. --- -.. . null
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>So what do you like to talk about then? Roger's Ass?
>>>>
>>>>I like to talk about saving ham radio. How's your code practice
>>>>coming along?
>>>
>>> Ham radio needs to be saved from arrogant pricks like you. Leave it
>>> already.
>>

>>
>>I'm not arrogant, I'm just trying to start a movement to keep ham radio
>>out of the hands of the sick, lame, and lazy.
>
> Democracy will always beat fascists like you.
>
>>Ten-Four, breaker, breaker?
>
> Huh? Ohh..right...you watch too much TV.

Smells like you started a movement too, a bowel movement. Please keep
that smell in rec.radio.cb, Thanks.

SC

5069. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 22:38:41 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <RrXTg.1606$Lv3.382@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5698
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1159588810.130448.295900@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>>
>> Lenny, just to help you feel better about morse code, if I ever hear
>> you are dying and in need of help, I will use CW to get you help. Then
>> you'll know before you die a ham used morse code to save a life and you
>> can rest in peace over CW.
>>
>> SC
>
> Slow, thats IMPOSSIBLE! If you use CW then how can Len die?

Lenny's life was saved. The shock he got at being told he'd been saved by
CW resulted in him having a heart attack, and that killed him.

SC

5070. Re: SWAP MY BITCH FOR YOUR SW HF RIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 22:38:42 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <SrXTg.1607$Lv3.823@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5700
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1159659531.024385.297020@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> Make an offer.

You don't like Woger Wiseman anymore? Maybe he can go stay with Lloyd.

SC

5071. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 22:39:41 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <i3g0i2dplo3thtj6qtju7hrnvuqct08uo6@4ax.com>
Source record: 5551
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 03:54:16 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:

>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 22:53:38 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>spake thusly:
>
>>On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 02:27:51 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>
>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 14:54:46 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>>spake thusly:
>>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 06:54:33 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>
>>>>You're simply wrong. Humans are aural creatures. Argue with me when
>>>>you get enough education in the subject that you're qualified to
>>>>discuss it.
>>
>>>Are YOU qualified? We gather more information about our environment
>>>from vision than any other sense.
>>
>>We gather more information from fellow humans by words than by any
>>other means. And words aren't processed in the visual cortex, not
>>even written words.
>
>There is a lot more information in our environment than just raw data.

Try to stick to one argument at a time. You were arguing for voice -
now you're arguing against it. Or is just any argument that might
possibly be construed to make CW look bad?

>>>Ever have a pet cat or
>>>dog that was blind and deaf? I have and you would be surprised how
>>>well then can adapt with just the sense of smell and touch alone.
>>>Humans need some degree of assistance.

>>Apples and oranges. Deaf-blind people get along pretty well too, if
>>they're given food, water and all the comforts of home by someone
>>else.

>A blind person cannot sniff his way around as well as a dog or cat,
>therefore a white cane is needed or an unchanging closed environment.

Deaf-blind dogs and cats don't normally walk around the streets
without aid. (Domesticated cats, btw, aren't scent-oriented, they're
vision-oriented.)

>>>Why would I want to leave usenet?

>>You don't like CW because you can't tell emotions on CW. Since you
>>can't tell emotions on Usenet, you evidently don't like Usenet. Or
>>you're being inconsistent.

>My turn to say apples and oranges then. Can you quote where I said
>that I didn't like CW? Basically, I say that it's only good for
>submitting raw data, like usenet.

And you don't want to use it - but you do want to use Usenet.
Inconsistent.

> Didn't say that it was a bad thing,
>just not a full, complete way to engage in human discourse.

Neither is voice.

> It should also not be a barrier to the use of amateur radio.

It's not a barrier to USING radio - it's a barrier to one particular
hobby, which incorporates CW as part of itself. You want to ride a
bike as a hobby but not use wheels?

Code - ham.
No code - CB.

If that's too complicated for you to grasp, maybe you should take up
grass-watching as a hobby.

>>>>> I don't speak Ukrainian but I sure knew when my grandmother
>>>>>was mad at me.

>>>>Not by her words, though, which is what you're claiming. So tell me,
>>>>what mood am I in at the moment? Evidently, since Usenet is a visual
>>>>medium, you can tell.

>>>I never said I could tell by her words.
>>
>>That's what this discussion is about, so I guess the grandmother story
>>is just a red herring.

>No that was NOT my point. Let me be more precise: The inflection added
>by actual voice results in a conversation that is much more than the
>sum of it's parts, the parts being the words used. My grandmother
>example simply showed that inflection adds so much more to a
>conversation that it can, at times, convey some information on it's
>own without words.

So if she screamed at you, in Ukrainian, with her face all screwed up,
"You were so good!", you'd get the proper information, that she was
about to take you to the wood shed for the terrible thing you'd done.
Right?

I can convey as much emotion in CW as your grandmother could in
Ukrainian. You don't understand CW, so you can't understand how that
could be true - which is why you're not qualified to discuss the
matter.

My job is like describing the difference between red-orange and orange-red to someone who's been blind from birth. "Red-orange is redder than orange-red." "But ..." No buts - it is. Someone who's never seen just can't understand.

>>> Usent is text, by the way, not visual.

>>I'll have to start using my ears to read your posts, then.

>Raw data [text] is all that's needed for this conversation.

Raw data is all that's available for communication.

>You insist on reducing the term "communication" to just an exchange of
>data. I am trying to point out that there is MUCH more to human
>interactions than just data.

There's much more to human interaction than lexical communications, yes - but we're talking about lexical communications here, so anything else is totally irrelevant. You can't have any more than lexical communication by radio.

But tell bees that their dancing is just raw data. Then translate a bee dance for me, blind man.

5072. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 22:46:17 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <uvg0i29dv73c5m1u39nm1cjqlfjfkbfs3u@4ax.com>
Source record: 5552
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 1 Oct 2006 10:19:25 -0400, "Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net>
wrote:

>"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>>You don't like CW because you can't tell emotions on CW. Since you
>>can't tell emotions on Usenet, you evidently don't like Usenet. Or
>>you're being inconsistent.
>
>... _ _ _ ... ... _ _ _ ... ... _ _ _ ...
>
>. _ _ _ _ _ _ .. _ _ _ _. ... . _ . .._ _. _. ..
>_. _ _ . ... _ _. ...
>
>
>
>. _ _ _ ._ _._. _._
>

Some may be but not mine. I'm cool as an unpowered CPU. (CW wasn't
meant to be read in visual form. Give me 20 or 30 in my ears any
time.)

Oh - _. _ _ _ _..._ _ _ _._ _ . _ _ _ _ _ _ .. _ _ _ _.
... ._. _._. _ _ _ ._.. ._._._

5073. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 22:48:11 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <sah0i2po6ehq80dc5ile993uvk5t7uilrc@4ax.com>
Source record: 5553
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 1 Oct 2006 11:52:12 -0400, "Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net>
wrote:

>...Nope..." high " was the last word, and I left out a " dot " on the last "
>h " to make it an " s " as in " higs " instead of " high " . Just testing
>to see if anyone out there cared enough about CW at all to catch
>that...Proved my point, I guess :) :) :)

Maybe the point was that a lot of us who can read CW should wear their
glasses when reading it on a screen. I swear I saw it as an H. Even
the second time, after I read this post. (That's what astigmatism
will do to you.)

5074. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 23:45:36 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <AqYTg.8122$vJ2.5172@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 5554
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have everything over 30
> MHz, but it's the code hams that are the one's moving ham radio forward
> and doing anything technical wise.

If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
technical expertise.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

5075. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-01 23:53:01 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <xxYTg.1297$5o5.624@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 5555
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:AqYTg.8122$vJ2.5172@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> Slow Code wrote:
>> It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have everything over 30
>> MHz, but it's the code hams that are the one's moving ham radio forward
>> and doing anything technical wise.
>
> If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
> century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
> hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
> technical expertise.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

Not at all. As usual, SC is again proving he is a bitter little man.

5076. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 00:25:47 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1159748747.669518.246580@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5556
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> news:1159588810.130448.295900@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >>
> >> Lenny, just to help you feel better about morse code, if I ever hear
> >> you are dying and in need of help, I will use CW to get you help. Then
> >> you'll know before you die a ham used morse code to save a life and you
> >> can rest in peace over CW.
> >>
> >> SC
> >
> > Slow, thats IMPOSSIBLE! If you use CW then how can Len die?
>
>
> Lenny's life was saved. The shock he got at being told he'd been saved by
> CW resulted in him having a heart attack, and that killed him.
>
> SC

Count me dead, too. I never would have believed it.

5077. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 00:29:35 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159748975.912398.171100@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5557
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:

> Lenny's life was saved. The shock he got at being told he'd been saved by
> CW resulted in him having a heart attack, and that killed him.

Contrary to Blow Code's fantasies, "the reports of my demise have
been exaggerated." [more or less a quote of Samuel Clemens]

I've never had a heart attack, have never died. Now quit imaginging
things that haven't happened. If you keep on, the new moderating
team may make you sit in the corner.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

5078. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 00:33:32 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159749212.507460.206310@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5558
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

From: Al Klein on Sun, Oct 1 2006 3:25 pm

>On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 04:05:15 GMT, Opus- <jbu...@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 23:00:08 -0400, Al Klein <ruk...@pern.invalid> spake thusly:

>>The fact that I fully support technical testing is well established.
>
>But you're being inconsistent. You only want to eliminate code
>testing because YOU can't see any merit in code.

No, there are thousands and thousands of us who want to
eliminate the US amateur radio code test for any
license. It isn't confined to Jim-"Opus".

Go read ALL the comments to last year's NPRM from the
FCC. I did. Can you?

If you look real close you will see that the FCC doesn't
think that the code test is necessary for their needs
in determining which amateur applicant should get a
license. It didn't in 1990, it didn't in 1998, it
didn't in 2004.

The rewrite of Radio Regulation S25 at WRC-03 eliminated
the international need for all administrations to test for
radiotelegraphy for privileges below 30 MHz. It is
optional to include or exclude by all administrations.
The International Amateur Radio Union wanted that rewrite.
The ARRL did NOT. The IARU won.

> Many people can't
>see any merit in knowing the laws or in having any technical
>knowledge, so why not eliminate testing altogether?

Illogical, incosistent reasoning. The discussion is about
the radiotelegraphy test, a stand-alone test solely for
manual radiotelegraphy. It is NOT about the written test
elements so why mention them? [rhetorical question]

You MUST mention the writtens as somehow "related" but
it never was. It's a common ploy by pro-coders but
still irrelevant.

>Because you want your views to determine what's done. No other cogent
>reason.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Insulting insinuation there. Bad form.

>>Others who want to end code testing generally feel the same way. This
>>is well established.
>
>Yes, it is. They want everything done the way they want it - just
>like you.

Tsk, tsk, tsk, more insulting insinuation of alleged
"bad conduct." :-)

>You want to get on the air code-free, use the no code bands - CB.

There is only one "CB band." It requires NO TEST at
all. Never has. :-)

>You want to get on frequencies that allow code?

I've been on many, many "frequencies" that didn't require
radiotelegraphy, either in use or to obtain a license to
operate. Got one of those licenses in 1956.

>Pass a code test. It's not rocket science.

It's unnecessary and certainly NOT a "science." :-)

The only agency in the USA that grants amateur radio
licenses is the FCC and they don't think the code test
proves anything to them insofar as granting any amateur
radio license.

>Why should that matter to the FCC?

Ahem, the FCC is the ONLY agency that grants amateur radio
licenses in the USA.

>As I said, you're not
>qualified to discuss something you have absolutely no understanding of
>- let alone make decisions about it for others.

Klein, you are now violating the general to-be rules of
moderation.

Jim-"Opus" is a Canadian. He is licensed under the jurisdiction
of Industry Canada, NOT the FCC. That is his ONLY "qualification
for exemption" in any discussions about what the FCC does or may
do.

YOU, on the other hand, NOT being IN the FCC, cannot legally
"make decisions about (the code test) it for others." That
decision is up the FCC.

What YOU seem to want to do is force everyone current and
future to take that code test...because you had to take a
code test...and you want to "get even." :-)

>You can use your voice on voice bands - called CB.

Incorrect. There is the Maritime Radio Service. There is the Aeronautical Radio Service. There is the Private Land Mobile Radio Service. There is the little Citizens Band Radio Service. All have bands below 30 MHz and all allow voice. [I've been on all of them] It isn't restricted to just CB.

>That's what CB is
>for - communications for those who don't want to pass a ham test
>(which includes CW). Like you.

Now, now, lets not get testy there old timer. Citizens Band Radio Service was established in the USA in 1958, 46 years ago...as a general-purpose, short-range communications band that would suit the general citizenry. It required NO test whatsoever back then, still doesn't require any test. CB has changed, enlarged in the following 46 years and the number of users outnumber all licensed USA radio amateurs by at least 4:1. [with no licensing for decades, only gross numbers of unsers are possible through sales records such as EIA reports]

I believe that Canada has their own CB. Many countries do. That is irrelevant to the retention or elimination to the radiotelegraphy test for USA radio amateurs.

Now be nice and behave in here or the moderator team to be might make you sit in the corner.

Shalom,

LenAnderson@ieee.org

5079. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 00:37:46 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1159749466.100153.302330@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5559
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > kb9rqz@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri, Sep 29 2006 9:00 pm
> > >
> > > > Correction... that's "9 -dash- 1 -dash- 1." Robesin says so.

> > > then it MUST be worng BB you should know that by now
> >
> > It's come full circle. ;^)
>
> Har! :-) The robeswine spawned the worm ouroborous! :-)

What is that?

5080. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 00:43:10 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159749789.952875.5760@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5561
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 03:54:16 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
> >On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 22:53:38 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
> >spake thusly:
> >
> >>On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 02:27:51 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
> >>
> >>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 14:54:46 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
> >>>spake thusly:
> >>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 06:54:33 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:

>
> Deaf-blind dogs and cats don't normally walk around the streets
> without aid. (Domesticated cats, btw, aren't scent-oriented, they're
> vision-oriented.)

Nonsense. ALL cats (especially domesticated ones) have POOR
vision. They are scent-oriented.

If you've had cats at all you would realize this.

Now why are you gabbling about biological subjects in an amateur
radio policy newsgroup?

>> But tell bees that their dancing is just raw data. Then translate a
>> bee dance for me, blind man.

Now why are you gabbling about biological subjects in an amateur
radio policy newsgroup?

Did you get stung while exiting a cat house?

Be nice Klein or the moderator team to be may make you sit in
the corner.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

5081. Re: SC: Been practicing code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 00:43:18 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <83o0i2d63jkfdtfh8hpg29g097ulv4b10c@4ax.com>
Source record: 5560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 22:38:40 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>news:hm4sh25jlo4v9cl1cer1uuhv2p8aqotv2u@4ax.com:
>
>> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:36:39 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>> thusly:
>>
>>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>>news:f4uoh2p6kcadicpmrl2bc2onk0b2ipm19a@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 23:23:21 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>>> thusly:
>>>>
>>>>>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>>news:MPG.1f839935fa9c8f97989a2e@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>>>>
>>>>> In article <CwiSg.6715$UG4.5839@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>>>>> my.mail@no.spam says...
>>>>>> .
>>>>>> They just tease you because of all the stupid things you say when
>>>>>> you follow up to them. Just ignore them and they'll stop bothering
>>>>>> you.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> How your code practice coming along?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> SC
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> .-- --- ..- .-.. -.. / -.-- --- ..- / .--. .-.. . .- ... . / -.-.
>>>>> .... .- -. --. . / - .... . / ... ..- -... .--- . -.-. - null / .--
>>>>> . / .- .-. . / - .. .-. . -.. / --- ..-. / - .- .-.. -.- .. -. --. /
>>>>> .- -... --- ..- - / -.-. --- -.. . null
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>So what do you like to talk about then? Roger's Ass?
>>>>>
>>>>>I like to talk about saving ham radio. How's your code practice
>>>>>coming along?
>>>>

>>>> Ham radio needs to be saved from arrogant pricks like you. Leave it
>>>> already.
>>>
>>>
>>>I'm not arrogant, I'm just trying to start a movement to keep ham radio
>>>out of the hands of the sick, lame, and lazy.
>>
>> Democracy will always beat fascists like you.
>>
>>>Ten-Four, breaker, breaker?
>>
>> Huh? Ohh..right...you watch too much TV.
>
>
>
>Smells like you started a movement too, a bowel movement. Please keep
>that smell in rec.radio.cb, Thanks.

You never EVER offer any counterpoints. Are you a typical ham? If so,
then let the ARS die with dignity.

5082. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 00:49:17 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159750157.237497.271710@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5562
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > kb9rqz@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > > From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri, Sep 29 2006 9:00 pm
> > > >
> > > > > Correction... that's "9 -dash- 1 -dash- 1." Robesin says so.
>
> > > > then it MUST be worng BB you should know that by now
> > >
> > > It's come full circle. ;^)
> >
> > Har! :-) The robeswine spawned the worm ouroborous! :-)
>
> What is that?

It is the mythical long worm that keeps eating his own tail. :-)

May not be spelled exactly right...I don't speak Wormish.

If Klein wants to post about seeing-eye cats and bees in the
"Code Reader Recommendation" thread, I can describe
worms. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

5083. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 00:56:41 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <7qo0i21i5dg4cb3boc1ok1jrlsc3i8692q@4ax.com>
Source record: 5563
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 22:38:29 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>"Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net> wrote in
>news:efokcn02s86@enews3.newsguy.com:
>
>>
>> More power to the dyslexics...I think they should be made to feel
>> welcome. The crappy name-calling should stop, though.
>>
>> Jack
>
>
>
>Long ago the FCC thought this might be a problem, so that's why they came
>up with CB. You're welcome to CB, Talk all you want on it.

When will CB have the same power and range as ham radio? When it does,
I'll go back.

CB is dead, quit using the term "CB" like an insult. It is short range
and therefore not a comparison.
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

5084. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 00:58:35 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1159750715.617998.89320@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5564
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > kb9rqz@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > > > From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri, Sep 29 2006 9:00 pm
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Correction... that's "9 -dash- 1 -dash- 1." Robesin says so.
> > >
> > > > > > then it MUST be worng BB you should know that by now
> > > > >
> > > > > It's come full circle. ;^)
> > > >
> > > Har! :-) The robeswine spawned the worm ouroborous! :-)
> > >
> > What is that?
>
> It is the mythical long worm that keeps eating his own tail. :-)
>
> May not be spelled exactly right...I don't speak Wormish.
>
> If Klein wants to post about seeing-eye cats and bees in the
> "Code Reader Recommendation" thread, I can describe
> worms. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I never expected to find a worm in the bottom of a tequila bottle. I
expected to find Robeswine there.
```

5085. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 01:17:43 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <hvo0i29mab3jv0n9m559aib0j3fkrjr9gc@4ax.com>
Source record: 5565
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 18:39:41 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
spake thusly:

>On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 03:54:16 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 22:53:38 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>spake thusly:
>>
>>>On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 02:27:51 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 14:54:46 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>>>spake thusly:
>>>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 06:54:33 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>>
>>>>>You're simply wrong. Humans are aural creatures. Argue with me when
>>>>>you get enough education in the subject that you're qualified to
>>>>>discuss it.
>>>
>>>>Are YOU qualified? We gather more information about our environment
>>>>from vision than any other sense.
>>>
>>>We gather more information from fellow humans by words than by any
>>>other means. And words aren't processed in the visual cortex, not
>>>even written words.
>>
>>There is a lot more information in our environment than just raw data.
>
>Try to stick to one argument at a time. You were arguing for voice -
>now you're arguing against it. Or is just any argument that might
>possibly be construed to make CW look bad?

Try to keep up. I have been quite consistent. You have not being
paying attention. I made no argument against voice at all. I made the
argument that a live voice conveys more than just words. Again, try to
keep up.

>>>>Ever have a pet cat or
>>>>dog that was blind and deaf? I have and you would be surprised how
>>>>well then can adapt with just the sense of smell and touch alone.
>>>>Humans need some degree of assistance.
>
>>>Apples and oranges. Deaf-blind people get along pretty well too, if
>>>they're given food, water and all the comforts of home by someone
>>>else.

>
>>A blind person cannot sniff his way around as well as a dog or cat,
>>therefore a white cane is needed or an unchanging closed environment.
>
>Deaf-blind dogs and cats don't normally walk around the streets
>without aid. (Domesticated cats, btw, aren't scent-oriented, they're
>vision-oriented.)

Never had a cat, did you? I grew up with them. They don't depend on
smell near as much as dogs but they depend on it just the same.

>>>>Why would I want to leave usenet?
>
>>>You don't like CW because you can't tell emotions on CW. Since you
>>>can't tell emotions on Usenet, you evidently don't like Usenet. Or
>>>you're being inconsistent.
>
>>My turn to say apples and oranges then. Can you quote where I said
>>that I didn't like CW? Basically, I say that it's only good for
>>submitting raw data, like usenet.
>
>And you don't want to use it - but you do want to use Usenet.
>Inconsistent.

Are we on the radio right now? Your apples and oranges arguments are
getting tiresome. Here in Usenet, the text is preserved. CW is not.
Text on usenet is easily saved for future consideration. Is CW saved
on a radio server for others to listen to and reply to at their
leisure?

>> Didn't say that it was a bad thing,
>>just not a full, complete way to engage in human discourse.
>
>Neither is voice.
>
>> It should also not be a barrier to the use of amateur radio.
>
>It's not a barrier to USING radio - it's a barrier to one particular
>hobby, which incorporates CW as part of itself. You want to ride a
>bike as a hobby but not use wheels?
>
>Code - ham.

Code - long range but only for a few that can pass an archaic test.

>No code - CB.

No code - short range.

>If that's too complicated for you to grasp, maybe you should take up
>grass-watching as a hobby.
>
>>>>>> I don't speak Ukrainian but I sure knew when my grandmother

>>>>>>was mad at me.
>
>>>>>Not by her words, though, which is what you're claiming. So tell me,
>>>>>what mood am I in at the moment? Evidently, since Usenet is a visual
>>>>>medium, you can tell.
>
>>>>I never said I could tell by her words.
>>>
>>>That's what this discussion is about, so I guess the grandmother story
>>>is just a red herring.
>
>>No that was NOT my point. Let me be more precise: The inflection added
>>by actual voice results in a conversation that is much more than the
>>sum of it's parts, the parts being the words used. My grandmother
>>example simply showed that inflection adds so much more to a
>>conversation that it can, at times, convey some information on it's
>>own without words.
>
>So if she screamed at you, in Ukrainian, with her face all screwed up,
>"You were so good!", you'd get the proper information, that she was
>about to take you to the wood shed for the terrible thing you'd done.
>Right?

Don't be so obtuse. I NEVER said that I would get the proper
information. I said "SOME" information..look above. If I understood
Ukrainian then I would get the full message. If she spoke the same
words in monotone English then I would not likely grasp the degree of
anger at what I had or had not done.

>I can convey as much emotion in CW as your grandmother could in
>Ukrainian. You don't understand CW, so you can't understand how that
>could be true - which is why you're not qualified to discuss the
>matter.

Can you say the same words 10 different ways on CW? Let me guess, you
beep harder when you are agitated.

>My job is like describing the difference between red-orange and
>orange-red to someone who's been blind from birth. "Red-orange is
>redder than orange-red." "But ..." No buts - it is. Someone who's
>never seen just can't understand.

Not a good analogy. I can still hear the CW. I just don't know the
meaning. Your analogy is more like trying to describe CW to a deaf
person.

>>>> Usent is text, by the way, not visual.
>
>>>I'll have to start using my ears to read your posts, then.
>
>>Raw data [text] is all that's needed for this conversation.
>

>Raw data is all that's available for communication.

With CW, yes. Raw data plus inflection conveys a fuller conversation.

>>You insist on reducing the term "communication" to just an exchange of
>>data. I am trying to point out that there is MUCH more to human
>>interactions than just data.
>
>There's much more to human interaction than lexical communications,
>yes - but we're talking about lexical communications here, so anything
>else is totally irrelevant. You can't have any more than lexical
>communication by radio.

Oh bull! You get less than you can in person, true, but much more with
an angry or happy voice than with code.

>But tell bees that their dancing is just raw data. Then translate a
>bee dance for me, blind man.

This is relevant how?

Vocal communication is never just a conveyance of information but you
insist that's all that ham radio is.
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

5086. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 01:17:52 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1159751871.976115.185070@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5566
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Al Klein on Sun, Oct 1 2006 3:25 pm
>
> >On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 04:05:15 GMT, Opus- <jbu...@shaw.ca> wrote:
> >>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 23:00:08 -0400, Al Klein <ruk...@pern.invalid> spake thusly:
>
> >>The fact that I fully support technical testing is well established.
> >
> >But you're being inconsistent. You only want to eliminate code
> >testing because YOU can't see any merit in code.
>
> No, there are thousands and thousands of us who want to
> eliminate the US amateur radio code test for any
> license. It isn't confined to Jim-"Opus".

Funny. I haven't followed this thread, but "Opus" would be a screen
name that Jim would choose. I'll have to go read this "Opus" character.

5087. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 02:53:59 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <5pv0i2lchcfi69t05pup8hmvg164ikna0d@4ax.com>
Source record: 5567
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 1 Oct 2006 18:17:52 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com spake
thusly:

>
>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Al Klein on Sun, Oct 1 2006 3:25 pm
>>
>> >On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 04:05:15 GMT, Opus- <jbu...@shaw.ca> wrote:
>> >>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 23:00:08 -0400, Al Klein <ruk...@pern.invalid> spake thusly:
>>
>> >>The fact that I fully support technical testing is well established.
>> >
>> >But you're being inconsistent. You only want to eliminate code
>> >testing because YOU can't see any merit in code.
>>
>> No, there are thousands and thousands of us who want to
>> eliminate the US amateur radio code test for any
>> license. It isn't confined to Jim-"Opus".
>
>Funny. I haven't followed this thread, but "Opus" would be a screen
>name that Jim would choose. I'll have to go read this "Opus" character.

Oh I am just your ordinary, average insecure penguin.
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

5088. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 04:25:28 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <2900075.q9U2II7gGK@www.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 5568
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Jack Ricci wrote:

> These are serious questions I have of CW operation, with no harm,
> malice,
> hurt, or put-downs intended for anyone, so I hope this thread does not
> degrade to that point.

I wouldn't worry about that too much. just be careful of some of the advice dispensed around here though....

>
> When listening to CW on my scanner, are there any tell-tale indications
> that the operator is dyslexic? Does a dyslexic ham use the same speed,
> protocol and " short-hand/short-form wording " as a more proficient ham
> would use in communicating CW? Do dyslexic hams ever really use CW, or
> would
> dyslexic hams ever even consider the use of CW on a regular basis? Are
> dyslexic would-be hams able to pass the CW portion of an exam to get into
> a higher class of license and increased privileges?

well, Dyslexia often affects the interconnection of the visual processing centers with that of visual pattern recognition. I know, I have that problem myself.

Since this problem appears to be one in the visual side of the system, it stands to reason that sound would not be affected in the same way (nor would touch - in my case, braille is far easier for me to read than the printed word was). CW is much the same way for me. I picked it up as a teen, but never did get around to using it, nor did I bother with it when I went in to take my first Amateur radio exame.

you may note how well I type. Actually, its an automatic spell checker correcting some of the "dyslexic typing" my fingers turn out. There are some word variations that still get past the spellcheck though. :(

point is, since CW is a sound language, it might be loads easier for her to learn than say the printed word.

DE N7ZZT
Phoenix, Arizona

5089. Re: SWAP MY BITCH FOR YOUR SW HF RIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 05:52:08 UTC
From: johnvonlaws@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1159768328.670462.167000@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5569
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:1159659531.024385.297020@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
> > Make an offer.
>
>
> You don't like Woger Wiseman anymore? Maybe he can go stay with Lloyd.
>
> SC

idiot.

5090. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 11:37:56 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <oS6Ug.12359$7I1.2576@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 5570
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Opus- wrote:
> Vocal communication is never just a conveyance of information but you
> insist that's all that ham radio is.

I haven't heard any information being conveyed on 75m
phone in years. :-)
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

5091. Re: SC: Been practicing code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 12:24:43 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159791883.749219.261770@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5571
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Opus- wrote:
> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 22:38:40 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> thusly:
>
> >Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
> >news:hm4sh25jlo4v9cl1cer1uuhv2p8aqotv2u@4ax.com:
> >
> >> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:36:39 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> >> thusly:
> >>
> >>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
> >>>news:f4uoh2p6kcadicpmrl2bc2onk0b2ipm19a@4ax.com:
> >>>
> >>>> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 23:23:21 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> >>>> thusly:
> >>>>
> >>>>>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>news:MPG.1f839935fa9c8f97989a2e@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>> In article <CwiSg.6715$UG4.5839@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> >>>>>> my.mail@no.spam says...
> >>>>>> .
> >>>>>> They just tease you because of all the stupid things you say when
> >>>>>> you follow up to them. Just ignore them and they'll stop bothering
> >>>>>> you.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> How your code practice coming along?
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> SC
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> .-- --- ..- .-.. -.. / -.-- --- ..- / .--. .-.. . .- ... . / -.-.
> >>>>>> .... .- -. --. . / - .... . / ... ..- -... .--- . -.-. - null / .--
> >>>>>> . / .- .-. . / - .. .-. . -.. / --- ..-. / - .- .-.. -.- .. -. --. /
> >>>>>> .- -... --- ..- - / -.-. --- -.. . null
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>So what do you like to talk about then? Roger's Ass?
> >>>>>
> >>>>>I like to talk about saving ham radio. How's your code practice
> >>>>>coming along?

> >>>>
> >>>> Ham radio needs to be saved from arrogant pricks like you. Leave it
> >>>> already.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>I'm not arrogant, I'm just trying to start a movement to keep ham radio
> >>>out of the hands of the sick, lame, and lazy.
> >>
> >> Democracy will always beat fascists like you.
> >>
> >>>Ten-Four, breaker, breaker?
> >>
> >> Huh? Ohh..right...you watch too much TV.
> >
> >
> >
> >Smells like you started a movement too, a bowel movement. Please keep
> >that smell in rec.radio.cb, Thanks.
>
> You never EVER offer any counterpoints. Are you a typical ham? If so,
> then let the ARS die with dignity.

And penquin boy couldn't even pass any of the tests to get a ham
license.

5092. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 12:48:43 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159793323.108794.83920@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5572
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an old friend wrote:
> Indy Ham wrote:
> > Woger needs his ass kicked is the problem. It almost happened at Dayton 3 or
> > 4 years ago. Remember Woger? You ran and got the police. I remember....

No, Roachdale fucktard, you must be thinking about yourself.

> woger has showed at a ham fest I am shocked but sadly what woger realy
> needs is euthenizing

Roger has never been to Dayton hamfest, retard.

5093. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 13:05:59 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159794358.909907.166760@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5573
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

```
Opus- wrote:
> On 29 Sep 2006 04:39:27 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
>
> >
> >Spock ear wearing Penquin boy Opus- whined:
> >> On 28 Sep 2006 11:43:39 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
> >> <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >Penquin boy Opus- whined:
> >> >> On 27 Sep 2006 04:44:59 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> >> >> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Opus- wrote:
> >> >> >> On 26 Sep 2006 05:53:24 -0700, billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
> >> >> >> spake thusly:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >an_old_friendless child raper wrote:
> >> >> >> >> Opus- wrote:
> >> >> >> >> > On Mon, 25 Sep 2006 23:59:05 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> >> >> >> >> > thusly:
> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> > >"an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
> >> >> >> >> > >news:1159124520.451162.214350@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
> >> >> >> >> > >
> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> > >How's your code practice coming along Markie? It's easy and fun. You have
> >> >> >> >> > >to learn it if you want to be a real ham.
> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> > That's like saying you have to learn bloodletting to be a real doctor.
> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >>Nope, it's just another language.
> >> >> >> >>But, you have trouble with English, so it is to be expected.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> You don't get it, do you? But if you insist, many many years ago you
> >> >> >> HAD to learn Latin to attend university. Then, a few people bucked the
> >> >> >> trend and started removing the requirement to know Latin. Oh sure, the
> >> >> >> old guard resisted. Many professors refused to work without Latin as a
> >> >> >> requirement, ostensibly because they felt that the Latin requirement
> >> >> >> would demonstrate serious commitment to learning. But the world
```

> >> >> >> progressed and now conversational Latin is no longer required, save
> >> >> >> for a few medical and legal references.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Hey state the obvious, like it's not already known, dumbass. Morse code
> >> >> >is a language as well, Penquin boy. It is still used.
> >> >>
> >> >> And Klingon is a language created by Mark Okerund and it is commonly
> >> >> used at Star Trek conventions. Your point?
> >> >
> >> >And Penquin boy Opus dresses up in his Spock ears when he posts.
> >> >It figures you'd be a Trekkie. BWHAHAHAHAHAHA Loser!
> >>
> >> Never been to a convention. Do you have a point? Obviously not.
> >
> >Liar, you probably wear your homade Star Trek uniform and your Spock
> >ears as you post.
> >The only point is on top of your empty head, Trekkie.
>
> You're almost funny

Your face sure is, pimple puss.

Prove that you are a whining Trekkie with no life?

>
> No need for you to try and make a REAL point now.

I know, your obtuse thinking prevents anything from getting through.

>
> >> >> >> Now, would you tell your doctor that he is not a real doctor if he
> >> >> >> can't carry on a conversation in Latin? He just might be able to, but
> >> >> >> odds are he will only know what is necessary to do his job
> >> >> >> effectively.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Funny, Prescription directions are made in latin.....but you use
> >> >> >any excuse for your laziness, don't you?
> >> >>
> >> >> No shit Sherlock. If you actually READ you would see that I said so
> >> >> too.
> >> >
> >> >Wrong. You are taking what I wrote and caliming it as your own.
> >>
> >> I DARE <much stamping of feet>
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^ This is so childish. Grow up.
>
> >BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA Having one of your fag fits, Penquin boy?
>
> Avoiding the real issue at hand, knobgobbler?

IKYABWAI, much Pee Wee? So much for your self- claimed "superiority"

> >> >> And if you actually paid attention you would already know that I am in
> >> >> Canada <bullshit>
> >> >
> >> >Well, Canada is such a useless country, anyways.
> >>
> >> Please try to make a REAL point.
> >
> >Translation: "We Canadians are too stupid to post an\ything but
> >whines."
>
> You misspelled

I misspelled "Canada wasn't even worth invading and that's why early in
United States history we abondoned the idea." Now fuck off.

>
>
> >> >> >> >> indeed but I have no intention of wastingmy time on code I wasted years
> >> >> >> >> on it in my teen trying for a novice
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >BWHAHAHAHA Markie the lazy bastard can't even pass 5 wpm code!
> >> >> >> >BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Your really, REALLY don't get it, do you?
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Neither do you, dumbass, Latin terms are used in Medicine and in the
> >> >> >Legal profession all the time.
> >> >>
> >> >> Uh...I said CONVERSATIONAL Latin. <bullshit alert!>
> >> >
> >> >No you are backpedaling. You said "Latin" nothing about "conversational
> >> >Latin. You should really not try to lie your way out of your screw ups.
> >> >You aren't very good at it or anything else.
> >>
> >> I DARE YOU TO PROVE IT!!!! <Bitchslap>
> >
> >BWHAHAHAHA Posting in all caps mean you're getting arsed and being
> >bested, Kanuck.
>
> Posting in all caps is me YELLING <lame excuses>

So you *are* upset, huh? BWHAHAHAHAHA!

5094. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 13:07:00 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159794420.340774.192250@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5574
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

```
Penquin boy Opus- whined:
> On 29 Sep 2006 04:40:51 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
>
> >
> >Penquin boy Opus- whined:
> >> On 28 Sep 2006 16:21:48 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
> >> <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >Pat Stevens wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> Did I miss something? <bullshit>
> >> >
> >> >You missed a lot. I bet you even look like the SNL character "Pat".
> >>
> >> Gawd you're stupid. <PKB and IKYABWAI>
> >
> >PKB, Trekkie. The post was addressing "Pat" not you.
>
> Double standard?

Isn't that the Kanuck way?
```

5095. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 13:08:11 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159794491.274579.5870@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5575
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Penquin boy Opus- wrote:
> On 29 Sep 2006 04:39:27 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
>
> Oops..missed one.
>
> >
> >Spock ear wearing Penquin boy Opus- whined:
>
> >> >> And if you actually paid attention you would already know that I am in
> >> >> Canada <bullshit>
>
> "Bullshit"???? Are you saying

No, you stupid Kanuck, he was saying everything you post is bullshit.

5096. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 13:09:13 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159794553.766409.272550@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5576
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

an old friendless cocksucker wrote:
> Mr Turd also known as Wismen and Marky morgan rapes boy and other
> enlighten nyms is nothing one sick walking double standard

PKB, irony boy. How many sockpuppets do you post under, Marqueer?

5097. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 13:19:15 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159795155.242202.244170@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5577
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
> Jack Ricci wrote:
>
> > These are serious questions I have of CW operation, with no harm,
> > malice,
> > hurt, or put-downs intended for anyone, so I hope this thread does not
> > degrade to that point.
>
> I wouldn't worry about that too much. just be careful of some of the advice
> dispensed around here though....

Especially from Eric.

> >
> > When listening to CW on my scanner, are there any tell-tale indications
> > that the operator is dyslexic? Does a dyslexic ham use the same speed,
> > protocol and " short-hand/short-form wording " as a more proficient ham
> > would use in communicating CW? Do dyslexic hams ever really use CW, or
> > would
> > dyslexic hams ever even consider the use of CW on a regular basis? Are
> > dyslexic would-be hams able to pass the CW portion of an exam to get into
> > a higher class of license and increased privileges?
>
> well, Dyslexia often affects the interconnection of the visual processing
> centers with that of visual pattern recognition. I know, I have that
> problem myself.

You have more problems than that, dumbass!

5098. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 16:13:02 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <iUaUg.26$ox4.19@newsfe03.lga>
Source record: 5578
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message

> CB is dead, quit using the term "CB" like an insult. It is short range
> and therefore not a comparison.

Not if you use a big linear amplifier and that's a BIG 10-4

BH

5099. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 17:18:09 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159809489.133036.157070@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5579
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Barry OGrady wrote:
> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 22:54:46 -0500, Nada Tapu <user@host.domain> wrote:
>
> >On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 23:23:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >
> >>
> >> >>Or just lazy people out?
> >>
> >> >>Sc
> >
> >It certainly didn't keep me out, and I wasn't all that crazy about
> >learning it, either.
>
> More to the point, are there more licensed amateurs since the code requirement
> was removed years ago?

Yes. In the USA at least.

Since the inception of the no-code Technician class here in 1991,
the growth of the Technician class license numbers in the USA
has been continuous. Those now comprise about 49 % of ALL
licensees. The Technician class license numbers are twice that
of General class, the next-largest license class.

Since the "reconstruction" in FCC amateur radio regulations of
2001, the number of licensees grew to peak in July, 2003. At
that time the maximum code test rate was fixed at 5 WPM, all
classes.

A problem now is the attrition of the older licensees. More old-
timers are leaving/expiring (their licenses) than are being
replaced by new (never before licensed in amateur radio)
licensees. Source: www.hamdata.com. That trend has
persisted for three years.

The code test is not THE factor causing it, just one of the
major factors in slowing the increase of new licensees.
Coupled with the stubborn resistance to change of ANY
regulations by olde-tymers, there is little incentive to enter
olde-tyme amateur radio. Ally that with the huge growth of
the Internet in the 15 years it has been public - an Internet
that has spread worldwide with near-instant communications
over that world - and the traditional standards and practices
of olde-tyme ham radio just don't have the appeal to
newcomers they once had.

Elimination of the code test for any license will cause a spurt in new licensees. While such elimination is not a guarantee to far-future growth, it will be the significant act to being CHANGING regulations to better fit the modern times. Keeping up with changing times is a NECESSITY in regulations, regardless of the personal desires of the minority of amateurs making up the olde-tyme group.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

5100. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 17:26:14 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <agi2i292lpm6hthl4gc33r9jvlcpfjthch@4ax.com>
Source record: 5580
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 2 Oct 2006 06:05:59 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> spake thusly:

<snip much childish ranting and issue avoiding>

You and your ilk have done nothing but hurl big baby childish insults
and laughable innuendo.

Trolls like you are fun to play with but get boring real fast. You're
not even a good troll. Lets check the meter:

```
____________
|0\|||||||10|
| \ |
| \ |
| \ |
| \ |
|_____*______|
Troll-O-Meter
```

Not even worthy of a small flame.

sigh what even happened to the good trolls from the days of yore?

Shouldn't you be hiding under a bridge somewhere stealing children?

Don't you have some offs to fuck?

Time for an oldie but a goodie:

You know what? You're clueless, son! Completely clueless!
You wouldn't have a clue if somebody *faxed* it to you.
You couldn't catch a clue if you stripped naked and soaked your pallid
hide in clue musk, then did the clue mating dance in a field full of
horny clues during the height of clue mating season!

What meaning do you expect your delusional self-important statements
of unknowing, inexperienced opinion to have with us? What fantasy do
you hold that you would believe that your tiny-fisted tantrums would
have more weight than that of a leprous desert rat, spinning rabidly
in a circle, waiting for the bite of the snake?

I cannot believe how incredibly stupid you are. I mean rock-hard
stupid. Dehydrated-rock-hard stupid. Stupid so stupid that it goes
way beyond the stupid we know into a whole different dimension of
stupid. You are trans-stupid stupid. Meta-stupid. Stupid collapsed
on itself so far that even the neutrons have collapsed. Stupid gotten

so dense that no intellect can escape. Singularity stupid. Blazing hot mid-day sun on Mercury stupid. You emit more stupid in one second than our entire galaxy emits in a year. Quasar stupid. Your writing has to be a troll. Nothing in our universe can really be this stupid. Perhaps this is some primordial fragment from the original big bang of stupid. Some pure essence of a stupid so uncontaminated by anything else as to be beyond the laws of physics that we know. I'm sorry. I can't go on. This is an epiphany of stupid for me.

Welcome to the killfile. Go ahead and rant and rave about how you don't care that I killfiled you.

5101. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 17:27:11 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <5ui2i2hes6cquog598va1i7co5munpiuvl@4ax.com>
Source record: 5581
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 2 Oct 2006 06:07:00 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> spake thusly:

>
>Penquin boy Opus- whined:
>> On 29 Sep 2006 04:40:51 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
>> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
>>
>> >
>> >Penquin boy Opus- whined:
>> >> On 28 Sep 2006 16:21:48 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
>> >> <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >Pat Stevens wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Did I miss something? <bullshit>
>> >> >
>> >> >You missed a lot. I bet you even look like the SNL character "Pat".
>> >>
>> >> Gawd you're stupid. <PKB and IKYABWAI>
>> >
>> >PKB, Trekkie. The post was addressing "Pat" not you.
>>
>> Double standard?
>
>Isn't that the Kanuck way?

Uhh...that was YOUR trick, fuckunkle.

You're just not worth it.

5102. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 17:27:43 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <pvi2i2toc7lboo8dhnvjq6bm417ejd6u2d@4ax.com>
Source record: 5582
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 2 Oct 2006 06:08:11 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> spake thusly:

>
>Penquin boy Opus- wrote:
>> On 29 Sep 2006 04:39:27 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
>> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
>>
>> Oops..missed one.
>>
>> >
>> >Spock ear wearing Penquin boy Opus- whined:
>>
>> >> >> And if you actually paid attention you would already know that I am in
>> >> >> Canada <bullshit>
>>
>> "Bullshit"???? Are you saying
>
>No, you stupid Kanuck, he was saying everything you post is bullshit.

Fuck but you're stupid.

5103. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 17:30:43 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <95j2i2t603dga3prd2npbmf58i5g5k4u75@4ax.com>
Source record: 5583
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 02 Oct 2006 11:37:56 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
spake thusly:

>Opus- wrote:
>> Vocal communication is never just a conveyance of information but you
>> insist that's all that ham radio is.
>
>I haven't heard any information being conveyed on 75m
>phone in years. :-)

I could say that about a few people I have spoken to in person ;-)
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

5104. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 17:32:21 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <38j2i29jj6b8p7jdl208n45ns3jinjj7f4@4ax.com>
Source record: 5584
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 2 Oct 2006 11:13:02 -0500, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> spake
thusly:

>
>"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>
>> CB is dead, quit using the term "CB" like an insult. It is short range
>> and therefore not a comparison.
>
>
>Not if you use a big linear amplifier and that's a BIG 10-4

Hehehehehe....and a surprising number of people do just that.
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

5105. Re: FS: Pro-2006 with OS456 full and my copy of Probe v7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 17:57:06 UTC
From: great river <loopcat@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159811826.199499.76610@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5585

I payed OVER $500 for this scanner, recently the same radio went for
OVER $500 on Ebay. I don't know the condition of yours, but mine is
GREAT!!
Have Fun..

Dan Conti wrote:
> If you are so in love with this system, why are you selling?
>
> Good luck, the Buy It Now AND starting bid for this package, as good as
> it might be, is WAY overpriced. If I could get those prices, I'd sell mine!
>
> Dan
>
> > Ok here it is, after NUMEROUS scanners/receivers owned and many hours
> > of listening, I have come to a conclusion. The Pro-2006 with the OC456
> > full and the Probe v7 software is the BEST PC OPERATED SCANNER EVER
> > MADE!! What a spooky combo this is! Lighting fast scanning,DTMF tone
> > decoder, sensitive and selective, discriminator tap. But wait it gets
> > better, PROBE v7!! If you haven't witnessed the POWER of probe, do
> > yourself a favor and check out the reviews. WOW! The hype IS justified.
> > No scanning software comes close! Ok, analog scanners are out right?
> > WRONG! With a discriminator tap you can decode TONS of digital sigs.
> > There are LOTS of FREEWARE programs out there! Now, lets move on to the
> > condition of the equipment. On a scale from 1 to 10, I give it a 9.5!
> > EVERYTHING WORKS on this baby! Here is what you get: PRO2006, ANTENNA,
> > MANUAL,PROBE V7, SERIAL CABLE!! This is a KILLER scanner!! Check out
> > the info on this rig! http://userweb.suscom.net/~pro-2006/
> >
> > Here is the link to ebay
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&ih=020&item=300032535626&rd=1&sspagename
=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=
> >

5106. FS: Pro-2006 Scanner with Optoscan 456 Board +Probe 7 disk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 17:59:08 UTC
From: great river <loopcat@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159811948.418219.89300@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5586

Ok here it is, after NUMEROUS scanners/receivers owned and many hours of listening, I have come to a conclusion. The Pro-2006 with the OC456 full and the Probe v7 software is the BEST PC OPERATED SCANNER EVER MADE!! What a spooky combo this is! Lighting fast scanning,DTMF tone decoder, sensitive and selective, discriminator tap. But wait it gets better, PROBE v7!! If you haven't witnessed the POWER of probe, do yourself a favor and check out the reviews. WOW! The hype IS justified. No scanning software comes close! Ok, analog scanners are out right? WRONG! With a discriminator tap you can decode TONS of digital sigs. There are LOTS of FREEWARE programs out there! Now, lets move on to the condition of the equipment. On a scale from 1 to 10, I give it a 9.5! EVERYTHING WORKS on this baby! Here is what you get: PRO2006, ANTENNA, MANUAL,PROBE V7, SERIAL CABLE!! This is a KILLER scanner!! Check out the info on this rig! http://userweb.suscom.net/~pro-2006/

5107. FS: Pro-2006 with OS456 full and my copy of Probe v7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 18:12:56 UTC
From: great river <loopcat@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159812776.461107.101730@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5587

Ok here it is, after NUMEROUS scanners/receivers owned and many hours of listening, I have come to a conclusion. The Pro-2006 with the OC456 full and the Probe v7 software is the BEST PC OPERATED SCANNER EVER MADE!! What a spooky combo this is! Lighting fast scanning,DTMF tone decoder, sensitive and selective, discriminator tap. But wait it gets better, PROBE v7!! If you haven't witnessed the POWER of probe, do yourself a favor and check out the reviews. WOW! The hype IS justified. No scanning software comes close! Ok, analog scanners are out right? WRONG! With a discriminator tap you can decode TONS of digital sigs. There are LOTS of FREEWARE programs out there! Now, lets move on to the condition of the equipment. On a scale from 1 to 10, I give it a 9.5! EVERYTHING WORKS on this baby! Here is what you get: PRO2006, ANTENNA, MANUAL,PROBE V7, SERIAL CABLE!! This is a KILLER scanner!! Check out the info on this rig! http://userweb.suscom.net/~pro-2006/

Here is the Ebay link,

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&ih=020&item=300032535626&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1

5108. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 18:15:42 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <gfl2i2prddhpc80ptl0nukemn6i4ger18k@4ax.com>
Source record: 5588
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 02 Oct 2006 01:17:43 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:

>Try to keep up.

That's actually good - if you can't defend, attack. Even if your
attack is nonsense.

> I have been quite consistent. You have not being
>paying attention. I made no argument against voice at all. I made the
>argument that a live voice conveys more than just words.

You claimed that we humans communicate visually more than by words.
You're contradicting yourself here.

When you blather on about something you know nothing about you lose
track of what you said a few days ago.

>>Deaf-blind dogs and cats don't normally walk around the streets
>>without aid. (Domesticated cats, btw, aren't scent-oriented, they're
>>vision-oriented.)

>Never had a cat, did you?

She's 7 years old now, and sleeping on my bed. Got her when her
mother died - she was still nursing - so, yes, I currently have a cat.
Have had some canine or feline pet since before I can remember -
usually more than 1.

> I grew up with them. They don't depend on
>smell near as much as dogs but they depend on it just the same.

They use it - about as much as we do - they don't depend on it.

>Are we on the radio right now? Your apples and oranges arguments are
>getting tiresome. Here in Usenet, the text is preserved. CW is not.

You're arguing for the visual now? Voice conveys more than CW, which
is your argument against CW. Voice conveys more than Usenet, which
ISN'T an argument against Usenet.

So which is it? Is the fact that voice conveys more than X an
argument against X or not?

I'm getting tired of your "I have to argue just so that I can win"
stance, so figure out how to get back to me when you grow up.

<plonk>

5109. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 18:27:35 UTC
From: Indy Ham <nospam@privatenospam.com>
Message-ID: <bob7p.ijh.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 5589
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

> No, Roachdale fucktard, you must be thinking about yourself.

Wrong again jack ass. Not me.

> Roger has never been to Dayton hamfest, retard.

Yes he has dipshit. Did you have your anal beads locked to his during that time or what?

5110. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 19:24:12 UTC
From: LIlloyd DaViEs <LARDASS@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <efrp12$jo4$1@emma.aioe.org>
Source record: 5590
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Indy Ham" <nospam@privatenospam.com> wrote in message
news:bob7p.ijh.19.1@news.alt.net...
>> No, Roachdale fucktard, you must be thinking about yourself.
>
> Wrong again jack ass. Not me.
>
>
>> Roger has never been to Dayton hamfest, retard.
>
> Yes he has dipshit. Did you have your anal beads locked to his during that
> time or what?
>
I GAVE ROGER A BLUMPKIN!

5111. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 22:23:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <AjgUg.9237$UG4.4533@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5591
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark C. Morgan wrote:
> an old friendless cocksucker wrote:
>> Mr Turd also known as Wismen and Marky morgan rapes boy and other
>> enlighten nyms is nothing one sick walking double standard
>
> PKB, irony boy. How many sockpuppets do you post under, Marqueer?

He floods the newsgroup with mindless jabbering. You flood it with filth. You both use sock puppets. Mark makes no effort to hide who he is. You are unable to hide who you are, despite what are, I'm sure, your very best efforts. Go figure!

Dave K8MN

5112. Re: cb'er shot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 23:26:28 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <EehUg.4311$Y24.357@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5592
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Tonitoad@webtv.net (Toni Toad) wrote in
news:4603-452089E8-130@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net:

> Heard on the news this morning that 2 cb'ers were arguing on the radio.
> they met in a parking lot and 1 got shot and killed. this happened in
> Vancouver,Washington.

Let this that be a lesson to you CB'ers. Never tease someone that's got a
small amplifier, they'll shoot you and take your Telstar amp.

SC

5113. Monthly Post of 30 helpful tips for no-code hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 23:26:35 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <LehUg.4312$Y24.2710@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5593
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

The 30 Steps below will help all No-Code Hams, it will also help you to find others just like yourself. These 30 steps should also be added to the present writtens.

Step One: Use as many "Q" signals as possible. Yes, I know they were invented solely for CW and are totally inappropriate for two meter FM, but they are fun and entertaining. They keep people guessing as to what you really meant. I.E. "I'm going to QSY to the phone." Can you really change frequencies to the phone? QSL used to mean, "I am acknowledging receipt", but now it appears to mean, "yes" or "OK". I guess I missed it when the ARRL changed the meaning. It is also best to use "OK" and "QSL" together. Redundancy is the better part of Lid-dom.

Step Two: Never laugh when you can say "HI HI". No one will ever know you aren't a long time CW rag-chewer if you don't tell them. They'll think you've been on since the days of Marconi.

Step Three: Utilize an alternative vocabulary. Use words like "destinated" and "negatory". It's OK to make up your own words here. I.E. "Yeah Tom, I "pheelbart zaphonix" occasionally myself."

Step Four: Always say "XX4XXX" (Insert your own call) "for I.D." As mentioned in Step One, anything that creates redundancy is always encouraged. That's why we have the Department of Redundancy Department. (Please note that you can follow your call with "for identification purposes" instead of "for I.D." While taking longer to say, it is worth more "LID points".

Step Five: The better the copy on two meter FM, the more you should use phonetics. Names should be especially used if they are short or common ones. I.E. "My name is Al... Alpha Lima" or "Jack.. Juliet Alpha Charlie Kilo." If at all possible use the less common HF phonetics "A4SM... America, Number Four, Sugar Mexico." And for maximum "LID points", make up unintelligible phonetics. "My name is Bob... Billibong Oregano Bumperpool."

Step Six: Always give the calls of yourself and everyone who is (or has been) in the group, whether they are still there or not. While this has been unnecessary for years, it is still a great memory test. You may also use "and the group" if you are an "old timer" or just have a bad memory. Extra points for saying everyone's call and then clearing in a silly way "K2PKK, Chow, Chow."

Step Seven: Whenever possible, use the wrong terminology. It keeps people guessing. Use "modulation" when you mean "deviation", and vice-versa.

Step Eight: If someone asks for a break, always finish your turn, taking as long as possible before turning it over. Whenever possible, pass it around a few times first. This will discourage the breaker, and if it is an emergency, encourage him to switch to another repeater and not bother you.

Step Nine: Always ask involved questions of the person who is trying to sign out. Never let him get by with just a "yes" or "no" answer. Make it a question that will take him a long time to answer.

Step Ten: The less you know on a subject, the more you should speculate about it in the roundtable. Also the amount of time you spend on the subject should be inversely proportionate to your knowledge of the subject even though you have no damn clue.

Step Eleven: Always make sure you try to communicate with only a handheld and a rubber duck antenna. Also, make sure you work through a repeater that you can hear very well, but it cannot hear you. This will put out a kind of "LID mating call": "Well, Joe, I can hear the repeater just fine here. I wonder why it can't hear me?" You will score maximum LID points if you are mobile, and with the radio lying in the passenger seat.

Step Twelve: If you hear two amateurs start a conversation, wait until they are twenty seconds into their contact, and then break in to make a call, or better yet to use the auto-patch. Make sure you keep the repeater tied up for at least three minutes. This way, once the two have re-established contact, they won't even remember what they were talking about.

Step Thirteen: You hear someone on the repeater giving directions to a visiting amateur. Even if the directions are good, make sure you break in with your own "alternate route but better way to get there" version. This is most effective with several other "would-be LIDs", each giving a different route. By the time the visiting amateur unscrambles all the street names whizzing by in his mind, he should have moved out of the range of the repeater. This keeps you from having to stick around to help the guy get back out of town, later.

Step Fourteen: If an annoying station is bothering you, make sure your other "LID" buddies have a "coded" frequency list. Even though "CODES" are strictly forbidden on Amateur Radio, it's really neat to practice "James Bond" tactics.

Step Fifteen: Always use the National Calling Frequency for general conversations. The more uninteresting, the longer you should use it. Extra points are awarded if you have recently move from an adjacent frequency for no reason. Make sure when DX is "rolling" in on 52.525 that you hang out there and talk to your friends five miles down the road about the good old CB days!

Step Sixteen: Make sure that if you have a personal problem with someone, you should voice your opinion in a public forum, especially a net. Make sure you give their name, call, and any other identifying remarks. For maximum points, make sure the person in question is not on the repeater,

or not available.

Step Seventeen: Make sure you say the first few words of each transmission twice, especially if it is the same thing each time. Like "roger, roger" or "fine business, fine business". I cannot stress enough about encouraging redundancy.

Step Eighteen: If you hear a conversation on a local repeater, break in and ask how each station is receiving you. Of course they will only see the signal of the repeater you are using, but it's that magic moment when you can find a fellow "LID", and get the report. Extra points are awarded if you are using a base station, and the repeater is less than twenty-five air miles from you.

Step Nineteen: Use the repeater for an hour or two at a time, preventing others from using it. Better yet, do it on a daily basis. Your quest is to make people so sick of hearing your voice every time they turn on their radio, they'll move to another frequency. This way you'll lighten the load on the repeater, leaving even more time for you to talk on it.

Step Twenty: See just how much flutter you can generate by operating at handheld power levels too far away from the repeater. Engage people in conversations when you know they wont be able to copy half of what your saying. Even when they say your uncopyable, continue to string them along by making further transmissions. See just how frustrated you can make the other amateur before he finally signs off in disgust.

Step Twenty One: Use lots of radio jargon. After all, it makes you feel important using words ordinary people don't say. Who cares if it makes you sound like you just fell off Channel 19 on the citizen's Band? Use phrases such as "Roger on that", "10-4", "I'm on the side", "Your making the trip" and "Negatory on that".

Step Twenty Two: Use excessive microphone gain. See just how loud you can make your audio. Make sure the audio gain is so high that other amateurs can hear any bugs crawling on your floor. If mobile, make sure the wind noise is loud enough that others have to strain to pick your words out from all the racket.

Step Twenty Three: Start every transmission with the word "Roger" or "QSL". Sure, you don't need to acknowledge that you received the other transmission in full. After all, you would simply ask for a repeat if you missed something. But consider it your gift to the other amateur to give him solace every few seconds that his transmissions are being received.

Step Twenty Four: When looking for a contact on a repeater, always say your "listening" or "monitoring" multiple times. I've always found that at least a half dozen times or so is good. Repeating your multiple "listening" ID's every 10 to 15 seconds is even better. Those people who didn't want to talk to you will eventually call you, hoping you'll go away after you have finally made a contact.

Step Twenty Five: Always use a repeater, even if you can work the other station easily on simplex ... especially if you can make the contact on simplex. The coverage of the repeater you use should be inversely proportional to your distance from the other station.

Step Twenty Six: When on repeaters using courtesy tones, you should always say "over". Courtesy tones are designed to let everyone know when you have unkeyed but don't let that stop you. Say "over", "back to you" or "go ahead". It serves no useful purpose but don't worry, it's still fun!

Step Twenty Seven: Use the repeater's autopatch for frivolous routine calls... especially during morning or evening commute times. While pulling into the neighborhood, call home to let them know you'll be there in two minutes.... or, call your spouse to complain about the bad day you had at work. After all, the club has "measured rate" service on their phone line so they get charged for each autopatch call. Your endeavor is to make so many patches in a year that you cost the club at least $20 in phone bills. That way you'll feel you got your money's worth for your dues!

Step Twenty Eight: Never say "My name is ....." It makes you sound human. If at all possible, use one of the following phrases: a) "The personal here is ..." b) "The handle here is..."

Step Twenty Nine: Use "73" and "88" incorrectly. Both are already considered plural, but add a "s" to the end anyway. Say "73's" or "88's". Who cares if it means "best regards" and "love and kisses." Better yet, say "seventy thirds"! (By the way, seventy thirds equals about 23.3).

Step Thirty: If the repeater is off the air for service, complain about the fact that it was off the air as soon as it's turned back on. Act as though your entire day has been ruined because the repeater wasn't available when you wanted to use it. Even thought you have never paid a penny to help out with the upkeep of it.

5114. Re: cb'er shot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-02 23:45:08 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <8whUg.1486$5o5.758@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 5594
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:EehUg.4311$Y24.357@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Tonitoad@webtv.net (Toni Toad) wrote in
> news:4603-452089E8-130@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net:
>
>> Heard on the news this morning that 2 cb'ers were arguing on the radio.
>> they met in a parking lot and 1 got shot and killed. this happened in
>> Vancouver,Washington.
>
>
> Let this that be a lesson to you CB'ers. Never tease someone that's got a
> small amplifier, they'll shoot you and take your Telstar amp.
>
>
> SC

It's called "little amplifier syndrome".

5115. Re: cb'er shot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-03 00:32:42 UTC
From: Jim - NN7K <NN7K@NOWAY.NET>
Message-ID: <KciUg.10766$6S3.3384@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 5595
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

NEVER let a clown take a Small Amp - to a
GUN-FIGHT!

U-Know-Who wrote:
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:EehUg.4311$Y24.357@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> Tonitoad@webtv.net (Toni Toad) wrote in
>> news:4603-452089E8-130@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net:
>>
>>> Heard on the news this morning that 2 cb'ers were arguing on the radio.
>>> they met in a parking lot and 1 got shot and killed. this happened in
>>> Vancouver,Washington.
>>
>> Let this that be a lesson to you CB'ers. Never tease someone that's got a
>> small amplifier, they'll shoot you and take your Telstar amp.
>>
>>
>> SC
>
> It's called "little amplifier syndrome".
>
>

5116. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-03 01:32:22 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <9pc3i2t78a3fq67ov719p3kles00pq9l0n@4ax.com>
Source record: 5596
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 02 Oct 2006 14:15:42 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
spake thusly:

>On Mon, 02 Oct 2006 01:17:43 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>>Try to keep up.
>
>That's actually good - if you can't defend, attack. Even if your
>attack is nonsense.

Asking you to keep up is not an attack. Telling me to grow up
certainly is and you have done that several times.

>> I have been quite consistent. You have not being
>>paying attention. I made no argument against voice at all. I made the
>>argument that a live voice conveys more than just words.
>
>You claimed that we humans communicate visually more than by words.
>You're contradicting yourself here.

This shows that you have not kept up. I did not say that we
communicate visually more, I said that we are get the most
information from our environment visually than by any other sense. Our
other senses help us much less than they do for most other higher
animals.

>When you blather on about something you know nothing about you lose
>track of what you said a few days ago.

Yet again you attack.

>>>Deaf-blind dogs and cats don't normally walk around the streets
>>>without aid. (Domesticated cats, btw, aren't scent-oriented, they're
>>>vision-oriented.)
>
>>Never had a cat, did you?
>
>She's 7 years old now, and sleeping on my bed. Got her when her
>mother died - she was still nursing - so, yes, I currently have a cat.
>Have had some canine or feline pet since before I can remember -
>usually more than 1.
>
>> I grew up with them. They don't depend on
>>smell near as much as dogs but they depend on it just the same.
>

>They use it - about as much as we do - they don't depend on it.

Each olfactory cell in a cat has about 40 cilia while a human cell has only about 6 to 8. A cat has approximately 60 to 80 million olfactory cells while a human has about 5 to 20 million. Dogs put us all to shame.

>>Are we on the radio right now? Your apples and oranges arguments are
>>getting tiresome. Here in Usenet, the text is preserved. CW is not.
>
>You're arguing for the visual now? Voice conveys more than CW, which
>is your argument against CW. Voice conveys more than Usenet, which
>ISN'T an argument against Usenet.

How many times do I have to tell you that I am not arguing against CW? It has it's place but I am arguing against CW as a requirement for full access to ARS. I ask what is so great about CW and all I seem to get is a rather patronizing "You wouldn't understand".

>So which is it? Is the fact that voice conveys more than X an
>argument against X or not?

This again shows how you have not been keeping up. Not an attack, an observation.

>I'm getting tired of your "I have to argue just so that I can win"
>stance, so figure out how to get back to me when you grow up.

Explain how I have to "grow up" and, while you are at it, explain how you are qualified to make such a statement. You have insulted me in such a manner several times yet I have said nothing of the sort to you. You patronize me while I give legitimate arguments. You don't like my arguments and try to deflect by misquoting.

Of all the pro-coders here, I had the most respect for your view. I didn't agree but at least you made a point without the typical insults of others here. Now you seem to be joining their ilk.

Sad.
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

5117. Re: Monthly Post of 30 helpful tips for no-code hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-03 01:39:21 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <8of3i297jt73kbf9qh90ennmccp0esp4em@4ax.com>
Source record: 5597
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 02 Oct 2006 23:26:35 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

<snip bullcrap>

Where do you get this crap from? Have you turned on a radio in the
last 25 years?

5118. Re: Monthly Post of 30 helpful tips for no-code hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-03 02:35:11 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <z%jUg.1543$5o5.1375@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 5598
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:8of3i297jt73kbf9qh90ennmccp0esp4em@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 02 Oct 2006 23:26:35 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> thusly:
>
> <snip bullcrap>
>
> Where do you get this crap from? Have you turned on a radio in the
> last 25 years?

No, he's too busy rescuing people with his CW skills.

5119. FS: Radio Shack PRO-26 (25-1300 Mhz)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-03 04:58:55 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <j6mUg.9283$e66.1568@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 5599

Excellent condition. Coverage 25 to 1300 Mhz (less cellular). Switchable AM/NFM/WFM modes. Great for airshows! Copy of manual, no box.

Price is $70 plus shipping (CONUS only)

5120. ce-232.exe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-03 07:37:49 UTC
From: tlindly@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1159861069.283061.10740@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5600

I lost a hard drive.
Anyone have a copy?

It's for bill Cheeks scanner interface. He's been out of business for
over three years so I'm sure it's public domain by now...

thanks,
tom
=-==

5121. Re: Monthly Post of 30 helpful tips for no-code hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-03 10:47:50 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159872470.490310.253290@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5501
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Penquin boy Opus- wrote:
> On Mon, 02 Oct 2006 23:26:35 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> thusly:
>
> <snip bullcrap>
>
> Where do you get this crap from? Have you turned on a radio in the
> last 25 years?

What a whiny bitch you are, Kanuck.

5122. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-03 10:57:41 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159873061.269897.236140@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5502
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Indy Lambda Ham wrote:
> > No, Roachdale fucktard, you must be thinking about yourself.
>
> Wrong again jack ass. Not me.

It's the Roachdale Roach! Hi Danny!

> > Roger has never been to Dayton hamfest, retard.
>
> Yes he has dipshit.

No, he hasn't, dumbfuck.

>Did you have your anal beads locked to his during that
> time or what?

Looks like you are more familiar with the use of them then anybody.
Contact Markie Morgan, he likes things up his ass also.

5123. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-03 10:58:28 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159873108.164862.126510@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5503
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Llloyd DaViEs wrote:
> I GAVE ROGER A BLUMPKIN!

Funny, you'd like to suck Roger's "woger."

5124. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-03 11:01:49 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159873309.908026.227840@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Penquin boy Opus- wrote:
> On 2 Oct 2006 06:05:59 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
> <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
>
> <snip much childish ranting and issue avoiding>
>
> You and your ilk have done nothing but hurl big baby childish insults
> and laughable innuendo.

But you continue to whine about it, cunt.

> Trolls like you are fun to play with but get boring real fast. You're
> not even a good troll.

Still , you respond every time, bitch. Kanucks aren't known for being
very smart.

>Lets check the meter:

Get your mommy and daddy to help you.

> ____________
> |0\|||||||10|
> | \ |
> | \ |
> | \ |
> | \ |
> |_____*______|
> Troll-O-Meter
>
> Not even worthy of a small flame.
><plagiarism flushed>

Yet you respond every time, bitch.

5125. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-03 11:03:05 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159873385.923087.234480@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5505
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Penquin boy Opus- wrote:
> On 2 Oct 2006 06:07:00 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
> <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
>
> >
> >Penquin boy Opus- whined:
> >> On 29 Sep 2006 04:40:51 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> >> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >Penquin boy Opus- whined:
> >> >> On 28 Sep 2006 16:21:48 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
> >> >> <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Pat Stevens wrote:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Did I miss something? <bullshit>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >You missed a lot. I bet you even look like the SNL character "Pat".
> >> >>
> >> >> Gawd you're stupid. <PKB and IKYABWAI>
> >> >
> >> >PKB, Trekkie. The post was addressing "Pat" not you.
> >>
> >> Double standard?
> >
> >Isn't that the Kanuck way?
>
> Uhh...that was YOUR trick, fuckunkle.

IKYABWAI, Pee Wee.

> You're just not worth it.

Yet, you respiond every time like a good dancing monkey.

5126. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-03 11:05:42 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159873542.336475.135580@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5506
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Penquin boy Opus- whined:
> On 2 Oct 2006 06:08:11 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
> <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
>
> >
> >Penquin boy Opus- wrote:
> >> On 29 Sep 2006 04:39:27 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> >> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
> >>
> >> Oops..missed one.

You missed more than that, stupid.

> >>
> >> >
> >> >Spock ear wearing Penquin boy Opus- whined:
> >>
> >> >> And if you actually paid attention you would already know that I am in
> >> >> Canada <bullshit>
> >>
> >> "Bullshit"???? Are you saying
> >
> >No, you stupid Kanuck, he was saying everything you post is bullshit.
>
> Fuck but you're stupid.<psst! IKYABWAI>

Fuck, can't you even write a complete sentence, Penquin boy? Now put on
your homemade Trekkie uniform and Spock ears and masturbate to the
scantily clad women on the series since you can't get a real date.

5127. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-03 11:39:27 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159875567.544947.5190@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5507
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Opus- wrote:
> On 1 Oct 2006 18:17:52 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com spake
> thusly:
>
> >
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: Al Klein on Sun, Oct 1 2006 3:25 pm
> >>
> >> >On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 04:05:15 GMT, Opus- <jbu...@shaw.ca> wrote:
> >> >>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 23:00:08 -0400, Al Klein <ruk...@pern.invalid> spake thusly:
> >>
> >> >>The fact that I fully support technical testing is well established.
> >> >
> >> >But you're being inconsistent. You only want to eliminate code
> >> >testing because YOU can't see any merit in code.
> >>
> >> No, there are thousands and thousands of us who want to
> >> eliminate the US amateur radio code test for any
> >> license. It isn't confined to Jim-"Opus".
> >
> >Funny. I haven't followed this thread, but "Opus" would be a screen
> >name that Jim would choose. I'll have to go read this "Opus" character.
>
> Oh I am just your ordinary, average insecure penguin.

Archived.

> --
> Opus62@gmail.com
> (Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

BWHAHAHAHAHA a retard begeting a retard! BWHAHAHAHA!

>
> "What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Mad magazine is about the extent of your literary tastses, Penquin boy?

5128. Re: ce-232.exe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-03 12:27:57 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <dDsUg.4$uC2.0@newsfe02.lga>
Source record: 5508

<tlindly@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1159861069.283061.10740@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> I lost a hard drive.
> Anyone have a copy?
>
> It's for bill Cheeks scanner interface. He's been out of business for
> over three years so I'm sure it's public domain by now...

He's more than out of business; and copyrights are good for 70 years beyond
a person's expiration date, so no, "it's [not] public domain by now." Come
back in 66-1/2 years. I'm sure someone would be willing to post it then.

5129. Re: cb'er shot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-03 14:33:00 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <qwuUg.20831$nm1.7668@fed1read04>
Source record: 5509
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

http://hosted.ap.org/dynamic/stories/W/WA_MALL_SHOOTING_WAOL-?SITE=WAOLY&SECTION=HOME&TEMPLATE=DEFAULT&CTIME=2006-10-01-02-19-58

5130. Re: ce-232.exe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-03 16:23:10 UTC
From: Coconut <pegboy_30@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <qi35i215kve4u9s74mkg1ueq6akrt824r4@4ax.com>
Source record: 5510

On 3 Oct 2006 00:37:49 -0700, tlindly@gmail.com wrote:

>I lost a hard drive.
>Anyone have a copy?
>
>It's for bill Cheeks scanner interface. He's been out of business for
>over three years so I'm sure it's public domain by now...
>
>thanks,
>tom
>=-==

Is this what you are looking for?
http://www3.sympatico.ca/len.c/
--Chris

Neat stuff for sale - art nouveau to bayonets:
http://www.ckdeco.com

5131. Re: Monthly Post of 30 helpful tips for no-code hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-03 17:00:26 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <4ofj9bFedcorU1@individual.net>
Source record: 5511

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:LehUg.4312$Y24.2710@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...

4 helpful steps for NoLoad

1. obtain handgun

2. load

3. place barrel in mouth

4 pull trigger

5132. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-03 18:12:01 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <av95i2tkj4iu4pc5v0cvh58nsklvgs6m6c@4ax.com>
Source record: 5512
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 2 Oct 2006 06:09:13 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

forgin woger the kook
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5133. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-03 18:12:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <uv95i29sbekohjd0rmcn9cdasns4h0m4ka@4ax.com>
Source record: 5513
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 2 Oct 2006 06:07:00 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

forgin woger the kook
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5134. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-03 18:12:42 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <g0a5i216mlda9fvkelo8c0ss2lqvbuh5gm@4ax.com>
Source record: 5514
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 2 Oct 2006 06:05:59 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

forgin woger the kook
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5135. Re: SC: Been practicing code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-03 18:15:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <j5a5i2h5fnctvgsaauv757s60mu5isj7dj@4ax.com>
Source record: 5515
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 02 Oct 2006 00:43:18 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:

>On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 22:38:40 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>thusly:
>

>>Smells like you started a movement too, a bowel movement. Please keep
>>that smell in rec.radio.cb, Thanks.
>
>You never EVER offer any counterpoints. Are you a typical ham? If so,
>then let the ARS die with dignity.
he is not a true ham at all thankfully
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5136. i punce in aigain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-03 18:19:14 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <kb9rqz@blumpkin.net>
Message-ID: <45229ccc$0$19611$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 5516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
so
bringit on you loosers

show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW
rendered phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and
SC a few just decided to not to be as hateful

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

>

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5137. Re: my dad an me pics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-03 18:19:50 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <kb9rqz@blumpkin.net>
Message-ID: <45229d04$0$19715$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 5517
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

dad
http://home.mchsi.com/~north1959/professorblumpkin.jpg

and now me.....
http://i10.tinypic.com/29ygohw.jpg

we morgan are hamson mens

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

>
>
>
>
>

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5138. trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-03 18:31:16 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <q2b5i2hqf92qmsu00s5jngom1dk59i0n1m@4ax.com>
Source record: 5518
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 02 Oct 2006 23:26:35 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>The 30 Steps below will help all No-Code Hams, it will also help you to
>find others just like yourself. These 30 steps should also be added to the
>present writtens.
same old tired hatre SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5139. forgin woger the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-03 18:33:57 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159900437.726031.96050@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark Morgan wrote:
>
forgin woger the kook

5140. forgin woger the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-03 18:38:35 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159900715.155630.56690@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5520
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
>

grow up SC

5141. forgin woger the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-03 18:39:01 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159900741.806902.239510@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5521
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Mark Morgan wrote:
>
forgin woger the kook

5142. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-03 18:51:32 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <1659389.bbJX2KShKe@www.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 5522
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:

>> well, Dyslexia often affects the interconnection of the visual processing
>> centers with that of visual pattern recognition. I know, I have that
>> problem myself.
>
> You have more problems than that, dumbass!
what other problems I may, or may not, have is not up for public discussion
by or for you.

and while we are on the subject, what about your ongoing (and very public)
problems?

eh dumbass (it takes one to know one)?

5143. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-03 21:41:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <e8m5i2lhqcg8m7if8ciohnckr0jtfm1ldk@4ax.com>
Source record: 5523
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 3 Oct 2006 04:03:05 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flush and therby clean the ARS
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5144. Re: Monthly Post of 30 helpful tips for no-code hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-03 21:43:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <kbm5i2t9jctb97pkopr0so1opsbbt7odbm@4ax.com>
Source record: 5524
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 3 Oct 2006 03:47:50 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
get flushed and clean up ham radio
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5145. forger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-03 22:13:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <s4o5i2hg5pvhqdkabrospm6qvi62kk7hqr@4ax.com>
Source record: 5526
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 3 Oct 2006 14:19:14 -0400, "Mark Morgan" <kb9rqz@blumpkin.net>
wrote:
frger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5146. forger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-03 22:17:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <k1o5i2pjg63u0k48gm1ged38d6nnm8jodf@4ax.com>
Source record: 5525
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Tue, 3 Oct 2006 14:19:50 -0400, "Mark Morgan" <kb9rqz@blumpkin.net>
wrote:

fugring woger on the loose
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5147. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-03 22:25:38 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1159914338.115090.206620@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5527
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm

> >On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> >>no slow code the number are down because with Code testing looks so
> >>stpupid
> >
> >The numbers are down for a variety of reasons, but I suspect that
> >computers and the internet are the major factors, not the CW
> >requirement.
>
> The ready-built Personal Computer first appeared in 1976,
> 30 years ago (the "IBM PC" debuted in 1980, 26 years ago).
> The Internet went public in 1991, 15 years ago.

Basically true, but that's not the whole story by any means.

Until rather recently, personal computers were rather expensive. The IBM PC (introduced in August 1981) cost over $1500 in its basic configuration - which works out to about $3500 in 2006 dollars for a machine with very limited capabilities.

As recently as 10 years ago, a complete PC system with reasonable performance cost over $2000 - and its depreciation curve was very steep.

"The internet" was originally rather limited and not simple to access for the non-technically minded. That's all changed now.

On top of all this is the evolution of the PC from an expensive techno-toy to an everyday tool in most workplaces, schools, and homes. "Computer literacy" is now *expected* in most jobs.

The synergy of low cost, easy-to-use computers, easy and fast online access, and a reasonably computer-literate public has only come together within the past 10 years.

> "Restructuring" to drop the morse test rate to 5 WPM
> for all such tests happened only 6 years ago.

In that time, the number of US amateurs has actually dropped by over 17,000.

> The peak licensing of 737,938 happened on 2 Jul 03, just
> 3 years ago. [they've been dropping at an average of 7K

> per year ever since]

It should be noted that the number 737,938 includes not only those licenses which were current at the time, but also those which were expired but in the 2 year grace period. The number of then-current licenses was about 50,000 lower.

> I disagree on your reasons stated in your quote above.
>
> >When I ask technical people about why they haven't
> >acquired an interest in amateur radio, I never get the CW requirement
> >as a response.
>
> Strange, I hear that response.

It's an echo?

> Having been IN radio-
> electronics for over a half century, I DO know some
> "technical people." :-)

But you have never been "IN" amateur radio, Len.

> Manual radiotelegraphy was a MUST to use early radio
> as a communications medium. The technology of early
> radio was primitive, simple, and not yet developed.
> On-off keying was the ONLY practical way to make it
> possible to communicate.

Yet some pioneers (like Reginald Fessenden) were using voice communication as early as 1900, and had practical lomg-distance radiotelephony by 1906. AM broadcasting was a reality by 1920.

> Morse code was then already
> mature and a new branch of communications was open
> to use by downsized landline telegraphers.

While some radio operators came from the ranks of landline telegraph operators, most did not, as it was predominantly young men who pioneered radio in the early part of the 20th century. The Morse Code used on landlines was "American" Morse, while that used on radio after 1906 was predominantly "International" or "Continental" Morse.

> > They simply view the whole service as outmoded in the
> >face of modern telecommunications.
>
> PART of that IS true. NOT all of it.

What part is not?

> What IS outmoded (technically) is sitting only on HF
> and "working" other stations with morse radiotelegraphy.

Why is that "outmoded"? What has replaced it?

> Amateur radio is the ONLY radio service still using
> morse radiotelegraphy for communications purposes.

So what? Amateurs choose the mode they want to use. What is wrong with choosing Morse Code and HF operation?

Some may say the Morse Code *test* is outmoded. But you are saying the *use* of Morse Code is outmoded!

FM broadcasting is the only radio service that uses stereo multiplex FM - is it outmoded?
>
> Another thing outmoded is the strict "necessity" to use
> a formalism in "procedure" AS IF it was "professional"
> radio. That formalism was established between 50 to 70
> years ago.

What "formalism" do you mean, Len?

The use of call signs? Signal reports? Using only first names?

Amateur radio is among the least formal radio services I know.

How would you have amateurs operate?

> Amateur radio, by definition, is NOT
> professional.

So what's the problem with a standard procedures?

> Too many olde-tymers want to PRETEND
> they are pros in front of their ham rigs.

Not true, Len. We're amateurs - but that doesn't mean we have no standards and no procedures. The use of standard procedures makes it more fun and easier on everyone involved.

> But, there is still an enormous area of the EM spectrum
> that is still open for experimentation, for just the fun
> of doing something out of the ordinary above 30 MHz.
> That can be a very different RF environment, much much
> different than the technology available in the 20s and
> 30s.

And a license to use a good chunk of that spectrum has been available without a Morse Code test for more than 15 years. But you have not taken advanatage of it.

> It has exciting possibilities...except for the
> rutted and mired olde-tymers unable to keep up with new
> things, secure in their own dreams of youth and simple
> technological environment.

Do you have a problem with youth, Len? Or simplicity?

> >Let's face it.. the romance is gone.
>
> Oh, boo hoo...the "romance" of the 1930s is gone? Yes,
> it IS. The "pioneering of the airwaves" below 30 MHz
> has been DONE...mostly by the pros of radio (despite what
> the ARRL claims).

Who pioneered the use of the HF spectrum, Len?

Who first established two-way HF radio contact?

> DONE a long time ago. The solid-state
> era came into being about 45 years ago and has
> revolutionized ALL electronics (radio is a subset of that).

The transistor was invented in 1948 - 58 years ago. Amateurs were using
them in receivers and transmitters by the late 1950s.

> Except as memorabilia trinkets of the past, GONE is the
> analog VFO,

Not really.

> GONE is the one-tube regenerative receiver,
> GONE is the single-crystal-single-frequency Tx, GONE is
> the big, bulky AM modulator amplifier,

Well, those things are not common, but they're still around.

> GONE is the not-
> knowing-when-the-bands-are-open (solar activity and
> ionosonding solved that and HF MUF is a predictable
> item that can be found by a computer program).

Yet the predictions are not always correct. Openings happen when no
opening is predicted, and predicted openings do not always happen.

> Except
> for the boatanchor afficionados, vacuum tubes are GONE
> for nearly everything but high-power transmitters.

And high-end audio...

So what? Those things are only one part of amateur radio. There's a lot
more.
>
> The radio world of today is NOT that of 1950, nor of
> 1960, nor 1970, nor even 1980s. It keeps changing,
> advancing, the state of the art never static.

Of course not. That doesn't mean old things are all bad.

> For the
> stuck-in-the-mud olde tymers that is terrible...they
> feel insecure on not being able to keep up, become
> aggressive to newcomers ("no kids, lids or space

> cadets") and retreat to the "secure" mode of their
> youth, "CW."

The phrase was "no kids, no lids, no space cadets, Class A operators only". It was used by a now-dead radio amateur who had the callsign W2OY. He did not use CW - he was an AM-only operator of the 1950s and 1960s.

The phrase is remembered because it was so unusual.

"CW" (aka Morse Code) is popular with many radio amateurs, not just "old timers".

> But, they want to make sure They get
> the respect they feel they've "earned" (as if) so
> they try and try and try to bring all down to THEIR
> level...the code test MUST stay..."because."

Is there something wrong with the *use* of Morse Code, Len?

> There are 100 million two-way radios in use in the USA
> alone, millions more in other countries. Those are the
> cellular telephones.

Actually that number is probably low, considering how many more go into use every day.

> There are millions of VHF and UHF
> transceivers in the USA, working daily for public
> safety agencies, ships, private boats, air carriers as
> well as private airplanes.

Millions?

> There are tens of thousands
> of HF transceivers in use in the USA, users being
> everyone from government agencies to private boat
> owners, ALL exclusive of amateur radio users.

Amateur radio *operators*.

And there lies the difference: Almost all other radio services require the use of only certified, channelized, no-user-adjustments-possible equipment. Most of those "millions" or transceivers cited are very low power and use only a single mode and a few channels. The user has almost no real control over the operation of the radio. This is most true in the case of the cell phone/

> Where is
> the "romance" in all this Plenty from a cornucopia that
> all have grabbed? It is GONE, yes.

Maybe for you, Len. Not for hundreds of thousands of radio amateurs.

>
> But, NEW "romances" await. DIFFERENT ones, I'd say a
> helluva lot more complex than old, simple "radio." We
> can't relive old "romances" except in our minds and we
> can't grow physically younger. Only person-to-person
> romance is TRUE, the other "romance" is of the
> imagination, of the fantasy of what was once there.
> This fantasy "romance" can't be brought back. It can't
> be legislated into remaining static. The rules and
> regulations have to change to keep up with the NOW.

In other words, Len, you want to tell us what we should like and what we should not like. What we should enjoy and what we should not enjoy.

What is wrong with live and let live?

5148. Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-04 00:17:24 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <o4DUg.6585$o71.1878@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5528
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up their code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't need to ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will get us better & smarter hams.

Lazy people that don't want to learn anything will stay on CB and die in shoot-outs with each other in shopping mall parking lots which will help clean up the CB band. To me it looks like a Win Win situation. What do you think?

SC

5149. Re: ce-232.exe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-04 00:31:30 UTC
From: tlindly@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1159921890.886538.308920@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5529

no, that's not it. I hear that Pro-Turbo is for windows. This was the
original programming that came with the kit and ran on DOS.

Thanks, though,
tom
=-==

Coconut wrote:
> On 3 Oct 2006 00:37:49 -0700, tlindly@gmail.com wrote:
>
> >I lost a hard drive.
> >Anyone have a copy?
> >
> >It's for bill Cheeks scanner interface. He's been out of business for
> >over three years so I'm sure it's public domain by now...
> >
> >thanks,
> >tom
> >=-==
>
>
>
> Is this what you are looking for?
> http://www3.sympatico.ca/len.c/
> --Chris
>
> Neat stuff for sale - art nouveau to bayonets:
> http://www.ckdeco.com

5150. Re: ce-232.exe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-04 00:35:02 UTC
From: tlindly@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1159922102.053210.100120@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5530

Well,
I actually did buy it. It came with the package for the kit.
So, I reckon I do have a license, just can't find a reciept. But that
doesn't seem to matter as all references to Mr. Cheeks on the web lead
to errors

So, does anyone have a copy they could send?
tom
=-==

PowerHouse Communications wrote:
> <tlindly@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1159861069.283061.10740@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > I lost a hard drive.
> > Anyone have a copy?
> >
> > It's for bill Cheeks scanner interface. He's been out of business for
> > over three years so I'm sure it's public domain by now...
>
> He's more than out of business; and copyrights are good for 70 years beyond
> a person's expiration date, so no, "it's [not] public domain by now." Come
> back in 66-1/2 years. I'm sure someone would be willing to post it then.

5151. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-04 00:39:28 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4pDUg.13047$7I1.6033@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 5531
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
> class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up their
> code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't need to
> ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will get us
> better & smarter hams.

Great! Let's increase the CW requirement to something that
you cannot copy and improve amateur radio by an infinite
amount.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

5152. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-04 01:41:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <da46i2hsjo4auhoriqoipacv3eu6odg4q5@4ax.com>
Source record: 5532
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 00:39:28 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Slow Code wrote:
>> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
>> class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up their
>> code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't need to
>> ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will get us
>> better & smarter hams.
>
>Great! Let's increase the CW requirement to something that
>you cannot copy and improve amateur radio by an infinite
>amount.
why not just bar him wfromt eh air and save a lot of trouble
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5153. Re: ce-232.exe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-04 01:49:44 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <an46i2pghs94bll59bh03cuedeev07nkb1@4ax.com>
Source record: 5533

I have it !!!!!

tommyboys_ads@verizonDOTnet

On 3 Oct 2006 17:31:30 -0700, tlindly@gmail.com wrote:

>no, that's not it. I hear that Pro-Turbo is for windows. This was the
>original programming that came with the kit and ran on DOS.
>
>Thanks, though,
>tom
>=-==
>
>Coconut wrote:
>> On 3 Oct 2006 00:37:49 -0700, tlindly@gmail.com wrote:
>>
>> >I lost a hard drive.
>> >Anyone have a copy?
>> >
>> >It's for bill Cheeks scanner interface. He's been out of business for
>> >over three years so I'm sure it's public domain by now...
>> >
>> >thanks,
>> >tom
>> >=-==
>>
>>
>>
>> Is this what you are looking for?
>> http://www3.sympatico.ca/len.c/
>> --Chris
>>
>> Neat stuff for sale - art nouveau to bayonets:
>> http://www.ckdeco.com

5154. PCR Remote - version 0.6.30 Beta is Now Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-04 02:06:55 UTC
From: CrazyC <crazy__c_@hot_mail.com>
Message-ID: <iHEUg.8332$Go3.4300@dukeread05>
Source record: 5534

PCR Remote - version 0.6.30 Beta is Now Available for downloading from the homepage:
http://members.cox.net/5150soft/PcrRemote/PcrRemote.html

The Server and Remote software allows you to control your Icom PCR-100, PCR-1000, PCR-1500 and PCR-2500 over the internet.
Using Audio Brodcast software such as SHOUTcast, Pirate Radio Broadcaster or Windows Media Encoder will enable you to hear your radio from a remote location over the internet...

Updates:
Aug 07,2006 - Fixed: BandScope Display.
Aug 20,2006 - Added: 10 Selectable Banks.
Sept 05,2006 - -----: Increased Channels to 150 Per Bank.
Oct 03,2006 - -----: Removed PCR Selection, Now Automatic.
Oct 03,2006 - -----: Changed 3 Khz Filter to 2.8 Khz (Actual Filter).
Version 0.6.030 Released 10/03/2006

Regards,
Jay

5155. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-04 02:15:27 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <5966i2ls2g7fvvv267qantqcg3jh1dav9q@4ax.com>
Source record: 5535
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 3 Oct 2006 04:39:27 -0700, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
<andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> spake thusly:

<snip the usual stupidity>

<plonk>
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

5156. slwo code advocates death to cber's poor sick fucker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-04 03:17:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ls96i25gtkaidg6kn1ulfvf4985bnigcbl@4ax.com>
Source record: 5536
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 00:17:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Lazy people that don't want to learn anything will stay on CB and die in
>shoot-outs with each other in shopping mall parking lots which will help
>clean up the CB band. To me it looks like a Win Win situation. What do
>you think?
I think you are one sick fuck sc
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5157. Re: HT1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-04 06:06:42 UTC
From: techie@tantivy.tantivy.net
Message-ID: <efvj1i$1p4$1@news.Stanford.EDU>
Source record: 5537

In article <r6wRg.77195$ED.31366@read2.cgocable.net>,
Harry <hthomas@cogeco.ca> wrote:
>can any one give me info on programing this radio,i have the rib,and some
>software HT1000/Release R03.03.00-RVN 4098H ..and im using a laptop with
>win 98 an Think its a PI

You need to boot into DOS, and run RSS from there. You can probably
run from a DOS window when creating the configuration, but you have to
run from native DOS when reading/writing the radio.

I think the HT1000 (and other Jedi family radios) are fast enough that
processer speed is not a issue, but the older radios needded to be
programmed using a 486-33.. Faster machines would't work, as the radio
couldn't accept the data that fast. (the speed that the PC talks to
the radio is/was based on the processer speed of the PC, and not a
standard port speed. IIRC.. braindead, but that's /\/\ for you.)

--
-- Welcome My Son, Welcome To The Machine --
Bob Vaughan | techie @ tantivy.net |
| P.O. Box 19792, Stanford, Ca 94309 |
-- I am Me, I am only Me, And no one else is Me, What could be simpler? --

5158. Re: Monthly Post of 30 helpful tips for no-code hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-04 13:01:12 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159966872.370112.176320@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5538
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> get flushed and clean up ham radio

Talk to any illegal unlicensed stations lately, Marqueer?

5159. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-04 13:05:05 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159967105.598809.176690@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5539
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> get flush

I'm not doing any carpentry, stupid. Talk to any unlicensed stations
lately?

5160. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-04 13:37:44 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159969064.735643.262450@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5540
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
> kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >> well, Dyslexia often affects the interconnection of the visual processing
> >> centers with that of visual pattern recognition. I know, I have that
> >> problem myself.
> >
> > You have more problems than that, dumbass!
> what other problems I may, or may not, have is not up for public discussion
> by or for you.

What will you do, blind gimp?

> and while we are on the subject, what about your ongoing (and very public)
> problems?

Do tell, retard.

> eh dumbass (it takes one to know one)?

You tell me, blind boy. Go suck off those boy scouts you love.

5161. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-04 13:51:31 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159969891.789921.285510@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5541
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Penquin boy Opus- wrote:
> On 3 Oct 2006 04:39:27 -0700, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
> <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
>
>Mad magazine is about the extent of your literary tastes, Penquin boy?

<snip the usual stupidity>

SPANK! BWHAHAHAHAHA!

5162. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-04 15:30:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <7sk7i2lsnu6m4mq5g9qjpokqhjqjco0nbf@4ax.com>
Source record: 5542
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 4 Oct 2006 06:05:05 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:

stfu child molestor
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5163. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-04 15:31:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <2uk7i29ifaeapkplaa3v5mv2fkbubvspcu@4ax.com>
Source record: 5543
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 4 Oct 2006 06:51:31 -0700, "N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5164. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-04 15:32:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ovk7i21kr6ogcg52t64jic8je9dmljqjm3@4ax.com>
Source record: 5544
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 4 Oct 2006 06:37:44 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
<billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5165. Re: Monthly Post of 30 helpful tips for no-code hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-04 15:34:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <o3l7i2tg6lrni4vm6ggv7uakjvaq3r73ub@4ax.com>
Source record: 5545
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 4 Oct 2006 06:01:12 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:

stfu child molestor
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5166. Looking for freqs and info on pro-46

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-04 21:17:22 UTC
From: Coconut <pegboy_30@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <p298i2hu8vp48cup38rtvmsng1p0tuksht@4ax.com>
Source record: 5546

Hey Folks,
I pulled my old pro-46 out, but have forgotten the codes to unlock it.
It was press and hold 9, then turn it on or something. I forget..
HELP!

I'm also looking for freqs for the Bangor, PA area. I once had it
bookmarked, but after three computers yearly, its gone..

Thanks for any help!

--Chris

Neat stuff for sale - art nouveau to bayonets:
http://www.ckdeco.com

5167. Jimmie the "Historian" of Personal Computing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-04 22:19:48 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160000388.690313.39920@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5547
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>> >On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:

>> The ready-built Personal Computer first appeared in 1976,
>> 30 years ago (the "IBM PC" debuted in 1980, 26 years ago).
>> The Internet went public in 1991, 15 years ago.
>
>Basically true, but that's not the whole story by any means.

I wrote a chronological synopsis. If you need more
material, you can crib from Robert X. Cringely and/or
dozens of others.

If you need a "whole story" then WRITE one and get it
published. You are the self-styled knowitall "expert"
who tells everyone else what to write correctly and
not correctly, what to like and not like. You know
everything, yes? Of course you do...you are a code-
tested amateur extra.

>Until rather recently, personal computers were rather expensive.

Define "recently." The prices for complete personal
computer systems, components have been constantly
dropping since the beginning of 1982.

Five years ago a complete PC sold for $500 plus tax
at Lowes near Gig Harbor, Washington. Hewlett-Packard
brand no less! :-)

Complete PCs - and laptop portables - can be purchased
today at Fry's on the west coast for $500; go to
www.outpost.com to see their mail-order products.

>The
>IBM PC (introduced in August 1981) cost over $1500 in its basic
>configuration - which works out to about $3500 in 2006 dollars for a
>machine with very limited capabilities.

The IBM representative showing off their PC at Rocketdyne
in early 1982 was NOT taking orders in "2006 dollars."
The Treasury Departement would have arrested both reps
and IBM Corporation had they done so.

"Limited capabilities?" Only by today's standard. In the early 1980s the first IBM PCs were the EQUAL in power of any 16-bit minicomputer then on the market. Try to keep your time frame focussed. And cite your hands-on experience with either designing, building, or using minicomputers for a comparison. Feel free to indulge everyone on your 64-bit mainframe computer expertise.

>As recently as 10 years ago, a complete PC system with reasonable
>performance cost over $2000 - and its depreciation curve was very
>steep.

You did not do any "dumpster diving" for parts to build your own PC? Why not? Can't you build a functional IBM PC clone for just $100 in parts? Do you think you need morse code skills to program computer code?

I know a few folks who have built whole new PC-compatible computers for LESS than $250 in parts cost. Three years ago.

>"The internet" was originally rather limited and not simple to access
>for the non-technically minded. That's all changed now.

Neither the Internet ("world wide web") nor commands for browsers accessing the Internet have changed in 15 years.

Define "technically minded." Did PC users need university degrees to access the world wide web? I don't think so.

>On top of all this is the evolution of the PC from an expensive
>techno-toy to an everyday tool in most workplaces, schools, and homes.
>"Computer literacy" is now *expected* in most jobs.

Jailhouse guards, housewives, nannies don't need "computer literacy." They can all be amateur radio licensees, though.

>The synergy of low cost, easy-to-use computers, easy and fast online
>access, and a reasonably computer-literate public has only come
>together within the past 10 years.

Yawn. Robert X. Cringely you are NOT. :-)

Why are you trying to tell me what to believe and not believe? Why do you think YOUR "computer history" is "more accurate" than mine? Have you built ANY personal computer from scratch? No? I have. Two of them, in fact. It was fun to do so for me. Why are you trying to tell me what I "should" be having fun with?

You are not a member of the IEEE, a Professional Association. I am a Life Member of the IEEE. Are you or have you ever been a voting member of the ACM (Association for Computing Machinery)? I have. [got the stupid T-shirt "Dragon in a Member" slogan on the front...but it was free...<shrug>]

Why are you always telling me what to like, not like,
enjoy, not enjoy, what to post, what not to post?

What is wrong with live and let live?

5168. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-04 22:35:55 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160001355.924829.305450@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>> >On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:

>> Amateur radio is the ONLY radio service still using
>> morse radiotelegraphy for communications purposes.
>
>So what? Amateurs choose the mode they want to use. What is wrong with
>choosing Morse Code and HF operation?

Now, now, Jimmie, you are assigning some "blame" on a plain
and simple factual statement: "Amateur radio is the ONLY
[US] radio service still using morse radiotelegraphy for
communications purposes."

What I wrote is a plain and simple fact.

You seem to be in denial, unable to accept a plain and simple
fact. Your problem, not mine.

>Some may say the Morse Code *test* is outmoded. But you are saying the
>*use* of Morse Code is outmoded!

Yes, in every other radio service except amateur.

You seem to be in denial, unable to accept a plain and simple
fact. Your problem, not mine.

>FM broadcasting is the only radio service that uses stereo multiplex FM
>- is it outmoded?

There is NO SUCH THING as "stereo multiplex FM" mode.

FM broadcasting is NOT the "only radio service" using stereophonic
audio modulation. Stereophonic audio modulation is NOT required
by FM band broadcasters. Those broadcasters MAY use stereophonic
audio OR they may use monophonic audio plus a SUBCARRIER separate
audio channel OR they may use stereophonic audio PLUS the
subcarrier audio. The term "multiplex" applies to SEPARATE
information sources, not stereophonic audio. All of that is
very much in use today.

DTV (Digital TeleVision) broadcasting carries QUADRAPHONIC audio
(optional, may be monophonic or stereophonic) with or without
extra separate audio subchannels, with or without audio text

("Teletext") accompanying the video. That is very much in use today and for the foreseeable future of American TV broadcasting.

Some AM broadcasters are still using the Motorola C-QUAM system for stereophonic broadcasting where each stereo "channel" takes one of the two DSB sidebands. While that system works well, the AM broadcasting listener market has NOT received it well enough to warrant more than a few broadcasters adopting it or any similar AM stereophonic system. It appears to be on the way out due to listener non-acceptance.

"Shortwave" broadcasting is still "testing" Radio Mondial system which is capable of stereophonic audio transmission. Technically the system works very well. The increased cost of receivers and the general downturn in world interest in "shortwave" broadcasting might result in a future discontinuance. Note: What was once "shortwave" radio broadcasting is increasingly shifting over to satellite relay and VoIP dissemination rather than maintaining the HF transmitters; program content remains the same.

The International Civil Airways VOR (Very high frequency Omnidirectional radio Range) system ground stations ALWAYS broadcast with a subcarrier (9.96 KHz) that is FMed with the reference magnetic azimuth bearing phase. The RF output is amplitude modulated with 30% AM so that any receiver can determine its magnetic bearing to the ground station by comparing the demodulated reference phase with the main AM phase. Relatively simple receiver demod that was devised in vacuum tube architecture times. In use since 1955 worldwide, no foreseeable discontinuance in the future despite wider use of GPS.

Multi-channel (many "multis") using FM was once the choice of trans-continental microwave radio relay, the linkage across the USA that made national TV and 'dial-anywhere' long distance telephony possible. It has been largely replaced by optical fiber relay using digital multiplexing of voice and TV channels using digital modulation of laser light. The longest (to date) fiber-optic relay is the long, long like between London and Tokyo through the Mediterranean Sea past Saudi Arabia, India, around southeast Asia, past the Phillippines. Most of it under water. Optical "pumping" with a second optical wave-length is used for amplification to avoid electronic repeater amplifiers. Such optical pumping (amplification) is not possible with microwave RF radio relay.

There are many different other examples of "FM"-like modulations at work daily in HF and on up into the micro-waves. The most common is the various adaptations of the common dial-up modem using combinatorial amplitude and

phase modulation of an audio carrier wave. Those are the "TORs" (Teleprinter Over Radio) used for data communications in maritime service; voice is done via SSB and may be simultaneous with the data. This is on-going in use and for the foreseeable future.

The FIRST HF Single Sideband circuits (since the beginning of the 1930s) used combinatorial modulations. The 12 KHz bandwidth was composed of four 3 KHz wide separate one-way channels. Each 3 KHz (voice bandwidth) channel could carry up to 6 frequency-shift-modulated teleprinter channels. The common arrangement worldwide (by both commercial and government users) was to use two 3 KHz channels solely for voice/telephony and the remaining two for 8 to 12 TTY circuits (number dependent on the redundancy required to overcome selective fading). While those "commercial" SSB circuits were numerous from the 40s on into the 70s, their number has dwindled due to better throughput and reliability from satellite radio relay services.

Was there anything else technical about communications and/or broadcasting that you wanted to erroneously state?

5169. Re: Jimmie the "Historian" of Personal Computing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-04 22:41:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <7sd8i2l8nlfcj8shctg6jspnm3ptafr6dd@4ax.com>
Source record: 5549
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 4 Oct 2006 15:19:48 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>>> >On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
>>> The ready-built Personal Computer first appeared in 1976,
>>> 30 years ago (the "IBM PC" debuted in 1980, 26 years ago).
>>> The Internet went public in 1991, 15 years ago.
>>
>>Basically true, but that's not the whole story by any means.
>
> I wrote a chronological synopsis. If you need more
> material, you can crib from Robert X. Cringely and/or
> dozens of others.
>
> If you need a "whole story" then WRITE one and get it
> published. You are the self-styled knowitall "expert"
> who tells everyone else what to write correctly and
> not correctly, what to like and not like. You know
> everything, yes? Of course you do...you are a code-
> tested amateur extra.
no one would be able to read his account surely for something so
impoartant he would write in Morse Code
>
>
>>Until rather recently, personal computers were rather expensive.
>
> Define "recently." The prices for complete personal
> computer systems, components have been constantly
> dropping since the beginning of 1982.
>
> Five years ago a complete PC sold for $500 plus tax
> at Lowes near Gig Harbor, Washington. Hewlett-Packard
> brand no less! :-)

I have paid more than 700 in this centrury
>
> Complete PCs - and laptop portables - can be purchased
> today at Fry's on the west coast for $500; go to
> www.outpost.com to see their mail-order products.

>
>>The
>>IBM PC (introduced in August 1981) cost over $1500 in its basic
>>configuration - which works out to about $3500 in 2006 dollars for a
>>machine with very limited capabilities.
>
> The IBM representative showing off their PC at Rocketdyne
> in early 1982 was NOT taking orders in "2006 dollars."
> The Treasury Departement would have arrested both reps
> and IBM Corporation had they done so.
>
> "Limited capabilities?" Only by today's standard. In the
> early 1980s the first IBM PCs were the EQUAL in power of
> any 16-bit minicomputer then on the market. Try to keep
> your time frame focussed. And cite your hands-on
> experience with either designing, building, or using
> minicomputers for a comparison. Feel free to indulge
> everyone on your 64-bit mainframe computer expertise.

and for that matter I have my packet station runing with a 286 today
(my very first pc btw and I borught that in 80's for a about 1000
>
>>As recently as 10 years ago, a complete PC system with reasonable
>>performance cost over $2000 - and its depreciation curve was very
>>steep.
>
> You did not do any "dumpster diving" for parts to build
> your own PC? Why not? Can't you build a functional IBM
> PC clone for just $100 in parts? Do you think you need
> morse code skills to program computer code?

hmm give him that one not I sure I could do for thless 250 but then
maybe you have better dumpsters to dive in out there
>
> I know a few folks who have built whole new PC-compatible
> computers for LESS than $250 in parts cost. Three years
> ago.
1990 under 400 for a 386 (the 486 made the 386 mb so cheap)
>
>>"The internet" was originally rather limited and not simple to access
>>for the non-technically minded. That's all changed now.
>
> Neither the Internet ("world wide web") nor commands for
> browsers accessing the Internet have changed in 15 years.
>
> Define "technically minded." Did PC users need university
> degrees to access the world wide web? I don't think so.
>
>>On top of all this is the evolution of the PC from an expensive
>>techno-toy to an everyday tool in most workplaces, schools, and homes.
>>"Computer literacy" is now *expected* in most jobs.

>
> Jailhouse guards, housewives, nannies don't need "computer
> literacy." They can all be amateur radio licensees, though.
>
>>The synergy of low cost, easy-to-use computers, easy and fast online
>>access, and a reasonably computer-literate public has only come
>>together within the past 10 years.
>
> Yawn. Robert X. Cringely you are NOT. :-)
>
> Why are you trying to tell me what to believe and not
> believe? Why do you think YOUR "computer history" is
> "more accurate" than mine? Have you built ANY personal
> computer from scratch? No? I have. Two of them, in
> fact. It was fun to do so for me. Why are you trying
> to tell me what I "should" be having fun with?
>
> You are not a member of the IEEE, a Professional Association.
> I am a Life Member of the IEEE. Are you or have you ever
> been a voting member of the ACM (Association for Computing
> Machinery)? I have. [got the stupid T-shirt "Dragon in a
> Member" slogan on the front...but it was free...<shrug>]
>
> Why are you always telling me what to like, not like,
> enjoy, not enjoy, what to post, what not to post?
>
> What is wrong with live and let live?
it does not advance th e cuase of code testing
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5170. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 00:11:26 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <O4YUg.5038$Y24.1225@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5352
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
news:1159867822.860905.174320@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> These are the numbers of current, unexpired
> amateur radio licenses held by individuals
> on the stated dates, and the percentage of
> the total number of active licenses that
> class contains:
>
> As of May 14, 2000:
>
> Novice - 49,329 (7.3%)
> Technician - 205,394 (30.4%)
> Technician Plus - 128,860 (19.1%)
> General - 112,677 (16.7%)
> Advanced - 99,782 (14.8%)
> Extra - 78,750 (11.7%)
>
> Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254 (49.5%)
>
> Total General/Advanced/Extra - 291,209 (43.2%)
>
> Total all classes - 674,792
>
> As of October 1, 2006:
>
> Novice - 24,304 (3.7%) [decrease of 25,025]
> Technician - 285,709 (43.5%) [increase of 80,315]
> Technician Plus - 35,378 (5.4%) [decrease of 93,482]
> General - 131,945 (20.1%) [increase of 19,268]
> Advanced - 70,756 (10.8%) [decrease of 29,026]
> Extra - 108,389 (16.5%) [increase of 29,639]
>
> (percentages may not add up to exactly 100.0% due to rounding)
>
> Total Tech/TechPlus - 321,087 (48.9%) [decrease of 13,167]
>
> Total General/Advanced/Extra - 311,090 (47.4%) [increase of 19,881]
>
> Total all classes - 656,481 (decrease of 18,311)
>
> Note that these totals do not include licenses
> that have expired but are in the grace period.

>
> They also do not include club, military, RACES
> or other station-only licenses.
>
> Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new
> Novice, Technician Plus and Advanced licenses
> are no longer issued.
>
> Since April 15, 2000, FCC has renewed all existing
> Technician Plus licenses as Technician. It is therefore
> informative to consider the totals of the two classes,
> since the Technician class includes a significant
> number of Technician Plus licenses renewed as
> Technician.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

Thanks Jim.

Maybe now everyone will see that dumbing things down was bad for ham radio.

73
de Slow Code

5171. Re: Be sure to hold onto your hat when LenAnderson@ieee.org decides to expell some gas.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 00:11:28 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Q4YUg.5039$Y24.326@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1160001355.924829.305450@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:

> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>>> >On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
>
>>> Amateur radio is the ONLY radio service still using
>>> morse radiotelegraphy for communications purposes.
>>
>>So what? Amateurs choose the mode they want to use. What is wrong with
>>choosing Morse Code and HF operation?
>
> Now, now, Jimmie, you are assigning some "blame" on a plain
> and simple factual statement: "Amateur radio is the ONLY
> [US] radio service still using morse radiotelegraphy for
> communications purposes."
>
> What I wrote is a plain and simple fact.
>
> You seem to be in denial, unable to accept a plain and simple
> fact. Your problem, not mine.
>
>>Some may say the Morse Code *test* is outmoded. But you are saying the
>>*use* of Morse Code is outmoded!
>
> Yes, in every other radio service except amateur.
>
> You seem to be in denial, unable to accept a plain and simple
> fact. Your problem, not mine.
>
>
>>FM broadcasting is the only radio service that uses stereo multiplex FM
>>- is it outmoded?
>
> There is NO SUCH THING as "stereo multiplex FM" mode.
>
> FM broadcasting is NOT the "only radio service" using stereophonic
> audio modulation. Stereophonic audio modulation is NOT required

> by FM band broadcasters. Those broadcasters MAY use stereophonic
> audio OR they may use monophonic audio plus a SUBCARRIER separate
> audio channel OR they may use stereophonic audio PLUS the
> subcarrier audio. The term "multiplex" applies to SEPARATE
> information sources, not stereophonic audio. All of that is
> very much in use today.
>
> DTV (Digital TeleVision) broadcasting carries QUADRAPHONIC audio
> (optional, may be monophonic or stereophonic) with or without
> extra separate audio subchannels, with or without audio text
> ("Teletext") accompanying the video. That is very much in use
> today and for the foreseeable future of American TV broadcasting.
>
> Some AM broadcasters are still using the Motorola C-QUAM system
> for stereophonic broadcasting where each stereo "channel" takes
> one of the two DSB sidebands. While that system works well,
> the AM broadcasting listener market has NOT received it well
> enough to warrant more than a few broadcasters adopting it or
> any similar AM stereophonic system. It appears to be on the
> way out due to listener non-acceptance.
>
> "Shortwave" broadcasting is still "testing" Radio Mondial
> system which is capable of stereophonic audio transmission.
> Technically the system works very well. The increased cost
> of receivers and the general downturn in world interest in
> "shortwave" broadcasting might result in a future
> discontinuance. Note: What was once "shortwave" radio
> broadcasting is increasingly shifting over to satellite
> relay and VoIP dissemination rather than maintaining the
> HF transmitters; program content remains the same.
>
> The International Civil Airways VOR (Very high frequency
> Omnidirectional radio Range) system ground stations ALWAYS
> broadcast with a subcarrier (9.96 KHz) that is FMed with
> the reference magnetic azimuth bearing phase. The RF
> output is amplitude modulated with 30% AM so that any
> receiver can determine its magnetic bearing to the ground
> station by comparing the demodulated reference phase with
> the main AM phase. Relatively simple receiver demod that
> was devised in vacuum tube architecture times. In use
> since 1955 worldwide, no foreseeable discontinuance in the
> future despite wider use of GPS.
>
> Multi-channel (many "multis") using FM was once the choice
> of trans-continental microwave radio relay, the linkage
> across the USA that made national TV and 'dial-anywhere'
> long distance telephony possible. It has been largely
> replaced by optical fiber relay using digital multiplexing
> of voice and TV channels using digital modulation of laser
> light. The longest (to date) fiber-optic relay is the

> long, long like between London and Tokyo through the
> Mediterranean Sea past Saudi Arabia, India, around
> southeast Asia, past the Phillippines. Most of it under
> water. Optical "pumping" with a second optical wave-
> length is used for amplification to avoid electronic
> repeater amplifiers. Such optical pumping (amplification)
> is not possible with microwave RF radio relay.
>
> There are many different other examples of "FM"-like
> modulations at work daily in HF and on up into the micro-
> waves. The most common is the various adaptations of the
> common dial-up modem using combinatorial amplitude and
> phase modulation of an audio carrier wave. Those are the
> "TORs" (Teleprinter Over Radio) used for data
> communications in maritime service; voice is done via SSB
> and may be simultaneous with the data. This is on-going
> in use and for the foreseeable future.
>
> The FIRST HF Single Sideband circuits (since the beginning
> of the 1930s) used combinatorial modulations. The 12 KHz
> bandwidth was composed of four 3 KHz wide separate one-way
> channels. Each 3 KHz (voice bandwidth) channel could carry
> up to 6 frequency-shift-modulated teleprinter channels.
> The common arrangement worldwide (by both commercial and
> government users) was to use two 3 KHz channels solely
> for voice/telephony and the remaining two for 8 to 12 TTY
> circuits (number dependent on the redundancy required to
> overcome selective fading). While those "commercial" SSB
> circuits were numerous from the 40s on into the 70s, their
> number has dwindled due to better throughput and reliability
> from satellite radio relay services.
>
> Was there anything else technical about communications
> and/or broadcasting that you wanted to erroneously state?
>

Whewww. That was a gassy one.

SC

5172. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 00:11:30 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <S4YUg.5040$Y24.1633@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5351
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1159749789.952875.5760@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> Al Klein wrote:
>> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 03:54:16 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>
>> >On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 22:53:38 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>> >spake thusly:
>> >
>> >>On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 02:27:51 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 14:54:46 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>> >>>spake thusly:
>> >>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 06:54:33 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>
>>
>> Deaf-blind dogs and cats don't normally walk around the streets
>> without aid. (Domesticated cats, btw, aren't scent-oriented, they're
>> vision-oriented.)
>
> Nonsense. ALL cats (especially domesticated ones) have POOR
> vision. They are scent-oriented.

Lenny knows a lot about cats. When he was a kid he hated playing
in the sandbox because the cats kept trying to cover him up.

SC

5173. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 00:11:32 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <U4YUg.5042$Y24.234@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5354
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
news:7qo0i21i5dg4cb3boc1ok1jrlsc3i8692q@4ax.com:

> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 22:38:29 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> thusly:
>
>>"Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net> wrote in
>>news:efokcn02s86@enews3.newsguy.com:
>>
>>>
>>> More power to the dyslexics...I think they should be made to feel
>>> welcome. The crappy name-calling should stop, though.
>>>
>>> Jack
>>
>>
>>
>>Long ago the FCC thought this might be a problem, so that's why they
>>came up with CB. You're welcome to CB, Talk all you want on it.
>
> When will CB have the same power and range as ham radio? When it does,
> I'll go back.
>
> CB is dead, quit using the term "CB" like an insult. It is short range
> and therefore not a comparison.

Why do you want ham radio to be like CB then by dumbing down exams? Or
are you just saying that because you're a typical lazy-ass Kanadian that
believes everything should be a government hand-out with no work required?

SC

5174. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 00:11:34 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <W4YUg.5043$Y24.3037@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5353
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
news:QsidnWHEiuLZib7YnZ2dnUVZ_v6dnZ2d@comcast.com:

>
> "N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
> news:2900075.q9U2II7gGK@www.usenetzone.com...
>> Jack Ricci wrote:
>>
>>> These are serious questions I have of CW operation, with no harm,
>>> malice,
>>> hurt, or put-downs intended for anyone, so I hope this thread does not
>>> degrade to that point.
>>
>> I wouldn't worry about that too much. just be careful of some of the
>> advice
>> dispensed around here though....
>>
>>>
>>> When listening to CW on my scanner, are there any tell-tale
>>> indications
>>> that the operator is dyslexic? Does a dyslexic ham use the same speed,
>>> protocol and " short-hand/short-form wording " as a more proficient
>>> ham would use in communicating CW? Do dyslexic hams ever really use
>>> CW, or would
>>> dyslexic hams ever even consider the use of CW on a regular basis?
>>> Are dyslexic would-be hams able to pass the CW portion of an exam to
>>> get into a higher class of license and increased privileges?
>>
>> well, Dyslexia often affects the interconnection of the visual
>> processing centers with that of visual pattern recognition. I know, I
>> have that problem myself.
>>
>> Since this problem appears to be one in the visual side of the system,
>> it stands to reason that sound would not be affected in the same way
>> (nor would touch - in my case, braille is far easier for me to read
>> than the printed word was). CW is much the same way for me. I picked it
>> up as a teen, but never did get around to using it, nor did I bother
>> with it when I
>> went in to take my first Amateur radio exame.
>>
>> you may note how well I type. Actually, its an automatic spell checker
>> correcting some of the "dyslexic typing" my fingers turn out. There are
>> some word variations that still get past the spellcheck though. :(

>>
>> point is, since CW is a sound language, it might be loads easier for
>> her to
>> learn than say the printed word.
>>
>> DE N7ZZT
>> Phoenix, Arizona
>
> Do you have any tips you could pass on for those teaching code to
> dyslexics?

Use a cattle prod.

SC

5175. Re: I punce in aigaon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 00:11:38 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <_4YUg.5047$Y24.1134@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5355
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Mark Morgan" <kb9rqz@blumpkin.net> wrote in
news:4523d3b0$0$19707$88260bb3@free.teranews.com:

> ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I
> do
> so
> bringit on you loosers
>
> show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW
> rendered phonicticaly)
> happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and
> SC a few just decided to not to be as hateful
>
> with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
> impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?
>
>
> my blog
> http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

ROFL,
For a forgery, this one always cracks me up.

SC

5176. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 00:11:42 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <25YUg.5050$Y24.3923@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5356
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:AqYTg.8122$vJ2.5172@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have everything over 30
>> MHz, but it's the code hams that are the one's moving ham radio forward
>> and doing anything technical wise.
>
> If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
> century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
> hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
> technical expertise.

And half a century ago those VHF/UHF hams had passed a code test. They
weren't no-coders, they were motivated and did what it took. Today's
no-codes aren't motivated and don't want to make an effort, therefore
they're probably not going to be motivated to move things forward either.

SC

5177. Re: SC: Been practicing code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 00:11:45 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <55YUg.5052$Y24.2339@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
news:83o0i2d63jkfdtfh8hpg29g097ulv4b10c@4ax.com:

> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 22:38:40 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> thusly:
>
>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>news:hm4sh25jlo4v9cl1cer1uuhv2p8aqotv2u@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:36:39 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>> thusly:
>>>
>>>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>>>news:f4uoh2p6kcadicpmrl2bc2onk0b2ipm19a@4ax.com:
>>>>
>>>>> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 23:23:21 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>>>> thusly:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>>>news:MPG.1f839935fa9c8f97989a2e@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> In article <CwiSg.6715$UG4.5839@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>>>>>>> my.mail@no.spam says...
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> They just tease you because of all the stupid things you say when
>>>>>>>> you follow up to them. Just ignore them and they'll stop
>>>>>>>> bothering you.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> How your code practice coming along?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> SC
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> .-- --- ..- .-.. -.. / -.-- --- ..- / .--. .-.. . .- ... . / -.-.
>>>>>>> .... .- -. --. . / - .... . / ... ..- -... .--- . -.-. - null /
>>>>>>> .-- . / .- .-. . / - .. .-. . -.. / --- ..-. / - .- .-.. -.- .. -.
>>>>>>> --. / .- -... --- ..- - / -.-. --- -.. . null
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>So what do you like to talk about then? Roger's Ass?
>>>>>>

>>>>>>I like to talk about saving ham radio. How's your code practice
>>>>>>coming along?
>>>>>
>>>>> Ham radio needs to be saved from arrogant pricks like you. Leave it
>>>>> already.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I'm not arrogant, I'm just trying to start a movement to keep ham
>>>>radio out of the hands of the sick, lame, and lazy.
>>>
>>> Democracy will always beat fascists like you.
>>>
>>>>Ten-Four, breaker, breaker?
>>>
>>> Huh? Ohh..right...you watch too much TV.
>>
>>
>>
>>Smells like you started a movement too, a bowel movement. Please keep
>>that smell in rec.radio.cb, Thanks.
>
> You never EVER offer any counterpoints. Are you a typical ham? If so,
> then let the ARS die with dignity.

I can't help it if you're a lazy-ass Kanadian, but lucky for you being
north of the border your govt believes in free-handouts. Too bad it makes
for poorer radio amateurs. Ten-Four, Ten-Four, breaker, breaker, breaker?

SC

5178. Re: Looking for freqs and info on pro-46

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 00:36:49 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <brk8i2199e3tcm74h3io1l6c1o7gtor4a3@4ax.com>
Source record: 5358

On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 21:17:22 GMT, Coconut <pegboy_30@yahoo.com> wrote:

>I pulled my old pro-46 out, but have forgotten the codes to unlock it.
>It was press and hold 9, then turn it on or something. I forget..
>HELP!

It's been too long since I even saw my Pro-46 for me to help you with
that, but ...

>I'm also looking for freqs for the Bangor, PA area. I once had it
>bookmarked, but after three computers yearly, its gone..

<http://www.radioreference.com> Try the database.

5179. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 01:00:54 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <s8l8i2hiunt0d4htofftp46c4trst6m0rd@4ax.com>
Source record: 5359
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:32 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>news:7qo0i21i5dg4cb3boc1ok1jrlsc3i8692q@4ax.com:
>
>> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 22:38:29 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>> thusly:
>>
>>>"Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net> wrote in
>>>news:efokcn02s86@enews3.newsguy.com:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> More power to the dyslexics...I think they should be made to feel
>>>> welcome. The crappy name-calling should stop, though.
>>>>
>>>> Jack
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Long ago the FCC thought this might be a problem, so that's why they
>>>came up with CB. You're welcome to CB, Talk all you want on it.
>>
>> When will CB have the same power and range as ham radio? When it does,
>> I'll go back.
>>
>> CB is dead, quit using the term "CB" like an insult. It is short range
>> and therefore not a comparison.
>
>
>Why do you want ham radio to be like CB then by dumbing down exams?

Do you have any idea how really stupid you sound? You keep on
repeating this "dumbing down" mantra like it actually means something.
Newsflash. Ham radio and CB are really only different in the range
available. Ham is just CB that goes further and there is nothing you
can do to change that.

And, for the 15'th time, the no-code test here in Canada is TOUGHER
than the code test.

>Or
>are you just saying that because you're a typical lazy-ass Kanadian that
>believes everything should be a government hand-out with no work required?

I have no idea what you mean. What do we get handed to us? I'd like to know because myself and everybody I know has had to work for everything we own.

Please explain what I get handed to me, oh great professor of Canadian studies. Canadian is spelled with a "C" by the way. Don't they educate you down there?
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

5180. Re: Be sure to hold onto your hat when LenAnderson@ieee.org decides to expell some gas.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 01:13:18 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <svm8i25od351kvjn713eipdsej1jit35m5@4ax.com>
Source record: 5360
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:28 GMT, Blow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>Whewww. That was a gassy one.

We don't need to hear about your sex life.

5181. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 01:15:29 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <93n8i2tnsu4jgghb9ihd7co2ti5lqd0j4u@4ax.com>
Source record: 5361
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in
>news:AqYTg.8122$vJ2.5172@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com:
>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have everything over 30
>>> MHz, but it's the code hams that are the one's moving ham radio forward
>>> and doing anything technical wise.
>>
>> If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
>> century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
>> hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
>> technical expertise.
>
>
>And half a century ago those VHF/UHF hams had passed a code test. They
>weren't no-coders, they were motivated and did what it took. Today's
>no-codes aren't motivated and don't want to make an effort, therefore
>they're probably not going to be motivated to move things forward either.

Your defamation is well noted. Democracy just sucks, doesn't it?

5182. Re: SC: Been practicing code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 01:25:31 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <76n8i293m9quiaek8pd83irebbs13l6pro@4ax.com>
Source record: 5362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:45 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>I can't help it if you're a lazy-ass Kanadian, but lucky for you being
>north of the border your govt believes in free-handouts. Too bad it makes
>for poorer radio amateurs. Ten-Four, Ten-Four, breaker, breaker, breaker?

^^????
Canadian no-code radio amateurs have to pass with a HIGHER grade than
code amateurs.

Can you please explain what my handouts are? Because I seem to have
missed them all. I still have to work to pay my rent, pay all my
utilities, pay for the fuel in my vehicles...fuel that is MORE
expensive here than down south....etc.

And an F.Y.I, Canada is the LARGEST supplier of crude oil to the
United States and YOU guys can buy OUR petroleum products CHEAPER than
we can here. Nice handout you're getting there, lazy ass.

Actually..I DID get a free education at a public school. I get it
now..you are jealous because you didn't get any kind of education, as
observed by your misspelling of *C*anada and your other mindless
rantings.

You have my sympathy but it's not too late to get an education.

5183. Ping LenAnderson@ieee.org

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 01:58:21 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <9un8i2p4358ekvdtk59f52pa8fri1k58kr@4ax.com>
Source record: 5363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

You seem pretty knowledgeable so I need some assistance at
understanding something.

What I can't understand is the the incredibly childish attitude of
some of the pro-coders here. For me, the confusion stems from having
known several old timer hams while growing up. I looked up to them.
They were older gentlemen that had some fascinating knowledge and
great stories to tell about their ham radio hobby. This was back in
the 60's and early 70's so they are all gone now.

I am sure now that they are spinning in their graves, after the spew
puked up by some of the pro-coders.

Not all of them, to be fair, but a few loud ones stand out.

I still can't figure out how a statement about how CW is just beeps[
as opposed to voice on the same hardware] became transmuted into a
requirement that I should hate usenet.
That kind of blatant mis-direction seems to be quite common.

The statement is quite simple...a voice on the airwaves can convey
much more information than just the words spoken but CW can only
convey the words. Since the medium and usually the hardware is exactly
the same weather or not a microphone or a key is used, why bother with
a key that is much more limited? Somehow, this relates to pixels on my
screen but I have yet to understand why my opponent felt the need to
misdirect, misrepresent and misquote. Can none of the pro-coders make
a valid point?

Why do some of them feel that insulting my daughter will make their
point valid? Are their points so weak that they resort to vulgar
insults instead of engaging in debate? I usually don't killfile people
but I have made a few exceptions lately.

Now, there will be some spew directed towards my post. They can go
ahead and prove that turning ham into CB will most certainly be a
great improvement to the ARS. I NEVER knew anybody on CB that was as
rude and vulgar as some of the pro-coders here. I can have a nasty
mouth too, at times, but it's always in response to stupidity that is
obviously not to be taken seriously.

And, ironically, *I* am the one told to grow up. That's just too
funny.

5184. when you goign tpo pay off your bet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 02:05:36 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <m2q8i25l8a6usa40gin4de0dk4jih2qvdt@4ax.com>
Source record: 5364
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:26 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

when you goign tpo pay off your bet
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5185. when you goign tpo pay off your bet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 02:06:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <94q8i252l56l45p9mn4p9hlsp9adk0u3qh@4ax.com>
Source record: 5365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:45 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
when you goign tpo pay off your bet
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5186. when you goign tpo pay off your bet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 02:06:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <a5q8i2p3e19cdoglbhgq9ecpkfh515vmih@4ax.com>
Source record: 5366
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:30 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

when you goign tpo pay off your bet
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5187. when you goign tpo pay off your bet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 02:07:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <v6q8i2h9cf2kna65qjgng01strabaabubi@4ax.com>
Source record: 5367
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

when you goign tpo pay off your bet
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5188. when you goign tpo pay off your bet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 02:08:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <98q8i2dvse0gj3jvudu61hmj1bcdkddhfl@4ax.com>
Source record: 5368
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:34 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

when you goign tpo pay off your bet
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5189. when you goign tpo pay off your bet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 02:11:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <eeq8i2lvvnuh9q5cv3v97pasbgojjuvdho@4ax.com>
Source record: 5369
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:38 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

when you goign tpo pay off your bet
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5190. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 02:12:42 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uSZUg.19546$lj.807@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 5371
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> And half a century ago those VHF/UHF hams had passed a code test. They
> weren't no-coders, they were motivated and did what it took. Today's
> no-codes aren't motivated and don't want to make an effort, therefore
> they're probably not going to be motivated to move things forward either.

Actually, the Technician License was offered with
reduced code requirements for hams who were more
interested in technical experimentation than in
ragchewing.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

5191. when you goign tpo pay off your bet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 02:12:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <igq8i2lbnlfcsdnpqh161025ie6emtot2b@4ax.com>
Source record: 5370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:28 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

when you goign tpo pay off your bet
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5192. Re: Ping LenAnderson@ieee.org

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 02:16:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <umq8i2pn4emlfcpefjo06s0bi6f1mvnbc8@4ax.com>
Source record: 5372
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 01:58:21 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:

>
>You seem pretty knowledgeable so I need some assistance at
>understanding something.
>
>What I can't understand is the the incredibly childish attitude of
>some of the pro-coders here. For me, the confusion stems from having
>known several old timer hams while growing up. I looked up to them.
>They were older gentlemen that had some fascinating knowledge and
>great stories to tell about their ham radio hobby. This was back in
>the 60's and early 70's so they are all gone now.
>
>I am sure now that they are spinning in their graves, after the spew
>puked up by some of the pro-coders.

I am sure Hiram is spingin or puking over the way the ARRl and
procoders are abusing Ham radio
>
>Not all of them, to be fair, but a few loud ones stand out.
>
>I still can't figure out how a statement about how CW is just beeps[
>as opposed to voice on the same hardware] became transmuted into a
>requirement that I should hate usenet.
>That kind of blatant mis-direction seems to be quite common.
bacause it all they have to try
>
>The statement is quite simple...a voice on the airwaves can convey
>much more information than just the words spoken but CW can only
>convey the words. Since the medium and usually the hardware is exactly
>the same weather or not a microphone or a key is used, why bother with
>a key that is much more limited? Somehow, this relates to pixels on my
>screen but I have yet to understand why my opponent felt the need to
>misdirect, misrepresent and misquote. Can none of the pro-coders make
>a valid point?
>
>Why do some of them feel that insulting my daughter will make their
>point valid? Are their points so weak that they resort to vulgar
>insults instead of engaging in debate? I usually don't killfile people
>but I have made a few exceptions lately.
>
>Now, there will be some spew directed towards my post. They can go
>ahead and prove that turning ham into CB will most certainly be a
>great improvement to the ARS. I NEVER knew anybody on CB that was as

>rude and vulgar as some of the pro-coders here. I can have a nasty
>mouth too, at times, but it's always in response to stupidity that is
>obviously not to be taken seriously.
>
>And, ironically, *I* am the one told to grow up. That's just too
>funny.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5193. Re: Jimmie the "Historian" of Personal Computing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 04:42:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <b30Vg.3292$Lv3.997@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>>>> On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
>>> The ready-built Personal Computer first appeared in 1976,
>>> 30 years ago (the "IBM PC" debuted in 1980, 26 years ago).
>>> The Internet went public in 1991, 15 years ago.
>> Basically true, but that's not the whole story by any means.
>
> I wrote a chronological synopsis. If you need more
> material, you can crib from Robert X. Cringely and/or
> dozens of others.

Is that where you obtained yours?

> If you need a "whole story" then WRITE one and get it
> published. You are the self-styled knowitall "expert"
> who tells everyone else what to write correctly and
> not correctly, what to like and not like. You know
> everything, yes? Of course you do...you are a code-
> tested amateur extra.

You wrote one and submitted it here for free? I don't think the reviews
are going to be good on this one, Len. It has some gaping holes and
some factual errors.

>
>> Until rather recently, personal computers were rather expensive.
>
> Define "recently." The prices for complete personal
> computer systems, components have been constantly
> dropping since the beginning of 1982.

No kidding? The only thing is, they didn't drop very fast until the
past five or six years.

> Five years ago a complete PC sold for $500 plus tax
> at Lowes near Gig Harbor, Washington. Hewlett-Packard
> brand no less! :-)

Why the smiley? Was that a joke?

> Complete PCs - and laptop portables - can be purchased
> today at Fry's on the west coast for $500; go to
> www.outpost.com to see their mail-order products.
>
>> The
>> IBM PC (introduced in August 1981) cost over $1500 in its basic
>> configuration - which works out to about $3500 in 2006 dollars for a
>> machine with very limited capabilities.
>
> The IBM representative showing off their PC at Rocketdyne
> in early 1982 was NOT taking orders in "2006 dollars."
> The Treasury Departement would have arrested both reps
> and IBM Corporation had they done so.

No smiley here?

> "Limited capabilities?" Only by today's standard.

That's not correct. The 1981 PC had limited capabilities compared to
the XT available not too long afterward. Both had limited capabilities
in terms of processor speed, memory and storage compared to the PC's of
the early 1990's.

> In the
> early 1980s the first IBM PCs were the EQUAL in power of
> any 16-bit minicomputer then on the market. Try to keep
> your time frame focussed.

Were there things that the IBM couldn't do at that point, Len?
If not, why were so many folks designing, building and selling systems
to allow those early PC's to network with minicomputers?

> And cite your hands-on
> experience with either designing, building, or using
> minicomputers for a comparison. Feel free to indulge
> everyone on your 64-bit mainframe computer expertise.

There's a big difference between designing or building and using
minicomputers. I've never designed or built any minicomputer but I have
plenty of experience in using and working as systems manager on Wang VS
systems. Now what?

>> As recently as 10 years ago, a complete PC system with reasonable
>> performance cost over $2000 - and its depreciation curve was very
>> steep.
>
> You did not do any "dumpster diving" for parts to build
> your own PC? Why not? Can't you build a functional IBM
> PC clone for just $100 in parts? Do you think you need
> morse code skills to program computer code?
>
> I know a few folks who have built whole new PC-compatible
> computers for LESS than $250 in parts cost. Three years
> ago.

Now what?

>> "The internet" was originally rather limited and not simple to access
>> for the non-technically minded. That's all changed now.
>
> Neither the Internet ("world wide web")...

Would you like additional time to rethink your statement?

> ...nor commands for
> browsers accessing the Internet have changed in 15 years.
>
> Define "technically minded." Did PC users need university
> degrees to access the world wide web? I don't think so.

Does everyone who is technically minded need a university degree at any
time, Len?

>> On top of all this is the evolution of the PC from an expensive
>> techno-toy to an everyday tool in most workplaces, schools, and homes.
>> "Computer literacy" is now *expected* in most jobs.
>
> Jailhouse guards, housewives, nannies don't need "computer
> literacy." They can all be amateur radio licensees, though.

That's odd. Our regional jail uses plenty of PC's. I don't know any
nannies but I know plenty of housewives who use PCs. I didn't see
anything incorrect in Jim's statement. Where are you going with yours?

>> The synergy of low cost, easy-to-use computers, easy and fast online
>> access, and a reasonably computer-literate public has only come
>> together within the past 10 years.
>
> Yawn. Robert X. Cringely you are NOT. :-)

If you aren't, did you crib from him without giving credit? :-)

> Why are you trying to tell me what to believe and not
> believe? Why do you think YOUR "computer history" is
> "more accurate" than mine?

Relax, Len. It was probably due to his having had prior experiences
with you.

> Have you built ANY personal
> computer from scratch? No? I have. Two of them, in
> fact. It was fun to do so for me. Why are you trying
> to tell me what I "should" be having fun with?

I'll bet it took you years to solder the parts on those mother boards.
How long did it take you to assemble that hard drive?

Awwwww! I'll bet you meant that you assembled the motherboard into a
case, screwed in the power supply, slid in a drive or two, perhaps added
a CD or DVD burner, plugged in a couple of PCI boards, attached the

monitor, keyboard and mouse and called it a day.

> You are not a member of the IEEE, a Professional Association.
> I am a Life Member of the IEEE.

Yessir. I know about the IEEE Code of Ethics, too. What has all this talk of the IEEE to do with amateur radio? Does anyone need an IEEE member to assemble a computer or use it?

> Are you or have you ever
> been a voting member of the ACM (Association for Computing
> Machinery)? I have. [got the stupid T-shirt "Dragon in a
> Member" slogan on the front...but it was free...<shrug>]

That's great, Len. It looks as if you've found your niche.

> Why are you always telling me what to like, not like,
> enjoy, not enjoy, what to post, what not to post?

I say, if it is computers you like, it is with computers you should stick. Have a blast, Leonard. You can take 'em apart and put 'em back together again. You can impress those with less knowledge than yourself.

> What is wrong with live and let live?

You've been allowed to live.

Dave K8MN

5194. Re: FS: Pro-2006 with OS456 full and my copy of Probe v7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 05:25:50 UTC
From: Rocinante <RocinanteREMOVETHIS@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <2ju10seom8qh$.1pxob99b8s549.dlg@40tude.net>
Source record: 5374

On 2 Oct 2006 10:57:06 -0700, great river wrote:

> I payed OVER $500 for this scanner, recently the same radio went for
> OVER $500 on Ebay. I don't know the condition of yours, but mine is
> GREAT!!
> Have Fun..
>
>
> Dan Conti wrote:
>> If you are so in love with this system, why are you selling?
>>
>> Good luck, the Buy It Now AND starting bid for this package, as good as
>> it might be, is WAY overpriced. If I could get those prices, I'd sell mine!
>>
>> Dan
>>
>>> Ok here it is, after NUMEROUS scanners/receivers owned and many hours
>>> of listening, I have come to a conclusion. The Pro-2006 with the OC456
>>> full and the Probe v7 software is the BEST PC OPERATED SCANNER EVER
>>> MADE!! What a spooky combo this is! Lighting fast scanning,DTMF tone
>>> decoder, sensitive and selective, discriminator tap. But wait it gets
>>> better, PROBE v7!! If you haven't witnessed the POWER of probe, do
>>> yourself a favor and check out the reviews. WOW! The hype IS justified.
>>> No scanning software comes close! Ok, analog scanners are out right?
>>> WRONG! With a discriminator tap you can decode TONS of digital sigs.
>>> There are LOTS of FREEWARE programs out there! Now, lets move on to the
>>> condition of the equipment. On a scale from 1 to 10, I give it a 9.5!
>>> EVERYTHING WORKS on this baby! Here is what you get: PRO2006, ANTENNA,
>>> MANUAL,PROBE V7, SERIAL CABLE!! This is a KILLER scanner!! Check out
>>> the info on this rig! http://userweb.suscom.net/~pro-2006/
>>>
>>> Here is the link to ebay
>>>
>>> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&ih=020&item=300032535626&rd=1&sspagename
=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=
>>>

10 years ago, the price would have been realistic. However, the scanner has
lost much of its value since cellular went digital.

--
"Hear and you forget; see and you remember; do and you understand."
--Confucius

RocinanteREMOVETHIS@gmail.com
10/5/2006 1:24:07 AM

5195. Re: Accuracy, Facts and Opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 10:39:51 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160044791.319429.9720@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5375
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
> >> >On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> >> The ready-built Personal Computer first appeared in 1976,
> >> 30 years ago (the "IBM PC" debuted in 1980, 26 years ago).
> >> The Internet went public in 1991, 15 years ago.
> >
> >Basically true, but that's not the whole story by any means.
>
> I wrote a chronological synopsis.

You left out important information and included a few mistakes. The
information you left out disproves your conclusions.

> If you need a "whole story" then WRITE one and get it
> published. You are the self-styled knowitall "expert"

I've never claimed to be an expert, Len. I do know some things that you
do not know. That seems to really bother you.
> who tells everyone else what to write correctly and
> not correctly, what to like and not like.

I point out some of your mistakes. That's how things go in a newsgroup.

You can have any opinion you want, Len. You can believe the earth is
flat, the moon made of green cheese, that "acceptable" has the letter
"i" in it, or that the IBM PC was introduced in 1980. If you express
such "opinions", it's possible someone else will point out your
mistakes. Your opinion does not make something a fact.

> You know everything, yes?

Oh no, I don't know nearly everything. But I do know some things that
you do not know. That seems to really bother you.

> you are a code-tested amateur extra.

There's no other kind. You aren't even a Novice, though.
>
>
> >Until rather recently, personal computers were rather expensive.
>
> Define "recently."

In the context of the PC, about the past 7 years.

> The prices for complete personal
> computer systems, components have been constantly
> dropping since the beginning of 1982.

Of course. But until about 7 years ago, most complete systems were well
over $1000.
>
> Five years ago a complete PC sold for $500 plus tax
> at Lowes near Gig Harbor, Washington. Hewlett-Packard
> brand no less! :-)

That's relatively recently, Len.

Did it include a monitor? Printer? Supplies for the printer?
>
> Complete PCs - and laptop portables - can be purchased
> today at Fry's on the west coast for $500; go to
> www.outpost.com to see their mail-order products.

That's my point, Len. The prices *now* are far below what they were
even 8 years ago.

> >The
> >IBM PC (introduced in August 1981) cost over $1500 in its basic
> >configuration - which works out to about $3500 in 2006 dollars for a
> >machine with very limited capabilities.
>
> The IBM representative showing off their PC at Rocketdyne
> in early 1982 was NOT taking orders in "2006 dollars."
> The Treasury Departement would have arrested both reps
> and IBM Corporation had they done so.

Ever hear of something called "inflation", Len? How about "inflation
adjusted"?

You know, how the value of money declines in an inflationary economy?

"2006 dollars" is a valid way of describing that.
>
> "Limited capabilities?" Only by today's standard.

No, by any reasonable standard. Heck, the original IBM PC was
considered obsolete long before 1990.

> In the
> early 1980s the first IBM PCs were the EQUAL in power of
> any 16-bit minicomputer then on the market.

And by the late 1990s they had been eclipsed by much more powerful PCs.

> Try to keep
> your time frame focussed. And cite your hands-on
> experience with either designing, building, or using

> minicomputers for a comparison. Feel free to indulge
> everyone on your 64-bit mainframe computer expertise.

The point is that those early machines were expensive and limited in
their capabilities.

The original 1981 IBM PC did not include a hard drive, color display,
network interface, modem or mouse as standard equipment. The software
available for it was limited and expensive.

> >As recently as 10 years ago, a complete PC system with reasonable
> >performance cost over $2000 - and its depreciation curve was very
> >steep.
>
> You did not do any "dumpster diving" for parts to build
> your own PC?

It's not about me, Len. It's about what computers used to cost, and
what they could do.

> Why not? Can't you build a functional IBM
> PC clone for just $100 in parts?

Actually, Len, I'm quite good at assembling PCs. For a lot less than
$100. In many cases, for no money at all.

My specialty is collecting older machines and utilizing the best parts
from them to assemble a "new" one. Usually I get them before they reach
the dumpster, but sometimes I have to reach in and pick something out.

It's amazing what computer hardware individuals and businesses throw
away these days. 17" monitors that work perfectly. Pentium II class
machines complete with CD burners, NICs, modems, etc. Sometimes the OS
is still on the hard drive. Cables, keyboards, printers, and more. It
is not at all unusual for me to find working but discarded computers
that cost more than $2500 new.

> Do you think you need
> morse code skills to program computer code?

Who needs to "program computer code", Len? Why do you live in the past?

> I know a few folks who have built whole new PC-compatible
> computers for LESS than $250 in parts cost. Three years
> ago.

But *you* haven't done it. I have.

It's also besides the point: Until rather recently (7 years ago,
approximately), PCs were quite expensive. Spending a couple of thousand
dollars is a different thing than spending a couple of hundred.

> >"The internet" was originally rather limited and not simple to access
> >for the non-technically minded. That's all changed now.
>

> Neither the Internet ("world wide web") nor commands for
> browsers accessing the Internet have changed in 15 years.

Not the point. What is the point is that there is much more content
available. And it's much easier and less expensive to access.

> Define "technically minded." Did PC users need university
> degrees to access the world wide web? I don't think so.

They did need some understanding of how to set up and use a PC. That
sort of thing used to be fairly unusual - not anymore.

> >On top of all this is the evolution of the PC from an expensive
> >techno-toy to an everyday tool in most workplaces, schools, and homes.
> >"Computer literacy" is now *expected* in most jobs.
>
> Jailhouse guards, housewives, nannies don't need "computer
> literacy."

Sure they do, Len.

> They can all be amateur radio licensees, though.

If they pass the tests and earn the license. You haven't passed the
tests and you haven't earned the license.
>
> >The synergy of low cost, easy-to-use computers, easy and fast online
> >access, and a reasonably computer-literate public has only come
> >together within the past 10 years.
>
> Yawn. Robert X. Cringely you are NOT. :-)

I don't claim to be.

> Why are you trying to tell me what to believe and not
> believe?

Because you got the facts wrong, Len.

> Why do you think YOUR "computer history" is
> "more accurate" than mine?

Because it is, Len. You got the dates wrong. You left out how much PCs
used to cost, and how little they used to be able to do.

If PCs have had an effect on the number of US radio amateurs, most of
that effect has happened in the past 8 years or less.

> Have you built ANY personal
> computer from scratch?

I've assembled several from components.

> No?

Yes.

> I have.

That's nice. Were they IBM-compatible PCs? Or were they simple systems
from 25-30 years ago?, and you're playing word games with "personal"
and "computer"

> Two of them, in
> fact. It was fun to do so for me.

That's nice, Len.

> Why are you trying
> to tell me what I "should" be having fun with?

I'm not - if you want to build computers, go ahead.

But if you want to discuss the effects of PCs on amateur radio, you're
going to see rebuttals to your mistaken assertions.

5196. Re: Ping LenAnderson@ieee.org

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 11:26:28 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160047588.128797.309760@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5376
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Opus- wrote:

> The statement is quite simple...a voice on the airwaves can convey
> much more information than just the words spoken but CW can only
> convey the words.

Morse Code can convey more than the words - if the operators are
skilled in it.

It's not the same thing as a voice, though. It's a different
communications experience, just as the written word is a different
experience from the spoken word.

> Since the medium and usually the hardware is exactly
> the same weather or not a microphone or a key is used, why bother with
> a key that is much more limited?

Several reasons:

1) It's often *not* the same hardware. You can use much simpler
equipment for Morse Code than for voice modes.

2) It's a different communications experience. (see above). For many of
us, that alone makes it worthwhile.

3) It takes up much less spectrum. With good equipment, five to ten
Morse Code signals can fit in the same spectrum space required by just
one single-sideband voice signal. AM and FM take up even more space on
the band.

4) It's more effective under adverse conditions. A Morse Code signal
typically has about 10-13 dB of advanatage over single-sideband voice.
That's about 2 S-units. Under conditions that make SSB unusable, or
barely usable, Morse Code will often be solid copy with good signals.

There are other reasons, but those four come to mind right now.

> Somehow, this relates to pixels on my
> screen but I have yet to understand why my opponent felt the need to
> misdirect, misrepresent and misquote.

Lots of that going around - on both sides. Don't let it bother you - I
sure don't.

> Can none of the pro-coders make
> a valid point?

I just made a couple of valid points. That doesn't mean there *must* be
a Morse Code test, just that the mode has some good points.

Jim, N2EY

5197. Re: Looking for freqs and info on pro-46

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 13:01:25 UTC
From: Coconut <pegboy_30@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <lg0ai2t9efvp8pisaacqkf0j25lv0ckq8c@4ax.com>
Source record: 5377

>On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 20:36:49 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote:

>On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 21:17:22 GMT, Coconut <pegboy_30@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>I pulled my old pro-46 out, but have forgotten the codes to unlock it.
>>It was press and hold 9, then turn it on or something. I forget..
>>HELP!
>
>It's been too long since I even saw my Pro-46 for me to help you with
>that, but ...
>
>>I'm also looking for freqs for the Bangor, PA area. I once had it
>>bookmarked, but after three computers yearly, its gone..
>
><http://www.radioreference.com> Try the database.

Thanks Al, appreciate it!

--Chris

Neat stuff for sale - art nouveau to bayonets:
http://www.ckdeco.com

5198. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 13:28:28 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160054908.311636.73050@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5378
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 4 Oct 2006 06:05:05 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> stfu about me being a child molestor

Markie has a fag fit!

5199. Re: Ping LenAnderson@ieee.org

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 13:34:42 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <ld1ai2181j9o36qcpm1oqoq56p5phmhe0r@4ax.com>
Source record: 5379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 5 Oct 2006 04:26:28 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM spake thusly:

>Opus- wrote:
>
>> The statement is quite simple...a voice on the airwaves can convey
>> much more information than just the words spoken but CW can only
>> convey the words.
>
>Morse Code can convey more than the words - if the operators are
>skilled in it.

One of those old timers once told me that he recognized another
operators "hand" back when I watched him operate. I am not sure how
much more a person can get out of code.

>It's not the same thing as a voice, though. It's a different
>communications experience, just as the written word is a different
>experience from the spoken word.

Fair enough.

>> Since the medium and usually the hardware is exactly
>> the same weather or not a microphone or a key is used, why bother with
>> a key that is much more limited?
>
>Several reasons:
>
>1) It's often *not* the same hardware. You can use much simpler
>equipment for Morse Code than for voice modes.

Well, I did say "usually". But wouldn't simpler equipment limit you to
code only?

>2) It's a different communications experience. (see above). For many of
>us, that alone makes it worthwhile.

I am curious as to what would make it worthwhile.

>3) It takes up much less spectrum. With good equipment, five to ten
>Morse Code signals can fit in the same spectrum space required by just
>one single-sideband voice signal. AM and FM take up even more space on
>the band.

Some very valid points here.

>4) It's more effective under adverse conditions. A Morse Code signal
>typically has about 10-13 dB of advanatage over single-sideband voice.

>That's about 2 S-units. Under conditions that make SSB unusable, or
>barely usable, Morse Code will often be solid copy with good signals.

I could see the challenge in this. I remember a certain thrill back
when I was a kid, whenever I managed to make out a distant signal and
recognize where it was broadcast from.

>There are other reasons, but those four come to mind right now.
>
>> Somehow, this relates to pixels on my
>> screen but I have yet to understand why my opponent felt the need to
>> misdirect, misrepresent and misquote.
>
>Lots of that going around - on both sides. Don't let it bother you - I
>sure don't.

I just don't like the snotty attitude that makes the ARS look so bad.

I am still waiting for my government handout. Never had any government
handouts in the 44 years I have been around.

>> Can none of the pro-coders make
>> a valid point?
>
>I just made a couple of valid points. That doesn't mean there *must* be
>a Morse Code test, just that the mode has some good points.

Thank you for making some points in a nice, civilized manner.

My neighbor, when I was about 12 or younger, had a nifty tower setup.
He had 2 tall telephone poles in the ground with enough space between
them for a third pole bolted in near the top, adding almost the full
length of another pole, save for about 6 feet where all three were
bolted together. I was self-supporting.

5200. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 15:43:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <n0aai29lm4vi460b2mvqhbgk2cr51q2pnq@4ax.com>
Source record: 5380
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 5 Oct 2006 06:28:28 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5201. Re: Ping LenAnderson@ieee.org

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 15:52:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <uhaai2p1851gjfkjpsnggen8jhqish9hn5@4ax.com>
Source record: 5381
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 5 Oct 2006 04:26:28 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Opus- wrote:
>
>> The statement is quite simple...a voice on the airwaves can convey
>> much more information than just the words spoken but CW can only
>> convey the words.
>
>Morse Code can convey more than the words - if the operators are
>skilled in it.
bullshit
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5202. Re: Accuracy, Facts and Opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 15:54:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <nkaai29meqm4fatq6or9ncceeqius8na20@4ax.com>
Source record: 5382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 5 Oct 2006 03:39:51 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>> >> >On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> >>
>> >> The ready-built Personal Computer first appeared in 1976,
>> >> 30 years ago (the "IBM PC" debuted in 1980, 26 years ago).
>> >> The Internet went public in 1991, 15 years ago.
>> >>
>> >Basically true, but that's not the whole story by any means.
>> >
>> I wrote a chronological synopsis.
>>
>You left out important information and included a few mistakes. The
>information you left out disproves your conclusions.
no you just feel you have to lecture everybody must be the damage
seting in form your years of code use
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5203. Re: FS: Pro-2006 with OS456 full and my copy of Probe v7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 17:37:13 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <l7gai2t3k1100tf2hpgo4udjdivj5f2qb5@4ax.com>
Source record: 5383

On 2 Oct 2006 11:12:56 -0700, "great river" <loopcat@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Ok here it is, after NUMEROUS scanners/receivers owned and many hours
>of listening, I have come to a conclusion. The Pro-2006 with the OC456
>full and the Probe v7 software is the BEST PC OPERATED SCANNER EVER
>MADE!! What a spooky combo this is! Lighting fast scanning,DTMF tone
>decoder, sensitive and selective, discriminator tap. But wait it gets
>better, PROBE v7!! If you haven't witnessed the POWER of probe, do
>yourself a favor and check out the reviews. WOW! The hype IS justified.
>No scanning software comes close! Ok, analog scanners are out right?
>WRONG! With a discriminator tap you can decode TONS of digital sigs.
>There are LOTS of FREEWARE programs out there! Now, lets move on to the
>condition of the equipment. On a scale from 1 to 10, I give it a 9.5!
>EVERYTHING WORKS on this baby! Here is what you get: PRO2006, ANTENNA,
>MANUAL,PROBE V7, SERIAL CABLE!! This is a KILLER scanner!! Check out
>the info on this rig! http://userweb.suscom.net/~pro-2006/
>
>Here is the Ebay link,
>
>
>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&ih=020&item=300032535626&rd=1&sspagename=ST
RK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1

hint: the guy that makes and sells " probe " doesn't allow others to
resell it. he usually attacks and kills ANY auction or NG offer to
sell his software. when YOU buy and USE it , you agree to NEVER
resell it to others as a condition of using it (you never actually
own it). he has killed two of my auctions in the past and I've
heard he has pursued legal action at least one time against
a seller.

mentioning " probe " in your sale or auction is flirting with serious
trouble ..... people usually " show " it in the auction but NEVER
actually mention it as part of the sale (tho thats not a sure way to
stay safe) ....

just FYI ....

5204. WHO wanted CE-232 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 20:37:34 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <a6rai25msggusvi9iqverlc0c7a5eufj8q@4ax.com>
Source record: 5384

posted in

alt.ham-radio.binaries

you're welcome !! :O)

5205. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-05 23:35:13 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <REgVg.7671$o71.3653@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5385
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Rich <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in
news:2nh7i21qdu0rbet7vuco1n2tj55a5tivj5@4ax.com:

> On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 00:17:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>
>>If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
>>class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up their
>>code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't need to
>>ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will get us
>>better & smarter hams.
>>
>>Lazy people that don't want to learn anything will stay on CB and die in
>>shoot-outs with each other in shopping mall parking lots which will help
>>clean up the CB band. To me it looks like a Win Win situation. What do
>>you think?
>>
>>SC
>
> i think your logic is faulty. raising the code speed is just as
> likely to reduce the overall numbers of amateurs, maybe to the point
> that our service becomes extinct. i don't see any relationship
> between an increased code speed requirement and hams studying more
> theory.
>
> while it may be true that individuals who want neither to learn code
> or theory for a ham ticket will remain on CB, to paint all CB'ers with
> that brush is wrong. some CB'ers enjoy that band. i've had my ham
> ticket for over 25 years now and still find CB useful when we're out
> on one of our RV trips.
>
> and don't assume that because someone has a ham ticket that they
> suddenly undergo some sort of personality change. there are persons
> holding ham tickets that are just as dopey and prone to doing all
> sorts of illegal and dangerous things. we've all read about them.
> the latest one i recall is the ham that recently received a prison
> sentence for his ongoing jamming operations.
>
> 73,
> rich, n9dko

Yes, Some people are lazy and as long as there is a CW requirement they won't go for a ham license, or upgrade beyond tech. They don't really want to be hams enough to put out an effort to be one, but the ones that go for the CW and written exams really want to be hams, and will stick with the service and will be an important part of keeping it going.

SC

5206. Re: Ping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-06 00:05:58 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160093158.707535.11620@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5386
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Opus- wrote:
> On 5 Oct 2006 04:26:28 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM spake thusly:
> >Opus- wrote:

> >> The statement is quite simple...a voice on the airwaves can convey
> >> much more information than just the words spoken but CW can only
> >> convey the words.

> >Morse Code can convey more than the words - if the operators are
> >skilled in it.

> One of those old timers once told me that he recognized another
> operators "hand" back when I watched him operate.

Yup. Little things about an op's sending can make it as recognizable as
a familiar voice.

btw, the term "fist" is used in the same context as "hand" was used by
that op.

> I am not sure how
> much more a person can get out of code.

The words, of course. How they are sent can tell a lot, too. It takes a
bit of experience to recognize all the subtleties of Morse Code.

The main point is that skilled Morse Code operators can convey more
than 'just the words'.

> >It's not the same thing as a voice, though.

I think that is your main point.

> >It's a different
> >communications experience, just as the written word is a different
> >experience from the spoken word.
>
> Fair enough.

Exactly.

> >> Since the medium and usually the hardware is exactly
> >> the same weather or not a microphone or a key is used, why bother with
> >> a key that is much more limited?
> >
> >Several reasons:
> >

> >1) It's often *not* the same hardware. You can use much simpler
> >equipment for Morse Code than for voice modes.
>
> Well, I did say "usually".

Of course.

> But wouldn't simpler equipment limit you to code only?

That depends on the exact situation. The important point is that once
you have Morse Code skills, using code-only equipment isn't really a
limitation in most cases.

Simplicity of equipment can be very important in some situations. For
example, if someone wants to actually build their HF Amateur Radio
equipment, it's much simpler and easier to build a Morse Code station
than an equivalent-performance voice station. In portable operations,
the power requirement, size and weight of a Morse Code station can be
less than that of the equivalent voice station.

> >2) It's a different communications experience. (see above). For many of
> >us, that alone makes it worthwhile.
>
> I am curious as to what would make it worthwhile.

All sorts of things:

A) You can communicate without talking or typing. (In a world where a
lot of us spend a lot of time on the telephone and computer, being able
to communicate another way can be a real treat!)

B) The exercise of a skill is fun. Consider the person who learns how
to play a musical instrument: do you think making music (performing) is
the same experience as listening to recorded music?

C) Once you have the skills, communicating with Morse Code can be as
easy - or even easier - than using voice.

D) You can use Morse Code in situations where voice could not be used.
For example, suppose you are in a small house, apartment, RV, tent,
etc., and you want to operate without disturbing others (who might be
sleeping, talking, etc.). Of course you can put on headphones so they
don't hear the received signals, but in order to transmit, you have to
talk. Even if you keep your voice down, it can bother others. How many
times have you heard people complain about folks using cell phones in
public? But with Morse Code and a good pair of cans, you can operate
and make less noise than someone typing on a keyboard.

> >3) It takes up much less spectrum. With good equipment, five to ten
> >Morse Code signals can fit in the same spectrum space required by just
> >one single-sideband voice signal. AM and FM take up even more space on
> >the band.
>
> Some very valid points here.

None of which mean that there *must* be a Morse Code test for an
amateur radio license. I happen to think such a test is a good idea,
but that's just my opinion.

> >4) It's more effective under adverse conditions. A Morse Code signal
> >typically has about 10-13 dB of advanatage over single-sideband voice.
> >That's about 2 S-units. Under conditions that make SSB unusable, or
> >barely usable, Morse Code will often be solid copy with good signals.
>
> I could see the challenge in this. I remember a certain thrill back
> when I was a kid, whenever I managed to make out a distant signal and
> recognize where it was broadcast from.

Exactly! The very fact that it takes some skill is part of the fun and
attraction.
>
> >There are other reasons, but those four come to mind right now.

Here's one more:

5) The amount of "bad behavior" problems resulting in FCC enforcement
actions is much less from radio amateurs using Morse Code. Just look at
the FCC enforcement letters that address violations of deliberate
interference, obscenity, exceeding license privileges, and other "bad
behavior" problems. Almost all of them are for violations committed
using voice modes, not Morse Code. The difference is much greater than
would be expected from the relative popularity of the modes.

This doesn't mean all voice ops are problems or all Morse Code ops are
saints! All it means is that there's a lot less enforcement problems
from hams actually using Morse Code.

> >> Somehow, this relates to pixels on my
> >> screen but I have yet to understand why my opponent felt the need to
> >> misdirect, misrepresent and misquote.
> >
> >Lots of that going around - on both sides. Don't let it bother you - I
> >sure don't.
>
> I just don't like the snotty attitude that makes the ARS look so bad.

Agreed! There's too much of that type of attitude on *both* sides of
the debate.

> I am still waiting for my government handout. Never had any government
> handouts in the 44 years I have been around.

How does one define "handout"?

For example, is public education of children a government handout? Yes,
many parents with kids in public school pay school taxes, but in most
districts those taxes paid by parents do not cover all of the costs of
the public schools. And the level of taxation does not depend on how
many children the parents have in school. Is public school a government

handout to people with lots of kids?

Or how about tax deductions? Are they a form of government handout? If you have a mortgage or home equity loan, the interest is deductible. If you rent, you don't get that deduction. Is that a government handout to homeowners?

Not trying to be argumentative, just trying to get a clear idea of what is a handout and what isn't.

> >> Can none of the pro-coders make
> >> a valid point?
> >
> >I just made a couple of valid points. That doesn't mean there *must* be
> >a Morse Code test, just that the mode has some good points.
>
> Thank you for making some points in a nice, civilized manner.

My pleasure. Thanks for reading.

> My neighbor, when I was about 12 or younger, had a nifty tower setup.
> He had 2 tall telephone poles in the ground with enough space between
> them for a third pole bolted in near the top, adding almost the full
> length of another pole, save for about 6 feet where all three were
> bolted together. I was self-supporting.

Cool! I recently saw a similar setup used for a repeater antenna in a wooded area. It blended in much better than metal tower.

--

The question of whether there should be a Morse Code test for an amateur radio license really boils down to this: Does such a test do more good than harm? The answer is always an opinion, not a fact.

Jim, N2EY

5207. When you going to pay your debt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-06 00:42:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <nj9bi296thvr0k2naoi05q4qkk39d7snh7@4ax.com>
Source record: 5387
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 23:35:13 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

When you going to pay your debt
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5208. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-06 02:09:58 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <WViVg.2116$NE6.291@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 5388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> They don't really want
> to be hams enough to put out an effort to be one, ...

Do you want to be a ham enough to get a EE degree
which is a lot more useful than Morse code skill?
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

5209. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-06 04:05:27 UTC
From: kd5sak <kd5sak@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <bCkVg.19910$lj.1978@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 5389
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Barry OGrady" <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:da6bi21rkqhhpcm0k561mij2cs1qctksrb@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:36:36 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>No, numbers are decreasing because ham radio has been dumbed down so
>>having a ham license isn't worth anything anymore and people are leaving.
>
> Interesting, because AR offers more than just communication.
>
>>SC
>
> Barry
>
I know the comment about people leaving Amateur radio isn't Barrys comment,
but thought I'd address it anyway. I was 69 when I got my Tech license and
72 by the time I made myself pass the code test and got my General. A lot of
the avid pro-morse Hams are even older than I am. I know of no one locally
who has just quit the hobby and those senior to me are not leaving
on their own at all, when they do stop Hammin' it's 'cause their keys went
silent. I never used code after passing the test. I've got the thought in
the back of my mind that I may sometime
pursue a little CW, but it all depends on when I get my own SK notice.

Harold
KD3SAK

5210. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-06 08:21:05 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <10204133.Rs3e3P06jd@www.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 5390
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Billy cumgobbler Smith wrote:

>
> Do tell, retard.
>
>> eh dumbass (it takes one to know one)?
>
> You tell me, blind boy. Go suck off those boy scouts you love.
same person different nym. give it up. your game is known (or are you too
stupid to realize it yet?).

5211. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-06 11:11:44 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <tbeci2lnhe61mnhchaiolvbi3e5jkctdss@4ax.com>
Source record: 5391
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Cecil, don't bother with this Slow Code jerk. I just found out he
doesn't even have a ham ticket. He is a CB'er!

On Fri, 06 Oct 2006 02:09:58 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Slow Code wrote:
>> They don't really want
>> to be hams enough to put out an effort to be one, ...
>
>Do you want to be a ham enough to get a EE degree
>which is a lot more useful than Morse code skill?

5212. Re: Ping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-06 13:44:30 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160142270.057954.218330@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5392
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Opus- wrote:
> > On 5 Oct 2006 04:26:28 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM spake thusly:

> > Some very valid points here.
>
> None of which mean that there *must* be a Morse Code test for an
> amateur radio license. I happen to think such a test is a good idea,
> but that's just my opinion.

and yet you try to impose your opinion on the rest
> The question of whether there should be a Morse Code test for an
> amateur radio license really boils down to this: Does such a test do
> more good than harm? The answer is always an opinion, not a fact.

no the answer is not to be based on wether it does more harm than good
the question that must be answered isfirst what regulatory prupose does
it serve

no regulatory purpose and the test is ilegeal even if it could be shown
to do more good than harm

the other question is does the test serve the PUBLIC interest interest
no Procder ever deals with the issue of how Code testing benifits
memebrs of the public such as Len Anderson
>
> Jim, N2EY

5213. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-06 14:08:36 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160143716.185504.37450@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5393
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

john wrote:
> Cecil, don't bother with this Slow Code jerk. I just found out he
> doesn't even have a ham ticket. He is a CB'er!
>
he claims but even is he did not have a ticket he makes a good stand in
for those that do

5214. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-06 14:53:05 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <4brci2pq3dbaiirh802uig1dbqenatgtcm@4ax.com>
Source record: 5394
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

If he does have a call why is he so ashamed to give it to us?
There must be a reason.

On 6 Oct 2006 07:08:36 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>john wrote:
>> Cecil, don't bother with this Slow Code jerk. I just found out he
>> doesn't even have a ham ticket. He is a CB'er!
>>
>he claims but even is he did not have a ticket he makes a good stand in
>for those that do

5215. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-06 15:09:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <gbsci2h1jqm3o7a9oon3lnrb4djqvrll87@4ax.com>
Source record: 5395
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 06 Oct 2006 09:53:05 -0500, john <john@nospam.net> wrote:

>If he does have a call why is he so ashamed to give it to us?
>There must be a reason.
if you had a call and were spouting such nonsense youd be afraid to to
give it too

in his callless state he gets to attack without worring about counter
attacks or that someone he knows will see him acting like an ass
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5216. Re: WHO wanted CE-232 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-06 16:08:26 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <gdqdnRWYw-HnHLvYnZ2dnUVZ_qadnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 5396

"noone" <anon@comments.header> wrote in message
news:O0OM1W5A38995.9415509259@twistycreek.com...
> Scan Da Bands said
>
> > posted in
> >
> > alt.ham-radio.binaries
> >
> > you're welcome !! :O)
> >
>
>
> Can you post this in alt.binaries.misc
>
> I don't have access to alt.ham-radio.binaries
>
Yes you do. Get a Google acct. and post through Google Groups.

HJ

5217. Re: WHO wanted CE-232 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-06 18:19:42 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <w5xVg.13$0q2.3@newsfe07.lga>
Source record: 5397

"Jeff Burke" <k7kkg@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:18jbi215eih4p2s9v35d9nrdfmfdlv2vvf@4ax.com...
> On 6 Oct 2006 02:35:50 -0000, noone <anon@comments.header> wrote:
> >Scan Da Bands said
> >
> >> posted in
> >>
> >> alt.ham-radio.binaries
> >>
> >> you're welcome !! :O)
> >>
> >Can you post this in alt.binaries.misc
> >
> >I don't have access to alt.ham-radio.binaries
>
> It didn't show up in the ham group anyway, only parts 0 of 1 showed on my
> server, the part with the real meat in it was absent. I posted a link to
the
> file on a web site a few days ago. Fetch up the messages for the last few
days
> and you'll find it.

That's because the group is NOT a proper binaries group. Most providers
only allow binary files in properly formatted binary groups, which would
require the group to start with "alt.binaries.*."

5218. Re: WHO wanted CE-232 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-06 18:40:42 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <2pxVg.17$0q2.11@newsfe07.lga>
Source record: 5398

"honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:gdqdnRWYw-HnHLvYnZ2dnUVZ_qadnZ2d@centurytel.net...
>
> "noone" <anon@comments.header> wrote in message
> news:O0OM1W5A38995.9415509259@twistycreek.com...
> > Scan Da Bands said
> >
> > > posted in
> > >
> > > alt.ham-radio.binaries
> > >
> > > you're welcome !! :O)
> > >
> >
> >
> > Can you post this in alt.binaries.misc
> >
> > I don't have access to alt.ham-radio.binaries
> >
> Yes you do. Get a Google acct. and post through Google Groups.
>
No he doesn't... It's not on Google's list. Nor is any other groups with
the word binaries in it. Apparently his news provider follows the
guidelines in use for Usenet. If you want to post it, put it in a properly
formatted and valid Usenet group.

5219. Re: WHO wanted CE-232 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-06 19:22:25 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <36bdi2lkjmmdm1nuurgsb8p5f5ri841l93@4ax.com>
Source record: 5399

ok forget the binaries.....get it here off my server...

http://mysite.verizon.net/vze1p5vc/zip/Ce-232.zip

On 6 Oct 2006 02:35:50 -0000, noone <anon@comments.header> wrote:

>Scan Da Bands said
>
>> posted in
>>
>> alt.ham-radio.binaries
>>
>> you're welcome !! :O)
>>
>
>
>Can you post this in alt.binaries.misc
>
>I don't have access to alt.ham-radio.binaries
>
>TIA

5220. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-06 20:41:04 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <vmfdi2hu8qo5u94phk71n2nqkpu5co778j@4ax.com>
Source record: 5400
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 23:35:13 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>+++Rich <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in
>+++news:2nh7i21qdu0rbet7vuco1n2tj55a5tivj5@4ax.com:
>+++
>+++> On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 00:17:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>+++>
>+++>>
>+++>>If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
>+++>>class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up their
>+++>>code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't need to
>+++>>ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will get us
>+++>>better & smarter hams.
>+++>>
>+++>>Lazy people that don't want to learn anything will stay on CB and die in
>+++>>shoot-outs with each other in shopping mall parking lots which will help
>+++>>clean up the CB band. To me it looks like a Win Win situation. What do
>+++>>you think?
>+++>>
>+++>>SC
>+++>
>+++> i think your logic is faulty. raising the code speed is just as
>+++> likely to reduce the overall numbers of amateurs, maybe to the point
>+++> that our service becomes extinct. i don't see any relationship
>+++> between an increased code speed requirement and hams studying more
>+++> theory.
>+++>
>+++> while it may be true that individuals who want neither to learn code
>+++> or theory for a ham ticket will remain on CB, to paint all CB'ers with
>+++> that brush is wrong. some CB'ers enjoy that band. i've had my ham
>+++> ticket for over 25 years now and still find CB useful when we're out
>+++> on one of our RV trips.
>+++>
>+++> and don't assume that because someone has a ham ticket that they
>+++> suddenly undergo some sort of personality change. there are persons
>+++> holding ham tickets that are just as dopey and prone to doing all
>+++> sorts of illegal and dangerous things. we've all read about them.
>+++> the latest one i recall is the ham that recently received a prison
>+++> sentence for his ongoing jamming operations.
>+++>
>+++> 73,

>+++> rich, n9dko
>+++
>+++
>+++Yes, Some people are lazy and as long as there is a CW requirement they
>+++won't go for a ham license, or upgrade beyond tech. They don't really want
>+++to be hams enough to put out an effort to be one, but the ones that go for
>+++the CW and written exams really want to be hams, and will stick with the
>+++service and will be an important part of keeping it going.
>+++
>+++SC
>+++
****

And how is being proficient in a an archaic and simple means of
communication become the standard bearer for what is supposed to be
the difference between good and bad operators?

james

5221. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-06 20:52:24 UTC
From: "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
Message-ID: <4526c1df$0$25797$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 5451
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
"james" <george@washington.edu> wrote in message
news:vmfdi2hu8qo5u94phk71n2nqkpu5co778j@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 23:35:13 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>+++Rich <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in
>>+++news:2nh7i21qdu0rbet7vuco1n2tj55a5tivj5@4ax.com:
>>+++
>>+++> On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 00:17:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>+++>
>>+++>>
>>+++>>If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
>>+++>>class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up
>>their
>>+++>>code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't need
>>to
>>+++>>ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will get us
>>+++>>better & smarter hams.
>>+++>>
>>+++>>Lazy people that don't want to learn anything will stay on CB and die
>>in
>>+++>>shoot-outs with each other in shopping mall parking lots which will
>>help
>>+++>>clean up the CB band. To me it looks like a Win Win situation. What
>>do
>>+++>>you think?
>>+++>>
>>+++>>SC
>>+++>
>>+++> i think your logic is faulty. raising the code speed is just as
>>+++> likely to reduce the overall numbers of amateurs, maybe to the point
>>+++> that our service becomes extinct. i don't see any relationship
>>+++> between an increased code speed requirement and hams studying more
>>+++> theory.
>>+++>
>>+++> while it may be true that individuals who want neither to learn code
>>+++> or theory for a ham ticket will remain on CB, to paint all CB'ers
>>with
>>+++> that brush is wrong. some CB'ers enjoy that band. i've had my ham
>>+++> ticket for over 25 years now and still find CB useful when we're out
>>+++> on one of our RV trips.
>>+++>
```

>>+++> and don't assume that because someone has a ham ticket that they
>>+++> suddenly undergo some sort of personality change. there are persons
>>+++> holding ham tickets that are just as dopey and prone to doing all
>>+++> sorts of illegal and dangerous things. we've all read about them.
>>+++> the latest one i recall is the ham that recently received a prison
>>+++> sentence for his ongoing jamming operations.
>>+++>
>>+++> 73,
>>+++> rich, n9dko
>>+++
>>+++
>>+++Yes, Some people are lazy and as long as there is a CW requirement they
>>+++won't go for a ham license, or upgrade beyond tech. They don't really
>>want
>>+++to be hams enough to put out an effort to be one, but the ones that go
>>for
>>+++the CW and written exams really want to be hams, and will stick with
>>the
>>+++service and will be an important part of keeping it going.
>>+++
>>+++SC
>>+++
> *********
>
> And how is being proficient in a an archaic and simple means of
> communication become the standard bearer for what is supposed to be
> the difference between good and bad operators?
>
> james

Neither the Code NOR Theory tests will weed out the bad radio operator any more than a driving test will weed out the DUIs - OR - the Physical agility, legal and other exams will weed out bad cops. Those tests are only tests of "Proficiency" in the subject matter - NOT - "Mentality". Those tests won't stop the trash.
But those who choose to not learn code will continue to bitch about why they shouldn't. OK, DROP the code.....Next you'll want the "Theory" taken away too. Want to bet?

Fred G.

5222. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-06 21:12:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <gjhdi2d9ubhhvb0pved30rgm9vcast52io@4ax.com>
Source record: 5452
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 16:52:24 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
wrote:

>"james" <george@washington.edu> wrote in message
>news:vmfdi2hu8qo5u94phk71n2nqkpu5co778j@4ax.com...
>> On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 23:35:13 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>

>> And how is being proficient in a an archaic and simple means of
>> communication become the standard bearer for what is supposed to be
>> the difference between good and bad operators?
>>
>> james
>
>Neither the Code NOR Theory tests will weed out the bad radio operator any
>more than a driving test will weed out the DUIs - OR - the Physical agility,
>legal and other exams will weed out bad cops. Those tests are only tests of
>"Proficiency" in the subject matter - NOT - "Mentality". Those tests won't
>stop the trash.
>But those who choose to not learn code will continue to bitch about why they
>shouldn't. OK, DROP the code........Next you'll want the "Theory" taken away
>too. Want to bet?

how much you want to bet on the propositon that NCI do not advoacte
the ending of written in say the next 10 years?
>
>Fred G.
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5223. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-06 22:17:05 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <BBAVg.43662$DU3.24131@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 5453
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:o4DUg.6585$o71.1878@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
> class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up their
> code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't need to
> ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will get us
> better & smarter hams.
>
> Lazy people that don't want to learn anything will stay on CB and die in
> shoot-outs with each other in shopping mall parking lots which will help
> clean up the CB band. To me it looks like a Win Win situation. What do
> you think?
>
> SC

I think you're an idiot, just as the rest of the amateur community does.

HTH

5224. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 00:24:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <6ssdi21ejjsf9r9gk09k6rjtd66vaiq2ik@4ax.com>
Source record: 5454
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 06 Oct 2006 22:17:05 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>news:o4DUg.6585$o71.1878@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
>> class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up their
>> code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't need to
>> ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will get us
>> better & smarter hams.
>>
>> Lazy people that don't want to learn anything will stay on CB and die in
>> shoot-outs with each other in shopping mall parking lots which will help
>> clean up the CB band. To me it looks like a Win Win situation. What do
>> you think?
>>
>> SC
>
>I think you're an idiot, just as the rest of the amateur community does.
a rare moment Tom we find ourselves in complete agreement

Indeed Isuspect even SC find his postion desperate after all that is
good reason for him to avoid using his real name or call
>
>HTH
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5225. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 00:32:06 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <aACVg.5935$Y24.1936@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5455
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
news:4brci2pq3dbaiirh802uig1dbqenatgtcm@4ax.com:

> If he does have a call why is he so ashamed to give it to us?
> There must be a reason.

You don't need to know my callsign, all you need to know is that CW makes
for more skilled amateurs and that's why we need to retain the
requirement. CW also works a little as a filter to keep the sick, lame
and Lazy off HF. We need to bring back the CW requirement for Techs so we
can raise the intelligence level of conversations on the repeaters.

SC

5226. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 00:32:08 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cACVg.5936$Y24.3017@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5456
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
news:BBAVg.43662$DU3.24131@tornado.texas.rr.com:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:o4DUg.6585$o71.1878@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
>> class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up
>> their code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't
>> need to ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will
>> get us better & smarter hams.
>>
>> Lazy people that don't want to learn anything will stay on CB and die
>> in shoot-outs with each other in shopping mall parking lots which will
>> help clean up the CB band. To me it looks like a Win Win situation.
>> What do you think?
>>
>> SC
>
> I think you're an idiot, just as the rest of the amateur community does.
>
> HTH

You're just lazy.

SC

5227. when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 00:34:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <6ftdi25kk07vbs3lkg5bmk9c3a43otk99s@4ax.com>
Source record: 5457
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:06 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
>news:4brci2pq3dbaiirh802uig1dbqenatgtcm@4ax.com:
>
>> If he does have a call why is he so ashamed to give it to us?
>> There must be a reason.
>
>
>You don't need to know my callsign,
meaning you are afraid to give it

when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5228. when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 00:34:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <6gtdi257goq0kbcdrt5rrvp50i9q2oai6o@4ax.com>
Source record: 5458
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:08 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5229. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 01:15:58 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <idDVg.43706$DU3.13118@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 5459
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:aACVg.5935$Y24.1936@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
> news:4brci2pq3dbaiirh802uig1dbqenatgtcm@4ax.com:
>
>> If he does have a call why is he so ashamed to give it to us?
>> There must be a reason.
>
>
> You don't need to know my callsign, all you need to know is that CW makes
> for more skilled amateurs and that's why we need to retain the
> requirement. CW also works a little as a filter to keep the sick, lame
> and Lazy off HF. We need to bring back the CW requirement for Techs so we
> can raise the intelligence level of conversations on the repeaters.
>
> SC

Or conversely, just dumb it down to your level so that you can understand.

5230. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 01:18:04 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <010ei215pvei2bk89m135t9a4cafhgure1@4ax.com>
Source record: 5460
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 01:15:58 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>news:aACVg.5935$Y24.1936@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
>> news:4brci2pq3dbaiirh802uig1dbqenatgtcm@4ax.com:
>>
>>> If he does have a call why is he so ashamed to give it to us?
>>> There must be a reason.
>>
>>
>> You don't need to know my callsign, all you need to know is that CW makes
>> for more skilled amateurs and that's why we need to retain the
>> requirement. CW also works a little as a filter to keep the sick, lame
>> and Lazy off HF. We need to bring back the CW requirement for Techs so we
>> can raise the intelligence level of conversations on the repeaters.
>>
>> SC
>
>Or conversely, just dumb it down to your level so that you can understand.
his objection is eleimating the stupid parts of the current license
thereby smarting it up
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

5231. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 04:24:49 UTC
From: "Sal M. Onella" <salmonella@food.poisoning.org>
Message-ID: <m_FVg.3639$gM1.3587@fed1read12>
Source record: 5461
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net> wrote in message
news:efokcn02s86@enews3.newsguy.com...

< snip >

> When listening to CW on my scanner, are there any tell-tale indications
> that the operator is dyslexic?

Not trying to be funny: "Yeah, he's the one who isn't on the air."

I have the problem and I'll never be a code op.

Samples in typing: "Th enext time; a liitle while". Int he first case
(look, there's a real one I didn't plan)

Starting over: In the first case, I swapped the space and the letter "e."
In the second one I double-lettered the "i" instaed of the "t." (Another
real one with "instead" in the last sentence.)

The problem is real and it's maddening. When somebody tells me I can learn
code if I really apply myself, I am tempted to go back at him with something
like, "True, and you could grow back the hair on your bald head if you
really apply yourself." Yes, it really is like that, except that there's no
combover for dyslexia.

I have to dial the phone very carefully and I must read back phone numbers,
as I do not translate them well among seeing, hearing, writing and dialing
them.

This seems to be a problem associated with the orderly sequencing of things.
Otherwise, I'm pretty good at math and very good at anything graphical
(maps, diagrams, schematics) or verbal (vocabulary, word games, puzzles,
puns). Go figure.

Thanks for asking.

5232. FT: RS PRO-26 for Sony ICF-SC1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 04:28:13 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <x1GVg.9749$GR.9322@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 5462

The PRO-26 is in excellent condition (25-1300 Mhz) with original rubber duck antenna and copy of manual. Will trade for excellent condition Sony SC1 or SC1PC. Must have manual.

Frank

5233. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 04:52:09 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <iccei2dm7th5c2jeis8dvdiqp90o94gkjb@4ax.com>
Source record: 5463
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 21:24:49 -0700, "Sal M. Onella"
<salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote:

>
>"Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net> wrote in message
>news:efokcn02s86@enews3.newsguy.com...
>
>< snip >
>
>> When listening to CW on my scanner, are there any tell-tale indications
>> that the operator is dyslexic?
>
>Not trying to be funny: "Yeah, he's the one who isn't on the air."
ruefully funny I agre
>
>I have the problem and I'll never be a code op.
>
>Samples in typing: "Th enext time; a liitle while". Int he first case
>(look, there's a real one I didn't plan)
you till I replied tto the post which in free agent changes the color
to blue i did not see either of those error I saw them corected since
my mind is used to prefroming the correction to the first proccesed
text already
>
>Starting over: In the first case, I swapped the space and the letter "e."
>In the second one I double-lettered the "i" instaed of the "t." (Another
>real one with "instead" in the last sentence.)
>
>The problem is real and it's maddening. When somebody tells me I can learn
>code if I really apply myself, I am tempted to go back at him with something
>like, "True, and you could grow back the hair on your bald head if you
>really apply yourself." Yes, it really is like that, except that there's no
>combover for dyslexia.
>
>I have to dial the phone very carefully and I must read back phone numbers,
>as I do not translate them well among seeing, hearing, writing and dialing
>them.
you can dail it without using speed dail good for you that maddeningly
will escape for days at a time. I love my programable phone (anybody
know a cordless phone with say cloning like ability of some of
programable HT)
>
>This seems to be a problem associated with the orderly sequencing of things.

>Otherwise, I'm pretty good at math and very good at anything graphical
>(maps, diagrams, schematics) or verbal (vocabulary, word games, puzzles,
>puns). Go figure.
>
>Thanks for asking.
>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5234. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 13:32:47 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <f7afi2hs7dbp1hbjpthr8lrbu9hkm7vbqe@4ax.com>
Source record: 5464
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 16:52:24 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
wrote:

>+++Neither the Code NOR Theory tests will weed out the bad radio operator any
>+++more than a driving test will weed out the DUIs - OR - the Physical agility,
>+++legal and other exams will weed out bad cops. Those tests are only tests of
>+++"Proficiency" in the subject matter - NOT - "Mentality". Those tests won't
>+++stop the trash.
>+++But those who choose to not learn code will continue to bitch about why they
>+++shouldn't. OK, DROP the code.....Next you'll want the "Theory" taken away
>+++too. Want to bet?
>+++
>+++Fred G.
****

Fred

The theory questions even the extra exam is not that difficult. A
decent electronics tech with an AA degree can master that test. In
fact the tests are so dummied down that just about anyone that has the
ability read and comprehend can pass them with the minimal of
coaching. So if you think so highly your "Theory" tests then I hate to
burst your bubble.

Why should proficiency in one means of communication hender operation
in another? I tend to think that those that are very proficient with
morse code tend to spend more of their time with that mode of
communication. Why should there be a limitation on other modes that do
not wish to use that mode? Most hams learn morse code and then forget
it. I have no issue with showing proficiency in a means of
communication to get priviledges. I have no issues with showing a
proficiency with "theory" as a means of priviledges.

The thing here is usage of the airwaves is a priviledge and not a
right. So what ever the government cares to do in this avenue is fine
with me. I will take advantage of what ever they offer me.

james

5235. Re: Problem with off topic postings in antenna newsgroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 13:40:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <4527a15f$0$19617$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 5465
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:eg3l42$n84$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> Indeed, the major offender - the one who has extreme spelling challenges,
> knows exactly what he is doing. He keeps multiple addresses to avoid
> posting limits, and if you reply to his messages in a manner in which he
> disagrees with, you'll get replies from all of 'em.
>
>
cute nick
ut why provoke woger futher you are stiring ths hit that you claim to
dislike
gay bashers are not welcome anywhere that is why they use fake names
like Slow Code fortunitly it seem the only pedophiles present are your self
and
psoibly Robeson

I am repbulican former director of my charpter of the log cabin
rpulicans and currently memeer of that gruop and th jewsih repubilcans with
the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5236. Re: Problem with off topic postings in antenna newsgroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 13:50:32 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <4527a3aa$0$19713$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 5466
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:eg3r7i$176u$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> kb9rqz@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Michael Coslo wrote:
>>> Rick wrote:
>>
>>> Indeed, the major offender - the one who has extreme spelling
>>> challenges, knows exactly what he is doing. He keeps multiple addresses
>>> to avoid posting limits, and if you reply to his messages in a manner in
>>> which he disagrees with, you'll get replies from all of 'em.
>> not sure Mike if you are refering to me but thank you i do know what I
>> am doing OTOH you do not
>>
>> tell me how did you moderated to death effort to rep[lace RRAP go
>> did you learn when you surpess desent you kill discusioons of issues
>>
>> I never added radio NG to posting never I lleave as is since if I am
>> libled in the post I want the response to go to all of them I was
>> libeled in
>>
>> prehaps mike is you had foucsed your anager on theose using slibel and
>> chrater assignation years ago instead of tolerating and rewarding it
>> things would not be so bad
>>
>> but of course NOTHING is your responsiblity even in part
>
>
>
>
> And there you have it!
>
> Thanks for proving my point Mr. Morgan. I don't really know why you do
> what you do, but for whatever reason you do it.
>
> _73 de mike KB3EIA -
>
>
I have apologized to the NG. I also have stated what it will take to
stop such behavoir so go talk to Robeson he was accusing Todd of both right
I returned to ng he ha accused in
my absense Hans of both pedo- and Zoo- phlia. he accused BB of child
molesting

I will not allow Robeson or anyone else to sence by harrassment if
that means firing back so be it

I am sorry that I have found it needfull to use such tactics I
apologize again to the NG in generalmore like 50 perscent the rest would go
with your buddy K4yz
but yes their is still magaic I play with it (although yes acess to HF
would be a help as I learn the ins and out of EME and other VHF+ modes
pity that progress in the ARS is opposed at every turn by hams
themslves with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5237. Re: FT: RS PRO-26 for Sony ICF-SC1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 16:25:07 UTC
From: gray-beard <gray-beard@tryandguess.org>
Message-ID: <HuqdnRrwrdlLSbrYnZ2dnUVZ_vWdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 5467

Are you out of your mind?????
Nobody will trade an easily modded, to be a true ALL BAND scanner, for a
20 year old has been RatShack scanner.

GB

Frank wrote:

> The PRO-26 is in excellent condition (25-1300 Mhz) with original rubber
> duck antenna and copy of manual. Will trade for excellent condition Sony SC1
> or SC1PC. Must have manual.
>
> Frank
>
>

--
"There's no way to rule innocent men. The only power any government
has is the power to crack down on criminals. Well, when there aren't
enough criminals, one makes them. One declares so many things to be a
crime that it becomes impossible to live without breaking laws."

-- Ayn Rand, Atlas Shrugged

5238. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 16:35:19 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <12iflobhma2ln17@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 5468
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Well said.

"james" <george@washington.edu> wrote in message
news:f7afi2hs7dbp1hbjpthr8lrbu9hkm7vbqe@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 16:52:24 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
> wrote:
>
> >+++Neither the Code NOR Theory tests will weed out the bad radio operator
any
> >+++more than a driving test will weed out the DUIs - OR - the Physical
agility,
> >+++legal and other exams will weed out bad cops. Those tests are only
tests of
> >+++"Proficiency" in the subject matter - NOT - "Mentality". Those tests
won't
> >+++stop the trash.
> >+++But those who choose to not learn code will continue to bitch about
why they
> >+++shouldn't. OK, DROP the code.....Next you'll want the "Theory"
taken away
> >+++too. Want to bet?
> >+++
> >+++Fred G.
> **********
>
> Fred
>
> The theory questions even the extra exam is not that difficult. A
> decent electronics tech with an AA degree can master that test. In
> fact the tests are so dummied down that just about anyone that has the
> ability read and comprehend can pass them with the minimal of
> coaching. So if you think so highly your "Theory" tests then I hate to
> burst your bubble.
>
> Why should proficiency in one means of communication hender operation
> in another? I tend to think that those that are very proficient with
> morse code tend to spend more of their time with that mode of
> communication. Why should there be a limitation on other modes that do
> not wish to use that mode? Most hams learn morse code and then forget
> it. I have no issue with showing proficiency in a means of
> communication to get priviledges. I have no issues with showing a
> proficiency with "theory" as a means of priviledges.

>
> The thing here is usage of the airwaves is a priviledge and not a
> right. So what ever the government cares to do in this avenue is fine
> with me. I will take advantage of what ever they offer me.
>
> james

5239. Re: Cheap P25 scanner???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 17:03:58 UTC
From: Bill.K9KZ@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1160240638.378275.266780@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5469
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On 20 Sep 2006 23:45:46 -0700, Bill.K9KZ@gmail.com wrote:
>
> >Will anything from RS even survive rebanding???
>
> Since no one (not even Motorola) knows exactly how rebanding will end
> up, no one can know what scanners can or can't survive it.

My comment was based on this statement on the Grove site:

Getting The Most From The New Uniden Scanners
by Paul Opitz

"The major impact of rebanding will be on all Motorola analog using the
BC-235/245/895/780/250D/785D scanners and P25 systems using the
250D/785D scanners. The fore mentioned scanners cannot be used when
rebanding plan is implemented and cannot be flash upgraded. The
customer will have to buy a new scanner to monitor any system that
changes frequencies due to rebanding. "

5240. Re: Problem with off topic postings in antenna newsgroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 17:39:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <3ipfi2hbh78a60k95nqlmbd1i2uejnb92s@4ax.com>
Source record: 5470
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 09:40:45 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:

forgering artill more wogerid theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5241. Re: nice pikture of tood

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 17:43:55 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <hqpfi2ta8f9m1blg8t5gja3935e7djcomq@4ax.com>
Source record: 5471
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 10:05:56 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
id theft is c rime
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5242. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 18:04:54 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160244294.175188.302620@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5472
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

james wrote:
> On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 16:52:24 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
> wrote:

> The thing here is usage of the airwaves is a priviledge and not a
> right. So what ever the government cares to do in this avenue is fine
> with me. I will take advantage of what ever they offer me.

and in stating that you just used the Consitution of the USA as toilet
paper

it is just that simple

the govt officail to regulate radio would derive from the "Nessary and
proper clause" the ITU treaty under the supremacy clause and the rest
to US (or the staes how are then exclude from radio regulation by the
ITU treay)
in partical the Govt has one other source of power over radio a(and
anything else they should not have) the willing of citizens to lie and
take it

The Govt had the power (and legal duty) to do code testing till 2003
when the treaty changed

the FCC has conceeded its intent we are waiting on them to push the
paper

5243. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 20:21:28 UTC
From: "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
Message-ID: <45280c1e$0$25787$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 5473
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"james" <george@washington.edu> wrote in message
news:f7afi2hs7dbp1hbjpthr8lrbu9hkm7vbqe@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 16:52:24 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
> wrote:
>
>>+++Neither the Code NOR Theory tests will weed out the bad radio operator
>>any
>>+++more than a driving test will weed out the DUIs - OR - the Physical
>>agility,
>>+++legal and other exams will weed out bad cops. Those tests are only
>>tests of
>>+++"Proficiency" in the subject matter - NOT - "Mentality". Those tests
>>won't
>>+++stop the trash.
>>+++But those who choose to not learn code will continue to bitch about why
>>they
>>+++shouldn't. OK, DROP the code........Next you'll want the "Theory" taken
>>away
>>+++too. Want to bet?
>>+++
>>+++Fred G.
> **********
>
> Fred
>
> The theory questions even the extra exam is not that difficult. A
> decent electronics tech with an AA degree can master that test. In
> fact the tests are so dummied down that just about anyone that has the
> ability read and comprehend can pass them with the minimal of
> coaching. So if you think so highly your "Theory" tests then I hate to
> burst your bubble.
>
> Why should proficiency in one means of communication hender operation
> in another? I tend to think that those that are very proficient with
> morse code tend to spend more of their time with that mode of
> communication. Why should there be a limitation on other modes that do
> not wish to use that mode? Most hams learn morse code and then forget
> it. I have no issue with showing proficiency in a means of
> communication to get priviledges. I have no issues with showing a
> proficiency with "theory" as a means of priviledges.
>

> The thing here is usage of the airwaves is a priviledge and not a
> right. So what ever the government cares to do in this avenue is fine
> with me. I will take advantage of what ever they offer me.
>
> james

James,

Rethink what I wrote. I'm not claiming the tests are "all that"..... You are right, they ARE dummied down and NO, you do NOT need an AA degree to pass the Extra. Just some diligent studying. I was merely saying NEITHER test will eliminate the crap - for starters. BUT those who "are" whining about the code - if they get their wish, will then want the written exam dropped too. In other words - FREE - uninhibited passage. IF we gave Drs', Lawyers, Drivers, Pilots and other licenses away like some want the Ham licenses handed out, where would we be? Ham radio may not be all that, but it is what it is. Anything more stripped away from it - and it won't be worth having.

"I" had to learn the code, it really is no big deal. Do I use it? Not much, but I'm still glad I learned it. It was a matter of self discipline. Hey, drop the code......Who am "I" to force it down anyone's throat? That isn't my aim or argument. Again - you're going to see the push for the rest to be dropped too - some just aren't satisfied. Mark my words.

Fred G.

5244. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 20:44:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <c74gi2l85cqhngn1nra1ki5jlqogqto0r2@4ax.com>
Source record: 5474
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 16:21:28 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
wrote:

>"james" <george@washington.edu> wrote in message
>news:f7afi2hs7dbp1hbjpthr8lrbu9hkm7vbqe@4ax.com...
>> On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 16:52:24 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
>> wrote:

>> james
>
>James,
>
>Rethink what I wrote. I'm not claiming the tests are "all that"..... You
>are right, they ARE dummied down and NO, you do NOT need an AA degree to
>pass the Extra. Just some diligent studying. I was merely saying NEITHER
>test will eliminate the crap - for starters. BUT those who "are" whining
>about the code - if they get their wish, will then want the written exam
>dropped too. In other words - FREE - uninhibited passage. IF we gave Drs',
>Lawyers, Drivers, Pilots and other licenses away like some want the Ham
>licenses handed out, where would we be? Ham radio may not be all that, but
>it is what it is. Anything more stripped away from it - and it won't be
>worth having.
>
>"I" had to learn the code, it really is no big deal.
to you that is the case I spend 5 years on and never got a novice
license that I call a big deal
> Do I use it? Not much,
>but I'm still glad I learned it. It was a matter of self discipline. Hey,
>drop the code......Who am "I" to force it down anyone's throat? That isn't
>my aim or argument. Again - you're going to see the push for the rest to be
>dropped too - some just aren't satisfied. Mark my words.
duely marked but exactly who do you think is going to push for this?

personaly I will push to cponsolate down the number of classes which
will reduce the number of tests of course and since there will not be
as amny defferent set of band edges the number of question wiull
certainly drop further

but the only people claiming the written test will are those insistign
the code test should should not die

>
>Fred G.

>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5245. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 20:51:24 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <12ig4ogdc280le0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 5475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Interesting

"Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com> wrote in message
news:45280c1e$0$25787$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net...
> "james" <george@washington.edu> wrote in message
> news:f7afi2hs7dbp1hbjpthr8lrbu9hkm7vbqe@4ax.com...
> > On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 16:52:24 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> >>+++Neither the Code NOR Theory tests will weed out the bad radio
operator
> >>any
> >>+++more than a driving test will weed out the DUIs - OR - the Physical
> >>agility,
> >>+++legal and other exams will weed out bad cops. Those tests are only
> >>tests of
> >>+++"Proficiency" in the subject matter - NOT - "Mentality". Those tests
> >>won't
> >>+++stop the trash.
> >>+++But those who choose to not learn code will continue to bitch about
why
> >>they
> >>+++shouldn't. OK, DROP the code........Next you'll want the "Theory"
taken
> >>away
> >>+++too. Want to bet?
> >>+++
> >>+++Fred G.
> > **********
> >
> > Fred
> >
> > The theory questions even the extra exam is not that difficult. A
> > decent electronics tech with an AA degree can master that test. In
> > fact the tests are so dummied down that just about anyone that has the
> > ability read and comprehend can pass them with the minimal of
> > coaching. So if you think so highly your "Theory" tests then I hate to
> > burst your bubble.
> >
> > Why should proficiency in one means of communication hender operation
> > in another? I tend to think that those that are very proficient with
> > morse code tend to spend more of their time with that mode of

> > communication. Why should there be a limitation on other modes that do
> > not wish to use that mode? Most hams learn morse code and then forget
> > it. I have no issue with showing proficiency in a means of
> > communication to get priviledges. I have no issues with showing a
> > proficiency with "theory" as a means of priviledges.
> >
> > The thing here is usage of the airwaves is a priviledge and not a
> > right. So what ever the government cares to do in this avenue is fine
> > with me. I will take advantage of what ever they offer me.
> >
> > james
>
> James,
>
> Rethink what I wrote. I'm not claiming the tests are "all that"........
You
> are right, they ARE dummied down and NO, you do NOT need an AA degree to
> pass the Extra. Just some diligent studying. I was merely saying NEITHER
> test will eliminate the crap - for starters. BUT those who "are" whining
> about the code - if they get their wish, will then want the written exam
> dropped too. In other words - FREE - uninhibited passage. IF we gave Drs',
> Lawyers, Drivers, Pilots and other licenses away like some want the Ham
> licenses handed out, where would we be? Ham radio may not be all that, but
> it is what it is. Anything more stripped away from it - and it won't be
> worth having.
>
> "I" had to learn the code, it really is no big deal. Do I use it? Not
much,
> but I'm still glad I learned it. It was a matter of self discipline. Hey,
> drop the code......Who am "I" to force it down anyone's throat? That isn't
> my aim or argument. Again - you're going to see the push for the rest to
be
> dropped too - some just aren't satisfied. Mark my words.
>
> Fred G.
>
>

5246. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 21:19:27 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <fq5gi21t45maa818ds1eqhr5cl9veil5bb@4ax.com>
Source record: 5476
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 16:21:28 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
wrote:

>+++James,
>+++
>+++Rethink what I wrote. I'm not claiming the tests are "all that"..... You
>+++are right, they ARE dummied down and NO, you do NOT need an AA degree to
>+++pass the Extra. Just some diligent studying. I was merely saying NEITHER
>+++test will eliminate the crap - for starters. BUT those who "are" whining
>+++about the code - if they get their wish, will then want the written exam
>+++dropped too. In other words - FREE - uninhibited passage. IF we gave Drs',
>+++Lawyers, Drivers, Pilots and other licenses away like some want the Ham
>+++licenses handed out, where would we be? Ham radio may not be all that, but
>+++it is what it is. Anything more stripped away from it - and it won't be
>+++worth having.
>+++
>+++"I" had to learn the code, it really is no big deal. Do I use it? Not much,
>+++but I'm still glad I learned it. It was a matter of self discipline. Hey,
>+++drop the code......Who am "I" to force it down anyone's throat? That isn't
>+++my aim or argument. Again - you're going to see the push for the rest to be
>+++dropped too - some just aren't satisfied. Mark my words.
>+++
>+++Fred G.

I read your post and understood it. My beleifs is that those that will
complain about a "theory" test are those that want the license handed
to them. They don't want any kind of test. Unless the government does
that, I still hold that some form of written test is applicable.

I learned enough code and then I never touched a key again. Made two
contacts total via morse code. Never cared for it and never intend to
use it. At the time my interests were more in VHF/UHF anyways. HF was
to much like CB. He who had the biggest amp and antenna was heard.
VHF/UHF systems require more than a big amp and a big antenna.

I whined about the code requirements and still do. But I also
understand, that what ever the government determines as the standard
for obtain levels oflicensing are the rules that I ahve to play with.
As I stated I have no issues with levels of "theory". That part to me
is easier to learn.

james

5247. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 21:46:14 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160257574.462773.179740@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5477
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
> class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up their
> code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't need to
> ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will get us
> better & smarter hams.

Who is "we"?

FCC makes the rules. They're the ones who have to be convinced. For more than 25 years, FCC has been convinced that the Amateur Radio license exam requirements should be reduced. The changes have come a little at a time, but the direction has been the same since the late 1970s.

5248. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 21:58:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <mh8gi2tm4im8al8pbefa1q79ptlahgglln@4ax.com>
Source record: 5478
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 7 Oct 2006 14:46:14 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Slow Code wrote:
>> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
>> class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up their
>> code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't need to
>> ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will get us
>> better & smarter hams.
>
>Who is "we"?
>
>FCC makes the rules. They're the ones who have to be convinced. For
>more than 25 years, FCC has been convinced that the Amateur Radio
>license exam requirements should be reduced. The changes have come a
>little at a time, but the direction has been the same since the late
>1970s.
in thereoy Jim we do make the rules, at least in the usa (outside the
usa well YMMV)

in paractice the FCC does based on what convinces it

and depite theARRL and other gruops supporting code a smale numbe rof
the people exercising our lawfull rights and duties as citizens have
maneaged to convince them otherwise

you neeed to look at that NoCode is poised to to finsihs off code
testing in the USA .

why becuase we could mount a better arguement than you your side could
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5249. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 21:59:10 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <OqVVg.11326$UG4.2316@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5479
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Sal M. Onella" <salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote in
news:m_FVg.3639$gM1.3587@fed1read12:

>
> "Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net> wrote in message
> news:efokcn02s86@enews3.newsguy.com...
>
> < snip >
>
>> When listening to CW on my scanner, are there any tell-tale
>> indications
>> that the operator is dyslexic?
>
> Not trying to be funny: "Yeah, he's the one who isn't on the air."
>
> I have the problem and I'll never be a code op.
>
> Samples in typing: "Th enext time; a liitle while". Int he first case
> (look, there's a real one I didn't plan)
>
> Starting over: In the first case, I swapped the space and the letter
> "e." In the second one I double-lettered the "i" instaed of the "t."
> (Another real one with "instead" in the last sentence.)
>
> The problem is real and it's maddening. When somebody tells me I can
> learn code if I really apply myself, I am tempted to go back at him with
> something like, "True, and you could grow back the hair on your bald
> head if you really apply yourself." Yes, it really is like that, except
> that there's no combover for dyslexia.
>
> I have to dial the phone very carefully and I must read back phone
> numbers, as I do not translate them well among seeing, hearing, writing
> and dialing them.
>
> This seems to be a problem associated with the orderly sequencing of
> things. Otherwise, I'm pretty good at math and very good at anything
> graphical (maps, diagrams, schematics) or verbal (vocabulary, word
> games, puzzles, puns). Go figure.

You're just lazy. Lucky for you they dumbed things down so you could
slide into the Tech class.

SC

5250. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 21:59:14 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <SqVVg.11328$UG4.8164@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5481
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
news:idDVg.43706$DU3.13118@tornado.texas.rr.com:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:aACVg.5935$Y24.1936@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
>> news:4brci2pq3dbaiirh802uig1dbqenatgtcm@4ax.com:
>>
>>> If he does have a call why is he so ashamed to give it to us?
>>> There must be a reason.
>>
>>
>> You don't need to know my callsign, all you need to know is that CW
>> makes for more skilled amateurs and that's why we need to retain the
>> requirement. CW also works a little as a filter to keep the sick, lame
>> and Lazy off HF. We need to bring back the CW requirement for Techs so
>> we can raise the intelligence level of conversations on the repeaters.
>>
>> SC
>
> Or conversely, just dumb it down to your level so that you can
> understand.

Dumbing it down to my level would still keep it out of your reach.

How's the skip on 11 meters today?

SC

5251. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 21:59:15 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <TqVVg.11329$UG4.11207@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5482
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

james <george@washington.edu> wrote in
news:f7afi2hs7dbp1hbjpthr8lrbu9hkm7vbqe@4ax.com:

> On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 16:52:24 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
> wrote:
>
>>+++Neither the Code NOR Theory tests will weed out the bad radio
>>operator any +++more than a driving test will weed out the DUIs - OR -
>>the Physical agility, +++legal and other exams will weed out bad cops.
>>Those tests are only tests of +++"Proficiency" in the subject matter -
>>NOT - "Mentality". Those tests won't +++stop the trash.
>>+++But those who choose to not learn code will continue to bitch about
>>why they +++shouldn't. OK, DROP the code.....Next you'll want the
>>"Theory" taken away +++too. Want to bet?
>>+++
>>+++Fred G.
> **********
>
> Fred
>
> The theory questions even the extra exam is not that difficult. A
> decent electronics tech with an AA degree can master that test. In
> fact the tests are so dummied down that just about anyone that has the
> ability read and comprehend can pass them with the minimal of
> coaching. So if you think so highly your "Theory" tests then I hate to
> burst your bubble.
>
> Why should proficiency in one means of communication hender operation
> in another? I tend to think that those that are very proficient with
> morse code tend to spend more of their time with that mode of
> communication. Why should there be a limitation on other modes that do
> not wish to use that mode? Most hams learn morse code and then forget
> it. I have no issue with showing proficiency in a means of
> communication to get priviledges. I have no issues with showing a
> proficiency with "theory" as a means of priviledges.
>
> The thing here is usage of the airwaves is a priviledge and not a
> right. So what ever the government cares to do in this avenue is fine
> with me. I will take advantage of what ever they offer me.
>
> james

You raise some good points Jim. That's why I've always proposed the following licensing changes:

1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all elements required for their license class.

2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.

3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

SC

5252. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 21:59:16 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <UqVVg.11330$UG4.5757@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5480
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
news:1160257574.462773.179740@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
>> class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up
>> their code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't
>> need to ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will
>> get us better & smarter hams.
>
> Who is "we"?
>
> FCC makes the rules. They're the ones who have to be convinced. For
> more than 25 years, FCC has been convinced that the Amateur Radio
> license exam requirements should be reduced. The changes have come a
> little at a time, but the direction has been the same since the late
> 1970s.

I know, but we can send them emails and tell them we won't go for anymore
dumbing down or simplification. They'll do what they want, but at least
we tried.

SC

5253. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 22:16:56 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ok9gi25neab3dqndj21mbhsrs40h0jc9iv@4ax.com>
Source record: 5483
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code, who gives a crap what you think I have never seen your call sign. You are a phony blowhard. The code is done. What the hell are you going to do about it except whine on here every day. What is your call? You are such a proud ham.

On 7 Oct 2006 14:46:14 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Slow Code wrote:
>> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
>> class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up their
>> code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't need to
>> ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will get us
>> better & smarter hams.
>
>Who is "we"?
>
>FCC makes the rules. They're the ones who have to be convinced. For
>more than 25 years, FCC has been convinced that the Amateur Radio
>license exam requirements should be reduced. The changes have come a
>little at a time, but the direction has been the same since the late
>1970s.

5254. Re: Cheap P25 scanner???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 22:22:12 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <mr9gi25u9gg5r599irjg0rs53pv37vid8b@4ax.com>
Source record: 5484
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On 7 Oct 2006 10:03:58 -0700, Bill.K9KZ@gmail.com wrote:

>Al Klein wrote:
>> On 20 Sep 2006 23:45:46 -0700, Bill.K9KZ@gmail.com wrote:
>>
>> >Will anything from RS even survive rebanding???
>>
>> Since no one (not even Motorola) knows exactly how rebanding will end
>> up, no one can know what scanners can or can't survive it.
>
>My comment was based on this statement on the Grove site:
>
>Getting The Most From The New Uniden Scanners
>by Paul Opitz
>
>"The major impact of rebanding will be on all Motorola analog using the
>BC-235/245/895/780/250D/785D scanners and P25 systems using the
>250D/785D scanners. The fore mentioned scanners cannot be used when
>rebanding plan is implemented and cannot be flash upgraded. The
>customer will have to buy a new scanner to monitor any system that
>changes frequencies due to rebanding. "

That doesn't say that certain other scanners WILL be flash-upgradable
- it only addresses whether those 8 will be, and they won't,
regardless of the final outcome of rebanding.

Whether any current scanner will be upgradable - by flashing or
otherwise - after rebanding remains to be seen. If, for example,
channel numbers can be arbitrarily assigned to frequencies (there's
equipment that can do that now), you won't be able to program a
scanner unless you have that assignment list - which would probably be
closely guarded.

We're all - users, designers and manufacturers - in the same boat.
We'll just have to wait and see. And you can bet that it's going to
take longer to arrive at the final solution than it's supposed to.
When has a government job ever come in early or for less than
originally planned?

5255. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 22:29:07 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <g5agi2lukfvlaq21aqhd9b5pr4mddca6m2@4ax.com>
Source record: 5485
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 21:24:49 -0700, "Sal M. Onella"
<salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote:

>
>"Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net> wrote in message
>news:efokcn02s86@enews3.newsguy.com...
>
>< snip >
>
>> When listening to CW on my scanner, are there any tell-tale indications
>> that the operator is dyslexic?
>
>Not trying to be funny: "Yeah, he's the one who isn't on the air."
>
>I have the problem and I'll never be a code op.
>
>Samples in typing: "Th enext time; a liitle while". Int he first case
>(look, there's a real one I didn't plan)

That's not dyslexia - it's your fingers and your brain not working
together. "The exnt itme" looking right to you would be dyslexia.

>The problem is real and it's maddening. When somebody tells me I can learn
>code if I really apply myself, I am tempted to go back at him with something
>like, "True, and you could grow back the hair on your bald head if you
>really apply yourself." Yes, it really is like that, except that there's no
>combover for dyslexia.

Except that I type like that too sometimes (and I'm not dyslexic),
which is why, even with a spell checker I reread my posts before
posting them. And I can still copy CW at somewhere between 15 and 20,
although I haven't sat down to copy any long transmissions in over 20
years - but I used to rag chew at 40+.

There's a difference between a keyboard and a key or bug. (Never
could get the hang of paddles.)

>This seems to be a problem associated with the orderly sequencing of things.
>Otherwise, I'm pretty good at math and very good at anything graphical
>(maps, diagrams, schematics) or verbal (vocabulary, word games, puzzles,
>puns). Go figure.

Since CW is more a verbal skill than a written skill, dyslexia
shouldn't affect it much (although I don't know of any studies done on
the subject - it's just a seat-of-the-pants guess).

5256. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 22:39:21 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160260761.646122.155030@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5486
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> news:1160257574.462773.179740@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
> >> class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up
> >> their code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't
> >> need to ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will
> >> get us better & smarter hams.
> >
> > Who is "we"?
> >
> > FCC makes the rules. They're the ones who have to be convinced. For
> > more than 25 years, FCC has been convinced that the Amateur Radio
> > license exam requirements should be reduced. The changes have come a
> > little at a time, but the direction has been the same since the late
> > 1970s.

> I know, but we can send them emails and tell them we won't go for anymore
> dumbing down or simplification.

That's not how it works. What does "we won't go for anymore dumbing down or simplification" mean, specifically? Those terms mean nothing to FCC - they want specifics.

For example, you might consider the reduction of the General and Extra to 5 wpm to be "dumbing down". But way back in 1990, FCC made those licenses available with just 5 wpm code and a medical waiver. FCC has said, publicly, that they see no difference between medically-waivered "5 wpm" hams and those who passed 13 and 20 wpm when it comes to enforcement issues. How do you respond to that argument?

Way back in the early 1980s, a president with initials RR was elected in part on the promise to "get government off your back". That translated to less regulation and simpler regulation, as well as less money for "legacy" government agencies like FCC. So they have gone for simpler and easier ever since.

> They'll do what they want, but at least
> we tried.
>
Did you comment on the recent Notices of Proposed Rulemaking? Or the various proposals that FCC accepted comments on?

That's how FCC accepts input.

And when the various proposals have been presented, the majority of those commenting support Morse Code testing, and relevant written testing.

But they aren't an overwhelming majority. 55% is about the max.

And FCC doesn't have to do what the majority wants. They decide what to do based on a whole bunch of factors. Comments are only one factor.

5257. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 23:00:35 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160262035.934942.262470@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5487
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> james <george@washington.edu> wrote in
> news:f7afi2hs7dbp1hbjpthr8lrbu9hkm7vbqe@4ax.com:
>
> > On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 16:52:24 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> >>+++Neither the Code NOR Theory tests will weed out the bad radio
> >>operator any +++more than a driving test will weed out the DUIs - OR -
> >>the Physical agility, +++legal and other exams will weed out bad cops.

No test will weed out 100% of the "bad apples". That does not mean
tests have no effect.

Would you rather that we not have driving tests, since the they
obviously don't weed out all the bad drivers?

> >>Those tests are only tests of +++"Proficiency" in the subject matter -
> >>NOT - "Mentality". Those tests won't +++stop the trash.

See above.

> >>+++But those who choose to not learn code will continue to bitch about
> >>why they +++shouldn't. OK, DROP the code........Next you'll want the
> >>"Theory" taken away +++too. Want to bet?
> >>+++
> >>+++Fred G.
> > **********
> >
> > Fred

No need to bet.

There have already been proposals to reduce the written exams below
what they are now. Fortunately, they have been rejected by FCC - so
far.
>
> > The theory questions even the extra exam is not that difficult. A
> > decent electronics tech with an AA degree can master that test.

The youngest Extra I know of earned that license at the age of seven.
You don't need any sort of degree to pass the exams - nor should you.

> > In
> > fact the tests are so dummied down that just about anyone that has the

> > ability read and comprehend can pass them with the minimal of
> > coaching. So if you think so highly your "Theory" tests then I hate to
> > burst your bubble.

How would you change that?

> > Why should proficiency in one means of communication hender operation
> > in another?

"hender"?

> > I tend to think that those that are very proficient with
> > morse code tend to spend more of their time with that mode of
> > communication. Why should there be a limitation on other modes that do
> > not wish to use that mode?

Because it's part of being a qualified operator.

> > Most hams learn morse code and then forget
> > it.

Well, some do. I don't know about "most".

How many hams learn the theory and then forget it?

> > I have no issue with showing proficiency in a means of
> > communication to get priviledges. I have no issues with showing a
> > proficiency with "theory" as a means of priviledges.

The argument seems to be this:

"Why should someone have to learn Morse Code in order to use other
modes? Let those who want to use it, learn it, and the rest not"

If you accept that argument, how do you oppose the following:

"Why should someone have to learn theory in order to use a manufactured
rig?"

"Why should someone who only wants to operate HF have to learn about
VHF and UHF?"

"Why should someone who only wants to operate QRP have to learn about
RF exposure?"

"Why should someone who only wants to use vacuum tube equipment have to
learn about solid-state?"

Etc.

In other words, why should anyone have to learn anything they don't
intend to use?
>
> > The thing here is usage of the airwaves is a priviledge and not a
> > right. So what ever the government cares to do in this avenue is fine
> > with me. I will take advantage of what ever they offer me.

> You raise some good points Jim. That's why I've always proposed the
> following licensing changes:

> 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.

Why?

And who will do all the testing? There are over 650,000 US hams now. We get a couple of thousand new hams per month - that works out to about 2,000 exams per month.

If 10% of those 650,000 have to retest each year, that's 65,000 per year. Which is more than 5,400 additional exams per month.

Are *you* volunteering to do all those exams?

> 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.

Sell it to FCC.

> 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

Sell it to FCC.

> 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

Why? Does a person forget that much in a year?

And who will do all the additional testing?

5258. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 23:06:20 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160262380.076958.260810@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5488
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> news:1160257574.462773.179740@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
> >> class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up
> >> their code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't
> >> need to ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will
> >> get us better & smarter hams.
> >
> > Who is "we"?

Who is Robesin?

> > FCC makes the rules. They're the ones who have to be convinced. For
> > more than 25 years, FCC has been convinced that the Amateur Radio
> > license exam requirements should be reduced. The changes have come a
> > little at a time, but the direction has been the same since the late
> > 1970s.

So what's the hold up? Code has nothing to do with intelligence,
not6hing to do with dumbness.

> I know, but we can send them emails and tell them we won't go for anymore
> dumbing down or simplification.

Do you plan to take up arms against your government if they drop the
code?

> They'll do what they want, but at least
> we tried.
>
> SC

You tried to be a Luddite...

5259. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 23:22:29 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160263348.950430.20860@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5489
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> > news:1160257574.462773.179740@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
> > > Slow Code wrote:
> > >> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
> > >> class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up
> > >> their code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't
> > >> need to ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will
> > >> get us better & smarter hams.
> > >
> > > Who is "we"?
> > >
> > > FCC makes the rules. They're the ones who have to be convinced. For
> > > more than 25 years, FCC has been convinced that the Amateur Radio
> > > license exam requirements should be reduced. The changes have come a
> > > little at a time, but the direction has been the same since the late
> > > 1970s.
>
> > I know, but we can send them emails and tell them we won't go for anymore
> > dumbing down or simplification.
>
> That's not how it works. What does "we won't go for anymore dumbing
> down or simplification" mean, specifically? Those terms mean nothing to
> FCC - they want specifics.

Sounds like the "Whiskey Rebellion" talking.

> For example, you might consider the reduction of the General and Extra
> to 5 wpm to be "dumbing down". But way back in 1990, FCC made those
> licenses available with just 5 wpm code and a medical waiver. FCC has
> said, publicly, that they see no difference between medically-waivered
> "5 wpm" hams and those who passed 13 and 20 wpm when it comes to
> enforcement issues. How do you respond to that argument?

Remember that King Hussein of Jordan is no longer with us.

> Way back in the early 1980s, a president with initials RR

Jim, are you not able to say, "Ronald Reagan?" You are such a useless
liberal...

> was elected
> in part on the promise to "get government off your back". That

> translated to less regulation and simpler regulation, as well as less
> money for "legacy" government agencies like FCC. So they have gone for
> simpler and easier ever since.

Ditto amateurs building their own equipment... they're still building
tube gear...

> > They'll do what they want, but at least
> > we tried.
> >
> Did you comment on the recent Notices of Proposed Rulemaking? Or the
> various proposals that FCC accepted comments on?

Nope. No comments from "Slow Code."

> That's how FCC accepts input.

Slow is a little slow on the uptake. Others mitght know him as W3RV.

> And when the various proposals have been presented, the majority of
> those commenting support Morse Code testing, and relevant written
> testing.

So?

> But they aren't an overwhelming majority. 55% is about the max.

They asked for a concensus. The ARRL refused to give them one.

> And FCC doesn't have to do what the majority wants. They decide what to
> do based on a whole bunch of factors. Comments are only one factor.

Obviously the ARS isn't a popularity contest. Hopefully its not a
"Good Old Boys" club either.

5260. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 23:25:33 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <o6dgi258e9r779ne18ah64ne5c5u00b699@4ax.com>
Source record: 5490
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 21:59:15 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>+++james <george@washington.edu> wrote in
>+++news:f7afi2hs7dbp1hbjpthr8lrbu9hkm7vbqe@4ax.com:
>+++
>+++> On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 16:52:24 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
>+++> wrote:
>+++>
>+++>>+++Neither the Code NOR Theory tests will weed out the bad radio
>+++>>operator any +++more than a driving test will weed out the DUIs - OR -
>+++>>the Physical agility, +++legal and other exams will weed out bad cops.
>+++>>Those tests are only tests of +++"Proficiency" in the subject matter -
>+++>>NOT - "Mentality". Those tests won't +++stop the trash.
>+++>>+++But those who choose to not learn code will continue to bitch about
>+++>>why they +++shouldn't. OK, DROP the code........Next you'll want the
>+++>>"Theory" taken away +++too. Want to bet?
>+++>>+++
>+++>>+++Fred G.
>+++> ********************
>+++>
>+++> Fred
>+++>
>+++> The theory questions even the extra exam is not that difficult. A
>+++> decent electronics tech with an AA degree can master that test. In
>+++> fact the tests are so dummied down that just about anyone that has the
>+++> ability read and comprehend can pass them with the minimal of
>+++> coaching. So if you think so highly your "Theory" tests then I hate to
>+++> burst your bubble.
>+++>
>+++> Why should proficiency in one means of communication hender operation
>+++> in another? I tend to think that those that are very proficient with
>+++> morse code tend to spend more of their time with that mode of
>+++> communication. Why should there be a limitation on other modes that do
>+++> not wish to use that mode? Most hams learn morse code and then forget
>+++> it. I have no issue with showing proficiency in a means of
>+++> communication to get priviledges. I have no issues with showing a
>+++> proficiency with "theory" as a means of priviledges.
>+++>
>+++> The thing here is usage of the airwaves is a priviledge and not a
>+++> right. So what ever the government cares to do in this avenue is fine
>+++> with me. I will take advantage of what ever they offer me.

>+++>
>+++> james
>+++
>+++
>+++You raise some good points Jim. That's why I've always proposed the
>+++following licensing changes:
>+++
>+++
>+++1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
>+++ pass all elements required for their license class.
>+++
****

Why retest knowledge? Not necessary unless your intention is to reduce the ranks of the amatuer radio service. Few if any government priviledges require constant retesting for renewal. Your thinking is not logical.Besides it increases the government and costs. The government would then be forced to charge for licensing.

>+++2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>+++
****

Again 70% is sufficient. Raising to the limit you suggest is more a weed out than anything else.

>+++3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>+++
****

The ITU no longer requires CW for any of its commercial services. Why do you stillinsist on such high levels. Again your motive appears to be more selective as to who may be granted a license.

>+++4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

Why? when this class already has very strong restrictive priviledges. This would not be the incentive to upgrade to a higher license. Some maybe satisfied with the priviledges of this class and making it a one year nonrenewable will not be the incentice to an upgrade path. Instead it will be another step in restricting any growth in the amature radiio service. Then again if you prefer dwindling numbers, go ahead. Radio and its associated costs can not compete with the internet. If I want to talk to some one in Australia it is now far easier to use IRC or other messaging programs in conjuction with the internet. If you intend to further restrict the amature service to nothing more than a "good ole boys club", then you yourself have become the problem.

james

5261. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 23:44:41 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <12igetd97h6usab@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 5491
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Interesting

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:g5agi2lukfvlaq21aqhd9b5pr4mddca6m2@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 21:24:49 -0700, "Sal M. Onella"
> <salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net> wrote in message
> >news:efokcn02s86@enews3.newsguy.com...
> >
> >< snip >
> >
> >> When listening to CW on my scanner, are there any tell-tale
indications
> >> that the operator is dyslexic?
> >
> >Not trying to be funny: "Yeah, he's the one who isn't on the air."
> >
> >I have the problem and I'll never be a code op.
> >
> >Samples in typing: "Th enext time; a liitle while". Int he first case
> >(look, there's a real one I didn't plan)
>
> That's not dyslexia - it's your fingers and your brain not working
> together. "The exnt itme" looking right to you would be dyslexia.
>
> >The problem is real and it's maddening. When somebody tells me I can
learn
> >code if I really apply myself, I am tempted to go back at him with
something
> >like, "True, and you could grow back the hair on your bald head if you
> >really apply yourself." Yes, it really is like that, except that there's
no
> >combover for dyslexia.
>
> Except that I type like that too sometimes (and I'm not dyslexic),
> which is why, even with a spell checker I reread my posts before
> posting them. And I can still copy CW at somewhere between 15 and 20,
> although I haven't sat down to copy any long transmissions in over 20
> years - but I used to rag chew at 40+.
>
> There's a difference between a keyboard and a key or bug. (Never

> could get the hang of paddles.)
>
> >This seems to be a problem associated with the orderly sequencing of
things.
> >Otherwise, I'm pretty good at math and very good at anything graphical
> >(maps, diagrams, schematics) or verbal (vocabulary, word games, puzzles,
> >puns). Go figure.
>
> Since CW is more a verbal skill than a written skill, dyslexia
> shouldn't affect it much (although I don't know of any studies done on
> the subject - it's just a seat-of-the-pants guess).

5262. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 23:45:41 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <12igev93i7qvue9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 5492
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Interesting

"james" <george@washington.edu> wrote in message
news:fq5gi21t45maa818ds1eqhr5cl9veil5bb@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 16:21:28 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
> wrote:
>
> >+++James,
> >+++
> >+++Rethink what I wrote. I'm not claiming the tests are "all
that"........ You
> >+++are right, they ARE dummied down and NO, you do NOT need an AA degree
to
> >+++pass the Extra. Just some diligent studying. I was merely saying
NEITHER
> >+++test will eliminate the crap - for starters. BUT those who "are"
whining
> >+++about the code - if they get their wish, will then want the written
exam
> >+++dropped too. In other words - FREE - uninhibited passage. IF we gave
Drs',
> >+++Lawyers, Drivers, Pilots and other licenses away like some want the
Ham
> >+++licenses handed out, where would we be? Ham radio may not be all that,
but
> >+++it is what it is. Anything more stripped away from it - and it won't
be
> >+++worth having.
> >+++
> >+++"I" had to learn the code, it really is no big deal. Do I use it? Not
much,
> >+++but I'm still glad I learned it. It was a matter of self discipline.
Hey,
> >+++drop the code......Who am "I" to force it down anyone's throat? That
isn't
> >+++my aim or argument. Again - you're going to see the push for the rest
to be
> >+++dropped too - some just aren't satisfied. Mark my words.
> >+++
> >+++Fred G.
>
> I read your post and understood it. My beleifs is that those that will

> complain about a "theory" test are those that want the license handed
> to them. They don't want any kind of test. Unless the government does
> that, I still hold that some form of written test is applicable.
>
> I learned enough code and then I never touched a key again. Made two
> contacts total via morse code. Never cared for it and never intend to
> use it. At the time my interests were more in VHF/UHF anyways. HF was
> to much like CB. He who had the biggest amp and antenna was heard.
> VHF/UHF systems require more than a big amp and a big antenna.
>
> I whined about the code requirements and still do. But I also
> understand, that what ever the government determines as the standard
> for obtain levels oflicensing are the rules that I ahve to play with.
> As I stated I have no issues with levels of "theory". That part to me
> is easier to learn.
>
> james

5263. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 23:47:13 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <12igf25as0mei4d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 5493
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Interesting
"james" <george@washington.edu> wrote in message
news:o6dgi258e9r779ne18ah64ne5c5u00b699@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 21:59:15 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >+++james <george@washington.edu> wrote in
> >+++news:f7afi2hs7dbp1hbjpthr8lrbu9hkm7vbqe@4ax.com:
> >+++
> >+++> On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 16:52:24 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
> >+++> wrote:
> >+++>
> >+++>>+++Neither the Code NOR Theory tests will weed out the bad radio
> >+++>>operator any +++more than a driving test will weed out the DUIs -
OR -
> >+++>>the Physical agility, +++legal and other exams will weed out bad
cops.
> >+++>>Those tests are only tests of +++"Proficiency" in the subject
matter -
> >+++>>NOT - "Mentality". Those tests won't +++stop the trash.
> >+++>>+++But those who choose to not learn code will continue to bitch
about
> >+++>>why they +++shouldn't. OK, DROP the code........Next you'll want the
> >+++>>"Theory" taken away +++too. Want to bet?
> >+++>>+++
> >+++>>+++Fred G.
> >+++> ********************
> >+++>
> >+++> Fred
> >+++>
> >+++> The theory questions even the extra exam is not that difficult. A
> >+++> decent electronics tech with an AA degree can master that test. In
> >+++> fact the tests are so dummied down that just about anyone that has
the
> >+++> ability read and comprehend can pass them with the minimal of
> >+++> coaching. So if you think so highly your "Theory" tests then I hate
to
> >+++> burst your bubble.
> >+++>
> >+++> Why should proficiency in one means of communication hender
operation
> >+++> in another? I tend to think that those that are very proficient with
> >+++> morse code tend to spend more of their time with that mode of
```

> >+++> communication. Why should there be a limitation on other modes that
do
> >+++> not wish to use that mode? Most hams learn morse code and then
forget
> >+++> it. I have no issue with showing proficiency in a means of
> >+++> communication to get priviledges. I have no issues with showing a
> >+++> proficiency with "theory" as a means of priviledges.
> >+++>
> >+++> The thing here is usage of the airwaves is a priviledge and not a
> >+++> right. So what ever the government cares to do in this avenue is
fine
> >+++> with me. I will take advantage of what ever they offer me.
> >+++>
> >+++> james
> >+++
> >+++
> >+++You raise some good points Jim. That's why I've always proposed the
> >+++following licensing changes:
> >+++
> >+++
> >+++1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> >+++ pass all elements required for their license class.
> >+++
> **********
>
> Why retest knowledge? Not necessary unless your intention is to reduce
> the ranks of the amatuer radio service. Few if any government
> priviledges require constant retesting for renewal. Your thinking is
> not logical.Besides it increases the government and costs. The
> government would then be forced to charge for licensing.
>
> >+++2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
> >+++
> **********
>
> Again 70% is sufficient. Raising to the limit you suggest is more a
> weed out than anything else.
>
> >+++3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
> >+++
> **********
>
> The ITU no longer requires CW for any of its commercial services. Why
> do you stillinsist on such high levels. Again your motive appears to
> be more selective as to who may be granted a license.
>
>
> >+++4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> Why? when this class already has very strong restrictive priviledges.

> This would not be the incentive to upgrade to a higher license. Some
> maybe satisfied with the priviledges of this class and making it a one
> year nonrenewable will not be the incentice to an upgrade path.
> Instead it will be another step in restricting any growth in the
> amature radiio service. Then again if you prefer dwindling numbers,
> go ahead. Radio and its associated costs can not compete with the
> internet. If I want to talk to some one in Australia it is now far
> easier to use IRC or other messaging programs in conjuction with the
> internet. If you intend to further restrict the amature service to
> nothing more than a "good ole boys club", then you yourself have
> become the problem.
>
> james

5264. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 23:48:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <16fgi2hlktu5a1uk5k3btvfqq8qck7f17l@4ax.com>
Source record: 5494
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 18:29:07 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 21:24:49 -0700, "Sal M. Onella"
><salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net> wrote in message
>>news:efokcn02s86@enews3.newsguy.com...
>>
>>>< snip >
>>
>>> When listening to CW on my scanner, are there any tell-tale indications
>>> that the operator is dyslexic?
>>
>>Not trying to be funny: "Yeah, he's the one who isn't on the air."
>>
>>I have the problem and I'll never be a code op.
>>
>>Samples in typing: "Th enext time; a liitle while". Int he first case
>>(look, there's a real one I didn't plan)
>
>That's not dyslexia - it's your fingers and your brain not working
>together. "The exnt itme" looking right to you would be dyslexia.
where did you get your medical degree Klien
>
>>The problem is real and it's maddening. When somebody tells me I can learn
>>code if I really apply myself, I am tempted to go back at him with something
>>like, "True, and you could grow back the hair on your bald head if you
>>really apply yourself." Yes, it really is like that, except that there's no
>>combover for dyslexia.
>
>Except that I type like that too sometimes (and I'm not dyslexic),
>which is why, even with a spell checker I reread my posts before
>posting them. And I can still copy CW at somewhere between 15 and 20,
>although I haven't sat down to copy any long transmissions in over 20
>years - but I used to rag chew at 40+.
>
>There's a difference between a keyboard and a key or bug. (Never
>could get the hang of paddles.)
>
>>This seems to be a problem associated with the orderly sequencing of things.
>>Otherwise, I'm pretty good at math and very good at anything graphical

>>(maps, diagrams, schematics) or verbal (vocabulary, word games, puzzles,
>>puns). Go figure.
>
>Since CW is more a verbal skill than a written skill, dyslexia
>shouldn't affect it much (although I don't know of any studies done on
>the subject - it's just a seat-of-the-pants guess).
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5265. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 23:48:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <s6fgi2pi3ar0lsdb7kr06u3g6acnphfgo8@4ax.com>
Source record: 5495
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 21:59:14 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>news:idDVg.43706$DU3.13118@tornado.texas.rr.com:
>
>>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:aACVg.5935$Y24.1936@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
>>> news:4brci2pq3dbaiirh802uig1dbqenatgtcm@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>> If he does have a call why is he so ashamed to give it to us?
>>>> There must be a reason.
>>>
>>>
>>> You don't need to know my callsign, all you need to know is that CW
>>> makes for more skilled amateurs and that's why we need to retain the
>>> requirement. CW also works a little as a filter to keep the sick, lame
>>> and Lazy off HF. We need to bring back the CW requirement for Techs so
>>> we can raise the intelligence level of conversations on the repeaters.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> Or conversely, just dumb it down to your level so that you can
>> understand.
>
>
>Dumbing it down to my level would still keep it out of your reach.
fuuny I could reach down to your level
>
>How's the skip on 11 meters today?
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5266. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-07 23:55:57 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <h8XVg.11377$UG4.5754@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
>> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
>> class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up their
>> code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't need to
>> ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will get us
>> better & smarter hams.
>
> Who is "we"?
>
> FCC makes the rules. They're the ones who have to be convinced. For
> more than 25 years, FCC has been convinced that the Amateur Radio
> license exam requirements should be reduced. The changes have come a
> little at a time, but the direction has been the same since the late
> 1970s.

The interesting thing is that almost all amateur radio magazines have
been attempting to feed us the line that amateur radio is not being
dumbed down, yet it is quite obvious that it is.

Dave K8MN

5267. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 00:02:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <60ggi2dpn4r845svqhgie2m1e90anhrpj0@4ax.com>
Source record: 5497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 23:55:57 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
>>> class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up their
>>> code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't need to
>>> ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will get us
>>> better & smarter hams.
>>
>> Who is "we"?
>>
>> FCC makes the rules. They're the ones who have to be convinced. For
>> more than 25 years, FCC has been convinced that the Amateur Radio
>> license exam requirements should be reduced. The changes have come a
>> little at a time, but the direction has been the same since the late
>> 1970s.
>
>The interesting thing is that almost all amateur radio magazines have
>been attempting to feed us the line that amateur radio is not being
>dumbed down, yet it is quite obvious that it is.
fuuny how that is when the etesting for the stpuid stuff is being
removed lioke code testing if Wismen can manage it that is proof it is
not a measure of intelgence
>
>Dave K8MN
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5268. It's the Gre8t Lib Net Half-Time Shoow!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 00:52:39 UTC
From: Frauline Freda Hobbssplott <432cheflives3@coppermers.com>
Message-ID: <a67ee$45284bca$d1cc7906$12999@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 5498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

While you guys are waiting for the Great Liberty Net
(the greatest net on ham radio - Sat evenings 10:00 PM
on 3.950 LSB +/1 20kc QRM) check out the website
http://www.ve7kfm.com/ for some VERY AMUSING
audio clips of the guy from "Can-Ahhh-Duh" who loves
Americans and other peoples. Where else caoud you
get great DOWNLOADABLE SOUND FILES like
the great Sid-the-Lid talking about "nig-er ballgames"
and other stuff like this..?

Example: http://www.ve7kfm.com/niggers.mp3
"Madera's good friend Sid (BLB) discusses
niggers: "Out of five channels on, we had six nigger ballgames." "

Where else but on HAM RADIO can you get
such STELLAR ENTERTAINMENT as this ?? !!

5269. Re: WHO wanted CE-232 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 01:29:10 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <j1lgi2prg5nqf8p75dsjekdut1s923mt7n@4ax.com>
Source record: 5500

what else you looking for....Optocom Stuff, DDI (oh my), Pro2006
software..... lemme know

On 06 Oct 2006 21:58:06 GMT, "noone@aol.com" <noone@aol.com> wrote:

>Scan Da Bands said
>
>> ok forget the binaries.....get it here off my server...
>>
>> http://mysite.verizon.net/vze1p5vc/zip/Ce-232.zip
>
>Got it! thanks, you're the man! ;-)

5270. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 01:39:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <sklgi25fcab69ce6ntr1e8q5ucmd4bso1o@4ax.com>
Source record: 5401
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 20:54:00 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote:

>
>Welp, Mark, you just made Dave's point.
no steve I have not

Dave makes no point, he just rattels one with the sametired bs as
always
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5271. Re: FT: RS PRO-26 for Sony ICF-SC1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 01:44:05 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <FJYVg.9845$vJ2.5662@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 5402

Do you know what you are talking about????

"gray-beard" <gray-beard@tryandguess.org> wrote in message
news:HuqdnRrwrdlLSbrYnZ2dnUVZ_vWdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Are you out of your mind?????
> Nobody will trade an easily modded, to be a true ALL BAND scanner, for a
> 20 year old has been RatShack scanner.
>
> GB
>
>
> Frank wrote:
>
>> The PRO-26 is in excellent condition (25-1300 Mhz) with original rubber
>> duck antenna and copy of manual. Will trade for excellent condition Sony
>> SC1 or SC1PC. Must have manual.
>>
>> Frank
>
> --
> "There's no way to rule innocent men. The only power any government has
> is the power to crack down on criminals. Well, when there aren't enough
> criminals, one makes them. One declares so many things to be a crime that
> it becomes impossible to live without breaking laws."
>
> -- Ayn Rand, Atlas Shrugged

5272. Re: It's the Gre8t Lib Net Half-Time Shoow!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 01:53:33 UTC
From: The Late Arky Bob <Arky_Bob_Rules@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160272413.232370.204500@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5403
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Frauline Freda Hobbssplott wrote:

> Where else but on HAM RADIO can you get such STELLAR ENTERTAINMENT as this ?? !!

Sir Sidney RULES ! ! !

http://www.3950.net/ - check out Kevin's audio clips

5273. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 01:54:00 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <c67m4.itt.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 5499
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
news:60ggi2dpn4r845svqhgie2m1e90anhrpj0@4ax.com...
On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 23:55:57 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
>>> class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up their
>>> code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't need to
>>> ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will get us
>>> better & smarter hams.
>>
>> Who is "we"?
>>
>> FCC makes the rules. They're the ones who have to be convinced. For
>> more than 25 years, FCC has been convinced that the Amateur Radio
>> license exam requirements should be reduced. The changes have come a
>> little at a time, but the direction has been the same since the late
>> 1970s.
>
>The interesting thing is that almost all amateur radio magazines have
>been attempting to feed us the line that amateur radio is not being
>dumbed down, yet it is quite obvious that it is.
fuuny how that is when the etesting for the stpuid stuff is being
removed lioke code testing if Wismen can manage it that is proof it is
not a measure of intelgence
>
>Dave K8MN

Welp, Mark, you just made Dave's point.

5274. Re: FT: RS PRO-26 for Sony ICF-SC1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 03:34:27 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <7I_Vg.14159$7I1.7629@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 5404

Hey gray-beard!

Show me where a Sony SC1 is "easily modded" for all bands? Do you
even own one? Plus, the PRO-26 came out in 1995. If my math is correct, that
makes it 11 years old, NOT 20 years old

So, now who is out of their mind????

"gray-beard" <gray-beard@tryandguess.org> wrote in message
news:HuqdnRrwrdlLSbrYnZ2dnUVZ_vWdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Are you out of your mind?????
> Nobody will trade an easily modded, to be a true ALL BAND scanner, for a
> 20 year old has been RatShack scanner.
>
> GB
>
>
> Frank wrote:
>
>> The PRO-26 is in excellent condition (25-1300 Mhz) with original rubber
>> duck antenna and copy of manual. Will trade for excellent condition Sony
>> SC1 or SC1PC. Must have manual.
>>
>> Frank
>
> --
> "There's no way to rule innocent men. The only power any government has
> is the power to crack down on criminals. Well, when there aren't enough
> criminals, one makes them. One declares so many things to be a crime that
> it becomes impossible to live without breaking laws."
>
> -- Ayn Rand, Atlas Shrugged

5275. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 03:53:42 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <aD_Vg.16385$5o5.28@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 5405
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:TqVVg.11329$UG4.11207@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> james <george@washington.edu> wrote in
> news:f7afi2hs7dbp1hbjpthr8lrbu9hkm7vbqe@4ax.com:
>
>> On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 16:52:24 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>>+++Neither the Code NOR Theory tests will weed out the bad radio
>>>operator any +++more than a driving test will weed out the DUIs - OR -
>>>the Physical agility, +++legal and other exams will weed out bad cops.
>>>Those tests are only tests of +++"Proficiency" in the subject matter -
>>>NOT - "Mentality". Those tests won't +++stop the trash.
>>>+++But those who choose to not learn code will continue to bitch about
>>>why they +++shouldn't. OK, DROP the code.....Next you'll want the
>>>"Theory" taken away +++too. Want to bet?
>>>+++
>>>+++Fred G.
>> **********
>>
>> Fred
>>
>> The theory questions even the extra exam is not that difficult. A
>> decent electronics tech with an AA degree can master that test. In
>> fact the tests are so dummied down that just about anyone that has the
>> ability read and comprehend can pass them with the minimal of
>> coaching. So if you think so highly your "Theory" tests then I hate to
>> burst your bubble.
>>
>> Why should proficiency in one means of communication hender operation
>> in another? I tend to think that those that are very proficient with
>> morse code tend to spend more of their time with that mode of
>> communication. Why should there be a limitation on other modes that do
>> not wish to use that mode? Most hams learn morse code and then forget
>> it. I have no issue with showing proficiency in a means of
>> communication to get priviledges. I have no issues with showing a
>> proficiency with "theory" as a means of priviledges.
>>
>> The thing here is usage of the airwaves is a priviledge and not a
>> right. So what ever the government cares to do in this avenue is fine
>> with me. I will take advantage of what ever they offer me.

>>
>> james
>
>
> You raise some good points Jim. That's why I've always proposed the
> following licensing changes:
>
>
> 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.
>
> 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>
> 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
> 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
>
>
> SC

Fuck the code only shit. Why should that be the only element? Why not make all amateurs retest in ALL modes, at all frequencies, et al. And what the hell, while we're at it, let's just go ahead and make it yearly. Don't let the old geezers off so easily. When a new mode is adopted, or allowed, make those bastards show proficiency there as well. That should just about do the ARS in. Why should you have it your way, SC?

5276. Re: FT: RS PRO-26 for Sony ICF-SC1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 04:00:52 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <UJ_Vg.14161$7I1.12044@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 5406

I hate it when people don't do their homework first...

Frank

"gray-beard" <gray-beard@tryandguess.org> wrote in message
news:HuqdnRrwrdlLSbrYnZ2dnUVZ_vWdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Are you out of your mind?????
> Nobody will trade an easily modded, to be a true ALL BAND scanner, for a
> 20 year old has been RatShack scanner.
>
> GB
>
>
> Frank wrote:
>
>> The PRO-26 is in excellent condition (25-1300 Mhz) with original rubber
>> duck antenna and copy of manual. Will trade for excellent condition Sony
>> SC1 or SC1PC. Must have manual.
>>
>> Frank
>
> --
> "There's no way to rule innocent men. The only power any government has
> is the power to crack down on criminals. Well, when there aren't enough
> criminals, one makes them. One declares so many things to be a crime that
> it becomes impossible to live without breaking laws."
>
> -- Ayn Rand, Atlas Shrugged

5277. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 04:01:48 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <MK_Vg.16473$5o5.16030@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 5407
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
"james" <george@washington.edu> wrote in message
news:o6dgi258e9r779ne18ah64ne5c5u00b699@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 21:59:15 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>+++james <george@washington.edu> wrote in
>>+++news:f7afi2hs7dbp1hbjpthr8lrbu9hkm7vbqe@4ax.com:
>>+++
>>+++> On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 16:52:24 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
>>+++> wrote:
>>+++>
>>+++>>+++Neither the Code NOR Theory tests will weed out the bad radio
>>+++>>operator any +++more than a driving test will weed out the DUIs -
>>OR -
>>+++>>the Physical agility, +++legal and other exams will weed out bad
>>cops.
>>+++>>Those tests are only tests of +++"Proficiency" in the subject
>>matter -
>>+++>>NOT - "Mentality". Those tests won't +++stop the trash.
>>+++>>+++But those who choose to not learn code will continue to bitch
>>about
>>+++>>why they +++shouldn't. OK, DROP the code........Next you'll want the
>>+++>>"Theory" taken away +++too. Want to bet?
>>+++>>+++
>>+++>>+++Fred G.
>>+++> ********************
>>+++>
>>+++> Fred
>>+++>
>>+++> The theory questions even the extra exam is not that difficult. A
>>+++> decent electronics tech with an AA degree can master that test. In
>>+++> fact the tests are so dummied down that just about anyone that has
>>the
>>+++> ability read and comprehend can pass them with the minimal of
>>+++> coaching. So if you think so highly your "Theory" tests then I hate
>>to
>>+++> burst your bubble.
>>+++>
>>+++> Why should proficiency in one means of communication hender operation
>>+++> in another? I tend to think that those that are very proficient with
>>+++> morse code tend to spend more of their time with that mode of
>>+++> communication. Why should there be a limitation on other modes that
```

>>do
>>+++> not wish to use that mode? Most hams learn morse code and then forget
>>+++> it. I have no issue with showing proficiency in a means of
>>+++> communication to get priviledges. I have no issues with showing a
>>+++> proficiency with "theory" as a means of priviledges.
>>+++>
>>+++> The thing here is usage of the airwaves is a priviledge and not a
>>+++> right. So what ever the government cares to do in this avenue is fine
>>+++> with me. I will take advantage of what ever they offer me.
>>+++>
>>+++> james
>>+++
>>+++
>>+++You raise some good points Jim. That's why I've always proposed the
>>+++following licensing changes:
>>+++
>>+++
>>+++1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
>>+++ pass all elements required for their license class.
>>+++
> **********
>
> Why retest knowledge? Not necessary unless your intention is to reduce
> the ranks of the amatuer radio service. Few if any government
> priviledges require constant retesting for renewal. Your thinking is
> not logical.Besides it increases the government and costs. The
> government would then be forced to charge for licensing.
>
>>+++2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>>+++
> **********
>
> Again 70% is sufficient. Raising to the limit you suggest is more a
> weed out than anything else.
>
>>+++3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>>+++
> **********
>
> The ITU no longer requires CW for any of its commercial services. Why
> do you stillinsist on such high levels. Again your motive appears to
> be more selective as to who may be granted a license.
>
>
>>+++4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> Why? when this class already has very strong restrictive priviledges.
> This would not be the incentive to upgrade to a higher license. Some
> maybe satisfied with the priviledges of this class and making it a one
> year nonrenewable will not be the incentice to an upgrade path.

> Instead it will be another step in restricting any growth in the
> amature radiio service. Then again if you prefer dwindling numbers,
> go ahead. Radio and its associated costs can not compete with the
> internet. If I want to talk to some one in Australia it is now far
> easier to use IRC or other messaging programs in conjuction with the
> internet. If you intend to further restrict the amature service to
> nothing more than a "good ole boys club", then you yourself have
> become the problem.
>
> james

Well said. SC and his ilk are what is wrong with the ARS today. But hell, who knows when I will need morse to facilitate my rescue from a capsized cruise ship in the Gulf of Mexico. I will be the one! No one will know there are really people inside the ship, unless of course, I pound the hammer I had with me on the inside of the hull. And to boot, the Coast Guard no longer teaches morse, so hopefully SC will be there to copy.

BWAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!

5278. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 04:24:32 UTC
From: "Sal M. Onella" <salmonella@food.poisoning.org>
Message-ID: <44%Vg.3705$gM1.117@fed1read12>
Source record: 5408
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:g5agi2lukfvlaq21aqhd9b5pr4mddca6m2@4ax.com...

< SNIP >

> That's not dyslexia - it's your fingers and your brain not working
> together.

I don't hear/perceive/recognize/distinguish/discriminate the dits and dahs
correctly. I can learn that dit-dah-dah-dit is G (or whatever it is -- I am
not sure and I am certainly far, far beyond caring) and five seconds later
not be able to distinguish it from dah-dit-dit-dah.

What's the fix for it?

5279. Optoelectronics scout 40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 04:45:29 UTC
From: Charles Bye <killer88@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <452882DD.85CB5EAF@swbell.net>
Source record: 5412

i have a scout 40 frequency recorder that will not power up after battery pack went dead even when wall charger is used. Wondering if any one out there can tell me where i can get an electrical schematic for this unit.

5280. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 05:41:51 UTC
From: Billy <CB@anon>
Message-ID: <c6l1a.5n4.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 5409
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Sal M. Onella" <salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote in message
news:44%Vg.3705$gM1.117@fed1read12...

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:g5agi2lukfvlaq21aqhd9b5pr4mddca6m2@4ax.com...

< SNIP >

> That's not dyslexia - it's your fingers and your brain not working
> together.

I don't hear/perceive/recognize/distinguish/discriminate the dits and dahs
correctly. I can learn that dit-dah-dah-dit is G (or whatever it is -- I am
not sure and I am certainly far, far beyond caring) and five seconds later
not be able to distinguish it from dah-dit-dit-dah.

What's the fix for it?

Don't sell your CB.

5281. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 07:18:32 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160291912.797658.82870@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5410
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Sal M. Onella wrote:
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:g5agi2lukfvlaq21aqhd9b5pr4mddca6m2@4ax.com...
>
> < SNIP >
>
> > That's not dyslexia - it's your fingers and your brain not working
> > together.
>
> I don't hear/perceive/recognize/distinguish/discriminate the dits and dahs
> correctly. I can learn that dit-dah-dah-dit is G (or whatever it is -- I am
> not sure and I am certainly far, far beyond caring) and five seconds later
> not be able to distinguish it from dah-dit-dit-dah.
>
> What's the fix for it?

well ADA says you and I should be allowed to take an acodated test with
US choosing whatever accomodation we choose persnaly Id choose a code
reader and would of course oviate the test all together. fairer still
is stop dumbing down everyone in the service with test that greatest
physists of the last century could not pass if they wanted to ham I
refer to Einstain Hawkings and Dirac

5282. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 08:58:05 UTC
From: Billy <Anon@anon.com>
Message-ID: <c70h8.9n4.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 5411
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1160291912.797658.82870@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

Sal M. Onella wrote:
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:g5agi2lukfvlaq21aqhd9b5pr4mddca6m2@4ax.com...
>
> < SNIP >
>
> > That's not dyslexia - it's your fingers and your brain not working
> > together.
>
> I don't hear/perceive/recognize/distinguish/discriminate the dits and dahs
> correctly. I can learn that dit-dah-dah-dit is G (or whatever it is -- I
am
> not sure and I am certainly far, far beyond caring) and five seconds later
> not be able to distinguish it from dah-dit-dit-dah.
>
> What's the fix for it?

well ADA says you and I should be allowed to take an acodated test with
US choosing whatever accomodation we choose persnaly Id choose a code
reader and would of course oviate the test all together. fairer still
is stop dumbing down everyone in the service with test that greatest
physists of the last century could not pass if they wanted to ham I
refer to Einstain Hawkings and Dirac
.
The ADA says? So what? I suppose that you will next enlist their aid in
getting the 5wpm requirement dropped so you can move on to HF. Heck, that
way you can be a Zero wpm General.
And if Steve Hawkings ever tried to apply for, and failed, a Ham license,
please tell us when.

Don't get rid of your CB, Mark.

5283. Re: Cheap P25 scanner???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 13:49:43 UTC
From: Bill.K9KZ@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1160315383.206026.321030@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5413
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On 7 Oct 2006 10:03:58 -0700, Bill.K9KZ@gmail.com wrote:
>
> >Al Klein wrote:
> >> On 20 Sep 2006 23:45:46 -0700, Bill.K9KZ@gmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >Will anything from RS even survive rebanding???
> >>
> >> Since no one (not even Motorola) knows exactly how rebanding will end
> >> up, no one can know what scanners can or can't survive it.
> >>
> >My comment was based on this statement on the Grove site:
> >
> >Getting The Most From The New Uniden Scanners
> >by Paul Opitz
> >
> >"The major impact of rebanding will be on all Motorola analog using the
> >BC-235/245/895/780/250D/785D scanners and P25 systems using the
> >250D/785D scanners. The fore mentioned scanners cannot be used when
> >rebanding plan is implemented and cannot be flash upgraded. The
> >customer will have to buy a new scanner to monitor any system that
> >changes frequencies due to rebanding. "
>
> That doesn't say that certain other scanners WILL be flash-upgradable
> - it only addresses whether those 8 will be, and they won't,
> regardless of the final outcome of rebanding.
>
> Whether any current scanner will be upgradable - by flashing or
> otherwise - after rebanding remains to be seen. If, for example,
> channel numbers can be arbitrarily assigned to frequencies (there's
> equipment that can do that now), you won't be able to program a
> scanner unless you have that assignment list - which would probably be
> closely guarded.
>
> We're all - users, designers and manufacturers - in the same boat.
> We'll just have to wait and see. And you can bet that it's going to
> take longer to arrive at the final solution than it's supposed to.
> When has a government job ever come in early or for less than
> originally planned?

Scanning used to seem so simple :)

Bill

5284. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 16:00:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <iv7ii21b0cpkua4n6vo4dblqcs3e66hs7f@4ax.com>
Source record: 5414
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 03:58:05 -0500, "Billy" <Anon@anon.com> wrote:

>
>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1160291912.797658.82870@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
>Sal M. Onella wrote:
>> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>> news:g5agi2lukfvlaq21aqhd9b5pr4mddca6m2@4ax.com...
>>
>> < SNIP >
>>
>> > That's not dyslexia - it's your fingers and your brain not working
>> > together.
>>
>> I don't hear/perceive/recognize/distinguish/discriminate the dits and dahs
>> correctly. I can learn that dit-dah-dah-dit is G (or whatever it is -- I
>am
>> not sure and I am certainly far, far beyond caring) and five seconds later
>> not be able to distinguish it from dah-dit-dit-dah.
>>
>> What's the fix for it?
>
>well ADA says you and I should be allowed to take an acodated test with
>US choosing whatever accomodation we choose persnaly Id choose a code
>reader and would of course oviate the test all together. fairer still
>is stop dumbing down everyone in the service with test that greatest
>physists of the last century could not pass if they wanted to ham I
>refer to Einstain Hawkings and Dirac
first learn to attriube correctly
>.
>The ADA says? So what?

Interesting that You it is the CBers you accuse of trying to ignore
the law when yothey find it inconventent

>I suppose that you will next enlist their aid in
>getting the 5wpm requirement dropped so you can move on to HF. Heck, that
>way you can be a Zero wpm General.

I have after a feshion and it is part of parcel of the reasons that
the FCC is going to drop code testing soone enough

>And if Steve Hawkings ever tried to apply for, and failed, a Ham license,
>please tell us when.

I don't know that steve well enough but he a brillant man and would a worthy addition to the ARS but would end up confined to VHF plus here in the USA
>
>Don't get rid of your CB, Mark.

why would I ever get rid of it
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5285. Re: FT: RS PRO-26 for Sony ICF-SC1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 16:44:58 UTC
From: gray-beard <gray-beard@tryandguess.org>
Message-ID: <1_udnfNpupgZtrTYnZ2dnUVZ_sSdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 5415

Of course it is modifiable. Cut one trace and add one jumper and it's
done. Yes I have one. AM and Wide and Narrow FM all the way from 5-kHz
to 1300-MHZ. 5-12.5-50 &100 kHz step sizes.
Now why would anyone trade one of these for a limited Pro-26
There is no comparison

GB

Frank wrote:
> Do you know what you are talking about????
>
>
> "gray-beard" <gray-beard@tryandguess.org> wrote in message
> news:HuqdnRrwrdlLSbrYnZ2dnUVZ_vWdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
>>Are you out of your mind?????
>>Nobody will trade an easily modded, to be a true ALL BAND scanner, for a
>>20 year old has been RatShack scanner.
>>
>>GB
>>
>>
>>Frank wrote:
>>
>>
>>>The PRO-26 is in excellent condition (25-1300 Mhz) with original rubber
>>>duck antenna and copy of manual. Will trade for excellent condition Sony
>>>SC1 or SC1PC. Must have manual.
>>>
>>>Frank

--
"There's no way to rule innocent men. The only power any government
has is the power to crack down on criminals. Well, when there aren't
enough criminals, one makes them. One declares so many things to be a
crime that it becomes impossible to live without breaking laws."

-- Ayn Rand, Atlas Shrugged

5286. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 17:26:41 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <3scii2h5pbngasblg6n7agkfqhh97ove2h@4ax.com>
Source record: 5416
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 21:24:32 -0700, "Sal M. Onella"
<salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote:

>I don't hear/perceive/recognize/distinguish/discriminate the dits and dahs
>correctly.

You're not supposed to learn dits and dahs, any more than, in French
class, you learn the letters of the alphabet. You're supposed to
learn the words in CW. Anyone listening to the dits and dahs
(dyslexic or not) will never gain any proficiency in CW.

>What's the fix for it?

Learn it by the sound, not by the individual element - the same way
you'd learn any other language. See if a ham club near you has
classes. If they do, see if the person teaching CW knows the
difference between learning the dits and dahs and learning CW by
sound. If s/he does, take the course.

I started teaching myself CW (as a kid) by individual letters. After
using it on the air for a year (we had very little choice in those
days - Gonsets were too expensive for most of us, and there weren't
too many other ways to get on 2 meters) I was listening to the
content, not the dits and dahs. I still have to "hear" the sound in
my head when someone posts dots and dashes in a Usenet post - I never
learned to "read" "written CW".

5287. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 17:42:33 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <87dii29tgoa88irtsed7a2oq2tt4n9e3dk@4ax.com>
Source record: 5417
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 03:58:05 -0500, "Billy" <Anon@anon.com> wrote:

[quotes repaired]
[piggybacking]

>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1160291912.797658.82870@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

>>well ADA says you and I should be allowed to take an acodated test with
>>US choosing whatever accomodation we choose

No it doesn't. There's something in there about the test actually
testing for the thing it tests for.

Can you just imagine a totally blind from birth person "choosing", as
a test for a job that requires color matching, a test to see if he can
tell the difference between Braille labels on paintings? Or a "test"
for someone who can't drive due to a disability consisting of testing
his ability to hail a cab? A test for the ability to receive AND
DECODE CW consists of your listening to, or seeing, *CW*! We don't
test a translator's ability to translate French to English by giving
him the English translation and seeing if he can "read" it.

That makes as much sense as a man insisting that it's sex
discrimination to not hire him to model women's clothing - something
that's been thrown out of the courts so many times it has permanent
skid marks. Sue the FCC if you like, but make sure the courtroom
doors are open or you'll get hurt when they throw your case out so
fast the splinters will fly.

>> persnaly Id choose a code
>>reader and would of course oviate the test all together. fairer still
>>is stop dumbing down everyone in the service with test

Fairer still is to accept that there are a number of ways to get on
the air, one of them being to prove a certain very low level of CW
proficiency. (5 wpm isn't even reading CW, it's "decoding" individual
dots and dashes.) Would you accept a blind person's insistence that
he be allowed to travel the same way that you do - by driving his own
car? After all, he's disabled, so he can choose whatever test he
likes to get his driver's license. How about a test listening to
someone talk and seeing if he can hear the speech?

>> that greatest
>>physists of the last century could not pass if they wanted to ham I
>>refer to Einstain Hawkings and Dirac

What has being a physicist to do with being a ham? But I'll go along with that - in order for you to keep your license you can skip the CW portion of the test, as long as you produce a PhD in physics. Deal? (BTW, Einstein, Dirac and Hawking weren't/aren't physicists. They were/are all mathematicians, although that's questionable in Einstein's case.)

5288. Re: Cheap P25 scanner???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 17:43:34 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <85eii290fsmphfcm89ehctmbdhdifstqp0@4ax.com>
Source record: 5418
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On 8 Oct 2006 06:49:43 -0700, Bill.K9KZ@gmail.com wrote:

>Scanning used to seem so simple :)

So did getting on an airplane - times, they are a'changin.

5289. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 18:21:57 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <gcgii2tieq4f3361usk5m07hld7hjrfmfd@4ax.com>
Source record: 5419
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 08 Oct 2006 13:42:33 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 03:58:05 -0500, "Billy" <Anon@anon.com> wrote:
>
>[quotes repaired]
>[piggybacking]
>
>>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:1160291912.797658.82870@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
>>>well ADA says you and I should be allowed to take an acodated test with
>>>US choosing whatever accomodation we choose
>
>No it doesn't. There's something in there about the test actually
>testing for the thing it tests for.
yes it does Klien

it says as I can read in themnaul on ADA that I get to choose to what
accomatdation I deem fit
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5290. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 18:22:32 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <cegii2lnqhlcf7nm1tpv6o3e11pqc66308@4ax.com>
Source record: 5420
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 08 Oct 2006 13:26:41 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 21:24:32 -0700, "Sal M. Onella"
><salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote:
>
>>I don't hear/perceive/recognize/distinguish/discriminate the dits and dahs
>>correctly.
>
>You're not supposed to learn dits and dahs,
who appointed to tell others what they must do Mr NoCall coard
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5291. my dad an me pics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 18:26:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <452935e0$0$19748$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 5421
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

dad
http://home.mchsi.com/~north1959/professorblumpkin.jpg

and now me.....
http://i10.tinypic.com/29ygohw.jpg

we morgan are hamson mens

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

>
>
>
>
>

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5292. id theift is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 18:29:43 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <csgii2t69fitf17o4g9ug28ss62aomkv2e@4ax.com>
Source record: 5422
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 14:26:35 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:

id theift is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5293. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 18:37:35 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <12iih9inbnfr2ac@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 5423
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Interesting

"Sal M. Onella" <salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote in message
news:44%Vg.3705$gM1.117@fed1read12...
>
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:g5agi2lukfvlaq21aqhd9b5pr4mddca6m2@4ax.com...
>
> < SNIP >
>
> > That's not dyslexia - it's your fingers and your brain not working
> > together.
>
> I don't hear/perceive/recognize/distinguish/discriminate the dits and dahs
> correctly. I can learn that dit-dah-dah-dit is G (or whatever it is -- I
am
> not sure and I am certainly far, far beyond caring) and five seconds later
> not be able to distinguish it from dah-dit-dit-dah.
>
> What's the fix for it?
>
>

5294. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 18:38:12 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <12iihao64bo6sc4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 5424
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Interesting

"Billy" <CB@anon> wrote in message news:c6l1a.5n4.17.1@news.alt.net...
>
> "Sal M. Onella" <salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote in message
> news:44%Vg.3705$gM1.117@fed1read12...
>
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:g5agi2lukfvlaq21aqhd9b5pr4mddca6m2@4ax.com...
>
> < SNIP >
>
> > That's not dyslexia - it's your fingers and your brain not working
> > together.
>
> I don't hear/perceive/recognize/distinguish/discriminate the dits and dahs
> correctly. I can learn that dit-dah-dah-dit is G (or whatever it is -- I
am
> not sure and I am certainly far, far beyond caring) and five seconds later
> not be able to distinguish it from dah-dit-dit-dah.
>
> What's the fix for it?
>
>
> Don't sell your CB.
>
>

5295. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 18:38:49 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <12iihbt1phqd3df@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 5425
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Interesting

"Billy" <Anon@anon.com> wrote in message news:c70h8.9n4.19.1@news.alt.net...
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1160291912.797658.82870@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Sal M. Onella wrote:
> > "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> > news:g5agi2lukfvlaq21aqhd9b5pr4mddca6m2@4ax.com...
> >
> > < SNIP >
> >
> > > That's not dyslexia - it's your fingers and your brain not working
> > > together.
> >
> > I don't hear/perceive/recognize/distinguish/discriminate the dits and
dahs
> > correctly. I can learn that dit-dah-dah-dit is G (or whatever it is --
I
> am
> > not sure and I am certainly far, far beyond caring) and five seconds
later
> > not be able to distinguish it from dah-dit-dit-dah.
> >
> > What's the fix for it?
>
> well ADA says you and I should be allowed to take an acodated test with
> US choosing whatever accomodation we choose persnaly Id choose a code
> reader and would of course oviate the test all together. fairer still
> is stop dumbing down everyone in the service with test that greatest
> physists of the last century could not pass if they wanted to ham I
> refer to Einstain Hawkings and Dirac
> .
> The ADA says? So what? I suppose that you will next enlist their aid in
> getting the 5wpm requirement dropped so you can move on to HF. Heck, that
> way you can be a Zero wpm General.
> And if Steve Hawkings ever tried to apply for, and failed, a Ham license,
> please tell us when.
>
> Don't get rid of your CB, Mark.
>
>

5296. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 18:39:31 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <12iihd9cdratqff@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 5426
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Interesting

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:87dii29tgoa88irtsed7a2oq2tt4n9e3dk@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 03:58:05 -0500, "Billy" <Anon@anon.com> wrote:
>
> [quotes repaired]
> [piggybacking]
>
> >"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:1160291912.797658.82870@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> >>well ADA says you and I should be allowed to take an acodated test with
> >>US choosing whatever accomodation we choose
>
> No it doesn't. There's something in there about the test actually
> testing for the thing it tests for.
>
> Can you just imagine a totally blind from birth person "choosing", as
> a test for a job that requires color matching, a test to see if he can
> tell the difference between Braille labels on paintings? Or a "test"
> for someone who can't drive due to a disability consisting of testing
> his ability to hail a cab? A test for the ability to receive AND
> DECODE CW consists of your listening to, or seeing, *CW*! We don't
> test a translator's ability to translate French to English by giving
> him the English translation and seeing if he can "read" it.
>
> That makes as much sense as a man insisting that it's sex
> discrimination to not hire him to model women's clothing - something
> that's been thrown out of the courts so many times it has permanent
> skid marks. Sue the FCC if you like, but make sure the courtroom
> doors are open or you'll get hurt when they throw your case out so
> fast the splinters will fly.
>
> >> persnaly Id choose a code
> >>reader and would of course oviate the test all together. fairer still
> >>is stop dumbing down everyone in the service with test
>
> Fairer still is to accept that there are a number of ways to get on
> the air, one of them being to prove a certain very low level of CW
> proficiency. (5 wpm isn't even reading CW, it's "decoding" individual
> dots and dashes.) Would you accept a blind person's insistence that
> he be allowed to travel the same way that you do - by driving his own

> car? After all, he's disabled, so he can choose whatever test he
> likes to get his driver's license. How about a test listening to
> someone talk and seeing if he can hear the speech?
>
> >> that greatest
> >>physists of the last century could not pass if they wanted to ham I
> >>refer to Einstain Hawkings and Dirac
>
> What has being a physicist to do with being a ham? But I'll go along
> with that - in order for you to keep your license you can skip the CW
> portion of the test, as long as you produce a PhD in physics. Deal?
> (BTW, Einstein, Dirac and Hawking weren't/aren't physicists. They
> were/are all mathematicians, although that's questionable in
> Einstein's case.)

5297. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 18:40:10 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <12iihee1jkkhp1c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 5427
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Interesting

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:3scii2h5pbngasblg6n7agkfqhh97ove2h@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 21:24:32 -0700, "Sal M. Onella"
> <salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote:
>
> >I don't hear/perceive/recognize/distinguish/discriminate the dits and
dahs
> >correctly.
>
> You're not supposed to learn dits and dahs, any more than, in French
> class, you learn the letters of the alphabet. You're supposed to
> learn the words in CW. Anyone listening to the dits and dahs
> (dyslexic or not) will never gain any proficiency in CW.
>
> >What's the fix for it?
>
> Learn it by the sound, not by the individual element - the same way
> you'd learn any other language. See if a ham club near you has
> classes. If they do, see if the person teaching CW knows the
> difference between learning the dits and dahs and learning CW by
> sound. If s/he does, take the course.
>
> I started teaching myself CW (as a kid) by individual letters. After
> using it on the air for a year (we had very little choice in those
> days - Gonsets were too expensive for most of us, and there weren't
> too many other ways to get on 2 meters) I was listening to the
> content, not the dits and dahs. I still have to "hear" the sound in
> my head when someone posts dots and dashes in a Usenet post - I never
> learned to "read" "written CW".

5298. Re: Optoelectronics scout 40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 18:54:40 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <LrmdnV661Jf71LTYnZ2dnUVZ_u-dnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 5428

"Charles Bye" <killer88@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:452882DD.85CB5EAF@swbell.net...
> i have a scout 40 frequency recorder that will not power up after
> battery pack went dead even when wall charger is used. Wondering if any
> one out there can tell me where i can get an electrical schematic for
> this unit.
>
1. Wall charger is dead.

2. Rechargable batteries are shorted.

3. Someone put a curse on you.

One of the three.

HJ

5299. I SWALLOW HOG CUM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 19:26:58 UTC
From: LLOYD DAVIES <lardass@bucktoothchoad.com>
Message-ID: <egbje5$b7r$3@emma.aioe.org>
Source record: 5429
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

I AM A HOG
http://i12.tinypic.com/4hklyci.jpg

5300. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 19:30:43 UTC
From: Lloyd Morgan <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <8810917844.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 5430
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 08 Oct 2006 13:42:33 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote:
>
> What has being a physicist to do with being a ham? But I'll go along
> with that - in order for you to keep your license you can skip the CW
> portion of the test, as long as you produce a PhD in physics. Deal?
> (BTW, Einstein, Dirac and Hawking weren't/aren't physicists.
> They were/are all mathematicians

Wrong. Here's part of Hawking's bio: "In March 1959 Hawking took the scholarship examinations with the aim of studying natural sciences at Oxford. He was awarded a scholarship, despite feeling that he had performed badly, and at University College he specialised in physics in his natural sciences degree. He only just made a First Class degree in 1962 and in [1] he explains how the attitude of the time worked against him:

"The prevailing attitude at Oxford at that time was very anti-work. You were supposed to be brilliant without effort, or accept your limitations and get a fourth-class degree. To work hard to get a better class of degree was regarded as the mark of a grey man - the worst epithet in the Oxford vocabulary.

"From Oxford, Hawking moved to Cambridge to take up research in general relativity and cosmology, a difficult area for someone with only a little mathematical background."
(From http://www.gap-system.org/~history/Biographies/Hawking.html)

From http://archive.ncsa.uiuc.edu/Cyberia/NumRel/EinsteinLegacy.html:
"Einstein's college record as an unenthusiastic student is fairly well known. So, too, his independence and questioning of authority, all of which may have prevented him from landing an entry-level academic position once he graduated in 1900 with a degree in physics."

From http://nobelprize.org:
"In 1909 (Einstein) became Professor Extraordinary at Zurich, in 1911 Professor of Theoretical Physics at Prague, returning to Zurich in the following year to fill a similar post. In 1914 he was appointed Director of the Kaiser Wilhelm Physical Institute and Professor in the University of Berlin."

So both Hawking and Einstein were trained as physicists, and had the equivalent of a minor in mathematics. Only Dirac (originally an electrical engineer) had a major degree in mathematics.

5301. Re: FT: RS PRO-26 for Sony ICF-SC1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 20:26:07 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <z9dWg.10801$e66.1026@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 5431

So what if it is modifiable? There is nothing to hear in the cellular band nowadays. Are you still living in the 1980's? Take away that, and they are about the same. And what about the fact that it's NOT 20 years old like you said? Do your homework next time!

I could care less if nobody wants to trade. I already have a PRO-43 and BC780XLT.

"gray-beard" <gray-beard@tryandguess.org> wrote in message news:1_udnfNpupgZtrTYnZ2dnUVZ_sSdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> Of course it is modifiable. Cut one trace and add one jumper and it's
> done. Yes I have one. AM and Wide and Narrow FM all the way from 5-kHz to
> 1300-MHZ. 5-12.5-50 &100 kHz step sizes.
> Now why would anyone trade one of these for a limited Pro-26
> There is no comparison
>
> GB
>
> Frank wrote:
>> Do you know what you are talking about????
>>
>>
>> "gray-beard" <gray-beard@tryandguess.org> wrote in message
>> news:HuqdnRrwrdlLSbrYnZ2dnUVZ_vWdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>>
>>>Are you out of your mind?????
>>>Nobody will trade an easily modded, to be a true ALL BAND scanner, for a
>>>20 year old has been RatShack scanner.
>>>
>>>GB
>>>
>>>
>>>Frank wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>The PRO-26 is in excellent condition (25-1300 Mhz) with original rubber
>>>>duck antenna and copy of manual. Will trade for excellent condition Sony
>>>>SC1 or SC1PC. Must have manual.
>>>>
>>>>Frank
>
>
> --
> "There's no way to rule innocent men. The only power any government has
> is the power to crack down on criminals. Well, when there aren't enough

> criminals, one makes them. One declares so many things to be a crime that
> it becomes impossible to live without breaking laws."
>
> -- Ayn Rand, Atlas Shrugged

5302. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 21:57:50 UTC
From: Gerry <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
Message-ID: <jvKdnXWmR63I6bTYnZ2dnUVZ_rudnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 5432
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:3scii2h5pbngasblg6n7agkfqhh97ove2h@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 21:24:32 -0700, "Sal M. Onella"
> <salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote:
>
>>I don't hear/perceive/recognize/distinguish/discriminate the dits and dahs
>>correctly.
>
> You're not supposed to learn dits and dahs, any more than, in French
> class, you learn the letters of the alphabet. You're supposed to
> learn the words in CW. Anyone listening to the dits and dahs
> (dyslexic or not) will never gain any proficiency in CW.

Now if by "words" you mean the collection of dits and dahs that make up an individual letter, I totally agree with you. One should never resort to counting dits and dahs. However, IMHO, the next step to recognizing words (ie groups of letters) comes with time and a lot of experience. I would also agree that until one can copy "words" by sound, that there will be a certain speed limit that you will not get past: For me that wall has been around 20 wpm or so, depending on the quality of the sent code.

FWIW, I have a hell of a time copying code a slow character speeds: < 10wpm character speed. At this speed, I no longer hear the 'sound of the letter', only a bunch of dits and dahs. I find myself playing each collection of dits and dahs back in my head at a higher speed --- bizzare. I first learned slow code with farnsworth (21 wpm character speed) and longer inter character spaces to give the effective slower WPM rates. Increasing speed involved reducing the inter character space time.

5303. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 22:17:46 UTC
From: Gerry <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
Message-ID: <ZP6dnXKTY8li5bTYnZ2dnUVZ_smdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 5433
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:87dii29tgoa88irtsed7a2oq2tt4n9e3dk@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 03:58:05 -0500, "Billy" <Anon@anon.com> wrote:
>
> [quotes repaired]
> [piggybacking]
>
>>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:1160291912.797658.82870@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
>>>well ADA says you and I should be allowed to take an acodated test with
>>>US choosing whatever accomodation we choose
>
> No it doesn't. There's something in there about the test actually
> testing for the thing it tests for.
>
> Can you just imagine a totally blind from birth person "choosing", as
> a test for a job that requires color matching, a test to see if he can
> tell the difference between Braille labels on paintings? Or a "test"
> for someone who can't drive due to a disability consisting of testing
> his ability to hail a cab? A test for the ability to receive AND
> DECODE CW consists of your listening to, or seeing, *CW*! We don't
> test a translator's ability to translate French to English by giving
> him the English translation and seeing if he can "read" it.
>
> That makes as much sense as a man insisting that it's sex
> discrimination to not hire him to model women's clothing - something
> that's been thrown out of the courts so many times it has permanent
> skid marks. Sue the FCC if you like, but make sure the courtroom
> doors are open or you'll get hurt when they throw your case out so
> fast the splinters will fly.
>
>>> persnaly Id choose a code
>>>reader and would of course oviate the test all together. fairer still
>>>is stop dumbing down everyone in the service with test
>
> Fairer still is to accept that there are a number of ways to get on
> the air, one of them being to prove a certain very low level of CW
> proficiency. (5 wpm isn't even reading CW, it's "decoding" individual
> dots and dashes.) Would you accept a blind person's insistence that
> he be allowed to travel the same way that you do - by driving his own
> car? After all, he's disabled, so he can choose whatever test he
> likes to get his driver's license. How about a test listening to

> someone talk and seeing if he can hear the speech?
>

One could test using light rather than sound if the disability were auditory in nature. Or by feel --- with a vibrating device delivering the dits and dahs.

Part 97.509(k) The administering VEs must accommodate an examinee whose physical disabilities require a special examination procedure. The administering VEs may require a physician's certification indicating the nature of the disability before determining which, if any, special procedures must be used.

If one looks at the Basis and Purpose for the government licensing Amatures, I am not sure I see much justifcation for continuing the CW testing. I am a KNOW CODEer. It took me a LONG time to learn code. It was one of the hardest things I have had to learn. It is still hard for me: Although it is my preferred operating mode. I must like pain. I do see the requirement of learning code to be good for THE HOBBY: It introduces new hams to a fun part of the hobby they might not therwise find out about. Though I am not sure it is the role of the FCC to do what is best for THE HOBBY.

5304. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 22:34:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <uiuii2djnu142d646a05v0vnapdcn8qtum@4ax.com>
Source record: 5434
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 18:17:46 -0400, "Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
wrote:

>
>"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>news:87dii29tgoa88irtsed7a2oq2tt4n9e3dk@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 03:58:05 -0500, "Billy" <Anon@anon.com> wrote:
>>
>> [quotes repaired]
>> [piggybacking]
>>
>>>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>news:1160291912.797658.82870@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>>well ADA says you and I should be allowed to take an acodated test with
>>>>US choosing whatever accomodation we choose
>>
>> No it doesn't. There's something in there about the test actually
>> testing for the thing it tests for.
splinters will fly.
>>
>>>> persnaly Id choose a code
>>>>reader and would of course oviate the test all together. fairer still
>>>>is stop dumbing down everyone in the service with test
>>
>> Fairer still is to accept that there are a number of ways to get on
>> the air, one of them being to prove a certain very low level of CW
>> proficiency. (5 wpm isn't even reading CW, it's "decoding" individual
>> dots and dashes.) Would you accept a blind person's insistence that
>> he be allowed to travel the same way that you do - by driving his own
>> car? After all, he's disabled, so he can choose whatever test he
>> likes to get his driver's license. How about a test listening to
>> someone talk and seeing if he can hear the speech?
>>
>
>One could test using light rather than sound if the disability were auditory
>in nature. Or by feel --- with a vibrating device delivering the dits and
>dahs.
>
>Part 97.509(k) The administering VEs must accommodate an examinee whose
>physical disabilities require a special examination procedure. The
>administering VEs may require a physician's certification indicating the
>nature of the disability before determining which, if any, special

>procedures must be used.
>
>If one looks at the Basis and Purpose for the government licensing Amatures,
>I am not sure I see much justifcation for continuing the CW testing. I am a
>KNOW CODEer. It took me a LONG time to learn code. It was one of the
>hardest things I have had to learn. It is still hard for me: Although it is
>my preferred operating mode. I must like pain. I do see the requirement of
>learning code to be good for THE HOBBY: It introduces new hams to a fun part
>of the hobby they might not therwise find out about. Though I am not sure
>it is the role of the FCC to do what is best for THE HOBBY.

since you seem to be a mode to listen let me try Itis my understanding
and beliefnthat the Mandate legaly of the FCC is to serve the interest
of the PUBLIC in all of it regs (at least that is the thery)

Therfore in my If some one made the case that Code testing was good
for the ARS they have NOT finished the job for making it a requirement
Klien is found of throwing up red herrings (and it is begining to
realy stunk from that

the Facts is CW is not required to achive the stated pruposes of the
ARS

many Hams NEVER use it and many rarely do

the question the ProCoders avoid is how does code testing benifit the
PUBLIC at large?

if there answer it does not realy that it can not be shown to then the
question of wether it benifits Ham radio is moot and with the end of
the treaty requirement I cointend (and others) that constutituionaly
the FCC lacks the POWER to require it

when you add ADA which allows the disabled to choose thier accomdation
(the FCC section you cite is belived by LWAYERS to LEGALY invalid, all
it takes about 5K dollars to spare to prove it

if an ADA chalange went we would have people like efectively not
taking the test and yet demtrating that we HAVE some abilty to use the
mode I have quite a bit of on AIR CW work with computers (I engaged
for 2 reason to see how well it worked and to provide a an interactive
target for code practice to friend of hgoing for various level of
license surely even my worst detractors will grant if I can follow MY
typing translated to Morse Coded CW at a given speed you can pass a
test at the same speed)

as to machine morse in general I find I can decode with machine and
panographic signal display to alow to see the signal I can follow the
going of ARRL straigh key night fairly well, why isn't that enough if
there were a need for CW?
>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5305. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 23:31:44 UTC
From: Glenn <gdickso@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <ATfWg.10100$vJ2.5193@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 5435
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

Maybe he did or didn't, but I did....

The Professor wrote:
> You didn't forward shit you crybaby. LOL
>
> www.telstar-electronics.com
>
>
> "Don Bowey" <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:C1316300.43595%dbowey@comcast.net...
>
>>On 9/16/06 4:54 AM, in article eegols$1e24$1@registered.motzarella.org,
>>"Brian Griffey" <telstarelectronics@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>I build these in my basemant.
>>>
>>>www.telstar-electronics.com
>>>
>>>
>>
>>Thanks.
>>
>>Forwarded to FCC.
>>
>>
>
>
>

5306. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 23:58:13 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <12ij42noo080p97@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 5436
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Interesting

"Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp> wrote in message
news:jvKdnXWmR63I6bTYnZ2dnUVZ_rudnZ2d@giganews.com...
>
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:3scii2h5pbngasblg6n7agkfqhh97ove2h@4ax.com...
> > On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 21:24:32 -0700, "Sal M. Onella"
> > <salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote:
> >
> >>I don't hear/perceive/recognize/distinguish/discriminate the dits and
dahs
> >>correctly.
> >
> > You're not supposed to learn dits and dahs, any more than, in French
> > class, you learn the letters of the alphabet. You're supposed to
> > learn the words in CW. Anyone listening to the dits and dahs
> > (dyslexic or not) will never gain any proficiency in CW.
>
> Now if by "words" you mean the collection of dits and dahs that make up an
> individual letter, I totally agree with you. One should never resort to
> counting dits and dahs. However, IMHO, the next step to recognizing words
> (ie groups of letters) comes with time and a lot of experience. I would
> also agree that until one can copy "words" by sound, that there will be a
> certain speed limit that you will not get past: For me that wall has been
> around 20 wpm or so, depending on the quality of the sent code.
>
> FWIW, I have a hell of a time copying code a slow character speeds: <
10wpm
> character speed. At this speed, I no longer hear the 'sound of the
letter',
> only a bunch of dits and dahs. I find myself playing each collection of
> dits and dahs back in my head at a higher speed --- bizzare. I first
> learned slow code with farnsworth (21 wpm character speed) and longer
inter
> character spaces to give the effective slower WPM rates. Increasing speed
> involved reducing the inter character space time.
>
>

5307. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-08 23:58:54 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <12ij442sns4cc2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 5437
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Interesting

"Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp> wrote in message
news:ZP6dnXKTY8li5bTYnZ2dnUVZ_smdnZ2d@giganews.com...
>
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:87dii29tgoa88irtsed7a2oq2tt4n9e3dk@4ax.com...
> > On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 03:58:05 -0500, "Billy" <Anon@anon.com> wrote:
> >
> > [quotes repaired]
> > [piggybacking]
> >
> >>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >>news:1160291912.797658.82870@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >>>well ADA says you and I should be allowed to take an acodated test with
> >>>US choosing whatever accomodation we choose
> >
> > No it doesn't. There's something in there about the test actually
> > testing for the thing it tests for.
> >
> > Can you just imagine a totally blind from birth person "choosing", as
> > a test for a job that requires color matching, a test to see if he can
> > tell the difference between Braille labels on paintings? Or a "test"
> > for someone who can't drive due to a disability consisting of testing
> > his ability to hail a cab? A test for the ability to receive AND
> > DECODE CW consists of your listening to, or seeing, *CW*! We don't
> > test a translator's ability to translate French to English by giving
> > him the English translation and seeing if he can "read" it.
> >
> > That makes as much sense as a man insisting that it's sex
> > discrimination to not hire him to model women's clothing - something
> > that's been thrown out of the courts so many times it has permanent
> > skid marks. Sue the FCC if you like, but make sure the courtroom
> > doors are open or you'll get hurt when they throw your case out so
> > fast the splinters will fly.
> >
> >>> persnaly Id choose a code
> >>>reader and would of course oviate the test all together. fairer still
> >>>is stop dumbing down everyone in the service with test
> >
> > Fairer still is to accept that there are a number of ways to get on
> > the air, one of them being to prove a certain very low level of CW

> > proficiency. (5 wpm isn't even reading CW, it's "decoding" individual
> > dots and dashes.) Would you accept a blind person's insistence that
> > he be allowed to travel the same way that you do - by driving his own
> > car? After all, he's disabled, so he can choose whatever test he
> > likes to get his driver's license. How about a test listening to
> > someone talk and seeing if he can hear the speech?
> >
>
> One could test using light rather than sound if the disability were
auditory
> in nature. Or by feel --- with a vibrating device delivering the dits and
> dahs.
>
> Part 97.509(k) The administering VEs must accommodate an examinee whose
> physical disabilities require a special examination procedure. The
> administering VEs may require a physician's certification indicating the
> nature of the disability before determining which, if any, special
> procedures must be used.
>
> If one looks at the Basis and Purpose for the government licensing
Amatures,
> I am not sure I see much justifcation for continuing the CW testing. I am
a
> KNOW CODEer. It took me a LONG time to learn code. It was one of the
> hardest things I have had to learn. It is still hard for me: Although it
is
> my preferred operating mode. I must like pain. I do see the requirement
of
> learning code to be good for THE HOBBY: It introduces new hams to a fun
part
> of the hobby they might not therwise find out about. Though I am not sure
> it is the role of the FCC to do what is best for THE HOBBY.
>
>
>

5308. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-09 00:03:40 UTC
From: Gerry <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
Message-ID: <OeKdnRx0d_ZMDLTYnZ2dnUVZ_radnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 5438
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
news:uiuii2djnu142d646a05v0vnapdcn8qtum@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 18:17:46 -0400, "Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>>news:87dii29tgoa88irtsed7a2oq2tt4n9e3dk@4ax.com...
>>> On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 03:58:05 -0500, "Billy" <Anon@anon.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> [quotes repaired]
>>> [piggybacking]
>>>
>>>>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:1160291912.797658.82870@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>>>>well ADA says you and I should be allowed to take an acodated test with
>>>>>US choosing whatever accomodation we choose
>>>
>>> No it doesn't. There's something in there about the test actually
>>> testing for the thing it tests for.
> splinters will fly.
>>>
>>>>> persnaly Id choose a code
>>>>>reader and would of course oviate the test all together. fairer still
>>>>>is stop dumbing down everyone in the service with test
>>>
>>> Fairer still is to accept that there are a number of ways to get on
>>> the air, one of them being to prove a certain very low level of CW
>>> proficiency. (5 wpm isn't even reading CW, it's "decoding" individual
>>> dots and dashes.) Would you accept a blind person's insistence that
>>> he be allowed to travel the same way that you do - by driving his own
>>> car? After all, he's disabled, so he can choose whatever test he
>>> likes to get his driver's license. How about a test listening to
>>> someone talk and seeing if he can hear the speech?
>>>
>>
>>One could test using light rather than sound if the disability were
>>auditory
>>in nature. Or by feel --- with a vibrating device delivering the dits and
>>dahs.
>>
>>Part 97.509(k) The administering VEs must accommodate an examinee whose

>>physical disabilities require a special examination procedure. The
>>administering VEs may require a physician's certification indicating the
>>nature of the disability before determining which, if any, special
>>procedures must be used.
>>
>>If one looks at the Basis and Purpose for the government licensing
>>Amatures,
>>I am not sure I see much justifcation for continuing the CW testing. I am
>>a
>>KNOW CODEer. It took me a LONG time to learn code. It was one of the
>>hardest things I have had to learn. It is still hard for me: Although it
>>is
>>my preferred operating mode. I must like pain. I do see the requirement
>>of
>>learning code to be good for THE HOBBY: It introduces new hams to a fun
>>part
>>of the hobby they might not therwise find out about. Though I am not sure
>>it is the role of the FCC to do what is best for THE HOBBY.
>
> since you seem to be a mode to listen let me try Itis my understanding
> and beliefnthat the Mandate legaly of the FCC is to serve the interest
> of the PUBLIC in all of it regs (at least that is the thery)
>
> Therfore in my If some one made the case that Code testing was good
> for the ARS they have NOT finished the job for making it a requirement
> Klien is found of throwing up red herrings (and it is begining to
> realy stunk from that
>
> the Facts is CW is not required to achive the stated pruposes of the
> ARS

The stated purposes as put forth in Part 97 would seem to support that. In case you didn't notice, I am not saying the FCC should be requireing the CW test.

>
> many Hams NEVER use it and many rarely do

True. And many Hams use it. I was on 20 meters this evening and the CW sub band was wall to wall operators --- much more than on the phone subband --- not that means manything special.

>
> the question the ProCoders avoid is how does code testing benifit the
> PUBLIC at large?

I suspect you are being kind. I fear many want the code requirement so as to protect their vision of what Ham radio should be. I am not sure that requiring CW and not requiring proficiency in any of the digital modes makes any sense. Its the inconsistency that seems weird.

>
> when you add ADA which allows the disabled to choose thier accomdation
> (the FCC section you cite is belived by LWAYERS to LEGALY invalid, all
> it takes about 5K dollars to spare to prove it

If so, that is a shame.

>
> if an ADA chalange went we would have people like efectively not
> taking the test and yet demtrating that we HAVE some abilty to use the
> mode I have quite a bit of on AIR CW work with computers (I engaged
> for 2 reason to see how well it worked and to provide a an interactive
> target for code practice to friend of hgoing for various level of
> license surely even my worst detractors will grant if I can follow MY
> typing translated to Morse Coded CW at a given speed you can pass a
> test at the same speed)

I'm not sure I get your point. I can have someone read me a book (books on audio tape) , or I can read it myself. I prefer reading it myself. However, listening to an audio tape doesn't demonstrate that I can read.

>
> as to machine morse in general I find I can decode with machine and
> panographic signal display to alow to see the signal I can follow the
> going of ARRL straigh key night fairly well, why isn't that enough if
> there were a need for CW?

My CW skills are always a challenge. Yet I can usually copy (<20 wpm or so) better than most software programs .. especially in noisy conditions QRM or QRN.

Again, I am not sure I think CW should be a requirement. I think it is good for the HOBBY. I am not sure it is relevant to the service regulated by the FCC.

>>
>>
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

5309. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-09 00:37:26 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <aRgWg.8912$o71.8714@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5439
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

Glenn <gdickso@swbell.net> wrote in
news:ATfWg.10100$vJ2.5193@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com:

> Maybe he did or didn't, but I did....

You suffer from little radio syndrome? Ten-Four? Breaker, Breaker?

SC

5310. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-09 00:37:39 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <nRgWg.8921$o71.8812@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5440
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Billy" <CB@anon> wrote in news:c6l1a.5n4.17.1@news.alt.net:

>
> "Sal M. Onella" <salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote in message
> news:44%Vg.3705$gM1.117@fed1read12...
>
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:g5agi2lukfvlaq21aqhd9b5pr4mddca6m2@4ax.com...
>
> < SNIP >
>
>> That's not dyslexia - it's your fingers and your brain not working
>> together.
>
> I don't hear/perceive/recognize/distinguish/discriminate the dits and
> dahs correctly. I can learn that dit-dah-dah-dit is G (or whatever it
> is -- I am not sure and I am certainly far, far beyond caring) and five
> seconds later not be able to distinguish it from dah-dit-dit-dah.
>
> What's the fix for it?
>
>
> Don't sell your CB.

ROFL

SC

5311. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-09 00:37:43 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <rRgWg.8923$o71.3551@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5442
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
news:aD_Vg.16385$5o5.28@tornado.texas.rr.com:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:TqVVg.11329$UG4.11207@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> james <george@washington.edu> wrote in
>> news:f7afi2hs7dbp1hbjpthr8lrbu9hkm7vbqe@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 16:52:24 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>+++Neither the Code NOR Theory tests will weed out the bad radio
>>>>operator any +++more than a driving test will weed out the DUIs - OR -
>>>>the Physical agility, +++legal and other exams will weed out bad cops.
>>>>Those tests are only tests of +++"Proficiency" in the subject matter -
>>>>NOT - "Mentality". Those tests won't +++stop the trash.
>>>>+++But those who choose to not learn code will continue to bitch about
>>>>why they +++shouldn't. OK, DROP the code........Next you'll want the
>>>>"Theory" taken away +++too. Want to bet?
>>>>+++
>>>>+++Fred G.
>>> **********
>>>
>>> Fred
>>>
>>> The theory questions even the extra exam is not that difficult. A
>>> decent electronics tech with an AA degree can master that test. In
>>> fact the tests are so dummied down that just about anyone that has the
>>> ability read and comprehend can pass them with the minimal of
>>> coaching. So if you think so highly your "Theory" tests then I hate to
>>> burst your bubble.
>>>
>>> Why should proficiency in one means of communication hender operation
>>> in another? I tend to think that those that are very proficient with
>>> morse code tend to spend more of their time with that mode of
>>> communication. Why should there be a limitation on other modes that do
>>> not wish to use that mode? Most hams learn morse code and then forget
>>> it. I have no issue with showing proficiency in a means of
>>> communication to get priviledges. I have no issues with showing a
>>> proficiency with "theory" as a means of priviledges.

>>>
>>> The thing here is usage of the airwaves is a priviledge and not a
>>> right. So what ever the government cares to do in this avenue is fine
>>> with me. I will take advantage of what ever they offer me.
>>>
>>> james
>>
>>
>> You raise some good points Jim. That's why I've always proposed the
>> following licensing changes:
>>
>>
>> 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
>> pass all elements required for their license class.
>>
>> 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>>
>> 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>>
>> 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>>
>>
>>
>> SC
>
> Fuck the code only shit. Why should that be the only element? Why not
> make all amateurs retest in ALL modes, at all frequencies, et al. And
> what the hell, while we're at it, let's just go ahead and make it
> yearly. Don't let the old geezers off so easily. When a new mode is
> adopted, or allowed, make those bastards show proficiency there as well.
> That should just about do the ARS in. Why should you have it your way,
> SC?

It's hilarious watching you CB'ers whine about CW. Well don't worry, it
looks like the FCC is going to give you your dumbed down licenses.

SC

5312. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-09 00:37:46 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <uRgWg.8925$o71.3730@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5441
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

james <george@washington.edu> wrote in
news:o6dgi258e9r779ne18ah64ne5c5u00b699@4ax.com:

> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 21:59:15 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>+++james <george@washington.edu> wrote in
>>+++news:f7afi2hs7dbp1hbjpthr8lrbu9hkm7vbqe@4ax.com:
>>+++
>>+++> On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 16:52:24 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
>>+++> wrote:
>>+++>
>>+++>>+++Neither the Code NOR Theory tests will weed out the bad radio
>>+++>>operator any +++more than a driving test will weed out the DUIs -
>>OR - +++>>the Physical agility, +++legal and other exams will weed out
>>bad cops. +++>>Those tests are only tests of +++"Proficiency" in the
>>subject matter - +++>>NOT - "Mentality". Those tests won't +++stop the
>>trash. +++>>+++But those who choose to not learn code will continue to
>>bitch about +++>>why they +++shouldn't. OK, DROP the code.....Next
>>you'll want the +++>>"Theory" taken away +++too. Want to bet?
>>+++>>+++
>>+++>>+++Fred G.
>>+++> ********************
>>+++>
>>+++> Fred
>>+++>
>>+++> The theory questions even the extra exam is not that difficult. A
>>+++> decent electronics tech with an AA degree can master that test. In
>>+++> fact the tests are so dummied down that just about anyone that has
>>the +++> ability read and comprehend can pass them with the minimal of
>>+++> coaching. So if you think so highly your "Theory" tests then I hate
>>to +++> burst your bubble.
>>+++>
>>+++> Why should proficiency in one means of communication hender
>>operation +++> in another? I tend to think that those that are very
>>proficient with +++> morse code tend to spend more of their time with
>>that mode of +++> communication. Why should there be a limitation on
>>other modes that do +++> not wish to use that mode? Most hams learn
>>morse code and then forget +++> it. I have no issue with showing
>>proficiency in a means of +++> communication to get priviledges. I have
>>no issues with showing a +++> proficiency with "theory" as a means of
>>priviledges. +++>

>>+++> The thing here is usage of the airwaves is a priviledge and not a
>>+++> right. So what ever the government cares to do in this avenue is
>>fine +++> with me. I will take advantage of what ever they offer me.
>>+++>
>>+++> james
>>+++
>>+++
>>+++You raise some good points Jim. That's why I've always proposed the
>>+++following licensing changes:
>>+++
>>+++
>>+++1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
>>+++ pass all elements required for their license class.
>>+++
> **********
>
> Why retest knowledge? Not necessary unless your intention is to reduce
> the ranks of the amatuer radio service. Few if any government
> priviledges require constant retesting for renewal. Your thinking is
> not logical.Besides it increases the government and costs. The
> government would then be forced to charge for licensing.

Could you pass all the tests again today, or would you have to study a
little first? My arguement has always been for the quality of licensees,
not the quantity of them.

>>+++2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>>+++
> **********
>
> Again 70% is sufficient. Raising to the limit you suggest is more a
> weed out than anything else.

Requiring an 85% passing score means more study before taking the exams,
which translate to a better understanding of the material. My arguement
has always been for the quality of licensees, not the quantity of them.

>>+++3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>>+++
> **********
>
> The ITU no longer requires CW for any of its commercial services. Why
> do you stillinsist on such high levels. Again your motive appears to
> be more selective as to who may be granted a license.

Hams should be skillfull. Proficency at CW gives hams a way to
communicate, when no other way might be possible.

>>+++4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> Why? when this class already has very strong restrictive priviledges.
> This would not be the incentive to upgrade to a higher license. Some

> maybe satisfied with the priviledges of this class and making it a one
> year nonrenewable will not be the incentice to an upgrade path.

Requiring future study in order to continue to be licensed will make for more knowledgable hams. They will be assets. A national resource. My arguement has always been for the quality of licensees, not the quantity of them.

> Instead it will be another step in restricting any growth in the
> amature radiio service. Then again if you prefer dwindling numbers,
> go ahead. Radio and its associated costs can not compete with the
> internet. If I want to talk to some one in Australia it is now far
> easier to use IRC or other messaging programs in conjuction with the
> internet. If you intend to further restrict the amature service to
> nothing more than a "good ole boys club", then you yourself have
> become the problem.

Everyone is welcome in the "good-ole-boy" club if they're not lazy and are willing to learn. If not, there's always CB for them. My arguement has always been for the quality of licensees, not the quantity of them.

Having to work a little to get/gain something gives a little sense of pride in the accomplishment and you appreciate it and take care of it. It's valuable.

Getting handed something for nothing cheapens it. Don't cheapen ham radio. Rigs don't come with kill-filters to notch out bad ops and lids, so let's support policy that minimizes the number of lids on the bands.

SC

5313. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-09 00:40:09 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <si6ji29i10qpc8lnj8qe83882kef856um7@4ax.com>
Source record: 5443
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:37:39 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Billy" <CB@anon> wrote in news:c6l1a.5n4.17.1@news.alt.net:

>
>
>ROFL
what is funny?
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5314. troling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-09 00:47:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <307ji2hijsi7oe4g99ad1v52b3n0jbin4g@4ax.com>
Source record: 5444
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:37:26 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

troling right along
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5315. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-09 00:50:34 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160355034.027312.303950@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5445
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:

> Could you pass all the tests again today, or would you have to study a
> little first?

I could pass them all again, no study needed. And that goes for
written, Morse Code receiving and Morse Code sending.

Bring out any FCC amateur radio license test from Novice to Extra, from
any time since I first got my license in 1967, and I'll pass it. I'll
probably even be able to pass tests from long before I was licensed,
too.

Every so often I try an online practice exam, just to be sure. No
problem.

BUT

I don't see any reason to require routine retesting of hams who haven't
broken the rules. FCC trusts us to keep current - that's part of the
"conditions of grant".

FCC currently uses retesting as a sort of enforcement tool - if someone
acts like they don't know what the rules are, or if there is some doubt
as to whether a test session was on the up-and-up, FCC will sometimes
require a specific retest.

If you demand that all hams be retested, what you're telling FCC is
that we cannot be trusted to keep up with the rules. Is that a good
thing?

FCC doesn't require routine retesting of commercial licenses - why
should they require it of amateurs?

And once again: Are *you* willing to volunteer your time to help with
the restesting?

73 de Jim, N2EY

5316. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-09 01:23:00 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <12ij91nhkqh4g54@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 5446
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Contest!

"Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp> wrote in message
news:OeKdnRx0d_ZMDLTYnZ2dnUVZ_radnZ2d@giganews.com...
>
> <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
> news:uiuii2djnu142d646a05v0vnapdcn8qtum@4ax.com...
> > On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 18:17:46 -0400, "Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
> > wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> >>news:87dii29tgoa88irtsed7a2oq2tt4n9e3dk@4ax.com...
> >>> On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 03:58:05 -0500, "Billy" <Anon@anon.com> wrote:
> >>>
> >>> [quotes repaired]
> >>> [piggybacking]
> >>>
> >>>>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >>>>news:1160291912.797658.82870@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >>>
> >>>>well ADA says you and I should be allowed to take an acodated test
with
> >>>>US choosing whatever accomodation we choose
> >>>
> >>> No it doesn't. There's something in there about the test actually
> >>> testing for the thing it tests for.
> > splinters will fly.
> >>>
> >>>> persnaly Id choose a code
> >>>>reader and would of course oviate the test all together. fairer still
> >>>>is stop dumbing down everyone in the service with test
> >>>
> >>> Fairer still is to accept that there are a number of ways to get on
> >>> the air, one of them being to prove a certain very low level of CW
> >>> proficiency. (5 wpm isn't even reading CW, it's "decoding" individual
> >>> dots and dashes.) Would you accept a blind person's insistence that
> >>> he be allowed to travel the same way that you do - by driving his own
> >>> car? After all, he's disabled, so he can choose whatever test he
> >>> likes to get his driver's license. How about a test listening to
> >>> someone talk and seeing if he can hear the speech?
> >>>
> >>
> >>

> >>One could test using light rather than sound if the disability were
> >>auditory
> >>in nature. Or by feel --- with a vibrating device delivering the dits
and
> >>dahs.
> >>
> >>Part 97.509(k) The administering VEs must accommodate an examinee whose
> >>physical disabilities require a special examination procedure. The
> >>administering VEs may require a physician's certification indicating the
> >>nature of the disability before determining which, if any, special
> >>procedures must be used.
> >>
> >>If one looks at the Basis and Purpose for the government licensing
> >>Amatures,
> >>I am not sure I see much justifcation for continuing the CW testing. I
am
> >>a
> >>KNOW CODEer. It took me a LONG time to learn code. It was one of the
> >>hardest things I have had to learn. It is still hard for me: Although
it
> >>is
> >>my preferred operating mode. I must like pain. I do see the
requirement
> >>of
> >>learning code to be good for THE HOBBY: It introduces new hams to a fun
> >>part
> >>of the hobby they might not therwise find out about. Though I am not
sure
> >>it is the role of the FCC to do what is best for THE HOBBY.
> >
> > since you seem to be a mode to listen let me try Itis my understanding
> > and beliefnthat the Mandate legaly of the FCC is to serve the interest
> > of the PUBLIC in all of it regs (at least that is the thery)
> >
> > Therfore in my If some one made the case that Code testing was good
> > for the ARS they have NOT finished the job for making it a requirement
> > Klien is found of throwing up red herrings (and it is begining to
> > realy stunk from that
> >
> > the Facts is CW is not required to achive the stated pruposes of the
> > ARS
>
> The stated purposes as put forth in Part 97 would seem to support that.
In
> case you didn't notice, I am not saying the FCC should be requireing the
CW
> test.
>
> >
> > many Hams NEVER use it and many rarely do

>
> True. And many Hams use it. I was on 20 meters this evening and the CW
sub
> band was wall to wall operators --- much more than on the phone
subband ---
> not that means manything special.
>
> >
> > the question the ProCoders avoid is how does code testing benifit the
> > PUBLIC at large?
>
> I suspect you are being kind. I fear many want the code requirement so as
> to protect their vision of what Ham radio should be. I am not sure that
> requiring CW and not requiring proficiency in any of the digital modes
makes
> any sense. Its the inconsistency that seems weird.
>
> >
> > when you add ADA which allows the disabled to choose thier accomdation
> > (the FCC section you cite is belived by LWAYERS to LEGALY invalid, all
> > it takes about 5K dollars to spare to prove it
>
> If so, that is a shame.
>
> >
> > if an ADA chalange went we would have people like efectively not
> > taking the test and yet demtrating that we HAVE some abilty to use the
> > mode I have quite a bit of on AIR CW work with computers (I engaged
> > for 2 reason to see how well it worked and to provide a an interactive
> > target for code practice to friend of hgoing for various level of
> > license surely even my worst detractors will grant if I can follow MY
> > typing translated to Morse Coded CW at a given speed you can pass a
> > test at the same speed)
>
> I'm not sure I get your point. I can have someone read me a book (books
on
> audio tape) , or I can read it myself. I prefer reading it myself.
> However, listening to an audio tape doesn't demonstrate that I can read.
>
> >
> > as to machine morse in general I find I can decode with machine and
> > panographic signal display to alow to see the signal I can follow the
> > going of ARRL straigh key night fairly well, why isn't that enough if
> > there were a need for CW?
>
> My CW skills are always a challenge. Yet I can usually copy (<20 wpm or
so)
> better than most software programs .. especially in noisy conditions QRM
or
> QRN.

>
> Again, I am not sure I think CW should be a requirement. I think it is
good
> for the HOBBY. I am not sure it is relevant to the service regulated by
the
> FCC.
>
> >>
> >>
> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >
> > --
> > Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
> >
>
>

5317. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-09 01:35:07 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <8i9ji2legh0n2j22gjs0odnefgf7e26hgk@4ax.com>
Source record: 5447
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 18:17:46 -0400, "Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
wrote:

>One could test using light rather than sound if the disability were auditory
>in nature. Or by feel --- with a vibrating device delivering the dits and
>dahs.

But not, as requested, by using an electronic CW reader to test
whether the testee could read the resulting Latin alphabet display.

>If one looks at the Basis and Purpose for the government licensing Amatures,
>I am not sure I see much justifcation for continuing the CW testing.

Or theory - the only valid test is a practical one to demonstrate
knowledge of operation, which has never been given, and the laws. No
one builds any more, so knowledge of the circuits inside a radio isn't
really needed. Nice if you want it, but not needed to operate.

>Though I am not sure it is the role of the FCC to do what is best for THE HOBBY.

If you look at the FCC's entire justification for the hobby, you'll
see that CW wasn't an issue once AM was invented. But knowledge of
digital modes should be tested for now. If the justification for the
hobby has anything to do with anything.

5318. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-09 01:39:12 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <7t9ji25p2q4lcvko8c6sm75c0tffqs78ea@4ax.com>
Source record: 5448
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 20:03:40 -0400, "Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
wrote:

>My CW skills are always a challenge. Yet I can usually copy (<20 wpm or so)
>better than most software programs .. especially in noisy conditions QRM or
>QRN.

Now try when the signal is a few db UNDER the noise floor and you'll
see why CW is still a valid mode. (I'm sure that, as a CW operator,
you know what I mean.) How many db under the noise does a voice
signal have to be before it becomes just part of the noise? (I
believe the actual figure is 6db ABOVE the noise to be readable - that
gives CW an advantage of about 12db.)

5319. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-09 01:39:41 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <e2aji2p4uh9hur48k58sfqf10jtnceasb4@4ax.com>
Source record: 5449
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 14:38:49 -0400, "J. Mc Laughlin"
<jcm@power-net.net> wrote:

>Interesting

Not really. <plonk>

5320. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-09 01:46:57 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <d4aji2931pnunqv5oidb1sljjhqiii7e4i@4ax.com>
Source record: 5450
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 17:57:50 -0400, "Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
wrote:

>Now if by "words" you mean the collection of dits and dahs that make up an
>individual letter, I totally agree with you. One should never resort to
>counting dits and dahs. However, IMHO, the next step to recognizing words
>(ie groups of letters) comes with time and a lot of experience. I would
>also agree that until one can copy "words" by sound, that there will be a
>certain speed limit that you will not get past: For me that wall has been
>around 20 wpm or so, depending on the quality of the sent code.

That's about twice the normal wall. You may already be past it
without realizing it.

>FWIW, I have a hell of a time copying code a slow character speeds: < 10wpm
>character speed.

You remind me of when I took the CW test in Navy boot camp. My paper
consisted of Ts and Es. When the instructor challenged me, I told him
that I really couldn't copy anything under about 10, and I was more
comfortable around 30. (I was taught CW by W2RD, who could copy 65
with a pen and an ink well, and talk to you while he copied.) The
instructor took my challenge and cranked the TG34 (or Navy equivalent
- I can't recall any more) up to around 20. When he saw me yawning he
cranked it up to 30.

5 minutes of perfect copy later ...

But 1wpm (which is what they gave you after "teaching" you E, I, S, H,
T, M and O) was impossible for me. I couldn't hear the lengths of the
spaces well enough to tell where the letters ended.

5321. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-09 01:51:47 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <imaji2dqrbs0hkhdk95r34havd3b04dkka@4ax.com>
Source record: 5301
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 8 Oct 2006 17:50:34 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>FCC doesn't require routine retesting of commercial licenses

Well ... they used to require actual use for renewal, but with the
change to "apply and ye shall get" lifetime licensing, you can get a
GRL and keep it till you die without ever using it.

5322. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-09 02:16:47 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery28146@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <jiiWg.8969$o71.5173@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5302
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:imaji2dqrbs0hkhdk95r34havd3b04dkka@4ax.com...
> On 8 Oct 2006 17:50:34 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>>FCC doesn't require routine retesting of commercial licenses
>
> Well ... they used to require actual use for renewal, but with the
> change to "apply and ye shall get" lifetime licensing, you can get a
> GRL and keep it till you die without ever using it.

For about 90% of the things that used to require the license, you do not
even need one anymore.
Some companies may require you to have one on the false security that if you
have one , you know what you are doing. I had the First Class at age 22 and
I have never seen a comercial TV or Radio transmitter. That was over 30
years ago.

5323. Re: Optoelectronics scout 40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-09 02:19:20 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <6bcji2t4thcqhmmcriss3v5e6vj8ulkkgb@4ax.com>
Source record: 5303

On Sun, 08 Oct 2006 04:45:29 GMT, Charles Bye <killer88@swbell.net>
wrote:

>i have a scout 40 frequency recorder that will not power up after
>battery pack went dead even when wall charger is used. Wondering if any
>one out there can tell me where i can get an electrical schematic for
>this unit.

no optoelectronics tech info in private hands ! you'll have to send
it in or wing it , shouldn't be too difficult.

k

5324. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-09 02:58:08 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <laeji2tuutqsk42tjtvk5pe4a5haj21nkb@4ax.com>
Source record: 5304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code, who gives a crap what you think about the code. If you
spread as much hatred on the ham bands as you do on the newsgroups
then I would never want talk to you on the air. I'll bet you are a
repeater jammer or jam people on hf all the time. Anybody that does
and says the things you do on here is sure to be a jerk on the air. No
doubt about it. And by the way I am top posting again. Post your call
for all to see or are you too ashamed to. Your name should be No Balls
instead of No Code. 10-4?

On 8 Oct 2006 17:50:34 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Slow Code wrote:
>
>> Could you pass all the tests again today, or would you have to study a
>> little first?
>
>I could pass them all again, no study needed. And that goes for
>written, Morse Code receiving and Morse Code sending.
>
>Bring out any FCC amateur radio license test from Novice to Extra, from
>any time since I first got my license in 1967, and I'll pass it. I'll
>probably even be able to pass tests from long before I was licensed,
>too.
>
>Every so often I try an online practice exam, just to be sure. No
>problem.
>
>BUT
>
>I don't see any reason to require routine retesting of hams who haven't
>broken the rules. FCC trusts us to keep current - that's part of the
>"conditions of grant".
>
>FCC currently uses retesting as a sort of enforcement tool - if someone
>acts like they don't know what the rules are, or if there is some doubt
>as to whether a test session was on the up-and-up, FCC will sometimes
>require a specific retest.
>
>If you demand that all hams be retested, what you're telling FCC is
>that we cannot be trusted to keep up with the rules. Is that a good
>thing?
>
>FCC doesn't require routine retesting of commercial licenses - why

>should they require it of amateurs?
>
>And once again: Are *you* willing to volunteer your time to help with
>the restesting?
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

5325. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-09 04:14:12 UTC
From: "Sal M. Onella" <salmonella@food.poisoning.org>
Message-ID: <p0kWg.3755$gM1.3057@fed1read12>
Source record: 5305
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Billy" <CB@anon> wrote in message news:c6l1a.5n4.17.1@news.alt.net...
>
>
> Don't sell your CB.
>

Plonk

5326. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-09 04:32:13 UTC
From: "Sal M. Onella" <salmonella@food.poisoning.org>
Message-ID: <hhkWg.3757$gM1.2091@fed1read12>
Source record: 5306
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1160291912.797658.82870@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

> >
> > What's the fix for it?
>
> well ADA says you and I should be allowed to take an acodated test with
> US choosing whatever accomodation we choose persnaly Id choose a code
> reader and would of course oviate the test all together. fairer still
> is stop dumbing down everyone in the service with test that greatest
> physists of the last century could not pass if they wanted to ham I
> refer to Einstain Hawkings and Dirac

Nice to be included in their company.

I would have to prove (for the FCC) that I need special accommodation.
That's not in my plans, but thanks, anyway.
>

5327. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-09 04:56:37 UTC
From: "Sal M. Onella" <salmonella@food.poisoning.org>
Message-ID: <aEkWg.3758$gM1.2391@fed1read12>
Source record: 5307
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:3scii2h5pbngasblg6n7agkfqhh97ove2h@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 21:24:32 -0700, "Sal M. Onella"

> >What's the fix for it?
>
> Learn it by the sound, not by the individual element - the same way
> you'd learn any other language. See if a ham club near you has
> classes.

That's the method of Jerry Ziliac, who sends the code at 21 WPM, so that the dits and dahs become a single entity. That only worked for me for some of the characters. The only 4-element Morse I know for sure is <Q> DAH-DAH di-DAH from the mnemonic PAY-DAY to-DAY. All the rest are a jumble.
Sorry -- I failed at this enough times to know it's not hap'nin'.

5328. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-09 12:55:59 UTC
From: Gerry <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
Message-ID: <JuqdnQ6EcYlO27fYnZ2dnUVZ_vSdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 5308
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:8i9ji2legh0n2j22gjs0odnefgf7e26hgk@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 18:17:46 -0400, "Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
> wrote:
>

>
> Or theory - the only valid test is a practical one to demonstrate
> knowledge of operation, which has never been given, and the laws. No
> one builds any more,

While not as central to the hobby as it was in the 50's and 60's. There are a lot of builders out there. Elecraft have thousands of radios out there. I am sure the Norcal series radios number in the many of thousands. Personnaly I have e K1, K2, (KX1 on order) and a Norcal 40M in addition to an ICOM on KF.

> so knowledge of the circuits inside a radio isn't
> really needed. Nice if you want it, but not needed to operate.

Circuit knowledge hasn't been NECESSARY for decades. Most kit radios of the 50's (I build some Heathkits ,myself as a kid and helped my Dad with a few large projects) had excellent instructions.

.

5329. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-09 23:48:51 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <1452206.SEJ5tXt7gs@www.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 5309
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Gerry wrote:

>> so knowledge of the circuits inside a radio isn't
>> really needed. Nice if you want it, but not needed to operate.
>
> Circuit knowledge hasn't been NECESSARY for decades. Most kit radios of
> the 50's (I build some Heathkits ,myself as a kid and helped my Dad with a
> few large projects) had excellent instructions.

They had those well into the 1980's. man I really do miss those.

I have built more than my fair share of kit radios over the last couple of decades (its even more fun trying to build them by braille). its still an excellent learning experience (there is always going to come a time when being able to use a soldering iron will be helpful in repars).

5330. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-10 01:08:35 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <noCWg.12114$UG4.6143@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5310
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Sal M. Onella" <salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote in
news:aEkWg.3758$gM1.2391@fed1read12:

>
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:3scii2h5pbngasblg6n7agkfqhh97ove2h@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 21:24:32 -0700, "Sal M. Onella"
>
>> >What's the fix for it?
>>
>> Learn it by the sound, not by the individual element - the same way
>> you'd learn any other language. See if a ham club near you has
>> classes.
>
> That's the method of Jerry Ziliac, who sends the code at 21 WPM, so that
> the dits and dahs become a single entity. That only worked for me for
> some of the characters. The only 4-element Morse I know for sure is <Q>
> DAH-DAH di-DAH from the mnemonic PAY-DAY to-DAY. All the rest are a
> jumble.
> Sorry -- I failed at this enough times to know it's not hap'nin'.

Here's an idea.

Maybe if you relay your messages through Markie and he relays his
messages through you, the mistakes will cancel out and your messages
will make sense to the rest of us. Just an idea.

SC

5331. Hams just want to talk on microphones. They hate skill and everyone that's skillful

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-10 01:08:37 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <poCWg.12115$UG4.7630@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5313
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Once things start rolling downhill it's hard to stop it. Look what
happened to CB. You want that on ham radio?

SC

5332. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-10 01:08:38 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <qoCWg.12116$UG4.7435@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5311
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
news:1160355034.027312.303950@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

> Slow Code wrote:
>
>> Could you pass all the tests again today, or would you have to study a
>> little first?
>
> I could pass them all again, no study needed. And that goes for
> written, Morse Code receiving and Morse Code sending.
>
> Bring out any FCC amateur radio license test from Novice to Extra, from
> any time since I first got my license in 1967, and I'll pass it. I'll
> probably even be able to pass tests from long before I was licensed,
> too.
>
> Every so often I try an online practice exam, just to be sure. No
> problem.
>
> BUT
>
> I don't see any reason to require routine retesting of hams who haven't
> broken the rules. FCC trusts us to keep current - that's part of the
> "conditions of grant".
>
> FCC currently uses retesting as a sort of enforcement tool - if someone
> acts like they don't know what the rules are, or if there is some doubt
> as to whether a test session was on the up-and-up, FCC will sometimes
> require a specific retest.
>
> If you demand that all hams be retested, what you're telling FCC is
> that we cannot be trusted to keep up with the rules. Is that a good
> thing?
>
> FCC doesn't require routine retesting of commercial licenses - why
> should they require it of amateurs?

Everything has been dumbed down, not just ham licenses. We can't have
skills anymore in america, everyone has to be equal. That's what happens
when liberals get to make & change policy. Too many liberals in
government.

SC

5333. It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-10 01:08:41 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <toCWg.12118$UG4.1068@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5312
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't know each other language they still could have passed it by CW and the message could have been delivered to someone that could read it. Not no more.

When things start failing communication wise worldwide, amateur radio might be all there is to relay messages, and the ITU just removed one of the legs of a three leg stool.

SC

5334. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-10 01:08:42 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <uoCWg.12119$UG4.5665@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5314
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote in
news:1160392657.932021.251780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:

>
> Cecil Moore wrote:
>> Slow Code wrote:
>> > It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have everything over
>> > 30 MHz, but it's the code hams that are the one's moving ham radio
>> > forward and doing anything technical wise.
>>
>> If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
>> century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
>> hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
>> technical expertise.
>
> Uhhhhhhhh...Cecil...??!?!
>
> Did you actually make that up, or just quote someone else who is
> equally ill informed?
>
> Most of the people who were "moving forward" half a century ago
> were ALSO folks who were active on and well known in HF circles...
>
> Unless, of course, you're now going to take Lennie's spin and tell
> us that any / everything reported in QST, CQ, etc, was only
> "self-serviing rhetoric"...?!?!
>
> Try again.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Anyone that puts out an argument to keep the code requirement gets teased
by Cecil. He loves to throw out some goof-ball counter reply to try to
be-little the original argument.

SC

5335. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-10 01:30:17 UTC
From: Charlie <charlie@ease.biz>
Message-ID: <12ilttjeqqnov5a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 5315
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

No kidding "Chicken Little?"

Charlie-AD5TH (20wpm Extra Class-1995)
www.ad5th.com

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:toCWg.12118$UG4.1068@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't
> know each other language they still could have passed it by CW and the
> message could have been delivered to someone that could read it. Not no
> more.
>
> When things start failing communication wise worldwide, amateur radio
> might be all there is to relay messages, and the ITU just removed one of
> the legs of a three leg stool.
>
> SC
>

5336. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-10 01:37:23 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <5ltli21ct6tc09cjd11hm6u2162s90scdc@4ax.com>
Source record: 5316
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 9 Oct 2006 08:55:59 -0400, "Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
wrote:

>"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>news:8i9ji2legh0n2j22gjs0odnefgf7e26hgk@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 18:17:46 -0400, "Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
>> wrote:

>> Or theory - the only valid test is a practical one to demonstrate
>> knowledge of operation, which has never been given, and the laws. No
>> one builds any more,

>While not as central to the hobby as it was in the 50's and 60's. There are
>a lot of builders out there.

There are a lot of CW operators out there too. "A lot of ... out
there" doesn't seem to be the current criterion, does it? :)

Which is the point I was making - if we eliminate everything we don't
actually need for operation, the only things left are the laws and a
demonstration that we know how to flip the switch. Which would
probably suit the "let's eliminate the code entirely" crowd just fine.

>> so knowledge of the circuits inside a radio isn't
>> really needed. Nice if you want it, but not needed to operate.

>Circuit knowledge hasn't been NECESSARY for decades. Most kit radios of the
>50's (I build some Heathkits ,myself as a kid and helped my Dad with a few
>large projects) had excellent instructions.

But getting them to operate properly (except for that fiasco of a 2
meter transceiver they put out - the one that doubled as an aircraft
transmitter) took at least some little bit of knowledge. It was
really something that you could completely align a (then) modern color
TV set with nothing more than the parts in the kit (and you could
align it almost as well with them as you could with a shop full of
test equipment - I checked a few of them), but for most of the ham
gear you had to have some idea of how the circuits operated to get
them working really well. Not an engineering degree, but a little
more than the "theory" on the novice test.

(Granted, if a legally blind person could build a transmitter - K2TCF
built his Apache after he lost his visual acuity - and get it working
well, the Benton Harbor gang knew what they were doing.)

5337. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-10 01:46:31 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160444791.838619.317470@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5317
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> news:1160355034.027312.303950@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >
> >> Could you pass all the tests again today, or would you have to study a
> >> little first?
> >
> > I could pass them all again, no study needed. And that goes for
> > written, Morse Code receiving and Morse Code sending.
> >
> > Bring out any FCC amateur radio license test from Novice to Extra, from
> > any time since I first got my license in 1967, and I'll pass it. I'll
> > probably even be able to pass tests from long before I was licensed,
> > too.
> >
> > Every so often I try an online practice exam, just to be sure. No
> > problem.
> >
> > BUT
> >
> > I don't see any reason to require routine retesting of hams who haven't
> > broken the rules. FCC trusts us to keep current - that's part of the
> > "conditions of grant".
> >
> > FCC currently uses retesting as a sort of enforcement tool - if someone
> > acts like they don't know what the rules are, or if there is some doubt
> > as to whether a test session was on the up-and-up, FCC will sometimes
> > require a specific retest.
> >
> > If you demand that all hams be retested, what you're telling FCC is
> > that we cannot be trusted to keep up with the rules. Is that a good
> > thing?
> >
> > FCC doesn't require routine retesting of commercial licenses - why
> > should they require it of amateurs?
>
>
> Everything has been dumbed down, not just ham licenses.

I don't think so.

> We can't have
> skills anymore in america, everyone has to be equal.

Where do you get that idea?

Equality of opportunity, yes. That's not the same thing as equality of result.

>That's what happens
> when liberals get to make & change policy. Too many liberals in
> government.
>
Either you don't know your history, or you've got some weird definition of "liberal".

For example:

Were JFK and LBJ "liberals"? It was under their administrations that we got the rules changes known as "incentive licensing".

Were Ronald Reagan and George H. W. Bush "liberals"? It was under their administrations that we got:

- FCC exams replaced by VEC exams

- Openly published question pools

- End of Morse Code sending test

- General/Tech written test split in two parts so that Techs did not have same written as Generals

- Medical waivers for 13 and 20 wpm code tests (Bush "asked" FCC for this directly, as a favor to a King that was a ham)

- Technician lost its code test

Is George "Dubya" Bush a "liberal"? Under his administration, we got:

- FCC support for BPL

- ITU treaty changed to eliminate wording requiring code test.

- NPRM proposing complete elimination of code test

Now - are all those folks reducing the requirements "liberals"?

5338. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-10 01:58:01 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <tfvli2573lntom7vep0c11db0m2j0kvffe@4ax.com>
Source record: 5318
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dont top post. Blow Code hates that!

On Mon, 9 Oct 2006 20:30:17 -0500, "Charlie" <charlie@ease.biz> wrote:

>No kidding "Chicken Little?"
>
>Charlie-AD5TH (20wpm Extra Class-1995)
>www.ad5th.com
>
>
>
>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>news:toCWg.12118$UG4.1068@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't
>> know each other language they still could have passed it by CW and the
>> message could have been delivered to someone that could read it. Not no
>> more.
>>
>> When things start failing communication wise worldwide, amateur radio
>> might be all there is to relay messages, and the ITU just removed one of
>> the legs of a three leg stool.
>>
>> SC
>>
>

5339. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-10 02:01:01 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <2ivli2hbs8si0m5ndn1sidvc9f1uv8ds14@4ax.com>
Source record: 5319
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Hey Blow Code. You are such a proud amateur radio operator give us
your call sign so we can give you the proper reconition you deserve.

On 9 Oct 2006 18:46:31 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>
>Slow Code wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>> news:1160355034.027312.303950@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >
>> >> Could you pass all the tests again today, or would you have to study a
>> >> little first?
>> >
>> > I could pass them all again, no study needed. And that goes for
>> > written, Morse Code receiving and Morse Code sending.
>> >
>> > Bring out any FCC amateur radio license test from Novice to Extra, from
>> > any time since I first got my license in 1967, and I'll pass it. I'll
>> > probably even be able to pass tests from long before I was licensed,
>> > too.
>> >
>> > Every so often I try an online practice exam, just to be sure. No
>> > problem.
>> >
>> > BUT
>> >
>> > I don't see any reason to require routine retesting of hams who haven't
>> > broken the rules. FCC trusts us to keep current - that's part of the
>> > "conditions of grant".
>> >
>> > FCC currently uses retesting as a sort of enforcement tool - if someone
>> > acts like they don't know what the rules are, or if there is some doubt
>> > as to whether a test session was on the up-and-up, FCC will sometimes
>> > require a specific retest.
>> >
>> > If you demand that all hams be retested, what you're telling FCC is
>> > that we cannot be trusted to keep up with the rules. Is that a good
>> > thing?
>> >
>> > FCC doesn't require routine retesting of commercial licenses - why
>> > should they require it of amateurs?
```

>>
>>
>> Everything has been dumbed down, not just ham licenses.
>
>I don't think so.
>
>> We can't have
>> skills anymore in america, everyone has to be equal.
>
>Where do you get that idea?
>
>Equality of opportunity, yes. That's not the same thing as equality of
>result.
>
>>That's what happens
>> when liberals get to make & change policy. Too many liberals in
>> government.
>>
>Either you don't know your history, or you've got some weird definition
>of "liberal".
>
>For example:
>
>Were JFK and LBJ "liberals"? It was under their administrations that we
>got the rules changes known as "incentive licensing".
>
>Were Ronald Reagan and George H. W. Bush "liberals"? It was under their
>administrations that we got:
>
>- FCC exams replaced by VEC exams
>
>- Openly published question pools
>
>- End of Morse Code sending test
>
>- General/Tech written test split in two parts so that Techs did not
>have same written as Generals
>
>- Medical waivers for 13 and 20 wpm code tests (Bush "asked" FCC for
>this directly, as a favor to a King that was a ham)
>
>- Technician lost its code test
>
>Is George "Dubya" Bush a "liberal"? Under his administration, we got:
>
>- FCC support for BPL
>
>- ITU treaty changed to eliminate wording requiring code test.
>
>- NPRM proposing complete elimination of code test

>
>Now - are all those folks reducing the requirements "liberals"?

5340. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-10 02:02:17 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <iovli2lg2oiscbvcg0ej0eq723cpithf94@4ax.com>
Source record: 5320
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote in
>news:1160392657.932021.251780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Cecil Moore wrote:
>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> > It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have everything over
>>> > 30 MHz, but it's the code hams that are the one's moving ham radio
>>> > forward and doing anything technical wise.
>>>
>>> If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
>>> century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
>>> hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
>>> technical expertise.
>>
>> Uhhhhhhhh...Cecil...??!?!
>>
>> Did you actually make that up, or just quote someone else who is
>> equally ill informed?
>>
>> Most of the people who were "moving forward" half a century ago
>> were ALSO folks who were active on and well known in HF circles...
>>
>> Unless, of course, you're now going to take Lennie's spin and tell
>> us that any / everything reported in QST, CQ, etc, was only
>> "self-serviing rhetoric"...?!?!
>>
>> Try again.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>
>Anyone that puts out an argument to keep the code requirement gets teased
>by Cecil. He loves to throw out some goof-ball counter reply to try to
>be-little the original argument.

Pot, meet kettle.
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

5341. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-10 03:19:30 UTC
From: Charlie <charlie@ease.biz>
Message-ID: <12im4ad35ign6ab@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 5321
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

What do you mean don't top post???eh??

And why does anyone care anything about some twit named blowcode??

Charlie-AD5TH (20wpm ExtraClass-1995)
www.ad5th.com

"john" <john@nospam.net> wrote in message
news:tfvli2573lntom7vep0c11db0m2j0kvffe@4ax.com...
> Dont top post. Blow Code hates that!
>
>
>
> On Mon, 9 Oct 2006 20:30:17 -0500, "Charlie" <charlie@ease.biz> wrote:
>
>>No kidding "Chicken Little?"
>>
>>Charlie-AD5TH (20wpm Extra Class-1995)
>>www.ad5th.com
>>
>>
>>
>>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>>news:toCWg.12118$UG4.1068@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't
>>> know each other language they still could have passed it by CW and the
>>> message could have been delivered to someone that could read it. Not no
>>> more.
>>>
>>> When things start failing communication wise worldwide, amateur radio
>>> might be all there is to relay messages, and the ITU just removed one of
>>> the legs of a three leg stool.
>>>
>>> SC
>>>
>>
>
>

5342. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-10 05:24:27 UTC
From: slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160457866.917597.260360@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5322
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Charlie wrote:
> What do you mean don't top post???eh??
>
> And why does anyone care anything about some twit named blowcode??
>
> Charlie-AD5TH (20wpm ExtraClass-1995)
> www.ad5th.com
>
> "john" <john@nospam.net> wrote in message
> news:tfvli2573lntom7vep0c11db0m2j0kvffe@4ax.com...
> > Dont top post. Blow Code hates that!
> >
> >
> >
> > On Mon, 9 Oct 2006 20:30:17 -0500, "Charlie" <charlie@ease.biz> wrote:
> >
> >>No kidding "Chicken Little?"
> >>
> >>Charlie-AD5TH (20wpm Extra Class-1995)
> >>www.ad5th.com
> >>
> >>
> >>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> >>news:toCWg.12118$UG4.1068@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >>> If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't
> >>> know each other language they still could have passed it by CW and the
> >>> message could have been delivered to someone that could read it. Not no
> >>> more.
> >>>
> >>> When things start failing communication wise worldwide, amateur radio
> >>> might be all there is to relay messages, and the ITU just removed one of
> >>> the legs of a three leg stool.
> >>>
> >>> SC
> >>>
> >>
> >
> >

Charlie, R U into mutual jacking on ATV??

5343. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-10 12:00:50 UTC
From: Gerry <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
Message-ID: <pdqdnf4vZKnuFrbYnZ2dnUVZ_umdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 5323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:toCWg.12118$UG4.1068@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't
> know each other language they still could have passed it by CW and the
> message could have been delivered to someone that could read it. Not no
> more.
>
> When things start failing communication wise worldwide, amateur radio
> might be all there is to relay messages, and the ITU just removed one of
> the legs of a three leg stool.

The pro-code arguments seem to be getting sillier and sillier.

I am a know-coder. I enjoy it. CW is my primary mode. I encourage others to
join in. I do not believe for one minute that CW is going to save the
world. I am not sure the FCC should be requiring it while not testing for
the various digital modes or message handling - seems inconsistent

>
> SC

5344. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-10 12:37:52 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <8l4ni21sgs8gb8pj9m3m741u2asa2kcfqv@4ax.com>
Source record: 5324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 08:00:50 -0400, "Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
wrote:

>
>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>news:toCWg.12118$UG4.1068@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't
>> know each other language they still could have passed it by CW and the
>> message could have been delivered to someone that could read it. Not no
>> more.
>>
>> When things start failing communication wise worldwide, amateur radio
>> might be all there is to relay messages, and the ITU just removed one of
>> the legs of a three leg stool.
>
>The pro-code arguments seem to be getting sillier and sillier.
>
>I am a know-coder. I enjoy it. CW is my primary mode. I encourage others to
>join in. I do not believe for one minute that CW is going to save the
>world. I am not sure the FCC should be requiring it while not testing for
>the various digital modes or message handling - seems inconsistent
>
>
>>
>> SC
>
Jerry, I am a 20 wpm extra and I used to agree with some of the things
Slow Code was saying BUT, after all the BS he has created on the
newsgroups I am starting to change my opinion. His constant bullcrap
on here makes me sick. Its ok to state your opinion and move on but he
has a real problem. I mean posting every day in rec.radio.swap for
Christ sake. For someone so worried about people doing the right thing
he is the worst example.

5345. Optocom with Bitbanger - IBM 390E

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-10 13:11:31 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <kr6ni2l4ek2o3etauiknaq0blid4tt1p0u@4ax.com>
Source record: 5325

Optocom with Bitbanger

IBM 390E with a ton of Optocom WORKING software

$650 or might trade , looking for 10 meter stuff at the moment.

tommyboysUNDERSCOREads@verizon.net

5346. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-10 14:43:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <hbcni2lfujph3f6abtcg189ruchr50j04f@4ax.com>
Source record: 5326
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:37 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Once things start rolling downhill it's hard to stop it. Look what
>happened to CB. You want that on ham radio?

why do you sexaul stalk men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5347. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-10 14:43:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <3ccni296132qbs1vg2bvmjags88bpsp5sm@4ax.com>
Source record: 5327
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:41 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

from your point of view it was but it is done and now you have learn
to deal with result
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5348. Thomasville police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-10 16:19:58 UTC
From: axlerod <williamd@northstate.net>
Message-ID: <1160497198.117910.104050@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5328

Does any one know what the trunked freqs are for Thomasville n.c. I think they might have changed, thanks Bill

5349. Thomasville police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-10 16:20:39 UTC
From: axlerod <williamd@northstate.net>
Message-ID: <1160497239.487327.326470@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5329

Does any one know what the trunked freqs are for Thomasville n.c. I think they might have changed, thanks Bill

5350. Entering Military 140mhz trunking freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-10 17:45:46 UTC
From: MikeG <michael.grossman1@navy.mil>
Message-ID: <1160502346.617331.268920@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5330

Can anyone tell me how to enter this system into my BC-296D? Or does
anyone have a better set of freqs. for Panama City?

Thanks

Naval Coastal Systems Center System

US > Florida > Bay County System Information Last Updated on
02-13-2005 00:57

System Name: Naval Coastal Systems Center
Location: Panama City, FL
County: Bay
System Type: Motorola Type II Smartnet
System Voice: Analog
Sysid: 552C
CT: 138.46
Last Updated: Updated General System Info
Hits: 979

Custom Frequency Table

1
Base: 136.0000
Spacing: 25.0
Offset: 380

System Frequencies

Red* are Primary Control Channels, Blue* are alternate control channels

Site Description Freqs
001 Primary 140.50000* 140.62500* 141.00000* 141.97500* 142.75000

5351. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-10 19:21:02 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160508061.938331.76400@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5331
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote in
> news:1160392657.932021.251780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>

> Anyone that puts out an argument to keep the code requirement gets teased
> by Cecil. He loves to throw out some goof-ball counter reply to try to
> be-little the original argument.
if you want to stop ham radio being dumbed down you need to turn in
your license and BAN the use of CW which has made mindless wrecks of
people like yourself I presonal don't advocate that course of action
but it is the way stop the dumbing down of radio
>
> SC

5352. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-10 19:22:21 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160508141.853841.48250@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5332
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 21:24:49 -0700, "Sal M. Onella"
> <salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote:
**
> Since CW is more a verbal skill than a written skill, dyslexia
> shouldn't affect it much (although I don't know of any studies done on
> the subject - it's just a seat-of-the-pants guess).

you have Dyslexics testfing you are worng and you are still presuming
to gues

5353. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-10 19:26:39 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160508399.657770.170950@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5333
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On Mon, 9 Oct 2006 08:55:59 -0400, "Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
> wrote:
>
> >"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> >news:8i9ji2legh0n2j22gjs0odnefgf7e26hgk@4ax.com...
> >> On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 18:17:46 -0400, "Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
> >> wrote:
>
> >> Or theory - the only valid test is a practical one to demonstrate
> >> knowledge of operation, which has never been given, and the laws. No
> >> one builds any more,
>
> >While not as central to the hobby as it was in the 50's and 60's. There are
> >a lot of builders out there.
>
> There are a lot of CW operators out there too. "A lot of ... out
> there" doesn't seem to be the current criterion, does it? :)
>
> Which is the point I was making - if we eliminate everything we don't
> actually need for operation, the only things left are the laws and a
> demonstration that we know how to flip the switch. Which would
> probably suit the "let's eliminate the code entirely" crowd just fine.
>
given the fact nothing in the written is go or nogo I don't meind it
being there although a great deal of the stuff their realy needs to go
(why does anything need to memeroze that frequency with in FS ATV that
is Black (or what ever color) there is NO need to know this at all
even if you are operating the mode

yes I would pare alot of stuff away from the current written and add
other stuff and certain reargange twhat is tested at what in the
licenseing structure (I would pull from the tech pool all the question
of skip since it is a minor factor at VHF and up ptu these question in
the genral pool

5354. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-10 20:13:14 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <3jvni2p5nu0ophc4sst2vsli8och0eqdc9@4ax.com>
Source record: 5334
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 08:00:50 -0400, "Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
wrote:

>world. I am not sure the FCC should be requiring it while not testing for
>the various digital modes or message handling - seems inconsistent

It's consistent with dropping requirements all around. Broadcast
stations no longer need licensed personnel on duty (that's been the
case for a few decades now), you can repair two-way radios without
being licensed and you can operate on the ham bands without having to
really pass any test.

5355. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-10 20:14:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <vlvni2pc515nu6d87v520tmiq569c500gt@4ax.com>
Source record: 5335
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 16:13:14 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 08:00:50 -0400, "Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
>wrote:
>
>>world. I am not sure the FCC should be requiring it while not testing for
>>the various digital modes or message handling - seems inconsistent
>
>It's consistent with dropping requirements all around. Broadcast
>stations no longer need licensed personnel on duty (that's been the
>case for a few decades now), you can repair two-way radios without
>being licensed and you can operate on the ham bands without having to
>really pass any test.
funny I seem to recall taking a test and my wife took one a few months
ago for her license

of course you still can't tell me why it is important to know wether a
given circut is a colp[itts or hartley occilator

till you can explain what value knowing the name has you can begin to
convince me that you went a through a test as realavant as mine
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5356. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-10 22:37:42 UTC
From: Glen Overby <coreSPAMsample@charter.net>
Message-ID: <mujtv3-8cr.ln1@monolith.nodomain>
Source record: 5337
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>till you can explain what value knowing the name has you can begin to
>convince me that you went a through a test as realavant as mine

The licensing hierarchy (and the morse code debate) isn't about value, it's about status. It's about having a chip on your shoulder that says "I'm better than you".

5357. Re: (THIS IS SO REAL PEOPLE) IM ASKING FOR DONATIONS FOR HEART SURGERY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-10 23:03:25 UTC
From: Sanjaya <sanjaya_49@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <1FVWg.7661$Y24.6167@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5336
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"mike" <bphtzywr@bngrxwuq.com> wrote in message
news:452bc610$0$2995$ec3e2dad@news.usenetmonster.com...
> hello i always figured this would never happen to me but my heart is having serious
issuses do to a birth defect (i was born with a hole in my heart)and need money to have the
surgery
to get the hole fix ...

[snip]

While he's at it, have the doctor fix the hole in your HEAD.

5358. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-10 23:13:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <g7aoi2h8oct2c8srdb9ao7ttt8icvdtffs@4ax.com>
Source record: 5338
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 17:37:42 -0500, Glen Overby
<coreSPAMsample@charter.net> wrote:

> <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>>till you can explain what value knowing the name has you can begin to
>>convince me that you went a through a test as realavant as mine
>
>The licensing hierarchy (and the morse code debate) isn't about value, it's
>about status. It's about having a chip on your shoulder that says "I'm better
>than you".
well the procoders like to rpetend it is about value
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5359. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-10 23:31:09 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <i9boi29nc7aib02ra5irmifdttmmrne1a4@4ax.com>
Source record: 5339
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 16:30:54 -0700, Diamond Dave
<sjester911@yahoo.com> wrote:

>On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 17:37:42 -0500, Glen Overby <coreSPAMsample@charter.net>
>wrote:
>> <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>>>till you can explain what value knowing the name has you can begin to
>>>convince me that you went a through a test as realavant as mine
>>
>>The licensing hierarchy (and the morse code debate) isn't about value, it's
>>about status. It's about having a chip on your shoulder that says "I'm better
>>than you".
>
>Good for you! It's not often that someone would come right out and admit that a
>radop that can copy high speed CW is better than himself, but you did.
>Congrats!
funny he said no such thing
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5360. Re: (THIS IS SO REAL PEOPLE) IM ASKING FOR DONATIONS FOR HEART SURGERY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-11 00:29:52 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160526592.138394.33580@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5340
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I need donations for my penis reduction.I was born with a huge schloong
and it's very difficult to carry around in my pants. Please help me
with my pain. I need this reduction operation now.

Sanjaya wrote:
> "mike" <bphtzywr@bngrxwuq.com> wrote in message
> news:452bc610$0$2995$ec3e2dad@news.usenetmonster.com...
> > hello i always figured this would never happen to me but my heart is having serious
> issuses do to a birth defect (i was born with a hole in my heart)and need money to have
the surgery
> to get the hole fix ...
>
> [snip]
>
> While he's at it, have the doctor fix the hole in your HEAD.

5361. Re: IM ASKING FOR DONATIONS FOR HEART SURGERY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-11 00:31:41 UTC
From: "Bill B." <w.buckley8@verizon.delete.net>
Message-ID: <NXWWg.5715$ms1.3492@trndny05>
Source record: 5341
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster

I'd rather donate for a coffin :-p

"mike" <mcollins7373@msn.com> wrote in message
news:452ba866$0$4677$ec3e2dad@news.usenetmonster.com...
> hello i always figured this would never happen to me but my
heart is having serious issuses do to a birth defect (i was born with a hole
in my heart)and need money to have the surgery to get the hole fix so i am
asking for donations to help with the cost since i dont have insurance so if
you have a paypal account please look into your heart and donate a dollar or
two my paypal emial is mcollins7373@msn.com i need around 20,000 dollars usd
to have the surgery done. This is my last resort for money i have tried
goverment agencies family and friends and to my dissapointment nothing
happened SO PLEASE I NEED HELP thank you so much mike collins
>
>
>
>
> uW18P>^&K2[o%09E*`x[9K%bwh'bt/QIr8!Vk
MwoA)75ElpSj?Z[U`)tK`%OLP#m2qLF<MuIR5q.lYC;M:1Lc>: aQcG]SjrTf$

5362. Re: IM ASKING FOR DONATIONS FOR HEART SURGERY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-11 00:37:55 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160527075.166368.227420@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster

I have this hole in my ass. It's been there since birth. I can keep
shit from coming out of it. Please help. Send me your money now, so I
can fix it.
Bill B. wrote:
> I'd rather donate for a coffin :-p
>
>
> "mike" <mcollins7373@msn.com> wrote in message
> news:452ba866$0$4677$ec3e2dad@news.usenetmonster.com...
> > hello i always figured this would never happen to me but my
> heart is having serious issuses do to a birth defect (i was born with a hole
> in my heart)and need money to have the surgery to get the hole fix so i am
> asking for donations to help with the cost since i dont have insurance so if
> you have a paypal account please look into your heart and donate a dollar or
> two my paypal emial is mcollins7373@msn.com i need around 20,000 dollars usd
> to have the surgery done. This is my last resort for money i have tried
> goverment agencies family and friends and to my dissapointment nothing
> happened SO PLEASE I NEED HELP thank you so much mike collins
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > uW18P>^&K2[o%09E*`x[9K%bwh'bt/QIr8!Vk
> MwoA)75ElpSj?Z[U`)tK`%OLP#m2qLF<MuIR5q.lYC;M:1Lc>: aQcG]SjrTf$

5363. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-11 00:44:32 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Q7XWg.9921$o71.3844@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5343
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
news:2ivli2hbs8si0m5ndn1sidvc9f1uv8ds14@4ax.com:

> Hey Blow Code. You are such a proud amateur radio operator give us
> your call sign so we can give you the proper reconition you deserve.

I serve the ham community with selfless determination to try to save its
members from their complacent attitudes. I need no recognition. I'm a
humble servant. I seek no glory.

God bless america

Amen

SC

5364. Re: Hams just want to talk on microphones. They hate skill and everyone that's skillful

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-11 00:44:32 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Q7XWg.9920$o71.7108@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5345
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Rich <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in
news:8ruli2pgdnkrr9gh70fpl9i5sn904va0b6@4ax.com:

> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:37 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>Once things start rolling downhill it's hard to stop it. Look what
>>happened to CB. You want that on ham radio?
>>
>>
>>SC
>
> you apparently aren't familiar with what's been going on down on
> 75/80m and also on 20m. read the fcc actions in most any ham magazine
> and you'll see that by and large the offenders are general class
> licensees and above.
>
> now, of course, not all, not even most, generals, advanced and extra
> class ticket holders act cause problems. heck, not even most hams.
> but i still don't see how doing ANY of the things you suggest will do
> anything EXCEPT thin the ranks which, in my mind, will do nothing but
> cause our service to become extinct.

And dumbing down the exams will make us irrelevant.

SC

5365. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-11 00:44:34 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <S7XWg.9922$o71.5786@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
news:1160444791.838619.317470@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>> news:1160355034.027312.303950@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >
>> >> Could you pass all the tests again today, or would you have to study
>> >> a little first?
>> >
>> > I could pass them all again, no study needed. And that goes for
>> > written, Morse Code receiving and Morse Code sending.
>> >
>> > Bring out any FCC amateur radio license test from Novice to Extra,
>> > from any time since I first got my license in 1967, and I'll pass it.
>> > I'll probably even be able to pass tests from long before I was
>> > licensed, too.
>> >
>> > Every so often I try an online practice exam, just to be sure. No
>> > problem.
>> >
>> > BUT
>> >
>> > I don't see any reason to require routine retesting of hams who
>> > haven't broken the rules. FCC trusts us to keep current - that's part
>> > of the "conditions of grant".
>> >
>> > FCC currently uses retesting as a sort of enforcement tool - if
>> > someone acts like they don't know what the rules are, or if there is
>> > some doubt as to whether a test session was on the up-and-up, FCC
>> > will sometimes require a specific retest.
>> >
>> > If you demand that all hams be retested, what you're telling FCC is
>> > that we cannot be trusted to keep up with the rules. Is that a good
>> > thing?
>> >
>> > FCC doesn't require routine retesting of commercial licenses - why
>> > should they require it of amateurs?
>>

>>
>> Everything has been dumbed down, not just ham licenses.
>
> I don't think so.
>
>> We can't have
>> skills anymore in america, everyone has to be equal.
>
> Where do you get that idea?
>
> Equality of opportunity, yes. That's not the same thing as equality of
> result.
>
>>That's what happens
>> when liberals get to make & change policy. Too many liberals in
>> government.
>>
> Either you don't know your history, or you've got some weird definition
> of "liberal".
>
> For example:
>
> Were JFK and LBJ "liberals"? It was under their administrations that we
> got the rules changes known as "incentive licensing".
>
> Were Ronald Reagan and George H. W. Bush "liberals"? It was under their
> administrations that we got:
>
> - FCC exams replaced by VEC exams
>
> - Openly published question pools
>
> - End of Morse Code sending test
>
> - General/Tech written test split in two parts so that Techs did not
> have same written as Generals
>
> - Medical waivers for 13 and 20 wpm code tests (Bush "asked" FCC for
> this directly, as a favor to a King that was a ham)
>
> - Technician lost its code test
>
> Is George "Dubya" Bush a "liberal"? Under his administration, we got:
>
> - FCC support for BPL
>
> - ITU treaty changed to eliminate wording requiring code test.
>
> - NPRM proposing complete elimination of code test
>

> Now - are all those folks reducing the requirements "liberals"?

It's the state of that nation. Everyone's got to have their handout.
Outcome based licensing. Can't have both quality and quantity anymore.

SC

5366. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-11 00:44:42 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <_7XWg.9927$o71.8534@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5346
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
news:iovli2lg2oiscbvcg0ej0eq723cpithf94@4ax.com:

> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> thusly:
>
>>k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote in
>>news:1160392657.932021.251780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>>
>>> Cecil Moore wrote:
>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>> > It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have everything
>>>> > over 30 MHz, but it's the code hams that are the one's moving ham
>>>> > radio forward and doing anything technical wise.
>>>>
>>>> If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
>>>> century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
>>>> hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
>>>> technical expertise.
>>>
>>> Uhhhhhhhh...Cecil...??!?!
>>>
>>> Did you actually make that up, or just quote someone else who is
>>> equally ill informed?
>>>
>>> Most of the people who were "moving forward" half a century ago
>>> were ALSO folks who were active on and well known in HF circles...
>>>
>>> Unless, of course, you're now going to take Lennie's spin and tell
>>> us that any / everything reported in QST, CQ, etc, was only
>>> "self-serviing rhetoric"...?!?!
>>>
>>> Try again.
>>>
>>> Steve, K4YZ
>>
>>
>>Anyone that puts out an argument to keep the code requirement gets
>>teased by Cecil. He loves to throw out some goof-ball counter reply to
>>try to be-little the original argument.
>
```

> Pot, meet kettle.

No. All my arguments are good sound arguments.

SC

5367. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-11 01:08:13 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160528893.541201.235850@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Slow Code wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> news:1160444791.838619.317470@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> >> news:1160355034.027312.303950@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
> >>
> >> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> Could you pass all the tests again today, or would you have to study
> >> >> a little first?
> >> >
> >> > I could pass them all again, no study needed. And that goes for
> >> > written, Morse Code receiving and Morse Code sending.
> >> >
> >> > Bring out any FCC amateur radio license test from Novice to Extra,
> >> > from any time since I first got my license in 1967, and I'll pass it.
> >> > I'll probably even be able to pass tests from long before I was
> >> > licensed, too.
> >> >
> >> > Every so often I try an online practice exam, just to be sure. No
> >> > problem.
> >> >
> >> > BUT
> >> >
> >> > I don't see any reason to require routine retesting of hams who
> >> > haven't broken the rules. FCC trusts us to keep current - that's part
> >> > of the "conditions of grant".
> >> >
> >> > FCC currently uses retesting as a sort of enforcement tool - if
> >> > someone acts like they don't know what the rules are, or if there is
> >> > some doubt as to whether a test session was on the up-and-up, FCC
> >> > will sometimes require a specific retest.
> >> >
> >> > If you demand that all hams be retested, what you're telling FCC is
> >> > that we cannot be trusted to keep up with the rules. Is that a good
> >> > thing?
> >> >
> >> > FCC doesn't require routine retesting of commercial licenses - why
> >> > should they require it of amateurs?
```

> >>
> >>
> >> Everything has been dumbed down, not just ham licenses.
> >
> > I don't think so.
> >
> >> We can't have
> >> skills anymore in america, everyone has to be equal.
> >
> > Where do you get that idea?
> >
> > Equality of opportunity, yes. That's not the same thing as equality of
> > result.
> >
> >>That's what happens
> >> when liberals get to make & change policy. Too many liberals in
> >> government.
> >>
> > Either you don't know your history, or you've got some weird definition
> > of "liberal".
> >
> > For example:
> >
> > Were JFK and LBJ "liberals"? It was under their administrations that we
> > got the rules changes known as "incentive licensing".
> >
> > Were Ronald Reagan and George H. W. Bush "liberals"? It was under their
> > administrations that we got:
> >
> > - FCC exams replaced by VEC exams
> >
> > - Openly published question pools
> >
> > - End of Morse Code sending test
> >
> > - General/Tech written test split in two parts so that Techs did not
> > have same written as Generals
> >
> > - Medical waivers for 13 and 20 wpm code tests (Bush "asked" FCC for
> > this directly, as a favor to a King that was a ham)
> >
> > - Technician lost its code test
> >
> > Is George "Dubya" Bush a "liberal"? Under his administration, we got:
> >
> > - FCC support for BPL
> >
> > - ITU treaty changed to eliminate wording requiring code test.
> >
> > - NPRM proposing complete elimination of code test

> >
> > Now - are all those folks reducing the requirements "liberals"?
>
>
> It's the state of that nation.

Which nation?

> Everyone's got to have their handout.
> Outcome based licensing. Can't have both quality and quantity anymore.
>
> SC

You blamed it on "liberals". But look at who was in charge when all
those reductions in requirements came about.

5368. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-11 01:25:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <dvhoi2l2feg20n4pfukdv3d1qp7nv8rebp@4ax.com>
Source record: 5349
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>news:iovli2lg2oiscbvcg0ej0eq723cpithf94@4ax.com:
>
>> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>> thusly:
>>
>>>k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote in
>>>news:1160392657.932021.251780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> Cecil Moore wrote:
>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>> > It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have everything
>>>>> > over 30 MHz, but it's the code hams that are the one's moving ham
>>>>> > radio forward and doing anything technical wise.
>>>>>
>>>>> If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
>>>>> century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
>>>>> hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
>>>>> technical expertise.
>>>>
>>>> Uhhhhhhhh...Cecil...??!?!
>>>>
>>>> Did you actually make that up, or just quote someone else who is
>>>> equally ill informed?
>>>>
>>>> Most of the people who were "moving forward" half a century ago
>>>> were ALSO folks who were active on and well known in HF circles...
>>>>
>>>> Unless, of course, you're now going to take Lennie's spin and tell
>>>> us that any / everything reported in QST, CQ, etc, was only
>>>> "self-serviing rhetoric"...?!?!
>>>>
>>>> Try again.
>>>>
>>>> Steve, K4YZ
>>>
>>>
>>>Anyone that puts out an argument to keep the code requirement gets
>>>teased by Cecil. He loves to throw out some goof-ball counter reply to

>>>try to be-little the original argument.
>>
>> Pot, meet kettle.
>
>
>No. All my arguments are good sound arguments.

realy they are good and valid arguments... For No Code HF
>
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5369. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-11 01:26:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <s0ioi2hc706i9f4ethclvqk7pgk1tbhbre@4ax.com>
Source record: 5348
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:32 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
>news:2ivli2hbs8si0m5ndn1sidvc9f1uv8ds14@4ax.com:
>
>> Hey Blow Code. You are such a proud amateur radio operator give us
>> your call sign so we can give you the proper reconition you deserve.
>
>
>I serve the ham community with selfless determination to try to save its
>members from their complacent attitudes. I need no recognition. I'm a
>humble servant. I seek no glory.
still you are afraid to give your call
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5370. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-11 01:27:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <a2ioi2tij6a58tpredfpmtbbgpia0h0qpf@4ax.com>
Source record: 5350
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:34 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>news:1160444791.838619.317470@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>>> news:1160355034.027312.303950@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> > Slow Code wrote:
>>> >
>>> >> Could you pass all the tests again today, or would you have to study
>>> >> a little first?
>>> >
>>> > I could pass them all again, no study needed. And that goes for
>>> > written, Morse Code receiving and Morse Code sending.
>>> >
>>> > Bring out any FCC amateur radio license test from Novice to Extra,
>>> > from any time since I first got my license in 1967, and I'll pass it.
>>> > I'll probably even be able to pass tests from long before I was
>>> > licensed, too.
>>> >
>>> > Every so often I try an online practice exam, just to be sure. No
>>> > problem.
>>> >
>>> > BUT
>>> >
>>> > I don't see any reason to require routine retesting of hams who
>>> > haven't broken the rules. FCC trusts us to keep current - that's part
>>> > of the "conditions of grant".
>>> >
>>> > FCC currently uses retesting as a sort of enforcement tool - if
>>> > someone acts like they don't know what the rules are, or if there is
>>> > some doubt as to whether a test session was on the up-and-up, FCC
>>> > will sometimes require a specific retest.
>>> >
>>> > If you demand that all hams be retested, what you're telling FCC is
>>> > that we cannot be trusted to keep up with the rules. Is that a good
>>> > thing?
>>> >
>>> > FCC doesn't require routine retesting of commercial licenses - why

>>> > should they require it of amateurs?
>>>
>>>
>>> Everything has been dumbed down, not just ham licenses.
>>
>> I don't think so.
>>
>>> We can't have
>>> skills anymore in america, everyone has to be equal.
>>
>> Where do you get that idea?
>>
>> Equality of opportunity, yes. That's not the same thing as equality of
>> result.
>>
>>>That's what happens
>>> when liberals get to make & change policy. Too many liberals in
>>> government.
>>>
>> Either you don't know your history, or you've got some weird definition
>> of "liberal".
>>
>> For example:
>>
>> Were JFK and LBJ "liberals"? It was under their administrations that we
>> got the rules changes known as "incentive licensing".
>>
>> Were Ronald Reagan and George H. W. Bush "liberals"? It was under their
>> administrations that we got:
>>
>> - FCC exams replaced by VEC exams
>>
>> - Openly published question pools
>>
>> - End of Morse Code sending test
>>
>> - General/Tech written test split in two parts so that Techs did not
>> have same written as Generals
>>
>> - Medical waivers for 13 and 20 wpm code tests (Bush "asked" FCC for
>> this directly, as a favor to a King that was a ham)
>>
>> - Technician lost its code test
>>
>> Is George "Dubya" Bush a "liberal"? Under his administration, we got:
>>
>> - FCC support for BPL
>>
>> - ITU treaty changed to eliminate wording requiring code test.
>>

>> - NPRM proposing complete elimination of code test
>>
>> Now - are all those folks reducing the requirements "liberals"?
>
>
>It's the state of that nation. Everyone's got to have their handout.
>Outcome based licensing. Can't have both quality and quantity anymore.

a valid argument for NoCode you arrogantance shows you motive
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5371. Re: Hams just want to talk on microphones. They hate skill and everyone that's skillful

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-11 01:28:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <65ioi25vfg2k1js82okn5726mdqqiamb95@4ax.com>
Source record: 5251
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:32 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Rich <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in
>news:8ruli2pgdnkrr9gh70fpl9i5sn904va0b6@4ax.com:
>
>> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:37 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>Once things start rolling downhill it's hard to stop it. Look what
>>>happened to CB. You want that on ham radio?
>>>
>>>
>>>SC
>>
>> you apparently aren't familiar with what's been going on down on
>> 75/80m and also on 20m. read the fcc actions in most any ham magazine
>> and you'll see that by and large the offenders are general class
>> licensees and above.
>>
>> now, of course, not all, not even most, generals, advanced and extra
>> class ticket holders act cause problems. heck, not even most hams.
>> but i still don't see how doing ANY of the things you suggest will do
>> anything EXCEPT thin the ranks which, in my mind, will do nothing but
>> cause our service to become extinct.
>
>
>And dumbing down the exams will make us irrelevant.
go for it SC the poster boy for NoCode
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5372. Re: (THIS IS SO REAL PEOPLE) IM ASKING FOR DONATIONS FOR HEART SURGERY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-11 02:27:47 UTC
From: barnegatdx@aol.com
Message-ID: <1160533667.582877.64480@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5252
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Sanjaya wrote:
> "mike" <bphtzywr@bngrxwuq.com> wrote in message
> news:452bc610$0$2995$ec3e2dad@news.usenetmonster.com...
> > hello i always figured this would never happen to me but my heart is having serious
> issuses do to a birth defect (i was born with a hole in my heart)and need money to have
the surgery
> to get the hole fix ...
>
> [snip]
>
> While he's at it, have the doctor fix the hole in your HEAD.

- Wh, a little Crazy Glue, some aluminub screening

- and a Big Bowie knife..

- Problem Solved !

5373. Re: Ping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-11 04:11:31 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <vbooi2lro8dlohaf68rlp1fcs6h1hsejj9@4ax.com>
Source record: 5253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Sorry I am late in replying. Holiday weekend here in Canada.

On 5 Oct 2006 17:05:58 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM spake thusly:

>Opus- wrote:
>> On 5 Oct 2006 04:26:28 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM spake thusly:
>> >Opus- wrote:
>
>> >> The statement is quite simple...a voice on the airwaves can convey
>> >> much more information than just the words spoken but CW can only
>> >> convey the words.
>
>> >Morse Code can convey more than the words - if the operators are
>> >skilled in it.
>
>> One of those old timers once told me that he recognized another
>> operators "hand" back when I watched him operate.
>
>Yup. Little things about an op's sending can make it as recognizable as
>a familiar voice.
>
>btw, the term "fist" is used in the same context as "hand" was used by
>that op.

Never heard the term "fist" used in this context but it's been a while
since I have spent much time with a coder.

>> I am not sure how
>> much more a person can get out of code.
>
>The words, of course. How they are sent can tell a lot, too. It takes a
>bit of experience to recognize all the subtleties of Morse Code.
>
>The main point is that skilled Morse Code operators can convey more
>than 'just the words'.
>
>> >It's not the same thing as a voice, though.
>
>I think that is your main point.

More than words, but how much more? I also have to believe that code
is slower than speech. Not usually a big issue but an issue none the
less.

>> >It's a different
>> >communications experience, just as the written word is a different
>> >experience from the spoken word.
>>
>> Fair enough.
>
>Exactly.
>
>> >> Since the medium and usually the hardware is exactly
>> >> the same weather or not a microphone or a key is used, why bother with
>> >> a key that is much more limited?
>> >
>> >Several reasons:
>> >
>> >1) It's often *not* the same hardware. You can use much simpler
>> >equipment for Morse Code than for voice modes.
>>
>> Well, I did say "usually".
>
>Of course.
>
>> But wouldn't simpler equipment limit you to code only?
>
>That depends on the exact situation. The important point is that once
>you have Morse Code skills, using code-only equipment isn't really a
>limitation in most cases.
>
>Simplicity of equipment can be very important in some situations. For
>example, if someone wants to actually build their HF Amateur Radio
>equipment, it's much simpler and easier to build a Morse Code station
>than an equivalent-performance voice station. In portable operations,
>the power requirement, size and weight of a Morse Code station can be
>less than that of the equivalent voice station.

With todays electronics, size and weight really aren't much of an
issue.

>> >2) It's a different communications experience. (see above). For many of
>> >us, that alone makes it worthwhile.
>>
>> I am curious as to what would make it worthwhile.
>
>All sorts of things:
>
>A) You can communicate without talking or typing. (In a world where a
>lot of us spend a lot of time on the telephone and computer, being able
>to communicate another way can be a real treat!)

I dunno..I guess I like hearing things like gender or a foreign accent
to add spice to communication.

>B) The exercise of a skill is fun. Consider the person who learns how
>to play a musical instrument: do you think making music (performing) is
>the same experience as listening to recorded music?

Hmm..well..not really a good analogy. Listening to music is only a one
way street while both performing music, as well as radio
communications, is naturally a two way street.

>C) Once you have the skills, communicating with Morse Code can be as
>easy - or even easier - than using voice.

Not quite sure how, but I'll take your word for it.

>D) You can use Morse Code in situations where voice could not be used.
>For example, suppose you are in a small house, apartment, RV, tent,
>etc., and you want to operate without disturbing others (who might be
>sleeping, talking, etc.). Of course you can put on headphones so they
>don't hear the received signals, but in order to transmit, you have to
>talk. Even if you keep your voice down, it can bother others. How many
>times have you heard people complain about folks using cell phones in
>public? But with Morse Code and a good pair of cans, you can operate
>and make less noise than someone typing on a keyboard.

Not really a common circumstance, but I see your point here.

>> >3) It takes up much less spectrum. With good equipment, five to ten
>> >Morse Code signals can fit in the same spectrum space required by just
>> >one single-sideband voice signal. AM and FM take up even more space on
>> >the band.
>>
>> Some very valid points here.
>
>None of which mean that there *must* be a Morse Code test for an
>amateur radio license. I happen to think such a test is a good idea,
>but that's just my opinion.
>
>> >4) It's more effective under adverse conditions. A Morse Code signal
>> >typically has about 10-13 dB of advanatage over single-sideband voice.
>> >That's about 2 S-units. Under conditions that make SSB unusable, or
>> >barely usable, Morse Code will often be solid copy with good signals.
>>
>> I could see the challenge in this. I remember a certain thrill back
>> when I was a kid, whenever I managed to make out a distant signal and
>> recognize where it was broadcast from.
>
>Exactly! The very fact that it takes some skill is part of the fun and
>attraction.

But some here seem to suggest that if no or little skill is required
then it's really not worth pursuing. I strongly dispute that.

>> >There are other reasons, but those four come to mind right now.
>
>Here's one more:

>
>5) The amount of "bad behavior" problems resulting in FCC enforcement
>actions is much less from radio amateurs using Morse Code. Just look at
>the FCC enforcement letters that address violations of deliberate
>interference, obscenity, exceeding license privileges, and other "bad
>behavior" problems. Almost all of them are for violations committed
>using voice modes, not Morse Code. The difference is much greater than
>would be expected from the relative popularity of the modes.
>
>This doesn't mean all voice ops are problems or all Morse Code ops are
>saints! All it means is that there's a lot less enforcement problems
>from hams actually using Morse Code.

Perhaps the typical ages of people who prefer code could be a factor.
It does tend to be considerably older people who prefer code.

>> >> Somehow, this relates to pixels on my
>> >> screen but I have yet to understand why my opponent felt the need to
>> >> misdirect, misrepresent and misquote.
>> >
>> >Lots of that going around - on both sides. Don't let it bother you - I
>> >sure don't.
>>
>> I just don't like the snotty attitude that makes the ARS look so bad.
>
>Agreed! There's too much of that type of attitude on *both* sides of
>the debate.
>
>> I am still waiting for my government handout. Never had any government
>> handouts in the 44 years I have been around.
>
>How does one define "handout"?

Based on the comments, it would seem that the offending poster was
referring to something that was unique to Canada. About the only thing
I can think of is our medical care system. And THAT'S not really free
at all, as I will explain further below.

>For example, is public education of children a government handout? Yes,
>many parents with kids in public school pay school taxes, but in most
>districts those taxes paid by parents do not cover all of the costs of
>the public schools. And the level of taxation does not depend on how
>many children the parents have in school. Is public school a government
>handout to people with lots of kids?
>
>Or how about tax deductions? Are they a form of government handout? If
>you have a mortgage or home equity loan, the interest is deductible. If
>you rent, you don't get that deduction. Is that a government handout to
>homeowners?

Now as for mortgages and home equity loans, the interest is NOT a tax
deduction here in Canada. That could be considered a handout that

Americans enjoy, something Canadians can't enjoy. Also, Canada is the
second highest taxed nation in the world. Renters get a wee bit of a
break in some provinces but not here in Alberta, Canada's "Texas".

>Not trying to be argumentative, just trying to get a clear idea of what
>is a handout and what isn't.
>
>> >> Can none of the pro-coders make
>> >> a valid point?
>> >
>> >I just made a couple of valid points. That doesn't mean there *must* be
>> >a Morse Code test, just that the mode has some good points.
>>
>> Thank you for making some points in a nice, civilized manner.
>
>My pleasure. Thanks for reading.
>
>> My neighbor, when I was about 12 or younger, had a nifty tower setup.
>> He had 2 tall telephone poles in the ground with enough space between
>> them for a third pole bolted in near the top, adding almost the full
>> length of another pole, save for about 6 feet where all three were
>> bolted together. I was self-supporting.
>
>Cool! I recently saw a similar setup used for a repeater antenna in a
>wooded area. It blended in much better than metal tower.

Drove by many many years later. Tower gone. Different house on same
lot. I guess you can never go back.

5374. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-11 04:28:01 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <d4soi25f9fp1kg65l51m713abij9h7kpsa@4ax.com>
Source record: 5254
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>news:iovli2lg2oiscbvcg0ej0eq723cpithf94@4ax.com:
>
>> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>> thusly:
>>
>>>k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote in
>>>news:1160392657.932021.251780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> Cecil Moore wrote:
>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>> > It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have everything
>>>>> > over 30 MHz, but it's the code hams that are the one's moving ham
>>>>> > radio forward and doing anything technical wise.
>>>>>
>>>>> If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
>>>>> century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
>>>>> hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
>>>>> technical expertise.
>>>>
>>>> Uhhhhhhhh...Cecil...??!?!
>>>>
>>>> Did you actually make that up, or just quote someone else who is
>>>> equally ill informed?
>>>>
>>>> Most of the people who were "moving forward" half a century ago
>>>> were ALSO folks who were active on and well known in HF circles...
>>>>
>>>> Unless, of course, you're now going to take Lennie's spin and tell
>>>> us that any / everything reported in QST, CQ, etc, was only
>>>> "self-serviing rhetoric"...?!?!
>>>>
>>>> Try again.
>>>>
>>>> Steve, K4YZ
>>>
>>>
>>>Anyone that puts out an argument to keep the code requirement gets
```

>>>teased by Cecil. He loves to throw out some goof-ball counter reply to
>>>try to be-little the original argument.
>>
>> Pot, meet kettle.
>
>
>No. All my arguments are good sound arguments.

Oh PuhlEEEZE!!! Spelling Canada with a "K" is a good sound argument?
Calling me a "lazy ass" is a good sound argument? Claiming I get a
handout is a good sound argument?

You have NEVER put out ANY kind of argument at all!!! Why don't you
try to cite some source that back up any of your "good sound
arguments".

Free hint: Hurling insults and innuendo does NOT a "good sound
argument" make.

Hope this helps.
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

5375. Re: Hams just want to talk on microphones. They hate skill and everyone that's skillful

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-11 04:37:59 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <7psoi2pu9suq0aqsduju7kif06ds25lc91@4ax.com>
Source record: 5255
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:32 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>Rich <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in
>news:8ruli2pgdnkrr9gh70fpl9i5sn904va0b6@4ax.com:
>
>> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:37 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>Once things start rolling downhill it's hard to stop it. Look what
>>>happened to CB. You want that on ham radio?
>>>
>>>
>>>SC
>>
>> you apparently aren't familiar with what's been going on down on
>> 75/80m and also on 20m. read the fcc actions in most any ham magazine
>> and you'll see that by and large the offenders are general class
>> licensees and above.
>>
>> now, of course, not all, not even most, generals, advanced and extra
>> class ticket holders act cause problems. heck, not even most hams.
>> but i still don't see how doing ANY of the things you suggest will do
>> anything EXCEPT thin the ranks which, in my mind, will do nothing but
>> cause our service to become extinct.
>
>
>And dumbing down the exams will make us irrelevant.

Newsflash. Your radio will still work just fine and you will still be
able to talk to other hams any way you like. That IS what ham radio is
for, after all. Other peoples exam scores will have no effect on your
ability to operate your equipment. Are you gonna tell another ham in
Berlin than you can't talk to him because Jack Sprat in Iowa got his
licence without code? Is your radio experience dependent on some
strangers [who you many never talk to] credentials? If so, then your
ham license was worthless the day you passed your test.
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

5376. Re: IM ASKING FOR DONATIONS FOR HEART SURGERY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-11 06:31:06 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1160548266.032255.305550@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5256
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster

Bill B. wrote:
> I'd rather donate for a coffin :-p
>
>
> "mike" <mcollins7373@msn.com> wrote in message
> news:452ba866$0$4677$ec3e2dad@news.usenetmonster.com...
> > hello i always figured this would never happen to me but my
> heart is having serious issuses do to a birth defect (i was born with a hole
> in my heart)and need money to have the surgery to get the hole fix so i am
> asking for donations to help with the cost since i dont have insurance so if
> you have a paypal account please look into your heart and donate a dollar or
> two my paypal emial is mcollins7373@msn.com i need around 20,000 dollars usd
> to have the surgery done. This is my last resort for money i have tried
> goverment agencies family and friends and to my dissapointment nothing
> happened SO PLEASE I NEED HELP thank you so much mike collins

I'll send the $20k just as soon as my money from Nigeria arrives.

> >
> >
> >
> >
> > uW18P>^&K2[o%09E*`x[9K%bwh'bt/QIr8!Vk
> MwoA)75ElpSj?Z[U`)tK`%OLP#m2qLF<MuIR5q.lYC;M:1Lc>: aQcG]SjrTf$

5377. Receiving air traffic on radio alarm clock

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-11 08:48:54 UTC
From: Greg <greg@bolshaw.me.uk>
Message-ID: <1160556534.445594.25820@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5257

Dear group

I am having an interesting problem that I hope someone can explain to me.

I live in the UK, about 30 miles from Manchester airport. When my radio alarm wakes me in the morning, tuned to 98.5MHz (BBC Radio 1), I sometimes hear air traffic conversations intermittently. These are loud and very clear, as if the plane is flying directly above.

I also own a radio scanner - an Icom IC-R5. However, I have tried to intentionally tune to the Manchester airport frequencies, but only ever get a weak signal. I find this strange as my alarm clock only has a basic wire antenna - far inferior to that of the Icom.

So my questions are: Why am I hearing air traffic on 98.5MHz? and if my radio alarm can receive such a strong signal, why can't I pick it up with my R5?

Regards

Greg Bolshaw

5378. Re: Ping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-11 10:38:35 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160563114.936181.98060@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Opus- wrote:
> Sorry I am late in replying. Holiday weekend here in Canada.

I hope it was a good one.

> On 5 Oct 2006 17:05:58 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM spake thusly:
>
> >Opus- wrote:
> >> On 5 Oct 2006 04:26:28 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM spake thusly:
> >> >Opus- wrote:
> >
> >> >> The statement is quite simple...a voice on the airwaves can convey
> >> >> much more information than just the words spoken but CW can only
> >> >> convey the words.
> >
> >> >Morse Code can convey more than the words - if the operators are
> >> >skilled in it.
> >
> >> One of those old timers once told me that he recognized another
> >> operators "hand" back when I watched him operate.
> >
> >Yup. Little things about an op's sending can make it as recognizable as
> >a familiar voice.
> >
> >btw, the term "fist" is used in the same context as "hand" was used by
> >that op.
>
> Never heard the term "fist" used in this context but it's been a while
> since I have spent much time with a coder.

Both terms are used. Some folks use the term "swing" as well, but
that's not exactly a compliment.
>
> >> I am not sure how
> >> much more a person can get out of code.
> >
> >The words, of course. How they are sent can tell a lot, too. It takes a
> >bit of experience to recognize all the subtleties of Morse Code.
> >
> >The main point is that skilled Morse Code operators can convey more
> >than 'just the words'.
> >
> >> >It's not the same thing as a voice, though.
> >

> >I think that is your main point.
>
> More than words, but how much more?

Quite a bit, but obviously not as much as a voice. The main point is
that skilled operators get more than 'just the words'.

It's a bit similar to the way that one's perception of the written word
is affected by the font, punctuation, capitalization, etc. Not exactly
the same, but similar.

> I also have to believe that code
> is slower than speech. Not usually a big issue but an issue none the
> less.

Like many things, "it depends".

The raw speed of the spoken word is obviously faster.

But when you really listen to the way most people speak, the speed is
limited by many things. There's a lot of redundancy in the way many
people speak, pauses, repeats, "ums" and "ahs', and little phrases
tossed in while the person thinks of what to say next. Meanwhile, the
skilled Morse Code operator is using abbreviations and other shortcuts
that effectively increase the speed way beyond the raw wpm.

For example, the first response in a voice QSO might go like this:

"VE6QRM, victor-echo-six-quebec-romeo-mike, this is N2EY,
november-two-echo-yankee, thanks for the call. You're five and nine,
five-nine here, good clear signals. I am in Wayne, Pennsylvania, that's
Wayne, whiskey-alpha-yankee-november-echo, Pennsylvania, papa-alpha.
Name here is Jim, john-ida-mike, Jim. How do you copy me?....."

while using Morse Code, the same exchange could be:

"VE6QRM DE N2EY TNX CL BT UR 599 599 GUD SIG IN WAYNE PA WAYNE PA BT OP
JIM JIM BT HW?...."

Same information, two different modes. If the Morse Code ops are
reasonably fast, the time is comparable.
>
> >> >It's a different
> >> >communications experience, just as the written word is a different
> >> >experience from the spoken word.
> >>
> >> Fair enough.
> >
> >Exactly.
> >
> >> >> Since the medium and usually the hardware is exactly
> >> >> the same weather or not a microphone or a key is used, why bother with
> >> >> a key that is much more limited?
> >> >

> >> >Several reasons:
> >> >
> >> >1) It's often *not* the same hardware. You can use much simpler
> >> >equipment for Morse Code than for voice modes.
> >>
> >> Well, I did say "usually".
> >
> >Of course.
> >
> >> But wouldn't simpler equipment limit you to code only?
> >
> >That depends on the exact situation. The important point is that once
> >you have Morse Code skills, using code-only equipment isn't really a
> >limitation in most cases.
> >
> >Simplicity of equipment can be very important in some situations. For
> >example, if someone wants to actually build their HF Amateur Radio
> >equipment, it's much simpler and easier to build a Morse Code station
> >than an equivalent-performance voice station. In portable operations,
> >the power requirement, size and weight of a Morse Code station can be
> >less than that of the equivalent voice station.
>
> With todays electronics, size and weight really aren't much of an
> issue.

I disagree to a point! Look at the size, weight and performance of HF
rigs that you can carry with you. Is there any HF ham rig that's
SSB-capable that can compete with the Elecraft KX-1?

For fixed-station use, there isn't much size/weight difference, if any.
But when you need to carry the rig and batteries any real distance, the
differences become apparent. This is also when you will find that the
difference in low power performance really matters.

> >> >2) It's a different communications experience. (see above). For many of
> >> >us, that alone makes it worthwhile.
> >>
> >> I am curious as to what would make it worthwhile.
> >
> >All sorts of things:
> >
> >A) You can communicate without talking or typing. (In a world where a
> >lot of us spend a lot of time on the telephone and computer, being able
> >to communicate another way can be a real treat!)
>
> I dunno..I guess I like hearing things like gender or a foreign accent
> to add spice to communication.

Of course. And that's part of the point: different communications
experiences.
>

> >B) The exercise of a skill is fun. Consider the person who learns how
> >to play a musical instrument: do you think making music (performing) is
> >the same experience as listening to recorded music?
>
> Hmm..well..not really a good analogy. Listening to music is only a one
> way street while both performing music, as well as radio
> communications, is naturally a two way street.

I was thinking of the person who performs the music for themselves vs.
listening to a recording.

Either way, it's still a different experience.

Or consider this analogy: It's one thing to drive a car with all the
modern conveniences - power steering, automatic transmission, power
brakes, cruise control, climate control, etc., and doing it on a smooth
straight highway. It's a different experience to drive a car without
all those things, on a winding country road where the driver's skill
makes a big difference.

> >C) Once you have the skills, communicating with Morse Code can be as
> >easy - or even easier - than using voice.
>
> Not quite sure how, but I'll take your word for it.

> >D) You can use Morse Code in situations where voice could not be used.
> >For example, suppose you are in a small house, apartment, RV, tent,
> >etc., and you want to operate without disturbing others (who might be
> >sleeping, talking, etc.). Of course you can put on headphones so they
> >don't hear the received signals, but in order to transmit, you have to
> >talk. Even if you keep your voice down, it can bother others. How many
> >times have you heard people complain about folks using cell phones in
> >public? But with Morse Code and a good pair of cans, you can operate
> >and make less noise than someone typing on a keyboard.
>
> Not really a common circumstance, but I see your point here.

I think it depends on the amateur's situation. I know plenty of hams
with small children in the house, or with limited space for a shack,
where the sound issue is a big one. Being able to operate quietly can
be the difference between operating and not operating.

> >> >3) It takes up much less spectrum. With good equipment, five to ten
> >> >Morse Code signals can fit in the same spectrum space required by just
> >> >one single-sideband voice signal. AM and FM take up even more space on
> >> >the band.
> >>
> >> Some very valid points here.
> >
> >None of which mean that there *must* be a Morse Code test for an
> >amateur radio license. I happen to think such a test is a good idea,
> >but that's just my opinion.

> >
> >> >4) It's more effective under adverse conditions. A Morse Code signal
> >> >typically has about 10-13 dB of advanatage over single-sideband voice.
> >> >That's about 2 S-units. Under conditions that make SSB unusable, or
> >> >barely usable, Morse Code will often be solid copy with good signals.
> >>
> >> I could see the challenge in this. I remember a certain thrill back
> >> when I was a kid, whenever I managed to make out a distant signal and
> >> recognize where it was broadcast from.
> >
> >Exactly! The very fact that it takes some skill is part of the fun and
> >attraction.
>
> But some here seem to suggest that if no or little skill is required
> then it's really not worth pursuing. I strongly dispute that.

I'm not sure what you mean by "if little or no skill is required, then
it's really not worth pursuing".

> >> >There are other reasons, but those four come to mind right now.
> >
> >Here's one more:
> >
> >5) The amount of "bad behavior" problems resulting in FCC enforcement
> >actions is much less from radio amateurs using Morse Code. Just look at
> >the FCC enforcement letters that address violations of deliberate
> >interference, obscenity, exceeding license privileges, and other "bad
> >behavior" problems. Almost all of them are for violations committed
> >using voice modes, not Morse Code. The difference is much greater than
> >would be expected from the relative popularity of the modes.
> >
> >This doesn't mean all voice ops are problems or all Morse Code ops are
> >saints! All it means is that there's a lot less enforcement problems
> >from hams actually using Morse Code.
>
> Perhaps the typical ages of people who prefer code could be a factor.
> It does tend to be considerably older people who prefer code.

I disagree - for two reasons!

First I have found amateurs of all ages who are interested in Morse
Code. I have found that young people are interested *if* Morse Code is
presented correctly.

Some say that, in the modern world, young people who grew up with cell
phones and the internet aren't going to sit still for something like
Morse Code - or amateur radio. And many won't.

However, the very fact that Morse Code is unusual is a big attraction
to some of them - *because* it's so different and unusual. They've seen
voice comms - they all have cellphones! Typing on a keyboard and
reading a screen is something they've seen since they were babies. But

Morse Code is completely different. That's what draws many young people
- just look at the acceptance of the Harry Potter books.

The second reason is that the 'bad behavior' of amateurs on the air
doesn't seem to decrease with age. In fact, it may be the opposite!

One of the worst offenders here in the USA was a Californian named Jack
Gerritsen (ex-KG6IRO). He was found guilty of multiple repeated
offenses, all of which involved on-air behavior like jamming, not
'technical' violations. His bad behavior started on the ham bands but
spread to public service bands as well, giving amateur radio a black
eye. Enforcement efforts up to revoking his license didn't stop him.
The guy was totally out of control, a real problem case. So now he is
going to prison for seven years and has to pay a fairly serious fine
($21,000US, IIRC).

Gerritsen used only voice modes. He is now 70.

> >> >> Somehow, this relates to pixels on my
> >> >> screen but I have yet to understand why my opponent felt the need to
> >> >> misdirect, misrepresent and misquote.
> >> >
> >> >Lots of that going around - on both sides. Don't let it bother you - I
> >> >sure don't.
> >>
> >> I just don't like the snotty attitude that makes the ARS look so bad.
> >
> >Agreed! There's too much of that type of attitude on *both* sides of
> >the debate.
> >
> >> I am still waiting for my government handout. Never had any government
> >> handouts in the 44 years I have been around.
> >
> >How does one define "handout"?
>
> Based on the comments, it would seem that the offending poster was
> referring to something that was unique to Canada. About the only thing
> I can think of is our medical care system. And THAT'S not really free
> at all, as I will explain further below.

I've lost track of who was using the term "handout". I don't think it
was you.

> >For example, is public education of children a government handout? Yes,
> >many parents with kids in public school pay school taxes, but in most
> >districts those taxes paid by parents do not cover all of the costs of
> >the public schools. And the level of taxation does not depend on how
> >many children the parents have in school. Is public school a government
> >handout to people with lots of kids?

I don't know how Canadian public education is funded, but I suspect
that it's not that much different than in the USA - at least to the

extent that parents don't pay the full amount, nor does the tax level
increase with the number of children in school.

Is public education a government handout to people with several
children?

> >Or how about tax deductions? Are they a form of government handout? If
> >you have a mortgage or home equity loan, the interest is deductible. If
> >you rent, you don't get that deduction. Is that a government handout to
> >homeowners?
>
> Now as for mortgages and home equity loans, the interest is NOT a tax
> deduction here in Canada. That could be considered a handout that
> Americans enjoy, something Canadians can't enjoy.

Exactly - if one uses the term "handout". A lot of US homeowners would
say that they 'deserve' the tax deduction.

I would say that the USA uses tax policy as a form of social
engineering. By making mortgage and home-equity interest count as a tax
deduction, the government is supporting home ownership over renting.

> Also, Canada is the
> second highest taxed nation in the world.

Really? Who is #1 - Sweden?

> Renters get a wee bit of a
> break in some provinces but not here in Alberta, Canada's "Texas".
>
> >Not trying to be argumentative, just trying to get a clear idea of what
> >is a handout and what isn't.

One person's handout is another's entitlement.

One more "handout": some (not all) Social Security benefits. Most
Americans make payments into Social Security all their working lives.
Some never collect a penny, because they die young.

But if a person receiving Social Security benefits lives long enough,
they will eventually receive more in benefits than they paid into the
system - including reasonable interest.

Is that a "handout"?

> >> >> Can none of the pro-coders make
> >> >> a valid point?
> >> >
> >> >I just made a couple of valid points. That doesn't mean there *must* be
> >> >a Morse Code test, just that the mode has some good points.
> >>
> >> Thank you for making some points in a nice, civilized manner.
> >
> >My pleasure. Thanks for reading.

> >
> >> My neighbor, when I was about 12 or younger, had a nifty tower setup.
> >> He had 2 tall telephone poles in the ground with enough space between
> >> them for a third pole bolted in near the top, adding almost the full
> >> length of another pole, save for about 6 feet where all three were
> >> bolted together. I was self-supporting.
> >
> >Cool! I recently saw a similar setup used for a repeater antenna in a
> >wooded area. It blended in much better than metal tower.
>
> Drove by many many years later. Tower gone. Different house on same
> lot. I guess you can never go back.

(sigh)

For many years there was a landmark ham tower near here. Custom rotating steel pole, over 100 feet high, with multiple HF Yagis and a full size 2 element 80/75 meter quad. (That's not a typo). All on a typical suburban lot of less than an acre....

It was built by one ham, and when he passed away another one bought the place. But when the second ham passed, the big tower and antennas needed serious work and nobody stepped up to take on the task.

So the tower is all gone and the house is like all the others in the area...

But some things can be preserved - values, skills, culture. Even if the people and places change.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5379. Re: Receiving air traffic on radio alarm clock

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-11 13:08:18 UTC
From: Dale Parfitt <par@parelectronics.com>
Message-ID: <616Xg.6807$Ed5.18@trnddc03>
Source record: 5259

"Greg" <greg@bolshaw.me.uk> wrote in message
news:1160556534.445594.25820@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> Dear group
>
> I am having an interesting problem that I hope someone can explain to
> me.
>
> I live in the UK, about 30 miles from Manchester airport. When my radio
> alarm wakes me in the morning, tuned to 98.5MHz (BBC Radio 1), I
> sometimes hear air traffic conversations intermittently. These are loud
> and very clear, as if the plane is flying directly above.
>
> I also own a radio scanner - an Icom IC-R5. However, I have tried to
> intentionally tune to the Manchester airport frequencies, but only ever
> get a weak signal. I find this strange as my alarm clock only has a
> basic wire antenna - far inferior to that of the Icom.
>
> So my questions are: Why am I hearing air traffic on 98.5MHz? and if my
> radio alarm can receive such a strong signal, why can't I pick it up
> with my R5?
>
> Regards
>
> Greg Bolshaw
>

Image response: 98.5 + 2X(10.7)= 119.9 MHz.

No clue as to why the R5 does not pick it up. Are you listening in AM mode?
Dale W4OP

5380. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-11 15:53:16 UTC
From: Glen Overby <coreSPAMsample@charter.net>
Message-ID: <ckgvv3-cbu.ln1@monolith.nodomain>
Source record: 5261
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Diamond Dave <sjester911@yahoo.com> wrote:
>Good for you! It's not often that someone would come right out and admit that a
>radop that can copy high speed CW is better than himself, but you did.
>Congrats!

could I have the honor of knowing your call sign?

5381. Re: IM ASKING FOR DONATIONS FOR HEART SURGERY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-11 15:59:50 UTC
From: TheScorpionLair@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1160582390.491690.261040@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5260
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster

I'll forward my check when Bill Gates sends it to me.

5382. ICOM 91 AD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-11 17:41:35 UTC
From: yaesumofo <yaesumofo@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160588495.644206.171070@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5262

In know strictly speaking the 91 ad is not a scanner.
But is is an extremely cool DIGITAL ham radio with loads of scanning
features and over 1000 memories.
So I think it qualifies.
I have created a new less uptight Yahoo group devoted to this cool
radio so if you are interested come join us at
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/IC91AD
Enjoy.
Yaesumofo

5383. Re: Entering Military 140 MHz trunking freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-11 19:45:42 UTC
From: Paul Hirose <jvcmz89uwf@earINVALIDthlink.net>
Message-ID: <GRbXg.7946$Y24.7271@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5263

I don't have a BC-296D, and don't have a VHF trunked system within range, but I walked through the procedure on my BC796D. Your scanner may be similar.

First off, before bothering with the trunking setup, verify that you have the right freqs and there's a repeater near enough to hear. Program the freqs as if they were conventional channels, and scan them. One of them should carry a continuous data transmission. Lock that one out, and continue scanning the others. If you hear voice traffic, good. Erase the channels you have just entered.

Decide which bank to put the trunked system into. The scanner will work perfectly well if you have conventional channels in the same bank, but it's best to avoid that. Otherwise, you will have to individually lock out the conventional channels when you want to hear the trunked system only.

Press MENU, select SCAN OPTION, TRUNK, BANK 1 (or whatever bank you decided on), ENTER.

Select TRUNK TYPE, ON, TYPE2/P25 VHF. (not TYPE2/P25 UHF !)

The scanner will ask you to SELECT BASE CONF, and the default choice will be BASE CONFIG 1. That's ok, so hit ENTER.

BASE CONFIG sets up some values that the scanner needs to know in order to decode the control channel and jump to the correct voice frequency. It will ask you for base frequency, spacing (my scanner calls it SPACE FREQ), and channel offset. Some of the defaults will probably be correct as-is. When you're done, the scanner will ask for BASE CONFIG 2. If desired, you could enter a second set of base freq, spacing, and channel offset here. But that's not applicable to the system you asked about, so you're done with base config. Press MENU to get back to the previous menu.

Actually, you'll have to press MENU several times to get past the stuff you've already entered. When you see the menu with TRUNK CHANNEL as one of its choices, select that.

The scanner asks for the CHANNEL NO, but the "channel" it wants is the storage location in the scanner, not the frequency itself. The default will be the first channel in the bank. If that's ok, press ENTER. Then you come to the menu for entering the freq. Input the first frequency on your list. The STEPS selection on the menu can be left alone unless you notice the scanner changes the freq after you enter it. In that case, STEPS has to be changed to some smaller value.

Input all the frequencies in this manner. The order isn't important, and it's not necessary to make any distinction between voice channels, primary control channels, and alternate control channels. The scanner will figure out what's what.

That should do it. There are other selections on the trunking menu, but they aren't necessary to get started monitoring the system.

Recheck your settings if there's a problem. It's *very* easy to make a mistake when setting up a trunked system. My first attempt was with a Radio Shack PRO-95. The display flickered like mad and I got no audio. I thought, oh no, the system's encrypted. It took awhile to discover that just one number was wrong in my base config. Later, after I got a Bearcat scanner, I programmed it with that same trunked system and again botched the job! But this time I was a lot smarter and immediately checked the setup and caught the mistake.

--
Paul Hirose <jvcmz89uwf@earINVALIDthlink.net>
To reply by email remove INVALID

5384. Re: Receiving air traffic on radio alarm clock

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-11 21:49:30 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <tjpqi217i6r2sckni33ohkj0ki2eq5opoe@4ax.com>
Source record: 5264

On 11 Oct 2006 01:48:54 -0700, "Greg" <greg@bolshaw.me.uk> wrote:

>Dear group
>
>I am having an interesting problem that I hope someone can explain to
>me.
>
>I live in the UK, about 30 miles from Manchester airport. When my radio
>alarm wakes me in the morning, tuned to 98.5MHz (BBC Radio 1), I
>sometimes hear air traffic conversations intermittently. These are loud
>and very clear, as if the plane is flying directly above.
>
>I also own a radio scanner - an Icom IC-R5. However, I have tried to
>intentionally tune to the Manchester airport frequencies, but only ever
>get a weak signal. I find this strange as my alarm clock only has a
>basic wire antenna - far inferior to that of the Icom.
>
>So my questions are: Why am I hearing air traffic on 98.5MHz? and if my
>radio alarm can receive such a strong signal, why can't I pick it up
>with my R5?
>
>Regards
>
>Greg Bolshaw
Because odds are the signal you are receiving is an image,
try 2 x 10.7 (IF frequency for most FM receivers) +98.5, or 21.4 +98.5
=119.9 Mhz, which is right smack in the middle of the VHF air band.

5385. Re: Receiving air traffic on radio alarm clock

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-11 22:14:16 UTC
From: Bill <Bill@birchnet.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <ihCpuyY0vWLFFww$@birchnet.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 5265

In message <1160556534.445594.25820@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>, Greg
<greg@bolshaw.me.uk> writes
>Dear group
>
>I am having an interesting problem that I hope someone can explain to
>me.
>
>I live in the UK, about 30 miles from Manchester airport. When my radio

You don't happen to live near Kelsall do you? The transmitter site at
Whitegate gets into quite a few things it shouldn't near there. Not the
fault of the TX just poor RF rejection on the kit that hears it.
--
Bill

5386. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-12 01:00:50 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <6tgXg.8071$Y24.103@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5266
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:

> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
>
> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc

I don't know if we'll get Mad right away but it's clear the FCC and the
ARRL want to drive all good hams out of the service. Little by little
they'll take away the non-phone portions of the bands and hand it over to
the phone users. Like a frog in a pot of water that's raised to boiling
point, one day us real hams will find we have to place to communicate on
the ham bands that doesn't sound like Citizens Band.

SC

5387. Re: Hams just want to talk on microphones. They hate skill and everyone that's skillful

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-12 01:00:53 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <9tgXg.8073$Y24.5332@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5267
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Rich <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in
news:evhoi2h2min70s2029lh7abo4m8oiuuqhv@4ax.com:

> On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:32 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>Rich <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in
>>news:8ruli2pgdnkrr9gh70fpl9i5sn904va0b6@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:37 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Once things start rolling downhill it's hard to stop it. Look what
>>>>happened to CB. You want that on ham radio?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>SC
>>>
>>> you apparently aren't familiar with what's been going on down on
>>> 75/80m and also on 20m. read the fcc actions in most any ham magazine
>>> and you'll see that by and large the offenders are general class
>>> licensees and above.
>>>
>>> now, of course, not all, not even most, generals, advanced and extra
>>> class ticket holders act cause problems. heck, not even most hams.
>>> but i still don't see how doing ANY of the things you suggest will do
>>> anything EXCEPT thin the ranks which, in my mind, will do nothing but
>>> cause our service to become extinct.
>>
>>
>>And dumbing down the exams will make us irrelevant.
>>
>>SC
>
>
> who's suggesting anything about dumbing down the written test?
>
> 73,
> rich, n9dko

But the written tests have been dumbed down as well. You don't need to
answer as many questions over-all for the General and Extra as you used
to.

SC

5388. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-12 01:00:57 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <dtgXg.8075$Y24.2691@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5268
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
news:1160528893.541201.235850@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>> news:1160444791.838619.317470@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
>> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>> >> news:1160355034.027312.303950@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>> >>
>> >> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >> Could you pass all the tests again today, or would you have to
>> >> >> study a little first?
>> >> >
>> >> > I could pass them all again, no study needed. And that goes for
>> >> > written, Morse Code receiving and Morse Code sending.
>> >> >
>> >> > Bring out any FCC amateur radio license test from Novice to Extra,
>> >> > from any time since I first got my license in 1967, and I'll pass
>> >> > it. I'll probably even be able to pass tests from long before I
>> >> > was licensed, too.
>> >> >
>> >> > Every so often I try an online practice exam, just to be sure. No
>> >> > problem.
>> >> >
>> >> > BUT
>> >> >
>> >> > I don't see any reason to require routine retesting of hams who
>> >> > haven't broken the rules. FCC trusts us to keep current - that's
>> >> > part of the "conditions of grant".
>> >> >
>> >> > FCC currently uses retesting as a sort of enforcement tool - if
>> >> > someone acts like they don't know what the rules are, or if there
>> >> > is some doubt as to whether a test session was on the up-and-up,
>> >> > FCC will sometimes require a specific retest.
>> >> >
>> >> > If you demand that all hams be retested, what you're telling FCC
>> >> > is that we cannot be trusted to keep up with the rules. Is that a
>> >> > good thing?

>> >> >
>> >> > FCC doesn't require routine retesting of commercial licenses - why
>> >> > should they require it of amateurs?
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Everything has been dumbed down, not just ham licenses.
>> >
>> > I don't think so.
>> >
>> >> We can't have
>> >> skills anymore in america, everyone has to be equal.
>> >
>> > Where do you get that idea?
>> >
>> > Equality of opportunity, yes. That's not the same thing as equality
>> > of result.
>> >
>> >>That's what happens
>> >> when liberals get to make & change policy. Too many liberals in
>> >> government.
>> >>
>> > Either you don't know your history, or you've got some weird
>> > definition of "liberal".
>> >
>> > For example:
>> >
>> > Were JFK and LBJ "liberals"? It was under their administrations that
>> > we got the rules changes known as "incentive licensing".
>> >
>> > Were Ronald Reagan and George H. W. Bush "liberals"? It was under
>> > their administrations that we got:
>> >
>> > - FCC exams replaced by VEC exams
>> >
>> > - Openly published question pools
>> >
>> > - End of Morse Code sending test
>> >
>> > - General/Tech written test split in two parts so that Techs did not
>> > have same written as Generals
>> >
>> > - Medical waivers for 13 and 20 wpm code tests (Bush "asked" FCC for
>> > this directly, as a favor to a King that was a ham)
>> >
>> > - Technician lost its code test
>> >
>> > Is George "Dubya" Bush a "liberal"? Under his administration, we got:
>> >
>> > - FCC support for BPL
>> >

>> > - ITU treaty changed to eliminate wording requiring code test.
>> >
>> > - NPRM proposing complete elimination of code test
>> >
>> > Now - are all those folks reducing the requirements "liberals"?
>>
>>
>> It's the state of that nation.
>
> Which nation?
>
>> Everyone's got to have their handout.
>> Outcome based licensing. Can't have both quality and quantity anymore.
>>
>> SC
>
> You blamed it on "liberals". But look at who was in charge when all
> those reductions in requirements came about.

I emailed President Bush he should kick out all the Bill Clinton Liberals in the FCC after he got elected, but he didn't. I can't blame him though because he choose to tackle the terrorist mess Bill Clintoon left the country instead. I feel a lot safer now than I did under Clinton, and the economy is better too.

I just wish all you people would take a stand against dumbing things down any further. If it continues, it going to make hams irrelevant and our bands will sound like CB.

SC

5389. Re: I listen to Omega One Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-12 01:00:59 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ftgXg.8076$Y24.1470@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5269
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:MPG.1f9612a4234542aa989a40@news-server.carolina.rr.com:

> In article <eACVg.5938$Y24.3847@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> my.mail@no.spam says...
>> "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:1160134904.164213.315210@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
>> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >>
>> >> He doesn't have intellect.
>> >
>> > Why are you answering your own sockpuppets, Sniveling Cunt?
>> >
>>
>>
>> Mrs. Wiseman.
>>
>> You have a filthy mouth.
>>
>> SC
>>
> Pot Kettle Black!

Wrong.

Maybe you should undo your killfilter for a day or two so you can see what
the filth in RRAP looks like.

How's your CW practice coming along? Are you going to be a ham soon?

SC

5390. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-12 01:01:03 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <jtgXg.8079$Y24.1531@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5270
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
news:d4soi25f9fp1kg65l51m713abij9h7kpsa@4ax.com:

> On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> thusly:
>
>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>news:iovli2lg2oiscbvcg0ej0eq723cpithf94@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>> thusly:
>>>
>>>>k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote in
>>>>news:1160392657.932021.251780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Cecil Moore wrote:
>>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>>> > It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have everything
>>>>>> > over 30 MHz, but it's the code hams that are the one's moving ham
>>>>>> > radio forward and doing anything technical wise.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
>>>>>> century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
>>>>>> hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
>>>>>> technical expertise.
>>>>>
>>>>> Uhhhhhhhh...Cecil...??!?!
>>>>>
>>>>> Did you actually make that up, or just quote someone else who is
>>>>> equally ill informed?
>>>>>
>>>>> Most of the people who were "moving forward" half a century ago
>>>>> were ALSO folks who were active on and well known in HF circles...
>>>>>
>>>>> Unless, of course, you're now going to take Lennie's spin and
>>>>> tell
>>>>> us that any / everything reported in QST, CQ, etc, was only
>>>>> "self-serviing rhetoric"...?!?!
>>>>>
>>>>> Try again.
>>>>>
```

>>>>> Steve, K4YZ
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Anyone that puts out an argument to keep the code requirement gets
>>>>teased by Cecil. He loves to throw out some goof-ball counter reply to
>>>>try to be-little the original argument.
>>>
>>> Pot, meet kettle.
>>
>>
>>No. All my arguments are good sound arguments.
>
> Oh PuhlEEEZE!!! Spelling Canada with a "K" is a good sound argument?
> Calling me a "lazy ass" is a good sound argument? Claiming I get a
> handout is a good sound argument?
>
> You have NEVER put out ANY kind of argument at all!!! Why don't you
> try to cite some source that back up any of your "good sound
> arguments".
>
> Free hint: Hurling insults and innuendo does NOT a "good sound
> argument" make.
>
> Hope this helps.

I'm sorry Opus, but I refuse to lie. You did get a hand-out, and you're
Lazy because you refuse to learn CW to 20wpm, and too lazy to to use it.
You don't want to be a good capable communicator in every way. That's just
how it is. I'm sorry if the truth seems like an insult. You're right
about one thing, I spelled Kanada wrong, I meant to type KKKanaduh. Eh.

SC

5391. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-12 01:21:55 UTC
From: Rockinghorse Winner <rockinghorse@anonymous.to>
Message-ID: <452d98a4$0$34503$742ec2ed@news.sonic.net>
Source record: 5271
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up their
code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't need to
ask silly questions\

There are no silly questions, really. I mean no one is born knowing
stuff. If you don't ask how will you ever know?

NY6P, Lee
--
god bless

http://www.Hello-Radio.Com

http://home.xandros.com/products/home/home_edition.html

5392. Re: Hams just want to talk on microphones. They hate skill and everyone that's skillful

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-12 01:38:42 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <C0hXg.21927$lj.17787@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 5272
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> But the written tests have been dumbed down as well. You don't need to
> answer as many questions over-all for the General and Extra as you used

Are you ever capable of telling the truth?
My 1957 ARRL License Manual contains 279
Extra questions in 25 pages. My 2000 ARRL
Extra License Manual contains 439 questions
and 350 pages just for the Extra exam.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

5393. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-12 01:59:43 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <jkhXg.62116$5o5.52493@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 5273
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:6tgXg.8071$Y24.103@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
> news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>
>> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
>>
>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>
>
> I don't know if we'll get Mad right away but it's clear the FCC and the
> ARRL want to drive all good hams out of the service. Little by little
> they'll take away the non-phone portions of the bands and hand it over to
> the phone users. Like a frog in a pot of water that's raised to boiling
> point, one day us real hams will find we have to place to communicate on
> the ham bands that doesn't sound like Citizens Band.
>
> SC

Anyone got some of what he's smoking?

5394. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-12 02:16:04 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <b49ri2tjro2up3okv064v9k07nvoffed67@4ax.com>
Source record: 5274
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:01:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>news:d4soi25f9fp1kg65l51m713abij9h7kpsa@4ax.com:
>
>> On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>> thusly:
>>
>>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>>news:iovli2lg2oiscbvcg0ej0eq723cpithf94@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>>> thusly:
>>>>
>>>>>k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote in
>>>>>news:1160392657.932021.251780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Cecil Moore wrote:
>>>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>>>> > It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have everything
>>>>>>> > over 30 MHz, but it's the code hams that are the one's moving ham
>>>>>>> > radio forward and doing anything technical wise.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
>>>>>>> century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
>>>>>>> hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
>>>>>>> technical expertise.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Uhhhhhhhh...Cecil...??!?!
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Did you actually make that up, or just quote someone else who is
>>>>>> equally ill informed?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Most of the people who were "moving forward" half a century ago
>>>>>> were ALSO folks who were active on and well known in HF circles...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Unless, of course, you're now going to take Lennie's spin and
>>>>>> tell
>>>>>> us that any / everything reported in QST, CQ, etc, was only
>>>>>> "self-serviing rhetoric"...?!?!

>>>>>>
>>>>>> Try again.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Steve, K4YZ
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Anyone that puts out an argument to keep the code requirement gets
>>>>>teased by Cecil. He loves to throw out some goof-ball counter reply to
>>>>>try to be-little the original argument.
>>>>
>>>> Pot, meet kettle.
>>>
>>>
>>>No. All my arguments are good sound arguments.
>>
>> Oh PuhlEEEZE!!! Spelling Canada with a "K" is a good sound argument?
>> Calling me a "lazy ass" is a good sound argument? Claiming I get a
>> handout is a good sound argument?
>>
>> You have NEVER put out ANY kind of argument at all!!! Why don't you
>> try to cite some source that back up any of your "good sound
>> arguments".
>>
>> Free hint: Hurling insults and innuendo does NOT a "good sound
>> argument" make.
>>
>> Hope this helps.
>
>
>
>I'm sorry Opus, but I refuse to lie. You did get a hand-out, and you're
>Lazy because you refuse to learn CW to 20wpm, and too lazy to to use it.
>You don't want to be a good capable communicator in every way. That's just
>how it is. I'm sorry if the truth seems like an insult. You're right
>about one thing, I spelled Kanada wrong, I meant to type KKKanaduh. Eh.

Tell me right now what "handout" I have received. Back up your "good
sound arguments" or forever be a PROVEN liar!

You have lied and have been caught. You can't wiggle out of it.

Once again..you are a proven liar and a fool.

You are a disgrace to the amateur radio community.

--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

5395. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-12 02:19:51 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <7c9ri21p136061hhabebo7c6of6o64opun@4ax.com>
Source record: 5275
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:00:57 GMT, Blow a Toad <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>I just wish all you people would take a stand against dumbing things down
>any further. If it continues, it going to make hams irrelevant and our
>bands will sound like CB.

Right now, CB is totally dead. Nothing. Nada. Zip. Zilch.

Behavior like yours will push ham that way too. Who wants to be
associated with the likes of you?
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

5396. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-12 02:23:43 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <on9ri2h8f97dujcn6k882nki88kafc73am@4ax.com>
Source record: 5276
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:01:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>news:d4soi25f9fp1kg65l51m713abij9h7kpsa@4ax.com:
>
>> On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake

>>
>> Free hint: Hurling insults and innuendo does NOT a "good sound
>> argument" make.
>>
>> Hope this helps.
>
>
>
>I'm sorry Opus, but I refuse to lie.
then why do you lie so much
> You did get a hand-out, and you're
>Lazy because you refuse to learn CW to 20wpm, and too lazy to to use it.
where is your arguement?
>You don't want to be a good capable communicator in every way. That's just
>how it is. I'm sorry if the truth seems like an insult. You're right
>about one thing, I spelled Kanada wrong, I meant to type KKKanaduh. Eh.
clean up ham radio SC turn in YOUR license
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5397. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-12 02:24:39 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <6q9ri21fccd6jejo58ncunutnq4o8gs5sj@4ax.com>
Source record: 5277
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 02:16:04 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:

>On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:01:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>thusly:
>
>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>news:d4soi25f9fp1kg65l51m713abij9h7kpsa@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>> thusly:
>>>
>>>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>>>news:iovli2lg2oiscbvcg0ej0eq723cpithf94@4ax.com:
>>>>
>>>>> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>>>> thusly:
>>>>>
>>>>>>k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote in
>>>>>>news:1160392657.932021.251780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Cecil Moore wrote:
>>>>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>>>>> > It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have everything
>>>>>>>> > over 30 MHz, but it's the code hams that are the one's moving ham
>>>>>>>> > radio forward and doing anything technical wise.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
>>>>>>>> century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
>>>>>>>> hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
>>>>>>>> technical expertise.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Uhhhhhhhh...Cecil...??!?!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Did you actually make that up, or just quote someone else who is
>>>>>>> equally ill informed?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Most of the people who were "moving forward" half a century ago
>>>>>>> were ALSO folks who were active on and well known in HF circles...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Unless, of course, you're now going to take Lennie's spin and
>>>>>>> tell
```

>>>>>>> us that any / everything reported in QST, CQ, etc, was only
>>>>>>> "self-serviing rhetoric"...?!?!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Try again.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Steve, K4YZ
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Anyone that puts out an argument to keep the code requirement gets
>>>>>>teased by Cecil. He loves to throw out some goof-ball counter reply to
>>>>>>try to be-little the original argument.
>>>>>
>>>>> Pot, meet kettle.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>No. All my arguments are good sound arguments.
>>>
>>> Oh PuhlEEEZE!!! Spelling Canada with a "K" is a good sound argument?
>>> Calling me a "lazy ass" is a good sound argument? Claiming I get a
>>> handout is a good sound argument?
>>>
>>> You have NEVER put out ANY kind of argument at all!!! Why don't you
>>> try to cite some source that back up any of your "good sound
>>> arguments".
>>>
>>> Free hint: Hurling insults and innuendo does NOT a "good sound
>>> argument" make.
>>>
>>> Hope this helps.
>>
>>
>>
>>I'm sorry Opus, but I refuse to lie. You did get a hand-out, and you're
>>Lazy because you refuse to learn CW to 20wpm, and too lazy to to use it.
>>You don't want to be a good capable communicator in every way. That's just
>>how it is. I'm sorry if the truth seems like an insult. You're right
>>about one thing, I spelled Kanada wrong, I meant to type KKKanaduh. Eh.
>
>Tell me right now what "handout" I have received. Back up your "good
>sound arguments" or forever be a PROVEN liar!
he has been proven many times that is part of the reason he hides his
call most likely
>
>You have lied and have been caught. You can't wiggle out of it.
>
>Once again..you are a proven liar and a fool.
>
>You are a disgrace to the amateur radio community.
yep he sure is
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5398. Re: I listen to Omega One Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-12 02:25:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <hr9ri29la1igiuee01mjrke98326qtrij9@4ax.com>
Source record: 5278
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:00:59 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:MPG.1f9612a4234542aa989a40@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>

>>> You have a filthy mouth.
>>>
>>> SC
>>>
>> Pot Kettle Black!
>
>
>Wrong.
you have a filthy mouth (or keyboard if you prefer to get technical sc
>
>Maybe you should undo your killfilter for a day or two so you can see what
>the filth in RRAP looks like.
he sees you tand if he catches a fragament or 2 from wismen and
robeson he know
>
>How's your CW practice coming along? Are you going to be a ham soon?
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5399. . SC hates everyone that's skillful

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-12 02:41:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <4pari219d9dpnnid04lb4fkpld4b5ns6gf@4ax.com>
Source record: 5279
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:00:53 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Rich <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in
>news:evhoi2h2min70s2029lh7abo4m8oiuuqhv@4ax.com:
>
>> On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:32 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>
>>>
>>>And dumbing down the exams will make us irrelevant.
>>>
>>>SC
>>
>>
>> who's suggesting anything about dumbing down the written test?
>>
>> 73,
>> rich, n9dko
>
>
>But the written tests have been dumbed down as well. You don't need to
>answer as many questions over-all for the General and Extra as you used
>to.
so what?

that may just eman the question are better
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5400. Sc the paranoid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-12 03:08:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <orari25hnft00cpivu45oskh1revqljteq@4ax.com>
Source record: 5280
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:00:50 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
>news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>
>> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
>>
>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>
>
>I don't know if we'll get Mad right away but it's clear the FCC and the
>ARRL want to drive all good hams out of the service.
get some therapy
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5401. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-12 03:28:14 UTC
From: Charlie <charlie@ease.biz>
Message-ID: <12irdj2c8dbghe8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 5281
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Might be he's toking down on some Hawaiian Salvia Divinorum....(google it)
-ps there is a Salvia ng too.....

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:jkhXg.62116$5o5.52493@tornado.texas.rr.com...
>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:6tgXg.8071$Y24.103@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> "Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
>> news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>>
>>> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
>>>
>>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>>
>>
>> I don't know if we'll get Mad right away but it's clear the FCC and the
>> ARRL want to drive all good hams out of the service. Little by little
>> they'll take away the non-phone portions of the bands and hand it over to
>> the phone users. Like a frog in a pot of water that's raised to boiling
>> point, one day us real hams will find we have to place to communicate on
>> the ham bands that doesn't sound like Citizens Band.
>>
>> SC
>
> Anyone got some of what he's smoking?
>
>

5402. Re: Entering Military 140 MHz trunking freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-12 05:22:46 UTC
From: Paul Hirose <jvcmz89uwf@earINVALIDthlink.net>
Message-ID: <GikXg.8150$Y24.7920@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5282

"Paul Hirose" <jvcmz89uwf@earINVALIDthlink.net> wrote in message
news:GRbXg.7946$Y24.7271@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> That should do it. There are other selections on the trunking menu,
> but they aren't necessary to get started monitoring the system.

Oops, forgot to mention something. There are two different modes for scanning trunked systems: ID Scan and ID Search. In ID Scan, only the talkgroups you have programmed into the scanner (via the menu mentioned above) are heard. If you're getting no voice from the trunked system, that could be the reason.

In ID Search, all talkgroups are heard.

Well, sort of. In either mode, the talkgroups you have locked out are ignored. The trunking menu has a selection to display the list of locked out talkgroups, where you can remove any desired talkgroup from the list or clear the whole list in a single button push.

The display will show which mode is in effect. To switch modes, press the SEARCH or SCAN button while the scanner is on the trunked system (either outputting voice or simply checking it for traffic).

All the above is true for the BC796D. I'm guessing your scanner is similar.

--
Paul Hirose <jvcmz89uwf@earINVALIDthlink.net>
To reply by email remove INVALID

5403. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-12 20:55:48 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <qrati2lanmdcj2sdemhmd3j8np2su4t75n@4ax.com>
Source record: 5283
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:37:26 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Glenn <gdickso@swbell.net> wrote in
>news:ATfWg.10100$vJ2.5193@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com:
>
>> Maybe he did or didn't, but I did....
>
>You suffer from little radio syndrome? Ten-Four? Breaker, Breaker?
>
>SC

probably got a " limp " mic cord and low " power output " .
40 roger there little buddie !!!

k

5404. Re: IM ASKING FOR DONATIONS FOR HEART SURGERY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-12 21:19:07 UTC
From: Wolfgang Fartbubble <swift240@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <452EB149.2050100@yahoo.co.uk>
Source record: 5284
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster

mike wrote:
> hello i always figured this would never happen to me but my heart is having serious issuses do to a birth defect (i was born with a hole in my heart)and need money to have the surgery to get the hole fix so i am asking for donations to help with the cost since i dont have insurance so if you have a paypal account please look into your heart and donate a dollar or two my paypal emial is mcollins7373@msn.com i need around 20,000 dollars usd to have the surgery done. This is my last resort for money i have tried goverment agencies family and friends and to my dissapointment nothing happened SO PLEASE I NEED HELP thank you so much mike collins
>
>
>
>
> uW18P>^&K2[o%09E*`x[9K%bwh'bt/QIr8!Vk MwoA)75ElpSj?Z[U`)tK`%OLP#m2qLF<MuIR5q.lYC;M:1Lc>:aQcG]SjrTf$
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0641-3, 12/10/2006
> Tested on: 12/10/2006 22:13:03
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>
I have thought about your plight, and I will sell all my things, like
DVD player, VHS recorder all my Computers, all my Playstation things, my TV.
All my worldly good`s just so you can get better.
The check will be in the post to you as I finish typing. I only hope you
can hang in there, I am sure we are all doing our very best for you.
We can all see that you are a genuine case and are well worthy of our help.

AHHHHHHH..... fuck you, I have just changed my mind.

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0641-3, 12/10/2006
Tested on: 12/10/2006 22:19:06
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

5405. Re: I punce in aigaon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-12 22:09:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <452eb039$0$19636$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 5285
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.sports.college.michigan

ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
so
bringit on you loosers

show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW
rendered phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and
SC a few just decided to not to be as hateful

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5406. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-12 22:19:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <7qfti2d09g1gdglgd2trrn09ehmhtbe4pm@4ax.com>
Source record: 5286
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.sports.college.michigan

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 18:09:48 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote:

>ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
id theft is a crimes
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5407. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-13 00:17:58 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <WWAXg.10750$o71.8765@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5287
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

krackula <krackula@i.am> wrote in
news:qrati2lanmdcj2sdemhmd3j8np2su4t75n@4ax.com:

> On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:37:26 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>Glenn <gdickso@swbell.net> wrote in
>>news:ATfWg.10100$vJ2.5193@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com:
>>
>>> Maybe he did or didn't, but I did....
>>
>>You suffer from little radio syndrome? Ten-Four? Breaker, Breaker?
>>
>>SC
>
>
> probably got a " limp " mic cord and low " power output " .
> 40 roger there little buddie !!!
>
>
> k

That's what has always cracked me up about CB WADIO cops. Why do they
attack others on the internet when they should be cleaning up the 11 meter
airwaves in their home towns. What a bunch of Loons.

SC

5408. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-13 00:18:03 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <%WAXg.10752$o71.6562@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5288
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
news:jkhXg.62116$5o5.52493@tornado.texas.rr.com:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:6tgXg.8071$Y24.103@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> "Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
>> news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>>
>>> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
>>>
>>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>>
>>
>> I don't know if we'll get Mad right away but it's clear the FCC and the
>> ARRL want to drive all good hams out of the service. Little by little
>> they'll take away the non-phone portions of the bands and hand it over
>> to the phone users. Like a frog in a pot of water that's raised to
>> boiling point, one day us real hams will find we have to place to
>> communicate on the ham bands that doesn't sound like Citizens Band.
>>
>> SC
>
> Anyone got some of what he's smoking?

I smoke Marlboro, but you're not man enough to smoke'em. You should stick
with your Virginia Slims.

Make any CW contacts lately?

SC

5409. Re: Hams just want to talk on microphones. They hate skill and everyone that's skillful

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-13 00:18:04 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <0XAXg.10753$o71.2956@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5289
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:C0hXg.21927$Ij.17787@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> But the written tests have been dumbed down as well. You don't need to
>> answer as many questions over-all for the General and Extra as you used
>
> Are you ever capable of telling the truth?
> My 1957 ARRL License Manual contains 279
> Extra questions in 25 pages. My 2000 ARRL
> Extra License Manual contains 439 questions
> and 350 pages just for the Extra exam.

When you sit down at a VE test session the number of questions you have to
answer over-all to be a Tech, General, or Extra class is less than it used to
be and that's not including the questions lost when CW was dumbed down.

SC

5410. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-13 00:18:06 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <2XAXg.10754$o71.2542@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5290
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Gropus <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
news:7c9ri21p136061hhabebo7c6of6o64opun@4ax.com:

> On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:00:57 GMT, Blow a Toad <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> thusly:
>
>
>>I just wish all you people would take a stand against dumbing things
>>down any further. If it continues, it going to make hams irrelevant and
>>our bands will sound like CB.
>
> Right now, CB is totally dead. Nothing. Nada. Zip. Zilch.
>
> Behavior like yours will push ham that way too. Who wants to be
> associated with the likes of you?

Everyone that desires to be a good ham and valuable asset to the radio
service and to their country do.

Now how's your CW practice coming along? Are you practicing or did you
decide to pack it in and just talk on a mic like other lazy KKKanadians.
Eh?

SC

5411. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-13 00:18:15 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <bXAXg.10759$o71.6581@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5291
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
news:b49ri2tjro2up3okv064v9k07nvoffed67@4ax.com:

> On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:01:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> thusly:
>
>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>news:d4soi25f9fp1kg65l51m713abij9h7kpsa@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>> thusly:
>>>
>>>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>>>news:iovli2lg2oiscbvcg0ej0eq723cpithf94@4ax.com:
>>>>
>>>> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>>> thusly:
>>>>
>>>>>k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote in
>>>>>news:1160392657.932021.251780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>
>>>>>>> Cecil Moore wrote:
>>>>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>>>>> > It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have everything
>>>>>>>> > over 30 MHz, but it's the code hams that are the one's moving
>>>>>>>> > ham radio forward and doing anything technical wise.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
>>>>>>>> century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
>>>>>>>> hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
>>>>>>>> technical expertise.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Uhhhhhhhh...Cecil...??!?!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Did you actually make that up, or just quote someone else who
>>>>>>> is
>>>>>>> equally ill informed?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Most of the people who were "moving forward" half a century
>>>>>>> ago
>>>>>>> were ALSO folks who were active on and well known in HF circles...
```

>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Unless, of course, you're now going to take Lennie's spin and
>>>>>>> tell
>>>>>>> us that any / everything reported in QST, CQ, etc, was only
>>>>>>> "self-serviing rhetoric"...?!?!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Try again.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Steve, K4YZ
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Anyone that puts out an argument to keep the code requirement gets
>>>>>>teased by Cecil. He loves to throw out some goof-ball counter reply
>>>>>>to try to be-little the original argument.
>>>>>
>>>>> Pot, meet kettle.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>No. All my arguments are good sound arguments.
>>>
>>> Oh PuhlEEEZE!!! Spelling Canada with a "K" is a good sound argument?
>>> Calling me a "lazy ass" is a good sound argument? Claiming I get a
>>> handout is a good sound argument?
>>>
>>> You have NEVER put out ANY kind of argument at all!!! Why don't you
>>> try to cite some source that back up any of your "good sound
>>> arguments".
>>>
>>> Free hint: Hurling insults and innuendo does NOT a "good sound
>>> argument" make.
>>>
>>> Hope this helps.
>>
>>
>>
>>I'm sorry Opus, but I refuse to lie. You did get a hand-out, and you're
>>Lazy because you refuse to learn CW to 20wpm, and too lazy to to use it.
>>You don't want to be a good capable communicator in every way. That's
>>just how it is. I'm sorry if the truth seems like an insult. You're
>>right about one thing, I spelled Kanada wrong, I meant to type
>>KKKanaduh. Eh.
>
> Tell me right now what "handout" I have received. Back up your "good
> sound arguments" or forever be a PROVEN liar!

KKKanadian licenses are all hand-outs now, just like your health care system, but that's not your fault and I don't blame you for it. Still, if you want to be a real ham you might want to get your code speed up so you can communicate with other real hams. We'd love communicating with ya.

73
de Slow Code

5412. Plagiarism: Is this N9OGL's, K4YZ's, or N0VFP's high school pic?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-13 00:39:55 UTC
From: Chris <millerc238@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <3dcd1b7f.991075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 5292
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 00:18:12 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> Definately got some Mark Morgan type DNA in the family tree
[snip]

Nope. You plagiarized that from http://www.blogger.com/profile/20477434.

At least take the time to think up your own material.

5413. clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-13 00:50:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <7moti2pbs2l341riv2oiivsbtqjbd9kksc@4ax.com>
Source record: 5293
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 00:17:58 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>krackula <krackula@i.am> wrote in

>
>That's what has always cracked me up about CB WADIO cops. Why do they
>attack others on the internet when they should be cleaning up the 11 meter
>airwaves in their home towns. What a bunch of Loons.
clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5414. clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-13 00:53:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <uroti2dv2kcafauen1mld2qkqrka34dfj6@4ax.com>
Source record: 5294
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 00:18:06 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Gropus <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>news:7c9ri21p136061hhabebo7c6of6o64opun@4ax.com:
>
>> On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:00:57 GMT, Blow a Toad <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>> thusly:
>>
>>
>>>I just wish all you people would take a stand against dumbing things
>>>down any further. If it continues, it going to make hams irrelevant and
>>>our bands will sound like CB.
>>
>> Right now, CB is totally dead. Nothing. Nada. Zip. Zilch.
>>
>> Behavior like yours will push ham that way too. Who wants to be
>> associated with the likes of you?
>
>
>Everyone that desires to be a good ham and valuable asset to the radio
>service and to their country do.
>
>Now how's your CW practice coming along? Are you practicing or did you
>decide to pack it in and just talk on a mic like other lazy KKKanadians.
>Eh?
clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license

>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5415. clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-13 00:55:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ovoti29eb274t4f6jcv48532v8abvohrdu@4ax.com>
Source record: 5295
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 00:18:04 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in
>news:C0hXg.21927$Ij.17787@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com:
>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> But the written tests have been dumbed down as well. You don't need to
>>> answer as many questions over-all for the General and Extra as you used
>>
>> Are you ever capable of telling the truth?
>> My 1957 ARRL License Manual contains 279
>> Extra questions in 25 pages. My 2000 ARRL
>> Extra License Manual contains 439 questions
>> and 350 pages just for the Extra exam.
>
>
>When you sit down at a VE test session the number of questions you have to
>answer over-all to be a Tech, General, or Extra class is less than it used to
>be

so what?
clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5416. clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-13 00:56:43 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <c1pti2ljp7aj0fn1v1o2hr1r0k5umcl74m@4ax.com>
Source record: 5296
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 00:18:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>news:jkhXg.62116$5o5.52493@tornado.texas.rr.com:
>
>>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:6tgXg.8071$Y24.103@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> "Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
>>> news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>>>
>>>> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
>>>>
>>>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>>>
>>>
>>> I don't know if we'll get Mad right away but it's clear the FCC and the
>>> ARRL want to drive all good hams out of the service. Little by little
>>> they'll take away the non-phone portions of the bands and hand it over
>>> to the phone users. Like a frog in a pot of water that's raised to
>>> boiling point, one day us real hams will find we have to place to
>>> communicate on the ham bands that doesn't sound like Citizens Band.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> Anyone got some of what he's smoking?
>
>
>I smoke Marlboro, but you're not man enough to smoke'em. You should stick
>with your Virginia Slims.

you just proven yourself stupid ...for smoking

clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license
>
>Make any CW contacts lately?
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5417. Re: Plagiarism: Is this N9OGL's, K4YZ's, or N0VFP's high school pic?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-13 01:41:40 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160703700.656208.156620@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5297
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Chris wrote:
> On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 00:18:12 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >
> > Definately got some Mark Morgan type DNA in the family tree
> [snip]
>
> Nope. You plagiarized that from http://www.blogger.com/profile/20477434.
>
> At least take the time to think up your own material.

it's not my picture...it's someone pretending to be me.

Todd N9OGL

5418. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-13 02:05:18 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <lqsti29qnfqt7cmsorhgmcjd5c1757hoq7@4ax.com>
Source record: 5298
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 00:18:15 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>news:b49ri2tjro2up3okv064v9k07nvoffed67@4ax.com:
>
>> On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:01:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>> thusly:
>>
>>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>>news:d4soi25f9fp1kg65l51m713abij9h7kpsa@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>> On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>>> thusly:
>>>>
>>>>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>>>>news:iovli2lg2oiscbvcg0ej0eq723cpithf94@4ax.com:
>>>>>
>>>>>> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>>>>> thusly:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote in
>>>>>>>news:1160392657.932021.251780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Cecil Moore wrote:
>>>>>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>>>>>> > It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have everything
>>>>>>>>> > over 30 MHz, but it's the code hams that are the one's moving
>>>>>>>>> > ham radio forward and doing anything technical wise.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
>>>>>>>>> century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
>>>>>>>>> hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
>>>>>>>>> technical expertise.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Uhhhhhhhh...Cecil...??!?!
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Did you actually make that up, or just quote someone else who
>>>>>>>> is
>>>>>>>> equally ill informed?
>>>>>>>>
```

>>>>>>>> Most of the people who were "moving forward" half a century
>>>>>>>> ago
>>>>>>>> were ALSO folks who were active on and well known in HF circles...
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Unless, of course, you're now going to take Lennie's spin and
>>>>>>>> tell
>>>>>>>> us that any / everything reported in QST, CQ, etc, was only
>>>>>>>> "self-serviing rhetoric"...?!?!
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Try again.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Steve, K4YZ
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Anyone that puts out an argument to keep the code requirement gets
>>>>>>>teased by Cecil. He loves to throw out some goof-ball counter reply
>>>>>>>to try to be-little the original argument.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Pot, meet kettle.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>No. All my arguments are good sound arguments.
>>>>
>>>> Oh PuhlEEEZE!!! Spelling Canada with a "K" is a good sound argument?
>>>> Calling me a "lazy ass" is a good sound argument? Claiming I get a
>>>> handout is a good sound argument?
>>>>
>>>> You have NEVER put out ANY kind of argument at all!!! Why don't you
>>>> try to cite some source that back up any of your "good sound
>>>> arguments".
>>>>
>>>> Free hint: Hurling insults and innuendo does NOT a "good sound
>>>> argument" make.
>>>>
>>>> Hope this helps.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>I'm sorry Opus, but I refuse to lie. You did get a hand-out, and you're
>>>Lazy because you refuse to learn CW to 20wpm, and too lazy to to use it.
>>>You don't want to be a good capable communicator in every way. That's
>>>just how it is. I'm sorry if the truth seems like an insult. You're
>>>right about one thing, I spelled Kanada wrong, I meant to type
>>>KKKanaduh. Eh.
>>
>> Tell me right now what "handout" I have received. Back up your "good
>> sound arguments" or forever be a PROVEN liar!
>
>
>KKKanadian licenses are all hand-outs now, just like your health care

>system, but that's not your fault and I don't blame you for it. Still, if
>you want to be a real ham you might want to get your code speed up so you
>can communicate with other real hams. We'd love communicating with ya.

Your blunt refusal to back up anything you claim simply proves to the
world what kind of loser you are. Can you deduct your mortgage
interest from your income tax? You can? Nice handout because I can't.

Welcome to the killfile, you pathetic little turd, along with the rest
of the little trolls hiding under bridges.

You're depriving a village somewhere of an idiot.

--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

5419. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-13 02:06:35 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160705194.947777.295270@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5299
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Opus- wrote:
> On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:01:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> thusly:
> >Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
> >news:d4soi25f9fp1kg65l51m713abij9h7kpsa@4ax.com:
> >> On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> >> thusly:
> >>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
> >>>news:iovli2lg2oiscbvcg0ej0eq723cpithf94@4ax.com:
> >>>
> >>>> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> >>>> thusly:
> >>>>
> >>>>k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote in
> >>>>news:1160392657.932021.251780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:

> >>>>> Cecil Moore wrote:
> >>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
> >>>>>> > It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have everything
> >>>>>> > over 30 MHz, but it's the code hams that are the one's moving ham
> >>>>>> > radio forward and doing anything technical wise.

> >>>>>> If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
> >>>>>> century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
> >>>>>> hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
> >>>>>> technical expertise.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Uhhhhhhhh...Cecil...??!?!
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Did you actually make that up, or just quote someone else who is
> >>>>> equally ill informed?
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Most of the people who were "moving forward" half a century ago
> >>>>> were ALSO folks who were active on and well known in HF circles...
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Unless, of course, you're now going to take Lennie's spin and
> >>>>> tell
> >>>>> us that any / everything reported in QST, CQ, etc, was only
> >>>>> "self-serviing rhetoric"...?!?!
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Try again.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Steve, K4YZ

> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Anyone that puts out an argument to keep the code requirement gets
> >>>>>teased by Cecil. He loves to throw out some goof-ball counter reply to
> >>>>>try to be-little the original argument.
> >>>>
> >>>> Pot, meet kettle.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>No. All my arguments are good sound arguments.
> >>
> >> Oh PuhlEEEZE!!! Spelling Canada with a "K" is a good sound argument?
> >> Calling me a "lazy ass" is a good sound argument? Claiming I get a
> >> handout is a good sound argument?
> >>
> >> You have NEVER put out ANY kind of argument at all!!! Why don't you
> >> try to cite some source that back up any of your "good sound
> >> arguments".
> >>
> >> Free hint: Hurling insults and innuendo does NOT a "good sound
> >> argument" make.
> >>
> >> Hope this helps.
>
> >I'm sorry Opus, but I refuse to lie. You did get a hand-out, and you're
> >Lazy because you refuse to learn CW to 20wpm, and too lazy to to use it.

"Slow Code" does not know what code speed "Opus" can do. He simply
assumes.

Note that we do not know "Slow Code's" code speed, either.

> >You don't want to be a good capable communicator in every way. That's just
> >how it is. I'm sorry if the truth seems like an insult. You're right
> >about one thing, I spelled Kanada wrong, I meant to type KKKanaduh. Eh.

Xenophobic attack rather than reasoned argument.

> Tell me right now what "handout" I have received. Back up your "good
> sound arguments" or forever be a PROVEN liar!
>
> You have lied and have been caught. You can't wiggle out of it.
>
> Once again..you are a proven liar and a fool.
>
> You are a disgrace to the amateur radio community.

Opus, you are making a simple but common logical mistake: You are
taking "Slow Code" seriously.

5420. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-13 02:22:15 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160706135.579599.307090@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5300
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Opus- wrote:
> > On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:01:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> > thusly:

> > >I'm sorry Opus, but I refuse to lie. You did get a hand-out, and you're
> > >Lazy because you refuse to learn CW to 20wpm, and too lazy to to use it.
>
> "Slow Code" does not know what code speed "Opus" can do. He simply
> *assumes*.
of course
>
> Note that we do not know "Slow Code's" code speed, either.
>
> > >You don't want to be a good capable communicator in every way. That's just
> > >how it is. I'm sorry if the truth seems like an insult. You're right
> > >about one thing, I spelled Kanada wrong, I meant to type KKKanaduh. Eh.
>
> Xenophobic attack rather than reasoned argument.

indeed which is the standard in procode wrold these days
>
> > Tell me right now what "handout" I have received. Back up your "good
> > sound arguments" or forever be a PROVEN liar!
> >
> > You have lied and have been caught. You can't wiggle out of it.
> >
> > Once again..you are a proven liar and a fool.
> >
> > You are a disgrace to the amateur radio community.
>
> Opus, you are making a simple but common logical mistake: You are
> taking "Slow Code" seriously.
why not he posts just like Robeson and Wismen and Heil

5421. sprog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-13 02:38:14 UTC
From: mats.peterson@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1160707094.676757.276790@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5201

sprog is a simple Unix utility for programming Uniden Bearcat scanners.
It is primarily intended as a ``batch processor", but it can also be
used interactively. It supports at least the following scanner models:

American: BC780XLT BC785D BC796D BC250D BC296D
European: UBC780XLT UBC785XLT UBC3300XLT

URL: http://hamradio.sm5sxl.net

Regards,
Mats Peterson

5422. Re: sprog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-13 02:46:52 UTC
From: Somewhere Nowhere <skypilot@writeme.com>
Message-ID: <fdvti2h23t6ggfod6hqjiuvqp8l4ksou6l@4ax.com>
Source record: 5202

On 12 Oct 2006 19:38:14 -0700, mats.peterson@gmail.com wrote:

>sprog is a simple Unix utility for programming Uniden Bearcat scanners.
>It is primarily intended as a ``batch processor", but it can also be
>used interactively. It supports at least the following scanner models:
>
>American: BC780XLT BC785D BC796D BC250D BC296D
>European: UBC780XLT UBC785XLT UBC3300XLT
>
>URL: http://hamradio.sm5sxl.net
>
>Regards,
>Mats Peterson

Ok, Mats. Sounds interesting, but what does "SPROG" stand for??

Cheers

Brian
--

Brian J. Rueger "Who dares wins"
Retired Capt./Paramedic Hampton, VA.
B.S.Comm/I/Pilot MSgt, USAF (Ret.) 49199
Check out my personal home page: http://members.cox.net/brueger
Check out my photography: http://www.usefilm.com/member/skypilot
"Life's too short to drink LITE beer!"

5423. Re: sprog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-13 02:49:31 UTC
From: mats.peterson@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1160707771.431464.16040@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5203

Scanner PROGramming :)

Mats

Somewhere Nowhere skrev:

> On 12 Oct 2006 19:38:14 -0700, mats.peterson@gmail.com wrote:
>
> >sprog is a simple Unix utility for programming Uniden Bearcat scanners.
> >It is primarily intended as a ``batch processor", but it can also be
> >used interactively. It supports at least the following scanner models:
> >
> >American: BC780XLT BC785D BC796D BC250D BC296D
> >European: UBC780XLT UBC785XLT UBC3300XLT
> >
> >URL: http://hamradio.sm5sxl.net
> >
> >Regards,
> >Mats Peterson
>
> Ok, Mats. Sounds interesting, but what does "SPROG" stand for??
>
> Cheers
>
> Brian
> --
> ---
> Brian J. Rueger "Who dares wins"
> Retired Capt./Paramedic Hampton, VA.
> B.S.Comm/I/Pilot MSgt, USAF (Ret.) 49199
> Check out my personal home page: http://members.cox.net/brueger
> Check out my photography: http://www.usefilm.com/member/skypilot
> "Life's too short to drink LITE beer!"
> ---

5424. Re: Ping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-13 03:22:00 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160709720.773901.239720@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5204
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: N...@AOL.COM on Wed, Oct 11 2006 3:38 am

>Opus- wrote:
>> On 5 Oct 2006 17:05:58 -0700, N...@AOL.COM spake thusly:
>> >Opus- wrote:
> >> On 5 Oct 2006 04:26:28 -0700, N...@AOL.COM spake thusly:
>> >> >Opus- wrote:

>But when you really listen to the way most people speak, the speed is
>limited by many things. There's a lot of redundancy in the way many
>people speak, pauses, repeats, "ums" and "ahs', and little phrases
>tossed in while the person thinks of what to say next. Meanwhile, the
>skilled Morse Code operator is using abbreviations and other shortcuts
>that effectively increase the speed way beyond the raw wpm.

A comparison between a poor speaker and a skilled
radiotelegrapher is worthy HOW? To shine up the
"skilled radiotelegrapher?" [of course...]

Compare a good speaker and a poor, unskilled radio-
telegrapher's sending and speech becomes way, way
faster.

>> With todays electronics, size and weight really aren't much of an
>> issue.
>
>I disagree to a point! Look at the size, weight and performance of HF
>rigs that you can carry with you. Is there any HF ham rig that's
>SSB-capable that can compete with the Elecraft KX-1?

AN/PRC-104...back-pack HF SSB transceiver, operational
since 1984. Built by (then) Hughes Aircraft Ground
Systems (Hughes purchased by Raytheon).

For civilian-only, try the SGC 2020 SSB HF transceiver
used by private boat owners as well as hams.

>For fixed-station use, there isn't much size/weight difference, if any.
>But when you need to carry the rig and batteries any real distance, the
>differences become apparent. This is also when you will find that the
>difference in low power performance really matters.

The PRC-104 has an integral automatic antenna matching
package (to the right of the transceiver itself). This
insures that the manpack set's whip antenna is always
tuned for optimum radiated transmission power.

SGC has several antenna autotuner models available;
separate equipments.

>Or consider this analogy: It's one thing to drive a car with all the
>modern conveniences - power steering, automatic transmission, power
>brakes, cruise control, climate control, etc., and doing it on a smooth
>straight highway. It's a different experience to drive a car without
>all those things, on a winding country road where the driver's skill
>makes a big difference.

You have much experience on "winding country roads?" :-)

[of course you do, you are an amateur extra morseman...]

Are you advocating "no-frills" personal vehicles? Why?

I learned to drive in a 1939 Ford, NO automatic trans-
mission, NO power steering, NO power brakes, No cruise
control, NO "climate control" other than the standard
heater. Training ground was an abandoned army camp, one
which DID have a few "winding (dirt) roads." If you
think for one minute that I would give up a nice,
comfortable, well-equipped 2005 Chevy Malibu MAXX just
to "rough it" for SOMEONE ELSE'S IDEA of what constitutes
"good driving," you've got your head up your ass.

Having earned my Army driving license, I will personally
challenge you to a Jeep gymkhana (Jeep circa 1940s-1960s)
at everything from "smooth straight highways" through
"winding country roads" on to OFF-ROAD ANYTHING. I will
WIN. Been there, did that, got T-shirts, etc.

That standard issue Jeep had NO amenities except for the
post-1950 winch and cable over the front bumper. "Climate
control" was whatever the climate was outside. The "power
transmission" was a couple gear shifts operated by arm
strength and experienced clutch operation. Ptui.

HOW MANY personal vehicles have YOU DESIGNED and BUILT?
Include auto kits if you need to.

HOW MANY thousands of miles have YOU driven? Over "winding
country roads?" [I don't think so unless you count the
old driveway to the Doylestown Barn Cinema...] I've driven
the VERY winding country road (rough surface) to a Wyoming
working ranch (cattle brand registered in Wyoming is "B-1
Bomber") from/to highway.

>> Perhaps the typical ages of people who prefer code could be a factor.
>> It does tend to be considerably older people who prefer code.
>
>I disagree - for two reasons!
>
>First I have found amateurs of all ages who are interested in Morse

>Code.

If all you have is a hammer, naturally everything looks
like a nail to you...

>I have found that young people are interested *if* Morse Code is
>presented correctly.

Sado-masochism is still prevalent in the human condition.

>Some say that, in the modern world, young people who grew up with cell
>phones and the internet aren't going to sit still for something like
>Morse Code - or amateur radio. And many won't.

Unquantified numbers. You are waffling on your emotional
reasons.

>However, the very fact that Morse Code is unusual is a big attraction
>to some of them - *because* it's so different and unusual. They've seen
>voice comms - they all have cellphones! Typing on a keyboard and
>reading a screen is something they've seen since they were babies.

One in three Americans has a cell phone. Census Bureau said
so in a public statement in 2004.

Back in the late 1940s - a time well before cell phones, personal
computers, with (mostly) only sound broadcasting - there was NO
great "novelty" or "interest" in morse code communications. Been
there, seen that, see no difference now.

> But
>Morse Code is completely different. That's what draws many young people
>- just look at the acceptance of the Harry Potter books.

So, write the author of the "Harry Potter" series and have
her (J. K. Rowling) "introduce" morse code as "magic." :-)

BWAAAAAAAAAHHHHHHAAAAAAAAAHAAHAAHAAHAAHAAHAA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

* M A G I C M O R S E *

BWAAAAAAAAAHHHHHHAAAAAAAAAHAAHAAHAAHAAHAAHAA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>But some things can be preserved - values, skills, culture. Even if the
>people and places change.

Preservation of the Past is the job of MUSEUMS.

Why do you insist on keeping a "living museum" in amateur
radio through federal license testing for morse code in
only AMATEUR radio?

YOU had to test for it so everyone else has to...

Fraternal order HAZING having NO tangible value
except to amuse those ALREADY tested for code.

5425. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-13 03:31:18 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160710278.785000.124190@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5205
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Opus- wrote:
> > On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:01:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> > thusly:
> > >Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
> > >news:d4soi25f9fp1kg65l51m713abij9h7kpsa@4ax.com:
> > >> On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> > >> thusly:
> > >>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
> > >>>news:iovli2lg2oiscbvcg0ej0eq723cpithf94@4ax.com:
> > >>>
> > >>>> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> > >>>> thusly:
> > >>>>
> > >>>>>k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote in
> > >>>>>news:1160392657.932021.251780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >>>>>> Cecil Moore wrote:
> > >>>>>>> Slow Code wrote:

> Opus, you are making a simple but common logical mistake: You are
> taking "Slow Code" seriously.

Are we supposed to take YOU "seriously?" :-)

Now keep hunting for those PCs that use vacuum tubes, old
computerist...

5426. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-13 04:01:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <fs3ui2h906j1pj7563bsj0k41c0a2i9iv7@4ax.com>
Source record: 5206
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 14:30:14 -0500, Nada Tapu <user@host.domain>
wrote:

>On Fri, 18 Aug 2006 21:07:15 -0500, "wa0kbz" <wa0kbz@charter.net>
>wrote:
>
>>Glen
>>Don't know if I 100% agree with your code speeds but I do thing the NO Code
>>should be for 1 year and none renewable.
>>I had to take my license in front of the FCC and not so VE who may or may
>>not help you out to get a license.
>>As I am a big VHFer I don't know how these NO Code guys are going to
>>understand beacons. They are all code and most at 10 ~ 20 wpm. I guess the
>>next thing will be, they will want all beacons either digital or voice. YUK!
>>Not up in the GHZ range yet but maybe some day.
>>73, Bill, WA0KBZ
>
>Right on, Bill! Thanks for your thoughts.
why is there any need decode the beacon simply hearing the beacon tell
you that the band is open somewhere
>
>NT
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5427. Re: Plagiarism: Is this N9OGL's, K4YZ's, or N0VFP's high school pic?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-13 10:58:18 UTC
From: Chris <millerc238@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <4nic8d1b7f.991075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 5207
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 12 Oct 2006 18:41:40 -0700, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> it's not my picture...it's someone pretending to be me.
>
> Todd N9OGL

You have to admit that he does look an awful lot like you, though.

http://www.blogger.com/profile/20477434

5428. Re: sprog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-13 14:11:18 UTC
From: Somewhere Nowhere <skypilot@writeme.com>
Message-ID: <5j7vi29e34tr5f0av183v99lbcarf9u92p@4ax.com>
Source record: 5208

On 12 Oct 2006 19:49:31 -0700, mats.peterson@gmail.com wrote:

>Scanner PROGramming :)
>
>Mats
>

Sorry. I guess I should have seen that!!

Bian

5429. Re: Thomasville police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-13 15:24:56 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <tsbvi298mdepve1atkf7gh4m6fcjo00ke6@4ax.com>
Source record: 5209

On 10 Oct 2006 09:20:39 -0700, "axlerod" <williamd@northstate.net>
wrote:

>Does any one know what the trunked freqs are for Thomasville n.c. I
>think they might have changed, thanks Bill

<http://www.radioreference.com>

Click on Database. Click on North Carolina. Click on your county.

5430. Re: IM ASKING FOR DONATIONS FOR HEART SURGERY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-13 18:29:06 UTC
From: Jeff <oldog@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <SVQXg.238296$1i1.204581@attbi_s72>
Source record: 5210
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster

"mike" <mcollins7373@msn.com> wrote in message
news:452ba866$0$4677$ec3e2dad@news.usenetmonster.com...
> hello i always figured this would never happen to me but my heart is having serious
issuses do to a birth defect (i was born with a hole in my heart)and need money to have the
surgery
to get the hole fix so i am asking for donations to help with the cost since i dont have
insurance
so if you have a paypal account please look into your heart and donate a dollar or two my
paypal
emial is mcollins7373@msn.com i need around 20,000 dollars usd to have the surgery done.
This is my
last resort for money i have tried goverment agencies family and friends and to my
dissapointment
nothing happened SO PLEASE I NEED HELP thank you so much mike collins
---

If you were born with a hole in your heart you wouldnt be posting this
message, you'd be dead. Now if you are talking about an internal hole Im
just curious if you could give us all the medical name for this condition.
I know what the medical name is because Im in the field, just curious if
you know what it is. Anybody who has this condition knows exactly what
its called. Also wondering if you have checked out the nearest medical
college. Most medical universities will do this for little or no charge,
since you "will" be a guinea pig so to speak, but its all suppervised by
long term cardiac sprcialists, and as a whole do an excellent job. By the
way, dont know where you got the 20,000$ figure but a relatively simple
CABG or coronary arterial bypass graft will run 3-4x's that amount, and the
surgery you are talking about is much more complex than that.
Awaiting your reply.

J

5431. Re: Ping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-14 03:18:14 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160795894.526838.278460@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5211
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Wed, Oct 11 2006 3:38 am
> >Opus- wrote:
> >> On 5 Oct 2006 17:05:58 -0700, N...@AOL.COM spake thusly:
> >> >Opus- wrote:
> > >> On 5 Oct 2006 04:26:28 -0700, N...@AOL.COM spake thusly:
> >> >> >Opus- wrote:

> >But when you really listen to the way most people speak, the speed is
> >limited by many things. There's a lot of redundancy in the way many
> >people speak, pauses, repeats, "ums" and "ahs', and little phrases
> >tossed in while the person thinks of what to say next. Meanwhile, the
> >skilled Morse Code operator is using abbreviations and other shortcuts
> >that effectively increase the speed way beyond the raw wpm.
>
> A comparison between a poor speaker and a skilled
> radiotelegrapher is worthy HOW? To shine up the
> "skilled radiotelegrapher?" [of course...]

Listen to the way *most people* speak, Len. There's a lot of redundancy
in the way many
people speak, pauses, repeats, "ums" and "ahs', and little phrases
tossed in while the person thinks of what to say next.

> Compare a good speaker and a poor, unskilled radio-
> telegrapher's sending and speech becomes way, way
> faster.

So? Most people don't speak like they're reading a script.

> >> With todays electronics, size and weight really aren't much of an
> >> issue.
> >
> >I disagree to a point! Look at the size, weight and performance of HF
> >rigs that you can carry with you. Is there any HF ham rig that's
> >SSB-capable that can compete with the Elecraft KX-1?
>
> AN/PRC-104...back-pack HF SSB transceiver, operational
> since 1984. Built by (then) Hughes Aircraft Ground
> Systems (Hughes purchased by Raytheon).

Let's see...

The AN/PRC-104 weighs at least 14 pounds, according to the literature.
Some writeups say as much as 28 pounds. It's a pretty big set - you

don't just slip it in a pocket.

How much do the batteries weigh? How long will the set will run on one set of batteries?

Battery voltage is nominally 24 volts, and the thing draws about 350 mA on *receive*. So you can't just hook it to a 12 volts source, and the power consumption on receive is about 8 watts.

Now the biggie: How much does one cost new? I found some for $2500 - reconditioned.

> For civilian-only, try the SGC 2020 SSB HF transceiver
> used by private boat owners as well as hams.

Weighs 8 pounds without batteries. Not as big as a PRC-104 but still a lot bigger than a KX-1. Draws over 300 mA on receive, but runs on 12 volts. Costs $800 new, last time I looked. Tuner and such are extra.

The KX-1 weighs under a pound and is much smaller and lighter. Receive current is less than 50 mA. Costs $299 new.

So the rigs that "compete" with the KX-1 cost a lot more money (twice to ten times the price or more), are far larger and heavier (8 to 20 times the weight or more), and the battery life is much less.

Thanks for proving my point, Len.

> >For fixed-station use, there isn't much size/weight difference, if any.
> >But when you need to carry the rig and batteries any real distance, the
> >differences become apparent. This is also when you will find that the
> >difference in low power performance really matters.
>
> The PRC-104 has an integral automatic antenna matching
> package (to the right of the transceiver itself). This
> insures that the manpack set's whip antenna is always
> tuned for optimum radiated transmission power.

The KX1 can be equipped with an ATU. Costs a lot less, weighs a lot less, takes up a lot less space.
>
> SGC has several antenna autotuner models available;
> separate equipments.

All weigh more, use more power, cost more money.

> >Or consider this analogy: It's one thing to drive a car with all the
> >modern conveniences - power steering, automatic transmission, power
> >brakes, cruise control, climate control, etc., and doing it on a smooth
> >straight highway. It's a different experience to drive a car without
> >all those things, on a winding country road where the driver's skill
> >makes a big difference.
>
> You have much experience on "winding country roads?" :-)

Yes. Do you?

> [of course you do, you are an amateur extra morseman...]
>
> Are you advocating "no-frills" personal vehicles? Why?

Why not?

> I learned to drive in a 1939 Ford, NO automatic trans-
> mission, NO power steering, NO power brakes, No cruise
> control, NO "climate control" other than the standard
> heater.

Sounds like the car I learned to drive in.

> Training ground was an abandoned army camp, one
> which DID have a few "winding (dirt) roads." If you
> think for one minute that I would give up a nice,
> comfortable, well-equipped 2005 Chevy Malibu MAXX just
> to "rough it" for SOMEONE ELSE'S IDEA of what constitutes
> "good driving," you've got your head up your ass.

It's not about *you*, Len.

> Having earned my Army driving license, I will personally
> challenge you to a Jeep gymkhana (Jeep circa 1940s-1960s)
> at everything from "smooth straight highways" through
> "winding country roads" on to OFF-ROAD ANYTHING.

Why would you give up a nice, comfortable, well-equipped 2005 Chevy
Malibu MAXX just
to "rough it", Len?

> I will WIN.

Maybe. Maybe not. You don't really know, you're just bragging because
you know it won't happen.

> Been there, did that, got T-shirts, etc.

That doesn't mean you would win.

> That standard issue Jeep had NO amenities except for the
> post-1950 winch and cable over the front bumper. "Climate
> control" was whatever the climate was outside. The "power
> transmission" was a couple gear shifts operated by arm
> strength and experienced clutch operation. Ptui.

What's your point - that you spit at Jeeps?

> HOW MANY personal vehicles have YOU DESIGNED and BUILT?
> Include auto kits if you need to.

What does it matter? I could tell you about the time I took two junker
cars and made one good one out of them, but you'd find fault with that,
somehow.

> HOW MANY thousands of miles have YOU driven?

Gosh, Len, I don't really know. Probably more than you, though.

> Over "winding
> country roads?"

Enough.

> [I don't think so unless you count the
> old driveway to the Doylestown Barn Cinema...] I've driven
> the VERY winding country road (rough surface) to a Wyoming
> working ranch (cattle brand registered in Wyoming is "B-1
> Bomber") from/to highway.

What does that have to do with anything, Len?
>
> >> Perhaps the typical ages of people who prefer code could be a factor.
> >> It does tend to be considerably older people who prefer code.
> >
> >I disagree - for two reasons!
> >
> >First I have found amateurs of all ages who are interested in Morse
> >Code.
>
> If all you have is a hammer, naturally everything looks
> like a nail to you...

I've got a lot more tools than just a hammer. I know how to use them,
too.

> >I have found that young people are interested *if* Morse Code is
> >presented correctly.
>
> Sado-masochism is still prevalent in the human condition.

And yet you claim you have no problem with people using Morse Code....
>
> >Some say that, in the modern world, young people who grew up with cell
> >phones and the internet aren't going to sit still for something like
> >Morse Code - or amateur radio. And many won't.
>
> Unquantified numbers. You are waffling on your emotional
> reasons.

"Amateur" is derived from the Latin word for "love". Means to do
something for the love of the thing alone. Emotional reasons, IOW.

The fact is that there are plenty of young people who like Morse Code
and learn it readily. I think that's one reason you want an age limit
for an amateur radio license - so those code-skilled young folks can't
get a license until they're 14.

> >However, the very fact that Morse Code is unusual is a big attraction
> >to some of them - *because* it's so different and unusual. They've seen

> >voice comms - they all have cellphones! Typing on a keyboard and
> >reading a screen is something they've seen since they were babies.
>
> One in three Americans has a cell phone. Census Bureau said
> so in a public statement in 2004.

So what?

When I was a teenager, practically everyone had a telephone. Why should
anyone have a ham rig at home when they can just talk on the telephone?

> Back in the late 1940s - a time well before cell phones, personal
> computers, with (mostly) only sound broadcasting - there was NO
> great "novelty" or "interest" in morse code communications.

Sure there was. Ham radio was growing by leaps and bounds then. You
were not part of it.

> Been
> there, seen that, see no difference now.

IOW, nobody should do what *you* don't enjoy.

> > But
> >Morse Code is completely different. That's what draws many young people
> >- just look at the acceptance of the Harry Potter books.
>
> So, write the author of the "Harry Potter" series and have
> her (J. K. Rowling) "introduce" morse code as "magic." :-)
>
> BWAAAAAAAAAHHHHHHAAAAAAAAAHAAHAAHAAHAAHAAHAA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> * M A G I C M O R S E *
>
> BWAAAAAAAAAHHHHHHAAAAAAAAAHAAHAAHAAHAAHAAHAA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

??
>
>
> >But some things can be preserved - values, skills, culture. Even if the
> >people and places change.
>
> Preservation of the Past is the job of MUSEUMS.

Preservation of values, skills and culture is everyone's job.

> Why do you insist on keeping a "living museum" in amateur
> radio through federal license testing for morse code in
> only AMATEUR radio?

It's not a living museum.

> YOU had to test for it so everyone else has to...

Nope. Morse Code should be a license requirement because amateurs use it. The skill is part of being a qualified radio amateur. Simple as that.

> Fraternal order HAZING having NO tangible value
> except to amuse those ALREADY tested for code.

It's not about hazing, Len. It's about being qualified. You're not qualified.

5432. Re: sprog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-14 07:15:20 UTC
From: mats.peterson@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1160810120.707358.35620@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5212

I'm destroyed by having used Unix too long:) All those acronyms... I'm sorry for that:)

Somewhere Nowhere skrev:

> On 12 Oct 2006 19:49:31 -0700, mats.peterson@gmail.com wrote:
>
> >Scanner PROGramming :)
> >
> >Mats
> >
>
>
> Sorry. I guess I should have seen that!!
>
> Bian

5433. Re: IM ASKING FOR DONATIONS FOR HEART SURGERY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-14 07:17:45 UTC
From: "Bill B." <w.buckley8@verizon.delete.net>
Message-ID: <ta0Yg.111$AR6.90@trndny02>
Source record: 5213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster

"Jeff" wrote
> If you were born with a hole in your heart you wouldnt be posting
this
> message, you'd be dead. Now if you are talking about an internal hole Im
> just curious if you could give us all the medical name for this condition.
> I know what the medical name is because Im in the field, just curious if
> you know what it is. Anybody who has this condition knows exactly what
> its called. Also wondering if you have checked out the nearest medical
> college. Most medical universities will do this for little or no charge,
> since you "will" be a guinea pig so to speak, but its all suppervised by
> long term cardiac sprcialists, and as a whole do an excellent job. By the
> way, dont know where you got the 20,000$ figure but a relatively simple
> CABG or coronary arterial bypass graft will run 3-4x's that amount, and
the
> surgery you are talking about is much more complex than that.
> Awaiting your reply.

Restating the obvious here, but I'll offer this in lieu of his reply...

http://tinyurl.com/gx7kk

5434. Re: IM ASKING FOR DONATIONS FOR HEART SURGERY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-14 14:18:53 UTC
From: Jeff <oldog@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <hl6Yg.103411$aJ.47048@attbi_s21>
Source record: 5214
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster

"Bill B." <w.buckley8@verizon.delete.net> wrote in message
news:ta0Yg.111$AR6.90@trndny02...

> > surgery you are talking about is much more complex than that.
> > Awaiting your reply.
>
> Restating the obvious here, but I'll offer this in lieu of his reply...
>
> http://tinyurl.com/gx7kk

Yes, yes I know all the scams. I just like to try and slap people
around a little when they post this crap. Now I do have this wart
on my finger and its going to cost .....

J

5435. Re: IM ASKING FOR DONATIONS FOR HEART SURGERY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-14 15:00:56 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <wJWdnXVKyp3llKzYnZ2dnUVZ_sqdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 5216
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster

"Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:hl6Yg.103411$aJ.47048@attbi_s21...
>
> "Bill B." <w.buckley8@verizon.delete.net> wrote in message
news:ta0Yg.111$AR6.90@trndny02...
>
> > > surgery you are talking about is much more complex than that.
> > > Awaiting your reply.
> >
> > Restating the obvious here, but I'll offer this in lieu of his reply...
> >
> > http://tinyurl.com/gx7kk
> --

>
> Yes, yes I know all the scams. I just like to try and slap
people
> around a little when they post this crap. Now I do have this wart
> on my finger and its going to cost
....
>
Duct tape will cure warts!

HJ

5436. Re: sprog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-14 15:45:26 UTC
From: Somewhere Nowhere <skypilot@writeme.com>
Message-ID: <ff12j21j06csg1efa6kgnrnc21i7leccts@4ax.com>
Source record: 5215

On 14 Oct 2006 00:15:20 -0700, mats.peterson@gmail.com wrote:

>I'm destroyed by having used Unix too long:) All those acronyms... I'm
>sorry for that:)
>
>Somewhere Nowhere skrev:
>
>> On 12 Oct 2006 19:49:31 -0700, mats.peterson@gmail.com wrote:
>>
>> >Scanner PROGramming :)
>> >
>> >Mats
>> >
>>
>>
>> Sorry. I guess I should have seen that!!
>>
>> Bian

I understand that. I was a UNIX system administrator for three years.
Brian

5437. Re: IM ASKING FOR DONATIONS FOR HEART SURGERY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-14 16:55:37 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <sg52j2p1fkjg6jfqvsr6qafq48n2reljnp@4ax.com>
Source record: 5217
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster

On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 07:23:24 -0600, "mike" <mcollins7373@msn.com>
wrote:

> hello i always figured this would never happen to me but my heart is having serious issuses do to a birth defect (i was born with a hole in my heart)and need money to have the surgery to get the hole fix so i am asking for donations to help with the cost since i dont have insurance so if you have a paypal account please look into your heart and donate a dollar or two my paypal emial is mcollins7373@msn.com i need around 20,000 dollars usd to have the surgery done. This is my last resort for money i have tried goverment agencies family and friends and to my dissapointment nothing happened SO PLEASE I NEED HELP thank you so much mike collins
>
>
>
>
>uW18P>^&K2[o%09E*`x[9K%bwh'bt/QIr8!Vk MwoA)75ElpSj?Z[U`)tK`%OLP#m2qLF<MuIR5q.lYC;M:1Lc>:
aQcG]SjrTf$

This is the richest country on Earth. You mean to tell me your
ability to get life-saving medical care is contingent upon your
personal wealth?

The next thing you know we're going to find out only rich people can
get a fair shake from the justice system, or public education.

5438. Re: Thomasville police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-14 17:51:21 UTC
From: Dan <winstonsalemve@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <egr88dabh1@news04.infoave.net>
Source record: 5218

Thomasville Police are now dispatched by Davidson County 911 Center in
Lexington.

Thomasville borders on High Point and Guilford County NC Also, it is near
Greensboro and Kernersville, NC.
There are units from Thomasville that roam into these other 800 MHz systems.
I believe there were talk group numbering incompatibilities between the
various systems that required talk groups to be renumbered.
Check your talk group numbers before you change RF frequencies.

Also, Thomasville has licenses (sanctions?? coordinations??, I don't know
what the proper term is) from APCO/NPSPAC for TWO different 800 MHz
systems.

As the FCC database just shows licensed frquencies,
This system data does not show up in the FCC data base.

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:tsbvi298mdepve1atkf7gh4m6fcjo00ke6@4ax.com...
> On 10 Oct 2006 09:20:39 -0700, "axlerod" <williamd@northstate.net>
> wrote:
>
>>Does any one know what the trunked freqs are for Thomasville n.c. I
>>think they might have changed, thanks Bill
>
> <http://www.radioreference.com>
>
> Click on Database. Click on North Carolina. Click on your county.

5439. Re: sprog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-14 19:33:03 UTC
From: mats.peterson@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1160854383.084072.199960@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5219

Oh :)

Somewhere Nowhere wrote:
> On 14 Oct 2006 00:15:20 -0700, mats.peterson@gmail.com wrote:
>
> >I'm destroyed by having used Unix too long:) All those acronyms... I'm
> >sorry for that:)
> >
> >Somewhere Nowhere skrev:
> >
> >> On 12 Oct 2006 19:49:31 -0700, mats.peterson@gmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >Scanner PROGramming :)
> >> >
> >> >Mats
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> >> Sorry. I guess I should have seen that!!
> >>
> >> Bian
>
> I understand that. I was a UNIX system administrator for three years.
> Brian

5440. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-14 23:01:41 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160866901.027928.221130@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5220
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't
> know each other language they still could have passed it by CW and the
> message could have been delivered to someone that could read it. Not no
> more.

What can be passed by CW that cannot be passed by voice???

Adhere to the ICAO's phonetic alphabet, and there need be no
bi-lingualism nor a CW requirement.

> When things start failing communication wise worldwide, amateur radio
> might be all there is to relay messages, and the ITU just removed one of
> the legs of a three leg stool.

Dear Slow, air traffic controllers don't use Morris Code, and they
communicate with foreign speakers JUST FINE!

5441. Re: CB radio amplifiers for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-15 00:22:36 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <Ace@WH2T.com>
Message-ID: <e7c9c$45316f27$4fc604c$30163@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 5221
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

"Chuck Harris" <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in message
news:MuWdnTd4eoqemYfYnZ2dnUVZ_tydnZ2d@rcn.net...
> jawod wrote:
>>
>>> I am sort of curious as to why my posting of the actual FCC part 97
>>> rules
>>> that cover linear amplifiers drew exactly zero comments.
>>>
>>> -Chuck Harris
>>
>> What is there on which to comment? "Can't argue with the horse's mouth"
>
> Well, I sort of thought it might draw a few "Oh, gee, I guess commercially
> made ham linears have to be FCC type accepted after all"'s.
>
> The guy making the CB linears fails a whole laundry list of requirements
> for type acceptance of a Ham linear.
>
> And because he isn't a ham, he cannot legally build even *one* such unit,
> let alone go into production.
>
> -Chuck Harris

• 2.815 External radio frequency power amplifiers.

* * * * *

(b) No person shall manufacture, sell or lease, offer for sale or lease (including advertising for sale or lease) or import, ship or distribute for the purpose of selling or leasing or offering for sale or lease, any external radio frequency power amplifier capable of operation on any frequency or frequencies below 144 MHz unless the amplifier has received a grant of certification in accordance with subpart J of this part and other relevant parts of this chapter. These amplifiers shall comply with the following:

(1) The external radio frequency power amplifier shall not be capable of amplification in the frequency band 26-28 MHz.

(2) The amplifier shall not be capable of easy modification to permit its use as an amplifier in the frequency band 26-28 MHz.

(3) No more than 10 external radio frequency power amplifiers may be constructed for evaluation purposes in preparation for the submission of an

application for a grant of certification.

(4) If the external radio frequency power amplifier is intended for operation in the Amateur Radio Service under Part 97 of this Part, the requirements of Sections 97.315 and 97.317 of this chapter shall be met.

Ace - WH2T

5442. Re: IM ASKING FOR DONATIONS FOR HEART SURGERY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-15 01:35:50 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <u243j29l9stb4oru718b73lj3vulkblvte@4ax.com>
Source record: 5222
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 14 Oct 2006 14:18:53 GMT, "Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com>
wrote:

> Yes, yes I know all the scams. I just like to try and slap people
>around a little when they post this crap. Now I do have this wart
>on my finger and its going to cost ....

Poor Baby!!!!

Quick, give me the email address to send tons of money to, so you can
have an operation and get rid of the wart, become strong, handsome and
desirable to women ...

5443. Re: IM ASKING FOR DONATIONS FOR HEART SURGERY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-15 02:21:46 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <ScudnTI4jZUvN6zYnZ2dnUVZ_vSdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 5224
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:u243j29l9stb4oru718b73lj3vulkblvte@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 14 Oct 2006 14:18:53 GMT, "Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com>
> wrote:
>
> > Yes, yes I know all the scams. I just like to try and slap
people
> >around a little when they post this crap. Now I do have this wart
> >on my finger and its going to cost
.....
>
> Poor Baby!!!!
>
> Quick, give me the email address to send tons of money to, so you can
> have an operation and get rid of the wart, become strong, handsome and
> desirable to women ...

If they remove the wrong wart then he'll be a woman.

HJ

5444. Re: IM ASKING FOR DONATIONS FOR HEART SURGERY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-15 02:31:56 UTC
From: Somebody Somewhere <YCTHULHU@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1160879516.453909.82420@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5223
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster

>
>
> "mike" <mcollins7373@msn.com> wrote in message
> news:452ba866$0$4677$ec3e2dad@news.usenetmonster.com...
> > hello i always figured this would never happen to me but my
> heart is having serious issuses do to a birth defect (i was born with a hole
> in my heart)and need money to have the surgery to get the hole fix so i am
> asking for donations to help with the cost since i dont have insurance so if
> you have a paypal account please look into your heart and donate a dollar or
> two my paypal emial is mcollins7373@msn.com i need around 20,000 dollars usd
> to have the surgery done. This is my last resort for money i have tried
> goverment agencies family and friends and to my dissapointment nothing
> happened SO PLEASE I NEED HELP thank you so much mike collins
> >
> >

Go to Mexico and then sneak into the USA as an illegal alien. You'll
get free medical care, won't have to pay taxes, can even drive a car
without a license, and you'll have fun critisizing unbelievably meek
and tolerant White people for being so mean to you.

5445. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-15 03:40:56 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160883656.835934.215660@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5225
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't
> > know each other language they still could have passed it by CW and the
> > message could have been delivered to someone that could read it. Not no
> > more.
>
> What can be passed by CW that cannot be passed by voice???
any traffic to be passed by many OT station can only be passed by CW
since they rfuse to use that new fangled device the microphone even to
save lives
>
> Adhere to the ICAO's phonetic alphabet, and there need be no
> bi-lingualism nor a CW requirement.
>
> > When things start failing communication wise worldwide, amateur radio
> > might be all there is to relay messages, and the ITU just removed one of
> > the legs of a three leg stool.
>
> Dear Slow, air traffic controllers don't use Morris Code, and they
> communicate with foreign speakers JUST FINE!

but SC can't speak to anybody on air and he neds code testing to allow
him more person to berate

5446. Panasonic RE-1800 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-15 04:35:22 UTC
From: mike maghakian <maghakian@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <54mdnXYVJbEQJ6zYnZ2dnUVZ_q6dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 5226

does anyone have one of these ? I would like to trade for a copy of the
user manual

5447. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-15 08:42:05 UTC
From: labtech1 <labtech_one@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2e2ae$4531f451$438c6fe9$28882@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 5227
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Why don't you guys take this CW crap to the HAM radio group and leave it there. This is a Scanner Group, not shortwave or ham radio. Can't think of ANY time I've ever tried to receive CW on my scanner.

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:jkhXg.62116$5o5.52493@tornado.texas.rr.com...
>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:6tgXg.8071$Y24.103@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > "Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
> > news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
> >
> >> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
> >>
> >> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
> >
> >
> > I don't know if we'll get Mad right away but it's clear the FCC and the
> > ARRL want to drive all good hams out of the service. Little by little
> > they'll take away the non-phone portions of the bands and hand it over
to
> > the phone users. Like a frog in a pot of water that's raised to boiling
> > point, one day us real hams will find we have to place to communicate on
> > the ham bands that doesn't sound like Citizens Band.
> >
> > SC
>
> Anyone got some of what he's smoking?
>
>

5448. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-15 15:56:49 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns985D797CB4368elektrosmdonet@217.22.228.20>
Source record: 5228
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in news:6tgXg.8071$Y24.103
@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:

> "Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
> news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>
>> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
>>
>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>
>
> I don't know if we'll get Mad right away but it's clear the FCC and the
> ARRL want to drive all good hams out of the service. Little by little
> they'll take away the non-phone portions of the bands and hand it over to
> the phone users. Like a frog in a pot of water that's raised to boiling
> point, one day us real hams will find we have to place to communicate on
> the ham bands that doesn't sound like Citizens Band.
>
> SC
>

Here's a reality check.

On 80m the rest of the world, except Canada, has always had phone down to 3600 as far back as I can remember, and we are merely joining them. The VE phone ops still have to stay above 3700, I think.

On 40m the international bandplans have phone down to 7040 except in Region 3 where it is 7030. The only countries that I know of where you can't use phone down to 7040 are Mexico (7050) and the USA (now 7125 after the change).

US hams outside Region 2 have had phone down to 7075 for years, and I am on record as suggesting that we should have that in the mainland US. It's hard to see why not when you actually look at what the rest of the world is doing.

At the same time countries in Region 1 aren't supposed to get access to 7100-7200 until the middle of 2007. Many of them already have, but for those that haven't, a phone subband that goes down to 7125 still doesn't even reach the top edge of their whole band. Doubtless some of them will be late in implementing the extra spectrum, so forced split working will drag on a while longer. How would you like it if your 40m CW allocation were on frequencies that were off limits to the DX?

On 20m and 15m we still have 50 kHz less phone on each band than all other countries. Although General and Advanced got bigger phone subbands on 15 (as well as 80 and 40), the bottom edge of phone didn't move on 15 (or 20).

73 de Alun, N3KIP

(20wpm Extra, 100% phone op)

5449. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-15 16:40:57 UTC
From: Phil Wheeler <wt6uh-ng7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <twtYg.2574$zy2.818@tornado.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 5229
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> "Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
> news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>
>> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
>>
>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>
>
> I don't know if we'll get Mad right away but it's clear the FCC and the
> ARRL want to drive all good hams out of the service. Little by little
> they'll take away the non-phone portions of the bands and hand it over to
> the phone users.

Nonsense. The CW bands are not exactly
overcrowded (or have you been listening there).

73, Phil w7ox

5450. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-15 16:45:57 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <9BtYg.9807$Y24.7086@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5230
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote

>
> 73 de Alun, N3KIP
>
> (20wpm Extra, 100% phone op)
>

Alun,

With all due respect, your signature line kind of reveals your agenda. We aren't talking about Extra's who don't use CW/rtty/data. The folks we're talking about here are Generals, the most populous HF license class in the US. On 75m they have just had their CW/rtty/data spectrum reduced by 2/3rds. That's outrageous!

73, de Hans, K0HB

5451. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-15 16:48:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <rhp4j2dr3don550pu2irvsv5ev9ralgb9v@4ax.com>
Source record: 5231
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 16:40:57 GMT, Phil Wheeler <wt6uh-ng7@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Slow Code wrote:
>> "Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
>> news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>>
>>> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
>>>
>>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>>
>>
>> I don't know if we'll get Mad right away but it's clear the FCC and the
>> ARRL want to drive all good hams out of the service. Little by little
>> they'll take away the non-phone portions of the bands and hand it over to
>> the phone users.
>
>Nonsense. The CW bands are not exactly
>overcrowded (or have you been listening there).
nosense is the substance of most of Slowcodes posts
>
>73, Phil w7ox
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5452. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-15 16:53:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <hqp4j2tvqqf51rpv45kun2r4eh8sjr4b4u@4ax.com>
Source record: 5232
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 16:45:57 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>
>"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote
>
>>
>> 73 de Alun, N3KIP
>>
>> (20wpm Extra, 100% phone op)
>>
>
>Alun,
>
>With all due respect, your signature line kind of reveals your agenda. We
>aren't talking about Extra's who don't use CW/rtty/data. The folks we're
>talking about here are Generals, the most populous HF license class in the US.
>On 75m they have just had their CW/rtty/data spectrum reduced by 2/3rds. That's
>outrageous!

funny I thought they could still CW everywhere in band

frankly I favoring ending the regulated subbadns alltogether
>
>73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5453. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-15 17:12:33 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5_tYg.9815$Y24.6820@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5233
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote

>
> funny I thought they could still CW everywhere in band
>

That' may be true in theory, but not in practice. You can't use CW on frequencies which your license class prohibits. Generals just lost 150kHz of prime CW/rtty/data spectrum from 3.6 to 3.75MHz, the other parts of the band their license class doesn't allow. So much for "CW everywhere in band".

73, de Hans, K0HB

5454. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-15 17:25:52 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <hmr4j2duu4d26gvmde37hftq22tdlo74lp@4ax.com>
Source record: 5234
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 17:12:33 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote
>
>>
>> funny I thought they could still CW everywhere in band
>>
>
>That' may be true in theory, but not in practice.
how so?
> You can't use CW on
>frequencies which your license class prohibits.
obviously
> Generals just lost 150kHz of
>prime CW/rtty/data spectrum from 3.6 to 3.75MHz, the other parts of the band
>their license class doesn't allow. So much for "CW everywhere in band".

sorry they may well have lost spectrum wher they can data i have n't
looked at the details but the rules concerning CW use have not changed
>
>73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5455. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-15 17:31:20 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <IfuYg.13117$GR.10791@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 5235
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> On 75m they have just had their CW/rtty/data spectrum reduced by 2/3rds.

Hans, has CW been barred from parts of 75m? I haven't
read the R&O.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

5456. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-15 17:32:16 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <AguYg.14169$UG4.13735@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5236
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote

>
> sorry they may well have lost spectrum wher they can data i have n't
> looked at the details but the rules concerning CW use have not changed
>

No, the rules concerning CW use have not changed. Those rules have never allowed a General to transmit CW (or anything else) in frequencies reserved for Extra and Advanced. In the case we're discussing the 150kHz from 3600 to 3750 have now been reserved for Extra and Advanced, and are now off limits to General who previously could transmit CW/rtty/data in that segment.

73, de Hans, K0HB

5457. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-15 17:32:33 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160933553.379988.312740@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5237
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

KØHB wrote:
> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote
> > 73 de Alun, N3KIP

> > (20wpm Extra, 100% phone op)

> Alun,
>
> With all due respect, your signature line kind of reveals your agenda. We
> aren't talking about Extra's who don't use CW/rtty/data. The folks we're
> talking about here are Generals, the most populous HF license class in the US.
> On 75m they have just had their CW/rtty/data spectrum reduced by 2/3rds. That's
> outrageous!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Here, I'll have a go.

When the new changes go into effect:

Generals gain 50 kHz of 'phone but lose 150 kHz of cw/rtty (1:3 ratio
of gained/lost bandspace)
Advanceds gain 75 kHz of 'phone but lose 100 kHz of cw/rtty (3:4 ratio)
Extras gain 150 kHz of 'phone but lose 150 kHz of cw/rtty (1:1)
Novices and codetested Techs gain 75 kHz in one part of the band but
lose 75 kHz elsewhere (3:2)

Do you see the disparity, particularly for Generals? Why should it
exist? What did Generals do to merit losing so much spectrum?

Why can't the low end of 75 be moved 50 kHz instead of 150 kHz, and the
lower limit of each 'phone subband moved down 50 kHz? Then, each
license class would gain as many kHz of 'phone as they lose CW/rtty.
1:1 ratio for everyone.

If you don't think 50 kHz is enough, make it 75 kHz. The point is that
the most populous license class on the band *loses* the most total kHz!
The ratio is 1 kHz gained for 3 kHz lost - why?

How much will 3600-3700 be used when it is Extra-only?

One more question:

Why isn't rtty/data - particularly wider-than-1 kHz-data - allowed in
the 'phone bands? Or rather, why should that restriction remain,

particularly on a band that will be 4:1 phone/narrow modes? How are those modes any different from SSTV or CW in terms of compatibility?

It seems to me that a combination voice-data mode would have all sorts of uses in amateur radio. Imagine being in QSO with someone on SSB, and being able to send a data file to them without having to QSY. In fact, with a properly-designed rig, the data could be sent simultaneously on the opposite sideband, or on the suppressed-carrier frequency if a mode like PSK31 were used for data. Yet under current rules none of that is allowed, and the 80/75 bandspace where data modes will be allowed for *any* class of license will decrease from 250 kHz to 100 kHz - why?

73 de Jim, N2EY

5458. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-15 17:36:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160933791.224029.100270@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5238
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

KØHB wrote:
> <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote
>
> >
> > sorry they may well have lost spectrum wher they can data i have n't
> > looked at the details but the rules concerning CW use have not changed
> > >
>
> No, the rules concerning CW use have not changed. Those rules have never
> allowed a General to transmit CW (or anything else) in frequencies reserved for
> Extra and Advanced. In the case we're discussing the 150kHz from 3600 to 3750
> have now been reserved for Extra and Advanced, and are now off limits to General
> who previously could transmit CW/rtty/data in that segment.
hmm they lost acutals hz did they? is that what you are tlaking around
or did things just get shuffed around same number hz justchanging the
mode avalable

in the later I say where the beef if they lose acutaul hz for any use
(total number for all modes then I have to wonder
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

5459. Re: IM ASKING FOR DONATIONS FOR HEART SURGERY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-15 18:01:27 UTC
From: Jeff <oldog@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <XHuYg.240583$1i1.205533@attbi_s72>
Source record: 5239
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:ScudnTI4jZUvN6zYnZ2dnUVZ_vSdnZ2d@centurytel.net...
>
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:u243j29l9stb4oru718b73lj3vulkblvte@4ax.com...
> > On Sat, 14 Oct 2006 14:18:53 GMT, "Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > > Yes, yes I know all the scams. I just like to try and slap
> people
> > >around a little when they post this crap. Now I do have this wart
> > >on my finger and its going to cost
> ....
> >
> > Poor Baby!!!!
> >
> > Quick, give me the email address to send tons of money to, so you can
> > have an operation and get rid of the wart, become strong, handsome and
> > desirable to women ...
>
> If they remove the wrong wart then he'll be a woman.
>
> HJ

I said "wart" not root.

J

5460. Re: IM ASKING FOR DONATIONS FOR HEART SURGERY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-15 18:29:06 UTC
From: sidwellfriends@aol.com
Message-ID: <1160936946.441220.275580@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5240
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster

Bill B. wrote:
> I'd rather donate for a coffin :-p
>
>
> "mike" <mcollins7373@msn.com> wrote in message
> news:452ba866$0$4677$ec3e2dad@news.usenetmonster.com...
> > hello i always figured this would never happen to me but my
> heart is having serious issuses do to a birth defect (i was born with a hole
> in my heart)and need money to have the surgery to get the hole fix so i am
> asking for donations to help with the cost since i dont have insurance so if
> you have a paypal account please look into your heart and donate a dollar or
> two my paypal emial is mcollins7373@msn.com i need around 20,000 dollars usd
> to have the surgery done. This is my last resort for money i have tried
> goverment agencies family and friends and to my dissapointment nothing
> happened SO PLEASE I NEED HELP thank you so much mike collins
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > uW18P>^&K2[o%09E*`x[9K%bwh'bt/QIr8!Vk
> MwoA)75ElpSj?Z[U`)tK`%OLP#m2qLF<MuIR5q.lYC;M:1Lc>: aQcG]SjrTf$

Fucking maggot !

5461. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-15 18:40:23 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <bsydndK3J747Ha_YnZ2dnUVZ_vadnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 5241
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns985D797CB4368elektrosmdonet@217.22.228.20...
> Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in news:6tgXg.8071$Y24.103
> @newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>
>> "Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
>> news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>>
>>> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
>>>
>>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>>
>>
>> I don't know if we'll get Mad right away but it's clear the FCC and the
>> ARRL want to drive all good hams out of the service. Little by little
>> they'll take away the non-phone portions of the bands and hand it over to
>> the phone users. Like a frog in a pot of water that's raised to boiling
>> point, one day us real hams will find we have to place to communicate on
>> the ham bands that doesn't sound like Citizens Band.
>>
>> SC
>>
>
> Here's a reality check.
>
> On 80m the rest of the world, except Canada, has always had phone down to
> 3600 as far back as I can remember, and we are merely joining them. The VE
> phone ops still have to stay above 3700, I think.
>
> On 40m the international bandplans have phone down to 7040 except in
> Region
> 3 where it is 7030. The only countries that I know of where you can't use
> phone down to 7040 are Mexico (7050) and the USA (now 7125 after the
> change).
>
> US hams outside Region 2 have had phone down to 7075 for years, and I am
> on
> record as suggesting that we should have that in the mainland US. It's
> hard
> to see why not when you actually look at what the rest of the world is
> doing.
>
> At the same time countries in Region 1 aren't supposed to get access to

> 7100-7200 until the middle of 2007. Many of them already have, but for
> those that haven't, a phone subband that goes down to 7125 still doesn't
> even reach the top edge of their whole band. Doubtless some of them will
> be
> late in implementing the extra spectrum, so forced split working will drag
> on a while longer. How would you like it if your 40m CW allocation were on
> frequencies that were off limits to the DX?
>
> On 20m and 15m we still have 50 kHz less phone on each band than all other
> countries. Although General and Advanced got bigger phone subbands on 15
> (as well as 80 and 40), the bottom edge of phone didn't move on 15 (or
> 20).
>
> 73 de Alun, N3KIP
>
> (20wpm Extra, 100% phone op)

Keep in mind that the US has more hams than the entire rest of the world combined if you don't include Japan in that count. So there is the potential for problems if our voice allocations go too low. Our regulations need to take that into account. As far as 40m goes, it will be a better solution if they can move the broadcasters out and make it a worldwide ham allocation up to 7.300. If the rest of the world could go to 7.3, that would open up a tremendous amount of space for other countries.

Dee, N8UZE

5462. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-15 19:09:54 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12j51s2d276t393@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 5242
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
Kï¿½HB wrote:
> <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote
>
>
>>funny I thought they could still CW everywhere in band
>>
>
>
> That' may be true in theory, but not in practice. You can't use CW on
> frequencies which your license class prohibits. Generals just lost 150kHz of
> prime CW/rtty/data spectrum from 3.6 to 3.75MHz, the other parts of the band
> their license class doesn't allow. So much for "CW everywhere in band".
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
The comment is that you can use CW anywhere within the allowable
frequencies for you class of license.

Dave WD9BDZ
```

5463. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-15 20:31:19 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160944279.420411.18720@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5243
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 14 2006 4:01 pm

>Slow Code wrote:
>> If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't
>> know each other language they still could have passed it by CW and the
>> message could have been delivered to someone that could read it. Not no
>> more.
>
>What can be passed by CW that cannot be passed by voice???
>
>Adhere to the ICAO's phonetic alphabet, and there need be no
>bi-lingualism nor a CW requirement.

Since 1955 for international civil aviation. It's taken
from the NATO approved phonetic alphabet which came out
earlier the same year. I remember it well since I had to
learn the "new" phonetic alphabet in a hurry while in the
Army then. :-)

>> When things start failing communication wise worldwide, amateur radio
>> might be all there is to relay messages, and the ITU just removed one of
>> the legs of a three leg stool.
>
>Dear Slow, air traffic controllers don't use Morris Code, and they
>communicate with foreign speakers JUST FINE!

Ever since 1955 the worldwide common language for civil
aviation communications on airways has been English
spoken as well as (now) data. That includes pilots as
well as controllers, even in and over their native
country; a non-English speaking country MAY use their
native language but the civil airways can have many
nations' aircraft in it.

Blowcode is just another troll who has his head up his
ass in regards to radio communications. That head just
hasn't been aware of what happened in radio for a half
century.

The ITU didn't "just remove" anything. The ITU-R made
the code test for an amateur license with below-30-MHz
privileges OPTIONAL to each administration. In 2003.
THREE years ago, not "just now." :-)

5464. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-15 21:50:09 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1160949009.882798.262830@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5244
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in news:6tgXg.8071$Y24.103
> @newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>
> > "Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
> > news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
> > >
> > >> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
> > >>
> > >> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
> > >
> > >
> > > I don't know if we'll get Mad right away but it's clear the FCC and the
> > > ARRL want to drive all good hams out of the service. Little by little
> > > they'll take away the non-phone portions of the bands and hand it over to
> > > the phone users. Like a frog in a pot of water that's raised to boiling
> > > point, one day us real hams will find we have to place to communicate on
> > > the ham bands that doesn't sound like Citizens Band.
> > >
> > > SC
> > >
>
> Here's a reality check.
>
> On 80m the rest of the world, except Canada, has always had phone down to
> 3600 as far back as I can remember, and we are merely joining them. The VE
> phone ops still have to stay above 3700, I think.
>
> On 40m the international bandplans have phone down to 7040 except in Region
> 3 where it is 7030. The only countries that I know of where you can't use
> phone down to 7040 are Mexico (7050) and the USA (now 7125 after the
> change).
>
> US hams outside Region 2 have had phone down to 7075 for years, and I am on
> record as suggesting that we should have that in the mainland US. It's hard
> to see why not when you actually look at what the rest of the world is
> doing.
>
> At the same time countries in Region 1 aren't supposed to get access to
> 7100-7200 until the middle of 2007. Many of them already have, but for
> those that haven't, a phone subband that goes down to 7125 still doesn't
> even reach the top edge of their whole band. Doubtless some of them will be
> late in implementing the extra spectrum, so forced split working will drag

> on a while longer.

Alun you've been dumping this old whine into the groups for years now
and it's long since worn out. Not that it needs to be explained to you
again but the FCC is *protecting* the dx from the hordes of Yanks who
would obliterate the dx if we were allowed to run SSB below 7100.

Dee also explaned it in her immediately preceeding post, read it, give
us a break and internalize it this time then go find something new to
grouse about for the next five years. Maybe by then your chums in the
UK will be able to transceive with you above 7125.

> How would you like it if your 40m CW allocation were on
> frequencies that were off limits to the DX?

The difference is all about one SSB signal taking up the same amout of
spectrum space as 5-10 CW signals.

> On 20m and 15m we still have 50 kHz less phone on each band than all other
> countries. Although General and Advanced got bigger phone subbands on 15
> (as well as 80 and 40), the bottom edge of phone didn't move on 15 (or 20).
>
> 73 de Alun, N3KIP
>
> (20wpm Extra, 100% phone op)

There's your real problem.

w3rv

5465. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-15 23:13:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160954022.111371.111510@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5245
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> > Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in news:6tgXg.8071$Y24.103
> > @newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
> >
> > > "Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
> > > news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
> > >
> > >> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
> > >>
> > >> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
> > >
> > >
> > > I don't know if we'll get Mad right away but it's clear the FCC and the
> > > ARRL want to drive all good hams out of the service. Little by little
> > > they'll take away the non-phone portions of the bands and hand it over to
> > > the phone users. Like a frog in a pot of water that's raised to boiling
> > > point, one day us real hams will find we have to place to communicate on
> > > the ham bands that doesn't sound like Citizens Band.
> > >
> > > SC
> > >
> >
> > Here's a reality check.
> >
> > On 80m the rest of the world, except Canada, has always had phone down to
> > 3600 as far back as I can remember, and we are merely joining them. The VE
> > phone ops still have to stay above 3700, I think.
> >
> > On 40m the international bandplans have phone down to 7040 except in Region
> > 3 where it is 7030. The only countries that I know of where you can't use
> > phone down to 7040 are Mexico (7050) and the USA (now 7125 after the
> > change).
> >
> > US hams outside Region 2 have had phone down to 7075 for years, and I am on
> > record as suggesting that we should have that in the mainland US. It's hard
> > to see why not when you actually look at what the rest of the world is
> > doing.
> >
> > At the same time countries in Region 1 aren't supposed to get access to
> > 7100-7200 until the middle of 2007. Many of them already have, but for
> > those that haven't, a phone subband that goes down to 7125 still doesn't
> > even reach the top edge of their whole band. Doubtless some of them will be

> > late in implementing the extra spectrum, so forced split working will drag
> > on a while longer.
>
> Alun you've been dumping this old whine into the groups for years now
> and it's long since worn out. Not that it needs to be explained to you
> *again* but the FCC is *protecting* the dx from the hordes of Yanks who
> would obliterate the dx if we were allowed to run SSB below 7100.
>
the FCC does not care about protecting DX forgien or domestic the ARRL
may well have when they sugested this sort of arngementmany years (part
of incentive licening I supose)

5466. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-15 23:19:47 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160954387.029486.216820@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Slow Code wrote:
> > > If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't
> > > know each other language they still could have passed it by CW and the
> > > message could have been delivered to someone that could read it. Not no
> > > more.
> >
> > What can be passed by CW that cannot be passed by voice???
> any traffic to be passed by many OT station can only be passed by CW
> since they rfuse to use that new fangled device the microphone even to
> save lives
> >
> > Adhere to the ICAO's phonetic alphabet, and there need be no
> > bi-lingualism nor a CW requirement.
> >
> > > When things start failing communication wise worldwide, amateur radio
> > > might be all there is to relay messages, and the ITU just removed one of
> > > the legs of a three leg stool.
> >
> > Dear Slow, air traffic controllers don't use Morris Code, and they
> > communicate with foreign speakers JUST FINE!
>
> but SC can't speak to anybody on air and he neds code testing to allow
> him more person to berate

He's just another grumpy old ham.

5467. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-15 23:24:35 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160954675.072329.231890@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5247
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 14 2006 4:01 pm
>
>
> >Slow Code wrote:
> >> If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't
> >> know each other language they still could have passed it by CW and the
> >> message could have been delivered to someone that could read it. Not no
> >> more.
> >>
> >What can be passed by CW that cannot be passed by voice???
> >>
> >Adhere to the ICAO's phonetic alphabet, and there need be no
> >bi-lingualism nor a CW requirement.
>
> Since 1955 for international civil aviation. It's taken
> from the NATO approved phonetic alphabet which came out
> earlier the same year. I remember it well since I had to
> learn the "new" phonetic alphabet in a hurry while in the
> Army then. :-)

It took me about 20 minutes during a mid-shift to learn it.

> >> When things start failing communication wise worldwide, amateur radio
> >> might be all there is to relay messages, and the ITU just removed one of
> >> the legs of a three leg stool.
> >>
> >Dear Slow, air traffic controllers don't use Morris Code, and they
> >communicate with foreign speakers JUST FINE!
>
> Ever since 1955 the worldwide common language for civil
> aviation communications on airways has been English
> spoken as well as (now) data. That includes pilots as
> well as controllers, even in and over their native
> country; a non-English speaking country MAY use their
> native language but the civil airways can have many
> nations' aircraft in it.
>
> Blowcode is just another troll who has his head up his
> ass in regards to radio communications. That head just
> hasn't been aware of what happened in radio for a half
> century.

With his head up his own ass, he wouldn't need to eat his own excrement
off of another man's genitals. Maybe Robesin can give us hit ake on
that.

> The ITU didn't "just remove" anything. The ITU-R made
> the code test for an amateur license with below-30-MHz
> privileges OPTIONAL to each administration. In 2003.
> THREE years ago, not "just now." :-)

Slow is, well, slow.

5468. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-15 23:29:39 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <20h5j2lmcf3u683aks1r4mvm157ckqbno7@4ax.com>
Source record: 5248
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 15 Oct 2006 16:24:35 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 14 2006 4:01 pm
>>
>>
>> >Slow Code wrote:
>> >> If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't
>> >> know each other language they still could have passed it by CW and the
>> >> message could have been delivered to someone that could read it. Not no
>> >> more.
>> >>
>> >What can be passed by CW that cannot be passed by voice???
>> >
>> >Adhere to the ICAO's phonetic alphabet, and there need be no
>> >bi-lingualism nor a CW requirement.
>>
>> Since 1955 for international civil aviation. It's taken
>> from the NATO approved phonetic alphabet which came out
>> earlier the same year. I remember it well since I had to
>> learn the "new" phonetic alphabet in a hurry while in the
>> Army then. :-)
>
>It took me about 20 minutes during a mid-shift to learn it.
not it took that long or maybe a bit longer but nothing like the time
I have wasted on Morse
>
>> >> When things start failing communication wise worldwide, amateur radio
>> >> might be all there is to relay messages, and the ITU just removed one of
>> >> the legs of a three leg stool.
>> >>
>> >Dear Slow, air traffic controllers don't use Morris Code, and they
>> >communicate with foreign speakers JUST FINE!
>>
>> Ever since 1955 the worldwide common language for civil
>> aviation communications on airways has been English
>> spoken as well as (now) data. That includes pilots as
>> well as controllers, even in and over their native
>> country; a non-English speaking country MAY use their
>> native language but the civil airways can have many
>> nations' aircraft in it.
>>

>> Blowcode is just another troll who has his head up his
>> ass in regards to radio communications. That head just
>> hasn't been aware of what happened in radio for a half
>> century.
>
>With his head up his own ass, he wouldn't need to eat his own excrement
>off of another man's genitals. Maybe Robesin can give us hit ake on
>that.

well BB it must a tight fit so I suspect he wait to he puls out for
air
>
>> The ITU didn't "just remove" anything. The ITU-R made
>> the code test for an amateur license with below-30-MHz
>> privileges OPTIONAL to each administration. In 2003.
>> THREE years ago, not "just now." :-)
>
>Slow is, well, slow.
realy slwo but according to one of post another of Sock puppets "hey
Stupid" so he is more honest the Robeson (which is truly damning with
faint praise
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5469. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-16 04:05:03 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1160971503.740510.95880@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5249
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote
> > > 73 de Alun, N3KIP
>
> > > (20wpm Extra, 100% phone op)
>
> > Alun,
> >
> > With all due respect, your signature line kind of reveals your agenda. We
> > aren't talking about Extra's who don't use CW/rtty/data. The folks we're
> > talking about here are Generals, the most populous HF license class in the US.
> > On 75m they have just had their CW/rtty/data spectrum reduced by 2/3rds. That's
> > outrageous!
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> Here, I'll have a go.
>
> When the new changes go into effect:
>
> Generals gain 50 kHz of 'phone but lose 150 kHz of cw/rtty (1:3 ratio
> of gained/lost bandspace)
> Advanceds gain 75 kHz of 'phone but lose 100 kHz of cw/rtty (3:4 ratio)
> Extras gain 150 kHz of 'phone but lose 150 kHz of cw/rtty (1:1)
> Novices and codetested Techs gain 75 kHz in one part of the band but
> lose 75 kHz elsewhere (3:2)
>
> Do you see the disparity, particularly for Generals? Why should it
> exist? What did Generals do to merit losing so much spectrum?

Failed to upgrade is the trite answer.

> Why can't the low end of 75 be moved 50 kHz instead of 150 kHz, and the
> lower limit of each 'phone subband moved down 50 kHz? Then, each
> license class would gain as many kHz of 'phone as they lose CW/rtty.
> 1:1 ratio for everyone.
>
> If you don't think 50 kHz is enough, make it 75 kHz. The point is that
> the most populous license class on the band *loses* the most total kHz!
> The ratio is 1 kHz gained for 3 kHz lost - why?

The error is attaching the same value to General cw/rtty space per Khz
as you're attaching to their phone space per Khz. Which it is not.

Unless I'm 'way off the mark the vast majority of Generals won't miss
the cw/rtty space at all and they'll be delighted with their new phone
space. Note the lack of Generals expressing any opinions on the
subject. If they're not in here complaining about losing cw/rtty space
why should us OF Extras care about the subject one way or the other?

> How much will 3600-3700 be used when it is Extra-only?

We'll find out soon enough won't we? Then again the new 3600 band edge
could well be just a placeholder for "things to come" rather than just
another conventional expansion of phone space. This R&O has too many
oddities in it like this one for me to believe that the FCC is finished
"streamlining the service". Maybe it's the result of the ARRLs
pestering the FCC to publish a response to the NPRMs. So the FCC did
and damn the torpedoes so this is what we got. For now. Bought the FCC
more time to quietly come up with their "real" omnibus NPRM/R&O?

>
> ---
>
> One more question:
>
> Why isn't rtty/data - particularly wider-than-1 kHz-data - allowed in
> the 'phone bands? Or rather, why should that restriction remain,
> particularly on a band that will be 4:1 phone/narrow modes? How are
> those modes any different from SSTV or CW in terms of compatibility?
>
> It seems to me that a combination voice-data mode would have all sorts
> of uses in amateur radio. Imagine being in QSO with someone on SSB, and
> being able to send a data file to them without having to QSY. In fact,
> with a properly-designed rig, the data could be sent simultaneously on
> the opposite sideband, or on the suppressed-carrier frequency if a mode
> like PSK31 were used for data. Yet under current rules none of that is
> allowed, and the 80/75 bandspace where data modes will be allowed for
> *any* class of license will decrease from 250 kHz to 100 kHz - why?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

5470. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-16 04:14:55 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1160972095.022644.322220@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5250
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

an_old_friend wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> the FCC does not care about protecting DX forgien or domestic

. . . domestic DX . . ?

> the ARRL
> may well have when they sugested this sort of arngementmany years (part
> of incentive licening I supose)

Doesn't matter where the concept came from originally, it's been in
place for decades.

w3rv

5471. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-16 06:46:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <fja6j2dqum9ef9c15j4s4o78lkl2qfno3m@4ax.com>
Source record: 5151
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 15 Oct 2006 21:05:03 -0700, kelly@dvol.com wrote:

>
>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> > "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote
>> > > 73 de Alun, N3KIP
>>
>> > > (20wpm Extra, 100% phone op)
>>
>> > Alun,
>> >
>> > With all due respect, your signature line kind of reveals your agenda. We
>> > aren't talking about Extra's who don't use CW/rtty/data. The folks we're
>> > talking about here are Generals, the most populous HF license class in the US.
>> > On 75m they have just had their CW/rtty/data spectrum reduced by 2/3rds. That's
>> > outrageous!
>> >
>> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>>
>> Here, I'll have a go.
>>
>> When the new changes go into effect:
>>
>> Generals gain 50 kHz of 'phone but lose 150 kHz of cw/rtty (1:3 ratio
>> of gained/lost bandspace)
>> Advanceds gain 75 kHz of 'phone but lose 100 kHz of cw/rtty (3:4 ratio)
>> Extras gain 150 kHz of 'phone but lose 150 kHz of cw/rtty (1:1)
>> Novices and codetested Techs gain 75 kHz in one part of the band but
>> lose 75 kHz elsewhere (3:2)
>>
>> Do you see the disparity, particularly for Generals? Why should it
>> exist? What did Generals do to merit losing so much spectrum?
>
>Failed to upgrade is the trite answer.
>
>> Why can't the low end of 75 be moved 50 kHz instead of 150 kHz, and the
>> lower limit of each 'phone subband moved down 50 kHz? Then, each
>> license class would gain as many kHz of 'phone as they lose CW/rtty.
>> 1:1 ratio for everyone.
>>
>> If you don't think 50 kHz is enough, make it 75 kHz. The point is that
>> the most populous license class on the band *loses* the most total kHz!

>> The ratio is 1 kHz gained for 3 kHz lost - why?
>
>The error is attaching the same value to General cw/rtty space per Khz
>as you're attaching to their phone space per Khz. Which it is not.
>Unless I'm 'way off the mark the vast majority of Generals won't miss
>the cw/rtty space at all and they'll be delighted with their new phone
>space. Note the lack of Generals expressing any opinions on the
>subject. If they're not in here complaining about losing cw/rtty space
>why should us OF Extras care about the subject one way or the other?
ddin't you know the extras have been telling us all what to think for
years

like the code deabte many are not listening
>
>> How much will 3600-3700 be used when it is Extra-only?
>
>We'll find out soon enough won't we? Then again the new 3600 band edge
>could well be just a placeholder for "things to come" rather than just
>another conventional expansion of phone space. This R&O has too many
>oddities in it like this one for me to believe that the FCC is finished
>"streamlining the service". Maybe it's the result of the ARRLs
>pestering the FCC to publish a response to the NPRMs. So the FCC did
>and damn the torpedoes so this is what we got. For now. Bought the FCC
>more time to quietly come up with their "real" omnibus NPRM/R&O?
>
>>
>> ---
>>
>> One more question:
>>
>> Why isn't rtty/data - particularly wider-than-1 kHz-data - allowed in
>> the 'phone bands? Or rather, why should that restriction remain,
>> particularly on a band that will be 4:1 phone/narrow modes? How are
>> those modes any different from SSTV or CW in terms of compatibility?
>>
>> It seems to me that a combination voice-data mode would have all sorts
>> of uses in amateur radio. Imagine being in QSO with someone on SSB, and
>> being able to send a data file to them without having to QSY. In fact,
>> with a properly-designed rig, the data could be sent simultaneously on
>> the opposite sideband, or on the suppressed-carrier frequency if a mode
>> like PSK31 were used for data. Yet under current rules none of that is
>> allowed, and the 80/75 bandspace where data modes will be allowed for
>> *any* class of license will decrease from 250 kHz to 100 kHz - why?
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>w3rv
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5472. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-16 10:40:00 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160995200.662243.45980@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5152
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote
> > > > 73 de Alun, N3KIP
> > > > (20wpm Extra, 100% phone op)

> > > Alun,

> > > With all due respect, your signature line kind of reveals your agenda. We
> > > aren't talking about Extra's who don't use CW/rtty/data. The folks we're
> > > talking about here are Generals, the most populous HF license class in the US.
> > > On 75m they have just had their CW/rtty/data spectrum reduced by 2/3rds. That's
> > > outrageous!
> > >
> > > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> > Here, I'll have a go.
> >
> > When the new changes go into effect:
> >
> > Generals gain 50 kHz of 'phone but lose 150 kHz of cw/rtty (1:3 ratio
> > of gained/lost bandspace)
> > Advanceds gain 75 kHz of 'phone but lose 100 kHz of cw/rtty (3:4 ratio)
> > Extras gain 150 kHz of 'phone but lose 150 kHz of cw/rtty (1:1)
> > Novices and codetested Techs gain 75 kHz in one part of the band but
> > lose 75 kHz elsewhere (3:2)
> >
> > Do you see the disparity, particularly for Generals? Why should it
> > exist? What did Generals do to merit losing so much spectrum?
>
> Failed to upgrade is the trite answer.

Maybe so!

Note how, in more than one Report and Order, FCC has pointed out how
little testing it takes to upgrade to Extra. FCC has repeatedly turned
down all proposals that would have granted instant upgrades, like
making all Advanceds into Extras. Maybe it's time to take the hint.

> > Why can't the low end of 75 be moved 50 kHz instead of 150 kHz, and the
> > lower limit of each 'phone subband moved down 50 kHz? Then, each
> > license class would gain as many kHz of 'phone as they lose CW/rtty.
> > 1:1 ratio for everyone.
> >

> > If you don't think 50 kHz is enough, make it 75 kHz. The point is that
> > the most populous license class on the band *loses* the most total kHz!
> > The ratio is 1 kHz gained for 3 kHz lost - why?
>
> The error is attaching the same value to General cw/rtty space per Khz
> as you're attaching to their phone space per Khz. Which it is not.
> Unless I'm 'way off the mark the vast majority of Generals won't miss
> the cw/rtty space at all and they'll be delighted with their new phone
> space. Note the lack of Generals expressing any opinions on the
> subject. If they're not in here complaining about losing cw/rtty space
> why should us OF Extras care about the subject one way or the other?

It sets a bad precedent.
>
> > How much will 3600-3700 be used when it is Extra-only?
>
> We'll find out soon enough won't we? Then again the new 3600 band edge
> could well be just a placeholder for "things to come" rather than just
> another conventional expansion of phone space. This R&O has too many
> oddities in it like this one for me to believe that the FCC is finished
> "streamlining the service". Maybe it's the result of the ARRLs
> pestering the FCC to publish a response to the NPRMs. So the FCC did
> and damn the torpedoes so this is what we got. For now. Bought the FCC
> more time to quietly come up with their "real" omnibus NPRM/R&O?

I think we're on to the same idea.

I wonder what FCC really thinks of us hams. Sure, they say certain
things - because they have to. But consider what amateur radio may look
like to at least some of them:

We have huge amounts of spectrum set aside for us by international
treaty, and we yelp at the loss of even small amounts of it to other
services.We don't generate any real revenue, yet we keep asking for
more enforcement.

Users of other services complain about interference from us (even when
it's not our fault) and we complain about interference from power
lines, appliances, etc., that don't seem to bother anybody else. We
raise holy heck over new technologies like BPL, even though the
state-of-the-art experts who design the new technologies say we
amateurs are wrong.

And now the big one:

We amateurs send in way too many petitions/proposals to FCC, and then
cannot agree about what we really want.

I think what FCC expects is for us hams to argue amongst ourselves and
work out what rules we really want *before* sending in any
petitions/proposals. Then, when a proposal is sent in and FCC gives it
an RM number, the comments are overwhelmingly positive and FCC's job is
easy.

Look at the recent "bandwidth" proposals. ARRL sends in one, a group called CTT sends in another. Both are given RM numbers and very short comment periods. Both get a ton of comments - overwhelmingly opposed! CTT is opposed even more than ARRL (something like 7 or 8 to 1 against for both of them).

Could be that the folks at FCC who have to go through all that are angry at having to deal with it? Two groups who both claim to know what's best for amateur radio sent in proposals that cost a lot of FCC resources. But neither group gets widespread amateur support *first*, so the comments are an overwhelming "NO!" to both proposals.

The Morse Code test issue is even more of a mess. Treaty changes, FCC gets *18* proposals! Huh? Some are poles apart while others are virtually identical. They gotta deal with 18 RMs and comments on all of them. One self-proclaimed "PROFESSIONAL", who isn't even a ham and doesn't intend to be one, sends them hundreds of pages of comments and reply comments, which they have to wade through.

Now look at what Industry Canada dealt with. The national society worked out a compromise on the issue, got consensus from its members and the general Canadian amateur radio community, *then* made a proposal - complete with detailed poll results. Proposal was supported in comments and sailed right through. RAC made IC's work easy.

When's the last time *any* proposal sent to FCC by hams (not just ARRL) got widespread support in the comments?

73 de Jim, N2EY

5473. TrunkPCR update 0.81.203 Now Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-16 11:43:10 UTC
From: CrazyC <crazy__c_@hot_mail.com>
Message-ID: <DfKYg.35489$iA5.790@dukeread11>
Source record: 5153

TrunkPCR update 0.81.203 Now Available for Downloading from the homepage:
http://members.cox.net/fiftyone.50/TrunkPcr/TrunkPcr.html
Oct 06,2006 - Fixed: Hunt on Data Loss using PCR-2500 in Dual Rcvr Mode.
Oct 06,2006 - -----: Recovery Rate info now shown in Dual Rcvr when selected
(PCR-2500 Only).
Oct 06,2006 - -----: Changed how Frequency is Set when on Voice Channel
(PCR-2500 Only).
Oct 06,2006 - -----: Dual Rcvr now remembers Last Channel used (PCR-2500
Only).
Oct 07,2006 - Fixed: If Mode on Channel was saved as P25, was defaulting to
WFM when loaded.
Oct 10,2006 - Fixed: Changed Weather Alert Frequencies for PCR-1500/2500.
Oct 14,2006 - Fixed: Editing Group ID Descriptions in Trunk ID Editor
(Again...).
Oct 15,2006 - Added: TRAIN Canceller Editor for PCR-1500/2500.
Oct 16,2006 - Added: DV and P25 Mode Selection in Search Limit Editor for
PCR-2500.
Version 0.81.203 Released 10/16/2006

Regards,
Jay

5474. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-16 12:09:00 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161000540.724865.25060@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5154
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 15 Oct 2006 16:24:35 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 14 2006 4:01 pm
> >> >Slow Code wrote:

> >> >> If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't
> >> >> know each other language they still could have passed it by CW and the
> >> >> message could have been delivered to someone that could read it. Not no
> >> >> more.
> >> >
> >> >What can be passed by CW that cannot be passed by voice???
> >> >
> >> >Adhere to the ICAO's phonetic alphabet, and there need be no
> >> >bi-lingualism nor a CW requirement.
> >>
> >> Since 1955 for international civil aviation. It's taken
> >> from the NATO approved phonetic alphabet which came out
> >> earlier the same year. I remember it well since I had to
> >> learn the "new" phonetic alphabet in a hurry while in the
> >> Army then. :-)
> >
> >It took me about 20 minutes during a mid-shift to learn it.

> not it took that long or maybe a bit longer but nothing like the time
> I have wasted on Morse

It took me about 7 weeks and lots of hours to get to about 10 words per
minute. I couldn't get past that, so I got the consolation prize...
Technician.

> >> >> When things start failing communication wise worldwide, amateur radio
> >> >> might be all there is to relay messages, and the ITU just removed one of
> >> >> the legs of a three leg stool.
> >> >
> >> >Dear Slow, air traffic controllers don't use Morris Code, and they
> >> >communicate with foreign speakers JUST FINE!
> >>
> >> Ever since 1955 the worldwide common language for civil
> >> aviation communications on airways has been English
> >> spoken as well as (now) data. That includes pilots as
> >> well as controllers, even in and over their native
> >> country; a non-English speaking country MAY use their
> >> native language but the civil airways can have many

> >> nations' aircraft in it.
> >>
> >> Blowcode is just another troll who has his head up his
> >> ass in regards to radio communications. That head just
> >> hasn't been aware of what happened in radio for a half
> >> century.
> >
> >With his head up his own ass, he wouldn't need to eat his own excrement
> >off of another man's genitals. Maybe Robesin can give us hit ake on
> >that.
>
> well BB it must a tight fit so I suspect he wait to he puls out for
> air

We should hear a loud "pop."

> >> The ITU didn't "just remove" anything. The ITU-R made
> >> the code test for an amateur license with below-30-MHz
> >> privileges OPTIONAL to each administration. In 2003.
> >> THREE years ago, not "just now." :-)
> >
> >Slow is, well, slow.

> realy slwo but according to one of post another of Sock puppets "hey
> Stupid" so he is more honest the Robeson (which is truly damning with
> faint praise.

He might be a former RRAPper. Can you guess which one?

5475. FA: Optoelectronics Optocom / IBM 390 E

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-16 14:26:14 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <re57j2pc4a6kto8up8jsfg8bncfdtrahk9@4ax.com>
Source record: 5155

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=110044578425

5476. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-16 15:56:57 UTC
From: Jimmie D <jimmiedee@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <4ZNYg.45999$tT6.14263@bignews7.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 5156
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1158983174.967897.172510@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> Opus- wrote:
>> On Tue, 19 Sep 2006 08:19:27 -0400, jawod <jawod@fuse.net> spake
>> thusly:
>>
>> >> Who the FUCK are YOU to make such a statement? You snot nosed,
>> >> arrogant PRICK! You do NOT know the kind of person that I am!! Wake up
>> >> and smell the cappuccino! Code is obsolete! Knowing code does NOTHING
>> >> to make somebody an "asset to the service". And, could you explain
>> >> what makes a person an "asset to the service"?
>> >>
>> >Jeez,
>> >Chill out, eh?
>>
>> Sorry, but I get upset with people who make statements that are easily
>> taken as personal insults.
>
> "Stuff happens."
>
> BTW, this "Jawod" signed a message on rec.radio.amateur.homebrew
> as "AB8O." I found a blank on that call sign at QRZ.
>
>
>> >Yes it's obsolete. Yes, it's fun.
>>
>> I found it to be cold and impersonal.
>
> I agree. Manual radiotelegraphy has NONE of the body language
> or tone of voice or much of anything that is normal in everyday
> person-to-person contacts. Using this monotonic form of
> very early radio allows any user to be anything they want with
> no real references to anything but the ability to send telegraphy.
>
>
>> >Should it be used to qualify? Let the FCC decide (soon).
>>
>> Here in Canada, they already have. I believe the FCC will soon.
>>
>> >If it is eliminated, will that change the "Service"? Maybe.
>>
>> Probably not.

>
> Heh heh...if the test is eliminated the expressed outrage,
> anguish, and horror will be a horrendous wail never to be
> silenced until the last code key is pried from cold, dead
> fingers! :-)
>
>
>> >Will CW disappear? Probably not.
>> >Historically, it defined ham radio, so it has a special place in the
>> >hearts of very many hams. It's natural that they sort of cling to it.
>>
>> Let them cling, they are free to do so.
>
> I'd say "clog" as in cholesterol clogging those "hearts."
>
> "Jawod" uses "many" AS IF it were quantitative. Not so much
> in the USA now. The US Technician class licensees now
> number about 49% of all, twice as large a number as the
> General class. I doubt they want to hear such facts.
>
>
>> >Will CW's elimination be the end of ham radio? Of course not.
>> >Ham radio will cease when all the hams die off. New hams are needed,
>> >with or without code.
>>
>> I totally agree.
>
> In the USA the number of newcomers is not able to keep pace
> with the expirations of licensees. That trend has been evident
> for more than a year. [see www.hamdata.com] The majority of
> new licensees are Technician class. Novice class, the
> supposed traditional "beginner" license has been expiring at a
> steady rate for years before the US changes in 2000.
>
>
>> >My personal hope is that a significant minority of these new hams will
>> >take up CW and learn to enjoy this mode. It truly is a fun mode. I
>> >hope people will WANT to learn it.
>>
>> I always found it to be boring.
>
> "Jawod" and other morsemen think that all will "like" what they
> like. They really don't understand what other citizens want.
>
>
>> >Compulsory things are seldom welcome,,,some are necessary. Is CW a good
>> >requirement for ham radio? I guess it has probably outlived its day.
>> >
>> >A requirement related to other digital modes would make a good
>> >replacement. True?

>>
>> I completely agree. If you want to filter out the less serious, then
>> use a relevant method. Here in Canada, in order to get a no-code
>> licence, you must get at least 80% on the technical. And technical
>> prowess will always be important regardless of the mode of
>> communication.
>
> That sounds fair. In general I've approved what Industry Canada
> does on communications regulations...a bit more than what the
> FCC does for US civil radio services.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

Im sure the numbers would look even wose if the licenses expired sooner.
Most of the new hams I know lose interest in a couple of years, long befor
the licence expires.

5477. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-16 16:04:45 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161014685.850587.193480@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5157
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Jimmie D wrote:
>
> Im sure the numbers would look even wose if the licenses expired sooner.
> Most of the new hams I know lose interest in a couple of years, long befor
> the licence expires.

Those darned new hams. They never should have been licensured in the
first place. Didn't have the ooomph to get licensed when the tests
were harder so they weren't really that innerested in the first place.
Didn't show the proper dedication.

5478. Re: IM ASKING FOR DONATIONS FOR HEART SURGERY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-16 16:16:32 UTC
From: PINK FLOYD FANATIC <kevindreyling@charter.net>
Message-ID: <1161015391.865000.302770@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster

David wrote:
> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 07:23:24 -0600, "mike" <mcollins7373@msn.com>
> wrote:
>
> > hello i always figured this would never happen to me but my heart is having serious issuses do to a birth defect (i was born with a hole in my heart)and need money to have the surgery to get the hole fix so i am asking for donations to help with the cost since i dont have insurance so if you have a paypal account please look into your heart and donate a dollar or two my paypal emial is mcollins7373@msn.com i need around 20,000 dollars usd to have the surgery done. This is my last resort for money i have tried goverment agencies family and friends and to my dissapointment nothing happened SO PLEASE I NEED HELP thank you so much mike collins
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >uW18P>^&K2[o%09E*`x[9K%bwh'bt/QIr8!Vk
MwoA)75ElpSj?Z[U`)tK`%OLP#m2qLF<MuIR5q.lYC;M:1Lc>: aQcG]SjrTf$
>
> This is the richest country on Earth. You mean to tell me your
> ability to get life-saving medical care is contingent upon your
> personal wealth?
>
> The next thing you know we're going to find out only rich people can
> get a fair shake from the justice system, or public education.

This part is true! The rich people have more access to health care and
it is getting to the point that the justice system favors the rich! :(
Show you what kind of idiots are running this county! They don't give a
flying (bleep) about us poor working class slaves! :(

5479. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-16 16:26:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <shc7j2pkvq2vfjtcnoglgaenfbusk797pi@4ax.com>
Source record: 5159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 16 Oct 2006 05:09:00 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 15 Oct 2006 16:24:35 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 14 2006 4:01 pm
>> >> >Slow Code wrote:
>
>> >> >> If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't
>> >> >> know each other language they still could have passed it by CW and the
>> >> >> message could have been delivered to someone that could read it. Not no
>> >> >> more.
>> >> >
>> >> >What can be passed by CW that cannot be passed by voice???
>> >> >
>> >> >Adhere to the ICAO's phonetic alphabet, and there need be no
>> >> >bi-lingualism nor a CW requirement.
>> >>
>> >> Since 1955 for international civil aviation. It's taken
>> >> from the NATO approved phonetic alphabet which came out
>> >> earlier the same year. I remember it well since I had to
>> >> learn the "new" phonetic alphabet in a hurry while in the
>> >> Army then. :-)
>> >
>> >It took me about 20 minutes during a mid-shift to learn it.
>
>> not it took that long or maybe a bit longer but nothing like the time
>> I have wasted on Morse
>
>It took me about 7 weeks and lots of hours to get to about 10 words per
>minute. I couldn't get past that, so I got the consolation prize...
>Technician.
5 year at 40 minutes per day 5 days per week and nothing not even the
novice license the only thing I got out of the exercise was that
somebody spotted my dyslexia before things got to the point of
believing my fellows of the days charges of being stupid (the guys
thinks they are putting me they have nothing on prettens attacking a
felow pretten
>
>> >> >> When things start failing communication wise worldwide, amateur radio
>> >> >> might be all there is to relay messages, and the ITU just removed one of
>> >> >> the legs of a three leg stool.

>> >> >
>> >> >Dear Slow, air traffic controllers don't use Morris Code, and they
>> >> >communicate with foreign speakers JUST FINE!
>> >>
>> >> Ever since 1955 the worldwide common language for civil
>> >> aviation communications on airways has been English
>> >> spoken as well as (now) data. That includes pilots as
>> >> well as controllers, even in and over their native
>> >> country; a non-English speaking country MAY use their
>> >> native language but the civil airways can have many
>> >> nations' aircraft in it.
>> >>
>> >> Blowcode is just another troll who has his head up his
>> >> ass in regards to radio communications. That head just
>> >> hasn't been aware of what happened in radio for a half
>> >> century.
>> >
>> >With his head up his own ass, he wouldn't need to eat his own excrement
>> >off of another man's genitals. Maybe Robesin can give us hit ake on
>> >that.
>>
>> well BB it must a tight fit so I suspect he wait to he puls out for
>> air
>
>We should hear a loud "pop."
>
>> >> The ITU didn't "just remove" anything. The ITU-R made
>> >> the code test for an amateur license with below-30-MHz
>> >> privileges OPTIONAL to each administration. In 2003.
>> >> THREE years ago, not "just now." :-)
>> >
>> >Slow is, well, slow.
>
>> realy slwo but according to one of post another of Sock puppets "hey
>> Stupid" so he is more honest the Robeson (which is truly damning with
>> faint praise.
>
>He might be a former RRAPper. Can you guess which one?
he might maybe another current RRAPer
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5480. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-16 16:45:53 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1161017153.843097.122010@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5160
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > KØHB wrote:

> > > Do you see the disparity, particularly for Generals? Why should it
> > > exist? What did Generals do to merit losing so much spectrum?
> >
> > Failed to upgrade is the trite answer.
>
> Maybe so!

Absolutely so. This thing is a watered-down repeat of the 1960s
"restructuring".

> Note how, in more than one Report and Order, FCC has pointed out how
> little testing it takes to upgrade to Extra. FCC has repeatedly turned
> down all proposals that would have granted instant upgrades, like
> making all Advanceds into Extras. Maybe it's time to take the hint.

> > Note the lack of Generals expressing any opinions on the
> > subject. If they're not in here complaining about losing cw/rtty space
> > why should us OF Extras care about the subject one way or the other?
>
> It sets a bad precedent.

In what respect?

> > Maybe it's the result of the ARRLs
> > pestering the FCC to publish a response to the NPRMs. So the FCC did
> > and damn the torpedoes so this is what we got. For now. Bought the FCC
> > more time to quietly come up with their "real" omnibus NPRM/R&O?
>
> I think we're on to the same idea.

> I wonder what FCC really thinks of us hams.

PIAs. Their problem.

> Sure, they say certain
> things - because they have to. But consider what amateur radio may look
> like to at least some of them:
>
> We have huge amounts of spectrum set aside for us by international
> treaty, and we yelp at the loss of even small amounts of it to other
> services.We don't generate any real revenue, yet we keep asking for

> more enforcement.
>
> Users of other services complain about interference from us (even when
> it's not our fault) and we complain about interference from power
> lines, appliances, etc., that don't seem to bother anybody else. We
> raise holy heck over new technologies like BPL, even though the
> state-of-the-art experts who design the new technologies say we
> amateurs are wrong.

The "relationship" between ham radio and the FCC is no different
overall than the way the FAA gets pounded by the recreational aviation
crowd and the Department of the Interior gets Excedrin headaches from
the hiking, camping, hunting and fishing bunch. The ongoing regulatory
brawls between the skimobile/ATV users vs. the preservationists make
our little code test dispute look laughable in comparison. When it's
all said and done the regulatory agencies have been instructed by law
and funded by Congress to deal with the sometimes goofy issues we the
public stick them with - since it's *us* who are paying them to do it.

>
> And now the big one:
>
> We amateurs send in way too many petitions/proposals to FCC, and then
> cannot agree about what we really want.
>
> I think what FCC expects is for us hams to argue amongst ourselves and
> work out what rules we really want *before* sending in any
> petitions/proposals. Then, when a proposal is sent in and FCC gives it
> an RM number, the comments are overwhelmingly positive and FCC's job is
> easy.
>
> Look at the recent "bandwidth" proposals. ARRL sends in one, a group
> called CTT sends in another. Both are given RM numbers and very short
> comment periods. Both get a ton of comments - overwhelmingly opposed!
> CTT is opposed even more than ARRL (something like 7 or 8 to 1 against
> for both of them).
>
> Could be that the folks at FCC who have to go through all that are
> angry at having to deal with it? Two groups who both claim to know
> what's best for amateur radio sent in proposals that cost a lot of FCC
> resources.

> But neither group gets widespread amateur support *first*,
> so the comments are an overwhelming "NO!" to both proposals.

> The Morse Code test issue is even more of a mess. Treaty changes, FCC
> gets *18* proposals! Huh? Some are poles apart while others are
> virtually identical. They gotta deal with 18 RMs and comments on all of
> them.

No sympathy. See above about who gets paid to do what.

> One self-proclaimed "PROFESSIONAL", who isn't even a ham and
> doesn't intend to be one, sends them hundreds of pages of comments and
> reply comments, which they have to wade through.

No way. The FCC staffers who deal with these things don't live in a vacuum, they're not stupid and they know bullshit when it lands in their inboxes and they know how to handle it. By now they've long since gotten wise to Anderson's childish antics and his "comments" just get rubber-stamped "READ" and tossed into the outbox without further ado. He's not having any impact at all at the FCC and he knows it, he's trolling for folk like you who get their knickers in a twist over his nonsense.

> Now look at what Industry Canada dealt with. The national society
> worked out a compromise on the issue, got consensus from its members
> and the general Canadian amateur radio community, *then* made a
> proposal - complete with detailed poll results. Proposal was supported
> in comments and sailed right through. RAC made IC's work easy.

> When's the last time *any* proposal sent to FCC by hams (not just ARRL)
> got widespread support in the comments?

Back when I worked in Canada for a number of months and it was a real eye-opener. One big lesson I learned is that Yanks in general don't understand that in many respects Canada is culturally quite different from the U.S. even though the language is functionally identical and the border is wide open by international standards. In a nutshell my take has been that Canadians are far more likely to come to a consensus than we are by their general nature. So are most of the Europeans. We're the global odd jobs, we're notorious all over the planet for preferring food fights to regulatory peace and quiet. We is what we is, the old "herding cats" syndrome in play.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

5481. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-16 16:54:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161017683.639215.11260@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5161
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Jimmie D wrote:
> >
> > Im sure the numbers would look even wose if the licenses expired sooner.
> > Most of the new hams I know lose interest in a couple of years, long befor
> > the licence expires.
>
> Those darned new hams. They never should have been licensured in the
> first place. Didn't have the ooomph to get licensed when the tests
> were harder so they weren't really that innerested in the first place.
> Didn't show the proper dedication.

and of course none of the failure of hams to reamin hams is due to
bullshit they must endure from other hams

5482. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-16 17:01:04 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <fke7j21e4jse1nok9v8556gfet260a726s@4ax.com>
Source record: 5162
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 16 Oct 2006 09:45:53 -0700, kelly@dvol.com wrote:

>
>
>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>> > > Do you see the disparity, particularly for Generals? Why should it
>> > > exist? What did Generals do to merit losing so much spectrum?
>> >
>> > Failed to upgrade is the trite answer.
>>
>> Maybe so!
>
>Absolutely so. This thing is a watered-down repeat of the 1960s
>"restructuring".
luddit
>
>> Note how, in more than one Report and Order, FCC has pointed out how
>> little testing it takes to upgrade to Extra. FCC has repeatedly turned
>> down all proposals that would have granted instant upgrades, like
>> making all Advanceds into Extras. Maybe it's time to take the hint.
>
>> > Note the lack of Generals expressing any opinions on the
>> > subject. If they're not in here complaining about losing cw/rtty space
>> > why should us OF Extras care about the subject one way or the other?
>>
>> It sets a bad precedent.
>
>In what respect?
>
>> > Maybe it's the result of the ARRLs
>> > pestering the FCC to publish a response to the NPRMs. So the FCC did
>> > and damn the torpedoes so this is what we got. For now. Bought the FCC
>> > more time to quietly come up with their "real" omnibus NPRM/R&O?
>>
>> I think we're on to the same idea.
>
>> I wonder what FCC really thinks of us hams.
>
>PIAs. Their problem.

>
>> Sure, they say certain
>> things - because they have to. But consider what amateur radio may look
>> like to at least some of them:
>>
>> We have huge amounts of spectrum set aside for us by international
>> treaty, and we yelp at the loss of even small amounts of it to other
>> services.We don't generate any real revenue, yet we keep asking for
>> more enforcement.
>>
>> Users of other services complain about interference from us (even when
>> it's not our fault) and we complain about interference from power
>> lines, appliances, etc., that don't seem to bother anybody else. We
>> raise holy heck over new technologies like BPL, even though the
>> state-of-the-art experts who design the new technologies say we
>> amateurs are wrong.
>
>The "relationship" between ham radio and the FCC is no different
>overall than the way the FAA gets pounded by the recreational aviation
>crowd and the Department of the Interior gets Excedrin headaches from
>the hiking, camping, hunting and fishing bunch. The ongoing regulatory
>brawls between the skimobile/ATV users vs. the preservationists make
>our little code test dispute look laughable in comparison. When it's
>all said and done the regulatory agencies have been instructed by law
>and funded by Congress to deal with the sometimes goofy issues we the
>public stick them with - since it's *us* who are paying them to do it.
>
>
>>
>> And now the big one:
>>
>> We amateurs send in way too many petitions/proposals to FCC, and then
>> cannot agree about what we really want.
>>
>> I think what FCC expects is for us hams to argue amongst ourselves and
>> work out what rules we really want *before* sending in any
>> petitions/proposals. Then, when a proposal is sent in and FCC gives it
>> an RM number, the comments are overwhelmingly positive and FCC's job is
>> easy.
>>
>> Look at the recent "bandwidth" proposals. ARRL sends in one, a group
>> called CTT sends in another. Both are given RM numbers and very short
>> comment periods. Both get a ton of comments - overwhelmingly opposed!
>> CTT is opposed even more than ARRL (something like 7 or 8 to 1 against
>> for both of them).
>>
>> Could be that the folks at FCC who have to go through all that are
>> angry at having to deal with it? Two groups who both claim to know
>> what's best for amateur radio sent in proposals that cost a lot of FCC
>> resources.

>
>> But neither group gets widespread amateur support *first*,
>> so the comments are an overwhelming "NO!" to both proposals.
>
>> The Morse Code test issue is even more of a mess. Treaty changes, FCC
>> gets *18* proposals! Huh? Some are poles apart while others are
>> virtually identical. They gotta deal with 18 RMs and comments on all of
>> them.
>
>No sympathy. See above about who gets paid to do what.
>
>> One self-proclaimed "PROFESSIONAL", who isn't even a ham and
>> doesn't intend to be one, sends them hundreds of pages of comments and
>> reply comments, which they have to wade through.
>
>No way. The FCC staffers who deal with these things don't live in a
>vacuum, they're not stupid and they know bullshit when it lands in
>their inboxes and they know how to handle it. By now they've long since
>gotten wise to Anderson's childish antics and his "comments" just get
>rubber-stamped "READ" and tossed into the outbox without further ado.
>He's not having any impact at all at the FCC and he knows it, he's
>trolling for folk like you who get their knickers in a twist over his
>nonsense.
>
>> Now look at what Industry Canada dealt with. The national society
>> worked out a compromise on the issue, got consensus from its members
>> and the general Canadian amateur radio community, *then* made a
>> proposal - complete with detailed poll results. Proposal was supported
>> in comments and sailed right through. RAC made IC's work easy.
>
>> When's the last time *any* proposal sent to FCC by hams (not just ARRL)
>> got widespread support in the comments?
>
>Back when I worked in Canada for a number of months and it was a real
>eye-opener. One big lesson I learned is that Yanks in general don't
>understand that in many respects Canada is culturally quite different
>from the U.S. even though the language is functionally identical and
>the border is wide open by international standards. In a nutshell my
>take has been that Canadians are far more likely to come to a consensus
>than we are by their general nature. So are most of the Europeans.
>We're the global odd jobs, we're notorious all over the planet for
>preferring food fights to regulatory peace and quiet. We is what we is,
>the old "herding cats" syndrome in play.
>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>w3rv
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5483. Ham Radio and Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-16 17:07:38 UTC
From: "brentoli@gmail.com" <brentoli@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161018458.399299.214130@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5163
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

First off... this is coming from General... who was Grandfathered...

I know many people who are not Extras and who have not passed the 20wpm
that respect those OM very much. I love to sit at field day and watch
in awe at a CW operator. Just because I can't do it doesn't mean I
don't respect it. And there are many other people like that. So please
dont lump all of us "meaningless generals" into one please.

73's
KC0DOH

Brent Jensen

5484. i punce in aigain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-16 17:24:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <4533b35d$0$19638$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 5164
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
so
bringit on you loosers

show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW
rendered phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and
SC a few just decided to not to be as hateful

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5485. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-16 20:01:38 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161028898.573069.307150@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5165
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Jimmie D wrote:
> > >
> > > Im sure the numbers would look even wose if the licenses expired sooner.
> > > Most of the new hams I know lose interest in a couple of years, long befor
> > > the licence expires.
> >
> > Those darned new hams. They never should have been licensured in the
> > first place. Didn't have the ooomph to get licensed when the tests
> > were harder so they weren't really that innerested in the first place.
> > Didn't show the proper dedication.
>
> and of course none of the failure of hams to reamin hams is due to
> bullshit they must endure from other hams

Of course not! Ham radio is a swell fellowship of men. What you see
on RRAP n't ham radio.

5486. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-16 20:22:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <pfq7j2ln7bt6nl2cj2ura81gshgk9bnnk7@4ax.com>
Source record: 5166
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 13:24:24 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:

> ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5487. Best Programming Software for Bearcat Scanners ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-16 20:28:49 UTC
From: Good Ol Dad <bigmike@justboystoys.com>
Message-ID: <vjq7j29t2me3ssm5c8v600athl9evgt0bq@4ax.com>
Source record: 5167
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

HI All,

What is the best programming software for Beacat Scanners these days?

I have a BC 898T and a BC245XLT that I can't seem to figure out the trunktracker programming on.

So, I was told a scanner programming software will do it for me via a serial connection my computer.

Who has had good results with such software and where can I locate it.

Thanks in advance,
Mike - FL

5488. Re: Ham Radio and Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-16 20:32:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <73r7j2hrur6um9n30sc84meveddgv20brv@4ax.com>
Source record: 5168
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 16 Oct 2006 10:07:38 -0700, "brentoli@gmail.com"
<brentoli@gmail.com> wrote:

>First off... this is coming from General... who was Grandfathered...
>
>I know many people who are not Extras and who have not passed the 20wpm
>that respect those OM very much. I love to sit at field day and watch
>in awe at a CW operator. Just because I can't do it doesn't mean I
>don't respect it. And there are many other people like that. So please
>dont lump all of us "meaningless generals" into one please.

wel said
>
>73's
>KC0DOH
>
>Brent Jensen
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5489. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-16 20:43:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161031387.179319.198490@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5169
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > Jimmie D wrote:

> > and of course none of the failure of hams to reamin hams is due to
> > bullshit they must endure from other hams
>
> Of course not! Ham radio is a swell fellowship of men. What you see
> on RRAP n't ham radio.
realy it isn't that much different from what i encounters on air with a
sad frequency

5490. Re: Ham Radio and Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-16 21:25:35 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1161033935.216218.122960@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5170
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

brentoli@gmail.com wrote:
> First off... this is coming from General... who was Grandfathered...

"Grandfathered"? How?

> I know many people who are not Extras and who have not passed the 20wpm
> that respect those OM very much. I love to sit at field day and watch
> in awe at a CW operator. Just because I can't do it doesn't mean I
> don't respect it.

Thanks!

But consider that you could probably do it, too.

Nobody is born knowing Morse Code. Those who know it, learned it and
kept on learning it. They all started from zero. Sure, some people have
more innate skill/talent than others, but that's just one factor. Most
people learn to understand speech at more than 100 wpm - is 20 wpm code
more complex than that?

> And there are many other people like that. So please
> dont lump all of us "meaningless generals" into one please.
>
No license class is meaningless.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5491. Re: i punce in aigain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-16 21:45:46 UTC
From: cuhulin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10248-4533FD8A-573@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 5171

I need to start taking writing lessons from you.
cuhulin

5492. Re: Best Programming Software for Bearcat Scanners ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-16 23:07:47 UTC
From: Jim <None@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <7hUYg.8889$cH6.256@trnddc07>
Source record: 5172
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Good Ol Dad wrote:
> HI All,
>
> What is the best programming software for Beacat Scanners these
> days?
>
> I have a BC 898T and a BC245XLT that I can't seem to figure out
> the trunktracker programming on.
>
> So, I was told a scanner programming software will do it for me via
> a serial connection my computer.
>
> Who has had good results with such software and where can I locate
> it.
>
> Thanks in advance,
> Mike - FL

Try ScanControl from 3D technologies

5493. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-16 23:12:35 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1161040355.357240.163210@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > On 15 Oct 2006 16:24:35 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 14 2006 4:01 pm
> > >> >Slow Code wrote:

> > >> Blowcode is just another troll who has his head up his
> > >> ass in regards to radio communications. That head just
> > >> hasn't been aware of what happened in radio for a half
> > >> century.
> > >
> > >With his head up his own ass, he wouldn't need to eat his own excrement
> > >off of another man's genitals. Maybe Robesin can give us hit ake on
> > >that.
> >
> > well BB it must a tight fit so I suspect he wait to he puls out for
> > air
>
> We should hear a loud "pop."
>
> > >> The ITU didn't "just remove" anything. The ITU-R made
> > >> the code test for an amateur license with below-30-MHz
> > >> privileges OPTIONAL to each administration. In 2003.
> > >> THREE years ago, not "just now." :-)
> > >
> > >Slow is, well, slow.
>
> > realy slwo but according to one of post another of Sock puppets "hey
> > Stupid" so he is more honest the Robeson (which is truly damning with
> > faint praise.
>
> He might be a former RRAPper. Can you guess which one?

I'll go with Mark and say it is a current RRAPer...a pro-coder who
is wrapped too tight...either Jimmie Noserve or that Waffen SS guy.

5494. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-16 23:20:28 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <0tUYg.44$xF1.2@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 5174
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1161031387.179319.198490@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > Jimmie D wrote:
>
>> > and of course none of the failure of hams to reamin hams is due to
>> > bullshit they must endure from other hams
>>
>> Of course not! Ham radio is a swell fellowship of men. What you see
>> on RRAP n't ham radio.
> realy it isn't that much different from what i encounters on air with a
> sad frequency
>

Well then Mark, do you think, just for a second, that possibly, just maybe,
that it could be YOU that brings out the best in everyone?

5495. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-16 23:20:57 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1161040857.293628.98100@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5175
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > KØHB wrote:

> > > > Do you see the disparity, particularly for Generals? Why should it
> > > > exist? What did Generals do to merit losing so much spectrum?
> > > >
> > > Failed to upgrade is the trite answer.
> > >
> > Maybe so!
> >
> Absolutely so. This thing is a watered-down repeat of the 1960s
> "restructuring".

The more things change....

The old General phone band was 3800-4000. The circle is complete.

> > Note how, in more than one Report and Order, FCC has pointed out how
> > little testing it takes to upgrade to Extra. FCC has repeatedly turned
> > down all proposals that would have granted instant upgrades, like
> > making all Advanceds into Extras. Maybe it's time to take the hint.

> > > Note the lack of Generals expressing any opinions on the
> > > subject. If they're not in here complaining about losing cw/rtty space
> > > why should us OF Extras care about the subject one way or the other?
> > >
> > It sets a bad precedent.
> >
> In what respect?

That they will reduce privileges of existing licenses.

> > > Maybe it's the result of the ARRLs
> > > pestering the FCC to publish a response to the NPRMs. So the FCC did
> > > and damn the torpedoes so this is what we got. For now. Bought the FCC
> > > more time to quietly come up with their "real" omnibus NPRM/R&O?
> > >
> > I think we're on to the same idea.
> >
> > I wonder what FCC really thinks of us hams.
> >
> PIAs. Their problem.

Naw, it's ours, because we can't vote them out.

> > Sure, they say certain
> > things - because they have to. But consider what amateur radio may look
> > like to at least some of them:

> > We have huge amounts of spectrum set aside for us by international
> > treaty, and we yelp at the loss of even small amounts of it to other
> > services.We don't generate any real revenue, yet we keep asking for
> > more enforcement.
> >
> > Users of other services complain about interference from us (even when
> > it's not our fault) and we complain about interference from power
> > lines, appliances, etc., that don't seem to bother anybody else. We
> > raise holy heck over new technologies like BPL, even though the
> > state-of-the-art experts who design the new technologies say we
> > amateurs are wrong.
>
> The "relationship" between ham radio and the FCC is no different
> overall than the way the FAA gets pounded by the recreational aviation
> crowd and the Department of the Interior gets Excedrin headaches from
> the hiking, camping, hunting and fishing bunch. The ongoing regulatory
> brawls between the skimobile/ATV users vs. the preservationists make
> our little code test dispute look laughable in comparison. When it's
> all said and done the regulatory agencies have been instructed by law
> and funded by Congress to deal with the sometimes goofy issues we the
> public stick them with - since it's *us* who are paying them to do it.

Sure - but the results may be very different. I doubt FCC is too
worried about the amateur community as "the public". They're too busy
dealing with wardrobe malfunctions and Howard Stern wannabes.

> > And now the big one:
> >
> > We amateurs send in way too many petitions/proposals to FCC, and then
> > cannot agree about what we really want.
> >
> > I think what FCC expects is for us hams to argue amongst ourselves and
> > work out what rules we really want *before* sending in any
> > petitions/proposals. Then, when a proposal is sent in and FCC gives it
> > an RM number, the comments are overwhelmingly positive and FCC's job is
> > easy.
> >
> > Look at the recent "bandwidth" proposals. ARRL sends in one, a group
> > called CTT sends in another. Both are given RM numbers and very short
> > comment periods. Both get a ton of comments - overwhelmingly opposed!
> > CTT is opposed even more than ARRL (something like 7 or 8 to 1 against
> > for both of them).
> >
> > Could be that the folks at FCC who have to go through all that are
> > angry at having to deal with it? Two groups who both claim to know
> > what's best for amateur radio sent in proposals that cost a lot of FCC

> > resources.
>
> > But neither group gets widespread amateur support *first*,
> > so the comments are an overwhelming "NO!" to both proposals.
>
> > The Morse Code test issue is even more of a mess. Treaty changes, FCC
> > gets *18* proposals! Huh? Some are poles apart while others are
> > virtually identical. They gotta deal with 18 RMs and comments on all of
> > them.
>
> No sympathy. See above about who gets paid to do what.

There's no modern-day equivalent of K7UGA to put a bit of a scare into
'em, though.

> > One self-proclaimed "PROFESSIONAL", who isn't even a ham and
> > doesn't intend to be one, sends them hundreds of pages of comments and
> > reply comments, which they have to wade through.
>
> No way. The FCC staffers who deal with these things don't live in a
> vacuum, they're not stupid and they know bullshit when it lands in
> their inboxes and they know how to handle it.

Agreed.

> By now they've long since
> gotten wise to Anderson's childish antics and his "comments" just get
> rubber-stamped "READ" and tossed into the outbox without further ado.

They gotta accept 'em and read 'em. They don't have to act on them.

> He's not having any impact at all at the FCC and he knows it, he's
> trolling for folk like you who get their knickers in a twist over his
> nonsense.

I doubt he knows it.

As for knickers, it's not mine that are in a twist.

FCC could have just dropped Element 1 in August 2003. Memorandum Report
and Order, coupla paragraphs and done. All they'd have to do is say
that the issue was thoroughly discussed before the 2000 restructuring,
and the treaty was the only reason they kept Element 1.

But they didn't, and now it's almost 3-1/2 years later.

> > Now look at what Industry Canada dealt with. The national society
> > worked out a compromise on the issue, got consensus from its members
> > and the general Canadian amateur radio community, *then* made a
> > proposal - complete with detailed poll results. Proposal was supported
> > in comments and sailed right through. RAC made IC's work easy.
>
> > When's the last time *any* proposal sent to FCC by hams (not just ARRL)
> > got widespread support in the comments?

>
> Back when I worked in Canada for a number of months and it was a real
> eye-opener. One big lesson I learned is that Yanks in general don't
> understand that in many respects Canada is culturally quite different
> from the U.S. even though the language is functionally identical and
> the border is wide open by international standards. In a nutshell my
> take has been that Canadians are far more likely to come to a consensus
> than we are by their general nature. So are most of the Europeans.
> We're the global odd jobs, we're notorious all over the planet for
> preferring food fights to regulatory peace and quiet. We is what we is,
> the old "herding cats" syndrome in play.

Yup.

But that makes no difference when it comes to getting what we want out
of FCC. I think we'd do a lot better to get a consensus *before*
deluging them with proposals and comments, that's all.

But that's a lot of work. You think an outfit like NCI is going to do
all the legwork and compromising to get consensus? Don't hold yer
breath.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5496. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 00:27:36 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <8q88j2ln62r807nlg78kqdqm7lkgl98v5l@4ax.com>
Source record: 5176
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 16 Oct 2006 16:12:35 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> > On 15 Oct 2006 16:24:35 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 14 2006 4:01 pm
>> > >> >Slow Code wrote:
>
>
>> > >> Blowcode is just another troll who has his head up his
>> > >> ass in regards to radio communications. That head just
>> > >> hasn't been aware of what happened in radio for a half
>> > >> century.
>> > >
>> > >With his head up his own ass, he wouldn't need to eat his own excrement
>> > >off of another man's genitals. Maybe Robesin can give us hit ake on
>> > >that.
>> >
>> > well BB it must a tight fit so I suspect he wait to he puls out for
>> > air
>>
>> We should hear a loud "pop."
>>
>> > >> The ITU didn't "just remove" anything. The ITU-R made
>> > >> the code test for an amateur license with below-30-MHz
>> > >> privileges OPTIONAL to each administration. In 2003.
>> > >> THREE years ago, not "just now." :-)
>> > >
>> > >Slow is, well, slow.
>>
>> > realy slwo but according to one of post another of Sock puppets "hey
>> > Stupid" so he is more honest the Robeson (which is truly damning with
>> > faint praise.
>>
>> He might be a former RRAPper. Can you guess which one?
>
> I'll go with Mark and say it is a current RRAPer...a pro-coder who
> is wrapped too tight...either Jimmie Noserve or that Waffen SS guy.

Does anybody know Slow Codes call? Thanks

5497. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 00:35:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ga98j2dbpdcitbhbfdrf6jk3ccorquh0ft@4ax.com>
Source record: 5177
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 19:27:36 -0500, john <john@nospam.net> wrote:

>On 16 Oct 2006 16:12:35 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:
>
>>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>> > On 15 Oct 2006 16:24:35 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 14 2006 4:01 pm
>>> > >> >Slow Code wrote:
>>
>>
>>> > >> Blowcode is just another troll who has his head up his
>>> > >> ass in regards to radio communications. That head just
>>> > >> hasn't been aware of what happened in radio for a half
>>> > >> century.
>>> > >
>>> > >With his head up his own ass, he wouldn't need to eat his own excrement
>>> > >off of another man's genitals. Maybe Robesin can give us hit ake on
>>> > >that.
>>> >
>>> > well BB it must a tight fit so I suspect he wait to he puls out for
>>> > air
>>>
>>> We should hear a loud "pop."
>>>
>>> > >> The ITU didn't "just remove" anything. The ITU-R made
>>> > >> the code test for an amateur license with below-30-MHz
>>> > >> privileges OPTIONAL to each administration. In 2003.
>>> > >> THREE years ago, not "just now." :-)
>>> > >
>>> > >Slow is, well, slow.
>>>
>>> > realy slwo but according to one of post another of Sock puppets "hey
>>> > Stupid" so he is more honest the Robeson (which is truly damning with
>>> > faint praise.
>>>
>>> He might be a former RRAPper. Can you guess which one?
>>
>> I'll go with Mark and say it is a current RRAPer...a pro-coder who
>> is wrapped too tight...either Jimmie Noserve or that Waffen SS guy.

>
>
>Does anybody know Slow Codes call? Thanks
I doubt we will ever know for sure he too much of a coward
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5498. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:22 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <WDVYg.10340$Y24.5133@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5178
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Phil Wheeler <wt6uh-ng7@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:twtYg.2574$zy2.818@tornado.socal.rr.com:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> "Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
>> news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>>
>>> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
>>>
>>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>>
>>
>> I don't know if we'll get Mad right away but it's clear the FCC and the
>> ARRL want to drive all good hams out of the service. Little by little
>> they'll take away the non-phone portions of the bands and hand it over
>> to the phone users.
>
> Nonsense. The CW bands are not exactly
> overcrowded (or have you been listening there).
>
> 73, Phil w7ox

You can thank the ITU and Nickle licenses for that.

SC

5499. Re: Ham Radio and Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:25 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ZDVYg.10342$Y24.7335@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5179
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
news:1161033935.216218.122960@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> brentoli@gmail.com wrote:
>> First off... this is coming from General... who was Grandfathered...
>
> "Grandfathered"? How?

Techs that had passed elements 1A and 3 were grandfathered to general
after restructuring. I used to be a VE.

>> I know many people who are not Extras and who have not passed the 20wpm
>> that respect those OM very much. I love to sit at field day and watch
>> in awe at a CW operator. Just because I can't do it doesn't mean I
>> don't respect it.
>
> Thanks!

Ditto on the thanks.

>
> But consider that you could probably do it, too.
>
> Nobody is born knowing Morse Code. Those who know it, learned it and
> kept on learning it. They all started from zero. Sure, some people have
> more innate skill/talent than others, but that's just one factor. Most
> people learn to understand speech at more than 100 wpm - is 20 wpm code
> more complex than that?
>
>> And there are many other people like that. So please
>> dont lump all of us "meaningless generals" into one please.
>>
> No license class is meaningless.

Ahhhh...

5500. Re: Here the R&O-- FCC if interested.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:27 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <%DVYg.10343$Y24.1750@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5181
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Jim - NN7K <NN7K@NOWAY.NET> wrote in
news:rtBXg.13555$e66.13064@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com:

> To all radio amateurs
>
> SB QST ARL ARLB019
> ARLB019 FCC releases long-awaited ''Omnibus''
> Amateur Radio Report and Order
>
> Ending a protracted waiting period, the FCC's
> Report and Order in
> the so-called ''Omnibus'' Amateur Radio
> proceeding, WT Docket 04-140,
> was adopted October 4 and released October 10,
> 2006. In it, the FCC
> adopted nearly all of the proposed changes in the
> Notice of Proposed
> Rulemaking released back in 2004. The FCC has:
>
> + expanded the phone subbands in the 75 and 40
> meter bands;
>
> + permitted auxiliary stations to transmit on
> portions of the 2
> meter band;
>
> + permitted the use of spread spectrum on 222-225 MHz;
>
> + permitted amateurs to retransmit communications
> from the
> International Space Station;
>
> + permitted amateur licensees to designate a
> specific Amateur Radio
> club to receive their call sign in memoriam;
>
> + prohibited an applicant from filing more than
> one application for
> a specific vanity call sign;
>
> + eliminated certain restrictions on equipment
> manufacturers;
>

> + permitted Amateur Radio stations in Alaska and
> surrounding waters
> more flexibility in providing emergency
> communications;
>
> + clarified that ''amateur stations may, at all
> times and on all
> frequencies authorized to the control operator,
> make transmissions
> necessary to meet essential communication needs
> and to facilitate
> relief actions'';
>
> + deleted the frequency bands and segments
> specified for RACES
> stations;
>
> and
>
> + deleted the requirement for public announcement
> of test locations
> and times.
>
> In addition, the FCC took several other
> miscellaneous actions.
>
> In ''refarming'' the frequencies currently
> authorized to Novice and
> Technician Plus licensees, the Commission
> increased the voice
> segments for General, Advanced and Amateur Extra
> licensees.
>
> On 75 meters, Generals will be able to use voice
> from 3800-4000 kHz,
> an increase of 50 kHz. Advanced class licensees
> will be able to use
> voice from 3700-4000, an increase of 75 kHz, and
> Amateur Extras will
> be able to use voice from 3600 to 4000 kHz, a
> generous increase of
> 150 kHz.
>
> On 40 meters, Advanced and Extra Class licensees
> will be able to use
> voice from 7125-7300 kHz, an increase of 25 kHz.
> General class
> licensees will be able to use voice on 7175-7300
> kHz, an increase of
> 50 kHz.

>
> On 15 meters, General class operators will have
> phone privileges on
> 21275-21450 kHz, an increase of 25 kHz.
>
> ARRL President Joel Harrison, W5ZN, expressed the
> ARRL's gratitude
> to the FCC Commissioners in a letter dated October
> 11: ''On behalf of
> the ARRL and the Commission's licensees in the
> Amateur Radio Service
> I want to express appreciation for your release
> yesterday of the
> Report and Order in WT Docket 04-140 (FCC 06-149)
> amending Part 97
> of the Commission's Rules. The Commission's action
> in clearing this
> pending proceeding will assist the Amateur Radio
> Service in meeting
> its objectives, particularly with regard to
> providing emergency and
> public service communications.''
>
> The changes will go into effect 30 days after the
> R&O is published
> in the Federal Register.
> NNNN
> /EX

It friggen figures the president of the ARRL would say that. They're only interested in selling memberships, they're not interested in the quality of the radio amateur. Ham radio can be like CB for all they care.

SC

5501. Re: i punce in aigain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:28 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <0EVYg.10344$Y24.1297@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5180

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:10248-4533FD8A-573@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net:

> I need to start taking writing lessons from you.
> cuhulin
>

ROFL,

You mean you can understand what he's saying?

SC

5502. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:34 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <6EVYg.10347$Y24.4038@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5183
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Jimmie D" <jimmiedee@bellsouth.net> wrote in
news:4ZNYg.45999$tT6.14263@bignews7.bellsouth.net:

>
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> news:1158983174.967897.172510@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Opus- wrote:
>>> On Tue, 19 Sep 2006 08:19:27 -0400, jawod <jawod@fuse.net> spake
>>> thusly:
>>>
>>> >> Who the FUCK are YOU to make such a statement? You snot nosed,
>>> >> arrogant PRICK! You do NOT know the kind of person that I am!! Wake
>>> >> up and smell the cappuccino! Code is obsolete! Knowing code does
>>> >> NOTHING to make somebody an "asset to the service". And, could you
>>> >> explain what makes a person an "asset to the service"?
>>> >>
>>> >Jeez,
>>> >Chill out, eh?
>>>
>>> Sorry, but I get upset with people who make statements that are easily
>>> taken as personal insults.
>>
>> "Stuff happens."
>>
>> BTW, this "Jawod" signed a message on rec.radio.amateur.homebrew
>> as "AB8O." I found a blank on that call sign at QRZ.
>>
>>
>>> >Yes it's obsolete. Yes, it's fun.
>>>
>>> I found it to be cold and impersonal.
>>
>> I agree. Manual radiotelegraphy has NONE of the body language
>> or tone of voice or much of anything that is normal in everyday
>> person-to-person contacts. Using this monotonic form of
>> very early radio allows any user to be anything they want with
>> no real references to anything but the ability to send telegraphy.
>>
>>
>>> >Should it be used to qualify? Let the FCC decide (soon).
>>>
>>> Here in Canada, they already have. I believe the FCC will soon.

>>>
>>> >If it is eliminated, will that change the "Service"? Maybe.
>>>
>>> Probably not.
>>
>> Heh heh...if the test is eliminated the expressed outrage,
>> anguish, and horror will be a horrendous wail never to be
>> silenced until the last code key is pried from cold, dead
>> fingers! :-)
>>
>>
>>> >Will CW disappear? Probably not.
>>> >Historically, it defined ham radio, so it has a special place in the
>>> >hearts of very many hams. It's natural that they sort of cling to
>>> >it.
>>>
>>> Let them cling, they are free to do so.
>>
>> I'd say "clog" as in cholesterol clogging those "hearts."
>>
>> "Jawod" uses "many" AS IF it were quantitative. Not so much
>> in the USA now. The US Technician class licensees now
>> number about 49% of all, twice as large a number as the
>> General class. I doubt they want to hear such facts.
>>
>>
>>> >Will CW's elimination be the end of ham radio? Of course not.
>>> >Ham radio will cease when all the hams die off. New hams are needed,
>>> >with or without code.
>>>
>>> I totally agree.
>>
>> In the USA the number of newcomers is not able to keep pace
>> with the expirations of licensees. That trend has been evident
>> for more than a year. [see www.hamdata.com] The majority of
>> new licensees are Technician class. Novice class, the
>> supposed traditional "beginner" license has been expiring at a
>> steady rate for years before the US changes in 2000.
>>
>>
>>> >My personal hope is that a significant minority of these new hams
>>> >will take up CW and learn to enjoy this mode. It truly is a fun
>>> >mode. I hope people will WANT to learn it.
>>>
>>> I always found it to be boring.
>>
>> "Jawod" and other morsemen think that all will "like" what they
>> like. They really don't understand what other citizens want.
>>
>>

>>> >Compulsory things are seldom welcome,,,some are necessary. Is CW a
>>> >good requirement for ham radio? I guess it has probably outlived its
>>> >day.
>>> >
>>> >A requirement related to other digital modes would make a good
>>> >replacement. True?
>>>
>>> I completely agree. If you want to filter out the less serious, then
>>> use a relevant method. Here in Canada, in order to get a no-code
>>> licence, you must get at least 80% on the technical. And technical
>>> prowess will always be important regardless of the mode of
>>> communication.
>>
>> That sounds fair. In general I've approved what Industry Canada
>> does on communications regulations...a bit more than what the
>> FCC does for US civil radio services.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>
> Im sure the numbers would look even wose if the licenses expired sooner.
> Most of the new hams I know lose interest in a couple of years, long
> befor the licence expires.

That's what happens when something gets dumbed down. It cheapens it, and
people find no value in maintaining or continuing with it.

SC

5503. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:35 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <7EVYg.10348$Y24.2842@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5184
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1161028898.573069.307150@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:

>
> an_old_friend wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Jimmie D wrote:
>> > >
>> > > Im sure the numbers would look even wose if the licenses expired
>> > > sooner. Most of the new hams I know lose interest in a couple of
>> > > years, long befor the licence expires.
>> >
>> > Those darned new hams. They never should have been licensured in the
>> > first place. Didn't have the ooomph to get licensed when the tests
>> > were harder so they weren't really that innerested in the first
>> > place. Didn't show the proper dedication.
>>
>> and of course none of the failure of hams to reamin hams is due to
>> bullshit they must endure from other hams
>
> Of course not! Ham radio is a swell fellowship of men. What you see
> on RRAP n't ham radio.

But if you and Markie ever get full HF privledges, God & Hiram Help us.

SC

5504. Re: Is the no-code license letting really stupid people into ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:36 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <8EVYg.10349$Y24.4163@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1161031387.179319.198490@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:

>
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > Jimmie D wrote:
>
>> > and of course none of the failure of hams to reamin hams is due to
>> > bullshit they must endure from other hams
>>
>> Of course not! Ham radio is a swell fellowship of men. What you see
>> on RRAP n't ham radio.
> realy it isn't that much different from what i encounters on air with a
> sad frequency
>

Yes.

5505. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:38 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <aEVYg.10350$Y24.4231@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5185
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1160866901.027928.221130@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't
>> know each other language they still could have passed it by CW and the
>> message could have been delivered to someone that could read it. Not no
>> more.
>
> What can be passed by CW that cannot be passed by voice???
>
> Adhere to the ICAO's phonetic alphabet, and there need be no
> bi-lingualism nor a CW requirement.
>
>> When things start failing communication wise worldwide, amateur radio
>> might be all there is to relay messages, and the ITU just removed one
>> of the legs of a three leg stool.
>
> Dear Slow, air traffic controllers don't use Morris Code, and they
> communicate with foreign speakers JUST FINE!

Right. Pilots and ATC have to pass tests too. Do you think it would
be good if their tests were dumbed down?

SC

5506. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:39 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <bEVYg.10351$Y24.10181@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5186
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1161040355.357240.163210@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> > On 15 Oct 2006 16:24:35 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 14 2006 4:01 pm
>> > >> >Slow Code wrote:
>
>
>> > >> Blowcode is just another troll who has his head up his
>> > >> ass in regards to radio communications. That head just
>> > >> hasn't been aware of what happened in radio for a half
>> > >> century.
>> > >
>> > >With his head up his own ass, he wouldn't need to eat his own
>> > >excrement off of another man's genitals. Maybe Robesin can give us
>> > >hit ake on that.
>> >
>> > well BB it must a tight fit so I suspect he wait to he puls out for
>> > air
>>
>> We should hear a loud "pop."
>>
>> > >> The ITU didn't "just remove" anything. The ITU-R made
>> > >> the code test for an amateur license with below-30-MHz
>> > >> privileges OPTIONAL to each administration. In 2003.
>> > >> THREE years ago, not "just now." :-)
>> > >
>> > >Slow is, well, slow.
>>
>> > realy slwo but according to one of post another of Sock puppets "hey
>> > Stupid" so he is more honest the Robeson (which is truly damning with
>> > faint praise.
>>
>> He might be a former RRAPper. Can you guess which one?
>
> I'll go with Mark and say it is a current RRAPer...a pro-coder who
> is wrapped too tight...either Jimmie Noserve or that Waffen SS guy.

And you haven't changed a bit either Len. You should be taking part in
the more technical groups and not arguing policy with pro-coders, or are

you hoping to be the second No-Code Extra after Markie?

SC

5507. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:41 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <dEVYg.10352$Y24.1480@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5187
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
news:lqsti29qnfqt7cmsorhgmcjd5c1757hoq7@4ax.com:

> On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 00:18:15 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> thusly:
>
>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>news:b49ri2tjro2up3okv064v9k07nvoffed67@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:01:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>> thusly:
>>>
>>>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>>>news:d4soi25f9fp1kg65l51m713abij9h7kpsa@4ax.com:
>>>>
>>>> On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>>> thusly:
>>>>
>>>>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>>>>news:iovli2lg2oiscbvcg0ej0eq723cpithf94@4ax.com:
>>>>>
>>>>> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
>>>>> spake thusly:
>>>>>
>>>>>>k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote in
>>>>>>news:1160392657.932021.251780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Cecil Moore wrote:
>>>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>>>> > It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have
>>>>>>> > everything over 30 MHz, but it's the code hams that are the
>>>>>>> > one's moving ham radio forward and doing anything technical
>>>>>>> > wise.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
>>>>>>> century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
>>>>>>> hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
>>>>>>> technical expertise.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Uhhhhhhhh...Cecil...??!?!
>>>>>>
```

>>>>>>>>> Did you actually make that up, or just quote someone else
>>>>>>>>> who is
>>>>>>>>> equally ill informed?
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Most of the people who were "moving forward" half a century
>>>>>>>>> ago
>>>>>>>>> were ALSO folks who were active on and well known in HF
>>>>>>>>> circles...
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Unless, of course, you're now going to take Lennie's spin
>>>>>>>>> and tell
>>>>>>>>> us that any / everything reported in QST, CQ, etc, was only
>>>>>>>>> "self-serviing rhetoric"...?!?!
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Try again.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Steve, K4YZ
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Anyone that puts out an argument to keep the code requirement gets
>>>>>>>>>teased by Cecil. He loves to throw out some goof-ball counter
>>>>>>>>>reply to try to be-little the original argument.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Pot, meet kettle.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>No. All my arguments are good sound arguments.
>>>>>
>>>>> Oh PuhlEEEZE!!! Spelling Canada with a "K" is a good sound argument?
>>>>> Calling me a "lazy ass" is a good sound argument? Claiming I get a
>>>>> handout is a good sound argument?
>>>>>
>>>>> You have NEVER put out ANY kind of argument at all!!! Why don't you
>>>>> try to cite some source that back up any of your "good sound
>>>>> arguments".
>>>>>
>>>>> Free hint: Hurling insults and innuendo does NOT a "good sound
>>>>> argument" make.
>>>>>
>>>>> Hope this helps.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I'm sorry Opus, but I refuse to lie. You did get a hand-out, and
>>>>you're Lazy because you refuse to learn CW to 20wpm, and too lazy to
>>>>to use it. You don't want to be a good capable communicator in every
>>>>way. That's just how it is. I'm sorry if the truth seems like an
>>>>insult. You're right about one thing, I spelled Kanada wrong, I meant
>>>>to type KKKanaduh. Eh.
>>>

>>> Tell me right now what "handout" I have received. Back up your "good
>>> sound arguments" or forever be a PROVEN liar!
>>
>>
>>KKKanadian licenses are all hand-outs now, just like your health care
>>system, but that's not your fault and I don't blame you for it. Still,
>>if you want to be a real ham you might want to get your code speed up so
>>you can communicate with other real hams. We'd love communicating with
>>ya.
>
> Your blunt refusal to back up anything you claim simply proves to the
> world what kind of loser you are. Can you deduct your mortgage
> interest from your income tax? You can? Nice handout because I can't.
>
> Welcome to the killfile, you pathetic little turd, along with the rest
> of the little trolls hiding under bridges.

He's upset because he knows CW makes a real ham, and he can't cut it.
That's OK, I encouraged him to try to learn CW while I could before I
ended up in the killfile.

NEXT!

SC

5508. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:43 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <fEVYg.10353$Y24.1373@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5188
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
news:1160705194.947777.295270@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

> Opus- wrote:
>> On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:01:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>> thusly:
>> >Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>> >news:d4soi25f9fp1kg65l51m713abij9h7kpsa@4ax.com:
>> >> On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>> >> thusly:
>> >>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>> >>>news:iovli2lg2oiscbvcg0ej0eq723cpithf94@4ax.com:
>> >>>
>> >>>> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
>> >>>> spake thusly:
>> >>>>
>> >>>>>k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote in
>> >>>>>news:1160392657.932021.251780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>
>> >>>>>> Cecil Moore wrote:
>> >>>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>> >>>>>>> > It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have
>> >>>>>>> > everything over 30 MHz, but it's the code hams that are the
>> >>>>>>> > one's moving ham radio forward and doing anything technical
>> >>>>>>> > wise.
>
>> >>>>>>> If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
>> >>>>>>> century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
>> >>>>>>> hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
>> >>>>>>> technical expertise.
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>> Uhhhhhhhh...Cecil...??!?!
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>> Did you actually make that up, or just quote someone else
>> >>>>>> who is
>> >>>>>> equally ill informed?
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>> Most of the people who were "moving forward" half a century
>> >>>>>> ago
>> >>>>>> were ALSO folks who were active on and well known in HF
>> >>>>>> circles...
>> >>>>>>

>> >>>>>> Unless, of course, you're now going to take Lennie's spin
>> >>>>>> and tell
>> >>>>>> us that any / everything reported in QST, CQ, etc, was only
>> >>>>>> "self-serviing rhetoric"...?!?!
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>> Try again.
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>> Steve, K4YZ
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>Anyone that puts out an argument to keep the code requirement gets
>> >>>>>teased by Cecil. He loves to throw out some goof-ball counter
>> >>>>>reply to try to be-little the original argument.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Pot, meet kettle.
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>No. All my arguments are good sound arguments.
>> >>
>> >> Oh PuhlEEEZE!!! Spelling Canada with a "K" is a good sound argument?
>> >> Calling me a "lazy ass" is a good sound argument? Claiming I get a
>> >> handout is a good sound argument?
>> >>
>> >> You have NEVER put out ANY kind of argument at all!!! Why don't you
>> >> try to cite some source that back up any of your "good sound
>> >> arguments".
>> >>
>> >> Free hint: Hurling insults and innuendo does NOT a "good sound
>> >> argument" make.
>> >>
>> >> Hope this helps.
> >
>> >I'm sorry Opus, but I refuse to lie. You did get a hand-out, and
>> >you're Lazy because you refuse to learn CW to 20wpm, and too lazy to
>> >to use it.
>
> "Slow Code" does not know what code speed "Opus" can do. He simply
> *assumes*.
>
> Note that we do not know "Slow Code's" code speed, either.
>
>> >You don't want to be a good capable communicator in every way. That's
>> >just how it is. I'm sorry if the truth seems like an insult. You're
>> >right about one thing, I spelled Kanada wrong, I meant to type
>> >KKKanaduh. Eh.
>
> Xenophobic attack rather than reasoned argument.
>
>> Tell me right now what "handout" I have received. Back up your "good
>> sound arguments" or forever be a PROVEN liar!

>>
>> You have lied and have been caught. You can't wiggle out of it.
>>
>> Once again..you are a proven liar and a fool.
>>
>> You are a disgrace to the amateur radio community.
>
> Opus, you are making a simple but common logical mistake: You are
> taking "Slow Code" seriously.

He's upset because he knows CW helps makes a real communicator, and he can't cut it. That's OK, I encouraged him to try to learn CW while I could before I ended up in the killfile.

SC

5509. Re: Plagiarism: Is this N9OGL's, K4YZ's, or N0VFP's high school pic?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:59 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <vEVYg.10361$Y24.8642@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1160703700.656208.156620@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:

>
> Chris wrote:
>> On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 00:18:12 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>> >
>> > Definately got some Mark Morgan type DNA in the family tree
>> [snip]
>>
>> Nope. You plagiarized that from
>> http://www.blogger.com/profile/20477434.
>>
>> At least take the time to think up your own material.
>
> it's not my picture...it's someone pretending to be me.
>
> Todd N9OGL

I didn't think it was you. It looks like DeLorean.

SC

5510. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 00:43:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <nn98j21bj9es1ip369oubdh7rddkn1dbm5@4ax.com>
Source record: 5190
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:35 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>news:1161028898.573069.307150@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> > Jimmie D wrote:
>>> > >
>>> > > Im sure the numbers would look even wose if the licenses expired
>>> > > sooner. Most of the new hams I know lose interest in a couple of
>>> > > years, long befor the licence expires.
>>> >
>>> > Those darned new hams. They never should have been licensured in the
>>> > first place. Didn't have the ooomph to get licensed when the tests
>>> > were harder so they weren't really that innerested in the first
>>> > place. Didn't show the proper dedication.
>>>
>>> and of course none of the failure of hams to reamin hams is due to
>>> bullshit they must endure from other hams
>>
>> Of course not! Ham radio is a swell fellowship of men. What you see
>> on RRAP n't ham radio.
>
>
>But if you and Markie ever get full HF privledges, God & Hiram Help us.
>
>SC
BB areadly does I believe (can't recal his full call or Id look it up
for you

as to myself I can easily state I am a better ham than you are and
have a better staion likely even for HF sc
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5511. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 00:45:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <qr98j21ku4ch05e6qhjpdbu6e28asv0m15@4ax.com>
Source record: 5191
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:34 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Jimmie D" <jimmiedee@bellsouth.net> wrote in
>news:4ZNYg.45999$tT6.14263@bignews7.bellsouth.net:

>>
>> Im sure the numbers would look even wose if the licenses expired sooner.
>> Most of the new hams I know lose interest in a couple of years, long
>> befor the licence expires.
>
>
>That's what happens when something gets dumbed down. It cheapens it, and
>people find no value in maintaining or continuing with it.
>
when are you turing in your licnse SC
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5512. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 00:47:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <bv98j2hv5gloshd0gkcq4nfnsn1m5shtgl@4ax.com>
Source record: 5192
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:41 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>news:lqsti29qnfqt7cmsorhgmcjd5c1757hoq7@4ax.com:
>
>> On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 00:18:15 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>> thusly:
>>

>> Welcome to the killfile, you pathetic little turd, along with the rest
>> of the little trolls hiding under bridges.
>
>
>
>He's upset because he knows CW makes a real ham,
stop lying sc
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5513. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 00:48:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <41a8j2ptlru44oggst8ol0p3fufaq867jn@4ax.com>
Source record: 5193
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:39 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>news:1161040355.357240.163210@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:

>> I'll go with Mark and say it is a current RRAPer...a pro-coder who
>> is wrapped too tight...either Jimmie Noserve or that Waffen SS guy.
>
>
>
>And you haven't changed a bit either Len. You should be taking part in
>the more technical groups and not arguing policy with pro-coders, or are
>you hoping to be the second No-Code Extra after Markie?
that is his choice sc
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5514. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 00:49:55 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <m3a8j2tucls01ec5fpbnc8mhodlhobe7oo@4ax.com>
Source record: 5195
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:43 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>news:1160705194.947777.295270@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>

>> Opus, you are making a simple but common logical mistake: You are
>> taking "Slow Code" seriously.
>
>
>He's upset because he knows CW helps makes a real communicator,
stop lying
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5515. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 00:50:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <r5a8j2du1rks8rv2tfc75sj9ogveft38bj@4ax.com>
Source record: 5194
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:38 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>news:1160866901.027928.221130@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't

>> Dear Slow, air traffic controllers don't use Morris Code, and they
>> communicate with foreign speakers JUST FINE!
>
>
>Right. Pilots and ATC have to pass tests too. Do you think it would
>be good if their tests were dumbed down?
nobody but you and the procoder wants dumb tests
>
>SC
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5516. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 00:51:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <f7a8j2t9igumhcl4ctd045q9km2vfv1rp8@4ax.com>
Source record: 5196
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:22 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Phil Wheeler <wt6uh-ng7@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:twtYg.2574$zy2.818@tornado.socal.rr.com:
>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> "Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
>>> news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>>>
>>>> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
>>>>
>>>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>>>
>>>
>>> I don't know if we'll get Mad right away but it's clear the FCC and the
>>> ARRL want to drive all good hams out of the service. Little by little
>>> they'll take away the non-phone portions of the bands and hand it over
>>> to the phone users.
>>
>> Nonsense. The CW bands are not exactly
>> overcrowded (or have you been listening there).
>>
>> 73, Phil w7ox
>
>
>You can thank the ITU and Nickle licenses for that.
how do you figure that

or are you thinking at all
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5517. Re: Ham Radio and Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 00:52:10 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <29a8j2ps9dqmpkcb2hfbk1u3ps42ufmulk@4ax.com>
Source record: 5197
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:25 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>news:1161033935.216218.122960@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>> brentoli@gmail.com wrote:
>>> First off... this is coming from General... who was Grandfathered...

>>
>> But consider that you could probably do it, too.
>>
>> Nobody is born knowing Morse Code. Those who know it, learned it and
>> kept on learning it. They all started from zero. Sure, some people have
>> more innate skill/talent than others, but that's just one factor. Most
>> people learn to understand speech at more than 100 wpm - is 20 wpm code
>> more complex than that?
>>
>>> And there are many other people like that. So please
>>> dont lump all of us "meaningless generals" into one please.
>>>
>> No license class is meaningless.
>
>
>Ahhhh...
a concept you refuse to endorse which is why you are such a poor ham
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5518. Re: Here the R&O-- FCC if interested.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 00:54:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <cda8j2depapisss2qkaptihlh1air0fate@4ax.com>
Source record: 5198
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:27 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Jim - NN7K <NN7K@NOWAY.NET> wrote in
>news:rtBXg.13555$e66.13064@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com:
>

>
>It friggen figures the president of the ARRL would say that. They're only
>interested in selling memberships, they're not interested in the quality of
>the radio amateur. Ham radio can be like CB for all they care.
you are the interesting in KILLING off the ars
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5519. clean up the ars turn in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 00:55:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ufa8j2htg02ql0k4f9a7gkts0b1tevrnvd@4ax.com>
Source record: 5199
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:36 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

clean up the ars turn in your license
>Yes.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5520. clean up the ars turn in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 01:00:00 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <8oa8j21oer37e9efm7vu3ebjfqcvbjdu0b@4ax.com>
Source record: 5200
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:59 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:1160703700.656208.156620@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Chris wrote:
>>> On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 00:18:12 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>> >
>>> > Definately got some Mark Morgan type DNA in the family tree
>>> [snip]
>>>
>>> Nope. You plagiarized that from
>>> http://www.blogger.com/profile/20477434.
>>>
>>> At least take the time to think up your own material.
>>
>> it's not my picture...it's someone pretending to be me.
>>
>> Todd N9OGL
>
>
>I didn't think it was you. It looks like DeLorean.

>clean up the ars turn in your license
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5521. Re: Here the R&O-- FCC if interested.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 01:35:41 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <aqc8j253j0mhecad898uq3op00rrg38d01@4ax.com>
Source record: 5101
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code if you act like this on the radio I wouldn't want to know
you.

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:27 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Jim - NN7K <NN7K@NOWAY.NET> wrote in
>news:rtBXg.13555$e66.13064@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com:
>
>> To all radio amateurs
>>
>> SB QST ARL ARLB019
>> ARLB019 FCC releases long-awaited ''Omnibus''
>> Amateur Radio Report and Order
>>
>> Ending a protracted waiting period, the FCC's
>> Report and Order in
>> the so-called ''Omnibus'' Amateur Radio
>> proceeding, WT Docket 04-140,
>> was adopted October 4 and released October 10,
>> 2006. In it, the FCC
>> adopted nearly all of the proposed changes in the
>> Notice of Proposed
>> Rulemaking released back in 2004. The FCC has:
>>
>> + expanded the phone subbands in the 75 and 40
>> meter bands;
>>
>> + permitted auxiliary stations to transmit on
>> portions of the 2
>> meter band;
>>
>> + permitted the use of spread spectrum on 222-225 MHz;
>>
>> + permitted amateurs to retransmit communications
>> from the
>> International Space Station;
>>
>> + permitted amateur licensees to designate a
>> specific Amateur Radio
>> club to receive their call sign in memoriam;
>>
>> + prohibited an applicant from filing more than
>> one application for
>> a specific vanity call sign;

>>
>> + eliminated certain restrictions on equipment
>> manufacturers;
>>
>> + permitted Amateur Radio stations in Alaska and
>> surrounding waters
>> more flexibility in providing emergency
>> communications;
>>
>> + clarified that ''amateur stations may, at all
>> times and on all
>> frequencies authorized to the control operator,
>> make transmissions
>> necessary to meet essential communication needs
>> and to facilitate
>> relief actions'';
>>
>> + deleted the frequency bands and segments
>> specified for RACES
>> stations;
>>
>> and
>>
>> + deleted the requirement for public announcement
>> of test locations
>> and times.
>>
>> In addition, the FCC took several other
>> miscellaneous actions.
>>
>> In ''refarming'' the frequencies currently
>> authorized to Novice and
>> Technician Plus licensees, the Commission
>> increased the voice
>> segments for General, Advanced and Amateur Extra
>> licensees.
>>
>> On 75 meters, Generals will be able to use voice
>> from 3800-4000 kHz,
>> an increase of 50 kHz. Advanced class licensees
>> will be able to use
>> voice from 3700-4000, an increase of 75 kHz, and
>> Amateur Extras will
>> be able to use voice from 3600 to 4000 kHz, a
>> generous increase of
>> 150 kHz.
>>
>> On 40 meters, Advanced and Extra Class licensees
>> will be able to use
>> voice from 7125-7300 kHz, an increase of 25 kHz.

>> General class
>> licensees will be able to use voice on 7175-7300
>> kHz, an increase of
>> 50 kHz.
>>
>> On 15 meters, General class operators will have
>> phone privileges on
>> 21275-21450 kHz, an increase of 25 kHz.
>>
>> ARRL President Joel Harrison, W5ZN, expressed the
>> ARRL's gratitude
>> to the FCC Commissioners in a letter dated October
>> 11: ''On behalf of
>> the ARRL and the Commission's licensees in the
>> Amateur Radio Service
>> I want to express appreciation for your release
>> yesterday of the
>> Report and Order in WT Docket 04-140 (FCC 06-149)
>> amending Part 97
>> of the Commission's Rules. The Commission's action
>> in clearing this
>> pending proceeding will assist the Amateur Radio
>> Service in meeting
>> its objectives, particularly with regard to
>> providing emergency and
>> public service communications.''
>>
>> The changes will go into effect 30 days after the
>> R&O is published
>> in the Federal Register.
>> NNNN
>> /EX
>
>
>
>It friggen figures the president of the ARRL would say that. They're only
>interested in selling memberships, they're not interested in the quality of
>the radio amateur. Ham radio can be like CB for all they care.
>
>SC

5522. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 01:43:07 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <49d8j2h7lm1pqcc2bpt9keju598bpm1qtm@4ax.com>
Source record: 5102
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!

On 16 Oct 2006 16:12:35 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> > On 15 Oct 2006 16:24:35 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 14 2006 4:01 pm
>> > >> >Slow Code wrote:
>
>
>> > >> Blowcode is just another troll who has his head up his
>> > >> ass in regards to radio communications. That head just
>> > >> hasn't been aware of what happened in radio for a half
>> > >> century.
>> > >
>> > >With his head up his own ass, he wouldn't need to eat his own excrement
>> > >off of another man's genitals. Maybe Robesin can give us hit ake on
>> > >that.
>> >
>> > well BB it must a tight fit so I suspect he wait to he puls out for
>> > air
>>
>> We should hear a loud "pop."
>>
>> > >> The ITU didn't "just remove" anything. The ITU-R made
>> > >> the code test for an amateur license with below-30-MHz
>> > >> privileges OPTIONAL to each administration. In 2003.
>> > >> THREE years ago, not "just now." :-)
>> > >
>> > >Slow is, well, slow.
>>
>> > realy slwo but according to one of post another of Sock puppets "hey
>> > Stupid" so he is more honest the Robeson (which is truly damning with
>> > faint praise.
>>
>> He might be a former RRAPper. Can you guess which one?
>
> I'll go with Mark and say it is a current RRAPer...a pro-coder who
> is wrapped too tight...either Jimmie Noserve or that Waffen SS guy.

5523. Re: Here the R&O-- FCC if interested.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 01:43:36 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <2ad8j25n09bjf4vdi7uajt7loeodifmgd0@4ax.com>
Source record: 5103
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:27 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Jim - NN7K <NN7K@NOWAY.NET> wrote in
>news:rtBXg.13555$e66.13064@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com:
>
>> To all radio amateurs
>>
>> SB QST ARL ARLB019
>> ARLB019 FCC releases long-awaited ''Omnibus''
>> Amateur Radio Report and Order
>>
>> Ending a protracted waiting period, the FCC's
>> Report and Order in
>> the so-called ''Omnibus'' Amateur Radio
>> proceeding, WT Docket 04-140,
>> was adopted October 4 and released October 10,
>> 2006. In it, the FCC
>> adopted nearly all of the proposed changes in the
>> Notice of Proposed
>> Rulemaking released back in 2004. The FCC has:
>>
>> + expanded the phone subbands in the 75 and 40
>> meter bands;
>>
>> + permitted auxiliary stations to transmit on
>> portions of the 2
>> meter band;
>>
>> + permitted the use of spread spectrum on 222-225 MHz;
>>
>> + permitted amateurs to retransmit communications
>> from the
>> International Space Station;
>>
>> + permitted amateur licensees to designate a
>> specific Amateur Radio
>> club to receive their call sign in memoriam;
>>
>> + prohibited an applicant from filing more than
>> one application for
>> a specific vanity call sign;
>>

>> + eliminated certain restrictions on equipment
>> manufacturers;
>>
>> + permitted Amateur Radio stations in Alaska and
>> surrounding waters
>> more flexibility in providing emergency
>> communications;
>>
>> + clarified that ''amateur stations may, at all
>> times and on all
>> frequencies authorized to the control operator,
>> make transmissions
>> necessary to meet essential communication needs
>> and to facilitate
>> relief actions'';
>>
>> + deleted the frequency bands and segments
>> specified for RACES
>> stations;
>>
>> and
>>
>> + deleted the requirement for public announcement
>> of test locations
>> and times.
>>
>> In addition, the FCC took several other
>> miscellaneous actions.
>>
>> In ''refarming'' the frequencies currently
>> authorized to Novice and
>> Technician Plus licensees, the Commission
>> increased the voice
>> segments for General, Advanced and Amateur Extra
>> licensees.
>>
>> On 75 meters, Generals will be able to use voice
>> from 3800-4000 kHz,
>> an increase of 50 kHz. Advanced class licensees
>> will be able to use
>> voice from 3700-4000, an increase of 75 kHz, and
>> Amateur Extras will
>> be able to use voice from 3600 to 4000 kHz, a
>> generous increase of
>> 150 kHz.
>>
>> On 40 meters, Advanced and Extra Class licensees
>> will be able to use
>> voice from 7125-7300 kHz, an increase of 25 kHz.
>> General class

>> licensees will be able to use voice on 7175-7300
>> kHz, an increase of
>> 50 kHz.
>>
>> On 15 meters, General class operators will have
>> phone privileges on
>> 21275-21450 kHz, an increase of 25 kHz.
>>
>> ARRL President Joel Harrison, W5ZN, expressed the
>> ARRL's gratitude
>> to the FCC Commissioners in a letter dated October
>> 11: ''On behalf of
>> the ARRL and the Commission's licensees in the
>> Amateur Radio Service
>> I want to express appreciation for your release
>> yesterday of the
>> Report and Order in WT Docket 04-140 (FCC 06-149)
>> amending Part 97
>> of the Commission's Rules. The Commission's action
>> in clearing this
>> pending proceeding will assist the Amateur Radio
>> Service in meeting
>> its objectives, particularly with regard to
>> providing emergency and
>> public service communications.''
>>
>> The changes will go into effect 30 days after the
>> R&O is published
>> in the Federal Register.
>> NNNN
>> /EX
>
>
>
>It friggen figures the president of the ARRL would say that. They're only
>interested in selling memberships, they're not interested in the quality of
>the radio amateur. Ham radio can be like CB for all they care.
>
>SC

5524. Re: Plagiarism: Is this N9OGL's, K4YZ's, or N0VFP's high school pic?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 01:44:59 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ecd8j29slfjrtsfop0tm1ha2qdc251bcln@4ax.com>
Source record: 5104
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:59 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:1160703700.656208.156620@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Chris wrote:
>>> On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 00:18:12 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>> >
>>> > Definately got some Mark Morgan type DNA in the family tree
>>> [snip]
>>>
>>> Nope. You plagiarized that from
>>> http://www.blogger.com/profile/20477434.
>>>
>>> At least take the time to think up your own material.
>>
>> it's not my picture...it's someone pretending to be me.
>>
>> Todd N9OGL
>
>
>I didn't think it was you. It looks like DeLorean.
>
>SC

5525. Re: Best Programming Software for Bearcat Scanners ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 03:27:54 UTC
From: ken foshee <kenfoshee@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <WX6Zg.15$qN6.14@newsfe03.lga>
Source record: 5111
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I use Scancontrol for my BC780XLT, BC895XLT & PRO-2052. Easy to use....

"Good Ol Dad" <bigmike@justboystoys.com> wrote in message
news:vjq7j29t2me3ssm5c8v600athl9evgt0bq@4ax.com...
> HI All,
>
> What is the best programming software for Beacat Scanners these
> days?
>
> I have a BC 898T and a BC245XLT that I can't seem to figure out
> the trunktracker programming on.
>
> So, I was told a scanner programming software will do it for me via
> a serial connection my computer.
>
> Who has had good results with such software and where can I locate
> it.
>
> Thanks in advance,
> Mike - FL

5526. Re: Best Programming Software for Bearcat Scanners ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 03:28:38 UTC
From: ken foshee <kenfoshee@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <XX6Zg.16$qN6.12@newsfe03.lga>
Source record: 5113
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Easy to use...

"Jim" <None@nospam.net> wrote in message news:7hUYg.8889$cH6.256@trnddc07...
> Good Ol Dad wrote:
>> HI All,
>>
>> What is the best programming software for Beacat Scanners these
>> days?
>>
>> I have a BC 898T and a BC245XLT that I can't seem to figure out
>> the trunktracker programming on.
>>
>> So, I was told a scanner programming software will do it for me via
>> a serial connection my computer.
>>
>> Who has had good results with such software and where can I locate
>> it.
>>
>> Thanks in advance,
>> Mike - FL
>
> Try ScanControl from 3D technologies

5527. Re: Best Programming Software for Bearcat Scanners ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 03:29:18 UTC
From: ken foshee <kenfoshee@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <YX6Zg.17$qN6.4@newsfe03.lga>
Source record: 5112
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

easy to use..
"Jim" <None@nospam.net> wrote in message news:7hUYg.8889$cH6.256@trnddc07...
> Good Ol Dad wrote:
>> HI All,
>>
>> What is the best programming software for Beacat Scanners these
>> days?
>>
>> I have a BC 898T and a BC245XLT that I can't seem to figure out
>> the trunktracker programming on.
>>
>> So, I was told a scanner programming software will do it for me via
>> a serial connection my computer.
>>
>> Who has had good results with such software and where can I locate
>> it.
>>
>> Thanks in advance,
>> Mike - FL
>
> Try ScanControl from 3D technologies

5528. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 06:01:32 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1161064892.250959.320790@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5105
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> > Absolutely so. This thing is a watered-down repeat of the 1960s
> > "restructuring".
>
> The more things change....
>
> The old General phone band was 3800-4000. The circle is complete.

I was there the first night we were allowed to operate below 3800,
worked an EA. Lotta ticked off VEs, Helluva mess. Lotta fun.

> > > > Note the lack of Generals expressing any opinions on the
> > > > subject. If they're not in here complaining about losing cw/rtty space
> > > > why should us OF Extras care about the subject one way or the other?
> > >
> > > It sets a bad precedent.
> >
> > In what respect?
>
> That they will reduce privileges of existing licenses.

You're almost 40 years late. The precedent was carved in stone as a
result of the Incentive Licensing R&Os of the late 1960s and they just
did it again. I'm not familiar with the 'wayback history of it but
maybe there were similar occurrences before 1950.

> > > I wonder what FCC really thinks of us hams.
> >
> > PIAs. Their problem.
>
> Naw, it's ours, because we can't vote them out.

Which, in the final analysis, is probably in our best interests.

> > The "relationship" between ham radio and the FCC is no different
> > overall than the way the FAA gets pounded by the recreational aviation
> > crowd and the Department of the Interior gets Excedrin headaches from
> > the hiking, camping, hunting and fishing bunch. The ongoing regulatory
> > brawls between the skimobile/ATV users vs. the preservationists make
> > our little code test dispute look laughable in comparison. When it's
> > all said and done the regulatory agencies have been instructed by law
> > and funded by Congress to deal with the sometimes goofy issues we the

> > public stick them with - since it's *us* who are paying them to do it.
>
> Sure - but the results may be very different. I doubt FCC is too
> worried about the amateur community as "the public". They're too busy
> dealing with wardrobe malfunctions and Howard Stern wannabes.

That sort of stuff is handled by the upper-level politically sensitive types at the FCC, our fates are crafted by the OET jorneymen. The BPL flap excepted.

> > > The Morse Code test issue is even more of a mess. Treaty changes, FCC
> > > gets *18* proposals! Huh? Some are poles apart while others are
> > > virtually identical. They gotta deal with 18 RMs and comments on all of
> > > them.
> >
> > No sympathy. See above about who gets paid to do what.
>
> There's no modern-day equivalent of K7UGA to put a bit of a scare into
> 'em, though.

Sen. Goldwater, Art Collins, Gen. LeMay, hams in high places within the FCC . . Golden days . . heh.

> > By now they've long since
> > gotten wise to Anderson's childish antics and his "comments" just get
> > rubber-stamped "READ" and tossed into the outbox without further ado.
>
> They gotta accept 'em and read 'em. They don't have to act on them.

Who audits this process to make sure they all get "equal reads" and consideration? Where's the published policies on the topic? Answer: There ain't neither. You can fill in the rest.

You're the local manager of the FCC group which totes up the comments on ham radio NPRMs, you also have a budget and a calander to manage and you know you gotta plow through the piles of comments in as efficient a maner as you can. Ordinary common sense and business smarts indicate that you'll pass out some informal set of criteria or another about who's comments to spend serious time on and who's to just give a quick scan and toss into the "agree"or "disagree" stacks with all sorts of variations in between. Considering the fact that Anderson's only inputs to the process have been a stream of workload for them from a non-ham bush-leaguer it wouldn't surprise me if they also had a stack for his drivel marked "cranks". Ten second scan, done, flick, into the stack it goes.

> > He's not having any impact at all at the FCC and he knows it, he's
> > trolling for folk like you who get their knickers in a twist over his
> > nonsense.
>
> I doubt he knows it.

Then he's even more off the wall than I've given him credit for.

> As for knickers, it's not mine that are in a twist.

Then howcum you brought him up? Or were you trolling ME?!

> FCC could have just dropped Element 1 in August 2003. Memorandum Report
> and Order, coupla paragraphs and done. All they'd have to do is say
> that the issue was thoroughly discussed before the 2000 restructuring,
> and the treaty was the only reason they kept Element 1.
>
> But they didn't, and now it's almost 3-1/2 years later.

(a) They're toying with us for jollies.
(b) They know it doesn't matter one way or another.
(c) They're internally deadlocked on the subject just like we are.
(d) It'll show up in Omnibus II.

> > We're the global odd jobs, we're notorious all over the planet for
> > preferring food fights to regulatory peace and quiet. We is what we is,
> > the old "herding cats" syndrome in play.
>
> Yup.
>
> But that makes no difference when it comes to getting what we want out
> of FCC. I think we'd do a lot better to get a consensus *before*
> deluging them with proposals and comments, that's all.

Idealism and standard motherhood get you nowhere.

> But that's a lot of work. You think an outfit like NCI is going to do
> all the legwork and compromising to get consensus? Don't hold yer
> breath.

Not in our lifetimes.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

5529. Re: Here the R&O-- FCC if interested.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 07:30:56 UTC
From: bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161070256.872770.98590@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5106
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

john wrote:
> Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!
>
>
>
>
> On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:27 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >Jim - NN7K <NN7K@NOWAY.NET> wrote in
> >news:rtBXg.13555$e66.13064@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com:
> > >
> > >> To all radio amateurs
> > >>
> > >> SB QST ARL ARLB019
> > >> ARLB019 FCC releases long-awaited ''Omnibus''
> > >> Amateur Radio Report and Order
> > >>
> > >> Ending a protracted waiting period, the FCC's
> > >> Report and Order in
> > >> the so-called ''Omnibus'' Amateur Radio
> > >> proceeding, WT Docket 04-140,
> > >> was adopted October 4 and released October 10,
> > >> 2006. In it, the FCC
> > >> adopted nearly all of the proposed changes in the
> > >> Notice of Proposed
> > >> Rulemaking released back in 2004. The FCC has:
> > >>
> > >> + expanded the phone subbands in the 75 and 40
> > >> meter bands;
> > >>
> > >> + permitted auxiliary stations to transmit on
> > >> portions of the 2
> > >> meter band;
> > >>
> > >> + permitted the use of spread spectrum on 222-225 MHz;
> > >>
> > >> + permitted amateurs to retransmit communications
> > >> from the
> > >> International Space Station;
> > >>
> > >> + permitted amateur licensees to designate a
> > >> specific Amateur Radio
> > >> club to receive their call sign in memoriam;

> >>
> >> + prohibited an applicant from filing more than
> >> one application for
> >> a specific vanity call sign;
> >>
> >> + eliminated certain restrictions on equipment
> >> manufacturers;
> >>
> >> + permitted Amateur Radio stations in Alaska and
> >> surrounding waters
> >> more flexibility in providing emergency
> >> communications;
> >>
> >> + clarified that ''amateur stations may, at all
> >> times and on all
> >> frequencies authorized to the control operator,
> >> make transmissions
> >> necessary to meet essential communication needs
> >> and to facilitate
> >> relief actions'';
> >>
> >> + deleted the frequency bands and segments
> >> specified for RACES
> >> stations;
> >>
> >> and
> >>
> >> + deleted the requirement for public announcement
> >> of test locations
> >> and times.
> >>
> >> In addition, the FCC took several other
> >> miscellaneous actions.
> >>
> >> In ''refarming'' the frequencies currently
> >> authorized to Novice and
> >> Technician Plus licensees, the Commission
> >> increased the voice
> >> segments for General, Advanced and Amateur Extra
> >> licensees.
> >>
> >> On 75 meters, Generals will be able to use voice
> >> from 3800-4000 kHz,
> >> an increase of 50 kHz. Advanced class licensees
> >> will be able to use
> >> voice from 3700-4000, an increase of 75 kHz, and
> >> Amateur Extras will
> >> be able to use voice from 3600 to 4000 kHz, a
> >> generous increase of
> >> 150 kHz.

> >>
> >> On 40 meters, Advanced and Extra Class licensees
> >> will be able to use
> >> voice from 7125-7300 kHz, an increase of 25 kHz.
> >> General class
> >> licensees will be able to use voice on 7175-7300
> >> kHz, an increase of
> >> 50 kHz.
> >>
> >> On 15 meters, General class operators will have
> >> phone privileges on
> >> 21275-21450 kHz, an increase of 25 kHz.
> >>
> >> ARRL President Joel Harrison, W5ZN, expressed the
> >> ARRL's gratitude
> >> to the FCC Commissioners in a letter dated October
> >> 11: ''On behalf of
> >> the ARRL and the Commission's licensees in the
> >> Amateur Radio Service
> >> I want to express appreciation for your release
> >> yesterday of the
> >> Report and Order in WT Docket 04-140 (FCC 06-149)
> >> amending Part 97
> >> of the Commission's Rules. The Commission's action
> >> in clearing this
> >> pending proceeding will assist the Amateur Radio
> >> Service in meeting
> >> its objectives, particularly with regard to
> >> providing emergency and
> >> public service communications.''
> >>
> >> The changes will go into effect 30 days after the
> >> R&O is published
> >> in the Federal Register.
> >> NNNN
> >> /EX
> >
> >
> >
> >It friggen figures the president of the ARRL would say that. They're only
> >interested in selling memberships, they're not interested in the quality of
> >the radio amateur. Ham radio can be like CB for all they care.
> >
> >SC

Ya know, The more I read SC's post the more respect I have for SC. He's
right. Trash like "John" and the "retarded no-code wonder" are what
will rid the bands of the ARS.

Maybe Mork should clean up his act and try to behave a little more like
Steve.

5530. Re: Here the R&O-- FCC if interested.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 07:35:45 UTC
From: bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161070545.110589.149070@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5107
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.usenet.kooks

john wrote:
> Slow Code if you act like this on the radio I wouldn't want to know
> you.
>
>

John, the truth is if you talked to SC in person you'd drop to your knees trying to kiss his ass. You're a typical welfare ham. Now go play with your little HT, faggot!

5531. Re: Here the R&O-- FCC if interested.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 07:51:14 UTC
From: Real Friendit Naybourhood Wote Monger <yyyyiiiinnnngggg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cuni9.4mh.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 5108
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.usenet.kooks

<bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1161070545.110589.149070@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> john wrote:
>> Slow Code if you act like this on the radio I wouldn't want to know
>> you.
>>
>>
>
> John, the truth is if you talked to SC in person you'd drop to your
> knees trying to kiss his ass. You're a typical welfare ham. Now go play
> with your little HT, faggot!

The true truth is you have nothing to offer for entertainment by being a
truly malicious asshole. Prove me I am wrong, if you can.

>

5532. Re: Here the R&O-- FCC if interested.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 08:42:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <np59j25r7e4mvq6ahurlabe09755st3b4p@4ax.com>
Source record: 5109
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 17 Oct 2006 00:35:45 -0700, bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>john wrote:
>> Slow Code if you act like this on the radio I wouldn't want to know
>> you.
>>
>>
>
>John, the truth is if you talked to SC in person you'd drop to your
>knees trying to kiss his ass. You're a typical welfare ham. Now go play
>with your little HT, faggot!
so anyone is that opposes Code testing is homosexual maening accordin
got you that roughly the halkf the ARS is homosexaul

how dod explain that annymouse coward or most likely or old friend
k4yz
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5533. Re: Here the R&O-- FCC if interested.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 08:44:40 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <4t59j25giept1da7f749khjh0krbuii1jd@4ax.com>
Source record: 5110
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 17 Oct 2006 00:30:56 -0700, bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>john wrote:
>> Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:27 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>> >SC
>
>Ya know, The more I read SC's post the more respect I have for SC. He's
>right. Trash like "John" and the "retarded no-code wonder" are what
>will rid the bands of the ARS.
>
>Maybe Mork should clean up his act and try to behave a little more like
>Steve.
no steve I will never act more like you

I will never except after years of provokaion suggest someone is child
molestor and even not not without the words you provided in your BIO
steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5534. Village Voice 'Planespotting' article -- U-2s, Rendition flights, et al

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 19:44:06 UTC
From: PoloHat@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1161114246.903038.64600@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5114
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.misc

http://villagevoice.com/news/0642,torturetaxi,74732,2.html

The anonymous "Ray" in the article is actually your typical 50 year old San Francisco Bay area 'social activist'/'progressive'/anarchist by the name of Gary Sellani, operator of the lazygranch.com domain and HAM radio call KD6ZXF.

Contact Gary at yahoogroups@lazygranch.com

It's very amusing, based on the subject of the article & his involvement in it, that Gary Sellani wanted to remain anonymous & just be referred to as "Ray." It was easy enough to 'out' Gary Sellani by doing a Google search.

Maybe someone will go hang out near a particular San Ramon Post Office & photograph Gary Sellani's antenna-laden SUV as he stops to check his PO Box & post the photos of him & his vehicle on the internet. Be sure to get a photo of his SUV's tailcod... oops, I mean license plate. Then again, maybe someone has already done this...

Turn-about is fair-play, "Ray!"

Polo

5535. Re: Village Voice 'Planespotting' article -- U-2s, Rendition flights, et al

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 20:15:06 UTC
From: tscottme <blahblah@blah.net>
Message-ID: <z8Odnck8rolXpKjYnZ2dnUVZ_oGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 5115
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.misc

The mind-control, tracking device we've implanted in Gary Sellani's tooth is quite sufficient

--

Scott

5536. Re: Village Voice 'Planespotting' article -- U-2s, Rendition flights, et al

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-17 21:48:23 UTC
From: Ancient_Hacker <grg2@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1161121703.530867.227640@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5116
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.misc

The journalism looks kinda dubious... I don't know much about U2's, but
I do know they climb at a 40 to 60 degree angle-- which doesnt match
with what the article and Ray claim.

.

5537. Re: I listen to Omega One Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-18 00:29:38 UTC
From: Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1f9f3f85b75ed590989a4a@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 5117
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
In article <ftgXg.8076$Y24.1470@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:MPG.1f9612a4234542aa989a40@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>
> > In article <eACVg.5938$Y24.3847@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> > my.mail@no.spam says...
> >> "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >> news:1160134904.164213.315210@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
> >>
> >> >
> >> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> He doesn't have intellect.
> >> >
> >> > Why are you answering your own sockpuppets, Sniveling Cunt?
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> >> Mrs. Wiseman.
> >>
> >> You have a filthy mouth.
> >>
> >> SC
> >>
> > Pot Kettle Black!
>
>
> Wrong.
>
> Maybe you should undo your killfilter for a day or two so you can see what
> the filth in RRAP looks like.

I'm just adding you to it. Cleans up the noise ratio on all the groups!

>
> How's your CW practice coming along? Are you going to be a ham soon?
>
I am a Ham. You're a mean nasty old cuss who doesn't have a friend in
the world.

> SC
>
```

5538. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-18 00:47:31 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161132451.006173.281260@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> news:1161028898.573069.307150@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > Jimmie D wrote:
> >> > >
> >> > > Im sure the numbers would look even wose if the licenses expired
> >> > > sooner. Most of the new hams I know lose interest in a couple of
> >> > > years, long befor the licence expires.
> >> >
> >> > Those darned new hams. They never should have been licensured in the
> >> > first place. Didn't have the ooomph to get licensed when the tests
> >> > were harder so they weren't really that innerested in the first
> >> > place. Didn't show the proper dedication.
> >>
> >> and of course none of the failure of hams to reamin hams is due to
> >> bullshit they must endure from other hams
> >
> > Of course not! Ham radio is a swell fellowship of men. What you see
> > on RRAP n't ham radio.
>
> But if you and Markie ever get full HF privledges, God & Hiram Help us.

Why? Do you fear us working out of band Frenchmen? We could do that
just as well on 6 Meters.

5539. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-18 00:51:23 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161132683.380812.160430@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5119
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > On 15 Oct 2006 16:24:35 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 14 2006 4:01 pm
> > > >> >Slow Code wrote:
> >
> >
> > > > >> Blowcode is just another troll who has his head up his
> > > > >> ass in regards to radio communications. That head just
> > > > >> hasn't been aware of what happened in radio for a half
> > > > >> century.
> > > > >
> > > > >With his head up his own ass, he wouldn't need to eat his own excrement
> > > > >off of another man's genitals. Maybe Robesin can give us hit ake on
> > > > >that.
> > > >
> > > > well BB it must a tight fit so I suspect he wait to he puls out for
> > > > air
> > >
> > We should hear a loud "pop."
> >
> > > > >> The ITU didn't "just remove" anything. The ITU-R made
> > > > >> the code test for an amateur license with below-30-MHz
> > > > >> privileges OPTIONAL to each administration. In 2003.
> > > > >> THREE years ago, not "just now." :-)
> > > > >
> > > > >Slow is, well, slow.
> > >
> > > realy slwo but according to one of post another of Sock puppets "hey
> > > Stupid" so he is more honest the Robeson (which is truly damning with
> > > faint praise.
> > >
> > He might be a former RRAPper. Can you guess which one?
> >
> I'll go with Mark and say it is a current RRAPer...a pro-coder who
> is wrapped too tight...either Jimmie Noserve or that Waffen SS guy.

Robesin is absent. Lots of uniques showing up. Maybe we need to run
RRAP through a contest logging program.

5540. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-18 00:56:16 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161132976.272099.210400@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5120
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
> news:1161040355.357240.163210@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> > On 15 Oct 2006 16:24:35 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 14 2006 4:01 pm
> >> > >> >Slow Code wrote:
> >
> >
> >> > >> Blowcode is just another troll who has his head up his
> >> > >> ass in regards to radio communications. That head just
> >> > >> hasn't been aware of what happened in radio for a half
> >> > >> century.
> >> > >
> >> > >With his head up his own ass, he wouldn't need to eat his own
> >> > >excrement off of another man's genitals. Maybe Robesin can give us
> >> > >hit ake on that.
> >> >
> >> > well BB it must a tight fit so I suspect he wait to he puls out for
> >> > air
> >>
> >> We should hear a loud "pop."
> >>
> >> > >> The ITU didn't "just remove" anything. The ITU-R made
> >> > >> the code test for an amateur license with below-30-MHz
> >> > >> privileges OPTIONAL to each administration. In 2003.
> >> > >> THREE years ago, not "just now." :-)
> >> > >
> >> > >Slow is, well, slow.
> >>
> >> realy slwo but according to one of post another of Sock puppets "hey
> >> Stupid" so he is more honest the Robeson (which is truly damning with
> >> faint praise.
> >>
> >> He might be a former RRAPper. Can you guess which one?
> >
> > I'll go with Mark and say it is a current RRAPer...a pro-coder who
> > is wrapped too tight...either Jimmie Noserve or that Waffen SS guy.
>

> And you haven't changed a bit either Len. You should be taking part in
> the more technical groups and not arguing policy with pro-coders, or are
> you hoping to be the second No-Code Extra after Markie?
>
> SC

Mad Dog Deignan of the infamous RF Commandos?

5541. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-18 01:36:13 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1161135373.371022.14880@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5121
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > Absolutely so. This thing is a watered-down repeat of the 1960s
> > > "restructuring".

> > The more things change....

> > The old General phone band was 3800-4000. The circle is complete.

> I was there the first night we were allowed to operate below 3800,
> worked an EA. Lotta ticked off VEs, Helluva mess. Lotta fun.

Indeed!
>
> > > > > Note the lack of Generals expressing any opinions on the
> > > > > subject. If they're not in here complaining about losing cw/rtty space
> > > > > why should us OF Extras care about the subject one way or the other?
> > > >
> > > > It sets a bad precedent.
> > >
> > > In what respect?
> >
> > That they will reduce privileges of existing licenses.
>
> You're almost 40 years late. The precedent was carved in stone as a
> result of the Incentive Licensing R&Os of the late 1960s and they just
> did it again.

The point is that since 1969 they haven't.

> I'm not familiar with the 'wayback history of it but
> maybe there were similar occurrences before 1950.

Not one that I know of since the inception of the ABC system.
>
> > > > I wonder what FCC really thinks of us hams.
> > >
> > > PIAs. Their problem.
> >
> > Naw, it's ours, because we can't vote them out.
>
> Which, in the final analysis, is probably in our best interests.

Consider how qualified the head of FEMA was to handle Katrina...

> > > The "relationship" between ham radio and the FCC is no different
> > > overall than the way the FAA gets pounded by the recreational aviation
> > > crowd and the Department of the Interior gets Excedrin headaches from
> > > the hiking, camping, hunting and fishing bunch. The ongoing regulatory
> > > brawls between the skimobile/ATV users vs. the preservationists make
> > > our little code test dispute look laughable in comparison. When it's
> > > all said and done the regulatory agencies have been instructed by law
> > > and funded by Congress to deal with the sometimes goofy issues we the
> > > public stick them with - since it's *us* who are paying them to do it.
> >
> > Sure - but the results may be very different. I doubt FCC is too
> > worried about the amateur community as "the public". They're too busy
> > dealing with wardrobe malfunctions and Howard Stern wannabes.
>
> That sort of stuff is handled by the upper-level politically sensitive
> types at the FCC, our fates are crafted by the OET jorneymen. The BPL
> flap excepted.

Maybe. If ya think BPL isn't political, ya haven't been paying
attention.

> > > > The Morse Code test issue is even more of a mess. Treaty changes, FCC
> > > > gets *18* proposals! Huh? Some are poles apart while others are
> > > > virtually identical. They gotta deal with 18 RMs and comments on all of
> > > > them.
> > >
> > > No sympathy. See above about who gets paid to do what.
> >
> > There's no modern-day equivalent of K7UGA to put a bit of a scare into
> > 'em, though.
>
> Sen. Goldwater, Art Collins, Gen. LeMay, hams in high places within the
> FCC . . Golden days . . heh.

Yup.
>
> > > By now they've long since
> > > gotten wise to Anderson's childish antics and his "comments" just get
> > > rubber-stamped "READ" and tossed into the outbox without further ado.
> >
> > They gotta accept 'em and read 'em. They don't have to act on them.
>
> Who audits this process to make sure they all get "equal reads" and
> consideration? Where's the published policies on the topic? Answer:
> There ain't neither. You can fill in the rest.

They do read all of the comments. What they conclude is another matter.

>
> You're the local manager of the FCC group which totes up the comments
> on ham radio NPRMs, you also have a budget and a calander to manage and
> you know you gotta plow through the piles of comments in as efficient a
> maner as you can. Ordinary common sense and business smarts indicate

> that you'll pass out some informal set of criteria or another about
> who's comments to spend serious time on and who's to just give a quick
> scan and toss into the "agree"or "disagree" stacks with all sorts of
> variations in between.

Bingo. Particularly if there's nothing new in the 200+ pages of
comments and reply comments from one person!

> Considering the fact that Anderson's only inputs
> to the process have been a stream of workload for them from a non-ham
> bush-leaguer it wouldn't surprise me if they also had a stack for his
> drivel marked "cranks". Ten second scan, done, flick, into the stack it
> goes.

They gotta read 'em. Doesn't mean they gotta agree with 'em or act on
'em.

> > > He's not having any impact at all at the FCC and he knows it, he's
> > > trolling for folk like you who get their knickers in a twist over his
> > > nonsense.
> >
> > I doubt he knows it.
>
> Then he's even more off the wall than I've given him credit for.

Not my problem. Not yours, either.

> > As for knickers, it's not mine that are in a twist.
>
> Then howcum you brought him up?

Just to show what FCC has to put up with and why they *might* be a
little ticked...

> Or were you trolling ME?!

Not trolling anybody. Besides, have you submitted hundreds of pages?
>
> > FCC could have just dropped Element 1 in August 2003. Memorandum Report
> > and Order, coupla paragraphs and done. All they'd have to do is say
> > that the issue was thoroughly discussed before the 2000 restructuring,
> > and the treaty was the only reason they kept Element 1.
> >
> > But they didn't, and now it's almost 3-1/2 years later.
>
> (a) They're toying with us for jollies.
> (b) They know it doesn't matter one way or another.
> (c) They're internally deadlocked on the subject just like we are.
> (d) It'll show up in Omnibus II.

I'd say (a) and (b), plus a bit of

(e) They are ticked off at the 18 petitions, lack of consensus and
mountains of commentary.

> > > We're the global odd jobs, we're notorious all over the planet for
> > > preferring food fights to regulatory peace and quiet. We is what we is,
> > > the old "herding cats" syndrome in play.
> >
> > Yup.
> >
> > But that makes no difference when it comes to getting what we want out
> > of FCC. I think we'd do a lot better to get a consensus *before*
> > deluging them with proposals and comments, that's all.

> Idealism and standard motherhood get you nowhere.

I'm not saying it'll ever happen, just that it explains why it takes so
long to get anything from FCC and why the result is so unlike what is
proposed.

> > But that's a lot of work. You think an outfit like NCI is going to do
> > all the legwork and compromising to get consensus? Don't hold yer
> > breath.

> Not in our lifetimes.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5542. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-18 01:36:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <281bj2lenaldtm3k68g1f27qofbae5h1vs@4ax.com>
Source record: 5122
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
On 17 Oct 2006 17:56:16 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Slow Code wrote:
>> "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>> news:1161040355.357240.163210@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> >> > On 15 Oct 2006 16:24:35 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 14 2006 4:01 pm
>> >> > >> >Slow Code wrote:
>> >
>> >
>> >> > >> Blowcode is just another troll who has his head up his
>> >> > >> ass in regards to radio communications. That head just
>> >> > >> hasn't been aware of what happened in radio for a half
>> >> > >> century.
>> >> > >
>> >> > >With his head up his own ass, he wouldn't need to eat his own
>> >> > >excrement off of another man's genitals. Maybe Robesin can give us
>> >> > >hit ake on that.
>> >> >
>> >> > well BB it must a tight fit so I suspect he wait to he puls out for
>> >> > air
>> >>
>> >> We should hear a loud "pop."
>> >>
>> >> > >> The ITU didn't "just remove" anything. The ITU-R made
>> >> > >> the code test for an amateur license with below-30-MHz
>> >> > >> privileges OPTIONAL to each administration. In 2003.
>> >> > >> THREE years ago, not "just now." :-)
>> >> > >
>> >> > >Slow is, well, slow.
>> >>
>> >> > realy slwo but according to one of post another of Sock puppets "hey
>> >> > Stupid" so he is more honest the Robeson (which is truly damning with
>> >> > faint praise.
>> >>
>> >> He might be a former RRAPper. Can you guess which one?
>> >
```

>> > I'll go with Mark and say it is a current RRAPer...a pro-coder who
>> > is wrapped too tight...either Jimmie Noserve or that Waffen SS guy.
>>
>> And you haven't changed a bit either Len. You should be taking part in
>> the more technical groups and not arguing policy with pro-coders, or are
>> you hoping to be the second No-Code Extra after Markie?
>>
>> SC
>
>Mad Dog Deignan of the infamous RF Commandos?
could be esp if it isn't Robbeson
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5543. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-18 01:36:55 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <691bj2lplt1qk6e24tp3cioukcu19aa6jl@4ax.com>
Source record: 5123
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 17 Oct 2006 17:51:23 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> > > On 15 Oct 2006 16:24:35 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 14 2006 4:01 pm
>> > > >> >Slow Code wrote:
>>
>>
>> > > >> Blowcode is just another troll who has his head up his
>> > > >> ass in regards to radio communications. That head just
>> > > >> hasn't been aware of what happened in radio for a half
>> > > >> century.
>> > > >
>> > > >With his head up his own ass, he wouldn't need to eat his own excrement
>> > > >off of another man's genitals. Maybe Robesin can give us hit ake on
>> > > >that.
>> > >
>> > > well BB it must a tight fit so I suspect he wait to he puls out for
>> > > air
>> >
>> > We should hear a loud "pop."
>> >
>> > > >> The ITU didn't "just remove" anything. The ITU-R made
>> > > >> the code test for an amateur license with below-30-MHz
>> > > >> privileges OPTIONAL to each administration. In 2003.
>> > > >> THREE years ago, not "just now." :-)
>> > > >
>> > > >Slow is, well, slow.
>> >
>> > realy slwo but according to one of post another of Sock puppets "hey
>> > Stupid" so he is more honest the Robeson (which is truly damning with
>> > faint praise.
>> >
>> > He might be a former RRAPper. Can you guess which one?
>>
>> I'll go with Mark and say it is a current RRAPer...a pro-coder who
>> is wrapped too tight...either Jimmie Noserve or that Waffen SS guy.
>
>Robesin is absent. Lots of uniques showing up. Maybe we need to run

>RRAP through a contest logging program.
think it would be able to keep tack here
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5544. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-18 01:40:23 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161135623.020165.120820@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> > news:1161028898.573069.307150@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:

> > But if you and Markie ever get full HF privledges, God & Hiram Help us.
>
> Why? Do you fear us working out of band Frenchmen? We could do that
> just as well on 6 Meters.
BB I belieeve you have mentioned passing a code test at some point that
would allow you fullaccess to hf today I could look up your license or
you could tell me

5545. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-18 02:28:17 UTC
From: Jimmie D <jimmiedee@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <%igZg.62280$KR1.12110@bignews2.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 5125
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
news:tk4uh2dh82m53rpoucr8nduc0ous56rf0e@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 19:27:33 -0500, Nada Tapu <user@host.domain>
> wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 16:39:47 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>
>>>>And you probably answered some theory questions about modes you'll
>>>>never use and formulas you'll never see again. Maybe we should just
>>>>eliminate the theory exam, too.
>>
>>>and what do we gain by doing that it is certainly an option when
>>>eleimate code testing we eleimate something that makes the ARS look
>>>stpuid obviously we gain by that
>>
>>Look stupid? Oh, excuse me!
> yes you are stupid and anothe rof the usenet cowards
>
>>
>>There are a lot of people who don't want to be bothered with the
>>theory exam, either. And when it comes right down to it, what do we
>>really need a theory exam for?
> you tell me
>
> I think it benifits the ARS by insuring that new hams reconize the
> term and the rules involved in the ARS
>
> don't you think it does that?
>
>> Most CB'ers and electronic hobbyists
>>have the technical expertise to put a multi-band rig and antenna on
>>the air and start operating without any trouble at all.
>
> and many so Indeed we could despense with the technical question sif
> it was found to be to our benifit
>
>> They feel they
>>shouldn't need to take a test in order to do that, and a good case may
>>be made in favor of that approach. Homebrew and experiment? Sure, why
>>not? They can do that too. I did when I was on CB, so why can't
>>others? Why should I take a test that includes superflous questions
>>about operating modes and aspects of electronics and computers that I
>>have absolutely no intention of employing in my day to day station

>>operation?
>>
>>Now allow me to put on the "other hat".
>
> pput on such hats as you please
>>
>>CW is a part of amateur radio's heritage and history.
>
> agreed
>>One has to
>>embrace the past to realize where one is today.
> that is merely one method but one is not required to emabrace the past
> or to real;ize where we are today
>> Having said that, CW
>>is not an obsolete mode by any means;
>
> it is obslete
>>it is timeless. It was a viable
>>communications mode 50 years ago, it still is today, and it will still
>>be perfectly viable 10,000 years from now.
>
> which does not prevent it from being oselte
>
> the Longbow it is still a vaible weapon today will be for some time to
> come
>
> it is none the less obeslete
>> It's spectrum efficient
>>and highly effective under adverse conditions. So what if it happens
>>to be dated? There is absolutlely nothing wrong with the preservation
>>and continued use of old but perfectly good technologies.
>
> and I don't object to YOU doing so but I do object to your insistance
> on public specturm being used to do as a complution on all those that
> wish to use it
>> It's just
>>too bad if some operators feel that a certain operating mode reflects
>>badly on the amateur community solely because it's been around for a
>>long time. There is nothing "stupid" about this issue.
>
> what is stupid is this insistance that I must help to preserve some
> thing that YOU value and wish preserved and that I think we would be
> better off leting it go the way of Spark
>>
>>Am I supporting the elimination of the theory exam and promoting the
>>testing of morse skills? Of course not, although I can see how one
>>would reach that conclusion from my statements. I'm being purposely
>>obtuse.
> and insulting
>> What amateur radio needs is BALANCE.

>
> which it will lack as long as the ARS insist on worshiping the ONE
> mode CW above the rest of the ARS combined as the leicense system does
> today
>> It needs operators with
>>a rich set of skills and traits that will set it apart from the other
>>radio services. When those skills and traits cease to exist, the
>>service will perish, and eventually the spectrum will be sold to the
>>highest bidder.
>>
>>My $.02 Draw your own conclusions.
> my conclusion is that you will twist truth and logic anyway you like
> to achive your end
>
> for that matter so will I
>>
>>- - . . . . . - -
>>
>>NT
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

Indeed we could dispense with much of the technical qualifications some day. The technical part is basically an attempt to make sure the amatuer is competent enough to operate their equipment in a maner that does not interfere with other services outside of his designated allocation of spectrum, in other words, within FCC regulations. At such a time when all equipment is made idiot proof and all hams use store bought idiot proofed gear we may see this.So far the one experiment at this has failed. You think you have something idiot proof and then guess what, someone makes a better idiot. So I am not worried about requirement of theory going away. As far a CW is concerned to gain the privledges hams have today they had to show they were a national asset. Part of being that asset was our ability to process emergency traffic should the need arrive. At the time CW was needed to accomplish this. It is no longer needed to meet our obligation of service. OF course this begs the question, can we fullfil our obligation. To this I believe we can, but are we really needed. CW has been replaced by other technologies, it would make more sense to require typing skills than CW, an idea I dont think is so bad even though I may have trouble with twenty wpm on a keyboard.

5546. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-18 02:46:38 UTC
From: Jimmie D <jimmiedee@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <9AgZg.62283$KR1.42304@bignews2.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 5126
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1159681014.774892.184650@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...
> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>
>
>>On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
>>>no slow code the number are down because with Code testing looks so
>>>stpupid
>>
>>The numbers are down for a variety of reasons, but I suspect that
>>computers and the internet are the major factors, not the CW
>>requirement.
>
> The ready-built Personal Computer first appeared in 1976,
> 30 years ago (the "IBM PC" debuted in 1980, 26 years ago).
> The Internet went public in 1991, 15 years ago.
> "Restructuring" to drop the morse test rate to 5 WPM
> for all such tests happened only 6 years ago.
>
> The peak licensing of 737,938 happened on 2 Jul 03, just
> 3 years ago. [they've been dropping at an average of 7K
> per year ever since]
>
> I disagree on your reasons stated in your quote above.
>
>>When I ask technical people about why they haven't
>>acquired an interest in amateur radio, I never get the CW requirement
>>as a response.
>
> Strange, I hear that response. Having been IN radio-
> electronics for over a half century, I DO know some
> "technical people." :-)
>
> Manual radiotelegraphy was a MUST to use early radio
> as a communications medium. The technology of early
> radio was primitive, simple, and not yet developed.
> On-off keying was the ONLY practical way to make it
> possible to communicate. Morse code was then already
> mature and a new branch of communications was open
> to use by downsized landline telegraphers.
>
>> They simply view the whole service as outmoded in the

>>face of modern telecommunications.
>
> PART of that IS true. NOT all of it.
>
> What IS outmoded (technically) is sitting only on HF
> and "working" other stations with morse radiotelegraphy.
> Amateur radio is the ONLY radio service still using
> morse radiotelegraphy for communications purposes.
>
> Another thing outmoded is the strict "necessity" to use
> a formalism in "procedure" AS IF it was "professional"
> radio. That formalism was established between 50 to 70
> years ago. Amateur radio, by definition, is NOT
> professional. Too many olde-tymers want to PRETEND
> they are pros in front of their ham rigs.
>
> But, there is still an enormous area of the EM spectrum
> that is still open for experimentation, for just the fun
> of doing something out of the ordinary above 30 MHz.
> That can be a very different RF environment, much much
> different than the technology available in the 20s and
> 30s. It has exciting possibilities...except for the
> rutted and mired olde-tymers unable to keep up with new
> things, secure in their own dreams of youth and simple
> technological environment.
>
>>Let's face it.. the romance is gone.
>
> Oh, boo hoo...the "romance" of the 1930s is gone? Yes,
> it IS. The "pioneering of the airwaves" below 30 MHz
> has been DONE...mostly by the pros of radio (despite what
> the ARRL claims). DONE a long time ago. The solid-state
> era came into being about 45 years ago and has
> revolutionized ALL electronics (radio is a subset of that).
>
> Except as memorabilia trinkets of the past, GONE is the
> analog VFO, GONE is the one-tube regenerative receiver,
> GONE is the single-crystal-single-frequency Tx, GONE is
> the big, bulky AM modulator amplifier, GONE is the not-
> knowing-when-the-bands-are-open (solar activity and
> ionosonding solved that and HF MUF is a predictable
> item that can be found by a computer program). Except
> for the boatanchor afficionados, vacuum tubes are GONE
> for nearly everything but high-power transmitters.
>
> The radio world of today is NOT that of 1950, nor of
> 1960, nor 1970, nor even 1980s. It keeps changing,
> advancing, the state of the art never static. For the
> stuck-in-the-mud olde tymers that is terrible...they
> feel insecure on not being able to keep up, become

> aggressive to newcomers ("no kids, lids or space
> cadets") and retreat to the "secure" mode of their
> youth, "CW." But, they want to make sure They get
> the respect they feel they've "earned" (as if) so
> they try and try and try to bring all down to THEIR
> level...the code test MUST stay..."because."
>
> There are 100 million two-way radios in use in the USA
> alone, millions more in other countries. Those are the
> cellular telephones. There are millions of VHF and UHF
> transceivers in the USA, working daily for public
> safety agencies, ships, private boats, air carriers as
> well as private airplanes. There are tens of thousands
> of HF transceivers in use in the USA, users being
> everyone from government agencies to private boat
> owners, ALL exclusive of amateur radio users. Where is
> the "romance" in all this Plenty from a cornucopia that
> all have grabbed? It is GONE, yes.
>
> But, NEW "romances" await. DIFFERENT ones, I'd say a
> helluva lot more complex than old, simple "radio." We
> can't relive old "romances" except in our minds and we
> can't grow physically younger. Only person-to-person
> romance is TRUE, the other "romance" is of the
> imagination, of the fantasy of what was once there.
> This fantasy "romance" can't be brought back. It can't
> be legislated into remaining static. The rules and
> regulations have to change to keep up with the NOW.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

Total agreement here, our obligation of service to to earn our privlegdes
doesnt end with what we have done but with what we have done lately.

5547. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-18 03:28:20 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <obhZg.17462$6S3.13068@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 5127
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Jimmie D wrote:
> Total agreement here, our obligation of service to earn our privlegdes
> doesnt end with what we have done but with what we have done lately.

The "service" provided by the Amateur Radio Service
is a service provided *by* the federal government
to the citizens who meet the amateur radio licensing
requirements. There is no governmental requirement or
obligation that amateur radio operators render any public
service at all. Amateur radio licensees are not even
required to own a radio.

"Service", in this context, is just an administrative
division of government.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

5548. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-18 03:50:31 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <7m8bj2d7761if23g8tncirasmgf5a44akf@4ax.com>
Source record: 5128
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 22:28:17 -0400, "Jimmie D"
<jimmiedee@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
>news:tk4uh2dh82m53rpoucr8nduc0ous56rf0e@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 19:27:33 -0500, Nada Tapu <user@host.domain>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 16:39:47 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>>
>>>>And you probably answered some theory questions about modes you'll
>>>>never use and formulas you'll never see again. Maybe we should just
>>>>eliminate the theory exam, too.
>>>
>>>and what do we gain by doing that it is certainly an option when
>>>eleimate code testing we eleimate something that makes the ARS look
>>>stpuid obviously we gain by that
>>>
>>>Look stupid? Oh, excuse me!
>> yes you are stupid and anothe rof the usenet cowards
>>
>>>
>>>There are a lot of people who don't want to be bothered with the
>>>theory exam, either. And when it comes right down to it, what do we
>>>really need a theory exam for?
>> you tell me
>>
>> I think it benifits the ARS by insuring that new hams reconize the
>> term and the rules involved in the ARS
>>
>> don't you think it does that?
>>
>>> Most CB'ers and electronic hobbyists
>>>have the technical expertise to put a multi-band rig and antenna on
>>>the air and start operating without any trouble at all.
>>
>> and many so Indeed we could despense with the technical question sif
>> it was found to be to our benifit
>>
>>> They feel they
>>>shouldn't need to take a test in order to do that, and a good case may
>>>be made in favor of that approach. Homebrew and experiment? Sure, why

>>>not? They can do that too. I did when I was on CB, so why can't
>>>others? Why should I take a test that includes superflous questions
>>>about operating modes and aspects of electronics and computers that I
>>>have absolutely no intention of employing in my day to day station
>>>operation?
>>>
>>>Now allow me to put on the "other hat".
>>
>> pput on such hats as you please
>>>
>>>CW is a part of amateur radio's heritage and history.
>>
>> agreed
>>>One has to
>>>embrace the past to realize where one is today.
>> that is merely one method but one is not required to emabrace the past
>> or to real;ize where we are today
>>> Having said that, CW
>>>is not an obsolete mode by any means;
>>
>> it is obslete
>>>it is timeless. It was a viable
>>>communications mode 50 years ago, it still is today, and it will still
>>>be perfectly viable 10,000 years from now.
>>
>> which does not prevent it from being oselte
>>
>> the Longbow it is still a vaible weapon today will be for some time to
>> come
>>
>> it is none the less obeslete
>>> It's spectrum efficient
>>>and highly effective under adverse conditions. So what if it happens
>>>to be dated? There is absolutlely nothing wrong with the preservation
>>>and continued use of old but perfectly good technologies.
>>
>> and I don't object to YOU doing so but I do object to your insistance
>> on public specturm being used to do as a complution on all those that
>> wish to use it
>>> It's just
>>>too bad if some operators feel that a certain operating mode reflects
>>>badly on the amateur community solely because it's been around for a
>>>long time. There is nothing "stupid" about this issue.
>>
>> what is stupid is this insistance that I must help to preserve some
>> thing that YOU value and wish preserved and that I think we would be
>> better off leting it go the way of Spark
>>>
>>>Am I supporting the elimination of the theory exam and promoting the
>>>testing of morse skills? Of course not, although I can see how one

>>>would reach that conclusion from my statements. I'm being purposely
>>>obtuse.
>> and insulting
>>> What amateur radio needs is BALANCE.
>>
>> which it will lack as long as the ARS insist on worshiping the ONE
>> mode CW above the rest of the ARS combined as the leicense system does
>> today
>>> It needs operators with
>>>a rich set of skills and traits that will set it apart from the other
>>>radio services. When those skills and traits cease to exist, the
>>>service will perish, and eventually the spectrum will be sold to the
>>>highest bidder.
>>>
>>>My $.02 Draw your own conclusions.
>> my conclusion is that you will twist truth and logic anyway you like
>> to achive your end
>>
>> for that matter so will I
>>>
>>>- - . . . . . - -
>>>
>>>NT
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>
>Indeed we could dispense with much of the technical qualifications some day.
>The technical part is basically an attempt to make sure the amatuer is
>competent enough to operate their equipment in a maner that does not
>interfere with other services outside of his designated allocation of
>spectrum, in other words, within FCC regulations. At such a time when all
>equipment is made idiot proof and all hams use store bought idiot proofed
>gear we may see this.So far the one experiment at this has failed. You think
>you have something idiot proof and then guess what, someone makes a better
>idiot. So I am not worried about requirement of theory going away.
indeed neither am I nor any thinking ham the theory will likely gorwo
to eb aa smaller part over time in favor the rules and pruely safety
realted issues as well which is much as it should be IMO (and
apreantly ythe FCC)

> As far a
>CW is concerned to gain the privledges hams have today they had to show they
>were a national asset. Part of being that asset was our ability to process
>emergency traffic should the need arrive. At the time CW was needed to
>accomplish this. It is no longer needed to meet our obligation of service.
>OF course this begs the question, can we fullfil our obligation. To this I
>believe we can, but are we really needed. CW has been replaced by other

>technologies, it would make more sense to require typing skills than CW, an
>idea I dont think is so bad even though I may have trouble with twenty wpm
>on a keyboard.

You Know i think we can and general do fufill our service obligation
althought I see wht we wil as More holding the fanks and protecting
the abilty of EMS to do it jobs and leave us to keep the gal looking
for here cat off their backs. I honestly think that much of what the
EMS see as our value in most areas

but typing (with maybe the option of some other test like solderiring
or anttenan making the biggest problem with the CW is IMO the fact it
tries to stamp us the hams out in single mold

which explains the vitriol of the some the ProCoder the Nocoders are
rejecting the mold they fit so well into. I would love to see a system
where there were severlal possible tracks to advance (asumming we stay
with something like the incentive license system the current system
and any likely future of it ivolves tring to make us all the same
which we never were and will be less and less of in the future. Trying
to dicuss my efforts and sucesses and failures with EME ops is like
trying to speak in sancript to crowd speaking latin
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5549. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-18 12:43:02 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161175382.907853.310250@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5129
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Slow Code wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> > > news:1161028898.573069.307150@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > > But if you and Markie ever get full HF privledges, God & Hiram Help us.
> > >
> > Why? Do you fear us working out of band Frenchmen? We could do that
> > just as well on 6 Meters.

> BB I belieeve you have mentioned passing a code test at some point that
> would allow you fullaccess to hf today I could look up your license or
> you could tell me

I passed the Novice exam in Nov 1986. 5WPM. I'm presently a General,
so I'm fully qualified to work out of band Frenchmen on 6M or on HF.
Maybe they'll even put me in for the ARRL's A-1 Operator Club.

5550. Re: Best Programming Software for Bearcat Scanners ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-18 13:31:12 UTC
From: "John D. Lucas" <jdlucas@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <q0qZg.48996$tT6.43734@bignews7.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 5130
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Mike,

I have been using ScanControl by 3D Technologies latest ver. 5.2.3 on my BC296D and is a great program and easy to learn. I believe they offer a free trial download and if you purchase well worth the $$$.

John...

Miami, FL
"Good Ol Dad" <bigmike@justboystoys.com> wrote in message news:vjq7j29t2me3ssm5c8v600athl9evgt0bq@4ax.com...
> HI All,
>
> What is the best programming software for Beacat Scanners these
> days?
>
> I have a BC 898T and a BC245XLT that I can't seem to figure out
> the trunktracker programming on.
>
> So, I was told a scanner programming software will do it for me via
> a serial connection my computer.
>
> Who has had good results with such software and where can I locate
> it.
>
> Thanks in advance,
> Mike - FL

5551. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-18 16:33:09 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1161189189.793937.166930@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5131
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> > > Sure - but the results may be very different. I doubt FCC is too
> > > worried about the amateur community as "the public". They're too busy
> > > dealing with wardrobe malfunctions and Howard Stern wannabes.
> >
> > That sort of stuff is handled by the upper-level politically sensitive
> > types at the FCC, our fates are crafted by the OET jorneymen. The BPL
> > flap excepted.
>
> Maybe. If ya think BPL isn't political, ya haven't been paying
> attention.

?? . . that's why I stated "the BPL flap excepted".

> > > > By now they've long since
> > > > gotten wise to Anderson's childish antics and his "comments" just get
> > > > rubber-stamped "READ" and tossed into the outbox without further ado.
> > >
> > > They gotta accept 'em and read 'em. They don't have to act on them.
> >
> > Who audits this process to make sure they all get "equal reads" and
> > consideration? Where's the published policies on the topic? Answer:
> > There ain't neither. You can fill in the rest.
>
> They do read all of the comments.

Highly unlikely particulary in cases like Anderson's nonsense *and*
I'll only believe it when I see it. Unless you gots documentation to
the contrary or you've been there yourself.

> What they conclude is another matter.

Yeah, they conclude on sight that they're not gonna actually read his
crap . .

> > Then he's even more off the wall than I've given him credit for.
>
> Not my problem. Not yours, either.

That I agree with.

> > > FCC could have just dropped Element 1 in August 2003. Memorandum Report
> > > and Order, coupla paragraphs and done. All they'd have to do is say

> > > that the issue was thoroughly discussed before the 2000 restructuring,
> > > and the treaty was the only reason they kept Element 1.
> > >
> > > But they didn't, and now it's almost 3-1/2 years later.
> >
> > (a) They're toying with us for jollies.
> > (b) They know it doesn't matter one way or another.
> > (c) They're internally deadlocked on the subject just like we are.
> > (d) It'll show up in Omnibus II.
>
> I'd say (a) and (b), plus a bit of
>
> (e) They are ticked off at the 18 petitions, lack of consensus and
> mountains of commentary.

I doubt that there's much (e) involved, they've been patrolling up and down our back road in the RF wilderness for decades and they're quite used to it. Used to us and our level of noisy BS. In any event thee and me are bulletproof, we're 20WPM Extras so I could care less when they bite the bullet and do whatever they're gonna do about the code test.

'Course I thought I was bulletproof in 1967 too when I had a General .
. .

> > > But that makes no difference when it comes to getting what we want out
> > > of FCC. I think we'd do a lot better to get a consensus *before*
> > > deluging them with proposals and comments, that's all.
>
> > Idealism and standard motherhood get you nowhere.
>
> I'm not saying it'll ever happen, just that it explains why it takes so
> long to get anything from FCC.

Eternity is not a particulary long time in gummint buracracies like the FCC. Been there, been part of it.

> and why the result is so unlike what is
> proposed.

. . . "Mother knows best" . . .

Somebody wrote in a post in QRZ.com that they'd been in direct e-mail contact with the FCC about the anomalies and confusion in the R&O and the FCC agreed that the R&O needs more work before it goes to the Register. That'll push implemetation of a cleaned-up version out until God knows when. Reset: Game started over.

I noticed in another of your posts that you didn't understand why the 80M CW band and 75M phone band are called what they are. Or something akin to that. It's an ancient convention which is conceptually useful in a number of ways and is not even close to "numerically rigorous". It's a simplistic way of identifying the ham bands and nothing more.

The real numbers go like this:

3.5 Mhz = 86M
3.75 Mhz = 80M
3.8M hz = 79M
4.0 Mhz = 75M
7.0 Mhz = 42.8M
7.5 Mhz = 40M
14 Mhz = 21.4M
15 Mhz = 20M

. . all I care about is that when I punch the button which sez "80" I hear a lotta beeping going on and when I hit the button marked "75" it's the motormouths at play . .

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

5552. Re: Village Voice 'Planespotting' article -- U-2s, Rendition flights, et al

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-18 23:58:19 UTC
From: "renaborney@aol.com" <renaborney@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161215899.131690.319170@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5132
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.misc

Ancient_Hacker wrote:
> The journalism looks kinda dubious... I don't know much about U2's, but
> I do know they climb at a 40 to 60 degree angle-- which doesnt match
> with what the article and Ray claim.
>
> .
SNIP

1) You have to understand that the U-2 is a bogey-man to the certain
denizens of the Left

"Gsrsh, Martha, it be a SPYPLANE !!"

No just a very specialized recon aircraft -clearl;y marked as USAF for
many years

2) It sure hurts the story if you have to say, "No just another C-17"

3) Ever since Truman Capote's "In Cold Blood" set the style, the so
called "new Journalsim., wherer authors have been mixing fact with
"what should have heppened" .....well, if we didn't spot a U-2 today,
"Ray" says he has in the past, so I can inject it into the story

"In Cold Blood (1965), his account of a mass murder in Kansas, is what
Capote called a "nonfiction novel," a book that employs the
conventions of fiction to tell a true story. Thanks to this book,
Capote has come to be considered one of the pioneers of a movement that
Tom Wolfe would later call "New Journalism."

5553. CB like a contest and whoever puts out the shittiest wins?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 00:10:40 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <4ozZg.8939$Lv3.6781@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

What's so good about that? What don't you Ten-Niners try to have a contest to see who can put out a really good signal? or is that to much work?

SC

5554. CW isn't preventing the modernization of ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 00:10:41 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <5ozZg.8940$Lv3.5959@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5134
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.swap

New Hams just don't want to modernize, they're lazy and don't want to have to learn anything. I'm a 20 wpm Extra and the code requirement didn't stop me from modernizing beyond a microphone and CW. So don't believe anyone that says CW is killing ham radio. They're just sick, lame or Lazy.

SC

5555. Re: Here the R&O-- FCC if interested.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 00:10:46 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <aozZg.8944$Lv3.8635@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5135
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.usenet.kooks

bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote in
news:1161070545.110589.149070@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> john wrote:
>> Slow Code if you act like this on the radio I wouldn't want to know
>> you.
>>
>>
>
> John, the truth is if you talked to SC in person you'd drop to your
> knees trying to kiss his ass. You're a typical welfare ham. Now go play
> with your little HT, faggot!
>
>

ROFLMAO, that was good. Can you make him stop humping my leg?

SC

5556. Re: I listen to Omega One Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 00:10:49 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <dozZg.8946$Lv3.4879@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5136
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:MPG.1f9f3f85b75ed590989a4a@news-server.carolina.rr.com:

> In article <ftgXg.8076$Y24.1470@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> my.mail@no.spam says...
>> Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:MPG.1f9612a4234542aa989a40@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>
>> > In article <eACVg.5938$Y24.3847@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>> > my.mail@no.spam says...
>> >> "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> >> news:1160134904.164213.315210@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> He doesn't have intellect.
>> >> >
>> >> > Why are you answering your own sockpuppets, Sniveling Cunt?
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Mrs. Wiseman.
>> >>
>> >> You have a filthy mouth.
>> >>
>> >> SC
>> >>
>> > Pot Kettle Black!
>>
>>
>> Wrong.
>>
>> Maybe you should undo your killfilter for a day or two so you can see
>> what the filth in RRAP looks like.
>
> I'm just adding you to it. Cleans up the noise ratio on all the groups!

He's upset because he knows CW makes a valuable ham, and he couldn't cut
it. That's OK, I encouraged him to at least try to learn CW while I could
before I ended up in his killfilters...

NEXT!

SC

5557. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 00:10:53 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <hozZg.8949$Lv3.3667@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5137
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"an_odd_freak" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1161135623.020165.120820@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:

>
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Slow Code wrote:
>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> > news:1161028898.573069.307150@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>
>> > But if you and Markie ever get full HF privledges, God & Hiram Help
>> > us.
>>
>> Why? Do you fear us working out of band Frenchmen? We could do that
>> just as well on 6 Meters.
> BB I belieeve you have mentioned passing a code test at some point that
> would allow you fullaccess to hf today I could look up your license or
> you could tell me

The FCC still has the old CB calls in a database?

SC

5558. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 00:10:57 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <lozZg.8950$Lv3.141@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5138
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Jimmie D" <jimmiedee@bellsouth.net> wrote in
news:%igZg.62280$KR1.12110@bignews2.bellsouth.net:

>
> <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
> news:tk4uh2dh82m53rpoucr8nduc0ous56rf0e@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 19:27:33 -0500, Nada Tapu <user@host.domain>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 16:39:47 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>>
>>>>>>And you probably answered some theory questions about modes you'll
>>>>>>never use and formulas you'll never see again. Maybe we should just
>>>>>>eliminate the theory exam, too.
>>>
>>>>>and what do we gain by doing that it is certainly an option when
>>>>>eleimate code testing we eleimate something that makes the ARS look
>>>>>stpuid obviously we gain by that
>>>
>>>Look stupid? Oh, excuse me!
>> yes you are stupid and anothe rof the usenet cowards
>>
>>>
>>>There are a lot of people who don't want to be bothered with the
>>>theory exam, either. And when it comes right down to it, what do we
>>>really need a theory exam for?
>> you tell me
>>
>> I think it benifits the ARS by insuring that new hams reconize the
>> term and the rules involved in the ARS
>>
>> don't you think it does that?
>>
>>> Most CB'ers and electronic hobbyists
>>>have the technical expertise to put a multi-band rig and antenna on
>>>the air and start operating without any trouble at all.
>>
>> and many so Indeed we could despense with the technical question sif
>> it was found to be to our benifit
>>
>>> They feel they
>>>shouldn't need to take a test in order to do that, and a good case may
>>>be made in favor of that approach. Homebrew and experiment? Sure, why

>>>not? They can do that too. I did when I was on CB, so why can't
>>>others? Why should I take a test that includes superflous questions
>>>about operating modes and aspects of electronics and computers that I
>>>have absolutely no intention of employing in my day to day station
>>>operation?
>>>
>>>Now allow me to put on the "other hat".
>>
>> pput on such hats as you please
>>>
>>>CW is a part of amateur radio's heritage and history.
>>
>> agreed
>>>One has to
>>>embrace the past to realize where one is today.
>> that is merely one method but one is not required to emabrace the past
>> or to real;ize where we are today
>>> Having said that, CW
>>>is not an obsolete mode by any means;
>>
>> it is obslete
>>>it is timeless. It was a viable
>>>communications mode 50 years ago, it still is today, and it will still
>>>be perfectly viable 10,000 years from now.
>>
>> which does not prevent it from being oselte
>>
>> the Longbow it is still a vaible weapon today will be for some time to
>> come
>>
>> it is none the less obeslete
>>> It's spectrum efficient
>>>and highly effective under adverse conditions. So what if it happens
>>>to be dated? There is absolutlely nothing wrong with the preservation
>>>and continued use of old but perfectly good technologies.
>>
>> and I don't object to YOU doing so but I do object to your insistance
>> on public specturm being used to do as a complution on all those that
>> wish to use it
>>> It's just
>>>too bad if some operators feel that a certain operating mode reflects
>>>badly on the amateur community solely because it's been around for a
>>>long time. There is nothing "stupid" about this issue.
>>
>> what is stupid is this insistance that I must help to preserve some
>> thing that YOU value and wish preserved and that I think we would be
>> better off leting it go the way of Spark
>>>
>>>Am I supporting the elimination of the theory exam and promoting the
>>>testing of morse skills? Of course not, although I can see how one

>>>would reach that conclusion from my statements. I'm being purposely
>>>obtuse.
>> and insulting
>>> What amateur radio needs is BALANCE.
>>
>> which it will lack as long as the ARS insist on worshiping the ONE
>> mode CW above the rest of the ARS combined as the leicense system does
>> today
>>> It needs operators with
>>>a rich set of skills and traits that will set it apart from the other
>>>radio services. When those skills and traits cease to exist, the
>>>service will perish, and eventually the spectrum will be sold to the
>>>highest bidder.
>>>
>>>My $.02 Draw your own conclusions.
>> my conclusion is that you will twist truth and logic anyway you like
>> to achive your end
>>
>> for that matter so will I
>>>
>>>- - . . . . . - -
>>>
>>>NT
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>
> Indeed we could dispense with much of the technical qualifications some
> day. The technical part is basically an attempt to make sure the amatuer
> is competent enough to operate their equipment in a maner that does not
> interfere with other services outside of his designated allocation of
> spectrum, in other words, within FCC regulations. At such a time when
> all equipment is made idiot proof and all hams use store bought idiot
> proofed gear we may see this.So far the one experiment at this has
> failed. You think you have something idiot proof and then guess what,
> someone makes a better idiot. So I am not worried about requirement of
> theory going away. As far a CW is concerned to gain the privledges hams
> have today they had to show they were a national asset. Part of being
> that asset was our ability to process emergency traffic should the need
> arrive. At the time CW was needed to accomplish this. It is no longer
> needed to meet our obligation of service. OF course this begs the
> question, can we fullfil our obligation. To this I believe we can, but
> are we really needed. CW has been replaced by other technologies, it
> would make more sense to require typing skills than CW, an idea I dont
> think is so bad even though I may have trouble with twenty wpm on a
> keyboard.

If CW has been replaced by other technologies, why aren't more amateurs doing the modernized modes? It's because they don't want too. Ham radio has been dumbed down and we can't even force hams to use them to be proficient communicators.

CW isn't preventing the modernization of ham radio, Laziness is.

SC

5559. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 00:10:58 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <mozZg.8951$Lv3.7197@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5139
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1161132451.006173.281260@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> news:1161028898.573069.307150@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > Jimmie D wrote:
>> >> > >
>> >> > > Im sure the numbers would look even wose if the licenses expired
>> >> > > sooner. Most of the new hams I know lose interest in a couple of
>> >> > > years, long befor the licence expires.
>> >> >
>> >> > Those darned new hams. They never should have been licensured in
>> >> > the first place. Didn't have the ooomph to get licensed when the
>> >> > tests were harder so they weren't really that innerested in the
>> >> > first place. Didn't show the proper dedication.
>> >>
>> >> and of course none of the failure of hams to reamin hams is due to
>> >> bullshit they must endure from other hams
>> >
>> > Of course not! Ham radio is a swell fellowship of men. What you see
>> > on RRAP n't ham radio.
>>
>> But if you and Markie ever get full HF privledges, God & Hiram Help us.
>
> Why? Do you fear us working out of band Frenchmen? We could do that
> just as well on 6 Meters.

And on CB.

SC

5560. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 00:10:59 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <nozZg.8952$Lv3.6700@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5140
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
news:49d8j2h7lm1pqcc2bpt9keju598bpm1qtm@4ax.com:

> Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!
>

Thanks John.

I'm glad you enjoy my policy posts.

SC

5561. Re: Plagiarism: Is this N9OGL's, K4YZ's, or N0VFP's high school pic?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 00:11:49 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <9pzZg.8962$Lv3.4390@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5141
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
news:ecd8j29slfjrtsfop0tm1ha2qdc251bcln@4ax.com:

> Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!
>
>
>

Thanks John.

Did Markie teach you how to cut and paste? How your
CW practice coming along?

SC

5562. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 01:01:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <9jjdj2dut1jq3c103hgandn71mma950qvn@4ax.com>
Source record: 5142
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:10:53 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"an_odd_freak" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
>news:1161135623.020165.120820@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>>> > news:1161028898.573069.307150@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>>> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>> > But if you and Markie ever get full HF privledges, God & Hiram Help
>>> > us.
>>>
>>> Why? Do you fear us working out of band Frenchmen? We could do that
>>> just as well on 6 Meters.
>> BB I belieeve you have mentioned passing a code test at some point that
>> would allow you fullaccess to hf today I could look up your license or
>> you could tell me
>
>
>
>The FCC still has the old CB calls in a database?
i would not know but Brain has an HF cappable license which he is on
the loose in HF
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5563. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 01:03:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <okjdj29snd9v98mk8n41mcdjj266m52b47@4ax.com>
Source record: 5143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:10:57 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Jimmie D" <jimmiedee@bellsouth.net> wrote in
>news:%igZg.62280$KR1.12110@bignews2.bellsouth.net:
>
>>
>> <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
>> news:tk4uh2dh82m53rpoucr8nduc0ous56rf0e@4ax.com...
>>> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 19:27:33 -0500, Nada Tapu <user@host.domain>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 16:39:47 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>And you probably answered some theory questions about modes you'll
>>>>>never use and formulas you'll never see again. Maybe we should just
>>>>>eliminate the theory exam, too.
>>>>
>>>>and what do we gain by doing that it is certainly an option when
>>>>eleimate code testing we eleimate something that makes the ARS look
>>>>stpuid obviously we gain by that
>>>>
>>>>Look stupid? Oh, excuse me!
>>> yes you are stupid and anothe rof the usenet cowards
>>>
>>>>
>>>>There are a lot of people who don't want to be bothered with the
>>>>theory exam, either. And when it comes right down to it, what do we
>>>>really need a theory exam for?
>>> you tell me
>>>
>>> I think it benifits the ARS by insuring that new hams reconize the
>>> term and the rules involved in the ARS
>>>
>>> don't you think it does that?
>>>
>>>> Most CB'ers and electronic hobbyists
>>>>have the technical expertise to put a multi-band rig and antenna on
>>>>the air and start operating without any trouble at all.
>>>
>>> and many so Indeed we could despense with the technical question sif
>>> it was found to be to our benifit
>>>
>>>> They feel they

>>>>shouldn't need to take a test in order to do that, and a good case may
>>>>be made in favor of that approach. Homebrew and experiment? Sure, why
>>>>not? They can do that too. I did when I was on CB, so why can't
>>>>others? Why should I take a test that includes superflous questions
>>>>about operating modes and aspects of electronics and computers that I
>>>>have absolutely no intention of employing in my day to day station
>>>>operation?
>>>>
>>>>Now allow me to put on the "other hat".
>>>
>>> pput on such hats as you please
>>>>
>>>>CW is a part of amateur radio's heritage and history.
>>>
>>> agreed
>>>>One has to
>>>>embrace the past to realize where one is today.
>>> that is merely one method but one is not required to emabrace the past
>>> or to real;ize where we are today
>>>> Having said that, CW
>>>>is not an obsolete mode by any means;
>>>
>>> it is obslete
>>>>it is timeless. It was a viable
>>>>communications mode 50 years ago, it still is today, and it will still
>>>>be perfectly viable 10,000 years from now.
>>>
>>> which does not prevent it from being oselte
>>>
>>> the Longbow it is still a vaible weapon today will be for some time to
>>> come
>>>
>>> it is none the less obeslete
>>>> It's spectrum efficient
>>>>and highly effective under adverse conditions. So what if it happens
>>>>to be dated? There is absolutlely nothing wrong with the preservation
>>>>and continued use of old but perfectly good technologies.
>>>
>>> and I don't object to YOU doing so but I do object to your insistance
>>> on public specturm being used to do as a complution on all those that
>>> wish to use it
>>>> It's just
>>>>too bad if some operators feel that a certain operating mode reflects
>>>>badly on the amateur community solely because it's been around for a
>>>>long time. There is nothing "stupid" about this issue.
>>>
>>> what is stupid is this insistance that I must help to preserve some
>>> thing that YOU value and wish preserved and that I think we would be
>>> better off leting it go the way of Spark
>>>>

>>>>Am I supporting the elimination of the theory exam and promoting the
>>>>testing of morse skills? Of course not, although I can see how one
>>>>would reach that conclusion from my statements. I'm being purposely
>>>>obtuse.
>>> and insulting
>>>> What amateur radio needs is BALANCE.
>>>
>>> which it will lack as long as the ARS insist on worshiping the ONE
>>> mode CW above the rest of the ARS combined as the leicense system does
>>> today
>>>> It needs operators with
>>>>a rich set of skills and traits that will set it apart from the other
>>>>radio services. When those skills and traits cease to exist, the
>>>>service will perish, and eventually the spectrum will be sold to the
>>>>highest bidder.
>>>>
>>>>My $.02 Draw your own conclusions.
>>> my conclusion is that you will twist truth and logic anyway you like
>>> to achive your end
>>>
>>> for that matter so will I
>>>>
>>>>- - . . . . . - -
>>>>
>>>>NT
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>
>>> --
>>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>>
>>
>> Indeed we could dispense with much of the technical qualifications some
>> day. The technical part is basically an attempt to make sure the amatuer
>> is competent enough to operate their equipment in a maner that does not
>> interfere with other services outside of his designated allocation of
>> spectrum, in other words, within FCC regulations. At such a time when
>> all equipment is made idiot proof and all hams use store bought idiot
>> proofed gear we may see this.So far the one experiment at this has
>> failed. You think you have something idiot proof and then guess what,
>> someone makes a better idiot. So I am not worried about requirement of
>> theory going away. As far a CW is concerned to gain the privledges hams
>> have today they had to show they were a national asset. Part of being
>> that asset was our ability to process emergency traffic should the need
>> arrive. At the time CW was needed to accomplish this. It is no longer
>> needed to meet our obligation of service. OF course this begs the
>> question, can we fullfil our obligation. To this I believe we can, but
>> are we really needed. CW has been replaced by other technologies, it
>> would make more sense to require typing skills than CW, an idea I dont
>> think is so bad even though I may have trouble with twenty wpm on a
>> keyboard.

>
>
>If CW has been replaced by other technologies, why aren't more amateurs
>doing the modernized modes?
becuase the are turely antitech luddites like yourself
> It's because they don't want too.
that is there choice
> Ham radio
>has been dumbed down and we can't even force hams to use them to be
>proficient communicators.

"froce" you advocate force to compeel ARS ops to do as you think best
>
>CW isn't preventing the modernization of ham radio, Laziness is.
and CW is laziness
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5564. Re: I listen to Omega One Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 01:03:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <8njdj21838japnitd3tgfo9vjl8lko6kql@4ax.com>
Source record: 5144
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:10:49 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:MPG.1f9f3f85b75ed590989a4a@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>
>> In article <ftgXg.8076$Y24.1470@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>> my.mail@no.spam says...
>>> Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> news:MPG.1f9612a4234542aa989a40@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>>
>>> > In article <eACVg.5938$Y24.3847@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>>> > my.mail@no.spam says...
>>> >> "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> >> news:1160134904.164213.315210@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>>> >>
>>> >> >
>>> >> > Slow Code wrote:
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> He doesn't have intellect.
>>> >> >
>>> >> > Why are you answering your own sockpuppets, Sniveling Cunt?
>>> >> >
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >> Mrs. Wiseman.
>>> >>
>>> >> You have a filthy mouth.
>>> >>
>>> >> SC
>>> >>
>>> > Pot Kettle Black!
>>>
>>>
>>> Wrong.
>>>
>>> Maybe you should undo your killfilter for a day or two so you can see
>>> what the filth in RRAP looks like.
>>
>> I'm just adding you to it. Cleans up the noise ratio on all the groups!
>
>
>
>He's upset because he knows CW makes a valuable ham,

no he know you are no good coawrdly troll
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5565. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 01:04:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <gpjdj2tbf3utl2i6ump09jrvmp59fn1429@4ax.com>
Source record: 5145
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:10:59 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
>news:49d8j2h7lm1pqcc2bpt9keju598bpm1qtm@4ax.com:
>
>> Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!
>>
>
>
>
>Thanks John.
>
>I'm glad you enjoy my policy posts.
cw has clearly fried your brain
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5566. Re: Plagiarism: Is this N9OGL's, K4YZ's, or N0VFP's high school pic?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 01:07:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <5vjdj2pmdqoobr7a1r84f17qkgl0kdni3j@4ax.com>
Source record: 5146
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:11:49 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
>news:ecd8j29slfjrtsfop0tm1ha2qdc251bcln@4ax.com:
>
>> Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>Thanks John.
>
>Did Markie teach you how to cut and paste? How your
>CW practice coming along?
why practice CW at all?
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5567. Re: Here the R&O-- FCC if interested.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 01:08:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <f0kdj2pv2g0g72jsjn7nn5jd4mcu5srm6t@4ax.com>
Source record: 5147
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:10:46 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote in
>news:1161070545.110589.149070@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> john wrote:
>>> Slow Code if you act like this on the radio I wouldn't want to know
>>> you.
>>>
>>>
>>
>> John, the truth is if you talked to SC in person you'd drop to your
>> knees trying to kiss his ass. You're a typical welfare ham. Now go play
>> with your little HT, faggot!
>>
>>
>
>
>ROFLMAO, that was good.
get help I mean it truly
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5568. more shit from slow code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 01:16:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <jdkdj2hjfu2i8gtglq9ut6dl6vpqq9gtc9@4ax.com>
Source record: 5148
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:10:41 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>New Hams just don't want to modernize
no it is the old that don't want to modernize
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5569. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 01:21:19 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1161220879.494759.251950@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5149
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> > > > > By now they've long since
> > > > > gotten wise to Anderson's childish antics and his "comments" just get
> > > > > rubber-stamped "READ" and tossed into the outbox without further ado.
> > > > >
> > > > > They gotta accept 'em and read 'em. They don't have to act on them.
> > > >
> > > > Who audits this process to make sure they all get "equal reads" and
> > > > consideration? Where's the published policies on the topic? Answer:
> > > > There ain't neither. You can fill in the rest.
> > >
> > > They do read all of the comments.
> >
> > Highly unlikely particulary in cases like Anderson's nonsense *and*
> > I'll only believe it when I see it. Unless you gots documentation to
> > the contrary or you've been there yourself.

Yer missing the point.

Somebody at FCC reads 'em. That's all.

My guess is that the FCC doesn't even start reading the comments until
after the comments close. Then they sort them by commenter, because
some folks send multiple copies and there's no point reading the same
thing more than once.

Then, I think, somebody goes through them weeding out the obvious
cranks and fakes, as well as the dupes. Also, they probably pile up the
simple "rubber stamp" ones ("I agree with ARRl/NCI/NCVEC").

What's left are the ones that need a bit of serious reading. Much
smaller pile. You think Len's stuff makes it to that pile?

> > What they conclude is another matter.
>
> Yeah, they conclude on sight that they're not gonna actually read his
> crap . .

All I'm saying is that they read it. Taking it seriously is another
thing...

> > > Then he's even more off the wall than I've given him credit for.
> >
> > Not my problem. Not yours, either.
>
> That I agree with.

Ya broke da code.
>
> > > > FCC could have just dropped Element 1 in August 2003. Memorandum Report
> > > > and Order, coupla paragraphs and done. All they'd have to do is say
> > > > that the issue was thoroughly discussed before the 2000 restructuring,
> > > > and the treaty was the only reason they kept Element 1.
> > > >
> > > > But they didn't, and now it's almost 3-1/2 years later.
> > >
> > > (a) They're toying with us for jollies.
> > > (b) They know it doesn't matter one way or another.
> > > (c) They're internally deadlocked on the subject just like we are.
> > > (d) It'll show up in Omnibus II.
> >
> > I'd say (a) and (b), plus a bit of
> >
> > (e) They are ticked off at the 18 petitions, lack of consensus and
> > mountains of commentary.
>
> I doubt that there's much (e) involved, they've been patrolling up and
> down our back road in the RF wilderness for decades and they're quite
> used to it. Used to us and our level of noisy BS.

Today's FCC isn't the FCC of 20-30-40 years ago.

> In any event thee and
> me are bulletproof, we're 20WPM Extras so I could care less when they
> bite the bullet and do whatever they're gonna do about the code test.

Code test is a completely different issue.

I used to think the code test thing was a slam dunk. Maybe it still is.
But the fact that FCC has taken so long so far makes me wonder. For all
we know, they could keep it just because. Or they could do what Canada
did. Or something else.
>
> 'Course I thought I was bulletproof in 1967 too when I had a General .
> . .

Heck I was just a Novice and I saw it coming. I wondered what all the
fuss was about. I still do.
>
> > > > But that makes no difference when it comes to getting what we want out
> > > > of FCC. I think we'd do a lot better to get a consensus *before*
> > > > deluging them with proposals and comments, that's all.
> >
> > > Idealism and standard motherhood get you nowhere.

> >
> > I'm not saying it'll ever happen, just that it explains why it takes so
> > long to get anything from FCC.
>
> Eternity is not a particulary long time in gummint buracracies like the
> FCC. Been there, been part of it.

Good point. Why should they hurry?

> > and why the result is so unlike what is
> > proposed.
>
> . . . "Mother knows best" . . .
>
> Somebody wrote in a post in QRZ.com that they'd been in direct e-mail
> contact with the FCC about the anomalies and confusion in the R&O and
> the FCC agreed that the R&O needs more work before it goes to the
> Register. That'll push implemetation of a cleaned-up version out until
> God knows when. Reset: Game started over.

Roger that! The whole thing may have been a bit of a mind-game. ARRL
gripes, so they put out a messy R&O that makes ARRL look bad.
>
> I noticed in another of your posts that you didn't understand why the
> 80M CW band and 75M phone band are called what they are. Or something
> akin to that.

I was asking why FCC treats them as two separate bands in Part 97,
that's all.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5570. Re: CB like a contest and whoever puts out the shittiest wins?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 01:23:46 UTC
From: Thats Right_ 20wpm <20_wpm_Extra@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <rskdj296ov6hhaqhvdejgj7lh3bt9br66a@4ax.com>
Source record: 5150
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code is the kind of guy that everybodys hates on the air. He is
the Jammer because no one listens to him.

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:10:40 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>What's so good about that? What don't you Ten-Niners try to have a
>contest to see who can put out a really good signal? or is that to much
>work?
>
>SC

5571. Re: CW isn't preventing the modernization of ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 01:23:58 UTC
From: Thats Right_ 20wpm <20_wpm_Extra@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <8tkdj2lpncu2fsgvgarns76v1bimb9kr9f@4ax.com>
Source record: 5051
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code is the kind of guy that everybodys hates on the air. He is
the Jammer because no one listens to him.

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:10:41 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>New Hams just don't want to modernize, they're lazy and don't want to have
>to learn anything. I'm a 20 wpm Extra and the code requirement didn't
>stop me from modernizing beyond a microphone and CW. So don't believe
>anyone that says CW is killing ham radio. They're just sick, lame or
>Lazy.
>
>SC

5572. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 01:24:10 UTC
From: Thats Right_ 20wpm <20_wpm_Extra@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <itkdj2p4lmpjhmu08v6sufmppio4hc5it2@4ax.com>
Source record: 5052
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code is the kind of guy that everybodys hates on the air. He is
the Jammer because no one listens to him.

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:10:59 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
>news:49d8j2h7lm1pqcc2bpt9keju598bpm1qtm@4ax.com:
>
>> Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!
>>
>
>
>
>Thanks John.
>
>I'm glad you enjoy my policy posts.
>
>SC

5573. Re: Plagiarism: Is this N9OGL's, K4YZ's, or N0VFP's high school pic?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 01:25:02 UTC
From: Thats Right_ 20wpm <20_wpm_Extra@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <8vkdj2his390hb6c0glokbqchcvv4ppod3@4ax.com>
Source record: 5053
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code is the kind of guy that everybodys hates on the air. He is
the Jammer because no one listens to him.

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:11:49 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
>news:ecd8j29slfjrtsfop0tm1ha2qdc251bcln@4ax.com:
>
>> Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>Thanks John.
>
>Did Markie teach you how to cut and paste? How your
>CW practice coming along?
>
>SC

5574. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 03:01:22 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1161226881.975499.218860@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5054
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

From: Jimmie D on Tues, Oct 17 2006 7:46 pm

><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>>>On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:

>>>>no slow code the number are down because with Code testing looks so
>>>>stpupid
>
>>>The numbers are down for a variety of reasons, but I suspect that
>>>computers and the internet are the major factors, not the CW
>>>requirement.
>
>> The ready-built Personal Computer first appeared in 1976,
>> 30 years ago (the "IBM PC" debuted in 1980, 26 years ago).
>> The Internet went public in 1991, 15 years ago.
>> "Restructuring" to drop the morse test rate to 5 WPM
>> for all such tests happened only 6 years ago.
>
>> The peak licensing of 737,938 happened on 2 Jul 03, just
>> 3 years ago. [they've been dropping at an average of 7K
>> per year ever since]
>
>> I disagree on your reasons stated in your quote above.
>
>>>When I ask technical people about why they haven't
>>>acquired an interest in amateur radio, I never get the CW requirement
>>>as a response.
>
>> Strange, I hear that response. Having been IN radio-
>> electronics for over a half century, I DO know some
>> "technical people." :-)
>
>> Manual radiotelegraphy was a MUST to use early radio
>> as a communications medium. The technology of early
>> radio was primitive, simple, and not yet developed.
>> On-off keying was the ONLY practical way to make it
>> possible to communicate. Morse code was then already
>> mature and a new branch of communications was open
>> to use by downsized landline telegraphers.
>
>>> They simply view the whole service as outmoded in the
>>>face of modern telecommunications.
>

>> PART of that IS true. NOT all of it.
>
>> What IS outmoded (technically) is sitting only on HF
>> and "working" other stations with morse radiotelegraphy.
>> Amateur radio is the ONLY radio service still using
>> morse radiotelegraphy for communications purposes.
>
>> Another thing outmoded is the strict "necessity" to use
>> a formalism in "procedure" AS IF it was "professional"
>> radio. That formalism was established between 50 to 70
>> years ago. Amateur radio, by definition, is NOT
>> professional. Too many olde-tymers want to PRETEND
>> they are pros in front of their ham rigs.
>
>> But, there is still an enormous area of the EM spectrum
>> that is still open for experimentation, for just the fun
>> of doing something out of the ordinary above 30 MHz.
>> That can be a very different RF environment, much much
>> different than the technology available in the 20s and
>> 30s. It has exciting possibilities...except for the
>> rutted and mired olde-tymers unable to keep up with new
>> things, secure in their own dreams of youth and simple
>> technological environment.
>
>>>Let's face it.. the romance is gone.
>
>> Oh, boo hoo...the "romance" of the 1930s is gone? Yes,
>> it IS. The "pioneering of the airwaves" below 30 MHz
>> has been DONE...mostly by the pros of radio (despite what
>> the ARRL claims). DONE a long time ago. The solid-state
>> era came into being about 45 years ago and has
>> revolutionized ALL electronics (radio is a subset of that).
>
>> Except as memorabilia trinkets of the past, GONE is the
>> analog VFO, GONE is the one-tube regenerative receiver,
>> GONE is the single-crystal-single-frequency Tx, GONE is
>> the big, bulky AM modulator amplifier, GONE is the not-
>> knowing-when-the-bands-are-open (solar activity and
>> ionosonding solved that and HF MUF is a predictable
>> item that can be found by a computer program). Except
>> for the boatanchor afficionados, vacuum tubes are GONE
>> for nearly everything but high-power transmitters.
>
>> The radio world of today is NOT that of 1950, nor of
>> 1960, nor 1970, nor even 1980s. It keeps changing,
>> advancing, the state of the art never static. For the
>> stuck-in-the-mud olde tymers that is terrible...they
>> feel insecure on not being able to keep up, become
>> aggressive to newcomers ("no kids, lids or space
>> cadets") and retreat to the "secure" mode of their

>> youth, "CW." But, they want to make sure They get
>> the respect they feel they've "earned" (as if) so
>> they try and try and try to bring all down to THEIR
>> level...the code test MUST stay..."because."
>
>> There are 100 million two-way radios in use in the USA
>> alone, millions more in other countries. Those are the
>> cellular telephones. There are millions of VHF and UHF
>> transceivers in the USA, working daily for public
>> safety agencies, ships, private boats, air carriers as
>> well as private airplanes. There are tens of thousands
>> of HF transceivers in use in the USA, users being
>> everyone from government agencies to private boat
>> owners, ALL exclusive of amateur radio users. Where is
>> the "romance" in all this Plenty from a cornucopia that
>> all have grabbed? It is GONE, yes.
>
>> But, NEW "romances" await. DIFFERENT ones, I'd say a
>> helluva lot more complex than old, simple "radio." We
>> can't relive old "romances" except in our minds and we
>> can't grow physically younger. Only person-to-person
>> romance is TRUE, the other "romance" is of the
>> imagination, of the fantasy of what was once there.
>> This fantasy "romance" can't be brought back. It can't
>> be legislated into remaining static. The rules and
>> regulations have to change to keep up with the NOW.
>
>Total agreement here, our obligation of service to to earn our privlegdes
>doesnt end with what we have done but with what we have done lately.

Sorry, but I see absolutely NO "obligation to perform
some service [to the nation or community]." That is one
of the myths promulgated by the ARRL and its followers.

The word "service" used by the FCC all throughout Title
47 C.F.R., all Parts, is a regulatory term referring to
a type and kind of radio activity being regulated.
[see Citizens Band Radio SERVICE or Radio Control Radio
SERVICE as two examples in Part 95] Also, as Cecil Moore
mentioned, the government is doing its citizens a service,
NOT the other way around.

If an individual WANTS to VOLUNTARILY perform some service,
then excellent. There is NO "obligation" to do so unless
there is some law requiring it. Personally, I think all
citizens of the USA should do at least one term of Jury
Service. In California there is a state law that eligible
citizens shall serve, for a time and times as stated by
law. [I've done five terms of Jury Service here] Anyone
who WANTS to voluntarily sit in on a court is allowed to
(with some special conditions not permitting certain

trials). Those spectators are NOT obligated to do so.

USA amateur radio service is a VOLUNTARY activity. It is an avocation, not an occupation. In other words it is a HOBBY. It's a fine hobby, tens of thousands of citizens engaged in it. But, it is still a HOBBY. It is NOT "essential" for the good of the nation. It is high time that everyone quit dreaming about imaginary glory of "serving the community" through amateur radio...time to look at what it IS in the real world.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

5575. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 03:14:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <p1rdj29mprl4sfu14ceobj8c803te1f7q4@4ax.com>
Source record: 5055
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 18 Oct 2006 20:01:22 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>From: Jimmie D on Tues, Oct 17 2006 7:46 pm
>
>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>>>>On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
>
>>>>>no slow code the number are down because with Code testing looks so
>>>>>stpupid
>>
>>>>The numbers are down for a variety of reasons, but I suspect that
>>>>computers and the internet are the major factors, not the CW
>>>>requirement.
>>
>>> The ready-built Personal Computer first appeared in 1976,
>>> 30 years ago (the "IBM PC" debuted in 1980, 26 years ago).
>>> The Internet went public in 1991, 15 years ago.
>>> "Restructuring" to drop the morse test rate to 5 WPM
>>> for all such tests happened only 6 years ago.
>>
>>> The peak licensing of 737,938 happened on 2 Jul 03, just
>>> 3 years ago. [they've been dropping at an average of 7K
>>> per year ever since]
>>
>>> I disagree on your reasons stated in your quote above.
>>
>>>>When I ask technical people about why they haven't
>>>>acquired an interest in amateur radio, I never get the CW requirement
>>>>as a response.
>>
>>> Strange, I hear that response. Having been IN radio-
>>> electronics for over a half century, I DO know some
>>> "technical people." :-)
>>
>>> Manual radiotelegraphy was a MUST to use early radio
>>> as a communications medium. The technology of early
>>> radio was primitive, simple, and not yet developed.
>>> On-off keying was the ONLY practical way to make it
>>> possible to communicate. Morse code was then already
>>> mature and a new branch of communications was open

>>> to use by downsized landline telegraphers.
>>
>>>> They simply view the whole service as outmoded in the
>>>>face of modern telecommunications.
>>
>>> PART of that IS true. NOT all of it.
>>
>>> What IS outmoded (technically) is sitting only on HF
>>> and "working" other stations with morse radiotelegraphy.
>>> Amateur radio is the ONLY radio service still using
>>> morse radiotelegraphy for communications purposes.
>>
>>> Another thing outmoded is the strict "necessity" to use
>>> a formalism in "procedure" AS IF it was "professional"
>>> radio. That formalism was established between 50 to 70
>>> years ago. Amateur radio, by definition, is NOT
>>> professional. Too many olde-tymers want to PRETEND
>>> they are pros in front of their ham rigs.
>>
>>> But, there is still an enormous area of the EM spectrum
>>> that is still open for experimentation, for just the fun
>>> of doing something out of the ordinary above 30 MHz.
>>> That can be a very different RF environment, much much
>>> different than the technology available in the 20s and
>>> 30s. It has exciting possibilities...except for the
>>> rutted and mired olde-tymers unable to keep up with new
>>> things, secure in their own dreams of youth and simple
>>> technological environment.
>>
>>>>Let's face it.. the romance is gone.
>>
>>> Oh, boo hoo...the "romance" of the 1930s is gone? Yes,
>>> it IS. The "pioneering of the airwaves" below 30 MHz
>>> has been DONE...mostly by the pros of radio (despite what
>>> the ARRL claims). DONE a long time ago. The solid-state
>>> era came into being about 45 years ago and has
>>> revolutionized ALL electronics (radio is a subset of that).
>>
>>> Except as memorabilia trinkets of the past, GONE is the
>>> analog VFO, GONE is the one-tube regenerative receiver,
>>> GONE is the single-crystal-single-frequency Tx, GONE is
>>> the big, bulky AM modulator amplifier, GONE is the not-
>>> knowing-when-the-bands-are-open (solar activity and
>>> ionosonding solved that and HF MUF is a predictable
>>> item that can be found by a computer program). Except
>>> for the boatanchor afficionados, vacuum tubes are GONE
>>> for nearly everything but high-power transmitters.
>>
>>> The radio world of today is NOT that of 1950, nor of
>>> 1960, nor 1970, nor even 1980s. It keeps changing,

>>> advancing, the state of the art never static. For the
>>> stuck-in-the-mud olde tymers that is terrible...they
>>> feel insecure on not being able to keep up, become
>>> aggressive to newcomers ("no kids, lids or space
>>> cadets") and retreat to the "secure" mode of their
>>> youth, "CW." But, they want to make sure They get
>>> the respect they feel they've "earned" (as if) so
>>> they try and try and try to bring all down to THEIR
>>> level...the code test MUST stay..."because."
>>
>>> There are 100 million two-way radios in use in the USA
>>> alone, millions more in other countries. Those are the
>>> cellular telephones. There are millions of VHF and UHF
>>> transceivers in the USA, working daily for public
>>> safety agencies, ships, private boats, air carriers as
>>> well as private airplanes. There are tens of thousands
>>> of HF transceivers in use in the USA, users being
>>> everyone from government agencies to private boat
>>> owners, ALL exclusive of amateur radio users. Where is
>>> the "romance" in all this Plenty from a cornucopia that
>>> all have grabbed? It is GONE, yes.
>>
>>> But, NEW "romances" await. DIFFERENT ones, I'd say a
>>> helluva lot more complex than old, simple "radio." We
>>> can't relive old "romances" except in our minds and we
>>> can't grow physically younger. Only person-to-person
>>> romance is TRUE, the other "romance" is of the
>>> imagination, of the fantasy of what was once there.
>>> This fantasy "romance" can't be brought back. It can't
>>> be legislated into remaining static. The rules and
>>> regulations have to change to keep up with the NOW.
>>
>>Total agreement here, our obligation of service to to earn our privlegdes
>>doesnt end with what we have done but with what we have done lately.
>
> Sorry, but I see absolutely NO "obligation to perform
> some service [to the nation or community]." That is one
> of the myths promulgated by the ARRL and its followers.

you left out something there is one other type of service to oneself

I do think we are obligated to preform one type of service and
learning something counts

additional in an emergency if we find we can be a of service I'd say
we have a moral duty to serve as humans and citizens and our radios
give ways not avalable to many

another type of real service we can perfrom (although some hams like
Robeson seem unable to do so) is simply one to one diplomacy

I know during the elian Matter I enjyed tlaking abit to few cubans
(helped that I was vsiting florida for part of that it drew an
interesting response from them when I said something like you know if
the case were of people totaly within the USA the father would have to
go the florida himself most likely if he wanted his kid back and
givent he US does not trust the cuban govt...
>
> The word "service" used by the FCC all throughout Title
> 47 C.F.R., all Parts, is a regulatory term referring to
> a type and kind of radio activity being regulated.
> [see Citizens Band Radio SERVICE or Radio Control Radio
> SERVICE as two examples in Part 95] Also, as Cecil Moore
> mentioned, the government is doing its citizens a service,
> NOT the other way around.
>
> If an individual WANTS to VOLUNTARILY perform some service,
> then excellent. There is NO "obligation" to do so unless
> there is some law requiring it. Personally, I think all
> citizens of the USA should do at least one term of Jury
> Service. In California there is a state law that eligible
> citizens shall serve, for a time and times as stated by
> law. [I've done five terms of Jury Service here] Anyone
> who WANTS to voluntarily sit in on a court is allowed to
> (with some special conditions not permitting certain
> trials). Those spectators are NOT obligated to do so.
>
> USA amateur radio service is a VOLUNTARY activity. It is
> an avocation, not an occupation. In other words it is a
> HOBBY. It's a fine hobby, tens of thousands of citizens
> engaged in it. But, it is still a HOBBY. It is NOT
> "essential" for the good of the nation. It is high time
> that everyone quit dreaming about imaginary glory of
> "serving the community" through amateur radio...time to
> look at what it IS in the real world.

I agree it is not esssentcail to the nation although it is often
helpfull to nation excetra
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5576. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 04:32:48 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1161232368.347961.163020@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5056
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> > > They do read all of the comments.
> >
> > Highly unlikely particulary in cases like Anderson's nonsense *and*
> > I'll only believe it when I see it. Unless you gots documentation to
> > the contrary or you've been there yourself.
>
> Yer missing the point.
>
> *Somebody* at FCC reads 'em. That's all.

Some of course but like I sed I'll believe it when I see it in many
cases.

> My guess is that the FCC doesn't even start reading the comments until
> after the comments close. Then they sort them by commenter, because
> some folks send multiple copies and there's no point reading the same
> thing more than once.
>
> Then, I think, somebody goes through them weeding out the obvious
> cranks and fakes, as well as the dupes. Also, they probably pile up the
> simple "rubber stamp" ones ("I agree with ARRl/NCI/NCVEC").
>
> What's left are the ones that need a bit of serious reading.

Seems probable.

> Much
> smaller pile. You think Len's stuff makes it to that pile?

Scan, identify commenter, flick his garbage on sight . .

> > > > Then he's even more off the wall than I've given him credit for.
> > >
> > > Not my problem. Not yours, either.
> >
> > That I agree with.
>
> Ya broke da code.

You'd think he wouldn't bend over so far backward to make such an ass
of himself in public but nah . .

> > > (e) They are ticked off at the 18 petitions, lack of consensus and
> > > mountains of commentary.
> >
> > I doubt that there's much (e) involved, they've been patrolling up and
> > down our back road in the RF wilderness for decades and they're quite
> > used to it. Used to us and our level of noisy BS.
>
> Today's FCC isn't the FCC of 20-30-40 years ago.

Neither are we and neither is ham radio. But don't underestimate their
grasp on history and current realities and the relationships between
the two.

> > In any event thee and
> > me are bulletproof, we're 20WPM Extras so I could care less when they
> > bite the bullet and do whatever they're gonna do about the code test.
>
> Code test is a completely different issue.

Not when it comes to the likelihood of ever having to take another code
test to upgrade in order to retain our operating privleges.

> I used to think the code test thing was a slam dunk. Maybe it still is.
> But the fact that FCC has taken so long so far makes me wonder. For all
> we know, they could keep it just because. Or they could do what Canada
> did. Or something else.

Or they could simply sit on it forever which would be the really easy
way out of that swamp.

> > 'Course I thought I was bulletproof in 1967 too when I had a General .
> > . .
>
> Heck I was just a Novice and I saw it coming. I wondered what all the
> fuss was about. I still do.

I'd probably see Incentive Licensing the same way if I was a kid in
your shoes. However you came into ham radio when it was already old
news and were something like 14 years late to the Novice game I played
in in all it's nooks and crannies. You can read about it and talk about
early '50s ham radio it but since you didn't actually live it I
wouldn't expect you to fully appreciate what a huge deal Incentive
Licensing was to my Novice class. It was even more of a kick in the
butt for for the old-timers of those days.

> > Somebody wrote in a post in QRZ.com that they'd been in direct e-mail
> > contact with the FCC about the anomalies and confusion in the R&O and
> > the FCC agreed that the R&O needs more work before it goes to the
> > Register. That'll push implemetation of a cleaned-up version out until
> > God knows when. Reset: Game started over.
>
> Roger that! The whole thing may have been a bit of a mind-game. ARRL
> gripes, so they put out a messy R&O that makes ARRL look bad.

The FCC doesn't play silly games like that. If the guy in QRZ.com has
it right they screwed up a bit and need to tweak the R&O. No relections
on the ARRL one way or the other but the FCC will come out of it a bit
of egg on it's face.

> > I noticed in another of your posts that you didn't understand why the
> > 80M CW band and 75M phone band are called what they are. Or something
> > akin to that.
>
> I was asking why FCC treats them as two separate bands in Part 97,
> that's all.

Because historically we've also been treating 80 & 75 as two separate
bands. Convenient ancient custom.

Time to bail away from the trashheads, I'm outta here and back to the
paint, varnish and antenna work.

<poof:gone>

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

5577. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 05:38:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <3n3ej2poi7992jng9urq62r6ctspuor9if@4ax.com>
Source record: 5057
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 18 Oct 2006 21:32:48 -0700, kelly@dvol.com wrote:

>
>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
>> > > They do read all of the comments.
>> >
>> > Highly unlikely particulary in cases like Anderson's nonsense *and*
>> > I'll only believe it when I see it. Unless you gots documentation to
>> > the contrary or you've been there yourself.
>>
>> Yer missing the point.
>>
>> *Somebody* at FCC reads 'em. That's all.
>
>Some of course but like I sed I'll believe it when I see it in many
>cases.
>
>> My guess is that the FCC doesn't even start reading the comments until
>> after the comments close. Then they sort them by commenter, because
>> some folks send multiple copies and there's no point reading the same
>> thing more than once.
>>
>> Then, I think, somebody goes through them weeding out the obvious
>> cranks and fakes, as well as the dupes. Also, they probably pile up the
>> simple "rubber stamp" ones ("I agree with ARRl/NCI/NCVEC").
>>
>> What's left are the ones that need a bit of serious reading.
>
>Seems probable.
>
>> Much
>> smaller pile. You think Len's stuff makes it to that pile?
>
>Scan, identify commenter, flick his garbage on sight . .
why do you presume to think they don't read len ader particualrly
>
>> > > Then he's even more off the wall than I've given him credit for.
>> > >
>> > Not my problem. Not yours, either.

>> >
>> > That I agree with.
>>
>> Ya broke da code.
>
>You'd think he wouldn't bend over so far backward to make such an ass
>of himself in public but nah . .
>
>> > > (e) They are ticked off at the 18 petitions, lack of consensus and
>> > > mountains of commentary.
>> >
>> > I doubt that there's much (e) involved, they've been patrolling up and
>> > down our back road in the RF wilderness for decades and they're quite
>> > used to it. Used to us and our level of noisy BS.
>>
>> Today's FCC isn't the FCC of 20-30-40 years ago.
>
>Neither are we and neither is ham radio. But don't underestimate their
>grasp on history and current realities and the relationships between
>the two.
>
>> > In any event thee and
>> > me are bulletproof, we're 20WPM Extras so I could care less when they
>> > bite the bullet and do whatever they're gonna do about the code test.
>>
>> Code test is a completely different issue.
>
>Not when it comes to the likelihood of ever having to take another code
>test to upgrade in order to retain our operating privleges.
>
>> I used to think the code test thing was a slam dunk. Maybe it still is.
>> But the fact that FCC has taken so long so far makes me wonder. For all
>> we know, they could keep it just because. Or they could do what Canada
>> did. Or something else.
>
>Or they could simply sit on it forever which would be the really easy
>way out of that swamp.

nope they know full well they wait long and someone will mount a legal
chalenge to code testing and that will be that
>
>> > 'Course I thought I was bulletproof in 1967 too when I had a General .
>> > . .
>>
>> Heck I was just a Novice and I saw it coming. I wondered what all the
>> fuss was about. I still do.
>
>I'd probably see Incentive Licensing the same way if I was a kid in
>your shoes. However you came into ham radio when it was already old
>news and were something like 14 years late to the Novice game I played

>in in all it's nooks and crannies. You can read about it and talk about
>early '50s ham radio it but since you didn't actually live it I
>wouldn't expect you to fully appreciate what a huge deal Incentive
>Licensing was to my Novice class. It was even more of a kick in the
>butt for for the old-timers of those days.
>
>> > Somebody wrote in a post in QRZ.com that they'd been in direct e-mail
>> > contact with the FCC about the anomalies and confusion in the R&O and
>> > the FCC agreed that the R&O needs more work before it goes to the
>> > Register. That'll push implemetation of a cleaned-up version out until
>> > God knows when. Reset: Game started over.
>>
>> Roger that! The whole thing may have been a bit of a mind-game. ARRL
>> gripes, so they put out a messy R&O that makes ARRL look bad.
>
>The FCC doesn't play silly games like that. If the guy in QRZ.com has
>it right they screwed up a bit and need to tweak the R&O. No relections
>on the ARRL one way or the other but the FCC will come out of it a bit
>of egg on it's face.
>
>> > I noticed in another of your posts that you didn't understand why the
>> > 80M CW band and 75M phone band are called what they are. Or something
>> > akin to that.
>>
>> I was asking why FCC treats them as two separate bands in Part 97,
>> that's all.
>
>Because historically we've also been treating 80 & 75 as two separate
>bands. Convenient ancient custom.
>
>Time to bail away from the trashheads, I'm outta here and back to the
>paint, varnish and antenna work.
>
><poof:gone>
>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>w3rv
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5578. 2 scanners off of 1 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 06:16:33 UTC
From: TongSlinger <klakkyremove@email.com>
Message-ID: <554f8$4537186b$943fd7b5$6011@STARBAND.NET>
Source record: 5058

I want to put 2 scanners on a diamond d discone antenna. is this possible without to much loss?
thanks

5579. Re: FS: Pro-2006 with OS456 full and my copy of Probe v7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 07:03:57 UTC
From: Mike Burch <mburch46@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <xrFZg.1027906$084.813345@attbi_s22>
Source record: 5059

Hi,
One of the previous posters made a good point in that the radio is not
nearly as valuable as it used to be.
Good luck on your auction however..

Mike Burch K8MB
Apache Junction, AZ

5580. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 11:15:31 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1161256531.775267.123630@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5060
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Jimmie D on Tues, Oct 17 2006 7:46 pm
> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
> >> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
> >>>On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:

> >Total agreement here, our obligation of service to to earn our privlegdes
> >doesnt end with what we have done but with what we have done lately.
>
> Sorry, but I see absolutely NO "obligation to perform
> some service [to the nation or community]."

Not for any particular individual, anyway.

> That is one
> of the myths promulgated by the ARRL and its followers.

That's a falsehood, Len. ARRL talks about the service provided by
amateur radio, but does not say there is an obligation to do so.

> The word "service" used by the FCC all throughout Title
> 47 C.F.R., all Parts, is a regulatory term referring to
> a type and kind of radio activity being regulated.
> [see Citizens Band Radio SERVICE or Radio Control Radio
> SERVICE as two examples in Part 95] Also, as Cecil Moore
> mentioned, the government is doing its citizens a service,
> NOT the other way around.

Irrelevant.

> If an individual WANTS to VOLUNTARILY perform some service,
> then excellent.

And they do!

> There is NO "obligation" to do so unless
> there is some law requiring it.

Agreed!

However, one of the justifications for the continued existence of the
Amateur Radio Service is the public service performed by radio amateurs
using amateur radio.

> Personally, I think all
> citizens of the USA should do at least one term of Jury
> Service. In California there is a state law that eligible

> citizens shall serve, for a time and times as stated by
> law. [I've done five terms of Jury Service here] Anyone
> who WANTS to voluntarily sit in on a court is allowed to
> (with some special conditions not permitting certain
> trials). Those spectators are NOT obligated to do so.

Irrelevant.

> USA amateur radio service is a VOLUNTARY activity. It is
> an avocation, not an occupation. In other words it is a
> HOBBY.

It's not just a hobby, though.

> It's a fine hobby, tens of thousands of citizens
> engaged in it.

Hundreds of thousands of US citizens.

> But, it is still a HOBBY.

It's not just a hobby, though.

> It is NOT
> "essential" for the good of the nation.

How do you know for sure?

> It is high time
> that everyone quit dreaming about imaginary glory of
> "serving the community" through amateur radio...time to
> look at what it IS in the real world.

What does that mean?

Amateur Radio does indeed perform public service - voluntarily. That's
a fact, not an opinion.

5581. Re: 2 scanners off of 1 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 11:34:51 UTC
From: Steve Stone <zzspfleck@citlink.netzz>
Message-ID: <vpJZg.3454$ya1.1606@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 5061

It is possible.

There will be some loss.

Adding a preamp before a splitter tends to overload the front ends of my
scanners with paging services.

"TongSlinger" <klakky"remove"@email.com> wrote in message
news:554f8$4537186b$943fd7b5$6011@STARBAND.NET...
>I want to put 2 scanners on a diamond d discone antenna. is this possible
>without to much loss?
> thanks
>

5582. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 11:41:01 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1161258061.234200.214490@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5062
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Jimmie D on Tues, Oct 17 2006 7:46 pm
> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
> >> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
> >>>On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:

> >
> >Total agreement here, our obligation of service to to earn our privlegdes
> >doesnt end with what we have done but with what we have done lately.
>
> Sorry, but I see absolutely NO "obligation to perform
> some service [to the nation or community]."

That you don't see it doesn't mean it doesn't exist.

> That is one
> of the myths promulgated by the ARRL and its followers.

Where? You have just spouted a falsehood, Len.
>
> The word "service" used by the FCC all throughout Title
> 47 C.F.R., all Parts, is a regulatory term referring to
> a type and kind of radio activity being regulated.
> [see Citizens Band Radio SERVICE or Radio Control Radio
> SERVICE as two examples in Part 95] Also, as Cecil Moore
> mentioned, the government is doing its citizens a service,
> NOT the other way around.

Irrelevant.

>
> If an individual WANTS to VOLUNTARILY perform some service,
> then excellent. There is NO "obligation" to do so unless
> there is some law requiring it.

What about a moral obligation?

Suppose I were driving on a winding country road and came upon the
scene of a one-car accident that had occurred only a few minutes before
I arrived.

And suppose the occupants of the car in the accident needed help, and I
had the means to call for help.

Would I not have at least a moral obligation, if not a legal one, to
call for help?

Suppose the only available communication was by Amateur Radio - would I not have at least a moral obligation, if not a legal one, to use Amateur Radio to call for help?

> Personally, I think all
> citizens of the USA should do at least one term of Jury
> Service.

Even those who are not mentally or physically competent to do so? Would you want to be judged by a jury composed of the mentally ill? They're citizens.

> In California there is a state law that eligible
> citizens shall serve, for a time and times as stated by
> law. [I've done five terms of Jury Service here] Anyone
> who WANTS to voluntarily sit in on a court is allowed to
> (with some special conditions not permitting certain
> trials). Those spectators are NOT obligated to do so.

Irrelevant.

> USA amateur radio service is a VOLUNTARY activity. It is
> an avocation, not an occupation. In other words it is a
> HOBBY.

But it's not just a hobby.

> It's a fine hobby, tens of thousands of citizens
> engaged in it.

Hundreds of thousands of US citizens.

> But, it is still a HOBBY. It is NOT
> "essential" for the good of the nation.

Says who?

> It is high time
> that everyone quit dreaming about imaginary glory of
> "serving the community" through amateur radio...time to
> look at what it IS in the real world.

Does amateur radio not perform any service to the community, Len?

5583. Re: Lids, Kids and Space Cadets

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 11:52:21 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <BACVg.9000212$Y24.5826@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5063
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 20:24:10 -0500, Thats Right_ 20wpm <john> wrote:
> Slow Code is the kind of guy that everybodys hates on the air. He is
> the Jammer because no one listens to him.

Oh, my. I'm afraid you've forced me to killfile everything coming from
netins.net. Bye bye, john and sockpuppets. Here are the Xnews rules in
case anyone else is interested:

```
[rec.radio.*]
score:: -9999
Path: news\.netins\.net![^!]+$
```

5584. Re: i punce in aigain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 12:49:12 UTC
From: Markie Morgan <JD@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <45376762$0$19731$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 5064
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
so
bringit on you loosers

show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW
rendered phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and
SC a few just decided to not to be as hateful

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5585. Re: Lids, Kids and Space Cadets

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 12:54:05 UTC
From: Markie Morgan <JD@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <45376887$0$19724$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 5065
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:BACVg.9000212$Y24.5826@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 20:24:10 -0500, Thats Right_ 20wpm <john> wrote:
>> Slow Code is the kind of guy that everybodys hates on the air. He is
>> the Jammer because no one listens to him.
>
> Oh, my. I'm afraid you've forced me to killfile everything coming from
> netins.net. Bye bye, john and sockpuppets. Here are the Xnews rules in
> case anyone else is interested:
>
> [rec.radio.*]
> score:: -9999
> Path: news\.netins\.net![^!]+$
>
>
well ta least I no What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
ganitals.

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5586. id theft is acrime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 12:56:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <betej2977pm42tc6dl7aneqt63pftdtlcd@4ax.com>
Source record: 5066
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 08:49:12 -0400, "Markie Morgan" <JD@yahoo.com>
wrote:

> ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do

id theft is crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5587. Space Cadets like SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 13:01:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <nntej25pc95n4p6jhpnajr35rc41kiqtno@4ax.com>
Source record: 5067
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 11:52:21 +0000 (UTC), Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
wrote:

>On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 20:24:10 -0500, Thats Right_ 20wpm <john> wrote:
>> Slow Code is the kind of guy that everybodys hates on the air. He is
>> the Jammer because no one listens to him.
>
>Oh, my. I'm afraid you've forced me to killfile everything coming from
>netins.net.
lying again Sc and if you can't face the heat bail form the NG
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5588. Space Cadets like sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 13:01:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <6ptej21svt1134hcabmlt2p7oclqsga2f4@4ax.com>
Source record: 5068
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 08:54:05 -0400, "Markie Morgan" <JD@yahoo.com>
wrote:

id theft is acrime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5589. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 14:34:27 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1161268467.826179.271250@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5069
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 18 Oct 2006 21:32:48 -0700, kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> >> Much
> >> smaller pile. You think Len's stuff makes it to that pile?
> >
> >Scan, identify commenter, flick his garbage on sight . .

> why do you presume to think they don't read len ader particualrly

Because he's a non-stakeholder who has been blatantly abusing his right
to comment on matters before the FCC involving the amateur service for
years. A presumption based on applied common sense and experience.

> >> I used to think the code test thing was a slam dunk. Maybe it still is.
> >> But the fact that FCC has taken so long so far makes me wonder. For all
> >> we know, they could keep it just because. Or they could do what Canada
> >> did. Or something else.
> >
> >Or they could simply sit on it forever which would be the really easy
> >way out of that swamp.
>
> nope they know full well they wait long and someone will mount a legal
> chalenge to code testing and that will be that

Could be but until somebody actually lays down the cash it takes to
mount the challenge you're waiting for y'all behave yourself Colonel
and stay above 30 Mhz.

w3rv

5590. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 17:14:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <tdcfj25ahdcfqbhjdlebdapc2hh2mm3an2@4ax.com>
Source record: 5070
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 19 Oct 2006 07:34:27 -0700, kelly@dvol.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 18 Oct 2006 21:32:48 -0700, kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
>> >> Much
>> >> smaller pile. You think Len's stuff makes it to that pile?
>> >
>> >Scan, identify commenter, flick his garbage on sight . .
>
>> why do you presume to think they don't read len ader particualrly
>
>Because he's a non-stakeholder who has been blatantly abusing his right
>to comment on matters before the FCC involving the amateur service for
>years. A presumption based on applied common sense and experience.

where has he iditeifed himself as a no stakeholder as far as I know
he is a citizen?

and what abuse? did you read a different constituions than I
>
>> >> I used to think the code test thing was a slam dunk. Maybe it still is.
>> >> But the fact that FCC has taken so long so far makes me wonder. For all
>> >> we know, they could keep it just because. Or they could do what Canada
>> >> did. Or something else.
>> >
>> >Or they could simply sit on it forever which would be the really easy
>> >way out of that swamp.
>>
>> nope they know full well they wait long and someone will mount a legal
>> chalenge to code testing and that will be that
>
>Could be but until somebody actually lays down the cash it takes to
>mount the challenge you're waiting for y'all behave yourself Colonel
>and stay above 30 Mhz.

indeed the cash is problem I was well on the way leading to the NPRM
then the backer back out thinking the FCC is finaly goign to take care
it

the longer thay wait the more likely the challange become but they
(the ffc) are on record as saying it will be out after the onibus and
before the end of the years
>

>w3rv
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5591. Re: 2 scanners off of 1 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 19:44:57 UTC
From: Jeff <oldog@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <ZAQZg.110607$aJ.84067@attbi_s21>
Source record: 5071

"TongSlinger" <klakky"remove"@email.com> wrote in message
news:554f8$4537186b$943fd7b5$6011@STARBAND.NET...
> I want to put 2 scanners on a diamond d discone antenna. is this possible
> without to much loss?
> thanks

If you buy the 5-10$ variety from Rat Shack you first are going have
to convert from F type to BNC connector, because they are a made for
tv device. You will get a small loss from the 2 added adapters, and the
splitter will be around -3.5db loss. From input to splitter to the scanners
input it will be close to a -4db loss. Then there is Stridesberg, who does
sell splitters (passive and active) that have BNC connectors. The active
ones will show no loss and the passive will be -3.5db loss again. If
you go with Stridesberg be prepared to write a healthy sized check,
but they are close to mil-spec as you can get and is very good stuff.
Dont let the -3.5db loss scare you,, most times you wont know the
difference, unless you are in a very weak signal area.

J

5592. Re: 2 scanners off of 1 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-19 19:55:12 UTC
From: Jeff <oldog@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <AKQZg.245250$1i1.51592@attbi_s72>
Source record: 5072

"TongSlinger" <klakky"remove"@email.com> wrote in message
news:554f8$4537186b$943fd7b5$6011@STARBAND.NET...
> I want to put 2 scanners on a diamond d discone antenna. is this possible
> without to much loss?
> thanks

Forgot to add an important point. If you have a coax run of more than
10-20 feet please dont use RG 58. You will get more loss from it than
the splitter especially at the higher freqs. If you dont want to/cant run
the bigger heavier coax i.e. RG 213 go with RG 6. Its a 75 ohm cable
instead of 50 but has very good loss rates and the difference in impedance's
will be negligible and its the same basic diameter of RG 58.

J

5593. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-20 02:36:33 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1161311793.160320.293530@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5073
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 19 Oct 2006 07:34:27 -0700, kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> >> why do you presume to think they don't read len ader particualrly
> > >
> > >Because he's a non-stakeholder who has been blatantly abusing his right
> > >to comment on matters before the FCC involving the amateur service for
> > >years. A presumption based on applied common sense and experience.
> >
> > where has he iditeifed himself as a no stakeholder as far as I know
> > he is a citizen?

We can all toss comments at all NPRMs, that's not the point. The topic under discussion is Anderson's behavior during ham NPRM commenting periods and the way the FCC probably handles his "comments". As far as stakes go Anderson himself made it transparently clear years ago that he has no stake whatsoever in the regulation of the amateur radio service when he stated that he is not a ham and does not have any intention of becoming a ham in the future.

> and what abuse?

Flooding the FCC with 200 comments on one ham radio NPRM is juvenile and abusive behavior every way you look at it. And it's not in the direction of supporting the cause of you NCTAs.

> did you read a different constituions than I

Again that's not the point, the point is how his submissions are regarded and dealt with after the FCC has processed them in accordance with all the laws under which they operate. You gotta be as naive as a newborn if you think the FCC attaches as much value to any of Anderson's nonsense as they do, for instance, to the inputs submitted by the ARRL.

> >> nope they know full well they wait long and someone will mount a legal
> > >> chalenge to code testing and that will be that
> > >
> > >Could be but until somebody actually lays down the cash it takes to
> > >mount the challenge you're waiting for y'all behave yourself Colonel
> > >and stay above 30 Mhz.
> >
> > indeed the cash is problem I was well on the way leading to the NPRM
> > then the backer back out thinking the FCC is finaly goign to take care
> > it

Usta be's, shoulda done's and could've beens are a dime a dozen, you either walk the walk or you don't.

> the longer thay wait the more likely the challange become but they
> (the ffc) are on record as saying it will be out after the onibus and
> before the end of the years

Don't let the suspense keep you awake at night.

w3rv

5594. Military Aircraft Comms, Florida Thu, 19 Oct 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-20 06:09:24 UTC
From: "AllanStern@aol.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161324564.330086.10490@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5074
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.military

Patrick AFB Area Log - Thu, 19 Oct 2006
``````````````````````````````````````

VIDAR 01: S-3B, Jax NAS VS-22 "Checkmates"
9:21am: Transition.
[349.0, 339.8]

RULER 55: C-17A, MS-ANG 172AMW Jackson MS
9:22am: Departed Patrick AFB.
[133.75, 372.2]

KING 77: C-130H #65-0977, Patrick AFB 920RQW 39RQS.
10:01am: Departs to Huntsville for ERO there; air-air with King 64.
[118.4, 133.75; 321.0]

KING 64: HC-130P #64-14864, Patrick AFB 920RQW 39RQS.
10:08am: Departs to Crestview; air-air with King 77.
[118.4, 133.75; 321.0]

LIMA LIMA 28: P-3C Modex #509 (156509), Jax NAS VP-30
9:54am: Pattern work at Patrick, along with Lima Lima 44.
[133.75, 132.65; air-air 306.0]
Photos: PA191219-228

LIMA LIMA 44: P-3C Jax NAS VP-30
9:55am: Pattern work at Patrick, along with Lima Lima 28.
11:02am: Pattern work at Melbourne IAP.
[133.75, 132.65; air-air 306.0]

HERK 81: C-130.
9:55am: Lands Patrick AFB.
[133.75]

OMAHA 58: UH-60A 86-24558, CBP
9:57am: Comms.
[165.2375]

BRONCO 01: OV-10D, US State Dept, Patrick AFB
10:10am: Lands Patrick AFB.
[133.75]

CG 6016: HH-60J, CGAS Clearwater.
10:16am: Transition; comms with CG Sector Jacksonville.
[157.15]

UNK
11:05am: Comms; no callsign.
``````````````````````````````````````

[157.125]

DOS 145: UH-1, US State Dept, Patrick AFB
1:10pm: Pattern work at Patrick AFB. Departs.
8:07pm: Lands Patrick.
[133.75]

PAT 487: US Army Priority Air Transport
1:14pm: Transition.
[126.95]

JOLLY 11: HH-60G Pave Hawk, Patrick AFB 920RQW 301RQS
1:15pm: Pattern work at Patrick AFB.
[133.75]

MAKO 11: F-16C multi-ship flight, Homestead ARB 482FW 93FS
1:57pm: Work at Avon Park Bombing Range; comms with JTAC Iron Cross.
[270.025 (Iron Cross); 292.2; 138.125 (air-air)]

SHARK 21: F-16C multi-ship flight, Homestead ARB 482FW 93FS
2:04pm: Work at Avon Park Bombing Range
[292.2, 264.625; 139.8 (air-air)]

SKY WITNESS: TV News Helo
2:37pm: Comms re stabbing at school bus stop.
[455.6625]

CANYON 81: F/A-18E, flight of 2, Oceana VFA-105
2:48pm: Depart Patrick AFB.
[269.375 > 281.425 > 132.25 > 126.95]

TIGER 01
2:50pm: Enters Avon Park Bombing Range, looking for comms with Iron Cross. Iron Cross unable comms on 270.025 bec of open mic somewhere; Tiger 01 given Iron Cross VHF freq 139.85, and is able to hear Iron Cross there, but Iron Cross unable to hear Tiger 01.
[292.2, 270.025, 139.85]

TETON 37: C-130H 92-1537, WY-ANG 153AW 187AS, Cheyenee MAP
3:28pm: Comms with King Ops; Teton 37 is TDY at Patrick.
[321.0]

KING 70: HC-130P #65-0970, Patrick AFB 920RQW 39RQS
3:45pm: Announces to King Ops: "Inbound; two writeups: Cargo compartment air conditioner inop; radio ICUs inop."
[321.0]

JOLLY 11: HH-60G, Patrick AFB 920RQW 301RQS
4:08pm: Lands Patrick AFB.
[133.75]

LIMA LIMA 79: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-30 "Pro's Nest"
4:17pm: Pattern work at Patrick AFB.
[351.95, 133.75]

MAKO 11: F-16C, flight of 4, Homestead ARB 482FW 93FS
6:50pm: Work at Avon Park Bombing Range.
7:30pm: RTB Homestead.
[292.2, 264.625, 281.5, 323.0]

KING 55: C-130H #64-14855, Patrick AFB 920RQW 39RQS.
7:00pm: Departs for PJ drops over Judy DZ. Arranges for air-ground comms with PJ retrieval boat "Gondola Charlie" on 251.9, then 282.8, then 157.1.
[133.75; 321.0]

CANYON 91: F/A-18E, flight of two, Oceana VFA-105
7:27pm: Returns to Patrick from Avon Park Bomging Range.
[269.375]

King 21: C-130, Moody AFB.
10:52pm: Arrives Avon Park Bombing Range; will work for hour.
[292.2]

OMAHA 51: CBP Acft (probably Beech A-200 N1551).
11:08pm: "Entering your area; will advise if we get any TOIs; would like to check out laser with you."
[164.775]

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/BrevardRadio
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/CivilAirComms

5595. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-20 08:29:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <lt1hj2lg2tbt67d5eobd64769jv322f884@4ax.com>
Source record: 5075
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 19 Oct 2006 19:36:33 -0700, kelly@dvol.com wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 19 Oct 2006 07:34:27 -0700, kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
>> >> why do you presume to think they don't read len ader particualrly
>> >
>> >Because he's a non-stakeholder who has been blatantly abusing his right
>> >to comment on matters before the FCC involving the amateur service for
>> >years. A presumption based on applied common sense and experience.
>>
>> where has he iditeifed himself as a no stakeholder as far as I know
>> he is a citizen?
>
>We can all toss comments at all NPRMs, that's not the point. The topic
>under discussion is Anderson's behavior during ham NPRM commenting
>periods and the way the FCC probably handles his "comments".
and for some reason you chose to imply that Len coments are treated
differently than say mine
> As far as
>stakes go Anderson himself made it transparently clear years ago that
>he has no stake whatsoever in the regulation of the amateur radio
>service when he stated that he is not a ham and does not have any
>intention of becoming a ham in the future.

and what has that got to do with anythiung at all
>
>> and what abuse?
>
>Flooding the FCC with 200 comments on one ham radio NPRM is juvenile
>and abusive behavior every way you look at it. And it's not in the
>direction of supporting the cause of you NCTAs.
sas I understand he filed one coment during the main period and a
bunch (i'll accept your count till len says different) of reply coment
in the period set aside for that

that is on paper at aleast how the system is suposed to work

doing things the right way is abusive in your mind?
>
>> did you read a different constituions than I
>
>Again that's not the point, the point is how his submissions are
>regarded and dealt with after the FCC has processed them in accordance

>with all the laws under which they operate.
it is precisely the point

> You gotta be as naive as a
>newborn if you think the FCC attaches as much value to any of
>Anderson's nonsense as they do, for instance, to the inputs submitted
>by the ARRL.

and where was it claimed that Len was taken as seriously as the ARRL
he should have been in theory but that is theory
>
>> >> nope they know full well they wait long and someone will mount a legal
>> >> chalenge to code testing and that will be that
>> >
>> >Could be but until somebody actually lays down the cash it takes to
>> >mount the challenge you're waiting for y'all behave yourself Colonel
>> >and stay above 30 Mhz.
>>
>> indeed the cash is problem I was well on the way leading to the NPRM
>> then the backer back out thinking the FCC is finaly goign to take care
>> it
>
>Usta be's, shoulda done's and could've beens are a dime a dozen, you
>either walk the walk or you don't.

your point? that one should waste money forcing the FCC to act in
accordance with the laws of the USA?

>
>> the longer thay wait the more likely the challange become but they
>> (the ffc) are on record as saying it will be out after the onibus and
>> before the end of the years
>
>Don't let the suspense keep you awake at night.

I don't esp as there is no supense we are just waiting on the dates of
actgion
>
>w3rv
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5596. Re: Military Aircraft Comms, Florida Thu, 19 Oct 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-20 15:07:33 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <QIudnUbavOToeqXYnZ2dnUVZ_tudnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 5076
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.military

"AllanStern@aol.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1161324564.330086.10490@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...
> Patrick AFB Area Log - Thu, 19 Oct 2006
> ``````````````````````````````````````
>
> VIDAR 01: S-3B, Jax NAS VS-22 "Checkmates"
> 9:21am: Transition.
> [349.0, 339.8]
>
> RULER 55: C-17A, MS-ANG 172AMW Jackson MS
> 9:22am: Departed Patrick AFB.
> [133.75, 372.2]
>
> KING 77: C-130H #65-0977, Patrick AFB 920RQW 39RQS.
> 10:01am: Departs to Huntsville for ERO there; air-air with King 64.
> [118.4, 133.75; 321.0]
>
> KING 64: HC-130P #64-14864, Patrick AFB 920RQW 39RQS.
> 10:08am: Departs to Crestview; air-air with King 77.
> [118.4, 133.75; 321.0]
>
> LIMA LIMA 28: P-3C Modex #509 (156509), Jax NAS VP-30
> 9:54am: Pattern work at Patrick, along with Lima Lima 44.
> [133.75, 132.65; air-air 306.0]
> Photos: PA191219-228
>
> LIMA LIMA 44: P-3C Jax NAS VP-30
> 9:55am: Pattern work at Patrick, along with Lima Lima 28.
> 11:02am: Pattern work at Melbourne IAP.
> [133.75, 132.65; air-air 306.0]
>
> HERK 81: C-130.
> 9:55am: Lands Patrick AFB.
> [133.75]
>
> OMAHA 58: UH-60A 86-24558, CBP
> 9:57am: Comms.
> [165.2375]
>
> BRONCO 01: OV-10D, US State Dept, Patrick AFB
> 10:10am: Lands Patrick AFB.
> [133.75]
>

> CG 6016: HH-60J, CGAS Clearwater.
> 10:16am: Transition; comms with CG Sector Jacksonville.
> [157.15]
>
> UNK
> 11:05am: Comms; no callsign.
> [157.125]
>
> DOS 145: UH-1, US State Dept, Patrick AFB
> 1:10pm: Pattern work at Patrick AFB. Departs.
> 8:07pm: Lands Patrick.
> [133.75]
>
> PAT 487: US Army Priority Air Transport
> 1:14pm: Transition.
> [126.95]
>
> JOLLY 11: HH-60G Pave Hawk, Patrick AFB 920RQW 301RQS
> 1:15pm: Pattern work at Patrick AFB.
> [133.75]
>
> MAKO 11: F-16C multi-ship flight, Homestead ARB 482FW 93FS
> 1:57pm: Work at Avon Park Bombing Range; comms with JTAC Iron Cross.
> [270.025 (Iron Cross); 292.2; 138.125 (air-air)]
>
> SHARK 21: F-16C multi-ship flight, Homestead ARB 482FW 93FS
> 2:04pm: Work at Avon Park Bombing Range
> [292.2, 264.625; 139.8 (air-air)]
>
> SKY WITNESS: TV News Helo
> 2:37pm: Comms re stabbing at school bus stop.
> [455.6625]
>
> CANYON 81: F/A-18E, flight of 2, Oceana VFA-105
> 2:48pm: Depart Patrick AFB.
> [269.375 > 281.425 > 132.25 > 126.95]
>
> TIGER 01
> 2:50pm: Enters Avon Park Bombing Range, looking for comms with Iron
> Cross. Iron Cross unable comms on 270.025 bec of open mic somewhere;
> Tiger 01 given Iron Cross VHF freq 139.85, and is able to hear Iron
> Cross there, but Iron Cross unable to hear Tiger 01.
> [292.2, 270.025, 139.85]
>
> TETON 37: C-130H 92-1537, WY-ANG 153AW 187AS, Cheyenee MAP
> 3:28pm: Comms with King Ops; Teton 37 is TDY at Patrick.
> [321.0]
>
> KING 70: HC-130P #65-0970, Patrick AFB 920RQW 39RQS
> 3:45pm: Announces to King Ops: "Inbound; two writeups: Cargo

> compartment air conditioner inop; radio ICUs inop."
> [321.0]
>
> JOLLY 11: HH-60G, Patrick AFB 920RQW 301RQS
> 4:08pm: Lands Patrick AFB.
> [133.75]
>
> LIMA LIMA 79: P-3C, Jacksonville NAS VP-30 "Pro's Nest"
> 4:17pm: Pattern work at Patrick AFB.
> [351.95, 133.75]
>
> MAKO 11: F-16C, flight of 4, Homestead ARB 482FW 93FS
> 6:50pm: Work at Avon Park Bombing Range.
> 7:30pm: RTB Homestead.
> [292.2, 264.625, 281.5, 323.0]
>
> KING 55: C-130H #64-14855, Patrick AFB 920RQW 39RQS.
> 7:00pm: Departs for PJ drops over Judy DZ. Arranges for air-ground
> comms with PJ retrieval boat "Gondola Charlie" on 251.9, then 282.8,
> then 157.1.
> [133.75; 321.0]
>
> CANYON 91: F/A-18E, flight of two, Oceana VFA-105
> 7:27pm: Returns to Patrick from Avon Park Bomging Range.
> [269.375]
>
> King 21: C-130, Moody AFB.
> 10:52pm: Arrives Avon Park Bombing Range; will work for hour.
> [292.2]
>
> OMAHA 51: CBP Acft (probably Beech A-200 N1551).
> 11:08pm: "Entering your area; will advise if we get any TOIs; would
> like to check out laser with you."
> [164.775]
>
> AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/BrevardRadio
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/CivilAirComms
>
Thanks! Your posts are the only reason that I subscribe to this rotten
newsgroup!

H.J.

5597. I punced in aigian

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-20 15:21:25 UTC
From: Markie Morgan <kb9qrz@hummer.com>
Message-ID: <4538dc91$0$19742$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 5077
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
so
bringit on you loosers

show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW
rendered phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and
SC a few just decided to not to be as hateful

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5598. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-20 15:48:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <dtrhj2hb6hhm25up6t43npa34pblnh6c88@4ax.com>
Source record: 5078
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 11:21:25 -0400, "Markie Morgan"
<kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:

>
it is you know
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5599. LF Info: Vintage Regency Flight Scan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-20 19:51:52 UTC
From: Private_Sale <ottprivatesale@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ehb9cq$1hbi$1@registered.motzarella.org>
Source record: 5079

I have an old Regency Flight Scan VHF-Air only scanner but no manual. I am looking for instructions on programming the channel bank and also programming direct access frequencies.

Here is the scanner: http://www.rigpix.com/regency/regency_actt720a.htm

Thanks in advance.

Vince
sk8hard@gmail.com

5600. Re: LF Info: Vintage Regency Flight Scan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-21 01:45:17 UTC
From: Al Arduengo <al.arduengo@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.21.01.45.14.782471@gmail.com>
Source record: 5080

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 15:51:52 -0400, Private_Sale wrote:

> I have an old Regency Flight Scan VHF-Air only scanner but no manual. I am
> looking for instructions on programming the channel bank and also
> programming direct access frequencies.
>
> Here is the scanner: http://www.rigpix.com/regency/regency_actt720a.htm
>
> Thanks in advance.
>
> Vince
> sk8hard@gmail.com

I do not think you "program" it per se. It probably uses crystals.
--
"Don't get too wrapped up in dogma. Who knows? When we get to heaven there might be a Big Buddha laughing at us while we're all running around going 'Oh shit!'" - a Catholic bishop

5601. Re: LF Info: Vintage Regency Flight Scan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-21 02:41:30 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <FMydnaPVjaOFFqTYnZ2dnUVZ_sGdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 5081

"Al Arduengo" <al.arduengo@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2006.10.21.01.45.14.782471@gmail.com...
> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 15:51:52 -0400, Private_Sale wrote:
>
> > I have an old Regency Flight Scan VHF-Air only scanner but no manual. I
am
> > looking for instructions on programming the channel bank and also
> > programming direct access frequencies.
> >
> > Here is the scanner: http://www.rigpix.com/regency/regency_actt720a.htm
> >
> > Thanks in advance.
> >
> > Vince
> > sk8hard@gmail.com
>
> I do not think you "program" it per se. It probably uses crystals.
> --
It's a programmable scanner, and not that hard to program as I remember; I
used to have one but it's been about 15 yrs. since I programmed one. I do
remember that after entering the freq. by the keypad (on the left) then the
number of the channel you wanted the freq. entered into must be plressed (on
the right). I didn't have a manual with mine either, but it was simple to
figure out.

H.J.

p.s. Sell that one at a garage sale and get a RS-2052 at a close-out steal.
UHF airband1,000 channel**GREAT SCANNER**

5602. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-21 04:17:28 UTC
From: pltrgyst <pltrgyst@spamlessxhost.org>
Message-ID: <ep7jj2p97phad9f0bcu0k3o6ui8rkb9bu3@4ax.com>
Source record: 5082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 11:48:22 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.org wrote:

>On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 11:21:25 -0400, "Markie Morgan"
><kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:
>
>it is you know
>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

As a ham since 1959, you've got to be the biggest asshole I've ever seen
sporting a call sign.

{plonk}

-- Larry

5603. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-21 04:30:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <0i8jj2d51fm1tna9psgu1dskqmqh9p24p3@4ax.com>
Source record: 5083
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 00:17:28 -0400, pltrgyst
<pltrgyst@spamlessxhost.org> wrote:

>On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 11:48:22 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.org wrote:
>
>>On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 11:21:25 -0400, "Markie Morgan"
>><kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:
>>
>>it is you know
>>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
>As a ham since 1959, you've got to be the biggest asshole I've ever seen
>sporting a call sign.
funny no call no ham

>
>{plonk}
>
>-- Larry
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5604. i punce in aigain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-21 12:32:13 UTC
From: Morkie Morgan the Moron <kb9qrz@hummer.com>
Message-ID: <453a066c$0$19692$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 5084
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
so
bringit on you loosers

show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW
rendered phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and
SC a few just decided to not to be as hateful

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5605. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-21 12:58:09 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <wbadnTWFA4H8hKfYnZ2dnUVZ_vadnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 5085
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Not dropped here.

Even 9 year olds can learn the code.

Barry OGrady wrote:
> Not since it was dropped completly 3 years ago.
>
> Barry
> =====
> Home page
> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og

5606. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-21 16:13:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <fphkj2hrs3ov8hdap7oohi0br3j8mo7gql@4ax.com>
Source record: 5086
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 08:58:09 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:

>Not dropped here.
>
>Even 9 year olds can learn the code.
some can maening it is worhtless and unneeded for the rest
>
>Barry OGrady wrote:
>> Not since it was dropped completly 3 years ago.
>>
>> Barry
>> =====
>> Home page
>> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5607. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-21 16:14:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <6qhkj2lhjd0d7fsm0lmmjvjkhms5es335b@4ax.com>
Source record: 5087
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 08:32:13 -0400, "Morkie Morgan the Moron"
<kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:

>

id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5608. Pro 2006 and Probe 3 Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-21 19:28:08 UTC
From: Tim Sprout <tman@ptialaska.net>
Message-ID: <12jkt5mnghh1721@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 5088

My Probe 3 software is running in full screen mode. How do I take Probe out of full screen mode? I have read the Probe manual and looked in the Probe menus, and I can't remember how I got to full screen mode in the first place!

Thanks.

-Tim Sprout

5609. Re: punce theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-21 19:36:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161459404.013805.10160@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5089
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
> On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 08:32:13 -0400, "Morkie Morgan the Moron"
> <kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:
>
> >
>
> id theft is a crime
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5610. Re: LF Info: Vintage Regency Flight Scan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-21 20:15:41 UTC
From: Private_Sale <ottprivatesale@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ehdv5g$d7g$1@registered.motzarella.org>
Source record: 5090

Thanks for the tips for thje old Regency. By the way, is the PRO-2052 a
triple conversion unit?

"honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:FMydnaPVjaOFFqTYnZ2dnUVZ_sGdnZ2d@centurytel.net...
>
> "Al Arduengo" <al.arduengo@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2006.10.21.01.45.14.782471@gmail.com...
>> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 15:51:52 -0400, Private_Sale wrote:
>>
>> > I have an old Regency Flight Scan VHF-Air only scanner but no manual. I
> am
>> > looking for instructions on programming the channel bank and also
>> > programming direct access frequencies.
>> >
>> > Here is the scanner: http://www.rigpix.com/regency/regency_actt720a.htm
>> >
>> > Thanks in advance.
>> >
>> > Vince
>> > sk8hard@gmail.com
>>
>> I do not think you "program" it per se. It probably uses crystals.
>> --
> It's a programmable scanner, and not that hard to program as I remember; I
> used to have one but it's been about 15 yrs. since I programmed one. I do
> remember that after entering the freq. by the keypad (on the left) then
> the
> number of the channel you wanted the freq. entered into must be plressed
> (on
> the right). I didn't have a manual with mine either, but it was simple to
> figure out.
>
> H.J.
>
> p.s. Sell that one at a garage sale and get a RS-2052 at a close-out
> steal.
> **UHF airband**1,000 channel**GREAT SCANNER**
>
>

5611. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-21 20:28:34 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <KvGdnV7OPMtsH6fYnZ2dnUVZ_tWdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 5091
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:

> On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 08:58:09 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>
>>Not dropped here.
>>
>>Even 9 year olds can learn the code.
>
> some can maening it is worhtless and unneeded for the rest
>
>>Barry OGrady wrote:
>>
>>>Not since it was dropped completly 3 years ago.
>>>

"Worthless and Unneeded"? That was NOT the question!! Learn to answer the question! READ THE SUBJECT LINE.

No one asked whether it is useful, it absolutely is, the question is: is it "keeping good people out of ham radio?"

NOPE!!!

/s/ DD

5612. Re: Pro 2006 and Probe 3 Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-21 20:39:33 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <h41lj2tqevg2ak38n5fbca583g3vj927mt@4ax.com>
Source record: 5092

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 11:28:08 -0800, "Tim Sprout" <tman@ptialaska.net>
wrote:

>My Probe 3 software is running in full screen mode. How do I take Probe out
>of full screen mode? I have read the Probe manual and looked in the Probe
>menus, and I can't remember how I got to full screen mode in the first
>place!
>
>Thanks.
>
>-Tim Sprout
>

probe 3 runs in DOS doesn't it ? .... in dos you can " alt-tab "
to different programs running but NOT have several screens
of different programs displayed at the same time ... as when in
the windows environment !

asking to take a DOS level program out of full screen mode would only
be needed when you need a " command line " level operation
while the program is operating ..... most programs that need a "
command line " during dos operation have a provision for that !

not sure what you are asking here ?? ....

k.........

5613. Re: Pro 2006 and Probe 3 Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-21 20:59:30 UTC
From: Tim Sprout <tman@ptialaska.net>
Message-ID: <12jl2h1n7am5t48@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 5093

"krackula" wrote:
>
> >My Probe 3 software is running in full screen mode. How do I take Probe
out
> >of full screen mode? I have read the Probe manual and looked in the Probe
> >menus, and I can't remember how I got to full screen mode in the first
> >place!
> >
> >Thanks.
> >
> >-Tim Sprout
> >
>
> probe 3 runs in DOS doesn't it ? .... in dos you can " alt-tab "
> to different programs running but NOT have several screens
> of different programs displayed at the same time ... as when in
> the windows environment !
>
> asking to take a DOS level program out of full screen mode would only
> be needed when you need a " command line " level operation
> while the program is operating ..... most programs that need a "
> command line " during dos operation have a provision for that !
>
> not sure what you are asking here ?? ....
>
>
> k.........

More info:

I'm running Probe 5 from Win98 (I had the Probe version info wrong in my
original post).

Running Probe from the MS-DOS prompt, there is a Windows Full Screen icon
at the top of the window, between the Paste and Properties icons. Once
toggled
to full screen, how do I toggle back? The full screen Probe hides other
apps, like
Unitrunker, and Uniscope, that I want to monitor while browsing frequencies.

Thanks for your response, Krackula. I enjoy your posts.

-Tim Sprout

5614. Re: Pro 2006 and Probe 3 Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-21 22:01:50 UTC
From: ScanDaBands <tommyboys_news@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <e56lj29e253coo76uoue4gsp645gkrnmj1@4ax.com>
Source record: 5094

So do I Krakula is OLD SCHOOL on these nad knows his
stuff.............hey that's a nice setup by the way....I have a few
of them myself....run Probe 7.0 with an IBM 390E , MUCH BETTER.

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 12:59:30 -0800, "Tim Sprout" <tman@ptialaska.net>
wrote:

>Thanks for your response, Krackula. I enjoy your posts.
>
>-Tim Sprout
>

5615. Re: Pro 2006 and Probe 3 Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-21 22:33:28 UTC
From: Tim Sprout <tman@ptialaska.net>
Message-ID: <12jl816ehdkoe6b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 5095

"ScanDaBands" wrote:

> So do I Krakula is OLD SCHOOL on these nad knows his
> stuff.............hey that's a nice setup by the way....I have a few
> of them myself....run Probe 7.0 with an IBM 390E , MUCH BETTER.
>
> On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 12:59:30 -0800, "Tim Sprout" <tman@ptialaska.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Thanks for your response, Krackula. I enjoy your posts.
> >
> >-Tim Sprout

You recommend upgrading to Probe 7?

-Tim Sprout

5616. Re: LF Info: Vintage Regency Flight Scan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-21 22:34:27 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <cq2dnRyumbrkOafYnZ2dnUVZ_oSdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 5096

"Private_Sale" <ottprivatesale@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ehdv5g$d7g$1@registered.motzarella.org...
> Thanks for the tips for thje old Regency. By the way, is the PRO-2052 a
> triple conversion unit?
>
Yes it is, and the best scanner that I've ever had. I have about 850
aircraft freq. programmed in it and it seems that there is constant chatter
going on all the time. I particularly enjoy listening to the crews of the
C-130s (flying in groups of 3 or 4 planes) talking between the planes. They
get pretty "wild" at times.

H.J.

5617. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-21 23:33:02 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <O6y_g.10637$Lv3.3061@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5097
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Mark in the Dark" wrote in
news:fphkj2hrs3ov8hdap7oohi0br3j8mo7gql@4ax.com:

> On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 08:58:09 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>Not dropped here.
>>
>>Even 9 year olds can learn the code.
> some can maening it is worhtless and unneeded for the rest
>

Eliminating CW will let in more people like 'Mark in the Dark'. That
won't be good for the rest of us if we enjoy having good intelligent
QSO's.

SC

5618. Re: Is the no code license letting really stupid people in to ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-21 23:33:03 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <P6y_g.10638$Lv3.4775@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote in news:fphkj2hrs3ov8hdap7oohi0br3j8mo7gql@4ax.com:

> On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 08:58:09 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>Not dropped here.
>>
>>Even 9 year olds can learn the code.
> some can maening it is worhtless and unneeded for the rest
>>
>>Barry OGrady wrote:
>>> Not since it was dropped completly 3 years ago.
>>>
>>> Barry
>>> =====
>>> Home page
>>> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

It let Mark in the Dark in.

SC

5619. Re: LF Info: Vintage Regency Flight Scan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 01:36:29 UTC
From: Private_Sale <ottprivatesale@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ehehuvsbf1@registered.motzarella.org>
Source record: 5099

awesome...

"honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:cq2dnRyumbrkOafYnZ2dnUVZ_oSdnZ2d@centurytel.net...
>
> "Private_Sale" <ottprivatesale@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ehdv5g$d7g$1@registered.motzarella.org...
>> Thanks for the tips for thje old Regency. By the way, is the PRO-2052 a
>> triple conversion unit?
>>
> Yes it is, and the best scanner that I've ever had. I have about 850
> aircraft freq. programmed in it and it seems that there is constant
> chatter
> going on all the time. I particularly enjoy listening to the crews of the
> C-130s (flying in groups of 3 or 4 planes) talking between the planes.
> They
> get pretty "wild" at times.
>
> H.J.
>
>

5620. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 01:51:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <ekjlj2p8ksv5he6g32bp2a0bvff6t8ov6i@4ax.com>
Source record: 5100
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 16:28:34 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
>
>> On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 08:58:09 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Not dropped here.
>>>
>>>Even 9 year olds can learn the code.
>>
>> some can maening it is worhtless and unneeded for the rest
>>
>>>Barry OGrady wrote:
>>>
>>>>Not since it was dropped completly 3 years ago.
>>>>
>>
>"Worthless and Unneeded"? That was NOT the question!! Learn to answer the
>question! READ THE SUBJECT LINE.

I did read it indeed the coment was unrelated to the title
>
>No one asked whether it is useful, it absolutely is, the question is: is it
>"keeping good people out of ham radio?"
>
>NOPE!!!
>
>/s/ DD
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5621. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 02:10:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <tnklj29qt2i44phcltj1f3inpsuvsfadjk@4ax.com>
Source record: 5001
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On 21 Oct 2006 12:36:44 -0700, "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5622. Re: Is the no code license letting really stupid people in to ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 02:11:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <nqklj2dejckfb12tdhc13lm9s3srk1imqf@4ax.com>
Source record: 5002
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 23:33:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote in news:fphkj2hrs3ov8hdap7oohi0br3j8mo7gql@4ax.com:
>
>> On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 08:58:09 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:
>>
>>>Not dropped here.
>>>
>>>Even 9 year olds can learn the code.
>> some can maening it is worhtless and unneeded for the rest
>>>
>>>Barry OGrady wrote:
>>>> Not since it was dropped completly 3 years ago.
>>>>
>>>> Barry
>>>> =====
>>>> Home page
>>>> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>
>
>
>It let Mark in the Dark in.
so Mark is former member of Mensa
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5623. Re: Pro 2006 and Probe 3 Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 02:41:48 UTC
From: ScanDaBands <tommyboys_news@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <limlj21ku2poqf249tlqfmvu5o2niskmh7@4ax.com>
Source record: 5003

Well yeaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa he he , I just keep all mine up to date when possible, recommend Scan Cat Gold to.....send me a message , maybe I can give ya a little more info or are you already an Opto Expert ?

tommyboysUNDERSCOREads@verizon.net

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 14:33:28 -0800, "Tim Sprout" <tman@ptialaska.net> wrote:

>"ScanDaBands" wrote:
>
>> So do I Krakula is OLD SCHOOL on these nad knows his
>> stuff.............hey that's a nice setup by the way....I have a few
>> of them myself....run Probe 7.0 with an IBM 390E , MUCH BETTER.
>>
>> On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 12:59:30 -0800, "Tim Sprout" <tman@ptialaska.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Thanks for your response, Krackula. I enjoy your posts.
>> >
>> >-Tim Sprout
>
>
>You recommend upgrading to Probe 7?
>
>-Tim Sprout
>

5624. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 02:46:27 UTC
From: "R. Scott" <spamtrap@w7psk.net>
Message-ID: <wYudnW04VYoeRqfYnZ2dnUVZ_oOdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 5004
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:

It didnt keep you out

5625. Re: Village Voice 'Planespotting' article -- U-2s, Rendition flights, et al

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 05:48:18 UTC
From: Strikealite <worimi69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161496098.030026.190060@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5005
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.misc

All SEPO's are paranoid like their nano-culture programed into them.

PoloHat@gmail.com wrote:
> http://villagevoice.com/news/0642,torturetaxi,74732,2.html
>
> The anonymous "Ray" in the article is actually your typical 50 year
> old San Francisco Bay area 'social activist'/'progressive'/anarchist by
> the name of Gary Sellani, operator of the lazygranch.com domain and HAM
> radio call KD6ZXF.
>
> Contact Gary at yahoogroups@lazygranch.com
>
>
> It's very amusing, based on the subject of the article & his
> involvement in it, that Gary Sellani wanted to remain anonymous & just
> be referred to as "Ray." It was easy enough to 'out' Gary Sellani by
> doing a Google search.
>
> Maybe someone will go hang out near a particular San Ramon Post Office
> & photograph Gary Sellani's antenna-laden SUV as he stops to check his
> PO Box & post the photos of him & his vehicle on the internet. Be sure
> to get a photo of his SUV's tailcod... oops, I mean license plate.
> Then again, maybe someone has already done this...
>
>
> Turn-about is fair-play, "Ray!"
>
>
> Polo

5626. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 08:23:13 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <f88mj25h232km6eil2s69jbs1j8m1htgmq@4ax.com>
Source record: 5006
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 16:28:34 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> spake
thusly:

>Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
>
>> On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 08:58:09 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>Not dropped here.
>>>
>>>>Even 9 year olds can learn the code.
>>
>> some can maening it is worhtless and unneeded for the rest
>>
>>>>Barry OGrady wrote:
>>>
>>>>>Not since it was dropped completly 3 years ago.
>>>>
>
>"Worthless and Unneeded"? That was NOT the question!! Learn to answer the
>question! READ THE SUBJECT LINE.
>
>No one asked whether it is useful, it absolutely is, the question is: is it
>"keeping good people out of ham radio?"
>
>NOPE!!!

Sorry, but I can say for an absolute fact that your 're wrong. It had
kept me out of ham radio and I know exactly what kind of person that I
am. And before the obligatory "lazy" word is trotted out, I have to
work for the pay cheque that buys the radio, pays the rent for the
building that the radio is in and pays for the tower that is in the
back yard. I have to work extra to pay MORE for a place where I am
allowed a tower, as opposed to less expensive digs.

Honestly, I can't believe how some pro-coders look down on no-coders
with such contempt. I was once a member of a "live steam" model
railroad club. These were larger model steam trains that ran with real
steam instead of electric power. They could be run with either propane
or coal, depending on the individual. The guys who built their
locomotives from scratch NEVER looked down on the guys who bought
theirs from a classified ad. The guys burning coal did NOT call the
propane guys "lazy". We all enjoyed a fine hobby and club meetings
were always good fun and most informative. It was great for a

mechanic, like myself, to rub shoulders with experienced retired machinists who built these locomotives. At one of our "open houses", which were located outdoors at the club track, my daughter took her first steps.

Isn't ham radio supposed to be like that? Is there no camaraderie?

5627. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 12:47:14 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <nqKdndO0747-9abYnZ2dnUVZ_oadnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 5007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:f88mj25h232km6eil2s69jbs1j8m1htgmq@4ax.com...

[snip]

> Sorry, but I can say for an absolute fact that your 're wrong. It had
> kept me out of ham radio and I know exactly what kind of person that I
> am. And before the obligatory "lazy" word is trotted out, I have to
> work for the pay cheque that buys the radio, pays the rent for the
> building that the radio is in and pays for the tower that is in the
> back yard. I have to work extra to pay MORE for a place where I am
> allowed a tower, as opposed to less expensive digs.
>
> Honestly, I can't believe how some pro-coders look down on no-coders
> with such contempt. I was once a member of a "live steam" model
> railroad club. These were larger model steam trains that ran with real
> steam instead of electric power. They could be run with either propane
> or coal, depending on the individual. The guys who built their
> locomotives from scratch NEVER looked down on the guys who bought
> theirs from a classified ad. The guys burning coal did NOT call the
> propane guys "lazy". We all enjoyed a fine hobby and club meetings
> were always good fun and most informative. It was great for a
> mechanic, like myself, to rub shoulders with experienced retired
> machinists who built these locomotives. At one of our "open houses",
> which were located outdoors at the club track, my daughter took her
> first steps.
>
> Isn't ham radio supposed to be like that? Is there no camaraderie?

Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to help each other. The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders appear to want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or understanding of the requirements. In addition, most of us have experienced people who said they could not learn code but upon questioning find that they did not use a good training method and did not train correctly. Yet when they decided they were tired of waiting did learn it and got their upgrade. Then finding out how useful it was in ham pursuits were glad that they had done it.

So far of each of the reasons that people put forth as to why they can't learn it have been disproven by the example of other people with the same problem having gone ahead and done it. The 5wpm level is obtainable although some of the problem do make faster speeds a problem (constant tinnitus may be a severe problem at 20 wpm for example). My ex-OM had 70%

hearing loss in both ears and severe tinnitus. He passed the 5wpm. I know people with dyslexia who have passed. The blind have passed. Even the deaf have passed using flashing lights or vibrating pads.

Do you have competitions in your model railroading activity? If you enter that competition, you all have to follow the published rules with no exceptions. If it is a competition for the "homebrewers", then those who don't do so can enjoy viewing them but they cannot enter into the competition.

Dee, N8UZE

5628. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 14:43:20 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <csL_g.15105$GR.7863@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 5008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Dee Flint wrote:
> The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders
> appear to want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
> understanding of the requirements.

Speaking as a ham licensed for 50+ years, I would say a
lot of the divisiveness stems from coded hams trying to
substitute Morse code skill for technical knowledge and
intelligence.

Ham#1: "I've got a PhD in RF Engineering."

Ham#2: "Who cares, I flunked out of high school but
I can do 40 wpm at Morse code. So there!"

Eliminate the code requirement and triple the difficulty
of the technical exams. Problem solved.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

5629. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 14:54:45 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <MbadnYmuV4XbG6bYnZ2dnUVZ_sidnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 5009
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:csL_g.15105$GR.7863@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders appear to
>> want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
>> understanding of the requirements.
>
> Speaking as a ham licensed for 50+ years, I would say a
> lot of the divisiveness stems from coded hams trying to
> substitute Morse code skill for technical knowledge and
> intelligence.
>
> Ham#1: "I've got a PhD in RF Engineering."
>
> Ham#2: "Who cares, I flunked out of high school but
> I can do 40 wpm at Morse code. So there!"
>
> Eliminate the code requirement and triple the difficulty
> of the technical exams. Problem solved.

Nope, won't solve the problem.

The solution is to accept people as true hams that passed the exams existing at the time they were licensed. PERIOD!!

Dee, N8UZE

5630. Re: LF Info: Vintage Regency Flight Scan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 15:57:41 UTC
From: charlie <wehatespam@wymsey.co.uk>
Message-ID: <hMmdnRtGzp1ICabYnZ2dnUVZ8qqdnZ2d@pipex.net>
Source record: 5010

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Private_Sale wrote:
> I have an old Regency Flight Scan VHF-Air only scanner but no manual. I am
> looking for instructions on programming the channel bank and also
> programming direct access frequencies.
>
> Here is the scanner: http://www.rigpix.com/regency/regency_actt720a.htm
>
> Thanks in advance.
>
> Vince
> sk8hard@gmail.com
>
>

Vince,

You can get a manual for $6 here:
http://www.bearcat1.com/manuals.htm

Charlie.

- --
www.wymsey.co.uk
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.4.2 (GNU/Linux)
Comment: Using GnuPG with SUSE - http://enigmail.mozdev.org

iD8DBQFFO5T1fhKqaKFAJ/YRAtbYAJ9boVbj1Up91I3yIIWaz4JhGOeerQCgl1hr
adgJPirUo0qZTHvzoGcq7mY=
=S+Dt
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

5631. nice pikture of tood

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 16:30:10 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <andoldfriend@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <453b8fa0$0$19715$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 5011
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

http://i12.tinypic.com/2v809k3.jpg

ist from mi blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5632. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 17:37:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <b2bnj29gh4gkq40lneprqb780u0jocme8c@4ax.com>
Source record: 5012
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 08:47:14 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>news:f88mj25h232km6eil2s69jbs1j8m1htgmq@4ax.com...
>
>[snip]
>
>> Sorry, but I can say for an absolute fact that your 're wrong. It had
>> kept me out of ham radio and I know exactly what kind of person that I
>> am. And before the obligatory "lazy" word is trotted out, I have to
>> work for the pay cheque that buys the radio, pays the rent for the
>> building that the radio is in and pays for the tower that is in the
>> back yard. I have to work extra to pay MORE for a place where I am
>> allowed a tower, as opposed to less expensive digs.
>>
>> Honestly, I can't believe how some pro-coders look down on no-coders
>> with such contempt. I was once a member of a "live steam" model
>> railroad club. These were larger model steam trains that ran with real
>> steam instead of electric power. They could be run with either propane
>> or coal, depending on the individual. The guys who built their
>> locomotives from scratch NEVER looked down on the guys who bought
>> theirs from a classified ad. The guys burning coal did NOT call the
>> propane guys "lazy". We all enjoyed a fine hobby and club meetings
>> were always good fun and most informative. It was great for a
>> mechanic, like myself, to rub shoulders with experienced retired
>> machinists who built these locomotives. At one of our "open houses",
>> which were located outdoors at the club track, my daughter took her
>> first steps.
>>
>> Isn't ham radio supposed to be like that? Is there no camaraderie?
>
>Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to help
>each other. The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders
>appear to want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
>understanding of the requirements.
back to lying about the motives and knowledge of the NoCoders again
DEE
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5633. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 17:38:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <v3bnj29ualpbhk69bctokb6bn8r19kr0a2@4ax.com>
Source record: 5013
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 10:54:45 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:csL_g.15105$GR.7863@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders appear to
>>> want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
>>> understanding of the requirements.
>>
>> Speaking as a ham licensed for 50+ years, I would say a
>> lot of the divisiveness stems from coded hams trying to
>> substitute Morse code skill for technical knowledge and
>> intelligence.
>>
>> Ham#1: "I've got a PhD in RF Engineering."
>>
>> Ham#2: "Who cares, I flunked out of high school but
>> I can do 40 wpm at Morse code. So there!"
>>
>> Eliminate the code requirement and triple the difficulty
>> of the technical exams. Problem solved.
>
>Nope, won't solve the problem.
>
>The solution is to accept people as true hams that passed the exams existing
>at the time they were licensed. PERIOD!!
when you planing on starting Dee?
>
>
>
>Dee, N8UZE
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5634. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 17:39:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <p5bnj2dv2mmnmdq19kvfvkem0bv3r5e7v5@4ax.com>
Source record: 5014
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 12:30:10 -0400, "Mark Morgan"
<andoldfriend@yahoo.net> wrote:

>
id theft remains a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5635. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 18:23:50 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <K9OdnYVedO2oKqbYnZ2dnUVZ_oOdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 5015
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

What lie??

No-coders are missing a major portion of the HR experience. That is NOT a lie!
It is a fact.

Example: you can call yourself a marine two days after enlistment. But, you
become a Marine after completion of basic training! The commitment to success
through a period of trial and learning makes the Marine not the uniform.

No-coders have enlisted in the hobby. But, ...

Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:

> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 08:47:14 -0400, "Dee Flint"
> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>
>>"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>>news:f88mj25h232km6eil2s69jbs1j8m1htgmq@4ax.com...
>>
>>[snip]
>>
>>
>>>Sorry, but I can say for an absolute fact that your 're wrong. It had
>>>kept me out of ham radio and I know exactly what kind of person that I
>>>am. And before the obligatory "lazy" word is trotted out, I have to
>>>work for the pay cheque that buys the radio, pays the rent for the
>>>building that the radio is in and pays for the tower that is in the
>>>back yard. I have to work extra to pay MORE for a place where I am
>>>allowed a tower, as opposed to less expensive digs.
>>>
>>>Honestly, I can't believe how some pro-coders look down on no-coders
>>>with such contempt. I was once a member of a "live steam" model
>>>railroad club. These were larger model steam trains that ran with real
>>>steam instead of electric power. They could be run with either propane
>>>or coal, depending on the individual. The guys who built their
>>>locomotives from scratch NEVER looked down on the guys who bought
>>>theirs from a classified ad. The guys burning coal did NOT call the
>>>propane guys "lazy". We all enjoyed a fine hobby and club meetings
>>>were always good fun and most informative. It was great for a
>>>mechanic, like myself, to rub shoulders with experienced retired
>>>machinists who built these locomotives. At one of our "open houses",
>>>which were located outdoors at the club track, my daughter took her
>>>first steps.
>>>

>>>Isn't ham radio supposed to be like that? Is there no camaraderie?
>>
>>Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to help
>>each other. The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders
>>appear to want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
>>understanding of the requirements.
>
> back to lying about the motives and knowledge of the NoCoders again
> DEE
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

5636. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 18:32:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161541926.043189.256600@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5016
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Dave wrote:
> What lie??
>
> No-coders are missing a major portion of the HR experience. That is NOT a lie!
> It is a fact.

have you done EME or FS-ATV? or used ham radio ofor RC or enaged in
metor scater contacts

OTOH you are lying

all Nocode test persons don't use code is imlied by your statement.
Indeed I one of the stauchest nocoders have explored it. I am missing
nothing it is like the compliants I heard in my youth about membrane
keyboards it was said that you can't toauch type with em, well that
only apllies if you can touch type in the first place

5637. Re: Best Programming Software for Bearcat Scanners ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 19:11:32 UTC
From: Good Ol Dad <bigmike@justboystoys.com>
Message-ID: <6bgnj2524ali7hsvh2plagumglmdfo0v8e@4ax.com>
Source record: 5018
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks to all who responded. I purchased the latest ver of
Scancontrol but for some reason it will not recognize my bc898t.

It worked perfectly for my 245XLT but will just not connect with my
bearcat 898T. I tried 2 different com cables and two computers, both
with working com ports and it will just not find it.

Anyone else have this kind of problem? Any ideas for a fix? I have
gone through the setup a dozen times and tried several diff baud rates
but it just will not locate the bc898t at all.

And before anyone asks, yes the readout on the scanner reads "RMT"
which sets it in remote mode. And btw, I have reset it a dozen times
too...

HELP !!!!!!!

Mike

>Mike,
>
>I have been using ScanControl by 3D Technologies latest ver. 5.2.3 on my
>BC296D and is a great program and easy to learn. I believe they offer a free
>trial download and if you purchase well worth the $$$.
>
>John...
>
>Miami, FL
>"Good Ol Dad" <bigmike@justboystoys.com> wrote in message
>news:vjq7j29t2me3ssm5c8v600athl9evgt0bq@4ax.com...
>> HI All,
>>
>> What is the best programming software for Beacat Scanners these
>> days?
>>
>> I have a BC 898T and a BC245XLT that I can't seem to figure out
>> the trunktracker programming on.
>>
>> So, I was told a scanner programming software will do it for me via
>> a serial connection my computer.
>>
>> Who has had good results with such software and where can I locate
>> it.
>>
>> Thanks in advance,

>> Mike - FL
>

5638. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 19:16:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <krgnj2l0clqqt6k60goullu2ehb7jcou1u@4ax.com>
Source record: 5019
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 14:54:16 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>

>Hey, Lone Ranger. I'm up on 20 meters right now. Care to join me? Oh, wait.
>You can't.

and you just serve up proof that code keep good people out and lets
the trash in

you can't even proeprly format a USENET posting
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5639. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 19:54:16 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dd476.qf2.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 5017
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1161541926.043189.256600@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...

Dave wrote:
> What lie??
>
> No-coders are missing a major portion of the HR experience. That is NOT a
lie!
> It is a fact.

have you done EME or FS-ATV? or used ham radio ofor RC or enaged in
metor scater contacts

OTOH you are lying

all Nocode test persons don't use code is imlied by your statement.
Indeed I one of the stauchest nocoders have explored it. I am missing
nothing it is like the compliants I heard in my youth about membrane
keyboards it was said that you can't toauch type with em, well that
only apllies if you can touch type in the first place
...

Hey, Lone Ranger. I'm up on 20 meters right now. Care to join me? Oh, wait.
You can't.
You're too busy making "scater" contacts. I guess you really do talk scat.
Ho hum.

5640. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 19:58:39 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12jnjbid50e7814@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 5020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

an_old_friend wrote:

> Dave wrote:
>
>>What lie??
>>
>>No-coders are missing a major portion of the HR experience. That is NOT a lie!
>>It is a fact.
>
>
> have you done EME or FS-ATV? or used ham radio ofor RC or enaged in
> metor scater contacts
>
> OTOH you are lying
>
> all Nocode test persons don't use code is imlied by your statement.
> Indeed I one of the stauchest nocoders have explored it. I am missing
> nothing it is like the compliants I heard in my youth about membrane
> keyboards it was said that you can't toauch type with em, well that
> only apllies if you can touch type in the first place
>

I have not experienced EME or FS-ATV or a great many other areas of amateur radio but I have done CW. I don't do it anymore but I have experienced it. CW is the one mode that can get through under almost any condition. You can (I can't anyway) copy CW in the middle of a thunder storm, using the Aurora as a reflector, using meteor ionization trails as a reflector or just direct point to point contact to accomplish this. Try that with FM, AM, SSB voice or digital.

The problem with this and similar threads is a number of individuals do not feel that they need to learn CW because they don't intend to use it.

A person of "Quality" may never need the proper etiquette to greet the Queen but you had better know how to be considered as such a person.

Dave N. WD9BDZ

5641. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 20:04:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <8ejnj2d582bp1838mbnaoj9dh4b3na0tb9@4ax.com>
Source record: 5021
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 14:58:39 -0500, "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
wrote:

>an_old_friend wrote:
>
>> Dave wrote:
>>
>>>What lie??
>>>
>>>No-coders are missing a major portion of the HR experience. That is NOT a lie!
>>>It is a fact.
>>
>>
>> have you done EME or FS-ATV? or used ham radio ofor RC or enaged in
>> metor scater contacts
>>
>> OTOH you are lying
>>
>> all Nocode test persons don't use code is imlied by your statement.
>> Indeed I one of the stauchest nocoders have explored it. I am missing
>> nothing it is like the compliants I heard in my youth about membrane
>> keyboards it was said that you can't toauch type with em, well that
>> only apllies if you can touch type in the first place
>>
>
>
>I have not experienced EME or FS-ATV or a great many other areas of
>amateur radio but I have done CW.
then you aremi ssing out when you have stopped then come back and
skold me

> I don't do it anymore but I have
>experienced it. CW is the one mode that can get through under almost any
>condition.
so? why is this such a virtue?
> You can (I can't anyway) copy CW in the middle of a thunder
>storm, using the Aurora as a reflector....
preahps I am being picky but your use of lang is flawed One can or
some can but I certainly CAN"T
>..., using meteor ionization trails
>as a reflector or just direct point to point contact to accomplish this.
>Try that with FM, AM, SSB voice or digital.

I do digital signals off meter trails most days that I operate I have
been there done that got the qsl card

>
>The problem with this and similar threads is a number of individuals do
>not feel that they need to learn CW because they don't intend to use it.

indeed if they simply don't want to use it (in my it is can't use it
or close enough for any reasonable person) why should they have to
learn it
>
>A person of "Quality" may never need the proper etiquette to greet the
>Queen but you had better know how to be considered as such a person.

your point?
>
>Dave N. WD9BDZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5642. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 21:27:43 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <06onj218q30flpvmfpqlnapnv718i9jqvu@4ax.com>
Source record: 5022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 14:23:50 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> spake
thusly:

>What lie??

It's not a lie that code has kept good people out of ham radio.

>No-coders are missing a major portion of the HR experience. That is NOT a lie!
>It is a fact.

It's too easy to find hams that know code, used code and hated it.

>Example: you can call yourself a marine two days after enlistment. But, you
>become a Marine after completion of basic training! The commitment to success
>through a period of trial and learning makes the Marine not the uniform.

Poor analogy. The Marines NEED harsh training to be able to do their
difficult jobs properly as lives and the nation depend on them. The
training is not a test of commitment, it is a harsh reality.
Ham radio is just a hobby.

>No-coders have enlisted in the hobby. But, ...

Did you not read my last post? It's right below. The guys who built
their own locomotives did NOT go up to the guys who bought theirs and
say "You are not a real model steam train operator!!!"

It's just a hobby.

>Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
>
>> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 08:47:14 -0400, "Dee Flint"
>> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>>>news:f88mj25h232km6eil2s69jbs1j8m1htgmq@4ax.com...
>>>
>>>[snip]
>>>
>>>
>>>>Sorry, but I can say for an absolute fact that your 're wrong. It had
>>>>kept me out of ham radio and I know exactly what kind of person that I
>>>>am. And before the obligatory "lazy" word is trotted out, I have to
>>>>work for the pay cheque that buys the radio, pays the rent for the
>>>>building that the radio is in and pays for the tower that is in the

>>>>back yard. I have to work extra to pay MORE for a place where I am
>>>>allowed a tower, as opposed to less expensive digs.
>>>>
>>>>Honestly, I can't believe how some pro-coders look down on no-coders
>>>>with such contempt. I was once a member of a "live steam" model
>>>>railroad club. These were larger model steam trains that ran with real
>>>>steam instead of electric power. They could be run with either propane
>>>>or coal, depending on the individual. The guys who built their
>>>>locomotives from scratch NEVER looked down on the guys who bought
>>>>theirs from a classified ad. The guys burning coal did NOT call the
>>>>propane guys "lazy". We all enjoyed a fine hobby and club meetings
>>>>were always good fun and most informative. It was great for a
>>>>mechanic, like myself, to rub shoulders with experienced retired
>>>>machinists who built these locomotives. At one of our "open houses",
>>>>which were located outdoors at the club track, my daughter took her
>>>>first steps.
>>>>
>>>>Isn't ham radio supposed to be like that? Is there no camaraderie?
>>>
>>>Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to help
>>>each other. The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders
>>>appear to want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
>>>understanding of the requirements.
>>
>> back to lying about the motives and knowledge of the NoCoders again
>> DEE
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>

5643. Lloyd Davies NOVFP brags about being a bootlegger no code on 20 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 21:30:27 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies Slappa <LDS@nomail.invalid>
Message-ID: <ehgntl$o5m$1@news.albasani.net>
Source record: 5023
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Lloyd Davies NOVFP posing as"Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote in message
news:dd476.qf2.17.1@news.alt.net...
> Hey, Lone Ranger. I'm up on 20 meters right now. Care to join me? Oh,
> wait.
> You can't.
>
You can't either, legally, lardass lloyd-tard.

http://i14.tinypic.com/2iqgw3p.jpg

5644. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 21:35:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <dvonj2p3idn1utu6874kdqmfv4e2lrqm6e@4ax.com>
Source record: 5024
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 17:30:27 -0400, "Lloyd Davies Slappa"
<LDS@nomail.invalid> wrote:

>
and remains one no matter whose id is being stolen
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5645. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 21:39:24 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <kionj25f6egsmkjatubgmdrnhhpt5emdsh@4ax.com>
Source record: 5025
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 10:54:45 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> spake thusly:

>
>"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:csL_g.15105$GR.7863@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders appear to
>>> want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
>>> understanding of the requirements.
>>
>> Speaking as a ham licensed for 50+ years, I would say a
>> lot of the divisiveness stems from coded hams trying to
>> substitute Morse code skill for technical knowledge and
>> intelligence.
>>
>> Ham#1: "I've got a PhD in RF Engineering."
>>
>> Ham#2: "Who cares, I flunked out of high school but
>> I can do 40 wpm at Morse code. So there!"
>>
>> Eliminate the code requirement and triple the difficulty
>> of the technical exams. Problem solved.
>
>Nope, won't solve the problem.
>
>The solution is to accept people as true hams that passed the exams existing
>at the time they were licensed. PERIOD!!

The solution is to accept the people who are licensed, regardless of
weather or not you agree with the test method.

My dad got his drivers license back in 1943 with NO test at all! They
just asked him "Are you blind?" He said "No" and the response was
"That will be $1 please".

I got my license in 1978 and I had to take driver training and pass 2
tests. According to some of the pro-code logic here, I should walk up
to him and tell him that he is not a real driver and that I am better
at driving than him. That would go over like a lead balloon.

5646. Mark Morgan is a retard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 21:39:58 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies Slappa <LDS@nomail.invalid>
Message-ID: <ehgofg$oo6$1@news.albasani.net>
Source record: 5026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
<Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
news:dvonj2p3idn1utu6874kdqmfv4e2lrqm6e@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 17:30:27 -0400, "Lloyd Davies Slappa"
> <LDS@nomail.invalid> wrote:
>
>>
> and remains one no matter whose id is being stolen
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
Get back to being led around by Lloyd Davies, you clueless retard. You have
to be the easiest play on the net.
```

5647. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 21:43:32 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <bfpnj2tu3g3a6iuk3qu48v0o7qhrr0d82r@4ax.com>
Source record: 5027
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 17:39:58 -0400, "Lloyd Davies Slappa"
<LDS@nomail.invalid> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
>news:dvonj2p3idn1utu6874kdqmfv4e2lrqm6e@4ax.com...
>
and remains one no matter whose id is being stolen
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5648. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 21:45:52 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <m9pnj2h8k815lc7eiaad651riqnjnot6vo@4ax.com>
Source record: 5028
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 08:47:14 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> spake thusly:

>
>"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>news:f88mj25h232km6eil2s69jbs1j8m1htgmq@4ax.com...
>
>[snip]
>
>> Sorry, but I can say for an absolute fact that your 're wrong. It had
>> kept me out of ham radio and I know exactly what kind of person that I
>> am. And before the obligatory "lazy" word is trotted out, I have to
>> work for the pay cheque that buys the radio, pays the rent for the
>> building that the radio is in and pays for the tower that is in the
>> back yard. I have to work extra to pay MORE for a place where I am
>> allowed a tower, as opposed to less expensive digs.
>>
>> Honestly, I can't believe how some pro-coders look down on no-coders
>> with such contempt. I was once a member of a "live steam" model
>> railroad club. These were larger model steam trains that ran with real
>> steam instead of electric power. They could be run with either propane
>> or coal, depending on the individual. The guys who built their
>> locomotives from scratch NEVER looked down on the guys who bought
>> theirs from a classified ad. The guys burning coal did NOT call the
>> propane guys "lazy". We all enjoyed a fine hobby and club meetings
>> were always good fun and most informative. It was great for a
>> mechanic, like myself, to rub shoulders with experienced retired
>> machinists who built these locomotives. At one of our "open houses",
>> which were located outdoors at the club track, my daughter took her
>> first steps.
>>
>> Isn't ham radio supposed to be like that? Is there no camaraderie?
>
>Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to help
>each other. The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders
>appear to want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
>understanding of the requirements. In addition, most of us have experienced
>people who said they could not learn code but upon questioning find that
>they did not use a good training method and did not train correctly. Yet
>when they decided they were tired of waiting did learn it and got their
>upgrade. Then finding out how useful it was in ham pursuits were glad that
>they had done it.

That does NOT justify the snot-nosed attitude.

>So far of each of the reasons that people put forth as to why they can't
>learn it have been disproven by the example of other people with the same
>problem having gone ahead and done it. The 5wpm level is obtainable
>although some of the problem do make faster speeds a problem (constant
>tinnitus may be a severe problem at 20 wpm for example). My ex-OM had 70%
>hearing loss in both ears and severe tinnitus. He passed the 5wpm. I know
>people with dyslexia who have passed. The blind have passed. Even the deaf
>have passed using flashing lights or vibrating pads.

Bravo for them. But that does not explain why some pro-coders are such
snot-rags.

>Do you have competitions in your model railroading activity? If you enter
>that competition, you all have to follow the published rules with no
>exceptions. If it is a competition for the "homebrewers", then those who
>don't do so can enjoy viewing them but they cannot enter into the
>competition.

No competitions, just a bunch of guys who like live steam model
trains. The club did not exist for that.

5649. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 21:55:17 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <lopnj2h3hk72v0asbd5fousbb89c195ujk@4ax.com>
Source record: 5029
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 21:39:24 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> spake thusly:

>On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 10:54:45 -0400, "Dee Flint"
><deeflint01@comcast.net> spake thusly:
>
>>
>>"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:csL_g.15105$GR.7863@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
>>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>>> The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders appear to
>>>> want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
>>>> understanding of the requirements.
>>>
>>> Speaking as a ham licensed for 50+ years, I would say a
>>> lot of the divisiveness stems from coded hams trying to
>>> substitute Morse code skill for technical knowledge and
>>> intelligence.
>>>
>>> Ham#1: "I've got a PhD in RF Engineering."
>>>
>>> Ham#2: "Who cares, I flunked out of high school but
>>> I can do 40 wpm at Morse code. So there!"
>>>
>>> Eliminate the code requirement and triple the difficulty
>>> of the technical exams. Problem solved.
>>
>>Nope, won't solve the problem.
>>
>>The solution is to accept people as true hams that passed the exams existing
>>at the time they were licensed. PERIOD!!
>
>The solution is to accept the people who are licensed, regardless of
>weather or not you agree with the test method.
>
>My dad got his drivers license back in 1943 with NO test at all! They
>just asked him "Are you blind?" He said "No" and the response was
>"That will be $1 please".
>
>I got my license in 1978 and I had to take driver training and pass 2
>tests. According to some of the pro-code logic here, I should walk up
>to him and tell him that he is not a real driver and that I am better
>at driving than him. That would go over like a lead balloon.

I forgot to mention that my dad has had a perfect driving record, not one ticket in 63 years. [yes, I did ask him] As for me, I have been known to fracture a traffic law or two. I ended up paying a hefty price for that but my record is clean now.

So, you see, lack of testing did not make my dad a bad driver while training and testing did not make me a good driver [at least not back in my teen years]. But then, I am a mechanic and I can rebuild a transmission in my back yard and you're not a real driver if you can't.

5650. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 22:03:36 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <YUR_g.15226$GR.692@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 5030
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Opus- wrote:
> ... that does not explain why some pro-coders are such snot-rags.

It is usually because they don't have a clue technically
and base their entire level of self esteem on skill in an
obsolete mode. Kicking out all the people with an IQ of
less than 110 out of amateur radio would probably solve
the whole problem.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

5651. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 22:11:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <23rnj25up9olfi1itlsv1gr3ariv322d8q@4ax.com>
Source record: 5031
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 22:03:36 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Opus- wrote:
>> ... that does not explain why some pro-coders are such snot-rags.
>
>It is usually because they don't have a clue technically
>and base their entire level of self esteem on skill in an
>obsolete mode. Kicking out all the people with an IQ of
>less than 110 out of amateur radio would probably solve
>the whole problem.
but would also exclude a number of good and decent ops as well
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5652. Re; Mark Morgan is a retard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 22:23:04 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <754gi2tmfvtrol85pqfj3j4ufiglskackj9@4ax.com>
Source record: 5032
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 17:39:58 -0400, Lloyd Davies Slappa wrote:
>
> <Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
> news:dvonj2p3idn1utu6874kdqmfv4e2lrqm6e@4ax.com...
> > On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 17:30:27 -0400, "Lloyd Davies Slappa"
> > <LDS@nomail.invalid> wrote:
> >
> >>
> > and remains one no matter whose id is being stolen
> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >
> Get back to being led around by Lloyd Davies, you clueless retard. You have
> to be the easiest play on the net.
you need to have llyod kikc you ass you stpuid scak of shit.

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

5653. Re: Re; Mark Morgan is a retard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 22:29:32 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies Slappa <LDS@nomail.invalid>
Message-ID: <ehgrce$sj5$1@news.albasani.net>
Source record: 5033
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

>Lardass Davies posing as kb9rqz
>wrote:news:754gi2tmfvtrol85pqfj3j4ufiglskackj9@4ax.com...
>
>> you need to have llyod kikc you ass you stpuid scak of shit.
>
Hi lardass NOVFP

http://i13.tinypic.com/29xhqoo.jpg

5654. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 22:31:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <29snj2lqimf9r911kdl32kmft03p20vvhp@4ax.com>
Source record: 5034
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 00:23:04 +0200 (CEST), Mark@kb9rqz.net
(Mark@kb9rqz.net) wrote:

>

and remains one no matter whose id is being stolen

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5655. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-22 23:39:23 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <LiT_g.11061$Lv3.10086@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
news:06onj218q30flpvmfpqlnapnv718i9jqvu@4ax.com:

> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 14:23:50 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> spake
> thusly:
>
>>What lie??
>
> It's not a lie that code has kept good people out of ham radio.

Whaaaaaaaaa!

SC

5656. why does SC ahte ham radioi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <0f1oj21rrtpfhgf2hfnqdbmt72l2re9ul2@4ax.com>
Source record: 5036
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 23:39:23 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>news:06onj218q30flpvmfpqlnapnv718i9jqvu@4ax.com:
>
>> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 14:23:50 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> spake
>> thusly:
>>
>>>What lie??
>>
>> It's not a lie that code has kept good people out of ham radio.
>
>
>Whaaaaaaaaa!
there he goes fouling the image some more
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5657. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-23 00:07:48 UTC
From: kd5sak <kd5sak@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <oJT_g.22919$7I1.6617@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 5037
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:LiT_g.11061$Lv3.10086@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
> news:06onj218q30flpvmfpqlnapnv718i9jqvu@4ax.com:
>
>> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 14:23:50 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> spake
>> thusly:
>>
>>>What lie??
>>
>> It's not a lie that code has kept good people out of ham radio.
>
>
> Whaaaaaaaaa!
>
> SC

It is obvious that it hasn't kept unpleasant people out of Amateur Radio..

Harold
KD5SAK

5658. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-23 00:12:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <i72oj2d53ujue59sn5be7j65lspps573m0@4ax.com>
Source record: 5038
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 00:07:48 GMT, "kd5sak" <kd5sak@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>news:LiT_g.11061$Lv3.10086@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>> news:06onj218q30flpvmfpqlnapnv718i9jqvu@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 14:23:50 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> spake
>>> thusly:
>>>
>>>>What lie??
>>>
>>> It's not a lie that code has kept good people out of ham radio.
>>
>>
>> Whaaaaaaaaa!
>>
>> SC
>
>It is obvious that it hasn't kept unpleasant people out of Amateur Radio..
aiont that the truth
>
>Harold
>KD5SAK
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5659. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-23 00:23:36 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <xeadnYk0_JsElqHYnZ2dnUVZ_qKdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 5039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:kionj25f6egsmkjatubgmdrnhhpt5emdsh@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 10:54:45 -0400, "Dee Flint"
> <deeflint01@comcast.net> spake thusly:
>
>>
>>"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:csL_g.15105$GR.7863@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
>>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>>> The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders appear to
>>>> want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
>>>> understanding of the requirements.
>>>
>>> Speaking as a ham licensed for 50+ years, I would say a
>>> lot of the divisiveness stems from coded hams trying to
>>> substitute Morse code skill for technical knowledge and
>>> intelligence.
>>>
>>> Ham#1: "I've got a PhD in RF Engineering."
>>>
>>> Ham#2: "Who cares, I flunked out of high school but
>>> I can do 40 wpm at Morse code. So there!"
>>>
>>> Eliminate the code requirement and triple the difficulty
>>> of the technical exams. Problem solved.
>>
>>Nope, won't solve the problem.
>>
>>The solution is to accept people as true hams that passed the exams
>>existing
>>at the time they were licensed. PERIOD!!
>
> The solution is to accept the people who are licensed, regardless of
> weather or not you agree with the test method.
>

Which is exactly what I said.

> My dad got his drivers license back in 1943 with NO test at all! They
> just asked him "Are you blind?" He said "No" and the response was
> "That will be $1 please".
>
> I got my license in 1978 and I had to take driver training and pass 2
> tests. According to some of the pro-code logic here, I should walk up
```

> to him and tell him that he is not a real driver and that I am better
> at driving than him. That would go over like a lead balloon.

Not according to my logic. He met the requirements of the time and you have
met the requirements of yours.

Dee

5660. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-23 00:26:29 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ibWdnVpDkqnbkaHYnZ2dnUVZ_qCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 5040
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:m9pnj2h8k815lc7eiaad651riqnjnot6vo@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 08:47:14 -0400, "Dee Flint"
> <deeflint01@comcast.net> spake thusly:
>
>>
>>"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>>news:f88mj25h232km6eil2s69jbs1j8m1htgmq@4ax.com...
>>
>>[snip]
>>
>>> Sorry, but I can say for an absolute fact that your 're wrong. It had
>>> kept me out of ham radio and I know exactly what kind of person that I
>>> am. And before the obligatory "lazy" word is trotted out, I have to
>>> work for the pay cheque that buys the radio, pays the rent for the
>>> building that the radio is in and pays for the tower that is in the
>>> back yard. I have to work extra to pay MORE for a place where I am
>>> allowed a tower, as opposed to less expensive digs.
>>>
>>> Honestly, I can't believe how some pro-coders look down on no-coders
>>> with such contempt. I was once a member of a "live steam" model
>>> railroad club. These were larger model steam trains that ran with real
>>> steam instead of electric power. They could be run with either propane
>>> or coal, depending on the individual. The guys who built their
>>> locomotives from scratch NEVER looked down on the guys who bought
>>> theirs from a classified ad. The guys burning coal did NOT call the
>>> propane guys "lazy". We all enjoyed a fine hobby and club meetings
>>> were always good fun and most informative. It was great for a
>>> mechanic, like myself, to rub shoulders with experienced retired
>>> machinists who built these locomotives. At one of our "open houses",
>>> which were located outdoors at the club track, my daughter took her
>>> first steps.
>>>
>>> Isn't ham radio supposed to be like that? Is there no camaraderie?
>>
>>Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to help
>>each other. The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders
>>appear to want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience,
>>or
>>understanding of the requirements. In addition, most of us have
>>experienced
>>people who said they could not learn code but upon questioning find that
>>they did not use a good training method and did not train correctly. Yet
```

>>when they decided they were tired of waiting did learn it and got their
>>upgrade. Then finding out how useful it was in ham pursuits were glad
>>that
>>they had done it.
>
> That does NOT justify the snot-nosed attitude.

No it doesn't but there are a lot of "better than thou" types in all areas
of human endeavor. Ignore them or you'll drive yourself nuts.

>
>>So far of each of the reasons that people put forth as to why they can't
>>learn it have been disproven by the example of other people with the same
>>problem having gone ahead and done it. The 5wpm level is obtainable
>>although some of the problem do make faster speeds a problem (constant
>>tinnitus may be a severe problem at 20 wpm for example). My ex-OM had 70%
>>hearing loss in both ears and severe tinnitus. He passed the 5wpm. I
>>know
>>people with dyslexia who have passed. The blind have passed. Even the
>>deaf
>>have passed using flashing lights or vibrating pads.
>
> Bravo for them. But that does not explain why some pro-coders are such
> snot-rags.
>

As I said, human nature has a lot of negative aspects no matter what the
activity. All one can do is ignore it and do their personal best.

>>Do you have competitions in your model railroading activity? If you enter
>>that competition, you all have to follow the published rules with no
>>exceptions. If it is a competition for the "homebrewers", then those who
>>don't do so can enjoy viewing them but they cannot enter into the
>>competition.
>
> No competitions, just a bunch of guys who like live steam model
> trains. The club did not exist for that.

Still my point is that when there are rules, one is obliged to follow them
whether they like them or not.

Dee, N8UZE

5661. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-23 00:28:09 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <7MWdndUxX4c3kaHYnZ2dnUVZ_qudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 5041
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:YUR_g.15226$GR.692@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
> Opus- wrote:
>> ... that does not explain why some pro-coders are such snot-rags.
>
> It is usually because they don't have a clue technically
> and base their entire level of self esteem on skill in an
> obsolete mode. Kicking out all the people with an IQ of
> less than 110 out of amateur radio would probably solve
> the whole problem.

Not hardly. A couple of the most obnoxious people I know rate as geniuses
on IQ tests. Brain power and social graces are independent attributes.

Dee, N8UZE

5662. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-23 00:29:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <063oj2pilok7q5sbp4aquisf712lr6hj1t@4ax.com>
Source record: 5042
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 20:23:36 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>news:kionj25f6egsmkjatubgmdrnhhpt5emdsh@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 10:54:45 -0400, "Dee Flint"
>> <deeflint01@comcast.net> spake thusly:
>>
>>>
>>>"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>news:csL_g.15105$GR.7863@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
>>>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>>>> The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders appear to
>>>>> want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
>>>>> understanding of the requirements.
>>>>
>>>> Speaking as a ham licensed for 50+ years, I would say a
>>>> lot of the divisiveness stems from coded hams trying to
>>>> substitute Morse code skill for technical knowledge and
>>>> intelligence.
>>>>
>>>> Ham#1: "I've got a PhD in RF Engineering."
>>>>
>>>> Ham#2: "Who cares, I flunked out of high school but
>>>> I can do 40 wpm at Morse code. So there!"
>>>>
>>>> Eliminate the code requirement and triple the difficulty
>>>> of the technical exams. Problem solved.
>>>
>>>Nope, won't solve the problem.
>>>
>>>The solution is to accept people as true hams that passed the exams
>>>existing
>>>at the time they were licensed. PERIOD!!
>>
>> The solution is to accept the people who are licensed, regardless of
>> weather or not you agree with the test method.
>>
>
>Which is exactly what I said.

and exactly what you don't do yourself
>

>> My dad got his drivers license back in 1943 with NO test at all! They
>> just asked him "Are you blind?" He said "No" and the response was
>> "That will be $1 please".
>>
>> I got my license in 1978 and I had to take driver training and pass 2
>> tests. According to some of the pro-code logic here, I should walk up
>> to him and tell him that he is not a real driver and that I am better
>> at driving than him. That would go over like a lead balloon.
>
>Not according to my logic. He met the requirements of the time and you have
>met the requirements of yours.
and yet that does not apply in your posts

according to you only those already code tested and experenced may
even comment on code testing
>
>Dee
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5663. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-23 00:46:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <j64oj2p6nfrh8hhjfku3mqgrbbsiq5lhjk@4ax.com>
Source record: 5043
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 20:28:09 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:YUR_g.15226$GR.692@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
>> Opus- wrote:
>>> ... that does not explain why some pro-coders are such snot-rags.
>>
>> It is usually because they don't have a clue technically
>> and base their entire level of self esteem on skill in an
>> obsolete mode. Kicking out all the people with an IQ of
>> less than 110 out of amateur radio would probably solve
>> the whole problem.
>
>Not hardly. A couple of the most obnoxious people I know rate as geniuses
>on IQ tests. Brain power and social graces are independent attributes.
indeed aand code skill is also an indenpenat variable but you like to
claim that you know anybody can learn Morse Code dee
>
>Dee, N8UZE
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5664. Plectron R720A - ni-cad battery pak spec

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-23 02:59:24 UTC
From: w9gb <spam_protect@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <maudnVVF36mYraHYnZ2dnUVZ_q2dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 5044

I am looking for the battery specification for the Plectron 720A (monitor receiver). The documentation that I have does not list the original battery number. There was no battery in unit when I received (common).

Could have really used feature with loss of commercial power in recent storm events.

g. beat

5665. Re: Plectron R720A - ni-cad battery pak spec

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-23 03:41:27 UTC
From: Reed <reedh@rmi.net>
Message-ID: <HRW_g.18038$UG4.12286@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 5045

w9gb wrote:
> I am looking for the battery specification for the Plectron 720A (monitor
> receiver). The documentation that I have does not list the original battery
> number. There was no battery in unit when I received (common).
>
> Could have really used feature with loss of commercial power in recent storm
> events.
>
> g. beat
>
>
>
not positive, but check here to see if this might work

http://www.dantona.com/pdf_handbook/page_121.pdf

5666. Re: 2 scanners off of 1 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-23 06:20:04 UTC
From: TongSlinger <klakkyremove@email.com>
Message-ID: <9c45$453c5f3a$943fd7b5$4193@STARBAND.NET>
Source record: 5046

thanks guys for the info.

I have another question if I put the antenna half way up the tower will I
have decreased signal coming into the antenna through the triangular tower.
would this not let the antenna be truly Omni? my tower is about 75 feet tall
and I do not have access to the top of the tower?

"Jeff" <oldog@(nospam)mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:AKQZg.245250$1i1.51592@attbi_s72...
>
> "TongSlinger" <klakky"remove"@email.com> wrote in message
> news:554f8$4537186b$943fd7b5$6011@STARBAND.NET...
>> I want to put 2 scanners on a diamond d discone antenna. is this possible
>> without to much loss?
>> thanks
>

>
> Forgot to add an important point. If you have a coax run of more
> than
> 10-20 feet please dont use RG 58. You will get more loss from it than
> the splitter especially at the higher freqs. If you dont want to/cant run
> the bigger heavier coax i.e. RG 213 go with RG 6. Its a 75 ohm cable
> instead of 50 but has very good loss rates and the difference in
> impedance's
> will be negligible and its the same basic diameter of RG 58.
>
>
> J
>
>

5667. FREE Radio Logging database for you all to play with

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-23 12:42:24 UTC
From: MC <incog_mail@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161607324.85519.0@iris.uk.clara.net>
Source record: 5047

Hi people,

Just to let you know that I have put up for download a Radio Logging database app for MS Access for whom ever wants to have a play with it. I did create it for myself but with a little tweaking here and there it is now suitable for the general public.

http://radio.mctowers.co.uk

Enjoy!

MC

5668. Re: FREE Radio Logging database for you all to play with

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-23 16:25:06 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <yaSdnWBZHcF6caHYnZ2dnUVZ_rCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 5048

"MC" <incog_mail@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1161607324.85519.0@iris.uk.clara.net...
> Hi people,
>
> Just to let you know that I have put up for download a Radio Logging
> database app for MS Access for whom ever wants to have a play with it. I
> did create it for myself but with a little tweaking here and there it is
> now
> suitable for the general public.
>
> http://radio.mctowers.co.uk
>
> Enjoy!
>
> MC
>
>

Nice contribution to the hobby!

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

5669. BC 700 vs BC 350c

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-23 18:10:37 UTC
From: Wheels63 <tjax63@charter.net>
Message-ID: <1161627037.307437.172900@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 5049

I have been on E-bay looking for another scanner for my car.I was looking very hard at the BC 700.Then I looked at a BC 350c witch I belives picks up the same freqs.I`v seen the price of the 700s go up to $150.00.I got a BC 350c for $52.00.I know the BC 700 can be modded very easy to pick up cell phones,but with cell phones all going to dig. you will not be able to hear anything anyways.So why are so many people wanting the BC700 made over ten years ago over the BC 350c that was made less than two years ago and they can get them for less $$.Am I right on this ? Besides the 700 being able to pick up cell phones ,What is the differents between the two ?

Tom/Wisc.

5670. Re: 2 scanners off of 1 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-23 23:53:17 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <33lqj2hg6sl3f1drao90pcun2q0ug1a83o@4ax.com>
Source record: 5050

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 01:20:04 -0500, "TongSlinger"
<klakky"remove"@email.com> wrote:

>I have another question if I put the antenna half way up the tower will I
>have decreased signal coming into the antenna through the triangular tower.
>would this not let the antenna be truly Omni? my tower is about 75 feet tall
>and I do not have access to the top of the tower?

Depending on the distance between the tower and the antenna, and the
frequency, you'll have a directional antenna pointing somewhere -
either away from the tower, side-to-side relative to a line between
the antenna and tower or somewhere between the two. You'd have to
mount the antenna at least 3 or 4 wavelengths at the lowest frequency
you're interested in (which would be around 25 feet at 150 MHZ,
further for aircraft or VHF-lo) - 6 to 10 wavelengths is better - to
get back a somewhat circular pattern.

Since you'll be using the antenna at widely different frequencies
there's no one solution unless the transmitters you want to monitor
just happen to all be exactly where the antenna pattern points for
that frequency. If you're that lucky, buy all the lottery tickets you
can find - they'll all be winners.

5671. Re: 10 meter 11 meter antenna interaction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-25 00:06:29 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <9Ux%g.12442$Lv3.3541@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4951
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
news:ZJg%g.15867$GR2.6795@tornado.texas.rr.com:

> Disregard that idiot. If it were up to him, we'd give up all voice
> communication and bang code out on each other's heads like cavemen. Of
> course, that really sounds like a novel idea to try on S-L-O-O-O-W Code.

No, you can still have your microphone but you should be forced to pass
a code test before you're allowed to use it. I like 5 WPM for Tech, 13
for General, and 20wpm for Extra, but then, I'm not lazy.

SC

5672. Re: 10 meter 11 meter antenna interaction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-25 00:14:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <s1btj25jmlmsqo212g4r53mj9gqfpbajiv@4ax.com>
Source record: 4952
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 00:06:29 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>news:ZJg%g.15867$GR2.6795@tornado.texas.rr.com:
>
>> Disregard that idiot. If it were up to him, we'd give up all voice
>> communication and bang code out on each other's heads like cavemen. Of
>> course, that really sounds like a novel idea to try on S-L-O-O-O-W Code.
>
>
>
>No, you can still have your microphone but you should be forced
forced that is what you are all about FORCE you can't persaude anybody
so you have to try and make em
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5673. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-25 16:15:42 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1161792942.156408.135160@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4953
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Oct 22 2006 8:47am

>"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>
>[snip]
>
>> Sorry, but I can say for an absolute fact that your 're wrong. It had
>> kept me out of ham radio and I know exactly what kind of person that I
>> am. And before the obligatory "lazy" word is trotted out, I have to
>> work for the pay cheque that buys the radio, pays the rent for the
>> building that the radio is in and pays for the tower that is in the
>> back yard. I have to work extra to pay MORE for a place where I am
>> allowed a tower, as opposed to less expensive digs.
>
>> Honestly, I can't believe how some pro-coders look down on no-coders
>> with such contempt. I was once a member of a "live steam" model
>> railroad club. These were larger model steam trains that ran with real
>> steam instead of electric power. They could be run with either propane
>> or coal, depending on the individual. The guys who built their
>> locomotives from scratch NEVER looked down on the guys who bought
>> theirs from a classified ad. The guys burning coal did NOT call the
>> propane guys "lazy". We all enjoyed a fine hobby and club meetings
>> were always good fun and most informative. It was great for a
>> mechanic, like myself, to rub shoulders with experienced retired
>> machinists who built these locomotives. At one of our "open houses",
>> which were located outdoors at the club track, my daughter took her
>> first steps.
>
>> Isn't ham radio supposed to be like that? Is there no camaraderie?
>
>Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to help
>each other.

Then why don't they?

>The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders
>appear to want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
>understanding of the requirements.

Nonsense. Pro-coders do NOT have some "lock" on What The
Requirements Should Be. They never did, despite all the
pro-code propaganda drilled into your respective psyches.

It should be quite obvious that every other radio service
has either given up on using morse code for communications

or never considered it in the first place. Manual radio-telegraphy has only a slight advantage in communications with other amateurs using radiotelegraphy who do not speak English.

Note: Nowhere in the "requirements" (Title 47 C.F.R. Part 97 for US radio amateurs) is it mandatory for US amateurs to communicate with foreigners. NB: Non-English speakers using International Morse Code are, essentially, required to learn parts of English to understand the English alphabet (difficult if their native language is syllabic or has a different alphabet).

The ITU-R "requirements" (Radio Regulations) no longer "require" administrations to test ALL their amateurs for any license having below-30-MHz privileges. The major (in population) nation administrations have dropped their morse code testing or substitute other tests in lieu of morse code. Since some of those nations do not have English as a primary language, those will have some future difficulty using that (supposed "universal language" of morse code) for communications with USA radio amateurs.

>In addition, most of us have experienced
>people who said they could not learn code but upon questioning find that
>they did not use a good training method and did not train correctly.

More overtly biased opinion...written AS IF morse code were an "absolute requirement" when it is merely an old regulatory hanger-on in USA amateur federal rules.

The REGULATION (not "the requirement") for US radio amateurs is simply a man-made regulation which can be un-man-made. It is not some God-given commandment of radio. Indeed, all other US radio services operating below 30 MHz do NOT use morse code radiotelegraphy. Why should radio amateurs be held elevated to some special significance?

Except for the older military-trained radiotelegraphers in US amateur radio, all the tales told (by so-called successful pro-coders) have them doing basic learning then trying out on the amateur radio bands for greater skill in radiotelegraphy.

The basic fallacy of pro-coder thinking is that "all" have some innate ability to learn morse code. That has been disproven as far back as World War II when the US military began screening new recruits for the aptitude to learn morse code. That fallacy has been disproven by countless other tales of individuals who tried the so-called "good training methods" and tried to "train

correctly" (even under strict supervision).

>Yet
>when they decided they were tired of waiting did learn it and got their
>upgrade.

The "upgrade requirements" were lobbied for to emphasize
morse code radiotelegraphy skill. That is history. It
would have been difficult to overcome the lobbying of the
ARRL towards such "upgrades through morsemanship." Yet
there has been efforts by concerned radio amateurs (who
have been tested to the maximum telegraphic radtes) to
eliminate the morse code test entire. That is not some
strict USA effort since the ITU did change international
amateur Radio Regulations in 2003...under pressure from
the IARU. Your sentence is written with an obvious
pro-coder bias.

>Then finding out how useful it was in ham pursuits were glad that
>they had done it.

Another fallacy and another pro-coder bias statement. It is
obvious that many, many US radio amateurs were NOT favorites
of morse radiotelegraphy and never used it after they received
their first license.

>So far of each of the reasons that people put forth as to why they can't
>learn it have been disproven by the example of other people with the same
>problem having gone ahead and done it.

Yet another fallacy and a repetition of the earlier fallacy
that all US human beings are somehow able to learn morse
code...provided they have some (mysterious) "attitude"
adjustment in favor of radiotelegraphy as an "absolute"
requirement in radio?

>The 5wpm level is obtainable
>although some of the problem do make faster speeds a problem (constant
>tinnitus may be a severe problem at 20 wpm for example).

Then they should use "flashing lights or vibrating pads."

The reduction to 5 WPM equivalent word rate was an attempt
of the FCC to satisfy both the pro-morse-code-test citizens
and the (ever-growing) NO-code-test advocates. It satisfied
neither.

>My ex-OM had 70%
>hearing loss in both ears and severe tinnitus. He passed the 5wpm.

It would seem that one of you (perhaps both) at lost the
ability to understand the "I do" at your marriage ceremony?

Did your "EX" pass using flashing lights or vibrating pads?

>I know people with dyslexia who have passed.

I knew people with terminal cancer who "passed." [just not
the code test]

I "know people" ranging from PhD aerospace gurus to never-
make-star-quality bimbo actresses and thousands of shades
of personal abilities in between. I know few dyslexics.

>The blind have passed.

I am acquainted with several blind people through the
Braille Institute. None of them had any desire to learn
morse code. They were thankful enough to be able to get
around by themselves and be reasonably productive in life.

>Even the deaf have passed using flashing lights or vibrating pads.

In the year that Ham Radio magazine sold out to CQ, I
interviewed 11 licensed radio amateurs preparing an article
for that magazine. ALL of them passed their code tests
for amateur radio licenses when they could still hear.
None of them "passed using flashing lights or vibrating
pads." All were male. One was a practicing dentist.

>Do you have competitions in your model railroading activity? If you enter
>that competition, you all have to follow the published rules with no
>exceptions.

Part 97, Title 47 C.F.R. does NOT manadate that US radio
amateurs engage in "competition" radio activity.
Federal law (Communications Act of 1934 plus the Tele-
communications Act of 1996) requires ALL US radio
amateurs to follow its regulations. That is NO contest
nor a "competition" activity. It is merely the LAW.

As with all US federal agencies, the FCC does accept
citizen commentary to them regarding radio regulations.
The FCC responds to Petitions submitted by US citizens
in regards to those radio regulations. [however, not
with blinding speeds of decision in regards to amateur
radio] Nowhere does the FCC discriminate between those
are already licensed in amateur radio versus those not
licensed. FCC does not treat the group of already-
licensed as some kind of fraternal order of the already-
licensed to be listened to over and above all other
interested citizens.

The morse code test (for under-30-MHz operating privileges)
affects the non-licensed US citizens. It does NOT affect
those already legally licensed as radio amateurs...except
in the limited conditions of certain already-licensed
Technician classes. That code test does NOT legally
affect ANY other already-licensed US radio amateur. If

they say it does then they have some emotional disturbance (not a legal problem nor a regulatory problem).

I know a few model railroaders. As far as I know none are into "competitions" concerning their hobby. They do it for the fun of model railroading. As a hobby, not as a substitute for life...nor advancing the state of the art in rail transport.

I know many more model builders and model aircraft flyers. [I have been both] The Academy of Model Aeronautics (AMA) is a membership organization (about a quarter million members in the USA) with a large rule set to follow in flying model aircraft. That rule set is for both competition flying and for safety; there is special liability insurance for members of the AMA in regards to that flying activity. There is no absolute requirement to be an AMA member to enjoy model airplane flying nor is there some federal test one must take to be one. It is a hobby...yet the AMA has successfully petitioned for and gotten many radio channels expressly for model remote control. No code test nor license was required. You may read about it in Part 95, Title 47 C.F.R. under Radio Control Radio Service.

"Park flyers" are free to fly models, even to radio-control them, all without being licensed by the FCC or as a member of the Academy of Model Aeronautics. Add to that the R-C cars and boats. There is a very large model hobby industry existing in the USA to provide for such hobbyists. From the size of that industry the number of modelers would easily equal the number of USA radio amateurs...if not exceeding it.

Your comments in regards to "competitiveness" do not apply to US citizens seeking to change existing radio regulations in the USA, any radio service.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

5674. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-25 18:09:26 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <qLN%g.1102$s6.400@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4954
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Oct 22 2006 8:47am
>> Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to help
>> each other.
>
> Then why don't they?

Because a lot of pro-coders would rather belittle
no-coders than help them?
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

5675. nice pikture of tood

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-25 20:15:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <453fb8e9$0$19731$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 4955
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

http://i12.tinypic.com/2v809k3.jpg

ist from mi blogs wit tood
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5676. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-25 20:35:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <tgivj2hl2aqklbai7uj2h4kit3tv7dd78m@4ax.com>
Source record: 4956
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 25 Oct 2006 09:15:42 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Oct 22 2006 8:47am
>
>>"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>>
>>[snip]
>>

>>The 5wpm level is obtainable
>>although some of the problem do make faster speeds a problem (constant
>>tinnitus may be a severe problem at 20 wpm for example).
>
> Then they should use "flashing lights or vibrating pads."
>
> The reduction to 5 WPM equivalent word rate was an attempt
> of the FCC to satisfy both the pro-morse-code-test citizens
> and the (ever-growing) NO-code-test advocates. It satisfied
> neither.
you left out the 3rd body to be satifiased Dept of state advised the
treaty REQUIRED morse and the FCC satfieied niether ham body fully
although I think the Nocoder were more or satifed with the FCC's
action till 2003 warc
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5677. PRO-2035 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-25 20:35:57 UTC
From: Private_Sale <ottprivatesale@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ehoi05$8pd$1@registered.motzarella.org>
Source record: 4957

Does anyone know if this scanner has triple conversion receive?

5678. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-25 20:36:42 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <8mivj2duqk1niruk8vn9l9a5bhustomtuj@4ax.com>
Source record: 4958
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 16:15:15 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:

that you keep comiting
> http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5679. Re: 11 meter quad beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-25 23:50:59 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <DLS%g.19209$UG4.11871@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4959
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:20061025064758.032$bv@newsreader.com:

> "pete" <pete44@exer.co.uk> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20061025005036.300$VE@newsreader.com...
>> > Which is the best light wind load horizontal/vertical on the market
>> > today?
>>
>> Hardly anyone uses them, try google for reviews.
>>
> Yeah I tried that first and it seems you're right, Pete. My Y-quad is
> wore out after many years of good service and I was wondering if the
> Maco comet would be much of an upgrade:
>
> http://www.bellscb.com/products/antennas/Maco/maco_comet.htm
>
> Or just buy another Y-quad.
>
> http://www.bellscb.com/products/antennas/Maco/yquad.htm
>
> I wish the PDL II was still being made.

I'm glad they quit making it. CB'ers should be seen, not heard.

SC

5680. I didn't even have to look to see who posted.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-25 23:51:07 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <LLS%g.19216$UG4.18499@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4960
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

I saw it was 246 lines and I knew LenAnderson was expelling gas again.

SC

5681. Re: Would you join the ARRL if you found out they were only interested in selling memberships?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-25 23:51:41 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <hMS%g.19233$UG4.7060@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4961
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Robert Grizzard <grizzarv@mindspring.com.invalid> wrote in
news:pan.2006.10.25.01.06.24.609041@pan:

> On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 00:12:30 +0000, in rec.radio.swap, "Chris"
> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>>
>> My, my. Someone whose idea of making a point is to change the subject.
>>
>> 1. The newsgroups were selected by one of your own.
>
> No; they were selected by a malevolent troll who presents a data point
> indicating that W2NSD/1 might have been on to something, assuming he
> really is an Extra.
>
>> I merely followed up
>> to the thread. Talk to the original poster if you are really serious
>> (which of course you are not);
>
> He has been challenged on his behavior before. He has replied with his
> five lifetime goals. He is a one-trick pony.
>
> He is boring.
>
>> 2. The URI you list is non-authoritative, and probably unreliable. The
>> primary authoritative sources on Usenet posting are to be found in the
>> news.answers group.
>
> http://snipurl.com/10buv
>
> (That expands to
> ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-hierarchy/news/newusers/questions/How_to
> _find_the_right_place_to_post_(FAQ), by the way.)
>
> # Newsgroups:
> # news.announce.newusers,news.groups.questions,news.groups.reviews,
> # news.groups,news.newusers.questions,alt.config,alt.answers,NEWS.ANSWERS
>
> Seems it *was* posted to NEWS.ANSWERS.
>
>> Accept nothing else (I suggest that you take a look
>> at the posting FAQ, because you've already violated several of its
>> guidelines), and

>
> Really. Several?
>
> Which ones, praytell? Posting to a newsgroup I don't read? I know
> where rrap is; I choose not to read it. Nevertheless, it is dragged
> willy nilly into a newsgroup I *do* read.
>
> The questions were rhetorical, by the way. You needn't answer.
>
>> 3. Remove the hook that's dangling from your mouth. Everybody can
>> see that you've been snapping at troll bait.
>
> He says, to my first response to this incessant interminable internecine
> war.
>
> Welcome to my killfile. You and "Slow Code" can keep each other
> company.

You try to help someone be a better ham and look what happens, they killfile you. I knew dumbing down ham radio would let in a bunch of ungrateful people, but I didn't think it would get this bad.

SC

5682. Re: Would you join the ARRL if you found out they were only interested in selling memberships?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-26 00:20:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <aovvj2lblnurrqkb0bt6jjtd7tssff7nuj@4ax.com>
Source record: 4962
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:41 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Robert Grizzard <grizzarv@mindspring.com.invalid> wrote in
>news:pan.2006.10.25.01.06.24.609041@pan:
>
>> On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 00:12:30 +0000, in rec.radio.swap, "Chris"
>> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>>>
>>> My, my. Someone whose idea of making a point is to change the subject.
>>>
>>> 1. The newsgroups were selected by one of your own.
>>
>> No; they were selected by a malevolent troll who presents a data point
>> indicating that W2NSD/1 might have been on to something, assuming he
>> really is an Extra.
>>
>>> I merely followed up
>>> to the thread. Talk to the original poster if you are really serious
>>> (which of course you are not);
>>
>> He has been challenged on his behavior before. He has replied with his
>> five lifetime goals. He is a one-trick pony.
>>
>> He is boring.
>>
>>> 2. The URI you list is non-authoritative, and probably unreliable. The
>>> primary authoritative sources on Usenet posting are to be found in the
>>> news.answers group.
>>
>> http://snipurl.com/10buv
>>
>> (That expands to
>> ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-hierarchy/news/newusers/questions/How_to
>> _find_the_right_place_to_post_(FAQ), by the way.)
>>
>> # Newsgroups:
>> # news.announce.newusers,news.groups.questions,news.groups.reviews,
>> # news.groups,news.newusers.questions,alt.config,alt.answers,NEWS.ANSWERS
>>
>> Seems it *was* posted to NEWS.ANSWERS.
>>
>>> Accept nothing else (I suggest that you take a look

>>> at the posting FAQ, because you've already violated several of its
>>> guidelines), and
>>
>> Really. Several?
>>
>> Which ones, praytell? Posting to a newsgroup I don't read? I know
>> where rrap is; I choose not to read it. Nevertheless, it is dragged
>> willy nilly into a newsgroup I *do* read.
>>
>> The questions were rhetorical, by the way. You needn't answer.
>>
>>> 3. Remove the hook that's dangling from your mouth. Everybody can
>>> see that you've been snapping at troll bait.
>>
>> He says, to my first response to this incessant interminable internecine
>> war.
>>
>> Welcome to my killfile. You and "Slow Code" can keep each other
>> company.
>
>
>You try to help someone be a better ham and look what happens, they
>killfile you.
you might look at yourself but no EVERYTHING is OTHER peoeples fault
indeed you sound lIke Manson complaining that peopel were not going
along with his dieas and so to help he saged the Tate and LaBianca
Killing

is that you plan for the ARS SC?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5683. Re: 11 meter quad beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-26 00:22:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <otvvj2pdsc99dd5if1djbsl07kqfd72826@4ax.com>
Source record: 4963
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:50:59 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>news:20061025064758.032$bv@newsreader.com:
>
>> "pete" <pete44@exer.co.uk> wrote:
>>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:20061025005036.300$VE@newsreader.com...
>>> > Which is the best light wind load horizontal/vertical on the market
>>> > today?
>>>
>>> Hardly anyone uses them, try google for reviews.
>>>
>> Yeah I tried that first and it seems you're right, Pete. My Y-quad is
>> wore out after many years of good service and I was wondering if the
>> Maco comet would be much of an upgrade:
>>
>> http://www.bellscb.com/products/antennas/Maco/maco_comet.htm
>>
>> Or just buy another Y-quad.
>>
>> http://www.bellscb.com/products/antennas/Maco/yquad.htm
>>
>> I wish the PDL II was still being made.
>
>
>I'm glad they quit making it. CB'ers should be seen, not heard.
you hear CBer SC? that means are on CB meaning you ARE a CBer and by
your standards unlike to hold a license
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5684. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-26 00:25:24 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <aJGdnRBtQNAand3YnZ2dnUVZ_oSdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 4964
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:qLN%g.1102$s6.400@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Oct 22 2006 8:47am
>>> Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to
>>> help
>>> each other.
>>
>> Then why don't they?
>
> Because a lot of pro-coders would rather belittle
> no-coders than help them?

More likely a knee jerk reaction to the very few but very vocal ones who try to come in and act like they know all there is to know about radio when the "ink isn't even dry on their license". It's unfortunate that the experienced hams don't have the discipline to withstand this nonsense without such knee-jerk reactions.

When a new licensee (the level of license is irrelevant) tells me that you can't work DX without an amplifier, I just tell him about the countries I worked with my 100 watt radio and relatively low mount G5RV. But some hams turn bitter instead when a newbie insists that he is right and they are wrong.

Dee, N8UZE

5685. geive the trol a break

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-26 00:29:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <n900k2d93ih4hmlcu03ph08aiqo9hvkhal@4ax.com>
Source record: 4965
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:07 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>
>I saw it was 246 lines and I knew LenAnderson was expelling gas again.
no one will mistake you for a good ham SC
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5686. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-26 00:33:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <gg00k29fit9o5qk6sj2o1inqhvjbn5ps8k@4ax.com>
Source record: 4966
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:25:24 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:qLN%g.1102$s6.400@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Oct 22 2006 8:47am
>>>> Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to
>>>> help
>>>> each other.
>>>
>>> Then why don't they?
>>
>> Because a lot of pro-coders would rather belittle
>> no-coders than help them?
>
>More likely a knee jerk reaction to the very few but very vocal ones who try
>to come in and act like they know all there is to know about radio when the
>"ink isn't even dry on their license".
and of course you never look to YOUR own posting the News ham are
required to endure your abuse along with the rest
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5687. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-26 00:39:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <754hl89tmfvtrol85wrsj3j4ufigl9htkj9@4ax.com>
Source record: 4967
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

I punce on toposting now instaed of old fashon carp that Dee uses. and most
of thema re wash balony in old foger. it is true.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com
On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:25:24 -0400, Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:qLN%g.1102$s6.400@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Oct 22 2006 8:47am
> >>> Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to
> >>> help
> >>> each other.
> >>
> >> Then why don't they?
> >>
> > Because a lot of pro-coders would rather belittle
> > no-coders than help them?
>
> More likely a knee jerk reaction to the very few but very vocal ones who try
> to come in and act like they know all there is to know about radio when the
> "ink isn't even dry on their license". It's unfortunate that the
> experienced hams don't have the discipline to withstand this nonsense
> without such knee-jerk reactions.
>
> When a new licensee (the level of license is irrelevant) tells me that you
> can't work DX without an amplifier, I just tell him about the countries I
> worked with my 100 watt radio and relatively low mount G5RV. But some hams
> turn bitter instead when a newbie insists that he is right and they are
> wrong.
>
> Dee, N8UZE

5688. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-26 00:41:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161823268.868278.57140@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4968
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>
forger

5689. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-26 00:59:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161824367.626333.274320@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4969
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Chris wrote:
> On 25 Oct 2006 17:41:08 -0700, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> > >
> > forger
>
> That's "froger". F-R-O-G-E-R!!! GOT IT???????
no the word is forger

that you can't even spell as well as I can

5690. Re: PRO-2035 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-26 03:49:08 UTC
From: ScanDaBands <tommyboys_news@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <t2c0k299rmt2aju9jkmrg6aj7n0j3a5i5u@4ax.com>
Source record: 4970

YES IT DOES , look here for more

http://strongsignals.net/access/reviews/reviews.cgi?type=display&rtype=rev&class=recv&num=011

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 16:35:57 -0400, "Private_Sale"
<ottprivatesale@gmail.com> wrote:

>Does anyone know if this scanner has triple conversion receive?
>

5691. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-26 04:07:56 UTC
From: vetefistest <vetswlham@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161835676.853678.129230@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4971
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

AYE and amen.
Dave wrote:
> Opus- wrote:
>
> SNIPPED
> >
> >
> > I'm not, nor will I ever. If code means associating with bigots like
> > you, then I want no part of it. Much rather talk to civilized people.
>
> Then we will gladly acknowledge that you desire to leave this NG.
>
> We don't need the profanity, the attitude and the whimpering.
>
> All in favor, say AYE! ...

5692. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-26 04:57:58 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <n1g0k2h8kugbpj65vjk8fc96uk5hsl70k8@4ax.com>
Source record: 4972
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Don't you have some offs to fuck?

On 25 Oct 2006 21:07:56 -0700, "vetefistest" <vetswlham@yahoo.com>
spake thusly:

> AYE and amen.
>Dave wrote:
>> Opus- wrote:
>>
>> SNIPPED
>> >
>> >
>> > I'm not, nor will I ever. If code means associating with bigots like
>> > you, then I want no part of it. Much rather talk to civilized people.
>>
>> Then we will gladly acknowledge that you desire to leave this NG.
>>
>> We don't need the profanity, the attitude and the whimpering.
>>
>> All in favor, say AYE! ...

5693. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-26 10:36:04 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1161858964.081670.257920@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4973
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Oct 22 2006 8:47am
> >"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message

> >Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to help
> >each other.
>
> Then why don't they?

They *do*, Len.

> >The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders
> >appear to want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
> >understanding of the requirements.
>
> Nonsense.

No, it's the truth.

> Pro-coders do NOT have some "lock" on What The
> Requirements Should Be.

Nobody says they do.

> They never did, despite all the
> pro-code propaganda drilled into your respective psyches.

No such "propaganda", Len.

> It should be quite obvious that every other radio service
> has either given up on using morse code for communications
> or never considered it in the first place.

Why is that important to *amateur radio* policy, Len? Amateurs *do* use
Morse Code - extensively.

> Manual radio-
> telegraphy has only a slight advantage in communications
> with other amateurs using radiotelegraphy who do not speak
> English.

Actually, Morse Code has a lot of advantages.

> Note: Nowhere in the "requirements" (Title 47 C.F.R. Part
> 97 for US radio amateurs) is it mandatory for US amateurs
> to communicate with foreigners.

That's true. But one of the Basis and Purposes of the Amateur Radio Service is international good will. Communicating with "foreigners" is one way to do that.

> NB: Non-English speakers
> using International Morse Code are, essentially, required
> to learn parts of English to understand the English
> alphabet (difficult if their native language is syllabic
> or has a different alphabet).

Of course. So?
>
> The ITU-R "requirements" (Radio Regulations) no longer
> "require" administrations to test ALL their amateurs for
> any license having below-30-MHz privileges.

Yep. That changed almost 3-1/2 years ago. Yet FCC has not acted upon it.

> The major
> (in population) nation administrations have dropped their
> morse code testing or substitute other tests in lieu of
> morse code.

How do you know?

Have China, India and the countries making up the former Soviet Union changed their Morse Code test policy? How about Japan?

Seems to me that the changes have mostly occurred in Western Europe, the British Commonwealth, and a few South American countries.

> Since some of those nations do not have
> English as a primary language, those will have some future
> difficulty using that (supposed "universal language" of
> morse code) for communications with USA radio amateurs.
>
> >In addition, most of us have experienced
> >people who said they could not learn code but upon questioning find that
> >they did not use a good training method and did not train correctly.
>
> More overtly biased opinion...written AS IF morse code
> were an "absolute requirement" when it is merely an old
> regulatory hanger-on in USA amateur federal rules.

It's a fact that at least some people use poorly-designed training methods.

> The REGULATION (not "the requirement") for US radio
> amateurs is simply a man-made regulation which can be
> un-man-made. It is not some God-given commandment of
> radio.

That's true. In fact, *all* radio license requirements are man-made.

> Indeed, all other US radio services operating
> below 30 MHz do NOT use morse code radiotelegraphy.

Why is that so important? Do you think radio amateurs should not use it
either?

> Why should radio amateurs be held elevated to some
> special significance?

It's not about 'special significance".

Radio amateurs *do* use Morse Code, so it makes sense to test for
knowledge of it.
>
> Except for the older military-trained radiotelegraphers
> in US amateur radio, all the tales told (by so-called
> successful pro-coders) have them doing basic learning
> then trying out on the amateur radio bands for greater
> skill in radiotelegraphy.

What's wrong with that?

> The basic fallacy of pro-coder thinking is that "all"
> have some innate ability to learn morse code.

There are obviously those who cannot learn it - just as there are those
who cannot learn to speak, or read and write, or who cannot pass the
written tests.

> That has
> been disproven as far back as World War II when the US
> military began screening new recruits for the aptitude
> to learn morse code.

Nope.

The military aptitude testing was done to find those who could learn
the fastest and reach the highest levels of skill in the least time.
The requirements for military radio telegraphers were much higher than
for amateurs, and the military could not afford lots of time to train
them.

btw, the existence of such aptitude testing proves that the US military
needed large numbers of Morse Code skilled radio operators during WW2.

> That fallacy has been disproven
> by countless other tales of individuals who tried the
> so-called "good training methods" and tried to "train
> correctly" (even under strict supervision).

Who are they, Len?

> >Yet
> >when they decided they were tired of waiting did learn it and got their
> >upgrade.
>

> The "upgrade requirements" were lobbied for to emphasize
> morse code radiotelegraphy skill. That is history.

Who lobbied for those requirements, Len?

When did they lobby for the requirements?

The fact is that in all the history of US amateur radio licensing,
every increase in Morse Code testing has been accompanied by an
increase in written test requirements. So the emphasis has always been
balanced between written testing and Morse Code testing.

Since 1990 it has not been necessary for anyone with a doctor's note
seeking an FCC-issued amateur license to go beyond the basic 5 wpm
test. Since 2000 it has not been necessary for anyone seeking an
FCC-issued amateur license to go beyond the basic 5 wpm test.

> It
> would have been difficult to overcome the lobbying of the
> ARRL towards such "upgrades through morsemanship."

When did ARRL do such lobbying, Len? 1936?

> Yet
> there has been efforts by concerned radio amateurs (who
> have been tested to the maximum telegraphic radtes) to
> eliminate the morse code test entire.

And efforts by others to retain the test.

> >The 5wpm level is obtainable
> >although some of the problem do make faster speeds a problem (constant
> >tinnitus may be a severe problem at 20 wpm for example).
>
> Then they should use "flashing lights or vibrating pads."
>
> The reduction to 5 WPM equivalent word rate was an attempt
> of the FCC to satisfy both the pro-morse-code-test citizens
> and the (ever-growing) NO-code-test advocates. It satisfied
> neither.

In other words, it didn't satisfy *you*

> >Do you have competitions in your model railroading activity? If you enter
> >that competition, you all have to follow the published rules with no
> >exceptions.
>
> Part 97, Title 47 C.F.R. does NOT manadate that US radio
> amateurs engage in "competition" radio activity.
> Federal law (Communications Act of 1934 plus the Tele-
> communications Act of 1996) requires ALL US radio
> amateurs to follow its regulations. That is NO contest
> nor a "competition" activity. It is merely the LAW.

And it still is! That's a good thing.
>
> As with all US federal agencies, the FCC does accept
> citizen commentary to them regarding radio regulations.
> The FCC responds to Petitions submitted by US citizens
> in regards to those radio regulations. [however, not
> with blinding speeds of decision in regards to amateur
> radio] Nowhere does the FCC discriminate between those
> are already licensed in amateur radio versus those not
> licensed. FCC does not treat the group of already-
> licensed as some kind of fraternal order of the already-
> licensed to be listened to over and above all other
> interested citizens.

The FCC accepts comments from everyone - not just citizens.
"Foreigners" and groups/corporations are welcome to comment as well.

How much consideration the comments get is another matter.

> The morse code test (for under-30-MHz operating privileges)
> affects the non-licensed US citizens.

And those licensed, too. And noncitizens.

> It does NOT affect
> those already legally licensed as radio amateurs...except
> in the limited conditions of certain already-licensed
> Technician classes. That code test does NOT legally
> affect ANY other already-licensed US radio amateur.

It affects them in many ways. If amateur radio should change for the
worse because
of changes in license requirements, those who are already licensed
would be affected.

For example, if someone wanted to change the real estate zoning in your
neighborhood,
that change would not "legally affect" you or your neighbors, unless
you wanted to build on your property. Your houses would not change.

> If
> they say it does then they have some emotional disturbance
> (not a legal problem nor a regulatory problem).

Not true. If amateur radio is made worse by rules changes, all involved
are affected. You, who are not involved, are unaffected.

If someone wanted to change the real estate zoning in your
neighborhood,
that change would not "legally affect" you or your neighbors, unless
you wanted to build on your property. Your houses would not change.
Would you say that those who resisted such a zoning change have an
"emotional disturbance"?

> I know a few model railroaders. As far as I know none
> are into "competitions" concerning their hobby. They do
> it for the fun of model railroading. As a hobby, not
> as a substitute for life...nor advancing the state of
> the art in rail transport.

Model railroading does not involve any sort of licensing, nor use of the radio spectrum. What one model railroader does with his/her layout does not directly affect what other model railroaders can do with theirs.

Amateur radio isn't like that. We use a shared and limited resource - the radio spectrum.

A more valid analogy would be something like operating motor vehicles for noncommercial purposes, where the medium (the roads) are shared with many others.

> I know many more model builders and model aircraft flyers.
> [I have been both] The Academy of Model Aeronautics (AMA)
> is a membership organization (about a quarter million
> members in the USA) with a large rule set to follow in
> flying model aircraft. That rule set is for both
> competition flying and for safety; there is special
> liability insurance for members of the AMA in regards to
> that flying activity. There is no absolute requirement
> to be an AMA member to enjoy model airplane flying nor is
> there some federal test one must take to be one. It is a
> hobby...yet the AMA has successfully petitioned for and
> gotten many radio channels expressly for model remote
> control.

How many channels? How much total spectrum? How much of it is below 30 MHz?

IIRC, the total amount of spectrum set aside for model control is less than the narrowest amateur band above 30 MHz.

btw, there has been no Morse Code test requirement in the US for use of *all* the amateur bands above 30 MHz.

> No code test nor license was required. You may
> read about it in Part 95, Title 47 C.F.R. under Radio
> Control Radio Service.

They got a few channels in a few narrow slices of VHF/UHF. They are allowed to use only very low power, with almost all their communications limited to line-of-sight.

Amateur radio is very different.

> "Park flyers" are free to fly models, even to radio-control
> them, all without being licensed by the FCC or as a member
> of the Academy of Model Aeronautics. Add to that the R-C
> cars and boats. There is a very large model hobby industry

> existing in the USA to provide for such hobbyists. From
> the size of that industry the number of modelers would
> easily equal the number of USA radio amateurs...if not
> exceeding it.

Yet all they need is a small assortment of VHF/UHF channels, low power, small antennas and line-of-sight radio.

Is that what you think amateur radio should be?

It should be remembered that one of the primary reasons model aircraft enthusiasts got channels in the ~70 MHz range was the fact that their 27 MHz allocation became unusable due to being effectively taken over by illegal cb operation.
>
> Your comments in regards to "competitiveness" do not apply
> to US citizens seeking to change existing radio regulations
> in the USA, any radio service.

FCC does not limit comments to only US citizens.

And when FCC recently asked for comments on the Morse Code test issue, the majority of those commenting were *against* the complete elimination of the Morse Code test. The ratio was approximately 55% in favor of at least some Morse Code testing.

5694. Re: Would you join the ARRL if you found out they were only interested in selling memberships?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-26 12:03:18 UTC
From: Al Arduengo <al.arduengo@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.26.12.03.14.598327@gmail.com>
Source record: 4974
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 11:55:59 +0000, Chris wrote:

> On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:41 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>
>> Robert Grizzard <grizzarv@mindspring.com.invalid> wrote in
>> news:pan.2006.10.25.01.06.24.609041@pan:
>>
>> > Welcome to my killfile. You and "Slow Code" can keep each other
>> > company.
>>
>>
>> You try to help someone be a better ham and look what happens, they
>> killfile you. I knew dumbing down ham radio would let in a bunch of
>> ungrateful people, but I didn't think it would get this bad.
>
> It's the hook in his mouth. It's painful and probably makes him
> cranky. On the other hand, that Pan news reader of his is very buggy
> and is known for silently removing characters when it sends articles.
>
> Maybe it was Pan talking instead. Grizzard may be a nice guy who has
> simply been sandbagged by a crappy news reader I'll bet that its
> killfiling doesn't work either, and that he has accidentally killfiled
> himself.
>
> In any case, he'll eventually be back. We can help him then.

That coming from someone who uses OE for news reading? You wouldn't know
a quality news reader if it jumped up and bit you.

Good day, sir. I said GOOD DAY!

--
"Don't get too wrapped up in dogma. Who knows? When we get to heaven
there might be a Big Buddha laughing at us while we're all running
around going 'Oh shit!'" - a Catholic bishop

5695. Re: Panasonic RE-1800 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-26 14:23:05 UTC
From: Chris Back <chris.back@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161872585.785370.208970@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4975

This site has a link to Panasonic's manual library.
http://www.theusermanualsite.com

I don't see your scanner specifically, but you can probably find the
user guide on Panasonic's site.
http://www.theusermanualsite.com/manuals/mfg/panasonic/panasonic_product_list.html

-Chris

mike maghakian wrote:
> does anyone have one of these ? I would like to trade for a copy of the
> user manual

5696. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-26 16:04:36 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <srm1k2hnuafd5kenrevc3or49g06v17lfi@4ax.com>
Source record: 4976
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 26 Oct 2006 03:36:04 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Oct 22 2006 8:47am
>> >"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>
>> >Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to help
>> >each other.
>>
>> Then why don't they?
>
>They *do*, Len.
not in my experence or the experence of ANY ham I know personaly the
elmering stop at after they renew their first lice
>
>> >The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders
>> >appear to want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
>> >understanding of the requirements.
>>
>> Nonsense.
>
>No, it's the truth.

again you put down those that dare say anything those with any spript
>
>> Pro-coders do NOT have some "lock" on What The
>> Requirements Should Be.
>
>Nobody says they do.

flat out Lie

Dee Flint says they do and Dave Heil and Robeson and plenty of others
>
>> They never did, despite all the
>> pro-code propaganda drilled into your respective psyches.
>
>No such "propaganda", Len.

sure there there YOU are one of the vechiles of it delveiery
>
>> It should be quite obvious that every other radio service
>> has either given up on using morse code for communications
>> or never considered it in the first place.
>

>Why is that important to *amateur radio* policy, Len? Amateurs *do* use
>Morse Code - extensively.

why not? if they manage without why can't we
>
>> Manual radio-
>> telegraphy has only a slight advantage in communications
>> with other amateurs using radiotelegraphy who do not speak
>> English.
>
>Actually, Morse Code has a lot of advantages.

so?
>
>> Note: Nowhere in the "requirements" (Title 47 C.F.R. Part
>> 97 for US radio amateurs) is it mandatory for US amateurs
>> to communicate with foreigners.
>
>That's true. But one of the Basis and Purposes of the Amateur Radio
>Service is international good will. Communicating with "foreigners" is
>one way to do that.

ONE way if it were the ONLY way that would be a point
>
>> NB: Non-English speakers
>> using International Morse Code are, essentially, required
>> to learn parts of English to understand the English
>> alphabet (difficult if their native language is syllabic
>> or has a different alphabet).
>
>Of course. So?

code is thefrore not viotal
>>
>> The ITU-R "requirements" (Radio Regulations) no longer
>> "require" administrations to test ALL their amateurs for
>> any license having below-30-MHz privileges.
>
>Yep. That changed almost 3-1/2 years ago. Yet FCC has not acted upon
>it.

they have acted or perhaps most accurately they are wending therir
way though the Bearucratic procedures of acting
>
>> The major
>> (in population) nation administrations have dropped their
>> morse code testing or substitute other tests in lieu of
>> morse code.
>
>How do you know?
>
>Have China, India and the countries making up the former Soviet Union

>changed their Morse Code test policy? How about Japan?
>
>Seems to me that the changes have mostly occurred in Western Europe,
>the British Commonwealth, and a few South American countries.
>
>> Since some of those nations do not have
>> English as a primary language, those will have some future
>> difficulty using that (supposed "universal language" of
>> morse code) for communications with USA radio amateurs.
>>
>> >In addition, most of us have experienced
>> >people who said they could not learn code but upon questioning find that
>> >they did not use a good training method and did not train correctly.
>>
>> More overtly biased opinion...written AS IF morse code
>> were an "absolute requirement" when it is merely an old
>> regulatory hanger-on in USA amateur federal rules.
>
>It's a fact that at least some people use poorly-designed training
>methods.
>
>> The REGULATION (not "the requirement") for US radio
>> amateurs is simply a man-made regulation which can be
>> un-man-made. It is not some God-given commandment of
>> radio.
>
>That's true. In fact, *all* radio license requirements are man-made.
>
>> Indeed, all other US radio services operating
>> below 30 MHz do NOT use morse code radiotelegraphy.
>
>Why is that so important? Do you think radio amateurs should not use it
>either?
>
>> Why should radio amateurs be held elevated to some
>> special significance?
>
>It's not about 'special significance".
>
>Radio amateurs *do* use Morse Code, so it makes sense to test for
>knowledge of it.
>>
>> Except for the older military-trained radiotelegraphers
>> in US amateur radio, all the tales told (by so-called
>> successful pro-coders) have them doing basic learning
>> then trying out on the amateur radio bands for greater
>> skill in radiotelegraphy.
>
>What's wrong with that?
>

>> The basic fallacy of pro-coder thinking is that "all"
>> have some innate ability to learn morse code.
>
>There are obviously those who cannot learn it - just as there are those
>who cannot learn to speak, or read and write, or who cannot pass the
>written tests.
>
>> That has
>> been disproven as far back as World War II when the US
>> military began screening new recruits for the aptitude
>> to learn morse code.
>
>Nope.
>
>The military aptitude testing was done to find those who could learn
>the fastest and reach the highest levels of skill in the least time.
>The requirements for military radio telegraphers were much higher than
>for amateurs, and the military could not afford lots of time to train
>them.
>
>btw, the existence of such aptitude testing proves that the US military
>needed large numbers of Morse Code skilled radio operators during WW2.
>
>> That fallacy has been disproven
>> by countless other tales of individuals who tried the
>> so-called "good training methods" and tried to "train
>> correctly" (even under strict supervision).
>
>Who are they, Len?
>
>> >Yet
>> >when they decided they were tired of waiting did learn it and got their
>> >upgrade.
>>
>> The "upgrade requirements" were lobbied for to emphasize
>> morse code radiotelegraphy skill. That is history.
>
>Who lobbied for those requirements, Len?
>
>When did they lobby for the requirements?
>
>The fact is that in all the history of US amateur radio licensing,
>every increase in Morse Code testing has been accompanied by an
>increase in written test requirements. So the emphasis has always been
>balanced between written testing and Morse Code testing.
>
>Since 1990 it has not been necessary for anyone with a doctor's note
>seeking an FCC-issued amateur license to go beyond the basic 5 wpm
>test. Since 2000 it has not been necessary for anyone seeking an
>FCC-issued amateur license to go beyond the basic 5 wpm test.

>
>> It
>> would have been difficult to overcome the lobbying of the
>> ARRL towards such "upgrades through morsemanship."
>
>When did ARRL do such lobbying, Len? 1936?
>
>> Yet
>> there has been efforts by concerned radio amateurs (who
>> have been tested to the maximum telegraphic radtes) to
>> eliminate the morse code test entire.
>
>And efforts by others to retain the test.
>
>> >The 5wpm level is obtainable
>> >although some of the problem do make faster speeds a problem (constant
>> >tinnitus may be a severe problem at 20 wpm for example).
>>
>> Then they should use "flashing lights or vibrating pads."
>>
>> The reduction to 5 WPM equivalent word rate was an attempt
>> of the FCC to satisfy both the pro-morse-code-test citizens
>> and the (ever-growing) NO-code-test advocates. It satisfied
>> neither.
>
>In other words, it didn't satisfy *you*
>
>> >Do you have competitions in your model railroading activity? If you enter
>> >that competition, you all have to follow the published rules with no
>> >exceptions.
>>
>> Part 97, Title 47 C.F.R. does NOT manadate that US radio
>> amateurs engage in "competition" radio activity.
>> Federal law (Communications Act of 1934 plus the Tele-
>> communications Act of 1996) requires ALL US radio
>> amateurs to follow its regulations. That is NO contest
>> nor a "competition" activity. It is merely the LAW.
>
>And it still is! That's a good thing.
>>
>> As with all US federal agencies, the FCC does accept
>> citizen commentary to them regarding radio regulations.
>> The FCC responds to Petitions submitted by US citizens
>> in regards to those radio regulations. [however, not
>> with blinding speeds of decision in regards to amateur
>> radio] Nowhere does the FCC discriminate between those
>> are already licensed in amateur radio versus those not
>> licensed. FCC does not treat the group of already-
>> licensed as some kind of fraternal order of the already-
>> licensed to be listened to over and above all other

>> interested citizens.
>
>The FCC accepts comments from everyone - not just citizens.
>"Foreigners" and groups/corporations are welcome to comment as well.
>
>How much consideration the comments get is another matter.
>
>> The morse code test (for under-30-MHz operating privileges)
>> affects the non-licensed US citizens.
>
>And those licensed, too. And noncitizens.
>
>> It does NOT affect
>> those already legally licensed as radio amateurs...except
>> in the limited conditions of certain already-licensed
>> Technician classes. That code test does NOT legally
>> affect ANY other already-licensed US radio amateur.
>
>It affects them in many ways. If amateur radio should change for the
>worse because
>of changes in license requirements, those who are already licensed
>would be affected.
>
>For example, if someone wanted to change the real estate zoning in your
>neighborhood,
>that change would not "legally affect" you or your neighbors, unless
>you wanted to build on your property. Your houses would not change.
>
>> If
>> they say it does then they have some emotional disturbance
>> (not a legal problem nor a regulatory problem).
>
>Not true. If amateur radio is made worse by rules changes, all involved
>are affected. You, who are not involved, are unaffected.
>
>If someone wanted to change the real estate zoning in your
>neighborhood,
>that change would not "legally affect" you or your neighbors, unless
>you wanted to build on your property. Your houses would not change.
>Would you say that those who resisted such a zoning change have an
>"emotional disturbance"?
>
>> I know a few model railroaders. As far as I know none
>> are into "competitions" concerning their hobby. They do
>> it for the fun of model railroading. As a hobby, not
>> as a substitute for life...nor advancing the state of
>> the art in rail transport.
>
>Model railroading does not involve any sort of licensing, nor use of
>the radio spectrum. What one model railroader does with his/her layout

>does not directly affect what other model railroaders can do with
>theirs.
>
>Amateur radio isn't like that. We use a shared and limited resource -
>the radio spectrum.
>
>A more valid analogy would be something like operating motor vehicles
>for noncommercial purposes, where the medium (the roads) are shared
>with many others.
>
>> I know many more model builders and model aircraft flyers.
>> [I have been both] The Academy of Model Aeronautics (AMA)
>> is a membership organization (about a quarter million
>> members in the USA) with a large rule set to follow in
>> flying model aircraft. That rule set is for both
>> competition flying and for safety; there is special
>> liability insurance for members of the AMA in regards to
>> that flying activity. There is no absolute requirement
>> to be an AMA member to enjoy model airplane flying nor is
>> there some federal test one must take to be one. It is a
>> hobby...yet the AMA has successfully petitioned for and
>> gotten many radio channels expressly for model remote
>> control.
>
>How many channels? How much total spectrum? How much of it is below 30
>MHz?
>
>IIRC, the total amount of spectrum set aside for model control is less
>than the narrowest amateur band above 30 MHz.
>
>btw, there has been no Morse Code test requirement in the US for use of
>*all* the amateur bands above 30 MHz.
>
>> No code test nor license was required. You may
>> read about it in Part 95, Title 47 C.F.R. under Radio
>> Control Radio Service.
>
>They got a few channels in a few narrow slices of VHF/UHF. They are
>allowed to use only very low power, with almost all their
>communications limited to line-of-sight.
>
>Amateur radio is very different.
>
>> "Park flyers" are free to fly models, even to radio-control
>> them, all without being licensed by the FCC or as a member
>> of the Academy of Model Aeronautics. Add to that the R-C
>> cars and boats. There is a very large model hobby industry
>> existing in the USA to provide for such hobbyists. From
>> the size of that industry the number of modelers would
>> easily equal the number of USA radio amateurs...if not

>> exceeding it.
>
>Yet all they need is a small assortment of VHF/UHF channels, low power,
>small antennas and line-of-sight radio.
>
>Is that what you think amateur radio should be?
>
>It should be remembered that one of the primary reasons model aircraft
>enthusiasts got channels in the ~70 MHz range was the fact that their
>27 MHz allocation became unusable due to being effectively taken over
>by illegal cb operation.
>>
>> Your comments in regards to "competitiveness" do not apply
>> to US citizens seeking to change existing radio regulations
>> in the USA, any radio service.
>
>FCC does not limit comments to only US citizens.
>
>And when FCC recently asked for comments on the Morse Code test issue,
>the majority of those commenting were *against* the complete
>elimination of the Morse Code test. The ratio was approximately 55% in
>favor of at least some Morse Code testing.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5697. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-26 18:00:19 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1161885619.177223.27570@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4977
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

From: "Dee Flint" on Wed, Oct 25 2006 8:25pm

>"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Oct 22 2006 8:47am
>
>>>> Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to
>>>> help each other.
>>
>>> Then why don't they?
>
>> Because a lot of pro-coders would rather belittle
>> no-coders than help them?
>
>More likely a knee jerk reaction to the very few but very vocal ones who try
>to come in and act like they know all there is to know about radio when the
>"ink isn't even dry on their license".

Dee, the "ink on my license" has been "dry" for 50 years.

The mimeograph "ink" on my Army assignment has been "dry"
for 53 years.

The "ink" on my first aerospace hiring has also been "dry"
for 50 years.

In a half century of being radio-active, I've continually
been learning, working, experimenting, trying, doing. I
DO know a fair amount of things about radio and electronics
but there is always something new coming up all the time.

OH! You mean AMATEUR RADIO "license?" Of course. Amateur
radio is so very DIFFERENT than all other kinds of radio...

Riiiight...those coming into ham radio from any other kind
of radio service(s) are "newbies" and "ignorant"...?

Heil thinks so. Do you think so?

>It's unfortunate that the
>experienced hams don't have the discipline to withstand this nonsense
>without such knee-jerk reactions.

Nice "knees" you have, Dee... :-)

>When a new licensee (the level of license is irrelevant) tells me that you
>can't work DX without an amplifier, I just tell him about the countries I

>worked with my 100 watt radio and relatively low mount G5RV.

Gosh, I "worked countries" with nothing less than 1 KW output
on HF and a delta-match dipole. Short-range, about 300 miles.
Of course, for 24/7 ops on HF crossing the Pacific there was
40 KW PEP into a rhombic... :-)

>But some hams
>turn bitter instead when a newbie insists that he is right and they are
>wrong.

Sugar. Try some sweetener...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

5698. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-26 23:26:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <c0h2k2d1ljonltnb9k98cds6v9ki9prlcm@4ax.com>
Source record: 4979
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 19:25:41 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
>"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>news:aJGdnRBtQNAand3YnZ2dnUVZ_oSdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
>"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>Such as Mark does?
I never said anything such Although It is in fact imposible to work
some of the DX I want to work with a 100 watt and G5RV
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5699. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-26 23:32:39 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <rAb0h.16238$TV3.3036@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4980
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Not Lloyd wrote:
> When a new licensee (the level of license is irrelevant) tells me that you
> can't work DX without an amplifier, I just tell him about the countries I
> worked with my 100 watt radio and relatively low mount G5RV.

Uhhhhh Dee, your 100 watt radio has an amplifier in it
as do all modern transceivers. I have, in the past, worked
DX using just an oscillator but I personally don't know of
anyone who doesn't use an amplifier nowadays.
--
73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

5700. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-27 00:08:25 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Z5c0h.19647$UG4.18790@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4981
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark in the Dark' wrote in
news:7jvvj21ntnbd2llfp5cgk1md01gb9mdojn@4ax.com:

> if you are a good ham I'll be a bad one

No, Markie in the Darkie, I'm a ham and you aren't.

SC

5701. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-27 00:13:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <voj2k2pikggadf4mrfkn5bjcptlbcsgmfl@4ax.com>
Source record: 4982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 00:08:25 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark in the Dark' wrote in
>news:7jvvj21ntnbd2llfp5cgk1md01gb9mdojn@4ax.com:
>
>> if you are a good ham I'll be a bad one
>
>
>No, Markie in the Darkie, I'm a ham and you aren't.
nope I am good ham you are a poor one per the amateurs Code
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5702. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-27 00:25:41 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <do5jv.3fs.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4978
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:aJGdnRBtQNAand3YnZ2dnUVZ_oSdnZ2d@comcast.com...

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:qLN%g.1102$s6.400@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Oct 22 2006 8:47am
>>> Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to
>>> help
>>> each other.
>>
>> Then why don't they?
>
> Because a lot of pro-coders would rather belittle
> no-coders than help them?

More likely a knee jerk reaction to the very few but very vocal ones who try to come in and act like they know all there is to know about radio when the "ink isn't even dry on their license". It's unfortunate that the experienced hams don't have the discipline to withstand this nonsense without such knee-jerk reactions.

When a new licensee (the level of license is irrelevant) tells me that you can't work DX without an amplifier, I just tell him about the countries I worked with my 100 watt radio and relatively low mount G5RV. But some hams turn bitter instead when a newbie insists that he is right and they are wrong.

Dee, N8UZE

>

Such as Mark does?

5703. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-27 00:26:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ffk2k2h3sk3ip6s0l53l1qjvl00ku5o3ph@4ax.com>
Source record: 4984
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:15:40 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>news:c0h2k2d1ljonltnb9k98cds6v9ki9prlcm@4ax.com...
>On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 19:25:41 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>news:aJGdnRBtQNAand3YnZ2dnUVZ_oSdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>>
>>"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>
>>Such as Mark does?
>I never said anything such Although It is in fact imposible to work
>some of the DX I want to work with a 100 watt and G5RV
>>
>That is correct. That is because you are a tech and cannot work HF at all!
nope
>
>Like Dee, I've worked stations worldwide with "just" 100 watts and a G5RV
>and you could too, if you'd but learn a paltry 5wpm code speed.

but those aren't the contact I want and the ones I want require no
code speed at all and My station is working to them slowly
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5704. Veja aqui servi&#65533;os tecnicos e venda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-27 00:45:28 UTC
From: news <tecnico-urgencias@sapo.pt>
Message-ID: <45415693$0$11641$a729d347@news.telepac.pt>
Source record: 4985

http://www.cat24.com

5705. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-27 01:15:40 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <do8hn.ead.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:c0h2k2d1ljonltnb9k98cds6v9ki9prlcm@4ax.com...
On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 19:25:41 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
>"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>news:aJGdnRBtQNAand3YnZ2dnUVZ_oSdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
>"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>Such as Mark does?
I never said anything such Although It is in fact imposible to work
some of the DX I want to work with a 100 watt and G5RV
>
That is correct. That is because you are a tech and cannot work HF at all!

Like Dee, I've worked stations worldwide with "just" 100 watts and a G5RV
and you could too, if you'd but learn a paltry 5wpm code speed.

5706. Fresno, CA GMRS Repeater

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-27 05:15:02 UTC
From: Scott Smith <scott93727@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <qBg0h.3597$T_1.1869@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4986

Fresno, CA GMRS Repeater

http://www.wqbq405.org/

RX: 462.725 TX: 467.725

5707. Yo Quero La Madre

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-27 15:59:21 UTC
From: ScanDaBands <tommyboys_news@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <64b4k29dd9fe7pciam08m6m615tpbe15ev@4ax.com>
Source record: 4987

No comprende espanol !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! LOL

Build the wall - GRIN :O)

5708. Re: DX is trying to run a little

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-28 00:30:24 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Awx0h.330$LI4.254@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4988
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:20061027170915.640$Qw@newsreader.com:

> Dunno for sure what's up with that, Jay. Maybe try the ground plane I'm
> using? It couldn't hurt.
>
>
> http://www.a1antennas.com/
>

Does using Jay's antenna give you a hard-on?

SC

5709. Stamp collecting doesn't require taking a code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-28 00:30:47 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Xwx0h.349$LI4.140@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4989
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Maybe you people could try stamp collecting.

SC

5710. Re: Stamp collecting doesn't require taking a code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-28 01:40:41 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161999641.472559.115250@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4990
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

You could try giving me head again. This time don't hum dots and
dashes.
Slow Code wrote:
> Maybe you people could try stamp collecting.
>
> SC

5711. slow craps some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-28 03:09:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <hfi5k21h6v2vevsdgj00dh844ua2q6gdd8@4ax.com>
Source record: 4991
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 00:30:47 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Maybe you people could try stamp collecting.
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5712. Re: 2 scanners off of 1 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-28 06:17:52 UTC
From: TongSlinger <klakkyremove@email.com>
Message-ID: <e84ab$4542f63b$943fd7b5$31177@STARBAND.NET>
Source record: 4992

thanks for all the good info on a subject I'm just starting to learn

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:33lqj2hg6sl3f1drao90pcun2q0ug1a83o@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 01:20:04 -0500, "TongSlinger"
> <klakky"remove"@email.com> wrote:
>
>>I have another question if I put the antenna half way up the tower will I
>>have decreased signal coming into the antenna through the triangular
>>tower.
>>would this not let the antenna be truly Omni? my tower is about 75 feet
>>tall
>>and I do not have access to the top of the tower?
>
> Depending on the distance between the tower and the antenna, and the
> frequency, you'll have a directional antenna pointing somewhere -
> either away from the tower, side-to-side relative to a line between
> the antenna and tower or somewhere between the two. You'd have to
> mount the antenna at least 3 or 4 wavelengths at the lowest frequency
> you're interested in (which would be around 25 feet at 150 MHZ,
> further for aircraft or VHF-lo) - 6 to 10 wavelengths is better - to
> get back a somewhat circular pattern.
>
> Since you'll be using the antenna at widely different frequencies
> there's no one solution unless the transmitters you want to monitor
> just happen to all be exactly where the antenna pattern points for
> that frequency. If you're that lucky, buy all the lottery tickets you
> can find - they'll all be winners.

5713. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-28 14:35:19 UTC
From: JDB <me@here.now>
Message-ID: <HUJ0h.20238$OE1.11314@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 4993
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

This code argument really gets old. I passed the General 13WPM code. Bidg deal. Yeah, I like to use CW, but do I think people need to be tested on CW? Heck NO! Let's end this antiquated test. It's a modern era. If you want to learn it -fine, but don't push your old and outdated beliefs on anyone else.

JDB

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:

> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 17:37:42 -0500, Glen Overby
> <coreSPAMsample@charter.net> wrote:
>
>> <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>>>till you can explain what value knowing the name has you can begin to
>>>convince me that you went a through a test as realavant as mine
>>
>>The licensing hierarchy (and the morse code debate) isn't about value,
>>it's
>>about status. It's about having a chip on your shoulder that says "I'm
>>better than you".
> well the procoders like to rpetend it is about value
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

5714. ID Tracker II Released

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-28 16:45:09 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <kOL0h.10499$Fd7.7112@bignews6.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 4994
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

ID Tracker II has been officially released. ID Tracker II basically supports all of the features of the original ID Tracker with the following exceptions:

- Supports the BCD396T and BCD996T scanners only.
- Supports the concept of dynamic system allocation and the use of systems instead of banks.
- Channel descriptions are automatically retrieved from the scanner.

See version history for other information:
http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTrackerIIVersion.htm

ID Tracker and ID Tracker II can be found here:
http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
http://home.bellsouth.net/p/PWP-k5dmh

ID Tracker / ID Tracker II
http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
For replies, use my callsign @bellsouth.net.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

5715. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-28 17:56:10 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <bc67k21qs7a8t3ag4tf5cp9ec703hur3tl@4ax.com>
Source record: 4995
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:50:44 GMT, "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:23:46 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>> Chris wrote:
>> > If you've never programmed in assembly language, don't talk to me
>> > about being a real programmer.
>>
>> Real programmers plugged diodes into a matrix to
>> execute their programs.
>
>Nope. That's hardware manipulation,
no that is prgraming indeed it is basis of all prgraming

but you have to try and distrot and twist any line of logic till
bleeds
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5716. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-28 20:02:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <npd7k2t41fdh6oalk0jqqoatedm49mmas2@4ax.com>
Source record: 4997
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 15:32:50 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>news:bc67k21qs7a8t3ag4tf5cp9ec703hur3tl@4ax.com...
>On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:50:44 GMT, "Chris"
><daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:23:46 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>> Chris wrote:
>>> > If you've never programmed in assembly language, don't talk to me
>>> > about being a real programmer.
>>>
>>> Real programmers plugged diodes into a matrix to
>>> execute their programs.
>>
>>Nope. That's hardware manipulation,
>no that is prgraming indeed it is basis of all prgraming
>
>but you have to try and distrot and twist any line of logic till
>bleeds
>......
>
>So, Mark. Besides being a Colonel and a geophysicist, you are now an
>electronics engineer as well?
no never made anysuch claim "Lloyd"

but you stillhave not learn how to quote propely "lloyd"
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5717. hi SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-28 20:04:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <1sd7k2lk3cesr6901hsp2bmm1l5o69tfa7@4ax.com>
Source record: 4998
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 19:51:47 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 13:56:10 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
>> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:50:44 GMT, "Chris"
>> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>>
>> >On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:23:46 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Chris wrote:
>> >> > If you've never programmed in assembly language, don't talk to me
>> >> > about being a real programmer.
>> >>
>> >> Real programmers plugged diodes into a matrix to
>> >> execute their programs.
>> >>
>> >Nope. That's hardware manipulation,
>> no that is prgraming indeed it is basis of all prgraming
>>
>> but you have to try and distrot and twist any line of logic till
>> bleeds
>
>Not so. The whole idea of SOFT
exactly so you are changing your terms in MID thread
progarming was the subject not hardware vs sogtware

if you ever learned to think youd understand this concept
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5718. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-28 20:32:50 UTC
From: "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dt0nb.99l.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4996
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:bc67k21qs7a8t3ag4tf5cp9ec703hur3tl@4ax.com...
On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:50:44 GMT, "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:23:46 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>> Chris wrote:
>> > If you've never programmed in assembly language, don't talk to me
>> > about being a real programmer.
>>
>> Real programmers plugged diodes into a matrix to
>> execute their programs.
>
>Nope. That's hardware manipulation,
no that is prgraming indeed it is basis of all prgraming

but you have to try and distrot and twist any line of logic till
bleeds
......

So, Mark. Besides being a Colonel and a geophysicist, you are now an
electronics engineer as well?

5719. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-28 21:37:14 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12k7jc9fvsm3l2d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 4999
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:50:44 GMT, "Chris"
> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>
>
>>On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:23:46 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>Chris wrote:
>>>
>>>>If you've never programmed in assembly language, don't talk to me
>>>>about being a real programmer.
>>>
>>>Real programmers plugged diodes into a matrix to
>>>execute their programs.
>>
>>Nope. That's hardware manipulation,
>
> no that is prgraming indeed it is basis of all prgraming
>
> but you have to try and distrot and twist any line of logic till
> bleeds
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

If you want to get into esoteric terms consider:

The man who uses a plotting board to calculate artillery elevations and
azimuths is called a "COMPUTER".

Dave N

5720. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-28 21:43:51 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <emj7k2hl2m9bds3apmvg53m1t0agif9jdc@4ax.com>
Source record: 5000
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 16:37:14 -0500, "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:50:44 GMT, "Chris"
>> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:23:46 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>Chris wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>If you've never programmed in assembly language, don't talk to me
>>>>>about being a real programmer.
>>>>
>>>>Real programmers plugged diodes into a matrix to
>>>>execute their programs.
>>>
>>>Nope. That's hardware manipulation,
>>
>> no that is prgraming indeed it is basis of all prgraming
>>
>> but you have to try and distrot and twist any line of logic till
>> bleeds
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>
>If you want to get into esoteric terms consider:
>
>The man who uses a plotting board to calculate artillery elevations and
>azimuths is called a "COMPUTER".
indeed he his

but programing a device is clearly the work of a programer "lloyd" is
trying to play games by switching the word Program out for software

as you have helped to prove the terms are not synonyms
>
>
>Dave N
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5721. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-28 22:07:43 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <PwQ0h.2014$s6.671@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4851
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Chris wrote:
> ... machines like the IBM 650 were
> considered to be a waste of valuable man hours to set up, ...

Blasphemy! The IBM 650 was the first computer
I ever programmed - in 1958 at Texas A&M.
Bi-Quinary (like an abacus) with a magnetic drum
and tons of air-conditioning to cool the hundreds
of dual triodes.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

5722. Re: ID Tracker II Released

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-29 00:39:10 UTC
From: George <goodbye@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <h1u7k2dk0l3hcuu80kuv5ec2ci4nkh73ma@4ax.com>
Source record: 4852
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 11:45:09 -0500, "David M. Hitchner"
<biteme@spammers.net> wrote:

>ID Tracker II has been officially released. ID Tracker II basically
>supports all of the features of the original ID Tracker with the following
>exceptions:
>
>- Supports the BCD396T and BCD996T scanners only.
>- Supports the concept of dynamic system allocation and the use of systems
>instead of banks.
>- Channel descriptions are automatically retrieved from the scanner.
>
>See version history for other information:
>http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTrackerIIVersion.htm
>
>ID Tracker and ID Tracker II can be found here:
>http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm

Your 33 MB bandwidth limit has long been exceeded. Try uploading it
to free.binaries.radio-scanner or alt.binaries.radio-scanner so we all
can download it.

5723. Re: Stamp collecting doesn't require taking a code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-29 03:01:36 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162090896.121645.198860@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4853
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dear Slow Code,
How about another slow cock sucking? Don't you miss the taste? I'm
ready for you.
Slow Code wrote:
> Maybe you people could try stamp collecting.
>
> SC

5724. Re: Stamp collecting doesn't require taking a code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-29 04:13:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162095222.566278.276850@e64g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4854
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Douche Bag wrote:
> Dear Slow Code,
> How about another slow cock sucking? Don't you miss the taste? I'm
> ready for you.
gay bashing just isn't cool

5725. Re: Stamp collecting and creativity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-29 12:04:13 UTC
From: amdx <amdx@knology.net>
Message-ID: <262b0$454498ba$45011502$14919@KNOLOGY.NET>
Source record: 4855
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:Xwx0h.349$LI4.140@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Maybe you people could try stamp collecting.
>
> SC

All these people that go out and buy stamps,
they should be making there own. There seems to be
a post office in every city, it just ruins there creativity
and thinking!
Mike

5726. Re: MEOW MIX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-29 19:45:27 UTC
From: Multivitamin Selenium 60 <3999rchge&#65533;@comcastbitesbobo.net>
Message-ID: <909ba$454504f0$d1cc4556$26352@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 4856
Cross-posted to:
alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

ourold_friendasswipe" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com> had too much
taco bell yesterday and in a mad rush to the mens room whilds dripping
liquishit down his left pants leg wrote in message
news:1162104220.514852.73940@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> one of those private types bigg current ars project in EME hoping to
> obtain VUCC and maybe DXCC as a no code tech before the expected R&O
> allowign me to become a No Code extra comes through
> http://emekb9rqz.blogspot.com/ sadly since certainn folks in the ham
> world lack a life beyond harrassing their fellow hams I will no longer
> be posting my log on my blog I can be reach by eail off my webpage
> I am having to work split so if you see cq kb9rqz+10 it means I am
> listening up 10 khz etc...yada yada yada barf!

I was having REAL FUN with the CLOWNtesters this weekend all
on HF. Set the rig's tx output to about 10 watts and loaded up
into the 75m carolina windom with DX callsigns and the occasional
rare ITU section. Give em a call and these DX CLOWNTESTERS
would fall all over each other thinkin they just worked a needed
section an they now will be pinchin a loaf waiting for a card to
arrive in the mail to hang on their wall and impress their fatassed ham
club blokes! Baaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa hahahahahahhahaha!!! what a bunch of fools.

5727. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-29 20:51:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <415ak2ht1b4vgv2dg07isi915g33j061j1@4ax.com>
Source record: 4857
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 14:45:27 -0500, "Multivitamin Selenium 60"
<3999rchgeï¿½@comcastbitesbobo.net> wrote:

>
no matter whoose
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5728. Re: MEOW MIX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-30 00:55:28 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <44c1h.1635$zf.874@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4858
Cross-posted to:
alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Multivitamin Selenium 60" <3999rchge@comcastbitesbobo.net> wrote in
news:909ba$454504f0$d1cc4556$26352@snip.allthenewsgroups.com:

> ourold_friendasswipe" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com> had too much
> taco bell yesterday and in a mad rush to the mens room whilds dripping
> liquishit down his left pants leg wrote in message
> news:1162104220.514852.73940@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>> one of those private types bigg current ars project in EME hoping to
>> obtain VUCC and maybe DXCC as a no code tech before the expected R&O
>> allowign me to become a No Code extra comes through
>> http://emekb9rqz.blogspot.com/ sadly since certainn folks in the ham
>> world lack a life beyond harrassing their fellow hams I will no longer
>> be posting my log on my blog I can be reach by eail off my webpage
>> I am having to work split so if you see cq kb9rqz+10 it means I am
>> listening up 10 khz etc...yada yada yada barf!
>
> I was having REAL FUN with the CLOWNtesters this weekend all
> on HF. Set the rig's tx output to about 10 watts and loaded up
> into the 75m carolina windom with DX callsigns and the occasional
> rare ITU section. Give em a call and these DX CLOWNTESTERS
> would fall all over each other thinkin they just worked a needed
> section an they now will be pinchin a loaf waiting for a card to
> arrive in the mail to hang on their wall and impress their fatassed ham
> club blokes! Baaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa hahahahahahhahaha!!! what a bunch of
> fools.

Roger? Is that you?

Welcome back.

SC

5729. Ping Pro-Code Hams, The future looks grim.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-30 00:55:35 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <b4c1h.1640$zf.1047@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4859
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

When code is eliminated, the floodgates will open and we're all going to
die in a sea of retards.

SC

5730. Re: Stamp collecting and creativity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-30 00:56:02 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <C4c1h.1645$zf.690@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4860
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"amdx" <amdx@knology.net> wrote in
news:262b0$454498ba$45011502$14919@KNOLOGY.NET:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:Xwx0h.349$LI4.140@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> Maybe you people could try stamp collecting.
>>
>> SC
>
> All these people that go out and buy stamps,
> they should be making there own. There seems to be
> a post office in every city, it just ruins there creativity
> and thinking!
> Mike

You don't cut wood for winter, forage the woods for berries, turn the crank on a model T, build spears to kill a deer, wash clothes in a river, or make candles to light the night, why would you want to make your own stamps? Just collect them, no CW test required.

Try not to drool on the sticky side.

SC

5731. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-30 01:36:40 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <dolak2tc447jvf98363g23i9jeclv08pk3@4ax.com>
Source record: 4861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 00:55:28 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Multivitamin Selenium 60" <3999rchgeï¿½@comcastbitesbobo.net> wrote in
>news:909ba$454504f0$d1cc4556$26352@snip.allthenewsgroups.com:
>
>> ourold_friendasswipe" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com> had too much
>> taco bell yesterday and in a mad rush to the mens room whilds dripping
>> liquishit down his left pants leg wrote in message
>> news:1162104220.514852.73940@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>> one of those private types bigg current ars project in EME hoping to
>>> obtain VUCC and maybe DXCC as a no code tech before the expected R&O
>>> allowign me to become a No Code extra comes through
>>> http://emekb9rqz.blogspot.com/ sadly since certainn folks in the ham
>>> world lack a life beyond harrassing their fellow hams I will no longer
>>> be posting my log on my blog I can be reach by eail off my webpage
>>> I am having to work split so if you see cq kb9rqz+10 it means I am
>>> listening up 10 khz etc...yada yada yada barf!
>>
>> I was having REAL FUN with the CLOWNtesters this weekend all
>> on HF. Set the rig's tx output to about 10 watts and loaded up
>> into the 75m carolina windom with DX callsigns and the occasional
>> rare ITU section. Give em a call and these DX CLOWNTESTERS
>> would fall all over each other thinkin they just worked a needed
>> section an they now will be pinchin a loaf waiting for a card to
>> arrive in the mail to hang on their wall and impress their fatassed ham
>> club blokes! Baaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa hahahahahahhahaha!!! what a bunch of
>> fools.
>
>
>Roger? Is that you?
>
only you would want Wismen back shows what you are made of
>Welcome back.
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5732. Re: Stamp collecting and creativity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-30 01:38:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <2qlak2djtnersr8v77sddiio75cl4an312@4ax.com>
Source record: 4862
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 00:56:02 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"amdx" <amdx@knology.net> wrote in
>news:262b0$454498ba$45011502$14919@KNOLOGY.NET:
>
>>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:Xwx0h.349$LI4.140@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> Maybe you people could try stamp collecting.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> All these people that go out and buy stamps,
>> they should be making there own. There seems to be
>> a post office in every city, it just ruins there creativity
>> and thinking!
>> Mike
>
>
>You don't cut wood for winter,
I do
>forage the woods for berries,
no but cherries and aples and other things yes
> turn the
>crank on a model T, build spears to kill a deer, wash clothes in a
>river, or make candles to light the night, why would you want to make
>your own stamps? Just collect them, no CW test required.

why? becuase you are afraid of the No Coder oh not bold brave CWer
afraid of the Nocoders
>
>Try not to drool on the sticky side.
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5733. Re: Ping Pro-Code Hams, The future looks grim.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-30 01:43:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <06mak218st0jm28f4npsemlii77soud2k5@4ax.com>
Source record: 4863
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 00:55:35 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>When code is eliminated, the floodgates will open and we're all going to
>die in a sea of retards.
then save yourself the pain comit suciide NOW
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5734. i punce in agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-30 13:37:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <4545f349$0$12054$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 4864
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
so
bringit on you loosers

show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW
rendered phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and
SC a few just decided to not to be as hateful

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5735. Re: i punce in agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-30 13:46:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <4545f549$0$12080$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 4865
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

<n3ox.dan@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1162215787.165148.232880@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> You are a whackjob and you and Slow Code are undoubtedly the same
> goddamn person. I can't WAIT until the code is dropped. I'd honestly
> rather have you on 75 meters than crossposting to every
> rec.radio.amateur newsgroup you can find.
with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5736. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-30 15:20:09 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <r06ck2pvhblf9css46ggplan984bjj96ae@4ax.com>
Source record: 4866
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 08:37:49 -0500, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:

>
id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5737. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-30 15:22:16 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <p46ck2l9mfk4j6bla4tbu27pmj8sl79hj3@4ax.com>
Source record: 4867
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 08:46:19 -0500, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:

>
><n3ox.dan@gmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1162215787.165148.232880@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> You are a whackjob and you and Slow Code are undoubtedly the same
>> goddamn person. I can't WAIT until the code is dropped. I'd honestly
>> rather have you on 75 meters than crossposting to every
>> rec.radio.amateur newsgroup you can find.
>with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
> impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5738. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-30 19:57:34 UTC
From: Bill <baycock@hughes.net>
Message-ID: <1162238254.786244.302400@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4868
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

It's not code requirements or tests that is keeping (and driving) good
people out of ham radio, and away from lists like these, it's
psychopaths like SC and the idiots that feed him. This WAS about real
issues, and about technology (I hit this cesspool from the antennas
list), but it is not now.
Thanks to the crap fron SC, and from the idiots that feed this Troll,
I'm leaving. On real lists, s--t pots like him are kicked out. On
usenets, the only way is to quit feeding his ego, but, unfortunately,
he is not the only idiot here.
Bye-Bill

5739. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-30 20:41:12 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns986C9F8B3F376elektrosmdonet@217.22.228.20>
Source record: 4869
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

kelly@dvol.com wrote in
news:1160949009.882798.262830@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:

>
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in news:6tgXg.8071$Y24.103
>> @newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>>
>> > "Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
>> > news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>> >
>> >> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
>> >>
>> >> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>> >
>> >
>> > I don't know if we'll get Mad right away but it's clear the FCC and
>> > the ARRL want to drive all good hams out of the service. Little by
>> > little they'll take away the non-phone portions of the bands and
>> > hand it over to the phone users. Like a frog in a pot of water
>> > that's raised to boiling point, one day us real hams will find we
>> > have to place to communicate on the ham bands that doesn't sound
>> > like Citizens Band.
>> >
>> > SC
>> >
>>
>> Here's a reality check.
>>
>> On 80m the rest of the world, except Canada, has always had phone down
>> to 3600 as far back as I can remember, and we are merely joining them.
>> The VE phone ops still have to stay above 3700, I think.
>>
>> On 40m the international bandplans have phone down to 7040 except in
>> Region 3 where it is 7030. The only countries that I know of where you
>> can't use phone down to 7040 are Mexico (7050) and the USA (now 7125
>> after the change).
>>
>> US hams outside Region 2 have had phone down to 7075 for years, and I
>> am on record as suggesting that we should have that in the mainland
>> US. It's hard to see why not when you actually look at what the rest
>> of the world is doing.
>>

>> At the same time countries in Region 1 aren't supposed to get access
>> to 7100-7200 until the middle of 2007. Many of them already have, but
>> for those that haven't, a phone subband that goes down to 7125 still
>> doesn't even reach the top edge of their whole band. Doubtless some of
>> them will be late in implementing the extra spectrum, so forced split
>> working will drag on a while longer.
>
> Alun you've been dumping this old whine into the groups for years now
> and it's long since worn out. Not that it needs to be explained to you
> *again* but the FCC is *protecting* the dx from the hordes of Yanks who
> would obliterate the dx if we were allowed to run SSB below 7100.
>
> Dee also explaned it in her immediately preceeding post, read it, give
> us a break and internalize it this time then go find something new to
> grouse about for the next five years. Maybe by then your chums in the
> UK will be able to transceive with you above 7125.
>
>> How would you like it if your 40m CW allocation were on frequencies
>> that were off limits to the DX?
>
> The difference is all about one SSB signal taking up the same amout of
> spectrum space as 5-10 CW signals.
>
>> On 20m and 15m we still have 50 kHz less phone on each band than all
>> other countries. Although General and Advanced got bigger phone
>> subbands on 15 (as well as 80 and 40), the bottom edge of phone didn't
>> move on 15 (or 20).
>>
>> 73 de Alun, N3KIP
>>
>> (20wpm Extra, 100% phone op)
>
> There's your real problem.
>
> w3rv
>
>

I can work the UK on 40 phone now without going split. They can use 7040-7200 now. OTOH, who knows when the broadcasters will ever move?

It's a complete crock about protecting the DX. The answer for ragchewers has always been to use a band that isn't open to where you want to be protected from, i.e. don't ragchew on an open band unless you want DX (meaning in this case US hams) to ask to join the QSO (some actually like it when that happens, you know). It's not really hard for them to find a band that isn't open to the US.

5740. Classic Radio Shack commercials...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-30 21:13:18 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <MUt1h.33$8_7.20@newsfe02.lga>
Source record: 4870
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Some classic Radio Shack commercials... Brings back memories...

http://blogs.pcworld.com/techlog/archives/003044.html

5741. Some classic Radio Shack commercials.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-30 21:15:42 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <MWt1h.35$8_7.13@newsfe02.lga>
Source record: 4871
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Some classic Radio Shack commercials... Brings back memories...

http://blogs.pcworld.com/techlog/archives/003044.html

5742. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-30 23:31:14 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162251074.450050.82840@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4872
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote in
> news:1160949009.882798.262830@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
> > Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >> Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in news:6tgXg.8071$Y24.103
> >> @newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
> >> > "Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
> >> > news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
> >> >
> >> >> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
> >> >>
> >> >> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
> >> >
> >> > I don't know if we'll get Mad right away but it's clear the FCC and
> >> > the ARRL want to drive all good hams out of the service. Little by
> >> > little they'll take away the non-phone portions of the bands and
> >> > hand it over to the phone users. Like a frog in a pot of water
> >> > that's raised to boiling point, one day us real hams will find we
> >> > have to place to communicate on the ham bands that doesn't sound
> >> > like Citizens Band.
> >> >
> >> > SC
> >> >
> >> Here's a reality check.
> >>
> >> On 80m the rest of the world, except Canada, has always had phone down
> >> to 3600 as far back as I can remember, and we are merely joining them.
> >> The VE phone ops still have to stay above 3700, I think.
> >>
> >> On 40m the international bandplans have phone down to 7040 except in
> >> Region 3 where it is 7030. The only countries that I know of where you
> >> can't use phone down to 7040 are Mexico (7050) and the USA (now 7125
> >> after the change).
> >>
> >> US hams outside Region 2 have had phone down to 7075 for years, and I
> >> am on record as suggesting that we should have that in the mainland
> >> US. It's hard to see why not when you actually look at what the rest
> >> of the world is doing.
> >>
> >> At the same time countries in Region 1 aren't supposed to get access
> >> to 7100-7200 until the middle of 2007. Many of them already have, but
> >> for those that haven't, a phone subband that goes down to 7125 still
> >> doesn't even reach the top edge of their whole band. Doubtless some of

> >> them will be late in implementing the extra spectrum, so forced split
> >> working will drag on a while longer.
> >
> > Alun you've been dumping this old whine into the groups for years now
> > and it's long since worn out. Not that it needs to be explained to you
> > *again* but the FCC is *protecting* the dx from the hordes of Yanks who
> > would obliterate the dx if we were allowed to run SSB below 7100.
> >
> > Dee also explaned it in her immediately preceeding post, read it, give
> > us a break and internalize it this time then go find something new to
> > grouse about for the next five years. Maybe by then your chums in the
> > UK will be able to transceive with you above 7125.
> >
> >> How would you like it if your 40m CW allocation were on frequencies
> >> that were off limits to the DX?
> >
> > The difference is all about one SSB signal taking up the same amout of
> > spectrum space as 5-10 CW signals.

Sheesh! No wonder Dee says CWGet can't copy it with these guys
interfering each other.

> >> On 20m and 15m we still have 50 kHz less phone on each band than all
> >> other countries. Although General and Advanced got bigger phone
> >> subbands on 15 (as well as 80 and 40), the bottom edge of phone didn't
> >> move on 15 (or 20).
> >>
> >> 73 de Alun, N3KIP
> >>
> >> (20wpm Extra, 100% phone op)
> >
> > There's your real problem.
> >
> > w3rv
>
> I can work the UK on 40 phone now without going split. They can use 7040-
> 7200 now. OTOH, who knows when the broadcasters will ever move?
>
> It's a complete crock about protecting the DX. The answer for ragchewers
> has always been to use a band that isn't open to where you want to be
> protected from, i.e. don't ragchew on an open band unless you want DX
> (meaning in this case US hams) to ask to join the QSO (some actually like
> it when that happens, you know). It's not really hard for them to find a
> band that isn't open to the US.

KH2D and I used to chat on a dead band; 10 meters. Then someone from
Africa would break in for a QSO

5743. Modifying Old Crystal-Tuned Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-30 23:44:26 UTC
From: "Chris F." <zappymanNOSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u7w1h.12550$cz.205868@ursa-nb00s0.nbnet.nb.ca>
Source record: 4873

I recently bought a bunch of old base scanners, mostly some early Uniden Bearcat models from the late 70's. I didn't realize that these were crystal-tuned units, and now I'm stuck with them. I was wondering if there's any way to modify them to be adjustable. Perhaps an extra circuit employing 8 simple oscillators with adjustments, connected to the 8 crystal sockets? There must be a way to do this......

--
To reply via Email, remove NOSPAM from the address

5744. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-30 23:45:43 UTC
From: I PUNCE <markpunce@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1162251943.180194.7970@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4874
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 08:46:19 -0500, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
>
> >
> ><n3ox.dan@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:1162215787.165148.232880@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> You are a whackjob and you and Slow Code are undoubtedly the same
> >> goddamn person. I can't WAIT until the code is dropped. I'd honestly
> >> rather have you on 75 meters than crossposting to every
> >> rec.radio.amateur newsgroup you can find.
> >with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
> > impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?
>
> id theft is a crime
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
STFU marqueer
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

5745. Re: Modifying Old Crystal-Tuned Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-30 23:56:47 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <M7qdnSQYX-ttDNvYnZ2dnUVZ_vWdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 4875

"Chris F." <zappymanNOSPAM@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:u7w1h.12550$cz.205868@ursa-nb00s0.nbnet.nb.ca...
> I recently bought a bunch of old base scanners, mostly some early Uniden
> Bearcat models from the late 70's. I didn't realize that these were
> crystal-tuned units, and now I'm stuck with them. I was wondering if
there's
> any way to modify them to be adjustable. Perhaps an extra circuit
employing
> 8 simple oscillators with adjustments, connected to the 8 crystal sockets?
> There must be a way to do this......
>
Sorry!

Start a museum!

H.J.

5746. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-31 00:03:57 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <um4dk2pkhbkcm0gr22di2s4hdv8o9j501v@4ax.com>
Source record: 4876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On 30 Oct 2006 15:45:43 -0800, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>

>STFU marqueer

you are the crimal not I
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5747. Re: i punce in agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-31 00:32:37 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <FQw1h.12$L6.0@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4877
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

"n3ox.dan@gmail.com" <n3ox.dan@gmail.com> wrote in
news:1162215787.165148.232880@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> You are a whackjob and you and Slow Code are undoubtedly the same
> goddamn person. I can't WAIT until the code is dropped. I'd honestly
> rather have you on 75 meters than crossposting to every
> rec.radio.amateur newsgroup you can find.

WHAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA!

That's not going to happen Dan. Amateur radio is important to me. I
would turn off my rigs before I would violate any section of Part 97.

Tnx, 73, Good luck in the contest.

SC
20wpm code tested Extra

5748. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-31 00:32:46 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <OQw1h.17$L6.7@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4878
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Bill" <baycock@hughes.net> wrote in
news:1162238254.786244.302400@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

>
> It's not code requirements or tests that is keeping (and driving) good
> people out of ham radio, and away from lists like these, it's
> psychopaths like SC and the idiots that feed him. This WAS about real
> issues, and about technology (I hit this cesspool from the antennas
> list), but it is not now.
> Thanks to the crap fron SC, and from the idiots that feed this Troll,
> I'm leaving. On real lists, s--t pots like him are kicked out. On
> usenets, the only way is to quit feeding his ego, but, unfortunately,
> he is not the only idiot here.

Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

SC

5749. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-31 00:32:48 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <QQw1h.18$L6.12@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4879
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1159809489.133036.157070@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

> Barry OGrady wrote:
>> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 22:54:46 -0500, Nada Tapu <user@host.domain> wrote:
>>
>> >On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 23:23:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>> >
>> >>
>> >> >Or just lazy people out?
>> >>
>> >> >Sc
>> >
>> >It certainly didn't keep me out, and I wasn't all that crazy about
>> >learning it, either.
>>
>> More to the point, are there more licensed amateurs since the code
>> requirement was removed years ago?
>
> Yes. In the USA at least.
>
> Since the inception of the no-code Technician class here in 1991,
> the growth of the Technician class license numbers in the USA
> has been continuous. Those now comprise about 49 % of ALL
> licensees. The Technician class license numbers are twice that
> of General class, the next-largest license class.
>
> Since the "reconstruction" in FCC amateur radio regulations of
> 2001, the number of licensees grew to peak in July, 2003. At
> that time the maximum code test rate was fixed at 5 WPM, all
> classes.
>
> A problem now is the attrition of the older licensees. More old-
> timers are leaving/expiring (their licenses) than are being
> replaced by new (never before licensed in amateur radio)
> licensees. Source: www.hamdata.com. That trend has
> persisted for three years.
>
> The code test is not THE factor causing it, just one of the
> major factors in slowing the increase of new licensees.
> Coupled with the stubborn resistance to change of ANY
> regulations by olde-tymers, there is little incentive to enter
> olde-tyme amateur radio. Ally that with the huge growth of

> the Internet in the 15 years it has been public - an Internet
> that has spread worldwide with near-instant communications
> over that world - and the traditional standards and practices
> of olde-tyme ham radio just don't have the appeal to
> newcomers they once had.
>
> Elimination of the code test for any license will cause a
> spurt in new licensees. While such elimination is not a
> guarantee to far-future growth, it will be the significant act
> to being CHANGING regulations to better fit the modern times.
> Keeping up with changing times is a NECESSITY in
> regulations, regardless of the personal desires of the minority
> of amateurs making up the olde-tyme group.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

You should market your posts to farmers Len. The fertilizer content in them could green the Sahara.

SC

5750. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-31 00:36:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <cj6dk2199psdbnr8tam2apujj64lnuqfl4@4ax.com>
Source record: 4880
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 31 Oct 2006 00:32:46 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Bill" <baycock@hughes.net> wrote in
>news:1162238254.786244.302400@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> It's not code requirements or tests that is keeping (and driving) good
>> people out of ham radio, and away from lists like these, it's
>> psychopaths like SC and the idiots that feed him. This WAS about real
>> issues, and about technology (I hit this cesspool from the antennas
>> list), but it is not now.
>> Thanks to the crap fron SC, and from the idiots that feed this Troll,
>> I'm leaving. On real lists, s--t pots like him are kicked out. On
>> usenets, the only way is to quit feeding his ego, but, unfortunately,
>> he is not the only idiot here.
>
>
>Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?
yes

and it is driving other out

and it is leting rotten one in like yourself
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5751. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-31 00:36:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <hk6dk2lcecttuaa9fqr102pdse8s19det5@4ax.com>
Source record: 4881
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
On Tue, 31 Oct 2006 00:32:48 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>news:1159809489.133036.157070@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
>> Barry OGrady wrote:
>>> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 22:54:46 -0500, Nada Tapu <user@host.domain> wrote:
>>>
>>> >On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 23:23:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>> >
>>> >>
>>> >>Or just lazy people out?
>>> >>
>>> >>Sc
>>> >
>>> >It certainly didn't keep me out, and I wasn't all that crazy about
>>> >learning it, either.
>>>
>>> More to the point, are there more licensed amateurs since the code
>>> requirement was removed years ago?
>>
>> Yes. In the USA at least.
>>
>> Since the inception of the no-code Technician class here in 1991,
>> the growth of the Technician class license numbers in the USA
>> has been continuous. Those now comprise about 49 % of ALL
>> licensees. The Technician class license numbers are twice that
>> of General class, the next-largest license class.
>>
>> Since the "reconstruction" in FCC amateur radio regulations of
>> 2001, the number of licensees grew to peak in July, 2003. At
>> that time the maximum code test rate was fixed at 5 WPM, all
>> classes.
>>
>> A problem now is the attrition of the older licensees. More old-
>> timers are leaving/expiring (their licenses) than are being
>> replaced by new (never before licensed in amateur radio)
>> licensees. Source: www.hamdata.com. That trend has
>> persisted for three years.
>>
>> The code test is not THE factor causing it, just one of the
>> major factors in slowing the increase of new licensees.
>> Coupled with the stubborn resistance to change of ANY
```

>> regulations by olde-tymers, there is little incentive to enter
>> olde-tyme amateur radio. Ally that with the huge growth of
>> the Internet in the 15 years it has been public - an Internet
>> that has spread worldwide with near-instant communications
>> over that world - and the traditional standards and practices
>> of olde-tyme ham radio just don't have the appeal to
>> newcomers they once had.
>>
>> Elimination of the code test for any license will cause a
>> spurt in new licensees. While such elimination is not a
>> guarantee to far-future growth, it will be the significant act
>> to being CHANGING regulations to better fit the modern times.
>> Keeping up with changing times is a NECESSITY in
>> regulations, regardless of the personal desires of the minority
>> of amateurs making up the olde-tyme group.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>
>You should market your posts to farmers Len. The fertilizer content in
>them could green the Sahara.
clean up ham radio turing YOUR license
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5752. Re: i punce in agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-31 00:40:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <fq6dk2hludtilhtibo9j9t4ck72lb6gnpm@4ax.com>
Source record: 4882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On Tue, 31 Oct 2006 00:32:37 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"n3ox.dan@gmail.com" <n3ox.dan@gmail.com> wrote in
>news:1162215787.165148.232880@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>> You are a whackjob and you and Slow Code are undoubtedly the same
>> goddamn person. I can't WAIT until the code is dropped. I'd honestly
>> rather have you on 75 meters than crossposting to every
>> rec.radio.amateur newsgroup you can find.
>
>WHAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA!
>
>
>That's not going to happen Dan. Amateur radio is important to me.

then why do you advocate it destruction why are you such bad Ham?

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5753. Re: Modifying Old Crystal-Tuned Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-31 02:16:12 UTC
From: w9gb <spam_protect@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <yZqdnbrmOeEuLNvYnZ2dnUVZ_vudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 4883

"Chris F." <zappymanNOSPAM@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:u7w1h.12550$cz.205868@ursa-nb00s0.nbnet.nb.ca...
>I recently bought a bunch of old base scanners, mostly some early Uniden
>Bearcat models from the late 70's. I didn't realize that these were
>crystal-tuned units, and now I'm stuck with them. I was wondering if
>there's any way to modify them to be adjustable. Perhaps an extra circuit
>employing 8 simple oscillators with adjustments, connected to the 8 crystal
>sockets?
> There must be a way to do this......
>

Cheap crystals available at Ken's Electronics
http://www.kenselectronics.com/lists/scanxtal.htm

gb

5754. Re: i punce in agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-31 07:26:58 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb0c4c9967c34a0989a71@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 4884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

In article <FQw1h.12$L6.0@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> "n3ox.dan@gmail.com" <n3ox.dan@gmail.com> wrote in
> news:1162215787.165148.232880@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
> > You are a whackjob and you and Slow Code are undoubtedly the same
> > goddamn person. I can't WAIT until the code is dropped. I'd honestly
> > rather have you on 75 meters than crossposting to every
> > rec.radio.amateur newsgroup you can find.
>
> WHAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA!
>
>
> That's not going to happen Dan. Amateur radio is important to me. I
> would turn off my rigs before I would violate any section of Part 97.
>
> Tnx, 73, Good luck in the contest.
>
> SC
> 20wpm code tested Extra
>
You don't have a license!!! It was revoked a year ago!

5755. Re: Some classic Radio Shack commercials.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-31 13:15:51 UTC
From: Telstar Electronics <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1162300551.208013.198350@e64g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4885
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

PowerHouse Communications wrote:
> Some classic Radio Shack commercials... Brings back memories...
>
> http://blogs.pcworld.com/techlog/archives/003044.html

Yes... Radio Shack has withstood the test of time.

www.telstar-electronics.com

5756. Re: Some classic Radio Shack commercials.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-31 16:04:04 UTC
From: hjsjms@cs.com
Message-ID: <1162310644.095980.48080@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4886
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

PowerHouse Communications wrote:
> Some classic Radio Shack commercials... Brings back memories...
>
> http://blogs.pcworld.com/techlog/archives/003044.html

I'll say. Thanks for posting it.

5757. Re: Some classic Radio Shack commercials.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-31 16:20:25 UTC
From: SeppoP <seppo_pietikainen@xyahoox.com>
Message-ID: <4qpbe9Fo66rmU1@individual.net>
Source record: 4887
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

PowerHouse Communications wrote:
> Some classic Radio Shack commercials... Brings back memories...
>
> http://blogs.pcworld.com/techlog/archives/003044.html
>
>

The nostalgy forces me to lean for some Jameson...

--
Seppo P.
What's wrong with Theocracy? (a Finnish Taliban, Oct 1, 2005)

5758. how does a revoked license show in the databases

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-31 18:09:12 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162318152.251319.150430@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4888
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

RadioGuy wrote:
> In article <FQw1h.12$L6.0@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> my.mail@no.spam says...
> > "n3ox.dan@gmail.com" <n3ox.dan@gmail.com> wrote in
> > news:1162215787.165148.232880@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> >
> > > You are a whackjob and you and Slow Code are undoubtedly the same
> > > goddamn person. I can't WAIT until the code is dropped. I'd honestly
> > > rather have you on 75 meters than crossposting to every
> > > rec.radio.amateur newsgroup you can find.
> >
> > WHAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA!
> >
> >
> > That's not going to happen Dan. Amateur radio is important to me. I
> > would turn off my rigs before I would violate any section of Part 97.
> >
> > Tnx, 73, Good luck in the contest.
> >
> > SC
> > 20wpm code tested Extra
> >
> You don't have a license!!! It was revoked a year ago!
how do they either in qrz or fcc

5759. Re: Some classic Radio Shack commercials.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-10-31 22:06:32 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20061031170712.240$12@newsreader.com>
Source record: 4889
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

SeppoP <seppo_pietikainen@xyahoox.com> wrote:
> PowerHouse Communications wrote:
> > Some classic Radio Shack commercials... Brings back memories...
> >
> > http://blogs.pcworld.com/techlog/archives/003044.html
> >
> >
>
> The nostalgy forces me to lean for some Jameson...
>
>
Ha, chin chin.

5760. Re: Some classic Radio Shack commercials.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-01 00:07:51 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20061031190831.415$3t@newsreader.com>
Source record: 4890
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

hjsjms@cs.com wrote:
> PowerHouse Communications wrote:
> > Some classic Radio Shack commercials... Brings back memories...
> >
> > http://blogs.pcworld.com/techlog/archives/003044.html
>
> I'll say. Thanks for posting it.
>
That used to be a fun place to shop..shame on the cell phone eh?

5761. Re: i punce in agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-01 00:43:48 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <85S1h.584$l25.568@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4891
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

RadioGay <RadioGuy@radioguy.com> wrote in
news:MPG.1fb0c4c9967c34a0989a71@news-server.carolina.rr.com:

> In article <FQw1h.12$L6.0@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> my.mail@no.spam says...
>> "n3ox.dan@gmail.com" <n3ox.dan@gmail.com> wrote in
>> news:1162215787.165148.232880@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> > You are a whackjob and you and Slow Code are undoubtedly the same
>> > goddamn person. I can't WAIT until the code is dropped. I'd
>> > honestly rather have you on 75 meters than crossposting to every
>> > rec.radio.amateur newsgroup you can find.
>>
>> WHAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA!
>>
>>
>> That's not going to happen Dan. Amateur radio is important to me. I
>> would turn off my rigs before I would violate any section of Part 97.
>>
>> Tnx, 73, Good luck in the contest.
>>
>> SC
>> 20wpm code tested Extra
>>
> You don't have a license!!! It was revoked a year ago!

You don't have a clue. Your hard-on for me has muddled your brain cell.

What's your favorite CB channel? They miss ya there, now run along papa
dog.

SC

5762. stop the cyber vandalism SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-01 01:14:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <r6tfk2hn9n7gec6jpjgc2imp5imk4ghugp@4ax.com>
Source record: 4892
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 01 Nov 2006 00:43:48 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>RadioGay <RadioGuy@radioguy.com> wrote in

stop the cyber vandalism SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5763. Re: Michael Jackson's Chimpanzee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-01 01:38:37 UTC
From: AL <hipot230@nowhere.invalid>
Message-ID: <7FqdnWJHiMI8Z9rYUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 4893
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Elizabeth Taylor!!!

"Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
news:3dcdzzzzzzzzzz1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net...
> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 13:56:10 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs>
> wrote:
>> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:50:44 GMT, "Chris"
>> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>>
>> >On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:23:46 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>> >wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Chris wrote:
>> >> > If you've never programmed in assembly language, don't talk to me
>> >> > about being a real programmer.
>> >>
>> >> Real programmers plugged diodes into a matrix to
>> >> execute their programs.
>> >
>> >Nope. That's hardware manipulation,
>> no that is prgraming indeed it is basis of all prgraming
>>
>> but you have to try and distrot and twist any line of logic till
>> bleeds
>
> Not so. The whole idea of SOFTware was to get away from what
> otherwise amounts to rewiring parts of your machine. At best, that
> was FIRMware, and it was not portable. Software -- the stuff that
> programmers produce -- can be easily copied among identical machines,
> so you only have to do the programming job once. That saves money,
> because it saves man hours.
>
> Programmers produce SOFTware. Engineers and technicians design or
> modify HARDware. Moving diodes or patch cables around is not software
> programming; it is HARDware manipulation.
>
> Have you ever written an assembly language program? If you had, you
> would be able to distinguish between hardware and software, and you
> would know how they interact. Your uninformed comments make me pretty
> sure that you haven't.
>
> [Bonus Question: What is the name of Michael Jackson's chimpanzee?]

5764. Re: Michael Jackson's Chimpanzee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-01 12:09:58 UTC
From: Scott <acepilot@bloomer.net>
Message-ID: <zrWdneWgZ58KE9XYnZ2dnUVZ_qSdnZ2d@bright.net>
Source record: 4894
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hey what about us guys who programmed even further down the food chain
in "MACHINE LANGUAGE"? Assembly is for sissies! ;) We don't need no
stinkin' mnemonics :O

Scott

>>>>>Chris wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>If you've never programmed in assembly language, don't talk to me
>>>>>>about being a real programmer.

5765. Re: Michael Jackson's Chimpanzee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-01 13:57:48 UTC
From: Chris <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <81045F23.78165805@alvillage.net>
Source record: 4895
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 01 Nov 2006 12:09:58 +0000, Scott <acepilot@bloomer.net> wrote:
> Hey what about us guys who programmed even further down the food chain
> in "MACHINE LANGUAGE"? Assembly is for sissies! ;) We don't need no
> stinkin' mnemonics :O

That obviously makes you a real programmer. But it is the hard way to do things, especially if you find a mistake and need to insert a new instruction somewhere. All of the target addresses after the inserted instruction change, and you have to go back and correct all references to those later instructions, as well as some references to earlier instructions (relative jump instructions change value). I much prefer the "luxury" of an assembler, because it will do all of that stuff for you.

To stay on topic, I'd probably better mention that Michael Jackson's first chimp was named "Bubbles" and that the chimp and Michael would dress alike wherever they went. The chimp later moved away to Chassell, Michigan and started a consulting business. Michael took up with another chimp named Max, thereby sending Bubbles into a jealous rage. Bubbles has been acting his anger out in Usenet's rrap ever since. He can be recognized easily, because chimps don't spell or type all that well, and his posts stick out like a sore thumb. He tries to cover it up by claiming to be dyslexic, but everyone recognizes a chimp's typing when they see it, and they know that the poster is really Bubbles.

5766. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-01 14:04:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <b9ahk2d3h6n8c4ib9bopsneiattqm518od@4ax.com>
Source record: 4896
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 1 Nov 2006 13:57:48 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 01 Nov 2006 12:09:58 +0000, Scott <acepilot@bloomer.net> wrote:
>> Hey what about us guys who programmed even further down the food chain
>> in "MACHINE LANGUAGE"? Assembly is for sissies! ;) We don't need no
>> stinkin' mnemonics :O
>
>That obviously makes you a real programmer. But it is the hard way to
>do things, especially if you find a mistake and need to insert a new
>instruction somewhere. All of the target addresses after the inserted
>instruction change, and you have to go back and correct all references
>to those later instructions, as well as some references to earlier
>instructions (relative jump instructions change value). I much prefer
>the "luxury" of an assembler, because it will do all of that stuff for
>you.
>
>To stay on topic, I'd probably better mention that Michael Jackson's
>first chimp was named "Bubbles" and that the chimp and Michael would
>dress alike wherever they went. The chimp later moved away to
>Chassell, Michigan and started a consulting business. Michael took up
>with another chimp named Max, thereby sending Bubbles into a jealous
>rage. Bubbles has been acting his anger out in Usenet's rrap ever
>since. He can be recognized easily, because chimps don't spell or
>type all that well, and his posts stick out like a sore thumb. He
>tries to cover it up by claiming to be dyslexic, but everyone
>recognizes a chimp's typing when they see it, and they know that the
>poster is really Bubbles.
funny how you are so jealous of me and so desperate to try and malign
me

stil avoiding you Progaming gaff tell do you take advice on uhow to
handle your gaff from Kerry? it sure looks like it
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5767. id theft is still a cimre

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-01 21:17:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <jl3ik2ljb7t0mrj14451nobqdsm6jleia7@4ax.com>
Source record: 4897
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 1 Nov 2006 16:33:03 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 01 Nov 2006 09:04:58 -0500, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
>> On Wed, 1 Nov 2006 13:57:48 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
>> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>>

umb. He
>> >tries to cover it up by claiming to be dyslexic, but everyone
>> >recognizes a chimp's typing when they see it, and they know that the
>> >poster is really Bubbles.
>> funny how you are so jealous of me and so desperate to try and malign
>> me
>
>So you finally admit that you're Bubbles, eh?
no I am admiting that Bubbles reads english better tha you if you
like
>
>> stil avoiding you Progaming gaff tell do you take advice on uhow to

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5768. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-01 22:28:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <tq7ik2drt9g08qbov4v3chhuce2d2cjv7q@4ax.com>
Source record: 4899
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 1 Nov 2006 18:00:42 -0500, "D. Wilson" <anon@anon> wrote:

>It appears that Bubbles is yet again trying to hijack the thread.Get back on
>topic, Bubbles.
>
flaming your wn p[ost how pathetic
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5769. Re: Michael Jackson's Chimpanzee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-01 23:00:42 UTC
From: "D. Wilson" <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <e7qsf.ano.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4898
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

It appears that Bubbles is yet again trying to hijack the thread.Get back on
topic, Bubbles.

"Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
news:3098fa129776.79899976@alvillage.net...
On Wed, 01 Nov 2006 09:04:58 -0500, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs>
wrote:
> On Wed, 1 Nov 2006 13:57:48 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>
> >On Wed, 01 Nov 2006 12:09:58 +0000, Scott <acepilot@bloomer.net> wrote:
> >> Hey what about us guys who programmed even further down the food chain
> >> in "MACHINE LANGUAGE"? Assembly is for sissies! ;) We don't need no
> >> stinkin' mnemonics :O
> >
> >That obviously makes you a real programmer. But it is the hard way to
> >do things, especially if you find a mistake and need to insert a new
> >instruction somewhere. All of the target addresses after the inserted
> >instruction change, and you have to go back and correct all references
> >to those later instructions, as well as some references to earlier
> >instructions (relative jump instructions change value). I much prefer
> >the "luxury" of an assembler, because it will do all of that stuff for
> >you.
> >
> >To stay on topic, I'd probably better mention that Michael Jackson's
> >first chimp was named "Bubbles" and that the chimp and Michael would
> >dress alike wherever they went. The chimp later moved away to
> >Chassell, Michigan and started a consulting business. Michael took up
> >with another chimp named Max, thereby sending Bubbles into a jealous
> >rage. Bubbles has been acting his anger out in Usenet's rrap ever
> >since. He can be recognized easily, because chimps don't spell or
> >type all that well, and his posts stick out like a sore thumb. He
> >tries to cover it up by claiming to be dyslexic, but everyone
> >recognizes a chimp's typing when they see it, and they know that the
> >poster is really Bubbles.
> funny how you are so jealous of me and so desperate to try and malign
> me

So you finally admit that you're Bubbles, eh?

> stil avoiding you Progaming gaff tell do you take advice on uhow to

Bubbles, the word you want is spelled "gaffe" (I hope). If you really
mean "gaff," why then you are speaking of either sailing gear or cross

dressing garments (see http://www.samiscloset.com/gaffs.asp). As to programming, why I have forgotten more about it than you have yet to learn.

> handle your gaff from Kerry? it sure looks like it

John Kerry has never sent me a gaff, and I'm puzzled as to why you think that he is a cross dresser?

5770. FS: Optoelectronics OptoCom scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-02 00:09:19 UTC
From: Ken Wilson <kg4big@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1162426159.553809.179960@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4900

I am offering my Optoelectronics OptoCom communications
receiver/scanner for sale. It is really nice condition & works 100%. I
have been using it with Probe 7.0 software.

The serial # is1481095.

I do not have a box, manual, or 12volt supply.

I will double box carefully however. The manual is available online.
Any wall wart or 12v supply will work fine.

I am selling to help fund other radio projects.

I would sell for $375.00 shipped UPS Insured Ground lower 48.

Thanks for considering this fine receiver.

73, Ken KG4BIG

kg4big@yahoo.com

Kenneth Wilson
151 Copperfield Lane
Georgetown, KY. 40324

5771. Control Channels ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-02 01:52:59 UTC
From: Good Ol Dad <bigmike@justboystoys.com>
Message-ID: <ukjik2hmkdg6om1np1rnglm2d8d6r63k27@4ax.com>
Source record: 4901
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ok, acting on several persons advice, I bought ScanControl and find it fairly easy to use.

However, I can't seem to figure what the control channels are that it keeps asking for.

Is there a list somewhere that has recent freqs and control channels for the Fort Myers-Lee County, Florida area.

After I obtain them, where do I tell the program what they are?

Thanks Again to all those that helped me and will continue to do so,

Mike - SW Fl

5772. Re: 11 meter quad beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-02 03:47:59 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb3347fad9aaf7989a94@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 4902
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

>
>
> I'm glad they quit making it. CB'ers should be seen, not heard.
>
> SC
>

You're a Radio Bigot!!!!!!!!!! You pick on those who aren't like you.
You're just jealous because no one will talk to you on the CB since you
loast your Ham License.

IDIOT!!!!

5773. Re: Stamp collecting and creativity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-02 04:10:05 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb339a9d8ac9f58989a9f@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 4903
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
In article <C4c1h.1645$zf.690@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> "amdx" <amdx@knology.net> wrote in
> news:262b0$454498ba$45011502$14919@KNOLOGY.NET:
>
> >
> > "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> > news:Xwx0h.349$LI4.140@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >> Maybe you people could try stamp collecting.
> >>
> >> SC
> >
> > All these people that go out and buy stamps,
> > they should be making there own. There seems to be
> > a post office in every city, it just ruins there creativity
> > and thinking!
> > Mike
>
>
> You don't cut wood for winter, forage the woods for berries, turn the
> crank on a model T, build spears to kill a deer, wash clothes in a
> river, or make candles to light the night, why would you want to make
> your own stamps? Just collect them, no CW test required.
>
> Try not to drool on the sticky side.
>
> SC
>
You don't need any stamps. No one wants a letter from you and you never
pay your bills.
```

5774. Re: Stamp collecting doesn't require taking a code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-02 04:11:15 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb339f3af5e0ebf989aa0@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 4905
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In article <Xwx0h.349$LI4.140@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> Maybe you people could try stamp collecting.
>
> SC
>
Maybe you could try playing in traffic at rush hour with a sign around
your neck that says "Run me over get $100"

5775. Re: Stamp collecting doesn't require taking a code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-02 04:12:25 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb33a39a730f54c989aa1@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 4904
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In article <1162090896.121645.198860@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
loumaagsucks@hotmail.com says...
> Dear Slow Code,
> How about another slow cock sucking? Don't you miss the taste? I'm
> ready for you.
> Slow Code wrote:
> > Maybe you people could try stamp collecting.
> >
> > SC
>
>
Be careful. Hes got diseases that haven't been identified. Just ask
his x wife!

5776. Icom IC-R2500 Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-02 09:18:53 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <12kjdvtqluhjkf0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 4906

Hello All:

Looking at the advertisment for a Icom IC-R2500 wide band radio.

Anyone got any feedback?

Jay in the Mojave

5777. Google Radio Groups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-02 14:48:45 UTC
From: Scott Smith <scott93727@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <hzn2h.232$7F3.76@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4907

Google Radio Groups

Please join My Google Radio groups and get them off to start.

A Group about Shortwave Radio, amateur, GMRS, Goverment, Freq Charts, Band Plans, Radios, specs, Places to buy, Lic info. Repeaters, Etc.

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/uhf-radio

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/vhf-radio

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/shortwave-radio

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/gmrsradio

http://members.aol.com/scott93727/radio.htm

5778. Re: Control Channels ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-02 17:00:20 UTC
From: "Ken R." <kenr55@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Dup2h.82796$zF5.12930@bignews1.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 4908
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Go here to the Radio Reference web site. Lots of good information:
http://radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&sid=226

I am not familiar with the software that you have, but the control channels are the frequencies in the trunked system that send out the digital data that tells all the radios in the system what frequencies to switch to. They can be identified because they transmit constantly a noise that sounds like a diesel engine idling. Your scanner uses these channels to trunk track the system, so you need these.

Ken

"Good Ol Dad" <bigmike@justboystoys.com> wrote in message
news:ukjik2hmkdg6om1np1rnglm2d8d6r63k27@4ax.com...
> Ok, acting on several persons advice, I bought ScanControl and find it
> fairly easy to use.
>
> However, I can't seem to figure what the control channels are that it
> keeps asking for.
>
> Is there a list somewhere that has recent freqs and control channels
> for the Fort Myers-Lee County, Florida area.
>
> After I obtain them, where do I tell the program what they are?
>
> Thanks Again to all those that helped me and will continue to do so,
>
> Mike - SW Fl

5779. Re: Icom IC-R2500 Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-02 21:46:09 UTC
From: mikeFNB <mikefnb@moc.dlrowltn>
Message-ID: <BGt2h.12099$p8.9834@newsfe3-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 4909

brilliant, esp with the DV board fitted
i'll say no more!!

mike

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:12kjdvtqluhjkf0@corp.supernews.com...
> Hello All:
>
> Looking at the advertisment for a Icom IC-R2500 wide band radio.
>
> Anyone got any feedback?
>
> Jay in the Mojave

5780. Re: Icom IC-R2500 Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-02 21:47:51 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <QMCdnYp5ZKQa-tfYnZ2dnUVZ_tqdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 4910

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:12kjdvtqluhjkf0@corp.supernews.com...
> Hello All:
>
> Looking at the advertisment for a Icom IC-R2500 wide band radio.
>
> Anyone got any feedback?
>
> Jay in the Mojave

More fluff than stuff. Save your money.

Bill Crocker

5781. FA: BCT-8 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-02 22:50:02 UTC
From: KA2CTN <ka2ctn@-nospam-aol.com>
Message-ID: <uCu2h.658$zB4.87@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 4911
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=200043162660

5782. PRO-92 PC interface cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-02 23:02:29 UTC
From: me <junk@junk.com>
Message-ID: <9Ou2h.930$vP1.150@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4912
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I just recently acquired a PRO-92 scanner and anxiously wanted to begin uploading channels.

I downloaded the program Win92

I read on starrsoft.com
http://www.starrsoft.com/software/Win96/Cables/cables.html

that the cable to purchase was RS's 20-289. I drove there with my scanner and laptop. Oh no, this is a serial cable and my new HP laptop doesn't have a serial connection. My next bright idea was to try a USB to serial adaptor with RS's 20-289. No good, the laptop needed drivers for the adaptor and the adaptor did not come with software.

Next I saw sitting on the shelf was RS's 20-047 kit which included the drivers CD and USB cable. I loaded the necessary drivers and hooked up the cable and scanner and NOTHING. I kept getting errors, something to the effect that Win92 couldn't open the port. Another message said there was a time out error in waiting for some code.

Does Radio Shack have anything that will work on the new computers and a PRO-92 scanner? I'd rather buy something locally (Chicago) off the shelf rather than wait a week or so for an Internet order. If that's the way to go then I have no other choice.

Thanks for any feedback.

5783. 30 helpful ham tips for no-codes and new hams like RadioGuy AKA PapaDog.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-03 00:55:07 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Lrw2h.1159$L6.711@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4913
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

The 30 Steps below will help all No-Code Hams, it will also help you to find others just like yourself. These 30 steps should also be added to the present writtens.

Step One: Use as many "Q" signals as possible. Yes, I know they were invented solely for CW and are totally inappropriate for two meter FM, but they are fun and entertaining. They keep people guessing as to what you really meant. I.E. "I'm going to QSY to the phone." Can you really change frequencies to the phone? QSL used to mean, "I am acknowledging receipt", but now it appears to mean, "yes" or "OK". I guess I missed it when the ARRL changed the meaning. It is also best to use "OK" and "QSL" together. Redundancy is the better part of Lid-dom.

Step Two: Never laugh when you can say "HI HI". No one will ever know you aren't a long time CW rag-chewer if you don't tell them. They'll think you've been on since the days of Marconi.

Step Three: Utilize an alternative vocabulary. Use words like "destinated" and "negatory". It's OK to make up your own words here. I.E. "Yeah Tom, I "pheelbart zaphonix" occasionally myself."

Step Four: Always say "XX4XXX" (Insert your own call) "for I.D." As mentioned in Step One, anything that creates redundancy is always encouraged. That's why we have the Department of Redundancy Department. (Please note that you can follow your call with "for identification purposes" instead of "for I.D." While taking longer to say, it is worth more "LID points".

Step Five: The better the copy on two meter FM, the more you should use phonetics. Names should be especially used if they are short or common ones. I.E. "My name is Al... Alpha Lima" or "Jack.. Juliet Alpha Charlie Kilo." If at all possible use the less common HF phonetics "A4SM... America, Number Four, Sugar Mexico." And for maximum "LID points", make up unintelligible phonetics. "My name is Bob... Billibong Oregano Bumperpool."

Step Six: Always give the calls of yourself and everyone who is (or has been) in the group, whether they are still there or not. While this has been unnecessary for years, it is still a great memory test. You may also use "and the group" if you are an "old timer" or just have a bad memory. Extra points for saying everyone's call and then clearing in a silly way "K2PKK, Chow, Chow."

Step Seven: Whenever possible, use the wrong terminology. It keeps people guessing. Use "modulation" when you mean "deviation", and vice-versa.

Step Eight: If someone asks for a break, always finish your turn, taking as long as possible before turning it over. Whenever possible, pass it around a few times first. This will discourage the breaker, and if it is an emergency, encourage him to switch to another repeater and not bother you.

Step Nine: Always ask involved questions of the person who is trying to sign out. Never let him get by with just a "yes" or "no" answer. Make it a question that will take him a long time to answer.

Step Ten: The less you know on a subject, the more you should speculate about it in the roundtable. Also the amount of time you spend on the subject should be inversely proportionate to your knowledge of the subject even though you have no damn clue.

Step Eleven: Always make sure you try to communicate with only a handheld and a rubber duck antenna. Also, make sure you work through a repeater that you can hear very well, but it cannot hear you. This will put out a kind of "LID mating call": "Well, Joe, I can hear the repeater just fine here. I wonder why it can't hear me?" You will score maximum LID points if you are mobile, and with the radio lying in the passenger seat.

Step Twelve: If you hear two amateurs start a conversation, wait until they are twenty seconds into their contact, and then break in to make a call, or better yet to use the auto-patch. Make sure you keep the repeater tied up for at least three minutes. This way, once the two have re-established contact, they won't even remember what they were talking about.

Step Thirteen: You hear someone on the repeater giving directions to a visiting amateur. Even if the directions are good, make sure you break in with your own "alternate route but better way to get there" version. This is most effective with several other "would-be LIDs", each giving a different route. By the time the visiting amateur unscrambles all the street names whizzing by in his mind, he should have moved out of the range of the repeater. This keeps you from having to stick around to help the guy get back out of town, later.

Step Fourteen: If an annoying station is bothering you, make sure your other "LID" buddies have a "coded" frequency list. Even though "CODES" are strictly forbidden on Amateur Radio, it's really neat to practice "James Bond" tactics.

Step Fifteen: Always use the National Calling Frequency for general conversations. The more uninteresting, the longer you should use it. Extra points are awarded if you have recently move from an adjacent frequency for no reason. Make sure when DX is "rolling" in on 52.525 that you hang out there and talk to your friends five miles down the road about the good old CB days!

Step Sixteen: Make sure that if you have a personal problem with someone, you should voice your opinion in a public forum, especially a net. Make sure you give their name, call, and any other identifying remarks. For maximum points, make sure the person in question is not on the repeater, or not available.

Step Seventeen: Make sure you say the first few words of each transmission twice, especially if it is the same thing each time. Like "roger, roger" or "fine business, fine business". I cannot stress enough about encouraging redundancy.

Step Eighteen: If you hear a conversation on a local repeater, break in and ask how each station is receiving you. Of course they will only see the signal of the repeater you are using, but it's that magic moment when you can find a fellow "LID", and get the report. Extra points are awarded if you are using a base station, and the repeater is less than twenty-five air miles from you.

Step Nineteen: Use the repeater for an hour or two at a time, preventing others from using it. Better yet, do it on a daily basis. Your quest is to make people so sick of hearing your voice every time they turn on their radio, they'll move to another frequency. This way you'll lighten the load on the repeater, leaving even more time for you to talk on it.

Step Twenty: See just how much flutter you can generate by operating at handheld power levels too far away from the repeater. Engage people in conversations when you know they wont be able to copy half of what your saying. Even when they say your uncopyable, continue to string them along by making further transmissions. See just how frustrated you can make the other amateur before he finally signs off in disgust.

Step Twenty One: Use lots of radio jargon. After all, it makes you feel important using words ordinary people don't say. Who cares if it makes you sound like you just fell off Channel 19 on the citizen's Band? Use phrases such as "Roger on that", "10-4", "I'm on the side", "Your making the trip" and "Negatory on that".

Step Twenty Two: Use excessive microphone gain. See just how loud you can make your audio. Make sure the audio gain is so high that other amateurs can hear any bugs crawling on your floor. If mobile, make sure the wind noise is loud enough that others have to strain to pick your words out from all the racket.

Step Twenty Three: Start every transmission with the word "Roger" or "QSL". Sure, you don't need to acknowledge that you received the other transmission in full. After all, you would simply ask for a repeat if you missed something. But consider it your gift to the other amateur to give him solace every few seconds that his transmissions are being received.

Step Twenty Four: When looking for a contact on a repeater, always say your "listening" or "monitoring" multiple times. I've always found that at least a half dozen times or so is good. Repeating your multiple "listening" ID's every 10 to 15 seconds is even better. Those people who didn't want to talk to you will eventually call you, hoping you'll go away

after you have finally made a contact.

Step Twenty Five: Always use a repeater, even if you can work the other station easily on simplex ... especially if you can make the contact on simplex. The coverage of the repeater you use should be inversely proportional to your distance from the other station.

Step Twenty Six: When on repeaters using courtesy tones, you should always say "over". Courtesy tones are designed to let everyone know when you have unkeyed but don't let that stop you. Say "over", "back to you" or "go ahead". It serves no useful purpose but don't worry, it's still fun!

Step Twenty Seven: Use the repeater's autopatch for frivolous routine calls... especially during morning or evening commute times. While pulling into the neighborhood, call home to let them know you'll be there in two minutes.... or, call your spouse to complain about the bad day you had at work. After all, the club has "measured rate" service on their phone line so they get charged for each autopatch call. Your endeavor is to make so many patches in a year that you cost the club at least $20 in phone bills. That way you'll feel you got your money's worth for your dues!

Step Twenty Eight: Never say "My name is ....." It makes you sound human. If at all possible, use one of the following phrases: a) "The personal here is ..." b) "The handle here is..."

Step Twenty Nine: Use "73" and "88" incorrectly. Both are already considered plural, but add a "s" to the end anyway. Say "73's" or "88's". Who cares if it means "best regards" and "love and kisses." Better yet, say "seventy thirds"! (By the way, seventy thirds equals about 23.3).

Step Thirty: If the repeater is off the air for service, complain about the fact that it was off the air as soon as it's turned back on. Act as though your entire day has been ruined because the repeater wasn't available when you wanted to use it. Even thought you have never paid a penny to help out with the upkeep of it.

5784. Re: PRO-92 PC interface cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-03 01:00:07 UTC
From: wbertram <wbertram@aol.com>
Message-ID: <9eSdnRUnnZQKCdfYnZ2dnUVZ_oGdnZ2d@rcn.net>
Source record: 4914
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Radio Shack has a cable with a USB connector on one end and the
appropriate plug for a PRO-92, 95, 97, or 96 one the other end.
Offhand, I don't remember the catalog number, but I am sure you can find
it on the RS website. The same cable is also available from the mfr. of
the PRO-92, at http://www.greamerica.com/scanner.html. Many people
have used the 20-289 cable with Win92 and a USB/Serial adapter,
starrsoft.com has a page on which adapters work best and how to do it.

The 20-047 cable definitely is not for the PRO-92. It is for older
scanners.

me wrote:
> I just recently acquired a PRO-92 scanner and anxiously wanted to begin
> uploading channels.
>
> I downloaded the program Win92
>
> I read on starrsoft.com
> http://www.starrsoft.com/software/Win96/Cables/cables.html
>
> that the cable to purchase was RS's 20-289. I drove there with my scanner
> and laptop. Oh no, this is a serial cable and my new HP laptop doesn't have
> a serial connection. My next bright idea was to try a USB to serial adaptor
> with RS's 20-289. No good, the laptop needed drivers for the adaptor and
> the adaptor did not come with software.
>
> Next I saw sitting on the shelf was RS's 20-047 kit which included the
> drivers CD and USB cable. I loaded the necessary drivers and hooked up the
> cable and scanner and NOTHING. I kept getting errors, something to the
> effect that Win92 couldn't open the port. Another message said there was a
> time out error in waiting for some code.
>
> Does Radio Shack have anything that will work on the new computers and a
> PRO-92 scanner? I'd rather buy something locally (Chicago) off the shelf
> rather than wait a week or so for an Internet order. If that's the way to
> go then I have no other choice.
>
> Thanks for any feedback.
>
>

5785. troing right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-03 02:58:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <n1clk2p9qja8h9qqevrsgrrjn8ak8pf5qm@4ax.com>
Source record: 4915
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 03 Nov 2006 00:55:07 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>The 30 Steps below will help all No-Code Hams, it will also help you to
please retire this worn out trol SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5786. Re: PRO-92 PC interface cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-03 13:51:38 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <KPH2h.9023$tb6.2156@news-wrt-01.rdc-nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 4916
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"wbertram" <wbertram@aol.com> wrote in message
news:9eSdnRUnnZQKCdfYnZ2dnUVZ_oGdnZ2d@rcn.net...
> Radio Shack has a cable with a USB connector on one end and the
> appropriate plug for a PRO-92, 95, 97, or 96 one the other end.
> Offhand, I don't remember the catalog number, but I am sure you can find
> it on the RS website. The same cable is also available from the mfr. of
> the PRO-92, at http://www.greamerica.com/scanner.html. Many people
> have used the 20-289 cable with Win92 and a USB/Serial adapter,
> starrsoft.com has a page on which adapters work best and how to do it.
>
> The 20-047 cable definitely is not for the PRO-92. It is for older
> scanners.

Wrong - the 20-047 is the USB cable for newer scanners, see
http://www.radioshack.com/product/index.jsp?productId=2177609&cp

20-048 is for older scanners and is discontinued.

5787. Re: PRO-92 PC interface cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-03 14:59:01 UTC
From: wbertram <wbertram@aol.com>
Message-ID: <qoadnRreKdSlxNbYnZ2dnUVZ_sednZ2d@rcn.net>
Source record: 4917
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Frank346 wrote:
> "wbertram" <wbertram@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:9eSdnRUnnZQKCdfYnZ2dnUVZ_oGdnZ2d@rcn.net...
>> Radio Shack has a cable with a USB connector on one end and the
>> appropriate plug for a PRO-92, 95, 97, or 96 one the other end.
>> Offhand, I don't remember the catalog number, but I am sure you can find
>> it on the RS website. The same cable is also available from the mfr. of
>> the PRO-92, at http://www.greamerica.com/scanner.html. Many people
>> have used the 20-289 cable with Win92 and a USB/Serial adapter,
>> starrsoft.com has a page on which adapters work best and how to do it.
>>
>> The 20-047 cable definitely is not for the PRO-92. It is for older
>> scanners.
>
> Wrong - the 20-047 is the USB cable for newer scanners, see
> http://www.radioshack.com/product/index.jsp?productId=2177609&cp
>
> 20-048 is for older scanners and is discontinued.
>
>
Sorry, my error! Yes, the 20-047 is the correct USB cable for the
PRO-92, and it should work.

5788. Re: 30 helpful ham tips for no-codes and new hams like RadioGuy AKA PapaDog.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-04 00:16:12 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <gZQ2h.1646$L6.963@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4918
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark in the Dark' wrote in
news:n1clk2p9qja8h9qqevrsgrrjn8ak8pf5qm@4ax.com:

> On Fri, 03 Nov 2006 00:55:07 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>The 30 Steps below will help all No-Code Hams, it will also help you to
> please retire this worn out trol SC
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

Markie,

Please work on steps 3, 7, 10, and 13.

Thanks.

SC

5789. Re: 30 helpful ham tips for no-codes and new hams like RadioGuy AKA PapaDog.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-04 18:55:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <igopk2dgs5sv7ff3km2o313rlj81mucilf@4ax.com>
Source record: 4919
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 04 Nov 2006 00:16:12 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark in the Dark' wrote in
>news:n1clk2p9qja8h9qqevrsgrrjn8ak8pf5qm@4ax.com:
>
>> On Fri, 03 Nov 2006 00:55:07 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>The 30 Steps below will help all No-Code Hams, it will also help you to
>> please retire this worn out trol SC
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
>
>Markie,
>
>Please work on steps 3, 7, 10, and 13.
no
>
>Thanks.
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5790. digital scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-04 22:02:33 UTC
From: dogdog@noemail.com
Message-ID: <pan.2006.11.04.21.56.21.908711@noemail.com>
Source record: 4920

Looking for some recommendations on a good digital scanner to purchase.
Anyone have any recommendations??

TIA
derdog

5791. Re: PRO-92 PC interface cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-04 23:52:22 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <lp9qk2d9vnglhd9ppbqjs4i500gughg6de@4ax.com>
Source record: 4921
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 02 Nov 2006 23:02:29 GMT, "me" <junk@junk.com> wrote:

>I just recently acquired a PRO-92 scanner and anxiously wanted to begin
>uploading channels.
>
>I downloaded the program Win92
>
>I read on starrsoft.com
>http://www.starrsoft.com/software/Win96/Cables/cables.html
>
>that the cable to purchase was RS's 20-289. I drove there with my scanner
>and laptop. Oh no, this is a serial cable and my new HP laptop doesn't have
>a serial connection. My next bright idea was to try a USB to serial adaptor
>with RS's 20-289. No good, the laptop needed drivers for the adaptor and
>the adaptor did not come with software.
>
>Next I saw sitting on the shelf was RS's 20-047 kit which included the
>drivers CD and USB cable. I loaded the necessary drivers and hooked up the
>cable and scanner and NOTHING. I kept getting errors, something to the
>effect that Win92 couldn't open the port. Another message said there was a
>time out error in waiting for some code.
>
>Does Radio Shack have anything that will work on the new computers and a
>PRO-92 scanner? I'd rather buy something locally (Chicago) off the shelf
>rather than wait a week or so for an Internet order. If that's the way to
>go then I have no other choice.

The 20-289 cable and the Radio Shack or Keyspan USB adapter. The
drivers are available for both - in the package and on the respective
web sites.

The 20-047 cable won't work - the scanner end voltage levels aren't
compatible with the Pro-92.

5792. Re: digital scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-04 23:55:28 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <r1aqk25ave75iu0133uqo7jaopmghifak8@4ax.com>
Source record: 4922

On Sat, 04 Nov 2006 22:02:33 GMT, dogdog@noemail.com wrote:

>Looking for some recommendations on a good digital scanner to purchase.
>Anyone have any recommendations??

Portable or base/mobile? Big city or out in the sticks? 700 MHz?
Multi-site system?

5793. Re: 30 helpful ham tips for no-codes and new hams like RadioGuy AKA PapaDog.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-04 23:58:06 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <iO93h.2459$0r.296@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4923
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Bandit" <no-spam@my-mail-box.com> wrote in
news:FuU2h.2115$l25.1418@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:

> These 30 tips are hilarious because they're so true.
>
> -73's, roger roger...

Ten-Four, Ten-Four. ;-)

It's going to get a lot worse after CW is eliminated. You'll here people
like PapaDog and Markie on HF.

SC

5794. Common courtesy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-04 23:58:09 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <lO93h.2461$0r.1796@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4924
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

If all the seats are taken at a club meeting and a 20wpm code tested Extra is standing, a no-code ham should give their seat to the Extra and sit on the floor. After saluting of course.

SC

5795. Re: Modifying Old Crystal-Tuned Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-04 23:58:40 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <66aqk2pdindllb1up9a6g9pq5lkm46udl7@4ax.com>
Source record: 4925

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 23:44:26 GMT, "Chris F."
<zappymanNOSPAM@hotmail.com> wrote:

>I recently bought a bunch of old base scanners, mostly some early Uniden
>Bearcat models from the late 70's. I didn't realize that these were
>crystal-tuned units, and now I'm stuck with them. I was wondering if there's
>any way to modify them to be adjustable. Perhaps an extra circuit employing
>8 simple oscillators with adjustments, connected to the 8 crystal sockets?
>There must be a way to do this......

You could build a synthesizer. You'd need a CPU also, to control it,
and some RAM to keep the frequencies you want to monitor. Some ROM to
keep the firmware.

Or you could buy a Uniden 996 for a lot less money.

5796. Re: Control Channels ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-05 00:37:36 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <pleightz@teluz.net>
Message-ID: <kna3h.43560$P7.40835@edtnps89>
Source record: 4926
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Ken R." <kenr55@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:Dup2h.82796$zF5.12930@bignews1.bellsouth.net...
> Go here to the Radio Reference web site. Lots of good information:
> http://radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&sid=226
>
> I am not familiar with the software that you have, but the control
> channels are the frequencies in the trunked system that send out the
> digital data that tells all the radios in the system what frequencies to
> switch to. They can be identified because they transmit constantly a
> noise that sounds like a diesel engine idling. Your scanner uses these
> channels to trunk track the system, so you need these.
>
> Ken

RadioReference www.radioreference.com should have what you are looking for.

With the control frequencies, they need to be keyed in first, then followed
by the secondary frequencies.

With the Radio Shack pro-95 if you have one of those, start each bank by

Ch. 00 - leave blank
Ch 01 - key in control channel
Ch 02 - key first secondary frequency
and continue.

5797. Re: Common courtesy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-05 01:19:07 UTC
From: Ben Jackson <ben@ben.com>
Message-ID: <slrnekqf0b.1ato.ben@saturn.home.ben.com>
Source record: 4927
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
On 2006-11-04, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> If all the seats are taken at a club meeting and a 20wpm code tested Extra
> is standing, a no-code ham should give their seat to the Extra and sit
> on the floor. After saluting of course.

Thanks to guys like you, there are always plenty of free seats at
club meetings.

--
Ben Jackson AD7GD
<ben@ben.com>
http://www.ben.com/
```

5798. Re: Common courtesy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-05 01:38:02 UTC
From: bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1162690682.573639.184290@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4928
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Ben Jackson wrote:
> On 2006-11-04, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> > If all the seats are taken at a club meeting and a 20wpm code tested Extra
> > is standing, a no-code ham should give their seat to the Extra and sit
> > on the floor. After saluting of course.
>
> Thanks to guys like you, there are always plenty of free seats at
> club meetings.
>
and thanks to guys like you there are always plenty of free blow jobs
at club meetings
> --
> Ben Jackson AD7GD
> <ben@ben.com>
> http://www.ben.com/

5799. FA: Uniden BC246T Trunking portable Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-05 01:49:22 UTC
From: Rod <cabletek73@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <irGdnV2NWfCO2dDYnZ2dnUVZ_v6dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 4929

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&ih=001&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&viewitem=&item=110052067499&rd=1&rd=1

5800. Re: Common courtesy is somthing our unknown idoit needs to learn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-05 01:58:09 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <n8hqk2p9h9k0lu9nr4kfjligs76mkhgcp9@4ax.com>
Source record: 4930
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 04 Nov 2006 23:58:09 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>If all the seats are taken at a club meeting and a 20wpm code tested Extra
>is standing, a no-code ham should give their seat to the Extra and sit
>on the floor. After saluting of course.
bullshit sc
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5801. sc continues crapathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-05 02:03:12 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162692192.589207.252500@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4931
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> "Bandit" <no-spam@my-mail-box.com> wrote in
> news:FuU2h.2115$l25.1418@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>
> > These 30 tips are hilarious because they're so true.
> >
> > -73's, roger roger...
>
>
> Ten-Four, Ten-Four. ;-)
>
>
> It's going to get a lot worse after CW is eliminated. You'll here people
> like PapaDog and Markie on HF.
funny only the procoders seem to use the CB lingo I don't even use on
CB
BTW your speling lead into a amistake you entered "here" where you
mean't "hear" but then like John Kerry you area worthless crude SC

5802. Re: PRO-92 PC interface cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-05 02:10:05 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <1Kb3h.14383$Fw5.11411@news-wrt-01.rdc-nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 4932
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:lp9qk2d9vnglhd9ppbqjs4i500gughg6de@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 02 Nov 2006 23:02:29 GMT, "me" <junk@junk.com> wrote:
>
> The 20-289 cable and the Radio Shack or Keyspan USB adapter. The
> drivers are available for both - in the package and on the respective
> web sites.
>
> The 20-047 cable won't work - the scanner end voltage levels aren't
> compatible with the Pro-92.

From the Radio Shack website for the 20-047 cable:

These scanners are compatible and always require the 3" cable adapter:
20-196, 20-427, 20-428, 20-466, 20-496, 20-515, 20-522, 20-523, 20-524B,
20-525, 20-526, 20-527, and most new RadioShack models.
a.. Most scanners use the 3" stereo to mono adapter (included)

PRO-92 = 20-522 so it is supported. And 20-196 = PRO-2067, the mobile
version of the PRO-92, also supported.

5803. Re: Common courtesy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-05 02:59:22 UTC
From: Titties n Beer <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <eg9kb.ojl.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4933
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:lO93h.2461$0r.1796@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
If all the seats are taken at a club meeting and a 20wpm code tested Extra
is standing, a no-code ham should give their seat to the Extra and sit
on the floor. After saluting of course.

SC

Not only should the No-Coder give up his seat to a superior, he should also
wipe it clean with disinfectant.

5804. Re: Common courtesyis l;acking from the many of the extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-05 03:50:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <krnqk25a68nbv211dog21g09cajjbd9dd1@4ax.com>
Source record: 4934
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 4 Nov 2006 21:59:22 -0500, "Titties n Beer" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>news:lO93h.2461$0r.1796@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>If all the seats are taken at a club meeting and a 20wpm code tested Extra
>is standing, a no-code ham should give their seat to the Extra and sit
>on the floor. After saluting of course.
>
>SC
>
>
>Not only should the No-Coder give up his seat to a superior, he should also
>wipe it clean with disinfectant.
as this statement clearly proves
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5805. Re: Common courtesy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-05 15:22:36 UTC
From: AndyS <andysharpe@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1162740155.991184.191890@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4935
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> If all the seats are taken at a club meeting and a 20wpm code tested Extra
> is standing, a no-code ham should give their seat to the Extra and sit
> on the floor. After saluting of course.
>
> SC

Andy writes;

Yeah.... I got yer salute right here !!!!

Andy W4OAH

5806. Re: Common courtesy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-05 15:53:13 UTC
From: wîthhËld fØr rËåsØñs Øf ñå tîØñål SËÇürîty <root@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <1162741998.230855@www.vif.com>
Source record: 4936
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

OFF TOPIC YOU FUCKEN MORON!

AndyS wrote:

> Slow Code wrote:
>
>>If all the seats are taken at a club meeting and a 20wpm code tested Extra
>>is standing, a no-code ham should give their seat to the Extra and sit
>>on the floor. After saluting of course.
>>
>>SC
>
>
> Andy writes;
>
> Yeah.... I got yer salute right here !!!!
>
> Andy W4OAH
>
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0645-4, 11/03/2006
> Tested on: 11/5/2006 10:52:02 AM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0645-4, 11/03/2006
Tested on: 11/5/2006 10:53:14 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

5807. Re: i punce in agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-05 15:57:09 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <454dfcf0$0$12064$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 4937
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
so bringit on you loosers

show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW rendered
phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and SC a
few just decided to not to be as hateful

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty impoving
my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5808. Re: Common courtesy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-05 15:58:55 UTC
From: wîthhËld fØr rËåsØñs Øf ñå tîØñål SËÇürîty <root@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <1162742339.308132@www.vif.com>
Source record: 4938
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

YOU FUCKEN MORONS! STOP CROSS POSTING YOUR HAMSTER CRAP IN SCANNER NEWSGROUPS

Ben Jackson wrote:

> On 2006-11-04, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>If all the seats are taken at a club meeting and a 20wpm code tested Extra
>>is standing, a no-code ham should give their seat to the Extra and sit
>>on the floor. After saluting of course.
>
>
> Thanks to guys like you, there are always plenty of free seats at
> club meetings.
>

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0645-4, 11/03/2006
Tested on: 11/5/2006 10:58:55 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2006 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

5809. nice pikture of tood

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-05 16:04:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <454dfea0$0$12124$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 4939
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

http://i12.tinypic.com/2v809k3.jpg

ist from my blogs wit tood
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5810. id theft is still acrime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-05 16:17:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <dj3sk259u04pr274hfu1msdi9hpf7d0853@4ax.com>
Source record: 4940
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 5 Nov 2006 11:04:22 -0500, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:

>
> http://i12.tinypic.com/2v809k3.jpg
>
> ist from my blogs wit tood
and you are still a criminal using likeaeio.org
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5811. id theft isa crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-05 16:18:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <jl3sk2hu7qbb2935hk65pkblprjlju3ko2@4ax.com>
Source record: 4941
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On Sun, 5 Nov 2006 10:57:09 -0500, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:

> ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
> so bringit on you loosers
>
> show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW rendered
>phonicticaly)
> happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and SC a
>few just decided to not to be as hateful
>
> with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty impoving
>my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?
still the crimanal I see
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5812. my dad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-05 16:22:17 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <454e02d2$0$12128$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 4942
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

http://home.mchsi.com/~north1959/professorblumpkin.jpg

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5813. id theft remains a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-05 16:25:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <824sk29r29a6ffd2pggc9sbpej6f2hcj2v@4ax.com>
Source record: 4943
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Sun, 5 Nov 2006 11:22:17 -0500, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:

>
and with such stupid shit too
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5814. For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-05 17:29:41 UTC
From: "S.W.L.U.S.A." <SWLUSA@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <9cp3h.1757$jg4.365@newsfe09.lga>
Source record: 4944

Bearcat 890XLT W/PL Board.
Best Offer
Thanks

5815. Re: PRO-92 PC interface cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-05 19:44:46 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <bkfsk2d8suvj644f0v372gkos26ugfn6p9@4ax.com>
Source record: 4945
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 05 Nov 2006 02:10:05 GMT, "Frank346" <frank346@groznyk.com>
spake thusly:

>
>"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>news:lp9qk2d9vnglhd9ppbqjs4i500gughg6de@4ax.com...
>> On Thu, 02 Nov 2006 23:02:29 GMT, "me" <junk@junk.com> wrote:
>>
>> The 20-289 cable and the Radio Shack or Keyspan USB adapter. The
>> drivers are available for both - in the package and on the respective
>> web sites.
>>
>> The 20-047 cable won't work - the scanner end voltage levels aren't
>> compatible with the Pro-92.
>
>From the Radio Shack website for the 20-047 cable:
>
>These scanners are compatible and always require the 3" cable adapter:
>20-196, 20-427, 20-428, 20-466, 20-496, 20-515, 20-522, 20-523, 20-524B,
>20-525, 20-526, 20-527, and most new RadioShack models.
>a.. Most scanners use the 3" stereo to mono adapter (included)

That's odd, because I have a 20-527 [Pro-97] and it WILL NOT work with
the 3" stereo-to-mono adapter. The stereo plug must be plugged
directly into the scanner. Might be a firmware change. Can't speak for
other scanners.
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

5816. Re: my dad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-05 23:34:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162769654.309164.313170@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4946
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

my dad youaght me hwo too sukc ckoc
Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> http://home.mchsi.com/~north1959/professorblumpkin.jpg
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
>
>
>
> with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
> impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?
> http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
>
>
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5817. Re: digital scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-05 23:47:28 UTC
From: Phil <pjock@xalltel.net>
Message-ID: <Xns9872BF28B1115pjockxxxSpearNetnet@208.49.80.253>
Source record: 4947

How about a straight answer that covers the different options?

Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in
news:r1aqk25ave75iu0133uqo7jaopmghifak8@4ax.com:

> On Sat, 04 Nov 2006 22:02:33 GMT, dogdog@noemail.com wrote:
>
>>Looking for some recommendations on a good digital scanner to purchase.
>>Anyone have any recommendations??
>
> Portable or base/mobile? Big city or out in the sticks? 700 MHz?
> Multi-site system?

5818. WCIL SCANNER RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-05 23:50:19 UTC
From: Pacman <nospam.pacman1_63@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <TsKdnYRW35Uh5dPYnZ2dnUVZ_r6dnZ2d@insightbb.com>
Source record: 4948

WCIL SCANNER RADIO
online since 9-1-2006 and growing
check it out!

http://wcilscanner.home.insightbb.com/

5819. Re: 2 scanners off of 1 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-06 00:16:47 UTC
From: Hunchy <sx43@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <P9v3h.508$L35.341@trnddc02>
Source record: 4949

This is an easy one. Figure 3.0 db loss, using a standard CATV splitter.
Is "to much loss" the same as "too much loss?"

Respectfully,

Hunchy

"TongSlinger" <klakky"remove"@email.com> wrote in message
news:554f8$4537186b$943fd7b5$6011@STARBAND.NET...
>I want to put 2 scanners on a diamond d discone antenna. is this possible
>without to much loss?
> thanks
>

5820. Re: Common courtesy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-06 00:44:03 UTC
From: X-rated Vermonter <k1xvnospam@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <991tk25h97tilno2df445pvh2ouvbf6oog@4ax.com>
Source record: 4950
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 04 Nov 2006 23:58:09 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>If all the seats are taken at a club meeting and a 20wpm code tested Extra
>is standing, a no-code ham should give their seat to the Extra and sit
>on the floor. After saluting of course.
>
>SC
++++++++
You have been drinking too much sloe gin.

5821. Re: Common courtesy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-06 01:16:08 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <s1w3h.2749$l25.830@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4801
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

X-rated Vermonter <k1xvnospam@arrl.net> wrote in
news:991tk25h97tilno2df445pvh2ouvbf6oog@4ax.com:

> On Sat, 04 Nov 2006 23:58:09 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>If all the seats are taken at a club meeting and a 20wpm code tested
>>Extra is standing, a no-code ham should give their seat to the Extra and
>>sit on the floor. After saluting of course.
>>
>>SC
> ++++++++
> You have been drinking too much sloe gin.

Please wipe the seat after you get off to sit on the floor.

Thanks.

SC

5822. Re: Common courtesy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-06 01:39:33 UTC
From: X-rated Vermonter <k1xvnospam@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <lh4tk2h7f0elg6ilpcjdh7ma48crfk7cr0@4ax.com>
Source record: 4802
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 06 Nov 2006 01:16:08 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>X-rated Vermonter <k1xvnospam@arrl.net> wrote in
>news:991tk25h97tilno2df445pvh2ouvbf6oog@4ax.com:
>
>> On Sat, 04 Nov 2006 23:58:09 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>If all the seats are taken at a club meeting and a 20wpm code tested
>>>Extra is standing, a no-code ham should give their seat to the Extra and
>>>sit on the floor. After saluting of course.
>>>
>>>SC
>> ++++++++
>> You have been drinking too much sloe gin.
>
>
>
>Please wipe the seat after you get off to sit on the floor.
>
>Thanks.
>
>SC
+++++++++
It just so happens that I passed a 20 wpm code test and I think you
are a bozo.

5823. id theft remaans a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-06 03:30:43 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <j2btk2hv2qqpn33v9i7eg64vectfcvos6h@4ax.com>
Source record: 4804
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On 5 Nov 2006 15:34:14 -0800, "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
and you are a criminal
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5824. Re: Control Channels ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-06 03:32:48 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <b4btk21so4f1bovmroaif94s7p9kjasdk7@4ax.com>
Source record: 4803
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 05 Nov 2006 00:37:36 GMT, "Paul Keenleyside"
<pleightz@teluz.net> wrote:

>RadioReference www.radioreference.com should have what you are looking for.
>
>With the control frequencies, they need to be keyed in first, then followed
>by the secondary frequencies.

If it's a Motorola type system, only the control frequencies.

>With the Radio Shack pro-95 if you have one of those, start each bank by

>Ch. 00 - leave blank
>Ch 01 - key in control channel
>Ch 02 - key first secondary frequency
>and continue.

If there's a secondary control frequency it's a Motorola system. If
not, all the frequencies have to be programmed in logical channel
order.

5825. Re: 2 scanners off of 1 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-06 03:35:50 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <g7btk2hbuc4t9krl435mg9mh70lotsis0l@4ax.com>
Source record: 4805

On Mon, 06 Nov 2006 00:16:47 GMT, "Hunchy" <sx43@gmail.com> wrote:

>This is an easy one. Figure 3.0 db loss, using a standard CATV splitter.

That's 3db inherent loss due to splitting. (Each signal is half the original signal, or -3db.) With most TV splitters, add anywhere from 6db to 20db additional loss in the components in the splitter.

And ALL output ports must be terminated. If you move the scanner from one cable to the other you'll get additional loss due to the unterminated cable. (If there are more ports than scanners, you have to connect a terminating resistor to each unused port.)

5826. Re: digital scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-06 03:40:13 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <6dbtk25foqlje9dikq3e9i49vf188la6u0@4ax.com>
Source record: 4806

On Sun, 05 Nov 2006 18:47:28 -0500, Phil <pjock@xalltel.net> wrote:

>Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in
>news:r1aqk25ave75iu0133uqo7jaopmghifak8@4ax.com:
>
>> On Sat, 04 Nov 2006 22:02:33 GMT, dogdog@noemail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Looking for some recommendations on a good digital scanner to purchase.
>>>Anyone have any recommendations??
>>
>> Portable or base/mobile? Big city or out in the sticks? 700 MHz?
>> Multi-site system?

>How about a straight answer that covers the different options?

Big city (lots of signals)? Stay away from GRE (most Radio Shack
scanners) - the front end is too sensitive.

Multi-site? Uniden 996 is the only scanner that does it properly so
far. (The Pro-96/2096 sort of does it due to a bug in the firmware.)

700 MHz? Uniden 396 or 996.

Portable? 396 or Pro-96.

Base/Mobile? 996 or 2096.

5827. Opinions PRO-2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-06 03:55:04 UTC
From: Private_Sale <ottprivatesale@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <eimbudavn1@registered.motzarella.org>
Source record: 4807

This a good scanner? Used value? How diff is it from the PRO-2035?

5828. Radio Reference Lou Maag is a control freak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-06 04:46:10 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162788370.925225.145860@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4808

I, Lou Maag live in a perfect world. If you post on RR, I'll be watching to insure that you follow the rules. Do not make any mistakes regarding your postings. You are all a bunch of dumb ass radio geeks who can't post in the correct thread. I'm watching you. I get my nut waiting to police your postings. I can't help my self. I need the attention. I have no life, so I'm going to watch and fuck with you.

5829. Re: Common courtesy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-06 06:58:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1162796328.142616.84010@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4809
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

X-rated Vermonter wrote:

> It just so happens that I passed a 20 wpm code test and I think you
> are a bozo.

Where's the evidence?

Steve, K4YZ

5830. Re: digital scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-06 08:58:24 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <m2utk253uv8i45upt6jb8pa9mhom9uoc77@4ax.com>
Source record: 4810

On Sun, 05 Nov 2006 22:40:13 -0500, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
spake thusly:

>On Sun, 05 Nov 2006 18:47:28 -0500, Phil <pjock@xalltel.net> wrote:
>
>>Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in
>>news:r1aqk25ave75iu0133uqo7jaopmghifak8@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Sat, 04 Nov 2006 22:02:33 GMT, dogdog@noemail.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>Looking for some recommendations on a good digital scanner to purchase.
>>>>Anyone have any recommendations??
>>>
>>> Portable or base/mobile? Big city or out in the sticks? 700 MHz?
>>> Multi-site system?
>
>>How about a straight answer that covers the different options?
>
>Big city (lots of signals)? Stay away from GRE (most Radio Shack
>scanners) - the front end is too sensitive.

I have had several GRE/Radio Shack scanners and I feel that they are
not sensitive enough [depending, of course, on the antenna]. I live in
Edmonton, AB..a city of over 1 million so I am not sure if it
qualifies as big with lots of signals as defined above. Certainly not
big if compared to L.A. or New York. My favorite analog scanner is my
Pro-97

>Multi-site? Uniden 996 is the only scanner that does it properly so
>far. (The Pro-96/2096 sort of does it due to a bug in the firmware.)
>
>700 MHz? Uniden 396 or 996.
>
>Portable? 396 or Pro-96.
>
>Base/Mobile? 996 or 2096.

5831. New Radio Groups google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-06 11:43:30 UTC
From: Scott Smith <scott93727@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <CdF3h.6161$B31.2662@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 4811

Google Radio Groups

Please join My Google Radio groups and get them off to start.

A Group about Shortwave Radio, amateur, GMRS, Goverment, Freq Charts, Band Plans, Radios, specs, Places to buy, Lic info. Repeaters, Etc.

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/uhf-radio

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/vhf-radio

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/shortwave-radio

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/gmrsradio

http://members.aol.com/scott93727/radio.htm

5832. Re: Common courtesy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-06 12:26:11 UTC
From: X-rated Vermonter <k1xvnospam@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <r7auk2pnd9f3curn9d4kcgs9d9l79hn878@4ax.com>
Source record: 4812
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 5 Nov 2006 22:58:48 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>X-rated Vermonter wrote:
>
>> It just so happens that I passed a 20 wpm code test and I think you
>> are a bozo.
>
> Where's the evidence?
>
>Steve, K4YZ
++++++++++

http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=236071

In May 1997 20 wpm was still in effect.

K1XV the X-rated Vermonter

5833. Re: Common courtesy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-06 12:47:07 UTC
From: k4yz_03@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1162817227.178370.22540@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4813
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

X-rated Vermonter wrote:
> On 5 Nov 2006 22:58:48 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >X-rated Vermonter wrote:
> >
> >> It just so happens that I passed a 20 wpm code test and I think you
> >> are a bozo.
> >
> > Where's the evidence?
> >
> >Steve, K4YZ
> ++++++++++
>
> http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=236071
>
> In May 1997 20 wpm was still in effect.
>
> K1XV the X-rated Vermonter

No...Where's the evidence of Slow Code being a bozo...?!?!

(And I passed the 20WPM when I still had to send, too...)

Steve, K4YZ

5834. Re: Common courtesy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-06 12:51:46 UTC
From: AndyS <andysharpe@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1162817506.291816.175840@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4814
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> If all the seats are taken at a club meeting and a 20wpm code tested Extra
> is standing, a no-code ham should give their seat to the Extra and sit
> on the floor. After saluting of course.
>
> SC

Andy writes:

A FINE idea. And if the Extra needs to go to the restroom for some
reason, the no-code should accompany him with a roll of tissue and
assist in His endeavors.......

It's innovative ideas like yours that bring inspiration and, dare I
say it, PRIDE to those who have joined the ranks of Amateur Radio.
And I am shocked, I tell you, shocked, at the attempts of our
members to discredit what is obviously a very inventive
personality.....

There's no doubt in my mind that you could be another
"Larry the Cable Guy" if you set your mind to it. The world has
far too many Einsteins and not nearly enough Howard Deans, and
you might have a ready made niche.....

No one here thinks more highly of you than I ,

Andy

5835. Re: Common courtesy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-06 13:42:10 UTC
From: X-rated Vermonter <k1xvnospam@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <pleuk2dkp1h6fbqnbe3kv6f3n5vt2qm9b4@4ax.com>
Source record: 4815
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 6 Nov 2006 04:47:07 -0800, k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>X-rated Vermonter wrote:
>> On 5 Nov 2006 22:58:48 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> >X-rated Vermonter wrote:
>> >
>> >> It just so happens that I passed a 20 wpm code test and I think you
>> >> are a bozo.
>> >
>> > Where's the evidence?
>> >
>> >Steve, K4YZ
>> ++++++++++
>>
>> http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=236071
>>
>> In May 1997 20 wpm was still in effect.
>>
>> K1XV the X-rated Vermonter
>
> No...Where's the evidence of Slow Code being a bozo...?!?!
>
> (And I passed the 20WPM when I still had to send, too...)
>
>Steve, K4YZ

++++++++++++
I think a review of Slow Code's many posts on this and related issues
make it self evident.

5836. sc conitues crapathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-06 17:09:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <f1ruk2touge81hsnqjrrahlslaigvqnsg0@4ax.com>
Source record: 4816
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 5 Nov 2006 22:58:48 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>X-rated Vermonter wrote:
>
>> It just so happens that I passed a 20 wpm code test and I think you
>> are a bozo.
>
> Where's the evidence?
where the eveidence you passed one

indeed based on the standard reasoning of the PrOcders one must
conclude that you are the result of a code waiver or some other form
of cheating
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5837. Re: Common courtesy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-06 17:10:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <f3ruk2134dup0jhl4eiau0v3bik812lsif@4ax.com>
Source record: 4817
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 06 Nov 2006 08:42:10 -0500, X-rated Vermonter
<k1xvnospam@arrl.net> wrote:

>On 6 Nov 2006 04:47:07 -0800, k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>>
>>X-rated Vermonter wrote:
>>> On 5 Nov 2006 22:58:48 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> >X-rated Vermonter wrote:
>>> >
>>> >> It just so happens that I passed a 20 wpm code test and I think you
>>> >> are a bozo.
>>> >
>>> > Where's the evidence?
>>> >
>>> >Steve, K4YZ
>>> ++++++++++
>>>
>>> http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=236071
>>>
>>> In May 1997 20 wpm was still in effect.
>>>
>>> K1XV the X-rated Vermonter
>>
>> No...Where's the evidence of Slow Code being a bozo...?!?!
>>
>> (And I passed the 20WPM when I still had to send, too...)
>>
>>Steve, K4YZ
>
>++++++++++++
>I think a review of Slow Code's many posts on this and related issues
>make it self evident.
exchanges like this make the notion SC is K4YZ look awfully likely
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5838. Re: It's the Gre8t Lib Net Half-Time Shoow!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-06 17:15:44 UTC
From: ve7kfm@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1162833344.430497.143770@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4818
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Frauline Freda Hobbssplott" a.k.a. Herr Professor Dr. Janus X.
Hasenfus, etc., etc.:

Du bist ein dumkopf....

For ~the latest version of the famous investigative article THE LIFE of
RILEY featuring Brian L. CROW K3VR, Mad Mikee GUERNSEY ND8V/kz8o, Tom
WHATLEY N1FM/n1sob and the other misfits on 14.275 MHz as well as a
VERY AMUSING audio clip of a 'biker-on-steroids on the wrong side of a
tin badge', see:

http://members.shaw.ca/ve7kfm/

BTW Karol Madera "Radio Canada" VE7KFM has a new e-mail address:

VE7KFM@Gmail.com

5839. Re: Common courtesy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-06 17:16:24 UTC
From: kd5sak <kd5sak@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <I5K3h.5831$9v5.448@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 4819
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:f3ruk2134dup0jhl4eiau0v3bik812lsif@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 06 Nov 2006 08:42:10 -0500, X-rated Vermonter
> <k1xvnospam@arrl.net> wrote:
>
>>
>>> No...Where's the evidence of Slow Code being a bozo...?!?!
>>>
>>> (And I passed the 20WPM when I still had to send, too...)
>>>
>>>Steve, K4YZ
>>
>>++++++++++++
>>I think a review of Slow Code's many posts on this and related issues
>>make it self evident.
> exchanges like this make the notion SC is K4YZ look awfully likely

Prototypical example of the old saying, "A Legend In His Own Mind".

Harold
KD5SAK

5840. Re: Common courtesy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-06 17:33:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <3esuk2dcb1sl3koct13tdh5olo79t546nl@4ax.com>
Source record: 4820
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 06 Nov 2006 17:16:24 GMT, "kd5sak" <kd5sak@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>news:f3ruk2134dup0jhl4eiau0v3bik812lsif@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 06 Nov 2006 08:42:10 -0500, X-rated Vermonter
>> <k1xvnospam@arrl.net> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>> No...Where's the evidence of Slow Code being a bozo...?!?!
>>>>
>>>> (And I passed the 20WPM when I still had to send, too...)
>>>>
>>>>Steve, K4YZ
>>>
>>>++++++++++++
>>>I think a review of Slow Code's many posts on this and related issues
>>>make it self evident.
>> exchanges like this make the notion SC is K4YZ look awfully likely
>
>Prototypical example of the old saying, "A Legend In His Own Mind".
>
>Harold
>KD5SAK
>
indeed and with the annymouse he is not vulerable to attacks himself
but if you poke at his sockpuppets long enough he come and explodes
all over the NG
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5841. Re: hide it if you must gav

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-06 21:43:43 UTC
From: bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1162849423.403461.288420@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4821
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,alt.revisionism,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> 60-80posts i did not count em I got 84 new post this morning and most
> were from him
>
> he did have an ON topic post although his logic was truley fucked up
> buit still way to Go steveie thank for your first ontopic in months
>
> most of of was his normal trirade of lies and abuse
>
> he seesm to think for example I can't call some one on steal someone
> elses name that in says "id theft is a crime a crime I am saycan only
> be saying my id is being stoeln
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

you stupid fruit!

5842. Re: hide it if you muststeve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-06 21:47:04 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <iabvk2ht3ij8m87d2o4mqifpbg5rn5fgb0@4ax.com>
Source record: 4822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.revisionism,rec.radio.scanner

On 6 Nov 2006 13:43:43 -0800, bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> 60-80posts i did not count em I got 84 new post this morning and most
>> were from him
>>
>> he did have an ON topic post although his logic was truley fucked up
>> buit still way to Go steveie thank for your first ontopic in months
>>
>> most of of was his normal trirade of lies and abuse
>>
>> he seesm to think for example I can't call some one on steal someone
>> elses name that in says "id theft is a crime a crime I am saycan only
>> be saying my id is being stoeln
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
>
>you stupid fruit!
nope and ewwhy do you involve my wife Steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5843. Re: New Radio Groups google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-06 21:50:46 UTC
From: bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1162849846.537562.150610@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4823
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.revisionism

Scott Smith wrote:
> Google Radio Groups
>
> Please join My Google Radio groups and get them off to start.
>
> A Group about Shortwave Radio, amateur, GMRS, Goverment, Freq Charts, Band
> Plans, Radios, specs, Places to buy, Lic info. Repeaters, Etc.
>
> http://groups-beta.google.com/group/uhf-radio
>
> http://groups-beta.google.com/group/vhf-radio
>
> http://groups-beta.google.com/group/shortwave-radio
>
> http://groups-beta.google.com/group/gmrsradio
>
> http://members.aol.com/scott93727/radio.htm

hey marqueer, look.............more places for you to get banned from!

5844. Re: New Radio Groups google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-06 21:52:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <9kbvk2tfa6al0ij2adv9dm8kgkonn31gut@4ax.com>
Source record: 4824
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.revisionism

On 6 Nov 2006 13:50:46 -0800, bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Scott Smith wrote:
>> Google Radio Groups
>>
>> Please join My Google Radio groups and get them off to start.
>>
>> A Group about Shortwave Radio, amateur, GMRS, Goverment, Freq Charts, Band
>> Plans, Radios, specs, Places to buy, Lic info. Repeaters, Etc.
>>
>> http://groups-beta.google.com/group/uhf-radio
>>
>> http://groups-beta.google.com/group/vhf-radio
>>
>> http://groups-beta.google.com/group/shortwave-radio
>>
>> http://groups-beta.google.com/group/gmrsradio
>>
>> http://members.aol.com/scott93727/radio.htm
>
>hey marqueer, look.............more places for you to get banned from!

why are you lying steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5845. Re: It's the Gre8t Lib Net Half-Time Shoow!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-06 22:58:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <454fb13a$0$12058$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 4825
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

<ve7kfm@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1162833344.430497.143770@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> "Frauline Freda Hobbssplott" a.k.a. Herr Professor Dr. Janus X.
> Hasenfus, etc., etc.:
>
> Du bist ein dumkopf....
>
> For ~the latest version of the famous investigative article THE LIFE of
> RILEY featuring Brian L. CROW K3VR, Mad Mikee GUERNSEY ND8V/kz8o, Tom
> WHATLEY N1FM/n1sob and the other misfits on 14.275 MHz as well as a
> VERY AMUSING audio clip of a 'biker-on-steroids on the wrong side of a
> tin badge', see:
>
> http://members.shaw.ca/ve7kfm/
>
> BTW Karol Madera "Radio Canada" VE7KFM has a new e-mail address:
>
> VE7KFM@Gmail.com
>
with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5846. id theft remains a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-06 23:08:40 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <73gvk29r5m6dv3ureppkg880qhr5bhqrum@4ax.com>
Source record: 4826
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 6 Nov 2006 17:58:38 -0500, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:

>
and always will be
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5847. Re: marqueer's crapathon contiues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-06 23:57:54 UTC
From: I PUNCE <markpunce@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1162857474.627758.192950@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4827
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.canada,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Mon, 6 Nov 2006 18:03:57 -0500, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
>
> >Now rrap is dead and myrevenge is complet.
> is theft remains a crime
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

I PUNCE

5848. slow code crapathon contiues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-06 23:59:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162857556.725503.300220@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4828
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.canada,rec.radio.scanner

I PUNCE wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> > On Mon, 6 Nov 2006 18:03:57 -0500, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
> >
> > >Now rrap is dead and myrevenge is complet.
> > is theft remains a crime
> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >
> > --
> > Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
> I PUNCE
id theft reamins a crime

5849. Re: took sum syrip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-07 00:24:17 UTC
From: I PUNCE <markpunce@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1162859057.027482.271140@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4829
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.jewish,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> i tok sum syrip of dxylexicock am now i punce
> http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
>
>
> >
>
>
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

I PUNCE

5850. Re: When Israel first bombed Gaza's power mains, hospitals resorted to using their generators. But generators can only keep going if there is fuel.... and there no longer is fuel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-07 00:28:11 UTC
From: I PUNCE <markpunce@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1162859291.523692.201050@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4830
Cross-posted to: soc.culture.venezuela,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Mirelle wrote:
> Southern Gaza is in TOTAL DARKNESS. Three quarters of the television
> frame is PITCH BLACK while northern Gaza has a few lights. About an
> hour ago, Israel bombed with F-16's the only power station left in Khan
> Younes plunging it in TOTAL DARKNESS too. Do you know what it means to
> be without electricity for 10 days in today's world??? No water, no
> sewage, no cooling, no storing of whatever food is left, no
> communications....etc. As though this were not sufficient for those
> poor Palestinians, tonight, like every night, Israel has been bombing
> and sending missiles into Gaza and flying over it all night with sonic
> booms to scare the people and especially the children who are totally
> terrified.
>
> It's nearly 4 a.m. here and I decided to go back to my computer to
> communicate to my friends around the world what every single mainstream
> media channel..., whether American, European or Arab, is FAILING to
> communicate in regards to what is happening right NOW in Gaza,
> Palestine. I have been in front of television for the past few hours
> monitoring the news and I was shocked to see NOTHING on Gaza except on
> 2 local Arab channels and one Arab satellite channel which I shall not
> name for their own good..., lest the United States with its Israel
> lobby decide to shut them down. Even Al Jazeera channel, to my utter
> shock, didn't so much as mention Gaza in its last 2 news broadcasts!!
> Let me tell you my friends what I have been watching for the past few
> hours and what I am still listening to on my head-phones.
>
> Yesterday, a one and a half year old child died in an awful way of
> injuries sustained by Israeli bombing, and mainstream media instead of
> covering it, is allowing a terrorist like Ehud Olmert to take the
> microphone and tell the world that Israel never targets civilians. It
> has been 10 days now and all Israel has done is target civilians and
> kill dozens! One of the strongest armies in the world has declared war
> on a population that does not even have an army! Water is lacking, food
> is scarce, medicine has run out, FUEL has run out... and Israel refuses
> to let trucks carrying supplies enter Gaza to save a civilian
> population which was already condemned to live in refugee camps because
> Israel kicked them out of their homes and stole them. Even Palestinian
> hospitals hardly know how to operate. When Israel first bombed Gaza's
> power mains, hospitals resorted to using their generators. But
> generators can only keep going if there is fuel.... and there no longer
> is fuel. The sick and elderly who live on machines are slowly dying.

> Babies in incubators are dying. With the increasing rubbish that can
> no longer be picked up from the streets, diseases are starting to
> spread. A humanitarian disaster is taking place in Gaza NOW and Mr.
> Bush, his Israeli White House, his corrupt AIPAC stooge of a Congress,
> Mr. Bolton and Mr. Annan are laying the grounds for their attack on
> Syria and Iran.... and who knows what else.
>
> And the world is silent. And mainstream media is behaving as though
> nothing were happening there. All it cares about is that damned
> Israeli soldier that means ZILCH amid 1.4 million innocent civilians
> who had nothing to do with his capture!
>
> Last, but not least...., NONE of this would be happening were it not
> for the American tax-payer who for decades financed and is still
> financing every bullet and missile being shot from Israel. What does
> it take for the American tax-payers to understand that they are
> ultimately paying for their own destruction. The US has created a
> monster that now controls it. US soldiers are fighting Israel's dirty
> war in the Middle East. US soldiers are dying so that Israel can
> prosper. US families are losing their loved ones so that Israel
> doesn't have to send their kids.... After all, what is an American goy
> compared to an Israeli life? American soldiers are kidnapped all the
> time in Iraq and they are not even mentioned in the news. Yet, when an
> Israeli soldier is taken prisoner, ONE soldier, the president of the
> United States himself comes out to speak on his behalf! Where are the
> American people? Where is that great nation that we were all told
> represented "freedom" and "liberty"?? It is being held on a leash by
> Ehud Olmert and AIPAC. At least in the Arab world, we have the excuse
> of being the underdeveloped third world. What is America's excuse? If
> only Americans knew that Israel will use them and dump them in a split
> second!
>
> While I am writing this, I am watching the rescue of bodies from a
> building that collapsed in Gaza about an hour ago after it was bombed
> by Israeli F-16's. I see panicked and scared Palestinians lighting the
> collapsed building with their torches and digging with their hands in
> the rubble to find survivors. I see a hand sticking out the rubble and
> it is still moving. A body is under a heavy concrete wall which no one
> can move without a bulldozer..., but there is no fuel and no bulldozer
> to help. Palestinians don't have bulldozers.
>
> I am writing this to my internet friends because it is my duty to
> relay what I am seeing. I cannot just go to sleep as though nothing
> were happening. Mainstream media world wide, are obviously complicit
> in the new world order and I am hoping that the internet community will
> stand out and spread the news of Gaza, like we spread the news of Iraq.
>
> I have recorded the horrible events of this evening... and of those
> past few days..., and I shall find a way to get this footage out. The
> world must find out what a criminal country Israel is. Tomorrow also,

> unless my IP is blocked again, I shall be sending you interesting news
> on Israelis inside Iraq...., also something that mainstream media is
> conveniently forgetting to mention.
>
> Regards to all,
>
> Cherifa Sirry
>
> Mirelle

I PUNCE

5851. id theft isa crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-07 00:47:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <0tlvk2d9fhjakvfeod6v7uihqlgd7aa122@4ax.com>
Source record: 4831
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On 6 Nov 2006 16:24:17 -0800, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
stil the criminal
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5852. id theft is acrime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-07 00:49:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <dvlvk2hpbn3947niv1utqackre3qgst27r@4ax.com>
Source record: 4832
Cross-posted to: soc.culture.venezuela,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On 6 Nov 2006 16:28:11 -0800, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:

>

you are obesed with your effort to troll other in
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5853. Re: Common courtesy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-07 00:58:31 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <XSQ3h.3079$l25.2652@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4834
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

X-rated Vermonter <k1xvnospam@arrl.net> wrote in
news:lh4tk2h7f0elg6ilpcjdh7ma48crfk7cr0@4ax.com:

> On Mon, 06 Nov 2006 01:16:08 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>X-rated Vermonter <k1xvnospam@arrl.net> wrote in
>>news:991tk25h97tilno2df445pvh2ouvbf6oog@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Sat, 04 Nov 2006 23:58:09 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>>
>>>>If all the seats are taken at a club meeting and a 20wpm code tested
>>>>Extra is standing, a no-code ham should give their seat to the Extra
>>>>and sit on the floor. After saluting of course.
>>>>
>>>>SC
>>> ++++++++
>>> You have been drinking too much sloe gin.
>>
>>
>>
>>Please wipe the seat after you get off to sit on the floor.
>>
>>Thanks.
>>
>>SC
> +++++++++
> It just so happens that I passed a 20 wpm code test and I think you
> are a bozo.

If you're really a code tested Extra, why do you want to dumb down ham
radio? You should help me push for better radio exams by supporting an
increase in speed of the code requirement. If we can get rid of the
no-codes and nickle hams, test everyone up to 13 and 20wpm, no one will
have to sit on the floor at club meetings anymore.

SC

5854. Re: Common courtesy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-07 00:58:33 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ZSQ3h.3080$I25.2060@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4833
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"AndyS" <andysharpe@juno.com> wrote in
news:1162817506.291816.175840@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> If all the seats are taken at a club meeting and a 20wpm code tested
>> Extra is standing, a no-code ham should give their seat to the Extra
>> and sit on the floor. After saluting of course.
>>
>> SC
>
> Andy writes:
>
> A FINE idea. And if the Extra needs to go to the restroom for some
> reason, the no-code should accompany him with a roll of tissue and
> assist in His endeavors.......

I like it, but there's only one problem. No-codes don't use toilet paper.

Somebody is going to have to Elmer them on how to wipe a butt and since
it's your idea....

SC

5855. slow code continues crapathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-07 01:39:51 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <1uovk2p54tm7dus04kpfdj10nanjg5ssj7@4ax.com>
Source record: 4835
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 07 Nov 2006 00:58:31 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>X-rated Vermonter <k1xvnospam@arrl.net> wrote in
>news:lh4tk2h7f0elg6ilpcjdh7ma48crfk7cr0@4ax.com:
>
>> On Mon, 06 Nov 2006 01:16:08 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>X-rated Vermonter <k1xvnospam@arrl.net> wrote in
>>>news:991tk25h97tilno2df445pvh2ouvbf6oog@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>> On Sat, 04 Nov 2006 23:58:09 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>If all the seats are taken at a club meeting and a 20wpm code tested
>>>>>Extra is standing, a no-code ham should give their seat to the Extra
>>>>>and sit on the floor. After saluting of course.
>>>>>
>>>>>SC
>>>> ++++++++
>>>> You have been drinking too much sloe gin.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Please wipe the seat after you get off to sit on the floor.
>>>
>>>Thanks.
>>>
>>>SC
>> +++++++++
>> It just so happens that I passed a 20 wpm code test and I think you
>> are a bozo.
>
>
>If you're really a code tested Extra, why do you want to dumb down ham
>radio? You should help me push for better radio exams by supporting an
>increase in speed of the code requirement. If we can get rid of the
>no-codes and nickle hams, test everyone up to 13 and 20wpm, no one will
>have to sit on the floor at club meetings anymore.
>
nobody wants to dumb down ham radio we want to end the dumbing down
that exists today

code testing
>SC

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5856. Re: Common courtesy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-07 02:22:44 UTC
From: "X-rated Vermonter"
Message-ID: <9nqvk290folpkapot0pqm4ks68dummbn27@4ax.com>
Source record: 4836
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
On Tue, 07 Nov 2006 00:58:31 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>X-rated Vermonter <k1xvnospam@arrl.net> wrote in
>news:lh4tk2h7f0elg6ilpcjdh7ma48crfk7cr0@4ax.com:
>
>> On Mon, 06 Nov 2006 01:16:08 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>X-rated Vermonter <k1xvnospam@arrl.net> wrote in
>>>news:991tk25h97tilno2df445pvh2ouvbf6oog@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>> On Sat, 04 Nov 2006 23:58:09 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>If all the seats are taken at a club meeting and a 20wpm code tested
>>>>>Extra is standing, a no-code ham should give their seat to the Extra
>>>>>and sit on the floor. After saluting of course.
>>>>>
>>>>>SC
>>>> ++++++++
>>>> You have been drinking too much sloe gin.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Please wipe the seat after you get off to sit on the floor.
>>>
>>>Thanks.
>>>
>>>SC
>> +++++++++
>> It just so happens that I passed a 20 wpm code test and I think you
>> are a bozo.
>
>
>If you're really a code tested Extra, why do you want to dumb down ham
>radio? You should help me push for better radio exams by supporting an
>increase in speed of the code requirement. If we can get rid of the
>no-codes and nickle hams, test everyone up to 13 and 20wpm, no one will
>have to sit on the floor at club meetings anymore.
>
>SC

++++++++++++
I don't want to dumb down amateur radio, but you very much want to.
You see, amateur radio is supposed to be about advancement of the art,
```

and preparing and maintaining a civilian population with contemporary communications skills Mandating a mode that has fallen completely out of military and commercial use as obsolete is contrary to the concept of advancement of the art. It is as if blood letting was a required course for a medical degree.

Code is fine for those who like that mode. I use it at least once a month. However, it is no longer the mode that is best in weak signal conditions, or that uses the narrowest band width for effective communication. PSK31 runs circles around code. And guess what....many of the most fervent code guys I know cheat and use computers to print the received code on a screen, and a keyboard to allow the computer to generate their sending.

An amateur extra exam these days covers information a lot more current than that on the exam just a few decades ago. Perhaps instead of harping on code as some indicator of intelligence, you should direct your efforts to having all hams retested on license renewal, to make sure they continue to be current with the state of the art in amateur radio. Or do you believe that de Forest era vacuum tubes are more relevant to amateur radio in the 21st century than integrated circuits?

5857. Re: Common courtesy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-07 02:35:51 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <biS3h.91$mR4.12@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 4837
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
<X-rated Vermonter> wrote in message
news:9nqvk290folpkapot0pqm4ks68dummbn27@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 07 Nov 2006 00:58:31 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>X-rated Vermonter <k1xvnospam@arrl.net> wrote in
>>news:lh4tk2h7f0elg6ilpcjdh7ma48crfk7cr0@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Mon, 06 Nov 2006 01:16:08 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>>
>>>>X-rated Vermonter <k1xvnospam@arrl.net> wrote in
>>>>news:991tk25h97tilno2df445pvh2ouvbf6oog@4ax.com:
>>>>
>>>>> On Sat, 04 Nov 2006 23:58:09 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>If all the seats are taken at a club meeting and a 20wpm code tested
>>>>>>Extra is standing, a no-code ham should give their seat to the Extra
>>>>>>and sit on the floor. After saluting of course.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>SC
>>>>> ++++++++
>>>>> You have been drinking too much sloe gin.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Please wipe the seat after you get off to sit on the floor.
>>>>
>>>>Thanks.
>>>>
>>>>SC
>>> +++++++++
>>> It just so happens that I passed a 20 wpm code test and I think you
>>> are a bozo.
>>
>>
>>If you're really a code tested Extra, why do you want to dumb down ham
>>radio? You should help me push for better radio exams by supporting an
>>increase in speed of the code requirement. If we can get rid of the
>>no-codes and nickle hams, test everyone up to 13 and 20wpm, no one will
>>have to sit on the floor at club meetings anymore.
>>
>>SC
>
```

> ++++++++++++
> I don't want to dumb down amateur radio, but you very much want to.
> You see, amateur radio is supposed to be about advancement of the art,
> and preparing and maintaining a civilian population with contemporary
> communications skills Mandating a mode that has fallen completely out
> of military and commercial use as obsolete is contrary to the concept
> of advancement of the art. It is as if blood letting was a required
> course for a medical degree.
>
> Code is fine for those who like that mode. I use it at least once a
> month. However, it is no longer the mode that is best in weak signal
> conditions, or that uses the narrowest band width for effective
> communication. PSK31 runs circles around code. And guess
> what....many of the most fervent code guys I know cheat and use
> computers to print the received code on a screen, and a keyboard to
> allow the computer to generate their sending.
>
> An amateur extra exam these days covers information a lot more current
> than that on the exam just a few decades ago. Perhaps instead of
> harping on code as some indicator of intelligence, you should direct
> your efforts to having all hams retested on license renewal, to make
> sure they continue to be current with the state of the art in amateur
> radio. Or do you believe that de Forest era vacuum tubes are more
> relevant to amateur radio in the 21st century than integrated
> circuits?

He's still trying to homebrew his first spark-gap transmitter.

5858. Re: Common courtesy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-07 03:31:24 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162870284.031154.51070@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4838
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

K4YZ wrote:
> X-rated Vermonter wrote:
>
> > It just so happens that I passed a 20 wpm code test and I think you
> > are a bozo.
>
> Where's the evidence?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Silly Bozo Wabbit, opinions need no evidence.

5859. Updated...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-07 03:32:37 UTC
From: Mork The Dork <pluncer@IPunce.com>
Message-ID: <elkal.n16.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4839
Cross-posted to: alt.morons,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

i punce...

http://marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

5860. id theft remains a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-07 03:54:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <1q00l2tctngfno7ajosp47ng4dkk4cb7ru@4ax.com>
Source record: 4840
Cross-posted to: alt.morons,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 6 Nov 2006 22:32:37 -0500, "Mork The Dork"
<pluncer@IPunce.com> wrote:

>
just more of your usal crap i see
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5861. Re: id theft remains a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-07 04:21:15 UTC
From: Colonel Mark <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <eln5q.1se.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4841
Cross-posted to: alt.morons,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:1q00l2tctngfno7ajosp47ng4dkk4cb7ru@4ax.com...
On Mon, 6 Nov 2006 22:32:37 -0500, "Mork The Dork"
<pluncer@IPunce.com> wrote:

>
just more of your usal crap i see

...and more of yours, too.

5862. Re: id theft remains a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-07 04:31:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <9030l2hrmicg6kqgdasprm76t0ih74unum@4ax.com>
Source record: 4842
Cross-posted to: alt.morons,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 6 Nov 2006 23:21:15 -0500, "Colonel Mark" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>news:1q00l2tctngfno7ajosp47ng4dkk4cb7ru@4ax.com...
>On Mon, 6 Nov 2006 22:32:37 -0500, "Mork The Dork"
><pluncer@IPunce.com> wrote:
>
>>
>just more of your usal crap i see
>
>
>...and more of yours, too.
>
no crap here but still more fraud on your part
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5863. More Mark crapathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-07 04:33:11 UTC
From: Colonel Mark <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <elns9.umi.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4843
Cross-posted to: alt.morons,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

When you place 100 or more posts a day, is that not a "crapathon"?
Sure it is.

You haven't the sense to see that Slow Code is returning your spams in kind
in an attempt to show you just how ridiculous you are.
You need professional help, Mork. Perhaps Woger will loan you his therapist.

5864. More Mark crapathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-07 04:35:12 UTC
From: Colonel Mark <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <elnvv.v46.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4844
Cross-posted to: alt.morons,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>just more of your usal crap i see
>
>
>...and more of yours, too.
>
no crap here but still more fraud on your part

Everything you post is crap, Mork. Among your other character flaws, you are myopic as well.

5865. slow code crapathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-07 04:41:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162874490.054029.102970@h54g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4845
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Colonel Mark wrote:
more fraud
> When you place 100 or more posts a day, is that not a "crapathon"?

only if the posts are crap

> Sure it is.
>
> You haven't the sense to see that Slow Code is returning your spams in kind

I don't spam at all
> in an attempt to show you just how ridiculous you are.
> You need professional help, Mork. Perhaps Woger will loan you his therapist.
hi steve woger doesn't have a therapist

your efforts are raly transperant

5866. Re: Common courtesy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-07 04:42:45 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162874565.387051.20660@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4846
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > X-rated Vermonter wrote:
> >
> > > It just so happens that I passed a 20 wpm code test and I think you
> > > are a bozo.
> >
> > Where's the evidence?
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Silly Bozo Wabbit, opinions need no evidence.

not when they oppose the Might Steve then they must be proven true or
he will meat justice or some such crap

5867. Re: Common courtesy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-07 05:14:23 UTC
From: Colonel Mark <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <elq9f.dgu.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4847
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Silly Bozo Wabbit, opinions need no evidence.

not when they oppose the Might Steve then they must be proven true or
he will meat justice or some such crap
...
Mork punces again....

he will meat justice
he will meat justice
he will meat justice

You are your own worst enemy, Mork.

5868. Re: When Israel first bombed Gaza's power mains, hospitals resorted to using their generators. But generators can only keep going if there is fuel.... and there no longer is fuel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-07 05:16:01 UTC
From: Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1162876561.102556.125950@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4848
Cross-posted to: soc.culture.venezuela,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

I PUNCE wrote:
> Mirelle wrote:
> > Southern Gaza is in TOTAL DARKNESS. Three quarters of the television
> > frame is PITCH BLACK while northern Gaza has a few lights. About an
> > hour ago, Israel bombed with F-16's the only power station left in Khan
> > Younes plunging it in TOTAL DARKNESS too. Do you know what it means to
> > be without electricity for 10 days in today's world??? No water, no
> > sewage, no cooling, no storing of whatever food is left, no
> > communications....etc. As though this were not sufficient for those
> > poor Palestinians, tonight, like every night, Israel has been bombing
> > and sending missiles into Gaza and flying over it all night with sonic
> > booms to scare the people and especially the children who are totally
> > terrified.
> >
> > It's nearly 4 a.m. here and I decided to go back to my computer to
> > communicate to my friends around the world what every single mainstream
> > media channel..., whether American, European or Arab, is FAILING to
> > communicate in regards to what is happening right NOW in Gaza,
> > Palestine. I have been in front of television for the past few hours
> > monitoring the news and I was shocked to see NOTHING on Gaza except on
> > 2 local Arab channels and one Arab satellite channel which I shall not
> > name for their own good..., lest the United States with its Israel
> > lobby decide to shut them down. Even Al Jazeera channel, to my utter
> > shock, didn't so much as mention Gaza in its last 2 news broadcasts!!
> > Let me tell you my friends what I have been watching for the past few
> > hours and what I am still listening to on my head-phones.
> >
> > Yesterday, a one and a half year old child died in an awful way of
> > injuries sustained by Israeli bombing, and mainstream media instead of
> > covering it, is allowing a terrorist like Ehud Olmert to take the
> > microphone and tell the world that Israel never targets civilians. It
> > has been 10 days now and all Israel has done is target civilians and
> > kill dozens! One of the strongest armies in the world has declared war
> > on a population that does not even have an army! Water is lacking, food
> > is scarce, medicine has run out, FUEL has run out... and Israel refuses
> > to let trucks carrying supplies enter Gaza to save a civilian
> > population which was already condemned to live in refugee camps because
> > Israel kicked them out of their homes and stole them. Even Palestinian
> > hospitals hardly know how to operate. When Israel first bombed Gaza's
> > power mains, hospitals resorted to using their generators. But
> > generators can only keep going if there is fuel.... and there no longer

> > is fuel. The sick and elderly who live on machines are slowly dying.
> > Babies in incubators are dying. With the increasing rubbish that can
> > no longer be picked up from the streets, diseases are starting to
> > spread. A humanitarian disaster is taking place in Gaza NOW and Mr.
> > Bush, his Israeli White House, his corrupt AIPAC stooge of a Congress,
> > Mr. Bolton and Mr. Annan are laying the grounds for their attack on
> > Syria and Iran.... and who knows what else.
> >
> > And the world is silent. And mainstream media is behaving as though
> > nothing were happening there. All it cares about is that damned
> > Israeli soldier that means ZILCH amid 1.4 million innocent civilians
> > who had nothing to do with his capture!
> >
> > Last, but not least...., NONE of this would be happening were it not
> > for the American tax-payer who for decades financed and is still
> > financing every bullet and missile being shot from Israel. What does
> > it take for the American tax-payers to understand that they are
> > ultimately paying for their own destruction. The US has created a
> > monster that now controls it. US soldiers are fighting Israel's dirty
> > war in the Middle East. US soldiers are dying so that Israel can
> > prosper. US families are losing their loved ones so that Israel
> > doesn't have to send their kids.... After all, what is an American goy
> > compared to an Israeli life? American soldiers are kidnapped all the
> > time in Iraq and they are not even mentioned in the news. Yet, when an
> > Israeli soldier is taken prisoner, ONE soldier, the president of the
> > United States himself comes out to speak on his behalf! Where are the
> > American people? Where is that great nation that we were all told
> > represented "freedom" and "liberty"?? It is being held on a leash by
> > Ehud Olmert and AIPAC. At least in the Arab world, we have the excuse
> > of being the underdeveloped third world. What is America's excuse? If
> > only Americans knew that Israel will use them and dump them in a split
> > second!
> >
> > While I am writing this, I am watching the rescue of bodies from a
> > building that collapsed in Gaza about an hour ago after it was bombed
> > by Israeli F-16's. I see panicked and scared Palestinians lighting the
> > collapsed building with their torches and digging with their hands in
> > the rubble to find survivors. I see a hand sticking out the rubble and
> > it is still moving. A body is under a heavy concrete wall which no one
> > can move without a bulldozer..., but there is no fuel and no bulldozer
> > to help. Palestinians don't have bulldozers.
> >
> > I am writing this to my internet friends because it is my duty to
> > relay what I am seeing. I cannot just go to sleep as though nothing
> > were happening. Mainstream media world wide, are obviously complicit
> > in the new world order and I am hoping that the internet community will
> > stand out and spread the news of Gaza, like we spread the news of Iraq.
> >
> > I have recorded the horrible events of this evening... and of those
> > past few days..., and I shall find a way to get this footage out. The

> > world must find out what a criminal country Israel is. Tomorrow also,
> > unless my IP is blocked again, I shall be sending you interesting news
> > on Israelis inside Iraq...., also something that mainstream media is
> > conveniently forgetting to mention.
> >
> > Regards to all,
> >
> > Cherifa Sirry
> >
> > Mirelle
>
> I PUNCE

marqueer.....get off the dam computer and get over here and suck
my love stick

5869. Re: slow code crapathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-07 05:20:12 UTC
From: Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1162876812.638741.229470@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4849
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

an_old_friend wrote:
> Colonel Mark wrote:
> more fraud
> > When you place 100 or more posts a day, is that not a "crapathon"?
>
> only if the posts are crap
>
> > Sure it is.
> >
> > You haven't the sense to see that Slow Code is returning your spams in kind
>
> I don't spam at all
> > in an attempt to show you just how ridiculous you are.
> > You need professional help, Mork. Perhaps Woger will loan you his therapist.
> hi steve woger doesn't have a therapist
>
> your efforts are raly transperant

marqueer.....get off the dam computer and get over here and suck
my love stick

5870. Re: hide it if you must gav

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-07 05:22:25 UTC
From: Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1162876945.623277.75600@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4850
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,alt.revisionism,rec.radio.scanner

bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> > 60-80posts i did not count em I got 84 new post this morning and most
> > were from him
> >
> > he did have an ON topic post although his logic was truley fucked up
> > buit still way to Go steveie thank for your first ontopic in months
> >
> > most of of was his normal trirade of lies and abuse
> >
> > he seesm to think for example I can't call some one on steal someone
> > elses name that in says "id theft is a crime a crime I am saycan only
> > be saying my id is being stoeln
> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >
> > --
> > Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
>
> you stupid fruit!

marqueer......get off the dam computer and get over here and suck my
love stick

5871. id theft reamins a a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-07 05:23:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162877019.646816.215750@h54g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4751
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com wrote:
>
and you remains criminal

5872. Re: Common courtesy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-07 05:25:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162877105.763517.83010@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4752
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Colonel Mark wrote:
more fraud
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Silly Bozo Wabbit, opinions need no evidence.
>
> not when they oppose the Might Steve then they must be proven true or
> he will meat justice or some such crap
> ...
> Mork punces again....
>
> he will meat justice
> he will meat justice
> he will meat justice
>
> You are your own worst enemy, Mork.

hardly steve

most people are not so teribly concerned with spelling

indeed most people reconize that when all you have to offer is speling
mistakes you have NO real armguement to make

you are your own worst enemy. i could not make such a fool of you
without YOUR help and consent

5873. Re: slow code crapathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-07 05:25:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162877142.021114.84740@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4753
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com wrote:
>
id theft is still a crime

5874. Re: hide it if you must steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-07 05:27:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162877260.566263.226380@h54g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4754
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.revisionism,rec.radio.scanner

Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com wrote:
>
but your remain a criminal

5875. 6.739.7 Phonetics Station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-07 11:08:29 UTC
From: JDP <k4jdp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <IOZ3h.10263$3L.6705@bignews2.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 4755

I logged this about 3 weeks ago @ 08:15 UTC to about 08:30 UTC on USB. Just a male voice transmitting a long series of phoenetics (alpha, bravo, etc.) When signing off @ about 08:30 UTC, he said, "this concludes message #69, end of transmission." Any ideas what this was?

5876. id theft has not stoped being a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-07 16:24:52 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <spc1l2l66fm3g6vqjggi42aehhoeeuhber@4ax.com>
Source record: 4756
Cross-posted to: alt.morons,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 7 Nov 2006 11:00:49 -0500, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:

>

and you remain a criminal
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5877. Re: my dad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-07 22:12:09 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <4550f7d7$0$12094$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 4757
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

```
Public comment welcome, indeed private email
on the Morse Code issues, esp if insulting will
become at My sole discretion
Sending Private email is deemed acceptance of these terms

Why can't people keep there flames Public?
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

"an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1162769654.309164.313170@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> my dad youaght me hwo too sukc ckoc
> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> http://home.mchsi.com/~north1959/professorblumpkin.jpg
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>>
>>
>>
>> with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
>> impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?
>> http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
>>
>>
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

>

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5878. uniden bearcat BC55xlt users guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-07 22:50:59 UTC
From: "falcon1643106@yahoo.com" <falcon1643106@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1162939859.548950.109490@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4758

This is an older model handfeld scanner that was given to me it works
but there is no owners/users guide with it does any one know how to
program it or know where i can find a copy of the users guide?

5879. Re: id theft has not stoped being a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-07 23:39:10 UTC
From: bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1162942750.538677.292900@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4759
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Tue, 7 Nov 2006 11:00:49 -0500, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
>
> >
>
> and you remain a criminal
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

and you remain a sick faggot

5880. Re: uniden bearcat BC55xlt users guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-07 23:42:34 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <KR84h.15845$Fw5.10980@news-wrt-01.rdc-nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 4760

<falcon1643106@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1162939859.548950.109490@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> This is an older model handfeld scanner that was given to me it works
> but there is no owners/users guide with it does any one know how to
> program it or know where i can find a copy of the users guide?
>
I don't recall where I found this, but from my archives:

Uniden Bearcat 55XLT Information

The radio is a basic 10 channel scanner. Nothing fancy. If you
disconnect wall power and it doesn't have batteries in it, it will
lose its memories.

It uses 5AA batteries. If you put NiCd's in it, you can flip a switch
in the battery compartment and they will charge when you plug it in.
(If you use an external charger you don't have to the flip the switch.
Leave it on Alkaline.)

The keypad switch "locks" the keypad so you don't accidentally press a
button and change your settings.

To program:

Turn on radio.

It will be in scan mode. Press Manual (orange button) to stop scanning.
Press Manual button to step through channels to get to the one you want.
(Or press channel number and then manual button)

If "LockOut" is displayed it means this channel isn't being "Scanned."
You will want to lock out the NWS WX (National Weather Service/Weather)
frequency. Pressing Lockout will toggle the status.

To program:

Select channel. Use keypad to enter frequency. Use "E" for Enter
key. If the radio displays an E this means Error. Usually, the
frequency you typed in is outside of the capabilities of the scanner.

Once you hit the E you will immediately start hearing anything that's
out there on the frequency you entered.

Push the "Review" button and the scanner will display the frequency
that is programmed for the channel. A "-" will be displayed for the
decimal point.

5881. Re: Common courtesy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-08 00:34:05 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <1C94h.3634$L6.3344@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4761
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

X-rated Vermonter wrote in
news:9nqvk290folpkapot0pqm4ks68dummbn27@4ax.com:

> On Tue, 07 Nov 2006 00:58:31 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>X-rated Vermonter <k1xvnospam@arrl.net> wrote in
>>news:lh4tk2h7f0elg6ilpcjdh7ma48crfk7cr0@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Mon, 06 Nov 2006 01:16:08 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>>
>>>>X-rated Vermonter <k1xvnospam@arrl.net> wrote in
>>>>news:991tk25h97tilno2df445pvh2ouvbf6oog@4ax.com:
>>>>
>>>> On Sat, 04 Nov 2006 23:58:09 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>If all the seats are taken at a club meeting and a 20wpm code tested
>>>>>Extra is standing, a no-code ham should give their seat to the Extra
>>>>>and sit on the floor. After saluting of course.
>>>>>
>>>>>SC
>>>> ++++++++
>>>> You have been drinking too much sloe gin.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Please wipe the seat after you get off to sit on the floor.
>>>>
>>>>Thanks.
>>>>
>>>>SC
>>> +++++++++
>>> It just so happens that I passed a 20 wpm code test and I think you
>>> are a bozo.
>>
>>
>>If you're really a code tested Extra, why do you want to dumb down ham
>>radio? You should help me push for better radio exams by supporting an
>>increase in speed of the code requirement. If we can get rid of the
>>no-codes and nickle hams, test everyone up to 13 and 20wpm, no one will
>>have to sit on the floor at club meetings anymore.
>>
>>SC
>

> ++++++++++++
> I don't want to dumb down amateur radio, but you very much want to.
> You see, amateur radio is supposed to be about advancement of the art,
> and preparing and maintaining a civilian population with contemporary
> communications skills Mandating a mode that has fallen completely out
> of military and commercial use as obsolete is contrary to the concept
> of advancement of the art. It is as if blood letting was a required
> course for a medical degree.
>
> Code is fine for those who like that mode. I use it at least once a
> month. However, it is no longer the mode that is best in weak signal
> conditions, or that uses the narrowest band width for effective
> communication. PSK31 runs circles around code. And guess
> what....many of the most fervent code guys I know cheat and use
> computers to print the received code on a screen, and a keyboard to
> allow the computer to generate their sending.
>
> An amateur extra exam these days covers information a lot more current
> than that on the exam just a few decades ago. Perhaps instead of
> harping on code as some indicator of intelligence, you should direct
> your efforts to having all hams retested on license renewal, to make
> sure they continue to be current with the state of the art in amateur
> radio. Or do you believe that de Forest era vacuum tubes are more
> relevant to amateur radio in the 21st century than integrated
> circuits?

Code is just one part of it. Here's my four step plan that will keep
ham radio from becoming like CB:

Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
pass all elements required for their license class.

Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.

Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

5882. Re: id theft has not stoped being a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-08 01:18:00 UTC
From: I PUNCE <markpunce@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1162948680.850382.59700@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4762
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.culture

```
bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> > On Tue, 7 Nov 2006 11:00:49 -0500, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
> >
> > >
> >
> > and you remain a criminal
> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >
> > --
> > Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
> and you remain a sick faggot

I PUNCE
```

5883. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-08 02:45:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <46h2l2ph9pmtskidumqnfsbjltlb40nmsg@4ax.com>
Source record: 4763
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Tue, 7 Nov 2006 17:12:09 -0500, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:

>
id theft is crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5884. Re: id theft has not stoped being a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-08 02:52:42 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <9jh2l2hsu5ljig4nfsid7s8mp2psd762nd@4ax.com>
Source record: 4764
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On 7 Nov 2006 15:39:10 -0800, bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On Tue, 7 Nov 2006 11:00:49 -0500, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
>>
>> >
>>
>> and you remain a criminal
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
>and you remain a sick faggot

I am in excellent health
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5885. PING Michael Agner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-08 03:51:05 UTC
From: Nobody <mynameisnobody@bullshit.bs>
Message-ID: <eo9oh.893.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4765

I'm searching for Michael Agner. From what I can tell, he is very active in the Scanner hobby. I see his name all over the place except for here.

I served with a SSG Michael Agner in Korea in 1974-1976 and need to know if this is the same fellow.

If anyone knows how to contact him, please have him contact me at: rich@richgreene.com. Thanks.

P.S. Too many idiots online to use my real Nyms. Now I'm 'nobody' but I can be 'somebody'.

5886. Newbie Reporting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-08 04:06:13 UTC
From: Nobody <mynameisnobody@bullshit.bs>
Message-ID: <eoaks.bg5.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4766

Hi all. My name is Rich Greene and I've been involved in scanners since back in the days of the old Bearcat crystal scanners and I had one of the first portable scanners. I was in this newsgroup a few years ago and somehow forgot to pick it back up in between software changes.

It's refreshing to see a un-moderated newsgroup without all the crap and trolls. From what I can tell here, everyone is pretty much serious about their hobby. I hope I can be of some help. I never throw any scanning software or frequency listings away. I also have several old portable scanners. A couple take crystals. I need to know if it's appropriate for me to advertise them for sale or trade on this newsgroup. I have a portable and desktop/AC/DC that I now use and don't need all the extra crap. I also have a few extra BNC antennas.

I live in Olathe, Kansas and would like to meet other scanner folks in my area. Just send me an email: rich@richgreene.com.

For the past 15 or so years, I've been trying to compile the Mother of all Frequency Lists. I get started and stop and lose what I've done. It wouldn't be of any use now anyway with all the changes taking place.

I would like to know more about the 800 band changes taking place and which scanners will be obsolete when the changes are complete. I know there are some Radio Shack and Bearcat scanners that fall into that category. I've been tossing around buying another portable with more features to replace my Pro 83 which has served me well.

Now the big question: Is there a scanner out there that will scan all legal public frequencies? I think I saw one back in the old CB days (70's) that did that and you could either manually tune it or set up scan channels. I would love to have such an item but it would have to have a feature so you can use your PC to load and unload frequencies and keep a database of sorts.

Now in your opinion, and I know this has been asked in the past, what scanners are the best on the market - both types - portable and ad/dc? I know people have different opinions and tend to be loyal to one brand regardless of features. Other than the scanner above, I would like to have the latest features on both scanners.

I think I saw a request for Police Call CD's? I think I still have a few of the past issues and years if anyone is interested. I always purchase the newest edition. Years ago up in Washington, there was a fellow that compiled a local frequency directory which was really great. Although it's over 20 years old, most of the data is still active. That's what we really need. Good regional directories other than Police

Call which is hard to read. I would be willing to get together with anyone near me to compile such a publication for Kansas and Missouri areas. I'm retired and have a lot of time on my hands.

Thanks for your time. Future postings won't be as long and boring.

Rich

5887. Re: uniden bearcat BC55xlt users guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-08 04:06:45 UTC
From: Beloved Leader <Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com>
Message-ID: <1162958804.992229.317650@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4767

falcon1643106@yahoo.com wrote:

> a copy of the users guide?

It seems to me that this question was asked about four or five months ago, but I can't find it now.

The BC55XLT was also sold under the Radio Shack brand name It might have been a PRO-38, a PRO-39, or a PRO-41. Some pix:

Brett's Scanner Photo Gallery
http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio/Galleryframe.htm

http://scanpix2.home.att.net/BC/bc55xlt.jpg

http://scanpix1.home.att.net/RS/pro38.jpg

http://scanpix1.home.att.net/RS/pro41.jpg

The PRO-41 appeared on page 22 of the RS 1993 catalog; it had SKU number 20-301, and it sold for $119.99. The point is that you might be able to find a user manual at the Radio Shack website, download that, print it, and use it as your BC55XLT user manual.

5888. My Take on CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-08 04:26:22 UTC
From: ToeJam <toejam@bigfoot.kn>
Message-ID: <eobqm.flm.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4768

The need for CW has been waining for many years now and technology has eliminated a need for it. Why do we still need CW? How many Hams still use CW? I think technical knowledge far outweighs the CW requirement. If it's eliminated, more people will PROBABLY take the test, however, you must also understand that it takes a lot of money to set up a proper station, and an operator that's devoted to the hobby. I don't think you will see a lot of folks running out purchasing $1000 Yaesu's and Kenwoods to get on the air if CW is eliminated. The FCC eliminated the requirement for a fricken CB license (KABE 1356) long ago and you sure don't see folks yapping away on CB's. They have cell phones now to communicate and GPS' to know where they are going. I have a couple GMRS/FRS radios and even paid the $80 FCC license fee. I believe in being legal. It doesn't pay to get caught and fined.

Therefore, if CW is eliminated, I do not think it will harm Ham Radio one bit. Things change with time. There won't even be an exam to talk on Ham frequencies in the future either. You young folks may see that happen. The cost of equipment will keep those that only want to screw around away from the hobby, believe me. Cost is one of the reasons I haven't got a ticket. I had a good friend of mine that had a Technician Class license (WB7RFL Tom Buckner, deceased) that was willing to teach me what I needed to know. However, the sheer cost of equipment kept me out of it. Anyone looking for a couple Cobra CB's? One is SSB.

Besides, what are all of you Ham operators afraid of? Sure you had to take the CW test and passed. Like I said, things change with time. Take a young person interested in the hobby and teach them the proper way to operate and pass the exam. QRT.

5889. Re: uniden bearcat BC55xlt users guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-08 08:46:27 UTC
From: charlie <wehatespam@wymsey.co.uk>
Message-ID: <6uCdnYwXZez-BMzYnZ2dnUVZ8qqdnZ2d@pipex.net>
Source record: 4769

falcon1643106@yahoo.com wrote:
> This is an older model handfeld scanner that was given to me it works
> but there is no owners/users guide with it does any one know how to
> program it or know where i can find a copy of the users guide?
>

Try here: http://www.bearcat1.com/manuals.htm

Charlie.

--
www.wymsey.co.uk

5890. Re: My Take on CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-08 12:10:39 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <EOj4h.1180$B35.1163@newsfe07.lga>
Source record: 4770

Who cares! CW doesn't belong in a SCANNER group. Discuss it in a more appropriate group; there are MANY of them, and this is not one of them!

"ToeJam" <toejam@bigfoot.kn> stuck his ToeJammed bigfoot up his ass and wrote in message news:eobqm.flm.19.1@news.alt.net...

The need for CW has been waining for many years now and technology has eliminated a need for it. Why do we still need CW? How many Hams still use CW? <SNIP>

5891. Re: It's the Gre8t Lib Net Half-Time Shoow!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-08 13:05:39 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1162991139.283586.244830@h54g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4771
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

ve7kfm@gmail.com wrote:
> "Frauline Freda Hobbssplott" a.k.a. Herr Professor Dr. Janus X.
> Hasenfus, etc., etc.:
>
> Du bist ein dumkopf....

Sie sollten wirklich erlernen, daß die Sprache vor Ihnen Ihren
fehlbuchstabierten Blödsinn, Sie Esel bekanntgeben!

Es wird buchstabiert "dummkopf", dummkopf.

5892. Re: Uniden BC246T Trunking portable Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-08 14:39:57 UTC
From: Rod <cabletek73@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <iuOdnRCFXeDZcMzYnZ2dnUVZ_q6dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 4772

FA: Pro 2067 mobile trunking scanner
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&ih=001&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&viewitem=&item=110052071810&rd=1&rd=1

FA: Uniden 246T portable trunking scanner
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&ih=001&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&viewitem=&item=110052067499&rd=1&rd=1

FA: Icom dual band mobile ham radio
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&ih=001&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&viewitem=&item=110052058641&rd=1&rd=1

5893. Re: My Take on CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-08 15:11:55 UTC
From: ToeJam <toejam@bigfoot.kn>
Message-ID: <ephku.ash.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4773

PowerHouse Communications wrote:
> Who cares! CW doesn't belong in a SCANNER group. Discuss it in a more
> appropriate group; there are MANY of them, and this is not one of them!
>
>
> "ToeJam" <toejam@bigfoot.kn> stuck his ToeJammed bigfoot up his ass and
> wrote in message news:eobqm.flm.19.1@news.alt.net...
>
> The need for CW has been waining for many years now and technology has
> eliminated a need for it. Why do we still need CW? How many Hams still
> use CW? <SNIP>
>
>

You could have fooled me. From what I see here, there are more Ham related postings than Scanner postings. Are you jummping all over the other folks that are posting Ham related material too? Or did you just get up on the wrong side of the bed? I'm not new to Usenet and don't normally post off topic material, but when I see more postings concerning a subject not related to the newsgroup, I figure maybe folks gyrated from scanners to Ham. So don't go preaching to me with your shitty attitude. You could have phrased your response a bit kinder instead of being an asshole about it. I'll lurk and see how you personally deal with the other Ham related postings. And FWIW, Scanners are strongly related to Ham radio, always have been and always will be.

5894. Re: It's the Gre8t Lib Net Half-Time Shoow!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-08 15:13:27 UTC
From: ToeJam <toejam@bigfoot.kn>
Message-ID: <ephnr.ash.17.2@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4774

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> ve7kfm@gmail.com wrote:
>
>>"Frauline Freda Hobbssplott" a.k.a. Herr Professor Dr. Janus X.
>>Hasenfus, etc., etc.:
>>
>>Du bist ein dumkopf....
>
>
> Sie sollten wirklich erlernen, daß die Sprache vor Ihnen Ihren
> fehlbuchstabierten Blödsinn, Sie Esel bekanntgeben!
>
> Es wird buchstabiert "dummkopf", dummkopf.
>

Guess Mr. Powerhouse can't understand German, so he can't push his attitude around with that posting. Maybe he knows what 'dummkopf' really means.

5895. get flushed mr Turd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-08 16:52:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <cp24l2h86mastrt0lm1kjd8kggh32dtld1@4ax.com>
Source record: 4775
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 8 Nov 2006 05:05:39 -0800, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>
get flushed mr Turd
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5896. Lomita sherif

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-08 17:24:01 UTC
From: jett <wedo433@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <acmdnWF628Yuj8_YnZ2dnUVZ_rednZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 4776

Hello people,,,,I just got my first scanner and am having alot of fun with it. its a pro-97. But I cant for whatever reason listen to the Lomita sheriff here in los angels. Would appreciate any help

5897. Re: id theft has not stoped being a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-08 22:10:36 UTC
From: Mike <no@where.man>
Message-ID: <no-6CFCCD.17103608112006@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 4777
Cross-posted to: alt.morons,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <spc1l2l66fm3g6vqjggi42aehhoeeuhber@4ax.com>,
Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:

> On Tue, 7 Nov 2006 11:00:49 -0500, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
>
> > >
>
> and you remain a criminal

and you remain an illiterate dumb ass fuck wad.

If you are going to continually post this drivel, can you at least learn
how to spell stopped!?!?!?!

Mike

5898. Re: id theft has not stoped being a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-08 23:17:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <n9p4l2lcnembvidgh6ddo0l6gbacgjhn8o@4ax.com>
Source record: 4778
Cross-posted to: alt.morons,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 08 Nov 2006 17:10:36 -0500, Mike <no@where.man> wrote:

>In article <spc1l2l66fm3g6vqjggi42aehhoeeuhber@4ax.com>,
> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>
>> On Tue, 7 Nov 2006 11:00:49 -0500, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
>>
>> >
>>
>> and you remain a criminal
>
>and you remain an illiterate dumb ass fuck wad.

hardly
>
>If you are going to continually post this drivel, can you at least learn
>how to spell stopped!?!?!?!
why btoher

since it anoys but of course somebody being attacked day after for no
reason doesn't then I am glad it anoys you
>
>Mike
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5899. Re: Common courtesy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-09 00:45:30 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <KSu4h.4104$0r.533@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4779
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
news:eir9jg$b9u$1@aioe.server.aioe.org:

> Tell us man, we are here to help you! Don't be afraid to admit it and
> come clean. You already have our pity, although you found the end to
> our patience a while back.
>
> JS

John,

If it's not too difficult for your tiny CW hating brain to handle, try to
figured out how to use your killfile. Everyone is tired of seeing your
retard follow-ups to my protect ham radio posts. Tnx.

Try not to get your pants dirty when you sit on the floor at the next
club meeting.

SC

5900. Re: My Take on CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-09 00:45:43 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <XSu4h.4113$0r.503@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4780
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

ToeJam <toejam@bigfoot.kn> wrote in news:eobqm.flm.19.1@news.alt.net:

> The need for CW has been waining for many years now and technology has
> eliminated a need for it. Why do we still need CW? How many Hams still
> use CW? I think technical knowledge far outweighs the CW requirement.
> If it's eliminated, more people will PROBABLY take the test, however,
> you must also understand that it takes a lot of money to set up a proper
> station, and an operator that's devoted to the hobby. I don't think you
> will see a lot of folks running out purchasing $1000 Yaesu's and
> Kenwoods to get on the air if CW is eliminated. The FCC eliminated the
> requirement for a fricken CB license (KABE 1356) long ago and you sure
> don't see folks yapping away on CB's. They have cell phones now to
> communicate and GPS' to know where they are going. I have a couple
> GMRS/FRS radios and even paid the $80 FCC license fee. I believe in
> being legal. It doesn't pay to get caught and fined.
>
> Therefore, if CW is eliminated, I do not think it will harm Ham Radio
> one bit. Things change with time. There won't even be an exam to talk
> on Ham frequencies in the future either. You young folks may see that
> happen. The cost of equipment will keep those that only want to screw
> around away from the hobby, believe me. Cost is one of the reasons I
> haven't got a ticket. I had a good friend of mine that had a Technician
> Class license (WB7RFL Tom Buckner, deceased) that was willing to teach
> me what I needed to know. However, the sheer cost of equipment kept me
> out of it. Anyone looking for a couple Cobra CB's? One is SSB.
>
> Besides, what are all of you Ham operators afraid of? Sure you had to
> take the CW test and passed. Like I said, things change with time. Take
> a young person interested in the hobby and teach them the proper way to
> operate and pass the exam. QRT.

You're just Lazy.

Good ops aren't lazy ops.

SC

5901. Re: 6.739.7 Phonetics Station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-09 00:59:11 UTC
From: "David L. Wilson" <dwilson314@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <JeedneUVmPt24c_YnZ2dnUVZ_oKdnZ2d@adelphia.com>
Source record: 4781

"JDP" <k4jdp@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:IOZ3h.10263$3L.6705@bignews2.bellsouth.net...
>I logged this about 3 weeks ago @ 08:15 UTC to about 08:30 UTC on USB.
>Just a male voice transmitting a long series of phoenetics (alpha, bravo,
>etc.) When signing off @ about 08:30 UTC, he said, "this concludes message
>#69, end of transmission." Any ideas what this was?

EAM on 6739 (your radio is off frequency).
http://www.monitoringtimes.com/html/eam.html
(Really should address to the shortwave newsgroup not the scanner one.)

5902. Re: Common courtesy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-09 02:33:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <eq45l2lb64kmg2dghqu40o0pp3i6bkqbqf@4ax.com>
Source record: 4782
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 09 Nov 2006 00:45:30 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
>news:eir9jg$b9u$1@aioe.server.aioe.org:
>
>> Tell us man, we are here to help you! Don't be afraid to admit it and
>> come clean. You already have our pity, although you found the end to
>> our patience a while back.
>>
>> JS
>
>
>John,
>
>If it's not too difficult for your tiny CW hating brain to handle, try to
>figured out how to use your killfile. Everyone is tired of seeing your
>retard follow-ups to my protect ham radio posts. Tnx.

lying again SC not everybody
>
>Try not to get your pants dirty when you sit on the floor at the next
>club meeting.

why would he sit on the floor?
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5903. slow crapathon conitues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-09 02:39:10 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <m555l256jpcd96ea0j35q35pklab9te4hc@4ax.com>
Source record: 4783
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 09 Nov 2006 00:45:43 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>ToeJam <toejam@bigfoot.kn> wrote in news:eobqm.flm.19.1@news.alt.net:
>
>> The need for CW has been waining for many years now and technology has
>> eliminated a need for it. Why do we still need CW? How many Hams still
>> use CW? I think technical knowledge far outweighs the CW requirement.
>> If it's eliminated, more people will PROBABLY take the test, however,
>> you must also understand that it takes a lot of money to set up a proper
>> station, and an operator that's devoted to the hobby. I don't think you
>> will see a lot of folks running out purchasing $1000 Yaesu's and
>> Kenwoods to get on the air if CW is eliminated. The FCC eliminated the
>> requirement for a fricken CB license (KABE 1356) long ago and you sure
>> don't see folks yapping away on CB's. They have cell phones now to
>> communicate and GPS' to know where they are going. I have a couple
>> GMRS/FRS radios and even paid the $80 FCC license fee. I believe in
>> being legal. It doesn't pay to get caught and fined.
>>
>> Therefore, if CW is eliminated, I do not think it will harm Ham Radio
>> one bit. Things change with time. There won't even be an exam to talk
>> on Ham frequencies in the future either. You young folks may see that
>> happen. The cost of equipment will keep those that only want to screw
>> around away from the hobby, believe me. Cost is one of the reasons I
>> haven't got a ticket. I had a good friend of mine that had a Technician
>> Class license (WB7RFL Tom Buckner, deceased) that was willing to teach
>> me what I needed to know. However, the sheer cost of equipment kept me
>> out of it. Anyone looking for a couple Cobra CB's? One is SSB.
>>
>> Besides, what are all of you Ham operators afraid of? Sure you had to
>> take the CW test and passed. Like I said, things change with time. Take
>> a young person interested in the hobby and teach them the proper way to
>> operate and pass the exam. QRT.
>
>
>You're just Lazy.

no you are and cowardly
>
>Good ops aren't lazy ops.
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5904. Re: marqueer's crapathon contiues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-09 06:10:52 UTC
From: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.11.09.06.10.44.271776@verwirren.confused>
Source record: 4784
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.canada,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 06 Nov 2006 15:57:54 -0800, Wabbit attempted to confuse the issue
further by squeaking:
> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On Mon, 6 Nov 2006 18:03:57 -0500, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
>>
>> >Now rrap is dead and myrevenge is complet.
>> is theft remains a crime
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> I LAME

Yes, you do. It's because you're a homo/biphobic twat.

--
____
Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.

You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead" Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

5905. PCR Remote Yahoo Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-09 06:23:15 UTC
From: CrazyC <crazy__c_@hot_mail.com>
Message-ID: <aPz4h.5794$WI1.474@newsfe15.lga>
Source record: 4785

Hey All,

I have created a Yahoo Group for PCR Remote. With it, we can share ideas for the program, exchange links to our servers, determine the best streaming audio server and help others on how to use it...

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/PcrRemote/

Regards,
Jay

5906. Re: marqueer's crapathon contiues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-09 06:32:47 UTC
From: The Lord of Fair Punishments <exebuyola@yaNOSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <er7j9.jnj.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4786
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.canada,rec.radio.scanner

"Demon Lord of Confusion" <verwarring@verwirren.confused> wrote in message
news:pan.2006.11.09.06.10.44.271776@verwirren.confused...
> On Mon, 06 Nov 2006 15:57:54 -0800, Wabbit attempted to confuse the issue
> further by squeaking:
>> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>> On Mon, 6 Nov 2006 18:03:57 -0500, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
>>>
>>> >Now rrap is dead and myrevenge is complet.
>>> is theft remains a crime
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> I LAME
>
> Yes, you do. It's because you're a homo/biphobic twat.

That was an easy way to win an argument. Easiest if you didn't bother
posting it.

>
> --
> ____
> Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
> The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
> Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.
>
> If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
> http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
> http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
> Or watch it here:
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
> Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
> http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
> And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
> http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
> Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
> Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml
>
> "Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
> A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.
>
> Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
> George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
> and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
> outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:

> "Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
> their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
> pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
> You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
> by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
> obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
> information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
> You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about
> different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.
>
> "Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
> coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you
> are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
> way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power
> that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose
> to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
> choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.
>
> "What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
> -- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
>
> "I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
> Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
> 1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com
>
> "When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
> since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
> combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and
> Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to
> describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned
> hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing
> money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to
> reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it
> up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when
> journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's
> careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden
> wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted
> to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

5907. Markie likes eating turds!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-09 13:22:54 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1163078574.446218.29730@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4787
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> get flushed mr Turd

Markie admits he flushes turds down his fat throat, along with his shemale wife's dick and his daddy's dick!

5908. Re: marqueer's crapathon contiues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-09 13:55:52 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1163080552.071536.294340@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4788
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.canada,rec.radio.scanner

Demon Lard of Contusion wrote:
> On Mon, 06 Nov 2006 15:57:54 -0800, Wabbit attempted to confuse the issue
> further by squeaking:
> > Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On Mon, 6 Nov 2006 18:03:57 -0500, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Now rrap is dead and myrevenge is complet.
> >> is theft remains a crime
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >
> > I LAME
>
> Yes, you do. It's because you're a homo/biphobic twat.

You like having sex with rabbits, don't you?

5909. get flushed mr Turd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-09 16:04:40 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <tbk6l2hg8nl99opjbbus20lv35dj9lhun5@4ax.com>
Source record: 4789
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 9 Nov 2006 05:22:54 -0800, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> get flushed mr Turd
>
>Markie admits he flushes turds down his fat throat, along with his
>shemale wife's dick and his daddy's dick!

only your in your demented mind woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5910. Re: marqueer's crapathon contiues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-10 11:13:11 UTC
From: The Demon Prince of Absurdity <absurd_number_of_nicks@hell.corn>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.11.10.11.13.08.527722@hell.corn>
Source record: 4790
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.canada,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 09 Nov 2006 05:55:52 -0800, Wabbit did the
cha-cha, and screamed:
> Demon Lard of Contusion wrote:
>> On Mon, 06 Nov 2006 15:57:54 -0800, Wabbit attempted to confuse the
>> issue further by squeaking:
>> > Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> >> On Mon, 6 Nov 2006 18:03:57 -0500, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >Now rrap is dead and myrevenge is complet.
>> >> is theft remains a crime
>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>> >
>> > I LAME
>>
>> Yes, you do. It's because you're a homo/biphobic twat.
>
> You like having sex with rabbits, don't you?

No, that's /your/ fantasy, Woger "Wabbit" Wiseman's frogger. Leave me
out of it.

--

Hail Eris! TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
Cardinal Snarky of the Fannish Inquisition
http://www6.kingdomofloathing.com/login.php
http://www.runescape.com/
No one expects the Fannish Inquisition!
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Cabal_of_the_Holy_Pretzel/join

I own "James C Cracked is God!!!":
MID: <1161060410.704020.285410@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>

"Q: How many Bush administration officials does it take to change a
light bulb?
A: None. There is no need to change anything. We made the right decision
to stick with that light bulb. People who say that it is burned out are
giving aid and encouragement to the Forces of Darkness." -- Anon.

"Etymology:
Argumentum ad Septicus : argument to putrefaction. Derived from Septicum
Argumentum : putrefaction of argument.

"Septic \Sep"tic\, Septical \Sep"tic*al\
a. [L. septicus to make putrid: cf. F. septique.]

Having power to promote putrefaction. Of or relating to or caused by putrefaction." -- Kadaitcha Man, indirectly to Donald "Skeptic"/"Septic" Alford, in MID: <a3svh.djj.19.1@news.alt.net>

"I never fail to be amazing" -- Looney Maroon for September 2006 nominee William Barwell's ego knows no bounds. MID: 12ggt3q3uti3t52@corp.supernews.com

"We are most nearly ourselves when we achieve the seriousness of the child at play." -- Heraclitus

"And thats another mistake on your part. Your 'playing' games on usenet, and I'm not playing...It has nothing to do with impressing you, it has more to do with making sure you have the education you'll need to debate. The debate is no fun for me if you are mentally incapable of it. I'm giving you an opportunity to educate yourself. That's all." -- A trashy former virus-writer turned Outer Filth doesn't know if he's playing or working, in MID: <1159389579.179851.33970@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>

"I am incapable of original thoughts" -- Ctrlï¿½/Altï¿½/Delï¿½ has an honest moment, in MID: <0h59i25ejlthqeeitdp0hlk4kvo1ejpkt9@4ax.com>

"But now the end is near. Now Mark Foley comes along and is making almost all liberal dreams come true and seriously, I'm sorry for it. See, I believe in karma. I believe what comes around goes around and I know full well that it's just bad juju to wish such a level of turmoil and ill upon other humans, warmongering gay-hating maladroits or no, and that the real path of enlightenment is paved with forgiveness and progress and white-hot love and turning the other cheek and scotch.

"In fact, Jesus said something about that, I do believe. He said, "Knock it off already with the warmongering and the hating of each other and let's all get some wine and party like it's 2012." Then again, he never saw Karl Rove stab the nation with the dull ice pick of bogus fear. He never heard George W. Bush describe brutal war and the death of tens of thousands as "just a comma" in world history.

"Check that. Maybe I'm not so sorry after all." -- Mark Morford, http://sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/gate/archive/2006/10/11/notes101106.DTL&nl=fix
http://tinyurl.com/kusmr

5911. Re: get back to abusing your neglected daddy, Morkie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-10 13:33:48 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1163165628.215749.121520@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4791
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 9 Nov 2006 05:22:54 -0800, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> get flushed mr Turd
> >
> >Markie admits he flushes turds down his fat throat, along with his
> >shemale wife's dick and his daddy's dick!
>
> only your in your demented mind

Morkie makes good sentence! So much for his claim of three college
degrees.

5912. Re: marqueer's crapathon contiues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-10 13:46:11 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1163166371.464796.46810@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4792
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.canada,rec.radio.scanner

The Demon Princess of Obtusity wrote:
> On Thu, 09 Nov 2006 05:55:52 -0800, Wabbit did the
> cha-cha, and screamed:
> > Demon Lard of Contusion wrote:
> >> On Mon, 06 Nov 2006 15:57:54 -0800, Wabbit attempted to confuse the
> >> issue further by squeaking:
> >> > Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> >> On Mon, 6 Nov 2006 18:03:57 -0500, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >Now rrap is dead and myrevenge is complet.
> >> >> is theft remains a crime
> >> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >> >
> >> > I LAME
> >>
> >> Yes, you do. It's because you're a homo/biphobic twat.
> >
> > You like having sex with rabbits, don't you?
>
> No, that's /my/ fantasy, Woger "Wabbit" Wiseman's frogger. Leave me
> out of it.

Oh, so you'd rather have a three way with Markie, then?

5913. Re: marqueer's crapathon contiues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-10 14:12:37 UTC
From: "Text Medium No. 5" <mhm29x21@meow.flonk>
Message-ID:
<pan.2006.11.10.14.12.32.461088@hail.eris.flonk.meow.all.hail.discordia.meow.flonk.mockery.demon.not.really.leola>
Source record: 4793
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.canada,rec.radio.scanner

Hail Eris! On Fri, 10 Nov 2006 05:46:11 -0800, Wabbit jabbered inanely:
> The Demon Princess of Obtusity wrote:
>> On Thu, 09 Nov 2006 05:55:52 -0800, Wabbit did the cha-cha, and
>> screamed:
>> > Demon Lard of Contusion wrote:
>> >> On Mon, 06 Nov 2006 15:57:54 -0800, Wabbit attempted to confuse the
>> >> issue further by squeaking:
>> >> > Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> >> >> On Mon, 6 Nov 2006 18:03:57 -0500, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >Now rrap is dead and myrevenge is complet.
>> >> >> is theft remains a crime
>> >> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>> >> >
>> >> > I LAME
>> >>
>> >> Yes, you do. It's because you're a homo/biphobic twat.
>> >
>> > You like having sex with rabbits, don't you?
>>
>> No, that's /my/ fantasy, Woger "Wabbit" Wiseman's frogger. Leave me out
>> of it.
>
> Oh, so you'd rather have a three way with Markie, then?

He's too white, and way too old. Under 18 is too young, of course (gay sex
with teens is illegal, even in Canada, but straight sex is fine (as young
as 14), as long as there's no power imbalance involved...Hypocrisy? Nah.
Why would you think that?). At any rate, some non-white twink is more my
speed.

--
Shon'ai COOSN-029-06-71069
"I was told there would be cookies."
Cross-Poasters For Goddess!
Remember: Straight people can't help it!
A petition to make the Five-Fingered Hand of Eris
the official symbol for the planet Eris:
http://www.petitiononline.com/ffhoeris/

"If you don't have pedicures AT LEAST every two weeks, don't talk to me.
If you don't floss every night and morning and brush at least twice a
day, don't talk to me. If you don't spend money on you hair and get

great cuts and color, don't talk to me. If you are heavy, don't talk to me. If you don't shower every morning and take a nice bubble bath every night, don't talk to me. If you don't have a loved one in your arms, don't talk to me. If you don't keep an immaculate house, don't talk to me. If you don't work, don't talk to me." -- Clearly, Martha Vandella never wants to talk to me, which is for the best, really.
MID: <1161934857.062934.91900@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>

5914. Re: clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-10 14:30:10 UTC
From: The Duce <DoubleDuce4u@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <S105h.8005$9v5.4167@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 4794
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

The test will continue to dumb down because ham radio is dying. There's a new radio game in town, its called cell phone. Like it or not anyone can get a license to use them.

I've been in ham radio for over 30 years. The same discussion is going on today as was when I first started. It has never been settled and never will to satisfy all. Equipment prices will skyrocket as the number of hams continues to decline. In fact they already have. I laugh when I see radio's like an IC-706 that someone is trying to sell on Ebay for $900 plus when only a few years ago new ones were being had at Hamvention under $600. Radio is dying folks enjoy it while the government allows us to have it. There is no "use" for all the Techs anymore.Allthis brainpower should be put together to find a use for your existence instead of continually hashing the same old dead beaten horse.

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
news:uroti2dv2kcafauen1mld2qkqrka34dfj6@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 00:18:06 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>Gropus <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>news:7c9ri21p136061hhabebo7c6of6o64opun@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:00:57 GMT, Blow a Toad <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>> thusly:
>>>
>>>
>>>>I just wish all you people would take a stand against dumbing things
>>>>down any further. If it continues, it going to make hams irrelevant and
>>>>our bands will sound like CB.
>>>
>>> Right now, CB is totally dead. Nothing. Nada. Zip. Zilch.
>>>
>>> Behavior like yours will push ham that way too. Who wants to be
>>> associated with the likes of you?
>>
>>
>>Everyone that desires to be a good ham and valuable asset to the radio
>>service and to their country do.
>>
>>Now how's your CW practice coming along? Are you practicing or did you
>>decide to pack it in and just talk on a mic like other lazy KKKanadians.
>>Eh?
> clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license
>

>>
>>SC
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
>

5915. Re: clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-10 16:39:52 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <SOSdnTq4l8z7M8nYnZ2dnUVZ_vCdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 4795
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"The Duce" <DoubleDuce4u@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:S105h.8005$9v5.4167@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
> The test will continue to dumb down because ham radio is dying. There's a
> new radio game in town, its called cell phone. Like it or not anyone can
get
> a license to use them.
>
> I've been in ham radio for over 30 years. The same discussion is going on
> today as was when I first started. It has never been settled and never
will
> to satisfy all. Equipment prices will skyrocket as the number of hams
> continues to decline. In fact they already have. I laugh when I see
radio's
> like an IC-706 that someone is trying to sell on Ebay for $900 plus when
> only a few years ago new ones were being had at Hamvention under $600.
Radio
> is dying folks enjoy it while the government allows us to have it. There
is
> no "use" for all the Techs anymore.Allthis brainpower should be put
together
> to find a use for your existence instead of continually hashing the same
old
> dead beaten horse.
>
Scanners and listening to the cops will die out too. Each police car will
have a wireless broadband set-up and the individual cops will carry around a
wireless link device to communicate to the computer in their car and that
will be "THE END" for scanners!

The handwriting is on the wall!

HJ

5916. Re: clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-10 17:01:57 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ej2bc4ffg2@news.ndhu.edu.tw>
Source record: 4796
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

honestjohn wrote:
> "The Duce" <DoubleDuce4u@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:S105h.8005$9v5.4167@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
>> The test will continue to dumb down because ham radio is dying. There's a
>> new radio game in town, its called cell phone. Like it or not anyone can
> get
>> a license to use them.
>>
>> I've been in ham radio for over 30 years. The same discussion is going on
>> today as was when I first started. It has never been settled and never
> will
>> to satisfy all. Equipment prices will skyrocket as the number of hams
>> continues to decline. In fact they already have. I laugh when I see
> radio's
>> like an IC-706 that someone is trying to sell on Ebay for $900 plus when
>> only a few years ago new ones were being had at Hamvention under $600.
> Radio
>> is dying folks enjoy it while the government allows us to have it. There
> is
>> no "use" for all the Techs anymore.Allthis brainpower should be put
> together
>> to find a use for your existence instead of continually hashing the same
> old
>> dead beaten horse.
>>
> Scanners and listening to the cops will die out too. Each police car will
> have a wireless broadband set-up and the individual cops will carry around a
> wireless link device to communicate to the computer in their car and that
> will be "THE END" for scanners!
>
> The handwriting is on the wall!
>
> HJ
>
>

Hey, you just stole that idea from "Chicken Little!"

JS

5917. Re: clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-10 18:04:56 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <u_ednSKP-InuX8nYnZ2dnUVZ_uydnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 4797
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message

> Hey, you just stole that idea from "Chicken Little!"
>
Mr. Little happens to be a friend of mine.

H.J.

5918. Re: clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-10 19:01:33 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ej2ico$18tp$1@news.ndhu.edu.tw>
Source record: 4798
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

honestjohn wrote:
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
>> Hey, you just stole that idea from "Chicken Little!"
>>
> Mr. Little happens to be a friend of mine.
>
> H.J.
>
>

HJ:

Obviously, you are both proponents of "Realistic Philosophy!" And, I do admit, such thinking does provide one with an explanation as to where these chunks of matter, which keep landing on my head, are coming from! <straight-face> I accept such until a better explanation comes forth...

JS

5919. Re: clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-10 20:21:55 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <z9ednRmzO9Aqf8nYnZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 4799
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message

>such thinking does provide one with an explanation as to where
> these chunks of matter, which keep landing on my head, are coming from!
> <straight-face> I accept such until a better explanation comes forth...
>
> JS

Now, don't you feel better for admitting that?

HJ

5920. Re: They Flushed The Toilet In Washington Last Night

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-11 00:51:07 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <%795h.5475$ig4.4944@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4800
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

cbx <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in
news:2ic8l2h6q8jq35c76lubjir14kljosor40@4ax.com:

> There will be no impeachment or any other hand slapping. When will
> you wake up and realize there is "not a dime's worth of difference
> between the two parties".

Yes there is a difference between the parties. Here's what you get with
the democrats:

Defeat in Iraq,
Higher taxes,
Much Much larger government,
Renewed attacks on morality, religion, free speech and gun rights,
Higher inflation and unemployment,
terrorist attacks on our own shores again,
a loss in private property rights,
thousands of childen murdered by being sucked from the womb with a
vacuum....

5921. Re: clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-11 02:55:39 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ej3e59$23ra$1@news.ndhu.edu.tw>
Source record: 4701
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

honestjohn wrote:
>
> Now, don't you feel better for admitting that?
>
> HJ
>
>

BELIEVE!

JS

5922. Re: clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-11 02:56:50 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <ksKdne4UG9DY3MjYnZ2dnUVZ_sednZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 4702
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ej3e59$23ra$1@news.ndhu.edu.tw...
> honestjohn wrote:
> >
> > Now, don't you feel better for admitting that?
> >
> > HJ
> >
> >
>
> BELIEVE!
>
Amen Brother, I do!

HJ

5923. Re: clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-11 20:40:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <u8dcl2921sv691petdb7opu0ec4m4hme8d@4ax.com>
Source record: 4703
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 10 Nov 2006 14:30:10 GMT, "The Duce"
<DoubleDuce4u@hotmail.com> wrote:

>The test will continue to dumb down because ham radio is dying. There's a
>new radio game in town, its called cell phone. Like it or not anyone can get
>a license to use them.
>
funny how nobody is proposing to "dumb" anything donw

or remove anything that skill of which demostrates inteligence ata ll
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5924. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-11 20:56:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <o7ecl21acmei553a0isevm54uk59q018h1@4ax.com>
Source record: 4704
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 10 Nov 2006 05:33:48 -0800, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 9 Nov 2006 05:22:54 -0800, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
>> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> >> get flushed mr Turd
>> >
>> >Markie admits he flushes turds down his fat throat, along with his
>> >shemale wife's dick and his daddy's dick!
>>
>> only your in your demented mind
>
>Morkie makes good sentence! So much for his claim of three college
>degrees.
you are demnted and need help go get it
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5925. Re: Bad Hams !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 01:18:36 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <MDu5h.5282$0r.2582@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4705
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote in
news:M3m5h.14258$xw1.10628@twister.nyroc.rr.com:

> Is this what happends after folks pass the code test?
>
>
> Hollingsworth told David O. Castle, WA9KJI, of Evansville, Indiana,
> October 11 that the WTB referral was the result of "longstanding
> complaints against the operation of your station" involving interference
> on HF and 2 meters. In another case, Hollingsworth wrote William F.
> Crowell, W6WBJ (ex-N6AYJ), of Diamond Springs, California, that his
> license renewal application has been designated for hearing after a
> review of "numerous complaints filed against the operation of your
> station" alleging deliberate interference.

You think that's bad, you should hear the retarded assholes on CB.

SC

5926. I'm taking a cattle prod to the next club meeting. I hope the place is packed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 01:18:43 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <TDu5h.5287$0r.2695@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4706
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

I want to make a no-code sit on the floor.

SC

5927. Re: You mispell "nickle" is ignorant just like Bush saying "nucular"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 01:19:11 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <jEu5h.5301$0r.4059@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4707
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Not toddaug555 wrote in
news:11391638966.807555.284971@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

>
> That why you will never be take serious ignoramus.

Shut up.

It doesn't matter if I'm taken seriously. I'm a 20wpm code tested Extra. I
got it all.

73, and good luck in the contest.

SC

5928. Re: Common courtesy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 01:38:46 UTC
From: X-rated Vermonter <k1xvnospam@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <2jucl2ph03e2elmaag3huj4t2nlusl7t5p@4ax.com>
Source record: 4708
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 08 Nov 2006 00:34:05 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>Code is just one part of it. Here's my four step plan that will keep
>ham radio from becoming like CB:
>
>
>Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.
>
>Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>
>Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
>Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
+++++++++
Your plan will eliminate QRM. There will be nobody left with a
license.

Are you an undercover agent for some commercial group that is looking
to take over ham band spectrum for commercial uses?

You are a caricature of a crusty old fool.

5929. Re: You mispell "nickle" is ignorant just like Bush saying "nucular"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 05:45:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <26ddl2llrfgapbrflmeqtjhaeu9pd8hf4p@4ax.com>
Source record: 4709
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 12 Nov 2006 01:19:11 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Not toddaug555 wrote in
>news:11391638966.807555.284971@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> That why you will never be take serious ignoramus.
>
>
>Shut up.
nobody is shuting up on your say so

>
>It doesn't matter if I'm taken seriously.

good thing since you are not

>I'm a 20wpm code tested Extra. I
>got it all.

for now but not much longer
>
>73, and good luck in the contest.
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5930. crapthoion continues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 05:47:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <vaddl2p0k29qfjhugsqsqmal195ruknn36@4ax.com>
Source record: 4710
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 12 Nov 2006 01:18:36 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote in
>news:M3m5h.14258$xw1.10628@twister.nyroc.rr.com:
>
>> Is this what happends after folks pass the code test?
>>
>>
>> Hollingsworth told David O. Castle, WA9KJI, of Evansville, Indiana,
>> October 11 that the WTB referral was the result of "longstanding
>> complaints against the operation of your station" involving interference
>> on HF and 2 meters. In another case, Hollingsworth wrote William F.
>> Crowell, W6WBJ (ex-N6AYJ), of Diamond Springs, California, that his
>> license renewal application has been designated for hearing after a
>> review of "numerous complaints filed against the operation of your
>> station" alleging deliberate interference.
>
>
>You think that's bad, you should hear the retarded assholes on CB.

how would know unless you are on the band yourself
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5931. slow code threatens assult on NoCoder can this be a "good Ham"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 05:49:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <mdddl2prijb4m8lu3fhth72f3tv6nrqovd@4ax.com>
Source record: 4711
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 12 Nov 2006 01:18:43 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>I want to make a no-code sit on the floor.

you try it at any club and I bet you will end in jail sc
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5932. Could You Folks Share ?? Hams / CBer's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 07:18:32 UTC
From: ScanDaBands <tommyboys_news@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <9midl2tv8qf08ib6t7sc4g9e5nqhmg1i7f@4ax.com>
Source record: 4712

Share the DRUGS you're on , from the looks of some of these messages , they must be very good ....

5933. Re: slow code threatens assult on NoCoder can this be a "good Ham"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 10:53:01 UTC
From: PhattyMo <PhattyMo@nospam.gmail>
Message-ID: <4556fc76$0$510$815e3792@news.qwest.net>
Source record: 4713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Sun, 12 Nov 2006 01:18:43 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>> I want to make a no-code sit on the floor.
>
> you try it at any club and I bet you will end in jail sc
>> SC
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

Let him do it,Jail is the best place for him.

5934. Re: Could You Folks Share ?? Hams / CBer's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 10:54:32 UTC
From: PhattyMo <PhattyMo@nospam.gmail>
Message-ID: <4556fccf$0$510$815e3792@news.qwest.net>
Source record: 4714

ScanDaBands wrote:
> Share the DRUGS you're on , from the looks of some of these messages , they must be very good ....

What,You've noticed it too?

phew!
For a while I thought *I* was the crazy one..

5935. Re: slow code threatens assult on NoCoder can this be a "good Ham"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 12:11:39 UTC
From: Not Steve <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <f3kma.k5d.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4715
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"PhattyMo" <PhattyMo@nospam.gmail> wrote in message
news:4556fc76$0$510$815e3792@news.qwest.net...
Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Sun, 12 Nov 2006 01:18:43 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>> I want to make a no-code sit on the floor.
>
> you try it at any club and I bet you will end in jail sc
>> SC
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

Let him do it,Jail is the best place for him.
****

The bottom line remains. No-Coders should salute their superiors and should
give up their chairs as a matter of courtesy.
Doing so is a simple show of respect.

No-Coders should be made to stand at the back of the room until they can
pass no less than 5wpm. Then, and only then, will they be allowed to come to
the front to whine.

5936. Re: slow code threatens assult on NoCoder can this be a "good Ham"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 15:03:05 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <yIOdnduA4a-038rYnZ2dnUVZ_vednZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 4718
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Not Steve" <anon@anon> wrote in message news:f3kma.k5d.19.1@news.alt.net...
>
> "PhattyMo" <PhattyMo@nospam.gmail> wrote in message
> news:4556fc76$0$510$815e3792@news.qwest.net...
> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> > On Sun, 12 Nov 2006 01:18:43 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >
>> I want to make a no-code sit on the floor.
> >
> > you try it at any club and I bet you will end in jail sc
>> SC
> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >
>
>
> Let him do it,Jail is the best place for him.
> **********
>
> The bottom line remains. No-Coders should salute their superiors and
should
> give up their chairs as a matter of courtesy.
> Doing so is a simple show of respect.
>
> No-Coders should be made to stand at the back of the room until they can
> pass no less than 5wpm. Then, and only then, will they be allowed to come
to
> the front to whine.
>
Would they have to sit in the back of the bus and us their own water
fountains, also?

5937. handbook for the pro 2035

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 15:20:03 UTC
From: limpet <ra27333@googlemail.com>
Message-ID: <1163344803.851655.113120@h54g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4716

Can anyone please tell me where to get a replacement handbook for my
pro 2035 scanner.
any info appreciated.

5938. 400mhz Public Safety

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 15:33:04 UTC
From: Karnaj <karnaj@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Q8H5h.1060202$084.11234@attbi_s22>
Source record: 4717

I'm trying to figure out the encryption on our local Sheriffs department 400mhz public safety band, our local dispatcher goes to 100 to switch to the encryption, it garbles the transmissions to the normal scanner user I use 2 hand helds a Pro-93 and a Uniden BC80XLT. Any help would be greatly appreciated.

Karn

5939. Re: 400mhz Public Safety

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 15:54:51 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <MsednU26rOhR3srYnZ2dnUVZ_sCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 4719

"Karnaj" <karnaj@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:Q8H5h.1060202$084.11234@attbi_s22...
> I'm trying to figure out the encryption on our local Sheriffs department
> 400mhz public safety band, our local dispatcher goes to 100 to switch to
> the encryption, it garbles the transmissions to the normal scanner user I
> use 2 hand helds a Pro-93 and a Uniden BC80XLT. Any help would be greatly
> appreciated.
>
> Karn

If you're in the U.S., any help to do that would be illegal.

Bill Crocker

5940. Re: 400mhz Public Safety

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 16:08:41 UTC
From: Karnaj <karnaj@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <cGH5h.277491$1i1.210989@attbi_s72>
Source record: 4720

Bill Crocker wrote:
> If you're in the U.S., any help to do that would be illegal.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>

Thank you I didn't know that.

Karn

5941. Re: 400mhz Public Safety

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 16:44:14 UTC
From: labtech1 <labtech_one@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <afc1a$45574f53$471dd939$12959@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 4721

Incorrect .... knowledge is NOT illegal, its the implementaion of such knowledge

example ---> knowing HOW to build a BOMB is not illegal, Building one IS.

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message news:MsednU26rOhR3srYnZ2dnUVZ_sCdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
> "Karnaj" <karnaj@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:Q8H5h.1060202$084.11234@attbi_s22...
> > I'm trying to figure out the encryption on our local Sheriffs department
> > 400mhz public safety band, our local dispatcher goes to 100 to switch to
> > the encryption, it garbles the transmissions to the normal scanner user
I
> > use 2 hand helds a Pro-93 and a Uniden BC80XLT. Any help would be greatly
> > appreciated.
> >
> > Karn
>
> If you're in the U.S., any help to do that would be illegal.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>

5942. Re: 400mhz Public Safety

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 17:02:43 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ZsednUWlRs4lzsrYnZ2dnUVZ_omdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 4722

So you're saying if you advise him how to accomplish his goal, and he gets busted by the Feds, you could not be charged as an accomplist?

Bill Crocker

"labtech1" <labtech_one@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:afc1a$45574f53$471dd939$12959@ALLTEL.NET...
> Incorrect .... knowledge is NOT illegal, its the implementaion of
> such
> knowledge
>
> example ---> knowing HOW to build a BOMB is not illegal, Building one
> IS.
>
> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:MsednU26rOhR3srYnZ2dnUVZ_sCdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>>
>> "Karnaj" <karnaj@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:Q8H5h.1060202$084.11234@attbi_s22...
>> > I'm trying to figure out the encryption on our local Sheriffs
>> > department
>> > 400mhz public safety band, our local dispatcher goes to 100 to switch
>> > to
>> > the encryption, it garbles the transmissions to the normal scanner user
> I
>> > use 2 hand helds a Pro-93 and a Uniden BC80XLT. Any help would be
> greatly
>> > appreciated.
>> >
>> > Karn
>>
>> If you're in the U.S., any help to do that would be illegal.
>>
>> Bill Crocker
>>
>>
>
>

5943. Re: 400mhz Public Safety

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 17:22:52 UTC
From: Karnaj <karnaj@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <MLI5h.142869$aJ.35784@attbi_s21>
Source record: 4723

Bill Crocker wrote:
> So you're saying if you advise him how to accomplish his goal, and he gets
> busted by the Feds, you could not be charged as an accomplist?
>
> Bill Crocker

I was asking for knowledge first of all because I've never heard of that encryption before. I don't need to listen to it, I was trying to get info on it never heard of that encryption before. Where I used to live didn't Excrypt their traffic at all. Bill seriously take a breather.

5944. Re: 400mhz Public Safety

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 17:42:51 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <VYWdnYkuo_lr-srYnZ2dnUVZ_vydnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 4725

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:B46dncsFWfom-crYnZ2dnUVZ_umdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> I'm not upset, or mad, I'm just trying to keep you out of trouble. As you
> said, you didn't know. Besides my comment was to labtech1.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>
> "Karnaj" <karnaj@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:MLI5h.142869$aJ.35784@attbi_s21...
> > Bill Crocker wrote:
> >> So you're saying if you advise him how to accomplish his goal, and he
> >> gets busted by the Feds, you could not be charged as an accomplist?
> >>
> >> Bill Crocker
> >
> > I was asking for knowledge first of all because I've never heard of that
> > encryption before. I don't need to listen to it, I was trying to get
info
> > on it never heard of that encryption before. Where I used to live
didn't
> > Excrypt their traffic at all. Bill seriously take a breather.
>
I thought that the legality of owning unscramblers was made on a
state-by-state basis, there do you get this "Fed" crap?

HJ

5945. Re: 400mhz Public Safety

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 18:15:17 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <B46dncsFWfom-crYnZ2dnUVZ_umdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 4724

I'm not upset, or mad, I'm just trying to keep you out of trouble. As you said, you didn't know. Besides my comment was to labtech1.

Bill Crocker

"Karnaj" <karnaj@gmail.com> wrote in message news:MLI5h.142869$aJ.35784@attbi_s21...
> Bill Crocker wrote:
>> So you're saying if you advise him how to accomplish his goal, and he
>> gets busted by the Feds, you could not be charged as an accomplist?
>>
>> Bill Crocker
>
> I was asking for knowledge first of all because I've never heard of that
> encryption before. I don't need to listen to it, I was trying to get info
> on it never heard of that encryption before. Where I used to live didn't
> Excrypt their traffic at all. Bill seriously take a breather.

5946. Re: I'm taking a cattle prod to the next club meeting. I hope the place is packed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 18:31:26 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <fbKdnTgUHuAX7srYnZ2dnUVZ_tqdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 4728
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1163358732.974481.36640@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> What kind of weird club is this? Do you shave your head and wear lots
> of patent leather?
>
Don't forget the nipple piercing!

5947. Re: slow code threatens assult on NoCoder can this be a "good Ham"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 18:38:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <reqel2hk8ubes98ps2r7ki79sq3csvj2rk@4ax.com>
Source record: 4726
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 12 Nov 2006 02:53:01 -0800, PhattyMo <PhattyMo@nospam.gmail>
wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On Sun, 12 Nov 2006 01:18:43 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>> I want to make a no-code sit on the floor.
>>
>> you try it at any club and I bet you will end in jail sc
>>> SC
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>
>
>Let him do it,Jail is the best place for him.

indeed it is but being a good guy myself I am pointing out to him the
results of his insane suggestion

besides that way aftter he pulls and gets busted I can be a more
vituous in saying I told you so
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5948. Re: I'm taking a cattle prod to the next club meeting. I hope the place is packed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 19:12:13 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1163358732.974481.36640@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4727
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

What kind of weird club is this? Do you shave your head and wear lots
of patent leather?

5949. Re: I'm taking a cattle prod to the next club meeting. I hope the place is packed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 19:21:27 UTC
From: an old freind <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs>
Message-ID: <45576760$0$21084$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 4729
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:fbKdnTgUHuAX7srYnZ2dnUVZ_tqdnZ2d@centurytel.net...
>
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1163358732.974481.36640@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> What kind of weird club is this? Do you shave your head and wear lots
>> of patent leather?
>>
> Don't forget the nipple piercing!
u makeing me hot two tott
http://home.mchsi.com/~north1959/professorblumpkin.jpg

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5950. Re: I'm taking a cattle prod to the next club meeting. I hope the place is packed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 19:52:36 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1163361156.266427.137250@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4730
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
an old freind wrote:
> "honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in message
> news:fbKdnTgUHuAX7srYnZ2dnUVZ_tqdnZ2d@centurytel.net...
> >
> > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1163358732.974481.36640@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >> What kind of weird club is this? Do you shave your head and wear lots
> >> of patent leather?
> >>
> > Don't forget the nipple piercing!

> u makeing me hot two tott

Not me! It's Slow that has the cattle prod.
```

5951. Re: I'm taking a cattle prod to the next club meeting. I hope the place is packed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 20:08:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <apvel2p4ebg1utv7v391edvrrpm45hp7ng@4ax.com>
Source record: 4731
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 12 Nov 2006 14:21:27 -0500, "an old freind" <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs>
wrote:

>
>"honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in message
>news:fbKdnTgUHuAX7srYnZ2dnUVZ_tqdnZ2d@centurytel.net...
>>
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1163358732.974481.36640@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>> What kind of weird club is this? Do you shave your head and wear lots
>>> of patent leather?
>>>
>> Don't forget the nipple piercing!
>u makeing me hot two tott
forgery again

but SC and his friends like Robeson are definately into the BDSM
school of lincensure
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5952. Re: I'm taking a cattle prod to the next club meeting. I hope the place is packed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 20:11:10 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <iYydnUHqrfCJFsrYnZ2dnUVZ_s-dnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 4735
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:apvel2p4ebg1utv7v391edvrrpm45hp7ng@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 12 Nov 2006 14:21:27 -0500, "an old freind" <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >"honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in message
> >news:fbKdnTgUHuAX7srYnZ2dnUVZ_tqdnZ2d@centurytel.net...
> >>
> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1163358732.974481.36640@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >>>
> >>> What kind of weird club is this? Do you shave your head and wear lots
> >>> of patent leather?
> >>>
> >> Don't forget the nipple piercing!
> >u makeing me hot two tott
> forgery again
>
> but SC and his friends like Robeson are definately into the BDSM
> school of lincensure
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
That's good to know, I guess.

HJ
```

5953. Re: I'm taking a cattle prod to the next club meeting. I hope the place is packed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 20:37:30 UTC
From: an old freind <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs>
Message-ID: <45577932$0$21151$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 4732
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1163361156.266427.137250@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> an old freind wrote:
>> "honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in message
>> news:fbKdnTgUHuAX7srYnZ2dnUVZ_tqdnZ2d@centurytel.net...
>> >
>> > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:1163358732.974481.36640@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >>
>> >> What kind of weird club is this? Do you shave your head and wear lots
>> >> of patent leather?
>> >>
>> > Don't forget the nipple piercing!
>
>> u makeing me hot two tott
>
> Not me! It's Slow that has the cattle prod.
more gay basing i see why you do?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

5954. Re: handbook for the pro 2035

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 20:39:26 UTC
From: Beloved Leader <Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com>
Message-ID: <1163363966.027982.5020@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4733

limpet wrote:
> Can anyone please tell me where to get a replacement handbook for my
> pro 2035 scanner.
> any info appreciated.

Radio Shack's site doesn't seem particularly useful. The "Radio Shack
Scanner Modification Page" at

http://www3.sympatico.ca/len.c/rsmods.html

shows the PRO-2035 has RS SKU 200-0460. It provides a link to

http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/16587.htm

but that no longer works for me.

StrongSignals reviewed the PRO-2035. In his review, Rich Wells
discusses programming. His review may be what you need.

http://www.strongsignals.net/access/reviews/reviews.cgi?type=display&rtype=rev&class=recv&num=011

or

http://tinyurl.com/y55kls

He also has a separate page for the PRO-2035.

http://www.strongsignals.net/access/content/rr_pro-2035.html

5955. Re: I'm taking a cattle prod to the next club meeting. I hope the place is packed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 20:43:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <eq1fl21m04oa0n9hp9dlbdkthe7k929dj6@4ax.com>
Source record: 4734
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 12 Nov 2006 15:37:30 -0500, "an old freind" <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs>
wrote:

>
><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1163361156.266427.137250@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> an old freind wrote:
>>> "honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in message
>>> news:fbKdnTgUHuAX7srYnZ2dnUVZ_tqdnZ2d@centurytel.net...
>>> >
>>> > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> > news:1163358732.974481.36640@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>> >>
>>> >> What kind of weird club is this? Do you shave your head and wear lots
>>> >> of patent leather?
>>> >>
>>> > Don't forget the nipple piercing!
>>
>>> u makeing me hot two tott
>>
>> Not me! It's Slow that has the cattle prod.
>more gay basing i see why you do?
>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

another forgery number 19 on on the day
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5956. Re: handbook for the pro 2035

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 21:11:58 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <y6M5h.17600$dN4.12374@news-wrt-01.rdc-nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 4736

www.mods.dk has owners and service manuals.

"limpet" <ra27333@googlemail.com> wrote in message
news:1163344803.851655.113120@h54g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Can anyone please tell me where to get a replacement handbook for my
> pro 2035 scanner.
> any info appreciated.
>

5957. Re: Radio Shack Black Friday Ad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 21:49:42 UTC
From: sidwellfriends@aol.com
Message-ID: <1163368182.425022.291930@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4737
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

lsmyer wrote:
> According to the blackfriday.info website, Radio Shack doesn't appear to
> be offering any shortwave radios or scanners on sale this year:
> http://tinyurl.com/y99v3r

And I bet, no HD Radios either !

5958. Re: slow crapathon conitues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 22:09:04 UTC
From: Allan9 <exatc@neo1.rr.com>
Message-ID: <45579b74$0$13699$4c368faf@roadrunner.com>
Source record: 4738
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Had to learn CW in 1956. Last time I used it was 1956.
Al

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:m555l256jpcd96ea0j35q35pklab9te4hc@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 09 Nov 2006 00:45:43 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>ToeJam <toejam@bigfoot.kn> wrote in news:eobqm.flm.19.1@news.alt.net:
>>
>>> The need for CW has been waining for many years now and technology has
>>> eliminated a need for it. Why do we still need CW? How many Hams still
>>> use CW? I think technical knowledge far outweighs the CW requirement.

5959. Re: slow crapathon conitues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-12 22:25:57 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <QPqdnfoew-1xN8rYnZ2dnUVZ_qOdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 4739
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Allan9" <exatc@neo1.rr.com> wrote in message
news:45579b74$0$13699$4c368faf@roadrunner.com...
> Had to learn CW in 1956. Last time I used it was 1956.
> Al
>
I had to learn CW in 1972. Last time I used it was 1972.

H.J.

5960. Re: Radio Shack Black Friday Ad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 00:10:53 UTC
From: Greasyspoon 444 <revfoxweek9@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1163376653.808531.99560@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4740
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

lsmyer wrote:
> According to the blackfriday.info website, Radio Shack doesn't appear to
> be offering any shortwave radios or scanners on sale this year:
> http://tinyurl.com/y99v3r

Radio shack has ceased to be an electronics store long ago.
It is now just another redundant home electronics and telephone store.
I am amazed that anyone buys anything in there anyway.
If you need a telephone or a DVD player or similar you can
get the same item or better at WalMart for half the price they ask.
The people who staff it from local management to the pimply-faced
teenager wouldn't know WTF a resistor is, let alone a shortwave radio.
Heck, they have enough trouble looking up a replacement battery
for a cordless phone which are grossly overpriced as well.

In three years I see them declaring Bankruptcy and dissappearing
from the USA retail scene. Good riddence to as their recent management
all but killed off their most dedicated customer base years ago.

5961. Re: CB Feud Leads To Shootout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 00:14:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1163376850.905117.88740@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.gay,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

J Coffey wrote:
> APOPKA, Fla. -- Two men decided to end a long-running feud with an old
> fashioned shootout, according to an Associated Press report.
>
> The men met up at in an Apopka Wal-Mart parking lot Saturday for a
> fight. One was grazed with a bullet, the other sprayed with Mace.
>
> Both men told police they knew each other only from arguing for six
> years over the CB radio airwaves.
>
>
> The men were arrested and taken to the Orange County Jail. Forty-eight
> year-old Frank Lukasik, of Longwood, was charged with aggravated
> battery after police said he shot 47-year-old Paul Goldman.
>
> Goldman was arrested on a charge of battery causing bodily harm after
> authorities said he sprayed the chemical at Lukasik.
>
> http://www.local6.com/news/10299812/detail.html#

tehy shud haev suxked dick

5962. Re: Radio Shack Black Friday Ad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 01:08:45 UTC
From: TLoewenberg <termarl@msn.com>
Message-ID: <NsCdnSYx_uo8WMrYnZ2dnUVZ_sSdnZ2d@myeastern.com>
Source record: 4742
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I'm no lover of RS and agree that they slowly circling the drain. However, before people post this crap, you should go to their web site and look before believing some urban legend web site. If you did, you'd see scanners and shortwave. Not a lot but they are there.
www.radioshack.com

<sidwellfriends@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1163368182.425022.291930@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> lsmyer wrote:
>> According to the blackfriday.info website, Radio Shack doesn't appear to
>> be offering any shortwave radios or scanners on sale this year:
>> http://tinyurl.com/y99v3r
>
> And I bet, no HD Radios either !
>

5963. Re: 400mhz Public Safety

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 01:31:57 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <yeqdnSbr7ZOTSsrYnZ2dnUVZ_qOdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 4746

"labtech1" <labtech_one@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:cffea$4557cd85$471dd939$19627@ALLTEL.NET...
> It is a FEDERAL crime to 'use' a descrambler to intercept transmissions
that
> are NOT your own. Hence.... if you owned a taxi cab company and wanted to
> scramble your transmissions to the cars.... you can legally do it.
However
> if you 'used' that descrambler/ scrambler system to intercept police or
> another business transmissions you are in violation
> of the law.
>
When did the gov't. pass this law and who enforces it?

Don't say the FCC because they only regulate transmitters, not receivers.

HJ

5964. Re: 400mhz Public Safety

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 01:42:42 UTC
From: labtech1 <labtech_one@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cffea$4557cd85$471dd939$19627@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 4743

It is a FEDERAL crime to 'use' a descrambler to intercept transmissions that
are NOT your own. Hence.... if you owned a taxi cab company and wanted to
scramble your transmissions to the cars.... you can legally do it. However
if you 'used' that descrambler/ scrambler system to intercept police or
another business transmissions you are in violation
of the law.

"honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:VYWdnYkuo_lr-srYnZ2dnUVZ_vydnZ2d@centurytel.net...
>
> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:B46dncsFWfom-crYnZ2dnUVZ_umdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> > I'm not upset, or mad, I'm just trying to keep you out of trouble. As
you
> > said, you didn't know. Besides my comment was to labtech1.
> >
> > Bill Crocker
> >
> >
> >
> > "Karnaj" <karnaj@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:MLI5h.142869$aJ.35784@attbi_s21...
> > > Bill Crocker wrote:
> > >> So you're saying if you advise him how to accomplish his goal, and he
> > >> gets busted by the Feds, you could not be charged as an accomplist?
> > >>
> > >> Bill Crocker
> > >
> > > I was asking for knowledge first of all because I've never heard of
that
> > > encryption before. I don't need to listen to it, I was trying to get
> info
> > > on it never heard of that encryption before. Where I used to live
> didn't
> > > Excrypt their traffic at all. Bill seriously take a breather.
> >
> I thought that the legality of owning unscramblers was made on a
> state-by-state basis, there do you get this "Fed" crap?
>
> HJ
>
>

5965. Re: 400mhz Public Safety

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 01:46:15 UTC
From: labtech1 <labtech_one@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2b348$4557ce5c$471dd939$20071@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 4744

thats right as long as you are only suppying information your fine
if however you KNOW he is 'going' to be doing something illegal
then your an accomplice.

For all you know he is learning to be an engineer that works on this stuff
and is just furthering his education. Stop and think about that for a sec...
HOW do people LEARN to build these new circuits and equipment ?
They have to learn it somewhere..... If learning was a crime we'd all
be living
back in caves.

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:ZsednUWlRs4lzsrYnZ2dnUVZ_omdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> So you're saying if you advise him how to accomplish his goal, and he gets
> busted by the Feds, you could not be charged as an accomplist?
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>
>
>
> "labtech1" <labtech_one@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:afc1a$45574f53$471dd939$12959@ALLTEL.NET...
> > Incorrect .... knowledge is NOT illegal, its the implementaion of
> > such
> > knowledge
> >
> > example ---> knowing HOW to build a BOMB is not illegal, Building
one
> > IS.
> >
> > "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
> > news:MsednU26rOhR3srYnZ2dnUVZ_sCdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> >>
> >> "Karnaj" <karnaj@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:Q8H5h.1060202$084.11234@attbi_s22...
> >> > I'm trying to figure out the encryption on our local Sheriffs
> >> > department
> >> > 400mhz public safety band, our local dispatcher goes to 100 to switch
> >> > to
> >> > the encryption, it garbles the transmissions to the normal scanner
user
> > I
> >> > use 2 hand helds a Pro-93 and a Uniden BC80XLT. Any help would be

> > greatly
> >> > appreciated.
> >> >
> >> > Karn
> >>
> >> If you're in the U.S., any help to do that would be illegal.
> >>
> >> Bill Crocker
> >>
> >>
> > >
> > >
>
>

5966. Re: CB Feud Leads To Shootout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 02:16:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <rblfl21v78j6r7vob9coqlb059a1k75reb@4ax.com>
Source record: 4745
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.gay,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 12 Nov 2006 16:14:10 -0800, "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>

>tehy shud haev suxked dick
more fraud I see
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5967. Re: Radio Shack Black Friday Ad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 03:19:26 UTC
From: haynes@alumni.uark.edu
Message-ID: <2vR5h.5659$l25.2370@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4747
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <1163376653.808531.99560@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>,
Greasyspoon 444 <revfoxweek9@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>In three years I see them declaring Bankruptcy and dissappearing
>from the USA retail scene. Good riddence to as their recent management
>all but killed off their most dedicated customer base years ago.
>
Maybe so, but they did fire their CEO fairly recently. He was the one
who had a bogus degree in Theology, as if that was what was needed to
run a business like theirs.

--

jhhaynes at earthlink dot net

5968. Re: CB Feud Leads To Shootout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 04:29:14 UTC
From: policy-ham <hamop_62@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1163392153.994872.144990@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4748
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

It was a ham vs CB shootout. QRZ.com says that Frank Lukasik is KN6FT.
Go look yourself.

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 12 Nov 2006 16:14:10 -0800, "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
>
> >tehy shud haev suxked dick
> more fraud I see
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5969. Re: CB Feud Leads To Shootout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 05:04:04 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <4557FCC4.1040205@gmail.com>
Source record: 4749
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

policy-ham wrote:
> It was a ham vs CB shootout. QRZ.com says that Frank Lukasik is KN6FT.
> Go look yourself.
>
> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 12 Nov 2006 16:14:10 -0800, "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> tehy shud haev suxked dick
>> more fraud I see
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

ph:

Imagine meeting one or both of those faces in a dark alley on your way
home from church! Ewwwwwwwwwwwwwww!

JS

5970. Re: slow code threatens assult on NoCoder can this be a "good Ham"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 05:26:11 UTC
From: PhattyMo <PhattyMo@nospam.gmail>
Message-ID: <4558018f$0$507$815e3792@news.qwest.net>
Source record: 4750
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

honestjohn wrote:
> "Not Steve" <anon@anon> wrote in message news:f3kma.k5d.19.1@news.alt.net...
>> "PhattyMo" <PhattyMo@nospam.gmail> wrote in message
>> news:4556fc76$0$510$815e3792@news.qwest.net...
>> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>> On Sun, 12 Nov 2006 01:18:43 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>>
>>>> I want to make a no-code sit on the floor.
>>> you try it at any club and I bet you will end in jail sc
>>>> SC
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>
>>
>> Let him do it,Jail is the best place for him.
>> **********
>>
>> The bottom line remains. No-Coders should salute their superiors and
> should
>> give up their chairs as a matter of courtesy.
>> Doing so is a simple show of respect.
>>
>> No-Coders should be made to stand at the back of the room until they can
>> pass no less than 5wpm. Then, and only then, will they be allowed to come
> to
>> the front to whine.
>>
> Would they have to sit in the back of the bus and us their own water
> fountains, also?
>
>

Apparently so.

5971. Re: CB Feud Leads To Shootout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 05:30:25 UTC
From: PhattyMo <PhattyMo@nospam.gmail>
Message-ID: <45580283$0$507$815e3792@news.qwest.net>
Source record: 4601
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

policy-ham wrote:
> It was a ham vs CB shootout. QRZ.com says that Frank Lukasik is KN6FT.
> Go look yourself.
>
> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 12 Nov 2006 16:14:10 -0800, "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> tehy shud haev suxked dick
>> more fraud I see
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

What,a HAM shooting somebody?
Why am I NOT surprised.
(Hint: take a look around the NG's.)

5972. Re: 400mhz Public Safety

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 07:18:55 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <pvudnQCgqZxFtMXYnZ2dnUVZ_tidnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 4603

"labtech1" <labtech_one@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:cfa1e$4558348b$471dd939$13689@ALLTEL.NET...
> FBI investigates illegal reception of radio transmissions, this includes
> cell phones etc
>
> However the FCC DOES 'regulate' receivers..... look on ANY radio and
see
> the
> "FCC type approved' sticker on it. ANY radio must be 'approved' by the
FCC
> before it can be sold in the USA
>
To insure that the oscillator in the radio doesn't transmit those oscillations beyond a certain distance from the receiver. The FCC will never tell anyone what they can or can't listen to. The airwaves are "FREE" and anyone can listen to anything that they want. The problem only comes when you repeat what you hear on police frequencies. Plus unscramblers are not receivers, only an audio accessory to a receiver. The Feds only investigate people SELLING modified receivers for cell phones, not the buyers.

H.J.

5973. Re: 400mhz Public Safety

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 08:02:22 UTC
From: labtech1 <labtech_one@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cfa1e$4558348b$471dd939$13689@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 4602

FBI investigates illegal reception of radio transmissions, this includes
cell phones etc

However the FCC DOES 'regulate' receivers..... look on ANY radio and see
the
"FCC type approved' sticker on it. ANY radio must be 'approved' by the FCC
before it can be sold in the USA

"honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:yeqdnSbr7ZOTSsrYnZ2dnUVZ_qOdnZ2d@centurytel.net...
>
> "labtech1" <labtech_one@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:cffea$4557cd85$471dd939$19627@ALLTEL.NET...
> > It is a FEDERAL crime to 'use' a descrambler to intercept transmissions
> that
> > are NOT your own. Hence.... if you owned a taxi cab company and wanted
to
> > scramble your transmissions to the cars.... you can legally do it.
> However
> > if you 'used' that descrambler/ scrambler system to intercept police or
> > another business transmissions you are in violation
> > of the law.
> >
> When did the gov't. pass this law and who enforces it?
>
> Don't say the FCC because they only regulate transmitters, not receivers.
>
> HJ
>
>

5974. Re: 400mhz Public Safety

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 08:22:06 UTC
From: labtech1 <labtech_one@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7ab74$45582b1e$471dd939$26254@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 4604

think you need to read the communications act of hmm I think it was
passed in 1992 or there abouts.

"honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:pvudnQCgqZxFtMXYnZ2dnUVZ_tidnZ2d@centurytel.net...
>
> "labtech1" <labtech_one@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:cfa1e$4558348b$471dd939$13689@ALLTEL.NET...
> > FBI investigates illegal reception of radio transmissions, this includes
> > cell phones etc
> >
> > However the FCC DOES 'regulate' receivers..... look on ANY radio and
> see
> > the
> > "FCC type approved' sticker on it. ANY radio must be 'approved' by the
> FCC
> > before it can be sold in the USA
> >
> To insure that the oscillator in the radio doesn't transmit those
> oscillations beyond a certain distance from the receiver. The FCC will
> never tell anyone what they can or can't listen to. The airwaves are
"FREE"
> and anyone can listen to anything that they want. The problem only comes
> when you repeat what you hear on police frequencies. Plus unscramblers
are
> not receivers, only an audio accessory to a receiver. The Feds only
> investigate people SELLING modified receivers for cell phones, not the
> buyers.
>
> H.J.
>
>

5975. Re: 400mhz Public Safety

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 08:58:28 UTC
From: labtech1 <labtech_one@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <57057$455833a3$471dd939$29782@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 4605

The air waves are not "FREE" anymore if that were true scanners would still
be sold with
cell coveraqge

And its the communications act of 1996

(g) It shall not be unlawful under this chapter or chapter 121 of this title
for any person--

(i) to intercept or access an electronic communication made through an
electronic communication system that is configured so that such electronic
communication is readily accessible to the general public;

(ii) to intercept any radio communication which is transmitted--

(I) by any station for the use of the general public, or that
relates to ships, aircraft, vehicles, or persons in distress;

(II) by any governmental, law enforcement, civil defense, private
land mobile, or public safety communications system, including police and
fire, readily accessible to the general public;

(III) by a station operating on an authorized frequency within the
bands allocated to the amateur, citizens band, or general mobile radio
services; or

(IV) by any marine or aeronautical communications system;

(iii) to engage in any conduct which--

(I) is prohibited by section 633 of the Communications Act of 1934;
or

(II) is excepted from the application of section 705(a) of the
Communications Act of 1934 by section 705(b) of that Act;

(iv) to intercept any wire or electronic communication the
transmission of which is causing harmful interference to any lawfully
operating station or consumer electronic equipment, to the extent necessary
to identify the source of such interference; or

(v) for other users of the same frequency to intercept any radio
communication made through a system that utilizes frequencies monitored by
individuals engaged in the provision or the use of such system, if such
communication is not scrambled or encrypted.

"honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:pvudnQCgqZxFtMXYnZ2dnUVZ_tidnZ2d@centurytel.net...
>

> "labtech1" <labtech_one@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:cfa1e$4558348b$471dd939$13689@ALLTEL.NET...
> > FBI investigates illegal reception of radio transmissions, this includes
> > cell phones etc
> >
> > However the FCC DOES 'regulate' receivers..... look on ANY radio and
> see
> > the
> > "FCC type approved' sticker on it. ANY radio must be 'approved' by the
> FCC
> > before it can be sold in the USA
> >
> To insure that the oscillator in the radio doesn't transmit those
> oscillations beyond a certain distance from the receiver. The FCC will
> never tell anyone what they can or can't listen to. The airwaves are
"FREE"
> and anyone can listen to anything that they want. The problem only comes
> when you repeat what you hear on police frequencies. Plus unscramblers
are
> not receivers, only an audio accessory to a receiver. The Feds only
> investigate people SELLING modified receivers for cell phones, not the
> buyers.
>
> H.J.
>
>

5976. Re: 400mhz Public Safety

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 09:10:09 UTC
From: labtech1 <labtech_one@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b16d6$45583660$471dd939$31206@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 4606

(4)(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this subsection or in
subsection (5), whoever violates subsection (1) of this section shall be
fined under this title or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.

(b) If the offense is a first offense under paragraph (a) of this
subsection and is not for a tortious or illegal purpose or for purposes of
direct or indirect commercial advantage or private commercial gain, and the
wire or electronic communication with respect to which the offense under
paragraph (a) is a radio communication that is not scrambled, encrypted or
transmitted using modulation techniques the essential parameters of which
have been withheld from the public with the intention of preserving the
privacy of such communication, then--

(i) if the communication is not the radio portion of a cellular
telephone communication, a cordless telephone communication that is
transmitted between the cordless telephone handset and the base unit, a
public land mobile radio service communication or a paging service
communication, and the conduct is not that described in subsection (5), the
offender shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one
year, or both;

Hmmm sounds like the FCC (federal law) IS telling you what you can and
can not listen
to.

"honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:pvudnQCgqZxFtMXYnZ2dnUVZ_tidnZ2d@centurytel.net...
>
> "labtech1" <labtech_one@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:cfa1e$4558348b$471dd939$13689@ALLTEL.NET...
> > FBI investigates illegal reception of radio transmissions, this includes
> > cell phones etc
> >
> > However the FCC DOES 'regulate' receivers..... look on ANY radio and
> see
> > the
> > "FCC type approved' sticker on it. ANY radio must be 'approved' by the
> FCC
> > before it can be sold in the USA
> >
> To insure that the oscillator in the radio doesn't transmit those
> oscillations beyond a certain distance from the receiver. The FCC will
> never tell anyone what they can or can't listen to. The airwaves are
"FREE"
> and anyone can listen to anything that they want. The problem only comes

> when you repeat what you hear on police frequencies. Plus unscramblers
are
> not receivers, only an audio accessory to a receiver. The Feds only
> investigate people SELLING modified receivers for cell phones, not the
> buyers.
>
> H.J.
>
>

5977. Re: 400mhz Public Safety

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 15:31:56 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <bP2dnUoj-qi4A8XYnZ2dnUVZ_rSdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 4607

"labtech1" <labtech_one@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:57057$455833a3$471dd939$29782@ALLTEL.NET...
> The air waves are not "FREE" anymore if that were true scanners would
still
> be sold with
> cell coveraqge
>
> And its the communications act of 1996
>
> (g) It shall not be unlawful under this chapter or chapter 121 of this
title
> for any person--
>

Do you know what "not be unlawful" means? It means it is legal to:

(i) "It is legal to" to intercept or access an electronic
communication made through an
electronic communication system that is configured so that such electronic
communication is readily accessible to the general public;

(ii) " It is legal to" intercept any radio communication which is
transmitted--

****

I rest my case!

Not you need to rest.

H.J.

5978. Re: 400mhz Public Safety

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 15:41:19 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <3KydnZS7Eey8PMXYnZ2dnUVZ_sadnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 4608

"labtech1" <labtech_one@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> (b) If the offense is a first offense under paragraph (a) of this
> subsection and is not for a tortious or illegal purpose or for purposes of
> direct or indirect commercial advantage or private commercial gain, and
the
> wire or electronic communication with respect to which the offense under
> paragraph (a) is a radio communication that is not scrambled, encrypted or
> transmitted using modulation techniques the essential parameters of which
> have been withheld from the public with the intention of preserving the
> privacy of such communication, then--
>

"direct or indirect commercial advantage or private commercial gain"
Does not apply to any average "Joe" listening to a radio and not scheming to
make a buck from what he hears!

>
> Hmmm sounds like the FCC (federal law) IS telling you what you can
and
> can not listen to.
>
Hmmm sounds like you need a little legal refresher course. The Germans did
not win WWII, by the way. The airwaves are still free as you posted in the
previous post. Get a reality check and take a nap before your "feeding
frenzy" causes you to stroke-out!

H.J.

5979. Re: 400mhz Public Safety

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 15:44:30 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <3KydnZe7Eey-PMXYnZ2dnUVZ_sadnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 4609

"labtech1" <labtech_one@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> I think .
>
I doubt that!

H.J.

5980. Re: Radio Shack Black Friday Ad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 16:11:27 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <fvydnQN98-UdOsXYnZ2dnUVZ_tOdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 4613
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"lsmyer" <lsmyer@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:F116h.18$%w7.14@newsfe07.lga...
> I guess I didn't make myself clear. Radio Shack one of my favorite
> stores. It's a great place to buy scanners and shortwave radios. They're
> not about to quit selling scanners and radios.
>
> The whole point of my original post is that Black Friday sales can be
> excellent opportunities to get a great item (computer, game machine,
> television, etc) at a fraction of its original price. All the top
> retailers do Black Friday promotions: Wal-Mart, Sears, Best Buy, Circuit
> City, Target, Kmart, Radio Shack, etc. And since Radio Shack sells
> scanners and shortwave radios, sometimes Black Friday lets me can get a
> great scanner or a great radio at a great price.
>
> Just not this year.

You make yourself clear to normal people!

H.J.

5981. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 16:24:58 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <DPudnQBFUZYpN8XYnZ2dnUVZ_sednZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 4618
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:qv9hl2d5nghjum4t4c59me70irubf5022g@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 13 Nov 2006 12:04:24 -0500, "an old freind" <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs>
> wrote:
>
> >
> amsuing you almost seem to be a bot either that or you do hang around
> 24/7 just on the off chance i will so you can try and forge somemore
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
Please, it's obvious that he has NO life outside of Usenet.
H.J.

5982. Re: CB Feud Leads To Shootout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 16:46:21 UTC
From: ScanDaBands <tommyboys_news@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <f48hl2han4f5oo5r24mh7rgbs938cgheo6@4ax.com>
Source record: 4610
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.gay,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Now that tops it all off.....here we have something about a cb shootout and , here comes an idiot replying with his sexual preference which obviously is QUEER.....

YOU ARE A MORON . To bad the shooter didn't meet YOU at Walmart !!! :O)

On 12 Nov 2006 16:14:10 -0800, "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com> wrote:

>J Coffey wrote:
>> APOPKA, Fla. -- Two men decided to end a long-running feud with an old
>> fashioned shootout, according to an Associated Press report.
>>
>> The men met up at in an Apopka Wal-Mart parking lot Saturday for a
>> fight. One was grazed with a bullet, the other sprayed with Mace.
>>
>> Both men told police they knew each other only from arguing for six
>> years over the CB radio airwaves.
>>
>>
>> The men were arrested and taken to the Orange County Jail. Forty-eight
>> year-old Frank Lukasik, of Longwood, was charged with aggravated
>> battery after police said he shot 47-year-old Paul Goldman.
>>
>> Goldman was arrested on a charge of battery causing bodily harm after
>> authorities said he sprayed the chemical at Lukasik.
>>
>> http://www.local6.com/news/10299812/detail.html#
>
>tehy shud haev suxked dick

5983. i punce in agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 17:04:24 UTC
From: an old freind <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs>
Message-ID: <455898b8$0$21200$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 4611
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
so bringit on you loosers

show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW rendered
phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and SC a
few just decided to not to be as hateful

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty impoving
my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

pee ess dont even tink aoubt forgering my fake emale a dress
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5984. Re: CB Feud Leads To Shootout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 17:11:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <io9hl21n0j2mg6n2epepvji9gbtnleijtv@4ax.com>
Source record: 4612
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 12 Nov 2006 20:29:14 -0800, "policy-ham" <hamop_62@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>It was a ham vs CB shootout. QRZ.com says that Frank Lukasik is KN6FT.
>Go look yourself.
>

hardly shocking we had high noon types demending satisfaction on Here
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5985. Re: CB Feud Leads To Shootout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 17:12:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <4q9hl2dk7or1gln0o2euqeli7s7ourc4t4@4ax.com>
Source record: 4614
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 12 Nov 2006 21:30:25 -0800, PhattyMo <PhattyMo@nospam.gmail>
wrote:

>policy-ham wrote:
>> It was a ham vs CB shootout. QRZ.com says that Frank Lukasik is KN6FT.
>> Go look yourself.
>>
>> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>> On 12 Nov 2006 16:14:10 -0800, "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>> tehy shud haev suxked dick
>>> more fraud I see
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>
>>> --
>>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>
>What,a HAM shooting somebody?
>Why am I NOT surprised.
>(Hint: take a look around the NG's.)

indeed it would happen more often but the guys arround that talk about
shotting me and other lack the coruage thankfully
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5986. Re: CB Feud Leads To Shootout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 17:12:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <rr9hl2h6k5f95afcdcsusp5ap7ikii9e1h@4ax.com>
Source record: 4615
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.gay,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 13 Nov 2006 16:46:21 GMT, ScanDaBands
<tommyboys_news@verizon.net> wrote:

>Now that tops it all off.....here we have something about a cb
shootout and , here comes an idiot replying with his sexual preference which obviously is
QUEER.....

and signs somebody elses name to post as well
>
>YOU ARE A MORON . To bad the shooter didn't meet YOU at Walmart !!! :O)
>
>On 12 Nov 2006 16:14:10 -0800, "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>J Coffey wrote:
>>> APOPKA, Fla. -- Two men decided to end a long-running feud with an old
>>> fashioned shootout, according to an Associated Press report.
>>>
>>> The men met up at in an Apopka Wal-Mart parking lot Saturday for a
>>> fight. One was grazed with a bullet, the other sprayed with Mace.
>>>
>>> Both men told police they knew each other only from arguing for six
>>> years over the CB radio airwaves.
>>>
>>>
>>> The men were arrested and taken to the Orange County Jail. Forty-eight
>>> year-old Frank Lukasik, of Longwood, was charged with aggravated
>>> battery after police said he shot 47-year-old Paul Goldman.
>>>
>>> Goldman was arrested on a charge of battery causing bodily harm after
>>> authorities said he sprayed the chemical at Lukasik.
>>>
>>> http://www.local6.com/news/10299812/detail.html#
>>
>>tehy shud haev suxked dick
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5987. Re: slow code threatens assult on NoCoder can this be a "good Ham"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 17:13:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <4g9hl292u3jqqc79j2lv6l464d055a6kr1@4ax.com>
Source record: 4616
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 12 Nov 2006 21:26:11 -0800, PhattyMo <PhattyMo@nospam.gmail>
wrote:

>honestjohn wrote:
>> "Not Steve" <anon@anon> wrote in message news:f3kma.k5d.19.1@news.alt.net...
>>> "PhattyMo" <PhattyMo@nospam.gmail> wrote in message
>>> news:4556fc76$0$510$815e3792@news.qwest.net...
>>> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>>> On Sun, 12 Nov 2006 01:18:43 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> I want to make a no-code sit on the floor.
>>>> you try it at any club and I bet you will end in jail sc
>>>>> SC
>>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>>
>>>
>>> Let him do it,Jail is the best place for him.
>>> **********
>>>
>>> The bottom line remains. No-Coders should salute their superiors and
>> should
>>> give up their chairs as a matter of courtesy.
>>> Doing so is a simple show of respect.
>>>
>>> No-Coders should be made to stand at the back of the room until they can
>>> pass no less than 5wpm. Then, and only then, will they be allowed to come
>> to
>>> the front to whine.
>>>
>> Would they have to sit in the back of the bus and us their own water
>> fountains, also?
>>
>>
>
>Apparently so.

as well other from of labour on their behalf it seems
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5988. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 17:15:42 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <qv9hl2d5nghjum4t4c59me70irubf5022g@4ax.com>
Source record: 4617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On Mon, 13 Nov 2006 12:04:24 -0500, "an old freind" <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs>
wrote:

>
amsuing you almost seem to be a bot either that or you do hang around
24/7 just on the off chance i will so you can try and forge somemore
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5989. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 17:32:39 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <7vahl258l3hbuj8vr8aq5a3qbmq2mfup52@4ax.com>
Source record: 4619
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On Mon, 13 Nov 2006 10:24:58 -0600, "honestjohn"
<honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>news:qv9hl2d5nghjum4t4c59me70irubf5022g@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 13 Nov 2006 12:04:24 -0500, "an old freind" <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> amsuing you almost seem to be a bot either that or you do hang around
>> 24/7 just on the off chance i will so you can try and forge somemore
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>Please, it's obvious that he has NO life outside of Usenet.
>H.J.
indeed it is obvious but people need to state the obvious sometimes

besides it did liven up denouceing that lie

I am in rather that situaion of poor Dr McCoy you can only "Jim he's
dead" so many ways esp in text
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5990. CONGRATS!! to k4yz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 17:59:00 UTC
From: bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1163440740.052428.146990@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4620
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I was in my neighborhood drug store and what did I see? The November issue of pop com!

Steve, your letter was well written and spot on.Congratulations on being published! Have you ever considered submitting regular articles to either pop com or monitoring times? You have great writing skills.

5991. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 18:09:52 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <m6dhl25pa97040bn1buq8epqh7s9nsk7rb@4ax.com>
Source record: 4621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 13 Nov 2006 12:59:31 -0500, "an old freind" <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs>
wrote:

5992. Re: Hey Sidebanders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 18:11:08 UTC
From: bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1163441468.520912.79170@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4622
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

A.E. 352 wrote:
> http://americaneaglessbcbersclub.ericextreme.com/Home.html

hey mork, LOOK!
another place for you to be banned from!
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

5993. crapathon conitues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 18:12:00 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <dadhl25jr68q204rf72tjk6fmdej2r54if@4ax.com>
Source record: 4623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 13 Nov 2006 09:59:00 -0800, bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:

>I was in my neighborhood drug store and what did I see? The November
>issue of pop com!
>
>Steve,
outing youself as robeson
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5994. Need help for AOR AR16B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 18:14:01 UTC
From: Sergey Martynov <ra3tmo@rol.ru>
Message-ID: <ejad8i$5t7$1@news.rol.ru>
Source record: 4626

Dear Sirs ,

We are members of a Children's Radio Club and we are pleased to say that we use some of your devices.

I would like to ask you to help me in the repairs of radioscanner AR16B (Ser.#050634).

We have the following problems.

1.. In all modulation modes when buttons "Start", "Func", "Moni" are pressed all the readings in the display disappear.
2.. NFM mode does not work. S-meter shows the presence of the signal but there is no sound in the loud speaker even when the "Moni" button is pressed.
In WFM and AM modes everything works OK besides the defect indicated in item 1.

What element's might not work properly?

Could you possibly send me the schematic diagram of AR16B?

How made reset processor of AR16B ?

Thank you in advance.

Respectfully yours,

Sergey V. Martynov.

Sarov , Russia.

5995. Re: Hey Sidebanders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 18:20:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <9rdhl2d2cod25eb8e4m1nv79c3m86dor18@4ax.com>
Source record: 4624
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 13 Nov 2006 10:11:08 -0800, bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:

>
his steve up your normal crossposting crap I see
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

5996. crapthon contiues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 18:21:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <9sdhl29serdv08ll2ue1i6mlhsqseonbrs@4ax.com>
Source record: 4625
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 13 Nov 2006 13:13:58 -0500, "an old freind" <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs>
wrote:

why are you so into AUK forger

5997. Re: crapathon conitues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 19:47:18 UTC
From: bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1163447238.892884.255870@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4627
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 13 Nov 2006 09:59:00 -0800, bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >I was in my neighborhood drug store and what did I see? The November
> >issue of pop com!
> >
> >Steve,
> outing youself as robeson
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

I'm not "robeson" you ignorant son of a bitch. Now get back to sucking
gav's black cock, you silly fat fruit!

5998. Re: Radio Shack Black Friday Ad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 19:54:06 UTC
From: Steve Stone <zzspfleck@citlink.netzz>
Message-ID: <y346h.5318$Ka1.2502@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 4628
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> Maybe so, but they did fire their CEO fairly recently. He was the one
> who had a bogus degree in Theology, as if that was what was needed to
> run a business like theirs.
>

Great if you have to pray to god to make the payroll.

5999. Re: crapathon conitues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 20:12:40 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <gdkhl25f2jib623q5usiabngjj29b047ve@4ax.com>
Source record: 4629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 13 Nov 2006 11:47:18 -0800, bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 13 Nov 2006 09:59:00 -0800, bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> >I was in my neighborhood drug store and what did I see? The November
>> >issue of pop com!
>> >
>> >Steve,
>> outing youself as robeson
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
>I'm not "robeson" you ignorant son of a bitch. Now get back to sucking
>gav's black cock, you silly fat fruit!

not possible as you would know robeson if you had not been lying about
having me under survalance

you just can't accept there being bisexauls in the world robeson grow
up and get help
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6000. Re: 400mhz Public Safety

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 21:24:03 UTC
From: labtech1 <labtech_one@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <973f8$4558e25e$471dd939$26131@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 4630

your a riot

READ the wording and use your head and common sense when reading it

IT SAYS :
(v) for other users of the same frequency to intercept any radio
communication made through a system that utilizes frequencies monitored by
individuals engaged in the provision or the use of such system, if such
communication is not scrambled or encrypted.

Yep its LEGAL ..... IF communications ARE NOT ENCRYPTED or SCRAMBLED

"honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:bP2dnUoj-qi4A8XYnZ2dnUVZ_rSdnZ2d@centurytel.net...
>
> "labtech1" <labtech_one@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:57057$455833a3$471dd939$29782@ALLTEL.NET...
> > The air waves are not "FREE" anymore if that were true scanners would
> still
> > be sold with
> > cell coveraqge
> >
> > And its the communications act of 1996
> >
> > (g) It shall not be unlawful under this chapter or chapter 121 of this
> title
> > for any person--
> >
>
>
> Do you know what "not be unlawful" means? It means it is legal to:
>
>
> (i) "It is legal to" to intercept or access an electronic
> communication made through an
> electronic communication system that is configured so that such
electronic
> communication is readily accessible to the general public;
>
> (ii) " It is legal to" intercept any radio communication which is
> transmitted--
>
>
> **********
>

> I rest my case!
>
> Not you need to rest.
>
> H.J.
>
>

6001. Re: 400mhz Public Safety

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 21:32:37 UTC
From: labtech1 <labtech_one@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cff3a$4558e460$471dd939$26716@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 4631

John I think your HOPELESS you read into things WHAT YOU WANT THEM TO
BE .... not what they are.

If the airwaves were 'free' there would NOT be any laws governing their
RECEPTION
but there is (cell phones, cordless phones, pagers etc) You need to
read the NEW communications laws since 1934 (it has been added to and
things have changed since
then ..... but since your still concerned about WWII (which was 60+ years
ago)) New things seem to elude you.

"honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:3KydnZS7Eey8PMXYnZ2dnUVZ_sadnZ2d@centurytel.net...
>
> "labtech1" <labtech_one@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> > (b) If the offense is a first offense under paragraph (a) of this
> > subsection and is not for a tortious or illegal purpose or for purposes
of
> > direct or indirect commercial advantage or private commercial gain, and
> the
> > wire or electronic communication with respect to which the offense under
> > paragraph (a) is a radio communication that is not scrambled, encrypted
or
> > transmitted using modulation techniques the essential parameters of
which
> > have been withheld from the public with the intention of preserving the
> > privacy of such communication, then--
> >
>
> "direct or indirect commercial advantage or private commercial gain"
> Does not apply to any average "Joe" listening to a radio and not scheming
to
> make a buck from what he hears!
>
>
>
> >
> > Hmmm sounds like the FCC (federal law) IS telling you what you can
> and
> > can not listen to.
> >
> Hmmm sounds like you need a little legal refresher course. The Germans
did
> not win WWII, by the way. The airwaves are still free as you posted in

the
> previous post. Get a reality check and take a nap before your "feeding
> frenzy" causes you to stroke-out!
>
> H.J.
>
>

6002. Re: American Red Cross Tells ARRL It Wont Stop Credit Checks On Ham and CB Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 21:57:40 UTC
From: Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1163455060.607149.219610@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4632
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.revisionism,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Mon, 13 Nov 2006 19:49:03 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
> >policy-ham wrote:
> >> NEWINGTON, CT, Nov 10, 2006 -- The American Red Cross (ARC) has
> >> attempted to clarify its policy to require background checks of its
> >> employees and volunteers, at least as far as the policy applies to
> >> possible credit checks.
> >>
> ><snip>
> >>
> >> The ARC's new policy "is a positive action," Howe told ARRL, and aimed
> >> at raising public confidence and trust in the organization's volunteers
> >> and workers. "The Red Cross certainly values its employees and
> >> volunteers, and our background check process is not intended to be a
> >> burden to those who play a vital role in our relief efforts," she said.
> >> "We believe that in order to maintain the trust of the American people
> >> and provide them with the best quality service, all Red Cross employees
> >> and volunteers must undergo background checks according to standards
> >> being implemented across the entire organization."
> >>
> >The American Red Cross has no business whatever doing a credit check on
> >me or any other radio amateur who volunteers to assist it.
>
> indeed the red Croos may be saying that no longer wish the support of
> the ARS it's chapters have done so in many areas already
> >
> >This is another attempt by an organization to assume power to which it
> >has no right.
>
> yes indeed the ARC is jioning the ARRL and others gruops that have
> been trying to seize power for themselves
> >
> >If an emergency occurs where the services of volunteer radio amateurs
> >are needed, the ARC may find itself in a tight spot with regard to
> >volunteer communicators.
>
> might well most likely WILL find themselves
> >
> >I hope that the ARRL decides to do a background and credit check on any
> >organization (and its officers) who desire ARES assistance.

> >
> >Count me out on any participation in ARES activity which involves the
> >American Red Cross.
> >
> >Dave K8MN
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

yep........'ole davey boy and my little marqueer would hate to be
"looked into"!

6003. Re: 400mhz Public Safety

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 22:22:28 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <CLudnRb3AcK7YsXYnZ2dnUVZ_smdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 4636

"labtech1" <labtech_one@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:cff3a$4558e460$471dd939$26716@ALLTEL.NET...
> John I think your HOPELESS you read into things WHAT YOU WANT THEM TO
> BE .... not what they are.
>
> If the airwaves were 'free' there would NOT be any laws governing their
> RECEPTION
> but there is (cell phones, cordless phones, pagers etc) You need to
> read the NEW communications laws since 1934 (it has been added to and
> things have changed since
> then ..... but since your still concerned about WWII (which was 60+
years
> ago)) New things seem to elude you.
>
>
Have you ever heard of anyone arrested or convicted of unscrambling a
scrambled message?

Are the FBI agents going to "bug" every home in America with listening
devices to see who unscrambled a message?

Is your name really Chicken Little yelling the sky is falling?

Get a grip, any laws are aimed at criminals and those who make and sell
communications equipment and not the average individual in his own house
listening to the scanner.

Take your lithium.

HJ

6004. Re: What sick shit is marqueer into?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 22:22:43 UTC
From: Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1163456563.110703.264540@h54g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

UP-IN-SMOKE wrote:
> "Marcus Cox" <Marcus_Cox2003@yahoo.com> is a wanker who came into my dio
> group and ran away.

MARQUEER!!
get off the dam computer and get back to sucking my cock!!

6005. Re: i punce in agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 22:25:13 UTC
From: Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1163456713.712304.272330@h54g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4634
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

MARQUEER!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
get off the dam computer and get back to sucking my
cock!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

an old freind wrote:
> ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
> so bringit on you loosers
>
> show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW rendered
> phonicticaly)
> happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and SC a
> few just decided to not to be as hateful
>
> with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty impoving
> my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?
>
> pee ess dont even tink aoubt forgering my fake emale a dress
> http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6006. Re: 400mhz Public Safety

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 22:25:29 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <zKSdnSOSq-ZIYsXYnZ2dnUVZ_qudnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 4639

"labtech1" <labtech_one@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:973f8$4558e25e$471dd939$26131@ALLTEL.NET...
> your a riot
>
> READ the wording and use your head and common sense when reading it
>
> IT SAYS :
> (v) for other users of the same frequency to intercept any radio
> communication made through a system that utilizes frequencies monitored by
> individuals engaged in the provision or the use of such system, if such
> communication is not scrambled or encrypted.
>
> Yep its LEGAL ..... IF communications ARE NOT ENCRYPTED or SCRAMBLED
>
Have you ever heard of anyone arrested or convicted of unscrambling a
scrambled message?

Are the FBI agents going to "bug" every home in America with listening
devices to see who unscrambled a message?

Is your name really Chicken Little yelling the sky is falling?

Get a grip, any laws are aimed at criminals and those who make and sell
communications equipment and not the average individual in his own house
listening to the scanner.

Take your lithium.

HJ

6007. id theft remains a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 23:22:36 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <lgvhl25gl694lmkk6mt31opbpvjfpu9lk9@4ax.com>
Source record: 4635
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On 13 Nov 2006 14:25:13 -0800, Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com wrote:

>
no matter whoose is being stolen
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6008. Re: American Red Cross Tells ARRL It Wont Stop Credit Checks On Ham and CB Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 23:25:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <4nvhl25sfe8ogp70g1jen3qs10htucggrl@4ax.com>
Source record: 4637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.revisionism,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On 13 Nov 2006 13:57:40 -0800, Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com wrote:

>

you are satill a criminal steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6009. id theft remains a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 23:27:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <tpvhl2t1vg7rbli14pfvo2gkdbvr68t9ah@4ax.com>
Source record: 4638
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 13 Nov 2006 14:22:43 -0800, Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>
and you remains a criminal steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6010. Re: 400mhz Public Safety

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 23:30:42 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <ELmdnSkXD9ikksTYnZ2dnUVZ_vSdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 4645

"labtech1" <labtech_one@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:9c8d5$4559019b$471dd939$17735@ALLTEL.NET...
> ok you have convinced me you're a RETARD
>
> The conversation wasn't 'its only a crime if your caught'. It was about
> WHAT IS THE LAW and I don't see any Attorny prefix in front of your name.
> You jump from one subject to another to suit your arguement and convice
> people you 'know what your talking about'
> Unfortunatly YOU DON"T .
>
OK, you have convinced me you're a RETARD.

I don't see any Attorny prefix in front of your name, wtf is an "attorny
prefix". How old are you, 12?

H.J.

6011. Re: 400mhz Public Safety

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 23:31:57 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <kpSdnQmTCuwWksTYnZ2dnUVZ_rSdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 4646

"labtech1" <labtech_one@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> Again....... you're a RETARD
>
> BTW do you also go by the nick 'Slowcode' ?????
>
No I don't, 12 year-old retard.

H.J.

6012. Re: 400mhz Public Safety

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 23:38:35 UTC
From: labtech1 <labtech_one@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9c8d5$4559019b$471dd939$17735@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 4640

ok you have convinced me you're a RETARD

The conversation wasn't 'its only a crime if your caught'. It was about
WHAT IS THE LAW and I don't see any Attorny prefix in front of your name.
You jump from one subject to another to suit your arguement and convice
people you 'know what your talking about'
Unfortunatly YOU DON"T .

"honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:zKSdnSOSq-ZIYsXYnZ2dnUVZ_qudnZ2d@centurytel.net...
>
> "labtech1" <labtech_one@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:973f8$4558e25e$471dd939$26131@ALLTEL.NET...
> > your a riot
> >
> > READ the wording and use your head and common sense when reading it
> >
> > IT SAYS :
> > (v) for other users of the same frequency to intercept any radio
> > communication made through a system that utilizes frequencies monitored
by
> > individuals engaged in the provision or the use of such system, if such
> > communication is not scrambled or encrypted.
> >
> > Yep its LEGAL ..... IF communications ARE NOT ENCRYPTED or SCRAMBLED
> >
> Have you ever heard of anyone arrested or convicted of unscrambling a
> scrambled message?
>
> Are the FBI agents going to "bug" every home in America with listening
> devices to see who unscrambled a message?
>
> Is your name really Chicken Little yelling the sky is falling?
>
> Get a grip, any laws are aimed at criminals and those who make and sell
> communications equipment and not the average individual in his own house
> listening to the scanner.
>
> Take your lithium.
>
> HJ
>
>
>

6013. Re: 400mhz Public Safety

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 23:40:38 UTC
From: labtech1 <labtech_one@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7e64f$45590216$471dd939$17903@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 4641

Again..... you're a RETARD

BTW do you also go by the nick 'Slowcode' ?????

"honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:CLudnRb3AcK7YsXYnZ2dnUVZ_smdnZ2d@centurytel.net...
>
> "labtech1" <labtech_one@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:cff3a$4558e460$471dd939$26716@ALLTEL.NET...
> > John I think your HOPELESS you read into things WHAT YOU WANT THEM
TO
> > BE .... not what they are.
> >
> > If the airwaves were 'free' there would NOT be any laws governing their
> > RECEPTION
> > but there is (cell phones, cordless phones, pagers etc) You need
to
> > read the NEW communications laws since 1934 (it has been added to and
> > things have changed since
> > then ..... but since your still concerned about WWII (which was 60+
> years
> > ago)) New things seem to elude you.
> >
> >
> Have you ever heard of anyone arrested or convicted of unscrambling a
> scrambled message?
>
> Are the FBI agents going to "bug" every home in America with listening
> devices to see who unscrambled a message?
>
> Is your name really Chicken Little yelling the sky is falling?
>
> Get a grip, any laws are aimed at criminals and those who make and sell
> communications equipment and not the average individual in his own house
> listening to the scanner.
>
> Take your lithium.
>
> HJ
>
>

6014. Re: I'm taking a cattle prod to the next club meeting. I hope the place is packed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-13 23:44:29 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <xr76h.4701$mR4.217@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 4642
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:TDu5h.5287$0r.2695@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>I want to make a no-code sit on the floor.
>
> SC

Don't be surprised when you wear the cattle prod home embedded in your
rectum.

6015. Re: I'm taking a cattle prod to the next club meeting. I hope the place is packed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 00:26:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <d73il2lkpmd7f1394uam2435927splg1hs@4ax.com>
Source record: 4643
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 13 Nov 2006 23:44:29 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>news:TDu5h.5287$0r.2695@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>I want to make a no-code sit on the floor.
>>
>> SC
>
>Don't be surprised when you wear the cattle prod home embedded in your
>rectum.

in pint of fact he should be suprised if that happens he tries that
sort of thing he is most likely not to go home at all if he is lucky
the copps will take him away
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6016. Re: Radio Shack Black Friday Ad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 00:31:57 UTC
From: David N <djnordmann@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1163464317.632298.299100@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4644
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Man are you wrong about the prices. The Boston Accoustics HD radio they
are selling for $399.00 I can get one at an electronics store on line
for $224 with a $100 plus cut and a $75
rebate, making the final price $224. Man what a rip-off. Their prices
come no where near to
prices you can get at the large electronic discount houses. But you
have to search for these bargains, and they're are worth. I agree with
the guy who said their salesman know nothing about electronics. They
give you a strange look when you ask them simple basic questions.
So long Radio Shack!
lsmyer wrote:
> I guess I didn't make myself clear. Radio Shack one of my favorite
> stores. It's a great place to buy scanners and shortwave radios. They're
> not about to quit selling scanners and radios.
>
> The whole point of my original post is that Black Friday sales can be
> excellent opportunities to get a great item (computer, game machine,
> television, etc) at a fraction of its original price. All the top
> retailers do Black Friday promotions: Wal-Mart, Sears, Best Buy, Circuit
> City, Target, Kmart, Radio Shack, etc. And since Radio Shack sells
> scanners and shortwave radios, sometimes Black Friday lets me can get a
> great scanner or a great radio at a great price.
>
> Just not this year.

6017. Re: Common courtesy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 00:54:50 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ut86h.6395$L6.5374@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4649
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

X-rated Vermonter <k1xvnospam@arrl.net> wrote in
news:2jucl2ph03e2elmaag3huj4t2nlusl7t5p@4ax.com:

> On Wed, 08 Nov 2006 00:34:05 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>
>>
>>Code is just one part of it. Here's my four step plan that will keep
>>ham radio from becoming like CB:
>>
>>
>>Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
>> pass all elements required for their license class.
>>
>>Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>>
>>Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for
>>Extra.
>>
>>Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
> +++++++++
> Your plan will eliminate QRM. There will be nobody left with a
> license.

I know you're right. Requiring good operators will kill the service.

Hams just want to be appliance operators these days and they don't want
license exams that will interfere with them getting to those appliances
even though it means being less skilled. I don't see anyone modernizing
like everyone says is happening. They just get their licenses and grab a
microphone. What percentage of hams have a computer connected to a
radio? Probably less than 30%. Hams don't want to modernize. Guess we
just have live with inferior operators on the bands from here on out.
Then again, maybe hams shouldn't be required to be knowledgable or have
skills. Requiring skills and knowledge is too old skool. Everything must
be outcome based these days, even licensing. It ain't like we have to
help out in emergencies or anything. It's Quantity, not quality. We need
more hams even if they aren't skilled.

SC

6018. Re: CONGRATS!! to k4yz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 00:54:51 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <vt86h.6396$L6.618@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4648
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote in
news:1163440740.052428.146990@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

> I was in my neighborhood drug store and what did I see? The November
> issue of pop com!
>
> Steve, your letter was well written and spot on.Congratulations on
> being published! Have you ever considered submitting regular articles
> to either pop com or monitoring times? You have great writing skills.

What was Steve writing about?

SC

6019. Re: Could You Folks Share ?? Hams / CBer's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 00:54:52 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <wt86h.6397$L6.4198@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4647
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

PhattyMo <PhattyMo@nospam.gmail> wrote in
news:4556fccf$0$510$815e3792@news.qwest.net:

> ScanDaBands wrote:
>> Share the DRUGS you're on , from the looks of some of these messages ,
>> they must be very good ....
>
>
> What,You've noticed it too?
>
> *phew!*
> For a while I thought *I* was the crazy one..

You two are crazy, you don't need any drugs, now go find some police,
firemen or baby monitors to listen to.

SC

6020. Re: I'm taking a cattle prod to the next club meeting. I hope the place is packed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 00:55:05 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Jt86h.6405$L6.2017@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4650
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
news:xr76h.4701$mR4.217@tornado.texas.rr.com:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:TDu5h.5287$0r.2695@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>I want to make a no-code sit on the floor.
>>
>> SC
>
> Don't be surprised when you wear the cattle prod home embedded in your
> rectum.

Shut-up.

You tiny no-code piss-ants talk tough when there ain't a cattle prod
around, now go learn your CW.

SC

6021. Re: slow code threatens assult on NoCoder can this be a "good Ham"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 00:55:41 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <hu86h.6417$L6.4829@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4651
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Not Steve" <anon@anon> wrote in news:f3kma.k5d.19.1@news.alt.net:

>
> "PhattyMo" <PhattyMo@nospam.gmail> wrote in message
> news:4556fc76$0$510$815e3792@news.qwest.net...
> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On Sun, 12 Nov 2006 01:18:43 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>> I want to make a no-code sit on the floor.
>>
>> you try it at any club and I bet you will end in jail sc
>>> SC
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>
>
> Let him do it,Jail is the best place for him.
> **********
>
> The bottom line remains. No-Coders should salute their superiors and
> should give up their chairs as a matter of courtesy.
> Doing so is a simple show of respect.
>
> No-Coders should be made to stand at the back of the room until they can
> pass no less than 5wpm. Then, and only then, will they be allowed to
> come to the front to whine.
>

ROFL

SC

6022. Re: slow crapathon conitues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 00:55:50 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <qu86h.6418$L6.6322@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4652
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in
news:QPqdnfoew-1xN8rYnZ2dnUVZ_qOdnZ2d@centurytel.net:

>
> "Allan9" <exatc@neo1.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:45579b74$0$13699$4c368faf@roadrunner.com...
>> Had to learn CW in 1956. Last time I used it was 1956.
>> Al
>>
> I had to learn CW in 1972. Last time I used it was 1972.
>
> H.J.

When was the last time you two used you're brains, 56 & 72?

SC

6023. ... -.-. -. . . -.. ... .-. ..-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 01:00:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <t95il2197t071i67eat4i04qvrvdv6g05e@4ax.com>
Source record: 4653
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 14 Nov 2006 00:54:50 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>X-rated Vermonter <k1xvnospam@arrl.net> wrote in
>news:2jucl2ph03e2elmaag3huj4t2nlusl7t5p@4ax.com:
>
>> On Wed, 08 Nov 2006 00:34:05 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>
>>>Code is just one part of it. Here's my four step plan that will keep
>>>ham radio from becoming like CB:
>>>
>>>
>>>Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
>>> pass all elements required for their license class.
>>>
>>>Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>>>
>>>Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for
>>>Extra.
>>>
>>>Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>> +++++++++
>> Your plan will eliminate QRM. There will be nobody left with a
>> license.
>
>
>
>
>I know you're right. Requiring good operators will kill the service.

... -.-. -. . . -.. ... .-. ..-
>
>Hams just want to be appliance operators these days and they don't want
>license exams that will interfere with them getting to those appliances
>even though it means being less skilled. I don't see anyone modernizing
>like everyone says is happening. They just get their licenses and grab a
>microphone. What percentage of hams have a computer connected to a
>radio? Probably less than 30%. Hams don't want to modernize. Guess we
>just have live with inferior operators on the bands from here on out.
>Then again, maybe hams shouldn't be required to be knowledgable or have
>skills. Requiring skills and knowledge is too old skool. Everything must
>be outcome based these days, even licensing. It ain't like we have to

>help out in emergencies or anything. It's Quantity, not quality. We need
>more hams even if they aren't skilled.
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6024. ... -.-. -. . . -.. ... .-. ..-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 01:02:32 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <vc5il2tgeon4frb76b624te24ktnh7bhvd@4ax.com>
Source record: 4654
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 14 Nov 2006 00:55:50 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in
>news:QPqdnfoew-1xN8rYnZ2dnUVZ_qOdnZ2d@centurytel.net:
>
>>
>> "Allan9" <exatc@neo1.rr.com> wrote in message
>> news:45579b74$0$13699$4c368faf@roadrunner.com...
>>> Had to learn CW in 1956. Last time I used it was 1956.
>>> Al
>>>
>> I had to learn CW in 1972. Last time I used it was 1972.
>>
>> H.J.
>
>
>When was the last time you two used you're brains, 56 & 72?

... -.-. -. . . -.. ... .-. ..-
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6025. ... -.-. -. . . -.. ... .-. ..-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 01:03:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <df5il213juffc19bkk907ogtbunr4ad2t9@4ax.com>
Source record: 4655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 14 Nov 2006 00:55:05 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>news:xr76h.4701$mR4.217@tornado.texas.rr.com:
>
>>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:TDu5h.5287$0r.2695@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>I want to make a no-code sit on the floor.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> Don't be surprised when you wear the cattle prod home embedded in your
>> rectum.
>
>
>Shut-up.
>
>You tiny no-code piss-ants talk tough when there ain't a cattle prod
>around, now go learn your CW.

... -.-. -. . . -.. ... .-. ..-
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6026. Re: slow code threatens assult on NoCoder can this be a "good Ham"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 01:04:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <rg5il2dmgjm07csff25ffc4f5v0oh1g1li@4ax.com>
Source record: 4656
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 14 Nov 2006 00:55:41 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Not Steve" <anon@anon> wrote in news:f3kma.k5d.19.1@news.alt.net:
>
>>
>> "PhattyMo" <PhattyMo@nospam.gmail> wrote in message
>> news:4556fc76$0$510$815e3792@news.qwest.net...
>> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>> On Sun, 12 Nov 2006 01:18:43 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>>
>>>> I want to make a no-code sit on the floor.
>>>
>>> you try it at any club and I bet you will end in jail sc
>>>> SC
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>
>>
>>
>> Let him do it,Jail is the best place for him.
>> **********
>>
>> The bottom line remains. No-Coders should salute their superiors and
>> should give up their chairs as a matter of courtesy.
>> Doing so is a simple show of respect.
>>
>> No-Coders should be made to stand at the back of the room until they can
>> pass no less than 5wpm. Then, and only then, will they be allowed to
>> come to the front to whine.
>>
>
>
>ROFL

... -.-. -. . . -.. ... .-. ..-
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6027. ... -.-. -. . . -.. ... .-. ..-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 01:07:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ul5il29u4jda3f9n4f2rju5c91au34gl7d@4ax.com>
Source record: 4657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 14 Nov 2006 00:54:51 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote in
>news:1163440740.052428.146990@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
>> I was in my neighborhood drug store and what did I see? The November
>> issue of pop com!
>>
>> Steve, your letter was well written and spot on.Congratulations on
>> being published! Have you ever considered submitting regular articles
>> to either pop com or monitoring times? You have great writing skills.
>
>
>What was Steve writing about?

don't you know the content of your own letter

... -.-. -. . . -.. ... .-. ..-
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6028. ... -.-. -. . . -.. ... .-. ..-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 01:08:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <un5il2dlu6sosm8hna0b65o0j55h2scvo0@4ax.com>
Source record: 4658
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 14 Nov 2006 00:54:52 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>PhattyMo <PhattyMo@nospam.gmail> wrote in
>news:4556fccf$0$510$815e3792@news.qwest.net:
>
>> ScanDaBands wrote:
>>> Share the DRUGS you're on , from the looks of some of these messages ,
>>> they must be very good ....
>>
>>
>> What,You've noticed it too?
>>
>> *phew!*
>> For a while I thought *I* was the crazy one..
>
>
>You two are crazy, you don't need any drugs, now go find some police,
>firemen or baby monitors to listen to.

... -.-. -. . . -.. ... .-. ..-
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6029. Re: I'm taking a cattle prod to the next club meeting. I hope the place is packed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 01:18:13 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <pP86h.24302$rG.15395@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 4659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:Jt86h.6405$L6.2017@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
> news:xr76h.4701$mR4.217@tornado.texas.rr.com:
>
>>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:TDu5h.5287$0r.2695@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>I want to make a no-code sit on the floor.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> Don't be surprised when you wear the cattle prod home embedded in your
>> rectum.
>
>
> Shut-up.
>
> You tiny no-code piss-ants talk tough when there ain't a cattle prod
> around, now go learn your CW.
>
> SC

I'd actually prefer to take the cattle prod away from you, shove it in your
ass sideways, then shove it in your mouth and tape the switch closed.
Sissy-boi.

6030. Re: CONGRATS!! to k4yz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 01:28:24 UTC
From: bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1163467703.978790.51110@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Nov 13, 6:54 pm, Slow Code <my.m...@no.spam> wrote:
> bill_newport.4l...@yahoo.com wrote
innews:1163440740.052428.146990@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
> > I was in my neighborhood drug store and what did I see? The November
> > issue of pop com!
>
> > Steve, your letter was well written and spot on.Congratulations on
> > being published! Have you ever considered submitting regular articles
> > to either pop com or monitoring times? You have great writing skills.What was Steve
writing about?
>
> SC

Steve wrote a thought provoking article about the American public's
right to monitor government radio transmissions verses responsiable
actions on the part of radio hobbiest.

I can't understand the fruit's hatred toward that man.............he
seems like a good ham and a generally good guy.

6031. ... -.-. -. . . -.. ... .-. ..-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 01:31:09 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1163467869.119969.67840@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4661
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc

bill_newport.4l...@yahoo.com wrote:
>

>
> I can't understand the fruit's hatred toward that man
then you are truely stpuid nobody esp likes being threatened with
murder or liebls about for 8 years that you can't understand that fact
that is why you are fucked up steve

6032. Re: i punce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 01:50:01 UTC
From: The God of Odd Statements <godofodd@statements.likeyours>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.11.14.01.49.58.769376@statements.likeyours>
Source record: 4662
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.usenet.kooks

On Mon, 13 Nov 2006 12:59:31 -0500, Wabbit, frogging Mark Morgan, did most oddly state:

And you're a raving, obnoxious, pathetic bigot!

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5
Demon Lord of Confusion
COOSN-029-06-71069
Supreme High Overlord of rec.radio.*
Chuck Lysaght: Tarred & Feathered!

"Q: What do you call someone in the White House who is honest, caring, and well-read?
A: A tourist." -- Anonymous

"It would be offly hard for any of you to abuse me on usenet. Really. I have the advantage. I could easily turn alt.usenet.kooks into a cesspool of encoded posts. Bringing the noise ratio up so high as to make the group worthless. Anybody who can code could do this, why nobody has bothered before now is beyond me. The ultimate spamming engine.. 'BAWAHAHA'" -- Dustbin "Outer Filth" K00k's delusions of grandeur reached new heights, in Message-ID: <Xns98355D29419B9HHI2948AJD832@69.28.186.121>
"Immorality: The morality of those who are having a better time." -- H. L. Mencken

"Consider that language a moment. 'Purposefully and materially supported hostilities against the United States' is in the eye of the beholder, and this administration has proven itself to be astonishingly impatient with criticism of any kind. The broad powers given to Bush by this legislation allow him to capture, indefinitely detain, and refuse a hearing to any American citizen who speaks out against Iraq or any other part of the so-called 'War on Terror.'

"If you write a letter to the editor attacking Bush, you could be deemed as purposefully and materially supporting hostilities against the United States. If you organize or join a public demonstration against Iraq, or against the administration, the same designation could befall you. One dark-comedy aspect of the legislation is that senators or House members who publicly disagree with Bush, criticize him, or organize investigations into his dealings could be placed under the same designation. In effect, Congress just gave Bush the power to lock them up." -- William Rivers Pitt

"It has become clear in recent months that a critical mass of the American people have seen through the lies of the Bush administration; with the president's polls at an historic low, growing resistance to the war Iraq, and the Democrats likely to take back the Congress in mid-term elections, the Bush administration is on the ropes. And so it is particularly worrying that President Bush has seen fit, at this juncture to, in effect, declare himself dictator." -- Frank Morales
http://www.uruknet.biz/?p=m27769&hd=0&size=1&l=e&fark

"No man in History, including JESUS CHRIST, has directly revealed to the World the SATANIC WEAPON used to enslave mankind -- INTELLECTUAL THOUGHT!!" -- Ray Karczewski repeatedly proves his words in every post he makes

6033. Re: ... -.-. -. . . -.. ... .-. ..-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 02:38:18 UTC
From: Woody <ts520@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u_96h.4773$5P2.473@trnddc02>
Source record: 4663
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Wow, and does your knuckle-dragging blather serve any better purpose?
You should change the -... -.. ... ... to -.. -- ... ...
it's a better fit.
<plonk>
rb

<kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1163467869.119969.67840@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...
>
> bill_newport.4l...@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>
>>
>> I can't understand the fruit's hatred toward that man
> then you are truely stpuid nobody esp likes being threatened with
> murder or liebls about for 8 years that you can't understand that fact
> that is why you are fucked up steve
>

6034. Re: ... -.-. -. . . -.. ... .-. ..-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 02:40:52 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1163472052.083588.258940@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4664
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Woody wrote:
> Wow, and does your knuckle-dragging blather serve any better purpose?
> You should change the -... -.. ... ... to -.. -- ... ...
> it's a better fit.
> <plonk>

why should I?

6035. Re: 400mhz Public Safety

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 02:44:32 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <KtOdnY-yW5avoMTYnZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 4667

"labtech1" <labtech_one@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> Simple solution to this one 'honestjohn' in the filter list
>
I thought that autistic 12 year old would never leave!

What happens in your own home, stays in your home! Even decoding messages.

H.J. (made and sold unscramblers for 8 yrs. and paid an atty. to interpret
the laws)

p.s. Don't tell Chicken Little, he'll cry!

6036. Re: 400mhz Public Safety

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 02:45:21 UTC
From: labtech1 <labtech_one@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7eef5$45592dab$471dd939$18966@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 4665

Simple solution to this one 'honestjohn' in the filter list

BTW Attorney Honest John would be a THE prefix

But they don't give sheepskins to RETARDS

"honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:kpSdnQmTCuwWksTYnZ2dnUVZ_rSdnZ2d@centurytel.net...
>
> "labtech1" <labtech_one@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> > Again....... you're a RETARD
> >
> > BTW do you also go by the nick 'Slowcode' ?????
> >
> No I don't, 12 year-old retard.
>
> H.J.
>
>

6037. Re: Radio Shack Black Friday Ad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 03:04:33 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <khcil21ds4ee2209ehq5i3hv2nafnmu4ml@4ax.com>
Source record: 4666
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner;,rec.radio.scanner;,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 12 Nov 2006 16:10:47 -0500, lsmyer <lsmyer@yahoo.com> wrote:

>According to the blackfriday.info website, Radio Shack doesn't appear to
>be offering any shortwave radios or scanners on sale this year:
>http://tinyurl.com/y99v3r

http://www.adbusters.org/metas/eco/bnd/

6038. FS: VHF/UHF airband antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 04:06:37 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <hhb6h.6983$6t.1505@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4668

Watson W-901 Air Gainer. Purchased last week. Like new condition w/plastic
sleeve.
http://www.radioworld.co.uk/~radio/c...na-p-4098.html

220 Mhz Ham Band Rubber Duck. Does a great job in the 225-400 Mhz range.
I've even heard some 260 Mhz FM MILSATS with this antenna!

Price for both is $35.00 shipped in CONUS

Cash or money order only (no paypal).....

6039. Re: slow crapathon conitues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 04:21:22 UTC
From: Allan9 <exatc@neo1.rr.com>
Message-ID: <45594434$0$13715$4c368faf@roadrunner.com>
Source record: 4669
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

That wasn't necessary.
Al

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:qu86h.6418$L6.6322@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in
> news:QPqdnfoew-1xN8rYnZ2dnUVZ_qOdnZ2d@centurytel.net:
>
>>
>> "Allan9" <exatc@neo1.rr.com> wrote in message
>> news:45579b74$0$13699$4c368faf@roadrunner.com...
>>> Had to learn CW in 1956. Last time I used it was 1956.
>>> Al
>>>
>> I had to learn CW in 1972. Last time I used it was 1972.
>>
>> H.J.
>
>
> When was the last time you two used you're brains, 56 & 72?
>
> SC

6040. ... -.-. -. . . -.. ... .-. ..-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 04:24:52 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <d6hil2dh0qqog708oav7h87eai97iimfk4@4ax.com>
Source record: 4670
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 13 Nov 2006 23:21:22 -0500, "Allan9" <exatc@neo1.rr.com>
wrote:

>That wasn't necessary.
>Al
>
of course it wqas it is the only way he can justify his position
>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>news:qu86h.6418$L6.6322@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> "honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in
>> news:QPqdnfoew-1xN8rYnZ2dnUVZ_qOdnZ2d@centurytel.net:
>>
>>>
>>> "Allan9" <exatc@neo1.rr.com> wrote in message
>>> news:45579b74$0$13699$4c368faf@roadrunner.com...
>>>> Had to learn CW in 1956. Last time I used it was 1956.
>>>> Al
>>>>
>>> I had to learn CW in 1972. Last time I used it was 1972.
>>>
>>> H.J.
>>
>>
>> When was the last time you two used you're brains, 56 & 72?
>>
>> SC
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6041. Re: CB Feud Leads To Shootout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 13:06:43 UTC
From: The God of Odd Statements <godofodd@statements.likeyours>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.11.14.13.06.36.315182@statements.likeyours>
Source record: 4671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.gay,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 13 Nov 2006 16:46:21 +0000, ScanDaBands did most oddly state:

> Now that tops it all off.....here we have something
> about a cb shootout and , here comes an idiot replying with his sexual
> preference which obviously is QUEER.....

Ah, no, you're failing to recognise that some homo/biphobe in RRAP is frogging Mark to make him look worse than he is (a winner of the Golden Killfile, for July/August 2006, due to his extreme dullness), solely because Mark is a (dyslexic) bisexual who won't keep it secret, so as not to make all the secret homo- and bisexuals in that froup uncomfortable.

> YOU ARE A MORON . To bad the shooter didn't meet YOU at Walmart !!! :O)

Um, no, I'd say you're a master of the PKB lame.

> On 12 Nov 2006 16:14:10 -0800, "an_old_friend" wrote:
>>J Coffey wrote:
>>> APOPKA, Fla. -- Two men decided to end a long-running feud with an old
>>> fashioned shootout, according to an Associated Press report.
>>>
>>> The men met up at in an Apopka Wal-Mart parking lot Saturday for a
>>> fight. One was grazed with a bullet, the other sprayed with Mace.
>>>
>>> Both men told police they knew each other only from arguing for six
>>> years over the CB radio airwaves.
>>>
>>> The men were arrested and taken to the Orange County Jail. Forty-eight
>>> year-old Frank Lukasik, of Longwood, was charged with aggravated
>>> battery after police said he shot 47-year-old Paul Goldman.
>>>
>>> Goldman was arrested on a charge of battery causing bodily harm after
>>> authorities said he sprayed the chemical at Lukasik.
>>>
>>> http://www.local6.com/news/10299812/detail.html#
>>
>>tehy shud haev suxked dick

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5
Demon Lord of Confusion
COOSN-029-06-71069
Supreme High Overlord of rec.radio.*

Chuck Lysaght: Tarred & Feathered!

"Q: What do you call someone in the White House who is honest, caring, and well-read?
A: A tourist." -- Anonymous

"It would be offly hard for any of you to abuse me on usenet. Really. I have the advantage. I could easily turn alt.usenet.kooks into a cesspool of encoded posts. Bringing the noise ratio up so high as to make the group worthless. Anybody who can code could do this, why nobody has bothered before now is beyond me. The ultimate spamming engine.. 'BAWAHAHA'" -- Dustbin "Outer Filth" K00k's delusions of grandeur reached new heights, in Message-ID: <Xns98355D29419B9HHI2948AJD832@69.28.186.121>
"Immorality: The morality of those who are having a better time." -- H. L. Mencken

"Consider that language a moment. 'Purposefully and materially supported hostilities against the United States' is in the eye of the beholder, and this administration has proven itself to be astonishingly impatient with criticism of any kind. The broad powers given to Bush by this legislation allow him to capture, indefinitely detain, and refuse a hearing to any American citizen who speaks out against Iraq or any other part of the so-called 'War on Terror.'

"If you write a letter to the editor attacking Bush, you could be deemed as purposefully and materially supporting hostilities against the United States. If you organize or join a public demonstration against Iraq, or against the administration, the same designation could befall you. One dark-comedy aspect of the legislation is that senators or House members who publicly disagree with Bush, criticize him, or organize investigations into his dealings could be placed under the same designation. In effect, Congress just gave Bush the power to lock them up." -- William Rivers Pitt

"It has become clear in recent months that a critical mass of the American people have seen through the lies of the Bush administration; with the president's polls at an historic low, growing resistance to the war Iraq, and the Democrats likely to take back the Congress in mid-term elections, the Bush administration is on the ropes. And so it is particularly worrying that President Bush has seen fit, at this juncture to, in effect, declare himself dictator." -- Frank Morales
http://www.uruknet.biz/?p=m27769&hd=0&size=1&l=e&fark

"No man in History, including JESUS CHRIST, has directly revealed to the World the SATANIC WEAPON used to enslave mankind -- INTELLECTUAL THOUGHT!!" -- Ray Karczewski repeatedly proves his words in every post he makes

6042. Re: CB Feud Leads To Shootout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 13:48:34 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ejchhi$21n6$1@news.ndhu.edu.tw>
Source record: 4672
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.gay,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

The God of Odd Statements wrote:
> solely because Mark is a (dyslexic) bisexual who won't keep it
secret, > so as not to make all the secret homo- and bisexuals in that froup
> uncomfortable.

Mark would make everyone in my neighborhood "uncomfortable." It is more
how he would disgust them to death that I would worry about though.

Now, I am unsure of the number of men who would enjoy a nice brandy and
discussion with mark about how to establish proper manners, education
and providing good role models for our developing teens--so their lives
are given the "best possible chance" of being enjoyable, stable, sane,
and with fewest possible hazards to traverse; but, I would NOT.

Frankly, it would make me uncomfortable!

JS

6043. Police Scanner Saves Mans life - Literally..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 14:12:17 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <_8k6h.15$kz1.3@newsfe07.lga>
Source record: 4673
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Police scanner deflects bullet in drive-by

http://www.sheboygan-press.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20061113/SHE0101/61113049/1973

--

6044. Re: My Take on CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 15:33:20 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <Zkl6h.577$G91.516@newsfe04.lga>
Source record: 4674

"ToeJam" <toejam@bigfoot.kn> wrote in message
news:ephku.ash.17.1@news.alt.net...
> PowerHouse Communications wrote:
>> Who cares! CW doesn't belong in a SCANNER group. Discuss it in a more
>> appropriate group; there are MANY of them, and this is not one of them!
>>
>>
>> "ToeJam" <toejam@bigfoot.kn> stuck his ToeJammed bigfoot up his ass and
>> wrote in message news:eobqm.flm.19.1@news.alt.net...
>>
>> The need for CW has been waining for many years now and technology has
>> eliminated a need for it. Why do we still need CW? How many Hams still
>> use CW? <SNIP>
>>
>>
>
> You could have fooled me. From what I see here, there are more Ham related
> postings than Scanner postings. Are you jummping all over the other folks
> that are posting Ham related material too? Or did you just get up on the
> wrong side of the bed? I'm not new to Usenet and don't normally post off
> topic material, but when I see more postings concerning a subject not
> related to the newsgroup, I figure maybe folks gyrated from scanners to
> Ham. So don't go preaching to me with your shitty attitude. You could
> have phrased your response a bit kinder instead of being an asshole about
> it. I'll lurk and see how you personally deal with the other Ham related
> postings. And FWIW, Scanners are strongly related to Ham radio, always
> have been and always will be.
>

No offense, but I don't see the other HAM related postings... All that
off-topic crap is filtered out by my newsreader... Sorry, I didn't mean to
make you feel like I was singling you out, I just don't see the other crap,
there for I don't have a need to "deal" with it...

6045. Re: CB Feud Leads To Shootout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 16:42:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <5esjl29ffstfsq2e15hs2alt8m5nbbnfks@4ax.com>
Source record: 4675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.gay,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 14 Nov 2006 13:06:43 GMT, The God of Odd Statements
<godofodd@statements.likeyours> wrote:

>On Mon, 13 Nov 2006 16:46:21 +0000, ScanDaBands did most oddly state:
>
>> Now that tops it all off.....here we have something
>> about a cb shootout and , here comes an idiot replying with his sexual
>> preference which obviously is QUEER.....
>
>Ah, no, you're failing to recognise that some homo/biphobe in RRAP is
>frogging Mark to make him look worse than he is (a winner of the Golden
>Killfile, for July/August 2006, due to his extreme dullness), solely
>because Mark is a (dyslexic) bisexual who won't keep it secret, so as
>not to make all the secret homo- and bisexuals in that froup
>uncomfortable.

which is such a tragedy to let the kook knows I exists

>
>> YOU ARE A MORON . To bad the shooter didn't meet YOU at Walmart !!! :O)
>
>Um, no, I'd say you're a master of the PKB lame.

likely just woger

>
>> On 12 Nov 2006 16:14:10 -0800, "an_old_friend" wrote:
>>>J Coffey wrote:
>>>> APOPKA, Fla. -- Two men decided to end a long-running feud with an old
>>>> fashioned shootout, according to an Associated Press report.
>>>>
>>>> The men met up at in an Apopka Wal-Mart parking lot Saturday for a
>>>> fight. One was grazed with a bullet, the other sprayed with Mace.
>>>>
>>>> Both men told police they knew each other only from arguing for six
>>>> years over the CB radio airwaves.
>>>>
>>>> The men were arrested and taken to the Orange County Jail. Forty-eight
>>>> year-old Frank Lukasik, of Longwood, was charged with aggravated
>>>> battery after police said he shot 47-year-old Paul Goldman.
>>>>
>>>> Goldman was arrested on a charge of battery causing bodily harm after
>>>> authorities said he sprayed the chemical at Lukasik.
>>>>
>>>> http://www.local6.com/news/10299812/detail.html#
>>>

>>>tehy shud haev suxked dick
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6046. Re: CB Feud Leads To Shootout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 16:45:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <agsjl2db57rria6jeplhp2utid7j7e04ti@4ax.com>
Source record: 4676
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.gay,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 14 Nov 2006 05:48:34 -0800, John Smith
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>The God of Odd Statements wrote:
> > solely because Mark is a (dyslexic) bisexual who won't keep it
>secret, > so as not to make all the secret homo- and bisexuals in that froup
> > uncomfortable.
>
>Mark would make everyone in my neighborhood "uncomfortable." It is more
>how he would disgust them to death that I would worry about though.

Funny how that isn't true in my rural nieghboorhod and they all KNOW
thanks to K4YZ all about the my sex life

>
>Now, I am unsure of the number of men who would enjoy a nice brandy and
>discussion with mark about how to establish proper manners, education
>and providing good role models for our developing teens--so their lives
>are given the "best possible chance" of being enjoyable, stable, sane,
>and with fewest possible hazards to traverse; but, I would NOT.

honest eneough
>
>Frankly, it would make me uncomfortable!

well discomfort is good for you at times indeed that is the basic
arguement of the ProCoders

discomfort shakes up the comfortable prejuedges and makes one think
that is always disconcerting to some poeple
>
>JS
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6047. Re: Radio Shack Black Friday Ad (SEND YOUR MONEY TO TBN!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 17:08:04 UTC
From: Greasyspoon 444 <revfoxweek9@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1163524084.114649.13240@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4677
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Steve Stone wrote:
> > Maybe so, but they did fire their CEO fairly recently. He was the one
> > who had a bogus degree in Theology, as if that was what was needed to
> > run a business like theirs.
> >
>
> Great if you have to pray to god to make the payroll.

Good point! An excellent example of the above is
Trinity Broadcasting Network or "TBN" as it is called.
Right now it's their 'pledge week' where they have the
unmitigated gaul to ask for people to send them $100
or $200 or $500 or $1000 because "Jesus wants you to
sow your seed faith gift and receive a FINANCIAL BLESSING
in return...." What a load of vine-ripened horse shi+ !

You have to admit however that TBN does know how to rake in
the cash from all those people living in trailer parks all across
America! I mean they got the overweight sweaty black preacher
man, the tall & good looking white guy in the $700 suit, the
short blond haired 'golden boy' preacher who is concerned
about America and finally the fat bitch in the OUTRAGEOUS
HAIRDOO complete with mascara that runs down her face
when she cries the blues 'about the chill-drens'.

Couple all of this with a blues band and a sound stage backdrop
that looks like the inside lobby of a New Orleans Whorehouse
1 block off of Bourbon St and you got all the makings of a TRUE
GENUINE AMERICAN WHITE TRASH moneymaking machine
for Jay-zeeeeus!! (Praize da Laaawwwwwddddddd Y'all!!)

--> AND ALL WE GOT ON SHORTWAVE IS FREAKIN BROTHER STAIR !

I mean folks....I could just shit a brick. :)

6048. Re: CB Feud Leads To Shootout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 23:24:54 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20061114182458.367$lr@newsreader.com>
Source record: 4678
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.gay,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

The God of Odd Statements <godofodd@statements.likeyours> wrote:
>> Um, no, I'd say you're a master of the PKB lame.
>
Donut make me bring my pistola and mace to a knife fight! 10-4

6049. Re: CONGRATS!! to k4yz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 23:51:09 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1163548268.942058.237060@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4679
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:
> I was in my neighborhood drug store and what did I see? The November
> issue of pop com!
>
> Steve, your letter was well written and spot on.Congratulations on
> being published! Have you ever considered submitting regular articles
> to either pop com or monitoring times? You have great writing skills.

I quit PopComm after my 1st subscription about 1984. Too much
reverence for pirate radio for my taste in that pub.

6050. Re: CONGRATS!! to k4yz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-14 23:52:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20061114185235.735$LA@newsreader.com>
Source record: 4680
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:
> > I was in my neighborhood drug store and what did I see? The November
> > issue of pop com!
> >
> > Steve, your letter was well written and spot on.Congratulations on
> > being published! Have you ever considered submitting regular articles
> > to either pop com or monitoring times? You have great writing skills.
>
> I quit PopComm after my 1st subscription about 1984. Too much
> reverence for pirate radio for my taste in that pub.
>
ARRGGHHHH! What be wrong with pirates, mate?

6051. Re: CONGRATS!! to k4yz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-15 00:41:35 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <3nt6h.7438$ig4.4567@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4681
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc

bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote in
news:1163467703.978790.51110@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

>
>
> On Nov 13, 6:54 pm, Slow Code <my.m...@no.spam> wrote:
>> bill_newport.4l...@yahoo.com wrote
>> innews:1163440740.052428.146990@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> > I was in my neighborhood drug store and what did I see? The November
>> > issue of pop com!
>>
>> > Steve, your letter was well written and spot on.Congratulations on
>> > being published! Have you ever considered submitting regular articles
>> > to either pop com or monitoring times? You have great writing
>> > skills.What was Steve writing about?
>>
>> SC
>
> Steve wrote a thought provoking article about the American public's
> right to monitor government radio transmissions verses responsiable
> actions on the part of radio hobbiest.
>
> I can't understand the fruit's hatred toward that man.............he
> seems like a good ham and a generally good guy.

Markie hates everyone that's better than he is, so that means the only
friends he's got on the internet are Lloyd, Woger, Lenny, U-Know-Who,
Hot-Ham-and-Cheese, RadioGuy, and everyone in the CB group.

SC

6052. Re: I'm taking a cattle prod to the next club meeting. I hope the place is packed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-15 00:41:42 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ant6h.7442$ig4.4928@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4682
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
news:pP86h.24302$rG.15395@tornado.texas.rr.com:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:Jt86h.6405$L6.2017@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>> news:xr76h.4701$mR4.217@tornado.texas.rr.com:
>>
>>>
>>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>>> news:TDu5h.5287$0r.2695@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>>I want to make a no-code sit on the floor.
>>>>
>>>> SC
>>>
>>> Don't be surprised when you wear the cattle prod home embedded in your
>>> rectum.
>>
>>
>> Shut-up.
>>
>> You tiny no-code piss-ants talk tough when there ain't a cattle prod
>> around, now go learn your CW.
>>
>> SC
>
> I'd actually prefer to take the cattle prod away from you, shove it in
> your ass sideways, then shove it in your mouth and tape the switch
> closed. Sissy-boi.

Do you go by little-piss-ant on CB too?

Really, CB is a dead-end street, if you want to process further than the
rules allow, you have to be illegal. On ham radio you can do lots of
things legally. Learn CW, make something of your self. Don't be a loser
all your life.

SC

6053. Re: I'm taking a cattle prod to the next club meeting. I hope the place is packed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-15 00:48:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <6uokl2pnfn4u4lnph11jilue4t1ppj5i22@4ax.com>
Source record: 4683
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 15 Nov 2006 00:41:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>news:pP86h.24302$rG.15395@tornado.texas.rr.com:
>
>>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:Jt86h.6405$L6.2017@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>>> news:xr76h.4701$mR4.217@tornado.texas.rr.com:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>>>> news:TDu5h.5287$0r.2695@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>>>I want to make a no-code sit on the floor.
>>>>>
>>>>> SC
>>>>
>>>> Don't be surprised when you wear the cattle prod home embedded in your
>>>> rectum.
>>>
>>>
>>> Shut-up.
>>>
>>> You tiny no-code piss-ants talk tough when there ain't a cattle prod
>>> around, now go learn your CW.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> I'd actually prefer to take the cattle prod away from you, shove it in
>> your ass sideways, then shove it in your mouth and tape the switch
>> closed. Sissy-boi.
>
>
>Do you go by little-piss-ant on CB too?
>
>Really, CB is a dead-end street, if you want to process further than the
>rules allow, you have to be illegal. On ham radio you can do lots of
>things legally. Learn CW, make something of your self. Don't be a loser
>all your life.

... -.-. -. . . -.. ... .-. ..-
>

>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6054. ... -.-. -. . . -.. ... .-. ..-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-15 00:51:10 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <83pkl29rcalm39gku9uelh90c2rh11cbso@4ax.com>
Source record: 4684
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Wed, 15 Nov 2006 00:41:35 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote in
>news:1163467703.978790.51110@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>>
>> On Nov 13, 6:54 pm, Slow Code <my.m...@no.spam> wrote:
>>> bill_newport.4l...@yahoo.com wrote
>>> innews:1163440740.052428.146990@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> > I was in my neighborhood drug store and what did I see? The November
>>> > issue of pop com!
>>>
>>> > Steve, your letter was well written and spot on.Congratulations on
>>> > being published! Have you ever considered submitting regular articles
>>> > to either pop com or monitoring times? You have great writing
>>> > skills.What was Steve writing about?
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> Steve wrote a thought provoking article about the American public's
>> right to monitor government radio transmissions verses responsiable
>> actions on the part of radio hobbiest.
>>
>> I can't understand the fruit's hatred toward that man.............he
>> seems like a good ham and a generally good guy.
>
>
>
>Markie hates everyone that's better than he is, so that means the only
>friends he's got on the internet are Lloyd, Woger, Lenny, U-Know-Who,
>Hot-Ham-and-Cheese, RadioGuy, and everyone in the CB group.
>

wrong again sc

... -.-. -. . . -.. ... .-. ..-
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6055. Re: handbook for the pro 2035

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-15 02:16:59 UTC
From: Pacman <nospam.pacman1_63@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5vmdneJB6Zkw4sfYnZ2dnUVZ_oydnZ2d@insightbb.com>
Source record: 4688

Here you go http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/16587.htm

73s Steve KB9HGI
WCIL SCANNER RADIO
http://wcilscanner.home.insightbb.com

"limpet" <ra27333@googlemail.com> wrote in message
news:1163344803.851655.113120@h54g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Can anyone please tell me where to get a replacement handbook for my
> pro 2035 scanner.
> any info appreciated.
>

6056. Re: ... -.-. -. . . -.. ... .-. ..-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-15 02:26:18 UTC
From: MarQueerMyDear <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com>
Message-ID: <1163557578.754952.82180@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4685
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Wed, 15 Nov 2006 00:41:35 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote in
> >news:1163467703.978790.51110@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
> >
> >>
> >>
> >> On Nov 13, 6:54 pm, Slow Code <my.m...@no.spam> wrote:
> >>> bill_newport.4l...@yahoo.com wrote
> >>> innews:1163440740.052428.146990@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
> >>>
> >>> > I was in my neighborhood drug store and what did I see? The November
> >>> > issue of pop com!
> >>>
> >>> > Steve, your letter was well written and spot on.Congratulations on
> >>> > being published! Have you ever considered submitting regular articles
> >>> > to either pop com or monitoring times? You have great writing
> >>> > skills.What was Steve writing about?
> >>>
> >>> SC
> >>
> >> Steve wrote a thought provoking article about the American public's
> >> right to monitor government radio transmissions verses responsiable
> >> actions on the part of radio hobbiest.
> >>
> >> I can't understand the fruit's hatred toward that man.....he
> >> seems like a good ham and a generally good guy.
> >
> >
> >
> >Markie hates everyone that's better than he is, so that means the only
> >friends he's got on the internet are Lloyd, Woger, Lenny, U-Know-Who,
> >Hot-Ham-and-Cheese, RadioGuy, and everyone in the CB group.
> >
>
> wrong again sc
>
> ... -.-. -. . . -.. ... .-. ..-
> >SC
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --

> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

marky is one stupid cocksucker

6057. Re: CB Feud Leads To Shootout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-15 02:29:00 UTC
From: Cardinal Snarky of the Fannish Inquisition <inquisition@smof.org>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.11.15.02.28.58.86397@smof.org>
Source record: 4686
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.gay,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 14 Nov 2006 05:48:34 -0800, John Smith sat in thee Comfee Chaire,
and didst finally confess, after taking Muche Tea:
> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
> > solely because Mark is a (dyslexic) bisexual who won't keep it
> secret, > so as not to make all the secret homo- and bisexuals in that
> froup
> > uncomfortable.
>
> Mark would make everyone in my neighborhood "uncomfortable." It is more
> how he would disgust them to death that I would worry about though.

Only the closet cases and the repressed ones would feel "uncomfortable".
Everyone(tine) else would be okay with it, because only the former two
types might have any reason to feel that way. Sexuality is perfectly
normal, and those who are secure in their own aren't uncomfortable with
anyone(tina) else having a different one.

> Now, I am unsure of the number of men who would enjoy a nice brandy and
> discussion with mark about how to establish proper manners, education and
> providing good role models for our developing teens--so their lives are
> given the "best possible chance" of being enjoyable, stable, sane, and
> with fewest possible hazards to traverse; but, I would NOT.
>
> Frankly, it would make me uncomfortable!

Oh, well, in that case, I'd best inform you that I'm bisexual, too. Nor
do I hide it. Nor am I the only queer kookologist, though there are many
straight ones.

--

_____
Hail Eris!
Demon Prince of Absurdity

"And no, I did not have sex with my son. But if I did I certainly
wouldn't tell you. Something so beautiful and precious should be kept
private." -- Kathy L. Mosesian, or possibly not really her, confesses
she may be a liar and committer of incest with her own son, in MID:
<cfcd3f4660694e3afeaadaa2723e9ab1@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>

The reporter asked Colin Powell (or George Bush), "What proof do you
have that Iraq has weapons of mass destruction?"
He replied, "We kept the receipts." -- Bill Hicks

"They thought I was fair game. I was an instrument of purpose to achieve their desires and not 100 percent real and ALSO that they had me by the short curlies because I thought that the world revolved around them and therefore I think that I am fair game and rightly so. If they want to play dirty, I get to too. It's not a one-way street. I will use the physcial strength that I have over them, my superior 5'7-3/4" height advantage, the boxing moves I paid to learn, the suprise of pussyfooting up to them with their back to me in a public place 18 1/2 years after the fact and thus not only do will they not know that I am but a pica's distance away from them, but that I even exist on earth." -- Chris Tsao is secretly John Wentzky's psychic twin. MID: <1161246083.152300.195760@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>

Looney Maroon nominee for August 2006 Johnny D Wentzky foamed:
"You never asked someone who goes into areas of the internet that are only for adults who has an underage id somehow or another if they are a cop posing as an underage person online?
I guess lots of people just don't watch dateline or read stories much. Why don;t you go to pervertedjustice,com and see what they do. They are awash in their self-proclaimed glory after they lied to membners of the public.
They are awash in their self-proclaimed glory after they posed as an underage person and agreed to do all sorts of sex acts wioth adult males, and they are adults posing as teenager themselves. They make themsleves into liars by falsely impersonating underage persons and by not fuilfilling the words they tell the victims online in their chats. Why don't you read it where they tell these victims of their deceit about how they have been with grown men and such? Why don't you read it where they say, "That would be cool." after someone makes an advance towards an adult who is posing as a teenager? And, where they agree to meet the person, etc.
Lost control, didn't you?
Is that why you feel as if you need to lie so much now? I see where lots of these false impersonation games are not sticking. They feel as if they can lie and then order the victims to get counseling in the gayblade, governmental, pro-choice tax leech counseling centers. They are doing nothing more than usury and fraud in many cases." -- Wentzky almost comes out of the closet as a pedo/ephebophile in MID: <H%%Eg.28916$Uq1.22411@bignews6.bellsouth.net>

6058. Re: ... -.-. -. . . -.. ... .-. ..-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-15 02:33:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <u2vkl2tvtg4snrfl18juoslioeiv6dm7l4@4ax.com>
Source record: 4687
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 14 Nov 2006 18:26:18 -0800, "MarQueerMyDear"
<dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote:

>
>

>marky is one stupid cocksucker
you are a stupid forger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6059. NOMINATION SUGGESTION: OotHS Re: i punce in agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-15 09:17:55 UTC
From: The God of Odd Statements <godofodd@statements.likeyours>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.11.15.09.17.51.505829@statements.likeyours>
Source record: 4689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

On Mon, 13 Nov 2006 14:25:13 -0800, Wabbit, posting as Gav_HoJackoff, did most oddly state:

> MARQUEER!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> get off the dam computer and get back to sucking my
> cock!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> an old freind wrote:
>> ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I
>> do
>> so bringit on you loosers
>>
>> show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW
>> rendered phonicticaly)
>> happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and
>> SC a few just decided to not to be as hateful
>>
>> with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
>> impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?
>>
>> pee ess dont even tink aoubt forgering my fake emale a dress
>> http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

One can always tell it's a froggery because Mark's blog is deliberately misnamed, and "Gav" is obviously Wabbit. Given such obvious sock-puppetry, would any of my colleagues care to investigate further, and possibly make an OotHS nom? I would, but this is another award that I've already covered.

--
____
Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5
Demon Lord of Confusion
COOSN-029-06-71069
Supreme High Overlord of rec.radio.*
Chuck Lysaght: Tarred & Feathered!

"Q: What do you call someone in the White House who is honest, caring, and well-read?
A: A tourist." -- Anonymous

"It would be offly hard for any of you to abuse me on usenet. Really. I have the advantage. I could easily turn alt.usenet.kooks into a cesspool of encoded posts. Bringing the noise ratio up so high as to make the group worthless. Anybody who can code could do this, why nobody has

bothered before now is beyond me. The ultimate spamming engine.. 'BAWAHAHA'" -- Dustbin "Outer Filth" K00k's delusions of grandeur reached new heights, in Message-ID: <Xns98355D29419B9HHI2948AJD832@69.28.186.121>
"Immorality: The morality of those who are having a better time." -- H. L. Mencken

"Consider that language a moment. 'Purposefully and materially supported hostilities against the United States' is in the eye of the beholder, and this administration has proven itself to be astonishingly impatient with criticism of any kind. The broad powers given to Bush by this legislation allow him to capture, indefinitely detain, and refuse a hearing to any American citizen who speaks out against Iraq or any other part of the so-called 'War on Terror.'

"If you write a letter to the editor attacking Bush, you could be deemed as purposefully and materially supporting hostilities against the United States. If you organize or join a public demonstration against Iraq, or against the administration, the same designation could befall you. One dark-comedy aspect of the legislation is that senators or House members who publicly disagree with Bush, criticize him, or organize investigations into his dealings could be placed under the same designation. In effect, Congress just gave Bush the power to lock them up." -- William Rivers Pitt

"It has become clear in recent months that a critical mass of the American people have seen through the lies of the Bush administration; with the president's polls at an historic low, growing resistance to the war Iraq, and the Democrats likely to take back the Congress in mid-term elections, the Bush administration is on the ropes. And so it is particularly worrying that President Bush has seen fit, at this juncture to, in effect, declare himself dictator." -- Frank Morales
http://www.uruknet.biz/?p=m27769&hd=0&size=1&l=e&fark

"No man in History, including JESUS CHRIST, has directly revealed to the World the SATANIC WEAPON used to enslave mankind -- INTELLECTUAL THOUGHT!!" -- Ray Karczewski repeatedly proves his words in every post he makes

6060. Re: Police Scanner Saves Mans life - Literally..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-15 10:32:44 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <IbC6h.3476$G91.1028@newsfe04.lga>
Source record: 4690
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> Police scanner deflects bullet in drive-by
>
> http://www.sheboygan-press.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20061113/SHE0101/61113049/1973
>

http://www.todaystmj4.com/_content/news/topstories/story_5353.asp

6061. Re: My Take on CW - for Powerhouse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-15 17:47:30 UTC
From: ToeJam <toejam@bigfoot.kn>
Message-ID: <fc9ck.23r.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4691

PowerHouse Communications wrote:
> "ToeJam" <toejam@bigfoot.kn> wrote in message
> news:ephku.ash.17.1@news.alt.net...
>
>>PowerHouse Communications wrote:
>>
>>>Who cares! CW doesn't belong in a SCANNER group. Discuss it in a more
>>>appropriate group; there are MANY of them, and this is not one of them!
>>>
>>>
>>>"ToeJam" <toejam@bigfoot.kn> stuck his ToeJammed bigfoot up his ass and
>>>wrote in message news:eobqm.flm.19.1@news.alt.net...
>>>
>>>The need for CW has been waining for many years now and technology has
>>>eliminated a need for it. Why do we still need CW? How many Hams still
>>>use CW? <SNIP>
>>>
>>>
>>You could have fooled me. From what I see here, there are more Ham related
>>postings than Scanner postings. Are you jummping all over the other folks
>>that are posting Ham related material too? Or did you just get up on the
>>wrong side of the bed? I'm not new to Usenet and don't normally post off
>>topic material, but when I see more postings concerning a subject not
>>related to the newsgroup, I figure maybe folks gyrated from scanners to
>>Ham. So don't go preaching to me with your shitty attitude. You could
>>have phrased your response a bit kinder instead of being an asshole about
>>it. I'll lurk and see how you personally deal with the other Ham related
>>postings. And FWIW, Scanners are strongly related to Ham radio, always
>>have been and always will be.
>>
>
>
> No offense, but I don't see the other HAM related postings... All that
> off-topic crap is filtered out by my newsreader... Sorry, I didn't mean to
> make you feel like I was singling you out, I just don't see the other crap,
> there for I don't have a need to "deal" with it...
>
>
>

No offense taken. And yes, if you turn your filer off or use another
reader, you will see a flood of HAM related material here, more so than
scanners. It actually took me by surprise to see so much. I usually
try to stay on topic on Usenet but with this newsgroup, it's hard to

tell what the on topic discussion should be about. Later.

6062. i punce in agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-15 18:15:37 UTC
From: an old freind <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs>
Message-ID: <455b4c6c$0$21212$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 4692
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
so bringit on you loosers

show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW rendered
phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and SC a
few just decided to not to be as hateful

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty impoving
my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

pee ess dont even tink aoubt forgering my fake emale a dress

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6063. still a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-15 18:22:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <2lmml2hop428l3ua203gvg4nfpsai4i4jt@4ax.com>
Source record: 4693
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On Wed, 15 Nov 2006 13:15:37 -0500, "an old freind" <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs>
wrote:

>
>
right on time a few mintue after I finsh taking otu the trash
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6064. Black Friday for the BCD996T and 396T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-15 23:36:27 UTC
From: black@friday.spam
Message-ID: <419nl2l1q2o7qlc6p1p077ck015gqfalp7@4ax.com>
Source record: 4694

The units will go on sale for $350 at a certain retailer in store only.

6065. A non renewable no-code license is a good idea.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-16 00:29:56 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <8iO6h.7783$ig4.4028@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4696
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

It provides an incentive to keeping studying and building radio skills so you can graduate to a more permanent higher class license. Those that fail to upgrade, no loss, we don't need them anyway. We want quality operators. If you want quantity, go to CB.

SC

6066. Re: Adios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-16 00:29:58 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <aiO6h.7784$ig4.3869@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4695
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

John Ferrell <johnferrell@sprintmail.com> wrote in
news:92kml2l0knhme8qsfb2pbir0p3k97bcu69@4ax.com:

> On Mon, 13 Nov 2006 19:32:19 -0800, KD6EVM <nelsonca@comcast.net>
> wrote:
>
>>Long time subscriber saying adios....
>>
>>When the BS outweighs practical communication, the longtimers vacate.
>>
>>Those of you who bemone the rise of ebay have destroyed the alternative
>>with your whining and petty bickering. Good job!
>
> Just take a little vacation.
> Noise is simply a problem to deal with. Perhaps we should add another
> Q code, QBS!
> When I first got on the air with a very shakey rig I heard someone
> call "CQ CQ no LIDS KIDS or SPACE CADETS" and I realized that not all
> HAMS would live up to my expectations.
>
> Filters are your friends both on the radio and the internet.
> John Ferrell W8CCW

I know what you're both saying is true. Since ham radio has been dumbed
down I'm hearing a lot more Lids, Kids, and space cadets on the ham bands
too and radio's don't come with Lid filters. We need to keep CW and
increase the speed requirement to somewhere 13 and 20 wpm. We can't risk
dumbing down the service further.

Tnx, 73, Good luck in the contest.

SC

6067. Re: id theft remains a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-16 00:30:05 UTC
From: Gavrielah Wiseman <wiseman@Hojnacki.com>
Message-ID: <hiO6h.7790$ig4.1428@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Mark in the Dark, wrote in
news:0jtkl21odhqvi8bpsdli8kkimnbcatdrvl@4ax.com:

> On 14 Nov 2006 17:35:02 -0800, Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>>
>
> id theft remains a crime

My boyfriend Lloyd Davies says it ain't.

GW

6068. Re: My Take on CW - for Powerhouse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-16 00:30:12 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <oiO6h.7796$ig4.2675@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4698
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

ToeJam <toejam@bigfoot.kn> wrote in news:fc9ck.23r.19.1@news.alt.net:

> PowerHouse Communications wrote:
>> "ToeJam" <toejam@bigfoot.kn> wrote in message
>> news:ephku.ash.17.1@news.alt.net...
>>
>>>PowerHouse Communications wrote:
>>>
>>>>Who cares! CW doesn't belong in a SCANNER group. Discuss it in a
>>>>more appropriate group; there are MANY of them, and this is not one of
>>>>them!
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>"ToeJam" <toejam@bigfoot.kn> stuck his ToeJammed bigfoot up his ass
>>>>and wrote in message news:eobqm.flm.19.1@news.alt.net...
>>>>
>>>>The need for CW has been waining for many years now and technology has
>>>>eliminated a need for it. Why do we still need CW? How many Hams
>>>>still use CW? <SNIP>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>You could have fooled me. From what I see here, there are more Ham
>>>related postings than Scanner postings. Are you jummping all over the
>>>other folks that are posting Ham related material too? Or did you just
>>>get up on the wrong side of the bed? I'm not new to Usenet and don't
>>>normally post off topic material, but when I see more postings
>>>concerning a subject not related to the newsgroup, I figure maybe folks
>>>gyrated from scanners to Ham. So don't go preaching to me with your
>>>shitty attitude. You could have phrased your response a bit kinder
>>>instead of being an asshole about it. I'll lurk and see how you
>>>personally deal with the other Ham related postings. And FWIW,
>>>Scanners are strongly related to Ham radio, always have been and always
>>>will be.
>>>
>>
>>
>> No offense, but I don't see the other HAM related postings... All that
>> off-topic crap is filtered out by my newsreader... Sorry, I didn't
>> mean to make you feel like I was singling you out, I just don't see the
>> other crap, there for I don't have a need to "deal" with it...
>>
>>
>>

>
> No offense taken. And yes, if you turn your filer off or use another
> reader, you will see a flood of HAM related material here, more so than
> scanners. It actually took me by surprise to see so much. I usually
> try to stay on topic on Usenet but with this newsgroup, it's hard to
> tell what the on topic discussion should be about. Later.

No matter what facet of radio you enjoy, the topic is to protect it by improving the quality of the hobbiests involved. That's why most will agree if you know morse code, you'll be a better skilled hobbiest and will improve your nich of the hobby and/or radio service you're involved with. A valuable knowledge base for those of coming into the services and hobby.

Tnx, 73 Good luck in the contest.

SC

6069. Re: Adios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-16 00:37:42 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <oaCdnSp2_dpuL8bYnZ2dnUVZ_sadnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 4699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message

> I know what you're both saying is true. Since ham radio has been dumbed
> down I'm hearing a lot more Lids, Kids, and space cadets on the ham bands
> too and radio's don't come with Lid filters. We need to keep CW and
> increase the speed requirement to somewhere 13 and 20 wpm. We can't risk
> dumbing down the service further.
>
Can't happen because it's not P.C. (politically correct). Would you want to
be guilty of discrimination against retarded CBers? Hell no! So chill,
dude.

HJ

6070. Re: My Take on CW - for Powerhouse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-16 01:10:46 UTC
From: Brian Denley <b.denley@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <dMKdncD9Qt0AJ8bYnZ2dnUVZ_sCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 4700
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

So, in other words, if you don't know how to develop film, you have no
business doing digital photography? Brilliant!

--
Brian Denley
http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:oiO6h.7796$ig4.2675@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> No matter what facet of radio you enjoy, the topic is to protect it by
> improving the quality of the hobbiests involved. That's why most will
> agree if you know morse code, you'll be a better skilled hobbiest and will
> improve your nich of the hobby and/or radio service you're involved with.
> A valuable knowledge base for those of coming into the services and
> hobby.
>
> Tnx, 73 Good luck in the contest.
>
> SC

6071. crapthon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-16 01:54:40 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <36hnl21ncpbrudo9j9prpjo1ha6pbosptt@4ax.com>
Source record: 4551
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 16 Nov 2006 00:29:56 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>It provides an incentive to keeping studying and building radio skills so
>you can graduate to a more permanent higher class license.
bullshit it is just a frat house game
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6072. crapthon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-16 01:55:42 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <97hnl25j66itbdfd3tdtmtnmcbg3g18597@4ax.com>
Source record: 4552
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 16 Nov 2006 00:29:58 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>John Ferrell <johnferrell@sprintmail.com> wrote in
>news:92kml2l0knhme8qsfb2pbir0p3k97bcu69@4ax.com:
>
>> On Mon, 13 Nov 2006 19:32:19 -0800, KD6EVM <nelsonca@comcast.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>Long time subscriber saying adios....
>>>
>>>When the BS outweighs practical communication, the longtimers vacate.
>>>
>>>Those of you who bemone the rise of ebay have destroyed the alternative
>>>with your whining and petty bickering. Good job!
>>
>> Just take a little vacation.
>> Noise is simply a problem to deal with. Perhaps we should add another
>> Q code, QBS!
>> When I first got on the air with a very shakey rig I heard someone
>> call "CQ CQ no LIDS KIDS or SPACE CADETS" and I realized that not all
>> HAMS would live up to my expectations.
>>
>> Filters are your friends both on the radio and the internet.
>> John Ferrell W8CCW
>
>
>I know what you're both saying is true. Since ham radio has been dumbed
>down I'm hearing a lot more Lids, Kids, and space cadets on the ham bands
>too and radio's don't come with Lid filters. We need to keep CW and
>increase the speed requirement to somewhere 13 and 20 wpm. We can't risk
>dumbing down the service further.
>

no Mr Stpuid (your other nick) we want to end the current dumbed down
mode welfare system
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6073. crapthon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-16 01:58:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <9chnl2l06g16vtpthedsso7qmbt4robthf@4ax.com>
Source record: 4553
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 16 Nov 2006 00:30:12 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>ToeJam <toejam@bigfoot.kn> wrote in news:fc9ck.23r.19.1@news.alt.net:
>
>> PowerHouse Communications wrote:
>>> "ToeJam" <toejam@bigfoot.kn> wrote in message
>>> news:ephku.ash.17.1@news.alt.net...
>>>
>>>>PowerHouse Communications wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Who cares! CW doesn't belong in a SCANNER group. Discuss it in a
>>>>>more appropriate group; there are MANY of them, and this is not one of
>>>>>them!
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>"ToeJam" <toejam@bigfoot.kn> stuck his ToeJammed bigfoot up his ass
>>>>>and wrote in message news:eobqm.flm.19.1@news.alt.net...
>>>>>
>>>>>The need for CW has been waining for many years now and technology has
>>>>>eliminated a need for it. Why do we still need CW? How many Hams
>>>>>still use CW? <SNIP>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>You could have fooled me. From what I see here, there are more Ham
>>>>related postings than Scanner postings. Are you jummping all over the
>>>>other folks that are posting Ham related material too? Or did you just
>>>>get up on the wrong side of the bed? I'm not new to Usenet and don't
>>>>normally post off topic material, but when I see more postings
>>>>concerning a subject not related to the newsgroup, I figure maybe folks
>>>>gyrated from scanners to Ham. So don't go preaching to me with your
>>>>shitty attitude. You could have phrased your response a bit kinder
>>>>instead of being an asshole about it. I'll lurk and see how you
>>>>personally deal with the other Ham related postings. And FWIW,
>>>>Scanners are strongly related to Ham radio, always have been and always
>>>>will be.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> No offense, but I don't see the other HAM related postings... All that
>>> off-topic crap is filtered out by my newsreader... Sorry, I didn't
>>> mean to make you feel like I was singling you out, I just don't see the
>>> other crap, there for I don't have a need to "deal" with it...
>>>

>>>
>>>
>>
>> No offense taken. And yes, if you turn your filer off or use another
>> reader, you will see a flood of HAM related material here, more so than
>> scanners. It actually took me by surprise to see so much. I usually
>> try to stay on topic on Usenet but with this newsgroup, it's hard to
>> tell what the on topic discussion should be about. Later.
>
>
>No matter what facet of radio you enjoy, the topic is to protect it by
>improving the quality of the hobbiests involved.
which is why we need to end code testing
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6074. Re: A non renewable no-code license is a good idea.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-16 02:00:43 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <eDP6h.103$G15.11@newsfe08.lga>
Source record: 4554
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

It's irrelevant. The code requirement is going away soon. Then what will
you bitch about?

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:8iO6h.7783$ig4.4028@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> It provides an incentive to keeping studying and building radio skills so
> you can graduate to a more permanent higher class license. Those that
> fail to upgrade, no loss, we don't need them anyway. We want quality
> operators. If you want quantity, go to CB.
>
> SC

6075. crapthon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-16 02:04:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <qnhnl2dugh685seh1uorc2nrm1igeuuupg@4ax.com>
Source record: 4555
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 15 Nov 2006 21:00:43 -0500, "DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>It's irrelevant. The code requirement is going away soon. Then what will
>you bitch about?

how awfull it is that people are still egting licensed
>
>
>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>news:8iO6h.7783$ig4.4028@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> It provides an incentive to keeping studying and building radio skills so
>> you can graduate to a more permanent higher class license. Those that
>> fail to upgrade, no loss, we don't need them anyway. We want quality
>> operators. If you want quantity, go to CB.
>>
>> SC
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6076. Re: My Take on CW - for Powerhouse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-16 03:08:37 UTC
From: fcathell@msn.com
Message-ID: <1163646517.871700.252220@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4556
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

You know, CW may be obsolete, but having to learn the code instilled a bit of discipline and sense of purpose in getting a ham license. Of course things like mathematics, science and such that require mental discipline are no longer politically correct so are generally not required in education today because we certainly don't want to bruise anyone's ego or tax their MTV indoctrinated brains. So, I guess the code will have to go.

Frank
K3YAZ
Tucson

Brian Denley wrote:
> So, in other words, if you don't know how to develop film, you have no
> business doing digital photography? Brilliant!
>
> --
> Brian Denley
> http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html
>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:oiO6h.7796$ig4.2675@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > No matter what facet of radio you enjoy, the topic is to protect it by
> > improving the quality of the hobbiests involved. That's why most will
> > agree if you know morse code, you'll be a better skilled hobbiest and will
> > improve your nich of the hobby and/or radio service you're involved with.
> > A valuable knowledge base for those of coming into the services and
> > hobby.
> >
> > Tnx, 73 Good luck in the contest.
> >
> > SC

6077. Re: Adios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-16 03:18:09 UTC
From: John Ferrell <johnferrell@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <15mnl2hmhlek0lvc2omuu7f162k2kvd1ho@4ax.com>
Source record: 4557
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>
>I know what you're both saying is true. Since ham radio has been dumbed
>down I'm hearing a lot more Lids, Kids, and space cadets on the ham bands
>too and radio's don't come with Lid filters. We need to keep CW and
>increase the speed requirement to somewhere 13 and 20 wpm. We can't risk
>dumbing down the service further.
>
>Tnx, 73, Good luck in the contest.
>
>SC
>
An unwanted signal is QRM...
Times are changing.
John Ferrell W8CCW

6078. Re: My Take on CW - for Powerhouse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-16 03:47:04 UTC
From: Brian Denley <b.denley@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <DZ6dnRmXbIOiQsbYnZ2dnUVZ_tOdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 4558
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Increase the theory test requirements. Kick some life into the hobby!

--
Brian Denley
http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html

<fcathell@msn.com> wrote in message
news:1163646517.871700.252220@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> You know, CW may be obsolete, but having to learn the code instilled a
> bit of discipline and sense of purpose in getting a ham license. Of
> course things like mathematics, science and such that require mental
> discipline are no longer politically correct so are generally not
> required in education today because we certainly don't want to bruise
> anyone's ego or tax their MTV indoctrinated brains. So, I guess the
> code will have to go.
>
> Frank
> K3YAZ
> Tucson
>
> Brian Denley wrote:
>> So, in other words, if you don't know how to develop film, you have no
>> business doing digital photography? Brilliant!
>>
>> --
>> Brian Denley
>> http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html
>>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:oiO6h.7796$ig4.2675@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> > No matter what facet of radio you enjoy, the topic is to protect it by
>> > improving the quality of the hobbiests involved. That's why most will
>> > agree if you know morse code, you'll be a better skilled hobbiest and
>> > will
>> > improve your nich of the hobby and/or radio service you're involved
>> > with.
>> > A valuable knowledge base for those of coming into the services and
>> > hobby.
>> >
>> > Tnx, 73 Good luck in the contest.
>> >
>> > SC
>

6079. Re: My Take on CW - for Powerhouse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-16 04:01:37 UTC
From: Radiosrfun <Radiosrfun@radiosrfun.com>
Message-ID: <455be1a0$0$5786$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 4559
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

> <fcathell@msn.com> wrote in message
> news:1163646517.871700.252220@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>> You know, CW may be obsolete, but having to learn the code instilled a
>> bit of discipline and sense of purpose in getting a ham license. Of
>> course things like mathematics, science and such that require mental
>> discipline are no longer politically correct so are generally not
>> required in education today because we certainly don't want to bruise
>> anyone's ego or tax their MTV indoctrinated brains. So, I guess the
>> code will have to go.
>>
>> Frank
>> K3YAZ
>> Tucson
>>

It isn't so much the "code" being removed which has dumbed it down, it used to be you "had" to know electronics to get a license. Blame it on the Study Guides which really don't teach SHIT.

Personally, instead of seeing this CODE argument going on forever and not solving a damned thing, using the above post of the other necessities of life - if you intend to get anywhere - let's argue - and understandably so and more welcome than the code argument - why the classes are being dumbed down for our kids! Around here, the schools are wanting to build near professional sports arenas and I'm speaking "millions of dollars" - for the "Football" games - to hell with the studies. They "used" to give you basic supplies when you went to school, now you buy your own. The "School Board" seats are more hotly contested than some of the "State and Local" government seats. Yet the education level isn't improving.
No child left behind? Eh, not if they're foot ball players. IF NOT - they're screwed.

Just my 2 cents.

6080. Re: My Take on CW - for Powerhouse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-16 04:22:48 UTC
From: fcathell@msn.com
Message-ID: <1163650968.100972.319150@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I know this is getting OT, but I agree. Ever see the stats on what the school board people and administrators get paid compared to teachers? Ever look at the ratio of school board administrative staff to teachers? In New York some years ago investigative reporting indicated that there were approximately 7 administrators per teacher and most had "company" cars. Now we know where the money goes and why real education doesn't matter.

Frank

Radiosrfun wrote:
> > <fcathell@msn.com> wrote in message
> > news:1163646517.871700.252220@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >> You know, CW may be obsolete, but having to learn the code instilled a
> >> bit of discipline and sense of purpose in getting a ham license. Of
> >> course things like mathematics, science and such that require mental
> >> discipline are no longer politically correct so are generally not
> >> required in education today because we certainly don't want to bruise
> >> anyone's ego or tax their MTV indoctrinated brains. So, I guess the
> >> code will have to go.
> >>
> >> Frank
> >> K3YAZ
> >> Tucson
> >>
>
> It isn't so much the "code" being removed which has dumbed it down, it used
> to be you "had" to know electronics to get a license. Blame it on the Study
> Guides which really don't teach SHIT.
>
> Personally, instead of seeing this CODE argument going on forever and not
> solving a damned thing, using the above post of the other necessities of
> life - if you intend to get anywhere - let's argue - and understandably so
> and more welcome than the code argument - why the classes are being dumbed
> down for our kids! Around here, the schools are wanting to build near
> professional sports arenas and I'm speaking "millions of dollars" - for the
> "Football" games - to hell with the studies. They "used" to give you basic
> supplies when you went to school, now you buy your own. The "School Board"
> seats are more hotly contested than some of the "State and Local" government
> seats. Yet the education level isn't improving.
> No child left behind? Eh, not if they're foot ball players. IF NOT - they're
> screwed.
>
> Just my 2 cents.

6081. Re: My Take on CW - for Powerhouse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-16 08:38:30 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <fq8ol2lp1utavjpvfdjch5ekveffr94ml6@4ax.com>
Source record: 4561
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On 15 Nov 2006 19:08:37 -0800, fcathell@msn.com wrote:

>You know, CW may be obsolete, but having to learn the code instilled a
>bit of discipline and sense of purpose in getting a ham license

guess you have forgotten the antics of the 80 m crowd all code tested

>. Of
>course things like mathematics, science and such that require mental
>discipline are no longer politically correct so are generally not
>required in education today because we certainly don't want to bruise
>anyone's ego or tax their MTV indoctrinated brains. So, I guess the
>code will have to go.
>
>Frank
>K3YAZ
>Tucson
>
>Brian Denley wrote:
>> So, in other words, if you don't know how to develop film, you have no
>> business doing digital photography? Brilliant!
>>
>> --
>> Brian Denley
>> http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html
>>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:oiO6h.7796$ig4.2675@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> > No matter what facet of radio you enjoy, the topic is to protect it by
>> > improving the quality of the hobbiests involved. That's why most will
>> > agree if you know morse code, you'll be a better skilled hobbiest and will
>> > improve your nich of the hobby and/or radio service you're involved with.
>> > A valuable knowledge base for those of coming into the services and
>> > hobby.
>> >
>> > Tnx, 73 Good luck in the contest.
>> >
>> > SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6082. Re: My Take on CW - for Powerhouse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-16 15:33:56 UTC
From: fcathell@msn.com
Message-ID: <1163691236.255766.135060@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4562
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"guess you have forgotten the antics of the 80 m crowd all code tested"

Well, I guess this proves there are assholes in every group! You can't
beat 80M for this.

Frank

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 15 Nov 2006 19:08:37 -0800, fcathell@msn.com wrote:
>
> >You know, CW may be obsolete, but having to learn the code instilled a
> >bit of discipline and sense of purpose in getting a ham license
>
> guess you have forgotten the antics of the 80 m crowd all code tested
>
> >. Of
> >course things like mathematics, science and such that require mental
> >discipline are no longer politically correct so are generally not
> >required in education today because we certainly don't want to bruise
> >anyone's ego or tax their MTV indoctrinated brains. So, I guess the
> >code will have to go.
> >
> >Frank
> >K3YAZ
> >Tucson
> >
> >Brian Denley wrote:
> >> So, in other words, if you don't know how to develop film, you have no
> >> business doing digital photography? Brilliant!
> >>
> >> --
> >> Brian Denley
> >> http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html
> >>
> >> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> >> news:oiO6h.7796$ig4.2675@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >> > No matter what facet of radio you enjoy, the topic is to protect it by
> >> > improving the quality of the hobbiests involved. That's why most will
> >> > agree if you know morse code, you'll be a better skilled hobbiest and will
> >> > improve your nich of the hobby and/or radio service you're involved with.
> >> > A valuable knowledge base for those of coming into the services and
> >> > hobby.
> >> >
> >> > Tnx, 73 Good luck in the contest.

> >> >
> >> > SC
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6083. Reset AR16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-16 15:35:37 UTC
From: Sergey Martynov <ra3tmo@rol.ru>
Message-ID: <eji0bp$6s9$1@news.rol.ru>
Source record: 4563

How made reset processor of AR16B ?

6084. Re: My Take on CW - for Powerhouse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-16 15:56:11 UTC
From: hjsjms@cs.com
Message-ID: <1163692571.053809.128140@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4564
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Radiosrfun wrote:
> > <fcathell@msn.com> wrote in message
> > news:1163646517.871700.252220@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >> You know, CW may be obsolete, but having to learn the code instilled a
> >> bit of discipline and sense of purpose in getting a ham license. Of
> >> course things like mathematics, science and such that require mental
> >> discipline are no longer politically correct so are generally not
> >> required in education today because we certainly don't want to bruise
> >> anyone's ego or tax their MTV indoctrinated brains. So, I guess the
> >> code will have to go.
> >>
> >> Frank
> >> K3YAZ
> >> Tucson
> >>
>
> It isn't so much the "code" being removed which has dumbed it down, it used
> to be you "had" to know electronics to get a license. Blame it on the Study
> Guides which really don't teach SHIT.

Regarding testing for a ham license, I think that is a good idea. I
just think we should be testing for skills that show some ability by
the operator to set up and operate a rig safely. And we should test
his ability to communicate effectively and courteously in a widely
used form of communication that can be understood by emergency
responders: voice.

>
> Personally, instead of seeing this CODE argument going on forever and not
> solving a damned thing, using the above post of the other necessities of
> life - if you intend to get anywhere - let's argue - and understandably so
> and more welcome than the code argument - why the classes are being dumbed
> down for our kids! Around here, the schools are wanting to build near
> professional sports arenas and I'm speaking "millions of dollars" - for the
> "Football" games - to hell with the studies. They "used" to give you basic
> supplies when you went to school, now you buy your own. The "School Board"
> seats are more hotly contested than some of the "State and Local" government
> seats. Yet the education level isn't improving.

I don't know about your area, but mine has two distinct tracks for
kids. Those that are headed for a college education receive various
levels of advanced classes based on ability (more or less). Those that
are on the vocational track receive math and english at a level to get
them past NCLB requirements and the equivalent of shop classes. The

stadiums are for the most part outdoor with bleachers looking over a multipurpose playing field that has a running track around it. And this is one of the higher income areas of the country.

I do agree that sports in high school and college can be taken to absurd levels of importance. In many locations, especially urban ones the high school is essentially a farm club for colleges which are a farm club for major league sports. I would love to see the system taken back several notches but there is too much money at stake for that to happen.

> No child left behind? Eh, not if they're foot ball players. IF NOT - they're
> screwed.
>
> Just my 2 cents.

6085. Re: My Take on CW - for Powerhouse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-16 19:17:18 UTC
From: Radiosrfun <Radiosrfun@radiosrfun.com>
Message-ID: <455cb83a$0$5789$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 4565
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

<hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
news:1163692571.053809.128140@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Radiosrfun wrote:
>> > <fcathell@msn.com> wrote in message
>> > news:1163646517.871700.252220@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>> >> You know, CW may be obsolete, but having to learn the code instilled a
>> >> bit of discipline and sense of purpose in getting a ham license. Of
>> >> course things like mathematics, science and such that require mental
>> >> discipline are no longer politically correct so are generally not
>> >> required in education today because we certainly don't want to bruise
>> >> anyone's ego or tax their MTV indoctrinated brains. So, I guess the
>> >> code will have to go.
>> >>
>> >> Frank
>> >> K3YAZ
>> >> Tucson
>> >>
>>
>> It isn't so much the "code" being removed which has dumbed it down, it
>> used
>> to be you "had" to know electronics to get a license. Blame it on the
>> Study
>> Guides which really don't teach SHIT.
>
> Regarding testing for a ham license, I think that is a good idea. I
> just think we should be testing for skills that show some ability by
> the operator to set up and operate a rig safely. And we should test
> his ability to communicate effectively and courteously in a widely
> used form of communication that can be understood by emergency
> responders: voice.
>
>
>>
>> Personally, instead of seeing this CODE argument going on forever and not
>> solving a damned thing, using the above post of the other necessities of
>> life - if you intend to get anywhere - let's argue - and understandably
>> so
>> and more welcome than the code argument - why the classes are being
>> dumbed
>> down for our kids! Around here, the schools are wanting to build near
>> professional sports arenas and I'm speaking "millions of dollars" - for
>> the

>> "Football" games - to hell with the studies. They "used" to give you
>> basic
>> supplies when you went to school, now you buy your own. The "School
>> Board"
>> seats are more hotly contested than some of the "State and Local"
>> government
>> seats. Yet the education level isn't improving.
>
> I don't know about your area, but mine has two distinct tracks for
> kids. Those that are headed for a college education receive various
> levels of advanced classes based on ability (more or less). Those that
> are on the vocational track receive math and english at a level to get
> them past NCLB requirements and the equivalent of shop classes. The
> stadiums are for the most part outdoor with bleachers looking over a
> multipurpose playing field that has a running track around it. And
> this is one of the higher income areas of the country.
>
> I do agree that sports in high school and college can be taken to
> absurd levels of importance. In many locations, especially urban ones
> the high school is essentially a farm club for colleges which are a
> farm club for major league sports. I would love to see the system
> taken back several notches but there is too much money at stake for
> that to happen.
>
>
>> No child left behind? Eh, not if they're foot ball players. IF NOT -
>> they're
>> screwed.
>>
>> Just my 2 cents.
>

Regarding the "education". They teach "some" college level prep classes. As to those with lesser ambition/grades, they have "classes" - period. There is "Vo Tech" - but you had to have a certain grade average to get in "there". As to shop, one school I know of - sold all their shop equipment years ago - that being the same school "I" went to and got my start in electronics training. I've never heard much of other schools having "shop" class around here. The "stadiums" at some of these schools here reach into the "millions" of dollars, upping the taxes on all who live there. Those stadiums are damned close to being equivalent to a "Pro" Field. Hell, our local "state" University doesn't even match some of them. That is pathetic. Yes, kids need sports to teach sportsmanship and competition, but come on - "EDUCATION" being "reading, writing, arithmatic" and so on are more important. AND as I said, they fight for school board seats hotter than some local and state government races. It is "sickening".

They've eliminated the "Wood, Electronics, Metal (including welding) and Electrical" shops here. Now, I realize "Electronics" may not be as hot to some as it once was, but it still has value in our country. So too does "Electrical" systems/wiring, "Welding", etc. Do we not need "skilled" labor

anymore? No wonder this country is going to hell. Pretty soon all other countries will out smart us in every field. Those who were pushing "no child left behind" - need to get things back on track for "develpment" of "marketable" skills."
We're still going to need things wired, welded, soldered, electronics to mainain the "cell phone craze" and so on.

I realize most parents are working and unlike the past - at least one parent made sure we did our chores, studying and so on..... things have changed dramatically. They need to change dramatically again. Get these kids interested in something. Even computers seem to be falling out of grace with a lot of them - aside from chatting. I guess they equate computers to "work".

6086. Re: Radio Shack Black Friday Ad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-16 22:25:12 UTC
From: Brett Saylor <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <ejiog8ths2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 4566

> The whole point of my original post is that Black Friday sales can be
> excellent opportunities to get a great item (computer, game machine,
> television, etc) at a fraction of its original price. All the top
> retailers do Black Friday promotions: Wal-Mart, Sears, Best Buy, Circuit
> City, Target, Kmart, Radio Shack, etc. And since Radio Shack sells
> scanners and shortwave radios, sometimes Black Friday lets me can get a
> great scanner or a great radio at a great price.
>
> Just not this year.

The Black Friday website for Radio Shack shows a Pro-528 scanner listed
at $169 on sale for $99,

Brett

6087. Re: A non renewable no-code license is a good idea.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-17 00:55:10 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <OL77h.8544$ig4.8335@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4567
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:eDP6h.103$G15.11@newsfe08.lga:

> It's irrelevant. The code requirement is going away soon. Then what
> will you bitch about?

The same thing as the rest of you, shitty operators on the bands.

SC

6088. Re: Adios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-17 00:55:12 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <QL77h.8545$ig4.2725@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4568
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

"honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in
news:oaCdnSp2_dpuL8bYnZ2dnUVZ_sadnZ2d@centurytel.net:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>
>> I know what you're both saying is true. Since ham radio has been dumbed
>> down I'm hearing a lot more Lids, Kids, and space cadets on the ham
>> bands too and radio's don't come with Lid filters. We need to keep CW
>> and increase the speed requirement to somewhere 13 and 20 wpm. We
>> can't risk dumbing down the service further.
>>
> Can't happen because it's not P.C. (politically correct). Would you
> want to be guilty of discrimination against retarded CBers? Hell no!
> So chill, dude.
>
> HJ

Definition of Political Correctness:

A doctrine fostered by a delusional, illogical liberal minority, and
rabidly promoted by an unscrupulous old media, which holds forth the
proposition that it is entirely possible to pick up a turd by the
clean end.

6089. Re: My Take on CW - for Powerhouse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-17 00:55:16 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <UL77h.8548$ig4.5075@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4569
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

"Brian Denley" wrote in
news:dMKdncD9Qt0AJ8bYnZ2dnUVZ_sCdnZ2d@comcast.com:

> So, in other words, if you don't know how to develop film, you have no
> business doing digital photography? Brilliant!
>
> --
> Brian Denley

Right, That why there is CB. You don't have to know how it works you just
get on it and act stupid. We can't have ham radio be like that, we want
skill. Quality, not quantity.

I know a lot of you don't like that because it means more work, but we get
a higher quality operator.

> "Slow Code" wrote in message
> news:oiO6h.7796$ig4.2675@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> No matter what facet of radio you enjoy, the topic is to protect it by
>> improving the quality of the hobbiests involved. That's why most will
>> agree if you know morse code, you'll be a better skilled hobbiest and
>> will improve your nich of the hobby and/or radio service you're
>> involved with. A valuable knowledge base for those of coming into the
>> services and hobby.
>>
>> Tnx, 73 Good luck in the contest.
>>
>> SC
>
>
>
>

6090. Re: My Take on CW - for Powerhouse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-17 01:32:33 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <Ri87h.10801$mR4.7276@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 4570
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

"Slow Code" wrote in message
news:UL77h.8548$ig4.5075@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "Brian Denley" wrote in
> news:dMKdncD9Qt0AJ8bYnZ2dnUVZ_sCdnZ2d@comcast.com:
>
>> So, in other words, if you don't know how to develop film, you have no
>> business doing digital photography? Brilliant!
>>
>> --
>> Brian Denley
>
>
> Right, That why there is CB. You don't have to know how it works you just
> get on it and act stupid. We can't have ham radio be like that, we want
> skill. Quality, not quantity.
>
> I know a lot of you don't like that because it means more work, but we get
> a higher quality operator.
>
>
>> "Slow Code" wrote in message
>> news:oiO6h.7796$ig4.2675@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> No matter what facet of radio you enjoy, the topic is to protect it by
>>> improving the quality of the hobbiests involved. That's why most will
>>> agree if you know morse code, you'll be a better skilled hobbiest and
>>> will improve your nich of the hobby and/or radio service you're
>>> involved with. A valuable knowledge base for those of coming into the
>>> services and hobby.
>>>
>>> Tnx, 73 Good luck in the contest.
>>>
>>> SC
>>

But at the end of the day SC, no one wants to talk to you. 10-4 good buddy?

6091. Re: A non renewable no-code license is a good idea.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-17 01:36:34 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <Cm87h.10802$mR4.6470@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 4571
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:OL77h.8544$ig4.8335@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:eDP6h.103$G15.11@newsfe08.lga:
>
>> It's irrelevant. The code requirement is going away soon. Then what
>> will you bitch about?
>
>
> The same thing as the rest of you, shitty operators on the bands.
>
> SC

But there will be more OO's, so you'll soon have your license revoked. Aw
shit, it is already, isn't it?

6092. Re: Adios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-17 02:42:55 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <3M2dnZ95tI7Nv8DYnZ2dnUVZ_tmdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 4572
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:QL77h.8545$ig4.2725@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in
> news:oaCdnSp2_dpuL8bYnZ2dnUVZ_sadnZ2d@centurytel.net:
>
> >
> > "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> >
> >> I know what you're both saying is true. Since ham radio has been dumbed
> >> down I'm hearing a lot more Lids, Kids, and space cadets on the ham
> >> bands too and radio's don't come with Lid filters. We need to keep CW
> >> and increase the speed requirement to somewhere 13 and 20 wpm. We
> >> can't risk dumbing down the service further.
> >>
> > Can't happen because it's not P.C. (politically correct). Would you
> > want to be guilty of discrimination against retarded CBers? Hell no!
> > So chill, dude.
> >
> > HJ
>
>
> Definition of Political Correctness:
>
> A doctrine fostered by a delusional, illogical liberal minority, and
> rabidly promoted by an unscrupulous old media, which holds forth the
> proposition that it is entirely possible to pick up a turd by the
> clean end.
>
I agree! But you can't fight the liberal faggot tide, just relax and enjoy
the PC ass-fuckin.

HJ

6093. Re: Adios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-17 08:59:55 UTC
From: Not Steve <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <fgi8o.o7v.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4573
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:QL77h.8545$ig4.2725@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
"honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in
news:oaCdnSp2_dpuL8bYnZ2dnUVZ_sadnZ2d@centurytel.net:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>
>> I know what you're both saying is true. Since ham radio has been dumbed
>> down I'm hearing a lot more Lids, Kids, and space cadets on the ham
>> bands too and radio's don't come with Lid filters. We need to keep CW
>> and increase the speed requirement to somewhere 13 and 20 wpm. We
>> can't risk dumbing down the service further.
>>
> Can't happen because it's not P.C. (politically correct). Would you
> want to be guilty of discrimination against retarded CBers? Hell no!
> So chill, dude.
>
> HJ

Definition of Political Correctness:

A doctrine fostered by a delusional, illogical liberal minority, and
rabidly promoted by an unscrupulous old media, which holds forth the
proposition that it is entirely possible to pick up a turd by the
clean end.
////////////////////////////////////

Hmmmmmmmm

Not unlike what Katie Couric and Matt Lauer do on a daily basis. Or, for
that matter, what CBS, NBC, ABC, and CNN do daily.
When a Republican goes awry, the Media plasters it on Page One. When a
drunken Democrat does so, the Media lauds the Democrat for "getting help"
and calls it a "Courageous Step."
Chappaquiddick, anybody? Mary Jo Kopechne ring any bells?
Now, when it comes to "picking up a turd by the clean end", which end would
that put Senator Kennedy on?

6094. MTA POLICE???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-17 09:18:25 UTC
From: John Kerkes <jekerkes@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <B7f7h.11273$9v5.7735@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 4574
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Just wondering if anyone has or knows where I might get the frequencies foe the MTA police that patrol the commuter railroad in CT & NY?
Thanks in Advance.

6095. Re: id theft remains a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-17 17:04:15 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1163783054.981055.272260@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4575
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Gavrielah Wiseman wrote:
> Mark in the Dark, wrote in
> news:0jtkl21odhqvi8bpsdli8kkimnbcatdrvl@4ax.com:
>
> > On 14 Nov 2006 17:35:02 -0800, Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> >>
> >
> > id theft remains a crime
>
>
> My boyfriend Lloyd Davies says it ain't.
>
> Gopherface W-loving Tunder

BWHAHAHAHAHAHA poor Gopherface Tunder! He just loves getting sodomized
by his boyfriend Lloyd Davies!

6096. Re: CONGRATS!! to k4yz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-17 17:09:50 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1163783390.100805.19670@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4576
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Slow Code wrote:
> bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote in
> news:1163467703.978790.51110@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >
> > > On Nov 13, 6:54 pm, Slow Code <my.m...@no.spam> wrote:
> > >> bill_newport.4l...@yahoo.com wrote
> > >> innews:1163440740.052428.146990@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
> > >>
> > >> > I was in my neighborhood drug store and what did I see? The November
> > >> > issue of pop com!
> > >>
> > >> > Steve, your letter was well written and spot on.Congratulations on
> > >> > being published! Have you ever considered submitting regular articles
> > >> > to either pop com or monitoring times? You have great writing
> > >> > skills.What was Steve writing about?
> > >>
> > >> SC
> > >
> > > Steve wrote a thought provoking article about the American public's
> > > right to monitor government radio transmissions verses responsiable
> > > actions on the part of radio hobbiest.
> > >
> > > I can't understand the fruit's hatred toward that man.............he
> > > seems like a good ham and a generally good guy.
>
>
>
> Markie hates everyone that's better than he is, so that means the only
> friends he's got on the internet are Lloyd, Woger, Lenny, U-Know-Who,
> Hot-Ham-and-Cheese, RadioGuy, and everyone in the CB group.

Wrong, you sniveling jackass, Markie has no friends!

6097. Re: i punce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-17 17:16:01 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1163783761.013437.48190@j44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4577
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.usenet.kooks

The Goddamned blatherer of Obtuse Statements wrote:
> On Mon, 13 Nov 2006 12:59:31 -0500, Wabbit, frogging Mark Morgan, did
> most oddly state:
>
> And you're a raving, obnoxious, pathetic bigot!

And you are an AIDS-ridden cocksucking pervert!

6098. id theft is acrime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-17 21:44:32 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <a9bsl2hkls931dkfvsjlcq7qgrpqkgj1j8@4ax.com>
Source record: 4578
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 17 Nov 2006 09:16:01 -0800, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
<andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>The Goddamned blatherer of Obtuse Statements wrote:
>> On Mon, 13 Nov 2006 12:59:31 -0500, Wabbit, frogging Mark Morgan, did
>> most oddly state:
>>
>> And you're a raving, obnoxious, pathetic bigot!
>
>And you are an AIDS-ridden cocksucking pervert!
you are just sick
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6099. Uniden 330T Trunking Scanner - New

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-17 21:45:27 UTC
From: Rod Barton <cabletek73@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <W3q7h.3204$LN6.2399@newsfe11.lga>
Source record: 4579
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Available here before it goes off to ebay..

I've got a NEW in the box Uniden 330T portable trunking scanner..
Everything is in the box! Picked this up and decided the digital scanner
was the one I wanted (needed). The one has been out of the box for only a
few days, never off the desk here. Included also is Butel's ARC330
programming / visual scanner software for this unit. This is the "basic"
version of the software and was purchased by me so you'll get the activation
key with it in its original case.

I'm looking at $225 shipped / insured to your door.
I accept paypal and have feedback on ebay at cabletek73. Shipping is done
daily. (except Sunday ofcourse).

E-mail if interested.
Thanks!

6100. crapathon goes one

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-17 21:47:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <6ebsl2ln4f5bg3u2dtboq8d6qcrkguta9a@4ax.com>
Source record: 4580
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
On 17 Nov 2006 09:09:50 -0800, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
<andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Slow Code wrote:
>> bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote in
>> news:1163467703.978790.51110@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
>> >
>> > On Nov 13, 6:54 pm, Slow Code <my.m...@no.spam> wrote:
>> >> bill_newport.4l...@yahoo.com wrote
>> >> innews:1163440740.052428.146990@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>> >>
>> >> > I was in my neighborhood drug store and what did I see? The November
>> >> > issue of pop com!
>> >>
>> >> > Steve, your letter was well written and spot on.Congratulations on
>> >> > being published! Have you ever considered submitting regular articles
>> >> > to either pop com or monitoring times? You have great writing
>> >> > skills.What was Steve writing about?
>> >>
>> >> SC
>> >
>> > Steve wrote a thought provoking article about the American public's
>> > right to monitor government radio transmissions verses responsiable
>> > actions on the part of radio hobbiest.
>> >
>> > I can't understand the fruit's hatred toward that man.............he
>> > seems like a good ham and a generally good guy.
>>
>>
>>
>> Markie hates everyone that's better than he is, so that means the only
>> friends he's got on the internet are Lloyd, Woger, Lenny, U-Know-Who,
>> Hot-Ham-and-Cheese, RadioGuy, and everyone in the CB group.
>
>Wrong, you sniveling jackass, Markie has no friends!

sure I have plenty of friend woger you lack any
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

6101. crapthon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-17 21:49:00 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <shbsl2pmt3kkenql7hh3f34q0ardvs3o92@4ax.com>
Source record: 4581
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 17 Nov 2006 00:55:10 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote in
>news:eDP6h.103$G15.11@newsfe08.lga:
>
>> It's irrelevant. The code requirement is going away soon. Then what
>> will you bitch about?
>
>
>The same thing as the rest of you, shitty operators on the bands.

funny you lied again sc
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6102. crapthon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-17 21:50:09 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <kjbsl2hvu6f856v1q4rpeknh3is8927krq@4ax.com>
Source record: 4582
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On 16 Nov 2006 07:33:56 -0800, fcathell@msn.com wrote:

>"guess you have forgotten the antics of the 80 m crowd all code tested"
>
>Well, I guess this proves there are assholes in every group! You can't
>beat 80M for this.
>
>Frank

but it proves that code testing does not filter very well
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6103. Re: A non renewable no-code license is a good idea.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-18 01:20:44 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Mdt7h.154$sf5.137@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4584
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in
news:OL77h.8544$ig4.8335@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net:

> "DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:eDP6h.103$G15.11@newsfe08.lga:
>
>> It's irrelevant. The code requirement is going away soon. Then what
>> will you bitch about?
>
>
> The same thing as the rest of you, shitty operators on the bands.
>
> SC

Geez, re-reading, That was silly of me to say that.

I forgot you people like hearing Lids on HF.

SC

6104. Re: Adios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-18 01:20:45 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Ndt7h.155$sf5.137@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4583
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

"honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in
news:3M2dnZ95tI7Nv8DYnZ2dnUVZ_tmdnZ2d@centurytel.net:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:QL77h.8545$ig4.2725@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> "honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in
>> news:oaCdnSp2_dpuL8bYnZ2dnUVZ_sadnZ2d@centurytel.net:
>>
>> >
>> > "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> >
>> >> I know what you're both saying is true. Since ham radio has been
>> >> dumbed down I'm hearing a lot more Lids, Kids, and space cadets on
>> >> the ham bands too and radio's don't come with Lid filters. We need
>> >> to keep CW and increase the speed requirement to somewhere 13 and 20
>> >> wpm. We can't risk dumbing down the service further.
>> >>
>> > Can't happen because it's not P.C. (politically correct). Would you
>> > want to be guilty of discrimination against retarded CBers? Hell
>> > no! So chill, dude.
>> >
>> > HJ
>>
>>
>> Definition of Political Correctness:
>>
>> A doctrine fostered by a delusional, illogical liberal minority, and
>> rabidly promoted by an unscrupulous old media, which holds forth the
>> proposition that it is entirely possible to pick up a turd by the
>> clean end.
>>
> I agree! But you can't fight the liberal faggot tide, just relax and
> enjoy the PC ass-fuckin.
>
> HJ

No. Don't wimp out. Fight while you can.

SC

6105. Re: CONGRATS!! to k4yz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-18 01:20:46 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Odt7h.156$sf5.16@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4585
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Andy the Perv Timberlake" <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1163783390.100805.19670@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote in
>> news:1163467703.978790.51110@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
>> >
>> > On Nov 13, 6:54 pm, Slow Code <my.m...@no.spam> wrote:
>> >> bill_newport.4l...@yahoo.com wrote
>> >> innews:1163440740.052428.146990@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>> >>
>> >> > I was in my neighborhood drug store and what did I see? The
>> >> > November issue of pop com!
>> >>
>> >> > Steve, your letter was well written and spot on.Congratulations on
>> >> > being published! Have you ever considered submitting regular
>> >> > articles to either pop com or monitoring times? You have great
>> >> > writing skills.What was Steve writing about?
>> >>
>> >> SC
>> >
>> > Steve wrote a thought provoking article about the American public's
>> > right to monitor government radio transmissions verses responsiable
>> > actions on the part of radio hobbiest.
>> >
>> > I can't understand the fruit's hatred toward that man.............he
>> > seems like a good ham and a generally good guy.
>>
>>
>>
>> Markie hates everyone that's better than he is, so that means the only
>> friends he's got on the internet are Lloyd, Woger, Lenny, U-Know-Who,
>> Hot-Ham-and-Cheese, RadioGuy, and everyone in the CB group.
>
> Wrong, you sniveling jackass, Markie has no friends!

Yes he does, he has: Lloyd, Woger, Lenny, U-Know-Who, Hot-Ham-and-Cheese,
RadioGuy, all the CB'ers in the CB groups, and now your dumb ass.

SC

6106. If KB9RQZ had to use CW to save your life, you would die.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-18 01:20:52 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Udt7h.161$sf5.85@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4586
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Let's not ever give Markie or any other Markies that are like Markie HF.

Your life may depend on it.

SC

6107. Re: My Take on CW - for Powerhouse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-18 01:20:54 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Wdt7h.162$sf5.120@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4587
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

"U-Know-Who" wrote in
news:Ri87h.10801$mR4.7276@tornado.texas.rr.com:

>
> "Slow Code" wrote in message
> news:UL77h.8548$ig4.5075@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> "Brian Denley" wrote in
>> news:dMKdncD9Qt0AJ8bYnZ2dnUVZ_sCdnZ2d@comcast.com:
>>
>>> So, in other words, if you don't know how to develop film, you have no
>>> business doing digital photography? Brilliant!
>>>
>>> --
>>> Brian Denley
>>
>>
>> Right, That why there is CB. You don't have to know how it works you
>> just get on it and act stupid. We can't have ham radio be like that,
>> we want skill. Quality, not quantity.
>>
>> I know a lot of you don't like that because it means more work, but we
>> get a higher quality operator.
>>
>>
>>> "Slow Code" wrote in message
>>> news:oiO6h.7796$ig4.2675@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>> No matter what facet of radio you enjoy, the topic is to protect it
>>>> by improving the quality of the hobbiests involved. That's why most
>>>> will agree if you know morse code, you'll be a better skilled
>>>> hobbiest and will improve your nich of the hobby and/or radio service
>>>> you're involved with. A valuable knowledge base for those of coming
>>>> into the services and hobby.
>>>>
>>>> Tnx, 73 Good luck in the contest.
>>>>
>>>> SC
>>>
>
>
> But at the end of the day SC, no one wants to talk to you.

Why talk at all? Skilled operators know CW.

SC

6108. Re: Wouff Hong for antenna newsgroup (filters)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-18 01:20:57 UTC
From: Lloyd Wiseman <davies@wiseman_hojnacki.org>
Message-ID: <Zdt7h.165$sf5.54@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4588
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Rick" <ricknj10@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:hxq7h.3079$AO3.1294@newsfe12.lga:

>
>> Works as good as a Wouff Hong.
>
> I should have said "Works ALMOST as well as a Wouff Hong."
> Because a real Wouff Hong, properly administered, would eliminate not
> only the QRM but the QRM'er - a beautiful thing.
>
> Rick K2XT

Get used to the QRM, because after CW is eliminated you're going to wish
your rig did come with Rettysnitch and wouff hong filters...

But I forget, due to the lack of support for good tests a lot of you
people must like hearing Lids.

SC

6109. crapthon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-18 02:18:30 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <garsl2tt1l4mq471l53ndrfppir8p2fd7e@4ax.com>
Source record: 4589
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
On Sat, 18 Nov 2006 01:20:46 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Andy the Perv Timberlake" <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:1163783390.100805.19670@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote in
>>> news:1163467703.978790.51110@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > On Nov 13, 6:54 pm, Slow Code <my.m...@no.spam> wrote:
>>> >> bill_newport.4l...@yahoo.com wrote
>>> >> innews:1163440740.052428.146990@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>>> >>
>>> >> > I was in my neighborhood drug store and what did I see? The
>>> >> > November issue of pop com!
>>> >>
>>> >> > Steve, your letter was well written and spot on.Congratulations on
>>> >> > being published! Have you ever considered submitting regular
>>> >> > articles to either pop com or monitoring times? You have great
>>> >> > writing skills.What was Steve writing about?
>>> >>
>>> >> SC
>>> >
>>> > Steve wrote a thought provoking article about the American public's
>>> > right to monitor government radio transmissions verses responsiable
>>> > actions on the part of radio hobbiest.
>>> >
>>> > I can't understand the fruit's hatred toward that man.............he
>>> > seems like a good ham and a generally good guy.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Markie hates everyone that's better than he is, so that means the only
>>> friends he's got on the internet are Lloyd, Woger, Lenny, U-Know-Who,
>>> Hot-Ham-and-Cheese, RadioGuy, and everyone in the CB group.
>>
>> Wrong, you sniveling jackass, Markie has no friends!
>
>
>Yes he does, he has: Lloyd, Woger, Lenny, U-Know-Who, Hot-Ham-and-Cheese,
```

>RadioGuy, all the CB'ers in the CB groups, and now your dumb ass.

not looyd or woger BB maybe Len anderson I suspect and plenty in real
life
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6110. crapathon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-18 02:19:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <kcrsl2dsnu55rvkeldmcp5aqg9thfiil90@4ax.com>
Source record: 4590
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 18 Nov 2006 01:20:44 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in
>news:OL77h.8544$ig4.8335@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>
>> "DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> news:eDP6h.103$G15.11@newsfe08.lga:
>>
>>> It's irrelevant. The code requirement is going away soon. Then what
>>> will you bitch about?
>>
>>
>> The same thing as the rest of you, shitty operators on the bands.
>>
>> SC
>
>
>Geez, re-reading, That was silly of me to say that.

prorgress boyo
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6111. crapthon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-18 02:20:10 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <1ersl2dthkf2ipoimun8j06bn6ph5fbe34@4ax.com>
Source record: 4591
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

```
On Sat, 18 Nov 2006 01:20:45 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in
>news:3M2dnZ95tI7Nv8DYnZ2dnUVZ_tmdnZ2d@centurytel.net:
>
>>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:QL77h.8545$ig4.2725@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> "honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote in
>>> news:oaCdnSp2_dpuL8bYnZ2dnUVZ_sadnZ2d@centurytel.net:
>>>
>>> >
>>> > "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>>> >
>>> >> I know what you're both saying is true. Since ham radio has been
>>> >> dumbed down I'm hearing a lot more Lids, Kids, and space cadets on
>>> >> the ham bands too and radio's don't come with Lid filters. We need
>>> >> to keep CW and increase the speed requirement to somewhere 13 and 20
>>> >> wpm. We can't risk dumbing down the service further.
>>> >>
>>> > Can't happen because it's not P.C. (politically correct). Would you
>>> > want to be guilty of discrimination against retarded CBers? Hell
>>> > no! So chill, dude.
>>> >
>>> > HJ
>>>
>>>
>>> Definition of Political Correctness:
>>>
>>> A doctrine fostered by a delusional, illogical liberal minority, and
>>> rabidly promoted by an unscrupulous old media, which holds forth the
>>> proposition that it is entirely possible to pick up a turd by the
>>> clean end.
>>>
>> I agree! But you can't fight the liberal faggot tide, just relax and
>> enjoy the PC ass-fuckin.
>>
>> HJ
>
>
>No. Don't wimp out. Fight while you can.
if you are going to fight as badly as you do SC why bother
>
```

>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6112. crapthon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-18 02:21:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <6grsl2la0t35d8lsujt86eduj3ams05gmq@4ax.com>
Source record: 4592
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On Sat, 18 Nov 2006 01:20:54 GMT, Slow Code wrote:

>"U-Know-Who" wrote in
>news:Ri87h.10801$mR4.7276@tornado.texas.rr.com:
>
>>
>> "Slow Code" wrote in message
>> news:UL77h.8548$ig4.5075@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> "Brian Denley" wrote in
>>> news:dMKdncD9Qt0AJ8bYnZ2dnUVZ_sCdnZ2d@comcast.com:
>>>
>>>> So, in other words, if you don't know how to develop film, you have no
>>>> business doing digital photography? Brilliant!
>>>>
>>>> --
>>>> Brian Denley
>>>
>>>
>>> Right, That why there is CB. You don't have to know how it works you
>>> just get on it and act stupid. We can't have ham radio be like that,
>>> we want skill. Quality, not quantity.
>>>
>>> I know a lot of you don't like that because it means more work, but we
>>> get a higher quality operator.
>>>
>>>
>>>> "Slow Code" wrote in message
>>>> news:oiO6h.7796$ig4.2675@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>>> No matter what facet of radio you enjoy, the topic is to protect it
>>>>> by improving the quality of the hobbiests involved. That's why most
>>>>> will agree if you know morse code, you'll be a better skilled
>>>>> hobbiest and will improve your nich of the hobby and/or radio service
>>>>> you're involved with. A valuable knowledge base for those of coming
>>>>> into the services and hobby.
>>>>>
>>>>> Tnx, 73 Good luck in the contest.
>>>>>
>>>>> SC
>>>>
>>
>>
>> But at the end of the day SC, no one wants to talk to you.
>

>
>Why talk at all? Skilled operators know CW.
indeed a quarter of mine are CW se you in hell sometime?
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6113. Re: If SC had to use CW to save your life, you would die.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-18 02:24:09 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <hkrsl250otjaojaf1dlg4q5qr4g8be6sqt@4ax.com>
Source record: 4593
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 18 Nov 2006 01:20:52 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>
>Let's not ever give Markie or any other Markies that are like Markie HF.

Bullshit most day s that I operate I USE CW see in hel some day

of course that isn't what you meant but you ProCoders do seem to be
unable to say what you trulely mean
>
>Your life may depend on it.
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6114. Re: Ifschad to use CW to save your life, you would die.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-18 02:42:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <8nssl29702nbflkjbnqeg4494ee0o2p4ou@4ax.com>
Source record: 4597
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 17 Nov 2006 21:46:15 -0500, "Not Steve" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>news:Udt7h.161$sf5.85@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
>
>Let's not ever give Markie or any other Markies that are like Markie HF.
>
>Your life may depend on it.
>
>SC
>
>.
>He has Eleven Meters already. That's more than adequate for his ilk.
>
I know you are in ghetos but the code niggers are revolting
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6115. Re: If KB9RQZ had to use CW to save your life, you would die.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-18 02:46:15 UTC
From: Not Steve <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <figo3.naq.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4594
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:Udt7h.161$sf5.85@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...

Let's not ever give Markie or any other Markies that are like Markie HF.

Your life may depend on it.

SC

.
He has Eleven Meters already. That's more than adequate for his ilk.

6116. Re: crapthon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-18 02:52:09 UTC
From: Not Steve <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <fih35.ol0.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4595
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Stupid is as stupid does."

6117. "Stupid is as stupid does."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-18 02:52:55 UTC
From: Not Steve <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <fih4j.ord.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4596
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Stupid is as stupid does."

6118. Re: "Stupid is as stupid does."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-18 03:05:36 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <f3usl2lk43ahn2nfe5oqt3g68llbrpc77h@4ax.com>
Source record: 4598
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 17 Nov 2006 21:52:55 -0500, "Not Steve" <anon@anon> wrote:

>"Stupid is as stupid does."
>
and you sure are stupid

and coward
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6119. Re: "Stupid is as stupid does."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-18 03:34:09 UTC
From: Not Steve <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <fijht.25o.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4599
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Stupid is as stupid does."

6120. crapthong goes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-18 03:36:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <8tvsl2lv2q1tqle350ue4at91a2hgsgvnr@4ax.com>
Source record: 4600
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 17 Nov 2006 22:34:09 -0500, "Not Steve" <anon@anon> wrote:

>"Stupid is as stupid does."
>
you said that and it is that you are stupid
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6121. crapthon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-18 03:50:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <7n0tl2lghmkpggqc2fqqt20jpt3idk4tun@4ax.com>
Source record: 4502
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 17 Nov 2006 22:57:17 -0500, "Not Steve" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
>
>>"Stupid is as stupid does."
>>
>you said that and it is that you are stupid
>
>
>Lurking....
neithe rof are lurking stupid
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6122. Dingbat replies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-18 03:57:17 UTC
From: Not Steve <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <fikta.77u.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4501
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>"Stupid is as stupid does."
>
you said that and it is that you are stupid

Lurking....

6123. Re: "Stupid is as stupid does."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-18 04:29:59 UTC
From: Jack Ricci <ricci@mnsi.net>
Message-ID: <ejm282022if@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 4503
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> "Stupid is as stupid does."
"Not Steve" <anon@anon> wrote in message news:fih4j.ord.17.1@news.alt.net...

I agree. This is true of all cross-posters who don't have a clue as to what or where they are trolling.

Forrest

6124. Re: Uniden 330T Trunking Scanner - New

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-18 22:32:14 UTC
From: Rod Barton <cabletek73@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <PRL7h.37$hQ1.2@newsfe10.lga>
Source record: 4504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

FA:
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=110057525235

"Rod Barton" <cabletek73@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:W3q7h.3204$LN6.2399@newsfe11.lga...
> Available here before it goes off to ebay..
>
> I've got a NEW in the box Uniden 330T portable trunking scanner..
> Everything is in the box! Picked this up and decided the digital scanner
> was the one I wanted (needed). The one has been out of the box for only a
> few days, never off the desk here. Included also is Butel's ARC330
> programming / visual scanner software for this unit. This is the "basic"
> version of the software and was purchased by me so you'll get the
> activation key with it in its original case.
>
> I'm looking at $225 shipped / insured to your door.
> I accept paypal and have feedback on ebay at cabletek73. Shipping is done
> daily. (except Sunday ofcourse).
>
> E-mail if interested.
> Thanks!
>
>

6125. RS Pro-95 vs Pro96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-18 23:47:41 UTC
From: MarcusCaineIII@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8728-455F9B9D-930@storefull-3236.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 4505

Hello. Could someone please tell me in layman's terms the difference between the Pro-95 and Pro-96 scanners?

Thanks

6126. Re: "Stupid is as stupid does."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 00:25:21 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <RvN7h.618$sf5.462@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4506
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net> wrote in
news:ejm282022if@enews3.newsguy.com:

> Whaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa!

There, I shortened your post for you.

SC

6127. 4 Steps for better amateur radio operators.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 00:25:24 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <UvN7h.620$sf5.554@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

I'm re-posting this because Markie took a crap on it last time and some of you might have gotten confused.

Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all elements required for their license class.

Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.

Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

Tnx, 73, Good luck in the contest.

SC

6128. Re: CONGRATS!! to k4yz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 00:25:29 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ZvN7h.623$sf5.360@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Mark in the Dark mumbled in
news:garsl2tt1l4mq471l53ndrfppir8p2fd7e@4ax.com:

> On Sat, 18 Nov 2006 01:20:46 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>"Andy the Perv Timberlake" <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>news:1163783390.100805.19670@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>>
>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>> bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote in
>>>> news:1163467703.978790.51110@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>>>>
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> > On Nov 13, 6:54 pm, Slow Code <my.m...@no.spam> wrote:
>>>> >> bill_newport.4l...@yahoo.com wrote
>>>> >> innews:1163440740.052428.146990@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>>>> >>
>>>> >> > I was in my neighborhood drug store and what did I see? The
>>>> >> > November issue of pop com!
>>>> >>
>>>> >> > Steve, your letter was well written and spot on.Congratulations
>>>> >> > on being published! Have you ever considered submitting regular
>>>> >> > articles to either pop com or monitoring times? You have great
>>>> >> > writing skills.What was Steve writing about?
>>>> >>
>>>> >> SC
>>>> >
>>>> > Steve wrote a thought provoking article about the American public's
>>>> > right to monitor government radio transmissions verses responsiable
>>>> > actions on the part of radio hobbiest.
>>>> >
>>>> > I can't understand the fruit's hatred toward that
>>>> > man.............he seems like a good ham and a generally good guy.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Markie hates everyone that's better than he is, so that means the
>>>> only friends he's got on the internet are Lloyd, Woger, Lenny,
>>>> U-Know-Who, Hot-Ham-and-Cheese, RadioGuy, and everyone in the CB
>>>> group.
>>>

>>> Wrong, you sniveling jackass, Markie has no friends!
>>
>>
>>Yes he does, he has: Lloyd, Woger, Lenny, U-Know-Who,
>>Hot-Ham-and-Cheese, RadioGuy, all the CB'ers in the CB groups, and now
>>your dumb ass.
>
> not looyd or woger BB maybe Len anderson I suspect and plenty in real
> life

Right, like I said, your friends are everyone that are your mental equal
or less.

SC

6129. Re: FA: GALAXY DX 88HL 40 Channel Mobile CB FM AM USB LSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 00:25:32 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <0wN7h.625$sf5.482@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4509
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Radio <radReMoVe@mts.com> wrote in news:ARL7h.2527$rn1.1943@newsfe19.lga:

> GALAXY DX 88HL 40 Channel Mobile CB FM AM USB LSB
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=200049156237

Good choice for newsgroup on this. CB'ers like to be illegal. I'm sure
some CB'er will buy it up can keep 11 meters sounding shitty and then
probably end up dead in a shootout at some shopping mall parking lot
somewhere.

SC

6130. Re: I don't know why I keep posting to people that hate ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 00:26:32 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <YwN7h.630$sf5.553@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4510
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1163860977.204049.132380@j44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> Quality Code wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> news:1163805638.515472.307810@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
>> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >
>> > I don't know why you post at all?
>> >
>> >> or maybe that should be: hate quality & skill in ham radio.
>> >>
>> >> SC
>> >
>> > You're highly skilled in hate quality.
>>
>>
>> Quality is my name.
>
> Hate is your game.

No, No, No. I'm full of love. If I didn't care for you people I wouldn't post help tips to inspire you all to be better radio amateurs and assets to our radio service. I'm here to lead and guide everyone to a higher level Ham-dom.

Now, many of you are like alcoholics and until you realize you all need help, you're not going to let me help you. But that's OK, I don't mind pointing out everyone's faults & deficiencies. It's actually quite fun.

It's like watching cockroaches scurry from the light.

SC

6131. Re: If KB9RQZ had to send a clear message with a digital mode to save your life, you would die.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 00:26:34 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <_wN7h.632$sf5.624@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4511
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Markie mumbled in news:hkrsl250otjaojaf1dlg4q5qr4g8be6sqt@4ax.com:

> On Sat, 18 Nov 2006 01:20:52 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>Let's not ever give Markie or any other Markies that are like Markie HF.
>
> Bullshit most day s that I operate I USE CW see in hel some day
>
> of course that isn't what you meant but you ProCoders do seem to be
> unable to say what you trulely mean
>>

If KB9RQZ had to send readable and understandable message with a digital
mode to save your life, you would die.

Now can you see why we need to raise code speed requirement? Sheeeeesh!

SC

6132. What happened to that loser Dr Death?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 00:26:44 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <8xN7h.640$sf5.1@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4512
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Did he finally wake up and decide to get a ham license?

SC

6133. Re: RS Pro-95 vs Pro96

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 00:36:05 UTC
From: Keith <kewradio@newsguy.comunist.invalid>
Message-ID: <ejo8tl11udc@news3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 4513

In article <8728-455F9B9D-930@storefull-3236.bay.webtv.net>,
MarcusCaineIII@webtv.net (Marcus Caine III) wrote:

> Hello. Could someone please tell me in layman's terms the difference
> between the Pro-95 and Pro-96 scanners?
>
> Thanks

The PRO-95 is a analog voice trunked radio capable scanner, while the
PRO-96 is a analog and digital voice trunked radio capable scanner.

6134. Re: What happened to that loser Dr Death?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 01:27:16 UTC
From: johnvonlaws@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1163899635.981817.168370@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4514
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> Did he finally wake up and decide to get a ham license?
>
> SC

He's sticking a cock in you old ladys mouth....

6135. Uniden scanner opinions please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 01:59:11 UTC
From: ChristyLynn <calicokitty@newnorth.net>
Message-ID: <PTO7h.5712$Ka1.1077@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 4515

I have been wanting an emergency scanner for some time, but have not purchased one mainly because of cost, and not really knowing what is a good scanner that won't cost much.

I have the opportunity to buy a scanner from a friend and would like an opinion on if it is good or not. I live in a small rural Wisconsin town so I'm not sure if that matters with quality of scanner vs. frequencies. That said, the scanner my friend has is a UNIDEN BEARCAT 855XLT SCANNER for $49.95. Should I, or shouldn't I buy it?

6136. Re: I don't know why I keep posting to people that hate ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 02:22:57 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1163902977.161150.310030@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> news:1163860977.204049.132380@j44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Quality Code wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> >> news:1163805638.515472.307810@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
> >>
> >> >
> >> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> >
> >> > I don't know why you post at all?
> >> >
> >> >> or maybe that should be: hate quality & skill in ham radio.
> >> >>
> >> >> SC
> >> >
> >> > You're highly skilled in hate quality.
> >>
> >>
> >> Quality is my name.
> >
> > Hate is your game.
>
>
> No, No, No. I'm full of love.

You're full of excrement.

> If I didn't care for you people I wouldn't
> post help tips to inspire you all to be better radio amateurs and assets
> to our radio service. I'm here to lead and guide everyone to a higher
> level Ham-dom.

You worship a false god. Some day you'll want a glass of ice water.

> Now, many of you are like alcoholics and until you realize you all need
> help, you're not going to let me help you. But that's OK, I don't mind
> pointing out everyone's faults & deficiencies. It's actually quite fun.

You're only pointing out what an intolerant little man you are.

> It's like watching cockroaches scurry from the light.
>

> SC

Lots of love back at you.

6137. Re: I don't know why I keep posting to people that hate ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 02:57:20 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1163905040.668131.210940@j44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4517
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:

> I'm full of love.

Well, full of *something*.

I guess self-love qualifies as love, anyway.

> If I didn't care for you people I wouldn't
> post help tips to inspire you all to be better radio amateurs and assets
> to our radio service.

Has *anyone* been inspired to use your "tips"?

> I'm here to lead and guide everyone to a higher
> level Ham-dom.

The best way is by example. What example can you show us?

> Now, many of you are like alcoholics and until you realize you all need
> help, you're not going to let me help you. But that's OK, I don't mind
> pointing out everyone's faults & deficiencies. It's actually quite fun.

Everyone including you?

6138. Re: Uniden scanner opinions please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 02:57:53 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <StedncGN2YapVcLYnZ2dnUVZ_u6dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 4518

"ChristyLynn" <calicokitty@newnorth.net> wrote in message
news:PTO7h.5712$Ka1.1077@news01.roc.ny...
>I have been wanting an emergency scanner for some time, but have not
>purchased one mainly because of cost, and not really knowing what is a good
>scanner that won't cost much.
>
> I have the opportunity to buy a scanner from a friend and would like an
> opinion on if it is good or not. I live in a small rural Wisconsin town
> so I'm not sure if that matters with quality of scanner vs. frequencies.
> That said, the scanner my friend has is a UNIDEN BEARCAT 855XLT SCANNER
> for $49.95. Should I, or shouldn't I buy it?
>
>
>

Most likely it is a bad choice, depending on what you want to listen to, and
where you're located.

The BC855-XLT is a basic scanner for conventional analog systems. Most
public safety has at the very least upgraded to what is called trunking
systems, which require a trunktracking type scanner. The current trend is
APCO-25, which means it is a digital system, and requires a scanner that is
capable of receiving a digital system. (Not to be confused with a "Digital
Scanner", which can mean only that the scanner has a digital display, vs.
the older dial type tuner).

So to start with, what County/City/State are you in?

Bill Crocker

6139. Re: Uniden scanner opinions please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 03:22:48 UTC
From: ChristyLynn <calicokitty@newnorth.net>
Message-ID: <c6Q7h.5720$Ka1.4623@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 4519

Oneida County, Rhinelander, Wisconsin. Would like to pick up EMS and fire calls. What model could you suggest that is $100 or less (or is that not possible)?

6140. Re: Uniden scanner opinions please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 05:33:53 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <TLWdnQCuEYZZccLYnZ2dnUVZ_qidnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 4520

"ChristyLynn" <calicokitty@newnorth.net> wrote in message
news:c6Q7h.5720$Ka1.4623@news01.roc.ny...
> Oneida County, Rhinelander, Wisconsin. Would like to pick up EMS and fire
> calls. What model could you suggest that is $100 or less (or is that not
> possible)?
>
>
>
>

Well you're in luck! It appears that scanner will work for your needs. You must live in some small rural area?

Rhinelander:

FIRE: 155.055 MHz
POLICE: 155.250 MHz

Oneida County:

FIRE: 154.445 MHz
FIRE GROUND: 154.355 MHz
SHERIFF: 154.725 MHz
SHERIFF CAR-to-CAR: 155.640 MHz
EMS: 155.805 & 155.340 MHz

It's not a trunked system, nor is it digital. Just plain old conventional analog. So just about any scanner radio made should be able to receive those frequencies. Radio Shack has a few on sale right now, and a better sale taking place on Black Friday. So you might want to take a look at theirs too. They have both base/mobile, and hand-held units.

Bill Crocker

6141. Satcom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 06:17:24 UTC
From: Allen <fltsatcom@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1163917044.144387.305600@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4521

Hello all, I'm new to the group and I live in the tampa bay area. I have been listening to satcom for the past few years now and have really got some nice intercepts from the satellites. right now I listen to the 90 west satellite and the 105 west satellite. I first started out using a discone and found out that it did not provide and gain what so ever. when I was at Macdill airforce base and saw the antennas that central command was using, I said I want one of those. I did some research on how to build one. I went to the local hardware store to buy everything I needed. I built myself a 7 turn helix centered at 260mhz and I use a cable tv pre-amp on it as well. I have got some excellent results from it and the signal comes in crystal clear. I can hear several of the fleet relay transponders and clear comms, pirates talking and some russian phone relays. below is a list of my logs that I have monitored today.

265.550 NFM sounds like PSK
250.350 NFM Fleet Relay
250.550 NFM Fleet Relay
262.125 NFM Data/ Nightstalker Ops
261.575 NFM Data
262.425 NFM Secure
265.550 WFM Music
243.825 NFM Unid
267.550 NFM Unid
263.925 NFM Foreign Voice
244.150 NFM Unid
246.250 NFM Unid

Feel free to view my satcom homepage, comments and questions are always welcome.

http://milsatcom.bravehost.com/index.html

6142. crapathon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 07:25:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <1l10m299v236m8hjuqaquct3vcjo0hp9st@4ax.com>
Source record: 4522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sun, 19 Nov 2006 00:25:29 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark in the Dark mumbled in

hi steve why not just fess up

SorryI forgot you have no sense of honnor as either SC or Robeson
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6143. crapathon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 07:27:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <1p10m291436v85ndk0rvgp2svcdjcdon84@4ax.com>
Source record: 4523
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 19 Nov 2006 00:26:32 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>news:1163860977.204049.132380@j44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Quality Code wrote:
>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>>> news:1163805638.515472.307810@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >
>>> > Slow Code wrote:
>>> >
>>> > I don't know why you post at all?
>>> >
>>> >> or maybe that should be: hate quality & skill in ham radio.
>>> >>
>>> >> SC
>>> >
>>> > You're highly skilled in hate quality.
>>>
>>>
>>> Quality is my name.
>>
>> Hate is your game.
>
>
>No, No, No. I'm full of love.

I honestly don't think you know what the word "Love" means

> If I didn't care for you people I wouldn't
>post help tips to inspire you all to be better radio amateurs and assets
>to our radio service. I'm here to lead and guide everyone to a higher
>level Ham-dom.
>
>Now, many of you are like alcoholics and until you realize you all need
>help, you're not going to let me help you. But that's OK, I don't mind
>pointing out everyone's faults & deficiencies. It's actually quite fun.
>
>It's like watching cockroaches scurry from the light.
>
>SC
right robeson everyone that disagreees is something an acholic a child

molestor a rapist ..

get help for your peoblem Steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6144. crapathon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 07:29:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <vu10m21lprpn0uv95755oh10mkl7is1hmi@4ax.com>
Source record: 4524
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 19 Nov 2006 00:25:21 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net> wrote in
>news:ejm282022if@enews3.newsguy.com:
>
>> Whaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa!
>
>
>
>There, I shortened your post for you.
nah you just crapped some more
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6145. crapathon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 07:33:09 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <8320m2l1tjgfe23cp5q48dfje83rr8uc84@4ax.com>
Source record: 4525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 19 Nov 2006 00:25:32 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Radio <radReMoVe@mts.com> wrote in news:ARL7h.2527$rn1.1943@newsfe19.lga:
>
>> GALAXY DX 88HL 40 Channel Mobile CB FM AM USB LSB
>>
>> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=200049156237
>
>
>Good choice for newsgroup on this. CB'ers like to be illegal. I'm sure
>some CB'er will buy it up can keep 11 meters sounding shitty and then
>probably end up dead in a shootout at some shopping mall parking lot
>somewhere.

you troll the CB gruops looking for what SC?

love? nah you are just a brunign up with your hate ratrher like your
alter ego Robeson
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6146. crapathon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 07:36:31 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <q920m2he8s2rtrfi43k2u6t8j9q9c1rll6@4ax.com>
Source record: 4526
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 19 Nov 2006 00:26:34 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Markie mumbled in news:hkrsl250otjaojaf1dlg4q5qr4g8be6sqt@4ax.com:
>
>> On Sat, 18 Nov 2006 01:20:52 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Let's not ever give Markie or any other Markies that are like Markie HF.
>>
>> Bullshit most day s that I operate I USE CW see in hel some day
>>
>> of course that isn't what you meant but you ProCoders do seem to be
>> unable to say what you trulely mean
>>>
>
>
>If KB9RQZ had to send readable and understandable message with a digital
>mode to save your life, you would die.

where is your evidence to support such a conclsuion Robeson?
>
>
>Now can you see why we need to raise code speed requirement? Sheeeeesh!

if you think I am so important as to personaly affect the entire ARS
your are truly demented
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6147. Re: What happened to that loser Dr Death?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 07:42:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <4m20m2hj9a2jau5cc8619t0sq6ctpj43mi@4ax.com>
Source record: 4527
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On 18 Nov 2006 17:27:16 -0800, johnvonlaws@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Slow Code wrote:
>> Did he finally wake up and decide to get a ham license?
>>
>> SC
>
>He's sticking a cock in you old ladys mouth....
more likely into SC's own they were both so vitaly interested in
cocksucking
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6148. Myna Bird speaks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 08:52:44 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <flqj8.tt3.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4528
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
if you think I am so important as to personaly affect the entire ARS
your are truly demented
>
You are not important at all.

The group Myna Bird squawks again.
```

6149. Re: 4 Steps for better amateur radio operators.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 10:19:48 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <flvmg.lbm.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4529
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:UvN7h.620$sf5.554@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
I'm re-posting this because Markie took a crap on it last time and some of
you might have gotten confused.

Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
pass all elements required for their license class.

Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.

Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

Tnx, 73, Good luck in the contest.

SC
.
Imagine what step #4 would do to two meter HT sales. The no-coders would be
selling them on Ebay at a record rate. Or selling them to fellow CBers.
Of course there is the added benefit of not having our local two meter
repeaters jammed up with no-coders telling one another, "You are full
quieting here.." (DUH??)
Simplex two meter freqs will be safe, however. Most no-coders don't know
what simplex is.

6150. Re: I don't know why I keep posting to people that hate ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 13:57:28 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1163944648.620078.12170@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4530
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
>
> > I'm full of love.
>
> Well, full of *something*.
>
> I guess self-love qualifies as love, anyway.
>
> > If I didn't care for you people I wouldn't
> > post help tips to inspire you all to be better radio amateurs and assets
> > to our radio service.
>
> Has *anyone* been inspired to use your "tips"?
>
> > I'm here to lead and guide everyone to a higher
> > level Ham-dom.
>
> The best way is by example. What example can you show us?
>
> > Now, many of you are like alcoholics and until you realize you all need
> > help, you're not going to let me help you. But that's OK, I don't mind
> > pointing out everyone's faults & deficiencies. It's actually quite fun.
>
> Everyone including you?

Holy Cow!!! N2EY finally takes a stand!

Now where do you stand on the K4YZ outrages?

6151. Re: 4 Steps for better amateur radio operators.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 15:45:19 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies Slappa <LDS@nomail.invalid>
Message-ID: <ejpu6p$g5b$1@news.albasani.net>
Source record: 4531
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Lardass Davies NOVFP posting as"Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote in message
news:flvmg.lbm.17.1@news.alt.net...
>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:UvN7h.620$sf5.554@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> I'm re-posting this because Markie took a crap on it last time and some of
> you might have gotten confused.
>
>
> Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.
>
> Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>
> Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
> Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
>
>
> Tnx, 73, Good luck in the contest.
>
> SC
> .
> Imagine what step #4 would do to two meter HT sales. The no-coders would
> be
> selling them on Ebay at a record rate. Or selling them to fellow CBers.
> Of course there is the added benefit of not having our local two meter
> repeaters jammed up with no-coders telling one another, "You are full
> quieting here.." (DUH??)
> Simplex two meter freqs will be safe, however. Most no-coders don't know
> what simplex is.
>
>
Hi Lardass no coder NOVFP Lloyd Davies. When are you going to upgrade your
license, after the code requirement is dropped? NO! You're too stupid to
pass the written part too!

Oh BTW, try eating a salad every now and then instead of all that pizza you
delivery boy lardass loser.

http://i15.tinypic.com/30rnqmg.jpg

6152. Re: You people that don't support CW are killing the service.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 16:03:49 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies Slappa <LDS@nomail.invalid>
Message-ID: <ejpv9u$hj7$1@news.albasani.net>
Source record: 4532
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Not Steve" <anon@anon> wrote in message news:fj3i9.tkp.17.1@news.alt.net...
>
> "KC4UAI" <kc4uai@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1163835663.628748.185450@h54g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Slow Code wrote:
>
>> I haven't mailed the QSL card you requested yet, but I will tomorrow.
>>
>> 73, Tnx again for the nice QSO. :)
>>
>> SC
>
> Hmmm.. Here it is 18 days later and if you really did mail a card I'd
> have it by now..
>
> Boy am I suprised... Or do you not know how to find my address from the
> call sign because your call book dates back to 1960?
>
> QRT
>
> Quibbling, are we? If you'd like a QSL card I will send you one of mine.
> Of
> course I will not give my name or callsign on Usenet because of idiot
> lurkers such as Mark Morgan and Roger Wiseman.
>
Oh you mean this one, Lardass Lloyd Davies???

http://www.qrz.com/detail/N0VFP

Glad to help.

6153. Re: Uniden scanner opinions please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 17:44:19 UTC
From: ChristyLynn <calicokitty@newnorth.net>
Message-ID: <TJ08h.5742$Ka1.3634@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 4533

So even though we are still analog, can I get digital in case I need it in the near future, or will I only be able to use analog right now?

6154. Re: Uniden scanner opinions please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 17:46:09 UTC
From: ChristyLynn <calicokitty@newnorth.net>
Message-ID: <BL08h.5873$ya1.1069@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 4534

Mike,

Send me an email so I know your email address.

Chris

"Janitor Boy Jr" <nosp@m.here> wrote in message
news:EGX7h.2250$9e.142@trnddc02...
> On Sun, 19 Nov 2006 03:22:48 +0000, ChristyLynn tossed this out for all to
> see:
>
>> Oneida County, Rhinelander, Wisconsin. Would like to pick up EMS and
>> fire
>> calls. What model could you suggest that is $100 or less (or is that not
>> possible)?
>
> hello Rhinelander. greetings from Antigo!
> I've got a pretty good list of active frequencies for this area. drop me
> a note when you get set up, and I'll pass it along.
>
> --
> ~Mike~
> 45°7'58"N 89°9'5"W

6155. crapathon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 18:21:31 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <8481m2lhhbgimm121d1mjkm3eogarg87a1@4ax.com>
Source record: 4535
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 19 Nov 2006 05:19:48 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>

>SC
>.
>Imagine what step #4 would do to two meter HT sales. The no-coders would be
>selling them on Ebay at a record rate. Or selling them to fellow CBers.
>Of course there is the added benefit of not having our local two meter
>repeaters jammed up with no-coders telling one another, "You are full
>quieting here.." (DUH??)
>Simplex two meter freqs will be safe, however. Most no-coders don't know
>what simplex is.

nothing but crap from you why do you bother
>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6156. Re: I don't know why I keep posting to people that hate ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 18:22:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <t581m21smnd6blud0fmu0el456b0d504iq@4ax.com>
Source record: 4536
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 19 Nov 2006 05:57:28 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>
>> > I'm full of love.
>>
>> Well, full of *something*.
>>
>> I guess self-love qualifies as love, anyway.
>>
>> > If I didn't care for you people I wouldn't
>> > post help tips to inspire you all to be better radio amateurs and assets
>> > to our radio service.
>>
>> Has *anyone* been inspired to use your "tips"?
>>
>> > I'm here to lead and guide everyone to a higher
>> > level Ham-dom.
>>
>> The best way is by example. What example can you show us?
>>
>> > Now, many of you are like alcoholics and until you realize you all need
>> > help, you're not going to let me help you. But that's OK, I don't mind
>> > pointing out everyone's faults & deficiencies. It's actually quite fun.
>>
>> Everyone including you?
>
>Holy Cow!!! N2EY finally takes a stand!

I know I thought it might have been an effort by to assnate ME (by
shock
>
>Now where do you stand on the K4YZ outrages?
he never saw em
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6157. crapthon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 18:27:01 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <9e81m2tg08htrmv3vd9tnf9o69jap2u23c@4ax.com>
Source record: 4537
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 19 Nov 2006 03:52:44 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
>
>if you think I am so important as to personaly affect the entire ARS
>your are truly demented
>>
>You are not important at all.
not according to SC according to HIM and Robeson and even YOU at at
times I am vitaly importnat
>
>The group Myna Bird squawks again.
>
yes you do you crap on and on
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6158. Re: Uniden scanner opinions please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 18:32:05 UTC
From: ChristyLynn <calicokitty@newnorth.net>
Message-ID: <Fq18h.5878$ya1.757@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 4538

This is the cheapest scanner that I can find that says it is
triple-trunking. Thoughts on this model?

http://www.radioshack.com/product/index.jsp?productId=2463120&cp=2032052.2032072&parentPage=family

6159. Re: I don't know why I keep posting to people that hate ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 19:11:14 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1163963473.978027.171850@j44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4539
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 19 Nov 2006 05:57:28 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> Slow Code wrote:
> >>
> >> > I'm full of love.
> >>
> >> Well, full of *something*.
> >>
> >> I guess self-love qualifies as love, anyway.
> >>
> >> > If I didn't care for you people I wouldn't
> >> > post help tips to inspire you all to be better radio amateurs and assets
> >> > to our radio service.
> >>
> >> Has *anyone* been inspired to use your "tips"?
> >>
> >> > I'm here to lead and guide everyone to a higher
> >> > level Ham-dom.
> >>
> >> The best way is by example. What example can you show us?
> >>
> >> > Now, many of you are like alcoholics and until you realize you all need
> >> > help, you're not going to let me help you. But that's OK, I don't mind
> >> > pointing out everyone's faults & deficiencies. It's actually quite fun.
> >>
> >> Everyone including you?
> >
> >Holy Cow!!! N2EY finally takes a stand!
>
> I know. I thought it might have been an effort by to assinate ME (by
> shock).

An imposter posting as N2EY? Not to be confused with N2EY posting
anonymously.

> >Now where do you stand on the K4YZ outrages?

> he never saw em

"Says" he never saw them.

Perhaps he should make the effort to review some before having more
normal relations with K4YZ.

6160. Re: I don't know why I keep posting to people that hate ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 20:26:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <9cf1m2h1dd7e1a8b27ualsetlplhl3lsll@4ax.com>
Source record: 4540
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 19 Nov 2006 11:11:14 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 19 Nov 2006 05:57:28 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> Slow Code wrote:
>> >>
>> >> > I'm full of love.
>> >>
>> >> Well, full of *something*.
>> >>
>> >> I guess self-love qualifies as love, anyway.
>> >>
>> >> > If I didn't care for you people I wouldn't
>> >> > post help tips to inspire you all to be better radio amateurs and assets
>> >> > to our radio service.
>> >>
>> >> Has *anyone* been inspired to use your "tips"?
>> >>
>> >> > I'm here to lead and guide everyone to a higher
>> >> > level Ham-dom.
>> >>
>> >> The best way is by example. What example can you show us?
>> >>
>> >> > Now, many of you are like alcoholics and until you realize you all need
>> >> > help, you're not going to let me help you. But that's OK, I don't mind
>> >> > pointing out everyone's faults & deficiencies. It's actually quite fun.
>> >>
>> >> Everyone including you?
>> >
>> >Holy Cow!!! N2EY finally takes a stand!
>>
>> I know. I thought it might have been an effort by to assinate ME (by
>> shock).
>
>An imposter posting as N2EY? Not to be confused with N2EY posting
>anonymously.

certainly could be an imposter
>
>> >Now where do you stand on the K4YZ outrages?
>
>> he never saw em

>
>"Says" he never saw them.

oh I belive him I do think he never saw them, after one of the skill
of the ProCoders is the ability to see very selectively
>
>Perhaps he should make the effort to review some before having more
>normal relations with K4YZ.

I think K4YZ has become nothing more than a an occasionaly vulcanic
eruptions
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6161. Re: Wouff Hong for antenna newsgroup (jackass filters)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 20:31:00 UTC
From: olekinghole9007 <revfoxweek9@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1163968259.977007.188980@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4541
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Lloyd Wiseman wrote:
> "Rick" <ricknj10@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:hxq7h.3079$AO3.1294@newsfe12.lga:
>
> > >
> > >> Works as good as a Wouff Hong.
> > >
> > > I should have said "Works ALMOST as well as a Wouff Hong."
> > > Because a real Wouff Hong, properly administered, would eliminate not
> > > only the QRM but the QRM'er - a beautiful thing.
> > >
> > > Rick K2XT
>
>
> Get used to the QRM, because after CW is eliminated you're going to wish
> your rig did come with Rettysnitch and wouff hong filters...
>
> But I forget, due to the lack of support for good tests a lot of you
> people must like hearing Lids.
>
> SC

Wouff Hong ???
Rettysnitch ???
WTF are we in the 19th Century here???
Baaaaaaaaa hahahahhahahahahhahaha!!!!!!!

Jeezus H Christmas on a rocket powered sled at the Nevada test site
will you OBSOLETE hams PLEASE join the 21st century.

I mean consider that the terms Wouff Hong and Rettysnitch
DO NOT even appear ANYPLACE on or within Wikipedia!

That alone should tell you something! (..of course now you
can be some crusty old fart, cloistered ham radio nerd or
some asshole who took the "Bash Book" route to get his ham
license will now dash up and create an entry in Wikipaeda
just to inflate his own ego and asscheeks and claim he did
something.....go ahead, be my guest! In the meantime I'm
heading back to 20 Meters to have some fun with the contest
freaks. I made up a callsign and semi-rare section and these
CLOWN-testers are beating a path to my speaker to work me
just so they can see their callsign in print in some half-ass
magazine from CT. How F-N pathetic!) ---> PFHBLTTT!!!!!!!!

ps: you should have seen how many DX clowntesters I duped
a few weeks ago using a "creative" callsign, section and running
10 watts into the 40M dipole instead of the beam...suckers!

6162. Dam Fucking Mork!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 20:35:40 UTC
From: MarQueerMyDear <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com>
Message-ID: <1163968540.498342.266740@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4542
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Hey Marqueer--------->You are one boring,lazy,no good faggot!

6163. crapthon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 20:38:31 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <95g1m2prlq9lmr2r38v66qace53gc1se52@4ax.com>
Source record: 4543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 19 Nov 2006 12:35:40 -0800, "MarQueerMyDear"
<dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote:

>Hey Marqueer--------->You are one boring,lazy,no good faggot!
and yet you entire existance revolves around me
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6164. Re: Uniden scanner opinions please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 21:00:10 UTC
From: Keith <kewradio@newsguy.comunist.invalid>
Message-ID: <ejqgkq21tqc@news3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 4544

In article <Fq18h.5878$ya1.757@news02.roc.ny>,
"ChristyLynn" <calicokitty@newnorth.net> wrote:

> This is the cheapest scanner that I can find that says it is
> triple-trunking. Thoughts on this model?
>
> http://www.radioshack.com/product/index.jsp?productId=2463120&cp=2032052.20320
> 72&parentPage=family

On the day after thanksgiving the handheld model of this radio will be
on sale for $99. FYI

6165. Re: I don't know why I keep posting to people that hate ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 23:17:20 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <4C58h.395$_H5.7@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 4545
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:t581m21smnd6blud0fmu0el456b0d504iq@4ax.com...
> On 19 Nov 2006 05:57:28 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>
>>> > I'm full of love.
>>>
>>> Well, full of *something*.
>>>
>>> I guess self-love qualifies as love, anyway.
>>>
>>> > If I didn't care for you people I wouldn't
>>> > post help tips to inspire you all to be better radio amateurs and
>>> > assets
>>> > to our radio service.
>>>
>>> Has *anyone* been inspired to use your "tips"?
>>>
>>> > I'm here to lead and guide everyone to a higher
>>> > level Ham-dom.
>>>
>>> The best way is by example. What example can you show us?
>>>
>>> > Now, many of you are like alcoholics and until you realize you all
>>> > need
>>> > help, you're not going to let me help you. But that's OK, I don't mind
>>> > pointing out everyone's faults & deficiencies. It's actually quite
>>> > fun.
>>>
>>> Everyone including you?
>>
>>Holy Cow!!! N2EY finally takes a stand!
>
> I know I thought it might have been an effort by to assnate ME (by
> shock

Nothing worse than getting "assnated"!

>>
>>Now where do you stand on the K4YZ outrages?
> he never saw em

> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

6166. Re: I don't know why I keep posting to people that hate ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-19 23:52:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <dhr1m2htqf0ida5gbjathr74h2b3ub5vml@4ax.com>
Source record: 4546
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
On Sun, 19 Nov 2006 23:17:20 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>news:t581m21smnd6blud0fmu0el456b0d504iq@4ax.com...
>> On 19 Nov 2006 05:57:28 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>
>>>> > I'm full of love.
>>>>
>>>> Well, full of *something*.
>>>>
>>>> I guess self-love qualifies as love, anyway.
>>>>
>>>> > If I didn't care for you people I wouldn't
>>>> > post help tips to inspire you all to be better radio amateurs and
>>>> > assets
>>>> > to our radio service.
>>>>
>>>> Has *anyone* been inspired to use your "tips"?
>>>>
>>>> > I'm here to lead and guide everyone to a higher
>>>> > level Ham-dom.
>>>>
>>>> The best way is by example. What example can you show us?
>>>>
>>>> > Now, many of you are like alcoholics and until you realize you all
>>>> > need
>>>> > help, you're not going to let me help you. But that's OK, I don't mind
>>>> > pointing out everyone's faults & deficiencies. It's actually quite
>>>> > fun.
>>>>
>>>> Everyone including you?
>>>
>>>Holy Cow!!! N2EY finally takes a stand!
>>
>> I know I thought it might have been an effort by to assnate ME (by
>> shock
>
```

>Nothing worse than getting "assnated"!
indeed death is as bad as it get Tom
>
>
>>>
>>>Now where do you stand on the K4YZ outrages?
>> he never saw em
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6167. Re: I don't know why I keep posting to people that hate ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-20 00:13:08 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <oq68h.619$Gk5.166@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 4547
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:dhr1m2htqf0ida5gbjathr74h2b3ub5vml@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 19 Nov 2006 23:17:20 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>>news:t581m21smnd6blud0fmu0el456b0d504iq@4ax.com...
>>> On 19 Nov 2006 05:57:28 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>> > I'm full of love.
>>>>>
>>>>> Well, full of *something*.
>>>>>
>>>>> I guess self-love qualifies as love, anyway.
>>>>>
>>>>> > If I didn't care for you people I wouldn't
>>>>> > post help tips to inspire you all to be better radio amateurs and
>>>>> > assets
>>>>> > to our radio service.
>>>>>
>>>>> Has *anyone* been inspired to use your "tips"?
>>>>>
>>>>> > I'm here to lead and guide everyone to a higher
>>>>> > level Ham-dom.
>>>>>
>>>>> The best way is by example. What example can you show us?
>>>>>
>>>>> > Now, many of you are like alcoholics and until you realize you all
>>>>> > need
>>>>> > help, you're not going to let me help you. But that's OK, I don't
>>>>> > mind
>>>>> > pointing out everyone's faults & deficiencies. It's actually quite
>>>>> > fun.
>>>>>
>>>>> Everyone including you?
>>>>
>>>>Holy Cow!!! N2EY finally takes a stand!
>>>
```

>>> I know I thought it might have been an effort by to assnate ME (by
>>> shock
>>
>>Nothing worse than getting "assnated"!
> indeed death is as bad as it get Tom

I tend to believe that right before you die in a fire is as bad as it can
get, but that's just me. "Assnated"....just exactly what is that? Is that
like when a big fat girl with a smelly butt tries to smother you to death?

>>
>>
>>>>
>>>>Now where do you stand on the K4YZ outrages?
>>> he never saw em
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>
>>> --
>>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>>
>>
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

6168. VENDA E ASSISTENCIA *CAMPANHA*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-20 00:42:27 UTC
From: Tecnico <tecnico-urgencias@sapo.pt>
Message-ID: <4560fa23$0$24635$a729d347@news.telepac.pt>
Source record: 4550

Veja assistência ON-LINE em:

http://www.cat24.com

6169. Re: Satcom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-20 00:42:40 UTC
From: Domestos <thatswhen@westnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <45618a61@quokka.wn.com.au>
Source record: 4458

Thanks for the info Allen, I was a regular monitor a few years ago (from Australia) and have a small collection of recordings from 261.950 MHz if I remember, some ICE flights and traffic from a military exercise on Guam (I think) from a few years ago - was using a discone and an Icom R7100 - will check out your antenna as I'm hoping to get back into it.

John
"Allen" <fltsatcom@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1163917044.144387.305600@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Hello all, I'm new to the group and I live in the tampa bay area. I
> have been listening to satcom for the past few years now and have
> really got some nice intercepts from the satellites. right now I listen
> to the 90 west satellite and the 105 west satellite. I first started
> out using a discone and found out that it did not provide and gain what
> so ever. when I was at Macdill airforce base and saw the antennas that
> central command was using, I said I want one of those. I did some
> research on how to build one. I went to the local hardware store to buy
> everything I needed. I built myself a 7 turn helix centered at 260mhz
> and I use a cable tv pre-amp on it as well. I have got some excellent
> results from it and the signal comes in crystal clear. I can hear
> several of the fleet relay transponders and clear comms, pirates
> talking and some russian phone relays. below is a list of my logs that
> I have monitored today.
>
>
> 265.550 NFM sounds like PSK
> 250.350 NFM Fleet Relay
> 250.550 NFM Fleet Relay
> 262.125 NFM Data/ Nightstalker Ops
> 261.575 NFM Data
> 262.425 NFM Secure
> 265.550 WFM Music
> 243.825 NFM Unid
> 267.550 NFM Unid
> 263.925 NFM Foreign Voice
> 244.150 NFM Unid
> 246.250 NFM Unid
>
> Feel free to view my satcom homepage, comments and questions are always
> welcome.
>
> http://milsatcom.bravehost.com/index.html
>

6170. Re: My Take on CW - for Powerhouse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-20 00:52:48 UTC
From: bajohnson29@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1163983968.927628.10530@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Well, I'm excited about learning code. I have been given some great
websites for practice. And I'm going to try to test in January 2007.
I don't know if I can be ready that quick - but I'm going to give it a
shot. Also, I have been encouraged by several hams to learn the
sounds as complete thoughts instead of learning just letter
recognition. A bit like learning to read, I guess - so I will try that
method. Thanks for the hints.
Slow Code wrote:
> ToeJam <toejam@bigfoot.kn> wrote in news:fc9ck.23r.19.1@news.alt.net:
>
> > PowerHouse Communications wrote:
> >> "ToeJam" <toejam@bigfoot.kn> wrote in message
> >> news:ephku.ash.17.1@news.alt.net...
> >>
> >>>PowerHouse Communications wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>Who cares! CW doesn't belong in a SCANNER group. Discuss it in a
> >>>>more appropriate group; there are MANY of them, and this is not one of
> >>>>them!
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>"ToeJam" <toejam@bigfoot.kn> stuck his ToeJammed bigfoot up his ass
> >>>>and wrote in message news:eobqm.flm.19.1@news.alt.net...
> >>>>
> >>>>The need for CW has been waining for many years now and technology has
> >>>>eliminated a need for it. Why do we still need CW? How many Hams
> >>>>still use CW? <SNIP>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>You could have fooled me. From what I see here, there are more Ham
> >>>related postings than Scanner postings. Are you jummping all over the
> >>>other folks that are posting Ham related material too? Or did you just
> >>>get up on the wrong side of the bed? I'm not new to Usenet and don't
> >>>normally post off topic material, but when I see more postings
> >>>concerning a subject not related to the newsgroup, I figure maybe folks
> >>>gyrated from scanners to Ham. So don't go preaching to me with your
> >>>shitty attitude. You could have phrased your response a bit kinder
> >>>instead of being an asshole about it. I'll lurk and see how you
> >>>personally deal with the other Ham related postings. And FWIW,
> >>>Scanners are strongly related to Ham radio, always have been and always
> >>>will be.
> >>>
> >>
> >>

> >>
> >> No offense, but I don't see the other HAM related postings... All that
> >> off-topic crap is filtered out by my newsreader... Sorry, I didn't
> >> mean to make you feel like I was singling you out, I just don't see the
> >> other crap, there for I don't have a need to "deal" with it...
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> > No offense taken. And yes, if you turn your filer off or use another
> > reader, you will see a flood of HAM related material here, more so than
> > scanners. It actually took me by surprise to see so much. I usually
> > try to stay on topic on Usenet but with this newsgroup, it's hard to
> > tell what the on topic discussion should be about. Later.
>
>
> No matter what facet of radio you enjoy, the topic is to protect it by
> improving the quality of the hobbiests involved. That's why most will
> agree if you know morse code, you'll be a better skilled hobbiest and will
> improve your nich of the hobby and/or radio service you're involved with.
> A valuable knowledge base for those of coming into the services and
> hobby.
>
> Tnx, 73 Good luck in the contest.
>
> SC

6171. Re: I don't know why I keep posting to people that hate ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-20 00:57:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <v9v1m2l426o89aotc5s19otlkd48oafjkn@4ax.com>
Source record: 4549
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
On Mon, 20 Nov 2006 00:13:08 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>news:dhr1m2htqf0ida5gbjathr74h2b3ub5vml@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 19 Nov 2006 23:17:20 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>>>news:t581m21smnd6blud0fmu0el456b0d504iq@4ax.com...
>>>> On 19 Nov 2006 05:57:28 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> > I'm full of love.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Well, full of *something*.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I guess self-love qualifies as love, anyway.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> > If I didn't care for you people I wouldn't
>>>>>> > post help tips to inspire you all to be better radio amateurs and
>>>>>> > assets
>>>>>> > to our radio service.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Has *anyone* been inspired to use your "tips"?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> > I'm here to lead and guide everyone to a higher
>>>>>> > level Ham-dom.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The best way is by example. What example can you show us?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> > Now, many of you are like alcoholics and until you realize you all
>>>>>> > need
>>>>>> > help, you're not going to let me help you. But that's OK, I don't
>>>>>> > mind
>>>>>> > pointing out everyone's faults & deficiencies. It's actually quite
>>>>>> > fun.
>>>>>>
```

>>>>>> Everyone including you?
>>>>>
>>>>>Holy Cow!!! N2EY finally takes a stand!
>>>>
>>>> I know I thought it might have been an effort by to assnate ME (by
>>>> shock
>>>
>>>Nothing worse than getting "assnated"!
>> indeed death is as bad as it get Tom
>
>I tend to believe that right before you die in a fire is as bad as it can
>get, but that's just me.

death is death

> "Assnated"....just exactly what is that?

if you don't know what was typed then you are just plain stupid tom

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6172. Re: I don't know why I keep posting to people that hate ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-20 01:42:01 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <JJ78h.420$_H5.26@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 4451
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:v9v1m2l426o89aotc5s19otlkd48oafjkn@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 20 Nov 2006 00:13:08 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>>news:dhr1m2htqf0ida5gbjathr74h2b3ub5vml@4ax.com...
>>> On Sun, 19 Nov 2006 23:17:20 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>>>>news:t581m21smnd6blud0fmu0el456b0d504iq@4ax.com...
>>>>> On 19 Nov 2006 05:57:28 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> > I'm full of love.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Well, full of *something*.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I guess self-love qualifies as love, anyway.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> > If I didn't care for you people I wouldn't
>>>>>>> > post help tips to inspire you all to be better radio amateurs and
>>>>>>> > assets
>>>>>>> > to our radio service.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Has *anyone* been inspired to use your "tips"?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> > I'm here to lead and guide everyone to a higher
>>>>>>> > level Ham-dom.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The best way is by example. What example can you show us?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> > Now, many of you are like alcoholics and until you realize you all
>>>>>>> > need
>>>>>>> > help, you're not going to let me help you. But that's OK, I don't
>>>>>>> > mind
>>>>>>> > pointing out everyone's faults & deficiencies. It's actually quite
```

>>>>>>> > fun.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Everyone including you?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Holy Cow!!! N2EY finally takes a stand!
>>>>>
>>>>> I know I thought it might have been an effort by to assnate ME (by
>>>>> shock
>>>>
>>>>Nothing worse than getting "assnated"!
>>> indeed death is as bad as it get Tom
>>
>>I tend to believe that right before you die in a fire is as bad as it can
>>get, but that's just me.
>
> death is death
>
>> "Assnated"....just exactly what is that?
>
>
> if you don't know what was typed then you are just plain stupid tom

You can read, right Mark? ASS-NA-TED?

>
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

6173. Re: I don't know why I keep posting to people that hate ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-20 01:45:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <o322m2paagr66cjjtji9a5evtgfl3979n4@4ax.com>
Source record: 4452
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
On Mon, 20 Nov 2006 01:42:01 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>news:v9v1m2l426o89aotc5s19otlkd48oafjkn@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 20 Nov 2006 00:13:08 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>>>news:dhr1m2htqf0ida5gbjathr74h2b3ub5vml@4ax.com...
>>>> On Sun, 19 Nov 2006 23:17:20 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>>>>>news:t581m21smnd6blud0fmu0el456b0d504iq@4ax.com...
>>>>>> On 19 Nov 2006 05:57:28 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> > I'm full of love.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Well, full of *something*.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I guess self-love qualifies as love, anyway.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> > If I didn't care for you people I wouldn't
>>>>>>>> > post help tips to inspire you all to be better radio amateurs and
>>>>>>>> > assets
>>>>>>>> > to our radio service.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Has *anyone* been inspired to use your "tips"?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> > I'm here to lead and guide everyone to a higher
>>>>>>>> > level Ham-dom.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> The best way is by example. What example can you show us?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> > Now, many of you are like alcoholics and until you realize you all
```

>>>>>>>> > need
>>>>>>>> > help, you're not going to let me help you. But that's OK, I don't
>>>>>>>> > mind
>>>>>>>> > pointing out everyone's faults & deficiencies. It's actually quite
>>>>>>>> > fun.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Everyone including you?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Holy Cow!!! N2EY finally takes a stand!
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I know I thought it might have been an effort by to assnate ME (by
>>>>>> shock
>>>>>
>>>>>Nothing worse than getting "assnated"!
>>>> indeed death is as bad as it get Tom
>>>
>>>I tend to believe that right before you die in a fire is as bad as it can
>>>get, but that's just me.
>>
>> death is death
>>
>>> "Assnated"....just exactly what is that?
>>
>>
>> if you don't know what was typed then you are just plain stupid tom
>
>ASS-NA-TED?
>
>>
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>

>You can read, right Mark?

yes I can and so can you

you just like to pretend you can't and play gotcha games over obvius
speeling errors

you are just a coward Tom
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6174. Re: Uniden scanner opinions please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-20 03:49:27 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <7cqdnY59SbhUuPzYnZ2dnUVZ_q6dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 4453

"ChristyLynn" <calicokitty@newnorth.net> wrote in message
news:Fq18h.5878$ya1.757@news02.roc.ny...
> This is the cheapest scanner that I can find that says it is
> triple-trunking. Thoughts on this model?
>
> http://www.radioshack.com/product/index.jsp?productId=2463120&cp=2032052.2032072&parentPage=family
>
>
>
>

It won't work with the newer digital systems.

Bill Crocker

6175. Re: I don't know why I keep posting to people that hate ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-20 06:37:41 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <V2c8h.717$_H5.477@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 4454
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:o322m2paagr66cjjtji9a5evtgfl3979n4@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 20 Nov 2006 01:42:01 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>>news:v9v1m2l426o89aotc5s19otlkd48oafjkn@4ax.com...
>>> On Mon, 20 Nov 2006 00:13:08 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>>>>news:dhr1m2htqf0ida5gbjathr74h2b3ub5vml@4ax.com...
>>>>> On Sun, 19 Nov 2006 23:17:20 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>>>>>>news:t581m21smnd6blud0fmu0el456b0d504iq@4ax.com...
>>>>>>> On 19 Nov 2006 05:57:28 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> > I'm full of love.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Well, full of *something*.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> I guess self-love qualifies as love, anyway.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> > If I didn't care for you people I wouldn't
>>>>>>>>> > post help tips to inspire you all to be better radio amateurs
>>>>>>>>> > and
>>>>>>>>> > assets
>>>>>>>>> > to our radio service.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Has *anyone* been inspired to use your "tips"?
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> > I'm here to lead and guide everyone to a higher
>>>>>>>>> > level Ham-dom.
>>>>>>>>>
```

>>>>>>>>> The best way is by example. What example can you show us?
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> > Now, many of you are like alcoholics and until you realize you
>>>>>>>>> > all
>>>>>>>>> > need
>>>>>>>>> > help, you're not going to let me help you. But that's OK, I
>>>>>>>>> > don't
>>>>>>>>> > mind
>>>>>>>>> > pointing out everyone's faults & deficiencies. It's actually
>>>>>>>>> > quite
>>>>>>>>> > fun.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Everyone including you?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Holy Cow!!! N2EY finally takes a stand!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I know I thought it might have been an effort by to assnate ME (by
>>>>>>> shock
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Nothing worse than getting "assnated"!
>>>>> indeed death is as bad as it get Tom
>>>>
>>>>I tend to believe that right before you die in a fire is as bad as it
>>>>can
>>>>get, but that's just me.
>>>
>>> death is death
>>>
>>>> "Assnated"....just exactly what is that?
>>>
>>>
>>> if you don't know what was typed then you are just plain stupid tom
>>
>>ASS-NA-TED?
>>
>>>
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>
>>> --
>>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>>
>>
>
>>You can read, right Mark?
>
> yes I can and so can you
>
> you just like to pretend you can't and play gotcha games over obvius
> speeling errors
>

Mark, you cannot expect others to take you with a grain of salt if you don't expend the energy in a grain of salt to express yourself. You expect others to stoop to your level of comprehension. Why can't you elevate your level of expression to that of the rest of the world?

At present, you are doing the "crappie flop"....flopping around on the deck with no purpose, and expecting the rest of the world to figure out your intended meaning.

> you are just a coward Tom
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

6176. Re: I don't know why I keep posting to people that hate ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-20 06:43:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <5gj2m21e8m29v8bjqntcq592tujbrf53t2@4ax.com>
Source record: 4455
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
On Mon, 20 Nov 2006 06:37:41 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>news:o322m2paagr66cjjtji9a5evtgfl3979n4@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 20 Nov 2006 01:42:01 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>>>news:v9v1m2l426o89aotc5s19otlkd48oafjkn@4ax.com...
>>>> On Mon, 20 Nov 2006 00:13:08 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>>>>>news:dhr1m2htqf0ida5gbjathr74h2b3ub5vml@4ax.com...
>>>>>> On Sun, 19 Nov 2006 23:17:20 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>>>>>>>news:t581m21smnd6blud0fmu0el456b0d504iq@4ax.com...
>>>>>>>> On 19 Nov 2006 05:57:28 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> > I'm full of love.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Well, full of *something*.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> I guess self-love qualifies as love, anyway.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> > If I didn't care for you people I wouldn't
>>>>>>>>>> > post help tips to inspire you all to be better radio amateurs
>>>>>>>>>> > and
>>>>>>>>>> > assets
>>>>>>>>>> > to our radio service.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Has *anyone* been inspired to use your "tips"?
```

>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> > I'm here to lead and guide everyone to a higher
>>>>>>>>>> > level Ham-dom.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> The best way is by example. What example can you show us?
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> > Now, many of you are like alcoholics and until you realize you
>>>>>>>>>> > all
>>>>>>>>>> > need
>>>>>>>>>> > help, you're not going to let me help you. But that's OK, I
>>>>>>>>>> > don't
>>>>>>>>>> > mind
>>>>>>>>>> > pointing out everyone's faults & deficiencies. It's actually
>>>>>>>>>> > quite
>>>>>>>>>> > fun.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Everyone including you?
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Holy Cow!!! N2EY finally takes a stand!
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I know I thought it might have been an effort by to assnate ME (by
>>>>>>>> shock
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Nothing worse than getting "assnated"!
>>>>>> indeed death is as bad as it get Tom
>>>>>
>>>>>I tend to believe that right before you die in a fire is as bad as it
>>>>>can
>>>>>get, but that's just me.
>>>>
>>>> death is death
>>>>
>>>>> "Assnated"....just exactly what is that?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> if you don't know what was typed then you are just plain stupid tom
>>>
>>>ASS-NA-TED?
>>>
>>>>
>>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>>
>>>> --
>>>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>>>
>>>
>>
>>>You can read, right Mark?
>>
>> yes I can and so can you

>>
>> you just like to pretend you can't and play gotcha games over obvius
>> speeling errors
>>
>
>Mark, you cannot expect others to take you with a grain of salt if you don't
>expend the energy in a grain of salt to express yourself.

why not and why do you deserve any effort on my part you lack evn the
basic cowarge to give you name and all you dseem to snip at people or
talk badly off topic

> You expect others
>to stoop to your level of comprehension.

no I don't I have no such expectation I pitch things at your level

> Why can't you elevate your level of
>expression to that of the rest of the world?
>
>At present, you are doing the "crappie flop"....flopping around on the deck
>with no purpose, and expecting the rest of the world to figure out your
>intended meaning.

which is obviouss to anyone with even half a brain
>
>
>> you are just a coward Tom
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6177. Re: I don't know why I keep posting to people that hate ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-20 06:51:57 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <hgc8h.721$_H5.674@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 4456
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:5gj2m21e8m29v8bjqntcq592tujbrf53t2@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 20 Nov 2006 06:37:41 GMT, "U-Know-

All bullshit snipped.

Markie is an idiot.

6178. Re: I don't know why I keep posting to people that hate ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-20 07:00:36 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <jjk2m25at32c9pldgo9kdk9kmj2tftkmss@4ax.com>
Source record: 4457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 20 Nov 2006 06:51:57 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>news:5gj2m21e8m29v8bjqntcq592tujbrf53t2@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 20 Nov 2006 06:37:41 GMT, "U-Know-
>
>All bullshit snipped.

meaning you were unable to answer my stament but had to rrespon anyway
>
>Markie is an idiot.

nah but you are a coawrd
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6179. Re: Satcom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-20 13:39:41 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <xei8h.27723$TV3.6319@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4459

Allen,

I've heard some Spanish 2-way conversations on 263.825 NFM at around 9:30 AM from my location in NE Ohio. This was using my PRO-43 and 220 Mhz Ham Band rubber duck without a preamp!

Frank

"Allen" <fltsatcom@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1163917044.144387.305600@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Hello all, I'm new to the group and I live in the tampa bay area. I
> have been listening to satcom for the past few years now and have
> really got some nice intercepts from the satellites. right now I listen
> to the 90 west satellite and the 105 west satellite. I first started
> out using a discone and found out that it did not provide and gain what
> so ever. when I was at Macdill airforce base and saw the antennas that
> central command was using, I said I want one of those. I did some
> research on how to build one. I went to the local hardware store to buy
> everything I needed. I built myself a 7 turn helix centered at 260mhz
> and I use a cable tv pre-amp on it as well. I have got some excellent
> results from it and the signal comes in crystal clear. I can hear
> several of the fleet relay transponders and clear comms, pirates
> talking and some russian phone relays. below is a list of my logs that
> I have monitored today.
>
>
> 265.550 NFM sounds like PSK
> 250.350 NFM Fleet Relay
> 250.550 NFM Fleet Relay
> 262.125 NFM Data/ Nightstalker Ops
> 261.575 NFM Data
> 262.425 NFM Secure
> 265.550 WFM Music
> 243.825 NFM Unid
> 267.550 NFM Unid
> 263.925 NFM Foreign Voice
> 244.150 NFM Unid
> 246.250 NFM Unid
>
> Feel free to view my satcom homepage, comments and questions are always
> welcome.
>
> http://milsatcom.bravehost.com/index.html
>

6180. Re: I don't know why I keep posting to people that hate ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-20 18:26:31 UTC
From: an old fiend <Mark@kb9rqz.apsr>
Message-ID: <4561e67d$0$21115$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 4460
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:o322m2paagr66cjjtji9a5evtgfl3979n4@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 20 Nov 2006 01:42:01 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>>news:v9v1m2l426o89aotc5s19otlkd48oafjkn@4ax.com...
>>> On Mon, 20 Nov 2006 00:13:08 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>>>>news:dhr1m2htqf0ida5gbjathr74h2b3ub5vml@4ax.com...
>>>>> On Sun, 19 Nov 2006 23:17:20 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>>>>>>news:t581m21smnd6blud0fmu0el456b0d504iq@4ax.com...
>>>>>>> On 19 Nov 2006 05:57:28 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> > I'm full of love.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Well, full of *something*.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> I guess self-love qualifies as love, anyway.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> > If I didn't care for you people I wouldn't
>>>>>>>>> > post help tips to inspire you all to be better radio amateurs
>>>>>>>>> > and
>>>>>>>>> > assets
>>>>>>>>> > to our radio service.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Has *anyone* been inspired to use your "tips"?
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> > I'm here to lead and guide everyone to a higher
>>>>>>>>> > level Ham-dom.
>>>>>>>>>
```

>>>>>>>>> The best way is by example. What example can you show us?
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> > Now, many of you are like alcoholics and until you realize you
>>>>>>>>> > all
>>>>>>>>> > need
>>>>>>>>> > help, you're not going to let me help you. But that's OK, I
>>>>>>>>> > don't
>>>>>>>>> > mind
>>>>>>>>> > pointing out everyone's faults & deficiencies. It's actually
>>>>>>>>> > quite
>>>>>>>>> > fun.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Everyone including you?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Holy Cow!!! N2EY finally takes a stand!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I know I thought it might have been an effort by to assnate ME (by
>>>>>>> shock
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Nothing worse than getting "assnated"!
>>>>> indeed death is as bad as it get Tom
>>>>
>>>>I tend to believe that right before you die in a fire is as bad as it
>>>>can
>>>>get, but that's just me.
>>>
>>> death is death
>>>
>>>> "Assnated"....just exactly what is that?
>>>
>>>
>>> if you don't know what was typed then you are just plain stupid tom
>>
>>ASS-NA-TED?
>>
>>>
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>
>>> --
>>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>>
>>
>
>>You can read, right Mark?
>
> yes I can and so can you
>
> you just like to pretend you can't and play gotcha games
>
punce gotcha games?

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6181. danm pipes frose agian last nite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-20 18:53:51 UTC
From: an old fiend <Mark@kb9rqz.apsr>
Message-ID: <4561ece4$0$21101$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 4461
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

tis time the tiolet blow up real bad so anoter day of shitting in back yard behind teh trash piles I dont have a camra so i draw pic of it see http://www.angelfire.com/sc3/swinston/images/Mar11ToiletExplode.bmp

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6182. Re: 4 Steps for better amateur radio operators.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-20 21:12:13 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1164057133.525023.284270@j44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Lloyd Davies Slappa wrote:
> Lardass Davies NOVFP posting as"Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote in message
> news:flvmg.lbm.17.1@news.alt.net...
> >
> > "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> > news:UvN7h.620$sf5.554@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > I'm re-posting this because Markie took a crap on it last time and some of
> > you might have gotten confused.
> >
> >
> > Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> > pass all elements required for their license class.
> >
> > Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
> >
> > Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
> >
> > Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
> >
> >
> >
> > Tnx, 73, Good luck in the contest.
> >
> > SC
> > .
> > Imagine what step #4 would do to two meter HT sales. The no-coders would
> > be
> > selling them on Ebay at a record rate. Or selling them to fellow CBers.
> > Of course there is the added benefit of not having our local two meter
> > repeaters jammed up with no-coders telling one another, "You are full
> > quieting here.." (DUH??)
> > Simplex two meter freqs will be safe, however. Most no-coders don't know
> > what simplex is.
> >
> >
> Hi Lardass no coder NOVFP Lloyd Davies. When are you going to upgrade your
> license, after the code requirement is dropped? NO! You're too stupid to
> pass the written part too!
>
> Oh BTW, try eating a salad every now and then instead of all that pizza you
> delivery boy lardass loser.
>
> http://i15.tinypic.com/30rnqmg.jpg

BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!

6183. Re: id theft is acrime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-20 21:27:40 UTC
From: peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1164058060.475481.279120@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4463
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 17 Nov 2006 09:16:01 -0800, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
> <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >The Goddamned blatherer of Obtuse Statements wrote:
> >> On Mon, 13 Nov 2006 12:59:31 -0500, Wabbit, frogging Mark Morgan, did
> >> most oddly state:
> >>
> >> And you're a raving, obnoxious, pathetic bigot!
> >
> >And you are an AIDS-ridden cocksucking pervert!
> you are just sick

You are the one who admitted having sex with an 17 year old boy, child
raper.

6184. Re: id theft is acrime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-20 23:45:30 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <nff4m2htqfruenabidvnk0p06ek403srh4@4ax.com>
Source record: 4464
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 20 Nov 2006 13:27:40 -0800, peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 17 Nov 2006 09:16:01 -0800, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
>> <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >The Goddamned blatherer of Obtuse Statements wrote:
>> >> On Mon, 13 Nov 2006 12:59:31 -0500, Wabbit, frogging Mark Morgan, did
>> >> most oddly state:
>> >>
>> >> And you're a raving, obnoxious, pathetic bigot!
>> >
>> >And you are an AIDS-ridden cocksucking pervert!
>> you are just sick
>
>You are the one who admitted having sex with an 17 year old boy, child
>raper.

admitted that likely have and no rape occours in that case at least
under present law woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6185. Re: I don't know why I keep posting to people that hate ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-20 23:48:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <6mf4m2d9bh7hf07k353g0qcandjl95650m@4ax.com>
Source record: 4465
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 20 Nov 2006 13:26:31 -0500, "an old fiend" <Mark@kb9rqz.apsr>
wrote:

>

more fraud His teve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6186. Re: 4 Steps for better amateur radio operators.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-21 00:54:31 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <b7s8h.1364$tM1.1065@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4466
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote in news:flvmg.lbm.17.1@news.alt.net:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:UvN7h.620$sf5.554@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> I'm re-posting this because Markie took a crap on it last time and some
> of you might have gotten confused.
>
>
> Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.
>
> Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>
> Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for
> Extra.
>
> Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
>
>
> Tnx, 73, Good luck in the contest.
>
> SC
> .
> Imagine what step #4 would do to two meter HT sales. The no-coders would
> be selling them on Ebay at a record rate. Or selling them to fellow
> CBers. Of course there is the added benefit of not having our local two
> meter repeaters jammed up with no-coders telling one another, "You are
> full quieting here.." (DUH??)
> Simplex two meter freqs will be safe, however. Most no-coders don't know
> what simplex is.

It won't be that bad, a lot of no-coders will uprade. Only the sick,
lame, and lazy will get out and flood eBay with ham gear, but since the
sick, lame and lazy are like CB'ers we don't want them in the amateur
service anyway. They aren't motivated enough to improve it, or themselves.

SC

6187. CW not CB you losers!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-21 00:54:36 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <g7s8h.1367$tM1.1099@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4467
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

If you don't care what the radio bands sound like, then you're probably a loser that wants to see code to go away. You don't care if hams are valuable. But if you do care about ham radio, you'll help me promote importance of have good operators.

So what's it going to be with you people? Quality hams? or Lids?

Markie ignore this thread, we already know you want more lids.

SC

6188. Re: danm pipes frose agian last nite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-21 00:54:37 UTC
From: Markie Robeson <steve@morgan.com>
Message-ID: <h7s8h.1368$tM1.668@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4469
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

"an old fiend" <Mark@kb9rqz.apsr> wrote in
news:4561ece4$0$21101$88260bb3@free.teranews.com:

> http://www.angelfire.com/sc3/swinston/images/Mar11ToiletExplode.bmp

wHaaaaa! it not laod

markie

6189. Re: DX is running a bit.... Yah, like diarrhea.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-21 00:54:38 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <i7s8h.1369$tM1.259@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4468
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:20061119204549.901$KG@newsreader.com:

> To NY.
>
> ...audio Enzo.

11 meter DX runs like diarrhea, but that's to be expected. Shitty
operators make a service shitty.

SC

6190. Re: I don't know why I keep posting to people that hate ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-21 00:54:52 UTC
From: Moses Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <w7s8h.1377$tM1.1282@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4470
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1163902977.161150.310030@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> news:1163860977.204049.132380@j44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
>> > Quality Code wrote:
>> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> >> news:1163805638.515472.307810@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> > I don't know why you post at all?
>> >> >
>> >> >> or maybe that should be: hate quality & skill in ham radio.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> SC
>> >> >
>> >> > You're highly skilled in hate quality.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Quality is my name.
>> >
>> > Hate is your game.
>>
>>
>> No, No, No. I'm full of love.
>
> You're full of excrement.
>
>> If I didn't care for you people I wouldn't
>> post help tips to inspire you all to be better radio amateurs and
>> assets to our radio service. I'm here to lead and guide everyone to a
>> higher level Ham-dom.
>
> You worship a false god. Some day you'll want a glass of ice water.
>
>> Now, many of you are like alcoholics and until you realize you all need
>> help, you're not going to let me help you. But that's OK, I don't mind
```

>> pointing out everyone's faults & deficiencies. It's actually quite fun.
>
> You're only pointing out what an intolerant little man you are.
>
>> It's like watching cockroaches scurry from the light.
>>
>> SC
>
> Lots of love back at you.

How are things in Lid-ville? Ready to be led out yet?

SC

6191. Re: If KB9RQZ had to send a clear message with a digital mode to save your life, you would die.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-21 00:54:57 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <B7s8h.1380$tM1.131@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4471
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mark in the Dark, wrote in
news:q920m2he8s2rtrfi43k2u6t8j9q9c1rll6@4ax.com:

> On Sun, 19 Nov 2006 00:26:34 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>Markie mumbled in news:hkrsl250otjaojaf1dlg4q5qr4g8be6sqt@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Sat, 18 Nov 2006 01:20:52 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Let's not ever give Markie or any other Markies that are like Markie
>>>>HF.
>>>
>>> Bullshit most day s that I operate I USE CW see in hel some day
>>>
>>> of course that isn't what you meant but you ProCoders do seem to be
>>> unable to say what you trulely mean
>>>>
>>
>>
>>If KB9RQZ had to send readable and understandable message with a digital
>>mode to save your life, you would die.
>
> where is your evidence to support such a conclsuion

In google advanced search, where is says author. type in 'kb9rqz' or
type in 'an old friend' and you get all the proof you need.

SC

6192. Markie says: "Assnate me"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-21 00:55:03 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <H7s8h.1384$tM1.40@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Markie in the Darkie, wrote in
news:t581m21smnd6blud0fmu0el456b0d504iq@4ax.com:

> On 19 Nov 2006 05:57:28 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>
>>> > I'm full of love.
>>>
>>> Well, full of *something*.
>>>
>>> I guess self-love qualifies as love, anyway.
>>>
>>> > If I didn't care for you people I wouldn't
>>> > post help tips to inspire you all to be better radio amateurs and
>>> > assets to our radio service.
>>>
>>> Has *anyone* been inspired to use your "tips"?
>>>
>>> > I'm here to lead and guide everyone to a higher
>>> > level Ham-dom.
>>>
>>> The best way is by example. What example can you show us?
>>>
>>> > Now, many of you are like alcoholics and until you realize you all
>>> > need help, you're not going to let me help you. But that's OK, I
>>> > don't mind pointing out everyone's faults & deficiencies. It's
>>> > actually quite fun.
>>>
>>> Everyone including you?
>>
>>Holy Cow!!! N2EY finally takes a stand!
>
> I know I thought it might have been an effort by to assnate ME

"assnate me?" Is that like getting aids from anal sex and dying from it?

No Markie, I'm not queer, I won't assnate you. You need to talk to Woger
or Lloyd for that.

SC

6193. Re: My Take on CW - for Powerhouse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-21 00:55:05 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <J7s8h.1385$tM1.1270@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4472
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

bajohnson29@yahoo.com wrote in
news:1163983968.927628.10530@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

> Well, I'm excited about learning code. I have been given some great
> websites for practice. And I'm going to try to test in January 2007.
> I don't know if I can be ready that quick - but I'm going to give it a
> shot. Also, I have been encouraged by several hams to learn the
> sounds as complete thoughts instead of learning just letter
> recognition. A bit like learning to read, I guess - so I will try that
> method. Thanks for the hints.

Good luck with it. :) We love helping people that want to good hams get a
license. That's a good point on learning CW. As you get better and
faster at it, you don't hear individual letters, you hear and your mind
memorizes the sound of whole words.

Markie will probably flame you for wanting to learn CW, but just ignore
Markie, he's a retard.

SC

> Slow Code wrote:
>> No matter what facet of radio you enjoy, the topic is to protect it by
>> improving the quality of the hobbiests involved. That's why most will
>> agree if you know morse code, you'll be a better skilled hobbiest and
>> will improve your nich of the hobby and/or radio service you're
>> involved with. A valuable knowledge base for those of coming into the
>> services and hobby.
>>
>> Tnx, 73 Good luck in the contest.
>>
>> SC

6194. Re: Ping Roy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-21 00:55:27 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <38s8h.1392$tM1.864@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4474
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@comcast.net> wrote in
news:Xns9880CCDA3C422mcoslocomcastnet@216.196.97.136:

> Hey Roy,
>
> I share your dilemma with the OT crossposters. I also used
> Thunderbird, and while I like the interface, it hasn't been all that
> great at getting rid of the trash.
>
> You might want to try Xnews. I downloaded it this weekend, and
> have
> been pretty impressed so far.
>
> I'd note that the interface is a lot different from T-bird, and
> that
> its filters are solid, but filter across all of netnews unless you go
> fairly deep into the program. That's okay by me, though.
>
> If you want to do it the simple way, you hit "K", and enter how
> many
> days you want the poster to go away. If you want them to go away foever,
> you type in "0".
>
> Oh, and it's free.
>
> - 73 de Mike KB3EIA -

Mike,

Xnews is what I use too and I don't have any problems with off topic posts
or cross-posts anymore. Tnx, 73, Good luck on the Code test.

SC

6195. Re: What happened to that loser Dr Death?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-21 00:56:14 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <O8s8h.1399$tM1.776@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4475
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

johnvonlaws@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1163899635.981817.168370@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> Did he finally wake up and decide to get a ham license?
>>
>> SC
>
> He's sticking a cock in you old ladys mouth....

So he finally got tired of sticking it up your ass. Well good for
him. How's your CW practice coming along, are you going for a
license too or are you too lazy and stupid to be a ham?

SC

6196. crapthon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-21 01:16:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <7qk4m2t1qinlhfhtfa21cke34ec0n3gei5@4ax.com>
Source record: 4476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 21 Nov 2006 00:55:05 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>bajohnson29@yahoo.com wrote in
>news:1163983968.927628.10530@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
>> Well, I'm excited about learning code. I have been given some great
>> websites for practice. And I'm going to try to test in January 2007.
>> I don't know if I can be ready that quick - but I'm going to give it a
>> shot. Also, I have been encouraged by several hams to learn the
>> sounds as complete thoughts instead of learning just letter
>> recognition. A bit like learning to read, I guess - so I will try that
>> method. Thanks for the hints.
>
>
>
>Good luck with it. :) We love helping people that want to good hams get a
>license.
when are you turning in yours to help with that effort?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6197. crapthon contiues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-21 01:17:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <erk4m25sg2i4bg76jnnjdke0hufu4g03si@4ax.com>
Source record: 4477
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 21 Nov 2006 00:54:31 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote in news:flvmg.lbm.17.1@news.alt.net:
>
>>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:UvN7h.620$sf5.554@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> I'm re-posting this because Markie took a crap on it last time and some
>> of you might have gotten confused.
>>
>>
>> Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
>> pass all elements required for their license class.
>>
>> Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>>
>> Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for
>> Extra.
>>
>> Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>>
>>
>>
>> Tnx, 73, Good luck in the contest.
>>
>> SC
>> .
>> Imagine what step #4 would do to two meter HT sales. The no-coders would
>> be selling them on Ebay at a record rate. Or selling them to fellow
>> CBers. Of course there is the added benefit of not having our local two
>> meter repeaters jammed up with no-coders telling one another, "You are
>> full quieting here.." (DUH??)
>> Simplex two meter freqs will be safe, however. Most no-coders don't know
>> what simplex is.
>
>
>It won't be that bad, a lot of no-coders will uprade.

none of them will need the FCC would never adpot your crapy idea

they don't care much about the ARS but they care more than YOU
> Only the sick,
>lame, and lazy will get out and flood eBay with ham gear, but since the
>sick, lame and lazy are like CB'ers we don't want them in the amateur

>service anyway. They aren't motivated enough to improve it, or themselves.
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6198. stop with the the blashpeming sc please you nromal troling is bad enough

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-21 01:18:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <etk4m257er8kf4570pnlg77r7ehv58q4fa@4ax.com>
Source record: 4478
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 21 Nov 2006 00:54:52 GMT, Moses Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
wrote:

stop the blaspheming SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6199. Re: IfSC had to send a clear message with a digital mode to save your life, you would die.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-21 01:21:32 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <k3l4m21p8kvvotjute57up79dtie37tq1j@4ax.com>
Source record: 4479
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 21 Nov 2006 00:54:57 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark in the Dark, wrote in
>news:q920m2he8s2rtrfi43k2u6t8j9q9c1rll6@4ax.com:
>
>> On Sun, 19 Nov 2006 00:26:34 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>Markie mumbled in news:hkrsl250otjaojaf1dlg4q5qr4g8be6sqt@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>> On Sat, 18 Nov 2006 01:20:52 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Let's not ever give Markie or any other Markies that are like Markie
>>>>>HF.
>>>>
>>>> Bullshit most day s that I operate I USE CW see in hel some day
>>>>
>>>> of course that isn't what you meant but you ProCoders do seem to be
>>>> unable to say what you trulely mean
>>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>If KB9RQZ had to send readable and understandable message with a digital
>>>mode to save your life, you would die.
>>
>> where is your evidence to support such a conclsuion
>
>
>In google advanced search, where is says author. type in 'kb9rqz' or
>type in 'an old friend' and you get all the proof you need.

no proof of your assertion will be found therby
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6200. stil a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-21 01:24:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <sal4m2pfnl8hhv5ka8qf4ssqouv0tliv6c@4ax.com>
Source record: 4480
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 21 Nov 2006 00:54:37 GMT, Markie Robeson <steve@morgan.com>
wrote:

>"an old fiend" <Mark@kb9rqz.apsr> wrote in
>news:4561ece4$0$21101$88260bb3@free.teranews.com:
>
>> http://www.angelfire.com/sc3/swinston/images/Mar11ToiletExplode.bmp
>
>
>wHaaaaa! it not laod
>
>markie
still a stpuid forge
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6201. crapthon contiues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-21 01:27:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <rel4m2l34bs77i81ld9fr9cueu27tcucra@4ax.com>
Source record: 4481
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 21 Nov 2006 00:54:36 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>If you don't care what the radio bands sound like, then you're probably a
>loser that wants to see code to go away. You don't care if hams are
>valuable. But if you do care about ham radio, you'll help me promote
>importance of have good operators.

indeed I support having good operator and good people SC please turn
in your license
>
>So what's it going to be with you people? Quality hams? or Lids?

you support more lids
>
>
>
>Markie ignore this thread, we already know you want more lids.

lying again SC
>
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6202. crapthon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-21 01:28:52 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ghl4m2huklpusihbqrt48ddh6ff13a0211@4ax.com>
Source record: 4482
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 21 Nov 2006 00:55:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Markie in the Darkie, wrote in
>news:t581m21smnd6blud0fmu0el456b0d504iq@4ax.com:
>
>> On 19 Nov 2006 05:57:28 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>
>>>> > I'm full of love.
>>>>
>>>> Well, full of *something*.
>>>>
>>>> I guess self-love qualifies as love, anyway.
>>>>
>>>> > If I didn't care for you people I wouldn't
>>>> > post help tips to inspire you all to be better radio amateurs and
>>>> > assets to our radio service.
>>>>
>>>> Has *anyone* been inspired to use your "tips"?
>>>>
>>>> > I'm here to lead and guide everyone to a higher
>>>> > level Ham-dom.
>>>>
>>>> The best way is by example. What example can you show us?
>>>>
>>>> > Now, many of you are like alcoholics and until you realize you all
>>>> > need help, you're not going to let me help you. But that's OK, I
>>>> > don't mind pointing out everyone's faults & deficiencies. It's
>>>> > actually quite fun.
>>>>
>>>> Everyone including you?
>>>
>>>Holy Cow!!! N2EY finally takes a stand!
>>
>> I know I thought it might have been an effort by to assnate ME
>
>
>
>"assnate me?" Is that like getting aids from anal sex and dying from it?

no but you are the sex freak sc
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6203. still a cime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-21 01:31:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <2ol4m2hjvk84pvbn2lkj2p5r0ae5idg37a@4ax.com>
Source record: 4483
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 20 Nov 2006 13:53:51 -0500, "an old fiend" <Mark@kb9rqz.apsr>
wrote:

>
you know in comting fraud you might check if it is psooible for
something to frezze at my place
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6204. crapthon goes on and on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-21 01:36:32 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <c0m4m2lbvnnqk500svt5p9btcnb5774ogb@4ax.com>
Source record: 4484
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 21 Nov 2006 00:54:38 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>news:20061119204549.901$KG@newsreader.com:
>
>> To NY.
>>
>> ...audio Enzo.
>
>
>11 meter DX runs like diarrhea, but that's to be expected. Shitty
>operators make a service shitty.

stop with your shit fetish steve
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6205. Re: Satcom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-21 16:00:35 UTC
From: Allen <fltsatcom@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1164124834.856180.222100@h54g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4485

Hi Frank, 262.125 has been vey active with clear comms during the early
to mid afternoons.

http://milsatcom.bravehost.com/index.html

Frank wrote:
> Allen,
>
> I've heard some Spanish 2-way conversations on 263.825 NFM at around
> 9:30 AM from my location in NE Ohio. This was using my PRO-43 and 220 Mhz
> Ham Band rubber duck without a preamp!
>
> Frank

6206. i punce in agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-21 17:39:54 UTC
From: an old fiend <Mark@kb9rqz.apsr>
Message-ID: <45632d15$0$21127$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 4486
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis is waht I
do so bringit on you loosers

show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW rendered
phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and SC a
few just decided to not to be as hateful

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty impoving
my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

p s dont even tink aoubt forgering my fake emale a dress

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6207. crapthon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-21 17:45:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <jne6m291k50khokeiom7eqork1goo2b572@4ax.com>
Source record: 4487
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On Tue, 21 Nov 2006 12:39:54 -0500, "an old fiend" <Mark@kb9rqz.apsr>
wrote:

>
you are truly desperate for attention I see woger go back to
nimbusters and I wil respond to your heap of crap
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6208. Re: i punce in agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-21 21:25:31 UTC
From: Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1164144331.295520.19340@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4488
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

an old fiend wrote:
> ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis is waht I
> do so bringit on you loosers
>
> show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW rendered
> phonicticaly)
> happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and SC a
> few just decided to not to be as hateful
>
> with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty impoving
> my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?
>
> p s dont even tink aoubt forgering my fake emale a dress
>
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
>
>
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.............................
Get off the dam computer and get over here and service my joy stick!

6209. crapthon contriues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-21 21:29:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <9tr6m2doo6picgvj3gakln4jtrhvv9bccg@4ax.com>
Source record: 4489
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On 21 Nov 2006 13:25:31 -0800, Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>an old fiend wrote:
>> ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis is waht I
>> do so bringit on you loosers
>>
>> show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW rendered
>> phonicticaly)
>> happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and SC a
>> few just decided to not to be as hateful
>>
>> with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty impoving
>> my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?
>>
>> p s dont even tink aoubt forgering my fake emale a dress
>>
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
>Marqueer.............................
>Get off the dam computer and get over here and service my joy stick!
more crude forgery

why don't you get a life
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6210. Re: i punce in agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-21 21:35:08 UTC
From: Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1164144908.787979.308040@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4490
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old fiend wrote:
> > ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis is waht I
> > do so bringit on you loosers
> >
> > show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW rendered
> > phonicticaly)
> > happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and SC a
> > few just decided to not to be as hateful
> >
> > with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty impoving
> > my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?
> >
> > p s dont even tink aoubt forgering my fake emale a dress
> >
> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
> Marqueer.............................
> Get off the dam computer and get over here and service my joy stick!

Marqueer.............................
Get off the dam computer and get over here and service my joy stick!

6211. crapathon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-21 21:37:32 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <vbs6m2ha161hq3pgps3v8bktqd4mgup3r3@4ax.com>
Source record: 4491
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On 21 Nov 2006 13:35:08 -0800, Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com wrote:
>> an old fiend wrote:
>> > ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis is waht I
>> > do so bringit on you loosers
>> >
>> > show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW rendered
>> > phonicticaly)
>> > happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and SC a
>> > few just decided to not to be as hateful
>> >
>> > with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty impoving
>> > my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?
>> >
>> > p s dont even tink aoubt forgering my fake emale a dress
>> >
>> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > --
>> > Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>> Marqueer.............................
>> Get off the dam computer and get over here and service my joy stick!
>
>
>
>Marqueer.............................
>Get off the dam computer and get over here and service my joy stick!
spaming yourself now woger that is sick
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6212. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-22 00:31:35 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <HTM8h.1810$sf5.1212@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4492
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
news:1164074986.489001.235430@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

> These are the numbers of current, unexpired
> amateur radio licenses held by individuals
> on the stated dates, and the percentage of
> the total number of active licenses that
> class contains:
>
> As of May 14, 2000:
>
> Novice - 49,329 (7.3%)
> Technician - 205,394 (30.4%)
> Technician Plus - 128,860 (19.1%)
> General - 112,677 (16.7%)
> Advanced - 99,782 (14.8%)
> Extra - 78,750 (11.7%)
>
> Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254 (49.5%)
>
> Total General/Advanced/Extra - 291,209 (43.2%)
>
> Total all classes - 674,792
>
> As of November 15, 2006:
>
> Novice - 23,974 (3.7%) [decrease of 25,355]
> Technician - 287,981 (43.9%) [increase of 82,587]
> Technician Plus - 34,334 (5.2%) [decrease of 94,526]
> General - 131,685 (20.1%) [increase of 19,008]
> Advanced - 70,373 (10.7%) [decrease of 29,409]
> Extra - 108,192 (16.5%) [increase of 29,442]
>
> (percentages may not add up to exactly 100.0% due to rounding)
>
> Total Tech/TechPlus - 322,315 (49.1%) [decrease of 11,939]
>
> Total General/Advanced/Extra - 310,250 (47.3%) [increase of 19,041]
>
> Total all classes - 656,539 (decrease of 18,253)
>
> Note that these totals do not include licenses
> that have expired but are in the grace period.

>
> They also do not include club, military
> or other station-only licenses.
>
> Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new
> Novice, Technician Plus and Advanced licenses
> are no longer issued.
>
> Since April 15, 2000, FCC has renewed all existing
> Technician Plus licenses as Technician. By May of
> 2010, the number of Technician Plus licenses will drop
> to zero, because all of them will have been renewed as
> Technician or allowed to expire. It is therefore
> informative to consider the totals of the two classes,
> since the Technician class includes a significant
> number of Technician Plus licenses renewed as
> Technician.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Thanks for posting this Jim. Maybe now everyone will see dumbing down ham radio was a bad idea.

SC

6213. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-22 00:33:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <bl67m2536sse49hmhahdnld8t9ai4cn82b@4ax.com>
Source record: 4493
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 22 Nov 2006 00:31:35 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>news:1164074986.489001.235430@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
>> These are the numbers of current, unexpired
>> amateur radio licenses held by individuals
>> on the stated dates, and the percentage of
>> the total number of active licenses that
>> class contains:
>>
>> As of May 14, 2000:
>>
>> Novice - 49,329 (7.3%)
>> Technician - 205,394 (30.4%)
>> Technician Plus - 128,860 (19.1%)
>> General - 112,677 (16.7%)
>> Advanced - 99,782 (14.8%)
>> Extra - 78,750 (11.7%)
>>
>> Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254 (49.5%)
>>
>> Total General/Advanced/Extra - 291,209 (43.2%)
>>
>> Total all classes - 674,792
>>
>> As of November 15, 2006:
>>
>> Novice - 23,974 (3.7%) [decrease of 25,355]
>> Technician - 287,981 (43.9%) [increase of 82,587]
>> Technician Plus - 34,334 (5.2%) [decrease of 94,526]
>> General - 131,685 (20.1%) [increase of 19,008]
>> Advanced - 70,373 (10.7%) [decrease of 29,409]
>> Extra - 108,192 (16.5%) [increase of 29,442]
>>
>> (percentages may not add up to exactly 100.0% due to rounding)
>>
>> Total Tech/TechPlus - 322,315 (49.1%) [decrease of 11,939]
>>
>> Total General/Advanced/Extra - 310,250 (47.3%) [increase of 19,041]
>>
>> Total all classes - 656,539 (decrease of 18,253)
>>

>> Note that these totals do not include licenses
>> that have expired but are in the grace period.
>>
>> They also do not include club, military
>> or other station-only licenses.
>>
>> Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new
>> Novice, Technician Plus and Advanced licenses
>> are no longer issued.
>>
>> Since April 15, 2000, FCC has renewed all existing
>> Technician Plus licenses as Technician. By May of
>> 2010, the number of Technician Plus licenses will drop
>> to zero, because all of them will have been renewed as
>> Technician or allowed to expire. It is therefore
>> informative to consider the totals of the two classes,
>> since the Technician class includes a significant
>> number of Technician Plus licenses renewed as
>> Technician.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
>Thanks for posting this Jim. Maybe now everyone will see dumbing down ham
>radio was a bad idea.

it was and we are trying to repair the damage done by incentive
licensing
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6214. Re: Uniden scanner opinions please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-22 03:27:53 UTC
From: Beloved Leader <Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com>
Message-ID: <1164166073.102832.200050@j44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4494

Keith wrote:

(link to PRO-433 1,000-Channel Triple-Trunking Desktop/Mobile Scanner
$169.99
Model: PRO-433
Catalog #: 20-433)

> On the day after thanksgiving the handheld model of this radio will be
> on sale for $99. FYI

Which one is that? The PRO-97?

http://tinyurl.com/ygh2gk

PRO-97 1000-Channel Triple-Trunking Handheld Scanner
$199.99
Model: PRO-97 | Catalog #: 20-527

6215. George Fetter-milaircomms.com/-Busted!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-22 07:34:46 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4563FD96.7D3A77A0@milestones.com>
Source record: 4495

Seems ol' George is in a bit of trouble!

http://www.local6.com/news/10374177/detail.html

http://www.wftv.com/news/10367495/detail.html#

http://www.wesh.com/news/10373344/detail.html

dxAce
Michigan
USA

6216. Re: Radio Shack Black Friday Ad (SEND YOUR MONEY TO TBN!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-22 15:32:50 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <DOidnfDTQvsr5PnYnZ2dnUVZ_tudnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 4496
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Diana Satyr" <archer@silverorb.net> wrote in message

> A memory attack that dire can absolutely make you shit a brick!
>
> Leonard

Is Diana your "chic" name?

6217. Re: George Fetter-milaircomms.com/-Busted!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-22 15:35:34 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <kYednb2M4L3A5_nYnZ2dnUVZ_vadnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 4497

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:4563FD96.7D3A77A0@milestones.com...
> Seems ol' George is in a bit of trouble!
>
> http://www.local6.com/news/10374177/detail.html
>
> http://www.wftv.com/news/10367495/detail.html#
>
> http://www.wesh.com/news/10373344/detail.html
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
Oh no, now milaircomms.com "futures" stock will plummet!

shit

HJ

6218. Re: Radio Shack Black Friday Ad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-22 17:58:59 UTC
From: Will <wgmartin99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1164218339.803073.27830@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4498
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Umm, no. There are two radio items in the ad; here's what I sent to the DXLD Yahoo group:

Hi, all!
Got a Thanksgiving sale flyer from Radio Shack yesterday in which they list their special sale items for that day and the following weekend. On the Friday/Saturday/Sunday page they list the analog-tuning AM/FM/SW crank-up radio with flashlight and cellphone charger #20-238 at $19.99; this one has the RS name and not Eton on it, and the cosmetics are different from the Eton models. Regular price is $39.99.

There is also a handheld scanner #20-528 at $99.99 (regular $169.99); they don't mention what the model # is, not give any details of the frequency coverage, but they do say it is "Triple-Trunking" and has 1000 channels.

For what it's worth...

73, Will

6219. SHARES (SHARED RESOURCES EMERGENCY SERVICES) ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-22 19:03:43 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsros@notme.com>
Message-ID: <d5SdnaZ4TsSRAvnYnZ2dnUVZ_vidnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 4499

Hi,

Anyone know anything about: SHARES (SHARED RESOURCES EMERGENCY SERVICES) ?

Looked in Google, but couldn't find much; what there was, wasn't all that
informative.
e.g.

- who/what they are ?
- frequencies and modes used ?
- much traffic on ?

6220. Re: George Fetter-milaircomms.com/-Busted!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-22 19:58:29 UTC
From: Gomer Simpson <Gomer_Simpson@nowhere.net>
Message-ID: <FZ19h.246534$FQ1.45088@attbi_s71>
Source record: 4500

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message news:4563FD96.7D3A77A0@milestones.com...
> Seems ol' George is in a bit of trouble!
>
> http://www.local6.com/news/10374177/detail.html
>
> http://www.wftv.com/news/10367495/detail.html#
>
> http://www.wesh.com/news/10373344/detail.html
>

I guess his internet porn sites werent paying enough.

G

6221. Re: George Fetter-milaircomms.com/-Busted!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-22 20:40:32 UTC
From: mattcawby@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1164228032.529923.305930@h54g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4401

dxAce wrote:
> Seems ol' George is in a bit of trouble!
>
> http://www.local6.com/news/10374177/detail.html
>
> http://www.wftv.com/news/10367495/detail.html#
>
> http://www.wesh.com/news/10373344/detail.html
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

Who's in jail? Inquiry

FETTER,GEORGE W W M 01/16/65 WS660314 BRANCH JAIL

http://volusia.org/corrections/search_page.htm

6222. FA: Yaesu FT60 & Icom R100 receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-22 21:40:47 UTC
From: Rod Barton <cabletek73@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <zt39h.48$g25.18@newsfe12.lga>
Source record: 4402
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur

For those interested -

FT60
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=110056705924&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT&ih=001

Icom
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&ih=001&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&viewitem=&item=110056714271&rd=1&rd=1

6223. Re: George Fetter-milaircomms.com/-Busted!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-23 00:01:54 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Sx59h.2176$ql2.687@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4403
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in
news:4563FD96.7D3A77A0@milestones.com:

> Seems ol' George is in a bit of trouble!
>
> http://www.local6.com/news/10374177/detail.html
>
> http://www.wftv.com/news/10367495/detail.html#
>
> http://www.wesh.com/news/10373344/detail.html
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

No wonder we haven't seen posts from him for a while, he's been busy
scamming. I wonder who he'll hire to keep up his websites while he's a
ward of the county. Hee Hee Heeeeeeee!

SC

6224. Laziness is no reason to destroy quality radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-23 00:02:03 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <%x59h.2183$ql2.1278@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4404
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

If you hate CW or are too dumb or lazy to learn it, your retard ass needs to stick with scanners, shortwave, cell phones, and CB. We don't need you in ham radio.

SC

6225. Re: Straights, Boycott Wal-Mart!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-23 00:02:16 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cy59h.2193$ql2.700@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4405
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Patrick <arp@_NOSPAM_inet4u.com> wrote in
news:12m6cst38auro2f@corp.supernews.com:

> I received this in the mail today and thought it worthy of being passed
> along to others who may not be aware of the situation -- and alliances
> that Wal-Mart has formed. I wish I'd known a month ago when I renewed
> my Sam's Club membership which doesn't expire until January.
>
> "I don't know if you are aware of this or not but it has come to the
> attention of the Christians in this nation that Wal-Mart has joined the
> National Gay Lesbian Chamber of Commerce and is doing all they can to
> support the homosexual agenda, including giving 5% of all online sales
> to the gay-lesbian cause. As a result there has been a call for a nation
> wide boycott of Wal-Mart this coming Fri. & Sat. the two biggest
> shopping days of the Christmas season as well as pickets of at least 300
> stores, including Sam's Clubs. Christians are also being asked to shop
> at other stores and send copies of their receipts to Wal-Mart to show
> them how much money they are losing.
>
> "The thing we want to stress is this is an exercise in the Love of God
> for this country and keeping the trash away from our families. Any
> business that knowingly collects potential disease spreading elements in
> one place is worthy of being put out of business. Not that we hate these
> people because we do not but we love our Lord and our children and
> grandchildren. They can change with the Love of Christ and the help of
> Christians who want to be of service. Will you be of help in this
> format? . . . "
>
> If you're queer and/or you wish to support their sins support Wal-Mart
> and Sam's Club. If you do not wish to see God rain His fury upon
> America as He did on Sodom and Gomorrah (See Genesis chapters 18 and 19
> in the Holy Bible.) then DO NOT support anyone who supports this vile
> "life style" which is actually a DEATH STYLE! :-)
>
> Al.

If that's true, I'm going to quit shopping at Walmart. I don't want my
money going to help Fags.

SC

6226. crapthon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-23 01:11:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <eat9m2p7k8oiq3o6cnfrlo6uhpihj2ooab@4ax.com>
Source record: 4406
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 23 Nov 2006 00:02:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>If you hate CW or are too dumb or lazy to learn it, your retard ass needs
>to stick with scanners, shortwave, cell phones, and CB. We don't need you
>in ham radio.
>
>SC
crapthon goes on
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6227. crapthon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-23 01:12:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <fct9m2du60mke8lvph3q9366supedq43t4@4ax.com>
Source record: 4407
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 23 Nov 2006 00:02:16 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Al Patrick <arp@_NOSPAM_inet4u.com> wrote in
>news:12m6cst38auro2f@corp.supernews.com:
>
>> I received this in the mail today and thought it worthy of being passed
>> along to others who may not be aware of the situation -- and alliances
>> that Wal-Mart has formed. I wish I'd known a month ago when I renewed
>> my Sam's Club membership which doesn't expire until January.
>>
>> "I don't know if you are aware of this or not but it has come to the
>> attention of the Christians in this nation that Wal-Mart has joined the
>> National Gay Lesbian Chamber of Commerce and is doing all they can to
>> support the homosexual agenda, including giving 5% of all online sales
>> to the gay-lesbian cause. As a result there has been a call for a nation
>> wide boycott of Wal-Mart this coming Fri. & Sat. the two biggest
>> shopping days of the Christmas season as well as pickets of at least 300
>> stores, including Sam's Clubs. Christians are also being asked to shop
>> at other stores and send copies of their receipts to Wal-Mart to show
>> them how much money they are losing.
>>
>> "The thing we want to stress is this is an exercise in the Love of God
>> for this country and keeping the trash away from our families. Any
>> business that knowingly collects potential disease spreading elements in
>> one place is worthy of being put out of business. Not that we hate these
>> people because we do not but we love our Lord and our children and
>> grandchildren. They can change with the Love of Christ and the help of
>> Christians who want to be of service. Will you be of help in this
>> format? . . . "
>>
>> If you're queer and/or you wish to support their sins support Wal-Mart
>> and Sam's Club. If you do not wish to see God rain His fury upon
>> America as He did on Sodom and Gomorrah (See Genesis chapters 18 and 19
>> in the Holy Bible.) then DO NOT support anyone who supports this vile
>> "life style" which is actually a DEATH STYLE! :-)
>>
>> Al.
>
>
>If that's true, I'm going to quit shopping at Walmart. I don't want my
>money going to help Fags.
>
>SC

crapthon goes on
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6228. crapthon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-23 02:19:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1164248351.361389.116910@l39g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Not Lloyd wrote:
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:cy59h.2193$ql2.700@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...

>
> If that's true, I'm going to quit shopping at Walmart. I don't want my
> money going to help Fags.
>
> SC
> .
> "Bisexuality immediately doubles your chances for a date on Saturday night."
that is also nothing I have said it was some comdain not me

from Personal experence I can also it just ain't true sadly
> Mark In The Dark

6229. Re: Straights, Boycott Wal-Mart!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-23 02:23:00 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <fvl8c.mqj.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:cy59h.2193$ql2.700@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
Al Patrick <arp@_NOSPAM_inet4u.com> wrote in
news:12m6cst38auro2f@corp.supernews.com:

> I received this in the mail today and thought it worthy of being passed
> along to others who may not be aware of the situation -- and alliances
> that Wal-Mart has formed. I wish I'd known a month ago when I renewed
> my Sam's Club membership which doesn't expire until January.
>
> "I don't know if you are aware of this or not but it has come to the
> attention of the Christians in this nation that Wal-Mart has joined the
> National Gay Lesbian Chamber of Commerce and is doing all they can to
> support the homosexual agenda, including giving 5% of all online sales
> to the gay-lesbian cause. As a result there has been a call for a nation
> wide boycott of Wal-Mart this coming Fri. & Sat. the two biggest
> shopping days of the Christmas season as well as pickets of at least 300
> stores, including Sam's Clubs. Christians are also being asked to shop
> at other stores and send copies of their receipts to Wal-Mart to show
> them how much money they are losing.
>
> "The thing we want to stress is this is an exercise in the Love of God
> for this country and keeping the trash away from our families. Any
> business that knowingly collects potential disease spreading elements in
> one place is worthy of being put out of business. Not that we hate these
> people because we do not but we love our Lord and our children and
> grandchildren. They can change with the Love of Christ and the help of
> Christians who want to be of service. Will you be of help in this
> format? . . . "
>
> If you're queer and/or you wish to support their sins support Wal-Mart
> and Sam's Club. If you do not wish to see God rain His fury upon
> America as He did on Sodom and Gomorrah (See Genesis chapters 18 and 19
> in the Holy Bible.) then DO NOT support anyone who supports this vile
> "life style" which is actually a DEATH STYLE! :-)
>
> Al.

If that's true, I'm going to quit shopping at Walmart. I don't want my
money going to help Fags.

SC
.
"Bisexuality immediately doubles your chances for a date on Saturday night."

Mark In The Dark

6230. Re: AUK fruit cakes, Boycott Wal-Mart!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-23 02:45:59 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1164249959.349760.66310@l12g2000cwl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4410
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

Slow Code wrote:
> Al Patrick <arp@_NOSPAM_inet4u.com> wrote in
> news:12m6cst38auro2f@corp.supernews.com:
>
> > I received this in the mail today and thought it worthy of being passed
> > along to others who may not be aware of the situation -- and alliances
> > that Wal-Mart has formed. I wish I'd known a month ago when I renewed
> > my Sam's Club membership which doesn't expire until January.
> >
> > "I don't know if you are aware of this or not but it has come to the
> > attention of the Christians in this nation that Wal-Mart has joined the
> > National Gay Lesbian Chamber of Commerce and is doing all they can to
> > support the homosexual agenda, including giving 5% of all online sales
> > to the gay-lesbian cause. As a result there has been a call for a nation
> > wide boycott of Wal-Mart this coming Fri. & Sat. the two biggest
> > shopping days of the Christmas season as well as pickets of at least 300
> > stores, including Sam's Clubs. Christians are also being asked to shop
> > at other stores and send copies of their receipts to Wal-Mart to show
> > them how much money they are losing.
> >
> > "The thing we want to stress is this is an exercise in the Love of God
> > for this country and keeping the trash away from our families. Any
> > business that knowingly collects potential disease spreading elements in
> > one place is worthy of being put out of business. Not that we hate these
> > people because we do not but we love our Lord and our children and
> > grandchildren. They can change with the Love of Christ and the help of
> > Christians who want to be of service. Will you be of help in this
> > format? . . . "
> >
> > If you're queer and/or you wish to support their sins support Wal-Mart
> > and Sam's Club. If you do not wish to see God rain His fury upon
> > America as He did on Sodom and Gomorrah (See Genesis chapters 18 and 19
> > in the Holy Bible.) then DO NOT support anyone who supports this vile
> > "life style" which is actually a DEATH STYLE! :-)
> >
> > Al.
>
>
> If that's true, I'm going to quit shopping at Walmart. I don't want my
> money going to help Fags.
>
> SC

i met gav in teh mens room at wlamart

6231. crapthon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-23 03:08:18 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1164251298.447489.96790@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4411
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

an_old_friend wrote:
>
more fraud

6232. Re: Straights, Boycott Wal-Mart!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-23 12:28:02 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <409176587.755484.1238@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 4412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 23 Nov 2006 00:02:16 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> Al Patrick <arp@_NOSPAM_inet4u.com> wrote in
> news:12m6cst38auro2f@corp.supernews.com:
>
> > I received this in the mail today and thought it worthy of being passed
> > along to others who may not be aware of the situation -- and alliances
> > that Wal-Mart has formed. I wish I'd known a month ago when I renewed
> > my Sam's Club membership which doesn't expire until January.
> >
> > "I don't know if you are aware of this or not but it has come to the
> > attention of the Christians in this nation that Wal-Mart has joined the
> > National Gay Lesbian Chamber of Commerce and is doing all they can to
> > support the homosexual agenda, including giving 5% of all online sales
> > to the gay-lesbian cause. As a result there has been a call for a nation
> > wide boycott of Wal-Mart this coming Fri. & Sat. the two biggest
> > shopping days of the Christmas season as well as pickets of at least 300
> > stores, including Sam's Clubs. Christians are also being asked to shop
> > at other stores and send copies of their receipts to Wal-Mart to show
> > them how much money they are losing.
> >
> > "The thing we want to stress is this is an exercise in the Love of God
> > for this country and keeping the trash away from our families. Any
> > business that knowingly collects potential disease spreading elements in
> > one place is worthy of being put out of business. Not that we hate these
> > people because we do not but we love our Lord and our children and
> > grandchildren. They can change with the Love of Christ and the help of
> > Christians who want to be of service. Will you be of help in this
> > format? . . . "
> >
> > If you're queer and/or you wish to support their sins support Wal-Mart
> > and Sam's Club. If you do not wish to see God rain His fury upon
> > America as He did on Sodom and Gomorrah (See Genesis chapters 18 and 19
> > in the Holy Bible.) then DO NOT support anyone who supports this vile
> > "life style" which is actually a DEATH STYLE! :-)
> >
> > Al.
>
>
> If that's true, I'm going to quit shopping at Walmart. I don't want my
> money going to help Fags.

It *IS* true. The Snopes urban legend web site has full details at
http://www.snopes.com/politics/sexuality/walmart.asp

Now that Miller Brewing donated $30K to the illegal alien marches of this past Summer, and now that Walmart has turned against family values, I've cut off doing business with both companies. Political correctness of that kind is endangering this country, and we have to fight it.

6233. Re: Laziness is no reason to destroy quality radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-23 16:43:04 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <tck9h.16$kz3.10@newsfe08.lga>
Source record: 4413
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Troll, troll, troll your boat....

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:%x59h.2183$ql2.1278@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> If you hate CW or are too dumb or lazy to learn it, your retard ass needs
> to stick with scanners, shortwave, cell phones, and CB. We don't need you
> in ham radio.
>
> SC

6234. Re: Satcom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-23 17:23:05 UTC
From: Scan Man <djnordmann@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1164302585.657363.133750@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4414

Allen wrote:
> Hello all, I'm new to the group and I live in the tampa bay area. I
> have been listening to satcom for the past few years now and have
> really got some nice intercepts from the satellites. right now I listen
> to the 90 west satellite and the 105 west satellite. I first started
> out using a discone and found out that it did not provide and gain what
> so ever. when I was at Macdill airforce base and saw the antennas that
> central command was using, I said I want one of those. I did some
> research on how to build one. I went to the local hardware store to buy
> everything I needed. I built myself a 7 turn helix centered at 260mhz
> and I use a cable tv pre-amp on it as well. I have got some excellent
> results from it and the signal comes in crystal clear. I can hear
> several of the fleet relay transponders and clear comms, pirates
> talking and some russian phone relays. below is a list of my logs that
> I have monitored today.
>
>
> 265.550 NFM sounds like PSK
> 250.350 NFM Fleet Relay
> 250.550 NFM Fleet Relay
> 262.125 NFM Data/ Nightstalker Ops
> 261.575 NFM Data
> 262.425 NFM Secure
> 265.550 WFM Music
> 243.825 NFM Unid
> 267.550 NFM Unid
> 263.925 NFM Foreign Voice
> 244.150 NFM Unid
> 246.250 NFM Unid
>
> Feel free to view my satcom homepage, comments and questions are always
> welcome.
>
> http://milsatcom.bravehost.com/index.html

6235. Re: Satcom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-23 17:23:08 UTC
From: Scan Man <djnordmann@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1164302588.245370.180550@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4415

Allen wrote:
> Hello all, I'm new to the group and I live in the tampa bay area. I
> have been listening to satcom for the past few years now and have
> really got some nice intercepts from the satellites. right now I listen
> to the 90 west satellite and the 105 west satellite. I first started
> out using a discone and found out that it did not provide and gain what
> so ever. when I was at Macdill airforce base and saw the antennas that
> central command was using, I said I want one of those. I did some
> research on how to build one. I went to the local hardware store to buy
> everything I needed. I built myself a 7 turn helix centered at 260mhz
> and I use a cable tv pre-amp on it as well. I have got some excellent
> results from it and the signal comes in crystal clear. I can hear
> several of the fleet relay transponders and clear comms, pirates
> talking and some russian phone relays. below is a list of my logs that
> I have monitored today.
>
>
> 265.550 NFM sounds like PSK
> 250.350 NFM Fleet Relay
> 250.550 NFM Fleet Relay
> 262.125 NFM Data/ Nightstalker Ops
> 261.575 NFM Data
> 262.425 NFM Secure
> 265.550 WFM Music
> 243.825 NFM Unid
> 267.550 NFM Unid
> 263.925 NFM Foreign Voice
> 244.150 NFM Unid
> 246.250 NFM Unid
>
> Feel free to view my satcom homepage, comments and questions are always
> welcome.
>
> http://milsatcom.bravehost.com/index.html

6236. Re: Straights, Boycott Wal-Mart!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-23 21:07:30 UTC
From: Karnaj <karnaj@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <m4o9h.247587$FQ1.55133@attbi_s71>
Source record: 4416
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

If that's true, I'm going to quit shopping at Walmart. I don't want my
>> money going to help Fags.
We don't need this crap in society today. Can't we all just live and
let live!?

> It *IS* true. The Snopes urban legend web site has full details at
> http://www.snopes.com/politics/sexuality/walmart.asp
Who cares!?
> Now that Miller Brewing donated $30K to the illegal alien marches of
> this past Summer, and now that Walmart has turned against family values,
> I've cut off doing business with both companies. Political correctness
> of that kind is endangering this country, and we have to fight it.
I don't drink miller anyways but no I don't agree with illegal aliens
getting made easy for them. If you think about it what are family
values? Stating that just because someone isn't Heterosexual that they
are a danger to your, kids, family, town, city, county, state, nation?
You ever thought that the havoc you are trying to cause over someone's
sexuality? Ever thought that all the trouble and havoc you are trying
to cause over the situation might be the true danger to what is all
American, what the United States is all about? One nation indivisible?
United We Stand?

Karnaj

6237. back to radio from wlamart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-23 21:27:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <t84cm2t05qgusq1tlt03h4mfm2dlbb17qf@4ax.com>
Source record: 4417
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 23 Nov 2006 21:07:30 GMT, Karnaj <karnaj@gmail.com> wrote:

>If that's true, I'm going to quit shopping at Walmart. I don't want my
>>> money going to help Fags.
>We don't need this crap in society today. Can't we all just live and
>let live!?

we don't need lotsa stuff alas we got it

it would seem to clear anyone reading RRAP and almost as bad in the
others gruops that, we as a nation can't live and let live"
>
>> It *IS* true. The Snopes urban legend web site has full details at
>> http://www.snopes.com/politics/sexuality/walmart.asp
>Who cares!?
>> Now that Miller Brewing donated $30K to the illegal alien marches of
>> this past Summer, and now that Walmart has turned against family values,
>> I've cut off doing business with both companies. Political correctness
>> of that kind is endangering this country, and we have to fight it.
>I don't drink miller anyways but no I don't agree with illegal aliens
>getting made easy for them. If you think about it what are family
>values?

I would have thoughtit not mean making hard for Families but...

>Stating that just because someone isn't Heterosexual that they
>are a danger to your, kids, family, town, city, county, state, nation?

so it would seem to many

it is aleeged that I am dense but frankly I don't the conection

>You ever thought that the havoc you are trying to cause over someone's
>sexuality?

indeed some of them have that is goal in some cases

some of the posters of this crap have as there stated AIM the death or
imprisonment of people they dispaporve of
> Ever thought that all the trouble and havoc you are trying
>to cause over the situation might be the true danger to what is all
>American, what the United States is all about?

the thought never will occur to them as most of them seem to be ham
ProCoders procoder pershp someone would care to render thtat statement
into morse and perhaps that would get through but I doubt it

> One nation indivisible?
> United We Stand?
>
>Karnaj
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6238. Re: Straights, Boycott Wal-Mart!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-23 21:56:52 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <2098761097.179607.f.walmart@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 4418
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 23 Nov 2006 21:07:30 GMT, Karnaj <karnaj@gmail.com> wrote:

> I don't drink miller anyways but no I don't agree with illegal aliens
> getting made easy for them. If you think about it what are family
> values? Stating that just because someone isn't Heterosexual that they
> are a danger to your, kids, family, town, city, county, state, nation?
> You ever thought that the havoc you are trying to cause over someone's
> sexuality? Ever thought that all the trouble and havoc you are trying
> to cause over the situation might be the true danger to what is all
> American, what the United States is all about? One nation indivisible?
> United We Stand?

Did you even bother to read the material at Snopes? Somehow, I don't think that you did, because you pulled out your prerecorded response immediately after jerking your knee.

I don't give a sh*t about your sexuality, but I do take great exception to the in-your-face promotion of homosexuality that the Gay and Lesbian Alliance is all about. Since Walmart has joined (literally) with them, I now take great exeception to Walmart.

There are a lot more of "us" than there are of homophiles, and Walmart is about to find that out. Walmart backed down from not using the word "Christmas" in their stores, and now they are about to get a second lesson in staying out of social politics. I predict that, by this time next year, Walmart will have dropped out of the Gay and Lesbian Alliance.

6239. Re: Straights, Boycott Wal-Mart!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-23 22:28:43 UTC
From: Elephant <elephant@the-zoo.com>
Message-ID: <4566209B.24E2@the-zoo.com>
Source record: 4419
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

>
> I don't give a sh*t about your sexuality, but I do take great exception
> to the in-your-face promotion of homosexuality that the Gay and Lesbian
> Alliance is all about. Since Walmart has joined (literally) with them,
> I now take great exeception to Walmart.
>

Maybe the christians should practice what you have just preached. I take great exception to the bible thumpers knocking on my door and telling me that if I don't join their church, that I'm going to burn in hell. And the onslaught of phone calls telling me that I need to let their god save me. How much more "IN YOUR FACE" can you get.

I have yet to have a homo get in my face and tell me I'd better bend over and get sodomized, or else.

6240. Re: Straights, Boycott Wal-Mart!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-24 00:24:59 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <ek5e36$o71$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 4420
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
news:2098761097.179607.f.walmart@tarrnews.net...
>
> Did you even bother to read the material at Snopes? Somehow, I don't
> think that you did, because you pulled out your prerecorded response
> immediately after jerking your knee.

Did YOU even bother to read what was written at Snopes?

The only money going back to GLBT was what was sold via the link from the GLBT websites, NOT from any other Wal-Mart store or online Wal-Mart sales. That sort of thing is done all the time, just like rebates from Discover card. It's a tool designed to boost sales. Period.

6241. turth prhaps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-24 00:33:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <1dfcm29r7dfi5lvuu36nn22r5l2kgpno0p@4ax.com>
Source record: 4421
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 24 Nov 2006 09:24:59 +0900, "Brenda Ann"
<brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:

>"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
>news:2098761097.179607.f.walmart@tarrnews.net...
>>
>> Did you even bother to read the material at Snopes? Somehow, I don't
>> think that you did, because you pulled out your prerecorded response
>> immediately after jerking your knee.
>
>Did YOU even bother to read what was written at Snopes?
>
>The only money going back to GLBT was what was sold via the link from the
>GLBT websites, NOT from any other Wal-Mart store or online Wal-Mart sales.
>That sort of thing is done all the time, just like rebates from Discover
>card. It's a tool designed to boost sales. Period.
>
now that I beliveve amazing the hysteria thee homphobes will try
invoke
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6242. Re: Straights, Boycott Wal-Mart!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-24 01:12:23 UTC
From: SamSez <samtheman@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <Xns9884CD754DCB0samthemanverizonnet@130.81.64.196>
Source record: 4422
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in
news:409176587.755484.1238@tarrnews.net:

> On Thu, 23 Nov 2006 00:02:16 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>> Al Patrick <arp@_NOSPAM_inet4u.com> wrote in
>> news:12m6cst38auro2f@corp.supernews.com:
>>
snip
>>
>>
>> If that's true, I'm going to quit shopping at Walmart. I don't want
>> my money going to help Fags.
>
> It *IS* true. The Snopes urban legend web site has full details at
> http://www.snopes.com/politics/sexuality/walmart.asp
>
> Now that Miller Brewing donated $30K to the illegal alien marches of
> this past Summer, and now that Walmart has turned against family
> values, I've cut off doing business with both companies. Political
> correctness of that kind is endangering this country, and we have to
> fight it.

Oh no, where will you be able to get a good price for all that tinfoil you
obviously need to keep wrapped around your head?

6243. Re: Laziness is no reason to destroy quality radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-24 01:37:56 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <U1s9h.2651$ql2.228@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4423
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:tck9h.16$kz3.10@newsfe08.lga:

> Troll, troll, troll your boat....

Stick with listening to police, fireman, baby monitors, and fast food
joints on a scanner, you're too lazy to be a good ham.

> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:%x59h.2183$ql2.1278@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> If you hate CW or are too dumb or lazy to learn it, your retard ass
>> needs to stick with scanners, shortwave, cell phones, and CB. We don't
>> need you in ham radio.
>>
>> SC

6244. crapthon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-24 02:16:52 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <3glcm2p5b922d35aq470r8bcnmaemci21l@4ax.com>
Source record: 4424
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 24 Nov 2006 01:37:56 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> wrote in
>news:tck9h.16$kz3.10@newsfe08.lga:
>
>> Troll, troll, troll your boat....
>
>
>Stick with listening to police, fireman, baby monitors, and fast food
>joints on a scanner, you're too lazy to be a good ham.

ah you just can't take the turth SC
>
>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:%x59h.2183$ql2.1278@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> If you hate CW or are too dumb or lazy to learn it, your retard ass
>>> needs to stick with scanners, shortwave, cell phones, and CB. We don't
>>> need you in ham radio.
>>>
>>> SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6245. Re: Laziness is no reason to destroy quality radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-24 07:09:03 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <g16dm2dppaso87ipksk904npi3mhgt3h4l@4ax.com>
Source record: 4425
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Either a troll or somebody who REALLY hates ham radio. Must be a troll, just look at his behavior here. Off-topic messages are just as bad as transmitting on an unauthorized frequency, something a QUALITY ham would not do. Blurts out insults when asked to back up anything he says, proving beyond a shadow of a doubt that he doesn't give a rats ass about ham radio then continues to pollute off-topic newsgroups.

SC is the type not welcome amongst good ham radio operators. He is just a simple obvious troll who is out to kill ham radio by making ham radio operators look so awful. A REAL ham would never act like such a boor.

Just a simple troll who knows nothing about radios in general nor knows how to use one properly. He has proven it himself.

On Thu, 23 Nov 2006 11:43:04 -0500, "DougSlug" <dougslug@hotmail.com> spake thusly:

>Troll, troll, troll your boat....
>
>
>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>news:%x59h.2183$ql2.1278@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> If you hate CW or are too dumb or lazy to learn it, your retard ass needs
>> to stick with scanners, shortwave, cell phones, and CB. We don't need you
>> in ham radio.
>>
>> SC
>
>
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

6246. Re: WHY WE SEE LLOYD DAVIES NOVFP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-24 09:21:53 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20061124042236.687$nH_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 4426
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"Mork Dork" <anon@anon> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20061124031027.999$g2@newsreader.com...
> "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> Fuck you lloyd davies.
>
> >
> Garsh, Woger Wabbit.
>
Nope. You'd like to get away with blaming your fucked up posts on roger wiseman, when in fact you are fat-tard nocode NOVFP llloyd davies the Alabama pizza delivery boy acting a fool and too stupid for CW. (slow code)

http://i9.tinypic.com/4btneo9.jpg

You are almost as fugly as n8wwm, but not quite. See ya'll soon.

6247. Re: Straights, Boycott Wal-Mart!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-24 10:35:59 UTC
From: Gomer Simpson <Gomer_Simpson@nowhere.net>
Message-ID: <jWz9h.1074417$084.445746@attbi_s22>
Source record: 4427
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message news:ek5e36$o71$1@news2.kornet.net...
> "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
> news:2098761097.179607.f.walmart@tarrnews.net...
> >
> > Did you even bother to read the material at Snopes? Somehow, I don't
> > think that you did, because you pulled out your prerecorded response
> > immediately after jerking your knee.
>
> Did YOU even bother to read what was written at Snopes?
>

Did you read it?? It said that it is "partnering" with the GLA to "promote diversity" and promote non typical gay marriages. Everytime I hear that buzz phrase "promote diveristy" I can feel the little hairs on my neck standing up, because what that really means is promoting non traditional values. Since I have a SuperWalmart within a stones throw of me, its going to be difficult not to go there but this tears it with me and no doubt hundreds of thousands or more of us lowly tradiionalists that happen to believe not only do words mean things but so do actions like this. They really are sticking their noses where they dont belong,,, no pun intended, and I think they are going to get bit in the long run.

G

6248. Re: Straights, Boycott Wal-Mart!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-24 11:26:41 UTC
From: labtech1 <labtech_one@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <bd852$4566d6d7$471dd939$3289@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 4428
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

What the HELL has this newsgroup become the dumping grounds for every
crackpot in the world ?
who gives a shit about Walmart, I don't buy scanners there, get frequencies
there, or antenna's there.

Why don't you mental midgets take all this CRAP about Walmart, gays, ham
radio, CW and all the
other political horsecrap to the REC.I'm_an_idiot newsgroup and let
the rest of us have OUR
group back so we can carry on discussions about things that we joined this
group in the first place.

I've got about 4 people 'filtered', I guess I can add alot more

.
"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
news:409176587.755484.1238@tarrnews.net...
> On Thu, 23 Nov 2006 00:02:16 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> > Al Patrick <arp@_NOSPAM_inet4u.com> wrote in
> > news:12m6cst38auro2f@corp.supernews.com:
> >
> > > I received this in the mail today and thought it worthy of being
passed
> > > along to others who may not be aware of the situation -- and alliances
> > > that Wal-Mart has formed. I wish I'd known a month ago when I renewed
> > > my Sam's Club membership which doesn't expire until January.
> > >
> > > "I don't know if you are aware of this or not but it has come to the
> > > attention of the Christians in this nation that Wal-Mart has joined
the
> > > National Gay Lesbian Chamber of Commerce and is doing all they can to
> > > support the homosexual agenda, including giving 5% of all online sales
> > > to the gay-lesbian cause. As a result there has been a call for a
nation
> > > wide boycott of Wal-Mart this coming Fri. & Sat. the two biggest
> > > shopping days of the Christmas season as well as pickets of at least
300
> > > stores, including Sam's Clubs. Christians are also being asked to shop
> > > at other stores and send copies of their receipts to Wal-Mart to show
> > > them how much money they are losing.
> > >
> > > "The thing we want to stress is this is an exercise in the Love of God
> > > for this country and keeping the trash away from our families. Any
> > > business that knowingly collects potential disease spreading elements

in
> > > one place is worthy of being put out of business. Not that we hate
these
> > > people because we do not but we love our Lord and our children and
> > > grandchildren. They can change with the Love of Christ and the help of
> > > Christians who want to be of service. Will you be of help in this
> > > format? . . . "
> > >
> > > If you're queer and/or you wish to support their sins support Wal-Mart
> > > and Sam's Club. If you do not wish to see God rain His fury upon
> > > America as He did on Sodom and Gomorrah (See Genesis chapters 18 and
19
> > > in the Holy Bible.) then DO NOT support anyone who supports this vile
> > > "life style" which is actually a DEATH STYLE! :-)
> > >
> > > Al.
> >
> >
> > If that's true, I'm going to quit shopping at Walmart. I don't want my
> > money going to help Fags.
>
> It *IS* true. The Snopes urban legend web site has full details at
> http://www.snopes.com/politics/sexuality/walmart.asp
>
> Now that Miller Brewing donated $30K to the illegal alien marches of
> this past Summer, and now that Walmart has turned against family values,
> I've cut off doing business with both companies. Political correctness
> of that kind is endangering this country, and we have to fight it.

6249. Re: Straights, Boycott Wal-Mart!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-24 12:10:06 UTC
From: Mork Dork <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <g3c16.59b.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4429
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Why don't you mental midgets take all this CRAP about Walmart, gays, ham radio, CW and all the
other political horsecrap to the REC.I'm_an_idiot newsgroup and let the rest of us have OUR
group back so we can carry on discussions about things that we joined this group in the first place.

>
Take your complaints to Mark. Ask HIM why he turned this newsgroup into a cesspool of disjointed idiocy.

6250. Re: crapthon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-24 13:33:03 UTC
From: "b.j.miller" <bmiller3@woh.rr.com>
Message-ID: <jwC9h.30327$pq4.18566@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 4430
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

To All,

I found that the " blocked sender " feature in Outlook Express is of
tremendous help in all of the above groups.

b.j.

--
Reality is an hallucination brought
about by the lack of GOOD BEER !

6251. BUTEL Software 1/2 off, Black-Friday Sale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-24 15:16:44 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <9vudnSUDM4JCkfrYnZ2dnUVZ_uydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 4431

BUTEL software is having a 1/2 off sale of select scanner software today only, for Black-Friday!

Butel Software
http://www.butelsoftware.com/

Bill Crocker

(Please don't flame. I'm not an employee of Butel, or affiliated in any way. Just trying to give you guys a heads up on a great deal!)

6252. Re: Straights, Boycott Wal-Mart!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-24 15:28:24 UTC
From: Gomer Simpson <Gomer_Simpson@nowhere.net>
Message-ID: <scE9h.248388$FQ1.105348@attbi_s71>
Source record: 4432
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"labtech1" <labtech_one@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:bd852$4566d6d7$471dd939$3289@ALLTEL.NET...
> What the HELL has this newsgroup become the dumping grounds for every
> crackpot in the world ?
> who gives a shit about Walmart, I don't buy scanners there, get frequencies
> there, or antenna's there.
>
> Why don't you mental midgets take all this CRAP about Walmart, gays, ham
> radio, CW and all the
> other political horsecrap to the REC.I'm_an_idiot newsgroup and let
> the rest of us have OUR
> group back so we can carry on discussions about things that we joined this
> group in the first place.
>
> I've got about 4 people 'filtered', I guess I can add alot more
>

-

Of course you are correct. But also unfortunately almost all news
groups are rapidly becoming socio-politcal-BS. About all you can do
is killfile the people who constantly post this crap, and maybe all those
that respond. But then you end up with a handful of people left who arent
killfiled.

G

6253. Re: Straights, Boycott Wal-Mart!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-24 15:36:12 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20061124103659.096$z1@newsreader.com>
Source record: 4433
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Mork Dork" <anon@anon> wrote:
> Why don't you mental midgets take all this CRAP about Walmart, gays, ham
> radio, CW and all the
> other political horsecrap to the REC.I'm_an_idiot newsgroup and let
> the rest of us have OUR
> group back so we can carry on discussions about things that we joined
> this group in the first place.
>
> >
> Take your complaints to Mark. Ask HIM why he turned this newsgroup into a
> cesspool of disjointed idiocy.
>
Anything posted from Altopia or Aioe.org is from N0VFP Lloyd Davies, no
matter what he calls himself. The asswipe hasn't figured out how to quote
when he uses Caputo's server.

http://www.qrz.com/detail/N0VFP

Glad to help.

6254. Re: crapthon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-24 16:49:44 UTC
From: Juan Skinner <wind_in_the_antenna@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1164386983.981467.137780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4434
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

The trouble with a code is that it is designed to keep people out. Once
you've learned the 'secret' code, it makes you feel that you have
something that you, and a select group of others can participate in.
Once you're up there, see how quickly you pull the ladder up.
Does a proficiency in CW make for a better operator? Yes, in a way. It
shows a studious interest in the hobby and is a worth achievement but
does it make a more courtious or interesting operator? Certainly not,
and this group is witness to that.
"People who don't use code are lazy", What? Is that the only discipline
available to allow someone to experiment with radio? Absolutely not!
How about learning electronics and building your own equipment? Is that
a lesser or a greater achievement?
How about taking the hobby forward by progressing and leading cutting
edge technologies? It was after all the amateur fraternity who brought
this new fangled SSB phone mode. Were are at the dawn of a new digital
age on all you people can do is look backwards and remain firmly rooted
in the seventeenth century.
Come on, wake up and smell the 21st century.

6255. Re: BUTEL Software 1/2 off, Black-Friday Sale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-24 17:19:05 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <GeOdnYOMSJQRtPrYnZ2dnUVZ_vednZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 4435

"Janitor Boy jr" <nosp@m.here> wrote in message
news:kGF9h.4021$Kw2.2761@trndny05...
> On Fri, 24 Nov 2006 10:16:44 -0500, Bill Crocker tossed this out for all
> to see:
>
>> BUTEL software is having a 1/2 off sale of select scanner software today
>> only, for Black-Friday!
>>
>> Butel Software
>> http://www.butelsoftware.com/
>>
>>
>> Bill Crocker
>>
>> (Please don't flame. I'm not an employee of Butel, or affiliated in any
>> way. Just trying to give you guys a heads up on a great deal!)
>
> I got mine. :-)
>
> --
> ~Mike~
> 45°7'58"N 89°9'5"W

I picked up both ARC996PRO, and ARC15PRO. Hard deal to pass up on some excellent software!

Bill Crocker

6256. Re: Straights, Boycott Wal-Mart!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-24 19:36:03 UTC
From: labtech1 <labtech_one@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7d7c0$45674987$471dd939$12256@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 4436
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

There is a 3rd option that I'm testing, you can filter key words or
phrases. If a message contains these words its filtered
like you could filter all messages that contain "Boycott Walmart" no matter
who sends them, but if that person wants to
post something 'normal' it comes thru. Naturally Slow Code and Markie are
permently killfiled, but I think this filter
system once set up (which might take a little while) should work good :)

"Gomer Simpson" <Gomer_Simpson@nowhere.net> wrote in message
news:scE9h.248388$FQ1.105348@attbi_s71...
>
> "labtech1" <labtech_one@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:bd852$4566d6d7$471dd939$3289@ALLTEL.NET...
> > What the HELL has this newsgroup become the dumping grounds for every
> > crackpot in the world ?
> > who gives a shit about Walmart, I don't buy scanners there, get
frequencies
> > there, or antenna's there.
> >
> > Why don't you mental midgets take all this CRAP about Walmart, gays,
ham
> > radio, CW and all the
> > other political horsecrap to the REC.I'm_an_idiot newsgroup and
let
> > the rest of us have OUR
> > group back so we can carry on discussions about things that we joined
this
> > group in the first place.
> >
> > I've got about 4 people 'filtered', I guess I can add alot more
> >
> ----------

>
> Of course you are correct. But also unfortunately almost all
news
> groups are rapidly becoming socio-politcal-BS. About all you can do
> is killfile the people who constantly post this crap, and maybe all those
> that respond. But then you end up with a handful of people left who arent
> killfiled.
>
>
> G

>
>

6257. George Fetter is Innocent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-24 20:37:54 UTC
From: y@y.y
Message-ID: <90mem2tn3ja0gled88tm5uktuvvh7fj1fi@4ax.com>
Source record: 4438

The accusations that George Fetter defrauded people on the Internet is false. There were a couple of packages lost during shipment (with UPS/USPS tracking numbers), but the reason for the US governments false flag operation against George is because he monitored their communication channels.

6258. Re: crapthon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-24 20:46:38 UTC
From: Bret Ludwig <bretldwig@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1164401198.046663.26080@l39g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4437
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Juan Skinner wrote:
> The trouble with a code is that it is designed to keep people out. Once
> you've learned the 'secret' code, it makes you feel that you have
> something that you, and a select group of others can participate in.
> Once you're up there, see how quickly you pull the ladder up.
> Does a proficiency in CW make for a better operator? Yes, in a way. It
> shows a studious interest in the hobby and is a worth achievement but
> does it make a more courtious or interesting operator? Certainly not,
> and this group is witness to that.
> "People who don't use code are lazy", What? Is that the only discipline
> available to allow someone to experiment with radio? Absolutely not!
> How about learning electronics and building your own equipment? Is that
> a lesser or a greater achievement?
> How about taking the hobby forward by progressing and leading cutting
> edge technologies? It was after all the amateur fraternity who brought
> this new fangled SSB phone mode. Were are at the dawn of a new digital
> age on all you people can do is look backwards and remain firmly rooted
> in the seventeenth century.

SSB is a period stain on the panties of radio communication. I hate
SSB. I prefer narrowband FM, or AM even, for quality and lack of
annying squawk. Baudot RTTY is fun too.

Make people build something to get the General license and make them
show competence to where a two way or broadcast facility would hire
them for the Extra.

"The True Ham Builds!"

6259. New To Police Scanners! (NEED ADVICE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-24 21:43:01 UTC
From: Lopemx@comcast.net
Message-ID: <1164404581.298014.198490@l39g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4439

Can anyone help me with my decision on what Police Scanner to purchase? I almost purchased a Radio Shack Handheld Scanner until I realized it was an analog Scanner. No Good for me. I'm really new to the Scanner Thing and NEED some expert advice. I need to know what the name of a good unit (Handheld perferably) and where to purchase it from. But since I'm a newbie, any information would be greatly appreciated. THANK YOU IN ADVANCE FOR ANY INFO SENT.

6260. thanks for a well writn coment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-24 22:28:39 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <7fsem2pc1sfsm4j5hsb61til0llv5sla74@4ax.com>
Source record: 4440
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 24 Nov 2006 08:49:44 -0800, "Juan Skinner"
<wind_in_the_antenna@yahoo.co.uk> wrote:

>The trouble with a code is that it is designed to keep people out. Once
>you've learned the 'secret' code, it makes you feel that you have
>something that you, and a select group of others can participate in.
>Once you're up there, see how quickly you pull the ladder up.
>Does a proficiency in CW make for a better operator? Yes, in a way. It
>shows a studious interest in the hobby and is a worth achievement but
>does it make a more courtious or interesting operator? Certainly not,
>and this group is witness to that.
>"People who don't use code are lazy", What? Is that the only discipline
>available to allow someone to experiment with radio? Absolutely not!
>How about learning electronics and building your own equipment? Is that
>a lesser or a greater achievement?
>How about taking the hobby forward by progressing and leading cutting
>edge technologies? It was after all the amateur fraternity who brought
>this new fangled SSB phone mode. Were are at the dawn of a new digital
>age on all you people can do is look backwards and remain firmly rooted
>in the seventeenth century.
>Come on, wake up and smell the 21st century.
thank you for something weel written
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6261. Re: Straights, Boycott Wal-Mart! <- WE WIN!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-25 00:34:02 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <27096156.wal.mart.loses@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 4441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 24 Nov 2006 10:35:59 GMT, Gomer Simpson <Gomer_Simpson@nowhere.net> wrote:
>
> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:ek5e36$o71$1@news2.kornet.net...
> > "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
> > news:2098761097.179607.f.walmart@tarrnews.net...
> > >
> > > Did you even bother to read the material at Snopes? Somehow, I don't
> > > think that you did, because you pulled out your prerecorded response
> > > immediately after jerking your knee.
> >
> > Did YOU even bother to read what was written at Snopes?
> >
> ----------
>
> Did you read it?? It said that it is "partnering" with the GLA to "promote
> diversity" and promote non typical gay marriages. Everytime I hear that buzz
> phrase "promote diveristy" I can feel the little hairs on my neck standing up,
> because what that really means is promoting non traditional values. Since
> I have a SuperWalmart within a stones throw of me, its going to be difficult
> not to go there but this tears it with me and no doubt hundreds of thousands
> or more of us lowly tradiionalists that happen to believe not only do words
> mean things but so do actions like this. They really are sticking their noses
> where they dont belong,,, no pun intended, and I think they are going to get
> bit in the long run.

Well, instead of a year, it only took Wal-mart a few days to back away
from their preposterious position. A threatened boycott seemed to do
the trick, just as I suggested in a previous post. Wal-mart is all
about profit; not principle, and they'll sell out at the drop of a hat.
The relevant excerpt is as follows:

"While stressing its commitment to diversity and equality, Wal-Mart (WMT)
said in its statement that it "will not make corporate contributions to
support or oppose highly controversial issues unless they directly
relate to our ability to serve our customers."

"Mona Williams, Wal-Mart's vice president of communications, said in a
telephone interview that the company would continue working with the Gay
and Lesbian Chamber of Commerce and other gay-rights groups on specific
issues such as workplace equality."

"Going forward, we would partner with them on specific initiatives. ...
As to opposed to just giving blanket support to their general operating

budget," she said. -- http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,231259,00.html

See there? Stick up for traditional values, and these people cave in and run away. It's one more win for the good guys. We've got Christmas back at Wal-mart and gay marriage support is out on its derriere.

I love it when a plan comes together.

6262. crapthong goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-25 01:18:47 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1164417527.628477.188930@j44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4442
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Lloyd wrote:

> See there? Stick up for traditional values, and these people cave in
> and run away. It's one more win for the good guys. We've got Christmas
> back at Wal-mart and gay marriage support is out on its derriere.

you have less to do with this victory of hate than I have the ending of
code testing

6263. Re: BUTEL Software 1/2 off, Black-Friday Sale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-25 02:44:42 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <f8qdnRwX08qBM_rYnZ2dnUVZ_rGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 4443

"Janitor Boy jr" <nosp@m.here> wrote in message
news:5VI9h.9946$LH2.4412@trndny04...
> On Fri, 24 Nov 2006 12:19:05 -0500, Bill Crocker tossed this out for all
> to see:
>
>>
>> "Janitor Boy jr" <nosp@m.here> wrote in message
>> news:kGF9h.4021$Kw2.2761@trndny05...
>>> On Fri, 24 Nov 2006 10:16:44 -0500, Bill Crocker tossed this out for all
>>> to see:
>>>
>>>> BUTEL software is having a 1/2 off sale of select scanner software
>>>> today only, for Black-Friday!
>>>>
>>>> Butel Software
>>>> http://www.butelsoftware.com/
>>>>
>>>> (Please don't flame. I'm not an employee of Butel, or affiliated in
>>>> any way. Just trying to give you guys a heads up on a great deal!)
>>>
>>> I got mine. :-)
>>
>> I picked up both ARC996PRO, and ARC15PRO. Hard deal to pass up on some
>> excellent software!
>>
> I had 996Basic already downloaded, but never bought the key. I just
> happened to find today's special, so I nabbed Pro. looked good in the five
> minutes I had to tinker. ;-)
> I bought ScanCat Lite when I ordered the scanner. I wasn't really
> impressed with it, and only played around a few times before I uninstalled
> it. I've been happily plugging along with the UASD from Bearcat.
> I've read many good things about Butel, so I figured I'd give it a shot
> too.
>
> --
> ~Mike~
> 45°7'58"N 89°9'5"W

Be sure and check out the logging features, along with the audio recording
available in the PRO version!

Bill Crocker

6264. Re: New To Police Scanners! (NEED ADVICE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-25 03:13:11 UTC
From: Tom <t@t.t.t>
Message-ID: <v4dfm21147ksm2il4euc4ene49uuhvious@4ax.com>
Source record: 4444

On 24 Nov 2006 13:43:01 -0800, in rec.radio.scanner you wrote:

>Can anyone help me with my decision on what Police Scanner to purchase?
>I almost purchased a Radio Shack Handheld Scanner until I realized it
>was an analog Scanner. No Good for me. I'm really new to the Scanner
>Thing and NEED some expert advice. I need to know what the name of a
>good unit (Handheld perferably) and where to purchase it from. But
>since I'm a newbie, any information would be greatly appreciated. THANK
>YOU IN ADVANCE FOR ANY INFO SENT.

go to http://www.radioreference.com and look up the systems you want
to monitor to see if you require digital capable scanner. The best
radio for the money for digital and analog is the BCD396T or 996T
available at
http://www.usascan.com/files/scanners.html

I don't know why the owner is advertising a digital camera on the
digital scanner page, but don't order that! The price includes
shipping.

6265. Re: Straights, Boycott Wal-Mart! <- WE WIN!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-25 11:11:22 UTC
From: toddaug555@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1137462796.802425.80230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4445
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 25 Nov 2006 00:34:02 +0000 (UTC), Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
> On Fri, 24 Nov 2006 10:35:59 GMT, Gomer Simpson <Gomer_Simpson@nowhere.net> wrote:
> >
> > "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:ek5e36$o71$1@news2.kornet.net...
> > > "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
> > > news:2098761097.179607.f.walmart@tarrnews.net...
> > > >
> > > > Did you even bother to read the material at Snopes? Somehow, I don't
> > > > think that you did, because you pulled out your prerecorded response
> > > > immediately after jerking your knee.
> > >
> > > Did YOU even bother to read what was written at Snopes?
> > >
> > > ---
> >
> > Did you read it?? It said that it is "partnering" with the GLA to "promote
> > diversity" and promote non typical gay marriages. Everytime I hear that buzz
> > phrase "promote diveristy" I can feel the little hairs on my neck standing up,
> > because what that really means is promoting non traditional values. Since
> > I have a SuperWalmart within a stones throw of me, its going to be difficult
> > not to go there but this tears it with me and no doubt hundreds of thousands
> > or more of us lowly tradiionalists that happen to believe not only do words
> > mean things but so do actions like this. They really are sticking their noses
> > where they dont belong,,, no pun intended, and I think they are going to get
> > bit in the long run.
>
> Well, instead of a year, it only took Wal-mart a few days to back away
> from their preposterious position. A threatened boycott seemed to do
> the trick, just as I suggested in a previous post. Wal-mart is all
> about profit; not principle, and they'll sell out at the drop of a hat.
> The relevant excerpt is as follows:
>
> "While stressing its commitment to diversity and equality, Wal-Mart (WMT)
> said in its statement that it "will not make corporate contributions to
> support or oppose highly controversial issues unless they directly
> relate to our ability to serve our customers."
>
> "Mona Williams, Wal-Mart's vice president of communications, said in a
> telephone interview that the company would continue working with the Gay
> and Lesbian Chamber of Commerce and other gay-rights groups on specific
> issues such as workplace equality."
>

> "Going forward, we would partner with them on specific initiatives. ...
> As to opposed to just giving blanket support to their general operating
> budget," she said. -- http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,231259,00.html
>
> See there? Stick up for traditional values, and these people cave in
> and run away. It's one more win for the good guys. We've got Christmas
> back at Wal-mart and gay marriage support is out on its derriere.
>
> I love it when a plan comes together.

Wal-mart managers are mostly all cowards anyways and they fuck liars
to boot. Wal-mart should be force out of business for the good of
US women who they cheat regular on wages and promotions.

Todd N9OGL
OMEGA ONE RADIO

6266. Re: New To Police Scanners! (NEED ADVICE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-25 13:28:25 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <AxX9h.2402$pH.1639@newsfe05.lga>
Source record: 4446

"Tom" <t@t.t.t> wrote in message
news:v4dfm21147ksm2il4euc4ene49uuhvious@4ax.com...

> http://www.usascan.com/files/scanners.html
>
> I don't know why the owner is advertising a digital camera on the
> digital scanner page, but don't order that! The price includes
> shipping.
>

It's because there is a special savings if it is ordered in conjunction with
any of the scanners on the page...

6267. Re: Straights, Boycott Wal-Mart!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-25 19:38:29 UTC
From: Al Patrick <arp@_NOSPAM_inet4u.com>
Message-ID: <12mh6to378vc3bf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 4447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Seems I read in the paper a couple days ago that it cost about $25,000 to join and they donated another $60,000. Anyway, it was EIGHTY-FIVE GRAND!!! I'm not sure the exact way it was allocated. . . but it's probably on the net.

=========

Brenda Ann wrote:

> "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
> news:2098761097.179607.f.walmart@tarrnews.net...
>
>>Did you even bother to read the material at Snopes? Somehow, I don't
>>think that you did, because you pulled out your prerecorded response
>>immediately after jerking your knee.
>
>
> Did YOU even bother to read what was written at Snopes?
>
> The only money going back to GLBT was what was sold via the link from the
> GLBT websites, NOT from any other Wal-Mart store or online Wal-Mart sales.
> That sort of thing is done all the time, just like rebates from Discover
> card. It's a tool designed to boost sales. Period.
>
>

6268. O Famoso Kit Mãos Livres Speed Sound ? 59,90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-25 19:40:54 UTC
From: Tecnico <tecnico-urgencias@sapo.pt>
Message-ID: <45689c1b$0$7192$a729d347@news.telepac.pt>
Source record: 4449

O Famoso Kit Mãos Livres Speed Sound ? 59,90, que equipa a Citroem e a Peugeot de origem.
na CAT24

http://www.cat24.com

6269. Re: Straights, Boycott Wal-Mart!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-25 20:09:59 UTC
From: Al Patrick <arp@_NOSPAM_inet4u.com>
Message-ID: <12mh8oq5q45ak55@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 4448
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Gomer Simpson wrote:

> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:ek5e36$o71$1@news2.kornet.net...
>
>>"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
>>news:2098761097.179607.f.walmart@tarrnews.net...
>>
>>>Did you even bother to read the material at Snopes? Somehow, I don't
>>>think that you did, because you pulled out your prerecorded response
>>>immediately after jerking your knee.
>>
>>Did YOU even bother to read what was written at Snopes?
>>
>
> ---
>
> Did you read it?? It said that it is "partnering" with the GLA to "promote
> diversity" and promote non typical gay marriages. Everytime I hear that buzz
> phrase "promote diveristy" I can feel the little hairs on my neck standing up,
> because what that really means is promoting non traditional values. Since
> I have a SuperWalmart within a stones throw of me, its going to be difficult
> not to go there but this tears it with me and no doubt hundreds of thousands
> or more of us lowly tradiionalists that happen to believe not only do words
> mean things but so do actions like this. They really are sticking their noses
> where they dont belong,,, no pun intended, and I think they are going to get
> bit in the long run.
>
>
> G

Remember K-Mart and their ownership of some porn producing or selling
shop? Currently out of bankruptcy, I think, but a lot weaker!

Remember Winn-Dixie who just got out of bankruptcy? They came out of
bankruptcy minus many thousands of employees, about 400 stores plus
warehouses and other assets! Oh, I still don't know if they have a
policy of calling the law upon seeing a parent correct a child according
to the Bible!

Wal-Mart probably thinks they are "too big" to be brought down a notch
or two, but notice what the Bible says. Isiah 40:15, "Behold, the
nations are as a drop of a bucket, and are counted as the small dust of
the balance: behold, he taketh up the isles as a very little thing." KJV

Note: The NATIONS are basically nothing to God - much less one business within one of those nations. I suspect "nations" can be taken individually or collectively! :-)

There was a time when Wal-Mart stock was splitting pretty frequently but I can't see it growing very much lately.

6270. Re: George Fetter is Innocent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-25 20:12:41 UTC
From: Gomer Simpson <Gomer_Simpson@nowhere.net>
Message-ID: <Ys1ah.294228$1i1.90568@attbi_s72>
Source record: 4450

<y@y.y> wrote in message news:90mem2tn3ja0gled88tm5uktuvvh7fj1fi@4ax.com...
> The accusations that George Fetter defrauded people on the Internet
> is false. There were a couple of packages lost during shipment (with
> UPS/USPS tracking numbers), but the reason for the US governments
> false flag operation against George is because he monitored their
> communication channels.
>

Got out of jail, huh George??

G

6271. Re: George Fetter is Innocent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-25 21:03:43 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4568AFAE.9C8F6DB1@milestones.com>
Source record: 4351

Gomer Simpson wrote:

> <y@y.y> wrote in message news:90mem2tn3ja0gled88tm5uktuvvh7fj1fi@4ax.com...
> > The accusations that George Fetter defrauded people on the Internet
> > is false. There were a couple of packages lost during shipment (with
> > UPS/USPS tracking numbers), but the reason for the US governments
> > false flag operation against George is because he monitored their
> > communication channels.
> >
> ---
>
> Got out of jail, huh George??

He's still safely incarcerated in the Volusia County Jail.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

6272. Re: CONGRATS!! to k4yz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-25 23:57:30 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1164499050.533908.200240@j72g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:
> > I was in my neighborhood drug store and what did I see? The November
> > issue of pop com!
> >
> > Steve, your letter was well written and spot on.Congratulations on
> > being published! Have you ever considered submitting regular articles
> > to either pop com or monitoring times? You have great writing skills.
>
> I quit PopComm after my 1st subscription about 1984. Too much
> reverence for pirate radio for my taste in that pub.

OK, finally made it over to Universal and picked up the November issue
of PopComm.

Of course Steve's letter was well written. He left out the homosexual
inuendo and excrement eating scenarios. He has one side he presents to
the world... but then there's the other side.

Anyhow, lots of words, a sense of urgency. With arms waving and flags
flying he mentions "jet training routes" "Missions for America"
"ultimate war fighting capabilities." Very important sounding stuff
from Major Steven J Robeson, K4YZ/KTN4GN, as usual.

So what was it all about???

Monitoring CAP FOUO communications.

I recall K4YZ/KTN4GN inviting people to monitor him and he would send
an SWL confirmation.

Hi! So much for FOUO.

6273. Re: CONGRATS!! to k4yz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-26 00:46:52 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1164502012.308267.315040@j72g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > I was in my neighborhood drug store and what did I see? The November
> > > issue of pop com!
> > >
> > > Steve, your letter was well written and spot on.Congratulations on
> > > being published! Have you ever considered submitting regular articles
> > > to either pop com or monitoring times? You have great writing skills.
> >
> > I quit PopComm after my 1st subscription about 1984. Too much
> > reverence for pirate radio for my taste in that pub.
>
> OK, finally made it over to Universal and picked up the November issue
> of PopComm.
>
> Of course Steve's letter was well written. He left out the homosexual
> inuendo and excrement eating scenarios. He has one side he presents to
> the world... but then there's the other side.
>
> Anyhow, lots of words, a sense of urgency. With arms waving and flags
> flying he mentions "jet training routes" "Missions for America"
> "ultimate war fighting capabilities." Very important sounding stuff
> from Major Steven J Robeson, K4YZ/KTN4GN, as usual.
>
> So what was it all about???
>
> Monitoring CAP FOUO communications.
>
> I recall K4YZ/KTN4GN inviting people to monitor him and he would send
> an SWL confirmation.
>
> Hi! So much for FOUO.

Here's the little nugget from his QRZ bio page...

"""""
I am an active member of the Tennessee Wing, Civil Air Patrol, United
States Air Force Auxiliary with the rank of Major. CAP performs search
and rescue, disaster relief and other domestic "civil defense" type
missions on behalf of the U. S. Air Force. CAP was organized in the
late 1930's and was formally established on 01 December 1941 to provide
"homeland defense" type missions, and it continues that proud tradition
into the 21st Century.

My Civil Air Patrol callsign is "TennesseeCAP 250". I will QSL utility
SWL'ers if you catch me on one of CAP's HF or VHF frequencies.
"""""

6274. Re: New To Police Scanners! (NEED ADVICE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-26 00:47:06 UTC
From: Ron Purdue <ronaldpurdue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1164502109_20841@sp6iad.superfeed.net>
Source record: 4354

Try tghe following web address:

http://www.grove-ent.com

They're a reputable mail order company and I have bought the Uniden BC296T
handheld scanner from them about a year ago.

Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services

** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
----
http://www.usenet.com

6275. Re: CONGRATS!! to k4yz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-26 00:47:38 UTC
From: MarQueerMyDear <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com>
Message-ID: <1164502058.365025.316330@j72g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4355
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > I was in my neighborhood drug store and what did I see? The November
> > > issue of pop com!
> > >
> > > Steve, your letter was well written and spot on.Congratulations on
> > > being published! Have you ever considered submitting regular articles
> > > to either pop com or monitoring times? You have great writing skills.
> >
> > I quit PopComm after my 1st subscription about 1984. Too much
> > reverence for pirate radio for my taste in that pub.
>
> OK, finally made it over to Universal and picked up the November issue
> of PopComm.
>
> Of course Steve's letter was well written. He left out the homosexual
> inuendo and excrement eating scenarios. He has one side he presents to
> the world... but then there's the other side.
>
> Anyhow, lots of words, a sense of urgency. With arms waving and flags
> flying he mentions "jet training routes" "Missions for America"
> "ultimate war fighting capabilities." Very important sounding stuff
> from Major Steven J Robeson, K4YZ/KTN4GN, as usual.
>
> So what was it all about???
>
> Monitoring CAP FOUO communications.
>
> I recall K4YZ/KTN4GN inviting people to monitor him and he would send
> an SWL confirmation.
>
AWWWWWWWWW......whats the matter ""hot-jizz-and-scat""??
your boi-puss marqueer won't give you any??
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> Hi! So much for FOUO.

6276. Re: CONGRATS!! to k4yz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-26 01:59:21 UTC
From: Katie <nonegiven@anon>
Message-ID: <g7hs6.v2.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4356
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

MarQueerMyDear wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>> I was in my neighborhood drug store and what did I see? The November
>>>> issue of pop com!
>>>>
>>>> Steve, your letter was well written and spot on.Congratulations on
>>>> being published! Have you ever considered submitting regular articles
>>>> to either pop com or monitoring times? You have great writing skills.
>>> I quit PopComm after my 1st subscription about 1984. Too much
>>> reverence for pirate radio for my taste in that pub.
>> OK, finally made it over to Universal and picked up the November issue
>> of PopComm.
>>
>> Of course Steve's letter was well written. He left out the homosexual
>> inuendo and excrement eating scenarios. He has one side he presents to
>> the world... but then there's the other side.
>>
>> Anyhow, lots of words, a sense of urgency. With arms waving and flags
>> flying he mentions "jet training routes" "Missions for America"
>> "ultimate war fighting capabilities." Very important sounding stuff
>> from Major Steven J Robeson, K4YZ/KTN4GN, as usual.
>>
>> So what was it all about???
>>
>> Monitoring CAP FOUO communications.
>>
>> I recall K4YZ/KTN4GN inviting people to monitor him and he would send
>> an SWL confirmation.
>>
> AWWWWWWWWW......whats the matter ""hot-jizz-and-scat""??
> your boi-puss marqueer won't give you any??
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!
>> Hi! So much for FOUO.
>
The only thing Billy Smith has written lately is leaving an epithet in a
bathroom stall.

6277. Re: George Fetter is Innocent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-26 02:42:13 UTC
From: "T. Bloompaster Weatherford" <TBW@fastnet.net>
Message-ID: <l7vhm21td0gc2q5jkvetip29aa9pevmae6@4ax.com>
Source record: 4357

On Fri, 24 Nov 2006 12:37:54 -0800, y@y.y wrote:

> The accusations that George Fetter defrauded people on the Internet
>is false. There were a couple of packages lost during shipment (with
>UPS/USPS tracking numbers), but the reason for the US governments
>false flag operation against George is because he monitored their
>communication channels.

Innocent of what? Innocent of being a megalomaniac with delusions of grandeur? Hardly. Innocent of having one of the worst balding "comb-overs" ever seen? Absolutely not.

BTW, if he" monitored their communication channels", do you think he heard them coming to get him? Sure hope so.

T. Bloompaster Weatherford

"People scare better when they're dying".

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6278. Re: CONGRATS!! FOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-26 03:29:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ff2im2pdsoemp83dgg4dmk7fs9l2ou1df5@4ax.com>
Source record: 4358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 25 Nov 2006 15:57:30 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > I was in my neighborhood drug store and what did I see? The November
>> > issue of pop com!
>> >
>> > Steve, your letter was well written and spot on.Congratulations on
>> > being published! Have you ever considered submitting regular articles
>> > to either pop com or monitoring times? You have great writing skills.
>>
>> I quit PopComm after my 1st subscription about 1984. Too much
>> reverence for pirate radio for my taste in that pub.
>
>OK, finally made it over to Universal and picked up the November issue
>of PopComm.
>
>Of course Steve's letter was well written. He left out the homosexual
>inuendo and excrement eating scenarios. He has one side he presents to
>the world... but then there's the other side.

the one he shows US most folk have a daarker but most folks better
control it
>
>Anyhow, lots of words, a sense of urgency. With arms waving and flags
>flying he mentions "jet training routes" "Missions for America"
>"ultimate war fighting capabilities." Very important sounding stuff
>from Major Steven J Robeson, K4YZ/KTN4GN, as usual.
>
>So what was it all about???
>
>Monitoring CAP FOUO communications.
>
>I recall K4YZ/KTN4GN inviting people to monitor him and he would send
>an SWL confirmation.

in other wors more self serveing fluff?
>
>Hi! So much for FOUO.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6279. Re: CONGRATS!! FOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-26 12:12:44 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1164543164.382028.135460@j44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4359
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 25 Nov 2006 15:57:30 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > I was in my neighborhood drug store and what did I see? The November
> >> > issue of pop com!
> >> >
> >> > Steve, your letter was well written and spot on.Congratulations on
> >> > being published! Have you ever considered submitting regular articles
> >> > to either pop com or monitoring times? You have great writing skills.
> >>
> >> I quit PopComm after my 1st subscription about 1984. Too much
> >> reverence for pirate radio for my taste in that pub.
> >
> >OK, finally made it over to Universal and picked up the November issue
> >of PopComm.
> >
> >Of course Steve's letter was well written. He left out the homosexual
> >inuendo and excrement eating scenarios. He has one side he presents to
> >the world... but then there's the other side.
>
> the one he shows US most folk have a daarker but most folks better
> control it
> >
> >Anyhow, lots of words, a sense of urgency. With arms waving and flags
> >flying he mentions "jet training routes" "Missions for America"
> >"ultimate war fighting capabilities." Very important sounding stuff
> >from Major Steven J Robeson, K4YZ/KTN4GN, as usual.
> >
> >So what was it all about???
> >
> >Monitoring CAP FOUO communications.
> >
> >I recall K4YZ/KTN4GN inviting people to monitor him and he would send
> >an SWL confirmation.
>
> in other wors more self serveing fluff?

Zackley! To hell with FOUO. SWL him for his glory.

6280. Re: Straights, Boycott Wal-Mart!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-26 19:12:49 UTC
From: Jim Douglas <james.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <RGlah.31670$a_2.5605@trnddc01>
Source record: 4360
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Lloyd wrote:
> On Thu, 23 Nov 2006 00:02:16 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>> Al Patrick <arp@_NOSPAM_inet4u.com> wrote in
>> news:12m6cst38auro2f@corp.supernews.com:
>>
>>> I received this in the mail today and thought it worthy of being passed
>>> along to others who may not be aware of the situation -- and alliances
>>> that Wal-Mart has formed. I wish I'd known a month ago when I renewed
>>> my Sam's Club membership which doesn't expire until January.
>>>
>>> "I don't know if you are aware of this or not but it has come to the
>>> attention of the Christians in this nation that Wal-Mart has joined the
>>> National Gay Lesbian Chamber of Commerce and is doing all they can to
>>> support the homosexual agenda, including giving 5% of all online sales
>>> to the gay-lesbian cause. As a result there has been a call for a nation
>>> wide boycott of Wal-Mart this coming Fri. & Sat. the two biggest
>>> shopping days of the Christmas season as well as pickets of at least 300
>>> stores, including Sam's Clubs. Christians are also being asked to shop
>>> at other stores and send copies of their receipts to Wal-Mart to show
>>> them how much money they are losing.
>>>
>>> "The thing we want to stress is this is an exercise in the Love of God
>>> for this country and keeping the trash away from our families. Any
>>> business that knowingly collects potential disease spreading elements in
>>> one place is worthy of being put out of business. Not that we hate these
>>> people because we do not but we love our Lord and our children and
>>> grandchildren. They can change with the Love of Christ and the help of
>>> Christians who want to be of service. Will you be of help in this
>>> format? . . . "
>>>
>>> If you're queer and/or you wish to support their sins support Wal-Mart
>>> and Sam's Club. If you do not wish to see God rain His fury upon
>>> America as He did on Sodom and Gomorrah (See Genesis chapters 18 and 19
>>> in the Holy Bible.) then DO NOT support anyone who supports this vile
>>> "life style" which is actually a DEATH STYLE! :-)
>>>
>>> Al.
>>
>> If that's true, I'm going to quit shopping at Walmart. I don't want my
>> money going to help Fags.
>
> It *IS* true. The Snopes urban legend web site has full details at
> http://www.snopes.com/politics/sexuality/walmart.asp

>
> Now that Miller Brewing donated $30K to the illegal alien marches of
> this past Summer, and now that Walmart has turned against family values,
> I've cut off doing business with both companies. Political correctness
> of that kind is endangering this country, and we have to fight it.
5% to the queers, they are going to be very very RICH!

6281. Re: CONGRATS!! FOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-26 19:45:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <lkrjm21igogr3u4nsmp8cb80jdejcrecir@4ax.com>
Source record: 4361
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 26 Nov 2006 04:12:44 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 25 Nov 2006 15:57:30 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> > I was in my neighborhood drug store and what did I see? The November
>> >> > issue of pop com!
>> >> >
>> >> > Steve, your letter was well written and spot on.Congratulations on
>> >> > being published! Have you ever considered submitting regular articles
>> >> > to either pop com or monitoring times? You have great writing skills.
>> >>
>> >> I quit PopComm after my 1st subscription about 1984. Too much
>> >> reverence for pirate radio for my taste in that pub.
>> >
>> >OK, finally made it over to Universal and picked up the November issue
>> >of PopComm.
>> >
>> >Of course Steve's letter was well written. He left out the homosexual
>> >inuendo and excrement eating scenarios. He has one side he presents to
>> >the world... but then there's the other side.
>>
>> the one he shows US most folk have a daarker but most folks better
>> control it
>> >
>> >Anyhow, lots of words, a sense of urgency. With arms waving and flags
>> >flying he mentions "jet training routes" "Missions for America"
>> >"ultimate war fighting capabilities." Very important sounding stuff
>> >from Major Steven J Robeson, K4YZ/KTN4GN, as usual.
>> >
>> >So what was it all about???
>> >
>> >Monitoring CAP FOUO communications.
>> >
>> >I recall K4YZ/KTN4GN inviting people to monitor him and he would send
>> >an SWL confirmation.
>>
>> in other wors more self serveing fluff?
>

>Zackley! To hell with FOUO. SWL him for his glory.

well it shows how we learn of this great letter and you notice he did
not post it here but sent in his sockpuppets to anounce it

well he down to the level of anoyance
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6282. Biete Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-26 20:27:09 UTC
From: Ernst Wollitz <wo7pera@operamail.com>
Message-ID: <456a0a61$1$27607$9b4e6d93@newsspool2.arcor-online.net>
Source record: 4363

Biete scanner pro43
Westlich Mi¿½nchen. 30,-- Euro.
rejorG@gmx.de
Tel 08248886

6283. FA: Bearcat BC796D digital mobile scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-26 20:29:47 UTC
From: "Thanks....." <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <%Omah.18037$mM1.9042@trndny08>
Source record: 4362
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,pa.forsale,phl.forsale,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&ih=014&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&viewitem=&item=330054760254&rd=1&rd=1

6284. Re: CONGRATS!! FOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-27 02:21:22 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1164594082.295659.52810@45g2000cws.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4364
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Nov 2006 04:12:44 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 25 Nov 2006 15:57:30 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> > I was in my neighborhood drug store and what did I see? The November
> >> >> > issue of pop com!
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Steve, your letter was well written and spot on.Congratulations on
> >> >> > being published! Have you ever considered submitting regular articles
> >> >> > to either pop com or monitoring times? You have great writing skills.
> >> >>
> >> >> I quit PopComm after my 1st subscription about 1984. Too much
> >> >> reverence for pirate radio for my taste in that pub.
> >> >
> >> >OK, finally made it over to Universal and picked up the November issue
> >> >of PopComm.
> >> >
> >> >Of course Steve's letter was well written. He left out the homosexual
> >> >inuendo and excrement eating scenarios. He has one side he presents to
> >> >the world... but then there's the other side.
> >>
> >> the one he shows US most folk have a daarker but most folks better
> >> control it
> >> >
> >> >Anyhow, lots of words, a sense of urgency. With arms waving and flags
> >> >flying he mentions "jet training routes" "Missions for America"
> >> >"ultimate war fighting capabilities." Very important sounding stuff
> >> >from Major Steven J Robeson, K4YZ/KTN4GN, as usual.
> >> >
> >> >So what was it all about???
> >> >
> >> >Monitoring CAP FOUO communications.
> >> >
> >> >I recall K4YZ/KTN4GN inviting people to monitor him and he would send
> >> >an SWL confirmation.
> >>
> >> in other wors more self serveing fluff?

> >
> >Zackley! To hell with FOUO. SWL him for his glory.
>
> well it shows how we learn of this great letter and you notice he did
> not post it here but sent in his sockpuppets to anounce it

What you just said made me curious, so I hit up WWW.QRZ.COM for "Newport" and there are NONE in 4-land. There's one in Washington, two in five-land, and a handful of 'em in Canton, Ohio. His first post was on Oct 10th about "faggortry."

Wait a minute.... Didn't Robesin used to live in Canton, Ohio? Maybe Bob Newport is one of his ex-in-laws or something.

> well, he's down to the level of annoyance

He always was.

6285. Re: CONGRATS!! FOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-27 02:56:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <dtkkm25kbr741g47aha4vlgtvv3ldmdu3c@4ax.com>
Source record: 4365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 27 Nov 2006 02:39:37 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>> On 26 Nov 2006 04:12:44 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>>>>>> I recall K4YZ/KTN4GN inviting people to monitor him and he would send
>>>>>> an SWL confirmation.
>>>>> in other wors more self serveing fluff?
>>>> Zackley! To hell with FOUO. SWL him for his glory.
>>> well it shows how we learn of this great letter and you notice he did
>>> not post it here but sent in his sockpuppets to anounce it
>>
>> What you just said made me curious, so I hit up WWW.QRZ.COM for
>> "Newport" and there are NONE in 4-land. There's one in Washington, two
>> in five-land, and a handful of 'em in Canton, Ohio. His first post was
>> on Oct 10th about "faggortry."
>>
>> Wait a minute.... Didn't Robesin used to live in Canton, Ohio? Maybe
>> Bob Newport is one of his ex-in-laws or something.
>>
>>> well, he's down to the level of annoyance
>>
>> He always was.
>
>After you get comfortable in your self-satisfaction over your decree
>that there are no Newports in 4-land, consider that Kentucky is in
>4-land. There is a rather sizable city across the river from
>Cincinnati, called Newport, Kentucky. It is the county seat of Campbell
>County. Is your bulb burning any brighter?+

there is stil No ham by that name it is likely another of steves sock
puppets it creatinly smels like it
>
>[superfluous newsgroups trimmed]
>
>Dave K8MN
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6286. Re: Satcom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-27 03:31:31 UTC
From: w9gb <spam_protect@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <kZ6dnaLlft-OwffYnZ2dnUVZ_vadnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 4366

"Allen" <fltsatcom@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1163917044.144387.305600@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Hello all, I'm new to the group and I live in the tampa bay area. I
> have been listening to satcom for the past few years now and have
> really got some nice intercepts from the satellites. right now I listen
> to the 90 west satellite and the 105 west satellite. I first started
> out using a discone and found out that it did not provide and gain what
> so ever. when I was at McDill Air Force base and saw the antennas that
> central command was using, I said I want one of those.

You really need to show up for McDill surplus sales !!

gb

6287. Re: FA: Bearcat BC796D digital mobile scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-27 07:27:08 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <1806517.ZXrrQqFVrv@www.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 4367
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,pa.forsale,phl.forsale,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

The starting bid is too high. I can buy that one new for just over $500.00
new and complete with all the mounting hardware.

6288. Re: Straights, Boycott Wal-Mart! <- WE WIN!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-27 18:32:05 UTC
From: n9ogl <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1164652325.883718.37550@14g2000cws.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4368
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

N9OGL wrote:
> On Sat, 25 Nov 2006 00:34:02 +0000 (UTC), Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
> > On Fri, 24 Nov 2006 10:35:59 GMT, Gomer Simpson <Gomer_Simpson@nowhere.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:ek5e36$o71$1@news2.kornet.net...
> > > > "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
> > > > news:2098761097.179607.f.walmart@tarrnews.net...
> > > > >
> > > > > Did you even bother to read the material at Snopes? Somehow, I don't
> > > > > think that you did, because you pulled out your prerecorded response
> > > > > immediately after jerking your knee.
> > > > >
> > > > Did YOU even bother to read what was written at Snopes?
> > > > >
> > > >

> > >
> > > Did you read it?? It said that it is "partnering" with the GLA to "promote
> > > diversity" and promote non typical gay marriages. Everytime I hear that buzz
> > > phrase "promote diveristy" I can feel the little hairs on my neck standing up,
> > > because what that really means is promoting non traditional values. Since
> > > I have a SuperWalmart within a stones throw of me, its going to be difficult
> > > not to go there but this tears it with me and no doubt hundreds of thousands
> > > or more of us lowly tradiionalists that happen to believe not only do words
> > > mean things but so do actions like this. They really are sticking their noses
> > > where they dont belong,,, no pun intended, and I think they are going to get
> > > bit in the long run.
> >
> > Well, instead of a year, it only took Wal-mart a few days to back away
> > from their preposterious position. A threatened boycott seemed to do
> > the trick, just as I suggested in a previous post. Wal-mart is all
> > about profit; not principle, and they'll sell out at the drop of a hat.
> > The relevant excerpt is as follows:
> >
> > "While stressing its commitment to diversity and equality, Wal-Mart (WMT)
> > said in its statement that it "will not make corporate contributions to
> > support or oppose highly controversial issues unless they directly
> > relate to our ability to serve our customers."
> >
> > "Mona Williams, Wal-Mart's vice president of communications, said in a
> > telephone interview that the company would continue working with the Gay
> > and Lesbian Chamber of Commerce and other gay-rights groups on specific

> > issues such as workplace equality."
> >
> > "Going forward, we would partner with them on specific initiatives. ...
> > As to opposed to just giving blanket support to their general operating
> > budget," she said. -- http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,231259,00.html
> >
> > See there? Stick up for traditional values, and these people cave in
> > and run away. It's one more win for the good guys. We've got Christmas
> > back at Wal-mart and gay marriage support is out on its derriere.
> >
> > I love it when a plan comes together.
>
> Wal-mart managers are mostly all cowards anyways and they fuck liars
> to boot. Wal-mart should be force out of business for the good of
> US women who they cheat regular on wages and promotions.
>
> Todd N9OGL
> OMEGA ONE RADIO

FAKE!!!

6289. Re: Straights, Boycott Wal-Mart! <- WE WIN!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-27 23:06:04 UTC
From: toddaug555@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1164652325.3718.37550@14g2000cws.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 27 Nov 2006 10:32:05 -0800, n9ogl <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> N9OGL wrote:
> > On Sat, 25 Nov 2006 00:34:02 +0000 (UTC), Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
> > > On Fri, 24 Nov 2006 10:35:59 GMT, Gomer Simpson <Gomer_Simpson@nowhere.net> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:ek5e36$o71$1@news2.kornet.net...
> > > > > "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
> > > > > news:2098761097.179607.f.walmart@tarrnews.net...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Did you even bother to read the material at Snopes? Somehow, I don't
> > > > > > think that you did, because you pulled out your prerecorded response
> > > > > > immediately after jerking your knee.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Did YOU even bother to read what was written at Snopes?
> > > > > >
> > > > >

> > > >
> > > Did you read it?? It said that it is "partnering" with the GLA to "promote
> > > diversity" and promote non typical gay marriages. Everytime I hear that buzz
> > > phrase "promote diveristy" I can feel the little hairs on my neck standing up,
> > > because what that really means is promoting non traditional values. Since
> > > I have a SuperWalmart within a stones throw of me, its going to be difficult
> > > not to go there but this tears it with me and no doubt hundreds of thousands
> > > or more of us lowly tradiionalists that happen to believe not only do words
> > > mean things but so do actions like this. They really are sticking their noses
> > > where they dont belong,,, no pun intended, and I think they are going to get
> > > bit in the long run.
> > >
> > Well, instead of a year, it only took Wal-mart a few days to back away
> > from their preposterious position. A threatened boycott seemed to do
> > the trick, just as I suggested in a previous post. Wal-mart is all
> > about profit; not principle, and they'll sell out at the drop of a hat.
> > The relevant excerpt is as follows:
> >
> > "While stressing its commitment to diversity and equality, Wal-Mart (WMT)
> > said in its statement that it "will not make corporate contributions to
> > support or oppose highly controversial issues unless they directly
> > relate to our ability to serve our customers."
> >
> > "Mona Williams, Wal-Mart's vice president of communications, said in a

> > > telephone interview that the company would continue working with the Gay
> > > and Lesbian Chamber of Commerce and other gay-rights groups on specific
> > > issues such as workplace equality."
> > >
> > > "Going forward, we would partner with them on specific initiatives. ...
> > > As to opposed to just giving blanket support to their general operating
> > > budget," she said. -- http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,231259,00.html
> > >
> > > See there? Stick up for traditional values, and these people cave in
> > > and run away. It's one more win for the good guys. We've got Christmas
> > > back at Wal-mart and gay marriage support is out on its derriere.
> > >
> > > I love it when a plan comes together.
> >
> > Wal-mart managers are mostly all cowards anyways and they fuck liars
> > to boot. Wal-mart should be force out of business for the good of
> > US women who they cheat regular on wages and promotions.
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> > OMEGA ONE RADIO
>
> FAKE!!!

Is NOT fake! Wal-mart really did backed away from funding fruits like
Markie. IT ALL FUCK REAL FUCK BITCHWHORE!!!!

Todd N9OGL
OMEGA ONE RADIO

6290. fake

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-27 23:17:36 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <jfsmm2l5uk5trk8jhf8112fce984rb1ain@4ax.com>
Source record: 4370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
On Tue, 28 Nov 2006 00:06:04 +0100 (CET), toddaug555@yahoo.com (N9OGL)
wrote:

>
>On 27 Nov 2006 10:32:05 -0800, n9ogl <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> N9OGL wrote:
>> > On Sat, 25 Nov 2006 00:34:02 +0000 (UTC), Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
>> > > On Fri, 24 Nov 2006 10:35:59 GMT, Gomer Simpson <Gomer_Simpson@nowhere.net> wrote:
>> > > >
>> > > > "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:ek5e36$o71$1@news2.kornet.net...
>> > > > > "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
>> > > > > news:2098761097.179607.f.walmart@tarrnews.net...
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > Did you even bother to read the material at Snopes? Somehow, I don't
>> > > > > > think that you did, because you pulled out your prerecorded response
>> > > > > > immediately after jerking your knee.
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Did YOU even bother to read what was written at Snopes?
>> > > > >
>> > > >
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
>> > > >
>> > > > Did you read it?? It said that it is "partnering" with the GLA to "promote
>> > > > diversity" and promote non typical gay marriages. Everytime I hear that buzz
>> > > > phrase "promote diveristy" I can feel the little hairs on my neck standing up,
>> > > > because what that really means is promoting non traditional values. Since
>> > > > I have a SuperWalmart within a stones throw of me, its going to be difficult
>> > > > not to go there but this tears it with me and no doubt hundreds of thousands
>> > > > or more of us lowly tradiionalists that happen to believe not only do words
>> > > > mean things but so do actions like this. They really are sticking their noses
>> > > > where they dont belong,,, no pun intended, and I think they are going to get
>> > > > bit in the long run.
>> > >
>> > > Well, instead of a year, it only took Wal-mart a few days to back away
>> > > from their preposterious position. A threatened boycott seemed to do
>> > > the trick, just as I suggested in a previous post. Wal-mart is all
>> > > about profit; not principle, and they'll sell out at the drop of a hat.
>> > > The relevant excerpt is as follows:
>> > >
>> > > "While stressing its commitment to diversity and equality, Wal-Mart (WMT)
>> > > said in its statement that it "will not make corporate contributions to
```

>> > > support or oppose highly controversial issues unless they directly
>> > > relate to our ability to serve our customers."
>> > >
>> > > "Mona Williams, Wal-Mart's vice president of communications, said in a
>> > > telephone interview that the company would continue working with the Gay
>> > > and Lesbian Chamber of Commerce and other gay-rights groups on specific
>> > > issues such as workplace equality."
>> > >
>> > > "Going forward, we would partner with them on specific initiatives. ...
>> > > As to opposed to just giving blanket support to their general operating
>> > > budget," she said. -- http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,231259,00.html
>> > >
>> > > See there? Stick up for traditional values, and these people cave in
>> > > and run away. It's one more win for the good guys. We've got Christmas
>> > > back at Wal-mart and gay marriage support is out on its derriere.
>> > >
>> > > I love it when a plan comes together.
>> >
>> > Wal-mart managers are mostly all cowards anyways and they fuck liars
>> > to boot. Wal-mart should be force out of business for the good of
>> > US women who they cheat regular on wages and promotions.
>> >
>> > Todd N9OGL
>> > OMEGA ONE RADIO
>>
>> FAKE!!!
>
>Is NOT fake! Wal-mart really did backed away from funding fruits like
>Markie. IT ALL FUCK REAL FUCK BITCHWHORE!!!!
>
>Todd N9OGL
>OMEGA ONE RADIO
fake walmart has never offered me the smalest bit of funding
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6291. Re: CONGRATS!! to k4yz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-28 00:22:31 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <bjLah.4140$tM1.1712@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4371
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Katie <nonegiven@anon> wrote in news:g7hs6.v2.19.1@news.alt.net:

> MarQueerMyDear wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>> bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>>> I was in my neighborhood drug store and what did I see? The November
>>>>> issue of pop com!
>>>>>
>>>>> Steve, your letter was well written and spot on.Congratulations on
>>>>> being published! Have you ever considered submitting regular
>>>>> articles to either pop com or monitoring times? You have great
>>>>> writing skills.
>>>> I quit PopComm after my 1st subscription about 1984. Too much
>>>> reverence for pirate radio for my taste in that pub.
>>> OK, finally made it over to Universal and picked up the November issue
>>> of PopComm.
>>>
>>> Of course Steve's letter was well written. He left out the homosexual
>>> inuendo and excrement eating scenarios. He has one side he presents
>>> to the world... but then there's the other side.
>>>
>>> Anyhow, lots of words, a sense of urgency. With arms waving and flags
>>> flying he mentions "jet training routes" "Missions for America"
>>> "ultimate war fighting capabilities." Very important sounding stuff
>>> from Major Steven J Robeson, K4YZ/KTN4GN, as usual.
>>>
>>> So what was it all about???
>>>
>>> Monitoring CAP FOUO communications.
>>>
>>> I recall K4YZ/KTN4GN inviting people to monitor him and he would send
>>> an SWL confirmation.
>>>
>> AWWWWWWWWW......whats the matter ""hot-jizz-and-scat""??
>> your boi-puss marqueer won't give you any??
>> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!
>>> Hi! So much for FOUO.
>>
> The only thing Billy Smith has written lately is leaving an epithet in a
> bathroom stall.

In brown.

SC

6292. Re: Laziness is no reason to destroy quality radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-28 00:22:47 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <rjLah.4152$tM1.101@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4373
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Opus <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
news:g16dm2dppaso87ipksk904npi3mhgt3h4l@4ax.com:

> Whaaaaaaaaaaaa!

I shortened your post for you Opus.

Learn CW, make a real ham out of yourself. You Cannucks really act
like retards sometimes.

SC

6293. Re: Laziness is no reason to destroy quality radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-28 00:22:49 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <tjLah.4153$tM1.3238@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4372
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Juan Skinner" <wind_in_the_antenna@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in
news:1164386983.981467.137780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:

> The trouble with a code is that it is designed to keep people out. Once
> you've learned the 'secret' code, it makes you feel that you have
> something that you, and a select group of others can participate in.
> Once you're up there, see how quickly you pull the ladder up.
> Does a proficiency in CW make for a better operator? Yes, in a way. It
> shows a studious interest in the hobby and is a worth achievement but
> does it make a more courtious or interesting operator? Certainly not,
> and this group is witness to that.
> "People who don't use code are lazy", What? Is that the only discipline
> available to allow someone to experiment with radio? Absolutely not!
> How about learning electronics and building your own equipment? Is that
> a lesser or a greater achievement?
> How about taking the hobby forward by progressing and leading cutting
> edge technologies? It was after all the amateur fraternity who brought
> this new fangled SSB phone mode. Were are at the dawn of a new digital
> age on all you people can do is look backwards and remain firmly rooted
> in the seventeenth century.
> Come on, wake up and smell the 21st century.

It's got to be incorporated into the exams then, otherwise hams aren't
going to learn and do it. If ham radio is to modernize hams must be
forced to modernize. CW isn't preventing the modernization of ham radio,
Laziness is.

Look at the no-coders on the repeaters. Many of them have been licensed
for years and they ain't moderizating. They're no better than they were
the day they were first licensed.

The passing score on written exams need to be 85% and double the number of
questions on each test element.

I push for quality hams. If you don't like quality there is CB. Let's
not turn the ham bands into CB

SC

6294. Re: Readings on Reasons to Boycott Wal-Mart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-28 00:25:16 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <MlLah.4167$tM1.870@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4374
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:14254-456A791E-1822@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net:

> Maybe I should stop shopping at Wal Mart.I can do my food shopping at
> the Piggly Wiggly food store at Westland Plaza,one and a half miles East
> behind doggy's couch.As for other thingys,there is Metocenter Mall just
> across Highway 80 from me and lots of all kinds of other stores near me.
> cuhulin

Do shop somewhere else because if you shop at Walmart you're supporting
Fags.

SC

6295. Sorry I couldn't post for a few days.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-28 00:25:29 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ZlLah.4168$tM1.3312@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4375
Cross-posted to: alt.free.newsservers,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

N9OGL and AB8MQ wouldn't get off the computer at the library so I could use it. They're just like friggen no-coders sometimes and take turns sitting on each other lap. I tried to tell you 5wpm only code was a bad idea, but you people wouldn't listen.

SC

6296. Re: Straights, Boycott Wal-Mart! <- WE WIN!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-28 00:25:44 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cmLah.4169$tM1.1514@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4376
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

toddaug555@yahoo.com (N9OGL) wrote in
news:1137462796.802425.80230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

>
> On Sat, 25 Nov 2006 00:34:02 +0000 (UTC), Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
> wrote:
>> On Fri, 24 Nov 2006 10:35:59 GMT, Gomer Simpson
>> <Gomer_Simpson@nowhere.net> wrote:
>> >
>> > "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
>> > news:ek5e36$o71$1@news2.kornet.net...
>> > > "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
>> > > news:2098761097.179607.f.walmart@tarrnews.net...
>> > > >
>> > > > Did you even bother to read the material at Snopes? Somehow, I
>> > > > don't think that you did, because you pulled out your prerecorded
>> > > > response immediately after jerking your knee.
>> > >
>> > > Did YOU even bother to read what was written at Snopes?
>> > >
>> > > ---
>> > > ---------------
>> > >
>> > > Did you read it?? It said that it is "partnering" with the
>> > > GLA to "promote
>> > > diversity" and promote non typical gay marriages. Everytime I hear
>> > > that buzz phrase "promote diveristy" I can feel the little hairs on
>> > > my neck standing up, because what that really means is promoting non
>> > > traditional values. Since I have a SuperWalmart within a stones throw
>> > > of me, its going to be difficult not to go there but this tears it
>> > > with me and no doubt hundreds of thousands or more of us lowly
>> > > tradiionalists that happen to believe not only do words mean things
>> > > but so do actions like this. They really are sticking their noses
>> > > where they dont belong,,, no pun intended, and I think they are going
>> > > to get bit in the long run.
>>
>> Well, instead of a year, it only took Wal-mart a few days to back away
>> from their preposterious position. A threatened boycott seemed to do
>> the trick, just as I suggested in a previous post. Wal-mart is all
>> about profit; not principle, and they'll sell out at the drop of a hat.
>> The relevant excerpt is as follows:
>>
>> "While stressing its commitment to diversity and equality, Wal-Mart

>> (WMT) said in its statement that it "will not make corporate
>> contributions to support or oppose highly controversial issues unless
>> they directly relate to our ability to serve our customers."
>>
>> "Mona Williams, Wal-Mart's vice president of communications, said in a
>> telephone interview that the company would continue working with the
>> Gay and Lesbian Chamber of Commerce and other gay-rights groups on
>> specific issues such as workplace equality."
>>
>> "Going forward, we would partner with them on specific initiatives.
>> ... As to opposed to just giving blanket support to their general
>> operating budget," she said. --
>> http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,231259,00.html
>>
>> See there? Stick up for traditional values, and these people cave in
>> and run away. It's one more win for the good guys. We've got
>> Christmas back at Wal-mart and gay marriage support is out on its
>> derriere.
>>
>> I love it when a plan comes together.
>
> Wal-mart managers are mostly all cowards anyways and they fuck liars
> to boot. Wal-mart should be force out of business for the good of
> US women who they cheat regular on wages and promotions.
>
> Todd N9OGL
> OMEGA ONE RADIO

You could tell them that to their face but you got fired.

SC

6297. Re: Straights, labtech1, Boycott Wal-Mart!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-28 00:26:00 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <smLah.4170$tM1.3146@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4377
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"labtech1" <labtech_one@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:bd852$4566d6d7$471dd939$3289@ALLTEL.NET:

> What the HELL has this newsgroup become the dumping grounds for every
> crackpot in the world ?
> who gives a shit about Walmart, I don't buy scanners there, get
> frequencies there, or antenna's there.
>
> Why don't you mental midgets take all this CRAP about Walmart, gays,
> ham radio, CW and all the
> other political horsecrap to the REC.I'm_an_idiot newsgroup and
> let the rest of us have OUR
> group back so we can carry on discussions about things that we joined
> this group in the first place.
>
> I've got about 4 people 'filtered', I guess I can add alot more

Tnx, 73, Good luck on the CW test.

SC

6298. Re: WHY WE SEE LLOYD DAVIES NOVFP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-28 00:27:05 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <tnLah.4174$tM1.944@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4378
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:20061124042236.687$nH_-_@newsreader.com:

> "Mork Dork" <anon@anon> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20061124031027.999$g2@newsreader.com...
>> "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >
>> Fuck you lloyd davies.
>>
>> >
>> Garsh, Woger Wabbit.
>>
> Nope. You'd like to get away with blaming your fucked up posts on roger
> wiseman, when in fact you are fat-tard nocode NOVFP llloyd davies the
> Alabama pizza delivery boy acting a fool and too stupid for CW. (slow
> code)
>
>
> http://i9.tinypic.com/4btneo9.jpg
>
> You are almost as fugly as n8wwm, but not quite. See ya'll soon.

Shut the hell up Lawn-boy. Go back to Break'in one-nine. If you want to
insult a ham get a license first you friggen CB retard.

SC

6299. kookathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-28 00:32:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <5t0nm2t1j705f91n7ne1ns1u9u3373q09k@4ax.com>
Source record: 4379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 28 Nov 2006 00:22:31 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Katie <nonegiven@anon> wrote in news:g7hs6.v2.19.1@news.alt.net:
>
>> MarQueerMyDear wrote:
>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>> bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>>>> I was in my neighborhood drug store and what did I see? The November
>>>>>> issue of pop com!
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Steve, your letter was well written and spot on.Congratulations on
>>>>>> being published! Have you ever considered submitting regular
>>>>>> articles to either pop com or monitoring times? You have great
>>>>>> writing skills.
>>>>> I quit PopComm after my 1st subscription about 1984. Too much
>>>>> reverence for pirate radio for my taste in that pub.
>>>> OK, finally made it over to Universal and picked up the November issue
>>>> of PopComm.
>>>>
>>>> Of course Steve's letter was well written. He left out the homosexual
>>>> inuendo and excrement eating scenarios. He has one side he presents
>>>> to the world... but then there's the other side.
>>>>
>>>> Anyhow, lots of words, a sense of urgency. With arms waving and flags
>>>> flying he mentions "jet training routes" "Missions for America"
>>>> "ultimate war fighting capabilities." Very important sounding stuff
>>>> from Major Steven J Robeson, K4YZ/KTN4GN, as usual.
>>>>
>>>> So what was it all about???
>>>>
>>>> Monitoring CAP FOUO communications.
>>>>
>>>> I recall K4YZ/KTN4GN inviting people to monitor him and he would send
>>>> an SWL confirmation.
>>>>
>>> AWWWWWWWWW......whats the matter ""hot-jizz-and-scat""??
>>> your boi-puss marqueer won't give you any??
>>> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!
>>>> Hi! So much for FOUO.
>>>
>> The only thing Billy Smith has written lately is leaving an epithet in a
>> bathroom stall.

>
>
>In brown.

you need help for your obession with shit steve
>
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6300. Re: Straights, Boycott Wal-Mart! <- WE WIN!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-28 00:36:48 UTC
From: n9ogl <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1164674208.086534.83030@n67g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4380
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

N9OGL wrote:
> On 27 Nov 2006 10:32:05 -0800, n9ogl <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > On Sat, 25 Nov 2006 00:34:02 +0000 (UTC), Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
> > > > On Fri, 24 Nov 2006 10:35:59 GMT, Gomer Simpson <Gomer_Simpson@nowhere.net> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:ek5e36$o71$1@news2.kornet.net...
> > > > > > "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:2098761097.179607.f.walmart@tarrnews.net...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Did you even bother to read the material at Snopes? Somehow, I don't
> > > > > > > think that you did, because you pulled out your prerecorded response
> > > > > > > immediately after jerking your knee.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Did YOU even bother to read what was written at Snopes?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >

> > > > > >
> > > > > Did you read it?? It said that it is "partnering" with the GLA to "promote
> > > > > diversity" and promote non typical gay marriages. Everytime I hear that buzz
> > > > > phrase "promote diveristy" I can feel the little hairs on my neck standing up,
> > > > > because what that really means is promoting non traditional values. Since
> > > > > I have a SuperWalmart within a stones throw of me, its going to be difficult
> > > > > not to go there but this tears it with me and no doubt hundreds of thousands
> > > > > or more of us lowly tradiionalists that happen to believe not only do words
> > > > > mean things but so do actions like this. They really are sticking their noses
> > > > > where they dont belong,,, no pun intended, and I think they are going to get
> > > > > bit in the long run.
> > > >
> > > > Well, instead of a year, it only took Wal-mart a few days to back away
> > > > from their preposterious position. A threatened boycott seemed to do
> > > > the trick, just as I suggested in a previous post. Wal-mart is all
> > > > about profit; not principle, and they'll sell out at the drop of a hat.
> > > > The relevant excerpt is as follows:
> > > >
> > > > "While stressing its commitment to diversity and equality, Wal-Mart (WMT)
> > > > said in its statement that it "will not make corporate contributions to
> > > > support or oppose highly controversial issues unless they directly
> > > > relate to our ability to serve our customers."
> > > >

> > > > "Mona Williams, Wal-Mart's vice president of communications, said in a
> > > > telephone interview that the company would continue working with the Gay
> > > > and Lesbian Chamber of Commerce and other gay-rights groups on specific
> > > > issues such as workplace equality."
> > > >
> > > > "Going forward, we would partner with them on specific initiatives. ...
> > > > As to opposed to just giving blanket support to their general operating
> > > > budget," she said. -- http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,231259,00.html
> > > >
> > > > See there? Stick up for traditional values, and these people cave in
> > > > and run away. It's one more win for the good guys. We've got Christmas
> > > > back at Wal-mart and gay marriage support is out on its derriere.
> > > >
> > > > I love it when a plan comes together.
> > >
> > > Wal-mart managers are mostly all cowards anyways and they fuck liars
> > > to boot. Wal-mart should be force out of business for the good of
> > > US women who they cheat regular on wages and promotions.
> > >
> > > Todd N9OGL
> > > OMEGA ONE RADIO
> >
> > FAKE!!!
>
> Is NOT fake! Wal-mart really did backed away from funding fruits like
> Markie. IT ALL FUCK REAL FUCK BITCHWHORE!!!!
>
> Todd N9OGL
> OMEGA ONE RADIO

No, your a fake, dipshit.....I don't use aioe.org....try agian you
fucking piece of fucking shit!!! Perhaps you should get a hold of
stebie...he's good at forging emails and post!!

Todd N9OGL

6301. Re: Straights, Boycott Wal-Mart! <- WE WIN!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-28 00:38:11 UTC
From: n9ogl <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1164674291.139000.225620@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4381
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> toddaug555@yahoo.com (N9OGL) wrote in
> news:1137462796.802425.80230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > On Sat, 25 Nov 2006 00:34:02 +0000 (UTC), Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
> > wrote:
> >> On Fri, 24 Nov 2006 10:35:59 GMT, Gomer Simpson
> >> <Gomer_Simpson@nowhere.net> wrote:
> >> >
> >> > "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:ek5e36$o71$1@news2.kornet.net...
> >> > > "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
> >> > > news:2098761097.179607.f.walmart@tarrnews.net...
> >> > > >
> >> > > > Did you even bother to read the material at Snopes? Somehow, I
> >> > > > don't think that you did, because you pulled out your prerecorded
> >> > > > response immediately after jerking your knee.
> >> > >
> >> > > Did YOU even bother to read what was written at Snopes?
> >> > >
> >> > --
> >> > ---------------
> >> >
> >> > Did you read it?? It said that it is "partnering" with the
> >> > GLA to "promote
> >> > diversity" and promote non typical gay marriages. Everytime I hear
> >> > that buzz phrase "promote diveristy" I can feel the little hairs on
> >> > my neck standing up, because what that really means is promoting non
> >> > traditional values. Since I have a SuperWalmart within a stones throw
> >> > of me, its going to be difficult not to go there but this tears it
> >> > with me and no doubt hundreds of thousands or more of us lowly
> >> > tradiionalists that happen to believe not only do words mean things
> >> > but so do actions like this. They really are sticking their noses
> >> > where they dont belong,,, no pun intended, and I think they are going
> >> > to get bit in the long run.
> >>
> >> Well, instead of a year, it only took Wal-mart a few days to back away
> >> from their preposterious position. A threatened boycott seemed to do
> >> the trick, just as I suggested in a previous post. Wal-mart is all
> >> about profit; not principle, and they'll sell out at the drop of a hat.
> >> The relevant excerpt is as follows:
> >>

> >> "While stressing its commitment to diversity and equality, Wal-Mart
> >> (WMT) said in its statement that it "will not make corporate
> >> contributions to support or oppose highly controversial issues unless
> >> they directly relate to our ability to serve our customers."
> >>
> >> "Mona Williams, Wal-Mart's vice president of communications, said in a
> >> telephone interview that the company would continue working with the
> >> Gay and Lesbian Chamber of Commerce and other gay-rights groups on
> >> specific issues such as workplace equality."
> >>
> >> "Going forward, we would partner with them on specific initiatives.
> >> ... As to opposed to just giving blanket support to their general
> >> operating budget," she said. --
> >> http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,231259,00.html
> >>
> >> See there? Stick up for traditional values, and these people cave in
> >> and run away. It's one more win for the good guys. We've got
> >> Christmas back at Wal-mart and gay marriage support is out on its
> >> derriere.
> >>
> >> I love it when a plan comes together.
> >
> > Wal-mart managers are mostly all cowards anyways and they fuck liars
> > to boot. Wal-mart should be force out of business for the good of
> > US women who they cheat regular on wages and promotions.
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> > OMEGA ONE RADIO
>
>
> You could tell them that to their face but you got fired.
>
> SC

I was never fired...I quite and the store manger here will tell you
that.

Todd N9OGL

6302. kookathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-28 00:38:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <471nm2leoi19np14qqq9jnhfpevhbngcii@4ax.com>
Source record: 4382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 28 Nov 2006 00:25:44 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>toddaug555@yahoo.com (N9OGL) wrote in
>news:1137462796.802425.80230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> On Sat, 25 Nov 2006 00:34:02 +0000 (UTC), Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
>> wrote:
>>> On Fri, 24 Nov 2006 10:35:59 GMT, Gomer Simpson
>>> <Gomer_Simpson@nowhere.net> wrote:
>>> >
>>> > "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
>>> > news:ek5e36$o71$1@news2.kornet.net...
>>> > > "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
>>> > > news:2098761097.179607.f.walmart@tarrnews.net...
>>> > > >
>>> > > > Did you even bother to read the material at Snopes? Somehow, I
>>> > > > don't think that you did, because you pulled out your prerecorded
>>> > > > response immediately after jerking your knee.
>>> > >
>>> > > Did YOU even bother to read what was written at Snopes?
>>> > >
>>> > > --
>>> > > ---------------
>>> > >
>>> > > Did you read it?? It said that it is "partnering" with the
>>> > > GLA to "promote
>>> > > diversity" and promote non typical gay marriages. Everytime I hear
>>> > > that buzz phrase "promote diveristy" I can feel the little hairs on
>>> > > my neck standing up, because what that really means is promoting non
>>> > > traditional values. Since I have a SuperWalmart within a stones throw
>>> > > of me, its going to be difficult not to go there but this tears it
>>> > > with me and no doubt hundreds of thousands or more of us lowly
>>> > > tradiionalists that happen to believe not only do words mean things
>>> > > but so do actions like this. They really are sticking their noses
>>> > > where they dont belong,,, no pun intended, and I think they are going
>>> > > to get bit in the long run.
>>> >
>>> Well, instead of a year, it only took Wal-mart a few days to back away
>>> from their preposterious position. A threatened boycott seemed to do
>>> the trick, just as I suggested in a previous post. Wal-mart is all
>>> about profit; not principle, and they'll sell out at the drop of a hat.
>>> The relevant excerpt is as follows:

>>>
>>> "While stressing its commitment to diversity and equality, Wal-Mart
>>> (WMT) said in its statement that it "will not make corporate
>>> contributions to support or oppose highly controversial issues unless
>>> they directly relate to our ability to serve our customers."
>>>
>>> "Mona Williams, Wal-Mart's vice president of communications, said in a
>>> telephone interview that the company would continue working with the
>>> Gay and Lesbian Chamber of Commerce and other gay-rights groups on
>>> specific issues such as workplace equality."
>>>
>>> "Going forward, we would partner with them on specific initiatives.
>>> ... As to opposed to just giving blanket support to their general
>>> operating budget," she said. --
>>> http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,231259,00.html
>>>
>>> See there? Stick up for traditional values, and these people cave in
>>> and run away. It's one more win for the good guys. We've got
>>> Christmas back at Wal-mart and gay marriage support is out on its
>>> derriere.
>>>
>>> I love it when a plan comes together.
>>
>> Wal-mart managers are mostly all cowards anyways and they fuck liars
>> to boot. Wal-mart should be force out of business for the good of
>> US women who they cheat regular on wages and promotions.
>>
>> Todd N9OGL
>> OMEGA ONE RADIO
>
>
>You could tell them that to their face but you got fired.

he still could
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6303. Re: Sorry I couldn't post for a few days.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-28 00:40:05 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1164674405.895598.232240@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4383
Cross-posted to: alt.free.newsservers,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> N9OGL and AB8MQ wouldn't get off the computer at the library so I could
> use it. They're just like friggen no-coders sometimes and take turns
> sitting on each other lap. I tried to tell you 5wpm only code was a bad
> idea, but you people wouldn't listen.
>
> SC

Hey Stupid FUCK, I've got my thirteen WPM, what about you??

Todd N9OGL

6304. kookathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-28 00:41:10 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <qb1nm2h2vr928ljc00rgu6anjqe9s5o8gv@4ax.com>
Source record: 4384
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 28 Nov 2006 00:25:16 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
>news:14254-456A791E-1822@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net:
>
>> Maybe I should stop shopping at Wal Mart.I can do my food shopping at
>> the Piggly Wiggly food store at Westland Plaza,one and a half miles East
>> behind doggy's couch.As for other thingys,there is Metocenter Mall just
>> across Highway 80 from me and lots of all kinds of other stores near me.
>> cuhulin
>
>
>Do shop somewhere else because if you shop at Walmart you're supporting
>Fags.

do get help for your hatefullness and tr to either become a better ham
or turn in your license for the good of the service
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6305. kookthon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-28 00:42:09 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ld1nm2h8nmt8kgg0jtstg9ns42pstnc22f@4ax.com>
Source record: 4385
Cross-posted to: alt.free.newsservers,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 28 Nov 2006 00:25:29 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>N9OGL and AB8MQ wouldn't get off the computer at the library so I could
>use it.

interesting that you admit you don't dare use you home account to spew
your hate
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6306. Re: Straights, Boycott Wal-Mart! <- WE WIN!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-28 00:42:58 UTC
From: Dan <no@where.man>
Message-ID: <12mn1ghi02p1d71@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 4386
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"n9ogl" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1164674291.139000.225620@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> You could tell them that to their face but you got fired.
>>
>> SC
>
> I was never fired...I quite

You quite what?

Mike

6307. Re: Straights, Boycott Wal-Mart! <- WE WIN!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-28 00:43:35 UTC
From: Dan <no@where.man>
Message-ID: <12mn1hm6m0uj98b@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 4387
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"n9ogl" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1164674291.139000.225620@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> You could tell them that to their face but you got fired.
>>
>> SC
>
> I was never fired...I quite

Stupid.

Mike

6308. kookathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-28 00:47:30 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <4o1nm21djmnctu6dnnmurq5p1docll94s6@4ax.com>
Source record: 4388
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 28 Nov 2006 00:22:47 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Opus <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>news:g16dm2dppaso87ipksk904npi3mhgt3h4l@4ax.com:
>
>> Whaaaaaaaaaaaa!
>
>
>I shortened your post for you Opus.
>
>Learn CW, make a real ham out of yourself. You Cannucks really act
>like retards sometimes.

it did not work in your case you are not a real ham even if you still
have a license steve
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6309. kookathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-28 00:52:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <m12nm2t5pvvmqdgastf83hep9v51u9dnss@4ax.com>
Source record: 4389
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Tue, 28 Nov 2006 00:27:05 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>news:20061124042236.687$nH_-_@newsreader.com:
>
>> "Mork Dork" <anon@anon> wrote:
>>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:20061124031027.999$g2@newsreader.com...
>>> "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>> >
>>> >
>>> Fuck you lloyd davies.
>>>
>>> >
>>> Garsh, Woger Wabbit.
>>>
>> Nope. You'd like to get away with blaming your fucked up posts on roger
>> wiseman, when in fact you are fat-tard nocode NOVFP llloyd davies the
>> Alabama pizza delivery boy acting a fool and too stupid for CW. (slow
>> code)
>>
>>
>> http://i9.tinypic.com/4btneo9.jpg
>>
>> You are almost as fugly as n8wwm, but not quite. See ya'll soon.
>
>
>Shut the hell up Lawn-boy. Go back to Break'in one-nine. If you want to
>insult a ham get a license first you friggen CB retard.

he is a likely got a ham license as you SC
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6310. kookathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-28 00:58:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <jc2nm219srg6e823nau4rr7itokvoo5ar8@4ax.com>
Source record: 4390
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 28 Nov 2006 00:26:00 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"labtech1" <labtech_one@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:bd852$4566d6d7$471dd939$3289@ALLTEL.NET:
>
>> What the HELL has this newsgroup become the dumping grounds for every
>> crackpot in the world ?
>> who gives a shit about Walmart, I don't buy scanners there, get
>> frequencies there, or antenna's there.
>>
>> Why don't you mental midgets take all this CRAP about Walmart, gays,
>> ham radio, CW and all the
>> other political horsecrap to the REC.I'm_an_idiot newsgroup and
>> let the rest of us have OUR
>> group back so we can carry on discussions about things that we joined
>> this group in the first place.
>>
>> I've got about 4 people 'filtered', I guess I can add alot more
>
>
>Tnx, 73, Good luck on the CW test.

luck I thougth Morse was matter of skill SC?
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6311. Re: Sorry I couldn't post for a few days.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-28 01:08:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <603nm2t8tcl9s3epi8h8ajluaptne68fac@4ax.com>
Source record: 4391
Cross-posted to: alt.free.newsservers,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On 27 Nov 2006 16:40:05 -0800, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Slow Code wrote:
>> N9OGL and AB8MQ wouldn't get off the computer at the library so I could
>> use it. They're just like friggen no-coders sometimes and take turns
>> sitting on each other lap. I tried to tell you 5wpm only code was a bad
>> idea, but you people wouldn't listen.
>>
>> SC
>
>Hey Stupid FUCK, I've got my thirteen WPM, what about you??
>
>Todd N9OGL
sc can't deal with reality rather Robeson who I suspect he realy is
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6312. CAMPANHA NOKIA 6680 SÓ A 189,00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-28 02:31:21 UTC
From: Tecnico <tecnico-urgencias@sapo.pt>
Message-ID: <456b9f44$1$29061$a729d347@news.telepac.pt>
Source record: 4392

Campanha NOKIA 6680 a 189,00 euros

ver em produto do dia em: http://www.cat24.com

6313. Re: punceathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-28 03:17:56 UTC
From: I PUNCE <markpunce@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1164683876.768539.271830@l12g2000cwl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4393
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Tue, 28 Nov 2006 00:25:16 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
> >news:14254-456A791E-1822@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net:
> >
> >> Maybe I should stop shopping at Wal Mart.I can do my food shopping at
> >> the Piggly Wiggly food store at Westland Plaza,one and a half miles East
> >> behind doggy's couch.As for other thingys,there is Metocenter Mall just
> >> across Highway 80 from me and lots of all kinds of other stores near me.
> >> cuhulin
> >>
> >>
> >Do shop somewhere else because if you shop at Walmart you're supporting
> >Fags.
>

I PUNCE

> do get help for your hatefullness and tr to either become a better ham
> or turn in your license for the good of the service
> >
> >SC
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6314. Re: Sorry I couldn't post for a few days.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-28 06:35:44 UTC
From: Vanguard <vanguard@domain.invalid>
Message-ID: <XpudnR8NgOZcRfbYnZ2dnUVZ_oadnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 4394
Cross-posted to: alt.free.newsservers,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:ZlLah.4168$tM1.3312@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> N9OGL and AB8MQ wouldn't get off the computer at the library so I
> could
> use it. They're just like friggen no-coders sometimes and take turns
> sitting on each other lap. I tried to tell you 5wpm only code was a
> bad
> idea, but you people wouldn't listen.
>
> SC

Yawn, as I sleepily peruse the posts, I though a noseeum went
flittering past my eyes but was soon ignored.

6315. Re: Straights, Boycott Wal-Mart! <- WE WIN!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-28 12:12:13 UTC
From: delorean@nim.bstrs
Message-ID: <1137462796.8024258912@nim.bstrs>
Source record: 4395
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 27 Nov 2006 16:38:11 -0800, n9ogl <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> Slow Code wrote:
> >
> > You could tell them that to their face but you got fired.
> >
> > SC
>
> I was never fired...I quite and the store manger here will tell you
> that.

But YOU said that YOU got fired. Twice.

From http://www.allaudio.org/detail-11649980.html:

"On Wed, 29 Sep 2004 15:53:47 -0700, Todd Daugherty wrote:

"I am a formal employee of Wal-Mart Stores Inc. I was fired about a month ago by the store manger who at the very beginning did not like me. I called the District Manger who informed me that I wasn't fired and had a long talk with the store manger about him firing me. Upon returning to work that day. The store manger informed me that all the stockman were going to clean up the fixture room and I was going to be first one. When I asked the other stockman if they were told about cleaning the fixture room they said no. Since I was hired back I was the only one cleaning out the fixture room. While I was cleaning the fixture room up the store manger was writing me up for not doing my other duties. The store manger along with the assistant manger would nit-pick at everything I do. All of this happen after that one event. As of last Sunday the store manger got his way and fired me again. I am sick of him harassing me."

6316. Re: Readings on Reasons to Boycott Wal-Mart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-28 14:31:11 UTC
From: Old Time Ham <old_fart@curmudgeons.com>
Message-ID: <tOudnTtttKyy1fHYnZ2dnUVZ_sqdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 4396
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
> news:14254-456A791E-1822@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net:
>
>> Maybe I should stop shopping at Wal Mart.I can do my food shopping at
>> the Piggly Wiggly food store at Westland Plaza,one and a half miles East
>> behind doggy's couch.As for other thingys,there is Metocenter Mall just
>> across Highway 80 from me and lots of all kinds of other stores near me.
>> cuhulin
>
>
> Do shop somewhere else because if you shop at Walmart you're supporting
> Fags.
>
> SC
>
Just as bad, or worse, is TARGET. Not only fags, but FRENCH fags!

6317. Re: Laziness is no reason to destroy quality radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-28 16:47:45 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ekhpf0$2qt3$1@news.ndhu.edu.tw>
Source record: 4397
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Idiot:

1) Get a life!
2) Get a news group! (might I suggest rec.radio.amateur.cw? Of course, you
will have to found this new group.)

I am sure there are just thousands waiting in the wings to join a newsgroup
devoted to cw!

JS

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:tjLah.4153$tM1.3238@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "Juan Skinner" <wind_in_the_antenna@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in
> news:1164386983.981467.137780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>
> > The trouble with a code is that it is designed to keep people out. Once
> > you've learned the 'secret' code, it makes you feel that you have
> > something that you, and a select group of others can participate in.
> > Once you're up there, see how quickly you pull the ladder up.
> > Does a proficiency in CW make for a better operator? Yes, in a way. It
> > shows a studious interest in the hobby and is a worth achievement but
> > does it make a more courtious or interesting operator? Certainly not,
> > and this group is witness to that.
> > "People who don't use code are lazy", What? Is that the only discipline
> > available to allow someone to experiment with radio? Absolutely not!
> > How about learning electronics and building your own equipment? Is that
> > a lesser or a greater achievement?
> > How about taking the hobby forward by progressing and leading cutting
> > edge technologies? It was after all the amateur fraternity who brought
> > this new fangled SSB phone mode. Were are at the dawn of a new digital
> > age on all you people can do is look backwards and remain firmly rooted
> > in the seventeenth century.
> > Come on, wake up and smell the 21st century.
>
>
> It's got to be incorporated into the exams then, otherwise hams aren't
> going to learn and do it. If ham radio is to modernize hams must be
> forced to modernize. CW isn't preventing the modernization of ham radio,
> Laziness is.
>
> Look at the no-coders on the repeaters. Many of them have been licensed
> for years and they ain't moderizating. They're no better than they were
> the day they were first licensed.

>
> The passing score on written exams need to be 85% and double the number of
> questions on each test element.
>
> I push for quality hams. If you don't like quality there is CB. Let's
> not turn the ham bands into CB
>
> SC

6318. Re: Straights, Boycott Wal-Mart! <- WE WIN!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-28 18:28:56 UTC
From: n9ogl <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1164738535.951837.231760@j72g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4398
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

DeLorean wrote:
> On 27 Nov 2006 16:38:11 -0800, n9ogl <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > Slow Code wrote:
> > >
> > > You could tell them that to their face but you got fired.
> > >
> > > SC
> >
> > I was never fired...I quite and the store manger here will tell you
> > that.
>
> But YOU said that YOU got fired. Twice.
>
> From http://www.allaudio.org/detail-11649980.html:
>
> "On Wed, 29 Sep 2004 15:53:47 -0700, Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> "I am a formal employee of Wal-Mart Stores Inc. I was fired about a month
> ago by the store manger who at the very beginning did not like me. I called
> the District Manger who informed me that I wasn't fired and had a long talk
> with the store manger about him firing me. Upon returning to work that day.
> The store manger informed me that all the stockman were going to clean up
> the fixture room and I was going to be first one. When I asked the other
> stockman if they were told about cleaning the fixture room they said no.
> Since I was hired back I was the only one cleaning out the fixture room.
> While I was cleaning the fixture room up the store manger was writing me up
> for not doing my other duties. The store manger along with the assistant
> manger would nit-pick at everything I do. All of this happen after that one
> event. As of last Sunday the store manger got his way and fired me again. I
> am sick of him harassing me."

I don't know delorean, was you dropped on the head when you were a
child??? because It seems to me that you have a hard time
listening.....I was wrong when I posted that, according to Wal-mart and
the state of Illinois I was NEVER FIRED.....according to BOTH i quit on
my accord...and If I wanted I could go back to work for them...but I am
not because I am happy in school.

Todd N9OGL

6319. Re: Straights, Boycott Wal-Mart! <- WE WIN!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-28 18:52:19 UTC
From: toddaug555@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <64738535.9837.231760@j72g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 28 Nov 2006 10:28:56 -0800, n9ogl <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> I don't know delorean, was you dropped on the head when you were a
> child??? because It seems to me that you have a hard time
> listening.....I was wrong when I posted that, according to Wal-mart and
> the state of Illinois I was NEVER FIRED.....according to BOTH i quit on
> my accord...and If I wanted I could go back to work for them...but I am
> not because I am happy in school.
>
> Todd N9OGL

FAKE!!

6320. stil a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-28 20:24:36 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <in6pm2tqb59gohemmbe58nr4u67m7vdcu1@4ax.com>
Source record: 4400
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On 27 Nov 2006 19:17:56 -0800, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On Tue, 28 Nov 2006 00:25:16 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>> >cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
>> >news:14254-456A791E-1822@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net:
>> >
>> >> Maybe I should stop shopping at Wal Mart.I can do my food shopping at
>> >> the Piggly Wiggly food store at Westland Plaza,one and a half miles East
>> >> behind doggy's couch.As for other thingys,there is Metocenter Mall just
>> >> across Highway 80 from me and lots of all kinds of other stores near me.
>> >> cuhulin
>> >
>> >
>> >Do shop somewhere else because if you shop at Walmart you're supporting
>> >Fags.
>>
>
>I PUNCE

stil a crime
>
>
>
>> do get help for your hatefullness and tr to either become a better ham
>> or turn in your license for the good of the service
>> >
>> >SC
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6321. Re: CONGRATS!! to k4yz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-29 12:10:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1164802200.931010.64280@j72g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4301
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote in
> news:1163440740.052428.146990@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
> > I was in my neighborhood drug store and what did I see? The November
> > issue of pop com!
> >
> > Steve, your letter was well written and spot on.Congratulations on
> > being published! Have you ever considered submitting regular articles
> > to either pop com or monitoring times? You have great writing skills.
>
>
> What was Steve writing about?

Thanks, Bill!

SC, There was an article about CAP in Popular Communications that addressed the recent change of federal policy on FOUO, or "For Offical Use Only" release of information on certain aspects of radio communication.

Part of that change has been for CAP to withdrawn any and all discussion of it's operating frequencies from cap.gov sites that aren't password protected for CAP members only. The author called into question the validity of that practice.

I made a point of suggesting that we as radio hobbyists need to re-think our liberal publishing of what we hear, even when we are only discussing the user, mode and frequency, not the actual content of the transmission.

And Bill...Morkie doesn't like me because at each and every turn of his attempts to lie, deceive and mislead, I've "busted" him over and over for those acts. Morkie doesn't like having his nose rubbed in his online misdeeds, yet continues to do it.

Thanks again for the kind comments. It wasn't, by the way, the first time in recent history that I've been "published" (not in the professional sense, obviously) in Amateur media. I've had several "hits" in CQ magazine since November of last year, and had an item I originally published in this forum picked up by "Worldradio" as a guest op-ed item a few years ago.

73

Steve, K4YZ

6322. Re: Rea ding son Rea sons to Boytoy Wal-Mart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-29 14:51:04 UTC
From: L Ron Hubbub <thebigone@cos.net>
Message-ID: <s7hbh.7378$yf7.1367@newssvr21.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 4302
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

>Not only fags, but FRENCH fags!

You mean John Kerry and his lovely wife monster ?

6323. Re: CONGRATS!! why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-29 17:00:32 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1164819632.632622.68130@l39g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4303
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

K4YZ wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote in

> And Bill...Morkie doesn't like me because at each and every turn of
> his attempts to lie, deceive and mislead, I've "busted" him over and
> over for those acts. Morkie doesn't like having his nose rubbed in his
> online misdeeds, yet continues to do it.

mo steve I don't like you because you have threaten to murder me you
have stalked across 3 states and made harring reports over fictional
misdeeds to authorites who dismayed to learn to learn for example that
they investgating an abuse complant that nof an inicdent that never
occoured

6324. Pro 97 some chanels work, some don't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-29 21:25:29 UTC
From: dave <dave1@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1164835529.490054.299030@j72g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4304

I have a Radio Shack Pro 97

Right now all that I am getting are my VHF frequencies and not my 800mhz.

I noticed that the VHF have a + below the chanel number and the 800 have a - (minus).

What did I push to create this problem? And how do I undo my mistake.

Thanks
Dave

6325. FA Like New Canon 18x50 Image Stabilized Binoculars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-29 22:29:13 UTC
From: mke65@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <pc2sm213etkcf60o7nflqtdho6autcujde@4ax.com>
Source record: 4305

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&ih=012&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&viewitem=&item=220054646256&rd=1&rd=1

6326. Re: Rea ding son Rea sons to Boytoy Wal-Mart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-29 23:37:19 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <EIudnZc4zdkwhPPYnZ2dnUVZ_uadnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 4306
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

L Ron Hubbub wrote:

>>Not only fags, but FRENCH fags!
>
>
> You mean John Kerry and his lovely wife monster ?
>
>

Senator Kerry's wife is NOT FRENCH!!

She is African-American [really]!!

Senator Kerry has a real problem. He is a Liberal Democrat from the Peoples Socialist Republic of Massachusetts.

6327. Re: Laziness is no reason to destroy quality radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-30 01:29:24 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Utqbh.5492$1s6.1695@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4307
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
news:ekhpf0$2qt3$1@news.ndhu.edu.tw:

> Slow Idiot:
>
> 1) Get a life!
> 2) Get a news group! (might I suggest rec.radio.amateur.cw? Of course,
> you will have to found this new group.)
>
> I am sure there are just thousands waiting in the wings to join a
> newsgroup devoted to cw!
>
> JS

ROFL,

I bet it just pisses your lazy ass off you gotta learn something to get on
HF. Be happy, they dumbed things down for ya.

SC

6328. Re: Readings on Reasons to Boycott Wal-Mart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-30 01:29:35 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <3uqbh.5498$1s6.5065@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4308
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Old Time Ham <old_fart@curmudgeons.com> wrote in
news:tOudnTtttKyy1fHYnZ2dnUVZ_sqdnZ2d@comcast.com:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
>> news:14254-456A791E-1822@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net:
>>
>>> Maybe I should stop shopping at Wal Mart.I can do my food shopping at
>>> the Piggly Wiggly food store at Westland Plaza,one and a half miles
>>> East behind doggy's couch.As for other thingys,there is Metocenter
>>> Mall just across Highway 80 from me and lots of all kinds of other
>>> stores near me. cuhulin
>>
>>
>> Do shop somewhere else because if you shop at Walmart you're supporting
>> Fags.
>>
>> SC
>>
> Just as bad, or worse, is TARGET. Not only fags, but FRENCH fags!

The History Of The Middle Finger

Well, now......here's something I never knew before, and now that I know it, I feel compelled to send it on to my more intelligent friends in the hope that they, too, will feel edified. Isn't history more fun when you know something about it?

Before the Battle of Agincourt in 1415, the French, anticipating victory over the English, proposed to cut off the middle finger of all captured English soldiers. Without the middle finger it would be impossible to draw the renowned English longbow and therefore they would be incapable of fighting in the future. This famous English longbow was made of the native English Yew tree, and the act of drawing the longbow was known as "plucking the yew" (or "pluck yew").

Much to the bewilderment of the French, the English won a major upset and began mocking the French by waving their middle fingers at the defeated French, saying, See, we can still pluck yew!

Since 'pluck yew' is rather difficult to say, the difficult consonant cluster at the beginning has gradually changed to a labiodentals fricative F', and thus the words often used in conjunction with the one-finger-salute!

It is also because of the pheasant feathers on the arrows used with the longbow that the symbolic gesture is known as "giving the bird."

IT IS STILL AN APPROPRIATE SALUTE TO THE FRENCH TODAY!
And yew thought yew knew every plucking thing!

6329. kookathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-30 01:41:40 UTC
From: old freind <kb9rqz-4@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1164850900.042402.214320@16g2000cwy.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4309
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
> news:ekhpf0$2qt3$1@news.ndhu.edu.tw:
>
> > Slow Idiot:
> >
> > 1) Get a life!
> > 2) Get a news group! (might I suggest rec.radio.amateur.cw? Of course,
> > you will have to found this new group.)
> >
> > I am sure there are just thousands waiting in the wings to join a
> > newsgroup devoted to cw!
> >
> > JS
>
>
> ROFL,
>
> I bet it just pisses your lazy ass off you gotta learn something to get on
> HF. Be happy, they dumbed things down for ya.

then you lose your bet
>
> SC

6330. crapthon this is beoynd mere kookery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-30 01:47:36 UTC
From: old freind <kb9rqz-4@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1164851256.773107.300770@h54g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4310
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> Old Time Ham <old_fart@curmudgeons.com> wrote in
> news:tOudnTtttKyy1fHYnZ2dnUVZ_sqdnZ2d@comcast.com:
>
\
> The History Of The Middle Finger
>
>
> Well, now......here's something I never knew before, and now that I know

you are one those this conastanly drags down the nNGS this has no place
ina radio NG

6331. Re: Pro 97 some chanels work, some don't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-30 02:31:16 UTC
From: "A. Pismo Clam" <opalockamishabob@'86DASPAMnetscape.net>
Message-ID: <9uqdnQd8ua7r3_PYnZ2dnUVZ_sOdnZ2d@got.net>
Source record: 4311

Thedave wrote:
> I have a Radio Shack Pro 97
>
> Right now all that I am getting are my VHF frequencies and not my
> 800mhz.
>
> I noticed that the VHF have a + below the chanel number and the 800
> have a - (minus).
>
> What did I push to create this problem? And how do I undo my mistake.
>
> Thanks
> Dave
>

Dave,

The "+" is the OPEN MODE. The scanner scans signals transmitted in all systems.

The "-" the scanner stops on the signals that have an ID code that you programmed into the scanner. Like a number for a talkgroup for Motorola scanning. If you are scanning an EDACS system, you MUST have the frequencies in the correct order, usually what I do is place the EDACS system in one bank and start the bank, leaving the top channel in the bank empty. Like bank # 3, channel 300 is left unprogrammed.

To change the "-" to a "+" press MANUAL, press FUNC the use the up/down arrows to select the bank.

Press FUNC the 5. The screen should say "bank open" or "bank closed".

Hope this helps.

BTW, you might want to consider the best software anywhere for your scanner, so you don't have to program your scanner manually.

Here is the link, just click on WIN97.

http://www.starrsoft.com/

6332. Re: Laziness is no reason to destroy quality radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-30 03:05:09 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ekli0o$13gm$1@news.ndhu.edu.tw>
Source record: 4312
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

SI (Slow Idiot):

U R an ideal idiot, a pinnacle of an example of an idiot, even
professional idiots worship at your feet...

JS

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:Utqbh.5492$1s6.1695@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
> news:ekhpf0$2qt3$1@news.ndhu.edu.tw:
>
> > Slow Idiot:
> >
> > 1) Get a life!
> > 2) Get a news group! (might I suggest rec.radio.amateur.cw? Of
course,
> > you will have to found this new group.)
> >
> > I am sure there are just thousands waiting in the wings to join a
> > newsgroup devoted to cw!
> >
> > JS
>
>
> ROFL,
>
> I bet it just pisses your lazy ass off you gotta learn something to
get on
> HF. Be happy, they dumbed things down for ya.
>
> SC

6333. Re: Laziness is no reason to destroy quality radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-30 04:18:23 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <eklma1$1kja$1@news.ndhu.edu.tw>
Source record: 4313
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Idiot:

I am going to do you a BIG favor. You didn't know I was psychic, did you? Well, I am, let me give you a reading...

You are the kind of guy who impresses young children, old women, and mentally and technically challenged individuals. You realize that you have that special autistic ability of being able to rap out, and quite profusely--probably, those taps in code. You were quick to realize that individuals with low self esteem, persons of low self-worth and individuals seeming to lack any skills what-so-ever were VERY impressed by such an ability--of course these individuals also failed to realize just how stupid it was to "hero worship" such a trivial ability.

However, for one such as you, un-remarkable in all other ways type of guy, no great IQ, no other real skills or abilities, a general inability to get along in the general society, etc... for you, this was a way of "feeling special." But, it came at a price, it blinded you to how simple others with real abilities, high IQ's, great skills, good social abilities, etc. viewed you. You simply shut your ears, your eyes and your mind to reality; you finally reached a point where you were unable to care, to see... You came to live in your "special world" where you are quite special, talented, skilled, etc. You live there now, you think you enlighten us with your wasted "text verbiage" here, you draw upon others good will and tolerance, you keep thinking you have a great message to impart to us. That soon a revelation will strike us and we will all recognize you for the great man you think you are...

This story goes on and on, indeed, it appears as if it is one of those special, un-ending story with you painted as lead hero, painted as such by you, yourself--of course... well, even you can see where I am going with all of this. And, you know it is true. You know we will be watching your behavior in sheer unbelief and amazement, you realize you really do look quite stupid. You realize you have painted yourself into a corner which you cannot escape. And, it dawns upon you, you have nothing to lose, all credibility is lost--you may as well continue on your present course--there is nothing to be lost--it has already been gone for quite a time... still, your only two choices is facing your peers disgust, or quitting, and I am betting you just can't quit. I have never seen an individual like you who was able...

Good luck...

P.S. I am really not psychic--but you already knew that... It is just that such small intellect as you display is simple to define... but you

knew that--already. You are simply trapped by the particular mental disorder which plagues you, your world is small and therefore you expect it to be so for all others. You are now but an actor on a stage--your part has already been written, you now only perform your part... a limited performance, a small performance, a vile performance, but still--it is yours, and it tells a much larger picture about you--how much better it would be if performed for people who actually cared...

JS

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:Utqbh.5492$1s6.1695@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
> news:ekhpf0$2qt3$1@news.ndhu.edu.tw:
>
> > Slow Idiot:
> >
> > 1) Get a life!
> > 2) Get a news group! (might I suggest rec.radio.amateur.cw? Of
course,
> > you will have to found this new group.)
> >
> > I am sure there are just thousands waiting in the wings to join a
> > newsgroup devoted to cw!
> >
> > JS
>
>
> ROFL,
>
> I bet it just pisses your lazy ass off you gotta learn something to
get on
> HF. Be happy, they dumbed things down for ya.
>
> SC

6334. Re: Laziness is no reason to destroy quality radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-30 05:05:22 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <mEtbh.5945$_H5.2920@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 4314
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:eklma1$1kja$1@news.ndhu.edu.tw...
> Slow Idiot:
>
> I am going to do you a BIG favor. You didn't know I was psychic, did
> you? Well, I am, let me give you a reading...
>
> You are the kind of guy who impresses young children, old women, and
> mentally and technically challenged individuals. You realize that you
> have that special autistic ability of being able to rap out, and quite
> profusely--probably, those taps in code. You were quick to realize that
> individuals with low self esteem, persons of low self-worth and
> individuals seeming to lack any skills what-so-ever were VERY impressed
> by such an ability--of course these individuals also failed to realize
> just how stupid it was to "hero worship" such a trivial ability.
>
> However, for one such as you, un-remarkable in all other ways type of
> guy, no great IQ, no other real skills or abilities, a general inability
> to get along in the general society, etc... for you, this was a way of
> "feeling special." But, it came at a price, it blinded you to how
> simple others with real abilities, high IQ's, great skills, good social
> abilities, etc. viewed you. You simply shut your ears, your eyes and
> your mind to reality; you finally reached a point where you were unable
> to care, to see... You came to live in your "special world" where you
> are quite special, talented, skilled, etc. You live there now, you
> think you enlighten us with your wasted "text verbiage" here, you draw
> upon others good will and tolerance, you keep thinking you have a great
> message to impart to us. That soon a revelation will strike us and we
> will all recognize you for the great man you think you are...
>
> This story goes on and on, indeed, it appears as if it is one of those
> special, un-ending story with you painted as lead hero, painted as such
> by you, yourself--of course... well, even you can see where I am going
> with all of this. And, you know it is true. You know we will be
> watching your behavior in sheer unbelief and amazement, you realize you
> really do look quite stupid. You realize you have painted yourself into
> a corner which you cannot escape. And, it dawns upon you, you have
> nothing to lose, all credibility is lost--you may as well continue on
> your present course--there is nothing to be lost--it has already been
> gone for quite a time... still, your only two choices is facing your
> peers disgust, or quitting, and I am betting you just can't quit. I
> have never seen an individual like you who was able...
>

> Good luck...
>
> P.S. I am really not psychic--but you already knew that... It is just
> that such small intellect as you display is simple to define... but you
> knew that--already. You are simply trapped by the particular mental
> disorder which plagues you, your world is small and therefore you expect
> it to be so for all others. You are now but an actor on a stage--your
> part has already been written, you now only perform your part... a
> limited performance, a small performance, a vile performance, but
> still--it is yours, and it tells a much larger picture about you--how
> much better it would be if performed for people who actually cared...
>
> JS
>
>

Bingo!!!!!

6335. Re: Laziness is no reason to destroy quality radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-30 06:37:13 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <jhusm2d3a5b5kfribgv25k6bqm0d3dvam8@4ax.com>
Source record: 4315
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 29 Nov 2006 20:18:23 -0800, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> spake thusly:

>Slow Idiot:
>
>I am going to do you a BIG favor. You didn't know I was psychic, did
>you? Well, I am, let me give you a reading...
>
>You are the kind of guy who impresses young children, old women, and
>mentally and technically challenged individuals. You realize that you
>have that special autistic ability of being able to rap out, and quite
>profusely--probably, those taps in code. You were quick to realize that
>individuals with low self esteem, persons of low self-worth and
>individuals seeming to lack any skills what-so-ever were VERY impressed
>by such an ability--of course these individuals also failed to realize
>just how stupid it was to "hero worship" such a trivial ability.
>
>However, for one such as you, un-remarkable in all other ways type of
>guy, no great IQ, no other real skills or abilities, a general inability
>to get along in the general society, etc... for you, this was a way of
>"feeling special." But, it came at a price, it blinded you to how
>simple others with real abilities, high IQ's, great skills, good social
>abilities, etc. viewed you. You simply shut your ears, your eyes and
>your mind to reality; you finally reached a point where you were unable
>to care, to see... You came to live in your "special world" where you
>are quite special, talented, skilled, etc. You live there now, you
>think you enlighten us with your wasted "text verbiage" here, you draw
>upon others good will and tolerance, you keep thinking you have a great
>message to impart to us. That soon a revelation will strike us and we
>will all recognize you for the great man you think you are...
>
>This story goes on and on, indeed, it appears as if it is one of those
>special, un-ending story with you painted as lead hero, painted as such
>by you, yourself--of course... well, even you can see where I am going
>with all of this. And, you know it is true. You know we will be
>watching your behavior in sheer unbelief and amazement, you realize you
>really do look quite stupid. You realize you have painted yourself into
>a corner which you cannot escape. And, it dawns upon you, you have
>nothing to lose, all credibility is lost--you may as well continue on
>your present course--there is nothing to be lost--it has already been
>gone for quite a time... still, your only two choices is facing your
>peers disgust, or quitting, and I am betting you just can't quit. I
>have never seen an individual like you who was able...

>
>Good luck...
>
>P.S. I am really not psychic--but you already knew that... It is just
>that such small intellect as you display is simple to define... but you
>knew that--already. You are simply trapped by the particular mental
>disorder which plagues you, your world is small and therefore you expect
>it to be so for all others. You are now but an actor on a stage--your
>part has already been written, you now only perform your part... a
>limited performance, a small performance, a vile performance, but
>still--it is yours, and it tells a much larger picture about you--how
>much better it would be if performed for people who actually cared...

It's ironic how he thumps his chest, claiming to be the bearer of all
that is good and true in the ARS yet he directly and blatantly
violates the very standards he champions. For example, the very fact
that he uses completely off-topic newsgroups as his soap box is
exactly the same as operating a radio on completely un-authorized
frequencies and power levels. His mental disorder prevents him from
seeing this.

It's quite sad, really. We should pity him.

--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

6336. Re: Laziness is no reason to destroy quality radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-30 07:25:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <0q1tm2hkp9cu95bl09rtb6evoq294bv30c@4ax.com>
Source record: 4316
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 30 Nov 2006 06:37:13 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:

>On Wed, 29 Nov 2006 20:18:23 -0800, "John Smith"
><assemblywizard@gmail.com> spake thusly:
>
>>Slow Idiot:
>>
>>I am going to do you a BIG favor. You didn't know I was psychic, did
>>you? Well, I am, let me give you a reading...
>>
>>You are the kind of guy who impresses young children, old women, and
>>mentally and technically challenged individuals. You realize that you
>>have that special autistic ability of being able to rap out, and quite
>>profusely--probably, those taps in code. You were quick to realize that
>>individuals with low self esteem, persons of low self-worth and
>>individuals seeming to lack any skills what-so-ever were VERY impressed
>>by such an ability--of course these individuals also failed to realize
>>just how stupid it was to "hero worship" such a trivial ability.
>>
>>However, for one such as you, un-remarkable in all other ways type of
>>guy, no great IQ, no other real skills or abilities, a general inability
>>to get along in the general society, etc... for you, this was a way of
>>"feeling special." But, it came at a price, it blinded you to how
>>simple others with real abilities, high IQ's, great skills, good social
>>abilities, etc. viewed you. You simply shut your ears, your eyes and
>>your mind to reality; you finally reached a point where you were unable
>>to care, to see... You came to live in your "special world" where you
>>are quite special, talented, skilled, etc. You live there now, you
>>think you enlighten us with your wasted "text verbiage" here, you draw
>>upon others good will and tolerance, you keep thinking you have a great
>>message to impart to us. That soon a revelation will strike us and we
>>will all recognize you for the great man you think you are...
>>
>>This story goes on and on, indeed, it appears as if it is one of those
>>special, un-ending story with you painted as lead hero, painted as such
>>by you, yourself--of course... well, even you can see where I am going
>>with all of this. And, you know it is true. You know we will be
>>watching your behavior in sheer unbelief and amazement, you realize you
>>really do look quite stupid. You realize you have painted yourself into
>>a corner which you cannot escape. And, it dawns upon you, you have
>>nothing to lose, all credibility is lost--you may as well continue on
>>your present course--there is nothing to be lost--it has already been
>>gone for quite a time... still, your only two choices is facing your

>>peers disgust, or quitting, and I am betting you just can't quit. I
>>have never seen an individual like you who was able...
>>
>>Good luck...
>>
>>P.S. I am really not psychic--but you already knew that... It is just
>>that such small intellect as you display is simple to define... but you
>>knew that--already. You are simply trapped by the particular mental
>>disorder which plagues you, your world is small and therefore you expect
>>it to be so for all others. You are now but an actor on a stage--your
>>part has already been written, you now only perform your part... a
>>limited performance, a small performance, a vile performance, but
>>still--it is yours, and it tells a much larger picture about you--how
>>much better it would be if performed for people who actually cared...
>
>It's ironic how he thumps his chest, claiming to be the bearer of all
>that is good and true in the ARS yet he directly and blatantly
>violates the very standards he champions. For example, the very fact
>that he uses completely off-topic newsgroups as his soap box is
>exactly the same as operating a radio on completely un-authorized
>frequencies and power levels. His mental disorder prevents him from
>seeing this.
>
>It's quite sad, really. We should pity him.

let me assure I do pity him

I would and perhaps fell more sympathy for him but being one of his
favorite targets I find I can not mange may god forgive me
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6337. Re: Pro 97 some chanels work, some don't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-11-30 14:28:02 UTC
From: Keith <kewradio_invalid@invalid.invalid>
Message-ID: <ekmppj01mj9@news2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 4317

In article <1164835529.490054.299030@j72g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
"dave" <dave1@juno.com> wrote:

> I have a Radio Shack Pro 97
>
> Right now all that I am getting are my VHF frequencies and not my
> 800mhz.
>
> I noticed that the VHF have a + below the chanel number and the 800
> have a - (minus).
>
> What did I push to create this problem? And how do I undo my mistake.
>
> Thanks
> Dave

Select the bank with the - sign under it and press the F key and then
the . (Dot/Delay) key to open (+) & close (-) the bank. A Open Bank
receives all talk groups and conventional transmissions regardless of
settings. A closed trunked system receives only talk groups in your talk
group list and a closed conventional system will only receive
transmissions on channels with PL's or DCS set to a certain PL.

For example

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
- + + + - + - + + +

Bank 0 and 6 are closed

Bank 0 is a closed conventional bank

000 CT 460.475 100.0
001 FM 460.075
002 DC 153.770 021

Only transmissions with a PL 100.0 on channel 000 will be recieved,
all transmissions on 001 will be received even if they have a PL or DC
code. In channel 002 only transmisssions with a DC code of 021 will be
received by the radio.

Bank 6 that is closed is a Motorola Type II system

600 MO 856.7775
601 MO 857.7775
602 MO 858.7775
600 MO 859.7775

Two Talk Groups IDS are entered into the talk groups list
58800 Police Dispatach
58816 Police Tactical

Only the two talk groups will be heard and all other talk groups will be ignored.

When a bank is open (+) all transmissions will be heard regardlesss of talk groups ID's or PL/DCS codes used.

To listen to one talk group wait for activity on the talk group and press the PSE key to *PAUSE* the radio.

HTH,

Keith

6338. Re: It's a war people, and the lazy asses are trying to take over.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-01 00:40:58 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <uSKbh.5856$1s6.1392@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4318
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in
news:1164917947.857392.139860@16g2000cwy.googlegroups.com:

> From: "John Smith" on Wed, Nov 29 2006 8:18 pm
>
>>Slow Idiot:
>>
>>I am going to do you a BIG favor. You didn't know I was psychic, did
>>you? Well, I am, let me give you a reading...
>
> "Bang on," John (as they say in the UK). :-)
>
>>You are the kind of guy who impresses young children, old women, and
>>mentally and technically challenged individuals. You realize that you
>>have that special autistic ability of being able to rap out, and quite
>>profusely--probably, those taps in code. You were quick to realize that
>>individuals with low self esteem, persons of low self-worth and
>>individuals seeming to lack any skills what-so-ever were VERY impressed
>>by such an ability--of course these individuals also failed to realize
>>just how stupid it was to "hero worship" such a trivial ability.
>
> Such hero worship is over a half century LATE. But, some have
> had their thinking conditioned by continuous propaganda from
> a certain membership organization for just as long. Their brains
> have been thoroughly washed and they found themselves hung out
> to dry in the yard of ignorance and apathy of a time gone by.
>
>>However, for one such as you, un-remarkable in all other ways type of
>>guy, no great IQ, no other real skills or abilities, a general inability
>>to get along in the general society, etc... for you, this was a way of
>>"feeling special." But, it came at a price, it blinded you to how
>>simple others with real abilities, high IQ's, great skills, good social
>>abilities, etc. viewed you. You simply shut your ears, your eyes and
>>your mind to reality; you finally reached a point where you were unable
>>to care, to see... You came to live in your "special world" where you
>>are quite special, talented, skilled, etc. You live there now, you
>>think you enlighten us with your wasted "text verbiage" here, you draw
>>upon others good will and tolerance, you keep thinking you have a great
>>message to impart to us. That soon a revelation will strike us and we
>>will all recognize you for the great man you think you are...
>
> "Great man?" No, a Grate man, a sociopathic bigot.
>

>>This story goes on and on, indeed, it appears as if it is one of those
>>special, un-ending story with you painted as lead hero, painted as such
>>by you, yourself--of course... well, even you can see where I am going
>>with all of this. And, you know it is true. You know we will be
>>watching your behavior in sheer unbelief and amazement, you realize you
>>really do look quite stupid. You realize you have painted yourself into
>>a corner which you cannot escape. And, it dawns upon you, you have
>>nothing to lose, all credibility is lost--you may as well continue on
>>your present course--there is nothing to be lost--it has already been
>>gone for quite a time... still, your only two choices is facing your
>>peers disgust, or quitting, and I am betting you just can't quit. I
>>have never seen an individual like you who was able...
>
> He can't quit now. Like Robeson, he's already dug his way too
> deep into his own egotistical underworld, has NOTHING to support
> his conception beyond the Archaid Radiotelegraphy Society (ARS)
> propaganda that is over a half century out of date.
>
>>Good luck...
>
> I cannot agree on that...for, like all egotistical bigots, he
> insists that he is the "model" for all to emulate. Ignorance
> of the rest of the radio world is no excuse. Rather, I wish
> him all the bad luck possible. :-(
>
>>P.S. I am really not psychic--but you already knew that... It is just
>>that such small intellect as you display is simple to define... but you
>>knew that--already. You are simply trapped by the particular mental
>>disorder which plagues you, your world is small and therefore you expect
>>it to be so for all others. You are now but an actor on a stage--your
>>part has already been written, you now only perform your part... a
>>limited performance, a small performance, a vile performance, but
>>still--it is yours, and it tells a much larger picture about you--how
>>much better it would be if performed for people who actually cared...
>
> Absolutely NO psychic abilities needed to see his "act" and
> judge this meanderthal of morse. If he were really on stage
> he would be booed off without any audience hesitation. Exit
> stage left...hastily.
>
> The odd part of these forums is that they do NOT exit. These
> sociopathic bigots enjoy their own virtual worlds too well to
> leave. Separated by time, distance, and anonymity possible
> in here, they fear nothing and operate with impunity. They
> love only themselves and their words, the "getting even" with
> all others who have given them frustrations elsewhere. They
> need the tarnkappen of their own-tailored invisible clothes
> of grandeur. If there be pity for them it would be for their
> own naked ignorance.
>

> LA

We dare not let Lenny and the rest of the dumb-it-down crowd win.

SC

6339. Re: Laziness is no reason to destroy quality radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-01 00:41:03 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <zSKbh.5858$1s6.3218@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4319
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
news:mEtbh.5945$_H5.2920@tornado.texas.rr.com:

>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:eklma1$1kja$1@news.ndhu.edu.tw...
>> Slow Idiot:
>>
>> I am going to do you a BIG favor. You didn't know I was psychic, did
>> you? Well, I am, let me give you a reading...
>>
>> You are the kind of guy who impresses young children, old women, and
>> mentally and technically challenged individuals. You realize that you
>> have that special autistic ability of being able to rap out, and quite
>> profusely--probably, those taps in code. You were quick to realize
>> that individuals with low self esteem, persons of low self-worth and
>> individuals seeming to lack any skills what-so-ever were VERY impressed
>> by such an ability--of course these individuals also failed to realize
>> just how stupid it was to "hero worship" such a trivial ability.
>>
>> However, for one such as you, un-remarkable in all other ways type of
>> guy, no great IQ, no other real skills or abilities, a general
>> inability to get along in the general society, etc... for you, this
>> was a way of "feeling special." But, it came at a price, it blinded
>> you to how simple others with real abilities, high IQ's, great skills,
>> good social abilities, etc. viewed you. You simply shut your ears,
>> your eyes and your mind to reality; you finally reached a point where
>> you were unable to care, to see... You came to live in your "special
>> world" where you are quite special, talented, skilled, etc. You live
>> there now, you think you enlighten us with your wasted "text verbiage"
>> here, you draw upon others good will and tolerance, you keep thinking
>> you have a great message to impart to us. That soon a revelation will
>> strike us and we will all recognize you for the great man you think you
>> are...
>>
>> This story goes on and on, indeed, it appears as if it is one of those
>> special, un-ending story with you painted as lead hero, painted as such
>> by you, yourself--of course... well, even you can see where I am going
>> with all of this. And, you know it is true. You know we will be
>> watching your behavior in sheer unbelief and amazement, you realize you
>> really do look quite stupid. You realize you have painted yourself
>> into a corner which you cannot escape. And, it dawns upon you, you
>> have nothing to lose, all credibility is lost--you may as well continue

>> on your present course--there is nothing to be lost--it has already
>> been gone for quite a time... still, your only two choices is facing
>> your peers disgust, or quitting, and I am betting you just can't quit.
>> I have never seen an individual like you who was able...
>>
>> Good luck...
>>
>> P.S. I am really not psychic--but you already knew that... It is just
>> that such small intellect as you display is simple to define... but you
>> knew that--already. You are simply trapped by the particular mental
>> disorder which plagues you, your world is small and therefore you
>> expect it to be so for all others. You are now but an actor on a
>> stage--your part has already been written, you now only perform your
>> part... a limited performance, a small performance, a vile
>> performance, but still--it is yours, and it tells a much larger picture
>> about you--how much better it would be if performed for people who
>> actually cared...
>>
>> JS
>>
>>
>
> Bingo!!!!!

I'm happy you two lazy ass Lids found each other, when ya getting
married?

I suggest you two, plus Markie, Opus and stay out of this thread. It's
only for people like me that want to protect and preserve the quality &
integrity of the amateur radio service. People like you, John Smith,
Opus, Lenny and Markie want to destroy it because you believe people
shouldn't have to learn anything or be good at anything to be a ham radio
operator.

SC

6340. kookathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-01 01:26:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <p31vm2dfjcvfslh2dmm3kem0g2rb80ofbq@4ax.com>
Source record: 4320
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 01 Dec 2006 00:41:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>news:mEtbh.5945$_H5.2920@tornado.texas.rr.com:
>
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:eklma1$1kja$1@news.ndhu.edu.tw...
>>> Slow Idiot:
>>>
>>> I am going to do you a BIG favor. You didn't know I was psychic, did
>>> you? Well, I am, let me give you a reading...
>>>
>>> You are the kind of guy who impresses young children, old women, and
>>> mentally and technically challenged individuals. You realize that you
>>> have that special autistic ability of being able to rap out, and quite
>>> profusely--probably, those taps in code. You were quick to realize
>>> that individuals with low self esteem, persons of low self-worth and
>>> individuals seeming to lack any skills what-so-ever were VERY impressed
>>> by such an ability--of course these individuals also failed to realize
>>> just how stupid it was to "hero worship" such a trivial ability.
>>>
>>> However, for one such as you, un-remarkable in all other ways type of
>>> guy, no great IQ, no other real skills or abilities, a general
>>> inability to get along in the general society, etc... for you, this
>>> was a way of "feeling special." But, it came at a price, it blinded
>>> you to how simple others with real abilities, high IQ's, great skills,
>>> good social abilities, etc. viewed you. You simply shut your ears,
>>> your eyes and your mind to reality; you finally reached a point where
>>> you were unable to care, to see... You came to live in your "special
>>> world" where you are quite special, talented, skilled, etc. You live
>>> there now, you think you enlighten us with your wasted "text verbiage"
>>> here, you draw upon others good will and tolerance, you keep thinking
>>> you have a great message to impart to us. That soon a revelation will
>>> strike us and we will all recognize you for the great man you think you
>>> are...
>>>
>>> This story goes on and on, indeed, it appears as if it is one of those
>>> special, un-ending story with you painted as lead hero, painted as such
>>> by you, yourself--of course... well, even you can see where I am going
>>> with all of this. And, you know it is true. You know we will be
>>> watching your behavior in sheer unbelief and amazement, you realize you
>>> really do look quite stupid. You realize you have painted yourself

>>> into a corner which you cannot escape. And, it dawns upon you, you
>>> have nothing to lose, all credibility is lost--you may as well continue
>>> on your present course--there is nothing to be lost--it has already
>>> been gone for quite a time... still, your only two choices is facing
>>> your peers disgust, or quitting, and I am betting you just can't quit.
>>> I have never seen an individual like you who was able...
>>>
>>> Good luck...
>>>
>>> P.S. I am really not psychic--but you already knew that... It is just
>>> that such small intellect as you display is simple to define... but you
>>> knew that--already. You are simply trapped by the particular mental
>>> disorder which plagues you, your world is small and therefore you
>>> expect it to be so for all others. You are now but an actor on a
>>> stage--your part has already been written, you now only perform your
>>> part... a limited performance, a small performance, a vile
>>> performance, but still--it is yours, and it tells a much larger picture
>>> about you--how much better it would be if performed for people who
>>> actually cared...
>>>
>>> JS
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Bingo!!!!!
>
>
>I'm happy you two lazy ass Lids found each other, when ya getting
>married?
>
>I suggest you two, plus Markie, Opus and stay out of this thread.

indeed steve that is real honesty for a change

you want to exclude all your foes from the deabte
> It's
>only for people like me that want to protect and preserve the quality &
>integrity of the amateur radio service.

and that is ME Steve
> People like you, John Smith,
>Opus, Lenny and Markie want to destroy it because you believe people
>shouldn't have to learn anything or be good at anything to be a ham radio
>operator.

Steve even you have agreed that your proposals will KIL the ARS
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6341. Re: It's a war people, and the ProCoder want to kill the ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-01 01:32:40 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <gg1vm2p2vsqtuqffmv9r761h333cgrq95b@4ax.com>
Source record: 4321
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 01 Dec 2006 00:40:58 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in
>news:1164917947.857392.139860@16g2000cwy.googlegroups.com:
>
>> From: "John Smith" on Wed, Nov 29 2006 8:18 pm
>>
>>>Slow Idiot:
>>>
>>>I am going to do you a BIG favor. You didn't know I was psychic, did
>>>you? Well, I am, let me give you a reading...
>>
>> "Bang on," John (as they say in the UK). :-)
>>
>>>You are the kind of guy who impresses young children, old women, and
>>>mentally and technically challenged individuals. You realize that you
>>>have that special autistic ability of being able to rap out, and quite
>>>profusely--probably, those taps in code. You were quick to realize that
>>>individuals with low self esteem, persons of low self-worth and
>>>individuals seeming to lack any skills what-so-ever were VERY impressed
>>>by such an ability--of course these individuals also failed to realize
>>>just how stupid it was to "hero worship" such a trivial ability.
>>
>> Such hero worship is over a half century LATE. But, some have
>> had their thinking conditioned by continuous propaganda from
>> a certain membership organization for just as long. Their brains
>> have been thoroughly washed and they found themselves hung out
>> to dry in the yard of ignorance and apathy of a time gone by.
>>
>>>However, for one such as you, un-remarkable in all other ways type of
>>>guy, no great IQ, no other real skills or abilities, a general inability
>>>to get along in the general society, etc... for you, this was a way of
>>>"feeling special." But, it came at a price, it blinded you to how
>>>simple others with real abilities, high IQ's, great skills, good social
>>>abilities, etc. viewed you. You simply shut your ears, your eyes and
>>>your mind to reality; you finally reached a point where you were unable
>>>to care, to see... You came to live in your "special world" where you
>>>are quite special, talented, skilled, etc. You live there now, you
>>>think you enlighten us with your wasted "text verbiage" here, you draw
>>>upon others good will and tolerance, you keep thinking you have a great
>>>message to impart to us. That soon a revelation will strike us and we
>>>will all recognize you for the great man you think you are...
>>

>> "Great man?" No, a Grate man, a sociopathic bigot.
>>
>>>This story goes on and on, indeed, it appears as if it is one of those
>>>special, un-ending story with you painted as lead hero, painted as such
>>>by you, yourself--of course... well, even you can see where I am going
>>>with all of this. And, you know it is true. You know we will be
>>>watching your behavior in sheer unbelief and amazement, you realize you
>>>really do look quite stupid. You realize you have painted yourself into
>>>a corner which you cannot escape. And, it dawns upon you, you have
>>>nothing to lose, all credibility is lost--you may as well continue on
>>>your present course--there is nothing to be lost--it has already been
>>>gone for quite a time... still, your only two choices is facing your
>>>peers disgust, or quitting, and I am betting you just can't quit. I
>>>have never seen an individual like you who was able...
>>
>> He can't quit now. Like Robeson, he's already dug his way too
>> deep into his own egotistical underworld, has NOTHING to support
>> his conception beyond the Archaid Radiotelegraphy Society (ARS)
>> propaganda that is over a half century out of date.
>>
>>>Good luck...
>>
>> I cannot agree on that...for, like all egotistical bigots, he
>> insists that he is the "model" for all to emulate. Ignorance
>> of the rest of the radio world is no excuse. Rather, I wish
>> him all the bad luck possible. :-(
>>
>>>P.S. I am really not psychic--but you already knew that... It is just
>>>that such small intellect as you display is simple to define... but you
>>>knew that--already. You are simply trapped by the particular mental
>>>disorder which plagues you, your world is small and therefore you expect
>>>it to be so for all others. You are now but an actor on a stage--your
>>>part has already been written, you now only perform your part... a
>>>limited performance, a small performance, a vile performance, but
>>>still--it is yours, and it tells a much larger picture about you--how
>>>much better it would be if performed for people who actually cared...
>>
>> Absolutely NO psychic abilities needed to see his "act" and
>> judge this meanderthal of morse. If he were really on stage
>> he would be booed off without any audience hesitation. Exit
>> stage left...hastily.
>>
>> The odd part of these forums is that they do NOT exit. These
>> sociopathic bigots enjoy their own virtual worlds too well to
>> leave. Separated by time, distance, and anonymity possible
>> in here, they fear nothing and operate with impunity. They
>> love only themselves and their words, the "getting even" with
>> all others who have given them frustrations elsewhere. They
>> need the tarnkappen of their own-tailored invisible clothes
>> of grandeur. If there be pity for them it would be for their

>> own naked ignorance.
>>
>> LA
>
>
>We dare not let Lenny and the rest of the dumb-it-down crowd win.

you are the dumb it crowd
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6342. Re: It's a war people, and the lazy asses are trying to take over.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-01 05:01:39 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ekod7b$1vru$1@news.ndhu.edu.tw>
Source record: 4322
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
>
> We dare not let Lenny and the rest of the dumb-it-down crowd win.
>
> SC

SC:

Sometimes I am convinced that you are an excellent "satire comedian." Other times, you have me convinced you are for real. Sometimes you have me busting a gut and laughing until tears come, other times you yank my heart-stings in pity.

Take this last post of your, it can be viewed as tongue-in-cheek satire, or, it can be viewed as insane rant.

Certainly you cannot place much importance on CW. Amateurs have a real purpose, to expand radio on ALL frontiers. Help in the community, help in educating the youth in radio, help in disasters, help in developing techniques, equip., theory development, etc. (the list really goes on-and-on here)

Probably the least important aspects of all this is rag chewing, contesting, favoritism of individuals and groups, etc. They are fun for some and amateur radio has a place for these activities, but these are self-serving and we must be careful least amateurs on a whole end up being judged on such self-serving behaviors. However, when we are taking from amateur radio for our own personal goals, likes and entertainment we are NOT giving. Or, put simply, I can easily imagine Einstein, Tesla, De Forest, Bell, etc. having a great interest in radio, however, I am in doubt as to them getting excited about having to learn morse to obtain the license.

So, when you go crying "the sky is falling" over amateur radio progressing and dropping an ancient tradition of morse testing, how can any logical mind view you as NOT being self-serving, how can anyone take you seriously at all? Are you a con man attempting to sell us the idea that you really see great benefit in CW? That CW is a major part of radio in 2006? Come on man, anyone crying such nonsense is going to be called on those cons schemes immediately.

You see CW as being a real barrier, something that many who desperately want to become amateurs will avoid and by so avoiding fail to obtain an amateur license. Certainly you cannot really believe that; you can see how people would view you as deranged if you held such views, can't you?

If someone really wants an amateur license, it they are so devoted to hero worship of amateurs as your were--they will end up with that license in their back pocket--even some uneducated and mentally challenged person from the back swamps of DumbBuck Egypt can learn to tap that code if he/she is driven.

I think as a young man, you viewed amateurs in some form of hero worship. I think you viewed them as larger than life. I am afraid that once you obtained your license, these fantasies did not fade. Indeed, you wove these fantasies into your ego and your ego became dependent on your amateur license hanging on your wall. You recognized that if a very large number of people had amateur licenses (say the same number who hold fishing licenses or drivers licenses or contracting licenses, etc.) your feeling of being "special" would go away. So, you began to plot. You began to find ways you felt would stop people from getting licenses and get behind those ideas and push them and sell them. You certainly knew there were other such individuals as yourself out there and they would come to your aid. Indeed, I do not even think you were the one to come up with the original idea, I think that would have been beyond you. Someone else came up with the idea, you just jumped on his/her bandwagon.

So, now we arrive here. And, you are indeed correct, CW does make some shy away from amateur radio. The reward of having an amateur license is just not big enough for them to learn a set of dits and dahs to send messages with, frankly, I see Einstein never bothered. I guess you look at this as a "winning" situation, I do not. I look at it as a evil self-centered plan which you and others are engaged in to limit amateur radio, and it just kind-of-got-that-way because no one has taken you to task.

I know of no good reasons to maintain any CW requirement in amateur testing. Even learning it for historical reasons does not justify the expenditure of time and effort it requires just to purchase a radio, buy an antenna and grab up a mic (and that is exactly what the large majority of amateurs are today, code tapping appliance users.)

In all your rants I see no real reasons for maintaining the CW requirement. In fact, when you are hard pressed and under attack, you admit to having your self-centered self at the core of you manipulations.

If you think I hate you, I do not. If you think I see you as the most evil person I have ever conversed with, I do not--I have some neighbors much worse. I just don't understand you. And, why that is, is because no one here is attempting to "win" by eliminating morse. We are attempting to win by making amateur radio enticing enough to fill our ranks with new bright minds, something YOU SHOULD place much more importance on. Indeed, CW pales in comparison when viewed side-by-side.

Let me leave you with one important "vision" to dwell upon. Next time you are a social event, a cocktail party, a civic function, etc. and you find someone, or some group who is really, really impressed with you

being an amateur radio operator--then, right at that time, take a really, really good look at those people who are so all impressed and tell me if it really matters that you impress "those people?" If you say yes, you and I don't even live in the same universe.

Regards,
JS

6343. THOHUGHT WIHT PUNCE MAYBE LIEK THEIS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-01 08:56:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1164963399.307370.179690@16g2000cwy.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4323
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.homosexuality,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

THEIS IS WHER I MEAT GAV

http://www.gay.com/home.jsf

6344. Re: THOHUGHT WIHT PUNCE MAYBE LIEK THEIS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-01 18:40:26 UTC
From: an old fiend <Mark@kb9rqz.apr>
Message-ID: <45706a4d$0$20527$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 4324
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.homosexuality,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1164963399.307370.179690@16g2000cwy.googlegroups.com...
>
> THEIS IS WHER I MEAT GAV
>
> http://www.gay.com/home.jsf
yur jus jailous snice you no gav haev biger penis tahn you
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6345. Re: Laziness is no reason to destroy quality radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-01 18:42:17 UTC
From: tools <tools@attwb.net>
Message-ID: <ul_bh.28$_M.96351@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 4325
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:%x59h.2183$ql2.1278@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> If you hate CW or are too dumb or lazy to learn it, your retard ass needs
> to stick with scanners, shortwave, cell phones, and CB. We don't need you
> in ham radio.
>
> SC You need
> to leave, it's not for you.

6346. Re: It's a war people, and the lazy asses are trying to take over.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-01 19:23:19 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1165000998.993509.175500@l12g2000cwl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4326
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

John Smith wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> >
> > We dare not let Lenny and the rest of the dumb-it-down crowd win.
> >
> > SC
>
> SC:
>
> Sometimes I am convinced that you are an excellent "satire comedian."
> Other times, you have me convinced you are for real. Sometimes you have
> me busting a gut and laughing until tears come, other times you yank my
> heart-stings in pity.

he alwsy yanks for my pity
>
> Take this last post of your, it can be viewed as tongue-in-cheek satire,
> or, it can be viewed as insane rant.

I vote insane rant
>
> Certainly you cannot place much importance on CW. Amateurs have a real
> purpose, to expand radio on ALL frontiers. Help in the community, help
> in educating the youth in radio, help in disasters, help in developing
> techniques, equip., theory development, etc. (the list really goes
> on-and-on here

he certainly can, he would not be the first
>
> Probably the least important aspects of all this is rag chewing,
> contesting, favoritism of individuals and groups, etc. They are fun for
> some and amateur radio has a place for these activities, but these are
> self-serving and we must be careful least amateurs on a whole end up
> being judged on such self-serving behaviors. However, when we are
> taking from amateur radio for our own personal goals, likes and
> entertainment we are NOT giving. Or, put simply, I can easily imagine
> Einstein, Tesla, De Forest, Bell, etc. having a great interest in radio,
> however, I am in doubt as to them getting excited about having to learn
> morse to obtain the license.

but SC>robeson and the rest would not WANT an Einstain or Tesla on the
bands.

it would make them feel inferoir
>

> So, when you go crying "the sky is falling" over amateur radio
> progressing and dropping an ancient tradition of morse testing, how can
> any logical mind view you as NOT being self-serving, how can anyone take
> you seriously at all? Are you a con man attempting to sell us the idea
> that you really see great benefit in CW? That CW is a major part of
> radio in 2006? Come on man, anyone crying such nonsense is going to be
> called on those cons schemes immediately.
>
> You see CW as being a real barrier, something that many who desperately
> want to become amateurs will avoid and by so avoiding fail to obtain an
> amateur license. Certainly you cannot really believe that; you can see
> how people would view you as deranged if you held such views, can't you?
> If someone really wants an amateur license, it they are so devoted to
> hero worship of amateurs as your were--they will end up with that
> license in their back pocket--even some uneducated and mentally
> challenged person from the back swamps of DumbBuck Egypt can learn to
> tap that code if he/she is driven.
>
> I think as a young man, you viewed amateurs in some form of hero
> worship. I think you viewed them as larger than life. I am afraid that
> once you obtained your license, these fantasies did not fade. Indeed,
> you wove these fantasies into your ego and your ego became dependent on
> your amateur license hanging on your wall. You recognized that if a
> very large number of people had amateur licenses (say the same number
> who hold fishing licenses or drivers licenses or contracting licenses,
> etc.) your feeling of being "special" would go away. So, you began to
> plot. You began to find ways you felt would stop people from getting
> licenses and get behind those ideas and push them and sell them. You
> certainly knew there were other such individuals as yourself out there
> and they would come to your aid. Indeed, I do not even think you were
> the one to come up with the original idea, I think that would have been
> beyond you. Someone else came up with the idea, you just jumped on
> his/her bandwagon.
>
> So, now we arrive here. And, you are indeed correct, CW does make some
> shy away from amateur radio. The reward of having an amateur license is
> just not big enough for them to learn a set of dits and dahs to send
> messages with, frankly, I see Einstein never bothered. I guess you look
> at this as a "winning" situation, I do not. I look at it as a evil
> self-centered plan which you and others are engaged in to limit amateur
> radio, and it just kind-of-got-that-way because no one has taken you to
> task.
>
> I know of no good reasons to maintain any CW requirement in amateur
> testing. Even learning it for historical reasons does not justify the
> expenditure of time and effort it requires just to purchase a radio, buy
> an antenna and grab up a mic (and that is exactly what the large
> majority of amateurs are today, code tapping appliance users.)
>
> In all your rants I see no real reasons for maintaining the CW

> requirement. In fact, when you are hard pressed and under attack, you
> admit to having your self-centered self at the core of you manipulations.
>
>
> If you think I hate you, I do not. If you think I see you as the most
> evil person I have ever conversed with, I do not--I have some neighbors
> much worse. I just don't understand you. And, why that is, is because
> no one here is attempting to "win" by eliminating morse. We are
> attempting to win by making amateur radio enticing enough to fill our
> ranks with new bright minds, something YOU SHOULD place much more
> importance on. Indeed, CW pales in comparison when viewed side-by-side.

I understand him he a boy that never outgrew frat house games, and the
is pathetic
>
> Let me leave you with one important "vision" to dwell upon. Next time
> you are a social event, a cocktail party, a civic function, etc. and you
> find someone, or some group who is really, really impressed with you
> being an amateur radio operator--then, right at that time, take a
> really, really good look at those people who are so all impressed and
> tell me if it really matters that you impress "those people?" If you
> say yes, you and I don't even live in the same universe.
>
> Regards,
> JS

6347. Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan. steve goes claiming othermen eat his shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-01 19:40:02 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1165002002.092190.71160@n67g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4327
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.homosexuality,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
an old fiend wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1164963399.307370.179690@16g2000cwy.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > THEIS IS WHER I MEAT GAV
> >
> > http://www.gay.com/home.jsf
> yur jus jailous snice you no gav haev biger penis tahn you
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
no steve she is female
```

6348. ! Purchased Radio Shack Pro 97 oggi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-01 20:49:38 UTC
From: Richard Head <erudite@charter.net>
Message-ID: <vj41n21g5779ifgcak09h3depe0qnpn259@4ax.com>
Source record: 4328

Allo mates,

I just purchased a brand spanking new Radio Pro 97. I got it on sale for $149.99. The normal price is $199.99. So I felt this would be a good time to get it.

I have it working fine now off of my rechargeable batteries.

This radio needs a 9 volt, 300 Ma power supply to function correctly.

When I went to look for a power source for it around the house, I discovered that I have three transformers that are 9V 300A, but the plug that goes into the scanner is to large.

I have one power supply that is 9V 800MA and the plug fits perfectly into the scanner. Would thee 500MA more of juice that this power supply allows to pass through be to much for my scanner? Or do I jist need to back to Radio Shack and get an apapter that fits and use my big 273-1667 9V 800MA adpater?
Or better still take the 9Volt 300MA power supply?

Or should I just run it off the 4 AA rechargeable NIMH's that I have?

What is the best option here?

Put another shlimp on the barbee!

6349. Re: Hey Slow...This was GREAT! (but not based on fact)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-02 01:41:45 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <tR4ch.5483$sf5.2247@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4329
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
news:1164976132.271001.64430@l12g2000cwl.googlegroups.com:

>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>
>> > The History Of The Middle Finger
>
> It's an urban legend. No truth to it.

Pluck yew!

SC

6350. Re: It's a war people, and the lazy asses are trying to take over.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-02 01:41:47 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <vR4ch.5484$sf5.1624@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4331
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
news:ekod7b$1vru$1@news.ndhu.edu.tw:

> Slow Code wrote:
>>
>> We dare not let Lenny and the rest of the dumb-it-down crowd win.
>>
>> SC
>
> SC:
>
> Sometimes I am convinced that you are an excellent "satire comedian."
> Other times, you have me convinced you are for real. Sometimes you have
> me busting a gut and laughing until tears come, other times you yank my
> heart-stings in pity.
>
> Take this last post of your, it can be viewed as tongue-in-cheek satire,
> or, it can be viewed as insane rant.

I'm a firm believer in using humor and satire to try to make my point.
It's like cracking a walnut with a sledgehammer. Whether or not anyone
wants to accept or agree with me, I hope we can all still have a good
laugh.

> I know of no good reasons to maintain any CW requirement in amateur
> testing.

How about, Requiring it in order to be able to communicate with it?
That's a good reason and you're learning a skill. Keeping people like
Markie off HF doesn't sound too bad either.

The first time you hear 'AAAAAAUDIOOOOOOOOOOOO' or 'skipland skipland
skipland' in the middle of 20meters, you'll get a change of heart and will
want to beg forgiveness at the Church of Hiram. I heard it a couple times
and it made even the hairs on the back of my neck stand up and I was
already born again.

SC

6351. Re: KTN4GN inviting people to monitor FOUO, was: Re: K4YZ have told CAP you intend to violate FOUO frequncy info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-02 01:41:51 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <zR4ch.5487$sf5.3479@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4330
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1164938185.794275.103550@j44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > hot-ham@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>> > > So what was it all about???
>> > >
>> > > Monitoring CAP FOUO communications.
>> > >
>> > > I recall K4YZ/KTN4GN inviting people to monitor him and he would
>> > > send an SWL confirmation.
>> > >
>> > > Hi! So much for FOUO.
>> >
>> > Uh huh.
>> >
>> > And nowhere in my QRZ bio did I mention operating frequencies,
>> > net
>> > times, operational objectives, etc.
>>
>> so?
>
> WWW.QRZ.COM search "K4YZ"
>
>> > FOUO maintained...Brain made a fool of...Again...
>>
>> maybe maybe not
>>
>> have you told CAP you intend to confirm FOUO freqs and time
>
> This is where his innocense falls apart. Somehow he's going to confirm
> an SWL card with "I can neither confirm nor deny..." Riiiiight.
>
> PopComm needs to beware publishing this idiot's letters.

You really are a bitter ham. Lack of CW in the radio diet will do that to
you. Just look at all the hate on eleven meters. Those people are even
gunning each other down in shopping mall parking lots.

SC

6352. Re: Laziness is no reason to destroy quality radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-02 01:41:52 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <AR4ch.5488$sf5.1081@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4332
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"tools" <tools@attwb.net> wrote in news:uI_bh.28$_M.96351@news.sisna.com:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:%x59h.2183$ql2.1278@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> If you hate CW or are too dumb or lazy to learn it, your retard ass
>> needs to stick with scanners, shortwave, cell phones, and CB. We don't
>> need you in ham radio.
>>
>> SC
>
>You need to leave, it's not for you.

I'll leave when there is no hope left. How are things in Lid-ville?

SC

6353. Re: Laziness is no reason to destroy quality radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-02 02:23:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <qso1n25707b329ad3sfmo9jrsbi75tlh8o@4ax.com>
Source record: 4333
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 02 Dec 2006 01:41:52 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"tools" <tools@attwb.net> wrote in news:uI_bh.28$_M.96351@news.sisna.com:
>
>>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:%x59h.2183$ql2.1278@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> If you hate CW or are too dumb or lazy to learn it, your retard ass
>>> needs to stick with scanners, shortwave, cell phones, and CB. We don't
>>> need you in ham radio.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>>You need to leave, it's not for you.
>
>
>I'll leave when there is no hope left.

then leave now steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6354. Re: It's a war people, and the good guys are wining

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-02 02:38:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <slp1n2dh9rr21on69figmo1rkv832m4qme@4ax.com>
Source record: 4335
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 02 Dec 2006 01:41:47 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
>news:ekod7b$1vru$1@news.ndhu.edu.tw:
>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>
>>> We dare not let Lenny and the rest of the dumb-it-down crowd win.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> SC:
>>
>> Sometimes I am convinced that you are an excellent "satire comedian."
>> Other times, you have me convinced you are for real. Sometimes you have
>> me busting a gut and laughing until tears come, other times you yank my
>> heart-stings in pity.
>>
>> Take this last post of your, it can be viewed as tongue-in-cheek satire,
>> or, it can be viewed as insane rant.
>
>
>I'm a firm believer in using humor and satire to try to make my point.
>It's like cracking a walnut with a sledgehammer. Whether or not anyone
>wants to accept or agree with me, I hope we can all still have a good
>laugh.

if you want laughs you need to post something FUNNY steve
>
>
>> I know of no good reasons to maintain any CW requirement in amateur
>> testing.
>
>How about, Requiring it in order to be able to communicate with it?
why>
>That's a good reason and you're learning a skill. Keeping people like
>Markie off HF doesn't sound too bad either.

why should I be kept off they let you on
>
>The first time you hear 'AAAAAAUDIOOOOOOOOOOOO' or 'skipland skipland
>skipland' in the middle of 20meters, you'll get a change of heart and will
>want to beg forgiveness at the Church of Hiram. I heard it a couple times
>and it made even the hairs on the back of my neck stand up and I was

>already born again.
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6355. Re: KTN4GN inviting people to monitor FOUO, was: Re: K4YZ have told CAP you intend to violate FOUO frequncy info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-02 02:38:30 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <sop1n2pibl2q9svls0s6671qnabd33t0sv@4ax.com>
Source record: 4334
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 02 Dec 2006 01:41:51 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>news:1164938185.794275.103550@j44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> K4YZ wrote:
>>> > hot-ham@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>> > > So what was it all about???
>>> > >
>>> > > Monitoring CAP FOUO communications.
>>> > >
>>> > > I recall K4YZ/KTN4GN inviting people to monitor him and he would
>>> > > send an SWL confirmation.
>>> > >
>>> > > Hi! So much for FOUO.
>>> >
>>> > Uh huh.
>>> >
>>> > And nowhere in my QRZ bio did I mention operating frequencies,
>>> > net
>>> > times, operational objectives, etc.
>>>
>>> so?
>>
>> WWW.QRZ.COM search "K4YZ"
>>
>>> > FOUO maintained...Brain made a fool of...Again...
>>>
>>> maybe maybe not
>>>
>>> have you told CAP you intend to confirm FOUO freqs and time
>>
>> This is where his innocense falls apart. Somehow he's going to confirm
>> an SWL card with "I can neither confirm nor deny..." Riiiiight.
>>
>> PopComm needs to beware publishing this idiot's letters.
>
>
>You really are a bitter ham. Lack of CW in the radio diet will do that to

>you. Just look at all the hate on eleven meters. Those people are even
>gunning each other down in shopping mall parking lots.
no steve you are
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6356. Re: Hey Slow...This was GREAT! (but not based on fact)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-02 02:39:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <dpp1n2513krpv1e28eprra2jlejqtc5fv0@4ax.com>
Source record: 4336
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 02 Dec 2006 01:41:45 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>news:1164976132.271001.64430@l12g2000cwl.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>
>>> > The History Of The Middle Finger
>>
>> It's an urban legend. No truth to it.
>
>
>Pluck yew!
>
cowardly steve you clearly suffer from graphophobia fear of words

you only look foolish when you refuse to say fuck you when you mean
fuck you

it is keeps you from being an effective comocator if you can't say
what you mean
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6357. Re: ! Purchased Radio Shack Pro 97 oggi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-02 04:48:34 UTC
From: Jon Doe <"Jon Doe"@McQue.com>
Message-ID: <CA7ch.1083843$084.40883@attbi_s22>
Source record: 4337

"Richard Head" <erudite@charter.net> wrote in message
news:vj41n21g5779ifgcak09h3depe0qnpn259@4ax.com...
> Allo mates,
>
> I just purchased a brand spanking new Radio Pro 97. I got it on sale
> for $149.99. The normal price is $199.99. So I felt this would be a
> good time to get it.
>
> I have it working fine now off of my rechargeable batteries.
>
> This radio needs a 9 volt, 300 Ma power supply to function correctly.
>
> When I went to look for a power source for it around the house, I
> discovered that I have three transformers that are 9V 300A, but the
> plug that goes into the scanner is to large.
>
> I have one power supply that is 9V 800MA and the plug fits perfectly
> into the scanner. Would thee 500MA more of juice that this power
> supply allows to pass through be to much for my scanner? Or do I jist
> need to back to Radio Shack and get an apapter that fits and use my
> big 273-1667 9V 800MA adpater?
> Or better still take the 9Volt 300MA power supply?
>>

The 300 ma rating is the max. rating the scanner will draw so
you need a p.s. needs to be able to provide that much current.
Your 9v 800ma p.s. will work fine,,, as long as the polarity is
correct. The scanner will only draw enough current to work
properly.

6358. 1st Responder Wireless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-02 16:12:21 UTC
From: "nyscan@gmail.com" <nyscan@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1165075941.687565.313260@l12g2000cwl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4338

1st Responder Wireless News, a subsidiary company of 1st Responder News, is considered the leader in the emergency notification industry, sending incident alerts to pagers, cell phones, PDAs and e-mails.

1st Responder Wireless News (1RWN), already very active, and recognized by most media outlets and Public Safety Officials as the "Industry Leader" in most of the East Coast States, is actively looking for News Correspondents to become active as Incident Dispatchers for your area.

1RWN has recently gone live with a new DISPATCHER REWARDS PROGRAM, the most rewarding incentive program in the industry, which will allow our Dispatchers to log into an online store and buy products ranging from cameras to computers to digital scanners to a subscription to our 1st Responder Newspaper to paid membership into one of our 1st Responder Radio Network affiliates (buff groups with 2-way radio coverage and/or photography groups in Long Island, MA, MD, NJ, NYC, PA and VA with more expected soon...), and so much more from over 100+ prizes, by using points earned by being active on our system! EVERY Dispatcher on our system will now reap rewards for their hard work, dedication and loyalty!

We are now active in AL, AZ, CA, CO, CT, DC, DE, FL, GA, HI, IL, IN, KY, LA, MA, MD, ME, MO, MS, NC, NH, NJ, NM, NV, NY, OH, OR, PA, RI, SC, TN, TX, VA, VI, VT, WA, WV and Canada. 1RWN has already acquired many well known Paging Notification Services including TAC-9 Paging, East Coast Paging, Ohio Fire News, Maryland Fire Network, Virginia Fire Net, Toronto Fire Net, Metro Fire Paging and the National Incident News Network (NINN), with several additional under final negotiations. This is a very exciting time to become involved.

1RWN sends instant notifications for any newsworthy Police, Fire, Rescue, Traffic, Weather and Breaking News event within our coverage areas, to pagers, e-mail, cell phones, PDAs and to our online streaming tickers. The vast majority of our Dispatch Staff is comprised of Volunteer and Career Firefighters, Police/Sheriff Officers, Haz-Mat & Rescue Technicians, 911/ALS/Medevac Dispatchers, ALS, BLS & OEM Personnel and Skywarn Spotters, on local, county, state and federal levels.

1RWN has the industry's MOST COMPREHENSIVE Customer Interface selection process which allows our Subscribers to select EXACTLY what information they want to receive, from what areas and to what devices. In other words, you can select to receive JUST building collapse incidents in Yonkers to be sent to your cell phone, with bridge closures in Philadelphia being sent to your pager. You are no longer restricted to receiving ALL alerts from a region, now YOU decide what you receive

from the average 16,000 monthly alerts sent from any of the areas we cover - 37 States, Washington DC, or Canada!

If you are interested, or would like more information, please check out the website at www.1RWN.com

6359. i punce in agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-02 16:52:26 UTC
From: an old fiend <Mark@kb9rqz.apr>
Message-ID: <4571a280$0$20608$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 4339
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis is waht I
do so bringit on you loosers

show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW rendered
phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and SC a
few just decided to not to be as hateful

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty impoving
my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

p s dont even tink aoubt forgering my fake emale a dress

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6360. stil a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-02 17:00:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <59c3n2tqfid72h60sf00cds38sb9uon73a@4ax.com>
Source record: 4340
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On Sat, 2 Dec 2006 11:52:26 -0500, "an old fiend" <Mark@kb9rqz.apr>
wrote:

>
stil a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6361. Re: 1st Responder Wireless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-02 17:17:19 UTC
From: Scott <scanman@scanland.oirg>
Message-ID: <Xns988D7D04E44D5scanmanscanlandorg@208.49.80.253>
Source record: 4341

What a joke of a system.

Almost all of the other notification networks operate on a volunteer basis for their dispatchers. If a dispatcher is in this HOBBY for rewards, they should seek a job in news media where they pay for those tips.

Also, they aren't very nice to deal with when you have any problems. Before they 'took over' some other groups (read forced them to be bought out), everything was running smoothly. Now, things are awkward at best.

"nyscan@gmail.com" <nyscan@gmail.com> wrote in news:1165075941.687565.313260@l12g2000cwl.googlegroups.com:

> 1st Responder Wireless News, a subsidiary company of 1st Responder
> News, is considered the leader in the emergency notification industry,
> sending incident alerts to pagers, cell phones, PDAs and e-mails.
>
> 1st Responder Wireless News (1RWN), already very active, and
recognized
> by most media outlets and Public Safety Officials as the "Industry
> Leader" in most of the East Coast States, is actively looking for News
> Correspondents to become active as Incident Dispatchers for your area.
>
> 1RWN has recently gone live with a new DISPATCHER REWARDS PROGRAM, the
> most rewarding incentive program in the industry, which will allow our
> Dispatchers to log into an online store and buy products ranging from
> cameras to computers to digital scanners to a subscription to our 1st
> Responder Newspaper to paid membership into one of our 1st Responder
> Radio Network affiliates (buff groups with 2-way radio coverage and/or
> photography groups in Long Island, MA, MD, NJ, NYC, PA and VA with
more
> expected soon...), and so much more from over 100+ prizes, by using
> points earned by being active on our system! EVERY Dispatcher on our
> system will now reap rewards for their hard work, dedication and
> loyalty!
>
> We are now active in AL, AZ, CA, CO, CT, DC, DE, FL, GA, HI, IL, IN,
> KY, LA, MA, MD, ME, MO, MS, NC, NH, NJ, NM, NV, NY, OH, OR, PA, RI,
SC,
> TN, TX, VA, VI, VT, WA, WV and Canada. 1RWN has already acquired many
> well known Paging Notification Services including TAC-9 Paging, East
> Coast Paging, Ohio Fire News, Maryland Fire Network, Virginia Fire
Net,
> Toronto Fire Net, Metro Fire Paging and the National Incident News

> Network (NINN), with several additional under final negotiations. This
> is a very exciting time to become involved.
>
> 1RWN sends instant notifications for any newsworthy Police, Fire,
> Rescue, Traffic, Weather and Breaking News event within our coverage
> areas, to pagers, e-mail, cell phones, PDAs and to our online
streaming
> tickers. The vast majority of our Dispatch Staff is comprised of
> Volunteer and Career Firefighters, Police/Sheriff Officers, Haz-Mat &
> Rescue Technicians, 911/ALS/Medevac Dispatchers, ALS, BLS & OEM
> Personnel and Skywarn Spotters, on local, county, state and federal
> levels.
>
> 1RWN has the industry's MOST COMPREHENSIVE Customer Interface
selection
> process which allows our Subscribers to select EXACTLY what
information
> they want to receive, from what areas and to what devices. In other
> words, you can select to receive JUST building collapse incidents in
> Yonkers to be sent to your cell phone, with bridge closures in
> Philadelphia being sent to your pager. You are no longer restricted
to
> receiving ALL alerts from a region, now YOU decide what you receive
> from the average 16,000 monthly alerts sent from any of the areas we
> cover - 37 States, Washington DC, or Canada!
>
> If you are interested, or would like more information, please check
out
> the website at www.1RWN.com
>
>

6362. Re: KTN4GN inviting people to monitor FOUO, was: Re: K4YZ have told CAP you intend to violate FOUO frequncy info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-02 19:02:10 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1165086130.017402.217720@16g2000cwy.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
Slow Code wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> news:1164938185.794275.103550@j44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> > hot-ham@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> >> > > So what was it all about???
> >> > >
> >> > > Monitoring CAP FOUO communications.
> >> > >
> >> > > I recall K4YZ/KTN4GN inviting people to monitor him and he would
> >> > > send an SWL confirmation.
> >> > >
> >> > > Hi! So much for FOUO.
> >> >
> >> > Uh huh.
> >> >
> >> > And nowhere in my QRZ bio did I mention operating frequencies,
> >> > net
> >> > times, operational objectives, etc.
> >>
> >> so?
> >
> > WWW.QRZ.COM search "K4YZ"
> >
> >> > FOUO maintained...Brain made a fool of...Again...
> >>
> >> maybe maybe not
> >>
> >> have you told CAP you intend to confirm FOUO freqs and time
> >
> > This is where his innocense falls apart. Somehow he's going to confirm
> > an SWL card with "I can neither confirm nor deny..." Riiiiight.
> >
> > PopComm needs to beware publishing this idiot's letters.
>
> You really are a bitter ham.

At least I'm a ham. That can't be said of you.
```

> Lack of CW in the radio diet will do that to
> you.

Nope, being accused of molesting Boy Scouts did it for me.

Everything that K4YZ/KTN4GN says is suspect and needs to be
scrutinized.

For example, his Civil Air Patrol FOUO letter that was published in the
Nov 2006 issue of PopComm completely contradicts his QRZ.COM/K4YZ offer
to confirm his CAP communications.

That is what this thread is about... if you'd care to stay on topic.

> Just look at all the hate on eleven meters.

I wouldn't know, but there's no need to look there. The hate is
already on RRAP, and K4YZ fosters most of it. When this group becomes
moderated, I expect K4YZ to be banned. He'll have to hang out on the
Nurse or CAP yahoo groups of his own creation and moderation.

> Those people are even
> gunning each other down in shopping mall parking lots.
>
> SC

What a coincidence. K4YZ has offered to "meet" several hams at the
Dayton Hamfest, and there's a lot of hamfest parking at the shopping
mall.

6363. FA: Bearcat BC796D APCO25 digital mobile scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-02 22:30:38 UTC
From: "Thanks....." <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <i8nch.736$sM2.213@trndny05>
Source record: 4343
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,phl.forsale,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

22hrs left

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&ih=014&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&viewitem=&item=330054760254&rd=1&rd=1

6364. FS: Diamond and Maldol antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-02 23:23:44 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <4Wnch.8751$yf7.3108@newssvr21.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 4344

I have a Diamond RH77CA and Maldol AL-500H for sale. Both in excellent condition with the plastic sleeves. Both work perfectly.

Price for both is $50.00 shipped in CONUS

6365. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-03 00:34:58 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <SYoch.6163$tM1.2409@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4345
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"SHb" <sherb@iNO_SPAMntergate.com> wrote in
news:12n3namhlpbmi24@corp.supernews.com:

> "past"
> Spelling?
>
> "if you say so" <noboudy@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:4538409b@news101.his.com...
>> The FCC say's that the 20 wpm extras or no more important than someone
>> with just 5 wpm. Isn't that why they changed it from 20 to 5 wpm for
>> ALL HF LICENSE CLASSES. What your talking about is that you don't want
>> to follow FCC rules. If there is a QSO on a frequency YOU should move
>> not the people already there. Unless you have emergency traffic you
>> have NO priority over anyone who is licensed to operate on any giving
>> frequency. Have you even past a ham test? Maybe your license should be
>> revoked until you can prove you have read and fully understand the part
>> 97 FCC rules.

He's a product of dumbed down licensing. In schools they call it outcome
based education.

SC

6366. Re: kookathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-03 00:35:13 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <5Zoch.6175$tM1.3286@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4346
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"cmdr buzz corey" <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote in
news:1165097361.321445.122300@79g2000cws.googlegroups.com:

>
> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> he did no such he came repated his oterment of ffilth and left he did
>> come baclkk for a second round wjhihc is odd
>
> Good grief!! Can anyone decipher this mess?

Buzz,

Just ignore the turd Markie dropped on the newsgroup. If you try to
figure it out you'll just get a headache.

SC

6367. Re: KTN4GN inviting people to monitor FOUO, was: Re: K4YZ have told CAP you intend to violate FOUO frequncy info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-03 00:35:15 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <7Zoch.6176$tM1.3992@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1165086130.017402.217720@16g2000cwy.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> news:1164938185.794275.103550@j44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
>> > kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > hot-ham@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >
>> >> > > So what was it all about???
>> >> > >
>> >> > > Monitoring CAP FOUO communications.
>> >> > >
>> >> > > I recall K4YZ/KTN4GN inviting people to monitor him and he would
>> >> > > send an SWL confirmation.
>> >> > >
>> >> > > Hi! So much for FOUO.
>> >> >
>> >> > Uh huh.
>> >> >
>> >> > And nowhere in my QRZ bio did I mention operating
>> >> > frequencies, net
>> >> > times, operational objectives, etc.
>> >>
>> >> so?
>> >
>> > WWW.QRZ.COM search "K4YZ"
>> >
>> >> > FOUO maintained...Brain made a fool of...Again...
>> >>
>> >> maybe maybe not
>> >>
>> >> have you told CAP you intend to confirm FOUO freqs and time
>> >
>> > This is where his innocense falls apart. Somehow he's going to
>> > confirm an SWL card with "I can neither confirm nor deny..."
>> > Riiiiight.
>> >

>> > PopComm needs to beware publishing this idiot's letters.
>>
>> You really are a bitter ham.
>
> At least I'm a ham. That can't be said of you.
>
>> Lack of CW in the radio diet will do that to
>> you.
>
> Nope, being accused of molesting Boy Scouts did it for me.
>
> Everything that K4YZ/KTN4GN says is suspect and needs to be
> scrutinized.
>
> For example, his Civil Air Patrol FOUO letter that was published in the
> Nov 2006 issue of PopComm completely contradicts his QRZ.COM/K4YZ offer
> to confirm his CAP communications.
>
> That is what this thread is about... if you'd care to stay on topic.
>
>
>> Just look at all the hate on eleven meters.
>
> I wouldn't know, but there's no need to look there. The hate is
> already on RRAP, and K4YZ fosters most of it. When this group becomes
> moderated, I expect K4YZ to be banned. He'll have to hang out on the
> Nurse or CAP yahoo groups of his own creation and moderation.
>
>> Those people are even
>> gunning each other down in shopping mall parking lots.
>>
>> SC
>
> What a coincidence. K4YZ has offered to "meet" several hams at the
> Dayton Hamfest, and there's a lot of hamfest parking at the shopping
> mall.

The only hate I see, is the hate most everyone here has for the CW test.
But that's about it. How'd ya like the boy scouts?

SC

6368. Re: kookathon on clockwork

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-03 01:04:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <4k84n2t2k9tmteo1qhsjh111lu09j1nrn1@4ax.com>
Source record: 4348
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 03 Dec 2006 00:35:13 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"cmdr buzz corey" <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote in
>news:1165097361.321445.122300@79g2000cws.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>> he did no such he came repated his oterment of ffilth and left he did
>>> come baclkk for a second round wjhihc is odd
>>
>> Good grief!! Can anyone decipher this mess?
>
>
>Buzz,
>
>Just ignore the turd Markie dropped on the newsgroup. If you try to
>figure it out you'll just get a headache.
right on time
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6369. Re: KTN4GN inviting people to monitor FOUO, was: Re: K4YZ have told CAP you intend to violate FOUO frequncy info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-03 01:06:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <1n84n25l1sejop3b95mms0krtg93ara4gl@4ax.com>
Source record: 4349
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 03 Dec 2006 00:35:15 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>news:1165086130.017402.217720@16g2000cwy.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>>> news:1164938185.794275.103550@j44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >
>>> > kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> >> K4YZ wrote:
>>> >> > hot-ham@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> >
>>> >> > > So what was it all about???
>>> >> > >
>>> >> > > Monitoring CAP FOUO communications.
>>> >> > >
>>> >> > > I recall K4YZ/KTN4GN inviting people to monitor him and he would
>>> >> > > send an SWL confirmation.
>>> >> > >
>>> >> > > Hi! So much for FOUO.
>>> >> >
>>> >> > Uh huh.
>>> >> >
>>> >> > And nowhere in my QRZ bio did I mention operating
>>> >> > frequencies, net
>>> >> > times, operational objectives, etc.
>>> >>
>>> >> so?
>>> >
>>> > WWW.QRZ.COM search "K4YZ"
>>> >
>>> >> > FOUO maintained...Brain made a fool of...Again...
>>> >>
>>> >> maybe maybe not
>>> >>
>>> >> have you told CAP you intend to confirm FOUO freqs and time
>>> >
>>> > This is where his innocense falls apart. Somehow he's going to
>>> > confirm an SWL card with "I can neither confirm nor deny..."

>>> > Riiiiight.
>>> >
>>> > PopComm needs to beware publishing this idiot's letters.
>>>
>>> You really are a bitter ham.
>>
>> At least I'm a ham. That can't be said of you.
>>
>>> Lack of CW in the radio diet will do that to
>>> you.
>>
>> Nope, being accused of molesting Boy Scouts did it for me.
>>
>> Everything that K4YZ/KTN4GN says is suspect and needs to be
>> scrutinized.
>>
>> For example, his Civil Air Patrol FOUO letter that was published in the
>> Nov 2006 issue of PopComm completely contradicts his QRZ.COM/K4YZ offer
>> to confirm his CAP communications.
>>
>> That is what this thread is about... if you'd care to stay on topic.
>>
>>
>>> Just look at all the hate on eleven meters.
>>
>> I wouldn't know, but there's no need to look there. The hate is
>> already on RRAP, and K4YZ fosters most of it. When this group becomes
>> moderated, I expect K4YZ to be banned. He'll have to hang out on the
>> Nurse or CAP yahoo groups of his own creation and moderation.
>>
>>> Those people are even
>>> gunning each other down in shopping mall parking lots.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> What a coincidence. K4YZ has offered to "meet" several hams at the
>> Dayton Hamfest, and there's a lot of hamfest parking at the shopping
>> mall.
>
>
>The only hate I see, is the hate most everyone here has for the CW test.

that is becuase you are blind steve esp to yourself

kookathon on clockwork
>But that's about it. How'd ya like the boy scouts?
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6370. kookathon on clockwork

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-03 01:09:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <js84n2pn29fubvf8c5ef19eklgk5hc17e6@4ax.com>
Source record: 4350
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 03 Dec 2006 00:34:58 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"SHb" <sherb@iNO_SPAMntergate.com> wrote in
>news:12n3namhlpbmi24@corp.supernews.com:
>
>> "past"
>> Spelling?
>>
>> "if you say so" <noboudy@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:4538409b@news101.his.com...
>>> The FCC say's that the 20 wpm extras or no more important than someone
>>> with just 5 wpm. Isn't that why they changed it from 20 to 5 wpm for
>>> ALL HF LICENSE CLASSES. What your talking about is that you don't want
>>> to follow FCC rules. If there is a QSO on a frequency YOU should move
>>> not the people already there. Unless you have emergency traffic you
>>> have NO priority over anyone who is licensed to operate on any giving
>>> frequency. Have you even past a ham test? Maybe your license should be
>>> revoked until you can prove you have read and fully understand the part
>>> 97 FCC rules.
>
>
>
>He's a product of dumbed down licensing. In schools they call it outcome
>based education.

no are the terminal result of Incentive based licening the real
dummbing down of ham radio
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6371. FS: Uniden XL100 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-03 01:10:49 UTC
From: Ron Purdue <ronaldpurdue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1165108331_29085@sp6iad.superfeed.net>
Source record: 4251

I am selling the uniden 100XL for around $50 or best offer. Great for remote areas with no APCO25 signals or for aircraft frequencies. If you want to buy the 100XL scanner please email me at ronaldpurdue@yahoo.com thanks.

Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services

** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
----
http://www.usenet.com

6372. Re: kookathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-03 05:08:19 UTC
From: "A. G. Bell" <hello?@marksakook>
Message-ID: <gqbl5.gao.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4252
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:5Zoch.6175$tM1.3286@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "cmdr buzz corey" <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote in
> news:1165097361.321445.122300@79g2000cws.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> he did no such he came repated his oterment of ffilth and left he did
> >> come baclkk for a second round wjhihc is odd
> >
> > Good grief!! Can anyone decipher this mess?
>
>
> Buzz,
>
> Just ignore the turd Markie dropped on the newsgroup. If you try to
> figure it out you'll just get a headache.
>
> SC

Give Morkie a few minutes. He lives for Usenet and will, within mere
seconds, drop a Mark Morgan Loaf of fertilizer on all messages.

kookathon on clockwork

Ironic, is it not? A man who spends most of his waking hours and spewing
hundreds of posts weekly on Usenet declares others to be "kooks"?

There is something very wrong with him.

6373. Re: kookathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-03 05:21:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <dan4n2l76tsiv32kr4p1c3pt98pjnnoc72@4ax.com>
Source record: 4253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 3 Dec 2006 00:08:19 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <hello?@marksakook>
wrote:

>
>
>kookathon on clockwork
>
>Ironic, is it not? A man who spends most of his waking hours and spewing
>hundreds of posts weekly on Usenet declares others to be "kooks"?

mot of his waking hour

nah yu just don't understand I sit down spend a fe w minute and get up
do soemthing else till I need to take a break

but I declare Sllow Code kook becuase he is clearly insane he claims
the wants to preserve ham Radio and yet he propses thing EVEN HE
ADMITS would kill the ARS if enacted

that is clearly the work of a nut job

still wating on your call sign Mr Bell I note by you current
nickaddress that you are focusing your online existance in harrasing a
man you claim is insane and of no import a picualr endevour
(before you acuse me of the same try and use your pea brain) I am here
to take part in the finsihing of the Code Nocode part somewhere round
the R&O I am outa here (ihaven't decided when exactly I will leave
this cesspool like about a week to 2 weeks after I obtain my own extra
class license (depends on the effective date of R&O and to some extent
on the date it is issues and the exact contents

then you can go back to whatever stalking you were doing before or
seek another bright flame to be drawn too as you have to me
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6374. Re: kookathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-03 08:05:26 UTC
From: "A. G. Bell" <hello?@marksakook>
Message-ID: <gqm18.qoo.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4254
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:dan4n2l76tsiv32kr4p1c3pt98pjnnoc72@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 3 Dec 2006 00:08:19 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <hello?@marksakook>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >kookathon on clockwork
> >
> >Ironic, is it not? A man who spends most of his waking hours and spewing
> >hundreds of posts weekly on Usenet declares others to be "kooks"?
>
> mot of his waking hour
>
> nah yu just don't understand I sit down spend a fe w minute and get up
> do soemthing else till I need to take a break
>
> but I declare Sllow Code kook becuase he is clearly insane he claims
> the wants to preserve ham Radio and yet he propses thing EVEN HE
> ADMITS would kill the ARS if enacted
>
> that is clearly the work of a nut job
>
> still wating on your call sign Mr Bell I note by you current
> nickaddress that you are focusing your online existance in harrasing a
> man you claim is insane and of no import a picualr endevour
> (before you acuse me of the same try and use your pea brain) I am here
> to take part in the finsihing of the Code Nocode part somewhere round
> the R&O I am outa here (ihaven't decided when exactly I will leave
> this cesspool like about a week to 2 weeks after I obtain my own extra
> class license (depends on the effective date of R&O and to some extent
> on the date it is issues and the exact contents
>
> then you can go back to whatever stalking you were doing before or
> seek another bright flame to be drawn too as you have to me
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
Allow me to try to properly spell your own words, Mork. Like a moth to a
flame. You simply cannot resist, can you? You are predisposed to, as Slow
Code says, "dump" on each and every post made herein. You just cannot help
yourself. You obviously have far too much time on our idle hands.
Apparently my previous post hit Baby Mark on the soft spot on his jumbled
head. Your rejoinder was almost decipherable...almost.

And again, as in posts previous, you challenge me to give my callsign as "proof" of my existance. For what? Your third grade bent on Machismo? That is lame, Mark, even for you.

I'll give you my callsign, Mark. Meet me on 17M sometime...legally. I'll give my call every ten minutes as required. In fact, Mark, I am not all that far from you, so chatting on 17M even when the band is down should be easy to do. We can chat there...

Oh, and if r.r.a.p. has turned into a cesspool, look no further than your own mirror. You are part and parcel of the ruination of this group. In fact, Mark, you are the MAJOR reason this group has so sadly deteriorated.

Alexander Graham Bell.
CW tested and FCC approved.
callsign to be divulged on 17 meters....

-
nor can he spell his insults correctly if he is going to attack my spellinghis should be beyond repaorch

6375. Re: 1st Responder Wireless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-03 16:41:26 UTC
From: "livewiremaxx@gmail.com" <livewiremaxx@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1165164086.464208.94900@n67g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4255

I have to totally agree... The Incident Notification used to be a great
hobby for scanner enthusiasts until these guys came around and started
to make it a hobby to buy everyone out so that they could charge for
something that should be free.

Scott wrote:
> What a joke of a system.
>
> Almost all of the other notification networks operate on a volunteer
> basis for their dispatchers. If a dispatcher is in this HOBBY for
> rewards, they should seek a job in news media where they pay for those
> tips.
>
> Also, they aren't very nice to deal with when you have any problems.
> Before they 'took over' some other groups (read forced them to be bought
> out), everything was running smoothly. Now, things are awkward at best.
>
> "nyscan@gmail.com" <nyscan@gmail.com> wrote in
> news:1165075941.687565.313260@l12g2000cwl.googlegroups.com:
>
> > 1st Responder Wireless News, a subsidiary company of 1st Responder
> > News, is considered the leader in the emergency notification industry,
> > sending incident alerts to pagers, cell phones, PDAs and e-mails.
> >
> > 1st Responder Wireless News (1RWN), already very active, and
> recognized
> > by most media outlets and Public Safety Officials as the "Industry
> > Leader" in most of the East Coast States, is actively looking for News
> > Correspondents to become active as Incident Dispatchers for your area.
> >
> > 1RWN has recently gone live with a new DISPATCHER REWARDS PROGRAM, the
> > most rewarding incentive program in the industry, which will allow our
> > Dispatchers to log into an online store and buy products ranging from
> > cameras to computers to digital scanners to a subscription to our 1st
> > Responder Newspaper to paid membership into one of our 1st Responder
> > Radio Network affiliates (buff groups with 2-way radio coverage and/or
> > photography groups in Long Island, MA, MD, NJ, NYC, PA and VA with
> more
> > expected soon...), and so much more from over 100+ prizes, by using
> > points earned by being active on our system! EVERY Dispatcher on our
> > system will now reap rewards for their hard work, dedication and
> > loyalty!
> >
> > We are now active in AL, AZ, CA, CO, CT, DC, DE, FL, GA, HI, IL, IN,

> > KY, LA, MA, MD, ME, MO, MS, NC, NH, NJ, NM, NV, NY, OH, OR, PA, RI,
> SC,
> > TN, TX, VA, VI, VT, WA, WV and Canada. 1RWN has already acquired many
> > well known Paging Notification Services including TAC-9 Paging, East
> > Coast Paging, Ohio Fire News, Maryland Fire Network, Virginia Fire
> Net,
> > Toronto Fire Net, Metro Fire Paging and the National Incident News
> > Network (NINN), with several additional under final negotiations. This
> > is a very exciting time to become involved.
> >
> > 1RWN sends instant notifications for any newsworthy Police, Fire,
> > Rescue, Traffic, Weather and Breaking News event within our coverage
> > areas, to pagers, e-mail, cell phones, PDAs and to our online
> streaming
> > tickers. The vast majority of our Dispatch Staff is comprised of
> > Volunteer and Career Firefighters, Police/Sheriff Officers, Haz-Mat &
> > Rescue Technicians, 911/ALS/Medevac Dispatchers, ALS, BLS & OEM
> > Personnel and Skywarn Spotters, on local, county, state and federal
> > levels.
> >
> > 1RWN has the industry's MOST COMPREHENSIVE Customer Interface
> selection
> > process which allows our Subscribers to select EXACTLY what
> information
> > they want to receive, from what areas and to what devices. In other
> > words, you can select to receive JUST building collapse incidents in
> > Yonkers to be sent to your cell phone, with bridge closures in
> > Philadelphia being sent to your pager. You are no longer restricted
> to
> > receiving ALL alerts from a region, now YOU decide what you receive
> > from the average 16,000 monthly alerts sent from any of the areas we
> > cover - 37 States, Washington DC, or Canada!
> >
> > If you are interested, or would like more information, please check
> out
> > the website at www.1RWN.com
> >
> >

6376. Re: KTN4GN inviting people to monitor FOUO, was: Re: K4YZ have told CAP you intend to violate FOUO frequncy info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-03 17:37:35 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1165167455.581658.82880@80g2000cwy.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4256
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
Slow Code wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> news:1165086130.017402.217720@16g2000cwy.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> >> news:1164938185.794275.103550@j44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> >>
> >> >
> >> > kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> > hot-ham@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> > > So what was it all about???
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > > Monitoring CAP FOUO communications.
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > > I recall K4YZ/KTN4GN inviting people to monitor him and he would
> >> >> > > send an SWL confirmation.
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > > Hi! So much for FOUO.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Uh huh.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > And nowhere in my QRZ bio did I mention operating
> >> >> > frequencies, net
> >> >> > times, operational objectives, etc.
> >> >>
> >> >> so?
> >> >
> >> > WWW.QRZ.COM search "K4YZ"
> >> >
> >> >> > FOUO maintained...Brain made a fool of...Again...
> >> >>
> >> >> maybe maybe not
> >> >>
> >> >> have you told CAP you intend to confirm FOUO freqs and time
> >> >
> >> > This is where his innocense falls apart. Somehow he's going to
> >> > confirm an SWL card with "I can neither confirm nor deny..."
> >> > Riiiiight.
```

> >> >
> >> > PopComm needs to beware publishing this idiot's letters.
> >>
> >> You really are a bitter ham.
> >
> > At least I'm a ham. That can't be said of you.
> >
> >> Lack of CW in the radio diet will do that to
> >> you.
> >
> > Nope, being accused of molesting Boy Scouts did it for me.
> >
> > Everything that K4YZ/KTN4GN says is suspect and needs to be
> > scrutinized.
> >
> > For example, his Civil Air Patrol FOUO letter that was published in the
> > Nov 2006 issue of PopComm completely contradicts his QRZ.COM/K4YZ offer
> > to confirm his CAP communications.
> >
> > That is what this thread is about... if you'd care to stay on topic.
> >
> >
> >> Just look at all the hate on eleven meters.
> >
> > I wouldn't know, but there's no need to look there. The hate is
> > already on RRAP, and K4YZ fosters most of it. When this group becomes
> > moderated, I expect K4YZ to be banned. He'll have to hang out on the
> > Nurse or CAP yahoo groups of his own creation and moderation.
> >
> >> Those people are even
> >> gunning each other down in shopping mall parking lots.
> >>
> >> SC
> >
> > What a coincidence. K4YZ has offered to "meet" several hams at the
> > Dayton Hamfest, and there's a lot of hamfest parking at the shopping
> > mall.
>
>
> The only hate I see, is the hate most everyone here has for the CW test.

I learned it. I passed it. I see it as irrelevant government hurdle
to be passed. It serves no regulatory purpose in the ARS. No other
mode has a stand alone exam that denies access to HF.

> But that's about it. How'd ya like the boy scouts?
>
> SC

Fantastic organization. Lots of activities. I'd recommend
boy/girl/venture scouting to any youth. Keeps them busy and they stay

out of trouble and off of drugs.

6377. Re: kookathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-03 19:00:51 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <mi76n2toinhi77jgkf19o1qns2nkua84at@4ax.com>
Source record: 4257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 3 Dec 2006 03:05:26 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <hello?@marksakook>
wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>news:dan4n2l76tsiv32kr4p1c3pt98pjnnoc72@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 3 Dec 2006 00:08:19 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <hello?@marksakook>
>> wrote:
>>

>Allow me to try to properly spell your own words, Mork.
l
stil can't even spel my name right

you are so afraid of that must vandalize my name

>Oh, and if r.r.a.p. has turned into a cesspool, look no further than your
>own mirror. You are part and parcel of the ruination of this group. In fact,
>Mark, you are the MAJOR reason this group has so sadly deteriorated.

no steve the Major Reason this became a cesspol was Major dudumping of
shit

it was a clearl cesspol when I arive short before the NPRM
>
>Alexander Graham Bell.
>CW tested and FCC approved.
>callsign to be divulged on 17 meters....

cwaord

which makes my pint rather nicely
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6378. Re: KTN4GN inviting people to monitor FOUO, was: Re: K4YZ have told CAP you intend to violate FOUO frequncy info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-03 19:09:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <k386n2p3v54rc9qb40l9clr6mo3pje8oa9@4ax.com>
Source record: 4258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On 3 Dec 2006 09:37:35 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Slow Code wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> news:1165086130.017402.217720@16g2000cwy.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
>> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> >> news:1164938185.794275.103550@j44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> > kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >> K4YZ wrote:
>> >> >> > hot-ham@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >> >> > > So what was it all about???
>> >> >> > >
>> >> >> > > Monitoring CAP FOUO communications.
>> >> >> > >
>> >> >> > > I recall K4YZ/KTN4GN inviting people to monitor him and he would
>> >> >> > > send an SWL confirmation.
>> >> >> > >
>> >> >> > > Hi! So much for FOUO.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Uh huh.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > And nowhere in my QRZ bio did I mention operating
>> >> >> > frequencies, net
>> >> >> > times, operational objectives, etc.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> so?
>> >> >
>> >> > WWW.QRZ.COM search "K4YZ"
>> >> >
>> >> >> > FOUO maintained...Brain made a fool of...Again...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> maybe maybe not
>> >> >>
>> >> >> have you told CAP you intend to confirm FOUO freqs and time
>> >> >

>> >> > This is where his innocense falls apart. Somehow he's going to
>> >> > confirm an SWL card with "I can neither confirm nor deny..."
>> >> > Riiiiight.
>> >> >
>> >> > PopComm needs to beware publishing this idiot's letters.
>> >>
>> >> You really are a bitter ham.
>> >
>> > At least I'm a ham. That can't be said of you.
>> >
>> >> Lack of CW in the radio diet will do that to
>> >> you.
>> >
>> > Nope, being accused of molesting Boy Scouts did it for me.
>> >
>> > Everything that K4YZ/KTN4GN says is suspect and needs to be
>> > scrutinized.
>> >
>> > For example, his Civil Air Patrol FOUO letter that was published in the
>> > Nov 2006 issue of PopComm completely contradicts his QRZ.COM/K4YZ offer
>> > to confirm his CAP communications.
>> >
>> > That is what this thread is about... if you'd care to stay on topic.
>> >
>> >
>> >> Just look at all the hate on eleven meters.
>> >
>> > I wouldn't know, but there's no need to look there. The hate is
>> > already on RRAP, and K4YZ fosters most of it. When this group becomes
>> > moderated, I expect K4YZ to be banned. He'll have to hang out on the
>> > Nurse or CAP yahoo groups of his own creation and moderation.
>> >
>> >> Those people are even
>> >> gunning each other down in shopping mall parking lots.
>> >>
>> >> SC
>> >
>> > What a coincidence. K4YZ has offered to "meet" several hams at the
>> > Dayton Hamfest, and there's a lot of hamfest parking at the shopping
>> > mall.
>>
>>
>> The only hate I see, is the hate most everyone here has for the CW test.
>
>I learned it. I passed it. I see it as irrelevant government hurdle
>to be passed. It serves no regulatory purpose in the ARS. No other
>mode has a stand alone exam that denies access to HF.

congrats on managing wqish I had had any luck with it

>
>> But that's about it. How'd ya like the boy scouts?
>>
>> SC
>
>Fantastic organization. Lots of activities. I'd recommend
>boy/girl/venture scouting to any youth. Keeps them busy and they stay
>out of trouble and off of drugs.

I have Issues with the BSA as a organization BB. given the way my
private has been invaded and praded by Steve and his freind I suspect
I need not explain why we disagree about the BSA
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6379. i punce in agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-03 19:14:51 UTC
From: an old fiend <Mark@kb9rqz.apr>
Message-ID: <45731563$0$20600$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 4259
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis is waht I
do so bringit on you loosers

show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as JEW rendered
phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and SC a
few just decided to not to be as hateful

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty impoving
my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

p s dont even tink aoubt forgering my fake emale a dress

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6380. stil a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-03 19:20:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <5q86n2lcu72qeam6hj2svsrl3ncpkvo135@4ax.com>
Source record: 4260
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On Sun, 3 Dec 2006 14:14:51 -0500, "an old fiend" <Mark@kb9rqz.apr>
wrote:

>
you must live to harras me stave
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6381. Re: 1st Responder Wireless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-03 19:23:10 UTC
From: Scott <scanman@scanland.oirg>
Message-ID: <Xns988E92579D70Ascanmanscanlandorg@208.49.80.253>
Source record: 4261

Hell, even with FirePage Ohio, they charge a one time fee for paperwork,
but, after that, it's reasonably inexpensive.

1st Responder is a joke and they know it. They need to 'reward' their
dispatchers... C'mon.....

"livewiremaxx@gmail.com" <livewiremaxx@gmail.com> wrote in
news:1165164086.464208.94900@n67g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:

> I have to totally agree... The Incident Notification used to be a
great
> hobby for scanner enthusiasts until these guys came around and started
> to make it a hobby to buy everyone out so that they could charge for
> something that should be free.
>
> Scott wrote:
>> What a joke of a system.
>>
>> Almost all of the other notification networks operate on a volunteer
>> basis for their dispatchers. If a dispatcher is in this HOBBY for
>> rewards, they should seek a job in news media where they pay for
those
>> tips.
>>
>> Also, they aren't very nice to deal with when you have any problems.
>> Before they 'took over' some other groups (read forced them to be
bought
>> out), everything was running smoothly. Now, things are awkward at
best.
>>
>> "nyscan@gmail.com" <nyscan@gmail.com> wrote in
>> news:1165075941.687565.313260@l12g2000cwl.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> > 1st Responder Wireless News, a subsidiary company of 1st Responder
>> > News, is considered the leader in the emergency notification
industry,
>> > sending incident alerts to pagers, cell phones, PDAs and e-mails.
>> >
>> > 1st Responder Wireless News (1RWN), already very active, and
>> recognized
>> > by most media outlets and Public Safety Officials as the "Industry
>> > Leader" in most of the East Coast States, is actively looking for
News
>> > Correspondents to become active as Incident Dispatchers for your
area.

>> >
>> > 1RWN has recently gone live with a new DISPATCHER REWARDS PROGRAM,
the
>> > most rewarding incentive program in the industry, which will allow
our
>> > Dispatchers to log into an online store and buy products ranging
from
>> > cameras to computers to digital scanners to a subscription to our
1st
>> > Responder Newspaper to paid membership into one of our 1st
Responder
>> > Radio Network affiliates (buff groups with 2-way radio coverage
and/or
>> > photography groups in Long Island, MA, MD, NJ, NYC, PA and VA with
>> more
>> > expected soon...), and so much more from over 100+ prizes, by using
>> > points earned by being active on our system! EVERY Dispatcher on
our
>> > system will now reap rewards for their hard work, dedication and
>> > loyalty!
>> >
>> > We are now active in AL, AZ, CA, CO, CT, DC, DE, FL, GA, HI, IL,
IN,
>> > KY, LA, MA, MD, ME, MO, MS, NC, NH, NJ, NM, NV, NY, OH, OR, PA, RI,
>> SC,
>> > TN, TX, VA, VI, VT, WA, WV and Canada. 1RWN has already acquired
many
>> > well known Paging Notification Services including TAC-9 Paging,
East
>> > Coast Paging, Ohio Fire News, Maryland Fire Network, Virginia Fire
>> Net,
>> > Toronto Fire Net, Metro Fire Paging and the National Incident News
>> > Network (NINN), with several additional under final negotiations.
This
>> > is a very exciting time to become involved.
>> >
>> > 1RWN sends instant notifications for any newsworthy Police, Fire,
>> > Rescue, Traffic, Weather and Breaking News event within our
coverage
>> > areas, to pagers, e-mail, cell phones, PDAs and to our online
>> streaming
>> > tickers. The vast majority of our Dispatch Staff is comprised of
>> > Volunteer and Career Firefighters, Police/Sheriff Officers, Haz-Mat
&
>> > Rescue Technicians, 911/ALS/Medevac Dispatchers, ALS, BLS & OEM
>> > Personnel and Skywarn Spotters, on local, county, state and federal
>> > levels.
>> >
>> > 1RWN has the industry's MOST COMPREHENSIVE Customer Interface
>> selection

>> > process which allows our Subscribers to select EXACTLY what
>> information
>> > they want to receive, from what areas and to what devices. In
other
>> > words, you can select to receive JUST building collapse incidents
in
>> > Yonkers to be sent to your cell phone, with bridge closures in
>> > Philadelphia being sent to your pager. You are no longer
restricted
>> to
>> > receiving ALL alerts from a region, now YOU decide what you receive
>> > from the average 16,000 monthly alerts sent from any of the areas
we
>> > cover - 37 States, Washington DC, or Canada!
>> >
>> > If you are interested, or would like more information, please check
>> out
>> > the website at www.1RWN.com
>> >
>> >
>
>

6382. Re: ! Purchased Radio Shack Pro 97 oggi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-04 03:48:11 UTC
From: miso@sushi.com
Message-ID: <1165204091.232336.190060@l12g2000cwl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4262

Richard Head wrote:
> Allo mates,
>
> I just purchased a brand spanking new Radio Pro 97. I got it on sale
> for $149.99. The normal price is $199.99. So I felt this would be a
> good time to get it.
>
> I have it working fine now off of my rechargeable batteries.
>
> This radio needs a 9 volt, 300 Ma power supply to function correctly.
>
> When I went to look for a power source for it around the house, I
> discovered that I have three transformers that are 9V 300A, but the
> plug that goes into the scanner is to large.
>
> I have one power supply that is 9V 800MA and the plug fits perfectly
> into the scanner. Would thee 500MA more of juice that this power
> supply allows to pass through be to much for my scanner? Or do I jist
> need to back to Radio Shack and get an apapter that fits and use my
> big 273-1667 9V 800MA adpater?
> Or better still take the 9Volt 300MA power supply?
>
> Or should I just run it off the 4 AA rechargeable NIMH's that I have?
>
> What is the best option here?

I'd go for the 4AA rechargeable batteries myself. It is the path of
least destruction.

>
>
>
> Put another shlimp on the barbee!

6383. New Audio Files @ IBN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-04 06:03:12 UTC
From: "livewiremaxx@gmail.com" <livewiremaxx@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1165212192.353123.24230@80g2000cwy.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4263

Daron IBN08 and his great team of broadcasters that have been
submitting audio files for us to enjoy have been very busy. We had a
lot of new files that have been added this last week:

Just an FYI - you may have to highlight and copy the entire link below
as sometimes it gets cut off due to the web posting

PA, Westmoreland - House Fire
Description:
Length - 16:19
Just before 1400hrs on 22 November 2006, the Westmoreland EOC Fire
Dispatch Desk received a call reporting a house on fire near Rt. 982 in
the Kecksburg section of the county. Station 76 was activated with
command, and stations 35, 36, 37, 38, 58, and 109 were sent as M/A.
Both of the first two units to arrive on scene report heavy smoke
showing. Within minutes, they report flames out the windows and that
there is a burned patient needing EMS attention. This area has limited
hydrants, and the only two that are usable are very long lays. Command
76 immediately orders a tanker shuttle with dump tank, and asks
Dispatch to send additional tankers. While this happens, Station 58
sets up the LZ and the patient is transported away from the scene and
flown to a Pittsburgh burn Center. The water supply is weak at first
which gives the fire a chance to really boil through the wood frame
2-story, causing extreme exposure hazards to nearby garages and out
buildings. The radio traffic gets intense as crews attempt to protect
the exposures by putting more hand lines into operation. They
eventually use foam to stop the spread and the fire is slowly knocked,
however it's almost an hour later before Command places the fire under
control. Standby companies are put into place while crews do overhaul
and mop up.
Version: Filesize: 7.47 MB
Added on: 28-Nov-2006
http://www.incidentbroadcast.com/modules.php?name=Downloads&d_op=viewdownload&cid=10&order
by=dateD

San Diego, CA - Shooting with Pursuit
Description: Length - 22:44
On the night of November 24, San Diego Police responded to a fight
call, at a party with at least 200 people present, while units were on
scene, one gun shot was heard and they saw one person down. They
quickly got a suspect description, and an officer saw a vehicle leaving
the scene with a possible suspect inside. The vehicle lead them on a
short pursuit, before the four suspects inside the car bailed out on
foot. Two suspects were caught right away and a search with several K9

units caught another suspect.
Version: Filesize: 10.41 MB
Added on: 28-Nov-2006
http://www.incidentbroadcast.com/modules.php?name=Downloads&d_op=viewdownload&cid=9&orderby=dateD

Xenia, IL - Water Rescue
Description:
Length - 08:45
Xenia, IL November 30,2006 Water Rescue
Hunter was out during heavy rains, he stayed out later than his friend, he was stranded on other side of a creek, before dark. Around sundown the Sheriff's Department was contacted and then they called Xenia Fire Department, who has a Polaris Ranger type ATV, but was unable to reach the man. They called the Flora/Clay County ESDA Rescue Squad, who responded with their Rescue Alive rescue raft. They were able to cross the creek and bring the man back safe. Clay County Ambulance was also on scene.

Version: Filesize: 4.01 MB
Added on: 02-Dec-2006
http://www.incidentbroadcast.com/modules.php?name=Downloads&d_op=viewdownload&cid=10&orderby=dateD

Mill Creek, WA - Car and foot pursuit with K9 track
Description:
Length - 12:29
Mill Creek, WA - Mill Creek PD was in pursuit of a car that had 3 robbery suspects in it. The suspects ditched the car and went on foot. Snohomish Co. Sheriff assisted in the foot track along with K9 from Monroe PD. The robbery occurred in King County. At least two suspects we captured.
Version: Filesize: 5.72 MB
Added on: 02-Dec-2006
http://www.incidentbroadcast.com/modules.php?name=Downloads&d_op=viewdownload&cid=9&orderby=dateD

Westmoreland, PA - House Fire

Description:
Length - 12:02
One day after an unoccupied residential structure caught fire and burned in Manor Twp., Westmoreland County's 9-1-1 line rang again with a caller reporting that the burned out structure was once again on fire. The County Fire Dispatch Desk activated Stations 13 (Command), 87, 57, 3, 106, 10, 14 to respond. Upon arrival, CMD 13 reported the left side of the structure going good, and for the first engine in to lay a hand line to protect the exposure on Side B. Additional tankers were called to the scene to assist. Crews get a good knock on the fire

and it is put under control, but they remain on scene for over an hour overhauling and mopping up. The cause for both fires is believed to be arson, and the fire marshal is still investigating.
Version: Filesize: 5.51 MB
Added on: 02-Dec-2006
http://www.incidentbroadcast.com/modules.php?name=Downloads&d_op=viewdownload&cid=10&orderby=dateD

South Orange, NJ - House Fire
Description: Length - 17:54
Fire started as an attic fire, quickly spread and became fully involved. 1.5 story frame. Assisting towns were Maplewood, Milburn and West Orange. During the recording you will hear command state that the fire is "out of control" and shortly after that a mayday is transmitted. Then an evacuation. Later on they state the house is a total loss. I don't believe anyone was hurt during the fire.
Version: Filesize: 8.20 MB
Added on: 03-Dec-2006
http://www.incidentbroadcast.com/modules.php?name=Downloads&d_op=viewdownload&cid=10&orderby=dateD

6384. Re: Police Scanner Saves Mans life - Literally..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-04 08:11:41 UTC
From: "Neil J"
Message-ID: <4573d84d$0$9774$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 4264
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Well - it was on the internet so I guess it must be true!!

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
news:_8k6h.15$kz1.3@newsfe07.lga...
> Police scanner deflects bullet in drive-by
>
>
http://www.sheboygan-press.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20061113/SHE0101/6
1113049/1973
>
> --
>
>
>

6385. faulty Signal R532 - any help/repairs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-04 11:33:58 UTC
From: "bob.martin" <bmartin@qxi.com>
Message-ID: <GITch.6122$3P4.1047@newsfe7-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 4265
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur,uk.rec.aviation

Dear All,

I have a Signal R532 (A classic!) scanner and it has gone faulty. It powers up ok and the display and all buttons work fine, it just seems not to tune. I can change the frequency display with the buttons but this appears to have no effect on the frequency of operation. I can hear some stations but the level is very quiet.

It could be out of alignment? I have had the covers off and it looks like some of the tuning slugs on the coils have been twiddled (not by me!)

I bought it off EBay and was Sold as Seen as I have wanted to add one of these to my collection.

If anyone can fix it for me there is money waiting!!

I phoned Castle Electronics but they said they were unable to repair these as parts no longer available and they don't have the schematics

Thanks for any help/suggestions/offers

Jim G7NKS

p.s. I know as a "real ham" I should do it myself blah blah blah.....M3 rant blah.....black box....RSCB blah.....dumbing down....

6386. Re: faulty Signal R532 - any help/repairs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-04 11:53:09 UTC
From: "Neil J"
Message-ID: <45740c36$0$16554$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 4266
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur,uk.rec.aviation

It might need some new sparkplugs. The gaps can foul up after a while.

"bob.martin" <bmartin@qxi.com> wrote in message
news:GITch.6122$3P4.1047@newsfe7-gui.ntli.net...
> Dear All,
>
> I have a Signal R532 (A classic!) scanner and it has gone faulty. It
powers
> up ok and the display and all buttons work fine, it just seems not to
tune.
> I can change the frequency display with the buttons but this appears to
have
> no effect on the frequency of operation. I can hear some stations but the
> level is very quiet.
>
> It could be out of alignment? I have had the covers off and it looks like
> some of the tuning slugs on the coils have been twiddled (not by me!)
>
> I bought it off EBay and was Sold as Seen as I have wanted to add one of
> these to my collection.
>
> If anyone can fix it for me there is money waiting!!
>
> I phoned Castle Electronics but they said they were unable to repair these
> as parts no longer available and they don't have the schematics
>
> Thanks for any help/suggestions/offers
>
>
> Jim G7NKS
>
> p.s. I know as a "real ham" I should do it myself blah blah
> blah.....M3 rant blah........black box....RSCB blah.........dumbing
> down....
>
>

6387. Re: faulty Signal R532 - any help/repairs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-04 13:48:01 UTC
From: "bob.martin" <bmartin@qxi.com>
Message-ID: <IGVch.6864$Pf.4362@newsfe3-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 4267
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur,uk.rec.aviation

"Neil J" <neiljenkins@.removethis.optushome.com.au> wrote in message
news:45740c36$0$16554$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> It might need some new sparkplugs. The gaps can foul up after a while.
>
>
> "bob.martin" <bmartin@qxi.com> wrote in message
> news:GITch.6122$3P4.1047@newsfe7-gui.ntli.net...
>> Dear All,
>>
>> I have a Signal R532 (A classic!) scanner and it has gone faulty. It
> powers
>> up ok and the display and all buttons work fine, it just seems not to
> tune.

SNIP
>
Thanks for this useful info! I put it down to an antipodean sense of humour
fuelled by our rather dismal performance in the cricket.

Jim G7NKS

6388. WANTED CHEAT DOMINIC TROISI jimbow65@webtv.net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-04 14:05:00 UTC
From: IMPALA65_it <impala65_it@yahoo.it>
Message-ID: <1165241099.993905.70080@j44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4268

Good morning gentlemen
My name is Roger and I am a ham Italian operator IW2NPQ, I write this post because from by now almost 2 years to chase the a some DOMINIC TROISI (email, jimbow65@webtv.net) him to have defrauded me 520 dollars for a ham I remove ICOM IC 706 MK2Gs!
Me to have paid with paypal.
Being all demonstrable, but after 2 years not to have recovered my money yet.
Me to never have had problems with sellers and American colleagues... rather to have another respect of the honor.... apparte this shame of man.
Me to be right to believe whether to be ham radio also operated him and to have the certainty that up to 2002 to have written in this forum. to be able to lend a hand to have the data to be able to find him/it?
After 2 years to be matter of principle... not to be able to exist an operative ham that to steal to another operative ham.... it doesn't deserve to be with us.
Me don't believe to ever see my money again but at least to know that the honest people to be away from him.
Me to have sold my radio to purchase his/her icom 706 and to have remained 3 months without radio before having had the money to buy another radio...
Don't want that some other colleague can pass what have passed me for guilt of that shit man!

Me under to bring transaction happened with paypal to confirm that that to hardly have writing!

To be able to require or to write to me to impala65_it@yahoo.it
Me to be sure of your help.

With respect Roger.

Centro risoluzioni - Dettagli sul reclamo Torna al Centro risoluzioni

---

L'investigazione in merito a questa pratica è stata completata.
Abbiamo cercato di eseguire il rimborso trasferendo i fondi dal conto del venditore al tuo. Purtroppo, nel conto del venditore non erano disponibili fondi sufficienti a coprire il rimborso.. Ci è stato possibile recuperare una cifra pari a $0,00 USD. Abbiamo inoltre intrapreso un'azione contro il venditore e stiamo operando per recuperare la rimanente parte del tuo rimborso. Ti contatteremo non appena avremo maggiori informazioni. A questo punto non sono richiesti ulteriori interventi da parte tua.

Mancata ricezione della merce - ID della pratica: PP-063-462-037

Stato: Pratica chiusa

ID transazione: 88P15818KD5915344

Nome ed indirizzo email del venditore: Dominic Troisi,
jimbow65@webtv.net

Importo della transazione: -$520,00 USD

Data della transazione: 11 gen 2005

6389. Re: KTN4GN inviting people to monitor FOUO, was: Re: K4YZ have told CAP you intend to violate FOUO frequncy info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-04 15:19:53 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1165245593.087259.149240@73g2000cwn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4269
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 3 Dec 2006 09:37:35 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >Slow Code wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> >> news:1165086130.017402.217720@16g2000cwy.googlegroups.com:
> >>
> >> >
> >> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> >> >> news:1164938185.794275.103550@j44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> > kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> >> > hot-ham@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > So what was it all about???
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > Monitoring CAP FOUO communications.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > I recall K4YZ/KTN4GN inviting people to monitor him and he would
> >> >> >> > send an SWL confirmation.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > Hi! So much for FOUO.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Uh huh.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> And nowhere in my QRZ bio did I mention operating
> >> >> >> frequencies, net
> >> >> >> times, operational objectives, etc.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> so?
> >> >> >
> >> >> > WWW.QRZ.COM search "K4YZ"
> >> >> >
> >> >> > FOUO maintained...Brain made a fool of...Again...
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> maybe maybe not
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> have you told CAP you intend to confirm FOUO freqs and time
```

> >> >> >
> >> >> > This is where his innocense falls apart. Somehow he's going to
> >> >> > confirm an SWL card with "I can neither confirm nor deny..."
> >> >> > Riiiiight.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > PopComm needs to beware publishing this idiot's letters.
> >> >>
> >> >> You really are a bitter ham.
> >> >
> >> > At least I'm a ham. That can't be said of you.
> >> >
> >> >> Lack of CW in the radio diet will do that to
> >> >> you.
> >> >
> >> > Nope, being accused of molesting Boy Scouts did it for me.
> >> >
> >> > Everything that K4YZ/KTN4GN says is suspect and needs to be
> >> > scrutinized.
> >> >
> >> > For example, his Civil Air Patrol FOUO letter that was published in the
> >> > Nov 2006 issue of PopComm completely contradicts his QRZ.COM/K4YZ offer
> >> > to confirm his CAP communications.
> >> >
> >> > That is what this thread is about... if you'd care to stay on topic.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >> Just look at all the hate on eleven meters.
> >> >
> >> > I wouldn't know, but there's no need to look there. The hate is
> >> > already on RRAP, and K4YZ fosters most of it. When this group becomes
> >> > moderated, I expect K4YZ to be banned. He'll have to hang out on the
> >> > Nurse or CAP yahoo groups of his own creation and moderation.
> >> >
> >> >> Those people are even
> >> >> gunning each other down in shopping mall parking lots.
> >> >>
> >> >> SC
> >> >
> >> > What a coincidence. K4YZ has offered to "meet" several hams at the
> >> > Dayton Hamfest, and there's a lot of hamfest parking at the shopping
> >> > mall.
> >>
> >>
> >> The only hate I see, is the hate most everyone here has for the CW test.
> >
> >I learned it. I passed it. I see it as irrelevant government hurdle
> >to be passed. It serves no regulatory purpose in the ARS. No other
> >mode has a stand alone exam that denies access to HF.
>
> congrats on managing wqish I had had any luck with it

>
> >
> >> But that's about it. How'd ya like the boy scouts?
> >>
> >> SC
> >
> >Fantastic organization. Lots of activities. I'd recommend
> >boy/girl/venture scouting to any youth. Keeps them busy and they stay
> >out of trouble and off of drugs.
>
> I have Issues with the BSA as a organization BB. given the way my
> private has been invaded and praded by Steve and his freind I suspect
> I need not explain why we disagree about the BSA

You sure dont' have issues with NAMBLA, huh, Marqueer the charter
member?

6390. Re: Police Scanner Saves Mans life - Literally..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-04 16:07:45 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <yIXch.10$QP7.8@newsfe06.lga>
Source record: 4270
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Well, considering it was posted on numerous LEGITIMATE Newspaper and TV
station websites, I'd say yes... But you have a right to your opinion...

"Neil J" <neiljenkins@.removethis.optushome.com.au> wrote in message
news:4573d84d$0$9774$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> Well - it was on the internet so I guess it must be true!!
>
> "PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
> news:_8k6h.15$kz1.3@newsfe07.lga...
>> Police scanner deflects bullet in drive-by
>>
>>
> http://www.sheboygan-press.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20061113/SHE0101/6
> 1113049/1973
>>
>> --
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>

6391. Re: KTN4GN inviting people to monitor FOUO, was: Re: K4YZ have told CAP you intend to violate FOUO frequncy info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-04 17:17:32 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <5ul8n2paj4jr8b7v6jh98tqofj5fm554nv@4ax.com>
Source record: 4271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On 4 Dec 2006 07:19:53 -0800, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 3 Dec 2006 09:37:35 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >Slow Code wrote:
>> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> >> news:1165086130.017402.217720@16g2000cwy.googlegroups.com:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> >> >> news:1164938185.794275.103550@j44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >> >> K4YZ wrote:
>> >> >> >> > hot-ham@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > > So what was it all about???
>> >> >> >> > >
>> >> >> >> > > Monitoring CAP FOUO communications.
>> >> >> >> > >
>> >> >> >> > > I recall K4YZ/KTN4GN inviting people to monitor him and he would
>> >> >> >> > > send an SWL confirmation.
>> >> >> >> > >
>> >> >> >> > > Hi! So much for FOUO.
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > Uh huh.
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > And nowhere in my QRZ bio did I mention operating
>> >> >> >> > frequencies, net
>> >> >> >> > times, operational objectives, etc.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> so?
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > WWW.QRZ.COM search "K4YZ"
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > FOUO maintained...Brain made a fool of...Again...

>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> maybe maybe not
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> have you told CAP you intend to confirm FOUO freqs and time
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > This is where his innocense falls apart. Somehow he's going to
>> >> >> > confirm an SWL card with "I can neither confirm nor deny..."
>> >> >> > Riiiiight.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > PopComm needs to beware publishing this idiot's letters.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> You really are a bitter ham.
>> >> >
>> >> > At least I'm a ham. That can't be said of you.
>> >> >
>> >> >> Lack of CW in the radio diet will do that to
>> >> >> you.
>> >> >
>> >> > Nope, being accused of molesting Boy Scouts did it for me.
>> >> >
>> >> > Everything that K4YZ/KTN4GN says is suspect and needs to be
>> >> > scrutinized.
>> >> >
>> >> > For example, his Civil Air Patrol FOUO letter that was published in the
>> >> > Nov 2006 issue of PopComm completely contradicts his QRZ.COM/K4YZ offer
>> >> > to confirm his CAP communications.
>> >> >
>> >> > That is what this thread is about... if you'd care to stay on topic.
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >> Just look at all the hate on eleven meters.
>> >> >
>> >> > I wouldn't know, but there's no need to look there. The hate is
>> >> > already on RRAP, and K4YZ fosters most of it. When this group becomes
>> >> > moderated, I expect K4YZ to be banned. He'll have to hang out on the
>> >> > Nurse or CAP yahoo groups of his own creation and moderation.
>> >> >
>> >> >> Those people are even
>> >> >> gunning each other down in shopping mall parking lots.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> SC
>> >> >
>> >> > What a coincidence. K4YZ has offered to "meet" several hams at the
>> >> > Dayton Hamfest, and there's a lot of hamfest parking at the shopping
>> >> > mall.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> The only hate I see, is the hate most everyone here has for the CW test.
>> >
>> >I learned it. I passed it. I see it as irrelevant government hurdle

>> >to be passed. It serves no regulatory purpose in the ARS. No other
>> >mode has a stand alone exam that denies access to HF.
>>
>> congrats on managing wqish I had had any luck with it
>>
>> >
>> >> But that's about it. How'd ya like the boy scouts?
>> >>
>> >> SC
>> >
>> >Fantastic organization. Lots of activities. I'd recommend
>> >boy/girl/venture scouting to any youth. Keeps them busy and they stay
>> >out of trouble and off of drugs.
>>
>> I have Issues with the BSA as a organization BB. given the way my
>> private has been invaded and praded by Steve and his freind I suspect
>> I need not explain why we disagree about the BSA
>
>You sure dont' have issues with NAMBLA, huh, Marqueer the charter
>member?

I have never said anything in support of Nambla woger

it says some pretty stpid thing and few true tone and smaller and of
less

indeeed I don't recall namble ever coming out here in RRAP woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6392. Re: faulty Signal R532 - any help/repairs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-04 19:40:57 UTC
From: "Nick B." <nickb333@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <4tjbu8F13c3mvU1@mid.individual.net>
Source record: 4272
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur,uk.rec.aviation

Neil J wrote:
> It might need some new sparkplugs. The gaps can foul up after a while.
>
>
> "bob.martin" <bmartin@qxi.com> wrote in message
> news:GITch.6122$3P4.1047@newsfe7-gui.ntli.net...
>> Dear All,
>>
>> I have a Signal R532 (A classic!) scanner and it has gone faulty. It
> powers
>> up ok and the display and all buttons work fine, it just seems not to
> tune.
>> I can change the frequency display with the buttons but this appears to
> have
>> no effect on the frequency of operation. I can hear some stations but the
>> level is very quiet.
>>
>> It could be out of alignment? I have had the covers off and it looks like
>> some of the tuning slugs on the coils have been twiddled (not by me!)
>>
>> I bought it off EBay and was Sold as Seen as I have wanted to add one of
>> these to my collection.
>>
>> If anyone can fix it for me there is money waiting!!
>>
>> I phoned Castle Electronics but they said they were unable to repair these
>> as parts no longer available and they don't have the schematics
>>
>> Thanks for any help/suggestions/offers

You might try Lowe Electronics they were the original importers and
still claim to support them: http://www.lowe.co.uk/retail_secondhand.htm
They might have the servicing info, I got the R535 service manual from
there. They also seem to have a steady turnaround of second hand R532
and R535.

Another site with the operating manuals: http://www.airband-radio.co.uk/

HTH
--
Nick B.

6393. Re: faulty Signal R532 - any help/repairs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-04 21:31:16 UTC
From: "bob.martin" <bmartin@qxi.com>
Message-ID: <Es0dh.10711$cZ4.8161@newsfe6-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 4273
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur,uk.rec.aviation

"Nick B." <nickb333@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:4tjbu8F13c3mvU1@mid.individual.net...
> Neil J wrote:
>> It might need some new sparkplugs. The gaps can foul up after a while.
>>
>>
>> "bob.martin" <bmartin@qxi.com> wrote in message
>> news:GITch.6122$3P4.1047@newsfe7-gui.ntli.net...
>>> Dear All,
>>>
>>> I have a Signal R532 (A classic!) scanner and it has gone faulty. It
>> powers
>>> up ok and the display and all buttons work fine, it just seems not to
>> tune.
>>> I can change the frequency display with the buttons but this appears to
>> have
>>> no effect on the frequency of operation. I can hear some stations but
>>> the
>>> level is very quiet.
>>>
>>> It could be out of alignment? I have had the covers off and it looks
>>> like
>>> some of the tuning slugs on the coils have been twiddled (not by me!)
>>>
>>> I bought it off EBay and was Sold as Seen as I have wanted to add one of
>>> these to my collection.
>>>
>>> If anyone can fix it for me there is money waiting!!
>>>
>>> I phoned Castle Electronics but they said they were unable to repair
>>> these
>>> as parts no longer available and they don't have the schematics
>>>
>>> Thanks for any help/suggestions/offers
>
> You might try Lowe Electronics they were the original importers and still
> claim to support them: http://www.lowe.co.uk/retail_secondhand.htm
> They might have the servicing info, I got the R535 service manual from
> there. They also seem to have a steady turnaround of second hand R532 and
> R535.
>
> Another site with the operating manuals: http://www.airband-radio.co.uk/
>

> HTH
> --
> Nick B.

Indeed it does help! many thanks. I'll put the spark plug wrench away
now!!

I have already tagged thec website that does the manuals thanx

Best regards

Jim G7NKS

6394. Re: KTN4GN inviting people to monitor FOUO, was: Re: K4YZ have told CAP you intend to violate FOUO frequncy info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-04 21:34:37 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1165268077.136170.232570@l12g2000cwl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4274
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 4 Dec 2006 07:19:53 -0800, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 3 Dec 2006 09:37:35 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >Slow Code wrote:
> >> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> >> >> news:1165086130.017402.217720@16g2000cwy.googlegroups.com:
> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> >> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> >> >> >> news:1164938185.794275.103550@j44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> >> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> >> >> > hot-ham@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> > So what was it all about???
> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> > Monitoring CAP FOUO communications.
> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> > I recall K4YZ/KTN4GN inviting people to monitor him and he would
> >> >> >> >> > send an SWL confirmation.
> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> > Hi! So much for FOUO.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> Uh huh.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> And nowhere in my QRZ bio did I mention operating
> >> >> >> >> frequencies, net
> >> >> >> >> times, operational objectives, etc.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> so?
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > WWW.QRZ.COM search "K4YZ"
> >> >> >> >
```

> >> >> >> >> > FOUO maintained...Brain made a fool of...Again...
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> maybe maybe not
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> have you told CAP you intend to confirm FOUO freqs and time
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > This is where his innocense falls apart. Somehow he's going to
> >> >> >> > confirm an SWL card with "I can neither confirm nor deny..."
> >> >> >> > Riiiiight.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > PopComm needs to beware publishing this idiot's letters.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> You really are a bitter ham.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > At least I'm a ham. That can't be said of you.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> Lack of CW in the radio diet will do that to
> >> >> >> you.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Nope, being accused of molesting Boy Scouts did it for me.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Everything that K4YZ/KTN4GN says is suspect and needs to be
> >> >> > scrutinized.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > For example, his Civil Air Patrol FOUO letter that was published in the
> >> >> > Nov 2006 issue of PopComm completely contradicts his QRZ.COM/K4YZ offer
> >> >> > to confirm his CAP communications.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > That is what this thread is about... if you'd care to stay on topic.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> Just look at all the hate on eleven meters.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > I wouldn't know, but there's no need to look there. The hate is
> >> >> > already on RRAP, and K4YZ fosters most of it. When this group becomes
> >> >> > moderated, I expect K4YZ to be banned. He'll have to hang out on the
> >> >> > Nurse or CAP yahoo groups of his own creation and moderation.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> Those people are even
> >> >> >> gunning each other down in shopping mall parking lots.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> SC
> >> >> >
> >> >> > What a coincidence. K4YZ has offered to "meet" several hams at the
> >> >> > Dayton Hamfest, and there's a lot of hamfest parking at the shopping
> >> >> > mall.
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> The only hate I see, is the hate most everyone here has for the CW test.
> >> >

> >> >I learned it. I passed it. I see it as irrelevant government hurdle
> >> >to be passed. It serves no regulatory purpose in the ARS. No other
> >> >mode has a stand alone exam that denies access to HF.
> >>
> >> congrats on managing wqish I had had any luck with it
> >>
> >> >
> >> >> But that's about it. How'd ya like the boy scouts?
> >> >>
> >> >> SC
> >> >
> >> >Fantastic organization. Lots of activities. I'd recommend
> >> >boy/girl/venture scouting to any youth. Keeps them busy and they stay
> >> >out of trouble and off of drugs.
> >>
> >> I have Issues with the BSA as a organization BB. given the way my
> >> private has been invaded and praded by Steve and his freind I suspect
> >> I need not explain why we disagree about the BSA
> >
> >You sure don't have issues with NAMBLA, huh, Marqueer the charter
> >member?
>
> I have never said anything in support of Nambla woger

Sure you do, Marquer, you want to convert everybody to your perverted
lifestyle.

> it says some pretty stpid thing and few true tone and smaller and of
> less

What do you care, you do what they condone!

> indeeed I don't recall namble ever coming out here in RRAP woger

You don't even recall your own name, Marqueer, since you never post
under it, either.

6395. Re: ! Purchased Radio Shack Pro 97 oggi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-04 21:55:49 UTC
From: rrickleb@sympatico.ca
Message-ID: <4m59n21tv0m640rlpl68qg5g3t81u5mchd@4ax.com>
Source record: 4275

Richard;

The scanner needs a 9v power supply which is capable of delivering a minimum of 300 ma so a supply which is capable of delivering 800 ma is well suited for this purpose.

As long as your power supply does not provide more than 9v, your scanner will not be damaged.

A power supply rated well above the current capacity of the device will actually run cooler than one that runs near it's capacity.

Think about a heavy duty car battery. All batteries run at 12 volts, and no battery will damage your cars accessories, but a battery with a higher amperage rating will run more accesories than a battery with a lower rating.

Rick

On 3 Dec 2006 19:48:11 -0800, miso@sushi.com wrote:

>
>Richard Head wrote:
>>
>> I have one power supply that is 9V 800MA and the plug fits perfectly
>> into the scanner. Would thee 500MA more of juice that this power
>> supply allows to pass through be to much for my scanner? Or do I jist
>> need to back to Radio Shack and get an apapter that fits and use my
>> big 273-1667 9V 800MA adpater?

To reply by email, please remove the first "R" in my return address

6396. Re: KTN4GN inviting people to monitor FOUO, was: Re: K4YZ have told CAP you intend to violate FOUO frequncy info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-05 00:22:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <npe9n29rb19av88lgku7q2bafifrv7k9q7@4ax.com>
Source record: 4276
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
On 4 Dec 2006 13:34:37 -0800, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
<billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 4 Dec 2006 07:19:53 -0800, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
>> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> >> On 3 Dec 2006 09:37:35 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >Slow Code wrote:
>> >> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> >> >> news:1165086130.017402.217720@16g2000cwy.googlegroups.com:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >> >> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> >> >> >> news:1164938185.794275.103550@j44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> K4YZ wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> > hot-ham@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> > > So what was it all about???
>> >> >> >> >> > >
>> >> >> >> >> > > Monitoring CAP FOUO communications.
>> >> >> >> >> > >
>> >> >> >> >> > > I recall K4YZ/KTN4GN inviting people to monitor him and he would
>> >> >> >> >> > > send an SWL confirmation.
>> >> >> >> >> > >
>> >> >> >> >> > > Hi! So much for FOUO.
>> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> > Uh huh.
>> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> > And nowhere in my QRZ bio did I mention operating
>> >> >> >> >> > frequencies, net
>> >> >> >> >> > times, operational objectives, etc.
>> >> >> >> >>
```

>> >> >> >> >> so?
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > WWW.QRZ.COM search "K4YZ"
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> > FOUO maintained...Brain made a fool of...Again...
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> maybe maybe not
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> have you told CAP you intend to confirm FOUO freqs and time
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > This is where his innocense falls apart. Somehow he's going to
>> >> >> >> > confirm an SWL card with "I can neither confirm nor deny..."
>> >> >> >> > Riiiiight.
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > PopComm needs to beware publishing this idiot's letters.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> You really are a bitter ham.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > At least I'm a ham. That can't be said of you.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> Lack of CW in the radio diet will do that to
>> >> >> >> you.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Nope, being accused of molesting Boy Scouts did it for me.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Everything that K4YZ/KTN4GN says is suspect and needs to be
>> >> >> > scrutinized.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > For example, his Civil Air Patrol FOUO letter that was published in the
>> >> >> > Nov 2006 issue of PopComm completely contradicts his QRZ.COM/K4YZ offer
>> >> >> > to confirm his CAP communications.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > That is what this thread is about... if you'd care to stay on topic.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> Just look at all the hate on eleven meters.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > I wouldn't know, but there's no need to look there. The hate is
>> >> >> > already on RRAP, and K4YZ fosters most of it. When this group becomes
>> >> >> > moderated, I expect K4YZ to be banned. He'll have to hang out on the
>> >> >> > Nurse or CAP yahoo groups of his own creation and moderation.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> Those people are even
>> >> >> >> gunning each other down in shopping mall parking lots.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> SC
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > What a coincidence. K4YZ has offered to "meet" several hams at the
>> >> >> > Dayton Hamfest, and there's a lot of hamfest parking at the shopping
>> >> >> > mall.

>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >> The only hate I see, is the hate most everyone here has for the CW test.
>> >> >
>> >> >I learned it. I passed it. I see it as irrelevant government hurdle
>> >> >to be passed. It serves no regulatory purpose in the ARS. No other
>> >> >mode has a stand alone exam that denies access to HF.
>> >>
>> >> congrats on managing wqish I had had any luck with it
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >> But that's about it. How'd ya like the boy scouts?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> SC
>> >> >
>> >> >Fantastic organization. Lots of activities. I'd recommend
>> >> >boy/girl/venture scouting to any youth. Keeps them busy and they stay
>> >> >out of trouble and off of drugs.
>> >>
>> >> I have Issues with the BSA as a organization BB. given the way my
>> >> private has been invaded and praded by Steve and his freind I suspect
>> >> I need not explain why we disagree about the BSA
>> >
>> >You sure don't have issues with NAMBLA, huh, Marqueer the charter
>> >member?
>>
>> I have never said anything in support of Nambla woger
>
>Sure you do, Marquer, you want to convert everybody to your perverted
>lifestyle.

No Woger I have never spoken in suport of NAMBLA nor would I pseeack
in support of them with respect to anything but adknowledging their
RIGHT to a free speech

I have also never tried to convert ANYBODY to my lifestyle woger
>
>> it says some pretty stpid thing and few true tone and smaller and of
>> less
>
>What do you care, you do what they condone!

only in your demented dreams woger
>
>> indeeed I don't recall namble ever coming out here in RRAP woger
>
>You don't even recall your own name, Marqueer, since you never post
>under it, either.

here I post under my call you post under neither your name or your
call

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6397. Re: Readings on Reasons to Boycott Wal-Mart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-05 02:50:45 UTC
From: m II <c@in.the.hat>
Message-ID: <985dh.27547$rv4.21597@edtnps90>
Source record: 4277
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Old Time Ham wrote:

> Just as bad, or worse, is TARGET. Not only fags, but FRENCH fags!

I think you meant 'Freedom Fags'

mike

6398. Re: faulty Signal R532 - any help/repairs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-05 04:40:50 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <j1u9n2p73upd97ahm93o71g5m2gh9gi7s5@4ax.com>
Source record: 4278
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur,uk.rec.aviation

On Mon, 04 Dec 2006 11:33:58 GMT, "bob.martin" <bmartin@qxi.com> spake
thusly:

>p.s. I know as a "real ham" I should do it myself blah blah
>blah.....M3 rant blah.....black box....RSCB blah.....dumbing
>down....

It IS getting rather old, isn't it.

--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

6399. Re: faulty Signal R532 - any help/repairs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-05 08:23:52 UTC
From: "Neil J"
Message-ID: <45752cac$0$16553$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 4279
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur,uk.rec.aviation

Don't tell me - it didn't come with either the sparkplugs OR the double A batts.

"bob.martin" <bmartin@qxi.com> wrote in message news:Es0dh.10711$cZ4.8161@newsfe6-gui.ntli.net...
>
> "Nick B." <nickb333@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:4tjbu8F13c3mvU1@mid.individual.net...
> > Neil J wrote:
> >> It might need some new sparkplugs. The gaps can foul up after a while.
> >>
> >>
> >> "bob.martin" <bmartin@qxi.com> wrote in message
> >> news:GITch.6122$3P4.1047@newsfe7-gui.ntli.net...
> >>> Dear All,
> >>>
> >>> I have a Signal R532 (A classic!) scanner and it has gone faulty. It
> >> powers
> >>> up ok and the display and all buttons work fine, it just seems not to
> >> tune.
> >>> I can change the frequency display with the buttons but this appears to
> >> have
> >>> no effect on the frequency of operation. I can hear some stations but
> >>> the
> >>> level is very quiet.
> >>>
> >>> It could be out of alignment? I have had the covers off and it looks
> >>> like
> >>> some of the tuning slugs on the coils have been twiddled (not by me!)
> >>>
> >>> I bought it off EBay and was Sold as Seen as I have wanted to add one of
> >>> these to my collection.
> >>>
> >>> If anyone can fix it for me there is money waiting!!
> >>>
> >>> I phoned Castle Electronics but they said they were unable to repair
> >>> these
> >>> as parts no longer available and they don't have the schematics
> >>>
> >>> Thanks for any help/suggestions/offers
> > >

> > You might try Lowe Electronics they were the original importers and
still
> > claim to support them: http://www.lowe.co.uk/retail_secondhand.htm
> > They might have the servicing info, I got the R535 service manual from
> > there. They also seem to have a steady turnaround of second hand R532
and
> > R535.
> >
> > Another site with the operating manuals: http://www.airband-radio.co.uk/
> >
> > HTH
> > --
> > Nick B.
>
> Indeed it does help! many thanks. I'll put the spark plug wrench away
> now!!
>
> I have already tagged thec website that does the manuals thanx
>
> Best regards
>
>
> Jim G7NKS
>
>

6400. The future of scanning...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-05 08:26:06 UTC
From: "Neil J"
Message-ID: <45752d32$0$16556$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 4280
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Tell me....

is the move towards mobiles / encrypted digital going to kill off scanning
... or is our apetite for more consumer goods going to nuture it ???

Neil

6401. Re: The future of scanning...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-05 13:02:57 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <SKednSWIIIDIDujYnZ2dnUVZ_sKdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 4281
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Neil J" <neiljenkins@.removethis.optushome.com.au> wrote in message
news:45752d32$0$16556$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> Tell me....
>
> is the move towards mobiles / encrypted digital going to kill off scanning
> ... or is our apetite for more consumer goods going to nuture it ???
>
> Neil
>
Eventually one electronics genius in every large city will invest in the
hardware and software and make the scanned results available to all over
special web-sites.

H.J.

6402. Re: The future of scanning...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-05 17:31:35 UTC
From: Krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <n9abn2pr1ktflpo99giaqgtsfh46291ab4@4ax.com>
Source record: 4282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Tue, 5 Dec 2006 07:02:57 -0600, "honestjohn"
<honestjohn@centurytel.net> wrote:

>
>"Neil J" <neiljenkins@.removethis.optushome.com.au> wrote in message
>news:45752d32$0$16556$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
>> Tell me....
>>
>> is the move towards mobiles / encrypted digital going to kill off scanning
>> ... or is our apetite for more consumer goods going to nuture it ???
>>
>> Neil
>>
>Eventually one electronics genius in every large city will invest in the
>hardware and software and make the scanned results available to all over
>special web-sites.
>
>H.J.
>

yea .... but they're (those geniuses) working on the P-4 /
P-5 DTV smart card crack right now. they will , no doubt , be done
REAL SOON but it won't matter either way as the aliens will
come down and " save " us first anyway (soon as we get those
government " rays " turned off that keep them away !) .

gotta go now, dropped my stylish aluminum foil hat and realized I'm
late taking my meds as well , dang !! ... I hate it when that happens
! (cue Outer Limits theme here !!!)

·_ · · _ _ _ _ _ _ _ · · _ · _ _
_ ·· _ _ · · ···

_ _ · · · · · · · _ _

·_ ·_·

___ · ___

6403. danm pipes frose agian last nite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-05 21:36:51 UTC
From: an old fiend <Mark@kb9rqz.apr>
Message-ID: <4575d9a5$0$15562$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 4283
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

tis time the tiolet blow up real bad so anoter day of shitting in back yard
by teh trash piles four me an gav
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6404. kookathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-05 21:51:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <0dqbn2le81n5mkck7k0c02dv5v368sdkcs@4ax.com>
Source record: 4284
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 5 Dec 2006 16:36:51 -0500, l4yz@yahoo.com> wrote:

> tis time the tiolet blow up real bad so anoter day of shitting in back yard
>by teh trash piles four me an gav
his tve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6405. Re: danm pipes frose agian last nite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-05 22:11:43 UTC
From: John Doe <hgorden@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <zrydnRBS5IeCcOjYnZ2dnUVZ_tqdnZ2d@adelphia.com>
Source record: 4285
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

Why don't you take your CRAP someplace else and get it off of the HAM radio groups!

"an old fiend" <Mark@kb9rqz.apr> wrote in message news:4575d9a5$0$15562$88260bb3@free.teranews.com...
> tis time the tiolet blow up real bad so anoter day of shitting in back
> yard by teh trash piles four me an gav
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
>
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

6406. kookathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-05 22:17:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <trrbn2hbd4326t749osr9hm8ckfh0p3trb@4ax.com>
Source record: 4286
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 5 Dec 2006 17:11:43 -0500, "John Doe" <hgorden@adelphia.net>
wrote:

>Why don't you take your CRAP someplace else and get it off of the HAM radio
>groups!

becuase the p[oster either K4YZ or AB8MQ are so afraid someone will
listen to what I have to say that they feel they must try and jam
anything I do post

the 2 them enage in stalking and ahrrassment of anyone that dares
disagree with in the slightest
>
>"an old fiend" <Mark@kb9rqz.apr> wrote in message
>news:4575d9a5$0$15562$88260bb3@free.teranews.com...
>> tis time the tiolet blow up real bad so anoter day of shitting in back
>> yard by teh trash piles four me an gav
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>>
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6407. Re: kookathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-05 22:59:27 UTC
From: John Doe <hgorden@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <XvOdnfizIqHTZejYnZ2dnUVZ_rednZ2d@adelphia.com>
Source record: 4287
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

WHY DON'T YOU ALL TAKE THIS CRAP OFF OF THE HAM RADIO GROUPS - WE DON'T NEED THIS CHIT HERE!

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:trrbn2hbd4326t749osr9hm8ckfh0p3trb@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 5 Dec 2006 17:11:43 -0500, "John Doe" <hgorden@adelphia.net>
> wrote:
>
>>Why don't you take your CRAP someplace else and get it off of the HAM
>>radio
>>groups!
>
> becuase the p[oster either K4YZ or AB8MQ are so afraid someone will
> listen to what I have to say that they feel they must try and jam
> anything I do post
>
> the 2 them enage in stalking and ahrrassment of anyone that dares
> disagree with in the slightest
>>
>>"an old fiend" <Mark@kb9rqz.apr> wrote in message
>>news:4575d9a5$0$15562$88260bb3@free.teranews.com...
>>> tis time the tiolet blow up real bad so anoter day of shitting in back
>>> yard by teh trash piles four me an gav
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> --
>>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>>
>>
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

6408. Re: danm pipes frose agian last nite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-05 23:00:15 UTC
From: John Doe <hgorden@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ntGdnea1vrLiZejYnZ2dnUVZ_rqdnZ2d@adelphia.com>
Source record: 4288
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.misc

YEAH - STICK IT WHERE THE SUN DON'T SHINE!

"TFTX" <h0ya3@neUOo9v.vACB> wrote in message news:T0p98909F14B@72L.43B0...
> John Doe pooped out this fucking treat:
>
>> Why don't you take your CRAP someplace else and get it off of the HAM
>> radio groups!
>
> Why are you here? Isn't the net a little too advanced for somebody who's
> still excited by 19th century technology?

6409. Re: danm pipes frose agian last nite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-06 03:06:55 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <itccn2t55k530na32mfu9lu7r1sr8apgsd@4ax.com>
Source record: 4289
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.misc

On Tue, 5 Dec 2006 18:00:15 -0500, "John Doe" <hgorden@adelphia.net>
wrote:

>YEAH - STICK IT WHERE THE SUN DON'T SHINE!
>
>"TFTX" <h0ya3@neUOo9v.vACB> wrote in message news:T0p98909F14B@72L.43B0...
>> John Doe pooped out this fucking treat:
>>
>>> Why don't you take your CRAP someplace else and get it off of the HAM
>>> radio groups!
>>
>> Why are you here? Isn't the net a little too advanced for somebody who's
>> still excited by 19th century technology?
>
well seems tempers are flaring of the work of Steve the forger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6410. Re: kookathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-06 03:08:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <succn25d3kjmlv9ius3gvdqm35grsr3b1i@4ax.com>
Source record: 4290
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 5 Dec 2006 17:59:27 -0500, "John Doe" <hgorden@adelphia.net>
wrote:

>WHY DON'T YOU ALL TAKE THIS CRAP OFF OF THE HAM RADIO GROUPS - WE DON'T NEED
>THIS CHIT HERE!

becuase it isn't within my power to do so

nor would I continue to banter with them anywhere else
No you don't need it

address you complaint where they belong

K4YZ and AB8MQ are responible for this shit take it up with them
>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>news:trrbn2hbd4326t749osr9hm8ckfh0p3trb@4ax.com...
>> On Tue, 5 Dec 2006 17:11:43 -0500, "John Doe" <hgorden@adelphia.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>Why don't you take your CRAP someplace else and get it off of the HAM
>>>radio
>>>groups!
>>
>> becuase the p[oster either K4YZ or AB8MQ are so afraid someone will
>> listen to what I have to say that they feel they must try and jam
>> anything I do post
>>
>> the 2 them enage in stalking and ahrrassment of anyone that dares
>> disagree with in the slightest
>>>
>>>"an old fiend" <Mark@kb9rqz.apr> wrote in message
>>>news:4575d9a5$0$15562$88260bb3@free.teranews.com...
>>>> tis time the tiolet blow up real bad so anoter day of shitting in back
>>>> yard by teh trash piles four me an gav
>>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> --
>>>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>>>
>>>
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>

>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6411. Brain Bouncing Blindly...Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-06 09:23:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1165397003.091573.107560@n67g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4291
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com, Better Known As Brian P Burke, N0IMD
wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> > news:1164938185.794275.103550@j44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> > > kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:

> > > PopComm needs to beware publishing this idiot's letters.
> >
> > You really are a bitter ham.
>
> At least I'm a ham. That can't be said of you.

A "ham"...Maybe. A pig? Definately.

> > Lack of CW in the radio diet will do that to
> > you.
>
> Nope, being accused of molesting Boy Scouts did it for me.

Then you shouldn't have led others to believe you did it.

> Everything that K4YZ/KTN4GN says is suspect and needs to be
> scrutinized.

As are the words of every other person, Brain...Such as you and the
Feeble Five.

> For example, his Civil Air Patrol FOUO letter that was published in the
> Nov 2006 issue of PopComm completely contradicts his QRZ.COM/K4YZ offer
> to confirm his CAP communications.

No it doesn't.

> That is what this thread is about... if you'd care to stay on topic.

So far, the thread is about N0IMD lying and misrepresenting the
truth.

> > Just look at all the hate on eleven meters.
>
> I wouldn't know, but there's no need to look there. The hate is
> already on RRAP, and K4YZ fosters most of it.

Nope.

> When this group becomes
> moderated, I expect K4YZ to be banned. He'll have to hang out on the
> Nurse or CAP yahoo groups of his own creation and moderation.

None of my groups are moderated, Morkie...I mean Brain. Sorry.

The only "moderation" any of them get's is making sure they are not
spammers...After that they can post freely.

I've suggested you read any of the archives you'd care to, but
you've obvioulsy never done that.

> > Those people are even
> > gunning each other down in shopping mall parking lots.

> What a coincidence. K4YZ has offered to "meet" several hams at the
> Dayton Hamfest, and there's a lot of hamfest parking at the shopping
> mall.

I've never met you, Brain. Too bad.

Steve, K4YZ

6412. 900 MHz Channel List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-06 15:39:36 UTC
From: scannerman6@juno.com
Message-ID: <1165419576.283709.232000@j44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4292
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anybody know what the 900 MHz Channel Numbers are?
I know that the 800 MHz band is channelized. That is how our Radio
Scanners know what frequency to go to when truking. I need the list of
Channels for the 900 MHz band. I am sure that there is one.
So if somebody could provide a link of the 900 MHz frequencies and
their corresponding Channel Numbers, I woul really appericate it.
Thanks An Happy Holidays. :-)

6413. cyber vandal at work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-06 16:47:52 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <91tdn2hmol1bl66emop20aipd3dd81g3pe@4ax.com>
Source record: 4293
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On 6 Dec 2006 01:23:23 -0800, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com> wrote:

>
>snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com, Better Known As Brian P Burke, N0IMD
>wrote:

cyber vandal at work

steve all yu are doing is enaging in cyber vandalism you don't discuss
you do't try to make a papoint anymore

and if you insit on fraudletly altering the atributioan this is all
you get
currnet count of your cyber vadalism attacktoday is 40 and conuting
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6414. Re: No-Code Technician on Space Shuttle.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-07 00:50:52 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <MzJdh.7337$sf5.1413@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4294
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Roger507@webtv.net wrote in
news:20717-457414CD-840@storefull-3111.bay.webtv.net:

>
> On December 7th, 2006 the following No-Code Technician Ham Radio
> Operators will be on the Space Shuttle Mission STS-116. No-Code
> Technician Sunita Williams KD5PLB, No Code Technician Christer Fuglesang
> KE5CGR and No-Code Technician Nicholas Patrick KD5PKY.
> This flight will be the 20th Space Shuttle Flight for No-Code Technician
> Mission Specialist Nicholas Patrick KD5PKY to the International Space
> Station.
>
>
> Roger
> No-Code Technician- KC8MZW

The was a time astronauts were skilled an knew CW.

SC

6415. Re: No-Code Technician on Space Shuttle.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-07 00:54:55 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <fipen21brh7kopgc5438obujot01r97doo@4ax.com>
Source record: 4295
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 07 Dec 2006 00:50:52 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Roger507@webtv.net wrote in
>news:20717-457414CD-840@storefull-3111.bay.webtv.net:
>
>>
>> On December 7th, 2006 the following No-Code Technician Ham Radio
>> Operators will be on the Space Shuttle Mission STS-116. No-Code
>> Technician Sunita Williams KD5PLB, No Code Technician Christer Fuglesang
>> KE5CGR and No-Code Technician Nicholas Patrick KD5PKY.
>> This flight will be the 20th Space Shuttle Flight for No-Code Technician
>> Mission Specialist Nicholas Patrick KD5PKY to the International Space
>> Station.
>>
>>
>> Roger
>> No-Code Technician- KC8MZW
>
>
>The was a time astronauts were skilled an knew CW.
name one that wasa code test ham?
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6416. Re: 900 MHz Channel List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-07 00:59:33 UTC
From: **THE-RFI-EMI-GUY** <rhyolite@nettally.com>
Message-ID: <457767D0.3030108@nettally.com>
Source record: 4296
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Go to FCC website and look up the CFR47 part 90 rules. You will be
redirected to another server, follow the links. It will take some
looking but they are there.

scannerman6@juno.com wrote:

>Does anybody know what the 900 MHz Channel Numbers are?
>I know that the 800 MHz band is channelized. That is how our Radio
>Scanners know what frequency to go to when truking. I need the list of
>Channels for the 900 MHz band. I am sure that there is one.
>So if somebody could provide a link of the 900 MHz frequencies and
>their corresponding Channel Numbers, I woul really appericate it.
>Thanks An Happy Holidays. :-)
>
>
>

--
Joe Leikhim K4SAT
"The RFI-EMI-GUY"ï¿½

"Treason doth never prosper: what's the reason?
For if it prosper, none dare call it treason."

"Follow The Money" ;-P

6417. WTB: Bill Cheek's Scanner Mod Handbooks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-07 03:25:54 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <6RLdh.5163$hI.4770@newssvr11.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 4297

Looking for Bill Cheek's Scanner Modification Handbooks 1, 2, and 3. The last one is actually "The Ultimate Scanner". Must be in good condition. Let me know what you have!

Frank

6418. Re: No-Code Technician on Space Shuttle.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-07 05:12:58 UTC
From: Usenet <jimawhitaker@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xf6dnQeO6-VHP-rYnZ2dnUVZ_oytnZ2d@insightbb.com>
Source record: 4298
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:MzJdh.7337$sf5.1413@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Roger507@webtv.net wrote in
> news:20717-457414CD-840@storefull-3111.bay.webtv.net:
>
>>
>> On December 7th, 2006 the following No-Code Technician Ham Radio
>> Operators will be on the Space Shuttle Mission STS-116. No-Code
>> Technician Sunita Williams KD5PLB, No Code Technician Christer Fuglesang
>> KE5CGR and No-Code Technician Nicholas Patrick KD5PKY.
>> This flight will be the 20th Space Shuttle Flight for No-Code Technician
>> Mission Specialist Nicholas Patrick KD5PKY to the International Space
>> Station.
>>
>>
>> Roger
>> No-Code Technician- KC8MZW
>
>
> The was a time astronauts were skilled an knew CW.
>
> SC

"And" could type!

6419. Re: Bill Cheek's Scanner Mod Handbooks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-07 05:44:08 UTC
From: Rob Mills <robmills@cox.net>
Message-ID: <JSNdh.31833$G87.25203@newsfe14.lga>
Source record: 4299

"Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:6RLdh.5163$hI.4770@newssvr11.news.prodigy.net...

>>> Looking for Bill Cheek's Scanner Modification Handbooks 1, 2, and 3. <<<

amazon.com *claims* to have two of them. RM~

6420. Re: 900 MHz Channel List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-07 05:48:10 UTC
From: Rob Mills <robmills@cox.net>
Message-ID: <wWNdh.31894$G87.26455@newsfe14.lga>
Source record: 4300
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

<scannerman6@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1165419576.283709.232000@j44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

>> Does anybody know what the 900 MHz Channel Numbers are?<<

http://home.flash.net/~av8tor/radios/cordless2.htm

6421. Questions about scanners.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-07 06:20:27 UTC
From: joemama023 <joemama023@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1165472427.884443.281870@j44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4201

First I have to admit that i am ignorant, having said that, lol....My gf would like a scanner, her dad is on the fire department, and they recently switched over to 800 mhz. So now all I hear are the tones and then assignment of fireground. My question is, what kind of scanner do I need to buy for her to be able to hear the conversations on the 800 Mhz freq. is a conventional scanner with 800 Mhz ok, or do i need trunking? or digital? I have absolutely no idea. please advise =o)

6422. Re: Questions about scanners.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-07 12:39:51 UTC
From: Dave Ferguson <dvferg@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <rYTdh.8418$1s6.1751@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4202

> First I have to admit that i am ignorant, having said that, lol....My
> gf would like a scanner, her dad is on the fire department, and they
> recently switched over to 800 mhz. So now all I hear are the tones and
> then assignment of fireground. My question is, what kind of scanner do
> I need to buy for her to be able to hear the conversations on the 800
> Mhz freq. is a conventional scanner with 800 Mhz ok, or do i need
> trunking? or digital? I have absolutely no idea. please advise =o)
>

You would need to supply the city or county fire dept that your gf's dad works for before someone could give you an answer. Most likely it's a trunk type system.

Dave

6423. i punce in agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-07 18:08:54 UTC
From: an old fiend <Mark@kb9rqz.apr>
Message-ID: <45784bed$0$15473$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 4203
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tast is waht I
do so bringit on you loosers

show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as JEW rendered
phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and SC a
few just decided to not to be as hateful

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty impoving
my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

dont even tink aoubt forgering my fake emale a dress you loosers
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6424. kookathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-07 18:10:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <q7mgn2hsabeduo8osop9kfi0va0ajvrt6t@4ax.com>
Source record: 4204
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 7 Dec 2006 13:08:54 -0500, "an old fiend" <Mark@kb9rqz.apr>
wrote:

>
poor jealous fraud
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6425. Re: Questions about scanners.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-07 18:57:00 UTC
From: joemama023 <joemama023@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1165517819.301219.287670@j72g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4205

Dave Ferguson wrote:
> > First I have to admit that i am ignorant, having said that, lol....My
> > gf would like a scanner, her dad is on the fire department, and they
> > recently switched over to 800 mhz. So now all I hear are the tones and
> > then assignment of fireground. My question is, what kind of scanner do
> > I need to buy for her to be able to hear the conversations on the 800
> > Mhz freq. is a conventional scanner with 800 Mhz ok, or do i need
> > trunking? or digital? I have absolutely no idea. please advise =o)
> >
>
> You would need to supply the city or county fire dept that your gf's dad
> works for before someone could give you an answer. Most likely it's a trunk
> type system.
>
> Dave

he works for the Forest Township Fire Dept, which is located in Genesee
County, Michigan

6426. FEDERAL SIGNAL SIREN'S FOR SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-07 22:00:33 UTC
From: "trade2626@insightbb.com"
Message-ID: <GpqdnSxQIKmFEuXYnZ2dnUVZ_sWdnZ2d@insightbb.com>
Source record: 4206

have some federal signal [touchmaster utm-4] with option
13 added. this is the top of the line for them. these list for
over $869.50 per the factory. these our [used] pulled out for up date. open
for offer. i have 10 unit's at this time.
also have some mx 7002-seep light bar's. all yellow with arrow stick built
in them/they come with arrow stick control. no switch box for light bar. all
our in real good shape. front light's/side light's/back light's in white.
plus many other light's, open for offer on these light bar's also.
they do have the [black gear] on the rotor's.grat for wrecker/roll
back/saftey/ or chang to the color you need.

trade2626@insightbb.com

6427. Re: kookathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-07 22:02:09 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1165528929.546557.179470@n67g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4207
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Thu, 7 Dec 2006 13:08:54 -0500, "an old fiend" <Mark@kb9rqz.apr>
> wrote:
>
> >
> poor jealous fraud

BWHAHAHAH jealous of a registered sex offender like you, Marqueer?
BWHAHAHAHAHA!

6428. Re: kookathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-07 22:55:10 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ht6hn29kf4uu5pe2mh13n4tbheof28778n@4ax.com>
Source record: 4208
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
On 7 Dec 2006 14:02:09 -0800, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
<andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On Thu, 7 Dec 2006 13:08:54 -0500, "an old fiend" <Mark@kb9rqz.apr>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> poor jealous fraud
>
>BWHAHAHAH jealous of a registered sex offender like you, Marqueer?
yes are you are jealuous moist like becuase I am not a registered sex
offender
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

6429. Re: Questions about scanners.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-07 23:19:08 UTC
From: Dave Ferguson <dvferg@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <Mj1eh.8610$1s6.2094@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4209

"joemama023" <joemama023@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1165517819.301219.287670@j72g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dave Ferguson wrote:
> > > First I have to admit that i am ignorant, having said that, lol....My
> > > gf would like a scanner, her dad is on the fire department, and they
> > > recently switched over to 800 mhz. So now all I hear are the tones
and
> > > then assignment of fireground. My question is, what kind of scanner
do
> > > I need to buy for her to be able to hear the conversations on the 800
> > > Mhz freq. is a conventional scanner with 800 Mhz ok, or do i need
> > > trunking? or digital? I have absolutely no idea. please advise =o)
> > >
> >
> > You would need to supply the city or county fire dept that your gf's dad
> > works for before someone could give you an answer. Most likely it's a
trunk
> > type system.
> >
> > Dave
>
> he works for the Forest Township Fire Dept, which is located in Genesee
> County, Michigan

It appears that Fire Dispatch is on 154.145 then are switched to 800 mHz
when enroute and on scene.
Go to this website for actual frequencies:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&ctid=1251
A scanner that can receive 800mhz would be fine if this is all you want to
do. You may want to check around other counties or state agencies to see
whether you need a trunking or digital scanner.

Dave

6430. Re: No-Code Technician on Space Shuttle.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-07 23:25:36 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <Qp1eh.715$qp1.322@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 4210
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:MzJdh.7337$sf5.1413@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Roger507@webtv.net wrote in
> news:20717-457414CD-840@storefull-3111.bay.webtv.net:
>
>>
>> On December 7th, 2006 the following No-Code Technician Ham Radio
>> Operators will be on the Space Shuttle Mission STS-116. No-Code
>> Technician Sunita Williams KD5PLB, No Code Technician Christer Fuglesang
>> KE5CGR and No-Code Technician Nicholas Patrick KD5PKY.
>> This flight will be the 20th Space Shuttle Flight for No-Code Technician
>> Mission Specialist Nicholas Patrick KD5PKY to the International Space
>> Station.
>>
>>
>> Roger
>> No-Code Technician- KC8MZW
>
>
> The was a time astronauts were skilled an knew CW.
>
> SC

Without a doubt you are more skilled than all astronauts.

6431. Re: No-Code Technician on Space Shuttle.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-07 23:28:17 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <nr8hn2t3j990ofo1aia14f4f3kkpm8n57f@4ax.com>
Source record: 4211
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 07 Dec 2006 23:25:36 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>news:MzJdh.7337$sf5.1413@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> Roger507@webtv.net wrote in
>> news:20717-457414CD-840@storefull-3111.bay.webtv.net:
>>
>>>
>>> On December 7th, 2006 the following No-Code Technician Ham Radio
>>> Operators will be on the Space Shuttle Mission STS-116. No-Code
>>> Technician Sunita Williams KD5PLB, No Code Technician Christer Fuglesang
>>> KE5CGR and No-Code Technician Nicholas Patrick KD5PKY.
>>> This flight will be the 20th Space Shuttle Flight for No-Code Technician
>>> Mission Specialist Nicholas Patrick KD5PKY to the International Space
>>> Station.
>>>
>>>
>>> Roger
>>> No-Code Technician- KC8MZW
>>
>>
>> The was a time astronauts were skilled an knew CW.
>>
>> SC
>
>Without a doubt you are more skilled than all astronauts.
poor tom must have smoked a cb and inhaled too many fumes from the
experence
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6432. Re: Questions about scanners.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-07 23:29:23 UTC
From: Dave Ferguson <dvferg@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <nt1eh.8157$ql2.4559@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4212

"Dave Ferguson" <dvferg@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:Mj1eh.8610$1s6.2094@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "joemama023" <joemama023@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1165517819.301219.287670@j72g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dave Ferguson wrote:
> > > > First I have to admit that i am ignorant, having said that,
lol....My
> > > > gf would like a scanner, her dad is on the fire department, and they
> > > > recently switched over to 800 mhz. So now all I hear are the tones
> and
> > > > then assignment of fireground. My question is, what kind of scanner
> do
> > > > I need to buy for her to be able to hear the conversations on the
800
> > > > Mhz freq. is a conventional scanner with 800 Mhz ok, or do i need
> > > > trunking? or digital? I have absolutely no idea. please advise
=o)
> > > >
> > >
> > > You would need to supply the city or county fire dept that your gf's
dad
> > > works for before someone could give you an answer. Most likely it's a
> trunk
> > > type system.
> > >
> > > Dave
> >
> > he works for the Forest Township Fire Dept, which is located in Genesee
> > County, Michigan
>
>
> It appears that Fire Dispatch is on 154.145 then are switched to 800 mHz
> when enroute and on scene.
> Go to this website for actual frequencies:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&ctid=1251
> A scanner that can receive 800mhz would be fine if this is all you want to
> do. You may want to check around other counties or state agencies to see
> whether you need a trunking or digital scanner.
>
> Dave
>
>

Diving into this a little deeper I found this:
All Genesee County police and fire departments, except Flint City, are on the MPSCS. This also includes all Sheriff's Department operations, MSP, F.A.N.G., Parks & Recreation, Bishop International Airport Authority and Animal Control.

This is a Project 25 system which means it's digital. It says they are simulcasting the 154.145 freq for fire dispatch. It's probably a matter of time before the Forest Twp is on this system. You would more than likely need a digtal scanner in the future.

6433. Re: Questions about scanners.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-07 23:47:09 UTC
From: Joaquin Tall <opalockamishabob@'86DASPAMnetscape.net>
Message-ID: <2vSdnaEi9LJqOuXYnZ2dnUVZ_o2vnZ2d@got.net>
Source record: 4213

joemama023 wrote:
> First I have to admit that i am ignorant, having said that, lol....My
> gf would like a scanner, her dad is on the fire department, and they
> recently switched over to 800 mhz. So now all I hear are the tones and
> then assignment of fireground. My question is, what kind of scanner do
> I need to buy for her to be able to hear the conversations on the 800
> Mhz freq. is a conventional scanner with 800 Mhz ok, or do i need
> trunking? or digital? I have absolutely no idea. please advise =o)
>
>
First, you gotta find out if the district/department you gf's dad is in
is transmitting a digital signal or just conventional 800 MHz trunking.

If you go to the following link and do a search, all the freq's as well
as the mode of transmission will be available to you. Then you can
decide which scanner, base or handheld, trunking and/or digital. If it's
a digital signal, be prepared to invest $450 +/- for a scanner. You can
buy them new, or "previously owned".

www.radioreference.com

6434. Re: after the dust settles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-08 00:35:00 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Uq2eh.8175$ql2.3707@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4214
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark in the Dark, wrote in
news:07a6n2t137lt8g7fmj76r2u2taoepjnack@4ax.com:

> how do some of the folks intend to live in post code ttesting world

It would be like living in a nice neighborhood and then the city puts a
landfill next to it. Some people will leave, and some people will stay and
try to get used to the stink.

SC

6435. Re: danm pipes frose agian last nite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-08 00:35:08 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <0r2eh.8180$ql2.5311@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4215
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Markie in the Darkie wrote in
news:trrbn2hbd4326t749osr9hm8ckfh0p3trb@4ax.com:

> On Tue, 5 Dec 2006 17:11:43 -0500, "John Doe" <hgorden@adelphia.net>
> wrote:
>
>>Why don't you take your CRAP someplace else and get it off of the HAM
>>radio groups!
>
> becuase the p[oster either K4YZ or AB8MQ are so afraid someone will
> listen to what I have to say that they feel they must try and jam
> anything I do post

No Markie, You're the one attacking everybody by crapping their posts.

SC

6436. Re: kookathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-08 00:35:13 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <5r2eh.8184$ql2.3367@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4216
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

"A. G. Bell" <hello?@marksakook> wrote in
news:gqbl5.gao.19.1@news.alt.net:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:5Zoch.6175$tM1.3286@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> "cmdr buzz corey" <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote in
>> news:1165097361.321445.122300@79g2000cws.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
>> > Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> >> he did no such he came repated his oterment of ffilth and left he
>> >> did come baclkk for a second round wjhihc is odd
>> >
>> > Good grief!! Can anyone decipher this mess?
>>
>>
>> Buzz,
>>
>> Just ignore the turd Markie dropped on the newsgroup. If you try to
>> figure it out you'll just get a headache.
>>
>> SC
>
> Give Morkie a few minutes. He lives for Usenet and will, within mere
> seconds, drop a Mark Morgan Loaf of fertilizer on all messages.
>
> kookathon on clockwork
>
> Ironic, is it not? A man who spends most of his waking hours and spewing
> hundreds of posts weekly on Usenet declares others to be "kooks"?
>
> There is something very wrong with him.

I know you're right.

SC

6437. Re: No-Code Technician on Space Shuttle.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-08 00:35:14 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <6r2eh.8185$ql2.556@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4217
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Usenet" <jimawhitaker@gmail.com> wrote in
news:Xf6dnQeO6-VHP-rYnZ2dnUVZ_oytnZ2d@insightbb.com:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:MzJdh.7337$sf5.1413@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> Roger507@webtv.net wrote in
>> news:20717-457414CD-840@storefull-3111.bay.webtv.net:
>>
>>>
>>> On December 7th, 2006 the following No-Code Technician Ham Radio
>>> Operators will be on the Space Shuttle Mission STS-116. No-Code
>>> Technician Sunita Williams KD5PLB, No Code Technician Christer
>>> Fuglesang KE5CGR and No-Code Technician Nicholas Patrick KD5PKY.
>>> This flight will be the 20th Space Shuttle Flight for No-Code
>>> Technician Mission Specialist Nicholas Patrick KD5PKY to the
>>> International Space Station.
>>>
>>>
>>> Roger
>>> No-Code Technician- KC8MZW
>>
>>
>> The was a time astronauts were skilled an knew CW.
>>
>> SC
>
> "And" could type!

Eye no ewe r write.

I'm always afraid when no-codes go up to the ISS because they
might start belching and farting on the two meter rig like
they were on CB or something.

SC

6438. Re: No-Code Technician on Space Shuttle.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-08 00:35:15 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <7r2eh.8186$ql2.5140@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4218
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
news:Qp1eh.715$qp1.322@tornado.texas.rr.com:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:MzJdh.7337$sf5.1413@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> Roger507@webtv.net wrote in
>> news:20717-457414CD-840@storefull-3111.bay.webtv.net:
>>
>>>
>>> On December 7th, 2006 the following No-Code Technician Ham Radio
>>> Operators will be on the Space Shuttle Mission STS-116. No-Code
>>> Technician Sunita Williams KD5PLB, No Code Technician Christer
>>> Fuglesang KE5CGR and No-Code Technician Nicholas Patrick KD5PKY.
>>> This flight will be the 20th Space Shuttle Flight for No-Code
>>> Technician Mission Specialist Nicholas Patrick KD5PKY to the
>>> International Space Station.
>>>
>>>
>>> Roger
>>> No-Code Technician- KC8MZW
>>
>>
>> The was a time astronauts were skilled an knew CW.
>>
>> SC
>
> Without a doubt you are more skilled than all astronauts.

Radio-wise, yes.

All they know how to do is fart around in zero-g.

SC

6439. kookathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-08 01:35:55 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <oaghn2ttntp4b4sqhgnoq0dlebqgcdvrmq@4ax.com>
Source record: 4219
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 08 Dec 2006 00:35:08 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Markie in the Darkie wrote in
>news:trrbn2hbd4326t749osr9hm8ckfh0p3trb@4ax.com:
>
>> On Tue, 5 Dec 2006 17:11:43 -0500, "John Doe" <hgorden@adelphia.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>Why don't you take your CRAP someplace else and get it off of the HAM
>>>radio groups!
>>
>> becuase the p[oster either K4YZ or AB8MQ are so afraid someone will
>> listen to what I have to say that they feel they must try and jam
>> anything I do post
>
>
>No Markie, You're the one attacking everybody by crapping their posts.

no steve Iin this I am correcting a forger

that is not an attack that is simple self defense
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6440. Re: kookathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-08 01:36:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <3cghn21el2mu69gplivl3bgj519n30f65a@4ax.com>
Source record: 4220
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 08 Dec 2006 00:35:13 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"A. G. Bell" <hello?@marksakook> wrote in
>news:gqbl5.gao.19.1@news.alt.net:
>
>>

>> There is something very wrong with him.
>
>
>
>I know you're right.
no steve you know you are lying and trying to kill the ars yourself
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6441. Re: after the dust settles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-08 01:44:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <6rghn2llie5ccbf9rbl2ec3uuaikfhnvbc@4ax.com>
Source record: 4221
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 08 Dec 2006 00:35:00 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark in the Dark, wrote in
>news:07a6n2t137lt8g7fmj76r2u2taoepjnack@4ax.com:
>
>> how do some of the folks intend to live in post code ttesting world
>
>
>It would be like living in a nice neighborhood and then the city puts a
>landfill next to it.

nope and you have admitted you want to desory Ham radio
> Some people will leave, and some people will stay and
>try to get used to the stink.
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6442. Re: No-Code Technician on Space Shuttle.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-08 01:44:57 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <6sghn2d0fjn1dmudsk4q5vh8ec6dp7hl07@4ax.com>
Source record: 4223
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 08 Dec 2006 00:35:14 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Usenet" <jimawhitaker@gmail.com> wrote in
>news:Xf6dnQeO6-VHP-rYnZ2dnUVZ_oytnZ2d@insightbb.com:
>
>>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:MzJdh.7337$sf5.1413@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> Roger507@webtv.net wrote in
>>> news:20717-457414CD-840@storefull-3111.bay.webtv.net:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> On December 7th, 2006 the following No-Code Technician Ham Radio
>>>> Operators will be on the Space Shuttle Mission STS-116. No-Code
>>>> Technician Sunita Williams KD5PLB, No Code Technician Christer
>>>> Fuglesang KE5CGR and No-Code Technician Nicholas Patrick KD5PKY.
>>>> This flight will be the 20th Space Shuttle Flight for No-Code
>>>> Technician Mission Specialist Nicholas Patrick KD5PKY to the
>>>> International Space Station.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Roger
>>>> No-Code Technician- KC8MZW
>>>
>>>
>>> The was a time astronauts were skilled an knew CW.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> "And" could type!
>
>
>Eye no ewe r write.
>
>I'm always afraid when no-codes go up to the ISS because they
>might start belching and farting on the two meter rig like
>they were on CB or something.

then you are stupid

but we knew that
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6443. Re: No-Code Technician on Space Shuttle.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-08 01:45:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <7tghn2tfsuvsq3mh4p33c47m0dhgaccg27@4ax.com>
Source record: 4222
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 08 Dec 2006 00:35:15 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>news:Qp1eh.715$qp1.322@tornado.texas.rr.com:
>
>>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:MzJdh.7337$sf5.1413@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> Roger507@webtv.net wrote in
>>> news:20717-457414CD-840@storefull-3111.bay.webtv.net:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> On December 7th, 2006 the following No-Code Technician Ham Radio
>>>> Operators will be on the Space Shuttle Mission STS-116. No-Code
>>>> Technician Sunita Williams KD5PLB, No Code Technician Christer
>>>> Fuglesang KE5CGR and No-Code Technician Nicholas Patrick KD5PKY.
>>>> This flight will be the 20th Space Shuttle Flight for No-Code
>>>> Technician Mission Specialist Nicholas Patrick KD5PKY to the
>>>> International Space Station.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Roger
>>>> No-Code Technician- KC8MZW
>>>
>>>
>>> The was a time astronauts were skilled an knew CW.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> Without a doubt you are more skilled than all astronauts.
>
>Radio-wise, yes.

bullshit
>
>
>All they know how to do is fart around in zero-g.

you are realy the hight of stupid sc
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6444. Re: CONGRATS!! FOR KEEPING AMATEUR RADIO FREE FROM THE FILTHY SODOMITES BRIAN AND MARKY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-08 04:16:55 UTC
From: MarQueerMyDear <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com>
Message-ID: <1165551415.061006.174960@j72g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4224
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,alt.drugs.hard,rec.radio.scanner

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> > > On 27 Nov 2006 18:43:26 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > >Dave Heil wrote:
> > > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > >> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >> >>> Dave Heil wrote:
> > > >> >>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >> >>>>> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> > > >> >>>>>> On 26 Nov 2006 04:12:44 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >> >>>>>>
> > > >> >>>>> I recall K4YZ/KTN4GN inviting people to monitor him and he would send
> > > >> >>>>> an SWL confirmation.
> > > >> >>>>> in other wors more self serveing fluff?
> > > >> >>>>>
> > > >> >>>> Zackley! To hell with FOUO. SWL him for his glory.
> > > >> >>>>
> > > >> >>>> well it shows how we learn of this great letter and you notice he did
> > > >> >>>> not post it here but sent in his sockpuppets to anounce it
> > > >> >>>>
> > > >> >>> What you just said made me curious, so I hit up WWW.QRZ.COM for
> > > >> >>> "Newport" and there are NONE in 4-land. There's one in Washington, two
> > > >> >>> in five-land, and a handful of 'em in Canton, Ohio. His first post was
> > > >> >>> on Oct 10th about "faggortry."
> > > >> >>>
> > > >> >> Wait a minute.... Didn't Robesin used to live in Canton, Ohio? Maybe
> > > >> >> Bob Newport is one of his ex-in-laws or something.
> > > >> >>
> > > >> >> well, he's down to the level of annoyance.
> > > >> >>
> > > >> > He always was.
> > > >> >
> > > >> After you get comfortable in your self-satisfaction over your decree
> > > >> that there are no Newports in 4-land, consider that Kentucky is in
> > > >> 4-land. There is a rather sizable city across the river from
> > > >> Cincinnati, called Newport, Kentucky. It is the county seat of Campbell
> > > >> County. Is your bulb burning any brighter?
> > > >>

> > > >> >>>> [superfluous newsgroups trimmed]
> > > >> >>>>
> > > >> >>>> Dave K8MN
> > > >> >>> Dave, that is a place name, not a family name. There's a Newport in
> > > >> >>> Rhode Island as well, but no light bulb for the geographically challenged
> > > >> >>> Heil.
> > > >> >> If I was geographically challenged, I'd hardly have known the
> > > >> >> information I presented to you.
> > > >> >
> > > >> > So you're telling me that "Bill" is geography?
> > > >> >
> > > >> Is that what you think I've told you?
> > > >> >
> > > >> >>> "Bill Newport" does not appear to exist in 4-Land as the anon poster
> > > >> >>> would like us to think.
> > > >> >> I'll bet there's no "Hot-ham-and-cheese" in your local phone book
> > > >> >> either, Brian.
> > > >> >
> > > >> > I'll bet that, too.
> > > >> >
> > > >> There you go.
> > > >> >
> > > >> >>> The beauty of being an anon poster supporting
> > > >> >>> the atrocities of Robesin is that people tend to mistrust your claims.
> > > >> >> "His claims", Brian; not "your claims". Your name doesn't appear in
> > > >> >> your posts. You're an anonymous poster.
> > > >> >
> > > >> > So why do you call be "Brian?"
> > > >> >
> > > >> My call be K8MN. What you call be?
> > > >> >
> > > >> >>> Until "bill" can show us a correct listing on QRZ, I'll just have to
> > > >> >>> doubt he exists in real life.
> > > >> >> Until "Hot-ham-and-cheese" can show me a correct listing in QRZ, I'll
> > > >> >> believe him to be a fearful fraud.
> > > >> >
> > > >> > It's a free country.
> > > >> >
> > > >> ...but not terribly intelligent.
> > > >> >
> > > >> >>> Thank you for your sincere concern.
> > > >> >
> > > >> >> Thanks for the cheap entertainment.
> > > >> >
> > > >> > Indeed.
> > > >> >
> > > >> > Notice that "Bill" used an underscore (_) between his first and last
> > > >> > name. Also notice that "Bill" then used a period (.) between his full
> > > >> > name and his general location, "4-Land."
> > > >> >

> > > >> How about that!
> > > >>
> > > >> > Thank you for another demonstration of you inability to properly read
> > > >> > and use syntax.
> > > >>
> > > >> Notice that you use hyphens between the parts of your screen name. If
> > > >> you buy such a sandwich at any restaurant, are there any hyphens in the
> > > >> name?
> > > >>
> > > >> Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > >Notice that your communication has become meaningless.
> > >
> > > I am certain all commucation has become meaningless to him and he never
> > > noticed
> >
> > He's an A-1 OP.
>
> is he realy? certainly that not something to be aspried to
>
> one A1 op threatens to muder people over radio topics another states
> plainly he is willing to help other hams break the rules if they are Dx
> HE wants
>
> and both intent on preserving their frat boy intiation rites
>
> pastetic

FAGS OF A FEATHER SWAP SPIT TOGETHER

6445. Re: CONGRATS!! FOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-08 04:57:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <o3shn21ghjtkhsjskqls0hq6qdmrufnlt5@4ax.com>
Source record: 4225
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.drugs.hard,rec.radio.scanner

On 7 Dec 2006 20:16:55 -0800, "MarQueerMyDear"
<dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> > > On 27 Nov 2006 18:43:26 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > >
>> > > >
>> > > >Dave Heil wrote:
>> > > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > >> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> > > >> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > >> >>> Dave Heil wrote:
>> > > >> >>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > >> >>>>> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> > > >> >>>>>> On 26 Nov 2006 04:12:44 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > >> >>
>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>>>> I recall K4YZ/KTN4GN inviting people to monitor him and he would send
>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>>>> an SWL confirmation.
>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>>>> in other wors more self serveing fluff?
>> > > >> >>
>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>>>> Zackley! To hell with FOUO. SWL him for his glory.
>> > > >> >>
>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>>> well it shows how we learn of this great letter and you notice he did
>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>>> not post it here but sent in his sockpuppets to anounce it
>> > > >> >>
>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>> What you just said made me curious, so I hit up WWW.QRZ.COM for
>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>> "Newport" and there are NONE in 4-land. There's one in Washington, two
>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>> in five-land, and a handful of 'em in Canton, Ohio. His first post was
>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>> on Oct 10th about "faggortry."
>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>>
>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>> Wait a minute.... Didn't Robesin used to live in Canton, Ohio? Maybe
>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>> Bob Newport is one of his ex-in-laws or something.
>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>>
>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>> well, he's down to the level of annoyance.
>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>
>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>> He always was.
>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>
>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>>> After you get comfortable in your self-satisfaction over your decree
>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>>> that there are no Newports in 4-land, consider that Kentucky is in
>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>>> 4-land. There is a rather sizable city across the river from

>> > > >> >>>> Cincinnati, called Newport, Kentucky. It is the county seat of Campbell
>> > > >> >>>> County. Is your bulb burning any brighter?
>> > > >> >>>>
>> > > >> >>>> [superfluous newsgroups trimmed]
>> > > >> >>>>
>> > > >> >>>> Dave K8MN
>> > > >> >>> Dave, that is a place name, not a family name. There's a Newport in
>> > > >> >>> Rhode Island as well, but no light bulb for the geographically challenged
>> > > >> >>> Heil.
>> > > >> >> If I was geographically challenged, I'd hardly have known the
>> > > >> >> information I presented to you.
>> > > >> >
>> > > >> > So you're telling me that "Bill" is geography?
>> > > >>
>> > > >> Is that what you think I've told you?
>> > > >>
>> > > >> >>> "Bill Newport" does not appear to exist in 4-Land as the anon poster
>> > > >> >>> would like us to think.
>> > > >> >> I'll bet there's no "Hot-ham-and-cheese" in your local phone book
>> > > >> >> either, Brian.
>> > > >> >
>> > > >> > I'll bet that, too.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> There you go.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> >>> The beauty of being an anon poster supporting
>> > > >> >>> the atrocities of Robesin is that people tend to mistrust your claims.
>> > > >> >> "His claims", Brian; not "your claims". Your name doesn't appear in
>> > > >> >> your posts. You're an anonymous poster.
>> > > >> >
>> > > >> > So why do you call be "Brian?"
>> > > >>
>> > > >> My call be K8MN. What you call be?
>> > > >>
>> > > >> >>> Until "bill" can show us a correct listing on QRZ, I'll just have to
>> > > >> >>> doubt he exists in real life.
>> > > >> >> Until "Hot-ham-and-cheese" can show me a correct listing in QRZ, I'll
>> > > >> >> believe him to be a fearful fraud.
>> > > >> >
>> > > >> > It's a free country.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> ...but not terribly intelligent.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> >>> Thank you for your sincere concern.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> >> Thanks for the cheap entertainment.
>> > > >> >
>> > > >> > Indeed.
>> > > >> >
>> > > >> > Notice that "Bill" used an underscore (_) between his first and last

>> > > >> > name. Also notice that "Bill" then used a period (.) between his full
>> > > >> > name and his general location, "4-Land."
>> > > >>
>> > > >> How about that!
>> > > >>
>> > > >> > Thank you for another demonstration of you inability to properly read
>> > > >> > and use syntax.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> Notice that you use hyphens between the parts of your screen name. If
>> > > >> you buy such a sandwich at any restaurant, are there any hyphens in the
>> > > >> name?
>> > > >>
>> > > >> Dave K8MN
>> > > > >
>> > > >Notice that your communication has become meaningless.
>> > >
>> > > I am certain all commucation has become meaningless to him and he never
>> > > noticed
>> >
>> > He's an A-1 OP.
>>
>> is he realy? certainly that not something to be aspried to
>>
>> one A1 op threatens to muder people over radio topics another states
>> plainly he is willing to help other hams break the rules if they are Dx
>> HE wants
>>
>> and both intent on preserving their frat boy intiation rites
>>
>> pastetic
>
>FAGS OF A FEATHER SWAP SPIT TOGETHER

I have reason to supect the Biran is home sexual or bisexaul woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6446. Re: Questions about scanners.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-08 17:24:05 UTC
From: joemama023 <joemama023@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1165598645.650879.8340@n67g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4226

Joaquin Tall wrote:
> joemama023 wrote:
> > First I have to admit that i am ignorant, having said that, lol....My
> > gf would like a scanner, her dad is on the fire department, and they
> > recently switched over to 800 mhz. So now all I hear are the tones and
> > then assignment of fireground. My question is, what kind of scanner do
> > I need to buy for her to be able to hear the conversations on the 800
> > Mhz freq. is a conventional scanner with 800 Mhz ok, or do i need
> > trunking? or digital? I have absolutely no idea. please advise =o)
> >
> >
> First, you gotta find out if the district/department you gf's dad is in
> is transmitting a digital signal or just conventional 800 MHz trunking.
>
> If you go to the following link and do a search, all the freq's as well
> as the mode of transmission will be available to you. Then you can
> decide which scanner, base or handheld, trunking and/or digital. If it's
> a digital signal, be prepared to invest $450 +/- for a scanner. You can
> buy them new, or "previously owned".
>
> www.radioreference.com

So, I went and looked at the link, and it does say that all of genesee county is on a "project 25 standard" this means I would need a digital scanner? or just a trunking? As dave said, dispatch is simulcast on 154.145 that is because they are still using the 'old' fire pagers. I am sorry for all the questions, but like I said I am ignorant when it comes to radio freqs and such. I pasted the frequency information below, I just want to be sure before I make the investment that I get what I need. Even though forest twp is not on the list I am sure that they are using the same countywide system since they assign 'fireground'. Thanks again for all of your help.

DEC HEX Mode Display Description
2402 962 D 25F911 Central 9-1-1 Fire - Dispatch (Simulcasted on 154.145 MHz)
2403 963 D 25FG2 Countywide Fireground 2
2404 964 D 25FG3 Countywide Fireground 3
2405 965 D 25FG4 Countywide Fireground 4
2406 966 D 25FG5 Countywide Fireground 5
2407 967 D 25FG6 Countywide Fireground 6
2408 968 D 25FG7 Countywide Fireground 7
2409 969 D 25FG8 Countywide Fireground 8
2410 96a D 25FG9 Countywide Fireground 9
2411 96b D 25FG10 Countywide Fireground 10

2426 97a D 25COD1 Coordinators 1
2427 97b D 25COD2 Coordinators 2
2466 9a2 D 25F21 Atlas Twp. Fire - Proprietary
2467 9a3 D 25F22 Beecher Metropolitan District Fire -
Proprietary
2468 9a4 D 25F23 Burton City Fire - Proprietary
2469 9a5 D 25F24 Clio Area Fire Authority - Proprietary
2470 9a6 D 25F25 Davison Area Fire Authority - Proprietary
2471 9a7 D 25F26 Flushing City Fire - Proprietary
2472 9a8 D 25F27 Flint Twp. Fire - Proprietary
2473 9a9 D 25F29 Genesee Twp. Fire - Station 1: Proprietary
2474 9aa D 25F31 Genesee Twp. Fire - Station 2: Proprietary
2475 9ab D 25F32 Grand Blanc Fire - Proprietary
2476 9ac D 25F34 Linden City Fire - Proprietary
2477 9ad D 25F35 Montrose Twp. Fire - Proprietary
2480 9b0 D 25F38 Mundy Twp. Fire - Proprietary
2482 9b2 D 25F41 Swartz Creek Area Fire - Proprietary
2486 9b6 D 25F47 Argentine Twp. Fire - Proprietary
2487 9b7 D 25F48 Fenton Twp. Fire - Proprietary
2488 9b8 D 25F49 Gaines Township Fire Department - Propietary

6447. Re: Questions about scanners.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-08 18:45:08 UTC
From: Dave Ferguson <dvferg@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <Uoieh.8076$sf5.7280@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4227

>
> So, I went and looked at the link, and it does say that all of genesee
> county is on a "project 25 standard" this means I would need a digital
> scanner? or just a trunking? As dave said, dispatch is simulcast on
> 154.145 that is because they are still using the 'old' fire pagers. I
> am sorry for all the questions, but like I said I am ignorant when it
> comes to radio freqs and such. I pasted the frequency information
> below, I just want to be sure before I make the investment that I get
> what I need. Even though forest twp is not on the list I am sure that
> they are using the same countywide system since they assign
> 'fireground'. Thanks again for all of your help.

If it were me I would get a digital scanner from either Radioshack or
Uniden. If you get an analog one it would probably be obsolete within a
year or two. The "D" under mode indicates digital.
Dave

6448. Re: No-Code Technician on Space Shuttle.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-09 01:24:52 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Efoeh.8464$ql2.6692@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4229
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
news:1165456261.870896.94380@16g2000cwy.googlegroups.com:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> Roger507@webtv.net wrote in
>> news:20717-457414CD-840@storefull-3111.bay.webtv.net:
>
>> > On December 7th, 2006 the following No-Code Technician Ham Radio
>> > Operators will be on the Space Shuttle Mission STS-116. No-Code
>> > Technician Sunita Williams KD5PLB, No Code Technician Christer
>> > Fuglesang KE5CGR and No-Code Technician Nicholas Patrick KD5PKY.
>> > This flight will be the 20th Space Shuttle Flight for No-Code
>> > Technician Mission Specialist Nicholas Patrick KD5PKY to the
>> > International Space Station.
>
>> > Roger
>> > No-Code Technician- KC8MZW
>
>> There was a time astronauts were skilled and knew CW.
>
> ??
>
> Did you mean to write:
>
> 'There was a time when astronauts were skilled and knew Morse Code.' ?
>
> If so, let's add some other fun facts:
>
> None of the Mercury, Gemini or Apollo astronauts was a ham. If they
> knew Morse Code, it was because of their pre-NASA military training as
> pilots.
>
> We've had noncodetested Technicians as astroanuts since 1991 - almost
> 16 years ago. What's the problem? Are you just now beginning to figure
> this out?
>
> None of the spacecraft communications systems use Morse Code or HF.
> They're all above 30 MHz.
>
> As for being skilled - let's just say that all the astronauts have
> quite an impressive skill set.
>
> Could you do what they do? I think not.

NASA wanted me but I had to turn them down. To much work to do down here on earth trying to educate radio amateurs on how to be better radio amateurs.

SC

6449. Re: Order Spy Killer NUKE POISON Polonium-210 Online for just $69.00!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-09 01:24:53 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Ffoeh.8465$ql2.6923@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4230
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Rayburn" <rayburnsweet@alltel.net> wrote in
news:cd354$457382be$471ec9bb$25515@ALLTEL.NET:

> Wrong as usual shithead.....You didnt ingest polonium 210.....Bone scans
> are done with Barium and bismuth or technetium-99m-MDP .
>
> No bullshit about it retard.....I worked in the nuclear field for over
> 30 years...Not in the medical field but in the weapons field. You can
> always prove me wrong if it means that much to you....order a single
> bottle and give it a try HAR HAR!

Do you glow in the Dark?

SC

6450. Re: 900 MHz Channel List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-09 04:19:33 UTC
From: "Neil J"
Message-ID: <457a395c$0$5746$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 4228
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

These are the american frequencies = useless.

What about ozy?

"Rob Mills" <robmills@cox.net> wrote in message
news:wWNdh.31894$G87.26455@newsfe14.lga...
>
> <scannerman6@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1165419576.283709.232000@j44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> >> Does anybody know what the 900 MHz Channel Numbers are?<<
>
> http://home.flash.net/~av8tor/radios/cordless2.htm
>
>

6451. Re: No-Code Technician on Space Shuttle.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-09 14:20:44 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <0Dzeh.3274$qp1.312@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 4231
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:Efoeh.8464$ql2.6692@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> news:1165456261.870896.94380@16g2000cwy.googlegroups.com:
>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> Roger507@webtv.net wrote in
>>> news:20717-457414CD-840@storefull-3111.bay.webtv.net:
>>
>>> > On December 7th, 2006 the following No-Code Technician Ham Radio
>>> > Operators will be on the Space Shuttle Mission STS-116. No-Code
>>> > Technician Sunita Williams KD5PLB, No Code Technician Christer
>>> > Fuglesang KE5CGR and No-Code Technician Nicholas Patrick KD5PKY.
>>> > This flight will be the 20th Space Shuttle Flight for No-Code
>>> > Technician Mission Specialist Nicholas Patrick KD5PKY to the
>>> > International Space Station.
>>
>>> > Roger
>>> > No-Code Technician- KC8MZW
>>
>>> There was a time astronauts were skilled and knew CW.
>>
>> ??
>>
>> Did you mean to write:
>>
>> 'There was a time when astronauts were skilled and knew Morse Code.' ?
>>
>> If so, let's add some other fun facts:
>>
>> None of the Mercury, Gemini or Apollo astronauts was a ham. If they
>> knew Morse Code, it was because of their pre-NASA military training as
>> pilots.
>>
>> We've had noncodetested Technicians as astroanuts since 1991 - almost
>> 16 years ago. What's the problem? Are you just now beginning to figure
>> this out?
>>
>> None of the spacecraft communications systems use Morse Code or HF.
>> They're all above 30 MHz.
>>
>> As for being skilled - let's just say that all the astronauts have
>> quite an impressive skill set.

>>
>> Could you do what they do? I think not.
>
>
> NASA wanted me but I had to turn them down. To much work to do down
> here on earth trying to educate radio amateurs on how to be better
> radio amateurs.
>
> SC

Yeah, rumor is they needed someone to strike the match under the Saturn V.

6452. Re: No-Code Technician on Space Shuttle.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-09 16:23:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <5ooln2tlj845icqci47jsl1medur92ha43@4ax.com>
Source record: 4232
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 09 Dec 2006 01:24:52 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>news:1165456261.870896.94380@16g2000cwy.googlegroups.com:
>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> Roger507@webtv.net wrote in
>>> news:20717-457414CD-840@storefull-3111.bay.webtv.net:
>>
>>> > On December 7th, 2006 the following No-Code Technician Ham Radio
>>> > Operators will be on the Space Shuttle Mission STS-116. No-Code
>>> > Technician Sunita Williams KD5PLB, No Code Technician Christer
>>> > Fuglesang KE5CGR and No-Code Technician Nicholas Patrick KD5PKY.
>>> > This flight will be the 20th Space Shuttle Flight for No-Code
>>> > Technician Mission Specialist Nicholas Patrick KD5PKY to the
>>> > International Space Station.
>>
>>> > Roger
>>> > No-Code Technician- KC8MZW
>>
>>> There was a time astronauts were skilled and knew CW.
>>
>> ??
>>
>> Did you mean to write:
>>
>> 'There was a time when astronauts were skilled and knew Morse Code.' ?
>>
>> If so, let's add some other fun facts:
>>
>> None of the Mercury, Gemini or Apollo astronauts was a ham. If they
>> knew Morse Code, it was because of their pre-NASA military training as
>> pilots.
>>
>> We've had noncodetested Technicians as astroanuts since 1991 - almost
>> 16 years ago. What's the problem? Are you just now beginning to figure
>> this out?
>>
>> None of the spacecraft communications systems use Morse Code or HF.
>> They're all above 30 MHz.
>>
>> As for being skilled - let's just say that all the astronauts have
>> quite an impressive skill set.

>>
>> Could you do what they do? I think not.
>
>
>NASA wanted me but I had to turn them down. To much work to do down
>here on earth trying to educate radio amateurs on how to be better
>radio amateurs.

BULLSHIT SC
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6453. Re: KTN4GN inviting people to monitor FOUO, was: Re: K4YZ have told CAP you intend to violate FOUO frequncy info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-09 16:43:02 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1165682582.786564.311050@n67g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4233
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 3 Dec 2006 09:37:35 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >Slow Code wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> >> news:1165086130.017402.217720@16g2000cwy.googlegroups.com:
> >> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> >> >> news:1164938185.794275.103550@j44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> >> >> > kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> >> > hot-ham@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > > So what was it all about???
> >> >> >> > >
> >> >> >> > > Monitoring CAP FOUO communications.
> >> >> >> > >
> >> >> >> > > I recall K4YZ/KTN4GN inviting people to monitor him and he would
> >> >> >> > > send an SWL confirmation.
> >> >> >> > >
> >> >> >> > > Hi! So much for FOUO.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > Uh huh.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > And nowhere in my QRZ bio did I mention operating
> >> >> >> > frequencies, net
> >> >> >> > times, operational objectives, etc.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> so?
> >> >> >
> >> >> > WWW.QRZ.COM search "K4YZ"
> >> >> >
> >> >> > FOUO maintained...Brain made a fool of...Again...
> >> >>
> >> >> maybe maybe not
> >> >>
> >> >> have you told CAP you intend to confirm FOUO freqs and time
> >> >
> >> > This is where his innocense falls apart. Somehow he's going to
> >> > confirm an SWL card with "I can neither confirm nor deny..."
> >> > Riiiiight.
> >> >
> >> > PopComm needs to beware publishing this idiot's letters.
```

> >> >>
> >> >> You really are a bitter ham.
> >> >
> >> > At least I'm a ham. That can't be said of you.
> >> >
> >> >> Lack of CW in the radio diet will do that to
> >> >> you.
> >> >
> >> > Nope, being accused of molesting Boy Scouts did it for me.
> >> >
> >> > Everything that K4YZ/KTN4GN says is suspect and needs to be
> >> > scrutinized.
> >> >
> >> > For example, his Civil Air Patrol FOUO letter that was published in the
> >> > Nov 2006 issue of PopComm completely contradicts his QRZ.COM/K4YZ offer
> >> > to confirm his CAP communications.
> >> >
> >> > That is what this thread is about... if you'd care to stay on topic.
> >> >
> >> >> Just look at all the hate on eleven meters.
> >> >
> >> > I wouldn't know, but there's no need to look there. The hate is
> >> > already on RRAP, and K4YZ fosters most of it. When this group becomes
> >> > moderated, I expect K4YZ to be banned. He'll have to hang out on the
> >> > Nurse or CAP yahoo groups of his own creation and moderation.
> >> >
> >> >> Those people are even
> >> >> gunning each other down in shopping mall parking lots.
> >> >>
> >> >> SC
> >> >
> >> > What a coincidence. K4YZ has offered to "meet" several hams at the
> >> > Dayton Hamfest, and there's a lot of hamfest parking at the shopping
> >> > mall.
> >>
> >> The only hate I see, is the hate most everyone here has for the CW test.
> >
> >I learned it. I passed it. I see it as irrelevant government hurdle
> >to be passed. It serves no regulatory purpose in the ARS. No other
> >mode has a stand alone exam that denies access to HF.
>
> congrats on managing wqish I had had any luck with it

It was a struggle. Couldn't get past about 10wpm. My modem needed a
ROM upgrade but that wasn't in the cards.

> >> But that's about it. How'd ya like the boy scouts?
> >>
> >> SC
> >
> >Fantastic organization. Lots of activities. I'd recommend

> >boy/girl/venture scouting to any youth. Keeps them busy and they stay
> >out of trouble and off of drugs.
>
> I have Issues with the BSA as a organization BB.

I understand. I don't have have a problem with that aspect of the organization, and since it involved my children, I'd prefer to keep it the way it is. Adults can do what they want.

> given the way my
> private has been invaded and praded by Steve and his freind I suspect
> I need not explain why we disagree about the BSA

But is has nothing to do with the BSA. Robesin is not in the BSA and I wouldn't want him around my children or anyone else's. But he probably has lots of contact with Cadets; hopefully they're using at least the rule of two.

6454. Do no-code hams wipe their butts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-10 00:52:57 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <JTIeh.8316$sf5.5767@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

If they're to lazy to learn CW for good radio skills, they might be too lazy to use toilet paper for good hygiene.

SC

6455. Re: More Heroes in ham or cb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-10 00:53:05 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <RTIeh.8323$sf5.4098@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4236
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"vcw" <zzz@vcwzzzbig.com> wrote in news:hbv5g.6at.17.1@news.alt.net:

> x-no-archive: yes
> do tell, turn on the ham radio and hear yet another
> fat ham relating his airborne commando or
> navy seal stories of how his heroic actions saved the
> day... combat vets my ass! unemployed losers
> is closer to the truth.
>
> who has more *radio heroes* hams or cb?

There are more hero's on ham radio, but after CW is eliminated, old hero's won't die, they'll just fade away... just fade away.

SC

6456. Re: Morkie, I will conceed...SNIP...Another KB9RQZ Hijacked Thread

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-10 00:53:07 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <TTIeh.8324$sf5.2007@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4235
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1165684039.165121.309700@j72g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> Dork@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 9 Dec 2006 08:42:41 -0800, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> .>snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com, better known as Brian P Burke,
>> N0IMD:
>> .>> Dork@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> >> > On 30 Nov 2006 17:56:25 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
>> >> > wrote:
>> .>> > >kb9rqz-1-lazy-fool@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> bunsh of you cowardly cyber vandalism
>
> What's a "bunsh", Morkie?
>
>> > Ahhhh, yes...More of the deceit and despair from the little mind
>> > of
>> >an even litttler "man".
>>
>> stve my neuered cat is more a man than you
>
> What's a "neuered" cat. Morkie?
>
>> > Borng Saturday, Brain? No Cub Scouts to impress today?
>>
>> Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
>> know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>> genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.
>> make a realy impresive statement
>
> What's impressive is that you keep repeating it yet it makes a fool
> out of you, Morkie.
>
> Seek help.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I just wish KB9RQZ would stop pushing his gay agenda in the radio groups.
Having to listen to him butcher the english language is bad enough.

SC

6457. Re: No-Code Technician on Space Shuttle.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-10 00:53:09 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <VTIeh.8325$sf5.4252@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4237
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
news:0Dzeh.3274$qp1.312@tornado.texas.rr.com:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:Efoeh.8464$ql2.6692@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>> news:1165456261.870896.94380@16g2000cwy.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>> Roger507@webtv.net wrote in
>>>> news:20717-457414CD-840@storefull-3111.bay.webtv.net:
>>>
>>>> > On December 7th, 2006 the following No-Code Technician Ham Radio
>>>> > Operators will be on the Space Shuttle Mission STS-116. No-Code
>>>> > Technician Sunita Williams KD5PLB, No Code Technician Christer
>>>> > Fuglesang KE5CGR and No-Code Technician Nicholas Patrick KD5PKY.
>>>> > This flight will be the 20th Space Shuttle Flight for No-Code
>>>> > Technician Mission Specialist Nicholas Patrick KD5PKY to the
>>>> > International Space Station.
>>>
>>>> > Roger
>>>> > No-Code Technician- KC8MZW
>>>
>>>> There was a time astronauts were skilled and knew CW.
>>>
>>> ??
>>>
>>> Did you mean to write:
>>>
>>> 'There was a time when astronauts were skilled and knew Morse Code.'
>>> ?
>>>
>>> If so, let's add some other fun facts:
>>>
>>> None of the Mercury, Gemini or Apollo astronauts was a ham. If they
>>> knew Morse Code, it was because of their pre-NASA military training as
>>> pilots.
>>>
>>> We've had noncodetested Technicians as astroanuts since 1991 - almost
>>> 16 years ago. What's the problem? Are you just now beginning to figure
>>> this out?
>>>

>>> None of the spacecraft communications systems use Morse Code or HF.
>>> They're all above 30 MHz.
>>>
>>> As for being skilled - let's just say that all the astronauts have
>>> quite an impressive skill set.
>>>
>>> Could you do what they do? I think not.
>>
>>
>> NASA wanted me but I had to turn them down. To much work to do down
>> here on earth trying to educate radio amateurs on how to be better
>> radio amateurs.
>>
>> SC
>
> Yeah, rumor is they needed someone to strike the match under the Saturn
> V.

You're so full of gas you could replace the shuttles three main engines
and external tank.

SC

6458. The fastest way to destroy ham radio is to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-10 00:53:12 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <YTIeh.8328$sf5.1455@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4238
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

When code is gone your going to see an in rush of lazy people that don't want to be good or skilled at anything, and their frustration over their laziness will be taken out on other hams on the bands. This will turn ham radio into CB.

SC

6459. Re: Questions about scanners.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-10 00:55:18 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <12nmmk13lo98d46@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 4239

Dear Mr. Ferguson:

Almost all of Genesee County public-safety has made, or will make, a transition to the State 800 MHz digital system (with the major exception of the City of Flint, which still uses their VHF system). Uniden makes a handheld and a "base" radio for the purpose. I have been using the handheld for a year with good results listening to the Shiawassee system, which re-sends most of Genesee transmissions, as I am on the west side of the county.

Expect to pay close to $500 for a radio that will allow monitoring the state system.

Regards, Mac

> Dave Ferguson wrote:

>
> he works for the Forest Township Fire Dept, which is located in Genesee
> County, Michigan
>

6460. Re: Do no-code hams wipe their butts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-10 01:37:21 UTC
From: brian.burp@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1165714641.631011.206600@l12g2000cwl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4240
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> If they're to lazy to learn CW for good radio skills, they might be too
> lazy to use toilet paper for good hygiene.

unknown sir,unknown.
mork does seem to enjoy the taste of shit smeared on a penis.
hope that helped,
73,
brian

>
> SC

6461. LF: Canadian frequency guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-10 01:48:00 UTC
From: Private_Sale <ottprivatesale@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <elfp0hfrb1@aioe.org>
Source record: 4241

Is there an online scanning frequency guide for Canada? I remember a nice book they used to publish a few years ago but it has been discontinued.

Thanks!

6462. Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-10 04:08:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <3v1nn2dl6s7j0r9aodaudf3hk6vqpn8f34@4ax.com>
Source record: 4242
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 10 Dec 2006 00:53:07 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:1165684039.165121.309700@j72g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Dork@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>> On 9 Dec 2006 08:42:41 -0800, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>> .>snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com, better known as Brian P Burke,
>>> N0IMD:
>>> .>> Dork@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>> >> > On 30 Nov 2006 17:56:25 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
>>> >> > wrote:
>>> .>> > >kb9rqz-1-lazy-fool@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>> bunsh of you cowardly cyber vandalism
>>
>> What's a "bunsh", Morkie?
>>
>>> > Ahhhh, yes...More of the deceit and despair from the little mind
>>> > of
>>> >an even litttler "man".
>>>
>>> stve my neuered cat is more a man than you
>>
>> What's a "neuered" cat. Morkie?
>>
>>> > Borng Saturday, Brain? No Cub Scouts to impress today?
>>>
>>> Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
>>> know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>>> genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.
>>> make a realy impresive statement
>>
>> What's impressive is that you keep repeating it yet it makes a fool
>> out of you, Morkie.
>>
>> Seek help.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>

>
>I just wish KB9RQZ would stop pushing his gay agenda in the radio groups.
>Having to listen to him butcher the english language is bad enough.

I do not push any gay agenda here I merely defensd myself from libel

I wish you and steve would stop pushing you anti BLT agenda here it
never belonged here but you guys insisted on making part of RRAP et al
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6463. kookathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-10 04:15:30 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <3e2nn2dmsdp7lekbou703pvu0g7n6t84df@4ax.com>
Source record: 4243
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 10 Dec 2006 00:52:57 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>If they're to lazy to learn CW for good radio skills, they might be too
>lazy to use toilet paper for good hygiene.

do you have to try and convicne us you are robeson with your fetish
for shit sc?
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6464. kookathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-10 04:17:43 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ah2nn2de41np7r5lq31a49187sibuur09d@4ax.com>
Source record: 4244
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 10 Dec 2006 00:53:12 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>When code is gone your going to see an in rush of lazy people that don't
>want to be good or skilled at anything,
no sc you will see no such such I uonly wish we would see such a rush

what I hope to stablize our number and start undoing the damagge you
and yours have done the ARS
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6465. Re: No-Code Technician on Space Shuttle.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-10 04:32:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1165725153.129822.211320@f1g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4245
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
> news:0Dzeh.3274$qp1.312@tornado.texas.rr.com:
>

>
> You're so full of gas you could replace the shuttles three main engines
> and external tank.

you procoder are realy obessed with Bowels and their contents I realy
think you should seek help
>
> SC

6466. Re: kookathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-10 10:52:58 UTC
From: Roger <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <hdefa.mu5.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4246
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:ah2nn2de41np7r5lq31a49187sibuur09d@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 10 Dec 2006 00:53:12 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >
> >When code is gone your going to see an in rush of lazy people that don't
> >want to be good or skilled at anything,
> no sc you will see no such such I uonly wish we would see such a rush
>
> what I hope to stablize our number and start undoing the damagge you
> and yours have done the ARS

Begin, Mark, by undoing the damage you have already caused to No-Code
Technicians.

There is hardly an "our number" in the equation. You are the lone crybaby in
this group, Mark.

Your incessant hand-wringing and petulant whining about the CW requirement
is getting old.

Go cry somewhere else. Your complaints are falling on deaf ears.

6467. Re: More Heroes in ham or cb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-10 15:13:28 UTC
From: tools <tools@attwb.net>
Message-ID: <qyVeh.5$7A6.48176@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 4247
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:RTIeh.8323$sf5.4098@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "vcw" <zzz@vcwzzzbig.com> wrote in news:hbv5g.6at.17.1@news.alt.net:
>
>> x-no-archive: yes
>> do tell, turn on the ham radio and hear yet another
>> fat ham relating his airborne commando or
>> navy seal stories of how his heroic actions saved the
>> day... combat vets my ass! unemployed losers
>> is closer to the truth.
>>
>> who has more *radio heroes* hams or cb?
>
>
>
> There are more hero's on ham radio, but after CW is eliminated, old hero's
> won't die, they'll just fade away... just fade away.
>
> SC
> Please provide proof that ham has more. As for fading, can I offer you
> some bleach to help with that?

6468. Re: Do no-code hams wipe their butts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-10 17:02:29 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <F4Xeh.4564$qp1.2522@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 4248
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:JTIeh.8316$sf5.5767@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> If they're to lazy to learn CW for good radio skills, they might be too
> lazy to use toilet paper for good hygiene.
>
> SC

Well SC, just open the stall next time you're on duty at your job of
restroom attendant at the hamfest. You'll have your answer. And I ain't
tippin you for that damn "Brut" cologne you hawk!

6469. Re: No-Code Technician on Space Shuttle.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-10 17:08:11 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <%9Xeh.4576$qp1.119@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 4249
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:VTIeh.8325$sf5.4252@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
> news:0Dzeh.3274$qp1.312@tornado.texas.rr.com:
>
>>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:Efoeh.8464$ql2.6692@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>>> news:1165456261.870896.94380@16g2000cwy.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>> Roger507@webtv.net wrote in
>>>>> news:20717-457414CD-840@storefull-3111.bay.webtv.net:
>>>>
>>>>> > On December 7th, 2006 the following No-Code Technician Ham Radio
>>>>> > Operators will be on the Space Shuttle Mission STS-116. No-Code
>>>>> > Technician Sunita Williams KD5PLB, No Code Technician Christer
>>>>> > Fuglesang KE5CGR and No-Code Technician Nicholas Patrick KD5PKY.
>>>>> > This flight will be the 20th Space Shuttle Flight for No-Code
>>>>> > Technician Mission Specialist Nicholas Patrick KD5PKY to the
>>>>> > International Space Station.
>>>>
>>>>> > Roger
>>>>> > No-Code Technician- KC8MZW
>>>>
>>>>> There was a time astronauts were skilled and knew CW.
>>>>
>>>> ??
>>>>
>>>> Did you mean to write:
>>>>
>>>> 'There was a time when astronauts were skilled and knew Morse Code.'
>>>> ?
>>>>
>>>> If so, let's add some other fun facts:
>>>>
>>>> None of the Mercury, Gemini or Apollo astronauts was a ham. If they
>>>> knew Morse Code, it was because of their pre-NASA military training as
>>>> pilots.
>>>>
>>>> We've had noncodetested Technicians as astroanuts since 1991 - almost
>>>> 16 years ago. What's the problem? Are you just now beginning to figure

>>>> this out?
>>>>
>>>> None of the spacecraft communications systems use Morse Code or HF.
>>>> They're all above 30 MHz.
>>>>
>>>> As for being skilled - let's just say that all the astronauts have
>>>> quite an impressive skill set.
>>>>
>>>> Could you do what they do? I think not.
>>>
>>>
>>> NASA wanted me but I had to turn them down. To much work to do down
>>> here on earth trying to educate radio amateurs on how to be better
>>> radio amateurs.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> Yeah, rumor is they needed someone to strike the match under the Saturn
>> V.
>
>
> You're so full of gas you could replace the shuttles three main engines
> and external tank.
>
> SC

But SC, you're much more like the solid rocket boosters....once they start
they don't stop, much like your mouth.

6470. Re: Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-10 17:13:59 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <rfXeh.4602$qp1.1536@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 4250
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:3v1nn2dl6s7j0r9aodaudf3hk6vqpn8f34@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 10 Dec 2006 00:53:07 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>"K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>news:1165684039.165121.309700@j72g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>>
>>> Dork@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>>> On 9 Dec 2006 08:42:41 -0800, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>> .>snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com, better known as Brian P Burke,
>>>> N0IMD:
>>>> .>> Dork@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>>> >> > On 30 Nov 2006 17:56:25 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
>>>> >> > wrote:
>>>> .>> > >kb9rqz-1-lazy-fool@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>> bunsh of you cowardly cyber vandalism
>>>
>>> What's a "bunsh", Morkie?
>>>
>>>> > Ahhhh, yes...More of the deceit and despair from the little mind
>>>> > of
>>>> >an even litttler "man".
>>>>
>>>> stve my neuered cat is more a man than you
>>>
>>> What's a "neuered" cat. Morkie?
>>>
>>>> > Borng Saturday, Brain? No Cub Scouts to impress today?
>>>>
>>>> Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
>>>> know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>>>> genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.
>>>> make a realy impresive statement
>>>
>>> What's impressive is that you keep repeating it yet it makes a fool
>>> out of you, Morkie.
>>>
>>> Seek help.
>>>

>>> Steve, K4YZ
>>
>>
>>I just wish KB9RQZ would stop pushing his gay agenda in the radio groups.
>>Having to listen to him butcher the english language is bad enough.
>
> I do not push any gay agenda here I merely defensd myself from libel
>
> I wish you and steve would stop pushing you anti BLT agenda here it

WTF is wrong with bacon, lettuce, and tomato sandwiches?

6471. NASA WB-57F & T-38s today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-10 17:17:09 UTC
From: "AllanStern@aol.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1165771029.310708.261700@16g2000cwy.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.military

NASA WB-57F and T-38s today
```````````````````````````

It is Sun, 11:54am EST (1654z).
A few minutes ago, NASA 918 (T-38N #66-8384) and NASA 926 (WB-57F
#63-13503) departed Patrick AFB.
NASA 918 is heading to KARA; and NASA 926 is heading to Ellington
Field. KARA us Arcadiana RAP in New Iberia LA, and it is usually a
waypoint for NASA T-38s heading to Ellington.
NASA 926 worked the Space Shuttle STS-116 launch last night, using
the callsign Wave 01. He performed photography from 65,000 feet up.
Right now, he is going from FL470 to FL510.
The handoff sequence has been:
133.75
132.65
135.70
126.95
125.075
126.525
From there, they will most likely go to the sequence:
128.225
133.900
124.775
NASA 901 is also up on 126.525.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/BrevardRadio
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/CivilAirComms
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MilRadioComms
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/HFmonitors
```````````````````````````

6472. Re: kookathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-10 17:40:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <hjhon2pb4e61h89qprl9gmdn41l0onig61@4ax.com>
Source record: 4152
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 10 Dec 2006 05:52:58 -0500, "Roger" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>news:ah2nn2de41np7r5lq31a49187sibuur09d@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 10 Dec 2006 00:53:12 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >When code is gone your going to see an in rush of lazy people that don't
>> >want to be good or skilled at anything,
>> no sc you will see no such such I uonly wish we would see such a rush
>>
>> what I hope to stablize our number and start undoing the damagge you
>> and yours have done the ARS
>
>Begin, Mark, by undoing the damage you have already caused to No-Code
>Technicians.

which is zero
>
>There is hardly an "our number" in the equation. You are the lone crybaby in
>this group, Mark.

hardly
>
>Your incessant hand-wringing and petulant whining about the CW requirement
>is getting old.

indeeed it is but then that is for the FCC to decide
>
>Go cry somewhere else. Your complaints are falling on deaf ears.

obviously not that is why you want to silence them
>
>
>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6473. Re: The fastest way to destroy ham radio is to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-10 18:12:58 UTC
From: John Crane <"john_crane_97 yahoo.com">
Message-ID: <hPOdnS-tcoGx0-HYnZ2dnUVZ_oOonZ2d@pghconnect.com>
Source record: 4153
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:YTIeh.8328$sf5.1455@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> When code is gone your going to see an in rush of lazy people that don't
> want to be good or skilled at anything, and their frustration over their
> laziness will be taken out on other hams on the bands. This will turn ham
> radio into CB.
>
> SC

Code by hand/ear is a quaint skill much loved by old geezers. Just like knowing how to use a slide-rule. Interesting for historical reasons... but not very useful otherwise. And old geezers kick the bucket... so in the end change comes by the minds of the young. Welcome to the 21st century, Marconi.

SC, I remember what happened to the net with the introduction of AOL "newbies". So I can relate to your fears. But I think that scenario will only play out if code AND written exams are abolished. I don't think your average CB'er could pass the technician exam without code.

Now, psychology... lazy people as a rule don't have frustration over their laziness. They only get frustrated by biological needs. Why? because they are L A Z Y.

6474. Re: KTN4GN inviting people to monitor FOUO, was: Re: K4YZ have told CAP you intend to violate FOUO frequncy info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-10 20:06:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1165781204.298545.92220@n67g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4154
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> > On 3 Dec 2006 09:37:35 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >Slow Code wrote:
> > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> > >> news:1165086130.017402.217720@16g2000cwy.googlegroups.com:
> > >> > Slow Code wrote:
> > >> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> > >> >> news:1164938185.794275.103550@j44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> > >> >> > kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >> >> >> K4YZ wrote:
> > >> >> >> > hot-ham@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >> >> >
> > >> >> >> > So what was it all about???
> > >> >> >> >
> > >> >> >> > Monitoring CAP FOUO communications.
> > >> >> >> >
> > >> >> >> > I recall K4YZ/KTN4GN inviting people to monitor him and he would
> > >> >> >> > send an SWL confirmation.
> > >> >> >> >
> > >> >> >> > Hi! So much for FOUO.
> > >> >> >>
> > >> >> >> Uh huh.
> > >> >> >>
> > >> >> >> And nowhere in my QRZ bio did I mention operating
> > >> >> >> frequencies, net
> > >> >> >> times, operational objectives, etc.
> > >> >> >>
> > >> >> >> so?
> > >> >> >
> > >> >> > WWW.QRZ.COM search "K4YZ"
> > >> >> >
> > >> >> > FOUO maintained...Brain made a fool of...Again...
> > >> >> >>
> > >> >> >> maybe maybe not
> > >> >> >>
> > >> >> >> have you told CAP you intend to confirm FOUO freqs and time
> > >> >> >
> > >> >> > This is where his innocense falls apart. Somehow he's going to
> > >> >> > confirm an SWL card with "I can neither confirm nor deny..."
> > >> >> > Riiiiight.
> > >> >> >
```

> > >> >> > PopComm needs to beware publishing this idiot's letters.
> > >> >>
> > >> >> You really are a bitter ham.
> > >> >
> > >> > At least I'm a ham. That can't be said of you.
> > >> >
> > >> >> Lack of CW in the radio diet will do that to
> > >> >> you.
> > >> >
> > >> > Nope, being accused of molesting Boy Scouts did it for me.
> > >> >
> > >> > Everything that K4YZ/KTN4GN says is suspect and needs to be
> > >> > scrutinized.
> > >> >
> > >> > For example, his Civil Air Patrol FOUO letter that was published in the
> > >> > Nov 2006 issue of PopComm completely contradicts his QRZ.COM/K4YZ offer
> > >> > to confirm his CAP communications.
> > >> >
> > >> > That is what this thread is about... if you'd care to stay on topic.
> > >> >
> > >> >> Just look at all the hate on eleven meters.
> > >> >
> > >> > I wouldn't know, but there's no need to look there. The hate is
> > >> > already on RRAP, and K4YZ fosters most of it. When this group becomes
> > >> > moderated, I expect K4YZ to be banned. He'll have to hang out on the
> > >> > Nurse or CAP yahoo groups of his own creation and moderation.
> > >> >
> > >> >> Those people are even
> > >> >> gunning each other down in shopping mall parking lots.
> > >> >>
> > >> >> SC
> > >> >
> > >> > What a coincidence. K4YZ has offered to "meet" several hams at the
> > >> > Dayton Hamfest, and there's a lot of hamfest parking at the shopping
> > >> > mall.
> > >>
> > >> The only hate I see, is the hate most everyone here has for the CW test.
> > >
> > >I learned it. I passed it. I see it as irrelevant government hurdle
> > >to be passed. It serves no regulatory purpose in the ARS. No other
> > >mode has a stand alone exam that denies access to HF.
> >
> > congrats on managing wqish I had had any luck with it
>
> It was a struggle. Couldn't get past about 10wpm. My modem needed a
> ROM upgrade but that wasn't in the cards.

indeed I could exactly the same except for the speed number
>
> > >> But that's about it. How'd ya like the boy scouts?

> > >>
> > >> SC
> > >
> > >Fantastic organization. Lots of activities. I'd recommend
> > >boy/girl/venture scouting to any youth. Keeps them busy and they stay
> > >out of trouble and off of drugs.
> >
> > I have Issues with the BSA as a organization BB.
>
> I understand. I don't have have a problem with that aspect of the
> organization, and since it involved my children, I'd prefer to keep it
> the way it is. Adults can do what they want.

I brought the subejct mstly as an illustration of the fact that dipite
what Sc Robeson claim you and I are in some kind of look step in
thinking I disgree with both and Len from titme to time

>
> > given the way my
> > private has been invaded and praded by Steve and his freind I suspect
> > I need not explain why we disagree about the BSA
>
> But is has nothing to do with the BSA. Robesin is not in the BSA and I
> wouldn't want him around my children or anyone else's. But he probably
> has lots of contact with Cadets; hopefully they're using at least the
> rule of two.

I don't think CAP is flying with both wings tif they are letting Robesn
near them I do indeed fear for the safety of anyone of any age around
him

Steve is threat to ANYONE around the closer they are physicaly the
greater danger Styeve is to them

6475. Re: CONGRATS!! FOR KEEPING AMATEUR RADIO FREE FROM THE FILTHY SODOMITES BRIAN AND MARKY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-10 23:59:59 UTC
From: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.12.10.23.59.55.836788@verwirren.confused>
Source record: 4155
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,alt.drugs.hard,rec.radio.scanner

```
On Thu, 07 Dec 2006 20:16:55 -0800, Wabbit, aka MarQueerMyDear, attempted
to confuse the issue further by squeaking:
> kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> > > On 27 Nov 2006 18:43:26 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
>> > > wrote:
>> > > >Dave Heil wrote:
>> > > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > >> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> > > >> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > >> >>> Dave Heil wrote:
>> > > >> >>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > >> >>>>> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> > > >> >>>>>> On 26 Nov 2006 04:12:44 -0800,
>> > > >> >>>>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > >> >>>>>
>> > > >> >>>>>> I recall K4YZ/KTN4GN inviting people to monitor him
>> > > >> >>>>>> and he would send an SWL confirmation.
>> > > >> >>>>>> in other wors more self serveing fluff?
>> > > >> >>>>>
>> > > >> >>>>>> Zackley! To hell with FOUO. SWL him for his glory.
>> > > >> >>>>>
>> > > >> >>>>>> well it shows how we learn of this great letter and you
>> > > >> >>>>>> notice he did not post it here but sent in his
>> > > >> >>>>>> sockpuppets to anounce it
>> > > >> >>>>>
>> > > >> >>>>> What you just said made me curious, so I hit up
>> > > >> >>>>> WWW.QRZ.COM for "Newport" and there are NONE in 4-land.
>> > > >> >>>>> There's one in Washington, two in five-land, and a handful
>> > > >> >>>>> of 'em in Canton, Ohio. His first post was on Oct 10th
>> > > >> >>>>> about "faggortry."
>> > > >> >>>>>
>> > > >> >>>>> Wait a minute.... Didn't Robesin used to live in Canton,
>> > > >> >>>>> Ohio? Maybe Bob Newport is one of his ex-in-laws or
>> > > >> >>>>> something.
>> > > >> >>>>>
>> > > >> >>>>> well, he's down to the level of annoyance.
>> > > >> >>>>>
>> > > >> >>>>> He always was.
>> > > >> >>>>>
>> > > >> >>>> After you get comfortable in your self-satisfaction over
```

>> > > >> >>>> your decree that there are no Newports in 4-land, consider
>> > > >> >>>> that Kentucky is in 4-land. There is a rather sizable city
>> > > >> >>>> across the river from Cincinnati, called Newport, Kentucky.
>> > > >> >>>> It is the county seat of Campbell County. Is your bulb
>> > > >> >>>> burning any brighter?
>> > > >> >>>>
>> > > >> >>>> [superfluous newsgroups trimmed]
>> > > >> >>>>
>> > > >> >>>> Dave K8MN
>> > > >> >>> Dave, that is a place name, not a family name. There's a
>> > > >> >>> Newport in Rhode Island as well, but no light bulb for the
>> > > >> >>> geographically challenged Heil.
>> > > >> >> If I was geographically challenged, I'd hardly have known the
>> > > >> >> information I presented to you.
>> > > >> >
>> > > >> > So you're telling me that "Bill" is geography?
>> > > >>
>> > > >> Is that what you think I've told you?
>> > > >>
>> > > >> >>> "Bill Newport" does not appear to exist in 4-Land as the
>> > > >> >>> anon poster would like us to think.
>> > > >> >> I'll bet there's no "Hot-ham-and-cheese" in your local phone
>> > > >> >> book either, Brian.
>> > > >> >
>> > > >> > I'll bet that, too.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> There you go.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> >>> The beauty of being an anon poster supporting the atrocities
>> > > >> >>> of Robesin is that people tend to mistrust your claims.
>> > > >> >> "His claims", Brian; not "your claims". Your name doesn't
>> > > >> >> appear in your posts. You're an anonymous poster.
>> > > >> >
>> > > >> > So why do you call be "Brian?"
>> > > >>
>> > > >> My call be K8MN. What you call be?
>> > > >>
>> > > >> >>> Until "bill" can show us a correct listing on QRZ, I'll just
>> > > >> >>> have to doubt he exists in real life.
>> > > >> >> Until "Hot-ham-and-cheese" can show me a correct listing in
>> > > >> >> QRZ, I'll believe him to be a fearful fraud.
>> > > >> >
>> > > >> > It's a free country.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> ...but not terribly intelligent.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> >>> Thank you for your sincere concern.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> >> Thanks for the cheap entertainment.
>> > > >> >

>> > > >> > Indeed.
>> > > >> >
>> > > >> > Notice that "Bill" used an underscore (_) between his first
>> > > >> > and last name. Also notice that "Bill" then used a period (.)
>> > > >> > between his full name and his general location, "4-Land."
>> > > >>
>> > > >> How about that!
>> > > >>
>> > > >> > Thank you for another demonstration of you inability to
>> > > >> > properly read and use syntax.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> Notice that you use hyphens between the parts of your screen
>> > > >> name. If you buy such a sandwich at any restaurant, are there
>> > > >> any hyphens in the name?
>> > > >>
>> > > >> Dave K8MN
>> > > >
>> > > >Notice that your communication has become meaningless.
>> > >
>> > > I am certain all commucation has become meaningless to him and he
>> > > never noticed
>> >
>> > He's an A-1 OP.
>>
>> is he realy? certainly that not something to be aspried to
>>
>> one A1 op threatens to muder people over radio topics another states
>> plainly he is willing to help other hams break the rules if they are Dx
>> HE wants
>>
>> and both intent on preserving their frat boy intiation rites
>>
>> pastetic
>
> FAGS OF A FEATHER SWAP SPIT TOGETHER

I hope you win the Bag of Coal, Wabbit. The gods know how hard you've
worked for it this year.

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:

http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you
are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and
Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to
describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned
hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing
money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to
reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it
up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when
journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's
careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden
wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted
to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

6476. Re: CONGRATS!! FOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-11 00:06:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <e88pn2d9mlol80ptofjcq7pib77i2st6lc@4ax.com>
Source record: 4156
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.drugs.hard,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 10 Dec 2006 23:59:59 GMT, Demon Lord of Confusion
<verwarring@verwirren.confused> wrote:

>On Thu, 07 Dec 2006 20:16:55 -0800, Wabbit, aka MarQueerMyDear, attempted
>to confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>> kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> > Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>> > > On 27 Nov 2006 18:43:26 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
>>> > > wrote:
>>> > > >Dave Heil wrote:
>>> > > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> > > >> > Dave Heil wrote:
>>> > > >> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> > > >> >>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>> > > >> >>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> > > >> >>>>> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>> > > >> >>>>>> On 26 Nov 2006 04:12:44 -0800,
>>> > > >> >>>>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> > > >> >
>>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>>> I recall K4YZ/KTN4GN inviting people to monitor him
>>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>>> and he would send an SWL confirmation.
>>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>>> in other wors more self serveing fluff?
>>> > > >> >
>>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>>> Zackley! To hell with FOUO. SWL him for his glory.
>>> > > >> >
>>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>>> well it shows how we learn of this great letter and you
>>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>>> notice he did not post it here but sent in his
>>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>>> sockpuppets to anounce it
>>> > > >> >
>>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>> What you just said made me curious, so I hit up
>>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>> WWW.QRZ.COM for "Newport" and there are NONE in 4-land.
>>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>> There's one in Washington, two in five-land, and a handful
>>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>> of 'em in Canton, Ohio. His first post was on Oct 10th
>>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>> about "faggortry."
>>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>>
>>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>> Wait a minute.... Didn't Robesin used to live in Canton,
>>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>> Ohio? Maybe Bob Newport is one of his ex-in-laws or
>>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>> something.
>>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>>
>>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>>> well, he's down to the level of annoyance.
>>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>>
>>> > > >> >>>>>>>>>>> He always was.

>>> > > >> >
>>> > > >> >>>> After you get comfortable in your self-satisfaction over
>>> > > >> >>>> your decree that there are no Newports in 4-land, consider
>>> > > >> >>>> that Kentucky is in 4-land. There is a rather sizable city
>>> > > >> >>>> across the river from Cincinnati, called Newport, Kentucky.
>>> > > >> >>>> It is the county seat of Campbell County. Is your bulb
>>> > > >> >>>> burning any brighter?
>>> > > >> >>>>
>>> > > >> >>>> [superfluous newsgroups trimmed]
>>> > > >> >>>>
>>> > > >> >>>> Dave K8MN
>>> > > >> >>> Dave, that is a place name, not a family name. There's a
>>> > > >> >>> Newport in Rhode Island as well, but no light bulb for the
>>> > > >> >>> geographically challenged Heil.
>>> > > >> >> If I was geographically challenged, I'd hardly have known the
>>> > > >> >> information I presented to you.
>>> > > >> >
>>> > > >> > So you're telling me that "Bill" is geography?
>>> > > >>
>>> > > >> Is that what you think I've told you?
>>> > > >>
>>> > > >> >>> "Bill Newport" does not appear to exist in 4-Land as the
>>> > > >> >>> anon poster would like us to think.
>>> > > >> >> I'll bet there's no "Hot-ham-and-cheese" in your local phone
>>> > > >> >> book either, Brian.
>>> > > >> >
>>> > > >> > I'll bet that, too.
>>> > > >>
>>> > > >> There you go.
>>> > > >>
>>> > > >> >>> The beauty of being an anon poster supporting the atrocities
>>> > > >> >>> of Robesin is that people tend to mistrust your claims.
>>> > > >> >> "His claims", Brian; not "your claims". Your name doesn't
>>> > > >> >> appear in your posts. You're an anonymous poster.
>>> > > >> >
>>> > > >> > So why do you call be "Brian?"
>>> > > >>
>>> > > >> My call be K8MN. What you call be?
>>> > > >>
>>> > > >> >>> Until "bill" can show us a correct listing on QRZ, I'll just
>>> > > >> >>> have to doubt he exists in real life.
>>> > > >> >> Until "Hot-ham-and-cheese" can show me a correct listing in
>>> > > >> >> QRZ, I'll believe him to be a fearful fraud.
>>> > > >> >
>>> > > >> > It's a free country.
>>> > > >>
>>> > > >> ...but not terribly intelligent.
>>> > > >>
>>> > > >> >>> Thank you for your sincere concern.
>>> > > >>

>>> > > >> >> Thanks for the cheap entertainment.
>>> > > >> >
>>> > > >> > Indeed.
>>> > > >> >
>>> > > >> > Notice that "Bill" used an underscore (_) between his first
>>> > > >> > and last name. Also notice that "Bill" then used a period (.)
>>> > > >> > between his full name and his general location, "4-Land."
>>> > > >>
>>> > > >> How about that!
>>> > > >>
>>> > > >> > Thank you for another demonstration of you inability to
>>> > > >> > properly read and use syntax.
>>> > > >>
>>> > > >> Notice that you use hyphens between the parts of your screen
>>> > > >> name. If you buy such a sandwich at any restaurant, are there
>>> > > >> any hyphens in the name?
>>> > > >>
>>> > > >> Dave K8MN
>>> > > >
>>> > > >Notice that your communication has become meaningless.
>>> > >
>>> > > I am certain all commucation has become meaningless to him and he
>>> > > never noticed
>>> >
>>> > He's an A-1 OP.
>>>
>>> is he realy? certainly that not something to be aspried to
>>>
>>> one A1 op threatens to muder people over radio topics another states
>>> plainly he is willing to help other hams break the rules if they are Dx
>>> HE wants
>>>
>>> and both intent on preserving their frat boy intiation rites
>>>
>>> pastetic
>>
>> FAGS OF A FEATHER SWAP SPIT TOGETHER
>
>I hope you win the Bag of Coal, Wabbit. The gods know how hard you've
>worked for it this year.

did you have a point?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6477. Re: cyber vadnal at work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-11 01:49:52 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <4P2fh.8657$tM1.366@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4157
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark in the Dark, wrote in
news:of1nn21l1pb1scbngu7g0ong8tnae1tvj8@4ax.com:

> On 6 Dec 2006 04:19:04 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> cyber vandal at work

Shut up Markie. Learn CW. Try to be a real ham and stop pushing your
perverse Queer agenda on the rec.radio groups.

SC

6478. Re: I don't want to share HF with lazy asses or tards.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-11 01:50:02 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <eP2fh.8664$tM1.689@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

JDB <me@here.now> wrote in
news:XCYeh.4050$ja6.2601@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com:

> I heard a bunch of them also. After doing research on QRZ it appears
> they were all 20 WPM Extra Class hams.

I heard that too. Some nickel ham came in on the frequency with his lid
operating habits and pissed them off. What else were 20 wpm Extras to do
but put that little turd in his proper place, and why you listening to the
extra portions of the bands anyway. Go play with your model Choo-Choo
trains.

> Slow Code wrote:
>
>>
>> I heard a few more on 20 meters. Looking on QRZ they were all nickel
>> Generals. It was a bad idea to get rid of the 13 wpm speed
>> requirement.
>>
>> SC

6479. I want to thank everyone for all the follow-ups.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-11 01:50:03 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <fP2fh.8665$tM1.1977@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

It gives me more opportunities to promote quality ham radio
by promoting CW.

SC

6480. Re: No-Code Technician on Space Shuttle.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-11 01:50:12 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <oP2fh.8670$tM1.1699@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4160
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
news:%9Xeh.4576$qp1.119@tornado.texas.rr.com:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:VTIeh.8325$sf5.4252@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>> news:0Dzeh.3274$qp1.312@tornado.texas.rr.com:
>>
>>>
>>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>>> news:Efoeh.8464$ql2.6692@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>>>> news:1165456261.870896.94380@16g2000cwy.googlegroups.com:
>>>>
>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>>> Roger507@webtv.net wrote in
>>>>>> news:20717-457414CD-840@storefull-3111.bay.webtv.net:
>>>>>
>>>>>> > On December 7th, 2006 the following No-Code Technician Ham Radio
>>>>>> > Operators will be on the Space Shuttle Mission STS-116. No-Code
>>>>>> > Technician Sunita Williams KD5PLB, No Code Technician Christer
>>>>>> > Fuglesang KE5CGR and No-Code Technician Nicholas Patrick KD5PKY.
>>>>>> > This flight will be the 20th Space Shuttle Flight for No-Code
>>>>>> > Technician Mission Specialist Nicholas Patrick KD5PKY to the
>>>>>> > International Space Station.
>>>>>
>>>>>> > Roger
>>>>>> > No-Code Technician- KC8MZW
>>>>>
>>>>>> There was a time astronauts were skilled and knew CW.
>>>>>
>>>>> ??
>>>>>
>>>>> Did you mean to write:
>>>>>
>>>>> 'There was a time when astronauts were skilled and knew Morse Code.'
>>>>> ?
>>>>>
>>>>> If so, let's add some other fun facts:
>>>>>
>>>>> None of the Mercury, Gemini or Apollo astronauts was a ham. If they
>>>>> knew Morse Code, it was because of their pre-NASA military training

>>>>> as pilots.
>>>>>
>>>>> We've had noncodetested Technicians as astroanuts since 1991 -
>>>>> almost 16 years ago. What's the problem? Are you just now beginning
>>>>> to figure this out?
>>>>>
>>>>> None of the spacecraft communications systems use Morse Code or HF.
>>>>> They're all above 30 MHz.
>>>>>
>>>>> As for being skilled - let's just say that all the astronauts have
>>>>> quite an impressive skill set.
>>>>>
>>>>> Could you do what they do? I think not.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> NASA wanted me but I had to turn them down. To much work to do down
>>>> here on earth trying to educate radio amateurs on how to be better
>>>> radio amateurs.
>>>>
>>>> SC
>>>
>>> Yeah, rumor is they needed someone to strike the match under the
>>> Saturn V.
>>
>>
>> You're so full of gas you could replace the shuttles three main engines
>> and external tank.
>>
>> SC
>
> But SC, you're much more like the solid rocket boosters....once they
> start they don't stop, much like your mouth.

And like the SRB's, my skills make me reusable. External tanks like you
and Lenny have no value after the gas is spent. Use once and release, kind
of like flushing a turd.

SC

6481. Re: cyber vadnal at work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-11 01:56:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <kkepn2dlo1ehl9mqva9j8ofe2usasuvuvf@4ax.com>
Source record: 4161
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 11 Dec 2006 01:49:52 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark in the Dark, wrote in
>news:of1nn21l1pb1scbngu7g0ong8tnae1tvj8@4ax.com:
>
>> On 6 Dec 2006 04:19:04 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> cyber vandal at work
>
>
>Shut up Markie.

nope

> Learn CW.

nope

> Try to be a real ham.....

I am a real ham

>..... and stop pushing your
>perverse Queer agenda on the rec.radio groups.

I do no such thing SC

but you insist on pushing YOUR agenda of hate on them
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6482. Re: No-Code Technician on Space Shuttle.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-11 02:01:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <stepn2ldl81v9qin853082nr9i8p4l2v7l@4ax.com>
Source record: 4162
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 11 Dec 2006 01:50:12 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>news:%9Xeh.4576$qp1.119@tornado.texas.rr.com:
>
>>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:VTIeh.8325$sf5.4252@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>>> news:0Dzeh.3274$qp1.312@tornado.texas.rr.com:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>>>> news:Efoeh.8464$ql2.6692@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>>>>> news:1165456261.870896.94380@16g2000cwy.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>
>>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>>>> Roger507@webtv.net wrote in
>>>>>>> news:20717-457414CD-840@storefull-3111.bay.webtv.net:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> > On December 7th, 2006 the following No-Code Technician Ham Radio
>>>>>>> > Operators will be on the Space Shuttle Mission STS-116. No-Code
>>>>>>> > Technician Sunita Williams KD5PLB, No Code Technician Christer
>>>>>>> > Fuglesang KE5CGR and No-Code Technician Nicholas Patrick KD5PKY.
>>>>>>> > This flight will be the 20th Space Shuttle Flight for No-Code
>>>>>>> > Technician Mission Specialist Nicholas Patrick KD5PKY to the
>>>>>>> > International Space Station.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> > Roger
>>>>>>> > No-Code Technician- KC8MZW
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> There was a time astronauts were skilled and knew CW.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> ??
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Did you mean to write:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> 'There was a time when astronauts were skilled and knew Morse Code.'
>>>>>> ?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> If so, let's add some other fun facts:
>>>>>>

>>>>>> None of the Mercury, Gemini or Apollo astronauts was a ham. If they
>>>>>> knew Morse Code, it was because of their pre-NASA military training
>>>>>> as pilots.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> We've had noncodetested Technicians as astroanuts since 1991 -
>>>>>> almost 16 years ago. What's the problem? Are you just now beginning
>>>>>> to figure this out?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> None of the spacecraft communications systems use Morse Code or HF.
>>>>>> They're all above 30 MHz.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> As for being skilled - let's just say that all the astronauts have
>>>>>> quite an impressive skill set.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Could you do what they do? I think not.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> NASA wanted me but I had to turn them down. To much work to do down
>>>>> here on earth trying to educate radio amateurs on how to be better
>>>>> radio amateurs.
>>>>>
>>>>> SC
>>>>
>>>> Yeah, rumor is they needed someone to strike the match under the
>>>> Saturn V.
>>>
>>>
>>> You're so full of gas you could replace the shuttles three main engines
>>> and external tank.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> But SC, you're much more like the solid rocket boosters....once they
>> start they don't stop, much like your mouth.
>
>
>And like the SRB's, my skills make me reusable.

you have no skills it seem
> External tanks like you
>and Lenny have no value after the gas is spent. Use once and release, kind
>of like flushing a turd.

get help for your shit fetish
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6483. kookathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-11 02:07:10 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <e9fpn21623fndcn0jdrcr0sprd0l5bk861@4ax.com>
Source record: 4163
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 11 Dec 2006 01:50:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>It gives me more opportunities to promote quality ham radio
>by promoting CW.

Morse Code is not a part of Quality Ham radio
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6484. Re: I don't want to share HF with lazy asses or tards.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-11 03:20:22 UTC
From: tools <tools@attwb.net>
Message-ID: <884fh.27$Mz6.24601@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 4164
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:eP2fh.8664$tM1.689@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> JDB <me@here.now> wrote in
> news:XCYeh.4050$ja6.2601@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com:
>
>> I heard a bunch of them also. After doing research on QRZ it appears
>> they were all 20 WPM Extra Class hams.
>
>
> I heard that too. Some nickel ham came in on the frequency with his lid
> operating habits and pissed them off. What else were 20 wpm Extras to do
> but put that little turd in his proper place, and why you listening to the
> extra portions of the bands anyway. Go play with your model Choo-Choo
> trains.
>
>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> I heard a few more on 20 meters. Looking on QRZ they were all nickel
>>> Generals. It was a bad idea to get rid of the 13 wpm speed
>>> requirement.
>>>
>>> SC
OH MY GAWD!!!!! SUCH MANLY MEN!!!

6485. Re: I want to thank everyone for all the follow-ups.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-11 03:21:55 UTC
From: tools <tools@attwb.net>
Message-ID: <A94fh.28$867.179311@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 4165
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:fP2fh.8665$tM1.1977@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> It gives me more opportunities to promote quality ham radio
> by promoting CW.
>
You have single
-handily done more to harm your cause than you will ever understand.

6486. Re: Questions about scanners.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-11 05:45:58 UTC
From: joemama023 <joemama023@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1165815958.555874.75310@16g2000cwy.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4166

I'd like to personally thank all of you for your input, though I don't
know if my current budget will allow the extra $300 or not.

thanks again
Chris

J. Mc Laughlin wrote:
> Dear Mr. Ferguson:
>
> Almost all of Genesee County public-safety has made, or will make, a
> transition to the State 800 MHz digital system (with the major exception of
> the City of Flint, which still uses their VHF system). Uniden makes a
> handheld and a "base" radio for the purpose. I have been using the handheld
> for a year with good results listening to the Shiawassee system, which
> re-sends most of Genesee transmissions, as I am on the west side of the
> county.
>
> Expect to pay close to $500 for a radio that will allow monitoring the
> state system.
>
> Regards, Mac
>
> > Dave Ferguson wrote:
>
>
> >
> > he works for the Forest Township Fire Dept, which is located in Genesee
> > County, Michigan
> >

6487. Re: I want to thank everyone for all the follow-ups.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-11 06:36:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <r1vpn2tqg7ud0rk430cv9o4r33osbijq3p@4ax.com>
Source record: 4167
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 10 Dec 2006 21:21:55 -0600, "tools" <tools@attwb.net> wrote:

>
>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>news:fP2fh.8665$tM1.1977@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> It gives me more opportunities to promote quality ham radio
>> by promoting CW.
>>
> You have single
>-handily done more to harm your cause than you will ever understand.
>
indeed if he wants to help his cause he needs to defect to NoCode or
the russians
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6488. Re: Questions about scanners.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-11 13:54:45 UTC
From: KC8GXW-Jim <kc8gxw@CENTURYTEL.NET>
Message-ID: <WqSdneQ0u7G4_uDYnZ2dnUVZ_h_inZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 4168

joemama023 wrote:
> I'd like to personally thank all of you for your input, though I don't
> know if my current budget will allow the extra $300 or not.
>
> thanks again
> Chris
>
>
>
> J. Mc Laughlin wrote:
Radio Shack also offers the Pro-96 handheld and the Pro-2096 desktop
scanners for $450.00

6489. pro 2042 radio scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-11 17:30:20 UTC
From: MrBen <pr0wler5@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1165858220.025973.247560@n67g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4169

Hi i have a radioshack pro 2042 base scanner. Is this any use any more?
I dont seem to be able to pick much up these days. I have heard there
is an Optoelectronics Interface Board which i can use with my laptop,
but have no idea what it does. Anyone any ideas what i can do with y
scanner to make it of more use?
cheers

6490. pro 2042 radio scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-11 17:33:08 UTC
From: MrBen <pr0wler5@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1165858388.614825.265650@n67g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4170

Hi i have a radioshack pro 2042 base scanner. Is this any use any more?
I dont seem to be able to pick much up these days. I have heard there
is an Optoelectronics Interface Board which i can use with my laptop,
but have no idea what it does. Anyone any ideas what i can do with y
scanner to make it of more use?
cheers

6491. wireless re-transmit available?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-11 19:43:35 UTC
From: John <jj.shine@att.net>
Message-ID: <Hxifh.3007$Ft4.110@trnddc02>
Source record: 4171

I have a bearcat 800xlt that I hook up to an external antenna and it works fine. I'm trying to figure out a way to be able to move the scanner to a different location (in a house) without having to "hard wire" or to add additional antenna wire. Is there such a thing as a receiver/transmitter that I could connect to the existing antenna - that would "forward" the signal to my scanner no matter where I am in the house??

I'm probably just dreaming - but I thought I'd check it out.

John

6492. AOR AR8200 MK3 software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-11 20:01:38 UTC
From: gbt022@yahoo.fr
Message-ID: <1165867298.120064.304930@80g2000cwy.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4172

Hello !!

What is the best software for the AOR 8200 MK3?

Any comment welcomes

Thanks Everyone!

6493. Re: wireless re-transmit available?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-11 20:33:21 UTC
From: labtech1 <labtech_one@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d9764$457dc068$471dd939$25008@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 4173

why don't you just add a walkman or Ipod FM transmitter (broadcasts the
audio of the scanner thru an FM radio) and carry a cheap
FM radio around the house with you.

"John" <jj.shine@att.net> wrote in message
news:Hxifh.3007$Ft4.110@trnddc02...
> I have a bearcat 800xlt that I hook up to an external antenna and it works
> fine. I'm trying to figure out a way to be able to move the scanner to a
> different location (in a house) without having to "hard wire" or to add
> additional antenna wire. Is there such a thing as a receiver/transmitter
> that I could connect to the existing antenna - that would "forward" the
> signal to my scanner no matter where I am in the house??
>
> I'm probably just dreaming - but I thought I'd check it out.
>
> John
>
>

6494. Re: wireless re-transmit available?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-11 21:54:28 UTC
From: John <jj.shine@att.net>
Message-ID: <oskfh.4252$495.2110@trnddc06>
Source record: 4174

great idea - I'll check it out

Thanks

"labtech1" <labtech_one@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:d9764$457dc068$471dd939$25008@ALLTEL.NET...
> why don't you just add a walkman or Ipod FM transmitter (broadcasts the
> audio of the scanner thru an FM radio) and carry a cheap
> FM radio around the house with you.
>
>
> "John" <jj.shine@att.net> wrote in message
> news:Hxifh.3007$Ft4.110@trnddc02...
>> I have a bearcat 800xlt that I hook up to an external antenna and it
>> works
>> fine. I'm trying to figure out a way to be able to move the scanner to a
>> different location (in a house) without having to "hard wire" or to add
>> additional antenna wire. Is there such a thing as a receiver/transmitter
>> that I could connect to the existing antenna - that would "forward" the
>> signal to my scanner no matter where I am in the house??
>>
>> I'm probably just dreaming - but I thought I'd check it out.
>>
>> John
>>
>>
>
>

6495. Re: I want to thank everyone for all the follow-ups.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-12 00:40:19 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <TTmfh.8546$sf5.2233@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4175
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"tools" <tools@attwb.net> wrote in
news:A94fh.28$867.179311@news.sisna.com:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:fP2fh.8665$tM1.1977@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> It gives me more opportunities to promote quality ham radio
>> by promoting CW.
>>
> You have single
> -handily done more to harm your cause than you will ever understand.

Thanks for the follow-up. How is your code practice comming along?

SC

6496. kookathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-12 00:46:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <aturn2lvnf2eqt3n9p15jq79no2pl65d5k@4ax.com>
Source record: 4176
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 12 Dec 2006 00:40:19 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"tools" <tools@attwb.net> wrote in
>news:A94fh.28$867.179311@news.sisna.com:
>
>>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:fP2fh.8665$tM1.1977@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>
>>> It gives me more opportunities to promote quality ham radio
>>> by promoting CW.
>>>
>> You have single
>> -handily done more to harm your cause than you will ever understand.
>
>
>Thanks for the follow-up. How is your code practice comming along?
>
why should anyone bother with only the likes of you to use it with
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6497. Re: cyber vadnal at work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-12 14:07:34 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1165932454.389552.272050@j72g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4177
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Mon, 11 Dec 2006 01:49:52 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >Mark in the Dark, wrote in
> >news:of1nn21l1pb1scbngu7g0ong8tnae1tvj8@4ax.com:
> >
> >> On 6 Dec 2006 04:19:04 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>>
> >>>snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >> cyber vandal at work
> >>
> >
> >Shut up Markie.
>
> nope

STFU, dumbass.

>
> > Learn CW.
>
> nope

Too stupid to do so?

>
> > Try to be a real ham.....
>
> I am a real ham

No, you aren't, you are just a no code tech, a beginner's training
wheel ham tickeet.

> >..... and stop pushing your
> >perverse Queer agenda on the rec.radio groups.
>
> I do no such thing SC

Sure you do, you try to shove your homosexual agenda to the forefront
everywhere you go.

> but you insist on pushing YOUR agenda of hate on them

Whaaaaaaah, cry, bitch, cry!

6498. Re: cyber vadnal at work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-12 17:56:04 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <k6rtn2l0unlrkgpv8uh99mqqd4gaq90o1i@4ax.com>
Source record: 4178
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
On 12 Dec 2006 06:07:34 -0800, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On Mon, 11 Dec 2006 01:49:52 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>> >Mark in the Dark, wrote in
>> >news:of1nn21l1pb1scbngu7g0ong8tnae1tvj8@4ax.com:
>> >
>> >> On 6 Dec 2006 04:19:04 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>
>> >>>snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>
>> >> cyber vandal at work
>> >
>> >
>> >Shut up Markie.
>>
>> nope
>
>STFU, dumbass.
no
>
>>
>> > Learn CW.
>>
>> nope
>
>Too stupid to do so?
no I lack the right inborn it is obviosly NOT conected to inteligence
since you managed

>
>>
>> > Try to be a real ham.....
>>
>> I am a real ham
>
>No, you aren't, you are just a no code tech, a beginner's training
>wheel ham tickeet.
```

I am a real ham and better claerly than you
>
>
>> >..... and stop pushing your
>> >perverse Queer agenda on the rec.radio groups.
>>
>> I do no such thing SC
>
>Sure you do, you try to shove your homosexual agenda to the forefront
>everywhere you go.

No woger I don't I do defend myself when you and your friend enage in
gay basshing thought
>
>> but you insist on pushing YOUR agenda of hate on them
>
>Whaaaaaaah, cry, bitch, cry!

just elling the truth woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6499. Re: wireless re-transmit available?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-13 01:01:38 UTC
From: Somewhere Nowhere <skypilot@writeme.com>
Message-ID: <r6kun2tgkbh9tr23eqkribr3ehar7jff0f@4ax.com>
Source record: 4179

On Mon, 11 Dec 2006 21:54:28 GMT, "John" <jj.shine@att.net> wrote:

>great idea - I'll check it out
>
>Thanks
Check this out:

http://www.ccrane.com/radios/fm-transmitters/fm-transmitter.aspx

Brian
--

Brian J. Rueger "Who dares wins"
Capt.(Ret)/Paramedic Hampton, VA.
B.S.Comm/I/Pilot MSgt, USAF (Ret.) 49199
Check out my personal home page: http://members.cox.net/brueger
Check out my photography: http://www.usefilm.com/member/skypilot
"Life's too short to drink LITE beer!"

6500. test mail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-13 03:01:02 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery28146@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <O1Kfh.9012$tM1.4572@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 4180

```
test mail
```

6501. DIY Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-13 06:56:12 UTC
From: Bill <me@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <mxNfh.648$G46.280@bignews8.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 4181

I have looked around on Google without much success. I am interested in making my own indoor antenna for my scanner. It must be general coverage and omni directional. I have wire and coax. Just looking for something that is going to be better than the telescoping antenna built into the scanner without going outdoors. If anyone has any ideas or links for websites with some info on building your own indoor antenna please share :)
Thank You and Best Regards
Bill

6502. Re: DIY Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-13 15:44:34 UTC
From: Joaquin Tall <opalockamishabob@'86DASPAMnetscape.net>
Message-ID: <CNCdnXeiMu9Cgh3YnZ2dnUVZ_tmknZ2d@got.net>
Source record: 4182

Bill wrote:
> I have looked around on Google without much success. I am interested in
> making my own indoor antenna for my scanner. It must be general coverage and
> omni directional. I have wire and coax. Just looking for something that is
> going to be better than the telescoping antenna built into the scanner
> without going outdoors. If anyone has any ideas or links for websites with
> some info on building your own indoor antenna please share :)
> Thank You and Best Regards
> Bill
>
>
>
Look Here:

http://www.radioreference.com/forums/forumdisplay.php?f=80

6503. i punce in agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-13 17:10:05 UTC
From: Mark <Mark@kp9rqz.asr>
Message-ID: <45802728$0$15535$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 4183
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tast is waht I
do so bringit on you loosers
show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as JEW rendered
phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and SC a
few just decided to not to be as hateful with the punce gotcha he wonders
why I simple don't bother to ty impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet
two say?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

>
>
>
>

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6504. Re: i punce in agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-13 17:26:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <lud0o2t3p1q5af18bos93gsfaev81dncjj@4ax.com>
Source record: 4184
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On 13 Nov 2006 14:25:13 -0800, Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com wrote:

>
fraud
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6505. fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-13 17:27:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <8vd0o2dfg5lgha409hk6ddhspurfl9ali9@4ax.com>
Source record: 4185
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On Wed, 13 Dec 2006 12:10:05 -0500, "Mark" <Mark@kp9rqz.asr> wrote:

>
fraud
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6506. Re: NOMINATION SUGGESTION: OotHS Re: i punce in agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-13 21:41:43 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1166046103.948971.253160@79g2000cws.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4186
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

The God of Obtuse Statements wrote:
> On Mon, 13 Nov 2006 14:25:13 -0800, Wabbit, posting as Gav_HoJackoff, did
> most oddly state:
>
> > MARQUEER!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > get off the dam computer and get back to sucking my
> > cock!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> One can always tell it's a froggery because Mark's blog is deliberately
> misnamed, and "Gav" is obviously Wabbit. <flush>

And as usual, you don't know what the fuck you are yammering about.

6507. kookathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-13 21:45:43 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <d3t0o2tpak6b77tddibrkp7cs6ukvuajnl@4ax.com>
Source record: 4187
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On 13 Dec 2006 13:41:43 -0800, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
<billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>The God of Obtuse Statements wrote:
>> On Mon, 13 Nov 2006 14:25:13 -0800, Wabbit, posting as Gav_HoJackoff, did
>> most oddly state:
>>
>> > MARQUEER!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>> > get off the dam computer and get back to sucking my
>> > cock!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>>
>> One can always tell it's a froggery because Mark's blog is deliberately
>> misnamed, and "Gav" is obviously Wabbit. <flush>
>
>And as usual, you don't know what the fuck you are yammering about.

you are woger aka wabbit face it
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6508. kookathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-13 21:51:18 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1166046678.024664.186900@j72g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4188
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

```
Billy cumgobbler Smith wrote:
> The God of Obtuse Statements wrote:
> > On Mon, 13 Nov 2006 14:25:13 -0800, Wabbit, posting as Gav_HoJackoff, did
> > most oddly state:
> >
> > > MARQUEER!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > > get off the dam computer and get back to sucking my
> > > cock!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > One can always tell it's a froggery because Mark's blog is deliberately
> > misnamed, and "Gav" is obviously Wabbit. <flush>
>
> And as usual, you don't know what the fuck you are yammering about.

of course he does you are woger you fake names

get a life
```

6509. Re: DIY Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-14 02:05:35 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <ae352@whatever.com>
Message-ID: <Pj2gh.36774$zB4.35300@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 4189

Or here...
http://www.hamwave.com/cgi-bin/mods/showhtml/showhtml.pl?url=www.hamwave.com/extra/dipole_calculator.shtml

"Joaquin Tall" <opalockamishabob@'86DASPAMnetscape.net> wrote in message news:CNCdnXeiMu9Cgh3YnZ2dnUVZ_tmknZ2d@got.net...
> Bill wrote:
>> I have looked around on Google without much success. I am interested in
>> making my own indoor antenna for my scanner. It must be general coverage
>> and
>> omni directional. I have wire and coax. Just looking for something that
>> is
>> going to be better than the telescoping antenna built into the scanner
>> without going outdoors. If anyone has any ideas or links for websites
>> with
>> some info on building your own indoor antenna please share :)
>> Thank You and Best Regards
>> Bill
>>
>>
>>
> Look Here:
>
> http://www.radioreference.com/forums/forumdisplay.php?f=80

6510. Re: DIY Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-14 02:38:34 UTC
From: Rob Mills <robmills@cox.net>
Message-ID: <KO2gh.1083$RR4.288@newsfe22.lga>
Source record: 4190

"Bill" <me@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:mxNfh.648$G46.280@bignews8.bellsouth.net...

>>>I have looked around on Google without much success. I am interested in making my own indoor antenna for my scanner. It must be general coverage <<<

Unless you are locked into a do it your self project you might look at the radio shack 20-006 telescoping center loaded antenna. It works as well as anything I have tried except roof mounts. I have one on my Pro 94 and BC895 and it really helps, especially on the lower freqs and air band. RM~

PS, If used on a base scanner with a rear panel bnc connector you will need a bnc right angle adapter.

6511. Re: DIY Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-14 06:52:58 UTC
From: Eric Ferguson <GEFERG.KBHR@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ex6gh.13342$wc5.1213@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 4191

You might try googling the discone antenna. Has a 10:1 frequency range.
Haven't built one myself (yet), but will try one of these days.

6512. Re: wireless re-transmit available?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-14 13:58:18 UTC
From: John <jj.shine@att.net>
Message-ID: <_Lcgh.5626$yZ4.1982@trnddc05>
Source record: 4192

That looks great - thanks for the info

"Somewhere Nowhere" <skypilot@writeme.com> wrote in message
news:r6kun2tgkbh9tr23eqkribr3ehar7jff0f@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 11 Dec 2006 21:54:28 GMT, "John" <jj.shine@att.net> wrote:
>
>>great idea - I'll check it out
>>
>>Thanks
> Check this out:
>
> http://www.ccrane.com/radios/fm-transmitters/fm-transmitter.aspx
>
> Brian
> --
> --
> Brian J. Rueger "Who dares wins"
> Capt.(Ret)/Paramedic Hampton, VA.
> B.S.Comm/I/Pilot MSgt, USAF (Ret.) 49199
> Check out my personal home page: http://members.cox.net/brueger
> Check out my photography: http://www.usefilm.com/member/skypilot
> "Life's too short to drink LITE beer!"
> --

6513. Shark 21 pbone patch to Coronet Oak Ops, PR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-14 16:47:12 UTC
From: "AllanStern@aol.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1166114832.456602.181290@l12g2000cwl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4193
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

13927.1 kHz USB 1558z: USAF MARS Operator AFA1EN (Shelbyville IN) with C-130 "Shark 21" in ph patch to DSN 740-9562 San Juan PR "Coronet Oak Ops." Announces 1700z ETAS to TJSJ (San Juan); has DV Code A-3 aboard; Maintenance Status A-1. (14 Dec 2006) (ALS)

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/BrevardRadio
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/CivilAirComms
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MilRadioComms
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/HFmonitors

6514. Re: Kitchen light keeps going bad !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-14 18:57:20 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1166122640.855772.132440@f1g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4194
Cross-posted to: alt.home.repair,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Brian wrote:
> Hello all,
> I replaced my kitchen "cheapo" fluorescent light last year with a nicer
> model that uses F32T8 style bulbs.
>
> After about 4 months, one of the bulbs went bad. These are supposed to
> last 5 years. I replaced it. Then the other went bad 3-4 months later.
> I went through this a few times.
>
> I tried different bulbs from different brands and stores. No luck. I
> thought maybe the ballast went bad so I bought new, good quality, and
> replaced that. I did that this past September and now one of the bulbs
> is going bad again!
>
> The wiring is fine, all the connections are tight and in correct order.
> No signs of burning or charring.
>
> What is going on? How can I fix this? Now I don't even care about the
> light...as much as solving my curiosity!!!

light bulbs work much better if you screw them into your asshole first.
ass juice makes a good conductor.

6515. i punce in agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-14 19:35:34 UTC
From: Mark@kp9rqz.asr
Message-ID: <45819ac5$0$15511$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 4195
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.arts.poems,rec.music.hip-hop

ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tast is waht I
do so bringit on you loosers
show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as JEW rendered
phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and SC a
few just decided to not to be as hateful with the punce gotcha he wonders
why I simple don't bother to ty impoving my spelling do u hav anyting
cognet two say?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6516. you will have all seen the rsults today of K4YZ obessive stalking of Myself One KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-14 21:05:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <45f3o21jhgmc50n5e7jeu34js3k2hi7nmb@4ax.com>
Source record: 4196
Cross-posted to: alt.home.repair,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

you will have all seen the rsults today of K4YZ obessive stalking of
Myself One KB9RQZ

as I said more than a year ago I would not stand for the constant
libel of Robeson and few other in NG for more than year we have been
"treated" to steve effort to wera me down under his torrent of filth
and lies

whe n I saw 100 plus posts on this subject from obviously I could
answer them indivualy, unlike Robeson I have life

I may have steamrolled over something other than steve diatribe if I
steamrooled over you missing your content for this I am sorry

this message will be sent multitime in hope in may survive

following is at this point is the cut and paste at it full measure bit
got afdded as I noted charged made again that I wished to adress

---

Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan. steve goes claiming
othermen eat his shit
stop the lying stop the vandalism and stop the bull at this point my
server say you have post 8o plus itme titled with shit or a synoym in
the title

stop lying syaing I eat your shit

stop lying about being miiss quoted
get a life and get help since you are clearly obessed with staking a
man sexualy

the truth I trick you in 1998 when you threnting to MURDER me

stop this whining and face facts you are the one that is sick with
your obesstion for shit

the ruth is you are truly MAD robeson get help

and please stop the lie I have seen that you are doing ANYTHING for my
benifit

and stop lying about the ToS of Google they expressly prohibit your cyvervandalism

and stop lying about my Military service I have my DD 214 as I guess you do likely yours list section 8 as reason for discharge http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6517. Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan. steve goes claiming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-14 21:17:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <oof3o2h958q0rfmntju26d36ptfiih3htq@4ax.com>
Source record: 4197
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.arts.poems,rec.music.hip-hop

Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan. steve goes claiming
othermen eat his shit
stop the lying stop the vandalism and stop the bull at this point my server say you have post 8o plus itme titled with shit or a synoym in the title

stop lying syaing I eat your shit

stop lying about being miiss quoted
get a life and get help since you are clearly obessed with staking a man sexualy

the truth I trick you in 1998 when you threnting to MURDER me

stop this whining and face facts you are the one that is sick with your obesstion for shit

the ruth is you are truly MAD robeson get help

and please stop the lie I have seen that you are doing ANYTHING for my benifit

and stop lying about the ToS of Google they expressly prohibit your cyvervandalism

and stop lying about my Military service I have my DD 214 as I guess you do likely yours list section 8 as reason for discharge
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6518. Peach 86 up on 13927.1 kHz USB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-14 21:23:36 UTC
From: "AllanStern@aol.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1166131416.583919.51210@f1g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4198
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Fwd [HFmonitors] Peach 86 up on 13927.1 kHz USB
```````````````````````````````````````````````

13927.1 kHz USB 2111z USAF MARS Operator "AFA1YV" wkg Peach 86 (Robins AFB E-8C JSTARS) for phone patch to DSN 468-5052 (Robins Metro); gets wx for Robins AFB at 2300z and for Montgomery (KMGM) at 2330z. Peach 86 informed AFA1YV that they were flying over the Southwest portion of the US.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/BrevardRadio
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/CivilAirComms
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MilRadioComms
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/HFmonitors
```````````````````````````````````````````````

6519. Re: Kitchen light keeps going bad !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-14 22:21:12 UTC
From: Smarty <nobody@nobody.com>
Message-ID: <sYednR80b7nKUxzYnZ2dnUVZ_qK3nZ2d@adelphia.com>
Source record: 4199
Cross-posted to: alt.home.repair,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

I installed a lot of F32T8 fixtures in my basement (24 in total) and have
also had a lot of failures. The cheap ballasts used in these (Home Depot)
fixtures have been the culprit.

Are you quite certain the tubes themselves are going bad?

Smarty

"an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1166122640.855772.132440@f1g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Brian wrote:
>> Hello all,
>> I replaced my kitchen "cheapo" fluorescent light last year with a nicer
>> model that uses F32T8 style bulbs.
>>
>> After about 4 months, one of the bulbs went bad. These are supposed to
>> last 5 years. I replaced it. Then the other went bad 3-4 months later.
>> I went through this a few times.
>>
>> I tried different bulbs from different brands and stores. No luck. I
>> thought maybe the ballast went bad so I bought new, good quality, and
>> replaced that. I did that this past September and now one of the bulbs
>> is going bad again!
>>
>> The wiring is fine, all the connections are tight and in correct order.
>> No signs of burning or charring.
>>
>> What is going on? How can I fix this? Now I don't even care about the
>> light...as much as solving my curiosity!!!
>
> light bulbs work much better if you screw them into your asshole first.
> ass juice makes a good conductor.
>

6520. Re: you will have all seen the rsults today of K4YZ obessive stalking of Myself One KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-14 22:27:43 UTC
From: Smarty <nobody@nobody.com>
Message-ID: <YbmdnWaB08lCUhzYnZ2dnUVZ_tGsnZ2d@adelphia.com>
Source record: 4200
Cross-posted to: alt.home.repair,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Both of you are a disgrace to the amateur radio community. In my 40 plus years of ham radio involvement, I have never, ever, once seen such a disgusting display of bad judgment. I will make every effort to notify the FCC of this public posting as a means to challenge your privilege of holding a government broadcasting license. This license is a privilege and not a right, and your activities debase this privilege and the entire amateur radio community.

Cut it out !!!!!

Smarty

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:45f3o21jhgmc50n5e7jeu34js3k2hi7nmb@4ax.com...
>
> you will have all seen the rsults today of K4YZ obessive stalking of
> Myself One KB9RQZ
>
> as I said more than a year ago I would not stand for the constant
> libel of Robeson and few other in NG for more than year we have been
> "treated" to steve effort to wera me down under his torrent of filth
> and lies
>
> whe n I saw 100 plus posts on this subject from obviously I could
> answer them indivualy, unlike Robeson I have life
>
> I may have steamrolled over something other than steve diatribe if I
> steamrooled over you missing your content for this I am sorry
>
> this message will be sent multitime in hope in may survive
>
> following is at this point is the cut and paste at it full measure bit
> got afdded as I noted charged made again that I wished to adress
>
> ---
>
>
> Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
> know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan. steve goes claiming
> othermen eat his shit
> stop the lying stop the vandalism and stop the bull at this point my
> server say you have post 8o plus itme titled with shit or a synoym in

> the title
>
> stop lying syaing I eat your shit
>
> stop lying about being miiss quoted
> get a life and get help since you are clearly obessed with staking a
> man sexualy
>
> the truth I trick you in 1998 when you threnting to MURDER me
>
> stop this whining and face facts you are the one that is sick with
> your obesstion for shit
>
> the ruth is you are truly MAD robeson get help
>
> and please stop the lie I have seen that you are doing ANYTHING for my
> benifit
>
> and stop lying about the ToS of Google they expressly prohibit your
> cyvervandalism
>
> and stop lying about my Military service I have my DD 214 as I guess
> you do likely yours list section 8 as reason for discharge
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

6521. Re: you will have all seen the rsults today of K4YZ obessive stalking of Myself One KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-14 22:33:09 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <f1k3o2p75c409b3n0t03ed5v47rorar2v1@4ax.com>
Source record: 4051
Cross-posted to: alt.home.repair,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 14 Dec 2006 17:27:43 -0500, "Smarty" <nobody@nobody.com>
wrote:

>Both of you are a disgrace to the amateur radio community. In my 40 plus
>years of ham radio involvement, I have never, ever, once seen such a
>disgusting display of bad judgment. I will make every effort to notify the
>FCC of this public posting as a means to challenge your privilege of holding
>a government broadcasting license. This license is a privilege and not a
>right, and your activities debase this privilege and the entire amateur
>radio community.
>
>
>Cut it out !!!!!
>
>
>Smarty

this stops anytime Steve robeson k4yz wants it to stop and not before

it is the RIGHT of memeber of the public to defend themselves against
libel indeed the courts over the years have tended to rule that
because I can defend myself in this admittedly ineffective mannerI
have no recourse against the people like k4yz an extra class ham and
VE

I have not misquoted Robeson nor have I commited the other crimes he
charges

complain to the FCC all you like but the comission in unable to
displine Robeson for his behavior on the USENET and therefore I
conclude would be unable to displine ME for defending myself
>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>news:45f3o21jhgmc50n5e7jeu34js3k2hi7nmb@4ax.com...
>>
>> you will have all seen the rsults today of K4YZ obessive stalking of
>> Myself One KB9RQZ
>>
>> as I said more than a year ago I would not stand for the constant
>> libel of Robeson and few other in NG for more than year we have been
>> "treated" to steve effort to wera me down under his torrent of filth
>> and lies
>>

>> whe n I saw 100 plus posts on this subject from obviously I could
>> answer them indivualy, unlike Robeson I have life
>>
>> I may have steamrolled over something other than steve diatribe if I
>> steamrooled over you missing your content for this I am sorry
>>
>> this message will be sent multitime in hope in may survive
>>
>> following is at this point is the cut and paste at it full measure bit
>> got afdded as I noted charged made again that I wished to adress
>>
>> ---
>>
>>
>> Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
>> know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>> genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan. steve goes claiming
>> othermen eat his shit
>> stop the lying stop the vandalism and stop the bull at this point my
>> server say you have post 8o plus itme titled with shit or a synoym in
>> the title
>>
>> stop lying syaing I eat your shit
>>
>> stop lying about being miiss quoted
>> get a life and get help since you are clearly obessed with staking a
>> man sexualy
>>
>> the truth I trick you in 1998 when you threnting to MURDER me
>>
>> stop this whining and face facts you are the one that is sick with
>> your obesstion for shit
>>
>> the ruth is you are truly MAD robeson get help
>>
>> and please stop the lie I have seen that you are doing ANYTHING for my
>> benifit
>>
>> and stop lying about the ToS of Google they expressly prohibit your
>> cyvervandalism
>>
>> and stop lying about my Military service I have my DD 214 as I guess
>> you do likely yours list section 8 as reason for discharge
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6522. Re: Kitchen light keeps going bad !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-14 23:44:47 UTC
From: MarQueerMyDear <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com>
Message-ID: <1166139887.112823.313330@j72g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4052
Cross-posted to: alt.home.repair,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Smarty wrote:

<fuck smarty>

smarty wanna pecker?

6523. Re: Kitchen light keeps going bad !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-14 23:46:52 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <dio3o2to8tbp1ue38t8utnmf7r2fhhh1h9@4ax.com>
Source record: 4053
Cross-posted to: alt.home.repair,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 14 Dec 2006 15:44:47 -0800, "MarQueerMyDear"
<dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote:

>
>Smarty wrote:
>
><fuck smarty>
>
>smarty wanna pecker?

stop the cyber vandalism steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6524. Re: Kitchen light keeps going bad !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-14 23:52:32 UTC
From: MarQueerMyDear <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com>
Message-ID: <1166140352.815825.75650@16g2000cwy.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4054
Cross-posted to: alt.home.repair,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.religion.islam

```
go suck smarty's shorty dick
M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 14 Dec 2006 15:44:47 -0800, "MarQueerMyDear"
> <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >Smarty wrote:
> >
> ><fuck smarty>
> >
> >smarty wanna pecker?
>
> stop the cyber vandalism steve
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

6525. Re: Kitchen light keeps going bad !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-14 23:55:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <h2p3o255lsvpmt5ntbn4i8irjnsdim4gl9@4ax.com>
Source record: 4055
Cross-posted to: alt.home.repair,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.religion.islam

On 14 Dec 2006 15:52:32 -0800, "MarQueerMyDear"
<dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote:

>go suck smarty's shorty dick

not interested steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6526. MilSat test counts on 267.05 MHz NFM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-15 03:54:22 UTC
From: "AllanStern@aol.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1166154862.354979.87780@j72g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4056
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.military

```
MilSat test counts on 267.05 MHz NFM
````````````````````````````````````
```

It is Thu, 10:44pm EST (Fri, 0344z).
More test counts heard on MilSat 267.05 NFM.
Nice and clear; strong here in Florida.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/BrevardRadio
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/CivilAirComms
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MilRadioComms
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/HFmonitors
```

6527. bc700a

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-16 02:13:48 UTC
From: dlsloan@webtv.net
Message-ID: <515-4583565C-598@storefull-3112.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 4057

is than one model of this scanner

6528. Re: MilSat test counts on 267.05 MHz NFM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-16 04:50:46 UTC
From: **THE-RFI-EMI-GUY** <rhyolite@nettally.com>
Message-ID: <45837B26.7020306@nettally.com>
Source record: 4058
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.military

Allan;
Is there enough analog military satcom activity to warrant putting back
up my Andrew bi-filar helical antenna? If so what direction would I
point it from Oviedo Florida.

AllanStern@aol.com wrote:

> MilSat test counts on 267.05 MHz NFM
>``````````````````````````````````````
>It is Thu, 10:44pm EST (Fri, 0344z).
> More test counts heard on MilSat 267.05 NFM.
> Nice and clear; strong here in Florida.
>
>AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom
>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/BrevardRadio
>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/CivilAirComms
>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MilRadioComms
>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/HFmonitors
>
>
>

--
Joe Leikhim K4SAT
"The RFI-EMI-GUY"ï¿½

"Treason doth never prosper: what's the reason?
For if it prosper, none dare call it treason."

"Follow The Money" ;-P

6529. Info needed for Uniden BC796D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-16 13:52:21 UTC
From: ckfire1923 <ckfire1923@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1166277141.825060.183470@80g2000cwy.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4059

Hey folks,
I am a new user to rec.radio.scanner group. I was once a scanner junkie years ago. I had an old 800mhz radio shack scanner. Now I recently purchised a Uniden BC796D Trunker. I read the reviews on the internet and it said this product was not for the "newbie" Heck, I am jumping in with both feet here. I hope you people @ rec.radio.scanner group can help.

Here is my question(s) to the group.
Is there any software I can download that I can use for a nightlogger on my computer?

Is this sucker hard to program? How much radio knowledge should one need to program it? I consider my self to be some what computer tech savy..

I hope I can meet some new freinds with the same or similar Unidens.
Thanks for your time and reading my post.

6530. Re: Info needed for Uniden BC796D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-16 14:32:58 UTC
From: Keith <spam@invalid.invalid>
Message-ID: <em102p8ghk@news1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 4060

In article <1166277141.825060.183470@80g2000cwy.googlegroups.com>,
"ckfire1923" <ckfire1923@gmail.com> wrote:

> Hey folks,
> I am a new user to rec.radio.scanner group. I was once a scanner
> junkie years ago. I had an old 800mhz radio shack scanner. Now I
> recently purchised a Uniden BC796D Trunker. I read the reviews on the
> internet and it said this product was not for the "newbie" Heck, I am
> jumping in with both feet here. I hope you people @ rec.radio.scanner
> group can help.

If you are going to use it for Motorola trunking take it back to the
store and get a BCD396D or BCD996T. It will be useless in a few months
because of rebanding. If you bought it used you are SOL.

6531. Re: The fastest way to destroy ham radio is to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-16 15:34:49 UTC
From: Me Now <me@linux.now>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.12.16.15.34.47.868498@linux.now>
Source record: 4061
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I think it is reasonable to say that ham radio was already destroyed
because it has not kept up with change. Eliminating the CW tests will
helbring it back from possible extinction.

Who cares it we get lazy people into the hobby? At least we get some
people.

On Sun, 10 Dec 2006 00:53:12 +0000, Slow Code wrote:

>
> When code is gone your going to see an in rush of lazy people that don't
> want to be good or skilled at anything, and their frustration over their
> laziness will be taken out on other hams on the bands. This will turn ham
> radio into CB.
>
> SC

6532. Re: Info needed for Uniden BC796D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-16 16:32:27 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <vcVgh.49526$dN4.5789@news-wrt-01.rdc-nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 4062

"ckfire1923" <ckfire1923@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1166277141.825060.183470@80g2000cwy.googlegroups.com...
> Hey folks,
> I am a new user to rec.radio.scanner group. I was once a scanner
> junkie years ago. I had an old 800mhz radio shack scanner. Now I
> recently purchised a Uniden BC796D Trunker. I read the reviews on the
> internet and it said this product was not for the "newbie" Heck, I am
> jumping in with both feet here. I hope you people @ rec.radio.scanner
> group can help.
>
> Here is my question(s) to the group.
> Is there any software I can download that I can use for a nightlogger
> on my computer?
>
> Is this sucker hard to program? How much radio knowledge should one
> need to program it? I consider my self to be some what computer tech
> savy..

For logging and recording you can use IDtracker.
http://personal.bellsouth.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm

Ignore the other post about rebanding as it is completely wrong. Uniden has committed to support the 796D for rebanding with a firmware update that you can apply with your computer. Since no Motorola systems have been rebanded yet no one knows exactly what will change. Fiirmware updates offer considerable flexibility but there is no guarantee that any current scanner model will be able to adapt to a rebanded system. Search for rebanding on the Uniden web site for more details on what rebanding is and what scanners they will support.

For programming most people like ARC250.
http://www.butel.nl/bc785/bc250index.html

It is very tedious to program the radio by hand. ARC250 makes it considerably easier.

6533. Re: The fastest way to destroy ham radio is to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-16 16:43:33 UTC
From: not.always@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1166287413.912328.105380@79g2000cws.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4063
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:
> When code is gone your going to see an in rush of lazy people that don't
> want to be good or skilled at anything, and their frustration over their
> laziness will be taken out on other hams on the bands. This will turn ham
> radio into CB.

But that's the whole idea ... to stymie uncensored communications
between rational adults.

That's why with every FCC ruling, the joys of scanning are effectively
reduced in favor of it.

6534. Re: Info needed for Uniden BC796D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-17 00:06:08 UTC
From: "Richard Carlson, N9JIG" <usenet@usenet.net>
Message-ID: <usenet-60B64B.18060816122006@comcast.dca.giganews.com>
Source record: 4064

In article <vcVgh.49526$dN4.5789@news-wrt-01.rdc-nyc.rr.com>,
"Frank346" <frank346@groznyk.com> wrote:

> "ckfire1923" <ckfire1923@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1166277141.825060.183470@80g2000cwy.googlegroups.com...
> > Hey folks,
> > I am a new user to rec.radio.scanner group. I was once a scanner
> > junkie years ago. I had an old 800mhz radio shack scanner. Now I
> > recently purchised a Uniden BC796D Trunker. I read the reviews on the
> > internet and it said this product was not for the "newbie" Heck, I am
> > jumping in with both feet here. I hope you people @ rec.radio.scanner
> > group can help.
> >
> > Here is my question(s) to the group.
> > Is there any software I can download that I can use for a nightlogger
> > on my computer?
> >
> > Is this sucker hard to program? How much radio knowledge should one
> > need to program it? I consider my self to be some what computer tech
> > savy..
>
> For logging and recording you can use IDtracker.
> http://personal.bellsouth.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm
>
> Ignore the other post about rebanding as it is completely wrong. Uniden has
> committed to support the 796D for rebanding with a firmware update that you
> can apply with your computer. Since no Motorola systems have been rebanded
> yet no one knows exactly what will change. Fiirmware updates offer
> considerable flexibility but there is no guarantee that any current scanner
> model will be able to adapt to a rebanded system. Search for rebanding on
> the Uniden web site for more details on what rebanding is and what scanners
> they will support.
>
> For programming most people like ARC250.
> http://www.butel.nl/bc785/bc250index.html
>
> It is very tedious to program the radio by hand. ARC250 makes it
> considerably easier.

In article <1166277141.825060.183470@80g2000cwy.googlegroups.com>,
"keith"> wrote:

If you are going to use it for Motorola trunking take it back to the
store and get a BCD396D or BCD996T. It will be useless in a few months
because of rebanding. If you bought it used you are SOL.

Well, both posters may be right, depending on the area you live in.

The 796 will be able to be reflashed with the proper firmware for 800 MHz. trunking. The rub is if you are in an area that will be using the new 700 MHz. band. This band is not and will not be supported by the 796 even after being reflashed. If your area uses or will use 700 MHz. then you should invest in a 396 or 996.

In Illinois for instance, there are a bunch of sites using 700 MHz right now on the new StarCom21 trunked system that only the 396 or 996 can follow.

I do concur that Arc250 is the way to go for programming and control of the 796. I have 2 of these radios and Arc250 works great!

6535. latest no code info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-17 00:51:24 UTC
From: Carl Wesser <wesser@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <12o954k4cl7e108@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 4065

I know it's off topic, but for some of us, this is news....

Dec 15, 2006 -- In an historic move, the FCC has acted to
drop the Morse code requirement for all Amateur Radio license classes.
The
Commission today adopted a Report and Order (R&O) in WT Docket 05-235.
In a
break from typical practice, the FCC only issued a public notice at or
about
the close of business and not the actual Report & Order, so some
details --
including the effective date of the R&O -- remain uncertain. Also
today, the
FCC also adopted an Order on Reconsideration, in WT Docket 04-140 --
the
"omnibus" proceeding -- agreeing to modify the Amateur Radio rules in
response to an ARRL request to accommodate automatically controlled
narrowband digital stations on 80 meters in the wake of rule changes
that
became effective today at 12:01 AM Eastern Time. The Commission said it
will
carve out the 3585 to 3600 kHz frequency segment for such operations.
Prior
to the long-awaited action on the Morse code issue, Amateur Radio
applicants
for General and higher class licenses had to pass a 5 WPM Morse code
test to
operate on HF. The Commission said today's R&O eliminates that
requirement
for General and Amateur Extra applicants.

"This change eliminates an unnecessary regulatory burden that may
discourage
current Amateur Radio operators from advancing their skills and
participating more fully in the benefits of Amateur Radio," the FCC
said.
The ARRL had asked the FCC to retain the 5 WPM for Amateur Extra class
applicants only. The FCC proposed earlier to drop the requirement
across the
board, however, and it held to that decision in today's R&O.
Perhaps more important, the FCC's action in WT Docket 05-235 appears to
put
all Technician licensees on an equal footing: Once the R&O goes into
effect,
holders of Technician class licenses will have equivalent HF

privileges,
whether or not they've passed the 5 WPM Element 1 Morse examination.
The FCC
said the R&O in the Morse code docket would eliminate a disparity in
the
operating privileges for the Technician and Technician Plus class
licensees.
Technician licensees without Element 1 credit (i.e., Tech Plus licensees)
currently have operating privileges on all amateur frequencies above 30
MHz.

"With today's elimination of the Morse code exam requirements, the FCC
concluded that the disparity between the operating privileges of
Technician
Class licensees and Technician Plus Class licensees should not be
retained,"
the FCC said in its public notice. "Therefore, the FCC, in today's
action,
afforded Technician and Technician Plus licensees identical operating
privileges."

The wholesale elimination of a Morse code requirement for all license
classes ends a longstanding national and international regulatory
tradition
in the requirements to gain access to Amateur Radio frequencies below
30
MHz. The first no-code license in the US was the Technician ticket,
instituted in 1991. The question of whether or not to drop the Morse
requirement altogether has been the subject of often-heated debate over
the
past several years, but the handwriting has been on the wall. A number
of
countries, including Canada, no longer require applicants for an
Amateur
Radio license to pass a Morse code test to gain HF operating
privileges. The
list has been increasing regularly.

The FCC said today's R&O in WT Docket 05-235 comports with revisions to
the
international Radio Regulations resulting from the International
Telecommunication Union (ITU) World Radiocommunication Conference 2003
(WRC-03). At that gathering, delegates agreed to authorize each country
to
determine whether or not to require that applicants demonstrate Morse
code
proficiency in order to qualify for an Amateur Radio license with
privileges
on frequencies below 30 MHz.

Typically, the effective date of an FCC Order is 30 days after it appears in
the Federal Register. That would mean the Morse requirement and the revised
80-meter segment for automatically controlled digital stations would likely
not go into effect until late January 2007.

The ARRL will provide any additional information on these important Part 97
rule revisions as it becomes available.

6536. Re: Info needed for Uniden BC796D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-17 02:20:44 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <0Q1hh.49557$dN4.13073@news-wrt-01.rdc-nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 4066

"Richard Carlson, N9JIG" <usenet@usenet.net> wrote in message
news:usenet-60B64B.18060816122006@comcast.dca.giganews.com...
> In article <vcVgh.49526$dN4.5789@news-wrt-01.rdc-nyc.rr.com>,
> "Frank346" <frank346@groznyk.com> wrote:
>
> > "ckfire1923" <ckfire1923@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1166277141.825060.183470@80g2000cwy.googlegroups.com...
> > > Hey folks,
> > > I am a new user to rec.radio.scanner group. I was once a scanner
> > > junkie years ago. I had an old 800mhz radio shack scanner. Now I
> > > recently purchised a Uniden BC796D Trunker. I read the reviews on the
> > > internet and it said this product was not for the "newbie" Heck, I am
> > > jumping in with both feet here. I hope you people @ rec.radio.scanner
> > > group can help.
> > >
> > > Here is my question(s) to the group.
> > > Is there any software I can download that I can use for a nightlogger
> > > on my computer?
> > >
> > > Is this sucker hard to program? How much radio knowledge should one
> > > need to program it? I consider my self to be some what computer tech
> > > savy..
> >
> > For logging and recording you can use IDtracker.
> > http://personal.bellsouth.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm
> >
> > Ignore the other post about rebanding as it is completely wrong. Uniden
has
> > committed to support the 796D for rebanding with a firmware update that
you
> > can apply with your computer. Since no Motorola systems have been
rebanded
> > yet no one knows exactly what will change. Fiirmware updates offer
> > considerable flexibility but there is no guarantee that any current
scanner
> > model will be able to adapt to a rebanded system. Search for rebanding
on
> > the Uniden web site for more details on what rebanding is and what
scanners
> > they will support.
> >
> > For programming most people like ARC250.
> > http://www.butel.nl/bc785/bc250index.html
> >

> > It is very tedious to program the radio by hand. ARC250 makes it
> > considerably easier.
>
> In article <1166277141.825060.183470@80g2000cwy.googlegroups.com>,
> "keith"> wrote:
>
> If you are going to use it for Motorola trunking take it back to the
> store and get a BCD396D or BCD996T. It will be useless in a few months
> because of rebanding. If you bought it used you are SOL.
>
> Well, both posters may be right, depending on the area you live in.
>
> The 796 will be able to be reflashed with the proper firmware for 800
> MHz. trunking. The rub is if you are in an area that will be using the
> new 700 MHz. band. This band is not and will not be supported by the 796
> even after being reflashed. If your area uses or will use 700 MHz. then
> you should invest in a 396 or 996.
>
> In Illinois for instance, there are a bunch of sites using 700 MHz right
> now on the new StarCom21 trunked system that only the 396 or 996 can
> follow.
>
> I do concur that Arc250 is the way to go for programming and control of
> the 796. I have 2 of these radios and Arc250 works great!

Yes, the 796D does not cover 700 MHz and if that band is essential the original poster should consider the newer models you mentioned. The 700 MHz issue is not related to rebanding at all since that only affects 800 MHz.

6537. Re: The fastest way to destroy ham radio is to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-17 02:37:35 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-478890.18373516122006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4067
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <pan.2006.12.16.15.34.47.868498@linux.now>,
Me Now <me@linux.now> wrote:

> I think it is reasonable to say that ham radio was already destroyed
> because it has not kept up with change. Eliminating the CW tests will
> helbring it back from possible extinction.
>
< Snip >

It was destroyed by not regulating it properly.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

6538. Re: 1st Responder Wireless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-17 03:25:09 UTC
From: Tekkie® <Tekkie@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ZLadnfcM9JEMJRnYnZ2dnUVZ_qPinZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 4068

Scott posted for all of us...

>
> Hell, even with FirePage Ohio, they charge a one time fee for paperwork,
> but, after that, it's reasonably inexpensive.
>
> 1st Responder is a joke and they know it. They need to 'reward' their
> dispatchers... C'mon.....
>
So their "dispatchers" page every freaking little call on dispatch so they get credit for bogus crap. Sorta like green stamps - pay $100 for a 25 cent item.
--
Tekkie Don't bother to thank me, I do this as a public service.

6539. Re: 1st Responder Wireless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-17 04:41:46 UTC
From: Somewhere Nowhere <skypilot@writeme.com>
Message-ID: <2ci9o2hgna4paathkvblu9crl7q6qctcr7@4ax.com>
Source record: 4069

On Sat, 16 Dec 2006 22:25:09 -0500, Tekkieï¿½ <Tekkie@comcast.net>
wrote:

>Scott posted for all of us...
>
>>
>> Hell, even with FirePage Ohio, they charge a one time fee for paperwork,
>> but, after that, it's reasonably inexpensive.
>>
>> 1st Responder is a joke and they know it. They need to 'reward' their
>> dispatchers... C'mon.....
>>
>So their "dispatchers" page every freaking little call on dispatch so they get
>credit for bogus crap. Sorta like green stamps - pay $100 for a 25 cent item.

I am sorry but I missed the first part of this thread.

First of all, I have to say that I have only been dispatching for 1st
Responder for about 7-8 months. I came to them via Tac9Radio, of
which I dispatched for about three years.

I was and still am very impressed with 1st Responder Wireless, for
several reasons.

They are all about Quality versus Quantity. We are only allowed to
send out pages that are not confirmed by a unit on scene. No pages
sent out based on PD/FD/EMS/ALS dispatch. No simple smoke showing
calls dispatched until confirmed by crews entering attack mode or a
"working fire" being called by IC. If a dispatcher has a question
about whether a call is dispatch worthy, they are encouraged to ask a
supervisor. It took me a while, and a few friendly admonishments
before I got it down (even though I was a FF for 18 years and even
worked in a 911 center for 5 years).

1st Responders dispatcher also frequent hold meetings to discuss
issues and training. Virginia state dispatchers are having a meeting
this month and the 1st Responder general manager is attending.

So tell me, what is wrong with rewarding dispatchers?

Brian

--

Brian J. Rueger "Who dares wins"
Capt.(Ret)/Paramedic Hampton, VA.
B.S.Comm/I/Pilot MSgt, USAF (Ret.) 49199

Check out my personal home page: http://members.cox.net/brueger
Check out my photography: http://www.usefilm.com/member/skypilot
"Life's too short to drink LITE beer!"

6540. Looking for a manual.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-17 13:34:51 UTC
From: Dana <dana@panix.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.NEB.4.64.0612170832080.641@panix2.panix.com>
Source record: 4070
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Would anyone happen to have the manual for a radio shack htx-212 2 meter rig in either pdf format or in .txt? I am blind, and need to try to figure out how to set the pl tones on this rig. If you have one, or can point me to a web site, that would be great. Just e-mail to this address. Dana@panix.com Tnx.

6541. Re: Looking for a manual.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-17 14:48:43 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <fNchh.51788$qp1.12018@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 4071
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Dana" <dana@panix.com> wrote in message
news:Pine.NEB.4.64.0612170832080.641@panix2.panix.com...
> Would anyone happen to have the manual for a radio shack htx-212 2 meter
> rig in either pdf format or in .txt? I am blind, and need to try to figure
> out how to set the pl tones on this rig. If you have one, or can point me
> to a web site, that would be great. Just e-mail to this address.
> Dana@panix.com Tnx.
>

http://www.radioshack.com/graphics/uc/rsk/Support/ProductManuals/1901125_PM_EN.pdf

Hopefully someone can read it for you as I don't know that text to speech
can read this PDF.

6542. "Mark C Morgan" Is What Happens When The Short Yellow Bus Takes A Detour

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-17 15:42:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1166370148.512571.280690@73g2000cwn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4072
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
> know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan. steve goes claiming
> othermen eat his #####

KB9RQZ demonstrating his lack of English comprehension skills.

> stop the lying stop the vandalism and stop the bull at this point my
> server say you have post 8o plus itme titled with #### or a synoym in
> the title

You're looking in a mirror, fatboy. Those are all YOUR posts.

> stop lying syaing I eat your ####

I never said you did.

> stop lying about being miiss quoted

You ARE misquoting me. Over and over.

> get a life and get help since you are clearly obessed with staking a
> man sexualy

I have a life. It's called employment. You wouldn't know about
that, would you?

And what is "obessed"...?!?! And "staking" a man sexualy"..?!?!

> the truth I trick you in 1998 when you threnting to MURDER me

You can't trick your way out of a paper bag, fatboy, and I never
threatened to murder you.

And further retelling of same is yet another lie.

> stop this whining and face facts you are the one that is sick with
> your obesstion for ####

What's an "obesstion"?

If you meant OBSESSION, then you're talking to yourself since YOU
keep requoting it over and over and using that word blatantly.

> the ruth is you are truly MAD robeson get help

The 'ruth" is...?!?!

I don't know anyone named Ruth in my family.

> and please stop the lie I have seen that you are doing ANYTHING for my
> benifit

You mean your BENEFIT...?!?!

I keep hoping that you'll wake up and realize what a fool you're
making of yourself one day. One can always hope.

> and stop lying about the ToS of Google they expressly prohibit your
> cyvervandalism

What is "cyvervandalism"...?!?!

> and stop lying about my Military service I have my DD 214 as I guess
> you do likely yours list section 8 as reason for discharge

The only thing you ever serviced in the military was a drunk
soldier through a knothole in the latrine.

Steve, K4YZ

6543. steve robeson bashes the disabled for fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-17 17:23:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <v5vao2hadc7bju8a7e7p9lgbt02r0cp5b4@4ax.com>
Source record: 4073
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On 17 Dec 2006 07:42:28 -0800, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
wrote:Re: "Mark C Morgan" Is What Happens When The Short Yellow Bus
Takes A Detour

stop being such absolute horror of a human being

you have admited you post this way becuase it entertains like you were
posting at one the RAPE was funny too
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6544. Re: Info needed for Uniden BC796D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-17 18:56:55 UTC
From: Steve Stone <zzspfleck@citlink.netzz>
Message-ID: <Xpghh.7674$Ka1.5291@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 4074

I have a BC796D.

The programming software that comes with the radio is pretty bad.
The money spent for software like Butel ARC250 is well worth the expense.

6545. Re: Looking for a manual.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-17 18:57:09 UTC
From: Ed <Huckleberry_REMOVE_@bigvalley.net>
Message-ID: <Xns989C707FC9956spectrumhogstarbandn@198.186.192.196>
Source record: 4075
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

> Would anyone happen to have the manual for a radio shack htx-212 2
> meter rig in either pdf format or in .txt? I am blind, and need to try
> to figure out how to set the pl tones on this rig. If you have one, or
> can point me to a web site, that would be great. Just e-mail to this
> address. Dana@panix.com Tnx.
>

http://www.mods.dk has both the user manual and the service manual
for this radio. You do not have to have an account to get a single
download per week.

Ed K7AAT

6546. Mark Morgan's father sodomises Markie for fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-17 20:36:02 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1166387762.369553.297610@73g2000cwn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4076
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 17 Dec 2006 07:42:28 -0800, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
> wrote:Re: "Mark C Morgan" Is What Happens When The Short Yellow Bus
> Takes A Detour
>
>
> stop being such absolute horror of a human being
>
> you have admited you post this way becuase it entertains like you were
> posting at one the RAPE was funny too

You are the one who claimed to enjoy being raped, Marqueer.

BTW, what happened to your statement that if they drop the code you
were out of here for good? Looks like you lied again!

6547. Re: kookathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-17 20:37:41 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1166387861.177774.194310@t46g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4077
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

an_old_friendless child molester wrote:
> Billy cumgobbler Smith wrote:
> > The God of Obtuse Statements wrote:
> > > On Mon, 13 Nov 2006 14:25:13 -0800, Wabbit, posting as Gav_HoJackoff, did
> > > most oddly state:
> > >
> > > > MARQUEER!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > > > get off the dam computer and get back to sucking my
> > > > cock!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > >
> > > One can always tell it's a froggery because Mark's blog is deliberately
> > > misnamed, and "Gav" is obviously Wabbit. <flush>
> >
> > And as usual, you don't know what the fuck you are yammering about.
>
> of course he does you are woger you fake names

Wrong again, as usual, stupid.

> get a life

Follow your own advice, Marqueer, and get a clue.

6548. Re: CONGRATS!! FOR KEEPING AMATEUR RADIO FREE FROM THE FILTHY SODOMITES BRIAN AND MARKY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-17 21:16:28 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1166390188.744338.128140@80g2000cwy.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4078
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,alt.drugs.hard,rec.radio.scanner

Demon Lardass of Constipation wrote:
> On Thu, 07 Dec 2006 20:16:55 -0800, Wabbit, aka MarQueerMyDear, attempted
> to confuse the issue further by squeaking:
> > kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> > > On 27 Nov 2006 18:43:26 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
> >> > > wrote:
> >> > > >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> > > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > > >> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> > > >> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > > >> >>> Dave Heil wrote:
> >> > > >> >>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > > >> >>>>> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> > > >> >>>>>> On 26 Nov 2006 04:12:44 -0800,
> >> > > >> >>>>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > > >> >>>>>>
> >> > > >> >>>>>> I recall K4YZ/KTN4GN inviting people to monitor him
> >> > > >> >>>>>> and he would send an SWL confirmation.
> >> > > >> >>>>>> in other wors more self serveing fluff?
> >> > > >> >>>>>>
> >> > > >> >>>>>> Zackley! To hell with FOUO. SWL him for his glory.
> >> > > >> >>>>>>
> >> > > >> >>>>>> well it shows how we learn of this great letter and you
> >> > > >> >>>>>> notice he did not post it here but sent in his
> >> > > >> >>>>>> sockpuppets to anounce it
> >> > > >> >>>>>>
> >> > > >> >>>>>> What you just said made me curious, so I hit up
> >> > > >> >>>>>> WWW.QRZ.COM for "Newport" and there are NONE in 4-land.
> >> > > >> >>>>>> There's one in Washington, two in five-land, and a handful
> >> > > >> >>>>>> of 'em in Canton, Ohio. His first post was on Oct 10th
> >> > > >> >>>>>> about "faggortry."
> >> > > >> >>>>>>
> >> > > >> >>>>>> Wait a minute.... Didn't Robesin used to live in Canton,
> >> > > >> >>>>>> Ohio? Maybe Bob Newport is one of his ex-in-laws or
> >> > > >> >>>>>> something.
> >> > > >> >>>>>>
> >> > > >> >>>>>> well, he's down to the level of annoyance.
> >> > > >> >>>>>>
> >> > > >> >>>>>> He always was.
> >> > > >> >>>>>>

> >> > > >> >>>> After you get comfortable in your self-satisfaction over
> >> > > >> >>>> your decree that there are no Newports in 4-land, consider
> >> > > >> >>>> that Kentucky is in 4-land. There is a rather sizable city
> >> > > >> >>>> across the river from Cincinnati, called Newport, Kentucky.
> >> > > >> >>>> It is the county seat of Campbell County. Is your bulb
> >> > > >> >>>> burning any brighter?
> >> > > >> >>>>
> >> > > >> >>>> [superfluous newsgroups trimmed]
> >> > > >> >>>>
> >> > > >> >>>> Dave K8MN
> >> > > >> >>> Dave, that is a place name, not a family name. There's a
> >> > > >> >>> Newport in Rhode Island as well, but no light bulb for the
> >> > > >> >>> geographically challenged Heil.
> >> > > >> >> If I was geographically challenged, I'd hardly have known the
> >> > > >> >> information I presented to you.
> >> > > >> >
> >> > > >> > So you're telling me that "Bill" is geography?
> >> > > >>
> >> > > >> Is that what you think I've told you?
> >> > > >>
> >> > > >> >>> "Bill Newport" does not appear to exist in 4-Land as the
> >> > > >> >>> anon poster would like us to think.
> >> > > >> >> I'll bet there's no "Hot-ham-and-cheese" in your local phone
> >> > > >> >> book either, Brian.
> >> > > >> >
> >> > > >> > I'll bet that, too.
> >> > > >>
> >> > > >> There you go.
> >> > > >>
> >> > > >> >>> The beauty of being an anon poster supporting the atrocities
> >> > > >> >>> of Robesin is that people tend to mistrust your claims.
> >> > > >> >> "His claims", Brian; not "your claims". Your name doesn't
> >> > > >> >> appear in your posts. You're an anonymous poster.
> >> > > >> >
> >> > > >> > So why do you call be "Brian?"
> >> > > >>
> >> > > >> My call be K8MN. What you call be?
> >> > > >>
> >> > > >> >>> Until "bill" can show us a correct listing on QRZ, I'll just
> >> > > >> >>> have to doubt he exists in real life.
> >> > > >> >> Until "Hot-ham-and-cheese" can show me a correct listing in
> >> > > >> >> QRZ, I'll believe him to be a fearful fraud.
> >> > > >> >
> >> > > >> > It's a free country.
> >> > > >>
> >> > > >> ...but not terribly intelligent.
> >> > > >>
> >> > > >> >>> Thank you for your sincere concern.
> >> > > >>
> >> > > >> >> Thanks for the cheap entertainment.

> >> > > >> >
> >> > > >> > Indeed.
> >> > > >> >
> >> > > >> > Notice that "Bill" used an underscore (_) between his first
> >> > > >> > and last name. Also notice that "Bill" then used a period (.)
> >> > > >> > between his full name and his general location, "4-Land."
> >> > > >>
> >> > > >> How about that!
> >> > > >>
> >> > > >> > Thank you for another demonstration of you inability to
> >> > > >> > properly read and use syntax.
> >> > > >>
> >> > > >> Notice that you use hyphens between the parts of your screen
> >> > > >> name. If you buy such a sandwich at any restaurant, are there
> >> > > >> any hyphens in the name?
> >> > > >>
> >> > > >> Dave K8MN
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > >Notice that your communication has become meaningless.
> >> > > >
> >> > > > I am certain all commucation has become meaningless to him and he
> >> > > > never noticed
> >> > >
> >> > He's an A-1 OP.
> >>
> >> is he realy? certainly that not something to be aspried to
> >>
> >> one A1 op threatens to muder people over radio topics another states
> >> plainly he is willing to help other hams break the rules if they are Dx
> >> HE wants
> >>
> >> and both intent on preserving their frat boy intiation rites
> >>
> >> pastetic
> >
> > FAGS OF A FEATHER SWAP SPIT TOGETHER
>
> I hope you win

Against you is a sure victory, now go eat the contents of your
colsotomy bag.

6549. Re: cyber vadnal at work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-17 21:20:34 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1166390434.367542.301880@j72g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4079
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 12 Dec 2006 06:07:34 -0800, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On Mon, 11 Dec 2006 01:49:52 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Mark in the Dark, wrote in
> >> >news:of1nn21l1pb1scbngu7g0ong8tnae1tvj8@4ax.com:
> >> >
> >> >> On 6 Dec 2006 04:19:04 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >>>
> >> >>>snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> cyber vandal at work
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >Shut up Markie.
> >>
> >> nope
> >
> >STFU, dumbass.
> no

STFU, dumbass!

> >
> >>
> >> > Learn CW.
> >>
> >> nope
> >
> >Too stupid to do so?
> no I lack the right inborn it is obviosly NOT conected to inteligence
> since you managed

Obviously it is since you can't manage it.

> >
> >>
> >> > Try to be a real ham.....
> >>

> >> I am a real ham
> >
> >No, you aren't, you are just a no code tech, a beginner's training
> >wheel ham tickeet.
>
> I am a real ham and better claerly than you

You are a training wheel ham who has to fake EME contacts.

> >
> >> >..... and stop pushing your
> >> >perverse Queer agenda on the rec.radio groups.
> >>
> >> I do no such thing SC
> >
> >Sure you do, you try to shove your homosexual agenda to the forefront
> >everywhere you go.
>
> No woger I don't I do defend myself when you and your friend enage in
> gay basshing thought

Whine, faggot, whine!

> >> but you insist on pushing YOUR agenda of hate on them
> >
> >Whaaaaaaah, cry, bitch, cry!
>
> just elling the truth woger

"elling?" You've never told the truth from day one, Marqueer!

6550. Re: kookathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-17 22:00:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ggfbo21tn8higq2qgnbnk3gr7m72stbl4a@4ax.com>
Source record: 4080
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

On 17 Dec 2006 12:37:41 -0800, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friendless child molester wrote:

now you desperately try to misquote yourself out of context Morkie,
I will conceed that you know two things that I will never know...What
it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals, and the
going welfare rates in Michigan. steve goes claiming

stop the cyber vandalsim steve

stop the lying

stop the fraud

be a man apologize
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6551. Re: cyber vadnal at work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-17 22:04:32 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <vmfbo2pd0499gvujdia1dl3le0p3ka2kbu@4ax.com>
Source record: 4081
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 17 Dec 2006 13:20:34 -0800, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 12 Dec 2006 06:07:34 -0800, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
>> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> >> On Mon, 11 Dec 2006 01:49:52 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >Mark in the Dark, wrote in
>> >> >news:of1nn21l1pb1scbngu7g0ong8tnae1tvj8@4ax.com:
>> >> >
>> >> >> On 6 Dec 2006 04:19:04 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>>snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> cyber vandal at work
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >Shut up Markie.
>> >>
>> >> nope
>> >
>> >STFU, dumbass.
>> no
>>
>STFU, dumbass!

no woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6552. Re: Wismen's father sodomises Roger for fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-17 22:18:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <bfgbo21f84km5olb5qtq26p058u3fvvo5b@4ax.com>
Source record: 4082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On 17 Dec 2006 12:36:02 -0800, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 17 Dec 2006 07:42:28 -0800, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
>> wrote:Re: "Mark C Morgan" Is What Happens When The Short Yellow Bus
>> Takes A Detour
>>
>>
>> stop being such absolute horror of a human being
>>
>> you have admited you post this way becuase it entertains like you were
>> posting at one the RAPE was funny too
>
>You are the one who claimed to enjoy being raped, Marqueer.

lying again woger
>
>BTW, what happened to your statement that if they drop the code you
>were out of here for good? Looks like you lied again!

woger I said I would leave when I could sign kb9rqz/ae

can't do that yet

but you can't tell the truth
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6553. Re: Info needed for Uniden BC796D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-18 00:11:54 UTC
From: ckfire1923 <ckfire1923@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1166400714.227324.15270@79g2000cws.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4083

Thanks very much for all the information. I have gotten out my wallet
and put forth the extra money for the Butel ARC250 Pro software. Now I
just need to find out how to set up my computer to record as a night
logger. And find some groups for local scanner frequencies.
Again, I can't thank you folks enough. I hope someday I can help
anyone one of you.

On Dec 17, 1:56 pm, "Steve Stone" <zzspfl...@citlink.netzz> wrote:
> I have a BC796D.
>
> The programming software that comes with the radio is pretty bad.
> The money spent for software like Butel ARC250 is well worth the expense.

6554. Re: 1st Responder Wireless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-18 02:21:06 UTC
From: Tekkie® <Tekkie@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <B4ednebM-uKWZhjYnZ2dnUVZ_vrinZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 4084

Somewhere Nowhere posted for all of us...

> They are all about Quality versus Quantity. We are only allowed to
> send out pages that are not confirmed by a unit on scene.
>
Not from what I've seen. Is this a typo?
--
Tekkie Don't bother to thank me, I do this as a public service.

6555. Re: 1st Responder Wireless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-18 02:22:56 UTC
From: Tekkie® <Tekkie@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <B4edneHM-uLkZhjYnZ2dnUVZ_vrinZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 4085

Somewhere Nowhere posted for all of us...

> So tell me, what is wrong with rewarding dispatchers?
>
It leads to abuse of the system and the exact problems I stated.
--
Tekkie Don't bother to thank me, I do this as a public service.

6556. Re: Info needed for Uniden BC796D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-18 02:45:27 UTC
From: Steve Stone <zzspfleck@citlink.netzz>
Message-ID: <bhnhh.7792$ya1.2226@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 4086

> Thanks very much for all the information. I have gotten out my wallet
> and put forth the extra money for the Butel ARC250 Pro software. Now I
> just need to find out how to set up my computer to record as a night
> logger.

The ARC250 software has a built in recorder feature.
Just make sure you turn on record mode for the channels you want to
save.

6557. Airband Worse With External Antenna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-18 02:57:35 UTC
From: mikeg@mikeg.net
Message-ID: <1166410655.363436.4430@f1g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4087

So, I've been setting up a Radio Shack 433 scanner in the basement to pick-up the local airband frequencies. I bought a proper airband antenna, and over the last week, I've had it temporarily mounted to the wall in my basement, and reception has been okay. Today, I finally got around to mounting it on the roof of the house, and now reception is worse! I can barely pick up anything at all. Strange thing is, if I connect my handheld aviation transceiver to the antenna, I can hear great, even at full squelch. But with the 433 (or the 2055 that my wife uses to listen to the cops) I can hardly make out anything. I'm puzzled as to how an antenna-receiver combination that was working tolerably in the basement can now not be working at all with the antenna mounted properly! Any ideas???

6558. Re: Looking for a manual.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-18 04:50:36 UTC
From: Dana <dana@panix.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.NEB.4.64.0612172349020.17565@panix2.panix.com>
Source record: 4088
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Hi all. Just wanted to say thanks to everyone who responded. I got the manual, then got it into .txt then got a ham to look for a picture of the rig on the net so he could tell me the button layout. I got all my pl tones in and everything is rorking just fine. Thanks from Dana in Griffin, Georgia. n4pge.

6559. Re: crapthoion continues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-18 19:50:04 UTC
From: bcrowell@excite.com
Message-ID: <1166471404.164658.24870@79g2000cws.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4089
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hello. I'm Bill Crowell, W6WBJ, and I've been a ham for 47 years now. I'm a good operator, and I'm here to tell you that Riley Hollingsworth is full of shit. He HAS NOT designated my renewal application for a hearing; he just wanted to defame my by trying to make everyone THINK he had done so. If you carefully read the warning notice which he sent me, you'll note that it says, in pertinent part:

"the issue of renewal of your license has been referred for designation of a hearing"

What this means is:

(1) it hasn't been designated for a hearing yet. It's merely been "referred" for such a designation. No hearing date has been set, nor is it clear that one will EVER be set.

(2) "referred for designation" simply means that Riley the bullshit artist has asked his superiors for permission to take my case to a hearing. It is by no means clear that they will grant his request. Hearings are expensive, and there is no reason to take me to a hearing because I've done nothing wrong. Indeed, judging by the passage of time since he sent me my warning notice, with no hearing deisgnation order yet issued, it looks increasingly unlikely that such permission has been granted.

What we have here, gentlemen, is another example of Riley Hollywood pulling the wool over your eyse by trying to make it appear that he is taking me to a hearing, when in fact he has not done so. You have been used. Abused. When are you going to wake up to what a dirty son of a bitch old Riley is?

6560. Re: LF: Canadian frequency guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-18 20:36:17 UTC
From: smmason@shaw.ca
Message-ID: <1166474177.400088.269250@l12g2000cwl.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4090

Private_Sale wrote:
> Is there an online scanning frequency guide for Canada? I remember a nice
> book they used to publish a few years ago but it has been discontinued.
>
> Thanks!

You can get Canadian frequency info direct from the Govt. at
http://sd.ic.gc.ca/engdoc/main.jsp
You can download full files for your area, or do searches. Quite
handy.

Steve

6561. Re: Airband Worse With External Antenna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-18 22:14:18 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <_oEhh.32488$tb6.24269@news-wrt-01.rdc-nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 4091

<mikeg@mikeg.net> wrote in message
news:1166410655.363436.4430@f1g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> So, I've been setting up a Radio Shack 433 scanner in the basement to
> pick-up the local airband frequencies. I bought a proper airband
> antenna, and over the last week, I've had it temporarily mounted to the
> wall in my basement, and reception has been okay. Today, I finally got
> around to mounting it on the roof of the house, and now reception is
> worse! I can barely pick up anything at all. Strange thing is, if I
> connect my handheld aviation transceiver to the antenna, I can hear
> great, even at full squelch. But with the 433 (or the 2055 that my wife
> uses to listen to the cops) I can hardly make out anything. I'm puzzled
> as to how an antenna-receiver combination that was working tolerably in
> the basement can now not be working at all with the antenna mounted
> properly! Any ideas???
>
Your aviation transceiver is better quality than the scanners which are
evidently being overloaded. An FM broadcast filter might help -
http://www.parelectronics.com/scanner.htm

6562. Re: Airband Worse With External Antenna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-19 14:42:23 UTC
From: Mike Granby <mikeg@mikeg.net>
Message-ID: <1166539343.120282.319660@a3g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4092

Thanks -- I'll give that a try.

6563. Re: Airband Worse With External Antenna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-19 15:17:56 UTC
From: SeppoP <seppo_pietikainen@xyahoox.com>
Message-ID: <4uqe54F199si8U1@mid.individual.net>
Source record: 4093

Frank346 wrote:
> <mikeg@mikeg.net> wrote in message
> news:1166410655.363436.4430@f1g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> So, I've been setting up a Radio Shack 433 scanner in the basement to
>> pick-up the local airband frequencies. I bought a proper airband
>> antenna, and over the last week, I've had it temporarily mounted to the
>> wall in my basement, and reception has been okay. Today, I finally got
>> around to mounting it on the roof of the house, and now reception is
>> worse! I can barely pick up anything at all. Strange thing is, if I
>> connect my handheld aviation transceiver to the antenna, I can hear
>> great, even at full squelch. But with the 433 (or the 2055 that my wife
>> uses to listen to the cops) I can hardly make out anything. I'm puzzled
>> as to how an antenna-receiver combination that was working tolerably in
>> the basement can now not be working at all with the antenna mounted
>> properly! Any ideas???
>>
> Your aviation transceiver is better quality than the scanners which are
> evidently being overloaded. An FM broadcast filter might help -
> http://www.parelectronics.com/scanner.htm
>
>

I guess you've checked the antenna cable connectors?

--
Seppo P.
What's wrong with Theocracy? (a Finnish Taliban, Oct 1, 2005)

6564. Re: Airband Worse With External Antenna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-19 16:40:58 UTC
From: Bill <Bill@birchnet.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <o3hLbKfcbBiFFwJL@birchnet.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 4094

In message <4uqe54F199si8U1@mid.individual.net>, SeppoP
<seppo_pietikainen@xyahoox.com> writes
>
>I guess you've checked the antenna cable connectors?
>
Also how long a length and of what sort of coax have you used? There
could be quite a loss in the cable.
--
Bill

6565. Re: Airband Worse With External Antenna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-19 17:58:43 UTC
From: Mike Granby <mikeg@mikeg.net>
Message-ID: <1166551123.167224.213700@79g2000cws.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4095

SeppoP wrote:

> I guess you've checked the antenna cable connectors?

Yep, the cable's fine. And it works fine with the handheld, so I think the overload issue is the most likely culprit. I've got a bandpass filter on order, so I'll give that a try.

6566. Re: Airband Worse With External Antenna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-19 17:59:28 UTC
From: Mike Granby <mikeg@mikeg.net>
Message-ID: <1166551168.087422.186990@i12g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4096

Bill wrote:

> Also how long a length and of what sort of coax have you used?

It's about 60 ft of RG-58. Probably ought to be RG-8, but I think it
should be okay.

6567. Re: Airband Worse With External Antenna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-20 03:22:23 UTC
From: Mike Granby <mikeg@mikeg.net>
Message-ID: <1166584943.575974.33620@i12g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4097

Jeff Burke wrote:

> An attenuator might help

Great, isn't it? I spend all afternoon messing about up a ladder to get
a stronger signal, and then I have to attenuate it to make things work!
:)

6568. Re: CONGRATS!! FOR KEEPING AMATEUR RADIO FREE FROM THE FILTHY SODOMITES BRIAN AND MARKY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-20 03:58:33 UTC
From: "Text Medium No. 5" <mhm29x21@meow.flonk>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.12.20.03.58.29.680284@hail.eris.flonk.meow.all.hail.discordia.meow.flonk.mockery.demon.flonk.meow.i.am.not.really.leola>
Source record: 4098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,alt.drugs.hard,rec.radio.scanner

```
Hail Eris! On Sun, 17 Dec 2006 13:16:28 -0800, Not Cocksucker Lloyd
jabbered inanely:
> Demon Lardass of Constipation wrote:
>> On Thu, 07 Dec 2006 20:16:55 -0800, Wabbit, aka MarQueerMyDear,
>> attempted to confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>> > kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> >> > > On 27 Nov 2006 18:43:26 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
>> >> > > wrote:
>> >> > > >Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> > > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > > >> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> > > >> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > > >> >>> Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> > > >> >>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > > >> >>>>> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> >> > > >> >>>>>> On 26 Nov 2006 04:12:44 -0800,
>> >> > > >> >>>>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > > >> >>>>>>
>> >> > > >> >>>>>>> I recall K4YZ/KTN4GN inviting people to monitor him
>> >> > > >> >>>>>>> and he would send an SWL confirmation.
>> >> > > >> >>>>>>> in other wors more self serveing fluff?
>> >> > > >> >>>>>>
>> >> > > >> >>>>>>> Zackley! To hell with FOUO. SWL him for his glory.
>> >> > > >> >>>>>>
>> >> > > >> >>>>>> well it shows how we learn of this great letter and you
>> >> > > >> >>>>>> notice he did not post it here but sent in his
>> >> > > >> >>>>>> sockpuppets to anounce it
>> >> > > >> >>>>>>
>> >> > > >> >>>>> What you just said made me curious, so I hit up
>> >> > > >> >>>>> WWW.QRZ.COM for "Newport" and there are NONE in 4-land.
>> >> > > >> >>>>> There's one in Washington, two in five-land, and a
>> >> > > >> >>>>> handful of 'em in Canton, Ohio. His first post was on
>> >> > > >> >>>>> Oct 10th about "faggortry."
>> >> > > >> >>>>>
>> >> > > >> >>>> Wait a minute.... Didn't Robesin used to live in
>> >> > > >> >>>> Canton, Ohio? Maybe Bob Newport is one of his
>> >> > > >> >>>> ex-in-laws or something.
>> >> > > >> >>>>
>> >> > > >> >>> well, he's down to the level of annoyance.
```

>> >> > > >> >
>> >> > > >> >>>>> He always was.
>> >> > > >> >
>> >> > > >> >>>> After you get comfortable in your self-satisfaction over
>> >> > > >> >>>> your decree that there are no Newports in 4-land,
>> >> > > >> >>>> consider that Kentucky is in 4-land. There is a rather
>> >> > > >> >>>> sizable city across the river from Cincinnati, called
>> >> > > >> >>>> Newport, Kentucky. It is the county seat of Campbell
>> >> > > >> >>>> County. Is your bulb burning any brighter?
>> >> > > >> >>>>
>> >> > > >> >>>> [superfluous newsgroups trimmed]
>> >> > > >> >>>>
>> >> > > >> >>>> Dave K8MN
>> >> > > >> >>> Dave, that is a place name, not a family name. There's a
>> >> > > >> >>> Newport in Rhode Island as well, but no light bulb for the
>> >> > > >> >>> geographically challenged Heil.
>> >> > > >> >> If I was geographically challenged, I'd hardly have known
>> >> > > >> >> the information I presented to you.
>> >> > > >> >
>> >> > > >> > So you're telling me that "Bill" is geography?
>> >> > > >>
>> >> > > >> Is that what you think I've told you?
>> >> > > >>
>> >> > > >> >>> "Bill Newport" does not appear to exist in 4-Land as the
>> >> > > >> >>> anon poster would like us to think.
>> >> > > >> >> I'll bet there's no "Hot-ham-and-cheese" in your local
>> >> > > >> >> phone book either, Brian.
>> >> > > >> >
>> >> > > >> > I'll bet that, too.
>> >> > > >>
>> >> > > >> There you go.
>> >> > > >>
>> >> > > >> >>> The beauty of being an anon poster supporting the
>> >> > > >> >>> atrocities of Robesin is that people tend to mistrust your
>> >> > > >> >>> claims.
>> >> > > >> >> "His claims", Brian; not "your claims". Your name doesn't
>> >> > > >> >> appear in your posts. You're an anonymous poster.
>> >> > > >> >
>> >> > > >> > So why do you call be "Brian?"
>> >> > > >>
>> >> > > >> My call be K8MN. What you call be?
>> >> > > >>
>> >> > > >> >>> Until "bill" can show us a correct listing on QRZ, I'll
>> >> > > >> >>> just have to doubt he exists in real life.
>> >> > > >> >> Until "Hot-ham-and-cheese" can show me a correct listing in
>> >> > > >> >> QRZ, I'll believe him to be a fearful fraud.
>> >> > > >> >
>> >> > > >> > It's a free country.
>> >> > > >>
>> >> > > >> ...but not terribly intelligent.

>> >> > > >>
>> >> > > >> >>> Thank you for your sincere concern.
>> >> > > >>
>> >> > > >> >> Thanks for the cheap entertainment.
>> >> > > >> >
>> >> > > >> > Indeed.
>> >> > > >> >
>> >> > > >> > Notice that "Bill" used an underscore (_) between his first
>> >> > > >> > and last name. Also notice that "Bill" then used a period
>> >> > > >> > (.) between his full name and his general location,
>> >> > > >> > "4-Land."
>> >> > > >>
>> >> > > >> How about that!
>> >> > > >>
>> >> > > >> > Thank you for another demonstration of you inability to
>> >> > > >> > properly read and use syntax.
>> >> > > >>
>> >> > > >> Notice that you use hyphens between the parts of your screen
>> >> > > >> name. If you buy such a sandwich at any restaurant, are there
>> >> > > >> any hyphens in the name?
>> >> > > >>
>> >> > > >> Dave K8MN
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > >Notice that your communication has become meaningless.
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > I am certain all commucation has become meaningless to him and he
>> >> > > never noticed
>> >> >
>> >> > He's an A-1 OP.
>> >>
>> >> is he realy? certainly that not something to be aspried to
>> >>
>> >> one A1 op threatens to muder people over radio topics another states
>> >> plainly he is willing to help other hams break the rules if they are
>> >> Dx HE wants
>> >>
>> >> and both intent on preserving their frat boy intiation rites
>> >>
>> >> pastetic
>> >
>> > FAGS OF A FEATHER SWAP SPIT TOGETHER
>>
>> I hope you win the Bag of Coal, Wabbit. The gods know how hard
>> you've worked for it this year.
>
> Against you is a sure victory, now go eat the contents of your colsotomy
> bag.

Well, damnitall, some under-appreciative numbnuts failed to second my
nomination of you, so you get nothing at all beyond the Pineapple. I guess

your k00kery just isn't all that outstanding.

--
Shon'ai COOSN-029-06-71069
"I was told there would be cookies."
Cross-Poasters For Goddess!
Remember: Straight people can't help it!
A petition to make the Five-Fingered Hand of Eris
the official symbol for the planet Eris:
http://www.petitiononline.com/ffhoeris/

"If you don't have pedicures AT LEAST every two weeks, don't talk to me. If you don't floss every night and morning and brush at least twice a day, don't talk to me. If you don't spend money on you hair and get great cuts and color, don't talk to me. If you are heavy, don't talk to me. If you don't shower every morning and take a nice bubble bath every night, don't talk to me. If you don't have a loved one in your arms, don't talk to me. If you don't keep an immaculate house, don't talk to me. If you don't work, don't talk to me." -- Clearly, Martha Vandella never wants to talk to me, which is for the best, really.
MID: <1161934857.062934.91900@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>

6569. Re: CONGRATS!! FOR what?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-20 05:40:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <e5jho216tkkbmn41gcqm1oojmoq6nq3g66@4ax.com>
Source record: 4099
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,alt.drugs.hard,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 20 Dec 2006 03:58:33 GMT, "Text Medium No. 5"
<mhm29x21@meow.flonk> wrote:

>Hail Eris! On Sun, 17 Dec 2006 13:16:28 -0800, Not Cocksucker Lloyd
>jabbered inanely:

>>> I hope you win the Bag of Coal, Wabbit. The gods know how hard
>>> you've worked for it this year.
>>
>> Against you is a sure victory, now go eat the contents of your colsotomy
>> bag.
>
>Well, damnitall, some under-appreciative numbnuts failed to second my
>nomination of you, so you get nothing at all beyond the Pineapple. I guess
>your k00kery just isn't all that outstanding.

kooks
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6570. Re: DIY Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-20 06:42:37 UTC
From: jon <jonrev1978@wmconnect.com>
Message-ID: <1166596957.786959.38480@n67g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4100

Greetings All!
I have been toying with the idea of building a discone antenna for scanning. I have a couple of junk TV antennas and I think I will make good use of the elements and construct a discone. I have thought about using the UHF end off of one and put it on a small rotor for directional use in the UHF bands. Just some fodder for the brain. Have a great week! Jon in Upstate South Carolina.

Eric Ferguson wrote:
> You might try googling the discone antenna. Has a 10:1 frequency range.
> Haven't built one myself (yet), but will try one of these days.

6571. Re: DIY Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-20 17:24:34 UTC
From: me <mail@insihga.com>
Message-ID: <gcsio2pn65pedh884sp86ak6su9d3473nb@4ax.com>
Source record: 4101

Just keep the VHF too and be sure to turn it vertical. Should make a
great UHF/VHF beam.

On 19 Dec 2006 22:42:37 -0800, "jon" <jonrev1978@wmconnect.com> wrote:

>Greetings All!
>I have been toying with the idea of building a discone antenna for
>scanning. I have a couple of junk TV antennas and I think I will make
>good use of the elements and construct a discone. I have thought about
>using the UHF end off of one and put it on a small rotor for
>directional use in the UHF bands. Just some fodder for the brain. Have
>a great week! Jon in Upstate South Carolina.
>
>
>Eric Ferguson wrote:
>> You might try googling the discone antenna. Has a 10:1 frequency range.
>> Haven't built one myself (yet), but will try one of these days.

6572. Re: Airband Worse With External Antenna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-21 01:29:27 UTC
From: Dale Parfitt <par@parelectronics.com>
Message-ID: <Xrlih.5648$4Q6.5291@trnddc06>
Source record: 4102

"Mike Granby" <mikeg@mikeg.net> wrote in message
news:1166551123.167224.213700@79g2000cws.googlegroups.com...
>
> SeppoP wrote:
>
>> I guess you've checked the antenna cable connectors?
>
> Yep, the cable's fine. And it works fine with the handheld, so I think
> the overload issue is the most likely culprit. I've got a bandpass
> filter on order, so I'll give that a try.
>
Only problems with a BP filter (aside from its gradual skirts, unless it has
5 poles or more) is you will have to disconnect it to listen to other freqs
and its attenuation on the likely culprit, FM broadcast, will be minimal.

Dale W4OP

6573. Re: Airband Worse With External Antenna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-21 04:00:05 UTC
From: Mike Granby <mikeg@mikeg.net>
Message-ID: <1166673605.091506.111470@n67g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4103

Dale Parfitt wrote:

> Only problems with a BP filter (aside from its gradual skirts,
> unless it has 5 poles or more) is you will have to disconnect
> it to listen to other freqs and its attenuation on the likely
> culprit, FM broadcast, will be minimal.

The scanners are dedicated to airband (providing feeds to LiveATC.net) so removing the filter isn't an issue. I installed an AOR ABF-125 filter tonight, and the overload problem seems to have been solved. I'm still not overwhelmed with the quality compared to what I get from my airband transceiver, but that's $300 vs. $100, I guess. I might try the FM notch filter, too, and see if that makes things any better, but for now, at least I'm up an running. Thanks to everyone for their help / suggestions...

6574. FS: PRO-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-21 05:24:27 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <fUoih.29217$wc5.21261@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 4104

Excellent condition. Full 800 Mhz. All functions work 100%. Original box and manual. I will also include 6 AA NiMH Rechargable batteries with charger and rubber duck antenna.

Price is $100 plus shipping in CONUS

6575. Re: Airband Worse With External Antenna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-21 12:47:25 UTC
From: "David L. Wilson" <dwilson314@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <r6ydnUofSNbCHxfYnZ2dnUVZ_hm3nZ2d@adelphia.com>
Source record: 4105

"Mike Granby" <mikeg@mikeg.net> wrote in message
news:1166673605.091506.111470@n67g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
...
> airband transceiver, but that's $300 vs. $100, I guess. I might try the
> FM notch filter, too, and see if that makes things any better, but for
> now, at least I'm up an running. Thanks to everyone for their help /

Also try one of the real cheap FM notch filters from Radio Shack. For me,
it worked better than the expensive PAR one with an FM broadcaster a mile
away.

6576. Re: DIY Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-21 21:03:12 UTC
From: PhattyMo <PhattyMo@nospam.gmail>
Message-ID: <458af66e$0$508$815e3792@news.qwest.net>
Source record: 4106

Bill wrote:
> I have looked around on Google without much success. I am interested in
> making my own indoor antenna for my scanner. It must be general coverage and
> omni directional. I have wire and coax. Just looking for something that is
> going to be better than the telescoping antenna built into the scanner
> without going outdoors. If anyone has any ideas or links for websites with
> some info on building your own indoor antenna please share :)
> Thank You and Best Regards
> Bill
>
>

Make a "Slim-jim" (J-pole) from some twinlead/hookup wire.
Tack it high up in the wall,and call it good.

6577. Re: DIY Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-21 22:02:19 UTC
From: jon <jonrev1978@wmconnect.com>
Message-ID: <1166738539.520512.234470@42g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4107

Greetings!
This is exactly what I am currently using for an outdoor scanner antenna, a J- pole. Mine is outdoors and was actually cut for the 2 meter ham band, 144-148 mgh, but it works very well on all bands for receive purposes. You should get very good results on an indoor version as I know several hams who use a j-pole inside for transmit and receive. Have yourself a Merry Little Christmas! Jon in Upstate South Carolina.

PhattyMo wrote:
> Bill wrote:
> > I have looked around on Google without much success. I am interested in
> > making my own indoor antenna for my scanner. It must be general coverage and
> > omni directional. I have wire and coax. Just looking for something that is
> > going to be better than the telescoping antenna built into the scanner
> > without going outdoors. If anyone has any ideas or links for websites with
> > some info on building your own indoor antenna please share :)
> > Thank You and Best Regards
> > Bill
> >
> >
>
>
> Make a "Slim-jim" (J-pole) from some twinlead/hookup wire.
> Tack it high up in the wall,and call it good.

6578. Re: The fastest way to destroy ham radio is to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-22 17:40:53 UTC
From: DamnIfIKnow <damifino@damifino.net>
Message-ID: <idqs7.2vp.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4108
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:
> When code is gone your going to see an in rush of lazy people that don't
> want to be good or skilled at anything, and their frustration over their
> laziness will be taken out on other hams on the bands. This will turn ham
> radio into CB.
>
> SC

HAM isn't as popular as it used to be. All of the old HAMS have either died off or are no longer on the air for various reasons. When a service is threatened due to lack of interest, something must be done to encourage people into the hobby. The FCC decided to eliminate one of the hardest parts of obtaining a ticket in an effort to encourage people to obtain a license and hopefully keep HAM radio alive and well. Why is it I do not hear much code on the bands anymore? It used to be that every ship at sea would communicate via key. Not today. Not in the age of Satellites. So, why would you feel the code should still remain an active part of being a HAM? Do YOU still use code? If so, for what?

Yeah, keep HAM back into the old days and don't evolve with technology. That's the way to do it. It was better in the old days when you had to bust your ass to learn a code that you would probably never use or even listen to.

Time to move forward. With the cost of equipment far more expensive than what CB used to be in the 70's, I seriously doubt HAM will turn into what CB has become. Also, you fail to mention the fact that the FCC DOES monitor and enforce HAM radio unlike CB that's become a zoo.

Anyone can go to the FCC enforcement website and read the many reports of hefty fines imposed on commercial radio and HAM alike.

And before HAM turns into a CB zoo, it would have evolved a lot further than it is today and people still wouldn't be able to buy a HAM toy to use like CB. Enforcement will become a HAM wide effort, whereas, established HAMs will have to keep an eye on new operators to ensure they don't cross the line and if they do, turn them in to the FCC for action. Most HAMS I know can work together to locate the fixed transmission of another HAM.

So, relax. You will probably be gone to the big HAM shack in the sky before HAM goes the way of CB.

6579. FS: PRO-2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-23 01:38:36 UTC
From: Ron Purdue <ronaldpurdue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1166837996_16681@sp6iad.superfeed.net>
Source record: 4109

In good condition with modified 800mHz coverage. Asking $100 with shipping anywhere in Continental US.

Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services

** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
----
http://www.usenet.com

6580. Re: Airband Worse With External Antenna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-23 03:16:59 UTC
From: Mike Granby <mikeg@mikeg.net>
Message-ID: <1166843819.354888.303670@a3g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4110

I installed the PAR FM notch filter tonight, and it made a huge
difference over the bandpass filters I'd previously tried. I'm very
happy with the quality now, and I can avoid having to upgrade the
scanners to real aviation recievers. Thanks again for all the input...

6581. Re: The fastest way to destroy ham radio is to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-23 05:27:00 UTC
From: Too_Many_Tools <too_many_tools@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1166851620.382027.114200@73g2000cwn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4111
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
>
> Enforcement will become a HAM wide effort, whereas,
> established HAMs will have to keep an eye on new operators to ensure
> they don't cross the line and if they do, turn them in to the FCC for
> action.
>

LOL....from what I hear on the bands I would suggest hams police
themselves first before they worry about newcomers.

TMT

DamnIfIKnow wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > When code is gone your going to see an in rush of lazy people that don't
> > want to be good or skilled at anything, and their frustration over their
> > laziness will be taken out on other hams on the bands. This will turn ham
> > radio into CB.
> >
> > SC
>
> HAM isn't as popular as it used to be. All of the old HAMS have either
> died off or are no longer on the air for various reasons. When a
> service is threatened due to lack of interest, something must be done to
> encourage people into the hobby. The FCC decided to eliminate one of
> the hardest parts of obtaining a ticket in an effort to encourage people
> to obtain a license and hopefully keep HAM radio alive and well. Why is
> it I do not hear much code on the bands anymore? It used to be that
> every ship at sea would communicate via key. Not today. Not in the age
> of Satellites. So, why would you feel the code should still remain an
> active part of being a HAM? Do YOU still use code? If so, for what?
>
> Yeah, keep HAM back into the old days and don't evolve with technology.
> That's the way to do it. It was better in the old days when you had to
> bust your ass to learn a code that you would probably never use or even
> listen to.
>
> Time to move forward. With the cost of equipment far more expensive
> than what CB used to be in the 70's, I seriously doubt HAM will turn
> into what CB has become. Also, you fail to mention the fact that the
> FCC DOES monitor and enforce HAM radio unlike CB that's become a zoo.
>
> Anyone can go to the FCC enforcement website and read the many reports
> of hefty fines imposed on commercial radio and HAM alike.
```

>
> And before HAM turns into a CB zoo, it would have evolved a lot further
> than it is today and people still wouldn't be able to buy a HAM toy to
> use like CB. Enforcement will become a HAM wide effort, whereas,
> established HAMs will have to keep an eye on new operators to ensure
> they don't cross the line and if they do, turn them in to the FCC for
> action. Most HAMS I know can work together to locate the fixed
> transmission of another HAM.
>
> So, relax. You will probably be gone to the big HAM shack in the sky
> before HAM goes the way of CB.

6582. Re: LF: Canadian frequency guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-23 09:17:04 UTC
From: ---------- <scammedbyohio@wmconnect.com>
Message-ID: <1166865424.255156.34660@48g2000cwx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4112

I have one I downloaded the other year or so. It's in pdf format

Private_Sale wrote:
> Is there an online scanning frequency guide for Canada? I remember a nice
> book they used to publish a few years ago but it has been discontinued.
>
> Thanks!

6583. Re: The fastest way to destroy ham radio is to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-23 09:25:03 UTC
From: ---------- <scammedbyohio@wmconnect.com>
Message-ID: <1166865903.888116.130750@73g2000cwn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4113
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The pro-code/no-code debates remind me of the Am/SSB debates on the ham
bands back in the 70's.

DamnIfIKnow wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > When code is gone your going to see an in rush of lazy people that don't
> > want to be good or skilled at anything, and their frustration over their
> > laziness will be taken out on other hams on the bands. This will turn ham
> > radio into CB.
> >
> > SC
>
> HAM isn't as popular as it used to be. All of the old HAMS have either
> died off or are no longer on the air for various reasons. When a
> service is threatened due to lack of interest, something must be done to
> encourage people into the hobby. The FCC decided to eliminate one of
> the hardest parts of obtaining a ticket in an effort to encourage people
> to obtain a license and hopefully keep HAM radio alive and well. Why is
> it I do not hear much code on the bands anymore? It used to be that
> every ship at sea would communicate via key. Not today. Not in the age
> of Satellites. So, why would you feel the code should still remain an
> active part of being a HAM? Do YOU still use code? If so, for what?
>
> Yeah, keep HAM back into the old days and don't evolve with technology.
> That's the way to do it. It was better in the old days when you had to
> bust your ass to learn a code that you would probably never use or even
> listen to.
>
> Time to move forward. With the cost of equipment far more expensive
> than what CB used to be in the 70's, I seriously doubt HAM will turn
> into what CB has become. Also, you fail to mention the fact that the
> FCC DOES monitor and enforce HAM radio unlike CB that's become a zoo.
>
> Anyone can go to the FCC enforcement website and read the many reports
> of hefty fines imposed on commercial radio and HAM alike.
>
> And before HAM turns into a CB zoo, it would have evolved a lot further
> than it is today and people still wouldn't be able to buy a HAM toy to
> use like CB. Enforcement will become a HAM wide effort, whereas,
> established HAMs will have to keep an eye on new operators to ensure
> they don't cross the line and if they do, turn them in to the FCC for
> action. Most HAMS I know can work together to locate the fixed
> transmission of another HAM.

>
> So, relax. You will probably be gone to the big HAM shack in the sky
> before HAM goes the way of CB.

6584. Re: The fastest way to destroy ham radio is to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-23 18:56:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <bgsqo2lunte0etv7dogrnaaemnuv8g764q@4ax.com>
Source record: 4114
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 22 Dec 2006 21:27:00 -0800, "Too_Many_Tools"
<too_many_tools@yahoo.com> wrote:

>>
>> Enforcement will become a HAM wide effort, whereas,
>> established HAMs will have to keep an eye on new operators to ensure
>> they don't cross the line and if they do, turn them in to the FCC for
>> action.
>>
>
>LOL....from what I hear on the bands I would suggest hams police
>themselves first before they worry about newcomers.
>
>TMT

they can't K4YZ is proof of that
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6585. Re: The fastest way to destroy ham radio is to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-23 19:36:14 UTC
From: sapper <billvet6@excite.com>
Message-ID: <1166902574.175091.151460@73g2000cwn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4115
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:
> When code is gone your going to see an in rush of lazy people that don't
> want to be good or skilled at anything, and their frustration over their
> laziness will be taken out on other hams on the bands. This will turn ham
> radio into CB.
>
> SC
so what are you SC,a fortune teller,soothsayer,prophet or what?
I have been studying the code for a while now and I know all the
letters and everything
but I am very slow with it yet. My goal has been to take the code test
in January,I have already passed the tech and general tests.But I gotta
tell you,all the crap from guys like you
have really been a turn off. "Laziness,dumbing down,end of ham
radio,all that other ignorant crap from a bunch of these people make me
think why in the world learn the code,I sure would
not want to make a contact with one of these guys.No way my sending
would be good enough for these perfect hams and who needs the grief.But
you have it all figured out and know what the future holds for this
HOBBY.So I may go ahead and take the test next month and I might not.In
the end it wont make any difference will it?I really wish you and those
like you would accept the reality of the way things are and let it go,
enjoy the hobby and stop spreading hate and discontent.You just drive
people away with that junk.
Bill KC9IRR
RVN May69 to Dec 70
20th Engineer Brg.

6586. Re: The fastest way to destroy ham radio is to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-23 19:50:57 UTC
From: Mark <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <igms7.m5k.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 4116
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"sapper" <billvet6@excite.com> wrote in message
news:1166902574.175091.151460@73g2000cwn.googlegroups.com...
>
> Slow Code wrote:
> > When code is gone your going to see an in rush of lazy people that don't
> > want to be good or skilled at anything, and their frustration over their
> > laziness will be taken out on other hams on the bands. This will turn
ham
> > radio into CB.
> >
> > SC
> so what are you SC,a fortune teller,soothsayer,prophet or what?
> I have been studying the code for a while now and I know all the
> letters and everything
> but I am very slow with it yet. My goal has been to take the code test
> in January,I have already passed the tech and general tests.But I gotta
> tell you,all the crap from guys like you
> have really been a turn off. "Laziness,dumbing down,end of ham
> radio,all that other ignorant crap from a bunch of these people make me
> think why in the world learn the code,I sure would
> not want to make a contact with one of these guys.No way my sending
> would be good enough for these perfect hams and who needs the grief.But
> you have it all figured out and know what the future holds for this
> HOBBY.So I may go ahead and take the test next month and I might not.In
> the end it wont make any difference will it?I really wish you and those
> like you would accept the reality of the way things are and let it go,
> enjoy the hobby and stop spreading hate and discontent.You just drive
> people away with that junk.
> Bill KC9IRR
> RVN May69 to Dec 70
> 20th Engineer Brg.
>
My. You sure had a nice cry, didn't you?

6587. Re: The fastest way to destroy ham radio is to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-23 22:08:44 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-B3C543.14084423122006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4117
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <1166902574.175091.151460@73g2000cwn.googlegroups.com>,
"sapper" <billvet6@excite.com> wrote:

> Slow Code wrote:

< Snip Trolling crap >

> > SC

> so what are you SC,a fortune teller,soothsayer,prophet or what? I
> have been studying the code for a while now and I know all the
> letters and everything but I am very slow with it yet. My goal has
> been to take the code test in January,I have already passed the tech
> and general tests.But I gotta tell you,all the crap from guys like
> you have really been a turn off.

< Snip >

Put the idiots in the kill file. Don't waste your time reading them.

Good luck with with the license testing. Have fun with the hobby code,
phone, digital, and slow scan TV. Ignore the idiots on the air along
with the idiots in the news groups.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

6588. Re: Listen to scanners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-23 23:42:26 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <458DBEE2.5040208@yahoo.com>
Source record: 4118
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dana wrote:
> I will give that a try, thanks.
>
> On Sat, 12 Nov 2005, jio@wqa.org wrote:
>
>> In article <Pine.NEB.4.63.0511120148300.12602@panix1.panix.com>,
>> dana@panix.com says...
>>> Can someone send me a link to listen to a scanner over the internet?
>>> I am
>>> looking for a stream that will work with winamp or windows media player.
>>> They work best with a screen reader, thanks.
>>>
>>>
>> Try http://www.policescan.us/
>>

Port St. Lucie, Fla
http://joe42353.camstreams.com/

A big truck down the highway
http://bronco16378.camstreams.com/
http://truckbuddy.camstreams.com/

Bay Area (west coast) scanner (BEST BET!!)
http://benr.camstreams.com/

Also, several ATV repeaters have links to view. Many cool webcams on this site.
Family oriented streams only. http://www.camstreams.com/

6589. Re: Listen to scanners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-23 23:45:14 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <jK-dnaju-bHwIhDYnZ2dnUVZ_qTinZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 4119
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

DISCLAIMER:

I have only had this work on my Win XP box. No video in Win2K.
Something may be missing on my end in Win2K. XP box is very stock,
only Windows updates to SP2, MP10 and IE6.1.

6590. Re: 900 MHz Channel List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-24 03:34:50 UTC
From: ---------- <scammedbyohio@wmconnect.com>
Message-ID: <1166931290.306075.190980@n51g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4120
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Did you try asking in aus.radio.scanner?

Neil J wrote:
> These are the american frequencies = useless.
>
> What about ozy?
>
>
> "Rob Mills" <robmills@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:wWNdh.31894$G87.26455@newsfe14.lga...
> >
> > <scannerman6@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:1165419576.283709.232000@j44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > >> Does anybody know what the 900 MHz Channel Numbers are?<<
> >
> > http://home.flash.net/~av8tor/radios/cordless2.htm
> >
> >

6591. Hungarian Police frequencies please???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-24 12:55:59 UTC
From: as400 <vin42and99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1166964958.934835.218750@42g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4121

Cananyone find me the frequencies for the Hungarian police? Mainly for Budapest area?

I would appreciate it please...

thanks.

6592. PCR Remote - version 0.65.32 Beta is Now Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-25 11:21:37 UTC
From: CrazyC <crazy__c_@hot_mail.com>
Message-ID: <XNRjh.21095$RR4.3383@newsfe22.lga>
Source record: 4122

PCR Remote - version 0.65.32 Beta is Now Available for downloading from the homepage:
http://members.cox.net/5150soft/PcrRemote/PcrRemote.html

The Server and Remote software allows you to control your Icom PCR-100, PCR-1000, PCR-1500 and PCR-2500 over the internet.
Using Audio Brodcast software such as SHOUTcast, Pirate Radio Broadcaster or Windows Media Encoder will enable you to hear your radio from a remote location over the internet...

This update has a few changes:
Dec 16,2006 - Fixed: Remote Users Volume is now Muted when set from Server.
Dec 17,2006 - Added: Shotcut keys to Menus.
Dec 18,2006 - Added: Chat function between Users and Server.
Dec 18,2006 - Added: Window Heights now adjusted depending on OS used.
Dec 18,2006 - Added: DSP Settings.
Dec 19,2006 - -----: Changed the Frequency Centering Indicator.
Dec 24,2006 - -----: DV & P25 Mode Buttons now display appropriate Tool Tip Text...
Version 0.65.032 Released 12/25/2006

Regards,
Jay

6593. i punce in agin on hloiday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-25 17:30:45 UTC
From: "Mark@kb9rqz.aspr" <Mark@kp9rqz.asr>
Message-ID: <458ffe0a$0$30216$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 4123
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.arts.poems,rec.music.hip-hop

ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tast is waht I
do so bringit on you loosers
show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as JEW rendered
phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and SC a
few just decided to not to be as hateful with the punce gotcha he wonders
why I simple don't bother to ty impoving my spelling do u hav anyting
cognet two say?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6594. steve does this sort of stuff for his vacation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-25 17:38:36 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <c230p21mplhp1eatqtk49trd7fo6qstu66@4ax.com>
Source record: 4124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.arts.poems,rec.music.hip-hop

On Mon, 25 Dec 2006 12:30:45 -0500, "Mark@kb9rqz.aspr"
<Mark@kp9rqz.asr> wrote:

> ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tast is waht I
>do so bringit on you loosers

poor woger spending Christmas allone forging someone who has never
harmed him in the slightest becuase you are desperate for even that
attention
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6595. Scanner/CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-25 17:48:51 UTC
From: kcartier@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1167068931.140062.283350@73g2000cwn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4125

Hi there, wondering if any police scanner/cb radios exist. I know it's illegal to transmit on frequencies outside of CB. Looking for a Police Scanner / CB combo type thing though.

6596. Re: i punce in agin on hloiday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-25 18:03:35 UTC
From: The Demon Prince of Absurdity <absurd_number_of_nicks@hell.corn>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.12.25.18.03.31.884174@hell.corn>
Source record: 4126
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.arts.poems,rec.music.hip-hop

On Mon, 25 Dec 2006 12:30:45 -0500, Wabbit, posting as Mark@kb9rqz.aspr,
did the cha-cha, and screamed:

> ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tast is waht
> I
> do so bringit on you loosers
> show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as JEW
> rendered
> phonicticaly)
> happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and SC
> a few just decided to not to be as hateful with the punce gotcha he
> wonders why I simple don't bother to ty impoving my spelling do u hav
> anyting
> cognet two say?

Yes. I do hope the FNVW remembers Wabbit for the Edmond Wollmann
Memorial Rubber Xmas Turkey. Chung shouldn't always get it.

--

_____
Hail Eris! TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
Cardinal Snarky of the Fannish Inquisition
http://www6.kingdomofloathing.com/login.php

"This is a sandwich made by a Spam Witch. You know why Spam Witches
can't starve if they're at the beach? Because they can always eat the
sand which is there." -- Spam Witch sammich, from The Kingdom of
Loathing

http://www.runescape.com/
No one expects the Fannish Inquisition!
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Cabal_of_the_Holy_Pretzel/join

"What are marijuana tablets?"

I own "James C Cracked is God!!!":
MID: <1161060410.704020.285410@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>

"Chips on you dud, you got bugged for being near me, Viruses transmit
that way you know." -- Blooey: Master of the Autoflame. Message-ID:
<4556A926.6F259DC9@pharae.org>

"Q: How many Bush administration officials does it take to change a
light bulb?
A: None. There is no need to change anything. We made the right decision

to stick with that light bulb. People who say that it is burned out are giving aid and encouragement to the Forces of Darkness." -- Anon.

"Etymology:
Argumentum ad Septicus : argument to putrefaction. Derived from Septicum Argumentum : putrefaction of argument.

"Septic \Sep"tic\, Septical \Sep"tic*al\
a. [L. septicus to make putrid: cf. F. septique.]
Having power to promote putrefaction. Of or relating to or caused by putrefaction." -- Kadaitcha Man, indirectly to Donald "Skeptic"/"Septic" Alford, in MID: <a3svh.djj.19.1@news.alt.net>

"I never fail to be amazing" -- Looney Maroon for September 2006 nominee William Barwell's ego knows no bounds. MID: 12ggt3q3uti3t52@corp.supernews.com

"We are most nearly ourselves when we achieve the seriousness of the child at play." -- Heraclitus

"And thats another mistake on your part. Your 'playing' games on usenet, and I'm not playing...It has nothing to do with impressing you, it has more to do with making sure you have the education you'll need to debate. The debate is no fun for me if you are mentally incapable of it. I'm giving you an opportunity to educate yourself. That's all." -- A trashy former virus-writer turned Outer Filth doesn't know if he's playing or working, in MID: <1159389579.179851.33970@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>

"I am incapable of original thoughts" -- Ctrlï¿½/Altï¿½/Delï¿½ has an honest moment, in MID: <0h59i25ejlthqeeitdp0hlk4kvo1ejpkt9@4ax.com>

"But now the end is near. Now Mark Foley comes along and is making almost all liberal dreams come true and seriously, I'm sorry for it. See, I believe in karma. I believe what comes around goes around and I know full well that it's just bad juju to wish such a level of turmoil and ill upon other humans, warmongering gay-hating maladroits or no, and that the real path of enlightenment is paved with forgiveness and progress and white-hot love and turning the other cheek and scotch.

"In fact, Jesus said something about that, I do believe. He said, "Knock it off already with the warmongering and the hating of each other and let's all get some wine and party like it's 2012." Then again, he never saw Karl Rove stab the nation with the dull ice pick of bogus fear. He never heard George W. Bush describe brutal war and the death of tens of thousands as "just a comma" in world history.

"Check that. Maybe I'm not so sorry after all." -- Mark Morford, http://sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/gate/archive/2006/10/11/notes101106.DTL&nl=fix
http://tinyurl.com/kusmr

6597. Re: Scanner/CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-25 19:03:17 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouseCom@privatebox.net>
Message-ID: <zsVjh.24$mt1.1@newsfe03.lga>
Source record: 4127

Scanners with CB frequencies built in (non-transmitting,) but nothing like
you are looking for that I am aware of...

<kcartier@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1167068931.140062.283350@73g2000cwn.googlegroups.com...
> Hi there, wondering if any police scanner/cb radios exist. I know it's
> illegal to transmit on frequencies outside of CB. Looking for a Police
> Scanner / CB combo type thing though.
>
>

6598. Re: Scanner/CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-25 20:46:26 UTC
From: Rob Mills <robmills@cox.net>
Message-ID: <wMWjh.18$Zd7.11@newsfe13.lga>
Source record: 4128

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouseCom@privatebox.net> wrote in message news:zsVjh.24$mt1.1@newsfe03.lga...

>>> Scanners with CB frequencies built in (non-transmitting,) but nothing like you are looking for that I am aware of...<<<

Don't know if any other CB's do this or not but I purchased a Motorola system 500 CB at an estate sale 10 or 15 years ago that will scan all 40 channels and has the controls in the mic. I never could locate a manual for it and still have not figured out all the features on it. RM~

PS, Still have it and it looks like a new radio as I only used for one vacation trip.

6599. Re: Scanner/CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-25 23:18:09 UTC
From: labtech1 <labtech_one@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <17acd$45905c2a$438c6e36$22495@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 4129

Lafayette Radio use to make a CB (23 channel) with a tunable VHF Hi or Low band receiver built in (you had to pick one or the other)

http://hamradiogallery.com/gallery2/main.php?g2_itemId=13286

Have never seen anything made since thats even remotely close.

<kcartier@gmail.com> wrote in message news:1167068931.140062.283350@73g2000cwn.googlegroups.com...
> Hi there, wondering if any police scanner/cb radios exist. I know it's
> illegal to transmit on frequencies outside of CB. Looking for a Police
> Scanner / CB combo type thing though.
>

6600. Re: Airband Worse With External Antenna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-26 16:28:19 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <woadnRMbUuc40AzYnZ2dnUVZ_u63nZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 4130

"Mike Granby" <mikeg@mikeg.net> wrote in message
news:1166584943.575974.33620@i12g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Jeff Burke wrote:
>
>> An attenuator might help
>
> Great, isn't it? I spend all afternoon messing about up a ladder to get
> a stronger signal, and then I have to attenuate it to make things work!
> :)
>

I would thing the RG58 coax would attenuate the signal enough, if that's
even the problem.

Bill Crocker

6601. Markie's father sodomises Markie for fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-26 17:35:32 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1167154532.857929.19530@h40g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4131
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 17 Dec 2006 12:36:02 -0800, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > >
> > >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> > >> On 17 Dec 2006 07:42:28 -0800, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
> > >> wrote:Re: "Mark C Morgan" Is What Happens When The Short Yellow Bus
> > >> Takes A Detour
> > >>
> > >>
> > >> stop being such absolute horror of a human being
> > >>
> > >> you have admited you post this way becuase it entertains like you were
> > >> posting at one the RAPE was funny too
> > >
> > >You are the one who claimed to enjoy being raped, Marqueer.
> >
> > lying again woger

You admitted it on here.

> > >
> > >BTW, what happened to your statement that if they drop the code you
> > >were out of here for good? Looks like you lied again!
> >
> > woger I said I would leave when I could sign kb9rqz/ae

Nope you siad you'd leave once they dropped the code requirement.

> can't do that yet

You'll never be able to do it, retard.

> but you can't tell the truth

Oh, the irony.

6602. steve veraion of christmas cheer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-26 17:46:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <qrn2p216mh3m91ov6h3g4ncde20ntckhg4@4ax.com>
Source record: 4132
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
On 26 Dec 2006 09:35:32 -0800, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
<andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 17 Dec 2006 12:36:02 -0800, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
>> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> >> On 17 Dec 2006 07:42:28 -0800, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
>> >> wrote:Re: "Mark C Morgan" Is What Happens When The Short Yellow Bus
>> >> Takes A Detour
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> stop being such absolute horror of a human being
>> >>
>> >> you have admited you post this way becuase it entertains like you were
>> >> posting at one the RAPE was funny too
>> >
>> >You are the one who claimed to enjoy being raped, Marqueer.
>>
>> lying again woger
>
>You admitted it on here.

no woger
>
>> >
>> >BTW, what happened to your statement that if they drop the code you
>> >were out of here for good? Looks like you lied again!
>>
>> woger I said I would leave when I could sign kb9rqz/ae
>
>Nope you siad you'd leave once they dropped the code requirement.

stop lying woger
>
>> can't do that yet
>
>You'll never be able to do it, retard.

saure I will be able to sign kb9rqz/ae soon enough mostly a matter of
finding a test sesion I can easily get to the weather is runing cold
```

and snow/icey
>
>> but you can't tell the truth
>
>Oh, the irony.

you can't tell the truth that is why you spread Steve version of
christmass cheer

becuase you can't even invent your own lies you need someone to do it
for you
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6603. Bolt 21 AARing FL-ANG "FANGs" over Florida

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-26 19:23:16 UTC
From: "AllanStern@aol.com" <Allan.Stern@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1167160996.284827.137350@i12g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.military

Bolt 21 AARing FL-ANG "FANGs"
``````````````````````````````

FL-ANG "FANGs" (F-15s out of Jax IAP) have been up today. Heard them in
AAR with MacDill KC-135 tanker "Bolt 21." They were using the freq
343.0 for boom comms.

Also heard Sealord on 284.5 handing off the FANGs to discrete 376.9.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FloridaMilcom
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/BrevardRadio
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/CivilAirComms
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MilRadioComms
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/HFmonitors
``````````````````````````````

6604. MI5 Persecution: who knows about it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-26 21:21:02 UTC
From: MI5Victim@mi5.gov.uk
Message-ID: <m06112622151645@4ax.com>
Source record: 4139
Cross-posted to: balt.jobs,perl.perl6.internals,alt.personals.motss,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
-= who knows about it? =-
-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-

Many people know, both in the establishment and media, and among the general public. Despite an absence of its target from the UK for more than two years, the echoes of paranoia can still be heard loud and clear from across the water. When it started in 1990, the only people who knew were those in BBC television who were spying on my home, and a few radio broadcasters. There were a few cases of public harassment, but very little compared to the situation that developed a couple of years later.

The list today includes BBC TV staff (newsreaders such as Martyn Lewis, Michael Buerk, Nicholas Witchell), people from radio stations such as Chris Tarrant of Capital and Radio 1 DJs, people in the print media, but also many people in the general public. All united in a conspiracy which breaks the laws which the UK does have regarding harassment, and all completely uncaring for any semblance of decency or elementary respect for individual rights.

The British police (obviously) do know the nature of the harassment and in all probability the identity of those behind it. Some time ago I made a complaint to my local police station in London, without positive result. The UK police are failing in their duty to see the law enforced in not checking the abuse.

906

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6605. Re: PRO-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-26 21:52:46 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <OQgkh.11910$hI.84@newssvr11.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 4134

I will include a Diamond RH77CA antenna as well.....

Frank

"Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:fUoih.29217$wc5.21261@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
> Excellent condition. Full 800 Mhz. All functions work 100%. Original box
> and manual. I will also include 6 AA NiMH Rechargable batteries with
> charger and rubber duck antenna.
>
> Price is $100 plus shipping in CONUS
>
>

6606. Re: morkins loves christmas cock

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-27 01:27:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1167182868.801978.67080@79g2000cws.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4135
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Dec 2006 09:35:32 -0800, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
> <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 17 Dec 2006 12:36:02 -0800, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> >> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> >> On 17 Dec 2006 07:42:28 -0800, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
> >> >> wrote:Re: "Mark C Morgan" Is What Happens When The Short Yellow Bus
> >> >> Takes A Detour
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> stop being such absolute horror of a human being
> >> >>
> >> >> you have admited you post this way becuase it entertains like you were
> >> >> posting at one the RAPE was funny too
> >> >
> >> >You are the one who claimed to enjoy being raped, Marqueer.
> >>
> >> lying again woger
> >
> >You admitted it on here.
>
> no woger
> >
> >> >
> >> >BTW, what happened to your statement that if they drop the code you
> >> >were out of here for good? Looks like you lied again!
> >>
> >> woger I said I would leave when I could sign kb9rqz/ae
> >
> >Nope you siad you'd leave once they dropped the code requirement.
>
> stop lying woger
> >
> >> can't do that yet
> >
> >You'll never be able to do it, retard.
>

> saure I will be able to sign kb9rqz/ae soon enough mostly a matter of
> finding a ve team who will let me suck them off for a ticket.

6607. steve posts this for fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-27 02:29:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <bim3p25d3uf9l93mt62phraasnmvqugb37@4ax.com>
Source record: 4136
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On 26 Dec 2006 17:27:48 -0800, "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 26 Dec 2006 09:35:32 -0800, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
>> <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> saure I will be able to sign kb9rqz/ae soon enough mostly a matter of
>> finding a ve team who will let me suck them off for a ticket.
moe forgery
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6608. Re: steve veraion of christmas cheer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-27 14:39:51 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1167230391.875374.195940@73g2000cwn.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4137
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Dec 2006 09:35:32 -0800, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
> <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 17 Dec 2006 12:36:02 -0800, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> >> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> >> On 17 Dec 2006 07:42:28 -0800, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
> >> >> wrote:Re: "Mark C Morgan" Is What Happens When The Short Yellow Bus
> >> >> Takes A Detour
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> stop being such absolute horror of a human being
> >> >>
> >> >> you have admited you post this way becuase it entertains like you were
> >> >> posting at one the RAPE was funny too
> >> >
> >> >You are the one who claimed to enjoy being raped, Marqueer.
> >>
> >> lying again woger
> >
> >You admitted it on here.
>
> no woger

Wrong guess, dumbass.

> >
> >> >
> >> >BTW, what happened to your statement that if they drop the code you
> >> >were out of here for good? Looks like you lied again!
> >>
> >> woger I said I would leave when I could sign kb9rqz/ae
> >
> >Nope you siad you'd leave once they dropped the code requirement.
>
> stop lying woger

You first, Marqueer.

> >
> >> can't do that yet
> >
> >You'll never be able to do it, retard.
>
> saure I will be able to sign kb9rqz/ae soon enough mostly a matter of
> finding a test sesion I can easily get to the weather is runing cold
> and snow/icey

Excuses, excuses, If you could pass it you'd have passed it before.

> >
> >> but you can't tell the truth
> >
> >Oh, the irony.
>
> you can't tell the truth that is why you spread Steve version of
> christmass cheer

But "pagans" like you aren't supposed to celebrate Christmas, dumbass.

> becuase you can't even invent your own lies you need someone to do it
> for you

No, anybody could out-wit you, Markie.

6609. Re: steve veraion of christmas cheer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-28 01:44:05 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1167270245.413237.144480@h40g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4138
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

```
Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> > On 26 Dec 2006 09:35:32 -0800, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"

> > becuase you can't even invent your own lies you need someone to do it
> > for you
>
> No, anybody could out-wit you, Markie.
funny how you can't seem to manage woger
```

6610. Digital Scanners In Cornwall Ontario

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-29 04:50:31 UTC
From: Wayne <photo_nut72@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <oj79p25u113vja31of51797r5m2hkvh9hj@4ax.com>
Source record: 4140

I was just wondering if anybody in the area is able to receive the local police and fire department on one of the new digital scanners or if the signal is encrypted.

TIA

6611. GeorgeF update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-29 19:56:13 UTC
From: mattcawby@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1167422173.148596.322270@48g2000cwx.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4141

Any news on George Fetter? I know he spent Thanksgiving in a Florida jail and his website hasn't been updated lately.

6612. Re: steve veraion of christmas cheer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-29 20:12:40 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1167423160.709742.312830@s34g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4142
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Dec 2006 09:35:32 -0800, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
> <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 17 Dec 2006 12:36:02 -0800, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> >> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> >> On 17 Dec 2006 07:42:28 -0800, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
> >> >> wrote:Re: "Mark C Morgan" Is What Happens When The Short Yellow Bus
> >> >> Takes A Detour
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> stop being such absolute horror of a human being
> >> >>
> >> >> you have admited you post this way becuase it entertains like you were
> >> >> posting at one the RAPE was funny too
> >> >
> >> >You are the one who claimed to enjoy being raped, Marqueer.
> >>
> >> lying again woger
> >
> >You admitted it on here.
>
> no woger

Yes, you have a woger in your mouth sucking on it.

> >
> >> >
> >> >BTW, what happened to your statement that if they drop the code you
> >> >were out of here for good? Looks like you lied again!
> >>
> >> woger I said I would leave when I could sign kb9rqz/ae
> >
> >Nope you siad you'd leave once they dropped the code requirement.
>
> stop lying woger

You said it, fatboy.

> >
> >> can't do that yet
> >
> >You'll never be able to do it, retard.
>
> saure I will be able to sign kb9rqz/ae soon enough mostly a matter of
> finding a test sesion I can easily get to the weather is runing cold
> and snow/icey

Nope, you couldn't do it before, you can't do it now.

> >
> >> but you can't tell the truth
> >
> >Oh, the irony.
>
> you can't tell the truth that is why you spread Steve version of
> christmass cheer

Better than you spreading your Aids this xmas.

> becuase you can't even invent your own lies you need someone to do it
> for you

Nobody has to invent them, they only have to repeat the ones you've
posted. There are morre than enough to go around.

6613. Re: steve veraion of christmas cheer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-29 20:14:09 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1167423249.278482.176490@h40g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

an old friendless child molester wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> > Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> > > On 26 Dec 2006 09:35:32 -0800, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
>
> > > becuase you can't even invent your own lies you need someone to do it
> > > for you
> >
> > No, anybody could out-wit you, Markie.
> funny how you can't seem to manage woger

Funny, Nobody seems *not* to outwit you, Morkie the queer retard.

6614. Re: steve veraion of christmas cheer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-30 04:10:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <blpbp2lg52rp7m1fro6230638sbil70r2a@4ax.com>
Source record: 4144
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On 29 Dec 2006 12:14:09 -0800, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
<andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>an old friendless child molester wrote:
>> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>> > Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> > > On 26 Dec 2006 09:35:32 -0800, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
>>
>> > > becuase you can't even invent your own lies you need someone to do it
>> > > for you
>> >
>> > No, anybody could out-wit you, Markie.
>> funny how you can't seem to manage woger
>
>Funny, Nobody seems *not* to outwit you, Morkie the queer retard.

you have never outwitte me or anybody woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6615. Re: GeorgeF update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-30 11:31:20 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <45964E07.B3EA6E7A@milestones.com>
Source record: 4145

Keith wrote:

> On 29 Dec 2006 11:56:13 -0800, mattcawby@gmail.com wrote:
>
> >Any news on George Fetter? I know he spent Thanksgiving in a Florida
> >jail and his website hasn't been updated lately.
>
> He is out on bail.

Might be a good time to get some equipment from him cheap. Just make sure you have it in hand before turning over any money to him!

dxAce
Michigan
USA

6616. Re: Digital Scanners In Cornwall Ontario

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-30 14:08:57 UTC
From: Doug Mitton <doug_mitton@hotmail.x.com>
Message-ID: <pkscp25pvmuuobn3v7teudhadlhahevie9@4ax.com>
Source record: 4146

Wayne <photo_nut72@hotmail.com> wrote:

> I was just wondering if anybody in the area is able to receive the
>local police and fire department on one of the new digital scanners or
>if the signal is encrypted.
>
>TIA

I'm in Brockville and I just have a "normal" scanner. I can't pickup
the local city police but I can listen to some OPP and the fire dept
is no problem at all.

--
---
http://www3.sympatico.ca/dmitton
SPAM Reduction: Remove "x." from my domain.

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6617. Re: GeorgeF update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-30 15:06:29 UTC
From: RF stuff <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Vfvlh.1300$T%3.786@trndny08>
Source record: 4147

So why was he in???? Anyone know?
"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:45964E07.B3EA6E7A@milestones.com...
>
>
> Keith wrote:
>
>> On 29 Dec 2006 11:56:13 -0800, mattcawby@gmail.com wrote:
>>
>> >Any news on George Fetter? I know he spent Thanksgiving in a Florida
>> >jail and his website hasn't been updated lately.
>>
>> He is out on bail.
>
> Might be a good time to get some equipment from him cheap. Just make sure
> you
> have it in hand before turning over any money to him!
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>

6618. Internet Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-30 15:42:35 UTC
From: hick69@cfl.rr.com
Message-ID: <r52dp2psrnc37hiq0pd3v4i564a7n0alnd@4ax.com>
Source record: 4148

This is for Semnole County, Fl.
http://heliosj.iddings.us:8000/seminolescanner.m3u

6619. Re: GeorgeF update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-30 16:19:23 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4596918A.5A167B0B@milestones.com>
Source record: 4149

RF stuff wrote:

> So why was he in???? Anyone know?

He apparently was running a bit of a scam via the internet. The Secret Service
picked him up.

>
> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:45964E07.B3EA6E7A@milestones.com...
> >
> >
> > Keith wrote:
> >
> >> On 29 Dec 2006 11:56:13 -0800, mattcawby@gmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >Any news on George Fetter? I know he spent Thanksgiving in a Florida
> >> >jail and his website hasn't been updated lately.
> >>
> >> He is out on bail.
> >
> > Might be a good time to get some equipment from him cheap. Just make sure
> > you
> > have it in hand before turning over any money to him!
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
> >

6620. Re: GeorgeF update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-30 20:37:21 UTC
From: RF stuff <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <56Alh.187$Am5.41@trndny03>
Source record: 4150

I guess that was a nice surprise, SS showing up at your front door.
"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:4596918A.5A167B0B@milestones.com...
>
>
> RF stuff wrote:
>
>> So why was he in???? Anyone know?
>
> He apparently was running a bit of a scam via the internet. The Secret
> Service
> picked him up.
>
>>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:45964E07.B3EA6E7A@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > Keith wrote:
>> >
>> >> On 29 Dec 2006 11:56:13 -0800, mattcawby@gmail.com wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >Any news on George Fetter? I know he spent Thanksgiving in a Florida
>> >> >jail and his website hasn't been updated lately.
>> >>
>> >> He is out on bail.
>> >
>> > Might be a good time to get some equipment from him cheap. Just make
>> > sure
>> > you
>> > have it in hand before turning over any money to him!
>> >
>> > dxAce
>> > Michigan
>> > USA
>> >
>

6621. Re: GeorgeF update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-31 01:59:23 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4597197B.80E3BA9@milestones.com>
Source record: 4001

RF stuff wrote:

> I guess that was a nice surprise, SS showing up at your front door.

Perhaps he had the scanners running and knew they were on their way.

Hopefully, George will be doing some time.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

6622. Re: WTB: Bill Cheek's Scanner Mod Handbooks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-31 07:48:56 UTC
From: Xray <pl@yer.com>
Message-ID: <IXJlh.160463$jO5.43491@fe06.news.easynews.com>
Source record: 4002

"Frank" <fjbals@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
news:6RLdh.5163$hI.4770@newssvr11.news.prodigy.net:

> Looking for Bill Cheek's Scanner Modification Handbooks 1, 2, and 3. The
> last one is actually "The Ultimate Scanner". Must be in good condition.
> Let me know what you have!
>
> Frank

I got 1 & 2 I could probably do without. 2 is autographed by the late Mr.
Cheek, both in very good shape.

6623. pro- 528

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-31 12:26:24 UTC
From: news <z1@netvisionnet.il>
Message-ID: <en8abajdd1@news2.netvision.net.il>
Source record: 4003

where can i find unlock code or cheats or hack ????

Is there any hacker site for radio scanner????

6624. i punce in agin on hloiday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-31 14:34:12 UTC
From: "Mark@kb9rqz.aspr" <Mark@kp9rqz.asr>
Message-ID: <4597bdb3$0$18692$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 4004
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.arts.poems,rec.music.hip-hop

ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tast is waht I
do so bringit on you loosers
show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as JEW rendered
phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and SC a
few just decided to not to be as hateful with the punce gotcha he wonders
why I simple don't bother to ty impoving my spelling do u hav anyting
cognet two say?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6625. woger rrapage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-31 14:38:29 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1167575909.785242.77180@42g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 4005
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Mark@kb9rqz.aspr wrote:
>

you stil are not me woger

6626. Re: pro- 528

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-31 15:59:03 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <XNKdncXEVOfYQwrYnZ2dnUVZ_tijnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 4006

If you're referring to un-blocking the cellular band, you can't.

Bill Crocker

"news" <z1@netvisionnet.il> wrote in message
news:en8abajdd1@news2.netvision.net.il...
> where can i find unlock code or cheats or hack ????
>
> Is there any hacker site for radio scanner????
>

6627. Re: GeorgeF update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-31 16:00:21 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <leadnfxkW8AOQwrYnZ2dnUVZ_silnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 4007

Might want to wait until he's proven guilty. :)

Bill Crocker

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:4597197B.80E3BA9@milestones.com...
>
>
> RF stuff wrote:
>
>> I guess that was a nice surprise, SS showing up at your front door.
>
> Perhaps he had the scanners running and knew they were on their way.
>
> Hopefully, George will be doing some time.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>

6628. Re: GeorgeF update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-31 16:01:23 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <YI2dnRwZWdpPQwrYnZ2dnUVZ_uyknZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 4008

Anyone besides me find it odd that the Secret Service was even involved in this situation? It was apparently an eBay scam, not a threat to National Security!

Bill Crocker

"dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
news:4596918A.5A167B0B@milestones.com...
>
>
> RF stuff wrote:
>
>> So why was he in???? Anyone know?
>
> He apparently was running a bit of a scam via the internet. The Secret
> Service
> picked him up.
>
>>
>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>> news:45964E07.B3EA6E7A@milestones.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > Keith wrote:
>> >
>> >> On 29 Dec 2006 11:56:13 -0800, mattcawby@gmail.com wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >Any news on George Fetter? I know he spent Thanksgiving in a Florida
>> >> >jail and his website hasn't been updated lately.
>> >>
>> >> He is out on bail.
>> >
>> > Might be a good time to get some equipment from him cheap. Just make
>> > sure
>> > you
>> > have it in hand before turning over any money to him!
>> >
>> > dxAce
>> > Michigan
>> > USA
>> >
>

6629. Re: GeorgeF update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-31 19:34:13 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <459810B5.5CB31AF2@milestones.com>
Source record: 4009

Bill Crocker wrote:

> Anyone besides me find it odd that the Secret Service was even involved in
> this situation? It was apparently an eBay scam, not a threat to National
> Security!

Guess you'd better check into what the Secret Service does.

Get back to me, boy.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

6630. Re: GeorgeF update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-31 19:44:28 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4598131C.E15EAEB1@milestones.com>
Source record: 4010

Bill Crocker wrote:

> Might want to wait until he's proven guilty. :)

I never stated whether he was guilty or not, did I, boy? I merely stated MY
OPINION, boy.

Please, try to PAY ATTENTION.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

6631. Re: GeorgeF update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-31 20:02:31 UTC
From: Your name <com@net.com>
Message-ID: <Xns98AA99089111Bewrfdgrstnet@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 4011

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in
news:YI2dnRwZWdpPQwrYnZ2dnUVZ_uyknZ2d@comcast.com:

> Anyone besides me find it odd that the Secret Service was even involved
in
> this situation? It was apparently an eBay scam, not a threat to National
> Security!
>
> Bill Crocker

Would not be odd if counterfeit $$$ was involved.

> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
> news:4596918A.5A167B0B@milestones.com...
>>
>>
>> RF stuff wrote:
>>
>>> So why was he in???? Anyone know?
>>
>> He apparently was running a bit of a scam via the internet. The Secret
>> Service
>> picked him up.
>>
>>>
>>> "dxAce" <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in message
>>> news:45964E07.B3EA6E7A@milestones.com...
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > Keith wrote:
>>> >
>>> >> On 29 Dec 2006 11:56:13 -0800, mattcawby@gmail.com wrote:
>>> >>
>>> >> >Any news on George Fetter? I know he spent Thanksgiving in a
Florida
>>> >> >jail and his website hasn't been updated lately.
>>> >>
>>> >> He is out on bail.
>>> >
>>> > Might be a good time to get some equipment from him cheap. Just make
>>> > sure
>>> > you
>>> > have it in hand before turning over any money to him!
>>> >
>>> > dxAce

>>> > Michigan
>>> > USA
>>> >
>>
>
>

6632. Re: GeorgeF update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-31 20:07:17 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <45981875.A0F87239@milestones.com>
Source record: 4012

Your name wrote:

> "Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in
> news:YI2dnRwZWdpPQwrYnZ2dnUVZ_uyknZ2d@comcast.com:
>
> > Anyone besides me find it odd that the Secret Service was even involved
> in
> > this situation? It was apparently an eBay scam, not a threat to National
> > Security!
> >
> > Bill Crocker
>
> Would not be odd if counterfeit $$$ was involved.

Bill is still looking for a clue.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

6633. Re: GeorgeF update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2006-12-31 20:48:27 UTC
From: KC8GXW-Jim <kc8gxw@CENTURYTEL.NET>
Message-ID: <HsWdnQ0996mGvwXYnZ2dnUVZ_oLinZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 4013

dxAce wrote:
>
> Bill Crocker wrote:
>
>
>>Anyone besides me find it odd that the Secret Service was even involved in
>>this situation? It was apparently an eBay scam, not a threat to National
>>Security!
>
>
> Guess you'd better check into what the Secret Service does.
>
> Get back to me, boy.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>
What a tard